## DISPOSITIONS

1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

----- X

June 7, 2022

Start: 10:02 a.m. Recess: 11:43 a.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 2

B E F O R E: Farah N. Louis, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Oswald Feliz

Christopher Marte

Sandy Nurse Sandra Ung Inna Vernikov

Selvena N. Brooks-Powers

Althea Stevens Charles Barron

## APPEARANCES

David Harney
Jeremy Brooks
Kevin Parris
Perris Straughter
Stacey Pheffer Amato, Assemblywoman
Dolores Orr
Jacqueline Rogers
Sonia Moise
Glenn DiResto
Wanda Warden
Amber Clinkscales
Marvyn Elias
ChantAndrea Blissett

SERGEANT	PEREZ:	All	right.	Good	morning.

Welcome to New York City Council's remote

Subcommittee Hearing on Landmarks, Public Sitings,

5 and Dispositions.

Everyone, please turn on your videos at this time.

Silence all electronic devices.

All written testimony can be submitted to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you.

Chair Louis, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Council Member Farah Louis, Chair of the Committee on Landmarks, Public Sitings, and Dispositions.

I'm joined today by my Colleagues, Council Members Feliz, Ung, and Marte.

Today, we will vote on LU 52, the Sutter
Place NCP project and hold public hearings on LUs 61
and 62 for the EMS Station New Facility and LUs 67,
68, 69, 70, and 71 for the Resilient Edgemere
Project.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to explain today's hearing procedures.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you,
Chair Louis. I am Jeffrey Campagna, Counsel to the
Subcommittee.

Members of the public who wish to testify were asked to register for today's hearing. If you registered to testify and are not yet signed into Zoom, please sign in now and remain signed in until after you have testified.

If you wish to testify and have not registered, please go to <a href="https://www.council.nyc.gov/landuse">www.council.nyc.gov/landuse</a> to sign up now.

If you are not planning to testify on today's items, please watch the hearing on the New York City Council website.

All people testifying before the Subcommittee will be on mute until they are recognized to testify. Please confirm that your mic is unmuted before you begin speaking.

Public testimony will be limited to 2 minutes per witness. If you have written testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider in

52 for the Sutter Place NCP project which we heard at

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND 1 DISPOSITIONS 6 our meeting on May 11th. This application was 2 3 submitted by the Department of Housing and 4 Preservation and Development pursuant to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law and Section 197-c of the New York City Charter requesting designation of an 6 Urban Development Action approval and Urban 7 Development Action Area Project for such area and 8 approval of the disposition of city-owned property to a developer to be selected by HPD. This action would 10 11 facilitate the development of 4 buildings containing 12 approximately 46 affordable housing units and a 13 commercial space on property located at 492-496 14 Glenmore Avenue (Block 3725, Lots 12 and 13) as well 15 as 2358-2363 Pitkin Avenue (Block 4015, Lots 22, 23, 16 and 24) and 943 Dumont Avenue (Block 406, Lot 52) and 17 743 Blake Avenue (Block 3775, Lot 150) in the 18 Brooklyn Council Districts represented by Council 19 Members Nurse and Barron. 20 Does Council Member Nurse want to provide 21 any remarks? I know she's underground right now. 2.2 She's commuting still. Council Member Barron... 2.3 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I'm sorry, Chair. That's not possible at this moment. I'll have to come 24

25

back on.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: All right. I'm going to call on Council Member Barron who is here and would like to provide remarks. Good morning, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Good morning,

Chair. I just want to thank my partner, Council

Member Sandy Nurse. This was a long negotiating

process. The project didn't start off as what we

wanted it to be, but, when you hold onto your

principles and you're prioritizing your community and

the affordability and use the authority and power

that you have on the City Council, you can get a 100

percent affordable project. This is 100 percent

affordable, 50 percent of the AMI, a percentage at

that, and 40 down to 30 and where could you go to get

a 3-bedroom apartment for less than 1,000 dollars a

month in those kinds of categories so this is 100

percent affordable.

2.2

I want to thank Sandy Nurse and my staff and her staff for reaching this conclusion. Thank you, Madam Chair. Appreciate the opportunity to speak, and we support it 1,000 percent.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: We thank you and Council Member Nurse for your leadership on this

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 8					
2	project. With the support of the local Council					
3	Members, I now call for a vote to approve LU 52.					
4	Counsel, please call the roll.					
5	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Louis.					
6	CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: I vote aye.					
7	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Feliz.					
8	Council Member Feliz.					
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Aye.					
10	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Council					
11	Member Marte.					
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I vote aye.					
13	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Council					
14	Member Nurse.					
15	COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Aye.					
16	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Council					
17	Member Ung.					
18	COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Aye.					
19	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Minority					
20	Whip Vernikov.					
21	MINORITY WHIP VERNIKOV: Good morning. Not					
22	Minority Leader yet. I vote aye.					
23	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: By a vote					
24	of 6 in the affirmative with 0 in the negative an 0					
25	abstentions, the items is approved and recommended to					

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the full Land Use Committee, and the vote will be 3 held open.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much and congratulations Council Member Barron and Nurse.

We've also been joined by Majority Whip Brooks-Powers.

We continue now with the first public hearing on LU 61 and LU 62. Two applications related to the EMS Station 17 New Facility submitted by the Department of Administrative Services and FDNY LU 61 relates to an application that was submitted pursuant to the Section 197-c of the Charter requesting approval of a site selection of property located at 1257 Morris Avenue (Block 2450, Lot 42) in the Bronx for use as an ambulance station. LU 62 relates to an application that was submitted pursuant to Section 197-c and 201 of the New York City Charter for the grant of a special permit pursuant to Section 76-67 of the Zoning Resolution to allow an FDNY Emergency Medical Services Station use in a residential district. These actions would facilitate the construction of a proposed 2-story EMS station building on property located in a R7-1 district located at 1257 Morris Avenue in the Bronx Council

2 District represented by Council Member Stevens. Is

3 Council Member Stevens available to provide remarks?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: She is

5 here.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

 $\label{eq:chairperson Louis: Good morning, Stevens.}$  The floor is yours.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Hi. Good morning. Thank you for having me here today. I am here and excited to be here but just had a few questions that I thought that we should bring up as far as a lot of the community concerns with this EMS station regarding noise, parking, and quality of life for residents and so I just think that it's important that when we're making these decisions that we're making them in a holistic way that does not impact the community in a very negative way, especially when we have 2 EMS sites in very close proximity to the one that they're alleging to build and some of the questions I have, I just would like to make sure that we have answers before we move forward because quality of life for my residents is my top and number 1 priority.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much,
Council Member Stevens, for your remarks. Counsel,

2.2

2.3

2 Majority Whip Brooks-Powers has to hop off at 10:30.

3 Is it okay for her to provide her remarks in advance?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Yes, she can provide her remarks in advance if she wants to address the Resilient Edgemere now if she has to leave.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you,

Chair. I'll also ask my questions and hope they'll

get answered at the appropriate time. Good morning,

everyone. Thank you once again to our Chair, Council

Member Louis, and the Committee staff for

facilitating today's hearing. I'd like to speak on

the items being heard today concerning the Resilient

Edgemere zoning proposal led by HPD...

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Majority Whip, you went on mute.

MAJORITY WHIP BROOKS-POWERS. Thank you.

Led by HPD. The plan includes significant changes to the fabric of Edgemere including significant down-zoning near the Jamaica Bay coastline to minimize damage caused by future floods, infill zoning and the establishment of a community land trust in Central Edgemere and up-zoning along Rockaway Beach Boulevard to facilitate new development and boost commercial

activity. I am encouraged by some components of this plan, particularly the measures that will make our community more resilient to coastal flooding. However, I have serious concerns which are shared by many of my constituents. Last night, I hosted a town hall where Edgemere residents received another presentation from HPD and had the chance to share their perspectives on the project and submit feedback. It was a valuable session, and I'm glad to have had the opportunity to hear directly from constituents in advance of this hearing to ensure that their voices are heard. This project does not currently have the support of the community. Community Board 14 unanimously disapproved the proposal. However, despite points of opposition, there was space to make improvements, including downzoning for resiliency, ensuring 100 percent home ownership as HPD had committed previously, but, most importantly, better infrastructure investments including road widening and building a trauma center hospital in the area. With that said, I look forward to today's hearing and hope to hear from members of the public who have signed up to testify.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

Some of the questions that I'd like to 2 get a response from include could you describe what 3 4 regulations apply in the proposed Special Coastal Risk District and how these will improve resiliency, 5 what proportion of the scattered sites in the HMA 6 will be open space as opposed to new housing, has 7 8 there been engagement with homeowners in the HMA and LDA areas about the potential community land trust. Questions around density that I have, what is the 10 11 total number of new housing units projected from this 12 rezoning, how many of these units are on HPD 13 controlled sites where there are (INAUDIBLE) for 100 percent affordability. The increased density in this 14 15 proposal is in the proposed HDP UDAAP project site but also the block between Beach 49th and 50th 16 17 Streets, which is privately owned, why was this block 18 chosen to be rezoned to an R6A, will HPD engage with 19 the community before drafting the RFP for the UDAAP sites and what kind of commitments can HPD make 20 21 regarding proposal requirements such as specific type of community facilities? The Community Board has 2.2 2.3 expressed a clear preference for home ownership, affordable housing. Can HPD commit to affordable home 24

ownership co-ops as part of the UDAAP project sites.

3 infrastructure what investments is the city making to

Finally, I just have a few questions around

5 in Edgemere, have DOT, DEP, SCA, and the Parks

6 Department committed to new infrastructure

7 investments to support thousands of new residents in

deal with stormwater and high tide related flooding

8 | Edgemere coming from the Edgemere Commons, Arverne

9 East, and potentially this proposal as well, and how

10 | is HPD coordinating with the other agencies. HPD

11 | worked with DOT on a transportation study in the

12 Rockaways that was published in 2019. What projects

13 | from that study, especially widening Edgemere Avenue

14 | from Beach 32nd to Beach 56th, has the administration

15 committed to funding and on what timeline, and since

16 the closing of Peninsula Hospital the Rockaways are

17 | not underserved, especially for acute and trauma

18 | care. what commitments is the city making to bring

19 | additional healthcare services to the community.

20 | Those are the questions. I look forward to hearing

21  $\parallel$  responses on the record from HPD. Thank you, again,

22 | Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you, Majority

Whip. We are joined today by David Harney, Jeremy

24

1

2

4

2.2

2.3

Next slide, please.

My name is David Harney. I am the Chief of Staff to the Deputy Fire Commissioner for Support Services. The application before you this morning, Land Use URLUP number P2018X310, is for site selection, acquisition, and for special permit so the Fire Department might relocate EMS Station 17 from its current site at 1080 Ogden Avenue to 1257 Morris Avenue in Bronx Community Board 4 and so a community center might be built at the site of the existing EMS Station. If you would please put up our presentation, I can walk the Committee through our proposal. Next slide, please.

In 1996, the City's Emergency Medical Services function was merged into the Fire

Department. One of the merger's goals was to increase the number of EMS support facilities citywide. By increasing the number of EMS stations, we'd be able to reduce ambulance "out-of-service" times and keep these units available in their response areas longer. You must remember, back in 1996, EMS only had 16 stations citywide, with only 3 to service the entire Bronx. However, today, our Bureau of EMS Operations as 40 EMS stations, of which 10 are in the Bronx.

2.2

2.3

Next, it's important to understand EMS

Operations does not function in the same manner as

Fire Operations. In Fire Operations, fire companies

leave their firehouse in an emergency response

capacity with lights and sirens and travel to the

assigned incident. When the incident is completed,

they return to their firehouse to await their next

assigned call. However, EMS operates differently and

uses a strategy known as "dynamic deployment." Here,

ambulances leave the station mostly in a non
emergency capacity, no lights, no sirens, and travel

to predesignated street corner locations known as

"atoms." Next slide, please.

From these "atoms," units are dispatched to medical emergencies. Also, as in most EMS incidents, the EMS unit must then transport patients to area hospitals. After a patient has been transferred to hospital staff, the EMS unit may return to their "atom" or may need to go "out-of-service" and return to their station to restock supplies, decontaminate the ambulance compartment or themselves, or for administrative reasons. Out-of-service times affect ambulance availability so by increasing the number of EMS stations, we reduce out-

2.2

2.3

of-service time, and greater in-service time equates
to lower emergency medical response time. Next slide,
please.

In terms of EMS Station 17, it's currently located at 1080 Ogden Avenue in Bronx Community Board 4. The building is the former quarters of Engine 68. The company was housed here until a new firehouse was built along with Ladder 49 in 1979. For approximately 20 years, the building was used by our Building Maintenance Division as a storage facility, but, in 1997, after the merger, the building was renovated and became EMS Station 17. Meanwhile, the building is most probably, we don't have an exact date, over a century old, and the 3-story wood-frame structure is the only building of its type remaining in the agency's facility inventory. Next slide, please.

In 2018, the agency performed a structural review of the building. Here, we concluded the facility had existed its useful life.

Specifically, the apparatus floor, as you can see on the right, has a significant number of cracks and open joints at the garage door's threshold. Rainwater and melting snow has also entered the building's

2 structural system as you can see in the photo on the

3 bottom right of the basement. This has been

4 exacerbated by vehicles and equipment decontamination

5 work. To that end, and over the past few years, the

6 agency has conducted a variety of remedial efforts to

7 | slow the building's deterioration as plans were

developed to replace this important facility. Next

9 | slide please.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

With funding support from City Hall to replace this facility, in 2019 the agency selected the vacant parcel at 1257 Morris Avenue as the optimal site for a new EMS Station 17. Here on the right, you can see photos of the vacant lot. Also located in Bronx Community Board 4, the parcel, which is under the agency's jurisdiction, measures approximately 15,000 square feet and is adjacent to the quarters of Engine 92/Ladder 44. Here, the agency working with the Department of Design and Construction plans to build a 2-story approximately 11,700 square-foot facility with below-grade parking for the station's staff to serve as the new home for EMS Station 17. Next slide, please.

While this project was slowed by COVID-19, in December the City Planning Commission

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

certified the Fire Department/Department of Citywide Administrative Services for a Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure for this important project. The proposed facility will have interior parking for 6 ambulances with one additional bay for vehicle decontamination. Other areas of the proposed main floor include offices for the on-duty lieutenant, storage areas for vehicle supplies, oxygen, advanced and basic life support ambulance, medical supplies. What we mean by advanced versus basic, advanced life support ambulances or what we call ALS ambulances, they're staffed by paramedics while BLS ambulances, basic life support, they're staffed by EMTs, an elevator, a ready room, an eye-wash/decontamination station, and an ADA-compliant restroom. Next slide, please.

The proposed facility's second floor has an office for the station's captain, male and female locker rooms, bathrooms, a stress-reduction room which is a nice name for a gym, a training area, an outdoor terrace, a lactation room for EMS members who are also nursing mothers, space for security and telecommunications equipment, several storage areas, the building's mechanical system/elevator controls,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and a custodial closet. Finally, the proposed

building's cellar will have off-street parking for 21

personal vehicles and a bicycle rack so there's no

impact on on-street parking. Meanwhile, the members

of Engine 92/Ladder 44 will continue to have off
street parking on the remaining portion of the

existing lot. Next slide, please.

We also want to talk about the green elements that we're very excited about putting into this proposed facility. For more than a decade, the Fire Department has been at the forefront in its use of green energy. Across our portfolio of more than 400 buildings, we continue to retrofit aging or costly utility systems with sustainable ones, such as green roofs, solar voltaic electrical or solar hot water systems, LED lighting, geothermal heating systems, or natural lighting. The proposed new quarters for EMS Station 17 is no different as it is designed to be LEED Silver to comply with Local Law 86 of 2005 and installing a green roof in compliance with Local Law 92 and 94 of 2019. You see the FDNY Green logo, that is on all our ambulances, and I'll discuss that in a moment. The lower image, that is of the glass roof we installed on top of the new

2.2

2.3

quarters of Rescue 2 in Brooklyn to provide natural light into the firehouse to reduce the need for powered lighting. Next slide, please.

In order to achieve LEED certification, the project relies heavily on improving the indoor environment by monitoring carbon dioxide in densely occupied spaces, selecting low-emitting materials, monitoring potential sources of indoor contamination during construction, and performing a "flush-out" of the HVAC systems after construction to ensure a contaminant-free system. Next, and in compliance with Executive Order 395 of 2013, the proposed project will also achieve the "Design for Active Occupants" LEED credit which promotes occupant health by encouraging stair use. Next slide, please.

Here, you can see the 2 images, these are 2 existing Fire Department facilities where we're already utilizing not only green roofs but solar water-heating systems. The vegetated (green) roof is now a requirement for all new city buildings, and this system captures stormwater while the proposed building will include stormwater detention tanks to help reduce New York City's Combined Sewer Overflow during most rain events. Meanwhile, construction

2.2

2.3

materials will be locally sourced and durable to ensure an extended lifespan. Finally, all in-building equipment will be energy efficient and have Energy Star ratings. For example, cooking will be performed by electric fed stove instead of natural gas, which is a non-renewable fossil fuel. Next slide, please.

Me also want to talk about, and I mentioned this before, the ambulance green elements. Ambulances need electric power to operate vital medical equipment, agency radios/mobile data terminals, and to maintain ambient temperatures for the medicines, patient care, and in both the crew cab and patient bay. Historically, this has required ambulance engines to remain idling while the EMS crew is stationed at their "atom" while waiting for assignment to medical emergencies. One ambulance, for every hour of idling burns about a gallon and a half of gas, diesel fuel, emits about 33 pounds of carbon dioxide, and puts between 35 and 50 miles' worth of wear-and-tear on vehicle engines. Next slide, please.

Several years ago, the agency had planned to install charging stations at EMS "atoms." Here, the crew would have been able to plug the ambulance into a charging dock and then be able to turn off the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

engine while powering all systems. However, industry technology superseded this plan, and now the agency utilizes an on-board system called "Stealth Power." Here, you see our original design for the charging stations and what the self-power unit looks like which is located in the back of the ambulance. This system provides powerful electrical equipment (air, heat, light, laptops, radios, refrigerators, windows, electric stretchers) without turning on the ambulance engine. While an initial charge can maintain a vehicle for more than 4 hours, the system will also recharge itself when the vehicle is being driven, and this has dramatically led to reduction in our use of burning fossil fuels and reduction of engine hours which helps us in the back end that we're not replacing engine motors on a regular basis. Next slide, please.

Currently, about 75 percent of our 700 ambulances are equipped with this system. All future ambulances purchased will be equipped with this green technology. The agency also has completed specification development and will soon receive its first emergency medical response vehicle equipped with solar panels. This new system will also support

2.2

2.3

the vehicle's electrical needs while not requiring
the engine to idle. As such, this will further reduce
carbon emissions by our ambulance fleet and the
amount of engine wear-and-tear. Next slide, please.

Now we're going for the good part of the facility. Here you see the floor plan for the main floor of the proposed ambulance station. If you look on the top of the floor plan and on the right side, you see the outdoor parking spaces for the members of Engine 92/Ladder 44. There you see the proposed building which has BLS storage, a ready room, the apparatus for the washing station, (INAUDIBLE) oxygen and other supply areas. Next slide, please.

On the proposed second floor, you can see the men's and women's lockers and bathrooms, the mechanical equipment areas, the captain's office, the security/telecom, the gym, the training area, the dining room, and the outdoor terrace as well as the staircases. Next slide, please.

Meanwhile, most importantly, here in the basement you can see the 21 parking spaces and bicycle rack. We have proposed to build as part of this proposal so we're not competing with local residents for on-street parking. Next slide, please.

2 CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Mr. Harney.

2.2

2.3

DAVID HARNEY: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: We actually have this entire presentation so, if you wanted to transition to the next part, we could.

DAVID HARNEY: Okay. Very quickly. We can roll through the other remaining slides very quickly. Next slide, please.

The front of the building, looking at it from a north to south view with Engine 92/Ladder 44 on the right.

Here you can see the building looking north with the entrance to the garage to the proposed facility, Engine 92/Ladder 44.

Again, looking south along the sidewalk. Next slide, please.

Okay. The proposed action, the agency worked with DCAS on the ULURP action which was the site selection, but, because EMS stations are not allowed as-of-right in an R7 zoning district, part of this action is a request that a special permit be provided by the City Planning Commission for this proposed project. Meanwhile, the proposed station will comply with all applicable bulk, height, setback

2 regulations as well as parking requirements in an R7
3 district. Next slide, please.

The proposed action was approved by Bronx Community Board 4 on February 22nd and by the Bronx Borough President on March 22nd. The City Planning Commission then reviewed and approved the proposed action on April 27th. With the Council's approval of this proposed action, the long-term plan for EMS Station 17's current location is to be transformed into a community center as a component of the city's borough-based jail program. However, this important effort cannot commence until the proposed EMS station is built. Next slide, please.

The Fire Department believes this

proposed new facility is important because it will

enhance the agency's EMS capabilities within Bronx

Community Board 4, not contribute to traffic/parking

issues along Ogden Avenue, provide our EMS staff with

a state-of-the-art facility while moving them out of

an old structurally failing building, not impact

parking/travel along Morris Avenue after it's built,

and create space for a community center. As such, the

Fire Department respectfully requests the City

2.2

2.3

2 | Council Subcommittee support this proposed action.

3 With that, next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

We'll be happy to take anyone's questions. I appreciate the Council's time and please forgive me for running over.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much for your presentation. Are there any Council Members that have questions? I know Council Member Stevens does.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: If there are any Council Members who have questions, please use the raise hand button, and Council Member Stevens, if you'd like to begin.

and thank you guys for being here. Thank you, Chair, for having this hearing and thank you, FDNY, for being here. I just want to go on record saying I am very aware of this project and even the community center as I was one of the community members on the MAP that fought to get the community center to be there, but I still have quite a few concerns, and I just have a couple of questions I would love to ask to just get clarity and to make sure that we are able to put systems in place that will hold people

2.2

2.3

2 accountable if there does become an issue in the 3 community.

Given the high number of FDNY facilities in the surrounding areas, could your team explain the rationale for choosing this location for the new EMS facility, and what makes the facility different than other FDNY facilities in the area?

DAVID HARNEY: Council Member, please forgive me. You broke up at the end. Would you repeat that, please?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I said given the high number of FDNY facilities in the surrounding areas, could you team please explain on the rationale for choosing this location for the new EMS facilities and what makes this facility different than the other facilities in the area?

DAVID HARNEY: Thank you very much for your question. First and foremost, the agency chose this location for a variety of reasons, one because the relocation of the existing facility would not affect the response times and the drive times of the existing EMS units that are coming out of Station 17 in terms of the units of leaving their facility and going to their (INAUDIBLE) the "atoms." The agency

2.2

2.3

also chose this location because the property was under the Fire Department's jurisdiction and thereby saving the city a considerable amount of money in terms of property acquisition. From an operational perspective and a financial perspective, this was a win/win for the agency.

In terms of being different from other facilities, as I noted in my presentation, this will be a state-of-the-art facility that we're very proud of because of all of the new components that were put into it but also in terms of putting off-street parking in the for the members so we're not competing with local area residents for on-street parking, which we know is an issue citywide, and we're doing our best to address those. The proposed project will still ensure that the firefighters assigned to Engine 92 or Ladder 44 continue to have off-street parking while the members of the proposed EMS station will have off-street parking as well so for these reasons, we're very excited about this proposed project.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: One of my major issues and questions have been is I know you put off-street parking, there's a parking lot, but in every facility that you see across the city there is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

literally EMS trucks parked outside all those facilities. A lot of times, folks still park on the street, and those things will affect the quality of life so how are you going to make sure that staff who are coming there are using the facilities, that EMS trucks are not parked on the street blocking the traffic because that is a serious issue, especially when I said there is literally 2 other facilities that do it currently, and this is Morris Avenue, which is a very busy street, which has one of the only bus routes that go up through that location and that could stop up traffic. I know that was taken into consideration and just to say it won't happen, it's already happening at other sites so how are we really going to hold folks accountable to make sure this is not happening?

DAVID HARNEY: Thank you for your question, Council Member. The deployment methodology that EMS uses, again, we do carry several number of spares that will be in the station but there is adequate room within the facility the way we have designed the proposed facility so that we will alleviate ambulances being parked out on the street because there is not room within the station. You

2.2

2.3

have to realize that EMS Operations will not operate like Fire Operations. In Fire Operations, tour change occurs at 9 o'clock in the morning and 6 o'clock at night. EMS tour change (INAUDIBLE) we have to maintain a presence on the street at all times, EMS tour change happens over several hours so the amount of activity and the amount of vehicles being parked at the station is very minimal and we designed a facility with enough space to handle not only units

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: (INAUDIBLE) No, I'm sorry. I was hearing you. I'm sorry.

coming in and out for tour change...

DAVID HARNEY: I'm sorry. Someone else was speaking. I apologize. Again, we've designed the proposed facility with enough space to maintain offstreet parking for spare ambulance that off-service but also to account for ambulances during our tour change matrix which will happen in the morning between say 7 a.m. and 9 a.m. where you would see one ambulance going in and out and maybe two ambulances going in and out (INAUDIBLE) so there's enough space within the proposed facility for the ambulances to go into the station and to not park on the street at any time.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I hear you, but what I'm saying to you is, and this has been my issue. I feel like every time I have a question, you guys give me a manual book response, but the reality is, when I see the reality, even when I talked about the units that put their sirens on consistently at night because I lived up the block from one of the units that's closest facility now, it was like that's not the protocol, but those things are happening so how do we make sure that we're mitigating these things and actually being proactive and not just saying that is not what they're supposed to be doing when I am seeing currently at some of the other locations those things are happening. My issue and my confidence is low because it's your response to me every time I have a question is that's not what's supposed to be happening and I'm telling you it's happening at other locations, how do I then have faith that this is going to not happen at this location?

DAVID HARNEY: I think that's something that we'll bring back and look at in detail. If it would be okay, Council Member, we'd like to get back

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to you with a more official response if that would be 3 okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: No, absolutely, because these are the questions that I have. I quess the last question I have is just given the quality of life issues that come with FDNY 24-hour public service operation, can you please discuss the mitigating efforts that the FDNY will commit in order to mitigating any disruptions to the area. I hear you saying you guys have the parking or whatever, but how are we going to make sure that this does not affect the quality of life, slowing up the bus service, and I guess you're saying that you're going to have to come back to me with those answers, but, like I said, my main concern, I ask a question, you guys tell me what's in the manual. However, I'm telling you that's not happening at the current locations that's less than a mile away so it's not giving me confidence. I want to support this project. It makes sense. I get it. But if these quality of life issues, let's be clear, the residents are going to call my office with them, right, so I'm going to have to deal with it so I need to have the confidence that there's an actual plan to make sure that these things are not happening

2.2

2.3

and that you're thinking about the residents there in a very effective way because, like I said, at every one of these locations which are all like 2 to 3 miles away, literally ambulances are parked on the street, they're blocking up traffic, there's multiple cars, not only are the trucks taking up parking, they're blocking up the bus traffic, their cars are out there, and, even with having parking lot and those things, I am not confident that folks will still not abuse some of the privileges that they have as far as just kind of taking over the whole block.

DAVID HARNEY: Jeremy, go ahead.

JEREMY BROOKS: Thanks, everybody, for taking the time today. Truly appreciate it and we definitely hear your concerns.

One of the things that we can say and we can do is work with our EMS Operations people to have something come from them to the station itself and to other stations where you feel like there is the concern, and we could reiterate to them what the protocol is. While, yes, it is in our books and what's supposed to be done, like you said sometimes things do not occur the way they way so what we will do, we will converse with our EMS Operations to have

2.2

2.3

something come from the EMS Chief to the stations to reiterate what our program and policy is and for the Captains of those stations to also reiterate that to their members to be facilitated down, and, when there are issues, then we could address them at those times.

DAVID HARNEY: Additionally, Council

Member, if I might add, the existing station

(INAUDIBLE) former firehouse which only has offstreet parking for 2 vehicles. The proposed EMS

station is more than 3 times that, has parking for 6

plus one in the decontamination bay so we have

drastically increased the amount of off-street

parking for our ambulances in the proposed facility

as compared to the existing facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, but I'm not talking about the existing facility because I know that it's a total nightmare because it's extremely small. Ogden Avenue is just not a good location for it. I'm talking about there's an FDNY1 site on Portland Avenue, there's an FDNY site on Boston Road, and, if you go over there, you will see all of the vehicles are out, there's cars parked diagonal. Buses are often stuck in traffic there because of the way

they have their cars parked. It's a total nightmare. Like I said before, even with Portland, they have their sirens blaring all the time and then it's like that's not what they're supposed to do, but I know because I lived up the block from there, and it's a constant thing so, like I said, the issue is I know that those are the protocols but those things are not being in place currently and so to add another one on top of that will change the quality of life dramatically in this community, and I just want to make sure that we are making sure and making every effort to not really disenfranchise the community here already. We already have a lot going on here and so I understand the moving of it, I know Ogden Avenue is not a place for it, I want to support this project, but those questions need to be answered and, even as far as the issues that we have at the current sites, those need to be answered as well so my confidence level is just not as high as it needs to be for me to give the 100 percent support because, like I said, I keep getting a manual answer when I'm telling you these things are happening and those aren't even being addressed.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

in. Mr. Harney and Mr. Brooks, I hope you hear the concerns of Council Member Stevens. She's trying to circumvent a potential issue regarding quality of life. What I recommend is that you all meet and have a conversation, come up with a real solution and strategy to address what she's asking for. If you need the support of this Committee, if you need the support of the Council Member, you should have a strategy ready in order to address the concerns that she's mentioning. I don't want you all to keep going back and forth. The Council Member has made it loud and clear she wants a better response so I hope you all can provide that as soon as possible.

JEREMY BROOKS: No, of course, and we appreciate the Council Member's concerns and all the other community's concerns, and we will do a better job at reiterating this to the stations as well, a better ground presence to let the station know and the members know going forward that this is something that can't occur, and we will talk to our EMS Operations people about reiterating all this to their field units.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: All right. Please
3 schedule a meeting with the Council Member before the
4 vote so that we can have a sincere conversation about

JEREMY BROOKS: Of course.

2.2

2.3

strategy.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much. I appreciate you all.

Counsel, are there any members of the public that wish to ask questions?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: First,

I'll ask if there are any other Council Member questions.

Seeing none, there are no members of the public signed up to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much.

Seeing no other members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 61 and 62, the EMS Station 17 New Facility Project, the public hearing on this item is now closed.

We will now move on to today's other

public hearing. I now open the public hearing on LUs

67, 68, 69, 70, and 71 for the Resilient Edgemere

Community Initiative, all submitted by the Department

of Housing and Preservation and Development.

2.2

2.3

LU 67 is a request for an amendment to the zoning map submitted pursuant to Sections 197-c and 201 of the Charter.

LU 68 requests approval of an amendment to the text of the zoning resolution submitted pursuant to Section 201 of the Charter.

LU 69 requests approval of the proposed disposition of city-owned property submitted pursuant to Section 197-c of the Charter for uses identified in the Edgemere Urban Renewal Plan.

LU 70 requests the designation of an Urban Development Action Area and approval of an Urban Development Action Area Project for such an area pursuant to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law and Section 197-c of the Charter.

LU 71 requests approval of the 2nd

Amendment of the Edgemere Urban Renewal Plan pursuant
to Section 505 of Article 15 of the General Municipal
Law and Section 197-c of the Charter.

The proposed project area is conterminous with the Edgemere Urban Renewal Area located within the boundaries of Beach 35th Street to the East Rockaway Freeway in Rockaway Beach Boulevard to South Beach 50th Street and Beach 51st Street to the west

and Jamaica Bay to the north across 166 acres within the Edgemere neighborhood of Queens represented by Majority Whip Brooks-Powers. The proposed action would implement several goals and recommendations of the Resilient Edgemere Community Plan and an interagency community planning initiative conducted between 2015 and 2017 in the wake of Hurricane Sandy. Key among the goals of that plan are protecting the neighborhood from flooding, creating resilient housing and maintaining the neighborhood's lowdensity feel, improving streets and transit and increase neighborhood amenities. In addition to creating open space and reducing the density of new construction closest to the waterfront, the project is anticipated to create approximately 1,222 residential units on 41 projected development sites. Of those residential units, approximately 673 would be affordable rental and home ownership units on land that is currently owned by the city and approximately 549 new units of housing would be created on privately owned land.

Majority Whip Brooks-Powers already provided her remarks on this project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS 42
2	We are joined by Kevin Parris, Stephen
3	Erdman, Perris Straughter, forgive me, and Rosa Kelly
4	for HPD and David Velez and Allison Ruddock from VHB.
5	I now recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to
6	administer the affirmation.
7	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Do we have
8	everybody here? I'm seeing 4 people on the screen. 5,
9	we're supposed to have 6. There we are.
10	Please raise your right hands and respond
11	when I call your names.
12	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
13	whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your
14	testimony before this Subcommittee and in answers to
15	all Council Member questions? Kevin Parris.
16	KEVIN PARRIS: I do.
17	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Stephen
18	Erdman.
19	STEPHEN ERDMAN: I do.
20	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Perris
21	Straughter.
22	PERRIS STRAUGHTER: I do.
23	SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Rosa
24	Kelly.
25	ROSA KELLY: I do.

PowerPoint brought up. We're ready.

2 Thank you. Good morning, Council Members.

3 Thank you for giving us some of your time to discuss

4 the Resilient Edgemere Community Plan. Next slide,

5 please.

2.2

2.3

Thank you. The Resilient Edgemere

Initiative is an interagency, community-based effort
to align New York City's climate change resiliency
investments in Edgemere with a long-term and
comprehensive community visioning for the
neighborhood. Edgemere is particularly vulnerable to
significant climate events such as coastal storms and
sea level rise, which has and continues to cause
physical and financial distress to the community. The
Resilient Edgemere Initiative as well as its
culminating plan continues to be the city's effort to
address these significant conditions. Next slide,
please.

Thanks. The Resilient Edgemere Community

Plan identifies a land use framework and a set of

strategies to create resilient and affordable housing

for a range of household incomes and sizes as well as

increase retail and community facility offerings,

mitigate future flood risk, increase waterfront open

spaces and improve the coastal ecology. Through these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

measures, the plan positions Edgemere into the larger city vision to improve the ability of flood-prone neighborhoods to withstand and recover quickly from future storms. Next slide, please.

The land use strategy proposed in this application seeks to push future development and density away from Jamaica Bay to more appropriate locations further inland. When looking at Edgemere through this lens, we have identified planning areas and proposed specific actions within each of these areas. Within our most vulnerable areas in Edgemere, we have proposed a set of down-zonings that will limit future development. Coupled with these downzonings, we have proposed a new special coastal risk district to further limit development. We have identified Rockaway Beach Boulevard as an appropriate location to capture some of this displaced density potential so we are proposing a modest up-zoning as part of this application. Within these up-zoned areas, MIH will be applied and enforced. Just mentioning that this proposal seeks to take the most conservative actions necessary to ensure proper development occurs within the Edgemere community. Next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

slide, please.

Looking at the zoning actions more closely, this ULURP application seeks to down-zone the areas closest to Jamaica Bay, which here you'll see is the hazard mitigation area, from its existing R4-1 and R4 into R3A, the existing R4 within the limited development area to an R4-1, and portions of the existing R4/C8-1 and R5/C1-2 within the commercial corridor minus the up-zoning to R6A. Next

In addition to the zoning changes that I just detailed, this application also seeks a UDAAP designation for select sites as well as amending the Urban Renewal Plan and proposing disposition authority for all city-owned sites within the project area. Next slide, please.

The city's work within Edgemere continues to be a multi-agency effort. In wake of the devastating effects of Superstorm Sandy, the city has invested into Edgemere and the peninsula as a whole to make it more protected from flood events as well as more accessible to natural amenities. These investments have taken the shape of improving the park spaces at Bayswater and Rockaway Community Park, the reconstruction of the Rockaway Beach Boardwalk,

2.2

2.3

improving the pedestrian sidewalk and roadways along Rockaway Beach Boulevard from Beach 73rd Street to Beach 88th Street, the continued sewer and road condition improvements occurring between Beach 49th and Beach 59th Street, the rehabilitation, reconstruction, and elevation of approximately 109 damaged homes from Superstorm Sandy as well as the ongoing work of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to protect the peninsula from long-term erosion through coastal protection projects along the Atlantic Coast between East Rockaway Inlet and Jamaica Bay. The goals of this proposal seek to continue to build upon these investments to the Edgemere community. Next slide, please.

As we highlight the city's investment into the Edgemere community, I would like to reference a key initiative that is further advanced through this land use proposal. One key goal that has been identified by community members as part of the Resilient Edgemere Community Planning Initiative was to identify those city-owned sites that could be included in a community land trust, or CLT, and then work with local organizations to develop a model for future CLT ownership to facilitate long-term

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 affordability as well as resilient land management.

3 Here, the city's availability of land within Edgemere

4 coupled with having an active Urban Renewal Plan

5 presents us with a viable opportunity to move forward

6 with this recommendation. Within Edgemere, there are

7 | a total of 119 lots that the CLT could acquire,

8 proposing that 62 of the lots available will be for

9 residential affordable home ownership development, 55

10 lots for open spaces programming, and 2 lots that can

11 permit commercial uses. Next slide, please.

I want to thank everyone for some of your time to go over this initiative. Just also want to note that we have all of Council Member Brooks-Powers questions that were presented earlier, and we had planned to address most of them during the Q&A session, and, anything that we're not able to answer, we'll get back to the Council in writing as a followup.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much for your testimony. Counsel, I have a few questions, and, after that, you can let me know if there are any other Members with their hands raised for questions.

My first question is this project as submitted to the Council would introduce over 1,000

2.2

2.3

new units of housing. Given the risk of climate

change, why is it appropriate to introduce so much

new housing to the Rockaway Peninsula in this and

other recent city-sponsored projects?

KEVIN PARRIS: I'd like to add that this proposal is currently proposing an increase of approximately, as you said, over 1,000 units through the rezoning. I just reference that this is looking at both the UDAAP sites and our privately owned site so it's a balance of units between those 2 areas. On the UDAAP sites, it's approximately 530 units, and, again, what we're looking at is sort of shifting the development potential that already exists towards the Bay to a more appropriate location within the community. These actions are coupled with other resilient measures that are taking place out in this community to further protect both the existing residents as well as future residents coming as part of future developments in the area.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: As you mentioned, the UDAAP proposed as part of this project would result in the construction of over 500 units of affordable housing. However, the project summary provides that all units will be affordable to families earning

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

between 60 percent and 130 percent AMI and does not specify whether the units will be rentals or co-ops so I wanted to know why is HPD requesting such an open-ended project approval?

KEVIN PARRIS: One key thing that's going to happen on these UDAAP sites at a future date is that these sites will go through our Request for Proposals process, or an RFP process, and one of the key steps in that process is our Community Visioning Process where we go out into the community and engage with the community members to really detail what the vision of those sites should be, and we culminate all of the feedback that we get from the community members into a Community Visioning Report that's tacked on as part of the RFP document that goes out to the development community, and then the development community responds to what the community says that they want to see on their sites. The programming for these sites have not been determined yet. What was referenced as part of the document materials pretty much leaves open the broad spectrum of all of the programs that HPD currently has so that when we go through that community process and we hammer out what the programming that the community

2.2

2.3

would like to see on these sites would be, it would still fall within that area that's part of this application that the development community would then have to be responsive to.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: You mentioned community. I hope you guys won't make a decision without really involving them, having a real conversation. Community Board 14 expressed a clear preference for home ownership affordable housing as part of this project. Are you all exploring ways to increase home ownership in the plan? I know you mentioned some of it, but, for the record, if you could go into that a little bit more.

KEVIN PARRIS: I'll sort of separate that a little bit. As we said, the CLT component has its number of units is going to be for affordable home ownership. We have also publicly committed and constantly message that the sites that's going to be part of this RFP, we are looking into exploring the ability of home ownership. As we go through that Community Visioning Process, we understand that we're going to hear that again as part of being the request and the need so that we will be prioritizing home ownership as part of the competitive review process

when we see that that comes out as part of what the community wants to see. When we go through the RFP process and we are soliciting responses to that, we would have a priority on those responses that have a home ownership component as part of their plan.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Why wasn't the approach to do this before today's conversation? Why is this happening after the conversation, if you could elaborate more on that?

as not going, if I understand the question correctly, these sites are not currently slated to go through our RFP process. They are part of our RFP pipeline, and we've had engagement with the Community as it relates to the overall Resilient Edgemere plan, and this is a segment of that conversation that's going to continue through even when we get to the RFP process and following the RFP process. There has been conversation, and we've heard some of the sentiments that the Council Member has already shared here today as well for home ownership and we expect to continue to hear that as we go through our continued engagement with the community.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: I think a larger conversation needs to be had regarding this so you may have had a conversation, I know you heard from Majority Whip Brooks-Powers, but a larger conversation needs to happen. It needs to be indepth. This is my last question before we go to other Members. Is HPD considering using this resiliency planning approach, packing resiliency improvements and reducing density in vulnerable areas with planning for affordable housing and other community needs, in other neighborhoods in the future?

PERRIS STRAUGHTER: I can take this
question. Thank you, Chair. There may be other
communities where this is appropriate. That said,
this community is somewhat special because HPD has so
much property here, and, in that way, it's very, very
unique, but we're certainly open to using this
approach in other areas. It's a conversation that
we've had and that we're having with both the
Department of City Planning as well as the Mayor's
Office of Climate and Environmental Resiliency and
Justice, I forget their exact name. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Oh, I do have one last question. Regarding flooding, my stepfather lives in

Member Nurse has questions.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you.

Our first panel is Assemblywoman Stacey Pheffer,

24

2.2

2.3

Dolores Orr, Jacqueline Rogers, and Sonia Moise. When we see them all on screen, the Assembly Member can start this panel.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Good morning, Assembly Member, you can start.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER STACEY PHEFFER: Okay.

Good morning. Thank you, Chair Louis. It's great to see you. Thank you for having this hearing. Before I even get started, I just hope your stepfather is my constituent. I just want to throw that out there.

Thank you for this opportunity. I appreciate this time regarding the Edgemere Resiliency Program.

First, I want to say my dynamic City

Council Woman Selvena Brooks-Powers, Majority Whip,

absolutely gave a fabulous testimony and gave a lot

of sentiment of what she heard from the town hall

meeting that she hosted last night.

I'm going to give a formal testimony so

I'm just going to do what I do best is just talk out

of my heart today and the needs of my community. I'm

against this project in the sense of, nothing to do

with the resiliency and I think what I've been

hearing from your questions and HPD's response, I

think they've worked hard on this project, I don't take away from the efforts put in, is that we're talking about resiliency in the sense that we do need resiliency in this community. We need storm protection but not at the expense of over-development that's continuing. We're talking about this Edgemere project in a vacuum where there is so much development that has been approved up-zoned between the Peninsula Hospital site and downtown Far Rockaway, and for a lot of possibly new City Council Members, there's been a lot of up-zoning going on so we're talking about all these benefits, which are true benefits of having resilient buildings and looking at infrastructure differently, but we're not looking at the part that you, yourself, said, Chair, that 1,000 new units in addition to all the up-zoned which is probably about 4,000 units of housing in addition to what's being able to be built as-ofright, and there is definitely an over-development going on here, and my Community Board Chair, Dolores Orr, is on for testimony in this panel, and she will speak about what our own Community Board has voted on and spoke up about and I completely support my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Community Board and their participation as they are 3 the community and that's why they're there.

SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER STACEY PHEFFER: From the point of the Peninsula, I'm sorry, what?

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: You can keep going, Assembly Member.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER STACEY PHEFFER: I just want to touch on that, and I think we have to look at the promises that were made that were broken. There's families that are living here 17 years in houses that never saw the supermarkets, the commercial. Now we're hearing about all this wonderful commercial development that's going to come with it. Again, we're mirroring the fact that thousands of people are coming to an island, and I don't want to talk about sinkholes and things like that but there's not been a long impact study of an entire island peninsula of what's happened to it. You, yourself, mentioned an evacuation plan. I could talk about city people, again, a person who has been born and raised on this peninsula, it's been an issue that we talked about for years. There has not been a clear evacuation plan made. Yes, as Kevin Parris said, the Army Corps of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Engineer is making a more resilient community and they are working on projects that are 20 years old, but, if there was a big storm that came tomorrow on the news, we would be stepping on each other to get off this peninsula. There's nowhere to park. There's many emergency efforts, I would say from the Office of Emergency Management, that are not being addressed on this peninsula. Evacuations of our students, of our children, of our schools so we are building a resilient area and it's much needed work, but it's at least 20 and 30 years behind the investment that should've been made on this peninsula. Our infrastructure, our transportation. As a Member of the Corporations Council on the State, I have yet to have a conversation telling me how much more they're adding to our buses and subway use, though there's a new subway plan which is going to reduce, but meanwhile there's thousands of people moving to this peninsula. Take any weekend of good weather, and I'm not just talking this summer, you can't even move around this peninsula so I'm not sure when we develop, and I'm going to keep saying overdevelopment, and I think you're the expert as Chair of Land Use, but there's definitely something lacking

2.2

2.3

in a comprehensive plan from one end of the peninsula which I do represent, from one side of the ocean to the other side of what's being developed in the safety and well-being of the people.

Additionally, I say this as a sarcastic statement, a little salty, a little sassy, that's who I am, but with all the development going on, I haven't heard about the check amount for the Board of Education to my school's investment. I haven't heard about DOT investing money and that part of it.

There's no investment going on in our community we're going to develop and then later on. I believe some of that happened in downtown Brooklyn when it was being developed that, after the fact, schools and conversations were happening so we're not getting any of that. Our services, the quality of life that the people who have been living here, investing here, and I see Jacqueline's on, and she'll talk about being a person who bought a home 17 years ago.

Last point being is that I'm a person who's benefited from living in a Mitchell-Lama co-op for 31 years, and, if I tell you that generational wealth, I've only afforded myself the life I have because I've been able to live it in an ownership

apartment and have invested in my pension, paid down debt because I've lived in this kind of lifestyle for 31 years. We've been fighting for that. There should be much more home ownership and that quality of bringing generational wealth. There's 5 housing developments on this peninsula. There's additional support of 17 nursing homes, 12 adult homes, and all that, and everything else on this potential should be developed as home ownership. Any other plan is unacceptable. It cannot be on the backs of my community.

That's my quick. I want to give it to my community and my Chairs, but I want to thank you for this opportunity. This is a very, very important hearing. I'm available for any other discussion to any City Council people, but, again, thank you very much for the opportunity. I look forward to working further and any other clarity we need on this point, and I leave it to the rest of my panel that's here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you, Assembly Member. I'll yield to the Counsel if there's any other members of the public.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: The next 3 person testifying is Dolores Orr.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

DOLORES ORR: Good morning and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Dolores Orr. I am Chair of Community Board 14 representing the 130 residents who currently live on the peninsula. Like Stacey, I was born and raised and have lived my whole life in this community.

I first want to say that we support without a doubt the down-zoning that this project calls for and New York City's decision to retreat from the bay to keep our residents safe. That being said, on February 8th, the Board opposed all upzoning changes that are defined in the Resilient Edgemere Community Initiative. Why did we do that? Because there are 12,000 units that have been built or will be built in the next 3 years. That brings us 30,000 more residents estimated. We have the longest commute to Manhattan if that's where you want to work. We have one of the highest unemployment rates in New York City with no foreseeable jobs coming. We do not have adequate school seats. Our schools are currently overcrowded, and we have no approved or

funding for any planned additional seats. In addition to that, there is no, it's been 10 years since Sandy, and OME has yet to give us an evacuation plan. How do you add 30,000 plus another 5,000 on this project to a barrier island and not have an evacuation plan? It would be criminal for us to continue mid-to-high rise development. Development has to be minimal. This project has been around for a very long time. We also have no reasonable access to any level trauma center.

SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

DOLORES ORR: We cannot get to a trauma center. I want to say that, again, I feel that New York City has failed our black and brown communities. The vision for an affordable 2-family home community to live the American dream and then build generational wealth is yet again being sidelined for a mid-rise rental apartment where only the developer or the landlord grows wealthy.

I will end by saying that our motion of February 8th also included the requirement that HPD continue and complete the original development plan of 1 and 2-family homes to provide 100 percent development of affordable home ownership. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Oh, can I just say one other thing? I apologize. HPD made a mention of multi-agency effort.

1

2

3

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 There is no multi-agency effort here. None,

5 whatsoever. They all work in their own little bubble,

and we'll worry about schools when the housing comes,

7 | we'll worry about widening the roads after we put the

8 buildings in place so there is no multi-agency effort

9 whatsoever. Thank you for your time, and we hope you

10 can listen and support the community.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you.

12 The next witness is Jacqueline Rogers.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

JACQUELINE ROGERS: Good morning and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak. My name is Jacqueline Rogers. I am a homeowner in the Edgemere community. I am also the President of the Edgemere Alliance. We're a newly formed organization to empower the community and bring economic and true community development to the community. I bought my house under the original Edgemere Urban Renewal, and, to say the least, I am totally disappointed. I believe my community has been abused and neglected, and I feel that I and my community members have no

confidence in HPD or the city in respect to this

1 DISPOSITIONS 65 2 Resilient Edgemere. I was part of the Vision 3 Planning, the 15-month Vision Planning, that HPD 4 hosted in the Edgemere community. I personally got 75 homeowners to participate in that Vision Planning. What came out of that Vision Planning is not what we 6 7 asked for, and I will ask if you can vote against 8 this Resilient Edgemere. Do not allow any type of upzoning to come into this area. It's a compact area. It's a sandbar area. Like Assembly Woman Amato and 10 11 our Chair Dolores Orr for Community Board 14 12 indicated, we have been promised so much and have not 13 gotten absolutely nothing other than apartments. No stores. We have nothing in our community. It's like, 14 15 no disrespect to Biloxi, Mississippi in the 1950s. This is New York City. This is Queens. We do not need 16 17 all this over-development. We need resources. We need 18 community development that can help and uplift this 19 community. We are a sandbar. Homeowners' homes have 20 been impacted by the piling and the driving of piles 21 into the sandbar that we live on causing structural damages to their homes. No outreach from HPD. No 2.2 2.3 followup through HPD. We were promised stores and amenities. You can put the stores and amenities along 24

this area without impacting us with density.

2 SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JACQUELINE ROGERS: Can I finish, please, as a community resident and as a taxpayer, please?

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Yes.

JACQUELINE ROGERS: Thank you. Arverne East is going to (INAUDIBLE) and shadow Edgemere. We do not currently have access to our beach. We have not had beach access as far as actually being able to get in the water, but, yet and still, a developer that HPD basically sold the land to is now going to put 1,600 apartment units in that area plus the additional Edgemere Commons which is the former Peninsula site is bringing 2,000 apartment units. Edgemere is a small community. We're probably just 11 to 12 blocks wide and long and yet and still they're looking to put all this density, apartment buildings, in one concentrate area without bringing any kind of full resources to the community. Where is EDC to get jobs into this community? There are people screaming to own their own business. This is a coastal community. We should be built up like other coastal communities so that it becomes a tourist area so that we can benefit from the tourist dollars. We have to do something more than housing, and I pray that you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hear us. We are saying no to this up-zoning. Please hear us. Please do a tour of this community and see the impact that HPD and the neglect that HPD and the city has put on us for years. We're talking decades of disinvestment, and I really wish somebody come forward and see what we're going through. We do not have the evacuation plan in place. There was a gas leak weeks ago. No communication. No coordinated efforts through the Fire Department, through the Office of Emergency Management. Nothing. They're unfortunately just dumping and building housing stock without adequate wraparound resources for the community. Thank you. I'm sorry to become emotional, but something has to stop. This has to stop. We are being abused out here. I thank you for your time.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Next witness is Sonia Moise.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

SONIA MOISE: Hi. Good morning. My name is Sonia Moise, and I am the President of Edgemere Community Civic Association, and I have been a Rockaway resident for 44 years. I have been a homeowner in Edgemere for the past 16 years. Growing up in Far Rockaway, I grew up NYCHA, and I was

2 fortunate enough to become a homeowner 16 years ago. 3 I can tell you all of the progress that the peninsula 4 has made. The only progress that the peninsula has not made is within the Edgemere community. We have been suffering for years. Edgemere is the only part 6 7 of Rockaway that has not been developed and has not 8 been developed correctly. We have been basically like the red-headed stepchildren. None of our needs have been met. We have been promised by HPD what they were 10 11 going to do, making the entire area 100 percent home 12 ownership. We were told that we would be given retail 13 stores, a supermarket, parks. We were told there was going to be a school that was going to be built, and, 14 15 instead of the school being built which is basically 16 on my block, we had a COVID testing site, and that 17 came out of nowhere and none of the residents were 18 notified. We have been neglected. We are never notified of anything. We have been fighting for years 19 with HPD, with the city, with elected officials, with 20 21 the local elected officials about what our needs are. Now, all of a sudden, HPD wants to come out of 2.2 2.3 nowhere after Sandy to change the plans not once but twice. Prior to Sandy, there was a plan implemented 24 25 by HPD. Since then, the plans have changed twice.

Within those change of plans, there has not been a
repeated environmental statement, which there should

4 be, before these plans ever took place. The area with

5 the up-zoning that they mentioned, which is between

6 Beach 39th and Beach 43rd Street along Rockaway Beach

7 Boulevard, those areas are way to dense. They will

cause more damage to the existing homes, and they

9 will cause more flooding in the area.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

SONIA MOISE: Since Sandy, we have not had, I'm sorry, but can I please continue? I would really appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Yes.

Sonia Moise: Thank you so much. Since
Sandy, we have not had any upgrades. We haven't had
no flood mitigation. There is no evacuation plan for
us. It's just a shame. You have other parts of New
York that was flooded. You have parts of Brooklyn
that was flooded. You have parts of Staten Island
that was flooded. They have flood mitigation in
place. What is the issue when it comes to Far
Rockaway, when it comes to the section of Edgemere,
when it comes to the east part of Rockaway? I'm
starting to feel as if we are being discriminated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

against because of the people who make up the community. The Rockaway peninsula, sadly, is very divided, I should say. On the east part of Rockaway, we are suffering. You go towards the west. They have everything that's built, what they ask for is what they get. The roads are better. They have better infrastructure. They have better, when it comes to retail. They have all those retails. They have all those amenities. You come to the east part of Rockaway, what do you want to do, what does HPD always want to do? You want to dump on the east part of Rockaway. We have tons of buildings that's going up. We have tons of apartments. Why is it that you want to put 5 to 8-story buildings in the dense area of Edgemere? It's totally uncalled for. It's totally useless. We have been begging for years, HPD promised us the things that we would get which is amenities, we want a school. We do not have another hospital. At least give us an urgent care center, but you want to overpopulate the area when you have nothing for the people who are moving into the area. No schools. No supermarkets. No retail. What about the people who want to own businesses? We don't have a bank that's here. Give us the things that we need to thrive so we

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

can create generational wealth. What we have right
now is absolutely nothing. HPD promised us our
amenities. Those are the things that we want.

As President of Edgemere Community Civic Association, we wrote the board, we wrote HPD, we wrote all of our elected officials, and the community is not in favor of the up-zoning of the Resilient Edgemere Project. The up-zoning which R4, R5, C1 to C2, C8-1, R6A, and that C2-4 with plans to bring 6 to 8-story mixed used buildings with 530 dwelling units, 54,665 square feet of commercial space, and 266 parking spaces. The community feels this up-zoning will bring potential flooding, damage to the structure of surrounding homes due to the density in the areas of Beach 39th Street to Beach 43rd Street along Rockaway Bridge Boulevard, increase pollution, increase noise pollution, quality of life issues, overpopulation, an increase in flood and homeowners' insurance premiums due to flooding and home damage during and after construction, and hardship when it comes to transportation.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you.

SONIA MOISE: All I'm saying is just please listen to the folks that live in the community

1 job, healthcare, and transportation opportunities and 2 3 we can only grow so much without having a drastic 4 negative effect on our current and future residents. This area of the Rockaways has already been approved for large-scale developments and extremely limited 6 7 homeownership opportunities for residents. Downtown 8 Far Rockaway will have 3,200 units. Edgemere Commons 2,050 units. Arverne East 1,650 units. Now, we're talking about another 1,000 units with Resilient 10 11 Edgemere. As residents, we are deeply concerned about the clustering of affordable rental units with 12 13 limited opportunities for moderate and middle-income 14 households who seem to be forgotten about. 15 Additionally, almost all the 8,000 units, there is 16 only a few hundred of them available for 17 homeownership opportunities. The amount of affordable 18 rental units far exceeds other areas in New York 19 City, and the city of New York has not studied the 20 cumulative effect of all these different developments 21 at once. They've studied them all separately. They're 2.2 all right next to each other. They have not studied 2.3 in a holistic way the cumulative effect this is having on us. With that said, the Rockaway community 24 desperately needs affordable homeownership 25

2.2

2.3

anybody.

DISPOSITIONS 74

opportunities to be a major part of any development going forward to ensure and promote economic integration, ensure economic stability, and long-term vitality of the community, and it will not displace

SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

GLENN DIRESTO: I leave you with this. You give a man a fish, and he eats for a day. Teach a man how to fish, and he eats for a lifetime. I ask that in its current form that you please vote no on this project and please make affordable homeownership a major part of any developments going forward in the Rockaways. Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you. The next person testifying will be Wanda Warden.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now. Ms. Warden, you're on mute.

WANDA WARDEN: Okay. Can you hear me now?

Okay, yes. Thank you so much. My name is Wanda

Warden. I am a 50-year-plus resident of the

Rockaways. I'm afraid. I'm very afraid of the over
development that is going on. We are in a food

desert. We are in a transportation desert. The 2,250

units coming where the old Peninsula Hospital site

2.2

2.3

is, the 1,650 units that's coming Arverne East in addition to all the other builds that are coming. I am also a Community Board member, and we do not support this. I do not support this. I think our Council Woman, Majority Whip Brooks-Powers, Stacey Pheffer Amato, Dolores Orr, Sonia Moise, everyone that spoke, I support that. We do not support this over-development and we have no way to get off of this peninsula in case of emergency. I'm afraid, and I please ask that you vote no. Thank you so much for your time.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you. The next witness will be Amber Clinkscales.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

AMBER CLINKSCALES: Good day, everyone.

I'm just going to reiterate very likely I agree with a lot of the panelists on here. I vote no against it. HPD also, we held a meeting yesterday. There's so many questions that we have. Reiterating back on the homeownership, will HPD renovate or restore many of the zombie properties that they currently have throughout the Far Rockaways so that local residents may have the opportunity to acquire them. Please excuse the background noise. I'm currently traveling

2.2

2.3

on (INAUDIBLE) A Train. The second question I have is being aware of climate change and the environmental disparities that we have in the Rockaways, will HPD encourage the developers to use sustainable materials that are in accordance with what we are fighting for right now in climate change. Will that be initiated and encouraged in this project? Will HPD initiate another meeting with the community residents so that we all can voice our concerns directly with HPD so that they can answer the appropriate questions that we've been asking? Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you. The next witnesses will be ChantAndrea Blissett.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

name is ChantAndrea Blisset, and I've lived in
Rockaway for 49 years. I grew up out there, and I've
seen a very beautiful community. Edgemere was once
one of the quietest communities. We were always
small, very quiet, homeowners, and I'm seeing the
changes, and, like everyone else, I do not agree with
the changes. I do not want the Council to vote for
this because I do believe it is disrespectful. I do
believe that when we go to vote, when you go into the

2 polling center, you don't see very many people, I 3 think that is the attitude, well they don't vote so 4 we can do whatever we want out here, the people don't 5 care. Well, that's not true. I grew up out there. I'm the principal of a high school in Brooklyn, Bushwick 6 7 actually. I have to leave my home community to travel 8 to go to Brooklyn to work. When I shop, I shop outside of my area of Edgemere. There used to be a CTown right within walking distance and a laundromat. 10 11 I've to go 2 towns over now to buy and shop. That's shouldn't be. All of our dollars are leaving our 12 13 community, and it keeps us impoverished. It keeps us in a situation where we're not really working 14 15 together as a community. Another thing about homeownership, we're talking a lot about 16 17 homeownership, it's also important that we sell to 18 people who are planning to live in the homes because 19 it's one thing to have homeowners. It's another thing 20 to sell to someone who is just going to rent out the 21 house so now you're living next door to people who 2.2 don't own and you're dealing with the same situation 2.3 as if you're living next door to a huge people. All these things need to be considered and some respect 24 25 needs to be paid to the people who have lived and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

worked in Edgemere for many years. Many of my neighbors have lived there for years, and I think we deserve more than this. We do vote. Thank you so much.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you. Our next witness will be Marvyn Elias.

SERGENT PEREZ: Time starts now.

MARVYN ELIAS: Hey guys. How's it going? My name's Marvyn. I live in the Edgemere community. I'm relatively new to the community. I've only lived out here for 18 years as a new homeowner. One of the things that really strikes me about this conversation, and this is a conversation that we've had over and over, is that I can never find anyone who lives in this community that votes in the affirmative for any of these development projects but yet still it seems to be a conversation that we seem to have to have over and over again so I'm not sure who's pushing the underlying agenda that these are things that they think that we need in this community. I've seen, over the years, what I've seen in a small, middle-income community, people take pride in homeownership, and I think one of the things that gets lost in these large developments where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there aren't any homeowners is pride in sweeping your street and keeping your sidewalks clean, etc. These are things that are lost out when there's a push to just monetize the available resources that are here without having a true sense of what we want in this community. Where people with kids want to have safety and they want to have things that they can do on weekends. We don't need any more lower-income housing. If you move to places, Rockaway Park, I just happened to drive through Rockaway Park a few weeks ago, the disparity in the development in that neighborhood compared to this, we appear to be a third world country if you just drive through some of those communities. There aren't any huge developments, and the question is why? Why continually are we being forced to have to defend the things that we want if no one in HPD ever seems to listen? We need things that you aren't proposing, and, when we request these things, nothing ever gets done. We need community centers. We need libraries. We need playgrounds. These are things that we need. We don't need an additional 40,000 people trying to escape the Rockaways on effectively 3 roads of egress during the summer months. It's insane. It takes me 40

2.2

2.3

minutes just to get out of the Rockaways to any of
the major highways and then an additional 20 minutes
just to drive to downtown Brooklyn. Why does it take
40 minutes? Because we just do not have the
infrastructure in place for these things and bringing
an additional 30,000 plus residents is not what we
need. We don't want it.

SERGEANT PEREZ: Time.

MARVYN ELIAS: We don't want it. I don't know anyone anywhere has been okay with these sort of requests to have these developments brought into place. That's all I have to say.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL CAMPAGNA: Thank you to everyone for their testimony. This panel is excused if there are no Council Member questions.

We'll just wait one second for that.

I see no Council Member questions so this panel is excused. Thank you for your testimony.

Our next panel will be Leonard Yard,

Jason Greenburg, and we have an unknown caller. If

you're on the phone and have not put in your name, we

will admit you now. When we see these panelists in

the meeting, we will begin. Again, Leonard Yard. One

second, please. Is Jason Greenburg in the room? If

public witnesses for this application.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS, AND DISPOSITIONS CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you, Counsel. I

want to take the time to thank Assembly Member Amato for standing with your community and for your leadership as well as Majority Whip Brooks-Powers. Thank you Dolores, Jacqueline, Sonia, Wanda, Amber, Glenn, Marvyn, ChantAndrea for your testimonies

82

Seeing no other members of the public wish to testify, LUs numbers 68 through 71 for the Resilient Edgemere Project, this hearing is now closed. That concludes today's business. I remind you that if you have written testimony on today's items, you may submit it to

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU number or the project name in the subject heading.

I would like to thank the applicants, members of the public, my Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use staff, and Sergeant-at-Arms for participating in today's hearing.

This meeting is now adjourned.

22

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

today.

23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 15, 2022