| 1  | COMMITTEE ON ENVIF                                       | RONMENTAL PROTECTION            | 1  |  |  |
|----|--|---------------------------------|----|--|--|
| 2  | CITY COUNCIL   |                                 |    |  |  |
| 3  | CITY OF NEW YORK   |                                 |    |  |  |
| 4  | X  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 5  | TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES                                |                                 |    |  |  |
| 6  | Of the   |                                 |    |  |  |
|    | COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION                    |                                 |    |  |  |
| 7  | X  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 8  |  | TUESDAY, June 7, 2022           |    |  |  |
| 9  |  | Start: 12:06 p.m.               |    |  |  |
| 10 |  | Recess: 01:19 p.m.              |    |  |  |
| 11 | HELD AT:   | REMOTE HEARING, (VIRTUAL ROOM 1 | .) |  |  |
| 12 | BEFORE:  | HON. JAMES F. GENNARO           |    |  |  |
| 13 |  | CHAIRPERSON                     |    |  |  |
| 14 | COUNCIL MEMBERS:   |                                 |    |  |  |
| 15 | Jennifer Guitérrez<br>Kamillah Hanks<br>Robert F. Holden |                                 |    |  |  |
| 16 |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 17 | Ari Kagan<br>Julie Menin                                 |                                 |    |  |  |
| 18 | Francisco P. Moy<br>Sandy Nurse                          | a                               |    |  |  |
| 19 | Lincoln Restler  |                                 |    |  |  |
|    |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 20 |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 21 |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 22 |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 23 |  |                                 |    |  |  |
| 24 |  |                                 |    |  |  |

| 1  | COMMITTEE | OF | ENVIRONMENTAL | PROTECTION | 2 |
|----|-----------|----|---------------|------------|---|
| 2  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 3  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 4  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 5  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 6  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 7  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 8  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 9  |           |    |               |            |   |
| 10 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 11 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 12 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 13 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 14 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 15 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 16 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 17 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 18 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 19 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 20 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 21 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 22 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 23 |           |    |               |            |   |
| 24 |           |    |               |            |   |

| 1  | COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 3  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | APPEARANCES  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Samara Swanson   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Committee Counsel Committee of Environmental Protection                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Angela Licata  Deputy Commissioner of Sustainability                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Deputy Commissioner of Sustainability  New York City Department of Environmental Protect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Julia Casagrande<br>Policy Advisor   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Lonnie Portis<br>Environmental Policy and Advocate Coordinator                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | WE ACT for Environmental Justice   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Rocco Lacertosa<br>Chief Executive Officer   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | New York State Energy Coalition  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SERGEANT BRADLEY: PC recording is up.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you. Recording to the cloud all set. And good afternoon and welcome to today's remote New York City Council hearing of the Committee on Environmental Protection. At this time would all council members and staff please turn on their video? To minimize disruption, please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. Thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, thank you

Sergeant, um, uh. I want to thank everyone for

joining our virtual hearing today of the Committee on

Environmental Protection. My name is Jim Gennaro. Uh,

I welcome everyone and, rather than saying welcome in

a general way, uh. Oh, okay. I'm following my script.

I'm supposed to gavel in three times.

## [GAVEL]

Gaveled in. Okay, um, we are, I'm going through the Zoom here. Uh, we're joined by Council Members, uh, Holden, Council Member Nurse, Council Member Kagan, Council Member Menin, um, uh, Council Member Moya, um, uh, Council Member Hanks, uh.

2.2

2.3

I would ask staff to text me the names of any members that I might have missed and also during the proceedings if, uh, Ricky, you could just, uh, keep me posted with, uh, members who, uh, join the hearing, certainly want to recognize them.

And, uh, first order of business, I'm going to turn it over to our moderator, the Counsel to the Committee Samara Swanson, to go over some procedural items and then I'll do my opening statement and we can begin. So, uh, Samara, if you could proceed?

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: This hearing of the Environmental Protection Committee. Before we begin, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify, when you will be unmuted by the host. I will be calling on panelists to testify. Please be aware that there could be a delay in muting and unmuting, so please be patient.

Please listen for your name to be called. I will be periodically announcing who the next panelist will be. We will begin with testimony from the administration which will be followed by testimony from members of the public.

2.2

2.3

During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question, please use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in order. We will be limiting Council Member questions to five minutes, including responses. I will call on you when it is your turn to speak.

During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question again, use the Zoom raise hand function and I will call on you in the order that you raised your hand. And now I'd like to hand it over to Chair Gennaro for his opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, thank you, Samara. I appreciate all your good work on this hearing. This is kind of a tough one to pull together. There's a lot of back and forth with staff, but I think we're in a very good place now. It's kind of a complicated issue. And, okay, let me start my statement, okay.

Good afternoon, I'm Jim Gennaro, Chair of the Committee on Environmental Protection. Today we'll hear, uh, Intro 470-2022, a local law to advance the phase out of number 4 heating oil. Uh, this is being, this bill is being put forward by myself, and, our, my, uh, co-prime sponsor, uh, Council Member Cabán

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

and, um, and is also being sponsored by, uh, Council

Member Brenin.

I have a very, I have a very complicated, um, opening statement before me that's been drafted with great precision by staff, but I think, you know, it, it's a complicated issue, and I think I just want to start off by, you know, giving some history and just talking like in a plain language kind of way just to give people a sense of history, because it's, it, it's important.

Uh, you know, going back about 10 years, uh, you know, the Council really wanted to do a lot, you know, working hand in hand with the, with the Bloomberg administration to, uh, to clean heating oil. Uh, you know, heating oil, we burn about, you know, a billion or so gallons, of, of, uh, you know, heating oil per year. It, and it produces, uh, you know, quite a lot of pollute, uh, uh, you know, quite a lot of pollution or at least the heavier grades of heating oil. And that's what I'm going, that's what I'm going to, you know, get into now because, and I don't want to make this a tutorial, but it's important for people to understand, you know, where this is all coming from.

Uh, you, once upon a time in New York City, we had three grades of heating oil. We had number 2, which most people know. You see the trucks driving around in neighborhoods and filling up residential tanks. Um, and then, you, you'd, so, so that's grade number 2. Um, now the next grade up from that in terms of, um, you know, being more heavy, industrial kind of fuel would be, um, heating oil number 4 and, you know, heating oil number 6. Now, there are really only two kinds of heating oils. There's number, there's 2 and there's 6. 4 is a blend of, of, of, of, of, of 2 and 6.

And, um, what we don't have any more in New York
City is fuel oil blend number 6, which is essentially
like tar. It was unbelievably terrible with regard to
its emissions. It really had to go. There are places
around the state that still use it, but, but, we
don't use it here. Um, and, uh, it was about 1% of
the city's buildings that were using fuel oil number
6, but it was producing like the predominant amount
of pollution from heating oil, just number 6 alone
with its small penetration, you know, into, like, the
market. It was horrible. It had to go.

2.2

2.2

2.3

So, 10 years ago, we did a couple of things. Um, working with D, working with DEP, um, uh, uh, uh, we did this whole package at once. So, we worked with the administration, and, you know, DEP through rule making, um, uh, indicated by, I think 2015, they were no longer going to certify boilers that burned number 6 oil. So as of 2015, you know, number 6 was out of the question. That was like, a huge, you know, clean air benefit for, for the city.

Um, and then the Council at the time that we put number 6 on the road to extinction, um, we legislated in this Council, uh, making number 4 oil much cleaner in terms of sulfur content. Sulfur is a really bad pollutant. It creates, uh, you know, sulfur oxides which is a respiratory irritant. It's bad and so, um, nuber 4 oil at the time was north of 3,000 parts per million of sulfur, sometimes around 4,000. And the, and the Council legislated it, that it could be no more than 1,500 parts per million of sulfur, making it a lot cleaner. Okay. Good, uh, that's a good thing.

And then we made a big (INAUDIBLE) by which number 4 oil had to be out of service, that people couldn't use it anymore. And for, and for, you know,

2.2

2.3

residences that was January 1, 2030. Or, or, or for,

for non-power plant uses. This should be residences,,

uh, schools, you know, other kinds of commercial, um,

enterprise, big engines or whatever, uh, they had to

stop using number 4 by January 1, 2030. And for power

7 plants, they had to stop using it by January 1, 2025.

And so, and then also at the same time, we added bio-blends to heating oil, so 2, 4, and 6. We started with a B2 blend and then we went with B5 which is where we are now. Ultimately, we're going to go to B10 and B20. That's what's in the law right now and there are, there are, there are, you know, timetables when that is going to key in, uh, and that has served to make, you know, uh, um, uh, uh heating oil cleaner, um, including number 4. (INAUDIBLE)

So, this is all, you now, very well intended and so we, you know, negotiated this number 4 phase out for January 1, 2030, but we have seen that, you know, with regard to when, where it is predominantly used, number 4 oil, you know, staff has found, you know, through an analysis, that is predominantly in communities of color. And so, uh, and notwithstanding the fact that number 4 oil is a lot cleaner than it used to be, um, it's still very, very, with regard

2 to, let's say like, number 2. So, you know, number 2

3 is 15 parts per million sulfur which is, you know,

4 almost hard to detect, so it's essentially sulfur

free, you know, number 2 is. And you know, number 4

6 is not, not at 15. It's at 1,500. And so, you know,

7 these areas of the city where number 4 is being

8 | burned, um, are getting the, you know,

9 disproportionate, you know, effects of, you know, the

10 burning of number 4 and we don't think it's prudent

11 | to keep them burning that, um, um, until January 1,

12 2030.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

So, in certain parts of the City when number 6 boilers were shut down, some of them, you know, converted to number 2 oil, some converted to natural gas and some of them just went to number 4 oil. Um, and that seemed to happen most in communities of color. Uh, and so this provides a rationale, and I think we need a significant rationale to go forward with this bill, because, remember, we, we made a deal that people could use this stuff until January 1, 2030 and now we're changing the deal. That's a big deal. I mean, like we had a handshake on this and now we're kind of going back on our word, so, and for the process, you know, for the purpose of full

2.2

2.3

disclosure, this is not the way ordinarily I like to operate.

But, you know, things have changed in the last 10 years and the, you know, conversion of, uh, number 4 boiler, going from number 4 to number 2, um, is, is easier. You know, you don't need to swap out your boiler. You know, you do need to clean out your tank, um, but, um, it's something that we think is doable, prudent, and, um, you know, part of what we're talking about today and, uh, we'll hear form witnesses, is the, you know, uh, clean air benefits that will accrue from, you know, phasing out number 4 more quickly.

Um, we currently burn about 45 million gallons of number 4. Um, that's not a great thing. Uh, yes, it's not the, you know, it's by no means a, you know, a majority of, uh, oil that we burn. Like I said, we burn about a billion gallons of heating oil a year, um, but we can do better than 45 million gallons, particularly this being burned in communities of color, uh, and also in a lot of schools. I think we can certainly do better than that.

Um, you know, city government, um, you know, uh, city government uses, they burn about 22% of the 45

2.2

2.3

million gallons of, you know, number 2, uh, and 78% of that 22% is, is burned in schools. So most of what's the government of the City of New York burns, is burned in schools and I think that's a loser. We can certainly do better than that. Uh, and, um, and, and, and, because of the way things have played out over the last 10 years, you know, this has shifted more towards communities of color, um, we have to really do whatever we can for the environmental justice community.

So that is the history behind what we're doing and why we are compelled to sort of try to move forward with this bill, and to, you know, hear what people are going to have to say about it. Um, and, but I lost my train of thought, but, um, let me see if I can try to get it back. So, um, uh, so, we'll be hearing from the administration. And they, oh, um, you know, that's why it's always best to work from your script. But you can't deny me that that was a pretty good history lesson, right? Everyone of these (INAUDIBLE) history lesson, everybody. That wasn't bad, okay, 78%, 22%, it's all up here. Okay, so, it's all, we're trying. And Julie's smiling. Thank you, Julie, I appreciate that.

2.2

2.3

And so, um, uh, oh, okay, so let's get, let's, so let's, so, so, for, so, the, so the action part of the bill, that's what I was going to talk about, um, what would take, um, for, for the users that I mentioned, um, uh, the, um, uh, the, the, the, uh, you know, non-power plant users of number 4 would have to, uh, you know, phase out number 4 fuel five years faster.

And for certain users of number 4, this would be in, you know, buildings that use number 4 as a backup fuel. So, they are primarily on natural gas, but sometimes they're called upon by the gas utility to come off gas, because there's not enough gas to go around so they're use, so, so they're called upon to use their backup fuel. And so, if their backup fuel is number 4, we're asking them to come off number 4 a little earlier than, you know, they, they, they have to come off even sooner. This would be December 31, uh, 2023, which is one year, one day sooner than the other people who will phase out by January 1, 2025.

So, if you use number 4 as a backup fuel, in like a dual fuel kind of thing, um, then you have to come off a little quicker. And also for number 4 users that use an above ground storage tank, uh, we want

- 2 them to come off one year sooner than everyone else.
- 3 That would be the last day of 2023, rather than the
- 4 first day of 2025. And like, the rationale for that
- 5 is if you're using your oil in an above ground
- 6 storage tank, you know, it's a little easier to make
- 7 the switch over to number 2 like you, because the
- 8 | tank is already above ground. It's like, you know,
- 9 easier to kind of scrub it and all that, and get
- 10 ready for like, the number 2, for the conversion from
- 11 4 to 2.

- 12 If you have an underground storage tank, it's a
- 13 | little more yelling and screaming. You know, you have
- 14 to, you don't actually have to take the tank out of
- 15 the ground, but if it's buried in the concrete, you
- 16 got to bust the concrete. You got to cut the tank
- 17 open, you got clean it out. And so, you know, um, um,
- 18 | for, for, for those, we're going with the, you know,
- 19 | 2025 date.
- 20 And so, um, and there's all kinds of stuff in the
- 21 | briefing paper about the, you know, health effects of
- 22 | this. I think I filibustered my time, but I, um, uh,
- 23 you know, suffice it say, if you're burning fuel in
- 24 communities of color, that emits, like, all the
- 25 criteria of pollutants, both of the pollutants that

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

are regulated by the Clean Air Act, um, uh, and others that are, and others that are outside the Clean Air Act, like, you know, um, heavy metals and that kind of thing. So, this is something that we want to move past.

And so, I just felt particularly compelled to give a thorough rationale for why the Council is going, is trying to go back on like a handshake they previously made. That's not the way I ordinarily like to do business, but I think it's called for, uh, you know, in this case. And so, um, that is probably the most rambling opening statement I ever made. You're all, you all are going to get, you know, service badges to say that you lived through this or whatever.

Sergeant, make sure everybody gets their badges please. We could, if, if you could do that, uh, and, with that said, Samara, uh, I want to make sure that, has anyone else joined us since I, uh, since I last recognized Council Members? Um, Samara, anybody?

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: No.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, okay. And so, uh, with that said, let me go back to my hearing script.

Samara, why don't you just tell me what happens now?

2.2

2.3

2 COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I, okay, here it is. I will now turn it back over to the Committee Counsel. I'm officially doing that. Samara, your ball.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Okay, thank you. And I will now deliver the Oath of Author, of, of, uh, the Oath, uh, to, uh, the Council Members, Angela Licata, and Julia Casagrande. Okay, do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to the Council Member questions?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: I do.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, uh, um, if I could assert some privilege here. I just want to, uh, state that I long worked with, uh, you know, Deputy Commissioner Licata. We go way, way back and she was around for, for all this stuff, um, when we did all the fuel stuff and I'm very happy that she's the one representing the administration here because she has the, uh, you know, she has the vast, you know, knowledge of the institution about all the steps that we've taken, uh, you know, thus far. And it's, uh,

2 uh, um, it's a, it's a pleasure and a privilege to 3 have her, uh, before the Committee today and, um,

4 and, and, uh, and, and I understand that your

5 colleague from the Mayor's Office of, uh, MOCEJ, uh,

6 | yeah, Mayor's office Okay, yeah.

Julia is, is, is, is here to answer questions. I can't quite make out your last name. My reading glasses are, I'm due for a new prescription but I can see Julia. Thank you very much for being here. I am going to call upon you, so get ready.

Okay, um, and with that said, Angela can give us the benefit of your good testimony. We are all ears.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Good afternoon,

Chairman Gennaro and Members of the Committee. I, in

fact, very much appreciated the history lesson. It

felt a little like a victory lap for us. Um, and

certainly we don't rest on our laurels. And with no

further ado, let me jump into my written testimony.

I am Angela Licata, Deputy Commissioner for
Sustainability at the New York City Department of
Environmental Protection. I am joined today by Julia
Casagrande, Policy Advisor at the Mayor's Office of
Climate and Environmental Justice, or MOCEJ.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today about transitioning to less polluting fuels for heating and hot water systems in our City's building stock. This has been a priority for DEP and the City Council for many years, as you recognized.

Approximately, 5,300 buildings were required to begin transitioning from number, um, from fuel oil number 6 in 2011 as a result of local law 43 of 2010. We know based on the New York state and New York City Community Air Survey data that this change had a very significant impact on improving air quality, especially in northern Manhattan and portions of the Bronx that had especially high concentration, concentrations of boiler conversions.

Traditionally, vulnerable socio-economically disadvantaged areas experienced the greatest proportion of health benefits from the number 6 fuel oil conversion. And when we estimated, approximately 1,200 tons of pm 2.5 emissions were reduced and prevented an estimated 210 premature deaths annually.

The passage of local law 38 of 2015 built on this progress by requiring a full phase out of fuel oil number 4 by 2030. Since 2015, um, approximately 1,000 boiler applicants have converted from systems that

were previously using number 4 to number 2 or natural gas, um, all voluntarily.

Currently, approximately 3,366 buildings across
the City now burn fuel oil number 4, with 1,517 using
number 4 as a backup fuel to natural gas as part of
what is known as a dual fuel system. These buildings
use number 4 when natural gas is at a high demand
during very cold weather conditions. The other
approximately 1,849 buildings use number 4 fuel
exclusively. DEP regularly communicates with building
owners to ensure that they are aware of regulatory
expectations and options to meet these regulations.

Phasing out fuel oil number 4 will have important air quality and public health improvements by reducing emissions of particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen, sulfur dioxide, and carbon dioxide. The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene expects these improvements may result in fewer premature deaths, respiratory, and cardiac hospitalizations, and asthma emergency room visits. As with the transition from fuel oil number 6, the transition from fuel oil number 4 will have significant health benefits in environmental justice neighborhoods.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Approximately, 72%, in fact, of these buildings that currently use number 4 are in environmental justice or potential environmental justice communities, especially in northern Manhattan and the Bronx.

Converting from fuel oil number 4 to another source will require investment from building owners. The conversion costs vary significantly depending on the building's current system and the new system that is installed. We appreciate that some building owners may need help understanding their options for compliance, so MOCEJ, through the New York City Accelerator, connects building owners with the technical assistance and utility incentives that they will need to transition away from fuel oil number 4.

The New York City Accelerator provides

personalized outreach and assistance from experts who

offer advice on energy efficient and clean energy

technology, local laws and incentives, and financing

options to fund building upgrades. First launched in

2012 as Clean Heat, this program assisted the phase

out from the number 6 fuel oil for many buildings.

We have been working toward cleaner heating oil in the City for over 10 years as was acknowledged by

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

the Council Member and there are a number of upcoming biodiesel requirements both from City and the state.

In anticipation of these requirements, building owners should already be taking steps to clean up their fuel equipment to ensure compliance. Currently the air code requires that all buildings convert from

number 4 to number 2 oil or alternative sources by 2030. Intro 470 would accelerate the transition time frame, timeline.

We share Council's goal to phase out number 4 oil as soon as possible so we want to work with the Council to ensure that the bill details consider cost and technological considerations to best serve all impacted communities. And we thank the Council for their attention to this topic and look forward to working together on this bill.

My colleague and I are very happy to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON GENARRO: Okay, uh, uh, thank you

Deputy Commissioner, I certainly appreciate your good

testimony and, um, all of your work over the years,

you know, to get us. I mean, yeah, what I kind of

left out of my rambling statement, was, um, you know,

all the gains that were made. And, uh, you know,

2.2

2.3

per million.

people should know that, you know, getting rid of number 6, uh, you know, phasing out number 2, uh, uh, uh, uh, uh, uh, phasing out number 4, uh, going to the, you know, full extent of bio, uh, in heating oil to be 20, which we're ultimately going to hit since it's sort of set in law, and the state doing what it did to take number 2 heating oil and to make it, um, what we call, um, ultra-low sulfur, which is 15 parts

All those four steps combined will be the equivalent of taking every car, truck, and bus off
New York City streets forever. So, um, this is, uh,
this is, um, and, and, you know, number 6 alone was
most of that. And so, um, so, here we are. Uh, and,
um, what I would like to ask the administration,
first of all, like, what, what, what I just heard is
something, um, short of, um, uh, um, support for the
bill which is, uh, I kind of expected that. But
certainly, you know, support for the process, to, to,
work, you know, with us, you know, towards this
worthy goal. And, and I, you know, certainly do
appreciate all, you know, the work that you're doing
with the Accelerator because it really helps building
owners and it really, um, uh, you know, helps with,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

private sector.

uh, uh, it helps with financing, um, but at the end of the day, we have, and this will be more to like real estate people if they come up, um, but, uh, at the end of the day, we have to acknowledge that, you know, number 4 is a cheaper fuel, um, and it has a higher BTU content than number 2. Um, so you literally have to burn more number 2, um, than you would burning number 4. And so, um, you know, there's kind of a built-in incentive to stay with this currently legal fuel, um, between now and the, uh, and, and the current phase out date which is what we fear, uh, that, you know, notwithstanding, all these, you know, all these incentives, um, you know, building owners will, um, um, you know, will, will, will, uh, you know, keep using it. Uh, that's the

And then with regard tot the public sector, what account of, my, my, my question for you and by extension to the whole administration, you know, we have 180 schools that are currently using this stuff, or at least, you know, those are the numbers that I had, that, that, that I have and I, I'd like to ask either you, or, uh, you know, uh, Julia, if I could be so, you know, informal as to call you by your

2.2

2.3

first name, um, uh, as to, if you could let us know where these schools are, not today, if you don't have the numbers in, in front of you, but certainly we want to know where these schools are, and we want to know what, I mean, or, or I want to know, it's my question. I, I, I want to know the administration's current commitment to phasing out, um, you know, number 4 in its own assets, uh, you know, with regard to number 4. Most of those, I've been told, about 78% of the number 4 burned by the City of New York, by City government is in schools but it's burned in some other places as well.

Um, and what is the administration, because now we're not talking about the private sector. We're talking about, you know, the City, deep pockets, can do anything if it has like, you know, the will to do it. And so, what is the City's vision now for, uh, you know, phasing out number 4 in all of its own assets, you know, particularly schools.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Well, thank you for that question and I will answer simply by saying that the schools are starting, um, to make that conversion and I will turn it to, um, Julia for the details on the, some of the pilot work.

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And, and, and thank you,

Julia. And, and, and before you start now, the reason

why I'm coming down, I'm going to try to come down, I

think my colleagues will, will try to come down heavy

on the City, is because it's difficult, you know, for

us to sort of squeeze the private sector and say, you

know, we're going back on a handshake that we made

with you. Meanwhile, the City of New York is going to

like take its time in getting it done.

So, it's kind of like we have to squeeze City government, like, as hard as we possibly can, as a rationale to say, "Hey, you know, private sector, you know, building owners, you know, we're going after you, we're smacking you with this. But like we're smacking, you know, City government operations even harder. We have like a firm commitment that they are going to phase out as quickly as possible."

And so, I mean, there is no way I can get away with, or any of, or the Council can get away with, you know, putting a burden on the private sector, that, you know, that the, that, that City government isn't willing to, you know, step up to. You follow me? And so, this is why we really want to come down

2.2

2.3

2 hard on the City so that helps us with, you know, the 3 heavy lift that we have with the private sector.

So, with that said, uh, Julia, Julia, please continue.

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Yes, of course. Um, thank you Council Member for that question. We are definitely committed to leading by example, um, with the City compliance with this law. Um, as far as the schools go, the 180 number you have is the, um, buildings that are remaining on fuel oil number 4 and are not currently in design for construction for conversion. We have 231 (INAUDIBLE) that we're still building but a number of those are already in design, um.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Hang on.

For a second, so, um, um, um, so, so the, so the 180

number are those that are burning 4 and they're not,

you know, currently being, you know, retrofitted, but

the universe of schools that are burning number 4

today, um, is larger than 180 because they are in the

midst of midst of being converted. Is that fair to

say?

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Yes, we have 231 that are, were burning number 4 as of the last heating

season, but we are in the process of converting some of that which gets you to that 180 number that you had. Um, there is one other facility that is burning number 4 currently, um, but, as you've said, the majority is schools. We are definitely committed to converting those as soon as possible and have been working with DCAS, as well as the FPA and the Department of Education to develop a plan to do that.

Um, the (CROSSTALK).

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just go over the last sentence. So, you're working with, you know, DCAS and others to develop a plan to convert all City assets that are currently on 4 to a, uh, you know, to, to, number 2 or solar or whatever you're going to do, to something, like, other than 4. Um, so that plan doesn't yet exist, kind of like that vision of moving City assets off number 4 doesn't yet exist. It's in development. Like, do we know when that plan's going to exist?

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: It, it is, it exists, um.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.

2.2

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Some of the aspects of it are being finalized, but it definitely is (CROSSTALK).

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Well, why don't you share some of the secrets with us in terms of, um, if this plan exists, you know, even in draft form, you have, you know, you have, um, you know, you know, you have access to it, and I'm wondering whether or not that plan was developed with a mindset to meet the January 1, 2030 phase out. Something tells me that that's probably what it was because everyone knows that the June, that the January 1, 2030 phase out is in law, it's on the books, and the City has to comply. It's probably, that's probably what this was geared towards, meaning the 2030 mandate. Is that, is that, is that fair to say?

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Officially yes, but I can elaborate. Um, there is a plan to electrify currently, five schools in the next two fiscal years and \$1 billion of, um, budget in the executive budget to electrify schools by 2030. So, we're looking to electrify as many schools as possible as quickly as possible.

2.2

2.2

2.3

As far as getting off number 4 fuel oil as quickly as possible, which is a separate, um, similar but separate issue.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Um, we are pursuing an operational maintenance retrofit to convert to number 2 with B10 which is required by 2025, um, as quickly as, as possible and can be compliant with this law.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Um, would it be fair to say, that, and again, I don't want to create sort of like an out for the administration or, or an argument for them, uh. Oh, it looks like we've been joined by Council Member Guitérrez, right? Is that, she, she, she, uh, and we have, we're also joined by Council Member Restler. Council Member Guitérrez and Council Member Restler are now with us, and, um, yes, hi. And, and, and I would ask that, uh, uh, Council staff, you know, keep me posted with, um, you know, Members as they, as they, uh, as they, as they join the hearing.

So, uh, uh, um, as I was saying Julia, um, uh, you know, is it the City's contention that a, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but if the City were to have to abide by a mandate of January 1,

- 2 2025 instead of, um, January 1, 2030, um, would the
- 3 administration make the argument that, that, uh, um,
- 4 | that, that that faster phase out of number 4 would,
- 5 | uh, impede the electrification of schools? Is that
- 6 | what I'm going to hear?
- 7 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: No, um, the faster
- 8 phase out will not impede the electrification of
- 9 schools.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Good, okay.
- 11 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Fully committed to,
- 12 uh.

- 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You could have used that by
- 14 | the way. I'm just saying I gave you an argument and
- 15 | you just like, thank you for your honesty because I
- 16 almost like gave you, you know, an argument to like
- 17 use against this, but you didn't take it. So, that
- 18 | shows your integrity. I appreciate it.
- 19 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Thank you. Um, the
- 20 | fuel oil 4 conversion to number 2 is going to be
- 21 pursued via operational maintenance and the
- 22 | electrification as soon as possible via capital, um
- 23 | capital expenses. We do, I'm not interested in
- 24 | installing new fossil fuel infrastructure, um.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, um, okay. Let me, let me ask the, uh, following. Let's just say this bill happens, the new date is January 1, 2025. We're asking, um, you know, many buildings in the, because the, you know, majority of number 4 users are in the private sector, uh, and so we do this, um, can the administration get this done by that date?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: I'll, I'll take that.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Uh, yeah, so one of the things that we have to consider is our permitting at New York City DEP for the equipment is on a triennial, uh, basis. And we would need, once we have legislation in place, about six months to create a rule to, um, have these conversions in place and take place. So that puts us, I believe, um, at a period of time where it's maybe, let's say, January to start, uh, January 2023. That doesn't really give us enough time, and my view, um, and I think, um, some others in the City share this view, is that we should probably begin this type of transition during summer months, not the heating season.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I, uh, yes, I mean, we, we, we, we've actually, you know, heard that from DEP,

2.2

like (INAUDIBLE).

and, um, yeah, and, um, uh, I think that a July 1<sup>st</sup>
date would be better than a January 1<sup>st</sup> date because
if anybody, you know, was like out of compliance and
their boiler got shut off, you know, better shut off
a boiler on, you know, July 1<sup>st</sup> than January 1<sup>st</sup>, and,
because, you know, we don't want any public safety,

(INAUDIBLE), you know, we don't want to hurt people.

cold water is, um, you know, not as bad as no heat.

Um, but, uh, but that, but we're just playing with

Um, and, um, so, uh, you know, like a little bit of

And so, um, but, when this, you know, we, we feel pretty confident, um, about this bill and, um, we just want to make sure the city's going to be able to do its share, um, and not have the private sector come back at us and say like, "You can't even get, you know, the city with, you know, infinite resources, you know, to get this stuff done. Uh, meanwhile, we in the private sector have to like push and shove to get, you know, you know, to get it done."

So this, unfortunately, is going to be a situation where the City really has to lead by example and kind of figure it out. But anyway, uh,

CHAIRPERSON GENARRO: Oh, fine, fine, fine.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JAROTTE: Yeah, and we want to make sure that we have a firm understanding of the cost. As you know, um, our costs have been volatile as of late in terms of, um, fuel oil and, um, even natural gas, and we just want to take that into account when we're thinking about transitioning these buildings.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, certainly, yeah, um. You know, but I, I, I, um, uh, you know, I don't sell short the Mayor's Office of Climate and, um,

Environmental Justice, they seem to know, like they know exactly where these buildings are. There's, you know, 15, there's 1,849 that, uh, you know, use it, uh, solely, number 4, there's 1,517 that use it as a backup fuel. So, it looks like the Mayor's office is already on the case. I'd like to know where these buildings are. Um, it would just be a matter of figuring out what kind of help they need to make this all happen.

And so, um, with that, um, I have, uh, um, kind of gone on and on here. I always, uh, like to engage, uh, my members, sort of, uh, early in the process. I, you know, reserve the right to come back for more questions. Right now, it is my pleasure to recognize

my good colleague, Council Member Julie Menin. I
recognize Council Member Menin for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Thank you so much, Chair.

And I have to say, I loved your history lesson. I

thought that was fantastic. I worked many years ago
on number 6 issues so it was a wonderful walk down
memory lane. So, thank you for taking the time to do
that.

So, I have two questions. The East Harlem part of my district, Council District 5, has very high asthma rates. So, I wanted to get an understanding from the administration of what effect would phasing out the remaining number 4, uh, boilers have on the City's air quality?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Thank you for recognizing that air quality benefit. Um, I don't have the actual tonnages or pounds, um, reduced. But, we do know based on the number 6 conversions that there is a tremendous reduction in three of the important pollutants. And that is, um, nitrogen oxides, uh, sulfur content, and also the pm 2.5 which is really the inhalable, respiratory aspects of particulate matter and that's the one that is

2.2

2.3

2 usually, um, creates an asthma incidence or incidents
3 of air quality illnesses.

So, we can get back to with more precise numbers.

Um, we have calculated that out. I just didn't bring

it and I don't have it at my fingertips.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Okay, thank you. Uh, the other question I have is regarding the NYSERDA retrofit grants. I wanted to get a better understanding of, um, how many grants have been disseminated in what neighborhoods in particular.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Julia, I'm going to turn that you in terms of NYSERDA support.

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Um, sure, of course.

Yeah, NYSERDA grants would be coming from the state

level so I don't have the information in front of me

as far as what, where those grants went, but we are

definitely (INAUDIBLE) to, um, see what we can get to

you and work with Council Members to advocate to the

state to, um, just review that (INAUDIBLE).

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Yeah, that would be great.

I'd love it if we could get, Chair, if I could ask

that information could go to the Committee so that we

make sure there is real equity in terms of the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

disbursement of these grants and that neighborhoods in need are actually getting access to them.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, by all means, uh, uh, uh, uh, Council Member, I think that's a great question.

And I direct the Committee Counsel to make sure that all Members of the Committee, and any, any, anyone else who is, um, you know, um, interested in the Council, for example, people who may sign on to this bill, uh, you know, get that information as well, so, so we can have that.

And I ask that Council Member Menin, uh, be granted a little more time because she asked me a question and that shouldn't count against her time.

council Member Menin: Um, thank, well thank you so much. And then I guess my last question and thank you, Chair, for that extra time, is just on the Accelerator. If you could talk a little bit more about the, uh, the interaction between the public and the Accelerator. Like, how many applicants have there been? I, I didn't understand that part of the testimony, if you could just give a little bit more specifics on it.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And I will say that, uh, uh, uh, uh, uh, um, I will essentially, uh, make that my

- 2 question so when the clock runs out, um, uh, you
- 3 know, we, we will have the benefit of a full answer
- 4 from the administration. Take as long as you want.
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: Thank you. Julia, do
- 6 you have that data to share?
- 7 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Of course. And, thank
- 8 | you, and I'm, I'm sorry if I'm, my answer is cutting
- 9 out a little. Please let me know if you miss anything
- 10 | that I've said. Um, but, as far as the Accelerator
- 11 goes, we provide free technical assistance.
- 12 SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Time is expired.
- 13 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Accelerator, as well
- 14 | as connections to financial, to financial incentives
- 15 and (INAUDIBLE) going to number 2 fuel oil.
- 16 (INAUDIBLE) retrofit, um.
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Sorry, I can't hear you at
- 18 | all. I'm, I'm.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, you're breaking up a
- 20 | little bit. You're breaking up a little bit, Julie.
- 21 You're breaking up. Is there anything you can do from
- 22 | your end to help that?
- 23 POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Um, sure. I'm sorry
- 24 about that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I, I, um, I would say switch your camera off, which you just did. It may give you a little more bandwidth.

POLICY ADVISOR CASAGRANDE: Yes, that is what I tried. Can you hear me a little bit better now? Okay, um, sorry about that. Um, I'll start over. So the New York City Accelerator provides technical assistance as, as well as connections to financing mechanisms and incentives to help buildings to perform energy efficiency work, generally (INAUDIBLE) work, and, um, more cap. And we want to ensure that buildings that are, um, that are compliant with this law are also considering (INAUDIBLE) seven compliance, as well as for biodiesel requirements that Council Member Gennaro was talking about. So that there's a lot of synergy among these and, um, that, that Accelerator can help to tie all these together.

Um, as of today, the program has reached 3,145 buildings and the current goal is to assist 5,850 buildings. Um, we definitely have information on where these number 4 fuel oil buildings are and we (INAUDIBLE) to do direct outreach to help them reach our to meet our fair climate goals.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, thank you Council

Member Menin for you questions and, uh, uh, insight.

As you heard, I, uh, um, um, have directed staff of
the Committee to make sure that the information
received from the administration is, um, uh, given
out to all the members of the Committee and anyone
who may sign on to this, uh, to this bill.

So, thank you. Are there, um, any other members of the Committee or members in general who may be on, who wish to ask a question of the administration?

Um, seeing none, uh, we'll, uh, move on to our next witness. But, uh, not without, um, thanking Angela and, um, Julia for their good testimony, and, um, uh, and again, I, I, I also have to, um, uh, you know, make sure people know how hard, um, Angela has worked over the years, uh, you know, making sure that we got to this good place and we're all working together back the day like, "Okay, you know, who's going to do number 6?" And the EP said, "Look, we can do that by rule making but the Council had to do the cleaning of number 4." We're working with the state to get them to make 2 to go, um, ultra-low. We worked with the administration on, on, on bio even though that came from us. So it was, uh, you know, a good

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

little operation that we had, and now we are reinventing that wheel, and so, um, we certainly expect good things, um, certainly, uh, happy that, um, Angela is still there, uh, and look forward to working with, uh, uh, Julia as well.

And so, uh, thank you very much for your good testimony. We would ask that someone from the administration stay on the Zoom here to get the benefit of all the good testimony that we're going to hear. Um, I don't know if that's going to be someone from, you know, (INAUDIBLE) gov, or Julia herself or whatever, but we need to make sure that someone from the administration is staying on throughout the entirety of the hearing. Can we get a handshake on that, Angela?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: We do.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, great, okay. Uh, thank you very much. I'll turn it back over to Madam Moderator, uh, who can, uh, call the next witness.

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Um, now we're going to turn to the public testimony. I'd like to remind everyone that we'll be calling on individuals one by one. Each panelist will be given two minutes to speak. Please begin once the Sergeant has started

2 | the timer and given you the cue to begin. Council

3 Members who have questions for a particular panelist,

4 please use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will

5 call on you after the panelist has completed their

6 testimony.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant of Arms will give you the go ahead to begin upon setting the timer. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin delivering your testimony.

Our first witness today is Lonnie Portis of WE  $\mbox{\sc ACT.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, and, and, uh, Madam Moderator, if could just, maybe just list the first three witnesses so that they know they're on deck. You know, so we got Lonnie, and then we, so who's after Lonnie and then after that.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: After Lonnie, we have, um, um, Rocco Lacertosa of the New York

Energy Coalition and then we have, uh, Carlos Castell

Croke of the New York League of Conservation Voters

and after that, we have Brett Thomason of the New

York Steamfitters Local 638.

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank, uh, uh, thank you, 3 Samara.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Lonnie?

MISTER PORTIS: Yeah, ready.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Your time will begin.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Lonnie, you're on. You're on. Good to see you. Good to see you.

MISTER PORTIS: Nice seeing you too, Good

Afternoon, UH, Chairman Gennaro and the Committee on
Environmental Protection. I am Lonnie Portis. I'm the
Environmental Policy and Advocate Coordinator at We

Act for Environmental Justice. Um, as, uh, Chair

Gennaro summed up in his amazing introduction, uh,

kind of comes down to fuel number 4 oil is dirty. And
it produces a high level of particulate matter that
pollutes our air.

Uh, since the beginning, uh, since our beginnings, WE ACT for Environmental Justice has been fighting for clean air uptown. Uh, Harlem has always dealt with the poorer air quality in comparison to other neighborhoods due to the disproportionate placement of, uh, bus depots, plants, sanitation sites, train and truck yards, truck ways creating traffic, um, and more. And the rates of childhood

asthma are higher than average rate in New York City.

And the other impacts such as cardiovascular disease

are plaguing northern Manhattan due to environmental

5 injustices.

2.2

2.3

Under New York City's Clean Heat Program, uh, number 6 fuel oil was successfully banned. However, number 4 fuel oil is still allotted till 2030. While many buildings have, uh, phased out of this oil, buildings in lower income neighborhoods and neighborhoods of color still use, uh, number 4.

To quote Dr. Diana Hernandez's 2018 article about the issue, "Residential fuel oil number 4 continued to be burned by 3,253 residential buildings." I'm sure those numbers have been updated here, uh, uh, since then. "Despite the City's efforts to educate and incentivize owners to switch cleaner fuel oils, to cleaner fuels. Uh, of these buildings, 1,724 or 53% were clustered in Manhattan, north of 110<sup>th</sup> Street in the Bronx, uh, disproportionately higher, uh, than the area's population. Only 1/5 of the City's residents live in these neighborhoods."

Ultimately, banning number 4 fuel oil, um, in 2025, five years earlier than currently projected, will lead to direct air quality improvements in our

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

community. Uh, WE ACT has been advocating for speeding up this phase out for many years and happy to see this discussion happening today.

Uh, when this bill was originally introduced, local law 97 was not a law with the earlier phase out of the fuel oil. Um, we do have some concern that it will take some time for these buildings longer to install.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Time is expired.

MISTER PORTIS: More energy efficient heating.

12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, Lonnie, keep going.

Just, just, you know, wrap up in a minute, okay?

MISTER PORTIS: I'm wrapping up. Thank you. Uh, to mitigate this, uh, and to the impact, it is important the City proactively reach out to buildings who have, uh, who have to phase out the fuel and assist them in affordably electrifying, meaning offering financing if needed instead of switching to natural gas sources or other fuel grades. Uh, we know which buildings will have to do this phase out and so it should be, uh, they should be targeted, uh, the most.

So therefore, I, you know, I join advocates and experts and community members to urge the City to pass Introduction 0470. And, uh, thank you Council

such an important matter.

Member Gennaro and the Committee on Environmental
Protection for holding this, uh, timely hearing on

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Lonnie. It's always great to see you. And, uh, you know, please give my best to Pinky and everybody, uh, at, at, at, at WE ACT, and, uh, it seems like this hearing is a little bit, kind of like flying, um, under the radar. We, we, we're not having like lots and lots of environmental, um, advocates coming forward, but, uh, you know, certainly, you know, if, uh, if, uh, WE ACT is with us, and we've got, we've got, we've got good support. And, uh, you know, we know of We Act's ability to, you know, rally the EJ community behind this bill and, uh, we want to work with you to, to, uh, do that, to get into the community to make sure that people know that this is something that, um, we really have to try and, and get some done.

And I know I can count on you and WE ACT, um, and, uh, and other, you know, like minded organizations to, u, to, to, to help us get this over the finish line. So, I really appreciate you being here, Lonnie, and, uh, uh, and we look forward

2.2

2.3

Madam Moderator, next, uh, next up.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Our next, uh,

witness is Rocco Lacertosa from the New York State Energy Coalition.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Rocco. Good to see you. Good to see you.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Thank you.

SERGEANT SADOWSY: Your time will begin.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Thank you
Chairman Gennaro and Committee Members for the
opportunity to testify before you today. Uh, my name
is Rocco J Lacertosa and I serve as the Chief
Executive Officer of the New York State Energy
Coalition, NYSEC. NYSEC serves as the voice of the
biodiesel and heating oil industry from Manhattan to
Montauk. Today, I would like to focus my testimony on
Intro 470, sponsored by Chairman Gennaro and Council
Members Cabán, Brannan, Brewer (SP?), Joseph, and
Nurse, which would require building owners to end the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

use of number 4 oil by December 31, 2023 for specific boilers and by January 1, 2025 for all boilers.

Since 2012, NYSEC has worked tirelessly with our state and local partners to ensure that a cleaner and more renewable liquid fuel was being used in buildings throughout New York City and state.

Starting in the Bloomberg administration, NYSEC has worked with the City Council, the Mayor's Office, and environmental organizations to phase out heavy heating oils in New York City and to require biodiesel blends in all heating oil, including B5 today and B20 biodiesel by 2034.

The industry has invested tens of millions of dollars in infrastructure in New York to ensure that there is a larger and more accessible supply of biodiesel for New York customers.

I would like to publicly thank Chairman Gennaro for his leadership on this issue. We would not have made the tremendous gains in air quality in this City without your expertise and leadership a decade ago and we support you today.

This year marks 10 years since you set out to create a cleaner and more sustainable heating fuel.

And I am pleased to say that those efforts have

2.2

2.3

industry.

resulted in the removal of nearly a half a billion gallons of petroleum from the market. We continue to push forward with many members going well beyond the required biodiesel blend, selling customers B20 and even B50. It is truly an exciting time for our

Similarly, we worked with Chairman Gennaro and a former and current sustainability (INAUDIBLE), uh, on phasing out number 4 and number 6 oil in New York City. We are equally proud of that achievement. Our industry's future is in clean, ultra-low sulfur heating fuel blended with higher blends of biodiesel, so we are happy to phase out the heavy fuel oils.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Time is expired.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: We agreed to a time schedule reflecting current laws which.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, please wrap up, Rocco, but it's okay, but just kind of finish your thoughts.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LASITOSA: Will do. Which dictated 6 oil be phased out by 2015 and that number 4 be phased out by 2030. The bill, Intro 0470 would accelerate the already codified timeline by five years. NYSEC is committed to a cleaner energy future

2.2

2.3

and remains committed to an accelerated phase out of number 4 heating oil.

Our only request is that the City Council coordinate its efforts to the extent possible with the New York state legislature for a consistent and reasonable timeline. We look forward to discussing this legislation further with the Council. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, thank you, Rocco, uh, uh, uh, um, always good to hear from you and always good to, uh, you know, work with your industry to, uh, to, uh, to green heating oils. You know, we've, uh, we've gone from 6 to, to, to the old 4, to the cleaner 1,500 ppm sulfur 4, um, to, you know, number 2 going from full sulfur to, you know, uh, um, ultralow. I didn't know there are a lot of things going on in the industry, you know, in the, uh, in your industry to ultimately go to, uh, you know, carbon zero, you know, heating oils.

And so, uh, uh, you know, this is, uh, you know, a testament to, uh, you know, to your member's commitment, um, to, you know, green their product.

And, and, and I'm grateful to hear from those that sell the oil, which is your members, um, that they are, uh, that this is something, uh, that they are,

you know, on board with. Um, you know, uh, just like
anyone else, uh, you know, in this mix, I want to
make sure it's, you know, done in a way that kind of

5 makes sense for your members.

2.2

2.3

Can you tell me a little bit about what's going on in the state and the ability and, and, and what's going on there because, um, because you mentioned some sort of reconciliation into what we're doing here, and what we're offering. If you could tell us about that.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Yeah, um, the, uh, the Senate had, had, passed a bill to phase out number 4 oil by 2027. Uh, it didn't pass. It died in the Assembly, uh, and the question there, or the concern there, was, uh, costs, uh, that the, the Senate staff brought up, uh, potential costs to, uh, to consumers and so on.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, let me, let me just stop you there for a second.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm, I'm going to take a guess and say that, uh, and um, I certainly don't speak for the Assembly, but, um, when they say costs, were they talking about if private buildings that are

2 currently burning number 4 go to more expensive

3 | number 2, then the, you know, increased costs that

4 would be born by landlords have to be, then would get

5 transferred to, to tenants? Was that what that was

6 about? Do you have any sense of that?

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Uh, it was more a sense that, uh, first, that the cost, you know, of conversion was, was one of the things that they brought up in terms of, you know, cleaning thanks and adjusting burners and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Right, right.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Uh, that, that was, that was one of the main issues. So, we would like to see some coordination there between the state and the City. Uh, only because, you know, in, we have, uh, wholesalers who have, you know, one foot in the upstate region and one foot in the downstate region. So, you know, it would be a, a bit of, um, logistical, uh, issue for them to have, you know, one fuel oil phased out, you know, a couple of years earlier than, than the other. So, I think 2027, uh, was what the, uh, the state was looking at. That's what I wanted to, you know, just mention on that.

2.2

2.3

Englebright.

## COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2.2

2.3

2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Actually,
3 Paulin had the bill in, in, the Assembly.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, but, it's, uh, you know, it's, uh, I mean, I go back with Steve 45 years, so, um, um, you know, and, and uh, it would be his guy, I guess, you know, uh, Steve Lisk, is, uh.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Steve Lisk.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, and so, if I could direct, um, uh, uh, um staff of the Committee and, uh, my own, uh, uh, uh, uh, Eldie, Nabjot to, uh, to, you know, reach out to the, um, EnCon committee in Senate and the Assembly and just kind of like find out what going on. That would be good information to have.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, um.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: Could I mention one more thing, Councilman?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: You know, I referenced in my testimony, a B20 and B50. Um, we're actually, two of the biggest burner manufacturers in the industry, Beckett and Carlin are, are right now, uh, ramping up to produce B100 burners and they will

2 have them in production by the end of the year. Um,

3 in other words, a B100 burner would eliminate

4 petroleum completely and burn biodiesel exclusively.

Uh, we have a laboratory out in, uh, Plainview,
Long Island that we are doing, uh, numerous, uh,
tests.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, that's the thing you got a guy from Brookhaven National Laboratory.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LACERTOSA: That's right. Yes, Dr. Tom Butcher is, uh, works for us now and he has two engineers that are working on, uh, different aspects of, uh, blending and also equipment to, to burn at these higher blends. And we've had a lot of successful, you know, work done on this. So, I just wanted to mention that we are moving, you know, even higher. Uh, and we, we want to see, uh, the fact that, we want to do everything we can to help, you know, cleaning up and reducing emissions in New York City and New York state.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, thank you, Rocco, and, uh, it is kind of, you know, refreshing and a little surprising, uh, that the, you know, that the oil heat industry is like, uh, actually, uh, one step

2.2

2.3

the next witness.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Uh, our next witness is Carlos Castell Croke from New York League of Conservation voters.

SERGEANT SADOWSY: Your time will begin.

ASSOCIATE CASTEL CROKE: Thank you. Uh, good afternoon everyone. My name is Carlos Castell Croke. I'm the Associate for New York City Program's at the New York League of Conservation Voters. NYLCV represents over 30,000 members in New York City and we are committed to advancing a sustainability agenda that (INAUDIBLE) our neighborhoods and our economy healthier and more resilient.

Um, NYLCV is, is committed to preserving healthy air quality in New York City and would like to offer support for Intro 470 which would speed up the phasing out of number 4 heating oil to January 1, 2025. This proposal can help drastically improve air quality in New York City.

When the number 6 heating oil was phased out, uh, 6,000 buildings at the end of 2016 as part of the New York City Clean Heat Program. Other buildings all around New York City are still burning number 4 which releases large volumes of fine, particulate matter into the air. Additionally, number 4 heating oil

2 combustion disproportionally occurs in neighborhoods

3 of lower socio-economic status. Therefore,

4 contributing to environmental injustice in New York

City.

2.2

2.3

Emissions released from burning number 4 oil are correlated with higher frequencies of cardiovascular disease, respiratory illnesses such as asthma and bronchitis, and death. Particulate matter, nitrous oxides, and sulfur dioxide are all emissions, um, from burning number 4 oil which, when inhaled, can cause respiratory illnesses and disfunction.

According to the Environmental Defense Fund, replacing number 4 oil with number 2, cuts particulate matter emissions by 90%, nitrous oxide emission by 10%, and sulfur dioxide emissions by 68%. Um, and that might be a little outdated, but, um, we can see even more benefits from including, uh, the new blends that were discussed.

Currently scheduled for phase out number 4

January 2030 is not aggressive enough. Pushing for the deadline forward to 2025 is that the City can take their accelerate and meeting their air quality goals of (INAUDIBLE) out NYC and our emission reduction goals. Just this five-year difference would

mean hundreds of deaths and emergency room visits
averted.

I'd like to thank the Council for their support over the years in the environmental health issues that concern members and look forward to continuing to work, uh, with them in the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Um, thank you very much,

Carlos. We can always count on you for great

testimony. We can always count on, um, um, LCV for,

for, um, for, um, you know, uh, uh, you know, sound

environmental policies and your ability to advance

them. Those are like the, you know, two elements that

we, uh, you know, get out of, uh, um, LCV in, you

know, large degree and we are very, very grateful for

it and we're grateful for your, uh, support.

I couldn't have said it any better myself. You said it better than I did. You know, you should have given the opening statement. But anyway, uh, um, uh, uh, uh, great testimony. We do very much appreciate it. Please give our best to, uh, please give our best to Julie and Josh and, uh, um, all the staff at LCV and thank you for being with us today and thank you for your support.

2.2

2.3

Uh, and, uh, Madam Moderator, before we go on to the next witness, I did get a little surprise when, um, uh, uh, Rocco was testifying. He, uh, um, indicated that were more, that there were more supporters for this, more sponsors for, for, for this, for this bill than I even knew about. Like, the copy of the bill that was given to me for the purpose of today's hearing, uh, was me, Cabán, and Brannan and, um, it seems that, um, it seems that there are more sponsors than that, um, including Council Member Nurse, I believe I heard him say.

So, I want to give, so if you could read out all the sponsors that are on this bill, um, so that, you know, we can, uh, you know, put it on the record. Uh, I mean, it's one thing for a witness to say that they're, that they're on the bill, but I want to hear it from the Council, um, itself, like the sponsors that are on this bill. So, okay, so it's me, it's Cabán, it's Brannan. Who else?

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: (INAUDIBLE) is another one.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Uh, Nurse is another one. Uh, I don't know if there are any others.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I, I, I, I think I heard him say Council Member Joseph, the Chair of the, um, Education Committee. She would be.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I mean, is she on?

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: I don't see

11 her.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. But anyway, and, um, um, you know, uh, uh, I just wanted to, I just wanted to make sure I, I wasn't leaving anyone off. I, I, I, like, the copy I got had three sponsors, um, and so I, I, I thank Council Member Buress and Council Member Brewer and, uh, and Rocco made reference to, uh, Council Member Nurse being on. Maybe she is, but maybe she isn't, but it would be great if she were because she's Chair of the Committee on Education and we're talking about schools and so that would be, that would be great. I just wanted to, you know, you know, rectify that lapse and with that we can move on to our next witness.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Um, our next witness is Brett Thomason of the New York

Steamfitters Local 638.

POLITICAL DIRECTOR THOMASON: Um, thank you.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Time will begin.

POLITICAL DIRECTOR THOMASON: I'm, uh, Political Director of Steamfitters 638. Um, Councilman, thank you for inviting us to this. Council Member Gennaro and, and thank you also for the, the staff time you've generously given us. It's been great working with Nabjot and Matt and.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure, thank you.

POLITICAL DIRECTOR THOMASON: Um, I submitted a longer, um, written testimony for anyone that wants to see it so I'll, I'll keep this short. But, um, we're here today, um, supportive, uh, because our, our members work in, on, um, boiler systems and the heating systems in buildings and large facilities, so this work directly implicates us. Um, and one of the things we talk about when talk about addressing the climate crisis, is the need for a transition because we know that things can't be done overnight. Um, so when we say that our members do green jobs, this is the kind of work we do in, in aiding that transition.

2.2

2.3

Um, we, we know that not all buildings can be immediately electrified so these are the kinds of, of upgrades and efficiency measures that can be taken so that we're, um, making that, um, transition to green energy, ultimately.

Um, I would also add too, and, and a lot of folks have been, uh, have pointed out, the, the byproduct of burning these fuels and what it does, especially for the, the vulnerable and the already infirm, um, but our members work day to day near those facilities so this will have a, a real, um, benefit, on the job, for their, um, standard of living, uh, as we switch away from the dirtiest fuels and, and ultimately, um, transition to clean energy. So, um, I just wanted, I'll leave it there with, with our support and thank the Council Member for, uh, sponsoring this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Uh, uh, uh. Thank you,
Brett. I go back with 638 a long time and really
grateful, uh, you know, for your members, and, uh,
you know, your commitment to a greener future and
your members' ability to facilitate that. Matt, you
know, my labor liaison will certainly be in touch
with you and any other, you know, um, uh, um, any of

- 2 | the labor support that you think that there is out
- 3 | there for this. Um, Nabjot, I'll make a note to
- 4 Nabjot to tell Matt, who's not on this, um, to, um,
- 5 uh, to, to, um, uh, have Matt, um, to reach out to
- 6 Brett to see if we could get other labor partners.
- 7 Um, you know, you, you would have some insight into
- 8 that, Brett, and so we would, uh, we would certainly
- 9 appreciate that.

- 10 So, um, always great to work with the
- 11 Steamfitters and, um. Yea, I go back a real long time
- 12 and, uh, and, and the, uh, the partnership continues.
- 13 So thank you for being here. Thank you for, you know,
- 14 | representing labor in this very important topic and,
- 15 | uh, we look forward to working with you and, and
- 16 getting this thing done. So, thank you, Brett,
- 17 | appreciate it very much. Seeing no one else who is,
- 18 | um, uh, wishes to ask Brett a question, uh, I, I, I
- 19 | thank you for being here and we'll move on to the,
- 20 uh, next witness, Samara.
- 21 COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Uh, I think
- 22 | that's the final witness. I'm not sure we have any
- 23 other witnesses. Has anyone else registered to
- 24 testify today? Um.
  - SERGEANT SADOWSY: No others.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, so, um, Samara, you tell me what to do now. I'm ready.

COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Uh, gavel out.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, so, uh, let me just, uh, oh, you know what I didn't, you know, because I worked, with we need to put this on the record, because I, I kind of went spontaneous when I was doing my opening statement, I failed to mention the most important part of it, um, of my opening statement which is I'd like to thank the current Committee staff for doing such great work over the years, Committee Counsel Samara Swanson, Policy Analyst Ricky Calla, Financial Analyst is Jonathon Seltzer, and finally my great Legislative Director, Nabjot Kaur, uh, for all their hard work. Um, you know, this turned out to be a short hearing but there's a lot of push and shove that went to getting us to this good moment and I really appreciate the staff for all that, you know, they did in making this good hearing and, which was hard and putting up with me which was even harder.

Uh, and, um, um, with that said, um, uh, uh, I, I thank all of the witnesses who gave us their good

COMMITTEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION testimony, all of the, uh, uh, all of the Council Members who, uh, gave us their time and attention and their views. And with that, this hearing is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL] Thank you. COUNSEL TO THE COMMITTEE SWANSON: Thank you. 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 13, 2022