CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

September 21, 2022 Start: 1:14 p.m. Recess: 5:50 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Rita C. Joseph

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu Alexa Avilés

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
James F. Gennaro
Jennifer Gutiérrez
Shahana K. Hanif
Kamillah Hanks
Shekar Krishnan

Linda Lee

Farah N. Louis Julie Menin

Mercedes Narcisse Lincoln Restler Pierina Ana Sanchez Lynn C. Schulman Althea V. Stevens

Sandra Ung

Jumaane Williams Public Advocate

Carolyne Quintana
Deputy Chancellor of Teaching and Learning at
Department of Education

Christina Foti Chief of Special Education at DOE

Liz Vladeck
DOE General Counsel

John Hammer
Deputy Chief of Special Education at DOE

Simone Hawkins Chief Executive of Early Childhood Education Operations at DOE

Glenn Risbrook Senior Executive Director of the Office of Pupil Transportation at DOE

Tom Taratko
Chief Executive Space Management at DOE

Michael Mulgrew UFT President

Randi Levine
Policy Director of Advocates for Children of New
York

Lori Podvesker
Director of Policy at INCLUDEnyc

Jennifer Choi Special Education Advocate at Special Support Services

Phylisa Wisdom YAFFED

Nelson Mar Legal Services NYC

Miriam Nunberg Attorney

Janet Marte Parent

Maggie Moroff ARISE Coalition

Ellen McHugh Citywide Council on Special Education

Sharon McLennon-Wier Center for Independence of the Disabled

Alexa Lofaro
Center for Independence of the Disabled

Lucas Healy D75 Student

Paulette Healy Parent

Amber Decker

Fiona O'Grady Samaritans of New York Suicide Prevention Center

Whitney Toussaint Parent

Natasha Muzaffar Parent

Lupe Hernandez Students with Disabilities Committee

Crystal Baker-Burr Bronx Defenders

Melinda Andra Legal Aid Society

Amy Tsai New York Coalition for Educating Families Together

Emily Hellstrom
Literacy Academy Collective

Kimberly Blair
NAMI

Jennifer Manning Neighborhood Charter Schools

Jacqueline Vargas DOE Paraprofessional

Beth Heller Advocate

2.2

Chambers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a microphone check for
the Committee on Education. Today's date is
September 21, 2022, recorded by Nesli Patuvie(SP?) in

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning everyone. I want
to welcome you to today's New York City Council
hearing for the Committee on Education. I will
kindly ask everyone to please silence your cellphones
and electronic devices. If you wish to submit
testimony, you may do so at

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that's testimony@council.nyc.gov. Chair, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: [GAVEL] Good afternoon and welcome to today's Education Committee Hearing on Meeting the Needs of All Students with Disabilities.

I am Rita Joseph, Chair of the Education Committee.

I would like to welcome everyone present here today and those witnesses testifying remotely.

At today's hearing, we will also hear testimony on the following legislation, Introduction 582 from Public Advocate Williams and Introduction 610 from Council Member Bottcher. We will hear more about those bills from their sponsors shortly. We're here today to talk about how well the Department of

2.2

2.3

Education is meeting the needs of all students with
disabilities who compromise more than 20 percent of
all students in New York City public school system.
I'd like to acknowledge that the DOE has made
improvements in delivery of services to students with
disabilities in recent years. When the DOE first
started reporting special education data to the City
Council in 2016, only 60 percent of students were
fully receiving their recommended special education
services.

35 percent were partially receiving services and
5 percent were not receiving services. According to
the latest report, as of November 1, 2021, the
percentage of students fully receiving their
recommended special education program was 82 percent,
with 17 percent partially receiving and two percent
not receiving their recommended programs.

While this reflects improvements over time, it is simply unacceptable for two percent of students with disabilities to not receive any of their recommended programs. It is important to note that majority of students with disabilities go to the same schools that they would attend if they did not have disabilities, either in a general education

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

classroom, alongside peers without disabilities or in a self-contained, or in a separate self-contained classroom.

Other students are referred to District 75, a separate district intended for students with severe needs that require more intensive support, which serve approximately 26,000 students. According to a July 2022 Daily News expose, District 75 is failing a significant portion of its students, just nine percent of District 75 students who entered the ninth grade in 2013, obtained a diploma within six years.

The majority of District 75 students receive an alternative credential, which is not recognized by colleges or the military, severely restricting students options after high school. District 75 students are also more likely than their peers to cross paths with the police, as 21 percent of incidents of police handcuffing kids in schools between 2018 and 2020, happened in District 75.

Despite the district enrolling only two percent of city students, further, many district 17 schools offer few outlets like extra-curricular activities or work programs for students.

2.2

2.3

The harshest criticism for District 75 and expose is that it is failing to educate thousands of students with emotional disabilities. For whom it often serve as little more than a holding ground. The majority of students classified as emotionally disturbed are Black and Brown and advocates charge that the fail to educate these students is setting them up to end up to the school to prison pipeline.

Another area of concern is that recently became controversial, is the growing cost of providing services to students with disabilities in nonpublic schools. The New York State Education Department recommends stated approved private schools for students whose special education needs cannot be met in public school settings. DOE must also pay for private special education schools if it fails to place a student in appropriate program within a mandated timeframe or when a student proved that the DOE failed to provide their child with an appropriate program during an impartial hearing.

In August DOE, Chancellor Banks made some controversial comments about cutting private school payments for students with disabilities. The Chancellor noted that the city now spends \$1.2

2.2

2.3

billion on special education payments including tuition for private schools and implied that families exercising their right to seek private placement if the DOE isn't able to provide needed services in the public setting are gaming the system.

He also stated that if the money was plowed into traditional public schools, we wouldn't be having this fight about budget cuts. We'd be able to pay for all that afterschool programming, all of those kinds of things.

Another persistent and critical problem and a shortage of classes and seats for preschoolers with disability, particularly in the Bronx and Southern Queens. A key reason for the shortages, states failure to raise reimbursement rate for preschool special education classes run by community-based organizations. That in turn makes it tough for programs to cover costs, cover ever rising costs.

Moreover, teachers at the CBO preschool special education programs are paid significantly less than those working for DOE's 3K and PreK programs, making it difficult for CBO's to retain teachers.

As a result, insufficient funding, more than 30 of these CBO preschool special education programs

2.2

2.3

have closed in the past five years. Last April, the City Council called on the Administration to add \$30 million in the FY23 Budget to address the salary disparity in order to better support CBO providers who continue to face closure and staff shortages.

DOE used federal stimulus funds for students with disabilities also an emerging area of concern. They have not provided all of the recovery services promised to these students in the wake of a pandemic.

DOE allocated approximately \$3 billion of federal stimulus funds to be spent in FY22 with \$251 million of that total allocated for investment in special education, including academic recovery services, design as after school Saturday programs for students with disabilities.

According to an April 2022 Comptrollers report,

DOE has spent just \$30 million or 12 percent of the

\$251 million allocated for special education services
as of the first week of March 2022. Three quarters

of the way through the fiscal year, in addition to

raising questions about how the unspent stimulus

funding will be used, it also raises serious concerns

about how many students actually receive special

education recovery services. The program got off to

2.2

2.3

2 a rocky start with implementation delayed until 3 December.

At many schools, the DOE couldn't find enough teachers interested in working after hours and DOE did not guarantee yellow bus transportation, making it difficult for many children to participate.

According to news reports in April, DOE officials expected 35 percent of eligible students to have received the extra service by the end of the 2021-2022 school year.

At today's hearing, the Committee hopes to learn from the DOE about the efforts to improve services for students with disabilities and would like to hear the DOE's responses on many issues and concerns raised by parents, educators, advocates. We also hope to hear recommendations for improvements from stakeholders.

Finally, today we will hear Intro. 582, which is a bill that would require the Department of Education to provide information requiring compliance with American with Disabilities Act. We will also hear Intro. 610, a bill that would require the Department of Education to distribute information on the new

2.2

2.3

National Suicide Prevention hotline and other related services to students.

I would like to thank everyone who is testifying today. I want to thank City Council staff for all the work they put in today's hearing, Jan Atwell, Chloe Rivera, Masis Sarkissian and Frank Perez. I also want to thank my staff Sam Weinberger and Connor Erving and Javon Epcwan(SP?).

I would like to remind everyone who wish to testify in person today, you must fill out a witness slip, which is located on the desk of the Sergeant at Arm near the entrance of this room. To allow as many people as possible to testify, testimony has been limited to three minutes per person, whether you're testifying on Zoom or in person.

I also ask that my colleagues to limit their questions and comments to five minutes. Before we begin, I would like to turn to the sponsors who have the bill before this Committee for quick remarks on their bills. We will now hear from Public Advocate Jumaane Williams sponsor of Introduction Number 582.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so much

Madam Chair and the members of the Committee on

Education for holding this hearing and for having

\_ ¬

hearing on my bill. My name is Jumaane Williams,
Public Advocate of the City of New York in the 20212022 school year, 20.6 percent of New York City's
more than \$1 million students had a disability.

Despite being legally mandated to provide disabled students with the services they require, even with the COVID-19 pandemic, the Department of Education did not meet mandated accessible education requirements. The pandemic has made it even more difficult for disabled students to get the support that they need, especially for students of more color and those who live in low-income communities.

The America with Disabilities Act prohibits discrimination based on disability status. In schools compliance with ADA includes permitting accommodations including accessible education service, transportation to and from school, additional service to address the students individualized education program, extra time between classes and modifying testing, as well as physical changes to buildings including grab bars and bathrooms, installing ramps, elevators, and widening doorways.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The accessibility of our school buildings is embarrassing lacking. While students without disabilities can attend their local zoned schools or another school of their choice, students with disabilities often must choose their school based on whether they can physically navigate the building. For disabled students, picking a high school means not only considering their choices based on academics and the interest but finding out whether they can fit into bathroom stalls and use water fountains. they have access to an elevator or whether the school can provide services mandated by the IEP's. With the options drastically limited, it is no surprise that only 58 percent of students with disabilities graduated from high school last year compared to 81 percent citywide.

According to the School Construction Authority,
73 percent of DOE schools are accessible. However,
this includes not only schools that are fully
accessible but also those that are partially
accessible. A partially accessible school is not
accessible if a student cannot fit their wheelchair
through classroom doors or fit into the bathroom
stalls. Likewise, the school may be classified as

2.2

2.3

fully accessible for those with mobility disabilities but unable to accommodate a student who requires accessible education services due to for example, a lack of qualified staff.

The DOE must also address and resolve the transportation issues that have students missing school because their seat on a bus is not confirmed or their travel companion has not been assigned. I know there are parents who have missed work to stay home with a student or use their grocery money to pay for a cab to take this child to school. No family should have to pay out of pocket for their child to get to school simply because their child has a disability. For these reasons, I have introduced Intro. 0582 which would require the DOE to report annually on the degree to which indoor and outdoor school facilities comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

This bill would also make public the contact information for each schools ADA coordinator, the protocol for requesting accommodation and information regarding the extent to which zone schools have the capacity to accommodate students and employees with disabilities. This information is critical for

2.2

2.3

students with disabilities and their families, when deciding which school to attend and provides us with additional data to target needing accessibility upgrades in our school system.

The education disruption of the pandemic and remote learning has been hard on all of students, but especially with those disabilities. We must be doing everything we can to ensure that disabled students are provided with equitable, high-quality education with all the supports they need to succeed and to thrive. I'm looking forward to working with the Committee on Education and the City Council to achieve this goal and I do have to say with my own experience of my mom raising two knucklehead children by herself, navigating through the school system as a young Black man and in the ninth grade, getting diagnosed with Tourette Syndrome and ADHD. And my mom learning how to help navigate through that system.

I also understand how privileged I was to be in the schools that had the resources needed for any accommodation that was needed for me. And also, a parent who had flexibility of work when needed. I am clear that not all students have that, nor should

2.2

2.3

they to have to navigate a system that's supposed to be providing this to them. I'm worried that if I was in the public school now, I'm not sure even how the access that my mother had at that time, she would have now. Particularly as teachers are teaching to

So, thank you so much for this time and this opportunity. I'm looking forward to hearing discussion.

the test and focused on other things.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you Public Advocate.

I now would like to hear from my Council Member

Bottcher. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you Chair Joseph for having this hearing today and for Co-sponsoring my bill. Intro. 610, which would require the Department of Education to distribute information to students on the new National Suicide Prevention hotline 988, as well as other suicide prevention resources to students. Information about what is suicide, warning signs, risk factors. The new National 988 Suicide in Crisis hotline went live over the summer with the support of federal, state and local agencies to help connect individuals experiencing mental health related distress to

\_

accessible care and support. Whether they're experiencing thoughts of suicide, mental health or substance use crisis or any other kind of emotional distress.

Upon calling, texting or chatting 988, users are connected to a live crisis center trained to identify the callers needs, offer support and connect them to nearby services, as necessary. And this is just one of many resources that students should have access to like the Trevor Project, the Samaritan Suicide Prevention Center and other resources.

I'm pretty open about being a survivor of suicide attempts. When I was 15 years old, the last of which left me hospitalized for a month. And I did not feel that I had anyone to talk to. I did not know what suicide was or where to turn and I don't blame my parents. I don't blame my teachers, the school; that was a different time. We did not have resources like we have now. But now we have those resources, and we have to make sure that they're getting out to students. That's what this legislation would do. I look forward to this hearing today and I look forward to working with my colleagues and the administration to pass this bill. Thank you very much.

Now, without any further, I'd like to turn over to our first witness panel, you'll be sworn in.

Carolyne Quintana?

13 CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Yes Chair.

14 CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Christina Fonti?

15 CHRISTINA FOTI: Yes Chair.

16 CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Simone Hawkins? Am I saying

17 your last name right?

18 | SIMONE HAWKINS: You are. Yes Chair.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Liz Vladeck?

LIZ VLADECK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: John Hammer?

22 JOHN HAMMER: Yes Chair.

23 CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: And Glenn Risbrook?

24 GLENN RISBROOK: Yes Chair.

19

20

21

10

11

12

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

_	COMMITTIES ON EDOCATION 22
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, we understand that
3	Deputy Chancellor Quintana and Christina Foti will be
4	the ones testifying, so I will administer the oath.
5	Deputy Chancellor Carolyne Quintana and Deputy Chief
6	Academic Officer Christina Foti. I will call on each
7	of you individually for a response. Please raise
8	your right hands.
9	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth
LO	and nothing but the truth before this Committee and
L1	to respond honestly to Council Member questions?
L2	Deputy Chancellor Quintana?
L3	CAROLYNE QUINTANA: I do.
L 4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Deputy Chief Academic Officer
L5	Foti?
L 6	CHRISTINA FOTI: I do.
L7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.
L8	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you and you may begin.
L9	CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you Chair Joseph. Good
20	afternoon Chair Joseph and the members of the
21	Education Committee here today. My name is Carolyne
22	Quintana, I'm the Deputy Chancellor of Teaching and
23	Learning for New York City Public Schools. Good to

see you again.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I'm humbled to provide testimony on behalf of my colleagues, including those joining me this morning, Chief of Special Education Christina Foti, Senior Executive Director of the Office of Pupil Transportation Glenn Risbrook, General Counsel Liz Vladeck, Deputy Chief of Special Education John Hammer, Chief Executive of Early Childhood Education Operations Simone Hawkins, and many others who are in the audience today.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the critically important issue of the current state of education in New York City for our students with disabilities. Before I begin, I would like to express our gratitude to Speaker Adams, Chair Joseph, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams and the entire City Council for your continued work and advocacy on behalf of New York City schools in service of historically underserved students.

I join you today on behalf of Chancellor David Banks and New York City Public Schools to share our continued commitment to serving all students in New York City, especially our children with a disability and eligible to receive special education services. We know that historically, many students with

25

disabilities have faced significant challenges in accessing quality learning opportunities and have lower academic outcomes than their general education peers.

Chancellor Banks and my colleagues in the administration and I are deeply committed to changing that reality. The Chancellor has met with many families and advocates who have described how they turned to programs outside of the DOE to meet their child's needs. We're committed to listening and responding to the needs of our families and communities who I am certain can help inform the strategic direction for this work moving forward.

Our goal is to provide high-quality public-school opportunities that meet our very learners needs within each child's local community. Working across divisions and with the supportive advocates,

Community Education Council's parents, experts in the field and you, the members of the City Council, I know that we can make that a new reality. A reimagined approach to special education.

Approximately \$182,000 New York City children enrolled in public schools have an individualized education program, an IEP. A written document that

/

contains a detailed plan for educating students with disabilities. These students are served in a variety of settings, including schools that exclusively serve students with disabilities, as well other city schools both in smaller classes, as well as classrooms in which students with IEP's are integrated with their general education peers.

As New York City Public Schools continues working to improve access to a quality education and to services in the least restrictive environment for students with disabilities, much remains to be learned about where and how these students are served, how outcomes vary for students with different disabilities and background characteristics and the relationship among services, placements, and student classroom success and overall wellbeing.

In alignment to the Chancellor's four pillars, you'll hear later about how we are reimagining the student experience, with programs like our pilot bilingual SETSS; how we may be scaling and sustaining what we know works, ASD Nest; all of these are acronyms that you'll hear a little bit more about in just a moment but ASD Nest, Horizon, and PATH programs; focusing on student wellness, like the

socioemotional component to SEED; our sensory exploratory program. And genuinely engaging parents through assisted technology training at our Saturday academies, as well as listening to their feedback about the system as it is designed to date.

At the heart of our work, is ensuring that each and every student has access to high quality instruction. That's one of our goals for this year that prepares them for life beyond school. One of the key levers to achieving that goal is making sure that every student is reading at proficiency level. Our previous approaches to teaching reading have not produced the results we need. You've seen the statistics. That's why you have heard the Chancellor state that under this administration, we will establish strong phonics-based literacy instruction that is informed by the science of learning and development.

When we develop ecosystems that adequately support and challenge students from the very start, and we help students believe that with effort and appropriate instruction, they can succeed. We build tasks that have value for them, and we ensure that they feel like they belong, then all of our young

### COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

people and especially our most marginalized will
thrive. And we ensure that they feel like they
belong, then all of our young people and especially
our most marginalized will thrive.

We have visited D75 sites where this deep and explicit literacy work is taking place in Spanish and Mandarin Chinese. We visited Community District K8 schools where students are receiving targeted supports to address barriers based on timely data. We've invested in training IEP teachers on evidencebased interventions like Rewards and SPIRE and will now invest in training our SETSS teachers.

Accomplishing that will require providing support and capacity building for teachers so they can implement effective strategies to teach reading to students, including those with dyslexia.

Importantly, through all of this work, we'll be focusing on culturally responsive and sustaining practices. Practices that genuinely respond to the communities in front of us, so that students can seen themselves reflected in the curriculum, in the way that we deliver our learning and maximize their potential as readers.

2.2

2.3

	That means that all students in grades K-9 take a
	math and literacy screener three times a year. Every
	district has an Academic Intervention Service
	Coordinator to support schools in developing systems
	to interpret and use data from those screeners. And
	we're implementing citywide professional learning for
	educators to ensure that they're rigorously trained
	on core curriculum and phonics by specialists with
	expertise in strategic reading interventions. In
	fact, last night, we launched our Literacy Advisory
	Council with a collective of members of the
	community, educators, students, specialists from
	different universities nationwide and really put a -
	uh, really got that work in motion, so that we can do
	what we are saying in terms of making sure that
	schools are grounded in research and evidence. In
	addition to these investments in this new school
	year, we will continue to make unprecedented
	commitments to supporting our students with
	disabilities, which Christina Foti, Chief of Special
	Education will now share with you.
1	

CHRISTINA FOTI: Thank you Deputy Chancellor.

Good afternoon Chair Joseph and the members of the

Education Committee. I am Christina Foti, Chief of

2.2

2.3

Special Education for the New York City Public

Schools. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss

4 the central focus of my office, which is also a high

5 priority across every division within the Department

of Education. We appreciate the opportunity to share

7 our progress and plans for meeting the needs of our

students with disabilities, as well as some of the

9 challenges we face.

This work requires immense collaboration with students and families, our wonderful advocates and of course, our partners here in each of you at the City Council. We thank you for your commitment and your leadership. By the way the background Chair and members of the Education Committee that are new, I have not yet been in your presence, so thank you for having me and want to tell you a little bit about myself. I've been with New York City Public Schools for 20 years now. I've served in most capacities that one can serve in. I taught middle schools with the classification of emotional disability. Council Member Brewer spent a lot of time at my school and knew me when I was a first and second— and third—year teacher and appreciated her support.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

To be honest, serving our students and our families in the city continues to be one of the greatest honors of my life and I think that will be true for the remainder of my career. During my time, I have taught many incredible children and one point of pride was to a place at a recent PEP meeting when a student I taught who is now in high school, who walked in my school when he was a kindergartener for his first day of school and I remember his face. remember his belly, I remember his little kindergartener potbelly and I remember all of the things that he and his mom were worried about the first day and uhm, he is now a magnificent teenager who testified at PEP, who read his testimony, who wrote his testimony, who advocated for himself and will continue to do so for the rest of his life.

He and the many others are representative and the reason why we continue to do this work day in and day out and why it really is such a great honor to do the work that we do.

I'm going to go back to script. The disruption to education caused by the pandemic deeply affected all students and families in New York City but especially our most vulnerable students, including

2.2

2.3

our students with IEP's. Last year, New York City
Public Schools organized a massive effort to close
the gap in missed services during the pandemic with
the launch of the special education recovery
services, which we affectionately refer to as SERS.
As a result, every New York City public school
receive funds to provide additional services to our
students with IEPs. In total we served over 73,000
students last year. Through the SERS initiative, the
DOE also trained more than 2,000 teachers in
evidence-based literacy interventions.

Our commitment to closing the gap for students with IEPs remains front and center this school year, focusing on three key priorities to better support students with IEPs. First, we will work to continue to improve the outcomes and support for all students including those with IEPs through the renewed focus on literacy discussed by Deputy Chancellor Quintana.

As part of that work and to ensure that all students are educated in an inclusive — as inclusive as an environment as possible, we are offering training in evidence-based literacy instruction to every DOE special education teacher support services, also known as SETSS teacher this school year.

2.2

By increasing the capacity of our SETSS teachers to effectively serve students with reading challenges, we will better be able to meet the literacy needs in settings that include students.

Second, New York City Public Schools will expand inclusive opportunities in all schools including District 75. We have heard loudly and clearly from our parents and advocates the need to reduce travel time for students with IEPs as they expanded our Nest and Horizon programs for students with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Over the past five years, the numbers of schools with ASD Horizon programs has increased by almost 70 percent and ASD Nest programs have grown my more than 40 percent. Greatly enhancing our ability to provide focused individualized support for students with autism.

This school year alone, we have added ASD programs in 15 new school locations. This expansion includes ten new ASD Nest programs, which is the largest expansion in the past few years. With each new ASD program, we brought inclusive opportunities across our city and give schools the tools they need to improve achievement for students with autism. The research is clear that students with IEPs achieve

improved academic outcomes, including graduation rates, when they are educated in the same classroom with their peers that do not have IEPs.

Finally, we will continue to work to ensure all students fully receive their mandated services and programs. As of June 15<sup>th</sup>, roughly 88 percent of our students received their recommended special education programs in full and about 99 percent fully or partially received their recommended programs.

Nonetheless, we will not stop until we reach 100 percent.

Since 2015, the share of students fully receiving their ICT, special class and SETSS services has risen from about 60 percent to 88 percent with this past years figures representing the highest performance ever reported. In addition, the DOE's related service provision rates returned to prepandemic levels in 2021-2022 with about 95 percent of IEP service recommendations fully served. These rates reflect a snapshot in time but usefully convey the status of special education program and service delivery, demonstrating a positive trajectory toward improving all IEP recommendations.

2.2

2.3

Turning to preschool, we know how critical it is that students with disabilities receive access to high quality services as early as possible and we are taking several steps to strengthen the preschool special education process. Last year, we added 48 committees on Preschool Special Education

Administrators, who are responsible for conducting timely IEP meetings and arranging for services. This is roughly 50 percent expansion in staff, dedicated to serving the students and families coming through the PreK process.

In addition, this school year, we are investing itinerant speech, occupational, and physical therapists who will improve service for students in community-based organizations who we contract with citywide. Originally comprising 40 providers, this team will expand to 97 this school year and will be deployed to high need areas that cannot be served through contracted agencies. We are also working to ensure that there is an appropriate special education program for every preschool student who needs one. This includes the expansion of integrated SCIS programs, which are inclusive. SCIS programs are integrated co-teaching classrooms in PreK, as well as

2.2

2.3

financial support for the nonpublic 4410 sector that will enable them to add special classes.

We are in the process of implementing the enhancement contract for 4410 providers, which provides funding for higher teacher salaries and additional supports. I will now turn to the Proposed legislation Intro. 610, which would require the DOE to distribute information to students on the new National Suicide Prevention Hotline number 988 and other related suicide prevention resources deemed important for students.

Ensuring that students have access to social,
emotional and mental health supports in New York City
is our top priority. Our partners at DOHMH who we've
worked closely with are leading the efforts around
this new resource on how information will be
disseminated to all New Yorkers including students.
We look forward to working with this Council on this.
Intro. 582 would require the DOE to report annually
on the degree to which indoor and outdoor school
facilities comply with the Americans with
Disabilities Act, ADA. The DOE is committed to
ensuring that its programs, services and activities
are accessible to staff. Members of the school

### COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

community and students and family members with
disabilities. We are reviewing this legislation and
look forward to conversations with the Council.

With the first anniversary of this administration approaching in January, we are both proud of what has been accomplished and confident about the progress that will be made.

My team is intensely focused on meeting the needs of every single student with an IEP and the efforts we'll share with you today are all in support of that goal. We continue to welcome the Councils partnership in this pursuit. Your leadership and your advocacy are essential to everything we do day in and day out.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak about this topic today and we are happy to take any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you so much. First questions will be in relation to the Carter Cases.

Last month, Chancellor Banks made some remarks about parents gaming the system to place their children with disabilities in private schools that specialize in serving students with disabilities.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

In fact, the city will pay for specialized private schools, only in cases where DOE itself has failed to provide an appropriate education to the students. And often only after years of hearing process, parents are not gaming the system, the system is broken, failing year after year.

To provide students with disabilities with instructions and support they need to succeed in school. My office has been contacted by advocates, parents, who are both outraged and stressed by the Chancellors suggestion of slashing this vital source of funding for students with disabilities.

So, how can the city address the current challenges and ensure that students with disabilities get the tailored instruction services, supports and accommodations they need? What resources does the DOE need to make this happen? How many students with disabilities are enrolled in private schools because it was determined that their IEP was not being met or otherwise insufficient in a DOE school.

In recognition of the fact that students from higher income and majority White families have greater means to seek reimbursement for private schools tuition upon pulling their child out of DOE

J

schools. How can DOE ensure more equitable placement especially for low-income students of color. Thank you.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you for that question
Chair and I appreciate that it's also coming on
behalf of your community and the parents who are
reaching out to you. It shows that the community is
genuinely concerned and invested in making sure that
all of our students receive what they need to reach
their potential.

The Chancellor knows that the overwhelming majority of families that while due process claims with the Department of Education are doing what we all do, trying to find the best placement and the best programs and the best education for their children that they can.

We don't seek to limit payments on Carter Cases but rather we're looking for ways to provide families with what they need upfront and what I mentioned earlier to is, in their local community, so that fewer have to file such cases and to ensure that those cases that do proceed to a hearing, reach consistent, reasonable outcomes and comply with the

law, which you'll hear a little bit more from my colleague General Counsel Liz Vladeck.

I also want to mention and come back to this after Liz answers the question but in relation to uhm, the portion you asked about more equitable system, part of what we are working on is to make sure that first, we are strengthening what is high quality tier one instruction. We've had this conversation before and in other settings as well, but we want to make sure that every child has access to a strong start in their general classroom. So, that they are receiving exactly what they need from that teacher that they spend the most time with.

Our entire goal of using screeners and making sure that we're using interventions and partnering with folks like TNTP to focus on acceleration instead of remediation for just right scaffolds. All of that is to ensure that students are receiving support during those class periods, during that regular day and that we can intervene where needed, so that not all students need to go on to have those — not all students need those more restricted services.

In the cases where they do however, we want to make sure that we can build those programs here and

you'll hear Christina talk a little bit more about
that in a moment but we're also partnering with
organizations that can help us. You're absolutely
right. There is a disparity between who attends and
who brings cases to file for due process and so, we
want to make sure that we're partnering with
organizations like Promise Project, who is piloting
opportunities to use secondary screeners and many
evaluations. We're partnering with other
organizations and Christina has also ensured that her
psychologists are trained to run a battery of
assessments that can identify different markers of
disability for the students who may be at risk for
dyslexia are identified as such and can receive the
supports they need. And part of what you've heard
announced already too, we're opening three dyslexia
landmark programs in Brooklyn, in Harlem and in the
Bronx, so that students who are struggling with
reading can actually receive those supports with
these strong interventions that they need that
explicit instruction and the type of environment that
is going to help them to be successful.

Liz, I'll turn it over to you for the questions

25 about the Carter Cases.

2.2

2.3

LIZ VLADECK: I think I need to be sworn. Hi,

General Counsel Liz Vladeck, I'd like to provide some
insight into the legal aspects of this question. So,

5 I can go? Okay.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just a moment for clarification.

LIZ VLADECK: Okay, thank you. So, I think this is really a critically important question. Thank you Deputy Chancellor. Thank you Chair Joseph. I'm really glad to have the opportunity to talk about this. And I think context for where we're at with our legal matters, is really important. In the last ten years, the number of due process cases filed has exploded from around 4,000 ten years ago to, we're still counting but it was upwards of 18,000 in this last school year. And simply put, that has overwhelmed the legal process. We are undertaking a number of initiatives to address that and to build in the capacity for that volume of cases.

Just to be clear on what we're talking about, of the approximately 18,000 cases filed last year, around half of them are specifically for tuition, to cover tuition at private schools and the other half is to pay for services for children who are in

2.2

2.3

2 private schools, where the families covering the 3 tuition, but the services need to be provided by us.

So, the first thing I'd like to draw your attention to is the creation finally of an actual tribunal to hear these cases. Until March of this year, cases, as they came in are assigned to independent hearing officers who are essentially independent contractors who can take cases when they feel like it. Not take cases when they feel like it. They're overseen by the state. And so, the length of time and the lack of consistency across that system was really making it very hard for us to move cases.

In March, New York City's Office of

Administrative Trials and Hearing opened their doors

with a new special education unit. I believe they're

already up around 35 to 40 administrative law judges

called impartial hearing officers under the relevant

law, who are starting to take cases and we view this,

this was an effort, major collaboration with the

State Education Department, as well as oath and DOE.

And we think this is going to make a massive

difference once they are up to scale and finally

establishing some real oversight to meeting

guidelines, to having consistent practices and simply

2.2

2.3

to having the ALJ's you know have consistent

3 practices, interpretation, and application of the law

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

4 and clear decisions that can be shared with the

5 public to understand what is or is not afraid

6 appropriate public education.

There's any number of other things we're doing to try and fix the problems with the legal process.

Because I do want to make that distinction. I know that it's common now to say that special education is broken, and I personally don't think that that's correct. I think the programs, a lot of the work on the program side is so valuable. I think it's part of the challenge we face in getting, as you pointed out, especially middle-class White families to have a basic trust in confidence level that our public schools can meet their needs and that's work that we have to do.

I know you asked specifically about the Chancellor's comments, and I wanted to provide that context to say, uhm, I think what the Chancellor was talking about is not the 18,000 families who are bringing cases. We know that the overwhelming majority of them, all families who are bringing cases are just trying to do what we are all trying to do,

2.2

2.3

which is figure out exactly what our child needs and do everything in our power to get that for them. It is an unfortunate fact though, that there is a very small number of bad actors, not families but organizations, certain attorney's or consultants who have identified the challenges we have with our legal process as a profit opportunity.

And so, for example, we know of organizations that do their own highly particularized evaluations of students, identify what they need and coincidentally those needs can only be met in the school that they also run. And then they charge, and we pay four times as much as our best known private special education schools in New York City.

And what that does is it distorts. It is moving money in the wrong direction to the wrong places.

Some of these places might charge \$200,000 a year or upwards for services that we may well be able to provide in our own schools.

I know that the Chancellor is deeply committed to ensuring that every dollar goes to children, not to help someone build their business when they're not helping children and getting the most of what they need, and I think that's what his comment was

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 45
2	speaking to. Not at all to all of the children who
3	are whether in private schools or public schools, we
4	want to make sure we're complying with their IEPs and
5	getting them all that we can. Thank you.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ms. Vladeck, my apologies to
7	you and other members of the panel. I am told now
8	that we do need to swear in those who are responding
9	to questions. The Committee doesn't have a Counsel
10	at the moment, and I am filling in, so my apology.
11	I'm going to save time by swearing you all in at one
12	time okay. Liz Vladeck, Tom Taratko, Glenn Risbrook,
13	John Hammer, and Simone Hawkins. I will call on you
14	individually for responses.
15	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth
16	and nothing but the truth before this Committee and
17	to respond honestly to Council Member questions.
18	Please raise your right hand. Liz Vladeck?
19	LIZ VLADECK: Yes, I do.
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tom Taratko?
21	TOM TARATKO: I do.

24 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: John Hammer?

GLENN RISBROOK: I do.

22

23

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Glenn Risbrook?

JOHN HAMMER: I do.

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Simone Hawkins?

SIMONE HAWKINS: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So, how many students do you have that are currently enrolled in private schools, that the DOE is paying for?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: We have as of early September 2022, 10,204 students in Carter Schools and over 25,000 students with disabilities were parentally placed by their parents in private and parochial schools.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: How long does the case take from beginning to start, I mean to end?

LIZ VLADECK: So, our objective and what we're working on with Oath is that it shouldn't take more than 90 days. And that includes a Resolution and that's the requirement that we need to meet. That includes a Resolution period initially. A very short beginning window where we triaged to identify if a case should just go straight to resolution to be settled, which we're trying to do more and more of. But the cases that still remain with the state independent contractors, some of them are years and years old. So, that's a big part of the shift to

2.2

2.3

Oath is we think 90 days should be the standard and we want to come in under that as much as we can.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: In the last ten years, how much was the Carter Cases the DOE was paying out?

Because now we're looking. It's \$1.2 billion, so somewhere along the line, DOE has really dropped the ball. And somehow you're going to have to fix it because we, if we invest that money into the services that these students are lacking, we wouldn't be paying \$1.2 billion in private services.

LIZ VLADECK: I appreciate that question. Let me make a distinction. In the last year, we spent upwards of \$800 million on the Carter Cases which includes those services cases. The other \$400 million of that \$1.2 billion number goes to schools that are private but already certified by the state as appropriate placements.

And to your point, we're trying to sort of come at this problem from 360 degrees. So, most critically is all the critical program work that my colleagues have spoken about but we're also trying to streamline the legal process, so that A. we don't have as many cases getting filed in the first place.

And B. the cases that we do have, we can handle them.

2.2

2.3

We can handle them quickly and those cases that should not have been filed, as I referred to earlier, we can descend and succeed. So, we can stop paying, making those payments in those particular cases.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Chair, may I add something?
CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Sure.

CHRISTINA FOTI: So, just want to address the last part of that and the importance. I just want to lift; you know this is a major equity issue in a lot of ways and I would argue that while the DOE plays a role in this and where there may have been places where the ball was dropped historically, this is an issue that we all have to catch the ball on.

This issue involved policy. This issue involves decisions made at many levels, including the DOE and beyond the DOE. And so, this is all a problem that we have to work collectively to fix because the bottom line is, that we want to be serving children in our public schools. We do not want lawyers involved, as lovely as they are, in the process of educating our children. We want families to be able to go to their neighborhood schools and we want them to have access to those high-quality programs.

2.2

2.3

Now, we built hundreds of those programs and by the way, many of our programs are nationally recognized, internationally recognized. Denmark has replicated some of our programs. We need to continue to fund those programs and expand those programs because particularly with our ASTNS programs and Horizon programs, our graduation rates are at 96, 97 percent. And by the way, those kids come to school more often than their peers.

And so, where we need your help in this, is you all see things and hear things and know things from your communities that we don't see on data screens. You know the real stories and you lift those stories and so many of you have advocated and said, I need this program in my district. I need that program in my district.

When that comes our way, it's incredibly helpful to us, so that we can work as your partners in prioritizing programs where kids and families need them the most and when we do that and put programs in local communities where they are needed, we get kids off school buses. We get them into schools with their peers and inclusive environments and we allow them at times even to go to school. We enable them

2.2

2.3

to go to school with their siblings. So, that is our goal. Our goal is to move towards a place where families want to be able to send their child to the program and many of the Carter cases are families that have not attended New York City Public Schools and that's because we didn't inspire; we haven't yet inspired confidence in those families to attend the schools. But we understand and share the goal of wanting to build equitable programs that serve children in their neighborhoods, and we do need the collective support to do that.

With that said, if we are not able to provide something Chair and all, we must be able to provide an option because families need options now. So, that's inarguable. But our intent is to continue to build programs that serve the needs of our kids.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: These are problems I was seeing on the ground. I was in the classrooms. I was in the streets. I was in the field for 22 years. So, I, after 22 years, I should not see these same problems repeating itself and then some of these problems have widened. It's not that they've gotten better. So, yeah, we all have to play our part, but we've given you your part and somewhere along the

2.2

2.3

line, those again, we failed our New York City

students with disabilities. So, now moving forward

we need to fix it. You need to sell your programs as

ways to keep your families.

And another big issue we have is how do kids get to your programs? I met with 142 parents last night. Parents who talked about their kids being in a system since kindergarten that has not had a reliable mode of transportation to and from their programming. So, that's going to be another area where we need to fix it. It has to be asap. We can no longer wait. D75 children deserve a basic quality education, just like any other student in New York City.

My next question will be focusing on counseling. There's a lack of sufficient service providers providing counseling in the DOE. Many students have to look for counseling services providers outside of this school. Does the DOE provide professional supervision for those providing counseling for students?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you for the question

Chair. In terms of providing professional supervision, our counselors are receiving training and supervision just like any of our other pedagogues

25

and educators. We have an entire team that is

3 dedicated to climate and wellness who has as a

4 responsibility to make sure that our counseling

5 service providers have what they need. In addition,

6 for those counselors that serve our students with

7 disabilities, we have really specific programs in

8 place to support their needs. Christina, I don't

9 know if you want to share a little bit about that?

10 CHRISTINA FOTI: Uhm, generally speaking and as

11 DC Quintana said, the division of school climate and

12 | wellness, uh, really does own much of this work.

13 Where our partnership with them comes in is around

14 support services beyond what is provided generally at

15 the school level. Whether that be help on the

16 | intervention plans, teaching and providing

17 professional development on therapeutic crisis

18 | intervention, these are places that we partner to

19 make sure that our counselors have the tools that

20 they need, and our teachers have the tools that they

21 need to support children who are demonstrating

22 behavioral issues or mental health concerns.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: To continue on that, one of

24 the things I've always said, I felt that service

providers need to collaborate the counseling with the

2.2

2.3

2 instructional staff too. Can you talk about that for 3 me?

CHRISTINA FOTI: Yeah, you're absolutely right

Chair. Uhm, one of the directions that we are moving
in under Deputy Chancellor Quintana's leadership is
thinking about; I hate to use this term in this
setting because it's very edgy speak but a multitiered system of support. So, how do we impact our
children academically and behaviorally knowing that
one size doesn't fit all, right. And if the general
practice in a school is not working, then what are
the other practices that a teacher can pull in
academically and behaviorally to support students
progress?

On the academic side, that is why we're focusing so heavily on interventions because teachers need specialized tools that are evidence-based to help kids learn how to read when they're not learning to read through the general curriculum. The same is true for behavior. If a positive behavior support system in the school is not working, then what do teachers do next. Which is why we train them on things like how to write behavior intervention plans. How do de-escalate students through therapeutic

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2.2

2.3

crisis intervention and trying to really work						
together to build additional tools in teachers						
toolboxes, so that they know what to do next when the						
general approach isn't working for a child.						

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: And in that process, have you included parents and caregivers in that counseling process?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: In supporting the development of counselors or do you mean in?

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: In connecting parents and caregivers in the counseling. Usually, we leave them out. Parents of partners in the child's education, how do you include them in that along with caregivers?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: That's a really great question. I know that we're moving in the direction of making sure that we're engaging families to a much better extent. I really cannot speak with confidence about what the climate and wellness team is doing but I can absolutely get back to you on that. I know that we are including parents in a range of different opportunities to learn alongside members in schools. And we're also building up superintendents teams, so the division of school leadership is working to build

2.2

2.3

with that information.

up superintendents teams, so that they can provide supports and engage parents in some of those.

Whether it's activities or services that are offered directly to the school. But I would prefer to check back with that team and then be able to provide you

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I look forward to that.

Uhm, so what's the process for determining the effectiveness of counseling? How do you rate yourself? If you were rating, how do you determine effectiveness?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Again, that would fall under the division of school wellness and climate. So, we'll go ahead and take all of those questions back. We have folks here who are taking notes on anything that gets asked and not answered, so I assure you that we'll get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. Over the summer, the daily news ran an expose showing students with emotional disabilities, not being appropriately served in District 75 schools. What specific evidence-based behavior support and therapeutic supports are available to students in District 75?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINA FOTI: Thank you Chair. Superintendent Lewis and his team are really making this an area focus for the district this year. They are working in partnership with several external groups including advocates to determine supports for our students in high schools with the classification of emotional disability.

And that is currently in progress, and we can definitely report more out on that over the course of the year. As a standard, District 75, given the behavioral needs of students has a team of folks that are devoted to coaching, training, and supporting teachers on how to provide appropriate interventions and support for students with behavioral needs.

That team is out in schools working alongside of teachers and providing ongoing support as well as professional development.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I look forward to briefing with that. Is there any current model program that's working that shows promises towards students behaviors and challenges? Can you share that with us please?

CHRISTINA FOTI: Yeah, so a very - a major promising practice, which is actually outside of

2.2

2.3

District 75, is the PATH program that we piloted last year, and we piloted that in District 9, and we opened seven of those classes this school year. The PATH program is intended to put kids who are demonstrating behavioral concerns as early as kindergarten in environments, inclusive environments within their district of residents. And what we did is we funded that class to have a reduced size ICT, so there were fewer kids in the classroom. We added a social worker and an occupational therapist to the model and trained the entire school in therapeutic approaches as well as high quality curriculum instruction.

And that program, you if that program hadn't existed, students would have been on a path to

District 75. And knowing that District 75 is a more

- a less inclusive setting, we wanted to see what we could do to put kids on a path to more inclusive opportunity starting in kindergarten.

All the students have successfully remained in the program are now first graders and we're really excited to be growing that program with the right supports and I think to the point that you're making Chair, there is a coordinated set of supports that

2.2

2.3

are required to serve students well and we think this model reflects that coordination and it's one that we are really excited to continue to grow.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: I think it's important too during a time when we are seeing an influx of students who have experienced trauma. That there's a great focus on trauma responsive education and training that modules have been modified to ensure that they can be adapted for more inclusive environments and that they ensure that they are representing students with disabilities. And Christina's team also is responsible for launching the school response clinician program. That is a unit of social workers that provides mental health supports to students in school communities who have experienced trauma or in times of crisis.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: What is the ratio of those clinicians to providers to students?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: I'm not sure if I have those numbers. Let me look for that and I'll get back to you in must a second.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Hmm, hmm. Uhm, to piggyback off of the PATH program, you said uhm, I noticed that it served K to 1. Is there any specialized programs

-

)

2.2

2.3

for middle school and high school students that are also experiencing behavioral challenges in schools?

CHRISTINA FOTI: So, the PATH program is just that it's in stages of you know, we're just at the beginning and so, the PATH program is open for kindergarteners and first graders, but behavior comes in many forms and that includes our students on the spectrum. And our program serving students on the spectrum are absolutely PreK to 12 and we've built hundreds of those programs, of those classes since 2013.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I look forward to briefing when you decide to expand it. I would love to have a briefing, so I could see the numbers in the program.

Uhm, preschool, preschool, one of my little pet peeves because we don't have enough seats for our special needs, special education class shortage.

Last year, the DOE short uhm, projected shortage of 900 legally mandated preschool special education classes, especially with a shortage in the Bronx. As a result of hundreds of students with autism and other complex disabilities, were sitting at home with no instruction or services they need.

As of the last day of 2021-2022 school year, how many children were still waiting for a seat in the legally mandated preschool special education class?

JOHN HAMMER: Chair Joseph, thank you for lifting

this issue and for your passion around our preschoolers with IEP's and ensuring that they receive access to the supports and services they need. That's a priority that we share here at the Department of Education and working very hard to ensure that every students receives their mandated programs and services. We are working in many ways in order to strengthen service provision at first, our three- and four-year old's with disabilities. First, starting with the evaluation and IEP process and providing some additional supports to staff, they are doing that work.

In Fiscal Year 2019, we added ten addition preschool evaluation teams to support in a timely evaluation of preschool as suspected of having a disability. Last school year, we also expanded the number of CPSE Administrators and community coordinators that are responsible for working with families to develop IEP's timely and then work with

2.2

2.3

families to facilitate placement and arrangement of services.

We're also expanding our itinerate related service team this school year. These are additional as Christina, as Chief Foti testified earlier, this is additional speech, occupational physical therapists. They are going to be able to be deployed through our NYSEEK settings and provide services to students in those inclusive settings. That team is expanding to 97 this year. It's something that we're really proud of.

Again, you know to your point earlier, we acknowledge the need to continue to build out additional seats. Uh, we have — the DOE has added over 1,000 special class seats over uh, three years ago and we are continuing to expand the number of inclusive seats over the next couple of years. Last school year, we added 330 integrated seats. We added 435 integrated seats this school year. We are intending to add additional integrated seats next school year as well.

Now, all that's to say there is work that continues to need to be done and we did have students, preschoolers with IEPS awaiting seat at the

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 62
2	end of the school year last year. That number was
3	around 800 students and so, we do absolutely have
4	work that we continue to need to do on this front.
5	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So, you have 800 students
6	sitting at home waiting for a seat? Is that what I'r
7	- that's what you're saying, right? For the record,
8	I want to make sure 800 students.
9	JOHN HAMMER: At the end of last school year,
10	that's where we were.
11	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So, today, will you commit
12	to ending the shortage of preschool special education
13	classes and ensure there's a seat for every child
14	whose IEP mandates a special education class for this
15	school year?
16	JOHN HAMMER: You know the division of early
17	childhood - oh.
18	SIMONE HAWKINS: Thanks John. We are absolutely
19	committed to providing seats for all children who
20	require one up.
21	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Could you please identify
22	yourself for the record?
23	SIMONE HAWKINS: Oh, my apologies, I'm Simone

Hawkins. I am the Chief Executive of EC Operations.

Thank you for having me today.

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Hmm, hmm.

3 SIMONE HAWKINS: We are absolutely committed to 4 providing seats for every child that requires one, 5 including children with special needs. We are working as my colleague shared, to expand capacity, 6 7 not only along district school settings, including PreK centers but also in our CBO's to provide 4410 8 enhancement services through the prior solicitation that we - the prior administration released, and we 10 11 actualized over the last few months. We are 12 currently looking and assessing the landscape to see where opportunities exist space-wise to make those 13 14 type of expansions. As you can imagine, space is

to actualize the expansion of seats.

We also need funding. So, we across the agency, across divisions are having those conversations now to identify those mechanisms to support the not just the immediate but also long term.

limited and that is a necessary requirement in order

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So, today, let me look at my calendar is September 21<sup>st</sup>. You mean to tell me there's 800 students sitting at home and we're still looking for space to place them. Is that correct?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

SIMONE HAWKINS: So, we're looking for space to support their mandated services, but children can also ask us in the interim, special education services. Uhm, and a general education seat in the 3k or PreK program but we again, we share the same urgency around this, and we are doing the work to identify the space and funding because this is a priority for this administration.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: You talked about funding. The city allocated \$88 million in Federal American Rescue Plan for FY23 for a number of preschool special initiatives, special education initiative, including contract enhancement for CBO's running preschool special education classes to bring them into 3K, PreK for All programs to help the program from closing and help them open additional preschools, special Education to address the shortage.

The DOE anticipated opening 800 schools through this initiative and here we are, we talked about funding. We allocated over \$88 million in federal funding, and I know this Council also pay parity 4410, made sure that special education educators were getting paid the right amount of money. So, here we

2.2

2.3

are again, September 21<sup>st</sup>, 800 seats. You're talking about funding, \$88 million. Talk to me.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you for that question

Chair. We want to emphasize that we are in absolute agreement, that for every child who needs a seat, that we make sure that that seat is available and part of what I know that the division of early childhood has been working on, is making sure that those seats are available where they are needed and the type of seat that is needed.

And so, we want to make sure that we're using the data to make informed decisions in that way. The number of 800-ish is actually a number from the end of last school year and so, we would need to wait until we have enrollment information to have a better sense of what that data is now, and we appreciate the \$88 million. I think that that's a really big part of being able to do the work that we've done to date and with that, we are actually awaiting nine additional contracts that are moving forward in the October PEP. So, that will give us opportunity to have additional centers and seek to open and the early childhood education staff are actively engaging the remaining applicants who have not yet agreed to

2.2

2.3

finalize their budget, then move them to the contracting process. And so, we hope to have a better sense of where we stand by January.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So, we talked about funding and location and from what I understood there's about 100 sites that applied for contract enhancement with the city to go in effect this July but yet the DOE has not signed any of the contracts. Talk to me.

appreciate that question, thank you. So, the total number to date is about 131 who agree to participate in the enhancement. As you stated, about eight are I think on the PEP agenda for tonight to move forward to begin services and knowingly some are currently providing services at risk while they await their contract execution. They're an additional nine on October PEP and we are working with the remaining ones just as the Deputy Chancellor shared, to ensure that they can start programming no later than January, and that will support about 568 seats.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: And I'll still have 300 something students not sitting in a seat. Alright, we got a lot of stuff to send over. Uhm, this year in this budget and I talked about that earlier,

2	l	
	l	
	ı	ı

teachers of preschool special education classes, a

3

1

CBO get the same minimum salaries as teachers in

4

PreK, general ed, classes as CBO. However, we

5

understand that you guys have not yet provided

6

increased salaries to any preschool special education

classes due to delays with the contract enhancements,

8

right. So, when will the new salary go into effect

for those teachers?

10

SIMONE HAWKINS: Once we can finalize the

11

contract, the new salaries will go into effect.

12

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. Let's talk about

13

something very spicy that I want to talk about.

14

program to eliminate the gap tags. Let's talk.

15

Earlier this month, the Mayor proposed a three

16

17

percent target reduction in city spending in all

agencies for Fiscal 2023 and 4.75 in Fiscal 2024 in

18

the outyears. How would a three percent reduction to

19

DOE's budget cut impact students with disabilities?

20

Can you provide any assurance that special education

21

services will be exempt? Loud for the record please.

2.2

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you for the question

2.3

Chair and I do want to acknowledge that we know that

24

there is more work to be done and we're keeping focus

25

on what the vision is and where we need to move

forward. For special education, the allocation for
fair student funding ensures that any child who needs
services is entitled to receive those services right.
And so, that means that any school that requires a
teacher to run a class, whether it's an inclusion or
a special class or any other setting, is mandated to
have that teacher. And so, for any reason a school
does not have that teacher, please let us know if
there's anyone in your district right now who does
not have that mandated teacher in place. We can
absolutely put them through the appeals process,
which is a process that has existed for quite some
time. That allows us to make sure that when a
child's need may shift and a new class needs to be
created or there is a shortage for any other reason,
that we can absolutely make that happen.

So, there's that piece. The other thing is that fair student funding, which is not the form of funding that is used for related services or for any of our specialized programs, like those that we mentioned in terms of the autism spectrum disorder programs that we have in place. And so, those would not be subject to —

2.2

2.3

Z <del>4</del>

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: You have to speak a little louder, so I can have this on the record. Please move the mic a little closer. Thank you.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Apologies, do you need me to restate that?

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Yes please.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: So, the other piece of that is that is that the monies that are used to fund related services and those other programs that serve the needs of for example our students with autism, autism disorder, are those that are not fair student funding. So, those would not be subject to the same cuts.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Uhm, how many budgeted head count position are still vacant with DOE offices outside of our schools?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Would you repeat the question please?

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: How many budgeted headcounts position are still vacant within DOE offices outside our schools?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: How many vacancies outside of our DOE schools? I don't have a -

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	CHAIRPERS	ON	JOSEPH	:	That	are	related	to	special
<b>٦</b>	education. V	/ac	ancies	re ·	lated	_			

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Related to special education.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Hmm, hmm.

2.2

2.3

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: John, do you have that?

7 We'll have to get back to you with that information.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Please do.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Will the DOE exempt special education vacancy positions, central positions related to the service provided to runnable populations from cuts to ENLs, foster care, students in temporary housing?

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: So, I want to make sure I understand your question. Would you repeat it again please?

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: will the DOE exempt for special education vacant positions or other central positions related to the service provider to vulnerable populations? My ENL students, the students in foster care, the students in temporary housing.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Thank you. So, I think that that goes back to that same piece right. We want to

\_

make that wherever services are mandated, we have those service providers in place. And so, if something is required by a students IEP, then that personnel needs to be in place. If we're talking about other types of services or other staffing then that might be under different other steps that are taken. I don't know if you want to add to that.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I didn't hear that last part, repeat that please.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: I just asked Christina if she wanted to add to it.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Just echoing the sentiment and our first and foremost, it's going to be service to kids. We definitely don't have a crystal ball in terms of what this is going to look like on a central level but what we are very clear on is that our services to students are the number one priority and so far, we are able to run all of the initiatives that we've planned for and all of our — we are able as Deputy Chancellor Quintana said, our special education services are mandated by the IEP and when schools need additional funding to create vacancies or create lines or create classes, there is a process

2.2

2.3

in place to do that and is one that we want our

3 schools to continue to utilize.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. I'd like to recognize the following colleagues: Council Member Feliz, Council Member De La Rosa, Council Member Gutièrrez, Council Member Menin, Stevens. Thank you. I'll pass it over to Council Member Bottcher, he has questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Just a couple short questions about Intro. 610. How do students currently get information about suicide prevention. How are they currently being provided with these resources in the New York City public school system.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: I appreciate the question.

Again, we are here hopefully to focus on special education and the services that we are responsible for. In terms of anything that is about mental health services, that is the division of school climate and wellness, and I can absolutely get you that information. We do want you to know however, that we completely stand behind this bill and in are looking forward to working with the Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

CAROLYNE QUINTANA: Hmm, hmm.

Thank you very

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

Member.

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I also want to recognize Council Member Krishnan. I will hand it over to my colleague Gale Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

much. I love Mickey Mantle, as Christina knows, and you have a great General Counsel, but you have a lot of problems. And congratulations, Madam Chair, on all your good questions. So, before I talk about buses, because that's what I'm going to talk about, what's with the Morton School? Morton School was supposed to be Special Ed NYU, que pasa? supposed to be for Special Ed. You don't know anything about the Morton School? NYU, big campus, said we're going to build a school. We said it should be for Special Ed, Board Two, Board One, Borough President, Council Member, etcetera. There's a whole school there waiting for you to move in, all for Special Ed. Nobody knows about it. Just so you know, it exists. You need to move in. So, maybe you could follow up.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Thank you, Council

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Number two, on the buses. So, when I talked to Don McCormick

2	[sp?], whom I love, he said everything is fine except
3	for the bus, and that's true I think across the
4	board. Not that everything is fine, but in a scale
5	of one to 10, the buses are on the bottom. So how
6	many students with disabilities have bus service in
7	place? How many students with disabilities are
8	waiting for mandated bus service to begin? And just
9	to say, the great staff found that 300, 400 3,449
10	between the eighth and yesterday, that's September
11	8 <sup>th</sup> , that many were delayed, which is almost 90
12	percent of the buses. So, bus is a problem. And so
13	can you just tell me how many have bus service, and
14	then you could just imagine all the other questions.
15	How are we going to improve it? And of course, it
16	impacts education. Let's just start with do you have
17	the numbers of who has bus service and how many are
18	on the waiting list?
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Thank you
20	for the question, Council Member.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: My name is

Glenn Risbrook. I'm the Senior Executive Director of
the Office of Pupil Transportation. Currently--

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 75
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] Poor
3	you.
4	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Can you speak up a
5	little louder?
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Oh,
7	couldn't hear me? I'm sorry. My name is Glenn
8	Risbrook. I'm the Senior Executive Director of the
9	Office of Pupil Transportation.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You have a hard
11	job.
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: A very hard
13	job.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Go ahead.
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Currently,
16	we have over 50,000 students that are with IEPs
17	that are assigned bus routes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: I just
20	wanted to take a moment about some of the
21	improvements we have, but I want to acknowledge just
22	for a moment the Chair. This is I just want to say
23	thank you again for having me to testify in front of
24	you. We test I testified in front of you in foster

care support. I just wanted to say that your

testimony was impactful to me, and it undergirds what
I do every day. I just want to say thank you. What
I'm hearing from our families is very concerning, and
I take it very seriously. Mainly those service
issues are a direct result of staffing shortages at
the bus companies. Although the shortages this year
are not as extreme, but they are significant. The
DOE transports 150,000 students every day over 9,000
bus routes. Ninety-seven percent of those bus routes
have dedicated drivers, three percent do not. That
is unacceptable. That's a significant number of
children. We currently have over 400 drivers,
however, in the pipeline in different levels of on-
boarding. As these drivers are on-boarded, the
impact of the driver shortages will have will
dissipate. Another thing is that we've made some
strides, and it's been overshadowed pretty much by
the problems we having with the bus companies, but we
made some strides and some improvements. We in
2019, the call center received on day one complaints,
23,294 complaints on day one. This year, we've
received 3,350, a great improvement, unacceptable.
One complaint, oen child without a bus is

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	unacceptable.	After the	first	seven	days	of	the
3	beginning of t	he school	vear				

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]

Those are the numbers I have. Yep, go ahead.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Alright.

We had— the first week of school in 2019 we received 79,678 complaints. This year, the first seven days of school and shortly after that we received 17,748, 78 percent decrease in complaints, unacceptable.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Unacceptable.

complaint for any school day is unacceptable. But this is what we're doing. We're modernizing our legacy applications so we can better communicate, data process, and the routing is becoming more efficient. We will be rolling out in short term within a few days, Via [sic] for Schools, that would allow our families to be able to track in real time the bus routes that their students are on and also be able to track the turn by turn that's happening with the bus companies as long-- as well as other--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] Do they have that GPS? Because that's the City Council law.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 78
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Yes. They
3	do.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Every single
5	parent has the GPS?
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Yes. Or
7	every with their Nix [sic] account every parent
8	will be able to see the bus from the beginning
9	through the route, how long
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] Was
11	that true now or in the future?
12	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Well, that
13	will be in the future when we roll it out, actually
14	within the next week or so. Every bus
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] In
16	the next week or so? You're going to roll
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK:
18	[interposing] I'm sorry?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You're going to
20	roll it out in the next week? You're just
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK:
22	[interposing] No, within the next few days it should-
23	- it'll be rolled out on a pilot program in District
24	26, and as we we work through that to make sure all
25	the kinks are out. We're going to be expanding that

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so how are

2.3

24

25

notice that.

we going to find drivers?

2.2

2.3

2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Well, you
3	know, at the end of this I was going to ask for the
4	Council's support with that as well, recommendations,
5	ideas. Our bus companies are fighting an uphill
6	battle. Some of our bus companies have come to us
7	and said that the MTA is actually going to yellow bus
8	yards in their area recruiting drivers. The MTA is
9	also short drivers as well.

 $\label{eq:council_member_brewer:} \mbox{Everybody's} \\ \mbox{short.}$ 

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Absolutely. And they have the same credentials as the MTA bus drivers, so it's fertile ground for them. And they also compete against Amazon and some of the other companies. So what we have done is partner with the bus companies, give them strategies to on-board drivers, give them the strategies for retention, and having drivers who once were yellow bus drivers and retired to come back into the system. But we are open to other suggestions and I look forward to working with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, how-- I know my time is up, but how do you hold the vendors accountable? I don't know how many bus companies do

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

even worse.

you have, that's one question, and how do you hold them accountable? I understand they don't have drivers. We've got to find drivers. But how do you hold them accountable? Because every time a young person is not in school for that morning, their education is compromised. And after two years, it's

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Particularly I've had a kid who has special needs. I am very familiar with this problem.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: How do you deal-how are you holding them accountable, the vendors?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RISBROOK: First answer to your question, there are over 52 bus companies and their affiliates. We assess what we call liquidated damages for everyone else, it's monetary fines. Every time a bus route does not perform as required we issue a monetary fine to the bus companies. I have been at the helm of the Office of Pupil Transportation for about 11 months. I am

Joseph, and I'd like to thank you again for starting

2	this hearing by acknowledging the harm that the
3	Chancellor's comments had on our communities and, you
4	know, I'd be remiss to not push back on the
5	Chancellor's comments as well, which blamed the DOE's
6	current budget shortfall on costs associated with
7	providing services that are legally mandated via
8	IEPs, and I appreciate the discourse we had early on
9	about what he might have meant, but it caused a lot
10	of harm, and I want to just like reiterate that, that
11	that was what we were hearing in my community. And
12	with that, I want to pivot to some questions to dig a
13	little deeper on parents who filed complaints related
14	to IEPs. The DOE agreed to an MOA with OATH that was
15	intended to address the backlog of cases in the
16	impartial hearing system. The MOA required the
17	hiring of 40 to 50 impartial hearing officers. Could
18	you share how many officers have been hired to-date?
19	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: Thank you,
20	Council Member, and thank you for the question. So,
21	I apologize. It is of course OATH that has to hire
22	the ALJ, so I don't want to I want to be clear that
23	this is what I've heard, but I'm not guaranteeing it.
24	My understanding is OATH has already either they've

got them working or they're onboarding upwards of 40-

2.2

2.3

- thank you upwards of 40 Administrative Law
Judges, IHO's, and they're continuing to recruit
additional attorneys, additional individuals to come
on board, because they're estimating their need
actually as being greater than what's stated in MOA.
With respect to I'm sorry, you asked I still have
a little COVID brain fog. You had an initial point
vou were making.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: No, that was the-that was the first part of the question.

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: That was-okay.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: But thank you.

That's good to know and that there's an active effort to recruit more. Would the best point of contact for us be to reach out to OATH to learn more?

OATH Commissioner and Chief ALJ and the head of the Special Education Unit. This is actually the first type of case in OATH. They handle-- they're the largest tribunal by number of cases in the country, and Special Education is the first area of case where they're dedicating an entire unit. And these are the only cases that that unit will hear. So, Commissioner

2.2

2.3

2 Rehman and Chief, his Deputy Noel Garcia have been 3 phenomenal partners. You also asked about the

4 backlog.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Yes.

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: I apologize.

And I did just want to report, last November we had a backlog of 9,000 cases that had not even been assigned to a judge, to an impartial hearing officer. The law requires we make those assignments state law within two days, and these cases were sitting and sitting. When we announced the OATH MOA and that OATH would soon be opening its doors, the independent contractor IHOs snapped up all those cases, and our bag log is now virtually nonexistent. Lots of cases are field the beginning of the year, so it flows day to day. So that's a tremendous development. We think those independent contractors saw some income likely going away from them, and now our next goal is we've got to get the cases done quickly.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Great. Thank you so much. We understand that the DOE will translate

Special Education documents for parents whose home

language is not English, but only if the parents make a formal request. Is there a reason the DOE does not

2 translate Special Education documents as a matter of
3 course?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTINA FOTI: We certainly agree on the importance of making our documents as successful as possible for our families. And to your point, DOE translated almost 9,000 IEPs last year, which is a significant increase from the year prior. We are—the DOE is building its capacity to do more translations so that they are universally available, but we are not there yet. In the interim we have designed SISUS [sic], the Student Information System that we use for IEPs, to produce significant documents for families in their home language as indicated on the home language survey. And then the last, we have a way to go to get there to full translation.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I'll follow up with more about translation.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: But Chair, I have one more question if I have permission. M y time is running out. Could I ask one more question with your permission?

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Yes

Τ	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 87
2	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay, just wanted
3	to touch on suspensions. About 19 percent of
4	students with IEPs, have IEPs. What percentage of
5	suspensions are given to students with IEPs?
6	CHRISTINA FOTI: We'll pull up the exact
7	number, but I think your point is moving towards
8	calling out disproportionate trends and suspensions.
9	And that is a point that we're very certainly aware
10	of, and are working to mitigate.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Do you have any
12	data on-hand right now?
13	CHRISTINA FOTI: Yes, if you give me one
14	second I'll I just need a few minutes to pull it
15	up. But after the Q&A, can I come back to you with
16	the data?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Absolutely. And
18	then also the percentage of NYPD-involved incidents
19	involved a student with an IEP? That would be great
20	too.
21	CHRISTINA FOTI: Sure, if we don't have
22	that today, I will get back to you, but I certainly
23	will come back on the disproportionality now.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you so much. Thank you, Chair.

24

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, Council Member Hanif. Council Member Avilés?

3

20

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I'm going to just

5 list out my questions given the limited time for the

6 record, and would love any-- and all of you could

7 answer. So in terms of the number of vacancies for

8 related service providers in Special Education

9 Support Staff, can DOE provide-- I know you're going

10 | to report back not only the number of vacancies, but

11 to see an itemized breakdown of the various positions

12 within, you know, obviously that very broad category.

13 Also just to note, schools in District 15 of which is

14 | majority of my district, are still owed five million

15 | dollars and para [sic] reconciliation. We want to

16 know when can we expect that resources, desperately

17 | needed might I add, to our schools. I'd also like to

18 | know how your office is supporting the influx of the

19 | ENL [sic] students recently immigrating into the

City, our asylum-seeking families, and determine how

21 DOE is determining whether they need additional

22 | Special Education supports, how's DOE intending to

23 address the lack of bilingual psychologists and

 $24 \parallel$  speech pathologists, and generally contributing to

the pre-existing backlog waiting for-- for families

2	waiting for evaluations and assessments. So,
3	essentially, how are you addressing this current
4	need? And then in terms of the Special Enhancement,
5	the 4410, I am mystified by why it has taken so long
6	You know, obviously this process we have 131
7	contracts and only still the school year has started
8	eight to nine contracts with hundreds of students
9	sitting at home. I can tell you that there are
10	providers sitting with empty classrooms who have been
11	waiting to get information from DOE. So, I'd love to
12	know exactly how you roll this out, because my
13	understanding is providers have still yet to even be
14	contacted around this. So I'd love to understand
15	what the plan was, and really what was driving the
16	delay. And lastly, in terms of the expansion of
17	specialized services like ASDNES [sic], obviously
18	this is a huge need, and in my district we have
19	fierce parent advocacy for a lack of services
20	particularly in Sunset Park in Red Hook. We have
21	seen a small growth. We are appreciative of that,
22	but it is nowhere meeting the needs. And in
23	particular, I have to highlight, our children are
24	still getting bused out to other neighborhoods in
25	South Brooklyn and spending hours to go to Oueens

There is not enough, particularly at the Middle
School level is where we see in Sunset Park, a real
lack of services and kids having to travel crazy
routes. And I have 8,000 other questions, but I
think Oh, I have two minutes, so I'm going to I'm
going to indulge and just mention one last thing. In
terms of excuse me. So, this is also partially
commentary, but a real question as well. What plans
are in place for the DOE to address this deficit
mindset enablist [sic] culture that seems to be very
apparent and vivid in the system which has been
particularly exacerbated by the comments of our
Chancellor who are blaming families, which we have
seen already being regurgitated by other parents who
want to use structures that push out kids rather than
bring them and in meet their needs? So, in terms of
this culture, we'd like to know what DOE is going to
do if our children with disabilities are seen as
broken or unteachable or unworthy before they even
enter the school building? What change, what chance
do we have at embracing the values that they bring
within themselves if this system continuously from
its leadership down tells them that they are not

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

worthy and that their families are gaming a system?
Thank you, Chair.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you for the questions, Council Member Avilés, and whatever we are not able to answer here or in this moment, we will absolutely get back to you, but I appreciate the wealth of questions and would love to talk to you more about District 15 and some of the plans and ideas we have for meeting the needs of schools and our students there. I want to start with the deficit mindset, because that's something that really matters to our team and something that really matters to this Administration. We are-- we're looking to reimagine how we approach school and schooling, and it starts with making sure that our students have access to what they need when they need it. In fact, for teaching and learning, our goal is to make certain that our classrooms, tier one, has every resource, every training, and the right kind of environment. So we're building-- I think you heard me say earlier-- an ecosystem when students can thrive, and that means making sure that the facilities have what they need, that the students have the mental health supports that they need, and I

was pleased to hear the question so, Council Member
Hanif that you had asked a little bit about the
rates of suspensions, but in part because it lets us
think about the continuum that we have for mental
health and really thinking about our restorative
practices and pieces like that, right? In that high-
quality tier one instruction, though, that I was
mentioning, our big piece there is grounded in the
science of learning and development which says that
students' brains are malleable. They are capable of
producing and doing and accomplishing, so long as the
adults have put in place the right practices, the
right mindset and the right resources, and so that's
what we're focusing on. You know we often hear that
people refer to Special Education being broken, and
we have a problem with that in part because it often
points at students as much as it does to the system,
and our kids aren't broken. But we need to think
about what we're doing very differently, and right
now that means that we need to make sure our teachers
are well-equipped, our leaders are well-equipped, and
part of that absolutely will include some of that IB
training. Part of that is we have put together a
framework for socioemotional and academic development

2.2

2.3

that we're going to pilot in some of our schools.

Part of that is using coaches to help teachers really be able to see the strength that a child brings and what you can actually build from instead of the gaps that are missing. Part of that is that we're grounding all of our teacher training in being culturally and community responsive. In fact, the bulk of what we're doing for our mosaic work is just that; it's the training for CRSE across the board for our teachers. And so I absolutely want to say that I'm pleased that you brought up that question. It's important for us, and I agree, and that the direction that we want to go.

the contention of this-- the system is broken,
because it implicates, but I will say that the system
is contradictory. It is perpetuating tools of
discrimination. It perpetuates this mythology of
hard work and whose hard work and who should be
rewarded. It's perpetuating, honestly in my opinion,
it is going backwards from all the progress that we
have made, and certainly trying to get rid of the
segregation that is happening and segregation across
multiple levels, right, income, racial, learning

2.2

2.3

ability, all this stuff, and yet-- so this system is contradictory at best, and that's being generous.

But thank you for your comment, and I think we have to continuously reflect a system that is perpetuating tools and practices that exactly undermine the rhetoric that's being put out. We need to take a really hard look, because that is what our families

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Absolutely.

Thank you for that, and look forward to partnering with you. I know you had a number of other questions, so we-- go ahead, any one that you want to respond to.

are experiencing and seeing.

CHRISTINA FOTI: I think on the data pieces, we will definitely get back to you. and I just— I want to just respond to that last— the last piece, because I think what you said is really profound in many ways, and it's very much what I was trying to get at before in the Carter [sic] conversation equity and the systems that do need to be relooked at. And I do want to affirm and state for the record that those are all things that we are looking at. I can assure you that the Chancellor's comments were not meant in the way that they were

presented, and he has apologized in many forms about
that and stated that very clearly. As someone who's
been in the Department for quite a while now and in
this role for eight years, or role similar to this
for the past eight years, I can say that I'm more
hopeful than I've ever been about us really getting
to the root of where of those inequities. And in
listening to Council Members such as yourself talk
about that and name that, I want you to know that
that also inspires us and gives us hope for what we
could collectively, so I wanted to thank you, Council
Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I appreciate that hope. It's certainly something we desperately need at this current time, but I will say on behalf of our communities, we need a lot more than hope. We need action and we need a continuation of this—continuation of discriminatory practices now being elevated across the City.

CHRISTINA FOTI: We look forward to talking to you about some action-oriented plans.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Dinowitz?

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ:

Thank you,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Chair, and also thank you for having this hearing. It's obviously a topic very close to my heart. want to start out by, you know, by acknowledging you and thanking you for recognizing that high schoolers may struggle to read. It's something that I brought up at hearings in the past. In your testimony you said K through nine students would be assessed three times a year. I think it's really important to recognize that there are high schoolers that need that support. I would warn though, and we've had this conversation before with the DOE, which is that if students don't receive credit for taking a phonics class in high school, like a Wilson Reading, or the school -- the principal is not necessarily going to want to provide that class -- that student especially if that school is being assessed on the number of credit, and I-- a student with an IEP receives in a semester or a year, right? If you're still judging schools the same way, they're not going to want to give the kid the class they need. Of course, it's the same for providing students with a teacher to teach that class. If you're giving the same resources to that school and the teacher has five periods to

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 97
2	teach, a principal may have to decide they can't
3	afford to spend one of the teacher's periods on five
4	or six kids who are going to get that reading
5	intervention. So I'll just highlight for you that
6	unless there's actual resources and time and you are
7	assessing schools differently, it is going to be a
8	purposeless another assessment. It's just going to
9	take time away from learning. One question I have is
10	how many hours per week would you say a Special
11	Education teacher spends on paperwork? Okay, I
12	okay.
13	DEPUTY CHIEF HAMMER: We would say that
14	Special Education teachers spend the vast majority of
15	their week in front of students.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: I would say
17	that I think you should prob when was the last time
18	you surveyed teachers and asked them how much time
19	they actually spend on paper work?

CHRISTINA FOTI: I think you're trying to make a point, Council Member. I think that point is, and certainly correct me, is how difficult the job of being a Special Education teacher.

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: I'll clarify for you because I-- just you know, time. I'm

on paper work, not just because it makes the job hard, but because for every minute that they're spending writing a document for you, or for their principal and justifying the existence of their job and proving to you that they're helping the kids.  That's time taken away from the students. That's time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote— I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking— you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	concerned with the amount of time that teachers spend
spending writing a document for you, or for their principal and justifying the existence of their job and proving to you that they're helping the kids.  That's time taken away from the students. That's time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote—— I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking—— you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—— that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	on paper work, not just because it makes the job
principal and justifying the existence of their job and proving to you that they're helping the kids.  That's time taken away from the students. That's time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote— I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking— you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	hard, but because for every minute that they're
and proving to you that they're helping the kids.  That's time taken away from the students. That's time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	spending writing a document for you, or for their
That's time taken away from the students. That's time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote—— I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking—— you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—— that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	principal and justifying the existence of their job
time taken away from the kids who actually need help. You know, to quote—— I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking—— you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—— that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	and proving to you that they're helping the kids.
You know, to quote I forgot who said it, maybe it was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	That's time taken away from the students. That's
was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	time taken away from the kids who actually need help.
students what they need up front instead of, you know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	You know, to quote I forgot who said it, maybe it
know, more and more accountability which I put in big quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	was Deputy Chancellor. I'd rather provide the
quotation marks, because you know, from talking you know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	students what they need up front instead of, you
know, from my experience in talking to dozens and dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they—that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	know, more and more accountability which I put in big
dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	quotation marks, because you know, from talking you
that they're filling out just for the most part takes time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	know, from my experience in talking to dozens and
time away from the children. So my next question is, does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	dozens of teachers, all the paperwork that they
does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by  Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	that they're filling out just for the most part takes
at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by  Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	time away from the children. So my next question is,
Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of	does the DOE have any initiative, or are you looking
	at ways to reduce the amount of paperwork done by
their time actually providing services for the	Special Ed teachers so that they can spend more of
cheff time actually providing services for the	their time actually providing services for the
students that they're supposed to help?	students that they're supposed to help?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We definitely would be happy to engage in that

2.2

2.3

conversation. It's not one that we've engaged in recently formally, but it is something that we think

4 about often in terms of the practices we promote.

I mean, we're seeing and hearing about it with suspensions, with graduation rates, with you know, credit accrual, things like that. It would just be a better use of a teacher's time. You know, recognizing that IEPs need to be done. They need to be done well, you know, and accountability exists, understanding all that, but also understanding that every second spent on that is a second spent out of the classroom not with the students. And so that's my first question. I have 40 seconds left. So, I will-- what incentives or directives does the DOE give to schools to move students from self-contained special class to an ICT environment, if any?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: The directive is to assess the students' capacity and whether or not that placement is what's most appropriate for that student, and then that's how a move is made, but otherwise it is based solely on what is best for that particular student given the

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

current data that they have collected on that
student.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: So, the DOE doesn't provide any sort of incentive for school to move students to a less restrictive environment?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Our goal is always to help a student transition through their respective continuum of what less restrictive might be for them. For every child it is not moving into ICT, and so the-- any directive that they would be given is to determine what that particular child needs and whether they are ready to move into what is less restrictive for them specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: Okay, I-- so like, for example, I may have read the Fair Student Funding Formula wrong, for example, but does a student who has 60 percent of more of their schedule in ICT receive-- have more money attached to them than a student in a self-contained class, a special class?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: The funding distribution is different for both, but we are not asking principals to make decisions based on budgets. We are asking principals to make programming

There's not an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

there. Thank you, Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: Alright, I

decisions based on student needs.

incentive to move the student.

will-- I'm going to ask for like a few data points, and then I know my time's up, but-- and then I will also tell you I get the feeling you should spend a little more time talking actually to teachers, because that's not the reality of what happens in a I myself have experienced where the DOE-this is a few years back-- came into the school and they told me that we are-- we're looking to move kids to ICT. And then I said what if a child needs a special class, and the response was we're looking to move child to ICT. I didn't-- I didn't go to law school, but when a child, a student of mine needed, you know, to move to District 75, I had to have binders full of their work to demonstrate that that student actually needed those services. So, I'll request through an email offline some of the data points that can help us understand if, you know, especially in high school if they're moving students to ICT classes inappropriately, and I'll end it

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, Council

3 Member. Council Member Stevens?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Hello, good afternoon everyone. So, I just have a couple questions, and I'm going to talk about summer, and I know we're coming off having one of the biggest summer camps that the City's had, and there was great effort to make sure we included students with disabilities, but there was some issues that arised [sic] that I have some questions and need some clarity around. Because a lot of the feedback that I got from providers were around the students with disabilities and the lack of support. So, I'm going to ask a couple questions and you guys could just answer afterwards. How are students matched to sites? Because on my world tour when I was visiting sites, I was-- I met one student who lived in Brooklyn, and he was bused to a camp site in the Bronx, but he also had-- he was programmed at another place. So he went to day-- he went to the day program in the day with a teacher at one school, then was bused to another school, and that was in the Bronx, and it just did not make sense. So I was really confused on how students were matched this

summer. Also, the other question is, providers were
told that there would be para's paired with a lot of
these students and they were not prepared to not have
para's to support them, and that was not the case
where students who had severe needs, and obviously or
the provider side, we don't get copies of their IEPs.
We don't know their disabilities, but some of them
had severe needs and was not able to handle some of
these things, but when they pushed back and said like
we were supposed to get para's and get supports. None
of those things were given. So I just would love to
get some clarity on how did that happen and why did
that happen, because I really feel like thank God we
didn't have any incidents, but there was it could
have been, right? We had some instances where they
were runners and all these things, that we just did
not have enough information on, and I know it was an
effort, but it just seemed like, okay, we have to
figure it out and just give them to them and no
support. So could you talk a little bit about why
did this happen?

DEPUTY CHIEF HAMMER: Thank you for asking that question. You know, this summer we made tremendous progress and welcoming students with IEPs

2.2

2.3

to Summer Rising program over the prior summer, and
have absolutely implemented processes to ensure that
students that require additional supports during CBO-
led time have access to those supports, and that
includes paraprofessional support. We are we are
aware of some instances where

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: [interposing] It was—— it was not some instances. It was more often than not that they did not get that support.

that—— I can say that in the instances that those were escalated to the Special Education Office and to our team, we immediately were out in programs and working with those program staff one, to provide that immediate support, and two, to get contracted paraprofessional support into those school buildings as quickly as possible. Again, I'm not here to say that everything is perfect, and I understand your—

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: [interposing] It just didn't happen. Like, I was in these schools.

So, if-- like everyone knows, this is why I intentionally went there, and I was making calls and doing those things and following up, and they just did not get the support all summer.

DEPUTY CHIEF HAMMER:

I think moving

2.2

2.3

program continues.

forward, you know, again, the programs that we became— that came to our attention, we immediately provided support. I can say that definitively. I think moving forward we can strengthen our communication and collaboration so that if this is to ever happen again, we can immediately become informed and get those— that support to those programs in the upcoming summer. You know, our commitment is to continue to provide equitable after school programmings or Summer Rising programming toward students with IEPs, and we're going to continue to strengthen that each and every summer that this

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, I mean, I still have a lot of concerns, and that kind of didn't answer my question, but the other question is how are students matched? Because I was—— like I said, I was real disheartened of hearing how students were at one site for the instruction part and then bused to another part for recreation and then they were like different boroughs and it seemed very thrown together and last minute, and I just think that it's a disservice to our young people. Like having a

2.2

2.3

student who already has special needs and having to transition three times with adults that they don't know is detrimental, and to my knowledge, DOE was in charge of enrollment. So how are students matched,

and how did we miss this?

apply to DYCD portion of the Summer Rising program, and I think we did-- you know, I think we did a pretty good job expanding access to CBO-led programs for students in District 75 schools this summer. But you know, to your point and to my comment earlier, we have some ways to go. I can't speak specifically to this example. It is possible, that is a student that was in stand-alone District 75 summer program whose parent requested or applied to that program in a different location. I--

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: [interposing] No, they did not.

DEPUTY CHIEF HAMMER: But I would have to look into the specific--

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: [interposing] And it was multiple. This is not just one students.

When I tell you this is why I was intentional about visiting sites across the City, because I knew you

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would say things like, oh, you don't -- I was there and I spoke to parents. They did not apply for multiple sites. They did not do that. This is what they were told they were going to have to do. you know, moving forward, obviously we're going to have to plan, because summer's over. We're going to planning for next summer, but we need to make sure and ensure that this does not happen again, because like I said, it's a disservice to our students and our families. And also, you know, it puts a strain on the providers who has no control and they're just given this, and you know, not given the support. And so I know you're saying that support was given, but that just didn't happen. And so either we're going to, you know, be partners in this work or, you know, not put them at -- put them at risk, because I think not only did you put the students at risk, you also put those providers at risk as well.

CHRISTINA FOTI: And I appreciate you speaking up on behalf of the CBOs. I know that, you know, we tried to make sure that we could balance the portion of the day that was with teachers and the portion of the day with CBOs. If you wouldn't mind giving us some of those locations so that we can look

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

into it more closely. We are now going through not just those rounds of reflections that we've already done, but planning for next year, and so it'd be really great to have an idea and be able to speak to some of the folks about what specifically didn't work well so that we can address it in the year to come.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Absolutely. We can definitely have offline conversation, but I think the other missed opportunity -- I know a survey was sent out to parents about the summer, but it was very misleading, because the questions were very-- they were-- they didn't leave a lot of room for parents to actually give examples. It was like yes or no questions and leading questions, and so it didn't really seem -- and even parents gave feedback that it did not feel like you wanted to get real responses. And so I think if you have surveys that make sense and have parents be a part of those conversations, you can get this information yourself. But the survey that was sent out, just -- they were like, this is leading questions. Like, it's yes or no. was no real room for them to really express some of the issues that they had. And so that--

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA:

## 

[interposing] [inaudible] feedback, yep. Thank you I appreciate it. And it also help us think about, you know, what it is that we need to ask and find out about. And with— as far as the para services, too, to— for us to consider are we thinking about students who have mandated para's or is it just CBO's needing that additional support, and what can we do differently when that's the case. For us to plan for that in advance instead of having to have those situations. So I appreciate you bringing it up.

Would love to talk a little bit more about where that happened, and see how we can plan for that next year so that we're not having the same conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Council Member

Stevens and I will be in the briefing for Summer

Rising. We were outside for Summer Rising. We

visited schools. We saw the problems, and we talked

to each other. So you'll be hearing from both of us.

Thank you. Council Member Lee?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Great, thank you. I should be pretty quick. Sorry, I was actually out on a call, so forgive me if I missed-- if this was

2	addressed already. One comment that I heard was
3	addressed was about the D75 school buses and how
4	there has been a real lack of coordination there, and
5	this is a complaint also just to note for my district
6	because I have 35 public schools, 14 private schools,
7	a lot of D75 schools in my district, and so if we
8	could work and Chair Joseph, I'd love to work with
9	you on this also as Chair of the Disabilities, Mental
10	Health and Addictions Committee. If there's
11	something that we can do to help support that, I
12	think that'd be great. So I just wanted to make that
13	comment about the buses, and hopefully we can resolve
14	that issue for the D75 students. But my other quick
15	question was just around the special education pre-k
16	centers and daycare providers, because I know that
17	the Council a couple years ago, you know, reached an
18	agreement to raise salaries at DOE contract CBOs so
19	this is going along with the CBO theme but it
20	didn't include the special education programs. So I
21	know that we put and the City Council put 46 million
22	in this year for enhancements, but I think this is
23	just a temporary band aid solution, and so I just
24	wanted to know if you all have been having
25	conversations at DOE about how to sustain that.

2.2

2.3

Because I know that a lot of those teachers, you know, have the same certifications, criteria, everything, it's just that they're not at the DOE sites and they're at the CBO sites for special education. So I just wanted to know if you guys had been addressing that or talking about that.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE HAWKINS: Yeah, that's a great question and thank you for asking it. So, the 4410 enhancement is meant to really weave in some of those community-based organizations providing special education pre-k preschool services, and through the enhancement they will-- staff working at those programs will be eligible for increased salaries based on the 3-k and pre-k salary scale.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Council Member

Restler?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you,

Council Member Lee and thank you Chair Joseph for

your leadership and calling attention to this issue.

Greatly, greatly, greatly appreciated. I think this

is one of the areas in our city government that is

most problematic and most troubled, and I know some

of the folks on the dais today and have a lot of

_	
2	respect for you as professionals, but I'm deeply
3	troubled by the state of Special Education in New
4	York City. And you know, unfortunately Liz, I'm one
5	of the people who would agree with the sentiment that
6	our special education system is broken, as you
7	referenced earlier. I'd like to just start with the
8	Carter cases and just the explosion in spending.
9	We've gone through 393 million dollars in FY18 to
10	was 917 the final number for FY22 in our spending?
11	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: I don't know if
12	that's the final number, but we can get back to you
13	on that.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Just forgive me,
15	we're three months into the new Fiscal Year, why
16	wouldn't we have the final number for FY22 at this
17	point?
18	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: Because we
19	don't always get the required invoices or the
20	required orders or the, you know, the required
21	paperwork that's required.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So, is 917 the

most up-to-date figure that you all have in your--

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 113
2	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: [interposing]
3	The most up-to-date figure that I have is the 800
4	million that I mentioned earlier.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: 800 million.
6	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: Right, and
7	that's admittedly
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing]
9	That was what I have for the FY21 actual number.
10	That was the FY21 number or FY22?
11	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: That was the
12	FY22 number.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Council documents
14	have us at 917 which I think I've seen reported in
15	the public sphere as well. So you know, from our
16	data we're looking at a two and a half times increase
17	in the span of just four years in Carter cases in
18	the spending on Carter cases. In our FY23 Adopted
19	we're at half that amount. Do you expect that we're
20	going to see similar spending in FY23 as we did in
21	FY22?
22	GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: Yes, I do, and
23	my understanding let me just be very clear. I'm
24	the lawyer person, not the math person.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Totally.

3

1

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: So, we need to get back to you.

4

5

6

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You're also the labor person. You're one of my favorite people to talk to on all things labor. So, but--

7

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: [interposing] Can we talk about that instead? No.

9

8

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I wish.

10

11

12

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: But seriously, let us please report back. My 800 million figure is as of a few weeks ago, and I'm sure we've done a lot of processing of payments for last Fiscal Year since

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And what's the

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: You know, I

13

14 then.

15

16 current average timeline for resolving Carter case claims?

17

18

19

20

21

don't think-- so, I agree Lincoln, that there are a lot of problems throughout the process that is involved in getting kids services. I think the distinction that I wanted to draw earlier was to make

2.2

sure that we're not lumping in some of the great

2.3

24

program work that we're doing with other kinds of

25

problems that make it hard for us to achieve what we

2.2

want to achieve and what we should achieve. And so
for example, that's a great question. How long is
the average case? Well, because we have not had
systematic data collection, because we've had we've
been, you know, handing these cases out to
individuals hearing officers, which who are actually
overseen to the extent that they are overseen by the
State, not the City. We don't have that kind of
data. There was a survey done in I want to say 2018
or 19 that determined that the average time it was
taking us was somewhere around upwards of 200 days to
close cases.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: The data I have here is 259 days, so--

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: [interposing]
Right, right. And that's-- but just to be clear,
that's the last time, and that was when there was a
particular organization that works with the state and
the city that spent some time doing a deep dive into
the data to put those numbers together. So, I know
this isn't the interesting part, but one of the
critical things we're working on is actually
establishing a, like, software applications that
could allow me to answer your question in 10 minutes

instead of hoping we can get another contractor in to take a deep dive.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Hopefully answer the question in seconds rather than--

GENERAL COUNSEL VLADECK: [interposing]
Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But no, it's-this is basic data we should have, but it's indicative of I think the structural failures in the system that we don't, and appreciate that there are efforts being made to improve it, but it's worth calling it out for what it is, that somebody could be waiting -- the average wait time is eight-odd months, is a very, very long time to have complaints resolved. So I also just wanted to ask in my final 43 seconds, if I can, about DOE's efforts to make parents aware of the compensatory services this school year. My understanding was that only 35 percent of students received some services -- some --35 percent of families received some compensatory services from the Special Education Recovery Services. I would have hoped that number was much higher and wanted to just understand what the efforts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Ŭ

were being made now to try and expand compensatory services that are being made available to families.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Yeah, I can take that. So, 73,000 students last year received recovery services, and that--

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: [interposing] Out of-- just help me on the--

CHRISTINA FOTI: [interposing] It's about 40 percent. So, it's a little bit more than what you quoted.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay.

CHRISTINA FOTI: The-- and that is in the face of our bussing issues. That's in the face of our staffing issues, and it is a direct reflection of our schools and their teachers who stepped up to do that work. That said, we are-- we just hosted yesterday a webinar for hundreds of parents on compensatory services, and we are giving guidance out on compensatory services. The vast majority of our recovery efforts last year were focused on-- as you know, we allocated a-- we've allocated recovery funds per pupil to every school recognizing that every student with an IEP was going to need recovery services. This year, we're really focusing on those

students who haven't made progress because of those
recovery services or didn't receive those recovery
services, because they couldn't access them, and in
those instances IEP teams were trained on how to
assess whether or not a child needs compensatory
services. So we are communicating with families that
the IEP team will do this review as part of their
annual review, but also parents can request that
review to determine level of service intensity, type
of service, and those compensatory services will be
delivered either during the school day on time that
we pay for or after school or Saturdays via our
Saturday Academy.

mechanisms that the Council-- that you could share updates or progress with Council so that we could sync together on doubling down efforts to expand on your goals and hopefully far exceed the 40-odd percent from last year?

CHRISTINA FOTI: Absolutely. We'd be happy to think about what we could provide, and I think more to the point thought, it'd be great to have a collaborative conversation on that.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 119
2	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I think, you
3	know, we all have deep relationships in our schools,
4	and so any ways
5	CHRISTINA FOTI: [interposing] Yep.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: in which we can
7	help, we'd like to.
8	CHRISTINA FOTI: Thank you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So, let's think
10	about how we can do that together, and you know, with
11	Chair Joseph's leadership. Thank you, Chair.
12	CHRISTINA FOTI: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. Council
14	Member De La Rosa?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you,
16	Chair. First, I just want to express my solidarity
17	with most of the comments that all of my colleagues
18	have stated. You know, one of the main things we
19	hear when we talk to our parent community, to our
20	educators, is the crisis that really exists in
21	special education, and when you know, communities
22	like mine I represent Washington Heights in upper
23	Manhattan that have been marginalized and
24	underfunded for decades, generations, those issues

are compounded. I want to say also that as the Chair

2.2

2.3

of the Civil Service and Labor Committee, I'm extremely concerned about what these PEGS will mean, and I know this is not a budget hearing, but what it will mean for the workforce, especially a workforce that is already, you know, at its wits end with cuts and, you know, and just lack of services right now. I want to just ask a line of questioning around bilingual special education, and I want to know if you could describe for us sort of what is available for bilingual English language learner students, English language, you know, new arriving students, students that are not proficient in the English language, as well as for their parents.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Yes, thank you for that question. It's not something we've talked a lot about during the hearing so far. So since 2013 we've opened up an additional 152 bilingual special education classes across the City. That said, we still have—we struggle to serve our bilingual special education students, particularly in the districts that you represent. As a response to that, what we are doing this year is we've engaged with using recovery funds or engaging in a bilingual sets contract, bilingual special education teacher

2.2

2.3

contract, to help us serve those students that we haven't historically served in our high-to-serve areas. So that is new. It is a direct response to what you're saying, and we're really delighted that that's going to be in place and that we're going to get a lot of those coverage gaps that we've had historically covered.

things that has been detrimental in getting intervention services is also lack of language access for parents with children with IEPs, specifically understanding what are the needs of their children. If you do not know English, you can't understand the type of services that your child needs, or if you're even addressing those services. So what is in place right now for parents who are not English proficient in understanding IEPs and the services that are required?

CHRISTINA FOTI: And yes, you're right.

We need them to be understanding and knowing what
they need to do advocate for their children and
partner with their schools. Completely agree with
the urgency around that. A few things on that front.
The first is we're working with the superintendent's

teams to train their family points to help understand
better the IEP process and build capacity in how to
talk to families in all languages about that with
particular attention to making sure we lift the value
of our translation interpretation services and reach
all the folks that we may not have reached in the
past. I mentioned I don't think I mentioned
earlier that we also are doing a weekly special
education series for families that we do stream in
multiple languages that is devoted to topics like
that. What does the IEP process look like? It is
one avenue, but it's been a successful avenue. Last
night's attendance we had hundreds of people which is
exciting. It's a resource that I just want the
Council to know about because it's every Tuesday
night at 7:30. And but I do expect our family points
at the District offices and our parent coordinators
to be a major lever to addressing understanding.
COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: So, right
now, are the IEPs provided in the at least the
seven languages that are required by City law?
CHRISTINA FOTI: Yeah, so the we have

increased the number of IEPs being provided, but

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2 parents still do need to request that translation.

3 And I know that we covered earlier--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: [interposing]
Why isn't it proactive? Because if a parent doesn't- can't fend for themselves, right? Like, I'm a
public school parent. I watched as parents stand in
the hallways waiting to be called in for conference,
parent/teacher conferences because they don't know
that they just have to walk in. Why is it not
proactive? If you already know there's a language
barrier, because we fill out all those surveys that
say we don't speak English. So why is it not
proactive? Why is that service not being provided
proactively?

CHRISTINA FOTI: I know that we're expanding. The Department is expanding our language and transitioning services. I hear your point, and it is well taken.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: I believe firmly that this will eliminate barriers to intervention. There's a lot of stigma in communities understanding the way our children learn. It's critical for us to advocate for their right. So I would ask that the Department look at this, take a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

look at this seriously, look at where we are failing and where the gaps are so that we can address that for our families. Thank you so much. Especially in the face of asylum-seekers that have now come into our communities.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Absolutely. And that is-- it's an area of-- it's a priority for We want to make sure that all families can access the services that we have available, that all children like the school is designed for them, and that parents know what their choices are. expanding the number of bilingual programs that we have to emphasize that we respect and value what being multicultural and multilingual is, and in fact, have translated brochures on those English language learners initiatives, for example, into 12 different languages and are working on making sure our ENL website right now is translatable. Want to make sure that our other websites can be as well. And we have translated videos on the Bill of Rights for Parents, too. And so we are making small strides, but they are strides, and I think it's really important for Council Members like you to point out what's needed where so that we can be reminded, right? I think your

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 12
2	example wasn't even one of an IEP. It was when a
3	parent shows up to a parent conference, that
4	shouldn't' be the case. It should not not know
5	what I don't if I said that right. They should
6	know what to do and where to go and feel comfortable
7	doing it, and if they don't then we need to have
8	thought about that in advance. And if we have the
9	services, how do we make those more publicly
10	available or let people know that they exist. So I
11	appreciate that feedback.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you.
13	And if you could provide maybe the Chair's office
14	with the links to where those videos are
15	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: [interposing]
16	Absolutely.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: [inaudible]
18	partnering with the Council Members to disseminate
19	that.
20	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: It's super
22	important. Thank you.
23	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you.

25 remiss earlier in not thanking the council for the

24

CHRISTINA FOTI: And Council Member, I

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investments that have been made in language and interpretation, and we very much appreciate your support.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Yes, I was going to note that the Council did invest in well in language access. Council Member Gutiérrez?

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you,

Chair Joseph. I have two questions. One is, I am acutely concerned with the process that our newly arrived neighbors undergo in some of these new schools, particularly in my district. I was told that they had difficulty registering students where local schools had to lend parent coordinators so that they-- because they didn't have anyone available that spoke Spanish. Primarily in my district the majority of the new folks are Spanish speaking. So, -- and I have met with the families personally. I have visited the shelter. I know that there's a number of special needs students. If you could just walk me through what that process looks like for a parent that's just experienced a significant amount of trauma. Wanting to acclimate to a new school district, a new environment, and interested in wanting to understand how to register their special need student in the

program. In the school, excuse me. And then my
second question is related to connectivity. I'm the
Chair of the Tech Committee. We held a spirited
hearing on Monday. Unfortunately, DOE was not
invited, but we will make sure to have you there. I
know that during the height of the pandemic, the DOE
had shared that every student would have access to
hot spots, to devices. I learned through a report
that the Community Service Society had put out. It's
still unclear of those families that remain in our
school system, how many of them are still connected.
Through that study they revealed that 65 percent of
black and brown households still will feel the
impacts. They feel like their students will be left
behind. So I'm curious to understand, if you have
those numbers available, about the amount of students
that are currently connected and currently in
possession of a device. We know that snow days are
no more. We will be forced to have remote days, so
we it is important and is crucial that kids and
families have everything they need. And I'm curious
to know you can itemize that so that we understand of
those students that are still missing a device or

CHRISTINA FOTI: Absolutely. We can get-

2

3

ی

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

access to connectivity how many of those are IEP students. Thank you.

- we can get back to you with those numbers. I'll work backwards and then hand it back over to DC Quintana. I'm sorry. Just in terms of the IEP supports for our recently-- I loved your term, "our new neighbors." I want to make sure that what we have done is taken the list of students and we're communicating with our IEP teams to prioritize those students in terms of the IEP process and make sure that we are prioritizing watching their placement to ensure that they are getting to the schools that are going to best serve them. And so we will work directly with the shelters that you just mentioned to get that information to those shelters as well so that they have-- and if there's a family support coordinator there, we're happy to go train those folks as well. That would be a real pleasure of ours, so I'll work on setting that up as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: And-- sorry.

Does every school have a family support coordinator?

Are those any of the folks that were accessed?

CHRISTINA FOTI: I'm so sorry, I was referring to-- I was making the assumption that there is a pointer, an educational point, at the shelters that we could work with. I will investigate all of this.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Okay.

CHRISTINA FOTI: Just to make sure that the family-- the families that are newly here understand the process and have the supports they need.

peputy Chancellor Quintana: We do have family support liaisons at every district and they have been heavily involved in this process, and in fact, some of our superintendents themselves have taken entire teams directly to the settlers to ensure that our students have access— and their families, right? There is an entire committee that is being formed and has taken— or was already formed and has taken action. And really exciting in that they are thinking about this holistically. So, there are folks from the division of multi-lingual learners who are training other divisions to make sure that they know what it means to interact with families of immigrants and language learners. We are providing

lists of multi-lingual community-based organizations
to the schools so they have access to those
partnerships, and we're working I think the most
important part is we're working in collaboration with
other agencies across the city to make sure that
we're meeting the needs of the students. And part of
what this committee is doing is making sure that
families are receiving the supports that they need,
including things like donations, housing support,
food security support, and that the students are
receiving the appropriate placements for what they
need. And so even making certain whether it's a
specialized instruction program or whether it's
something that has to do very specifically with the
type of language program that they want and we're
offering these the information is offered, as I
mentioned, in 12 different languages, but we're also
meeting with folks so that they have information.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Thank you. I just want to follow up, because I think-- this is news to me, but it's wonderful news that the DOE is so activated in connecting families to services. A chief complaint of a lot of my colleagues who are receiving a lot of these new New Yorkers in shelters,

_	
2	is that they feel like a lot of our nonprofits on the
3	ground are burdened, are responsible for getting
4	these resources connected. In some cases, the social
5	service provider is not equipped, is still hiring
6	staff, so I'm really elated to hear that news. Would
7	love for you all to be able to like share that with
8	me, especially in my district, and I just want to
9	shout out School District 14 that did exactly what
10	you did. The new superintendent corralled his entire
11	team to the shelter to be able to register every
12	single family. So thank y'all.
13	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: That's
14	wonderful, and thank you for sharing that. That's
15	great to hear. I also have the data on the devices
16	if you want that now. So, 715,000 devices were
17	distributed, and we have another 69,000 allocated for
18	this current school year, and we do have a process in
19	place to make sure that any student who needs a
20	device and any school who needs one can request it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: And those are
22	the devices, not a hot-spot necessarily.
23	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yeah.

25 have internet connectivity at home already?

24

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: They have to

2.2

2.3

2	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Some of I
3	believe they come equipped with internet
4	connectivity, but I can verify that for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Okay. Thank you so much. Thank you, Chair.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Oh, they are LTE enabled.

Member. Just wanted to piggyback real quick off of the bilingual part. In my experience I always know that bilingual-related service providers is a shortage area. What are you-- what is the DOE doing to fill in those gaps, because I know bilingual OT, speech pathologists always a long wait? There are times students wait two years to be evaluated because there's such a shortage area. What are we doing to change things?

Out a MTAC [sic] that would allow us to provide contracted services to historically underserved areas in neighborhoods that have had those shortages, Chair. So we're hoping that that covers some of our gaps, but the long-term strategy is we want our DOE providers, we want to widen that pipeline of DOE

2.2

2.3

2	provide	ers v	who k	now	and	love	our	chil	dren	that	₩€
3	train m	orovi	idina	r t.hc	se	servic	ces t	t.o 011	r sti	ıdent.s	3.

train providing those services to our students.

We've been doing various incentive programs like

tuition assistance. As you know, we-- there are

national shortages in these areas. Nonetheless, we

are actively pursuing all avenues to try to get-- to

turn that narrative around and make sure that all our

students are served.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: And in high schools, how are we looking with prioritizing Special Education classes bilingual, especially in high schools. You know, that's another shortage area. And even in transfer schools, we don't have those types of supports for the students.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Sorry, thank you. Thank you for bringing that up, and fortunately that is an area of focus for our Division of Multilingual Learners. They are expanding our bilingual programs across the board. And so we have schools that are going through the school design process and will be expanding the bilingual programs that already exist there, and others that are opening new bilingual programs. In addition, we are adding new English language learner transfer schools so that

that they have access to them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

there is at least one in every borough which did not
exist before. And this goes back to our point of
making sure that we have services near students so

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you for that. There's one thing I have to definitely touch on. If I'm talking with students with disabilities, accessible schools, and that's a conversation I've had before with School Construction Authority. In the Fiscal 2024, 2020 to 2024 capital investment I know about 750 million dollars essential, one-third of all our New York City buildings are fully accessible to students, families and educators with physical disabilities. At the time the investment was announced, 20 percent of New York City public schools were fully accessible. To date, what percentage of New York City public schools are currently fully accessible? How many districts have reached the benchmark of having at least one-third of all schools buildings being fully accessible?

TOM TARATKO: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: No, I didn't. No, I didn't. My parents would not let me forget.

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TOM TARATKO: [inaudible] Space

Management, and we select programs for the School Construction Authority and give them direction in where the Department wants them to do. We are crushing both of our goals. Just to let you know that at the end of this capital plan we will make the 33 percentile in every school district, which is something I'm very proud to say, and we will also make 50 percent of all elementary schools in all districts will have either a partial or a fully accessible categoration [sic]. So where we are right now, we are at approximately 30 percent fully accessible options in all districts. Now, that takes into consideration those approved projects that are in the capital plan whether they be in scope design construction, but at the end of the plan that's-- so it's like forecasting, but that's our forecast right We spent about 700 of the 750 million dollars allocated in that plan, and in regards to this hearing today, about two years ago we shifted priorities to include District 75 in special education locations, and we've been doing a lot of work in that. I got rather short notice for this hearing today, but when we come back with the capital

)

Chair, and thank you to the Council.

plan, I would love to share all the special things that we've been doing in District 75 locations across the City, because it's substantial.

important for us, especially when our students with disabilities. As much as busing is important to make sure they get from point A to point B and not take away the passion that a lot of my parents are telling me and the students that they love going to school. And when school is delayed for two, three hours, that's frustrating. Also heard from parents who provide medication, controlled medication to their students. So if the bus is late, we're in trouble. So we must fix these. So, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tom, can you identify yourself again for the record? Your mic was off.

TOM TARATKO: Oh, Tom Taratko, Chief Executive Space Management, DOE.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you for your testimony, and I look forward to all of the follow-ups to our questions that was not answered today. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you,

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You can begin when ready. Thank you.

MICHAEL MULGREW: Thank you. Well, first I'd like to thank the Chair and the City Council for

2	having us. First, I'd like to thank the Chair and
3	the City Council for having this hearing. Special
4	education has been a constant challenge for our for
5	the children of our city. We while we are seeing
6	some successes, we've worked very hard for the State
7	Education Department with the Mayor's Office, both
8	the previous Administration and this Administration
9	and with the Department of Education. Once there was
10	a willingness to solve the problem of the backlog,
11	and we seem to be doing that quite well, but I want
12	to focus on one thing, because I'd like to make very
13	concrete steps to really deal with issue. The one
14	thing I want to focus on right now, something to do
15	about how we fund how Fair Student Funding
16	interacts with our individual students with
17	disabilities. There's been there was a committee
18	with the last Administration. There is a new
19	committee with this Administration. The last
20	committee made a specific recommendations around
21	funding individual students with IEPs in schools.
22	Those recommendations were never adopted. The
23	problem that we have is right now under Fair Student
24	Funding, it's just putting principals and school
25	communities in position where they're stuck about

providing services or filling in their staffs. 2 3 want to be-- I want to explain this very simply at this point. It is -- it should not be up to the 4 5 school to staff. That should-- whether a teacher is experienced or new, in other words how much they 6 7 cost, should not become a burden to the school. 8 other city agency do we-- do we grade neighborhoods by the amount of experience the Fire Department has there, the amount that Sanitation has, the amount the 10 11 Police Department has there? Do we grade those 12 precincts and firehouses by experience? 13 words, how much people get paid inside of it. Or do 14 we staff them properly? We staff them properly. But 15 in our school system they're constantly pushing that 16 it's up to the principal to make decisions based off 17 of trying to fund under Fair Student Funding which is 18 then-- becomes a responsibility of the school itself 19 to pay for the teacher's salary. And they will tell 20 you that research shows that a more experienced 21 teacher will get better results if you're using 2.2 standardized test scores, but then at the same time 2.3 you're incentivizing schools to have less experienced teachers because their salaries are lower. This has 24 25 led -- if you can go back to when Fair Student funding

was adopted, that has led to a significant increase
of non-compliance complaints, and that's what we see
each and every year in the school whereas principals
scramble and try to figure out how to supply all
these services when the funding is not adequate
because they're under a Fair Student funding formula,
and then try to figure out how to get newer teachers
with less experience in so that they have all the
money they need to supply the services. This is
something that has to change. There is no reason for
this, and I know the political agendas and everything
else behind

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

MICHAEL MULGREW: the whole Fair Student Funding process, but I think this is something that the City Council needs to look at quite-- and focus on significantly.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you President Mulgrew. Alright, next panel is Randi Levine, Lori Podvesker, Jennifer Chow-- Choi, sorry about that-- Phylisa Wisdom, and Nelson Mar. You may begin thank you.

Thank you for the

RANDI LEVINE:

2 3 07 4 L6 5 Ch 6 h6 7 th 8 16 9 w: 10 E6 11 da 12 st 13 th 14 a: 15 to

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity to speak with you. My name is Randi Levine, and I'm Policy Director of Advocates for Children of New York. We appreciate the Council holding this important hearing today. I also want to thank the Department of Education, educators and leaders who are working hard on behalf of students with disabilities, and thank the Department of Education leaders who have stayed to listen. Every day Advocates for Children hears from parents who are struggling to get their children with disabilities the education that they need. In the past two weeks alone, we heard from more than 200 parents and want to share just a few examples. Through our Education Help Line we heard from: A parent who recently learned that her three-year-old child has autism and wants her child enrolled in a preschool special education class mandated by her IEP, but was still waiting for a placement from the DOE, which as you heard today, has a shortage of special education classes, even as it has expanded 3K and Pre-K for all. A parent who learned from her child that he is in a large class in violation of his IEP which requires a small special education class. Meanwhile,

2	the student says he doesn't understand the work and
3	feels overwhelmed. A parent who borrowed a
4	neighbor's phone so she could call us saying she was
5	desperate for help as she recently moved into
6	temporary housing in a different borough and needs a
7	closer school. When she tried enrolling her child
8	whose IEP mandates a special education class,
9	multiple schools turned her away. A parent whose
10	ninth grader is reading on a first grade level and
11	asked about private schools because year after year
12	she has been unable to get help from her child's
13	public school. A parent whose school responded last
14	year to her child's behavioral challenges by placing
15	him in the office where he watched videos and asking
16	the parent to pick him up early. A parent who speaks
17	Spanish who had questions about her child's services
18	because she has only received paperwork in English.
19	A parent living in shelter whose child has a
20	significant disability and missed the first weeks of
21	school because the DOE did not have a bus route in
22	place. And a parent who's been unable to work
23	fulltime and is experiencing financial hardship
24	because she is transporting her child while waiting
25	for the DOE to arrange for the paraprofessional her

2	child needs on the bus. A parent whose child uses a
3	wheelchair and was assigned to a classroom on the
4	third floor of a walk-up building. The child has
5	been out of school since last fall. And a Spanish-
6	speaking parent of a child who needs a wheelchair
7	accessible District 75 placement. The family lives
8	in the Bronx, but the DOE could only find a seat in a
9	different borough. Then days before the school year
10	began, the parent received a call informing her that
11	the school's elevator is off-limits to students,
12	leaving the child with no school. This is just a
13	small sampling of the children and families who came
14	to our attention in the past two weeks alone, and
15	make no mistake, we get these calls all year round.
16	Shortages of preschool special education classes,
17	inadequacy of literacy instruction and behavioral
18	supports, problems with transportation, lack of
19	accessibility, failure to provide translation and
20	interpretation, these are all serious obstacles that
21	students with disabilities and their families
22	continue to experience every day on the ground. The
23	city must do better. We look forward to working with
24	you to do, including through recommendations included
25	in our Vision for New York City Schools attached to

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our testimony. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

LORI PODVESKER:

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you so much.

Thank you for holding

this important hearing, and thank you Chairwoman Joseph for leading the way and also thank you to all the people who support you, including the woman sitting next to you, Jan Atwell, really props. name is Lori Podvesker and I'm the Director of Policy at INCLUDEnyc. for nearly the last 40 years, INCLUDEnyc, formerly resources for children with special needs, has helped hundreds of thousands of New York City's families navigate the complex special education service and support system. According to the February 2022 Mayor's Management Report, in November 2021, Local Law 27 report to the City Council from the DOE. There are nearly 300,000 students with disabilities ages three through 21 receiving special education services and supports that the City of New York is responsible for providing and overseeing. I'm going to list out some data, because in addition to what the Department of Ed spoke about before, I think it's important for

2	people to know the facts. This data will speak for
3	itself. There's about 26,000 preschoolers ages three
4	through five in which the majority attend non-public
5	programs due to the ongoing shortage of preschool
6	special education classes. Approximately 270,000
7	school-aged students ages five through 21. 26,000
8	students attending a District 75 program, 32,000
9	English language learners representing 19 percent of
10	school-aged students with IEPs. I don't know, did
11	anybody catch that number the DOE reported on
12	translated IEPs as 9,000? We have 31,000 students
13	with disabilities who are MLL's, and I'm angry
14	today, because like a lot of us, year after year, we
15	say the same things and with my parent had on. This
16	year is even worse, the worst I've ever seen it, and
17	I don't know, something's got to change. Seven out
18	of 10 school-aged students are classified with speech
19	and language impairments or learning disabilities.
20	24,000 school-aged students classified with autism.
21	8,400 school-aged students classified with emotional
22	disabilities. 20 percent of IEP evaluations not
23	occurring within the legal timeline of 60 days.
24	52,000+ school-aged students with IEPs who spend the
25	majority of their school days in segregated settings.

2	Less than two out of 10 special education students in
3	grades three through eight are proficient in math or
4	English, less than two of 10. And a little more of
5	half of all students with disabilities who take
6	standardized tests graduate on time in four years.
7	While we commend the City and the Department of Ed
8	for using federal stimulus funds to make enhancements
9	specifically targeted for school-aged students with
10	suspect or known disabilities improving literacy
11	instruction throughout the City identifying
12	struggling readers, including students with dyslexia
13	and piloting new related specialized programs next
14	school year. I think the DOE said three. However,
15	these initiatives are nowhere near enough to address
16	longtime systemic policy and cultural barriers for
17	all students with disabilities and their families,
18	and nor will they impact all students with
19	disabilities. The last two and a half years have
20	made it clearer than ever before that the City must
21	do things differently than it long has to
22	appropriately and equitably educate all students with
23	disabilities. Too many students with disabilities
24	did not access Special Ed recovery services last
25	year. The DOE said today 60 percent did not. It is

2	time for the City to consider radically changing how
3	special education works. All students with
4	disabilities deserve access to quality instruction
5	and adequate number of qualified teachers, timely
6	evaluations, the delivery of all mandated-related
7	services, bilingual programs, services and supports,
8	consistent reliable transportation to and from
9	school, and integration. With school budget cuts,
10	the fading of stimulus funds and the current inferior
11	accountability structures that measure individual
12	student learning outcomes and success of individual
13	schools, we urge this committee and the Council as a
14	whole to immediately set up two additional public-
15	facing fiscal accountability structures that follow
16	how all allocated special education money is spent,
17	one to track money in community school districts one
18	through 32 and a separate one for District 75. This
19	is because District 75's budget is separate and
20	different from the special education money allocated
21	for the provisions of services, students with
22	disabilities attending community school districts one
23	through 32 and non-District 75 middle and high
24	schools. In addition, we recommend the Department of
25	Education and the City increase the number of

2	preschool classes. I think all else here is already
3	said. Sorry, I'm trying not to be redundant. This
4	one's personal to me. Require that every school
5	buildings where District 75 program is collocated to
6	have a visible sign with the name of corresponding
7	District 75 school organization. Prior to the
8	pandemic, I went to my son's school for his annual
9	IEP meeting and I was told I could not use the front
10	door. I was told I had to go to the side door, and we
11	would never do that to anybody else of any other
12	minority group. This is not okay. And kids like
13	mine are invisible there's not even a sign in front
14	of the building. There's not even a I urge
15	everybody here, when you look at school buildings to
16	see if there are signs that say that kids who attend
17	District 75 programs are there. And I'm white. The
18	majority of kids who attend District 75 programs are
19	of color. This is not okay, and it's so basic, but
20	this is what shapes how kids with disabilities are
21	valued. This is the cultural part which the policy
22	part will hopefully pass up one day. We need to have
23	a citywide initiative on the integration of District
24	75 students. All current diversity initiatives
25	exclude District 75 kids. They are either community

2.2

2.3

school district-based or they're about diversifying enrollment in non-District 75 programs. This is not okay. We also urge the DOE to commission a study on how the Special Ed continuum is being utilized to determine how and where the City provides special education services and to analyze the relationship of classroom placements and disability classifications, and document the extent in which students move to less restrictive settings and how their IEP goals are met. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, and the DOE's still here, and I hope you're listening to the voices of these parents today.

JENNIFER CHOI: Thank you for having me here today. My name is Jennifer Choi. I'm a Queens resident and I'm apparent of two high school students with IEPs. I'm also a Special Education Advocate at Special Support Services. This week Chalk Week [sic] reported that in New York City 41 percent of students had chronic absenteeism, meaning that they missed more than 18 days of school in a school year. In the disability community, we call this problem school refusal, because it really is about the student not being able to attend school due to disability. In

just two weeks our group surveyed 140 families in our
city suffering from school refusal and we found for
57 percent of families, school refusal symptoms had
not surfaced prior to the pandemic. The majority of
students displayed school refusal as lasting over six
months and refusing school intermittently. And this
is important, 34 percent with school refusal 34
percent of students with school refusal talked about
harming themselves. School refusal was spread out
evenly across middle school, high school, and
elementary school. I'm here to say that the DOE
responds to school refusal of students terribly lacks
planning for students with disabilities. For
example, students are supposed to have attendance
teachers come to their home if they're out for 10
days straight. This is called 407. But students
with disabilities need a different threshold. My own
child missed 42 days of school this past year. No one
came to my house, because he never missed 10 days
straight. My son's school social worker, and I'm
sorry that Council Member Bottcher's not here
actually, no you were the one that brought this up
Chair Joseph, about counseling. My school social
worker told me to seek help from ACS as part of

getting help. If you look at the DOE's guide to
combat chronic absenteeism called Every Student,
Every Day. There's not one mention in the 57 pages
of the word 504, IEP, disability, functional behavior
assessment, or special education referral. Excuse
me. So, we need that guidance so that the school
disability support teams know what to do. And in
some cases for these children like my own child, they
can no longer attend public school and require
expensive therapeutic settings after months or years
of failure and trauma. I know we can do better.
Please help our students with disabilities. And
also, since I have a couple of seconds, please listen
to the Arise Coalition's suggestion and
recommendations. They're really important. Thank
VOII

 $\label{eq:chairperson_joseph:} CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Noted, and thank you for your testimony, and I'm sorry.$ 

PHYLISA WISDOM: Good afternoon Chair

Joseph, Jan, and fellow New Yorkers. My name is

Phylisa Wisdom and I am the Director of Development

and Government Affairs for YAFFED. YAFFED has been

working to bring much needed change the ultra
Orthodox Yeshiva sector working to ensure that every

2	New York City student receives a full education in
3	English, math, history, and science. As was reported
4	in the New York Times last week, approximately 50,000
5	children are attending Yeshivas in New York City and
6	its suburbs that fail to teach this basic education.
7	These children receive a religious education all day
8	with little to no schooling in secular subjects.
9	There is little transparency in religious school's
10	operations because they operate outside the strict
11	regulatory environment in which public schools must
12	operate. This lack of transparency exists also for
13	their students with disabilities. YAFFED is deeply
14	concerned about the lack of oversight regarding
15	special education systems in Hasidic Yeshivas. A
16	school system unconcerned with norms around the
17	importance of science or of teaching math and the
18	English language is not likely to be prioritizing the
19	evidence-based support its students need for learning
20	disabilities such as dyslexia, autism, dyscalculia,
21	etcetera. We do not believe many of these schools
22	have the infrastructure to adequately address
23	systemic issues of inequity that students with
24	additional needs face. Yeshivas receive significant
25	nublic subsidies, such as mandated services aid, to

2	cover certain operational costs, despite not being
3	held accountable to state laws regarding substantial
4	equivalency. Furthermore, the reporting requirements
5	religious schools must comply with are severely
6	lacking. It is unclear what systems are used to
7	identify children that need an IEP and how these
8	children are served or even how many children have
9	been identified with an IEP. We've heard today that
10	Chancellor Banks has made comments about special
11	needs funding including all this money that is meant
12	for kids in our public schools are going to private
13	school. Folks have figured out how to game this
14	system. Public school parents shouldn't be
15	scapegoated. These critical public funds should go
16	to schools prioritizing appropriate services for
17	students that the DOE can't serve. The lack of
18	public reporting is made even more dangerous because
19	the Haredi Yeshiva sector has already proven that it
20	will disobey and disregard education law. We urge
21	the City Council to hold an oversight hearing into
22	the educational neglect being perpetrated upon tens
23	of thousands of school children attending Haredi
24	Yeshivas. We further urge City Council to demand an
25	accounting of Special Education services within the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hasidic community and to ensure that IEP system is being administered effectively and by adequately credentialed professionals. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you.

NELSON MAR: Good afternoon. Thank you

Chair Joseph and the Education Committee for holding this important hearing, for meeting the needs of all students with disabilities. My name is Nelson Mar. I'm an attorney at Legal Services NYC where the federally-funded legal services office that provide free civil legal services to low income residents in the City. I work in the education practice at LSNYC, and we assist hundreds of New York City school children and their families every year to ensure access to education. We often represent students who are most at risk, a lot of the issues that Randi and Lori had mentioned. I'm going to talk a little bit today about an issue that I think is often overlooked, when we're talking about disability, and that's an issue of trauma and adverse childhood experiences. My question that I would pose to the Department of Education and to City Council is, is the City meeting the needs of students who have disability-related conditions due to the impacts of

2	trauma and adverse childhood experiences. Because
3	from representing students for 20 years, I can say
4	that a lot of issues stem from, you know, children's
5	exposure to trauma and adverse experiences for a lot
6	of my clients, that if we could do more to address
7	this issue, we would actually stem some of the flow
8	of students into the Special Education system and
9	even into the highly restrictive settings. And
10	science actually supports this, because long before
11	COVID elevated the issue of trauma to national
12	prominence, neuroscience and behavioral research
13	established that trauma and adverse childhood
14	experiences, or ACES, negatively affect child
15	development and often leads to disabling conditions,
16	both in childhood and later in adults. ACES
17	negatively impact a child's social, emotional, and
18	cognitive development. These are experiences that we
19	often find in some of our neediest neighborhoods, you
20	know, whether it be abuse, neglect, or experiencing
21	violence. And consequently, it's no surprise that a
22	large number of children in New York City have
23	experienced more than one ACES, especially given the
24	direct and indirect impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic,
25	and the consistently large number of children living

2	in poverty in the five boroughs, which is over 20
3	percent. Children who are negatively impacted by
4	trauma and ACES can present with disabling conditions
5	related to behavior and learning, and researchers
6	have linked poor academic outcomes with children who
7	are exposed to higher ACES. So, I would argue that
8	New York City public schools can help mitigate
9	disability-related impacts of ACES and trauma, and
10	moreover, I think they're legally obligated to under
11	the Americans with Disabilities Act, the
12	Rehabilitation Act of 1973, New York State/New York
13	City Human Rights Law, and even under the ITEA [sic].
14	At minimum the New York City Department of Education
15	should be providing accommodations for students who
16	have disabling conditions related to trauma exposure.
17	Our office helped move the New York City Department
18	of Education towards that goal in a settlement of a
19	landmark lawsuit that we brought on behalf of
20	students who had experienced sexual harassment and
21	sexual assault three years ago, and as part of that
22	settlement, the New York City Department of Education
23	moved to develop new guidance aimed at making the
24	Special Education evaluation and IEP development
25	process more trauma informed. But we need to be more

2	than trauma informed. We actually need to be
3	healing-centered. And our office has worked with
4	community groups to actually come together to develop
5	a roadmap to bring healing center practices to New
6	York City public schools. And we encourage the New
7	York City Department of Education, the City Council
8	to support those efforts, to continue those efforts
9	actually. The Department of Education undertook
10	pieces of that roadmap over the last two years. This
11	year we're very unsure of what's happening with it.
12	We're very concerned that all the resources and
13	cachet that was built up over those two years will
14	now be dissipated. We had over 800 parents trained
15	and involved in the parent ambassador Healing
16	Ambassador Program. Now a lot of them are just left
17	not knowing what to do with all that training that
18	they received. We also want to uplift the needs for
19	more target services for those students who have
20	higher needs, and we certainly encourage the City
21	Council to continue to support the full
22	implementation of the Mental Health Continuum, which
23	we know that the City Council has done this year, but
24	we need to see that more fully supported. Thank you
25	very much.

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. you for your testimony. May the next panel come forward, please? Miriam Nunberg, Janet Marte, and Laura Espinal [sp?]. You can begin when you're ready. Thank you.

Thank you for holding

MIRIAM NUNBERG:

this hearing and for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Miriam Nunberg. I'm an attorney with a private advocacy practice for students with disabilities and also I'm an IEP parent. I'm a former attorney for the US Department of Education, enforcing Section 504 and the ADA in schools. Speaking only privately at the US DOE, I worked with hundreds of school districts and never encountered one that so flagrantly disregards Section 504 as New York City, especially for students with mental health needs. At a time when students are experiencing a massive surge in mental health crises, schools must follow Section 504 to support these kids. This law requires schools to accommodate students with disabilities including those with mental health needs. Lately, I have repeatedly encountered students with severe mental health challenges, including ones undergoing psychiatric hospitalizations, where their

2	public school violated Section 504 by doing nothing
3	at all. All these students had been high achievers
4	before experiencing crippling depression and/or
5	anxiety, and might not need IEPs, but still may have
6	disabilities requiring accommodations like shortened
7	schedules, breaks, or modified workloads. When these
8	kids could not handle their work load or refuse to
9	attend schools, their schools failed to comply with
10	Section 504 and the students would have spiraled out
11	had I not been able to intervene and demand
12	compliance. When schools notice that a child might
13	have a disability, Section 504 requires them to take
14	appropriate steps in response. So upon notification
15	that a student has a potential mental health
16	condition, even they were discharged from a
17	psychiatric facility, the DOE must offer a free
18	comprehensive evaluation to start. I can
19	categorically state that I have never seen the DOE
20	offer evaluation for 504 rather than for an IEP.
21	Rather, to request 504 accommodations, families must
22	submit a diagnosis and suggestions from their
23	sorry, a diagnosis and suggestions from their child
24	doctor in order to initiate the 504 process. Nowhere
25	does the DOE reference its legal mandate to provide

2	free comprehensive evaluations under 504 instead of
3	requiring input from private doctors. Further, the
4	504 plans that I have seen are routinely so vague and
5	skeletal [sic] that they are incapable of providing
6	what is called a Free Appropriate Public Education,
7	or FAPE, as the law requires. Despite the US DOE
8	guidance that clearly explains that FAPE under
9	Section 504 can include anything that the students'
10	needs to mitigate the impact of their disability in
11	school, the plans my clients received are so limited
12	and unclear that they are incapable of providing FAPE
13	whatsoever. Finally, under Section 504, a student
14	qualifies as having a disability when substantially
15	limited in a major life activity, which is not
16	limited to the major life activity of learning alone.
17	Nonetheless, I have often seen the DOE deny students
18	Section 504 accommodations because they are
19	considered too smart. The DOE also used to have a
20	policy that 504 plans automatically expire at the end
21	of each year, thus requiring parents to renew their
22	application to get new outside professional
23	documentation annually each year. I've seen this
24	continue even though the DOE has officially
25	discontinued this policy. I'd all told, Section

2	504 compliance across the DOE is abysmal and
3	especially fails to address the ballooning mental
4	health needs of our kids. And I'd quickly also like
5	to address the terrible quality of DOE evaluations
6	for IEP eligibility, which creates a huge equity
7	issue and a divide between those who can afford to
8	pay for private evaluations and those who must rely
9	on DOE evaluations. Under both the IDA and Section
10	504, schools must offer comprehensive valid
11	evaluations to thoroughly asses all of a student's
12	potential disabilities, including ADHD and mental
13	health conditions. IEPs and 504's cannot be legally
14	developed without a thorough understanding of the
15	child's disabilities. But again, however, the only
16	children generally, the only children who are able
17	to obtain these kinds of comprehensive evaluations
18	are those who can pay around \$6,000 on average, and
19	they do it. And they will routinely go out and get
20	these neuropsychological evaluations, leaving the
21	kids who can't afford it to deal with these vague and
22	incomplete evaluations that are provided by the DOE.
23	They're getting better, but they still do not address
24	the needs of children, again especially those with
25	mental health needs. This is an unacceptable equity

3

4

\_

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

. .

2122

2.3

24

25

issue and must be addressed to allow all students with disabilities the comprehensive evaluations the law requires. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. Next. You may start.

JANET MARTE: HI, my name is Janet Marte.

I'm a parent to a Special Education student being served by the DOE. I just want to say that back when my son was going through what is called the Turning Five Process, which we all get very stressed out about, I have to thank Christina Foti and her team for taking us seriously. Sunset Park has always been a ghost town when it has come to special needs, to special education for our children. Our children get bused out and we rarely see buses coming in to serve children with special education. She took our concerns seriously under the leadership of Richard Caranzo [sp?]. We had a meeting. They went back to their office. They looked at the data and they were able to determine that there was students in our neighborhood that qualified for ASC programs, and these programs were opened, and now, the Horizon program is located in our neighborhood and has been there for the past four years and our kids are doing

phenomenal. So, I must thank the DOE for doing their
work then. But I must say, that one of my biggest
concerns is the unintentional exclusion that happens
within the DOE with our children with special needs,
especially in after school programming and in the
arts. So, I see a lot of schools offer music, art,
after school programs where the kids are involved in
sports and our kids are not invited or are not asked
to participate in these programmings because they
need added support like one on one, you know,
paraprofessionals. That's huge to me because our
kids should be part of the community. Our kids
should be part of those programs. Our tax dollars
are being put into work, and my child should have the
same accessibility. Another thing that we are very
concerned about it the inclusion and equity piloting
programs that are happening. They're great. They
look perfect in writing, but our kids are being
excluded, because when you read them our kids are not
being mentioned in equal parts. So it only talks
about race and social economic status and making sure
that kids are being, you know, taken into different
neighborhoods so schools could be more diverse. But
our kids are not being mentioned in equal parts, and

our kids are continuously being segregated. As one
of the persons on the panel mentioned, District 75
students are collocated in many school buildings but
yet they're not sharing the space in the collocated
building. I know so because as parents we tend to
share information. We gain the most valuable
information by sharing with one another. The DOE and
everyone that's participating here today receives a
paycheck, and or some reason we're not receiving the
information on a timely fashion by all the people
that are receiving a paycheck, and we are receiving
this information by other parents that are heavily
involved. That's very concerning for us. It's very
crippling to us and our families. I work and it's
difficult for me because at work I'm constantly
feeling anxious, because I'm constantly thinking
what's next, what's next, what's next. What else can
I do to support my child? And even though he is my
child and it is my responsibility, I shouldn't
constantly be thinking about that, because there's
people on payroll to do that job. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you.

JANET MARTE: If you don't mind, I'm

going to help Laura [sic] translate?

Council people to take us into account when it comes

to making decisions on the funds that are being

25 LAURA: [speaking Spanish]

allocated to help our communities.

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 166
2	JANET MARTE: I'm sorry, because I'm also
3	experiencing some neurological issues.
4	LAURA: [speaking Spanish]
5	JANET MARTE: That the council people
6	have to provide information.
7	LAURA: [speaking Spanish]
8	JANET MARTE: Like events that are
9	happening today in a timely fashion because we found
10	that out at 9:00 p.m. tonight due to a CEC meeting.
11	LAURA: [speaking Spanish]
12	JANET MARTE: That even though we might
13	not have a lot to say, we can be able to participate
14	in these meetings and hear what the DOE and what the
15	Council has to say in regards to Special Education.
16	LAURA: [speaking Spanish]
17	JANET MARTE: So, she's saying not only
18	has the DOE failed us, but our politicians have
19	failed us because within our communities we don't
20	have accessible playgrounds for our children.
21	LAURA: [speaking Spanish]
22	JANET MARTE: Especially in the winter we
23	don't have much access to anything for our children.

LAURA: [speaking Spanish]

\_ \_

JANET MARTE: [speaking Spanish] She does want to thank the DOE because prior to all of this, our neighborhood was a ghost town and the DOE had listened to us and has provided slowly but surely the resource that we need in our community, but there's still a lot of work that we need to do, especially in the middle school and high school level.

LAURA: [speaking Spanish]

JANET MARTE: She's saying thank you, greatly appreciate this opportunity, but she wants to ensure that we continue to have a partnership between our elected politicians and the DOE so we can as parents and everyone that's on board so we can continue to work together. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. We have another panel. Thank you for your testimony, and all is noted. We're going to the-- yeah, we're going to Zoom now.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The first panel on
Zoom that we're going to call is Maggie Moroff, from
the ARISE Coalition, Ellen McHugh from the CCSE,
Sharon McLennon-Wier from the Center for the
Independence of the Disabled. Maggie, you're up when
you can--

3 begun.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 MAGGIE MOROFF: Good afternoon. Can you

5 hear me?

6 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

MAGGIE MOROFF: Thank you. Good afternoon Chair Joseph and the incredible Council staff that you have here with you today. Thank you for the opportunity to testify remotely. I would have really preferred to have been there in person for this really important hearing, but I am getting over COVID and obviously staying away from others as I do. I speak today on behalf of ARISE Coalition that Jennifer mentioned earlier. Last spring, our members offered the Administration recommendations around Special Education service delivery, recovery services, and the provision of appropriate literacy supports for all students. I've attached those to my written testimony, and am only going to really briefly outline some of the highlights now. So, since coming together 15 years ago, we've seen problems with timelines and quality of Special Education services. That is nothing new. And with the treatment that families encounter when they

2	advocate for their students, some of the stuff you've
3	been hearing about since testimony began. We've been
4	urging the DOE to prioritize these concerns while
5	also strengthening capacity to conduct quality
6	evaluations and improve access to transition services
7	for students with disabilities ages 14 and above. As
8	you know, many students with disabilities went
9	without services critically needed during the
10	pandemic. The City now has to ensure that those
11	students get the compensatory services that they
12	need, and to that end, we ask for clear information
13	for families about the availability of makeup [sic]
14	services. I heard Christina Foti talking about that
15	before. Families are still waiting for that
16	information. We also want to make sure that those
17	services are available to all students with
18	disabilities, including those who are attending
19	charter schools and those placed by the DOE in state-
20	approved non-public schools for students with
21	disabilities. With respect to literacy, the City
22	should needs to be requiring the use of evidence-
23	based culturally responsive curricula for core
24	instruction, universal screening and progress
25	monitoring and oxidence-hased intervention to

students who need them. The City needs to provide
parents with the information on their students'
progress and about how seek additional literacy
support when they need it. We join others in calling
for the City to develop and share an implementation
plan for this literacy work. I also want to mention
as others have, that this year as in past years,
we're very concerned about transportation services.
Just yesterday in my work at Advocates for Children
of New York, I worked on several cases where students
still out of school because the DOE hasn't yet
arranged para's or nursing supports needed for busing
or appropriately

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

MAGGIE MOROFF: We offer these and other recommendations shared in our written testimony. In the interest of continuing to work with the DOE and very much with the Council to improve the experiences and outcomes for the over 200,000 students with disabilities in New York City. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much,

Maggie. Ellen McHugh [sp?]?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SERGEA

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

ELLEN MCHUGH: Hello, good afternoon, or should I say god evening. It's been a while. you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Ellen McHugh. I am a Co-chair of the Citywide Council on Special Education which is a legally required council on the New York State Education Law. here again because trust has eroded. We have learned that trust in this system can only be seen after the system responds, not prior to. Our goals and aims as both parents and advocates is to walk in believing that there will be services. Our most frightening realizations are that services can be denied willynilly or limited by the knowledge of the individuals who are doing the assessments. One of the issues that has come up repeatedly is the issue of class size. I know that this is not going to be what others want to hear, but I have to say this. Looking at the class size initiative right now, I see it from a totally different perspective. The separation and segregation of District 75 students will be exacerbated, not because it was intended by the authors of the law, but because we in New York City have an artificial separation between Special

24

25

2	Education programs and schools. And I know that
3	you've noticed it, but we've gotten different numbers
4	from different people. The DOE says 180,000.
5	Someone else said 200,000+. I have heard 250,000.
6	So, as a matter of both trust and information, I fear
7	that this class size matters bill will have an
8	unnecessary impact on kids in District 75, shrinking
9	their opportunities for students to stay closer to
10	home and increasing the chances of long distance
11	travel. I am concerned that principals seeing these
12	D75 students as beyond their purview will request a
13	return of the classrooms where the students are
14	currently sited in order to implement, reduce class
15	sizes for their children. I don't know if you
16	realize it, but most principals see District 75
17	programs collocated in their because as separate and
18	apart from their children, and they use that word.
19	The District, while ostensibly part of our system,
20	has its own superintendents, its own school buildings
21	which are 60 and house and also well over 300 sites
22	in collocated general education classes.
	1

ELLEN MCHUGH: Principals can request

that the programs be removed and the classes returned

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

to fit their students. There is nothing in the law
that protects the students who are currently sited.
As a matter of fact, this September, a day before
school, a parent of a child who has autism and
hearing loss found out that her child had no school
because the principal in District 75 together without
consulting any family had decided to terminate the
program in that building and moved the children
somewhere else. The ability to grow collocated
general education sites with District 75 programs was
to create new collocated sites will be severely
limited. Building new schools or leasing sites is
time consuming and expensive, as Mr. Taratko had
said. It is indeed unfortunate that we are back here
again doubtful and untrusting, but we are asking that
you as City Council help us in including our children
really, not just in words. And I just want to remind
everybody that the CRSE curriculum that has been
chosen includes not one book about disability rights,
not one book about disability education, and not one
book about how in this country people with
disabilities had to fight for the right just to go to
school Thank you for your time I'm sorry to be so

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 174
2	depressing, but I am asking once again for your help
3	in directing the DOE. Thanks.
4	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Ellen.
5	Next up is Sharon McLennon-Wier.
6	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.
7	SHARON MCLENNON-WIER: Good afternoon. My
8	name is Doctor Sharon McLennon-Wier. I'm the
9	Executive Director for the Center for Independence
10	for the Disabled New Yorkers
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Excuse
12	me. We can't hear you. Can you lower your mask?
13	SHARON MCLENNON-WIER: I'm sorry. I said
14	my name is Doctor Sharon McLennon-Wier. I'm the
15	Executive Director for the Center for Independence of
16	the Disabled New York. I am a totally blind person
17	and due to the time constraints I am asking our
18	senior director to speak our testimony on behalf of
19	CIDNY.
20	ALEXA LOFARO: Hello. My names Alexa
21	Lofaro and I'm the Senior Director of Consumer
22	Programming at CIDNY. CIDNY's mission is to ensure
23	that [inaudible] integration, independence, and equal

opportunity for all people with disabilities by

removing barriers to social, economic, cultural, and

24

2	[inaudible] of the community. Today, we are here to
3	advocate for the needs of students with disabilities
4	living in New York City. We are partnering with the
5	Action for Reform in Special Education Coalition to
6	address the essential needs of students with
7	disabilities. CIDNY and ARISE want to ensure that
8	each student with a disability has an enriched
9	educational experience. The experience should lead
10	to knowledge, gainful employment and engagement in
11	recreational and social activities in the community.
12	In fact, the law requires students with disabilities
13	to have access to free appropriate public education
14	in at least their in their least restrictive
15	environment and for schools to provide each student
16	with a disability with an individualized education
17	plan or IEP that is reasonably calculated to enable
18	that students to make meaningful progress in light of
19	their own unique circumstances. CIDNY is here today
20	in support of ensuring that this law is followed and
21	to provide concrete suggestions towards that goal.
22	CIDNY is here to advocate for the specific
23	instruction for students with disabilities that will
24	allow for a student to receive quality services.
25	This consists of meaningful inclusion and

integration, precise transparency and accountability
from educators and policy makers, and the elimination
of all practices that leads to discrimination and
disproportionality in rates of referrals, suspension
[sic], and segregated placements for students with
disabilities from diverse backgrounds and an
increased rate of academic outcomes from all students
with disabilities, especially those from diverse
backgrounds. In support of these efforts, CIDNY and
the ARISE Coalition advocate for the following: One,
provide comprehensive mental health initiatives for
our students with disabilities. Two, provide
students who are transitioning to post-secondary
education and/or the [inaudible] work comprehensive,
psychological evaluation that will assist the person
to obtain academic work accommodation when they leave
school settings. Three, neuropsychology of education
states that we must use procedural memory to teach
students, including students with disabilities. In
support of this, we also advocating for educators to
teach students a second or third language before the
age of five years. This is critical for language
fluency, because at this point in a student's life,
neuro-pruning [sic] [inaudible] has not [inaudible]

2.2

2.3

because the brain is not fully matured until the age of 25. Educators should teach students differently and tailor their educational strategies to meet the unique needs of the students in their classrooms.

Each student has a specific brain which utilizes their environment through observational learning, seven senses, kinetic—

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

ALEXA LOFARO: [inaudible] The action helps the student to store information in their long-term memory store. We want to promote creativity and innovation because we need to identify and nurture each student with a disability challenge. Four, reform decision-making processes across all DOE structures from central through the boroughs, the district, and individual schools to ensure all students with disabilities regardless of classification, grade, or language of origin are considered at the outset on all policy and budgetary matters. Five, guarantee that each child with a disability receives specialized instructions and services, including assistive and adaptive technology, literacy instruction, and appropriate

2	physical, social, and behavioral supports in all
3	areas of identified needs. Six, guarantee that each
4	school is prepared to offer administrative schoolwide
5	supports and interventions to address behavioral
6	needs and literacy needs for all students using, for
7	example, restorative justice practices, to address
8	discipline issues in our schools. Seven, provide the
9	critical resources for on-site training and ongoing
10	support for schoolwide best practices to identify,
11	include, and accommodate students with a range of
12	disabilities. Eight, provide equal and equitable
13	social and physical access to school sites and
14	programs for all students with special needs and
15	their families pre-K to age 21, particularly as
16	[inaudible]. Nine, promote priority of state design
17	resources in all collocated facilities to ensure that
18	students with disabilities has equal access. Ten,
19	create structures to ensure robust transition
20	planning and to ensure all students with disabilities
21	are college and/or career ready and have the adult
22	life skills [inaudible] ability to successfully
23	navigate the path they choose to follow graduation
24	from high school. Eleven

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Excuse me, can you wrap up, please? You can also submit your written testimony. Just wrap up, please, a few sentences.

ALEXA LOFARO: Yes. I'm on my last two points. Ensure that parents receive real-time complete and accurate information in language of the family's choice regarding their rights, their individual student's needs and abilities, school choice and service delivery.

SHARON MCLENNON: Okay, I just want to say, as a totally blind person and advocate for people with disabilities, I think that the-- this needs to be revised in sense of time allotment. It's very hard for someone who [inaudible] technology to be able to speak in a two or three minute framework. We're talking about advocating for students with disabilities, and this hearing is not even accessible to me. Thank you very much on behalf of CIDNY.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. That's it for that panel. We're going to call the next panel. First, Lucas Healy followed by

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 180
2	Paulette Healy, then Amber Decker, then Fiona O'Grady
3	[sp?]. We'll turn to Lucas Healy first. Thank you.
4	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'm told that Lucas
6	does not have his mic on, that don't have audio.
7	Maybe Paulette, if you can connect to audio. If not
8	we'll move on to Amber Decker. Okay, Paulette, if
9	you could move on to Paulette.
10	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.
11	PAULETTE HEALY: Hi, yeah, Lucas wasn't
12	able to unmute. It wasn't allowing him to unmute.
13	Can should I still go, or should I allow him to go
14	now?
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I'm being told that
16	Lucas is not connected to audio. So, Paulette, why
17	don't you go and see if we can then have him do it
18	under your name on the are you with him together?
19	PAULETTE HEALY: Yeah, we're in the car,
20	so that might be why
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Okay,
22	okay, so let
23	PAULETTE HEALY: his signal is coming in

and out.

3

4

5

6

you.

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: him go under your name and your -- the system you're using, okay?

PAULETTE HEALY: Sure, no problem.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, Lucas, we see

LUCAS HEALY: Hello, Council Members. My

name is Lucas Healy, and I'm a D75 student, and students advocate. I'm here to tell you I'm one of the lucky few. I started in class with only six children and had no verbal skills. Thanks for a supportive school staff and teachers who saw my potential. I not only found my voice, but I now in inclusion program learning along with general education students at Telecommunications High School and testifying with me-- with my own words here today. Sorry, I'm quite nervous. I was not one of the students who were forced to learn in a space once used for cleaning supplies. I was not one of the students who end up handcuffed when I had a hard time and couldn't say why. I was not one of the students-- I was not one of the kids that is getting my arm broken because I would not still-- I would not sit still. I was one of the lucky ones. One of the few because these-- because these situations happened

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

_	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 102
2	more often than humanly acceptable. I'm one of the
3	1,500 students out of 25,000 in the inclusion program
4	that is that has less than one percent, and the
5	numbers is less than when the program started over 30
6	years ago. These odds are unfair and has harmed
7	thousands of students when our mind
8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has
9	expired.
10	LUCAS HEALY: Can I just finish up,
11	please?
12	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Please do. You can
13	finish.
14	LUCAS HEALY: Thanks. My D75 friends
15	finally going to be given a chance to shine. Sorry.
16	We should belong all be lucky we should all be
17	lucky for thanks for the chance to speak. Thank
18	you.
19	CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you so much
20	for your testimony. Thank you.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Lucas.
22	Paulette is next, followed by Amber.
23	PAULETTE HEALY: Okay, can [inaudible] I
24	hope you can hear me. Alright. As you just heard

from my son Lucas, he is an inclusion student, one of

only 1,500 after 30 years of the launch of the D75
inclusion program. He attended the Summer Bridge
program offered by Telecommunications, was able to
make friends, meet his teachers, and go through the
front door. The minute September started and he was
diverted to the D75 inclusion program, he was told to
go in the side entrance for his own safety, the same
school he's been going through the front entrance in
all summer, the same child who I personally had to
teach how to travel train [sic] because the D75
district office failed to do so for the last three
years. I am beyond angry hearing the DOE try to
justify the Chancellor's atrocious comments and
continuing using deficit language like tiers and
remediation in the same sentence that they're trying
to say we're diverting from a deficit mindset. The
deficit is in the framing, and unless the framing
changes, our children will continually be seen as
less than and broken and unteachable. To continue to
hear the Chancellor try to justify his intentions
behind his horrific statement, and hearing the
General Counsel say, well, it was OATH's
responsibility to relieve the backlog of impartial
hearings, while at the same time spending hundreds of

2	millions of dollars hiring per-diem lawyers for the
3	General Counsel to represent the DOE in the same
4	impartial cases; \$38 million was just allocated as
5	recently as July, and I am sure that \$38 million
6	dollars could have gone a lot further in terms of
7	retaining the early education coordinators and social
8	workers that we just lost six days before school
9	started. I'm sure that the \$38 million dollars would
10	have gone further securing necessary related
11	providers so that our families don't continue having
12	to go to impartial hearing. I'm sure the \$38 million
13	dollars could have gone to social workers to
14	alleviate the backlog of evaluations so that our
15	related service providers are not being told by
16	principals to underserve our children and hand out
17	RSAs as if they were a coupon at Subways. I am so
18	angry because we are not gaming the system. we're
19	not gaming the system when we are out in front of
20	Tweed [sic] protesting for safer work environments
21	because our teacher's work environments are our
22	children's learning environments. It is not gaming
23	the system when we are rallying and protesting and
24	marching across the Brooklyn Bridge to make sure that
25	our kids actually get to school on time. And we are

2 not gaming the system when we are asking our schools 3 to allow our children to stay at school for the full 4 day instead of leaving an entire period early just

5 to--

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

PAULETTE HEALY: make sure that the bussing leaves on time. I just want to finish by saying we are not gaming the system. As the Chancellor is right now, I have no faith that anything will change under this Chancellor. So we are not the ones gaming the system. The Chancellor is the one that's managing the store, and implore City Council to please release legislation similar to what Public Advocate Williams released today in terms of accountability for accessible -- fully accessible buildings, because we cannot wait any longer. Our children have waited long enough, and we don't want them to come back to the system as adults seeing it the same as they left it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next we'll hear from Amber Decker followed by Fiona O'Grady.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AMBER DECKER: Oh, great, sorry. Hi, m	ıy
name is Amber Decker. I'm a Brooklyn resident and	
parent of a high school students with an IEP, also	a
special education advocate	

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Amber, we're having difficulty hearing you.

AMBER DECKER: Okay. I apologize I couldn't be there in person-- can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That's a little better. Thank you.

AMBER DECKER: Okay. In response to the legislation on the national suicide, I wanted to add that the New York City DOE social workers are required to get training from DOHMH and required to report on follow-through on the rules for IEP students for waiver services such as those offered by OPWBD and OMH. We should require reporting on this too. A national helpline is not enough. Are there any updates on the New York City DOE use of [inaudible] workers? Any reporting on how they work to address mental health issues? What do they do? What have they done? Substance abuse is rampant amongst teens in schools, and there's lots of research on how it's connected to suicide. In

2	response to the legislation on 504 and ADA
3	accessibility, I want to reference the committee
4	report that sadly does not include a description of
5	the New York State alternate assessment. On page
6	nine, foot note 62 is missing. Committee report
7	states that ACES classes follow the New York State
8	Learning Standards and [inaudible] of UDL [sic] if
9	the students participate in alternate assessment,
10	including New York State alternate assessment. The
11	report leaves out that all of the students in this
12	program are automatically placed on New York State
13	alternate assessment, which means that they're
14	automatically placed on a non-diploma track. There's
15	no city legislation on New York State alternate
16	assessment. There's no city reporting or oversight
17	on how and if parents are informed about what it
18	means for their child to be on alternate assessment.
19	There's no reporting on how a student is found
20	eligible for New York State alternate assessment
21	which bars them from getting a high school diploma.
22	Students who have New York State alternate assessment
23	on their IEP have little to no options after high
24	school, no high school diploma, no high school
25	credit, even for classes that they have and can

expired.

2	participate in, and in which there are no
3	[inaudible]. New York City should be leading the wa
4	on ensuring that students have the right to earn a
5	high school diploma, heck, even a high school
6	equivalency diploma. There were no questions today
7	asked about IEP students whose IEPs are mandated
8	through New York State Alternate Assessment. It
9	doesn't seem that the City Council knows what it
10	means when a student is mandated through New York
11	State alternate assessment. It means that the
12	students will get zero credit towards the 44 credits
13	high school diploma requirement here in New York
14	City. And does anyone know how to even get Section
15	504 ADA testing accommodations on a high school
16	equivalency exam? How many disabled students
17	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has

AMBER DECKER: I'm almost done. How many students age out and they're still trying to get a high school equivalency diploma? How many of those adults can even get testing accommodations after age 21 under ADA and Section 504? And how many students in D75 and in specialized programs are on New York State alternate assessment? I ask that the Council

1	
Ц	

3

4

6

7

8

)

1011

12

13

14 15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

put diploma pathways for IEP students on their agenda and that the legislation on today's agenda with respect to ADA and 504 accessibility also include New York State alternate assessment data, and perhaps even subcommittee [inaudible]. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Amber.

Next we'll hear from Fiona O'Grady. Fiona?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

FIONA O'GRADY: My name is Fiona O'Grady and I represent the Samaritans of New York Inc's Suicide Prevention Center in New York City. Samaritans wants to lend its support for the proposed legislation requiring the NYC DOE to provide information on the new 988 national hotline number and other related suicide prevention resources. Samaritans has operated New York City's confidential 24-hour suicide prevention hotline for 40 years, a period in which we have responded to 1.5 million calls for people were overwhelmed, in distress, and feeling suicidal. Samaritans is also credited with bringing suicide prevention professional development training to DOE and has provided education and awareness tools and resources to literally tens of

thousands of DOE student support personnel over the

2	past 30 years. Tied to this legislation, this is
3	what our experience has taught us, the more choices
4	people have, the more likely they are to seek help,
5	but there is no one answer. Depending on their
6	background, culture, history, sexual identity,
7	economic status, etcetera, people have different
8	levels of comfort and confidence, and it is
9	imperative that we make sure to provide them with the
10	best options possible. The upgraded national mental
11	health emergency response service provided when
12	people call 988 can be a very valuable resource in a
13	time of crisis, and families, caregivers and health
14	providers need to be aware of its benefits, policies
15	and practices in delivering services. But depending
16	on the individual's needs, there are other valuable
17	services that can be as beneficial or even more,
18	depending on the person or family member's history,
19	perceptions, social and cultural values etcetera.
20	Samaritans completely confidential hotline, NAMI's
21	NYC's hotline and support services, Safe Horizon,
22	Trans Lifeline are only a few of the quality crisis
23	response and support services offered by New York
24	City's caring community. We encourage NYC DOE, all
25	department and city Departments, nonprofits and

2.2

community groups, and places of worship to learn more
about the services that are available so that they
can be best informed and in a position to help and
support those they respond to, treat, and care for.
we believe Samaritan's free New York City Guide to
Suicide Prevention Services and Resources, which
there's dozens of local resources and over 100
services, can be a very helpful local tool in finding
the right service and should be included in all
suicide prevention outreach materials. We thank you
for the time today, and wish you well with this new
initiative, the 988 national hotline number. Thank
you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. The next panel will be Whitney Toussaint [sp?] followed by Natasha-- can't see a last name-- and then Lupe Hernandez. So, Whitney Toussaint, if you're ready we'll hear your testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

WHITNEY TOUSSAINT: Thank you. I want to thank Chair Joseph and the Committee Members for having me speak today. I really appreciate this. I am a parent of a student with an IEP in fourth grade in New York City schools. I would just like to start

2	this off saying I heard the comments earlier about
3	equity in getting evaluation, and I'm going to
4	acknowledge my privilege as a person who was able to
5	pay for use outside evaluation, but it wasn't easy
6	for me. I'm not a wealthy person. We're not a
7	wealthy family. We had to use our savings and I had
8	to borrow from my 401K to get the evaluation for my
9	son [inaudible]. I didn't know the magic words to
10	get teachers or anyone at the school to help me, and
11	I had been asking for some sort of evaluation for my
12	son since was in kindergarten, and I was not able to
13	get the services for him until he was at home with me
14	virtually throughout the pandemic, and noticed how
15	far he was behind his peers in his virtual class.
16	Some of the language that was in my son's evaluations
17	that I paid for said that he had below average skills
18	regarding visual, motor, [inaudible] poor motor and
19	coordination development, and he could only write
20	letters correctly at the first attempt with a 50
21	percent accuracy, and this was at the end of second
22	grade. But again, the privilege was my job and the
23	resources that I had allowed me to do that. What if
24	I was a parent that didn't have this? What if
25	English wasn't my first language? This has taken a

toll on my mental health. I'm even spending time
walking the streets of New York, missing a class that
I am paying for just to spend time and talk to you
all today to fight for children like my son, and we
really need help. These programs that were just
taken away, we got back from the pandemic. They had
after school recovery services to help my son after
school in third grade. That program is gone. He's
in fourth grade now and he's still reading below
level. I'm still paying for outside OT and speech
services. I was able to luckily find out about a
neuropsychological evaluation with the child mind
[sic] [inaudible] through people that I met talking
about fighting for special education with their
children. I couldn't have afforded a
neuropsychological evaluation on my own. That's
money that I still don't have. We had to borrow just
to get the evaluations done for my so. So please,
please, Council Members. Thank you, Chair Joseph.
Thank you, Committee Members. Please, continue to
work for our students instead [sic] [inaudible]
services in our students in special education. Thank
vou.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next

3 we'll hear from Natasha.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

NATASHA MUZAFFAR: Hi. Yes, my name is Natasha Muzaffar [sp?] Thank you so much for giving me the opportunity to testify on the importance of meeting the need of student with disability. My name is Natasha Muzaffar. I live in Queens with my fiveyear-old son Zayed [sp?]. He's a child with disability. Before he started pre-k, I contacted Department of Education to request preschool special education evaluation. They did not respond and I had to contact DOE several times to ask them to evaluate my son. DOE did not send me paperwork to move forward with the evaluation until May of this year, which is nine months after I had asked for evaluation. Throughout this time he was struggling in his pre-k classroom. His teachers would tell me he would be running out of classroom. He was not following along with the lessons. He was not interacting with other children. I was so glad that finally we were able to schedule his evaluation. Evaluation showed what I already knew, that he was very behind academically. He was nonverbal and has many behavioral needs. The

2	evaluation agency send the evaluation package to DOE
3	in June of this year. Instead of holding an IEP
4	meeting for Zayed to recommend the special education
5	service, DOE closed his case because he was no longer
6	a preschool students, although he was starting
7	kindergarten in September. DOE did not work with me
8	to create an IEP for the start of this school year.
9	He started kindergarten two weeks ago with no special
10	education services in place, despite me going to DOE
11	personally many time, and then basically just telling
12	me to dump my child at the door of the school and let
13	the school deal with it, and for the school to
14	actually tell me that legally I can keep him home for
15	a year, which by law I know is illegal. DOE finally
16	held the IEP meeting today and is now recommending
17	that I place my child in another school, but this was
18	only after Advocates of Children of New York got
19	involved that and after they had to restart the
20	whole evaluation process which was only dated two
21	months ago. Although they had several evaluations
22	showing, he needs immediate support. Last couple of
23	week of school has been very challenging for him.
24	He's not following the classroom routine. He's
25	triggered by classroom activities like singing and

videos which make him scream and cry for help. I can
tell my child is traumatized. He's being destructive
and aggressive. He's not eating. He's not sleeping.
The whole time he's in school he's not having his
breakfast, lunch or a snack, which I think is very
detrimental to his health. I work as a teacher at a
private high school, and I've seen DOE fail many
times to meet the needs of older students as well.
see my student wait for months for services
recommended on their IEP and go without language
support services that they need. It is very
upsetting as a mother and as a teacher to see DOE
drop the ball repeatedly. Please make sure that New
York City students from preschool to high school get
the evaluation and services they need and don't have
to wait like my son and the students have waited.
Please stop leaving the children behind. Thank you
so much for this opportunity.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much,
Natasha. The next witness will be Lupe Hernandez.
This is the last one on this panel. And the next
panel, so that you can be ready is Crystal BakerBurr, Melinda Andra, Amy Tsai, Emily Hellstrom, and
Kimberly Blair. Lupe?

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

3 LUPE HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon, Chair 4 Joseph, Jane, and the remaining Education Committee, 5 and if anyone is there form the DOE as well. My name is Lupe Hernandez, and I am a parent of a fourth 6 7 grader with an IEP. I serve on the Committee Education Council for District Two as Borough 8 President Appointee, and I also Chair the Students with Disabilities Committee. Today, I am speaking on 10 11 behalf of capacity as me as a parent along with many 12 other families that are very angry. Angry about -- I 13 would like to talk about the impact on students with 14 disabilities. This past three years has only 15 exacerbated what we already know. I'll briefly try to go through many things that were touched upon 16 17 today already. Fair Student Funding, we knew this 18 already, it never has adequately supported our 19 students with disabilities. We've known this and 20 special education we know need a boost in funding, 21 not additional cuts, as I will try to show you what this Administration has done in these past nine 2.2 2.3 months. For decades have not received their mandated related services. As we've heard today, a lot of 24 this is due to staff shortages, such as counselors, 25

2 para's, therapists, special education teachers, 3 educators, as well as inappropriate placements due to lack of transportation, again, due to staff 4 shortages. Most of these shortages come from the lack of equitable pay parity. That was spoken about 6 today. Language justice, therapy para's, speech 7 8 therapists, occupational therapists, physical therapists in languages other than English have been shortcoming and not sufficient. Families with 10 11 disabilities -- with students with disabilities have 12 been accused by this Administration and we are really angry about this. I can't say this enough. 13 14 that they said we are gaming the system with the 15 Carter Law, having to sue the Department of Education 16 in order for our children to receive the free 17 appropriate public education that is their due 18 process. This process is long, draining, exhausting, 19 frustrating, and expensive, for even those that 20 actually have the time, the money, and the resources 21 to go through it. It is not a picnic. It is not a 2.2 walk in the park. Many families -- I could tell you 2.3 just the personal experience, how many families in my son's kindergarten ICT classroom at our local public 24 school was asked within the second, third day of 25

2.2

2.3

2	school if we had explored private special education.
۷.	School II we had explored private special education.
3	They pushed five of these boys out. My son is the
4	one out of these five boys that are remaining in that
5	school. I advocate, I fought. Also partially
6	because I did not have the means to actually exhaust
7	the private school option. In fact, many private
8	schools that are fully funded by Department of
9	Education that does not require reimbursement or
10	suing,

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

LUPE HERNANDEZ: are looping [sic].

They're diminishing. So, they're becoming private.

An example of special education funds being diverted, the egregious budget cuts and where the Administration thought that they would give \$150,000 to principals and allow them to use it for teacher salaries when that money was supposed to go to SERS [sic], students that did not receive those SERS, compository [sic] services as well as OT/PT therapists that still have not been paid. The excess of close to 400 social workers and instructional coordinator— this is a crucial time in early education for teachers to be able to identify young

2	students with learning disabilities. We're why are
3	we pulling back? For profit bus companies with zero
4	oversight or accountability, these contracts
5	continued to be renewed while student transportation
6	has been non-existent for some, late for most, long
7	bus routes for all. Bussing has caused the excessive
8	absences that are not allowing our students to
9	participate in SERS as well. But talk about
10	complaints about these kids not getting to school, if
11	there's not a bus para, that student cannot board the
12	bus. And OPT as far as complaints, they said these
13	complaints have gone down. I would like to really
14	acknowledge the fact that that process to file a
15	complaint changes throughout the year. So and it's
16	not available in all languages, and as of right now,
17	it's only available via online. Some schools don't
18	have parent coordinators who are transportation
19	coordinators. And why is the focus on the few
20	accelerated learners and families that are
21	threatening to flee this system, when over hundreds
22	of thousands of students, we have been begging for
23	the past two to 20 years for our students to get
24	the mandated bare minimum, and yet, we continue to be
25	ignored, and money keeps getting shifted away from

2	special education. When the Chancellor said 1.2
3	billion dollars can go to after school programs, no,
4	1.2 billion dollars should be shifted into the public
5	schools for special education so that they don't need
6	to go outside of the public school system. Please,
7	listen to the advocates. SSA I'm sorry, our
8	communities especially those with students that are
9	experiencing emotional disabilities, we need more
10	healing centered based practices. We are we cutting
11	from restoratives justice programs? Advocates work
12	years to implement this, and then all of the sudden
13	we're putting more SSAs in these schools, and our
14	students with disabilities are impacted the most,
15	especially our black and brown students. There needs
16	to be a shift in special education advocates and
17	students and administrators need to be a part of this
18	conversation because we've been left out. When we
19	talk about diversity, there needs to be diverse of
20	learning abilities as well. And I apologize. We're
21	angry. We are frustrated. And we're tired because
22	we get pushed aside for small vocal minority talking
23	about kids that are doing very well, but they have
24	become a priority of this Administration. And that's
25	unfair. These problems have existed for for 20

2.2

2.3

years. Let's get to bus. And I'm sorry, Mayoral

3 control has also been around for 20 years, so maybe

4 that's the problem. We need to really address this,

5 state level, citywide level, we need to work

6 | together. Please, thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony, Lupe. I need to call the next panel, which will be Crystal Baker-Burr from the Bronx Defenders, followed by Melinda Andra from the Legal Aid Society, then Amy Tsai from the New York Coalition for Educating Families Together, and Emily Hellstrom from Literacy Academy Collective, and Kimberly Blair from the National Alliance on Mental Illness in New York City. Just so they'll be ready, the next panel after that is Jennifer Manning [sp?], Jaqueline Vargas [sp?], and Beth Heller [sp?]. Thank you. Crystal, whenever you're ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

CRYSTAL BAKER-BURR: Thank you Chair

Anita Joseph and members of the Committee that still

remain for the opportunity to speak before you today.

I'm Crystal Baker-Burr, the Director of the Education

Project at the Bronx Defenders, and today I'm going

to talk to you about students who haven't been

mentioned today. Some of the City's most	. vulnerable
students, those students that are sitting	in cages,
those students that are routinely pepper	sprayed,
students that are subjected to violence d	laily who
have watched as young people around them	have died,
carried out without an acknowledgement of	the impact
of their death, students who are deprived	l of the hope
and freedom that come hand-in-hand with e	ducation. I
am talking to you about the students with	L
disabilities that are incarcerated on Rik	ers Island,
whose rights to an education are protecte	d by state
and federal law, but whose rights are bei	ng denied.
In April of 2021 I spoke before this comm	nittee and I
told you the harrowing story of one clien	t who was
trying to access his special education se	ervices, who
to this very day has never seen a teacher	at Rikers
Island or set foot in the school on Riker	s Island
East River Academy. Over the last year a	nd a half
I've spoken with many more young adults w	nho are
similarly being denied access to their ed	lucation.
There are few troubling themes that I've	observed
during speaking with these students, and	these
concerns must be addressed. Number one,	the majority
of young incarcerated people I've spoken	with have

2 asked to go to school and have been denied. 3 have been told they have to be hand-picked or win in 4 a lottery run by the Warden in order to get the privilege of their federally and state-protected 5 special education services. This is illegal and it 6 must be remedied. Two, majority of young 8 incarcerated clients are students with disabilities, disproportionately represented in the incarcerated student population, who should with recently 10 11 legislation that was passed by the state be afforded 12 the opportunity to go to school until the age of 24 13 to obtain their diploma with special education 14 services in place, even on Rikers Island. Three, a 15 disproportionate number of young adult clients 16 previously attended District 75 schools which have 17 been spoken about today, and were classified as 18 having an emotional disability. But when asked what 19 services they were receiving at those schools, most 20 remember counseling vaguely, but no other behavioral health supports, and that's a problem that needs to 21 2.2 be addressed. My takeaway from these conversations 2.3 with students is not only are these students being harmed by their current denial of access to their 24 education and special education support, but the harm 25

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 205
2	to them started far before their incarceration. The
3	Department of Education is failing students that need
4	behavioral health supports. Standalone District 75
5	high schools are pushing students into jails and
6	prisons at alarming rates. Denying incarcerated
7	young people access-
8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time is
9	expired.
10	CRYSTAL BAKER-BURR: [inaudible] is a
11	factor in the increasing violence and hopelessness or
12	Rikers Island. These students must be prioritized and
13	protected long before they are pushed into the school
14	to prison pipeline. Without strong pressure from
15	this committee, nothing will change. This committee
16	must act to ensure that DOE and DOC no long deprive
17	incarcerated students with disabilities of their
18	right to an education. Thank you for your time.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much,
20	Crystal. Next we'll hear from Melinda Andra.
21	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.
22	MELINDA ANDRA: Good afternoon. My name
23	is Melinda Andra and I'm a Supervising Attorney in
24	the Education Advocacy Project at the Legal Aid

25 Society. I want to thank Chair Joseph and the

2	Education Committee for the work you have done to
3	address the needs of the City's most vulnerable
4	children, including students in foster care, students
5	in the juvenile legal system, and in the adult legal
6	system, and students with disabilities. We know that
7	students of color are overrepresented in all of these
8	groups, and moreover there's significant overlap
9	between these groups. So I wish to echo the concerns
10	expressed by many members of the Committee and other
11	advocates about special education evaluations, the
12	need for effective provision of compensatory
13	services, language access, transportation, and social
14	and emotional supports. Certainly, all students with
15	disabilities have the right to receive high-quality
16	evaluations and services in a timely way, but like
17	Ms. Baker-Burr, I want to elevate an often overlooked
18	subpopulation of the group of students with
19	disabilities, and that is students with disabilities
20	who do become involved in the adult legal or juvenile
21	legal systems. Mr. Healy spoke earlier about seeing
22	his fellow students handcuffed, and unfortunately his
23	experience is not unusual for students with
24	disabilities. The DOE is currently required to
25	report about students with disabilities enrolled in

2	DOE schools on Rikers Island, and while the
3	Department of Corrections is currently blocking those
4	students from attending school. Since Raise the Age,
5	this covers this report covers only those students
6	from 18 to 21, and it does not include any
7	information about the level to which these students
8	IEPs are being implemented. We know that students
9	with disabilities are more likely to be pushed into
10	the school to prison pipeline. We see that every day
11	with our clients, and no similar reporting is
12	required about students in juvenile detention. We
13	know from previous DOE testimony before this body
14	that about 65 percent of the students in juvenile
15	detention have been identified as being students with
16	disabilities. The real percentage is probably much
17	higher, as not all of those students have been
18	evaluated. Anecdotally, we know from our students
19	that many do not receive special education services
20	or receive instruction from any special education
21	teachers or service providers while in detention.
22	And I want to talk about one particular client that
23	we recently represented. This client was 13 years
24	old, and he had been mercilessly bullied due to a
25	serious speech and language delay. He had a physical

2.2

altercation with one of his bullies in school, and
police were called and he was charged with assault
and arrested. When he was brought to court, one of
the factors that the juvenile court judge used to
determine that he should be placed in detention was
his poor school attendance. And when his Legal Aid
Attorney spoke with him, this young man said that he
avoided attending school because it was so difficult
for him academically, and because he was always
bullied about the way he spoke. One of our advocates
along with a parent reached out to the school at
Passages Academy, which is the school that students
who are in juvenile detention

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

MELINDA ANDRA: and request that he be re-evaluated in the hopes that he would get services while in detention, and that when he left detention he would be able to get-- have the appropriate services provided to him. Although that program is open during the summer, there was no school psychologist on site. And there was no coordination even when we reached out to the principal to ask that this child be evaluated. To date, his parent does

2	not know if he received any special education
3	services or if he got his speech and language, which
4	had already been mandated on his IEP when he was in
5	detention. And when he was discharged from
6	detention, the DOE had done no work on his case. He
7	started high school this week, and he has still not
8	been evaluated, and we are depending on his new
9	school to do that evaluation. And this was not the
10	fault of the school psychologist who had a right to
11	use her vacation time, and who covers multiple
12	Passage's sites. However, it is the fault of the
13	Department of Education who had a responsibility to
14	ensure that this young man's needs were being met.
15	Before this incident and while he was in detention in
16	a DOE school. So I ask the City Council to consider
17	imposing reporting requirements on the DOE to include
18	information about students with disabilities enrolled
19	in schools in the City's juvenile detention
20	facilities, information about special education
21	services that are being provided there, and to what
22	extent those students are being served. And I thank
23	Chairperson Joseph and the entire Education Committee
24	for their attention to the needs of students with

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

disabilities and for holding this hearing today.

3 Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much,
Linda, for your testimony. Next we'll hear from Amy
Tsai.

AMY TSAI: Can you hear me? Good evening. My name is Amy Tsai. I am a CEC member for the District 75 Citywide Programs for the Citywide Council. I'm also here representing as New York City's Coalition for Educating Families Together, New York City CEFT. I am the Vice President for Special Education in District 75 Policy. I really wanted to touch on and I don't want to add to all of the issues that a lot of the advocates and parents here have already testified, but really, really want to touch on student transportation. That is the access to education for our students under the Fair Appropriate Public Education. We want to make sure that students with disabilities, students with IEPs, students [inaudible] English language learners, [inaudible] housing, and foster children who are the most vulnerable in New York City and the largest population that accounts for the size of Houston, Texas. So, you know, transportation as we hear--

Council Member Joseph, Chair, you had heard yourself
from the voices of the parents in District 75 how
critical these issues are from the littlest things
from not having a bus service to a late service,
servicing four hour a day, just like myself who has
three children out of five who have disabilities, and
travel across the City just to get a program that
they fit for their needs. I really want to touch that
OPT services is not just a service. It is a lifeline
for families to get an education and the resources
that they need for their child. OPT hasn't been as
responsive as they claim here today with their
analytics. They have claimed that less of the
complaint forms versus what has been in a larger
number previous years, but also this is due to
pandemic. A lot of our families are just unable to
know who to reach out for complaints or even language
barriers. Language justice hasn't been self-served
from the Office of Pupil Transportation. We want to
make sure that they understand that those numbers on
the ground does not exist. Those numbers are much,
much higher in District 75 as well as across general
IEP students that ride a school bus. We also want to
make sure that the funding for recovery services are

not just so that you can hire teachers in the
shortage of teachers, but also to really compensate
those services that were not given and were promised
to students and families last year during the
pandemic. My child didn't receive the services,
because there was no busing and that was offered in-
person only and no bus service. And so therefore, we
have thousands of families in District 75 and in Gen
Ed ICT classes that are offered these services and
still not being reviewed or told that if we were
going to have it this year with the remaining amount
of money. We want to make sure that relief services
are still compliant and also recovery for those non-
compliance the last three years of pandemic. OT
and PT and students counseling are very important to
our children throughout their academic life here in
the school system.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

AMY TSAI: So, transitioning workforce is really important. we don't want to see our children staying home early on in the early childhood just so they can get a service that's appropriate fit for them in Special Ed as well as exiting the system with a CDOS [sic] degree, but a high school diploma,

\_

making sure there's post-secondary readiness for them and that there's available careers across the city, as well as city agencies that can hire them. And so I really appreciate our chair here at Committee of Education, Chair Rita Joseph, for allowing me to speak this evening. I apologize I'm nervous, but thank you for all the members here ads well from City Council, the opportunity to speak.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much,

Amy. Next we'll hear from Emily Hellstrom.

EMILY HELLSTROM: Hi, my apologies for some of the background noise. I just realized that I would be in transit. My name is Emily Hellstrom and I'm a co-founder of Literacy Academy Collective, a nonprofit organization that is bringing evidence-based literacy instruction into the DOE public school system. We partner with schools, families and community-based organizations, institutes of higher education to bring culturally relevant structured literacy to the most marginalized students at the intersection of race, income, and disability. Our aim is to alter life outcomes by allowing children to access learning through literacy. We at Literacy Academy Collective believe that literacy is the

As many

2

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

biggest civil rights issue of our day.

3 people have referenced, in Rikers Island 60 to 80

4 percent of incarcerated individual's age 19 to 21

5 have reading and writing disabilities. The inability

6 to read provides a barrier to all learning in school.

7 We must [inaudible] therefore, we think that it is

8 deeply important to commit funds to [inaudible]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: [interposing] Emily,

10 we're losing your audio. It's going in and out.

apologize. I would just say that it is deeply important that we look and commit funds to educating teachers, deep [sic] teacher training. We must use our funds to pay teachers for training. Literacy work is complicated. It is difficult, and as the Godmother of structured literacy said, "Teaching reading is rocket science, but our children are worth it." So I implore you and urge you to take a deep look at how we are funding and using our dollars to educate teachers on how to teach literacy across all areas of learning. Early education is key so that all people can have access to literacy. So, my

apologies for my microphone issues, but thank you so

much.

24

\_

3

4

Э

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

15

14

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you much,

Emily. Last person on this panel is Kimberly Blair, and the next panel--

: [interposing] [inaudible]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: will be Jennifer Manning [sp?], Jacqueline Vargas [sp?], and Beth Heller [sp?]. So, Kimberly you're up.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

KIMBERLY BLAIR: [inaudible] Good

afternoon Chair Joseph and members of the Committee.

My name is Kimberly Blair and I serve as the Director

of Public Policy and Advocacy for the National

Alliance on Mental Illness of New York City. I also identify as someone who was first diagnosed with a

mental health condition in the  $9^{\rm th}$  grade despite

exhibiting symptoms for many years. The focus of

NAMI NYC's testimony today is to come out in support

of Intro 610 to bring 988 crisis line information to students with a couple of small amendments that I've

included in my written. But before we delve into the

bill, I think it's important to explain that as part

of our peer support services, my org has an Ending

[inaudible] program that we have brought to an

average of 100 for New York City schools over the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

past three years upon request and free of charge. For the sake of time, I've included more information about that in appendix A to my written, but the reason I really bring up this program is because we have a primary look into the school setting and the concerns raised by students, parents, teachers, and school staff. Anecdotally, we heard-- we have heard about the increased anxiety and depressive symptoms students experience due to the ever-changing context of COVID, and we've heard from teachers how they have to return to the school context without adequate resources to refer students to. So, the reason we rely on these anecdotes is because DOE launched a mental health screening initiative last school year, and the public is still unsure how that data has been used to inform students support programming, mental health staff hiring, trends, or interventions with students at risk. However, what we do know is the New York State Comptroller's most recent audit report of DOE and its finding align with NAMI NYC's experience so far. The report finds that a third of schools do not have any of the state structured mental health programs that DOE claims it offers in all school. I have also provided a map in my written

3 F 4 6 5 r 6 r 7 F 8 s

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

programmings are in school districts, and moreover,

64 percent of New York City schools in this report do

not meet the recommended school counselor to student

ratio needed to support students. Twenty-eight

percent don't even have a school social worker on

staff, and of the schools that do have a school

social worker, 80 percent do not meet the recommended

ratio of social workers on staff. So all of these

are failings on behalf of DOE to our student

population. And while 988-- the 988 crisis line and

introducing that to students will not resolve many of

the structural issues we have presented so far, it is

so you can see the disparity of where the

a first step that this City Council can take to show up for students, at a time where the bare minimum is

being done to address the mental health of students.

18 And for those who don't know about 988, I have

included links in my written to videos that we

published this summer with NYC Well and OMH. Again,

I really hope this committee watches those videos--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has

23 expired.

J

KIMBERLY BLAIR: and seriously considers our testimony in support of this bill and votes favorably on it. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony, Kimberly, and for submitting in writing as well. Thank you. Okay, we'll move on to the next panel which is the last one that we have here, is Jennifer Manning from the Neighborhood Charter Schools, Jacqueline Vargas, followed by Beth Heller. Jennifer, you're up.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

JENNIFER MANNING: Good afternoon. I'm

Jennifer Manning and I'm the Director of Special
Population at Neighborhood Charter School. We have
two campuses in Harlem and the Bronx, and we
currently have an ASD [sic] program that our school
was founded on. About 15 percent of our school are
students on the spectrum. Within our program, every
single one of our classes in our school are
integrated co-teaching classrooms. We believe in the
full integration of students regardless of their
disability classification, and we believe in holding
a high academic bar, and changing the way that we are
delivering instruction in order to ensure that kids

2	are able to meet that mark. We're one of the only
3	charter schools in this city that really values
4	special education, and because I know that because
5	we've gotten several, several students and families
6	over the years who have said who have left either
7	the Department of Education or other charter school
8	in order to come to our school and be able to finally
9	have their kid be learning and not be pushed out of
10	their school. We work very closely with the
11	Committee on Special Education as a charter school,
12	and they're often as helpful as they can be, but I
13	know that they are working within really difficult
14	constraints and are working within laws and policies
15	that are almost impossible for people to be able to
16	implement. One really specific example is we
17	sometimes have kids who really just need more
18	services than we as an independent charter school are
19	able to provide, and when we are able to get a
20	placement on IEP that's what they need, they're often
21	not given a school location that's either close to
22	their home or they're given a location that hasn't
23	been vetted that those services exist there. Last
24	year, we had a kid who needed a 12:1:1 classroom, and
25	they were given a placement that did not have that

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 220
2	setting at their school, and we were told that the
3	school needed to figure it out, that they were not
4	going to figure it out, because in December it's
5	impossible for a school to come up with a placement
6	that didn't exist in the first place. So, instead of
7	finding a location with somewhere else in the City
8	that had that setting, we had to fight with CSE until
9	we finally got a setting that worked. Again, I
0	don't' fully blame the Committee on Special Education
1	for this. I think they're working within laws and
_2	policies that aren't they're not able to fully
_3	enact. Something else that we have really struggled
4	with within our school has been brought up a lot
.5	today which is the lack of related services provided.
-6	We are lucky enough to be able to have the funds to
_7	hire our own internal speech language pathologists
-8	and counselors and social workers at our schools.
.9	However, we don't have those funds to hire our own
20	occupational therapy therapists, paraprofessionals

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ng a location with somewhere else in the City had that setting, we had to fight with CSE until nally got a setting that worked. Again, I ' fully blame the Committee on Special Education this. I think they're working within laws and eies that aren't-- they're not able to fully Something else that we have really struggled within our school has been brought up a lot which is the lack of related services provided. e lucky enough to be able to have the funds to our own internal speech language pathologists counselors and social workers at our schools. er, we don't have those funds to hire our own pational therapy-- therapists, paraprofessionals and physical therapists. Many--SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired. JENNIFER MANNING: of our students since

the pandemic have been lacking these services, and

Ω

there has been little to no compensatory services offered. We are still fighting for more services for our kids and our family. I urge this committee to really look at the policies that are being enacted, and if it's possible for those policies to actually be carried out within the Department of Education and giving the schools the resources they need to be able to give our kid what they need. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much, Jennifer. Next we'll hear from Jacqueline Vargas.

Jacqueline Vargas. Thank you for allowing me to speak today. Thank you for having this meeting. I myself am the mother of a district 75 almost 12-year-old girl who has her set of cognitive delays and disabilities. As a parent who now works for the DOE-I am a paraprofessional, so I am not on the outside looking in. I'm on the inside looking out, and I have to say that the system continually fails our children over and over again. The first couple of days of school, I walked into work over an hour and a half late-I live two blocks away from my job-because of busing. I have to sit there and I have to wait, and you call these bus companies and they don't

2	answer. You call OPT; they don't answer. You finally						
3	get through and no one ever follows up with your						
4	complaints. I work with students with IEPs in a high						
5	school, and it's sad, and it hurts. It hurts the						
6	heart because you have to advocate for these children						
7	because they don't know how to advocate for						
8	themselves, and you become frustrated. You become						
9	overwhelmed, and you become extremely angry, because						
10	whether you are a tax paying citizen or not, you are						
11	in this country to receive services that no other						
12	country provides, so we should be held on high, and						
13	we should they politicians, Senators, the DOE						
14	themselves, they should all be ashamed of themselves						
15	with the way they are conducting the educational						
16	system in this country. It is a shame that parents						
17	have to miss work or they have to go into work						
18	because of certain situations, that parents don't						
19	know how to advocate, that do not have the knowledge						
20	or just don't know how to navigate the system to get						
21	the services that are out there. I myself struggled						
22	with getting OPWDD services for my daughter. To then						
23	find out that my daughter had OPWDD services and they						
24	were charging her Medicaid, and nobody ever walked in						
25	my door to provide services. As a parent who						

advocates, I am here to say that I help my parents
whichever way that I can. However, my daughter is
not a paycheck. My daughter is no one's political
gain. My daughter is not a pawn to say she has an
IEP, and she goes to this school and she's a
paycheck, because the school gets more money for
every IEP student that is within the building, and it
is disgusting, because they get the money and the
funds are not allocated. I say this because I work
for the DOE. I don't know if the people who work in
the DOE need to retire or they need to come off their
high horses and they need to come back into these
classrooms and they see how these schools are being
run, or whether my Administration and teachers need
to be micromanaged because they are not doing their
jobs. They are not doing their due diligence to our
children, and as my daughter's advocate cannot
advocate for herself, I will stand here and I will
fight, and I will continue to fight. I had no idea
that these meetings took place. I never knew this.
The only reason why I know about this meeting today
is because I am currently the Parent Association
President for my daughter's school and it is

3

4

\_

5

6

7

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

sickening that we live in a state that has so many services and so much money, and the money--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has expired.

JACOUELINE VARGAS: is not allocated as

they should be. I am exercising my right as freedom of speech and I will continue to speak. I am going to stand here and I will help parents whichever way that I can, and I will have my voice heard. My daughter is 12. She's been in the DOE system since she's There are things that she's perfectly capable of being able to do, but because there's a lack of support, she is not where she could be to the best of her ability because of the lack of support in the system. I've heard about services of prompt [sic] therapy, through speech therapy, but I hear you could put it on the IEP, but you won't get it because we don't have it. How does that sound to a parent who's struggling, that wants their child to be able to be as independent as they possibly can when they get older so they don't have to depend on anybody? someone sits there and says that you could put it on the IEP, but you'll never get the services. Academic para's are a must. As a para, I by law-- I don't

25

2	have to holp my student academically, but I refuse to						
۷	have to help my student academically, but I refuse to						
3	stand inside of a classroom as an educator, as a						
4	parent of a special needs child and not help a child						
5	academically and support them the best way that I						
6	possibly can. And these are the things that need to						
7	be changed. These are things that need to be						
8	addressed. And if money is an issue, then stop paying						
9	administrators 150,000 dollars a year to not run a						
10	school. Stop paying these Senators and these						
11	politicians what they get paid and put the money						
12	where it belongs, and it's with these special						
13	education students and the disability communities,						
14	and start giving these parents the information that						
15	they need to get the services that are out there.						
16	Thank you.						
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you,						
18	Jacqueline. We really appreciate your testimony.						
19	Thanks so much. Next we'll hear from Beth Heller.						
20	BETH HELLER: Hi, can you hear me?						
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.						
22	BETH HELLER: Great, excellent. Thank						
23	you very much. I have a full statement which I will						

send in, but I'm going to read points that I've

highlighted. Thank you for still having this

2	meeting. Just for the record, I've been on since one						
3	o'clock when the meeting started. I want to amplify						
4	Ms. Toussaint, Amy Tsai, Paulette Healy, Gale Brewer,						
5	and Jacqueline Vargas. Your testimony was very						
6	impactful to me, and I salute you and I thank						
7	everyone on the committee, especially Chair Rita						
8	Joseph for your work on this issue. Many in						
9	attendance today are aware of my advocacy, beginning						
10	in District 13 and now around transportation						
11	advocacy. I advocate vigorously not only on behalf of						
12	my child, but some at school, other families and						
13	friends, and also work alongside several community						
14	groups, including many of the people who were on the						
15	call today. I'd like to add also PIST NYC, which is						
16	Parents to Improve Student Transportation. They've						
17	been holding receipts on the DOE for like the last 15						
18	years, and with what they know, I'm here to tell you						
19	that the DOE is full of it. But I digress. My son						
20	is autistic with several comorbidities. He attended						
21	PS8 which I call the ivory tower of District 13, and						
22	it is no joke. It is the ivory tower of District 13.						
23	Even they with all of their resources cannot fully						
24	provide for my son, because ultimately his IEP said						
25	that he really would benefit most from a 12:1:1						

Ιt

1 COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 2 environment to reach his full potential. 3 considered a 2E learner, and the other side of kids 4 who fall through the cracks are kids who are gifted. I didn't want to pursue the gifted program because I thought it was a rigged system and highly 6 7 inequitable, and I wanted him in his public school in 8 his neighborhood, which is what the DOE also wants, but they don't provide the tools for us to send our kids there. So I'm here to tell you that screenings, 10 11 interventions, SEPS [sic] instruction, ICT classes 12 are not a suitable support and scaffolding in an environ-- our kids need an environment that best 13 14 serves their disability. So, please, don't leave 15 non-public schools out of this conversation. I had 16 the ability to dedicate all of my time to seeing my 17 son's IEP through to the end and his placement at 18 Summit, even with all the privileges that I possess 19 as a white woman, as someone who works part time and 20 has my own schedule, as someone who had a family 21 member who was able to help us pay for a private 2.2 neuro-psych [sic] because time was of the essence 2.3 because my son suffered all through third grade.

was still a horrendous and demoralizing and

dehumanizing process, and even after I did all the

24

\_

work of getting my child into summit, I literally begged the school psychologist to give us a deferment to let him attend because the special attention that needs form a 12:1:1 class, he thrives at Summit. We live in Brooklyn, and bussing has never not been an issue. And the problem is, you can't comply with a student's IEP if you don't get them to school. Now, a seventh grader— he started in fifth grade, and again, busing has never not been an issue.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Time has expired.

BETH HELLER: I'm going to keep talking.

I waited this long, I'm going to keep talking. So,
the DOE approves our kids' services, yet they won't
pay for them. Or they don't get their return on
their investment because they waste them by not
getting our kids to school. So, how can they— how
can they waste our tax payer's money flagrantly, when
one— we pay their salaries, for one. And two,
they're wasting tax payer dollars on not providing
services that are required of them by law, both human
rights and disability rights. So, I'm here to tell
the DOE that they're full of it because the staffing
shortages are due to worker's rights being stripped
out of the contract negotiation process. The

2	shortage goes back decades, and it is not COVID-
3	related. Companies can't attract employees, because
4	it's no longer a job that's attractive. There's no
5	living wages. There's no pension. There's no
6	vacation. They get docked for wearing the wrong
7	shoes. There's all this ridiculous stuff. Many of
8	the buses have no air conditioning. They're treated
9	like crap, and there's a lot of union bussing, and we
10	know that. So perhaps the 1.2 billion dollars spent
11	on the Carter funding cases could go a lot could go
12	a lot further to improve special education if there
13	were more school that provided special education.
14	How about all the underutilized schools that are
15	being taken over by Success Academy? How about we
16	use some of that room, some of that excess room in
17	mostly underserved neighborhoods, by the way, and put
18	our programs that they're so proud of and wanted to
19	tout today, and put them in those school buildings.
20	Tom Taratko can go take a look at his space
21	utilization and he can tell you exactly where that
22	space is. And I'm sorry, I'm not angry with you.
23	You've been wonderful, and I really appreciate this
24	panel. So, again, please don't keep non-public
25	schools off the table. How about they approve more

2	schools to be non-public? That way, parents won't						
3	have to sue the DOE and lay out thousands upon						
4	thousands of dollars and empty their 401K's and empty						
5	their 529's, or borrow money from grandpa, which is						
6	what I was able to do, thankfully. Most people can't						
7	do that. So, they need to create more places for our						
8	kids to go to school, because they need the						
9	environment that works for them. They are entitled						
10	to it by law and they are entitled to it just on a						
11	human level. Non-public schools are an afterthought						
12	in a lot of ways. Non parents have always been in						
13	a private situation who go into a non-public. They						
14	don't have a NYCHA account or a NYSA account. So						
15	they cannot log in to their student profile and find						
16	out what their busing information is, and the DOE						
17	numbers on the complaints are completely skewed for						
18	two reasons. One, you can never get through to the						
19	hotline. It rings for five minutes; people give up.						
20	And the online ticketing system is in a closed loop						
21	on a system that non-public parents can't log into.						
22	And what happened to the nine million dollars that						
23	the PEP awarded to the DOE to fix the OPT hotline?						
24	Why are they how are they spending this money? Why						
25	did the processes change every single year for						

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

parents to call in and complain? It's to keep us siloed [sic]. It's to keep us separate. Never the [inaudible] D75 and non-public meet. There's very little crossover in advocacy for our groups. constantly siloed from one another. So I also demand that they provide a very transparent flow chart of every single person where the tax payer -- a tax payer salary. Every single person in the DOE, so that we know who to get in touch with when we have a problem. I shouldn't have to promise a higher-up at OPT that I won't share her email so that she doesn't get spammed, because she's the only one that can get things done. That, by the way, is Diane Bedryan [sp?] and she's a rock star. I appreciate that Glenn Risbrook is auditing, finally auditing some of the bus companies, but we've got to get rid of the ones that can't fulfill their contracts, and we have to have bus companies that are willing to pay people to do their job. My son's bus was so bad the first day of school and the second day of school, the matron could barely get up and down the stairs. If that bus had tipped over, there was no way she was getting them out there in case of an emergency. She could barely walk. She shamed two girls on the bus on the

basis of their gender, and then neither the bus
driver or the matron knew how to use their phone or
GPS. They drove around Fort Greene for three hours.
My son was picked up at 7:30. He got to school at
11:00. They got fired, and he got a brand new bus
driver and a matron, but that is a very rare case,
and it's only because I've been screaming probably
the loudest for a long time, but there are all these
other groups that I mentioned earlier who have been
doing this for years and begging and begging for all
of this to change. I am gob smacked that nobody has
filed a class action lawsuit. So, I will end in my
testimony. Thank you for letting me finish. I did
wait a very long time, so I appreciate being able to
get out all of my points. I spent a lot of time
while I was waiting putting them together. I am
begging you on behalf of the families that have no
voice to please do what you can, because the DOE only
seems to respond when we make them scrutinize their
bottom line, we threaten them with a lawsuit, or they
have the potential threat of public embarrassment
through bad press. And that is just wrong. They have
one job, to educate our kids. And our Special Ed

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

kids can't get educated if they can't get to school. So, thank you very much. Thank you, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much, We appreciate you and would love for you to send us the written testimony, and yes, you did get extra time because you did wait so long and you are the last witness that we have logged in. Although I am going to call now the names of people who did register online to testify in case any of them are in the Zoom, and if so, and you'd like to testify, just use the raise hand function in Zoom and then we will call on you. Mary Jo Jenice [sp?]? Christopher Trever [sp?] from the Interagency Council of Developmental Disabilities? Beth Rosenberg from Tech Kids Unlimited? Andrea Giamadi [sp?] from the Northside Center for Child Development? Marilyn Blanco? Mylinda Lee [sp?]? Someone named Jennifer with no last name listed? Celia O'Donnell [sp?]? Mandy Sussman [sp?]? Jamal Rivers [sp?]? Ladon or Laydon Marrenbar [sp?]? I'm sorry if I butchered your Jeanine Kiely [sp?] from the Literacy Academy Collective? Priscilla Rodriguez? Paula Leon [sp?]? Yvette Castro [sp?]? Gizelle Ramirez? If any of you

2.2

2.3

are here and wish to testify once again, please use the raise hand function in Zoom. Sorry, seeing none. There are no raised hands in Zoom, so if there's anyone else who was not on that list who is present, the list of names that I read, but who is present and wishes to testify, once again, please use the raise hand function in Zoom. And I'm sorry, Beth, you can't raise your hand to speak again. Okay, apparently we're not seeing any raised hands, so I'm going to turn it back over to the Chair for her closing comments. We thank you all for testifying.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I want to thank everyone from the DOE, the parents, the advocates who testified today. DOE, got a lot of work to do, and it's been going on far too long. I was an educator for 22 years, and I've seen these things and it's still going on. So we got a lot of work. You have your assignments. The advocates are on board, parents, and you heard from our students. So we got work to do, and we're here to work and get this work done, and I want to be able to update families on where we are with our Special Education and our students with disabilities in District 75. Thank you so much. Meeting adjourned.

1		COMMITTER	○NI	EDUCATION	235
			ON	EDUCATION	233
2		[gave]			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	1				

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 25, 2022