CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

----- X

Start: 1:30 p.m.
Recess: 9:13 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Carmen N. De La Rosa,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Public Advocate Williams Erik D. Bottcher

Tiffany Cabán
Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
Kamillah Hanks
Rita C. Joseph
Julie Menin

Francisco P. Moya

Sandy Nurse

Christopher Marte Gale A. Brewer

Lincoln Restler Jennifer Gutiérrez

Keith Powers

APPEARANCES

Carlos Ortiz Senior Advisor with the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection

Elizabeth Wagoner Acting Deputy Commissioner for the Office of Labor and Policy Standards

John Rojas Human Resource Administration

Randa Henry-Jenkins
DC for Home Care Services

Gustavo Rivera Senator

Richard Gottfried Assembly Member

Bryan O'Malley
Executive Director for the Consumer Directed
Personal Assistance Association of New York State

Harvey Epstein Assembly Member

Ron Kim Assembly Member

Deborah Glick Assembly Member

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT.)

Vittoria Fariello Democratic District Leader in lower Manhattan

Lai Yee Chan Working 24-hours for CPC for over eight years

Xiao Wen Zhen
In home care since 2005

Nu Jun Zhu
In home care since 2016

Nina Bakoyiannis Downstate New York ADAPT

Jose Hernandez Home care worker

Jessica De La Rosa Marcus Johnson

Jessica Tambor Disabled requiring care

T.K. Small
Attorney in Disability Rights

Bao Jin Qiu 24-hour shift worker

Gui Zhu Chen 24-hour shift worker

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Mary Somoza
Mother of twin disabled daughters

Mahir Rahman Local Community Member from District 1

Helen Schaub 1199

Francisco Javier Castillo 1199

Stefan Henry
Disabled and needs care

Juliet Emerson-Colvin Ain't I a Woman Campaign

Health Care at People Care

Ying Fang Wu 24-hour Worker

Beverly Hanson Testifying for Margaret Glover

Elizabeth Delia Rafisio

Soji Adu

Lia Fiol-Matta

Kathy Fabraio

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT.)

President of the New York State Association of Health Care Providers

Vincent Cho Staff Organizer from Chinese Staff Workers Association

Simone Mayhew
Director of Elder Care at St. Nicks Alliance Home
Care Agency

Gina Barbara
Representing Downstate New York Downstate New
York ADAPT

Alfredo Cardillo President of the Home Care Association of New York State

Kathryn Freed Former City Council Member

Ki Yu Lee Candidate in Political Science at University of Illinois Chicago

Jeannine Kiely
Democratic District Leader downtown and I support
the No More 24 Act

Richard Corman
President of Downtown Independent Democrats

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Heidi Siegfried Health Policy Director at Center for Independence of the Disabled New York

Bernadette Bird Personal Assistant for Customer Affiliated with Concepts of Independence

Elizabeth Valdez

Diane Barnett Vice President of Government Relations and Public Affairs at Arch Care

Michael Ring

Marie Hickey

Caret Fanit Fautjamarn Home Health Aide

Henry Lynn Student and Researcher in the University of Chicago

Victoria Hillstum
In Favor of Intro. 0175

Mariama James
911 survivor and an advocate for 911 survivors

Taylor Banning

Mariam Bensman

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Anthony Caputo
CEO of Concepts of Independence

Margaret Lee Testifying on behalf of mother, retired 1199 SEIU registered nurse

Lily Randall Resident of Council District 1, testifying in support of Intro. 0175

Gary
Testifying for Chris Boa

David Eisenbach
Historian and I teach history at Columbia

James Shi Resident of New York City

Kevin Shi Resident of New York City in support Intro. 0175

Yolanda Zhang

Karen Low

Vicki New Resident of City Council District 3

Sonia Ussorio

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Anne Kochman Registered Nurse for 35 years

Simon Kostelanetz In Support of Intro. 0175

Phoebe Lopez Supporter of No More 24 Audrey Hill

Joseph Jung
In support of Intro. 0175

Kathy Lu Rank-and-File Member of the Union DC37

Zeke Lugar Resident Queens in District 29

Jihye Song Member of the National Mobilization Against Sweatshops

JoAnn Lum Organizer with the National Mobilization Against Sweatshops

Kiran Chongry
Reading the Testimony of Lois Gonzales

Sarah On In support of 0175

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a sound check for the Committee on Civil Service and Labor. Today's date is September 6, 2022, being recorded by Danny Wong at the Chambers. Ouiet down.

Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to today's Hybrid New York City Council Hearing of the Committee on Civil Service and Labor. To avoid any interruptions, please place electronic devices to vibrate or silent. If you wish to submit testimony, you may send it to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that's testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we may begin.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Alright, [GAVEL]. Good afternoon, I am Council Member Carmen De La Rosa, Chair of the New York City Council's Committee on Civil Service and Labor. Shh, please be quiet.

Welcome to today's hearing. Please be aware that real time translation of today's hearing is available in the following languages, Cantonese, Mandarin and Spanish.

If you would like to hear real time translation of today's hearing, and you are here in person, please proceed to the Sergeant at Arms table, located in the Chamber to get a headset. If you are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 10 attending today's hearing virtually, please select the livestream of today's proceedings in the language of your choice on the Council's website. Please note, real time interpretation of today's proceedings will not be provided via the Zoom webinar. wish to testify in person or virtually at today's hearing, translation for your testimony is available in the following languages, Cantonese, Mandarin, Spanish, Fujianese and Taiwanese. If you require translation for your testimony, please notify the Sergeant at Arms when you fill out your registration slip and mark your slip with the first letter of the language you require to be translated. In addition, you may alert the Committee when you are called to testify.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Interpreters, would you please provide those instructions now. Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Thank you. [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 2:27-4:29].

INTERPRETER: SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 4:37-5:57.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, today the

Committee will consider Intro. Number 0175 of 2022,

sponsored by Council Member Marte, which would impose

2.3

limits on the length and frequency of shifts and the number of hours that employers could assign to home care aides. This legislation is intended to improve the welfare of home care aides whose work is difficult and whose compensation, under state regulations, and the Administration of Medicaid, does not come close to reflecting the crucial role they play in our society.

Earlier this year, the Civil Service and Labor

Committee held a hearing on the topic of home care

aides. At the hearing, we considered Resolution 24

of 2022, which the Council swiftly adopted to call

upon the state government to enact the fair pay for

Home Care Act.

If enacted, that important legislation would do much to address the systematic problems we heard about in March and the specific concerns of home care aides and the patients who depend on them. It would increase minimum wages for home care aides by 150 percent of their current levels and require a corresponding increase in Medicaid reimbursement rates for their services.

Meaningfully increasing the pay of home care work could dramatically shift the shrinking number of home

2.3

care aides. Unfortunately, many are leaving home care due to low pay and the high cost for their own welfare from working long, exhausting hours without quality of sleep or down time. Sustained loss of home care aides has created a crisis particularly as demand for home care continues to grow.

According to a 2021 CUNY School of Labor Report, over the ten-year period from 2018 to 2028, the Home Care Aide Workforce must grow by one million home care aides in order to meet the demand in New York State. If the current trends hold, most of this projected demand will happen in New York City. Without serious investments in this critical crucial workforce, the growing number of people who need their services will face impossible choices about where to live and how to afford it.

And we will collectively face a problem of filling the gap in our health care system with a dearth of home care aides create. Although they took steps to increase pay for home care aides, when it adopted its annual budget, it has not implemented the fair pay for Home Care Act. Wage increases of \$2.00 an hour beginning in October of this year to be followed by another dollar increase next year, are

better than nothing. But with our corresponding

increases in Medicaid funding, the employers of home

care aides could struggle to cover even those minor

5 | increases required under state law.

2.3

2.4

As home to the largest population of home care aides, and their patients in the state, New York City must continue to advocate for meaningful change at the state level. It's likely there isn't a single person whose life will not at some point be touched by home care aides. This issue is deeply personal for me because my mother was a home care aide for many years.

So, I have witnessed the dedication they show their patients and the sacrifices they make in their own lives to carry out their important work. The ongoing conversation we continue today about their welfare remains a universally relevant one. As promoting their wellbeing reaps a rippling effect of reward for their families, their patients and the broader health care system. And a greater community that depends on the role home care aides play to provide essential care for our loved ones.

The Committee looks forward to hearing from the Administration and the public on the ways that Intro.

0175 could affect the groups of people who might be impacted under the shifts and hour limitation. The Committee is also interested as it was in March to continue to learn how the City Council can work with

city and state partners to better support home care

7 workers and to grow its vital workforce.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

I would like to take this opportunity to thank our committee staff for all of their hard work in putting today's hearing together. Committee Counsel Nick Connell and Policy Analyst Elizabeth Arzt. I would also like to thank my own staff, Chief of Staff James Burke and Legislative Director Kiona Dias(SP?) as well as Coms Director Fray Familia(SP?).

I will now turn it over to Council Member Marte for his opening remarks to be followed by the Public Advocate. But before we turn to testimony, please be aware of the following administrative matters.

Okay, let's hold off on those instructions.

Let's hear from Council Member Marte.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Good afternoon. First,

I'd like to thank Chair De La Rosa for holding this

hearing today, my fellow colleagues for attending,

and to the workers and patients who are here today to

stand up for basic labor standards. Intro. 0175 is

2.3

2.4

our city's legally sound tool to end the 24-hour work
day for home attendance and improved 24-hour care for
patients. 24-hour shifts are nothing short of
violent. There are people in this room who will tell
you that they are necessary. 24-hour care is

necessary. 24-hour work day are not.

The justification to keep immigrant women of color working around the clock, that you are here today are economic. Home care agencies will claim that if they had to pay every worker for every hour that they work, which that's what Intro. 0175 would accomplish, they would go bankrupt.

In what other industry would we allow the talking points of boss to defeat the rights of workers.

These agencies will not mention how despite litigation, they have refused to open up their books and show how much money is going to the salaries of their directors or in-house lobbyists instead of their workers. They won't mention the ever-expanding real estate footprint, their expansion into other social sectors when they can't even treat their existing employees correctly. They won't tell you how their staff will threaten home attendance and coerce them into 24-hour shifts, but the workers

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2.3

2.4

shifts.

today will tell you this. Other opponents will say that this requires a massive restructuring of Medicaid, while ignoring that 24 hour shifts only represent eight percent of the home care clients in New York City and that no other city in New York despite all having Medicaid patients have these

They will not point that this made seniors in other part of the states are getting more funding than our seniors in New York City. Home care agencies, insurance companies, Medicaid dollars, these funding streams are not obstacles to meet fundamental right of workers. The economic oppression to ending the 24-hour shift is a barbaric and shallow argument. This bill will mandate a quality at a state level, while staying within the confines of the city's ability to regulate working conditions. We have colleagues who represent our communities in Albany here today who testified to the urgency of passing this bill in the city, so that they can continue their work in the state.

I beg my colleagues here to stay for the duration of this hearing and look into the faces of these home attendants. Listen to their voices as they testify

2.3

today. Women whose fingers are forever crocked from turning over their patients so that they don't get bed sores. Women whose back are forever stoop from bending down hour after hour, day after day helping these patients sit, stand, use the bathroom and bathe. Women's whose minds are forever at work, who suffer from insomnia, always hearing their patients voices crying for them whether they are work or home.

Women whose children, like I was, waiting for their mother to come home, wondering why when they finally do, they are always too tired to do anything else. Our other interest in this room will tell you that this issue is nuance but the freedom of 24-hour work day is one that has been enjoyed by almost every other worker since the ending of slavery. They'll draw figures and charts and circles around these shifts, without ever connecting the dots, a straight line from who allows these shifts to continue, to how they will end them.

We are not here to dilute blame. We are here to assign it. We are not here to be another notch in a long timeline of this struggle but to be the end point. Workers and patients should be united in ending the 24-hour shift. The love and care that

these home attendants feel towards their patient, should not be exploited to excuse working condition that exists in almost no other industry. Instead, groups that profit off these shifts, will use miss leading and flat-out inaccurate information to scare patients into thinking that they have to choose between their own health and the health of their home attendant. This is a disgusting tactic and a false choice. Patients who need 24-hour care deserve to have home attendants that are alert and attentive to their physical and emotional needs. Not women who are injuring themselves because they are so exhausted.

Patients who tell you that their home attendant love working 24-hour shifts, need to recognize that despite their disabilities, they are still a consumer, and the home attendant is still their worker. We wouldn't ask a Chipotle customer to dictate the working conditions of a Chipotle worker. You wouldn't even ask a patient in a hospital how long a nurses shift should be. Workers voice matters. Whether you're guided by reason or by compassion, I think the hearing today will prove that

2.3

2 24-hour work day is a violent racist practice that
3 women of color have been forced to bear the brunt of.

2.3

Members of this Committee, we cannot look at these broken bodies and minds and turn the other way. We cannot look at these wounded women who are still the fiercest organizers behind this bill and tell them to wait a day longer for justice. We must pass this law. We must enforce this law and New York City must end the 24-hour work day forever. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much
Council Member Marte. Public Advocate Williams.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so much. As mentioned, my name is Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate of the City of New York. I want to thank Chair De La Rosa and the members of the Committee on Civil Service and Labor for the opportunity to share my statement and thanks to Council Member Marte for putting forth this legislation for this important conversation and his passion around it.

First and foremost, and in discussing the issues at hand today, we must ensure we center the voices and needs of home care workers. Many of whom are immigrants and women of more color, like my friends and family from the Island of Grenada. They have the

distinct living experiences that we are discussing,

and it is my hope that this hearing will provide them with a platform and an opportunity to speak and share.

Home care workers have long been ignored, disregarded and deeply undercompensated, despite their essential work, especially throughout this

today and always moving forward. Home care workers have spoken of their extremely long hours including

ongoing pandemic. And we must make space for them

multiple 24-hour shifts. A large portion of those

hours are uncompensated due to state regulations

around living shifts but also possibly wage theft, as

a number of home care workers have claimed.

The reality of these 24-hour shifts is that the home care worker does not get in eight hours of uninterrupted rest as is expected. If their patient needs around the clock care, that need for care does not simply vanish. So, home care workers continue working and tending to their patients despite not being compensated for this additional work.

As a result of long work hours, completing physical tasks and getting little to no rest, home care workers themselves have developed chronic

2.0

2.3

conditions that endanger themselves and the quality of care they can provide to their patients. As we know, the home care industry is facing a shortage in home care workers. These long work weeks are a part of what is driving home care workers out of the industry. It is not sustainable and perpetuates a cycle where more and more workers will quit unless work conditions and realistic salary requirements change.

The splitting of shifts and capping of work hours as outlined in Intro. 0175 are intended with the goal of having home care workers who are not overworked and who are able to maintain proper care for some of the most vulnerable people who need their services.

With the requirement, we must work with the state and home care agencies operating in New York City to ensure patients who require around the clock care provided with split shift home care aides and not left alone.

We must also keep the state accountable in making sure patients are not forced into long-term care facilities without their consent. Furthermore, we cannot allow home care workers to choose between working unconscionable hours just to make a living

J

J

2.3

versus preserving their health and safety but risk losing income. We cannot get to a place where we are normalizing a person working upwards of 90 hours just to make ends meet. Especially knowing that a portion of those hours worked will not be compensated.

With today's hearing, we are sending a message that overworking people is unacceptable, especially an industry that employees, mostly immigrants and women of more color. Who already bear witness to unequal pay and treatment in the workplace across a wide range of industries.

Lastly, the owners of this problem lies in the system, including the pay structure for home care workers. I acknowledge there are components to this conversation that need to involve the state on the city level. I believe we can still initiate change. I am also cognitive of the complexities of this issue in the groups Intro. 0175 has the potential impact. And I welcome ongoing conversations to best serve all those involved, especially home care workers who are at the heart of this issue. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much Public Advocate Williams. I also want to acknowledge our colleagues who are here with us and have been from

2 the beginning of this hearing, Council Member Moya,

3 | obviously, Council Member Marte, Council Member

4 Nurse, Council Member Joseph, Council Member

5 Bottcher, Council Member Gutiérrez and Council Member

6 Feliz.

2.3

So, before we turn to testimony, please be aware of the following administrative matters. As a reminder, real time translation of today's hearing is available in person and on the Council's website in the following languages: Cantonese, Mandarin and Spanish. If you would like to hear real time translation of today's hearing and you are attending in person, again, please proceed to the Sergeant at Arms table located in the Chambers to get a headset. If you wish to testify at today's hearing, translation for your testimony is available in the following languages: Cantonese, Mandarin, Spanish, Fujianese and Taiwanese.

If you require translation for your testimony, please notify the Sergeant at Arms when filling out your registration slip and mark the slip with the first letter of the language you require to be translated. In addition, you may alert the Committee when you are called to testify. If you are

registered to testify, please listen for your name to be called. Witnesses names will be called in groups

4 to facilitate Council Member questioning.

2.3

Additionally, if you are testifying via Zoom,

Council staff will unmute you when it is your turn to speak. Please be patient if you are not immediately unmuted. In order to accommodate the large number of witnesses registered for today's hearing, each witness will be limited to two minutes. If you require a translator, you will be limited to four minutes, to be shared between you and your translator. Please stop your testimony when the Sergeant at Arms calls time. Your understanding of these procedures is appreciated as we ensure everyone has the opportunity to be heard today.

And as a reminder, everyone can submit written

testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov up to 72 hours

after the conclusion of today's hearing. Now, we

will hear from our first witness Carlos Ortiz, Senior

Advisor with the Department of Consumer and Worker

Protection who will testify on behalf of the

Administration. In addition, Elizabeth Wagoner,

Acting Deputy Commissioner for the Office of Labor

and Policy Standards, John Rojas, Human Resource

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet down please.

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you. Good afternoon Chair

De La Rosa, Members of the Committee, Council Member

Marte and Public Advocate Williams. My name is

Carlos Ortiz, and I am a Senior Advisor with the

Department of Consumer and Worker Protection. Today,

2.3

I am joined by DCWP's Acting Deputy Commissioner for the Office of Labor Policy and Standards Elizabeth Wagoner, and our colleagues from the Human Resources Administration. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Introduction 0175, relating to maximum working hours for home care aides. Home care aides are some of our most essential workers, dedicating their lives to taking care of our loved ones. Approximately 325,000 home care workers in New York City provide vital assistance, comfort and dignity to people in their care, while working long hours and performing emotionally and physically difficult labor out of the public view.

Since 2017, DCWP has been the home of the City's Paid Care Division. A first in the nation initiative to focus on the needs of low wage paid care workers. Since then, DCWP has combined outreach, advocacy and enforcement to uphold and expand the right to paid care workers in New York City.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet down please.

CARLOS ORTIZ: Through the Paid Care division,

DCWP has built relationships with paid care advocates

and paid care providers to help connect with workers

and educate them about their rights. In 2018, this

informed our reports, making paid care work visible

and lifting up paid care work that shed light on the

experiences of paid care workers in New York City and

better standards for their working conditions. DCWP

6 has been at the forefront of advocating for enhanced

7 | protections for paid care workers.

2.3

In 2018, in response to proposed rule, we submitted comments to the New York State Department of Labor advocating for safeguards against wage theft for paid care workers schedule to work 24-hour shifts. In 2019, we testified before the Council alongside our sister agency, the City Commission on Human Rights in favor of expanding the city's human rights law to cover domestic workers. And during the early month of the pandemic, we worked to update the city's paid, safe and sick leave law to allow domestic workers to accrue and use their safe and sick time at the same rate as other workers in New York City.

DCWP has also proactively used this enforcement authority to go after unlawful workplace activity in the pay care industry. In 2017, our team investigated 42 health care agencies across the city who collectively employed more than 50,000 workers

2.3

2.4

for violations of the city's paid safe and sick leave law. DCWP uncovered evidence of widespread labor violations by paid employers and a follow-up joint investigation with the New York State Attorney General's Office resulted in settlements and secured over \$18 million in restitution, as well as mandates to improve compliance with the law.

DCWP frequently partners with state and federal authorities to identify and investigate complaints relating to labor reductions outside of our jurisdiction.

Today's legislation focuses on how certain home care workers are scheduled for their shifts when providing care as home care aides. Currently, the New York State Department of Labor allows for home care to be scheduled for 24-hour shifts. For each 24 shift, an employer is required to pay the workers for at least 13 hours. If the worker is allowed three hours for meal breaks and an eight-hour sleep break. Five of which must be uninterrupted sleep. If the worker does not receive these sleep and meal breaks, the worker must be compensated for the entirety of the 24-hour shift. Regardless, the structure around the expectation that a worker generally will receive

only 13 hours of pay for each 24-hour sleep and shift. Our understanding is that many home care patients pay for their care through Medicaid reimbursements. That Medicaid funding is directed to health insurance providers or local municipal agencies. These entities contracted with local home care providers employ the home care workers for the patient. And depending on the needs of the patient, a home care aide could be scheduled for a 24-hour live-in shift, for which the aide would typically only be paid for 13 hours of work.

Introduction 0175 would eliminate the practice of scheduling home care aides for 24-hour shifts by prohibiting shifts longer than 12 hours, consecutive 12 hours or shifts totaling more than 12 hours in a 24-hour period. It would also cap a workers scheduled hours at 50 hours per week. Although an employer could assign two additional hours per day, up to ten hours per week, due to unforeseen circumstances. The legislation would be enforced by DCWP and by a private right of action.

DCWP believes that workers should be paid for all the hours they work. As we discussed in our 2018 comments to the Department of Labor, the practical

2.3

realities of paid care make it common for home care workers sleep and meal periods to be interrupted.

And certain workers have described that even when they report to meal interruptions, they are routinely not compensated for the full 24 hours because their employers focus on keeping costs down. Many of the workers in this industry also identify as one of color and are immigrants, who have expressed fears of retaliation or have in fact experienced retaliation when they report that their rest periods have been interrupted or that they have not been fairly compensated.

Working with the state to prohibit 24-hour shifts would help address these fundamental concerns workers are raising around lack of rest and wage theft, as well as improved care conditions for New Yorkers.

However, prohibiting 24-hour shifts through this legislation could have unintended consequences on patients and workers.

As I mentioned earlier, the New York State

Department of Labor allows one worker to be paid for at least 13 hours for a 24-hour live-in shift. In prohibiting 24-hour shifts, this legislation would effectively require home care providers to pay at

2.3

least two workers to cover an entire day for a home care patient. Unless there is additional funding, this would create added financial liability for home care providers that could result in reduced shifts for workers and reduce care for patients, including continuity of care. Outcomes that would make both workers and patients worse off.

I would like to thank the Council for today's hearing and its commitment to addressing workplace issues that impact New York City's home care workers. As I mentioned at the beginning of my testimony, paid care workers are some of the most essential workers in our lives. DCWP and the administration are committed to continued collaboration with workers, advocates and policy makers to improve working and care conditions for all New Yorkers.

I look forward to our discussion and any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for your testimony. I also want to make sure my colleagues know you have five minutes to ask questions. If you'd like to get on that list now, please let Nick know and we'll put you on the list. I do have some questions to begin with. First, I

2.3

want to ask about — you spoke a little bit about it during your testimony but as you know, home care aides play a crucial role in supporting the aging population and people with disabilities in our city's health care system. They significantly reduce the need for alternative supported living arrangements and make feasible the preference of most people to stay in their homes. What policy or services has the administration implemented to address working

conditions and the welfare of home care aides?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Chair. As I mentioned you know, one of the fundamental visions that we have at agency is the paid care division, which is a first in this nation initiative that has over the course of the years, really focused on education, advocacy, delving into the issues that impact workers in this industry, to better understand how policy makers across the city and state could help address and improve their working conditions.

The agency over the years has also advocated for legislation to improve the rights of paid care workers. This includes legislation relating to the Human Rights law, which is the paid safe and sick leave law and we on the portion side, have also used

our authority within our jurisdiction to investigate health care agencies that might be in violation of certain laws like the paid safe and sick leave law and let me, let me pass it over to my college Elizabeth Wagoner who can describe more about what those investigations look like.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay.

2.3

ELIZABETH WAGONER: Thank you Council Member.

So, my colleague described our 2017 initiative into the home health care industry and as part of that initiative, our sort of primary purpose there was to enforce the paid safe and sick time law, which is the law under our jurisdiction but obviously as we all know, there are violations beyond that outside of our jurisdiction that workers are also experiencing in this industry. So, we develop partnerships with both the State Department of Labor and with the New York State Attorney General's Office to ensure that there was a pathway to enforce these other wage and hour laws for workers effected by them.

And so, one of our largest enforcement actions there was a joint investigation with the State

Attorney General's Office, which resulted in a settlement of over \$18 million, which covered not

only the sick time violations but also the wage and
hour violations. And a big piece of that was the 24hour shift issue. And it is critical to us to ensure
that you know where there are laws that are outside
of our jurisdiction, workers are still able to

7 vindicate their rights with other agencies who are

8 our partners.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Great, you know 2017 was aways ago. Since then, we've been hit obviously by a brutal pandemic that I think has increased the need for some home care services across our state. Are there any forthcoming plans from the administration on you know, ensuring the workers are protected and you know have the benefits that they need?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Chair. Let me say, we certainly uhm, in our enforcement posture, we focus on complaints we're receiving and as I mentioned, also affirmative litigation and we are developing as well, a new initiative that can serve paid care workers in New York City. Particularly under the leadership of Mayor Adams, we've been able to secure additional resources to fully, more fully flush out our paid care division to strengthen its work and advocacy but also, in a new initiative based on

mediation, which let me pass it over to Liz, who can also describe further what that mediations going to

4 look like and how it can help workers in this space.

ELIZABETH WAGONER: Before talking about that, I do want to clarify that although that proactive initiative was in 2017, the work continued and continues through the present to investigate these cases and not only look at the sick time issues, but other violations that workers are experiencing and that continues to this day.

That is part of the Blue Print Initiative, for which we received additional funding from the administration to focus specifically on paid care workers and to do workplace wide enforcement. When we find workplace wide violations in the industry, an additional piece of that initiative is a mediation program that would actually enable us to take wage and hour violations in addition to sick time violations to mediation at oath, so that we're able to achieve resolutions for domestic workers through a voluntary, nonconfrontational process. And where that is mediation is not successful, we would then follow the path that we've traditionally followed to

2.3

get workers to an agency or private counsel who can

3 assist them with those violations.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. So, I'm going back to the 2021 CUNY report for the CUNY School of Labor. Over the next ten-year period from 2018 to 2028, the state workforce must grow by one million home care aides in order to meet the demand if the current trends hold. Does the Administration currently have a forecast of demand for home care aides in New York City?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Chair. DCWP itself does not currently have a forecast for the home care aide demand in the state. With that said, my understanding is the Department of Labor does provide regular statistics on this matter and we're happy to work with your team to pull that data, especially it should help further this conversation around this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Are you aware of what factors have led to the home care shortage?

CARLOS ORTIZ: I believe in the report you mentioned, you know upon our review, we saw a lot of it was around wage and benefit concerns. I think as we look further into this matter, we would like to

2.3

dive into it more and to understand the extent and the magnitude and what the primary drivers are of that shortage too.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Great. HRA plays a role in administering Medicaid by approving 24-hour shifts and 12-hour split shifts for patients of home care aides. How does HRA make these determinations and what other roles does the administration play in administering home care plans for patients?

RANDA HENRY-JENKINS: Good afternoon, thank you for that question. So, HRA's role is very specific in that, we're tasked with for the population that comes through HRA, completing a clinical assessment to determine what that persons needs are in terms of personal care.

We have nurses, registered nurses, as well as case management staff who are tasked with viewing the consumer, looking at their medical condition and comparing their medical conditions to the New York State Department of Health regulations and finding where that individuals needs are and determining how much time is required to complete those needs.

So, HRA basically is tasked with completing a plan of care that has been transmitted to our

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Uhm, if this law were to

be enacted and passed, how would HRA contend with

that change in law when administering the appropriate

25

care?

22

2.3

2.4

2.3

RANDA HENRY-JENKINS: So, because HRA's strictly responsible for creating a plan of care, not for the actual implementation of the plan of care, we would continue to utilize the State Department of Health regulations, which dictate to us, how we're supposed to access someone and how we're supposed to determine their needs and we would then transmit that again to the providers who would be tasked with implementing it.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Do you know what percentage of home care aids are regularly assigned 24-hour care. I know we heard from Council Member Marte, about eight percent but does HRA have those numbers or the Administration?

JOHN ROJAS: Good afternoon, thank you for that question. To provide some context, currently there about 234-235,000 home care cases, personal care cases in New York City. Of those 235,000 approximately 6,600 are administered by HRA. As you can see the vast majority, about 228,000 are not administered by HRA. They're administered by managed care health care plans, which are not within the purview of HRA and are directly with the New York State Department of Health. Of those 6,600 cases

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 40 that are in HRA offices, about 964 are 24-hour cases. And of those 964, 454 are live in and 510 are split shift.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: 510?

JOHN ROJAS: Correct. So, the live in cases, the 24-hour cases shall I say, represent less than one percent of the total 235,000 cases, personal care cases, home care cases in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, so just to clarify, is HRA required to approve 24-hour shifts by the federal and state governments? Are you all required by state law and federal law to approve the 24-hour shifts if that need is determined?

RANDA HENRY-JENKINS: If the need is determined, yes we are required to provide the individual with a plan of care that meets their clinical needs.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, thank you. And then I wanted to ask about around DCWP. Has the agency consulted or collaborated with other city agencies who serve you know needy populations that may be effected by this legislation, such as you know, obviously HRA is here but DFTA, MOPD, and of those agencies were consulted?

2.3

1

_

3

4

_

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CARLOS ORTIZ: Yes, thank you Chair. certainly has been a hallmark of this Administration to ensure that there is uhm, close communication between city agencies and with respect to this hearing, we work closely with obviously HRA but our partners at MOPD and DFTA to better understand the nuances of this issue, particularly the vulnerabilities that workers face but also that patients might be facing. Likewise, you know we in preparation of this hearing, met closely with advocates in the industry as well to better understand their concerns with the legislation and that we surface with you all today. And I am certainly encouraged by the room today as well and to hear from workers themselves to hear from patients themselves about how they feel the legislation could impact them and what questions and answers they may have for the Council that could better help the legislative process moving forward.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues for questions but before I do, I want to recognize Council Member Dinowitz has joined us as well as Majority Leader Powers. Council Member Marte for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: No questions at this

moment.

_

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: No question at this time. Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. For the moment, I just had a couple of questions for DCWP.

You testified that you all found widespread evidence labor violations by paid care employers. And I'm just wondering if you could elaborate on that in terms of how many workers experienced wage theft?

You gave the settlement amount but not the number of cases and then how many violations are being investigated annually on average, specifically related to 24-hour shifts and wage theft or other violations? Just to get a scale of what we're talking about.

ELIZABETH WAGONER: So, I can speak to that particular case, a case that we settled in April of this year jointly with the New York State Attorney General's office. And I can't speak to the number of workers who experience the 24-hour shift violation exactly. It was a significant portion of that case, and we can get the assurance of discontinuance that

those exact numbers and exactly those terms.

the State Attorney General entered into to give you

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

violations.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

On the paid sick time side, I believe it was 6,000 workers who were receiving compensation for paid sick time. We'll have to check on that number to get you the exact number of workers who are getting restitution and many more, about 10,000 who were receiving compensation for wage and hour

And so, those are different pieces of restitution for the different pieces of the case. It's a little bit complicated. So, many, many workers who were effected by that case and the employers there were amazing home care and intergern health care services.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: So, can you just uhm, the last piece was about how many cases come before you all annually on average? I mean, you could talk about pre-pandemic because I know the pandemic might be a particular set of time but if you could give a sense just on average specifically related to the 24hour shift.

CARLOS ORTIZ: I don't have that on the 24 shift on how many complaints we received there but with respect to home care aides and paid safe and sick

questions for now Chair.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Council Member Nurse. Council Member Marte.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Yeah, thank you both Council Member De La Rosa and Nurse for your questions. Based off of Council Member Nurse's questions, how many actions have you enforced? know you said you're still figuring out how many numbers of complaints you got but how many enforcement have you done for violation of the 13hour rule?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Let me clarify Council Member is that we do not enforce the 13-hour rule or violation of the 13-hour rule in New York City. Our primary jurisdiction, our primary law that we enforce in New York City is the paid safe and sick leave law. think, I do want to clarify between those two different laws. With respect to a wage and hour law, what we do is we partner closely with the - it could be the New York City Attorney General's Office to ensure that those state laws are enforced, and we encounter them in the course of our investigations.

You know, often times for example, if a worker is not getting their paid safe and sick leave, they might be getting - they're probably not getting their minimum wage and that's something that we commonly,

we encounter.

,

So, I don't necessarily have specific data on violations of the 13-hour rule. I will say it is a party for us, you know for the agency, that workers should be paid for all the hours that they work. And so, when we encounter those, we make sure that they have a referral to get to the appropriate source that they need to.

And just to clarify, uhm, uh, a number I provided earlier to Council Member Nurse, we have about 250 on average complaints overall for paid safe and sick leave. And then for home care workers, it's about 200 over the past few years that we received specifically for that industry. But I can definitely provide you a yearly breakdown of that data following that hearing as well. Apologies for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: How does the

Administration address fear tactic used by home care

agencies to force home attendants to accept 24-hour

shifts, if any?

CARLOS ORTIZ: So, I can say that again, typically, we are not — we do not have enforcement authority over the 24-hour shifts in the 13-hour

rule. With that said, during the course of our investigations, we do encounter workers who have described to us a fear of retaliation or who have described the actual retaliation against them. For us, I think it's important that those workers are directed to the appropriate source for their claims to be addressed. Because we recognize that retaliation could have an extreme chilling effect on the workers' rights and worker ability to exercise their rights. Notwithstanding that you know workers who are in these 24-hour shifts, they are facing incredibly difficult situations that have emotional and physical tolls on their lives and there's frequent claims of wage theft and it's important that for us, that those workers have an ability to have those claims addressed.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you. Does the

Administration believe that it's our city's job to

strengthen workers protection when the state level

regulations aren't working?

CARLOS ORTIZ: I think historically what we have done is work closely with our partners in this industry, both the providers but also the workers to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.3

2.4

ensure where our advocacy could best be placed as a
city.

In the past, you know in our reports and in our public comments, we have described the injustice that exists in a for example, 24-hour shift who are workers not getting fully compensated for the hours they work. Particularly in a moment, you know, I think it's, it's — I think it's well understood that sleep interruptions can commonly occur and that workers — that is emotionally trying for a worker to be working not only almost 24 hours but then, to have a person that they are caring for in a moment of difficulty and I think we're incredibly sensitive to that and we would always stand ready to work with our partners to make sure that advocacy is happening at the state, to get improved working conditions and improved wage conditions for workers.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. I want to recognize we've been joined by Council Members Brewer and Cabán. And I want to pass it over to Council Member Bottcher for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Good afternoon. Just I'm seeking some clarity on your testimony. So,

2.3

you're testifying against this bill but also saying that you believe that the current system is not just and needs change. Is that accurate?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Yes, Council Member. I think what our testimony reflected is that we have often had the position that workers should be paid for all the hours that they work and that these 24-hour shifts can pose serious problems to their health. And to what we would describe as a fair workplace setting. However, because of the legal and the funding implications that really occur at the state level, we do have concerns that the bill as is, could have these unintended consequences. Namely that providers will not offer shifts to workers because they're not able to cover the cost or because there will be some added impact on the care for patients and namely the continuity of care.

So, those concerns that we want to surface with the Council to ensure that we are all operating uhm, I mean, I think to ensure that the legislations don't have unintended consequences that would harm workers and patients.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: The Administration doesn't believe that the current system is just. But

2.3

what are you doing as an Administration to change it then. If it's not this bill, in your testimony, you say that working with the state to prohibit 24-hour shifts is the way to go. How is the administration working with the state to prohibit 24-hour shifts?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Council Member. I think for us, its long been a — we've long advocated for an end to these 24-hour shifts. I think the Council Members referenced earlier that there has been legislation in the past in the state legislature to address the 24-hour shift issue. I think as we enter a new legislative session at the state and you know an upcoming budget, it's something that we're always happy to again partner again with our stakeholders in this industry to ensure that we are, we are fighting for fair working conditions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Oh, I realized I had a question that I didn't ask. So, can you — I don't know if this is something you can answer but can you describe a little bit more how a home aide worker tracks when there's an interruption during their sleep or meal time break. So, is it in an app? Is

2 it based on what the employer system is? In general,
3 what are the mechanisms, the logistical mechanisms.

4 I'm supposed to be asleep, now something's happening.

I need to get up. How do I track that either in real time — just in general, like elaborate a little bit

7 more about that process.

2.3

CARLOS ORTIZ: Yeah, I think my understanding is that it is very disparate across the different employers of how they would have a worker report, those interruptions. And in fact, I think when we have commented in the past, we have noted that the onus and the burden should not be upon the worker for that type of reporting.

And for that reason, as well, I think that's why have so strongly said that when a worker is not able to get that compensation after the interruption that we would work closely with them. We are happy to work closely with them to make sure that they are connected with somebody who can advance that claim. But going back to your original question, I think it really is disparate, which the kind of a lack of consistency, which I think it also an issue that workers have surfaced to us.

3

1

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Have you all put forth any recommendations for making a consistency or some kind of clinical universal standard across the industry to prevent the discrepancies or the different ways, the various ways in which people have to report?

CARLOS ORTIZ: So, I think I referenced a report that we had done a while back, which really created model standards for the workless conditions of these workers. We did that in close coordination with worker advocacy organizations as well as employer organizations and I'm happy to share that report with Council as well. It has a number of standards in there. Such as you know, rights to a safe workplace, rights to collective action, which think are fundamental to any worker situation but in this particular industry, have been hard to come by you I think workers in this space have a particular difficulty. Number one, that they operate out of the public view, as well as folks that are often immigrants and have fear of retaliation. think these are all, these are all issues that are particularly more salient for this industry and that is what the model standards attempts to address.

clarify, so in those model standards, this
specifically addresses reporting interruptions in
sleep and meal times.

CARLOS ORTIZ: I'm not sure if it says the
reporting mechanisms but I do know it says that we

should have fair wages for workers, and they should

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: And this is it but just to

be compensated for all hours.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Well, piggybacking on that question then, if there is retaliation, what is the recourse for the worker right now? If there's a retaliation by an agency or an employer, what is the

recourse right now with the city? Is there any?

CARLOS ORTIZ: So, in this case, if there's — let me take a step back. If there's retaliation with respect to a paid safe and sick leave violation, which we enforce, then we can get in there and we can help that worker possibly get their job back or definitely work with them in terms of restitution.

If there's a violation with respect to uhm, 24-hour shifts and 13-hour rule or a lack of wage and hour compensation, I think that would have to be directed to the state, as they are the regulatory authority for those type of violations.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Got it, thank you. want to call on Council Member Feliz for a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Thank you so much. Good afternoon everyone. I'm Council Member Oswald Feliz. I want to thank Chair Carmen De La Rosa for this hearing. Thank you Council Member Christopher Marte for your tireless work on this bill. And I also want to thank all of you for joining us today to testify.

I have a few brief questions. One of them is, what is the largest amount of hours that you've heard a home attendant has worked in a week? Even if it's an isolated incident. What's the largest amount of hours that you've heard the home attendant working in a week?

ELIZABETH WAGONER: Thank you for the question Council Member. That is not information that we would report just because you know our focus would be on finding out about the issue. Finding out some information about the scope of the problem whether other workers are effected and then trying to get that person referred to the right place.

So, it's not really something that we would sort of record and to come up with sort of a back pay

2.3

number for that person. We just want to sort of get the scope of the issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Even if that's not information that you record, will you be able to say the largest amount of hours that you've heard about a home attendant working in a week?

ELIZABETH WAGONER: I unfortunately couldn't.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Alright, second question. We've talked about — we've heard a lot about a shortage in the home health aide industry. Is there any evidence that you've heard that would lead us to believe that there's a shortage due to the working conditions in the industry?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Well, I do think in the report that uhm, that Chair De La Rosa mentioned, they do highlight wage and benefits as being a cause of a shortage there. I think uhm, you know generally there could be workers working conditions definitely impacts whether or not they want to be in that particular setting.

I think for us at the agency, we still have to dive more into this and to better understand the magnitude of any shortage and what the drivers are for that.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Okay, no more questions.

3 | Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Council Member Joseph.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you Chair. Thank
you Council Member Chris Marte for this. My question
is, earlier you spoke about wage theft and I'm also
looking at that in your wage theft prevention. How
many were you able to recoup any of the theft wages
for the home care workers? What does that process
look like? Can you explain that for us?

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Council Member. So, in terms of wage an hour, that is something that is enforced by the state. I think what we identified is that during the course of our investigations that when we were looking at paid safe and sick leave violations, we noted a number of wage theft occurrences that were happening as well, along with wage parity issues too. And so, for that reason, we embarked on a joint partnership with the state and the Attorney General's Office to make sure that we were tagging these issues hand and hand and serving the workers in that respect.

2.3

2.4

I think my colleague referenced earlier that we can get the Council some further information on what the state was able to pull out of that. With respect to our paid safe and sick leave violations, my understanding is our joint investigation with the Attorney General's Office secured \$18 million in restitution for workers.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you. I'll come around the second time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: If there are no further questions from our colleagues, we can conclude testimony from the Administration. Thank you so much for being here and to be continued.

CARLOS ORTIZ: Thank you Council Member. Council Member, we're going to have staff stay behind to listen as well. We do want to hear the testimony from all the advocates that have come here today but thank you all again.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. We appreciate that. Certainly important. So, up next, we will have an in-person panel. Senator Gustavo Rivera is here, and Assembly Member Richard Gottfried is here. They will have five minutes each to testify.

1

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

You should know that they are the Chairs of the Health Committee both in the Senate and Assembly. Welcome colleagues, it's good to see you all Great. I'm going to call on Assembly Member Gottfried first and then Senator Rivera, if that's okay. You may begin.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Well, thank you. Assembly Member Richard Gottfried. I Chair the Assembly Health Committee. I largely agree with the intent of Intro. 0175, however, it runs a fowl of controlling state regulations of home health agencies and fiscal intermediaries. And so, I urge that Intro. 0175 be set aside and that you work with state legislators and advocates on policies that will achieve these aims. New York State laws and regulations require that Medicaid managed care plans and local social services districts, including New York City HRA, requires them to provide 24-hour services when necessary in either split shifts of 12 or 8 hours each or a 24-hour shift depending on the needs of the patient.

In some cases, a 24-hour shift is in the best interest of the patient for continuity of care and other reasons. Where this bill to become law, home

care agencies that receive these authorizations for 2 3 24-hour coverage, would be forced to either violate

state rules or face fines from New York City.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

In 2019, the State Court of Appeals, interpreted state law to say that home care workers may be paid for only 13 hours of work even if their shift goes well beyond 13 hours even up to 24 hours. As long as five hours of sleep time or three hours of meal time is uninterrupted. I wholeheartedly disagree with this ruling. I believe that if a worker is required to be present at the worksite and be prepared to perform duties at a moment's notice, then that worker is entitled to be paid for that time.

Even if a home health aide is allowed to spend certain hours eating or sleeping, they are on duty during those hours. I do not agree with the section of Intro. 0175 that would limit home care workers work week to 50 hours. Medicare financing covers a little more than minimum wage for home care workers. While wages should improve somewhat under the recently enacted state budget, many workers need overtime income to support their families.

Payment and working conditions for home care workers must improve. I sponsor in Albany, the Fair Pay for Home Care Bill, Assembly Bill A 6329A, which would increase wages to 150 percent of minimum wage.

I also support Assembly Bill A 3145A Epstein, which is similar to Intro. 0175 in many ways. The main difference is that the Epstein Bill would change

Local government action inconsistent with state law would cause significant harm to home care agencies and in turn, harm to home care workers and to the disabled and older New Yorkers who rely on their services.

prevailing state wage rules which is the appropriate

regulation to amend, and which Intro. 0175 cannot.

Please reconsider this bill and work with state legislatures and advocates for home care workers and their patients to enact meaningful change at the state level. Thank you for this opportunity to testify and I would be happy to take questions.

By the way, later you will be hearing testimony from Bryan O'Malley relating to the consumer directed program. He may not have time to present his whole testimony, but I urge you to read it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Assembly Member Gottfried.

2.3

2.4

up.

2.4

[APPLAUSE] And I would be remiss if I didn't congratulate you for all your years of service to our state. We are a better state because of your work.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Well, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Senator Rivera, you're

SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you Madam Chair. Thank you Madam Chair for allowing me to be here today. Thank you Council Members for this discussion, which is an incredibly important one. My full testimony will be available to you folks by email and what have you, so you'll be able to see the whole thing.

Ditto to every single thing that the Assembly

Member said. At the core of this conversation is an

issue that is obviously very legitimate. There are

legitimate concerns here that we must deal with as it

relates to workers. And workers in the particular

field in which they are not treated well, as has been

already said by the Assembly Member and as we have

already discussed and there are many things that need

to be done to address the concerns of these workers.

So, although this is a well-intentioned bill, the core of it is that it tries to solve a problem that it cannot solve, because it has to be solved at the

2.3

state level. Almost 100 percent of the folks who are patients, are Medicaid patients. This is, this relates then to the rates that are set at the state level. The payments that are set at the state level

and the rules that are set at the state level.

Now, I can tell you that I certainly have not been fighting for as long as my colleague Dick Gottfried, because I don't think anybody has. But certainly, for my entire tenure of almost 12 years, this has been a consistent issue and there have been bills that we have tried to pass at the state level. But the reality is that the Medicaid system before our current governor for a period of at least ten years, if not eleven, was led with a — it was all about austerity and that struck the entire system that is struck by Medicaid. And I'm very thankful to Governor Hochul for starting to turn this ship around and we started to do that this last year.

But the reality is that we have still a long way to go and part of that is addressing the concern here as far as it relates to the 13-hour rule. As the Assembly Member said, I also disagree with the ultimate decision that was made by the courts and believe that every single person needs to be paid for

2.3

every single hour that they work. But whether we're

talking about — when we talk about the providers, and

the fact that the assessments that are made for

patients are made not by the providers. They have to

abide by these assessments and trying to do something

different than what the assessment says might put

them at risk of Medicaid fraud.

At the core of this, is that it needs to be done at the state level. And what I'm thankful for certainly and certainly all the folks in this room are a testament to this, as well as all the other folks that couldn't get in who are outside, is that this is something that we care about deeply in this city. The City Council cares about this, and I certainly thank you for really talking about this. I would encourage or for all of us to use this energy to make sure that starting in the next legislative cycle, at the state level, that this is finally, finally addressed.

So, I will just reiterate that although this particular — that this is a bill that tries to solve a problem that needs to be solved. It unfortunately cannot be solved at the state — it has to be solved at the state level. It cannot be solved at the city

/

2.0

level, and I would encourage you, just like my colleague and the Assembly did, that this bill not be approved but that you take all of this energy and that we take it to the state to make sure that we can pass something up there.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Senator.

[APPLAUSE] for your leadership as well. If you could indulge us, we have a few questions from our colleagues. First of all, I want to say that uhm, I am proud to be part of a Council that one of the first Resolutions we passed back in March was in favor of the Fair Pay for Home Care Act. And that that is a crucial piece of legislation that seeks to deal with the wage question, but we did hear repeatedly today from the previous panel that there are recourses on the state level if there is a presumption of wage theft or retaliation. Can you walk us through what those recourses are as you understand them?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Uhm, only very generally because I think that's largely a question of labor law enforcement, which is not my specialty. But I would assume that complaints about inadequate compensation for overtime, improper calculating of

overtime, wage theft, etc., I would imagine that those complaints would be directed to the State Labor Department.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, uhm, and also, you all cited that we could be doing a lot here from the City Council level to help push the bill on the state level. What are some of those immediate actions that our colleagues and constituents can take to help move the conversation in Albany?

SENATOR RIVERA: I mean, I would argue that
certainly, this is part of it and making sure that
the focus as everybody that pays attention to the
state budget can tell you, even though it is
presented all the way in January, it is prepared long
before that. So, starting to have these
conversations now and increasing the public pressure
now, means that in preparation for the budget for
next year, since we have to wait for the governor to
present it, that having these conversations both
publicly and privately, with the administration, with
the Hochul Administration, who I believe will remain,
the Hochul Administration. Obviously, it's in
election year etc., but that process is ongoing in
preparing the budget, so I figured that having that

conversation now, since this is something that would very likely require budgetary actions, since it's such a — has obviously has a fiscal impact, so it would require budgetary actions, it would need to be done during the budget. And that's the way that it's usually been right, and so, I would gather that these types of conversations leading up to the presentation of the budget in January would be exactly what needs to happen.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Great, thank you. I want to pass it over, well, first I want to recognize that Council Member Restler has joined us, and I want to pass it over to our Majority Leader Keith Powers.

MAJORITY LEADER POWERS: Thank you and thank you to both the Assembly Member and Senator for being here today and testifying and adding your expertise as Chairs. First of all, before I say anything I do want to also acknowledge my colleague and friend, Assembly Member Dick Gottfried, who has so much wisdom that we all can tap into on these types of issues and has been a great partner both locally and of course in Albany as well for so many of us. So, I just wanted to share in my congrats to him on exiting and of course thanking him for giving this state but

2.3

also our community so much of his time and so much of his expertise. And I also want to recognize Senator Rivera who I think it was the day before his election, called me on something in his District totally unrelated, so I know how much he's working.

I guess, you know, the Chair touched upon it but we — I think Council Member Marte is raising an issue that everybody seems to agree with. It's sort of where this should took place and what are the sort of mechanisms to get there that everybody I think identifies as a significant issue. So, I guess in addition to the question I was going to ask, which the Chair asked, which is where is the Council's role in the conversation in Albany, which you've answered, and we could figure out.

I guess, my concern is that we get another budget next year and we do have a new governor and I hope that adds new energy to it but then we get you know a few years down the road, and I think we continue to see the issue pretty present in front of us, but we don't get to a place in Albany to come to an agreement on that. So, maybe adding some context to us about where the legislation lies in Albany. Where perhaps the governor, I mean, I'm not going to ask

you to be a spokesperson for the governor, but sort

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

3 of where those conversations lay in Albany because I

4 think there is a real concern here that we could

5 continue to have this issue be passed along and not

6 actually get to a place of resolving it.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Well, the bill, I'd say both bills. Both the Fair Pay for Home Care Bill and Assembly Member Epstein's Home Care Bill, uh, you know will be back I assume in January. Somebody other than me will be the lead sponsor of the Fair Pay Bill of course. Uhm, what both of those bills bump up against, like so many other issues, is cost and you know on the other hand, this is an extraordinary wealthy state. Uh, with a lot of New Yorkers who can readily afford to pay significantly higher taxes to the state than they do. I don't think anybody in this room comes under that category, but a lot of my constituents do in Manhattan. think the Council, both as individual Council Members and collectively through hearings like this, and working with advocacy groups, I think Council Members and the Council can help to focus attention on these pieces of the budget. You know, old, old rule, the squeaky wheel gets the grease. There will be a lot

2.3

of people fighting in Albany for money for you know for billions of dollars for any number of things. What needs to happen is that more of that squeaking wheel needs to be on behalf of home care. Both for raising the wages of home care workers and for dealing with making sure that uh, that when home care workers are willing to do a 24-hour shift, and when that's what the patient needs, that there is money there to pay them.

SENATOR RIVERA: No, I would say as in addition to it, that I do think that we have turned a page with the statewide administration. I remember having the conversation at the beginning during this budget battle right. There's always a budget battle but this year was different, and I remember Assembly Member Gottfried saying both publicly and privately, this is the best health budget that I've ever seen in my entire legislative career. That's obviously a big statement.

I do think that there is a page that has been turned and that this administration is at least open to these conversations. So, it doesn't mean that it will get, that it will absolutely get done but we can increase the pressure and I believe that these

conversations like having conversations like this,

3 hearings like this, talking about bills like this,

4 increases that level of pressure and that we should

5 continue to increase it between now and the beginning

6 of the year.

2.3

MAJORITY LEADER POWERS: Thanks. I had one last question and then I'll hand it back. There was a — Assembly Member, you had mentioned the sort of not agreeing with the 50-debt hour cap to the sort of willingness perhaps of individuals to want to accept overtime, which I understand. Is there a reasonable limit on hours? It does feel like there perhaps should be a cap on how many hours somebody is required to work to keep their job. Is there a cap that you see as a reasonable number?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: I suppose there must be a reasonable limit. Off the top of my head, I don't know where I would want to draw a line if a line should be drawn in statute or regulation. I would also want to draw that line, if a line is to be drawn in consultation with all the various parties that need to be at the table, especially the labor organizations representing home care workers, who have an enormous amount at stake here. You know

4

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

there are any number of lines at work in America where we set a limit on how many hours we allow someone to work in week uh, in part because of safety reasons.

You know, you're not allowed a pilot a commercial plan more than a certain number of hours in a week or to drive a long-haul truck more than a certain number of hours in a week. It may well be that there is a that there are limits that for safety reasons need to be placed in home care and whether it makes sense to do that as the number of hours in a given shift and they require a certain number of hours of being off the job before you are expected back or whether it should be calculated as a total for a week. I don't know but it's an issue certainly worth looking at.

As I said, in close consultation with the parties that have a lot at stake, the workers and people representing their patients.

SENATOR RIVERA: And very quickly, nobody works 50 plus hours because they want to, it's because they need to. We need to pay them better. If we pay them better, they will be able to work less.

MAJORITY LEADER POWERS: Yup, agreed, thanks. Thank you guys.

2 [APPLAUSE]

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet down.

MAJORITY LEADER POWERS: Thank you. Thanks both of you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Majority Leader. Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. I was going to ask about the political reality and political will of Albany to actually address this, especially since you specifically had mentioned you had been fighting it for 12 years and maybe even longer. So, I do want to underscore the concern that, yet another cycle will go, and the issue will remain.

There have been some amendments opposed to this bill that have been proposed by labor groups and I'm just curious, the amendments would extend the implementation timeline of this bill to the state legislative and budget processes. Uhm, and I'm curious your assessment that if the Council were to pass Intro. 0175 with those adjusted timeline amendments, would that put increased pressure on the state to finally address this issue?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: I don't know what affect that would have. State legislators and the governor like almost anybody else, probably would not respond positively to what was meant to be pressure. And might instead feel the need to pass explicit legislation overruling any such local law. Or people who it might well be that undoing of the city legislation could be done through litigation.

So, I certainly would not suggest passing the legislation with a lit fuse with a notion that that would somehow pressure the legislature into acting. It could have unpredictable and unwelcome affects.

SENATOR RIVERA: I would agree, particularly since there's — and as I said, I made it very clear, this is a different administration than the last one and certainly Governor Hochul has shown an openness to move in a different direction in so many different ways than the last governor did and that's a positive thing, but I do not know what her legislative priorities will be and we don't know what both houses will look like after, right, after January, after November.

I'm pretty certain that we will keep the majority in the senate but I'm not sure by how many folks and

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 there will be some changes in the assembly as well.

3 [INAUDIBLE 1:23:09] if nothing else. So, all of

4 these things, there's just too many unknowns at this

5 point to be able to tell you whether it will be one

6 thing or another.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Thank you Chair.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: One thing I would say about the coming session of the legislature, is that in the assembly obviously, we will have a new Health Committee Chair and I would urge interested members of the Council, interested people in advocacy roles. That new Chair is going to have a lot to learn very quickly, and you know there's no school that that person gets to go to. The learning comes from interested parties meeting with them and talking to them. And I can assure you that there will be a lot of people doing that and people who are friends of home care and friends of home care workers need to make sure that they are among the people helping to educate the new Assembly Health Chair, whoever that may be.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. We have Council Member Marte.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: This question is focused

3 on State Senator Gustavo.

2.3

SENATOR RIVERA: Gustavo is fine. No worries.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay, you know you say
this is state issues but are you aware that these
shifts only happen in New York City? Don't happen in
Syracuse. Don't happen in Buffalo. Don't happen in
West Chester but happen in the Bronx, happen in Lower
Manhattan. And so, these are the same Medicaid
patients right. So, you're allowing to keep the
current formula in place for someone, a senior in
Buffalo to have better Medicaid coverage than someone
here who lives in the Bronx.

SENATOR RIVERA: Uhm, I don't think that what I said discounts any of that. All that I said was that it needs to be done at the state level because it is operated at the state level, so to change the law to impact the entire state, this is, it's a state program. Medicaid is a state and federal run program or federally and stated funded but run by the state. So, that is the only point that I was making.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay, and this question is for Assembly Member Dick Gottfried. How long do you think home attendants should wait to have the same

working condition as everyone else? How many more

home attendants should die from work relate health

complications before these shifts have to end?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: Well, first of all, from your question to Senator Rivera, I don't know that 24 hour shifts only exist in the five boroughs.

it's not a question of whether people in other parts

It may be but I've never heard anyone say that. Uhm,

of the state are entitled to better or not better

Medicaid coverage, it's a question of whether in some

cases, a 24-hour shift is better for the patient.

And if it is a better arrangement for the patient, then I don't believe it should illegal.

As to your question about when should conditions be improved for home care workers, the answer is, a long time ago. No one's suggesting that conditions for home care workers not be improved. Whether it's in terms of their compensation or making sure that whatever number of hours they work is truly voluntary and healthy for them and for the people they serve.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Council Member Bottcher.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you. I want to add my voice of appreciation and admiration and all

2.3

the good stuff for Assembly Member Dick Gottfried, as

I have like a thousand times since December. We

overlap districts, which is a great honor for me.

A question for the two of you. Uhm, more clarity on your position about the 24-hour shifts, because we're hearing advocates say and some workers say that 24 hours paid or unpaid is too long. Are you in favor of keeping a 24-hour as long as people are compensated, or do you believe that 24-hours is too long for someone to work?

SENATOR RIVERA: At least I'll tell you my focus is that the structure of it as was said by the Assembly Member and I agree that it needs to be what's best obviously for the worker and the patient etc..

What I'm — at least what I would say is that if a patient requires care for 24 hours, that whoever provides that care should be paid accordingly. If we're talking about more than one person, then certainly once we change, we'll have to change the law for right. But there is, it's about the care that's provided to the patient and the worker being paid for whatever it is that they ultimately do. So, at least that's how, that's how I approach it.

2 [APPLAUSE]

2.3

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GOTTFRIED: I would say that certainly, if there are going to be 24-hour shifts in cases where it's in the interest of the patient, I'd want a couple of things. I'd want legislative safeguards, as in the Epstein Bill, to make sure that it is voluntary on the part of the worker. That no worker is pressured or forced into working a 24-hour shift, number one. And number two, you've got to make sure that during those 24 hours, the worker is entitled to meal breaks and to sleep.

Their compensation I believe, must take into account that even when they are allowed to eat and sleep, they are on the job and at any given moment could be called upon to jump up and provide care to their patient. So, those are for me, the parameters of a 24-hour shift.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

21 | Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you both very much.

Two quick questions, one is, and I should know the

answer. I do agree with you, state is the purveyor

and should be in charge. So, if that's the case,

does the enforcement also come from the state or is that the city? Is that — I should know the answer to that but how does that work?

And then the second issue is, has the Epstein
Bill or other similar bills, have they already been
evaluated in terms of the cost? Those are my two
questions.

SENATOR RIVERA: In terms of the labor law enforcement sort of question $-\$

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.

SENATOR RIVERA: Uhm, I, as far as I know, historically whether your, you know whatever line of work you're talking about, that enforcement has been done by the State Department of Labor.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

SENATOR RIVERA: I don't know whether they're — what exactly what the structure of the state labor law is as to whether a city could do enforcement. I gather the city's department, which used to be called Consumer Affairs, which is now called Consumer and Worker Protection, that there are city labor laws that the city enforces but I would assume that unless there is — that those city laws would have to be either consistent with state law or be operating in

2 an area where state law has essentially given the 3 city permission to enact law.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, thank you. I think that still needs to be worked out. Then the cost, has that been done by the state or not yet?

SENATOR RIVERA: Uhm, I don't know whether anybody has put a price tag to the Epstein bill. In part, the bill is drafted as an amendment to the labor law and therefore has always gone to our Labor Committee, not to the Health Committee. I know in general when in previous, in earlier discussions of the 13-hour rule, uh, people have talked in terms of the price tag of paying for those 13-hours when workers aren't required to be paid, people have talked about a price tag you know in the billions of dollars, which would not surprise me.

Uhm, but you know, things need to be confronted. If somebody were to say, what would it cost to require people to go to public school from age 12 to 16, the number is undoubtedly in the billions, but I haven't heard anyone suggest we should eliminate the requirement that kids go to school through age 16.

Some things we just have to bite the bullet and confront.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Colleagues, if there are no more questions, we're going to thank our colleagues from the legislature for being here and for their service and call the next panel, which is —

SENATOR RIVERA: And by the way, I just want to thank you for holding this hearing. I think the attention, I think a greater attention really needs to be paid to all of the issues around home care and home care workers and the people they care for.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Absolutely. Thank you so much. Thank you for being here. So, the next panel, we're going to have some in-person and virtual. So, we're going to Assembly Member Ron Kim, who is here in person. We're going to have Assembly Member Deborah Glick, who is virtual, Vittoria Fariello, who is a democratic district leader who is also virtual I believe. Oh, she's in person.

We're going to have Assembly Member Epstein who is virtual. We're going to have Lai Yee Chan in person, Xiao Wen Zhen in person, Nu Jun Zhu in person. We'll need the Cantonese interpreter as well

2.3

2.3

to provide services for this panel and there will be five minutes each for this panel as well.

Okay, alright, we'll start with Assembly Member Ron Kim when you're ready.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER KIM: Thank you Chairwoman and thank you Council Members. It's good to see everyone back in the Chambers. I to thank the Chair and the Council for holding this very important hearing on Intro. 0175, which I wholeheartedly support.

To have a productive meeting on Medicaid based home care workers, we must be honest about what the state law says and how we got here. Pursuant to Department of Labor, minimum wage older law and the NGS, Andreyeva decision by the New York State Court of Appeals in 2019, when home care providers take on 24-hour work contracts, Medicaid will cover up to 13 hours of the shift.

If the worker misses even a second of sleep and eating time, the employer, the provider, must cover the remainder of the 24 hours. After surveying countless good operators who understood and complied with this law, I learned that many chose to take on only 12-hour contracts or raise money to compensate for overtime with anyone working 24-hour shifts.

The bad operators, like the home attendant program of the Chinese American Planning Council, abused their workers. They threatened them with Medicaid fraud for reporting accurate hours. Instead of rejecting bad contracts, LTC, they even promoted 24-hour work shifts at the galas to recruit workers. Despite years of workers crying foul and filing lawsuits, groups like CPC acted with impunity knowing that they could hide behind forced arbitration, so they cut a sweetheart deal with one of this nation's most powerful health care labor unions 1199 SEIU. And that is exactly what happened

After 42 agencies were found to owe billions in back wages, 1199 lawyers cut an agreement on the workers behalf for pennies on the dollar. Now, they're telling workers, my constituents, to take those pennies and wave their right to recourse as workers. What kind of a labor movement is this?

Meanwhile, nothing is being done at the state

level to enforce abuse of workers or a basic wage

loss. This is the case of total avigation of

government duty and an example of what happens when

we outsource and privatize public work, which is what

care work is, a public service. Because there's no

_

accountability, woman of color, as workers and recipients of care suffer. And I find it odd that after the state completely outsourced care work to local nonprofits and contractors, severely impacting immigrant and minority communities, we now see some state law makers pounding their chest about local government stepping in to protect the workers, claiming it's the states jurisdiction.

In the case of CPC, the state outsources \$200,000 million a year of public money. For certain immigrant clients, it has created a shadow of home care bureaucracy. The state doesn't enforce our wage laws on them, and we turn a blind eye to the worker abuse. It's only fitting that the local government, in this case, the City of New York, steps in to regulate and protect the workers contracted by a local nonprofit.

Now, to those fighting to keep the 24-hour work contracts, are you also fighting for every dollar owed to my constituents? For Asian immigrants and woman workers less human to you? Do they deserve fewer rights than other racial groups? The progressive establishment of certain groups, including DSA members; no offense Tiffany. Who pride

themselves on workers' rights, should be ashamed that they've empowered and validated nonprofits to enforce

4 racial and gender hierarchy in our city.

When we outsource public responsibility, we dodge accountability and are no longer pressured to fix anything. Instead of measuring how many Asian immigrant workers lost their health and livelihoods under this privatized public system, we can just focus on positive metrics. How many older adults does CPC serve? How many hours were spent on care, etc., but we can't accept any outcomes underwritten by abuse of workers. That's not public service.

It's not public value, it's just exploitation and perpetual racist violence and it's not sustainable.

So, we can put an end to this but first, ending 24 work home care in New York City. Then we must muster up the courage to build care work as part of our public institutions and end the outsourcing of privatization of public work.

I implore this body to pass this legislation, seize the moment from municipal activism, build public value and ask the mayor to sign it as soon as possible. Thank you.

[APPLAUSE]

2.3

2

3

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet down ladies and gentlemen. Ladies and gentlemen, I need everybody to quiet down right now, please.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Assembly Member Kim. Up next is Assembly Member Deborah Glick.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GLICK: Thank you very much. believe I've been unmuted.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yes, you have. We can hear you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GLICK: Great, wonderful to see you Carmen. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today in support of Intro. 0175, a local law to address the working conditions of home care aides. I commend the bill sponsor, Council Member Chris Marte for his deep commitment to the issue of home care workers being forced to work a 24-hour shift, a practice that is inhumane and does not lead to high quality care for the client.

I understand that home care agencies are restricted by both federal rules and the states adherence to them and our own antiquated system. However, that is why I have joined in cosponsoring Assembly Member Epstein's legislation A 3145A, which

would protect home health aides by limiting the duration of work shifts, so that employers can no longer require aides to work a 24-hour shift.

I'm also a Co-Sponsor of the Fair Pay for Home
Care Bill, A 6329A, which would establish a higher
minimum base wage. Now, I understand that the number
of individuals currently receiving 24-hour care under
the existing structure is limited in each agencies
client base. But with an aging population,
especially the growing number of individuals facing
cognitive issues, it is safe to assume that the
numbers of people needing home care will increase.

Home care workers, especially challenging and now is the time to take steps to address this issue.

Now, I know that there are concerns about restricting home care aides ability to work overtime but that is decidedly different from working a 24-hour shift where a worker is not compensated for many of those hours.

But the federal and state reimbursements need to be changed and we need to grow the pool of home care workers that is equally critical. Now, home care workers provide crucial care to our communities most vulnerable members. And it is essential that they

are compensated fairly, ensured a safe work environment, free from exploitation, and unethical practices. We must all work together to develop career paths that provide greater compensation and protections for home care workers and that will increase the number of care workers in New York State.

Now, let me just say in closing, that all of this begs the crucial question, and that is where is the state's priority in our society for home care? There is no doubt that taking care of people in their home is less expensive than an institutional care.

And for most individuals, it is also more helpful to be able to stay at home and that your outcomes, your health outcomes are better. So, what can we do? And that is pass both of those laws that I mentioned on the state level, but I don't think there's any reason why we should not proceed at a city level.

I'm hard pressed to understand why a 24-hour shift is in the interest of any client.

For those of us in the legislature who have on occasion, on occasion, rare occasion, worked 24 hours in a session, we know that in those last eight hours, we're really not at our peak. And I can't imagine

the difficulty of working with somebody on a 24-hour basis when you have to physically assist them out of their bed or into the bathroom. This seems to me like an issue that must be addressed and whether it is more appropriate for us to do that at a state level, I leave that to other people to do a pine on. I will say that I support this measure and support both the 24-hour restriction that is included in this legislation.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GLICK: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much
Assembly Member Glick. Up next, we have Assembly
Member Harvey Epstein, who will join us virtually.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN: Hi and thank you for letting me testify today and I really appreciate everyone taking this time to do this hearing. And I just want to say that we steal \$1 billion every year from the pockets of low-wage workers who are working the home health care industry. \$1 billion a year is coming out of their pockets of their money because we're not paying people for every hour that they work.

2.3

2.3

We have lots of industries where we have people working long shifts. You know fire fighters, nurses, doctors but we pay each and every industry for the hours that they work, and we don't do this here. In an industry that's almost exclusively, the workers are immigrant women of color. So, two things we need to do, my bill 3145 you know, and the Senator Persaud need to move forward and pass those bills expeditiously. And the way to do is not because we have a moral crisis, and we have a human crisis, and we have a financial responsibility.

In a budget that's \$220 billion, between the state share, which is half of it and the federal share, which we'll get, we can come up with the dollars necessary to ensure people get paid for every hour that they work.

The second point is a critical point is just ending 24-hour shifts period. Our bill ends 24-hour shifts. The reality is that we need to end those shifts. We need to stop having people work 24 hours in any possible situation. We are saying that 12-hour shifts are much more appropriate. It's better care, better support, better services. It endangers the patient that workers work 24-hour shifts

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

health.

endangers the worker. I've talked to workers who've worked five 24-hour shifts in a row, and you can't imagine what that does to a human. Their ability to be able to work, their ability to be able to take care of someone else goes all out the window. Their ability to deal with their own body and their own

So, we need to move forward with the split shifts. We need to stop this wage theft. We need to do this now and I know we've been trying for the past few years to pass this legislation, we're able to pass you know more funding for health care this year. We really pushed hard with the - you know with over 30 members trying to push this bill in the budget process. Whatever the Council can do to get this help over the finish line in next year. Continue to push the Assembly, the Senate, the Governor. We've had round tables in the Senate and the Assembly with the Senator Persaud support and our support and clearly the leadership of Senator Rivera and Assembly Member Gottfried, who's been champions of trying to get this. We collectively need to do this to end this industry. And I look forward to working with the Council and Chris Marte, whose leadership on this

2.3

and the Council's level, to ensure that we collectively end these shifts and pay people for every hour that they work, and I hope to do that in the very near future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Assembly
Member Epstein. Up next, we have Vittoria Fariello.

VITTORIA FARIELLO: There we go, can you hear me?
Okay, so good afternoon Chairwoman and members of the
City Council and members of the public. Thank you
for the opportunity to allow me to speak. I am
Vittoria Fariello, a Democratic District Leader here
in Lower Manhattan and I strongly urge you to support
Introduction 0175 and end this cruel practice of the
24-hour work days.

It is fitting that we celebrated Labor Day just yesterday and this is your opportunity to stand with workers. Respectfully, it is your opportunity to do the right thing. For years, home attendant workers have had to work 24-hour shifts while only being paid for 13 of those hours. This is not only economic abuse, the days spent away from their families, the hours of physical labor imposed on them, the toll on their physical and emotional wellbeing is immeasurable.

2.3

No one has denied the brutal working conditions of a 24-hour work day. Yet we hear excuses as to why we must continue to allow them. Why we should not pass a law to prohibit it here in the city when our state legislature has not been able to address it

yet. Please let that sink in.

Opponents are saying, why we must continue to allow these brutal working conditions that we must wait for justice. That these women here today must continue to accept 24-hour shifts. No one wins when our home attendant workers are overworked, exhausted and abused. No one wins when our care takers, mostly immigrant women of color suffer physical, emotional and psychological harm because our laws allow it.

But you as our representatives in the City

Council can do something about this. You can stop

this injustice. We will continue to pressure our

state legislature, so while we wait for them to do

the right thing, you can do it today. You can stand

with our home attendant workers. You can end this

abusive practice. You can right this injustice.

I urge you, our City Council members to do the right thing and stand with our home attendant

workers. I urge you to support Intro. 0175. Thank
you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

[APPLAUSE]

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Up next, we have Lai Yee Chan in person. [APPLAUSE].

LAI YEE CHAN: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 1:52:45-1:54:50]. [APPLAUSE]

INTERPRETER: My name is Lai Yee Chan. I have been working 24-hours for CPC for over eight years I work three to five days a week. I am in care for a person, a male patient who suffer from stroke. He is more than 80-years-old. He is I have to wake up every two hours to help him flip over. I can barely sleep. 24 working hours has made me uhm, stressed out. I cannot even begin to rest at home when I'm actually on a day off. When I hear something, I have to immediately get up because I think that there was a patient that was calling for me. The patients family also see my suffering and submitted a claim to the insurance company and the insurance company sent out people to do the investigation. In the end, it eventually turned our shift into a two separate shift of 12-hours a day.

Even now, they - uhm, I would have to work a 12-hour day shift for four consecutive days or even two, it's still very difficult. This is a very difficult job. Even so, it's better than before.

After the change of two shifts, my mental state has been a little bit better and the patient who suffer from stroke, originally cannot speak. Now that we have more mental to actually speak with him, the patient actually started to speak a little bit more. And with the family doctors come and access that the home care was done very well. And in fact, the two shifts actually helps out the patients' health, also improves the working environment and improves the work stability as well.

Even though I don't do 24 hour shifts anymore, I still do not wish to see this inhumane job schedule to continue. So, I am in support of Intro. 0175 in support of getting rid of 24-hour work day and to keep a cap of 50 hours working week. Thank you. [APPLAUSE]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Thank you so much. I'm going to ask that we not clap in between each person. We have about 40 people signed up and it stops us every single time we have

2 to wait. So, let's go through the panel so we can
3 listen to everybody. Thank you.

Up next, we have Xiao Wen Zhen.

XIAO WEN ZHEN: Okay, [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE

1:58:14- 2:00:04] [APPLAUSE]

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Please, please everybody, please.

INTERPRETER: [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE 2:00:11-2:00:16].

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay.

INTERPRETER: Hello everyone. My name is Xiao

Wen Zhen. I have been in home care since 2005. I've

done 24-hour home care for over 14-years now.

Usually, we work three to four days of 24-hours a

week. I've been with many patients and if you are

uhm, actually in the 24-hour care, that means that

patient has to be in a very severe condition. I have

taken care of one patient who suffers from severe

dementia who would you know ask me to speak with them

when they cannot sleep. When they're suffering from

trouble sleeping and they would ask if you would talk

to me about like having me to pay the rent and would

get up in the middle of the night just to go the

kitchen, you know working things and I have to wake

2.3

24 2:05:131

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
up all night just to prevent her from you know
opening the gas or actually be leaving the house.

So, I barely have any sleep. Long term of working for 24-hour care for a long period of time cause for me to not have time to take care of my child. I had my child sent back to China for my other family member, my mom, to take care of them after just 40-days into birth. Long-term 24-hour work has made me very stressed out mentally and I often times I have to carry patients causing a lot of stress and pain to my lower back, arms, and my wrists.

So, I'm here today in support of City Council
Bill Number 0175 in support of getting rid of 24-hour
work and caping the working hour of weekly to the 50-hours. That way we as home care would have time to
rest and to be with our family, especially the kids.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. We have Nu Jun Zhu. Sorry if I messed up your name, please correct it.

NU JUN ZHU: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 2:02:51-

2.3

INTERPRETER: Hello everyone. My name is Nu Jun
Zhu is a home care since 2016. I've worked 24-hour
shifts for three days every week. That means, I work
72 hours a week. I have taken care of many cliental.
If not dementia, then it is full paralysis, diabetes,
who cannot take care of their own lives, all very
endangered crowds. Some of them are not very clear
minded. They go out in the morning, wondering
around. We have to take care of them 24-hours to
make sure of their safety.

At nights, they will just scream. We will have to sit with them till light comes. One patient has to get up every hour. I have to — for a whole day and night, I have to get her from bed to the wheelchair and from wheelchair to the toilet 20-times a day and that is a very highly difficult job. 24-hour shifts has affected our home care bodily health, financial income and family happiness. They cause me lack of sleep, reducing in eye sight, bone density, our hands and legs or our limbs are having joint issues, our family life, such as my husband-and-wife life has done much worse than before.

While you guys are sitting home enjoying your family life, we are changing your grandma and

grandpa's diapers and giving them teas. We are paying severe prices and getting only 13 hours of wages, equal to 13 hours of wages. That means, we are working 11 hours more for free and the home care company and insurance company are suppressing our colored home care person to work for them and make money for them day and night.

America should be a free democracy in justice and fair country. How can they allow these kind of inhumane, working arrangements to continue destroying our colored women? So, here I am in support of City Council Bill Number 0175 in getting rid of 24-hour shifts and changing to 24 two shifts and caping the working hours to 50-hours a week and not letting the employer to unlimitedly forcing us to work long hours. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. So, I do have a question for Assembly Member Epstein if he's still on. Assemblyman, are you on? Yes.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN: Yeah, I'm here Carmen.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Hi Harvey, how are you?

Quick question, has there been a cost estimate done

on your state bill as to the cost of —

2.3

would be federal dollars, half would be state

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN:

It's \$1 billion, half

dollars.

_

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: You said \$1 billion federal and half state.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN: \$1 billion in total. Half of it federal dollars. So, half a billion for the feds and half a billion for state money.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: thank you. I'll pass the microphone over to Council Member Marte who has some questions as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you and I just want to first thank the home attendants for being here and speaking about your experience and you know, really fighting for all your colleagues that are going through this trauma day in and day out while providing the care to our most vulnerable people.

So, thank you. Thank you Lai. Thank you all.

I also want to just quickly mention that the people that we have testifying in support of this bill, are people who have really focused their energy and time on saying that this bill can work in the city. Assembly Member Ron Kim is the Chair of the Aging Committee and so, I want to ask, do you think

we can pass this bill in the City Council? And would it help that effort in this state?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER KIM: Yes, I wouldn't be here supporting this measure. We're here because we've had time at the state to respond to this crisis and we failed to meet the moment. So, we need at the very local level, and in this case, the City of New York, that directly oversees the billions of contracts that we're giving to these agencies to intervene and make sure that our workers are protected and that would also inspire the state to do the right thing moving forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you and one question to Assembly Member Harvey Epstein to clarify some of his colleague statements earlier today. All of 24-hour shifts happen in New York City. They are rarely found outside of the city jurisdiction. Is that correct?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER EPSTEIN: The vast majority of 24-hour shifts are in New York City Councilman Marte, exactly what you said. There are, I mean, I have heard of shifts outside of New York City, but the vast majority are in New York City.

2.3

I also want to thank the home health care attendants who testified and for their struggle as well. I mean, they're the ones that experience the wage theft and the abuse of the system. So, really applaud their effort to come forward today.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Yeah, thank you. That's my questions for now.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Council Member Nurse.

want to thank everybody who is here to testify today.

Two questions and then one question for some of the home aide workers. The first one is to Assembly

Member Ron Kim. Uhm, you testified that after 42

agencies were found to owe billions in back wages,

1199 lawyers cut an agreement on the workers behalf for pennies on the dollar. The DCWP rep did disclose that \$18 million was the settlement but what is the true value that would have been? If you're saying pennies on the dollar, what is the true value of the labor that should have been paid back?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER KIM: It's our estimate based on both the labor and the industry have evaluated this number that is roughly around \$6 billion going back

2.3

2 many, many years that these workers are owed of back wages.

So, when you calculate what the settlement looks like, it's woefully low based on what they're owed as individuals. The remedy— in other words, they got the verdict correct but the remedy is woefully low.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you for that. And just would love to hear your thoughts on the political will of the state to fill in the gap. So, should we pass this and let's say we move to split shifts, what is the political will of this state to fill in that gap to cover the cost required to adequately staff the clients that need this service?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER KIM: Uhm, I join my colleagues in whatever the gap looks like. We have enough revenue streams in my opinion that we can collect as a state to make sure that we guarantee the wages moving forward as we split shifts.

This is something that we have the political will, we just need to administer and execute moving forward. We're not — although it seems like an intimidating number when we see and hear about billions, but it's not compared to the lump sum amount of money that we get when our revenue streams

question and it will be my last, is for the workers. So, please help me out translation. I had asked earlier DCWP about the mechanisms of reporting. When your sleep or meal times are interrupted. So, I'm curious, there wasn't an answer from them and I'm curious to hear from the workers. When your sleep is interrupted and you're you know compelled to support your clients or your meal time is interrupted, how are you reporting that? And how long between the interruption and the reporting is it generally?

And then my, tied to that is, how often do you not report it because of whatever barriers and what are those barriers?

XIAO WEN ZHEN: [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE 2:14:52-2:15:33].

LAI YEE CHANG: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 2:15:34-2:15:43].

INTERPRETER: So, if I submit that law, I will be immediately fired.

2.3

INTERPRETER: What Ms. Chan was saying is that the logs at some point started. The company started requiring the employees to fill out those logs.

LAI YEE CHAN: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 2:17:10-2:19:40].

INTERPRETER: So, at one time, I uhm, I had a four way connect through phone call with myself and the representative from the union. Also, CPC's uh, nurse, Nurse Lee and also the patient that I was serving at the time. So, we had this conversation about the work hours and the situation at that time and then, the patient spoke out of my benefit, saying that you know, I don't want Ms. Lai Yee Chan, which is me, to work as long, that long time. So, CPC's nurse Lee, who took it as in uhm, she doesn't want me to work instead of you know as what the patients actual meaning being, I don't want her to work that long hour.

So, and uhm, in the end, they didn't change the fact. They changed it to two 12-hour shifts, which is 24 hour two shifts instead of 24 hours and then they offer many other ways. I'm the only person that raised this question or who dare to raise this issue with the company. Because other people are so afraid

of the retaliation of getting fired. I was one of
the only person who is there enough to actually spoke
of it.

LAI YEE CHAN: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 2:21:24-2:21:28]

INTERPRETER: And I do have a proof here, it's a text with the patients name, address, phone number and the shift schedule and the detail of the shift saying that is long work, which is 24-hour, from eight to eight and it tells me when to start. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

Thank you for coming and for telling your stories.

Thank you.

INTERPRETER: SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 2:21:56-2:21:59]. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much. Up next, we will have the next panel. A reminder, we're switching to two minutes per person for the remainder of the panels. So, I will call Nina Bakoyiannis. Sorry if I mess up your name. Jose Hernandez, Jessica De La Rosa, Marci Johnson. Marcus, sorry about that. Marcus Johnson. Jessica

2.3

2.3

2 Tambor, TK Small and Mary Lister(SP?). Thank you so
3 much.

Okay, so the Sergeant at Arms will provide a wireless microphone to pass for all of the individuals up in front and then Mary Lester will testify from the seat here. Mary, if you're still in the audience, please come over to — oh, she has left, okay. Okay, thank you so much for your patience. We know it's been a long hearing.

Alright, I just want to remind folks that anyone can submit written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov up to 72 hours after the conclusion of today's hearing and we will begin when you're ready.

T.K. SMALL: Thank you Ms. Chairwoman. My name is T.K. Small. I'm an attorney. I work in Disability Rights. I've been practicing health care policy for probably 30 years. I'm not going to read my remarks. I'm just going to speak and have a dialogue with members of the Council and try to answer your questions. I would first like to point out that there's an immediate potential consequence to this rule that puts people like me in serious harm and jeopardy of being put into a nursing home.

2.3

effort.

As a kid, I have spent nine and a half years in a hospital, and I can tell you from first-hand experience that I'm not going back. Alright, so, one way or the other, I will make things work but if I have to die, I will die in the humanity of my terms. And I'll point out that this whole situation is probably illegal as Assembly Member Gottfried said. This is state law that this law is in contradiction to, so in a way it's a waste of everyone's time and

I support the idea of workers being paid for 24 hours when they work but not when it comes at the potential jeopardy of people like me and others in the disability community being forced back into institutions. I was at the Supreme Court decision arguments in the Olmstead Case. I was there to hear [INAUDIBLE 2:26:44 of the INAUDIBLE 2:26:46] education. This is a matter of civil rights for people with disabilities. That's why I started my career at the Brooklyn site for independence under disabled and for us, this is not just some joke.

Our lives are at stake. And so, you know, pointily I find the situation a bit insulting that the disabled community hasn't been more proactively

2.3

listened to and allowed to be at the table for these discussions. There's a saying in the disability rights community, nothing about us without us. But we haven't been invited to the table and then fought for it to this committee and members of City Council. We've been discounted. So, I'll say that I'm only really involved with this particular fight, but it is a fight and we're not going away. We fought to get out of nursing homes, we will fight this day in the humanity and policies and proposals that put our lives and our freedom in jeopardy will not be tolerated.

So, I've had home care in the community for my entire adult life. I've worked for a fiscal intermediary as the Director of Policy. I was involved with the Medicaid redesign team, and I can tell you, this proposal is not a great idea. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Thank you.

JOSE HERNANDEZ: Hello and thank you. My name is Jose Hernandez, and I would like to first thank the City Council for allowing me to share my story on why Intro. 0175 scares me.

2.3

I experienced a spinal cord injury back in 1995 when I was just 15 years old. Initially when I was released from the hospital, I was authorized a two 12-hour shift. A year later, after being home, a HRA worker came into my home and gave me an ultimatum. He said, sign paperwork to convert my case to a 24-hour live in case or go into a nursing home.

Uhm, as a scared teenager, I signed the forms and had a live in case for the next 16 years. In 2008, I met one of the most impactful home care workers I have ever — to have ever entered my life. When Fausto started working for me, he started as a 24-hour live in worker. In fact, I am here right now because of the role he played in my life. You will hard pressed to find people more committed to the intent of this bill than people with disabilities.

We know our freedoms are tied directly to our workers but while I and most people with disabilities believe in the intend is behind Intro. 0175, it returns me to the trauma caused by that HRA worker 26-years ago. People with disabilities have struggled for many years to justify their existence to society and their desire to live in the community. People with disabilities and older adults who have

been authorized 24-hour live in services are going to
go without much needed care or be placed in nursing
homes because of Intro. 0175. The law will force the
abandonment of people with disabilities and older

6 adults who will have no choice but to be placed into

7 | institutions.

2.3

Some argue that this is not the bills intent.

Intent does not determine outcome. Intro. 0175 will not change state Medicaid rules. People with disabilities and seniors will still be authorized 24-hour live-in services. In some cases, by HRA.

Intro. 0175 cannot make their 24-hour live-in cases, split cases and people will go without much needed care. If we are serious about protecting home care workers, people with disabilities and older adults, we must work together to advocate with Assembly Member Epstein and Senator Persaud to do this the right way in Albany. And part of my testimony, I'm including a legal brief from Disability Rights New York. They asked them as a client to weigh in on the legality of Intro. 0175 and they're not opposing or supporting it. They are just saying that you know, it might be illegal under

3 Act. Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

federal statutes of the American's with Disabilities

JESSICA DE LA ROSA: Hi, I'm Jessica De La Rosa. So, here we go again right. Every single month, people with disabilities are gathered to defend our rights to live equally. Today, it's to bring attention to Intro. 0175. The Disability community has reached out to your offices several times to try and explain why Intro. 0175 would force us into nursing homes. And to tell you why we want to live in the community. You ever thought that no one but seniors and people with disabilities have to defend their right to live in their home?

I am a person with a physical disability and so is my mother. I also live in a building similar to senior housing.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Hold on one second. I'm sorry. Can we please have quiet. Go ahead please.

JESSICA DE LA ROSA: Uhm, I also live in a building similar to senior housing, where people with disabilities also live. My mother, my neighbors and I rely on home care workers to live independently. I work full time. I drive. I pay my taxes. I

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS contribute to society just as all of you here. only difference is my mom, my neighbors, my disabled community members and myself rely on the arms and legs of our home care workers to be the extension of our bodies where our bodies don't work the same.

Our disability care needs do not end after 12 hours, especially as we have been authorized for 24hour live-in service. That are is still very much needed. Live in 24-hour home care cases are still going to be authorized to many individuals with disabilities and seniors who qualify for it. 0175 will not convert cases to two split 12-hour cases. On the contrary, it will force the abandonment of people with disabilities and seniors who are going to have to fight - figure out, I'm sorry. How to figure out how to take care of themselves or be forced into nursing homes.

Many home care workers are people of color who already struggle to provide for their families because of inflation and living in New York City. a result of the 50-hour limit to Intro. 0175 and the loss of overtime, these families are going to be forced to work for multiple agencies and additional

hours, just to maintain the same income they would if

2.0

2.3

2.4

3 they had overtime.

People with disabilities and seniors have recognized for a long time that our home care workers are essential. It is time that our government also recognize them as essential workers. However, people with disabilities and seniors should not be sacrificed while doing that. In order to recognize our home care workers and protect people with disabilities like me and the seniors who live in my building, who wish to remain living in their homes, we need to accomplish this in Albany. I'm a constituent of Assembly Member Epstein who has been working on A 3145A that would protect everyone without sacrificing anyone.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

NINA BAKOYIANNIS: Hi everyone, my name is Nina Bakoyiannis and I'm here with Downstate New York ADAPT. While Intro. 0175 is well intentioned, it is deeply flawed. We agree that our home care workers deserve better, but this bill is not the solution. First, there are many logistical issues with this bill. Medicaid is a state and federal system. Even if this bill passes, the state will continue to

assign disabled people live in care. This bill doesn't take that away from us. So, agencies will either refuse to take on our cases when this happens or get fined for doing so and we have to keep in mind that managed care organizations are incentivized to assign live in cases instead of split 12-hour shifts because they only pay our workers for 13 hours of work for live in care, which is absolutely disgusting. Yet this bill does nothing to address the root of this problem. It will only force disabled folks that are assigned live in care to not receive services and be at imminent risk of getting institutionalized.

Additionally, in the consumer directed model of home care, disabled people are joint employers who hire, fire and schedule our home care attendants. If this bill passes, is the City Council really prepared to argue that a disabled person who is already low income because we're on Medicaid should get fined along with our FI? And let me ask you this, do you plan on signing all of your rich donors with live in nannies or is it just low-income disabled folks that you're ready to throw under the bus?

2.3

The outpouring of support for this bill demonstrates just how little our City Council understands Medicaid policy and that's disturbing.

Secondly, the rhetoric around this bill is problematic. Discussing our most intimate care needs that inhumane, sweatshop work frames us as the problem and minimizes the highly skilled workforce that is our home care attendants, both disabled folks and our home care workers are just trying to survive, pitting two marginalized groups against each other is never the solution. It allows the real perpetrators of harm to run scot-free while we're all sitting here in this room pointing fingers at each other.

Thirdly, do not be fooled that this bill will help our home care attendants. These women are already struggling to pay the bills. It's taking away their right to choose overtime with no resolution, no wage increase and no other opportunities really helping. It's forcing them to get an additional evening job to make up for the hours of pay you all took away from them really helping?

If this City Council really respected women and wanted to uplift them, they would be getting at the

1

3

4

J

6

/

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

root of predatory capitalist systems that leave so many women of color low-income. They would be creating more opportunities for women in order to give them real choice about when and where they want to work, so they don't feel forced to do 24-hour care if they don't want to.

They would be fighting to raise wages and for people who work 24 hour shifts to get the full pay and I'm sorry but where were all of you when this disabled constituents were up in Albany getting arrested while protesting to raise wages for home care workers? Do not act like you're coming to our rescue now. We've been at this fight. Councilman Marte, I know, I know this bill comes from a very personal place. I understand that you spoke publicly about your mother as a home care worker, and I understand that, but I want to remind everyone still supporting this bill that we need legislation not based on emotions and optics. We need it based on a clear and distute understanding of Medicaid policy that is rooted in deep respect for disabled people and our workers. This bill is a hallow attempt to appear invested in workers' rights while instead patronizing them for choose to work overtime

and limiting our access to care during the national home care shortage. There are many smart ways to move forward and this ain't it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much. Thank you so much. Shhhhh, please and let's try to hone in two minutes. Thank you.

MARCUS JOHNSON: Good afternoon New York City

Council. My name is Marcus Johnson. I'm from Civics

League for Disability Rights and Independence Care

System. Thank you for this opportunity for a change

to voice my deep concerning Intro. 0175-2022.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Sorry, one second

Marcus. Give me a second. Can we please be quiet so
that we hear Marcus. He waited patiently, please.

MARCUS JOHNSON: Maximum working hours for home care aides. I feel very strongly that this well-intentioned bill is actually one that will be a great disservice to people with disabilities, and will adversely impact their health, independence, and the crucial live-in services they receive in their communities.

I am a member of the Civics League for Disability Rights, a group of New Yorkers with disabilities and their supporters. The League remains committed to

2.3

lives.

advocating for the constitutionally guaranteed right of people with disabilities to live independently in our communities. We strive to educate our community, assist New Yorkers with disabilities in being effective advocates, and amplify their voices to secure services and supports we need to live our

For as long as I can recall, home care has always been under attack. Year after year, people with disabilities unite to protest cuts to services and programs that ensure our health and independence, which we greatly value. This bill is no exception, and I implore you to reconsider it and fine tune this bill to make sure people with disabilities are provided the support they need to live their lives.

This bill will negatively affect the disability community, in many ways. This bill, as it stands, would impact roughly 100 of our members, who would lose access to live-in home care and, ultimately, have no choice but to enter nursing homes, something we greatly oppose. This is especially problematic because many of our members are adults with physical disabilities, not frail, elderly individuals living at home. Many are active and young, well below the

J

′

age of 65, and live independently on their own, away from their families. They need live-in services to continue thriving independently, in their communities, without having their autonomy restricted and the hours of their home care aides limited.

Those who require a number of home care hours from their trusted aides would see reduced support, especially with the bill's 50-hour limit. This would put aides in a terrible position, where they would have to work through multiple agencies to deliver the same hours of care, despite the fact that, as consumers, we can only work with one agency.

To cap aides' hours and penalize agencies with workers provide more than the 12 hours of care daily to a population that is already marginalized and vulnerable, insulting, unfair, and unacceptable.

Another issue with the bill is the proposed fines to home care agencies of \$500 per day for any instance where they send an aide to work 12 hours or over 50 hours per week.

This is a problem because state and local agencies using Medicaid guidelines set home care hours, not the agencies. And who is on the receiving end of the issue? I am. We are. Again. If agencies

2.3

their families.

conclude they don't want to incur the fines, or cannot afford them, people with disabilities take the hit. Much needed care will end. Some will have no choice but to enter nursing homes, places where independence dies and where the way we want our health needs to be handled far too often not taken into consideration. Enough is enough. We need to do better. We need a solution that preserves quality of care for people with disabilities. We need a solution where aides receive the equitable, living wages they need and deserve to support themselves and

This bill is not that solution. Aides providing essential services to one of the state's most vulnerable populations should not have their weekly hours capped. People with disabilities depend on these services now more than ever.

I strongly encourage you to rethink this

legislation, and to connect with disability leaders

and advocates, including leaders from Independence

Care System and Consumer Directed Personal Assistance

Association of New York State, to understand the

perspective of people with disabilities and gain

insight into the ways this bill can be re-worked to

support all parties. I also encourage you to connect with state legislators and work with them to making changes at the state level where these Medicaid-related issues must be resolved.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

JESSICA TAMBOR: Good afternoon. My name is

Jessica Tambor and I have had a disability my entire

life. Without home care, I would not be able to live

at home because there is no way my dad would be able

to care for me all day by himself. It is because of

all the home care that I have had my whole life that

I have been able to live with my dad at home and be a

very active member of my community.

[INAUDIBLE 2:44:57] than it would be harder to find home health aides that would want to do the job because they wouldn't make enough money working so little hours. And it's already very hard to find people who want to do this job. Eliminating live in care with people with disabilities of all ages and senior citizens like my grandma who has live in care.

Without live in care, they will wind up in nursing homes. If home care hours are limited, than we would need even more staff per person and there's not that many home care workers to go around for all

of us who need all of those hours and all that staff
to fill in the hours of the week. This is another
way we would be forced into nursing homes. We need a
better solution.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you. Uhm, we do have some questions from our colleagues here. We have a question from Council Member Marte followed by Council Member Nurse.

testimony and thank you for sharing your lived experience. I only have one question. Right now, as I said before, this mostly almost entirely happens in New York City. There's other disability groups throughout the state that have two, 12-hour shifts and they're not being forced into nursing home. Do you not believe that it is possible to have two 12-hour shifts and live at home?

JOSE HERNANDEZ: Live in cases happen throughout the state. I have a two 12-hour split shift right now. I was able to advocate for that after the fact. But that's not changing the fact that HRA workers and city and state workers are still authorizing these cases. I'm an advocate now and I could advocate for having the two split shifts but for those who don't

know or are authorized for the live in cases, that's where the Intro. 0175 is flawed because it doesn't automatically split their case. It only makes it illegal for an agency to send that person to that home, a patient or consumer, whichever one you want to call us but without that care, we end up in nursing homes.

So, if there was additional funding in Albany to split the cases, then all for it. You know, 12 and 12 would be a lot more beneficial for everyone. For the worker, for the patient and I'm not arguing that fact. You know the intentions of the bill are sound, but it can't be done on a city level. That's where we're arguing that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Just my last question, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Go ahead, sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: You know, Assembly Member Harvey Epstein is one of the greatest disability advocates in Albany and he supports this bill. He said this bill will actually push the state to make sure that they can pass their bill on the state law. Do you disagree with him?

2.3

JOSE HERNANDEZ: I disagree that this bill will not. It will not force the state to do anything.

The state is going to do what the state does, right.

And I was in a meeting with Council Member Restler right, and it was demoralizing to me because as a person with a disability who has been disabled for 27 years, right, he says kind of nonchalantly, if this bill passes, we can use it as leverage to force the state to negotiate.

That leverage that he's talking about is the lives of people with disabilities that are going to be in jeopardy while the state and the city figure this out. And we can't do that. We don't have the luxury of time in between us. You know, we're going to end up in an institution and for those who end up really sick are going to die.

You know this is not a game for the city and the state to be fighting over our lives. We shouldn't be used as ammunition or leverage the way Council Member Restler put it to force anyone to negotiate. The law should not protect one class while condemning another and this is what 0175 will do. It will condemn people with disabilities to go without care or be placed in institutions.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

T.K. SMALL: yes If I could quickly respond to Council Member Marte. Uhm, I used to have 12-hour care per day and then my father had a stroke, and he couldn't do the night time work for me anymore. So, I called the city social worker and said, look, my father is in the hospital. I can't go without care

She said, okay, hang on. She said, let me call you

for the other 12 hours. Can you authorize more care?

back. She called me back within like a half an hour and at first said, okay, we'll give you a sleep in.

Now, my care is very complicated. I'm about as disabled as anybody in this room. I need help with everything. I have a shrink; I have an evaporator. I have a social machine. You know, my care is way

more complicated than a 24-hour sleep-in situation.

I said, no, that's not good enough. I need split shifts, 24-hour care. And they authorized it right away. They listened to me. They said OKAY, you're right. Objectively, you need more care than the sleep-in situation with day pay.

In the panel immediately before this one, one of the home care workers said that she used to do sleep in and then the consumer complained and got an increase in authorizations. So, honestly, there are

cases that it's completely inappropriate and wrong to do sleep in care, but you know the consumer has an obligation to advocate for that worker as well.

If the care is too complicated, there is a process where the authorization can be changed. So, I mean certainly, I want workers paid for their time they get away from their families, but this idea is putting our lives in jeopardy. And as Jose just said, we don't want to be pawns in a bigger fight.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Council Member Nurse.

testifying. Really appreciate hearing your perspective. I did have one question, which I think might have been answered but I'm not fully sure. I guess I was curious from your experience the difference in quality of care from a 24-hour shift to a split shift. If you could speak to the quality of that. I think that was just answered but I'm not sure.

JOSE HERNANDEZ: I will attempt to answer that question. I had a live in case at one time and my home care worker of 12 years Fausto, he started initially with me as a live in worker and then

transitioned into a split shift. You know, he used to work five days, 12 hours. When at a living case, he used to work with me four days straight.

As far as the quality, it varies from day to day and yes, there were some days that were a lot more difficult because for whatever reason, I don't know.

I had a bowel accident in the middle of the night, and he had to you know assist me. So, with the two split shifts, I didn't feel as guilty or I don't feel as guilty as calling on my person and saying listen, I'm sorry I have to interrupt you in the middle of the night to take care of me because I have a split shift.

You know, for someone that's you know working 24 hours, I also have to you know take into consideration their time and they're with me 24 hours. So, it's kind of a relationship you have to play you know, you're in there with your home care worker. You have to also care for them as they care for you. So, yes, it's a give and take. So, hopefully I was able to answer that question.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: No, you answered that. I would just say in general; do you feel that one or the other is better?

2.3

NINA BAKOYIANNIS: I just wanted to add one thing too. I mean, I think that the complicated question to answer because disability is so variable and you know personalities are so variable right, but I think something maybe I didn't center enough in my speech is that we're already in such a home care shortage.

I have friends that are sleeping in their wheelchairs at night because they can't find one home care worker. If we get rid of live in, now we're asking

This is a conversation that is way too large for City Council to be having and that's the truth. A lot of protections, if something like this goes into place, so many protections need to be happen. So, many discussions need to be had at the state level. We need an increase in wages. We need to you know to incentivize this workforce. We need so, so, so, much that I'm sorry, City Council just isn't equipped or prepared to do and that's okay because we need you guys to pass the message up to the state, right. It is a lot more complicated than this.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you.

them to find two to three for one day.

JOSE HERNANDEZ: I want to add one thing, that you know, uhm, I stated in my testimony I had a home

care worker that worked with me for 12 years. More important to me than even my father is right now, and my father is alive. He died at the beginning of the pandemic to a state that doesn't consider them essential and for minimum wage. I am literally here and as successful as I am because of my home care worker.

So, I am sensitive to what you're trying to do Marte. I really am but like I stated before, you can't protect one while sacrificing the other.

JESSICA TAMBOR: Adding to what Nina was saying, it's very hard to find a lot of home care workers.

Like because I'm on a vent also and it takes a lot of people to do it and there's a lot of shifts that get unfilled. Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Is that all colleagues? Alright, well, we thank you so much for taking the time to tell us about your experiences. This is informing us in our decision making and we appreciate your time and your patience here today. Thank you for coming. Thank you.

We're going to call Mary Lester, who is testify virtually but I also want to inform that we will need the Fujianese interpreter for the next panel. I know

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2.3

2.4

25

they're in the Committee room, if that interpreter can come making its way over, that would be helpful.

And Mary, I know you're virtual. When you're ready, please start.

MARY LESTER: Hello, can you hear me? CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: We can hear you Mary. I'm going to ask for a little bit of guiet in the Chamber as we transition.

MARY LESTER: Thank you very much for allowing me to participate virtually.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Hold on one second Mary. Hold on one second. Alright, hold on one second. Alright, go-ahead Mary. Sorry about that. Can you speak a little louder, as loud as you can, thank you.

MARY LESTER: Thank you for allowing me to testify virtually today. I did travel down to Buffalo to be able to be there in person but unfortunately due to the timing, I did have to leave to catch my plane.

I have been a home care worker for nearly ten I've worked with individuals with disabilities. I've worked with individuals with Alzheimer's. I have worked with all sorts of different people who need in home care. I have never

2.4

had to work a 24-hour shift. Upstate, we do have some individuals who require 24-hour care but the 24 hour "live in" is very, very rare, if not you know completely extinct at this point up state because the fact of the matter is, it's not the best care. And frankly, I think the panel that just spoke before me, did a good job of demonstrating that.

That's the only reason someone gets approved for 24-hour care is if they may need care in the evening at some point. And so, having split shifts would make that a lot more possible.

I've been part of the Ain't I Woman Campaign for close to seven years this point, and I have personally testified to the New York State on a New York State level to the legislature. This bill is that bill and this bill has been neglected on the state level because New York City is the only place that's it's happening. I've talked with many other home care workers through the Queen City Worker Center who say that there is no way that they would work the 24-hour shift if they were to come to Buffalo because they do have families and they do have health needs of their own.

2.3

_ ¬

And in fact, the disability education and advocacy network of western New York, which is made up of people with disabilities, advocates, people who are home care workers like myself. People who work for social service agencies, the Disability Education and Advocacy Network of Western New York has actually been one of the staunchest supporters of ending the 24-hour day.

Sleep deprivation can lead to a similar impairment as being drugged. We wouldn't say, as long as the client and the worker agree, the worker can come on drunk to the job. That's not safe.

That's not something that would become allowed to be a standard. If you are awake for 24 hours, which will happen sometimes if you're doing a 24-hour livein shift, it's equivalent to having a .1 percent blood alcohol content, which would mean you would be unable to drive safely.

So, I want to encourage us all to think about that this is you know just as important for us to make sure that the standards in New York State are safe, both for the workers and for the clients.

Thank you very much.

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

_

J

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for testifying today Mary. Up next, we need the Fujianese interpreter. We are going to call Bao Jin Qiu, Gui Zhu Chen, Mary Somoza, Somoza, sorry about that. Mahir Rahman, Helen Schaub, and Francisco Javier Castillo to the next panel.

Is Mary on the panel? Mary Somoza? Oh, okay
Mary, you're here. Let's see, we have Mahir Rahman?
MAHIR RAHMAN: Yeah, here.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Here, perfect. Helen Schaub and Francisco Javier Castillo from 1199, are you present? You're remotely? Okay, we'll begin as soon as the interpreter is ready, just give us a few minutes.

Alright, we're going to start with Mary since she's ready to go. Mary, are you ready?

MARY SOMOZA: Okay, hi. Uhm, my name is Mary
Somoza, and I am the mother of twin daughters
Anastasia Somoza and Alba Somoza. present here
today. Anastasia would have testified today but she
worked for the City Council, and she just left in
May, and she was the first disability coordinator
for all the Council under Speaker Corey Johnson.

2.3

today.

The reason I am here today, first of all, I'd

like to say, I'm not going to read this because I can

pretty much say it off by heart. I will submit my

testimony but basically, we're in agreement with

Council Member Marte in as we believe this is very

well-intentioned that we trust and we believe our

workers deserve as much money as we can possible get

for them because without the workers, my girls

couldn't live the independent life that they live

The problem here is we feel that this is all happening without Council Member hearing our side of the story, which is we have no control over how much money our aides are paid. We are allotted a certain number of hours and we work with the CDPAP program where we, the family, and my daughters have to recruit. We advertise, we recruit, we interview, we hire, we train our workers and then we send them to the agency. And all the agency does is pay their — well, they do a lot of things, but they pay their wages and their health care, but we do everything else. And right now, we are struggling like and all the families that I represent, a large number of families of children, young adults and children with

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

disabilities across the state, who use self-direction
programs who we fought for many, many years to have
the ability to choose how we use our hours, what
times we use our hours and who we have in our homes
working with our family members. What we see here
with this bill is that we're losing control over what

my adult daughters who have significant disabilities.

we have fought so many years and worked day and night

to maintain, which is the independence and freedom of

And we support, we have testified before Assembly Member Gottfried and Gustavo many, many times to improve health care for all New Yorkers and what we are against here is that the caps on hours because we desperately tried to recruit workers. But sometimes we don't have enough. And so, our workers work longer hours, but they allot - many of them actually request longer hours because they live in New York City, rents are expensive, life is expensive here and they need as many hours as they can get to make their way in life. None of our workers work more than 12 hours a day. That's you know, not possible and what we want to encourage the Council Members to think about is the other - yes, we very much care for our workers. But the population of people that home care

1

3

4

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2.3

24

138 workers serve are the most vulnerable population in the city. 95 percent are the elderly, people who age into disability and that other five percent are people with significant disabilities whose very life depends on the ability to have that home care.

And so, this is our conundrum. Yes, we want our workers to get paid well, but we do not have the control over that. State has control, HRA has control over what they earn and now our workers will get a three dollar an hour I think increase in October, but we wanted it to go up to \$21 and \$22 an hour but state didn't have the money for that. So, we're stuck with what we've got, and we have to work with what we got and what we don't need is caps, which would make me have to look for more workers, which are already hard enough to find. The workers that we have, we would have to have more because their hours are capped. To the people who are wanting and willing to work, and like I say, we don't overwork our workers. They work normal hours to the best of their ability, but we have to have the ability to use our hours as we can to cover the services of my daughters.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So, that's what I would like you to consider today and we're all basically on the same side. It's not that we're just against the legislation as it stands because of the caps and because of the minimum hours put on our workers.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

MARY SOMOZA: And sometimes it's just not possible to do that.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Thank you.

MARY SOMOZA: For my girls to survive. So, I will submit my testimony, my written testimony and I thank you for hearing us out today. I've got to get them home now.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much. I think we have Mahir Rahman. Yeah, if you could stay, we're going to hear the whole panel and then we'll ask a question. Could you stay a little longer?

MARY SOMOZA: Pardon.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you stay a little bit longer? Because there are some folks that have questions. Okay, just wait a little bit so that we can get some questions, okay.

2 MARY SOMOZA: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Uhm, Mahir.

MAHIR RAHMAN: So, uh, good afternoon to members of the City Council and to the general public listening here and live. My name is Mahir Rahman. I'm a local community member from District 1, I want to thank my dear family and Councilman Chris Marte as well as the Assemblyman Epstein and other notable folks who are elected officials among us. because of them that we even had this hearing present here in the first place. And you know, I was born and raised between the lower east side and Chinatown on Ellen and Grand Street where I still reside with my family. And just to make it clear, uhm, the Ain't I a Woman Campaign which has been doing the grassroots work and organizing since 2019 and continues to the present day, these are hundreds of home attendant workers who have spoken up starting with Lai Chan locally and in other boroughs have begun to stand up for what I heard for the first time in my life, the 24-hour workday. And when I first heard of this, I couldn't believe it was even a thing in any job the idea that someone, a mother, an aunt, a sister, or a woman I never personally knew is

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 141 working without breaks 24 hours a day, days on end. And I was struck by this whole necessity of how, if this is even possible here in this local city and as opposed to the whole New York State where mostly they are following the state law. But here in New York City, the immigrant woman of color you know, Chinese, Latino home care workers even Bangladeshi home attendant workers in Queens and the cases haven't been as clear for them but they're working the 24 hour shifts as well.

It might not be as noticeable, it might be just like, they're not many workers who are willing to stand up and expose the system through talking about the 24-hour shifts.

And though I'm not a woman or a home attendant, the Ain't I a Woman Movement has opened my eyes to what happens in this city that never sleeps. ultimately this is culture of overwork spreading to every industry and workplace and it's most profound effect is on those who have little choice, home attendant workers.

And if you and I don't support them, how will we sleep at night? Two opposing reasons to Intro. 175 is, this is a state issue. There's a shift to the

2.3

state issue, not effective enough for stopping wage theft and that this will lead to a backlash on the conditions of home care workers. But in reality, the agencies themselves, big, profitable agencies, themselves can really amend by the state level and show how this violation of state law is really profound.

And the split shifts that can be as a result of Intro. 175 will actually encourage more home attendant workers to enter the workforce going to the future, where there won't be a need. They will see that they will have more control of time in their aspect. More control of time was better for the home attendant workers and is better for the patients.

So, ultimately, I urge the City Council members of this Committee to resoundly listen to the testimony, listen to the following testimonials from all the other home attendant workers and we see the framework set up there with the state officials Ron Kim and other supporting this. It is possible.

Let's get it done. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. We'll go to Bao Jin Qiu and Gui Zhu Chen.

2 BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:14:02-

3:14:26.

2.3

INTERPRETER: Hi everyone, friends, families. My name is Bao Jin Qiu. I'm here today to say something. I want to say that I wanted to cancel this 24-hour shifts because if we continue this way, we'll crush our body. We will demise our health.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:14:53-3:15:15].

INTERPRETER: So, I started in 2011 and I started as a 24-hour shift worker and I know it's going to be difficult, but the company threatened me saying that if I don't take this, than I won't be offered anymore, any jobs.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:15:37-3:15:56].

INTERPRETER: And you know how old the patient that was given to me, he was 93. He has a broken lung. He has to go through lung cleaning three times a week and I'm the one who gets him there and gets him back.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:16:19-3:16:38].

2.3

INTERPRETER: And at first, you know how many people it takes to take care of this elderly for a week. We had three workers who it takes two days, and I personally is the third one that takes three days and for a couple months or a couple weeks, a couple months or a half year, all the other two left, so I am supposed to take all seven days, 24 hours of job.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:17:16-3:17:40].

INTERPRETER: And uhm, I kept on requesting the company to look out for more people and they kept on telling me to wait and the patient has to go through lung cleaning and every night, he will ask to go to the bathroom, even though he has nothing to pee. And I have to go through that at least five times up to eight times a night.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:18:08-3:18:26].

INTERPRETER: And I kept on telling them that I can't do it anymore and then the family is saying that like, there's no one else besides you who can do this. And I know that without my body health, there's nothing no money can repay my body.

2.3

2 BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:18:47-3:19:32].

INTERPRETER: And then later on, they brought another two people in and one of them works one day and then another person works two days a week and then I take care of the rest and uhm, later on, he or she, the patient, install some kind of pacer and then the family tells me that you cannot speak loudly.

You cannot surprise her. You cannot scare her. You know if once her heart stops, it will not be again.

So, I live, or I work in fear because of all of these like, I just can't do it anymore.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:20:12-3:21:02].

INTERPRETER: And later on, those two left as well and then I had to — I work day and night without taking a day off and then later on, I had to ask my uncle's daughter, which is my cousin to come in and fill in three of those days. So, she worked three days, and I worked four days and for the longest time, we you know, slowly I worked for her — worked for this family for seven years up until she is 100.

And at this one incident that happened when the patient was uh, when she was 99, her son was present

2.3

for this as well. That she was hitting me and saying foul words, cursing, saying that I wasn't doing a good job and then uhm, while I was you know wiping her ass, changing her pain bottles, things like that, and she spits all over me and hitting me and then I still because she's vulnerable, I can't say anything back. I'm afraid that something might happen to her.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:22:20-3:23:05].

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Please conclude. We have to move on to the next panelist.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:23:07].

INTERPRETER: So, uhm, after she passed at 100, I thought that I was going to stop but I worked for another two years, and I finally stopped. Even though I don't work for this anymore, I still want to urge these health care companies to work to run the company with conscious. This is not a healthy thing. I was 110 pounds and I'm not anymore. This is very damaging to body health.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:23:53-3:24:15].

2 INTERPRETER: So, I know -

2.3

3 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

INTERPRETER: Just one last thing. I just want to urge everybody or every sisters or people that's going to work to cut your shift into two 12 hours because you cannot buy your body with money with any amount of money.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you for sharing. Thank you.

BAO JIN QIU: Hi, [SPEAKING IN FUJAINESE 3:24:39].

INTERPRETER: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: We have one more. Hold on, we have one more panelist. And please, let's keep it to two minutes because we still have a long way to go, and we want to make sure that we can hear everyone, and you know we only have translation services for a number of hours. So, please, go ahead.

GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 3:25:02-3:26:15].

INTERPRETER: Hello everyone, my name is Gui Zhu Chen, and I started caring since 2014 as a 24-hour shift and during that time, I serve a husband and a

1 wife whose 80 and 90's and at that time, so they are 2 3 sleeping. They usually sleep through the day and then they will get up at night to open, because the 4 husband has you know mental health issue, they will get up at night thinking that there's thieves that 6 coming out into the house and so, they want to check 7 8 the windows, they check their light bulb, they check everything.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And then, also for lunches, they don't eat lunch until like two or three in the afternoon and we cannot eat before them. They will get all angry and start hitting people. And then I worked for three days a week for 24 hours for a couple weeks, a couple months and I can't take it anymore and so, I stopped.

GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 3:27:31-3:27:49].

INTERPRETER: So, and I lost a lot of weight over the period of time, and I have a lot of pain all over my body, my fingers, my palms and as I'm sitting here, I'm not filling a lot of my body, numbness.

GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 03:28:09-3:28:09-3:28:57.

INTERPRETER: And uhm, I want to be treated with a heart of buddha as we treat other people with the

4 we Chinese wanted to be treated as fairly as well.

other Americans fairly. So, we want to be treated,

We want to urge that the 24-hour shift be cut into half as a 12-hour shift instead.

That is very easily harmful for our body with the long working hours. So, I just want to urge today to be here to uhm, stop the 24-hour shift.

149

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

11 GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 3:29:50].

12 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

13 GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 3:29:52-14 3:29:58].

INTERPRETER: She wants to add additional, the limit on the work hour per week to be 50 or up to 50. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you for sharing your story.

INTERPRETER: Thank you very much. Thank you for your cooperation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: So, we have Helen Schaub of 1199 who is on virtually, as well as Francisco Javier Castillo. If you could wait, because we do

3

7

8

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2 have questions from Council Member Marte. So, Helen,

3 go ahead.

HELEN SCHAUB: Okay, I'm here under Francisco

Javier. For some reason, I'm not permitted to open

the video. I don't know if I could be given

permission to do that, but can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yes, we hear you.

HELEN SCHAUB: Okay, apologies. It seems to be the host has disabled the video. So, I'll talk and if you let me, we'll appear on video as well. Thank you so much for inviting us to testify today. I am here offering testimony on behalf of 200,000 New York City Members of 1199, SEIU including 50,000 home care workers. We certainly appreciate the City Council's attention to this issue and allowing so many voices of home care workers to be heard in city hall today. I'm going to briefly give an overview of some of the structure of the industry and also the unions position and then, we have Francisco Javier Castillo, who's an 1199 SEIU member and home care worker who is going to speak from his experience.

I don't want to repeat many of the things that other people have said regarding the structure of the industry, I will just say a couple of things. The

union clearly supports the elimination of the 24-hour shift to end the exploited of practice of requiring workers to be in clients homes without being paid for those times.

The mechanism of capping the daily shift at 12 hours could accomplish this goal but as many other people have said, including many people that we work closely with in the consumer community, it must be paid for through the state Medicaid program in order to ensure that home care consumers can stay in their homes.

I do want to just go through the numbers because

I think there has been some confusion about the

numbers. According to the states cost reports, the

licensed agency and fiscal intermediary cost reports

in 2019 -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

HELEN SCHAUB: There are about 17,780 home care consumers in New York City. That's about — excuse me, 24-hour live-in home care consumers in New York City. That's about 54 percent of the total. 40 percent of the total, 13,000 people are in Long Island and Westchester and New York City does have a higher proportion of the days of care. It's about 73

3 in

4 5

1

2

6

8

9

11

10

13

12

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

percent of the total days of care in the state, live in days of care. We calculated the cost of paying those additional 11 hours to be \$645 million for New York City alone, a billion-dollar cost is to do that statewide. That multiplying the 11 hours times the 2.1 million days of care times the cost per hour.

Just to wrap up because I know we have limited time, I wanted to focus a little bit on this question of the 50-hour cap. Home care workers fought very, very hard to covered by the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act. I think as many people know, when that act was first passed, there were really racist exclusions of agricultural workers and domestic workers from Federal Labor law. It was a very long fight. Our union helped support a case that went all the way to the Supreme Court to ensure that home care workers are covered by the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act. That quarantees them time and a half overtime, which was not true before 2015 by capping the work week at 50 hours. It does not prevent anybody from working more hours because you can work at more than one agency. What it does prevent is you earning more than ten hours a week of time and a half overtime. We think that's an error that would really

1

hurt workers and require workers to work more hours

not less.

Medicaid funding.

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

So, we would certainly ask that the 50-hour weekly cap be removed from this bill. And as there is a focus on how to ensure that the shift is eliminated but the funding is there to provide care for consumers. I think one thing that the City Council ought to consider is how to align any effective date of legislation with the state budget and legislative cycle since the state does control

Right now, the effective date of this bill is 90 days after its passed, which may very well be completely misaligned with the state cycle and therefore not allow for these services to be funded.

Lastly, the only thing I'll say is that we were mentioned a number of times in this hearing regarding our arbitration settlement. We're happy to answer any questions regarding that. There's been some misinformation put out earlier. I'm happy to clear up any of that. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Francisco. Mr. Francisco.

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 154 |
|----|---|
| 2 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 3 | 3:35:47-3:35:58. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: One momento Francisco, |
| 5 | one momento. |
| 6 | INTERPRETER: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:36:02- |
| 7 | 3:36:10]. Good afternoon. |
| 8 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 9 | 3:36:14-3:36:17]. |
| 10 | INTERPRETER: Members of the New York City |
| 11 | Council. |
| 12 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 13 | 3:36:19-3:36:22. |
| 14 | INTERPRETER: My name is Francisco Javier Castillo. |
| 15 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 16 | 3:36:24-3:36:26. |
| 17 | INTERPRETER: I am a member of 1199. |
| 18 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 19 | 3:36:30-3:36:38. |
| 20 | INTERPRETER. I have been employed as home health aide since |
| 21 | the year 2008. |
| 22 | FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH |
| 23 | 3:36:44-3:36:52]. |
| 24 | INTERPRETER: When I was taking care of the same |
| 25 | client that has been needing constant care for this |
| | past years. |

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 155 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 2 3 3:37:01-3:37:04]. INTERPRETER: When I started I was assigned a 24-4 hour shift. 5 6 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:37:08-3:37:10. 7 INTERPRETER: Five days a week. 8 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 9 10 3:37:11-3:37:12]. 11 INTERPRETER: In patient with the bed inside. 12 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:37:16-3:37:27]. 13 INTERPRETER: And uh, during this time, the 14 15 sleeping and eating hours were constantly interrupted 16 and were not paid during that time. 17 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 18 3:37:39-3:37:47]. 19 INTERPRETER: In 2018, my 24-hour shift was divided in two 12-hour shifts. 20 21 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:37:54-3:37:59]. 22 2.3 INTERPRETER: No, I work 48 hours four days a

24

week.

3:39:12-3:39:17].

- 2 INTERPRETER: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:40:22-
- 3 | 3:40:23]
- 4 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 5 3:40:25].
- 6 INTERPRETER: I have to be careful to work with a 7 patient that I know for many years.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Gracias.
- 9 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 10 3:40:37-3:40:46].
- INTERPRETER: A lot of my colleagues are assigned four-hour shifts and they have to work for multiple agencies.
- 14 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 15 3:40:51-3:40:55].
- 16 INTERPRETER: To be able to accumulate enough
 17 hours for an entire shift.
- 18 FRANCISCO JAVIER CASTILLO: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH
 19 3:40:58-3:41:08].
- INTERPRETER: I'm lucky that I never had to do it
 but if they can't, I would have to work more than 50
 years a week.
- CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:41:17-3:41:22] Please conclude your testimony.

INTERPRETER: It is not beneficial for us.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA:

Thank you. Gracias.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Thank you. So, we're going to move on to questions but [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 3:43:01] uhm, I'm talking in Spanish now. Whew transition. My colleague Chris Marte has a question. Chris.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Yeah, first of all, I want to thank everyone for testifying and thank you Mary for taking great care of the home attendant that you have assigned to your children. But as we heard in this panel, not every case is like that. You know, there are some cases that are a bit more abusive, but workers can't choose who they help and who is their client. A lot of times, they are forced into this. And so, one of the questions I have is, do you think that home attendants are asking for more hours because they're not getting paid for the full 24 hours that they currently work?

MARY SOMOZA: I think that there are many; I have identical twins, but their level of care is completely different and their level of dependency completely different. So, cases are different, but I don't think that it's certainly the level of pay is totally inadequate for living in New York City for what home attendants or in our case, it's we call

2

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

personal care assistants, it's totally inadequate but we understand as well that we don't have the total control over that. We lobby the government. We have testified more times than I would care to mention over the last 38 years since my daughters been here and we try to influence government to do the right thing but it's not always successful and there's not

always the money available.

So, we're a little bit, we are totally dependent on our elected officials both citywide and statewide to decide these things and decide where they're going to spend their money and of course, we want our aides to get the money that they deserve, which is a living wage particularly in New York City. You can't compare the city to anywhere, everywhere in the state and everywhere in the country. New York City is a whole different animal and it's extremely hard for our families. I'm a parent so, and I represent a lot of parents across the city, all in your different districts and our parents struggle greatly with this and worry about the future when they're not - because the aide of last resort are the parents. And a lot our parents are aging or ill or not able to do it anymore or tired and so, we all have our concerns as

2.3

well and we have to just try to hope that our elected officials and people at the state and local level will come to the right conclusion and pay the workers in New York a decent living wage, which would make our life easier. Easier to recruit, to hire people to retain the people that we recruit if they have a living wage. Then they would not need to work excessive hours to make up the money that they need to live on.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Do you think if it was split into two 12-hour shifts that more people would become home attendants and help the shortage crisis?

MARY SOMOZA: Not necessarily because that means two people doing it. A lot of times the actual individual and I'm not talking about my family in this case, particularly the elderly, which are completely different to the younger generation who receive care. The elderly and more sedentary, they are more at home. Sometimes the shifts are not as hard but some shifts, when you have a person with dementia are extremely hard for the home attendants.

So, if it's a split shift is what you're talking about, that's the logical thing but that split shift, if they would allow a certain amount of the hours for

the worker who can actually stay overnight and do a
nighttime shift, I can't speak for everybody, but I
can speak for my own case and for the families that I
get input from all of the time. Who are in all of
your districts by the way and I'm sure some of you
have heard from our family members. They can't be
here today because they're struggling and struggling
to find workers, struggling to — they are the
backups.

When we have workers or we can't get a worker, families are the backdrops.

UNIDENTIFIED: Let me just with, basically the point that she's making is that, if we split the shift into two 12-hour shifts, in some cases that might work but as my friends who testified in the last panel alluded to, there is a national home care crisis. We can't hire people right now, so if you make a 24 hour shift illegal, then the ultimate result right now is that we will end up in nursing homes because we don't have the ability to — that would mean, most of us would need several personal care assistants or home attendants to cover our needs and to keep us out of the hospital.

2.3

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2 So, we agree that home care workers need to work 3 under better conditions but minimizing the care that we receive to do that is not the solution. As my 4 friend Jose said before, protecting one group cannot come at the expense of another. So, please take 6 7 another look at this legislation and ask for the 8 advice of the people that are being affected by it. Because with all due respect, we couldn't get a 10 meeting with your office prior to this hearing. 11 we're happy to advise you but you have to be able, 12 you have to be willing to take a meeting with us and we can have a conversation about how to solve the 13 issue without putting people like me back into a 14 15 hospital or a nursing home.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you state your name for the record?

ANASTASIA SOMOZA: Yes, I'm Anastasia Somoza.
I'm Mary Somoza's daughter.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I just have to questions for the union rep.

23 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Uhm, it's either I guess — yeah, you can answer. What was the protocol of 24-

2 hour live-in care before former Governor Cuomo issued 3 the 13-hour rule?

HELEN SCHAUB: Us, so, I believe it was not the governor himself who issued or the governors administration who issued the 13-hour rule. Well, it was the court who threw it back to the state and then the state conformed the state law with the federal law. The 13-hour rule existed before that. The lawsuit that challenged it was claiming that it was — that it should be illegal, and the court declined to rule it illegal instead, sent it back to the State Department of Labor.

So, there was no Change before and after. There was hope that the court case would have changed the 13-hour rule but that did not happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay. I don't think that's true. Okay, I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: You have another question? No, you're good? Okay, I have a question for 1199 and my question is, what percentage of this workforce is currently unionized? Do you know?

HELEN SCHAUB: It is difficult to get statistics.

24 | It is more unionized in New York City, but I believe

2.3

it is probably 30 to 40 percent union in New York

City.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: And in the case where there are egregious violations to working conditions, can you state what is the role that the union plays in kind of giving recourse to these workers?

HELEN SCHAUB: Certainly, so there is a contract for union workers. There's a contract that governs working conditions and if those conditions are violated, the worker can file a grievance and then there is a process by which that grievance is either resolved or goes to an independent arbitrator.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: And in your estimation, the crisis that has been described as a workforce shortage, uhm, you know we're hearing these numbers for 2028. Has the union — does the union have an estimation of what that crisis would look like? Are the stats similar to what we've heard from the 2021 CUNY School of Labor report?

HELEN SCHAUB: Yes, so I think it's important to understand, there's really two aspects to the crisis.

One, is a growing need. Right, we have an aging population and so, there are more and more people who need care at home and who certainly prefer not to go

2.3

into nursing homes. Particularly given the situation in nursing homes during the pandemic but the crisis is also really one of turnover. It's very difficult to maintain the same workforce because of the working conditions and that's certainly why there's been a struggle, both on the part of consumers and on the part of workers to raise wages and more and more needs to be done in order to retain the existing workforce.

You have a certain number of job openings but those grow exponentially because people leave the field because of the working conditions, particularly the wages and benefits but also in some cases, the working conditions on the job.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: And my final question before I turn it over to Council Member Bottcher is, has the union taken a position on the state bills at this time?

HELEN SCHAUB: We have the same concern with the state bill that we have with the city bill. Namely the inclusion of the 50-hour cap, which again, we understand to be a cap on the ability to earn time and half overtime and we're opposed to, but we support capping the shift, the daily shift at 12

hours and ensuring that there is money included in the state Medicaid budget to pay for the additional hours to make sure that the services are maintained for the clients.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so. Council Member Bottcher.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Hi Mary and Alba and Anastasia. I really want to thank you for being here today. You talked about the program through which you hire home care workers. That's you interview them. You advertise for them, interview them, train them and then an agency serves as the —

MARY SOMOZA: It's called Intermediary.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: The intermediary and the biggest challenge that you identified is the lack of workers. The hard time you have identifying, finding people to work. The — so switching from a 24-hour work day to two 12-hour work days, what you said is that that would require you to hire twice as many people but at the same time, the shortage we're seeing is partly caused by the working conditions. The fact that a lot of people have to work 12 hours.

So, do you think that by improving the working conditions and the pay, getting more people involved,

2 more people interested in these jobs, we'd be able to 3 help you have more people to hire? More people to

2.0

2.3

2.4

4 | choose from? Does that make sense?

MARY SOMOZA: One of the problems Erik is not just the split shift. I understand that issue. The problem is America is aging and huge numbers of people across the country are coming into the field of needing care at home. Care at home has been found to be much, much, much cheaper and safer and quality of life for people, both for the elderly and for people with disabilities.

So, we can find workers. Split shift is fine, but it depends. There has to be some flexibility on the number of hours. Caps on hours and caps on; there has to be some flexibility because down on the ground for people like us and families like us, we're faced with the conditions of actually getting the people and offering them a job that's attractive to them.

Sometimes a worker whose doing let's say a 24 for what's considered a 24-hour shift is a person
who's working 12 hours. But decides because they
live on the other side of New York, decides it's
easier to sleep in. It's not a hard shift to sleep

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

in and because they don't have travel time. don't have to go home later at night and it's sometimes convenient. That possibility has to be allowed as well.

This is - it's what my daughter said, you guys need, the Council needs to sit with both sides and hear both sides of the issue. But as Assembly Member Gottfried said, the legislation as it is, can have unintended consequences that I know, Council Member Marte has not considered this because he's thinking of the workers, but the other side of the equation is the most vulnerable population. It is the elderly. It is the people who are 100 percent dependent on that home worker coming in. And the people how hire and -

ANASTASIA SOMOZA: Let me answer your question very specifically and directly. So, you're asking, would it be easier? What we found in the Consumer Directed Personal Assistance Program we use, let me clarify, we don't use the traditional. A lot of people here today brought up the Chinese American Planning Council. That organization runs both the traditional home health aide agency, but they also run a CDPAP program, and we've been members of the

CDPAP program, which is the program that allows us to hire, to do the training to decide who works in our homes. And in my experience, it is harder for us to hire people if we're just offering them a four or a five-hour shift because it's expensive to live in New York City. It is easier for us to retain workers at

So, you're right in understanding that. Like my mom said, the split shift is okay but the problem with this legislation is that it makes 24 hours illegal and there needs to be some flexibility to address the people that would end up in nursing homes and hospitals if they didn't have the 24-hour care.

least in a consumer directed model, if we're able to

offer a slightly, slightly longer shift.

So, I think what really needs to happen to solve that is a discussion about an increase in wages and making sure that overtime gets paid and that they have access to better benefits and quality of work environment, but we can achieve that without taking away the care that people like me and my twin sister need.

And I think that has a lot more to do with wages than it does with the number of hours.

2.3

So, Council Member Marte.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thanks. I'll say that I think that you'll find my colleague Council Member Marte; he is very caring for everyone involved and thoughtful and I'm sure he would be very happy to talk with you after the hearing.

One thing I'd love to hear more about is what efforts are happening to recruit more people to the industry in addition to ending the exploitation and the low wages. The things that are keeping people out of the industry. What affirmative efforts are happening to get more workers to enter this industry. We all agree that the status quo isn't acceptable. The question is how we get there. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Council Member Bottcher. I also want to say that uhm, this is the platform where we are having the conversation to listen to both sides. So, not only do you have Council Member Marte's undivided attention, he has been sitting here for hours. You have the full Council's attention and I want you to understand that we are listening. That we will continue to listen. I know that Council Member Marte also wanted to address something that was addressed to him directly.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Yes, my mom is a home attendant and yes, my mom has done 24-hour shifts but my grandparents, especially my grandma right now is bedridden and has a home attendant that lives with her day in and day out. And so, I understand both perspectives. My office had been talking to organizations, had been talking to advocates, people who want to kill this bill, people who want to support this bill for the past few months. having this hearing to hear all sides. We want to have a conversation with as many people as possible

This is not the end date, we will continue to listen to organize, to make sure that this bill passes. Thank you.

and we will continue to do so after this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Council Member So, with that, we're going to conclude this We thank you so much for coming out and for panel. your undivided attention and your suggestions.

I am going to make an announcement for our folks who have joined us on Zoom. If you are assigned into the Zoom to testify and require interpretation services, please use the raised hand function in the Zoom, so that our staff can ensure that

My name is Stefan Henry, I'm a person with a

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

disability as you can clearly see. And I'm an advocate for rights of people with disabilities in general, home care, home health and home health care workers. I'm also a city employee and anything that I talk about today is my own personal opinion. It doesn't represent my agency in any form.

As a person who uses CDPAP, I am aware of the multiple abuses that my current and previous home care workers have gone through. I've heard everything from abuses of their time in skill sets and physical and mental abuse. I agree that something has to be done to protect their rights legislatively and they're some of the lowest paid workers and hardest paid workers in the workforce hands down, no question.

So, with that being said, my colleagues and I are constantly fighting for better working conditions and wages for our home care workers. Over the last two years, we have advocated in Albany for the Fair Pay for Home Care Act. Patients like myself, home care workers, home care agencies, family care givers, unions, and even City Council themselves, through the resolution recognize the importance of fair pay for

2.3

home care workers and we were able to get better wages for them.

In just three weeks, the wages for those workers will rise from \$2 per hour and we will fight for more next year. So, this brings us to why I'm here today. In 0175, although well intentioned to protect home care workers from the 24-hour live-in rule, will have dire consequences for people with disabilities and seniors who have been authorized 24-hour live-in services via the state's Medicaid regulations.

By changing New York City's Labor Law to only allow home care workers to work 12-hour shifts and punishing the home care agencies providing 24-hour care, that have been authorized by state and local agencies using Medicaid regulations. Since the 24-hour shifts aren't instantly split into two split shifts, the Council would be putting the state regulations against the city regulations and the lives of people with disabilities and seniors in jeopardy while in the process of figuring this all out.

Without these services, clients would go multiple life-threatening hours without care. Anything from sores, falls, cardiac issues, respiratory issues, and

/

)

_ 1

many other nasty and desirable conditions that best result in dramatically higher cost of health care and at worst, in death.

You're protecting one but condemning the others and that is not how the law is supposed to work.

Home care is predominantly funded by Medicaid, which is governed by the state level. In order to protect home care workers, people with disabilities, seniors and better working conditions need to be provided for home care workers and for the clients as well.

Working with legislators like Assembly Member Epstein in Albany to end the 24-hour rule, we believe is the best way to go.

Finally, I wanted to address the 50-hour work week limit. Limiting home care workers to 50 hours per week will stop home care workers from supplementing their income with overtime pay.

We all recognize that home care workers aren't paid enough and imposing this 50-hour work week limit will cause home care workers to work more hours with multiple agencies to be able to be able to maintain their income and afford living in New York City.

Our government should not be able to dictate how many workers supplement their income, especially

0.4

limiting the amount of overtime a worker can make. If a workers wants to work 80 per week, they are going to do so whether they do it with one agency, two or three.

Let's see, all you're doing is complicating the lives of the workers by implementing this bill. I'm lucky that I won't be directly impacted by this bill, although I do worry about the effect that it will have on everyone in my community who may actually result in having to lose their care and go into nursing homes because the process of like figuring out what happens in between getting rid of 24-hour shifts and doing the split 12 shifts, what's going to happen to them while we're figuring out what's legal and what's not and what's going to be fined and what's not.

It's not going to be fair to everyone and people who are already living very independent lives are going to lose a lot of their ability to see their friends and co-workers and family. This is really important to us for, so we argue that you just rethink this bill even though it's really well intentioned because the process and the timeline that

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

will be between like getting rid of the 24-hour status and the 12/12 shift will hurt us a lot.

So, we need you guys just to rethink this and just implement a better timeline for it, I'm pretty sure. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Juliet Emerson-Colvin. Thank you and we're going to be at uhm, adhering to the two-minute rule because there is about ten more panels or so left. please stick to two minutes. Thank you.

JULIET EMERSON-COLVIN: Okay, thank you so much for your time. My name is Juliet Emerson-Colvin and I'm with the Ain't I a Woman Campaign.

As a fellow worker, I understand that the working conditions of immigrant women of color home care workers impact those of all workers. normalization of long hours and the expectation that you will prioritize work over your own family, health and wellbeing is prevalent. Whether you work in a corporate office, the back of a restaurant or your patients home. For these women workers, it is more than an expectation if they demand that they give up their entire lives without compensation for half the time they work. I know that ending the 24-hour work

day for home health care workers with Intro. 175 will improve the lives of working people across the city. And I can relate to the filling of understanding the value of your work and wanting to do a good job but also feeling frustrated and debilitated by working conditions that don't allow you to do so.

Ending the 24-hour workday gives home care workers the time to rest, recover and spend time with their families, as well as the ability to provide the quality care that patients deserve, and that society depends on.

When talking to people about the fight to end the 24-hour work day, some have responded that there simply isn't enough Medicaid funding to pay for split shifts. And so, splitting the shifts will result in the collapse of the industry. First of all, I don't understand why workers should have to suffer because insurance companies and legislators cannot secure funding to pay them what they are owed by law. If the industry supposedly cannot survive without safe working conditions and fair compensation, then the industry is built on the exploitation of those workers.

2.3

Second of all, the funding exists to cover split shifts for every other city in the state. This tells me that scare tactics about the collapse of the industry come from a fear that the industry will be remade in a way that actually values workers power and treats them like human beings instead of disrespecting and marginalizing them whenever they raise their voices to demand justice.

It is embarrassing that the city cannot protect immigrant women of color home care workers in a way that ever other city in the state and every other state in the country can. Thank you.

CHAIPRERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Hui Ling
Chen followed by Gui Zhu Chen. Hold on one second.
Hold on one second. So, two minutes and then two
minutes for interpretation. Thank you.

HUI LING CHEN: Uhm, [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 4:15:54-4:17:52].

INTERPRETER: Hi, my name is Hui Ling Chen. I'm
here to ask for okay -

My name is Hui Ling Chen. We need to unite to break through barriers. To break through chains and cancel the 24-hour working day. My name is Hui Ling Chen, from September 25, 1998, until now, I was

working at the Chinese Planning American Council as a

Home Health Aide. In 2005, I started working 24

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

4 hours a day up until May 12, 2021, for 16 years.

During this time, I take care of the elderly from detail to everything. He calls me three to four times at night, and I have to get up and help the patient to go to the bathroom, to drink water and there is no time to sleep. You may not have experienced this yourself. You do not understand our feelings. You do not know how difficult it is to be awake every single minute. The patient can call us, and you have to help him to resolve any little issue, whether big or small. I cannot go to sleep. And when I do dose off a little bit, I work not sleeping. My heart is still concerned about the patient, that he would be calling me any minute of the day. Over a long period of time because of this work situation, my body has deteriorated. There is no amount of money that can gain back my health.

Who is this cruel person? Who is maintaining this 24-hour work day for us? Who is stealing our money and taking away our time and health? Who is the thief? Of course, these are the cruel bosses. These are the insurance companies. These are the

home health companies and the 1199 union. We need to unite. We need to have fair compensation. We are going through the City Council and abolishing and advocating for the 0175 law, so that we can abolish

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Time has expired. Zhao E. Jiang.

ZHAO E. JIANG: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 4:20:27-4:22:30] -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

INTERPRETER: Hi, my name is Zhao E. Jiang. I've been working as a home aide for 18 years. As a 24-hour shift, I've been doing that for six years. For the 24 hours, as 24 hours home aide, is very, very difficult. Usually have to stay in the patient home consecutive for three to four days.

I remember as a patient that is bedridden and I have to take care him or her for going to the bathroom, changing his diapers, flipping him over, so there's not enough time to sleep and as a 24-hour shift home aide, my mental health has been deteriorating. My back has been having problems, and

also as a 24-hour home aide, I only get paid 13 hours

of wages. Even though I don't get to sleep.

And to prove that I've been — I have not been sleeping to taking care of the patient, I've been recording what I have done to take care of the patient and I show the proof to my company, asking them to compensate me for the work. But my company just [INAUDIBLE 4:24:01]. I'm been trying to back now to government to pay and I can get sued for what I did, and they told me not to file anymore report and definitely not getting any compensation for that.

Today, I come here in support of Bill 0175,

Intro. 0175 to remove such inhumane 24-hour shift

work days and also, split the 24 hours within the two
separate shifts. Limiting the work to no more than
50 hours.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. I think we're missing one more person on the panel that hasn't spoken yet. Please go ahead.

GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 4:24:54-4:26:57].

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

1

GUI ZHU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN TAIWANESE 4:26:59-

3

4:27:02 Oh, okay, thank you.

4

INTERPRETER: Okay, hi, my name is Gui Zhu Chen.

I've been working as a home aide since 2006.

6

been working for CPC for six years as a 24-hour shift

7

home aide. Usually, I do four consecutive days to

8

work in the patients home and I've been doing mostly

that with the patient.

10

Each day for at least two hours, I need to flip the patient, change the diapers and every night, I

11

need to assist them in going to the bathroom at least

13

12

five to six times. I ask CPC that 24 hours too

14

harsh. Can I have a rotation shift to work with?

15

But CPC told me, if I don't want to work 24 hours,

16

then they will find somebody else to do and I have no

17

work to do then.

18

record what I've been doing with the patient and when

To prove that I didn't sleep during work, I

19

I show the proof and ask the company for

20 21

conversation, they also tell me that I'm denying and

22

trying to blackmail the government and that I can go

2.3

to jail for that and told me not to file any report

24

and definitely not getting any conversation.

24-hour home aides usually don't get to sleep and
3 doing that in long terms is causing me to have
4 sleeping problems. Even now if I don't do a 24-hour
5 shift, I'm still having sleeping issue. My eyes
6 become murky and during like rainy days or on
7 unfavorable condition, I have back pain, I have pain
8 in my feet and because every week I've been working
9 for 90 hours shift. Should I continue?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Okay.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you, so we're going to call up our next panel. Thank you so much for coming out and testifying. We appreciate you.

We'll need a Mandarin interpreter for this panel.

Okay, in this order Bryan O'Malley, please come up to the dais. Uhm, Jian Xiao Li, Mandarin, Zu Fen Yang, Mandarin, Ying Fang Wu, Mandarin, Li Qih Zheng, Mandarin, Hong Ying Chen, Mandarin and Yun Fang Zhang, Mandarin. Seven people.

Mr. O'Malley, you may begin when you're ready.

BRYAN O'MALLEY: Good evening, my name is Bryan
O'Malley. I am Executive Director for the Consumer
Directed Personal Assistance Association of New York

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

State, and I really appreciate the opportunity to talk to you today about why we oppose Intro. 0175. Facts matter, so I want to address specifically the factual errors that have been told. My written remarks give in depth details and citations on this summary.

My federal law eligibility and assessment roles apply equally across the entire state. important because it's been presented as fact repeatedly that live in only exists in New York City. The fact is one of my staff living in Nassau County gets live in. A small FI in Nassau has 16 live in cases. Another in Rockland has five and an agency in the capital region has eight.

New York City does have most but that's because New York City has most home care and most people and frankly, it's because many upstate counties, particularly in the north country and out west, don't believe Medicaid should exist and do everything possible to prevent or minimize services to the harm of those who need those services.

The assessment process has been spoken to in The assessment results and an authorization. detail. The fact is that this authorization has a billing

1

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 188 code on it, T1020 for live in and T1019 for hourly which includes 24-hour continuance. A provider may not bill for the service using a different authorization code or it will be denied. If live in is authorized and not provided, it is Medicaid fraud to bill for 12 hours.

This means no one will take a 12-hour live in. People will go without services. Some have said, this bill will force a change in state law but again, the facts don't support that claim. When New York City introduced Local Living Wage Laws, legislation was introduced in Albany to add these funds to the Medicaid rates. It died eight years in a row because officials consistently maintained the state was not responsible for local laws. There is nothing in the record to support a claim that their position would be different here. [INAUDIBLE 4:32:40] will continue to fight for broad investment in home care. Honoring the Americans with Disabilities Act, the establishment of Olmstead VLC. Thank you and I appreciate the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Okay, we're going to start with Yun Fang Zhang. you here? Okay. Sorry, one second, your microphone is off.

YUN FANG ZHANG: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:34:08-4:36:13]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

YUN FANG ZHANG: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:36:14-4:36:17].

INTERPRETER: Hi everyone. My name is Yun Fang Zhang. I started doing 12-hour care since 2011 in June and up until today I'm still working as a 24-hour health care, uh, home care. Usually, I do consecutively three to four days, that's 72 to 96 hours a day, a week and I can't get to go home and see my family.

Working 24-hour shift for the longest time has uhm, made me lack of sleep and I can't sleep anymore and at work, the patient has a sensory ringer where if the patients foot or hand leaves the bed, it will ring, and I will have to get over there and check on them. I barely have any sleep at night. Now that even when I'm not at work at night, I still can't sleep or when I'm sleeping, I still think that I'm at the patients house. 24-hour shifts has tremendous damage to us as home cares. My arm is bad due to

constantly caring patients. Now that my arms from time to time is swollen, painful. I've seen a lot of doctors about it. It's still not getting any better. I told my company about the 24 hour. I couldn't sleep, it's very hard, it's very difficult. The managers at the company tells me, if you don't want to do it, I can arrange someone else to it and you will have to wait for a long time before I can give you any other job arrangements.

And I'm afraid of losing jobs, so I stopped saying anything and continue working. 24-hour shifts are not meant for human.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Okay, can you state your name for the record? Your name, oh, turn it up. Ying Fang Wu.

INTERPRETER: Ying Fang Wu.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Go ahead.

YING FANG WU: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:38:49-

4:40:551

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

YING FANG WU: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:41:06].

24 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

_

S

)

INTERPRETER: Hi, my name is Ying Fang Wu. I have been working at ABI as a caretaker for five years now and usually in a week, I have to consistently work 24-hour shift for three days. I take care of a 90-year-old lady who has dementia, who always swears, yelling at me. I barely have any sleep at night. I have to get up every night for four- or five-times assisting patients to the bathroom. At the middle of the night, at three, would ask you to get up and cook. And a long time I have lack of sleep. I can't see well anymore. My arms are hurting, my lower back is hurting. I called the company saying that I don't want to continue with 24-hour shifts and what they tell me is that if you

So, I'm afraid that the patient has no one to take care for. So, I continued working until the patient died, passed. On August 17th, the patient has been admitted to the hospital and passed by the end of the month in August and I work — while I was working a 24-hour shift, I got anxiety, and I got depression. I had to see a mental doctor, eat medications. And now that I'm not working 24 hour anymore since August 17th, I got much more relief and

don't do it than nobody will.

2.3

2 no more stress. I was hoping that I can get better, 3 and I'll stop all medication.

So, I'm here today to support the City Council
Bill, Intro. Number 0145 in removing the 24-hour
shift and for that, every shift cannot be exceeding
12 hours and uhm, limit every home care person to not
work more than 50 hours a week. And 50 hours is a
lot of time already and I will not ever work a 24hour shift anymore. No matter how much you pay me.

As a health care worker, we have to always maintain time to take care of our family, our own heath and our life. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Can you state your name for the record?

UNIDENTIFIED: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:43:35-4:43:41].

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I'm sorry, hold on one second. What's your name.

INTERPRETER: Uhm, Zu Fen Yang.

ZU FEN YANG: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:43:47-4:44:37].

INTERPRETER: Hi, hello everyone. My name is Zu Fen Yang. I was once a health care at People Care. I have worked for this company for 17 years and out

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 193 of that for seven or eight years, I was a 24-hour 2 3 home care. At night, we have to change diapers for 4 uhm, patients going to bathroom but whether it's big or small, the patient has a ringer in their hands when they need us, they will ring us. We are hardly 6 7 getting any consecutive sleep, very difficult. 8 Usually, I have to work three to four days of 24-hour

Uhm, a long time in 24-hour shift has caused me lack of sleep, shoulder pain and now that I'm retired, I still don't think that as a home care worker, we shouldn't be working 24 hours. That's why I'm here today in support of Bill 0175 in removing 24-hour shifts. Thank you.

shift. That means that I have to work consistently

for 72-96 hours.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you. Can you state the final panelists name on this panel? The name for the next panelist.

INTERPRETER: Uhm, so the next people are not on the list, on the list of the names but they have to go. Is there any way that they can testify now instead of being called later.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Sure, just announce the name please.

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.3

UNIDENTIFIED: [SPEAKING IN MANDARIN 4:46:38-4:48:40].

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Can you say the name?

INTERPRETER: The name is Zhi Fang Gu, G-U. Zhi Fang Gu. Z-h-i F-a-n-g G-u. Last name is Gu G-u. Got it?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Got it. Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Thank you everyone. First, I will have to thank City Councilman Marte in giving me the opportunity to speak here on the experience working as a 24-hour home care.

Since 2009, I have been taking care of patient.

He is a disability person with one leg. In 2014, he had a stroke and after he had that stroke, we have to take care of him everywhere he goes, eat, drink, going to the bathroom and everything and he's ring has gone bad and starting to see things. Being very active in day or night, so I couldn't sleep the whole night. Every night I would just have to sit next to the couch and uhm, nap for a little bit and for that whole year, I experienced a sleepless night for the whole year and that pain is indescribable.

2.3

And that 24-hour shift has caused us as home care physical damage and severely demised our mental and physical health. Because of the 24-hour shift has caused me severe sleep disability and also caused me anxiety and depression.

Every night I have to eat medication to go to sleep. 24 hours is harming us as home care physically and mentally and 1199 Union should be standing next to the workers and not helping the home care companies asking us to work long hours. As a member of the 1199 union, I'm asking 1199 Union to in support of getting rid of 24 hour —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

INTERPRETER: Shifts. Can I? And us as home care takers, we all have family and family needs to spend time together. When we are in trouble or when we are down, then we will have the courage to face it. We as home care are person, are people.

We need time to spend with families. Nothing else is warmer than home. I just wanted to ask the 1199 Union, in this arbitration of 24-hour work, why is there no compensation policies and in the United States, democracy with no compensation policies. We are supposed to sign it. Is that even legal?

Without any statistic of for auditing, where does the \$32 million conversation calculated? Where did it come from? Please, 1199 Union, can you come out and

5 say something. Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. I do have one question for Mr. O'Malley.

In your testimony, you speak about the differential between the 60 hours for 60-hour work week, which earns \$1050 a week and that this bill would force them to work only \$50 and the pay will decrease to \$825. Does that mean that you support a 60-hour work week rather than a 50-hour work week?

BRYAN O'MALLEY: That was put in as an example just to show the additional hours that an individual would have to work and the actual, physical loss of wages that they would have at the end of a week. So, you know as of right now, the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General does audit to make sure that an individual does not work more than 16 hours in one day and that is billed 16 hours.

We are in support. We are in support of Assembly Member Epstein's bill, Senator Persaud's bill. We do want to get rid of the overtime clause there and we do want to define in law, continuous care because

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

there are people who will get assessed that within 2 3 and if we ban live in but don't define continuous care, then those people will suffer.

But we are in support of the concept of the bill. We want these couple small changes, and you know we have been fighting for fair pay. We have been fighting for workers' rights. I myself got arrested in March, fighting for fair pay for home care, so.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for answering that question.

That concludes this panel. Thank you all so much for coming and we're going to call the next - they can join the next group. Thank you so much. you.

So, in addition to the people that are waiting for the Mandarin Interpreter, we also have three people who need the Cantonese Interpreter, Zhu Qin Chen, Mei Kum Chu, and Sau King Chong. they're here, you could please step forward.

And if the first three can say their names for the record. These first three. Yup.

[UNIDENTIFIED]: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 4:55:30.

INTERPRETER: Rong Chen.

I have to get up and help her turn and I have never

25

had any consecutive sleep over five hours. My body can't handle anymore, my hands, legs, lower back has muscle spasm. The work is very stressful. Very stressful 24-hour shift. I was only paid 13 hours. I hold onto that till 2013, when she passed.

And the second job at Partners in Care, at that time, the patient was unable to get out of bed to go to the bathroom, eat, drinks on the bed, multiple diaper changes over the night, feeding, helping her turn her body. Through our very thorough care, she was able to get out of bed, but she still has dementia which happens overnight. She doesn't sleep. She gets up wants to cook. Every night I have to worry about her falling off the bed. Worry that she would turn on the gas. Worry that she will open the door and just walk out, and I couldn't sleep of course over five hours.

One night in 2018, I called her -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

INTERPRETER: And she wouldn't answer. I put my hand over her head, yes, she already passed. I did two patients for 24 hours and I watched both of them die in the middle of the night, which left me mental trauma. For eight years, the eight years of 24-hour

shifts has caused me tremendous damage on my physical health. Every night I lose sleep, I have nightmares. I have pain all over my body. Hands, legs, lower back due to long hours of work. I hope that in part of the arbitration to be paid to the workers, the fair amount of compensation and I am in support of the 0175 bill to uhm, to cancel the inhumane 24-hour shift and changing it to 12 hours. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

HONG ZHANG: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 5:01:58-5:02:44].

INTERPRETER: Hong Zhang. Hi everyone, my name is Hong Zhang, I have been in health care for nine years now and out of that nine years, for seven years, I worked 24 hours. During that time, I took care of a patient who's confined to bed. She is 90 years old and every day, every two hours, I will have to flip her or else she will grow bed sores and I couldn't get any consecutive sleep. For the long term, working as a 24 hour, has caused me trouble sleeping, neck pain, spine pain and also, lower back all has problems.

Due to that, I would strongly ask that the City
Council passing the bill and cancel the 24-hour shift

I have to pay close attention for 24 hours every

day. Not allowed to leave whether it's day or night.

25

2.3

Every two hours I will have to flip them. I don't

want to do 24 hours because at the time, the kid was

still young and the company said that if you don't

want to do 24 hours, there is no other job for you.

For my life, I had no choice but to keep working.

The second patient was also a stroke patient who has diabetes, high blood pressure. Many bodily abnormality who requires wheelchair and cane.

The third patient, every night I have to — oh, the third patient was oh, what is that called?

Schizophrenia who shits and pees on himself, on herself. I have to clean every dirty spot out of her body and my hand is tired from working that I don't even have strength to squeeze water out of a towel.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

INTERPRETER: The last patient is - am I allowed
to continue? Can I continue?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Uhm, please finish. Please conclude.

INTERPRETER: Got it. I will do it real quick.

The last one is a person with dementia. Several

night I could not sleep. I can't sleep, so I don't

sleep. One time the patient snuck out and scared the

hell out of me and I pulled her back because she

I have

2 didn't know what she was doing. This long time of

3 doing this work has made my body and mental suffer.

4 My hands, my lower back is pain. Doctors don't think

5 I'm fitting to work this kind of job anymore and

6 finally, I applied for early retirement. I am in

support of 0175, canceling 24 hours, splitting into

8 | two shifts and I would request all these years of 24-

9 hour work pay to get those payments. Thank you very

10 much.

1

7

14

19

20

25

11 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Up
12 next, I think we have the Cantonese Interpreter

13 right? We have Mai Kum Chu.

INTERPRETER:

MAI KUM CHU: [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE 5:09:59-

15 | 5:12:03]-

16 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

17 MAI KUM CHU: [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE 5:12:04-

18 | 5:12:17].

been working as a home aide since 2003 as a 24-hour

Hi, my name is Mai Kum Chu.

21 shift aide. For four days, I need to assist my

22 patient to get from bed and assist them to going to

23 the bathroom, which caused an injury to my back, and

24 | I had to stop work for a half a year. And for three

to four days, it amounts to 72 to 96 hours work week.

_

2.3

And I've been doing that until July 2013 and during a hot summer day, I worked for a whole day causing me to faint and breaking my hand and even to this day, it has been recover even though I had surgery, and the pain continues till now ever since.

Working 24-hour shifts is equal to taking over a life. This is a form of invisible violence. Me and many other home aides also got injured and become a patient. In the near future, I will also need a home aide health assistant and I hope she doesn't need — he or she doesn't need to work a 24-hour shift. I support Intro. 0175 to stop such violence against home aide. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. We have Sau King Chong and Mei Xian Li(SP?).

SAU KING CHONG: [SPEAKING IN CANTONESE 5:13:39-5:14:56]. Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Hi, my name is Sau King Chong.

I've been working as a home aide for ten years, 24

hours home aide shift for three years. At night, my

patient always wake me up causing me not able to

sleep. And I complained to my company for the lack

of sleep. My company told me that if you don't need

24-hour shift than we are not going to arrange work

for you but to live, I have no choice but to work, to 2 3 continue to work. And the result is that the 24-hour shift is causing me to consistently losing sleep, 4 having back pain and foot pain. This is all accumulative.

24 hours home shift is not something that a human should do for consistently. And it should be The 24-hour shift should be removed because this is a very, very hard schedule to work with. And also, it shouldn't be more than 50 hours. I'm come here today in support of Intro. 0175 to remove 24hour shift days and hopefully it can be divided into two shifts. This way it will be beneficial for the workers and patient and to increase the mental capacity for the patient as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Can the Interpreters, all three Interpreters come to the microphone, Interpreters. I'm going to say this message in English and if you can interpret it. For everyone who is in person or virtual, if you need interpretation services today, please raise your hand. In person or virtual, virtually you can use the raise hand function.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2 INTERPRETER: Mei Xian Li.

2.3

MEI XIAN LI: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 5:19:32-5:20:51].

INTERPRETER: Hi everyone. My name is Mei Xian

Li. I've been working 24-hour care for eight years,
usually four days of consecutive 24 hours and
sometimes five days. My patients are usually
confined to bed. Every two hours I have to flip her
over. She pees and goes to the bathroom on her bed.

I don't have sleep at night, very difficult. When I
asked my company if I could work less hours, the
company would just tell me that there is only 24 hour
shifts available, if you don't do it, I can't arrange
any other job for you.

For the longest time, I'm working for the 24 hours. It has caused me trouble sleeping. All my joints are broken to pieces. I have pain all over my body. I retire this year, but my body cannot allow me to do any more work. I am here today in support of City Council Intro. 0175 in getting rid of 24-hour shift and splitting them to two and limiting the weekly hour not to exceed 50 hours. Thank you everyone.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much to this panel for testifying. Thank you so much for coming. We're going to move to the next panel, and we need the Spanish interpretation for this panel.

Alvaro Ramirez Guzman, Elvia Fernandez(SP?) and Dalia Perez(SP?). We're ready to begin when you are.

ALVARO RAMIREZ GUZMAN: SPEAKING IN SPANISH 5:23:13-5:24:35].

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Gracias.

INTERPRETER: My name is Alvaro Ramirez. I have worked for more than 20 years as a home care worker. Always with agencies of 1199.

For 15 years, I worked 24-hour shift, four or five days a week without sleeping, without eating.

It was horrible. One gets sick. For this reason, I told my agency that I didn't want to work more 24-hour shifts. The agency told me to quit. They took reprisals against me. I never got a call from 1199.

A lot of my colleagues, they feel pressured to accept 24 hour shifts to keep their medical insurance from the union. Besides all that, it is very hard to work 24 hours. They do not pay us more than 13 of those hours.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

worked some years at 24-hour shifts. I'm a member of All my cases are with bedridden patients. These are very strong cases because they require intense care. I tore both my shoulders, my knees and my left arm. I have back problems. I have hip problems. Problems with my left leg. I had to do a lot of strain all day also. I have to take care of my patients for 24 hours and I couldn't sleep.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The coordinator from First Chinese told me to not - to ignore my patient and leave the patient alone, so I could rest. I am a human being and I thought about my mother and how I wish that somebody would take care of her. I could not ignore my patient when my patient called me in the nights. I could not stop thinking about my mother. If something happened to her.

When home attendants sleep, a lot of things could happen. I have a colleague that used to share a patient with me. One night when she fell asleep, unfortunately the patient fell, and my colleague was fired. It is sad because she was working 24-hour shifts for a long time, and she was very tired. 24-hour shifts are divided, it would give the opportunity to home attendants to rest and to have more energy for their patients.

I think that if 24-hour shifts are divided, it would be better for the patient and for home attendants. A lot of home attendants right now, need another home attendant. It is horrible. My family also suffered greatly. My daughter got pregnant very early and she could not go to school because I was not close to her to take care of her.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

INTERPRETER: I'm about to finish. We are not slave to work 24-hour shifts without pay. I hope that 24-hour shifts are eliminated. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Gracias.
Thank you. Dalia Perez.

DALIA PEREZ: [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 5:31:14-5:32:37].

INTERPRETER: Okay, so just to confirm for verification. My name is Dalia Perez. I am a home attendant. I work for HHA. I come to support Intro. 0175. I work at an agency that doesn't have a union since 2016. I work 24/7 and they give me four days, but all my shifts for years have been 24-hour shifts because there are some patients that do not want another home attender. My patients are bedridden, and they are patients that you really have to take care of them all night. Because of this, I did not take care of my daughter and my husband. They both died last year. And I couldn't be close to them to take care of them.

Just for me being — for working 24-hour shifts, I had to sleep even in the furniture and the consequences of this is back pain. And because I

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

couldn't get enough sleep, my blood sugar is very high. Working 24 hours has affected my health. need to divide 24-hour shifts and to not accept 24-

hour shifts. Otherwise, they would leave us without work for one or three months. Good evening.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for coming. Gracias [SPEAKING IN SPANISH 5:34:20-5:34:23]. Gracias, thank you.

I'm going to ask the Interpreters for the Cantonese and Mandarin to come up to read a few names. We want to make sure the folks are here. Okay, announce them please.

INTERPRETER: Ying Na Ruang, Yu Fang Lin, Hong Wu Chen. Anna Zhang, Meng Shao Ning, Rong Shi Jang(SP?) and uh, oh, yeah, I think that's really it. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you - when they start, can you say the name so we can check them off please? Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Hong Wu Chen.

HONG WU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGAUGE 5:35:52-5:38:03]-

1

HONG WU CHEN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE

3

5:38:04-5:38:241.

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

24

INTERPRETER: Thank you. Uhm, my name is Hong Wu Chen. I work for Priority, company Priority as of home care. I wanted to talk about my personal experience working as a 24-hour worker. Working for 24 hours for more than three years, my body has broke down.

I can no longer do 24 hours. My patient was a patient who was confined to bed. He is over 100 years old with pain all over his body. I have to help the patient to the bathroom five or six times a night. During that process, I have to carry her and for the longest time, I couldn't sleep, and she refuses to wear adult diaper and with that, I fear for her. And due to constantly carrying patient, I suffered injury to my lower back, to my leg and many others. And working with 24 experience, my conclusion is that it is very harmful for your physical health and in the last period of time, I couldn't hold on anymore. I made a call to the company saying that I couldn't go on with it anymore. And then the company said that, oh, I can't find

anyone else. You have to hold on. If you don't do

3 it, I don't have any other job for you.

And after talking — after finishing talking on the phone, my heart hurts for her to survive, I have no choice but to continue and I worked till the patient has passed away. During that time, I was suffering and now that a lot of other people are still suffering from the working as 24-hour shift. I hope that —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

INTERPRETER: The medical, the home health aide would not be the same as me crushing their body.

It's not worth it. No money can buy good health.

I'm here today in supporting of getting rid of 24 hour. Thank you everybody.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 5:41:00-5:41:20].

INTERPRETER: My name is Yu Fang Lin. I've been a home care since 2009 and up until this year in April. (SPEAKING WITH YU FANG LIN 5:41:29-5:41:34)

YU FANG LIN: SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGAUGE 5:41:34-5:42:06.

2.3

2.4

me to work anymore.

25

3 5:44:06-5:44:11].

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

INTERPRETER: And I stopped working this year since April and now I gained ten pounds.

6 YU FANG LIN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7 5:44:19-5:44:27].

8 INTERPRETER: So, I want the 1199 Union to get 9 justice.

10 YU FANG LIN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 11 5:44:34-5:44:48].

INTERPRETER: And uh, I had to survive so I had to work but now that my family does not allow me anymore, it's very difficult.

YU FANG LIN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 5:44:59-5:45:01].

17 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

18 YU FANG LIN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE

19 5:45:03].

20 INTERPRETER: That's it. Thank you.

21 YU FANG LIN: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE

22 5:45:05].

23 INTERPRETER: Thank you every body.

24 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

INTERPRETER: Uhm, I'm sorry, one thing. For

3 Hong Wu Chen, I think I forfeited something in the

4 interpretation. That she suffers from Parkinson's,

was diagnosed last year. Yeah, I'm sorry about that.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, thank you for sharing. Thank you.

INTERPRETER: This is Ying Na Ruang.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you spell the name?

INTERPRETER: Ying Na Ruang Y-I-N-G N-A R-U-A-N-

G.

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

12 YING NA RUANG: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 13 5:45:53-5:46:49].

INTERPRETER: Hi, my name is Ying Na Ruang. I've been working as a home aide for 12 years and as a 24-hour shift home aide, I've been doing it for six to seven years. I take care of [INAUDIBLE 5:47:04] patient. Each night I have to pick him up six times, so I cannot really sleep.

And also, every two hours I need to help him flip over and sometimes their family will call me in the middle of the night to wake me up, so further reducing my sleep. I come here today in support of Intro. 0175 to remove the 24-hour shift work days and also limit the work hours into 50 weeks — 50 hours,

25 BEVERLY HANSON: Hello, yes.

are unmuted.

2.4

workers in the New York area.

./

While the intension of the bill is to improve the working conditions of our members, as home care workers for the past 42 years, I oppose to this bill in its current state. Okay, and once again, I am arguing that the Council take this concern of DC37 Local 389 members into consideration with this bill. We applaud your advocacy for us, and we are ready to partner in tackling this issue as we face our jobs. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We're actually going to enter up the list of panelists that I originally announced to call a registered witness on the interpretation services

Vincent Cho. My mistake, Vincent Cho, you can begin your testimony.

VINCENT CHO: Okay. Hello everyone, my name is Vincent Cho. I'm a Staff Organizer from Chinese Staff Workers Association. In the past few months, hundreds of home care workers came to us to talk about how they work 24-hour work day. No time to sleep, mostly continue work three to four days per week in patients home, taking care of the patient.

The patient who is able to get the 24-hour service, that means the patients' health condition is

really serious. For the bedbound worker, you know home care worker had to every two hour help the patient attend the body, changing diaper, otherwise the patient will get bed sores. If you don't change the diaper, you have to spend more time to clean up.

For those workers who work 12-hour shifts, their condition means even worse. Home care worker, you know every hour, every time watching the patient because for those are very serious Alzheimer's patient, they will go to the kitchen, turn on the gas, cause the danger. Or they run out to the apartment, they don't know how to get home.

For long time, the worker who you know home care worker, most have the similar health condition for insomnia, lower back pain, shoulder pain, finger pain and also they cause a lot of family issue because they work too many 24-hour work days. The kids don't go to school. They don't know. The husband not coming home, gambling all night. She don't know.

So, the home care worker, talk about a lot of family issue. They need the time to taking care of the family, to spend time with the families. So, I'm here today also, I want to condemn those people, those organizations, 1199—

2 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.3

VINCENT CHO: To force worker, continue work 24 hour. They cannot ask the worker to work more than 50 hours. They don't understand home care worker work, or they are racist, against women with color.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

VINCENT CHO: So, I'm here today to support the bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll now return to the virtual panel. The next witness is Elizabeth Delia Rafisio.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has started.

ELIZABETH DELIA RAFISIO: Hi everyone. Thank you for the panel today and it's wonderful to hear everyone care so much about peoples working conditions and hopefully also caring about disabled people.

I'm here as both a home care worker and also as a mother of a severely disabled young adult who is — people are describing what they have to do and it's really hard work and I understand that because that's my life 24/7. And it has been, and it has been the lives of parents of severely disabled individuals.

And by and large, we parents do not support this

bill. I think it's terrible that people are forced

to work 24 hours when they don't want to but this

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

bill limits overtime to 50 hours a week. If you have a case with split shifts, so you get two 12 hour shifts a day, and you work - people want to work for instance five hours a week. That's 60 hours, that's Limiting the overtime to 50 hours a week will have a very bad effect on disabled people, especially people who are very disabled and I can say that from experience.

speakers mention that people aren't going to work less, they're just going to work more for less money because they won't be making overtime. So, I don't understand this bill because you guys don't limit fire fighters, you don't try to limit police workers. Why are you limiting people who you know need you the

I would also like to say that I've heard other

So, it's like two people. Two groups are being punished here, those who are disabled and home care workers who want the option to work overtime. So, I with all respect and understanding -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

most, who are the most vulnerable?

ELIZABETH DELIA RAFISIO: Thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Soji Adu.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has started.

SOJI ADU: Hi, could I have a few more seconds, it wasn't allowing me to unmute.

First of all, shout out to all the New York City
Council Member staff. A small group, a small
delegation met with many of the Council Member staff
even though a hand full of Council Members kind of
shut the door and fished us off to the hearing. I
want to give a shoutout to all the staff. They may
be our unsung heroes today.

Point number two, no doubt the exploitation, the low wages and the hours, all need to be addressed. That gentlemen mentioned shame on all the other organizations, but I beg to differ. We are against Intro. 0175 because we live in an era where it's even hard to get people to work in Walmart and as Council Member Bottcher eloquently inferred through the method, this needs to be dealt with.

Also, third point, we're living in an aging population where it's hard to find home care workers as I just mentioned. And then after hearing from all

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 225 |
|----|---|
| 2 | the injured people today and all of the people that |
| 3 | have been debilitated, the need for home care is |
| 4 | rising more than the references to manure. So, let |
| 5 | me just read my testimony real quick. I'm probably |
| 6 | at a minute. Highly esteemed Council Member, Bronx |
| 7 | Independent Living Services shares the same concerns |
| 8 | that many individuals and organizations have already |
| 9 | expressed. Although Intro. 0175 has good intentions, |
| 10 | it is nonetheless really flawed and will cause |
| 11 | irreparable harm for persons with disabilities and |
| 12 | the elderly up to and including forced insti- |
| 13 | Okay, moreover, the passage of Intro. 0175 will |
| 14 | spawn financial harm to a flee of health care workers |
| 15 | that stand to lose pay and to be severely |
| 16 | inconvenienced by potentially having to piece hours |
| 17 | together with different clients. |
| 18 | They're going to still get their money. That |
| 19 | wasn't in the testimony. Okay, so and this is not to |
| 20 | mention — |

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

21

22

23

24

25

SOJI ADU: The tension between state and city funding or lack of -

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Your time has expired.

SOJI ADU: [INAUDIBLE 5:59:56].

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for coming today.

SOJI ADU: Thank you so much. I just went a little over because I lost about 20 seconds in the beginning. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Simone Mayhew.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

SIMONE MAYHEW: Can you unmute me? Hi, good evening. Thank you Chair De La Rosa and members of the Civil Service and Labor Committee for the opportunity to present testimony about the Introduction 0175 of 2022. My name is Simone Mayhew and I'm the Director of Elder Care at St. Nicks Alliance Home Care Agency here in Williamsburg Brooklyn.

We've been providing home care services for over 40 years. As a nonprofit home care provider, St.

Nicks Alliance Home Care cares deeply about the rights and fair pay for our workers. We are outraged by the unjust state regulation that only pays workers for 13 hours in a 24-hour shift. This policy creates a structure that relies on exploiting the workforce.

St. Nicks with several providers from the community-

and supported worker wage increase. Due to the

growing needs of people with disabilities and the

increasingly aging population, the home care sector

based home care work group have successfully lobbied

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SIMONE MAHYHEW: Let me just finish one thing.

Yet it continues to face shortages. New York State has over 330,000 home health care workers with

187,000 of those workers here servicing New York City

alone. With growing demand, New York is the

remains the largest employer in the nation.

epicenter of a national home care worker shortage.

This bill will exacerbate that shortage.

also exacerbates the fiscal impact that we're

suffering as not-for-profit home care providers.

Urgent action is needed to stabilize the home care sector and fairly compensate its workforce. regret that Intro. 0175 is not the way to do this. Rather than pursue this bill, we urge the New York

City Council to address the problem by supporting the Epstein and the Persaud bill.

As a community-based provider, we provide service that are often personalized -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

We believe that home care workers are best able to

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS deliver quality of care when the value of their work if fully acknowledged and through fair compensation we support the Epstein and Persaud bill.

I will forward you my testimony. We stand in solidarity with the workers. We do not support 0175 and we encourage fair compensation for these workers. We heard their arguments today. It's heartbreaking and we stand with them in solidarity.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

SIMONE MAYHEW: 0175 is not the way to go.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Lia Fiol-Matta.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

LIA FIOL-MATTA: Good evening. My name is Lia Fiol-Matta, and I am the Senior Council at Latino Justice. A national civil rights organization with headquarters in New York City. We are deeply concerned with the dignity and wellbeing of home health aides who have been subjected to 24-hour shifts and urge all members of the City Council to support this bill. 24-hour shifts cause harm to workers, mostly immigrant women of color. Long work

days keep workers away from their families as they are often working back-to-back shifts, sometimes amounting to 72 consecutive hours.

Employers too often fail to compensate workers for all of the hours worked. 24-hour shifts strain workers health as home care is a high stress job that interrupts sleep. These shifts often cause high blood pressure, pre diabetes, and frequent illnesses because home attendants are unable to rest. Night work has also been classified as a plausible cause of cancer. Currently, employers are required to pay home health care aides for only 13 hours of labor. Employers must track these hours and pay workers for 24 hours if they do not get sufficient rest.

Workers report that employers too often violate these rules, and the law has not made a difference in their work conditions. In New York City, there are more than 240,000 home attendants, about five to seven percent of whom work 24-hour shifts. Opponents of this act argue among other things that the cost of fairly compensating these workers is too high. Failure to support this legislation because of its price tag however is the denial of basic human rights for some of the city's most marginalized people.

3

4

1

The No More 24 Act would ensure that home health care workers could rest and spend time with their families and be paid for every hour of their labor.

We urge the City Council to please pass this bill.

6

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

8

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Kathy Fabraio.

10

9

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

11

KATHY FABRAIO: Thank you. I'm Kathy Fabraio,

President of the New York State Association of Health

1213

Care Providers representing the spectrum of home care

14

agencies across New York State. HCP recognizes that

15

16

home care serves are also essential. Intro. 0175 as

our home care workforce is essential. The people

17

written, does not provide a solution to the 24-hour

18

work day. We strongly encourage the Council to work

19

closely with state legislators to address the

20

systemic challenges of 24-hour care in New York.

21

HCP does not support coercing aides to work hours

22

they do not want in order to keep their jobs. But

23

limiting voluntary overtime does not work either.

24

Workers should have the freedom to work hours if they

25

wish.

If my members could pay workers for 24 hours they

2.3

would but state laws, regulations and Medicaid funding and reimbursement policies make this

5 impossible. 0175 forces live-in cases to at a

6 minimum be converted into two 12-hour split shifts,

which would require at least two aides every day

8 | instead of one.

Agencies cannot shoulder this added cost without commensurate reimbursement, so the states budget allocation for home care must be dramatically increased to cover the cost of additional workers.

This can't be done at the City Council level.

In light of the crippling workforce shortage, the worst in the nation, there aren't enough aides to meet the increased need this bill would trigger.

Many New Yorkers will go without care. The proposed legislation creates a burden on consumers and society. The unintended yet likely consequences of this legislation are increased emergency department visits, social admissions to hospitals and institutionalization. All are an inefficient use of resources leading to rapidly escalating medical costs and counter to what everyone of us wants.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

KATHY FABRAIO: To remain at home. The solution is not limiting the hours but to work with the state to provide a systemic solution and we are here to work with you to do that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Our next — this concludes this panel of witnesses.

Our next panel of witnesses will be entirely virtual.

The six individuals we'll be calling in the following order are Gina Barbara, Alfredo Cardillo, Kathryn

Freed, Ki Yu Lee, Jeannine Kiely, Richard Corman.

Gina Barbara, you may begin when the Sergeant starts the clock.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

GINA BARBARA: Good evening. My name is Gina
Barbara and I'm here as one of the many advocates
representing downstate New York Downstate New York
ADAPT. We are a grassroots non-hierarchical
community of individuals with various disabilities.
We represent five counties in New York City, two
counties on Long Island, as well as Westchester,
Dutchess, Orange, Rockland, Putnam, Ulster, and
Sullivan counties.

I come to discuss my strong opposition of the proposed bill Intro. 0175-22. First there is already

_

a shortage of home care attendants due to the poor wages received, which is less than the salary made in the fast-food industry. The proposal would further restrict our workers by placing limitations by only allowing for a workers to work 12 hours in a 24-hour period and a combined total of 50 hours weekly. Thus, leaving a lot many for an extension of these guidelines in an emergency situation.

I was hoping for clarification as to what was an emergency. This proposal, while good intentioned, poses an immediate danger and the lack of understanding of the needs of both disabled, as well as elderly constituency. It also subjects individuals to be placed in a hospital or institutionalized setting. Thus, placing them in higher health risks and stripped of their rights and freedoms.

Another key issue is the violation of State

Department of Health regulations, violations of the

Americans with Disabilities Act, and a violation of
the Olmstead position.

In closing, I asking this Committee to opposed this bill due to its dangerous and immoral nature and I also caution the immediate emergency that will take

2 place should this bill become law, as 24-hour care

occurs statewide -

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

GINA BARBARA: In New York City. I thank you for the opportunity to speak today and I have also submitted a more elaborate written testimony for you. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Our next witness is Alfredo Cardillo.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

ALFREDO CARDILLO: Am I on mute or let's see.

There we go. Thank you very much. I'm Al Cardillo,

I'm the President of the Home Care Association of New

York State. I want to commend the Council for

conducing this hearing today and the attention that

you're bringing to the needs of recipients and

personnel and organizations who provide this

exceptional care.

The testimony today has been really exceptional on the goals of the bill and the approaches to advance these goals. The intent and support of the workers and the quality of patient care is very powerful but for many reasons, including those that were passionately cited by the consumer panel and the

_

'/

consumers throughout the day, as well as Assembly
Chair, Health Chair Gottfried and Rivera, the design
and jurisdiction within the bill are not the answers
and need to be redirected to the state level with due
flexibility.

You know many of the issues that are being rangled with in the hearing are things like the 13-hour rule, which is a payment rule that I don't thing one person today had anything good to say about and that includes us.

That rule creates dilemmas for workers, agencies, plans and ultimately the patients. What compounds the shortage is also the overall shortage of personnel and the increasing demand for care, which is inherent in state policies that have been going for 40 years. If we had time, I would love to delve into those with you.

Beyond what's been said about home care and those who provide the service, is that it's not just part of the delivery system. Home care service providers are among the most critical in the entire system.

The home care venue is the most challenging and dynamic across the entire system and we've heard time

3 patients throughout the system.

2.3

To focus really specifically on 0175, again, we are eager to work with you, to work with the state -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

ALFREDO CARDILLO: On solutions that really address these problems and again, we believe that if we could instead advance solutions directed at the state and federal level, we can much better get to the goal. I thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony, our next witness is Kathryn Freed.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Kathryn, you're on mute.

KATHRYN FREED: Yeah.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can hear you now.

KATHRYN FREED: You can hear me now. Okay, thanks. Uhm, yeah, hi, my name is Kathryn Freed, I'm a former City Council Member, actually from the first district and a retired New York Supreme Court Justice and I appreciate the opportunity to address the Civil Service and Labor Committee. I support Intro. 0175. I've listened to the entire day of testimony but all

I can say is that two wrongs do not make a right.

J

And allowing the industry to continue to abuse and exploit the home care attendants isn't going to solve the problem. There is clearly a problem There's a problem with the necessity to pay additional monies to acknowledge the value of the services that are being provided and at the same time, there's clearly a timing problem because the bills in Albany have got to move ahead.

But I agree with, I think it was Harvey Epstein who said that — and Deborah Glick who said that this bill, Intro. 0175 will be a good way to push the state legislature ahead on this issue. I understand that some of the consumers are concerned about the fact that there may be a lag that would cause a problem for them, but I think that's a problem that can be addressed.

But and additionally the entire industry is going to have to change. As I said, they have to value the work that's being provided a lot more than they do right now. It's just too easy to exploit not only women, mostly women but also people of color, largely amounts of immigrants. They are a very easy population to abuse and clearly a lot of this industry has counted on that —

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

2.4

KATHRYN FREED: So, again, I support passing

Intro. 0175 but obviously, a lot of work has to be

done and the entire industry has to be reimagined, so

that it works to provide the services to the people

who need them but also acknowledge and provide

services and a decent wage to the service providers.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Our next witness is Ki Yu Lee.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

KI YU LEE: Good evening everyone. My name is Ki Yu Lee, I'm a candidate in political science at University of Illinois Chicago working on my dissertation comparing Chinatown, Chicago, San Francisco and New York City.

I'm testifying today in support of the bill

Intro. 0175 from my perspective as a researcher. I

spent the last two summers doing work in New York

City's Chinatown. When I was joining the picket line
in front of the museum in Chinese America on

Wednesday's and Sunday's, I had conversations with
several female Chinese home care attendants who
shared with me their experience in working 24-hour

shifts and told me that they themselves became 3 patients.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

I remember one home care attendant when talking to organizer of the Chinese Staff and Worker Association that "stray violence is visible, but no one can see the damage to our body caused by the 24hour working shift, physically and mentally."

While those Asian American elected officials were voicing their anger and claiming to fight back against anti-Asian hate crime, they are ignoring the exploitation of the Asian American working-class families by the few Asian American elites.

By contrast, in 2021, New York State Assembly Member Ron Kim's office, published a report titled, 'The Nonprofit War on Workers, Weapons and Labor Violence.' The report found that this Chinese American Planning Council has stolen its workers' wages and subjugated them to the grueling 24-hour shift.

The report also documented the CPC stunning content from their own workers rights and the sheer dehumanization that immigrants and women of color live in our economic society. In other cities within New York State are [INAUDIBLE 6:17:19]. 24-hour care

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

requires two caregivers that alternate every 12 hours. New York City should have played a leading role in stopping the 24-hour working shift and capping each shift at 12 hours. But it is not too late, especially for those who refuse to support this bill because of their losing campaign donations, employment opportunities provided by the home care agency such as CPC.

One thing we can learn from all the past legal efforts, protests and rally is that collectively we can say no to social injustice. I also want to quickly respond to the State Senator and Assembly Member and other public participants -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

KI YU LEE: I believe cities can play a leading, important role in dealing with issues of home care agencies and other issues like immigration, climate change. The argument that this problem should be resolved at a state level, doesn't mean cities can do nothing. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Our next witness is Jeannine Kiely.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

_

JEANNINE KIELY: Good evening Chair De La Rosa and Council Member Marte. Thank you for still being here. I am Jeannine Kiely; a Democratic District Leader downtown and I support the No More 24 Act.

Both my parents were career public school teachers and proud union members and still they both relied on home care workers in their final years.

They too were vulnerable and needy, and their home attendants were paid for every hour worked.

My mother died of breast cancer at 38. Home care workers cared for her daily, changing her bandages because her wounds never healed and were consistently infected. As a young child, I watched these wonderful caretakers, but I would have been too young to do it myself. She was blessed to get this care. My father suffered Parkinson's disease for more than 20 years and needed 24/7 care his last five years. His home attendants changed his diapers, bathed him, managed his complex medicine, strolled him to doctors appointments, calmed him after frequent Parkinson's related nightmare, cooked his meals, helped him in and out of bed and in and out of a wheelchair, tidied his space and most importantly remained on call for

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2.3

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

whenever he needed help. There was rarely a fivehour period where my dad did not need help.

I've heard a lot of suggested improvements today but what I don't like hearing is we have a long way to go or lobby the new Health Care Chair. It wasn't quite said that way but that was how I heard it. you're a patient in a New York City hospital and 1199 SEIU Union worker cares for you and that person is paid for every hour worked.

In New York City, the NYPD are paid for every hour worked, including overtime. Same with the Department of Sanitation and many other city agencies. In parts of upstate New York, we heard today home attendants are paid for every hour worked. Why not New York City?

In honor of hardworking home care workers, that we may all likely need one day and in memory of our parents, I urge you to pass the No More 24 Act to ensure that our loved ones are cared for by home attendants who are fairly paid. Thank you.

Our next witness is Richard Corman.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Richard, I think you're on mute. We can hear you now.

RICHARD CORMAN: Okay, let me start again, thank you. Good evening, I'm Richard Corman, President of Downtown Independent Democrats. Thank you for this very powerful and moving hearing. I'm speaking today in favor of this bill to end the 24-hour work day for home care workers.

Early this year, our club passed a Resolution, calling for an end to this practice and justice for home care workers. This is as much a moral issue, an issue of humanity as anything. Debate the practicalities. In my mind, there is no debate about working people for 24 hours and paying them for half.

This has conflicted, not only with our principles, but our laws and yet it persists, and it seems mostly here in New York City, the very last place we would imagine this happening.

Some have said this bill is not the solution, but scathing reports have been written, investigations done, laws passed, and yet it persists. Some have said the solution lays not with this but with the state increasing the funding. While the state increases the funding have been far from sufficient

and does nothing to end the 24-hour shift.

2.3

4 Some

persists.

Some say there could be unintended consequences of this bill, if the very real consequences of the 24-hour work day persists. Some say we can't stop this practice until we stick some root cause but even the administration admits, here we still are. It persists and all the while, it's on the backs of these mostly immigrant women of color. They bear this and so do their patients.

If there is a root cause, who's fixing that? If there is a root cause, something needs to force that to be fixed because nothing has done that so far.

It's just so much easier to let these workers, these women suffer. Just don't call it exploitation. Call it compassion for their patients. But this is exploitation and not compassion and it has gone on for way too long.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

RICHARD CORMAN: And with history behind us, without this bill, it will just persist. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. That concludes this panel. The next panel is a folks who are here in person. Heidi Siegfried Esquire,

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

jeopardize CIDNY mission to ensure that people with

new chaos into the home care system and would

Intro. 0175 is legislation that would introduce

Bernadette Bird, Elizabeth Valdez, Diane Barrett, Michael Ring, and Marie Hickey. Thank you for your patience.

HEIDI SIEGFRIED: Good evening, my name is Heidi Siegfried and I'm the Health Policy Director at Center for Independence of the Disabled New York. Our mission is to help people with disabilities access the care and services they need to live as independently and fully as people without disabilities in the community.

This mission is supported for over 30 years now by the Americans with Disabilities Act, our Civil Rights Statute that gives people with disabilities the right to participate in and benefit from all aspects of society to the same extent as their nondisabled peers.

Including access to long-term care and by the Olmstead decision authored by Ruth Bader Ginsburg, which gives people with disabilities the right to that care in the community and not in institutions like nursing facilities.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

disabilities receive services and support to live independently in the community.

We have spent our summers trying to secure meetings with cosponsors of the legislation to explain why this legislation is not the solution. As has been mentioned, the Medicaid program is what funds home care and that's where it needs to be addressed. CIDNY specifically has an open doors program, which specifically works to transition people out of nursing facilities to the community, while our open-door specialists report that they secure a split shift care for people who need 24-hour continuous care. We are aware that there are over 17,000 New Yorkers who have a Medicaid authorization for live in care. We have no idea what would happen to these people. Would they have to get this care outside of New York City in some other county where they could get it or you know, and they would have to not just you know get care there for a day like most post jobs decision, but they would have to be abandoning their families and social networks that they've built up over their lives.

So, we urge the City Council Members to vote against this legislation.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

2.4

HEIDI SIEGFRIED: Go back to the drawing board. Identify another avenue to solve this very real problem.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

DIANE BARRETT: Hi, good after— good evening; I almost said good afternoon. Good evening everybody.

My name is Diane Barrett. I am the Vice President of Government Relations and Public Affairs at Arch Care.

Arch Care is the health care ministry of the Archdiocese of New York. We are one of the nations largest and most dynamic not-for-profit health care systems. Arch Care appreciates the New York City Council's hard work and steadfast dedication to protecting New York's Home Health Aides.

Although we agree with the spirit and intent of Intro. 0175, we have concerns about the proposed legislation. The bill as proposed will open an era of unintended consequences and jeopardize the health of thousands of New Yorkers that rely on in home services. Specifically, I am here to discuss the staff shortage and patient care.

First, in a poll conducted my morning consult in August 2021, they reported over nine in ten Medicare

S

2.3

Bernadette -

beneficiaries say they would prefer to receive post hospital short-term heath care at home. Health care services gives the patients the heath care choice they desire. Although New Yorkers rely heavily on home health services, the number of people who can receive services is diminishing and the shortage is expected to worsen.

According to Mercer in its August 2021 report, demand for health care workers will outpace supply by 2025. Home health will have the most significant deficit of workers over the next few years. By 2025, the United States will need over 446,000 heath care workers to meet our patient demand. And I do want to point out here, I know that it's been said today that a lot of this has to do with conditions and wages and it does. But it's also important to note that health care workers have retreated from all health care services. A lot of it is just pandemic related.

I realize that my time is almost up, so I do want to just thank you all for your interest and support today.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

BERNADETTE BIRD: Hello everyone. My name is

1

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Your microphone is off.

3

Press the button please. Thank you.

4

5 Bernadette Bird. I am personal assistant for over

6

ten years working for the customer affiliated with

7

concepts of independence. The best thing of this

8

bill firstly, it's very important for consumers,

9

especially with severe disabilities to have at least

BERNADETTE BIRD: Hello everyone. My name is

10

one or two workers who have full attention over the

11

consumer needs and equipment.

12

trust and comfort for their personal assistance who

They require stability and a certain level of

1314

assist them in many ways. This cannot be achieved

15

with a period of workers coming through because these

Also, in order to make ends meet, they will be

16

hours limited.

17

forced to work with multiple consumers. This

18

19

[INAUDIBLE 6:29:12-6:29:16] and wellbeing of

20

consumers. Need I remind you of the ${\tt COVID-19}$

21

pandemic, especially in its earlier stages. I stayed

22

2 COVID-19 free, thus preventing my one and only

23

consumer who has respiratory issues from contracting

24

the potentially deadly virus. The bill also

25

diminishes that my ability to take care of my family

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 250 and my own wellbeing. I'm a single mother, mother of 2 3 a child with a learning disability. I won't be able to manage my basic bills and mortgage if I am forced 4 to cut back my working to 50 hours a week. it takes away my ability to work overtime is 6 7 available. Most likely, I will be facing foreclosure 8 and I will have to go back to the welfare system and depend on government programs. 10

Please do not pass this bill. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. That concludes this panel of witnesses. We will call seven registered witnesses who registered to testify virtually next in the following order: Caret Fanit Fautjamarn (SP?), Henry Lynn, Victoria Hillstum, Marianna James, Taylor Banning, Mariam Bensman, Caputo Attendee.

Caret, you can begin when you're ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

Hi, good evening. CARET FANIT FAUTJAMARN: I work for [INAUDIBLE 6:31:00] Independent and I began there - I worked there since I was 15 and first, I'd like to say that uhm it's very emotional

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

that they like to cut hours, the hours down to 50 hours because as you know, everything is inflation.

The food went up. The rent, we have to pay a high rent bill and for them to cut the 50 hours, it's not fair for us.

We can barely make it as is. I have a sick daughter who in Thailand. I have to send the money and uhm, I mean, I have a sick patient. She had a She on dialysis. Three times a week that stroke. she needs somebody to attend dialysis and it's very hard for people to work for her because she also bipolar and she would like to testimony. I mean, a lot of people tried to work for her and it's just not working out. They punish her, they abuse her. these are city programs and there's only two people that work on this case and four or five [INAUDIBLE 6:32:18]. But if you got plans to cut down the hours, how are the city workers going to survive?

It's not that we ask for the rest or anything but let's take it into consideration that if you keep in mind, you cut the hour, mark my words for this one. There will be even more crime. There will be more robberies because people cannot survive with little [INAUDIBLE 6:32:45] by the time you get your money,

on the topic of gentrification and critical urban theory. I'm here to speak in support to Intro. 0175. Home attendants are the subject of my field studies. I have talked to them. They have shared stories with me. I deeply sympathize with them. They are not working in an acceptable condition right now.

We cannot allow home attendants to be forced to work 24-hour shifts. These issues are disproportionately affecting low-income female

25

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

./

J

Z 4

hand out a piece of paper and write down who you want to be when you grow up, how many of those slips have home attendants written on them?

This has been an historically low pay occupation

minorities in New York City. I want to ask everybody

sitting there. In kindergarten, when your teacher

with strenuous working conditions that lacks legal protections. This is an institutional issue and I want to say to both the home attendants and the disabled, the entity copiable of this situation are the corrupt union home care agencies and government inactions and they are the ones who pick one vulnerable group against another, and this bill is trying to fix that. Trying to step out. Take one step at a time, not wait. Not wait for them to bill in the future, not ten years from now, not five months, now.

And at the end, I want to read some law. I want to read you a law. Chapter four, working hours, rest and leaves. Article 36, the state shall practice a working hour system where in labor shall work for no more than eight hours a day and no more than 44 hours a week on the average.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2 HENRY LYNN: That's not state law in the United

3 States. That's not from Europe, all from Australia.

4 | That's the labor law of peoples Republic of China.

New York City, you can do better. New York State,

6 you can do better.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Shh, please, please, order please.

HENRY LYNN: And I yield my time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for your

11 testimony.

1

7

8

9

10

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

12 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Victoria
13 Hillstum.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

VICTORIA HILLSTUM: Hello, can you hear me?

16 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yes, we can hear you.

Please go ahead.

VICTORIA HILLSTRUM: Hello, thank you very much Chairman and of course City Council. I apologize, my voice is a bit weak today. I am here to testify in favor of Intro. 0175. We have heard heart wrenching testimony.

More than the home health care workers, the disabled people and the people that require full-time care, being threatened and in fear that they would

2.4

not receive the care that they provide. Much of it based in fear. In reality, it would not matter whether two shifts covered 24-hour care or one.

The real question here is, how have we allowed this absolutely horrid behavior toward our friends in Chinatown and across the city, women of color, women that are supporting their families that deserve pay, good pay, a fair wage and decent hours. This is not who we are. I have spent a great deal of time working in Albany to strengthen the laws, to strengthen the laws that impact New Yorkers most.

In this circumstance, our state assembly and senators failed to address this matter for years while our friends are being forced to work these unthinkable hours without pay, wage theft and lord only knows what they have suffered, many of whom do not speak English.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

VICTORIA HILLSTUM: There has been a lot of talk about unintended consequences. I would just like to say, these are intended consequences and Governor Hochul needs to take that \$80,000 billion away from Fernando and we need to take care of our disabled, our elderly and our home health care workers.

2 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you

3 for your testimony today. Thank you.

2.3

VICTORIA HILLSTUM: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Mariama James.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Folks, keep it down please.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

MARIAMA JAMES: Hi, my name is Mariama James. I am a 911 survivor and an advocate for 911 survivors, many of which live in Chinatown and some of which that don't like my own mother who was then a Harlem resident but worked at Deutsche Bank by the World Trade Center and developed stage IV colon cancer as a result.

2018, they told us there was nothing else they could do for her and that her tumor was inoperable, but we prayed on it and she — uhm, they found a way to take it out in 2019. As a result, she needed care, and she had a home health aide that was coming in and we were concerned in part that this home health aide seemed to need help herself. She was overworked, she was a senior and she was always in a lot of pain and that was not the only factor, but it was a contributing factor to why we ended up putting

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

my mother in a home for temporary long-term care, insisted living facility/nursing home in the Bronx because she wasn't able to get the care that we felt she needed being a stage IV cancer survivor and always in a lot of pain and also having like a stage one dementia.

So, I'm here to speak in support of the bill. find it really, really concerning that people are afraid to go to homes. Like, they are going to die if they go to homes. I think that's another thing that we should be addressing. If there's a problem with the homes, that that needs to be worked out. Not that we just avoid going to them.

And I'm all for the state working on Medicaid and I don't know if it's possible, but I would wonder if ZADROGA could; there's a ZADROGA bill that covers 911 survivors, could possibly help to present another like funding stream. People who are suffering with 911-

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

MARIAMA JAMES: Certified, maybe their care could be covered through ZADROGA.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony today.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Taylor

2.3

Banning.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

TAYLOR BANNING: Hi, my name is Taylor, and I grew up living with my grandparents, who lived with me and my family in NYC. My grandparents were always there when I got home from school to listen to me practice my flute terribly, but they never complained. Their wish was to age at home with their family.

After my granddad passed and my grandma was less mobile, we worried about her falling in the night alone. So, when I came home from college, I tried taking the night shift. During the day, I had my first job and at night, I slept on her living room floor.

Some nights, she didn't want to go to bed, which meant I didn't go to bed. Some nights she got up multiple times, so I got up multiple times. Some nights she cried out in her sleep, so I got up to soothe her and over the months, I got less, and less sleep and she needed more and more help. Working all day and working all night, was not working for me or for her. And honestly, it started to negatively

_

impact my health and I remember one time, I didn't
get up with her because I was too tired, and I just
hoped that wouldn't be the time that she fell.

And at the time, I had minimal needs and responsibilities in my life, yet many home care workers are also parents and have their own health issues and have their own parents aging at home. If that routine didn't work for me, her granddaughter, for a few months, how could it work for a home attendant working consecutive 24-hour shifts for years? And I slept on an air mattress. Last time I checked, not many homes at NYC have extra bed or bedroom, so where are people supposed to sleep and what about the care patients need? While attendants get their rest, if they get it.

People like my grandma actually needed all 24 hours of that care. And if 24-hour shift had been illegal in other industries to protect workers and patients, why have we let this go on for so long in NYC? In an industry supporting the most vulnerable people and it's women of color and immigrants being on pay. Who does that benefit? Not the patient. Not the worker. So, I'm joining the home attendants in calling for the end of the 24-hour work day.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

MARIAMA JAMES: I'm asking for the Council to please pass No More 24-Hour Act to ensure quality care and respect for people like my grandma and home care workers and in some cases, some might just want to go home to listen to their granddaughter practice her flute, much better than I did I'm sure. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next witness is Mariam Bensman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

MARIAM BENSMAN: Hi, can you hear me?

16 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yes, we can hear you, 17 yes.

MARIAM BENSMAN: Okay, great. My name is Mariam, and I just lost my text. Just a second. Can you let the next person go and I'll come back to you?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Sure.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now call Caputo

Attendee and we will return to Mariam Bensman.

Caputo, you can begin when the Sergeants start the clock.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

CEPUTO ATTENDEE: My name is Anthony Caputo, I'm the CEO of Concepts of Independence, which pioneered the first consumer directed program here in the city back in 1980.

You could read my full testimony, so what I'd like to do is tell you a true story of one of our consumers. An 80-year-old polio survivor spending the last 73 years as a quadriplegic.

During the pandemic, she lost an aide and found it impossible to hire replacement, though recruiting 43 candidates. Therefore, the reality that this bill would create open positions that will be filled as an unreasonable [INAUDIBLE 6:44:51].

Here are some facts. Last year Concepts spent over \$5 million in overtime, with one aide earning \$96,000. If the hours were capped at 50 per week, the aide would have earned only \$46,000. The 50-hour weekly limit would reduce paychecks for over 1,100 of our aides. This bill's 12-hour daily limit would have affected 1,600 aides covering all City Council districts by reducing over 51,000 weekly paychecks. That's a lot of rent, that's a lot of food.

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Also, New York's Aide Social Service law prohibits consumer directed agency like Concept from scheduling consumers aides. Therefore, any fines would mostly likely have to be assessed against the Medicaid consumer who assigned the hours. summary, this bill will result in an unconscionable, unintended consequences, as consumers and dramatic cut in wages to CDPEP aides.

There's a positive affect though. Ten years ago, an aide was making only \$10 an hour and not paid extra for overtime. Now, aides earn overtime and in three weeks, in New York City could earn overtime pay at \$31.64 per hour this year and \$33.14 per hour next year. Therefore, capping hours will drastically cut home care income in future years.

We all agree with the intention of this bill, everyone that testified today. So, the City Council can get the state to eliminate 24-hour shifts on their own, without disrupting consumers, then we applaud you -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

ANTHONY CAPUTO: Every home care worker, get every support of every home care worker and if you think it would be better to add the disabled

community and all the advocates in the union, then

please drop the bill and follow Senator Rivera and

Assembly Member and establish a coalition where all

of us work together at the state level with

Assemblyman Epstein to obtain the necessary funding

for live in aides.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

ANTHONY CAPUTO: Thank you.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll now return to Mariam Bensman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

MARIAM BENSMAN: Hi, my name is Mariam Bensman.

I live and make good trouble at Central Queens with several groups. Today, I'm speaking for myself on the topic that's very personal to me. My mom died seven years ago after her long and active retirement ended with two years of severe physical and mental impairment as a result of a stroke.

My mom was lucky though. She was able to continue living at home after her stroke, thanks to wonderful home care. Joan and Veronica took turns feeding, medicating, dressing and bathing her. They

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

testimony today. Thank you.

helped her with physical and language recovery exercises, cooked for her and cleaned her apartment. They did all the things that we, her family couldn't do enough of because we had jobs and lived a little ways. I will forever be grateful to them for the loving care they provided. We made sure they got breaks, though not enough. I'm ashamed of that.

So, I understand the need for 24-hour care, and I honor the skill and the patients needed to provide it, but I see no need for 24-hour shifts. No one should be able to require - should be required to work 24-hour shifts, even if they're paid for all 24 hours. Why are 24 hour shifts even a thing? No other state allows 24-hour home care shifts and even in New York State, it's mostly a city thing.

I do not believe that 24-hour shifts are needed for continuity of care. They are not used in hospitals and nursing homes, except for interns and residents and it's being phased out for them because it's abusive and -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

MARIAM BENSMAN: They end up making mistakes.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for your

2 MARIAM BENSMAN: Okay, thanks bye.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay, thank you. That concludes our virtual panel. We will turn to an inperson panel. When I call your name, please come up to the dais. Margaret Lee, Lily Randall, Jesenia Torres, Louis Marciano, Daisy Castillo, Chris Boa, and David Eisenbach.

State your name, so that I can identify you here.

MARGARET LEE: Margaret Lee.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. You can begin when you're comfortable.

MARGARET LEE: Okay, this testimony is on behalf of my mother, a retired 1199 SEIU registered nurse.

She began her career in the health care industry as a home care worker.

In the 1990's, when she began working as a care giver, she was assigned 12-hour night shifts.

Working 24-hour shifts was unheard of at that time.

The work was difficult, and the hours were difficult, but she had no other options as this was the only job available to her given her limited skills and limited

I told my mother that immigrant women like herself were now being forced to work 24-hour shifts.

English and our family desperately needed the money.

2.3

2.4

This made her very angry and sad. It brought up memories of the difficult work. She kept saying, "but how could anyone rest working a 24-hour shift in a stranger's home?" She said that there would have been no way for her to work 24-hour shifts and attend community college to continue her studies so that she could eventually become a registered nurse.

She knows too well how lucky she is to have found a path towards financial security for our family through hard work. But she said hard work can only get you so far when you are up against inhumane conditions. The 24-hour work day would have stolen her time and health in ways that would have made it impossible for her to work towards her goal of becoming a registered nurse. My family was saved by the opportunity health care work provided and therefore cannot sit by and watch that opportunity be stolen from others and worse, to see this job steal the health and lives of these essential workers.

This is why we are in support of the No More 24 Act.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Next panelist, please identify yourself.

LILY RANDALL: Lily Randall. My name is Lily Randall, and I am a resident of Council District 1.

I am testifying in support of Intro. 0175, as I believe that the right to safe working conditions, a humane workweek, and fair compensation should be afforded to all working people.

Hearing today's testimonies puts into focus the impending need for my father, who is a veteran and suffers from physical and psychological disabilities to rely on the labor and generosity of home attendants in the near future. He has recently begun to receive in-home health care assistance and while he does not yet receive around-the-clock care, he needs help with mobility, grooming, and hygiene-tasks that require strength, stamina, alertness, and patience on the part of his caregivers.

Once his health needs increase, the toll on the workers who care for him will increase as well. If I am to expect my father's health to be well looked after by his caregivers, how could I not also demand that those who provide care for him be treated with the same dignity and respect? I strongly support the proposed 50-hour work week cap because I believe that workers deserve fair working hours. The argument that putting a cap on the number of hours a worker can log would limit their overtime earning potential

2.3

is incredibly misleading. The greatest obstacle to these workers' earning potential is, in fact, not paying them their earned wages.

Home attendants would be earning exponentially more if their agencies paid them for all the care they provide to their clients outside of the 13/24 hours they are compensated for. If there are workers currently asking to be assigned in excess of the proposed 50 hours, it should be noted that as things stand now, in order to receive 50 hours', pay, workers would actually need to work close to 90 hours a week to make up for those 11 unpaid hours for each 24-hour shift.

The World Health Organization concluded last year that working 55 plus hours a week puts people at increased risk of death due to stroke and heart disease. How could we advocate for this? Under Int. 0175, not only will workers be granted access to a much better work-life balance, they will earn more per week without having to watch half of the hours of attentive service go completely uncompensated.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

LILY RANDALL: Who would choose to work 24-hour shifts for 13 hours' pay, if given the option for 12-

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

hour split shifts? No one. This option is not offered - uh the option for 12-hour shifts is not offered by agencies because they know that if given the option, workers would not choose to work 24-hour shifts.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today.

LILY RANDALL: I urge you to pass 0175 into law and set a precedent that shows working people in this city that not only are the labor and care they provide valuable, but so is the quality of life they experience when they get home at night.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much.

LILY RANDALL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Uhm, up next, we have David Eisenbach and Chris Bao.

DAVID EISENBACH: My name is David Eisenbach. support this bill. I'm an historian and I teach history at Columbia, and I'd like to provide a little historical context for this fight.

Someday, we're going to look back at this humanity that we've heard about today and we will ask ourselves, how did this happen? How did we allow

this to continue? In the same way that we look back

3

at the early 20th Century and child labor in America.

4

When 20 percent of American children worked in

factories, textile mills, and coalmines.

6

years, in 1890 to 1920, 30,000 boys died in coalmines

7

in America. Now, we look back and we say to

8

ourselves, how is that possible?

Well, we kind of heard a little bit about that

10

today. The arguments against getting rid of child

11

labor in America, unintended consequences. If we

12

fire the kids, we're going to have to replace them

13

with adults. It's going to cost a lot more.

14

going to bankrupt the coalmines.

15

that he can finish his testimony and we can hear

16 17

everybody else. I apologize Mr. Eisenbach, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: We need quiet please, so

DAVID EISENBACH: That's okay. They also said, 18

19

well, we can't do it on the city level, we have to do

20

it on the state level and then on the state level, we

21

have to do it on the federal level and on the federal

22

level, well we have to do in the states. And so, it

2.3

bounced around for decades and nothing got done. And

24

then once they passed it, the sky didn't fall. We

25

still had coal. We still had the textile mills

)

running and life went on and we looked back and we said, "oh, of course." The same thing is going to happen after we pass this bill. So, please, from what you heard today, end this injustice. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for your testimony. Thank you. Chris, Chris Boa.

GARY: Hello, my name is Gary, I'm providing testimony from Chris Boa, who could not make it because he's working a long shift at the hospital tonight.

My name is Chris Boa(SP?), and I am an Infectious Disease Physician and Former Labor Organizer for SEIU CIR. I'm writing this on behalf of my peers and colleagues and I'm asking for the strong support of all Council Members for the No More 24 Act Intro.

O175, sponsored by Council Member Marte in District

1. We have collectively worked throughout the COVID-19 pandemic as physicians and throughout our training and work, I've become no stranger to long and intensive work weeks, often totaling over 80 hours a week and we have all become familiar with working within a fragmented, unforgiving and exploitative system but we were still shocked to learn that our home health care attendants are being forced to work

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

undoubtedly impacts the quality of care given to our most vulnerable patients. It is tragic that our

Working over 24 hours is unsustainable and

excessive 24-hour shifts. Sometimes working back-toback consecutive shifts.

As fellow health care workers, we are appalled to learn that this matter has been called to attention and brought forth to the City Council for years, yet no action has been taken to protect our most essential workers, many of whom are Black, Asian and Latino women. It currently appears that this situation is exclusive to New York City. In other parts of the state home heath care attendants are only required to work eight, 12-hour shifts.

Additionally, our home health care workers are only paid for 13 of the 24 hours that they work and live with their patients. They're expected to have eight hours of sleep, take three one-hour meal breaks and thus are not paid for this time. However, this cannot be farther away from the truth as these sick patients often need help during these unpaid 11 hours. As they usually require around the clock maximum assistance given their age and underlying medical comorbidities.

2.3

health care workers should have to endure such circumstances, unacceptable that our elected leadership continue to overlook such regressive and inhumane working conditions.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

GARY: Now is the time to stand together, advocate on behalf of workers and correct this injustice through passing and supporting the No More 24 Act Intro. 0175.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you for your comments today. I just want to verify Jesenia Torres, Louis Marciano or Daisy Castillo, none of you all are present? Then this concludes this panel. Thank you so much for coming tonight.

Up next, we have James C. James C., Kevin C.,
Yolanda Zhang, Karen Low, Vicki New. James C., Kevin
C, uh? Oh, Shi, Shi, okay. Yolanda Zhang, Karen
Low, Vicki New. Thank you. You may begin when
you're ready.

YOLANDA ZHANG: Uh, this is Yolanda Zhang. Oh, hi all, my name is Yolanda. I spoke to close to 100 Chinese-speaking home health aides who reside in NYC, who have been driven by anger to speak up against 24-hour work days. Many of them work 24-hour shifts for

| days on end in which case, they had to get up eight |
|---|
| to five days up to eight to five times a night on |
| average to assist their patients. For those who work |
| or have worked multiple ten hour or twelve-hour |
| shifts, accruing to more than 50 hours per week, |
| workers physical and mental health is equally |
| threatened and destroyed. This is because home care |
| workers are especially dedicated to providing their |
| patients with the most comprehensive, meticulous care |
| and that the duty of home care often involves heavy |
| lifting with patients weighing more than 175 pounds. |
| And frequent handling of diapers, under garments, and |
| cleaning of the patients excrement and secretions. |
| Multiple times per single shift, they have to use |
| their bare fingers; this is, content warning, it's a |
| little graphic to help push out the patients stools. |
| Okay, this is the heavy, disgusting, dirty you know |
| work. The nature of home care work is very different |
| from those of other industries too. |

And they have to do it for 50 hours a week. That would bring a lot of tremendous mental and physical fatigue, trauma, and indignation. To this, many workers have expressed that not even money could ever buy back their health and dignity. The fact that

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 2.3

24

25

workers are currently assigned to working 24-hour work days and working over 50 hours per week, fully shows the discriminatory nature of NYC home health industry. As the majority of the home care workers as many of have said today, consists of immigrant women of color. As a daughter of an immigrant woman of color myself, who didn't make any memories with my mom because she always had to work long hours -

YOLANDA ZHANG: I call on the City Council to end this racist and sexist practice and pass Intro. 0175. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Please go-ahead James. You can borrow the microphone next to you. Thank you.

JAMES SHI: Hi, my name is James Shi, I'm a resident of New York City. Thank you Council Member Chris Marte, Councilman De La Rosa for having this hearing. In many ways this hearing really is very long overdue. When you think of how long many workers in this room have been fighting in absolutely inhumanity of the 24-hour work day. One of the previous speakers mentioned how back in the past, child labor was deemed as acceptable and that if we

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2.3

24

25

were to change things, it would really make things unpredictable. That things would not function but that, we realize how insane that is.

What is more insane about the fact that people are having to work 24 hours a day and being paid for only 13 hours of them, is a fact that people are willing to defend that. That is insanity because how can you seriously say for anyone, and you have heard testimony from many people today, that if home health aide, people who require round the clock care, can actually get eight hours a sleep. Five hours uninterrupted sleep. Three one-hour meals a day and not someone have that affect their health and the patient care. The 24-hour work day is an absolute disgrace and even humanity and that this bill, Intro. 0175 is a means to end that. For people who say that is not possible, that is not the role of the City Council.

I wish, I am sad to say but I'm sure the workers will not be surprised, which includes 1199. They say, oh, that should be the state, or it should Medicaid, it should be the federal. I should remind people that 1199 like to call themselves Dr. Kings favorite union. How about Dr. King has some words I

think that they should keep in mind. Wait has almost always meant never. Justice delayed and justice denied. Do not belay justice any longer. Pass

5 Intro. 0175 now. Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. Kevin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet down.

KEVIN SHI: Okay, my name is Kevin Shi and I'm a resident of New York City and I'm here to support Intro. 0175, Council Member Christopher Marte built to end the unjust and unjustifiable practice of 24-hour work days for home health aides in New York City. It's very clear that both a legalized system of exploitation and a widespread system of wage theft that has existed for a long time in the home health care industry that must be addressed now for the sake of workers and patients everywhere.

Allowing such exploitation of mostly immigrant women of color to continue as business as usual, while hoping for the New York State government to some day do the right thing should not be acceptable to anyone who cares about the health and safety of both the home health aides and their patients.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 Listening to the testimony of some of the 3 opponents of Intro. 0175 today, including some who

4 are actual state legislators, I was struck by the

5 apparent synergism overall [INAUDIBLE 7:05:24] and

6 this City Council in particular, ability to do

7 anything meaningful to end this long-standing

8 practice of exploitation occurring across the New

9 York City home health care system.

In a time of widespread synergism, towards the ability of governance to do anything to improve peoples lives and the antidemocratic forces that have been growing as a result of it. It is incumbent upon this current City Council to prove the naysayers wrong. The City Council can best do this now bypassing Intro. 0175. The City Council has in its power to help end this longstanding system of exploitation now by supporting and passing Intro. 0175. If it cannot do so, then why are we all here today regardless on where we stand on Council Member Marte's bill.

Intro. 0175 is about accountability. It is about justice. It's about sending a message to people everywhere, especially immigrant women of

2 color. That's all of us, no matter what kind of work
3 we do -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

KEVIN SHI: Can and should not control over our time and our lives. No More 24. Pass Intro. 0175 now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you so much. Thank you so much. Vicki, please go ahead.

VICKI NEW: Hi, my name is Vicki New, I am a resident of City Council District 3, and I am here to testify in support of Intro. 0175.

As we've all heard today at length, the 24-hour work day is rampant here in New York City, but it is not elsewhere in New York State. Why do home attendants in this city work for often days at a time without sleep and without half their wages when home attendants elsewhere in New York with the same Medicaid regulations, work eight- or twelve-hour split shifts?

The difference is that our home care work force in this city is largely immigrants, unlike the mostly White, mostly citizen home care workers outside of New York City.

2.3

J

Most of the home attendants providing care in this city are immigrants like my parents, mostly immigrant women of color and not only that, but so-called community organizations in our neighborhoods, like the Chinese American Planning Council, actually run job placement programs to place recent immigrants into home care jobs.

Governor Hochul's office has even stated that this immigrant worker home care pipeline is a way to kill two birds with one stone. Both providing employment for new immigrants and addressing the growing need for care. I hope that we can all agree in this room that the 24-hour work day is inhumane. That it is abusive. That it is violent to go without sleep.

So, if we are growing our need for care and if we still have the 24-hour work day, all we are doing is growing a pipeline that is forcing immigrants into work that will destroy their lives, destroy their body, rob them of wages. When we talk about systematic violence, this is systemic violence. When we talk about institutional racism, this is institutional racism over seen by the state, carried out by nonprofits and signed off by unions like 1199.

So, I implore the City Council today to not act with cowardness but actually stand and stop the turning wheels of this racist violence, to end the 24-work day and pass Intro. 0175. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

Thank you all for your testimony tonight. That

concludes this panel. Thank you for your patience

and for testifying.

Up next, we have Sonia Ussario, Joseph Jung(SP?), Anne Kochman, Simon Kostelanetz(SP?), I think it says. Phoebe Lopez and Audrey Hill, Twill, okay, just say it on the record because I can't read the handwriting. It looks like Hill. Thank you and identify your name as you speak. Thank you so much. You may begin when you're ready.

ANNE KOCHMAN: Good evening, my name is Anne Kochman. I have been a registered nurse in New York for 35 years and I'm a member of the National Mobilization Against Sweatshops.

I'm here to urge you to vote in favor of this bill, to pass Intro. 0175 and to prohibit 24 shift and to cap weekly hours at 50 in home care. For decades now, nurses in New York State and throughout the U.S. have organized and struggled to protect

and the health of our patients.

/

We know from our personal experiences on the job and our unions know, the unions that represent nurses, know that health workers cannot work hours and days on end in a safe manner.

nurses from mandatory overtime to protect our health,

In June of this year, June of 2022, Unions including 1199 SEIU, the New York State Nurses

Association, New York State, AFLCIO and several others released a statement applauding the New York

State legislature for passing bills to protect nurses from unfair mandatory overtime. And I quote Mario Salento, President of the New York State AFLCIO, "we cannot continue to schedule our nurses for double or triple shift with minimal breaks and expect quality care and safe working conditions."

Our home attendant colleagues deserve the same rates. They are often the sole support for their patients who need the care and attention of well-rested and healthy home attendants.

You've heard already from so many home attendants now who speak to the need to be available to their patients at every moment of their shift. They're frequently the only support for those patients.

•

I just want to add and in the home, home attendants are isolated. They are isolated. In the hospital setting, you have other people you can call upon but in the home, often times they are the only ones there. They are by themselves.

Why are we treating home attendants who do this $\mbox{critical work}$

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

ANNE KOCHMAN: Differently from the nurses who work in the hospital? Pass Intro. 0175.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

PHOEBE LOPEZ: Hi, I'm Phoebe Lopez, supporter of No More 24, Intro. 0175. I'm a New York resident and I just want to say, I think I have like three points to make. I'm going to try to keep it brief.

As a young person living in New York, it's kind of very disappointing to see a union as prolific as 1199 uhm, kind of put forth all the values and behaviors that they want to express and use here and pat themselves on the back for the values that they put on their website and things that they say. And then, kind of directly contradict those values with the decisions that they make with the funding that

they funnel into stuff that they say that they do,

3 and they don't.

2.3

uh, mentioned before, a very meek, very weak \$18 million. Also, the sit ins that had happened this week, this past week, calling the NYPD on their own members. That's really crazy.

Uhm, like the settlement that was made that was

And I get that unions you know, how can you get mad at someone that says that they are with you.

That they want to help you and want to fund you and then just go behind your back and you know, yes.

One of the other things that I wanted to say was that looking at the past few years, it's very clear that the majority of the government and local government prefers to — with respect to Chinatown especially, prefers to fund projects that oust the community, rather than support it, fund it, support businesses there. Yeah, and I guess, one of the main hang ups that I've been hearing today is about funding and I know that everything is about funding.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

PHOEBE LOPEZ: Uhm, the hang ups about what will happen in the grey period with care and if this bill

2 is passed whatever, I feel like it could very well be funded. Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

PHOEBE LOPEZ: Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for your testimony.

SIMON KOSTELANETZ: My name is Simon Kostelanetz, and I am here to testify in support of Intro. 0175.

I have many family members who are in the field of health care, and I've seen the toll that 24-hour shifts has had on them. They don't sleep and this nearly costs them their lives.

Due to having to drive after sleepless nights, my own mother, an immigrant woman and health care worker has gotten into car accidents, during which the vehicle she has been driving gotten totaled and she is lucky to be alive today.

I had a longer testimony but I'm going to supplement that with the testimony of Lucy Streya(SP?) who is not able to be here today because she had to leave.

So, my name is Lucy Streya. I worked for 11 years, 24-hour shifts, four days a week. I am a member of 1199. I want the City Council to pass this

bill to end 24-hour shifts. I couldn't sleep when I worked 24 hours. Maybe only two hours because I had to give them medicine or cook at midnight for the patient or because I had to watch my patient all night. 24 hours effects my health. I have an abdominal hernia due to the effort of moving my patient and I was operated on two times.

But when my son's wife died, I couldn't go to the funeral because there was no replacement. When my son had surgery, I couldn't go to be with him. When my other son vomited blood, I had to wait three days for a replacement to go to him. When my daughter had two C-sections, I couldn't be with her. They never sent someone to replace me.

I would like them to divide the 24-hour shifts, so that those who work now 24 hours don't suffer what I suffered. Also, it is important that they do not work more than 50 hours per week for their health. It must be 12 for four days and no more. If they divide the 24 hours, the patients are going to have better care because the care givers could sleep.

How many women have died without justice? I could be one. I could imagine that I would die

2.3

working, so please pass this law and end the 24-hour

3 work day. Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

JOSEPH JUNG: Hello. Good evening, my name is Joseph Jung, and I am here to testify in support of Intro. 0175.

Over the past two years, I have met dozens if not hundreds of immigrant home care workers, many who have shared with me their experience of working 24-hour shifts. These shifts have inflicted irreversible tolls on their bodies, their spirits and on their relationships with their loved ones, as you have heard. These immigrant women who are older than my own mother have been made to sacrifice their health and dignity to financially provide for families they hardly ever get to see.

I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: It's okay, take your time.

JOSEPH JUNG: The most heartbreaking is the fact that the brutality of these shifts has been no secret. Workers have filed hundreds if not thousands of wage and hour violation claims with the state

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

government, protested state agencies, their employers, and even their own union, which included in workers contracts and mandatory arbitration clause and all of the pressure they were applying.

Through organizing and demonstrating alongside workers, I've met over one-dozen SEIU members who have never even heard of the 24-hour shift and were shocked to hear that their own union was not fighting tooth and nail to end it immediately. These workers have been fighting for over seven years and in this time period, many have suffered irreparable injuries. These workers lose sleep for days on end and I once spoke with a worker who was in their patients home for nearly two weeks because the agency would not send a replacement worker.

This bill is the first legislative effort advanced by the government body to meaningfully tackle this issue. I have multiple active health care professionals in my family. When they heard that workers were working 24-hour work days, they were shocked beyond belief. 12 hours they say is already incredibly difficult. They are not scheduled to work beyond 12 hours a shift, 80 hours across two

the patients that they serve.

weeks because it is dangerous to their health and to

•

If an upward bound of 12 hours makes sense for doctors and nurses, it makes sense for home care workers. Please pass this bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

AUDREY HEWEY: Hello, my name is Audrey

Hewey(SP?), and I am a college student and resident

of City Council District 1. In the past several

months, I have talked to hundreds of people while

tabling and petitioning to end the 24-hour work day.

I found that people are very easily drawn to our

table because they are in complete disbelieve that a

24-hour work day exists.

Sometimes people wouldn't believe that this is happening in the U.S. in New York City. People often had the same chain of reactions that I did when I first found out about the 24-hour work day. Firstly, they couldn't believe that not only is the 24 shift entirely legal but that workers are only paid for 13 of those 24 hours. Despite the reality that they are working for the entire shift and not receiving the breaks they are legally entitled to. While it is illegal for agencies to not pay their workers for

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I urge you to end the racist violence of the 24hour work day by supporting Intro. 0175.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS those hours, such practice is implicitly sanctioned as legislators ignore it.

Secondly, people couldn't believe that it was the first time they had heard of this issue, as it had been going on for so many years, completely invisible to them. The 24-hour work day is invisible violence. As we have heard today, current and former attendants have reported long standing, debilitating physical and mental health issues. This treatment is essentially slow murder or death with a clear perpetrator. How could this not be considered violence.

The overwhelming majority of home care attendants are immigrant women of color. Treatment of these women is disposable, devalued and subhuman, extends to and sets a precedent for violence and public acts of hate on the streets. This painful and horrific organization such as CPC, an act that racist violence towards their workforce. While simultaneously publicly advocating to stop Asian hate. This is a life-or-death issue. How can we allow this treatment to continue any longer?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. That concludes this panel. Thank you for your patience and for testifying tonight.

We're coming up on our last panel. We will call Kathy Lu, Zeke Lugar, Jihye Song, JoAnn Lum, Kiran Chongry and Sarah On(SP?).

KATHY LU: Hello, my name is Kathy Lu, I am a Rank-and-File Member of the Union DC37. I am very disappointed to see my union being against the No More 24 Act. For the good of all union members and all workers across trades, we should set reasonable limits on working hours like unions fought for in the 20th Century.

We need to protect our work, our health as workers and make sure we can have lives outside of work. The 24-hour work day for home care workers is an especially egregious case of overwork that is racist and violent. 24-hour work days for home care workers are prevalent only in New York City where the home care workers are immigrant women. Whereas, elsewhere in New York State, patients get 24-hour care from multiple workers in split shifts. 24-hour work days continue in New York City because home care agencies are getting away with breaking labor laws to

_

_ 1

pay the immigrant women workers only 13 hours of wages per 24-hour shift, stealing almost half of their wages.

The State Court of Appeals, the highest court in New York State ruled in 2019, that if workers don't get five hours of continuous sleep at night, home care agencies are obligated to pay all 24 hours of wages. As you have heard over and over today, 24-hour work days, caring for patients who need constant physical and emotional attention without any sleep, have completely destroyed women's health.

Meanwhile, patients also suffer because their caregiver is suffering and exhausted. We have heard today that workers are forced into 24-hour shifts, not assigned other work unless they refuse the 24-hour shifts. We have also heard patients are afraid of being sent to nursing homes unless their home care workers are forced into 24-hour shifts for 13 hour pay. Those patients deserve real 24-hour care.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

KATHY LU: No one benefits from this 24-hour work day system except home care agencies and insurance companies, many of whom you heard testify against the bill today.

As the first majority woman City Council, please do not allow this racist, sexist systems to continue. Killing women of color and hurting their patients, just so home care agencies and insurance companies can continue to profit. Please pass Intro. 0175 and end this violence now.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

ZEKE LUGAR: Hi, my name is Zeke Lugar. I live in Queens in District 29. My bubby, my grandmother has needed 24-hour care for the last eight years. At an early stage of her dementia, my bubby began to lose her balance. At first, she tried to live her life as she used to. She tried dressing herself, walking downstairs and going to the bathroom on her own but she risked injury every time, especially at night.

She experienced several nearly fatal falls and would have had many more if it weren't for two dedicated and caring home attendants who provide her with around the clock care. I saved my bubby's life and I help her to live with dignity every day.

When I go over to my bubby's I see firsthand, not just how physically and emotionally demanding it is for the home attendants to help her move around the

2.3

apartment and be constantly alert to her every move and need, but I also see how deep the bond is between my bubby and the workers who care for her. I see first hand how her mood is always a reflection of that of the workers and how the quality of her care is a reflection of the conditions her home attendants work under.

There's been a lot of rhetoric going around about how patients will be impacted by this bill, but I can tell you first hand that ending the 24-hour work day is the only way that we can fundamentally change the conditions in our home care system for the patients, the workers, and for our families.

Ending the 24-work day is the only way we can restore a sense of common humanity and dignity in our work, in our care, and in our lives. I hope that Council Member Lynn Schulman and members of the City Council, please vote yes on Intro. 0175. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

JIHYE SONG: My name is Jihye Song, I live in

Harlem, and I am a member of the National

Mobilization Against Sweatshops. I have met hundreds

of home attendants who have worked 24-hour shifts.

All of them have been immigrants, and nearly all of them have been women of color.

All of them have been deeply harmed by working 24-hour shifts. They can't sleep, they have chronic pain, they need canes to walk, even women as young as 40 because of injuries sustained through working 24-hour shifts. They suffer from depression, they have miscarried, their children are strangers to them.

They have spent so many years isolated in their patient's home, that they literally cannot go in public without experiencing panic attacks. They have died as a result of poor health caused by these shifts.

They end up needing the same kind of care they once provided. Many home attendants spoke today, but I want to emphasize that their stories are only one small fraction of the total pain that these 24-hour shifts have caused. I'm sorry, I'm tearing up because I have literally listened to hundreds of women tell you how terrible these shifts have been to them, and it is indescribable. Hold on.

Those opposing this bill will claim that there is a labor shortage and that there is simply not enough home attendants to split the shifts. But this so-

to maintain the 24-hour work day.

a

2.0

2.3

As many home attendants have said and as I hope you will read in their testimony, when they say they don't want to work 24 hours anymore, they are assigned too few hours. It's either four hours or 24 hours. Sometimes they are fired on the spot.

called shortage is manufactured by home care agencies

On top of that, there are countless home attendants who are forced to retire early because of their injuries who leave the industry because they are so traumatized from working in the home care industry doing 24-hour shifts and who end up becoming the same patients who need this kind of care.

Without a doubt, if you split the shifts, there would be more home attendants who would want to work them.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

JIHYE SONG: If they were a reasonable hour and time, more home attendants would want to work them.

There is no shortage of home attendants. There would be no shortage if there were no 24-hour shifts and I want to say it's maintained because the home care agencies and health insurance companies make more money when they make one worker do the job of two.

It is the definition of racism to exploit thousands

2.3

of immigrant women of color, sending them to an early grave. Also, a select few can get rich. So, please Council Member De La Rosa and Council Member Marte, thank you for staying with us. And the 24-hour work day is disgrace to New York City. It is perhaps the most racist and sexist labor practice in existence in modern America and we need to pass this bill today to end it once and for all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Okay, thank you.

JOANN LUM: My name is JoAnn Lum, and I am an Organizer with the National Mobilization Against Sweatshops. The worker center that Jihye just spoke of.

As Jihye mentioned, we have seen so many home care workers, especially since 2015 and they all say the same thing. Whether they work in unionized, non-unionized agencies, the conditions are as you have heard today. To 24 hours and the long hours of over 50, 60, 70 hours a week, it's really killing women.

Many, we've lost maybe one dozen, 15 home care workers during COVID because their health had been compromised already because of these 24-hour shifts.

We've had people who have died early because the toll

have shortened their lives.

_

_

This is also not — it has inhibited their ability to provide the kind of quality care that they would like to provide. So, how can we justify continuing 24-hour shifts, depriving, denying disabled elderly people the kind of care that they should have?

that these 24-hour shifts have taken upon them really

In this city, New York that prides itself on being progressive and forward looking, not like those backward red states, how is it that we allow home care workers, primarily women of color and immigrants to be treated like garbage? As if their lives don't matter. This is blatantly and several people have spoken to this, racist and sexist. It's really a form of violence. No wonder so many workers leave this industry.

A century and a half ago -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

JOANN LUM: I'll just finish with this, workers and labor unions around the country took to the streets a century and a half ago to demand the eighthour day. The idea was eight hours for work, eight hours to rest, eight hours for what we will. And what happened? Why now are we faced with this 24-

hour shift and why is it that there are unions like

2.3

application.

1199 and other advocates that are defending the 24-

hour work day, defending the right to work more than

5 | 50 hours. This is unconscionable.

And then, if they care so much about the wages, why do they encourage wage theft? Why do they celebrate an award of .3 percent of what the workers are owed for the 11 hours of stolen from them. This is shameful.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

JOANN LUM: I call on the City Council to pass this law, 0175.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

KIRAN CHONGRY: I'm Kiran Chongry(SP?), I am reading the testimony of Lois Gonzales(SP?), who was here earlier today and needed to leave. Lois Gonzales says, I urge the City Council to pass Intro. 0175 to end the 24-hour work day. She says, I worked as a home care worker from 2003 to 2019, all those years with 24-hour shifts, three days a week. I did it because it was what they offered. When you go to apply, they ask you, "will you work 24 hours?" If you don't say yes, they don't give you an

SERGEANT

New Year was by phone.

It's a lie that we do 24-hour shifts because we like it. We do it out of necessity. I had to work because I was a single mother with two kids. I didn't like it. When I said, I don't want 24-hour cases, the agency said, "we'll call you."

I waited four weeks for their call and finally, I had to take whatever they gave me. Uh, whatever they gave me for emergency situations. Nothing regular, just emergency replacements, nothing permanent. I wanted eight- or ten-hour shifts. The more hours that you work, the less money you take home.

24 hours wasn't good for the patients either.

The agency would say to us, tell the patient to go to bed at nine o'clock because you're not going to be paid for the night. But you need to take care of your patient. For three years I punched in that I couldn't sleep at night because I had to help the patient. Still, I never got a penny for the night.

When I worked 24-hour shifts, I had to leave my child alone and check in on her constantly by phone. "Are you home?"

I never had a Thanksgiving with my kids. Happy

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

2.3

At that time, all that time endures when you can't go out. I developed a phobia to go out. I felt nervous and strained with people around me on the train. Getting rid of the 24-hour shift is better for us and better for our patients. We need to be at home to resolve our problems in our families instead of being stuck inside all the time with our problems. I urge the City Council to pass Intro. 0175.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

SARAH ON: Hi, I'm Sarah On. Hello everyone, really thank you for sticking it out so long. I'm here to testify in support of 0175. Before talking about why this bill is so crucial to the many home attendants working 24 hours in the city, I would like to talk about why this bill is so crucial to patients and families of patients.

My 97-year-old grandma has received home care services for almost ten years, when her dementia first started to become too much for my Aunt, her primary care giver to handle. Having to watch a person nonstop day and night was taking an immense toll on my aunt's mental and physical health. She

felt chained to my grandmother and herself sank into a deep depression.

Every step of the way we had to fight insurance companies for hours, as she now receives 12 hours a day while my aunt cares for her at night. We have had home attendants leave for various reasons and each time we ask other home attendants to take up the missing days and they would all refuse.

The home care worker who has been with my grandma the longest does four days taking care of her. She has said that taking care of a patient with severe dementia is more tasking than any other job she's had before. She has become like family. She would often go over and beyond her duties but when we asked her to take on a fifth day, she flatly refused.

Seeing her and my aunt caring for my grandma, I see that home care work is very special and those who do this work need special protections. We will all need a care taker one day. Do we want to be cared for by exhausted workers at their wits end, dying inside or do we want them to be rested? Know that society values and respects the incredibly hard work that they do. We do this by-passing Intro. 0175 by

2 putting the cap on 12 hours a day and 50 hours a week.

For the hundreds of immigrant women, like many of the young people you heard today that I have met with and that have shared their story with me, it has been the same story after story about the deep sadness of not being able to get away from work to care for their own dying mothers. About spinal injuries that leave them suffering long after supposedly healed.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

SARAH ON: Why they suffer while cleaning urine and feces. About the injustice and anger they feel that they tell their supervisors that they cannot sleep time and time again and they are told there is nothing they can do. If you don't like it then leave, they say.

Or sometimes they beg them to stay on because if they don't their patient will be sent to a nursing home. This is a trap that home attendants face.

I'll stop here. I urge you to take a stand. City

Council must be more progressive than the state and right the wrong that the state failed to address.

Pass Intro. 0175. Women of color are not trash. To

2.3

be used up and discarded. Let's end this racist and sexist practice that plagues the city. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for testifying. That concludes this panel. I thank you for your patience and for sticking around this long.

I want to do a last call for any panelists that might have been overlooked mistakenly. Last call, any panelists? Did we miss anyone?

With none coming forward, I want to take time — oh, there's one more, okay. Go ahead please. Sit down, introduce yourself and there's one more person up there. Please come downstairs.

[INAUDIBLE 7:37:40]: Hello, my name is

[INAUDIBLE 7:37:40]. I'm a staff at Chinese Staff and Workers Association and I am also speaking you know for myself, and I just want to point out three points that has been mentioned in this panel, but I believe have been neglected somehow.

First of all, if you look at here, we still have many home attendants still sitting here after eight hours. Because it's very simple, because it's life and death issue. You know and I think many of the opponents will try to pit the workers against the

2.3

,

2.3

_ _

patient, try to let you ignore one fact, is that these workers are also patients.

They work these long hours. They already hurt their bodies and they will be taken care of by home attendants one day. And simply put, they don't want the home attendant to take care of them, work 24-hour work days. So, this bill is actually also for the patient. Workers becoming patient. So, we shouldn't let the city and the state government continue to create more. You know patients through these inhumane work days.

The second part I want to point out is actually from a patient who spoke earlier, who said that he used to have split shifts and then HR threatens that if you don't get one shift, then you get sent to a nursing home and as we all know, one shift means 13 hours instead of being paid 24 hours and we all know that where these 11 hours go.

This is a practice simply very clearly benefiting the insurance company and the home care agencies.

So, their story actually speak to that fact. So, that should not be ignored. And third, I want to speak as a former student of labor studies, that a century ago, we have an eight-hour work day and now

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

we have 24-hour work days. You know, we're regressing. It's shameful as New York City to have a 24-hour work day.

So, I urge the City Council to do the right thing to stop this violence today by supporting passing the Intro. 0175 to end the 24-hour work day and cap the hours to 50 hours per week. Thank you.

YANINE PENYA: Hello, my name is Yanine Penya(SP?). I'm here to read testimony on behalf of a home care worker Belki Si Dabruno(SP?) who has come to the worker center where I organize National Mobilization Against Sweatshops.

My name is Belki Si Dabruno, I am from Dominican Republic. I've been in the United States for 13 years and have been a home care workers for 13. I came to this country not knowing the language. was the only job that I could find. I've had many health problems since being forced to work 24 hours. My health has declined significantly. I have joint pain, bone pain. I can't stand up straight without pain. I have mental health issues. My memory has declined, and I cannot retain information. I have chronic anemia. I sleep very few hours. I don't eat well. I have not been able to eat well because of

work. I don't take time off. I'm always working and have no recovery time. I do get vacation time, but I do not take advantage of it because I need the money.

I always take the money at the end of the year

6 instead of taking vacation.

2.3

My husband is sick, and I am not able to work.

Since I have been working 24-hour shifts, I haven't been able to take care of him and his health has declined even more. I get no help from the government to care for him. I have done this work out of love for my husband, out of necessity because he can't work.

This work has done irreparable harm to my life, physically, mentally and spiritually. I have never been able to return to my home country. Being paid for only 13 hours robbed me of half my life. I cannot afford to retire. I'm getting older now. I have really struggled materially and can't stop working. My time has been stolen from me. I retired two months ago, but I'm still working because the retirement pays so low. I receive \$1,050 from Social Security and get \$52 from 1199. Meanwhile, my condition has deterred significantly. They treat me like a puppet. I feel like the city and the union

are on the side of the agencies and not on my side.

Will the City Council stand up with home attendants and pass Intro. 0175 to end the 24-hour work day?

5 Tell us.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

Carlos Rivera: Hello everyone. My name is

Carlos Rivera(SP?), I'm a resident worker. Resident

workers, we've been very — I'm together with a home

attendant because resident workers, we work 12 hours,

14 hours, 16 hours. But home attendants work 24

hours, and they part of the union, the biggest union

in New York City. They say they protect the workers

but that's not really protecting the worker. They're

killing the workers.

So, I'm very short to say this, it's very simple. I'm here to support the Intro. 0175 and no more 24 hours.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much. So, just to clarify. The last call is for folks who have not testified either virtually or in person. Anyone else left to testify?

I want to remind folks that everyone can submit written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov up to 72 hours after the conclusion of today's hearing.

2.3

Council Member Marte, you have something you want to say?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I want to say, thank you to all the Sergeant at Arms, all the staff, Chair De La Rosa for sticking it out and also the Committee staff, Nick and others for putting the time and effort and energy to make sure that these people, these stakeholders, these activists have a voice. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you Council Member Marte. I also want to thank the staff, the Sergeant at Arms, the interpreters, all of the folks who stood here so that everyone's voices could be heard. I also want to thank Council Member Marte for passionately defending his values and his community. As he said in the beginning, right, this is deeply personal for both of us. Both of our mothers were home health aides, immigrant women of color who came to this country so that we could stand here and represent our communities on their behalf.

And so, today, was the beginning of a conversation here at the City Council and we look forward to righting some wrongs that have historically been done across our communities and we

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 310 |
|----|---|
| 2 | thank you all for the opportunity to hear your voices |
| 3 | on both sides of the argument because they help to |
| 4 | formulate our plans going forward. |
| 5 | So, we thank you. And with that, we want to |
| 6 | adjourn tonight's hearing. Get home safe everyone. |
| 7 | [GAVEL] |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 28, 2022