CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

----- X

June 22, 2022

Start: 1:11 p.m. Recess: 2:59 p.m.

HELD AT: HYBRID HEARING, COMMITTEE ROOM,

CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Nantasha M. Williams,

Chairperson

COUNCILMEMBERS:

Rita Joseph

Kristin Richardson Jordan

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

JoAnn Kamuf Ward
Deputy Commissioner for Policy and
External Affairs
New York City Commission on Human Rights

Sapna V. Raj Deputy Commissioner for Law Enforcement New York City Commission on Human Rights

Jeanne M. Victor Executive Director NYC Equal Employment Practices Commission

Jennifer Shaw
Executive Agency Counsel and Director of
Compliance
NYC Equal Employment Practices Commission

Meghan Rackin Staff Attorney A Better Balance, a legal nonprofit

Mary Moss A New York City Resident

2.2

Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to today's hybrid New York City Council meeting of the committee on civil and human rights. Please place electronic devices to vibrate or silent. If you wish to submit testimony, you may send it to

Testimony@counsel.nyc.gov. Again, that's testimony@counsel.nyc.gov. Thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: My first in person hearing. Good afternoon, my name is Nantasha Williams Chair to the committee on civil and human rights. Thank you to everyone that is joining us for this hybrid hearing this afternoon. Today, we'll we will be hearing from the New York City Commission on Human Rights, known as CCHR, and the Equal Employment Protection Commission known as EEPC, on the challenges of returning to in person work.

This year, many New Yorkers have begun the transition to some form of in person work. Many of them are returning to their respective places of employment for the first time in two years. During this transitionary period, the health and safety of employees -- and I can't read -- and employers is of the utmost importance. As another new normal sets in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for us all, it is important that we hear from those who are tasked with protecting our rights as we navigate the return to in-person work. Over the past two years EEPCs meetings and reports indicate it has tackled the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, in the recent agency diversity plans which are reviewed by EEPC. city entities indicated that parts of their diversity plan efforts were delayed due to the pandemic and budgetary constraints. We also know that the COVID-19 pandemic has changed the landscape of accommodations and disabilities in the employment context, yet it is unclear how or whether the Commission's recommendations and audits were impacted by the move to telework in early 2020. During the pandemic, federal and local entities provided guidance regarding the classification of COVID-19 as a disability that requires accommodations. information is necessary to better understand how EEPC is currently carrying out its mission and the challenges it is facing. Additionally, as many New Yorkers returned to some form of on-site work, concerns regarding health, safety and accommodations in the workplace may arise. As an agency tasked with

2.2

2.3

handling discrimination complaints, CCHR has released guidance on what is considered discriminatory in relation to COVID-19.

Due to its status as a disability an individual with COVID-19, or perceived infection, history of infection, or disabilities related to COVID-19 like long-term COVID, that's a thing, may be entitled to reasonable accommodations from their employer.

During this hearing, the committee is looking to learn more about the type of workplace related complaints, if any, CCHR is receiving in the context of returning to onsite work and the challenges or patterns they have noticed as the shift takes place. I'd like to thank my colleagues who have joined us today. I see Assemblymember Richardson Jordan, Councilmember Jesus Christ promoting you, as well as committee staff Jay Surrey, and (inaudible). I'd also like to thank my staff, Everton Smith and Ashley Meza. I'll now turn it over to the committee counsel to administer the oath.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Councilmember. Good afternoon. We have four witnesses from the administration here today. Would you all please raise your right hands?

2.2

2.3

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and to respond honestly, to Councilmember questions?

Thank you, it's all yours Chair.

My apologies. We'll turn it over to CCHR to begin testimony.

MS. KAMUF WARD: Good afternoon, Chair Williams, members of the committee on civil and human rights.

I'm Joanne cremophor, deputy commissioner of policy and External Affairs at the New York City Commission on Human Rights. It's my pleasure to join you today in person, for my first in-person hearing as well, to testify regarding the commission's work and the human rights law protections that apply in the employment context. I'm joined by my colleague Sapna Raj, Deputy Commissioner of Law Enforcement at the commission, and my colleagues at Equal Employment Practices Commission as well.

The Human Rights Commission is the local civil rights enforcement agency that enforces the New York City Human Rights Law one of the broadest In most protective anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws in the country, covering nearly all aspects of city living, including housing, employment, and

2.2

2.3

public accommodations. By statute, the commission has two main functions. First, the Commission's Law Enforcement Bureau, which I'll refer to as LEB, enforces the city human rights law by investigating complaints of discrimination, initiating its own investigations on behalf of the city, and utilizing an in-house testing program to identify entities that

may violate the city's human rights law.

Second, the Community Relations bureau, or CRB, fosters intergroup relations, working in close collaboration with community partners, CRB provides free workshops and trainings on individuals rights and the obligations of businesses, employers, housing providers, and also leads bias response efforts and restorative justice work.

Before turning to workplace protections under the Human Rights Law, I want to just highlight some of the important work the commission has undertaken to address the uptick in discrimination and bias that have occurred since the onset of COVID-19.

Throughout the pandemic, the commission's work continued uninterrupted. For the duration of remote work, the Law Enforcement Bureau was able to conduct intakes and testing by telephone as well as online,

and the Commission adjusted to the pandemic to

perform intakes remotely, and filed 643 complaints in

fiscal year 21, and 105 in the first quarter of

5 | fiscal year 22.

2.2

Since the onset of COVID-19, the Community
Relations Bureau has continued community engagement
by partnering with stakeholders on trainings and
events, establishing a first line of defense through
education and outreach, as well as providing
techniques to safely deescalate bias incidents,
hosting community forums and town halls, and
educating communities of the rights and protections
under the Human Rights Law. The Commission also held
virtual town halls and trainings in partnership with
sister agencies and City Hall highlighting workplace
rights related to COVID-19 at various stages of the
pandemic.

The Commission conducted 1683 trainings in fiscal year 21, and 390 in the first quarter of fiscal year 22, reaching 125,000 Plus New Yorkers. The Commission also developed and disseminated guidance on the roles and obligations of employers in public accommodations.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Commission like all city agencies fully returned to the office in September of 2021, consistent with city policy and guidance disseminated by the Department of Citywide Administrative Services. Since then, the commission has continued to use some of the virtual tools we developed during the height of COVID-19, but in the past several months, we've also had the ability to reengage in person in communities, including through days of visibility in all five boroughs, resource fairs with our sibling agencies, business corridor outreach and community forums, among other activities. noted, throughout the pandemic, the Commission provided guidance to employers and employees on their workplace rights under the Human Rights Law, and it's those rights that I will now turn to.

New York City Human Rights Law has extensive employment protections that prohibit discrimination against individuals on the basis of 21 protected classes including age, national origin, disability, gender, race, sexual orientation, conviction record, caregiver status, and pregnancy, among others. The law generally applies to employers with four or more employees, and as of March 12 of this year also to

2.2

2.3

domestic workers and covers hiring job postings and interviews, salary, and benefits and the terms and conditions of employment.

To comply with the human rights law, employers must ensure that policies and practices including those implemented in response to COVID-19 do not discriminate against workers based on their race, religion, national origin, citizenship, immigration status, and disability, or other protected status or treat workers less well on the basis of a protected category. The human rights law also requires employers to provide reasonable accommodations based on four categories: First is disability, second is pregnancy, childbirth and related medical conditions, third is religion, and fourth is status as a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking. Each of these are defined in in our law.

Disability under the human rights law includes physical, medical, mental or psychological impairment or history a record of such impairment. The obligation to provide a reasonable accommodation on the basis of disability extends to conditions directly related to COVID-19 and underlying conditions for which exposure COVID-19 may pose a

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 particular risk or complication. Under our law,

3 accommodations related to religion include requests

4 based on creed and religion, including but not

5 | limited to observance of any particular day or days

6 or any portion thereof, as a Sabbath or holy day or

7 the observance of any religious custom, or usage.

In the employment context, a reasonable accommodation is a change that meets an employee's specific needs and allows them to continue to fulfill the essential functions of their job. An accommodation can include many different things, such as a change in work schedule, providing ergonomic equipment, granting requests for telework, accessibility modifications to workspaces, allowing time to pray, and granting leave from work that's calibrated to meet an employee's individual needs. If an employee requests a reasonable accommodation on the four bases that I mentioned (disability, pregnancy and related conditions, religion and experience with gender based violence), or an employer knows that an individual may benefit from an accommodation on one of these bases, an employer must engage in a cooperative dialogue and provide a reasonable accommodation unless doing so would create

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an undue hardship for the employer. The cooperative dialogue is a good faith effort to understand an individual's needs and limitations, how it relates to the essential job functions, and the impact of a request on an employer. Under the city's human rights law, as I said, an accommodation is considered reasonable unless an employer can show the requested accommodation would cause an undue hardship. undue hardship analysis generally includes looking at the nature and cost of an accommodation, the number of employees, and the impact overall on business operations. An employer may decline an accommodation that presents an undue hardship. An employer may also decline an accommodation based on disability if providing that accommodation would present a direct threat to workplace health or safety.

In order to protect health and safety of their businesses, employers can take reasonable steps to foster safe return to the office for all employees. measures should be consistent with guidance from public health authorities and up to date, medical research and knowledge. Safety measures should also be equally applicable to all employees. In implementing safety measures employers should provide

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reasonable accommodations consistent with the processes and protections I have discussed. human rights law does not require employers to provide accommodations based on an employee's status as a caregiver or based on the needs of another. However, discrimination based on an employee's caregiver status is unlawful under the Human Rights Law and employers must ensure they are not discriminating against caregivers. Caregivers should be afforded the same benefits, privileges and conditions of work as other employees. In addition, I will note that other state and federal laws may also govern parent and caregivers ability to stay home to care for children and may protect against retaliation for doing so.

Finally, I will just discuss how employees can seek redress when seeking an accommodation. So employers are the first stop for employees seeking a reasonable accommodation in the workplace. If employees request an accommodation and it's denied, an individual can contact the commission to file a complaint or conduct a pre-complaint intervention. Individuals can also file claims at the State Division of Human Rights and the Federal Equal

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Employment Opportunity Commission if their employer 3 is covered under that... under federal law.

When the commission staff assess that an aggrieved person has a claim under the city human rights law and a complaint is filed, an investigation is initiated. The Commission can also initiate its own investigation based on public information, tips and referrals. When initiating its own investigation, the Law Enforcement Bureau often take steps before filing a complaint, including sending a business a cease and desist letter or requesting information on policies and practices. complaint is filed regarding an accommodation or another protection to Human Rights Law, the Law Enforcement Bureau investigates by gathering data and documents and conducting interviews of witnesses, employees or others with relevant information. Ultimately, the Law Enforcement Bureau reaches a determination as to whether the evidence that has it has gathered supports the allegations in the complaint. If the Law Enforcement Bureau finds probable cause it prosecutes the respondent business in a hearing at the New York City Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings, also known as

OATH. And before initiating the prosecution, the Law
Enforcement Bureau attempts to settle or conciliate
the case. If the case doesn't settle, the OATH holds
a hearing and issues a report and recommendations.
Then the commissioner of CCHR, our agency, will issue
a final decision dismissing the case or ordering
relief for the complainant. Through conciliation and
decisions and orders the Commission can award money
damages for complainants, including lost wages,
emotional distress damages, and attorneys' fees and
issue monetary civil penalties that are paid to the
general fund of New York City. The Commission also
has the authority to order affirmative release,
including postings of notices of rights, engaging in
cooperative dialogues and creating or revising
policies, conducting trainings and more.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to discuss the ways that human rights law protects New York's workers and we look forward to continuing the commission's work in collaboration with the Adams administration, sibling agencies, and the Council. I will now turn it over to... (crosstalk)

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSELL: Thank you. We can now move to testimony from the Equal Employment Practices

Commission.

MS. VICTOR: Okay, well, my name is Jeanne
Victor. I'm the Executive Director of the Equal
Employment Practices Commission. Thank you Chair
Williams and members of the committee for the
opportunity to testify today regarding the challenges
we in the EEPC have encountered related to returning
to the office post pandemic. I am here today with
our Executive Agency Counsel and Director of
Compliance Jennifer Shaw.

My remarks will focus largely on our returning workforce, and how the pandemic has impacted our ability to conduct our work. As you may be aware, but by way of background, the Equal Employment Practices Commission was created by the 1989 amendments to the New York City Charter. The EEPC is an independent, non-mayoral oversight entity tasked with auditing, reviewing and monitoring the equal employment practices of the city of New York. In this capacity the EEPC audits and evaluates the employment practices and procedures of approximately 143 municipal entities, agencies, and the offices of

2.2

2.3

elected officials and their efforts to ensure fair and effective equal employment opportunity for women and minority employees and applicants seeking employment with the city.

Audits are conducted at least once every four years and consists of several phases, including data collection, evaluation and analysis, and monitoring the entity to ensure areas of noncompliance are rectified. Just to be clear, our audits look back in time, which means the audit the EEPC initiated this year will review a period of time when COVID was rampant. As such, because the impact of the pandemic from an audit perspective won't be known for some time, today, I'm able to provide information specific to the EEPC but not the city workforce as a whole.

In addition to our audit responsibilities, the EEPC is charged with conducting a decade-long citywide analysis of racial and ethnic classification pursuant to Local Law 13 of 2019. The EEPC must publish a report annually with policy, legislative, and budgetary recommendations to the mayor and City Council for correcting chronic or systemic underutilization in the city's workforce, achieving citywide affirmative employment objectives, and

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be met.

increasing diversity in the recruitment, selection,
retention and promotion of city employees.

The EEPC also periodically hosts educational events for city employees on EEO topics of relevance. On June 9 of this year, the EEPC hosted it's Symposium 2022, Understanding and Addressing Under-Utilization of Women and Minority Group Members in New York City's Workforce, held remotely via WebEx Three speakers from both city government webinar. and the private sector provided different perspectives on under-utilization, and a panel roundtable discussed recruitment and retention strategies used by city agencies. Attendance at this event was approximately 225 city employees and feedback has been overwhelmingly positive. When the pandemic commenced in 2020, and non-essential city workers were ordered to work remotely, the EEPC consisted of approximately 14 employees, which remains our current headcount. It was initially thought that the duration of working remotely would be relatively short, a matter of weeks. But as the pandemic continued to rage on, the EEPC had to adjust its processes to ensure our audit mandate would still

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The biggest challenge associated with working remotely was the EPCs own lack of technology and resources. It became clear that matters integral to audit completion, such as data collection from audited agencies, the creation of and access to electronic documents, the ability to conduct meetings remotely, to scan, copy and sign documents, and take telephone calls all had to be dealt with. Processes that had been used for years were just not compatible with remote working. Fortunately, the EEPC despite its small size did and still does have an administrator of computer systems and support, who, working with then executive directors Cherise Terry, developed protocols for accessing the EEPC systems and databases. Workarounds were implemented, such as accepting documentation during compliance monitoring via email, rather than asking agencies to upload documents directly into the EEPC's team central tracking system.

New employees hired before remote work began now had to be onboarded virtually. Concessions were also made. For example, because licenses needed to be purchased to use Microsoft Teams, and the EEPC had minimal budgetary resources, the decision was made to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | instead use WebEx to hold remote meetings.

Nevertheless, the EEPC was able to continue to conduct business, complete audits, and assist agencies in reaching EEO compliance. But it must be noted that this success was only possible due to the assistance of all of our employees who were required to use their personal cell phones, laptops, printers, scanners, Internet access and supplies without reimbursement or any additional compensation. was done largely without any complaint whatsoever. Lastly, commission meetings were held remotely and in accordance with the time requirements set forth in Charter Chapter 36. In 2021, as staff were ordered back into the office, our employees expressed genuine concern about the safety of the EEPC's office. Over 75% of our staff sits in a single room with a row of 12, low wall cubicles, and little space for anything To ensure the safety of our employees, the EEPC undertook several measures, such as scheduling staff to come into the office on a staggered basis to enable social distancing. We also outfitted the office space with sneeze guards, which served to extend the walls of the partitions, purchased several

air purifiers and provided our employees with PPE and

2 rapid COVID tests. Additionally, although in-person

3 board meetings resumed in June, during the latter

4 part of 2021, the EEPC began to livestream its

5 meetings on YouTube, so that interested parties could

6 watch the board conduct business in real time,

7 | without having to be physically present or wait a

period of days for the video to be uploaded to the

9 EEPC website.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Once our employees returned to the office full time, we decided as a group to continue wearing our masks in the office, and to take safety precautions, such as wiping down common areas after every use. Yet despite these actions, the EEPC has had two instances of having to request enhanced cleaning of the office by DCAS due to employees who contracted COVID and exploit expose their colleagues in the office. The first instance was relatively minor, but the second instance, which happened only five weeks ago was very alarming. Several of our employees tested positive within a day of each other, while other employees felt sick and stayed home at this very same time. Additionally, our board and commissioners had to be notified that they too had been exposed and should be tested for COVID, at risk

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

exposing their families to the virus. This incident
serves to heighten our staff's concerns about just
how safe the office actually is. Unfortunately, the

5 EEPC was without any other space or resources to make

6 additional safety modifications to the office.

I'll summarize by saying that during the pandemic, the EEPC was able to fulfill its mandate despite the challenges of technology, the scarcity of resources, the retirement of the EEPCs, executive director after more than 25 years of city service, the recruitment of her new replacement and the addition of a new Chair and new commissioner, although the board still lacks two commission In fact, when the EEPC conduct concluded its sexual harassment audit in 2021, I am proud to report that the EEPC had issued an unparalleled 50 determinations of compliance to municipal entities that implemented corrective actions. In fact, the EEPC completed record numbers of audits in both 2020 and 2021. Additionally, feedback from a survey the EEPC, conducted in 2021 indicated that many EEO and HR professionals involved in our 2020 audits found the EPCs performance to be excellent, good, or satisfactory for all of the survey categories.

2.2

2.3

Moreover, the EEPC received its highest performance rating, 92%, regarding the professionalism of the audit teams interactions with audited agencies. Also in 2021, the EEPC completed its first in the series of 10 reports pursuant to local law 13. In 2022, the EEPC commenced a new audit, and is currently completing its second report on under-utilization.

While the EEPC has a great team that has gone gone above and beyond repeatedly exceeding expectations, I would be remiss if I did not mention that the EEPC is still without adequate staff and expertise needed to perform our mandated work, and commensurate with the importance of our agency's mission. The pandemic forced us to think about how to work and accomplish our mission in a new way. I'm happy to report that even during those days of extreme fear and uncertainty, the EEPC exceeded all goals and successfully completed its mandates, and has returned to the office, not returning to the way work was executed prior to the pandemic, but by incorporating those things that have made working remotely successful into our processes today.

That concludes my remarks. Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And I'd like
3	to acknowledge Councilmember Rita Joseph. I was
4	calling colleagues Assemblymember before you came
5	Rita. So I'm working on the commissioners. I know
6	you need to. Hopefully you'll get it soon. I have
7	been talking to the Speaker's office, so I hear you
8	loud and clear. And I will start questions for CCHR.
9	Have you received any complaints of workplace
10	discrimination and relations to COVID-19?
11	MS. KAMUF WARD: Thank you. So we have received
12	a lot of complaints in the employment context. I
13	don't have numbers specifically on COVID-19. I have
14	numbers that relate to reasonable accommodation
15	requests from August until June of this year. We
16	have received about 700 inquiries related to
17	employment and filed 157 cases. Of those increase
18	less than 10% were related to reasonable
19	accommodations so approximately 60 or so and we
20	have 42 filed cases, again, related to reasonable
21	accommodations. What I can say about those cases is
22	the vast majority relate to disability, but I don't
23	have the COVID specific numbers. I can go back to
24	the agency and see what we've coded that with. If

2.2

2.3

that would be helpful, then we'd be happy to follow up with that information.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, yeah, that will be helpful. And in terms of like a comparison, would you say that the numbers have shifted from 2020 to 2021?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So I would say in terms of kind of trends, we're seeing similar numbers of cases and high... the highest cases continue to be in the area of employment, and within that relating to race, and gender, and disability. So the trends overall, the macro level are the same. The number of inquiries and complaints filed changes over time. And... But... But generally, I would say the trends are similar. I don't know if you'd agree.

MS. RAJ: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So the number... I think you sent 60 complaints? Around 60 for reasonable...?

MS. KAMUF WARD: We have 60... I think it's 67 inquiries that are related to reasonable accommodations and 42 cases that have been filed. So that means they're sitting with our agency at various stages of trying to either investigate what's happened, assess what the basis of the claims is, or

2.2

2.3

where we think there's been a violation, attempting
to do a pre complaint intervention with the employer
and see if... if the needs can be met, if the
cooperative dialogue was followed, if the process was

6 proper and the outcome (inaudible).

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And so specifically, you would say those 60 cases are in regards to denials of reasonable accommodations, or is it a mixture of things involving reasonable accommodation?

MS. KAMUF WARD: Well, in reasonable accommodations, it would really only get to us if it's... if it's a denial. Yeah. Correct.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you seen a rise or decline and complaints in relation to workplace discrimination in 2020 so far? Specifically as more New Yorkers have transitioned from remote work to some form of on site work?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So I think there have been definitely higher numbers of inquiries in in the return-to-work period, which again, I'm counting from August until June, though the city workforce came back, beginning in May. So I think we've seen an uptick in inquiries, but again, the general trends in terms of employment versus other categories of our

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2 law are similar as they have been in 2019, 2020, 3 2021.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And you have been tracking them in relations to COVID? Or would you have to like go back and sort through them? Because I recognize that people might reach out to you and it might be COVID related, but it also is like touching something else that they might have been discriminated against?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So we generally don't track and report on COVID specific, it's that we're looking at the other protected categories within our law. But we do we do have some coatings for COVID that we can look into and follow up with you, as I said, after the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Have you had to refer any inquiries or complaints regarding the workplace to other agencies? If so, what sorts of complaints were these? And which agencies received these referrals? And why?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So I don't have that information in front of me. I know that oftentimes... or sometimes, rather than often, cases can be filed with EEOC and simultaneously to us, but that's a fairly

MS. KAMUF WARD: I think a lot of the issues, honestly, remained very similar. Unfortunately,

2.2

2.3

24

25

be reporting differently than now being remote, and

then vice versa, now having to come back on site.

4

24

25

2 discrimination in the workplace continued to occur.

3 | I think people continue to seek accommodations. Even

if you're a remote, your accommodation might be flex

5 work, or it might be to have an accessibility

6 software that you might have in the office, but you

7 don't have at home. So a lot of those kinds of

8 trends continued to present themselves. And I think

9 what shifted was how our agency was able to receive

10 that information, right?, like being able to be

11 remote. And you can also, if you're working from

12 | home, you might have the confidentiality to make a

13 phone call that you wouldn't necessarily be able to

14 make if you were in... in your workplace. But... But

15 I don't think the... the crux of what we the universe

16 of types of things we were seeing greatly changed for

17 | the employment context.

18 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And would you say that...

19 | To your point about how your agency received the

20 complaints, would you say that folks had a greater

21 | difficulty reporting the issues? Did you see that?

22 MS. KAMUF WARD: So, no. Because again, I... as I

23 said, the numbers of inquiries remained fairly,

fairly high. I think what we are unable to track is

how many people would have come to the office during

2.2

2.3

remote time who might not have technology, they might not have the internet, they might not be able to access us that way and might be walk-in. So that is something that you know, now that we're back in the office is not... is not a concern, but something that might have been a barrier to people reporting or engaging with us previously.

I think the other factor is many ways that we get tips and referrals are in our outreach to the community, which obviously during COVID became also virtual. So we weren't in... on the streets, meeting people. We weren't at resource fairs. Now we have the capacity to be doing that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You may not be able to answer this now, but what types of complaints are more prevalent when telework was more widespread? I think you've hinted at that in your previous answer. But I don't know if you're seeing different complaints because folks are at home or like you said, a lot of it is just more or less the same.

MS. KAMUF WARD: I think very similar trends.
Yeah. Not... Not many changes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And would you say there's any changes now, that you're receiving? Is there...

2.2

2.3

I guess comparing it to the complaints you're

receiving now, you would just say again, it's more or

less the same?

MS. KAMUF WARD: Yeah. Yep.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Has CCHR provided recommendations for accommodations in a hybrid work environment? I know you've...

MS. KAMUF WARD: Specifically no, though I think our guidance attempts to give a lot of examples that would cover both in the workplace and people working from home. Again, a lot of it is modifications to either when work is done or a workspace, which might be equally applicable if you're in the office, or if you're... if you're at home.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. What challenges are employers facing in ensuring that employees receive necessary accommodations while COVID-19 is still prevalent?

MS. KAMUF WARD: I... I... This is not really based on our cases, but I think what I can see as a challenge is just the changing medical information and the context, right? So it's very hard to be able to pivot, even if you know the right process and you understand the standards, the guidance is changing.

2.2

2.3

And so for employers to be able to keep up with that can... can be a challenge.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Has CCHR seen a drop in sexual harassment complaints since moving to telework or have complaints persisted, but the nature of such harassment has changed?

MS. KAMUF WARD: Consistent numbers. Staying the same. And I think that could be in part because our definition of gender based harassment is quite broad, and we continue to see behavior that would violate our law in workplaces.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What about online harassment? Fewer? Same? More or less?

MS. KAMUF WARD: We have none that we can speak of.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. How CCHR had any conversations with the state's chief disability officer on the post-pandemic challenges that people with disabilities are facing when returning to or seeking work?

MS. KAMUF WARD: Not specifically to my knowledge, but we work fairly closely with MOPD and DFDA, and other city agencies who are thinking about always the challenges that individuals face in the

2.2

2.3

workplace, but particularly related to disability or,
or age.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. And before going into questions with EEPC, I will pause and open it up for any of my colleagues if you have any questions.

Yep. Councilmember Richardson Jordan?

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Yeah. I just wanted to ask sort of as a follow up to the last one. If there was anything... and I... and I get that the the numbers may not be there, so perhaps anecdotally, but has there been anything that is... that has shown an increase in discrimination based on age? In alignment with the pandemic? You know, as... as people have had to work remotely? I'm just wondering if... anecdotally if that's come up the discrimination based on age.

MS. KAMUF WARD: So I would say we anticipated that and that's covered in a lot of our guidance. We haven't seen that, though, play out in what we are receiving, again talking about the complaints. I think the caveat I would add there is for accommodations, there is no legally required accommodation for age. So if there is someone who has an underlying condition that qualifies as a

disability, we would see it as a disability rather

3 | than as an age claim. But that... that's the caveat,

4 but otherwise, no.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Okay. All

6 right. Thank you.

MS. KAMUF WARD: Thanks.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Good afternoon. I'm

Councilmember Rita Joseph. I wanted to find out in

terms of disabilities, how many cases were you

receiving? Are the numbers the same during the

pandemic, post pandemic? And were these... also

these hybrid models, were devices given to the

staffers to also make their jobs easier? Or was that

an issue? Was that an issue or not an issue?

MS. KAMUF WARD: The one question would be related... relating to the complaints that we're seeing, and one is for our own staff.

In terms of complaints that we're seeing, I think what we have right now is the numbers for the first quarter of fiscal year 22. I think I would hesitate to make any comments about trends based on that data, because really a lot of employers are still working hybrid, though the numbers and kind of percentage of disability cases as... as a part of the whole

2.2

2.3

2 hasn't... hasn't changed. So again, the trends stay
3 somewhat the same.

And in terms of accommodations for our own employees, I would have to go back to our team to identify what the... what the bases for requests have been, and how many have been related to the request for technology or other assistive materials.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: So your like your normal, what is your normal reporting? Well, not reporting. What is your normal, like, data collection process, like, on complaints? Because I know, when we're asking certain questions, specifically, involving COVID-19, it's not clear whether or not you're tracking cases that you feel are specific to COVID-19. Am I hearing that correctly?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So some cases... Primarily, cases are coded versus against protections in our law. Sometimes we're able to identify something as COVID specific, but some things in the context of disability could also be related to something else happening in the workplace. So we're not always able to identify if COVID is the dominant piece of a case, but we do attempt to track that. And I... It's just not something that goes in our annual report and are

2.2

2.3

2 typical numbers. So I'll go back and see how we're
3 able to run the numbers in our systems to identify.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Yeah, because I'm just thinking that... like, I'm wondering... Because I know so many... Even within my office, like I know so many people have, like, issues with, like, reasonable accommodations. And, yeah, it's interesting to hear, I guess, from your records that you feel like everything has pretty much stayed the same. There's been no fluctuations, give or take in the wake of COVID. That's what I'm hearing.

MS. KAMUF WARD: Oh, so, I mean... I will... The caveat there is that we see people who are wanting to file a complaint that they've been denied a reasonable accommodation. So we certainly don't have kind of a view into employers and what's happening every day. It's just our numbers are based on people who file complaints.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Yeah. Which is like brings back to the other question about outreach and like, what other things is CCHR doing to make sure that people know that they can come to the agency to lodge complaints?

MS. KAMUF WARD: And so I think, one it's n	.ot
a silver lining but one thing that we have seen	ı in
COVID, is that we were actually able to reach high	ner
numbers of New Yorkers by using virtual outreach	
methods. Again, there's going to be a section of	the
population who are not accessing that information.	•
And now that we're back in the office, we are doin	ıg
many more intake sessions and trainings in places	
where we were pre-pandemic. And so I'm hopeful th	ıat
that that helps get the word out. And our number	ers
of trainings continue to really go go up. I th	nink
not everyone wants to always file a complaint. Ar	nd
the other piece is that private employers are stil	L1
often working, not fully back in the office. So s	ome
of the things that you might expect to see, I thin	ık
have not fully played out. So we'll I think we	e ' ll
have more data, kind of like EEP was saying, some	of
it is backward looking because it's complaint driv	7en.
So it's not necessarily what's happening in real	
time.	

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah. I had another question. Do you guys have any questions?

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Oh, quick question. Um, is language access available for non-English speakers to file complaints?

MS. KAMUF WARD: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: What are your languages?

MS. KAMUF WARD: So we have staff that speak 28

languages, and I don't have the whole list. But what

I do have is that our info line speaks five

languages. I can tell you what those are. They are

Spanish, Hindi, Urdu, Portuguese, and Nepali. And

anyone who contacts our agency also receives

information in Spanish, Arabic, Mandarin, and Russian

on first blush. And then we either utilize staff who

speak languages or interpreters to engage with

communities.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You'll have to excuse me, because you know, I'm still learning my job six months in, so I have a question just in terms of like other city agencies. Do they report to... like people... City employees, do they also report to CCHR? And do you... Have you been able to track that?

So are there, like, city employees reporting to CCHR? Is there any track record? Does that happen?

- 2 | Because I know and like previous offline
- 3 conversations, I would love for you all to be super
- 4 independent to also hold city agencies accountable.
- 5 So I'm just wondering, like, do you find that city
- 6 employees you know, at the very least I feel like
- 7 city employees should know about the resources that
- 8 the city has for them. So have you been seeing
- 9 complaints from...?
- 10 MS. KAMUF WARD: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.
- 12 MS. KAMUF WARD: So yeah, so we receive again,
- 13 | have individuals who want to file complaints. If
- 14 | they work for a city agency, they can file complaints
- 15 with us. What I will say is, there is another city
- 16 agency, which is the Department of Citywide
- 17 Administrative Services, which tracks for all city
- 18 agencies, things like reasonable accommodation
- 19 requests, again, we only receive what comes to vis-a-
- 20 | vis a complaint, or a tip, or a referral. And we do
- 21 receive cases against... against city agencies.
- CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you. We'll
- 23 | send some follow up questions...
- 24 MS. KAMUF WARD: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: ...if my colleagues don't have any more questions for CCHR? Good?

Okay. So on to EEPC.

First question is: What additional burdens does EEPC take into consideration due to changing workplaces?

MS. VICTOR: (inaudible, microphone off)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure. What additional burdens does EEPC take into consideration due to changing workplaces?

MS. VICTOR: (inaudible, microphone off)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, like I guess,

perhaps additional burdens from the agencies?

Because folks are working hybrid or remotely?

MS. VICTOR: (inaudible, microphone off)

Thank you, if they were working remotely, they, they may not have had the access to the database for EEPC in order to upload documents. So... So what we had to do was we worked with the agencies to make sure that we had some workarounds in place so that they could send their documents directly to the analysts, for example. Those kinds of things we were able to work out: the logistics of some of the some of the... the actual processes of how do you get

2.2

2.3

documents? Or if we demanded documents? And... And the agency said, "Well, not in the office or working remotely? Well, when are you going to be in the office? When can you get it to us?" You know, we... we had to bend our processes somewhat so that we can allow our agencies to be able to, you know, when they go into the office, they could provide us with the documentation, as opposed to our putting a deadline on it. So that, you know, we had to be more collaborative.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, how does telework impact the EEPC work going forward? Both for the commission employees and for the agencies EEPC oversees?

MS. VICTOR: So... So how telework has impacted... impacted us going forward, is we continue to hold meetings using WebEx. You know, we've... we've tried to take the best of what we used during the pandemic to continue to use it today, so that we can make life as simple as possible for our agencies... for... for those agencies that are being audited, et cetera. So, for example, although we're in the office, there might be times when agencies may need a little additional time, in order to provide us

with the documentation that we need. We have been
more collaborative in providing them with additional
time. Now, I'll flip it over to Jennifer to see if

5 there's anything that I'm missing in terms of a

6 telework processes.

2.2

2.3

MS. SHAW: I think for the EEPC, the biggest thing has been kind of working with agencies in a way that we hadn't necessarily before. Usually... We are an oversight agency, we do audits, and we have to go in and it's very... I want to say mechanical, but we get our documents, we make our analyses, and we... and we make determinations and we fix... we help fix what needs to be fixed.

When you're dealing with the pandemic, we had our own analysts who were dealing with their own personal issues. Everybody in our office was impacted by a COVID in some way. And when you pick up the phone and you call someone on the other side, you don't know what they're dealing with either. And we had people who had no access to documents because everything was in hardcopy. People who were not in that role, but the person who was for whatever reason was no longer with the agency. So we had to kind of take a more collaborative approach and work with

2

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

had taken in the past, and I think that that's
something that we've continued to hold on to going
forward. We've changed a couple of our audit
processes for this new audit we started in 2022, to
be more collaborative, to be more transparent. So

agencies and kind of be more of a team effort than we

- those kinds of things that we had to deal with...

 work arounds and such, we are continuing to try to

 provide for that for our agencies, especially since
- 12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

the pandemic remains ongoing.

- MS. VICTOR: And if I could add too...
- 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure.
 - MS. VICTOR: ...one of the things that we tried to do in order to be more collaborative is to try to... to give more information to the agencies.

Prior to the start of this year's audit, we held information sessions with agency heads, and with... with the EEO and HR professionals so that they have an understanding of what to expect within... within the EEPC audit. We are sending out more communications to the agencies, we are trying to make ourselves more available to the agencies so that there's no mystery as to what the EEPC does and what

2.2

2.3

we're looking to accomplish. The goal is to make
sure that every agency is in compliance. So if we
want every agency to be in compliance, we want to

make sure that they... they understand that.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Got it. Thank you. In your mid 2020 meetings, EEPC discuss adding a study on the impacts of COVID-19 to audits. Did this happen? And does the EEPC report show this?

MS. VICTOR: Do you want to take that one?

MS. SHAW: Sure. We actually did not add that to our audit in a specific COVID-19 direction. What we did look at is our new audit is focused on general employment practices, but specifically focusing on the under-utilization of minorities and women in the workforce. So we look at recruitment strategies, we look at selection strategies, and in so doing, we're looking at numbers that will reflect what happened during the pandemic: COVID separations... we won't know whether or not it's a separation based upon COVID or not, but we do know that if there's a separation, and a new hiring, then we can look at whether or not there's underutilization and how the agency addressed it. We just started that audit, though. We don't even have any findings yet, because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we are on a four year cycle, and we just initiated it. Our analysts are doing the first of their determinations now. So no, not a specific COVID-19 driven, but how separations and, and those kinds of

hirings and promotions and retention is impacted.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I think both between you and CCHR, it doesn't seem like there has been a tracking of things like tagging things COVID-19, which I can understand because data is still coming out. And then especially for you, you have to request data from agencies, which I know can take time. But maybe it is something we should look into as the pandemic persists, and there's... Like, I briefly mentioned it in my opening remarks, that long-term COVID is a thing that people aren't talking about, and so I think it would be helpful to sort of understand what we're seeing potentially in relations But I understand perhaps why you don't to COVID. have it now, but I'm just wondering if there's ways to, you know, tag it somehow. Like if someone is like coming in for intake or complaint, like is there a way to like ask them just a COVID-19 question, just to see if it's COVID-19 related. And the same thing If you're gathering documents, is there

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

like just one additional question, you could ask an 2 3 agency to be able to be a little bit more detailed or 4 concise. But yeah, that was just a thought that just occurred to me because I think a lot of... 5 purpose of this hearing, which is to sort of 6 7 understand like, how the pandemic has impacted 8 people's return to work, has impacted people's work environments, period. But if we're not really collecting data that's specific to COVID-19, and 10 11 we're sort of almost using the same data collection 12 methods, is really hard to, like, tease through, like, what truly is a COVID-19 impact. 13

How did EEPC assess how employees were functioning when teleworking?

digress. That was a mini-TED talk.

MS. SHAW: So I was part of the EEPC during the beginning part of the pandemic when we first started teleworking in March 2020, and we had to pivot very quickly. We had not had any kind of technology or kind of data collection, or system access outside of a computer on the city network. So basically, we had to, in the beginning, use the honor system. We had our employees check in, and we worked... We had a lot of team meetings to try to determine what methodology

2.2

2.3

would work best to get the information we need, and to produce audits in a time of uncertainty and a time where more sensitivity is needed. And we... we have a really great team, and we were able to achieve that because of that. And I think that's one of the times that our small stature and the size of our organization does come in to be a benefit, because we are... We met regularly met on team meetings for the entire organization, and talked about different problems and tried to brainstorm, and that's how we were able to achieve our numbers for 2020, despite what was going on in the rest of the world.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So in addition to how you addressed employees working within the EEPC, in terms of like other employees, how were you able to assess how they were functioning? For instance, were there any unanticipated challenges that EEPC had to review considering the nature of telework? So you all provide recommendation? So did you have to adjust any of your recommendations because people were teleworking to account for employees who have disabilities, or mental health concerns, or childcare needs?

2.2

MB. SHAW. So we were conducting a sexual
harassment prevention practices audit during the 2020
year, and 2021, and so a lot of our recommendations
did have to now take into account that people were
not in office. For example, complaint investigation
maintenance of files was different than what had been
before. Intake was different. For providing
training for people. A lot of the training was on
city-access-only systems, and so now we couldn't ask
agencies to ensure certain training that they simply
didn't have access to anymore.

Co wa ware applied in a covid

So we did modify some of our recommendations. We tried to maintain as much as we could of what we deemed to be the standard that we were trying to have agencies achieve. But in terms of one-on-one type of thing, we don't... that's not what the EEPC does. We audit programs. We audit policies and procedures.

So that was kind of... The programmatic recommendations, were really the only things that we could have modified.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have a question,
actually, for CCHR when I just thought about
childcare. Did you receive like caregiver
complaints? Because I know a lot of people complain

- 2 because they couldn't send their children to school.
- 3 And if they were asked to report to work, they didn't
- 4 have a person, so did you receive those type of
- 5 | complaints?
- 6 MS. KAMUF WARD: So we do receive inquiries that
- 7 | are based on caregiver status, and there is
- 8 discrimination protection for caregivers in our law,
- 9 but there is no reasonable accommodation for
- 10 caregivers. So we do receive inquiries in... in that
- 11 | arena, but it's more often related to the application
- 12 of workplace policies outside of the RA context,
- 13 | since that's not an accommodation.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So they're protected, but
- 15 | there's no, like, special accommodations that they
- 16 | could receive.
- 17 MS. KAMUF WARD: Correct. Because accommodations
- 18 \parallel run to you based on your status or condition, but as
- 19 | I think I said there are some federal policies and
- 20 other state laws that allow for remote work related
- 21 to COVID.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Did you see an increase in
- 23 | caregiver complaints, or you still think is more or
- 24 less the same?

2.2

2.3

MS. KAMUF WARD: I don't have that information.

Like, we can look into inquiries and see... and

provide that information in follow up. I don't have

that with me today.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you. Back to EEPC: Are there challenges that have carried over, now that all agencies are back to in-person work? What recommendations has EEPC made to agencies to handle these challenges?

MS. SHAW: Are we talking in the audit realm...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MS. SHAW: ...I would imagine? The challenges that we've been experiencing, now that we're back in the office, is just kind of, I think, a continuation of remembering that we're still in a pandemic and that people are being affected on it by a personal level. And the other challenge that we faced -- and it has something to do with COVID, and also has to do with the change in administration -- a lot of people who are not in those roles during the period of time that we're auditing are no longer there. So the people who were talking to now we're not necessarily around in 2020 when the decisions are being made to do certain things.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So our recommendations are more tailored to the programs to make sure that that continuity is ensured, regardless of what's happening in the world or what's happening in the agency itself in terms of changes in... in leadership.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I'll pause. Do you have any questions Councilmembers?

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Well, I first I first want to say, you know, Madam Chair to the sidetrack that you kind of went on, I think it's... I think it's still relevant, and maybe it's something for... for either CCHR or even for us as a Council to think about the classification of long COVID as a disability, and what it would mean, in terms of recognizing long COVID? Because I think that's... think that's... that's maybe the place where... where we could address what's going on, I think some of what we might be finding out is that, usually what we're looking at is... is employers not doing reasonable accommodation. And I think because COVID was such a phenomenon, and it was seen -- you know, like, so many things are not seen, right?, but COVID was seen -- that I think they're actually... I don't Maybe part... Maybe part of the story is that

2.2

2.3

there actually were accommodations made for COVID in a way that isn't typically done, because most disabilities are invisible, and they're... and they're... and we're sort of battling against...

6 against getting them.

Anyway, that's also a tangent, but... but related to our work. But I wanted to ask for EEPC: I was just curious, because I know there's an emphasis on minorities and women, but is there also a tracking around diversity for LGBTQIA? And do you have numbers on that in terms of our agencies?

MS. SHAW: The... The data collection is driven by DCAS, which sets the parameters for the citywide policies. And I don't believe that they are tracking LGBTQ. We do look in our audits for certain trainings that are required. There is an... an LGBTQ training that's now required of all city employees every two years. So we've included that as a mandatory requirement in our audits. But generally, no, we have not kind of parsed down... I know when we look at our employment reports in terms of underutilization, it does not track. It tracks by gender. It tracks by race and ethnicity, but it doesn't track by sexual identity.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: That's good to know. Thank you.

MS. KAMUF WARD: Um, just on the long COVID point, and I think this is... you know, goes back to the tracking question.

Because we look also like what the condition is in the individual, and sometimes it's also underlying conditions, or that medical impacts can rise to the level of disability that requires an accommodation, it's just not like, people are not requesting it based necessarily on COVID. But the doctor will say this is the limitations and the needs, because you also don't need to necessarily disclose to your employer what your personal medical diagnosis or condition is. So that's what makes it like a bit of a fuzzy line. But you know, we also... we... we will go back on the COVID numbers, and I think we will have stuff to share with you after the hearing.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: I just wanted to add on something real quick. I think that data is going to be very important in this and how you drive the conversation, and even moving forward to drive policy from this committee, data is going to be very important as to where we are, how do we move forward,

2 and how we can help. So I think the data part
3 that... that Chair mentioned is going to be very

4 crucial in this.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. Always nice to get backup from committee members.

Are there any challenges that have carried over now... oh, I read that already.

Recent agency diversity plans indicate that some efforts are delayed due to COVID-19. EEPC reviews these plans? How has it responded to these delays? What criteria are used to determine when these efforts are to restart?

MS. SHAW: Again, this is an audit question. As a Director of Compliance, I can best speak to these things.

When we look at an agency's annual EEO plan, we do not get into the weeds of it in terms of specifics of whether or not they should or should not be doing certain things. We do look at things such as mandatory trainings, we want to make sure that that's included, but whether or not they undertake certain initiatives or strategies for say, recruitment or retention or community outreach, we don't look into those things. One, we don't have the resources.

2.2

2.3

And, two, it's outside of our purview, because those are operational decisions. I do know that the annual reports are reviewed by the Department of citywide administrative services prior to them being finalized and approved by the agency heads. I don't know what that review in entails, but at the EEPC level, we look more to see: Do you have a plan? Have you been producing quarterly reports that are showing the progress of your plan? Without necessarily getting into the specifics of those plans? Because we simply don't have the resources.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: How did EEPC conduct its sexual harassment audit with workers in a remote setting?

MS. SHAW: I'm sorry, can you repeat that?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yep. How did EEPC conduct its sexual harassment audit with workers in a remote setting?

MS. SHAW: As I said before, we had to kind of do workarounds for technology. And we had to do workarounds for just human beings. We really tried to be more flexible. And a lot of times, people didn't realize how dependent they were on hard copies, because the city didn't have a remote policy

prior to the COVID. There wasn't the same kind of

need to have things in electronic format. And I

think a lot of agencies realize that we need to start

making sure everything is in some kind of scanned

maning sale everyoning is in some nine of sound

6 format.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

You know, we get complaint files, and they're pretty voluminous, and they are sometimes only in hardcopy. So when we were trying to assess those kinds of things, we couldn't do it until we had agencies... you know, people going back on their one day in, and scanning a whole bunch of documents for us. So we had to be a little bit more flexible in our timelines like Jeanne said, and how we worked with them, how we accepted information, and then in the findings that we made, recognizing that there was some things that we just, we couldn't get from them, because they were working remote. And we do have a charter mandate to audit every agency once every four years. And we are audited by a comptroller to make sure we meet that mandate. So we couldn't simply pause all of the audits, we did the best we could to make sure it was as complete as it would have been prior to remote, based upon the... the kinds of limitations that we had. But I think our agency got

2 | creative and really kind of thought outside the box,

3 and became much more like I said, a collaborator with

4 our agencies, versus the auditor type of, I guess,

5 like, separation.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, and I know, this is not the tech committee. But I'm interested because I hear you say a lot about the challenges faced by not being able to be on like a city server, whether it's trainings or documents being available electronically. So would you say you still have those challenges? Or has the city itself... I don't know, I guess you have... we have to work with DoITT? Or do you work with DoITT? Or do you have a separate...? No, you don't work with DoITT, but the agencies have to work with DoITT to get their files So I'm just trying to understand like, is it online. still a challenge? Like, is that something that we need to like further investigate as well? Or would you say the city has sort of rised to the task of like, technology? So folks are able to do whatever trainings that they might not have been able to do because they weren't on a particular server?

MS. SHAW: I can't... I can't speak for every

agency in the city. I can say that I think that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

agencies that we worked with did recognize the need to have more electronic files and the need to have access to certain things that were outside of the city network. We have a proprietary compliance monitoring system, and we realized that you can't access it unless you're using the city network. that was a problem for us. So we've tried to work with, you know, kind of retrofitting that and seeing how we can modify that process. The other thing that I have noticed, I think that in terms of the training that has been kind of being rolled out across the city. I think there have been changes made to make it more accessible in terms of electronic or computer based training, that nest may not have been in the past. We have been finding that people are coming back to the office, and they're getting a lot of the training that they had missed, and it's not necessarily through in-person training. But again, I can't speak to policy.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah. You have made a comment about having to... it was a question... I think asked a question about adjusting, and you made a comment about the server and how some things are available, but you have to be in like a city system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And so what... Do you have details? Like what were those adjustments? Or is it specific trainings? And then again... because I think your answer doesn't feel conclusive. So like, is it... Can we say that agencies have made the necessary adjustments in the wake of remote work to comply with audits and/or your

8 recommendations? Or is there still gaps?

I'm not trying to be inconclusive or MS. SHAW: evasive, but I think that the issue with the EEPC is we don't have an opportunity to... Everyone's back in the office. Everyone in the city, you know... City workers are back in the office. So if they don't have their files scanned, we don't know anymore. they are not necessarily being able to access certain training, because they're not on a city network, well, that's irrelevant now, because they are on city networks. And we have no way of knowing. So for us, we... we really can't speak to whether or not things have... whether the city has risen. We... We can speak to what our agency does, but in terms of the other agencies that we audit, we really don't know what's going on behind the scenes. All we know is that, you know, they're providing us with documents Does that means that their internal databases

2.2

2.3

2 are now more compatible? I don't know. We can't
3 tell.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So are you no longer adjusting some of your recommendations because everybody's back in person?

MS. VICTOR: Right... Right now, I don't believe that there have been any complaints by the agency saying that they can't comply, because they are back in the office now. So I think that we're... we've reached the point where there's... there's no longer the issue that we had initially, during the initial stages of the pandemic. We have moved forward, and now that we're back in the office, they are complying.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So prior to COVID, how would agencies submit... How do agencies submit information to you? Because I know, you mentioned the challenge was having documents needing to be scanned and sent to you electronically? So how... How do agencies typically give you information?

MS. SHAW: So prior to COVID, and during COVID, we would put out what we call preliminary interview questionnaires. And it would be a series of questions asking about certain policy things, certain

2 documents, certain... whether or not you have an EEO officer, and then you would have to answer it through 3 4 this SurveyMonkey type of questionnaire, and provide uploads of documents to support your responses. 5 if we asked, you know, do you have a training 6 7 curriculum? We want to see the training curriculum, 8 for... for example. So now, we are still using the same system. But again, we, when we were asking for it, during COVID, people weren't necessarily able to 10 11 provide us with that uploads, because they didn't have access to it, for whatever the reason may be 12 13 hardcopy outside the system, lack of technology, bandwidth, I have no idea. Now that we are all back 14 15 in the office, like Jeanne said, we are not 16 experiencing that kind of difficulty... (crosstalk) 17 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, no. I know. I got 18 that point. Sorry to cut you off. I just was trying 19 to understand what you did before. What the 20 challenges, I guess, were during the height of COVID. 21 And then just trying to assess, because, who knows? 2.2 I mean, there might be another crazy variant, and 2.3 everyone has to go remote again. And if the agencies technically don't have to have these files scanned 24 somewhere that they can readily send to you, you 25

2.2

2.3

was just trying to understand, like, what was the process before COVID, just to get a fuller picture on like, the challenges and possibly holding the agencies more accountable to account for this new trend. Because, I don't... I don't really think remote things are going to ever go away anymore. I think it makes sense to have things electronically if we can. So I was just wondering. And the... the system... the proprietary system, you mentioned, have

you been able to modernize the system?

MS. SHAW: Um, we've actually kind of done like a workaround in that we asked agencies to go into the system when they are in the office. Now that they're in the office, and we haven't really been using it recently because we're not in that stage of the audits, which is the compliance stage was at the end. We're still in the beginning parts of the audits that we're conducting. But no, we really have not been able to figure out a way that we can electronically allow access to the system outside of the city network.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. And the final question I have is: Did you see -- this back to

2.2

2.3

sexual harassment -- Did you see any changes from the first sexual harassment audit conducted in 2020 to the recent one.

CHAIR SHAW: So, the sexual harassment practices audit was commenced in 2018. And it was on a four-year cycle. So we the last year of the cycle was 2021. And I think what we have noticed, we did notice in the latter years was more complaint file maintenance being more uniform, and definitely training. There was... The laws that were passed in 2018, that required mandatory training for every employee every year. And so we began to track that during the latter parts of the audit cycle that we were reviewing those trainings, and the emphasis became much more apparent that that is something that is in the forefront of EEO programs.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: I have a quick question.

I'm going to go back for a second. I remember doing

COVID... in the middle of COVID, I was still

teaching. I wasn't even... I wasn't even in the

Council yet. The biggest challenge we had was

technology. There's a divide across the city. Are

staff being trained now, so if we ever need to go

down to a full shutdown, is your office prepared to

2.2

2.3

move remotely? 100%? Is the staff updated? Is the equipment updated? Is your server, do you have a bandwidth that's wide enough to support the kind of

5 work that you're doing?

MS. SHAW: Are you are you talking for us, the EEPC, our own agency? Own agents, right?

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Mm-hmm. Right. As you're taking complaints, you're supposed to have a system that supports those things, right? What... How does your staff intake info?

MS. SHAW: Well, we don't intake complaints. We just do the historical audits. We've relied a lot on people using their own personal equipment, because we didn't have the resources to provide laptops and printers, and everything to our employees. We are still in that same spot, in terms of lack of resources. I think Jeanne can speak better to that in terms of budget. But if we had to do a full shutdown, again, we would be reliant upon our employees using their own equipment, their own telephones, their own internet access their own electricity, because we can't reimburse them. And we don't have the resources to provide every employee with a laptop and a printer, and a cell phone, and

- 2 | even a license to use Teams. That's why we used
- 3 WebEx instead of Teams, because we didn't have enough
- 4 licenses.
- 5 COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: We're in trouble in New
- 6 | York City?
- 7 MS. SHAW: I'm sorry?
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: We're in trouble then.
- 9 Well how does... Is the staff penalized if they did
- 10 not have equipment? I came across staff that went
- 11 | remote and did not have laptops.
- MS. SHAW: We had a limited number of laptops
- 13 | that we were able to provide to staff who did not, or
- 14 | had connectivity issues. But if every single one of
- our staff members needed a laptop, I don't know how
- 16 we would have gotten our work done.
- 17 Again, like I said, we had a couple but we and we
- 18 | were luckily able to have most of our staff have the
- 19 | equipment that they needed to have on hand. But if
- 20 | they didn't, and we had exceeded the number of
- 21 requests of the laptops, then I really don't know how
- 22 we would have proceeded at that point.
- 23 COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: And I believe that also
- 24 goes for Wi-... hotspots as well. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, thank you. And I don't think I need to say this. But I think all of us here appreciate the work of CCHR. We want to see you have all of the money, all of the Independence. And the same thing goes for EEPC. The work that you do is so incredibly important to this Council. So all of our questions are always in terms of what can we do better as legislators. So I just wanted to say that like these questions are really just to like further support the work that you're doing and like strengthen our city. So I appreciate you for coming today and for fielding our questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We will next...

We'll call the next panel which is public member,

Megan Rachlin. You can go ahead when this sergeants

call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MS. RACKIN: Thank you. Thank you to Chair
Williams and to the members of the Committee for
Civil and Human Rights for the opportunity to testify
today. My name is Meghan Rackin, and I'm a staff
attorney at A Better Balance, a legal nonprofit
headquartered in New York City. A Better Balance was
founded with the goal of ensuring that all workers

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have the ability to care for themselves and their

families without compromising their economic

security, and we were proud to have drafted and

shepherded the passage legislation including the 2014

New York City Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, and the

New York City Earned Safe and Sick Time Act.

Our recent report co-authored with the Community Service Society titled Women in the Workforce Advancing of Justice Recovery in New York City provides new data from CSS's unheard third survey, demonstrating that more than a third of women reported that they had experienced temporary or permanent job loss in their household since the start of the pandemic. Now, as workers continue to face pandemic era workplace challenges, New York must find solutions that work for all workers for balancing the competing demands of work and care. Specifically, New York City must expand outreach education and enforcement. Our report clearly indicates that low income working women are not aware of and have not been able to fully access their rights. For instance, nearly 6 in 10 Women who are covered by the Earned Safe and Safe Time Act had heard little to nothing about the law, and 53% of low income workers

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

who needed to stop working during the pandemic cited
health or disability as one of the reasons.

This suggests that workers are not adequately informed about their rights to paid family leave paid sick time, anti-discrimination protections for caregivers, and reasonable accommodations for disability or pregnancy. The Commission on Human Rights must immediately prioritize outreach and education to ensure that workers are informed about their rights and must collaborate with other city agencies, state agencies, and community partners to ensure that New York workers understand the full range of protections that are available to them under city state and federal law. At the same time, the commission should prioritize strong proactive enforcement of existing workplace rights including by paying careful attention to the employers, especially large companies, who use overly rigid punitive, socalled, no fault attendance policies to penalize workers for absences that should be considered reasonable accommodations.

Second, ensure that caregivers are not discriminated against in the workplace. Our joint report with CSS makes the pandemics particularly

strong antidiscrimination...

2.2

2.3

pernicious effects on caregivers incredibly vivid,
showing that mothers and fathers were forced to stop
working due to childcare responsibilities in enormous
numbers. Solutions to the employment crisis facing
parents and other caregivers as the pandemic
continues and after must account for family
caregiving needs. The city's human rights law has

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Meghan, you can slow down girl. I mean, not going to stop you. You can keep going. You can slow down. We can extend your time. You're the only person testifying because I actually really want to hear what you said. Because the agencies didn't have much, so if you're talking about issues. Slow down, we want to hear you. Take your time.

MS. RACKING: Great. Thank you. I appreciate it.

We would say that the city's human rights laws' strong existing antidiscrimination protection for caregivers must be strongly enforced. And we would also suggest that the City Council consider legislation to expressly provide caregivers with a limited right to reasonable accommodations, absent

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

undue hardship to their employers, and protection
from retaliation for requesting accommodations based
on their caregiving status.

Third, protects the rights of app-based gig workers. In a really striking finding, our report demonstrated that across all income levels participation in app based gig work increased likely due to widespread job loss and childcare concerns. With the rise in app-based gig work, many workers face difficulty accessing the workplace rights and protections they need. We know that misclassification is often used to skirt many of the protections afforded to traditional employees for app based gig workers. But we also know that independent contractors have brought antidiscrimination protections under the city's human rights law. So we suggest that the Commission on Human Rights proactively enforced those protections to ensure that gig workers are able to work free from discrimination and access the pregnancy and disability accommodations that they may need regardless of whether or not they're misclassified.

And fourth, leading the way as a model employer.

In this really turbulent time for workers and

businesses, the city government is uniquely
positioned to lead by example. City workers are not
covered by many important worker protective laws, an
the city has not really stepped up to provide the
municipal workforce with comparably protected
policies. Recently, for example, the city's overly
rigid and confusing return-to-office policy has
fallen short of the goal of serving as a model
employer, and we'd suggest that the city serve as a
model employer by revising its return to office
policy to meet the needs of workers with health
concerns or caregiving responsibilities, and by
ensuring that all city employees have access to paid
family and medical leave and reasonable caregiving
accommodations. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Do you have that written or report of the recommendations that you can send to us?

MS. RACKIN: Yes, absolutely. I will submit my written testimony which will link to our longer joint report with CSS that lays out both these and other recommendations as well as supporting data.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, um, so... actually, you said everything Have you if you send us that,

<INSERT TITLE OF MEETING>

2.2

2.3

that would be great. I don't know if you all have any reports on like responsiveness of CCHR complaints. I know you mentioned a few things in regards to that. Or any additional guidance that your organization would like to see from CCHR?

MS. RACKIN: We have had great experiences, I think, working with the Commission on Human Rights and are excited to continue to work with them on a number of issues.

One recommendation that we have that I don't believe I mentioned in my remarks just now, but it is included in my written testimony is we think it would be great to develop a process for fast-tracking complaints, specifically related to denials of reasonable accommodations for pregnancy, because those complaints are, by their nature, so time sensitive. And we'd love to... to work with CCHR on developing a process for having those complaints resolved in a really timely... timely way.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. And I don't know if you have any recommendations or guidance for employees see, seeking... seeing now that in-office work is resuming. I don't know if you've been seeing

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 any trends, or if you have anything to add in terms
3 of workers going back in person.

MS. RACKIN: Certainly. I think we know that... Like, we can see from the data that we have put forth in our joint report with the Community Service Society, that flexibility was really key for the workers to whom remote work was available during the pandemic. We do want to recognize that many workers have been working in person throughout the pandemic, and they need flexibility as well. And solutions needed to take both of those groups of workers in mind. I think there's a lot to be learned from this pandemic. As challenging as it was, it also provided, in many ways, an often successful experiment in remote work for a lot of workers for whom remote work is a possibility. And we'd love to see the city government think about maybe convening a task force of workers, businesses, and advocates that can come together to reflect on some of the lessons learned in the pandemic and think about how to... how to integrate those into the, at this point in time, the ongoing pandemic workforce but also how we can move forward at the eventual end of the pandemic to... to incorporate some of those lessons into how

2.2

2.3

we think about flexibility for workers, especially workers with health or caregiving needs, to make the workforce and more. more just equitable place for all workers going forward.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, yes. Please send us your written testimony, your report, and any recommendations you have. Colleagues, you have a question? Councilmember Richardson Jordan?

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Yes. Thank you for the testimony. So I did want to ask, in terms of what you were referencing about low-income women and not knowing or having access to their rights.

What... What would you say is... is the number one fix to that? Is that... is that anything we can do legislatively? Or would you say that's more budget and outreach to support, you know, the... the CBOs that would get the word out?

MS. RACKIN: Absolutely. I think... I think both are key pieces. I think outreach and education is a huge piece of this. And we know that the Commission on Human Rights does great work there as do many other agencies. And we just think more is needed to make sure that all workers are really well informed of the full scope of their rights. We're

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

especially interested in thinking about ways for city agencies to work together, and to work with their counterparts at the state level and with community advocates to make sure that workers are aware of all of their rights. There are so many really wonderful and strong laws in New York City. But there are also important protections that workers have at the state and the federal level. For instance, paid family leave is a crucial protection for so many New York workers, and that's a state level of protection. So it's really important to integrate that kind of outreach and education to make sure that workers are getting information about all of the rights that they might need to know about. For instance, if a worker in the city is pregnant and needs information about their rights at work while they're pregnant, it would be great if, at that same time, they can also get information about paid family leave, so they know about their right, for instance, to take time off of work and receive financial support while they're bonding with a new baby.

So thinking about ways to integrate those processes more so... so that all workers can get this information, and that they can get all of the

information they need we think is really crucial. We
do also think there's room to think about what might
be done to update and expand notice requirements in
in some of the city laws, especially in the pandemic
workforce and thinking about changes to the workforce
that are likely to be with us for some time, making
sure that workers have access to all of that
information in digital form, and making sure that
they're able to access all of that information that
they're that they have that employers have no
obligations to provide to them, making sure that they
can access that information both in and out of the
workplace, so that they can review it when it's
convenient for them.

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Yeah, that's...

that sounds good. Especially I made a note about the notice requirements. Maybe that's a space we can help facilitate. I wanted to ask also with gig workers. Just in your... in your experience or observation, is there anyone who's doing that well?

That outreach to gig workers' work?

MS. RACKIN: There are certainly groups that are working to expand the rights of gig workers. We... We work with a number of partners who do a lot of

- 2 work in that space. I can try to think a little bit
- 3 about more, who might be the right folks to direct
- 4 you towards. But there's definitely folks doing
- 5 that work really well. Yeah. I'd have to give it a
- 6 little bit more thought to give you specific.
- 7 COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: That's fine. I
- 8 was just curious around that. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think that's it. Thank
- 10 you so much, Meghan. We look forward to getting your
- 11 testimony and whatever else you want to give to us to
- 12 strengthen the laws that we have...
- 13 MS. RACKIN: Thank you so much for your time.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And maybe add some new
- 15 ones. All right.
- 16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Meghan. If there's
- 17 anyone that would like to testify and has not been
- 18 | called, please make sure to submit your name to the
- 19 | Sergeant at Arms and we will call you.
- We'll now call Mary Moss up to testify. You can
- 21 go ahead whatever you're ready.
- 22 MS MOSS: Hello, my name is Mary Moss. I had not
- 23 intended to... I intended to just attend this hearing
- 24 | today, but I do have some words that I would love to

2.2

2.3

ask for each of you to consider and to put in place of... and turn into legislation.

Over 10 million women and men in the United

States of America are impacted by domestic violence,

more than one in three women in more than one to nine

men in the updated data and statistics in the United

States will experience physical violence and/or

stalking by an intimate partner in their lifetime.

These statistics are increased in urban communities where stress is greatly impacted by many factors and reverberations are spread throughout the community. In Harlem and throughout New York City, these statistics are exasperated and lead to devastating violence during the pandemic, especially for women and children. Complications of abuse lead to greater disparities and send residuals throughout the community because it affects the children who are our future, and society when the proper supports are not provided to those who need them as soon as necessary.

During Women's History Month 2021 provisions were made in the Violence Against Women Act, VAWA, and they added specific services to support many aspects of the original legislation which is which was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

included increased dollars for cultural specific programs, justice focuses on victims' autonomy, agency, and safety, including restorative justice practices, expanding access to VAWA-funded legal services for survivors, housing protections that allowed survivors in federally assisted housing to relocate to new safe housing with victim relocation vouchers, restoration of tribal jurisdiction that allowed tribes to hold non-native perpetuators of sexual assault, stalking, child abuse, elder abuse, assault against law enforcement officers, and trafficking accountable when they commit crimes on native lands, investment in prevention via the Rape Prevention and Education Program in VAWA, Department of Justice programs, closure of dangerous legal loopholes, and existing Federal Domestic Violence Firearm laws that helps reduce domestic violence and dating violence homicides, and economic justice responses that help survivors access unemployment insurance, and to help clarify what constitutes economic abuse. And it goes on.

But here are some additional recommendations that our city can really focus on in order to make sure that this federal law is strengthened or that the

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

federal law strengthen the local and state laws for the prevention of violence and how it affects the lives of those within our city.

Number one, schools are often the first place frequented by families in need. All of our children go... mostly go to school, and there is a need to support families properly and holistic ways, especially in sensitive matters like abuse that affect their lives. To ensure that these... that matters are properly managed, training for principals, administration, and staff to have the know-how to be supportive in matters of familiar duress, especially in situations of abuse is necessary to help eradicate any further complicated matters. Parent coordinators, social workers, and other administrators must demonstrate the sensitivity and compassion needed to assist these matters, and should be able to deescalate situations, to provide workers financial supports as needed, without encouraging parental alienation which is prevalent in our schools right now.

Survivors of abuse with personal... with children need to resort to a normal life for the sake of their children, and to minimize trauma in a personal

2.2

2.3

crisis. This means normal parental activities are needed and information about children's grades, access to their teachers, blue card sign offs, parents who are dealing with abuse should not be excluded from who gets to sign on to pick up their children, and they should have knowledge of who is picking up their children, and they should have a say and who picks up their children, and other information should not be withheld from parents in matters of domestic violence.

Domestic violence survivors experiencing the personal trauma of their circumstances by having their basic parental rights infringed upon causes greater hindrances and trauma to the victim/survivor and their children alike. Interaction should not be limited because someone is a victim slash survivor of abuse. Parental interruptions or restraints should not cause undue harm to their children. Parents rights should still be honored especially in matters of abuse. We shouldn't victimize survivors or cause further harm. School administrators should not impair or cause further damages to an already stressful and devastating situation in a person's

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 life who is dealing with a crisis affecting their
3 family.

Number two, although ACS, the Administration for Children's Services, is usually involved in family crisis matters, there are several gaps in services across agency interactions that must be appropriately supported to bring about justice and minimize the harm done to families involved. Statistics show that 9 in 10 cases of domestic violence unjustly violate those rights and cause separation of mothers from children while rewarding abusive partners with children and child support, therefore penalizing the victim further with financial abuse and the traumatic separation of their children. This has to be corrected. The trauma to children is increased because they are subjected to further abuse and are made to normalize the trauma cost to them and others in their presence. Based on the decisions of judges influenced by ACS and others, children caught in the middle of domestic violence matters are forced to live with a parent that has been rewarded for causing abuse, instead of being provided with protection from harm by the parents whose rights were infringed upon in the first place. These are known issues to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

several human rights organizations throughout the city, state, and nation, and the world. If all court sessions are made public, or at least recorded for review, these rights and laws cannot be easily violated. It is essential that through interagency vetting before hiring into positions of sensitive matters, such as this, and training for all public facing and public funding organizations dealing with matters of abuse are conducted through DCAS or other supportive professional training resources. Employees need specialized training in handling the sensitive matters of abuse, and how each person involved in the matter is dealing with the stressors that are affecting them individually. Intervention should not cause further trauma or escalate situations to greater levels. Also, there is a need to understand the protected rights of citizens and dealing with the implications of any crisis. compassionate workers who understand the populations they are working with, and are willing to assist with the best way, best practice measures to resolve the obstacles and complications as they occur. Proactive actions can also prevent some occurrences from

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 escalating or developing into more dangerous
3 situations.

Three when speaking of mass incarceration, men are usually the main subject by default. statistics show that 86% of women in jail are sexual violence and abuse survivors. And this is from Salon, Rachel Lee, published on November 11, 2017. Blaming victim survivors for reporting abuse when they needed intervention is a critical fallacy that causes greater damages to the life of the victim and those depending on them. Unfortunately, in some cases, those who are supposed to protect and serve have wrongfully arrested victim survivors in need of supportive actions and intervention and failed to help provide them with those safety measures they required causing greater long term damages. essential to accurately decipher the proper actions to ensure protection to remedy a crisis of abuse. Sometimes mental health issues are used as terms to describe victims of abuse, to perpetuate stigmas, to keep them from being helped. This provides an opportunity for those in leadership crisis matters to delineate between someone reacting to abuse versus someone using gaslighting measures to perpetuate a

reaction and causing greater harm instead of 2 3 providing the necessary appropriate solutions to the situation. It is called throwing a rock and hiding 4 your hand. Furthermore, there is a need to eradicate the mental health stigmas that can often be used to 6 isolate victims and cause them to be separated unjustly from their children, their children and 8 families, or jailed for reporting abuse. Alternatives to this are specialized domestic 10 11 violence training on how to aid a family in need of support, and accurately ascertain a victim survivor 12 13 from a perpetuator. Domestic violence trained 14 officers also must be equipped with the resources to 15 share that with victims, including... to share with 16 victims immediate resources or immediate local 17 support services that they can triage the emergency 18 effectively and quickly. For domestic violence can 19 happen at any hour of the day, a 24 hour Family 20 Justice Center one stop shop with supportive services for victims of violence, located uptown and even in 21 Harlem can provide immediate services to women who 2.2 2.3 are dealing with violence and needs to be safely secured away from their abusers, with opportunities 24 for immediate support and proper assistance. 25

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | should be across communication with district

3 attorneys across our five boroughs. It shouldn't be

4 just you know, one, you know, isolated issue that

5 | they can all talk amongst, with each other,

6 throughout the five boroughs for immediate support

7 and protection provided to victims.

And lastly, during the pandemic, there were many legislative and policy reforms regarding the court system over the pandemic which made the interface and functionality more technically supportive for victims survivors to gain the support they needed as far as successful navigation of court procedures, like they could upload documents online, or they could write their own petitions. However, if they did not have the technical tools, phone, or computer resources, they were at a disadvantage. Often decisions were made without the full knowledge of the processes if they had the technical tools, and often they were left out of the process. Clerks of the court need to accurately and appropriately assign cases to the proper court and jurisdiction. Any abuse related cases need to be referred to IDV court.

There is a greater need for ethical oversight for jurisprudence on all cases involving abuse. For

2.2

example, all family court sessions since COVID-19 have been video recorded due to Zoom sessions taking place. These Zoom sessions should be made publicly accessible for greater transparency and to ensure that city, state, and federal judges are adhering to ensure constitutional, civic, human, and parental rights are not violated for greater accountability, an amicus curiae, friend of the court briefs, precision policing reports, and accurate data and evidence that can help accelerate and assist with the decisions of judges properly, so that judges can understand situations more clearly and effectively for the lawful outcomes that protect and support the needs of victims, survivors of abuse.

And I just want to add one final, final thing, which is there was a... I attended a vigil in the Bronx where a police officer had lost her life due to domestic violence. There has to be a way in our unions or within the agencies, that people do not feel that they don't have the protections of where they work, to get the support that they need to be safe, and to save their lives. And that is all I wanted to share.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you so much for your testimony. And I also encourage you to submit it.

I'm sure Councilmembers would like to review it. And I don't know if you have any questions. xxx

COUNCILMEMBER RICHARDSON JORDAN: Yeah. Well, so first, I'll say thank you for the testimony. Marnie

is actually a fellow Harlemite. So... But I... I also want to say that, I think what you touched on, even though it might not seem directly related to two issues of employment is related. And it did... and it did pull up stuff for me personally, because I'm a survivor of domestic violence, and I was wrongly arrested. And so when you speak about survivors and having the victim survivor wrongly arrested, and then the mental health effects, that's something that I have lived. You know, the record was cleared, but the... the mental and emotional health effects are something we work through. And... and it definitely affected my work performance. And I think what we do in terms of employers and employment and how we have protections for those who are survivors, is important.

24

1	<insert meeting="" of="" title=""> 89</insert>
2	So I appreciate you bringing it up, and I'm
3	definitely flagging it as something that I would like
4	to advocate around.
5	MS. MOSS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you for your
7	testimony. And with that, I'm closing out my first
8	in person hearing.
9	[GAVEL]
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 30, 2022