CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL SERVICES COMMITTEE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

----X

January 10, 2011 Start: 11:00 am Recess: 6:30 pm

HELD AT:

Emigrant Savings Bank 49-51 Chambers Street

B E F O R E:

LETITIA JAMES
ELIZABETH S. CROWLEY
PETER F. VALLONE, JR.
JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS
CHRISTINE C. QUINN
Chairpersons

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Letitia James
Elizabeth S. Crowley
Peter F. Vallone, Jr.
Jumaane D. Williams
Christine C. Quinn
Maria del Carmen Arroyo
Charles Barron
Gale A. Brewer
Margaret Chin
Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens Erik Martin Dilan Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene Julissa Ferreras Lewis A. Fidler Helen D. Foster Daniel R. Garodnick James F. Gennaro Vincent J. Gentile David G. Greenfield Sara M. Gonzalez Daniel J. Halloran III Vincent Ignizio Peter Koo Karen Koslowitz Brad Lander Jessica S. Lappin Melissa Mark-Viverito Darlene Mealy Rosie Mendez Michael C. Nelson James S. Oddo Domenic M. Recchia, Jr. Diana Reyna Ydanis Rodriguez Deborah Rose James Sanders, Jr. Eric Ulrich James Vacca Jimmy Van Bramer Albert Vann Mark Weprin Ruben Wills

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Stephen Goldsmith
Deputy Mayor of Operations

John J. Doherty Commissioner New York City Department of Sanitation

Salvatore Cassano Commissioner New York City Fire Department

Joseph F. Bruno Commissioner New York City Office of Emergency Management

Charles Dowd Deputy Chief New York Police Department

Janette Sadik-Khan Commissioner New York City Department of Transportation

Harry Nespoli President Uniformed Sanitationmen's Association

Jon Del Giorno
Pitta Bishop Del Giorno and Giblin

Patrick J. Bahnken
President
Uniformed EMTs, Paramedics and Inspectors
New York City Fire Department

Steve Cassidy President Uniformed Firefighters Association

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Patrick Reynolds Captain New York City Fire Department

Vincent Variale
President
Uniformed EMS Officers Union

Joseph Colangelo President SEIU Local 246

Ryan Gunning Chairperson New York State Volunteer Ambulance And Rescue Association District 4

Brian Kuntz President Uniformed Fire Alarm Dispatchers Benevolent Association

Ron Arnero Assistant Director Clerical Division DC 37

Diana Marenfeld Grievance Representative DC 37

Cynthia Hill SPCT 911 Emergency

Rhonda Spaulding Grievance Representative DC 37

$\verb|A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) | \\$

Kelly Singleton President Cambria Heights Civic Association

Tomas Hernandez President Fraser Civic Association

Fran Vella-Marrone President Dyker Heights Civic Association

Michael Morrell President Westerleigh Improvement Society

SPEAKER QUINN: I just wanted to
say that there are few legislative bodies in this
country, if not the world, that know as personally
as the New York City Council what it means to have
gun violence occur in your workplace. We here at
the City Council saw a member of our own
legislative body targeted and assassinated during
one our acts of government. So our heart goes out
to all the victims and to Congresswoman Gabrielle
Giffords. But we here know that pain and exactly
what it's like.

I just want to read the names of the victims of the tragic shooting this weekend. Christina Taylor-Green, she was 9-years-old. She was born on September 11th, 2001. Christina had recently, like many of us in our past, been elected to the student council at her school. She was the granddaughter of a former Yankees and Mets manager, Dallas Green, and she had gone to the supermarket to tell the Congress member that she was now the head of her student council.

Dorothy Morris, 76, a retiree from the Reno, Arizona area. She was there attending the Congress on Your Corner event with her

husband. Her husband was also shot, and he is in
critical condition. They had been high school
sweethearts and had been married for over 50
years.

Judge John Roll, 63, Arizona's

Chief Federal Child Judge. He had been appointed
to the bench by President George H. W. Bush in

1991, and to all reports, was an outstanding
member of this country's judiciary.

Phyllis Schenk, 79, a Rutherford,
New Jersey native. Phyllis was a retired
librarian and a homemaker who loved to knit and
often would make Jets and Giants aprons to sell at
church fundraisers. She lost her husband a few
months ago.

Dorwan Stoddard, 76, was a longtime minister to members of the tiny Mountain Avenue Church of Christ. He was killed shielding his wife, who was also hit, but is, thank God, expected to survive.

Gabe Zimmerman, 30. Gabe was a staffer who worked for Congress member since 2006 and dealt with constituent issues. He was engaged to be married, was an avid hiker and a runner.

of course, there are many victims
who are still in critical condition, not the least
of which is Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords. She
and all the other victims and their families will
stay in all American's hearts and prayers, but
perhaps no group of people can feel their pain
more closely than those of us in the City Council.

I thank all of you for keeping the Congresswoman
and all of the victims in your thoughts and
prayers, and for participating in this national
moment of silence.

Before I turn the mike over to the chair of the Sanitation Committee, let me just recognize the members of the Council who are here for this hearing. Let me just start in the front row and make our way back. We have Council Member Gale Brewer of Manhattan. We have Council Member Vincent Gentile of Brooklyn. We have Council Member Ruben Wills of Queens. We have Council Member Ruben Wills of Queens. We have Council Member Mark Weprin of Queens, Council Member Dan Halloran of Queens, Council Member Debi Rose of Staten Island, Council Member Danny Dromm of Queens, Council Member Karen Koslowitz of Queens, Council Member Peter Koo of Queens, Council Member

б

Julissa Ferreras of Queens, and Council Member
David Greenfield of Brooklyn. We have Council
Member Dr. Mathieu Eugene of Brooklyn, Council
Member Domenic Recchia of Brooklyn, Council Member
Melissa Mark-Viverito of Manhattan and the Bronx,
Council Member Margaret Chin of Manhattan, Council
Member Ydanis Rodriguez of Manhattan, Council
Member Jimmy Van Bramer of Queens, Council Member
Charles Barron of Brooklyn, Council Member Helen
Foster of the Bronx, Council Member Mike Nelson of
Brooklyn, Council Member Steve Levin of Brooklyn,
Council Member Brad Lander of Brooklyn, Council
Member Sara Gonzalez of Brooklyn. We have the
Deputy Majority Leader Leroy Comrie, of Queens,
our Assistant Majority Leader, of Brooklyn, Lew
Fidler. We have one of the chairs of this
hearing, the chair of our Oversight Investigation
Committee, also of Brooklyn, Jumaane Williams. We
have another co-chair of this hearing, the chair
of our Public Safety Committee, Peter Vallone of
Queens. We have the lead chair of this hearing,
Tish James, of our Sanitation Committee, from
Brooklyn. Also, a chair of this hearing, from
Queens, the chair of our Fire and Criminal Justice

2	Committee, Elizabeth Crowley. We have the chair
3	of our Transportation Committee, of the Bronx,
4	Jimmy Vacca, who will be chairing an oversight
5	hearing of a similar nature, focusing on
6	transportation and the MTA's failures and response
7	to the blizzard, on Friday. We have our Assistant
8	Majority Leader of Manhattan, Inez Dickens. We
9	have our Minority Leader, of Staten Island, Jimmy
10	Oddo. I expect that we'll joined by other Council
11	Members throughout the course of the day.
12	Let me now turn the mike over to
13	the chair of our Sanitation Committee, Tish James.
14	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
15	First, my thoughts and prayers are with
16	Congresswoman Giffords' family at this time and
17	the families of those who have lost their lives
18	and those who are injured. We pray for them
19	during this time.
20	My name is Letitia James and I am
21	the chair of the Committee on Sanitation and Solid
22	Waste Management. I will begin with an opening
23	statement.
24	The blizzard that struck the city

in the days after Christmas had a tremendous and a

disastrous impact on the citizens of New York
City. This storm and the inadequate job the city
did to clean it up, knocked out most systems of
transportation, seriously delayed all types of
emergency services, especially impacting
ambulances dispatched to help critically ill
patients, and left the city paralyzed and
nonfunctioning for days and days.

The city has dealt with plenty of storms of similar scale and I, like many of the Council Members, have often sung the praises of Commissioner Doherty and his men and women for their excellent responsible and their hard work. But, this time the city failed to meet its own high standards or the basic needs of its citizenry and we are here today to find out why and what we need to do to prevent such a disaster from ever occurring again.

There are many likely and potential causes, and it is our intention today to look at each one and see what impact it had, whether it was insufficient staffing, poor or missing equipment, poor preparation, budget cuts. I could go on and on, but won't for now. Or of course, a

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

combination of these things, cascading events. 2 intend to get to the bottom of what went wrong and 3 fix it.

It is my hope and expectation that Council Members and others will keep to this task and not spend a lot of time talking about local breakdowns and problems. Though that is very important, it is not the subject today. We, in fact, will be holding hearings in all of the boroughs, beginning next week, for exactly that purpose. We don't know when, for sure, even though they're forecasting a storm tomorrow evening, but we must be prepared and we must fix what is broken.

In addition, I understand that my colleagues want to express the outrage of their constituents who are angered over the inaction and perceived indifference of the Administration in response to the blizzard. I understand that. But this hearing will not turn into a public flogging of the Administration.

The second point: within the last few days, there have been a number of theories. One theory, in fact, focused on the alleged

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	slowdown by the men and women of the Department of
3	Sanitation. This is not a criminal investigation.
4	Those who have evidence to that effect should
5	contact all of the various authorities that are
6	engaging in a criminal investigation. I also want
7	to add that it does not absolve or divert the
8	Administration's failure to respond to this
9	blizzard.

So, out of fairness, let's get down to a little bit of logistics. Due to the large number of Council Members who want to ask questions, we will try to follow a protocol that allows everyone to participate. So I will ask members, aside from chairs, wishing to ask questions, to keep to one question. That bears repeating: one question, with some reasonable follow-up as needed, for each panel. Now, I turn the mike over to the Speaker of this body, Speaker Christine Quinn.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very much, Chair James. I also want to thank the other committee chairs for holding this important hearing to understand more about the city's response to last month's blizzard.

Over the last two weeks, it has
become abundantly clear that the city's response
to this storm was completely unacceptable. It was
nowhere near the standard that New Yorkers have
come to expect. Our city is no stranger to heavy
snowfall, and we are not strangers to efficiently
and effectively managing the impact of large
snowstorms.

On the evening of December 25th,
2010, there was a storm heading our way. By
Monday morning, storm brought New York City to its
knees in a way many of us have never seen before.
New Yorkers were stranded. Streets were
impassable. Emergency vehicles were unable to
respond, stuck in the snow. One of the things
that make New York City great is our resilience
and our fortitude in difficult times. With this
resilience come our high expectations of
accountability and performance from government.

Two weeks ago, New York City's expectations were not met. We are here today to determine what went wrong, and what improvements need to be made to guarantee effective snow cleanup and swift emergency response services in

2 future snowstorms.

Today is a day to determine why so many streets did did not get plowed? Why was a snow emergency not declared? What prevented 911 and 311 from effectively responding to the number of calls they received? Why weren't adjustments made when things clearly had gone off-track? I look forward to an informative, productive and engaged public discussion with members of the Administration and with the Sanitation, Emergency Response, Firefighter and other union representatives.

As Chair James said, in light of the allegations of a worker slowdown, I want to remind everyone that that matter is not in the hands of the City Council, but is in the hands of at least four law enforcement authorities.

Today's hearing is not the time to investigate those claims. We have enough issues to investigate today.

I'm asking everyone on both sides of the dais and at the witness table to be respectful, to be constructive and to set aside personal frustrations on either side of the table,

to focus your thoughts, your questions and your
answers in a way that helps us all better
understand what clearly went wrong and what we're
going to do together to fix the mistakes that
occurred last month so they don't happen again.

I would also like to remind everyone that the Council's Transportation

Committee will be holding a separate hearing on Friday to evaluate the MTA's response to the storm. As Tish said, due to the overwhelming public response, the City Council has scheduled a series of additional public hearings throughout the boroughs to give New Yorkers an opportunity to provide direct feedback on the city's management of the blizzard. Please visit the Council's website for times and locations of these hearings. Thank you, Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: At this time, we will turn it over to the chair of Public Safety, Council Member Peter Vallone.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Let me begin by thanking Madame Speaker and your staff for all the hard work that went into preparing for this hearing.

Just before 6:00 p.m., on Monday
the 27th, a 911 call was made, and the caller said
an 84-year-old man was unconscious but breathing.
Ten minutes later, another 911 call was made.
This time, the caller said that the man had gone
into cardiac arrest. At 6:26 p.m., one half hour
later, an ambulance arrived. But Michael
Halberian, the owner of the Steinway Mansion, and
a beloved fixture in Queens, had died in the home
he lived in for 80 years, at the top of an
unplowed Astoria street. Why?

This hearing will demand answers, answers to the three major failures which occurred during the snowstorm, which we had never seen before. Number one: a failure to plan and prepare for a major blizzard which was predicted almost a full day earlier. Number two: a failure during the storm to remove the snow. Plain and simple, where the hell were the plows for three days?

Number three: a failure of the Administration to understand, and communicate the magnitude of the dangerous and deadly situation which most of the people in most of the boroughs sat. Even if every reason that we have heard prior to today is true,

nothing fully explains what happened. Faulty
equipment, stranded cars, low morale, that might
explain missing a few blocks, but it doesn't
explain missing a few boroughs.

Now, I've worked closely with all of you at that table to help keep New Yorkers safe for years now. I have the utmost respect for each of you. But please understand that each of us up here represents 170,000 people who couldn't make it here today. They've asked us to convey to you their anger and their frustration and their grief over losing people like Mike Halberian. They demand accountability, they demand answers and they demand it never happens again.

Now, I would like to turn the mike over to the chair of our Fire and Criminal Justice Committee, Elizabeth Crowley.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,
Co-chair Vallone. Good morning. My name is
Elizabeth Crowley and I am the chair of the Fire
and Criminal Services Committee here at the City
Council, which includes oversight of emergency
medical services.

I'd like to thank Speaker Quinn for

ner leadership, and my co-chairs, and the Council
staff involved in putting today's hearing
together. I'd like to recognize all of our
emergency service workers, the rank and file
paramedics, firefighters technicians who, during
and after the storm, worked around the clock in
treacherous conditions to help and protect New
Yorkers.

One of the most basic functions of local government is that when it snows, streets get plowed. Days after the blizzard, streets in the outer boroughs and especially within the area I represent in Queens, were still buried, impassable. Neighborhood residents were completely trapped.

A day after the storm, the Mayor suggested to New Yorkers to go out and enjoy a Broadway show or some hot chocolate in Central Park. Maybe the storm wasn't a big deal for some people in this city, but for those of us whose livelihoods were threatened, whose property was destroyed or damaged, or whose loved ones died, it was undoubtedly a big deal.

That same morning the Mayor was

offering hot chocolate, a daughter in Queens
watched her mother die while waiting three hours
for an ambulance. This three-hour wait came after
it took 20 minutes for a 911 operator to take her
call. Imagine the utter helplessness felt by
people across New York when emergency services
were not met with a timely response.

Also that same morning that the
Mayor was offering hot chocolate, a hot fire broke
out on Judge Street in Elmhurst. The first due
engine company got stuck behind cars that were
abandoned in the snow. All units were
significantly delayed due to unplowed streets.
Firefighters were unable to get fire apparatus
onto Judge Street, furthering the delay of fire
operations, which required engine companies to
stretch hoses from two blocks away.

All of these delays transformed a small fire in one apartment into a five-alarm nightmare that took hours to extinguish and left nearly 70 families homeless. These families didn't care about hot chocolate; these families cared about a roof over their head.

Consider, for a moment, how much

worse of a situation our emergency services would
have faced if the 20 fire companies that were
proposed to be closed in June and November were
actually closed. Thank you, Speaker Quinn, and my
colleagues for being steadfast in your opposition
to the Mayor's plan to close fire companies.

As we examine the problems
associated with responding to this storm, I
believe we will find out that there were
systematic failures across several agencies and
not that just one person is to blame. That said,
I'd like to acknowledge the efforts of former
chief Peruggia in delivering emergency services to
New York City for many years that he was the chief
of EMS.

Our city is hurt, impacted by a failure of our executive power to adequately respond, a failure to call a state of emergency, a failure to warn New Yorkers of the seriousness of this problem, a failure to have the right equipment and the right personnel moving at the right time. Understanding the impact these failures had on the delivery of essential services is critical for us here today, as a Council, to

б

get to the bottom of this dysfunction, and to truly say to our constituents that for as long as we are elected, we will never let this happen again.

I appreciate the opportunity we have today to discuss concerns with the Mayor's representatives, our panel of witnesses. Thank you, for being here today and I look forward to discussing the many concerns with my colleagues. I'll now conclude my remarks and turn the microphone over to my co-chair, Council Member Jumaane Williams.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Thank you to the Speaker and all the staff that
helped put this together. Good afternoon. As
chair of the Committee on Oversight and
Investigation, I'm very pleased to join Chairs
James, Crowley and Vallone and the Speaker to
address the city's response to last month's
blizzard.

We are here today to hear from the Administration about its decision making and the actions of its city agencies before, during and after the storm. Now, I don't need to talk too

much about what went wrong from the public's
perspective. We know what went wrong. I, myself,
was directing traffic as 12 buses were stuck, and
helping ambulances get patients to hospitals.

Council Members received thousands of messages from New Yorkers across the city, letting us know in real time exactly what was wrong. People reached out to us for help. Help because their streets were still not plowed three and four days after the last snowflake fell. Help because they were struggling to make it to the hospital. Help because they couldn't make it to work. Help because they had no food because Meals on Wheels could not make it through the streets. So they definitely couldn't get to a Broadway show. Help because they could get no relief from 311.

The people of the City of New York were angry, and they had every right to be. They were angry about the seeming lack of preparation for a storm whose severity was forecast; angry about the meltdown of city services. And, yes, angry, too, about their Mayor, whose initial response to the troubles around the city amounted

to what could be considered "let them eat cake."

But this hearing should not only be about anger, it should also be about accountability. For an Administration that prides itself on management and accountability, I hope they welcome it. More than anything, what we hope to do today is to calmly and coolly gather as many facts as we can about the precise plans, procedures and steps taken by the Administration. It is only once we have gathered this information that we may begin to act, to hold agencies and individuals accountable for their actions and where necessary, to change city practices in order to ensure that the people of New York never have to face such adversity again.

Before I close, I'd like to thank a few people who were very instrumental in my district taking care of what needed to be taken care of. Chief Fox of Brooklyn Police South was very instrumental in responding to me and even came to where I was directing traffic. Chief Harper [phonetic] of the Sanitation Safety Division, Chief Montgomery in charge of Sanitation in Brooklyn South. Sam Pierre, the Borough

2	Director of Brooklyn for Community Affairs in the
3	Administration, Captain Deddo of the 63rd
4	Precinct, Captain Pegues of the 67th Precinct,
5	Captain Marmara of the 69th and Monteforte of the
6	70th were also very receptive. Thank you. And
7	all the locals of uniform, including Sanitation,
8	who worked really hard, not seeing their families
9	for days. Thank you.
10	I look forward to the
11	Administration's testimony.
12	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you, Chair
13	Williams. I just want to recognize that we've
14	been joined by Council Member Jessica Lappin of
15	Manhattan, Council Member Vincent Ignizio of
16	Staten Island, Council Member Jim Gennaro of
17	Queens, and we're also joined in the audience by
18	Assembly Member Peter Abbate.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
20	Deputy Mayor Stephen Goldsmith, if you could,
21	introduce the dais guests and then begin your
22	testimony.
23	SPEAKER QUINN: I'm sorry, Council
24	Member. Also, we're joined by Council Member Eric
25	Ulrich of Queens. Thank you.

25

2	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
3	Deputy Mayor, if you could, introduce the
4	witnesses and then begin testimony. Thank you.
5	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Thank you,
6	Madame Chairperson and Speaker and members of the
7	Council. With me, to my left, Commissioner
8	Cassano from the Fire Department; to my right,
9	Commissioner Bruno from OEM and to his right,
10	Commissioner Doherty from the Department of
11	Sanitation. We are pleased to be before you on
12	this important moment.
13	Before starting my formal remarks,
14	let me say I, as many of you, spent a lifetime in
15	public service. This is my career and my 30th
16	year of public service. All of us do these jobs,
17	and the reason you're here and asking us these
18	tough questions is because we're dedicating to
19	improving the quality of life in the communities
20	we represent.
21	That requires a high level of
22	government services. In the Christmas storm of
23	2010, we didn't achieve that level of performance.

We owe you and all New Yorkers, for that lack of

performance, our Administration's apology and my

2	personal promise not to let it happen again.
3	Thank you for this opportunity.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Could you

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Could you acknowledge the individuals who are sitting behind you? I understand there's a commissioner sitting behind you?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Commissioner Sadik-Khan from Transportation is behind me, and there are other members of the Administration as well.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. You may begin your testimony.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Thank you. I'm grateful for the opportunity to address the city's response to the December 26th blizzard. Today's hearing will ensure the city learns the right lessons from this painful experience.

As you know, two weeks ago, the city faced the sixth largest snowfall in its history. On the day after Christmas, while many New Yorkers were taking time off from work, or had a chance to travel or visit with their families, snow fell at a rate of two inches per hour, or more. Between 20 and 30 inches blanketed each of

2	the five boroughs. Mass transit was crippled.
3	Too many neighborhood streets went unplowed for
4	days, and buses and ambulances were unable to

5 traverse snowy streets.

As the Mayor has said many times, the City's response to this snowstorm was unacceptable. We didn't do the job that New York City residents and taxpayers deserve, or the job they have come to expect from this administration. We were too slow to respond and too slow to finish cleaning our streets. I understand the frustration the Council has expressed, and experienced by New Yorkers experienced, and the news of the tragedies that occurred during the storm affected me, as they did all of you.

Mayor Bloomberg and I are fully committed to understanding exactly what went wrong and the changes that must be made going forward.

You have already seen some of those changes implemented. This Administration is built on accountability, and as the Deputy Mayor for Operations, I take this responsibility very seriously.

My job as Deputy Mayor of

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Operations is to ensure that the agencies
3	implement the Mayor's priorities and operate
4	efficiently and effectively. I have learned
5	throughout my career in government, including as a
6	mayor, the first critical step in that process is
7	choosing excellent agency commissioners and then
8	empowering them to run their departments without
9	being micromanaged. Mayor Bloomberg has attracted
10	extraordinary public servants as commissioners,
11	and they have proven their abilities time and
12	again.

Today, in order to avoid repetition, each commissioner will speak to the decisions made within their agencies and to the operational responses they faced in dealing with the blizzard. I will speak specifically to the challenges faced by the entirety of city government and about the measures we are putting in place to ensure a better response next time.

Following the blizzard, the Mayor and I directed our Office of Operations to conduct a comprehensive review of the city's response to the blizzard. We wanted to understand what went wrong, and how the City should be better prepared

for the future. In addition, our Office of
Emergency Communications conducted an assessment
of the city's 911 system, which as you know, we
are in the process of modernizing. I plan to
share the preliminary results of these reviews
during the second part of my testimony.

It is worth noting that many factors, both within and outside of the city's control, combined to make responding to this blizzard particularly challenging. While the city clearly failed to perform well in certain key areas, several natural factors outside of the control significantly increased the difficulty of responding.

First, the weather forecasts

predicted low snow accumulations up until 18 hours

prior to the storm, which fell on a holiday

weekend. Second, the snow fell at an unusually

fast rate of two inches per hour or more.

Finally, because the storm fell on a holiday

weekend, more vehicles were on the roads than

typically would be during snow events, as New

Yorkers were returning from or embarking on

holiday travels. These factors combined to make

this blizzard a particularly challenging one forthe city to respond to.

However, the city's response was inadequate in multiple ways. The preliminary results of this review conducted by the Office of Operations and the Office of Citywide Emergency Communications have specifically found problems in six areas: the decision not to declare a snow emergency; insufficient accountability tools that led to a lack of real-time information on street conditions; insufficient and delayed deployment of city assets that could have assisted with snow removal operations; a failure to procure and preposition private resources; insufficient communication within city government and to the public; and problems with emergency communications and the response.

I would like to take time now to explain each of these problems and then to reserve time after the commissioners' testimonies to describe the city's fifteen-point plan for addressing these problems.

Problems Identified: the first problem, the decision not to declare a snow

emergency. On Saturday, December 25th, City
officials decided not to declare a snow emergency,
which by law would require private vehicles not to
utilize designated snow routes unless they are
equipped with snow chains or tires, and would ban
public parking on these routes. That decision was
based on the sound reasoning that, given the
Christmas weekend, it could create traffic
problems rather than solve them.

Later, during the night of Sunday,
December 26th and the morning of Monday, December
27th, discussions about declaring a snow emergency
or a state of emergency again concluded, we
believe rightly, that declaration would put, at
that time, more cars on the road, not less.
Nevertheless, the decisions were not guided by a
clear understanding of what powers and actions
such declarations would enable amongst city
agencies and other entities, and how the public
would be required to act as a result.

Through our review over the past two weeks, we believe that declaring an emergency could have been a triggering event for those city agencies and other entities that utilize such a

declaration as a catalyst for action, and by the
public, which potentially might have heard the
word emergency and limited their driving. Given
the information available at the time, the
decision not to declare an emergency was
understandable. However, based on what we know
now, an emergency declaration could have yielded a
much more successful response.

Accountability tools: throughout the storm and particularly in its aftermath, city agencies were bombarded with calls and emails regarding streets that had not been plowed or insufficiently plowed. In some instances, city officials believed the streets had been cleared, but later learned that this was not the case.

The Department of Sanitation
currently lacks the capability to track data on
street conditions in an automated real-time
fashion. DSNY reports on completed routes after a
shift, every 14 hours in this case, and only after
snow stops falling. As a result, the status of a
street may be significantly different once the
report is received. In addition, plowing progress
is tracked based on routes, so it is difficult to

2 know the status of a particular city street at a given time.

The lack of real-time information on street conditions inhibited effective deployment of city resources and effective quality control and led to an inability to make speedy management decisions, to redistribute resources as needed, and to communicate a completely accurate status to the public and elected officials, including the City Council.

Three: insufficient and delayed deployment of city assets. The weather forecast for the storm quickly grew significantly worse, culminating in a blizzard warning issued at 3:55 on Christmas Day. Due to the late change in the forecast, as well as the fact that DSNY has adeptly handled large snowfalls so many times previously without assistance, agencies that are not typically involved in snow removal, such as the Parks, Taxi and Limousine and others, were either not mobilized expeditiously, or never asked to mobilize at all.

Streets that DSNY could not plow immediately could have been tackled sooner by

other city personnel and equipment. For example,
tow trucks could have been used earlier to
liberate the thousands of stuck cars, ambulances
and buses that dramatically slowed DSNY's plowing
operations. In addition, other city workforces
could have been used to clear crosswalks and bus
stops, tasks that do not require heavy equipment,
yet did not begin until days after the storm
ended. Additional areas that could have been
cleared for the safety and convenience of
pedestrians remained impassable for days.

Four: failure to adequately procure and preposition private resources. For the overwhelming majority of snow storms, the city has in-house personnel and equipment resources necessary to quickly clear the streets. However, for storms as large as the December 26th blizzard, additional resources from outside entities need to be utilized.

Prior to the storm and the winter season, the city did not sufficiently tie up sufficient numbers of private contractors who could be on call to assist with plowing or towing during a large storm. Many private contractors

with heavy equipment could not be reached and
those that were reached were presented with
lengthy contracts while mountains of snow across
the city remained unplowed. DSNY has a list of
pre-authorized contractors, but it was not
extensive enough to yield the needed help at that
time.

In addition, although DSNY recruits potential snow laborers during the fall, the department did not recognize the size of the manpower needed and increase its requests for hired labor prior to this storm. Although day laborers looking for work could proactively call 311 in response to our request, they were often dissuaded by a message that said you have to register and you'll get paid in six to twelve weeks. It was off-putting to people could otherwise have worked.

As a result, basic snow removal tasks that could be completed with only a shovel were not undertaken and were not completed as quickly as they could have been had the workforce been recruited and the barriers to speedy payment been eliminated.

Five: insufficient internal and
external communications. About 50 percent of the
Department of Sanitation's trucks currently lack
radios. Among those that do have radios, just one
channel serves all of the vehicles in a borough.
During the blizzard, the borough channels became
over-saturated with radio traffic at the height of
the response, when drivers faced numerous plowing
impediments. Route completion could not always be
communicated and issues that arose during a shift,
such as a disabled vehicle blocking a route, could
not be immediately reported or dealt with.

In addition, the public lacked information about the three-tiered system by which DSNY prioritizes snow clearance on streets: primary, secondary and tertiary streets, and how to determine the classification of their own street. Thousands of residents, hundreds of thousands of residents actually called 311 but could not get information about when their streets would be plowed.

Six: problems with emergency communications and response. During the emergency, the 911 system, and specifically the

EMS portion of the system, became overburdened
with calls. Though steps were taken to increase
call-taker staffing, the backlog continued to
grow. By the night of December 26th, over 1,000
calls were in the queue, waiting for a response.
These problems were exacerbated by the fact that
well over 100 ambulances became stuck in snowy
conditions, further reducing EMS's ability to
respond to medical emergencies. The Police and
Fire Departments increased the number and types of
emergencies they responded to, but response times
climbed too high during the blizzard.

These six issues are the overarching problems that we have identified so far with the city's response. After the commissioners share their testimonies, I will speak again about the city's plan to fix these problems. And I look forward to learning from the Council's questions and its input on these plans as we go forward.

Before I turn it over to the commissioners, I would like to briefly address a couple of other specific issues that have been raised about the city's response to the storm.

First, reports that DSNY was

/

Ø

understaffed for the blizzard are not accurate.

In fact, the number of Sanitation workers actually increased in the weeks before the storm. We hired

a class of 100 Sanitation workers in time for them to begin work prior to the snow season, and indeed

they were on duty during the storm. This class

was the first new class of Sanitation workers to

be hired in two years.

We had the same number of workers out on the streets and available that we had on the storm last February, when the city successfully handled a storm that also dumped more than 20 inches of snow on the city. What's more, the department had the same number of pieces of equipment out on the streets and plowing the roads that they've had during other storms.

Second, the scheduled effort to increase the numbers of Sanitation workers by somewhat reducing the number of supervisors had not yet taken place before the blizzard. It's important to note that the purpose of these personnel change was to increase, rather than decrease, the number of workers who can plow the

streets and pick up waste. These steps have
helped the city reduce its budget deficit while
actually increasing the number of workers who
directly provide services to the public, without a
reduction in refuse or recycling collection. As a
result, we expect to maintain the necessary number
of workers during a really dreadful budget period.

Finally, Commissioner Doherty
briefed me on his snow plan prior to the storm,
and given his years of experience and excellent
track record, I properly relied upon his judgment
and expertise. I did not order any specific
operational changes to the department's snow plans
prior to the storm.

With that, I would like to turn the mike over to Commissioner Doherty, while reserving my right to speak again at the end about the city's comprehensive plan to address how we fell short.

SPEAKER QUINN: Just before

Commissioner Doherty starts, I'd like to recognize

we've been joined by Council Member Maria del

Carmen Arroyo of the Bronx, Majority Whip Al Vann

of Brooklyn and Council Member Dan Garodnick of

2 Manhattan.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Good morning
Chairpersons James, Vallone, Williams, Crowley and
members of the Committees on Sanitation and Solid
Waste Management, Public Safety, Investigations,
and Fire and Criminal Justice Services. I am John
Doherty, Commissioner for the New York City
Department of Sanitation. I thank you for this
opportunity today to explain the Department's
response to one of the city's largest and most
severe snowstorms in recent history.

For every New Yorker, and particularly for me as Sanitation Commissioner and a city resident, it will be a most unforgettable one. New Yorkers rightfully expected the delivery of timely and efficient service that we have constantly provided during past major snowstorms, and this time our clean-up response was unacceptable.

To begin, I'd like to briefly walk you through some information about this blizzard, our preparedness, and the impediments we faced.

I'll also share with you some of the immediate efforts to improve and enhance our response to

2 future snowstorms.

On a personal note, since I joined the Department in 1960, I've been fortunate to hold every position of uniformed rank starting as a sanitation worker. I've even battled hundreds of snowstorms in the last 50 years, and worked as a sanitation worker during the 1969 blizzard. Last month's storm has been ranked the sixth largest in the city's history, and the most powerful storm I can recall in my career.

a.m. on Sunday, December 26th. By 2:30 p.m., we observed at least a half inch of snow across all parts of the city and activated all plows and prepositioned in the field early in the morning.

From 4 p.m. to midnight on Sunday, the storm intensified, and in that period snow began falling at an unusually heavy rate of 1 to 2 inches per hour, with sustained blizzard conditions and wind gusts to 55 miles per hour.

Snow fell for 23 hours, ending at 9:30 on Monday, December 27th. Recorded snowfall depths by the National Weather Service registered upwards of 20 inches throughout the City.

2	However, department chiefs and officers in the
3	field measured snowfall depths at much higher
4	amounts during the storm, including upwards of 32
5	inches on Staten Island.

The Department of Sanitation operations staff first started tracking this storm 24/7 on Wednesday, December 22nd. By 5:00 a.m. on Friday morning, December 24th, reports by all three of the department's forecasters predicted the storm would reach New York City by Sunday afternoon into Monday morning, with snow accumulation of only one to three inches.

Based on these initial weather reports, we activated a limited snow operation. Plowing equipment was prepared and personnel were called in to report for Sunday, December 26th. The department also issued a Snow Alert by telephone to NYPD, DOT, DEP, Parks, MTA Transit, and OEM from 1:00 to 1: 15 p.m. on Friday afternoon.

The next morning, Sunday, December 25th, Christmas Day, weather forecasters increased their predicted snow accumulations to six to eight inches, and Sanitation personnel were contacted

for Sunday full-force with 12-hour split shifts.
Weather forecasts began to show the storm's
growing intensity, and at 12:03 p.m., the
department re-issued a second Snow Alert to the
other city agencies. At 3:55 p.m. Saturday, the
National Weather Service issued a blizzard warning
for the metro area forecasting up to 16 inches of
snowfall with winds that would reach as high as 55
miles per hour. As we know now, even these
predictions underestimated the amount of snow that
fell.

On Sunday December 26th, Department crews assigned to the first 12-hour shift encompassed 2,487 men and women who arrived at 7 a.m., a full 3 hours before the first snow started. Workers driving salt spreaders were dispatched to the city's arterial highways and primary roads, and later with plows when snow began accumulating.

During the next 12-hour shift that began 7 p.m. Sunday evening, we deployed 1,751 plows and 365 salt spreaders to assigned routes along city's highway department to primary and secondary streets, together with 76 plows and 2

2 front-end loaders by DOT.

On Monday, December 27th, the day after the equipment provided by other city agencies increased incrementally and reached a peak on Wednesday, December 29th with a total of 215 pieces from DOT, Parks and DEP to supplement our operations. This around-the-clock operation continued right up to Thursday morning.

By 5:00 p.m. Monday, December 27th, 63 percent of the city's total roadway lane miles had a plow driven down the street at least once.

By 7:00 p.m. the next day Tuesday, December 28th, 89 percent of the city's roadway lane miles had a plow drive down the street at least once.

Having said that, many people in Staten Island, south Brooklyn, eastern Queens, and to some degree other parts of the city still had unplowed streets at that time. Progress continued but was slowed by vehicles remaining stranded on city streets.

Just as the City Council and the public have demanded to understand, we, too, in the department have been assessing this event to determine what factors distinguished this storm

Irom other major storms that we've otherwise
fought successfully. Some of the challenges posed
by the December blizzard to our spreading and
plowing operations include the time of day the
snowfall began on a holiday weekend which created
heavier than expected traffic, and the intensity
of the storm. This was combined with frigid
temperatures and blizzard wind gusts that not only
caused dangerous white-out conditions for our
operators and the general public driving at night,
but also created snow drifts.

Additionally, a significant impediment to our response was the record number of vehicles that became stuck and were left abandoned by motorists across the City. This made many roads including primary streets impassable by our plows both during and after the blizzard, and in many cases even caused our own plows to get stuck behind those stranded vehicles. All of these factors caused this event to become the most difficult and unwelcome storm we've had to face in my years with the department.

As Deputy Mayor Goldsmith said, declaring a snow emergency this past Christmas

would not have kept cars from being snowed in or stuck on smaller avenues and streets in the city, which became a major problem in and following this storm. The utility of having citizens try to move parked cars off of major avenues as the storm approached was deemed to be very low. It was not felt that the presence of parked cars on avenues or major streets would hinder storm response, and indeed this did not prove to be a problem.

While this blizzard presented unique challenges, we reviewed our strategies and policies to improve our future performance during storms. Some of the immediate operational changes that we are making include the installation of GPS devices with two-way capability on certain trucks in Brooklyn, and retraining of all Sanitation workers on equipment operations.

We're also readjusting the trunnion plate on all of the snow plows back to their original position, even though the trunnion plate in the current position has also been successful during the last three snow seasons. Readjusting the trunnion plate will revert the plow angle to an upright position, allowing the plow to work

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	more effectively in higher depths of snow as in
3	last month's storm when the snow accumulated
4	rapidly.

In order to help remedy some of the problem areas we identified in last month's storm, I am reassigning some of the areas covered by the Brooklyn South zone to the Brooklyn North zone. This will ensure that the workloads are more manageable and services are delivered effectively.

We have also learned that we must improve the tools used by us to measure our progress. The department only collects data on completed routes, not individual routes. Data is only collected once worker shift is completed, even though regular shifts last 12 hours during large snowstorms. A route marked with the narrow technical definition of plowed may in fact not be passable by a vehicle. We will create new definitions and measurements so our data accurately matches what New Yorkers experience.

In the weeks and months ahead we will continue assessing this storm and the deficiencies of our response. We will implement further changes to re-tool and improve our

б

policies and operations. We'll also evaluate our
strategies in conjunction with other agencies, and
implement additional changes as may be necessary
to enhance inter-agency coordination with the
public.

With nearly 8,000 roadway lane miles to cover, Sanitation workers perform the best they can under the toughest conditions imaginable. Snow-fighting is a core agency mission, and all department employees understand that their performance is critical to keeping this city functioning 24/7.

We recognize that we did not perform up to standard that met the public's expectations, nor my expectations in the days following this event, which caused hardship to many. We know our reputation of the world's best snow-fighters has been called into question, and we must work to prove we deserve this distinction.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify today and I turn it over to Commissioner Bruno.

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Well, good afternoon Speaker Quinn, Chairperson Crowley,

Valione, Williams and James, and members of
Committees on Public Safety, Oversight and
Investigations, Fire and Criminal Justice Services
and Sanitation and Solid Waste Management. I am
Joe Bruno, Commissioner of the New York City
Office of Emergency Management, OEM.

I'm joined by my colleagues from
City Hall, the Fire Department, Department of
Sanitation, the Transportation Department and the
Police Department.

Put simply, the city's response to this blizzard did not live up to the standards we set for ourselves and the standards the public expects and deserves. Today, I will talk to you about OEM's role in planning for and monitoring winter weather. I will also walk you through decisions that were made before the storm, and talk to you about some of the challenges we faced during the storm.

During emergencies, OEM's job is to coordinate the city's response and recovery and to collect and disseminate critical information to key stakeholders in government, the private sector and the public. Although every emergency creates

new and unforeseen conditions that require
improvised procedures, we have plans in place that
define the way we operate. Emergencies in New
York City are managed under the Citywide Incident
Management System, known as CIMS. CIMS
establishes command structure for a range of
incidents. It assigns a lead or command element,
designates which agency or agencies are in charge
and sets forth roles and responsibilities for
agencies involved in emergency response.

In 2004, I oversaw the creation of the system and it has worked well for the city.

Under CIMS, weather events like the storm we are here to discuss, are managed by unified command, made up of the Department of Sanitation,

Department of Transportation, the Police

Department, the Fire Department and OEM.

The plan that guides the city's response to hazards that winter brings, including severe cold and major snowstorms in the New York City Winter Weather Emergency Plan. The Winter Weather Emergency Plan, much like the city's Heat Plan, is a strategic document that describes agency responsibilities, key decisions and

2 interagency coordination.

The primary role for OEM during any weather event is monitoring. OEM is staffed 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. Our watch command and field response operations work around the clock. Through watch command, we continuously monitor weather in consultation with the National Weather Service. We communicate weather information to all stakeholders, early and often. The uncertainty of weather forecasts makes predicting impacts, particularly snow accumulation and timing, difficult.

When the National Weather Service forecasts one of the plan's thresholds, such as six inches of snow, or temperatures below 15 degrees for more than two days, OEM convenes a group of city, state, federal, nonprofit, voluntary agencies called the Winter Weather Emergency Steering Committee. Through this committee, the National Weather Service provides the forecast and its predicted impacts, and OEM ensures that agency preparations are in place.

I'd like to take you through the events leading up to and during the storm. As

previously mentioned, we are always talking to the National Weather Service. And on Friday, December 24th, National Weather Service predicted three to five inches of snow with a forecast confidence of around 50 percent. This did not meet our activation threshold. However, we continued to monitor forecasts and keep agencies informed of the latest forecasts.

By midnight on Christmas Eve, the forecasted snowfall had increased to six to eight inches, and it continued to grow through the morning and early afternoon of Saturday the 25th. This predicted snowfall met our plan threshold so we notified agencies and command centers, we convened the Winter Weather Steering Committee which consisted of 30-plus agencies, and prepared to open the City's Emergency Operations Center.

The purpose of the Emergency
Operations Center is to bring all of the agencies
involved in a response together to provide the
city with a common operating picture, and to
identify and solve problems. In addition, when an
agency needs assistance to do its job, it can
request resources through the Emergency Operations

2	Center, and we will get them from other city
3	agencies, neighboring counties, states and the
4	federal government, and from the private sector.

On Christmas Day, minutes before our scheduled 4 p.m. call with the Winter Weather Emergency Steering Committee, the National Weather Service issued a blizzard warning and predicted approximately 9 to 14 inches of snow in New York City with a forecast confidence now of 60 percent, allowing us to discuss this change with the 30-plus agencies on the call including with the National Weather Service.

When the blizzard warning was issued, we notified our partners in the private and human services sectors and reached out to the public through Notify NYC and social media.

The Winter Weather Emergency

Steering Committee met again at 12 noon on

December 26th. During that discussion, the

National Weather Service described, for the first

time, a worst-case scenario of up to 20 inches of

snow. The heaviest snow was forecasted to arrive

around 5 p.m. that day. As we all know now, the

snow began somewhat earlier than we had

б

2 anticipated.

However, all agencies reported on time to the EOC and we were fully staffed with representatives from the following agencies: the Police Department, Fire Department, Sanitation Department, Department of Transportation, the MTA, the Department of Buildings, the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, Con Edison, National Grid, and the New York State Office of Emergency Management.

This was a ferocious storm. In addition to dumping more than 20 inches of snow across the city, it brought hurricane-force winds and temperatures below freezing for several days. This was the sixth largest snowstorm ever recorded in New York City, and it dropped more snow on Brooklyn and Staten Island than any storm in modern history. The intensity of the storm, coupled with its arrival on one of the busiest travel days of the year, created significant challenges for the city.

As the snow fell, we worked to collect and share information with city agencies, regional partners, businesses, and the public. We

maintained contact with our partner agencies who
provide services to the special needs population
including the MTA Paratransit system, the
Department for the Aging, the End State Renal
Disease Network, the Human Resources
Administration, the American Red Cross and the
Administration for Children's Services. We
reviewed their plans for continuity of critical
services and discussed contingency plans to
address service gaps.

The early morning hours of December 27th, we began to face a series of problems with vehicles stuck in the snow, most notably, ambulances. We began to receive reports of snowbound ambulances and buses and abandoned cars blocking streets. OEM focused on these issues in the Emergency Operations Center by collecting and providing the location of these ambulances to the Sanitation Department and the Police Department.

Many ambulances were freed from the snow, but the scope of the problem grew throughout the early morning. More and more vehicles became snowbound, and in some cases even the tow trucks and the Fire Department equipment sent to assist

them became stuck.

We established a process to expedite information about snowbound ambulances from EMS to Sanitation and Police Departments for assistance. This helped to get some more ambulances freed. But as the number of stuck vehicles continued to grow, it became necessary for a greater focus on this critical problem.

It became clear to us that we did not have enough tow trucks and that tow trucks and plows weren't sufficient for the task. We needed front-end loaders also. In fact, we needed all three pieces of equipment to be sent at the same time.

To accomplish the movement of these resources, we created a task force of high level Police Department, Fire Department and Department of Sanitation staff with expertise in and control of the front loaders, two trucks and plows, which immediately began working to free additional ambulances.

Within a few hours, the task force managed to free 120 ambulances. Once all of the ambulances were cleared, the group expanded its

focus to stuck buses and to stranded vehicles that impeded plowing operations. The work of this task force is a good example of the value added by the coordination of agencies and resources in the Emergency Operations Center.

The EOC operated continuously
through January 1st, 2011. During that time, we
communicated with our regional partners, including
the Port Authority, state agencies and emergency
managers from neighboring counties. Through our
logistics section in the EOC, we focused on
resource requests from agencies, including towing
resources and front end loaders from New York
State and weatherized ambulances from upstate New
York and New Jersey.

Through the duration of the storm, and the cleanup, OEM communicated with hospitals, both directly and through our partners, the Health and Hospitals Corporation and the Greater New York Hospital Association. We did this through teleconference, telephone and our 800 megahertz radio alerts, monitored by all hospitals and other health care facilities.

We, like the other agencies, are in

2	the middle of a top to bottom review of our
3	operations to identify areas of improvement and
4	implement new solutions. The lessons we are
5	learning will make us better prepared to respond
6	to all emergencies. We followed our Winter
7	Weather Plan, but after the storm we have
8	identified a list of things we can and must do
9	better.

We are looking at the Emergency
Operations Center. Should we have activated
earlier, should we have involved more agencies?
Were those agencies represented by the right
people? These questions are part of our review.

With respect to snowbound ambulances, were we too slow to recognize that the strategy we had in place wasn't enough? We lost time in getting the right focus on the problem and getting in place the equipment we needed to solve it. We need to react immediately and effectively to any report of a stuck ambulance. We did not establish the task force quickly enough.

In addition, we didn't know how bad conditions were on the ground, especially in southern Brooklyn and Staten Island. We need more

and better tools to understand conditions in real
time. We need those in the EOC. Our personnel
and equipment need to be ready to be deployed well
before they are needed.

Did we do a good job? There is no way we can see the results that we see here and say that we did the job that is expected of us.

Our team is deeply committed to performing up to that standard and we will make whatever changes are necessary to achieve that.

I thank you again for allowing us to speak here today. We'll answer any questions that you have.

SALVATORE CASSANO: Good afternoon,
Speaker Quinn, Committee Chairs and Council
Members. Thank you for inviting me to discuss the
New York City Fire Department response to the
December 26th, 2010 blizzard and our operations
during and after the storm.

Like all city agencies that needed to carry on their operations during this storm, the FDNY faced many challenges, some which taxed our resources in ways never experienced before.

Despite these challenges, the men and women of our

department performed as best they could to carry
out their mission of saving lives and property.
We are committed to learning whatever lessons we
can from this storm and making all changes that
are necessary to improve our ability to serve the
public in the future.

In my testimony, I will discuss how the weather affected fire and EMS operations, how we operated during the storm, and measures we will take in the future relating to operations during similar severe weather conditions. I have asked our Chief of Department, Ed Kilduff, to coordinate a comprehensive after-action review of FDNY operations during the blizzard. That effort is currently underway, as is our coordination with the Mayor's Office on its review of all city agency operations during the storm.

Operating Procedures for cold weather, winter storms or other severe winter weather conditions. They are set forth in All Unit Circular 200.

Among other things, AUC 200 sets forth procedures to be followed in the case of freezing conditions and sudden heavy or prolonged snowfalls.

it delineates responsibilities of
officers of every rank, specialized units and
certain civilian bureaus. AUC 200 sets forth
required drills, training, reports, interagency
communications and equipment to be assembled in
readiness and placed on every company apparatus,
including shovels and salt. Particular attention
is paid to reporting out-of-service hydrants to
DEP and ensuring they are kept clear for our use.

On the morning of the 26th, before the snow began to fall, I ordered that an extra firefighter be added to the 134 engine companies that operate with four firefighters, our of our 198 total engine companies. Sixty-four engine companies operate with five firefighters and that staffing level was maintained. This extra staffing started at 6 p.m. on December 26th. We experienced no difficulty maintaining our fire company staffing during, or in the days following, the blizzard.

I also ordered EMS Operations to staff additional ambulances in anticipation of the storm and its impact on our ability to provide ambulance coverage. I will further discuss our

2 EMS operations shortly.

As an additional precaution, on the night of the blizzard we activated two of our brush fire units, which were deployed to hilly areas of Staten Island. Because these four-wheel-drive units are uniquely capable of maneuvering in difficult conditions, such as snow, sand and mud, we wanted to capitalize on these capabilities given the deep snow conditions. These units are also capable of towing apparatus out of mud and snow. We then activated five of these units on Monday and Tuesday on Staten Island again, and to some isolated areas in Brooklyn and Queens.

All of our fire apparatus are equipped with traction-control tires and operate well in light snowfall. However, once the snowfall deepened, all our fire apparatus were ordered to install snow chains. Even with the chains, some apparatus did have difficulty traveling to and from calls. If they did get stuck, the companies were able to dig themselves out using the shovels and other tools we have on all apparatus.

As you can imagine, our companies

were slowed in responding to emergencies as the snow deepened and as cars were stuck or abandoned and blocked streets. Thankfully, fire activity did not spike significantly during or immediately after the storm.

Not surprisingly, response times did escalate. Our statistics show that in 2010, we had an average of 73 structural fires daily, with an average response time of 4 minutes, 1 second. From Sunday December 26th through Wednesday, December 29th we had: 74 structural fires, with an average response time of 4:33 minutes on Sunday, December 26th; 68 structural fires, with an average response time of 7:58 minutes on Monday, December 27th; 68 structural fires, with an average response time of 5:14 minutes Tuesday, December 28th; and 89 structural fires, with an average response time of 5:05 minutes on Wednesday, December 29th, 2010.

The number of total incidents handled by Fire personnel did not spike dramatically, either. In 2010, we averaged to 1,390 incidents daily. From Sunday through Wednesday, December 26th through the 29th, we had

a high of 1,660 incidents on Monday and a low of 1,492 incidents on Wednesday. Response times on Monday, December 27th to these 1,660 incidents averaged 10:26 minutes.

Another measure we initiated to try to care for as many people as possible as quickly as possible was to modify procedures for our CFRD engine companies when they were on the scene of a medical emergency, but the EMTs and Paramedics were delayed. For those cases, we directed that our Fire personnel could get immediate advice and decision making from our On-Line Medical Control doctors.

Our OLMC doctors normally provide medical advice directly to EMS personnel, who typically arrive shortly after our Fire personnel. With EMS often greatly delayed by the storm, this procedural change allowed Fire personnel to contact OLMC and obtain physician approval: to permit a patient to refuse medical attention; or to permit our CFRD providers to suspend CPR after 20 minutes, the same standard we use for our EMS personnel, and when their AEDs, automatic external defibrillators indicated no shock.

Again, our goal here was to ensure our Fire personnel had access to the same physician advice and approval mechanisms that our EMS crews would have available to them had they been on scene.

Like Fire Operations, EMS also has written SOPs for operating in severe weather. EMS SOPs set forth the responsibilities of its members and procedures to be followed in severe cold or winter weather. Notwithstanding the preparation of our EMS crews and EMS officers, the most significant factors affecting our EMS workforce was a surge in call volume and the road conditions we faced.

We have experienced call volume spikes in the past, but we have managed to handle that workload more quickly and reduce spiking response times. With this storm, our EMS fleet was severely impacted by the weather and we had so many out-of-service ambulances as a direct result of the blizzard conditions that we could not keep up with the soaring demand for EMS resources.

On a typical day, we have 3,300 to 3,400 calls for medical emergencies. On Sunday,

December 26th, in just the six hours from 6 p.m.
to midnight, we were averaging more than 200 calls
per hour. On Monday and Tuesday we received 4,662
and 4,291 calls, respectively. While we might
expect more calls reporting chest pain as New
Yorkers exerted themselves by shoveling snow, our
statistics indicate a spike in calls for every
category of medical emergencies.

In the hours leading up to the blizzard and throughout the storm, I and other city officials, took every opportunity to tell the public they should only call 911 for real emergencies. The local media was a tremendous help in this regard. However, calls that EMS received for medical emergencies rose sharply during the storm and afterward.

The department's EMS Command took
many steps to increase preparedness and obtain
assistance as the storm approached and
intensified. On Sunday afternoon, we held over
employees who were going off and asked them to
work another tour. We increased the complement of
ambulances in service to the maximum of 361, which
were 117 more than the usual complement on Tour 1.

At I a.m. on Monday we activated
mutual aid, and at 3 a.m. we recalled our off-duty
platoon of EMS personnel. We issued four more
recall orders over the next few days. Some
recalled personnel were unable to report because
they had no way to get to work. Many EMS members
did report for duty and started working
immediately. Throughout the blizzard and
afterward, we worked closely with OEM to address
the deteriorating state of our operational
capacity.

During the early hours on Monday, we requested mutual aid from New Jersey and New York State. By 9 a.m. Monday, New Jersey sent 19 ambulances and provided 20 replacements on Tuesday and Wednesday as well. New York State sent 20 ambulances early Tuesday, and sent two replacement fleets of 20 over the next two days.

Appropriately, much focus has been placed on the high number of ambulances that got stuck in the snow. Although we equip all of our ambulances with ice and snow shovels, the deep and drifting snow left many of our crews stranded.

Many EMS crews tried to navigate through deep snow

and past stalled and abandoned vehicles. Many times, our crews had to leave the ambulance and carry their medical kits on foot to reach the medical emergency. Transport of our patients then became an issue.

Throughout, we worked with our partners to get as many ambulances moving again as quickly as possible. We prioritized those ambulances that had patients on board, followed by those that had only our crew in them, and finally those had been vacated by our crews as the lowest priority.

Often, getting out of the snow was not our only problem. Many ambulances had to be taken out of service for long and short-term repairs. Some just needed to replace windshield wipers, while others suffered more significant mechanical problems. Stuck ambulances running for long periods of time led to overheated power steering fluid that disabled the power steering. Transmissions and rear differentials failed after many attempts to free the ambulances from the snow. To replace the vehicles that were taken out of service, we had 75 spare ambulances available

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when the storm began. All of that spare capacity 2 was utilized by Monday morning and by the evening 3 4 on Tuesday, December 28th we had almost no spares available.

The increased call volume and shortage of operating ambulances increased response times to all categories of calls. As I indicated earlier, our call volume surged in virtually all medical categories. Our most critical calls for cardiac arrest and choking were 44 percent above average on December 26th, 85 percent above average on December 27th and 42 percent above average on December 28th. In raw numbers, this translated to 122 Segment 1 calls on the 27th and 94 such calls on the 28th. We had been averaging about 66 Segment 1's per day in November 2010.

This surge in call volume, along with the other difficulties I mentioned, drove up response times. Where Segment I was the initial call type, we had been averaging EMS response time of about 5:15 minutes. On December 27th, that rose to nearly 55 minutes. We were able to reduce response time to less than 19 minutes by December

28th. These response times do not include the
medical responses of our CFRD engine companies,
which sometimes arrived at these calls sooner than
EMS. Including CFRD responses, our average
response time to Segment 1 calls was under 47
minutes on Monday, December 27th and about 15
minutes on December 28th

As you know, we experienced severe backlogs of calls from nighttime on December 26th through nighttime on December 28th. Our call backlog escalated to nearly 1,400 at its peak on December 27th, and remained above 1,000 for over 11 hours.

Some of our new approaches: as I stated earlier, the department is conducting a thorough after-action review and is participating actively in the Mayor's Office of Operations review of the city's overall performance. Through these review processes I am certain we will discover ways of improving our operations and procedures and I look forward to sharing those plans with you in the near future.

In the meantime, we will implement several measures immediately to address issues

that came to light during the blizzard. In
particular, we are already in the process of
revising our EMS and Fire Operating Procedures for
winter and cold weather operations. We are
placing more focus on integrating EMS and Fire
Operations resources, for example, sharing tools,
personnel and towing implements between Fire and
EMS units so that patients can be removed and
transported as quickly as possible

All of our EMS ambulances are being outfitted with sled-like devices for moving patients called skeds and we are now procuring more tow straps for our apparatus so they can more quickly assist other FDNY vehicles that are stuck in the snow.

And for our ambulances, we plan to pilot a flat tire-hugging snow chain during snowstorms of eight inches or greater. We hope that these lighter chains will, as advertised, just fall to the ground when they break. The reason we discontinued using chains 15 years ago was that a large portion of the ambulance fleet sustained damage to the body and components when the chains broke. Some vehicles sustained major

2 damage to the brake system and rear end
3 components.

I would also make earlier use of our eight brush fire units. As I stated, we activated two of these 4-wheel-drive units on Staten Island Sunday and the others over the next two days. In the face of another major storm, I would activate all of them immediately to assist our fire and EMS units.

Finally, during a severe snow storm or weather emergency in the future, I would also activate our Incident Management Team. The IMT, comprised of senior fire and EMS personnel specially trained in logistics, communications and other areas, is typically utilized for catastrophic fires or other emergencies. For example, our IMT was deployed to New Orleans after, Hurricane Katrina for over six week, but has not been initiated in New York City during a blizzard or snow storm.

An IMT would allow us to manage and maximize our available resources and coordinate even more closely both internally and externally in the event of a major storm. For example,

members of the Incident Management Team logistics
section would manage supplies, personnel and all
resources for the duration of the incident, while
members of the planning section would focus on
internal and external communications.

In conclusion, FDNY's Firefighters and EMS personnel performed admirably under the very difficult conditions caused by the blizzard. Our ongoing review of what occurred will inform and guide our efforts to improve the department's performance in the future.

As always, we are committed to ensuring the safety of our communities we serve.

I will now turn it back to Deputy Mayor Goldsmith.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Let me conclude by, now that you've heard from the commissioners, offering a forward-looking plan.

Let me just also say, before kind of reading quickly through the plan that I've heard from many of you. Each time I've heard from you, I've gathered knowledge about real conditions on the streets and in our garages and around our ambulances and the homes you represent. Each one of those conversations, some have been difficult,

2 but they've all been informative.

So I'm going to lay out some of the things we plan to do, but this is just a beginning point. As Council Members have observations, both about how we performed or how we performed in their districts, I'm eager to amend this plan and add to it.

So here are some preliminary thoughts that we are in the process of implementing.

First, we need to amend the process for declaring snow emergencies. As I mentioned in my opening testimony the decision not to declare an emergency was understandable; however based on what we know now, an emergency declaration of some sort would have been helpful.

Going forward, the city will establish a more formal process for considering emergency declarations in all circumstances, particularly in severe weather events. During severe weather, officials face very difficult judgment calls about whether to disrupt the lives of the public in anticipation of an event of ultimately uncertain severity. To ensure that the

merits and consequences of an emergency
declaration are fully evaluated during a
significant weather event, specific protocols for
decision making will be developed that clearly
outline the roles of the participating agencies
and the Mayor's Office.

Two: we'll offer a broader range of options that could be part of an emergency declaration and make them clear and understandable to New Yorkers. Because requiring the public to use snow tires and chains on certain emergency routes and forbidding parking on those routes are not the only ways to reduce vehicular traffic in inclement weather, the city will establish a menu of options for emergency managers that expand the choices of decision-makers and take into account the actions that other entities will undertake based on a declaration. These options will be designed and communicated in plain language, with input from the Council, so their meanings and the actions required of the public are clear.

Accountability tools: in order to increase the city's capacity to hold itself accountable during a weather emergencies we will

do the following. One, equip every Department of
Sanitation truck with a GPS device enabled with
the-way communication. The city will equip
collection trucks that are used for plowing with
GPS-enabled phones with two-way communication.
Field workers will be trained on these mobile
devices to share real-time progress reports with
their supervisors.

These devices will help supervisors measure performance and will enhance the safety of our field workers. The technology will enable more frequent productivity reporting to improve public transparency, and assist in assessing resource deployment. During the snow event on January 7th, a test of 50 devices was performed successfully in one Sanitation District in Brooklyn.

Four: improve accountability tools and plowing definitions used by Sanitation for street conditions. To address the issues of reporting delays and inadequate measurements of street conditions, DSNY will create new definitions and reporting standards so that their data will accurately match the reality on the

street. The Department of Transportation's
evaluation system for the bridges and other
thoroughfares it maintains provides a potential
citywide model.

Next, five: use live monitors, which we call the Scout teams, to stream video of trouble spots. In August 2007, the city launched the Street Conditions Observation Unit, SCOUT teams, which is a group of inspectors whose mission is to drive every city street once a month and report conditions that negatively affect the quality of life.

During an average workday, the City uses 15 SCOUTs, largely in 3-wheel vehicles or electric Mini Coopers. During snow emergencies the City will deploy some of those SCOUTS in four-wheel drive vehicles equipped with digital video technology that can stream live images of critical areas back to a command center. This initiative will provide DSNY, OEM and the Mayor's Office with independent quality assurance and was successfully piloted during the January 7th snow event.

Three: rapid deployment of all possible city assets. In order to ensure the

rapid deployment of all possible city assets in a
severe weather emergency we will do the following
First, enhance the immediate availability of
critical equipment. OEM will update the asset
inventory of City equipment that it maintains to
ensure it can identify and deploy resources
effectively during an emergency. To facilitate
the use of this equipment, OEM will work with
agencies to designate an on-call person who can
deploy equipment and operators from their agency
as needed. Potential agency assignments will be
pre-determined so that when a need arises, agency
equipment can be most effectively deployed.

Next, enhance the city's ability to deploy city labor from other departments. OEM will conduct a survey of all areas where city employees can be called out to provide additional labor in an emergency, whether severe snow, flooding or another event. The city has large numbers of committed employees willing to work hard in response to emergency events. Where necessary or appropriate, OEM will work with agencies to train staff in functions critical to emergency response so that when a need arises,

city employees are prepared and ready to help.

Operations Center as the center of resource coordination. OEM, as the city's coordinator of emergency resource deployment, sets up the EOC to serve as the City's operational hub during an emergency. In the wake of the December blizzard, OEM and City agencies will reassess their protocols for deploying agency representatives to the EOC to ensure that representatives are agency decision makers, have up-to-date agency data about in progress operations, and are familiar with key agency assets and operations. These steps will ensure that the EOC has the knowledge and authority it needs during an emergency.

Nine: tow truck deployment. Through a centralized coordinator, the City will better incorporate the full citywide towing resources into its snow planning and ensure that all agencies prepare these resources for deployment prior to a significant storm. Specific processes that outline the timing of tow truck deployment and the authority of city tow trucks to tow private vehicles will also be developed and better

2 communicated.

Four: better pre-positioning of private resources. In order to better enlist private resources when responding to an emergency requires them, the City will do the following.

This is number ten. Secure and expeditiously deploy private contractors for assistance.

Going forward, the City will identify organizations that can produce needed assets and be assigned to specific tasks immediately in the event of an emergency.

Assignments could include towing, plowing, hauling and other activities. Procurement of these resources must be organized in advance and streamlined to the fullest extent possible.

The contracting process and the contracts themselves must be competitive with what other potential employers are paying, so that when these contractors are needed, they will not choose other opportunities over city work. DSNY and OEM should utilize all contracting vehicles available to them, including through the use of agency procurement cards.

Eleven: improve the process of

б

niring additional laborers. To ensure that the
City can recruit the external workers it needs, it
must be able to compete with other potential
employers. While the City cannot pay in cash or
engage employees without checking certain federal
work requirements, it can and will identify
workforce and staffing partners who can be
organized to respond when necessary and will also
revise procurement and payment processes
accordingly. Contracts will be structured to
ensure that service is provided within critical
timeframes and that work is pre-assigned to
workers who can fulfill the assignments that are
given to them.

Number five: communications. In order to improve our communications with the public during an emergency, and by the way, our communications with the City Council, the city will do the following. Improve two-way communications through a real-time portal. To enhance transparency and improve accountability, the City will create a dedicated site on NYC.gov for winter weather events that will include maps, news and announcements, as well as a community

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forum.

The website will serve as a public reporting and accountability portal through which New Yorkers can support the City's quality assurance efforts by providing real-time reports, including photos and video, of the status of streets. As most of the Council Members know, I guess all the Council Members know from your calls from your residents, that folks on the ground had much better information than many of us did in City Hall about the actual conditions on the streets. We need to learn from that and watch it in real time. NYC CityMap will be updated to show the streets which are designated as primary, secondary and tertiary streets for snow removal. And we're going to evaluate those designations going forward.

Thirteen: provide better methods for citizens to request help. The 311 call center experienced high volume. We got over 250,000 calls a day at high times. And after the storm that included a high number of non-critical informational requests that clogged the system.

Both 911 and 311 received calls that were requests

for non-critical services. 311 has enhanced web
reporting options since that event that can handle
most types of service requests.

We will encourage, in the future, more New Yorkers to sign-up for Notify NYC which will automatically communicate to them information and we will move quickly to enhance 311 social networking options that will allow citizens to more easily register in advance for information to be sent to them on critical and non critical actions like trash pickup and parking.

311 will also move quickly to add texting options to the call center in order to provide efficient responses to short questions and eventually as another channel for reporting requests for service.

Six: emergency communications.

Finally, in order to improve our Emergency

Communications, the City will do the following.

Fourteen: reform dispatch protocols in extreme and high volume events. The 911 system can improve the way it responds to high call volume events by creating escalation protocols that focus on expected problem areas and by improving its

2 ability to bring in new staff as needed.

The City will also conduct a comprehensive review of its 911 call handling during large scale emergencies. Procedures given to supervisors for monitoring backlogged calls during heavy volume times will be reviewed.

Protocols for which type of FDNY, EMS or NYPD resource is sent to specific types of events in these extreme situations will also be reviewed.

In addition, the City will improve its ability to bring in additional staff during high call volume events. We had a lot of really hard working folks in those centers that couldn't be relieved in a timely fashion.

Fifteen: accelerate the integration and implementation of the Emergency Communications Transformation Program, ECTP. Over the upcoming months, the integration of the City's 911 dispatch and telephony systems will be improved through the Emergency Communications Transformation Program.

In the near-term, operational procedures will be reviewed and the best practices applied where necessary. Technology systems will be employed and tailored to meet the operational needs of NYPD

and the FDNY. Co-location of NYPD and the FDNY
will enhance the effectiveness of the City's
emergency response and make us better prepared to
deal with future challenges.

In this area I am pleased that Skip
Funk has joined this administration as our new
Director of Citywide Emergency Communication.
He's a national expert on emergency communications
and has already been a valuable asset to the City
in the days following the blizzard.

We are confident that pursuing this fifteen-point-plan plus additional points brought to our attention by the Council will help the City fix the causes of its December mistakes and will strengthen the city's ability to respond to future weather situations.

To close, look, we didn't do the job you expected. We didn't do the job that residents of New York City expected. There were a lot of mistakes made. We acknowledge those.

We're here to learn from those mistakes and promise to do better in the future and are eager to take your questions. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you, Deputy

Mayor. Just before we begin questioning, I just
want to remind my colleagues that the protocol
will be that each of the chairs will get ten
minutes for questions, as will I, and then we'll
go to the one question and the appropriate follow-
un format that Chair James outlined

I want to recognize that we've been joined, since I last announced members, by Council Member Rosie Mendez of Manhattan, Council Member James Sanders, Jr., of Queens and Council Member Darlene Mealy of Brooklyn. I don't think I missed anyone else. I'm sorry; Council Member Erik Dilan of Brooklyn and Council Member Diana Reyna of Brooklyn. Apparently, I did miss people. Thank you, both.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,

Madame Speaker. Deputy Mayor, we accept your

comment with regards to working with the City

Council, and thank you for acknowledging the fact

that all of us, in our criticism of the

Administration, had firsthand knowledge of

conditions on the ground.

I would hope that you would communicate to the Mayor of the City of New York

that he, too, adopt that policy, particularly in
light of his comments at a recent press conference
where in response to a reporter who indicated that
there was criticism from members of the City
Council, he stated that members of the City
Council had too much time on their hands. We
thank you for that and would hope that you would
communicate that message to the Mayor.

In regards to the failure to declare an emergency and your position that it was understandable, given the facts as you knew them at the time, I want to review with you the timeline. The National Weather Service issued a hazardous weather outlook on December 21st. By Friday, December 24th, the National Weather Service escalated their prediction to a 30-40 percent chance of six inches or more of snow, and winds up to 50 miles per hour.

On Saturday, on Christmas, at 3:58

a.m. the Weather Service upgraded their prediction

and a storm watch was predicted, predicting six to

eight inches. Then finally, on Christmas, at 3:55

in the afternoon, the Weather Service issued a

full blizzard warning, predicting 11 to 16 inches

of snow or higher. That was at 3:55 in the afternoon.

4 Philly, Delaware, Boston,

Massachusetts, New Jersey, multiple cities in

Connecticut got it right. Why did we miss the

mark? Why did we not err on the side of caution

when lives were at stake? Who was responsible for

not declaring a snow emergency? Why not a state

of emergency? Did we reach out to the Governor of

the State of New York for assistance with the

National Guard or humvees? Did we call the

National Guard? We could have done this, again,

prior to any snow hitting the ground, as soon as

the Weather Service issued a blizzard warning.

Deputy Mayor?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I can offer summary comments, but let me, with your permission, first, ask Commissioner Doherty to explain the thought process about not declaring a snow emergency which occurred, and then Commissioner Bruno can respond to your questions about the state. If you have further questions for me, I'll respond. Thank you.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Starting on the

24th, I had talked briefly with Commissioner
Sadik-Khan about basically we were discussing
first the issues on alternate side parking and
meters for Monday, based on the weather reports we
had at that time. We didn't know the severity of
the storm. We continued to discuss that. Then on
Saturday, the 26th, we discussed the issue of
implementing traffic emergency, the emergency as
we know today, which requires all vehicles not to
park on emergency snow streets and to have chains
or snow tires on.

The decision at that point, as it has been at times in the past, was not to call the emergency because the cars that were parked at the curb were not going to impede our operation. And we didn't want people to start taking those cars out, starting to look for a parking space that as we all know, in New York City, is very difficult to find, and then creating more problems that we were trying to avoid, such as traffic and cars getting stuck.

So that was kind of what was going through my mind as to what we should be doing as far as the snow emergency goes.

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: With respect to
the state, we had the State Office of Emergency
Management on all of our winter weather calls. We
talked to them regularly as the storm progressed.
Obviously, earlier on, the storm, up to the 24th,
was a relatively small storm. It certainly
escalated on the 25th where it went to six to
eight inches, or perhaps the number that you
suggested. I have six to eight at 12:05 a.m.,
actually, the winter storm watch was issued. Then
it progressed upward.

Our activation a number of times. They are there with us, right in the EOC. We did suggest what are the possibilities from the National Guard?

Our focus at the time we were talking was stuck ambulances. We were looking really for additional ambulance resources and tow trucks if we could.

We were able to get the state to broker in some ambulances, which ultimately went to the Fire Department.

We did talk about the National Guard. With regard to the National Guard, when we were talking to them, the lead time was too great,

so	we	deci	ided	we	would	just	go	for	direct
aml	bula	ance	supp	port	t.				

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner
Bruno, could you elaborate? What was the request
from the state of New York and what was their
response? My understanding, based on
conversations with a number of individuals, was
that there were limitations to the number of
Humvees available in the State of New York, as
well as members of the National Guard.

The lead time was great. It would be beyond the end of the storm period. We have these folks in there and we deal quite a bit with the State of New York through their Office of Emergency

Management. We were talking not only about the National Guard, which was a long lead time, but we were also talking about do you have tow trucks, do you have ambulances available that we could get right now.

They did not have much in a way of tow capability, but they had ambulances. As Sal has pointed out in his testimony, Commissioner Cassano, he indicated that we received I think 20

2	plus replacements from New York State. They,
3	ultimately, also got ambulances from New Jersey,
4	about 15. So those were where we concentrated.
5	In all of those requests, New York City OEM has to
6	make that official request through the state and
7	that's what we did.
8	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: What were the
9	reasons why we did not have National Guard members
10	available and/or Humvees?
11	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I just think the
12	lead time for them to be made available at that
13	time was just too long.
14	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: If in fact this
15	storm did not meet the threshold to activate a
16	snow emergency, under what circumstance would you
17	active a snow emergency and/or a state of
18	emergency?
19	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Let me answer
20	your question in a couple of ways. First, we
	1

your question in a couple of ways. First, we
acknowledge that a declaration of an emergency
would have been a helpful and constructive
catalytic event that would have improved the
response in this storm. The decision by the
Transportation and Sanitation commissioners to not

call a snow emergency was based on their informed judgment at the time that it would have required

New York citizens to move their cars from snow routes to secondary routes. That would have adversely affected the snow removal proposition by putting more cars in movement and further clogging the secondary streets.

Our evaluation since that time, in response, you've actually made, as I've interpreted it, two related questions. The second, I would answer, I think, as follows, which is that we need to consider, and I think this is what you're suggesting, a broader array of actions that we would expect from the public, better calibrated against an emergency declaration.

So, everyone who was involved in this decision, the three commissioners were thinking about snow emergency, meaning move the cars off the snow routes. There were many more things we could have done with a general emergency declaration such as "don't move your car." We're giving careful consideration to whether we need new executive orders, whether we need additional advice from the Council and we need a much clearer

2	protocol in City Hall and in the Administration
3	about who is involved and what decisions they
4	make.

So in response to your questions, and I think suggestions, I think they're well taken and we're in the process of evaluating so that we don't get trapped in that situation again.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I would like to move on now to the state of readiness. Salt spreaders are usually ordered out early in a storm for the purposes of reducing the accumulation of snow and the prevention of icy conditions. When was the order for salt spreaders given? Who made that decision? Did there come a time when the accumulation of snow became so great that it could not be readily cleared with salt alone?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Let me just go back a step. As I indicated on Thursday, we had the forecast that it was going to be a couple inches. We weren't sure exactly what it was going to be. We had then ordered personnel in for those for Sunday afternoon, for Christmas day rather, for salt spray. So we were bringing personnel in, the spreaders were ready; we were set up to go.

The song changed on Christmas
morning. We brought more people in. We went into
a double shift operation, two 12-hour shifts. The
spreaders were assigned when they came out to
their starting points, where they would normally
start the routes. The orders were as soon as it

started snowing, to start spreading the salt.

That's what they did. They were followed later by

plows.

As we went through the evening and the storm started getting greater and the amount of snow started to build and coming down quicker, we found out from our field managers that the salt was not effective. What I mean by that is when the spreader completed its route and came back to start that route again, there was a layer of snow on there and it wasn't being melted.

We also had plows going through at the same time. So you lay the salt down and it's laying on the snow and then the plow is only pushing it off to the slide, so it was not effective. Temperatures were dropping. We discontinued, for the 7 p.m. shift, the use of salt.

At 4:30 the next morning, we
reinstated salt spreading because at that point
the storm had gone down a little bit and there
wasn't as much snow coming down. The plows were

6 clearing the snow off the primary roadways and the

7 highways and salt became effective.

So there was a period of time when we found it was not effective. It didn't make sense to do it. Then when it became a period when we thought it would be effective, we went back to salting.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner, as some point in the future, could you provide this committee the form for plowing operations, a summary. The form for salt receiving report, I understand that there is a report. A report of truck loads of salt unloaded in each borough and each garage. I'd appreciate that. In addition to that, also provide the form that you have with respect to spreading operations. If I could have a summary of those reports, I'd appreciate it.

I assume that when you said resume salt operations that was reflected in a memo that was given to the department, at 4:28 on December

б

2	27+h	iq	that	correct?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Correct. That's the scan message that we sent out to the field at that point.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner

Doherty, there are several plans that the

Department of Sanitation, from what I understand,

utilizes when there's a snow emergency: Plan A,

Plan B and Plan C. Is that correct?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: That's correct.

Some memos which indicate that at 10:24, at 8:22 a.m., the garages and the superintendents and the supervisors were told to go to Plan A. Then I have a memo that says go to Plan B, on December 24th at 12:21. Then I have a memo at 3:27 on Christmas Eve that says go to Plan C. Could you please explain why there were these different instructions to the superintendents and to the men and women on the ground which may have caused the confusion?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: They're not confused. They understand. That's a standard plan that we've set up for many years, and it's

part of our snow fighting operation. You start
off by putting so many plows on your trucks.
That's the Plan A. Then as you get weather
reports that indicate there's going to be a great
amount of snow, you add more plows on.

Now, you have to remember, this was the 24th, so what we did as a precaution, and we were only looking at maybe three or four inches of snow, we decided let's cover ourselves and we added more plows to the trucks. So we got up to our full Plan C. It had nothing to do with what actually happened later on, on the 25th. We didn't know that was coming. We planned, we anticipated, if this gets worse, let's be prepared. So we ordered them to keep dressing the trucks up to Plan C.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner, the next question requires for me to do a demonstration. Commissioner, these chains were once on tow trucks. They're made of steel. They were not on the tow trucks during the blizzard.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I don't believe that's correct. I'm not sure what chains you have, but we did have chains on our equipment

2 during the storm.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: These are the chains allegedly you had on the tow trucks.

They're made of aluminum and they broke,
everywhere throughout the City of New York. When did we change the chains? When were chains put on tow trucks? Was this Deputy Mayor Goldsmith, was the policy changed to save money?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: There was no change. Those chains are no aluminum. They're a hardened nickel magnesium alloy. They have four extra cross chains on them, compared to the first chains you picked up, the link chains.

We first started looking at chains on a truck back in 2006. We called in the manufacturers of these chains to try and find out what's the best chain, what has been developed in the industry for better skid chains during snow operations. We finally decided that this type of chain, it's a flat chain, on our trucks would be a better type.

We found out that they have more traction and they hold better on the wheels over time. So we're very satisfied with them. They

had nothing to do with price. They're actually
about the same price, about \$50 each. So there's
no change in price. We just found that the
technology had changed and that was a better chain
to operate on a truck

We did have the old chains in stock and we were using them up. We didn't want to throw them out because they still work. We had a better chain and as we get rid of the old chains, the new chains take the place.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The last question, Commissioner, is: this is a picture of a trunnion.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Apparently, according to a memo that I have in front of me, which is date January 2nd, and it states as follows. It's from the assistant chief of equipment and facilities. After experiencing some difficulties with plowing snow this past storm, we will be adjusting the position of the new trunnion plates attached to old plows. When did you become aware that the trunnions were in the wrong position? Why were they in the wrong position?

What are we doing to correct trunnions?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I don't accept they were in the wrong position. We started looking a couple of years ago at the problems we were having with storms. We get a lot of five, six inch storms and a lot of icing. We were not getting down. We were complaints: hey, you're not clearing the roadway as well as you should.

So we started examining that with one of the manufacturers of the plows and the people we deal with it. We found that by angling the plow a little bit more, and that has to do with the trunnion plate. You put a bolt in there how you angle the plow. We angle the plow a little bit more forward, got the cutting edge on the ground and that really cleared ice and snow off a lot better. We experienced that for a couple of seasons and we found it worked well.

In this particular storm, as the snow built up and we started to go into those secondary streets that had 20 plus inches in them, we found out the plow was tripping more. The plow is designed to trip. There's a spring in there that allows it to trip. So if it catches a metal

2	plate o	r a	sewer,	it	trips	forward.	So	that's
3	pretty	star	ndard.					

With deep snow, we found it was tripping a little bit more than it should. So we decided, okay, we've got a deep snow here, we might have another storm with deep snow, let's go back to where we had it the first time where when you get to deep snow it doesn't trip as much.

In fact, it didn't really make that much difference. Yes, it slowed the people down a little bit. But when you get into 20 inches of snow, whether it's tripping a little bit more or a little bit less is not going to matter. It's a lot of snow for a plow, a straight plow to push out of the way under these circumstances. But we still felt, let's readjust it and go back and rethink it.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Madame Speaker?

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very much, Madame Chair. Let me just start first by saying I appreciate the recognition that the failure to either declare a snow emergency or some type of city emergency, which is of course in the

2	Mayor's power, was something that was a problem
3	and that in my opinion was a mistake and should
4	have been called for.

I just want to add in to the thinking; there's been much conversation about the problem that would have been caused by people moving their cars when there was snow and that they would have gotten stuck. From my perspective, when the National Weather Service moved to a blizzard watch at 3:55 p.m. on Christmas was the time when we should have started about declaring the emergency.

Then when people were arriving home from their family and holidays could have made attempts to get their cars parked in different places. People would have known earlier. I believe the declaration of an emergency would have helped kind of punctuate the holiday spirit the city was in, in a way that would have gotten people more focused.

I also want to be clear that although the Council stands ready to help in any legislative way needed to give greater powers as it relates to different types of emergencies, I

of things.

believe you already have those and that you have
the ability to have a created a gradated state of
snow emergency had you chosen to take that path.
But I think this is a very positive recognition
for all of us moving forward.

mentioned that on Christmas at let's just call it
4 p.m., the National Weather Service moved the New
York area to a blizzard watch. What is the
standard protocol for the Office of Emergency
Management Command Center? What is your timeframe
in which you activate and open the center after
the National Weather Service moves to a blizzard
warning? What's your rule of thumb with that?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Under the Winter
Weather Emergency Plan, we were doing quite a bit

SPEAKER QUINN: No, no, no, sir, no what were you doing? Generally, what is the rule of thumb, in the abject, if we were just having a general conversation? What is the rule of thumb, NWS goes to blizzard warning, when does the OEM command center open? Is it a two-hour rule, a four-hour rule? What do you do?

25

2	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: No, there's no
3	rule that says within two or four hours.
4	SPEAKER QUINN: So what is the
5	rule?
6	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I'll try and tell
7	you what we did, and that's
8	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] Let
9	me interrupt. What you did was waited 24 hours,
10	as I understand it, until 4 p.m. the next day to
11	open it. So what I'm trying to discern is do we
12	need to add into the city's emergency plan some
13	type of guidance to the OEM commissioner that
14	would require him or her to open the OEM center
15	earlier.
16	If there is no such guidance, I
17	would ask the deputy mayor to consider that. It
18	seems to me that 24 hours was simply too long to
19	have waited since much of the testimony speaks of
20	the recognition of a greater need to coordination.
21	Since the OEM director is supposed to become the
22	uber commissioner, so to speak and no respect to
23	others in these situations had the OFM command

center perhaps been opened earlier, we might have

achieved that greater coordination.

2	So there is no practice? It's just
3	totally up to your discretion, sir?
4	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: It's based on the
5	facts that we have before us. So when we
6	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] When
7	did you open it last February? How long after the
8	National Weather Service moved to a blizzard watch
9	did you then open the OEM command center?
10	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I'm not sure we
11	had a blizzard warning in February. I don't think
12	we did. Because we did not have the high winds in
13	February.
14	SPEAKER QUINN: In February. So
15	how long after the Weather Service said there
16	would be high levels of snow did you open the
17	command center?
18	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: We are looking at
19	the forecast. In this particular case, the
20	forecast was for the storm to become heavier
21	around 5 p.m. the following day. That was the
22	forecast at that time. In fact, that forecast
23	came in after the blizzard warning. It said they
24	updated their forecast at 8:00, which we are
25	talking to them constantly. But I think that you

2	do have to understand a few of the things that
3	we're doing.

At 4:00, and prior to that, I was already talking to all of the agencies. In other words, a winter weather conference call was being held. The steering committee was talking. At 3:55, the blizzard warning came out. So five minutes later, we had the National Weather Service on the line with our agencies talking about the blizzard warning. In that conversation, we indicated that we would open the Emergency Operations Center at a certain time. We didn't set an exact time then because we wanted to get a further update. At 8:00--

SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing]

Commissioner, this conversation is about 24 hours before, is that correct?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: This was at 4:00 on--

SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] The day before.

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: On Saturday, Christmas Day. At 8:00 that night, we held another conference, and I was on all of these

2	conferences, with the National Weather Service.
3	They had indicated that there would be light snow
4	falling the following day, morning, and that we
5	would reach heavier amounts around 5:00.
6	SPEAKER QUINN: 5:00 the following
7	day?
8	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Right. We
9	indicated to the agencies on that call that we
10	would open at 4:00 the next day. Just as an
11	aside
12	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] Sir,
13	can I ask you a question just so I can understand?
14	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Sure.
15	SPEAKER QUINN: So an hour is what
16	we need? Because you're now saying the National
17	Weather Service, which is data I didn't have, told
18	you that 5:00 p.m. on Sunday was kind of the
19	moment the snow was going to begin coming down
20	heavy.
21	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Get heavier.
22	SPEAKER QUINN: So you chose to
23	open the OEM command center an hour before that.
24	I'm not an emergency expert, but an hour seems to
25	me not enough time to get everybody there and

2	fully focused in the way the command center does.
3	So in hindsight, do you think you should have
4	opened the center earlier and/or would you
5	consider adding into the snow protocol something
6	that triggers the center being opened an hour
7	before the moment at which the National Weather
8	Service says the snow is supposed to be coming
9	down at a level that is significant?
10	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: The people who
11	come to the Emergency Operations Center are
12	trained liaisons from each of the agencies.
13	SPEAKER QUINN: Yes.
14	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: They are fully
15	trained so it's a quick startup for all of them.
16	We oftentimes will open earlier than this
17	particular one. We had no problem getting any of
18	the people in. Every agency came. We were fully
19	operational. The more difficult snow began at
20	around that time in the afternoon. It did start a
21	little earlier than anticipated by the National
22	Weather Service, but in the end we had everyone

It's my personal view, having done this now for quite a while, that had I opened at

there operating.

2:00 or 1:00 or at 12 noon, I don't think it would
have materially changed the operation of the
Emergency Operations Center. All of the people
there arrived and are fully capable of doing it.

I can agree with you that this is a dynamic situation. You're getting weather reports, you're making decisions, you have to bring people in to get them from the agencies, and you have to bring them in from wherever they are. So we gave guidance early on the day before. Then we looked at the National Weather Service guidance at 5:00. We brought them in an hour before, correct. Snow was falling. But we were in very good condition to deal with that.

SPEAKER QUINN: Commissioner, with all due respect, I mean, one of the things noted in a lot of the testimony, and I want to be very clear, I appreciate the frankness and the directness because that's going to help us change things. But some of the things that were mentioned were we didn't have a full accounting for how many tow trucks, emergency type vehicles other agencies had, that we didn't early enough engage other agencies in assisting us.

The Fire Commissioner, of his own admission, didn't activate the IMT team. You didn't pull the task force together until Monday, December 27th, by your own admission.

So although I appreciate the staff could get there, my point is that clearly, by the panel's own admission, there was a need for greater coordination. Had the command center been opened earlier and you been more directly at the helm, we might have more early recognized.

We don't know, but it seems a fair assumption we might have recognized earlier on that we needed to get an accounting of what tow trucks the PD had, that we needed to get the Parks Department activated earlier to assist, that Commissioner Cassano should think about reaching out to the IMT teams or reach out to the brush fire folks earlier.

All of that is about coordination, which is, with all due respect, your primary focus as the OEM director during a blizzard. I just think that, by your own admission, we need to find a way to trigger everybody into that office to review resources earlier on.

2	Now let me just ask, as I
3	understand it, the call that went out for private
4	help, for greater private help was at about 9:00
5	a.m. on December 26th, which was 30 hours after
6	the blizzard call or whatever by the National
7	Weather Service. Is that the typical timeframe in
8	which we engage private contractors or is that
9	usually earlier? Should it have been earlier,
10	because, as I understand it, you indicated many of
11	them were already engaged by the Port Authority?
12	JOHN J. DOHERTY: That is typical
13	time that we start calling them when we see a snow
14	storm like
15	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing]
16	Thirty hours?
17	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, we start
18	calling them in. We want to give time for our
19	plows to open up the streets and start piling
20	snow. In the past, we've always brought the
21	contractors in. We use them at times to open up
22	some streets if they become clogged with snow. We
23	use them to haul snow. We use them for that type
24	of work. We call them in about the same time.

In hindsight, as was pointed out by

2	the deputy mayor, we now have to look at being
3	more proactive and getting them in earlier
4	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you,
5	Commissioner. Commissioner Bruno, back to you for
6	a second, at what point in the timeline did you,
7	kind of as the coordinator in the Office of
8	Emergency Management, realize that things were out
9	of control, not in the state that they were in, in
10	the February storm or in other storms of this
11	level, ones that either you were involved with or
12	going back and looking at the records you have a
13	sense of? At what point in the timeline did you
14	realize that things were unacceptable, to use my
15	word and the Mayor's word?
16	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Let me just go
17	back a second. What we do in the EOC, we bring in
18	agencies and we put them into one location where
19	they can work issues, share information, and that
20	occurs as soon as you open up the Emergency
21	Operations Center. So it is not like we were
22	sitting there and just waiting.
23	SPEAKER QUINN: No, no.
24	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: We've got people
25	and we are working with them for their tactical

operations. I am coordinating, true, the agencies
that are there. But each agency has its own
tactical operations that it's doing. Sanitation
does, Fire does and others. So from the minute we
open up until I'd say around 12 to 1 or 2 in the
morning when we saw live reports of stuck
ambulances and other vehicles

SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] I'm sorry, just which day, Commissioner?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: We're talking between the 26th and the 27th.

SPEAKER QUINN: Okay.

already going pretty heavily and we saw that. So we are throughout this storm starting to go, talking to our agencies there and seeking additional resources. In the Police Department area, and the area of tow trucks, we are seeking more of their tow trucks. In the Fire Department, and other areas, seeking more of their capability, getting all of their ambulances out. So this is not some thing that just happens automatically. The minute we start on this, that's the coordination that happens. So I'm going to answer

2 your question.

3 SPEAKER QUINN: Okay.

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Give me a second.

5 SPEAKER QUINN: I'm on a clock too.

6 So I don't want to go over. I'll get in trouble

7 with all these colleagues here.

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: So during that overnight, say around 1:30 or 2:00 in the morning, we were noticing, and I was involved in this, we were noticing more and more stuck ambulances. We immediately started to work and continued, it was really continued to work the process we had, but we intensified it. We put in the EOC Sanitation, PD and EMS together. They're right there. These are the key people we have there.

All right, folks, we're getting more and more reports. Here is where they are; get out there and get them. How are you doing? We're getting some removed, and we were, we moved quite a few. But we saw more getting stuck. Some that had already been freed were getting stuck again.

At that point, I realized we would need more resources. We were seeking those

2	resources all the time. It came about in the, you
3	know, midday that I said we're just not getting on
4	top of this and I need a better focus from these
5	agencies. I need to get their top level people,
6	who were in the agency command centers; I need to
7	get them into the EOC. I created the task force.
8	That task force brought the right
9	people from each agency into my EOC. It doesn't
10	mean they couldn't do it from their own operation
11	center.
12	SPEAKER QUINN: Right.
13	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: But I wanted them
14	there. When we did it, as I've testified, we were
15	able to knock out 120 ambulances and get them
16	free. More did come, but ultimately we moved
17	about 294 ambulances.
18	SPEAKER QUINN: As we just said, I
19	think it's that fact in the testimony that is one
20	people deserve credit for, but too, is kind of
21	what I was taking note of.
22	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I know that.
23	SPEAKER QUINN: Because it was

clear that when there was focus, things happened.

So just so I understand on that and I have to wrap

2	up because I've been given my time clock, that you
3	realized things were not going as you would have
4	liked, early in the morning of the 27th.
5	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: That's correct.
6	SPEAKER QUINN: Then later on, I
7	think you said around 5:00 on the 27th, was when
8	you had a sense that you needed more information
9	from higher level people within the agencies and
10	then the night of the 27th was when you activated
11	the task force. Is that correct?
12	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: No.
13	SPEAKER QUINN: Okay.
14	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I don't mean it's
15	incorrect.
16	SPEAKER QUINN: No, no, no.
17	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I want to just
18	clarify it. We knew we needed more resources and
19	we knew we needed more people in the EOC to assist
20	us if it could not be done directly at the agency
21	operation centers. We could see the problem was
22	continuing to grow. So I decided, and we did this
23	much earlier than 5:00, we asked them to come in.
24	I spoke directly to PD higher ups. I spoke to the

Sanitation commissioner. I spoke to the Fire

2	commissioner and said we're going to get on top of
3	this thing, but I need to have your people now in
4	the EOC.
5	SPEAKER QUINN: About when was
6	that, Commissioner?
7	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I would say that
8	was before, certainly before 3:00.
9	SPEAKER QUINN: So what happened,
10	and this question may not be answerable here, but
11	I'll just kind of leave this question and then one
12	other point. What happened between 1:00 a.m. and
13	3:00 p.m. that somehow when you knew things
14	started going wrong at 1:00 a.m., we should look
15	back and we don't have to answer it now.
16	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I can answer it
17	now.
18	SPEAKER QUINN: But let me finish
19	the question.
20	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Sure.
21	SPEAKER QUINN: What didn't happen
22	that should have happened between 1:00 a.m. and
23	3:00 p.m. and what did happen and what were the
24	points of the actions between 1:00 a.m. and 3:00
25	p.m. that failed? I think we can't answer it

б

2 here, quite frankly.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Ten seconds on each half of your question.

5 SPEAKER QUINN: Sure.

appreciated was that a declaration of emergency is a catalyst to a lot of action, action by the agencies, actions by citizens. It's not just a statement that people should or should not move their cars. That non-event did reduce the speed of action items, such as the one you suggested.

Two, I think what we can see, and in response to your last half of the question is that there are a lot of threads of information available to different agencies that were not brought together in a coordinated way fast enough with enough velocity to change the pace and nature of the city's reaction, and whether that was related to the first issue or not, both of those are under examination now.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very much. I will just close with a suggestion to be considered that perhaps the higher level staff, and again, you don't have to answer this, but just

2	to be taken back for further consideration,
3	perhaps the higher level staff who eventually were
4	brought in should in fact be the staff who are
5	assigned to the OEM command center on a regular
6	basis. That they are, in fact, and no disrespect
7	to the quality of staff who were there before, but
8	if they are simply the level we need to get things
9	done, perhaps we should just change our protocol
10	and start with them there. Thank you.
11	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: You are correct.
12	I think is one of the things that Deputy Mayor
13	Goldsmith suggested.
14	SPEAKER QUINN: Okay.
15	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I will do one
16	last thing. I do agree that we should have moved
17	more quickly, I should have moved more quickly on
18	the task force. I think I've said that pretty
19	clear.
20	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very
21	much, Commissioner. Thank you everyone on the
22	panel. Thank you, Madame Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
24	Crowley? Council Member Vallone?
25	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

25

2	Commissioner Bruno, based on your testimony, a
3	blizzard warning was given at 4:00 p.m., basically
4	right before you were on a conference call with
5	this Winter Weather Advisory Committee, which
6	frankly sounds like a Christmas special. I've
7	never heard of the thing, and I'm the Public
8	Safety Chair.
9	But you're about to have this
10	conference call. The blizzard warning that was
11	put in effect said strong winds, 16 inches and
12	higher in some areas, considerable blowing and
13	drifting that would take down trees and power
14	lines, extremely dangerous travel conditions due
15	to significant snow accumulations.
16	You get that warning. You have a
17	conference call with this Winter Weather Advisory
18	Committee and what you do is say let's chat again
19	at 4:00 p.m. tomorrow?
20	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: No, not at all.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's what
22	your testimony said.
23	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: No. At 4:00, we

got a blizzard warning, just before that, and we

held the Winter Weather Steering Committee.

24

25

2	That's part of our winter weather plan. That
3	includes the 30 plus agencies of government, some
4	of whom are here, some who are in the command
5	structure. They include additional entities like
6	not-for-profits. They are part of the agencies
7	that will populate the EOC. So getting real time
8	information about what's happening.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: On a
10	conference call?
11	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: That's the first
12	thing you do with them. You have a call so they
13	can understand and they can talk directly to the
14	National Weather Service as well and get the
15	forecast firsthand and ask some questions. Then
16	we agreed that we would open up the Emergency
17	Operations Center. The time was not set yet, but
18	at the 8:00 National Weather Service forecast
19	update, I called it for 4:00 p.m. the next day.
20	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So between
21	your 4:00 p.m. conference call right after the
22	blizzard warning, the next time you spoke to the

Winter Weather Advisory Committee, forget the EOC,

was 4:00 p.m. the next day, it was 12 hours later?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Actually, I held

2	another conference call with the same agencies
3	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
4	Actually 24 hours.
5	JOSEPH F. BRUNO:at 12 noon the
6	following day, before they came in, to update them
7	again on what we were learning.
8	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You didn't
9	open up the command center until 4:00 p.m., with
10	everybody in the same room. That's why we have a
11	command center, right? I mean, we're facing one
12	of the worst blizzards to ever hit this city, but
13	we don't open up our command center, which is
14	supposed to coordinate the response to this major
15	catastrophe heading towards our city until 4:00.
16	That's what you're testifying?
17	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Well that's what
18	time we opened it. We kept the agencies in the
19	loop. We explained to them what was happening.
20	We asked them and go through a whole series of
21	things they have to do as agencies to be prepared.
22	Get them in there, all of them arrived. As this
23	storm progressed, we operated as we generally do
24	through the EOC.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I think we

all agree that was too late. Now, the snow
emergency, quite frankly, the reasons I've heard
today that you didn't call one are preposterous.
I've heard that it would be more traffic and more
people would get stuck in the snow. If you had
declared it at 6:00 on Sunday, there would be no
more traffic and nobody stuck in the snow. You
could have declared it up to noon the next day and
nobody would have been stuck on the snow. I drove
my kids home at 12 noon on Sunday and I made it
fine, because no one would have gotten stuck in
the snow.

Number two, the other reason I heard was that the Sanitation commissioner testified that it would not have kept cars from being snowed in on smaller streets. Who cares? That's irrelevant. I've also heard that it wouldn't have helped. It's hard for me to believe that the only power, the main power that a snow emergency gives you would not have helped during a snow emergency. So those reasons are preposterous.

Now, without going back into why we did that, let's determine who made that decision.

When it comes to a snow emergency, that decision
by law is made by the DOT commissioner, which is
usually done in consultation with the Sanitation
commissioner. In this instance, who made the
decision to not call a snow emergency?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Those two commissioners.

Other levels of emergency. There's a local state of emergency, and then a state of emergency. The state of emergency is requested by the mayor or the governor and granted by the governor. That was not done. A local state of emergency is done by the mayor on his own. That would give him the power to do things like order cars off the road and close offices and things like that. Who made the decision not to call a local emergency?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I don't think
we can answer the question who decided not to make
it, because it's the absence of that decision
which is at the heart of the issue, right? In
other words, it wasn't a conscious decision not to
call it at the earlier points in time that you
referenced. I'm going to ask the Fire

commissioner	to respond to his observations about
whether that	emergency would have been appropriate
to call late	Sunday or early Monday in a
conversation 1	between himself and later with
Commissioner 1	Bruno.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I'd love to hear that, Commissioner Cassano. Just give me a second, because I still want to get to who made the decision. The Charter dictates that when the Mayor is out of town, the power devolved to the public advocate unless there's an executive order in place, and there is. That executive order gives power first to the first deputy mayor and then to you, deputy mayor of operations.

We know that the mayor was out of town and so were you. Frankly, by me, that's fine, it's Christmas Day. You're allowed to go on vacation. Whether or not we should know you're away is a topic for another day. But you were away and he was away. It was First Deputy Mayor Patty Harris in town during the storm.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I'm not trying to avoid your important question. I'm trying to suggest there wasn't a process that nomination

2	that decision up to a level, right. There was a
3	discussion that never ripened, in part because of
4	the confusion between what's a snow emergency and
5	what's a regular emergency. The more general
6	emergency discussion didn't really occur until
7	between late 2:00 a.m. Monday morning and the next
8	day. So it never arrived at my doorstep, the
9	mayor's doorstep or First Deputy Harris' doorstep.
10	So we didn't reach that point. If you want, we
11	can talk about the conversation between the two
12	commissioners.
13	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You're
14	admitting a mistake was made and that's fine.
15	Clearly there were powers available to you which
16	were not used and which I think
17	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: [interposing]
18	Absolutely.
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:you agree
20	should be used in the future.
21	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Absolutely.
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Commissioner
23	Doherty, would it have been helpful to you during
24	the storm if cars had been ordered off the road?
25	JOHN J. DOHERTY: I mean there's no

2	question about it. As the mayor and I both
3	pointed out on the press conference on the 25th,
4	we pointed out that people should keep their cars
5	off the road. That's something we've always said.
6	We know that's a problem. We want the roads to
7	ourselves. During this storm, people got stuck,
8	they had accidents and there were delays.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Commissioner,
10	would it also have been helpful to you if perhaps
11	nonessential offices were closed?
12	JOHN J. DOHERTY: I'm not sure what
13	you mean by that.
14	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: City offices
15	were kept open as far as I know. Private
16	businesses were not ordered or even suggested to
17	be closed. Would any of that have been helpful to
18	you in clearing the streets?
19	JOHN J. DOHERTY: This was a
20	Christmas weekend. Most people were off that
21	weekend and business was closed.
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: The lack of
23	plowing not only occurred Sunday evening but all
24	day Monday and most of the day Tuesday, so people

were back to work. I assume it would have been

2	helpful to you to not have people going back to
3	work and being stranded in their cars and stranded
4	in their trains. Again, that was not done.

Deputy Mayor Goldsmith, on page five of your testimony, you state that you had more Sanitation workers. Actually, your testimony says Sanitation workers actually increased because we hired a class of 100 Sanitation workers and that's the first new class you've hired in two years. Increased from what? What is your attrition, your yearly attrition in the Sanitation Department?

my testimony and then maybe Commissioner Doherty would like to provide additional detail. The number of personnel present on an average shift manning the snow plows and other equipment on December 26th, 2010 was 2,494. That's per shift. So that's just a little less than 6,000 on the two shifts.

Over the time from 1996, it was 2,356. In 2000, it was 2,490. The high point was in 2005 when it was 2,560. The low point was February 2010, when it was 2,239. So there had

been attrition down from the top points of the department. We hired 100 to get back close to 6,000 total for the two shifts. The commissioner used some creative staffing to put administrative folks on some of those trucks.

I'm being handed notes that I have to finish up, so I have to finish you up, so I don't want to be rude. So clearly the increase you're talking about is just the 100 workers. But you were down Sanitation workers from the last time of a storm of this magnitude. You said creative staffing. Who was placed in positions of, say, plowing that normally would not have in the past, for you to be able to maintain the same number of plows on the streets?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I'd like to answer that, sir. We used our Sanitation police officers which we haven't used in the past to drive plows. That boosted it. We also really thinned out our support staff where we could find them, to get them out in the street and drive plows.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: What would

2 they normally be doing during a storm if they
3 weren't driving plows?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Well, the
Sanitation police officers might be out there
doing traffic control, assisting with traffic
control at different locations. The
administrative personnel may be doing some
clerical work or other supervisory jobs. We opted
to use them more in line with the snow operation
of plowing snow. We kept them there.

I think the other thing to remember, too, when you look at the headcounts at various points, that we were at a period there that was no vacations. We have two weeks each year where there's no vacation. So vacation hasn't kicked in. So when one looks at last year's February storm and starts to try and calculate numbers, you've got to adjust for the vacation period. Although, we do call people in on vacation when it snows but I'm not going to go into that right now.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I've clearly got so many follow-up questions on all of those topics, but I want to make sure we get to my

2	colleagues. I'm just want to end on the salt
3	question. On page two, Commissioner Doherty, you
4	said you deployed 1,751 plows and 365 salt
5	spreaders to assigned routes on the city's
6	highways, primary, secondary and tertiary roads.
7	That's a nice way of writing it, but clearly that
8	didn't happen. You may have assigned them but
9	they weren't out there. No one saw a salt
10	spreader anywhere on the side streets of Queens or
11	most of the other boroughs.
12	Now, we're finally hearing for the
13	first time that you stopped those salt spreaders.
14	I had a memo a week ago which said you resumed
15	spreading salt at 4:30 a.m. Today, we're hearing
16	that you stopped it; I believe you said, at 7:00
17	p.m.
18	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, for the
20	duration, almost the duration of one of the worst
21	blizzards to ever hit the city, we fought it with

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So, for the duration, almost the duration of one of the worst blizzards to ever hit the city, we fought it with one hand behind our back. We did not spread salt during one of the worst blizzards to ever hit the city. Is that correct?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, sir. We

wasn't becoming effective.

2	didn't use salt because it was not effective. You
3	want to use something. In your arsenal of tools,
4	you want to use things that are effective. The
5	salt was not effective at that time. It was being
6	wasted. But the spreaders were still out there
7	and they were plowing. They weren't laying salt
8	but they were plowing.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: No, they
10	weren't. Okay, they weren't.
11	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: At some point
13	you have to realize, they were not plowing. Okay,
14	they may have been on some highways, they may have
15	been in Manhattan, but they weren't in Queens,
16	they weren't in Brooklyn, they weren't on Staten
17	Island. They were not plowing where they should
18	have been.
19	Now, let's get back to the salt for
20	one second. You said that it wasn't effective.
21	First of all, why wouldn't a salt spreader behind
22	a plow be effective?
23	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Because the next
24	time they came around, it was all snow on top. It

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Madame Chair, thank you, Madame Speaker. I think what we've learned so far from the testimony from the Deputy Mayor and the various different

commissioners is that there was a clear lack of
communication between different city agencies.
There was a lack of response to the state of
emergency that we had on our hands. Even though
it was a holiday weekend and maybe the
commissioners and the deputy mayors may have been
in holidays. But our city doesn't sleep. Our
city always moves and keeps moving.

That Monday, my office was office.

My City Council office and many of my colleagues had their office open. We were inundated with calls. Our staff, most of the staff that could get there had to walk there on foot, but we made sure that in front of our office that we also shoveled the sidewalk.

Council Member Vallone alluded to the saying that we kept hearing over and over again when we had the television on, from the media, from the Mayor, the Deputy Mayor, about primary streets, secondary streets and tertiary streets. Well, the people in Queens, we thought, based on what we saw, were that primary streets were just the streets in Manhattan. Many of my colleagues from the outer boroughs felt the same

2 way.

Now, as I continue with questions,

I want to focus mainly on my committee, which is

Fire and Emergency Services. My first question is

for the Fire Commissioner. What was the longest
an individual had to wait in an emergency

situation?

There was a story on the media that there was a woman who was 22, a woman that Council Member James is friends with, that was seemingly healthy and she called for help. She was in labor. For over nine hours, what the media is reporting, this woman had to wait for emergency help, walking herself or trying to walk herself to a hospital. Then delivering a baby in a portal of a building, a baby that should have seemingly be healthy, but what for the ordeal the woman had to go through, was born dead.

What was the longest response?

What was the actual response to this particular emergency situation? Can you please answer that question?

SALVATORE CASSANO: First of all, our sympathies are all out to that young woman.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 136
2	For that particular case, it is under
3	investigation and I'm not at liberty right now to
4	discuss it.
5	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Point of
7	personal privilege, if I might, on this particular
8	case? No? Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner,
10	in your testimony you stated the response time for
11	firefighters, fire trucks, apparatus in emergency
12	situations where you had structural fires. But is
13	that actually the response time? At what point do
14	you start measuring it?
15	Sorry, there's a little commotion
16	behind me. That woman who happened to need
17	emergency help, that happened in Council Member
18	Vann's district, however the woman is a friend of
19	Council Member James. That's the commotion that's
20	going on behind me, just to clarify. If you

Back to the story, there was a five-alarm fire in Elmhurst. I mentioned it in my opening statement. I understand that it took over 20 minutes or even longer for the first due

could, just note that for the time.

б

company, engine company to actually find a fire hydrant, before they even were able to get that fire apparatus, that hose onto the fire to fight that particular fire.

So my question is: from your testimony, you state response times to fires, structural fires, but can you actually tell me when you start counting that response time? Is it when the firefighter actually starts putting water on the fire? Is it when a firefighter says: okay, we've identified where the fire is, but we're two blocks away and we really can't get that close for another 10 or 20 minutes?

always measured our response times, it's the time that the call comes into our dispatch office until the first unit is on the scene. The response time to that fire on Judge Street was 14 minutes. Now, the response time means that the first unit got on the scene. Now, they were met with very arduous conditions. The streets were blocked with cars, they were bound with snow. So from the time the first unit got on the scene was 14 minutes, but naturally they were looking for hydrants, which

2	were covered with snow. It took a lot longer to
3	get water on the fire. Now we don't measure when
4	we get water on the fire; we never had. No other
5	fire department in the country does that.
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner,
7	as it relates to emergency response, you didn't
8	have the same numbers when it comes to the
9	EMS/Paramedics getting to the scene of life-
10	threatening emergencies.
11	SALVATORE CASSANO: No. The
12	response to emergency medical calls was much
13	higher than normal.
14	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But you don't
15	have an average response time?
16	SALVATORE CASSANO: I gave that in
17	the testimony.
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I didn't see
19	
	that. For life-threatening emergencies, when it
20	that. For life-threatening emergencies, when it relates to medical?
20 21	
	relates to medical?
21	relates to medical? SALVATORE CASSANO: Segments 1 to
21	relates to medical? SALVATORE CASSANO: Segments 1 to 3, yes.

where I live, there was an ambulance on what I would consider at least a secondary street stuck, for hours and hours. When the Deputy Mayor spoke earlier, or Commissioner Bruno, I forget exactly who it was, but they said that ambulances weren't stuck for long periods of time. From my own eyes, I saw that that ambulance was probably there for at least 20 hours.

SALVATORE CASSANO: I don't think that's what Commissioner Bruno said, or the Deputy Mayor or myself. We had ambulances that were stuck for hours. We had other ambulances that were stuck for five minutes and then they would get out. But make no mistake about it, there were ambulances that were stuck for very long periods of time and that we were working very hard to try to get them out with tow trucks. Then the task force was formed on Monday afternoon, which really helped clear up the problem pretty quickly.

Commissioner, who orders these ambulances? Do you

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right.

continuously use the same model bus year after

24 year?

25 SALVATORE CASSANO: We've looked at

all different types of ambulances. You know, from our fleet maintenance, we have an apparatus committee that looks at them. We've looked at different types of vehicles all along. These are the ones that have worked with us in the past.

This storm, just for whatever reason, we had many more ambulances stuck, and last year's storm we didn't have as many.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Why didn't these ambulances have apparatus on the tires, such as tire chains that Chair James had with her today? Why couldn't we make sure that when a fire truck was getting stuck that they were able to get themselves out, they had the equipment, why couldn't we ensure that the ambulances had the same type of equipment?

SALVATORE CASSANO: Well, we've used chains on fire trucks for a long period of time. On the ambulances, the last time we used chains was during the 1996 blizzard. The damage that the ambulances received because the chains would break, it would compromise their passenger compartment, it would tear up the brake system, it took us much longer to get our ambulances back in

2 service because of those chains.

Now, we looked at the situation and we tried to find different chains. There's a flat chain that we've ordered. We hope to have it in by tomorrow and pilot it during this next snow storm to see if it does the damage or if it'll work. Hopefully, they'll be on our rigs for this next snow storm that's impending on Tuesday night/Wednesday.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner,
were you apart of the conversation that
Commissioner Doherty was having with the
Department of Sanitation or the Deputy Mayor in
terms of calling for a state of emergency?

SALVATORE CASSANO: No, not in that particular conversation. But what happened is my command chief got a call sometime around 2:00.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm sorry.

Who was your command chief?

SALVATORE CASSANO: It was Chief
Boyce at the time. Then we had Chief Peruggia
also on the EMS side. They got a call around
2:00, in that vicinity: do we concur with OEM
calling a state of emergency? Neither one of them

2	made the decision. It went up to the chief of the
3	department. Based on the conditions that we had
4	at the time, he says the department concurs. The
5	chief of the department emailed me, and I agreed.
6	We said that if they wanted to declare a state of
7	emergency, we were for it.
8	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Did you
9	stress that you had ambulances getting stuck in
10	the snow?
11	SALVATORE CASSANO: Yes, we did.
12	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And that you
13	had unusually high call volumes in your 911 call
14	taking centers?
15	SALVATORE CASSANO: Yes, we did.
16	We relayed all the facts of why we thought it
17	would be helpful.
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, did you
19	have enough staff in the call taking centers?
20	SALVATORE CASSANO: We kept extra
21	staff on and we beefed it up. We got as many
22	people as we could. We held over people. So we
23	thought we had a sufficient amount, but you know
24	the calls overwhelmed everybody.
25	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: It was a

2	Sunday into a Monday; we didn't call a state of
3	emergency. Did you have the same amount of people
4	you would have on an average day? Did you have
5	less or did you have more?
6	SALVATORE CASSANO: No, we kept the
7	tour over. We kept the people that were going off
8	on Sunday into Monday.
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: This is the
10	call taking operators?
11	SALVATORE CASSANO: On the
12	emergency medical dispatch. I don't run 911. But
13	on the emergency medical dispatch we kept over
14	call takers.
15	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. Do you
16	know how many people were pronounced dead during
17	the time, by FDNY or EMS emergency calls?
18	SALVATORE CASSANO: No, I don't.
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Does the
20	Deputy Mayor have a number of how many people died
21	during the storm, of deaths that could have been
22	avoided?
23	SALVATORE CASSANO: Wait a minute,
24	that's two different questions. You asked for
25	total amount of deaths and deaths that could have

2 been avoided.

3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'd like both 4 answers.

SALVATORE CASSANO: Okay. Well, I don't have the total amount, but as far as deaths that could have been avoided during the snow storm, the Office of the Medical Examiner will determine if there were any deaths related to the snow. And that does not take away from the work that was done in the field by our EMS and Fire personnel. They worked very hard to save many lives during the storm. You know, we shouldn't overlook the work that they put in, especially our EMS personnel, under the most arduous conditions

we've faced in many years.

agree with you, Commissioner. I'm very grateful for the work that your paramedics and your fire fighters did do. I know that it wasn't their fault that the streets were not plowed and that they couldn't get to emergencies as fast as they would have liked to, or that their equipment failed. I'm out of time, so I'll try to get some more questions in later. Thank you.

б

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I would like to
recognize State Senator Malcolm Smith who's in the
audience. We've also been joined by Public
Advocate Bill de Blasio. The commotion that you
heard in the back was that the young lady who lost
her child was a friend of mine. It happened in
Council Member Al Vann's district. But the issue
that Council Member Vann had was why was it not
designated a priority. I recognize that that case
is under investigation. It's rather ironic
because today is the funeral of the infant. At
this time, we turn it over to Council Member
Jumaane Williams.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. First, I just want to say pardon if I sound rude, but I have a time limit, so I will try to get directly to the answers with a minimum amount of words as possible.

I'm very much interested in the communication. So what you're getting there now is an organizational chart for the city. For the purposes of here, I'm going to be talking about the Mayor, Deputy Mayor Goldsmith and the agencies that are involved.

2	that it was discussed or considered until brought
3	up by the Fire Commissioner in the 2:00 or 3:00
4	a.m. on Monday morning.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: On Tuesday, I actually asked for a state of emergency to be called. On Monday or Tuesday, under the law, we could have called a local emergency and asked for them not to move the cars, but just prevent other cars from coming on. Is that right?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So why didn't we do that on Monday and Tuesday, assuming we made a mistake not doing it on Saturday and Sunday?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Maybe I'll ask
Commissioner Bruno to respond, who was in that
discussion. Let me just say carefully though that
conditions, by the time that was considered, were
substantially different than the conditions at the
time that it should have been. I just want to
separate. We recognize that a decision utilizing
that power made earlier would have been prudent
and triggered a set of better responses. That's
question one. Question two, it should have still

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Answering that question, clearly, if you issued an emergency on the date you suggested, the Mayor could have ordered other actions and that could have included those.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Why didn't we do that?

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: That discussion was had with me. I can tell you the discussion we

2	did have. I think in the summary statement of
3	that, we had discussions in the overnight about
4	whether we need a state of emergency to tow
5	vehicles off the main streets and the answer to
6	that was we did not. We did that with the Law
7	Department and others, and we decided did not, so
8	we allowed the towing of vehicles.
9	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm sorry.
10	So it seems like there was never a discussion
11	about that.
12	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Not on anything
13	you suggested about Tuesday.
14	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. When
15	was the Mayor, or the Deputy Mayor, first briefed
16	on what was going on and the decisions that were
17	being made?
18	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: There were lots
19	of discussions going on. The words "briefed us"
20	connotes one type of discussion the Mayor was in
21	and all three commissioners can talk about that.
22	But there were regular emails; there were
23	occasional phone calls, reports about the status

of the snow fight or the weather. There was no

organized conversation about whether or not to

23 JOHN J. DOHERTY: That's correct, 24 sir.

25 Okay. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: There 2.

was an EMS chief who was demoted. The reasons
that were given were that he did not sound the
proper alarm; he did not give the information
needed. If that is the case, it sounds like many
of the commissioners did not give the information
needed and did not sound the proper alarm. Did
the Mayor have the accurate information needed
from Monday to Tuesday?

important question, and I would say as of the time of the news conference on Monday, midday, the Mayor did not have the information he deserved. It was fragmented, it was distributed, it wasn't coordinated and it wasn't properly presented to him in a way that would have given him a true picture of the situation. That was my mistake and others.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So why was there only one person demoted?

eager to be accountable to the public. We're going through our individual agencies to find points that can be increased or repaired or restored and we're continuing to evaluate the

2 situation.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: According to this, I believe OEM reports to the Fire

Department. Does OEM, in this kind of situation, control all of these agencies and then supposed to report to the Deputy Mayor, who reports to the Mayor? Or were these agencies going directly to the Mayor?

respond for OEM. They don't report to the Fire
Department. Essentially, what we have here is a
Mayor who's chosen highly competent professional
administrators who operate their agencies and are
free to communicate to the Mayor or to me in
situations where they feel that's necessary.
There is no prohibition by the Mayor about chains
of command. He's open and available all the time
by phone if we need him. So this process was not
one where Commissioner Bruno was trying to
monopolize the conversations or prevent others
from talking to the Mayor me.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What are you responsible for during these four days that Commissioner Bruno is not?

25

2	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I've been
3	working on the answer to that question since the
4	day of the event, to tell you the truth. We have
5	a snow fighting team that has operated efficiently
6	and correctly 70 straight times. It has 70 wins
7	in a row until Christmas Day. That was the
8	predicate for the decision-making process. We've
9	seen it under stress on the Christmas blizzard,
10	and I've learned some things from that, and I
11	would view my role going forward as being actively
12	involved in the coordination of issues across the
13	agencies.
14	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, just so
15	I clarify, because it sounds to me that I
16	understand, from everything that was said in the
17	previous conversations, the Mayor is in charge 365
18	days of the year, but there was no one person who
19	was responsible for the minute to minute decisions
20	on the Saturday and Sunday when he was out of
21	town. Is that correct?
22	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Well, each
23	individual here was responsible for minute to

minute decisions: EMS requirements, emergency

management determinations and snow removal.

2	Bringing those things together in a better
3	fashion, some of that is OEM, but on the
4	operational side to the extent, I mean it really
5	goes back, Councilman, to the original set of
6	questions which is had there been a declaration of
7	emergency it would have catalyzed cross agency
8	resource sharing, which didn't occur and in the
9	future it will occur irrespective of whether there
10	is an emergency declaration or not. We take
11	responsibility for that.
12	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, just so
13	I'm clear again, there was no deputy mayor,
14	including yourself, and no one commissioner,
15	anyone there, who was responsible for minute to
16	minute decisions during this whole crisis?
17	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I'd give the
18	same answer again. Commissioner Doherty?
19	JOHN J. DOHERTY: I think the
20	Deputy Mayor is correct. I mean, the minute to
21	minute decisions, the Mayor has put the
22	responsibility of managing snow in my hands, in
23	the department's hands. We in Sanitation were
2.4	making minuto to minuto dogigions

One can question whether the

2.

decision on the emergency for the traffic, for the
emergency street was right or wrong. A decision
was made, based from experience, the right
decision. One could always question that. But as
far as the department was concerned, we were
coordinating with other agencies to assist us in
our operation. That was the plan.

To the degree it worked, it worked.

To the degree that we didn't clear the blocks as quickly as one would like, it didn't work, absolutely. I am concerned about that, I'm upset about it, and it's not the way this department has handled storms in the past.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: We have a situation essentially where the process is an escalation of issues. So, if Commissioner Doherty sees an issue and wants help, he escalates it to the Mayor or me. It relies on professional judgment of folks to do that. We will better organize those conversations going forward and try to create a little better cross agency communication.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have two quick questions. Commissioner Cassano, did you

2	ask for there to be an emergency declaration?
3	SALVATORE CASSANO: At about 2:00
4	in the morning, we had gotten a call from OEM to
5	say do we concur with a state of emergency being
6	declared. Based on the amount of ambulances we
7	had stuck, based upon our extended call time, we
8	concurred that if OEM wanted to declare a state of
9	emergency, we would concur with it, I agreed. I
10	did not ask for it. We got a call and we said
11	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:
12	[interposing] Which day was that?
13	SALVATORE CASSANO: Sunday night
14	into Monday, around 2:00 or 2:30 in the morning.
15	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
16	My last question has to do with other
17	communication. I know you're putting these SCOUTs
18	out now, which there are a lot of good
19	recommendations; I don't think that was one of
20	them. Many of us on the ground were telling
21	people what was going on. Deputy, Commissioners,
22	inter-government people, what was happening to the
23	information that we were providing to the
24	Administration, to the Sanitation? How was that

supposed to get to the Mayor? Where did it stop?

2	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: The information
3	that was being provided through the Council
4	Members in particular was coming directly into the
5	EOC. The one thing I'd like to just say with
6	regard to command, the Emergency Operations Center
7	had the command center in there. It had Police
8	Department, Fire Department, Department of
9	Transportation, the Sanitation Department and OEM
10	there. That is the command structure that we have
11	to manage an emergency. It's the same system we
12	use for any type of emergency, only the players
13	change. The information you all were giving us
14	was coming directly in to us. Before we started
15	the task force, it was being given over directly
16	to Sanitation operations, PD operations, and we
17	were putting it out the same way the information
18	we were gathering through our own resources.
19	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So how did
20	the Mayor not have that information and continue
21	to say that the streets were 100 percent plowed?
22	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: As I picked up
23	the phone and listened to several of you on
24	Monday, it became increasingly clear that the
25	information from folks actually on the ground and

saw the conditions were not consistent with the reports that we were receiving from official reports from the department. I think in retrospect, we were too slow to organize that information. One of the reasons we have these accountability checks in my report today is we need to have the reports from the supervisors but we need to also integrate reports from citizens and Council Members and the GPS information and the Snow SCOUTs.

To the extent your questions suggest that we should have reacted more quickly to the information coming in from neighborhoods and from members of the City Council, the answer is absolutely yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have to wrap up now, to give my colleagues an opportunity. I do have some more questions. We did hand out a questionnaire about this. Hopefully, we'll be able to provide to this to you tomorrow as the Council Members wrap up. I want to thank you for the testimony.

I am troubled that there was no one person responsible for the minute to minute

2	decisions, as well as there was somebody who was
3	demoted for what it seems like everyone did.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Due to the
6	large number of Council Members who want to ask
7	questions we are, as I stated earlier, we're going
8	to try to follow a protocol that allows everyone
9	to participate. So I will ask the members to keep
10	to one question with some reasonable follow-up as
11	needed for each panel. But before we do that,
12	Council Member Crowley has a follow-up question.
13	SPEAKER QUINN: I just want to note
14	the discussion that occurred when Chair Crowley
15	was asking her questions took about a minute and a
16	half away from her questions, so we're going to
17	add two minutes back on the clock. We apologize,
18	Madame Chair, for that disruption.
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you
20	very much, Madame Speaker.
21	SPEAKER QUINN: Set the clock at
22	two minutes please. Let's just set it, Chair,
23	before you start.
2.4	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: This question

may be for Commissioner Cassano or it may be for

the Deputy Mayor since the Mayor is not here
himself to answer it. There was some talk about
Chief Peruggia being demoted. It happened. You
know, the Mayor said it himself. We're curious
here as a Council because he's not responsible.
The responsibility of declaring a state of a snow
emergency does not fall on his shoulders. So why
was he the fall guy, or why was he the one that
demoted out of all the people to be demoted in the
city?
SALVATORE CASSANO: Well, nobody

questions the hard work that Chief Peruggia did or the EMS command did. But looking at our operations before, during and after the snow storm, there are some changes that have to be made. In consultation with the Mayor, we both felt this was a good time to change the leadership and just change procedures at the same time.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So,

Commissioner Cassano, did it have anything to do

with his performance or did it entirely have to do

with his performance during the snow storm?

SALVATORE CASSANO: It has something to do with his performance during the

25

snow storm, but there were other factors involved.
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, thank
you. Then lastly, my question is probably for the
Deputy Mayor. Did budgetary concerns impact the
way the city responded to this storm? Yes or no?
STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: No.
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So there was
no delay in calling the Department of Sanitation
workers in, letting them know Christmas Day that
we were going to have a severe blizzard and making
sure that we had enough staff and we had enough
equipment lined up to respond to this snow storm?
There was no delaying in action?
JOHN J. DOHERTY: There was no
delay. In fact, I'm quite proud of the response
we got, considering we were calling additional
people in on Christmas Day when most people are
out with their families enjoying and playing with
their children. We called them and they
responded. They were in at 7:00 a.m. on Sunday
morning and worked through that day and into that

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. In all

fairness, Commissioner Doherty--

2	JOHN	J.	DOHERTY:	[interposing]

3 Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: --in the past, when we are expecting a large snow blizzard, isn't the media invited to see the fleet of trucks that we have ready the night before the snow storm hits? Like on the cover of the New York Times on Saturday, you saw a picture of all the trucks lined up ready to go out for a snow storm. We didn't see that Christmas Day. It wasn't on TV; it wasn't in the media as we have usually seen in the past.

So for many of the people that I represent, they felt that the city wasn't ready. They thought maybe since Christmas Day and the day after, which was a Sunday that the cost of paying double time to Sanitation workers impacted the number that were brought in to work.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,

Chair. Again, to my colleagues, as we begin this

exercise, one question with a follow-up. The

first three members to ask questions is Council

Member Rose, Council Member Greenfield and Council

Member Gentile, in that order. Council Member

2 Rose?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you,

Chair. I just want to thank my Council Members

for asking such articulate questions. I think

you've gotten to a number of things that I had

wanted to ask. However, Commissioner Doherty,

back in November, you issued three press releases

that were regarding Sanitation's readiness for the

snow season. Two of these releases were delivered

on November 4th and the third on November 10th.

The November 4th release announced that DSNY would begin registering emergency laborers to help clear streets, bus stops and crosswalks and were registering private firms to assist with plowing. The November 10th press release announced that the department would begin its annual night plow schedule to assure that the department will have sufficient number of employees assigned to work the night shifts for each of the 59 Sanitation districts.

In the same release, you made an unequivocal statement that I am pleased to announce that the department is ready for action in the event a major snowfall hits the Big Apple.

2.

All Sanitation personnel are geared up for another
winter that may bring heavy snowstorms. But the
department will be prepared whether only an inch
amounts of snow hits New York City. Now, I
believe that you made these statements in good
faith and that you were, in fact, prepared. So I
am hard pressed to believe that the problem lied
with Sanitation.

So I looked at what the Office of Emergency Management's responsibility was in this whole thing and it's to plan emergencies and coordinate agencies, both during emergencies and in response to emergencies. Among these plans, the city's natural hazard mitigation plan, which outlines measures the city must take in the event of different types of natural hazards, include winter storms.

So my question is: Commissioner

Doherty, when, in fact, did OEM first contact you
to coordinate these services?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I don't personally remember when the call came in that came into our operations office. I did have conversations with Commissioner Bruno about the

2	upcoming storm. So there was that coordination
3	there. What time a call came in, I really don't
4	know.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Did this call
6	come in prior to Sunday evening when the snow
7	started to accumulate?
8	JOHN J. DOHERTY: We had sent a
9	person over, as we always do, to the OEM command
10	center to be available as a liaison and to contact
11	back and forth between OEM and the Department of
12	Sanitation.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: In January,
14	OEM, you said that there was, in fact you had
15	given it a NEMSIS number of three. This storm was
16	never given a NEMSIS number. Why was there not,
17	in fact, a clear, and please correct me if I'm
18	wrong. Can someone tell me when the exact call
19	came that this was a storm that needed to be dealt
20	
20	with other than just individual agencies? All of
21	with other than just individual agencies? All of the agencies were supposed to be coordinated.
21	the agencies were supposed to be coordinated.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

2	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: We communicated a
3	number of times with the Department of Sanitation
4	and many other agencies. At 4:38 a.m., for
5	example, on Saturday the 25th, a notification went
6	out of the forecast and of the issues that might
7	be coming forward. At 1:33 on the 25th, I sent
8	another email message to many people, but
9	including the Sanitation Department. We spoke
10	with the Sanitation Department at 4:00 p.m. on the
11	25th in our Winter Weather Steering Committee call
12	with the National Weather Service. So those were
13	the first communications and then they continued
14	on after that. Of course, they were at the EOC.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I'm out of
16	time, but thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. If
18	we could, put members on the clock because
19	apparently there's some introduction and some
20	comments and some questions. Please set the clock
21	at three minutes per member. Council Member
22	Greenfield, you're on.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Can I
24	use the ten on the clock?
25	CHAIRDERSON TAMES: No you cannot

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GRE	ENFIELD: Okay.
----------------------	----------------

You know, I think we can hold a hearing just to examine what exactly went wrong in my district, which was likely the worst served district in New York City. But as per the Chair's request, I will leave aside the fact that my streets weren't plowed in my district until Saturday night and that when you finally cleaned up on Sunday, it was a disaster from dozens of families who had carbon monoxide poisoning to crushed cars, to shockingly toppled gravestones.

So my line of questioning today is more basic. It relates to the city's policy as a whole. Are you aware that the population of the city is made up mostly of the four outer boroughs other than Manhattan? One Sanitation worker told me, "We all know that Manhattan gets cleaned first, but why did they send me on a special detail out of Brooklyn to clean Manhattan."

So my question to you is why did you? Why didn't the outer boroughs have the resources that we needed? Are outer borough residents second class citizens as far as Sanitation is concerned?

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	JOHN J. DOHERTY: All the boroughs
3	had the resources they needed. When you hear
4	people say that we moved

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] Come on.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Sir, can I answer? Thank you. When you hear people say that we moved them from place to place, that is true. On any given day, whether it's snow or collection or cleaning operations, we move people around the city to make sure that we have personnel there to do the job that's required. During that storm and periods after the storm, we moved people around the city to ensure that we tried to clean up the city equally, to get all the boroughs cleaned as quickly as possible at the same level. leaving the outer boroughs waiting for plows or having the inner borough having all the streets cleaned. So we do move people around, but it has nothing to do with the person's opinion of what goes on.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

Commissioner, by every objective standard, and I include the standard that the Speaker sent out a

questionnaire to all council members and district
managers, by every objective standard, Manhattan
was at least twice as clean as the outer boroughs
My personal case, I had a dozen plows that were
sitting at my local Sanitation depot. When I
inquired as to why, I was told because they did
not have enough staff to operate the plows. So
please explain to me what happened? Why is it
that Brooklyn did not have the same exact
resources as Manhattan?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: If one was to look at the numbers, Brooklyn as a whole had more people working there than probably any of the other boroughs.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: We have twice as many people.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Let me just continue. Brooklyn got more snow. Staten Island, Brooklyn and the southern part of Queens got the most snow during this storm. Manhattan and the Bronx got less snow. So when you have less snow, consequently it's going to clean up faster. But we did move people, we did move personnel. I was in the New York Community Board in the middle of

2.

the night and I saw the conditions over there. I
moved trucks from Manhattan and front end loaders
over there at 4:00 in the morning on Sunday
morning. So to say that we weren't there and we
alert is not correct. Were there streets
unplowed, were there cars stuck? Yes, I saw them
I'm not happy about that. We're here to correct
that and listen to the concerns of the Council so
that we don't have this problem again. I'm upset
about it just like you are.
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
Commissioner, I was there as well, and I saw a

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,

Council Member. Council Member Gentile, followed

by Weprin, Koo and Halloran.

dozen plows standing there unmanned and was told

that they did not have the manpower.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you,
Madame Chair. I believe we all have acknowledged
that South Brooklyn was one of the hardest hit
areas in the city. In my district there was at
least one fatality in Bensonhurst when an
ambulance and medical help could not reach a 50year-old Wing Li, as she told her family she was

having trouble breathing. She died in the house before her husband could get 911 and medical help to the house. Then the corpse lay in the house for another 16 hours before a coroner could get access to the street to remove the body.

So the city's inadequate response to this storm was an ultimate price that Wing Li paid for it and her family will continue to pay for it forever more. So to follow-up on Councilman Greenfield's issue of resources in the boroughs, are you saying to me or saying to this panel, Commissioner Doherty, that in fact Brooklyn, which has more streets and we'll agree, than Manhattan, had more equipment than Manhattan in order to deal with this storm?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: If one wants to look at the numbers, yes. If one wants to look at the numbers, you look at how much garbage. That's how we determine how many garbage trucks we're going to have in this city. What is the garbage, what is the workload, what is the productivity of the workers? So when you set your fleet size and somebody talks about seeing spare trucks there, that's true there were trucks sitting there. But

2	that doesn't mean we didn't use every person we
3	had in the department equally around all the
4	boroughs to do our job. We did do that.

There are time when, yes, there are going to be trucks there. Some of them may be down and waiting for mechanics. Some of them may be a spare truck, which they often are. But we had everybody working and we shared our personnel and our equipment equally amongst the city boroughs.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: You're saying you shared them equally, but in fact you also said that Brooklyn had more of a problem because of the amount of snow and the amount of streets. Therefore, it took much longer in Brooklyn, especially the southern part of Brooklyn to clear streets. So how can you say that equipment was divided equally across the city?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: At the start of the snow storm, it was divided equally depending on the needs and what we felt the boroughs needed. As the conditions changed, as I said before, we moved people and equipment out of Manhattan and into Brooklyn. I personally did it on Monday

2	night to get them over there in the morning to
3	help out because I saw the problem myself. So we
4	did move people and we continued to move them
5	after the storm to get the streets opened and get
6	the snow out of the way and get the life back to
7	normal.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So the
9	fact that streets were not passable until Thursday
10	or Friday, was that just a factor of your having a
11	definition of plowed really not being passable?
12	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No. No question
13	about it, we didn't get into all of the streets.
14	It did take us a long time.
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. Do
16	you want to finish your answer? I'm sorry.
17	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, I think I
18	finished it.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
20	Weprin, followed by Koo and Halloran.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you.
22	You know, we have to apologize for our anger and
23	how we feel, but you have to understand that for
24	the last two weeks we've been hearing from
25	hundreds and in some cases thousands of

constituents. I've been talking to Sanitation

workers and people on the street. The one thing

that is clear and you've admitted here today that

this is the worst response to a storm since at

least February 9th, 1969. Things that happened in

this storm people have never seen before. It just

seems like it's more than just the factors that

you laid out here today.

Now, I have spoken to an enormous amount of Sanitation workers who were very offended that certain stories were out there that made them think they weren't working as hard as they can. Everybody I spoke to were working incredibly long shifts, 14-hour shifts and putting in their effort. So those workers did an amazing job of being out there working. But as Council Member Vallone said before, we just didn't see the plows and we didn't see the work. Things that happened, we've never seen before.

For instance, the tandems of trucks that would come through on primary streets would barricade in the secondary streets and the tertiary streets. I had never seen that before. Generally there's a last truck that carves out

those local streets. We had three foot barricades on local streets, so ambulances couldn't get in if they had to. People were scared, and then they were angry. That's how we feel now and that's how we feel on their behalf.

One of the issues that came up to me, a number of issues from Sanitation workers had to do with the equipment. So let me ask specific questions on the equipment that is out there. The trucks in particular, the new trucks, the ones that are called ACs and AFs, that have the closed loop ventilation system, as opposed to the old ones that had the straight stacks. A lot of the members that I spoke to thought that those had less horsepower and possibly were lighter than the old trucks.

Every one of them complained that if they had an old truck or a new truck that the weight of those trucks was light because they were empty of garbage and the light trucks made it very difficult for them to plow streets. Is that something, Commissioner that was the case? Has there ever been a chance where you weigh down those trucks? Have you ever done that in the

past, in any of your 70 victories? Is something
different today?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: The truck itself generally weighs from 19 to 20 tons. It's a very heavy vehicle. There's a lot of steel that goes into that vehicle. We generally have our trucks empty. Historically, we have our trucks empty. We want them empty because we don't want loads freezing in them. We had at times cold weather conditions when we had loads freeze inside those bodies and then it became difficult for us to get it out when we wanted to take that truck and turn it from a plow into a truck to collect garbage. So we've always tried to get them empty so that they're ready. They plow just as good.

It doesn't make that much difference. One could say, well if I put a sandbag in the back of my car would it be better? Well, maybe it would, maybe it wouldn't. It depends a lot on what kind of a driver you are and the conditions you're facing out there. We faced very difficult conditions. The equipment, given what we had to do, it's a good piece of equipment. Whether there's a little bit more weight in it or

2	a little less weight in it has nothing to do with
3	the operation of the truck.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Was there a
5	difference between the old trucks and the new
6	trucks?
7	JOHN J. DOHERTY: The new trucks
8	are a better piece of equipment. They weigh about
9	the same. They're a better piece of equipment.
10	They actually come with a locking device on the
11	brakes for mud and snow, where if the wheels start
12	to slip, this new device automatically or
13	manually, depending on what you want to do, will
14	lock in.
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
16	JOHN J. DOHERTY: It will brake
17	that wheel that's spinning to help you get
18	traction. You have 16 wheels in the back. I'm
19	sorry, you have eight wheels in the back and
20	they're all trying to grip at the same time.
21	Sometimes they start spinning and you throw this
22	locking device in on the new trucks. That brakes
23	it to let the other tires grab more.
24	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,
25	Commissioner. Council Member Koo?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you,
3	Madame Chair and Madame Speaker and Deputy Mayor
4	and Commissioners. I'm here to make a suggestion.
5	We all know America is the greatest country on
6	earth and New York City is the greatest city.
7	Every time we have disasters, we have tons of
8	volunteers responding. So a snow visit is a
9	natural disaster.
10	I remember when I was a kid in the
11	70s, when President Nixon visited Beijing. There
12	was a big storm, maybe ten times worse than this
13	one. How did China do it? They mobilized the
14	people. Thousands of people sweep the streets at
15	Tiananmen Square and around Tiananmen Square. The
16	next there was not a snowflake on the street.
17	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
18	Koo, is there a question?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So my question
20	is: can we create an emergency volunteer registry,
21	maybe under the Fire Department so that prior to a
22	major storm we can mobilize and we can contact the
23	individuals to help the city workers? Every time
2.4	we have a grow grown wouldenend on Canitation

workers. We spend a lot of money. I heard it's a

million dollars to remove one inch of snow. So

the last time we spent over \$20-\$30 million. So

we can mobilize our community youth and we give

them certificates of merit or when they apply to

college, it's community service. So we can at

least try and get the community people involved to

help. Thank you.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Council Member,
Commissioner Doherty may have a specific response
to your question. Let me just say that I spent
the last eight years until I got here as the
chairman for Presidents Obama and Bush as the
National Community Service/VISTA AmeriCorps
programs. Our communities, our neighborhoods have
great resilience and our youth have interest in
community service never seen before.

Without in any way diminishing our responsibility to the people of the City of New York which we take very seriously and will do right the next time, your suggestion is a terrific one and it's one that we've thought through for other types of emergencies and will consider in this case as a way to augment the response of public agencies. Thank you very much.

2	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,
3	Council Member Koo. Members should feel free if
4	they don't want to use all of their three minutes.
5	We appreciate it. Council Member Halloran,
6	followed by Council Member Comrie, Fidler and

7 Oddo.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: you, Madame Chair. Commissioners, Deputy Mayor, the outer boroughs were left out in the cold, despite being 80 percent of the population of this city and 85 percent of its street miles. We had a test run in September. It was the tornado that ran through our streets. In that time, logistical confusion, interagency coordination, inaccurate data and reporting, 911 and 311 overloads, failure to report in the field the clearance of downed trees, the public safety consequences that were impacted by it and the breakdown in communication and coordination with local and elected constituents and the elected members of the Council were all issues we raised back in September.

Now, Notify NYC is a great service. It didn't specifically tell us to stay off the

streets this time. But I would like to say it
would have been useful to have that information
going out a lot earlier there. But let's look at
the statistics and the facts that you've given us
According to the Mayor's Office by 5:00 p.m. on
the 27th, 63 percent of the 18,000 miles of
roadways were cleared in the City of New York.
But according to Queens, Brooklyn and Staten
Island residents, that didn't happen until the
29th

You claim that on 5:00 p.m. on the 28th, 89 percent of those 18,000 roadway lane miles were cleared. However, Queens, Brooklyn and Staten Island reported them December 31st. You used statistics like DSNY claims plowed doesn't mean passable. Well then that's an absolutely useless term.

You talk about the storm tracking from the National Weather Service, yet we know 26 hours before first snowfall, 41 before you response at 11:00 p.m. on the 24th, the forecast was for six plus inch snows and 50 miles per hour winds. On 22 hours out, 35 hours before the snowfall, at 3:58 a.m. on the 25th, there was a

б

2 strengths.

You had all of these innovations into the 311 system and to the Emergency Dispatch Systems. Yet, in my district, we had to notify the Mayor's Unit about power being out in Bayside where constituents didn't even have electricity to their homes.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
Council Member Halloran, you have 26 seconds on
the clock. Is there a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: That's fine. You say that report of snow not being affected by the salt came back to the Sanitation office and therefore, you were able to determine not to continue to salt. You also indicated that you were passing down information from Council Members and constituents to the Sanitation workers. Yet, you said to us that there was no downward communication going on. The only reports were coming in at the end of shifts.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And that's your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: How is it possible?

2	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a
3	question, Council Member Halloran?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: How is it
5	possible that you were able to pass down
6	information and get information that salting
7	wasn't working, yet you weren't able to get
8	information that the streets hadn't been plowed?
9	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
10	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Well, let me
11	first say, when you talked about the preparation
12	for the storm and the condition reports and the
13	weather reports, we were getting those weather
14	reports. We had started preparing for a smaller
15	storm on the 24th, and we had actually issued a
16	snow alert and we had been bringing people in to
17	be available on Sunday, on Christmas afternoon,
18	should it snow. So we had made preparations and
19	we continued to make preparations.
20	We were also getting the National
21	Weather Service. As the conditions increased and
22	said it was going to get more snow, it was going
23	to get worse we went to a full operation and got

all our people in. So we moved as quickly as we

can. Yes, there were streets out there that were

unplowed. We know that. That's the unfortunate
part. That's the thing we have to correct. But
we were out there working and doing the plowing as
quickly as we could.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Comrie, followed by Fidler and

Oddo.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you,
Madame Chair and all the chairs. I thought there
was one person ahead of me. So I will just go
into it. In southeast Queens, we had the worst
response ever, in terms of cleaning our major
streets. We had fires that happened in the
district. We had just about everything that
happened everywhere else, but it was underreported
by the media.

What I wanted to know was what was the level of response per borough and at what time and how many plows did you have out in each borough from Sunday evening to Tuesday afternoon before the streets in southeast Queens were finally done? If I could get a complement on what kind of turnout per borough, since we are not supposed to be district-specific, what kind of

2	turnout per borough did you have as far as
3	personnel? Is there a snow melter that is
4	assigned for the Borough of Queens, a snow melter
5	or one, that's assigned for the Borough of Queens
6	and when that assignment happened?
7	JOHN J. DOHERTY: There are a
8	number of questions there, sir. We had called, as
9	I said earlier, a full force in to start on Sunday
10	the 26th at 7:00 a.m. We had a full force. Then
11	at the afternoon, at 7:00 p.m. the other half of
12	the workforce was there for 12-hour shifts.
13	We had almost 2,500 people,
14	depending on a shift. 2,400-2,500 people on
15	during the course of each shift. The plows were
16	manned. We had over 1,700 plows out on a shift.
17	The numbers per borough, I do not

The numbers per borough, I do not have them with me. I can provide them. But I will assure you they were equally distributed, depending on what the needs of that borough were.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Also, I was told that there was no access or no outreach to the Parks Department or to DOT or to other personnel that could have had the ability to put equipment out on the street. When was that

2	request or opportunity given for DOT or the
3	Department of Parks personnel to have the ability
4	to snow? When was that outreach made?
5	JOHN J. DOHERTY: The Department of
6	Transportation, DOT worked with us. They had
7	their equipment in at 7:00 p.m. on the 26th.
8	Their plows and front end loaders working with us.
9	The Parks Department, DEP and
10	others came in after that, starting the next day,
11	they started bringing their equipment and
12	personnel in. That was coordinated through the
13	Mayor's Office of Emergency Management.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Just to go
15	back, I'm very disappointed that you don't have
16	the breakdown by borough on the amount of
17	personnel that was in the street per day or per
18	time. I would have thought that that would have
19	my three minutes are up already?
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Yes, sir.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: I would
22	have thought that would have been a major part of
23	what you brought to the table here, since it was
24	mostly the outer boroughs that suffered most. I
25	would like

24 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:

25

Notwithstanding, that was not my question.

2	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Does your
3	question get better than that?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: No, it
5	certainly is not.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The clock is
7	going, Council Member. Thank you.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Yes, I
9	know. I'm trying. Notwithstanding that, Deputy
10	Mayor, and very, very seriously, I think you kind
11	of threw Commissioner Doherty under one of those
12	proverbial stuck in the snow buses when you
13	emphasized in your testimony that you relied upon
14	his expertise and you didn't change anything.
15	I just want to remind you, as a
16	representative of the Bloomberg Administration
17	here at the table, of the words of Harry Truman
18	about where the buck stops.
19	I also want to say that I think a
20	lot of us have the gnawing feeling, and I know a
21	lot of my constituents have the gnawing feeling
22	that the decision not to pre-procure private
23	equipment or to declare a snow emergency had a lot
24	less to do with moving traffic around than with
25	bean counting and wishful thinking. I think a lot

of us feel that way, and nothing that's been said here has dispelled that feeling.

So my question is this: I know,
because I was personally in touch with the Mayor's
Office on Tuesday and Wednesday that the Mayor's
Office was directly aware that the streets in
Southern Brooklyn were un-passable and unplowed.
Who makes the decision to redeploy the plows and
the melters and the other equipment and the other
personnel from the tony neighborhoods in Manhattan
that clearly had been plowed? When were those
decisions made? How much equipment was
redeployed? Is there a paperwork trail that would
tell us just when the Mayor's Office, or whoever
makes the decision, sent the plows to southern
Brooklyn where they were needed?

answer the last two questions. Let me just make an observation. I think Commissioner Doherty is one terrific commissioner. I thought it before this snow storm; I think it today. Not only do I not throw him under the bus, I defend his professionalism and his commitment, his integrity and the time on task and that of the vast number

of men and women who work for him.

Any disaster can be quarterbacked late. Not by you, by me, I'm evaluating what happened and I respected his judgment then and I respect his judgment now. There was not one single decision made at any time in this exercise where cost was evaluated. In fact, as many resources as possible were thrown at this. We admit many mistakes, but not one of them was related to money. In no way would I second guess John Doherty for what he did.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Sir, we did move equipment around the city. We moved it out of Manhattan and we moved it into Brooklyn and even into Queens. At one point, I think we sent some stuff to Staten Island. So it was moved. The decisions are made by me, basically our operations office, they do it, and there is a paper trail of when we moved equipment around the city each day.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I would just ask that that paper trail be provided to this committee so that we can see. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member,

I'll be asking for a number of snow removal forms
at the end of this panel. Council Member Oddo,
followed by Council Member Foster, Vacca and
Koslowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Thank you,

Madame Chair. Deputy Mayor Goldsmith, I want to

thank you for the tone that you set at the start

of your testimony. I appreciate the apology to

New Yorkers because I deserve it. Unlike brother

Lew, I'll be a team player and apologize to you

for the score of the game.

I found the most interesting testimony to be that when the snow emergency decision was made, neither you nor the Mayor was informed. Maybe it would be a good idea if we heard from both commissioners to elaborate about that.

But the most disturbing comment for me, and I don't want to sound too parochial, is the testimony that said we didn't know how bad conditions were on the ground, especially in Southern Brooklyn and Staten Island. I would say that all one needed to do was go on my Facebook page and you would have heard directly from Staten

2 Islanders how bad things were.

So my question is: I heard the

Deputy Mayor allude to working with the Council.

Is there going to be a formalized process where

this Administration listens to all 51 members of

this Council on this issue and others? I'm not

saying that the member who is the howlingest

banshee should get the attention. But we know our

districts better than anybody on the face of this

earth. We are an invaluable tool to be used and

we were not. In fact, to a certain degree, we

were ignored.

So, I hope in the 15 points, and you talked about internal and external communications and the failure, I hope in that there will be a formalized process where we will be players in trying to protect our districts.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: The point's well taken. You know your districts better than anyone and you're on the ground in your districts and we're not, at least not in every district like you are at the moment of an emergency. We will, by Wednesday, fix the ability of members to communicate directly to the OEM.

I did not know it at the time, but

I've learned that you have a designated person

that we actually didn't activate. I hope to

present to the members special numbers and emails

and access information. I mean, one of the things

that you all do is you say look, here's a person

on life support, here's a street with somebody,

this is a really serious matter, it's not just a

dot on the map, pay attention to it.

We've got to get that information consolidated and moved out to the field and need to organize that in advance. Part of that answer will happen on Wednesday morning, assuming we have another snow event and it will get better after that to you and your constituents.

SPEAKER QUINN: Can I just jump in for one second and you'll get your time back, I promise? I just want to thank you for that,

Deputy Mayor, and just remind you I want to make sure that our individual who is the OEM liaison gets to participate in the restructuring because I know he has a lot of ideas. So thank you. I know you've made that commitment but I just wanted to publicly thank you for giving us that opportunity

2 and reiterate it.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
Foster, followed by Vacca and Koslowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.

Very briefly, I've been talking to my colleagues
in the Bronx and it's clear from our stories,
compared to Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island,
that we fared better in this storm. Sanitation in
the Bronx did an excellent job, or I should say a
better job.

I have two quick questions. What is the protocol for clearing pedestrian walkways? My biggest complaint, from a district where drivers didn't try to move, was from my commuters trying to get to buses. There were no walkways that were clear. There was no access to bus stops.

In plowing the streets, obviously, the snow was plowed to the side, but in many cases, plowed in bus stops, plowed in crosswalks. So what is the protocol, number one, for clearing bus stops and crosswalks? Number two, when cars are to dig out themselves, where are they to put the snow if they're not to put it in the street?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: On the protocol, the first protocol is to get the streets cleared of snow. Once we have that done, the second protocol is to start clearing bus stops because that is a major way of people getting around the city. That takes time. There's about 14,000-15,000 bus stops out there that have to be cleared. We could not clear them overnight. We did work on them.

We worked on the crosswalks with our personnel and the emergency laborers that we had hired. Over the course of the storm, we used people from DEP and from the Parks Department to assist us in shoveling. When one talks about crosswalks, there are over 100,000 crosswalks in the city.

Saying that doesn't make it any better, it just shows you the enormity of the task that we had in front of us and how quickly we can do it. I think it was pointed out before by one of your Council Members that we need to get more people out there; we need to get volunteers out there. That's taken well. The Deputy Mayor pointed that out. We need more of that manual

б

labor after we get the streets cleared to help do
that. People have to get around. We want them to
get around. We need to do more work in that area
to get people out to do that.

what, in fact, happened in my neighborhood that many of the supers from the buildings actually cleared the sidewalks and the crosswalks. But my question specifically, and I'm sorry, I keep trying to duck to see you. Specifically, once the streets are cleared and obviously the snow is plowed to the side, is there secondary protocol or after the streets are clear that we clear the snow plow stop where the streets are crossed, or we just keep plowing and then eventually volunteers will clean?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, no, we get in to clean that. First, as I said, we want to get the streets cleared. Then we want to start opening up the crosswalks and the bus stops so people can get around the city. That's what we tried to do. We did work on that. It does take time. We worked on it. There was a lot of snow out there. In the Bronx, we did better, and I

thank you for saying about the people that do a
good job up there. It was in a little better
shape than other parts of the city.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Vacca?

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you.

As another Councilman from the Bronx, I may say that you can indicate we were better, but not much better. We didn't fare better than Manhattan. We fared maybe a little better than Brooklyn and Queens, but that was catastrophic. So we were a little better than catastrophic. But catastrophic, that's not acceptable.

I wanted to speak to you about what is the definition of these streets we talk about: primary, secondary and tertiary. Most of us live on what's called tertiary streets. These are taxpayer streets, residential streets. I don't even know from your office or anyone what street is what, where, what do these definitions mean. I only know that we were left stranded in my community and my borough. I only know what happened to us.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a

2 question, Council Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: That is my question. What is primary, what is secondary, what is tertiary? When were these definitions created? Where are we going with this? It failed us this time, I think significantly.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: The definitions were started many, many years ago, even before my time. It basically sets up a priority for the department to move forward. One of the things you have to remember, when we talk about the snow emergency streets and the arterial highway. You have to have a system in the city where roads are cleared so emergency vehicles at least can get around the city from one borough to the other. That's the first thing you have to start being concerned about. What happens if there's a major disaster in one borough and not in the other? You need roadways cleared for that, so you have to start on that.

But on the definitions, if you want to look at the definitions, many roads are covered by the primary definition: main artery; main thoroughfare; a lifeline street such as a highway;

б

expressway; drives and bridges; leeder approaches
and exits from bridges, tunnels, ferries,
highways, airports; all bus routes, private and
city-owned; all streets with concentrated food,
produce, industrial, financial, amusement,
shopping, hospital, maritime; streets that have
located upon them facilities such as fire houses,
police stations, hospitals, nursing homes, fuel
distribution centers, terminals, schools are even
included on the primary streets. All emergency
streets are designated by the Department of
Traffic. That's the snow streets we talked about
earlier.

Then the secondary streets are basically those streets that feed off the primary street. They're the streets that were mentioned earlier that when getting plowed, get ridges on them because you're doing the primary street and that ridge gets built up. We know that. That's one of the problems. We do that when we get into the secondary streets.

After the secondary streets come the tertiary streets. They're the streets that feed off the secondary. They're the smaller,

narrower streets, back streets. Yes, a lot of
people live on them. They probably represent less
than a quarter of the streets in the city,
unfortunately. But you have to set up a system
where you get your roadways open, your main roads
and you move in. This storm, it didn't work that
way. The secondary streets were clogged, and that
was a major fault in the problem we had with this
storm. We didn't get in there quick enough.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,

Commissioner. Council Member Koslowitz, followed

by Dromm, Dickens and Gonzalez.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank

you, Madame Chair. I want to follow-up on what

Council Member Koo talked about, community. We

have in our communities, in many communities, we

have what we call CERT teams, which are Community

Emergency Response Teams, and we have volunteer

ambulances throughout our communities. Were they

called upon during this emergency and in what

capacity were they called upon?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: As you know, we have 53 or so of these teams; almost one for every community board, and that's we work out, and the

2	Council has been very helpful in getting people to
3	volunteer for this. We would not call them in
4	during the height of the storm, obviously. We did
5	make a call to CERT to come out and help on the
6	cleanup of fire hydrants. We made a call and some
7	CERT members did come and help. It's volunteer;
8	they can come out. So we have used them in many
9	emergencies, as you know. In this particular one,
10	they were called out to do that.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: And what
12	about the volunteer ambulance?
13	SALVATORE CASSANO: On the
14	volunteer ambulances, we put out a call for mutual
15	aid very early on. The private volunteer
16	ambulances weren't able to help us. We got a
17	couple later on, on Wednesday, to participate in
18	the mutual aid. But we put a call out right away.
19	We needed help and we asked them to help us. They
20	couldn't get to us
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
24	Dromm?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you

very much. I know that the Mayor prides himself on having good managers, but clearly that wasn't the case during this storm. I heard Commissioner Bruno testify that on December 27th, you knew that things were not going right. Yet, at subsequent press conferences, the Mayor was still saying that if your street was plowed, you're okay; if your street wasn't plowed, then you're going to complain.

I just want to reiterate what some of the other members said which was that communications need to seriously be improved. The reason I bring that up is because, God forbid, we should have another 9/11 disaster or something like that, and we would not be prepared for that type of response, for adequate response.

Many of the members have already asked a lot of the questions that I had. But mine is really in regard to the primary and tertiary streets et cetera. People were brought to Elmhurst Hospital on sleds. Elmhurst Hospital serves a number of Council Members' districts in Queens. The fact of the matter is that Broadway, in front of Elmhurst Hospital was cleared,

2 however, the side streets where the emergency 3 department entrances are, were not cleared.

I also got reports from people in the community that nursing homes that needed ambulance access were not cleared. I wanted to know if that was part of the considerations in terms of which streets get cleared first. Some of the nursing homes and other senior places may not be on primary streets. What are your plans for that in the future?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I think it's something we'd have to look at. There are many, many nursing homes I'm sure, around the city. I don't know how many. That's not something that went into the primary streets. In some cases, they may be or may not be on there. Our primary streets are basically to handle the emergency networks, to get the city open and get people around.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM:

Commissioner, the streets of the emergency department itself at Elmhurst Hospital was not cleared. The street in front of it was. The problem that people had getting into Elmhurst

Hospital was because I guess it's a secondary
street and it was not cleared. So I think what
you need to do is to go out and to survey where
the access to the hospital actually occurs.
Whereas, Broadway is a primary street but the
other streets around it were not.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Well, I think it's a good point. We should look at that.

if anybody can elaborate a little bit further in terms of the 911 and the 311 responses. There were constituents who called 311 and who were getting a message that said you could not file a complaint until December 29th. I don't know if you were aware of that. People would have to call back on December 29th in order to file a complaint.

of that recording. I know that 311 had a number of issues. They had a short busy signal resulting from some Verizon overloading, that they were overloaded when they hit 250,000 that they were trying to redirect more of the responses to the internet. But clearly, they didn't keep up. I'm

2	unaware of that recording, but we'll certainly				
3	look into it. Thank you.				
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.				
5	Council Member Dickens, followed by Council Member				
6	Wills, Lander and Brewer.				
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.				
8	The Sanitation Commissioner said that the problem				
9	with this effort was that they didn't get to the				
10	secondary streets fast enough. If doing it again,				
11	as in this Wednesday, would you clear the				
12	secondary streets faster or just not clear them at				
13	all?				
14	What, with all the pertinent				
15	questions raised today by my colleagues, I				
16	appreciate Council Member Fidler's term, "tony				
17	neighborhoods of Manhattan," and since so many of				
18	my colleagues compared Manhattan to outer				

Thank you, also, Commissioner, for the definition of arterial, tertiary, secondary.

Apparently my colleagues looked at my notes, but arterial streets, per your definition, were not

boroughs, I might put-on the record that there are

neighborhoods in Manhattan that apparently are

considered outer borough.

cleared within 48 hours. Nov	w that we acknowledge
that there are problems, I fe	eel that there are
severe problems, what are you	u going to do about
implementing revised protocol	ls for Wednesday's 8
to 12 inches of snow?	

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Just let me correct myself. Nursing homes are on the primary streets. I omitted that before. Nursing homes are on the primary streets. As far as moving along with the storm, we have plans. We're reviewing those plans; we're looking at them again.

Normally, what happens in a storm, you do two things, one, you work the primaries and some of the secondaries, and depending on how fast the snow is coming down, you move from the primaries into your secondaries. Sometimes you have to move back and forth.

The difficultly in this storm was the snow came down so much that we didn't have the ability to move as much equipment as we would like to those secondary streets. In previous storms, when we didn't get the downfall of snow at 1-2 inches an hour, we were able to do that. This

storm, we didn't do it. That doesn't make it an
better. We have to look at it. We have to look
at can we stick with some trucks on the secondar
streets and will that create a problem that
primary streets, which are important, not getting
done.

We're also looking at the V-plows. We re going to be ordering some new V-plows. We found that the V-plows are very good when you have streets clogged. We don't want to get to that point, unfortunately, and we haven't been at that point since 1996. This is the first time we start pulling out our V-plows and putting them in there.

That doesn't mean that, you know, we fell behind or anything like that. I'm sorry, we did fall behind a little bit and we have to look at it and we have to restructure if necessary.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you so much. Would you get in touch with the private vendors that are on your list faster, earlier, because that was not done in a timely fashion?

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Wills?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Gentlemen,				
3	we are in the business of governing. For a				
4	statement to be made that you were unsure of your				
5	powers seems to be at best an embarrassment and at				
6	worst an untruth.				
7	The Deputy Mayor testified that				
8	nevertheless, the decisions were not guided by a				
9	clear understanding of what powers and actions				
10	such declarations would enable amongst city				
11	agencies and other entities and how the public				
12	would be required to act as a result.				
13	Through our review over the past				
14	two weeks, we believe that declaring an emergency				
15	could have provided a triggering event for those				
16	city agencies and other entities that utilize such				
17	a declaration as a catalyst for action, and by the				
18	public which potentially utilize such a				
19	declaration				
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]				
21	Council Member, is there is a question?				
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Yes, there				
23	is a question, but I needed to have this for				
24	background.				

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Go ahead, thank

б

2 you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: But I'll go directly into the question, Chair.

5 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

wanted to know is even though that, and what

Commissioner Doherty had testified to seems to be
in contradiction, due to the admitted inadequate
response of the city in taking the full myriad of
issues that you faced, while keeping in mind that
many taxpaying residents whose vehicles were
impaired or stuck, had knowledge of mass transit
being stuck, but were under the belief that if
they made it to a primary route, they could get to
work. What is the city doing, how much
responsibility are you taking in helping those
taxpaying individuals with the retrieving of their
vehicles after being towed?

I have a bunch of residents or constituents in my district that are complaining that they're being charged to have their cars returned to them, and that the people that are in charge of this are being less then helpful in them retrieving these cars. So that's something that's

2	more of peripheral effect, but that's what I need				
3	to know about.				
4	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: It's an				
5	important question. PD would know the answer; I				
6	don't know the answer to that question. I think				
7	it would be a case by case basis. The Police				
8	Department responds to me that they just moved				
9	many cars. They didn't actually tow them to an				
10	impound lot. We'll have to work through those on				
11	a case by case basis. I'll get you an answer to				
12	your question.				
13	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: All right,				
14	thank you.				
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member				
16	Lander?				
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you,				
18	Madame Chair. Mr. Deputy Mayor, and				
19	Commissioners, thanks very much for being here and				
20	also for your forthright acknowledgement of				
21	systemic failures in the city's response.				
22	In that spirit, I'd like to share				
23	with you a report my office prepared on what those				
24	failures looked like on the ground in my district,				

based on many hundreds of complaints we saw,

б

including from quite a few people whose blocks

were not cleared until the wee hours of New Year's

morning. I got several emails about the first

miracle of the New Year.

Our report highlights the possibly preventable death of veteran Joel Grossman, who made his first 911 call at noon on Monday but didn't see an ambulance until after 7:00 p.m., by which time he had died.

The terrible response at the garage in Community District 12, serving Kensington and Borough Park, which did far worse than Community District 7 and Community District 6, the other two garages in my district; and also, the insufficient priority given to clearing streets around the three hospitals in my district, as Council Member Dromm discussed; and also the pathways to subway stations, which many thousands of people need to use, especially in the storms. I hope I was wrong, but I thought I heard Commissioner Doherty say that this is a lower priority than getting all the streets cleared.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a question, Council Member?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But my					
3	question is simple.					
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.					
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: How do you					
6	know when a block has been plowed? Deputy Mayor					
7	Goldsmiths' testimony acknowledges the Department					
8	of Sanitation lacks the capability to track data					
9	on street conditions other than reports after a					
LO	14-hour shift and after the snow had stopped					
11	falling. Yet, throughout the storm, the Mayor					
12	reported regularly to the public on what percent					
L3	of primary, tertiary and secondary streets have					
L4	been plowed citywide and in specific parts of the					
L5	city. But if you don't have the capability to					
L6	track the data on street conditions, where did					
L7	those numbers come from? Did you make them up?					
18	I noticed that, Commissioner					
L9	Doherty, you referred to a problem with the narrow					
20	technical definition					
21	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Question,					
22	Council Member, please?					
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I don't say					
24	this to be facetious, but I think if we're going					
25	to correct the flaws, we really have to understand					

Thank you.

2.

them.	So I gue	ess I would	l just like	to understand:
how do	you know	when a bl	ock has be	en plowed?

CHAIRPERSON JAMES:

JOHN J. DOHERTY: We send the

Sanitation workers out on their plows and salt

spreaders with lists, the list of their routes.

As they do the routes, they come into the garage

at the end of the shift and they report the routes

they did. On that route is a list of each and

every block that's on there.

So we report, on a percentage basis, this is what we cleaned, based on that, or what we cleared. You add up the number of routes that were cleared and you find out what percent of the routes we cleared in the city. Each of those routes represent x number of streets.

acknowledged this didn't work and needs to be replaced by a new and automated system. But I really just want to make sure I understand this. So, for 14 hours, they're out there with a paper route sheet. If they encounter a car that's stuck in the middle of a street and they can't get down it because there's a car or a truck stuck in the

б

garage?

middle of the street, what's protocol now is that
they note it down on a piece of paper and some 8
or 12 hours later they bring it back to the

JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, they will report it. Some of the trucks have radios in them. Many of them do not. They report back to us. We pointed out earlier, and the Deputy Mayor pointed out, that we're starting to use GPS phones in the vehicles the Sanitation workers take out so they have the ability to contact their supervisor where there is a problem. So that's something we are looking at now.

What would normally happen, the operator would see his supervisor during his shift, report to the supervisor that the street was blocked because of a vehicle. When the report came in at the end of the day, we would show that that street was not cleaned and it still has to be cleaned, which is what we did as part of the program to get cars removed in conjunction with the Police Department. We had to go in and clear out snow, move the car and then open up that street.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON JAME	S: Thank yo	ou.
---	------------------	-------------	-----

Council Member Brewer, followed by Van Bramer,
Ferreras and then Recchia.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. I first want to say thank you to Chris Hancock who is the superintendent in 7, and he did a great job. I'm afraid to say Manhattan, but it is a fact. Columbus Avenue, where our office is, was plowed.

Community boards, I haven't heard the word all morning. How are they part of the OEM issue and communication? Number two: the executive order states that the first deputy mayor is in charge if the Mayor is out of town. Do you need a second executive order if the different deputy mayor is in charge? Number three: the whole issue of technology, the Deputy Mayor here has mentioned a couple of times, ECTP. I'm interested in PSAC 1 and 2, NYCWiN, portals and so on. How do they play a role in the future without the union? The union members need to be involved with any technology changes? How is the union involved in technology? Those are my three quick questions.

2	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: With respect to
3	community boards, you know, because I know you're
4	very active in the program, CERT teams are based
5	upon community boards. We basically assign a team
6	and build a team per community board. So we have
7	contact into community boards through those
8	individual teams. The community boards themselves
9	are like any other elected official or an entity
10	that exits within different areas of the city and
11	neighborhoods. They communicate with us. We will
12	go out and meet with them. We do preparedness.
13	But in this storm, there was really
14	very little communication to community boards.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Well,
16	that's a change for the future.
17	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Right.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Because it
19	makes no sense. I hope the Deputy Mayors that, we
20	have 59 of them. All of us, I think, are very
21	important. So how are they going to be involved
22	in the future?
23	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: You've asked a
24	lot of really good questions. I don't want to
25	take up too much of your time. I'll do this as

2	quickly as I can. What we all knew inherently
3	before the blizzard and what we know now is that
4	residents know the real conditions in their
5	neighborhood better than anybody else does. And
6	we have to capture that information in better
7	ways. There will never be enough 311 call takers
8	to take that information.
9	So, we are rapidly moving to crowd
10	sourcing, social networking, total transparency,
11	text message
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
13	[interposing] Real time community boards would be
14	helpful.
15	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: And we are very
16	close to the point in time where the community
17	boards will be able to go into that 311 data in
18	real time and figure out what's happening.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: How far
20	away? I've been advocating for it for a decade.
21	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I'll verify it
22	when I get back. I'll say 30 days.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.
24	Next question; I have more questions.
25	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: ECTP needs to

be accelerated. Clearly, this was an issue of	
some extent. When we have Fire and PD, EMS all	
sitting together, it will be much better. We've	
picked up the pace and we hope to see changes lat	te
summer or fall in that regard, as well.	

So, basically, what we need to do is move as much communication as we can online in social networking tools. That will allow more time for folks who don't use those tools to receive the attention they deserve.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay,

Deputy Mayor, executive order, how does that work?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Well, a couple

of things. The Mayor is always in charge. Any of

us can get the Mayor anytime, anywhere in the

world. He answers his telephone. So that

executive order, that line of succession was

designed 50 years ago when that wasn't the case.

It needs to be modernized. Somebody needs to be

in charge in an administrative fashion to sign

specific orders of declaration. The Mayor, this

Mayor, who works all the time, will always be in

charge. We will clarify who signs those orders on

the ground.

were not activated in this one?

25

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: They were not

2	activated, but they were on all the calls. They
3	were reporting their status when we had those
4	calls.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Why were they not activated?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: We activated the agencies dealing with winter weather essentially. I went through the list; I'd have to find it again. It's Police, Fire, Department of Sanitation, Department of Transportation, OEM, Department of Environmental Protection, Department of Parks. All this came in, and MTA, and others. Those were the ones that had the capability of responding and moving the snow.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:

Commissioner, we can talk about why NYCHA wasn't involved later. I have some more questions. Mr. Deputy Mayor, I believe you said earlier, in response to a question from Council Member Williams, that you were still trying to figure out parts of you role as it relates to Commissioner Bruno's role and where one begins and the other. Did I get that right? Is there confusion between your role and Commissioner Bruno's role?

2	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I don't think
3	there was confusion. The way I interpreted the
4	question is when there isn't an emergency
5	declaredwhen there is an emergency declared,
6	it's pretty clear what happens. When there's not
7	an emergency declared but we have very serious
8	situations on the ground, how do we organization
9	those resources? I accept the responsibility of
LO	doing that more vigorously in the future. But
11	also, to nominate up the chain of command,
L2	escalate more quickly kind of the non-decisions.
L3	We have a process for escalating the decisions,
L4	but not the non-decisions. That was the issue
15	here and we're going to fix that.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:
L7	Speaking of which, the other thing that concerned
18	me and Council Member Williams and Oddo, I think,
L9	talked about this specific part of your testimony,
20	that Commissioner Doherty and Commissioner Sadik-
21	Khan had made the decision not to declare an
22	emergency. You and the Mayor were not aware of
23	that. Is that correct?
0.4	STEDUEN COLDSMITH: VAS

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Did you

2	ask	them	if	they	were	declaring	а	state	of
3	eme	rgency	y?						

for deciding or not deciding?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: No, I didn't.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Why
would you, as the Deputy Mayor of Operations, in
charge of this entire response, not think to ask
the Commissioner of Sanitation and Transportation
if they were declaring a state of emergency so
that you could understand at least their reasoning

good question. My answer is that I have enormous regard for John Doherty. Seventy successful snow storm fights in a row. I wasn't going to interfere with a working model. He calls me when he needs assistance or has a question. I relied on that. Maybe we'll change it. We will change it next time. That's the reason the decision was made this way.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Before Council
Member Ferreras, I think it's totally unacceptable
that the thousands of residents of NYCHA were not
activated. Most of them are women with children.
It was totally unacceptable. I hope that we can

do better	in	the	futur	e.	Council	Member	Fer	reras?
		SI	CEPHEN	GOI	DSMITH:	We'll	fix	that
tomorrow.								

three-month-old baby's funeral was yesterday. Ms.

Freeman watched her mother, Yvonne Freeman die in her home in Corona. The 230 tenants on Judge

Street all were very frustrated. The common thread seems to be they all called 911. They either called 911 and there was a busy signal, they called 911 and they were put on hold, or they called 911 and there was just a huge backlog.

I know that in your 15 points that you were discussing how to address moving forward, I want to hear exactly how are we going to improve 911? Because that is the first line of defense, that's how we train our children, in an emergency call 911. The good men and women that work for the city in FDNY, NYPD and all the other city agencies can't do their job if they don't get the adequate reports. Can you explain to me in your points how we're going to improve 911?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: As you know, the 911 calls are taken by PD. I defer to them as

a highly professional organization. Our
understanding in this particular set of problems
I'll tell you what, I'm learning my role. The
experts behind me will give you an answer and then
I'll talk about it generally.

CHARLES DOWD: Good afternoon. I'm

Deputy Chief Charles Dowd from NYPD and I'm in

charge of the 911 operation and police radio

operation. So I take your question, what are we

going to do for the future of 911 and the

improvements we're looking to do?

First and foremost, the first improvement we're trying to get, and it's part of the ECTP program that's already been mentioned here today, is the upgrading of the 911 system.

Currently, we are on a 911 switching system that is from 1995. It is overdue now by a couple of years for implementation. As the Deputy Mayor has already mentioned, we're hoping to have that ready by end of summer/late fall.

That new 911 system will integrate the call taking. Currently, on the old system, you have two switches that deliver calls to 911.

It's antiquated. It doesn't mesh the calls

together, as the new technology will; therefore,
putting the calls from both switches inline in the
order that they were received. That capability
will improve our ability to answer the calls in
the order that they were properly received.
Hopefully, that will reduce wait times in high
volume periods.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Recchia, followed by Council Member

Barron and then Council Member Vann.

First of all, I would just like to say that in my district, the supervisor of Community Board 15 garage, Fred Tuzzolino and his snow supervisor Mike Carson [phonetic] did an excellent job. He worked with us; the same thing with Community Board 13 with Paul Mazilli [phonetic] and Lewis Vacca. But Community Board 11, we had a very serious problem. Councilman Gentile spoke about it, and we would like to follow up, working with your office, Commissioner Doherty.

We do believe that all the men and women of Sanitation work very hard. But the question that I have, I have one question for

2	Deputy Mayor Goldsmith, I don't see him here.
3	Being the Finance Chairman, I want to know the
4	cost of the GPS and when this is all going to take
5	place. Is it expense money; is it capital money?
6	But my question goes out to the
7	Commissioner of DOT. We have not heard from her.
8	We'd like the Commissioner of DOT, you know, and
9	what position did DOT take on declaring a snow
10	emergency? What was her reasoning for not
11	declaring a snow emergency? So, we'd like to hear
12	from the Commissioner of DOT.
13	JANETTE SADIK-KHAN: Thank you,
14	Council Member. As you know, and as you've heard
15	today, basically the decision to not declare a
16	snow emergency was taken in conjunction with the
17	commissioner
18	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
19	Commissioner, can you identify yourself please?
20	JANETTE SADIK-KHAN: Janette Sadik-
21	Khan, the Commissioner of the New York City
22	Department of Transportation. It was taken in
23	conjunction with the Commissioner of Sanitation.
24	The idea was is that when I talked to Commissioner
25	Doherty asking motorists to move their parked

2	cars on snow routes was deemed as not a good
3	strategy when we were trying to keep cars where
4	they were.

So, currently what we've seen is that a one-size-fits-all response, which despite its name, snow emergency, does little beyond restricting parking and driving on snow routes. So it's clear that this needs to be updated to meet higher standards that the public has regarding a flexible and swift set of responses in preparations to a gathering storm.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Because I come to think that cleaning the streets are more important than our cars and where they're parked and getting people moving around. We have to really put that as a priority, not where cars are parked. This is very important.

Deputy Mayor Goldsmith, I had a question about the GPS, about how much money is that going to cost? Is that going to be capital money; is that going to be expense money? In addition to that, we would like to make a recommendation to you, Deputy Mayor, and to the Commissioner of Sanitation, that every garage

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	should have a list of people from the local
3	community. We should register them before the
4	storms. There are many people in all of our
5	communities. When I hear you say we're going to
6	contract with this, contract with that, forget
7	about contracting with other people. Hire the
8	locals. I have many people in my district that
9	want to be hired.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,

CHAIRPERSON JAMES:

Council Member Recchia, we're about to--

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:

[interposing] So could you take this under consideration, and answer my question.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Yes, thank you.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: The answer to the second question is sure, that's a great idea. We'll do it. The answer to the first question is we have these very sophisticated vehicle tracking things for like \$6,000 a vehicle x years ago. You can take your cell phone, as you know, and turn it into a GPS device. We're going to take them and use them as walkie talkies to help the men and women in the department communicate with each

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much. My question is for the Deputy Mayor.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member Barron, is it necessary for you to stand?

19 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, it is.

20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: You're blocking

someone's--

17

18

21

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:

[interposing] Well, it is, because I can't see him with them in front.

25 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay.

Thank

power of the Deputy Mayor, and through this I

notice how you conveniently diverted to the

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 234
2	Commissioner
3	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
4	Is there a question, Council Member?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, it is.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Tish, other
8	people made statements and then asked their
9	question.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I understand
11	that. Could you just sum up your statement and
12	make a question, sir?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well,
14	people make their statements, then they ask
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
16	Could you get to the question?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And they
18	ask questions.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I understand
20	that. Just finish your statement and make the
21	question. Thank you.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And I'm not
23	counting this time because you keep interrupting
24	me. The bottom line is that the investigation on
25	the Sanitation workers is a scapegoat, just as the

firing of the EMS is a scapegoat. Just by
deferring to commissioners when it's convenient is
a scapegoat. I think you and the Mayor are
responsible for what happened. You and the Mayor
should be under investigation, seriously, because
the death that occurred could have been avoided.

There is no way that I would ever believe that the commissioners made all the decisions, especially the ones that were critical, and you and the Mayor knew nothing about it. So I think that we should really look at that. The attorney general's office should look at investigating it. Because no matter what questions were asked here today, you had an answer for them, even if it wasn't accurate, even if it wasn't truthful. Because I even believe that for you to sit here and say you knew nothing about a snow emergency is just not true; no discussion on it whatsoever.

Then finally, the tier streets, the primary streets, the secondary and all of the streets are Manhattan. Manhattan is what was cleaned. They even had snow removal in Manhattan-CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]

2 Council Member, question?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: --before they got to Brooklyn. And they cleaned the bike lanes in Manhattan before they got to Brooklyn.

My question for you: don't you think, honestly speaking, that you should be under investigation as well, with the Mayor, for the tragedy that occurred during this snow job?

the depths of your opinion and I obviously categorically disagree. I'll answer one part. You know, I think there is a great group of men and women who work for the Sanitation Department. In my last life, I spent time driving around in those trucks during snow emergencies. It's one tough job and it's a really tough job for 14 hours a day. We're not investigating them in any way. We applaud those who worked well. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No, I said you should be investigated. Not them, you and the Mayor.

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: You said we were investigating them as scapegoats, I believe.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No, I said
3	you should be investigated instead of these other
4	entities investigating the union workers.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You should
7	be investigated along with the Mayor
8	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
9	Thank you, Council Member Barron.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:not you
11	investigating them.
12	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
13	Council Member Vann?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Thank you,
15	Madame Chair and Madame Speaker, leadership,
16	colleagues. A female constituent who was pregnant
17	went into labor on Monday, December 27 and she
18	called 911 at around 8:00 a.m. Now, according to
19	media reports, her call was not prioritized as am
20	emergency situation. She gave birth to a baby in
21	the lobby of an apartment building that she sought
22	refuge in as she was actually trying to walk to
23	the hospital in the storm, in the snow.
24	Now, another call was made to 911
25	around 4:30 p.m., and police officers on the scene

called FDNY an hour later to say that the baby had been born and apparently was not breathing. Now the call was then upgraded to the highest priority and FDNY officials arrived in 12 minutes and Emergency Medical Services did not arrive until 6:00 p.m.

I guess my question, and I realize all of this is being investigated and you can't discuss the issue per se, but my question I think is legitimate in terms of how was a priority established during this critical time. How did you determine which was the highest priority and which was the lower priority? So that I can perhaps rationalize as to why this issue was not the highest priority initially.

SALVATORE CASSANO: Without discussing that particular case, when calls come in they are categorized as Segment 1 to 8; 1 being the highest priority, which would be arrest or choking, Segment 2, 3, 4, 5 and so forth. That's the way those calls were being prioritized during the snow storm. That's why we were trying to be proactive and telling people not to call because they just kept getting more and more and more

2	backlogged. So, as a triage, they were from 1 to
3	8, and we handled the calls in that priority.
4	Priority 1 would be first then 2, 3, 4.
5	Now, I can't discuss that
6	particular case, but that's the way they were
7	prioritized. But we had such a huge backlog, some
8	of the life-threatening calls we weren't getting
9	to for, as I said, about 50 minutes.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: So, without
11	discussing that particular case, if a call came
12	into 911 that a female is in labor and she's not
13	in or near a medical facility, what is the policy
L4	to determine a priority? Is that priority 1
15	through 3, 3 through 6, or 6 through 9?
L6	SALVATORE CASSANO: That's a
L7	priority 5.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: That's a
L9	priority 5.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Then it
22	became a priority 1 when the call came in and said
23	the baby was deceased.
24	SALVATORE CASSANO: Once we get
25	more information on a call that's prioritized in a

spending so much time with us, Mr. Deputy Mayor

25

and Commissioners. Let me start by saying that I
have faith in John Doherty as our Sanitation
Commissioner, which is the reason why I think me
and a lot of people like me are saying what
happened here? Something went wrong. I recognize
in your report you illustrate some of that. Quite
frankly we blew it

But I want to ask some questions, perhaps and get the answers relatively quickly to just dispel some rumors and to dispel some issues. I don't believe the Sanitation men anywhere in my district laid up. I think they were working really hard and I appreciate that. I know my superintendent was in constant contact with me regarding the issues. But clearly, something went wrong this time.

Let me just start by having some quick questions in succession to you, Commissioner Doherty. Pre-salting: was the pre-salting the standard pre-salting that we saw throughout any other snow storm that we have? Was there a difference in pre-salting this time than there was previously?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: We don't normally

2	pre-salt, because if you lay the salt down before
3	the snow comes down, traffic only pushes it to the
4	side of the road and it's not effective. It's
5	like a grain. Just like you see dirt in a road,
6	or asphalt, it just goes to the side.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: But
8	nothing changed there, right?
9	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Yeah. Nothing's
10	changed. I think what you might be talking about
11	is on Staten Island, we did a test this year.
12	We'll see how it works out. It didn't work out
13	well during this storm. We're trying a pre-
14	salting where we are adding about 35 gallons per
15	ton of calcium chloride to the salt to try and
16	hold it down to see if it works.
17	Normally, we add about 7-10 gallons
18	of calcium chloride per ton of salt. So that was
19	something we tried it on Staten Island and we
20	tried it over in the Brooklyn/Queens area. We
21	didn't get a good evaluation on it because the
22	snow was so heavy, but it's something we're
23	looking at. You might be talking about that, sir.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Let me
25	just move on. There were rumors and, once again,

I'm trying to dispel them. I'm not saying they're
true, but let's get them out in the open. That
Sanitation men and women were positioned to their
garage that they're assigned to, not to the
nearest one to their homes. Is that true, is that
not true?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I think what that comes from is all Sanitation workers are assigned to various garages around the city and expected to report there. On Monday morning, there was difficultly with everyone getting about, no question about it, including the Sanitation workers. Some of them started calling in asking if they could go to the nearest garage. We didn't want to start an influx of Sanitation workers where they may live. Like on Staten Island, we have quite a few Sanitation workers who live there.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: We made out a little bit better there.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: We really have to get them distributed around the city. So at first we hesitated, and then we said okay, come on in.

If you can get into that garage, come on in and

	work.	So	we	didn't	stop	them	for	that.
--	-------	----	----	--------	------	------	-----	-------

3 COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO:

Understood. In the interest of time, I'm going to keep going fast. Supervisors with Priuses and the Priuses ultimately got stuck because, clearly, they can't do the work. They don't weigh as much; they don't have plows. In the interest of being green, the city bought green Sanitation cars and they ultimately got stuck, true or false?

SALVATORE CASSANO: That's true.

Many of the Priuses, many small cars got stuck.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: So the guys who were supervising plow workers couldn't, in fact, do so because some of them got stuck in the green initiative that is the Toyota Prius?

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Well, I think it didn't really, in the long run, affect our ability to do what we did. I mean, one could question whether we did a good job or not. You know, they were still able, the ones that got stuck, we tried to get them out as quickly as we can. They had radios and they were in contact with their personnel. So they were still able to manage

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 245
2	their people without actually seeing them.
3	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay.
5	Some of the things were of concern.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
7	Council Member Sanders, Mealy, and Eugene.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: But, thank
9	you very much, Mr. Commissioner.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,
11	Council Member. Council Member Sanders?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you,
13	Madame Chair. First, let me draw attention that
14	my State Senator is here. Good to see you,
15	Senator Smith.
16	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: He was
17	recognized earlier. Thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Well, it's
19	always good to recognize him again.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The clock is
21	running.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: If you'll
23	let me speak, then I can use it. If I can also
24	say that my district, I represent the 31st,
25	Southeast Queens, which we kind of think of

ourselves as the Lower Ninth Ward of New York

City. I must admit that we felt absolutely

abandoned by government in this crisis that we had

out there. We felt that if we had 18th century

modes of transportation, a horse and a buggy, we

would have done better to get around there.

Now, I'm very interested in what we see as a Manhattan-centric focus, a focus on Manhattan that really abandons the rest of us until we get around to it. You mentioned that there are priorities of streets, but I want to draw your attention that Queens as a whole and Brooklyn, I'm sure, we had very few primary streets in our community. Under those conditions, by the time you get around to--I'll be kind; I'll get rid of those words.

Your effort to hit your primary streets really hurts us in the outer boroughs. It certainly hurts us in Southeast Queens. Is there some other means that we can use to focus on these streets, a percentage for Manhattan, a percentage for Queens? Something that will mean that normally we get snow removal a day or two after Manhattan. This time, it was three or four days

2 after. The normal is irresponsibl	스	2	2	4		after.	The	norma⊥	lS	irresponsip	ΣЕ
---------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	--	--------	-----	--------	----	-------------	----

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is there a 4 question, Council Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Yes, my question is: is there another means that we can use, a fairer means that would take into account the outer boroughs?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: We are always looking at that. I mean, I don't believe the outer boroughs were left out as a result of the department putting more manpower or equipment into Manhattan. There are many primary streets in many communities. If you have a bus route, that's a primary street. If you've got a firehouse, that's a primary street. If you've got a hospital, that's a primary street. So there are many.

In response to your question, we are going to review things. But we still have to follow a priority on our street clearing that allows emergency vehicles to get around. We failed in getting them into the secondary streets, no question about that. But we still have to have those primary streets opened up.

In some areas, there may be more

2	primary streets than other areas. That's
3	unfortunate and we'll continue to look at it. But
4	as the Deputy Mayor said, we want to get better
5	management information in. We want to understand
6	better the situations that are going on in each of
7	the communities around the city to see how we can
8	approach that problem and correct it quicker.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you
LO	very much. Thank you, Madame Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
12	Council Member Mealy?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes. I
L4	listened to my constituent, 80-years-old; she said
L5	she'd never seen such a slow response. With that
L6	said, do you all know the National Services have
L7	issued a winter storm, 6 to 12 inches today? Do
L8	you feel, all four of you all commissioners and
L9	the deputy will be prepared for this, yes or no
20	answer?
21	JOHN J. DOHERTY: Yes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes. So,
23	with all four commissioners and the deputy, why do
24	we need an expert, Skip Funk, the new Citywide

Emergency Communication Director? Why do we need

2	someone else to handle what all four and the
3	deputy can handle? Why do we need to hire in
4	someone else? Can someone explain that? Quickly,
5	I don't have that much time. I have another
6	question.
7	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Mr. Funk has
8	been brought in to
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:
LO	[interposing] Do we really need him?
11	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Yes.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: To do what?
13	To communicate, because all four departments did
L4	not communicate to one another, so we're going to
15	bring in someone else?
L6	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: No.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay, I'll
18	ask the next question. You all get what I'm
19	saying. I understand that the city acquired
20	additional equipment and labor from private
21	companies to battle the snow. How much did the
22	last-minute procurement of private equipment and
23	labor costs to the city, since I'm the chair of
24	Contracts? Could you give me that dollar amount?
25	.TOHN .T DOHFRTY: Those numbers are

2	no in yet. We know th	e equipment we had out
3	there, but I don't hav	e the final tally on what it
4	cost.	

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Do you know how many private contractors, outside contractors that you hired during the snow?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Contractors, there were probably about 86 various contractors. A lot of them had small pieces of equipment. I mean, we had a total of equipment from private contractors amounting to 2,504 pieces of equipment over the time period. Many of them were smaller and they were slow to respond. It was difficult to get them as quick as we would like.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So it wasn't that effective. Can I ask you, how did you handle people who came to do the snow removal through the community? Once they got back to the depot, a lot of people said that you were paid in full because you're on public assistance. Is that how you handle community people who come to get that extra work to pay to shovel snow?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I'm not aware of that. We pay the snow laborers \$12 an hour.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Do you take
3	that money from their public assistance?
4	JOHN J. DOHERTY: I'm not aware of
5	that. This is the first I've heard about that.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Well, I've
7	got about ten young men. Do you know that at BK3,
8	it was the fight that broke out with the
9	Sanitation supervisor because they were not
10	informed that they would not get paid? Just
11	because they're on public assistance, they cannot
12	get paid for that? Thank you.
13	JOHN J. DOHERTY: You might be
14	talking about the Work Experience people that we
15	use for street cleaning. This is the same
16	operations we do for street cleaning. They go out
17	and do street cleaning. In this case, they went
18	out and did snow work, such as cleaning
19	crosswalks, catch basins and corner caps.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
21	Council Member Eugene?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: You still
23	didn't answer the question.
2.4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Eugene, followed by Ulrich and

Garodnick and then Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Madame Chair. I would like to thank Madame Speaker and all of my colleagues and the commissioners and also the deputy mayor for this wonderful forum, and all of you here.

The improper response of the city endangers the lives of New Yorkers, jeopardizes the life of New Yorkers. When we talk about life, nothing can replace and also bring life back.

This is a very important issue. We don't know yet how many people died because of the situation.

What did you put in place to ensure that people with medical need get their needs fulfilled? What did you put in place, knowing what happened a few days before, to ensure that we can protect the life of the people? Do you think that it is necessary to create another emergency medical task force to deal with certain situations? I'm talking about a structure that can create coordination and communication between the Fire Department and the hospital, the nursing homes and the medical facilities. Do you think that it is important to create a new task or

2	another medical task force? Or what did you put
3	in place to respond to those emergencies?
4	Let me give you my second question
5	very quickly, since I don't have enough time.
6	Deputy Commissioner Goldsmith, you said in your
7	testimony many
8	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]
9	Is there a question, Council Member?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Yes, my
13	second one. You said that many private
14	contractors with heavy equipment could not be
15	reached. Why? Is there something that you used
16	to do that you didn't do this time? What is the
17	process? How did you contact them before in times
18	of emergency? Is there something different that
19	you don't do?
20	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, I think with
21	emergency equipment we do go out early in the
22	year. We solicit them. We try and contact them.
23	We reach out to the construction industry to see
2.4	if they can solicit them We put ads in the trade

papers to try and get them in. Many years ago, we

б

used to get a lot more. Today, the constructi	.on
industry operates no matter what the weather i	.s.
Conditions have improved. At one time, they s	hut
down.	

We do have to reach out, as the Deputy Mayor said, and work out a contract procedure for hired equipment where we have them to do the tertiary streets that you're talking about, the back streets. Call them in ahead of time so that they're ready, just like we are. That's something that is being reviewed as we talk.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: My first question, anybody can answer to that. What has been put in place to respond to the medical emergency needs of the people?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Councilman, with regard to people with special needs, we reach out whenever there is bad weather coming. We reach out to a whole series of people in what we call an advanced warning system. But the particular one, for example, with the paratransit to make sure that they're aware of the weather and try to arrange that people can be picked up, assuming

weather	permits	it. We	e speak	to the I	Departme	ent
for the	Aging.	We read	ch out t	to the ne	etwork i	for
the End	State Re	enal or	Dialysi	s Group	to see	what
their ne	eds miah	nt be.				

In fact, during much of the activation, we were directing Sanitation to particular people who needed dialysis or to get to a place and we would free that up. With regard to hospitals, we had direct calls come in of communication with hospitals, as we do before and during emergencies. Those who are having trouble with their emergency room access, we were asking Sanitation and Police to try to clear that out and they were given priority as well, along with ambulances. So we have reached out.

We reach out to those people with special needs, to the American Red Cross and all of the caregivers so that they're aware of the situation, they can understand what may be coming, and they can double up on service and community care with their clients. We did that.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Ulrich.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Thank you,
3	Madame Chair. Commissioner Cassano, I'd like to
4	start with you. You testified earlier that the
5	Fire Department supported the idea of an emergency
6	declaration. Is that correct?
7	SALVATORE CASSANO: That's correct.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Who was
9	that communicated to?
10	SALVATORE CASSANO: The Office of
11	Emergency Management, OEM.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: So you told
13	that to OEM that the Fire Department's position
14	was that they actually supported the idea or the
15	concept of declaring a state of emergency?
16	SALVATORE CASSANO: There was a
17	call from OEM to us saying do we concur. Based on
18	the conditions that we had, we concurred that a
19	state of emergency could be called.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Deputy
21	Mayor or Commissioner Bruno, to your knowledge,
22	are you aware of any other city agency, such as
23	the Police Department or others that also
24	supported the idea of declaring a state of
25	emergency?

	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: The Department of
	Sanitation also was contacted. We communicated
	out to those two agencies and talked to them.
	What turned out to be the discussion was whether
	we had authority without a state of emergency to
	start pushing more cars out of the way and getting
	them out of the roadway. We brought the Law
	Department in with all the agencies and us and we
	determined we didn't need to have a state of
	emergency for that particular purpose. That was
	the purpose of that call. We decided you have all
	the authority you need allowing Sanitation and
	others to move those vehicles out of the way.
	That was the issue that we had before us on that
	particular call.
1	

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: So it was the Office of Emergency Management that rejected the Fire Department's claim that perhaps it was needed?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: No. We reacted to their request and Sanitation's request that the main interest was to try to clear up the roadways so ambulances and others could get through, including their plows.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: I don't
3	understand why they were even consulted though.
4	Because according to the testimony we heard today,
5	that is at the discretion of the Department of
6	Sanitation and Department of Transportation.
7	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: They were
8	questions, particularly from the Department of
9	Sanitation as to whether they had authority to
10	move cars out and we clarified that very quickly.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Who else
12	was asked? Did OEM contact any other agencies?
13	What other agencies did you contact?
14	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: The two agencies
15	we talked to on this particular thing, which
16	happened in the middle of the night, were between
17	Fire, Department of Sanitation and OEM. And the
18	Law Department came in. Of course, they
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH:
20	[interposing] What about DOT?
21	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I don't believe
22	DOT was on that communication.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: I think
24	there was an overall lack of coordination on the
25	part of a lot of city agencies. I know the Deputy

Mayor took some responsibility for that. But when
we talk about accountability in the city, it's
hard for a lot of people to understand, for
instance, if OEM is in charge of handling the city
and coordinating multi-agency tasks in a severe
storm or an emergency situation how, for instance,
the EMS chief lost his job but you get to keep
yours. It's very difficult for a lot of people to
understand that.

[Applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: No, I'm not saying that for applause. I'm not trying to belittle you in any way. I'm talking about accountability. It seems that no one person in the Administration wants to accept responsibility for the failure to coordinate the efforts of many city agencies. I'll leave it at that.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member Garodnick, followed by Council Member Levin,
Rodriguez and then the last Council Member is
Council Member Nelson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you, Madame Chair. Gentlemen, thank you for your presence here today. Obviously, and just to

follow-up on the questions of Council Member
Ulrich, obviously the Administration made a
mistake in not calling for a snow emergency. I
think we should just put that aside for a moment
because those decisions are challenging based on
the facts that you have at the time. You are
obviously humans and humans make mistakes

But the part which concerns me more is the protocol issue that is coming up over and over again in this hearing. It seems to me, and this is my question, Madame Chair, that the Administration has given perhaps too much discretion to individual agency heads with no central authority to ratify or reject their decisions. Obviously, there are many more emergencies than this that we might face.

So you said that you're reviewing your protocols, Mr. Deputy Mayor. We're expecting another storm in the city tomorrow. So, is the new protocol that a call or a non-call of a snow emergency will be reviewed by the Mayor or the Deputy Mayor?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Yes, we have to formalize the protocol and show it to you. In the

meantime, we have an event, perhaps for Wednesday,
that we need to be prepared for. So, we're
essentially going to track what the formal
protocol would say. There will be a series of
escalating conversations that will involve all the
relevant commissioners with a recommendation to
the Mayor. That will be in place.

It started with Stage 1 with OEM already. We'll have more conversations tomorrow with increased numbers of commissioners nominating decisions up the line of command, a clear line of authority.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: And at the end of the day, it will be a Deputy Mayor or the Mayor himself who will make the decision to either ratify or to overrule the decision that has been made?

STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: No, there's no doubt in my mind about who's in charge. It's the Mayor. The decision will go up to the Mayor. So yes, it will be the Mayor.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay.

Now, the other types of emergency that can be declared, presumably there are many, we're talking

2	about snow emergency today. I don't know if
3	Commissioner Bruno or you would be able to
4	delineate. I don't know how many categories there
5	are of types of emergency that we might face in
6	the City of New York, but the basic question is
7	this: will, going forward, a Deputy Mayor or the
8	Mayor himself be required to ratify or reject any
9	prior decisions of agency heads on what they would
10	like to do on a declaration of emergency, in any
11	type of emergency that we might face here in New
12	York.
13	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Both of us
14	will, yes.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Both of
16	you would?
17	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Both of us will
18	be involved, yes. Both the Mayor and the Deputy
19	Mayor will be involved. Not to the exclusion of
20	the commissioners, but based on advice from the
21	commissioners.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Is that
23	a new policy?
24	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Well, it's
25	clearly one we didn't follow two weeks ago.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I get
3	that you didn't follow it two weeks. My question
4	is: is it a new policy for the Mayor or the Deputy
5	Mayor to have to make the ultimate call on a
6	declaration of emergency?
7	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I don't think
8	there's been any, I mean I obviously don't have
9	the length of experience as the other three, but I
LO	don't see any established policy to review a non-
11	call. When there are important events, even if
12	they don't lead to a call of an emergency, they
L3	should be reviewed by the Deputy Mayor of
L4	Operations and escalated to the Mayor in every
L5	situation.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I agree,
L7	and I thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.
L9	Commissioner Bruno, I'm going to read from your
20	testimony. You said, "On Christmas Day, minutes
21	before our scheduled 4:00 p.m. call with the
22	Winter Weather Emergency Steering Committee, the
23	National Weather Service issued a blizzard warning

and predicted 9 to 14 inches of snow in New York

City."

Later on in the testimony you said,
"The Winter Weather Emergency Steering Committee
met again at 12 noon on December 26th. During
that discussion, the National Weather Service
described for the first time a worst case scenario
of up to 20 inches of snow." Further down you
said, "As we all know, the snow began earlier than
we anticipated."

I have the blizzard warning right here. It mentions 11 to 16 inches of snow, not 9 to 14. It mentions near zero visibility, whiteout conditions, extremely dangerous travel conditions. Do not travel, it says. It says that it will be in effect starting at 6:00 a.m. on Sunday.

Commissioner Bruno, EOC was not open until 4:00 p.m. on December 26th, 12 hours after the blizzard warning took effect. Did you not think that it was prudent to open the EOC until a full ten hours, not after the blizzard warning issued, but ten hours after it took effect?

JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I received the blizzard warning at 4:00 p.m. I consulted back with the National Weather Service at 8:00 p.m.

25

2	that night and again at 11:16. At 11:16 p.m. on
3	the 25th, the National Weather Service had upped
4	the amount of snow to 11 to 16 inches. Also, the
5	weather reports indicated that snow would begin
6	around 5:00 p.m. in earnest. It did begin
7	earlier, lighter, but
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:
9	[interposing] Commissioner Bruno, I'm sorry to
10	interrupt, but it does say that light snow will
11	likely begin during Sunday morning.
12	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: Correct, but the
13	heavy storm was supposed to begin around 5.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's when
15	the blizzard would be in full swing, not when the
16	blizzard began. The blizzard warning was in
17	effect at 6:00 a.m. on Sunday. Is that correct?
18	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: I understand. I
19	understand that.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.
21	My other question, just following up on the
22	blizzard warning, did other members of the Unified
23	Command of CIMS, so that would be Commissioner

Bruno, Commissioner Cassano, Commissioner Kelly,

did they opine on the question of the snow

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 266
2	emergency on December 25th?
3	JOSEPH F. BRUNO: They did not.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you
5	very much. Thank you, Madame Chair and thank you,
6	Madame Speaker.
7	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
8	Council Member Rodriguez?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Isn't
10	that true that only the Mayor in this city can
11	declare the city in the state of emergency? Yes
12	or no; I have other questions.
13	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: No.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Who else
15	can declare?
16	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: A snow
17	emergency can be declared by the Transportation
18	Commissioner.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: In the
20	case such as the snow where not only one area will
21	be affected but citywide?
22	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Citywide
23	emergency, the Mayor, yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: The
25	Mayor does?

267
can
the back, l have
re the
pect,
s. So
ay

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 267
2	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: Yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: So can
4	we agree that there were conditions in this
5	particular snow storm to declare the city
6	emergency?
7	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: I support the
8	decision made by the commissioners. Looking back,
9	we should have organized a process that would have
10	led to an emergency declaration, yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: But
12	there were conditions, right?
13	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: There was
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:
15	[interposing] There were conditions to declare the
16	city in a storm emergency.
17	STEPHEN GOLDSMITH: In retrospect,
18	yes.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Yes. So
20	how much will this snow storm cost the city?
21	JOHN J. DOHERTY: What'd you say
22	the question
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:
24	[interposing] How much will this past snow storm

cost New York City in projection, Deputy Mayor?

2	the data we can and when we get that, we'll decide
3	with the state how many counties we can go for.
4	We certainly are going to do our best to get them
5	all.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thanks.
7	In response to the GPS tracking, is the
8	Administration looking to put GPS on all
9	Sanitation trucks, including street sweeping
10	vehicles.
11	JOHN J. DOHERTY: The street
12	sweeping vehicles actually have radios. They're
13	probably ahead of some of the trucks.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: GPS?
15	JOHN J. DOHERTY: GPS?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
17	JOHN J. DOHERTY: We're going to be
18	looking first with the Sanitation trucks because
19	of the plows. As we see how well it works, we
20	will look at those. But you've got to remember,
21	the sweepers are not involved in snow removal. So
22	our priority is snow removal vehicles at this
23	point and understanding where they are and what
24	they're doing and how well we're accomplishing
25	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

2	[interposing]	Then	later	on	we	can	look	at	the
3	sweeping trucl	ζ.							

JOHN J. DOHERTY: The collection trucks and salt spreaders. That's the priority. That's the snow fighting equipment and that's where we want them.

8 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
9 you.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member Nelson?

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: This is directed towards primarily Commissioner Doherty. Your work has been incredibly great in the past, as has been said many times today. They're like snow eaters. So that's why I think we're so amazed, it's like incredulous and irate, and at least 80 percent of the city has been irate. Any potential sabotage I know is under investigation. But did you get reports about Sanitation plow vehicles, specifically, being driven all over South Brooklyn with raised plows, like one to two feet above the ground? I saw it myself, but I also had many, many phone calls calling up with very, very upset constituents about this. Did you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get calls about that?

JOHN J. DOHERTY: I had heard some reports. I think what sometimes gets confused in the heat of battle is what's actually going on out here. Sometimes that truck may be traveling from the garage to the route. Depending on the conditions on getting there, the instructions are to put the plow down if there's snow. If there's no snow there, move on to where your route is and concentrate on that. Don't be delayed someplace else. So there are various reasons that the plow may be up, if it's traveling from one place to another. Maybe they've been reassigned to a different route in a different part of the section, so they want to get there quickly. They're not going to get that plow down and spend time with a route that's maybe being covered by someone else.

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Well, that may be the case. But I saw people going, and I know I'm not supposed to be provincial, but up and down Ocean Parkway and Ocean Avenue and other parts and I never saw the plow down up until perhaps Thursday. So just take

б

that into consideration please. When you review everything, you'll see that. It's not just been on YouTube but we have seen this with our very eyes.

Do you have a plan, you know there are other types, police precincts for instance, hospitals, dialysis units, if you will, they're on a secondary/tertiary street, but do you have it in your plans that those will be taken care of along with the primaries or right after the primaries?

We saw that vehicles could not get to a hospital in my area. I saw that all over YouTube as well.

It seems that it's life and death situations that they don't have to run with people on the stretcher a block or two.

JOHN J. DOHERTY: Hospitals, police stations are on the primary streets. No doubt about it, there was some difficulties in some of our primary streets where buses in some cases broke down because they couldn't move and cars broke down. Depending on what point of the storm you talk about, there may have been some problems. But we were very aware of hospitals. We tried to work through OEM on these type of emergencies

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Go ahead,

Commissioner. You can have the final word on

24

2	that. You're the only who's getting a little more
3	time. You've earned it.
4	JOHN J. DOHERTY: One has to look
5	at how many people we need in the department over
6	time, not just for the winter season, but for the
7	rest of the year.
8	If one builds up and has a large
9	force of 7,000 or 8,000 people and pays for it,
10	the Council is going to be saying, hey where's the
11	money for what I need. You know, these are things
12	we have to evaluate. I think what we have to look
13	at, as was pointed out, we have an optimum
14	workforce, in my mind, of 6,000 people. That's
15	what we need for doing our job and for doing snow.
16	In storms like this, we have to see
17	about quickly getting in hired equipment and what
18	we can do, as the Deputy Mayor pointed out, on
19	different contracts, different approaches.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
21	JOHN J. DOHERTY: I think that's
22	the way we're going to have to go.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
24	Lastly, is there any evidence, based on your own

internal reviews that the Department of Sanitation

2	supervisors engaged in a slowdown or a wildcat?
3	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Any evidence?
5	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: No? Thank you.
7	JOHN J. DOHERTY: No, in Sanitation
8	in my mind. There's an investigation going on.
9	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: No, I
10	understand.
11	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you. I just
12	want to remind my colleagues, those questions are
L3	really enforcement for the law enforcement
L4	entities that are looking at this, not for the
L5	Council, which is not a law enforcement entity.
L6	But just to wrap up, I want to first thank all of
L7	the chairs who convened this hearing, in
18	particular the Chair of the Sanitation Committee,
L9	who had the unfortunate job of the clock, which
20	Chair Williams was running very well. Thank you.
21	I want to thank the members of the
22	panel from the Administration. People may or may
23	not have liked every answer you gave, but you were
24	incredibly forthcoming and provided a great deal
	1

of information and have committed to provide more

2	information. I want to thank you very much for
3	that frankness and for the commitment to continue
4	to work with us in the same forward-looking frank
5	way that you conducted yourself at this hearing.
6	I want to thank your staff, who

I want to thank your staff, who worked, I know, very long and hard in preparing for today. I also want to thank all of the City Council staff who worked very, very long and hardCHAIRPERSON JAMES: [interposing]

Yes.

SPEAKER QUINN: --in making sure we all had the information that we needed to conduct a focused and professional hearing. I want to thank all the Council Members, who I know it killed them to only have three minutes, but all who were cooperative and professional. We will now start a series of other panels with union representatives, civic leaders and others. Thank you very much, Mr. Deputy Mayor and all of the Commissioners.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Deputy Mayor, we will be requesting some snow removal forms. You'll get that in the form of a letter.

Based on advice of counsel, the
representatives from Local 444, representing the
Sanitation Officers Association, will not be
testifying. The next panel, representing the

6 Uniformed Sanitationmen's Association is Harry

7 Nespoli and Mr. Jon Del Giorno.

[Pause]

SPEAKER QUINN: We need to get the other panels up. I recognize there's a lot of press and administration folks, but if the sergeant-at-arms and Carl could assist the Administration in exiting, we could get the next panel up.

[Pause]

SPEAKER QUINN: Anyone who is doing any interviews, you need to conduct those in the Red Room, to the back to the right. We need to continue the hearing. If you're doing interviews, they should be done in the Red Room. Otherwise, clear the floor please. People who are exiting need to exit. Interviews with the press need to be done in the Red Room so we can start the next panel, which has been very patient. We need to clear the middle aisle. Thank you very much.

2	are some statements that I have to make, and I
3	promise you, I won't keep you here too long. I
4	want the questions.

The 5,900 people that I represent worked 12 to 14 hours a day for two weeks.

They're out there today still doing that, and also getting ready to fight another storm that's coming in.

My members live in your communities. You know them and I know them, and you know me. I want to make one thing perfectly clear before we move on. This union, the men and women of this union would never put the people in jeopardy during a snow storm. It just doesn't happen. The people before me taught me well. The people before them were taught also.

When it comes to snow, I take my contract book and I put it on the side for this department and for the people, to do one thing, to clean up these streets and to get the emergency services through. As soon as that storm is taken care of, I pick my contract book up and I go back into it. That's an understanding I have with management. That's an understanding I was taught.

2	Under no circumstances did this union or any of
3	the men and women of this union participated in a
4	job slowdown. So what happened?
5	SPEAKER QUINN: President Nespoli,
6	as I said before, those are questions that are
7	really being addressed by law enforcement. So the
8	members are not particularly going to ask a lot of
9	questions about that, because that's just not in
10	our purview. I appreciate your statement about
11	that, but I just wanted to be clear on that.
12	HARRY NESPOLI: Speaker, I just had
13	to clear that up.
14	SPEAKER QUINN: No, I understand.
15	HARRY NESPOLI: First of all, we
16	got hit with a major blizzard. That's what we
17	did. The eastern coast, not just New York,
18	Pennsylvania and Jersey also. It took everybody
19	by surprise. Trains were out. Airports were shut
20	down. The mail took three days before it was
21	delivered.
22	Back in September, I approached
23	this Administration and I told them I was worried.
24	I'm doing this a long time. I'm going into a
25	winter with the lowest amount of manpower that

2	this agency ever had. I was worried about a major
3	storm hitting this city. And guess what? I wish
4	I was wrong, because it happened. I was told: do
5	more with less. That's what I was told.
6	I approached many of you Council
7	people on this matter and I spoke to you about it.
8	I'm worried. When I see tornadoes hit New York
9	City, something that I've never seen in my
10	lifetime, I don't know what's going on out there.
11	But 5,800 men and women aren't enough for a major
12	storm.
13	With that, I'll take whatever
14	questions anybody wants to ask.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you,
16	Mr. Nespoli. I only have a few quick questions.
17	Did you have more men to fight this storm this
18	Christmas or last February?
19	HARRY NESPOLI: Last February, I
20	believe we had 6,150.
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And what did
22	you have this Christmas storm?
23	HARRY NESPOLI: Manpower?
24	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Right.
25	HARRY NESPOLI: We went in with

non-storm that happened did your members pre-salt the street?

HARRY NESPOLI: Yes, they did.

24

HARRY NESPOLI: We lost the storm by 7:00 p.m. shift. We couldn't keep up with the fall of 3 inches an hour. Brooklyn, Staten Island

2	and Queens had 30 inches of snow and the Bronx had						
3	20 and Manhattan had 20, the way I understand it.						
4	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's true.						
5	I just want to get your expertise using salt						
6	during a storm, perhaps behind plows or as the						
7	snow is falling.						
8	HARRY NESPOLI: Look, my members						
9	drive the trucks and we get our orders. During						
10	snow, you follow your orders. They did drop salt,						
11	not on the 7:00 p.m. shift because I believe there						
12	was just a little too much snow out there. They						
13	do stop salting at certain inches. I don't know						
14	what the inches exactly are.						
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay, we'll						
16	further discuss this when we have some time.						
17	Chair Crowley?						
18	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,						
19	Co-chair Vallone. Mr. President Nespoli, do you						
20	believe that the Administration hesitated with						
21	this storm? Do you believe that cost might have						
22	been a factor in fighting the storm? In other						
23	words, were your members called in early enough?						
24	Were they prepared to fight this blizzard?						

HARRY NESPOLI: The department

notified me on the day before Christmas, they were
going to call in manpower and I worked with them.
Some of my shop stewards came in to set up for
Sunday morning. They had enough manpower in on
Christmas Day. They also, the next day we had a
full force at 7:00 a.m., and we had a full force
at 7:00 p.m. We were in place.
GUATABARAGON GROUTEN. U. 1.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Were there enough Sanitation workers in place?

HARRY NESPOLI: There are never enough Sanitation workers to fight a blizzard.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Did you have the right equipment?

HARRY NESPOLI: We had the equipment. Over the years, the budget always affects every agency. One thing that my workers take pride in is snow. That's what we do. We handle snow. But we have to keep that equipment, the modern technology continually humming on the job. Two years ago, I went to the Commissioner and I spoke about smaller vehicles for smaller areas that can move better in snow. "I don't have the money for that. It costs too much." I made a suggestion to them to turn around, to Goldsmith

2	himself;	we're	in	danger	going	into	this	summer
3	5,800 mei	n.						

on the street trying to fight the storm. Now you took 100 officers, and when I say officers, police officers that are Sanitation men, and put them on plows. During the storm those 100 are in the street, during the blizzard, directing traffic, moving trucks around. So now you lost that part of fighting the storm. We had smaller trucks to hit where the public has to cross, with salt in the back. They just don't exist on this agency anymore. You can only cut so much from the services that are directly in contact with the public. You have to be careful.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Mr. Nespoli, earlier you saw the chains that I brought forth.

HARRY NESPOLI: Yes.

and women of the Department of Sanitation
indicated to you that the new chains are a
problem? If so, how are they a problem? Are they
inferior to the steel chains that we used in the
past?

2	HARRY NESPOLI: I've got complaints						
3	this storm about those chains. As far as exactly						
4	what they are, where they're made out of, where						
5	they come from, are they as strong as the old						
6	ones, I don't know.						
7	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Did they break?						
8	HARRY NESPOLI: Chains break off no						
9	matter it is. During a snow storm, they break						
10	off. If you're out there with even thick chains,						
11	you're going to have some you're going to lose.						
12	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Did a lot of						
13	the plow trucks break down because of the new						
14	types of chains that are currently being used by						
15	the Department of Sanitation?						
16	HARRY NESPOLI: I wouldn't know.						
17	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Was there a						
18	problem with respect to the trunnions and where						
19	they were positioned?						
20	HARRY NESPOLI: Yes.						
21	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Did you hear						
22	from your men? Could you elaborate further?						
23	HARRY NESPOLI: My men were telling						
24	me, and it's because I'm right in that union hall.						
25	If they're fighting the storm, I'm with them. I						

2	try to make the operations from the department to
3	my men as easy as possible. That's my job.
4	There's a lot of people that get tired after 28
5	days of 12-hour shifts. That was the last storm.
6	But they're going to work.
7	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Do you believe
8	that Deputy Goldsmith is operating government on
9	the cheap?
10	HARRY NESPOLI: I don't know what
11	Deputy Goldsmith is doing right now as far as in
12	this agency is concerned. But I know he has many
13	ideas that he wants to institute in all the
14	agencies in the City of New York.
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Is he basing
16	his staffing levels based on garbage collection,
17	which is at an all time low, as opposed to winter
18	storms and snow?
19	HARRY NESPOLI: His idea of one
20	plow, one driver, one plow, one driver, one plow
21	should cover this; it's wrong. You run into too

plow, one driver, one plow, one driver, one plow should cover this; it's wrong. You run into too many problems out there. They actually, not they, a few years ago said if my men move at five miles an hour and continuously move, they could cover x amount of miles by the end of the day. Those

2	computers, they're not doing the job. That's not
3	the real thing. The real thing is you're walking
4	there and you're going to run into problems as you
5	go along.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Did we try to
7	fight this storm based on plowing and plowing
8	alone? You don't know?
9	HARRY NESPOLI: I don't know. What
10	else do we have?
11	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, using
12	just the regular plow trucks as opposed to the v-
13	trucks and the bulldozers and the front end
14	loaders.
15	HARRY NESPOLI: No, we put our
16	bulldozers out there also. We lost the storm.
17	Losing the storm means you can't keep moving.
18	When our trucks got out there to start the impact
19	of plowing, they couldn't go anywhere. To fight a
20	storm, those plows have to keep on moving. Once
21	you stop at three inches an hour, you're never
22	going to catch up.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So why did they
24	stop? Because they were stranded or stuck?
25	HARRY NESPOLI: Because of buses

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

What was

25

We're in early winter here. We better be ready. Because I'll tell you something, my workforce is tarnished, and they don't like it. They don't like it. This is when we make our bones. If you think anybody is going to lay down out there during snow, not this workforce.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Now, I want to go back to the salt question. I want to know when it's good to lay salt and when it's not. They were saying that it wasn't a good time to lay

2	salt because they couldn't have done it before and
3	they couldn't have done it in the middle. So when
4	is it not appropriate to put salt down?
5	HARRY NESPOLI: When you have this
6	much snow out there, salt is doing nothing. You
7	have to plow it first. Then you could drop it.
8	Or you have to drop it prior to the snow coming
9	down.
LO	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If it was
11	dropped prior to the snow coming down, would it
L2	have made a difference?
13	HARRY NESPOLI: Not in this
L4	blizzard. I don't think the salt was the problem
L5	here.
L6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All right,
L7	thank you.
18	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you. What
L9	was the problem, Harry?
20	HARRY NESPOLI: The problem was
21	manpower. The problem was that those plows
22	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing]
23	Harry, do you think the problem was staff power in
24	number or staff power in not the right people?
25	Not personally, but you know.

2	HARF	RY N	NESPOLI:	Ιt	was	in	number.

3 SPEAKER QUINN: Number, okay.

4 HARRY NESPOLI: Definitely number.

When you have been so successful to protecting the citizens of this city with x amount of workforce, and I was quoted in the Staten Island Times in October, don't roll the dice. They rolled the dice here.

SPEAKER QUINN: Now Harry, they would say, and I don't mean to interrupt, but the Administration would say we had the same number of workers on the street, so to speak, or whatever the right way to phrase it is, fighting this storm as we had last February. Though it's clearly irrefutable that what you said is correct, we lost this storm.

I know you did it with Chair

Vallone, but do it again, because I remain

confused. The Administration asserts we had the

same number of staff and you say we didn't have

enough staff. Is that because you think we needed

more or you don't agree with their

characterization that we had the same number? I'm

just confused.

2	HARRY NESPOLI: With higher
3	numbers, we did more. We had the plows out there.
4	We had our salt spreaders out there, yes. You
5	didn't have your Sanitation police out there with
6	the traffic, moving people around, holding up,
7	letting trucks get through. You didn't have tow
8	trucks. I found out one thing that many of my
9	union people have been telling me: you can't order
10	in privates. You could order in city workers; you
11	can't order in privates. That's what happened.
12	SPEAKER QUINN: So Harry, your
13	disagreement with the number of people or entities
14	we had is not necessarily that we did not have
15	enough Sanitation workers. You would, it sounds
16	to me, disagree with the decision to have pulled
17	the Sanitation police to do snow removal, and then
18	also with the issue of the privates. Maybe that's
19	where the confusion is, you're not speaking only
20	about the Sanitation workers.
21	HARRY NESPOLI: Also, the fact the
22	numbers are so low that
23	SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] The
24	numbers of what, Harry?
25	HARRY NESPOLI: Us.

2	SPEAKER	QUINN:	Okay.
_		~	1

Chevy Luvs, the small trucks on the job. What they used to do was they used to have salt in the back and they used to have one man and a plow. They used to open up the cross streets where the people had to walk across and get to the other side.

SPEAKER QUINN: When we had those, Harry, what were the numbers per shift? We've been told the numbers per shift in the Christmas storm was 2,000. That obviously did include, as was said by the Administration, the Sanitation police doing snow removal. What were the shift numbers when we had the folks you're talking about now?

HARRY NESPOLI: We lost; I don't know what the shift numbers were. We lost those Chevy Luvs years ago.

SPEAKER QUINN: It would be great, and we don't have to go through it now, and it's not in any way, shape or form that we are disputing, but if you guys could get us numbers of what you believe the shift strengths were at the

different storms, that would be very useful as we continue this oversight and as we move into the budget as well. Because I think there is confusion and a lot of different numbers out there, so, if we could follow-up on that that would be terrific.

HARRY NESPOLI: Speaker, I can't get those numbers. I don't have those numbers. The department has those numbers.

if we could try to put our heads together and figure out what the union could provide. The department is saying that the number of works on the street, because they added the Sanitation police, was the same as it was. Now they're only saying the Sanitation police was 100-150. It sounds to me just like you have a deeper concern than that. So maybe there's some way we can talk after the hearing about how we can get greater clarity. Because otherwise, we're going to end up in a situation where you guys keep saying that's not what it is, that's not what it is, and they are going to say aside from the police, it is what it is, and we're not going to be able to move the

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

`	7' 7	
ノ.	dialogue	torward.
_	4242340	

HARRY NESPOLI: When this ends today with me, everybody, I've spoken to people here one-on-one, and anybody that wants to sit with me at any time and discuss anything pertaining to this blizzard or anything with the Department of Sanitation, I'm available. SPEAKER QUINN: Great. If Jon, you and others can work on the numbers, that would be terrific. I know Ramon will follow up. Harry, just lastly, I want to thank you. You reached out and we played extended phone tag. But you reached out to me and I know spoke to Ramon a lot, certainly before the first flake hit. So thank you very much for always reaching out and recognizing the Council's role in these situations. Thank you.

HARRY NESPOLI: Well, I want to thank the Council too for having these hearings.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Sanders and we are now back on the clock. Council Member Sanders?

25 COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you

2.

very much. Mr. President, I've heard many
different things. I've looked at the number that
has been put out there, around 5,900 workers. It
seems to me that that's approximately 1,200 per
borough. It's unfair to ask you, but let me do
it. The Administration should have answered this
question. Are those numbers divided evenly?
HARRY NESPOLI: Tough for me to
answer.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: All right.

I've heard you mention equipment that we basically had enough equipment and even people power, with the exception of certain people power. Then what seems to be missing, if you have those elements, then the question is a question of leadership under those conditions. If you have the people, if you have the equipment and you still can't get a thing done. Well, let me do it different. When would you have called a state of emergency if you were sitting in that great seat?

HARRY NESPOLI: As soon as somebody mentioned to me whiteout conditions and blizzard. That would have been called. Look, that snow emergency with moving cars and everything, if the

cars just stay still, we can turn around and get
the job done. The problem is that people were
driving around, thinking that they could take care
of their business and come home at the end of the
day. They got stuck out there. When I heard that
it wasn't until Thursday, that they turned around
and got to some streets in Brooklyn and Queens,
that's a disgrace.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: I can attest to that, coming from Queens, the Lower Ninth part. Why are we hearing about this issue of a slowdown?

HARRY NESPOLI: I have no idea, other than the fact that you know the stuff goes to the bottom. Now, all of the sudden they're talking about a slowdown.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I don't want to interrupt you, but that is the subject of an investigation by other government officials. So at this point, we're going to basically defer to them and focus on issues where we have jurisdiction over.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: I stand corrected.

301
our
that
want
tell
at
lay?
day,
.e.
in
1'11

	${ t TTEE}$			

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 301
2	HARRY NESPOLI: I did already.
3	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
4	HARRY NESPOLI: And I will do
5	again.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
7	Council Member Sanders, does that conclude your
8	questions?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Yes, that
10	concludes. Thank you, Madame Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
12	Council Member Mealy?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, I want
14	to thank you for being here today. Could you tell
15	me what percentage of your employees came in at
16	the last minute, right before Christmas holiday?
17	The majority?
18	HARRY NESPOLI: Christmas holiday,
19	they called in, they wanted x amount of people.
20	They notified me that they were going to call in
21	some people on Christmas Day. I said okay, I'll
22	get the word out. They all showed up.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So that's
24	good; no one did not want to work?
25	HARRY NESPOLI: No.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So that's
3	good. I just wanted to ask you, how did your
4	union feel with working with the citizens with the
5	\$12 an hour, outsourcing snow removal in a snow
6	storm?
7	HARRY NESPOLI: We've done this
8	before. They've called in laborers and we've done
9	that before. I know that they had to turn around,
10	and after a while, seeing what actually hit the
11	ground, I knew they were going to call in
12	privates. They've done it before.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But do you
14	think that was efficient work of calling in the
15	privates? Because if we couldn't get around, how
16	could they come all the way from Jersey? You
17	would know that that would take hours to get here
18	also, right?
19	HARRY NESPOLI: They drive bigger
20	pieces of equipment. But they're based here in
21	New York too. Here's the problem: you can't order
22	in somebody that's not a city worker.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So it wasn't
24	effective for them calling in private entities?
25	HARRY NESPOLI: They didn't show

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:

outsourcing our union jobs?

HARRY NESPOLI: They didn't show up. When you have a municipal worker, they have to show up.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Right.

HARRY NESPOLI: This force is a dedicated force right here.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I hope your union really finds out how much they spend on outsourcing. If your union can do it, let's see how they can put it back in to paying our people's salaries.

I want another question, do you have a special unit in regards to, or maybe you should start thinking about it. I got a lot of calls in, in regards to the in-curbs. After the snow removal, with wheelchair accessible, I had to get groups from my community to plow or shovel out the in-curves for wheelchair accessible just to get across the streets. So is there any way that when a snow storm comes, we have to clean out those curb cuts, because our people still impaired

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Right.

HARRY NESPOLI: As far as for private cars at all. We don't tow. They called 2.

Originally went in ready to go and they said come
at 7:00 for the 7:00 a.m. tour. Got there for the
7:00 a.m. tour and they said we don't need you
yet, come back at 7:00 p.m. By the time he went
out, he described it as something out of a science
fiction movie on those streets. There were cars
everywhere, and blocking every road that he went
to.

Is it unheard of to have a member come into a shift in the middle? Like let's say noon of that day?

HARRY NESPOLI: During a blizzard and snow, whenever you could come in, you start working and you start putting eight hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Why would this member possibly have been told not to come back until 7:00 p.m. when the snow started coming down at 10:00 a.m. and coming down two inches an hour at 3:00 p.m.?

Would have called the union. Because we would have told him go to work. I would have contacted, or the business agent would have contacted the department to tell them that man has to go to

what I heard, but that was later on in the

25

2	evening. If they would have been plowing earlier
3	it wouldn't have been as difficult to plow them
4	out.
5	HARRY NESPOLI: But there was
6	nothing to plow.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, at
8	3:00 in the afternoon there was.
9	HARRY NESPOLI: Look, they set up
10	the plowing at a certain point.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Let me
12	touch on a couple of other things because I know
13	the clock is strict here. Salting, you mentioned
14	that you generally do pre-salt. The commissioner
15	was here before and said they didn't pre-salt.
16	Usually it's a treated salt with like a magnesium
17	chloride or a calcium chloride that helps to stop
18	the bonding of that snow to the street. Is that
19	correct?
20	HARRY NESPOLI: That I don't know
21	about, the salt sticking to the street. Usually,
22	when you get a little cover of snow, you start
23	salting. That holds the salt in place.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, we
25	heard that that didn't happen before the snow, you

2	know even after the snow started lightly. One
3	last thing, I know Council Member James talked
4	about her chains.
5	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: They're not my
6	chains.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, I
8	won't ask any personal questions. But I'm curious
9	about the vehicles. I heard a lot of complaints
10	about the newer vehicles, these ACs and AFs type
11	of vehicles, and what also Council Member James
12	raised about the plows, the way they were put on.
13	HARRY NESPOLI: Yes.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Did you
15	hear from your members a lot of complaints about
16	the trucks, the equipment, not only the chains but
17	the other items as well?
18	HARRY NESPOLI: I heard from my
19	members of those plows tripping.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Yes, on
21	manholes very often, right?
22	HARRY NESPOLI: Well, not manholes.
23	They started tripping when the snow got higher in
24	the plow, which I couldn't understand and I still
25	don't understand. I understand now, because I was

22

23

24

25

amongst them.

You indicated that you received reports from many of your members of problems: the salting that hadn't been done, the tripping of the

the city's services. I count Sanitation workers

24

25

2	plows, the cars that weren't functional in this
3	weather. Was that coming in to you as the storm
4	was progressing, after the storm, or both and all?
5	HARRY NESPOLI: It came into the
6	union hall as the more inches that got out there,
7	the bigger the problem was.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Did you,
9	at any time, reach out to the Sanitation
10	supervisors, the brass, and say: hey listen, my
11	workers are telling us this isn't working;
12	something's not happening, you've got to change up
13	what you're doing?
14	HARRY NESPOLI: No, I didn't.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: But
16	you're telling us that you were getting these
17	calls from the beginning, as this was happening,
18	and the salting issue, the tripping of the plows,
19	they were all out there. Did you have a concern
20	that maybe the brass didn't know what was going
21	on? You know, seeing what was happening from your
22	rank and file members, that maybe it wasn't just

that you guys were running behind but that they

had completely lost control over what was

happening out there?

HARRY NESPOLI: I have to believe
that the people in charge of the department knew
what was going on out there. I stay away. The
calls come to the union hall from management,
asking for help on the callouts. All I do is take
their callouts and get it to my men so that they
understand it and the idea is to go to work. I
don't contact them and tell them about plows,
about streets, about what's going on. That's not
my job. I'm the union. My men and women are
driving those trucks. That's what I'm concerned
about. I can't turn around and try to take charge
of a snow storm. That's not what I do for a
living.
COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: T

understand that. I'm just trying to get at what may have gotten to the brass, gotten to these people about what was happening in the field.

Obviously, they're claiming that they didn't have any idea this was going on, up until almost Tuesday.

Brass is saying to us, the commissioners who sat here and testified, said to us that effectively they didn't have words, and

2	this is the Deputy Mayor specifically, he didn't
3	have the idea that these secondary streets hadn't
4	been plowed. Now your men were out there. They
5	saw what they were facing. What I'm trying to
6	understand is how is it possible that the
7	supervisors, the Sanitation brass, didn't know
8	what you knew in your union hall.
9	HARRY NESPOLI: They had to know
10	that trucks weren't moving out there. The videos
11	are there. They were stuck. They knew that. As
12	far as the streets being plowed, I don't know.
13	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: I
15	appreciate it, thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
17	Council Member Gentile?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you.
19	Mr. President, thank you for your dedication and
20	those of your department and your men in the
21	department.
22	HARRY NESPOLI: My workforce.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Let me ask
24	you, had this not been a holiday on Saturday, in
25	normal circumstances, would the department have

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 315
2	called in more of your men on Saturday the 25th,
3	the day prior to the storm?
4	HARRY NESPOLI: I don't know. They
5	read the storm and what they do is they make the
6	callouts. They give the numbers. All we do is
7	make sure
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:
9	[interposing] But it wouldn't be unusual for that
10	to happen, had it not been a holiday? Because, as
11	Councilman Weprin just went through about asking
12	them to come in at 7:00 a.m. and then telling them
13	to come back again. The fact is that Saturday
14	would have been a holiday and they would have had
15	holiday pay.
16	HARRY NESPOLI: I got the call that
17	they had to call in men on Christmas Day. I said:
18	go ahead, go with it. I'll make sure. I'll
19	contact my stewards, my business agents. They
20	were worried about actually getting people in for
21	that.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: On the
23	25th?

HARRY NESPOLI: On the 25th.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, so

21

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 316
2	there was a call in for the 25th.
3	HARRY NESPOLI: There was a call in
4	for the 25th.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, I
6	wasn't aware.
7	HARRY NESPOLI: And they did make
8	their numbers, and their numbers were in. We came
9	and contacted the men for a full force for Sunday
LO	and Sunday night. We were in place.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Let me
L2	just go on to one other thing. We talk about
L3	chains a lot, but one other issue, in terms of
L4	equipment, that came up in BK11, in my area of
15	Brooklyn, was the fact that they had shovels that
16	had to be assembled but the bolts were not
L7	provided for the assembly of those shovels. Was
L8	that accurate as it was reported?
19	HARRY NESPOLT: I never heard of

it. This is the first time, Mr. Gentile, that I've heard that. I swear to God.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. I got those reports, and it was reported I the press that that's what happened at BK11. Now, alternate side street parking is back in effect today. Were

2	your members told to remove the rock hard snow
3	that is now blocking the curbs along the streets?
4	I would imagine the purpose for having alternate
5	side on a snow day.
6	HARRY NESPOLI: I don't know. I
7	know the focus since the snow went away was
8	picking up the garbage. That was the most
9	important thing. The public had a right to start
LO	arguing about when they are going to get the
11	service. That's the only thing I know that the
12	department was focused on totally.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So you
L4	don't know if there's any focus to get the snow
L5	off the curbs today?
L6	HARRY NESPOLI: I wouldn't know
L7	that.
L8	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay,
L9	thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
21	Comrie?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you,
23	Madame Chair. I just want to take a minute to
24	compliment you. I know it's been a tough day and
25	you've been doing a yeoperson's job. I think that

we all deserve to at least give you a pat on the back when the day's over.

President Nespoli, I just want to take a minute to thank you and your men. The Sanitation Depot 12 and 13 and 9 in Queens that touched my district, when I went and talked to the men, they were doing as best as they could with the orders that were given to them.

HARRY NESPOLI: Thank you.

heard from you earlier that you don't know the deployment for those particular boroughs, or the borough deployment. I know that you don't want to go into detail. If given the opportunity, what would you recommend to the commissioner to ensure that the outer boroughs get the same type of focus and service to make sure that primary roads are cleared so that people can get to work, especially in the fact that we have more civil servants in the outer boroughs than in Manhattan that need to get to work to service the city.

HARRY NESPOLI: The same thing I recommended to Mr. Goldsmith and John Doherty in September. I need more manpower. The budget

2 cuts, if you look at it, we had two budget cuts.

3 This is the only agency that made their budget

4 numbers twice. Look at the other agencies.

If you don't think that takes a toll on what you're going to fight a blizzard with, you're mistaken. Until everybody wakes up and realizes and looks at our past, what we've been doing, and we were snow fighters, the best around. They closed down D.C. last year. They never closed down New York.

We got crippled here. This was a disaster. Until you wake up and everybody realizes, especially Mr. Goldsmith, it's not nickels and dimes when it comes to people's lives. My workforce is hurting. Their morale is down. People are saying things to them they've never heard before. It's not right.

appreciate that. But if there was one thing that you could get him to do, other than acknowledge the manpower, because I also think that there was a change in deployment. I remember if you sent one, there wasn't a one truck type situation. A truck would go either double wide or one right

behind the other so that one would hit the snow at one level and the other would then hit the street level.

HARRY NESPOLI: Tandem.

that they sanded it, the way that they plowed it.

You know, when there was ten inches or more, the one plow would hit the first ten or the first eight and then the next plow would hit the bottom four. I thought there was definitely a change in deployment. I didn't see that either out in the streets. It was like they were trying to send one plow to do 20 inches, or one garbage truck to do 20 inches. Could you talk about that and what your men felt about that, the type of deployment? How can we get back to where it makes more sense and where they're more effective going down the street?

talking about is wider streets. The smaller streets were the problem here. You need more trucks, more manpower. We're cut so tight. We're cut so tight here. My smaller vehicles, two years ago I asked for, that could have helped.

25

2	get any reports from any of your members, my
3	concern with that would be is: are these the same
4	folks? Around, say, 5:00, 6:00, 7:00 on Sunday,
5	travel was virtually at a standstill. It would be
6	very difficult to get into work. I'm wondering,
7	your members, were they having difficultly getting
8	in? That's a lot of folks to be trying to get
9	into work at 5:00, 6:00, and 7:00 p.m. Did you
10	hear reports that folks were having a hard time
11	getting to work for that shift?
12	HARRY NESPOLI: I heard that they
13	had tough times coming in, naturally. But they
14	left early; they had enough time to dig their cars
15	out and to start to come in. We already had some
16	of the main streets, supposedly, hit already. We
17	had this covered. They asked me for 14-hour
18	shifts and I gave it to them.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm just
20	wondering, was there a full 2,500 on that 7:00
21	p.m. to 7:00 a.m. shift?
22	HARRY NESPOLI: There was a full
23	force both shifts.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Were

there any kind of carryovers from that previous

2.

buses.

12-hour	shift	or	was	it	a	whol	e ne	w t	urno	ve:	r?
		HA	RRY	NES	РΟ	LI:	No,	it	was	a	whole
new shif	īt.										

shift. Now going into like Monday and Tuesday and on into Wednesday, if you could identify one or two major problems faced by your workers that were different from previous storms of similar snow depths. So you had 20 inches. In previous experiences, your men and women have dealt with 20 inches before.

HARRY NESPOLI: And more.

What happened this time around that we missed the 36 hour mark and we missed the 48 hour mark and probably missed the 56 hour mark or whatever, you know, the 60 hour mark, and went on and on? What were the one or two major things faced by your workers that was different this time around than it was in previous similar snow accumulations?

HARRY NESPOLI: We ran into traffic out there. There were stalled buses. I don't know, I think in the New York Times, 1,076 stalled

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's never
3	happened before?
4	HARRY NESPOLI: No. Not that I
5	remember. I've never seen that. I'd like to know
6	the number of how many cars throughout the city
7	that were towed out. Once you're fighting a
8	blizzard at three inches an hour and you're not
9	continuously hitting those streets, you've lost
10	it. You're gone. Then you get into a street
11	that's got 25 inches on it and now all of the
12	sudden you're bogged down. Your back wheels are
13	bogged down in snow. We had a lot of trucks that
14	were stuck out there.
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
16	Council Member Greenfield?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
18	Mr. Nespoli.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
20	you, Madame Chair. Thank you, Mr. Nespoli, for
21	coming out here today. I know it's a tough time
22	for many of your employees. I, too, can attest to
23	that. I spent many late nights, some nights as
24	late as 3:00 a.m. at the local Sanitation depot.
25	The only thing that I saw was Sanitation workers

25

HARRY NESPOLI: Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So I Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Let me just ask you a question. On some of those late nights, when I was speaking to Sanitation workers, I got some complaints. The complaints varied, but the gist of it basically was, and these were the Sanitation workers in Brooklyn, they were telling us that they didn't have enough staff. They did not have enough tow trucks. They didn't have complaints sound about right to you for folks in COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: We had the commissioner here before and I asked the commissioner if he had the right amount of resources in Brooklyn specifically, especially the

specifically about the fact that there were over a

dozen plows that were lying idle in my Sanitation

2	depot. Now, when I asked the Sanitation workers
3	what's going on, they said: well, some of our
4	people were sent on special details and so we
5	don't have the manpower. The Commissioner says
6	it's not the case, Brooklyn had plenty of
7	manpower. Did Brooklyn have plenty of manpower?
8	HARRY NESPOLI: I don't know what
9	those special assignments would be in snow. The
10	only thing I know in snow is that you have to go
11	out and open the roads for the public. I don't
12	know what the special assignments are.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: The
14	commissioner acknowledged that there were special
15	details and individuals were pulled out of certain
16	districts and pulled into other districts because
17	in their perspective they were trying to
18	reapportion the resources.
19	HARRY NESPOLI: Moving people
20	around?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
22	Correct.
23	HARRY NESPOLI: Out of town?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
25	Correct, exactly.

perspective, you're the expert, you've been doing

25

2.

this for years. You represent the Sanitation
workers. Who's to blame over here? All right, I
mean, you know, someone has to be at fault. We
heard a lot of people and there's finger pointing
and it's this person and it's that person. Who is
to blame for the fact that the streets were not
cleared?

trucks to keep on moving, there should have been a snow emergency called. I'm not going to lie. You wanted to have it done, not only just for the people, to notify the people. Look, there's something going on. So they could tell their teenage daughter, listen there's a snow emergency, there's a blizzard out there, stay home tonight. When I hear blizzard, and I was always taught, you dig in. You don't go about. If you don't make that announcement out there to warn the public, to let them know, and my trucks are going out there to keep that flow of the three inches off, we can never do it. We need the cooperation from everybody.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Hi, Mr.

б

`	
).	VO11
_	704

3 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member 4 Rose, Williams, Dickens and Crowley to end this 5 panel.

Nespoli. I just wanted to talk about my fear is that the Sanitation workers are going to be scapegoated for this entire fiasco. I really would like to know who's at fault. But I heard rumors that there were actually Sanitation workers, trucks that were just idle on the side of roads, waiting for some direction from supervisors. Were there, in fact, enough supervisors out overseeing and giving direction for this storm?

 $\label{eq:harry nespoli: I wouldn't know} \\$ that, ma'am.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: You wouldn't?

Okay. I'm not sure whether or not this actually took place, but usually in the fall there is a mock snow storm and everyone is called in, everyone finds out where their work assignment would be and what they would have to do. Did this in fact happen this November or this fall?

2	HARRY NESPOLI: What I know of it,
3	they do it ever year and they send out a teletype
4	order to the department calling for a mock snow
5	storm preparing
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing]
7	Did this, in fact, happen this year?
8	HARRY NESPOLI: I couldn't swear on
9	it, but what I remember of it, they do it every
10	year.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So is there
12	something that changed in terms of orders, of
13	operating procedures that was somewhat different
14	this time with this storm?
15	HARRY NESPOLI: As far as I know,
16	they contacted me every time, just like they
17	contacted me every time in the past. That's all I
18	know.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: All right, so
20	then you've had a stellar record in the past and
21	now, suddenly, you guys just can't get it right.
22	Why is that?
23	HARRY NESPOLI: Well, you've got to
24	understand something. We drive the trucks; that's
25	what we do. If we can't move the snow, then we're

2	in trouble. That's where everything came out. We
3	could not get in to move the side streets at all.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: That's what
5	I'm trying to find out. Why couldn't you move the
6	snow? What attributed to the fact that you could
7	not move the snow?
8	HARRY NESPOLI: Well, one of the
9	reasons was the tripping of the plows, which
LO	slowed everything down. The other thing was the
11	public that got stuck out there because there was
L2	no snow emergency. People actually felt safe
L3	driving around in the blizzard. They didn't
L 4	realize it. It was a holiday week.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So it was a
L6	systemic failure on the top that never called the
L7	snow emergency? Is that what you are saying?
L8	HARRY NESPOLI: That was part of
L9	it, and the rate of the amount of snow that fell
20	at that time.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
23	Council Member Dickens?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you,
25	Madame Chair. Thank you, President Nespoli, and

2.

Jon, it's good to see you. Per your testimony, o	on
page two, minimally, and correct me if I'm wrong	,
you feel that if the city had hired 400 additions	al
uniformed and kept them on the payroll, on the	
budget, that New York City would have been able t	10
deal with this blizzard. Is that correct?	

HARRY NESPOLI: I feel we would have handled it a whole lot better. But I couldn't guarantee you that if those cars were still there and there was no snow emergency called, and the buses were there, that we could have done it with 400 or 500.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Is there sufficient equipment that was sitting there not being utilized for these 400 uniformed personnel that were not on the payroll?

HARRY NESPOLI: What would have happened is whatever we've done in the past with our Sanitation police would have been out there in the field guiding traffic. If the snow emergency was called, they could have guided cars through. We could have had smaller vehicles with the Chevy Luvs so that we can help the public get from one street to another.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So the 400
3	personnel that you're referring to were the rank
4	and file workers or were they the Sanitation
5	police?
6	HARRY NESPOLI: Sanitation police
7	are rank and file.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Well, let
9	me differentiate then between the Sanitation
10	police and the workers that normally run the plows
11	and pick up the garbage. The 400 that you were
12	referring to, which one were they, or a
13	combination?
14	HARRY NESPOLI: It's a combination.
15	They're both in my union. They're both sanitation
16	men.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now, do
18	the Sanitation police run those plows or do they
19	guide the traffic, et cetera?
20	HARRY NESPOLI: Normally, they're
21	out in the blizzard or in the storm guiding
22	traffic, guiding trucks and backing them up and
23	doing everything they possibly can to keep the
24	thing moving. Now, they came up short with
25	personnel and somebody came along with a great

HARRY NESPOLI:

Sure.

25

HARRY NESPOLI: Again, anybody, I'm open for conversation with anybody. Thank you

24

25

2 very much.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Great. I'd

like to call our next panel up. We're going to

have four people come up, and if you could testify

in the order in which you're called up. Patrick

Bahnken from the Uniformed EMT Paramedics; Steven

Cassidy, representing the Uniformed Firefighters

Association; Patrick Reynolds, representing the

Uniformed Fire Officers Association; and Vincent

Variale, representing Uniformed EMS Officers FDNY.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Mr. Bahnken, when you're ready you can begin your testimony.

Please identify yourself for the record.

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: Good

afternoon, my name is Patrick Bahnken and I am the

President of the Uniformed EMTs, Paramedics and

Inspectors of the New York City Fire Department

and I thank you for the opportunity to testify

today.

On December 26th, a blizzard hit

New York City. This is not the first storm that

hit this city, nor did it sneak up on us. In

fact, a significant warning flare was sent up when

2	the National Football League decided to cancel the
3	Philadelphia Eagles football game at 12:30 that
4	afternoon.

The failure to recognize that a sizeable storm was pounding the city of Philadelphia and moving north was perhaps the first but certainly not the last failure and the results crippled the city for days.

A failure to declare a citywide emergency, ordering all nonessential vehicles off the road should have been declared. In my opinion, it was this specific decision that set the stage for all the problems that followed.

In the early morning of December 27th, I began to receive information about surging call volume, large numbers of ambulances stuck in snow on unplowed streets and rising response times. All off-duty personnel were ordered to report, all vacations and pass days were cancelled and all available personnel and spare vehicles were pressed into service.

Aside from the impact of abandoned vehicles and unplowed streets, we were plagued with logistical problems that include some of the

2.

things that I have listed here. Spare vehicles:
if a significant amount of snow is expected, all
spare vehicles should be redeployed throughout the
city rather than being concentrated at 58th Street
in Maspeth.

A detail should be required at least a day out to have all vehicles started, all fuel tanks topped off, all fluid and all stocked sufficient so that we are prepared to go. We should start deploying those vehicles out throughout the city.

Also, mechanics should be recalled so that vehicles that can be repaired are done immediately. Instead, at the end of eight hours, our mechanics were told to go home. But we had no reserve fleet left.

Snow Chains, enough said.

Public Education: at least 12 hours prior to the impact of any storm, we should be making sure that we advise the public not only to keep their personal vehicles off the road, and by off the road, I don't mean removed from curbside. They shouldn't be out driving. If you're not a cop, a firefighter, a paramedic, an EMT or a plow

2 driver, you have no business being out in the
3 middle of a blizzard. This is not rocket science.

Before I go into tow trucks; public service announcements. We should be educating the public on when it's appropriate to call for an ambulance. We had people calling for ambulances because they're sick. We had people calling for ambulances because they hurt their finger. We actually had one call where a person called 911 because they had the hiccups. The hiccups, I can't make it up.

Tow trucks: while clearing of city streets is important, to clear abandoned vehicles so the plows can get through, a number of tow trucks should be assigned throughout each borough with an EMS supervisor with the specific task of pulling stuck ambulances out of the snow. We backlogged 1,300 calls. We had over 120 ambulances stuck in the snow.

Well, no wonder you're backlogging calls. We had ambulances stuck for upwards of 20 hours. People are dying for an ambulance and we can't get them out of a snow bank? Despite the belief that some people have said that EMS crews

should be taught not to drive on snow-covered streets, we don't need to be taught that. The problem is that the only streets that were plowed were in midtown Manhattan. If we were to park our vehicle on plowed streets and walked to the call, every vehicle would be located in midtown and my members would be walking to all the outer boroughs. We did not need to be told what to do.

I gave you each a picture there, included with my testimony, of what my members were doing, taking spinal immobilization boards, tying them to the bottom of stretchers, so that they could pull people numbers of blocks to get patients to hospitals. That specific case, my unit had a 300-pound patient who was an asthmatic. They had to carry her more then two blocks through the snow because the ambulance got stuck because the streets weren't plowed, there were no snow chains, and they couldn't get them through. They didn't need to be taught how to do that. We don't need additional training.

Mutual aid from local 911

participating hospitals was minimal. But it's not their fault. Nobody tripped the switch and said

hey, we need help. It's just like the citywide recall; it didn't go out until 3:00 in the morning, after everybody was already snowed in at home. You're going to call people at 3:00 in the morning and say hey listen, there's a foot and a half, two feet of snow outside, come on in, we need you.

When the Philadelphia Eagles football game was canceled at 12:30 that was a pretty good flare that, you know, we're a northeastern seaboard city. Philadelphia gets snowed in and the storm's moving north. What's north of Philadelphia? Hey, New York City, what an idea here.

I have a bunch of other stuff in here, but I want to make just a couple of points clear. First, yes, there were lives lost. Some of them were lost needlessly and it shouldn't have happened, not in the greatest city in this country. But I will tell you that countless more lives were saved because of my members and their efforts. They went well beyond. Anybody trying to point fingers at my members and question any of their actions, whether it was my personnel and

communications or my members on the line, ought to
be ashamed of themselves.

I also hear earlier today the process by which decisions are made at the Office of Emergency Management. I listened today and I heard that there are 30 various leaders or representatives from various agencies all over the state. How does one lead by committee? In a crisis situation, somebody has to be on-point with the authority to make decisions. I have said before that the Office Emergency Management failed to manage the emergency.

At 2:30, 3:00 in the morning, when OEM called the FDNY and said: hey, what do you think about declaring a snow emergency? And the Fire Department said we support it. But the Department of Transportation overrode that. We're the group, we're the ones that respond and save lives, not bicycle lanes. We save lives. When we say we can't get the job done, that the wheels are falling off the train, that's an indicator.

Nothing else should trump that, nothing. Instead, politics did.

When I listened before to how the

б

decisions were made and all the different
committees that have to go through, eight layers
of separation, I'm telling you this: when I get
back to my office tomorrow, I'm going to go in and
I'm going to purchase a Magic 8 ball and I'm going
to send it over to OEM because you couldn't do
worse with it. Instead of having 50,000 people
decide all different things, we'll just ask the
Magic 8 ball. Should we declare a citywide
emergency? We'll shake it three times. That'll
be the protocol. I'll include a protocol. Then
we can read it and if it says not likely, hey,
it's about as much thought as went into this
storm. I'm sorry.

I want to close with one other thing. A lot of stuff has been laid at John Peruggia, the chief in charge EMS. It's no secret to anybody here that he and I at times have been at loggerheads. We have not always been allies. There are many times we've been adversaries, viscous adversaries. But John Peruggia was out there from the minute the first flakes fell, side by side with my rank and file members, taking a shovel and digging out ambulances, pulling

2	ambulances out of snow banks, responding to 911
3	calls to render aid.
4	I don't know all the reasons why he
5	should be the first head to roll, if at all, but I
6	doubt there was any other three star chiefs from
7	any agency out there digging vehicles out of snow
8	banks. That was unwarranted. I'll be happy to
9	answer any questions you have. I'll turn it over
10	to President Cassidy.
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.
12	STEVE CASSIDY: Thank you, Speaker
13	Quinn, all the respective chairs. I don't have
14	any prepared testimony. I just want to say that I
15	listened to the entire testimony of Deputy Mayor
16	Goldsmith and all the commissioners.
17	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you
18	identify yourself for the record please?
19	STEVE CASSIDY: Steve Cassidy,
20	President of the Uniformed Firefighters
21	Association.
22	I listened to everyone's testimony

I listened to everyone's testimony and I'm still bewildered. On the 26th, the snow started falling at 9:00 a.m. and yet I'm hearing discussions that at 2:00 a.m. on the 27th they

were trying to decide whether or not to declare an emergency.

I will tell you that this is an email that I sent to the chief of the department on the 26th at 12:00 in the afternoon. The chief of the department is Ed Kilduff. "Ed, I'm hoping the department will increase staffing and at least put a fifth firefighter on every engine company for tonight's 6 by 9 and tomorrow's 9 by 6 shift."

"By all accounts, this storm will deliver well over a foot of snow over the next 24 hours with very high winds. As you know, this combination will increase our workload, decrease unit availability and make fighting fires even more difficult and dangerous. Public safety and firefighter safety should come first."

"Please let me know what the department intends to do. Additionally, I would add that road conditions on Long Island are already terrible. If you decide to increase staffing, early notification will allow firefighters the extra time to travel."

12:06 p.m. on December 26th, the chief of the department got back to me, in less

2.

than an nour and said stailing was increased. At
1:00 on the 26th, the New York City Fire
Department knew they needed to increase staffing
and did so. Yet, I'm led to believe that 13 hours
later, after well over a foot and a half of snow
was already on the ground, they weren't sure
whether they should declare an emergency. The
fight was already over. Harry Nespoli testified
here that the fight was already over when his
staff came in at 7:00 p.m. that night.

Now, I want everyone to recognize that we know that there could be certain disasters and/or weather conditions that will create an environment that make it extremely difficult for us to provide the kind of services in a timely fashion that the city expects.

But, you know, this idea that they weren't sure if they could declare an emergency, they weren't sure whether or not they had the rights and/or protocols. It's just offensive to hear this when firefighters had to operate in horrible conditions because they did not make the right decisions early enough. This we could do better, anybody could do better. Anybody could do

2 better. That mea culpa doesn't cut it.

after Christmas and maybe people just weren't around that should have been around that make decisions in the New York City government. At 2:00 in the morning on the 27th, the fight was over. The snow stopped six hours later for Pete sakes. It's outrageous.

What firefighters encountered as a result of the fact that cars were stuck, snow could not be removed, is obvious. I won't repeat it to everybody on this Council. You already know. You were out in your districts. You know the results.

One thing I do want to point out is when the fire commissioner testifies about response times, let me make it clear. When he said that response times were not that dramatically up, he's talking about when a fire engine pulled up two blocks away from a location that they couldn't get to because the streets were blocked, stopped and hit a 10-84 button that stopped the clock. They then had to carry the equipment they needed two blocks through two or

three feet of snow to get to the front of the building. That's another five or seven minutes, and then they had to begin fire operations that took another five or seven minutes. They're not counting that time. Make no mistake about it. If you're having a heart attack, being two blocks away does you no good.

The system that the New York City

Fire Department uses to record response times is

deliberately misleading. It is so because they're

going to use that statistics, those statistics to

close firehouses when this fight starts again.

I'm outraged that they say they were only slightly

up.

There's a building in Queens that housed 66 families. None of them are there anymore. A small fire in one apartment got completely out of control because firefighters couldn't get there quickly. That's what happens. But their response time will reflect that one unit was there in about four and a half minutes. Well, it took about 22 minutes to begin to get water on the fire. By that time, that small fire was a dramatic blaze that turned into a fifth alarm.

2	Sixty-six	families	are	homeless

It's outrageous for them to say that they couldn't make a decision the day they needed to. It's outrageous to reflect response times that absolutely do not reflect reality.

Those are the issues I wanted to raise today.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.

Mr. Reynolds?

PATRICK REYNOLDS: My name is

Patrick Reynolds, and I'm a Captain with the New

York City Fire Department. I am testifying today

on behalf of Captain Alexander Hagan, President of

the UFOA. I also serve as the Recording Secretary

of the Uniformed Fire Officers Association, a

union of over 2,500 lieutenants, captains,

battalion chiefs, deputy chiefs, supervising fire

marshals and medical officers of the FDNY.

We appreciate the invitation from Speaker Quinn and the committee chairs to speak on the recent blizzard and the difficulties encountered by the FDNY.

The UFOA has a written statement, but I ask that it simply be included in the record of this hearing. I can sum up my testimony and it

2	is this: urgent emergency situations like the
3	Christmas blizzard can happen in New York City at
4	any time. The UFOA urges each and every Council
5	Member to visualize what would happen if the city
6	eliminates 20 fire companies effective July 1.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.
9	Mr. Variale?
10	VINCENT VARIALE: Good afternoon.
11	My name is Vincent Variale. I am the President of
12	the Uniformed EMS Officers Union, representing 450
13	EMS captains and lieutenants of the New York City
14	Fire Department. I thank you for this opportunity
15	to testify today.
16	The blizzard on December 26th, 2010
17	highlighted the logistical failures that exist
18	within the EMS Command. Although the officers of
19	the EMS Command have learned to operate under
20	these conditions, the failure to declare a
21	citywide emergency compromised our ability to
22	function normally.
23	The failure to clear the streets of
24	unnecessary vehicles prevented the streets from

being plowed. While this is perhaps the greatest

failure it is not the only failure. Some of the problems encountered by my members include: span of control, tow straps or cables, fuel supplies and fuel containers.

Span of Control: according to the FDNY Incident Command System and the Citywide Incident Management System, safety and sound management practices dictate that a ratio of seven individuals to one supervisor should be maintained for a span of control to exist. Maintaining an effective span of control is important to ensure the safety, coordination and support to the members operating in the field.

The current ratio in EMS is one supervisor to twenty individuals. In many cases that ratio expands to one supervisor to forty individuals. If EMS operated with the appropriate span of control, it would enable EMS officers to provide an effective amount of support. The result would mean more people receiving emergency medical care and more ambulances would get to the hospital.

Logistics: tow straps or cables should be issued to all stations so supervisors

may assist ambulances stuck in the snow. During the blizzard, some EMS officers took initiative and purchased or used their own tow straps. These straps were used to pull ambulances free from the snow. The second day of the blizzard, the department issued tow chains to some stations, but they were the wrong size and could not be used. This is two days into the snow storm, when the snow has already done its damage.

Fuel: some stations didn't receive fuel orders that were submitted prior to the storm, leaving them without fuel. This required ambulances to rely on alternative fuel sites.

Some ambulances got stuck in the snow for long periods of time and began to run out of fuel.

Officers had to grab any containers or jugs they could find and fill them up with fuel to go to these ambulances before they ran out of fuel.

Logistics Coordinator: a Deputy
Chief in every EMS Division should be assigned as
the logistics point person. They would report
directly to the Fire Department Operations Center
prior to a storm. The Deputy Chief would notify
FDOC which stations in their division are in need

of fuel and supplies and ensure they receive them.

In conclusion, we are saddened by any lives that were lost during the storm. If not for the commitment and dedication of the members in the EMS Command, many more lives may have been lost. I look forward to the implementation of these recommendations, so tragedies like these are not repeated in the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,
Mr. Variale. I'm going to ask a few questions of
the panel, the co-chairs and then we'll limit it
to two minutes for each member. Mr. Bahnken, when
you have battalions of EMS or EMS ambulances
rather, just on the streets of the city of New
York, are they only on primary streets, or are
they on secondary and tertiary streets?

patrick J. Bahnken: Actually, a good number of our ambulance battalions are on secondary streets. We do have battalions that are on the grounds of hospitals, but even being on the grounds of hospitals does not necessarily mean we are on the primary street.

In Council Member Vallone's district, for example, we have an EMS battalion

that's located on the grounds of the old Astoria

Hospital. One would think that plowing Crescent

Street would be enough; however, the entrance to

this battalion is on the side street behind. So

getting ambulances in and out of that facility, we

still have to go down a secondary or from what I

heard today is a tertiary street. I don't know

what that means. I think that means, you know,

we'll send carrier pigeons in with bread crumbs or

something. I don't know.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. I don't know what that means either. In fact, I think I have ambulance detail on a tertiary inside a mall in Glendale. The Atlas Park Mall is where one of the EMS battalion hangs out. That's not a real city street. Those were the last to be plowed. So go figure when it came to emergency services.

How about your workers? Were they called in to work? They were told to stay overtime? What was the ratio there in terms of getting them?

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: That's an excellent question. When the recall goes out,

encountered, nor will it be the last, most EMS

personnel are taught by their mentors to keep what

we call a jump bag. You know, certain necessary

items: shaving cream, toothbrush, change of

undergarments, or at least a couple of day's

change and spare uniforms. We know when we're

going in, we tell our loved ones: I'll see you in

a few days.

While my members are out in the field, some of them for 16, 18 and 20 hours, when they got back to their battalions, there was no provision for them. There was no food. There were no sleeping arrangements. EMS battalions do not have bunk rooms. We had members that were sleeping on cement floors after working 20 hours out in the snow, carrying people through waist-deep snow. Many battalions were left to forage for food.

The EMS battalions that are affiliated to hospitals were fortunate to have some sort of food provided. In some cases, a room was made available where members could recuperate. But many more EMS battalions were left on their

2 own.

What makes that particularly galling is that at the Department of Citywide

Administrative Services warehouse, there were hundreds of cots available. I'm not talking about wide comfortable cots, simple camping cots. I keep one in my own office for just such an occasion.

There were military rations, meals ready to eat, or MREs, thousands of them in the DCAS warehouse. But men and women who were out there who can't get home--how are we going to go home? You can't get on the roads. It's the same problems you have when people finally made it in; you want them to stay there. If they go home, they may not make it back in. But what was provided for them? Go sleep on the cement. Go eat a snow cone; we've got plenty of that. But insofar as actually, you know armies move on their feet, they move on their stomachs. There was no provision made for the personnel, none whatsoever.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,
Mr. Bahnken. Mr. Cassidy, I think you made a good
call there when you reached out to the chief of

2.

the department and asked him to put a fifth man on
all engines. So thank you for you foresight. I
agree with you and also with Mr. Reynolds that we
would have been in even worse shape if 20
companies were closed and thank God they weren't.
With that, I am going to turn the mike over to my
co-chair.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Let me thank all of you. I can't tell you how

many stories of heroism I heard about all of your

members. Right around the corner from my house, a

young child had cardiac arrest and had to be

carried five blocks by the EMS workers to get to

the bus. There were so many stories of

firefighters getting where the ambulances couldn't

because they were stuck, and helping them out and

carrying people.

I led off with a story about
Michael Halberian, who unfortunately died, but I
don't even know how your guys got up that hill at
any amount of time. He lived on the top of a
lonely hill at the Steinway Mansion. If that hill
is unplowed, you need hiking gear to get up there.
But you got up there.

And really, just story after story about the heroism of all of your members. I don't know of anyone that I know of that ever pointed a finger at all of you people. If they did, they'd have to come through us.

Pat Bahnken, you mentioned there were 15,000 people making these emergency decisions. It's worse than that; there were 15,000 people on a conference call making these emergency decisions. There was no leadership and apparently the decisions that were made after that conference call were never even conveyed to a deputy mayor or mayor.

You've heard the Administration say they're going to do better, and I agree with you Mr. Cassidy, almost anyone could at this point.
But they did come up with a 15-point plan and some of it seems very meritorious, especially the definition changes of snow emergencies and how it's called and things like that. What improvements would you make to that plan?

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: I think that we need to be a lot more proactive as opposed to reactive. We tend to govern in crisis. It was

10

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	after September 11th that EMS personnel received
3	gas masks, many months afterwards. The big
4	question then was what if there's a chemical
5	attack because of anthrax or whatever these other
6	ones were.
7	I pointed out then to your
8	predecessors on the City Council that at that

time, as you were about to shuck your mortal coil

and take your last breath, you would see all the

EMS people a quarter mile upwind with all the antidotes because we're the ones who administer

them but we never received the operations training

or had the gas masks to enable us to operate.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I just want

16 to get to the--

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: [interposing]
So what would I do now?

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Now is the chance to be proactive. What would we do?

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: What would I do proactively? We've got a storm coming in on Wednesday. By tomorrow, if it's predicted that there's going to be ten inches or more, I would be telling every resident in this city: your car is

2.

to be parked no later than perhaps 6:00 p.m., o	r
10:00 p.m. Anybody who's out on the road after	<u>-</u>
that, we're going to tow your car. Your car	
should be parked, that way the plows can get	
their	

That was the one thing, the one issue, that one failure to make a good decision that was the catalyst to everything else going wrong, that one decision: the failure to keep the roads open for the plows.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Great. Does anyone else have an improvement we could make as part of this 15-point plan?

STEVE CASSIDY: I didn't look at it in detail, but let just say it's all about communication and managing the public's expectations. So no two storms are alike, no two disasters are alike. You can't have a blueprint in advance that says we're going to do the following 15 things.

If they had gone on the air on Sunday at some time, noon, 1:00, 2:00 or 3:00 in the afternoon and said conditions are blizzard-like, they're projected to get worse, we're asking

people not to come into New York City, or not to

travel in New York City, they would have had

better results. What happened was people didn't

realize how bad it was going to be. Part of that

is the history of a storm is forecast and it turns

out to fizzle. This was the one that didn't

fizzle.

I think they needed the communications from the Mayor or somebody on his staff. They have the ability to take control of the airways. They could have an immediate press conference. If you live in New York City New York One is on 24 hours a day. They could get that word out if they needed to. If they had done that, I think things would have been entirely different. Easier for Sanitation to get the streets plowed and then, therefore, easier for everybody else in emergency services.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Absolutely, I would go further. I think all nonessential businesses should have been closed and everyone told to stay home, not just off the streets. Just don't come to work. We had people stranded on trains and buses and it was ridiculous. Any other

very quick improvements we could make, the city
could make?

patrick reynolds: I didn't see the plan in detail either. However, any plan for emergency preparedness requires what's known as flex time. It's a Fire Department term that's used in probably other agencies where you realize a problem but you also have to factor in the amount of time that's going to be required to get your resources there. During a snow storm, you're going to need two to three times more time to get your people and your equipment in the location than you might need under normal conditions. So a flex time component of any emergency plan is going to be needed. Thank you.

VINCENT VARIALE: I think in the future, we have a citywide incident management system and a Fire Department incident management system, a command system. We should follow it. I didn't see that being followed anywhere. Every division was doing something different. I'm glad that my members were taking the initiative to go out there and do what they did. It saved more lives and it helped free up more ambulances.

2	But we need to follow a span of
3	control. We need to follow the command system.
4	Spare vehicles, a perfect example, there were
5	officers that came in and there were no vehicles
6	for them. This limited their ability to provide
7	any support for the ambulances to free them up. I
8	think these things need to be addressed.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you. I
LO	think it's clearly inexcusable that the command
11	center that we do have in existence, the Emergency
L2	Operations Center wasn't even called into being
L3	until 4:00 p.m. on Sunday. Chair Williams?
L4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
15	Further, I just want to make sure we point out
L6	while it was critical that they did not call a
L7	snow emergency, they did have another option to
L8	call a local emergency on Monday and Tuesday.
L9	That also would have helped. They chose not to do
20	that also. I just want to make sure that doesn't
21	get lost that there was another option that they
22	did not choose to do as well.
23	Mr. Bahnken, the EMS chief that was
24	demoted, is he a member of your union?

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: No, he's not.

24 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh yes, when 25 you talked about how they counted the response

25

2	time, is that normally how they count it, but
3	because we had so much snow it just got expanded?
4	STEVE CASSIDY: No. The department
5	has a policy of calculating a response to an
6	emergency or a fire as one unit in front of a
7	building. I've always argued that's not an
8	accurate reflection.
9	If you're on the 15th floor of a
10	burning building and the Fire Department stops in
11	front of that building and says we were there in
12	four minutes, but nobody gets there for another
13	six minutes, I'm pretty sure when you dialed 911
14	until some firemen pops that door open and comes
15	through there and saves your life, you don't think
16	that they were there in four minutes. You know it
17	took them ten minutes.
18	This Administration has always done
19	that. It was exacerbated by the fact that they
20	were parking vehicles two or three blocks away and
21	still saying we were there in four minutes when
22	now instead of four and ten it was four and
23	twenty. That's my point.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So how do you logistically fix that?

2	STEVE CASSIDY: Easy. They could
3	reflect accurately a response time when they are
4	knocking on your door.
5	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So the EMT
6	that knocks on the door would then relay back to
7	the bus?
8	STEVE CASSIDY: Absolutely. They
9	could easily do that. They don't want to do that
LO	because it'll dramatically increase the response
11	times which will argue against the cuts they're
12	about to bring to the Fire Department, both on the
13	EMS side and the Fire side.
L4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Is there
15	something legislatively that can be fixed?
L6	STEVE CASSIDY: Well, I've argued
L7	and I'd like the Council to consider it.
18	Certainly, if you dial 911, from the time you
19	actually get your phone call answered until
20	somebody knocks on your door, I think that's a
21	real response. If it takes 20 minutes to put
22	water on a fire, don't tell me a ladder company
23	was there in four minutes and everything is okay.
2.4	Pognigo vou know what wour hougo burned down

God forbid, some people could have lost their

2 lives. That is not an accurate response.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the terms of the firefighter, they would start to spray the water and then say that we got here? How would that be done?

STEVE CASSIDY: Well, first of all, all firefighters have radios. There's a chief on the scene. Pat Reynolds could talk about the officer's responsibility in terms of communication from a chief. The bottom line, it would be very easy for them to do.

I offered them a proposal to do so a few years ago. They flatly rejected it because if they make cuts to the Fire Department and they were measuring how long it takes them to get water on the fire, then this body would see how those cuts actually impact.

The example I will give you is if they close an engine company in a double house that has an engine and a ladder and there's a medical emergency across the street, the ladder company won't even go. If there's a fire across the street and they pull up by themselves, they'll say: hey, don't worry; we were there in three and

24

your response?

2	a half minutes. The bottom line is there was
3	nobody there to begin to put water on the fire
4	which is the most critical lifesaving thing they
5	do. They deliberately mislead the public about
6	response times because that's their matrix for
7	closing companies and reducing staffing.
8	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council
10	Member Greenfield?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
12	you, Madame Chair. So, Patrick, I have good news
13	for you. I went to Google and typed in: as the
14	Magic 8 ball. I typed in: should we have called a
15	snow emergency and pressed ask and the answer was
16	yes. So apparently, the Magic 8 ball actually
17	does work very well.
18	Just to paraphrase you, because I
19	was typing some notes, you said that anyone
20	blaming your members ought to be ashamed of
21	themselves. Well Patrick, I have some bad news
22	for you, they fired the head of EMS. I think

PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: Well, first,

they're clearly blaming your members. So what's

the chief in charge of EMS, like anybody else at that level, serves at the pleasure of the commissioner. What the media reports had stated or what the Administration stated was "among other issues" was the EMS system.

I will say this: not one singe EMT or paramedic or EMS officer out there in the field or in the communication center was ever asked if we should declare an emergency, what we should be doing better. Had they asked those of us that actually do the work, we would have told them, like we did back in 1996, call out the National Guard.

We did it in '96. I know I personally recommended that to the department the morning of the 27th. I said when is the National Guard being called? I heard people say, Mr. Bruno say, well the National Guard doesn't have resources. That's a load of crap. I was personally working in a Humvee in 1996 in Queens. The Humvees were able to get through. The six-ton trucks were able to pull ambulances out of the snow.

You know, this is like a tornado.

2	I've been around the head of this union for a long
3	time. At the very top of the tornado is where
4	this thing starts, but all the damage that's
5	wrought is at the very bottom. At the end of the
6	day, the one thing we can say about the very top
7	like all tornadoes is: they suck. That's exactly
8	what happened at OEM.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's
10	a fair assessment. Let me ask you, Patrick, who
11	should have been fired here?
12	PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: Quite frankly,
13	if it were me?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah.
15	PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: If I was the
16	Mayor.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yep.
18	PATRICK J. BAHNKEN: Mr. Bruno
19	would have been packing his office that morning.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: What
21	about you, Steve?
22	STEVE CASSIDY: I'm not sure. I
23	wasn't really involved in the whole chain. But I
24	can tell you that the presentation today was
25	centered around 2:00 in the morning on the 27th,

2	and the disaster was already over. So, I mean if
3	they were trying to decide whether to declare an
4	emergency at 2:00 in the morning on the 27th,
5	where were they at 4:00 in the afternoon on the
6	26th? That's the real question, and I didn't see
7	anybody get an answer to that today.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: What
9	about you, Patrick and Vinny, what do you think?
10	PATRICK REYNOLDS: We'll go with
11	Harry Nespoli: blizzard equals snow emergency. I
12	don't think you need any complicated metrics to
13	figure when and who should declare one.
14	VINCENT VARIALE: I have to agree.
15	Whoever failed to declare the snow emergency; head
16	on, as soon as you hear that there is going to be
17	a blizzard, or when they cancel a football game
18	because of a large amount of snow. I can't
19	remember the last time they ever did that, because
20	they play football in the snow all the time.
21	That's the person that should be responsible.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
23	you.
24	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,
	1

Council Member Greenfield. I don't think there

2.

are any other Council Members with questions for
the panel. I thank the panel for testifying today
and for sticking around as long as you did, for
your patience, and the work your members do.
Thank you.

The next panel, we have Joseph Colangelo, Local 246 auto mechanics. Is Ryan Gunning still here, of the New York Volunteer Ambulances? And the last to testify would be Brian Kuntz, Uniformed Fire Alarm Dispatchers. That's for this panel. There will be another panel after this.

Before you begin, if you could, identify your name for the record and then begin your testimony.

JOSEPH COLANGELO: Hi, my name is

Joe Colangelo. I'm the President of SEIU Local

246. I represent the auto mechanics throughout
the city of New York in all the different city
agencies. I have to correct my testimony because
I put "good morning" members of the committee. So
I'll have to change it to "good evening" to
Speaker Quinn and the Council Members.

My name is Joseph Colangelo. I'm

б

the President of SEIU Local 246 and I am here today to speak on behalf of my members and the service they provided during the blizzard of December 26th, 2010.

My members are the auto mechanics, machinists and other automotive trades, the titles that work for the Police, Fire, Sanitation, Department of Transportation and all other agencies in the City of New York.

My members reported to work both the day of the snow storm as well as the Monday following the storm. The members that reported to work on the day of the storm, in some cases, stayed on city premises for up to 24 hours, sleeping at their location on hard wooden benches and front seats of passenger cars and trucks. All this in an effort to make sure that the Police, Fire and Sanitation Departments could do their job.

On Monday some members left their homes at 1:00 a.m. and 3:00 a.m. in an attempt to get to work because the services they provide are essential to public safety. Some members whose normal work shift starts at 6:00 a.m. did not

arrive until 10:00, 12:00 and even 1:00 p.m. on

Monday, but all were asked to put in a full 8 hour

shift or 12 hour shift, and they did.

Some of these members actually got rides from department vehicles that were in the street working, only to find out when asking supervisors if there was a way they could get a lift back home, were instructed that they are not in the business of providing transportation.

Some of these members were leaving work at 8:00 and 9:00 at night on that night. One member specifically, who works for the Police Department, and was on duty for well over 20 hours and called my office on Monday morning concerned that he was being told they he was not going to be relieved because the department was not sure if, in fact, the other mechanics were going to be able to get to work that same day.

I point this out because when I received the call, he told me that he was concerned for his wife who was 4 months pregnant and he had left the day before at home and didn't even know if she'd be able to need him in case to get back. Fortunately, the other members did show

2 up and he was able to return home.

I would also like to tell you about the members who work for the Department of Sanitation. I am sure most of you have seen the giant snow melters are used for clearing the snow. As was written in the Daily News article this past week, two of my members became ill while working around the machine that was malfunctioning.

Neighbors on the block had called the fire department to complain about carbon monoxide detectors that were going off in their homes. The department responded and at that point my members, who had initially refused medical treatment, were transported to the hospital by their supervisors and treated for carbon monoxide exposure.

Last year, at the end of the snow storm in February, when another snow melter malfunctioned, two of my members were transported to the hospital by EMS for extremely high levels of carbon monoxide in their blood. I tell you these stories because these civil servants put themselves in dangerous situations not only to get to work, but while at work and doing what's needed

2 to be done.

Although I know this committee is not investigating this, there are some who have suggested that the distribution of parts in all city agencies be done by an outside contractor. With the outside contractors own employees inside city buildings and the parts rooms themselves would now be that company's property.

This means that in a situation like last week, if these employees for that particular company did not show up to work, the city mechanics would not be allowed to enter those parts rooms for parts and would have made them unable to repair the equipment. I wonder how many auto parts stores were open Sunday, the day of the storm, and Monday, the day after the storm, and how many car dealerships with repair mechanics were also open on those days.

I point this out because my members were at work. My members took their lives in their hands to get to work, and these are the individuals who I am proud of. Unlike others in the administration, my members were at work, and not in constant contact through blackberry and

б

emails, because as we all know, blackberrys and
emails don't get the job done. It's the hard
working civil servants of this great city that get
the job done

Thank you for this opportunity to speak today to you on behalf of my membership.

Thank you.

RYAN GUNNING: Good evening, Madame
Chair and fellow Council Members. My name is Ryan
Gunning and I am the Chairperson of the New York
State Volunteer Ambulance and Rescue Association
District 4. The agencies I represent encompass
most of Queens, Brooklyn, Staten Island and
Manhattan.

I am here today to bring forward the areas that we feel delayed, prevented or hindered our member agencies' EMS response to the aforementioned blizzard.

A large amount of our volunteers left their families and spent countless hours, staffing volunteer ambulances throughout the five boroughs without hesitation. This is what we do. Our volunteers, just like the municipal EMS system, fought heavy snowfall, high winds, and

б

traffic obstacles in order to reach patients that
required emergent care.

Unfortunately, our task was made more difficult during this storm due to a combination of reasons, when combined, spelled disaster.

In preparation, all of our squads were notified in advance of the storm through the NYC Regional EMS Council's Notification System.

In response, our volunteers began readying their vehicles, staff and equipment for what would prove to be an ardent task.

Unfortunately, it wasn't until the storm's wrath hit, that FDNY EMS requested volunteer participation to aid in the enormous call backlog. What made matters worse was most squads were instructed during the height of the storm to report to the nearest EMS Borough's Division offices to pick up FDNY EMS radios. For many that was Fort Totten in Queens. Making this request during the height of this storm was not prudent in terms of safety and delayed the activation of our additional resources in upwards of 45 minutes.

б

Had the FDNY prepared and distributed radios that can operate on the Borough Dispatch frequencies and other equipment in anticipation of the storm or programmed our currently issued Mutual Aid Radios to transmit on these frequencies, my agencies would have been better prepared and ready to assist at a moment's notice.

We have made many requests to date, usually after a failed activation that the FDNY EMS issue radios to the volunteer agencies with the capabilities of operation on the EMS Citywide and Borough Dispatch frequencies as this is part of good Mutual Aid planning. This has still not been done.

All of my volunteer agencies operate from a fixed community headquarters, unlike the FDNY that deploys units throughout the city. As such, members at the squad's base of operation were tasked with continuously digging out from the large amounts of snow that the Sanitation Department would push onto their property.

At one point during this snow

event, crews at the Glen Oaks Volunteer Ambulance
Corps could not move their vehicles off their
property. As such, the Glendale Volunteer
Ambulance Corps had similar issues, as their curb
side parking location became a dumping ground for
large piles of snow. Flagging down local plow
trucks and supervision proved futile, as they also
had their tasks to accomplish.

There must be a mechanism in place that provides priority to our member agencies so they are not obstructed from responding and when they are, can call in to a help line to get them plowed out.

The Volunteer EMS agencies logged hundreds of responses and brought out over 100 plus responders from Sunday at noon, through Tuesday at midnight. Volunteer agencies utilized their ambulances, first response vehicles and many utilized personal 4x4 vehicles to assist their crews and other EMS crews within their communities.

While some blame was directed toward EMS ambulance operators for getting stuck in the snow, it is our position that the primary

2.

reason for this significant occurrence was
unplowed primary and secondary streets. EMS
vehicle operators are aware that during this type
of storm that you park on a side street and you
walk to the call. However, this was not possible
during this storm because nothing was plowed. In
fact, Park Slope Ambulance has a 4x4 Ambulance,
and that too was stuck in the snow

With that said, many units, volunteer, voluntary, private and municipal were placed in unnecessary danger by Fire Department, as these units were being dispatched to calls for which many of the patients already left for the hospital by private means, long before the call was assigned to an EMS unit.

When the backlog ensued, EMS should have tasked members to call back to the patients for which calls were holding and cancel assignments when it was determined that the patient is no longer at the scene. This tedious, but important task, would have significantly reduced the number of backlogged calls, and therefore would have reduced the danger for responding units and ultimately, fewer units would

2 have been stuck in the snow.

Individually, all these areas of concern that have been reported may not be a considerable problem unto its own. However, jointly, these areas all combined to hamper EMS response from the volunteer perspective.

The volunteer agencies that I represent are always there when the call goes out and we need to work on improving the utilization climate and activation of these organizations so we do not delay the implementation of their resources when needed.

We would be most interested in meeting with the respective agencies in the near future to address our concerns and work toward a more efficient and collaborative working environment.

Just on another quick note, if I
may, about a response made by Commissioner
Cassano, in response to a question asked by
Councilwoman Koslowitz, who unfortunately is not
here, that the FDNY reached out to the volunteer
ambulance. Commissioner Cassano stated that they
did and nobody responded. The commissioner may be

2	misinformed	or	misunderstood	the	question.
---	-------------	----	---------------	-----	-----------

However, the volunteer ambulance community, as
written in my testimony, staffed over 100
responders and answered upward of 100 calls. This
further proves our continued quest for improving

7 our communication and improving the utilization of

8 the volunteers. Thank you for your time.

BRIAN KUNTZ: Good afternoon, Speak
Quinn, committee chairs, committee members. Thank
you for the opportunity to speak today. My name
is Brian Kuntz. I am the President of the
Uniformed Fire Alarm Dispatchers Benevolent
Association, a 191 member union consisting of fire
dispatchers, supervisors and chief dispatchers.

I'm going to be as brief as I can in injecting a little truth into the operational deficiencies that occurred during the blizzard, which by everybody's timing was Sunday to Wednesday. So my parameters will be from 1:00 p.m. Sunday to midnight on Wednesday the 29th.

There were really two major failures that contributed to deficiencies in the operation: the UCT system, which I'll touch on, and the PSAC. The UCT, as everybody is aware, is

2.

the Universal Call Taking System. From Wednesday
to Sunday, the Universal Call Taking System
electronically transmitted 4,447 runs to fire
dispatchers, which is quite an increase from an
average period.

Of these fire trucks were sent to 2,134 of them. Of the remaining 2,343 incidents, 150 of them were duplicate calls to alarms that already existed. That equates to 2,193, or 48.9 percent of those calls sent to us never should have been sent to us. For whatever reason, they were sent to us completely in error. I can't explain how that happened.

Naturally, this took away from our dispatching fire trucks to the citizens' legitimate emergencies that got put on the back burner. This also assisted in producing an unnecessary strain on our CAD system called Starfire.

As the Fire commissioner mentioned, and I think everybody here mentioned, the fifth alarm that was Monday afternoon in Corona, there was just one point that was left out. The overwhelming calls coming into our system caused

the normally assigned first due engine company and the first due ladder company to be held in the computer system. When a run comes in, the run says I need this engine, I need this ladder and I need this chief. The problem is when the system gets overloaded, it says I need this engine but I don't know to what.

with second due units instead of the first due units being assigned to that incident. After much hubbub, they found the companies, they went through a few more runs, they dispatched more runs and they found out that the computer just had them stuck. That is, again, because of an overload, like in the blizzard, like in the tornado, like in any thunderstorm that comes through the city at one time. The system gets overloaded and it doesn't respond how it's programmed to respond.

I would just like to add that one of the main problems with UCT is that the calls are being put in and put in and put in and put in by up to 100 call takers and there are five people to handle those hundreds of people entering at the same time. We have to manage our resources, as

effectively as we can as dispatchers, which
traditionally have been done, right up until May
4th of 2009.

We don't send a fire truck from downtown Brooklyn to Coney Island to handle a broken tree limb. We would send them in case of a fire, but in case of storms like this one, it's trees down, it's wires down, it's water leaks from snow on the roof that we can't do anything about. We go as the local fire companies become available. We put those into our computer as the local fire companies become available, not to overload the system with calls that we're not immediately responding to, the nonessential emergency, so to speak.

I'd just like to add that amongst the 2,193 incidents that came in needlessly, that was among another 12,000 or so incidents that the dispatchers handled during this blizzard. That doesn't necessarily mean a fire truck went to a run. It means the phone rang, whether it was an emergency, it wasn't an emergency, we had to handle all of the incoming information from 311, from 911, direct from the callers, and everything

was dramatically increased. 14,000 calls in three and a half days is quite an increase for the Fire Department.

The other issue is the Public
Safety Answering Center in downtown Brooklyn. The
Public Safety Answering Center is undoubtedly the
most ineffective, unprofessional operation that
basically ever existed as far as fire dispatch
operations. It verges on humane; there are no
facilities in the building to house personnel for
extended occupancy, like this storm where people
couldn't go home because they couldn't even get
out of Metro Tech, never mind trying to make the
trip home at 7:00 at night, at 7:00 the next
morning, at 7:00 that Monday evening. People
still were having trouble coming and going.

Traditionally, we've always had facilities to cook a meal if we were essentially stuck in the building for a couple of days, to put our head down for a few hours in between tours so that we can return to work, unlike this storm where some people who were fortunate enough to get out couldn't come back because it took them 5, 6, 7 hours to get home.

2.

These facilities, like I said,
they've always been there for us, up until this
Public Safety Answering Center opened, which never
should have been opened. From the day it opened,
it was not prepared to house emergency personnel
for extended periods of time. We've been told:
yes, we're working on it. Yes, when the new 911
is complete, they'll move up here and then that
whole second floor is going to be renovated.
You'll have all the facilities.

Well, that was supposed to be a year. It is now a year and a half and we still don't know when 911 is going to move up to their new facility because the Vesta telephone system still doesn't work. Our part of the Vesta system still doesn't work, never mind the load they're going to put on the system when they come upstairs. It's just not functional at all, and that's aside from the telephone system, from not having the proper facilities you need to stay there.

Now, I know there are a lot of managers in the bureau, in the Fire Department in the city, who are trying to make some headway on

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it. But it's just not happening. The latest
story that I was told was that when PSAC 2 is
completed, we were going to close PSAC 1, fix all
the deficiencies so it'll be perfect when you go
back. But as everybody knows, no one knows when
PSAC 2 is even going to get built anymore.

So, I have a very, very simple solution to this problem with this Public Safety Answering Center, which, by the way, will fail time and time again in the condition it's in. Ιt will never work properly for any kind of extended operation. Close the Public Safety Answering Center down until it can be repaired. Manhattan operations, which currently operates out of PSAC can be moved to the Bronx Central Office where there is already equipment waiting to be used. Brooklyn operations and Staten Island operations can be moved to the Queens central office where there is already equipment waiting to be used. Those buildings are far better prepared now than PSAC ever will be to handle these types of situations. It will cost virtually no money to make this move.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Could you

2	machines burn 86 gallons of diesel fuel per hour
3	without the engine that operates the burner, which
4	it goes to about 120 gallons of diesel an hours,
5	two gallons per minute. Some of the fuel tanks on
6	these machines are well over 700, 800, 1,000
7	gallons. They require a fuel/oil delivery truck
8	to deliver diesel fuel to those units.
9	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: At some point
LO	in time, perhaps you and I can schedule a meeting
11	so that we can through it.
12	JOSEPH COLANGELO: Absolutely.
13	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. Are
L 4	you responsible for fixing the plow trucks if they
L5	break down?
L6	JOSEPH COLANGELO: We fix
L7	everything in the city of New York. We keep New
L8	York City rolling. The only things we don't fix
L9	are the buses.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Okay. So my
21	question is: were there problems with the chains
22	on the trucks and the trunnions?
23	JOSEPH COLANGELO: The
24	responsibility for the chains is the Sanitation
25	workers union. The mechanics don't install the

25

2	chains. The snow plows, anything related to the
3	plows and anything related to the chains on the
4	Sanitation trucks is the Sanitation workers'
5	responsibility, not the mechanics.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. Do
7	my members have any questions? First is Chair
8	Crowley.
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.
10	Mr. Colangelo, I have a question as it relates to
11	the ambulances vehicles, the buses, in other
12	words.
13	JOSEPH COLANGELO: Sure.
14	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Are you
15	familiar with them?
16	JOSEPH COLANGELO: Yeah, I worked
17	for the Sanitation Department for 30 years. I
18	know that there has been some discussion about the
19	chains on the ambulances. I've spoken to my shop
20	stewards. It's our understanding, in speaking
21	with them; we've never really used chains on the
22	ambulances. I believe that Commissioner Cassano
23	pointed out that the boxes, as we call them, the

ambulance boxes are aluminum. What happens is if

you have snow chains on the ambulances, if those

2	chains are to let go, they cause extensive damage
3	to the boxes and also because they carry oxygen
4	onboard.

There might be issues, you know I don't know, but I think, in my opinion in this particular case, because the ambulances were sent out in such heavy snow, we've never had that before, I think that's where the problem lies. I know they're looking into a different type of chain to put on the ambulances so that they can use them in heavy snow. That's about my extent of knowledge on that.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you,
Mr. Colangelo. My last question is for Mr. Kuntz.
As it relates to your workforce, were there enough
workers there that day to take in the call volume?
Were you staffed on holiday staff? Did you
members know that there was an emergency coming
and that there should be more workers at the site
to take the calls coming in?

BRIAN KUNTZ: The staffing levels are maintained by management all the time.

However, we are not allowed to leave work unless there is somebody there to replace us. We don't

2	have part time hours, holiday hours, peak times;
3	our staffing levels are our staffing levels.
4	People are stuck there until someone comes to
5	relive them. There is no option for them to go
6	home.
7	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
8	Understandably, when there are emergencies such as
9	snow storms or tornadoes, you're going to have
10	more calls. I mean, at least the snow storm, we
11	had awareness for at least 24 hours to 36 hours.
12	So was there a call to your members for more of
13	them to come in, in anticipation of the blizzard?
14	BRIAN KUNTZ: There was not a
15	specific call for more members to come in at any
16	given time. No, there was not.
17	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. That's
18	my only question.
19	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
20	Recchia?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yeah. How
22	are you doing, Mr. Colangelo, I have one question
23	for you.
24	JOSEPH COLANGELO: Sure.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Did any of

move the snow?

2	your mechanics hear that the new chains that they
3	put on were snapping from the tires?
4	JOSEPH COLANGELO: Like I said,
5	it's not our responsibility.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: No, no, I
7	understand that. But did you hear anything or did
8	anybody say that they were snapping off of the
9	Sanitation trucks?
10	JOSEPH COLANGELO: You know, I
11	would be disingenuous if I were to respond to
12	that, being that it's not the mechanics
13	responsibility. I think that more appropriately
14	would be Harry Nespoli, the Sanitation workers,
15	because they're driving the trucks. They're more
16	familiar with how long the chains in the past, if
17	they've broken on the routes and if there's an
18	increase. They would be better suited to answer
19	that question.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Did any of
21	your mechanics hear that the hybrids didn't have
22	enough power compared to the older Sanitation
23	trucks? The new hybrid trucks that they have,

that they did not have enough power to plow and

2	JOSEPH COLANGELO: You know, with
3	most of the Sanitation vehicles, there's what's
4	called a governor which limits the speed of the
5	engine on the vehicle. That might have been one
6	of the reasons why they can't power them up. But
7	I would have to go back and ask my mechanics.
8	When it relates to hybrids, the agency is using a
9	few different types of hybrid vehicles. I would
10	need specifics before I could comment on something
11	like that.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: We heard
13	that some of the new hybrid trucks didn't have
14	enough juice, didn't have enough power to plow the
15	snow. They had a lot of problems with them.
16	JOSEPH COLANGELO: They do have,
17	the newer vehicles, the diesel vehicles do have a
18	new type of emission system that's in the exhaust.
19	If that is not working properly, it would diminish
20	the power of the engine. It would become clogged,
21	to use that word. That might have been happening.
22	I don't know. But then again, I'd have to get

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yeah,

we're very curious. As the Finance Chairman of

back to you on that.

23

24

25

2	the City Council, we're very interested in sitting
3	down with you and talking with you about the
4	outsourcing that the Administration wants to do
5	about auto parts.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: I'm very
8	interested in meeting with you and discussing that
9	to see that it doesn't happen.
10	JOSEPH COLANGELO: I believe it's a
11	very, very dangerous road to go down. The
12	lifeblood of the auto trade is our parts. Even to
13	suggest bringing in a private company to take over
14	an area in a city building and put the private
15	worker in the city building distributing parts and
16	therefore would become that company's property.
17	We would not be able to go in there and distribute
18	parts. We couldn't function. You're basically
19	handcuffing us.
20	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
21	Council Member Greenfield.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
23	you, Madame Chair. I want to thank all of you
24	today and I want to thank your members. To borrow

your words, we're very grateful that you keep New

2 York City running.

I just have a couple of quick questions. During the late hours, when I was hanging out at the Sanitation depot, some of the folks there pointed out the differences to me between the old plows and the new plows. They had a theory that the new plows were inferior and were causing the trucks to get stuck. Do any of the three of you have any information about this or have heard about this?

JOSEPH COLANGELO: Like I previously stated, the Sanitation or the worker's union would know.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

Nothing? Final question: do either one of you have theories on why so many of the trucks got stuck this time as opposed to in past blizzards? I mean I think we saw an inordinate amount of Sanitation trucks with plows that were actually stuck. Just as folks who have a technical background, might you have a theory on what was causing that?

JOSEPH COLANGELO: In my opinion, and it's been stated time and time again today, I

2	started with the Department of Sanitation back in
3	1981. I have over 30 years on the job. I worked
1	through many, many, many snow storms.

I think that not calling a snow emergency and not giving the message out to the public to stay home. In the day before the storm, on Christmas Day, it was being called that afternoon; it was all over the news: there's going to be a blizzard, there's going to be a blizzard, there's going to be a blizzard.

I think that in and of itself is one of the reasons why that that call was never made. I'm not an expert, I don't profess to be an expert, but I'd say that that call not being made, made a lot more people go out into the street, do their return, their Christmas shopping returns and everything that goes along with not, you know, waking people up to the fact that there is going to be a serious problem here. But then again, that's my own opinion. And that's all it is, is an opinion.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you very

much. Thank you gentlemen, thank you for yourtestimony, and thank you for your public service.

The next panel is Ron Arnero from

DC 37. Then, the very last panel, and I would ask
these individuals to sit in the front row to
expedite matters, is: Kelly Singleton from the
Cambria Heights Civic Association, Tom Hernandez
from Fraser Civic Association, Fran Vella-Marrone
from Dyker Heights Civic Association and Michael
Morrell from the Westerleigh Civic Association.

If there are any other civic groups, please join
them. This panel is DC 37, Mr. Ron Arnero. Mr.
Arnero, you're on.

RON ARNERO: Thank you very much.

Again, my name is Ron Arnero, and I do want to add

my greetings to Chairperson James, Chairpersons

Crowley, Vallone and Williams and members of the

City Council.

My name is Ron Arnero and I'm

Assistant Director in the Clerical Division at DC

37. I'm testifying on behalf of Eddie Rodriguez,

President of Local 1549. With me, here on right,

going back here is Diana Marenfeld. She's a

Grievance Representative. I have Cynthia Hill,

2	who is an SPCT at 911 Emergency and also Rhonda
3	Spaulding who is a Grievance Representative at DC
4	37.

New York City Clerical

Administrative Employees Local 1549 represents

approximately 18,000 public employees in almost

every city agency in New York. This includes

1,200 PCTs and SPCTs, that's Police Communication

Technicians and Supervising Police Communication

Technicians at NYPD's 911 call center in downtown

Brooklyn.

On December 26th, 2010, New York
City was devastated by a massive and historic
blizzard. Twenty inches of snow fell with
whipping winds, creating chaos on our streets.
The city was virtually shut down. Public, private
and commercial transportation was at a standstill.
Yet, employees, including thousands represented by
Local 1549 in the Police Department, Fire
Department, Sanitation, Health and Hospitals
Corporation, 311 and numerous other agencies, made
their way to work to serve citizens of New York.
Many walked miles through the storm at their own
risk.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	An army of 911 emergency operators,
3	dispatchers and supervisors in the police
4	communication technician and supervising police
5	communication technician titles braved the
6	treacherous elements to get to 911's downtown
7	Brooklyn operation headquarters or to a nearby
8	police precinct, which then they are transported
9	to 911 for mobilization and transportation to 911
10	headquarters.

They had no way of knowing what conditions they would be facing at work, or whether they would be trapped indefinitely at their work location. The city's 911 personnel risked their health and wellbeing because of their sense of duty and pride in what they do. The vast majority of 911 personnel reside and raise families in the city. Their concern for the city is reflected in the outstanding and often thankless job they do each and every day.

During a 48-hour period, while the effects of the storm raged on, 911 was inundated with nearly 100,000 calls for assistance. Included in those 100,000 calls were non-emergency calls, duplicate calls, callers who were

2	frustrated trying to reach through the 311 call
3	center that would revert back to 911.
4	Despite the voluminous number of
5	calls, 911 personnel, working double tours and
6	already emotionally and physically exhausted,
7	processed the calls with professionalism,
8	efficiency and concern. New York and New Yorkers
9	have had more than their share of devastation over
LO	the past few decades, but 911 personnel have
11	always risen to the occasion and performed
12	magnificently. Their dedication and commitment
13	remains on display.
L4	We would be glad to answer any
L5	questions at this time. Thank you.
L6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Two questions.
L7	Is it your position that the Administration should
18	have called a snow day for nonessential employees?
19	RON ARNERO: You mean a snow
20	emergency?
21	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, does a
22	snow emergency definitely necessitate a snow day
23	for municipal employees?
24	RON ARNERO: Well, our experience

is that management at 911 will look at a situation

2	like this with a huge snow storm coming in and
3	they will start preparing for that and calling
4	personnel in. Plus, our own personnel will call
5	in to ask if they're required to come in if they
6	want to come in. Some will automatically come in,
7	knowing that they're going to be needed. They
8	will come in advance of the snow storm. So we
9	have a lot of dedicated personnel at 911.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Are they
11	considered essential employees?
12	RON ARNERO: Absolutely. They're
13	considered first responders as well.
14	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Last but not
15	least, were they trapped in? Were they able to
16	get home? What was the situation?
17	RON ARNERO: The vast majority were
18	required to stay. They had no way of getting
19	home. Once they were there, they had to stay
20	there. Those few who were able to go home and
21	maybe come back, it was a case by case situation.
22	But the vast majority did 16-hour tours.
23	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: The number of
24	calls that you were receiving, can you give a sort
25	of description of the nature of the calls?

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 405
2	RON ARNERO: I'm going to ask
3	Rhonda to talk about that.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
5	RHONDA SPAULDING: The calls that
6	the 911 operators took came up to 49,478 calls in
7	a 24-hour period.
8	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: 49,000?
9	RHONDA SPAULDING: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Can you
11	describe a little bit, give a synapses, a summary
12	of the calls?
13	RHONDA SPAULDING: I'll pass that
14	on to Ms. Hill.
15	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.
16	RHONDA SPAULDING: She was a
17	supervisor at 911 at that time.
18	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you,
19	Madame Hill.
20	CYNTHIA HILL: There were medical
21	calls, there were people stuck, there were calls
22	of non-emergencies from 311. Anything and
23	everything that could have came into 911 came in

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, thank you

24

25

to 911.

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 406
2	for your public service.
3	CYNTHIA HILL: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And keeping
5	people calm throughout the blizzard. Do any of my
6	colleagues have any questions? Council Member
7	Williams.
8	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
9	Did you say that 911 are considered essential
10	employees?
11	RON ARNERO: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What other
13	employees do you cover?
14	RON ARNERO: We cover the 311
15	personnel.
16	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So just 911
17	and 311?
18	RON ARNERO: No, 311 is call center
19	representatives and they're part of DoITT. But we
20	cover in the Police Department; there would be
21	Police Administrative Aides and Clerical
22	Associates and secretarial staff.
23	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are you
24	members being forced to take a vacation day for
25	the day that they missed on the Monday?

RON ARNERO: That's nonessential personnel.

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All of the people you mentioned, aside from 911--

2	RON ARNERO: [interposing] Are
3	essential personnel.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are
5	essential. Okay, thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member
7	Greenfield?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
9	you. I want to thank especially all the essential
10	personnel for the work. I know that many of them,
11	of course, worked overtime and clearly, as you
12	mentioned, were stuck and were in a very difficult
13	situation. I'm curious to know, you know we
14	haven't really heard, except for what's been
15	reported in the media, any definitive numbers in
16	terms of emergencies that were not responded to
17	and therefore there were catastrophic results. Do
18	you folks have any sorts of numbers or do you have
19	any stories?
20	Because I imagine when you call 911
21	and you're asking for an ambulance and an
22	ambulance doesn't call, you probably call 911 back
23	and say, hey, where's the ambulance, right? Then
24	in many cases you literally have a transcript of

people having a conversation with someone who, in

certain cases, it could be that person is
literally dying and hasn't received any sort of
response from New York's emergency services.

So, have you heard stories about that? Do you have any statistics about that? Is there anything that you can tell us, feedback from your membership as to what you heard? I imagine it was a pretty crazy 48 hours there.

RON ARNERO: Oh, it definitely was.

But I know through the tape center where requests

for tapes of 911 calls are requested through a

subpoena, I'm sure that many of those folks who

may seek some type of legal action, their

attorneys I'm sure will be asking for those tapes.

As far as us ourselves, at this point we do not

have that type of information.

about you, ma'am, as a supervisor at 911, do you have any information or did you hear stories, or is there anything that you can share with the City Council that would be helpful in terms of our evaluation and oversight on what happened that day and the lack of response by emergency services?

CYNTHIA HILL: If you're talking

2	about EMS in particular, well we called them every
3	day. We are in contact with them every day as to
4	if there are any backlogs of anything. I came in
5	that morning at 6:35. At 6:35 there were already
6	200 or 300 calls behind. I came in at 6:35. That
7	was Monday. By the end of the day, it was over
8	1,000.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: All
LO	right, thank you.
11	RON ARNERO: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you. Are
L3	there any backlogs as of today?
L4	CYNTHIA HILL: I don't know. I
L5	didn't work today.
L6	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Does anyone
L7	else on the panel, do they know if there are any
L8	backlogs as of today?
L9	RON ARNERO: No, we don't have the
20	current information. I'm sorry.
21	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you very
22	much. Thank you for your public service.
23	RON ARNERO: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Our last panel:
25	Kelly Singleton, representing the Cambria Heights

Civic Association in Queens; Tom Hernandez
representing Brooklyn, the Fraser Civic
Association; Fran Vella-Marrone, representing the
Dyker Heights Civic Association in Brooklyn; and
Mike Morrell, Westerleigh Civic Association,
representing Staten Island. Are there any other
civic groups? Thank you. You may choose amongst
who may testify first.

KELLY SINGLETON: Good evening,

Speaker Quinn, City Council and committee members,

it's a pleasure to be here this evening. My name

is Kelly Singleton. I am the President of the

Cambria Heights Civic Association. I'm here to

discuss some of the issues that we faced during

the blizzard of 2010, how we handled the situation

within our community and a couple of

recommendations from our residents and members of

our civic association. So I shall begin.

Snow plows, the first issue, did not arrive into our community until late in the evening on Tuesday, December 28th. However, by that time, the damage had been done. People started leaving their homes, trying to get to work and elsewhere. I'm sure you've heard this. This

is nothing new. You've heard it all day.

However, in our community, this

posed a major problem because most of our

residents were unaware that our major

thoroughfares, Linden Boulevard, Springfield

Boulevard and our main avenues had not yet been

plowed. This caused cars to get stuck, like a war

zone. Subsequently, abandoned cars were there and

ensuing traffic jams. So people still continued

to try to get about their business of the day, but

we had difficultly with traffic along our major

thoroughfares.

The second issue: when the Sanitation workers finally began their work, it resulted in people being blocked back into their parking spaces and driveways. What happened is that people gave up waiting and so they took it upon themselves to shovel themselves out of their spaces, out of their driveways so they can go about their daily lives. However, when the plows did their work, they shoveled them back in, or they plowed them back in. This caused very irate residents, to say the least. A variety of issues ensued from there.

б

How we handled the situation within
our community. Well, I sent regular text messages
to our local elected officials, our City
Councilman Comrie, our State Senator, our
Assemblywoman and our Community Board Chairman.
We're under Community Board 13. As we received
updates and responses from our elected officials
and the community board, we immediately followed-
up with as many civic members and residents as
possible. Those who we had email address for or
cell phone numbers, the messages were sent out
that way. Email messages were sent also to block
association presidents so that they can alert
their neighbors as well. Frequent communication
was also had with the Queens Civic Congress.

Volunteers from within our community obtained assistance from our civic association in identifying elderly, disabled residents and also mothers with young children who needed assistance with shoveling. We assisted as many people as possible.

Here are our recommendations: many of our civic members and residents have been trained through the CERT program, offered by the

б

Office of Emergency Management. We have a very strong partnership with OEM regarding this program. Our community board, we also work with very closely and the Queens Civic Congress. We're in touch almost daily regarding a variety of community issues.

Having said this, we recommend that emergency alerts be sent via text messages, the same that we did during the blizzard or email so that this information—let's say you declared an emergency for a snow storm. We can get that information transmitted as quickly as possible to our civic members and the block association presidents. We will work together with everyone to ensure that our residents are informed and kept safe. We do have a high population of senior citizens in our community, so this is extremely important. We do have a very popular senior citizen center within the community and they, too, are a hub for getting information to and from the residents.

Our next recommendation is to provide regular updates to all of us regarding the status of recovery. That means when plow trucks

б

are expected to arrive in the community, if you
can let us know an approximate time of their
arrivals, we, too, can update the community
regarding that. We could keep people informed and
ask them to remain in their homes so that they're
not in the way of the workers. If we had known in
advance, we would have told as many people as
possible to stay in your homes so that the plows
can do their work. So going forward, this is a
lesson learned, a teaching moment, as they call
it. We will do better going forward, but we need
your help in doing so.

Our last recommendation would be regarding updates from Sanitation on the garbage and recycling retrieval. We got a lot of complaints from angry residents regarding when is the garbage going to be picked up, when is the recycling going to be picked up? We followed the rules and no one came by. So we would like to be able to provide community updates in this regard as well.

So I want to thank you for your time and your attention and the consideration that you've given me to be here today. I thank you and

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

look forward to working with you on these items. 2

TOMAS HERNANDEZ: Good evening. My name is Tom Hernandez, a longtime resident of the Fraser section of Brooklyn. For those of you who are not familiar, Fraser is located in the southeastern part of Brooklyn, south of Brooklyn College, north of Marine Park, between Flatbush and Nostrand Avenue. It's part of Community Board 18. I've been President of the Fraser Civic Association for three years and also a member of 12 Community Board 18.

> It is represented by about 2,000 families, the majority of them Orthodox Jewish and from the Caribbean. Our area, for the most part, is totally dependent on surface transportation, as there is no subway service throughout the area.

> I want to thank Speaker Quinn, as well as Council Members Williams and Fidler for inviting me to speak here today, as well as all of the participating committees who worked very hard to put this hearing together.

> I wanted to say first that I'm here today, not to give out any letter grades or to give my opinion of how I feel about how we were

treated down in South Brooklyn or to place blame
on any one or any city agency. I am here to
deliver the facts and the facts are that we were
just not serviced the way we deserved to be
serviced, period.

I decided, in preparing for this testimony, to prepare a little dairy of my week living where I live in the Fraser area.

On the Monday morning, when the last of the storm fell, we began to start digging out of the storm. We decided not to attempt to go to work, as the news outlets, Channel 4 and Channel 1 in particular, strongly recommended that to stay home if we can, so we did. The subways were in bad shape so we exercised caution. We did not have an urgent need to go to work that day. We were lucky. So many people did and paid the price.

After clearing the entry stairs and sidewalks, which unfortunately, so many of our residents throughout the city did not do, and that's the subject of probably another discussion another time, I walked around the immediate area and was surprised to find that approximately by

3:00 that day, that the major Road, Flatbush
Avenue, which is out main artery, still was not
completely plowed. By the way, Chairman James,
the pictures there, those are the pictures as you
see them, correct. They were still very icy,
still very dangerous to drive and very dangerous
to walk.

Avenue L and Avenue K, I don't know if they're considered major streets, but major bus routes go through there, one directly to

Manhattan, to subway stations throughout the area and to our two local hospitals, and other parts of Brooklyn had not been plowed at all. It looks like a plow never even went through those two streets. With dozens of stuck vehicles scattered throughout those avenues. In one case, along with my neighbors, we helped push a car with a lady and two children just to get to Flatbush Avenue so she can at least get to where she was going.

Throughout that day, I sent emails to my contacts at the Department of Sanitation, which in the past, I have gotten responses to.

This time, I never heard back from them.

The next day, Tuesday December

28th, 24 hours after the last snow fall, my wife and I decide to go to work in the city. We had urgent business to take care of and we needed to go in. I normally take the 41 Bus to the Flatbush Junction. Avenue K and Avenue L were still not plowed, therefore no bus service to the Kings Highway Station or directly to the city was available.

completely serviced, still with layers of ice and limited to a one lane, a mess of traffic. Buses were piled up, filled with people trying to get to the subway stations. Our only hope of getting to the subway station is to walk through the streets because, once again, the sidewalks were not plowed. So we had to go through and fight traffic and buses through the icy streets just to get to the subway station.

A normal 12 minute walk, when I do feel like walking, turned out to be 45 minutes from hell; dangerous in that I was deathly afraid of slipping and falling in front of a bus or a car.

Surprisingly, or I should say not

surprisingly, when I made it into the city, to the

Penn Station train station, I was amazed, again

not surprised, that there were so much better

conditions in Manhattan, along Seventh Avenue and

the side streets. Blacktop throughout, completely

clear. It's as if it never snowed on Seventh

Avenue that day.

what we're going to do now is skip to Thursday,
December 30th, three days after the last snowfall.

Flatbush Avenue was in better shape, still with
traffic and full buses. The Main Avenues K and L
were finally plowed, but none of the side streets
had been plowed, even once. I walked my wife to
the station and did some shopping at the Brooklyn
Junction. We noticed a News 4 truck at the end of
one of side streets waiting for the city to
finally plow the street. The street was
eventually plowed later that day.

Later that evening, around 6:30, I walked approximately seven blocks to Beth Israel Hospital to visit a sick fiend and found that the most, if not all the side streets still had not been completely plowed, with icy conditions making

б

it dangerous to walk as well as drive. I elected to walk, and did so. It took me twice the time and I did slip once or twice getting to the hospital and back.

Throughout the week, I was in touch with our local elected officials and members of our community to help us with pushing the Sanitation Department to finally clear our streets. We were all surprised by the lack of response. We all agreed that the city just did not have an emergency plan in place. No plan was our motto throughout the week. Our street, East 36th Street, was finally plowed on Friday morning, December 31st.

I want to give special thanks to

Helene Weinstein's office and to the members of

the 63rd Precinct for their help during this time,

because they were really terrific in helping us

any way they could.

In closing, based on my testimony, you can draw your own conclusion as to the city's response to this past snowstorm. My hope is that the Mayor and his commissioners resolve whatever problems there are and this lack of response never

24

25

season.

2	happens again. We pay taxes just like everyone
3	else including our fellow New Yorkers in
4	Manhattan. We expect the same level of service.
5	Thank you.
6	FRAN VELLA-MARRONE: My name is
7	Fran Vella-Marrone. I'm the President of the
8	Dyker Heights Civic Association. Good evening.
9	I'd like to thank the City Council
10	for your invitation to testify before you today.
11	I have always believed that I live in the greatest
12	community in the greatest city in the world, that
13	being Dyker Heights Brooklyn. Dyker Heights is a
14	residential community made up of hardworking
15	middle class taxpaying residents. Communities
16	such as this make up the backbone of the City of
17	New York.
18	Many of you may be familiar with
19	the beauty of Dyker Heights especially during the
20	Christmas Season with the festive Christmas
21	lighting displays of our homes which has been
22	widely recognized and thousands visiting each

I am proud to be a resident of Dyker Heights and never hesitate to tell people

about our community. But the blizzard of December 2010 and the lackluster response to its cleanup in the days following the blizzard prompted many to describe Dyker Heights as Armageddon. We were totally cut off. The streets had not been plowed; cars, city buses and trucks were left stranded on our local streets. There was no mass transportation for days. No bus service and no subway service as the N line was not operating as well as the nearby D line.

Dyker Heights is traditionally a two fare zone that is underserved by mass transit and is heavily dependent on bus service. The only mode of transportation was on foot, which was not safe due to the snow drifts and ice.

Residents were literally stranded.

There were no deliveries to local merchants, no one could get to work or go to medical appointments. Emergency response such as fire and ambulance was impeded. Oil trucks could not make deliveries, nursing homes were unable to transport patients for medical treatment, and senior citizens were frightened that they could not make doctor's appointments or receive emergency medical

2 service.

Residents who are dependent on deliveries for life sustaining equipment and supplies such as oxygen or other medical supplies were concerned that these deliveries could not be made and no emergency responders would be able to access them. This was a serious and frightening time in Dyker Heights.

What happened? Where were the plows? Where were the salt trucks? Why was a snow emergency not declared? Why weren't primary roads such as 13th Avenue and Ft. Hamilton Parkway plowed? Why were we forgotten? Why wasn't CERT mobilized? Was there a slowdown perpetuated by the Sanitation union as was being described in the media? Was Dyker Heights a target of this slowdown which was also being reported by the media? Was there a management failure? Nearby Bay Ridge which is in the same Sanitation district had received better service. Why?

If it were not for a response by our local police precinct, elected officials, community board, community groups and residents, I believe that there would have been no response to

our plight. As usual, we took matters into our own hands and made things happen. We declared our own snow emergency. I thank God that I live in such an active community and I am proud of the people that make up the community.

The 68th Police Precinct Community

Council along with Community Board 10 worked with

local residents via email and telephone to compile

a no-plow list which was given to the 68th Police

Precinct commander, Deputy Inspector Rodriguez,

who mobilized his command to clear the roads of

all vehicles.

They closed down 13th Avenue and other streets where it was needed so as to clear the roads of the stranded vehicles and then coordinated with Sanitation to plow the streets. This was done in a methodical manner block by block. They used every effort possible to remove the stranded vehicles whether it was by tow truck or by manpower with police officers physically pushing the vehicles and all along the way keeping in contact with community leaders and local elected officials. I myself received numerous calls throughout the days following the blizzard

from Deputy Inspector Rodriguez updating me on the progress he was making.

The police also transported some individuals to the hospital who were in need of emergency attention. Community Board 10 became command central with the district staff responding to numerous calls and emails from residents and communicating the needs and concerns of the community to the appropriate city agencies.

State Senator Marty Golden had his office open throughout the days following the blizzard responding to constituent complaints, assisting residents in need with snow removal, contacting the appropriate city agencies to expedite the cleanup, coordinating with the 68th Police Precinct and keeping the community up to date on the progress being made via email and telephone. Senator Golden contacted me numerous times throughout the days following the blizzard keeping me abreast of the progress being made.

Our Councilman Vincent Gentile's office was open to respond to constituent complaints. He was in contact with the city agencies, in communication with the 68th police

precinct and Community Board 10, kept his constituents advised via the internet as well as drafted legislation to address the concerns of the outer boroughs. Councilman Gentile also called me to update me on the situation and his efforts.

I am very proud and grateful for the response of my community. Once again, we faced an emergency situation and together we worked to make it better. But, this is not sufficient. The residents of Dyker Heights and all the communities in the city deserve better. Taxes and fees have increased. However, it seems that service has declined.

I have given you a retrospective view. We in Dyker Heights do not want to look back. We want to move forward. We want to know what will be done prospectively so as to never repeat this response in the future.

I still believe and so do the residents of Dyker Heights that we live in the greatest community in the greatest city in the world. I want to perpetuate this view and hope that confidence in city government can once again be restored. Thank you.

MICHAEL MORRELL: Good evening,
Madame Chair. My name is Michael Morrell and I
represent the Westerleigh Improvement Society, a
civic association on the north shore of Staten
Island.

Our community is comprised overwhelmingly of one-family homes on residential streets. We are represented by Council Members Debi Rose and Jim Oddo. I would like to thank them for their invitation here today.

The removal of snow from our neighborhood streets is normally completed within a day or two of any large storm. The Department of Sanitation usually does a very adequate job of plowing and salting in each snow event even though some of our streets are very narrow and difficult to maneuver.

The recent storm was a totally different story. One of our members who chronicled the events of December 26th to 30th reveals an almost total misuse of resources by Sanitation. The first plowing of secondary streets occurred on Wednesday, December 29th, two and a half days after the storm ended. But once

the plowing started, it never abated. Repeated
passes by plows and salt trucks were made so often
that only asphalt was being plowed and sparks
would fly from the pavement. No supervisory
presence was directing these plows to streets that
were totally clogged from the ones that no longer
needed attention.

It is very clear that much needs to be reviewed by the city administration in handling snow emergencies. Early deployment of equipment and careful monitoring of the cleanup progress is essential. But it was apparent during the latest storm that both of those requirements were lacking. The Mayor and his commissioners must overhaul the way the city's response to a snow emergency is handled to avoid the chaos of late December. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you.

Council Member Gentile?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you.

I must say, Fran, even as late as Tuesday

afternoon when I took a tour of 13th Avenue with

Inspector Rodriguez from the 68 Precinct, it is

true, it looked like the scene from Armageddon had

come true, because of the buses and the number of
cars still strewn all over, and plows that got
stuck along 13th Avenue. That was, I think,
Tuesday afternoon. So we're really talking about
a very, very serious situation. You know that
13th Avenue is one of the main thoroughfares in
the community.

I'm curious, we talk about communication and I think each one of you have mentioned it in some way or another. Do you or do your community groups encourage people and do you help them to sign up for Notify NYC and things like that to improve their information that comes through to them?

Heights Civic Association has a newsletter that goes out every month to over 500 residents within the community. Within there, we do have information on a variety of CERT updates to include Notify NYC. As a matter of fact, one of the members of our board is recently CERT trained and is responsible for public safety updates as well. So the answer is yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And in

2	regard to 13th Avenue, againI'm sorry, go ahead.
3	TOMAS HERNANDEZ: No, go ahead,
4	finish your statement.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I just
6	wanted to mention, because I think it's
7	appropriate given Commissioner Bruno being here.
8	It was a call to Commissioner Bruno that actually
9	got the action stated on 13th Avenue. So I do
10	want to give Commissioner Joe Bruno the credit for
11	that.
12	FRAN VELLA-MARRONE: Yes,
13	Councilman, we should give him that credit, but we
14	should give you that credit and the other local
15	people that worked to push that and expedite that.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you.
17	TOMAS HERNANDEZ: In our area, we
18	have a number of volunteer ambulance services.
19	The Orthodox community has a number of them in
20	place plus Flatlands has its own ambulance corps.
21	We have a number of "independent" entities that
22	will help whenever possible, especially when
23	there's a sick child or if something goes on.
24	We also have a sort of block of,
25	shall we say, to use the better word, busybodies

It's funny you should say busybodies. My partner grew up in Newark and there was a woman on her street, Rose, who used to hang out the window all

23

24

25

б

the day. They called her FBI Rose. So I refer to those types as the FBI Roses of New York who are incredibly helpful because they know everything in real time before anybody even knew what real time. So they are a good resource.

I really don't have any questions.

I just wanted to thank the four of you for staying today, for preparing such thoughtful testimony, for all of the service you clearly gave your neighbors and your neighborhoods during this very, very tough time.

I want to particularly thank you for seeing the opportunity for the city to do better as opposed to just being angry and jaded, which you would have the right to be. But instead, as you've all said, it's about clearly understanding what happened, affirming that it was unacceptable and then making sure it doesn't happen again. So I just want to thank you so much for being four examples of what is great and true about New Yorkers.

Being an elected official, I'm now going to ask you to help out even more. We're obviously not able to hear from all of the members

of the public who would have wanted to testify
today. So starting next Monday we're going to be
doing public hearings in the boroughs. If I could
just ask if our staff people could follow up with
you guys so you could help us get the word out,
because we really do want to make sure we hear
directly from New Yorkers both about what
happened.

And now that the Mayor has put out his 15-point plan, we should also hear from New Yorkers about what they think of that and what they would want us to push even further as it relates to that. So thank you guys very much for everything you've done and in advance.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member Williams followed by Council Member Greenfield.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

First, as my colleague Peter Vallone pointed out,
some of the Mayor's people are still here, so they
were listening to what you were saying and
hopefully they'll take it back. I want to thank
you also for coming out. The other people who
testified, it's part of their job to do so. You
took time out of your schedules to come here and I

want to say thank you for that. I do have to give
a special shout out to Tom Hernandez, one of the
great civic leaders. Lew Fidler wanted me to
apologize to you that he had to step out. But
thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Council Member Greenfield?

know, I too thank you for your service. I have a quick question for you though. With great fanfare, we all remember that press conference that said by Thursday morning every single street in this city was going to be plowed. If I could quickly run through the panel and if you can just tell me if that was your experience that by Thursday morning every single street in this city was plowed. I'll start with you.

Was not the case for Cambria Heights. They did
the best they could for Linden Boulevard because
we needed our buses to run down there to get
people to the train station. I neglected to say
that earlier. We too are surrounded just by a bus
service to get us to the main hub of the Long

because I think a lot of people, throughout New

York City, especially those who are watching these

24

25

2 hearings and who are frustrated.

I think people got the sense and said: it's only me; it's only my neighborhood that is stuck. I think the panel clearly shows today that it wasn't just Brooklyn, it was lots of Brooklyn. It wasn't just Queens, it was lots of Queens. It was lots of Staten Island. There was clearly an outer borough differential between the outer boroughs and Manhattan.

So I want to thank you all for coming out and I want to thank you for waiting this long. I want to thank you for giving a voice to many frustrated New Yorkers. Because it's my last question, I also do want to thank the Speaker for her leadership of putting together this terrific hearing today, as well as Chairs James, Vallone, Crowley and Williams, and to those incredibly outstanding staff members of those respective committees who burned the midnight oil to ensure that we all had accurate information. Thank you all very much.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: So to this panel, thank you. We apologize for the late hour.

Thank you for your civic work and thank you for
your volunteerism. I can't say the word; I'm
very, very tired. Thank you for the suggestion
with respect to those who are a part of the CERT
program. Sending them public service messages, I
think that's a great idea. Thank you all. This
is a teaching moment.

With that, we just want to give a shout out to the staff members who coordinated this hearing.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Jarret Hova, the greatest counsel in the world; Robert Calandra, another great counsel; Shannon Manigault; Oona Peterson; Daniel Avery, the greatest senior policy analyst; Salvador Arrona; William Hongach; Kate Seely-Kirk; and Nicole Kolinsky. Thank you. I'm sorry if I butchered your name.

To the public, this does not conclude this hearing. In fact, there are some other issues that have to be addressed. This Friday, consult the website of the City Council, the Department of Transportation will be holding a

between Walton and Gerard Avenue. For Southern Brooklyn, Wednesday, January 26th at 6:00 p.m. at Marine Park

23

24

25

2	T +	0 - 6 1	270
4	Intermediate	SCHOOL	Z/8.

I want to thank my co-chairs and I want to thank the Speaker of this great house, Speaker Christine Quinn.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Now, I'm going to turn it over to the Speaker to say a few words.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you to all of the Council Members who participated. I think we had between 43 and 45 Council Members here, out of a 51-member body. That sends, I think, a clear message. I want to thank Chairs Williams, Vallone and Crowley, but in particular, Chair James, for their hard work and their leadership in today's hearings.

I want to really underscore Tish's thanks to all of the staff people who really worked nonstop since they were able to get to work after the blizzard on preparing for this hearing. And that level of work I know will continue as we move forward on even greater solutions. So I really, really want to thank them.

I want to thank everyone who was a part of the panels today from the Administration

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION 441
2	to the unions to the civic organizations. I think
3	we now stand in recess.
4	CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Co-chairs, any
5	parting words? We stand in recess.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature		Spena Lentra			
		<i>Ο</i> ι			
Date	January	2.4	2011		