CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

December 18, 2022 Start: 1:15 p.m. Recess: 4:11 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Justin L. Brannan

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala Charles Barron Gale A. Brewer

Selvena Brooks-Powers

David M. Carr Amanda Farías Kamillah Hanks Crystal Hudson

Ari Kagan

Farah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya

Chi A. Ossé Keith Powers

Pierina Ana Sanchez Marjorie Velázquez

Julie Won

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kenneth Godiner
Office of Management and Budget First Deputy
Director

Latonia McKinney
Office of Management and Budget Deputy Director
for Intergovernmental Affairs and Education

George Sweeting
Independent Budget Office Acting Director

Logan Clark
Independent Budget Office Assistant Director for
Budget Review

Ana Champeny Citizen's Budget Commission

Penny Lewis Secretary of the Professional Staff Congress CUNY

Zara Nasir The People's Plan

Heather James
Borough of Manhattan Community College CUNY

Lynn Yellen

Jen Gaboury CUNY

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ashley Conrad Freedom Agenda

Devora Cafaro [sp?]

Tanesha Grant
Parents Supporting Parents NYC

Ana Perna Putlery Schriber [sp?]

Lupe Hernandez

Sarah Knispel

Leah Ali Student

Gregory Brender Daycare Council

	4

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Finance. If you wish to submit testimony, you may at testimony@council.nyc.gov. At this time, please silence all electronic devices. Chair, we are ready to begin.

Thank you. [gavel] CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Good afternoon and welcome to today's Finance Committee meeting. I'm Council Member Justin Brannan. I have the privilege of chairing the Committee on Finance. We've been joined today by Council Members De La Rosa, Velázquez, Hanks, and Carr. Today, the Committee will be holding an oversight hearing to review the Mayor's November Financial Plan. Customarily, the Council begins its budget hearings in March, but the economic climate and challenges affecting New York City require closer attention as e navigate our way forward. On November 15th, 2022, the Office of Management and Budget released an update to the City's Financial Plan, AKA the November Plan. The expense changes introduced in the plan are primarily the result of the funding of the prior year's pension investment return shortfall, partially offset by a PEG, a program to eliminate the gap, and

2	a financial plan action that reduces the City's
3	budget gap by either reducing an agency's expenses or
4	increasing city revenues. The November Plan PEG
5	totals \$2.5 billion across the first two years of the
6	plan and \$5.5 billion across the entire plan period,
7	though several agencies fell short of meeting
8	directed PEG targets. In the November Plan, the FY23
9	budget increases to \$104 billion while the Fiscal 24
10	gap is reduced by \$1.3 billion to \$2.9 billion total.
11	Our goal today is to examine the details of the plan,
12	both in terms of what is included, but also what's
13	been left out. The November Plan includes receipt of
14	a billion in federal assistance for costs related to
15	asylum-seekers, funds that have not yet been secured.
16	Yet, Council finance has identified approximately one
17	billion dollars in additional tax revenue that the
18	City has collected so far for this Fiscal Year, but
19	these funds are not factored into the November Plan.
20	Since the adoption of FY23 budget on June 14 th , New
21	York City has welcome thousands of people seeing
22	asylum. The most recent chapter in our city's proud
23	and long history as a place where people from around
24	the world can come to find a better life. The City
25	has aided the asylum-seekers, expending City funds on

2	an emergency basis. Although our compassion as a
3	city is limitless, our resources are not. While the
4	Council echoes the Administration's call for the
5	Federal Government to fully support New York's effort
6	in confronting the national immigration issue, we
7	still insist on clarity and concrete information from
8	the Administration on these costs and the progress
9	made on securing non-city funding and assistance.
10	Related to this, the Council as a body will hold an
11	oversight hearing on the City's response and delivery
12	of services to migrant families on December 9 th
13	December 19 th and 20 th . During this hearing we will
14	also examine other economic challenges and risks not
15	addressed in the plan. As an example, settlements of
16	expired labor contracts are pending, but emerging
17	settlement patterns in the state exceeded what the
18	City's labor reserve is currently funded to provide.
19	Under the plan it is unclear whether the reserve will
20	suffice to meet new contracts or more funding will be
21	needed. The November Plan is also silent on retiree
22	healthcare costs in the out-years, particularly
23	whether the plan assumes savings from the
24	implementation of moving the retirees to Medicare
25	Advantage. The Committee will also consider the

2	details and choices made with the November Plan PEG
3	and subsequent proposed fiscal action. The City's
4	fiscal health is linked to New Yorker's health and
5	safety promoted through investments in essential
6	health, social services and education programs such
7	as Universal 3K that make the City a place where
8	people want to live, work, and grow. While difficult
9	economic circumstances may necessitate cuts, it is
10	critical that those decisions are made in such a way
11	as to not obstruct our economic recovery and
12	subsequent growth. Through that lens, the Council
13	notes some agencies were excused from meeting their
14	obligations under the recent PEG, and we will examine
15	more closely the rationale for that approach. The
16	Council has also taken note of OMB's recent directive
17	to city agencies to eliminate half of their current
18	vacant positions ahead of their Preliminary Budget.
19	Agency vacancy rates have ballooned from 2.1 percent
20	in FY21 to our current level at nearly 7.5 percent.
21	Yet, these vacancies are not evenly distributed
22	across the city agencies. Indeed some of the more
23	acute shortages are in the Department of Buildings at
24	24 percent, the Department of Health and Mental
25	Hygiene at 19 percent, the Department of Social

2	Services 17 percent, and HPD, the Department of
3	Housing Preservation and Development at 15 percent.
4	Exactly the places we look as we grapple with the
5	ongoing affordable housing and mental health crisis.
6	The Mayor's own Management Report from this past
7	September even identified HPD's vacancy rate as a
8	factor in the 45 percent decrease in the creation and
9	preservation of affordable housing from the last
10	Fiscal Year. Today, we'll have the opportunity to
11	discuss the Mayor's Financial Plan and receive
12	feedback from members of the public. Before we
13	begin, I want to thank the Council CFO and deputy
14	Chief of Staff, Tanisha Edwards [sp?], and the entire
15	tireless Finance Committee Staff who all worked very
16	hard in putting this hearing together, Managing
17	Director Jonathan Rosenberg [sp?], Finance Counsel
18	Kathleen Un [sp?], Deputy Director and Chief
19	Economist Ray Majesky [sp?], Deputy Director Chima
20	Obichere [sp?], Aisha Wright [sp?], Paul Simone
21	[sp?], Assistant Directors Emra Edev [sp?] and
22	Crillean Francisco [sp?], Unit Heads Alijah Ali
23	[sp?], Jack Story [sp?], and Massisse Sarksikean
24	[sp?], and all the economist and analysts, and of
25	course, my Committee Counsel Michael Toome [sp?], and

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 10
2	my Senior Advisor Johnathan Yenin [sp?]. So, with
3	that, I'm going to turn it over to Committee Counsel
4	so we can swear in the witnesses and get moving. Oh,
5	we've also been joined by Council Members Hudson, Wor
6	and Moya on Zoom, and Brewer and Kagan in person.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good afternoon. Can
8	you raise your right hands please? Do you affirm to
9	tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the
10	truth before this Committee and to respond honestly
11	to Council Member questions? Deputy Director Kenneth
12	Godiner?
13	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I do.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Senior Deputy
15	Director Latonya McKinney [sp?]?
16	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: I do.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, please
18	proceed.
19	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Good
20	afternoon Chairman Brannan, members of the Finance
21	Committee at City Council. My name's Kenneth
22	Godiner. I'm the First Deputy Director of New York

Godiner. I'm the First Deputy Director of New York City Office of Management and Budget. I'm joined at the table today by OMB Senior Deputy Director Latonia McKinney. As I think you're aware, Budget Director

23

24

25

2	Jiha was not able to attend today's hearing. The
3	hearing was scheduled approximately a week ago, and
4	by then, unfortunately, he had already had a prior
5	appointment. I'll be testifying today about the
6	November 2022 Financial Plan update. Though Fiscal
7	23 remains balanced at \$104 billion, we face serious
8	challenges in crafting this plan. First, we had to
9	add billions of dollars in new mandated spending.
10	This includes \$5.8 billion of additional required
11	pension contributions across Fiscal Years 2024
12	through Fiscal 2026 due to market losses in our
13	pension investments in Fiscal Year 2021. Also,
14	Fiscal Year 2023 reflects a billion dollars in
15	federal funds related to the unexpected cost of
16	meeting our legal obligations to provide shelter and
17	support for the nearly 20,000 asylum-seekers who have
18	arrived from the nation's southern border. We're
19	actively working with our Federal Government partners
20	to secure reimbursement for all of this spending.
21	These initial needs made it difficult to keep the
22	FY2023 budget balanced and they've widened the out-
23	year gaps. Second, our fiscal stability is
24	threatened by needs we must find in the near future.
25	Rocause nearly all of the City's contracts with its

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

workforce have expired, when we reach settlements with the labor unions will may incur new costs which are not yet reflected in the financial plan. City must fund the State's class size reduction mandate and address budget cliffs [sic] as federal stimulus sunsets. Third, making matters worse, as costs have increased, economic headwinds threaten our tax revenues. Wall Street performance has declined by more than 50 percent year over year. Commercial vacancy rates remain high, and the housing market is sluggish as mortgage rates have risen. At the same time, the national economy is slowing. Healthcare costs are rising, energy prices remain high, and inflation has not eased. In light of this reality, the Mayor took two critical steps. He implemented a program to eliminate a gap, or PEG, and kept new agency spending at a modest level. The PEG was a success, achieving more than two and half billion in gap closing savings across Fiscal Years 23 and 24, and three billion over Fiscal Years 25 and 26, all without reducing services or laying off a single employee. As a result of these efforts, the current Fiscal Year remains balanced, and we've lowered next year's gap by more than one billion dollars to a more

2	manageable \$2.9 billion. However, the out-years have
3	grown to \$4.6 billion in FY25 and \$5.9 billion in
4	FY26, this despite one and a half billion in savings
5	achieved through the PEG at each of those two years.
6	We are aware that the Council has expressed concerns
7	with several of the PEGS, including the adjustment to
8	the 3K budget. The Mayor is committed to supporting
9	working families and building a stronger Early
10	Childhood education system. Through its advocacy and
11	willingness to shoulder much of the cost, the state
12	increased the Earned Income Tax Credit which puts
13	more money in the pockets of low to moderate income
14	New Yorkers. He has created tax incentives to
15	promote the development of childcare centers and
16	encouraged subsidized care at workplaces. The
17	longstanding childcare voucher wait list has been
18	cleared, which paved the way for the families of
19	36,000 children to apply for affordable care, and
20	last month the Mayor reached an agreement to provide
21	additional financial support to community-based
22	childcare providers. Consistent with this
23	commitment, we carefully adjusted the 3K budget to
24	maintain its current level of service and leave room
25	for growth. This Fiscal Year's 3K budget is \$712

2	million. Of that, \$469 is federal stimulus, a
3	funding source that ends in Fiscal Year 2025. This
4	investment supports 54,500 seats with 36,500 children
5	enrolled. This leaves an additional 18,000 seats
6	available. Every school district across the City has
7	capacity to enroll more children. We are maintaining
8	the program at the current funding levels and seat
9	levels instead of growing capacity when it has
10	already outstripped demand. I would like to turn now
11	to the reserves, which we have maintained at the
12	record-high level of \$8.3 billion. As always, we
13	appreciate your partnership in building these
14	reserves. Before I conclude, I'd like to discuss new
15	spending occurring in Fiscal Year investments. New
16	agency spending of \$211 million dollars in Fiscal 23
17	and \$138 million in FY24 is more than offset by the
18	PEG. As a result, we were able to make a prepayment
19	of \$705 million dollars to reduce through the school
20	year 2024 gap. In Fiscal Year 2023 we made
21	investments to support the Mayor's commitments to
22	help struggling New Yorkers and make the City
23	cleaner, greener and safer. We added \$19 million
24	dollars to the Medallion Relief Program to support
25	struggling taxi drivers The Administration launched

the \$14.5 million dollar multi-agency Get Stuff Clean
initiative that upgrades cleanliness protocols in all
five boroughs. To make CityFHEPS housing vouchers
more accessible and easier to use, we launched the
Shelter to Housing Action Plan with a 50 million
dollar investment. To combat climate change and
improve neighborhood air quality, we added \$3 million
dollars to modify school boilers to burn cleaner fuel
as part of the Mayor's Leading the Charge initiative.
And to support a greener future, the Administration
invested \$2.6 million to fund a residential curbside
organics collection pilot program in Queens. To
conclude, the City traditionally uses the November
Plan to make technical adjustments to the Financial
Plan. The Council then votes on the November Plan
modification which reflects the changes made in
Fiscal Year 2023. We will submit the modification as
soon as we receive the Council's final list of
community organizations that require changes in
designated discretionary funding. We look forward to
your continued partnership in the weeks and months
ahead, and now I will take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. We've

also been joined by Council Members Sanchez on Zoom,

2.2

2.3

guys thought about?

Powers and Ayala. I have no doubt that— Latonia and Kenneth, it's good to see you guys again. As a former staffer, I know that often the staff is the one that does the hard work behind the scenes, but I'm concerned about the message that it sends to not have the Commissioner here. Is that something you

I said, this is purely a scheduling difficulty. You know, we don't ordinarily hold a hearing at this time, so it wasn't anticipated well in advance. It came on the calendar approximately a week ago, and by then unfortunately Director Jiha's time was already spoken for, but I-- you know me and Senior Deputy Director McKinney are here to answer your questions, and we hope that we'll be able to do so to your satisfaction.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah, I have no doubt. I just think unless it's a family emergency, the message that it sends not having him here, and that whatever he's doing right now is important than being here is not in line in saying we're partners in this.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: It's certainly not our intent or his intent that that message be sent out. I've made that clear-- without asking him, I know that that's the case.

to take your word for it. Let's get right into it.

So Tax Revenue collections have kept their momentum from last Fiscal Year with personal income, sales, and business taxes leading the way. As of October, the year-to-date tax collections were \$2.8 billion or 11.5 percent higher compared to the same period last year, and when the November Plan was released collections were about \$1.6 billion more than your Adopted Plan, but in the November Plan it did not recognize any of these extra revenues that we've seen so far. So can you explain why you've kept the tax revenue forecast unchanged between Adopted and November Plan?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: We thank
you for that question. While we too saw the
collections being above our scheduled plan, we do not
necessarily believe that that indicates first quarter
collections are going to reflect higher than the
anticipated collections for the rest of the Fiscal

2.2

2.3

Year. As you know, the City Council's Finance
Division but also the City Comptroller, the State
Comptroller have all shown sig you know, join us in
seeing headwinds towards the City's revenues as we go
forward. You know, it's difficult to know whether
the collections in the first quarter represent a
timing issue versus a change that will reflect the
current the full Fiscal 23 revenue collections, and
we of course, will be coming up with a new revenue
estimate in the Preliminary Budget.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Sorry, I guess the concern is, is OMB not recognizing the extra revenue because you want to set money aside to cover other substantial costs coming soon such as collective bargaining?

that we didn't change our forecast is because we thought that it was too early based on the data we saw given the level of sort of unprecedented uncertainty in the economy about collections, about timings of payments. We know, you know, you've seen it, everyone has, that Wall Street profits are down, likely is the bonuses will be down. We, you know, we will change and update our revenue forecast in the

Preliminary Budget which will have year-end data plus, you know, a week or so, and we'll be able to give you a more accurate forecast at that point. You know, this is our tradition of updating our forecast as we release the preliminary.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What do you think is driving the stronger collection?

that the higher collections are driven by tourism return. Good news really is which is that, you know, year over the year we saw substantial increases in tourism in 23 versus 22, and our forecast actually has a return to pre-pandemic levels by FY24. We're also seeing some upticks in business and sales tax that we think may be driven partially by inflation.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to jump into some questions from the Speaker with regard to the plan that the mayor announced at the end of last month to direct police and emergency medical personnel to hospitalize people who were deemed too mentally-ill to care for themselves. Does this new policy include any additional funding within these-the agencies involved to meet this mandate? If so, how much is it estimated to cost?

2.2

2.3

2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, this
3	announcement was made after the November Plan. So,
4	not going to be in the mod that we're sending you.
5	We, you know, we are going to work with our state
6	partners and try to obtain funding and making sure
7	that all the agencies that are working with us will
8	have the resources they need to be able to provide
9	services to these severely mentally-ill people.
10	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I mean, has

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I mean, has there been discussion around-- I mean, I guess we'll expect to see funding added in the Prelim?

really discuss the next budget. As we move forward we'll-- we're going to evaluate what's needed. We're looking to see, you know, additional state funding to provide these services. We'll come back in January, and we'll-- you know, to the-- sorry. We'll come back in January and to the extent that additional resources are needed they'll be added.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: This is again, from Speaker Adams. In the November Plan-- this is about B-HEARD, the B-HEARD Program. So, in the November Plan, the B-HEARD's Program budget was reduced by \$8.5 million with a reduction of 54 positions in

2.2

2.3

2 FY23. If the B-HEARD Program was not performing to 3 standards, why was it decided to cut funding rather

4 than trying to determine the root of the issue and

5 | figuring out a way to fix it?

reduction you see in B-HEARD is just recognizing that it's not the roll-out. It's not a change in the ultimate direction of this program. It simply reflects the lower volume of calls in the areas where we have rolled this out. So, it's not a reflection of not investing in this program. We're not leaving it or feeling there's problems, just that the number of calls for service in the areas where we've rolled out have been lower than we anticipated.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And what do we think the reason is behind that? Do people not know that it's available?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well, my understanding, and I don't want to go outside my expertise very far, is that the calls for service go through 911. So, it's a-- 911 triages the calls that go. It's not like you have to know that B-HEARD exists.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Well, then I guess the concern is why don't the 911 operators know to route it to B-HEARD?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't know if that's the case, but certainly those operational issues are outside my knowledge.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I mean, I just think there's-- there's a bit of tension here with the Mayor's Plan regardless of what-- whether-- however you feel about it. The Mayor's Plan there that's sort of an unfunded mandated, and then you-- then we're cutting positions to B-HEARD. It's hard to make sense of that. Would you agree?

obviously the Mayor is deeply committed to helping people with serious mental illness. I think these initiatives are separate. The B-HEARD initiative, as you know, is so that we-- when calls come into 911 persons experiencing mental illness and the call's considered, you know, non-violent. They send out a team of social workers along with some EMTs to help the person on the scene or get them additional care. I think that's totally separate from this initiative

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

2	where	people	are	thought	to	be	a	danger	to	themselves
3	or oth	hers.								

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And I think you have a lot of supporters of B-HEARD, myself included, on the Council, so if it's not working or it's not, you know, -- or if the 911 calls aren't being routed to B-HEARD, I think that's something we should try to work on together.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I mean, yeah, we appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. With regard to asylum-seekers, again, this is from Speaker Adams, what is the actual spending to-date on the HERRCs?

Can you provide a breakdown by location and expense type?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: So, Mr. Chair, we are still receiving and processing invoices. There are 58 additional shelter sites and four HERRCs. There was another HERRC added that opened online as of yesterday, and so we don't have the breakdown by facility yet, but there is a hearing on December 19th in which all the agencies will come before the Council and you will get more detail at that hearing at that time.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I mean--

understood. Would you be able to tell me is there a plan right now to create any new HERRCs?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: keeping all options open. We will roll out more information as it becomes available. We are looking at the numbers of asylum-seekers that continue to come in. Obviously, the numbers have decreased, but when there's a plan, we will give you more detail on that.

Okay.

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN:

want to get into labor contracts and collective bargaining. So while there's yet to be any settlements in collective bargaining, it's expected that the pattern will likely be set soon. In the November Plan the labor reserve remain unchanged with funding for roughly of 1.25 percent wage increase. So recent labor settlements from the state level have included wage increases of two to three percent in addition to signing bonuses. So, could you tell us how many of the unions -- I know the percentage we often hear is a little under 100 percent of the union contracts are currently out of-- are expired. What is the current status of those labor negotiations,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

										see	additions
3	to	the	labo	or res	serve	in	the	Prelim	?		

2.2

2.3

talk about our labor negotiations here. We are in discussions. We've been having collective bargaining sessions with several of our major unions. Those talks are progressing. You know, what the outcome of our settlement will be will be determined at the table. Clearly we will—whatever the City agrees to will have to be funded, and to the extent that's above what's in the current wage assumption of 1.25, we will have to add funds at that time.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And is it 98 percent of the labor contracts that are currently expired?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: That sounds about right, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And have you costed [sic] out the possibility of providing signing bonuses?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Again, you know, we're in the process of negotiating and I'm not going to comment on, you know, what's going on exactly in those discussions. Clearly, you know, the

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 26
2	City isn't going to agree to anything in a labor
3	contract that we haven't priced.
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, what does OMB
5	estimate would be the cost of each additional
6	percentage increase and wage increases above the one
7	and a quarter?
8	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Roughly
9	speaking the cost of each one percent, fully loaded
10	including their pension costs which are, you know,
11	assessed on a lag [sic] basis is about let's say
12	between 425 and 450 million dollars per one percent.
13	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So four say it
14	again, 400 and
15	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: 425 to
16	450.
17	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Per one percent.
18	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Per one
19	percent.
20	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. The retiree
21	health costs, so Health Insurance Stabilization Fund
22	was created to provide City employees with premium
23	free health insurance coverage. Over many years, th

funds balance ballooned as a result of the PIP [sic]

rate, which the City is mandated to pay for each of

25

24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

its employees being greater than the rate for GHI

3 coverage. Over the last few years, as the cost of

4 GHI exceed that of PIP, the Health Insurance

5 Stabilization Fund's balance dwindled, and according

6 to OMB, the fund's balance is essentially zero at

7 this time as the fund currently has an accrued

liability to the City. What is the current balance

9 of the Stabilization Fund?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: so, the short-term cash balance of the fund is approximately \$127 million.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And what is the current amount of the accrued liability to-- that the Stabilization Fund owes to the City?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, the funds at this moment owes the City approximately \$1.9 billion dollars.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Can you provide us with the value of the expenses accrued against the fund in the current Fiscal Year by month?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Generally speaking, the expenses aren't done on a month-by-month basis. There are certain—there are certain transactions which do take place on month-by-month.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

_	ш	
′)	ш	
	ш	
_	ш	

Those includes hospital care management, Weight 3 Watchers, TeleDoc, the Pika [sic] Drug Program for 4 non-CBP members, and contributions to the new welfare

funds, and there are a few other similar types of 5

agreements, but mostly these transactions are not 6

7 month-by-month, and they vary month to month.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Could you provide us with a history of the fund's balance, including all the deposits and withdrawals?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: We can give you the historic balance. I don't have the numbers to provide you right now, but we'll be happy to send that to you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, do you have someone who's taking note of all the stuff you have to follow up with us? Okay.

> FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I don't envy you guys. Make sure you get it to our Committee Counsel Mike Toome [sp?]. I want to also acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Brooks-Powers, and Council Member Ayala. [inaudible] you're here, you're also still here. Okay, Medicare Advantage, at the end of 2021 the City reached an agreement with

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 29
2	the Municipal Labor Committee to make changes to
3	health insurance for Medicare-eligible city retirees.
4	Implementation of this agreement is currently blocked
5	by court order. Savings from this agreement has beer
6	calculated by the City and the MLC is \$600 million a
7	year. Can you explain how the savings fit into the
8	Financial Plan?
9	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Current
10	Financial Plan assumes that the City that the
11	transition to Medicare Advantage will take place.
12	The savings are assumed as part of the as part of
13	the Financial Plan. Thea assumption is that \$600
14	million dollars would flow into the stabilization
15	fund, which
16	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: [interposing] How
17	much?
18	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: \$600
19	million dollars per year would flow into the fund,
20	\$50 million dollars a month.
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Was savings assumed
22	for FY23?
23	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Savings

is assumed for-- yes, for FY23, yes.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2		(CHAIRPERSON	BRANNAN:	And	what	is	the
3	savings	for	FY24?					

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: The savings built into the plan is \$600 million dollars.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: If the MLC, the City and the MLC Savings Plan is not adopted, how and when will this impact on the City's budget?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: To the extent that the-- that we don't reach the-- anything that provides the savings, eventually the City will have to either incur the cost or find another way through our collective bargaining process to cover those expenses.

the PEGs. The original letter sent by OMB regarding the PEGs for the November Plan required all agencies without any exceptions to provide OMB with PEGs equaling three percent of the agency's FY23 city-funded expense, and 4.75 percent of their city-funded expense in the out-years of the plan period. The PEGs included as part of the November Plan includes an additional \$916 million in resources in FY23 and \$1.6 billion in FY24. Yet, a number of agencies were given exemptions from achieving the PEG targets

2.2

2.3

including DSNY, NYPD, and DHS. So, in general, what was the rationale for exempting agencies from their PEG targets?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, the PEG exercise was, you know, remarkably successful. We did save \$2.5 billion dollars. The Administration placed an emphasis and places an emphasis on public safety and cleanliness. We found very significant savings in NYPD, DSNY, FDNY, but in some— and we're going to continue to work with those agencies to identify further savings that don't compromise those priorities as we move forward. Overall, you know, we achieve very significant savings in those areas. They're particularly challenging in NYPD and DSNY as such a huge percentage of their costs are for PS costs for direct service providers.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, NYPD was not required to meet the target because so much of their budget is on personnel services?

it more challenging to do so. The reason that they weren't made to do the full target was that we had not been able to identify cuts that they could take that would not impact public safety. You know, as I

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

said, we are going to continue to pursue those with
them as we roll from here to January and throughout
the year.

I want to hand it over to my colleagues. The Vacancy Reduction Plan: November 21st, your office notified city agencies regarding the implementation of a citywide vacancy reduction plan. The plan required agencies to reduce their vacant city-funded fulltime civilian positions along with associated funding by half, by 50 percent. A number of agencies and positions were exempted from the program including uniformed pedagogical public safety-related revenuegenerating and legally mandated positions. What is the current number of city-funded vacancies citywide?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: The current citywide vacancy rate is about eight percent as of October, as of the end of October.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Eight percent.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Eight percent, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And how many vacancies does OMB expect will be reduced under the plan?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: We're
3 still working with the agencies on this. As you

5 revenue-generating and other mandated positions who

know, as you said there were exemptions for certain

6 were working with the agencies to identify exactly

7 which of the positions are exempted and which are

8 not.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What's the estimated value of the annual savings from the Reduction Plan?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: We're still working with the agencies on this. You know, the Vacancy Reduction Plan is part of the-- part of the January Preliminary Budget, and we'll have all those figures for you at that time.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: The Council estimates that the City's current vacancy rate is about four times greater than it was just two years ago. What's the rationale for these high vacancy rates?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I mean, first, I would like to thank you for the question. Secondly, I'd just like to point out that with most of these questions about the hiring and vacancies,

you know, we all have to bear in mind that there is
an incredibly tight labor market both nationally and
locally, that employers of all types are having
difficulties filling jobs. To expedite hiring needs,
we are lifting the two-for-one hiring restrictions,
and we're committed to reviewing new hire requests
quickly and efficiently. You know, at OMB we've
taken steps to improve communication with agencies
about what we need for them in order to grant
approval so that they're better able to do that, and
expedite the process. We're trying to have more
formulaic reviews. Additionally, we've used we use
to require that requests for hire were batched. So,
they I think it was once or twice a month, and now
we go through them individually as they come in.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I want to hand it over to my colleagues for some questions starting with Council Members Ayala and then Carr.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I mean, I just have a question related to that I want to kind of just piggy back off of what Council Member Brannan was asking. My concern is that if we're implementing these PEGs based on vacancies, that these jobs will not come back, and that is completely unacceptable.

It also concerns me that there are agencies right how
that are really suffering, right, they're struggling.
HRA is struggling because they don't have the number
of personnel needed to process applications that are
getting food and rental assistance to families in
need, and so that concerns me, and I don't know how
much you know, so as opposed to cutting, and I get
it like, we're facing a fiscal, you know, cliff
here and we have to be fiscally responsible. How do
we balance that with the basic needs of New Yorkers?
How do we say, you know, to families that are
waiting, well, you know, we have 20 jobs here that we
haven't been able to fill. Well, why haven't we been
able to fill those positions? People are in need of
work, right? Is it because of the working
conditions? Is it because those jobs don't pair up
with the cost of living, right? We're not making
adjustments, and so people are no longer able to
afford to live in New York City based on the pay
rates. But you know, primarily my concern is how do
we ensure those jobs don't disappear in perpetuity?
FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, right
now, the Vacancy Reduction exercise is designed only

to eliminate vacant positions and still leaving the

agencies, right even if you're if you take 50
percent reduction, that means half the vacancies are
still there. The agencies, I think, have actually
found that's why if you take half of something it's
because it was a bigger group there that they're
having difficulty recruiting. I think that, as I said
just when I was answering the earlier question, that
the national private and public sector labor market
is just very, very tight, and that every employer of
every stripe is having, you know, significant
difficulty filling vacancies. We did lift the two-
for-one. We're improving the process at OMB,
speeding that up. We've, you know, gone away from
the batching of it, just to speed up the timeline
because agencies were saying that that was a problem
for them. So we're doing everything we can to
support them filling the vacancies they have, and you
know, we're going to continue to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: What is the commitment that the jobs will come back?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: The-- you know, we're looking to have these jobs filled. If agencies fill all their vacancies and come to us and say, you know, we have new-- we need to add people

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

for-- to operate, but we would certainly consider that at that time.

Okay. With all COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: due respect that is the same explanation that we received during the preliminary, and it didn't prove to be fruitful. Agencies continue to be seriously understaffed. And one of the ways-- and I never thought that I would be quoting this, but this summer we had an issue with lifequards, for instance, right? We couldn't staff the City Park's pools because we didn't have enough life quards, and then we realized that if we significant -- if we increase, you know, slightly increase the pay rate and made some changes to the requirement, that we were able to attract a workforce and I haven't really seen a lot-- you know, we've held-- you know, a credit to DCAS, they've been excellent partners in hosting job fairs throughout the City and I know that, you know, each agency is trying. Again, my concern is if I have 40 vacancies in an agency, which you know, I'm just throwing that number out there, and I'm not making any attempt to try to figure out why it is that people are just not-- you know, I don't believe that we don't have workers. We have a workforce out there. I just think

that people are no longer willing to accept something
that, you know, where they will still qualify for
public benefits, where they're still struggling
financially, and I don't know that the city's really
looking at it from that angle. And again, my concern
is that those those jobs will disappear and they
will not come back. That is problematic.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Thank you, Council Member. I appreciate your concern, and we look forward to working on these issues together.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member

Carr?

2.2

2.3

Good afternoon. Good to see you both. I want to get more into detail about the federal funding that you referenced in your testimony for asylum-seekers and the related services and shelter costs. You referenced one billion in the plan to cover those costs. Can you give us more detail about the breakdown of that funding? Is it repurposing of existing funds that were already coming to the City? Are there new funds? Are there— is any of it

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

contingent on subsequent approvals from Washington?
Can you just give us some more color to that?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: The one million dollars that we submitted to FEMA on November 28th, we have in the November Plan as revenue, as federal revenue. We are working diligently with our federal partners to secure as much funding as we can before the end of session this year. Once we know what we receive then, we will also talk to our state partners to see what funding we can get and reflect costs in subsequent plans as we know more. one billion dollars breaks down into several costs. \$600 million for DSS, \$310 million for H+H, \$50 million for NYSUM [sic], \$30 million for OTI, and \$10 million for DCAS. So this is our projection through the end of the Fiscal Year. As we move further in the process, as we see how many more asylum-seekers continue to come, we'll do adjustments, but the one billion dollar push is our projection, and at this time, we are still processing invoice and expenditure, and we will be able to give you an update at the hearing on December 19th and in the future plans.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: so, the whole one billion at this point is more of a hope. It's a pursuit of monies rather than monies that we know for certain that are coming to the City, is that accurate?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Right, that's our projection based on the cost, the shelter cost, the food cost and additional services, but we'll have a better idea of where we are come the January plan and subsequent plans.

Budget Office responded to an inquiry for the Borough President and the Borough Council delegation saying that in total for the Fiscal Year they expected \$600 million to be the total cost to the city for services and shelter provider to asylum-seekers that have entered the City not just the shelter cost. So do you think that that's an under-estimate of what it will ultimately be, and if it turns out that that's accurate and you get the billion, are you going to be constrained to spend that money this Fiscal Year or will you be able to roll it into FY24 for shelter costs and other services that I assume will continue past June 30th.

2.2

2.3

2	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Well,
3	we'll continue the conversation with the fiscal
4	monitors. Funding comes in on a reimbursement basis,
5	so we have to we've already been incurring costs
6	since April of last year when we first had the first
7	asylum-seekers arrive, and so we are processing those
8	costs, and we will get them back as we make
9	application and continue to work with federal
10	partners. So we'll make adjustments then, but we're

the flow of individuals into the City, and that's really the X factor here in terms of cost to the City. What's been the change in that flow over the last several months, and is that basis for your expectations moving forward? Like, are—— do you expect that the flow will continue even or are you anticipating that it's going to slow down? Has it been slowing down? If you could give us some more detail on that based on the numbers?

happy to talk with all fiscal monitors on cost moving

forward and what they see as well.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Council Member, I don't know exactly what the flow will be.

Obviously, when we have the hearing, the agencies and

City Hall will be able to give you more details as to
what they project. Right now, we have about 20,000
families and individuals that are in the shelter
system or in the HERRCs, and so that's what we're
basing our numbers on at the moment. So we don't
know whether they will be a wave in the future
different parts of the year, but we're projecting the
one billion dollars based on what we see coming now,
and the numbers have dwindled, but folks are still
coming, so we'll be able to adjust as we move forward
in the next plan.
COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you. Thank
you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: For the hearing on the $19^{\rm th}$ and $20^{\rm th}$, you'll have all that granular information ready?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: We'll give you the point-- point-in-time information that we have and we're gathering now and reviewing. But right now, we just have the one billion dollar request that we're making to our federal partners.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. We've also been joined by Council Members Restler and Louis.

2.2

2.3

Now, we're going to go to questions from BrooksPowers followed by Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

Couple questions. The Mayor asked that all agencies make cuts of three percent for Fiscal Year 2023 in the November Plan. However, some agencies fell significantly short of the three percent target, but I'm interested in understanding why the Admin has not included mental health services alongside NYPD, FDNY, and DSNY in light of the mental health crisis that we're seeing right now.

obviously, the Mayor recognizes and places great priority on addressing people who are experiencing severe mental illness. We work with each of the agencies to make sure that the cuts that they did take would not impact services. We found that to be especially challenging in the case of DSNY and NYPD, because such a very large portion of their budgets are dedicated to personnel services for direct service providers. When we worked with DOHMH we were able to find savings there that would not impact public safety, nor would impact the mental health crisis.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL	MEMBER	BROOKS-POWERS:	The

November Plan shows that over the next four Fiscal Years we expect to see an average decrease of 15 percent in federal aid as much of money provided to the City during COVID runs out. How does this plan specifically address this massive decrease in the amount of available federal aid in the coming years?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Our plan is to address the decreases in federal aid as stimulus runs out by prioritizing programs that are successful, but looking for other forms of funding to make sure that we're prioritizing the -- you know, both-- not just the programs that were currently federally funded. They may not be the programs where there's extra money, but if there's savings in the agencies, there are operations to make them more efficient to preserve those services that are currently funded by federal dollars, we will also be doing that. And you know, it's part of our overall attempts to make the City government be more efficient. We will to try to find ways in which to pay for those continual services.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Also, under the PEGs identified for the Department of

2.2

2.3

Transportation, the Mayor's plan excuse me the
Mayor's plan includes increases in revenue from speed
cameras in the out-years. Could you talk about what
led to the projected increase in revenue?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't have numbers on how we're-- you know, the exact the projections, but the expectation is with greater enforcement and quicker processing, that we're going to be able to grow those revenues.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: And then,

I remember reading in one of the recent articles that
the Mayor was looking into-- I think, he may have
already paused the City's broadband plan, but then in
the proposal-- in the November Plan it speaks about
an increase to OTI. Can you explain if there's going
to be a pause, or if there has been a pause, why we
see the need for the increase?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So,
you're saying the increased funding for OTI? You
know, I think there are some areas where OTI is
seeing increased costs on either systems maintenance
or continuation of some of their-- of some of the
builds. There's also some funding in for providing
broadband access in NYCHA. We're going ahead with

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2	that program.	So I think	those were	the primary
3	drivers, but I	don't have	the new ne	eds right in
1	front of me.			

expanding on that a little bit. Recognizing the need to have greater access to broadband, especially in communities right now that we see under-resourced, would they be prioritized in this increase of funding in terms of how OTI rolls out these programs?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well, I know that we're in the midst of rolling out the program in NYCHA units, which are obviously situated throughout many of the neighborhoods in the City, and you know, the goal is to have ultimately a city—a NYCHA—wide roll out on broadband access.

my last question is, it appears the Department of Transportation is losing 638.9 million dollars for construction, reconstruction of highways, and resurfacing of street. I just want to understand that a bit better, especially with the City having goals as it pertains to resurfacing and what have you.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well,
3	we've made they participated in the PEG. The DOT
4	cuts won't impact the completion of meeting our goal
5	of making the improvements at a thousand
6	intersections. Obviously, we're I shouldn't say
7	obviously. We are also, you know, fully committed to
8	meeting our targets on the pedestrian ramp program
9	which is funded both expense and capital. Overall,
10	that you know, we have not reduced the lane mile
11	targets we expect to make.

that's not going to impact the much-needed resurfacing in the streets that been happening in the outer boroughs that have been really, like, delayed, quite honestly, in happening. So hopefully those proposed cuts through the PEGs are not going to impact those types of communities.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Right.

Yeah, the street resurfacing program is almost exclusively done through capital, and there's no plan on slowing down that program.

COUNCIL MEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 48
2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I'm joined by
3	Council Member Farías. Now we're going to Council
4	Member Powers then Council Member Brewer.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Do Gale and then
6	I'll come back.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Brewer.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. I was mortified to find out that after working hard with my colleagues to come up with money for DOI, an agency that is revenue-producing, brought in seven million last year, two million already this year, that the 18 positions were not sanctioned by OMB because they were not baselined. So the money went right back to So, I have two questions. Because I understand, you don't want to hire somebody and fire them. I got it, but for God's sake when you have a situation where these folks are bringing in money, then I just think OMB should work out something so that they continue. So that money -- I guess I have two questions. Shouldn't that have been baselined? Shouldn't that be baselined? Second, how much other money went back to OMB because it's not baselined? That was really, really frustrating. Eighteen

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 49
2	positions, bring in money, not baselined, so OMB said
3	forget it, you can't hire, you can't have the money.
4	Are there other situations like that, and what are
5	you going to do about DOI?
6	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, first
7	place, the idea that it goes back to OMB, I just like
8	to
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] It
10	goes back to the general fund, I know.
11	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Back,
12	right, that we spend on other programs because it's
13	unspent like other programs.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I understand
15	that.
16	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Okay. I
17	just wanted to make it clear. So, with regard to
18	revenue-producing positions, generally speaking, we
19	have we exempted them from the two-for-one freeze

rd to ing, we freeze in the past. We've exempted them, you know, in-- you know, from almost all our programs. We talked about how we exempting revenue-producers from our vacancy reduction program. We're fully committed to not reducing positions that in fact produce more revenue than it costs to fill. With regard to the specific

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2	18 positions	at I	DOI, I	don'	t have	e the	level	of
3	familiarity	with	that.	I'm	happy	to		

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I'd love to get back-- I'd like--

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: [interposing] We'll look into that and get back to you.

positions be baselined and otherwise it'd make-- it's revenue-producing. Everything you said, and of course they do good things and get rid of bad people. So I do think that you should look very carefully of this. I would also like to know are there other situations where we allocated money and it's gone back to the general fund in last year's budget.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well, try to get back to you with answers on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Number two, vacant positions. I'm really concerned about HPD. We just had a hearing on it, but in this particular case, HPD as you know got a PEG, 2.4 million in FY23 and my understanding is that 77-- including the 77 new positions that were added at that time. So there were supportive housing

positions in there, voucher appeals positions, and of
course, without this I don't know how you do lead
remediation, asset management, etcetera. So why did
OMB ask the agency not to hire these positions that
were added by the same administration, and you can
you commit to hiring the 77 new positions added in
exec? At the most recent hearing we learned we're
all trying to maintain current housing. So, in terms
of inspectors, if my memory is correct we have only
274 at HPD with a hundred vacancies. I remember it
was 700 inspections. That's what I remember. And
also the attorneys that help maintain housing. There
were 13 vacancies. HPD needs help along with all the
other agencies. So how are you going to deal with
the 77, because all of these ways, they provide. All
of these people I just mentioned provide housing
maintenance, which should be part of the Mayor's
plan.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, the

PEG at HPD only took down accrued PS savings from

positions that are unfiled either because the

position is new, it hasn't been filled yet, or due to

attrition. We did not reduce HPD's headcount during

the November Plan.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 52
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [inaudible] I
3	don't know what that means, except something from a
4	piece of paper.
5	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well, I'll
6	tell you
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] what
8	I'm trying to say to you
9	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER:
10	[interposing] I'll tell you
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I am livid about
12	this issue that we have nobody inspecting anything,
13	no HPD, no DOB, no Fire Department, no Health
14	Department, and we learned today there's nobody at
15	the Commission on Human Rights. Nobody is doing
16	inspections in this city. And I've been around a
17	long time. I don't mean to get upset, but this city
18	is not going to function if you don't have these
19	vacancies filled and people doing in the inspection.
20	We'll have no supportive housing, no opening of
21	restaurants, no opening of new business, nothing.
22	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I
23	appreciate that. The I was just speaking to the
24	PEG. With regard to the vacancy reduction, we

exempted all of the inspector titles from that. We

2.2

exempted them from two-for-one. We've been giving
them support in terms of trying to hire. I know DCAS
has been working with them and trying to get
inspectors on board, and I also know that quite
frankly, the shortage of inspectors, especially on
mostly on the building side, you know, has been a
long-standing problem as when the construction
industry is doing well. Most of the people that we
hire requires the licenses, the same licenses they
require in the construction business, and we tend to
lose those people to those jobs.

add, you have a salary issue, and you have-- we do not allow anybody to be virtual. So the Mayor has got to change that position, and OMB should push him to do so. I should not be the only one complaining about that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Powers?

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: I maybe regret going after Council Member Brewer now, but I-- but I will say everything she said is completely correct.

On a day where we are talking about housing in the chamber next door and the Mayor's giving a big speech

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	on housing. HPD, DOB, and all the agencies that are
3	so critical so to making sure that we're having a
4	functioning city, supportive housing, all those
5	things are essential to this. But I'm going to let
6	other folks also talk about that. I want to talk
7	about CUNY for a second and the PEGs to CUNY. The
8	November Plan reduces CUNY's budget by 3.4 million in
9	FY23 and 5.1 million in the out-years. Can you tell
10	us what efficiencies, "efficiencies" you're
11	implementing at CUNY? Can you confirm these
12	reductions will not reduce programming or resources
13	for students? Will they effect headcount, wages,
14	salaries of CUNY staff members?
15	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Thank

you for the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And nice to see you.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: to see you, too, Council Member. The programmatic savings in CUNY will not result to any changes in service. CUNY's enrollment has dropped substantially, and so that produces OTPS savings that will not impact service. So we're working with CUNY on the programmatic savings there, but the additional

1	
_	

O

\$2.2 million in programmatic savings will come from OTPS efficiencies and the 5.1 million dollars in 24 and the out-years are programmatic savings that are a result of the enrollment drop. So, there are no-
COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: [interposing] Can

you just-- what is the enrollment drop numbers?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: I don't have that in front of me.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Yes, I'll get that for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Just quick

follow-up questions. The plan also introduces PEGs to CUNY's budget for vacancy reductions, in particular headcount decreases by 64 in FY23 and 79 in the out-years. And you're-- as you talk about low enrollment and hybrid courses not needing more professors as stated reason. Are you certain-- is there a certainty that CUNY will not need those positions in the future? And what certainty do we have about that, and are there other reasons that you are looking to achieve headcount reductions based on low-- besides you talked about low enrollment and

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 attrition and remote classes. Are there other
3 reasons contributing to that?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: As Ken said earlier, we'll continue to engage with CUNY on its needs, but they were not exempted from the targets we have when we released the January plan.

We'll give you more detail as to what we see with CUNY but the conversations are ongoing.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Good, and I'll just -- I'll -- I don't want to take up too much time, but I will say this. I know that the kind of approach here is sort of to look across the board. Some agencies are not taking the same exact cuts as other agencies or asked to do different targets, and certainly I understand and recognize the fiscal challenges that could like head, pressures from Federal Government, Albany, things like that, and the changing financial forecast. I do think when we talk about some of our housing agencies we talk about CUNY, we talk about agencies that are really essential to the -- sort of the roots of New York City, it is hard to ask them to take the same or less of a cut than some other agencies here that are not being asked to do that. And that's because this is a

2.2

2.3

foundational part of our city and they are critical to ensuring the financial success of the City and the future as well and the stability of the City. so I'll reserve my statements and questions beyond that, but I think that this Council should certainly take a hard look at where those cuts are coming from and exactly whether they should be asked to do more than some other agencies and also certainly whether they are being asked to do a lot more with a lot less in the coming years. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Just quickly to follow-up on what Council Member Brewer was saying. I think we'd be interesting to-- it'd be important for us to understand I'd say over the past-- some more follow-up work over the past five years. The revenue collection positions and the tax collector positions versus how much revenue that generated versus those vacancy cuts. So the cuts that are happening, what's the impact going to be on that revenue collection, tax collection.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Just to reiterate, Council Member, that we have exempted revenue-producing positions from the Vacancy Reduction Plan.

thank you very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Yeah, I'm going to move to Council Members Restler and then Council Member Kagan.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER:

much, Chair Brannan for your leadership and for helping convene this hearing today, and it's good to see you Ken and Latonia. Thank you for joining us. I just firstly want to strongly endorse the very compelling statement that Speaker Adams put out earlier today on -- as it relates to the budget and the Mayor's insistence of slashing and burning city government and dramatically reducing the scale and scope of our city. When we reduce tens upon tens upon tens of thousands of government jobs, we cannot fulfill our basic functions. We cannot create the affordable housing that we need. We cannot connect people to the benefits that they deserve. We cannot change 111 housing policies, because we don't have the staff to do it. And I am deeply concerned about this Administration's commitment to austerity, and this Council has an alternative vision. And I want to just echo Majority Leader Powers and his sentiments on CUNY, but I'll just be a one-trick pony today and focus on the issue of 3K, which I am deeply concerned

2	about. To be very plain, over the next three years,
3	you all are planning to cut a billion dollars from
4	3K, a billion dollars are being cut from Universal
5	from what was intended to be Universal 3K, but by my
6	accounts, you all have determined that a third of the
7	three-year-olds in New York City have disappeared in
8	the last year since we were looking at this last. Is
9	that right? We've gone down from 55,000 61,000
LO	seats to then it was 55,000 seats, and now you're
L1	only planning to have a third less, about 35,000-
L2	36,000 seats in perpetuity. Is that true?
L3	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: No,
L 4	that's not correct.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay.
L 6	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Council
L7	Member, thank you for the question. So we are
L8	maintaining 55,000 seats. That's the current number
L 9	in 3K.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: How can you
21	maintain 55,000 seats if you cut a billion dollars in
22	funding over the next three years?
23	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: That's

what the funding supports right now which is 712

24

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 60
2	million dollars for 55,000 seats. So that's the
3	current level for
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] But
5	the funding was cut for those seats. You're only
6	funding the 36,000 seats.
7	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: No,
8	there are 36,000 children in the seats, but
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing]
10	Right.
11	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: we are
12	maintaining 55
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] Oh,
14	so you're funding 55,000. So the 300 million dollar
15	reduction for next year, and the billion dollars over
16	these three years that you're cutting is only for
17	6,000 seats a year from 61 to 55?
18	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Yes.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So, these are
20	\$100,000 yeah. I'm not very perfect I'm not great
21	at math. I'll look at the Council Finance team for
22	help. I'm sorry, Latonia, we don't have you here

24 That's a-- are they gold-plated seats? Help me out

anymore, but that puts it at about \$95,000 a seat.

23

1	

2 here. How do we get 3K seats that cost a gazillion dollars a year? 3

4

5

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Ιn Fiscal 2024, the projection was for 3K to expand to 61,000 seats.

6 7

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Right.

8

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY:

9

keeping 3K at 55,000 seats--

10

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] I

11

12

get it.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: in FY24.

13

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But you're

14

cutting 300 million dollars next year and claiming

15

that's a 6,000 seat reduction. That's \$95,000 a

16

seat. Something is not fitting in the math. I think

17

that what you're actually doing is funding it at the

18 19 36,000 seat level in perpetuity, and the notion of

20

Universal 3k is not just off the table now, it's off the table forever, because you've just cut a billion

21

2.2

dollars in 3K funding over the next three years. Unless I'm misunderstanding how expensive a 3K seat

23

is, I can't believe \$95,000 a seat for 3K. Is that

24

real?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

_	COMMITTIBLE ON THININGE
2	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Council
3	Member, what we're doing is continuing our commitment
4	to Early Childhood Education. We're looking at all
5	programs and services for newborns through five-year-
6	olds. 3K is a part of that. There was a projection
7	of increasing 3k seats in Fiscal 2024 to 61,000. We
8	looked at those numbers along with all other services
9	for Early Childhood Education and we are going to
10	maintain 55,000 seats
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] But
12	by this reduction

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: into the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: of a billion dollars over these years in Early Childhood Education, you're not saying, oh, well 3K's underenrolled we're going to shift it to zero to two. You're just cutting the money. It's disappearing. it's hard for me to believe that this Mayor and this Administration and this Chancellor are committed to Early Childhood Education, because you have cut a billion dollars in the November Plan in funding for Early Childhood Education over the next three years, and I'd like confirmation. You all believe that a 3K

2.2

2.3

costs \$95,000 a year? Because if I look at the revenue add that you made of I think it \$283 million dollars and the 580, I believe it was, that you were cutting for this year ahead, the net figure it was around 300 million dollars. Again, I don't claim to be the best at math, but I can do some simple stuff. \$95,000 a seat for 3K is that what the Administration is anticipating?

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: 3K was to-- was to increase the-- by \$284 million dollars in stimulus funds in 2024, and so we are using those funds and reviewing those funds with DOE. We're going to do several realignments with DOE, but we thought it appropriate to keep 3K seats at 55,000. Moving forward, there are 36,000-- over 36,000 children enrolled now, and--

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing]

Latonia, I have an enormous amount of respect for you and you are in-- you know this stuff inside and out, but the math that you all are articulating doesn't make any sense. And so to say that we're doing a 6,000 seat decline next year and it's \$300 million dollars taken out of the budget, and it's a billion dollars that you've taken out of 3K over the next

three years, but it's only a 6,000 seat decline per
year, something in that math is severely out of
whack, and that's why I think this is being wildly
misleading in slashing and burning the 3K program,
and any intention to go to universal 3K is entirely
off the table now and it seems like forever, and I
think that is a major, major, major problem for
working families in New York City, because working
parents can't go to work if they don't have a take
place to take their kids. And Early Childhood
Education is something that really can level the
playing field and create opportunity and equity in
low and moderate income communities. We're not doing
it, and I hope this council will be able to push back
and find the resources, because clearly it's not a
priority at this point. Thank you.

just say this. The Mayor continues through the Childcare Blueprint to express his commitment to Early Childhood Education. We will continue the conversation with you. The DOE is committed to working with all Council Members on programs in their district to fill seats and continue that conversation, but we are looking at all programs in

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2	Early Chi	ldhood	Education,	including	3K,	moving
3	forward.	Thank	vou.			

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I want to ask a question just on behalf of Council Member Hanks who had to leave related to DYCD. November Plan includes savings resulted from under-spending in the SYEP and the adult literacy programs. What was the cause of the under-spending on these programs?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I think
the-- the under-spending here is just caused by
delays in implementation. I think there's a
procurement that's delayed from original
expectations. I'll get the-- we'll come back with
more details and we'll send them to you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. It's important for us to understand how this PEG would impact summer employment, obviously, and if there's an impact—

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: [interposing] We are going to maintain the level of

summer employment opportunities for New York's youth.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So then what is
3	there an impact on headcount then due to these
4	reductions?

back to you on whether there was a headcount, but it—

I believe this is an underspending, so they may
have been under headcount, and therefore we're not
paying salaries for people who we hadn't been able to
bring on board. Yeah, I'm sorry the— one other
fact— thank you— is that because some people didn't
stay for some of the summer youth employment—
participants didn't stay for the whole summer, there
was a reduction in spending on wages for the
participants.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Now, Council Member Kagan followed by Council Member Farías.

COUNCIL MEMBER KAGAN: Thank you, Chair.

My question is about \$600 million dollar savings that you're planning for switching [inaudible] to Medicare Advantage program. So, if City Council will not approve this change, because we are bombarded by our constituents left and right, phone calls, emails saying don't approve this change. Do you have a plan B of what you're planning to do?

2.2

2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, if we
3	don't if we don't get the savings from the \$600
4	million dollars, you know you know, we are
5	currently, you know, in discussion with the MLC and
6	the arbitrator about options here about how to
7	proceed going forward. You know, this is a
8	fundamental building block of getting our health
9	spending back in line with budgeted levels. You
10	know, it's our expectation that this change will take
11	place or an alternative will be found.

COUNCIL MEMBER KAGAN: So you don't have plan B right now?

working, like I said, with the MLC and the arbitrator. I think there's been a lot of public communication about that, but you know, I don't want to get into what's going on, you know, with the unions, but there is a discussion there about finding an alternative. There are only a few options left to try to maintain this level of savings, and you know, we're still—you know, this—the outcome of any of those alternatives I think will be less positive overall than plan A, and we, you know, really see that the Council make the change to allow the MLC and

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2 the city to bargain over outcomes that are best to 3 support our retirees.

COUNCIL MEMBER KAGAN: I would urge you to look for alternative funding just in case, because I'm not alone, I know that many of my colleagues are receiving same emails and phone calls from panicking retirees that are afraid of the huge increase of their healthcare cost for their health insurance. Thank you.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Farías?

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Hi folks, good afternoon. I will-- I came into this kind of prepared to ask quite a different grouping of questions, but I'm going to stick to my Chair of Economic Development and get those out of the way preliminarily. So, last year, the NYCDC commenced with the Hospital Loan fund which was to provide loans to safety-net hospitals. The loans will provide support to hospitals that serve low-income New Yorkers and communities of color, communities that were disproportionately impacted by the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pandemic. In the November Plan, there was a 31.7 decrease in FY23 for the Hospital Loan Fund as part of SBS' PEG. What's the rationale for the FY25 PEG and what is the value of the loans dispersed by the fund today?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: know, this-- that's a great question. Thank you so much. This is an active fund, right, where we-through a partnership with Goldman Sachs, the City asks the quarantor to assist those hospitals in securing loans. Our obligations under this agreement won't materialize until FY25. It was determined that the funding was not required currently to present in the out-years. We'll assess the funding that we needed based on the obligations that arise at that time and thereafter. Remember the City cost only exists as the quarantor and I believe there are no defaults to-date. So, you know, that's how we were able to take that funding down. I don't have a figure on how much the loans to date have been. can try to get that and get back to you on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: That'd be great.

And then after implementation of the PEG, do we know how much money will remain for the fund?

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 70
2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well,
3	the after the PEG the PEG doesn't affect the
4	amount of the guarantee that's available.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Okay.
6	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: It's
7	simply the amount of expense that we anticipate.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Okay, so
9	currently right now the loans that were approved and
LO	dispersed have already been given out and we're not
11	anticipating additional
L2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER:
13	[interposing] There may
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: [interposing]
15	Either grants have been given out.
16	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: There may
L7	or may not be additional loans, but the PEG doesn't
L8	reduce the inherently reduce the capacity to make
L9	those loans or guarantee them.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Okay, thank you.
21	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Yeah,
22	it's about, you know, anticipated losses and we took
23	those down. We don't as of present we aren't

seeing that.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Great, thank you 2 3 for that. I'd like to ask some economy questions if 4 possible. So this pandemic and the recession that ensued from it is likely to cause long-term structural changes to the City's economy, as we've 6 7 already I think all seen. Businesses, especially 8 retailers and restaurants are being forced to change how they conduct business in order to survive and maintain in this city. Firms that have allow-- that 10 11 have had to allow their employees to work from home may be inclined to continue to do so after the 12 13 pandemic is over. Those changes could pose a significant threat to the economy's ability to 14 15 recover from the pandemic. Has your office assessed how these structural changes would affect the City's 16 17 economy in the long-run and especially our tax 18 revenues beyond this financial plan?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: So, thank you for that question. The impact of hybrid work if it becomes the new normal is—clearly creates challenges for the New York City real estate market, the taxes collected on them, and for our retail sector. We continue to review and evaluate and look at the long-term trends. You know, we have seen some

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

plan.

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which are [inaudible] to-- we saw the subway ridership ticking up, but we still have a long way to go. The Adopted Budget continues to reflect conservative outlook for the City's office, you know, real estate market and that's partly to account for that uncertainty about, you know, will be people be renewing space in a -- you know, at the same level in the hybrid future if that's where we are. It's part of the reason that we need to be conservative about making estimates on the property tax and on business taxes, and I think that's reflected in the Adopted

and part of the reason we're holding on revenues in

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Thank you. another part that I'm kind of-- I'm mostly interested in is too like we-- the City, the State, everyone loves to do economic forecasting. We love to look ahead and try to figure out what we can put in place right now or in the future to mitigate some of the impacts of the structural changes, and primarily I'd like to also see are we thinking about the trickle down in an industry itself to the worker, right? can always think about property taxes, other items that will impact the industry at a macro level, but

2.2

2.3

2	realistically when we increase fines or increase
3	penalties or increase taxes, anything like that, that
4	does trickle down to the worker, to the individual
5	that's there in that industry. So for me, I would
6	urge us to also consider outside of just looking at,
7	for use of example, the restaurant owner, what that
8	also means for the worker and for that title and for
9	that industry and how those fees and increases or how
10	the inflation right now is impacting all of those and
11	how we can find mitigates in the in-between.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we've been joined by Council Member Barron. Now we have some questions from Ayala followed by Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Sorry. I have-okay, so regarding the NYCHA plan, the November Plan
includes PEGs for NYCHA's total-- for NYCHA totaling
\$7.4 million in Fiscal Year 23, \$9.6 in 24, and \$9.5
in 25, \$9.4 in 26 for OTPS of which approximately 85
percent is associated with the staffing and
operational issues with NYCHA's Vacant Unit Readiness
Program. This program is intended to help repair and
rehabilitate NYCHA units for turnover and placement

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

from DHS shelter, emergency transfers, and NYCHA's

general wait list. However, the program has been

challenged by limits to NYCHA's in-house staff

capacity. Why has the Vacant Unit Readiness Program

6 had such serious issues, and what action is the

7 Administration taking to improve the Vacancy Unit

8 Readiness Program?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Thank you for that. You know, the Mayor is clearly committed to helping NYCHA and the residents including getting the vacant apartments ready for occupancy as soon as possible. He's taken a number of steps by implementing the Preservation Trust, by getting units ready through the PACT program, by restructuring the NYCHA leadership, allocating substantial capital resources to the Authority, and you know, our -- we share your desire to get vacant units at NYCHA occupied as soon as possible. We all, you know, are aware of the housing shortage in New York City and how that impacts people and to have, you know, vacant units in public housing. That's just a resource we don't have to waste like that, so we are doing everything we can to have them speed up that process.

not sure if you're aware but we have families that are waiting up to a year after having signed a lease and paid security and rent deposits for an apartment to become ready. So I'm not sure that this is an area of the budget that can absorb any level of cut. At this point if we're really truly, you know, intentional about meeting our housing mandates, and—

[interposing] Yeah, I mean, the PEG that you're seeing was for prior year under-spending, and that was really due to low turnover during COVID. So that's not an ongoing cut to this program as we go forward.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER:

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: well, according to the plan there are cuts all across, you know, the Fiscal Years stretching to 2026.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: There are other reductions that are being taken, but I don't think that directly impacts the speed and timing of those units becoming available.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Regarding the

Department of Homeless Services Medicaid waiver, the

November Plan reflects that 11-- \$119.6 million in

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

City-funded savings in DHS budget for Fiscal Year
2025 and Fiscal Year 2026. I am aware that this
relates to the Medicaid waiver that the city is
working on with the State which will allow the City
to fund DHS services currently funded with City funds
with federal Medicaid revenue. What is the current
status of the waiver process and what is anticipate
what is the anticipated timeline?
FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't

have that information right in front of me. I don't know if that question is better answered by the agency, but we will certainly try to get you some information on those.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Okay. Could you also have them share with us why were no savings reflected in Fiscal Year 2023 or Fiscal Year 2024?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I'm sorry, I'm having trouble hearing you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: There were no-could you ask them, you know, to also share why were
there no savings reflected in Fiscal Year 2023 and
2024?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Certainly, we'll get that for you.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: And then I have a
final question regarding DFTA. So in the November
Plan, DFTA had a total of \$10.5 million reduction in
Fiscal Year 2023. Case Management Services are
reduced by \$4.3 million, the result of delaying a
Case Management expansion plan in Fiscal Year 2023
Executive Budget by three months and eliminating \$3
million added in Fiscal Year 2023's abortion. The
Council has regularly identified persistent wait
lists for full case management assessments as
barriers for older adults such as those needing home
care services. There are over 2,000 older adults on
the case management wait list. Why did OMB target
case management for reductions when the wait list is
continuously growing?

added funding at Exec to provide case management to eligible seniors who are transitioning from the Get Food Program to home delivered meals. So we added about \$14.8 million dollars in FY23 and out. As that transition from Get Food to home delivered meals has been completed, it's been determined that the amount of funding needed to provide case management services to that population was less than projected and there

2.2

2.3

were associated savings. So that— the reduction you see is not against the general Case Management budget but instead is that we created a dedicated group that was helping people transition from Get Food to home delivered meals, people who moved from that old Get Food program, that pandemic Get Food Program, to the Home-delivered Meals Program, and we took down the size of the spend for that group of individuals.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Thank you.

Council Member Brewer?

know libraries are not income producing, but they are very important to our city. I was at the New York Public Library over the weekend, and I think they are concerned about their reduction of \$5 million in 23 and \$7.5 in 24. It's 60 positions, and of course, it impacts vacancies, obviously. The real concern is less hours and, you know, digital library service, etcetera. Libraries are the backbone along with others like CUNY in our city. So I'm wondering what was the factor in determining these particular accruals. It know you're going to say it is the library that makes that decision. But I think what I want to say to you is we can't afford to have library

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

this point.

services cut. This would have been one of the held

4 just wondering how you could comment on the libraries

harmless agencies that I would have suggested.

5 and why we have baseline reductions in the libraries

6 when in fact they are a place where people go more

7 than any other service, perhaps, in the City of New

York, even-- and a public/private basis [sic] offers.

reductions at the library systems as part of the PEG exercise. As you know, the libraries are funded as lump-sum agencies. So the way in which they're implementing those cuts are up to them. We worked with them. My understanding was that they were not going to be cutting back on hours. And I don't think

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But if I was in charge, I would want to fund them so they had more hours. That's what libraries should be doing, and that's what the Mayor should be doing. Libraries are important, so I do not agree with that cut.

they've posted that they are cutting back on hours at

 $\label{eq:first_def} \mbox{FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER:} \quad \mbox{We agree} \\ \mbox{with you.}$

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That should be
3	just similar to others, no cut to the libraries.
4	Also, I am confused, and probably it's just me, in
5	terms of mid-year funding for students in our public
6	schools. I know the Mayor did allocate more funding.
7	I'd like to know how much, how that was implemented.
8	And then we may differ on the current trend in
9	enrollment. I will tell you, I've checked with all
10	my schools, district three, and they're all either
11	flat or up. Obviously, they were not last year, but
12	they are this year, even in addition to the ones from
13	these, I don't know, about 100 asylum, or maybe 150.
14	So I want to know what is the status of the funding
15	for increase enrollment and holding schools harmless?
16	Thank you.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Thank you, Council Member. With regards to schools, once we receive the information based on the October 31st numbers on enrollment, all schools that had increased enrollment received additional funding and we held schools harmless that had a decrease in enrollment. That was a commitment made by the Mayor when we adopted the budget a few months ago. So, where we are now, \$200 million dollars was added for that

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

purpose within DOE's budget. We are currently
working with them on realignment of the use of
stimulus dollars throughout the agency, and we'll
update that in future plans, but \$200 million dollars
is dedicated towards enrollment for those that
increased enrollment as well as holding harmless
those that had a decrease in enrollment.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member

Barron?

2.2

2.3

with the education piece. You know-- you know that even if the enrollment figures are accurate, and even if you funded education programs based upon say everybody had a flat or increased enrollment. It's not enough for all the programs in our districts. So when you use the enrollment thing-- this is what the Mayor tried to do to justify cuts. And the fact of the matter is that none of that money was enough for our districts, even if you didn't do this little enrollment game that he was playing. So, I think that the amount was-- some say \$400 and something million, and you're putting back \$200 million, we need to restore all the cuts to education regardless

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 82
2	of enrollment, because enrollment is not the only
3	factor in determining what's needed in education.
4	This is a game. This is a political game the Mayor's
5	playing and it needs to stop. Our districts are
6	hurting, and especially when you throw in PEGs where
7	you can't fill vacancies, and you call them savings.
8	They are cuts that are making us bleed. So this
9	austerity budget that we keep presenting, let me just
10	get some of the to the macro stuff. Wasn't true
11	that we had an unexpected revenue of \$3.5 billion
12	this year and \$1.5 billion for next year? Was that
13	accurate? Because that was said at several hearings.
14	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well, we
15	certainly don't have additional revenue from adoption
16	for FY24. We haven't had collections. In FY23, we
17	have seen collections come in above the initial
18	projections. We are looking at those because we're
19	concerned about whether or not those reflect will
20	be reflected

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] I'm only going to cut you because I-- my time--

23 FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER:

24 [interposing] Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: is [inaudible]

21

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 83
2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I'm
3	happy, go ahead.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I don't want to
5	hear all of that. The bottom line is that Wall
6	Street profited by 50 some-odd billion and an
7	unexpected revenue of \$4.5 billion over the next two
8	years was projected in the budget. When we were

billion, it went up to \$8.3 billion in savings, isn't

talking about a savings or a reserve budget of \$6.5

that correct? 11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: current reserves are \$8.3 billion.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: \$8.3 billion, and how did it get there? Because of the extra unexpected revenue that came in from Wall Street, part of it. And that's the other reason why they was able to resolve things with the City Council and say, oh, you're not going to have \$400 million for you programs. You can \$1.2 billion, because of that unexpected revenue. So I'm saying that there is money there so that we really don't have to do PEGs. PEGs are hurting us, and we call it savings. are cut from every agency, and I'm telling you it's hurting poor people in particular, working-class

families in general. In the City, when you PEG when you don't have to, the overall budget gap was supposed to what \$2.9 billion, something like that?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: The FY24

gap is \$2.9 million.

2.2

2.3

billion, we sitting there with \$8.3 billion in the reserve, and then when we say well use some of the reserve, no because the further year budget deficits might be greater so we might need it for then. We don't even have enough for that. So then we justify saving for a rainy day when it's raining today, and then every time we get to a present moment, we don't do right by this budget. This budget is friendly to the Police Department. They didn't do their three percent PEGs. I think they did half of it maybe.

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: They did a little bit less than half.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: A little bit less than half, but we in this crisis. We don't have money for education, but we have money for them to do less than half. And their capital budget is \$2.9 billion, correct, for NYPD?

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 85
2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't
3	have that in front of me.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, you should
5	have it in front of you. This is a budget hearing.
6	We should have capital information and we should have

reading, the NYPD budget -- we listed at \$5.6 billion, 8

expense information, and from stuff I've been

but it's really more toward \$11 billion when you put

in fringe benefits and all of that other stuff. Isn't 10

that correct? 11

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't have that number again, but--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] well, you should have that number. This is a budget hearing, but it's \$11 billion plus \$2.9 billion in the capital. Let me ask you, what is the overall capital budget? Just give me just a moment. What's the overall capital budget?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: about \$100 billion.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: \$100 billion dollars for how many years?

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: the 10-year capital strategy [sic].

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: You're talking about RAD?

22 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: RAD and PACT.

23 FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: It's not

24 | every single unit, no.

20

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 87
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right, it's a
portion and the Mayor is only giving capital money to
those who privatize who use those programs.
FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't
believe that's correct, no.
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Say it again.
FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't
believe that's correct.
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You don't believe?
Okay. Well, maybe do some more research, 'cause from
what I read when y'all presented, those monies was
going to those who privatize. I'm saying all of this
not to put you on the spot, not to make you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

use from s was of this uncomfortable, and I really don't care whether you are or not. But the bottom line is that this budget hurts the people of New York, and we need to do something about it, especially when we come to budget mod time when some things can happen. Now's the time to do it, not to give us the same story that we got in June and just make an addendum to that story. Perhaps some of that \$8.3 billion needs to be looked at, because the City is really hurting in our local neighborhoods, and we can't see that when you're looking at numbers up here, but when you go to these

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What would happen

25 | if the Council didn't approve?

2.3

24

correct.

2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: If the
3	mod were not to pass, none of the new needs, for
4	example the Taxi Medallion Fund, the Get stuff Clean
5	initiative, the CityFHEPS and the asylum-seeker money
6	could be put up. In addition, we'd be unable to
7	execute any of the transparency resolution
8	designation to the Council discretionary funds to
9	organizations and agencies, which I think there 480
LO	groups that would not be receiving about \$15 million
L1	dollars. So, in addition, you know, without
L2	implementing the gaps, we would without
L3	implementing the PEGs, we would see the gaps grow
L4	considerably. We're using some of those, the savings
L5	in 23, right, to help balance not only 24 but also
L6	balance 23. So there's very significant
L7	repercussions to not having the mod get passed.
L8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I want to
L9	follow up on some of my 3K questions. So, the DOE has
20	stated that the 3K has currently nearly 20,000
21	unfilled seats. Is that right?
22	SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: We have
23	closer to 18,000, yes, but that's close, Chair.
24	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay,

approximately. So, can you provide us with

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yeah. Okay. And then staying on education, so I think a lot of this

2.3

district.

is going to be follow-up for your team, but you know,
in order for us to appropriately monitor and analyze
DOE school budgets that are implemented as part of
the overall budget and make sure that we're aware of
changes, there's some information that would be very
useful to us, the initial school budgets for the
current Fiscal Year. So, include a breakdown of the
initial FSF allocations, SAMS [sic] and projected
enrollment by school. I'm making a list, because I
assume you don't have this now, right? Okay. The
mid-year adjustment school budget for the current
Fiscal Year, so include a breakdown of the final FSF
allocations, SAMS, and school register. And then the
initial school budget for the upcoming Fiscal Year,
same thing, initial FSF, SAMS, and projected
enrollment. Could you get that to us?
SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Yes,

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY: Yes, thank you, Chair. I'll defer to the agency and work with them in getting that information.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. And then the last thing for me would be as far as our budget, the Council's budget is concerned, of course, we're in power to create our own budget without authorization from OMB, but unlike other agencies and other elected

2	officials, our budget the Council's baselined
3	amount in the out-years of the plan period is not in
4	line with its current budget. And similarly, the
5	budget head the budget headcount for the Council in
6	the out-years of the plan is baselined at a number
7	much lower than our current year actual headcount.
8	In the November Plan, the Council's budgeted
9	headcount in the current year is 837, but the
10	headcount budget drops to 563 in each of the
11	remaining years of the plan period. So, each of the
12	Borough President Office's headcounts are the same
13	for all four years of the plan. Would OMB be willing
14	to set the Council's out-year budget and budgeted
15	headcount to the current year level? If not, why

FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: We're here really to talk about the current year and the November Plan. That being said, we're happy to discuss after the hearing and figure out how we can look into the possibility of addressing that in one of the upcoming plans.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Where did we end on that? I didn't hear what you said.

not?

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 93
2	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I said
3	that we'd be happy to discuss with you all after the
4	hearing and figure out how we can talk about the
5	possibility of addressing this in one of the upcomir
6	plans.
7	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Something I'm
8	interested in is tax breaks. We have about almost
9	\$14 billion dollars in tax breaks in FY22, is that
10	right?
11	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: I don't
12	have a total amount of the tax breaks, I'm sorry.
13	You
14	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: [interposing] You
15	have about \$14 billion dollars in tax breaks. So,
16	\$4.4 billion of that is for economic development
17	programs. Has there ever been a consideration to do
18	a PEG for all of our tax breaks to see where we're
19	at, if they're still necessary?
20	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Yeah, we-
21	- we're always looking at these things. We consider
22	tax expenditures to be, you know, a use of resources
23	just like the expenditures are. We review these to

try to make sure that they're all efficient, that

they're promoting the goals we want. Obviously,

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 94
2	we've looked at in this plan, I think we have a tax
3	expenditure to help small businesses, and that's you
4	know, directed towards retail businesses coming and
5	smaller businesses coming out of the pandemic. We're
6	always looking at making sure that we're spending
7	these in an efficient way, and to the extent we could
8	find tax expenditures that are no longer serving the
9	purpose or are inefficient, we would look at cutting
10	those as well.
11	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Is there a reason
12	why there wasn't a PEG, there wasn't a PEG exercise
13	for those?
14	FIRST DEPUTY DIRECTOR GODINER: Well,

it's not a separate PEG exercise, but clearly if agencies had surfaced tax expenditure programs that they didn't think were working for them anymore, we would certainly have taken those as PEGs if we thought they were viable.

Thank you. CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We have a bunch of other follow-ups and we'll submit them to you in writing, and we'll get-- hopefully get that back as soon as possible. Thank you so much.

SENIOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR MCKINNEY:

25 you.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

were raised through our analysis of the financial

plan. It's based on work that is still underway for

24

2	our Fiscal Outlook Report, which we expect to release
3	in about 10 days. Although I don't anticipate
4	changes in our economic and revenue forecasts before
5	the report is released, there may still be some
6	changes on the spending side as we continue to work
7	with OMB and other agencies to shore up our
8	understanding of the plan. Tables presenting our
9	projections that I will discuss today are attached to
10	the testimony. Let me start with the bottom line
11	first. IBO's Fiscal Outlook finds that the city will
12	have a budget surplus for 2023 of \$2.2 billion, a
13	negligible deficit in 2024, and then followed by
14	deficits of \$3.5 billion in 2025 and \$4.5 billion in
15	2026. Here on in, anytime I refer to a year, that's
16	referring to a Fiscal Year unless I otherwise note.
17	This forecast incorporates our expectations of weak
18	revenue growth, particularly tax revenue, albeit
19	higher than what the Mayor estimates, offset somewhat
20	by expenses that we expect the City will incur, which
21	are not included in OMB's spending plan. The out-year
22	gaps, although smaller than those estimated by OMB,
23	are substantial and will require action by the Mayor
24	and the City Council, unless revenues recover faster
25	than expected Our economic forecast is premised on

2	slowing growth in the both the national and local
3	economies over the next 12 months, although we do not
4	anticipate negative growth for a sustained period.
5	We assume the Federal Reserve's use of monetary
6	policy to fight inflation will succeed without
7	tipping the economy into recession. Inflation is
8	expected to return to near the Federal Reserve's
9	target during calendar year 2024. For calendar year
10	2022, we expect the New York City economy to be
11	adding about 205,000 jobs as our recovery from the
12	unprecedented job losses in the 2020 recession
13	continues, although IBO projects that the City will
14	still be 105,000 or 2.3 percent jobs below the 2019
15	or the pre-pandemic level at the end of this year.
16	For calendar year 2023, job growth slows
17	significantly down to 44,000 before bouncing back
18	somewhat to 90,500 in 2024, about 86,000 in 2025, and
19	82,000 in 2026. The employment recovery remains
20	uneven among the sectors. Industries such as
21	construction, retail trade, and leisure and
22	hospitality are all estimated to be at less than 90
23	percent of their 2019 levels, even by the end of this
24	year. Others such as information, professional
25	services, and health care have already fully

2	recovered to their 2019 levels. We also expect
3	personal income in the City to bounce back from
4	slowed growth in calendar year 2022, to average
5	annual growth of nearly five percent in 2023 through
6	2026. As for real estate values, IBO projects 9.6
7	percent growth in the aggregate estimated market
8	value on the new assessment roll due next month.
9	This reflects the current strength in the real estate
10	market. Class one is expected to show the largest
11	increase at 12.5 percent in market value. After
12	double-digit growth in many of the City's main tax
13	sources in 2022, tax revenue growth is expected to
14	slow in 2023, turning negative in some cases, with
15	aggregate tax revenue growth of only 0.8 percent
16	extremely low, compared to the 2022 level. The
17	declines are particularly large in percentage terms
18	for the income taxes, both personal and business, and
19	property transfer taxes. Sales tax had 3.2 percent
20	positive growth and real property tax at 7.1 percent
21	growth are the exceptions among the City's main tax
22	sources. This weakness continues into 2024, with
23	total revenue expected to shrink by 0.2 percent.
24	Growth is expected to remain weak for most tax
25	revenue sources in 25 and 2026, averaging only 2.7

2	percent annually in those two years. IBO has raised
3	its tax revenue forecasts by about one billion
4	dollars from last spring for each year of the
5	financial plan. That's a relatively small adjustment
6	by our standards. Because OMB chose not to raise its
7	tax forecast, even though collections have exceeded
8	expectations since this year's budget was adopted,
9	the differences between IBO's and OMB's tax forecasts
10	have grown and now stand at \$2.4 billion in the
11	current year, \$1.6 billion for next year, \$1.9
12	billion in 2025, and finally, \$2.3 billion in 2026.
13	Turning to the spending side, I'll just highlight a
14	few key issues that have emerged. First of all,
15	there's already been some discussions that the
16	savings plan came up short. This past September, the
17	Administration issued savings targets to all mayoral
18	agencies of three percent cut in fiscal year 2023,
19	and a program to generate savings of 4.75 percent in
20	fiscal years 2024 through 2026. The targets, known as
21	the Program to Eliminate the Gap or PEG, were set to
22	yield savings of \$1.4 billion in 2023 and \$2.2
23	billion I'm sorry and \$2.2 billion in fiscal
24	years 2024 and later. However, the Administration did
25	not meet these goals. OMB's November Financial Plan

2	includes PEG savings equaling \$821 million from
3	mayoral agencies, plus an additional \$94 million from
4	centrally managed costs and non-mayoral agencies, for
5	a total of \$916 million dollars in 2023. In 2024,
6	2025, and 2026 the plan does identify at least \$1.3
7	billion in recurring savings. It's not uncommon to
8	have the recurring savings later in a financial plan,
9	as it's often easier for agencies to make the cuts
10	when they've had more time to plan and implement
11	recurring savings. After accounting for new needs,
12	other adjustments, and PEG reversals, the
13	Administration only achieved reductions of \$705
14	million by our calculation and \$554 million in fiscal
15	years 2023 and 2024. Out of roughly 55 mayoral
16	agencies, we believe only 18 achieved their PEG
17	targets in each year of the November plan. Along
18	with not fully achieving the original PEG target, the
19	Administration's PEG plan is offset in several cases
20	by dollar for dollar increases to the very same
21	budget lines targeted by the PEGs. These actions
22	effectively negate these budget cuts with an equal
23	increase outside of the PEG category that are
24	labelled Cost Avoidances Offsets. This pattern is
25	present in the PEG program of three of the four

2	uniformed agencies: Correction, Fire, and Sanitation.
3	While none of the Police Department's PEGs were
4	reversed, some prior civilianization initiatives
5	aimed at reducing uniformed overtime costs were.
6	Most of the Police Department's PEG come under come
7	from unspecified personal service reductions. Since
8	the release of the November plan the Adams
9	Administration has ordered an additional round of
10	cuts, this time targeted at slowing hiring and
11	eliminating vacant positions. These PEGs, has been
12	discussed, are expected to be incorporated in the
13	January Financial Plan. Another area of concern in
14	looking at the spending side is the fact that the
15	Administration has included \$1 billion in federal
16	assistance in the financial plan for 2023 with the
17	expectations that it will be used to reimburse the
18	City for costs associated with the flow of asylum-
19	seekers being transported from the southern border to
20	New York City. The Administration has not provided
21	details about which federal program could be tapped
22	to provide this funding. IBO's analysis of existing
23	authority for the Federal Emergency Management
24	Agency, FEMA, suggests it is unlikely to be the
25	source of more than a very small portion of the \$1

2	billion. Without a federal funding source
3	identified, IBO assumes that the City would be
4	required to cover the costs associated with the
5	newly-arrived asylum-seekers. Building off our
6	recently published analysis of the cost of providing
7	services to this population, and including some
8	additional costs outlined in the Mayor's November
9	Financial Plan, IBO estimates that another excuse
10	me. IBO estimates that the City will require \$373
11	million in additional city funds to cover these costs
12	in 2023. For 2024, IBO estimates that another \$628
13	million in City funds, specifically for shelter costs
14	will be necessary, under the assumption that the new
15	asylum seekers continue to arrive in the coming
16	months. OMB, just for references, budgeted all of
17	the use of all this billion dollar aid in 2023. We
18	think it has to be spread out over if it were to
19	occur, it's going to have to be spread out over
20	multiple years. IBO has made other adjustments,
21	where we refer to them as repricings, to OMB's
22	spending projections. Police, fire, and correction
23	overtime account for most of the \$318 million in
24	additional public safety spending projected by IBO
25	 for 23 and \$232 million in 2024. The Department of

Education is expected to need \$764 million in 2025
and \$966 million in 2026 above what the Mayor has
currently budgeted for programmatic costs. This
includes \$678 million in 2025 and \$881 million in
2026 if it wants to maintain the services launched
with federal COVID relief funds that will run out
during fiscal years 2024 and 2025. These include
expanded 3K. In total, these repricings result in
IBO estimating higher City-funded expenditures in
each of the financial plans: \$228 million in 23, \$1.1
billion in 24, \$829 million in 2025, and \$928 million
in 2026. Finally, we should note that the financial
plan includes a reserve for future collective
bargaining settlements as contracts with most of the
City's unions having either already expired or
scheduled to do so by the end of this by the end of
calendar year 2023. The amount in the reserve is
sufficient to provide for a settlement with a raise
of that should say 1.5 percent annually. However,
given the steep rise in inflation over the past year,
it is unlikely the unions will hold out it is
likely the unions will hold out for higher
settlements which would add to the budget gaps. So.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thank you again, and we're happy to answer your
guestions.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, George.
What is driving IBO's more optimistic revenue
forecast?

ACTING DIRECTOR SWEETING: First of all, I'd say it's-- well, it's relatively optimistic compared to OMB's. It's actually, by my experience, probably our weakest revenue forecast in terms of growth over the course of the financial plan. 2022 was a-- was quite strong on revenues, including almost a billion dollars that came in between-- when the 23 budget was adopted in June. That included a project of what 22 would be, how 22 would finish. Twenty-- the collections for the remaining months of the accrual period for 2022 actually came in to almost a billion dollars higher than had been anticipated back in June of this year. So, 22, you know, was a-- Fiscal Year 22 was quite strong. Fiscal 23, you know, is-- it's slowing. There's growth slowing there, but you know, there are some areas of, you know, using your term optimism, particularly in the property tax which in the year immediately after the pandemic-- the start of the

2.2

2	pandemic took a big dive. It went down, and you
3	actually had negative property tax growth which
4	hadn't happened in quite some time. Then that
5	bounced back for 2023, but that's we are not
6	expecting that to continue at that same level of 24,
7	25, and 26. So, it's optimistic relative to OMB's,
8	but it's actually not that much of an optimistic
9	story. I think we're actually below the City
10	Council's projections that I was taking a look at
11	earlier in the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Why do you think typically IBO's forecasts are higher than OMB?

ACTING DIRECTOR SWEETING: I think, you know, one reason is, you know, their— I think they have somewhat of a responsibility to be conservative. I mean, it's— the best things is it is a— you know, is a good forecast. But what you really don't want to be is overly optimistic, because then you're setting the City up for problems. So, I think, you know, in— you know, our job is to do the very best we can do in a non-partisan, non-biased way, just letting the numbers play out as they do. And you know, I think that's generally get you a number higher. Not in all cases, but in many cases higher than if you're doing

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the forecast under an obligation to be sort of 3 fiscally prudent.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Does OMB have any analysis or information's to add to the discussion around the high vacancy rates?

ACTING DIRECTOR SWEETING: Does IBO? We actually have some work underway on that.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CLARK: Yeah, I think broadly speaking right now we're taking a look at the number of separations of hires that have been occurring. Basically, using the start of the pandemic as an index point. We're anticipating releasing something relatively soon on the front. We've been a little bit busy trying to pull together numbers on this, but we have seen titles decrease significantly, and so I think one of the things that we continually talk about when we're talking about city headcount is the vacancy rate, and the vacancy rate is a moving number at all the time-- or at all times, rather. You know, if you're reducing your new rate and your denominator, you know, your vacancy rate is going to change that much, but I think if you look at the absolute loss of positions we've definitely seen a large downturn in active city

2.2

2.3

headcount since the beginning of the pandemic. And
you know, I don't think that we're in a position
right now to attribute a cause to all of that, but I
think that there are several explanations out there
that have sort of already been discussed in by
several of the Council Members today.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Do you have an opinion on the Comptroller's report on this topic?

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CLARK: We're-- the State Comptroller's report?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: No, city, the city, yeah.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CLARK: Not as of this moment that we're ready to comment on, but we can go ahead and discuss that at some point.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Gale?

know I'm upset about this notion that you have money and then OMB says you can't spend it because it is not baselined. And so my question is two-fold. One is, do you have a position? I know baseline costs money because it's over time. But for God's sake if baseline means you're going to bring in funding, it seems to me it makes sense. So my question is, do

2.2

2.3

you look at this issue, and then—that's question number one. Question number two is it seems to me that in the—do you see as you—the Chair asked about more positive projections. The OMB talked about tourism. Are there other places that maybe cause us for hope in the future? Obviously, we're looking for industries, technology, probably not the Federal Government, but something else that you think would be hopeful for the future, any bright lights along those lines? So one is the baseline and the other is the future.

ACTING DIRECTOR SWEETING: First of all, on the baseline, I mean, one of the things that—— you know, baseline is sort of a term of art in New York, because there are—— you know, I've been around long enough to have seen the libraries cut and then they're restored and supposedly baselined, and then it goes back down. You know, it works different in the Federal Government where you sort of put into statute a program that's going to be doing these things, and then you have a discussion each year about the appropriations to it, but the program exists and that creates some obligations for you know, making sure it's provided with the resources

2	needed to make the program work. In terms of, you
3	know, places to look in the City for, you know, I'm
4	generally an optimist about where things can go in
5	the City. I think, you know, we we've actually seen
6	a bit of a at least an acceleration in the
7	recovery, and these are in hospitality in the last
8	maybe half a year. And you know, so that's a good
9	sign because those that also that industry
10	provides jobs that, you know, are they're good
11	entry-level jobs for people maybe who don't have a
12	college degree, and you know, having some strength
13	there is certainly a good thing. I think some of
14	the, you know, the industries the City has relied on
15	at least for the last 30 or 40 years particularly
16	finance, it's not clear where that, you know, where
17	that's going. And the you know, the information
18	sector which is sort of the big tech to speak of it
19	colloquially, you know, right now it's there are
20	some sharp cut-backs going on in a number of those
21	industries in the you know, that have a presence in
22	the City. It's not clear whether all of those job
23	cuts that have been announced at the national level,
24	you know, how many of them are actually going to
25	occurring the City. But you know, that I think

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 110
2	it's hard to think that this New York cannot continue
3	to you know, New York should be able to continue to
4	be place that attracts smart, talented people that
5	want to work in tech. I think that's a place for
6	optimism.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member
9	Ossé.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you. Sorry I
11	missed your testimony. So, excuse me if I repeat any
12	questions. The first question that I have is you
13	know, I'm very elated to see that there were no PEGs
14	for DCLA. The question I have is why. Was there an
15	indicator that the Administration saw from DCLA that
16	yielded to the lack of PEGs?
17	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: This is IBO.
18	ACTING DIRECTOR SWEETING: I'm not sure.
19	I don't know what they were looking at.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: My apologies.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: You got the second
23	two [sic]. Okay, thank you very much, appreciate it.

Now I have Ana Champeny from Citizen's Budget. Okay,

you can go when you're ready. 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

VICE PRESIDENT CHAMPENY: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Chair Brannan and members of the City Council Committee on Finance. I submitted the full testimony online, so I won't read all of it at this I'm Ana Champeny, the Vice President for Research at the Citizen's Budget Commission, a nonprofit, nonpartisan think tank and watchdog devoted to constructive change in the finances and services of New York City and New York State governments. The November Plan demonstrated that while New York City short-term budget challenges are manageable, its long-term fiscal outlook is precarious. While the City's programs to eliminate the gap provided some ongoing budget relief, which is needed and welcomed. Increased pension costs due to poor market returns last year swamped those savings and widened future budget gaps to \$4.6 billion in 2025 and \$5.9 billion in Fiscal Year 2026. I want to make a couple points on the PEG. One is that our analysis finds that roughly 80 percent of the savings were from re-estimates and that there was less than \$100 million dollars in savings annually from efficiencies or efforts to restructure and make government more productive. Furthermore, what some

2	have already alluded, we call out as illusory
3	savings, these savings that were put into the PEG but
4	also had new spending that was added to the budget at
5	the same time. Our analysis finds that these savings
6	totaled \$57 million in Fiscal Year 23, \$92 million in
7	Fiscal Year 24, and \$376 million in Fiscal 25 and 26.
8	So in other words, the actual PEG savings that reduce
9	the budget gap were lower by those amounts. In terms
10	of vacant positions, there are significant vacant
11	positions that still remain and these do offer an
12	opportunity for the savings as the Administration is
13	pursuing while also leaving agencies with ample
14	vacant positions which they can fill to provide
15	critical services. What is critical is flexibility
16	to shift vacant positions across and within agencies
17	to units and titles that have critical staffing
18	needs. While the Fiscal Year 24 budget gap is
19	relatively manageable, out-year gaps are wider. As I
20	said, they already were increased by \$866 million and
21	\$1.9 billion compared to the June financial plan.
22	The fiscal risks abound in budget gaps even absent a
23	recession could reach \$8 billion and \$10 billion in
24	Fiscal Years 2025 and 2026. We've been consistently
25	warning of three risks: collective bargaining costs,

2	city and federally-funded fiscal cliffs, and the
3	potential revenue shortfall from a recession. These
4	risks all remain. Employee raises of three percent
5	annually compared to what is in the budget in the
6	Labor Reserve would increase gaps by \$800 million in
7	the first year, increasing to \$2.5 billion in the
8	third year. There's a city-funded fiscal cliff of
9	about \$865 million in Fiscal Year 24. These are
10	city-funded programs in Fiscal Year 23 that do not
11	have funding next year. And so it would either need
12	to be discontinued or have resources identified. In
13	a typical recession based on prior recessions, we
14	estimate could cause revenue shortfalls of \$4.3
15	billion in the first year and \$10 to \$16 billion over
16	three years. So these are all very significant
17	risks. As IBO mentioned, we also are concerned
18	about the inclusion of \$1 billion in federal funding
19	for services for asylum-seekers. While concur that
20	the federal and state government should shoulder some
21	of these costs, they have not been secured and this
22	could be put additional pressure on the city
23	budget. in terms of looking forward to the
24	Preliminary Budget, we identify some action the City
25	is likely to take to reduce the or to close the

Fiscal Year 24 gap including reducing budgetary
reserves and identifying other technical adjustment
as well as the vacancy savings they have identified.
But we do want to say while there is a clear path to
balancing Fiscal Year 24, it would be a mistake to
conclude that the City's fiscal situation is brighter
and that it can therefore afford more recurring
spending on programs. There are significant fiscal
challenges ahead. The best way to preserve services
for New Yorkers when they need them most is to
improve the quality and efficiency of the City's
operation today. More transformative changes are
needed to ensure future stability. Thank you, and I
look forward to answering your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Colleagues have questions? Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you as always. One question I had, maybe I misunderstood, but there was some discussion about programs that are funded and maybe not in the future or they're not funded now and they should be in the future, that piece. The reason is there are some city agencies people know that I'd love to get rid of, but I'm

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

2.2

2.3

2	told,	you	know,	hard	to	do.	So	I'm	wondering	if	you
3	have	that	list.								

VICE PRESIDENT CHAMPENY: Excuse me?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm trying tothere's some agencies that I would like to get rid
of. People know that I have such a list, but I am
told I'm not supposed to do that. So my-- do you
have such a list?

 $\label{eq:VICE_PRESIDENT_CHAMPENY:} \ \ \text{No, we do not}$ have a list.

mentioned something about something being funded and not funded in-- what was that? There was something that you mentioned that go me very excited that there might be such a list.

VICE PRESIDENT CHAMPENY: I think what you're talking about is what's similar to your questions earlier about baselining costs. That we in reviewing budget in city funding for programs have identified across many agencies. Nearly \$900 million dollars in spending this year that is not in the baseline. But these are programs that are arguably recurring and we would expect to continue. So we refer to that as a fiscal cliff, and that the gap is

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 really \$900 million dollars higher than reported if 3 you want to continue these services.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Do you have any

6 analysis or opinion on the vacancy issue?

VICE PRESIDENT CHAMPENY: We've been tracking city headcount and vacancy closely during the pandemic. Total headcount from the peak, fulltime and full-time equivalent is down about 22,000 positions. I think we ended Fiscal Year 22 with about 304,000 full-time and full-time equivalent on board. The authorized level as you know is about 333,000 this year. So there are a lot of vacant positions, and I think we're seeing a point where the vacancies are impacting services has been identified. We have-- you know, we have said that there are both vacancies that need to be cut as well as managerial changes that need to be made to increase hiring. Part of the challenge is that we have separations that are exceeding new hires. So your on-board staff continues to decrease because we're not -- the City hasn't been able to hire at the speed that it needs to. Some of that is outside of their control. It is, in fact, a very tight labor market. There are

2.2

2.3

civil service rules that they are constrained by, but
I think other procedural changes within OMB, some of
which they appear to be implementing could speed up
and allow agencies. I mean, part one part is
allowing agencies to post and search for all of their
vacancies which they were not allowed to do when
there was a two-for-one hiring freeze that's now
being eliminated. So, one is allowing agencies to
post and fill their vacancies, but also speeding up
the internal review processes so when they find
qualified candidates they can bring them on.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Are there agencies who've identified where the vacancies matter more or impact services more?

VICE PRESIDENT CHAMPENY: I mean, the vacancy rates do vary in some agencies, like the Department of Buildings which has come up-- have significantly higher vacancy rates than the citywide average. DOB, for example, is at 24 percent compared to eight percent. I think part of what is a factor is agencies where their staff are very attractive to the private sector as well, and so I think positions like inspectors, engineers, architects have significant opportunities in the private sector, and

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	that may be in fact part of why the City has
3	difficulty recruiting in those titles.
4	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I don't have
5	anything else. [inaudible] Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

Thank you. Next we have Penny Lewis and Azar Nasir
[sp?]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: When you're ready you can begin in the order of preference.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Make sure that's on.

PENNY LEWIS: Okay, is that— it's working? Okay, great. Hi. My name is Penny Lewis. I'm the Secretary of the Professional Staff Congress CUNY and I'm also a faculty member at the school of Labor and Urban Studies. We represent 30,000 faculty and professional staff at CUNY, as I'm sure you're aware, and I want to thank you Chairman Brannan and the Committee Members for the opportunity to testify here today. We're really appreciative that the Committee is holding this hearing. We're here today because as you know, CUNY is central to the advancement of New York City residents and our economy. This is especially true of the community colleges which receive about half of their funding

2	from the City. However, CUNY's capacity is eroding.
3	And I handed in testimony that you all can maybe look
4	at and it has more details, but I wanted to respond a
5	little bit to what we heard earlier today from the
6	representatives from OMB, because they were asked by
7	Committee Member Powers about the effects that the
8	PEGs have already had on CUNY and the future possible
9	effects. And we heard that there's been no impact or
10	service, that CUNY and that there will be no impact
11	on service, that CUNY can absorb these cuts because
12	of low enrollment, there's no effect on staffing.
13	And I mean, I'll say from the union perspective and
14	from what we hear from our thousands of members and
15	what we understand from the students who are going to
16	CUNY every day that those really seem like
17	fantastical statements to make, like kind of magical.
18	As you all know, CUNY has been underfunded for
19	decades. We didn't have enough before we are facing
20	the enrollment situation that we're in. Our members
21	talk about having vacant positions next to them. the
22	last round of cuts of \$14.7 million that we absorbed
23	which has contributed to the loss of 146 professional
24	staff and faculty over this most recent period, and
25	the \$13.7 million dollar cut that we're facing is

2	going to continue to create a situation for our
3	members where they are working, you know, twice as
4	hard because they don't have people doing the jobs
5	alongside them that used to be there. Our students
6	are facing extraordinary crises at this moment, you
7	know, mental health crises, crises of staying in
8	school, of needing of getting the supports that
9	they need, and you know, this would be a time to
10	invest in our students and invest in the future of
11	New York, not to continue to make these kinds of
12	cuts. We know from the 2021 report from the New York
13	City comptroller that CUNY graduates provide \$4.2
14	billion dollars a year annually to New York City. We
15	have multiple studies from if I can finish up my
16	statement very quickly Raj Chedi [sp?], the
17	Brookings Institute, all of these things that show
18	the worth that CUNY has and we very much hope that
19	the Council will oppose the PEG cuts to CUNY. And
20	frankly, you know, these cuts in general because we
21	think that it looks like the Mayor is possibly making
22	these kinds of across-the-board cuts as a kind of
23	negotiating position towards next year's budget, and
24	we oppose these austerity budgets and hope you do as
25	Thank wou

2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Zara?

3 ZARA NASIR: Good afternoon, Chair 4 [inaudible] Okay, let me start over. Good afternoon 5 Committee Chair Brannan, Council Members Ayala, Brewer and others. My name is Zara Nasir. 6 7 part of a coalition called the People's Plan that 8 consists of base building organizations that represent working-class workers. PS CUNY actually just joined. And I'm also a New Yorker and a 10 11 Brooklynite who supports care [sic] not cuts in the 12 people's budget, and I oppose the deep cuts proposed 13 by the Mayor in the November Plan financial plan. I 14 like many New Yorkers am worried about the Mayor's 15 misaligned budget priorities. The Mayor's budget in 16 June was the largest in New York City history despite 17 cutting the Department of Education budget by at 18 least half a billion dollars. And now cuts to 19 positions and programs at CUNY and 3K seats and the 20 degradation of social and housing services through 21 high vacancy rates and planned vacancy reductions are 2.2 most concerning to us at a time when New Yorkers need 2.3 these supports the most. As you know, the Comptroller put out a report this week that delves 24 into how under Mayor Adam's direction and leadership, 25

2	New York City's government has double digit vacancy
3	rates and mission critical programs and workforces.
4	Thirty-five Mayoral agencies and offices that provide
5	vital functions and services have high vacancy rates.
6	This includes agencies and offices providing social
7	safety net services, 20 percent vacancy rate,
8	enforcing building codes, 23 percent vacancy rate,
9	creating affordable housing, 18 percent, enforcing
10	the City's human rights laws, 32 percent, and running
11	programs and administering programs for low-income
12	and adult children 47 percent. The Mayor's hiring
13	freezes and vacancies are even impacting revenue
14	collection. This is appalling considering that the
15	Mayor has been using the revenue to expenditure gap
16	to justify a wide swath of deep cuts to caregiving
17	agencies and workforces. These key housing and
18	health services are being degraded at a time when the
19	Mayor has vowed to use force and violence against
20	unhoused people on the street as people were rallying
21	around today with Communities United for Police
22	Reform under the guise of safety. This degradation
23	of services comes at a time when immigrant New
24	Yorkers need legal language and housing support as
25	they seek refuge in our city. BCC spent a month

25

2	without heat, so there's like deep infrastructure
3	needs at CUNY. 3K seats, obviously we can talk about
4	the early education and the benefits for working-
5	class New Yorkers. So to end, working-class New
6	Yorkers, all New Yorkers need a functioning well-run
7	city government and city services and social safety-
8	net now more than ever. Members of the New York City
9	Council, we especially need you to stand up against
10	this in the upcoming budget modifications. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you guys.
13	Thank you very much. Now we're going to Zoom and we
14	have Heather James.
15	HEATHER JAMES: I'm not able to turn on
16	my video. The host has stopped it, so I don't' know
17	if that's a technical issue you all can solve?
18	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: It's okay, you can
19	go ahead.
20	HEATHER JAMES: Awesome. Alright, hi
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: [interposing] I know
22	what you look like.
23	HEATHER JAMES: True. Alright, well I

won't torture you with too much repetition here at 3:40, but thank you so much, Chairman Brannan and to

the rest of the Council Members, for your time today.
I'm here as a professor at the Borough of Manhattan
Community College, CUNY, a city employee like you and
a proud PSC CUNY member. Penny mentioned that we had
to absorb quite a large number of cuts to full-time
community college faculty in FY23, and of course, the
November Plan would eliminate more positions. You
know, maybe to you these numbers don't sound huge,
but if you look at long-term hiring trends at CUNY
they paint a different picture. We've lost 845 full-
time faculty between 2014 and 2022, and 70 percent of
all courses taught at CUNY's community colleges are
taught by underpaid contingent faculty commonly known
as adjuncts. Last budget cycle, the state made some
efforts to bring back full-time faculty, but we are
currently undermining that long fight, and the last
thing we want to do is create a two-tiered system of
higher education that disadvantages poor students and
students of color. We're in danger of doing that.
Studies show that students of color like those served
by our community colleges have less access to full-
time faculty than their white peers. As Penny noted,
the idea that eliminating more full-time faculty
positions won't impact our students, that's a

2	fantasy. It's a fantasy that more full-time faculty
3	are not needed due to enrollment drops. Even when
4	enrollment was at its lowest level at the height of
5	the pandemic, we didn't have close to enough full-
6	time faculty. As I mentioned, our adjuncts are paid
7	poverty wages. They don't have their own offices.
8	They're running from campus to campus. This is not
9	to disparage my colleagues. They're trying to
10	survive. Full-time faculty are required to provide
11	additional services such as advising. Our students
12	need this more than ever. Since COVID they need more
13	attention. We're actively trying to bring people
14	back. We have the Speaker's Reconnect initiative. We
15	have and we need more retention efforts. We
16	desperately need to educate more mental health
17	professionals.
18	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.
19	HEATHER JAMES: Okay, so we can't bring
20	people back without faculty and staff. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Heather.
22	HEATHER JAMES: Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Now we have Lynn
24	Yellen on Zoom.

25 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

2 LYNN YELLEN: Thank you. I also can't 3 start my video. My name is Lynn Yellen, and I am a 4 New Yorker living in Brooklyn. I support Cares not--5 Care Not Cuts and the People's Budget. I want to oppose the deep cuts proposed by Mayor Adams. 6 7 retired New York City public school teacher, I am 8 deeply concerned that the budget was so large even though the cuts to the DOE were about half a billion dollars. I appreciated Council Member Restler's 10 11 query about 3K. It is unconscionable to talk about cutting seats from Early Childhood Education when 12 these programs not only improve a child's educational 13 14 outcomes, but will increase their lifetime earnings. 15 Pre-K programs are an investment in the future. They can change the trajectory of an entire family over 16 17 I taught high school for over 30 years, and I 18 continue to work with public school students as a 19 teaching artist. Vacancies in city agencies that 20 provide vital city functions translate into incredible hardship for children and families. Right 21 now the City has a 20 percent vacancy rate for 2.2 2.3 agencies providing social safety-net services including the Department of Social Services which 24 provides for unhoused New Yorkers. Offices that 25

23

24

25

2	administer programs for low-income adults and
3	children have a vacancy rate approaching 50 percent.
4	As Council Member Brewer pointed out, some of those
5	vacancies were avoidable. The Mayor's insistence
6	that city employees return to offices is misguided.
7	The City is again seeing increasing COVID cases.
8	Moreover, the lack of childcare options has also
9	placed municipal workers under pressure. In my work,
10	I am accustomed to seeing children who are exhausted
11	in school because their families are unhoused and
12	dealing with late-night moves within the shelter
13	system. I have prepared meals and brought home-made
14	snacks for children whose families lack access to a
15	kitchen. There is a human toll when systems fail.
16	New Yorkers need a fully-staffed well-run city
17	government. Shorting educational programs and those
18	that affect children is a way of shorting the future
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Lynn.

Now we have Jen Gaboury.

22 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

JEN GABOURY: Hi, I too cannot start my camera, but I'm in my classroom right now at CUNY and my students are watching me testify, so it's a good

lesson for them and I'm trying to encourage them to
come out to public hearings and make their voices be
heard. I'm really happy today to see testimony that's
considering alternatives to the Medicare Advantage
changes and also looking for different ways to
consider revenue. I would be really discouraged to
see this particular City Council sort of fall for
arguments around false austerity, and that's what
some of these budgets are. We have heard you know,
when we looked at what CUNY has been thinking about
in terms of like how to modify these cuts, in terms
of the testimony that Council Member Powers said, we
didn't hear simply that it would be OTPS funding and
not personnel, and that we did hear it would be
personnel. And just like my colleagues Penny and
Heather have said earlier is that we can sustain cuts
to full-time faculty and staff at CUNY. We're
fighting at the state to increase more full-time
faculty and staff right now, and then it would be a
tragedy to lose those positions locally at the city.
Just as Penny Lewis just said a few minutes ago, pre-
pandemic we could not afford to lose full-time
positions out of our system. The enrollment drop,
right, that sort of discussion is sort of hinging on.

expired.

2	that CUNY can somehow sustain these cuts. The
3	numbers were 274,000 in 2011 and 243,000 now in 2012.
4	If you look at the staffing and faculty and mental
5	health resources and other things that we have
6	available, we don't have enough right now for 243,000
7	students, right? And especially at the community
8	college level when those students are the most
9	vulnerable, the most affected by the pandemic. that
LO	is where we need full-time faculty and staff pulling
L1	people out, and it's going to be not only the kind of
L2	revenue that is re-investing for recovery for the
L3	pandemic, but helping those families, helping those
L4	people, right, like re-establish lives that have been
L5	devastated. And even if it is OTPS funding, right,
L 6	my library like, so what is OTPS funding do? It
L7	buys lab equipment
L8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

JEN GABOURY: lab equipment that we don't have right, right? It supplies library subscriptions. My-- my library does not cover critical like subscriptions to journals in my field right now, like, before these cuts. Right? So even if it is OTPS, we don't have enough. Most city

Τ	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 130
2	agencies do not rely upon cadres of part-time staff
3	and part-time faculty, and that is what you have
4	asked CUNY to do decades after decade after decade,
5	and it is inadequate, and we want to see this turned
6	around. It's not even just enough to say that we
7	can't sustain these cuts. We need a major u-turn in
8	the ways in which CUNY functions. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Jen.
10	Thank you, Jen.
11	JEN GABOURY: Thank you, Justin.
12	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We have Tanisha
13	Grant.
14	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.
15	CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Tanisha, are you
16	there? Then we have Ashley Conrad.
17	ASHLEY CONRAD: Hi, god afternoon. Thank
18	you, Chair and Committee on Finance. My name is
19	Ashley Conrad. I'm a community organizer with Freedor
20	Agenda and a long life-time New Yorker. I want to
21	thank you for the opportunity to be able to testify
22	today. My nephew has a diagnosis of DMDD which is
23	Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder, and he is
24	also on the autism spectrum. Unfortunately, my

nephew was not diagnosed until the age of 14 which

2	created challenges for treatment, and my nephew is a
3	perfect example of everything wrong with our city's
4	budget priorities. Coming from a low-income Latina
5	neighborhood in Queens it created a lot of barriers
6	to adequate treatment, and due to those many years of
7	no substantial help, his symptoms progressed and
8	became severe which led to interactions with law
9	enforcement and several psychiatric hospitalizations.
10	[inaudible] what would have helped my nephew then
11	which would help many New Yorkers now and what makes
12	community safe, and the quality and accessible care,
13	not cops and not incarceration. Instead, the City
14	has spent hundreds and thousands of dollars to keep
15	him at Rikers Island. Earlier this year, the Mayor
16	was looking to add more money to the most corrupt and
17	under-funded jail system in the country, Rikers
18	Island. now in this budget modification, the
19	Mayor's proposing cuts to funding for housing,
20	childcare, and education, but somehow found over 12
21	million dollars to add to DOC's budget, which was
22	only described as other adjustments. I don't know
23	what that means. But for DOC, Mayor Adams seems like
24	he's willing to continuously write a blank check, and
25	the Mayor seems to have looked for every opportunity

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

to cut vacancies in education institutions and social service agencies, but not for DOC. DOC currently has about 70 vacancies among uniformed staff. They should be trimming their bloated headcount by much more--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

ASHLEY CONRAD: than that. But 70 positions would be a start. Instead, the only jail system in the language with more guards than people in custody is getting a pass when the Mayor says we need to find savings wherever possible. Sometimes the Mayor talks like he's with us when he says he wants to go upstream to help our young people. talks about breaking the cycle of incarceration with education, support and opportunity. If that's truly where the mayor val-- where his values are, he needs to show us a budget that reflects that. And his November budget proposal is not that. This council rightly rejected the Mayor's attempt to increase DOC's budget this spring, but we urge City Council to reject this new attempt to add unexplained and unjustified millions to their budget. Please insist

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on a budget that really meets the needs of our communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Ashley. We have Devora Cafaro.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Begun.

DEVORA CAFARO: Hello. Please excuse me, I can't give the original statement that I wanted to give because I'm one of those parents that works for New York City, and-- bear with me, sorry. I work for New York City and I'm 3K mother, but I lost my childcare for this afternoon and because I can't afford to keep my child in the extended day program for extra hours, I had to leave where I was positioned to do a more authentic speech, but I'm speaking on behalf of everybody else that's here of the realities. I'm showing you right now how I can't give you my authenticity because I'm a struggling parent, but according to the staff, I'm middle-class and I should be able to afford this, when everything is skewed. Everyone here has spoken so highly of how budget cuts are going across the board against education and so many other areas. I'm sorry, like I said, I can't give you what I was hoping to give you blood I have to pick up my daughter. But please, as

2.2

2.3

a working mother who wants to stay in the City and is
trying so hard to even advocate that my friends and
family stay in the city we love. Show that you are
fighting for us and that you're doing everything in
your power to not approve this budget that they're
saying is justifiable when there are countless people
here who are showing you all the cracks and all the
skews that are going on. Thank you so much, but like
I said gotta go pick up my daughter.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Devora. We'll go back to Tanesha Grant now.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

TANESHA GRANT: Yes, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes, go ahead.

hearing on finance. My name is Tanesha Grant. I am the Executive Director of Parents Supporting Parents New York. I want to talk about mental health. I want to talk about the fact that I had a client last week whose granddaughter was being sexually assaulted by someone in her family and when she took her to the doctor to get her some help, some mental health services, some culturally-relevant mental health services, which we don't talk about, they put this

2	little girl on a waiting list. When we talk about
3	mental health services, we have to have access to
4	mental health services and they have to be
5	culturally-relevant to the people that we are and the
6	communities that we live in. Most of the time,
7	mental health service providers have no identity with
8	the community that they're serving, and in this time
9	and age, we can't even get mental health services.
10	If we're talking about a budget and we're sitting
11	here and we're hearing the administrators, the
12	Administration, Mayor, talk about all of these things
13	that they want to do for mental health services, the
14	first thing they need to do is hire qualified mental
15	health service providers, and there is no reason why
16	low-income people such as I, low-income people in my
17	community who work hard cannot get the mental health
18	services that they need, which is a part of these
19	cuts and these budgets. How are you providing mental
20	health services when everyone who requests mental
21	health services goes on a waiting list because there
22	are no providers? This has everything to do with
23	public safety, literally making people crazy,
24	literally not providing the service that they need
25	and then saving that you are is totally disqueting

2	

and it's ruining our community, and it is a part of 3 why public safety is not safe. I suggest that this

4 budget is looked at again, that the City Council

members does not let anything pass that --

6

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

8

9

7

TANESHA GRANT: is continuing to hurt our communities. Thank you.

10

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Tanesha. Next we have Ana Perna Schriber [sp?].

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

11

ANA PERNA PUTLERY SCHRIBER: My name is Ana Perna Putlery Schriber. My daughter is at Red Balloon Preschool in Harlem which is now in danger of being closed by Columbia University, and my son is a first grader at PS 125 in Harlem. PS 125 is located in a racially diverse low income district. Children have suffered greatly during the pandemic with indicators for healthy socialization, academic benchmarks, and social/emotional wellness not where they should be. Many parents -- many children have lot parents, caregivers, and other loved ones to COVID. Our extremely dedicated teachers and staff have risked their own health and safety to provide

our children an education while also supporting their

2	mental health and nurturing our children to be good
3	citizens. They are literally being asked to take a
4	bullet for our children, and their reward is to strip
5	the school of significant funding. A study by the
6	Children's Hospital of Chicago states that pillars
7	for mental health including socializing, exercising,
8	eating well, sleeping well, various activities,
9	processing experiences have been compromised all at
10	once, and 64 percent believe the pandemic will have a
11	lasting effect on children's development. These
12	effects are likely to be seen more deeply in
13	community of color of low-income communities. It is
14	the responsibility of the City's officials to
15	alleviate this inequality, not to exacerbate it as
16	these budget cuts have already done. One can only
17	imagine how these budget cuts have made more
18	difficult the lives of our most vulnerable children
19	including those with special needs with difficult
20	home lives and whose parents are struggling to make
21	ends meet. I am reminded of the saying that the
22	opposite of poverty is not wealth, it is justice.
23	Given this, it's absolutely puzzling why you would
24	cut funding to schools when their budgets should be
25	augmented to ensure that the whole child is being

_

cared for, including programming for our youngest learners, after school programs, and schools that are robustly staffed with teachers and staff that are fairly compensate and treated with respect. I have personally purchased disinfectant wipes and sprays for my son's classrooms. I am too ashamed to ask my teachers how much they have personally spent on—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time expired.

ANA PERNA PUTLERY SCHRIBER: from their own pocket. Our school already relies on parent volunteers to serve lunches. Our community is deeply committed to our school and to holding each other up, but good will alone will not pay for teachers and staff and a safe well-maintained school. Any budget that isn't centered round the welfare of our most-vulnerable citizens, our children, isn't one worth considering. The parents and educators of this city are fed up. We are exhausted and we vote. Reverse the budget cuts now. We will be holding all elected officials responsible from the Mayor to the City Council Members who voted for this budget in the first place. Thank you.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Next is

3 Lupe Hernandez.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

5 LUPE HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon,

Committee Chair Brannan and the rest of the Committee Members. My name is Lupe Hernandez, and I am a New Yorker that lives in Manhattan, and I support Care Not Cuts, and I oppose the deep cuts proposed by the Mayor in November Financial Plan. A little bit about myself, I have spoken to you many times in regards to this education budget that many Council Members passed and then regretted, even after parent advocates had been screaming about the impact on the \$469 million, and that's on the low end of what these cuts would look like. As Council Member Brannan said earlier, these schools were not funded adequately or equitably to begin with. But today, I'm here to talk to you about the cuts to Universal Pre-K and early education services and the impacts on the workingclass New Yorkers like myself. A half a billion in cuts in the next Fiscal Year, that's \$1.5 billion throughout Fiscal Year 2026. I want to reiterate how important this program is, not just for the

educational outcomes of working-class families in New

expired.

York, cut to their long-term economic fortunes, as
well. This is a long-term investment. Kids who
enroll in Early Education programs not only do bette
in their education pursuits, but their average
lifetime earnings actually increase. It is not an
over-statement to say that this program can change
the trajectory of an entire household over time. The
cancellation of 3K expansion and cuts to the
Department of Education severely impacts families who
do not currently have access to this vital education
program. Many New Yorkers like myself I've
complained that there's not enough seats in
particular neighborhoods. Yet, the Administration
faield to appropriately outreach to the families
across the City and is citing that as a reason to
curtail seats. This is outrageous and honestly a
betrayal of the public trust, me included. By fixing
the enrollment numbers, the Adams Administration is
saying they're purposely it seems like they're
purposely sabotaging
SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

LUPE HERNANDEZ: this program. I would just like to say to you as a parent of a soon-to-be

three-year-old, I was really anticipating being able
to get back in the work force. By able to utilize
the universal 3K program that was a full-day all-day
phase-in that was promised to have a seat for every
three-year-old in fall of 2023. Do you know how my
disappointment and just defeat after reading the
email this past week that received? As parents being
notified to apply for a 3K seat, it specifically said
we are not guaranteeing a seat for every student in
every district. New Yorkers were promised this, and
he's backtracking. This is a program that the rest
of the nation is trying to replicate because it was
the most successful thing that's happened to the DOE
in a long time. I advocate for students with
disabilities, and we've known for a long time how
these services that we can't get not only in the
school, but as previous speakers told you, I as
myself and my children have struggled
SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has
expired.

LUPE HERNANDEZ: to get the help, mental services they need because there's waiting lists. We don't' need these cuts. We need care. We need support. Please do right form your previous votes

COMMITTEE	ON	FINANCE

and do not-- like, this is not acceptable budget. It needs to have the people's budget. I'm here in support with the People's Plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Lupe, could you send us that email that you said you received?

LUPE HERNANDEZ: Yes, I will. I sent it out to all of the advocates, and I was so disappointed. I highlighted it with like a big red circle saying, "Can you believe this?" It's not quaranteed, and I was looking forward to being able to go back to work. I -- it's next to impossible. I live in Tribeca. I don't make Tribeca money. We were here before the influx of the wealth, and it's \$20 grand up front for a preschool program in my neighborhood, and they want it up front, and that's two hours for three days out of the week. That's not even three days or five days full day. That's two hours, three days out of the week. For my threeyear-old to be a in program it's 20 grand up front. I don't have that.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

LUPE HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

Knispel.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very Next we have Sarah Marie Knipel [sp?] or

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

SARAH KNISPEL: Hi. My name is Sarah

Knispel. I'm a Queens resident, a licensed social worker, and [inaudible] member. I've worked as a case manager, an elementary school social worker, and a program manager at a psychosocial clubhouse, and at all of those jobs we were short-staffed. I became a social worker because I care deeply about people. form close, trusting relationships with my clients. I support them through some of the biggest crises on the lowest points of their lives through unimaginable trauma and loss. They come to me sometimes worried for their lives like in the case of an older homeless woman being beaten and threatened by her intimate So often I would spend hours, days, even partner. months searching for desperately needed resources only to have to tell these folks I've grown close to that there was nothing I could do for them. there were no Safe Haven beds available, no beds in the domestic violence or youth or LGBTQ shelters, no way to get identification for free, no psychiatrist or

expired.

therapist who take Medicaid with immediate
availability, no toilet paper or soap at the food
pantry, no affordable apartments, no free laptops or
internet for school, no shoes in their size in the
free closet, no children's coats in the coat drive,
no foods suitable for a diabetic at the soup kitchen
and no food stamps won't pay for diapers. My
colleagues and I have to look our clients in the eye
and tell them there's nothing we can do for them
every day, and then we go on to also do the work of
two other people because we're under-staffed. It's
heartbreaking, exhausting and demoralizing. It made
me feel utterly helpless. I gave my clients false
hope by telling them I was there to help. I couldn't
actually offer assistance because the city and state
are not meeting the basic needs of their residents.
And as much as I love people and believe in the work
that I do
SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time

SARAH KNISPEL: I'm often on the cusp of burning out. Our city is still reeling from the effects of the pandemic. Now is not the time for

25 austerity. Cutting housing and social services is

3

4

5

6

7

8

O

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dangerous and cruel. If you want to keep talented and committed care workers in the field serving your constituents and loved ones, don't just call us heroes, fund our work. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have Leah Ali.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

LEAH ALI: Good afternoon Committee Chair Brannan. My name is Leah Ali and I'm a New York City public high school student that attends Bard [sic] High School in Manhattan, resides in Queens and supports #carenotcuts and the People's Budget. I oppose the deep cuts proposed by Mayor Adams in the November Financial Plan. I'm here today to provide testimony on behalf of and in solidarity with students across New York City who are deeply impacted by the cuts. Generations of students will be impacted including the 3K students who potentially won't have seats next year because Mayor Adams decided to cut the expansion of Universal 3K, a system that made childcare significantly more accessible and affordable to low-income working parents. Public school students like myself bear the brunt of the budget cuts when the funds for our

extracurricular activities and clubs are drastically
decreased. The blatant lack of care for students and
communities is evident. Just in my neighborhood
alone, all three middle schools face the cut of two
million dollars and over. For the thousands of
students in unstable housing, these cuts are
depriving them of academic services critical to their
development. Funneling resources away from low-
income and unstably housed children will add more
barriers to their learning and hinder their academic
development. Instead of investing in CUNY [sic], a
university [sic] system dedicated to serving everyone
in the city regardless of documentation and financial
status, the city is contemplating cuts to thousands
of CUNY Community College students. This will
especially affect the City's most vulnerable
students. When a city like New York chooses not to
invest, nurture and cherish its youth, how can we the
students, the children of New York City, not feel as
if this mayor and city administration simply do not
care for us. DOE and CUNY funding is essential to
ensuring students can climb the rungs on the ladder
of academic opportunities. Adequate funding for our
schools shouldn't be up for discussion nor toyed with

truly believed the youth are the future, then I

2.2

2.3

as if our futures are insignificant. If the City

4 wouldn't have to testify today.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

LEAH ALI: Education is the bedrock of any thriving future. Are we not the future of New York City? The children of New York City are counting on you. Don't let us down. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you, Leah, I appreciate you testifying. Okay, now we have Gregory Brender from Daycare Council. Sure, just make sure your mic's on.

Brannan. Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify. My name's Gregory Brender. I'm here on behalf of the Daycare Council of New York. We're the membership organization of Early Childhood provider organizations. And I just wanted to talk a little bit and respond to some of the stuff said today about the cuts to 3K. We are, of course, in opposition to these cuts. We believe 3K is part of an important promise to New York City's family that Early Childhood education would move toward universality and towards being affordable and accessible for all

families. A few of the points we really wanted to
highlight was one, even though these are cuts that in
the PEG plan take place in FY24, it's important to
take action now, because typically 3K programs 3K
contracts start in July with services opening in
September. So in order to make sure that programs
can actually start, you want to have them in the out-
year budgets early on. So we don't want to
obviously, this may end up being restored. We
appreciate the strong support that we heard today and
many times over for 3K, but taking action now is very
important. The other thing is we heard a lot form
the Administration about the reallocation of seats,
and we acknowledge the great need for increased
infant/toddler care, even for three and four year
olds for extended day programs, but the way to fund
them isn't to start cutting 3k and to take these
massive cuts out of the budget now. So, we really
appreciate the Council's strong support for 3K and
hope to see we can move to stop these cuts, and thank
you so much for listening to my testimony late in the
day.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you very much. Okay, with that, this hearing is adjourned.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 17, 2022_____