1	COMMITT	TEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		_
3	CITY OF NEW YORK		
4		X	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	MINUTES	
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON HIGH	ER EDUCATION	
8		X	
9		June 30, 2022 Start: 10:06 a.m.	
LO		Recess: 4:53 p.m.	
L1	HELD AT:	HYBRID HEARING, COMMITTEE ROOM,	250
L2	·	BROADWAY, 16 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR	
L3	BEFORE:	Eric Dinowitz, Chairperson	
L 4	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
L5	COUNCIL MEMBERS.	Charles Barron	
L 6		Joseph C. Borelli Gale A. Brewer	
L7		Oswald Feliz Inna Vernikov	
L8		Kalman Yeger	
L 9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Glenda Grace
4	Senior Vice Chancellor of Institutional Affairs, Strategic Advancement and Special Council at the
5	City University of New York
6	Robin Garrell
7	President of the CUNY Graduate Center
8	Denise Maybank
9	CUNY Chancellor for Student Affairs
10	Adela Cojab
11	David Brodsky
12	Professor of Jewish History and the Chair of the Judaic studies department at Brooklyn College
13	David Herbstman
14	Master Student in Forensic Mental Health Counseling in the John Jay Campus
15	
16	Shahar Sadeh Director of the Strategic Affairs at the Jewish
17	Community Relations Council of New York
18	Barry Wiener
19	Calling on the New York City Council to Denounce the Antisemitic Conspiracy Theories
20	Tzvia Waronker
21	Third Year Jewish Student at John Jay College and
22	Vice Chair of Senior Colleges of the CUNY University Student Senate
23	Alyza Lewin

Brandeis Center

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 3
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Zachary Beer
4	Simon Wiesenthal Center
5	Michael Cohen
6	Simon Wiesenthal Center
7	Joshua Kramer AJC
8	
9	Susan Tuchman  Director of the Center for Law and Justice at the
10	Zionist Organization of America
11	Azriel Genack
12	Professor of Physics at Queens College
13	Gerard Filitti Attorney and Senior Counsel at the Lawfare
14	Project
15	Barbara Kitei
16	Michael Mirochnick
17	Israel David Wise
18	Rabbi
19	Ofek Preis
20	Israeli International Student studying at SUNY new Paltz
21	new rarez
22	James Davis PSC CUNY
23	Rena Nasar First
24	Stand With Us
25	Ilya Bratman

Hillel at Baruch College

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Scott Richman
4	Anti-Defamation League
5	Amanda Berman
6	Zioness
7	Tammi Rossman-Benjamin Testify on the behalf of Layla Beckwith
8	
9	Reni Field Testifying on the behalf of Dr. Laura Shaw Frank
10	Aliyah Jacobson
11	Michael Caldetain
12	Michael Goldstein Professor at Kingsborough Community College
13	Jeffrey Lax
14	Professor and the Department Chair at
15	Kingsborough
16	Timothy Jackson Criticized by Ewell Publicly
17	Mark Holland
18	rark nortana
19	Edwin Scott Fruehwald
20	Rafaella Gunz Was a Student at CUNY School of Law for one
21	Semester in 2019
22	Jordy Gross
23	President of Hillel City College
24	Allegra Timsit
25	Senior at CUNY Brooklyn College

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 5	
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	
3	Lisa Rubin	
4	Completing Second Year, Evening Student at CUNY Law	
5	Michael Mantell	
6	MICHael Mantell	
7	Steve Greenbaum	
8	Sophia Ellman-Golan	
9	Personally Targeted by White Nationalists and Neo-Nazis	
10	Joshua Greenberg	
11	CUNY student	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a microphone test.

Today's date is June 30, 2022, Committee on Higher

Education being recorded by John Biondo, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor

Committee Room.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Just let me know when the webinar is running.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Webinar is running.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you Chloe. Good morning to everyone and welcome to today's Hybrid New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Higher Education. To minimize disruptions on Zoom and in person, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent. If you would like to submit testimony please send via email to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Thank you for your cooperation, Chair Dinowitz, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Good morning everyone and welcome to today's hearing on Examining Antisemitism on College Campuses. I'm Council Member Eric Dinowitz, Chair of the Committee on Higher Education, a proud CUNY alum and the son of a proud

2.2

2.3

2 CUNY alum. I also Chair the Council's Jewish Caucus,
3 a position which I am grateful to serve.

I want to know before we begin. This hearing was originally scheduled for June 8<sup>th</sup>. We had prepared for a June 8<sup>th</sup> hearing and the Chancellor's Office had reached out about changing the date of the hearing because he wanted to attend and we were thrilled because this issue is so pervasive across our campuses that we welcomed the attendance of the Chancellor.

I am deeply disappointed to announce that the Chancellor will not be coming and we found out yesterday, last night that the Chancellor will not be personally attending. Instead, he is sending representatives who I hope can help us answer questions and look for a path forward. Though they are not also physically present, they are on Zoom.

And we just found out this morning one of them has to leave by 11:00. The problem of antisemitism is so bad at CUNY, they are being sued and being investigated at multiple campuses. This is a pervasive issue. This is not isolated.

Look, our educational institutions are essential to bringing people from different backgrounds

2.2

2.3

together to build community, to build trust and a

safer, more prosperous future for everyone. And at a

time when antisemitic hate crimes are on the rise, an

anti-Jewish sentiment is becoming more and more

prevalent across all facets of society. We need the

leadership of city's public colleges to visibly be

8 front and center.

I would be remiss if I didn't acknowledge that work is being done to address this some areas but the fact is, so many of our CUNY students are scared.

Many of them feel isolated and the simple attendance of the Chancellor would have sent a strong message to those students and the faculty to let them know that they are not alone. But the lack of personal participation sends the absolute wrong message to our students and faculty, and we must do better.

Antisemitism itself is not new. Hate is not new.

Antisemitism is considered the oldest form of hate.

Discrimination has been supported by our institutions. One example is our higher education institutions here in New York. Many colleges in New York provide legacy preference, which gives a substantial leg up to applicants with relationship to alumni.

2.2

2.3

So, in 2022 in this year, this has the effect of excluding many qualified low-income students and particularly students of color from institutions of higher learning. And that's why in addition to addressing initially the oversight we're doing today, uhm, I'm introducing a Resolution which calls on the State Legislature to pass and the Governor to sign the Fair College Admissions Act, which addresses the practice of legacy preference in college admissions. And while we all have a 2022 lens and it has an outsize impact on students of color now and a surprise to no one, the legacy preferences has its roots in antisemitism.

100 years ago, elite universities found ways to exclude the influx of Jewish immigrants and the Legacy Preference was one tool that was used.

So, it goes without saying that hate is bad and it's weird that you have to say it out loud, and there are many components to where we find commonalities, like the aforementioned legacy preference. And antisemitism like other forms of hate, has its own unique characteristics. It has to be examined for its own qualities. Jews don't fit neatly into any religious, racial, political or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ethnic category. And to quote a comedian Alex
Edelman, he says it best. He says, "if you're
someone who thinks Whiteness is good, Jews are not
White. If you're someone who thinks Whiteness is the
root of all evil, then Jews are White."

So, the purpose of today's committee hearing is to examine the rapidly escalating issue of antisemitism at our city's institutions of higher learning and to work towards solutions to address this most prevalent form of hate. Now to be clear, this is not a hearing on foreign policy. discussions of topics related to foreign policy will necessarily arise, the issue before the Committee is not what one country or territory is doing The issue here is what we are doing in New York City, an ocean away, what we're doing to confront those realities and to ensure that our Jewish students and their ideas are welcomed on our campuses and that we get to the root of the sentiment and biases that are so pervasive in our universities.

We're not here to talk about the Israeli
governments democratic decisions, but we're here to
talk about how Jews are harassed and attacked here in
New York City on our campuses and we see when there

is increased turmoil in the region. We see more attacks towards Jews. We saw it last year when there was turmoil in Gaza. So, they're related.

And when I say that anti-Jewish acts are pervasive, it's not hyperbole. When I say that it is the most prevalent form of hate that we can see, it's not an exaggeration. And when I say it's imbedded in our society, that's uncomfortable for some. I don't really care, but you have to look at the statistics. The statistics tell you everything. 45 percent of the bias motivated crimes in 2020 in New York City according to the NYPD Hates Dashboard, Hate Crimes Dashboard, 45 percent were anti-Jewish.

Almost half were anti-Jewish and in 2021, it increased by 71 percent. Antisemitic hate crimes are not unique to New York City. They occur across our entire state. ADL reports that from 2020 to 2021, New York State saw an increase of 24 percent and in the United States, they calculate they are an average of seven reported incidence per day.

Now, one of the other problems we face is that there is not a sound method of tracking hate incidents on our college campuses. So, in that

2.2

absence, International began accounting for incidents reported.

In 2021, they had seen an increase of 35 percent of incidents from the previous year. And again, I keep saying this, reported incidents. Survey research finds disturbing trends of growing hostility toward Jews. 32 percent of respondents to this survey said that they personally experienced antisemitism directed at them on campus. And 31 percent witnessed antisemitic activity on campus. In total, 43 percent of respondents, almost half of people who were surveyed said they experienced and/or witnessed antisemitic activity in the last year.

And I think what's deeply troubling and one of the things that we need to discuss today and I know some of the witnesses will speak about, is that the data reveal a lack of sound reporting. 75 percent of respondents who personally experience antisemitism didn't and 41 percent did not know how to do it.

That's why I've introduced Resolution 238. It calls upon CUNY to compile data on bias incidents and hate crimes into a single report, include greater specificity in the bias classification but importantly, engage in an education campaign or

2.2

2.3

initiative to ensure that our faculty and our staff and our students know how to report this and know that they can and should report it and know that it can and should be addressed and not ignored for a year, as you'll hear about later.

I'll tell you, personally to me, I think what's hardest as an American Jew, is that in the same report, 39 percent of American Jews said they changed their behavior to avoid revealing that they were Jewish to feel shame or fear for who they are. But if statistics aren't enough, all you have to do is open a newspaper. As I mentioned before, antisemitism goes across the entire political spectrum. In a time of hyperpolarization, both the far right and the far left have found their commonalities and their version of antisemitism.

So, in the far left spaces under the guides of social justice, we see a prevalent climate activist group refusing to march in a voting rights march with Jewish groups because they are Jewish organizations.

LGBTQ rights activists, where a star of David on a pride flag, star of David is a Jewish symbol, where ejected from a Chicago LGBTQ pride march for having that star of David on their pride flag.

There are a lot of disturbing incidents that exclude you as people but I think the most recent one that troubles me is the creation and promotion by the Boston Chapter of the BDS movement, Boston BDS of a map of Jewish organizations. Including a high school, a center for people with disabilities, Jewish charities, synagogues, student groups and newspapers and a handful of non-Jewish organizations associated with Jewish organizations blaming these groups for problems throughout the world. Perpetuating antisemitic tropes of wealth and power with a call to dismantle and disrupt these institutions putting a

But as I said, it's the tropes of wealth and power, the scapegoating of Jews, the effort to remove Jews from certain spaces is not limited to the far left. I think quite recently many Americans learned of the great replacement theory on the far right.

It's this false and dangerous conspiracy theory rooted in White Supremacy, which states that non-White people are being brought to the United States to replace White voters and that the Jews are the elites. The ones with all that power, responsible for this replacement scheme.

literal target on these organizations backs.

We see this paraded and far right media and it's even creeping from the fringes into mainstream media. We remember chants of Jews will not replace us and unite the right rally in Charleston and the massacre at the Tree of Life Synagogue, where the murder of blame the Jews for bring non-White immigrants and refugees to the U.S.

You know, I know some people watching may feel as though I'm sensationalizing this. I'm bringing up the most overact but that's typically all we see. We only see the end points of hate. We only see antisemitism once it makes a headline or once there's bodily harm. No one is born with hate in their heart, it is learned, it grows, and is given a safe space. And too often, these safe spaces are our college campuses.

In testimony, you're going to hear today the reality of exclusion based on tropes deeply embedded in our society exists on our college campuses. Where young minds are being molded and prepared to be sent out into the world. Students are afraid to speak out. Some had to share their stories with me anonymously. Others as mentioned earlier, just don't report things or rely on their friends to do it for

2.2

2.3

them and are still met with silence. In many
instances, Jewish student organizations are
explicitly excluded from working with other campus

5 organizations. Our movements are made in order for

6 that exclusion to exist and throughout CUNY, CUNY

7 administration's silence is damning.

By the time a hate crime has occurred, it's too late. The seeds of hate were planted years before and the anti-Jewish sentiment was given space to grow. I'll note that while Columbia and NYU have submitted written testimony only, although anyone who follows the news knows that there are issues prevalent there as well. I look forward to hearing how CUNY is addressing this obvious growth in antisemitism and how they are systemically working toward a better, more inclusive future for all of our students.

Again, I am disappointed that the Chancellor is not here to listen to this testimony firsthand and to offer what I think could be valuable solutions given that his past actions have seemingly indicated that he's supportive of this cause and I know we'll hear more about that from some of our other witnesses later.

And before I turn it over, I want to just read a few lines from some of the anonymous testimony. And it's varied where a student on campus said, "we need Hitler again." Again to the silence of professors not addressing it, the student unfortunately left our CUNY system. Students on another campus called for the murder of Jews and they are afraid for their physical safety.

The student government out of CUNY was comfortable posting one sided hateful messages against Israel and not engaging in an actual dialogue and the student feels isolated by the student leaders, her peers, and faculty. 15 students were praying during Minhah, during morning prayers. A student walked by and said, dirty Jews. Not addressed.

A swastika was found in the bathroom by a student their freshman year, swastika. In one of our universities, human feces was used to draw the star of David on a building wall and this student was scared to show that they were Jewish for weeks.

More, there's a lot more, I won't read all of them. Uhm, in one class, students asking, "why are we even still talking about the Holocaust? Jews are all so rich, they have so much power." Met with
sounds from Jewish, from the professors while the

4 students snicker about their Jewish professors. On

5 Zoom, they hear jokes about Jews in ovens and what

6 the student says, "there is no one there to protect

7 | me."

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

I'm going to leave it there and turn it back to

Committee Counsel but I do look forward to hearing

how were recognizing this problem and how it's being

addressed.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning, I will administering the oath to the members of CUNY who are on the Zoom. I will read the oath and then you will be unmuted one at a time by a member of our staff to confirm.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

GLENDA GRACE: I do.

22 DENISE MAYBANK: I do.

23 ROBIN GARRELL: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Can you please confirm?

ROBIN GARRELL: I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

a

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Just one moment, the Council Members in attendance are also going to give opening remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Inna Vernikov, I'm the Council Member for the 48<sup>th</sup> Council District in Brooklyn. I want to thank first of all Chair, Chair Dinowitz for holding this hearing. It is an incredibly important hearing and an incredibly important subject, antisemitism at CUNY. The antisemitism at CUNY has been pervasive. It's infested many of the colleges. There are 26 CUNY campuses in New York City. The Jewish students and the professors all feel harassed, intimidated, afraid to express their view points, afraid to be pro-Israel, afraid to just attend school. And we're here to listen to their painful testimonies and their trauma. And this hearing was supposed to take place on June 8th and the Chancellor of CUNY, the top guy, the guy in charge. The man under whose watch, the antisemitism is taking place, said that it's important for him to be here today.

So, we rescheduled that hearing to today and yesterday, in a very cowardly move, he decided not to appear. He canceled. Instead, he sent three

2.

2.2

witnesses who are here on Zoom. They're not here.

They're not even here in this room to listen to the painful testimony of the professors and the students who have experienced pervasive ongoing discrimination and antisemitism at school.

And it is extremely disappointing that not a single member of the CUNY administration showed up here today. And I said this earlier at the press conference, I'm happy to pay for your Uber or I'll give you a metro card to come here to hear the testimony of the people who some of them came from a different state.

The Chancellor not showing up today is perpetuating the antisemitism that we're here to explore, because there has been no accountability at CUNY. No one has been held responsible for what's been going on all over our city and our state. And we were looking forward to having the Chancellor here, to hear all of the testimony. And so, him not showing up, is showing us all that he doesn't care. He doesn't care to hear about your painful testimony and trauma you have experienced. It's not important.

And my question is, would the same thing happen if this was a discussion about any other ethnic

2.2

2.3

lives matter?

minority? Would the Chancellor dear not show up? If we were talking about any other group that's been discriminated against, would he sit there in his cozy chair in midtown Manhattan and dear not show up here? I submit to you that he would not. I submit to you that he would never get away with not showing up but when it comes Jews, do Jewish

I'm looking forward to hearing the testimony of the professors and the students who are here today. I'm looking forward to questioning the witnesses who are on Zoom. I still hope that you will come. I'm assuming that all of you have set out today to testify and I'm assuming that you're somewhere a couple blocks away here in Manhattan and I'm asking you to show up in here in person. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member Vernikov. Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman and thank you for convening this important hearing today. And to my colleague next door here in this room and also next door in our neighborhoods back in Brooklyn, Councilwoman Vernikov, who has been a passionate advocate on this topic since she joined this body and

we, this body desperately needs passionate advocates.
You can look at this table to see it. There are four
members of a 51 member body who are here. Two
republicans and two democrats. There should be 51
members listening to this because this didn't start
yesterday. This didn't start yesterday, this has
been going on at CUNY for long enough ago that when I
was going to college, the child of two CUNY graduates
both from Brooklyn college, my parents made a
decision that I would not go to CUNY. Because a CUNY
college was not safe for an identifiably orthodox
student. And they made the same decision for my
three siblings. It shouldn't be that way in New York
City. CUNY was once the preeminent educational
institute. It's the place where people dreamed that
they would go to college. It's the place where
immigrants, so many Jews were able to go and get an
education. And today, it's a bash of antisemitism.

It's a place where not just students being made to feel uncomfortable but students' lives feeling — of being felt to be in jeopardy because of who they are. Because of that they believe. And it's not just from fellow students, it's from their professors. When the Professional Services Congress,

2.2

2.3

the union representing the professors, the educators,

pass Resolutions saying how much they hate Israel,

it's okay, they don't hate Jews, just Israel, just

5 | Israel.

You declare my friend that you do not hate the Jews, you are merely antizionist. Antisemitism, the hatred of the Jewish people has been and remains a blot on the sole of mankind. So, also know this, antizionist is inherently antisemitic and ever will be so. I wish those were my words. Those were the words of Dr. Martin Luther Kind in 1967.

He understood that and that's what he preached.

To be antizionist is a cover for what you really are.

You're an anti-semi and it's not something we're just seeing at CUNY campuses, it's something we're seeing in the United States Congress. It's something we saw here in the City Council three years ago. It's something we saw here in the City Council five or six years ago when a BDS Resolution was passed by my predecessor Councilman David Greenfield. And the vehement antisemitism that was heard then.

I know there are people in this room, some of my friends who provide security for this Council, the Sergeants at Arms who are people I know since I was a

teenager and worked at this body, who remember those
hearings very well. And feeling that Council Members
lives were in jeopardy by the vehement antisemitism
that was showing up. This is New York City. This is
the place where Jews came to live a life like so many
other immigrants. Very few people in this city are
descendants of the people who came here on the
Mayflower. Everybody here is an immigrant.
Everybody here is from somewhere else and like
Council Member Vernikov, we are also immigrants
ourselves with the children of immigrants. My father
came here on a boat with his brother and his parents
and uh, he and my mother were the first of their
generation to go to college, a CUNY college. That's
New York City and to be made to feel unwelcome in our
own city, to be made to feel like Jews don't belong
here, like Chairman alluded to, is the most hennas
antisemitism and they hide it. They hide it. They
say they're not anti-semi's, they just don't like
Israel.

Today, we're going to hear more about that in real life stories and we'll hear from CUNY, representatives not the Chancellor. Uh, telling us

what it is that they've done and I suspect it's not a lot of anything. Thank you very much Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member
Yeger. We will now turn it over to CUNY for their
opening remarks.

GLENDA GRACE: Good morning Chair Dinowitz and members of the New York City Council on Higher Education, staff and guests. I'm Glenda Grace, Senior Vice Chancellor of Institutional Affairs, Strategic Advancement and Special Council at the City University of New York. I am joined this morning by President Robin Garrell of the CUNY Graduate Center and the CUNY Chancellor for Student Affairs Denise Maybank.

As you know, CUNY serves approximately 268,000 degree seeking students, 185 adult and continuing ed students. There's about 40,000 faculty and staff at our 11 four year colleges. Our seven community colleges and seven graduate and professional schools. I've had the privilege of working at CUNY for 13 years at Hostos Community College, at Queens College and since 2019, at the central office.

The Council has been steadfast in your support of CUNY and we thank you for that support. As an

institution of higher learning, the City University of New York takes pride in our unwavering support of free speech, academic freedom and freedoms protected under the first amendment of the constitution of the United States.

We are legally required to allow the expression of multiple view points on campus. We understand that opinions of a political nature can create tensions when members of our communities stand on conflicting sides of polarizing issues and cause that are under guarded by deeply held convictions. We believe the best way to counter the resulting discord is to expand upon the work we do across our campuses to encourage scholarship, dialogue, tolerance and civil engagement that fosters understanding and a way forward.

This is the hard and ongoing work that we need to embrace to counter violence, hate, antisemitism, and racism that can creep into our campuses, our country and the world. Diversity, inclusion and environment free from discrimination are central to the mission of the university.

CUNY unequivocally denounces antisemitism in every form. Arguably the country's most diverse

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

urban university, CUNY is not immune from tensions between different groups. It's not immune from the problems that you've described. Chancellor Felix Matos Rodriquez has focused on elevating dialogue and building brick bridges between people and groups of different backgrounds, whose belief and diversion experience and history sometimes place them at odds.

This focus is evidenced by one of the Chancellors early ask of former City Council Speaker Corey Johnson. Funding for newly created CUNY diversity equity and inclusion incubator. A multiyear initiative to support campuses and creating vital cultural and systematic shifts across CUNY, centering values of anti-racism, justice and equity.

Thank you for the Council's continued support of this important initiative. Now, this is not the only concrete action CUNY has taken to deal with antisemitism. CUNY has been outspoken and unequivocal about the crime, the increase in antisemitic violence and bigotry in New York and the nation. Over the past year, the University has issued four statements that emphasize the role that higher education can and must play in dismantling the

many manifestations of bigotry and hate that have emerged and continue to spread.

Let me summarize those messages. First, the university made it abundantly clear that CUNY student and faculty senates, its faculty union, and various membership groups are free to voice opinions on a wide range of issues but speak for themselves when they do so. The positions they express are entirely theirs and do not represent the views of the City University of New York.

Further, the university has asserted and consistently reiterate that we do not support boycott divestment and sanctions against Israel and pursuant to New York State Executive Order 157, we cannot, we're prohibited from participating in or supporting such actions and we're actually required to divest public funds from any companies that do.

Also, the University clarified the process by which a student speaker was selected to deliver remarks at the 2022 law school commencement. Student commencement speakers are chosen by their fellow graduates to deliver remarks. They speak for themselves, not on behalf of their school or the

2.2

2.3

2 University and the opinions they express are their 3 own.

Statements are important but we understand that more has to be done. We have been working on that. Also, students, staff and faculty who feel they can discriminate against or harass are able to bring a complaint under our equal opportunity and non-discrimination policy. The policy protects against discrimination based upon different characteristics including being Jewish and harassment from all

protective characteristics.

Student members can go through student affairs, campus diversity officers or the public safety officers to make such a report and faculty and staff can go to Human Resource Department, the Chief Diversity Officers and Public Safety Officers.

As I stated, CUNY is engaged every day in the hard, ongoing, and imperative work that we need to embrace to counter violence, hate, antisemitism and racism that exists in the world. Let me just give you a sample of some of this work. In early April 2022, Chancellor Matos Rodriguez, several CUNY presidents, student administrators and I, attended the two day seminar on campus antisemitism sponsored

2.2

by the American Jewish Council and partnership with Hillel International and the American Council on Education.

In late April 2022, Chancellor Matos Rodriguez led a delegation of 12 CUNY college presidents and deans to Israel to participate in a week-long tour of country city's, higher education institutions and historic sites. This scholars bridge program was organized by the Jewish Community Relations Council of New York and you will hear more about this from President Garrell from the Graduate Center.

There are other central and campus initiatives and activities combating hate in general and antisemitism specifically. CUNY recently hired a University Dean of student into cultural engagement and dialogue. This newly established position, which you'll hear more about from Vice Chancellor Maybank is to help student communities that have historically experienced conflicts and tensions associated with faith perspectives, race and ethnicity and intercultural communication.

The person who is heading it up is no stranger to CUNY. She helped fund — the City Council funded — She help found the City Council funded diversity

incubator and she worked at the Center for Ethnic and Racial and Religious Understanding at Queens College.

You will hear more again about this from Vice

Chancellor Maybank.

I want to give you a sampling of campus efforts that foster an inclusive environment, allowing all CUNY students, faculty and staff to learn, dialogue and thrive. We are working with academic engagement networks, Antisemitism Education Initiative. This has been adopted by at Baruch at the Hillel at Baruch and they're partnering with City Council and John Jay.

We Stand Against Hate which was a program that was sponsored at Brooklyn College, that featured lectures and other events that help celebrate the voices that make up a diverse campus community. Confronting antisemitism, the Center of Jewish Studies at Hunter College with a donation from a — versus survivors started and just launched a semester, a semester long program to educate about the Holocaust and about antisemitism and methods to combat it.

No place for hate was a day in April at the College of Staten Island where different officers at

Э

Staten Island include the campus allowed and the Office of Pluralism and Diversity made a safe space for students, faculty, and others in the campus community to talk about their experiences and create a safe space to discuss and combat this.

Love Not Hate Summit, which was actually May 22, at Kingsborough Community College, brought together a diverse group of religious leaders and also students from the DOE, as well as from Kingsborough Community College. And they developed — young people were able to strategize and develop action plans to mobilize their diverse communities away from hate and toward love that's needed to heal and move communities forward.

American's in the holocaust at the New York City
College of Technology Library, they secured the
exhibit about American's in the Holocaust. They were
one of only 50 institutions that partnered with the
United States Holocaust and Memorial Museum and the
American Library Association. We had individuals
from Israeli Hope in Academia visit Queens College,
where they talked about information and how to
explore collaborations. Under siege again,
distortion and the rise of hate crimes against Jews.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

antisemitism.

This program was sponsored at Queensborough's 2 3 Community Colleges Kupferberg Holocaust Center and it featured someone from the Hate Crimes unit at 4 5 Queensborough Queens District Attorney Office, as well as someone from the Simon Wiesenthal Center. 6 7 There's a potential partnership with the Yad Vashem, the world holocaust remembrance that is being 8 explored by KCC's Kupferberg Holocaust Center, which would including many of workshops training and 10 11 programs on the holocaust, holocaust distortion and

A number of schools regularly sponsor programs on International Holocaust Remembrance Day and in commemoration of Kristallnacht and a number of schools have held listening sessions with Jewish students about their experiences on campus. We're living in very challenging times and CUNY is not exempt from experiencing those same challenges. However, CUNY is committed to fostering environment where all faculty, staff, students can work, teach and learn, thrive and reach their full potential.

Thank you for your attention and I now ask

President Garrell to discuss the trip to Israel and

she will be followed by Vice Chancellor Maybank.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROBIN GARRELL: Thank you and good morning. 2 3 Robin Garrell, the President of the CUNY Graduate 4 Center. It is my privilege to join Chancellor Matos-Rodriguez and CUNY Presidents and Deans on our recent study toward Israel scholars as bridge builders, 6

which is hosted by the Jewish Community Relations Council of New York.

The study afforded opportunities to gain new perspectives on the region, the people and higher education in Israel and the West Bank. At the Graduate Center, we train students to conduct research by consulting primary sources. And on the study tour, we visited historic sites and memorials including the Old City, Masada, Yad Vashem and experience Kabbalat Shabbat at the Western Wall and learned about Jewish Ethiopian cultural at the Tay Center in Tel Aviv.

We met with educational leaders from Hebrew University, Tel Aviv University and Sapir College with President Isaac Herzog under the former Administer of higher education for the Palestinian authority. And we heard directly from diverse students and recent graduates including an orthodox Jewish woman, an Arab Israeli living in East

2.2

2.3

Jerusalem and a Palestinian living in Ramallah. And they related their personal journeys. Described the challenges of daily life and shared their hopes for the future of their communities. And they were very,

very generous and candid in responding to our

7 questions.

We also met with many experts on the region, journalists, political scientists, former politicians and community leaders. They engage with us as colleges and as teachers and they described their efforts to work purposely to foster constructive dialogue across differences and to advance the wellbeing of all members of society.

Common themes emerge from our discussions and foremost in my mind, is the value the people place on higher education and the shared commitment to broadening access for students who are underrepresented in colleges and universities.

People there, just like here, recognize the transformative value of education in advancing knowledge and socioeconomic equality. Opening career opportunities, improving the economy of the region and many of the barriers there have parallels here in New York City.

2.

J

2.2

2.3

O 4

Perhaps most importantly, we learned about efforts and effective ways that campus and local leaders there are developing community and sharing cultural experiences and supporting constructive dialogue. Faculty, staff and students the CUNY graduate center are committed to fostering an inclusive environment that respects the identities and beliefs of individuals even as we engage in intellectually challenging conversations.

We recognize that antisemitism is antithetical to that commitment. And I'll highlight three examples of our recent work. Through public events and seminars, the Center for the Study of the Holocaust, genocide and crimes against humanity at the graduate center has focused attention on the phenomenon of Jews displaced by antisemitism and anti-Jewish violence, both historically and in modern times.

The 2019 inauguration of Photonics Initiative at the CUNY Advanced Science Research Center, featured a U.S. Middle East conference on photonics and it highlight the contributions of scientists from all countries in the middle east and called for the free exchange of ideas. A principle that we uphold across the Graduate Center. And notably, seven Graduate

)

Center and CUNY faculty joined more than 200 eminent scholars from around the world as original signers of the 2021 Jerusalem Declaration on antisemitism.

Administrator and faculty leaders on my campus, as Glenda Grace noted, regularly affirm our commitment to supporting an inclusive climate and to preventing and addressing discrimination and bias.

Students, faculty and staff are provided information on how to report experiences and concerns and we have established follow-up procedures. And I meet personally, regularly with my student affairs staff and the Chief Diversity Officer to learn about all allegations of discrimination and bias and work with them to ensure we are following up our established procedures to address those things appropriately.

At the Graduate Center with our commitment to doctoral and master's education for the public good, we have a vital role in challenging racism, antisemitism and all forms of discrimination, locally and gullably by advancing knowledge, by creating paths for change through teaching, the search and public engagement.

And as the President, I am always open to conversations with the campus community, particularly

with our many diversity, equity and inclusion councils that are at the program and unit level at the graduate center. And I feel that my experiences gage with primary sources on the JCRC study, will help me better navigate campus conversations that could become polarized and cause members of our community to feel marginalized or silenced.

Fundamentally, this trip fortified my commitment to fostering academic engagement and discourse that will advance knowledge for the common good. I now turn to Denise Maybank.

DENISE MAYBANK: Good morning. Chairperson

Dinowitz and members of the Committee on Higher

Education, thank you for the opportunity to provide

testimony as you examine antisemitism on college

campuses. I am going to pause to acknowledge the

experiences that you shared this morning.

Experiences voiced by students from CUNY and other

places that tell us of fear, intimidation and

marginalization and I don't want to just move into

the testimony without acknowledging the information

that you provided and the specific experiences of

individuals you shared.

So, I wanted you to know, I heard you. My name is Denise Maybank and I am honored to serve as the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs for the City University of New York. I had the privilege of coming before you on prior occasions and I return to briefly share what we are doing and plan to do as we respond to the broad societal concerns about antisemitism and consider the impact on the CUNY campuses and among our students.

In the wake of increasing polarization, ignorance, acts of violence and hate inspired activity, numerous colleges and universities along with higher education organizations and associations have taken up the concern of how best to use the education platform to address the current state of civil discourse or not so civil discourse and to promote diversity, equity and inclusion.

Colleges and Universities are intended to be places for intellectual exchange on controversial topics and matters. It is when the intellectual meets the personal. Interpersonal and emotional aspects of our being that we find more challenging experiences and need to consider how best to engage diverse perspectives and people for a greater good.

Commitment to first amendment rights and free speech is critical for assuring we provide students an engaged learning environment. Means for maintaining civility and ways of managing disagreement. It is our responsibility to help those participating in the experience and purpose of higher education to build global competencies and pass on the skills that support the open exchange of ideas in the interest of advancing knowledge.

The Hillel College Guide for 2021 lists six of the CUNY campuses among the top 60 public universities by Jewish student population. Two CUNY campuses are int eh top ten. That is Brooklyn College, [INAUDIBLE 1:08:43] at number seven and Queens College at number eight.

Regardless, the numbers, the targeting and marginalization of any group of students requires our attention and concerted effort to be responsive to the identified needs and concerns.

Attention has been given to the concerns of

Jewish students through meetings with Hillel students

held once in the fall and once in the spring

semester. The initial meeting allows students to

share their concerns and to offer solutions for

)

2.2

creating what they believe would be a more inclusive environment.

The students sought support for people being accepted for their personal views, rather than their affiliations. The follow-up meeting generated interest in having regularly scheduled meetings to stay abreast of concerns and actions. And when I reference these meetings, I want you to know that was a meeting held with me and other members of the student affairs central office team.

The Interfaith Council is a group of CUNY based faith leaders, faculty advisors, and student affairs professionals who meet quarterly in order to share faith-based and intersectional issues associated with the campuses. The Council developed the Interfaith Calendar being used to keep the CUNY community informed of significant holidays that may be observed by many different faiths. The Council is currently focused on identifying and establishing dedicated prayer, reflection and meditation spaces across the CUNY campuses.

We will also be reconstituting the Community

Advisory Board, consisting of Interfaith

practitioners and faith-based community organization

2.

2.2

2.3

directors from the five boroughs. There will be representation from Jewish organizations that can advise on best practices for issues that affect Jewish students.

We take seriously the challenges of preparing students and other members of the college community to take on the difficult conversations necessary for global engagement. Recognizing much of the challenges begins much closer to home and in experience. For this reason, we have established the position of University Director of Student Intercultural engagement and dialogue. You heard Senior Vice Chancellor make reference to this position, which is to develop and administer programs and resources that facilitate outreach and engagement among student communities that have historically experienced conflict and tensions associated with faith perspectives, race and ethnicity and intercultural communication.

This position will enable dialogues, training and understanding around critical concepts including antisemitism, racism, xenophobia, Islamophobia, and will facilitate the unpacking of how associated lived experiences are inextricably bound up with the

2.2

2.3

intersectional elements of identity. The primary initiative under the auspices of this new position will be that of creating a fellowship program designed to bring those of seemingly desperate backgrounds together through shared experience. To learn about and from one another using the cultural

benefits of the City of New York.

The Interfaith student fellowship will help students meet and deepen relationships across differences. Learn and understand more about each other's religious identities and work together to create a better campus climate. Training will include creating brave spaces for conversation. How to have and facilitate difficult conversations across difference. Understanding each other's religious and intersectional identities and how to dispel violence and hatred based on religious and other identities.

Experiences will be developed in partnership with the CUNY Cultural Corp taking advantage of the New York City Canvas in order to have experiential understanding of identity. Going to cultural centers, faith-based spaces, such as synagogues, mosques, churches, museums, plays and other art-based experiences.

Student Affairs leaders are working with faith-based and religious leaders of the Jewish community to assist us in the development of curriculum and training for students in addressing antisemitism and other social divisions.

The work of the University Director of Student
Intercultural Engagement will focus on creating ways
to build skills and understanding for intercultural
engagement across various points of tension
experienced among the student population.

Over the summer, we will be working to put together student faith-based affinity groups. The first affinity group meeting with a Jewish student affinity group, put together with the help of several Hillel directors was held Thursday, June 2<sup>nd</sup>. Students discussed issues of concern and will work towards ways to mitigate those concerns and ensure a good campus climate for all based on religious and intersectional identities.

We will also work diligently to ensure that the mechanisms to report instances of antisemitic activity are well-known and readily available. We must address the ignorance that fuels antisemitism and other forms of discrimination and groundless

2.2

hatred. It remains our responsibility to assure all students, faculty and staff choosing to be a part of CUNY, feel safe and welcome on our campuses regardless of their background, identity or membership in protecting classes or affinity members.

We will not shrink away from our responsibility to advance knowledge and we will embrace our opportunity to fill capacity among and impact the lives and understanding of the approximately 260,000 students, choosing to study and be a part — choosing to study at and be a part of CUNY. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you all for your testimony. I have a few questions. I'm then going to turn it over to my colleagues and then we'll do another round of questioning. But first, I want to recognize we've been joined by Council Member Brewer and Minority Leader Joe Borelli.

So, I was taking a lot of notes while you were all testifying and I know we have our prewritten questions but I just, I kind of want to talk about just ask first about free speech. And I am not here to talk about an individual speaker. I know that was brought up on the testimony but that's not what I'm here to do. It's not about one speaker, it's about

2.2

the speech that is going on on campuses that includes things like swastikas, right. That is a form of speech.

At what point does CUNY say, CUNY, the entire organization and their schools, and direct the schools, the individual campuses to start having the conversation about what speech is dangerous and makes life more dangerous for Jewish students?

GLENDA GRACE: Let me start with that. I think the conversation is ongoing. Many of the activities that we discussed involve people getting together and educating themselves about what's going on and what isn't going on right. What should be going on, what should be said, what shouldn't be said. So, those conversations are starting but that's also one of the reasons why we hired the new university director of student intercultural engagement and dialogue.

Part of the work that's going to be done is to talk about what you know — there used to be uh, work that we did about what's hurtful and what's hateful, what's harmful, what's illegal. Those are part of the conversations that we are having now. Uhm, in terms of swastika's, just to kind of explain, I had mentioned that I was on a campus for nine years and

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

realistically with someone, it's pretty straight forward when there's uh, it's hurtful and it's harmful, let's just start with that.

When there's a swastika or any other anti-Jewish or anti-hate symbol put on a building or on a wall, or on a bulletin board. And we immediately, there's - immediately what happens, what I've directed people to do when I've been on campus is immediately contact the police department right. Because in order for there to be a crime that's prosecuted, they have to have evidence. So, we immediately reach out to the local public police department. So, a photo was taken. We also make sure that people are not continually confronted with this hateful image, so we might cover it up. We might make sure people don't go near there until the police get there, take a picture of it and then we look at cameras to see if there is uhm, if you can find out who the perpetrator is, right. Even if the police department decided not to move forward with the prosecution, there are still things that we can do if that is a member of our community. Even if there is no prosecution. still do things. We can bring disciplinary charges,

۷ ی

a number of things that we can do for faculty staff and students.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, you know again, it is not just, as I mentioned in my opening statement, it not just the existence of the swastika and the SU sample but the environment that allowed that student to feel comfortable in the first place to draw the swastika and have you — have you ever engaged in disciplinary measures against students for things like swastika and hate speech? And is that information that you can share with us? Not the names of the students obviously but data as it relates to that.

GLENDA GRACE: Look, if I can give you — it's not uh — if there was a video of a student who was putting any hate speech, like a swastika or anti KKK, there's certainly charges and disciplinary charges that could be brought against them.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: No, I appreciate that.

GLENDA GRACE: Right now, I'm sorry, I can collect that data for you but I don't have it.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yeah, because my question wasn't what can you do? Because you said previously in your answer, even if the police don't do

)

0.4

something, we can bring disciplinary charges. I'm asking if that's something that's actually done?

GLENDA GRACE: I will give you an answer to that and I'll get back to you with that information.

DENISE MAYBANK: And that's something that comes through student affairs, so we will certainly look at the historical record and see if there is anything specific in response to what you've asked Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And when someone comes to student affairs with a complaint, let's say they've faced what they perceive as antisemitism discrimination. How long does it typically take for them to get a response?

GLENDA GRACE: The policy, it depends, the policy actually says it's supposed to be done within 60 days if they are able to do it. I will say the policy is clear, that if sometimes people decide that they want to try to mediate as opposed to moving forward with a complaint. When that happens, that might take longer. Obviously, I know there's some examples that have gone much longer than 60 days but the policy does say it should be within 60 days if possible.

Depending on the incident, there could just be lots

of people we need to talk to but the policy says 60 days.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And how often do you need a 60-day deadline?

GLENDA GRACE: I do not have that information. I don't have that information.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, so just, I mean just from the last two answers, understand this is all born out of frustration and fear of you know things I hear from professors and students, right and again, the increase in violence we're seeing.

So, in the last two answers, I'm hearing, we could bring disciplinary charges but I'm not sure we do. Right, you'll get me the data, I know and I appreciate that but that's you know that is the answer I heard and if someone has a complaint about you know, maybe it's not a swastika, maybe it's about other harassment. It should be 60-days but maybe it's longer. It could be longer but you don't — do you keep track of how long it takes to respond.

GLENDA GRACE: What I could — as I think Vice

Chancellor Maybank said, we have a record of how long

it takes in every single case. Can I give you what

2.2

2.3

2 happens in every single case off the top of my head?
3 I can't.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: But can you then get us the data at some point? Or how long?

GLENDA GRACE: I can get you the data. I can get you what we have yes.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, and I'm you know going to go back to the free speech thing but just focusing on this reporting. What proactive measures do you take at the beginning and throughout the school year to ensure that students know where to report things?

GLENDA GRACE: As President Garrell said in her testimony, I'm going to actually and it's in our People Opportunity of Non-Discrimination Policy. At the beginning of every semester, there's a letter that goes out the entire, each campus gets a letter where the President explains and gives a copy of the — a link to the policy and also explains who you can talk to and what some of the procedures are to file a complaint.

ROBIN GARRELL: If I could amend that as well, that information is posted on our website and this information is available at the department level.

2.2

It's provided to inbound students at orientation and whenever questions arise, students are directed to that information and guided to the one of several offices they may approach.

I do want to actually amend the prior answer.

When issues are brought to the attention to our

Student Affairs unit, uh, in my experience at the

Graduate Centers, within a week, sometimes within a

day, there's a conversation with our Chief Diversity

Officer about the next steps. So, it does proceed

with concern.

to get the information about the differences
throughout all the different campuses in the system.
You know again, your campus might be different than
let's say, I don't know, one of the many colleges
facing uh, I don't know, a federal investigation or a
lawsuit, right. So, you understand that the
different campuses have perhaps different policies,
which is why it is so important to the cohesive and
unified policy and directive.

And just as far as the office itself, the physical location of the office, how separate is it from their professors and how separate is it from

2.2

2.3

other students groups? And the reason I'm asking is

because as I mentioned in my opening statement, you

know there are 75 percent of students that don't even

know where to report and I think it was 41 percent

are even just too scared to do it in the first place

and part of that I imagine maybe the physical

8 location of the office.

Walking into the office and a professor next door knowing you're making a complaint against them is intimidating to say the least. So, how do you separate physically these offices from other student groups and your professors offices?

ROBIN GARRELL: So, what I can say is that our Chief Diversity Officer uhm, her office is on a different floor, in a different suite with a different entrance and it's well separated from a student coming into that space would not be seen by peers or colleagues on my campus. So, I'm just speaking to my campus.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. One down, 24 to go. Can anyone else speak to the other 24 campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: I can at least speak to - actually Denise.

DENISE MAYBANK: No, I was going to say, I don't have the ability to say where they are positioned but what I will say is that letting students know there are multiple ways to report and people to whom they can report, keeps it from being the stigma of, I went through a door that was labeled, I'm here to report discrimination, marginalization, concern in some way. Because I believe that it is the responsibility of us in totality to be available and to show care and concern for our students and things happen that are intimidating, ostracizing, isolating.

And so, even though we have identified the position of University Director of Intercultural Engagement and Dialogue, it is not totally her responsibility alone to make this happen. And so, being able to go to a member of public safety, being able to go to your faculty members who are trusted. Being able to talk to a peer who is well informed and knows how to help you as well, are all parts of this. And yes, we have to make certain that the information or what to do, how to do it and what will happen is available broadly. So, whether that is on a website, in a policy, it has to be in the mouths and come through the voices of the members of our community.

2.

2.2

So, fully acknowledge that that is a critical part of this as well but it is not to stigmatize someone by them going in a door with a label over the top that says, come here to report discrimination.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I mean, it doesn't have to say that for you to know what the door is for. Just, I appreciate what you said about getting the information to the hands and the mouths of students. What's the plan to do that?

DENISE MAYBANK: So, a big part of the responsibility of the university director is for us to hear from students, how best to do that.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, what meetings — I mean, what meetings — I'm sorry to interrupt. What meetings have you convened in order to have those conversations and hear from the students?

DENISE MAYBANK: So, those are the meetings, the Affinity group meetings that we're talking about having throughout the summer that have already begun and that will continue to have students come together and say, this is what I felt, this is what I'm experiencing and this is how I believe CUNY needs to act in a way that will have me understand what to do

J

if I'm feeling in any way threatened by an experience or an interaction.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So to be clear, at every single campus, there's going to be a policy born by the needs of the students and this is going to discussed this summer of how to report an incident in where to go and you're going to hear from the students about their needs in order to make that happen.

DENISE MAYBANK: So, what is happening is that we are using our campus connections to convene smaller groups of students over the course of time to hear from them. So, we wanted to be able to be a dialogue where everyone can get heard. So, no, we don't want to have this huge town hall. You know everybody likes a town hall but we want to have a more intimate conversation where we can hear. Where we can be able to dialogue and be clear about what students are looking for and then to roll that back out to campuses to say, this is what we understand is important and be the things we are recommending.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And so, what I'm asking is on the agenda. I mean he has an agenda or guiding questions that you have conversations about. I don't

2.2

2.3

certain campuses?

know the structure of the meetings you plan to have
but will the topic of reporting and getting
information to students, will that be a component of
the discussions you have with these affinity groups?
And side note, are these affinity groups from every
campus or are you only convening affinity groups from

DENISE MAYBANK: No, it's affinity groups from across the university. So, that you now, so if we bring together students from our Hillel programs, there from the campuses where the Hillel directors have recommended, this person would be a great person to have in that dialogue. So, it is not just Hillel from Queens or Hillel from Brooklyn or Hillel from Kingsborough, it is a matter of bringing in a cross section of students to hear what they're saying, so that we can use that information. And the next group might be a different configuration of campus representatives but it will be a mix of the groups.

Also, I thought it was another piece of your question, I'm sorry if I didn't respond to both parts.

ROBIN GARRELL: Also, they can report electronically also, so they don't necessarily have

to go to a room. There's an electronic link where they could make a complaint.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yeah, thank you. We're spending a lot of time on just the you know just the reporting aspect and I appreciate that it's an important component of ensuring that you have the data and that students know that they are supported by administration. Again, the ensuring and as you're discussion as you're planning, it seems clear that a letter at the beginning of the semester, seems to not be enough. And so, that really getting answers to what more can be done to disseminate the information. And again, really thinking about the physical location because a door doesn't have to say what the door's for but obviously if you're going there, you know what it's for in the first place, right, often to name it like that.

And so, when a student or when something — an act is being investigated as an act of bias against a Jewish student or a student is even considering whether what their facing is antisemitism or just you know genuine disagreements in conversation, how are you as a city university defining antisemitism?

is clear about what acts of discrimination and harassment are. Basically that you're treating someone differently or less favorably because they're Jewish or you're harassing them and giving them unwelcomed conduct because of that. And that's how our policy determines whether you're discriminated against or harassed.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, so that is a little problematic. And the reason it's problematic is because it goes back to the free speech situation, which is certainly a very delicate and nuanced conversation but it is very, you know when discrimination against a Jewish person, like myself, meaning oh, your people are all murderers, genocidal maniacs and they get in your face and calling you all sorts of other names with expletives.

You know, it's easy for a university to say
that's uh, that's just uh free speech, right. So,
how do you delineate between legitimate free speech
and the conversations that as a university, you're
supposed to facilitate and the very difficult and
uncomfortable conversations that we all have to have?

)

And what is the line by which it veers into hate for Jewish people?

GLENDA GRACE: I think the question is, what is the line that we can take action against, right. So, you gave an example —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Well, and I want to be clear on like action against. I'm not suggesting peoples you know be like locked up in jail, I'm talking about oh, this is you know this type of speech, we really need to intervene personally and not just have a summit. Intervene in this specific situation and foster that dialogue and have a conversation about why certain language is dangerous.

And if it's unclear — it shouldn't be unclear to anyone I mean again, I mentioned this in the opening but when we an increase in violence in an ocean away in the region, Jews in New York, in my community, they threw bricks at the Schuls. In Brooklyn, she was lit on fire. Cherry bombs thrown at Jews. Jews punched in the back of the head. We see an uptick in that.

So, there is a real danger about not being able to have these conversations and just kind of hiding

behind the free speech thing and not intervening with really constructive dialogue.

GLENDA GRACE: I'll say the constructive dialogue could start as soon as someone makes a statement right. We can condemn and have condemned statements. We can have discussion about why it's hurtful, why it's harmful. The example you gave also talked about a potential for harassment, in terms of getting in someone's face right.

So, that — the investigation could start there but even if there was nothing, even if it was free speech, we can still condemn it and have a conversation about why it's wrong and why it is not helpful or why it's hurtful for our students, why it doesn't allow our students to thrive and that's —

DENISE MAYBANK: Oh -

GLENDA GRACE: Go ahead.

DENISE MAYBANK: I'll also add that our responsibility to our students is for care, is for safety, and the actions that are taken when something happens that isn't a front to who they are is that we have to reach out and acknowledge their humanity and dignity. We have to be responsive to that, so we can't act as though oh, this is an act that is

2.2

2.3

protected by free speech, is hateful, whatever. This is a person who has had the impact of something against them and we need to figure out how we are responsive to that part of personhood. And to acknowledge that yes, that was painful. Yes that was damaging to you and let's talk about what it's going to take for you to feel safe. If we need to create a safety plan for you, the individual in that moment. We need to act in that way. Yes, we need to preserve whatever the evidence is for the investigation but our action has to be about care and care for the individual in the experience.

Are we all the way there? Probably not but can we get there and get closer to that as our ask and in terms of our aspiration of responding to each student.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Well here's what I think is a fundamental disagreement and what I think is a misunderstanding on the part of CUNY. It's not about the person, it's about the people. Because you know and that's the dangers — and that's the danger you know of any hate crime, right. I know we're — uhm, you know I mentioned earlier, there's a uniqueness to antisemitism throughout history but there's certainly

commonalities and one of the commonalities we feel
when someone is attacked for who they are or what
they believe, that impacts the entire community.
It's not about a safety plan for an individual
person. If they're being attacked for their
religious or political beliefs. It's about everyone
who holds those views and those values. Now, it was
mentioned that you can condemn uhm, you can condemn
statements. You can intervene but my question is, d
you? And I think one of the loudest examples is the
passage of the Resolution by CUNY Law. And when I
say by CUNY Law, I mean, they're student Council and
I mean, they're faculty. And I know the Chancellor
made a statement sort of condemning it. What steps
were taken at CUNY Law to engage in a conversation
with you know the Jewish students who are made to
feel unsafe and unwelcome by the passage of that
resolution. What plan was made?

GLENDA GRACE: I believe Student Affairs reached out to different students to ask them how they felt and what they could do and I can also talk to Student Affairs to get more details about what was done in that situation.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, sorry, you said
Student Affairs reached out to some Jewish students?

GLENDA GRACE: I think Student Affairs is usually on the ground and I think I can have Vice Chancellor Maybank talk a little bit more about what Student Affairs does when things happen on campuses. And I can also specifically reach out to the law school and get more information about that.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yeah, I want to be clear.

I want to talk about some of the initiatives you're doing and I do appreciate that those are important steps and I think it's important steps that these plans are being made and certain things are done.

I'm just, in this particular instance, uhm, asking if that happened, the things you're saying can happen, I'm trying to relate the possibilities to the reality.

So, just curious, did Student Affairs reach out to any of the student groups or any of the students?

Has there been a plan for constructive dialogue about a very difficult topic? Have those conversations happened in that particular instance?

DENISE MAYBANK: Chairperson Dinowitz, what I can say to you is that more often than not, that would be

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the case. I cannot tell you about the specific you're asking. What the law school will say on this date, this is what happened but we certainly can inquire and get back to you with details about what may have happened in that regard.

What I do know is that there is concern such that there is a reach out to students when they are expressing fear or intimidation. That I know happens and you know, you were saying that's it's not about the person, it's about the people and I just want to say to you, it doesn't have to be one or the other, it has to be and. It's about the person and the people and we have to be able to be responsive to those aspects without saying that one you know has a priority over the other. Because I do get concerned about an individual's ability to persevere in the face of that kind of trauma. And so, we have to think about it in that regard as well. And so, when the Student Affairs professionals on any of our campuses reach out, they have to reach to the students in particular and hear what it is that has happened and how it has impacted them in order to formulate a reasonable response to address that.

\_

I believe that has happened but we will have to get more detail for you and get back to you with specifics.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, and what's the timeline for those answers?

DENISE MAYBANK: I believe — I'm going to be perfectly honest; I believe that the person responsible is on vacation right now but as soon as I can get in touch, I will ask the questions about that interaction.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, I mean, it uh— how about the same 60-days to get this information that faculty is supposed to respond to complaints of bias?

DENISE MAYBANK: What was the first part of what you said?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I said, how about the same 60-day window.

DENISE MAYBANK: Same 60-day okay, I thought you said 60-days but then -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Administration is supposed to reply to yeah.

DENISE MAYBANK: Okay, 60-day window. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: It just - look, it feels a

little disingenuous to not be able to — to come and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right)$ 

2.2

2.3

not talk about the plans that were made. I mean these were issues that are literally — you know, CUNY campuses are being investigated for this. These issues of for example, the CUNY Law School, these weren't secret issues. These were issues that I think CUNY knows are significant issues, that's why the Chancellor made multiple statements about it. Which, you know I appreciate him speaking out against it but you know to not have any information regarding it, regarding the plans made at these particular schools, where the problems are very public, is very problematic and indicative of a problem exiting throughout CUNY.

And I hate to say this out loud but it makes me question how seriously this problem is being addressed between you being virtual and the Chancellor not being here, it doesn't fill me with a lot of hope and I'm like a hopeful person. I was seriously holding out hope till 9:59 that the Chancellor would say, just kidding, I'm here but I'm you know not filled with a lot of hope with these questions.

I do want to turn it over to colleagues. I do have another long round of questioning but I do want

to give my colleagues an opportunity to share some of their questions. Again, I do thank you. I know that this isn't an easy conversation but the fact is, it's also not easy for the Jews on campus. So, I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Vernikov for her first round of questioning.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you Council

Member Dinowitz and I also want to thank you for not

limiting my time today. As you know, this is a very

important issue, so we need the time with all the

witnesses.

Thank you all for being here as well. Uhm, I have a question for the panel, do any of you speak for the Chancellor today?

GLENDA GRACE: We're speaking for the University.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, uh what authority

do you have at CUNY to implement CUNY policy?

GLENDA GRACE: So, the panel that's sitting here actually, with Robin Garrell and I actually give an opportunity to my colleagues to chime in. Robin Garrell runs the — is the President of the Grad Center and has authority to make sure that the policy are implemented. She has authority to recommend that the policies are changed. She has authority to make

our city?

determinations about recommendations about what should and shouldn't be done and Vice Chancellor Maybank, the [INAUDIBLE 2:03:33] regarding dialogue and education, student discipline, they all flow from Vice Chancellor Maybank's area at Student Affairs.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay.

GLENDA GRACE: And then I also, I work, I'm the special counsel for the Chancellor and I also have experience at both uh at Central and at a two-year college and at a senior college.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Yes, and I recognize that you follow Tony.

GLENDA GRACE: Yes.

in an article in April of 2022 describes 183
incidents of harassment in New York, along with 182
vandalism cases and 161 incidents involving
swastika's. It also said there was a 41 percent
increase in reported incidents at Jewish
institutions, which were targeted 62 times last year
in New York. Overall, antisemitic crimes in New York
City have spiked nearly 300 percent in January. Are
you aware of this drastic increase in antisemitism in

GLENDA GRACE: Yes, definitely aware. I've read it and the first statement that the Chancellor put out last year talked about that increase.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And are you aware that in recent years CUNY schools have become hot beds for antisemitism and anti-Israel sentiment, including but not limited to a hostile environment for its Jewish students and professors?

GLENDA GRACE: I would say that there has been and increase incidents of things on campuses.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Can you actually list some of the examples of antisemitic activities on some of the CUNY campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: So, one of the things I can't do just to be clear as we move forward, is we can't talk about pending litigation, we can't talk about personal actions or decisions and obviously we can't talk about disciplinary actions for students, but you know there have been more incidents in terms of swastikas on campuses. There have been students who have expressed discomfort. There have been people expressing discomfort about the various resolutions that have been voted on by different organizations within CUNY that do not speak for CUNY.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Are you aware that there have been physical assaults on students on CUNY campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: Uh, they would have been reported to public safety, I would hope.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But are you aware of those incidents?

GLENDA GRACE: Not, I can't say I am right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Are you aware that

Jewish students are intimated and afraid to express
their pro-Israel views? They're afraid to be Jewish.

They're afraid to wear Yamaoka's on campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: You said that and I think that that is an awful thing. That's one of the reasons why we're trying to do more and more to make students feel comfortable and to be able to be their true selves because we have no wish to have people hiding their identity or being physically, feeling physically scared to come to our campuses. That is antithetical to who CUNY is and who are working to make sure that we are.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But you're aware of their personal feelings of fear and intimidation, correct?

GLENDA GRACE: I'm aware. I've heard yes, I've heard before today, I've heard stories about that.

That's one of the reasons why we're trying to do the work that we're doing to make this a better place and have a better plan than the world has.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay.

DENISE MAYBANK: We acknowledge as well. My meetings with the students since I've been here, for the 21-months I have been here, yes, I have heard students talk to me about their personal feelings of whether it's a matter of, I'm uncomfortable in this space or I don't feel safe generally.

As I sat with a group of students, they shared their experiences and their concerns yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And aside from alleged condonations about this, what does CUNY actually do? What kind of action does CUNY take when you get these complaints from students?

GLENDA GRACE: Well, I can tell you, if someone is assaulted and they allege that they're assaulted, we would take them to public safety. Uhm, we would also make sure that someone with Student Affairs talked to them about what their needs were. If they

2.3

needed counseling, if they needed uhm, if they were concerned about walking around campus safely.

Public Safety would talk to them. If they wanted to file a complaint, they would go to the local precinct with the individual. Uhm, that's for an assault. If someone had an interaction in a classroom that made them feel uncomfortable, they could talk to their Chair. Their Chair of the Department. They could talk to someone in student affairs. They could also go to the person at the Chief Diversity Officer.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you're saying that CUNY does everything in their power to make these students feel safe, correct?

GLENDA GRACE: We are obviously, we're here because we're not perfect but we are trying to do everything within our power, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, why are these students, hundreds of students still afraid?

GLENDA GRACE: Uhm, if I knew the answer to that,
I could solve the problems of the world. We want to
make sure our students thrive here. My time at CUNY,
I remember seeing students who came and complained
and looked the way the way looked. They look like a

different person. I've dealt with students who came
and complained about an issue. I saw them after the
issue was resolved and they look like a different
person. They had light in their eyes. Their skin
glowed. We don't want anybody on CUNY's campus to be
uhm, to be diminished and obviously, that's what
we're working towards. If that was solved, we would
be going on; we'd be telling the world how to solve
the problem but we're trying to lead the way with the
work that we're doing. The work that's being done on
campuses and the work that's coming out of Vice
Chancellor Maybank's area.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I thank you for whatever work you are doing but unfortunately the students that come to me to complain about antisemitism, do not come to me with light in their eyes. They come to me with fear in their eyes. So, I just want to make that clear.

Do you believe that it's essential to have a system in place where students can safely report antisemitic activity?

23 GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely.

ROBIN GARRELL: Most definitely.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And what is that process at CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: You can either do it as I said,
you can make a complaint using the internet. There's
a link that you can make complaints. You can
actually make complaints at campuses. You can also
make it centrally. You can to the OGC's Office
actually. You can also go and talk to — there's a
number of ways. You can go to talk to a faculty, a
trusted faculty member, a Student Affairs
professional. You can go to the Chief Diversity
Office. You can also go to public safety.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Is there a special committee dedicated to reviewing antisemitic incidents on CUNY campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: There is not.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you believe that such a committee would be beneficial towards creating a safer environment for Jewish students?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, we believe that by doing the affinity groups and having conversations with different folks, that will help inform the work that we do. We believe that public safety works with Student Affairs and learns from them, and certainly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

that's part of the work that Vice Chancellor Maybank described in her testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, I'll say again that -

DENISE MAYBANK: Oh - I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Go ahead.

DENISE MAYBANK: I was just going to say I think all too often we can sit and decide, we know how to solve something before we really hear the something we're trying to solve. And so, our objective right now is to be clear in listening and working collaboratively with students, for them to help us know in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, how do we respond. the context of technology and social media. How do we respond in ways that are meaningful for them and not to just sit back and figure out, we have the panacea to say, we can do this and it's going to take care of it. I don't think that's fair to the students and it certainly doesn't set us up for moving forward in a way that is engaging and embracing of the student experience, the lived experience of students, so that we have the real solutions in response.

S

appreciate that and I want to say again that I do appreciate all the work you've been trying to do but I think that we're here because let's agree, whatever work has been done so far, it's not working. The antisemitism is only increasing. It's not decreasing. So, we're here to explore different options.

DENISE MAYBANK: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you believe that Jews have a right to feel safe on CUNY campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely, I believe every single person does, especially Jews given their unique history and the history of persecution, absolutely. That's part of being an educational institution and part of our core values.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Let me ask you this question, if there was a student elected by the student body, the student government to be a commencement speaker, and that student was a White Supremacist, would the school allow the student to continue with his speech?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, under the First

Amendment, yes that's what would happen as long as it

2.2

2.3

was within the context of free speech, that would be allowed.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you -

GLENDA GRACE: And that would be deplorable, just like other hate speech is deplorable.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, the school wouldn't do anything? They wouldn't protest. They wouldn't try to get the student not to speak? They would just give that student free run on a stage?

GLENDA GRACE: Ultimately, that's part of the uhm, ideas of free speech allows that. As a lawyer, you actually I think in law school, we learn that. That was something that was disturbing but that is the law.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: We did. That is the law but my question is, would CUNY, is there anything that CUNY would do to talk to that student to try to prevent that student from speaking?

GLENDA GRACE: I think what CUNY would do is what we did in this instance. We would do a statement condemning it, making it clear that that did not represent the Bureau of the University. That we think the best way to counter discord is to talk about other language and other conversation.

Э

information?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you.

Approximately how many complaints per year does CUNY get about antisemitism, antisemitic incidents?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't have that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Who has that

GLENDA GRACE: We can collect information about

the Cleary Report and we can get back to you with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Please, can I get a report about the last five years of antisemitic incidents at all on CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: Get five years, okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I think this question was asked before and I apologize if I'm repeating it but to your knowledge, has anyone been disciplined for being antisemitic or discriminating against Jewish students or faculty?

GLENDA GRACE: So, the only example that I could give actually does relate to, I said we didn't have an instance. I do know that after the — in 2016, there were incidents that students and students were disciplined over some of the incidents that happened about the subject of a report that was done by CUNY.

So there weren't disciplinary charges against some of those students who assaulted — there was assault and some other issues, so students were disciplined in those instances.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: What was the nature of the discipline?

GLENDA GRACE: I can get that, exactly what the discipline — actually, I can't do that actually because I cannot talk about disciplinary actions specifically. I can talk about what generally can happen. What generally happens and I actually think Vice Chancellor Maybank can talk more about it because the disciplinary, student disciplinary area is in Student Affairs but they could be suspended. They could have to do training. They could be expelled. Those are different instances that could happen in disciplinary actions but I cannot disclose specific punishments that happened for specific students. I'm not allowed to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, that's okay, I'm not asking you to give me the names of the perpetrators. I'm just asking general, what are the consequences? What is the punishment for these students? You can just talk about it in a general

2.2

way. Uhm, and not just what can happen. They can get suspended. They can you know, whatever it is. What has actually happened? That's my question.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay, I can get that for you. I gave you kind of formalities but we can get you that information about specifically what happened in those.

DENISE MAYBANK: Isn't there a range of sanctions that are at the disposal of those adjudicating a disciplinary concern. But to say specifically today what may have had happened in the instance of an antisemitic situation, I wouldn't know that without actually having to look for that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you and can I just get a commitment from all of you here that CUNY will implement consequences for those who harass, intimidate, discriminate against Jewish students and professors on campus?

GLENDA GRACE: I think that commitment you'll find. Yes, if people violate our policies or commit crimes, there are consequences otherwise we can't have an educational institution that we can be proud of or that lives up to our values.

GLENDA GRACE: I can talk about it in the context of our nondiscrimination policy, but obviously

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Can one of

antisemitism has to do with acts that are acts or thoughts against someone because they're Jewish.

you define antisemitism?

Our policy talks about discrimination and harassment and how that's prohibited and can lead to sanctions against faculty staff and students.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Would you agree that the term Zionism is the movement for self-determination and statehood for the Jewish people in their ancestral homeland?

GLENDA GRACE: I believe that is what Zionism is, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Would you agree that for many Jews, Zionism is a part of their Jewish identity?

GLENDA GRACE: For many Jews, I know for some Jews. I don't know what percentage but yes, it's certainly part of — it's important.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, I can tell you that it is for me.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you agree that if

2.

discriminating against Zionist Jews is inherently antisemitic?

GLENDA GRACE: I think what I would do is I would look at what our policy says and if someone is

Zionism is a part of their Jewish identity than

uhm and treating them differently, then it would be

acting against someone because of a protected status,

discrimination and harassment.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you agree that Zionism should be part of that definition, is that correct?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually what —  $\operatorname{uhm}$ , no, what I said is, what our policy says.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay, well than do you agree with the IHRA definition of antisemitism. I'm not sure if you're familiar with that is.

GLENDA GRACE: I am familiar with it. I don't agree or disagree and plus I'm here — my own opinion about it actually isn't relevant in really talking about what's going on with the University and what the University, how the University implements its policies.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, you said you're here to represent the University, correct?

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, does the University agree that Ira, the IHRA definition of antisemitism should be used?

GLENDA GRACE: We have not used it, no. We have not used that definition.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Why not?

GLENDA GRACE: Because we believe the definition of harassment and discrimination is clear in our non-discrimination and equal opportunity policy.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Uhm, just a point about the IHRA definition. Uhm, which I think more clearly is how you know whether something is antisemitism or not. The U.S. State Department utilizes this definition and Governor Hochul recently declared a proclamation embracing this definition.

So, it's not unheard of that New York State and CUNY is of course a New York State Institutions, utilizes this definition. I know that you wanted to bring that point up.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. So, I'm just going to read some of the definition. Some of

2.

the things from, some of the examples of the IHRA definition of antisemitism.

So, antisemitism according to IHRA is a certain perception of Jews, which maybe expressed as hatred towards Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed to a Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property to a Jewish community institutions and religious facilities.

Give me just one second. And one of the examples in the IHRA definition is denying the Jewish people the right to self-determination by claiming that the existence of the state of Israel is a racist endeavor.

So, many countries and other schools have adopted the IHRA definition of antisemitism, so my question is, can CUNY commit to adopting the same IHRA definition of antisemitism?

GLENDA GRACE: No, I think our — what we are working under is the — our equal opportunity not discrimination policy, which talks about treating an individual differently or less favorably because of his protected characteristics, which in this case would be because they are Jewish.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, just from talking to other Presidents of CUNY, uhm it seems that the Chancellor, the CUNY Chancellor is the one telling the presidents what policies to implement. Who is in charge of the structure and implementing policies at CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, the Board of Trustee adopts policies and they might be proposed by the Chancellor but ultimately the support of trustees adopts policies.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And who sits on the Board of Trustees?

GLENDA GRACE: They're I believe appointment from Governor Hochul and appointment from Governor Adams,

Mayor Adams excuse me.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Has CUNY Central implemented anti-racism training at CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: Vice Chancellor Maybank, not systemic I believe.

DENISE MAYBANK: Specifically from the central office, not to my knowledge but campuses may have through their DEI programs and may have not just done racism but across the board discrimination and marginalization to identify populations that are of

concern. But we have not done training at this point from the student affairs perspective central office.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But it is being done throughout the CUNY system, correct?

DENISE MAYBANK: I'm sure there are programs on different campuses. Now, remember that 25 and depending on you're counting, 26 different institutions and configurations and the opportunities for them through their senior diversity officers to be able to implement what is appropriate in the context of their experiences and understandings as well that support the policies of the university.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you're saying that there might be some CUNY schools that do not have the antidiscrimination training?

DENISE MAYBANK: I wouldn't want to venture a yes to answer you directly about that, without saying okay, so let me look at each and see what's going on. You know, I can't tell you definitively that there is this type of training happening on this particular campus sitting here today but I'm glad to get that information for you and to bring it back so that you have it.

)

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Please, thank you. Has CUNY Central implemented antisemitism training at CUNY?

DENISE MAYBANK: Again, not to my knowledge from Central. It is a matter of what it is that is happening within the context of the climate and culture of a campus. We are committed to helping the campuses to explore that and to find ways, solutions, opportunities, programs that might help in fulfilling that need, we are committed to doing that and as you heard, this is something that we're embarking on t be more specific and to be very clear about how we support the campuses in doing so.

GLENDA GRACE: We -

DENISE MAYBANK: Go ahead Glenda.

GLENDA GRACE: We did it in our testimony. We talked about the training; some training that was being done by Hillel. Some by the other organization that are being done on a couple campuses and part of the work that is being embarked on by the Student Affairs Office, with the University Director of Student Intercultural Engagement and Dialogue is determined what needs to be done systematically. What options we can get, so that we can do more in

)

the future to make sure that we're dealing with the problem that we're dealing with today, antisemitism.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you.

DENISE MAYBANK: Thank you Glenda and you know again; I go back to my statement about the fact that we can do things but is it going to be the thing that's going to matter for our students today? That is why we are listening and trying to dialogue and engage the students in such a way that we can clearly respond in a way that will matter.

Not just respond because we're being challenged to respond but respond because it matters for our students and that's our effort.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you and I appreciate that and I look forward to working with you.

DENISE MAYBANK: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: To implement those —

DENISE MAYBANK: And I will reach out for you to

do that. I really will.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. So, amidst the 300- a 300 percent rise in antisemitism. Is there any single CUNY campus that has implemented antisemitism training?

\_

DENISE MAYBANK: I'm not aware of any particular program.

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, we have as we listed and you have the written testimony, talked about different work that's being done on individual campuses. I think we mentioned a number of campuses but in terms of talking about an absolute curricula, that's part of what we're determining whether that's going to be the most effective for us to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, I believe that it would help.

GLENDA GRACE: No question, that's one of the reasons why we're trying to dialogue. So, we appreciate that.

DENISE MAYBANK: And I want you to know that we've reached out to various organizations, Jewish organizations and entities to talk with us. I've met with I'd say two different rabi's who are in this space and really trying to make certain that Jewish identity and culture is clear and is embracing of a dialogue. And so, it has been informative for me as a person who graduated from Brooklyn College and had amazing experience in that context. But who also has worked alongside Hillel's across the country, as my

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

career has taken me to different places. Being able 2 3 to have the conversations with leaders from the 4 community makes a difference. And you know I don't want to give out people's names because I believe 5 they're working with us in good faith to help us make 6 7 a difference. And to really guide our understanding and facilitate our being successful in doing what's 8 meaningful, responsive and useful for our student population. And I'm honored by the opportunity to do 10 11 that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you very much.

Uhm, are you aware that although Title VI of the

Civil Rights Act of 1964 does not explicitly include

religion as a protected group? Guidance was issued

by federal agencies directing that if an individual

or group is discriminated against based on shared

ancestry or ethnicity, they are protected under Title

VI?

GLENDA GRACE: Well, I'm not — actually, I'd love to say I'd remember that. I trust what you tell me. I know that under our policy, religion is a protected characteristic.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, would you agree that when Jewish students are discriminated against

based on their nationality, religion, origin or identity, it creates a hostile environment for Jewish students?

DENISE MAYBANK: Of course.

GLENDA GRACE: It depends. Certainly for one person, it could create something hostile for them. It depends. As you know, the definition of a hostile environment, a legal hostile environment as opposed to the use of an everyday word, hostile environment, you know that that's different but yes, it's challenging. That's why we're trying to do the work. That's why we're trying to have those conversations with our students to make sure that they don't feel that and we stop things before they happen and before they escalate.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you, so the answer is yes, right?

GLENDA GRACE: If you actually repeat the question again?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Sure, no, no problem.

Would you agree that when Jewish students are

discriminated against based on their nationality,

religion, origin or identity, when they identify as

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

being Zionist for example. It creates a hostile
environment for Jewish students.

4 GLENDA GRACE: I would say it could create a hostile environment. It could create a hostile

6 environment, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Are you familiar with the term boycott, divest and sanction?

GLENDA GRACE: We actually talked about it in our testimony, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I apologize. I must have missed that part. Are you aware of any campaign on any CUNY campus to boycott, divest, and sanction any of these countries for their human rights violations Russia?

GLENDA GRACE: Not aware, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: China?

GLENDA GRACE: I'm not aware.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Iran?

20 GLENDA GRACE: I'm not aware.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Saudi Arabia?

22 GLENDA GRACE: I am not aware, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: North Korea?

GLENDA GRACE: Not aware.

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: How about the State of Israel?

GLENDA GRACE: Uh, we talked about the BDS

Resolutions that were passed at the Law School and
we've condemned them. So, yes, there have been for
Israel, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: New York State

Executive Order 157, which unequivocally rejects the

BDS campaign and does not permit its investment

activity to further BDS. Are you aware that the

practical implications of that executive order are

that it directs state agencies to divest public funds

from entities supporting a BDS campaign against

Israel?

GLENDA GRACE: That's actually in the testimony I presented, saying that we understand that CUNY's prohibited from participating in or supporting BDS activity and is required to divest public funds from any companies that do. That's in the written testimony that was submitted.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. On May 12<sup>th</sup> of 2022, CUNY law faculty by unanimous consent, voted to endorse a BDS Resolution that previously passed a CUNY law student government association last

2.2

2.3

December. Do you understand that the central message of BDS is the promotion of discrimination of unlawful activity?

5 CI ENI

GLENDA GRACE: We've been clear that it's not something we can legally do and there was a statement that — there were a number of statements actually where we mentioned that in the past year.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. So, that's a yes right?

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely, it's a yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you understand — sorry, withdrawn. What message, yeah, I still, I'm so—.

GLENDA GRACE: I know I haven't done this in a while so.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: What message does CUNY law sends to its Jewish pro-Israel students when CUNY faculty who have government employees promote and endorse discrimination and unlawful activity?

GLENDA GRACE: Look, the one thing I can't tell you the message that everyone felt because I'm not sitting in their shoes but I know that it was disturbing to individuals because that's part of what we're having the conversation about. People, they

2.2

2.3

were not happy. They were upset. We condemned it,
CUNY condemned it because it's something we do not
believe is correct or morally correct. If it was,
the Chancellor would not have taken and gone with
JCRC with 12-Presidents to Israel and participate and
talk to people and learn to do more business. So, we
understand that it's absolutely upsetting but we also
wanted to be very clear across the board and we've
said it in a number of our statements, that just
because a law school or a faculty organization or
another student membership organization endorses
something, that does not represent the view of CUNY.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And I just want to comment to something you said earlier. I do want to thank the Chancellor for taking that step to go to Israel. I know there's been a lot of pressure against that, so I'm grateful for that. I just wish the Chancellor was here.

Do you believe that endorsing a Resolution that promotes discrimination and unlawful activity contributes to antisemitism?

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, this is I guess the question you're asking me, look, I think you're asking me a personal opinion. I think obviously from

J

CUNY, CUNY's perspective, we took a stand. We said it's not something that should happen. We said it doesn't — it's something we don't agree with and cannot agree with and I think that's the most; I'm here to represent the University and just being clear about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you believe that Jewish students can feel comfortable to really express their views on a campus where faculty endorses discrimination against them?

GLENDA GRACE: What I think is that we are working to make sure that our students have the tools to do what they need to do to express their identity, so they do not have to hide who they are. That they do not have to pretend to be someone who they're not. That's the job of education and what we need to do.

And realistically, we are a small, microcosm of the world and part of our job is to give the tools to our students when they leave CUNY and confront the world that you describe with the statistics that you give, there is antisemitism. There are people who are against people. There are people who do not value who they are. So, our job is to give our students those tools to use wherever they need those

tools. That's our job. That I believe is what we've tried to set out in the work that we're doing as a system and the work that being done on campuses.

So, one, we're trying not to — the campuses have been doing some good work, not, you know, obviously there are pockets that are doing better than others but that's one of the reasons why we're working on systemwide approaches to make sure that we do well and give our students the tools they need at every single campus. So, they can leave CUNY and go on and live lives that are free from antisemitism and oppression and discrimination.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And I do really appreciate that. Again, just emphasize but my question is really, do you believe that Jewish students can feel comfortable to express their views on a campus or a faculty. The professors that are teaching these students and give them grades, endorse discrimination against the same students.

DENISE MAYBANK: Can they, is challenging. When you are faced as an individual with a circumstance or a contest where you feel people are against you, that's always going to be a challenge. I want to support what my colleague just said though. I

2.2

2.3

believe it is our responsibility and our opportunity to help our students acquire the skills and competencies to navigate those experiences. To be able to speak truth to power and to say, I'm uncomfortable with the way that that was handled and hopefully we can get to a place where we, together can be in this space of learning and intellectual engagement in such a way that we can move forward.

Because it is through real listening that you get understanding.

And if you feel cut off from that ability, it is going to be a problem. So, I understand your question but I commit to our responsibility to build skill and competency around difficult conversation, difficult interaction and difficult dialogue. And I believe that there are ways to do that and to empower students to move forward, so that not only do they take charge of the experience while at CUNY but they take that to the next level and go out and influence their peers beyond CUNY and the world as they take their places in different context.

They'll have skills that matter, that move our society forward because this is not just a problem here, it is a problem as you identified within our

city, within our state, within our country, within the world and we need to help those who are coming along to have the ability to respond to it. So, it's not just the faculty. It's not just the classroom. It is broadly. The interactions of our society.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. I just would really appreciate a response and answer to really just a yes or no question. Do you believe that when faculty endorses discrimination against Jewish students, they do not feel comfortable? It's just a yes or no.

GLENDA GRACE: With all due respect, we've answered the question and we obviously disagree that it's a yes or no question.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I'll move on.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, Council Member

Vernikov is going to ask one more question and then

we'll move onto other Council Member questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, it's going to be one question if I get the answer.

What would CUNY do if students or faculty demanded the exclusion of Black or Muslim students and subjected them to discrimination?

2.

O

2.2

GLENDA GRACE: What we would to is as we would do with any incident of discrimination, we would look at the — we would follow our policy and ask someone to make a complaint and it would be investigated. And depending on the investigation, we would act in that manner.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you.

GLENDA GRACE: So, I gave you the answer?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Yes.

GLENDA GRACE: Great, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: You will hear more from me though.

GLENDA GRACE: We do want to partner with you as Vice Chancellor Maybank said, because this is a serious issue. If it wasn't a serious issue, we wouldn't be doing all of the work that we're trying to do and obviously, you have comments about the work we could do better and we're certainly willing and want to listen because we do want to do the best job we can do.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I really appreciate it and I look forward to working with you and Chair promised to come back to me, so, you'll hear more from me.

\_

GLENDA GRACE: Okay.

Want to recognize that we have Council Member Feliz and Council Member Barron in the room. I'm going to turn it over for some short questions and other Council Members. I just want to highlight a few things that were said. It's really important. One is some of the language that's being used is we can and we should. I think here in this Committee, what we're interested in is, what are you doing and what specific plans are in place to address this? Because I know that you — the best way to counter certainly which is with other language and reference is what you said, in reference to the BDS Resolution.

The question of course is, did those conversations happen and is there an effort to make those conversations happen. And that's throughout some of the responses and the other thing I just want to hammer home is, we shouldn't have to guess and the question was, I would have to guess if antisemitism, anti-Jewish sentiment is included in the DEI training. That's not something that we should have to guess and I would love to hear a commitment from CUNY that you're going to review all of the DEI

J

--

2.3

trainings. The diversity inclusion trainings at all of your campuses and I would love to hear a commitment that you're going to ensure that it teaches faculty you know about some of the tropes and some of the things we hear about anti-Jewish sentiment.

GLENDA GRACE: I guess I can say obviously, if we're doing diversity training, we have a diverse group of people on our campuses and so, we have to make sure we're doing the training for all of our student groups. So, we can make that commitment.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and you know it's not obvious and I've done certain trainings. I was with the Department of Education; you know a city agency and we did the online training for those things. We've done seminars and it very often excluded things that people need to know about the Jewish community. Implicit biases and explicit biases frankly.

So, I am really glad to hear about your explicit commitment to include Judaism and Jewish uh, antiJewish sentiment in the diversity and inclusion training at your campuses. I'm going to turn it over

2.

to minority leader Borelli for a short question and then to Council Member Yeger.

more question. Uhm, some of the controversy has resulted from some of the commencement speakers and it's not just the most recent one. There was also Linda Sarsour in the past, a prominent component of BDF, uh BDS excuse me. And one of you said the best way to counter language was other language. Can you give an example of a moment or an event where a Zionist was invited to be a commencement speaker at one of the CUNY institutions?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't have an example. I don't have an example now.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Can anyone remember a time when there was a pro-Israel speaker ever at a CUNY institution?

GLENDA GRACE: On a CUNY campus or at a CUNY commencement?

COUNCIL MEMBER BORRELLI: As a build speaker at commencement.

GLENDA GRACE: I don't know but we can look and see if we can find one.

/

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Has there ever been a — I mean, you guys had Tony Fouche. That was a good grab. He took some — what some would considered shots at republicans. Have you ever had a conservative as a speaker at a commencement speech at a CUNY institution in the past two years?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Has there ever been a Republican do a commencement speech at a CUNY institution?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't know. I imagine we have elected officials speak at many of our commencement but explicitly, I don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I don't mean, you know,
I don't mean the people who just are local
representatives who happen to show up. I mean,
billing a high profile. I'll give you an example.
Uhm, is Vice President Pence, would he be encouraged
to speak at a CUNY college?

GLENDA GRACE: I think the colleges choose — if
he wanted — if someone wanted him to come, would he
be invited? It depends on the campus but he could be
invited.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, I mean I guess that goes back to my original question of, it never occurred to anyone in a diverse and free thinking institution to invite prominent republicans?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, I can't say yes or no in terms of never occurring with anyone. That's a question that's challenging to answer or pretty impossible to answer. It never occurred to anyone.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Can Clarence Thomas come and speak at the Commencement next year?

GLENDA GRACE: If you have a contact with him, perhaps he can.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Minority Leader Borelli. Uhm, next is Council Member Kalman Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman and Minority Leader and particularly to Minority Whip for setting the stage for some of these questions that I'll ask.

I want to just explore the topic of free speech on CUNY campuses. I too am a proponent of free speech. I believe in free speech. I took an oath in this body to uphold the Constitution of the United States in the State of New York. Free speech is

2.2

2.3

important to me, notwithstanding that in this body,
we've seen free speech punished. So, the question
really is you know what does free speech really mean?
So, let's talk about how free it is and referring
Madam Chancellor to your testimony, we are legally
required to allow the expression of multiple view
points on campus.

Okay, and you've spent a lot of time today talking about free speech and you may not agree with it and in fact the University may condemn it but nonetheless, it can't be restricted because it's speech. Let's say there's a lecture hall of 1,000 students and one student decides that they are going to yell fire. And all those students run out and cause a stampede and there's no fire and the student who yelled fire knows there's no fire. Is that free speech?

GLENDA GRACE: Are you — uhm, I think that's determined by a law school, a law case because it creates an imminent danger and that's the line in the question. Whether speech moves from an expression or an expression that makes people do something, doesn't insight people. That's a — so the question is whether it insights people.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But we can accept as a premise that the root of that result in that law school case that you and I both read, is that not all free — not all speech is free.

GLENDA GRACE: No, no, actually, not all speech is protected, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Not all speech is protected.

GLENDA GRACE: We're talking about free speech and free speech that's protected.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so not all speech is protected. For example, if somebody says something libelous about someone else, somebody slanders someone else, they can sue in curt and if they make their case, recover damages.

So, the defense of well, it's speech and I can say what I want doesn't necessary play when it comes to say liable of slander. Is that correct?

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so -

GLENDA GRACE: You remember law school better than me.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so not, not all speech — thank you. I appreciate that and my

\_

\_ \_

professors would probably disagree but thank you very much for saying that.

So not all speech I think we've determined is protected or to colloquially call it, free. You know it's obvious that anybody could say what they want. It's also sometimes obvious that there are ramifications or repercussions for saying the things that you want if those things are not protected.

Uhm, the stated policy of CUNY is that the creation of hostile environment is supposedly not tolerated. It's not really a question, I'm just saying that as a premise because I know that that's what you said and I know you believe that. You can't create a hostile environment at CUNY, right?

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, it's at -

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I mean, a student can't create a hostile environment for another student.

GLENDA GRACE: A student cannot?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Cannot create a hostile environment for another student. That would be against your discrimination policies.

GLENDA GRACE: I guess the question is and this is obviously I do want to go and I'm sure people who are listening don't want to go through the rabbit

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 hole of law school or law school questions. Hostile,

a legal hostile environment, it depends on the facts

4 of the case.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, I can accept that as the answer. It depends on the facts of the case.

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: The, you've said that if a college club or I guess a college chooses a particular commencement speaker, you can't change that speaker. Let me ask this question. Uh, you did say that right?

GLENDA GRACE: We do not.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, are you required by any law or rule or statute to have a commencement at any of your campuses?

GLENDA GRACE: Given — uh, no, I don't think we are because we actually didn't have commencements or we didn't have in person commencements during COVID and we know how challenging that was.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. So, if let's say, I don't think this would happen, I would hope but let's say Brooklyn College decided to invite David Duke to be the Commencement Speaker. Would the college allow that to go on? Would the University allow that to go

2.

,

2.2

on? Whether you can convince the college; if you can't convince the college to remove him as a speaker, would you cancel the event?

GLENDA GRACE: Look the challenge is, is just like David Duke coming to speak or and other names we could give. We gave a name of Clarence Thomas who just uh —

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I don't think that's fair at all.

GLENDA GRACE: No, no, no, no, no, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm speaking David Duke for now and try to keep Justice Thomas away from the category —

GLENDA GRACE: Okay, so fine. But look, I think we don't — I mean the challenge is, is if we shut down for one person, we would shut down for someone else and then that would be a huge challenge for a space where there's supposed to be an exchange of ideas.

Sometimes ideas that we disagree with and we find this really offensive but if we shut it down for one person, we would shut it down for another person.

And that would really, that would really be a

question about how we are an educational institution and what we believe education means.

reason that I reacted and I apologize for cutting you off but I deliberately chose the David Duke example instead of the Justice Thomas example because I'm not referring to political speech. I'm referring to someone who I think is clearly universally accepted as a hater. And not to someone who will — there's a majority that would simply disagree with their political views.

I'm talking about somebody who's clearly someone who is known throughout his history for the last 30, 40 years as a hater in America. And I chose that name specifically because I'd like to explore your answer a little bit. So, let's say, let's take that to the next logical conclusion. Let's say you're right, if we canceled on particular event because of one particular speaker, then where is the line drawn?

But then where is the line drawn if you don't?

For example, if you say that it's okay for David Duke to speak because then perhaps somebody might want to invite a controversial supreme court justice to speak and then you would be called on to cancel that. Do

3

4

5

6

7

\_

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we really believe that that's part of the same conversation that canceling a hate monger speech versus canceling a speech of somebody who is on the political spectrum that is opposite to the majority is the same?

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, I think we do. The one thing that's great about this is that I don't have to think about it on my own. I'm not an expert. don't pretend to be an expert. I do know that I've been given the opportunity to talk and hear from people who are experts. When I went to the summit on campus antisemitism that was sponsored by the American Jewish Council in partnership with Hillel and the American Council on Presidents. They talked specifically about this incident, this example, and they actual had legal - when the President at Columbia discussed it and in that conversation with people from all over different campuses, the understanding was the way to deal with speech as an educational institution is more speech. That is the way to do it. It's not canceling because if we cancel, then there is no discussion. If we cancel, there's no education. If we cancel, we're not giving people the tools that they're going to need to deal

2.

with people like David Dukes whose been around for 40 years and probably has more friends now than he did 40-years ago.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so -

GLENDA GRACE: Who had those tools. So, speech, more speech.

explore more speech. Let's say a university, a college campus did invite this David Duke to come speak and your policy would be, well, we're not going to cancel because that would be canceling their event and we're not going to do that and free speech is free speech, but we can combat free speech with more speech. Would you then require that at that same commencement, they have a speaker from the opposite spectrum. In other words, instead of a hater, a lover of people.

GLENDA GRACE: I require — this is all hypothetical. I mean, look —

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: We do hypotheticals.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay, so I mean look, you could decide to do that. You could decide to give out pamphlets, you could decide if you don't want to bring more attention to him, and that some people

\_

decide to standup and turn their backs to him. There's a number things that could be -

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But I'm saying that that's — I'm not talking about what individuals attending the event or not attending the event are choosing not to go or standing outside and yelling and screaming, which by the way in and of itself makes for an unsafe environment.

I'm talking about as a university, okay, the policy of which is that we're not going to cancel the event. We're not going to remove this person as a speaker. I see that you want to say something.

GLENDA GRACE: I just want to say, the one thing that we're leaving out is obviously there are issues about time, place and manner and again, I don't want to go to the esoteric for folks who aren't in law school. You are not really interested but you know, we want to talk about you know obviously the challenge at hand. You can you know, the time, place and manner with strictions that can be placed on speech. That is done normally and perhaps you would do. You might do that in this case.

2.2

As you talked about before, fire, if a situation gets to the point where there seems like there going to eminent danger, that is a different discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so we're now exploring this to the point where we've come to the conclusion that perhaps there is a scenario in which the college, the University would require a college to either cancel the speaker or cancel the event. I don't want to put words in your mouth.

GLENDA GRACE: I said, there are time, place and manner restrictions that could be placed on anything, right. That's allowed under the First Amendment, even with free speech and protected speech and then also, if they're questions about eminent danger, certainly that might happen. You might shutdown an event.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so -

of but again as a lawyer in the midst of. Because we don't want to bore people with law school phrases.

We don't want to prior you know restrain speech before it happens because that does chill first amendment and the law — first amendment rights.

Τ

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do you believe that canceling the event would actually be a violation of — is the University's policy —

GLENDA GRACE: No, no, no, actually, what we're talking about is a hypothetical.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm not talking -

GLENDA GRACE: No, no, from the University, you were giving me hypotheticals with minimal facts, with not lots of context and we could —

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Well, I'll get to the real live example of the antisemite team you invited to speak at uh, at a college, at a campus commencement on behalf of the university.

GLENDA GRACE: No, actually on behalf of the student. It was a student speaker, unless you're giving a different one.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's the same thing. If they're speaking on your premises, whether or not, I mean it's my opinion that it's the same thing. It's your premises and you own it and that's the — not you personally obviously —

GLENDA GRACE: The public actually owns it because we are public entity. And so because of that

Τ

)

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: This is one of the problems with Zoom Mr. Chairman is that when we can't see each other and that's why we should actually talk more and greater about whether or not we should not be allowing agencies to speak on Zoom. And I think that becomes a problem when we have agencies that are not sitting across from each other and there's no reason why the University couldn't be here in person today. And I agree with my colleague who mentioned that before.

But back to the topic at hand Madam Chancellor.

GLENDA GRACE: Actually, I'm a Vice Chancellor, I'm not a Chancellor.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I know but I dropped the Vice just like we drop Vice when we talk about the uh and deputies, when we talk about Deputy Mayor's.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay, okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, it's just an old tradition.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: We call Deputy Mayor's Mayor. It's the same way, right. No, not really. Okay, alright. The expert has corrected me.

Uhm, let's — look, I think we've gotten to the point where uhm I think we've agreed that there is a way. I know you don't own the campus. I know it doesn't belong to you. I know you're not the president and stockholder, Chief Executive, etc., but it belongs to the people and the people are represented in this by the government officials who appointed the governing body, who then in turn, appointed a Chancellor, who then in turn hired you. So, we're in the same place. Yes, I know it's not yours and you don't get the profit from it. I didn't land here yesterday but let's talk about what it means to control a public place.

For example, we are here in a Committee room and the Sergeants at Arms are able to control who can and can't come up here for safety purposes. Uhm, the idea that it's free speech and anybody can come and say whatever they want. That's not true because when the witnesses are going to testify later, they're going to have a clock on them of about two minutes. And if they go to two minutes and five seconds, Mr. Chairman is going to pull the plug on them.

So, there is a restraint on speech and - GLENDA GRACE: I said the time, place -

different ways that that manifests. Whether or not it's the examples that I gave in recovery, in libel and slander or whether it's criminal cases regarding yelling fire in a private movie theater or whether it's dozens of other ways that the Supreme Court has over the years restricted or — not restricted, enabled government to regulate the method and the manner in which people will speak and whether or not as we colloquially call it, it is truly free.

Okay, so, whethe Chairman mentioned the environment. It was in one of his first questions to you Madam Vice Chancellor. I know you're not the Chancellor by the way, I have met him. The Chairman mentioned the environment that allows students to the swastika. And so the question I have is, and again, I'm not asking you a specific case but is it that — do you believe, does the University believe that it ought to be the policy? That if a student draws a hate symbol on public property, in a university, that student ought to no longer have a place in that universe.

GLENDA GRACE: I think that they certainly need to be disciplined.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

GLENDA GRACE: The question is what the — just like every other situation, there are certainly instances where that person could be expelled, suspended or even expelled.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But there's a difference between suspension and expulsion and there's a difference between not suspension or expulsion and whatever other discipline, going to a class or having I think the word dialogue was used several hundred times today and you know, we talk about dialogue all the time. But I don't know and I said this on the Council floor just a few weeks ago, when a swastika's drawn and we say, well if only these people were educated, not to draw a swastika. If they needed education about not drawing a swastika, then they would have drawn Mickey Mouse.

They know what they're doing when they draw a swastika. They know what they are doing when they draw a hateful image or a thing on public property. They know they are doing something and what they're actually doing is they are responding to the environment in which they know they'll get away with it. They're doing it because they won't get caught.

Z 1

O 1

Most criminal acts, most unsocially acceptable acts, take place in the dark where people do not believe they're going to either get caught or if they get caught, they believe there will be no consequences. That's why bank robbers wear masks. That's why the holdup guy wears a mask. That's why cars are broken into more likely at night than during the day. People want to hide their criminal and antisocial and unacceptable behavior.

So, when somebody is drawing a swastika, they know they're not allowed to do that and the question is, whether or not there's still room for them on the CUNY campus and I'm trying to get that answer and I think that the answer that you just gave was, depending on the circumstances but also yes is an option.

GLENDA GRACE: I'm sorry, yes, is an option. That was a very long question.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yes, so the question is that if somebody draws a swastika on the CUNY campus, expulsion is not automatic.

GLENDA GRACE: Because there's disciplinary processes in play that we have to have.

2.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, and then after the disciplinary process is in play, the process is to determine whether or not it occurred.

GLENDA GRACE: And then also, to determine that person might be having a mental health issue. The person — also, the person might be hateful and this might be something they've done three times or done in another school.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, if they did it only once — Ma'am, so if they did it only once, it's okay for them to come back?

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely, absolutely not. I talked about there being a disciplinary process that every student has to go through.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: No, I understand that but a disciplinary process is, as us lawyers would say, you know it's the effect of a trial right? The idea is to -

GLENDA GRACE: Yes and it's set forward in the bylaw.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right but it's to first establish that it occurred. I'm taking for granted that the case that I'm talking about, it is established that it occurred. You mentioned you

$\sim$	
/	

\_

would go back and look at video. So, I'm talking about in a case where 't's already established that the incident occurred, we no longer are talking about there's a question of whether it occurred. Now the question is what happens next?

So, now we've established possibly if they did it three times, there's no more room for them -

GLENDA GRACE: No, no, no, no. I gave you different specific. So, no. So, I'm sorry for interrupting you.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so my question is, once it is established that a person drew hate speech of any kind on the public property of a community campus, is expulsion automatic?

GLENDA GRACE: There's no automatic expulsion for any action because there is a procedure that you go through. And that's the plan, so there's no is there a list of five things that if you do this you're automatically let go from CUNY. No there isn't.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: So, maybe I'm not using automatic the right way. Uhm, let me ask it this way. If somebody sets fire to Brooklyn Colleges main campus and burnt it to the ground, would they be invited back next year?

GLENDA GRACE: Uh, actually I think there would be a criminal case. Because what we would also do -

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right, but let's assume — let's take for granted that in New York nobody gets punished for crimes anymore, so they'll be free and let's say that the college which doesn't have a beyond a reasonable doubt standard in its cases but it would simply use clear and convincing I believe. It is proven. You know you have the video of the guy taking the match and throwing it with the kerosene and it burns the building down to the ground. Would that person be invited back next year in that replaced that campus?

GLENDA GRACE: This is a very funny scenario because I know I'm not in person, so I don't see the person but I think at this point we've moved down a rabbit hole.

right Madam Vice Chancellor but the reason is because

— I used the Vice that time. I'm trying to get to

the place of what is the most unacceptable thing that
someone can do that would get them, you can't come to
a CUNY anymore?

GLENDA GRACE: Here's I guess, the challenge is,

2.2

2.3

if someone was committed — if someone committed a homicide, there would still be a process for someone who committed a homicide on CUNY's campus because that's what the rules say. That's what the procedures are there for and the challenge is, that if you don't follow the procedures, and that's part of what we're here talking about the fact that there are instances where we don't follow our procedures and that's wrong, right. And we're trying to not do that but if you allow, there are not going to be procedures, there will be issues and that's — and so, I just — I don't —

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, let me ask it a different way. I recognize there are process and procedures and we're going to keep going in circles if I keep on that road. So, let me go it a different way. Uhm, is there anything preventing the University from establishing a process and a procedure that says that if you are found to have committed these list of 11 things or 8 things or two things. For example, if you kill somebody on campus and you are found to have done that by clear and

2.

convincing evidence at a disciplinary hearing, the punishment is expulsion.

Is there any reason that you can't say what the punishment is in your policies for a particular violation? Like, in other words —

GLENDA GRACE: [INAUDIBLE 2:28:53]-

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Let me clarify ma'am.

Have a rule that says, on our campuses you may not murder and if after a hearing you are found to have actually committed a murder by clear and convincing evidence which is the standard of your hearings, then and only then in such case, expulsion is mandatory.

GLENDA GRACE: Is that a possibility?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm saying, can you establish such a rule. Can your university have such a rule?

GLENDA GRACE: I think that would depend on whether the trustees actually voted on it.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so we need the trustees to come to this hearing so that we can -

GLENDA GRACE: No, I mean, you know just to be clear, we were clear that the policies adopted by the trustees and you also know because again, there are a lot of lawyers in this Zoom room or in the

2.2

2.3

conversation. You also know that for all kinds of crimes, there are different, there are a range of punishments. Just to be clear, we don't want people who commit crimes running rampant all over our campuses but we also know that people actually, people change over time. And I'm not using the example that you're using; we know a number of people have started in one direction and moved in another direction and one of the great things about CUNY is that we are open to people who are ready to change and to change their life and improve themselves.

Now, that's -

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, alright. Uhm, let me ask this, we've already established through the Minority Whip's question that there's only one country in the entire world that has been subject to resolutions and actions on CUNY campuses and one country —

GLENDA GRACE: That I'm aware of.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: That you're aware of right, yes fair enough. And by the way, I take for a matter of I guess, you know I'm not a judge but for judicial notice, if you will that the fact that you were aware of only one is good enough evidence for me

that there probably is only one because I'm assuming that you know most of what goes on your — okay, I assume it.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay, CUNY's a big place.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yes, CUNY is a big place but it's one country to the exclusion of all others and she listed a group and an exhaustive group, including China, Russia, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Venezuela, North Korea, uhm, and there's one country that has had repeated actions by not just student groups on the campuses but also the employees of the university on the campuses.

Let me ask this question, why do you suppose that is? Why do you suppose only one country has been subject to these resolutions and actions and not any others?

GLENDA GRACE: Uhm, because some people are antisemitic for some reason. For some people and other people feel like there's a political, historically, they don't have a historical context or they don't study their history.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so do you — and I think that this was asked earlier, whether or not — and this becomes problematic when we're talking to a

2.2

2.3

person who represents an institution because you know, the word is do you believe? And it's do you believe? Do you believe? And honestly, you're a very nice person, I like you very much but who cares what you believe right. Okay, exactly, it's about what the University believes and I don't know if they are in a position to say what the university believes, maybe you are, maybe you're not but it was asked in many different ways and many different formats, whether or not the University considers antizionism to be antisemitism. And I'm not sure what the answer to that is as we still here.

GLENDA GRACE: The answer was, we follow and make a determination about discrimination and harassment based upon our policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay and I know that was — I know that English and I'm not been facetious, I really don't understand what that means.

I will just — I'm going to just say this. When I started off this hearing — I'm going to wrap up Mr. Chairman and turn it back and I'm very, very grateful to the Chair for holding this hearing, as I said at the beginning but also for his indulgence on a topic that is incredibly important to the members of this

2.

2.2

Council who are here and hopefully to other members who are not but I am so grateful to my colleagues who are part of this today.

You know, I started off with words that weren't mine and you know it was a very different time when I wasn't on this earth when he served and when he was removed from this planet but I also want to say, uh, the antisemite rejoice is, at any opportunity to vent his malice. The times have made it unpopular in the West to proclaim openly a hatred of the Jews.

By the way, that was true in 1967, that's no longer true today in 2002, in 2022. This being the case, the antisemite must constantly seek new forms and forums for his poison. How he must revel in the new masquerade. He does not hate the Jews; he is just antizionist. That is the essence of the camouflage that we are seeing and that we may even hear from later today. I don't know which witnesses are here to testify but that the speech that we're talking about is not hate speech because it's political speech.

That they're not antisemi's and therefore in violation of a college policy because antisemitism is hate and that would violate the college policy but

2.2

2.3

that.

they're just antizionist and to be antizionist is a

political form of expression protected by the First

Amendment and thus it wouldn't be subject to the

university discipline and I think that's what my

questions were designed to get at and I'm not sure if

they did or not but I get it in my head and I think

you do to and I understand the constraints under

which you are able to testify as to the university

position versus your own personal opinions and I get

But what I would say is that there has to be a better way to look at discipline and to protect these college campuses and that's what we're here talking about today. It can't be the case, as I said in my opening statement that the — and as Mr. Chairman said and as the Minority Whip said, that and as others will probably say, that to be Jewish in New York is no longer safe. It can't be the case and particularly it can't be the case on what is supposed to be one of the safest places. The places of education and the places where young minds are formed, it can't be the case and what we are seeing is that not just is it the case amongst student to student rhetoric and violence and violent words and

2.2

2.3

hate speech, but it is also amongst the employees of the university system as represented by the PSC, the Professional Staff Congress. Their own positions is that they are an antisemitic organization and there are real questions that I think as we end this hearing later today are still going to remain about what it is that the university is doing. It's not dialogue. It's not more meetings and I'm glad that Chancellor Matos went to Israel and it's beautiful and I heard him speak about it a few weeks ago at ABNY and it's great and I'm glad he did but that's not the answer. That he is good with Israel and knows Israel understands it is not the answer.

The question is whether or not ultimately, the university system that the taxpayers or this city and state pay for is prepared to deal with hate on its campuses and as we sit here today, I don't think it is.

And with that, Madam Vice Chancellor and other Madam Vice Chancellor, Madam President, I'm very grateful for your time and I turn this back over to Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member Yeger. Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. I do think we're all supportive of CUNY but I have to say in listening to this discussion today, I don't think and I don't want to say that this is the only way to approach it but I just don't think there's enough central training, discussion, oversight on this issue. And there's no question and you know this better than I that antisemitism is way up. In fact it's up more than any other hates in the City of New York. We have Asian hate, we have LGBTQ, we got racism, we got everything here and now of course, we got the supreme court.

So, what we are concerned about is exactly specifically, what are the programs and they have to be funded, that CUNY is doing? So, just to start with because we talked to, I don't even want to mention Columbia, NYU, many of the campuses, JCR. So, a lot of time trying to talk to people before this hearing to see what works and what doesn't work and one of the issues is, my understanding is, there's obviously direct student support staff, administrative staff who approve protests, maybe graduation speakers and they participate as they should in the diversity and inclusion work.

2.

DENISE MAYBANK: Yes.

So, one of my questions to start with is, I don't know how many people are on the staff but how are they even trained to know content, context, free speech or not? That is a specific group of people and I'm just wondering if you train them.

DENISE MAYBANK: So, many of the campuses do engage the Student Affairs staff in DEI training. I would have to inventory that and tell you this one does it, this one doesn't do it.

In order to be responsive to that, is not something I could offer you today.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, I understand.

DENISE MAYBANK: And I'm willing to do that if that is what you would like. What I want to do is speak to what I believe I'm hearing as your concern. And that is, that we make certain the staff who are interacting with students have an understanding of the broader issues. Am I missing that or is that —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, you're not missing it. I guess my concern, you know I don't want to — we have a very diverse group of universities in the City of New York.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But so you know, both NYU and Lynn Brown is submitting testimony and Columbia do it centrally. Now, they're big too. God knows NYU is big.

So, they're doing it centrally and I worry a little bit - I teach at Hunter, so I know a little bit of what goes on there but I do think that you're leaving it up to every campus and I have to say, I think that's a mistake. I think you have to have much more central oversight because you know, God knows the Deans, the Presidents have many issues on their table. But this is important and obviously it could all kinds of hate but antisemitism is so much on the rise. So, what I'm saying to you is, if you go to Columbia as an example, and I know that may not be what you want to look to but they are now funding these intergroup support. Little Grassroots initiatives, people are able to get funding, you talked about that earlier. They are doing antibias seminar for all first year students. They are doing leadership of their student government representatives. They are doing a wonderful expansion between Barnard, Columbia, and the Hillel's and the Office of Multi-Cultural Affairs and I assume

\_

they are working with some of the other universities in the area.

And of course they're educating their leaders and they have major consequences for hate speech. So, that's on a piece of paper. I can go through all the specifics, you can to. I don't see that from CUNY. I don't see one, two, three, four, five and then I look at Lynn Brown, I know Lynn very well. She's got one, two, three, four, five, six, seven very, very specifics as to what they're doing on this topic. It's not theoretical and at the end, it's consequences very clearly and they're spelled out.

So, and I understand you have a very diverse campus, it's more diverse than either one of these two institutions. I know them very well, but I don't hear that from you and I don't see anything in writing that says, this is what the deal is. So, maybe you could respond to that.

DENISE MAYBANK: I'm glad to do so Councilperson
Brewer and I hope you will look at my portion of the
testimony, because outlined there and in bold with
italics, are some of the things that we are
addressing at this point.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As I indicated, this is an effort we are 2 3 embarking on. There's no question about that. Have 4 we got it down and is it exact? No, but we are 5 committed to moving forward. We're committed to moving forward with our Interfaith Council, with our 6 7 Community Advisory Board associated with that. By appointing the university director of student into 8 9 cultural engagement and dialogue. Addressing that 10 and it is one of the things that I talked about in 11 relation to that, that I want to come back to and 12 that's the fellowship effort to bring people together 13 in common experience. To use the resources of our 14 city. The Jewish Museum. The Museum of Jewish 15 history. The Schomburg collection, El Barrio, the 16 Museum El Barrio.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know them all.

DENISE MAYBANK: The resources that are a part of our city to create common experience for a clearer understanding across different —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And why hasn't this happened up till now?

DENISE MAYBANK: Look, I've been here 21-months okay, so I'm going to do what I can to move it forward. I can't tell you why it hasn't happened

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

till now but I believe that there are efforts that 2 3 have been underway on the campuses in different ways. 4 We are trying to come up with a centralized approach that still honors the autonomy of our campuses to do what's relevant in the context of their climate. 6 7 climate at Brooklyn will not necessarily be the climate at Borough of Manhattan. And so, how do we 8 make certain that we're embracing those experiences well with a standard of expectation just as you 10 described. 11

So, that is what we're about. That is where we're headed and I'm glad to hear more from my colleagues at Columbia and other institutions across the city who have it down. We're glad to hear that but our effort has to be one that honors the identity of 25 different campuses in different ways, with the expectation that the values of CUNY are clear across the —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so St. Francis you have, God help us antisemitic incident tomorrow or next week. How would you handle it differently than it has been handled in the past if at all?

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, I think, how - I mean we would follow. I would say that some of incidents

2.2

2.3

that we're hearing about today is the one's that we don't handle well, right. That we've made a mistake on right and obviously, if we were perfect, we would be doing something different. We're not perfect. How we handle it differently, I think what we're talking about is making sure that there is training in place and discussion before it's handled, right. Before it happens. That's part of the issue. The procedure, uhm, the procedure in place is the same but we want to make sure students are not fearful to report right or that students know where they're supposed to go. Know that if they don't want to go to a room, they can go on a website and make a report. Know that they could also make an anonymous report if they want to.

Our policy is really clear. You can decide to have an anonymous report and it still has to be investigated. So, for us, the difference is to make sure people understand what the process is and that we follow the process that's laid out and improve it as we learn that there needs to be improvement across the board.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and then how will you - I mean, the biggest issue as we know is the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

topic of Israel and Palestine. I know it only too well, so how are you going to try without you know have to have voices having this discussion so that we hopefully stop the antisemitism and anything that is anti-Palestine. What are you going to do to hopefully increase that kind of dialogue so it is something that people can understand.

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, one of the things - the higher that we keep talking about is not just a person right, it's a programming. But with the University Director of Student Intercultural engagement and dialogue, that person is actually very familiar with a dialogue and what right - it's not just talk right because again, people hear dialogue, they think that's it but talk leads to action, leads to change. But that person is very familiar with the DEI incubator, which the Council has supported, which leads to conversation and discussion and they're also one of the longstanding members of CARRU, which is a Center for Racial and uh, Racial Religious and Racial Understanding at Queens, where there was conversation before things happened.

Again, we talk about what happens when things get you know when they're at the end, right but what

about the beginning? People understanding what they can't do, what they shouldn't do. How they should have a conversation. How they should respect other people. How even if you don't agree with the existence of a state, that doesn't mean you generalize about people who disagree with you.

And so part of what we're doing is trying to build that or I should say expand the ecosystem that works. And that's certainly part of having this university director intercultural engagement and dialogue, and also the continuing partnership of RC and also with Hillel with some programming they are doing at some schools that we, depending on the conversation that we have internally, might expand to other schools and other training also.

appreciate this. I want to thank the Chair for having the hearing because I think, just like everything else, when there's a deadline to talk about something, more work gets done on such an important topic. I guess what I would say is yes, keep talking to other campuses to see what they're doing but this is you know, we got to get this right and people who are unfortunately they're not — people

who hate are not just on the campus but it is where we should not have hate. That's just one location where we should be able to fix it. The streets are another story. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member
Brewer. I just want to reiterate a few points that
were made and to clarify the DEI training came up and
you're going to send us inventory of the DEI
training. And you committed earlier to include
Judaism and antisemitism in the DEI training and of
course the data that we requested earlier and again
just what you're hearing is essentially while each of
the universities colleges is different centrally,
more needs to be done. I want to turn it over to
Council Member Feliz who is very familiar with CUNY.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: And especially CUNY law, class of 2017. Thank you so much Chair Dinowitz and colleagues for this very important hearing. And also thank you, I want to start the same way that Council Member colleague Brewer started. Extremely thankful for all the work that CUNY does in opening doors for families and students in every single corner of our city.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I want to thank you also for your comment 2 3 about needing the importance of more speech to encourage the diversity of ideas. So, I'm a CUNY law 4 5 grad class of 2017 but I won't talk about my experience but I will talk about the experience of 6 7 many students that I know have graduated from school, our current students. One thing that I have 8 consistently heard is that there's a very small group of students that are generally allowed. Class after 10

Not even thoroughly, not discussed at all.

So, a few super brief questions. Does CUNY agree that we are better off when we encourage thorough discussions on different sides of an issue? An idea, a different, analysis, a different perspectives of any matter.

class, to basically control discussions and not allow

any other idea to be you know thoroughly discussed.

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: And what would you say are the benefits of that?

GLENDA GRACE: Sometimes you learn something about your own point of view and sometimes you learn about other peoples point of view. And so, your actions are more based — your ideas are more flushed

J

2.2

2.3

\_ \_

out and sometimes you learn flexibility. Sometimes you learn commonality and sometimes you learn — you get clarity about certain situations.

DENISE MAYBANK: And a lack of understanding of peoples stories and their narratives and their lives and their living breeds the ability to go off in a corner and make it up on your own. And so, the extent to which we can elucidate for our community, more about the experiences of one another. I think the more we put them in place of knowing, engaging and being willing to step in and learn more.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: I agree with every single word that both of you said. So, in CUNY, is there something that is important to CUNY School of Law? Encouraging discussions of different sides of an issue?

GLENDA GRACE: As a law school, I think it's incumbent upon them to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: What steps if any has CUNY law taken to encourage thorough discussions on different sides of an issue?

GLENDA GRACE: I can't specifically say that what those steps are. I know a new Dean is coming in and we can discuss with her what steps will be taken.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: What steps has CUNY taken to encourage more speech, which as you stated earlier super important, has countless benefits, and leaves everybody better off?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't know those steps and I can't document them but as Vice Chancellor Maybank said, we were going to talk to CUNY Law School about what outreach we did to students around the incident and we can collect what steps of intake and I know there were some attempts at some dialogue but let me — I don't want to guess, so let me — we can make sure we get that to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Okay, thank you so much.

I promise my list of questions uh, were going to be brief but I hope that CUNY Law could do much more to encourage thorough discussions on different sides of an issue. That is actually a problem that I have heard student after student and also class after class and I think CUNY Law is a great institution that does really good work but could uhm, you know do much better if it actually focuses on leaving everybody better off by encouraging thorough discussions on different sides of an issue, rather than having one group you know control the dialogue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

and the narrative and not allow any other perspective, uhm, which I think is a very entitled thing to do for that group. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member Feliz, Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Thank you very much. Thank you very much, I think that was planned Chair. I think you set me up like that. going to give you a different perspective on this issue of antisemitism that I think we should seriously take into consideration at the University. We're supposed to be thinking and discussing on a high level. We're supposed to have some level of intellectual security to deal with people who think differently and have different interpretations of especially a complex, very complex issue called the so-called middle east, which is really not in the middle east. It's not east to the people in China. That's also an American thing but just to talk about things. I've been called antisemitic all my life it appears. Every time you raise some truth from your perspective, intellectually, you are antisemitic. You are antisemitic. [LOUD CROWD 2:54:09]

J

2.2

You got to hold up my time? This is what I'm talking about, can't handle any other perspective than theirs. That's the insecurity of people who lack intellectual abilities to explore the history, the facts, not what you feel, not what you believe but what are the historical facts? Then, when you get historical facts, they say, oh, you're changing history. You're a revisionist.

Every person called antisemitic is not antisemitic. They are criticizing the State of Israel. If I say the State of Israel is occupying Palestinian territory, I'm antisemitic. If I say the State of Israel went into the Gaza Strip in the name of getting terrorists murdered, little children, and innocent women and men to the tune of thousands, the whole world condemned it, we're antisemitic.

If I said Benjamin Netanyahu was a racist, anti-Palestinian person, I'm antisemitic. If I say the -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I said in my opening statement, this is not a hearing on foreign policy. What we're discussing is — what we're discu- your clock is paused, don't worry. What we're discussing

\_

is antisemitism and as it relates to peoples experiences here in New York City. So, we understand there are differences of you know whatever of opinions, fine.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I just beg to differ because you let somebody talk about the BDS and that's a foreign policy, and you allowed that to happen.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: No, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It is a foreign policy.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: It's a domestic — it's actually taken by — we're talking about actions taken by the University. I'm interested to hear your opinions on how we're addressing antisemitism.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And so, here in New York
City. That's what we're interested in hearing in
this Committee—

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I'm happy to connect you with the Chair of the International Groups Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No, antisemitism is an international issue. I've heard other speakers talk about it and they cannot talk about antisemi— if you're talking about Israel, you're talking about a foreign country and I heard the name Israel mentioned. That is a foreign country. You cannot talk about this and not talk about a foreign country. So, I'm going to continue and take it as you will. This is a city university that deals with issues of antisemitism. You cannot isolate it to CUNY's campus and swastika signs on campuses. It's deeper than that. It goes far deeper than that and others have mentioned it. I listened to the testimony. You didn't stop them and they went beyond New York City. I heard the testimony myself.

So, I think you should allow me to do the same thing. When you talk about antisemitism, we have to allow for the university to have this kind of debate without people being labeled antisemites because they disagree with the state of Israel and it's terrorism of the Palestinian people. From the Nakba, from the Balfour Declaration, for occupying all of that. When people come to New York and get on campuses and want to reflect their disagreement with that, that is not

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

antisemitism. That is political disagreement. even if you try to play that game like, Zionism is a political thing, Judaism is a religious thing. can be a Zionist and not a Judaist and you can be one and when I went to the Gaza Strip myself, I went with Rabbi's from the Jewish community who are Judaist and they disagree with the State of Israel. So, when you talk about antisemitism, we got to realize that and when we were on these campuses, years ago, speaking out against the partied in South Africa, they had a divestment movement to divest things from the CUNY campuses because they were against a partied in South That's why I support the boycott divestment Africa. movement because it is saying divest until they allow Palestinians to return to their land, divest and withdraw from occupied territories. Divest until they give Palestinians the same rights they give to Jewish-isms and Israel.

This is not a New York City, city university issue. When you take on this, you got to take on the broad scope of it but where it manifests from and that manifestation is in the middle east. So, I support the divest boycott movement. I think CUNY should allow for people to have those discussions and

\_

I also think we should get to the truth. The real history of the illegal, occupied, immoral, terrorist state of Israel and what they're doing to the Palestinian people.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member Barron. I appreciate that.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: One moment. We're not - I want to be clear. We're not having a debate.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: I'm not going to have a debate.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: This table, I just want to clarify a few things. Uhm, it is interesting to hear anyone say, anyone, anyone here about free speech because the reality is this, for this hearing, at any hearing, when people post on Yoma Shoa, Holocaust Remembrance Day, it is meant with protest. People are protesting antisemitism as if we shouldn't be allowed to talk about it. We are talking about the same thing. How can we — how can CUNY actually address the fact that Jewish students feel less safe? Because as we see now, the conversations are not happening. One point of view from one small group of lab people perhaps or maybe it's a majority are

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

allowed to control the entire conversation. That's

what's happening at CUNY and the result is, as I

mentioned in my opening statement, Jews being

attacked on the street. Almost half of hate crimes

in New York City are antisemitic and it is

impossible, it is impossible to talk about the hate

impossible, it is impossible to talk about the hate crime without talking about the fact that it is not

9 being addressed and where can we address it on this 10 Committee? We can address it in our college

11 campuses.

That's the purview of this Committee but when we're having — okay, when we're having a hearing about antisemitism and the hearing is protested, as if it shouldn't happen, there's nothing else to call it except antisemitism. When we post on our social media about the Holocaust and remembering six million Jews who died and nasty comments are made about that, there is no other word to call it than antisemitism.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Just very briefly, this is not about a debate

although the gentleman departed from the room and I

wish that he would remain but the point that I was

making during my questioning of the witnesses was

about antisemitism on campuses as you said Mr.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

antisemitism.

Chairman and the topic of the hearing is about 2 antisemitism on campuses and the comments that I 3 4 quoted for example from Dr. King relating to antizionism is a form of antisemitism, is simply code for antisemitism and then a gentleman, a member of 6 this body, showed up here to say that exactly my 7 8 point, made my point for me and I'm incredibly grateful for the gentleman who was here earlier, who is no longer in the room because he made the exact 10

Even though this hearing is not about foreign policy and we all committed when we walked in here that we were going to talk about the campuses here in the City of New York and instead the gentleman decided which foreign leader he can't stand and which part of what world he doesn't like and this, that and the other thing and not a word, not a word about the hate and the violence that's going on on the campuses of the colleges that belong to the taxpayers of this city. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

point that we're talking about. That antizionism is

That it is all about foreign policy.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Council Member Vernikov.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you Chair.

Before I continue with my questioning, I just want to

2.2

quickly disavow and condemn the comments made here a			
couple minutes ago by my colleague. Although we sit			
on the same Committee, we do not share the same views			
and $I^\prime$ m just going to say one thing. This is not a			
foreign policy debate but when Jewish students are			
being discriminated against and harassed, based on			
their support for the state of Israel, that is			
antisemitism. I'll continue with my questioning to			
the panel. Are you aware of a student group called,			
Students Justice for Palestine?			

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: She's muted. Can she be unmuted please?

GLENDA GRACE: I'm aware that there are students of that group, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Is it fair to say that many CUNY schools have an active SJP? The Students for Justine in Palestine on campuses.

GLENDA GRACE: I don't know the number of campuses that have that group.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But you're aware —

GLENDA GRACE: I know that they are across

campus. I couldn't say many, I couldn't say 5 or 10

or 12, but I know they are on campuses, yes.

Τ

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay and you're aware that these groups hold events at different campuses at different times?

GLENDA GRACE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay.

DENISE MAYBANK: They meet.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Sure, are you aware that at many of their events, they chant slogans like, "Zionists out of CUNY, death to Israel and globalize the intifada, and from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free."

GLENDA GRACE: You're telling me that. I'll accept what you're telling me.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay. Are you aware, the term intifada means, a violent uprising against the Jewish people?

GLENDA GRACE: I think that's one definition, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: When students on campus demand to globalizing intifada, do you understand what that means?

GLENDA GRACE: If you could explain that to me, that would be helpful.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: It means that the call for intifada, which is a violent uprising of the Jewish people. They're asking for that call to be globalized. To be taken everywhere, all over the world. They're asking for the annihilation of the State of Israel and for the death of the Jewish people.

Are you aware that when Students in Justice for Palestine yell, "from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free," they are using a phrase used by a designated foreign organization, terrorist organization HAMAS, which calls for the complete annihilation of the State of Israel?

GLENDA GRACE: I'm accepting what you're saying.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Are you aware that calls to globalize the intifada and death to Israel often leads to physical violence against the Jewish people?

GLENDA GRACE: Uhm, I believe - I'll accept that it has led to violence, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Is there a sensitivity training for antisemitism at CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: I don't think there's sensitivity training across the board for antisemitism or other

2.2

2.3

groups. As we talked about in our earlier testimony,
part of the work that we have to do to combat the
increase in antisemitic acts is to do more
programming. So, the programming that we talked
about, we already said that we'd be looking at the
DEI work that we do and make sure that there's
protections and information that are given about

Jewish folks and antisemitism.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you very much for that. Do you believe that sensitivity training on antisemitism would help prevent antisemitic activity on campus?

don't want to speak for Vice Chancellor Maybank but one of the things that we talked about doing is having conversations about what's going to be effective on campus. So, certainly we are looking to a number of different options that we've listed and I know that there's more to do. I know Council Member Brewer talked about what other colleges are doing and we're certainly going to consult with them about what's being done. But uhm, so we're trying to figure out the right menu to get the right result, which is to make sure that all of our students are

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 able to identify who they are, express the believes

that they have an also, able to have difficult

4 conversations with people who disagree with them.

5 Because again, as we said, this problem isn't just at

6 CUNY, it's all over the world and we have to give our

7 students tools to have those conversations after they

8 | leave campus.

DENISE MAYBANK: We can't guarantee that any of things that we would do would eliminate that possibility. We certainly can reduce the possibilities and the opportunities by increasing our engagement and the discussions between groups of students.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But in your opinion, as experts who have been working with CUNY and with CUNY students for many years, do you believe that sensitivity training would be something that would be potentially helpful with dealing with antisemitism at CUNY?

GLENDA GRACE: It depends on how it's delivered.

We have a number of trainings, some of which are incredibly effective and some of which need to be improved. So, it really depends on how it's delivered. And we determine how it's delivered based

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

2	upon	the	con

sultation that we're going through centrally. And also the feedback about some of the campus events that I gave in my testimony, so we have a sense of what works and what doesn't work. students go to and what students don't go to. Because we could design a program, you and I, could actually sit during the summer and design a program

and if no one listened to it, it would not be effective and it would be a bad use of resources.

So, our job is to find what is that - what is the best that we can do that's going to be effective that people are going to use and listen and that's going to have impact.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I look forward to sitting with you in the summer.

GLENDA GRACE: I mean look -

DENISE MAYBANK: We would like to invite you.

GLENDA GRACE: We can honestly, we can do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Great. So but would you say that if properly delivered, sensitivity training could be helpful to dealing with

2.3 antisemitism?

> DENISE MAYBANK: It might be one vehicle. not going to take care of everything. It really

won't but it may be one way to intervene in a way that offers an opportunity for those who would be responsive to that, to have new understanding and new engagement.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, if determined that it's going to be an effective way and if delivered properly, will CUNY then commit to implementing sensitivity training on antisemitism?

GLENDA GRACE: Among a menu of options, possibly. It might well be that instead of having sensitivity training, however we define it, it might make more sense for us to partner with a different organization and not develop a sensitivity training program.

So, it really depends on what the right menu and mix is.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I'll accept that.

GLENDA GRACE: But I will say, one of the reasons why we're here, one of the reasons why we dialogue information that waws given and done and the work that we've been doing this past year is because this is important. It has to — as we've said, CUNY condemned the increase in antisemitic acts last year and we've been working diligently to find what that

magic — it's not magic. What the work is that has to be done to do better and to help our students thrive.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Does CUNY include

Jewish identity in its DEI, Diverse Equity and

Inclusion programming, acknowledging the history of

discrimination, forceful uprooting and genocides, and

expressly addressing ongoing antisemitism?

GLENDA GRACE: We said we were going to audit that and see if it's included or not and then include information about the Jewish people and antisemitism. We've already said we would do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Beyond the Chancellor's statement that CUNY cannot lawfully engage in BDS activities, what concrete steps is the CUNY system taking to ensure that no entity within the CUNY system violates New York's executive order on BDS and the provisions of the human rights law that prohibit discriminatory boycotts?

GLENDA GRACE: I would say uh, one, I guess the things is is that people voting — could you repeat the question please?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Sure. Beyond the Chancellor's statement that CUNY cannot lawfully engage in BDS activities, what concrete steps is the

CUNY system taking to ensure that no entity within the CUNY system violates New York's executive order on BDS and the provisions of the human rights law that prohibit discriminatory boycotts?

GLENDA GRACE: I guess the one thing that's somewhat complicated about that is to say that a vote; there's a question of what's the difference between speech and action. And so, I think the instances that we've been given are speech and not action. And with regards to action, I'm not sure, I would have to see what, if any action were taken.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Will CUNY formally denounce the conduct advocated for by BDS supporters?

GLENDA GRACE: We've already said, we do not support BDS. We were very clear in our statement, clear in our testimony. We don't support it because we don't believe in it and we don't support because we are prohibited from participating.

So, there are two very clear indications that it's not something we support and it's not something that we could do even if we theoretically supported it and we don't.

/

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well we know that CUNY does not support BDS, the question is, will CUNY denounce BDS?

GLENDA GRACE: I'm just trying to make sure; I want to look at a statement and see whether that happened or not.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Take your time.

GLENDA GRACE: Well, I will — I'll actually just refer to the statement that was made by the University May 30,2022 where we started with saying we don't support and cannot participate. We reject you know; we reject all assertions that the university is complicit in any way with censorship and harassment. Uhm, we talk about how the resolution that talks about BDS is contrary to our university core mission to introduce students in a world that could be vastly different from their own. We run international exchange programs and other academic experiences and to encourage them to engage a range of opinions and belief. Uhm, and that's at least what we've said in statements.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay, well, just very simple question. Will CUNY denounce BDS, yes or no?

)

GLENDA GRACE: We've made statements already discussing BDS.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: The statements that

CUNY previously made stated that CUNY does not

support BDS. My question is, will CUNY denounce BDS?

GLENDA GRACE: We have not — I think we're clear

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, is that a yes or a is that a no?

on where we stand on BDS.

GLENDA GRACE: Obviously, we disagreed in the past about whether an answer we can give a yes or no question and I've stated, we've stated, we've made a number of statements about BDS.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Okay, so CUNY will not denounce BDS, correct?

GLENDA GRACE: I've said yes. We can't have a yes or no. I'm assuming you're going to draw whatever conclusion you have.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Yeah, I'm going to draw the conclusion that since you can't say that CUNY will denounce BDS -

GLENDA GRACE: Look, here's — this is the one thing right, we don't believe in it. We think it's wrong. We can't engage in it. That to me is

O 4

denouncing but if that's not denouncing to you, we disagree. We can't. We think it's wrong. We don't think it's right. The Chancellor went with 12 people because it's important to engage with Israel and to work and learn because this is a very complicated issue that they're also dealing with. If that's not enough, that to me equals denouncing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Can you openly and unequivocally commit that under this Chancellor at CUNY, CUNY will never disallow academic exchange between CUNY faculty and department and programs in Israel and study abroad programs in Israel?

Chancellor came in and wanted to do it, they could not do it because of the executive order. But realistically, we believe that there is true value in exchange. We've talked about exchange of ideas. We model exchange in going to Israel. It's incredibly important for our students. It's incredibly important for students to go before they come to us on their birthright trip. It's important. It's something that we believe brings value.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I'm so glad to hear that you find it important and that's why I'm asking

2.2

if you will unequivocally commit to never disallowing that academic exchange of ideas and programs?

GLENDA GRACE: I mean, I guess the only thing that in terms of us, we are actually, if there were, I mean we're you know just like during COVID right? We were in the midst of different programs. Students were all over the world and in the midst of COVID, we had to bring students back, right. And that wasn't our decision, that was a decision that was made by the state.

So, that's the only reason why I wouldn't make that commitment because I don't know if other entities that control us might have a different change because of different reasons that are outside of our control. We believe in the value of these programs. We're committed to these programs. We think they add to the educational, the education of all our students and they're incredibly important.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you won't commit to disallowing academic exchange between CUNY and Israel?

GLENDA GRACE: We have no intention of doing that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But you won't commit to not doing that? I'm okay, I'm done with this line of questioning. Thank you.

GLENDA GRACE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: What steps will the Chancellor take to educate the CUNY community about the real goal of the BDS movement? Which is to eliminate the State of Israel and thereby displace the largest Jewish community in the world?

GLENDA GRACE: The goal of the Chancellor is to make sure that our, I think 20,000 scholars are able to do the amazing work that they do and some of which, talks exactly about what you're talking about. Some of the scholarship relates to what you're talking about.

But what the Chancellor is going to do is he's going to — and the University right. Because the one thing that I say all the time and I think it helps me when I think about my job is, CUNY is bigger than the Administration. As much as some people don't want to believe that. I joke every day when I was on a campus that every faculty member, if I was here for a year and they were here for a year, they would be

\_

here longer than me, because that's the nature of academia.

Our job is to create a university where people are able to engage in scholarship that helps ideas, helps movements, helps people learn, help people thrive and prove the world. And what the Chancellor and the Chancellor reinvolved in doing is making sure that that happens. That's, I think the job of being a part of — being a world class higher education institution and that's what we want to continue to be able to be and get better. Not make — and just improve.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, I'm very skeptical about what the Chancellor is going to do because he couldn't even show up to this hearing.

think that I'd like to just address that, is that we basically, we had the person who is actually the Vice Chancellor of the area that manages programs. We have an individual from uh, from a college and we're engaging and will continue to engage in a conversation about how we can do better and improve because we're not perfect but we can be better and we're committed to being better.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

leadership, is CUNY leadership willing and this is my last question, is CUNY leadership willing to help the

Thank you.

community understand that support for the BDS

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV:

institute of higher learning.

movement does nothing to advance the quality of life

for the Palestinians and only serves to advance Jew

hatred in the United States and around the world?

GLENDA GRACE: So, here's I guess the — we, what we're advancing is conversation and that's what's important. And again, there's going to be somebody who — there's going to be a dialogue and that's what we're committed to doing is having it be a dialogue. We also understand a dialogue and a conversation. That is what we're committed to as a higher, an

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. I just want to go over a couple of things that I would be asking the CUNY to implement. It's not an all-encompassing list, it's not a perfect list. It's a list of ideas that have worked with activists, community activists experts, people of experience in antisemitism, so I'm just going to and I'll follow-up with an email in writing. But I'm just going to list a couple of things that we think would be important.

MAYBANK• T

GLENDA GRACE: Thank you.

Thank you.

Number one, I believe it would be important for CUNY to commit to implementing sensitivity training on antisemitism. To commit to implementing consequences for those who harass, intimidate and/or discriminate against Jewish students and professors at CUNY.

For CUNY to commit to adopting the IHRA definition of antisemitism at all CUNY's.

Unequivocally commit that under this Chancellor, CUNY will never disallow academic exchange between CUNY faculty and departments and programs in Israel and study a broad programs in Israel. Commit to having a diversity officer specifically to deal with students who are victims of antisemitism. A mechanism in place to keep a record of antisemitic incidents at every CUNY school. And we believe that CUNY Central should come up with a clear and centralized policy on antisemitism on how to respond to antisemitic incidents, what the consequences are. Set up a process for condemnation investigated from top down.

Thank you very much for your testimony today.

DENISE MAYBANK: T

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member

Vernikov. I have a few more questions and then we're

going to turn it over to the very patient panel. I'm

going to some in-person and then virtual panel and I

thank you all for your patience. Obviously, this is

something that's very personal to many of us and we

feel very much for our family, our friends, our

constituents. And you know again, it's backed up by

the data, right. It's very apparent.

I'm going to share again, one of the concerns around the language I'm hearing. I'm hearing language like; we can do this. We need to do this. I'm asking, what was done and what's the plan? So, the biggest example, not that it's the only example but CUNY Law. Where a year ago, you had people telling you that this Resolution, while part of free speech, makes people feel excluded. It makes people feel unsafe and then it was again, passed by the faculty.

So, in the past year, I understand you've made a statement essentially, Chancellor, the Chancellor made a statement disallowing it or condemning it a few times but what has been done to do the exact thing that you say needs to be done, which is to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

2324

25

foster those conversations and encourage dialogue and understanding about how you can have your political views but you have to discuss things in a way that makes people feel safe. What conversations did happen?

GLENDA GRACE: I believe we actually said that we would come back to you and give you that information.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, okay, so that was and just, I think it was over a year ago where the Resolution was first passed. For what it's worth I searched you know the internet. I found the statements but I didn't find anything about programs. You're talking about programs now in the opening statements. I didn't hear anything about programs and again, addressing that free speech with more free speech, which was your answer here. I haven't seen that online. I am looking forward to that answer. Something that I think the Chancellor could better address, which is one of the reasons I wish he was here but in 2016, there was an investigation, a report about antisemitism and the individual schools and CUNY's response, so I'm sure your familiar with it. But Chancellor Milliken appointed President Gale Mellow of LaGuardia Community College and the

.

2.2

Chancellor when he was - I think it was when he was President of Queens College at that point.

And I'm interested to know what the recommendations of that taskforce was, that was taskforce on campus climate. And so, I'm interested to know what were the recommendations of that taskforce from six years ago and how those recommendations have been implemented.

GLENDA GRACE: I can get you a specific list about that. I also can tell you that DEI incubator is one of the reasons it actually came out from that work. So, that you could look at best practices around CUNY and then spread them to different campuses but I can get you a list of how those were implemented.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Right because I don't think we ever need to reinvent the wheel if they started building the wheel back in 2016.

GLENDA GRACE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: What I don't want to see and go ahead, yes.

GLENDA GRACE: I would just say, look, I think they started on a wheel right because that's part of the issue, right. Sometimes things flow, our job is

2.2

2.3

to institutionalize and that's part of what we're doing with this new position. This newly created

4 position is to institutionalize it, not depend on the

5 work on camp- individual campuses but to make sure

6 it's part of CUNY as a whole. So, that's part of the

7 University of Student into Cultural Engagement and

Dialogue. Sorry, I talk fast sometimes.

And also, part of the DEI work that we've asked — incubator work that we've asked the Council and the Council has been kind enough to continue to support, which goes from school to school, working with creating, giving schools to foster this dialogue.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Right and what I'm — you know the importance of it is this, we hear this all the time. We hear from our city agencies, any Council Member, elected official know we have a plan for this, we have a plan and I seen in the — was it your testimony? Your testimony that you outlined a number of things that the university system is doing. And a lot of them are things like summits or an event or this exhibit that's being brought, which are important components but I think what we're — and I know you mentioned other things. I don't want to discount that at all. What I'm saying is that back

six years ago, we had this report. We had things being done and what I don't want to see happen here is to hear you say, over the summer we're going to have these affinity groups. We're going to implement this in DEI training. We're going to get the info and for what happens today, did it just get lost in the ether, like so often happens?

And this is a problem that is getting worse and we need to address it now before it becomes out of control. Before it becomes more out of control.

GLENDA GRACE: We agree. We agree.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And so, I'm looking forward to the recommendations to hearing from six years ago what those were and how they are being implemented. I know we're looking forward to a few other things I know Council Member Vernikov mentioned them but including — ah, it's a long shot. For those of you who can't see these are — who are watching online, it's KitKats because we have been here for a couple hours.

But committing to including antisemitism in your DEI training, in your implicit and your bias training, your antiracist training, of course the inventory of that. The data on the discipline, the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of reports substantiated, substantiated and unsubstantiated report. Just, all of that data disaggregated by college, by campus within 60-days I think was the ask. The same 60-days that students get to get an answer about their complaints.

Really taking a hard look at the definition of antisemitism because that will allow those conversations to - it prevents a framework for those conversations, right, that important piece, the education piece or an educational institution. But also a framework about you know around which to discuss, hey is this something that is just free speech, political discourse and we need to learn how to talk about it or is this something that is making it less safe for Jewish people. Because again, you know I'll go back to the BDS mapping project. Anyone can say, "oh it's a map, it's free speech." But the fact is you're outlining targets and blaming them for the world's problems and I have the paper here, if you would like to read it. I can send it to you. That's what's happening.

And just, you mentioned the Cleary Act before uhm, but developing the Cleary Act is not comprehensive. And in fact, I think if I read

2.2

2.3

correctly, it excludes some hate crimes that are reported to the police but developing a robust intake and reporting of not just hate crimes right. It's not just things that arise to the level of violence and vandalism but really act of bias. Because the data is so vital for us having a full grasp on what's going on in our campuses and with all due respect to Hillel International and the incredible work they're doing, AJC and ADL and JCRC. Uhm, those practices should be embedded in the CUNY system, when we shouldn't have to rely on other organizations unless it's purposeful but we shouldn't have to rely on them because of the absence of policy and data collection at CUNY.

And what I'd love to do is follow-up with the Chancellor and we can have a schedule around his schedule. I know Council Member, my colleague Council Member Yeger suggested some of the trustees of CUNY were happy to plan around their schedule but like I said, this is a problem that in my heart, I believe the Chancellor wants to address and wants to take the lead on. And so, I look very much forward to having conversations with him and like I said, we will — we're able to plan around his schedule.

We are going to move to the first panel and I highly encourage the Administration; I know you've been sitting here a long time and we really appreciate that and really answering each question to the best of your ability. Obviously, looking forward to the follow-ups but I highly encourage you to hear the stories of those people who are here to testify. Some with their own stories, some with solutions that have worked in other spaces.

GLENDA GRACE: Certainly. I'm going to take a quick break but certainly will.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, our first panel and you'll be seated over there is Adela Cojab, and forgive me if I mispronounce it, you'll just tell me if I — they put a J there instead of the standard CH. Adela Cojab, Joshua Greenberg and David Herbstman and David Brodsky.

Uhm, give us one moment because there are inperson and so we may have to find the person and we
usually do panels of three people at a time. David
Brodsky is not here. Thank you. And again, for all
the panelists who are watching on Zoom and all the
panelists who are here in person, uhm, thank you for
all of your patience. We're looking forward to your

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stories. I'm going to ask that to the best of your

3 ability, as hard as it might be because I know you

4 have a lot to say, that you keep your testimony

5 within the timeframe and what that will do, is it

6 allows us to hear from as many people as possible,

7 okay. Ms. Cojab.

ADELA COJAB: Thank you. The reason why there's a J is because my family left Syrian Lebanon to Mexico and that's where I was born. So, it's Hebrew transliterated to Spanish. Adela Cojab.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Love it.

ADELA COJAB: So, thank you everyone for being here today. Thank you for listening to my testimony. I did not go to a CUNY school. I went to NYU but on my time on campus, I experienced severe antisemitism with community experience severe antisemitism to the point that I filed a Title VI complaint against NYU for failing to protect the Jewish community from continued discrimination and harassment. I know my time is limited, so I'm going to walk you through a little bit of what happened on campus and why I filed my case.

When I first showed up, NYU as we know is a very great place for Jewish students. We have a Kosher

cafeteria. We have a campus in Tel Aviv. My sophomore and junior — my freshman and junior year, freshman and sophomore year were wonderful. My junior year, everything turned to Israel Palestine on campus to the point that it became extremely unsafe for Jewish students. It started with the mention of the word Zionism in the same sentence as Nazism and Racism by a governance council of minority students.

And when we saw that the Jewish community crafted a response, not angry but disappointed that our fellow students couldn't understand why the Jewish community was hurt by this. We ultimately did not publish the statement because we were told by mentors that if we drew attention to the problem, it would only grow. We ignored it and within three months, we had BDS resolutions on student government.

Resolutions calling to close our NYU Tel Aviv campus or at least to boycott it. We also had throughout constant meetings with the administration, pictures of Jewish students being posted on social media. We had our events protested and leading up to our Yom Ha'atzmaut celebration in the park, a Jewish student was assaulted, an Israeli flag was burnt and another

2.2

was torn to shreds and hung from trees and land posts.

Now, I've heard a lot today, especially from one Council Member about you know Zionism and Jewish identity. What I can tell you is that every Jewish student at NYU in that park, who was watching their flag burn, was afraid. I can tell you that I, as a Jewish student who was leading the Jewish community on student government was bullied. I left my position willingly because I could no longer sit on a student government where everyone hated me. I didn't take my final exams for my Arabic class in the same room as the rest of my peers. No one would partner with me for final projects.

And what I understand is that had that happened to any other minority group on a college campus, the university would have responded. In my case, it was clear, university knowledge of discrimination, continued discrimination, university knowledge continue discrimination, physical assault, battery, flag burnings, university knowledge and then the school gave an award to the group that burned it.

Had that happened to anyone else, I doubt that'd be the response.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. David?

DAVID BRODSKY: Yes, thank you. I'm a Professor of Jewish History and the Chair of the Judaic studies department at Brooklyn College. The only department of Judaic studies in CUNY. I've attended conferences and teachings by my colleagues in which they pontificate on what Judaism is and is not, without ever inquiring the opinion of those of us in the field.

Worse, they declared from the outset that only their perspective was legitimate, labeling all others complicity to genocide. I attended anyway to listen and learn, only to find them regurgitating slightly updated versions of antisemitic canards straight out of the protocols of the elders of Zion and the medieval [INAUDIBLE 3:39:42].

I obviously did not speak up because my

perspective had been declared illegitimate from the

outset. I document that in more detail in my written

testimony. I firmly believe that my colleagues have

the right to free speech to engage in antisemitism.

But they don't have the right to silence those of us

who disagree with them. My colleagues hide their

hate speech behind lofty sounding names like the

2.2

2.3

be effected.

cross CUNY Working Group against racism and colonialism and the Brooklyn College Antiracist
Coalition. It is therefore important that we call these groups out for the hate speech that they engage in. This effects not just Jewish faculty but students as well who report increasing fear of coming to campus. Jewish enrollment attendance and inperson classes is down. Jewish students have testified to the administration that the vitriol against Israel is so severe that they are afraid to express their opinions and first hand knowledge of Israel in class because they fear their grades will

One student reported having a professor without giving her a letter of recommendation unless she clarified her position on Israel first. These students deserve to have their free speech supported. As professors, my colleagues willpower in the classroom and state employees, they do not have the right to stifle student speech. This would be against the law even if the students were wrong but it is especially egregious when they have first hand knowledge of the situation and the professor scholarship is not in the field.

CUNY could do much more. They could reach out to my department as an example and give our faculty release time to offer inherent bias trying on antisemitism to faculty and students. They could reach out to — they could reach out and let students know about our courses on antisemitism. They could dedicate teaching lines to antisemitism and holocaust studies. Instead, the opposite is being done. My departments professor of antisemitism and holocaust studies was set to retire this summer and he had to cancel it because we were going to lose his line and no longer have the faculty to offer those crucial classes.

I ask you to read my written statement, which develops this in greater detail and I ask you not to be swayed by my colleagues technical argument that what they are engaging in should not be labeled antisemitism because it is antizionism instead. The protocols of the elders of Zion, use the term Zion rather than Jew in the title. But that didn't make it any less antisemitic. Over three-fours of the world Jewish population identifies as Zionist which they have long defined simply as the right of the Jewish people to homeland like every other people.

When my colleagues use classic antisemitic tropes to demonize three fourths of the world Jewish

population, simply for wanting the same rights as all the other people they engage in antisemitism. Thank

6 you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. David

Herbstman. I realized you both have the same first

name when I said David. Mr. Herbstman.

DAVID HERBSMAN: Thank you very much Council

Members and Chairman Dinowitz. Uhm, so, my name is

David Herbstman, I'm in the John Jay campus. I'm a

master student in forensic mental health counseling

and I'm now the Vice President for our Hillel. And

part of that is being very engaging with many of the

students on campus and one of the many things that

I've asked them and talked about because of my

experience, which I'll get to in a second, was the

fear of you know openly being you know, identifying

as Jewish and wearing you know like wearing kippah or

you know Star of David, you know anything like that,

they were all very, very afraid to do that.

For me, when I was - last year, so we were on hybrid and Zoom classes for some of the courses and the first course, we never met in person and our

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

professor asked us, what would we want to do as 2 3 mental health counselors? And I openly spoke about 4 the Jewish community and another student who spoke after me from I think a Muslim background, spoke up and said that he wants to work with the 6 7 deradicalization of the Hebrews and their radical ideology and the Hasid's and their radical ideology. 8 Now I was very shocked at that moment but I couldn't speak up because it was in the middle of class, you 10 11 know my reaction was very clear but you couldn't say

anything because you're in the middle of a class, it

wasn't you could interrupt.

But when it came to the professor afterwards, I spoke up about it. Not much was really done. The professor was like oh, I didn't recognize that that was a problem and you know I just glanced over and continued on with that. The other thing is, uhm, as a member, you know when you look at the student council and part of our Hillel things, we try to post for holocaust remembrance day and things relating to like the Israel Day parade and things like that and that is, they will not post it. They will not make anything about it but when it comes to the SJP club and the Palestinian having there having guests on

)

campus, like the forementioned speaker, the commencement speaker from the CUNY Law who was able to come on campus and speak literally calling for the murder of Jews, we couldn't really respond to that. She was allowed to but we can't have Hillel really, can't have other people from our groups come and speak on campus and even just to have events on campus is a whole — it's a tough situation.

So, that's a very, you know I was wearing a baseball cap for a very long time, for over a year just to cover up my [INAUDIBLE 3:44:46] because I wouldn't want to be seen as Jewish even though I'm very clearly Jewish even with that. So, it is just one of the many, many aspects of on campus where we are very uncomfortable, fearful and emotionally, physically and mentally drained from all these matters. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you all for your testimony. I know it must have been hard to share but also to go through it, to change your behavior because of your religion and beliefs. And to not be supported by the respective schools. So, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yes, absolutely. Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Have any of you ever asked for or and if so been granted an audience with the president of your campus on these topics?

ADELA COJAB: Hi, again, I did not go to a CUNY school but I went to New York School NYU and I consistently asked to meet with the president. The highest I was able to get was the Vice President of Student Affairs. I was meeting with him almost every two weeks. He sent me to Center for Student Life who sent me to Center for Student Conduct, who sent me to Public Safety, who sent me for Center for multicultural education.

I met with eight administrators across five departments consistently and after an Israeli flag was burned, a Jewish girl was assaulted and battered and NYPD stepped in and made two arrests. Uhm, I met with them again and less than a year later, same administrators sat on a Committee and gave an award to Students for Justice in Palestine who not only promoted the violent behavior, but carried out the violent behavior.

2.2

2.3

I was told consistently that I was imagining unexisting threats but then threats were backed by

action, violent action and still could not get an

audience with the president. The best we could get

was after I filed a lawsuit against NYU. The

president of NYU wrote an op-ed that didn't even

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Impressive.

address me directly.

DAVID BRODSKY: Yes, our Professor Michelle
Anderson, our President Michelle Anderson has met
with us a number of times.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: At Brooklyn.

DAVID BRODSKY: At Brooklyn College and I want to say that you know, I'm a proud member of Brooklyn College and of CUNY and I think that CUNY and Brooklyn College do wonderful things and should be supported in that.

My critique is that there's a lot more that can and needs to be done and that requires resources and that requires them to dedicate resources. You know, lines for teaching antisemitism and holocaust studies. Release time for giving antibias training to faculty members and students. Requiring antibias training for faculty and students including

- 2.

antisemitism in there, which is not done. I have regular trainings that I have to do every year, the same training every year but on antisemitism, there is nothing, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: But to be clear, you have a dialogue with President Anderson?

DAVID BRODSKY: Yes. I think she's wonderful and I very much support her but there's a lot more that could be done.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And the gentleman from John Jay?

DAVID HERBSTMAN: I have not spoken with the President.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Have you asked for an audience with the President?

DAVID HERBSTMAN: I have not. I have spoken with Public Safety and we've spoken with other professors that are on like boards and things but not actually, I've never gone to speak with the President.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Alright, thank you very much. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member and thank you all for your testimony. We're going to

\_\_

2.3

move on to the next in-person panel Shahar Sadeh from JCRC, Barry Weiner, Tzvia Waronker, and Alyza Lewin.

Tzvia, hi, we're going to start with you because you did your homework and you passed out your testimony. Yeah, so we're going to start with you and I'll say the same thing to you as I said to the previous panel. To the extent possible, very heavy subject but if you could keep to as close to three minutes to give room, to give space to everyone to share their stories, that would be appreciated. Thank you. Yeah and the silver.

TZVIA WARONKER: It's on?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yup.

TZVIA WARONKER: Okay, I can hear it. Alright,

Dear Council Members and Guests, my name is Tzvia

Waronker and I am a third year Jewish student at John

Jay College and I'm the Vice Chair of Senior Colleges

of the CUNY University Student Senate.

For most of my education, I was in a Jewish private school. On my first day in public school, I was cornered in a stairway and told not to tell others I was Jewish. Why? I asked. The person did not answer me but the message was clear. Jews weren't welcome and weren't safe there. I was so

3

4

J

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

scared that I would be bullied or beat up, so I tried to lay low for a while. It was especially terrifying to ask for religious exemptions so I had to ask the teachers at the end of the class, while there was still students in the classroom. Let's transition to my college experience.

Many Jewish students are scared to speak out publicly but will sometimes share their experiences with each other. One student told me about a professor who was teaching an extremely charged class with misinformation about Israel and Jews. reviewed the syllabus and found factually incorrect information being taught in the course. So, I tried to go through the proper channel to deal with my complaint but my emails kept getting forwarded to someone else. A year after being forwarded and getting no response, I wrote an article and got it published. The article was republished in many Jewish spaces and the response from the reader were very sad but very like similar. They were saying, "oh, I had that experience too or I'm not surprised that this happened in CUNY."

The responses refueled my drive to be heard, not from me but for all the people who weren't heard, so

2.2

\_ -

I brought it up during a live college town hall and only then did I get a response. I was put in direct contact with the diversity and compliance office and my claim was found unsubstantiated.

Later on, I found out from another student, the same professor said that Jews are White power. As of today, this professor is still teaching politics in the Middle East Course, maybe even right now, she teaches in the summer.

In my student advocacy, I had one on one networking meetings. In one of those meetings, it came up that I was Jewish and the graduate student then began to unload all their antisemitic beliefs on me. He told me that all Jews are rich, control the world, Israelis kill babies, Jewish women are greedy and are clingy. Then he began to question my hair and a bunch of other stuff too but I asked him, "how do you know this is true?" And he said because he read it in books and articles and I said, I'm a Jewish person and this isn't true. But his books and articles that he read; those are true.

He wasn't the only one I heard say those things.

Snickers in the hallway. Oven Holocaust jokes in the elevator to class, groans of annoyance over

J

\_\_\_

discussing the Holocaust in class. Antisemitic statements made by the Student Council multiple times, friends being called baby killers, people ignoring me, a CUNY graduate co-worker refusing to serve Israelis at a workplace outside of school and would say awful things about them.

Also, we had a petition going on, a statement being made that said explicitly to boycott Israeli academia and culture that would prohibit our theater being used by Israeli culture. That was a petition that was going around.

Now even going back to campus, the anxiety that I feel — okay, the anxiety that I feel is so real, like I have had moments where I had breakdowns in the gym locker room, straight up just crying in the library. I can't even emotionally explain all the feelings that there are and that's literally just the beginning of my experiences and thank you for taking the time to listen.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Alyza.

ALYZA LEWIN: There we go. Thank you very much.

My name is Alyza Lewin, I am President of the Louis

D. Brandeis Center for Human Rights Under Law. A

non-profit that uses legal initiatives to combat

antisemitism with a particular focus on university campuses. Our mission is to advance the civil and human rights of the Jewish people and promote justice for all.

On campuses today, Jewish students are being marginalized and excluded particularly from progressive spaces. University administrators misunderstand what they're witnessing. The mistakenly think that what is taking place is a political debate, when it's not. The reason that antisemitism is increasing and not decreasing on these campuses is because university administrators are misdiagnosing the problem.

They are treating it as a speech issue rather than recognizing the marginalization harassment and discrimination that is actually taking place. What's happening today on campuses and beyond is part of an organized well-funded strategy to marginalize proIsrael Zionists and deny them a place in society.

So, there are student groups for example that equate Zionism with ethnic cleansing, destruction, mass expulsion, apartheid and death. In order to label those Jews who support Israel as pariahs. But the problem is that Judaism is not only a religion.

2.2

2.3

Jews also share this sense of Jewish peoplehood with a common ancestry and ethnicity. For centuries, Jews have not only prayed facing Jerusalem, they've prayed for the Jewish return to Jerusalem. I just attended this week, two weddings, two Jewish weddings and there's a tradition at the wedding to actually break a class in commemoration of the destruction of the Jewish temple. So, there's this tradition that even at the moment of the Jews of greatest joy, they remember that destruction of the Jewish temple in Jerusalem.

The yearning for Zion and the recognition of
Israel is the historic ancestral Jewish homeland is
the glue that's kept Jews connected from millennia
and that is the part of Jewish identity that's now
under attack. Of course not all Jews are Zionists
but for most Jews, this identification with Israel is
an expression of their Jewish, ethnic and ancestral
identity. It's as integral to their Jewish identity
as observing the Jewish Sabbath and maintaining a
kosher diet.

Today, Jewish students are being told that they have to shed this part of their Jewish identity in

2.2

order to be accepted in student clubs or even to feel welcome on campus or comfortable in their classroom.

The climate on campus is hostile to this part of Jewish identity and students feel compelled to shed it. Pressuring a Jewish student to shed the Zionist part of their Jewish identity is no different than demanding that a student stop observing the Jewish Sabbath or stop keeping a kosher diet in order to gain admission. It comparable to demanding that a catholic student disavow the Vatican or a Muslim student shed his or her connection to Mecca. No community other than the Jews is being charged such a high price for admission and excluding an individual in this manner on the basis of their identity is discrimination and has to be recognized as such.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and before I forget, I do want to recognize that Ms. Grace and Dr. Maybank still are on the Zoom. I know you can't see it on the screens up here and perhaps those watching at home cannot but I want to recognize that the two — those two from CUNY are here on the call and still listening. Mr. Wiener.

BARRY WIENER: Thank you for permitting me to speak to the Council. I am calling upon the New York

City Council to denounce the antisemitic conspiracy theories that have now become official policy within CUNY's music departments, and their dissemination both on CUNY websites and in University publications.

In 2019, the university's music departments instituted a new antiracist policy based on the ideas of Philip A. Ewell, a professor of music theory at Hunter College and the Graduate Center. Ewell and his many supporters demonized the early twentieth-century Viennese-Jewish music theorist Heinrich Schenker, as well as the few students of Schenker who managed to flee to America as refugees from Nazi-occupied Europe in the late 1930's.

Ewell has stated that Schenker and his students were admirers of Adolf Hitler and that they were "Aryan racial supremacists."

Ewell maintains that Schenker's love of the music of Bach and Beethoven is conclusive proof of the white supremacist nature of his musical ideas.

Professor Ewell has also asserted that Schenker's refugee students deceptively infiltrated the American music world with his Nazi-influenced musical theories. Schenker's method consists of drawing

graphs to analyze harmony and counterpoint in European music.

According to Ewell, these refugee musicians created the problem of racism in American academic music studies, a problem that apparently did not exist before.

Ewell has attempted to deflect any criticism of his views by presenting lectures at American universities about the history of antisemitism and music. In these lectures Ewell has asserted that Schenker was a Jewish antisemite and that Ewell's critics notably Professor Timothy L. Jackson are Jewish antisemites as well. Timothy Jackson who's also speaking, who has criticized Ewell publicly.

Soon after the controversy began, a purge of the musical curriculum was carried out at CUNY. Courses about Schenker's ideas had previously been central to the music theory curriculum but were now eliminated due to his alleged "Aryan supremacist" views.

I hope that the New York City Council will take notice of Ewell's outrageous historical fabrications, in which he employs strategies that are all too familiar in the history of antisemitism. At the very least, the official endorsement of these toxic views

3

8

10

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by CUNY must be challenged. CUNY and other

universities should be pressured by the threat of the

4 government as well as private donors will without

5 funding. This scandal should be publicized

6 nationally in the same way as other similar scandals

7 have been publicized in recent years, in order to

deliver a message that such behavior is unacceptable

9 and that it will not be tolerated. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Shahar.

SHAHAR SADEH: It's on now. Hi, I'm Dr. Shahar

Sadeh. I'm Director of the Strategic Affairs at the

13 Jewish Community Relations Council of New York and

14 for the last seven years, I've been working closely

15 with New York City campus faculty and administration,

16 trying to enhance constructive discussions about

17 | Israel and the Israeli Palestinian conflict on

18 campuses across the city and thank you for holding

19 | this hearing.

While antisemitism can come in many forms, I want to emphasize the most prevalent ones in the context of the campus. Often, they are harder to recognize and confront. It can be easier to ignore. I'm speaking about the delegitimization of Israel and antizionism. Neither of these concepts are always

2.2

2.3

antisemitic but there are many cases where in practice, they do cross that line and often legitimate and encourage conflict discussion that include criticism of Israel turn into assaults of on the Jewish people and on the right to self-determination. I'll start by saying that the JCRC has long held a close relationship with CUNY and over the years, we sought to strengthen this relationship by offering ways for faculty and administrators to acquire more knowledge and experiences related to the Israeli Palestinian conflict and new tools to discuss it effectively within campus climate where there is much hostility towards pro-Israel perspectives.

We have supported many academic programs and events in CUNY, over 50-events over the years, featuring Israeli and Palestinian speakers and led ten scholars as bridge builders study through to Israel and the west bank for faculty, diversity, offer student affair professionals and college presidents. We sincerely acknowledge our partners at CUNY Central and within many colleges for being attentive to the Jewish communities concerns, and for exploring ways to improve campus climate. We commend the Chancellor for setting its own free inquiry and

2.2

for embracing complexity when leading the JCRC scholars as bridge builders study in Israel and the West Bank. The Chancellor and the 12-Presidents engage in challenging conversations and were exposed to complex reality and various often opposing narrative by Israeli and Palestinians we've met.

By participating in the tour, they've exemplified what deep engagement can look like. These inquiries are crucial for intellectual and let alone for leaders of large academic institution in which Jewish and non-Jewish students passionately debate these realities and often seek to reenact the conflict on their campuses. Regrettably, many CUNY faculty and leaders who engage with Israel are vilified by member of their own campus community who practice the concept of anti-normalization and accuse those who engage with Israel to be normalizing Israel policies towards Palestinian.

Now, while academic freedom and freedom of expression are sacred, the notion of antinormalization, which is relevant to the way Israeli's and Palestinian engage or refuses to engage with one another is 100 percent not relevant to the relationship between different groups on campus. The

2.2

manifestation of anti-normalization on campus level result in ostracizing and demonizing Jewish students. Faculty and groups who identify as Zionist. People who support Israel right to exist as a national homeland for the Jewish people.

This is where very easily, one can see the slippery slope between political, legitimate, intellectual debate to harassment and the silencing effect that anti-normalization creates. In some cases, when slogans such Zionists out of CUNY are chanted, yes, while being protected by free speech. They create pain, fear, sadness and anger among parts of the CUNY community. It is because of instances like this that there exists the perception, an ongoing perception that CUNY is unsafe for these individuals.

This is particularly challenging perception to break and therefore, we encourage CUNY official to create systems to address this issue. Reactions to such instances should not be focused solely on legal affairs but more importantly on campus climate. I want to emphasize that many young Jewish faculty are also silent by the state of affairs, especially since the CUNY PSC resolution was passed. These Jewish

academics and there are many of them, are self-
censoring. They will not participate in university
programming around Israel and will think twice about
revealing their Jewishness to their colleagues and
students; and this is of course a problem. I will
end by encouraging my colleagues at CUNY to continue
their journey of inquiry and bridge building and my
strongest recommendation is to create more avenues to
support their staff around the issue of Jewish and
the Zionism and the Israel Palestinian conflict. We
know that Students Affairs and DEI Administrators are
not always as equipped as they could be to handle
those issues and need to be provided with relevant
training and tools to successfully engage and support
all their students.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

SHAHAR SADEH: I hope, just last sentence. I hope that as part of antiracism and antibias training across CUNY, the current modern manifestation of antisemitism are included, recognized and discussed.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and thank you to the panel and I appreciate your testimony. Council Member Vernikov.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Thank you

all so much for your testimony. I especially want to

thank Tzvia. It takes a lot of guts and bravery to

come out and testify about your traumatic experiences

and I just want to say that I'm committed to you and

the rest of the students at CUNY and other

the less of the stadenes at conf and other

universities to making sure that you do feel safe and

9 you do feel included.

I just have two questions for Ms. Lewin. Ms. Lewin, can you describe the impact of BDS campaigns on Jewish Zionist students on campus?

ALYZA LEWIN: Certainly. So, the problem with the BDS campaigns, especially when they are in the form of a referendum that asks the entire university campus, all students to vote is that they create a Litmus test on campus that ends up making students choose and the idea is that you're uhm — these referendums end up being supported by almost the entire progressive community on campus. So, students are then asked to vote for or against. The for side means you're standing with all these organizations that stand for social and racial justice. If you vote against, you're then immediately viewed as one of the racist oppressors. What happens is, if you

2.3

have a Resolution that is denying the right of Jewish self-determination, the Jewish students can't vote in support of it. So, automatically, the Jews are put on the wrong side of that equation and it ends up labeling them as pariahs. That's the climate on campus that's caused by these BDS Resolutions.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. Can you discuss as JP, the groups called Students for Justice in Palestine. Are they interested in a dialogue?

ALYZA LEWIN: Yes, I heard earlier that you referenced the Students for Justice in Palestine.

So, actually they used to before COVID when they had in-person national conferences, they used to post their goals for each conference on their website.

And so, in 2018, and you can still reference these goals if you go back to the archived websites.

In 2018, they actually put up their goals for that years conference and in those goals they said that "Zionism is ethnic cleansing, destruction, mass expulsion, a partied and death." That's a quote and they also talked about how Zionism can be destroyed and Zionism can be broken down and dismantled.

And then they turned around and they said, you know we're not only going to talk theory at this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

conference but we're actually going to spend time "developing actionable, local and regional campaigns with clear targets."

If you talk about targets right, and you want to destroy and dismantle Zionism, then your targets must be pro-Israel Zionist Jews. That's not somebody that's saying, I want to dialogue with a pro-Israel Zionist Jew. That's not somebody saying I want to understand what Zionism is. That's somebody whose saying, I'm demonizing Zionism. I'm going to target those Zionists. Why? To marginalize and exclude those Zionists and actually, we've seen on campuses now, where SJP has started using a map like this, which is a map that labels all of Israel as occupied territory and the entire area is Palestine. There is no Israel here. They're not interested in having a dialogue about the policies of the current government of Israel. They're actually interested in calling for the destruction of the one and only Jewish state.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Any other questions? Thank you. Thank you panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Mr. Chairman, just one quick question for Ms. Waronker. Did I get that right?

\_

2.3

TZVIA WARONKER: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, it will be the same question I asked the previous panel. Have you tried and particularly because you serve on the USS. Have you tried to speak with the President of your campus?

TZVIA WARONKER: Yeah, so I also served on my

Council for two years. I'm actually taking a break

from school right now. Things have been like really

intense. I've spoken to the president, not one on

one but in groups, several different groups. We've

had several different sessions. Has it done much?

There's a lot of talking. You know, it's a lot of

dialogue. We want to see things go and we talked

about having a calendar with holidays. We talked

about religious things. They talked about kosher

food. We got some kosher muffins. It lasted a

little bit, which is nice, really nice.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: More to my point. My question is actually uh, is it fair to say that the President of John Jay knows what's going on there?

TZVIA WARONKER: The first time we spoke to her, she was genuinely shocked and I was shocked that she was shocked. I think they know somethings but I don't think they actually understand and even, you

\_

\_ \_

۷.5

now hearing the other members you know testifying before, I don't think actually CUNY understands what antisemitism is and how it impacts the students. I think they kind of understand. They have some idea but they don't actually understand.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: It's an incredibly power statement. Thank you. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. I actually do have one more question. Did CUNY, when they testified, they said, typically by 60 days, students receive a response about a complaint they made. I think you said in your testimony, you made a complaint and did you get your response in 60-days.

TZVIA WARONKER: No, it took over a year. It was forwarded and forwarded and forwarded. No responses, just forwarded and that's because I kept on top of it. If I didn't, it would take probably more than a year.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

TZVIA WARONKER: And that was only at a live town hall that they answered me, that's why.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. I'm glad you shared that again for emphasis. Alright, thank you to this panel. We're going to call the next panel

- 2 up, which includes Zachary Beer from the Simon
- 3 Wiesenthal Center, Michael Cohen from the Simon
- 4 Wiesenthal Center and Joshua Kramer from AJC. And we
- 5 | will start with Zachary.
- 6 ZACHARY BEER: Hello, I just want to clarify.
- 7 Like, I'm very friendly with Michael but I don't
- 8 actually work for the Simon Wiesenthal Center. So, I
- 9 just want to make that 100 clear.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: It wasn't clear. Thank
- 11 you. So, Zachary Beer from CUNY. Did I get that
- 12 right?
- 13 ZACHARY BEER: Yeah, representing himself.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, got it, got it, got
- 15 | it.
- ZACHARY BEER: So, once again, my name is Zachary
- 17 Beer, so I am a recent graduate of the City College
- 18 ∥ of New York. Receiving a bachelors and a masters in
- 19 | history, as well as a bachelors in Jewish studies.
- 20 I'm also pursuing rabbinic ordination at Yeshiva
- 21 University.
- 22 So, during my time at CCNY, I had a leadership
- 23 role in Hillel at CCNY including many positions
- 24 | including an educational coordinator, secretary and
- 25 | Vice President. In many of these roles, one of my

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

jobs was connecting with other clubs on campus to engage in joint programming. This was create an environment of mutual respect and understanding between clubs, which is a common occurrence on my campus and on many other campuses.

As I reached out, I noticed a pattern of clubs refusing to interact with Hillel. After looking into these refusals, I noticed that many of the clubs that refused to interact with us did so after working with Students for Justice in Palestine SJP. Furthermore, one student group explicitly connected their relationship to SJP as well as Hillel's official position on Zionism as a reason that they refused to interact with our club. I also noticed in my personal interactions with members of Students for Justice in Palestine, they openly did not regard Hillel as representative of the Jewish community on campus and did not a course the same respect they would give to other clubs. Instead, they had a little group such as [INAUDIBLE 4:13:37], a fringe antizionist ultra-orthodox set widely understood even in the ultra-orthodox world to be extremists who they also invited to campus as representing "real Judaism."

2.2

2.3

Additionally, they identified two Jewish identifying members of the young democratic socials of America as comprising the true Jewish community on campus. They tokenize these two individuals because they were not Zionist. You know and in terms of the reaction that was received from the administration, it's like from a generalized email promoting positive campus culture, nothing else seemed to be done about this situation. On one particular occasion somebody also drew a swastika on the door of the Hillel Office on the fourth floor of the North Academic Center. This was done as an act to intimidate and scare Jewish students on campus.

While Public Safety did install an additional camera on that floor after this event, not much else was done. After this act, I personally felt less safe on campus. In fact, until campus base learning was suspended due to COVID in March of 2020, I was constantly nervous about worse antisemitic actions occurring and I personally lessened my presence on campus to this worry. I also took the opportunity not to attend classes in person until this press past spring semester went to a single class, my final class at CUNY in person.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

MICHAEL COHEN: Thank you.

I would like to thank the City Council Committee on Higher Education for having this critical hearing. It's very important that antisemitism be given the same care and treatment as all other forms hatred. The time arising antisemitism, this hearing gives me a measure of relief that these issues are being tackled and thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and just quick clarity because we have heard from CUNY, a lot of the responses to the problems. You say we want to encourage dialogue, encourage free speech to the answer to speech, to bad speech is more free speech and that we have to facilitate those conversations. We just did clarification after the swastika was found, it was dealt with from a security perspective but from that restorative justice conversation perspective, it was not addressed at all?

ZACHARY BEER: As far as I understand and I know nothing else occurred.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Next, we have Michael Cohen from the - and he actually is from the Simon Wiesenthal Center.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And make sure to just speak into the microphone.

MICHAEL COHEN: Sure and just before I begin with my four more remarks, I just want to state for the record that on behalf of the Simon Wiesenthal Center, we are outraged that the fact that the Chancellor of CUNY has failed to appear personally at this crucial hearing. This hearing was already rescheduled once from its June 8<sup>th</sup> original time, specifically to accommodate his schedule and because fearful students under attack on his campuses, feel their plight is not being heard. And now once again, he's he is absent.

Thank you Mr. Chairman and the Committee for actually making sure today that students are being heard by the people of the State of New York. So, I just want to thank you all for that.

My name is Michael Cohen, the Eastern Director of the Simon Wiesenthal Center, a leading Jewish global human rights organization with a constituency of over 400,000 families with some 150,000 residing in the area. Chairman Dinowitz, members of the Committee and on behalf of our members, I thank you for holding this crucial oversight hearing on examining

J

antisemitism on CUNY's college campuses. This
hearing acknowledges that the serving growth of
antisemitic activity on our city university campuses,
which impacts on our students, on our Jewish students
and faculty members. They have suffered intimidation
and worse, as you've heard here today.

Just a few weeks ago, on Yom Ha'atzmaut, on
Israeli Independence Day, the Wiesenthal Center was
invited to participate at the Brooklyn College
Celebration on the West Squad. Our team of student
volunteers set up a table and worked alongside
students of all backgrounds. All enjoying a festive
time sampling Israeli style food, listening to
Israeli music and experiencing a taste of the culture
celebrated by their Jewish peers, classmates and
neighbors.

As I was driving to the event, I received a call from my team. Their voices heavy with trepidation.

Just outside the gate of the West Squad, members of the extreme antipeace students for Justice in Palestine had begun to congregate with voice amplifiers, attempting to intimate any one who wished to enter the event. And very quickly this situation escalated where the NYPD's presence was necessary.

Along with campus security to ensure access to the event and the safety of the celebrates.

As I approached the entrance, I received menacing looks and antagonist comment to myself. I made a video record and took photos of these activities, which I was able to share publicly to demonstrate what our Jewish students and others who dare celebrate the Jewish stated heritage we must contend with. The signs of these extremists and the slogans which they loudly chanted, were not ones of peace but rather ones slogans such as, "from the river to the sea, Palestine should be free." In other words, not a vision of shared peace and mutual prosperity, but the destruction of Israel and the Jewish people in their entirety.

The protestors declared that their goal was to drown out the Israeli music played as they attempted to intimate anyone trying to enter the gate. If not for the law enforcement presence there, who knows what could have happened. It is in this environment that student after student, including many who are proud to be Jews have refused to participate in today's hearings out of fear of becoming targets themselves.

2.2

Many as we heard earlier today wear baseball caps covering their Yamaka taking measures to hide their Jewish identity and not in Russia, not in Germany, not in France but right here in New York on CUNY's college campuses. This dangerous and disgusting bullying and intimidation must end and it's CUNY's responsibility to do so.

Please understand that while I represent the Wiesenthal Center here today, I myself am a proud CUNY graduate. My wife is a proud community graduate, my sister, my father, my in laws, by brother in law, my sister in law. We are a CUNY family and we care about the future success of CUNY.

But like all New Yorkers, we are also taxpayers and it is past due for CUNY to be held responsible for the safety of all students and faculty including Jews. The Wiesenthal Center has long enjoyed an incredibly productive partnership with the New York City Council in combating antisemitism and hate in all its forms and today, we sincerely appreciate your leadership in convening this hearing, considering practical steps to deal with the CUNY crisis. We of course stand ready to be of assistance to the Council in dealing with this crisis, most of all, we are

grateful to the City Council for inviting students who are testifying here today.

Let us commit to hear their voices and work together to ensure that hate has no place on CUNY's campuses. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and Joshua Kramer from AJC.

JOSHUA KRAMER: Thank you Chair Dinowitz. Thank you to the Committee for holding this important hearing on antisemitism on CUNY and New York City college campuses. My name is Josh Kramer, I'm the Director of AJC, American Jewish Committee New York region, one of 24 regions across the country and AJC also has over a dozen offices around the world. We combat antisemitism strength, advocate for a strong and secure Israel and remote human rights and democratic institutions.

I'm going to submit my written testimony for the record and because it contains points that have been repeated often here today and just speak a little bit about what is going on from an AJC perspective. I'll speak a little bit to BDS but what I really want to do is briefly, we are a proud partner of the City Council and committing antisemitism a proud partner

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

of CUNY and the CUNY system as Senior Vice Chancellor Grace mentioned. She and Chancellor Matos-Rodriguez attended the co-sponsored by AJC Hillel International and the American Council on Education Summit for University of Presidents in the spring.

We hope that this hearing is one important step of many steps that will approach solutions to the problems that are being faced on college campuses across New York City but in the meantime, while we are focusing on this issue, the students; and this is why I wanted to submit my testimony for the record and just speak off the cuff. The students that we're hearing from today and so many more that we're not hearing from today are being cheated out of a normal college education, a normal college experience. best case scenario in the culture that has been able to foment on college campuses in New York City and across the country are the students that we're hearing from today. They've been empowered by the City Council. They've been empowered by activity within Jewish organizations and Jewish spaces on their college campuses to speak up and speak out against this rising tide. And it's, the worst case scenario obviously is people feeling intimidated,

people feeling marginalized but close to worst case scenario are the hundreds, thousands of students who are hiding their identities. They are not living openly Jewish lives. They are not proud of their identities on campus and that is the goal of antisemitism in most cases to marginalize Jewish groups to such an extent if possible.

So, our official policy on BDS is that it is sinister antisemitic movement whose sole purpose is to isolate Israel diplomatically, culturally, academically and economically to undermine Israel's legitimacy. When a movement seeks to delegitimatize Israel's right to exist, singles Israel our for censure or holding no other nation to the same standard or demonizes the worlds only Jewish state, simply because it is the world's only Jewish state, than criticism of Israel has seized to be admissible. We cannot validate antisemitism under the guides of anti-Israel sentiment when the sentiment itself seeks to isolate and punish Jews.

American Jewish College, students need tangible action and policy change to ensure that violent antisemitism does not disrupt or derail their higher education. To combat antisemitism on college

J

campuses, I implore you to adopt a formal condemnation of the BDS movement and provide training to faculty and student leaders on not just traditional antisemitism but new antisemitism in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, which largely manifests as antizionism.

AJC stands behind CUNY and academia where free sought must prosper and be protected but also where antisemitic actions that undermine the safety of Jewish students under the guides of opposition to Israel's very existence must be condemned and decisively rejected.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you. Any questions for the panel?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Yes, thank you for that testimony. Mr. Kramer, I have a question for you.

Uhm, as you see the Chancellor is not here and I know you mentioned the Chancellor. I just want to ask; how do you feel about the fact that he did not show up today?

JOSHUA KRAMER: We take this issue very seriously. It's why I'm in this room and why AJC is going to be presenting on a virtual testimony as well. We wish that the Chancellor was here.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Council Member Yeger.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Very briefly, Mr. Beer, you may be familiar with this question. I asked the previous panel, just the students. At City College have you had an audience with the President of the college at this point?

Have you requested one? And if so, have you had one?

ZACHARY BEER: So, I haven't personally but the leadership of the Hillel Club, so like the President

as well as the Executive Director Ilya Bratman, so
the Student Presidents who you know have changed
their roles over the years and the Executive Director
Ilya Bratman have met with President Boudreau on
multiple occasions. I believe he is aware of what is
going on. I have not met with him personally,
however.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so you believe he's aware but do you know and you haven't met personally, do you know if any interaction or reaction has come out of his office on this topic at all?

Or in essence, have you been just relegated down to the lower rankings of people in the college or have you — has this been escalated to the Presidents Office?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ZACHARY BEER: Uhm, I mean, I think it has been 2 3 brough to the presidents office. He has sent out you 4 know emails or other announcements to the college when incidents have occurred, such as you know an incident where the student government shared a one-6 7 sided post about Israel during the recent conflict 8 and after a very charged speaker Meko Pellod(SP?) who is the son of an Israeli general who is a prominent antizionist radical at this point. And there was a 10 11 lot of harsh language going back and forth and again,

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you Mr. Beer and just one comment, Mr. Kramer, it's good to see you. Just one for the record to say in response to the last point that you made, a Resolution came out of this body six years ago, sponsored by the predecessor members of the three Council Members you see sitting here. Council Member Cohen from the Bronx, Council Member Deutsch from Brooklyn and my own predecessor Councilman Greenfield, condemning BDS and this Council was a leader on that and I'm incredibly proud of those three members who did that.

a lot of these emails are more - there should be you

know free speech on campus, less you know people

shouldn't be anti-Israel or antisemitic.

)

So, we'll continue speaking up on antisemitism and BDS is that. So, thank you.

ZACHARY BEER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I think it was sponsored by a super majority of the Council. Just to highlight one of the points, it sounds like, I didn't hear any of you say the other students shouldn't be sharing but that you've been stifled, whether it was at an event and try to silenced and drowned out or posts not being shared from a different perspective. Is that, is that pretty fair to say? That your speech was trying to be drowned out? Okay. I just wanted to clarify the point. Yes.

ZACHARY BEER: I just wanted to make a comment to Councilman Yeger. We're already in the BDS
Resolution, you know which just so — just to say thank you to everybody here, realize that you know this Council needs to be recognized as how incredible they have been on such issues. That exact resolution back then, the Wiesenthal Center had worked with Council Member Andrew Cohen and the other members involved in that Resolution, took that language that the New York City Council led with and starting passing it and having other municipalities pass it

J

throughout other municipalities throughout the country. Just in New Jersey alone, I think it was 35 different municipalities have passed the exact language of the New York City Council's Resolution on BDS. So, just, you know New York City leads and leads this Council, so just really you should know how much your leadership means and thank you very much for all that you do.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And just because the Resolution came up, I want to read one part of it that we're hearing themes about throughout the hearing, about free speech. This is whereas the university base BDS efforts violate the core goals of the university in global cultural development, which thrive on free and open exchange and debate. Free and open exchange and debate.

And based on the last three panels, it just sounds like there is an incredibly coordinated effort to stifle that free speech, which I know that CUNY says they value. And I think again, what we're looking for is the evidence of that value right. What are the plans and what have you done so far to foster that free speech and that exchange of ideas?

2.2

2.3

I thank the panel for your testimony. The next in-person panel is Susan Tuchman, Azriel Genack,

Gerard Filitti and Barbara Kitei. And I — and I — and I just want to note again that CUNY, Dr. Grace is still on. You can't see but she's still on here listening to the testimony, which I want to highlight

We will start with uh, okay, we'll start with Susan Tuchman from Zionist Organization of America. Thank you. Is the microphone on?

that we, this Committee appreciates.

SUSAN TUCHMAN: Okay, there we go. I'm Susan

Tuchman. I am the Director of the Center for Law and

Justice at the Zionist Organization of America. The

ZOA is the oldest pro-Israel organization in the U.S.

and we have played a leading role in fighting campus

antisemitism and making sure that Jewish students get

the safe and welcoming environment that every student

deserves. We filed the first case of campus

antisemitism that the Department of Educations Office

for Civil Rights ever agreed to investigate under

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.

When the Office for Civil Rights was not enforcing Title VI to protect Jewish students, the ZOA led a six year battle to ensure that Jewish

2.

students would have the same legal protections that other ethnic and racial groups have already enjoyed for close to 50 years. As a result of our battle, Jewish students are now protected under Title VI.

We've helped Jewish students on campuses across the country including at CUNY. In 2013, we helped Jewish students at Brooklyn College who were forcibly removed from an anti-Israel BDS program. They were ejected without justification, simply because they opposed BDS. Keeping them out was a way to shutdown their pro-Israel views.

In 2016, the ZOA alerted CUNY's leaders to the harassment and intimidation the Jewish students were enduring at four CUNY schools. As just one example, a student rally at Hunter College that was supposed to protest rising tuition, turned into a frightening attack on Jews. Jewish students were threatened and cursed at. They were subjected to chance like Jews out of CUNY and death to Jews.

CUNY Law School has not been immune to these problems. A CUNY Law student posted a video on social media, in which he threatens to light someone on fire because he's wearing a sweatshirt bearing the emblem of the Israel defense forces. CUNY Law did

2.2

2.3

not condemn this conduct. It didn't investigate whether the student violated CUNY policies. Instead, CUNY Law justified the conduct and considers it acceptable activism. The ZOA's title six action against CUNY Law is currently being investigated by the Office for Civil Rights. It would be reasonable for us to think that since CUNY Law is under federal investigation, it would be extra vigilant in ensuring the Jewish and pro-Israel students feel safe and welcome.

Not so. The law student who threatened to set someone on fire for supporting Israel. A student who as also openly called for the death of Zionists and for Israel's destruction. A student who also promotes violence and terrorism against Jews. This student was selected to be a speaker at CUNY Law's commencement last month. She was treated like a celebrity there with cheers and applause.

Taxpayer money should not be supporting a school that legitimizes and rewards antisemitism or any other bigotry. We thank Councilwoman Vernikov for pulling funding that was allocated to CUNY Law. She sent the much needed message to CUNY that if you tolerate antisemitism you will bear the negative

2.2

2.3

consequences. We ask the City Council to send the
same message to CUNY.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

SUSAN TUCHMAN: I'm submitting written testimony to the Committee. I've included recommendations that I respectfully urge you to implement. They are reasonable and doable and will help protect Jewish kids on our campuses.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

SUSAN TUCHMAN: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Azriel Genack.

AZRIEL GENACK: Hello, I'm a Professor of Physics at Queens College and the Graduate Center and a member of the CUNY Alliance for Inclusion. One doesn't have to say which groups the inclusion, should be included because it's only a single group that is excluded and we work to connect with students and to inform the faculty at CUNY community about the real Israel. We've made many attempts to contact the Chancellor but we've never gotten a response or notice. Okay, I'd like to say that antisemitism; it's not just another hope hate and that BDS is not simply a political point of view. It's really an

\_ ¬

ideology by which people can define themselves, nurture their grievances and proclaim their virtue by settling on a single state within the world. One could go to the middle east. There are a lot of conflicts going on. The number of people killed in Gaza was roughly equivalent to the number of people killed —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Sorry to interrupt, I just want to make sure that we're focusing on antisemitism here on our college campuses, you know.

AZRIEL GENACK: I just wanted to get to a point that the resolution, the PSC CUNY condemning Israel for massacring Palestinians, it's not in any way based in fact and it's delusional in a sense because it proclaims that it is opposed to racism and antisemitism but the resolution itself is antisemitic by any standard and a way of saying that is to see that it's only now that there's some discussion coming up in a draft of a resolution on the Russian invasion of Ukraine is coming up and in that case, instead of calling for a boycott of Russia for its brutal invasion of Ukraine, there's a statement that as academics, they need to remind people that the way

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

forward in a difficult conflict is through dialogue and communication.

So, it's an absolute double standard and those that mention of the Jerusalem definition of antisemitism which is a way of working around a direct assault on the antisemitism inherent in BDS, it should be accepted that if there's a double standard applied to single people and that this emphasis is so large that it becomes the essence of a persons identity, that really is antisemitism. And the way to move forward is for the university to adopt Ira. I'd like to just say that I was an organizer of the conference that was in the U.S. Middle East Conference on photonics. And it was to celebrate the inauguration of the photonics initiative at CUNY and we invited the leaders, the world leaders in photonics that celebrate the contribution of middle eastern scientists.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you, if you could just take another second to wrap up please.

AZRIEL GENACK: Okay, and just to say that that conference, there was not — a single person who said could not come who did not have a conflict. There was fraud support for it. So, there's a real

2.

division here between people in the sciences or really based on fact I would say and people in the social sciences who are looking at a broad narrative or emotions to drive what they do. And I think the University should really not give up on the idea that facts matter.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Gerard Filitti.

GERARD FILITTI: It is on now, thank you. Thank you and good afternoon. My name is Gerard Filitti, I am an Attorney and Senior Counsel at the Lawfare Project. We are a nonprofit that fights to uphold [INAUDIBLE 4:39:01] people worldwide based here in New York City. I will submit my testimony in writing because after listening to CUNY's testimony, I just need to go off the cuff a little bit. What I saw this morning and into this afternoon was an empty chair. The Chancellor could not be bothered to come and this is after already postponing the hearing, this is unacceptable. There's a simple answer to this. He needs to be replaced.

There are plenty of people that the Board of

Trustees can find to replace him. People who

actually care about the minority rights of the Jewish

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

people and the minority rights of other communities as well. You don't ignore hearings on antisemitism that are called by the New York City Council. What I heard were empty voices. The language that was used was typical of a defendant who does not answer questions but answers them in a meaningless way.

Nothing we heard today had any merit whatsoever.

You know, it strikes me - everyone else has talked about the issues of CUNY in depth but what strikes me is that what happens in academia doesn't stay in academia. It seeps out and infests our community. And if Jew hatred is what's being perpetuated, we're seeing that on the streets. will give you a portion of my testimony. student, you now as Susan said, when a student filmed herself apparently threatening to set a mans hoody on fire while wearing it, the Administration initially condemned CUNY only later to apologize, saying that condemnation was inexcusable. The Administration had CUNY actually apologized to condemning Jew hatred. When students hold rallies at the university on campuses and going out into the streets demanding to globalize the intifada, to globalize violence against Jews, the Administration does nothing. It's silent.

2.2

2.3

When students call for resistance by any means, the administration does nothing. When students chant "from the river to the sea," which is the reality and cry of Hamas and PFLP designated foreign tourist organizations, the Administration does nothing. What happens then? We have student inspired organizations. We know the names; I'm not going to say them. We all know who we're talking about at CUNY law. They go out onto the streets and hold rallies.

At one of those rallies, one of my clients was attacked, viciously beaten. Yesterday, a federal grand jury build indictment for a federal hate crime charge against this person. He went to a rally inspired and hosted by these people who came out of CUNY law, who come out of CUNY campuses and insight violence and he was attacked for it and now we have a federal hate crime pending. This is the consequence of CUNY's inaction. This is the consequence of CUNY's inaction. This is the consequence of not responding to all of these issues. We have real world violence that's perpetuated by people who are infused with hatred on these campuses and more than anything, this is why action needs to be taken. We don't need an empty chair. We don't need empty

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

voices; we need action and if CUNY doesn't do anything then what Council Member Vernikov did was straight on. We need to cut funding. We need to affect them in a way that will entice them to take action. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you very much for your testimony and then Barbara Kitei.

BABARA KITEI: Thank you so much. I thank you so much you know for inviting me. I was totally unprepared. I just came to the rally and I'm a former CUNY faculty in the English department at Adjunct. I was teaching there for over 23-years at City, uhm, New York City College of Technology in Brooklyn where one of the CUNY reps on Zoom said there was Holocaust exhibit. I never saw any such thing and I noticed over the years; I was there since the like 1990's and I noticed how there's like a decline in the student population. There used to be a lot of students in the dental hygiene clinic and a lot of other clinics. I taught English at City University New York, New York City College of Technology in Brooklyn for over 22-years. And there's a decline in the population Jewish students and there's a fear, there's like this nonverbal fear

2.2

2.3

there was a standing exhibit that took of the entire lobby of the second floor against Israel in I think 2008. Stand with Us what there, I invited them to come video it. There was not one thing about any factual information about Israel. I asked the Vice President if I could show the Case for Israel, the movie. He said, "sure, go ahead." Not one professor would come and bring anybody from their class until finally, I showed it to my class and then one other professor came up with a couple of students. And every professor is afraid of saying a word against anybody or anything.

The Muslim Student Association gets almost all the money. I was like a contact professor for the first Jewish students association there. They never got any student money and uhm, they even have an entire wing dedicated to Muslim worship, a wing, not just a room. There's no rooms for any other kind of worship there but there's a whole wing and they had constant e-moms come in and talk about Jehad and talk about peace or whatever they were talking about and they got tons of money and they got tons of food.

Only for the Muslim students.

So, the Jewish students were intimated all the time. I would say to them, why don't you talk to them about what's going on or what you think and they said, what's the point? They just shout you down and they silence you and you can't open your mouth because they just totally silence you.

So, they've silenced me too. I was fired together with four other professors who were adjunct, who were teaching there more than 20-years, each of us. All Jewish women, all at the same time May 2021. No reason, nothing. We went into our personnel files, most of the material, the faculty evaluations were gone. All the positive faculty pure observations were gone. Everything was gone and then they said, "oh, we have a separate file in the English department." Where's that file? We don't know.

So, uhm, but what I was teaching before I got dismissed was how brainwashing effects racism and antisemitism and I gave them a lot of examples and they loved it. I gave them examples from Patty Hurst and from the Jim Jones massacre and from a lot of different types of diverse things and something about the Holocaust.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Take another second to wrap it up please.

BARBARA KITEI: And they didn't know anything about the Holocaust. They said the public school system doesn't even teach the Holocaust at all anymore. All they know is that there was Hitler and six million.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

BARBARA KITEI: And they thanked me for telling them that. After that -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for sharing.

Thank you so much. Thank you to the panel. Any questions for the panel? Thank you so much. We have one more in-person panel and then we have a virtual panel and I just want to thank all of the panelists and the other Council Members for really focusing on the issue of what's going on here in the United States. Because again, as I said in my opening statement, this is not a foreign policy debate.

There is a reality borne out by facts that Jews are facing, increased discrimination. So, I just want to take a moment to thank all of the people who are really focused on that. The last in-person panel is Michael Mirochnick and Rabbi Israel David Wise.

2.2

2.3

RABBI DAVID WISE: With the help of the Almighty,

I pray to the almighty to stow upon me his truth and
his wisdom, then convey his message and so sanctify
his name and hopefully bring peace to the world.

We're very thankful for the leaders of CUNY and the
City Council who considering to melt antisemitism and
how to somehow bring some type of relief to the
growing antisemitism. But we are very concerned.

I'm a grandchild of my grandparents were killed in
Auschwitz. The majority of my family was killed in
Auschwitz and in the Holocaust.

Yet at the same time, we know that this was antisemitism. We are very concerned because when you conflate; when you try to incorporate into antisemitism other issues, it's really unfair. It's unfair to the truth. It maybe that we may be a minority of Jews who are practicing religion and maybe we are a minority but you cannot one and one is two as a great Rabbi said. This is a fact. We cannot take away from the fact that Judaism is observance to God or religion of 3,000 years.

Zionism is a transformation to nationalism. It is a mere hundred odd years and it's forbidden according to occupy to kill, to steal, it's forbidden even to

2.2

2.3

have a Jewish state. The Rabbi's in Palestine. In fact in Europe, there was a great Rabbi Shapiro pleaded when there was a massacre in Palestine.

Rabbi Shapiro of less memory and he said, "we have to tell people to take back that for declaration."

Rabbi Dushinsky in 1947 pleaded with the United

Nations to United Nations record. He said, we furthermore wish to express our definite opposition to a Jewish state in any part of Palestine and he was

That hundreds of thousands of Jews still live in the holy land and around the world, right across the bridge. And right across Williamsburg Bridge, there's the largest concentration of religious Jews, where you probably wont find one Israeli flag. We are Jews because we serve God.

the Chief Rabbi in Jerusalem.

We're not condoning violence. We are pleading that you do not take us hostage. Do not incorporate something that what's going on again, uhm, uh, complaints who will help people address the subject called Zionism but do not conflate it and do not refer to it as antisemitism because it exacerbates antisemitism because people who are suffering whether you say it's rightful, not rightful, it's a subject

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

- by itself. They are frustrated, they are exacerbated because they say, why are you saying that we are anti-Jews when we live for hundreds of years in peace and harmony. This is really not right. It's untruthful and please, do not use my Judaism. What we [INAUDIBLE 4:50:11] more bloodshed, whether it be Jews or not Jews, it should really, you have to face the truth because that's debating antisemitism.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.
  - RABBI DAVID WISE:: God help we should have peace and we thank you again for trying to stop antisemitism. Thank you.
    - CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for your testimony. Council Member Vernikov has a question.
- 16 RABBI DAVID WISE:: Yes.
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Uh, Mr. Wise.
- 18 RABBI DAVID WISE: Rabbi Wise.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Are you a student at 20 CUNY?
- 21 RABBI DAVID WISE: No, I am not.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Are you a student at
- 23 any New York City University?
- 24 RABBI DAVID WISE: No, I am not.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I believe you haven't addressed the issue of antisemitism and we're here to discuss just that. Thank you, I have no more questions.

RABBI DAVID WISE: Thank you.

24

21

2.2

2.3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you so much. 2 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: 3 going to turn to our virtual panel. Okay, the first 4 panel of five speakers. The speakers on this next panel are Ofek Preis, and again, forgive me if I 5 mispronounce your name, you'll just correct me when 6 7 you introduce yourself. James Davis from the PSC 8 CUNY, Rena Nasar First from Stand With Us, Ilya Bratman from Hillel at Baruch College, and Scott Richman from the Anti-Defamation League. We'll start 10 with Ofek Preis. 11

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Everybody on Zoom, you are going to get Zoom unmute invite from a staff member. When that window comes up, please accept it.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

OFEK PREIS: Hello, my name is Ofek Preis. I am an Israeli International Student studying at SUNY new Paltz. I was recently excluded from an advocacy group fighting against sexual violence on campus after being labeled as a Zionist based on a post on my Instagram story, which Stated that the Jewish people are an ethnic group who come from Israel and that you cannot colonize the land to which you are indigenous.

I am an Israeli student, a political science and sociology major, and a prominent member of advocacy and activist spaces on and off-campus, and a survivor of sexual assault who was denied the right to fight against rape culture as a result of anti-Zionism. I am joining virtually from Israel today to state that there is no place for antisemitism in the public state and city universities of New York.

While I have dedicated my life and my education to activism against oppression, racism and sexual violence, the Anti-Zionist organization at my school has accused me of being a traitor to the cause, and I was given the reputation of a white supremacist and a colonizer incapable of fighting for equality and reform. My identity as a fighter against injustice was taken from me and it was replaced by the label of an oppressor. Another Jewish Survivor and I were harassed with instant hostility, some even instructing people to find us and spit on us. I still feel unsafe on my campus, today. I still feel Powerless and defenseless, and more than anything I feel Isolated and excommunicated from the progressive spaces in which I have a right to participate.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The members of the antisemitic organization and 2 3 members of the student body who contributed to the 4 hostility walked unpunished, while I and many Jewish 5 students, spent the semester at home in fear. are the detrimental impacts left by the presence of 6 7 antizionism in colleges and universities. This is the result of promoting dialogue instead of directly 8 denouncing antizionism. It is not a matter of 10 difficult conversations but an issue of hostility, isolation and discrimination. There is an immense 11 need for reform in the protection for the Jewish and 12 13 Israeli students of New York State. Thank you for 14 your time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Ms. Preis and as — I just want to acknowledge like how brave it is for you to share your testimony, especially as a survivor and to share that and try to work towards a better future for your peers. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Uh, next we have James Davis from PSC.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JAMES DAVIS: Good afternoon Chair Dinowitz and members of the Committee on Higher Education. My

2.2

2.3

name is James Davis. I'm a faculty member for the last 18-years at Brooklyn College and I'm the President of the Professional Staff Congress, which is the labor union representing 30,000 faculty and staff at CUNY. I appreciate the opportunity to participate in today's important hearing and I want to thank this Committee as well, for your efforts during the city budget process to improve conditions for CUNY faculty, students, and staff.

The PSC takes seriously acts of discrimination and hate speech at CUNY, including acts of anti-Semitism. We believe that our faculty and staff are committed to the inclusive mission of CUNY to serve the whole people of New York.

Our purpose is to lift up the students who enter our classrooms, and our members work hard to support the pluralistic vision at CUNY, to make sure that our diversity is our strength, and that all students have the opportunity to flourish, regardless of their background or their ancestry. And as a faculty member myself, I've seen how challenging that work is and yet, how successful we have been at CUNY. However, we also recognize that anti-Semitism has

increased in New York City and beyond, and of course

the university is not immune from the problems that plague the city as a whole.

We are committed to combatting anti-Semitism and we welcome discussion of initiatives that this

Committee recommends. 524 hate crimes were committed in New York City in 2021, a staggering number. And of these, 175 were hate crimes against Jewish people, more than one-third of the total. That's unacceptable. And we also recognize that incidents of bias occur that may not rise to the level of a hate crime to individuals but cause harm to individuals and communities. Harassment and discrimination may not involve a physical attack on persons or property but should not go unchallenged.

The PSC has consistently supported efforts at the university to go beyond platitudes and address the root causes based on ethnicity, race, and religion.

As some of you know, our union passed a resolution at a delegate assembly meeting last year that has been criticized as anti-Semitic. The Resolution was sharply critical of Israel state policy and it recommended, among other things, that the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions campaign against Israel be discussed at local union meetings last Fall semester.

2.2

2.3

Some of our members were deeply upset by the

3 Resolution, and some even resigned union membership

4 | in dismay and protest.

Chair Dinowitz and I have discussed this episode on a few occasions, and I welcome further discussion as the Committee wishes. The PSC has not endorsed the BDS campaign —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JAMES DAVIS: But we do take the position — if I could be permitted another 40-seconds, I can finish up.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yes.

JAMES DAVIS: We do take the position that criticism of Israeli state policy is not inherently anti-Semitic, and we support our members rights to express themselves publicly about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, as an issue on which our members are far from united. Members of a college community must not allow their political convictions to bias their treatment toward students or colleagues, but the quality of a college education depends on open and vigorous debate on the issues of the day, so we need to balance the need to support and respect for all with the need to preserve

academic freedom, which is the lifeblood of the

American university. The PSC has in fact taken

important steps to condemn violent acts of anti
Semitism at CUNY and beyond. Our Executive Council

issued a statement condemning the Tree of Life

Synagogue mass murder in Pittsburgh in 2018.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

JAMES DAVIS: More recently, we have engaged in a coordinated response with Queens College employees against the defacement of a campus building —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Mr. President. Thank you. I certainly have questions and I'm sure my colleagues have questions, so we'll certainly, you'll certainly have a chance to finish up some of your thoughts when we have the questions.

The next witness is Rena Nasar First from Stand With Us.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RENA NASAR FIRST: I speak before you today as an employee of Stand With Us, a non-partisan Israel education organization that inspires and educates people of all ages and backgrounds, challenges misinformation, and fights antisemitism, especially on campus. But I also speak before you today as a

2.

CUNY alum who experienced antisemitism myself within the CUNY system when I was a student.

Over the last few years, antisemitism on campus has steadily increased but it has not been adequately addressed. Jewish and Israeli students are being subjected to a harsh litmus test, where they are treated as equal members of the campus community only if they are willing to limit the expression of their Jewish identity, Zionism, and connection to Israel. Students who fail this test face increasing animosity and marginalization from students and staff, and apathy from administrators.

This issue isn't just impacting students; all stakeholders within the CUNY nucleus are affected.

Faculty are also being ostracized and harassed, and alumni are continually disappointed by their alma maters. For example, at the Silberman School of Social Work, where, upon investigating an antisemitic Zoom bombing incident during class, we uncovered years of egregious incidents of antisemitism that the administration knew about yet failed to correct. Or at Kingsborough Community College, where Professor Michael Goldstein was here today I see on Zoom, was the victim of a smear campaign calling for his

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

termination and even physical violence against him because of his open expression of his Zionism.

Or at CUNY Law School, which has been referenced many times today, where a commencement speech referenced a harmful resolution with brazen demands to end study abroad programs in Israel, terminate partnerships with Israeli academics and institutions and even cut ties with several Jewish organizations on campus, like Hillel. These examples are mere highlights of a larger, alarming trend at CUNY and I want to emphasis, just as others have, we are not talking about political differences or political disagreements. Students are being forced to either hide their Judaism and Zionism or become vulnerable to intimidation, harassment and exclusion from peers and professors. Jewish students deserve the same protections against hate and intolerance as any other community at CUNY. I'd like to offer the CUNY administration the following recommendations: Create a task force with Jewish students, faculty, on-campus organizations like Hillel and alumni to assess the overall situation on campus and make recommendations; Adopt the IHRA working definition of antisemitism and

2.2

2.3

2 utilize it, as appropriate, in addressing

3 antisemitism.

Antisemitism grows in part because people have difficulty identifying it; clarify further the process for reporting antisemitic incidents.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

RENA NASAR FIRST: And give them equal treatment.

Okay, finishing up.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You take another second but please wrap it up.

RENA NASAR FIRST: Yes. Lip services is not —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And you can always submit

your testimony in writing, so these recommendations

will be shared with us.

RENA NASAR FIRST: Yes, thank you. I'll conclude by just adding, lip service is not an option. I look forward to seeing how this hearing results in tangible change for all CUNY. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you.

Next speaker is Ilya Bratman from Hillel at Baruch

College.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ILYA BRATMAN: Hello friends. Thank you so much. Chair Dinowitz, Members of the Committee on Higher Education, Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Ilya Bratman I'm a Jewish refugee from Russia and I'm an alumnus of Baruch College. Now, I serve as a Hillel Director for a number of colleges in Manhattan, namely Baruch, City, John Jay Colleges at CUNY, FIT, New School, School of Visual Arts, Fordham and Pace Universities. I work to create and foster Jewish Life on our campuses and

to advocate for Jewish students' needs and concerns.

I am also a faculty member, teaching at Baruch and John Jay Colleges. Therefore, I wear multiple hats. I guide, mentor and support Jewish students in various schools in Manhattan, and I teach a large diverse group of students every year. I have seen the impact of the past two years of isolation, depression and anxiety on all of our students. In addition to these obstacles, Jewish students have faced an increasing number of antisemitic incidents on our campuses, and a deteriorating climate of hostility and harassment at our colleges. Much of the harassment occurs online, many incidents occur in the classrooms, often perpetrated by faculty.

As faculty, we have a responsibility to present

8

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

our faculty. They deserve better.

unbiased, fair, balanced, and honest information to our students. Our students trust us and consider us as credible resources. Therefore, when faculty are disingenuous, dishonest and present biased information to our students, they break that trust and credibility. Time and again, our faculty have failed us. Faculty have used delegitimization of Israel, and have attacked Zionism in order to hide their animosity and hate for the existence of the Jewish State, and thus the Jewish people. They have allowed the atmosphere of intolerance, hate and falsehood to reign supreme in our classrooms. That is unacceptable. The classroom is a sacred space.

As you have heard today, our students feel uncomfortable, unsafe and fearful to exhibit and express their Jewish identity on our campuses. often serve as the voice for the Jewish students on our campuses. When I asked the students to testify in this hearing, many had the same response: "I'm afraid! I don't want to stand out. I don't want my name out there." Numerous students told me that they

Our students demand integrity and impartiality from

are intimidated to participate in this hearing or to combat antisemitism on campus, because they don't want that kind of attention, and they are afraid of the repercussions that may follow them in the classrooms, on campus, and online. Some were able to testify anonymously, because of these fears of intimidation.

I'm very thankful to the Chairman for reading the testimonies. It is very important for all of us to hear the gravity and intensity of the hostility on our campuses. It takes courage, a lot of courage to stand up to their peers, especially in these uncertain times. I'm very grateful to the students who are brave enough to testify today and to send in their testimonies. We must create a safer environment and climate on our campuses and we must define antisemitism to begin with. We can't combat antisemitism without knowing what it is.

Therefore, our students have asked to adopt the IHRA Definition time and time again. Secondly, we must educate students, faculty and staff about the roots and the history of antisemitism. And thirdly, we must combat antisemitism, denounce and condemn the

2.2

2.3

acts of antisemitism loudly and unapologetically, and

3 | hold faculty, -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ILYA BRATMAN: Students and staff accountable for any acts of harassment.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony. Last in this panel and then we'll have questions is Scott Richman from the Anti-Defamation League.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SCOTT RICHMAN: Thank you so much Councilman

Dinowitz for convening this important hearing and for
your and the Council's partnership with ADL on

fighting all forms of hate throughout the year. My
name is Scott Richman, I am the Regional Director for
New York and New Jersey for ADL, the Anti-Defamation
League.

Since 1913, our sole mission has been to combat antisemitism and all forms for hate wherever they may arises on our streets, in our workplaces, on social media and most relevant to today, on college campuses.

I appear before you today with great concern about the rise in antisemitism across the country,

2.2

2.3

about which Councilman Dinowitz spoke extensively
earlier. I'm an eye witness to that. Responding to
antisemitic incidents every single day as part of my
work and as part of my teams work. Since 2017, my
office alone has worked with victims to respond to 92
incidents of antisemitism on New York college
campuses, 14 of which occurred on CUNY campuses. But
these raw numbers do not necessarily paint the full
picture of the context and environment in which these
incidents occur.

The full picture as we've heard from Jewish students of feeling unwelcome or unsafe because they were concealing their Zionism. A core aspect of their identity in order to participate in Jewish life.

I just want to make three quick points for the Council and for the CUNY Administration, which I'm grateful are still on the line. Point one is the ADL's analysis of the situation indicates that these experiences reflect only the tip of the iceberg. In partnership with Hillel, we surveyed Jewish students across the country last year. It showed that 43% of Jewish students on campus today either have been targets of antisemitism or have observed antisemitic

2.

incidents during their time in school. It's a shocking thought that nearly half of all Jewish students across the country are subject to antisemitism during their time at school.

Moreover, the survey showed that only 25 percent of those incidents were ever reported to anybody. Helping them report is key and I'm grateful to hear the commitment from CUNY today to encourage reporting but clearly more needs to be done and we're happy to partner with the Council. We're happy to partner with CUNY from our experience on campuses and to make that incident reporting happen.

Point two, is that the Council members and the CUNY administration who have spoken today have made a commitment to protecting free speech and free academic inquiry and we do as well. We believe that students can and should be exposed to ideas with which they may disagree, and ideas that make them feel uncomfortable. That's how they'll grow but it's one thing to imagine college campuses being hot beds of robust discourse. It's quite another to observe what's transpired as of late, which impacts every Jewish student, not just the victim and we are hearing powerful testimony from students. I just

want to lift up some of the voices of the many students who turned to ADL New York New Jersey for help.

Jewish students were recently subjected to screams of "baby killers" at Rutgers' campus simply because —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SCOTT RICHMAN: They were members of a Jewish fraternity and graduate students from New York University who visited to Israel returned to find their social media posts highjacked by other students who accused them of supporting White Supremacy because they traveled to Israel. When these students reached out to their peers to have meaningful dialogue, they were rebuffed because they were told that they didn't want to engage in normalization.

We call upon CUNY and all campuses to speak out more regularly and more consistently, not just when it's so egregious as what happened at CUNY Law School graduation.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

SCOTT RICHMAN: And the third and final point is

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You just got another sec to wrap it up and then we'll have your written testimony, so that we can act on this and share with CUNY of course.

SCOTT RICHMAN: Absolutely. So, my third and final point is that we've seen the tremendous impact of DEI efforts. ADL has uh, specific programs which speak to that. We have our Hate Uncycled program, it's a program that offers broad-based comprehensive antibias training on campuses and includes antisemitism as part of that. We're happy to partner with this Council and partner with CUNY as well as any university that wishes to move forward constructively to tackle this hate on their campuses. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you so much for your testimony. That uhm, we have some questions for this panel, so that's for people testifying on this panel but I want to ask — I want to ask the president of PSC, Mr. James Davis, I was uhm, obviously you acknowledged that PSC last year passed this Resolution. I was a little and I appreciate you talking about it and talking about it openly. I was a little confused by your testimony though because it

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

\_ ¬

sounded like you said that the resolution itself didn't support BDS. Did I hear that right?

JAMES DAVIS: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, I mean like I have it here, it certainly has some of the language uhm that doesn't you know engender great debate about a topic, which is something that you say you want but this just kind of states the way things are, which you know this is part of the conversation but you're kind of affirming things. Legalize racial discrimination, violent incursions, and you affirm the right of faculty and staff for boycott and divestment. And while in the resolve, it doesn't specifically say the language you used, it calls on you to facilitate discussions at the chapter level to consider PSC's support for BDS and sanctions. It's sort of a weird distinction without a difference and I kind of don't really understand how you would say that this resolution, which uses all the language that honestly is dangerous and stifles debate and meaningful discussion and makes things more dangerous for people is aligned with the value of what you said was a pluralistic vision.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

How many other Resolutions condemning foreign policies does PSC publish? Did you pass?

JAMES DAVIS: Chair Dinowitz, thanks for the question and I understand why you say that it's a distinction without a difference. I mean, I disagree. The evolution of this Resolution; an amendment was attempted during the debate about this Resolution for the PDH support the BDS campaign amendment was defeated and the Resolution that emerged said as you've indicated that the PSC consider, support and facilitate this - and back by the end of calendar year 2021 on those discussions, on the conversations at the chapter level. conversations occurred. We had chapters report back at the delegate assembly in December of 2021. PSC did not support the BDS campaign and in fact did not vote on whether to support the BDS campaign. that's what happened. We occasionally do pass Resolutions on international matters. You know we passed 12 Resolutions in 2021. That was the only one in 2021 on the International matter. This here as one of my colleagues on a previous panel observed, we also at the executive council passed a statement condemning Russia's invasion of Ukraine. There are

other examples that I could give you. We have a long history of condemning more including the so-called war on terror of the years.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I have some of those resolutions here.

JAMES DAVIS: I think what your question is really getting at Council Member -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yeah, because I have, yes —Yes, and you know and again while ultimately I guess did not pass the most explicit of the Resolutions, this was obviously, the BDS Resolution was obviously charged enough. You had over 50 of your members resign. By the way, do you know the exact number that resigned?

JAMES DAVIS: Yeah, I checked to prepare for this hearing and it's just under 100.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, so just under 100 and I just want to read some language from some of your other resolutions that you've passed. And just, you know just to express my point. In your May 2021 no cold war with China, was that right, that was you PSC. You correctly state that in China bashing by the media is inflaming racist ideologies and triggering violence against Chinese American's which

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is absolutely true. In other words, when you demonize a country that represents the people, we feel it here at home. And I think it's great that you acknowledge that and it's one of the issues that we as a city need to confront. And yet, you don't feel you representing your union don't feel the same way about Israel and the resolution you passed again, that is supposed to engender conversation apparently, talks about apartheid. Legalize discrimination and murder. There's a few others, I'm not going to go There's one where in November of over all of them. 2018, where you strongly oppose placing limitations on academic travel. That was for Baruch University but again, support it against Israel.

That's what BDS — it's the goal of BDS and those are but — uh, the last one is, you have a resolution and opposition to U.S. sanctions in Cuba and I'm sure you know people flee Cuba because of human rights violation, they find solace here in the U.S. and you resolved to end the 61-year economic financial and commercial blockade, fine, in Cuba. But you want to start one in Israel. You want to start the boycott divestment, which is essentially could be called a commercial blockade. I'm just trying to understand

2.2

2.3

how you have statements of values saying that you don't want commercial blockades, they're so, so bad. Education is a fundamental human right and we should be creating links between groups of people and you understand that bashing certain countries promotes violence against the people, except with Israel. And I'm just trying to get an understanding of what's different about Israel than all these other countries where you've made statements of support in things that seem reasonable.

JAMES DAVIS: Council Member, I understand the force of your question and trying to identify contradictions even hypocrisy I think is what you're getting at. And again, I'll just say again, the PSC did not vote to endorse the BDS campaign and in fact, it is still the policy of the PSC not to support an academic boycott of Israeli universities, which was a 2007 Resolution that still stands.

I think we need to be able to hold space to criticize other governments, including the Israeli government and this is quite a part from questioning when I've heard others say Israel's right to exist right. But I think we need to be able to hold space to criticize state policies and also to say in the

2.

same breath yes, every student deserves a safe and welcoming environment, as many of my colleagues and students at CUNY have said today. And to combat antisemitism here in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I hear that. I mean, to be clear you support BDS? I mean that's not really a?

JAMES DAVIS: Why would you say that Chair Dinowitz?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Because you said it.

JAMES DAVIS: Where have we said that?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Uhm, I'm just going to read what I wrote down. At a meeting -

JAMES DAVIS: I mean, I can save you the time.

There's a lot of charged language in that Resolution.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: There's a lot of charged language in -

JAMES DAVIS: The clause says that will sit and discuss the boycott divestment and the sanctions campaign in chapter meetings and report back about those conversations. The delegate assembly by the end of 2021, which is what happened.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, a meeting where this passed, you said, the Committee felt, you said the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Committee felt U.S. labor orgs are complicit in the crisis. You appreciate and develop in the resolution

4 the work. Your only issue was that you felt that you

5 said, your only issue that you spoke about at this

6 meeting was that you felt the members could be

7 persuaded tht this is a legitimate issue for

8 discussion. But the resolution simply states and

9 your issue was process oriented not content oriented.

In 2013, you were part of the American Studies Association. This is what you said at the meeting. You said you voted in favor of the resolution there and I just think as president of union, it's really incumbent upon you, to set examples for your members but not elevating device of voices. This is not a resolution that's - If I say, "hey, you're a murderer. You're a baby killer. Lets have a chat." That's not a way to have a conversation but again the words not only in this resolution but of the BDS movement, again, I cited examples of the BDS movement creating maps of Jewish organizations. In Boston, there was something similar that was reported here in New York. That is not the basis for a conversation. The basis for a conversation is not saying one side

is bad, one side is evil, let's have a chat.

2.

And I just think as a president, you have to foster that environment of real dialogue and there's a reason your members left and others are made extremely uncomfortable and you've heard from students. You've heard from faculty. It is a problem throughout CUNY.

What steps are you taking then to continuously have those conversations so that your members are actually learning about what's going on and understand how their language can impact the lives — the language, which by the way, you acknowledge in your China — the no cold war with China resolution, make lives unsafe and unwelcoming for their students.

JAMES DAVIS: Right, you know our job as the labor union is to balance the right that our members have. Not just a free speech but the academic freedom to express themselves on political matters with the countervailing responsibility that we have in classrooms and in offices to treat our students and our colleagues respectfully.

And so, I hear that in your question and in your challenge. One thing that we've been doing recently Chair Dinowitz, is we've been working with the faculty and staff at Queens College who were

2.2

2.3

subjected at Delaney Hall to antisemitic defacement of the corridor where the words KKK lives and a swastika were scrawled on January 6<sup>th</sup>, which is the one year — was the one year anniversary of the White National Ku attempt on the U.S. Capital on that day. The union has challenged that college administrations inadequate response to that racist and antisemitic defacement and we filed a grievance as the labor union on behalf of the safety of the occupants of those bills. We've been working with the TEEK program and the Center for Ethnic Racial and Religious Understanding on behalf of the students and faculty and staff in that building.

There's a lot more probably that we could discuss about the resolution and its consequences you know for the union. On behalf of that, I'll just say that every member who contacted us with an interest in resigning as a result of that resolution, received outreach either from a member of our executive council or from a chapter chair to talk with them. And we heard exactly why they were upset and we heard about the pain and I have to say that you know as the grandson of two Jewish people from Forest Hills

Queens and the son of a Jewish woman, the husband of

a Jewish woman and the father therefore of two Jewish
daughters, not of this conversation gives me any
pleasure whatsoever but I do feel that there's a
different kind of a litmus test that evolves in a
conversation like the one we're holding in which,
fidelity to the State of Israel in itself becomes a
kind of litmus test for one's Jewishness and that is

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

very uncomfortable.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: That's I think throughout the hearing you've heard the exact opposite. And I think for all the students and the professors who have testified and all the Council Members, I don't think any of them said being a Zionist is a litmus test for being Jewish. I think what you heard and what I hope you heard because I know you're a man who listens. What you heard was that their voices are being stifled. Not that everyone has to agree with That is what was said and I just have to push back on the notion that no one can have disagreements. The disagreements that are not acceptable are the ones that are dangerous and drown out the voices of others and as was testified, makes life more dangerous and results in the assaults of Jewish students and the harassment of Jewish

2.2

2.3

you're unmuted.

students. And again, we're looking forward into the future. What kind of future are we making for our children? What are we teaching them? Well, we're teaching them it's okay. We're teaching them it's okay to have voices of those you disagree with drowned out and we are seeing the results of that in all the statics that I mentioned in my opening statements about the hate crimes and those, as Scott Richman of ADL said, are just the tip of the iceberg. I'm really interested to see the results of that outreach that you said you did to those members that resigned. How many of them came back to the union?

JAMES DAVIS: Thanks Council Member. Uhm, and I appreciate the clarification on the last issue as well. Uhm, I'm going to estimate. My estimate is that approximately half of the members of the PSC who initially contacted us about resigning from the union in response to the June 2021 resolution. Ultimately

Can you unmute Mr. Davis, President Davis? Okay,

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And have you done; you know we called it restorative justice in high school.

JAMES DAVIS: Hmm, hmm.

left and half remained.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Have you done — have you taken any proactive steps to welcome back the members who resigned to reach out to them to get them back and actually have those meaningful conversations instead of more charged language which we see trickling down into our faculty at CUNY?

JAMES DAVIS: We have and in fact, a number of people, of course those who left the union haven't been able to take part but uh the members who remain and in fact, some have decided to run for elected So, we now have delegates who have you know have spoken out directly within delegate assembly meetings indicating that they decided to run for that position in response to the resolution and I think that whatever position one takes about the conflict, uhm, that's exactly the kind of response that we need. We need people who when they see an institution they're involved in, whether it's a labor union or otherwise, acting adverse to their interests. They get involved and they lend their voice and so, you know and I think at the campus level, the meetings that took place over the fall semester that include a discussion about the BDS campaign also included much more vigorous and broader

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

discussion about what it means to be a social justice
union. Or what it means to try to inhabit a

workplace that is as diverse and pluralistic and it

5 is frankly a shot through with various kinds of hate

and prejudice as all of our workplaces are. So, we

7 take that very seriously.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Well, I would submit to you that it doesn't count as social justice if you only promote social justice for some places and not others. And only apply certain litmus tests as I outlined on some of these other resolutions to some nations and not others. I'm going to turn it over to my colleague Council Member Vernikov.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: My first line of questioning is for Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis, how many faculty members are a part of the PSC union?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Can you please unmute the president? You're unmuted.

JAMES DAVIS: Thank you Council Member Vernikov. We represent 30,000 faculty and professional staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Are any of the faculty members Zionist?

JAMES DAVIS: I'm sure they are. There's 30,000 of them and it's New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And do you have a duty to represent Zionist faculty members?

JAMES DAVIS: We have a duty to represent all of our members.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I'm asking about Zionist faculty members.

JAMES DAVIS: Including Zionist faculty members, yes, we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: How do you feel about the delegates rallying slogans like "Zionist out of CUNY?"

JAMES DAVIS: I'm not aware of our delegates saying, "Zionists out of CUNY."

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: How do you feel about the rallying of Zionists out of CUNY?

JAMES DAVIS: I think that's abhorrent and just as we would protect the rights of people who are critical of the State of Israel to express those right publicly, we would absolutely protect the rights of Zionist faculty members and staff to express those views.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you. You testified earlier that about 100 members of the faculty resigned, is that correct?

\_

JAMES DAVIS: Faculty and staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: There's a list that's published online of about 300 faculty members resigning. Do you want to correct that number?

JAMES DAVIS: Uh, yes, I mean, I can only tell
you what we have in our membership database here at
the union. I'm not aware of the website that you're
referring to. I know there have been various
campaigns about resigning from the professional staff
congress and people taking pledges to do that, so
maybe that's what you're referencing. I'm just
trying to report what I know accurately here from my
union.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, is it inaccurate to say that there are 300 faculty members who resigned?

JAMES DAVIS: Yes, that's inaccurate.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Can you name — you testified earlier that about half of the staff members resigned came back, is that correct?

JAMES DAVIS: I'm estimating that based on — we kept a database of everyone who contacted us either requesting to resign, expressing their intentions to resign unless something else, contacting us objecting either with dismay or concern or just contacting us

- \_\_

outright saying as of right now, I'm resigning membership, all of the above and my estimate is that based on those discussions, approximately half of those members did end up resigning.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Can you name five members who returned after resigning?

JAMES DAVIS: No, I'm not able to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Can you name one?

JAMES DAVIS: No, I'm not able to give names, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, would it be accurate to assume then that there are no faculty members who came back after resigning?

JAMES DAVIS: No, that's not accurate.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: But you can't name a single one of them, correct?

JAMES DAVIS: Correct, I will not name them.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: You testified earlier that you don't support BDS, is that correct?

JAMES DAVIS: I don't think I testified about my own personal beliefs but PSC did not vote to support BDS.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Do you support BDS?

2.

.

JAMES DAVIS: Uhm, that's not relevant to this hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I think it's very relevant to this hearing.

JAMES DAVIS: Well, I'm not willing to disclose that information in this hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Well, there's a video of you online publicly supporting BDS.

JAMES DAVIS: I'm not aware of that video and I'm not aware of ever having been publicly in support of BDS.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Is it your testimony then that you never publicly testified to supporting BDS?

JAMES DAVIS: I'm very confused about what you're asking.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: There's a video published online of you publicly supporting BDS. Are you denying that you were on record supporting BDS?

JAMES DAVIS: I'm not, I'm just not aware of the video that you're citing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Did the PSC union ever pass any resolutions to condemn China?

Israel not inherently antisemitic, which by the way,

25

2.2

2.3

world.

it's not an out of whack position to have. One can criticize Israel and not necessarily be antisemitic. If they're in the practice of criticizing states generally, countries generally or if they're in the you know, you can criticize Israel's practice with regard to uh, rain water if that's — you're in the business of criticizing rain water all across the

Uhm, but I think that Councilwoman Vernikov's points, in particular in the last exchange, made clear that PSC is not in that business. PSC uhm, or certainly some members of PSC's who promoted this resolution, decided that they were going to go after one place and one place only. And this is not a hearing as the Chairman's made clear and I agree with him about foreign policy. But this is a hearing about safety of children, students on New York City CUNY campuses and uhm, I believe and tell me if I'm wrong that your resolution refers to Israel as an apartheid state. Is that true?

JAMES DAVIS: Uh, I think the resolution quoted from the Human Rights watch — I mean quoted approving, just to be clear. But yes, quoted Human

2.2

2.3

2 Rights watch just characterizing Israel as practicing 3 apartheid.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And your — and so, it's the position of PS— I mean, I'm not sure what distinction you're making as it relates to answering my question. Are you saying that that's not necessarily PSC's position is just quoted human rights watch?

JAMES DAVIS: Uhm, no I mean, I think it's a reasonable inference right, that if we're quoting Human Rights watch that that is the PSC's position, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And I'm not you know, the reason I asked for the clarification is I'm not in the business of putting words in people's mouth, so I just want to make sure that I'm getting it right.

This is not an attack session, it's not a McCarthy hearing and we're you know in a friendly space but we're just trying to really address the one situation that we're here to address, which is whether or not New York City students on CUNY campuses who are of the Jewish faith who are identifiably Jewish and I don't think it's a secret because you've alluded to it. That to wear a Yamaka in New York City these

2.

days is probably putting your live at some risk and I say that as someone who does wear a Yamaka in New York City.

And so, the reason I'm asking is because we know that over time over the last several years to be sure, it's become more and more dangerous to be Jewish on a New York City CUNY campus, do you agree with that?

JAMES DAVIS: Yes, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, do you think that it's helpful to the cause of keeping Jewish students safe on CUNY campuses if their teachers are out there calling Israel an apartheid state?

JAMES DAVIS: Again, I think that there's an important distinction to be made about criticizing state policies of Israel right, and again, you know, I've heard people on this call disagree with the position but we do hold the position that there is distinction between expressing those criticisms, which are political criticisms and fostering or even holding safe harbor for hatred and bias towards Jews. The latter is abhorrent and unacceptable.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Do you agree and I'm going to say to you as I said to the folks who testified

2.2

2.3

from CUNY. And when I say you, I don't mean you, I

3 mean you on behalf of, so I just want to be clear. I

don't care what you think personally. You're a nice

5 guy but you know it's not about you or me.

But do you believe that the criticism of Israel as it is expressed in the uhm, in the resolution that PSC adopted is antisemitic or you don't? And I mean, does PSC believe that?

JAMES DAVIS: Well, it's a you know, a resolution comes out of a delegate assembly and delegates are elected democratically. I'm elected democratically right. If I hadn't been elected, I'd still be teaching English back at Brooklyn College. The delegates are elected democratically. The delegates voted in June 2021 to approve, the majority, to approve this policy, this resolution and that's PSC policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so I'm going to ask again in a different way I guess because the point is not really what you believe because again, I don't care what you believe but it's about the safety of students on New York City CUNY campuses and whether or not the policy has stated by their teachers, by their educators, uhm, causes them harm. And let's

2.2

2.3

assume that it's not antisemitic. I think it is and I think that those who voted for it are antisemites and I want to make sure that I'm clear about that but I don't think anybody thinks that I'm not clear about that but the question is whether or not espousing such a view, the educators on the CUNY campuses publicly saying that it is their position that Israel is in apartheid state and participates in murder and whatnot and all that makes students unsafe. I don't care if you think if it's antisemitic or not. I do but whether or not you think that that resolution causes unsafe, an unsafe place for students in your campuses.

JAMES DAVIS: Right, I mean, right Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: And by the way I apologize Mr. President, I'm going to let you go after this question, so say whatever you want because the Chairman is giving me a smiling face, which means I have to stop. So, go ahead and say it and you know we can continue another day.

JAMES DAVIS: Sure and I'm glad you asked and I'll try to be brief. I can't say an extent to which this one resolution contributes to the lack of safety

2.2

2.3

of Jewish students on our campuses. I think it's incumbent and I think we have to give credit to our faculty and staff to be the professionals that they are and to go into classrooms and to take their responsibility seriously and if there are problems with that, than there are procedures in place to certainly to discipline faculty members who can't

uphold those responsibilities.

Uhm, but I also think that so far you know in the hearing, I haven't heard a discussion of any other of the contributing factors domestically that have really contributed sharply to the uptick in antisemitism on college campuses and elsewhere. The Chair referred to the Charlottesville March for example. Nobody has spoken about the rise in you know in White Christian nationalism and that contribution in antisemitism, which is a domestic context we need to be thinking about if we're really serious about tackling antisemitism in New York City. Or the entire context of the global war on terror.

So, you know I take your point Council Member about the contribution of the resolution to that and I would just place it in the context of a number of other extremely important and pervasive factors.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you very much. just going to say as a closing thought on that last sentence that I'm a New Yorkers my entire life. I grew up in this city, I was born here and frankly, with due respect, I don't believe that White nationalism is a big problem in New York City CUNY campuses and if you think it is, you ought to take another look at those campuses. That's not what's happening there. That's not what's happening there. 

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member and Mr. President, I know you and I will continue to have discussions and I am certainly looking forward to hearing more about the engagement that you're doing with your members to make it safe for them and so that they make it safe for our students.

I'm going to call the next panel and I'm going to ask that you know we're running over. You know this is a very deep conversation. I know that a lot of Council Members to really dig in on these questions. I'm going to ask that if the panelists to the extent possible, limit their time to two minutes. Of the panel, the panel is going to be, it was Dr. Laura Shaw Frank. She is not presently on Zoom. Amanda

2.2

2.3

Berman from Zioness, it was Layla Beckwith but Tammi Rossman-Benjamin is going to be testifying on her behalf. And while they are getting prepared, I do want to take a moment to thank all of the staff members you can't see on TV, the Sergeant at Arms, the Central Staff, the ones who are sitting right next to me and the ones who are taking care of the Zoom. The ones who are texting all us to make sure that we're on point. This hearing is taking significantly longer than I think they are used to. It is an important topic and so I want to extend my appreciation to all of the Sergeants; like I said, Sergeant at Arms and all the central staff, so thank you.

So, first up, we have Amanda Berman and if you could, two minutes please.

AMANDA BERMAN: I'll do my best.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

AMANDA BERMAN: Really quickly. First of all, I want to thank you Chair Dinowitz and the Committee for hosting this critical dialogue. There are so many important angles to discuss, so I'm going to focus just on the unique experience and insight that

2.

2.2

I have, which is the genesis of antizionism. Why it is antisemitism, especially as it is experienced by students on New York City campuses.

I'm Amanda Berman, I'm a New York based Civil
Rights Attorney and the founder and Executive
Director of the Zioness Movement, a domestic social
justice activist organization mobilizing progressive
Jews and our allies in the fight for social, racial,
economic, gender and environmental justice in
America.

Zioness launched after a number of high profile incidents in progressive organizing and coalition building spaces, very similar to whats going on at CUNY and on other campuses, in which Jews were targeted with litmus tests and, sometimes, outright, vitriolic antisemitism, as, quote-unquote, "Zionists." Before pivoting my career to build Zioness full-time, I worked as an attorney who helped victims of antisemitism, including its contemporary manifestation in anti-Zionism know their rights, and enforce them legally.

One of the cases I am most proud to have worked on was a groundbreaking pair of lawsuits against San Francisco State University. The case was settled on

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

terms that are exceedingly relevant to my testimony today as the university issued a public statement recognizing that "for many Jews, Zionism is an important part of their identity."

The litigation set a crucial precedent that is urgent for this committee, which is that college campuses that do not recognize the fundamental, inarguable fact that Zionism is intrinsic and inseparable from Jewish identity, for the vast majority of Jews in the world, and actively protect Jewish and Israeli students and faculty members from antisemitism that targets Jews as Zionists, will be vulnerable to similar lawsuits.

I'm going to skip something and just say that there are those on college campus in progressive movements and beyond to argue that antizionism is not antisemitism because it is simply criticism of Israel or advocacy for the Palestinian people. I genuinely wish this were true as I personally criticize Israel frequently and believe verdantly in the Palestinians people right to self-determination but the claim is fundamentally wrong.

AMANDA BERMAN: Really?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yeah, yeah, yeah, it's pretty quick but certainly ensure that you're submitting your written testimony and thank you. The next speaker is Tammi Rossman-Benjamin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TAMMI ROSSMAN-BENJAMIN: Good afternoon and apologies, I'm struggling with some voice issues, so please let me know if you can't hear me.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You're good, thank you.

TAMMI ROSSMAN-BENJAMIN: My name is Tammy
Rossman-Benjamin, Director of AMCHA Initiative, which investigates antisemitism on U.S. campuses. Thank you for inviting me to testify. Our studies have shown that the majority of incidents targeting Jewish students for harassment nationally are leaded to the victims perceived support for Israel. This is also true at CUNY where most acts of harassment are Israel related and these have more than doubled over the last year. Most incidents of Israel related harassment including those associated with the Zionist and out of CUNY campaign are compliant with an academic boycott of Israel or academic BDS whose

official guidelines demand efforts to ensure that

2.2

2.3

Israel isn't normalized on college campuses. And often involves behavior that excludes tuition proIsrael students from campus life. While the presence of anti-Zionist student groups have strongly linked to Israel related harassment both nationally and at CUNY. All that's familiar but more enduring source of antisemitism are academic BDS supporting faculty.

While faculty would like to support a boycott as private citizens, our studies provide strong evidence that many faculty are bringing the boycotts antinormalization campaigns into their classrooms and departmental events, which in turn insights harassment. Schools with academic BDS supporting faculty are in fact five times more likely to have incidents of Israel related harassment. Given that a number of CUNY faculty are using their positions and public resources to engage in academic BDS compliant behavior that harms Jewish students.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

AMANDA BERMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you and again, I'll say it to everyone, I encourage you to submit your written testimony which can be submitted 72 hours, up

J

\_

to 72-hours after this hearing. Uhm, uh, the next witness, Dr. Laura Shaw Frank.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RENI FIELD: Hi everyone. My name is Reni
Fields, I'm stepping in for Laura as she is on a
plane back from Israel right now. I'm a Young
Leadership Associate at AJC New York. I work with
college students and high school students, so I will
be reading Dr. Shaw Frank's testimony.

AJC works with campus administrators all over the United States to help them ensure that their campuses are safe places for Jewish students while remaining places where freedom of speech is protected and treasured. We are proud and gratified that CUNY Chancellor and Vice Chancellor, President Rob of Hunter College President Wu of Queens College and President Anderson of Brooklyn College attended our recent university president summit on combating antisemitism. Which AJC co-organized with Hillel International and ACE, the American Council on Education.

We hope that we can continue to be of assistance to CUNY as it works to combat antisemitism in its university community. We recommend that CUNY take

2.2

2.3

the following steps to reverse the alarming trends we are witnessing. First, protection of and sensitivity towards Jewish students as well as combating antisemitism must be part of the DEI strategy of the University system. CUNY cannot combat antisemitism without educating its community to have a full understanding of what it is. Training on antisemitism must be included among any series of acquired DEI trainings for students, faculty and staff at CUNY.

Faculty and staff should be trained to know how to respond when Jewish students are excluded, marginalized and/or harassed into their perceived or real support of Israel. Second, Jewish students must know that they have the support of the administration. This means that administrators should regularly check with Jewish students, whether Hillel or other Jewish organizations and clubs. I know we have heard testimony from CUNY administrators that this is already happening. It should continue and administrators should ensure that they are reaching a wide variety of Jewish students on CUNY campuses.

It also means that Jewish students must have a clear and transparent understanding  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{-}}$ 

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you so much. Thank you so much an again, I'll say to everyone, submit your testimony in writing. I just have one quick question for Amanda because this often comes up. Can you give a 15-second primer for Amanda Berman on why you say antizionism is antisemitism. Like, how would a student like defend themselves if — verbally, why antizionism is antisemitism. You're going to be unmuted in a sec, don't worry. There you go.

AMANDA BERMAN: Thank you. So, people need to understand what Zionism means to the Jewish people. Zionism is the liberation and self-determination of the Jewish people. It's not political. It's not about the conflict. Uhm, and so, for people to understand what antizionism is they have to understand what Zionism means to the vast majority of Jews in the world and how it manifest on college campuses, regardless of peoples intentions. There are people who call themselves antizionist, who don't actually know necessarily what it means but the core tenant of BDS, which we've been talking about

2.2

2.3

throughout the hearing is anti-normalization. It's

about shutting down anyone who normalizes the

existence of the Jewish state of Israel. It's about

5 targeting people who identify as Zionist, which again

6 is the vast majority of Jews in the world.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Got it.

AMANDA BERMAN: So -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: No, I think that that was a great elevator pitch that's very helpful. I think articulating like that is very helpful for our college students who need to be able to articulate that. It's very difficult. I thank this panel for their testimony. I'm going to call the next panel. We have one question from Council Member Vernikov.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: My question is for Ms.

Rossman. Ms. Rossman, does your organization AMCHA,

do any research regarding the correlation of

existence of groups like SJP or specifically SJP and

antisemitism on CUNY campuses or any campuses,

college campuses in New York City.

TAMMI ROSSMAN-BENJAMIN: Yes, can you hear me?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: We can hear you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yes.

2.

2.3

TAMMI ROSSMAN-BENJAMIN: Good question. Thank
you. Yes, we have done lots of research. In fact
almost every piece of research that we've done looks
at the contribution of antizionist student groups to
acts that target students for harassment and all of
our studies between seven and eight times the
likelihood of having such acts of harassment on
campuses, whether active SJP or other antizionist
student groups.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: So, you're saying your organization found that the more active SJP or an antizionist organization on a campus, the worst antisemitism. Is that correct?

TAMMI ROSSMAN-BENJAMIN: Pretty much. I mean, it's the mere presence of an active group leads to or is likely to lead to. But more than that, the actual, the existence of the acts themselves in a great many cases actually are the perpetrator was a member of or the antizionist student group.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you so much. Our next panel, we'll have Allyah Jacobson, Michael Goldstein, Jeffrey Lax, and Timothy Jackson. Two minutes each. Oh you're in person Mark Holland. So, Mark Holland come on down and just to remind

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people testifying, it's two minutes and a few days

after this hearing, they're going to post all the

4 written testimony that has been submitted online.

hearing. So, everything that is submitted in

5 SO, it will be available at Legistar attached to this

7 | writing, if you don't get to speak about, it will be

8 there online for everyone to see. So, we'll start

9 | with Aliyah Jacobson.

ALIYAH JACOBSON: Hello and thank you for having this hearing. I just graduated from Brooklyn College. The first few years were incredible but the last two years were very triggering and uhm, led to a lot of PTSD. I graduated [INAUDIBLE 5:56:40] to file a complaint. It took days to figure out where it was and when I asked Vice President Ronald Jackson to sit down with him and the president, he said he had already sat down with the Hillel Director and other people, he didn't need to sit down with me.

In 2018 when I confronted [INAUDIBLE 5:56:57],
members of students were just in Palestine,
confronted my countering by parking their [INAUDIBLE
5:57:02] called me a murderer and brainwashed and
stream calls for intifada for 2.5 hours. When I
wrote emails to President Anderson and Vice President

2.2

2.3

journalism.

Anthony Brown, I was ignored. I felt like a target.

I also volunteer for the Brooklyn Colleges newspaper and the last article; I was slammed and I'm going to read the statement now that I was slammed for and humiliated. And when I was told that this was very detrimental to my mental health, they said, please get therapy, there's nothing we can do it's

I'm going to read this. Dear Aliyah Jacobson,
The Office of Diversity and Equity Programs has
reviewed the allegations in your Report of Alleged
Misconduct from CUNY's Legal Affairs and General
Counsel's Office. We have also reviewed the report
filed on May 7, 2022, with the Office of Public
Safety at Brooklyn College. You stated the
following: "On May 4, 2021, Students for Justice in
Palestine wrote on their Instagram as a response to
our Israeli Independence Day Celebration on the quad,
"Zionists are not welcome on campus. Everyone is
welcome on campus".

This wouldn't be written about any other minority group or accepted. This is when I shared something I shouldn't have on Bulldogs for Israel as the official president of the club, they had an Arab man call me

2.2

2.3

in the middle of the night to scare me and ask to sit down and talk and if I could cook for him. Where they got my number from is beyond. The post I shared on Bulldogs for Israel was, there was some things in there that should have been better qualified.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALIYAH JACOBSON: Then later, this Director of ten year [INAUDIBLE 5:58:32] blocked me from my Instagram account so I could not apologize. CUNY student gov— Brooklyn government —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Wrap it up please.

ALIYAH JACOBSON: Released a statement call for violence. And can I just have one more minute?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You could take a few more

seconds but we need to get to the next witness please.

ALIYAH JACOBSON: And so my club was suspended and when I reported the misconduct to judicial affairs and student government, they fought for it for a while and then it was unsuspended and so, they said, well the statements were hurtful — well, I said, while the statements have been hurtful this is the general attitude and conduct held in campus.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you and I encourage you to - you know, I know you have a lot to say and you know I don't want to discount your trauma or experience and that's why I encourage you to send in. I think you have actually sent in your testimony -

ALIYAH JACOBSON: I have.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And that will be posted online. Thank you. Our next witness is Michael Goldstein.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MICHAEL GOLDSTEIN: Thank you all so much for having me today. It's been a long time coming. My name is Mike Goldstein, I'm from Kingsborough Community College. I've been there for 23-years. I've been at CUNY for 32-years. My father was at CUNY for 50 years. My mother was at CUNY for 43years, making us all together more than 100 years at CUNY. I had come from a CUNY family, I'm also a graduate of CUNY Law School. So, what I'm going to be talking about is really about my personal experience at CUNY.

Everything I walk into my office at Kingsborough Community College, I draw inspiration from a picture

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of my father, the former president and the former acting Chancellor of CUNY for more than 30-years. Yet, in the last few years, antisemites turned my father's photo from a source of inspiration to a symbol of destruction. The vandalism marked the start of a systemic and pernicious campaign in which I had been targeted and harassed because of who I am and what I believe. Guys, it is horrible for Jews at CUNY. I am just telling you that. I've worked at Kingsborough for more than 23-years and within the City University for more than 30-years and the antisemitic vandalism and death threats to me perpetrated outside my office three years were unlike anything I've ever experienced before. The reason for the attack, I'm Jewish politically, conservative and I believe in Zionism, the Civil Rights Movement of the Jewish people and that's why they attacked me and these were fellow faculty who I never met in my entire life. Members of the PSC CUNY and these people were horrible. PSC and PFC because they had an offshoot at our college that they funded and organized to attack other faculty.

The vandalized the face the photo of my father with antisemitic graffiti including the words F-

2.2

group.

Trump, Goldstein killed a Zionist entity. I later
learned that the incident came one day after
Kingsborough Professor Koch Perea(SP?) apparently
told the administrator who refused to request to fire
me, "I guess I will have to handle this myself."
Perea, who I had never met or spoken to as a member
of the Forget Progressive Faculty Caucus, a radical
faculty group supported by the PFC Mr. James Davis's

11 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

MICHAEL GOLDSTEIN: Okay, guys, thank you so much and do whatever you can. We really need your help.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you, our next testimony is going to come from Jeffrey Lax, two minutes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JEFFREY LAX: Thank you so much Chair Dinowitz and Council Member Vernikov. My name is Jeffrey Lax. I'm a Professor and the Department Chair at Kingsborough. Several Council Members today spoke of a PSC CUNY faculty rally demanding Zionism out of CUNY. That means me out of CUNY. So, watch our own union reps quite literally marching to get their own

\_\_\_

Zionist Jewish members ejected from the University is horrifying. It is stunning to me to hear James Davis deny that this happened.

He knows, not only what happened, that it happened and he knows who made it happen because I know who made it happen and if I know, then the president of the union knows. His testimony was outrageous.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Sir, can you just — can you please — respectfully can you please focus on your experience and not any of the other testimony you've heard from any of the other witnesses please. Thank you.

JEFFREY LAX: He testified that he sent everyone who resigned from the union, which I'm included, a call or a letter asking them what their issue was and to come back. I never got a call, so that was false. That's directly me with respect Councilman.

What is Zionism? For me, like for many Jews,
Zionism is a deeply religious belief that connects
the Jewish people to the land of Israel. It's in the
bible. The 16, 13 commandments, require Israel.
That's what religion is. For some Jews, it's an
integral part of their ethnicity.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ:

problem.

Thank you.

That tells you all you need to know about why

JEFFREY LAX: Zionism is a protected class at

CUNY has failed so miserably in addressing this

One Council Member declared Zionism to be a political debate over land 8,000 miles away. That is patently false and it's a pretext to discriminate against people like me.

Today's discussion has nothing to do with policy across the world. It has to do with how Zionist students and faculty are treated here on our campus for being Zionist. It's sad that CUNY doesn't understand that crucial difference. Two Vice Chancellors today themselves refer to the way Jews are treated here at CUNY as a political debate. This is not a political debate. It's a discussion of how Zionist people are discriminated against here at CUNY. Pulling out political is taking the point of the other side who is discriminating against us.

There is intolerance for Zionists and observant Jews at CUNY today. You heard two Vice Chancellors today down right refuse to even state whether —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JEFFREY LAX: Very few to admit that Zionism is protected at CUNY, is a departure from City, State and Federal laws.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

JEFFREY LAX: And I would urge the Vice
Chancellor to concede before they leave today that
Zionism is a protected class under religion,
ethnicity and nationality at CUNY.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you very much.

JEFFREY LAX: And if they don't do that — Zionist student victim supposed to go if they have a complaint for being discriminated against for being a Zionist. I'm sorry, I tried to cut it down.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony and again, and I know you've been back and forth with this issue, uhm, and I know it's been very hard for you over the past many years. Again, I encourage you to submit your written testimony.

Next, we have Timothy Jackson - Mark Holland.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MARK HOLLAND: We are coordinated. I'm here because of Tim Jackson. I beg your indulgence at the end of a sleek stark day.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Just go ahead and start your testimony sir.

MARK HOLLAND: I revised my notes. Have you ever heard so much academic, bureaucratic breather and bologna and hogwash and double talk? The three administrators seemed so impotent to do anything in their leadership and ability. And the fish rots down from the head. Okay.

I am one of the Professors of Music that Barry
Weiner mentioned and I'm a friend of Tim Jackson who
will speak to you soon. The basic issue I would say
is, why don't we divest and defund. Let's use their
language against them. Let's divest and defund
money. We, the taxpayers should not be forced to
subsidize involuntarily such Jew hatred in our own
public institutions. All taxpayer money going to
CUNY's individual schools and departments including
the Administration we just heard, that should
immediately be suspended and withheld from payment by
the City of New York, namely you, until further
notice. Let us leverage the issue where it effects
and hurts the haters.

Antisemitism is a metastasizing institutional cancer at CUNY. Here, I'm proposing a kind of

2.2

2.3

thank you.

chemotherapy to treat the illness. Let's see how they function without money from the three million or so Jews, taxpayers all who inhabit the five boroughs. Let's defend CUNY. This antisemitism is especially outrageous considering that CUNY was conceived of, built and implemented underwritten, funded and paid for by civic minded Jews and Jewish intellectuals in the last century. Many of the greatest teachers and graduates in the history of CUNY were Jewish or of Jewish origins and now there 25,000 Jews at CUNY who identify as Jewish.

You left out a few of the Jew hating organizations by the way Hamas, CARE, Council on American Relations, Free Palestine Decolonize, all of them have set up cozily at CUNY. Oh please, oh.

Okay, they're supported and all decent standards of impartiality have been thrown out the window. This is precisely what happened in the early stages of the lead up World War II Germany, under the Nazi's before the slaughter of the millions of Jews in that very Germany and the rest of Europe. The same kind of talk, the same kind of behavior, attitude, denial.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You can wrap it up sir,

MARK HOLLAND: Actions, the taking over the academies, the purging of Jewish professions and intellectuals cost so many of them to lose their jobs and to flee Europe and come to America. How did that work out for us?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you.

MARK HOLLAND: Now I yield to my friend Tim

Jackson, Professor of Music at University North

Texas, Denton who is involved in a major lawsuit on
this matter.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Alright, thank you Mr. I guess Professor Jackson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TIMOTHY JACKSON: Hello, thank you. I have a question to address to especially to Robin Garrell and to Glenda Grace.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, just to be clear, the witnesses are testifying. They are not asking questions of the Administration. You can share your testimony and share your questions.

TIMOTHY JACKSON: So, will CUNY continue to become a post truth antisemitic university? That's my question.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conspiracy theories.

In July 2020, faculty and graduates of the Hunter 2 3 College CUNY doctoral program in music, organized a national censor resolution condemning music theater 4 Henry Schenker and all those who defended him as "racist". But professor Philip Yule of Hunter was 6 7 just the tip of a much larger spear. Its shaft being a group of enablers including department heads, 8 school administrators and music faculty at CUNY and throughout the U.S.. So that CUNY itself became 10 11 complicit in scholarly fraud. This censure 12 resolution provides a clear example of the harassment of Jewish professor for objecting to antisemitic 13

One of the most appalling and promiscuous claims published in an article posted by CUNY on the graduate center website is that Schenker "supported the White Supremacist and German nationalist movements that presaged Hitler." In fact, Schenker condemned Nazi's in as early as 1923 and again in 1933 and he never supported nor endorsed any proto Nazi movements.

Published in such assertion, stings CUNY's reputation as a serious research university and by the way, I'm a graduate at CUNY's doctorate program.

- Another clear indication of [INAUDIBLE 6:09:46]
- difference or two or blindness towards antisemitism.
- 4 This silenced on the problem of antisemitism in hip
- 5 hop and rap music and his advocacy of using hip hop
- 6 and rap in music classes to discuss racism. Yule has
- 7 described writer -
- 8 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.
- 9 TIMOTHY LAX: As an antiracist predecessor of
- 10 today's hip hop and rap artists.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for your, thank
- 12 | you for your verbal testimony and I encourage you to
- 13 | again submit it online.
- 14 TIMOTHY LAX: Okay.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Okay, I would also uhm,
- 16 | yeah, yeah, he's holding up the paper but if you
- 17 submit via at testimony@council.nyc.gov, then it will
- 18 | be included in the record online for everyone to see.
- 19 | Thank you so much for your testimony.
- 20 Our next panel includes Edwin Scott Fruehwald who
- 21 ∥ is not currently on Zoom, Rafaella Gunz, Jordy Gross,
- 22 | Allegra Timsit. And we're going to start with
- 23 Rafaella Gunz.
- 24 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Hi, my name is Rafaella Gunz, I
am a lifelong New Yorker and I was a student at CUNY
School of Law for one semester in 2019. I am a
progressive person. I identify as bisexual. I am a
feminist and I was not welcomed by my class which
included the aforementioned commencement speaker that
just spoke this year. In fact, there was a culture
of isolation and discrimination against me. I was
iced out. I was gossiped about and when I wrote
about my experience for the Jewish journal, which was
published in early 2020, I was deemed a racist, I was
deemed a stalker. I was deemed an Islamophob, a
harasser. All these types of things and this all
started because I said on Twitter that sometimes
antisemites make me want to move to Israel. Because
I said that benign statement in support of Israel, I
was labeled all these things. I was iced out on
campus. No one wanted to have a discussion with me
because that would be normalization, as was mentioned
earlier in these testimonies and I ended up leaving
the school and transferring to Yeshiva University
where I'm now about to graduate with a masters in
social work.

2.2

2.3

I left CUNY even though I'm a New Yorker and this institution is supposed to represent me and went to a Jewish institution because I feared for my own physical and mental wellbeing. Every day that I went into CUNY Law was a challenge for myself. As I felt the glares of students in disapproval as I read their comments about me online. After I left, they started a petition denouncing people like me, saying that there's a subset of Zionist student activists who seek to weaponize antisemitism. I was the only Zionist activist as far as I know and the Jewish student law school association, the student association of Jewish law students, is the only antizionist one in the entire country.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Please take that into consideration.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for your testimony. Next, we have Jordy Gross.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You're unmuted. Alright,
Jodi, we're going to come back to you. The next
speaker is Allegra Timsit.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ALLEGRA TIMSIT: Hi everyone. Good afternoon. First of all, I want to thank you all for having me here and listening to all of our stories. I know it's long.

My name is Allegra Timsit and I'm currently a rising senior at CUNY Brooklyn College. I'm the Vice President of Simon Wiesenthal Center Government Advocacy Alumni Association and I'm also the President of United for Israel, which is our pro-[INAUDIBLE 6:13:18] Brooklyn College Campus, as well as the President of the Israeli Independence Day Committee. Pretty much anything pro-Israel on Brooklyn Campus, I am your go to person but life as a pro-Israel Jewish student on college campuses, more specifically Brooklyn college is not candy and rainbows.

This past May as Michael mentioned before, I organized the Israeli and Stay Fare on the west part of my campus. We showcase different cultures. There are more — there are in Israel such as Moracin's, Iraqis, Christians, Jews and many more. While during my event, one of my current Jewish professors came up to me asking me where the Palestinian representation was at my event. A very reasonable question. So, I

2.2

2.3

simply pointed at the back gate where the students called, Students for Justice at Palestine as well as the members of [INAUDIBLE 6:14:50] decide to have their involvement affair and that's where it was going to be. Students were chanting things like, "from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free. Hey, hey, ho, ho, Zionism has got to go and even posting on Instagram pictures from the rally with a caption. "We showed Brooklyn College yesterday that Zionism is not welcome on our campus." Meaning that by their standards, I as well as many other students, are not welcome at Brooklyn College Campus.

While we were celebrating, they were attempting violence on our campus. Police and security were at high alert that day to make sure that nothing became physical like years prior. When it came time to my club creating a new flag for the upcoming semester, we decided that we need to create something that did not scream Israel, so that students felt safer to put on their laptops. We created this, a for with united and Israel but smaller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALLEGRA TIMSIT: To keep the Israel students
[INAUDIBLE 6:15:45] because that is what it's come

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

down to on my campus. I shake when it comes down to the first day of classes, when I have to explain that I'm a Jew and the President of United for Israel. CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

ALLEGRA TIMSIT: And when preparing for this testimony - can I just have one more minute.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: You can have 20 more seconds.

ALLEGRA TIMSIT: Okay, so when I was preparing for this testimony, I asked my friends to come testify as well and each and every one of them were scared to do it because of the repercussions of antisemitism on our campus and beyond. I just want to share some of their stories that they -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Since - I'm counting in my head, ten seconds left. I would recommend that you share those stories in writing and we'll put them and again, they'll be on Legistar, which is our online system attached to the minutes of this hearing.

I'm going to try to go back to Jordy Gross. There were audio issues.

JORDY GROSS: Yeah, can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: We sure can.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 JORDY GROSS: Okay, great. Sorry about that. 3 Yes, my name is Jordy and I'm the President of Hillel 4 City College. I wanted to share an experience that I 5 had last year. A few people mentioned that last year during the tension between Israel and Gaza in May, 6 7 there was a lot of stuff going on on social media and 8 part of that was actually the City College, student government and Department of Student Life posted things saying save Palestine and condemning the acts 10 11 of genocide occurring in Palestine and I felt like it 12 was alienating the Jewish community and I felt like the Jewish voices - well Jewish students were really 13 14 feeling like their voices weren't being reflected in 15 the things that the school was posting, so I in turn 16 like posted a response on behalf of Hillel. 17 which, it was basically an invitation for open and 18 respectful dialogue and basically in return the 19 comments like blew up. A lot of them were lovely and 20 supportive and a lot of them were just incredibly 21 hateful, telling me that our organization isn't

Telling me that I personally am in an oppressor of Palestinian people and I remember even speaking to a Jewish student who saw my post and he told me how

Jewish, we just have a nationalist agenda.

2.2

2.3

much he appreciated it but he was too afraid to come
and show support because he didn't know how people
would react, if people would be aggressive and to me,
like that's the craziest part that there were
students who were afraid to have their voices heard
because they thought it could put them in physical
danger.

So, I'll end by just saying that I'm very thankful that during that time, you know as horrible as it has been, I'm very thankful that we weren't on campus in person because I think that a lot of Jewish students would not have felt safe being on campus.

Uhm, and I also add that uhm, thank God, I think this is an example; at least for me personally. For me personally, I can say that my time at City College has been really positive and usually I feel safe and to me this is very reflective of the fact that in just an instant that really changed very quickly and because of something that was happening 6,000 miles away. Which I think shows like the fact that even in places where we think are really good —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Time expired.

2.

2.2

JORDY GROSS: Really can change and because of things that are beyond our control. So, that's all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for your testimony. I'm going to turn it to Council Member Vernikov who has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: A few. I'll be quick.

Rafaella, my question is for Rafaella Gunz.

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Ms. Gunz, did you ever complain about what happened to you to anyone at CUNY Law?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Uh, yes, I complained to the Dean of students on multiple occasions actually.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Did you ever put your complaints in writing?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: I — I mean, I wrote a whole article about everything that happened to me. I wrote a bullet point list that was sent to Alyza Lewin with the Brandeis Center of every antisemitic incident that I experienced on the campus.

I have emails documenting that I did go and complain to the Dean of Students but I do not have anything formal that I wrote for them, no.

\_

a

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Did anything happen as a result of your complaints?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Uhm, no, I was just told it was free speech of course, you know.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Is there an active SJP at the CUNY Law School?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Apparently there was not until a certain [INAUDIBLE 6:20:06] showed up on campus.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: So, just to be, we're not here to talk about any individual, other students.

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Okay, I apologize, I'm just saying from my experience and I was told that there was not an active SJP present and that changed when I got to campus and I was in the same class as the certain commencement speaker that was talked about earlier in the hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Ms. Gunz, how did this harassment that took place against you at CUNY Law affect your studies?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: Uh, I did really poorly. I'm typically a very good student but it was very hard to focus. It was very distracting. I had to leave class multiple times because I was having panic

2 atta

attacks and I ended up almost failing out of the school actually.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: I'm very sorry to hear that. Was there anyone at all on campus in terms of administration who came to your defense?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: No. In fact, the administration supported the actions of the students.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And so was there any action taken as a result of your complaints.

RAFAELLA GUNZ: No, I think everyone just doubled down. The petition I mentioned in my testimony received the signatures of the dean that I complained to of two of my professors of numerous student groups on campus. No one was on my side.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: And while you were at CUNY Law, did you feel physically safe?

RAFAELLA GUNZ: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member Vernikov. Our next and last panel is Lisa Rubin, Michael Mantell, Steve Greenbaum and Mormazelle Barton who is not currently on Zoom.

So, first we'll hear from Lisa Rubin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

J

\_

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Lisa, you're unmuted.

We're going to come back to you Lisa Rubin. We're
going to go to Michael - Lisa.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LISA RUBIN: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Oh, alright, hi Lisa. We hear you.

LISA RUBIN: Okay, just please give me one minute warning when my one minute is up or whatever.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Sure, I will do that. Alright, you may begin.

LISA RUBIN: Good afternoon Chair Dinowitz,
members of the Committee. My name is Lisa Rubin, I'm
completing my second year as an evening student at
CUNY Law where I feel honored to attend but I am
deeply troubled that some recent developments at the
school threatened with goals of the school and the
university.

These developments include the BDS resolution against Israel as sponsored by the student government and ratified by its faculty council because if implemented it would harm more than the many current and respective members of the schools Jewish and pro-Israel community. It would also set a harmful

2.

precedent that weakens the protection of the first amendment and academic freedom on this as well as other campuses.

This is especially the case where as here, members of the student government and faculty council also had seats on committees that make decisions on the school personnel admissions and other official matters.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: One minute.

Speak for the entire Jewish community at the school.

I will just address how I am impacted by the resolution. As a Jewish student who believes in Israel's right to exist as a Jewish state but also as a member of a family that fought against government suppression here in the USA, I was shaken when I realized that the resolutions incredibly broad sweep; it was a nightmare or I was wondering, am I seeing a form of creeping McCarthyism albeit one wrapped in the cloth of what is now labeled antizionism.

After all, the resolution, if implemented would harm so many people who are or are perceived as being Jewish, pro-Israel, having worked or planning to work at an Israel University or cultural institution

- including having presented or planning to present a
- 3 lecture there. Having done or planning to do
- 4 business in Israel or having links to any persons in
- 5 | these categories.
- 6 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.
- 7 LISA RUBIN: The rights of students on campus
- 8 clubs with similarly suspected ties could also be
- 9 | jeopardized.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.
- 11 LISA RUBIN: It is noted that CUNY in one of its
- 12 | earlier permutations as the City College of New York
- 13 already endured such a wide broom sweep.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Ms. Rubin.
- 15 LISA RUBIN: Community and -
- 16 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Can you hear me?
- 17 | LISA RUBIN: Constitutional and academic.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Perhaps you can not hear
- 19 me. I want to thank you for your testimony.
- 20 LISA RUBIN: Faculty and staff members and
- 21 students were dismissed based on a -
- 22 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Hello?
- 23 LISA RUBIN: Or had links -
- 24 CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Ms. Rubin?

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LISA RUBIN: To those who were or perceived as being communists. Now, at the school where the focus

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Lisa, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Ms. Rubin?

LISA RUBIN: The approach would be easily similar with the implementation of the above EDS resolution. Just substitute -

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Lisa?

LISA RUBIN: For communism.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Lisa?

LISA RUBIN: To protect the freedoms and rights that we all cherish.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Ms. Rubin, do you hear us?

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: I'm going to guess that her speakers are off, which is why she had trouble logging on before and we're going to move on to the next speaker and just so everyone whose watching knows she does — I did speak with her earlier, she

The next speaker is Michael Mantell.

does know to submit her testimony in writing.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MICHAEL MANTELL: Hello, thank you for this opportunity. I'll be sharing a small sample of many

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

instances and statements made in mandatory classes, at on campus events and of course readings endorsed by the student government and shared by members of the CUNY Hunter College Community. I will focus primarily and ironically on the Silberman School of Social Work.

I will begin with quotes, some so horrific that I was advised not to restate them here, so please excuse some of the language. "New York legalizes pedophilia since Jews must suck on baby penises." "Jews control the government and the banks." "The Israel lobby led by the Antidefamation League manufactures an antisemitism hype." "The holocaust has been used as a tool to oppress and kill others." "Jews fetishize the holocaust." "Your Zionist mind games don't work on me." "One in every 500 college students get shot by Israeli soldiers." "Jews out of CUNY, the Jews have made a hell of a mistake this time." "It's high time Jews start being viewed as cockroaches or any other pests to be exterminated without exception." "Death to Jews and antisemitism doesn't exist here anymore."

In addition to those quotes, there have been instances of the following: Faculty making

2.2

2.3

inappropriate comments about religious Jews, Jewish students and a Jewish client. Internship supervisors questioning Jewish students competency because of their religious observance. Faculty denying accommodations for assignments that conflict with Jewish holidays. Administration offering Saturday only mandatory classes for its leadership program, making it inaccessible for religiously observant Jewish students. Faculty telling Jewish students not to join a Silberman student run Facebook group because of the hate speech and antisemitic rhetoric. Please be quiet for a second.

Mandatory class readings blaming Jews for the rise in antisemitism and the lack of discourse on antisemitism and accusing Jews of Hitler as a Nazi like crime against humanity. The library sharing book accusing Jews of controlling the African slave trade. With all of this, it's no surprise or a coincidence that students opinions and statements after the same rhetoric found in class discussion and course readings.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MICHAEL MANTELL: At CUNY Hunter College Silberman School of Social Work, faculty and

administrators are fully aware of the following statement that they have heard these things before.

Know that they haven't done enough. That they are bereaved in their course and curriculum —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Wrap it up please.

MICHAEL MANTELL: And woefully lacking in their capacity to sustain efforts to combat antisemitism. The remaining part will be shared by more —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

MICHAEL MANTELL: She'll be continuing in this testimony. Thank you all for this time.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you very much for your testimony. Next, we have Steve Greenbaum.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

STEVE GREENBAUM: Okay, thank you Chair Dinowitz and members of the City Council for this long day. So, I have an immediate reaction to the June 2021 resolution in support of BDS passed by my union. Professional Staff Congress City of New York PSC CUNY. I'm a son of survivors and perhaps the first time in my relatively sheltered life growing up in New York City, I experienced a sense of foreboding similar to what academic in New York may have felt in the 1930's.

J

The original wording of the resolution drafted by the PSC was one-side and brutal vilification of Israel and supportive of Israel, laced with antisemitism. The anted version had passed including a [INAUDIBLE 6:29:19-6:29:22] of antisemitism, leaving the Israel bashing intact.

Addition to what I regard as a personal assault on a Jew and a son of holocaust survivors, I'm deeply offended by the idea that PSC activists hot heads believe they can dictate which university's I can and cannot work with. I have substantial and close economic ties with several work class universities of Israel, including Tele University and Technia.

Groundbreaking research on renewable energy
technologies. My field continues to originate Israel
and I'm privileged to collaborate with several groups
there. By the way, I'm a CUNY distinguished
Professor of Physics at Hunter College in the
Graduate Center. These efforts bring significant
benefits to CUNY including international recognition
for me and my students. To do the best science one
can do, shouldn't we be working with the best people
given the opportunity? Then of course is the
chilling and intimidating effect that CUNY's support

for BDS has had on our students. You've heard ample examples of that this morning and this afternoon.

In July 2021, I resigned from the PSAQ seat for the PSA union and I believe the resignation of the number of people who have resigned is in fact closer to 300. I dispute Presidents figure and by now, there's not a single member who has resigned since we joined the union. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. I know Council Member Vernikov has a question for this panel.

Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Just real quick. Is Mr. Mantell still there? He may not be. Are you able to see?

MICHAEL MANTELL: Hi, can you hear me?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Yeah, okay very good.

MICHAEL MANTELL: I'm still here.

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you. My question is real quick because towards the end, I think you mentioned that the administration of the college of CUNY Hunter College was aware. And you really didn't get into that and I just, I really only have about 60 seconds. I'm going to turn it over to you. Can you

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tell us what your interaction with the Administration was and what their response was?

MICHEAL MANTELL: Yeah, uhm too many to list but I'll start with despite their admission faculty and administrator did very little of substance. failed to address how they would ethically and morally protect Jewish students and adequately address antisemitism after a swastika was found on campus and despite this dean of the Silberman School of Social Work immediately releasing public statements, all in the murder of George Floyd, Derrick Chobin (SP?), a verdict and violence against the AAPI community administrators rejected Jewish students request for a public statement from the dean to condemn antisemitism, citing people still die. And Jewish students have been reporting this at least since 2008. They've said that faculty have been giving them run around and same with the administration. They've been ignored even when approaching the administration with evidence and students have referred to the faculty administrations lack of follow up as insult to injury, a slap in the face and another said she was disheartened by the lack of appropriate responses.

2.

\_

J

\_\_\_

Students left the meetings with administrators saying they feel confused, skeptical and brushed off. They described the responses inadequate, unclear, watered down and hypocritical and at this point don't believe the administration will do a single thing.

In fact, our last meeting was over one year ago and they said that they would get back to us and they never did.

And I just want to remind you all that if these are our allies and this is a place where Jews are supposed to feel safe and CUNY, we have a problem because none of these people have said that they are antisemite and yet, they are causing such distress and harm to Jewish students. And by the way, I can go on.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you, thank you very much. We're going to take a 30-second break, excuse me. {BREAK 06:33:33-06:33:49] Our last witness is Sophia Ellman-Golan.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SOPHIA ELLMAN-GOLAN: Uhm, hi, can you hear me?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes.

SOPHIA ELLMAN-GOLAN: Okay, great. HI, thanks for the time. As someone who has been personally

2.2

2.3

targeted by White nationalists and neo-Nazis in the past few years, I want to address the broader context in which this hearing is taking place. We are currently witnessing a nationwide attack on public education and liberal academic institutions: Reinserting Christian prayer in public schools; removing Holocaust education literature like MAUS; banning discussion and systemic racism; cracking down on student organizing; and of course, an effort to

vilify LGBTQ people as groomers.

The funding behind these sources, behind these efforts, sources of legislation and statements of those involved indicate that this is an explicitly White Christian nationalist project and it's swiftly gaining power. Republican members of this City Council have chosen to align with some of the most powerful leaders of that movement, like Governor Ron DeSantis, who one Council Member recently praised as a friend to the Jewish community. There are real threats fueling antisemitism and endangering Jews in New York, on campus, and nationwide. Those threats are not student organizers or the PSC union.

There is a difference between non-violent political action around Israel-Palestine, and violent

2.2

2.3

White supremacist movements. I'm concerned that this hearing fails to adequately address the latter, and that it conflates the two. Jewish New Yorkers face antisemitic street violence and harassment, spurred by the ubiquity of antisemitic conspiracy theories that increased under Donald Trump's presidency, swastikas on campus as mentioned, confederate flag outside the Museum of Jewish Heritage, patriot front members, White supremacist like Nick Fuentes have recently held rallies and actions in our city. At least one defender of the Proud Boys sits in this City Council. This is the antisemitism that most threatens Jewish safety in New York City.

Antisemitism is part of a machinery of fear and division. The same machinery driving physical and legal attacks on women, queer and trans people, immigrants, Black and Brown people, Muslims, Asian people and others. And these attacks are coming from an emboldened, well-funded, White Christian nationalist movement.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SOPHIA ELLMAN-GOLAN: Harassment and hate are never acceptable. Given the nationwide attack on public education —

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you.

SOPHIA ELLMAN-GOLAN: And real threats to Jewish safety, the focus I've heard today on student organizing and non-violent criticism of the Israeli government is troubling.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you very much and I encourage you to do what I've encouraged the past few panels to do is submit the rest of your testimony in writing. It will appear Legistar within 72 hours attached to the Committee.

SOPHIA ELLMAN-GOLAN: I will do that.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: And Council Members?

Council Member Yeger.

COUNCIL MEMEBR YEGER: Thank you very much Mr.

Chairman. I would just reflect on the fact that you know I represent dozens, if not more and together with Council Member Vernikov, we probably represent well over 100 members of our community who in the last year or two have faced violent antisemitic attacks and in the cases where the perpetrators have been apprehended, in not one case that I know of and my colleague can speak for herself was the case of a neo Nazi roaming around Borough Park or Flatbush attacking people and when Hasidic Jews are knocked or

have their shtreimels knocked off on Friday night in
Williamsburg, it's typically not neo-Nazi Trump
supporters who are doing it and I'm okay with a
witness having their own personal political opinions
about who they do or don't like but we're talking
about New York City and we're talking about the
safety of students on New York City college campuses
and the idea that the hatred that they are facing,
much like the hatred that my orthodox observant
visibly observant Jewish neighbors are facing on a
day to day basis where somebody wearing a Yamaka
feels unsafe walking the streets of this city. The
idea that that's coming from Trump nationalists or
White supremacist is some of the most silly things
that I've ever heard and this is a hearing that's
gone on now for eight hours and it's unbelievable.
So, I'm going to leave it at that and turn it
back over to Mr. Chairman. Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you Council Member.
Council Member Vernikov. No, okay. That is the end

Council Member Vernikov. No, okay. That is the end of witnesses. If there are people watching today and they want to submit testimony, they could do so via

24 testimony@council.nyc.gov.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to thank every single witness who testified. Some of you shared very personal experiences and some of you put yourselves in a place that a lot of your friends and colleagues didn't want to go because of you know fear of retribution. is your school, career, your college, your grad school career. If you're a professor, your career, your place in the union. Whatever it is, I thank you for sharing your stories and I think all of us here recognize that it is just a small sampling of what I want to thank my colleagues in the goes on. Council and especially Council Members Yeger and Vernikov who stayed throughout the hearing and of course the staff who have been on the Zoom, who have been keeping time and keeping us safe.

You know, I was an educator — actually one of my degrees is in music, so when I hear your testimony about music; I read all your emails by the way. You know education is supposed to be a place that fosters discussion and disagreement and sometimes that disagreement is uncomfortable. And uhm, somethings it's uncomfortable and we don't like what the other person has to say.

But the silencing of a certain group of people is different than having a different point of view. And we expect certain things from CUNY. As mentioned in my opening statement, the antisemitism is coming from the far left and the far right. They look different but it's all rooted in the same antisemitism. And we expect CUNY to do more than just rely on their individual campuses because we know at their individual campuses there are multiple lawsuits and investigations going on. We expect them to do that work that they spoke about today of promoting conversation, instead of what we heard today in our witness statements. Instead of ignoring the issue and maybe taking over a year to simply forward an email back to you.

You know we expect them to provide the data we requested about bias reporting, the incidence reporting and to create clear guidelines for identifying antisemitism so we can have those conversations and that they do the robust outreach regarding how and what to report. What does harassment look like? How does it differ from a hate crime? That they post the info, the information online and they keep it updated so we can have a

2.2

2.3

better handle of what's going on. Because as you
heard in testimony, only 25 percent if that of people

4 | even reporting anything.

That you've heard time and time again that they reinvest in the DEI training which includes antisemitism and provide an inventory of occurring DEI training. And of course, I'm looking forward with my colleagues in the Council to following up with CUNY and with the Chancellor on how these issues are being addressed and what concrete steps are being taken to ensure that our educational institution is truly — it lives up to its ideals and really is a place where all students can feel safe.

I thank everyone for coming. I thank you all for watching today. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, please hold on one moment.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Counsel is going to speak to you. Crystal is going to speak to you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Oh, is anyone on Zoom — you get a note but you know, it didn't say a thing.

But I don't — is there anyone on Zoom who has not testified? Please raise your hand. I see no hands

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

and I want to again thank Dr. Grace who again, I see on the Zoom window, has stayed on, has heard your

4 testimony and in my heart, I do believe that CUNY is

5 going to take concrete steps to help address this

6 problem and truly engage with this Council and all

the stakeholders.

Joshua Greenberg, if you've already testified — Joshua Greenberg, have you already testified?

JOSHUA GREENBERG: I have not.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Oh, you haven't. Oh, that's right.

JOSHUA GREENBERG: I was unable to make it in person like I was supposed to because my flight got delayed.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes Chair, he was marked as in-person attending, so he was not joining us on Zoom, so he can give his testimony.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you. Joshua Greenberg, two minutes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JOSHUA GREENBERG: Thank you. So, I wanted to testify to the City Council about two issues in particular that I have faced as a CUNY student.

24

First off, in relation to the 60-day thing, I filed a complaint regarding an antisemitic and antidisability harassment case at CUNY that where a student assaulted me because I'm a Jewish disabled student. They assaulted me and my friend who defended me verbally and physically. I filed an antisemitism complaint with the DEI office and it took them over six months to even give an initial response.

Also, at CUNY, I have faced a ton of discrimination from student government who chose to open their own offices without opening the Office of Religious Ethnic Racial Cultural groups, like Hillel and the Muslim Student Association etc.. I'm just giving examples of different religious gouges but at CUNY, the student government of Baruch hopes to open their own offices but not religious groups offices, which is a violation of our free exercise of religion. In fact, when students prayed, the Office of Student Life tried to break it up. It is completely unacceptable what is going on at Baruch College.

They tell us that it's because of COVID that they don't let us pray or have our Jewish life, yet

## COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION

student government has their offices personally to
themselves, which is queer discrimination because
they are very antisemitic our student government.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DINOWITZ: Thank you for your testimony. Are there any other hands for people who have not yet spoken on Zoom? Okay, seeing none, I thank everyone who has stayed on again to testify, my colleagues and I look forward to addressing this, to continuing to address this issue, so that we can build a better future for our students and our children. Thank you very much. This hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 30, 2022