CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

September 7, 2022 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 4:09 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Erik D. Bottcher
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Farah N. Louis
Francisco P. Moya
Lynn C. Schulman
Gale A. Brewer
Marjorie Velázquez

APPEARANCES

Eric Palatnik Mark Greenberg Aaron Jones Jaclyn Scarinci Vanessa Gibson Joann Andersen Lynn Koester Frank Vernuccio Marco Marciano Peter Zuccarello Matthew Mahoney Donald Manning Stephen Raida Sanford Hales Denise Dantzler Ann Baller Denise Casillici Oba Watson Charlene Jackson Mendez Anthony Francisco Michelle Torriani Tommy Messina Moses Gates Sinclair Hollingsworth Peter Bivona Brendon Cheney Mary Jane Musano Andrew Chirico George Havranek John Sorini Janine Franciosa Aden Munassar Roxanne Delgado Austin Celestin Logan Phares Joseph Russo John Cornille Sherill Cropper John Cortese

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Harry Celentano Maricella Simon Canida Santiago Nelson Velazquez John Tritt Christine Culpepper Jamie Debellis Alfred Bastone Oona Adams William Bollinger Angel Diaz Michael Cass Ankar Dalal Frank Balaqua Tafadar Sourov Carole DeChiara Teresa Hernandez Catherine Donnelly Jonathan Beuttler Marc Greenberg Polina Bakhteiarov Patrick McManus Salvatore Franchino Jesse Lang Steven Morales John Olivo Carol O'Malley Peter Mousseau Charles Palumbo Yvonne Phillips Joseph Baney Eddie Santiago Rosalie Oddo Debra Taska Denice Szekely Kevin Deloya Josh Goodman John Dope Laurie Rinaldi Sameera Balanka Marianne Hughes

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED: This is a soundcheck for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. Today's date is September 7, 2022, being recorded by Danny Huang (phonetic) at the Chambers.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to today's New York Council meeting on Zoning and Franchises.

If you wish to submit testimony, you may at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

At this time, please silence all electronic devices, and thank you for your cooperation.

Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Good morning, and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee of Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee. This morning, I am joined by Council Member Farah Louis, Council Member Erik Bottcher, Council Member Kamillah Hanks, Council Member David Carr, and Council Member Marjorie Velázquez.

Today, we will vote on the rezoning proposal for 1959 Strang Avenue in my district in the Bronx and 231-06 Northern Boulevard that was heard by

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES the Subcommittee on July 28th and the Halletts North

3 which was heard by the Subcommittee on July 28th that

5

4 we will also hear from today.

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

We will also hold public hearing for rezoning proposals in the 2080 McDonald Avenue in Brooklyn and for the Bruckner Site rezoning in the Bronx.

Before we begin, I recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to review the hearing procedures.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Chair Riley. I am Angelina Martinez-Rubio, Counsel to the Subcommittee.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format. Members of the Subcommittee are required to meet in person. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or via Zoom.

Members of the public wishing to testify remotely were asked to register for today's hearings. If you wish to testify and have not already registered, please do so now by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up, or, for those of you here in the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 Chambers, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to 3 prepare and submit a Speaker Card.

Members of the public may also view a livestream of this meeting at the Council's website. If you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

When called to testify, individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Applicant teams will be recognized as a group and called first followed by members of the public. When the Chair or I recognize you, if you are joining us remotely, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

Public testimony will be limited to 2 minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee, you may email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU number and/or project name in the subject line of your email.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Witnesses joining us remotely are requested to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions for you.

Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this hybrid meeting for various technical reasons, and we ask that you please be patient with us as we work through any issues.

Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. To begin today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on LUs items 94, 95, and 96 relating to the Halletts North redevelopment proposal in Council Member Caban's district in Queens which also includes a zoning map amendment and zoning text amendment which were previously heard under the Preconsidered LUs numbers 90 and 91. I'll note that in conjunction with those related actions, this Subcommittee held a public hearing at our July 28th meeting and took comprehensive testimony concerning the anticipated development under the proposal in its entirety. The three items we will hear today are a site map amendment to de-map portions of 3rd Street between

96, which are part of the Halletts North

25

2 redevelopment proposal, the public hearing is now closed.

2.2

2.3

At this time, we will move on to our votes. Today, we will vote to approve Preconsidered LU 88 related to the 1959 Strang Avenue rezoning proposal in my district. The proposal would map a C2-3 overlay within an existing R4 district. I am in full support of this proposal.

We will also vote to approve with modifications Preconsidered LU 89 related to the 231-06 Northern Boulevard proposal in Council Member Paladino's district in Queens. The proposal will map a C2-2 overlay within an existing R1-2 zoning district to facilitate the proposed expansion of an existing restaurant. The Council's modifications will relocate the proposed overlay boundary to avoid the potential displacement of existing businesses in the proposed rezoning area. Council Member Paladino is in support of this proposal as modified.

Finally, we will also vote to approve with modifications Preconsidered LUs, quiet in the Chambers please.

Finally, we will also vote to approve with modifications Preconsidered LUs 90 and 91 and

LUs 94, 95, and 96, all relating to the Halletts North rezoning proposal in Council Member Caban's district in Queens. The proposal seeks a zoning map amendment to change an existing M1-1 zoning district to an R7-3/C2-4 zoning district, a related zoning text amendment to establish an MIH program area utilizing Option 1, a city map amendment to de-map portions of 3rd Street between 26th Avenue and the waterfront, a zoning special permit to modify various bulk regulations, and a waterfront authorization to modify various design requirements relating to waterfront public access areas and upland connections, all to facilitate a mixed-use development with 1,340 units of housing, approximately 335 of which would be permanently affordable and approximately one acre of open space including a waterfront esplanade. The Council modification will be to add the deep affordability options as well as to update certain open space design aspects in an effort to improve overall public access. Council Member Caban is in support of this proposal as modified and has asked me to read this statement on her behalf.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and community leaders who have participated in years of scoping sessions and public meetings around this project. Since well before I was elected to this Body, I have been and will continue to be a major supporter of social housing and a strong critic of using for-profit developer driven MIH rezoning as our only affordable housing creation strategy. Having said that, it is clear that some MIH rezonings are better than others and given the affordability and community benefits adjustments the applicant has made along the way I am pleased to see this process progress to the next stage. Next week, I will detail at length how I came to support this project, how I will be approaching Land Use decisions going forward, and what policies the Council can pass to move us closer to a city that treats housing as a human right, not a wealth acquisition scheme for the ownership class."

"I want to thank the many constituents

Are there any Council Members with any questions or remarks at this time?

With none being said, I will now call for a vote to approve LUs 88 for the 1959 Strang Avenue rezoning proposal, to approve with modifications LU

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 12
2	89 for the 231-06 Northern Boulevard rezoning
3	proposal, and Preconsidered LUs 90 and 91 and LUs 94,
4	95, and 96 for the Halletts North redevelopment
5	proposal. Counsel, can you please call the roll?
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair
7	Riley.
8	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Aye.
9	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Council
10	Member Louis.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Aye.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Council
13	Member Abreu.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Aye.
15	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Council
16	Member Bottcher.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Aye.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Council
19	Member Hanks.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Aye.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Council
22	Member Carr.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Aye.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The
25	vote currently stands at 6 in the affirmative, no

prepare and submit a Speaker Card.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The panel for this item includes Eric Palatnik.

4 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Eric,
5 can you raise your right hand?

administer the affirmation.

2.2

2.3

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in your answers to all Council Member questions?

ERIC PALATNIK: I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now the applicant team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you to please restate your name and organization for the record.

You may begin.

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you very much,

Chair. My name is Eric Palatnik. I represent 2080

McDonald Avenue, and I'm here today to speak on

behalf of a rezoning to rezone the property, as the

Chair just mentioned, from a M1 zone to a C4-4L. I

don't know if you have the presentation electronic

that could be pulled up on screen or not, but, while

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 it's coming up, I'll start talking about it a little
3 bit.

At the outset, I'd like to say we're very excited to be here to help create housing in New York City. We are proposing to create 66 units in this development in an 8-story mixed-use development that will have ground floor retail and it will have parking at the cellar level. The project has been well-supported both by Community Board 11 as well as Council Member Kalman Yeger as well as by Council Member Kagan. We've spoken with everybody, and we've presented to them as well as to the Borough President. We're also happy to say that we're here today with the support of 32BJ, and I believe they'll be making a couple of comments in support of the application as well. We've entered into an agreement with them where they'll be providing building maintenance services when the building is constructed.

The property, you can see here, I'll leave it on the screen, it pretty much tells the whole story. You're on McDonald Avenue, almost in Coney Island, you're at Avenue S. This stretch of McDonald Avenue, most of McDonald Avenue, is a little

2 bit left to be desired. It's former commercial 3 establishments, mostly furniture stores and wholesale 4 establishments. This particular site was the United Cerebral Palsy Headquarters for many years. Now it's being used by a Yeshiva and the owner is letting the 6 7 Yeshiva operate there at a much-discounted rate so we 8 are not displacing anybody through the application process. We are located right next to the Avenue S subway station so we're transit oriented. The 10 11 application, as you can see here, is a mixed-use type 12 of building, the building itself. The bottom picture 13 you see there with the green awning, that's a 14 proposed daycare center. That'll be on Lake Street. 15 You'll also notice that side of the development is 16 much shorter than the other side. The back side, 17 that's taller, is on McDonald Avenue. The side closer 18 to you on Lake Street is a smaller, low-scale 19 residential district. We worked very closely with the 20 community to develop that side of the block at their request with both the density that's proposed there, 21 2.2 you can see the building that's there, the location 2.3 of the daycare with the green awning on Lake Street. That was also done with the input of the community. 24 That is also the residential entrance for the lobby 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as well as for the parking. It was very important to Community Board 11 and to the Councilmen and to Lake Street that there be no commercial activity on Lake Street whatsoever so although the building has ground floor commercial, all that ground floor commercial is situated on the top slide that you can see there where you see Avenue S, you see some glass at the bottom, and along McDonald Avenue, that's the elevated subway train. The other thing for you to take note of, which is pretty cool I think if you're in zoning and land use in New York City, is that we're proposing a C4-4L district. I doubt many of you have ever seen it before. There's just a few of them on McDonald Avenue. They've been created by City Planning to accommodate the elevated train so what they do is it allows for setbacks to occur. As you can see here above the 5th floor whereas otherwise you would've had to wait for the 8th floor to have a setback. By doing that, it creates the opportunity for the building to setback from the train. Also the technology that's been put in place for the windows and the walls and sound attenuation has all be done to accommodate the train so there will be no impact to any residents within the building. The building is

2 proposed to be operated as an MIH building. There'll

3 be 66 units, 22 of those will be affordable, and I

4 | would be remiss if I did not call to your attention

5 | that it is proposed to be Option Level 2. That was

6 put in place after extensive discussions with the

7 Community Board, with the Council Member, and

8 | everybody agreed that Option Level 2 would be

9 suitable. I call that to your attention because I do

10 recognize and don't want to disrespect the Council's

11 pushing towards Option Level 1 in current rezoning so

12 | I call that to your attention specifically.

13 That's essentially the application.

14 | That's everything there is to it. I'd be happy to

15 spend more time going into further detail if you

16 wanted me to go into any specific slide or show you

17 | anything, but I didn't want to waste your time unless

18 ∥ you felt it was necessary to address it. Thank you

19 for your time.

20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. We've

21 | been joined by Council Member Moya and Council Member

22 Abreu.

23 Just a few questions. Has the applicant

24 heard any objections from the community regarding the

2.2

2.3

height of the building, and, if so, have those
conversations influenced any changes to the design?

I was mentioning before. I like to try to spend, as you all know it takes a couple years to get to this day, so I use that time to hang out with my kids and bring these things to the community so we spent 4, 5, 6 different meetings with the community so the design you see, as I mentioned before, specifically on Lake Street, is all done at the direct expression of the community and the developer is a local developer, local people, altruistic supporters of the community, and they've agreed to abide by that design so, to answer your question, yes, the design was put together by the community and that's what's going to be implemented and that's what will be built.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Have you found an operator for the proposed daycare facility?

ERIC PALATNIK: We have not found an operator yet for the daycare facility although the owner of the development is the Chehebar family who owns quite a few residential and commercial and daycare facilities around the city, and they have a couple of people that are tenants in their places

2 right now that have expressed interest. As you know,

3 Universal Pre-K as well as daycare in general is in

4 strong demand so we're confident we'll find somebody.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. My last question is what other ground floor businesses does the

7 | applicant envision locating here?

ERIC PALATNIK: Trying for one of two different things. One thing that there's been a tremendous amount of expression of requests for larger retail establishments in the area. Something like a mini-Target. I don't know if you've seen like Targets that have their scaled down sizes and things like that, that's not full-size. There's been a lot of requests that there's no services in the area, that people have to travel far for retail so we're trying to fulfill that need to create some sort of retain environment that could provide daily household goods that people don't get on the internet, that they just need to run out and get a mop, a broom, detergent, or stuff like that that they're not going to wait for Amazon to deliver. So whether it be a smaller kind of Target kind of thing that we've seen around or a Walgreens or a series of mom-and-pop

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 21 2 businesses, we have yet to see, but that's the idea 3 for it. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I now invite my Colleagues to ask any questions to this 5 applicant panel. 6 7 Okay. There being no questions for this applicant panel, you are now excused. 8 ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, are there any 10 11 members of the public who wish to testify on the 2080 McDonald Avenue proposal remotely or in-person? 12 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, 13 14 we don't have any in-person, but I hear that we have 15 Mark Greenberg remotely so can we promote him so that we can get him to testify. Mark Greenberg. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Members of the public 18 will be given two minutes to speak. Today, we have a 19 lot of speakers so I am speaking to this two-minute 20 clock so please keep your remarks to two minutes, 21 and, if you have anything else, you'll be able to present it and testify to the Council after. Thank 2.2

23

24

you.

Mark.

2.2

2.3

2 MARK GREENBERG: Yes, good morning. I'm
3 sorry. I actually registered incorrectly for the
4 McDonald Avenue project. I wanted to register for the
5 Throggs Neck rezoning so forgive me.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered LUs relating to the ULURP... Okay. Do we have Aaron Jones?

Mr. Jones, you may begin.

AARON JONES: Good morning, Chair and to the Committee Members. My name is Aaron Jones, Research Coordinator at SEIU 32BJ. I'm speaking here today on behalf of 32BJ and our 85,000 property service workers in New York City to express our support for the zoning amendments sought by Jackson Ex 2 Avenue S, LLC to enable the project located at 2080 McDonald Avenue to proceed.

32BJ supports responsible developers who invest in the communities where they build. I'm happy to report that the developers of this project have made a credible commitment to creating prevailing wage service jobs at this site. This commitment means the building's workers will have access to family-sustaining wages, retirement, and quality health

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I vote aye.

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The vote now stands at 7 in favor, no negatives, no abstentions, and we will keep the vote open.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel. Okay, to continue with today's meeting, I will now open the public hearing on the Preconsidered LUs relating to the ULURP numbers C 220007 ZMX and N 220008 ZRK relating to the Bruckner Sites rezoning proposal in Council Member Velázquez's district in the Bronx.

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing R4A/C1-2, R4A, R4-1/C2-4, and an R4-1 zoning district to an R6A/C2-4, R6A, and R5B/C2-4 zoning district and a relating zoning text amendment to map an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a Speaker Card.

I will now like to allow Council Member

Velázquez to give some remarks regarding this

4 | project. Council Member Velázquez.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Good morning,

Colleagues, and good morning to the many members of

my community that are here in person and virtually.

It's no secret that the Bronx and Throggs Neck have often been ignored and left behind by the City, whether it be city services, economic development, or quality housing options, and, yet, those who make our proud community of Throggs Neck are New York City's most essential, a community of those who have fought bravely in our country's greatest times of need, a community of public servants, union workers, and emergency heroes, and, yet, we bear the brunt of an outdate and insufficient sewer system, a lack of resiliency planning, a lack of transportation infrastructure, and a lack of adequate and truly affordable housing. A community that serves the great City of New York deserves much better. It is with this knowledge and history that I, as the elected Member of Throggs Neck, critically analyze any Land Use proposal that comes before me. For a proposal to garner my approval, it must be

2 centered on improving the lives and well-being of my

3 neighbors, and it must be done by and for my

4 community with union labor and provide affordable

5 housing for those in my community who need it so

6 desperately.

2.2

2.3

There are many families in my community that are struggling to make ends meet, to find sizeable living arrangements to accommodate their family or accessibility needs. Our neighbors must have access to the vital resources that other boroughs and have neighborhoods do, the majority of which have engaged their communities and listened to their needs with plans to deliver.

Our infrastructure is suffering, and,
while individuals move to add stress to it, we are
weakened because we are unsure of what is to come.
Will it be another sinkhole? Will the foundation be
challenged within two to five years of completion?
What will happen to the businesses that are affected
by such proposals? We must ask these questions to
ensure that we are putting our community first.

With this in mind, my approach to considering the Bruckner rezoning proposal has four key principals: robust community engagement, labor

2 and local hire, real affordability, and resiliency
3 and city services.

4 Con

2.2

2.3

Community engagement means that every project needs to be drive and vetted by true community engagement with the Community Board, neighboring residents, local businesses, institutions, and community groups. We need to create opportunities for the community to thrive from our youth to our seniors and everyone in between. It is important to hear all voices from many different perspectives, and we hope that this hearing can serve as a space for productive discourse.

Labor and local hire. This means that

Council District 13 is made up of thousands of hardworking union workers, first responders, and

veterans. Union workers build and uplift our city and
are the backbone of our community. Any project

proposed must have the support of and utilize union

labor. We expect union labor to be used to build and
operate here in District 13. Every project should tap
into this local workforce to build and operate in

this community. There are people struggling here, and
development needs to be for the people and by the
people, and I know recently some unions have

2.2

2.3

partners.

expressed their support for this project. However, I have yet to receive any written confirmation of any union participation on this project indicating support. What is needed are specifics of how this project will incorporate unions during this construction and how unions will be long-term

Additionally, it is vital that any potential homes that are built in my community are directly available to the people in my District.

Affordability means that our community is losing opportunities for affordable homeownership, and our neighbors are increasingly rent-burdened. Almost 50 percent of rental households are rent-burdened, and our population of homeowners has been declining for years. We need options for our hard-working families and senior to find housing that is accessible, affordable, and stable. Any project coming forward needs to address this issue by facilitating truly affordable housing options.

Next, resiliency and city services. As our city and neighborhood grows, city investments in infrastructure must keep up. This includes improvements to transit, resiliency, and school

2 overcrowding. If we are to be a city of yes, then we

3 must be able to answer yes to the future generations

4 | who will ask did my community and city work together

5 for my success. The Throggs Neck community is a

6 transit desert. The location of the proposed rezoning

is about a mile from the nearest (INAUDIBLE). It is

8 congested.

There's no secret that this proposal has generated tremendous passions on both sides, and at times passions have led to threats of violence and more. It is my hope that today, and I expect that everyone during today's hearing will conduct themselves with respect. It is who we are as Throggs Neck community because we are stronger together, and I have many questions for the applicant team, and I hope to hear thorough answers.

Thank you, and I will turn it back to Chair Riley.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Velázquez.

Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

Zoning and Franchises Subcommittee. My name is Jaclyn

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Scarinci of Akerman, LLP, and I'm joined today by Sam
LaMontanaro of Aufgang, the project's engineer, on
behalf of Throggs Neck Associates, LLC.

As this Subcommittee is well-aware, all of New York City is facing an affordable housing crisis, but we are here to remind each of you that locally members of Throggs Neck are not immune to this need, as Council Member Velázquez just stated.

We are proposing an investment in this community with the Bruckner Sites rezoning that provides a real opportunity to address some of the challenges that Throggs Neck faces including food insecurity, rising cost of living, and small businesses struggling to stay open. The Bruckner Sites rezoning aims to turn two long-vacant lots into senior affordable housing and veteran's housing and also provides new housing for families that will allow long-time residents to stay within Throggs Neck. Additionally, it presents a new opportunity for two of the sites to redevelop for a new and upgraded and modernized Super Foodtown and also for a new home for Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club which has been in the Throggs Neck community for a long time and serves the youth of the community.

J

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Sorry,

Jackie, to interrupt, but I just wanted to make you

aware your presentation has been loaded so if you

need somebody to keep going through the slides, just

let them know so the viewing public can see that.

JACLYN SCARINCI: Thank you. It is important that this Council balance the NIMBY opposition citing to infrastructure concerns and keeping their low-density character on a major commercial highway with the real needs for housing and services of the Throggs Neck community, the Bronx, and the city as a whole as our proposal will speak to today. Next slide, please.

The proposal applies to four block fronts along the Bruckner on the fringe of a lower-density residential neighborhood. The proposal aims to both respect and protect the lower-density character north of Bruckner Boulevard by the zoning requiring a mandatory stepdown provision in height of 45 feet within 25 feet of the existing R4 zoning districts. The project area is just north of the Throggs Neck BID and is characterized more by its commercial activity as it fronts on the Bruckner Expressway and

2.2

2.3

East Tremont Avenue, two zoning wide streets that can support additional modest growth. Next slide, please.

The proposal includes four new developments phased over time that will bring a total of 349 units with nearly half of them, 168, incomerestricted housing units, 99 permanently affordable housing units pursuant to the MIH program, and 22 units of housing for veterans, a youth recreation center, and a Super Foodtown. Next slide, please.

I show this slide so that the
Subcommittee sees what the proposal is. It is not
monstrous skyscrapers in the middle of a low-density
residential neighborhood. It is a medium-density
district with three-story, a five-story, and two
eight-story buildings along a major commercial
arterial highway. The Throggs Neck rezoning in 2004
changed R4 zoning districts to lower density or
contextual districts and added Bronx Community
District 10 to the lower-density growth management
area. You will hear many members probably testify
today that they want to keep the lower-density growth
management area. This proposal does not change the
lower-density growth management area. The lowerdensity growth management area is intended to have a

2.2

2.3

better design by providing adequate parking and
 maintaining yards and open space. Next slide, please.

The applicant is requesting three zoning and land use actions, a rezoning from the R4-1 and R4A zoning districts to R6A and R5B/C2-4 zoning districts, a zoning text amendment to designate the project area a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area, and a related action to eliminate a portion of Meyers Street that is comprised of current lot 35 and development site B. Next slide, please.

The Throggs Neck down-zoning that occurred 20 years ago has led to exclusionary zoning practices. District 13 is among the lowest producers of affordable housing, producing just 58 affordable housing units in the past decades when the citywide average is close to 1,300 units. Next slide, please.

40.2 percent of renter households in Throggs Neck are rent-burdened, meaning they spend more than 35 percent of their income on rent. The population growth in Throggs Neck is at 8.6 percent, nearly double what the housing production has been during this past decade. The overall rental vacancy rate in Throggs Neck Co-op City is 2.4 percent, extremely low. The project proposes 99 permanently

2 affordable housing units in compliance with MIH

3 Option 2 where rents range from 60 percent AMI up to

4 | 100 percent AMI and average at 80 percent AMI. Next

5 | slide, please.

2.2

2.3

Why does density matter? From a planning perspective, it is a predictor for proximity to close supermarkets, it ensures resiliency and new sustainable development measures, infrastructure investments, attracts new investment and better retail. Current population density in Throggs Neck is 31.6 people per acre, significantly lower than the NYC average of 45.8, making it difficult to attract and retain retail businesses. Residential density and community benefits such as the Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club cannot be supported without additional residential density within the project. Next slide, please.

This map is to show the current supermarket locations within Throggs Neck within a mile radius and demonstrates that the current Super Foodtown that is located in what is called Site A in the rezoning area, if it left, there's only one other major supermarket within the area, leaving this area to be at risk for food insecurity. Super Foodtown of

Throggs Neck currently services about an average of

1,800 customers per day, and it also has 85

supermarket employee jobs that the operators are

committed to keeping as part of the project. Next

6 | slide, please.

2.2

2.3

Just to give you an overview of Site A, it is approximately 150,000 square feet, and it proposes bringing back the Super Foodtown while also providing 126 units of housing with 38 MIH dwelling units and 190 parking spaces. Next slide, please.

At Site B, the proposed upgrades and redevelopment of this site will enable 102 dwelling units, 31 MIH units, and also at the Council Member's request the team has spoken with Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club and is pleased to say that we are continuing conversations and committing to working with Kips Bay for a 15,000-square-foot space on the ground floor and have received a letter of intent regarding that space as well, and the owners can also speak during their testimony to their commitments regarding the Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club and the Super Foodtown directly. Next slide, please.

Site C, which is moving further west on the Bruckner, is proposed to be a 100 percent senior

affordable housing development. This site in particular was originally proposed as market rate housing, and, at further discussions with Council Member Velázquez and the need for senior affordable housing in Throggs Neck and also heard at the Community Board as well, this site has now changed the development scenario to 100 percent affordable pursuant to the City's SARA term sheet with up to 99 senior affordable housing units. In addition, the team has also committed to partnering with JASA, which has significant senior development experience within the community already, and they'll be providing senior services and also the property management. Next slide, please.

The team has also partnered with the

Tunnel to Towers Foundation for Site D, which is the

furthest along the Bruckner Expressway and is more

lower density in context so this building is proposed

to be a three-story residential building with 22

units of housing for veterans. We'll have the

Executive Director Matthew Mahoney testifying and can

speak to the specifics of the Siller's Foundation's

veteran's housing proposal, but we're excited that

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 they're also part of the project here. Next slide,

3 please.

2.2

2.3

With that, I will turn it over to Sam

LaMontanaro to speak about the proposed

sustainability and some of the questions related to

infrastructure.

SAM LAMONTANARO: Good morning, Council.

My name is Sam LaMontanaro. I'm just going to give
you a brief narrative of my qualifications and
experience. I'm a licensed professional engineer in
New York. I'm also a certified energy manager. I'm

LEED certified. I've got over 20 years of experience
in the New York City market as a design engineer
designing multi-family dwellings, commercial, New
York City schools. In my 20 years, I've designed more
than 800 buildings throughout the five boroughs, and,
with the DEP, I've gained more than 300 approvals on
DEP applications for stormwater, sanitary, and
infrastructure applications.

As Jackie mentioned, new development is an opportunity. It's an opportunity to improve the lives of people and ensure the health of our environment. There has been an evolution in technology and awareness that's driven this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity. It's an exciting time to be a design professional, especially an engineer. The largest driving factors allowing opportunity is the evolution of the codes in which we design to. Until recently, cost drove every design. Now, efficiency, resiliency, sustainability, and the build environment drive design. We use new design strategies and techniques to create a better environment for the building occupants. We use low or no VOC materials, highefficient HVAC systems for heating and cooling. We produce better air quality, low-flow plumbing fixtures, high-performance windows, energy-saving appliances, and just more efficient lighting systems just to mention a few. We use solar PV panels to create electricity. We create recreation spaces in these buildings for the occupants. We reduce storm and sanitary outflow from these buildings through these measures. The impact of the environment of a new building is substantially less than a building that was built 12 years ago and is a quantum leap forward for buildings designed prior to that.

Throughout the public review, the team has heard concerns from the public regarding the current infrastructure. This new development will be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

designed to meet the most stringent design standard for water quality, the Unified Stormwater Rule. The Unified Stormwater Rule aligns water quality requirements between the combined sewer systems drainage areas with areas with separated storm sewer systems. There's an emphasis on green infrastructure practices, stormwater retention, detention systems, green rooves, bioswales. Designs would reduce storm outflow from a site or in some cases eliminate it for smaller rainfall events through the use of detention/retention facilities or some of the other systems that I mentioned previous. This reduction in storm outflow can reduce stress on the critical infrastructure piping. It reduces flow to our water treatment plants. This reduced flow in our piping systems reduces the risk of any water being relayed to our surrounding water bodies through combined sewer overflows. I'm going to provide an example. I'm going to take Site A, which is currently a Foodtown. The site is approximately 40,000 square feet. It is roofed-in parking, not permeable services. That site has a generated flow of approximately 2,230 gallons per minute outflow of stormwater which would go to the fronting 12-inched combined storm and sanitary

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pipe. For every inch of rain that falls onto that site, it outflows about 25,000 gallons of water to the fronting sewers. That building was designed in 1940 so it's got a very aging infrastructure. In 1993, we introduced some new standards in New York City where we adopted standards for detention facilities so we could not direct connect, we would have to reduce the outflow. In '93, those standards went down to, that site in particular would outflow, if it was designed to the newer standards, 728 gallons a minute. In 2012, we reduced those standards once again to help our infrastructure, and now the outflow for that site would be 112 a minute down from, again, 2,200 gallons a minute. Now, with the new Unified Stormwater Rule adopted in 2021, that site outflow is 21 gallons a minute. It's 106 times less than when that building was designed. Put that in perspective. That site, designed to the Unified Stormwater Rule, would have about the same outflow as an undeveloped piece of property that was about 1,120 square feet so that would be the same burden on the fronting sewers as an undeveloped piece of property would have the same outflow as 1,120 square feet due to the stormwater detention systems.

2.2

2.3

I know that we increase the density, we have more people in the building, and then there is more outflow of sanitary. Just to give you a quick number. If we took Site A, which is approximately 125 dwelling units, if you had one shower in every single apartment on with our low-flow fixtures that we utilize, that would only equate to about 250 gallons a minute with one shower running. Now, if you wanted to put a storm on top of that, you're still only at about 275 gallons a minute outflow. These are just made-up numbers. We're never going to have one shower going in every apartment which would be your worst-case scenario because you'd have a constant flow going.

Just to give you a couple quick numbers.

Fronting Site A, there is a 12-inch combined sewer pipe. It was flowing half (sic) full, it has a capacity of about 330 gallons a minute, half full 850, three quarters full 1,440 gallons per minute, and if it was flowing full 1,710 gallons a minute so our outflow, again if I was running one shower in every apartment during a rainfall event, I'm only adding about 275 gallons a minute so there is a tremendous amount of capacity in that pipe

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

comparatively speaking with this new development, the
low-flow fixtures and detention and retention

4 facilities.

2.2

2.3

Water piping. That's always a great concern. Fronting Site A, there is an 8-inch pipe at a very conservative 4.5 feet per second which is a very low flow in these pipes. You have about 700 gallons a minute. If you went to 5.5 feet per second, you're about 860 gallons per minute in capacity so there's a tremendous amount of capacity even in a small 8-inch piece of pipe. It is fed from along the Bruckner. Those pipes are fed that go down these community streets by 12-inch water mains, and they're fed from multiple directions. That helps ensure capacity and that the water is always moving, keeping it a healthier environment. Those pipes have a capacity of almost 2,000 gallons a minute with a very conservative velocity flow.

The new development relieves stress on our infrastructure through the use of low-flow plumbing fixtures, high-efficient lighting design, better building envelopes, reduced sanitary and storm outflows. We have so many new tools to help us that these new developments, they do create a better

6 each new development, it helps preserve our resources

7 and reduce our footprint.

2.2

2.3

I thank everyone for their time. I appreciate you listening, and I look forward to answering any questions that you may have. I will now turn it back over to Jackie. Thank you.

JACLYN SCARINCI: Thanks, Sam. Next slide, please.

benefits, we focused on the housing today, the permanently affordable housing, senior affordable housing, and veteran's housing, but I also would like to highlight and emphasize the long-lasting economic impact that this project can have. New commercial development along Bruckner Boulevard and East Tremont, partnership with 32BJ and Laborers Local 79 for good quality jobs. Jobs and economic impact estimates include 14 building service workers, 85 supermarket jobs that are preserved, 15 youth recreation center workers, 12 jobs for senior

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 services, and 350 temporary construction jobs. In

3 addition, there will be additional economic

4 development and commercial development that is

5 triggered by these new developments, creating better

6 public safety with more eyes on the street.

In closing, we know the Council and Subcommittee have urged us to turn out local supporters. You will see many and hear them today on Zoom. It is important that we emphasize on the record that efforts to turn people out in support has been stymied by a coordinated and vicious intimidation campaign by opponents both online and in-person. When supporters stood up at the Community Board meetings that I attended, they were shouted out. One person suggested on a video recording that we be hanged. When union members, seniors, and veterans rallied in support of the project at the supermarket last week, a neighbor sprayed us with a garden hose while opponents shouted we don't you here. We'd like the Council to understand not only that the supporters you see here today who have come out are brave for doing so, but we're quite certain that many did not opt to risk their safety and wellbeing. All of this said, we believe this project stands on the merits,

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

most.

and we sincerely hope that you can say yes to a

proposal that brings new permanently affordable

housing, senior affordable housing, veteran's

housing, a new supermarket, and a youth community

center to this city in a time when we need it the

We appreciate your time and welcome your questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a couple of questions for the applicant team before I turn it over to Council Member Velázquez and rest of my Colleagues for their questions.

proposed as a veteran housing through the Tunnels to Tower Foundation. It is not adjacent to the rest of the project area and is not being mapped for MIH.

Given the need of affordable housing in this district which your team referred to, in reference to, given that the site is somewhat isolated from the rest of this project's scope, can you please explain the rationale for including this in the project?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. This site also has been a (INAUDIBLE) that it fronts on the Bruckner Expressway which is a 200-foot wide arterial highway,

growth management area was a planning tool to ensure

that there's better site planning and adequate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

examples?

2 parking as well as open space planning, and this

3 proposal is a zoning district change, it doesn't

4 change the lower-density growth management area. In

5 addition, the applicant team has really recognized

6 the need for parking within the community, and,

7 across all the sites, is actually exceeding the

8 | required parking by 130 spaces. If you need me to go

9 into each of the site's parking requirements and

10 proposed parking, I can, but just across all of them,

11 | it is greatly exceeding the parking, and that is the

12 | intent of the lower-density growth management area is

13 | the overall site planning and providing adequate

14 parking for the community.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are there recent examples of the rezoning that you could provide and how were the local concerns addressed in these

JACLYN SCARINCI: The lower-density growth management areas are mapped in Bronx Community

District 10 and also in Staten Island. In Staten

Island, recently there was this citywide Bay Street rezoning. In the Bay Street rezoning, that's thousands of housing units that are being proposed there. It keeps the lower-density growth management

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 intact similar to this rezoning. Here, it's 350 3 units. It's not nearly as many units proposed.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The Borough President recommended that Site A be rezoned to an R6B instead of an R6A which would limit the height of the building to 55 feet. Given the community's concern regarding the out-of-scale density of this project, why has your team decided not to pursue the R6B option?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Consistent with the City Planning Commission's report and recommendations, our team believes the R6A is an appropriate zoning district. Site A in particular is located on two zoning wide streets, Crosby Avenue and Bruckner Boulevard, and it provides additional modest growth. Additionally, the R6A requires that within 25 feet of the R4 that the buildings step down to 45 feet, which is four stories, consistent with the lower density character that is adjacent to it. To answer your question, we did also study the R6B, and I have some analysis here that our architect was able to prepare. It does result in a significant reduction in the number of units and affordable housing units from 126 units down to about 75 units. It also requires that

- 2 | the amount of parking be reduced because the proposal
- 3 assumes the supermarket comes back under the R6A.
- 4 Under the R6B, the supermarket is not going to have
- 5 enough residential density to support the
- 6 modernization and redevelopment of the supermarket.
- 7 Under the R6B, there would at most be 6,000 square
- 8 | feet of retail within the project.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So besides stating today that your team will only build up to 65 feet at this site, what agreements are you willing to make to memorialize this height given that the R6A zoning

being sought permits heights up to 85 feet?

JACLYN SCARINCI: We've studied the R6A at a six-story building, and it is able to maintain the overall building program that's proposed here today, which is approximately 126 dwelling units with 38 MIH units and bringing back the Super Foodtown at its current size, which is about 17,000 square feet, and 190 parking spaces to support both the residential and the supermarket. In terms of the commitment, the team will speak to that in their testimony today.

They have firmly committed to it, and I think from a construction perspective it may be more beneficial to

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 51 2 them, and they can speak to that as well at a six-3 story building. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I just want to note for the record we've been joined by Council 5 Member Schulman. I'm going to turn over to the 6 Committee Counsel to continue the vote. COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: 8 9 Continuing the vote of Preconsidered LUs 88, 89, 90, and 91, and 94 through 96. Council Member Schulman. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Aye on all.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The

final vote is 8 in the affirmative, no negatives, no abstentions. The items are approved and will be referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

Thank you, applicant team, for answering the questions. I have a few more, but, for the sake of time, I will turn it over to Council Member Velázquez to ask her questions and then turn it over to the rest of the Committee to see if they have any questions. Council Member Velázquez.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you please describe how you've responded to concerns raised by

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 the Community Board and other local stakeholders in 3 regards to density, food security, and housing?

JACLYN SCARINCI: With regards to the first question of density, the proposed district, the R6A, is a medium-density district. Currently, the R4 as has been seen for the past 20 years, there's been no development on Sites C and D. They've been vacant lots because the zoning does not accommodate new development and development that is worth constructing on these sites without some additional density added to the sites.

With the density comes affordable housing so at the R6A level, this is a medium-density district which ranges from four to six to eight stories in height, and the height is being pushed along the Bruckner.

In terms of the community's concerns regarding density, a lot of the concerns that we heard at the Community Board were that they don't want any affordable housing, they don't want any change to the current zoning, that we should build under the current zoning, and I think from what we've seen is these developments, the supermarket was constructed in 1940, the Site B building built around

the density that's allowed today.

2.2

2.3

the same time. If we don't have some kind of change in terms of the level of density here, these sites will remain the way that they are, and, as you stated, Throggs Neck is in need of new housing opportunities, new commercial, new economic development. These buildings, a lot of them need to be significantly upgraded, and, on the vacant lots, without a rezoning, they will continue to remain vacant so I think in terms of density, it's very hard to respond to a concern that they want you to keep everything the same way that it is so I think just in responding to that, I'm explaining the rationale for the rezoning and the need for a modest increase in

With regards to food security, the Super Foodtown, the operators are committed to coming back to this location. They've been here for the past 20 years. They have 85 supermarket employees, many of them have been within the community during that time. During COVID, they can speak to this as well, they stayed open for Throggs Neck. They serve an average of 1,800 customers a day, and, about 40 percent of those, they are seniors that really rely on the supermarket for food security within the community.

2 Lastly, in relation to housing, the 3 proposal did change from what was presented initially 4 at the Community Board in a few ways. The first is that Site C was changed to 100 percent senior affordable housing. Originally, it was proposed as 6 about I think it was 60 units of market rate and MIH 8 housing and so now it will be entirely for seniors pursuant to the city's SARA term sheet. For Site D, in discussing with the Community Board and also with 10 11 Council Member Velázquez, the need for veteran's housing and we were able to identify the Stephen 12 Siller Tunnel to Towers Foundation which is working 13 14 on a number of projects across the United States but 15 specifically in New York City and also has a lot of 16 connections with veterans in the Bronx and even more 17 locally in Throggs Neck and has committed to leasing 18 the 22 units at Site D for veterans and also 19 providing services to all those residents as well. 20 Those were our two changes to the housing program. In 21 addition to that, borough President Gibson recommended that the team look at the unit 2.2 2.3 distribution and shift the unit distribution to provide more units for families because the idea was 24 that with larger family-sized units people will stay 25

in these units longer term rather than having smaller units that may turnover longer so on Site A we were able to get the two- and three-bedroom units to approximately 40 percent of the unit distribution and on Site B we were able to add 20 percent two- and three-bedroom units. Those were the responses to the housing program that came out of the public review.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you please describe your recent conversations with local stakeholders and have you identified how to address concerns of public safety considering the 45th Precinct is overburdened?

JACLYN SCARINCI: In relation to public safety, one of the biggest things that the project will bring is new development, more residents that are more eyes and ears on the street and also improved lighting and security cameras at each of the buildings.

I'm going to be very clear today. We're going to be respective to everyone's comments and answers. If you're not, we're going to have you tossed out of this room. All right? Thank you. You can continue.

2.2

2.3

JACLYN SCARINCI: Overall, it's opposition that the additional housing is not going to increase public safety concerns in the neighborhood. If anything, it will improve by having additional residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Many in the community are extremely concerned that if approved this development will not include a grocery store to replace the current Foodtown. How have you responded to this concern and what's your plan for the grocery store?

Foodtown, assuming that the rezoning is approved within the next month, is that construction would be approximately 24 to 28 months. During that time, the owners have committed to relocating the 85 supermarket employees to other locations that they operate throughout New York City. In terms of providing quality healthy food to current residents during that time, the team has also committed to working with the local Community Board in identifying not-for-profit organizations to run a fresh food market that can offer fresh foods and vegetables in

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 the interim before the supermarket could come back
3 online.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: One of the concerns raised several times by local residents was the lack of youth services. How does your project seek to help remedy this neighborhood concern? It appears that the Boys and Girls Club has expressed interest in relocating to your facility. How do you plan on conducting outreach to ensure that our local youth have access to this facility?

JACLYN SCARINCI: At your request, the applicant team met with Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club and actually came to the site and looked at it and were very interested and excited about the site, and the development team offered 15,000 square feet facility within Site B in the rezoning area and are committed to continuing the conversations of how the build-out and Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club also will be looking for additional funding for the build-out of that space, but the development team is very much committed to this and thinks that it is a great site for a youth recreation center, especially because currently the site is being used for youth batting cages and there are a lot of children within the area

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 that really benefit from having recreational
3 facilities like this.

2.2

2.3

repeatedly the word conversations. What's the possibility of that being more concrete for our community? Additionally, what type of programming are we talking about when we're talking about youth services?

JACLYN SCARINCI: I know Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club will be testifying and can speak more to the programming that they envision for the space, but I know that they also provided a letter of intent to the owners and the owners have also committed and will commit again on the record to you that they will offer this 15,000 square feet space to Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: When the application was presented to the Community Board, many of the currently proposed project pieces were not included. What has your team done to update the Community Board on this?

JACLYN SCARINCI: During the ULURP process, this frequently does happen where a project starts in one form and you hear continued community

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 59	
outreach and the project is molded into what it is a	s
it gets to the Council, and I think your point about	
updating the Community Board, we're happy to do that	
and provide them updates and get further feedback	
from them, but I think, at this point, we haven't	
given a formal update, but I know a lot of the	
Community Board leadership has been at all the	
hearings and has heard the updates in person so I	
think in terms of that, they are aware of the change	S
to the project.	
COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZOUEZ: So vou haven't	

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: So you haven't directly provided them with any updates? The updates have been only during the separate hearings to do the ULURP process, correct?

JACLYN SCARINCI: I'm personally not aware, but I don't want to speak to if the ownership has had further conversations with the Community Board on the changes to the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Is someone available from ownership that can have that confirmation for you right now?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. Sorry. We don't think there have been any further conversations, but

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 we're happy to speak with Community Board 10

3 regarding the updates to the project.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Also, how were these updates made possible? When we're talking about changes, what kind of public funding was sought out to facilitate these updated project proposals, namely the 100 percent affordable senior housing and veteran housing?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Can you clarify the question?

Sure. The question was what is the status of the senior affordable housing building or...

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: No, it's basically you guys changed the project, right, from the beginning, what was presented to the Community Board. Now what made these changes possible and how has the public funding been sought out to facilitate these updates?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Okay, yes. In relation to Site C in particular, the team through the public review process heard that the senior affordable housing was really important to the community and to you, Council Member Velázquez, and so they hired an affordable housing development consultant because

15

16

17

24

2 | this is a very specialized type of development who

3 | will also testify today from (INAUDIBLE) Development

4 and has prepared a pro forma and (INAUDIBLE)

5 application form with HPD and that's the initiation

6 of the process for doing a new senior affordable

7 | housing project, and we are continuing conversations

8 with HPD regarding the financing for that. As you may

9 be aware, it is a process and a process that does

10 take years but we are confident that given that

11 Throggs Neck has been an area that has not seen a lot

12 of affordable housing that this could be an area that

13 | would be prioritized for financing, especially for

14 senior affordable housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Now that we're talking about financing, can you explain to me two things, the financing structure of this development

18 and additionally with the two letters I have gotten

19 from your office, I have one indicating the applicant

20 as Jape Equities and then the other one, Throggs Neck

21 Associate, LLC. Can you tell me why is there a

22 difference and can you give the ownership structure

23 and their percentage of ownership?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Throggs Neck Associates

25 is comprised of the three owners that are a part of

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 62
2	the rezoning and was formed for the purpose of
3	facilitating the rezoning.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you provide
5	their names?
6	JACLYN SCARINCI: For Site A, the owners
7	are PJB Realty, that is Joseph (INAUDIBLE). Site B is
8	Jape Equities, which the principle is Peter
9	(INAUDIBLE) who will speak today. Sites C and D are
10	owned by Marciano Enterprises, which is Franco and
11	Marco Marciano.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you give me
13	the name for Site A again?
14	JACLYN SCARINCI: PJB Realty.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: That's Peter,
16	Joseph, and <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> . Got it.
17	Then the financing structure, you're
18	going to provide to our office?
19	JACLYN SCARINCI: In terms of financing
20	structure, you're looking for the development costs
21	for each of the sites? We can provide after the
22	hearing.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: How much is
24	public financing, how much is private?

2.2

2.3

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. Sites A, B, and D are intended to be fully privately financed and not subsidized by the city. Site C is intended to be 100 percent affordable housing financing provided by HPD HDC bond financing so only 99 units of the 349 units that are proposed will require city subsidy.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. I stated in my remarks this community is a union community. Has your team addressed the need for union labor in any project that comes forward?

JACLYN SCARINCI: The team has committed to labor agreements with 32BJ and also Local 79 Laborers.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: What have these conversations looked like, do they support the project, and, besides that, are they PLAs or MOUs?

JACLYN SCARINCI: 32BJ is an agreement and Local Laborers 79 is an MOU at this point, but assuming a more formal agreement will be reached.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: For BJ, when did that agreement take place?

JACLYN SCARINCI: I have to get back to you on the timing of that, but I know it was at the beginning of this process.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. The

Carpenters Union is widely represented in this

district with over 500 members. Can you explain

outreach to their union and why they're not part of

this proposal, and, when conducting outreach, did you

discuss the union's level of involvement? Did they

have any reservations and how have you planned on

addressing these concerns?

JACLYN SCARINCI: In relation to the Carpenters Union, I know the owners had conversations with the Carpenters Union and no formal agreement has been reached.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Why?

JACLYN SCARINCI: I'm not part of those discussions, but we can definitely provide an explanation to your office on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay, or does Jordan have a response? No? Okay.

If it's not feasible to secure an agreement with the Carpenters, and this is where I'm struggling, right, because we're talking about feasibility and we're talking about how it works for communities and we're looking at what the 145 project in Harlem, how they were able to secure an agreement

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

65

2 | with Carpenters and other unions when the project was

3 proposed to provide for 50 percent deeply affordable

4 housing. However, here, less than 30 percent, it's

5 | not feasible to work with the Carpenters?

6 JACLYN SCARINCI: Actually, this project

7 is almost 50 percent income-restricted housing

8 because you have 168 units that are income-

9 restricted, 99 are the senior affordable housing, and

10 then you have 99 across Sites A and C that are MIH

11 housing. I think, also to compare to 145, it's also

12 | the scale. The size of these projects is much smaller

13 | than 145. It's 349 units across four sites so each

14 | site is, Site D is only 22 units. The other sites are

15 approximately 100 units. It's the scale.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. Can you

17 | please describe the transition plan for the Foodtown

18 employees to seek interim employment during the

19 project construction and priority upon restaffing?

20 You had mentioned opening a temporary facility, fresh

21 | food market if you will, that would operate

22 | throughout construction. How much notice will

23 | Foodtown employees have before this takes place, and

24 \parallel do you have a plan for employees who cannot access

2.2

2.3

2 the temporary location to ensure that they maintain 3 employment through this process?

JACLYN SCARINCI: The operators of Super Foodtown will be testifying today and they can better speak to the terms of notice that they would give to their own supermarket employees, but they have gone on the record committing to relocating them to other supermarkets nearby during the 24 to 28 months of construction, and they'll speak to the notice as well, but also regarding your question about the temporary food market, this is a preliminary proposal related to that and I think further details can be explored with local Community Board 10 and also other stakeholders within the community to identify the best not-for-profit that we can work with on the temporary facility.

missed my point here so I'll clarify a little bit.

Have you discussed a transition plan with a local grocers' union like Local 338 when we're talking about employees? I'm the Chair of Consumer and Worker Protection so I'm looking out for those workers so can you clarify for me what's the transition plan and has it been discussed with Local 338?

2 JACLYN SCARINCI: I'm not aware that the 3 owners have spoken with Local 338.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay, thank you. What commitment is your team making to work with M/WBE contractors for both construction of this project and operations at the site once complete? If you haven't connected with M/WBE contractors, do you plan on conducting outreach? If not, please indicate why.

JACLYN SCARINCI: For Site C in particular, there will be specific requirements through the Build Up program that approximately I think it's 30 percent of the development cost needs to be targeted towards M/WBE contractors so that site will have specific target requirements.

The other sites, we'll be soliciting M/WBE contractors, especially through the ICAP program and commercial ground floor uses. M/WBE contractors will be solicited as part of each of the developments. We do not have specific commitment targets at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: So they will be, but it hasn't happened is what you're saying?

2.2

2.3

JACLYN SCARINCI: Not at this time. We're
still pre-development, but I think the development
team, just given the commercial activity, will be
looking to solicit and hopefully hire M/WBE

contractors.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Community Board 10 and others have noted the challenges the community faces in regards to essential infrastructure such as transit service, resiliency concerns, and school overcrowding. Can you speak about your plans to address these issues with your project?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. With regards to transit service and schools, the team conducted very detailed analyses and studied current school seats as well as impacts to transit by the new developments. These will be four developments that are phased over time. It's 349 units, and, as part of the detailed analyses, it was determined by the consultant that there is no significant impacts on transit and schools. I know Sam spoke a little bit regarding resiliency during the presentation. If there are further questions related to that, I can have him speak to that as well, but I think the other thing that the team had really considered as part of the

transit analysis is that Throggs Neck in particular
has very automobile usage and so that is why the
sites are exceeding the parking requirements, over
130 spaces across the four sites will be provided

6 more than what's required, acknowledging that there

7 | is a need for parking in Throggs Neck.

Just in relation to, can you just clarify, you're looking for further information on how the project will impact resiliency?

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Yeah, so there's transit service which you addressed slight, but I would need a little bit more understanding on what kind of transit study has happened and, if so, when it was conducted.

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. As part of the environmental review, a detailed transit analysis was conducted by EPDSCo, the applicant's environmental consultant, and that analysis was conducted actually in 2020 and did a more conservative analysis given that COVID was happening at that time and automobile usage was down significantly so their numbers did account for a COVID factor included in that analysis.

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Is there an opportunity to revisit that to understand the current conditions?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Yes. Actually, our environmental consultant is here, and I do believe he looked at these numbers and confirms that it would not be any worse than it was during the conservative analysis that was done.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay, and then the other piece was, so it was transit, resiliency concerns, and school overcrowding.

JACLYN SCARINCI: Yeah, so in relation to the school seats, a detailed analysis was done on community facilities which included school seats, and no significant impact on the school seats from this project, and I think in looking at that analysis a more conservative approach was taken because now one of the entire buildings is now seniors which triggers usually less school seats in general for the analysis. Now, I'll turn it over to Sam to speak to the resiliency.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Before we get to Sam, you mentioned what green infrastructure you are including in this development so I just wanted to

2.2

2.3

give you a little bit more questions as you're

leading to the resiliency. Have you discussed the

possibility of upgrading the sewer systems in and

around the project site with the city? This is an

especially pertinent issue given the recent sinkhole

occurrence in outdated sewer pipes facilities in my

district. Is there a plan to combat any backup

throughout the construction and, should there be a sewage backup as a result of this construction, how

11 are you going to work to rectify this matter for

12 local homes and businesses impacted by this?

SAM LAMONTANARO: Sure. Again, with the adoption of the newer codes, the use of the lower flow fixture, the lower energy consumption appliances, new design strategies in lighting, a building footprint of today is substantially less than a building built under previous codes. Up until 2008, we were still building to the 1968 code, and the 1968 code did not address a lot of these concerns so buildings prior to 2008 were energy hogs. They had very high consuming fixtures, and they really took a lot of resources out of our infrastructure and they gave a whole lot back into our infrastructure in the way of sewage and stormwater and really did put a lot

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of extra stress and burden on our water treatment plants and piping systems. One of the large burdens that they did put on, again, was with the combined sewer overflows, and that really has a lot of impact on our local waterways. The buildings nowadays, they look nothing like the buildings that are there as far as their overall footprint, their carbon footprint. You hear people talk about now with resiliency and we talk about energy efficiency, now, again, the driving motivation now is a lot more knowledge that we have and the codes drive these changes so even the worst building you could build under these codes are fantastic buildings from an efficiency standpoint because the evolutions of the codes have brought us to that point. Currently, you'll see there's a lot of incentives, and I'm not talking monetary incentives, but people really have gravitated towards this and want to produce better buildings that are more environmentally friendly. Again, these buildings have a much lower carbon footprint and a usage footprint from our infrastructure than any of these older buildings so if you look at them in terms of what you have there now they really are much lower impact to the environment so they don't provide that stress

when you think of 200 units, 100 units, they don't have the stress of the current units on the

4 infrastructure.

2.2

2.3

While there are other local bus lines that run near this project site, the closest subway stop is roughly a mile away. Can you please describe ways in which your team has addressed the concerns regarding possible bus overcrowding, traffic, and public safety? Also, have you had discussions with the MTA and DOT to identify improvements to transportation as they relate to your project and increase the residents in the vicinity?

JACLYN SCARINCI: In relation to the transit, we're are the site is located outside of the transit zone and have included more than what is required parking across all the sites, but, in terms of your questions related to discussions with DOT, we are happy to continue conversations with city hall that we have initiated regarding commitments and additional infrastructure that may be needed within the community. As I mentioned, the studies that we did as part of the land use application didn't identify impacts or improvements needed. However, we

do know that in discussions with your office and also with local community members that there are concerns and needs for upgrades to bush shelters and other transit improvements that can be needed and the applicant team is committed to this projected, has put in a lot of time and effort and those are commitments that we also want to make sure are continued by the city. While out of the applicant's control, we find it very important to the success of the project.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: There's a great need in this community for more affordable homeownership opportunities, and I've voiced this in every conversation with your team, but why aren't there any affordable homeownerships in this project?

JACLYN SCARINCI: I think in terms of affordable homeownership, the team did explore this as an option, but, given the program across all four sites, it was hard to accomplish homeownership while also providing veteran's housing under the Siller Foundation model which is a rental program, the SARA program which is senior affordable housing, it's also a rental program, and then the other two sites are not seeking city subsidy or financing and given the

2 market and the ground floor uses that are being

3 proposed were not considered for homeownership.

4 Rentals seem to be the proposal that the development

5 team found the most feasible to construct at these

6 | locations.

2.2

2.3

talking about senior housing, what are the levels that we are considering for senior housing, like how affordable will they be for our local seniors and what preference is going to be given to our local seniors? While there's a great need for additional senior housing in our district, it is essential that our senior population has adequate opportunity to obtain these apartments so have you conducted any outreach to the local and neighboring senior centers to identify an estimated number of seniors in need of housing, and, if not, are you planning to do so?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. I think in terms of the senior affordable housing, the team has partnered with JASA who will be testifying today as well regarding the senior services and they are already in Throggs Neck and Throggs Neck houses and have familiarity with senior housing. The senior affordable housing program with HPD still provides a

2.2

2.3

You did great. Now, as mentioned by Borough President Gibson during her hearing, the square footage for the units are quite small. The average square foot for a senior neighbors living studio would be 360 square feet. Has your team looked into facilitating larger unit sizes and what factors were in play when deciding on the square footage and unit mix? Will any of these units be handicap accessible, and, if so, how many? If not, please explain.

JACLYN SCARINCI: I know that the city

SARA term sheet, there are unit size requirements,

and, given that the applicant is seeking HPD

financing, maximizing the number of senior affordable

housing units within the project is the most

important. We do hear you that this is something that

we can hopefully work with HPD further on, but this

proposal of 360 square feet for a studio and 510

2.2

2.3

2 square feet for a one-bedroom is driven by the city's 3 term sheet for apartment sizes.

With regards to handicapped and accessibility for these units, the SARA program senior affordable housing program with HPD requires that all of the senior units include accessibility measures including grab bars, turning radius within the apartments to accommodate wheelchairs, and those are design guidelines that are driven by the city.

actually. The Borough President and the community at large have given preference to family-sized units. How is this project addressing the need for desperately needed affordable housing, and, if family-sized units are added to the proposal, what will their size ratio be and how many family-sized units will be added and how many bedrooms will be included in the amended proposal?

JACLYN SCARINCI: As you mentioned, the
Borough President did call for additional familysized units, and the team was able to accommodate
that at Sites A and B which are the proposed multifamily buildings and Site C is a senior building and
Site D is the veteran's housing so at Site A the team

Council Member Schulman.

2.2

2.3

much. I'm Lynn Schulman. I'm from District 29 in

Queens. I'm very committed to affordable housing. I

have a number of projects since I became a Council

Member that I've supported that have deeply

affordable housing so I have a couple of questions,

and I also apologize. I came late so this question

may have been asked. I had another hearing. First

question I have is what's your experience in doing

large projects like this? If you can share that.

JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. Council Member

Velázquez did ask for the owners of each of the

sites. They are not large developers. They are three

different development teams. For Site A, they've

partnered with Spectrum Development who has built

over 2,000 units in the Bronx, affordable housing and

market rate housing. Site B, the development team

also has experience building housing and commercial

developments throughout New York City. Site C is the

proposed 100 percent affordable housing development,

and they have partnered with Radson Development who

has significant experience, both developing,

managing, and owning affordable housing throughout

the five boroughs.

Bronx and Throggs Neck.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: So these
developers, other than partnering with other
developers that have experience, are not that

JACLYN SCARINCI: Actually, the owners of
Sites C and D have developed projects throughout the

experienced, am I correct, in this type of project?

I'm asking on the scope of this, have they done that?

JACLYN SCARINCI: For the affordable
housing, this is their first affordable housing
development and that's why they're partnering with an
affordable housing consultant.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: I understand.

that. I am also very proud of the fact that the affordable housing in my district has had union support for it, including Carpenters, and I have to say that Carpenters is a union that's very important to me. I'm a little bit dismayed that you haven't been able to come to an agreement with them, and so I just wanted to make sure that whatever discussions were had with them was done in good faith because they've been a part of almost every, at least in my district and other parts of the city, including the

proposal in-person or remotely?

--

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we have several members of the public in-person and remotely who have been patiently waiting, and we will get to all of you, but I'll just make a quick announcement before we get started with the panels.

For members of the public here to testify in-person or remotely, please know that witnesses will generally be called in panels of four. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when the Chair or I say that you may begin.

Please also know that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony, if remotely, you will be removed from the meeting as a group and the next group of speakers will be introduced. Once removed, participants may continue to view the livestream broadcast of this hearing on the Council's website.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

Members of the public, we will be giving everybody

two minutes, and we have over 200 public testimonies

signed up for today so we're really going to stick to

the time limit. I just want to reiterate what I said

2 | before. We're going to be respectable to everybody

3 who is speaking. We don't want any loud outbursts or

4 any other antics today in the Chambers. If so, you

5 | will be removed, but you can still submit your

6 testimony to us online. All right, so let's just have

7 a lot of respect for each other today.

With that being said, please do not begin until the Sergeant-at-Arms has started the clock, and you will be given two minutes. When two minutes, I will be cutting you off. Thank you.

Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, our first panel will be online, and we will start with Bronx Borough President Vanessa Gibson who I believe is ready to testify. If we can unmute so she can start her testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

VANESSA GIBSON: Good afternoon, Members of the New York City Council. Good afternoon,

Chairman Kevin Riley and Members of the Zoning and Franchises Committee, Council Member Velázquez, and my Bronx delegation. It's good to see everyone this afternoon.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I am the Bronx Borough President, Vanessa

L. Gibson. So honored, so grateful to be here with

all of you this afternoon, and, as a former Member of
the City Council, certainly it's different for me to
be on this side of the aisle, but I have great

respect for the work of the Committee and the work of
this Body, and I look forward to working with you in

my new capacity as the Bronx Borough President.

I'm grateful to be here to testify on the Bruckner rezoning proposal that is before the Subcommittee this afternoon, and I want to begin by providing some background on this project, which I have spent a great deal of time working on. It's really been a labor of love. Listening to everyone who testified during my two days of public hearing in the Bronx and reviewing additional testimony that our office did receive. After hearing all of the testimony, weighing the pros, the cons, the advantages and disadvantages, and after a comprehensive review of this project, I have provided comprehensive recommendations for the individual buildings as well as the project as a whole. Since my recommendation, I want to acknowledge the applicant team has made many of the changes that we recommended

Foundation and Tunnels to Towers which will provide

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

access to affordable housing for our veterans who have risked their lives and we should do more to support them. We definitely need to continue giving opportunities and our full support for youth and youth programming. I'm happy to learn about Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club coming aboard, and this project does provide a valuable youth center which will offer educational and recreational opportunities, giving many of our young people a real pathway to succeed. This project is also a job generator, creating and maintaining 476 total jobs, 350 are construction, 126 local permanent jobs. Support from 32BJ as well as Local 79. I know conversations are ongoing with the Carpenters Union. Providing living wage jobs with a real emphasis on Bronx residents is something that we all should definitely support. This will really create well-paying Bronx labor jobs.

In addition to the direct community
benefits, this project also will create approximately
340 new units of housing over four buildings across
four blocks. 56 percent of the units are affordable,
and over 30 percent will be family-sized units. Let
me emphasize, Council Members, that we are adding
three-bedroom unit apartments, which is exceptionally

that it would get a resounding yes.

2.2

2.3

well considering the fact that we have so many
growing families in the Bronx and New York City. If
this project was in any other community, in any other
part of the borough or the City of New York, you know

I've always supported this project with conditions, which I shared with all of you, the Members of the Committee. There was a 12-page recommendation and I really ask that you and your team really look through it because there are two additional points that I want to highlight as I wrap up because I know there are many that want to speak after me.

The first is very critical. The City of

New York should establish a multiagency taskforce

that would review short- and long-term goals to

address major policy issues like infrastructure

improvements, congestion, flooding, coastal,

resiliency issues, and many of the concerns that

homeowners in Throggs Neck and Schuylerville have

already talked about. When it's inclement weather,

there are five and six feet of water in basements and

major issues with congestion. We should deal with

that, whether there's a proposal on the table or not.

2 Many of these issues can be addressed by individual

3 agencies, and, if we have interagency collaboration,

4 we can truly address a lot of the concerns that you

5 will hear about today.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The second priority is the city and the administration must prioritize homeownership opportunities. That is the gateway and the pathway to stabilizing families and a pathway to the middle class. That is what we all want to achieve. Many residents have testified before me talked about coming from other parts of the Bronx and buying their first home in Throggs Neck and Schuylerville and maintaining that residential component, and that should be expanded on in every way possible. We want to invest in all neighborhoods, and all neighborhoods should have the beauty of what Throggs Neck and Schuylerville has been over the years. There should be no exception, there should be no difference, and I really believe that the city can prioritize these developments that have the homeownership component and assist many low-income and working families with that. I've always been a champion of affordable housing, I always will because I do believe that it is the gateway to the middle class. I cannot and I

Velázquez and all the Members of the Body and Speaker

I'm a long-time resident of Throggs Neck. 2 3 I oppose a change in the zoning. I support the 4 preservation of our Throggs Neck low-density community. I believe that losing the low-density zoning will (INAUDIBLE) negatively impact the Throggs 6 7 Neck community, Throggs Neck's infrastructure, the water, sewer, police, fire, transportation, schools, 8 the flooding issue. We cannot support a big building like is proposed. The building project must be scaled 10 11 down to the current low-density zoning in terms of the number of floors and other restrictions. The 12 13 building project must also include sustainable and environmentally friendly building practices. We heard 14 15 a little of that but not enough in my opinion. In 16 these times of environmental devastation, this 17 project must show that the building can be sustainable and environmentally friendly. There is so 18 19 much that can be done to make this building project 20 an asset to the neighborhood. For example, a few 21 things were mentioned already, but I'll repeat it. 2.2 Sustainable systems for water, sewer, and 2.3 electricity, solar panels, green rooves, green walls, green spaces, gardens, tree planting, play spaces for 24 children, community gardens, community shared indoor 25

2 and outdoor spaces, and affordable homeownership to

3 decrease the density. I look forward to hearing that

4 the low-density zoning laws will continue to be

5 enforced. Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Joanna.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The

next speaker will be Lynn Koester to be followed by

9 Frank Vernuccio.

LYNN KOESTER: Good afternoon. My name is Lynn (INAUDIBLE) Koester, and I am President of Throggs Neck Homeowners Association representing over 450 families who live in Throggs Neck. I speak today of our opposition to the project that's being thrust upon us by the Throggs Neck Associates, LLC, that proposes to change our zoning to put 1,100 new residents in an already dense area where the roads, schools, and sewer systems are not able to carry the extra load. At no point have we heard the developers up until now start to address the issues which they have been silent on all along. It's very telling though that the developers didn't answer Councilwoman Velázquez's question about meeting with stakeholders. That actually never happened at all. Many residents who live there were flooded by Ida last year and are

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

LYNN KOESTER: To defend a non-union supermarket is regrettable. We ask that the Council Members to please vote no. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thanks, Lynn. The next speaker on this panel and the last one on this panel is Frank Vernuccio.

FRANK VERNUCCIO: Good afternoon. My name is Frank Vernuccio, and I represent the Throggs Neck Building Improvement District. The BID is in complete opposition to the up-zoning proposal. There are several key reasons for this. As evidenced by last year's devastating flooding following Hurricane Ida, our local drainage infrastructure is already inadequate. Adding this massive and out-of-scale development would result in rampant and severe danger to the community. The traffic in this neighborhood is already severe. Adding a significant number of people in the current proposal would render that issue even more troubling, causing a dramatic deterioration to the local quality of life. We remind all that there is no subway service in the area and bus service is already inadequate. One of the most significant challenges to the business community throughout the Throggs Neck BID is parking. The proposed up-zoning does not even remotely provide adequate parking for the influx of people it calls for. This would seriously harm both residents and businesses. There

2.2

2.3

are procedural matters that must be mentioned as well. In the Planning Commission's hearing on this matter, Chairman Dan Garodnick incorrectly refuted concerns over height matters, stating that the area was not in the approach zone for LaGuardia Airport. It most certainly is. The Throggs Neck BID, Community Board 10, and the overwhelming majority of local residents oppose this proposal. In prior hearings on this topic, the overwhelming majority of those speaking on behalf of this project neither live or do business in the area affected. Considering the near unanimous local community opposition to it, an approval would make an utter mockery of the entire

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I believe
Peter is driving. He just contacted Council Member
Velázquez so he will not be giving his remarks so we
can move on to the next panel.

concept of citizen input into the future of their

neighborhood. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Chair. Just for those of you that may have to drop off, this is a good time to announce that you can submit your testimony to us in writing at

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next panel is going to be made up of Frank Marciano, Peter Zuccarello, Matthew Mahoney, Donald Manning, and that's all of you for the second panel. We'll start with Frank Marciano followed by Peter Zuccarello.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Marciano.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Do we have Mr. Marciano in?

MARCO MARCIANO: Hello. Sorry about that. Good morning. I'd like to take this opportunity to testify today before the Zoning Committee for the City Council. My name is Marco Marciano, and my family has owned Sites C and D in this rezoning application for 20 years. We are part of this community. Throggs Neck is our home. We grew up here, just a few blocks away from the site and still live here in this neighborhood. My father started a construction business here over 50 years ago, and we have built around 150 homes and commercial properties in the area. We are committed to improving this neighborhood. We are bringing positive new use here with senior housing and veteran housing. Our new buildings provide proposals to create opportunity for those who have served our community and seniors who

live here and want to stay here, even (INAUDIBLE) the downsizing or want to change their lifestyles. The Marciano family is excited to partner with JASA to provide senior services and property manager for 99 units of affordable senior housing on Site C. We are committed to this partnership and look forward to working with HPD in partnership to get this building done as soon as possible. Additionally, our family's committed to bring veteran housing to Site D. The Tunnel to Tower Foundation does amazing work to support our veterans all across the country, and we are proud to be working with (INAUDIBLE) and to support vets with housing and service right here in Throggs Neck. We have always wanted to do something special with this site other than one- or two-family dwellings, but it wasn't feasible under the current zoning. When we were approached about building apartments, it struck (INAUDIBLE) with us. We are committed to improving and supporting the neighborhood. Despite being heckled and harassed and sadly losing some friends over the proposal, we still believe the ...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr.

3 Marciano.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The next speaker on this panel is Donald Manning. Can we unmute Donald Manning?

DONALD MANNING: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Donald.

DONALD MANNING: Great. Thank you, Chair Riley. Members of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchise, I am Donald Manning, the Executive Director of Real Estate at JASA Housing Management Services. We welcome today's hearing as an opportunity to focus on the need for affordable senior housing in the Bronx and identifying new opportunities for development that support aging in place when considering changes to zoning. JASA is a nonprofit agency that honors older New Yorkers as vital members of society and provide services for over 50 years and support aging with purpose and partnering to build strong communities. JASA is a comprehensive integrated network of services that provide a continuum of community-based care in the Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, and Queens. Those of us

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in the human services field and affordable housing providers know that a critical component to successful aging is stable housing. Lack of housing exacerbates physical and mental health challenges and can lead to unnecessary institutionalization. JASA owns or manages over 2,400 housing for seniors and we're the leading nonprofit in New York providing these services. JASA (INAUDIBLE) inquiries from older adults, family members, and elected officials looking to assist their constituents find quality affordable housing. Unfortunately, the realities are the significant need for affordable housing for elderly residents far outweighs the limited portfolio of alternative affordable housing for older New Yorkers. Through new partnerships with government agencies, developers, and community members, JASA strives to bring renewed effort to bring housing management and complementary social services for seniors in the Bronx and New York City as a whole. Thanks to new funds from New York City and New York State, support programs are now available to bolster stable housing for some of the most vulnerable older adult residents in New York City. Most recently, JASA has participated in the development of a fantastic senior

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Site B in this rezoning. The history of the site is a very sad history. The site was a family business, a small business, that eventually had to close due to Home Depot. It was a great small business for the community. When Home Depot opened many years ago, it was eventually forced out of business. We have made the use of the space by letting a local batting cage operator use, but it's been very difficult to return it to a better use and resource for the community for the simple fact is that it's very difficult for retail to survive in this area so we'd like to try something to revitalize it. Keeping with the spirit of the batting cage and children activities, we proposed ground floor uses for the youth in the area. Council Member Velázquez urged us to speak with Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club, and we are grateful for that referral because we have had great conversations with them and are looking forward to having and establishing a new home at their site. Additionally, we are happy at the housing mix of senior and affordable housing in our site. We are proud of those attributes to the area, especially senior housing. I'm very fond of the seniors. My team is working with an excellent architect and experienced development

that we're working on to provide housing for veterans

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York.

who require it. The project here that we're teaming up with, Throggs Neck Associates, is very exciting to us as we'll be able to provide 22 homes to our nation's veterans living locally here in the community and in the Bronx. The veterans are chosen based on need, and one thing I'd like to clarify. This isn't affordable housing for these veterans; this is free housing for these veterans paid for by the Foundation. They will not be asked to pay rent of any kind, although they will also be given access to 24-hour services, counseling, and things of that nature, and for that there's a small fee based on their ability to pay. We're really tremendously honored to be chosen to help provide these homes for our veterans. These are men and women in the community who have served our country and need the help now of our community. We're looking forward very much for the project to move forward. We anticipate working hand-in-glove with the community, with the Council Member's office, with the Bronx Borough President. We've partnered with Social Services for the City of New York as we replicate these types of initiatives throughout the city and state of New

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you very much for your time, and, again, we're speaking in favor of the proposal going forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Matthew. Before we excuse this panel, I believe Council Member Velázquez and Council Member Brewer have questions for this panel so I'm going to hand it over to Council Member Brewer first. Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. You have a wonderful organization, sir. My question is since I have 135,000 homeless veterans permanent housing on my block, we work with them, we love them. My question though is when they come from the military, obviously go to homeless then to the permanent housing. Bailey House is the provider there. My question though is they come with, to be honest with you, more money for housing than many of us New Yorkers in terms of the federal government helping to pay some of that rent so with the veterans that you're working with, would they not be able to use some of their housing allowance for permanent housing even though you are obviously helping to pay the rent? How does that work in terms of long-term permanent housing?

2	MATTHEW MAHONEY: As you may be aware,
3	Council Member, and thank you for the compliment
4	about our organization, veterans don't come one shape
5	or size and they don't all come with the same
6	benefits allotted to them. Based on disability, based
7	on age, based on how they transitioned out of the
8	military, there are a variety of circumstances there.
9	So much of what would be called traditionally
LO	transitional housing where they're given a short
L1	period of time to get back on their feet and move
12	into more permanent housing elsewhere really limits,
L3	we find in dealing with a lot of social service
L 4	workers, limits their ability to save up their money,
15	get on their feet, and provide a more permanent
L 6	solution to any issues that they're seeing so we have
L7	chosen, and we do this now at our facilities that we
L 8	operate in other states around the country, we do not
L 9	charge rent. They may have the ability to pay, but
20	that is not our goal. Our goal is for them to save
21	their money and save their finances, build up all the
22	things they need because, again, giving shelter is
23	one thing, but it's really the supportive services

that are required for this population that are

don't house any in the City of New York predominantly

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because we have focused our attention on where need is the greatest in the country. To give you an example, just prior to the pandemic, the federal government produced a study that called for about 40,000 homeless veterans in the United States, 17,000 of those are on the west coast of the United States. California alone has almost 12,000 homeless veterans as opposed to New York state, the entire state, not just the city, houses right now the count is about 1,600 veterans so there's a real disparity in difference so this year we are permanently housing veterans in California. We are about to open a facility in the next two months in Phoenix, Arizona, which will house 173 veterans. Right now, my team literally today is doing a final walk-through of our Texas property in Houston. We have one opening in Washington, D.C. hopefully by early next year, and we have what will be the largest veterans facility of its kind in Los Angeles which will open sometime next year and is under construction. In New York, we are currently working on projects throughout the state, and we are in the process of reviewing those and making sure that they are adequate because we want to provide the absolute best facilities we can for our

SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

veterans. I'm sure many folks (INAUDIBLE) can tell you there are homes available, apartments available to veterans, but oftentimes they are available in poor condition or in neighborhoods that are pretty dangerous so what we're doing is making sure that we are giving these veterans any apartment, any house that you or I or our families would be proud to live in.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you describe the application process and, more importantly, what kind of services do the residents have?

MATTHEW MAHONEY: Sure. The application process, right now we have hundreds and hundreds of veterans that reach out to us directly as well as our service provider which is U.S. Vets, predominantly on the west coast but operating in 18 cities around the country right now. They'll be joining us in New York next year. The process is pretty simple. It's pretty streamlined. The first thing, the intake form will identify the status of the veteran, and we work with the VA to get them into the system, make sure that they're getting their benefits. The process, though, for getting someone engaged really depends on the

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Lastly, how did this relationship start with the applicant? Who initiated the outreach and what did these conversations look like?

They initiated the discussion with us. They showed us the project that they were trying to build, and they talked to us about our needs. They had heard about our project that we were doing and asked if we had need in the Bronx, and indeed we do have need in the Bronx. We have need in every single borough in the City of New York because as many veterans that are homeless, there are so many more that are facing housing insecurity right now and are one to two weeks away from homeless which actually fits the definition

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by the federal government for a homeless veteran so we know that there are many of our veterans living paycheck to paycheck in these neighborhoods where we have a lot of men and women who have served their country. They reached out to us. We had a couple of meetings with them. We were definitely interested because they're going to build the apartments brand new and also have some space on the first floor for us so we can have on-site services for our veterans. We don't want them having to travel long distances to receive services, and also the proximity to the VA, we're only about five miles, which in the Bronx and just like in Staten Island where I live is about a half hour drive, but we're only about a half hour from the VA hospital which is also where we start looking to be within 45 minutes of a VA facility.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council

Member Velázquez. Thank you to the applicant panel.

We're going to do one more panel of online then we're going to switch to in-person. Can we have Stephen

Raida (phonetic), Sanford Hales (phonetic), Denise

Dantzler (phonetic), and Oba (phonetic) Watson come down if you're in the Chambers. Once again, that is

Stephen Raida, Sanford Hales, Denise Dantzler, and

2.2

2.3

Oba Watson come to the Chambers if you're here. Thank you. Counsel.

next panel we're going to do for online is going to be made up of Brian Barrett (phonetic), Ann Baller, John Bruno (phonetic), and Denise Casillici (phonetic) so we're going to start with Ann Baller who I know is on the Zoom and the rest of you are going to call in. Ann Baller.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You may begin, Miss Baller.

ANN BALLER: Hi. I'm very opposed to the Bruckner up-zoning. I have lived in my home since 2001 and know that this area lacks the infrastructure for such a construction project. Let me reiterate. The schools are overcrowded, the public transportation is (INAUDIBLE), our sewage system is old and floods (INAUDIBLE) 45th precinct does not have enough officers to deal with the amount of people who live here now and it would be further stressed with the number of families that would be moving into the area if this proposal goes through, and let me now talk about parking. Miss Leo Goodrich (phonetic) from who states that she is a Commissioner

to testify on this panel, can you please accept the

personal homeownership. I don't hear of any plans for

Raida. Stephen, you may begin.

2.2

2.3

Raida. I'm a lifelong resident of New York City so I am honored to be here, and I have great respect for this committee. Good morning. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to give my testimony of the Throggs Neck/Bruckner site rezoning.

Again, I am Stephen Raida. I am a lifelong resident of District 13, and I'm a member of Labors Local 79. I support this project because it will bring standard-wage jobs to fellow workers like myself, workers of color, veterans. Forgive me. I'm nervous.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Take your time.

STEPHEN RAIDA: I am also excited to see new affordable and free housing for our veterans.

Finally, a program for our veterans in this community. The project's partnership with a nationally recognized organization for veterans housing will provide homes and services specifically to local Throggs Neck community and veterans and veterans living with disabilities.

People opposed to this project are complaining about the changes in the neighborhood, but I've been here all my life and I see constant

- 2 and, to me, change is inevitable and change is good.
- 3 My neighbors are workers, renters, seniors, and
- 4 | veterans. I should hope that they would be welcomed
- 5 here, not kept out and excluded. We cannot let fear
- 6 and individual preferences stand in the way of
- 7 urgently needed housing and career opportunities for
- 8 our community.

- Thank you, again, for this opportunity to
- 10 support this rezoning.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Stephen. If
- 12 I can state for the record, could you just state your
- 13 name for the record before you testify. The next
- 14 person we'll have is Sanford Hales.
- 15 SANFORD HALES: Good morning. Thank you
- 16 | for this opportunity to support the Throggs
- 17 | Neck/Bruckner sites rezoning. I'm a Local 79 member
- 18 | and a member of District 13. I also live right across
- 20 this project because I support new housing and
- 21 economic opportunities in this part of the Bronx. I
- 22 cannot think of a better to rezone this commercial
- 23 strip than by creating good jobs and affordable
- 24 housing for Throggs Neck. It would include affordable
- 25 homes for seniors and veterans and also preserve the

2 supermarket and local supermarket jobs along with

3 other businesses across the street. New development

4 and density will attract urgent care centers and

5 | local retail, provide opportunities for existing or

6 new businesses in the neighborhood, and enable the

7 development to offer space for community needs such

8 as youth recreation and senior services. I'm a proud

9 District 13 resident, but I believe if we reap

10 benefits from being part of New York City we have an

11 | obligation to welcome and house New Yorkers. We

12 cannot stand in the way of low-income housing,

13 | especially if we want the neighborhood to stay

14 | affordable and accessible for our kids and future

15 generations. Thank you, again, for this opportunity

16 | to support this project. I'm all for the Bruckner

17 | rezoning. Thank you.

18

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next

19 panelist we'll have speak is Oba Watson.

OBA WATSON: Just to confirm, my name is

21 | Oba Watson. I represent Local 79, and I appreciate

22 | the opportunity to speak today. To everybody else

23 good afternoon, and I'd like to say I'm testifying on

support of the Throggs Neck/Bruckner sites rezoning.

I've already stated my name is Oba Watson. I'm a

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

District 13 resident and also a proud member of Mason Tenders Union which is represented by Local 79. Once again, I'm supporting this project that will bring much needed affordable and supportive housing to the community and allow many local residents to stay in their community. The lack of housing disproportionately affects black and brown and lowincome families. This rezoning can advance racial and economic integration and allow workers like to continue to earn a living and provide healthcare coverage for our families, which for me is very important because I have a severely autistic son. I know that with development comes with some opposition, I can't help but think about the workingclass people who will be pushed out if we do not act. Basically, how would you feel if you were treated negatively while you were looking for a place to live in the city so the City Council can also, I know, address the resident concerns while supporting the rezoning, good faith discussion, negotiation could be a productive part to getting to the yes. A diverse coalition of residents, union members, community organizations, and class roots groups is ready to make this project a success and I suggest that we do

live here, don't shop here, and don't send their kids

to school there. It's all about money. We all know

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

once this is approved, the landowners are going to sell the property to unscrupulous developers, turning a few, and will build with just a few unions but why not build with building trades, all unions. I was an electrician for 42 years, and I've seen many times where people promise to build union then it wasn't built union so we'll go from there. Without the local residents and to build the cheapest way possible to ensure the highest rate of profit. I have lived in this great community my whole life. I went to Saint Benedict's which faces Foodtown on Brooklyn Boulevard, raised four children, some of my grandkids live here and go to PS14. I, like hundreds of families in this neighborhood, hold our breath every time it rains, even Sunday night when the city was preparing for another torrential store. Last year with Ida, I was inundated with four feet of water in my backyard plus two and a half feet in my garage, and I was lucky. My neighbors across the street had water up to their ceiling in the basement. All the houses across the street from me, I was lucky, and I was very lucky my sliding doors didn't compromise or else I would have gotten water up my first floor of my house. This area does not have the infrastructure

2 to accommodate approximately 500 toilets, 400

3 bathtubs and showers, 800 sinks, the runoffs of the

building's leaders and gutters, and it just makes no 4

sense to develop the area. The Bronx has the most 5

shelters of any other borough and up there with the 6

7 most affordable housing. Share the wealth with the

8 other boroughs. Last week at a rally on Foodtown

property, some idiot called us racist over this. How

dare they call us racist? This community is probably 10

11 the most multi-cultured in Bronx county.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Tommy, if you could wrap it up real quick?

TOMMY MESSINA: Okay. Getting back to PS14, right now we can't drive down Crosby Avenue between the hours of drop-off and pickup. I don't know, neither does any of you, the number of precious children that will need school. You've got to do the math, but god forbid, if this project moves forward, the number of children will be in the hundreds with no place to go. Nobody even addressed the school problem here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Tommy. Next, we will have Michelle Torriani. Excuse me if I mispronounce your name.

2 MICHELLE TORRIANI: That's fine. Close enough. Hi, my name is Michelle Torriani. I'm a 3 4 homeowner and am emotionally and financially invested in my community. I want you guys to realize we need to fix what we have before we build more. New York 6 7 City has antiquated sewer and drainage system. 8 Despite the bellowing of green talking points and predicted increases in high-level rain events, there is no comprehensive plan in place to offset inland 10 11 flooding. New York City knows of this perilous condition but refuses to take action and 12 13 accountability. In September 2021, Ida brought 14 damage, destruction, and death to our communities. 15 After Ida, New York City implemented homeowner aid 16 was coming. Citing a 100-year-old statute, 17 Comptroller Lander denied every claim, refused to 18 give much-needed help to homeowners emotionally and 19 financially invested in their community. Ironically, 20 the Comptroller has now proposed plans to legalize 21 type of dwellings which were scenes of this damage, destruction, and death. Rainfall continues to bring 2.2 2.3 high anxiety to our communities. Show one low-density community in New York City where quality of life has 24 improved through increased density and population. A 25

2.2

2.3

housing crisis? How many units are needed to remedy this crisis? This is a city of hypocrisy, wants less cars but supports state-sponsored Greenlight New York that can potentially place 250,000 more drivers on the road. Has a housing crisis but will not place an assessment moratorium on the sanctuary city policy which markedly increases population. A recent New York Times article indicates the sanctuary city policy has caused a 13 percent spike in our shelter population. Please, fix what you have before you build more. Say no to this unnecessary rezone. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Michelle.

Next, we will have Anthony Francisco. Sorry if I

mispronounced your name.

ANTHONY FRANCISCO: No, you said it correctly. Thank you. Members of the Council, I'm a lifelong resident of (INAUDIBLE) Bay Throggs Neck Area urging you to vote down the Bruckner up-zoning proposal. Allowing this project to go ahead as is will set a negative precedent for all future construction projects in our neighborhood, which is under the threat of having at least 33 sites up-zoned to accommodate new commercial and residential

developments. Our community fought hard to make it a lower-density growth management area in 2004. This rezoning reversal does not have the support of the community. The up-zone will overcrowd our schools, add stress to our infrastructure, and limit the efficiency of our police departments and fire departments. Most of all, it will destroy the quality of life of the tightknit, quite, low-density communities of (INAUDIBLE) Bay and Throggs Neck. The CPC approved the up-zone on grounds that there's a housing crisis in the city. I'm not sure what the CPC definition of a crisis is, but my definition of a crisis is when a group of building owners defy local building laws and ignore the outcry of local community in favor of their own financial interests. It's imperative that we encourage developers to work with the existing residents of the communities they choose to develop and for the city to find solutions to the housing crisis that will not create new problems in its low-density neighborhoods. Vote down the Bruckner up-zone. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Last but not least, Charlene Jackson Mendez.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 125 2 CHARLENE JACKSON MENDEZ: Thank you, 3 Tommy. I want to show up here in person today to try 4 to bring a different face to what some people are purporting is the Throggs Neck community. I want you 5 to look at me and my color and, even though some 6 7 neighborhoods may have more Irish or Italian than the 8 other, I just showed up here today and I see my Italian neighbor, Tommy, and we've worked together on many different things as part of the Community Board 10 11 11 district so we all get along for the most part 12 very well, and I want the Committee to understand that as a mom with four children I am heartbroken at 13 14 what has happened to our city. My husband and I 15 worked extremely hard to purchase a property in Van Nest, which is a poor section of your district, but 16 17 we aspire to lift up and become more like Throggs Neck. It's not about racism. There has to be a place 18 19 for the middle class in New York City. We've lost 20 300,000 productive citizens. I think there's a 30 billion dollar tax deficit that has come from that. 21 These problems can be solved without destroying 2.2 2.3 strong communities. We need to attempt to lift

everyone up. My children spent much of their lives in

Throggs Neck. They got a wonderful primary education

24

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 at the Greek American Institute on Bruckner Boulevard

3 and my daughter for years participated in the

4 Performer's Edge Dance Academy in Throggs Neck.

5 Please support homeownership, support hard work,

6 support resolving the existing problems with

7 infrastructure. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you to this applicant panel. You are now excused. Thank you.

The next panel I will call up is Peter
Bivona, Brendon Cheney, Sinclair, I'm having a hard
time pronouncing your name Sinclair, and Moses Gates.
Sinclair Hollingsworth. I'm going to begin with you
Sinclair. I'm sorry about that. Sinclair, you may
begin.

SINCLAIR HOLLINGSWORTH: Good afternoon,
City Council, and good afternoon to everybody here.

My name is Sinclair Hollingsworth. I'm the Director
of Operations at Kips Bay Boys and Girls Club. My
purpose in being here is to talk about Kips Bay and
our support for the use space that is proposed in
this project. We at Kips Bay serve about 10,000
members a year directly and indirectly. This summer
alone, we had 25 members in summer camp, and we also
placed 1,300 summer youth employment members

which is a 100-year-old planning, research, and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

articulate that every neighborhood must say yes to

supportive housing, if we're going to make progress

more housing, including more affordable and

2.3

24

crisis as a city by saying no to housing and telling

vulnerable neighbors to pack and find somewhere else to go. There is widespread support for the city of

4 yes. We recently joined a letter with more than 90

5 partners supporting affordable housing in every

6 neighborhood. We need to powerfully reject the NIMBY

and exclusionary zoning policies that have no place

8 anywhere in New York City. No neighborhood can be

9 allowed to simply opt out as they have in the past.

Every neighborhood must do its part to address the

11 housing crisis.

1

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will have Peter Bivona.

PETER BIVONA: Good afternoon. My name is Peter Bivona. I am speaking on behalf of my brother, Joseph Bivona, the owner of the Throggs Neck Foodtown and one of the applicants on this project. My brother, Joe, recently had surgery and is unable to appear to speak in person but my words represent him as an applicant. There has been a supermarket for the community in this location at Throggs Neck for nearly a century. At the height of the pandemic, the market was opened 16 hours a day and serving the community and ensuring continuous employment for 80 employees.

When our neighborhood needed the market, it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there. Contrary to some of the unfortunate rhetoric that has been out there, we care deeply about this neighborhood, and we want to see it grow and prosper. What we are proposing is a way to modernize and upgrade the food market while at the same time bringing new housing to our community. For the commercial businesses in this area, it has been a struggle to operate, especially given the high taxes that are levied against us. Rebuilding a more efficient supermarket with housing above it will allow the supermarket and the family that owns it to remain in business and stay in the neighborhood. Without such an upgrade, the future of the supermarket is uncertain. We assembled a great team of architects, development consultants, and others who know how to build a quality building in New York and have been around for quite a bit of time. We want a project that not only saves the supermarket and secures its future in Throggs Neck but also to help this community by addressing the affordable housing crisis. We want opportunities for young people to start families here. Our project is aimed at full spectrum of neighbors from young professionals to our elders. This is long overdue for our community, way

ask for your support. Thank you.

long overdue. There has been little built here in the last two decades. It doesn't just hurt new families that want to live there; it hurts those who grow up there and can't afford to live there. We believe in this project. We believe it's the right project for the right time. Say yes to this project. We are proud to present this to the City Council and respectably

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Peter.

Before this applicant panel is excused, myself and

Council Member Velázquez have a couple of questions.

I just have one question for Brendon. You said that

Council District 13 was the fifth least. Do you have

the top five least Council Districts who haven't been

building affordable housing on hand?

BRENDON CHENEY: Yeah. Give me one second. The bottom five districts were starting with District 13, 58 units; District 51, 49 units; District 19, 47 units, and this is all total units over eight years, District 46, 41 units; and then District 23 produced just 17 units in total over eight years.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Velázquez.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Continuing on that conversation, how can this project be more affordable as you see it? You have 349 units proposed. Only about 160, right, affordable. How can it be more affordable?

BRENDON CHENEY: I'm not familiar with the details of the deal. I think our position as an organization is that like on projects like this that the local community should certainly negotiate on the details of the project. I think we always support as much affordable housing as any project can get, but, ultimately, our position is that any negotiation should be with the goal of getting to yes, getting to as many units as you can but ultimately getting to a point where that community has more affordable housing from the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Have you ever seen a developer operate in bad faith?

BRENDON CHENEY: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. When we're talking about affordability, how affordable is an apartment that will still require a senior to travel about a mile to a subway?

BRENDON CHENEY: I mean the apartment is

still affordable, but I understand your concerned

about the transit and the transportation options for

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Correct. Where is this priority that you're claiming needs to be in our district? When we're talking about community, where do you see that specifically?

BRENDON CHENEY: Say that again.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: When we're talking about the priorities in my community and the claim that needs this affordable housing, where in the district currently do you see the need? Where has the voice been to say we need additional affordable housing (INAUDIBLE)

BRENDON CHENEY: The need for affordable housing I think is supported by the citywide need and the data in the district that shows that there's residents in the district that are rent-burdened, that are leaving the district to enter shelter, and so I think that those data points suggest that the community should be working as hard as it can to find places in the community for affordable housing and

2.2

2.3

the senior.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

this is a project right now that we see that would have affordable housing in it.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Perfect. Thank you. This is for you. How are you?

SINCLAIR HOLLINGSWORTH: I'm good.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Great seeing you, Sinclair. Why is your organization interested in relocating to the proposed commercial site that would be provided in this project?

SINCLAIR HOLLINGSWORTH: I don't necessarily think of it as a relocation. Kips Bay stronghold is in the Bronx. We have 10 locations throughout the Bronx, four standalone community centers, we're in four DOE school buildings, and two shelter centers. I think this opportunity allows us to broaden our scope and also offer opportunities to members of the community that we would not be able to serve had we not been in that area. Currently, we operate the Throggs Neck Community Center. We serve about 100 kids, and, after school, we open for teens in the evening and serve about another 100 kids, and I think this opportunity would allow us to a new renovated space, have input on design and what works for us. Kips Bay is a strong proponent of STEM, if

2 you don't know, science, technology, engineering, and

math, and the arts so STEAM is the real acronym, the 3

arts which also adds the visual, performing arts and 4

also culinary arts so I think this just allows us to

broaden the scope if this project is approved. 6

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you talk a little bit more about the programming that you intend to offer and what kinds of services would you offer?

SINCLAIR HOLLINGSWORTH: Like I previously stated, in afterschool right now we offer STEAM, we offer recreation. Character and leadership is a (INAUDIBLE) component of our entire being, giving back to the community, supporting the community. For us, also, it's important to train the local residents, the teens, we do resume, cover letters. At the Throggs Neck Community Center now, we support the community where we had an ESL program during the day so those types of spaces can be converted to multiuse spaces during the day, GED programs, skilled trade programs, and then also allow us to do afterschool programs and teen services in the evening.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Perfect. Thank

25 you.

24

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council 3 Member Velázquez. This panel is excused.

The last in-person panel before we go
back to online will be Mary Jane Musano, Andrew
Chirico, Janine Franciosa, George Havrenek
(phonetic), and John Sorini (phonetic). Please excuse
me today with these names. I am so sorry. If I
mispronounce your names, please correct me.

First person we will begin with is John Sorini.

JOHN SORINI: Hi. My name is John Sorini.

I was born and raised in the Throggs Neck community.

I'm a homeowner, business owner, and father of four children, still in the same community. It's a well-known fact that the American dream is homeownership so I have a question. Has the American dream changed so much since 2004 when your predecessors in this same Council, New York City Council, along with Mayor Bloomberg acknowledged that our community deserved to be down-zoned? This was a validation that the community was being threatened by overdevelopment. Here we stand less than 18 years later once again begging this Chamber to recognize that development must have its limitations. We are not against

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

development or housing in our community. The developers are not champions of housing. This is a for-profit venture by the Throggs Neck Associates. We have told them time and time again they can build as many one- and two-family homes as they can fit in the proposed zone, but they refuse, citing that it would not be profitable. This is a clearcut situation of developer greed. By the name of this rezoning, you are assuming that it's only Bruckner Boulevard that would be rezoned, but it's an entire community. This is not a modest 339-unit proposal. The character of these eight- or five-story buildings will not conform with our one- and two-story homes in our community. Bruckner Boulevard is already congested near the I-95, the most congested highway in America leading up to the Cross Bronx Expressway. The traffic study done by the developers was done during November 2020 in the height of COVID when people were quarantined and staying home. We ask that before this gets voted on that the New York City Council mandate that they should redo their traffic study during a non-COVID time. Advocates for this project say that Throggs Neck is not affordable, but yet the new units that they're building will be less affordable. A one2 bedroom apartment will be 1,675 dollars a month, much

3 higher than what tenants pay in the community

4 currently. This is a forever decision you will be

5 | forcing on us, and you can't reverse these buildings.

6 You can't reverse the crowding in our schools. You

can't reverse the traffic. You can't reverse the

8 | failed sewer system that flooded us during Storm Ida.

If this zoning changes, the next contractor can build

10 as-of-right and will continue to crush the Bronx with

11 more and more buildings. When will enough density in

12 | the Bronx be enough? Thank you.

13

14

20

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, John. Next will be Janine Franciosa.

JANINE FRANCIOSA: I am Janine Franciosa,

16 and I'm a lifelong resident of Pelham Bay. I'm a

17 Revenue Management Director at a major cable network.

18 At my job, when I am confronted with an opportunity

19 \parallel that I need to assess, I look for the best way. I

don't just go with the first way. That's lazy, and

21 | that's what this up-zoning proposal is, a lazy

22 | answer, not a sustaining solution. The developers

23 | that wish to up-zone their Bruckner lots are either

24 | bankrupt, cannot fiscally manage a supermarket, or

did nothing with their property for decades. These

developers deserve no more privilege than any other

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 past developer that has followed our zoning laws. Our

3 community is asking for reasonable change management

4 that aligns with our infrastructure, not to be

5 exploited and colonized through greedy agendas.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Janine.

Next, we will have Andrew Chirico.

ANDREW CHIRICO: My name is Andrew Chirico. I live in the neighborhood. I live in Throggs Neck Schuylerville. I grew up here. Our family moved here in the late '50s because it was a nicer place to live. This is a low-density neighborhood. Seventeen years ago when overdevelopment was taking over parts of the neighborhood and building out of context, our civic organizations complained and Mayor Bloomberg listened. He gave us the new Throggs Neck Zoning Amendment. It was voted on by the Community Board, the City Planning, the Borough President Carrion, and the City Council. It was unanimously approved by all departments. Why would anybody want to change this? Now, we're fighting again because of a small group of people who do not live here and want to change our zoning for a big payday. High-rise buildings are out of character for our neighborhood. The infrastructure cannot

1		\bigcirc N.T.	PONTENTO	7/ 1/ 1/	ED A MOLIT OF O
	SUBCOMMITTEE	()IV	7.() V N (-	AIVII	F KANUH I SES

2 | handle additional population. Our schools and

3 hospitals are bursting at the seams. Our police and

4 fire department are understaffed and overworked.

5 Additional population will destroy this community.

6 Now, the applicants, the Bivonas that own Foodtown,

7 | the Vecarellas (phonetic) that own Bedagrow

8 | (phonetic), the Marcianos that own the vacant

9 property west of Tremont, they are lying to us about

10 their intentions for this property. The Bivonas are

11 | in bankruptcy so to say they have plans to build is a

12 | fantasy. The Vecarellas and the Marcianos kept their

13 properties vacant and in disrepair for more than 30

14 years. There have been offers by local real estate

15 people to rent some of the vacant stores owned by the

16 | Vecarellas and the response was always no. Other

17 properties... I just have a little bit more.

18

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead.

19 ANDREW CHIRICO: Other properties in the

20 neighborhood remained vacant. If you grant this

21 | variance for the up-zoning, they will put these

22 properties up for sale before the ink is dried. The

23 | Vecarellas did this in Queens when they bought up a

24 | row of stores, two-story buildings, and flipped it

the minute they got their zoning to go in their

the reasons. In 2004, the City Council voted

an owner in bankruptcy court, but on this project

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

says he is an owner. Another received an up-zoning for properties in another area. That property is still undeveloped and now he is attempting to flip it. Another paid a settlement to his workers as a result of being sued for cheating them out of their duly earned wages, but his testimony regarding this project says he treats his workers like family. They did not seek approval from adjacent property owners nor did they even notify them that their lots would be included in this rezoning. They claim to be offering affordable housing, but they have not applied to HPD or for funding for senior or veterans housing. This is a proposal on paper only. The principals have neglected their properties and let them sit as eyesores even though they were receiving offers to buy. We have concerns about this proposal and we have concerns about the developers that proposed it. Please vote no.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mary.

Before I go to the last speaker on this panel, I'm

going to do one more in-person and then we're going

to go back to online. I'm going to ask that Aden

Munassar come down, Logan Phares, Roxanne Delgado,

and Austin Celestin come down, please. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Next up we'll have George Havranek.

Excuse me if pronounced your name wrong.

GEORGE HAVRANEK: Thank you for the opportunity. My name is George Havranek, and I'm a 66-year resident of my community. For the record, I am nor NIMBY nor YIMBY, I am a MIMBY. I'm a maybe. I'm a maybe when we can talk and speak about things and the TNA LLC has not spoken to the community at all, and that is disconcerting. This leads to two conclusions. I look at investor greed and I look at a war on low-density communities. Our goal from the outset has been basically a what issue, not a who issue. The what issue is preserving our low-density zoning, not who comes in to enjoy our beautiful community. Now diversity is a buzz word that's thrown around with reckless aplomb. How about diversity of living environment and landscape? How can preserving an area's environmental characteristic be deemed racist when organic change is undergoing and we have a demographic that almost mirrors the New York City demographics? CD13 almost mirrors New York City in demographics. Now when we look at some of the actions from the federal government on down, we could look at the 2020 Democratic National Platform, we could look

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, George. Before I excuse, I have one question for you, George. It doesn't seem like you're totally against this plan. You just feel like they haven't been responsive to the community. I just want to clarify.

sit down and talk. Put this thing, hit the pause

get this done. We need to communicate. Thank you.

button, let's do something productive here. We could

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2 GEORGE HAVRANEK: In fairness, Council 3 Member Riley, I am never closeminded to anything. We 4 have to look at everything and look at every avenue and every angle before we come to a proper decision. That is just logical thought and behavior, and that 6 7 is the way things should be done. You have to 8 understand this was thrust upon a community during a pandemic in July of 2021 under a shroud of secrecy. We uncovered documents that showed the TNA LLC was in 10 11 fact already in contact with City Planning, but there 12 is no amendment or anything in the City Charter to 13 show that they have to come to the community. City Planning suggests they come to the community. 14 15 Unfortunately, that suggestion was not taken. The TNA 16 LLC has been disingenuous with the community. As you 17 can see by all the different variations in their 18 project, they are just driving their car and amending 19 their roadway until they hit their pot of gold. That 20 is their game plan here, and this is not about 21 anything else to them, about greed, and I cannot come to any other conclusion and I've looked at this many 2.2 2.3 different ways. I applaud this Committee, and I actually have to say I have been a little hard on our 24 Council Member and I will admit that publicly and I 25

Celestin. I'm a lifelong New Yorker and a junior at

journalism. I don't think I need to reiterate the

New York University studying urban design and

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fact that we're in a housing crisis. I don't think I need to invoke the studies, stats, articles, and academic papers detailing our lackluster housing production, rent burden, and agreed upon solution to address all those problems, which this project does start to address. What I do need to address is the misconceptions. The precedence of the zoning history that so many have invoked over the last 20 years is not only a very slippery slope to invoke but also part of the reason we're in this situation in the first place. The down-zoning stymied the already minimal pace of development in this area and the preservation of the character has kept many perspective working- and middle-income residents out of the neighborhood and driven some of those natives out of the area with no means to return. Maintaining the zoning maintains the status quo. The neighborhood is not some bubble, but, even if it were, the status quo has created a difficult environment for many of the renters in the neighborhood, and the lack of housing in that area has had impacts on the city at large. The warranted concerns about lackluster infrastructure should not be a tool to delay much needed housing. Those improvements can and should be

2	made	in	tandem	with	this	development.	Sewer
---	------	----	--------	------	------	--------------	-------

- 3 improvements, schools, protected bus lanes, more
- 4 funding for the MTA, which would be a good argument
- 5 for congestion pricing. The only thing delaying this
- 6 housing serves to do is make it more expensive, both
- 7 | for the builders and the rest of the community.
- 8 Affordable housing, affordable senior housing is
- 9 something we absolutely cannot say no to. The
- 10 | Councilwoman is looking for truly affordable and
- 11 | impactful housing for the community, and that's what
- 12 | you're getting here, more than one project in the
- 13 | neighborhood has built in eight years. Every bit of
- 14 | housing that we can get is housing that we need, and
- we don't do ourselves any favors by trying to spend
- 16 another year trying to get another iteration. Lastly,
- 17 since the opposition has invoked flooding and
- 18 | sustainability, it is worth noting that this kind of
- 19 development that is catered to high-density housing
- 20 with apartments that does prioritize cars is much
- 21 more environmentally conscious than the existing
- 22 urban fabric in Throggs Neck. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Austin.
- 24 Next, we will have Roxanne Delgado.

2 ROXANNE DELGADO: Thank you. Good 3 afternoon, Council. Roxanne Delgado. I'm a resident 4 on Pelham Parkway in CD13. Last September, my whole apartment was flooded. I was in a hotel for over two months. I could not find an affordable apartment. The 6 7 rent that I now pay is 40 percent more than what I 8 paid in the previous apartment. I am rent-burdened, and it is stressful. Lack of affordable housing is a crisis in our community. Less than 60 affordable 10 11 housing was built in the last 10 years in the 12 district. The same lead organizer against this up-13 zoning also joined the coalition against Just Homes, a permanent affordable supportive housing at Jacobi 14 15 Hospital surrounded by a wall. Sixty percent of the 16 units will be for those critically ill or criminal 17 justice background, 40 percent for residents in the 18 community. The lead organizers state that they are 19 not against helping people but within the zoning. 20 Yet, Just Homes is within the current zoning; yet 21 they oppose it. I recall when I wanted to help some horses in a condemned stable in the district on 2.2 2.3 Pelham Parkway (INAUDIBLE) state they would rather have neglected horses than affordable housing. This 24 is our Community Board. Lastly, I wonder why our 25

25

2 | Community Board continues to go along with

3 discrimination and self-dealing in Community Board

4 11. Basically, they play along to get along. I hope

5 the City Council body votes their conscience and does

6 not play along to just get along. Lastly, if the City

7 Council votes against this up-zoning, then it should

8 vote against the Boston Road up-zoning because the

9 same up-zoning deals with supermarket and over 300

10 affordable housing. It is environmentally unjust to

11 | continue placing these affordable housing in just

12 communities of color or poor people. Every district

13 | should not be exempt. We should all do our fair

14 share. In closing, I support affordable housing and

15 also ask you to ban the New York City carriage horse

16 abuse. Thank you for your time.

17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Roxanne.

18 Next, we'll have Logan Phares. Can you please state

19 your name before you begin? Thank you.

20 LOGAN PHARES: Yes. My name is Logan

21 | Phares, and I serve as the Political Director of Open

22 | New York. Thank you, Chair Riley and Members of the

23 | Subcommittee, for the opportunity to speak today in

24 support of this project. Open New York is an

independent grassroots pro-housing non-profit. We

of displacement, and for the most vulnerable of

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Logan.

Last, we will have Aden, I'm so sorry if I

mispronounce your last name, Munassar. Just state

your name for the record.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ADEN MUNASSAR: My name is Aden Munassar.

Thank you, Chair Riley, Councilwoman Velázquez, and

Members of the Subcommittee. I was born and raised in

the Bronx, in the Pelham Bay, Throggs Neck

neighborhood that is part of this rezoning. Through

this rezoning process, I became connected to and a

member of Open New York, which advocates for abundant

housing in our city, and I'm here in support of this

vacant land and underused land and they're near an

Express bus stop, and, while a mile away from the

subway might seem a lot, I used to walk that all the

time, and there's the BX 40 and 42, and it seems to

me one of the best places to build new housing in the

the street life on East Tremont. The projects replace

17

25

area. It's clear to me that the project is badly needed, and I've heard efforts to address local

concerns. The housing cost in the area, like those

2 across the city, have been skyrocketing and increasing supply is one tool to address this. It's 3 4 not just a Throggs Neck problem. I've heard at local meetings claims that the existing community wouldn't be served by this project and that life as we know it 6 7 would be destroyed. I can hear the fear, and I've 8 been open to some of my neighbor's concerns and the Councilwoman's questions today, which I appreciate, but I know from personal experience that the lack of 10 11 new housing forces even people who grew up in the 12 neighborhood to make hard decisions about a future 13 here, let along families that want to move into it. The worry over the height of some of the buildings 14 15 seems to me misplaced. The tallest one is modest. These are not towers. Mid-rise buildings are common 16 17 in low-density neighborhoods across New York City, 18 and they serve those communities, not hurt them. I don't understand why this is so unique. Even so, 19 20 these are opportunities for negotiation, not outright 21 dismissal. The application does not do away with lowdensity growth management area, and the neighborhood 2.2 2.3 is not falling apart. It's a basic truth that we cannot address the housing crisis without building 24 25 more homes. I'm grateful to Mayor Adams and Borough

2 President Vanessa Gibson for their support of this

2.2

2.3

3 project, which more than doubles what was built in

4 the last eight years, and I join them in championing

5 this project and welcoming more neighbors into this

6 great community. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Aden. I have one question for you, Aden. You stated that you left the community in 2020 so you left the community because there wasn't adequate housing there for you?

Am I correct?

ADEN MUNASSAR: Yeah. I mean I wanted to buy and so for years I had been looking. There just wasn't anything that was within my budget. There wasn't anything that was sort of in the conditions that I was willing to, and there's a lot of basement apartments and two-family homes, and that was not appropriate for me or my family so, yes, I did move because I won an affordable housing lottery unit through the New York City Housing Connect, and I'm still in the Bronx but I would love to move back to the community.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, and how far are you currently right now from your son's school?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 ADEN MUNASSAR: It's like a 15-minute 3 train ride, like 20-minute train ride.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How far is this complex going to be from your son's school?

ADEN MUNASSAR: Oh, like a 10-minute walk.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you. This applicant panel is now excused.

We're going to go back to online for one panel and then we're going to come back to the inroom, in-person panel. Thank you. Counsel.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Next, we will hear from Joseph Russo, John Cornille (phonetic),
John Cortese, and Sherrill Cropper. First speaker on the panel will be Joseph Russo. Mr. Russo, are you ready?

JOSEPH RUSSO: I am. Can you hear me?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: You may begin.

JOSEPH RUSSO: Thank you. I'm Joseph
Russo, the Chairman of Bronx Community Board 10.
Chairman Riley, Council Member Velázquez, and the
Committee, I'm here before you as the duly elected
Chairperson of Bronx Community Board 10 within whose
confines this rezoning request sits. It is my duty to
report to you that our Board reviewed the proposal,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

undertook extensive constituent outreach, held three official meetings including the public hearing which the applicants presented their proposal, and had various discussion on the matter leading up to the submittal of the application. In over 30 years of community service, I've never seen my Board and the community it serves so united. The Housing and Zoning Committee voted 13 to nothing against supporting the application for the rezoning with one recusal, and 96 percent of the full Board voted against supporting the application for the rezoning. While I recognize that the Board is purely advisory, we do serve at your behest as your eyes and ears on the local level. I trust that our findings will be duly considered as you make your decision whether to approve this application. Approving this project puts more Bronxites at the foot of a major highway which exacerbates already high asthma rates. Also note that the applicants included zoning lots within the application without seeking the acknowledgement or consent of the fellow property owners in the fourblock stretch. I know I don't have to remind Chairman Riley or Council Member Velázquez since your constituents reside within Bronx Community Board 10,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

but I do need to state for the record since the applicants and their hired consultants have consistently tried to portray our position on racial lines. Our Community Board is 56 percent non-white and the community itself according to the Census is 53 percent non-white. Much of the testimony you've heard, particularly from people who don't live in the community, is our position is race-based. Nothing could be further from the truth. The community is not opposed to development or investment. We're not opposed to affordable housing, senior living, or veterans housing, but developing (INAUDIBLE)

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

JOSEPH RUSSO: Zoning has been in place for 20 years. The area was zoned accordingly when the applicants bought the properties and they knew what they were buying and what it was zoned for. They gambled with the right consultants like

Constantinople and Vallon Consulting and (INAUDIBLE)

Public Relations they could steamroll the variants through the Community Board and the city government and your vote will determine whether or not special interests run the city government or not. Also, I do need to stress more than anything else, and you have

my written testimony, but just some highlights as well, even if you believe the current zoning needs to be revised, Throggs Neck Associates, LLC and this proposal are not the people or the project to bet the future of the Bronx on. They have no track record or experience in major urban development or managing large-scale apartment complexes. They have a professional history of bankruptcies and property flipping. They own a supermarket in the middle of what they, themselves, call a food dessert and they say they're unable to earn a profit. To date, the applicants have not taken any tenable steps to apply for subsidies for senior affordable housing. The rezoning application contains affordable housing, and it's entirely theoretical. They have not put much in the way of putting forth financing for the project. As they seek to build trust from the community, they've left storefronts and buildings vacant instead of leasing to potential businessowners. It would be irresponsible for you to give them a free pass to overturn a 40-year process without greater scrutiny of the applicants, their funding sources, and their long-term commitment to the community. Throggs Neck

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3 York City deserves better. Thank you.

1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Thank you, Mr. Russo. The next speaker will be John Cornille.

JOHN CORNILLE: Hello, guys. Can you hear me?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Yes.

JOHN CORNILLE: Okay. Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is John Cornille, resident of Throggs Neck and a lifelong resident of the Bronx. We have seen this battle for this proposal raise tensions on both sides, each with their own endless or unproductive shouts as this is my neighborhood, which states the obvious, and this is an empty lot, which is an ignorant statement as you can call Central Park an empty lot. Those for building these projects state it will benefit the lower income, the African American, the Hispanic American. It really does not. They say it's about equity. It is not since the same businessperson will know that equity is ownership. All in Throggs Neck have worked hard to get these houses. It was not handed to us. These projects should be reduced in size, which I've heard already to five, which is

remain in the webinar until you are dismissed as

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 166						
2	Council Members may have questions. The next speaker						
3	on the panel will be John Cortese.						
4	JOHN CORTESE: Good morning. Can you hear						
5	me?						
6	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Yes.						
7	JOHN CORTESE: Good morning. I have been						
8	on this Zoom meeting from the beginning. I see most						
9	of the people that are for this project are non-						
10	residents of Throggs Neck and union members. I have						
11	lived here my entire life. Overdevelopment has a						
12	truckload of issues. Our schools are already						
13	overcrowded. Our children are eating lunch in the						
14	(INAUDIBLE)						
15	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Mr. Cortese.						
16	JOHN CORTESE: ER waits span from two days						
17	to about six days. Our residents lay on uncomfortable						
18	stretchers waiting for an appropriate bed in an						
19	inpatient unit. As a nursing professional (INAUDIBLE)						
20	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Mr. Cortese,						
21	there appears to be an issue with your audio. We can						
22	no longer hear you.						
23	JOHN CORTESE: Sorry. Can you still hear						
24	me?						

2 SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: I can hear you 3 now.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHN CORTESE: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. I have lived here my entire life. Overdevelopment has a truckload of issues. Our schools are already overcrowded. Our children are eating lunch in their classrooms, not enough room in the cafeteria. Our hospital ER waits span from two days to about six days. Our residents lay on uncomfortable stretchers waiting for an appropriate inpatient bed. As a nursing professional, I have seen that too many times in our Bronx hospitals. My 84-year-old dad has seen that multiple times as well. Our community welcomes many Section 8, HASA, and programs that help the homeless coming out of shelters and prison, affordable housing. We have multiple (INAUDIBLE) Section 8 residents living here in our community, HASA residents, and other residents that are on programs. We welcome everyone. We are a large diversity community. I spend lots of time cleaning up the community. I am out there multiple hours a day cleaning our community because I love my community so much, and I'm not against affordable housing. We have it in the community. Come around.

do not wish to take your time repeating the testimony

already put forth by my neighbors. However, my husband has asked that I make this statement, which would be food for thought. Why is the city actively seeking 1) congestion pricing, 2) road diets, and 3) higher tax assessment? Because we're already overcrowded and cannot support the existing density. Now I'd like to say I am opposed to this project. I would like to address some comments made on the composition of our neighborhood. I am proud to live in New York City's most blended areas of the Bronx where people come to buy homes after working diligently to improve their family's future. A demographic review will show that we are one of the most socioeconomic, cultural, racial, and spiritually diverse areas of the Bronx, and I would guess in the entire city. We live and work side by side to raise our families in our one- and two-family homes that have kept us here in New York City and not seen us moving away to Long Island, Westchester, and New Jersey. This is the American dream. Please help us to keep our current zoning so that we may continue this honorable and reputable culture of achieving this dream. I'd like to ask as a final note why is a goal

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

housing and senior housing. They're just not in favor

of these applicants, and they're not in favor of the

24

not the proposal that we support.

2.2

2.3

rezoning. The issue is not affordable housing or senior housing or youth services. Everyone in the community is in favor of those, but these are not the right people to do it and destroying the fabric of the community by building eight-story structures in the neighborhood of one- and two-story houses is not the right way to do it. Again, speaking for my members as best as I can, they're very happy about seeing affordable housing and senior housing and veteran housing be part of this proposal but this is

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: What are the CB's continuing main concerns as it pertains to this project?

JOSEPH RUSSO: Again, I think the biggest issues are the infrastructure, again, you've heard from a lot of my members today, the infrastructure situation, the transit situation, the height situation, again they're putting eight-story buildings in an area that's zoned for two- and three-story houses. Those are the challenges. Also, frankly, those are the concerns and also the intent of the applicants, that they're not investing in the community. They're looking for a guick buck and they

sought before the application was filed.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Thank you for
3 sharing. Lastly, what types of communication has the
4 Community Board had with the development team
5 throughout this process, who's responsible for
6 outreach, and has the Community Board reached out to
7 the developers to discuss additional concerns, needs,
8 or requests?

at the Housing and Zoning Committee meetings as well as the public hearing. They had reached out through the Board and my District Manager, Matt Cruise (phonetic), reached out to them as well to get as much information as we could going in, but they have not taken an active role in coming before us and discussing any of the challenges or any problems that we foresaw other than the public hearing process and the Housing and Zoning Committee process.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Will you be open to additional conversations?

JOSEPH RUSSO: Always. As long as this application is on the table and they want to come and present options to develop the site and they want to make that commitment, I'm personally always open.

Again, I can speak for most of my Board Members, I

that position for the past 19 years, and I'm also

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

here today speaking to you as a homeowner in that community where I have also lived for the past 19 years. I am in support of this project, and I'll give you a few reasons why. Most of the parcels in this proposal lay on the service road for the Bruckner Expressway. They're not smack in the middle of a residential neighborhood. The majority of them, as many have mentioned today, are not even being used at all. They're vacant lots and closed down businesses and why anybody would be against putting any kind of housing up for people on land like that is beyond me. Another reason is during the pandemic, we were open seven days a week. Everybody knows a lot of other businesses had to close down, and some of them never opened back up again. A lot of them, many of them continue to struggle today, and I believe bringing more families into the community are only going to help the local businesses in the neighborhood. I'm going to close with this, that when this proposal first became public, a group of people got together and decided that they were going to boycott our store and they continue to do so today, but it's okay. We've served this community for 19 years. We continue to serve this community today, and, once this

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

proposal is approved, we will continue to serve the community for many years going forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Harry.

Next, we will have Maricella Simon. Please state your name for the record before you begin.

MARICELLA SIMON: Good afternoon. My name is Maricella Simon, and I have worked at the Throggs Neck Super Foodtown for 19 years. My husband and brother-in-law also work at the store. Our store provides healthy food for the neighborhood, and we are an important part of this community. During COVID, we came to work every day and the store stayed opened under really scary circumstances, and we continued to serve this community's needs in the darkest times. We were there for Throggs Neck, and we hope this neighborhood can be there for us. I have had a good experience working here, and I know my boss just wants to keep this store going. Over the year, the owners of this store have supported the neighborhood. They have donated generously a local veteran breakfast, the little leagues, and given out turkeys to those in need at Thanksgiving. This proposed redevelopment will help the store remain here and continue serving this community's food

needs. Without it, I fear the store may disappear. The kind of protests we have seen have been pretty nasty and honestly scary. Those people are intimidating their neighbors. There are a lot of passion here in this community, and it is a special place but I just do not understand why a couple of apartment buildings is causing everyone to get so upset. Apartment buildings are a common part of many neighborhoods. I don't believe they will destroy this area. We are talking about lots along the Bruckner Expressway. Everyone deserves a place to live that doesn't break the bank, and there is nothing to be feared by a few apartment buildings. Veteran housing, apartments for seniors, youth center programs, and new homes for young families and workers starting out all seem like good things to me. New family jobs and businesses coming here will be a positive thing for this neighborhood. This is New York City. We are about lots of different people coming together, working together to create a better city. I hope the Council will support our jobs and new affordable housing by supporting this project. Thank you for taking the time to hear me out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Before I call the next person testifying, the next panel that I will be calling is John Tritt, Aaron Jones, Christine Culpepper, Jamie Debellis (phonetic), and Alfred Bastone.

The next speaker we'll have is Canida Santiago. Canida, you may begin. Please state your name for the record.

CANIDA SANTIAGO: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Canida Santiago. I'm here supporting this project from Foodtown Supermarket at Throggs Neck. I have been working at the Foodtown for five years, and I'm not only working at the Foodtown, I am a neighborhood local resident. I am an immigrant from the Dominican Republic. I have been living in the United States for 20 years, and I have been living in the neighborhood since I came to this country. I have experiences at discrimination, and, as someone who has experienced that, people opposing this housing plan seems like discrimination to me. As a cashier at the supermarket, I have to hear both sides, people supporting and people opposing, but the level of intensity among the opposition has been really crazy. People who support the idea and think

2 that affordable housing will benefit the neighborhood

3 feel intimidated. The hateful expression has scared

4 them from coming for work. This has been a great

5 | place to work. Owners treats employees well and

6 manage a positive work environment. In my five years

7 of working here, I have never had any complaint about

8 (INAUDIBLE). Affordable housing is good for everyone,

9 and I feel that every community should welcome

10 different housing types and size.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead and finish.

CANIDA SANTIAGO: Affordable housing just

14 means that working families can have a chance to try

15 to do better for themselves and their families. Can

16 you imagine how scary it can be having to choose

17 between having a meal and paying your rent? How can

18 supporting our veterans and seniors and building a

19 new supermarket be a bad place? I only see benefits

20 from it, and I don't think that this is going to

21 change our neighborhood. I support this project 100

22 percent.

23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we

24 | will have Nelson Velazquez.

1

11

12

2 NELSON VELAZQUEZ: Good afternoon. My name 3 is Nelson Velazquez, and I'm here to testify in 4 support of the Bruckner rezoning. This is a very personal project for me as I grew up in the community 5 just a few blocks away from Foodtown where I now work 6 at. I am proud that the store was an important part 8 of the community at the height of the pandemic and more. I've had a terrific experience working here, and it was a good job and always is very fair and 10 11 respectful. I am proud of my work, and, more 12 significantly however, the benefits to this project will bring to our community. Having grown up here, I 13 14 know the value of something like Kips Bay Boys and 15 Girls Club will mean for young people in the 16 neighborhood. It's really important we bring 17 opportunity to the neighborhood for all and that 18 means programming. It also means affordable, good 19 housing that is something that we haven't had enough 20 and desperately need. I can tell you that it's hard 21 for young people starting their lives to stay in the 2.2 community here with just a few responsible options. 2.3 Why do we want to make people leave? We should be creating an environment where all ages of people from 24 young families to our seniors can set down roots and 25

2 grow for multiple generations. I'm asking the City 3 Council to please help us create more opportunities at Throggs Neck by voting yes for this project. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Velázquez has a question for this applicant 6 7 panel. Council Member Velázquez. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Hi. You had 9 mentioned community involvement with the supermarket. Can you elaborate further? You had mentioned some 10 11 kind of breakfast, for the young lady next to Harry. 12 Sorry, I didn't get your name. 13 MARICELLA SIMON: Can you repeat the 14 question. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: You had 16 discussed community involvement and engagement on 17 behalf of the supermarket. Can you elaborate further as to what that was? You had said some breakfast that 18 19 was hosted. 20 MARICELLA SIMON: Yes. We always give 21 donations to the church. We give out turkeys during

the time of Thanksgiving. They always donate like

more than 200 turkeys for people that cannot afford

it. We also gave out a whole bunch of masks to the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

181

24

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 182
2	schools we donated for the COVID time. We did a lot
3	of great things in the supermarket.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. I'm
5	sorry. I just heard something in your testimony about
6	a breakfast every year that you hosted. That's all. I
7	also wanted to confirm what that was and get more
8	information on that.
9	MARICELLA SIMON: Yeah, it's all part of
10	the donations that my boss always goes into.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Thank you so
12	much. Harry, you had mentioned working there for the
13	last 20 years. Can you describe your work experience?
14	HARRY CELENTANO: Prior to this?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: No. While at
16	Foodtown.
17	HARRY CELENTANO: My work experience has
18	been great. I came from a chain for 16 years and came
19	here. We opened this store up, and I've been here for
20	19 years.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: How would you
22	describe the Bivonas and their work ethic?
23	HARRY CELENTANO: Very hard-working. Joe

is there pretty much every day. One of us or both of

the Deputy Political Director of 32BJ SEIU, and I'm

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | actually here today not just giving general support

3 from our union, but I'm reading testimony prepared on

4 behalf of our Executive Vice President Shirley

5 Aldebol who is a long-time resident of the district

6 and the community and it was important to her that we

7 deliver the statement in her words.

Hello, my name is Shirley Aldebol. I'm the Executive Vice President of 32BJ SEIU. Today, I'm submitting testimony in favor of the proposed Bruckner rezoning. That New York City faces an affordable housing crisis is beyond dispute at this point. As a Throggs Neck resident of more than 40 years, a woman of color, and an officer with 32BJ, I see it in my neighborhood and at work every day. Our members have fought to win strong contracts. Like millions of other New Yorkers, they are not immune from inflation and rising rents that are stretching families and forcing them out of the city. Far too often, jobs that are available don't come with the pay, benefits, and training that workers can build a life on for themselves and their families. We must and can pursue policies that create both good jobs and affordable housing. The proposed Bruckner rezoning accomplishes that. Additionally, 32BJ

16

24

2 | supports responsible developers who invest in the

3 communities where they build. I'm happy to report

4 that the developers of this project have made a

5 credible commitment to create prevailing building

6 service jobs at this site. This commitment means that

7 | building workers will have access to family-

8 sustaining wages, retirement, and quality health

9 benefits. Additionally, I won't go through all the

10 details of the affordability and other benefits that

11 have been listed and talked about at length today,

12 | but the bottom line is that New York City and

13 | especially the Bronx needs family-sustaining jobs and

14 affordable housing now. I thank the Committee for

15 allowing me to submit this testimony.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, John. Next

17 | will be Alfred Bastone.

18 ALFRED BASTONE: Good afternoon, Council.

19 | I thank you for this opportunity to speak on behalf

20 of the Throggs Neck/Bruckner sites rezoning. My

21 | name's Alfred Bastone. I've been a Local 79 laborer

22 | now for 13 years. I've been a District 13 resident

23 | for many years. I grew up in District 13. I went to

high school in Lehman. I played football in Pelham

25 Bay. I played hockey in Throggs Neck. I'm a part of

opportunity to testify in support of the Throggs

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Neck/Bruckner sites rezoning. I'm a District 13 resident. I'm a little nervous.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RILEY: It's all right. Take}$ your time.

JAMIE DEBELLIS: I'm excited to see new permanently affordable housing for Throggs Neck that is greatly needed. This will benefit local residents like myself, families, and allow us to stay in the neighborhood that we love. I believe that everyone should have access to a home, especially renters and low-income families of color who suffer the most from lack of housing. I don't want to be pushed out of my home, and I don't want that for anyone else. I've lived here in the neighborhood my whole life, and I've lived in the same home for 18 years with my grandparents, and there's no affordable housing anywhere. I'm afraid that I'm one bad tragedy away from losing my home, being pushed out of the neighborhood, and I love my neighborhood so much. It makes me happy just walking. I'm really afraid for myself and other people who can't sustain themselves through the increase of rent, increase of being able to live, and with this rezoning, I feel like that will help some of the issues. Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your testimony. You did great. The next testimony we'll hear is Christine Culpepper.

CHRISTINE CULPEPPER: Good afternoon and

thank you, Council Members for this opportunity to testify in support of the Throggs Neck/Bruckner sites rezoning. My name is Christine Culpepper, and I am a District 13 resident and a Local 79 member, 23 years with Local 79. I'm here to support this rezoning and the affordable housing and union jobs that it will bring to our community. We need the housing to protect the vulnerable families at risk for displacement. We need housing for seniors and veterans to protect communities that have experienced high rates of COVID. We need jobs with health benefits. Denied employer-paid healthcare from workers and their families puts lives at risk. Lack of housing will also put our community health at risk. This project's affordable housing opportunities are especially important and dear to me for our local seniors who are living in poverty. Our district has very high rates of senior residents. There will also be a veterans housing component to this created with a focus on local Throggs Neck community veterans with

my head what our overall numbers are in Community

2.2

2.3

Board 10, but I believe in the Council District we have about 1,870, 1,860 members.

ALFRED BASTONE: I'm not particularly familiar the complete composition of our numbers in Community Board 10, but there's certainly a density of individuals there that will benefit throughout CD13 altogether.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: If you can please follow up with us after with that number, that'll be helpful. Also, have you connected with Community Board 10 to discuss your role in the project or seek assistance in establishing a role?

JOHN TRITT: We were active in the

Community Board process from the beginning. I was

personally at every hearing, including hearings where

we were told there was going to be public testimony,

I signed up, wasn't allowed to testify so we've been

there. We've been part of the process since the

beginning and publicly testified there and publicly

testified at the Borough President's hearing as well

so we've been active and engaged in the Community

Board process from the beginning.

ALFRED BASTONE: In relationship to CD13 and Community Boards, we do participate in a number

with the applicant, how long has it been?

agreement with the developer.

ALFRED BASTONE: I imagine those conversations have been happening a while with our market development department; however, I am, as an individual who is a Local 79 member rank and file for the most part of my life, I'm not familiar with the exact question of conversation that led up to the

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: If you can get back to us, two things, I would love for you to sit down with Community Board 10, the District Manager himself, and the Chair, Joe Russo, and Matt Cruise just to describe what the agreement that you have come with the applicant just so that they are updated with the process and so the Community Board can understand what is going on and your position in it.

Can you explain, and this is for both again, what are the benefits associated with union in this process?

JOHN TRITT: One thing about being a member of 32BJ and a building service worker, the comparison to being a member of our union and building service workers that are non-union, it's night and day. The comparison between the wages, the conditions, the benefits, they're really two

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

non-union, but, in particular for building service workers, the jobs that will be created as a result of this development, and often folks that are employed are local so they can have folks that live in the community, have a short commute to work, spend their union wages in the community they live in. We have members that have to commute from the Poconos. If you want to live in the Poconos, that's fine, but we shouldn't feel that folks to commute into good union jobs from outside the city so that's why we're here today, very much trying to fight against that trend, fighting on behalf of our members that live in the Council District but also just across the city, and we feel that this is very much a local concern and a local issue and we appreciate that, but, as we know as folks that live in New York City, we live in an ecosystem that is connected, and that's why we are giving this the full support and attention that we're giving it today.

ALFRED BASTONE: In regards to Local 79, our benefit here is very clear. First of all, one, it'll bring union jobs, our position and stake in here. Any part of the industry that has actually a very low union density. Affordable housing, generally

level of conversations with the developers been like

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 196
2	and have you advocated for other labor groups to join
3	in on this development.
4	ALBERT BASTONE: Again, in terms of like
5	how I could answer these questions, you would have to
6	talk more directly to our Market Research Department
7	As, again, as a mostly rank and file member
8	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Are they
9	available to speak?
10	ALBERT BASTONE: What?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Are they
12	available to speak or can we get a deliverable of
13	when these
14	ALBERT BASTONE: I'm pretty sure they are
15	willing to speak.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: No, are they
17	here present? Okay, perfect.
18	ALBERT BASTONE: Yes.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Are you
20	registered already to speak?
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If you want to come
22	over and answer, just state your name for the record
23	and we're just going to need you to fill out a slip
	1

as well. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 OONA ADAMS: I'm Oona Adams. I am the 3 Assistant Director of Organizing for Laborers Local 4 79. We've worked with the developer team for about a month to secure a memorandum of understanding which 5 quarantees local hire for local residents from our 6 7 Community District 13 members. I'll have to get back to you on the numbers. I think there are around 300-8 ish in district and so we have finalized an MOU signed by our Mason Tenders District Council and the 10 11 Development Team. Was there another question?

Specifically, as we're talking about and as John had mentioned earlier about the strength in union development, both during construction and after, will you advocate for other labor groups to join in as well? Have those conversations happened? If not, will you have them?

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Yes.

OONA ADAMS: So we advocate on behalf of the members of Laborers Local 79 because we have a residential rate which allows us to build affordable housing and because we have a deep commitment to local and community hire and target hiring for traditionally disadvantaged communities, and we would, of course, encourage anybody to build union

2 and staff union. I think it's always the best way for

3 any development to build economic growth for a

4 community so, of course, we would always say yes, we

5 | encourage that, but, no, we are not going to be

6 leveraging a developer and telling them they must

7 make any project 100 percent anything. New York City

8 in the affordable housing industry has become an open

9 shop environment, and that is not good news for the

10 people of our city, but that is currently where we

11 are.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

12 COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Thank you.

John, do you want to answer that?

JOHN TRITT: Similarly, the optimum situation for us would always be that the building is completely constructed union and operated union, but we recognize that there are numerous factors that go into negotiations between any particular trade and the developer. I will say this, I'm in constant contact with folks across different various trades, and I can tell you today that I have not heard any account of any conversations happening in bad faith or feelings. Now, I haven't talked to everybody, but (INAUDIBLE) that I have not heard any evidence of

these developers operating in bad faith or anything

2 | like that. There are a large no factors that go into

3 whether or not ultimately an MOU can from a

4 particular trade or not, but to Oona's point, I think

5 | it's a very good one, that especially in the

6 affordable housing construction and operation

7 | frankly, there's an increasing trend in it operating

8 | open shop and so to get to a point where this project

9 can be approved and built by the Laborers and

10 perated by 32BJ, that is very much pushing back

11 | against that trend, a trend that's been growing for

12 | years. At any rate, if you don't get to a point where

13 | there's 100 percent union construction, the fact that

14 | the Laborers are involved and they are a part of

15 constructing this project is bucking against the

16 | trend as opposed to a scenario where it otherwise

17 | would be built 100 percent union.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Just to

19 confirm, you have an agreement, correct?

rule, we will not be in support in any public way and any official capacity if we don't have a signed

JOHN TRITT: It's in writing. 32BJ as a

agreement that the jobs are going to be good jobs and

24 that's ironclad, enforced vigorously, and so that

18

20

21

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 happened before we even showed up to the Community 3 Board.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Can you present us with a copy of that because we've been asking for that and have not received one?

JOHN TRITT: Yeah, I'd be happy to walk your team through it.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: Okay. Perfect. Then can you also walk the Community Board through that as well?

JOHN TRITT: Yeah, I will not do that by myself. I'll have to check back with our crew to see to commit to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VELAZQUEZ: I appreciate that. Same for Local 79.

OONA ADAMS: Sure. Not to get too technical here, but we sign collective bargaining agreements with employers on jobs and because, of course, the developers are not going to be the direct employers of construction workers, we can't sign a contract with them so what we do with development teams is we work through an MOU, a Statement of Principles with the understanding that when the construction contracts are let, they will be let to

Please excuse me if I mispronounce your name.

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Just

2.2

2.3

another announcement. If there's any others here in Chambers that would like to testify today, could you please come down and see one of the Sergeants so you can fill out and submit a slip so you can testify.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. First,
we'll begin with William Bollinger. If I mispronounce
your name, excuse me. Just state your name for the
record then you may begin.

WILLIAM BOLLINGER: Hello. My name is
William Bollinger. I've been doing real estate
development in the Bronx since the early '90s,
primarily affordable housing. I'm working with the
development team as a development consultant in this
capacity on this project. I think it's a great
project or I wouldn't be involved in it. I'm a firm
believer in affordable housing because I've seen how
it's made a difference in people's lives personally.
It provides dignity, and it gives people an
opportunity to have stability, kids to go to school
in the neighborhoods, for long-term residency, and
the parents, themselves, mostly often sometimes
single parents, a chance to be able to get a stable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

job and to be able to raise a great family and contribute back to the neighborhood. With respect to this development in general, I know there's been a lot of discussion about infrastructure. There's no doubt that many parts of New York City including this area could use infrastructure improvements. On the macro level, certainly the sewer systems, but I just want to go back a little bit to what the engineer was talking about and just kind of simplify that process. Right now, as an example, if there's a rain event and you dump a bathtub full of water on the Foodtown parking lot on the roof, that water's going to rush right into the sewer system and just go right down into the system. If there's a rain event happening at that same time, that same bathtub full of water is going to not probably go in the sewer system, possibly go into the neighbor's yard and down into their backyard. With the new development, these projects then have detention tanks so that same bathtub full of water gets put into a big detention tank and then gets slowly released into the sewer system, so ironically, I know it's counterintuitive, by developing on this site you actually are going to put less stress on the sewer system than what happens

testify and I appreciate it.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

right now from that same sight when there's a major rain event. Again, thank you for the opportunity to

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks, William. Next, we'll have Angel Diaz.

ANGEL DIAZ: Good afternoon. For the record, my name is Angel Diaz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman Riley, for this opportunity to speak my piece. Good afternoon to the Council. My name is Angel Diaz. I'm a 14-year member of LIUNA Local 79 and a long-time resident of District 13. This project, the creation of affordable housing for families, affordable housing for seniors, and veteran housing will only serve to benefit our community. Our district, District 13, has one of the highest rates of residents over the age of 65 living in poverty, and all of our neighbors in Throggs Neck deserve to live with dignity. Our elderly relatives should not have to leave this neighborhood, and we shouldn't have to ask other elders to not come to this neighborhood to live. Everybody should have an opportunity to experience what is Throggs Neck. Our children deserve the expanded access to youth wellness and recreation centers that this rezoning

will bring. This project will also create union jobs that bring family wages and health coverage, sustain families in our community and help grow families in our community. I stand against any opposition that seeks to push out low-income families, any people of any color, race, or ethnicity, or any hard-working New Yorkers out of any community, let alone my community. We should be welcoming people and not shunning people away. In closing I'll say this, Throggs Neck is one of the few communities in New York that serves like a beacon of light. We have very few communities, Riverdale, we've got Morris Park. I'm from 138th Street. Millbrook Projects. My mother worked hard to move me to Gun Hill Road. It took a lot for me to get a place in District 13, and I'm lucky that I have Local 79 that allows me the opportunity to cover my family. My kids go to private school, and I'm very happy my girl goes to Scanlan. My son graduated (INAUDIBLE). It's hard for people to have access to that, and just because people can't afford to pay for it doesn't mean they shouldn't have that opportunity to do it and experience it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Angel.

3 Next, we'll have Michael Cass.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MICHAEL CASS: Hi. My name is Michael Cass. I'm a resident of Council District 13, and I support the Bruckner sites rezoning. Since 2014, our Council District has built only 58 units of affordable housing, the fifth smallest amount citywide. If we're going to solve the housing crisis, all communities must build more, including our own, and this rezoning is the best opportunity to add housing. It effectively doubles the amount of new affordable housing in our district and includes senior and veterans housing and new amenities which will improve the quality of life of existing residents. No part of this proposal is viable under existing zoning. When folks demand no rezoning, they're saying that even the three-story building for our veterans is too big. We need to get our priorities straight. In the City Planning hearing, Chair Garodnick pointed out that the rental vacancy rate in our district constitutes a housing emergency. An emergency. We can't afford pretext. We need to get to yes. The merits are too strong. The City Planning Commission report found that the rezoning is

advocates to ask the City Council to stand up, send a

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 message, and to pass the Bruckner sites rezoning.

3 It's time to be a city of yes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good job, Mike. Next, we will have Frank, Frank, I'm not even going to mess up your last name, I'm so sorry. Is Frank here? Is Frank present? Okay, we'll move on. The next person we'll have is Ankar Dalal.

ANKAR DALAL: Ankar Dalal, yes. Thank you. My name is Ankar Dalal. I'm here to testify in favor of the Bruckner rezoning. I first learned about the project through Open New York, which is a grassroots pro-housing non-profit, and I've come to support it because it will include hundreds of new homes including nearly a hundred desperately needed belowmarket rate homes that include apartments set aside for seniors and veterans. While the Mayor, the Borough President, and the City Planning Commission have all supported this plan, the local Council Member has expressed some concern supporting the project unless it garners local support, provides local housing and jobs, has union support, and has real affordability. Let's get the easy ones out of the way. Union support. You saw it today. Local 79 and 32BJ have rallied in favor of the project. Local

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

jobs. In her report recommending approval, the Borough President noted that this project will bring 476 new jobs to the neighborhood. Local housing with real affordability. There are 94 below-market rate units in this project including dozens set aside for seniors and veterans. Some opponents have expressed concern that setting aside what will be almost half of the project for some sort of below-market supportive housing isn't sufficient, but it's a little hard to take that criticism seriously when those same opponents are currently fighting against the nearby Just Homes project in Morris Park which is entirely low-income and supportive housing. It makes you think that even 100 percent affordable housing wouldn't be welcome here. Now, we get to the hard one, local support. There is an angry group of local residents who are up in arms against this project. You heard from them today. Would any proposal satisfy them? Despite the Community Board President saying that the neighborhood supports new housing, history indicates they don't. In a 2020 report, the Citizens Budget Commission noted that Bronx CB10 is in the bottom of city community districts in terms of providing new housing units in the past decade. Are

2 | we surprised? The applicant earlier testified that

3 supporters have been threatened with hanging and have

4 been hosed down with water and told they do not

5 belong there. Let that sink in. This isn't Alabama in

6 1959. This is New York City in 2022, and people are

7 hearing that. I'm from an immigrant family so that's

8 | why this is personal to me. Our family started our

9 | life in New York City, in Queens, but I don't think

10 my family would be able to start again New York City

11 | if they moved to America today because we say no to

12 | new housing too frequently. We need to be a city that

13 | learns how to say yes again. Yes to new neighbors,

14 yes to new homes, yes to new businesses. Please

15 | support this project.

16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ankar.

17 Last, Frank Balaqua. Frank Balaqua, going once, going

18 twice. All right. Thank you to this applicant panel

19 | for your testimony. We truly appreciate it.

We have one more panel with more person

21 | testifying in person then we're going to go back to

22 online. I would like to call up Tafadar Sourov.

23 Excuse me if I mispronounce your name. You could

24 state your name for the record then you may begin.

2 TAFADAR SOUROV: Hi, my name is Tafadar 3 Sourov, and I'm an organizer for Laborers Local 79. 4 I'm a lifelong Bronx resident who lives in Council District 13, and I represent hundreds of members in District 13 alone, including 2,000 members throughout 6 7 the Bronx. Everything that the Bruckner rezoning project offers is badly needed means of creating 8 housing affordability and equity in Throggs Neck along with good union jobs. We're talking about a 10 11 project that includes veterans housing, senior 12 housing, youth center, and a modernized supermarket, 13 all things that are going to benefit the 14 neighborhood, its residents, its small businesses, 15 the elderly that call it their home, the youth who 16 are the future, and the working people. With a crisis 17 of affordability in this city pushing neighbors and 18 loved ones out of communities they grew up in and 19 cherish, it's our responsibility to embrace inclusion 20 and affordable housing. For the last two weeks, I've helped collect 500 petition signatures from neighbors 21 in our district who feel the dire need for affordable 2.2 2.3 housing and good jobs. These are the working people who aren't out on the streets loudly trying to build 24 a wall around the community. The silent majority who 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are struggling to provide for their loved ones that the City Council has a responsibility to stand up for. Especially when intimidation and threats are keeping many folks from speaking up for what they feel in their hearts to be right and good for the district. If infrastructure and traffic were the real concerns, then why is there so much racially charged vitriol hurled at workers and residents who have bravely spoken up in favor of this development. The project confronts us with the question of what kind of a district, borough, and city we believe in. Do we live up to the words that are carved on the Statue of Liberty or are we giving into the fearmongering of the sort that we had to watch on tv every day for four years since 2016. Last week, someone counterprotesting our press conference accused a Mexican American union brother of mine of stealing lawn signs and then said maybe it was someone who looks like him, and, right in front of this Committee, we've heard rhetoric about sanctuary cities thrown around to smear affordable housing. We, Laborers Local 79, implore the City Council to rezone Bruckner Boulevard and create all of the benefits this project offers for the residents and businesses

panel will be Petra Cruz.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If you are leaving,
3 please do not congregate in here. You can have your
4 conversation outside. Thank you.

Miss Cruz, you may begin. Can someone please unmute Petra Cruz, please? Miss Cruz, you may begin. Miss Cruz, can you hear us? Can someone please unmute Miss Cruz, please?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: If you're getting an unmute request, could you accept the request so we can hear you? Looks like she's not unmuting so we're going to move on to Catherine Donnelly. Catherine, are you there?

CATHERINE DONNELLY: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Catherine. You may begin.

and thank you for allowing me to speak. I've heard a lot of great testimony, both pro and against, and I am against the up-zoning. Like some of my constituents, I do believe that we do need affordable housing but creating this kind of housing in the environment that we're in at the moment in a neighborhood that needs a lot of structural updating,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think it's irresponsible. I think that most of us do want to help our fellowman to have better housing (INAUDIBLE) neighborhood because it was low-density because it gave us a piece of living like we were in an upstate kind of a place and we want to keep that community. Our community is quite diverse and the fact that we're being called racists and (INAUDIBLE), so many outliers say that we've said horrible things. It's untrue because I've been at all of these events and we do not call people racist or we don't say bad things to people, but we do want our community to stay within its normal zone. We are okay with building but within the zone that we have. We want to have people be able to build wealth by buying homes and we find nothing wrong with that. I'm hoping that you guys consider everything that everybody's saying and let's find a medium ground that we could all live with and get the community involved because we have not been involved with this process other than going to these meetings and talking but not knowing anything so I'm willing to listen and I'm willing to have an open mind to other things, but in the way that it's presented at this moment, I am not for it. That's all I have to say. Thank you so much.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank

3 you. Next, we're going to call on Carole DeChiara.

CAROLE DECHIARA: Good afternoon, City Council Members. It's a privilege to speak with you today. My name is Carole Dechiara, and I am a public servant. I would like to get back to the facts of the project and let's get off the rhetoric of outrageous claims of racism. The community is not racist and that's not what we're about. I'd like to go back to the traffic study that is listed in the environmental assessment that was held during the fall of 2020 during COVID when the traffic flow was considerably less. New York City Department of Transportation at that time had ceased all traffic studies during this period. Why? They knew that it would inaccurately reflect their projects. If a city agency cannot and did not allow this, how can the Planning Commission and our City Council accept this study to be accurate? I find this traffic study is false and it fails to address our community's concerns plus it did not include a new trucking distribution center which is only a quarter of a mile away. At the very least, a new traffic study should be provided to the community and to the Council. They need to prove that

today.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Excuse me, Carole.

CAROLE DECHIARA: All of their union workers and hired non-union workers.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Carole.

CAROLE DECHIARA: This happened within the last few years. I'm asking the Council to please consider what the community needs and wants. I thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Carole.

CAROLE DECHIARA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Carole. The next speaker will be Teresa Hernandez.

afternoon, everyone. I am speaking today in opposition of the Bruckner up-zoning. I am a professional who has lived in this area from teenage years, young adult, and now in my late 30s. I've purchased a property here. It's something that I aspire to. I didn't want to move to a high-rise congested Bronx neighborhood. I like that Throggs Neck is different than other neighborhoods of the Bronx. It has its own feel, community, and I would like to see that continue, but I'm also in opposition

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as a researcher and sociologist. We're taught that in order to prove your theory, you must first disprove other theories or arguments and in this case I have not heard from the Throggs Neck Associates, LLC why this cannot be done perhaps at a smaller scale but within the current zoning regulations. It was asked before about why this veterans project is being included in the proposal and plan, and I wonder the same. This building seems to meet the current zoning regulations and it seems that it's a part of the plan for ulterior motives and to actually get this pushed through and not because it's actually needed in the sense of the proposal. It's needed and can be done. There's the land space. Why can't it be separate, and I question the ethics behind this inclusion, and it further makes me opposed to the up-zoning and what this is actually (INAUDIBLE) for. Further, it doesn't just affect Bruckner.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time expired.

TERESA HERNANDEZ: It's the whole neighborhood. It's neighborhoods close by (INAUDIBLE) effect of changing the regulations for that space, other areas of our community will be impacted down the line.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Teresa. You
3 can submit the rest of your testimony to us online.
4 Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The next panel will be Jonathan Beuttler, Marc Greenberg, Patrick Boyle, and Polina Bakhteiarov, and I'm sorry if I'm mispronouncing your names. Jonathan Beuttler is the first speaker.

JONATHAN BEUTTLER: Hello. This is Jonathan Beuttler, consultant for the project, part of Radson Development and Real Builders. I'm speaking pro this project. In working with the applicant, the applicant has been genuine in selecting the population for affordable housing. We, as the consultant to the applicant, have submitted the application to the Department of Housing Preservation and Development on May 25. We've received subsequent followup from the housing agency and have submitted pro formas including our financial summaries and request for subsidy through the SARA program. We thank the developer for their commitment to providing more than the required affordable housing per their zoning application and look forward to the development of senior housing at this site.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank
3 you, Jonathan. Sorry I mispronounced your last name.

The next speaker is Marc Greenberg.

MARC GREENBERG: Thank you. Let me just first say I really appreciated the hearing and the tone of the hearing and the work of the Committee Chair and Councilwoman. My name is Marc Greenberg. I'm happy to present my remarks to the New York City Council Subcommittee on Zoning on the Bruckner/Throggs Neck rezoning project, and I'm here to speak in favor of the project. I'm the Executive Director of the Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness and Housing. For over 35 years, we have worked in partnership with communities of faith across our city in affirming the values common to all great faith traditions of standing in solidarity with those among us who are in need and building communities that serve all our sisters and brothers. I am pleased to offer this testimony today along with my colleagues. New York City has become an unaffordable city for far too many of its people. Just as we have risen to meet past challenges, New York City can conquer the unaffordability challenge as well. With so many of our fellow New Yorkers paying close to half or more

POLINA BAKHTEIAROV: Thank you, Chair

Riley, Council Member Velázquez, and Members of the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises for hosting 3 this important hearing today. My name is Polina 4 Bakhteiarov, she/her/hers pronouns, and I'm here to testify in support of the Bruckner rezoning. As a 5 Bronx Community District 9 resident, I've lived in a 6 7 neighborhood almost identical in housing density and character to Throggs Neck. Yet, between 2014 and 8 2021, 1,345 housing units were constructed in my Council District, District 18, compared to just 58 10 11 housing units in Council District 13. This type of 12 inequitable development furthers centuries of housing 13 discrimination based on race and income, which leads 14 to all the diminished life opportunities that 15 accompany concentration of poverty. Professionally, 16 I've worked affordable housing developments for over 17 a decade, and I cannot stress enough the importance 18 of building more housing now. Our national housing 19 emergency is the result of under-development in the 20 face of continued population growth and, thus, 21 demand. Locally, according to the NYU Furman Center, we built 185,000 new multi-family units across the 2.2 2.3 five boroughs between 2010 and 2020. Yet, our population grew by 629,000 people over the same 24 period. Just on this crisis, we need to build housing 25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is Marianne there?

Comacsai (phonetic), Louis Gosco (phonetic), and

Patrick McManus. Marianne Hughes is the first.

24

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: 3 Marianne, are you there? Can we move on to John 4 Comacsai? John, are you there? John, are you there? Chair, it appears that our sound got cut out. Can you guys hear me on the Zoom? Hold on. Let us check with 6 7 our audio people here. Hold on. 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you hear us now? 9 Are we back up? Yes, it looks like we're back up. COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: All 10 11 right. Apologies for that. Let me double check our 12 panels to see where we are. I believe Marianne 13 Hughes, if you're on the panel we were trying to get 14 you on. Can you hear us and can you unmute? Marianne 15 Hughes, last chance. I see we have Patrick in the 16 Zoom. Can we unmute Patrick McManus and let him 17 testify first for this panel? 18 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, Patrick, we can 19 hear you. You may begin. Patrick, you may begin. 20 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: 21

Patrick, we can see you, but we're not sure we can hear you. While Patrick tries to fix his sound, we're going to try John Comaksai.

PATRICK MCMANUS: Can you hear me now?

2.2

2.3

request?

accept the unmute request?

can get to them.

1

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Also, Louis Gosco, looks like John is not there either. Louis Gosco, if you're there, can you

Last chance for Marianne Hughes on this
panel. If not, we're going to move on to the next
panel, and we'll come back to these speakers if we

All right, we're going to move on to the next panel. Salvatore Franchino, Jesse Lang, and Steven Morales. Is Salvatore Franchino available?

SALVATORE FRANCHINO: Hello. Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Salvatore.

I'm Salvatore Franchino. I've lived in the city since 2010 and I currently reside in Jennifer Gutierrez's district. Since 2010, I've lived in six different apartments and three college dorms so searching for stable affordable housing is very personal for me. I support the Bruckner rezoning because it offers 94 subsidized homes that would otherwise not exist. It would offer hundreds more market rate homes which are critical to slow displacement pressure by offering

infrastructure and schools for your district. It is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE	\cap N	7.ONTNG	ΔND	FRANCHISES

2 not the builders' job to do every single thing under

3 | the sun so, if there's not adequate infrastructure,

4 | if there's not adequate school space in your

5 district, that failure is on you, not the builder, so

6 please don't point fingers and blame them for things

7 that are out of their control. Yielding my time.

Thank you very much.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Salvatore. The next speaker is Jesse Lang.

JESSE LANG: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

JESSE LANG: Great. My name is Jesse Lang, and I am speaking in support of this application. I am somebody who generally new housing, both market rate and affordable, so I'm happy to see that this application provides both to the community which is a community that is in need of this type of housing. I am also somebody who, I have lived in New York City for a while and during my time here I have had my own issues with housing affordability. I started my time living here being severely rent-burdened. I was lucky enough to move out of that position and be able to afford my apartment, but, due to the housing

shortage, I am actually going to be rent-burdened

who have spoken in support today have answered

questions from Council Member Velázquez and how those

involved in the application have really gone above

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 and beyond, like really above and beyond what is normally...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

JESSE LANG: In this process and have made a lot of improvements, have addressed some of the infrastructure concerns, have addressed a lot of the concerns that have been raised and have made this something that is very good for the community and is something that I believe will make the community more affordable for those who do live here, those who want to live here, those who are aging in the community, veterans returning from service, and will really help to prevent displacement in the long run. I know that the Bronx is...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

JESSE LANG: Is a borough that has very high risk of displacement throughout the majority of the borough so I think that it's very, very important that the Bronx continue to develop new housing everywhere, particularly in neighborhoods...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Jesse.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Our

24 next speaker is Steven Morales.

2.2

2.3

25

2 STEVEN MORALES: Good afternoon and thank 3 you, Committee Members and Chair Riley, for hearing 4 all this testimony today. My name is Steven Morales. I'm a resident of Council District 13. I'm a member of Open New York. I'm proud to join Mayor Adams and 6 7 Borough President Gibson in support of the Bruckner 8 Boulevard rezoning. My family has lived in the Bronx since the 1920s when my great grandmother fled poverty and persecution in Eastern Europe. In 1969, 10 11 they moved to Co-Op City where I grew up, and, in 12 2019, I had the good fortune to buy a co-op apartment 13 in Pelham Parkway. I'm grateful that a hundred years 14 ago my family was able to take our shot at the 15 American dream in the Bronx. I'm certain that we were 16 able to do because when we came here we found 17 abundant, stable affordable housing. I am sure that 18 many others in our community, whether they are 19 Eastern European, Italian, Irish, Mexican, Dominican, 20 or from wherever else, have had similar experiences. Why am I telling you about my family's history? 21 Because it illustrates what access to housing can do 2.2 2.3 for families and for communities. This Bruckner Boulevard project will provide our community with 24

much needed new affordable homes at a time when rents

are at all-time high. It would also include our senior citizens and veterans who face increased struggles in a strained housing market. How can we as a community slam the door in the faces of those who have sacrificed for us? It's not only seniors and veterans who will benefit from this project. New housing brings additional customers to patronize our businesses, workers that are sorely needed in today's labor market, new members for houses of worship and local community groups, and, most importantly, it allows families the opportunity to live in a place they can afford and will allow our city to make one more dent in the ongoing housing crisis. With this project, as with many others like it in our city, the Council has a choice. Move our neighborhood and our city forward by making it more welcoming, more accessible, and more affordable for future generations or remain stuck in the past and putting a virtual gate around our community. I say if there are neighbors who want to live in a suburban gated community, they are more than welcome to move to one, but the Bronx that I know is open to all. For our seniors, for our veterans, for the future of the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Bronx and our city, I urge you to support theBruckner Boulevard rezoning. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The next panel will be Peter Mousseau (phonetic), Dennis Navarro, John Olivo, and Carol O'Malley. Peter Mousseau will be the first speaker.

PETER MOUSSEAU: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Peter.

Mousseau. I've been living in the Bronx for 65 years, and I've been living in the Throggs Neck community for 64. First of all, I was not going to come on because it seems like anyone who is opposed to this program has been labeled as a racist and do not want to live with those of different nationalities. My grandparents came here from Chile. My grandfather learned the language, he worked hard, had several heart attacks working in order for his children to get ahead in life, and I've worked 14-hour days in order to get where I am in a home in the Bronx. I heard one person said if you want to live in the suburbs, go to the suburbs. This area of the Bronx

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Dennis, are you there?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Can we 3 try then Carol O'Malley or John Olivo?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Carol or John, if you're there, please accept the unmute button and you may begin.

JOHN OLIVO: Hi, this is John Olivo. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, John. You may begin.

Neck section of the Bronx for 45 years. I've been the President of the 400 Block Calhoun Avenue Association for 20 years. To say we have seen tremendous growth, the majority of homes have always been two-family homes. East Tremont and Bruckner Boulevard have always been one of the busiest intersections in the Bronx. I would like to remind those concerned today of two incidents that took place in this area. A few years ago, a car crashed into the store at the corner of East Tremont and Bruckner Boulevard and totally decimated that store so you can imagine a high-rise with that happening with so much traffic traveling at great rate of speed on Bruckner Boulevard trying to catch lights. At this point, I'd like to remind you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

community. When I think of all the families here in

Throggs Neck, I can understand why we want to keep

our community they way it is because the way we are

2.3

24

Star 6 to unmute. Brian, are you there?

2.3

I see Joseph Baney is in the room so

Joseph, can you unmute so we can take your testimony?

4 Joseph.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSEPH BANEY: Yes, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: I can hear you. We can hear you. Go ahead.

JOSEPH BANEY: Hi. How are you? How are you doing today? Okay. I just want to say a lot of the residents have settled here, especially in the last 15 years. They did so because of the zoning protections we enjoy. Many of us came here from more dense parts of the Bronx, and the difference in quality of life is palpable, the difference in opportunity is palpable. Now, when you take a look at this, even putting aside the merits and lack thereof of this particular project, I just want to ask a question. In 2021, one of the developers behind the Bruckner up-zoning, he got approval to up-zone 6819 (INAUDIBLE) Boulevard. Now that rezoning was granted in order that a multi-family mixed-use project would be constructed just like here (INAUDIBLE) Now that the rezoning has been granted, it seems he's looking to flip the now up-zoned but still undeveloped property for 14,900,000 dollars. Now why is that

you, Joseph, for your testimony. We're going to move

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on the union support here. I am a retiree of 32BJ and a former district shop steward. I'd like to address these unions that are coming out and supporting this project. I'd like to remind them that once the Bivona family purchased the A&P and converted it, they immediately removed the store from the union, and now the unions are out here supporting this. Earlier, the meeting seemed to turn into a promotion for goodpaying union jobs. Okay, between the Bivona family and also the Marciano family, another developer on this project, they have two operating businesses within New York City that they refuse to unionize. If they want to promote good-paying union jobs, I ask why are they not unionizing the businesses that they already own in New York City while seeking the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 support of these unions? (INAUDIBLE) I submitted to the Borough President on another subject a study done 3 4 by the Municipal Arts Society of New York City that closely examined the up-zoning of Long Island City 5 and Downtown Brooklyn and two decades after the 6 7 projects were completed, the census showed that they resulted in whiter, more expensive neighborhoods with 8 the affordable housing stock in those areas declined by as much as 40 percent so this project is not about 10 11 affordable housing. It is about the personal greed of 12 the developers, and I implore the City Council to 13 look into the study. I tried to upload it to you

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time has expired.

PATRICK MCMANUS: It was done in 2018 by the Municipal Arts Society, and it's an in-depth examination of up-zoning projects around New York City. Thank you for your time.

guys, but the file was too big. It's done by the ...

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Patrick. I'm going to call on, next I believe we have Brian Barrett on the line. Brian, can you accept the unmute request? I know you're calling from your phone so if you do a Start 6 that should unmute you. It looks like Brian is having technical issues so

then the family grew to five, I mean seven...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time has expired.

4 you, Rosalie. Can we call on Eddie Santiago next?

5 EDDIE SANTIAGO: Hello. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah, we can hear you,

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank

7 | Eddie. You may begin.

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EDDIE SANTIAGO: I didn't even know I was going to be part of this, but I just wanted to tell something real quick. I'm a veteran of the Marine Corps. I've been here in Throggs Neck for about seven years, and I'm strongly opposed to this up-zoning. I feel it will affect everybody, our kids, everybody in the neighborhood, if you already see what's happening in the neighborhood where a lot of people renting the houses out to people who don't care about the neighborhood. You work hard all your life and, as a veteran, the VA gives us a lot of benefits that we can use in purchasing properties and using VA home loans and using actual (INAUDIBLE) they give you in order to work, find a decent home, and actually try to be a homeowner, but I just feel putting those houses in our area is going to affect the community a lot and I just don't think it's fair for somebody who's worked hard all their life and their parents to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

work to pass it down to the kids and other kids you see in these schools getting affected. We're overcrowding. There's hardly any parking. There used to be parking easy, like people sometimes they leave their cars (INAUDIBLE) because there's no street cleaning rules and it's not fair to the homeowners and the veterans and the senior citizens who are already here. We already have a couple of senior citizen and rehab places in the area, of which I think do the community well, and I just think this will affect our neighborhood negatively. It's one of the last good areas. When you think of the Bronx, everybody sees the Bronx, oh my god, the Bronx, and this is one of the last good areas. I just think we could do it in another place. Leave our community alone and just let us try to build on what we have with the floods and we had to come out of pocket, contacting our insurances and stuff. As a veteran, I've come from a military family. I just think we could find other ways to help the community, just not in our area. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eddie.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair,

I'm trying to check with our intake team who our next

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

address ourselves so before we make any more changes to our neighborhood, I think we need to fix what's going on right now. Our schools are congested. My grandkids couldn't even go to the schools in their area because it was a lottery. They had like an allzone school when they weren't even allowed to go to the schools near their neighborhood. Now, I don't know, with PS14 being across the street from Foodtown, I know the traffic, everything that was going on back then, it's only going to get worse. I know with Hurricane Ida, that was a big problem with the sewer system. I had a lot of family members, friends, they had to pay thousands of dollars out of pocket, no help from the city, so I don't understand how we have to bring more stuff in with developers trying to just congest what we have here now and making it worse. We need to fix our problems here. It's a beautiful place to live, and we welcome everybody, but, please, let's take into consideration the problems that we have going on now. Nobody wants to hear it, but they just want to build. As for Foodtown, the owner, he just want to flip and leave. He doesn't care about. He never cared about the workers in his store. He went non-union. He got rid

floodgates to more new construction of large

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

developments that will strip the area of its current character and quasi-suburban feel that has drawn many New Yorkers to move here from more dense areas. I was born and raised in this neighborhood. I went to PS71, IS192, and Lehman High School. After living in other parts of this city in Brooklyn and Queens for 11 years after college, I moved back here to raise my family. We are a diverse, well-integrated community of hard-working people who support growth within the current zoning because its low density allows residents to have homes in an area where we can walk to stores and parks but also have space of our own that the infrastructure can support. I would urge the City Council to insist that we address the existing infrastructure issues before approving zoning changes that would add further strain to our community. I don't need to remind anybody here what happened last year during Hurricane Ida or this July when we had two rainstorms within the same week that flooded basements and cars. As to affordable housing, this project is a way for the Bivonas to expand their profits, and they're including Mandatory Inclusionary Housing because it is mandatory. They are required to include a percentage of units below market value.

speaking today, but, while I'm here, I'm going to

FRANKIE ORTIZ: Okay. Thank you for

25 listening and have a good day.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Frankie.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The next speaker on this panel is Kevin Deloya (phonetic).

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Kevin, if you can hear us, please accept the unmute button and you may begin.

KEVIN DELOYA: Hi, this is Kevin Deloya. Just making sure you can hear me.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

FRANKIE ORTIZ: Just short and sweet, I

feel New York City needs more housing. I feel that

this side of the Bronx has not done its part, and I'm

very local to the location and I'm okay with this. I

say that this is a positive move by the city, even

though there's some negative things about the

construction and maybe the owners of it, but I think

that building more housing of any kind in our area is

needed and we're behind the eight ball. Thank you

very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks, Kevin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we're going to move on to the next panel. We're going to try Kathleen Gallagher again, Josh Goodman, John

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Goodman.

begin.

Dope (phonetic), and Laurie Rinaldi. Kathleen

Gallagher. Hold on, Chair, it looks like I'm getting

a message that Kathleen dropped off so we'll try Josh

6 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Goodman, if you 7 can hear us, please accept the unmute and you may

JOSH GOODMAN: Hi, can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

JOSH GOODMAN: Excellent. Good afternoon.

My name is Josh Goodman, and I am testifying today in support of the Bruckner Boulevard rezoning proposal.

This project would add sorely needed housing in CB10 which in the past decade has lost 400 housing units on net while the population increased by 1,400 people. At the same time, the proportion of households in CB10 that are rent-burdened has climbed from 40 percent to 57 percent. The answer to this problem is clear. We need to build more housing of all sorts. The alternative to this project is inaction, zero income-restricted units, zero ADA accessible apartments for seniors, and zero housing for veterans, and we all know the (INAUDIBLE) when it comes to the housing. We're living it right now.

uncomfortable with an eight-story building going in a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

lived in this community for over 30 years, and it has

changed both in its nature of retail and the people 2 3 who live here. Our community though has become more diverse than ever, which I feel is our strength. My 4 feeling is that people come to this community for the same thing, a neighborhood feel, not a big city feel 6 7 of high-rise buildings. The fear is that this 8 proposal, if approved, it will open the door for any (INAUDIBLE) construction in our community. As far as 10 residential development, as a senior and a veteran, I 11 understand the need for affordable housing, but any 12 proposals should be done with the appropriate care given to the existing community, not through up-13 14 zoning. Again, there are also many infrastructure 15 problems considered, schools overcrowding, police and 16 fire department coverage, and things of that nature. 17 I feel that if this is accepted the way it is, we 18 will have many more issues (INAUDIBLE) I thank you

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

258

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, John.

for giving me the time to speak with you and have a

22 COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Do we

have Laurie Rinaldi.

good day.

24

2.3

19

20

21

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Laurie, if you can
3 hear me, please accept the unmute button and you may
4 begin. Laurie, are you there?

LAURIE RINALDI: Hello. Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good afternoon. We can

7 | hear you. You may begin.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LAURIE RINALDI: Good afternoon, Board. I wasn't expecting this. I'm here at work with one of my colleagues. We work and live not far from Throggs Neck. I've lived in Throggs Neck my whole life. One of my neighbors, I was very proud of him, got on the Zoom meeting and said something I found very interesting about equity. I am opposed to the upzoning. I'm going to be real honest to the panelists and the group here. I don't know a lot about what's going to happen to Throggs Neck. I'm not thrilled that there's going to be so many apartments and so much more congestion. I do also commute to work in the Castle Hill Avenue section of the Bronx from my Throggs Neck home, and a drive that normally in the past would've taken 10 or 15 minutes currently, now that COVID is hopefully over with, it now takes about 20, 25 minutes, and I'm being quite honest. I see things happening where children cross the street over

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Laurie.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 261
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: The
3	next set of speakers will be Alex Bee (phonetic),
4	Vincent Mundial (phonetic), and Sameera Balanka. Alex
5	Bee.
6	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alex, if you can hear
7	us, please unmute and you may begin.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Can we
9	try Vincent Mundial? I see you're a caller so you
LO	just need to press Star 6 on your phone to unmute.
L1	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Vincent, if you can
L2	hear us, please press Star 6 on your phone to unmute

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Vincent, if you can hear us, please press Star 6 on your phone to unmute and you may begin. Good afternoon, Vincent, are you there? Vincent, if you're there, please press Star 6 to unmute.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Looks like Vincent is having technical issues. How about Sameera Balanka? Are you on the call?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sameera Balanka, if you can hear me, please press Star 6 and you may begin.

22 | SAMEERA BALANKA: Hello.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hey, Sameera, we hear you.

1

2 SAMEERA BALANKA: Hi. Thank you for taking 3 my call. First, I would like to indicate that I am 4 not a union representative, I am not Open New York for all, I'm not a developer, I'm not a YIMBY and I'm not a NIMBY. I am a true longstanding resident of 6 Throggs Neck. These past several years, there has 8 been a significant increase in population, overcrowded school, traffic, public transportation, and long hospital waits. Hurricane Sandy as many 10 11 others have mentioned and Ida have destroyed many of our community members. Many of the neighbors' 12 basements reached five feet of water from sewage 13 14 backup. It is no surprise that this community's 15 infrastructure needs to be updated and nothing has 16 been done but you want add additional development 17 that will exacerbate the already neglected sewer 18 system. This makes no sense. Have you done a valid 19 land assessment? The traffic buildup, especially at 20 the proposed location, is at a standstill during 21 school release, pickup, and from crossing highways 2.2 avoiding traffic by entering the local streets 2.3 causing the backup on 95 and other surrounding highways. Don't forget that we have a 840,000 square 24 foot warehouse blocks from this location that has 25

just completed, and it will add hundreds of truck traffic that no one has mentioned. This warehouse is also bringing in thousands of permanent jobs in this community. Let's not forget about the permanent jobs that this development is bringing, the warehouse. I don't understand why the city needs to build up and is so focused on overcrowding communities that the schools, hospitals, police, and fire department cannot manage. Are you building another hospital or school, to add more MTA buses to this community? We are an inclusive community. We have NYCHA, Senior, (INAUDIBLE) three shelters. Myself and my community are all for affordable. I, myself, lived in NYCHA. My mother lived in a senior building right on Randall Avenue, but we advocate for low-density zone and work on the infrastructure. Even the recommendation that was made by our one-time...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

SAMEERA BALANKA: Borough President Miss Gibson indicated to lower the floors from eight to five but that wasn't followed through. I truly hope that you have our back (INAUDIBLE) and don't allow developers to smash through a longstanding community

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 zone, not every size fits all. Thank you for

3 listening.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Can we try Vincent Mundial one last time? Vincent, can you unmute? Now, we're going to move because it looks like Vincent is still having trouble to a caller that is identified in the Zoom as Michael. Michael, if you're there, can you unmute?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Michael, if you can hear us, please accept the unmute request and you may begin. Michael, if you can hear us, please accept the unmute request and you may begin. Okay.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: It
looks like Michael is not unmuting so I think we're
going to move on to the portion of the hearing where
we're going to do a last call so that those of you
who are still in the Zoom and have not yet testified
will have the opportunity to raise your hands so
staff can identify you. Some of you are identified in
the Zoom as unknown caller, so if that's the case
please make sure when you're unmuted that you can
tell us who you are, your name and last name would be

community here at Throggs Neck. I'm not going to

reiterate what everybody else has said already, but in 2004 the area was voted as a low-density area and New York City determined the area to have reached its peak development. I'm not really sure why all of a sudden we have to discuss this again. The area hasn't changed. The infrastructure hasn't changed. The issues have increased, but nothing has changed in the area. The other thing I just wanted to mention that in front of the Bronx County, the Bivonas stated that they wanted to leave a legacy to their children. I don't believe that that is a reason to up-zone the area. We all want to leave a legacy to our children. That, to me, just means greed. Also, I just wanted to bring up that there's an area along Gun Hill Road that used to be a golf place and across the street from that is also an abandoned diner. Those two areas would be wonderful for development for low-income housing. Just one last thing, everybody keeps saying affordable housing. I don't believe that 1,600+ for one-bedroom is affordable, and I also when is affordable going to become unaffordable after that. Thank you for listening to me. I know you had a long day. Have a great evening.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Do we have anybody in the Chambers or online who wish to testify who didn't testify already. Please use the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Marianna.

raise hand function if you're online, and, if you're

in the Chambers, please find a Sergeant-at-Arms.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: We're taking testimony from those of you have not testified already so if you have testified and you are raising your hand, we only take testimony once per caller so other than those who have testified, are there any others have not yet testified that wish to testify, please raise your hand now.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on Preconsidered LUs relating to ULURP numbers C 220007 ZMX and N 220008 ZRX relating to the Bruckner sites rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

That concludes today's business. I would like to humbly thank everyone who participated in this hearing today. I believe that everyone stated how they feel regarding many of these projects and we all showed respect to each other which was very, very

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES important. I would like to thank Council Member Velázquez who is still here, listened to every testimony regarding this project and has been a real pioneer for her community with trying to get the best negotiation and the best solution regarding this project so thank you, Council Member Velázquez. I would like to thank the members of the public, my Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other Council staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 20, 2022