1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1	
2		
3	GIEV GOVYGI	
4	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK	
5	x	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES	
7	Of the	
8	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	
9		
10	March 18, 2022 Start: 9:45 a.m.	
11	Recess: 6:10 p.m.	
12	HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM 1)	
13		
14	B E F O R E: Kamillah Hanks, Chairperson	
15		
16		
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
18	Speaker Adams Joann Ariola	
19	Alexa Avilés Diana Ayala	
20	Charles Barron Gale A. Brewer	
21	Erik D. Bottcher  Justin L. Brannan	
22	Tiffany Cabán Carmen N. De La Rosa	
23	Shahana K. Hanif Robert F. Holden	
24	Rita C. Joseph Darlene Mealy	
25	Sandy Nurse	

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	2
2	COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONT.)	
3	Pierina Ana Sanchez Althea V. Stevens	
4	Kalman Yeger	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 3
2	APPEARANCES
3	Edward Caban NYPD First Deputy Commissioner
4	
5	Kristine Ryan NYPD Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget
6	Isa Abbassi NYPD Deputy Chief
7	
8	Michael Lipetri NYPD Chief of Crime Control Strategies
9	Oleg Chernyavsky NYPD Assistant Deputy Commissioner
10	
11	Jason Wilcox NYPD Chief of Transit
12	James Essig
13	NYPD Chief of Detectives
14	Kathleen O'Reilly NYPD Chief of Patrol
15	Kim Royster NYPD Chief of Transportation
16	-
17	John Miller NYPD Deputy Commissioner for Counter Terrorism and Intelligence
18	
19	Theresa Tobin NYPD Chief of Interagency Operations
20	Matthew Pontillo NYPD Chief of Risk Management
21	
22	Marlon Larin NYPD Deputy Chief
23	Juanita Holmes
24	NYPD Chief of Training
25	Amy Litwin NYPD Deputy Commissioner of Department Advocate

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 4
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Ernest Hart NYPD Commissioner of legal Matters
4	_
5	Martin Morales NYPD Chief of Personnel
6	Edward Thompson
7	NYPD Deputy Chief
8	Danielle Pemberton NYPD Deputy Commissioner of Strategic Initiatives
9	Jeffrey Maddrey NYPD Chief of Housing
10	Kevin O'Connor
11	NYPD Assistant Commissioner
12	Danny Farina NYPD Tech Support
13	
14	Kenneth Perez NYPD Deputy Inspector
15	Donna Jones NYPD Chief of Staff for Commissioner
16	Arva Rice
17	Interim Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board, CCRB
18	Jonathan Darche
19	Executive Director of the Civilian Complaint Review Board, CCRB
20	Daniel D. Glavi
21	Darcel D. Clark Bronx DA's Office
22	Michael McMahan Richmond District Attorney's Office
23	_
24	Melinda Katz Queens District Attorney's Office
25	Kristen Kane

Director of Intergovernmental Affairs and Policy

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 5
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
۷	AFFEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Jay Bond Deputy Director of Intergovernmental Affairs and
4	Policy
5	Jennifer Naiburg Chief Assistant District Attorney
6	
7	Camille Chin-Kee-Fatt Chief of Staff for the District Attorney in
8	Queens
9	Jacqueline Duckfield Administrative and Finance Director at the
10	District Attorney's Office
	Eugene Wang
11	Director of Fiscal Services and Budget
12	Alvin Bragg Manhattan DA's Office
13	No see Home on b
14	Nancy Hoppock DA's Chief Assistant District Attorney in Brooklyn
15	
16	Jill Harris  DA's Chief Policy and Strategy or the DA Chief of Policy and Strategy
17	roffey and Strategy
18	Malik Branch(SP?) Chief Fiscal Officer
19	Eric Gonzales
20	Kings County District Attorney
21	Bridget G. Brennan Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the City of New
∠ ⊥	York
22	
23	Eisha Green
24	Donald Nesbit Executive Vice President for Local 372

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 6
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Jim McLeod Second Vice President for Local 1549
4	
5	Olivia Duong President of Local 3778, DC 37 AFSCME
6	Lisa Schreibersdorf Executive Director of Brooklyn Defender Services
7	Alice Fontier
8	Managing Director of the Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem
9	
10	Tina Luongo Chief Defender at the Legal Aid Society
11	Justine Olderman
12	Nancie Katz Director of Seeds in the Middle
13	
14	Shane Correia  Deputy Director of Government Partnerships at the  Center for Court Innovation
15	
16	Mateo Guerrero-Tabares TGNCIQ Lead Organizer at Make the Road New York
17	Katherine Sal Member of Make the Road New York and I am a trans
18	woman from Guatemala
19	Dulce Member of Make the Road New York and I am a trans
20	person from El Salvador
21	Roselyn
22	Andy Bowen Associate Director of Government Affairs for the
23	Sex Workers Project of the Urban Justice Center, SWP
24	Salma Andrews

Translating

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 7
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Jennifer
4	Sex worker and a trans woman working on the streets in Queens
5	Alex Mojica Senior at Bronx Academy for Software Engineering
6	
7	Anthony Morales Youth Leader at Make the Road New York and the Urban Youth Collaborative
8	ordan fouch corradorative
9	Keyanna Bernard High School Senior in Brooklyn
10	Leo Ferguson
11	Director of Strategic Projects at Jews for Racial Economic Justice
12	Kelly Grace Price Close Rosies
13	
14	Darren Mack Co-Director at Freedom Agenda
15	Adeyemise Oni Youth Organizer and Program Participant at Growth
16	for Gender Equity
17	Amber Khan Director of the Health Justice Program at New
18	York Lawyers for the Public Interest or NYLPI
19	Divad Durant Representative of the Justice Committee
20	
21	Allison Holihan Senior Policy Manager with the Osborne
22	Associations New York Initiative for Children of Incarcerated Parents testifying on behalf of Jonathan Salazar
23	
24	Patrick Ronk Research Associate at the Citizens Budget Commission
2 -	

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 8
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
3	Melissa Vergara Member of Freedom Agenda and the Treatment not
4	Jail Coalition
5	Peggy Herrera Leader and a Member with Freedom Agenda and the
6	Treatment Not Jail Coalition
7	Shani Adess Associate Director of the Domestic Violence Law
8	Unit, New York Legal Assistance Group
9	Typhani Carter Vice President of Programs at Joe Torre Safe at
10	Home Foundation
11	Crystal Clark Member of Freedom Agenda
12	Paola Martinez
13	Sex trafficking survivor
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Computer recording started.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you.

SERGEANT LEONARDO: Cloud is rolling.

SERGEANT SADOWSKY: Thank you and good morning and welcome to today's Remote New York City Council Hearing of the Committee on Public Safety. At this time, would all Council Members and staff please turn on their video.

To minimize disruption, please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. If you wish to submit testimony, you may do so at <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. Once again, that is <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. Thank you for your cooperation, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Good morning and thank you for joining our virtual hearing. I'm

Council Member Kamillah Hanks, Chair on Public

Safety. Welcome to the Public Safety Committee

Budget Hearing. I would like to acknowledge that

we've been joined by my colleagues, Council Member

Brewer, Brannan, Bottcher, Hanif, Yeger, Holden,

Cabán, Ariola, Barron, Stevens, Ayala and Council

Member De La Rosa.

Ŭ

Today, we will discuss the Fiscal Year 2023

Preliminary Budget. We will hear testimony from the New York City Police Department, Civilian Complaint Review Board and the city's five District Attorney's and the Office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor.

Before we get started, I would like to thank your public safety staff, our Senior Financial Analyst Nevin Singh, Jack Storey, Deputy Director Eisha Wright and Counsel Josh Kingsley. I also want to thank my staff members. Chief of Staff Marci Bishop and the Budget and Legislative Direct Paul Casali.

First, we will hear from the New York City's

Department Commissioner Keechant Sewell. We look

forward to partnering with you in your tenure to keep

New Yorkers safe.

Now, I'd like to turn it over to our Moderator

Committee Counsel Josh Kingsley to go over some

procedural items, unless you want me to start the —

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, you should finish your

opening statement and then we'll —

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, got it, thank you, sorry.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And then we'll turn it over to the Police Commissioner. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Got it, thank you. So, Public Safety is at the top of mind for all New This portion of the hearing, we will hear Yorkers. from NYPD first. We'll focus on the Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary Budget for NYPD. The hearing to discuss the Mayor's blueprint to end gun violence in depth will be held on March 30th. The New York City Police Departments Fiscal Year 2023 Budget is \$5.2 billion. This is a large allocation of resources and reflects our commitment to keep our citizens making sure they're safe.

The NYPD budget supports 35,030 uniformed officers as well we 15,021 civilian personnel. are here today to discuss the NYPD's strategy and efficiency in employing these personnel to keep our city safe. Over the last two months, we've learned that several new strategies to address gun violence, new units called Neighborhood Safety teams that have been deployed to neighborhoods with high rates of gun violence.

One of the most significant changes in the NYPD is the cancelation of the transfer of \$304 million from New York City School Safety Division to the

Department of Education from excuse me, School Safety

Division to Department of Education.

Together, we will work on a budget that works for all New Yorkers. Now, we will hear testimony from Police Commissioner Sewell. I will turn it over to our Moderator Committee Counsel Josh Kingsley to go over some procedural items.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Chair.

Thank you everyone. I'm Josh Kingsley, I'm Counsel to the Committee on Public Safety. Before we begin testimony, I want to remind everyone that you will be on mute until you are called on to testify. After which, you'll be unmuted by a host. I will be calling on panelists to testify individually, please listen for your name to be called.

I will be periodically announcing who the next panelist will be. During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask questions of the Administration, please use the Zoom raise hand function. Additionally, all hearing participants should submit written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Our first panelist to give testimony today will be representatives from the NYPD. Testifying for the

2	Police Department will be Commissioner Sewell, who I
3	believe the Chair just acknowledged. Additionally,
4	we will have Edward Caban who is the NYPD First
5	Deputy Commissioner, Kristine Ryan who is the NYPD
6	Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget, Isa
7	Abbassi who's the NYPD Deputy Chief, Michael Lipetri
8	who is the NYPD Chief of Crime Control Strategies,
9	Oleg Chernyavsky who is the NYPD Assistant Deputy
10	Commissioner, Jason Wilcox who is the NYPD Chief of
11	Transit, James Essig who is the NYPD Chief of
12	Detectives, Kathleen O'Reilly who is the NYPD Chief
13	of Patrol, Kim Royster who is the NYPD Chief of
14	Transportation, John Miller who is the NYPD Deputy
15	Commissioner for Counter Terrorism and Intelligence,
16	Theresa Tobin who is the NYPD Chief of Interagency
17	Operations, Matthew Pontillo who is NYPD Chief of
18	Risk Management, Marlon Larin who is the NYPD Deputy
19	Chief, Juanita Holmes who is the NYPD Chief of
20	Training, Amy Litwin who is the NYPD Deputy
21	Commissioner of Department Advocate, Ernest Hart who
22	is the NYPD Commissioner of legal Matters, Martin
23	Morales who is the NYPD Chief of Personnel, Edward
24	Thompson who is the NYPD Deputy Chief, Danielle
25	Pemberton who is the NYPD Deputy Commissioner of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Strategic Initiatives, Jeffrey Maddrey who is the NYPD Chief of Housing, Kevin O'Connor who is the NYPD Assistant Commissioner, Danny Farina who is the NYPD Tech Support, Kenneth Perez who is the NYPD Deputy Inspector and finally, Donna Jones who is the NYPD Chief of Staff for Commissioner.

Before we begin, I'm going to administer the oath. We're going to go the Police Commissioner. I will say the oath and you can just raise your right hand and affirm as well. I think we're going to - we normally do it one by one but since there's so many folks, we're just going to do it all at once. Police Commissioner and all the other folks in the Executive Conference room, I'm going to Administer the oath and I'll call on each of you to please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

PANEL FROM NYPD:

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Excellent, all good. ahead Police Commissioner. Thank you so much for coming.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Good morning Speaker Adams,

Chair Hanks and the Council Members. Thank you for

the opportunity to testify before the Committee on

Public Safety and to discuss the Mayor's Preliminary

Budget for the 2023 Fiscal Year. I am joined today

by members of my executive staff as stated, who will

assist me in answering your questions.

I would like to begin by congratulating the new
Council Members who have been given the privilege and
swearing an oath to serve the proud and resilient
people of this city, just as I recently did. Though
we take an oath to serve, our driving purpose to
serve does not rise merely from the words we say. It
comes from our hearts and our shared values, and from
the inspiration we see New Yorkers as they lift each
other up through dark days and drive forward to a
brighter and safer future.

I am convinced that our individual and collective successes, in leaving the city in a better place than we we found it, hinges on our ability to effectively work together as partners. Partnership and cooperation should never be viewed as a dilution or abandonment of our individual mandates or responsibilities, rather, it must be viewed as a

necessary path to achieving sound policies, sensible
legislation, effective initiatives and an informed
government that will make the lives of those we serve

better.

To that end, I want to voice my commitment to improving the lines of communication between us. I believe your ability to be effective and responsive to your constituents depends on your ability to seamlessly communicate their concerns to us and our ability to timely inform you of developments in your districts. Over the past months, executives from the Department's Legislative Affairs Unit and your local precincts have introduced themselves to most, if not all, of you and exchanged contact information.

While I expect these contacts to improve in addressing your needs, I am exploring additional methods to relay relevant information to you expeditiously. I believe public safety is the key to recovery. The way forward for us as a department and as a city in these challenging times and climate, is to dedicate every necessary resource to our shared goal of ensuring the safety of all New Yorkers.

As the city re-opens and beyond, the NYPD will do our part to ensure that workers, residents and

visitors from near and far feel safe when traveling into and throughout this city. Every business, small and large, and the workers who are the lifeblood of the city's economy, must have the confidence that they will be able to get their work done without fear of standing on a subway platform, walking down a street, or that a criminal with a gun will put their life at risk.

Every person must be free to live their lives without warily looking over their shoulder. I have been meeting with business leaders and organizations representing our business community to hear their concerns as they return their workforce and we are adjusting for these needs accordingly. I am certain that an improved quality of life is central to achieving the greater goal of safety and the NYPD is committed to advocate for every victim, fight for every block, and to protect every single neighborhood.

We are identifying the pockets of crime and violence and focusing our resources accordingly. So far this year, we know homicide arrests are up 20 percent, rape arrests are up 40 percent, robbery arrests up 24 percent, felony assault arrests up 18

percent, burglary arrests up 13 percent, and grand larceny arrests are up 21 percent, car theft arrests up 42 percent. We are employing a precision enhanced deployment strategy which has already put hundreds of more cops on the streets and into the transit system, and our Neighborhood Safety Teams will supplement this work focusing on seizing illegal guns and apprehending the offenders who use them.

Gun arrests currently closely parallel the significant increases of the prior two years and I anticipate that those numbers will continue to improve with the dangerous work of our Neighborhood Safety Teams. With their extensive training and oversight, which is an outgrowth of the concerns you and New Yorkers expressed to us, these teams will be the most qualified, most prepared officers in the nation and will seize guns and take them off the streets before someone, too often a child, makes a decision that they cannot take back.

Throughout the last two years the NYPD has embraced the challenge to do more with less. It required nothing short of a re-imagination of how a modern police department functions, how it leverages its resources in the most efficient and state-of-the-

art way. I am committed to moving the ball forward by achieving public safety while enhancing efficiency. This process has already begun with a top to bottom review of every bureau to determine where the current structure inefficiencies are and redundancies exist. And which programs and units should be redesigned, consolidated, or even eliminated.

We will also conduct a comprehensive review of our training curriculum with the goal of modernizing, reorganizing and streamlining to ensure the training being received by our officers is having tangible results on the streets, and is not merely an exercise in fulfilling training mandates. This will include meaningful participation by our community members and crisis management providers in our curriculum.

New York City has the privilege of being identified as one of the most, if not the most, diverse police department in the nation and cities in the nation. It is important that our officers understand the people and communities they are policing. This understanding should not merely consist of cultural immersion but must include an understanding of the basis of distrust of police in

certain communities, and appreciation of the downstream impact of growing up in poverty, in abusive households and without something or someone having an impact that changes the trajectory of someone's life.

I believe that communication goes well beyond the words that we speak. It is my firm belief that a deeper understanding of those who are swore to protect and serve will enable better communication and further a climate of mutual respect, which in my assessment is what breeds trust and preempts escalated encounters. In both the public and private sectors, technological innovation is the backbone of any drive toward efficiency.

We will continue to push forward and leverage technological norms embraced during the pandemic in order to communicate with a larger number of New Yorkers, to help fight crime, and to provide services. For example, the pandemic required us to radically improve our streaming and remote access capabilities at an extremely accelerated pace. This will now afford us the opportunity to leverage this increased capability on a number of fronts, from streaming Build-the-Block and Precinct Community

Council meetings, to retooling the Department's online presence to facilitate ease of use by the public. We will also continue to create more user friendly online dashboards to share information and to identify opportunities to allow for a more streamlined and cost-effective process for individuals seeking service.

We look forward to the Council's continued support to procure more Argus cameras and fund their maintenance. These cameras are an invaluable tool in deterring and solving crime and the demand for them outweighs the funding available for the ongoing cost of their maintenance. ShotSpotter is another key tool that enables rapid deployment to a location where shots are fired, and with your help, we will continue expanding our ShotSpotter capabilities. The ability to quickly respond to shootings and secure vital evidence is one of the most effective tools we have in tracking and tracing trigger-pullers and the lethal firearms they use.

The Department will also continue to fight crime with tried and true policing techniques while at the same time exploring every opportunity to develop collaborative relationships to make this city safer.

The NYPD has long had and continues to expand its
public and private sector partnerships through
Operation Nexus, NYPD SHIELD and the Lower Manhattan
Security Initiative. These local and national
partnerships that count tens of thousands of entities
that share information and resources in a collective
effort to keep New Yorkers safe from all threats.
Many of these partnerships emerged out of a
collective appreciation of the risks posed by
domestic and international terrorism.

Likewise, and in addition to our participation in a number of taskforces with our state and federal partners, the recent creation of the Gun Violence Strategic Partnership, which is led by our Deputy Commissioner for Community Partnerships, is an outgrowth of the significant increase in gun violence. This is a strategic initiative whose members include representatives from over 20 local, state and federal law enforcement and prosecutorial agencies who meet daily to share intelligence and build prosecutions against those terrorizing our communities with gun violence. This model of collaboration and information sharing has been

о г

recognized by President Biden as one that should be replicated nationwide.

However, if we are to realize a long-term vision of public safety, minimal enforcement, and a marked impact on the number of individuals sentenced to incarceration, this vision must be achieved through prevention and not reaction. Waiting for criminal behavior to occur and then reacting to it will only drive crime, enforcement and incarceration. I believe that government must examine its interactions, or lack thereof in some cases, with two primary groups in order to have any type of meaningful impact upon downstream criminal behavior, our children, and the incarcerated.

For its part, the NYPD has made great strides with its youth strategy by shifting to a holistic, opportunity-based approach. Our Youth Coordination Officers will continue to be the primary drivers within our Youth Initiative. YCOs, the Youth Coordination Officers, have the ability and resources to develop long-term solutions and, unlike NCOs, who are assigned to a particular sector, YCOs work with young people across the whole precinct.

2.

opportunities, fostering connections and securing resources. Their work is tailored to the specific needs of the young people in the communities they serve.

The NYPD Community Center at 127 Penn provides an ever-growing range of services, such as academic planning, fitness and wellness programs, music and dance classes, and mental health, counseling service and many others. The Explorers program, which teaches the importance of higher education, self-empowerment, positive reinforcement, responsibility and self-discipline, served 1,500 kids last year.

Our Youth Leadership Councils educate and empower our youth — excuse me, and advocate for positive changes to the relationship between the police and our youth, as well as to become more civic-minded. The Summer Youth Police Academy is a six-week program during which students attend classroom sessions with lecturers, engage in role-playing, and receive instruction on topics in law, social science, drug prevention, and gang resistance. The Police Athletic Leagues provides year-round sports leagues, which include football, basketball, softball and volleyball, as well as workshops on a wide-array of

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

youth-related topics including personal safety, cyberbullying, police and youth relationships, gang prevention and awareness, and peer mentoring.

The Options Program helps our kids build decision-making skills and provides access to career development opportunities through a series of workshops, training programs and internships. The Summer Youth Employment Program provides paid summer jobs for youth in every bureau in the department and we are increasing the number of youth we will hire this summer. These are just some of the many ways we try to reach our youth, and as Police Commissioner, I commit to identifying additional ways and resources and opportunities for engagement. But, in order for us to be successful, this effort must be comprehensive. It will take coordination between agencies, which is now happening under the Mayor Adam's leadership, to identify at-risk youth and deliver needed services to them and their families.

It will take effective service providers and mentors with meaningful insight and metrics for success. And it will take a collective effort to change the mindset that has led some of our youth to believe that they must join a gang to be safe or that

they are striving for academic success isn't cool, or that it is not worth trying to become a doctor, a lawyer, a financier, or Police Commissioner because

5 | it is unattainable.

The other inflection point I referred to is our support of those who re-enter society after serving their prison sentences, or said differently, how we currently underserve that population. I firmly believe that recidivist criminals are responsible for a disproportionate amount of the crime in New York City. If our collective goal is to ensure that these individuals find a better path and leave a life of crime, we must address the systemic flaws that allow them oftentimes to be released after serving their sentence with only a bus ticket, a small amount of money, and a prison identification card. Many individuals are released into homeless shelters.

The NYPD is committed to working with our sister agencies, legislators and advocacy partners to improve re-entry. The possibilities are myriad, but action must be taken if we are to break the cycle of recidivism.

Turning to the Preliminary Budget and its impact on the NYPD in the coming fiscal year. In totality,

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the NYPD's Fiscal Year 2022 Expense Budget is \$5.6 billion, the vast majority of which 90 percent is allocated for personnel costs. The remaining 10 percent is dedicated to non-personnel costs, including technology that provides officers with immediate access to critical safety equipment, tools, and applications. As part of the Program to Eliminate the Gap, the Department's personnel funding saw cuts of \$129 million in the current fiscal year and \$61 million next fiscal year. These savings include a civilian headcount cut of 770 vacant positions, including 200 non-safety civilian positions and a reduction to the School Safety headcount vacancies of 560. The Offices of Management and Budget has indicated that, as the Department works to hire up to headcount, they will work with the Department to provide additional staffing as needed.

Before I conclude, I want to take a moment to speak directly to all New Yorkers and to express my sincere gratitude to you for joining us in mourning during the recent tragedy and losses of Detective Jason Rivera and Wilbert Mora. We so appreciate your support and prayers during this difficult and

devastating times. Your support was invaluable.
Thank you.

While nothing we do will bring Jason and Wilbert back to us and their families, we must all reflect on the type of human beings they were and what motivated them to public service and to be being police officers, helping people and improving police-community relations. These principles are what motivate their brothers and sisters. They suit up in blue every single day and they hope for the safety of this city and they know they work for the members of this department and the citizens of New York every single day and your safety is paramount.

We have come together during this time of great pain through all of your gracious recognition of sacrifice of Jason and Wilbert and what they made for us all. I ask that we not allow this moment to fade quickly. I ask that you not permit a few loud voices to place wedges between us, and that you don't discount their attempts to broadly condemn every police officer based on the wrongs of a few. I ask that you view of the police and be shaped by the officers you encounter every day responding to your emergencies, addressing your complaints, patrolling

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your neighborhoods, and engaging in the most basic interactions with you, your loved ones and your neighbors. They are your police officers and this is your police department.

The truth is that we have far more Jason's and Wilbert's putting their lives on the line each day to serve and protect you and your families than those who have misdeeds that damage us all. The space between police and the communities we serve is not as wide as a few voices may have you believe. As Police Commissioner, I am committed to working in partnership with you, fighting crime, keeping you safe, building trust between you and your police officers, and continually striving for fairer and more just policing. I am committed to identifying opportunities to systemically improve policing and I will take full advantage of those opportunities to bring us together. I will not wait until a bad incident occurs to take corrective action that will make policing better and fairer.

And I commit to taking appropriate disciplinary action, including termination when appropriate, against those officers that violate our collective trust and their duty to serve you fairly,

respectfully and professionally. However, we will only achieve Detective Rivera's and Mora's wish of advancing police community relations by working together police, communities, elected leaders and advocates, to improve policing, to recruit officers in their mold and to condemn and marginalize the voices of division.

Every neighborhood faces unique challenges and there is no-one-size-fits-all solution to crime and conditions in a city as diverse in every way as ours, but these challenges that the NYPD has been built to overcome and will succeed at. This diversity of cultures, ideas, ways of life, and people is our strength and it is one of the things that makes our city and our department resilient.

We must remain resilient together. We must move beyond division and disagreements and forge ahead with a shared vision of public safety and fair and effective policing while we all accelerate toward a brighter and prosperous future.

Thank you for your time and we'd be happy to answer your questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Commissioner. We will now turn to Chair Hanks for questions.

ahead.

Panelists and NYPD Executive, please stay unmuted.

We will ask questions from there. If you need to

pass it off to additional folks, let us know and

we'll unmute them as well. Chair Hanks, you may go

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much Josh. I would like — thank you so much Commissioner Sewell.

Before I get into my line of questioning, I do want to acknowledge the Madam Speaker is on the call.

Would you like to ask any questions before I proceed Madam Speaker?

and thank you Commissioner. It's always great to see you. Thank you to all of your time for being here and submitting testimony before this Committee this morning. I just have a couple of questions before Chair Hanks proceeds and our colleagues jump in. I know this is going to be a very lively hearing this morning.

So, Commissioner, I think that I shared with you in our meeting that as former Chair of this

Committee, there was a lot of oversight and a lot of testimony that came before this Committee when it came to the reforms that were put in place last March

through this committee and I've relayed to you I was looking towards your leadership to bring something new and something different to the relationship between the Council and the NYPD and I hope that is the case. I feel your commitment through and through for the people of the city of the city and particularly for your NYPD. All that said and just dealing with some of the things that have happened as of late. The right to record is the law in New York City sanctioned by the New York City Council and in the press conference regarding the Neighborhood Safety Teams, the Mayor did reference the fact that he would be admonishing citizens.

He admonished citizens who would exercise that right to report in the vein of perhaps putting officers in jeopardy or any kind of threat, a deterrent if you will from doing their job. What's your position on the use of cellphone cameras? Which again is the law. And the right to record when it comes to recording your officers, particularly with the use when it comes to Neighborhood Safety Teams going forward?

KEECHANT SEWELL: So, we certainly recognize the right to record is the law. I think the Mayor's

position was simply that it just needs to be done safely and we support that as well. There is a safe way to do it where the officers are not in danger and the person is not interfering with the police action that's taking place. So, while we recognize that there is a right to record, it just needs to be done safely.

Mention also on another note, you referenced technology in the NYPD, which of course we welcome. ShotSpotter in particular. I think I was around when it was first introduced some years ago and the enhancements to it have certainly fortified our precincts. Another thing that we will proceed in further discussion however is going to be the DNA databases, who is placed in those data bases, who was particularly targeted through those databases, the youths and going forward the necessities or non-necessities going forward.

Last session, I sponsored a bill reporting on traffic stops. The EO203 implementation track note that a technology solution has been created and is in the process of being tested with an expected launch

\_ ¬

date of January 2022, which has come and gone. Has this technology solution been launched to date?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Thank you for that. I'm actually going to turn that over to my Assistant Deputy Commissioner of Legal Matters. He's actually gonna have some information about that, about the EO203.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you.

ERNEST HART: Thank you Speaker for the question. So, we have done the technological solutions. We've trained all of our officers, developed the requisite forms that are necessary to record the traffic stops. That was launched in a timely manner at the beginning of the year and we anticipate issuing the mandated report in a timely manner.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much. Along those same lines, last year the NYPD underwent a department-wide initiative to reform policing, which I just referenced and the online tracker hasn't been updated since December. Can you discuss with us the resources dedicated in this budget to the commitments in the initiatives? Initiative such as any training's or active standard ship in law enforcement

2 that the city committed to all officers having by the 3 end of first quarter of 2022?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm going to actually ask my —

Danielle Pemberton, our Deputy Commissioner for

Strategic Initiatives to jump on for me please.

Commissioner Pemberton are you there? I think she's going to have to unmute or be requested to unmute her microphone.

DANIELLE PEMBERTON: Hi, good morning Speaker.

Can you hear me?

12 | SPEAKER ADAMS: Yes. You're muted again.

DANIELLE PEMBERTON: Good morning. Can you hear

me?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Yes.

DANIELLE PEMBERTON: Good morning, so yes

Speaker, my team was intimately involved with the

police reform and reinvention collaborative. We have

an entire team here that's dedicated to making sure

that those items in the tracker that you referenced

are implemented. The tracker has about 132 items on

it. Not all of them are assigned to the NYPD as the

primary agency. We have about 62 items on that list

that are assigned to us.

The tracker itself is actually managed by the Mayor's Office, so we don't have the ability to update that. They coordinate the entire effort throughout the city, so there have been some updates to some of those efforts since the tracker was updated in December. So, we are tracking that internally. We can provide those updates to you as well. You specifically referenced able, that is something that we are committed to implementing. have over 25,000 of our members trained thus far. So, we are on track to training all of our uniformed by the end of quarter to this year.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay thank you and thank you for making us aware that it is the Mayor's Office who was responsible because my next question was going to be who is responsible was the process for updated the tracker. So, that's been answered.

I'm going to shift just a little bit and then I'm going to turn it back into our able Chair. And speaking about the Mayor's blueprint to end gun violence. Which other agencies or what other agencies is the NYPD partnering with on the blueprint and is the administration working to ensure that those other agencies receive necessary resources?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that as well.

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm going to turn it over to

Chief Abbassi. I will tell you that we are working

with a number of agencies on a variety of different

fronts. The Mayor has made it clear that there has

to be a holistic approach to public safety because

it's everyone's responsibility. I'm going to ask

Chief Abbassi to actually give you some details on

ISA ABBASSI: Good morning Speaker. Thank you for the question. So, you know in terms of the blueprint you know, law enforcement agency partners, you know we at NYPD and under the leadership of Commissioner Sewell, truly do believe that public safety is a shared responsibility. We're currently working very closely with the New York State police expanding partnerships. There are also our federal partners, everybody from FBI, ATF, but almost you know as importantly if not more, our prosecutorial partners. The Eastern District, Southern Districts of New York, our five county district attorney's. The expansion of our GVSP, what we call our Gun Violence partnership. Where we're sitting at the table every day sharing information, identifying strategies that we can use to leverage our resources

collectively to impact on those few people in our communities that are inflicting the highest amounts of crime.

So, I think the short answer to your question is we're actively working with every law enforcement agency partner we can but looking to maximize and leverage our partnerships with prosecutors as well, so that the great work that's being done by our investigators and our first responders is being also leveraged in the court room.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much. I am going to turn it back over to Chair Hanks. I know that she along with our colleagues on this line want to dig into the \$5.2 billion budget. Units of appropriation, overtime and a host of other things. So, thank you very much for your testimony this morning. Commissioner, thank you again. And Chair Hanks thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: You're welcome Madam Speaker.

Thank you so much for those important questions.

Again, this is a hearing about the blueprint and the budget as it relates to public safety, so I appreciate that.

Before I get into my questioning, I would like to recognize Council Member Avilés has joined, Council Member Mealy has joined and also Council Member Joseph has joined. So, thank you once again Commissioner, I appreciate your thoughtful and long testimony. My colleagues and I are here today and also the public to really unpack and dig into your testimony as it relates to the budget. So, one of the questions I have is a major concern for all New Yorkers is the increase in crime, specifically gun violence across the city.

In 2018, shootings have more than doubled. The Mayor released this blueprint to end gun violence and can you provide more details as it relates to the Neighborhood Safety teams? How many officers are being deployed? What are their tactics and more importantly, how do you plan on measuring effectiveness?

KEECHANT SEWELL: So, thank you for that question Madam Chair. I have to say that we have been focused on gun violence since the very beginning. We realize that the numbers are off but we are steadfastly addressing with major initiatives. To speak directly about the Neighborhood Safety Teams, I'm going to ask

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chief Abbassi to go into the specifics of those teams for you. Chief Abbassi.

ISA ABBASSI: Good morning Chair Hanks. great to see you again.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Good morning.

ISA ABBASSI: So, yeah, the Neighborhood Safety Teams is a very important part of this strategy. It's one facet of many but to your point, it's a very important one. We have identified as you know 34 Neighborhood Safety Teams in our 30 most violent precincts. To date, we've deployed 26 of them. There are currently 176 officers in the street performing Neighborhood Safety Team functions and when Phase One roll out is complete, well just to say that we have one team in every one of those 34 identified commands. We going to have 238 officers doing this important work.

Phase One is important because that is an evaluation for this and as further expansion is allowed to happen, we're going to have up to 500 and maybe more Neighborhood Safety Officers performing these functions. The thing to realize here and to remember is that in the history of the NYPD, this is the most highly trained constitutionally focused

group of officers performing this work in the history of the department.

In terms of oversight and measuring success, our success you know, our measurements of success come in several ways. The primary measure of success is that performing the work constitutionally and to the satisfaction of not only city government and this agency but to the satisfaction of the people that were served.

The second part is the quality of the work that comes in. And to that point, you know we rolled out on this past Monday, most of the officers were off on Monday, so they started Tuesday. So, effectively three days in the street. In those three days they've been able to accomplish 17 arrests already. Four of those arrests involve guns, taking guns off the street and unfortunately, you know when you look at those four guns, you know it really is a symptom of the disease, the epidemic that we're seeing here in New York. Attached to those guns are 15-year-old, 16-year-old, 17-year-old and a 20-year-old. And when you look at that youngest individual that's arrested, the 15-year-old, implicated in two prior shooting incidents in Manhattan, already you know on a

trajectory of you know unfortunately being involved in gun violence.

So, you know the measurements come in several ways. Constitutionality is first, the quality of the work is second and making sure that we are indeed focused upon those that are driving violence in our communities and in the first three days, we've seen nothing done.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. As far as that's concerned, did you request additional funds from OMB and the Administration to help you achieve these goals and how much overtime will these teams be allocated? Do you have an estimate?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm sorry, we're having a little technical issue here, bear with us for just one moment.

KRISTINE RYAN: Good morning Chair. It's nice to see you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Good morning.

KRISTINE RYAN: So, we are making every effort to cover this primarily through redeployments. In terms of discrete new funding, we will need additional resources to cover the cost of the dashcams for the vehicles, which we estimate will be about \$2.5

million for the initial installation and \$500,000 annually for the maintenance.

With regard to overtime, at this point, the overtime is a continuation. The overtime that's focused on the gun violence and other violent crime is a continuation of the overtime that we traditionally spend in various crime reduction, in investigations as well as in the transit system, transit platform coverage.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, thank you. Thank you. So, the blueprint also mentions increased resources to Gun Violence Suppression Division, however, the budget remains at \$5 million which supports salaries for 60 officers. Why was there no additional funding for this division?

JAMES ESSIG: Good afternoon. Good morning,
Chief Essig, Chief of Detectives. During the course
of the last year, we've upstaffed gun, the Gun
Violence Suppression Division throughout the — from
last March right up until this February. So, I think
the Gun Violence Suppression Division is fully
staffed where it can accomplish the mission of
reducing the gun violence throughout the city.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay. So subway crime is in the news regularly over the past year. Many New Yorkers feel afraid to use our public transportation.

What is your plan to reduce crime in subways and make

What is your plan to reduce crime in subways and make people feel safe again? How many officers are

7 assigned to patrolling subway stations and subway

8 cars?

Also, homeless outreach functions were being transferred out of NYPD but NYPD still engages with homeless and subways when necessary. Can you talk about your current directives to engage with homeless individuals and those experiencing mental health crisis and does this have an impact on your budget?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Things we'll talk about here. We'll talk about the subways. We'll talk about our homeless outreach and then we'll talk about how it effects the budget.

So, as you know, we have deployed additional officers into the transit system because we recognize the need for them in that system. We actually have deployed officers that are in the Patrol Bureau down into the subways to increase visits inside the subway to be able to make it safer. To be able to have more people on the platforms and riding the subways. So,

to turn to that strategy, I'm going to ask the Chief of Transit Bureau Chief Wilcox to step in.

JASON WILCOX: Good morning everyone and thank you for allowing me to speak to you this morning.

So, obviously one of the key things that we're trying to accomplish and we are determined to do so in the subway system is to make people feel safer.

Uniformed presence, uniformed trained patrols is a foundation of that very concept and dedicated to that effort.

So, the Commissioner mentioned additional uniformed members coming into the transit system from patrol and enhance platform coverages as well as vertical patrols. So, so far year-to-date, we have over 96,000 uniformed verticals coming from patrol precincts housing into transit to maintain, supplement our normal post coverage that we're doing in the transit system.

In addition, we have supplemental coverages which include members of patrol, transit, Housing Bureau, Administrative Fallout, there's over 1,000 additional officers per day to increase our presence.

So, when you look the transit crime statistics, when we looked at last years numbers, you saw a

smaller or one percent increase from the year prior.

But the context is very important here but when you

look at the context when you compare those numbers

from last year to 2019, which was a pre-COVID year,

crime was actually down 29.7 percent when you measure

7 | that metric.

So far this year, if you measure crime against the pre-COVID numbers, down like 25 percent from those numbers. So, as we see ridership beginning to increase daily, where it's now returning back to a sense of normalcy, over 3 million a day, you know that is also driving our number up as we compare the numbers of this year to the decreased ridership numbers from last year.

So, obviously you know, the Mayor initiated a Subway Safety Plan and there are very important elements to that that we're trying to accomplish. One is adding enforcement. So, we're trying to maintain and restore a sense of calm, a sense of order, a sense of safety into the transit system. We're addressing quality of life violations to accomplish that. We're looking at so far year-to-date, over 13,000 summons issued for a theft of service. Over 1,080 summons issued for smoking, 200

summonses issued for urinating, 620 for drinking, 470 for being outstretched, taking up additional sitting.

So, we're now very much focused on these quality of life situations that we're experiencing in transit, so that definitely adversely affect the other, the ridership when they see these things. So, that's a key element to what we're doing. Our arrests are up significantly for the year. We're up over 56 percent in arrests since the beginning of the year. [INAUDIBLE 48:45] arrests are up 113 percent. Theft of service, fare evasion arrests are up 44 percent.

So, obviously you know we're looking — we're working very hard to restore that sense of calm, that sense of order into the transit system. So, obviously another very important, very important aspect of the Subway Safety Plan is the initiatives that we know with our homeless population, our homeless New Yorkers as we encounter them.

So, since February 24<sup>th</sup>, we've initiated a joint partnership on the trains with DHS, Family Services, Health and Mental Hygiene, nurses, clinicians. We go out every day, twice a day, ride the trains on six designated train lines and we support the members of

DHS, they have the lead on this but we support their efforts.

So, so far year-to-date, since February 24<sup>th</sup>, when we initiated this sort of response team effort, we've escorted 273 teams on 864 train runs and placed 198 persons to shelter. This effort is continuing seven days a week. We just finished one this morning on the overnight. We're expanding our homeless detention into now again, joint partnerships, joint collaboration, the MTA, Homeless Services, Health and Mental Hygiene, The BRC, the Bowery Residents

Committee at end of the line train stations in the system.

So, we've just begun that this morning with our second night of doing dedicated collaborative efforts there and we're going to see a very good results with that. I'm very convinced of that. We had — eight o'clock this morning, we had a conference call with Commissioner Jenkins and other members of that team and we're working very closely to accomplishing that as well.

So, I mean, obviously subway safety is paramount to the success of New York City and critical to our efforts, 2,400 uniformed members of the Transit

2.4

Bureau. We are focused on this. We are dedicated to this and we are determined to accomplish this.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Thank you very much. I appreciate that. We're going to transfer over to and talk a little bit about the school safety as it relates to the budget. So, school safety has been transferred in the budget to the Department of Education. It is now being transferred back to NYPD. We want to understand how this division and it's \$304 million budget will be handled. The Department of Education was planning on reforming the division to serve in a more restorative justice manner and improve students experience in schools.

The budget for school safety is \$304 million but the headcount is approximately 5,000. This makes it ten percent of all NYPD personnel but only 5.5 percent of the budget. Why is there a smaller investment into the school safety division and do you support reallocating resources to the division to better support it and reduce school incident of crime in a more restorative manner?

KRISTINE RYAN: So, with the restoration of the funding, the prior budget had been \$304 million. The restoration actually \$283. There was a reduction

taken to the school safety headcount in reflection of the number of vacancies that we had. We've had communications with the Office of Management and Budget. They have indicated that as we hire up, they will look to provide us with the additional resources that we need, so that we are better aligned with the needs of the division.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: But what makes it any different? Like, what is — are there any reforms and how you're planning to provide training to school safety officers to ensure that this works out better for our students and it's done a more restorative manner? And what kind of you know, training would they be receiving and what would be the cost implication to that in the budget? You're on mute.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Chief Larin? Why don't we do the budgetary component and we'll come back to Chief Larin.

MARLON LARIN: Good morning Commissioner. Chief Larin is here. I was unable to unmute myself, thank you. Good morning Chair Hanks. I would like to mention that when we look at enforcement towards our young people in the schools, when we compare this school year to the last school year that we had full

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in-person students, which would be 2019 to 2020, we had full classroom settings this period through February 13th before the COVID outbreak occurred.

Our arrests are down 217 versus 324. Summonses towards young people are down significantly 21 versus 119 and also our juvenile reports are down significantly 451 versus 963. I would also like to add that we have encounters with young people that could have ended up recorded as a juvenile report or an arrest even and we classify these as mitigated incidents. For this school year we have 4,401 and for school year 2019 to 2020, it was 3,402. that's an increase of 999 total incidents where our young people are not being processed by the criminal justice system because we are working with the administration and with the Department of Education as a whole to implement these restorative practices. Unfortunately there are situations where we do not have discretion. An example of that would be a felony arrest or a misdemeanor where a parent of the child who has been victimized wants to press charges. But we do make every effort to not process a young person, not bring them to the station house and the DOE has provided training, it's called, Restorative

Practices Measures. Unfortunately, the numbers are not that high, so that's something that we would like to see to be delivered across the board to all of our agents.

But the message is there to our administrators, our bureau CO's our bureau XO's, so that we all streamline this process and ensure that our young people are given that opportunity to start over.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much Deputy

Chief. At this time, I'm going to pass it back to

Josh to see if my colleagues have — I see that many

of my colleagues have questions and I would like to

give them an opportunity to do so.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Hanks.

Council Members, please use the Zoom raise hand function if you'd like to ask questions. Each Council Member will be given five minutes for questions and answers to the administration.

Our current order of questions is CM Stevens followed by Barron followed by De La Rosa. Council Member Stevens, are you online and available to ask questions? If not, we could come back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: No, I'm here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, hello. Go ahead.

Hello, good morning

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody. Thank you Chair for hosting this and thank you everyone for being here today and I don't want to use up too much of my time but one of my questions is specifically around the YO's and my concern around it. Because I truly believe that anytime you put things under NYPD, it criminalizes it and whether we like to say it's just to help them, I'm not really understanding why that's the case. Why didn't we just have - maybe have partnerships with like social workers or CBO's that's already in the community that already does this work, instead of having cops do this and have them focusing on things that we need them? Because I'm having a lot of CO's complaining that they don't have enough man power to actually adequately get to situations in time because they are stretched so thin.

So, what is the need for this YO position?

Because it literally sounds like a social worker and we already have that in our community and why — like,

I have some huge concerns about it. Could you talk a little bit about that please?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Certainly, as I'm sure you know that the police certainly try to interact with the

community and our YCO's are part of that. To answer though, the more in-depth about exactly what they do and what initiative they're working on, I'm going to

5 turn it over to Commissioner O'Connor.

KEVIN O'CONNOR: Good morning Council Member

Stevens. The YCO position was a recreation of what
we used to call a Youth Officer. And what we did was
basically make them the NCO's for youth. So, they
handle — their responsibility is not geographic.

It's age based. So, they're responsible for
everything and anything under the age 18.

And to you point, there is a role for them but the main function that we deal with the YCO's because we are the first contact in many incidents with these young people, is to pass the baton to a community-based organization or to someone that's more fit to deal with issues that the family or the child is dealing with. We have training being set up for April. We've done these every six months with the YCO's. We bring in outside speakers. We had an indepth training by ROCA, Molly Baldwin's people came down and they helped train our officers in getting work done with the Aces program in East Harlem and the South Bronx. We've had Atlas come in other

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 organizations, so that when they get notified that a 3 youth in their precinct or in their housing development has been either arrested, reported missing or in many cases, a victim of crime, we do a follow up and make sure that we're making 6 7 partnerships with the family and that youth and see what services can be provided. 8

Unfortunately, we've gotten to the point where we have recidivism skyrocketing with young people under 18 and in many of these cases, we are the only ones that are following up with these young people. And that's where we try to look at the missing's as a separate category because when we've done the research on these kids, there are always the early flags. And that's where we're trying to make our interventions and build those relationships. We've had success stories that I can go on and on about saving young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah and I don't want to cut you off because I have very limited time and my time is running out. And so, I just wanted to move on because I mean, me and you O'Connor, we've had many conversations around this and I still feel strongly that you being a Youth Developer is a real

profession, is a real job and we have people who were out here last week fighting to get a pay increase and we have officers doing this work, as if it's not something that takes dedication. So, I think that we should really be thinking about how are we getting this — how we were using this as a collaboration.

Because even the things you're describing is literally, should be done by community-based organizations.

But I do have another question. And so, within the report, it mentions the reduction in the amount of overtime. Is there a plan to reduce the amount of overtime that's being given out? And this is not just for the YO's, this is in general.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, so I can speak to that.

So, we have several overtime management strategies, which include shifting resources, modifying work schedules and continuously monitor and evaluate all categories of overtime to determine where there are opportunities for saving. And so, that proactive management is something we take very seriously and in fact, since the New York with the change in the administration, we have seen our uniform overtime hours trending down when compared to the first half

of the fiscal year. It's important though to look at this in the context of the reductions that were taken to the overtime budget under the prior administration. Because unfortunately the reality we're facing and the budgetary level we have, they're not consistent.

So, to give you a little context, the cuts that were taken to our overtime budget, not just in the current year but also in the future years of the financial plan, were looked at and based by the prior administration on the spending level in fiscal year 2021. And in fact, it was a reduction from that spending level is the budgetary level that was what we were reduced to. And Fiscal Year 2021 was an anomalous year for many reasons. Obviously we had significantly scaled back events as a result of COVID.

We curtailed our prime reduction overtime which is a very critical tool particularly now given what we're facing in the city. We had limited courts overtime. We had reduced Vision Zero overtime and a reduction of certain dedicated housing deployments. With City Hall, the Office of Management and Budget and us working with them, they have recognized that

those reductions are not consistent with what we're experiencing today. That's reflected in the \$76 million addition that was added to the overtime budget.

And so, we're going to continue to work with City Hall. We're going to continue to work internally and we're going to continue to work with OMB to determine the appropriate level of overtime that we need and we are extremely committed to being as efficient as possible but we do need these resources. They are a very important tool particularly given what we're doing now. And just the base was —

running out but I just want to just add I think it's all connected in the sense of how we are having officers do things that they shouldn't which is why the overtime consistently is being — with consistently having overtime because like [INAUDIBLE 1:03:39] isn't something I wish to have and if there's an incident, we have officers doing things that they shouldn't be doing.

But I'll come back with another round of questions because a lot of my time was ate up in the answers.

2.

,

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Next, we will go to Council Member Barron followed by

Council Member De La Rosa followed by Council Member

Holden followed by Council Member Cabán. Council

Member Barron, you may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much. I want to say that I've heard this rhetoric for over two decades from Commissioners, from Mayor's on how we're going to police our way out of crime. It is not going to happen.

Just looking at your budget, it's not \$5.6 billion, it's \$11 billion when you include overtime, pension, fringe benefits. The headcount of 50,000 police officers and you just said crime has gone up with \$11 billion and 50,000 police officers. In 2018, you said shootings went up. We still had the police crime unit then, shootings went up.

When it was disbanded in many of our precincts from 20 to 21 if you look at your own stats, some of the crime went down. When we went other ways. You have a \$1.1 billion capital budget. We tried to transfer \$500 million out of that instead of building more police precincts, build more youth centers. You

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said you have about \$30 million less and \$204 million less in your budget but the fact of the matter is you had an added \$304 million from the school safety and \$76 million to overtime and the total overtime is \$513 million. It's abusive, has nothing to do with safety. They want to make more money and they arrest us more and have to do the paperwork. It creates There was over \$212 million paid out in overtime. settlement claims against NYPD and we do have the right to report and the right to record and that has been done safely, Commissioner. And the police still attack people who do it safely without interfering with them. Our Power Act that we proposed and we'll have an Elected Civilian Police Review Board with an Independent Prosecutor because the special prosecutor in the AGs office especially does not prosecute police.

So, let's look at this for what it is. The causes of crime is not a lack of policing. The causes of crime is poverty, unemployment, mental health illnesses, drug addiction, homelessness and miseducation or lack thereof. The answer to crime is not more policing. Street unit Giuliani's We Own the Town, racist street crime unit. I don't care if you

do put a vest on them so they can be identified. It is not the answer. The answer to crime is to bring in more of the cure violence programs in my area, Man Up has done a wonderful job in their small catchment area. We have high crime but in their small catchment area where we created jobs and stuff like that, it actually went down and according to the 75 stats in that high crime area, some of the highest in the city, crime went down in every area from 2001 to '21 when Man Up was around and we were getting more jobs and things of that nature.

So, I think that we need to really look at how we do policing and yes, people need to transfer money from the police departments bloated budget and put it into social services. Because what you're doing now, you're going to manipulate emotionally to public because of the killings of police officers, the killing of innocent children and babies. You manipulate the emotions of the public and then say policing is the answer when all of these things happened when we had 50,000 police officers.

It is not the answer. We need to really look at the root causes of crime. Why people do this. We need economic development, job creation; it had

nothing to do with you. Youth entrepreneurship

programs in City Council should do, increase mental

health services, build more community centers, not

5 police precincts.

We cannot solve crime through policing and the police department in New York City has been notorious in terms of getting away with murder; Amadu Diablo, Sean Bell and this list is endless. And they get away with brutality and every Police Commissioner says they are going to curb it. It does not happen.

Police Commissioner, we don't need a change in the complexion or gender of the Police Commissioner.

We need a change in the direction of police policies.

And I say that this is the wrong direction we're going in and we need to reverse our gear.

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm not sure what the question was at the end of that but I will say that we do agree that there are some things that the police department is probably better at working with other agencies to facilitate their response. But in no way are we manipulating the emotions of people because our officers were murdered or on the deaths of children.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2.

KEECHANT SEWELL: We certainly believe we need a holistic approach to handling crime in this city but in no way are we attempting to manipulate anything when no arrests are being made for overtime. We're arresting for criminal activity in an effort to make the city safe.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I beg to differ and I know for a fact that that's not true. That is simply not true. There are a lot of arrests that are made that should not be made and it has happened from loitering, standing in front of their building. From just — and stop and frisk still happens, they just don't record it. So, they say the numbers are down.

They are abusing our community and it needs to stop with you.

KEECHANT SEWELL: In no way are we supporting abusing anyone.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It's happening. It's happening.

KEECHANT SEWELL: The teams that we have on now are actually policing constitutionally, which they were doing before. Where they have more training to do that now and they are responsive to the community.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It's not training. It's not training. They need to be punished. No, we don't need more training.

KEECHANT SEWELL: And we are asking the community to give us feedback on how these teams are working as they are out there.

respect Police Commissioner, every time they abuse us, you say they need more training, cultural sensitivity. Send them to jail and I'll give them a Black history book to read in jail if they want to learn about us. They need to be punished and they are not punished by the police internal investigating unit. Not punished by Commissioners and definitely not going to be punished by this Mayor who is a cop himself. So, I'm saying it's punishment, not training. The punishment doesn't happen.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will now turn to Council Member De La Rosa followed by Council Member Holden followed by Council Member Cabán followed by Council Member Bottcher. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you for being here. I have a few questions and if it's okay, I'd like to ask my questions first and then receive the response.

My first question is about mental health. We know that there is the Be Heard teams that are responding to 911 calls and one of the questions that we had in the Committee is what is the NYPD's coordination interaction with these teams. I am also concerned about the way in which in our subway system, folks who are experiencing mental health crisis are being swept out and I want to know what is the actual protocol for someone who is experiencing mental health if a police officer runs into this person in the subway stations.

As far as the claims against the NYPD the city paid out \$212 million, as we heard Council Member Barron say. In 2021, for payouts, we'd like more information on those payouts and what happens in the process of getting these settlements? If you could enlighten us on that process as well.

And then I had a question about the Gun Violence Suppression Division. There are 60 uniformed staff in this division, if you could tell us some more

about that. And then finally, I just want to just say about the Neighborhood Safety Teams that there is concern in the communities. Many of us, I represent the communities in Washington Heights, Inwood, Upper Manhattan. There have been traumatic experiences. I can tell you on the block where I grew up, there was a man who was murdered by an unmarked police officer from the previous division, his name was John Collado. It devastated my community and that is not the only person that has died at the hands of an undercover police officer.

So, we need to know more about the Neighborhood
Safety Teams and I am imploring you to have
conversations with community, with community leaders
about the rollout of these teams because there is a
lot of concerns in our community that I've already
heard from member of my own community.

So, those are my questions. Thank you for your time.

KEECHANT SEWELL: So, I certainly understand the concerns about the Neighborhood Safety Teams. We have gone out and addressed the communities and this Council about the rollout of the Neighborhood Safety Teams. They are not in plain clothes. They are in a

modified uniform and we learn from the mistakes of the past when we reimplemented these teams and we understand there are some concerns. That's why we had the community involvement.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Can I ask about the community involvement because I am the Council Member and the previous Assembly Member and I had no idea about the community conversations around Neighborhood Safety Teams. So, when y'all came to my community, where did y'all go? Because I had no interaction.

No, no notice. I had no idea that this was even happening in my community.

KEECHANT SEWELL: We'll start with that. I'm going to ask Chief Abbassi to address the community aspect for that, for the Neighborhood Safety Teams. Chief Abbassi.

ISA ABBASSI: So, good morning Council Member.

Thanks for that question. So, has the Neighborhood

Safety Team concept was being built up, we did have

borough-based meetings, of course a virtual platform

with many community members. We engage hundreds of

community members across the city but more

specifically and focused to your point, as every

Neighborhood Safety Team rolls out in every precinct

or PSA in the city, including yours, there's a three-step community notification protocol that's happening in every single one of those commands. And those three steps are these: The day that the Neighborhood Safety Team becomes activated, the precinct command will make notifications to key enroll the community stakeholders and community residents that the teams have gone active.

Within seven days of day one of Neighborhood
Safety going live in that precinct or PSA, the PSA
stands for Police Service Area in housing. Within
seven days, they will be holding community round
table discussions where members of the community are
coming in, speaking to the Neighborhood Safety Team
officers, explaining what their hesitations or
concerns or requests are and having thoughtful
discussions about it.

And then finally, stage three of the community process is in every one of the precinct and PSA's. The very next, after activation, precinct or PSA council meeting that occurs, the Neighborhood Safety Teams will be present and presented to the community at that meeting and be available to answer questions and have discussions.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Let me just say -

ISA ABBASSI: We were very thoughtful and we tried to be very comprehensive about making sure that people that wanted information or wanted to give input to us or have a dialogue with the Neighborhood Safety Team members are afforded the opportunity to do so.

answer. Let me just say that it was done backwards. You should have come to our communities and had these conversations and presented this team before the teams rolled out into our community. They rolled out earlier this week and we still have not met them.

So, seven days after they've rolled is seven days too late number one. And number two, let me just say that having a boroughwide conversation when -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: In Manhattan the community that I represent has been at the crosshairs of the war on drugs for decades is wholly unacceptable. The problems of lower Manhattan and midtown Manhattan are not the problems of Harlem and upper Manhattan and so, there should be dedicated community conversations about these teams that are

dedicated to our own communities and also in person because not everyone in poor communities has access to a virtual platform. We have to remember the privileges that we have as people who have access.

And so, I welcome the opportunity to have this conversation in my community. I will say for the record that it is seven days too late.

So, I had other questions about mental health services and the subways and the Gun Violence Suppression Division.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Okay, I'm going to ask Chief Tobin to talk to you about the mental health aspect of your question.

THERESA TOBIN: Good morning Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Good morning.

THERESA TOBIN: Good morning. You mentioned Be Heard, so I'm going to address that first. So, as you know the pilot area began on June 6th of last year in zone seven and eight, which covered East Harlem and parts of central and North Harlem and it expanded November 3rd of 2021 to West Harlem and the Upper West Side. And this afternoon I will be attending the graduation for the expansion, which will occur on Sunday and they are expanding to

Washington Heights and Inwood and in the Bronx to
Morrisania, which is the southwest portion covering

4 the 42 and the 44 precincts.

Since the beginning of the pilot, through March 13<sup>th</sup>, according to the FDNY's dashboard, there have been 6,173 emotionally disturbed persons call in the Be Heard Pilot area with 22.7 percent being assigned to be heard. The NYPD still responds in EDP calls in the pilot zones without Be Heard. 17 percent of the time EMS responds solo, without Be Heard as well.

Almost half of the calls that Be Heard responds to, the PD is on the scene and that can be due to the Be Heard request for the PD for the Police Department requesting Be Heard to the scene or Be Heard self-dispatching to the EDP calls that fit the eligibility criteria. 11850

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: And do these teams go into the subway stations?

THERESA TOBIN: Not currently.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Why not?

THERESA TOBIN: That was a decision by the

Mayor's Office of Community Mental Health and I

believe it's also the request for them to respond was

not originally put forth but I believe starting next

week, they will respond in their pilot area in the subways.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

THERESA TOBIN: You're welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you Council

Member. We're going to move on. We could do a

second round of questions if individuals have

additional questions, so please raise your hand

again. Next, we're going to move onto Council Member

Holden followed by Council Member Cabán followed by

Council Member Bottcher. Council Member Holden, you

may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

and thank you for your excellent testimony. Just a few questions. I see tens of millions of dollars have been allocated to upgrade One Police Plaza.

Does the NYPD know how many precincts need new buildings? Because some of them were built you know way in the early part of the 20th Century but is there a plan or a timeframe for upgrading all police precincts?

And then, the second part of the questions, this is regarding towing, row tow or violation tow. You

know we constantly hear at least in the precincts that cover my district that there's not enough tow space for cars parking illegally, especially in front of fire hydrants. And so, I have certain precincts that are outside of my district that can tow 500 cars a year when I have three precincts in my district that tow barely 100 a year.

So, I can't get cars towed away from precincts.

They could be parked there for several days. So,

what are we doing to upgrade that? And then, my

final question, is there a plan to increase the

detective squad or squads or detective count? And to

give more grade promotions because morale you know,

the detectives that I speak to, it seems that morale

is at an all-time low. Thanks, thanks Commissioner.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Thank you Council Member for your question. I too agree that some of our station houses, our precincts are in need of repair. I'm going to ask Commissioner Ryan to speak to the cost factor.

KRISTINE RYAN: So, you're absolutely right.

Most of our precincts were built more than 50-yearsago, many more than a century ago. We would very

much like to build a significant number of new

precincts. It is very costly, so unfortunately with
budgetary constraints, it's not something we can do
right now but in terms of taking a holistic look at
what the needs are, particularly identifying
precincts that are too small, past their useful life
or at least situated. We've in the past request and
we're going to resubmit a request to the Capital PreScope Development Study to do a study of the

precincts overall.

In the interim, we do have both capital and expense resources dedicated to maintaining our exiting precincts. For example, just over the course of this Fiscal Year and next Fiscal Year, we have \$77 million for boiler replacements, HVAC upgrades, façade and roof work, \$35 million for other renovations and rehabilitation in our precincts.

We've recently completed 17 locker room upgrades and over a dozen more are in progress and we also completed \$36 million worth of work to upgrade bathrooms in 75 precincts.

And to give you an example of what we do in a particular precinct and for the 104 for example, we have several projects in progress and several that are planned. We recently completed three bathroom

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

renovations. In progress is a redesign of the front desk and a reconstruction of that area, build out of a new lounge on the third floor. And then we're looking to do additional work in the locker room and plan to do new central AC and the last thing I just want to mention is that we've recently initiated a new program which we call borough blast, which is focusing on concentrating a large number of our facilities personnel to focus on the cleanliness of precincts. To go in and identify repairs that were potentially not previously reported and to work on addressing those issues within the precincts and removing from borough to borough to undertake that, so that we can address these buildings which we agree are old while in parallel looking at holistically if there are things we can do to replace precincts going forward.

KEECHANT SEWELL: And to address your question as it related to promotions and grade. I know you mentioned about morale. I certainly hope it's getting better. As you have seen, there have been a number of arrests both high profile and ones that really aren't put in the media. Detective Bureau is doing a phenomenal job, so we certainly hope the

morale is increasing but to talk about the career path for grade, I'm going to turn it over to Chief Detective James Essig.

JAMES ESSIG: Hi, again, good afternoon. As far as the personnel in the squads. Particularly the numbered squads have the precinct detective squads. That probably is the highest attrition we have within the Detective Bureau and we're always looking to up staff to keep that at minimum level in every command.

As to grade level bills, with mass promotions, the last administration; I'm sure this administration going forward, the Detective Bureau gets more than their fair share in grade promotions but unfortunately, we're a big bureau. We have over 5,000 members in the Detective Bureau and there's just not that many promotions to go around.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JAMES ESSIG: So, it's a merit based system who invited their local boss up to the Investigative

Chief comes up to my office. The next thing the list up to the First Deputy Commissioner and then through the Police Commissioner. So, it's a rigorous review panel to get promoted in that but when promotions come the Detective Bureau does get their fair share.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And just finally

Commissioner on the towing because I can't get cars —

there's cars parked in crosswalks constantly in the

precincts that I cover, in many precincts around the

city they are blocking bus stops, bike lanes and we

can't get them towed because there's not enough you

know yard space or pound space.

But essentially we can even get NYPD tow trucks, especially for violation tow. Is there something in the budget to increase that?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm going to turn it over to
Chief Royster, she is going to address that for you,
Chief of Transportation.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you.

Member for that question. I am responsible for all two operations as it relates to violation tows within the city and I'm hearing that in your particular district, there are vehicles that are parked in hazardous locations. So, I just want to walk you through the process in which we tow vehicles all around the city. And vehicles that are in violation in a bus stop or in a bike lane or in a crosswalk are towed by my Traffic Enforcement District. And those

2.

\_

vehicles aren't towed to our respective tow pounds which are in the city.

Now, in your district, that would be Brooklyn. I just want to let you know that we work with the precincts to make sure these vehicles are towed and we have towed last year, I would say on an average of 115 vehicles per year. So, what I'd like to do if you don't mind after this meeting is to have a conversation with you to find out where the areas are where you're having these problems and make sure we address it, not just with my agents but also with the precincts to get this corrected.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chief. Thank you Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

I'd like to acknowledge that Council Member Nurse has joined us. Next, we will go to Council Member Cabán followed by Council Member Bottcher and Council Member Avilés. Council Member Cabán, you may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Hi, thank you Chair. I just want to quickly shout out the many orgs rallying at City Hall Park right now who are holding a peoples

2.

public safety hearing. I'm also going to respectfully ask for direct assisting answers to my questions to maximize the amount of questions I get to ask and therefore the amount of information we get to give to our communities.

My first questions are pretty you know cut and dry numbers questions. How many NYPD officers are tasked with removing homeless New Yorkers from the subways? And what's the budget for these operations?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Chief Wilcox, will you please answer her for me please?

JASON WILCOX: Good morning Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Good morning.

JASON WILCOX: So, with the homeless operations, right now with the joint response teams. So, we have — our main task, we escort two officers per joint response team that goes out.

So, when you're looking -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Sir, I just want the total number. Total number, how many officers are tasked with removing homeless New Yorkers from the subways?

JASON WILCOX: So, that's a task that really is

the responsibility of all transit officers as they encounter them. So, beyond the joint response teams,

\_

you know I took a look at when we encounter our homeless and in whatever crisis, a medical condition or an aided condition and we've had you know many of those situations —

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Okay, so it's every officer. Again, I want to make sure I get as many questions in as possible. What's the budget for these operations?

JASON WILCOX: The budget is you know monetary as what you know the officers that we put into that. I don't have a dollar value to it but you know some of it, which we do a lot of it on straight time and we do some of it on overtime if needed but we're doing this seven days a week.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Okay, I would love a follow-up on the numbers. Can you tell me what the NYPD spends on public relations work, including but not limited to staff and managed social media?

What's the number on that?

KRISTINE RYAN: So, on the - just to answer your transit questions. So, there isn't a dedicated - COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: No I want to move; I would like to move to my next question.

KRISTINE RYAN: The \$247 million, with regard to the public information piece, I will have to get back to you. I believe it's about \$3 million but I'll get that back to you.

KEECHANT SEWELL: I want to address the fact; we're not removing people from the subway. We are endeavoring to provide services to them to get them out of the subway in a condition that they probably don't want to be in. We're not just removing them from the subway.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: So, to be clear

Commissioner, if somebody in the subway says that
they want to stay in the subway, you will leave —
your officers are directed to leave them there?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yes?

KEECHANT SEWELL: If someone is not breaking the law in the subway system and they are not removed from the subway system, we're offering services to people in the subway system.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Okay, so I mean that sounds in direct contrast to some of what the Mayor has said and what the directive is. I want to move on to my next question. What are the active city

2.

contracts with private companies to provide surveillance technology systems and data to the department? Like surveillance cameras, CCTV, facial recognition technology, and programs like ShotSpotter, what are the active city contracts?

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, so online there is a listing of all of the different items we have under the post act. We can get you the number of contracts associated with that. I don't have the number of contracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: And can you get that back to us by the end of the — before the end of the month?

KRISTINE RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. So, the

Commissioner testified that the department will

"fight crime with tried and true policing

techniques." So, I want to focus on public safety

outcomes. I want to talk about empirical evidence.

We know from many studies that ethnic diversity in

police forces does nothing to achieve desirable

public safety outcomes. We know from many studies

that offering police force does de-escalation

sensitivity training does nothing to achieve

desirable public safety outcomes. We know from many studies that police body camera programs do nothing to achieve desirable public safety outcomes.

So, I want to ask, what evidence is there that plain clothes or modified clothes policing is an effective way of reducing gun violence? What evidence is there that transit policing is an effective way of guaranteeing safe subways? What evidence is there that deploying police officers to schools is an effective way of achieving school safety? What evidence is there that facial recognition technology is an effective way of achieving desirable public safety outcomes? Can you please point us to the evidence that exists for those particular strategies?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Certainly, I'm going to ask my Chief of Crime Control Strategies Lipetri to answer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Good morning. As far as the answer to the data when it comes to the specialized units that are tasked with removing illegal firearms, about half of all our gun arrest fall within those specialized units. So, I think the data was pretty clear. The officers that are tasked with you know

local street crimes, namely illegal firearm possession, almost half of all arrests made are within those specialized units.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: And whats the proportion between stops, police interactions and arrests? Back in years prior, they were something like one out of I don't know what was it, over 100 or so, maybe even more than that.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Well, surely you know as been reported, we are at our highest level of gun arrests that we've seen in 25 years. With the lowest number of stop, question and frisk reports that have been done by the offices in many, many years.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: But my question is, is the empirical evidence on reducing gun violence. We're looking at increases right? Can you provide us with empirical evidence for overall reduction? And again, I know that we've run out of time here, so I would also like to see if you cite the evidence around transit policing, around police in schools and around the facial recognition technology.

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm not sure what her question is. I'm sorry. Council Member, what was your question? You were cutting in and out.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: My question is, can you 3 please provide us with the evidence, the empirical data to show that deploying police officers in the schools is an effective way of achieving school safety? That investing in facial recognition 6 7 technology is an effective way of achieving these better public safety outcomes? On the present 8 transit policing? Can you provide us with the 9 empirical evidence, the studies, the data, that show 10 that this is the best strategy to achieving the best 11 12 public safety outcomes?

JAMES ESSIG: Yeah and how you doing? In terms of our facial recognition strategies, we just the data on this, just the numbers, we submitted 10,000, approximately 10,000 submissions to the facial recognition. Of that, 2,400 or 23 percent come back as a positive match. Now, that's a lead. It doesn't mean an arrest will be made; it means it's a very good lead in terms of the investigation. So, facial recognition is 25 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Now, I mean, specific to your facial recognition, Chicago Police Departments Office of the Inspector General found that ShotSpotter for example, was ineffective. Resulted

in numerous false reports of gun shots. What data
has the city collected on the inaccuracy of
ShotSpotter?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member, in respect to the other Council Member who are waiting for questions [INAUDIBLE 1:36:11].

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Sure, absolutely, I look forward to a second round of questioning and would just like to note that though these tactics have all been tried, in fact, they have not been found to be true and to the contrary a lot of them have been proven to be abject failures and if we're serious about public safety, we should be abandoning them in favor of evidence-based solutions. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will now turn to Council Member Bottcher followed by Council Member Avilés followed by Council Member Nurse.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Hello Commissioner, it was a pleasure meeting you yesterday through my former classmate Chief Tobin.

KEECHANT SEWELL: You as well.

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Commissioner, do you believe that every police precinct should have a clinical social worker on site.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Do I believe that? Well, I think we certainly use every resource that we can and try to cooperate with every partner. Actually Tobin has some information about that to follow-up with you on that but we enjoy working with our partners to engage every community member. Chief Tobin.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Yes, Chief Tobin.

Commissioner, do you believe it would be helpful to have a clinical social worker in a police precinct?

That someone who's worked so long in law enforcement, would it be helpful in your view if a police precinct had a social worker with an office in the precinct?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Wherever they are located we certainly want to be able to have that partnership if we have the ability to partner with them in house, that is fine but we certainly will work with them wherever they are housed. I think having them as someone we can tap into is an invaluable resource.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Do any of our police precincts currently have social workers on site?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I can get that information. We have the number of social workers on site in the police precincts. I can get that number for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: So, there are some police precincts that have a social worker with an office in the precinct.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Chief Tobin are you on so you can give that information please? I don't want to give you a bad number.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: She's unable to unmute.

THERESA TOBIN: I'm sorry. Yeah, I couldn't unmute because I had to wait for the host to allow me to unmute. So, good morning Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Good morning.

THERESA TOBIN: So, we do, we do not have social workers in the commands. We have crime victims assistance personnel who help people navigate the criminal justice system, one is a specialist and one is a domestic violence specialist in which they help victims of crime navigate the criminal justice system and provide assistance. But we do work with other agencies. We are actively engaged with the Department of Health and Office of Mental Health on the state level and we are actively in joint programs

with the Department of Mental Health and Hygiene. We have several social workers and other clinicians who deploy with officers to individuals who have a history of violence and have a history of mental illness.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Do you believe Chief
Tobin that it would be helpful in individual
precincts if there were a social worker on site. The
victim services is very, very important but would it
be helpful to you if we had an office with a social
worker who could help with people who are coming in
with all of these complex issues that need to be
addressed.

THERESA TOBIN: I think we do a very good job without having them on site. We refer people to New York City Well. We ask for a crisis response where there are crisis mobilization teams. So, I'm not sure that physically having a social worker housed within the precinct because space is extremely tight. But I do think that we are able to connect people through services and the resources they need through Safe Horizon and by engaging with other city agencies which provide the necessary resources.

3 4 5

7 8

6

10

11

9

12

13

exploring.

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Because we have social workers located in the hospitals. We have social workers located in schools, not enough schools and it's commonly understood that that's preferable than having to send someone elsewhere and make an appointment. Doesn't it make sense, especially when folks are coming in for a limited amount of time, to have a social worker there rather than have to arrange for an appointment at a later date with someone who may not make that appointment?

THERESA TOBIN: Well, it's certainly worth

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I think so. I'd love to have those conversations and I can tell you anecdotally working with our local precincts that that would be helpful because it's the cycle of incarceration. People who have been arrested 40, 50, 60, 70 times, what are we doing differently than before? What can we do to interrupt that cycle and it involves getting them mental health treatment, other kinds of treatment and the current system is really failing in that regard.

2.

\_

•

THERESA TOBIN: Happy to speak to you offline about that. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Next, we will hear from Council Member Avilés followed by Council Member Nurse.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILĚS: Hello, good morning. Can you hear me okay?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we can hear you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Oh, okay great, thank you so much. I'd like to ask some questions around ShotSpotter's and forgive me if I did not hear the response to Council Members Cabán's question around what data the city has collected in terms of the inaccuracy of ShotSpotter's?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm going to ask Chief Abbassi to answer some of those questions for ShotSpotter for you.

ISA ABBASSI: Good morning Council Member.

Thanks for the question. I'll just give you; you know right now I believe we're a little over 90 square miles of ShotSpotter coverage in 50 of our precincts. The specifics on the data that you asked

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

for, I'm going to kick it over to my colleague Chief Lipetri.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: When we look at ShotSpotter technology, just to add a little bit to what Chief Abbassi said, 87 percent of our shooting incidents last year were covered by ShotSpotter technology. We responded to approximately 14,000 ShotSpotter alerts last year. When we look at the confirmed incidents, about 40 percent of the confirmed incidents did not accompany for 911 calls. So, those are incidents that we might have you know not responded to, which means we can't investigate it. Which means, obviously we can't deploy to the areas that we think will then prevent further violence. When we look at the confirmed, the accuracy about 20 percent of the ShotSpotter calls are confirmed with either ballistics recovered or a report from a witness or an arrest or actually a shooting incident.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILĚS: So, I'm hearing 20 percent is accurate.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: That is accurate, yes. Approximately 20 percent of the ShotSpotter alerts are then confirmed with some type of evidence.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: So, that leaves 80

percent of them confirmed. That doesn't seem to be

the right ratio that we're looking for. In terms of

the ShotSpotter locations, we know they are mostly

located in low-income and public housing areas. Do

you have confirmed locations of all the

ShotSpotter's?

ISA ABBASSI: We do. We have a coverage map that we could share offline and like I said earlier, 50 of our precincts are currently covered by ShotSpotter but we could certainly share that coverage map with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Thank you. In terms of the technology, we know that the technology, the microphones operates just like Siri. It is on 24 hours a day and has been known to record conversations and intrude on peoples civil liberties. Can you tell me a little bit about this? About the fact that microphones are activated 24 hours a day and how we can protect people's civil liberties.

KEECHANT SEWELL: What microphones, I'm sorry, what microphones are you referring to?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILĚS: The ShotSpotter microphones. The technology itself.

ISA ABBASSI: So, I don't believe that our department is monitoring the ShotSpotter centers in that way and we would have to follow-up with you offline to get you details on what you're asking.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Great, we would like definitely the details. We know that there have been allegations in Rochester that ShotSpotter is — I'm sorry, can you hear me? I'm getting a little feedback, I don't, okay.

Yes, we just wanted to know if NYPD has independently evaluated allegations from Rochester that ShotSpotter has falsified evidence in a criminal case. Have you looked into those allegations?

KEECHANT SEWELL: We have no information to support that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Great, we'd like to definitely follow-up to see. We should be looking into these technologies and certainly just like we've seen in terms of face surveillance, where we get tons of positive ID's and we've seen the disproportionate impact on our communities. So, we'd like to look into more of this technology and ensure that our communities are protected. I yield my time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll now turn to Council Member Nurse followed by

Council Member Hanif followed by Council Member

5 Brewer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Good afternoon. Thank you Chair. Good afternoon everyone. So, in recent years, the NYPD has doubled the size of the gang unit, which places mostly young Black and Brown New Yorkers, the criminal group database. And the criteria for ending up on this database is very vague. It's not really clear what the protocol is and it seems as if a police officer can simply get someone on this list by labeling them based on their color of their clothing.

It seems the database has continued to drastically expand the number of people impacted by this system and it's not really clear how this is directly to keeping our community safe or even how folks can get off of this if they think they are wrongly on it. So, I just had two questions. The previous Commissioner has said the gang database is necessary for public safety but what is the concrete

evidence that shows that this database reduces violence? Has the database been operational during recent increases in violence and if it has, what is that saying about the need for such a database?

And then the second question because I'll just get them out and then you all can answer. The Departments gang units operate far from the public eye and the department has said they will build trust.

And so, what is the transparency that is being provided to work towards building that trust in terms of concrete specific deliverables and is the department willing to voluntarily release misconduct histories from the members of those units, including CCRB complaints and lawsuits filed against them? Thank you.

KEECHANT SEWELL: The department is extremely transparent when it comes to the results of discipline for the officers. They are online but as far as the gang database, the gang database is a tool we use in suppression of gang violence. As it stands to how someone gets on or is removed from that list, I'll turn to Chief Detective James Essig.

JAMES ESSIG: Yeah, the gang database, we have strict guidelines and a very rigorous review process just for entering and exiting. So, just for example, this year we added 164 members. We removed 94. year, we added 441 members but we removed 867 members. So, we do have a complete review process. There's as soon as you hit 17-years-old, if you are in it and then every two years thereafter and every three years once you're in, there's a review process and people are removed from or added or based on how - what their actions were. And also, to get in the database, it's not just, you have to make voluntary statements, you have to have social media. There's a very rigorous criteria to get in to the database and there's supervisory oversight at every level.

As far as the gang units, the NYPD doesn't have perse a gang unit anymore. That was the Gun Violence Suppression Unit that we talked about before basically handles gang investigations now. They're not a suppression unit on the street. They have two folds; they assist the local detective squads in solving violent crimes through rigorous canvases. Helping them with witnesses and other evidence and second, building cases with the local DA's to take

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

off the most violent shooters and homicide 2 perpetrators. That's their mission. They don't do 3 4 suppression on the street, it's more of an investigation.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: And when you get on it, how are folks notified that they are on it? How would an individual know that they are on the gang database?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Thank you Council Member. just like any other investigative lead, individuals are not notified that they're on it because it is an investigative lead ultimately. But at the same time, being an investigative lead does not equate to probable cause for your arrest. So, if we have information that you are part of a criminal group, that information is leveraged either a, as part of an investigation to solve crime or b, in order as information to prevent crime.

So, for example, if we have two different gangs that are feuding with one another, it actually stands to reason that we should know what is the membership of these groups, so we could potentially prevent retribution from one group to another. So, it's

actually, it serves as a defensive mechanism as well as a crime fighting tool.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: So, at what point to people ever get told that they're on this? And then told that they're able to contest it?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: As I said again, if you take a look in California for example, being there was a law that says if you're in a criminal group, if you're in a gang, that is a violation of law in and of itself.

New York doesn't have such a law. That's why this is an investigative lead.

So, much like any other investigative lead, when we're doing an investigation, individuals that are suspects cannot dispute the evidence that we're collecting as part of the investigation. This is merely evidence as a — part of an investigation or a potential defensive tool to save people's lives.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, so when do they get notified that they were ever on it or off it?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: What we do actually as the Chief of Detectives mentioned, we have regular reviews and those are the numbers that he outlined for you. On the 17<sup>th</sup> birthday, I believe the 23<sup>rd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> birthday as well and then you can self-initiate

reviews. So, if we have information other than those automatic reviews, if we develop information that somebody has left the gang life and is no longer taking part, we can self-initiate a removal of the individual. And that's why based on the Chief's numbers that he provided to you; we're actually removing a significant amount of individuals on a regular basis every year.

I mean, it doesn't benefit -

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: It sounds like there's no ability to know. You're on it to contest it, to fight to get off of it. You, as the department will self-initiate when you think someone is determined to not be worthy to be on it.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: I think it's a couple of things. So, part of transparency was one, that we posted the criteria of what enables an individual to get put into the database and that's available online on our website.

Two, again and as I said before, this is not something that is going to result in an arrest. So, being in a criminal group, one requires a laundry list of criteria that needs to be met. A significant amount of supervision, an agreement among multiple

individuals to place somebody into the database but ultimately, it's an investigative lead that in and of itself will not result in your arrest.

So, I think as a general matter if you take a look at investigations, and you look at evidence collected in those investigations, individuals do not have a right to dispute evidence until they are ultimately in court and if an arrest is made than Defense Council would dispute the evidence that's collected in establishing guilt or innocence.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We're going to actually now turn to Council Member

Mealy who was logged off earlier. Who got kicked off

of the session earlier and then we're going to turn

to Council Member Hanif after that. So, Council

Member Mealy followed by Council Hanif followed by

Council Member Brewer and then I think we'll go back

to the Chair for a second round of questions there.

So, Council Member Mealy, Hanif, Brewer. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you so much

Commissioner and thank you Madam Chair Hanks. I only
have two questions and there's so many interesting

Starting time.

2.

questions need to be addressed in regards to the Shot Spotter but at a later date.

Have you thought about the addition, the police officers being on their phone as they serve the community? Can you give me an answer to that?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm glad you asked that. We have been interacting with the community and they expressed some concerns about the officers being on their phones but I want to make sure that you understand that we have so much information that's disseminated to those officers on those phones.

We have wanted flyers that go out. We have an incident that just went out they need to be on the lookout for. An individual who may be fleeing into their area. These are minicomputers that they have in their pockets that are giving them real time information on crimes that have just occurred and ways to make the city safe. And while we will address the fact that some officers are on their phones more than that or outside of those parameters and we were dealing that. I do want to make sure that we let people know that these officers receive a tremendous amount of criminal information in real time in addition to things they need to do for the

community where they are. So, while we will address abuses of that or abuses beyond those parameters, for the most part, they are using those phones and further into the safety of the city.

Question. You say — one of your executives said that they have a three step plan in regards to the officers coming out. I'm the only elected official in the five boroughs with five precincts and three PSA's and not only I was never invited to the rollout and I concur with Council Member De La Rosa, I just happened to be walking out of my office and somebody said that they were having a meeting. And I went there and I said, I was not invited but as Shirley Chism said, they don't invite you to the table, you pull up your own chair.

And I can say I only met two of your deputy inspectors for all five precincts and something is wrong with that. I think it's a breakdown between the Deputy Inspectors and the community or information relaying back to the community.

I had the Chase Bank, 100 feet away from my office, district office got robbed and not one person from the precinct called me. Don't you feel that's a

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 problem? My office 100 feet away. So, where is the breakdown or where is the three step plan that every 3 precinct is doing to connect with elected officials or the community activists to let them know what is 5 6 going on in their neighborhood and what is not. Or 7 even with meetings, please explain. Something is wrong. When I go to these precincts and I can't meet 8 some of them. It's like, they telling me when to 9 come back. I come back and they still not there, so 10 shouldn't it be a one fit all, every a new 11 12 administration? That every precinct leadership meet 13 the elected officials?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I don't disagree with you. certainly want to schedule a meeting maybe offline with you with the CO.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Oh, yes.

KEECHANT SEWELL: And address your concerns, because I do believe what's going on in your area.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: It's a lot. I'm in the heart of Brownsville. My office is in the heart of Brownsville and things are happening that the community should be aware of and if you - like, recently Vandyke is having a meeting soon and one of

2.1

the officers said that the elected officials are not invited. Is that correct or not?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I cannot say that that's correct. I know there is a meeting I believe on Monday night in the Vandyke House.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I didn't want to say all that but they said elected officials were not invited. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you Chair Hanks for this important meeting.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll move on to Council Member Hanif followed by

Council Member Brewer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Good afternoon and thank you Chair Hanks. As a Muslim New Yorker who grew up in Kensington which is a part of my district and the post 911 era, I know firsthand the harms that unwarranted NYPD surveillance can create for communities of color. During my formative years, the NYPD integrated undercover plain clothes over long periods of time into mosques and other community hubs including the CUNY system, targeting Muslim

\_

students under the pretense of antiterrorist work.

Naturally these efforts found absolutely no wrong doing from any community members. Not only was this a blatant violation of privacy and first amendment rights, it showed a very rational sense of distrust of police in our community.

Can the NYPD commit to fully disclosing the extent of its Muslim surveillance program to this Committee and the public at large through a comprehensive report? And my second question relating to this topic, can the NYPD issue a formal apology or a public acknowledgement to Muslim New Yorkers for this discriminatory, fruitless and damaging program?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Question I'm going to ask

Deputy Commissioner of Intel and Counter Terrorism

Commissioner Miller to respond to your question.

JOHN MILLER: Thank you for the question Council Member. This is a subject near and dear to my heart. So, we have looked at this several ways. First of all, there were three lawsuits against the NYPD which involved extensive discovery processes and at the end of the plaintiffs and their attorney's reviewing the discovery process, those lawsuits were settled with

no admission of wrong doing, no damages and it was actually an extraordinarily useful discussion between the Intelligence Bureau of the NYPD, community members who came to be heard and their attorney's, kind of getting the facts out.

So, that's one milestone for us which is once this was examined by the plaintiff's and the courts, those cases did not go forward with either damages towards missions of wrong doing.

JOHN MILLER: Because there was an independent review by the Department of Investigation, they examined ten years of Intelligence Bureau investigations, where they got access to files and were able to go through cases at random. Where they determined that 100 percent of those investigations over that ten years were properly predicated. Now, I think that the most useful thing that came out of both the lawsuits and the independent reviewed by the Office of the Inspector General and DOI was the institution by agreement of the independent civilian monitor.

So, for the last several years, Judge Robinson, a retired federal judge, who has no connection to the

NYPD, has sat in on every Handschu Committee Meeting where we review investigations that are coming up to be launched or investigations that are coming up to be renewed or investigations that are coming up to be closed and his independent report for the last years that he has been doing this during his term, have also all found 100 percent compliance with the Handschu Agreement, the consent decree with the court and what it requires. Beyond his particular job.

We've also given him access that goes beyond what's required to sit in on other briefings, other meetings, other processes, just so Judge Robinson has gotten that 360 degree view. He has also met with advocates and community leaders to bring as much transparency as you reasonably can to the process of intelligence collection.

Our focus today in the post rise of Al Qaeda, the post rise of ISIS, the four terrorist attacks that occurred in New York City since 911, our focus today has largely shifted to where the activity is, which involves White Supremacists, neo Nazi groups, accelerationists and other groups that are responsible for a dipropionate amount of the advocacy for violence and the actual carrying out of violence

and property damage. We use the same rule book, the same set of tools, the same federal consent decree and the same guardrails in investigating those groups that we did in internationally inspired terrorists.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I guess that doesn't sufficiently respond to my question but I will wait for the second round to dig a little deeper into this.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll go to Council Member Brewer followed by Council

Member Ariola followed by Council Member Ayala.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much Madam Chair and Commissioner. I have let's see two questions. First of all, I do want to thank you Commissioner for with Gay Officers Action League, disclosing sexual orientation, big deal. The only one in the country. Congratulations, it's a big, huge step and I also want to thank the two old Manhattan North and we're really excited that Midtown Court is opening and I'm a fan of Chief of Patrol O'Reilly, just for the record.

So, my questions are, number one, I think you mentioned security cameras but I didn't know if there

was a budget for it because I'm asked as I was the
Borough President and certainly now, for security

cameras. So, I didn't know if there is a capital

5 | budget allocation number one.

Number two, I didn't see it in the budget but I'm not reading everything. I know that the Mayor has said, last uniform doing civilian work. Now, with all due respect just cause I've been around for a long time, Mark Green said the same thing in the 1980's and has a report to that effect. There's lots that we need to do. So, I wanted to know if there was a budget allocation for that and how that's going to proceed.

I also want to know in terms of the PSA's and NYCHA, maybe it's there but I wanted to know what is the allocation for NYCHA, PSA's is it up, is it down? Goodness knows the NYCHA residents need all the support they can get and they really do appreciate good policing.

And then for me, the biggest issue of course is quality of life. This is hard. It's not you know, God knows shooting but between the bicycles, the noise, the mental health, the traffic, the summonses. And according to the MMR in the report that I have,

S

2	there are fewer quality of life summonses and fewer
3	moving violations being given out. So, what's the
4	status of that? It's not an easy, you know in some
5	precincts, it's hard to deal with these individuals.
6	I know them very well. And then finally, the grants
7	I know I was at the National League of Cities.
8	There's a lot of money coming from Washington. Who'
9	in charge and literally applying for every single
10	federal dollar? I know you got some with the plans
10	federal dollar? I know you got some with the plans that have come out in terms of infrastructure and

KEECHANT SEWELL: I'm going to try to dig into each one of your questions. I'll start with the budgetary questions first.

KRISTINE RYAN: Yeah, so with regard to the cameras. Right now, we have approximately \$12 million in the capital budget for our Argus cameras and facility cameras and as I mentioned earlier, the current maintenance cost for that is approximately \$2.9 million annually.

In regard to grants and funding coming from the federal and state government, this is something we are actively reviewing. The responsibility for

applying for those grants is my office within the
NYPD and we work with the various bureaus and
commands to put together our applications to put our
best foot forward to receive as many resources as we
can. And so, what we're doing now is, we are
monitoring all of the appropriations. We're
monitoring all the notice of funding opportunities
from the federal government, opportunities from the
state government so that we make sure that we have
every opportunity to avail ourselves of additional
resources from our federal and state partners.

KEECHANT SEWELL: I believe we are talking about quality of life issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah, quality of life and then civilian versus uniformed.

KRISTINE RYAN: I'm sorry, the NYCHA budget for what specifically -

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah, it is up? Is it down for the NYCHA? For the PSA, that's a whole division obviously. What is the budget for that, for NYCHA? Is it up? Is it down? What are your plans? You know the PSA.

KRISTINE RYAN: Just give me a minute. I can get you the value we have for that while we respond to the other questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

KEECHANT SEWELL: We'll respond to your quality of life concerns while we get you the information for the NYCHA budget. Chief Abbassi.

ISA ABBASSI: So, good morning Council Member and you know understanding how involved you are in the Borough of Manhattan and we're well aware of a lot of the concerns surrounding quality of life citywide.

You know part of that is 311 response agencywide which currently is you know in excess of 1.3 million calls annually. We work to address this in a variety of ways. You know and as we get our offices messaging, we see our Oath enforcement is going up as a preferred way when we do have to take enforcement. However, you know part of what we do and part of this expansion of neighborhood policing is the concept that you know public safety and quality of life is a shared responsibility.

So, what we're really looking to do is engage our partners at the ground level at the precinct level, at the PSA level. Identify the right stakeholders in

2.

the community, collaborate with them but more importantly identify a plan of action that's going to address these quality of life issues.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ISA ABBASSI: That are accountable to those [INAUDIBLE 2:11:03] so that we could address these issues most importantly to the satisfaction of the community residents that are being effected by those quality of life issues.

So, enforcement and we are certainly responding to a lot of calls for service related quality of life but the big push for us as we expand neighborhood policing, is to take it beyond the NCO, beyond the YCO and collaborate with our community members on how they want to see issues resolved and doing it together.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, I'm not going to belabor the point now but that I think the Police Department needs help on because the 311 is going to four, five, going to get an increase in terms of the 311 calls. We do need a different approach. Because it's hard to collaborate. It's not easy to collaborate. And to figure out who is going to deal with the noise. Where is the noise meter at three

o'clock in the morning. DEP Is not going to show up.

The construction noise you know it's endless, the bicycles.

And so, I think it needs to have a different strategy. Not to be discussed out. It's the same problem when you get off the subway, when I've discussed this previously with NYPD, you get off the subway with the individual, he's off, she's off but where does she go? Answer from NYPD understandably is, not my problem.

So, we got this whole silo thing, it's not your fault but it is the problem. I know human services. You know the Police Department but they're not working together as much as we talked about. So, I'm not saying it's your fault, I'm just saying that's what the Deputy Mayor and the Mayor and the City Council have to work on. Same thing with quality of life. If you got a gazillion bicycles, we got to work with the restaurants, we got to work with Doordash, I don't know but we got to figure out something that is bigger than you. So, I just through that out. Thank you. And then I'll wait for the PSA number.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KRISTINE RYAN: We can respond to that Council 2 So, the budget for Housing Bureau is \$205 3 million, that's essentially flat from last year when 4 it was \$203. Where we have seen some additional dedicated resources as I mentioned earlier last year, 6 7 when overtime was significantly curtailed, we had a significant reduction in enhanced - in overtime, 8 trying to enhance housing deployments and we are back 9 to ensuring that we utilize overtime effectively in 10 housing. So, we are putting some more overtime 11 12 resources into housing deployments this year.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, thank you Madam Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We will turn to Council Member Ariola followed by Council Member Ayala. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Hi, thank you Chair.

Thank you staff. Thank you Commissioner. Thank you to my esteemed colleagues and the entire team from the NYPD. I'm going to stick to the topic of quality of life.

In many of our communities, mine included, we are terrorized by four wheelers and motorized bikes on

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 our streets, on our sidewalks. We're also, our quality of life is effected by very loud music, pop 3 up parties, you know large speakers that are found you know you've only seen them in disco. disco, that dates me but in clubs or such and these 6 7 things really create a safety hazard and a quality of

life hazard within our communities.

In the past, confiscation of both these vehicles and these speakers were only when they were in you know when they were not in use. Whether at a gas station or a garage or if they took the speakers from their cars and places them on the ground outside their cars and then they would confiscate it. How is the administration going to deal with this moving into the summer when it is a citywide issue? And it really effects I believe every community throughout the five boroughs. And why were these particular crimes given so much leeway?

KEECHANT SEWELL: So, we recognize that's an issues, especially as the weather is warming up. going to ask Chief Abbassi to go into the details of that as well please.

ISA ABBASSI: So, Council Member good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Hi Chief.

24

25

2 ISA ABBASSI: You know the issues that you're 3 illustrating citywide have been you know tremendously troublesome and we see it Manhattan, we see it in 4 Queens, we see it in the Bronx. What we've done is 5 quite a comprehensive plan, tried to control some of 6 7 these white outs, the ATV's, the motorcycles and the noise that sometimes they generate but again, back to 8 this concept of shared responsibility in neighborhood 9 policing. You know we look forward to working with 10 you but just as importantly, we look forward to 11 12 working with our partner agencies. DOT, sanitation, 13 DEP on sound measurement, on engineering 14 recommendations, on installing you know camera 15 systems and technology. These are things that again and I think to Council Member Brewer's point, are 16 17 larger than this agency but we are more than willing 18 to take these on and lead the conversations and I 19 look forward to doing that with you. And I know that the Transportation Bureau under the leadership of the 20 esteemed Chief Royster is also doing this work as 21 22 well.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you Chief but with respect to the reckless driving of the four wheelers and the bikes that are motorized on and often times

unregistered and on our streets and causing accidents
and on sidewalks, you know calling liabilities for
pedestrians, that's something that is absolutely
comes under the purview I believe of the NYPD and I
commend the precincts that are dealing with this,
especially in my own district. The 100, the 106 and
the 102 are doing their absolute best to combat that
behavior. But and if you need something from us as a
body to help you combat that since we all have it you
know throughout our community, throughout the five
boroughs, please let us know because summer is
approaching. I live in — part of my district is a
beach side community and it really makes it unlivable
between the music. And I understand that $\ensuremath{DEP}\xspace-$ and
we do work across the borders with the 7-5 you know
precinct in Brooklyn on these issues but it continues
and I know, I just want to know why they're getting
such leeway? And if there's anything we can do as a
body to help you confiscate these vehicles,
confiscate these speakers and thereby address the
quality of life issue that's happening?
ISA ABBASSI: You know I'm going to throw it to

ISA ABBASSI: You know I'm going to throw it to Chief Royster but I just want to address something you know that you bring up. It's a very important

themselves.

point that goes into our decision making and officers
in the field make split second decisions and
sometimes it's very dangerous and what factors into
some of that decision making is the risk of flight.

Danger to the public, danger of mounting a sidewalk,
danger of causing an accident and causing serious,
physical injuries or death, even into the motorcycles

So, what we're doing is innovative strategies, choke point, trying to get them in areas where they're not going to pose a danger to themselves or others, taking enforcement action. I will let you know that we've confiscated hundreds of ATV's and motorcycles. We've crushed many of them. We've written thousands of summonses but there's a lot more work to be done and I could have Chief Royster discuss a little bit more on strategy.

KIM ROYSTER: Yes, thank you. Council Member, thank you very much. We've seen this issue kind of created pre-COVID. Once COVID came in, we saw that these devices were being used on our streets. ATV's and dirt bikes are illegal, they are not street ready and we've taken a couple of measures to actually address the issue.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MIM ROYSTER: One thing that Chief Abbassi had mentioned is the concern of safety. But we are working with the 77th Precincts in the Transportation Bureau to find out where these vehicles are stored. I know you asked the question of how you can help us. That's one of the ways that we would like for the public to engage with us is tell us where they're stored. We have a mechanism in which we can actually get information from you and basically go to those locations and seize these devices.

As Chief Abbassi said, there are hundreds of these vehicles that were seized last year and we have destroyed them. We will continue our efforts but I am willing to reach out with you to work with the 77th Precincts with Chief O'Reilly and to make sure that we put forth an initiative and you're absolutely correct before the warm months come. This is something that's a concern. Not only a concern of ours but a concern for the people that are riding. Young people that are purchasing these devices are not aware that they're illegal and we want to make sure that we address the people that are selling them to young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: I certainly appreciate that. I thank you for all the work that you do as a department Commissioner for your leadership and for always being accessible each and every time our district office has reached out. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Finally, we'll turn to Council Member Ayala and we will return back to the Chair for a second round of questions. Council Members, if you want to ask a second round of questions, we're going to have a two minute round followed after the Chair asks her questions. I see we have a couple of you who have their hands up, so we're going to head to you after the Chair. Council Member Ayala, go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Thank you. Good afternoon Commissioner. My question is related to a bill that I sponsored. I'm the prime sponsor of a bill that would prohibit the NYPD from collecting DNA from minors without the consent of a parent or legal authority. And the NYPD has expressed concerned with this bill, citing that it would make it more difficult to solve gun related cases without it.

I'm really curious to know how many individuals under the age of 18 are in the database? How long

2.

3

4

5

\_

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

their profile is stored? How many cases have been solved as a result of this practice and what prevents the NYPD from simply asking for a subpoena to request the same access to the same DNA?

I know there's a lot of questions in one but I want to get my time in.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Thank you for your question Council Member. I'm familiar with the bill. when it comes to individuals under 18 to start with just the numbers. You're talking about less than two percent of the current database is comprised of individuals under the age of 18. When you take a look at juveniles and what are revised policy is and I think that's important to mention, that you know through one, we post our policy online as part of the Post Act. Two, that policy was posted before it was finalized to get community input on the finalized policy. Three, we amended and updated our policies with respect to collection of DNA from juveniles and those policies now reflect a practice of following the same protocols that we follow for Miranda warnings for juveniles, which actually that includes the parents.

Three, we created a process where we now purge

\_

the database you know on a regular basis. So, what used to be and this was I think we all agree this was the problem I guess with the old way the database was maintained was once the profile went in, the only way to get out was if you got a court order ordering you to come out of it.

What we started doing now is routinely reviewing the profiles and recommending removal to the OCME, who's actually the owner of the database. So, we've completed an audit of the entire database and 5,000 over 5,000 exemplars were removed as a result of that audit and now, it's routinely audited on an ongoing basis to ensure that those — that profiles that no longer have investigatory value, that are put in there. And the individual is not a convicted misdemean inter felon and there is no open investigation, that there's a pathway out without getting a court order.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Okay, could you explain what two percent totals to and when you say that the database is cleaned on a regular basis, what does that mean? Periodically, very six months, yearly?

\_ \_

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: I believe the profiles are examined at their — well, the initial audit was examined, every profile that was two years old or older. On an ongoing basis, we review profiles that are two years old on their two year anniversary and if the case is no longer ongoing, we can pull it off and that doesn't stop us from doing self-initiated audits with respect to particular cases that we can bypass the two year review. But we wanted to set up some level of systemic review.

In terms of numbers, I mean, I know the last audit is posted on our website. I would have to get back to you and give you the current numbers but the under 18 component, which is generally comprised of 16 and 17-year-old's and keep in mind that we swab every gun that we recover for DNA. So, it's under two percent, I'll get you the hard number on a follow-up after the hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah, I mean, I'll remind you though, we've had — I mean, I know in my district, we had a 12-year-old who was in the DNA database and you know that's kind of what you know preempted the drafting of this bill.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, is NY's position still that this bill would make it more difficult for the NYPD to solve these cases?

It absolutely would and the OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: case that you're referring to was a very unique case. I think it was the only one but you know, just to give you some level of context, when you talk about the age group that you're talking about, the only way that we would collect DNA from an individual that age would be if it's a homicide or a rape essentially.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I mean I get it. my issue with the resistance has always been that legally, there's nothing that prevents the NYPD from acquiring the information to begin with. You know, like the intent of the bill is not to make life more difficult for the NYPD but to prevent digital profiling. Like, we can't you know have kids on a database just because of you know because they are associated and I am not pretending to you know to say that you know all kids are you know innocent because they are you know they are minors. I get it. I know that a lot of our young people are you know unfortunately gang involved and have been involved in 2.

some pretty heavy criminal activity, which is you know, we're working on that. We need to do better on that end.

But it really is apparent of you know Black and Brown you know boys, minor boys specifically. It bothers me a parent to know that you know my son could be associated with someone and brought into the precinct you know for questioning on something that you know he may not have even done and you know, is offered a soda and then leaves and it becomes abandoned property and now his DNA is stored without my knowledge, his knowledge or consent.

So, again, it's the — we plan to reintroduce the bill this year but the intent is not to make it so that the NYPD cannot access the information but rather to kind of force the NYPD to access the information and legally through our court system. We have a process for that.

So, you know we can continue to talk about this for years. I know that you and I have had several conversations about it. But for me, it's a matter of equity and fairness. And so, I want to make sure that our you know, I don't like databases to begin with but I want to make sure that specifically, when

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're talking about young people that you know we are informed as possible. Thank you for that Madam Chair.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: And just to kind of close the loop on that. We, our current collection practices are definitely legal. They comport with the law. The evidence we collect is introduced in court, so if there was anything illegal about the collection method, the evidence would really be - we wouldn't be able to introduce it, so there would be no point in collecting it. I think what the floor was, was in the process that wouldn't allow individuals. It was a fairness aspect of not allowing individuals to get out of the database. We believe that's been addressed through a very public process but we certainly and I'm always going to be available to speak with you about this piece of legislation or our policies.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We're going to move back to Chair Hanks for a second round of questions. After Chair Hanks asks a number of questions, we will then turn back to the four remaining Council Members who have second round of questions who have their hands raised. And that's

Council Members Stevens, Cabán, Hanif, Bottcher. So, Chair Hanks, you may go ahead. Thank you.

just wanted to thank all of my colleagues who are signed on to this important hearing and the questions that you had are extremely important. If you feel that they haven't been answered to you know your satisfaction, please email me and let's try and get those questions, so when we meet on March 30<sup>th</sup>, you have a more in depth understanding of the questions that you feel need to be — you need further discussion.

So, I have about three more questions. First, hate crimes are increasing with 524 incidents but only 207 arrests in 2021. Can you give us an update on the Hate Crimes Taskforce and what's the current headcount? Are the resources that you have now sufficient?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Thank you. For that I'm going to turn it over Chief Essig, that's a very important topic, I'm glad you asked that.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you Commissioner.

JAMES ESSIG: Yeah, hate crimes this year continue to increase this year 150 trade report hate

crimes. Last year at this time 52 for increases 194. We have 56 arrests in those 150 incidents. So, roughly we're making arrests in 50 percent of cases plus or minus.

In the Hate Crimes Taskforce, they investigated the detectives. We have 19 investigators total in that squad and then there's also a Hate Crimes Taskforce. That consists of 25 members of the AP community. They're in uniform. They are throughout the department; they act as translators for language barriers for us. They enhance our investigations with outreach to the community and they basically assist the Hate Crimes Taskforce in investigating these crimes when needed.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, thank you. So, my next question is CCRB had found 250 cases in 2020 in which an officer failed to comply with NYPD's body worn cameras policy. This is an important oversight tool that we want to make sure that all cameras are on.

They are clear and work as intended. In what ways can the Body Worn Camera program be improved? Do you see a need for a higher quality body cameras in the future? Do you take action on officers who do not

2.1

2 operate or comply with the cameras as per NYPD
3 policy?

KEECHANT SEWELL: This is certainly an issue that we agree with you. That we want to make sure that cameras are being used properly. I'm going to ask Risk Management; I believe that Chief Pontillo is on the line to address that directly. It's his camera on his phone. I'm sure his mic—

MATTHEW PONTILLO: Op, there we are. Good afternoon Council Member. Yeah, thank you for the question. It's a great question. So, since we began the rollout of body worn cameras in 2017, it's been a very, very high priority for us to have mechanisms and review processes in place.

So, you know some of those CCRB cases are a little bit dated in terms of where we are now. So, we conducted a comprehensive training when we first deployed body cameras. As recently as last fall, we updated the body worn camera policy because of some of the deficiencies that we observed with recording practices.

So, when cameras are being activated, when they were being turned off. We also greatly expanded the scope of incidents that must be recorded. Early on

we found that there was some confusion because we had limited the must record situations to those encounters that were likely to result in some type of escalation or enforcement but we've now included a much wider array of events that police officers respond to and take part in. Essentially, we're now recording or requiring members to record every police action, every activity they engage in except for routine collisions cases, missing persons and reports of past crimes, where they're just going to somebody's residence to take a report. So, we're requiring them to record everything else.

We have concentric layers of auditing that we do from my office here where we review body worn camera usage. We compare it to events to look for and make sure that the officers have recorded those events as required. We also do sampling where we'll look at a precinct and look at an entire tour to make sure that everybody recorded everything as they were supposed to. And you know these auditing mechanics are incorporated into several review processes that the Department has.

So, for example every week, we do an analysis as part of CompStat in terms of the precincts that are

coming in for CompStat and looking at their body worn camera compliance and usage. We also take a citywide view and we have other review or compliance forums where we bring in precinct commanders and we go through their body camera usage and their compliance. Violations of the body camera policy are in the NYPD Disciplinary Penalty Guidelines. So, there are guidelines for imposing discipline when there is a violation of policy.

We also look at the command when we find a deficiency. We will review the audit trail of that body camera, look to see if any supervisors from that command, also look at that video and then look to see what follow-up action was taken. And that's one of the things that we will ask commanders about and hold them accountable for. Not only making sure their supervisors are in fact reviewing body camera video on a periodic basis but also having meaning follow-up to address any concerns. And it may not just be disciplinary. There could be tactical concerns or training issues, but we do have that mechanism in place that we address through a number of different forums like I said, including CompStat as well as other forums that are similar that we hold here.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Staying on the topic of discipline. The Disciplinary Matrix enacted by the previous City Council in 2020 was a change in

seeing from the use of the matrix? Any specific

how penalties are imposed. What effects are you

positive or negative that you want to share now that

the system has been implemented?

Matrix needs some tweaking. We've had quite a few meetings where we were able to discuss things that may have been aggravating factors or extenuating circumstances that may have fallen outside of the parameters of the Matrix. I'm going to ask Commissioner Litwin to weigh in for me.

She too may need permission to unmute her microphone.

AMY LITWIN: So, thank you so much. You know the department has been incredibly committed to utilizing these disciplinary guidelines and further evaluating them when looking at discipline. I would say some of the benefits that we're seeing is that you know, it helps us to really more formalize our process in terms of looking at where we are seeing areas of

misconduct that we think that we can find more creative ways of rectifying.

So, for example, as we look at the penalties for failure to take police action. That encompasses so many different things and that's something that we actually made some adjustments to more recently when we addressed some enhancements to our Disciplinary Matrix. But we're looking at ways in which we are not only penalizing members of service for misconduct but we are also now looking at ways that we can enhance our work around discipline.

So, what I mean by that is when we're identifying trends, we are looking to create new trainings, new strategies to address problems so that we don't see them over and over again. And we do believe that by — I call it sort of internal transparency and prevention. Where now that we have these guidelines, we can share the information that is included in these pages that helps to explain not just what the penalties are but with the disciplinary process is intended to do. How it looks, demystify what discipline looks like for members of the department internally. And as we talk more and more about what types of penalties will be imposed for misconduct, I

would like to think that it will result in prevention around future misconduct.

So, I think solidifying these guidelines and making something very clear and more transparent internally from member of the department, I'm hoping that we can do good work around I guess reducing the misconduct that we're seeing.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, what kind of misconduct are you seeing?

AMY LITWIN: Yeah, so we see a variety of misconduct. As you know from the penalty guidelines, there are many categories of misconduct that we address. So, obviously we have misconduct that we receive referrals from the CCRB around force, of use of authority, discourtesy. You know obviously those cases come in through the department. But then of course we have various categories of both on duty and off duty misconduct. Obviously use of force is something that we evaluate very closely and we're looking at right now in terms of strategies that we can utilize but also, you know we see officers that maybe aren't as skilled at working with victims in the community. So, that's an area that we think really needs some addressing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you know, there will be more training for officers in the department surrounding domestic violence, human trafficking victims, sex assault victims, to ensure trauma informed practices are imposed. As a former domestic violence prosecutor for 20-years in the Bronx, it's something that I take very seriously in my role in the advocates office and when we see cases that come to us, where we think officers could do better at how they interact with victims or know more for example about the dynamics of domestic violence. So that they can better understand why a victim may react a certain way when police ask questions or maybe resistant to cooperating. We think that we will be able to give officers the tools to better aid and assist victims.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much. I mean,
I just want to add, we know many of the commentary by
my colleagues has been along the lines of
transparency and the fact that you know, what is that
interaction between the Council Member understanding
when these meetings are? When they can add in and
so, we definitely want to do a deeper dive into that
but I would imagine that these having these closer
community contacts with the Council Members, letting

2.

them know when things are happening, are probably going to answer a lot of those questions because we are a resource. And I think that it's been echoed with many of the Council Members that have said today that we should do a better job in utilizing that, so the process is a little bit more streamlined.

And to that end, my last question is, in Fiscal Year 2021 and to end response times for all crimes in progress was 11 minutes 48 seconds. An almost 20 percent increase from Fiscal 2019. What is the reason for the slowing of response times and how do we plan on improving the response time and getting them back down to less than ten minutes. It was a couple years ago. Are there resources that need to be allocated to make this happen?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Chief Lipetri is going to step in to answer that question.

MICHAEL LIPETRI: Yes, Councilwoman. We have seen an increase in some of the response times. What we've also seen is a sharp increase in the volume of calls that we responded to.

You know I'm sure you're well aware with the pandemic and thousands and thousands and thousands of officers out sick, you know that had something to do

with — it's clearly something that we look at very closely. It's part of our CompStat, a big part of our CompStat on Thursday, along at the local level. The Bureau Commanders, the Precinct Commanders are also looking at ways to always improve our response times. We are laser focused on the response overall but obviously the response time.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. I'm not going to speak for my colleagues but even in my district, we've gotten several complaints of you know police officers. NYPD may not be responding even if they are in the vicinity. So, we need to definitely do a deeper dive and look into that and so possibly by the 30th, we'll have better questions answered on those things.

Josh, you can you know ask the remaining questions. Take the remaining questions from the Council Members on the call.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, absolutely. I want to recognize Council Member Joseph who joined us as well. We're going to go to her if she has a question. I believe her hand is raised. She didn't have an opportunity to ask a question the first round. Council Member Joseph, are you there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Yes, I'm here. Good morning.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning. So, yeah, you may go ahead and then we'll loop back around to the second round to the rest of the members. Thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Good morning Chair and good morning Commissioner. Good morning everyone. My question is how does the city determine what street safety tools design that you allow for crossing quards? How do you place them outside each school? And how does that impact the Vision Zero data, especially in priority areas? And how do you ensure that they are equitably distributed across the city?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Thank you. Good to see you again Council Member Joseph. I'm going to ask Chief O'Reilly to give you some data.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Okay, thank you.

KATHLEEN O'REILLY: So, the Department recruits crossing guards with postings on the city's website. The post is distributed to precincts and informing the public of the position and local recruitment efforts.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I can't hear you. I cannot hear you. Excuse me, I cannot hear you.

KATHLEEN O'REILLY: Council and Local 372 to assist us in this effort. School crossing guards are considered part-time workers and work only while schools are in session. The application process includes an interview and medical investigation and background investigation. Only candidates who pass each step can be hired to fill these vacancies.

Candidates can apply online with the New York

City Automated Personnel system, Nightcaps E-hire

system or at the resident precinct. Applications for

each precinct are then submitted to the civilian

hiring unit for further processing based on the

vacancies in their command. The Civilian hiring unit

will review the applications and conduct initial

interviews.

answer. I just wanted to know how do you determine where do you place crossing guards? Because we've been seeing a high level of fatalities around the schools. So far we've lost six young people this year, so I just wanted to find out how do you determine it? How is it equitable?

\_

ISA ABBASSI: So, Council Member, very important question you ask. So, school crossing guard posts are prioritized citywide based on accident data confirmed with school principals and community groups. We are currently down. Our school crossing guard headcount I think it down about 500 school crossing guards. But only 397 of our posts are vacant.

So, what that means is, you know typically we would like to have reserves and backfill personnel available but what we do is we prioritize our posts from the most likely to need a crossing guard for pedestrian safety, for student safety down to the least likely and we fill them in that order. To the point Chief O'Reilly made before, there's a process in place to recruit and hire more school crossing guards but it's something that we really, you know we really need community support, help spreading the word on getting the right candidates to fill these spots but I think the short answer to your question is, accident data conferrals with DOE employees, community groups, parent groups and then a citywide prioritization post.

2.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Because I have a precinct in my district where if the crossing guard is absent, there is no one to cross the children. And that's one of the dangerous crossing intersections in my district. So, how do we solve that? Are we paying these crossing guards living wages that people want to apply for these jobs?

ISA ABBASSI: Yeah, you know I agree that that is a very important issue. I mean, the pay is something that we could certainly explore. It's something that's larger than us right now but it's something we can explore but to the point, we have supervisors, crossing guard supervisors in every precinct that are supposed to make these replacements. These post coverage replacements when a vacancy occurs. In order to accomplish that though, they have to stay within the scope of the prioritization that they have.

So, understanding that you're probably referencing a high priority post. We can certainly circle back offline with you about what that post is and figure out some sort of coverage plan for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I would love that. I would love that. So, thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We are going to now turn back to the additional members who have a second round of questions. For this round, we're going to give members two minutes and in the interest of time, we have CCRB who is testifying next, followed by the DA's.

I think as Chair Hanks mentioned earlier, Council Members, if there are any additional questions that you do not have time to ask today, please forward it on to myself and Chair Hanks and we will direct those to the Administration to get further responses.

So, for the second round, we'll start with Council Member Stevens followed by Cabán. Council Member Stevens go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good afternoon. I have learned my lesson, so I will ask my questions first and you guys can respond after. So, thank you Council Member Sandy Nurse. Sandy Nurse was on earlier who started some of the questions about the gang database because that was the next round of questions I was going to ask.

Because I'm a little concerned that it is saying that it's used as an investigating tool, when it was foiled in I believe 2018 or 2019. It was found that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

99 percent of the people on the database were Black and Brown. So, it's really hard for me to believe that only Black and Brown young people or Black and Brown people are in gangs.

So, I would love for you guys to talk a little bit about that because there is clearly some racial disparities in this database and we should really be getting into that especially if this is used for investigations.

And then my other question, I'll just keep it very general and I'll follow-up both questions to Chair Hanks, so that she can it to you but I'll just ask this question. What is NYPD doing to really build a relationship with Black and Brown communities for years of trauma, other than playing basketball and having block parties. What is really being done to really fix and foster these relationships to really have community building because I don't really see that happening. So, I would love to get a response to both those questions.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Sure and thank you for asking that. I'm going to ask our Deputy Commissioner of Legal Matters to talk about the database.

3

4

5

6

outlined.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: So, thank you Council Member. In terms of the database, it's really as I mentioned before in response to the other Council Members questions, it's based on strict criteria that's

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Could you outline the criteria's?

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Individuals that would fit that criteria, whether they're self-admitted, whether they have social media posts consistent with a gang tattoos. It's either one, which is a self-admission or a combination of multiple criteria that are present and then the layers -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: You know approval by supervisors in order to enter somebody in. terms of the racial makeup. I mean it's -

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Just real quickly.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Really a consequence of the intelligence that we're getting. The admissions that are coming in. I mean, there are certainly members of criminal groups that are not in the database because they - for that in particular individual, the

criteria wasn't met but later, ultimately we find out that they were in a particular criminal group.

But I mean this, you know in terms of demographics of individuals that are in the groups, I mean, the demographics of traditional organized crime, was a particular demographic and we didn't control that either.

So, when we tracked the makeup of a particular crime families and so on and so forth, those individuals tracked a particular demographic as well. So, we're ultimately collecting the leads that we collect. The criteria that we set is pretty stringent. It has significant oversight but ultimately the product of who goes into the database is a consequence of the makeup of that particular criminal group, which we don't control who joins that group.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Just a couple of things because you said the criteria is self— uhm, they do it themselves. A lot of — I say, I'm the Queen of Egypt. That doesn't necessarily mean that I am. So, I think that there's some flaw there, where we're saying the people are self-identifying and even with colors and tattoos, all of those things get very

murky. And so, I think we should definitely be revisiting that because I'm just uncomfortable with that. And even saying that the makeup of gangs are typically — these are specific groups, there is gangs that have all types of people throughout the community. It's who we are looking at and who we are targeting.

So, then I think we need to be clear about that.

So, that's means we're targeting these specific people. But it is a limited time but I do want to have my other question, which was asked around, how are we really creating community and building relationships between Black and Brown communities after years of traumatizing and you know distrust that's been created? What are we doing to really do that other than basketball games and block parties?

KEECHANT SEWELL: Council Member I agree with you. As I stated in my testimony, we absolutely acknowledge the root cause of police distrust. We're committing to ensuring our officers understand this as well.

This must be part of how we continue the healing process and we are mindful of that. It's a long journey to undo many decades of history but we're

taking every step we can to improve the relationships. Our neighborhood policing philosophy helps establish this trust and we have created numerous programs in order to be able to address that. I'm going to ask Chief Abbassi to follow-up please.

ISA ABBASSI: Council Member, good morning again.

So, once again, you bring about a point that's a priority for the agency. This concept of engaging youth in our communities and I agree with you, we have to be very careful about buying the next backpack or the next basketball to what end? Why are we doing this?

The idea here is you know we're engaging the next generation of New Yorkers. We're engaging the next generation of NYPD leadership and we're engaging communities that have been underserved by government for many, many years. Part of this is this expansion made on policing, right? This awareness that every officer, every detective, every supervisor regardless of assignment, is our advocate to go out into the community and conduct outreach and to make friends that we didn't have before.

But to that point, when we engage kids beyond sports, introducing them to opportunities like stem, education, employment opportunities, engaging in parents and empowering parents to refer their kids to outlets that we have available to us. And part of that is deactivation of spaces, which Chauncey Parker in Community Partnerships does so well.

Spaces that are available to city government that are typically closed off to the community, getting them open, getting these types of interactions and engagements done. A Saturday night lights program, law enforcement explorers, blue chips. I could probably spend 90-minutes telling you how many things we're trying to do to get to those kids that typically were neglected by our efforts before or didn't fit into it. And one of the biggest parts of that is young girls and women. How are we not excluding them from these conversations and exposing them to the opportunities that other people are getting?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Uhm, I definitely would love some more follow-up because I didn't really hear what actually, like what are the actual things that are being done and not just young people, because you

know I think this is a community effort. It needs to be thought about how are we doing this communitywide and holistically and I didn't really hear that. So, I'd love to have follow-up offline more about ways that can happen. Because that didn't really answer

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll now turn to Council Member Cabán followed by

Council Member Hanif followed by Council Member

Bottcher followed by Council Member Holden. Council

Member Cabán, you may go.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

the question but thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yes, two questions. So, Commissioner, you testified "you will not wait for a bad incident to occur to take corrective action that will make policing better and fairer." Last week, a Bronx judge found an NYPD officer lied, fabricated evidence and probably the gun present to go off and this rare.

My experience as a public defender, judges almost never make this legal finding. I once did a trial pre-pandemic where a cop testified that the 4-5 train wasn't crowded at mid-week morning rush hour and that cop wasn't even found to be not credible. So, what

steps did the NYPD take to prevent officers from lying in official proceedings and how are officers who do lie held accountable?

And my second question is that you testified "that you're embracing the challenge of doing more with less. Your budget is bigger than that of the Ukrainian military. It's bigger than that of many countries militaries in fact and for every dollar, the NYPD and DOC receives, homeless services gets \$0.29. Permanent health gets \$0.25, Housing Preservation and Development gets \$0.19, Youth and Community Development gets \$0.12, Workforce Investment gets \$0.01.

It sounds to me like these agencies are facing the challenge of actually doing more with less because of the billions per year your department demands. Do you believe this is the proper ratio of investments to achieve the best safety outcomes in our city?

KEECHANT SEWELL: To answer your first question regarding the case the judge mentioned, we are actually looking at that case. I believe that we see it a little bit differently but I understand your point and I understand the judges point but this is

not about a disrespect or for the judge but we do see that case differently.

To your point about whether we believe the other people are not doing more with less, I'm not really sure if I'm going to answer your question. You're asking me if I believe that they should be funded more or we should be funded less?

KRISTINE RYAN: So, I can just speak to sort of both elements of that. So, the Department does participate in the savings program. We participated in the PEG program here. We've done it historically in the past. As you are aware, we've had our headcount resources reduced. We've had other including our overtime and other resources reduced.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KRISTINE RYAN: We do understand and support needs that other agencies have. But I think public safety is also a core element of what the city needs in terms of just quality of life, maintaining safety, economic survival for the city and the two are not mutually exclusive in terms of resources for the police department and resources for other agencies.

So, you know as we've said prior to this, in the testimony, we work with our other agencies, we

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

support our other agencies but there are resources

that we all need and we have been making every effort

4 to be as efficient and effective as possible with the

5 resources we have. If there are a lot of questions

6 about how we are moving forward with the elements of

7 | the blueprint to end gun violence and the

8 neighborhood safety teams and we are primarily

9 | implementing that through redeployments and through

10 | evaluating our exiting resources.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. I wanted to interject but I was muted during my questioning. I just want to point out that the PEG's, NYPD has the fourth lowest of the PEG's. So, they are experiencing the PEG at a lower rate than all of those other agencies. And so, I do want to point that out but thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll turn to Council Member Hanif followed by

Council Member Bottcher, followed by Council Member

Holden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Two questions and then of course I'll follow-up if responses aren't sufficient. Commissioner Miller, I just want to

articulate that the Muslim community will never forget cases like Asad Dandia or Asad Noel from Brooklyn College, Queens College, respectively, at the time in their late teens, early 20's, these Muslims were racially profiled as students and I know you mentioned right now that surveillance tools are being used to profile white supremacists, neo Nazi accelerationist groups but these groups are not comparable to the ways in which Muslim's were treated.

Post 911, these young people did not belong to any equivalent Muslim groups and settlements from past law suits recognize that Muslim's were improperly targeted. All I need to know yes or no, is will the PD acknowledge, publicly acknowledge and report the extent of conducting an unjust Islamic phobic surveillance program targeting innocent, vulnerable Muslims.

My second question is, can you share the precincts protocols, training partnerships with anti-domestic violence groups as it pertains to domestic violence calls, and especially in response to immigrant domestic violence survivors? In my district several years ago when officers were called

to a scene in response to South Asian Muslim survivor, the officers were keen on getting the survivor to return to her abusive home because what was happening in their words was a cultural issue. Thank you.

JOHN MILLER: So, Council Member, thanks for the question again because it touches on something very important. You know we talked about lawsuits that didn't result in going to court because we agreed on a really interesting standard. You know what they asked for in terms of response of a lawsuit was after we demonstrated that we were actually adhering to the rules of the Handschu Agreement in excess of what was required. The negotiated settlement was well, can we write into the Handschu Agreement how you're exceeding the requirements.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JOHN MILLER: I do know that a department investigation found that we haven't broken any of the rules after a ten year look back in terms of how we predicated cases. I do know that we came to positive settlements but I also understand this. There is perception and perception allowed to linger long enough becomes reality.

I know from my own conversation with Muslim members of the community and Muslim community leaders that there are people who have believed and will believe forever that there were spy's in their mosques who were trying to entrap people and that when they kneel down to pray today before their God when they get up, they are still suspicious that that person who engages them in a conversation as a new friend or a stranger, may be that undercover officer.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Right, because it's true.

That's what happened.

JOHN MILLER: I know that there is no evidence

that that occurred based on every objective study that's been done on this or that if it occurred, it occurred outside the rules of what a legitimate investigation is. But I know we can't erase that.

So, what we've done in turn is, tried to build continuous relationships with those community leaders, with those communities themselves, to visit mosque's to talk about what we do and how we do it and to build that trust. And that is something that is not going to happen overnight, nor it is something we started yesterday. That's going to be a work in progress.

and you know again, I just have to say like your point of view really does not resonate with what we're experiencing or have experienced with the Muslim community as the only Muslim Council Member in the Council right now, I'll be sure to continue this line of follow-up and I know my time is up here but would love a response to the domestic violence and question. I would really love to bring in some more gender justice work into today's hearing.

ISA ABBASSI: So, Council Member, good morning again. Speaking to the very important issue you raised, we have a very sophisticated domestic violence program here at NYPD where you know I think you know, we run both a criminal investigation through the detective borough but also a victim services case through our domestic violence prevention officers.

Now the cultural diversity of New York City you know as you mentioned, really makes us have to think about how we're providing victim services and part of what we do is a— try to inform our first responding officers as best we can and keep a trauma informed approach to everything we do but on top of that,

2	understanding you know cultural diversity as we
3	analyze domestic incidence through you know looking
4	at our domestic incident reports or domestic violence
5	follow-ups, we're able to provide translation
6	services, foreign language services but also, we try
7	to leverage the family justice centers in every
8	borough for intimate partner violence. When we see
9	intimate partner violence, especially where there are
10	indicators, potentially fatality indicators or
11	revictimization indicators, we try to make referrals
12	through the Family Justice Center to get the right
13	partners in place depending on the culture of the
14	victim. Depending on any specific needs of the
15	family or the household. To get the right people
16	plugged into that case as soon as we can and
17	developing this cultural awareness and developing
18	these relationships and continuing these
19	relationships beyond the FJC's with partners in every
20	precinct, dependent on the cultural demographics of
21	the command. Making the right connections is
22	something our domestic violence prevention officers
23	and their supervisors do well but that we oversee
24	here from One Police Plaza also.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

KEECHANT SEWELL: Talking about above ground I

assume is that correct Council Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. I'll also continue to follow-up on this because this is one that's really important for my community but thank you Chair Hanks for the opportunity again.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. will turn to Council Member Bottcher followed by Council Member Holden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: My question is about mental health but I have to say I was surprised by the answer we heard just now where the individual denied that the NYPD had surveilled the Muslim community. Everyone knows that the NYPD spied on the Muslim community after 911 for many years. So, I was surprised to hear that. But my question is about mental health. Commissioner, can you - I've been confused about what the procedure is for police precincts who need to request mental health assistance for an individual for a chronic condition, not in an emergency mental health crisis but someone who needs sustained outreach. Could you outline for us what that procedure is?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: That's correct.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Tobin, would you assist this please?

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: You're on mute Captain Tobin.

THERESA TOBIN: Thanks so much. So, the process is if it is someone who needs to be connected to services, the precinct commanders call New York City Well and they act as the air traffic controller because they know all of the city's resources and the appropriate agency to respond. Once it comes into New York City Wells, they believe that it would be important to have a police presence in addressing the concern. It goes to our co-response team and if it does not require a co-response team with police officers, it is referred to DOHMH's heat teams for a response.

They also have mobile crisis units that can respond within two hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: So, 888 NYC Well, that's a general phone number.

THERESA TOBIN: That's correct.

\_

THERESA TOBIN: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Yeah, shouldn't the precincts have a sustained contact? An individual with whom they work on these issues?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

THERESA TOBIN: The New York City Well, they do not take the cases, they just state that they are going to refer. We could certainly ask them to get back to the precinct with the person that its been assigned to follow-up

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I'd love to discuss that further because my police captains would like a person that they know who they can reach out to rather than having to call the general number.

THERESA TOBIN: Right, they have the number for our triage desk and we will help when we can make sure that we have the resources internally in the PD to respond to but they can certainly call coresponses, a triage desk and we will make sure that we follow-up with other city agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thanks, we have a meeting coming up with the Department of Health and Police Internal Affairs, I'd love to include you in that.

\_

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you very much.

THERESA TOBIN: Sure.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll turn to Council Member Holden and then close up with back to the Chair. So, Council Member Holden, go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair for a second round and thank you Commissioner again for an excellent testimony by you and your staff.

To echo the quality of life concerns of my colleagues Council Members Brewer and Ariola, all New Yorkers I think you'll agree Commissioner have the right to a quite enjoyment of their homes and a good night sleep and I just want to give you stats from last year in my local precinct and it's really kind of citywide. Out of 8,671 noise complaints, my precinct, the 104 precinct in 2021, a mere 68 enforcement actions were taken out of those 8,500.

68 enforcement actions and none Commissioner for a residential home.

So, you know we're talking about .0078 percent enforcement and it means that thousands of noise complaints were essentially ignored. So, you know as

a Council Member, you know or as Council Members, what can we do to help the NYPD better address these quality of life noise complaints? Do we need better technology and do we put that in the budget? Or do we need better legislation?

KEECHANT SEWELL: I certainly share your concern about the quality of life concerns that are not being addressed that we are trying to address. I'm going to ask Chief Abbassi; he spoke about it earlier; I'm going to ask him to follow-up as well.

ISA ABBASSI: Council Member, good morning again and good to see you again and we've spoken about this offline several times. You know, I'll first say that there are some needs and I'll answer that part last maybe but I will also say that enforcement action doesn't necessarily mean that we didn't address the balance of the issues. You know sometimes we try to gain voluntary compliance. Sometimes enforcement is warranted. We are seeing an increase in our utilization of Oath and criminal court summonses.

In response to quality of life complaints, significant increases, however there is still more work to do. I think I don't know if you're aware but there have been changes in legislation and to our

- | -

from a residential premise.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

hours at the seating of noise complaints emanating

ISA ABBASSI: If you could alert us to abate the nuisance, we'd be able to confiscate property and make entry and some other interventions that we no longer can do. But then also, you know back to point of shared responsibility. I think there are other agencies in city government that can certainly assist us with this effort and as we continue to create these partnerships, develop there partnerships and enhance the partnerships we already have in place, we look forward to your leadership and your brining people to the table that are resistant to coming because I know you've done it before and I look forward to working with you on doing it going forward.

But to your earlier point, we are looking very, very closely at the amount and types of enforcement that we're doing and in a lot of cases, we're seeing deficiencies and asking the officers to correct that.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chief. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we'll go back to Chair Hanks to close this section of the hearing off followed by welcoming folks from CCRB. Go ahead Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much Josh. wanted to thank my fellow committee members on the Public Safety Committee, my colleagues and I definitely want to thank NYPD and the Police Commissioner for a robust Budgetary Public Safety Committee meeting. Thank you very much and I appreciate the answers and questions. Anybody who has any additional questions that are my colleagues, please submit them and let's try and get those answered but we do have a Public Safety Oversight meeting on March 30th and you can have you question on the blueprint. You can have your questions answered at that time as well. So, thank you so much everyone.

KEECHANT SEWELL: Thank you very much Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. So, next up is the Civilian Complaint Review Board. Thank you CCRB Chair Arva Rice and Executive Director Jonathan

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 Darche for being here today. The CCRB receives and investigates complaints by the public against 3 employees of the New York City Police Department. 4 It's Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary Budget is \$23.5 million. The top priority for the CCRB is to ensure 6 that it has enough resources to effectively

investigate complaints.

Currently the CCRB has over 70 staff vacancies, which is over one quarter of the total budget positions. These staffing vacancies put the CCRB at a disadvantage in terms of being able to investigate complaints that are currently in the pipeline. also makes the organization out of compliance with the City Charter. By law the headcount must be 0.65 percent of NYPD uniformed headcount which puts the minimum staffing level at 228 people. We must work together with OMB to ensure the agency meets the Charter requirement and fulfills its mission of serving the public.

I look forward to reviewing your budget and staffing needs so Josh, let's begin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, thank you Chair. CCRB, we will have testimony from I believe the Chair mentioned but we'll have testimony from the Interim

Chair Arva Rice and the Executive Director Jonathan Darche. I see both of you are here.

I am going to swear you in, so please just raise your right hand and affirm the following oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to answer honestly to Council Member questions?

ARVA RICE: I do.

JONATHAN DARCHE: I affirm.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great, thank you so much. You may go ahead.

ARVA RICE: Chairperson Hanks, members of the Public Safety Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. I am Arva Rice and I am the Interim Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board, CCRB. I am joined by Jonathan Darche, our Executive Director. I would like to begin by thanking the City Council for your continued support and trust in the Agency over the years.

The City Council has been instrumental in strengthening and expanding the Agency in size and power. Last year, the City Council passed a bill to

grant the CCRB the power to investigate bias-based policing and racial profiling, a key measure to ensure some of the most complicated cases of misconduct have a form of recourse. The City Council also passed a bill allowing the CCRB to self-initiate complaints. This means the Agency will no longer put the onus on victims and witnesses but can bear the brunt of responsibility themselves.

Misconduct will not be ignored because a victim does not have the bandwidth to serve as a complainant, and the CCRB will do its part to ensure all misconduct is addressed. Finally, I would like to thank the City Council for the funding you provided for public education last year. With that budget, the Agency was able to place advertisements on a variety of outlets to reach communities throughout the City.

As the new Interim Chair of the board, I look forward to working with the City Council to ensure the CCRB is upholding its duty to the City of New York. I joined the CCRB as a board member last year and am very proud to now serve as Interim Chair. I have spent most of my career serving New Yorkers. As the current President and CEO of the New York Urban

League, I work to enable underserved communities to secure a first-class education, economic self-reliance and equal respect of their civil rights through programs, services and advocacy.

Before joining the NYUL, I served as Executive
Director of Project Enterprise, an organization that
provides business loans, technical assistance and
peer support to New York City entrepreneurs who lack
adequate access to business financing. Under the
last administration, I served as a commissioner for
the NYC's Equal Employment Practices Commission and
Mayor DeBlasio's Commission for Gender Equity.

Before joining the CCRB, I worked with the former Police Commissioner Shea and Mayor de Blasio on a department-wide initiative to reform the NYPD. In March of last year, the City Council passed a Resolution adopting our final Police Reform and Reinvention Collaborative Plan. After decades working to make New York City a fairer, more equitable, and safer city, I am pleased to use my expertise to lead the CCRB into its next chapter.

The CCRB is a uniquely important Agency that is key to fulfilling this great city's mission to protect New Yorkers. The Agency is empowered to

receive, investigate, mediate, hear, make findings, prosecute and recommend action on complaints against New York City police officers alleging the use of excessive or unnecessary force, abuse of authority, discourtesy, or the use of offensive language.

The Board's investigative staff is made up of over 150 civilian employees who conduct diligent and impartial investigations. The Board forwards its findings to the Police Commissioner who can concur with our recommendation, deviate from our recommendation and impose a lesser penalty, or retain the case completely and refrain from any discipline. As it grows in numbers and powers, the Agency continues to expand its services to those in need.

At the end of calendar year 2021, the CCRB's projected budget for FY23 was \$24,323,000. In an effort to comply with the new administration's request to cut three percent from our budget, the Agency submitted a PEG to the OMB which reduced our budget to \$23,547,977. We reached this target by reallocating certain positions within the agency, and I'm pleased to be able to tell you that we recently got approval from OMB to hire 15 additional positions for the CCRB.

The updated fiscal plan the Agency submitted to OMB would allow us to accomplish all the work set forth for us by the Charter and the City Council, and we expect to be able to onboard the new hires before the end of April.

Over the last few years, the Board made great strides expanding the CCRB's powers. Just in the last year, the board has expanded its powers to investigate sexual misconduct and untruthful statements. Thanks to the City Council, we have been granted the power to investigate bias-based policing and the ability to self-initiate complaints. This brings us one step closer to addressing all misconduct without placing the burden on victims. While these have been important steps forward, the CCRB needs the city's support for these new teams and powers to be viable.

This year, we hope to fully build out the new unit investigating bias-based policing and racial profiling in order to fulfill our task to investigate these incidents of alleged misconduct dating back to 2016.

Last year, we hired Darius Charney, a leading expert in racial profiling, to be the director of

this unit. This new investigative branch is particularly pressing given the new administration's

4 intent to reform anti-crime units and expand stop and

5 | frisk. While these are legal tactics when used

6 properly, they have been abused in the past, and the

7 people of New York need to know that there is

8 sufficient oversight in place to address any

9 | incidents of misconduct.

Another obstacle standing in the way of the racial profiling unit is the city's current sealing statutes. These statutes block CCRB access to sealed arrest records, which often times are sealed due to police misconduct. The CCRB must be granted access to sealed arrest records in order to identify cases of bias-based policing and racial profiling.

We will also use this year to continue to improve our investigative process. Every year, we improve our training and make our operations more efficient, and through the pandemic we have gathered many learnings on how to optimize our investigations.

Part of this relies on collaboration with the NYPD on getting Body Worn Camera footage and other records more quickly and cooperation in scheduling interviews with officers.

\_

We also continue to seek increased budget for highly specialized investigative skills. One of the largest influx of cases the Agency has seen, stemmed from allegations of officer misconduct during the 2020 Black Lives Matter protests. These protests resulted in 319 cases, 269 of which are closed. Thus far, we have found 104 officers guilty of misconduct, 61 of whom have been recommended the highest level of discipline.

When we finish the pending cases, the CCRB will release a report with recommendations for the NYPD of how to improve training and tactics when responding to protests. The CCRB and NYPD must work together to hold police misconduct accountable which in turn will help rebuild police community relations. We look forward to working with the new administration and the new Police Commissioner to improve the disciplinary process.

The concurrence rate is an important metric for the Agency. The concurrence rate measures how often the NYPD abides by CCRB recommendations. In January 2021, the NYPD introduced its new discipline matrix. In February 2021, the Agency signed an MOU with the NYPD agreeing that we would both follow the new

matrix when recommending and imposing discipline with the hopes that we could increase concurrence.

Unfortunately, results were not what the Agency hoped for. Concurrence in non-APU cases dropped from 73 percent in 2020 to 68 percent in 2021 and concurrence in APU cases was only 27 percent in 2021. These low concurrence rates for cases where misconduct has been substantiated is an argument for why final disciplinary authority should not be left with the NYPD. Commissioner Sewell has a reputation from her time in Nassau County as a strong advocate for discipline.

I am hopeful that I can build a relationship with her to reverse this trend and increase the power and effectiveness of civilian oversight of law enforcement in New York City. With the implementation of the Matrix, more cases will be sent to the Administrative Prosecution Unit or APU.

The APU is responsible for trying the most serious instances of misconduct that have been substantiated by the Board. They hold administrative trials to prove allegations of NYPD misconduct which can result in a loss of vacation days, suspension, or even termination. The APU is currently short staffed

\_

and without a sufficient number of prosecutors, the most egregious instances of misconduct go unaddressed. Yet, all the additional services will be redundant if civilians do not know that the CCRB is an available resource.

The CCRB's outreach team has grown over the last few years and implemented outstanding programs to reach New Yorkers in all five boroughs. Since the pandemic hit, the outreach team conducted over 1,090 presentations to help raise awareness of the agency and inform New Yorkers of the resources available to them and their rights when interacting with police officers.

The Outreach team is currently working with a scant budget to raise awareness. In order to properly inform civilians of their rights and resources, the CCRB needs greater support from other agencies and an expanded budget for more events and promotions.

Another new resource the CCRB now offers is the Civilian Assistance Unit or the CAU. The CAU is staffed by victims' advocates, licensed social workers, and trauma services professionals who provide free and confidential assistance. They work

diligently to connect our most vulnerable civilians with desperately needed city resources, such as social and psychological support services. Since June, the CAU has helped 152 victims seeking support, an ever-increasing number, and need more staff to

serve all New Yorkers in need.

Finally, with the exciting new bill that grants the CCRB the power to self-initiate complaints, the Agency will now have the power to address any instance of misconduct that arises. This will dramatically increase our caseload and will require many more investigators on staff to address this influx of cases. We hope for the support of this administration and the Council to better serve New Yorkers and adequately fulfill our duty to hold NYPD misconduct accountable.

Much of the public has lost faith in the Police

Department and in the city to hold officers

accountable. With your support and proper funding

for staffing, training and public education, the CCRB

will be instrumental in rebuilding that trust and

help lead the national conversation around police

oversight. Thank you for your time and

consideration.

,

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. We'll now go to Chair Hanks for questions.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. I appreciate that testimony. As I mentioned in my opening statement, your budgeted headcount for the next fiscal year is 259 positions but you have over 70 vacancies currently. The Charter requires you to have at least 228 positions. So, technically with respect to your active positions, this is not in compliance with the City Charter of .65 percent of NYPD headcount. Can you talk about the issues you're having with respect to hiring limitations from the administration and does OMB have you on a plan to hire for your vacancies? And how can we help you fix this?

ARVA RICE: Hmm-hmm, thank you so much
Chairperson Hanks for that question. It is of
concern to us and we do have an action plan in place,
which I will leave to our Executive Director to
respond.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, so bias-based policing, can you tell us about your new unit that handles bias-based cases? I understand you're having issues

investigating these cases because of the state sealing statute.

What's the staffing level of this unit? How many of these new bias based policy policing cases are you seeing that have been in the outcomes and can you provide a status update of self-initiated investigations? Is this a separate unit as well? How many of you are initiating and what have been the outcomes to date?

ARVA RICE: Sure, if could call upon my Executive Director Jonathan to respond to the particulars to the first question that was asked, as well as the second. Thank you Jonathan.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Thank you Madam Chair and both Chairs. My name is Jon Darche, I'm the Executive Director of the CCRB and I use he, him pronouns.

With regard to the budgeted headcount question, it's extremely important to the agency to make sure that we have the people here to do the investigations and prosecutions and the mediations, the work that we've been charged to do by the City Council and by the people of this city and we have a plan to fill those openings.

We are currently — there is a plan to get 29 people on board by the end of April. In regard to the new racial profiling and bias-based policing unit, we intend to hire five investigating attorneys and five investigators as well as a Chief Data Scientist and an administrative assistant before the end of April.

We also intend to hire seven prosecutors and then ten more investigators. The prosecutors we hope to onboard as soon as possible and the investigators, we just had a new class start this past Monday and to have another class of investigators start in July.

So, with regard to staffing levels, we understand how important it is to get the quality people onboard that we need to do the work that you've charges us with doing as well as keep the people who are here doing the work now and we've invested and trained in who have being good work to make sure to make sure that they stay and don't leave.

With regard to the 22-12 unit specifically, we spent a lot of time when we're building this unit to try and do it the right way. The racial profiling investigations have been extremely difficult and time consuming for the other city agencies that were

ARVA RICE: Madam Chairwoman, I believe you're still on mute.

investigating them and we were determined to set ourselves up in a position to be successful.

So, we spoke to the stakeholders who are invested in this work. We've spoken to PD. We've spoken to the groups such as Communities United for Police Reform to the New York Civil Liberties Union and to many other groups that are involved on the ground in how policing is experienced in the city. Because it's not enough for the CCRB to think it's doing the good job, the people in the community who have demanded an end to profiling, you could feel that we're doing a good job.

And so, we've been trying to move carefully when we build the unit and come up with practices to make sure that the concerns and needs of the community are what we are engaged with. And the unit is going to have a mix of attorney's, investigators and also data analysts and statisticians because a lot of the work that we're going to do involves data analysis and seeing if certain behavior that was alleged to have been profiling is in fact profiling.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Sorry about that.

Just a follow-up to the sealing statute. How would

the CCRB use the information if it was given access
to sealed arrest records and how would that aid in
investigations?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the vast majority of contacts between civilians and the NYPD do not result in convictions. And so, the vast majority of paperwork and body worn camera footage is considered sealed by the NYPD. And when we receive a complaint from a civilian, we are able to get waivers from them that allow us to get access to the paperwork and body worn camera footage.

And when we are investigating profiling cases, we are not just looking at the incident of the person who has filed a complaint, we're going to be looking at incidents that happen in that location or that happen in that location over a period of time and so, we need access to paperwork and body worn camera footage to far more than just the case that we're receiving a complaint about.

In addition, now that the CCRB has the power to self-initiate complaints, we're going to be starting investigations without having the civilian who was

involved in the interaction, talking to us in the beginning and agreeing to waive waivers that give us access to the police paperwork and to the body worn camera footage.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. As I mentioned also with the testimony and the questioning with NYPD, can you give us — can you tell us how the Disciplinary Matrix has effected your investigations and what are the positive and negatives you've seen since the policy was enacted and do you have any recommendations?

ARVA RICE: I'll ask our Executive Director to chime in on that as well but we have certainly started using the Discipline Matrix and it has provided us with aid and support in doing our process as you all know. The CCRB is comprised of individuals who are appointed by the Mayor's Office as well as City Council and the Police Department, with the Chair who is appointed by a joint commission. And so, it is panels that come together in order to do that review and using the Discipline Matrix, assist those panels and making those decisions. There are some points that we would like to review at the one year mark and our Executive

Director can talk a little bit more about the specifics.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the Matrix was introduced in January of 2021 and the CCRB entered into the agreement to begin using the Matrix when making its discipline recommendations in February of 2021. We then had to stop. We had to retool how we conducted our board review of cases to make sure that the discipline recommendations were following the Matrix. It is too soon to know how the Matrix has affected concurrence and affected discipline overall because of the time lag in disciplinary decisions.

So, a lot of the discipline decisions on cases that we've received since the Matrix was implemented, is over cases that where the misconduct occurred and was investigated and the board deliberated prior to the implementation of the Matrix. And so, that led to inconsistencies into how the initial recommendation was made or even sometimes a plea was entered into prior to the implementation of a Matrix and then the APU case was closed after the implementation of the Matrix.

And so, we're at a point now where we're trying to analyze, how disciplined changed for cases that

2.1

were fully investigated and then the discipline closed on the Matrix as compared to cases where there was no Matrix at all and where the board has been extremely persistent in urging staff to come up with that analysis and we're hopeful to have something in April. May at the latest because the board wants to know how this is effecting the same way that the Council wants to know and I can assure you staff is working hard to make sure that that information is available to the board, to the Council and to the public, so that you know the efficacy of the Matrix.

We have, the one thing I can tell you, is it has led to a dramatic increase in the number of members of service who have charges and specifications recommended by the board after substantiated misconduct because the Matrix, when you look at the — when using the Matrix, it requires that.

And so, that is the one definite result of the  $\mbox{Matrix}$  that I can tell you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Before my next question, I just want recognize Council Member Sanchez is now at the hearing. It's good to see you.

So, talk a little bit about you know at the beginning of investigating sexual misconduct

2.1

complaints against NYPD members. Can you talk about your unit that investigate these and if it's separate from others and how many cases you generally see?

ARVA RICE: Jonathan, if you can take that please.

JONATHAN DARCHE: It's a very important question and thank you so much for asking it. We do not have a specific unit that investigates allegations of sexual misconduct. The only investigators who we permit to investigate those cases are ones who have undergone FETI training. So, that they have been trained in how to conduct trauma informed interviews of the victims of sexual misconduct. And the supervisors who are working on those cases as well have received that training. In addition, we make sure to connect the civilians in those cases with our civilian assistance unit to make sure that people who have been the victims of sexual misconduct can have access to the right services to help them heal.

Give me one moment; I'll have the numbers on cases. So, in 2021, we received 17 complaints regarding sexual misconduct. In 2020 we received 17 complaints with 24 allegations. In 2021, there were 122 complaints with 179 allegations and so far we

3

4

back up.

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

departments relationship with CCRB, the one major

JONATHAN DARCHE: I would say with regard to the

have only closed - you know, I don't want to give you incorrect information, so I'll get you the old file

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I'd definitely like to follow-up with that. Thank you. So, my next question in general, have you seen a different level of engaging with the new Police Commissioner on your work with you personally or in cooperation with you in the CCRB?

ARVA RICE: Uh, I have not had an opportunity yet to sit down with the new Police Commissioner but as you can imagine, I'm thrilled for her to take on this role as another African American woman in leadership. She is uniquely positioned and qualified for the role and I've been really proud to see the way that she had responded to the number of challenges that she's faced already in leadership, including the loss of Police Officer Jason Rivera and PO Wilbert Mora. I look forward to sitting down with her and with her team and developing an even more positive relationship between NYPD and the CCRB.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you for that.

2 change that the Police Commissioner has implemented 3 has been concentrating the responsibility for

4 providing the CCRB with documentation in body worn

5 camera footage.

6 CHAIRPERSON HANKS: That was my next question.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Into the NYPD Legal Bureau for Legal Matters.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Hmm, hmm.

JONATHAN DARCHE: The CCRB has worked very well with NYPD legal for several year now on the body worn camera footage issue, Judge Hart and Inspector Kane Ganus(SP?) have really worked hard to develop a relationship with the agency and to make sure that we get the resources we need to conduct our investigations.

The body worn camera footage was a real problem for this agency for a long time. We're now receiving footage, unredacted footage in the vast majority of our cases, the average time it takes the department to get us footage for a request is eight business days.

23 CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay.

JONATHAN DARCHE: And so, it has been a real, real improvement. We are hoping to match what the

department has done by consolidating responsibility

for providing us with information by kind of creating

a unit that is responsible for consolidated requests

to the department for information, and hopefully by

having two groups of people whose job it is to

adjust, coordinate the flow of information, that

we're able to develop relationships with one another

and make that more efficient, more effective.

But the department is ahead of us from where we are right now but I think it was either two weeks ago or three weeks ago that it occurred. But it's been a very positive experience so far.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. That was going to lead up to my next question. You actually answered it, so I very much appreciate that because the you know, the footage of body worn cameras is definitely a big topic of clarification. I appreciate your answer.

So, before I take it back to Josh to have questions from my colleagues. I just want to thank Chair, Interim Chair Rice and Jonathan for coming on today, for your testimony and we'll just uhm, you can take over Josh. Thank you very much everyone.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Hanks. Council Members, if you have any questions, please use the Zoom raise hand function. Council Member Barron, you may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much and to our Chair, you're doing a wonderful job and I know it's tough being on as long as you have to be on. People come and go but you're doing an excellent job.

I want to say to the CCRB, you know the Police Department, NYPD came into existence in 1845 and they are the longest and largest police precinct in the country. In 1845 was right after slavery was abolished in New York City in 1827 and they continued even after the abolishment up to the 1840's.

So, they really brought the precinct in and they established it to do some slave catching. To go around to neighborhoods, our neighborhoods and try to re enslave us and because we live in a colonial capitalist society and capitalism creates to poverty. So, there's always going to be an issue around policing those agencies, the policing agencies that are really to protect the capitalists system more

2 than they are to protect us from brutal police
3 officers.

NYPD has been one of the most brutal police departments in the country and not only discourtesy but brutality and the abusive use of deadly force.

And for us to put them in check is going to have to take something more than a CCRB that — only the Police Commissioner can have the authority to impose discipline. So no matter how much money you get, how much staff you get and no matter how many different ways we talk about things, scientific this and data this and data that, when it's all said and done, the Police Commissioner is the only one with the authority to determine the punishment, that's absurd.

We know the police cannot police the police and the records, when I looked at some of them, 90 some odd — only three percent of the complaints, the Commissioner said that they're going to impose some discipline. Like beat me up and you only have to lose a vacation day.

I mean, slap on the wrist. This is why we're proposing a community power act. Power standing for police oversight with elected review and an

independent agency to prosecute police. And this power act would have 17 members representing all the community boards and they would have the power to discipline police and then if the crime is even stronger, than the independent police agency would be able to do it because we know that within the system, the Attorney General, Latisha James will not prosecute police. And even though you all came up with 100 complaints around the Black Lives Matter demonstration, no police officer was disciplined by the special prosecutor in the Attorney Generals Office. And only two, three percent, five percent, ten percent of the police officers are disciplined with a slap on the wrist with this process.

So, we say we need to go to an elected civilian review board, elected and not selected by the Mayor, who's usually — this time the Mayor is a cop. So, we got the Mayor as a cop and we got the — he gets five and then three from the Police Commissioner herself, that's eight. Come on now. We are dying out here. We don't have time to play these games and have these kinds of structures in place that are ineffective. The DA's are not prosecuting police. They U.S.

Justice Department even under Barack Obama didn't

prosecute police. The only thing he did with the police was when his bourgeois friends skipped gates, got roughed up. They had beer and wine at the White House. But him and his U.S. Attorney Eric Holder did not prosecute a single police officer. No matter what we had. Trayvon Martin, Tamir Rice, no matter what Brown and Ferguson. So, we got to put something in place to hold these people in check. This is not it. Because you have no authority to determine the penalty. We have to go to an independent prosecutor and an elected police community civilian community complaint review board. It has to be elected with power.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ARVA RICE: Thank you City Council Member Barron. I cannot disagree with your assessment in the history of policing in this country. It is something that as you know drives me very much in my personal role as the CEO of the New York Urban League. It is one of the reasons why I was both honored and privileged to be able to step into this role and to be able to help the CCRB to realize some of the additional powers that your body, the City Council has helped us to put into place.

\_

We are not as far apart in our thoughts as you maybe have presented. We also believe that the ultimate for discipline should reside outside of the Police Commissioner and that the CCRB recommendation should be those that are withheld. And so, we look forward to partnering with you all in order to help to move that forward.

I understand the recommendation and the thoughts, the idea of having an elected board for the CCRB. We believe that it makes sense for us to have the current structure that we have and that structure being as you alluded to with the 15 members. Five who are recommended by the Mayor, five by the City Council itself that represents each of the boroughs and then three that come from the Police Commissioner.

One that comes from the Public Advocate and the last that has that joint appointment. And so, we believe that by having those appointments, we're able to provide the balance that we're talking about but we do believe that there's additional power that needs to be put into place and we look forward to working with you all in order to help make that happen.

\_

But as I mentioned, I really don't think we are as far apart as it may appear in making sure that NYPD is held accountable for bad officers who engage in issues and things that hurt our communities.

are far apart. If you are going to sit here and tell me that that structure is what's going to make it happen, five appointments from the Mayor and three from the Police Commissioner, we are far apart.

Because that structure is not going to get it. The Mayor, this mayor and the Police Commissioner are tight. They are the same mindset. So, it is not, we are far apart and I think as an Urban League Leader, you should support an independent prosecutor and a civilian elected review board. This is the way to go. We can't have the police policing the police and the Mayor's a cop and the Police Commissioner's a cop and they got eight appointments. We are far apart.

ARVA RICE: Sure, sure and I wanted to respectfully say that in terms of the discipline and who has ultimate responsibility for discipline, that's a place where I feel that we're that far apart.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: There you go. And by the way, I appreciate your work that you've done over the years but on this one, we're far apart.

ARVA RICE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: This board is not the answer. Has not been historically and isn't as it's presently shaped and I think the elected review board would be something that you would appreciate when we can get it rolling.

ARVA RICE: Hmm-hmm.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Next, we'll hear from Council Member Holden followed

by Council Member Stevens.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair Rice and now for something completely different. In a March 2021 Council hearing with the CCRB, the former CCRB Chair Davie's claimed that the CCRB was not anti-NYPD and the CCRB promised the public that the CCRB would be impartial in probing police actions, which I think we all want. Yet, in October 2021, there's an article in the Daily News that showed the CCRB interviewing detectives and officers with offensive anti-police press clippings in the backdrop.

\_

Eventually CCRB committed to removing the offensive press clippings. Were they removed and how could the CCRB claim that they are not anti-police and are impartial in probing police actions with this kind of activity showing the exact opposite?

ARVA RICE: Thank you for bringing that question to our attention. I am going to turn that over to our Executive Director because I was not in place at the time that we're talking about. But in terms of the CCRB is absolutely committed to being an impartial abitur of the information that's given to us. That's why we have investigators who do that investigative work and bring the facts to us and our panels as I mentioned, are comprised of people that represent the Mayor, represent City Council and also represent the Police Department and those are the panels that come together in order to make those decisions that are based on the facts at hand.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right, right.

ARVA RICE: Jonathan.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, the CCRB had during the pandemic, moved the interviews of police officers out of the normal interview rooms into larger rooms that were either conference rooms are larger group offices

and used them for — so that officers and their representatives could socially distance and yet be in the same room when they were conducting the interview.

One of those rooms was the former communications office and it had old press clippings and articles either on furniture or had been put on the walls. We — when it was brought to our attention by the newspaper article, we immediately removed the articles. I think they were just like daily news articles and not anti-police propaganda but regardless, we have to appear impartial as well as being impartial.

And so, we took the steps so that the officers and their representatives would feel comfortable in the room where they were being interviewed in.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Also on hearings though,

I did see these kind of press clippings behind some

of the testimony from the CCRB. So, I just wanted to

attest that I thought they were inappropriate but

aside from that, I hope they were removed and we

don't have that. Because you have to have an

impartial body there certainly but there was also a

report in the Daily News about Police Officers being

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

24

25

forced to come in to the CCRB you know in person but the CCRB investigators were you know doing it from their own homes over Zoom. Has that policy changed or is that true?

JONATHAN DARCHE: That is in fact never the policy. The CCRB worked to make sure that the interviews would be conducted in a safe manner for investigators, civilians and members of services. The unions were concerned that their members not be separate from their representatives when being interviewed but there were difficulties in providing alternative space from the CCRB. The unions weren't happy with the space they were provided with at One Police Plaza. There were difficulties in arranging for spaces at the different unions and at their law firms. And so, we allowed the unions to come to 100 Church Street in our office and have their interviews conducted here but that was not because we were requiring them to come to CCRB while allowing our officers - our investigators not to come. We were trying to accommodate the safety issues of all involved.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, as this been sorted out? Everybody is together now again? Because uh-

JONATHAN DARCHE: On April 4th, we're scheduled to

·

conduct all - COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay. So, everything

JONATHAN DARCHE: In person.

will be in person?

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Give him a second Council Member Stevens and he'll take your question.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you so much Chair. Good afternoon. So, I just have a couple of questions. So, the first one is, what is causing the vacancies at CCRB? Is this due to a delay in hiring? What is being done to clarify this and reassuring the requirements for the Charter are being met?

And then, I guess you could answer that but I have a couple more. If not, I'll jump in in between.

ARVA RICE: Hmm-hmm. I'll have our Executive

Director take the first question about the vacancies

at CCRB.

JONATHAN DARCHE: The CCRB is first of all grateful that the Council has budgeted the staff and resources that it has. It is committed to working at

the Office of Management and Budget to move forward to hire up those positions so that the -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Can you talk a little bit about what is being done to get those positions filled? Because yes, we know we're grateful but I have very limited time, please. Thank you.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, 15 were approved yesterday.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Then I'm expecting another ten to get approved today and that will let us move forward with the hiring process. Specifically on the front with APU prosecutors, I know that we've scheduled interviews with a half dozen people for next week. I'd like to make offers and get those people in the door before the end of the month.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay, I think hiring is very important, essential to make sure that we're getting things done adequately and not having people overstretched.

So, with a 2021 statistic, it reports that CCRB received 1,749 complaints. However, it's only provided the results of 194. What was the results of the other 155 cases, 55,000 cases, I mean 5,500 cases?

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, many of those cases are still — sorry Madam Chair, do you want to answer or can I take that one.

ARVA RICE: Oh please, take it hmm-hmm, please respond.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Many of those cases are still open and we're hoping to close them quite soon. But they are in the process of being investigated right now.

it's pretty long, so you know I think you lose some esteem with people if they're waiting that long to get responses back. I've had a case where I had a young person who had an incident and it was hard enough to get him to report at the CCRB but it's been two years and he still hasn't heard anything back and I want to say that that continues the perpetual cycle of people not feeling like their complaints are being heard.

So, if it's taking one and two years for investigations to be closed, that's very concerning and very alarming and we need to evaluate the system in which it's operating in. My next question is, why

are NYPD officers not to require to participate in virtual interviews for CCRB cases?

ARVA RICE: First of all, I wanted to acknowledge your concern Council Member Stevens in regards to the timeline for cases and thank you for that question and I'm going ask our Executive Director to respond to the virtual interview question.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Uh, the — so I think as to why the officers were not required to participate in the virtual interviews is a question that you'd have to speak to the NYPD about because we don't employ the members of service and when they are ordered to cooperate is actually by the NYPD, not by CCRB.

And so, we at the CCRB worked with the department and with the unions to come up with a method for conducting the interviews that work for CCRB but also work for the unions and the police department.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay and then my last question just is, why does the use of body cam footage increase the length of time for investigation? I would think that it would cut it down when you have the actual visuals to be there.

ARVA RICE: Hmm-hmm, thank you for that question. Jonathan.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, uh, first there's a matter of you have to review all the footage. So, sometimes when your — it takes time to review footage. It's not just you watch a video once and move on and make a conclusion. You have to watch the video again and again and stop it and stop it and our investigators are quite diligent when they are going through the footage and sometimes it needs reviewing hours of footage that actually aren't relevant to your investigation.

And so, body worn camera footage has been a huge tool for the CCRB in conducting these investigations and I think that you can see that the ability of the agency to make determinations on the merits of a case, rather than just on substantiating allegations. It is far higher when we have body worn camera footage that will let us —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JONATHAN DARCHE: Can I finish?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yes, please.

JONATHAN DARCHE: So, when we have the body worn camera footage, it doesn't necessarily make things go faster but it makes them more effective.

responses. I just want to just reiterate that I think that it's important that we are hiring up and that we're staffing up because I think that that is all connected on why it's taking investigations so long. Why body worn cameras is making it longer because you don't have adequate staff. So, I think that those things definitely should be addressed as soon as possible and we look forward to working with you because CCRB is very important to this process of public safety and making sure that everyone is feeling safe and heard. So, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. If any other Council Members have a question please use the Zoom raise hand function, otherwise we're going to turn it back to Chair Hanks to close off this section and then we'll turn to the District Attorneys. I see no other questions, go ahead Chair Hanks.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: No, I don't. Uhm, the questions I had asked. Thank you Council Member Stevens, Council Member Holden and Council Member Barron for those great questions. I would also like to thank the Interim Chair Ms. Rice, thank you very

much and the Executive Director of CCRB Jonathan, thank you very much for coming in and giving your testimony. And we look forward to hearing more and getting more in depth in some of the budgetary issues and some of the things that we've asked and I thank you very much for being here today.

ARVA RICE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. So, now we will hear from the City's District Attorney's and Special Narcotics Prosecutor. Thank you all for taking the time to appear today and providing testimony. Each district attorney's office and the Office of Special Narcotics Prosecutor will have five minutes of testimony in the following order: DA Clark, DA McMahan, DA Gonzales, DA Katz, DA Bragg and Special Narcotics Prosecutor Brennan.

After the Attorney's and the Special Narcotics

Prosecutor offer their testimonies, we will have a

round of questions. Thank you very much. The Fiscal

2023 Preliminary Budget Plan did not include major

changes for our five district attorney offices and

the Office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor.

Today's prosecutors play a greater role in our communities and the Council has long been a partner

\_

in your efforts to promote, reform, divert people from incarceration and involvement in the justice system. Expand your offices of various bureaus and develop innovative strategies to bolster public safety around the city.

While the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget increased as a result of recognizing federal and state funding, the Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary plan includes just one new need for your offices and minor adjustments in the outyears. The current administration has made public safety a priority and has placed a particular emphasis on the role of the city prosecutors, yet it is unclear how the preliminary budget plan reflects that prioritization and the Committee is interested in hearing about the resources you need going forward into next fiscal year.

In addition and a particular concern to the Committee is the marks difference between the budgets of the city's prosecutor in the preliminary budget. We look forward to hearing from all of your offices on how this may impact your communities in which you all work and how the plan will support or hinder that work.

\_

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Committee also looks forward to hearing your budget requests and working with you to advocate for all the resources you need. Thank you so much.

Josh, you can it over.

Thank you Chair. So, we'll COMMITTEE COUNSEL: now hear from the District Attorney's Offices. will hear from all five District Attorney's Offices. I will be begin with Bronx DA's Office Darcel Clark from the Richmond District Attorney's Office we have Michael McMahan. From the Queens District Attorney's Office, we have District Attorney Melinda Katz as well as Kristen Kane who is the Director of Intergovernmental Affairs and Policy. Jay Bond, who is the Deputy Director of Intergovernmental Affairs and Policy. Jennifer Naiburg who is the Chief Assistant District Attorney, Camille Chin-Kee-Fatt who is the Chief of Staff for the District Attorney in Queens, Jacqueline Duckfield who is the Administrative and Finance Director at the District Attorney's Office and Eugene Wang who is the Director of Fiscal Services and Budget.

We also have representatives from the - Alvin Bragg from the Manhattan DA's Office and on behalf of the District Attorney in Brooklyn, we have Nancy

Chief Fiscal Officer.

Hoppock who is the DA's Chief Assistant District

Attorney. Jill Harris who's the DA's Chief Policy

and Strategy or the DA Chief of Policy and Strategy.

And then followed by Malik Branch(SP?), who is the

I'm being told that we don't currently have DA

Clark on the line, so I will pass it to DA McMahan

and we will return to the Bronx at that time. So, DA

McMahan, you could begin with an opening. We'll have

each DA's Office speak and then we'll direct

questions to you after that. Thank you.

MICHAEL MCMAHAN: Sure. I just want to check my sound. Can you hear me okay Council Kingsley? It's good, okay.

Good afternoon Chairwoman Hanks, my Councilwoman.

To the members of the Council, I see some of my uh, classmates from the class of 2002. Of course they all — of course my colleague in Queens now, District Attorney Katz and Charles Barron and I saw Gale Brewer and Darlene Mealy. What I don't understand is that you all look so young and I've got this grey hair thing working now, so I guess age hasn't affected you guys as much as it has me. But it's to see you and for the other Council Members who are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here this afternoon, thank you so much to you and to your staffs for your interest in the work that we do here in the District Attorney's Offices across the city and for us in particular here in Staten Island.

You know with New York City slowly but surely recovering from the ongoing public health crisis brought on more than two years of COVID-19, I remain cautiously optimistic, like I'm sure so many of you that we are finally beginning to see the light at the end of an incredibly long tunnel. And clearly, COVID has had a dramatic impact on how we deliver justice in the DA's offices to the people in the City of New York. And as our city is bouncing back; New Yorkers are out every day enjoying all the five boroughs have to offer, tourists are returning to take advantage of the greatest city in the world and across the greatest ferry in the world. The Staten Island Ferry and here on Staten Island, where I proudly serve as DA, I am extremely proud of all the work we have done in my office, working with our partners in the NYPD and across many other city agencies and members of our community, to get our borough through these very challenging times.

And it's because of this commitment that I know we can rise to meet any adversity if we all work together. I also want to give a special shoutout to the men and women of my staff here at RCDA who have gone to great lengths to make sure that we kept the lights on if you will and we kept the essential workings of the criminal justice system in place so that people could get as much justice as possible during COVID.

And as we continue to navigate these new public health challenges, many New Yorkers are also now seriously concerned about the growing threat we have seen to public safety in our city. A series of troubling and outrageous crimes in different parts of our city have resulted in both tragic loss of life and victims who will carry the mental and physical scars from their harrowing experiences with them for the rest of their lives. And of course when I say victims, I include the family members of the victims as well.

And rightfully so, New Yorkers throughout the five boroughs have had to grapple with the fear and uncertainty these incidents have brought to their communities, their blocks, and even their commutes to

4

5

7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

17

16

18

1920

21

22

24

23

25

work. Recognizing early on the seriousness these threats posed to public safety, my office developed a plan to address gun violence and other serious crimes through both targeted precision prosecution, which allowed us to hold the serious offenders accountable, as well as intense community outreach to help solve the root causes of violent crime.

I am proud to say that last year most major crime categories were down on Staten Island, including murder, rape, robbery, felony assault, and burglary. The number of shooting incidents were also down by nearly ten percent on Staten Island in 2021. While the City as a whole saw an increase of two percent. This is a steep decline from 2020, however, when shooting incidents and victims were up more than 97 and 103 percent respectively from the previous year, we still have much to be concerned about. I can confidently say we have made tremendous progress as a city in addressing qun violence and we still remain seriously concerned by the incidents of gun violence we are seeing on Staten Island and elsewhere, especially among teenagers and young people, who can often be difficult to hold accountable due to their age and criminal reform laws which prevent DAs'

offices from prosecuting many of these cases. Not only in terms of holding them accountable but also in terms of being able to connect them to positive services that may help break the cycle of violence they find themselves in.

We are doing all we can to address gun violence among young people, including increased community outreach and focused youth-oriented programing, but the fact remains that in 2021, 20 percent of people who were arrested with gun possession on Staten Island were between the age of 14 and 17, and over 30 percent of shooting victims were under the age of 20.

I just want to repeat that statistic if I may.

Time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS:

MICHAEL MCMAHAN: 20 percent of people who were arrested with gun possession on Staten Island were between the age of 14 and 17, and over 30 percent of shooting victims were under the age of 20. In short, we must do something together to keep our children safe. As my office continues to work tirelessly to combat these challenges and many other drivers of crime, including substance abuse, mental illness, and domestic violence, and disparities in the criminal justice system, our success depends on us working

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

together to make our city as safe as possible.

Despite the ongoing public health and public safety

challenges that continue to face our borough, city,

state, and nation, I am proud to say that my office,

RCDA, has adapted and persevered. We have remained

vigilant and consistent in our dedication to the rule

9 overall improvement of public safety and the equal

of law, the protection of victims of crime, and the

distribution of justice and with that said, I want to

distribution to all people on Staten Island. A

12 focus just a minute or two on detailing our greatest

challenges as well as our priority budget needs for

14 | Fiscal Year 2023.

We're working with Staten Island Communities to
End Gun Violence Neighborhood and what we've
developed is a series of neighborhood action plans,
focusing on the neighborhoods that are suffering from
the biggest, the largest increase in gun violence for
the COVID years and trying to drill down into
conditions into those communities to see how we can
bring services and support to those victims and also
those who are engaging in neighborhood gun violence.

And part of this plan has resulted in gun buy backs to prevent fire arms from falling into the

wrong hands, graffiti removal and vacant lot reclamation to create a spirit of proprietorship in the neighborhoods, developing youth oriented programs and educational programs. And together, we are already seeing a greater connection between law enforcement, the community and members of the community at large.

I just want to touch briefly if I can on the opioid epidemic which you've seen was certainly exacerbated and overshadowed by COVID-19. While overdose deaths were beginning to show decreasing trends in 2019, the coronavirus pandemic very much overshadowed and exacerbated the substance use and not only on Staten Island but throughout the rest of our city and on our country as well.

According to CDC, more than 100,000 Americans tragically died of overdoses in the 12-month period ending in April 2021. This increase of almost 30 percent from the year prior confirms our worst fears, but now that the alarm has been sounded, we must deploy an all-hands on deck response to battle this raging five-alarm fire. We know that the pandemic caused many people suffering from addiction illness to lose access to treatment services. This combined

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with rising mental health challenges and the widespread availability of fentanyl-laced drugs, has caused a perfect storm, which we are seeing ripple across Staten Island and I'm sure you all seen in your own districts as well.

RCDA remains committed to working with our partners in law enforcement and those across the health community to raise awareness and offer more treatment and diversion options for those in need on Staten Island. Just one use of these toxic substances can be deadly. As we saw recently with the cadet or cadets from West Point who were down in Florida, what happened to them. And we encourage anyone suffering from addiction illness to seek help through our HOPE team or one of the many other community-based resources available on Staten Island. But just to put in context, the death rate from opioid overdoses on Staten Island went, 2016 it was 119 people. 2017 went down to 100, 2018 leveled off at 114, 2019, 105 and then 2020 it shot up to 132. That's a dramatic increase of over 20 percent since 2016 and that's something that needs to be addressed.

In 2021 the numbers we've seen are as alarming as well, 250 overdoses with 107 deaths and 142 saves

with Narcan but we expect those numbers to go up by 20 percent when the final numbers come in from DOHMH.

Fentanyl and fentanyl analogues were present in over 70 percent of the overdose fatalities in 2020 on Staten Island. My colleagues, we have to do more to deal with this crisis in our city.

I just want to take a minute now and I know that we'll partner to do that but I want to take a minute just to talk about our budget needs and I'll go through them very quickly because I know my time is expiring.

These show ADA Salary Parity and I know that all of my colleagues, fellow DA's and the Special Narcotics Prosecutor will take about this as well. Basically we've seen a dramatic increase in attrition in our staffs because the young ADA's have received greater work loads with the new discovery laws, as well as seeing others in the legal profession coming out of law school making \$215,000, I read today in the Law Journal at the big law firms and we are losing people to other city and government agencies as well.

And I think you know those who know this system even longer than I or know the workforce even longer

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also want to point out that we raised this with the prior administration and the prior Council.

than I will tell you that recruitment and retention of staff has reached a crisis level. Public service lawyers are generally underpaid, we know that but for some reason historically, ADA's are at the bottom of the rump.

I said that the top law firms are paying \$215,000 now. A young ADA coming to our office receives \$73,000 to start and once they're admitted to the bar \$76,000. And when you take the cost of living in the city, cost of student loans, the fact that they have to live in the city, means that they have an incredible burden to shoulder. Our recruitment has become much more difficult and retention has been more difficult as well. Our attrition rate for ADA's in the last period alone was over 20 percent and the vast majority have left. Have gone not only to private law but also to the courts for instance, where they immediately see an increase in pay of 20 percent and quite honestly, not to be disparaging, a much more manageable workload and therefore a much more meanable lifestyle. And so, we are urging the Council to address this issue.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Quite frankly, we got a bunch of lip service, went through a lot of exercises with them to give them our 3 pay scales, our histories, our facts and figures and we ended up on a dead end. And so, we are urging 5 this Council to take this issue up immediately 6 7 because we are not going to be able to do the job that we are required to do and staff our court parts 8 and provide for victims and do the diversion and the 9 alternatives to incarceration, unless we have the 10 staff to do it. 11

I also just want to mention briefly, our Community Justice Center. I hope my City Council woman smiles when I say that. We have a request for \$250,000, which is the next step in doing the study, so that Staten Island finally gets a Community Justice Center like the other boroughs have. we've never had and that's something that we will make very good use of here.

And then we also have some sort of not so exciting needs in terms of IT requests and for our networking system for our storage. And last point I'd like to make is that we believe that the Criminal Justice System, amongst other systems could do more to deal with the mental health crisis in our city.

But in order to do that, as we do with narcotics cases in Staten Island, we do an immediate assessment and if someone suffers from addiction illness, we quickly divert them out of the Criminal Justice System and connect them to services.

With mental health, it's harder to do that
because it's not so readily apparent when someone is
arrested what the underlying history and factors are.
And so, we would like to see an assessment done
either in the precinct at arrest or at arraignment in
the courts if it can't be arranged otherwise.

And so, we're asking for a \$500,000 allotment, so that we can undertake a pilot here in Staten Island to do that like we did with our HOPE program, which the other DA's are now doing. We think we can start something in Staten Island that would get a lot of the misdemeanor recidivists who have a lot of underlying mental health problems. Early assessment, connection to services, get them out of the Criminal Justice System, prevent harms to community, prevent harm to those individuals and so, we ask your support for that.

And then lastly on Staten Island, we only have one Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner. That

individual is located in one of our private hospitals because we don't have any. GHC Hospital in Staten Island, we need \$85,000 to keep that. If we don't, that means sexual assault victims on Staten Island will not have access to a forensic examiner and last year, the Women's Caucus of the City Council provided us funding, led by Council Member Rosenthal and we request that again.

Again, I thank you for your attention, allowing me to go a little bit over time. I hope that's not a home court advantage that you extended to me Chairwoman Hanks and I'm sure I will be glad, together with my colleagues once they testify to answer any of your questions. Again, I thank you for your service to our city and of course to the people of Staten Island who benefit from that wealth. Everyone at the Council, the members and the staff as well, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Uhm, we'll now turn to District Attorney Clark. Before we do so, I was remiss to mention the Special Narcotics

Prosecutor is also joining us as well. So, she will follow that. So, DA Clark, you may go ahead.

\_

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DARCEL CLARK: Good afternoon Chair Hanks and
Members of the Public Safety Committee. Good
afternoon to Chair Brannan and the Members of the
Finance Committee. We are very proud in the Bronx of
what we have accomplished in our community during
this past year.

With your help and the help of our criminal justice partners, we will continue to improve the services that we provide. Our goal is to address the most pressing public safety concerns while taking a more person-focused, holistic, and empathetic approach to prosecution. We want justice and accountability with a real pathway to success and not excessive punishments. We want to improve our ability to be transparent and responsive to our community.

Under my vision, public safety and fairness are in perfect harmony. Accordingly, we are requesting the funding for a total of 21 additional positions, and \$3.8 million in Fiscal Year 2023. Our main priority includes new funding for salary parity as well as various IT and data analytics upgrades in order to comply with legal mandates.

\_

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ended with omicron variant. In between, the Bronx

Last year, we began with the COVID-19 surge and

DA's offices tackled a considerable caseload and

managed the enormous discovery requirements, all

against the backdrop of shootings and other violent

crimes.

In 2021, there were 148 homicides, that's 148 lives taken as compared to 111 in 2020. The Bronx has suffered 23 homicides so far this year and there were 602 people shot in the Bronx last year, up from So, far this year, 82 people have been shot. With every bullet fired, the fabric of safety in the community unravels thread by thread. A particularly shocking case involved a 13-year-old boy, who participated in the shooting of a teenager and only days later he was shot and killed. We're seeing more 16 and 17-year old's arrested with loaded guns and involved in shootings. And at the very start of 2021, the world saw the Bronx bleeding in a viral video of a brother and sister caught in the hail of gun fire. They were going to a store to buy candy in the afternoon and when the alleged gang member fired

a dozen shots at a man, wounding him three times.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The brave 13-year-old girl, shielded her five-year-old brother with her body.

They and other innocent bystanders who have witnessed gun fire, as well victims and their families suffer lifelong trauma. My staff works tirelessly to solve and prosecute these crimes.

Last summer I implemented a violence reduction plan called P.E.A.C.E., Precision Enforcement And Community Engagement. My homicide Violent Criminal Enterprise, Organized Criminal Activity, and the Crime Strategies Bureaus use data to pinpoint focus on the trigger pullers who drive violent crime. addition ADA's respond to nonfatal shootings in hotspots and investigate them from the inception, just as they would in a homicide. We continue to pursue evidence-based prosecution and cases where the victims is uncooperative. Many of these shootings are retaliatory. Advocates from my Crime Victims Assistant Unit reach out to victims soon after their violent encounters to assess if they need services, regardless of whether the case moves forward. violence is a blight on the Bronx, that highlights a need for resources. The rise in felony cases coupled with the stringent discover requirements result in a

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

work load that is leading to a market increase in attrition and as a result, retention is a top priority for the office.

In Fiscal Year 2021, 96 attorneys and 51 staff left the office. Unfortunately, at the end of February 2022, we have already lost 104 attorney's and 90 professional staff. We raised the legal salaries in Fiscal Year 2022 to match other city agencies and they closely match the cooperation council's one to five year attorney salary structure. My office then targeted attorney's who have reached critical seniority levels from six year to 30 years with yearly and biyearly increase. And we shared this plan with the other city district attorney's offices. We believe this new salary structure will encourage our lawyers to stay and continue to build a career in our office. Going forward, the Bronx will require additional funding to maintain these salary levels, especially if we are successful in retaining more senior staff.

My office is also reviewing the professional staff salaries in an effort to make changes where we can but it's difficult to retain our talent in this area when 45 percent of our professional staff's

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 annual salaries are \$45,000 a year. That is woefully 3 low.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

DARCEL CLARK: Our professional staff are crucial to the work that we do every day. They are the unsung heroes and we cannot pursue justice with integrity without them.

And a prime example is the Grand jury Stenographers. They stepped up when the grand juries returned and backlogged cases need to be presented. This of course was under the shadow of COVID restrictions and protocol. About two-thirds of them are capped at or have reached their maximum salary and there is no other option for them now. problem that we face is that the state courts will be hiring new court reporters in April and we will lose much of our staff to the courts because based on their experience, they will make approximately \$12,000 more on their first day on the job. We should not lose staff that we have trained and developed to another city or state agency because we cannot offer competitive salary. It's time we paid our lawyers and our criminal justice professionals a competitive wage. Former staff has cited the

2.

responsibilities of discovery, managing the backlog of case and increase night and weekend shifts among the reasons why they leave.

People are in tears when they leave because they love the work they do for the Bronx community but now their job is overwhelming. Here's a perfect example of the discovery requirements in a recent assault case. A man entered a store and assaulted the owner, a total of 28 police officers responded to the scene. Eight officers entered the store, eight stayed outside on the scene. The other 12 were sent back on patrol. That means 20 of these officers didn't enter the store, didn't talk to the store owner or the suspect. Nor were they involved in the investigation or the arrest.

Yet, we are required to obtain the all 28 officers Body Worn Camera footage and memo books. We also must review, redact, and share the footage with the defense within 20 days because the defendant is incarcerated. The inability to meet these expansive requirements has caused delays and even dismissals. We need a tool to enable us to create sharing capabilities between law enforcement partners, provide seamless review and redactions of written and

\_

digital evidence, and allow us to efficiently transfer of discovery to defense and the court. Our Office has found a cloud-based solution that gathers necessary documents, digital evidence and police body worn camera footage in a single interface. It is our hope that the Mayor's Office and all the District Attorney's Office will engage in a citywide technology solution.

I have come here every year to ask for funding to improve our case management and our data management. I ask again for funding to improve our data analytics gathering capability, so that our office can provide critical data on caseloads, dispositions, and alternatives to incarceration in order to increase transparency and to comply with Local Law 161.

Although the capital funding had been earmarked, we need your help now to fast track the approval process so we can get the help we need.

I'm also requesting funding for more mobile phones for our staff. Our main concern is the possibility of security breaches and mixing both personal and work related information on personal mobile devices. Although we implemented the use of

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Google phone numbers and secondary phonelines, we believe the best practice is to assign office phones.

With this all said, my focus still is the rise in gun violence, crime victims, prosecuting those who endanger the community, prevention and identifying opportunities for alternatives to incarceration. Right now we have 1,270 pending cases where gun possession and other related crimes are charged. 1,100 of those are indicted. Another case in December, we indicted a young man for trafficking 73 quns into the Bronx from the South where he was going to school and he sold those guns to an undercover officer here in the Bronx. I've been lobbying the state legislature to adjust the raise the age law. It requires a defendant to display a firearm, not nearly posses it in order to retain the case in the youth part.

And then as a result, we are seeing 16 and 17-year-olds with multiple gun cases in family court where they are released without proper supervision. Some graduate to fatal shootings or they are killed by another youth themselves with a gun.

On the other front, to hold people who are not trigger pullers accountable for gun possession, our

office partnered with the Oswald Association last fall to launch a pilot program called Bronx Osborne Gun Accountability and Prevention Program. It focuses on individuals arrested gun possession who don't have prior felony convictions and fit the criteria for having to getting a second chance. These 25 participants are in a comprehensive program where they get counseling, mental health services, substance use disorder, addressing unemployment and education needs. As well as it's designed to prevent them from ever picking up a gun again.

We continue to do our community outreach meeting with youth and cure violence groups. With the increase in crime victims, I'm asking for funding for 13 more advocates, therapists and specialists for my Crime Victims Assistance Unit.

Last year, the Mayor's Office granted us \$1.7 million to address sex abuses on Rikers Island. In 2016, I placed a bureau, an office on Rikers Island. So, now to further enhance our investigations and combat sexual offenses against inmates or staff there, I created the Rikers Island Sex Crimes Prosecution Initiative.

The ADA directing initiative will ensure that all victims of sexual offenses experience a trauma-informed approach from our staff. The Director will work with the Bronx DA Special Victims Division and Investigations Division along with Department of Correction to ensure prompt and thorough investigations.

We are at a pivotal moment. You can help us protect the people of the Bronx from violent crime by providing the funding for the technology to ease our discovery burdens, which will help us to retain dedicated experienced staff. We need funding for salary parity, for legal and professional staff and to attract new hires. You will find all the details in my written submission. We will continue to address gun violence with a full spectrum of resources at our disposal.

We must and I have to say this again, we must save a generation of boys and young men, predominantly of color from death and prison. And we have to save the Bronx community from the untold damage brought by every shooting. This is my plea to you. This is my hope for the Bronx. Thank you so much for your time and your consideration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much DA Clark. We'll now move onto DA Katz followed by DA Brannan followed by DA Bragg. Brennan, apologies. DA Katz go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MELINDA KATZ: Thank you. Thank you very much Counsel, thank you very much to Chief Hanks and to all my former colleagues as Councilman McMahan said hello to Councilman Barron and I see some of my old colleagues, Councilwoman Brewer. Thank you for this hearing and thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today regarding my offices Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary Budget.

My goal is keeping the residents of Queens County safe while creating a more fair and equitable criminal justice system. As some of my colleagues said today, we can have both and since day one, just over two years ago, we are implementing new policies here in Queens County and initiatives that work to achieve both safety and fairness.

2021 brought an increase in crime in Queens in several serious key areas. We had an increase in murders, sex crimes, grand larcenies, hate crime incidents and shootings. In fact, the increase in

homicides year to date is 50 percent alone. We had a 66 percent increase in processing of cases involving criminal possession of a weapon and second and third degrees. The meeting age of those defendants are 26 and over. 43 percent of them are between 18 and 25. We processed nearly 32,000 arrests and conducted nearly 28,000 arraignments. And that breakout is approximately 23 percent felony cases and 75 percent

misdemeanors and less than two percent violations.

All of this while maintaining the second lowest complaint sworn and arrest to arraignment times in the city. Although it was a tough year, I'd like to highlight some of what we were able to accomplish with the funding that we received last year and we thank you for that. First and foremost, we are actively addressing the ongoing gun violence epidemic from several angles, because you can't prosecute your way out of the gun violence. Initially, we restructured the office and we created the Violent Criminal Enterprises Bureau, that works to dismantle criminal organizations, prosecute members of street gangs, firearms dealers and other drivers of crime and violence.

\_\_\_

O 4

In 2021, VCEB developed several significant cases, including one case charging warring gang members within Queens' Bridges public housing with murder and possession of illegal firearms. For 60 days immediately following those arrests, the NYPD did not record a single incident of gun shots fired in that area.

In 2021, we created a Crime Strategies and
Intelligence Unit, and it's the first year this unit
aided in dismantling and charging those gun
manufacturers and traffickers. We recovered ghost
guns in connections with other arrests including in
domestic violence arrests, in shootings, in
robberies, car stops. The prevalence of those guns;
those guns by the way, in case someone's not familiar
with it, those guns that you can build in your
basement and in the apartment next door and in any
house throughout the country has grown exponentially
by 300 percent from 2020.

Just this month, we have added, we have two additional large scale ghost gun takedowns, including the largest ever seizure of illegal ghost gun kits in New York State. They were coming from down South and we arrested them here in Queens County. Under the

court's Gun Violence Fast Track Initiative, we were able to reduce our unindicted gun possession case backlog by over 50 percent. And because like I said, we can't prosecute our way out of violence.

We're focusing on a rehabilitation and programing to end the cycle of crime and the cycle of violence.

Our Community Partnerships Division focused on at-risk youth connected to our communities and they attended over 1,000 public events. And since I took office, our gun buybacks have taken 325 operable guns off the streets of Queens County. In addition, I also hired an Immigration Lawyer whose sole job is immigration and whose responsibility is to figure out unintended consequences for defendants and how it affects our witnesses and our victims and reviews previous convictions that have deportation consequences. He is full-time on staff right now.

FY 2022 funding also helps to support our

Conviction Integrity Unit. So far, the Conviction

Integrity Unit has received 162 cases for review,

seven cases have been dismissed based on innocence or

other newly discovered evidence. Four additional

cases were reversed based on unlawful systematic

discrimination in jury selection. And in addition,

60 cases were dismissed. They were based on police work of three former NYPD Detectives, later convicted of various crimes.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MELINDA KATZ: FY 2022 funding also helps to address the growing number of hate crimes we are seeing. And just for funding quickly, in 2021, my first year in office, we didn't receive any new needs funding. Last year, we are grateful for the money that we did receive. We are making a request to ensure that we are able to continue to make the progress that we are making.

It's also important to point out that several new programs have been implemented. Alternatives to incarceration in diversion programs, expanded community partnership division programs, focuses on vehicular crime prosecutions. We added a Cyber crime unit and an initiative to address the opioid crisis and narcotics trafficking, which we find fentanyl, like has been said by my colleagues is a huge factor in that.

All these initiatives require adequate staffing.

The Queens ADA's currently manage the highest

caseloads of the city. We have the lowest amount of

funding per arrest and the lowest amount of funding per resident based on population. Discovery reform

4 has been said by my colleagues, it is causing

5 overwhelming numbers to our ADA's while crime is

6 rising.

So, I thank you today for having us. We try to effectively address the emergent crimes areas. We have innovative programs and I thank the Council for all their past partnership and we look forward to working with the new Public Safety Committee and we look forward to working with you Chair Hanks and thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much DA Katz.

MELINDA KATZ: Thank you. We'll go to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor Brennan followed by DA Bragg and then we'll follow that with representative from the Kings District Attorney. Go ahead Special Narcotics Prosecutor.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Thank you very much and good afternoon. I believe Speaker Adams is still on the call, Chair Hanks, Public Safety Committee Members and other Council Members whom I've worked with through the years. I appreciate the strong support

our office has always received from the Council and particularly from the Public Safety Committee. This Committee's work is absolutely vital to making New York safer for all residents and visitors and to ensuring that our justice system is fair equitable for all. I encourage you to review our written testimony which is much more comprehensive than I will be able to deliver orally and the description of our investigations throughout the city including in many neighborhoods represented by the people on this Public Safety Committee.

This hearing reflects what decades of experience have taught us. That we can all best serve New York when we work together. The Office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor was established on that principle 50 years ago. We collaborate with the city's elected District Attorneys, the NYPD, federal state and local agencies to investigate and prosecute felony narcotics cases across all boroughs. Our jurisdiction allows us to prosecute crimes involving fentanyl, heroin, cocaine, addictive pills, and related prosecutions involving guns and violence.

And as we are all too well aware, drugs and guns go hand and hand.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We work under the direction and the support of the city's elected Districts Attorney's in everything we do regardless of the borough we work in, reflects their collaboration and without it, the hundreds of pounds of fentanyl and dozens of guns seized in our cases last year, would likely have reached the The District Attorneys appoint the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, assign Assistant District Attorneys to serve in our office. They set the salaries for the Assistant District Attorney's, oversee wiretap investigations, and provide quidance and directions. They deserve recognition for every successful Special Narcotics case and for their wisdom and commitment.

New York City has a misfortune of being a national hub for narcotics smuggled across the southwest border or shipped from foreign countries. Those drugs are destined for sale, not only in New York City but for distribution throughout the northeast. And because illegal drugs flow across jurisdictional boundaries, SNP was created to make sure that is no impediment to prosecution. And to bring focus and expertise to investigation of the highest level, well-funded narcotics distributors.

25

2 The office mission is to save lives through 3 targeted prosecutions aimed at cutting off the supply of lethal narcotics, preventing drug-related 4 5 violence, and providing support and treatment to substance users and other individuals as appropriate. 6 7 Because of the highly specialized nature of SNP, we have been able to pivot quickly and respond in each 8 new wave of the deadly opioid epidemic. Tragically, 9 this crisis was exacerbated as DA McMahan so 10 articulately explained by the COVID-19 pandemic. 11 12 During this period according to the CDC, overdose 13 deaths claimed more life in New York City than ever before. More than 2,500 people fatally overdosed 14 15 between September of 2020 and September of 2021 in 16 this city. Some of the same communities hardest hit 17 by the opioid epidemic, have also suffered the brunt 18 of the pandemic and escalated violence. Black New 19 Yorkers are disproportionately impacted, as are high 20 poverty neighborhoods in the Bronx, Upper Manhattan and Brooklyn. We have limited resources of course 21 22 and to help SNP appropriately focus and use effective 23 strategies, we use analytics identifying areas in the

city where narcotics trafficking, overdose deaths,

and gun crimes converge. You can see an example of

\_\_\_

this in our written testimony of the 8th Avenue corridor in Midtown in Council Member Bottcher's District

As we look at overdose deaths and the flood of drugs coming into the city, it becomes clear that this opioid crisis originally caused by addictive pills exacerbated by heroin, has become a fentanyl crisis. Fifty times more potent than heroin, fentanyl is saturating the drug market. On the Black Market, it's mixed with heroin, cocaine, other synthetic drugs pressed into counterfeit pills and sold to customers who often have no idea what they are buying. All this is why the overdose death rate has so escalated.

The explosion of illicit fentanyl arriving in bulk from Mexico, is fueling a multi-billion dollar international industry and it demands the kind of laser-focused response that we can offer along with our partners in federal taskforces.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: We have recovered more than a ton of narcotics and by far the greatest portion was fentanyl. Our 2021 investigations led to the seizure of more than 850 pounds of fentanyl, both pure and in

/

mixtures with other drugs. This is a significant increase over prior years and it closely corresponds to the Medical Examiners finding that a staggering 77 percent of overdose deaths in New York City are linked to fentanyl. We are losing far too many precious lives to this terrible drug.

To have maximum impact, we dismantle large scale drug packaging mills that pump out millions of dollars' worth of heroin and fentanyl in tiny ready for sale packets. Many are located in the Bronx. The borough be sieged by the city's highest rates of overdose death. We work closely with Bronx DA on these cases, as we work closely with every DA on the cases in their borough.

These packaging mills do not serve New York City alone. Because of the many major thoroughfares that cross through the Bronx, it has become a convenient location for drug traffickers sending narcotics to city's across the northeast. These mills are found in other boroughs as well. As you will see in the written testimony, it includes some mills that have been found in Council Member Stevens, Holden's and Ariola's Districts. And as violent crimes surges, just like the District Attorney's, we have seen a

dramatic increase in our seizures of semiautomatic weapons and other firearms.

While high-level drug traffickers in New York
City generally seek to avoid the violent tactics of
street gangs, they are hyper-vigilant when it comes
to protecting the valuable loads of narcotics.
Recently in contrast with years past, guns have been
found routinely at packaging mills, drug stash
locations, and in vehicles transporting drug
shipments. With that background, I will now turn to
Fiscal considerations because so many members of the
Public Safety Committee are new, I thought it was
important to familiarize you with Special Narcotics,
because we are unique. We are the only office of our
kind in the country.

For Fiscal 2023, the city's Preliminary Plan has no funding changes for us. We also expect that our grant funds will remain the same level as in the current Fiscal Year. And that's fine for now but as you heard from the DA's, we all will have needs for increased salaries.

Increased salaries for the DA's staff, Special Narcotics will be directly affected by what is done in the other offices. So, at this point, I can't

submit a specific request until I see how the

salaries will be increasing in the DA's offices. I

will need commentate increases to the DA's. We will

also need the same type of technical support for

discovery production. And again, we are awaiting our

the estimate we're going to be getting from a

potential provider and we will have to submit those needs requests at a later date.

We have one anticipated need or one anticipated need that is unique to us and it does reflect the shift from the focus on incarceration as the only way to handle our criminal cases to looking at outcomes that will result in better long-term consequences for our defendants and for our city.

As you will see when you look at our testimony, it's reflected in chart on page ten. The number of individuals that our office sent to prison in 2021 was 113. That compares to well over 2,000 a couple of decades ago. Just like all the other offices, our office has been taking a very different approach. We are involved in strategic targeting, looking at those who are bringing the greatest amount of drugs in.

Where our resources can be used most effectively and to the best benefit of the city.

2.

But that means that the type of defendants we are arresting are often far different from those whom we arrested in the past and whom we developed programs for in the past. Most of those program were focused on people who had substance use issues. Now, we see far fewer people who have those issues but we haven't had the programs to work with those who have different issues. But my benefit from outcomes that do not involve incarceration.

Starting in 2020, we initiated the alternative to Incarceration Court program and we did this with the partnership at the Manhattan DA's office and the courts in Manhattan. Because we are trying to access an array of services and array of programs that was not what we had offered in the past because we simply didn't have the defendants who had those same kinds of needs.

This program offers an array of services including substance abuse treatment, mental health services, education and vocational training and other social services to the individuals who may not have been eligible for a preexisting program. We are currently self-funding that program. We did request in the past \$276,000 for ATI court and we are hoping

2.

that the participation we have seen will expand and the Council can be expecting to hear from me that we will be looking for more funds for this program.

As the arrests have contracted, we have seen an expansion. We're very excited about this program and I will be coming back to Council to ask for more funds for this program. But in closing, let me say that the public challenges, the public safety challenges that we face together are daunting. But I have great confidence in this city's new leadership and in New Yorkers ability to rise above difficulties.

Despite all the differences we see across the city, in perspective, an approach, most of us share this same public safety goals. We want to reduce violence, take guns off the street and reduce the record high number of overdose deaths. We've seen time and time again that when we New Yorkers work together, we succeed. I am looking forward to working together with you and I am ready and willing and able to take any call, so please feel free to reach out to me at any time. Thank you very much for your attention. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Next, we'll move into DA Bragg followed by Nancy Hoppock who will be testifying on behalf of Brooklyn DA. So, DA Bragg, you may begin.

ALVIN BRAGG: Thank you so much. Good afternoon Chair Hanks and good afternoon to members of the Committee on Public Safety. Thank you for the opportunity to present on our Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary Budget Plan. Thank you also for your past support and I want to thank my colleagues for their collaboration and joint effort.

You have my written testimony and I know you've been on for awhile since the morning, so I will give some highlights. I wanted to start with a couple of new initiatives that we've started during the first couple and a half months that I've been in the office and then move to a few new needs. And again, we'll vest on the written submission.

I wanted to start as I always do with gun violence because I believe that's our most urgent need in Manhattan. The data show and this is nationwide but particularly also so in Manhattan, the tremendous amount of harm that is being done, the serious violent acts are being driven by a really

small number of people and that's where my office is focusing a long with our law enforcement partners.

In Manhattan last year, shooting incidents rose about 26 percent. And so, that's why we're laser focused on this issue. Shortly after I took office, I appointed an Executive Assistant Attorney for Gun Violence Prevention. A Senior Executive in my office to coordinate the many functions that we're doing on gun violence. So, looking at our cases, looking at gun tracing and tracking, also helping us coordinate our community intervention to cure violence and we're also looking at gun buybacks having had one in Washington Heights and having had others planned for other neighborhoods in Manhattan.

So taking a coordinated approach so that we can focus as I said, on the relatively small number of people who are driving the violence. Focus our scarce resources there and I believe that will impact as we move forward. So, that new initiative underway already begun to see significant measures on a gun buyback a couple of weeks ago. 50 guns taken off the streets. Our Ghost Gun initiative, we had a very significant matter closed a few weeks ago. A very significant ghost gun prosecution. And so,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coordinating all of this work and really leveraging all of the resources of not just our office but our local state federal partners and importantly also our community-based partners as well and I believe that we will see those numbers come down and that's a top priority for me in my office. Another number that is unfortunately on the rise is retail theft. From 2020 to 2021, there was a 35 percent increase in Manhattan. Again, we see those numbers being driven by a relatively small group. The data bears that out. In order to respond to this, I formed a Small Business Alliance, partnering both with the NYPD and importantly also, with service providers and we started to dig into the data which cooperates our initial hypothesis, which is that yes, this is being driven by a small number of people and focusing their resources.

So, that includes looking at fencing operations.

People taking goods and then reselling them and try
to disrupt those systems, we think will be very
impactful. I also stood up a brand-new division
packed to public safety. A very significant
restructuring of the Manhattan DA's office. We will
be investing our resources and restructuring the

offices around diversion and an early stage in cases. We know the efficacy has increased and we're able to provide services very early on.

So, we're in the process of doing that and the data's beginning to — based on the data that's showing the efficacy of that and we're encouraged by the conversations we're having again with the NYPD, with important service providers in Manhattan and most importantly, with the community.

So, as I said, all of this is detailed in greater specificity in our written submission. So, I'll now turn to our new needs which are significant in the moment we're in. I want to start with an issue that work I did previously at the New York State Attorney General's Office and really, I think it's fully consistent with leadership that the Council's shown in the issue of housing insecurity. We know we're at a time with great economic hardship with the addiction moratorium recently expired, I'm seeking to create a housing and real estate fraud unit in the Manhattan District Attorney's Office. It builds on work that previously tenant harassment cases. It's the type of work that we do, we'll go to underlying

issues. We'll stabilize neighborhoods and move to long-term public safety.

So, we're asking the Council to help us get that initiative off the ground. I think it's profoundly important work.

Another initiative that we are rebooting, is our hate crimes unit. Hate crimes in Manhattan have increased.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALVIN BRAGG: 160 percent last year. We have since our Hate Crime unit was created in 2010, we have more active cases than we have had any other.

We have great leadership in the unit but we need more resources. We need more investigators. We in particular want to deepen our language competencies and we need more lawyers. This new need is also laid out fully in our written submission and I think it's profoundly important at this moment as we see those numbers, not just in Manhattan but around the city and indeed the country.

Another new need, another new initiative that we're looking to launch is our post-conviction justice unit. We're in the process of building a new unit with new leadership and we do not have the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resources for this unit compared to locally and nationally and we are significantly under resourced and there's really no more important work than the post-conviction justice. It's work that it's bedrock principles of our system. Much with hate crimes, the request is similar. We need lawyers. We need investigators and again, this is outlined completely in our written submission.

I will in the interest of time, kind of just hit upon a few other issues that my colleagues have already noted, that as I said, are fully set forth in the written submission. Discovery resources, we've seen our content that we take in increase 900 percent. Three two-terabytes of storage in 2020, now 320 terabytes and so, we need resources to help process that. I think two of my other colleagues already talked about pay parity. The starting salary in Manhattan is \$72,000. That is lower than the New York City Council is certainly having an effect on attrition and morale. And two other initiatives again, which I'll just mention and they are more fully set forth in our written submission, is expansion of our mental health court. It has a contractual cap there of 50, capacity of 50 matters

at once and giving the pressing mental health needs in our city and in Manhattan, we want help expanding that. We're looking to increase that significantly to 200.

And then lastly and I'll kind of start in where I started with protecting tenants. We want to expand our work for workers. It's the kind of work that ends with the tenants. If we're doing wage an hour, worker safety work, that kind of long-term commitment and enforcement in that area is going to stabilize communities and lead to long-term public safety.

So, thank you so much Chair and thank you much to the Committee for your support in the past. I look forward to working to ensure safety and fairness for Manhattan in the years to come. Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you DA Bragg. We'll now turn to Nancy Hoppock from the Brooklyn DA.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

NANCY HOPPOCK: Thank you Chair Hanks and members of the Public Safety Committee. My name is Nancy Hoppock and serve as Eric Gonzales's Chief Assistant. I oversee our legal practice and the 505 ADA's who serve our office in the Borough of Brooklyn. It's an

honor to appear before you. You have the DA's full written remarks, I'm going to try to highlight some of the important areas. Before I jump into it, the DA really wanted me to open with two points. One, is that he deeply regrets that he can't be here with you to discuss these important needs. He had a family commitment that he could not miss during these hours, so I humbly appear before you and I am grateful for the opportunity.

Secondly, he wanted to stress that he remains deeply committed to the reforms of our criminal justice system. Reforms that will address the inequities that particularly were laid so bear during the pandemic and he knows that we can do this and enhance the fundamental fairness of our system while we achieve greater public safety.

These are not choices. Those would be false choices. We can achieve all of them and we believe we are doing it here in Brooklyn and we also believe that our requests match the DA's vision and our mission.

So, I'll turn right to it. I won't spend any time on the crisis that is attrition in all of our offices because the other DA's have covered it. We

have a higher rate of attrition in our office than — since we've been keeping track of attrition. We are attriting lawyers at 107 percent. It is a crisis. I would say that — we would say that the two most severe crisis's we face internally in our office are attrition. It is difficult for the ADA's who remain. They bear heavier caseloads. It's difficult for our victims to adapt to new prosecutors. The other is discovery compliance. So, we join in the request of other offices to achieve pay parity in our office.

So, turning to gun violence. DA Gonzales is very aware and through his justice 2020 initiative, he's made it crystal clear that he knows that we cannot arrest and prosecute our way to public safety. We focus on the drivers of crime in Brooklyn. The small percentage of people who are shooting or who are shooters, we use traditional methods, take downs, prosecutions to address the drivers of crime and we think we're successful in Brooklyn.

Last year, it was only Brooklyn and Staten Island that were down. In Brooklyn down 15 percent in homicides and 20 percent in shootings. Yet, in 2021, 1,700 guns, to be exact 1,775 guns were recovered from the street of Brooklyn. Brooklyn is big, you

know that. That is 40 percent of the guns for the city. It is double, triple or even more, the gun caseload that other counties face.

As a result of the crushing backlog of gun cases citywide, OCA has an initiative. In Brooklyn, I think we had the most parts. They have set up seven gun parts to deal with our gun prosecutions and our crushing backlog. For this, we need to staff these parts. To staff them effectively, to have qualified prosecutors making important decisions on the handling of our cases, we need seven lawyers, seven dedicated clerks and seven power legal's. This human resource need amounts to \$1.2 million.

Again, sticking with the topic of gun violence, I just spoke about a resource for judicial prosecutions. Those prosecutors who are making the same important decisions in the wells of our courtroom are also evaluating, making a critical decision. They and our assigned assistants about what cases can be safely diverted. Our office has its own gun diversion program. DA Gonzales believes that with careful evaluation we can identify young people who can be pulled away from gun violence and gang violence.

16

gun.

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Our own gun diversion programs are robust and They are 18-24 months. The candidates are intense. carefully vetted. They begin the program on electronic monitoring with strict curfews. participants are required to either have a job or be in school. They are closely monitored. They form very close connections with their social workers. They receive counseling to address what is very deep and sad trauma that they've already accumulated in their young lives. And we have track record of We have participants who've gone on to go success. to college and get good jobs and we've tracked our graduates. There's a much lower recidivism rate than defendants who go to state prison for possessing a

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

NANCY HOPPOCK: We cannot accept new applicants in our gun program at this time because we are short social workers. We ask that we receive funding for \$250,000 to fund four social worker lines.

Sticking on the topic of gun violence, relatedly, the delay in DNA processing for gun cases is up to four to five months. To the extent that the OCME is asking you for support to expedite their processing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

\_ 1

25

time, we support the request. We also have formed a digital evidence lab in our office. The NYPD Computers Crimes Unit, despite their best efforts cannot need the ballooning demand for forensic review of digital devices.

Cases no longer are just about eye witnesses and even video surveillance or even DNA, devices contain extraordinary evidence in an overwhelming number of our cases. We built with our own, with federal forfeiture funds, we established our own digital forensic lab. We have a bench of very talented forensic analysts. We have state of the art tools and they are producing in a timely manner, evidence that has been critical to the prosecutions, successful prosecutions in murder cases and sex trafficking cases and it also yielded really critical evidence and exonerations. We want to keep this unit going. We want to keep it state of the art and for that, we need your support. We're asking for \$160,000 for two analyst's and \$80,000 for software for Y23.

E-discovery; I know some of the other DA's have mentioned on this; have discussed this just very briefly. The city, all of our offices new that the

workload for ADA's was going to increase because of discovery reform. A much bigger workload of discovery production is happening much earlier in all of our cases. The need for technological assistance for our lawyers and our paralegals was anticipated. We were funded to acquire some technology. Thanks to a really productive collaboration among the five offices, we're honing in what we think will be the right tool for prosecutors. It is much more expensive than we thought it was going to be and accordingly we are asking for \$600,000 for Fiscal Year 2023 and \$1 million in capital funds.

And lastly, mostly notes of gratitude. The Family Justice Center in Brooklyn. We had the first and the largest. The year before the pandemic, we served 16,000 clients. I think our papers they say an average that's lower but it's lower because of the pandemic. It is a Hayden for our survivors of domestic violence. It co-locates all the services they need for safety and to heal. Before they only had a half of a floor in our building. Now they have, we've been funded for a full floor state of the art premises technology. The expansion is starting

2.

this summer and we are so grateful to OMB for the \$2.8 million that funded this expansion.

We thank you City Council also for the one time funding for young adult court, for our brave program. We ask you to continue to support these programs. We thank you for the funding that you gave to our DOVE program and the \$50,000 you gave to our Hate Crimes Initiative.

We also ask again on behalf of another agency; reset is a critical misdemeanor pre-arraignment diversion program. We ask that you fund CCI to provide those services in Brooklyn. I think that highlights the critical needs that the DA laid out in his more fulsome remarks and I am very grateful to have the opportunity to have addressed you. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much. Chair Hanks, you may ask questions followed by Council Member questions. Council Members, if you have a question, please use the Zoom raise hand function. For Council Member questions we're going to be limiting those to three minutes in the interest of time. Again, we could do follow-ups as well if

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yeah, she sure did.

that's needed. Follow-up's via email after the hearing. Thank you so much. Chair Hanks, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much Josh. really just wanted to thank all the DA's that come out and very much highlighted, illustrated the challenges that you are each having in each of the boroughs with pay parity and all the things that you spoke about today.

In interest of time, I really want to hear from my colleagues. And so, I thought your presentations were very thoughtful and thorough, so we don't want you answered many of the questions that I had but I will ask just a few questions.

So, in the issue for salaries for legal and professional staff, what conversations have you all had with the Mayor's Administration about additional funding? And you can just you know, yes, no.

MELINDA KATZ: I know we've had these discussions with the Mayor's office. I'm going to let Darcel if she can, to take the lead on that. She really originally was, I have to tell you, noticed and really came through with a lot of the advocacy for the salaries of our ADA's.

2.1

22

23

24

25

something that I worked on from the moment I got into the DA's office and it's just clear that the city is not treating our lawyers in the same way as other lawyers of being treated in the city. And not to say that their work is not important but when you're talking about public safety and the things that are really driving the issue for this city, the lawyers that we have, the Assistant DA's and the Public Defenders as well because I will add them in as well. We are crucial to making the city safe and getting the work done. So, no offense to the lawyers that are you know working on the money and all of that but we're talking about people's liberty. We're talking about victims. We're talking about safety and I think that we have to continue to have the dialogue with the Mayor and the Mayor's Office needs to understand that we have to pay a competitive level for our lawyers so that they can stay and do this work.

They are not doing it for the money but they can't go broke trying to do it. And with the increased needs with the Criminal Justice Reform, it's even more important that we pay them what they

deserve. So, we've had those conversations and will continue to have them.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, so that will lead me to my next question for the purpose of the public and everyone listening. I'm sorry, go ahead DA McMahan.

MICHAEL MCMAHAN: I just want to add so specifically that we had through multiple budgets with the prior administrations, discussions about this. In two whole cycles, we gave all the data, all the information and everything and with OMB, with MOCJ and we made a little bit of headway. Just one small step is that they did provide some funding for the parity for ADA's in years one through five and the next step was supposed to be to deal with those mid-level, which for us is the most difficult right but I won't speak for my colleagues.

And that just sort of got dropped and my concern is now the new administration [INAUDIBLE 5:19:49] give us all the information again. We're going to go through this whole scholastic exercise again and we're going to — the situation is just going to get worse.

So, we had a little bit of progress even though it was not reflective of all the effort we put into

it and the advocacy that we did and the pace that made in my opinion and then they shut the door us on and said, okay, we do need — it wasn't a lot of money. For Staten Island, you're talking I think it was between \$120,000, so it wasn't that great but it's the whole group they have to look at. And just to emphasize the point I mean, in the last year, we

We lost four or five to OCA, to the Courts

Administration. So, people where parity is in play
and their still making more money and it really,
really is as my colleagues say, we're at crisis
level.

lost three lawyers, ADA's to the Police Department.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Right.

MICHAEL MCMAHAN: At this point.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, so I wont belabor the point but uhm, before I let my colleagues you know talk about it, just highlight for us what it means to have an unfunded mandate and what that means to actually getting the actual mandate — what they're asking for accomplished. Go ahead. You're muted DA Clark or DA Katz.

MELINDA KATZ: Hi, I am not muted is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yes, you can go ahead.

MELINDA KATZ: Good, so I can get rid of my hand raised. Uh, so I think there has to be an understanding. I think everybody on this Zoom understands because we're all in the same business when it comes to allocation of funds, right.

So, there's a certain amount of funds that one has in order to create their priorities. So, we have a budget and that budget needs to be spent on certain things in the DA's office and as Darcel said, we need to make sure that we keep our community safe and it's not only about prosecution, it's also about a lot of the policies that many of us have instituted for a more fair and equitable system.

But at the same time, we have discovery obligations that are new from the State Legislature that over the last two years you know everyone has created a system and the best policies and programs that they have in order to be able to fulfill the mandate that's required by the legislature. We have arraignments that are done within 24 hours of arrests.

We have indictments that are done within you know 122, 144 hours. We have all of the mandates that

we're responsible for. At the same time though, if
we use the budget that was allocated in order to do
our mandated function, then there is a deprived of
funds for community partnerships for criminal justice
reform for our Conviction Integrity Unit, for our
cold case unit. For the initiatives for diversion of
programs. For our youth initiatives. For all of the
programs that all of us want to do to create a more
fair and equitable system, we only have a certain
amount of funds for that.

And I just wanted to if I can, you know I wanted DA Clark to talk a little bit about the Mayor's discussions but I want to highlight what my colleagues have highlighted. In the year 2021, we had an attrition rate of 29 ADA's. I have 360 ADA's here. We lost 29. In the year 2022, 46 left and that means that there are 46 less ADA's. We have others coming in but much of that was because of the pay parity or lack of pay parity and the pay increase that they can get other places.

So, I just wanted to make sure that that didn't get lost. But as far as where there's a vacuum created, the vacuum is created because we have an obligation to do a lot of things and a lot of you

know legal analysis and legal prosecutions but we also want to and from our perspective have a moral initiative to be able to create all these other programs because the safest boroughs that we have are one's that people don't end up in the criminal justice system. And the Council has been unbelievably helpful in that in the past and we thank you for that.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Very nice, thank you very much. One more question, so, I'm looking at the Preliminary Budget, the Operating Budget. I know that the Manhattan was at 146 and Josh, you can kind of give those numbers to me if possible so everybody understands my next question with the disparity between offices. The budget and my question and how that will impact equitable provision for programming community engagement and services across the city.

So, the Manhattan DA's, it's \$146 million. We have the Bronx is at \$90 million but Staten Island is \$21 million. So, that's a very huge difference and you know, none of them are the same. Can you talk to us about why those differences are so stark? When it seems like everyone is actually saying the exact same thing.

2.

J

I'm supposed to have you know 500 lawyers and some

DARCEL CLARK: I think uh, I'm sorry. One of the things that I would like to mention because each of us have different — our offices have different size, so the number of people that work for us will determine how much our you know annual budgets are or whatever.

But what you know, what happens is that when you talk — and I'm not clear on your question as to are you talking about our overall office budget or what is allocated for us to do certain things?

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yes, it's the overall, it's a stark difference between let's say a borough like

Staten Island at \$21 million as opposed to Manhattan who maybe at \$146 million and we understand that but all of you are saying the same thing. So, we just want to understand.

DARCEL CLARK: I think a lot of it is personnel. So, it's how many people that work for us in order for us to be able to pay them a living wage or competitive wage. So, depending on how many people you work for will depend on how much you have pay them. So, that goes into the budget.

The Bronx has an office. Well, at full capacity

\_

500 professional staff. So, that's 1,000 people. When you look at another office that has less people, sometimes their budget may be smaller because of the number of personnel. But it doesn't change the fact that we all have to still do the same work. So, as long as they're all being paid the same —

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: This is my point.

need. So, I get it. I don't know why it's at disparity but that's why I'm saying we need the parity at least as far as the salary was. If we had the parity, if it was a uniformed formula for all the city lawyers or for all the DA's, then we wouldn't necessarily have that disparity in that particular area but we do because we have to shuffle and do what we can in order to retain the people that we need.

So, with the attrition rate, you know I could just take the money for people that left and increase everybody's salary but then I won't be able to maintain it or I could backfill. So, there's a number of ways that we manipulate our budgets in order to get what we need. But what we really need from the city is for the city to set it, so that we

2.

all have the same. You know one to five years as a step plan, six to ten, etc., etc..

That's what I turned around and did with my office finally. I mean it could be at a risk but I have to keep the people. I have to be able to maintain and get the work done and I can't do it without paying them the salary that they deserve. That's why I've been fighting this parity thing for the longest time.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: And I appreciate your answer.

Ms. Katz, you're on mute. You're still on mute.

There you go.

MELINDA KATZ: Uh, we all -

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: It's been that kind of day.

MELINDA KATZ: I think part of it and I got to be upfront here. I think part of it also is that we all inherited these offices over the last few years too. So, I do think that in government in general, there's a certain sense of what was it last year? Let's see what we do this year. And you know how accurate that is, I'd have to look back at the last ten years.

I can tell you that Queens has the lowest amount of money and I don't want to take anything away from my colleagues. Trust me when I tell you that.

Because we all work together for the benefit of each
other and we do it quite often. Queens has the
lowest amount of money per resident in this DA's
office and the lowest amount of money per arrest in

6 this office.

So, our office ADA's 365 ADAs, 80 Detective

Investigators, and the rest support staff who help us
run this office. Per ADA and per resident, we get
the lowest amount of money per resident and for the
rest. Now that's not to say that issues in the Bronx
are not different than issues here. And that they
don't need exactly what they have. I think that you
know a lot of this is dictated somewhat and I hate to
say it, which is why I agree with DA Clark 100
percent, that we need to look at parity throughout
the City of New York, not just the parity within our
five offices.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: 100 percent.

MELINDA KATZ: Because we're losing people, not through each other as much, we're losing people to the private sector and to court counsel and to law clerks. They're taking jobs as law clerks and so, I think that's important but I would you know gander that perhaps there's a lot of history as well.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you very much. DA

MICHAEL MCMAHAN: Yeah, thanks. I'll just real quickly agree with my colleagues. You know, when we came into office, one of things I ran on was that that disparity that you described was much worse. So, we were at like half of the budget that we have now and so, it was at - you know the disparity was 100 percent greater. We ran on - we lobbied to get to where, you know and then, I would say to this, and really said that it's not exactly fair and it should be looked at from time to time but it's done to scale. So, if you look at my office, there are 240 or so employees in Manhattan. I there are 1,600, not to speak for my colleague DA Bragg but so, you see that difference in employee numbers. We see that difference in arrests. You see that difference in cases and population and all those things.

So, it's scaled pretty much the way it should.

It's too low but it's scaled the way it should be.

But what you find is in a community our size, with

the amount of personnel and I have that, the amount

of cases that we have. We have the same exact issues

that they have in the other counties. We have

problems with attrition, we have problems with having
caseloads that are way too high because they are
picking up the slack of people who leave and a
typical homicide could have 60,000 to 70,000 pages of
documents that have to be reviewed, redacted and
turned over and you know in much more condensed times
than they used to be, so we're all facing those
issues uh, according to scale.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. So, with that, my questions are done and so I'd love to hear from my colleagues.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, DA Bragg had his hand raised as well.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Oh, I apologize, I didn't see that. Sorry DA Bragg.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Let's go to him and then we'll go to Council Member questions. Go ahead.

ALVIN BRAGG: No, thank you Chair. I just wanted to respond you know specifically as to Manhattan.

You know a driver in our office is our White Collar practice, our Investigation Division, which you know it can't be captured in some of the same statistics because of arrests and other metrics like that because often times these are investigations that are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

complex, take you know years and lots of you know people resources.

We have you know what I think is a phenomenal cyber lab, which is helping not just us but you know really providing support around the country. You know we're doing a tremendous amount of the financial fraud space. We also have an active terrorism practice which again is not just local but international. So, a lot of that practice, which I want to invest in, I think it's a benefit to the city, to the entire state and to the entire country. Uh, and so I want to continue to invest in that and of course we bring those cases you know on their merits and not for you know the financial monies involved but I would be remiss if I didn't note that you know arising from those cases has been you know to the city \$1.2 billion since 2010.

So, of course, we're doing those for the public safety benefits and for purposes of justice and fairness but when we're looking at the budget, I think you know when you look at the Manhattan DA budget, it's against the backdrop of the \$1.2 billion that came to the city across a wide range of outlays you know some of the grassroots community work. Some

2.

of that money going to help fund pretrial services.

Something to go into the NYPD. So, I just wanted to note that Chair. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much for all of your answers to my questions and uhm, Josh you can take — you can open it up to my colleagues.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Cool, thank you so much

Chair. We're going to go now to Council Member

questions. Council Members use the Zoom raise hand

function if you have a question. We're going to

limit it to three minutes for questions from members

and I think similar to the PD, if there's additional

questions, please direct them to myself and I'll

share them with the agencies accordingly.

We're going to start with Council Member Cabán followed by Council Member Stevens followed by Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. I'd be remiss. SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: If I didn't mention that today's the 59<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Gideon V. Wainwright, which established the right to public defenders and public defense works should be funded as robustly as our district attorney's offices are I'm a proud

former public defender. I have a four questions for the folks here. The first being, how many people did each office hire in Fiscal Year 2022? How many do you plan to hire in Fiscal Year 2023? How much do you each have sitting in your civil asset forfeiture funds and what are you using that money for? Uhm, and also additionally, uhm two days ago, the Federal Monitor reported record shattering levels of violence and chaos on Rikers Island. A recent study also showed that imprisonment has a criminogenic affect, meaning that incarceration increases recidivism.

So, especially under these current circumstances in our city jails, where there in a state of humanitarian crisis, what are your office doing to divert people from jails and prisons. Each of you know as well as I do that you as the District Attorney have the most power and control over the census on Rikers Island. It's not the police, it's not the Mayor, it's not the judges, it's the District Attorney's and piggybacking off of that, two questions for two specific district attorney's on the call, DA Katz and DA Bragg.

DA Katz, you testified a commitment to focusing on alternatives. Will you both commit to welcoming

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I'll unmute or -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

NANCY HOPPOCK: I can go if you'd like.

any one want to volunteer to take these? Raise hand

So, Districts Attorney's do

and partnering with restorative justice programs like Common justice that specifically work with and deal with and address violent offenses and I get incredibly positive results.

And then finally on bail, you know we saw that George Pagan died on Rikers Island after not being able to afford two bails, right? Charged with two misdemeanors, each had \$500 bail. Now, for folks who are sitting in on bail that they cannot afford, which in my opinion is tantamount to remand. We know they can't afford it and then when you follow that line of reasoning in lots of these cases, especially misdemeanors, remand can and should be considered excessive bail under our statutes. How are you all thinking about the amount of bail that you're asking for and are you consenting to bail reductions when it is very clear someone can't afford the bail that has been set, especially when we know that in theory, bail is supposed to be set simply and only to ensure the persons ability to return to court. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Go ahead.

NANCY HOPPOCK: Okay, we hired, you asked how many did we hire in 2021? 215, that's a combination of support staff and paralegals, all staff. How much do we hope to hire in 2022? Probably I'd say approximately 300 maybe plus —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

NANCY HOPPOCK: Just keeping up with attrition. We have \$35,000 in state forfeiture and \$3.9 million in federal forfeiture and in Brooklyn, we're doing I think an incredible amount to divert from prestation house diversion all the way to violent felonies common justice.

I don't know if, I could probably talk for a half an hour about it and I don't know if you want me to.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Let's move onto the other officers and we can follow up if there's additional information that you all could provide the Council Member. So, Council Member Bragg and then Council Member — sorry, DA Bragg followed by DA Katz. Please go ahead.

ALVIN BRAGG: Yeah, I'm sorry. On the hiring about, I think we are down lawyers because of attrition but we hired approximately 70 in 2022. I'm

2.

sorry, in 2021. Uhm, and are you know planning to have a class come in and basically backfill that number either through our normal class in the fall but also probably prior to that were some lawyers before then.

The asset forfeiture numbers, I don't have them at my fingertips, so I'll have to get back to you if that's okay Council Member Cabán. And then in terms of you know diversion, this is something that you know I spent a lot of time over the last 76 days you know trying to scale up. So, we created a new division called Pathways for Public Safety. Have a head of it whose alum from CCI and the model we're using is to embed into the trial, division boroughs, you know someone from Pathway so that diversion is something that is considered as early as Keith Ekab.

As I said in my opening remarks, the drawing on the literature that shows that efficacy increases if we can do earlier diversion. We're also looking at people who are currently in Rikers, to your question about the conditions there, which I hear your concerns. About talking to services providers about trying to get people connected in that way. So, it's a work in progress in the office. It's something

that is a significant priority and that we're trying to drive forward.

You also asked a question about common justice, which I wasn't sure if it was directed to whom but I'll say, that is something we're actively considering and talking to common justice about the version in that manner as well.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you DA Bragg. We're going to go now to DA Katz, DA McMahan, DA Clark.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Excuse me, I just want to publicly say apologies to Council Member Cabán if we are being muted prematurely. We hope that that doesn't happen again. I apologize.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you.

MELINDA KATZ: So, those were a lot of questions, we're trying to answer as many as we can. If we can't at the moment, I'm happy to send follow-up. But from what I can tell from you question, we have about 50 people in a new class of 2022. I will tell you when you said how many do you intend on hiring? I intend on hiring as many as I possibly can at the moment. When some of our ADA's have 100 cases in their caseload that they are doing and have to have discovery on and everything that goes with it. We

are hiring a 2022 class of 50 right now. Hopefully it will be more. We are hiring laterals as fast as we can in order to make up for the numbers of attrition and now that was have a little more salary parity that we are discussing at least, we are hoping that it makes more folks come in that are interested in criminal justice. We have about 15 people working on our forfeiture funds. I think you asked about that if I'm not mistaken.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yeah, like the amount of money in the forfeiture fund and what it's being used for.

MELINDA KATZ: Oh, we'll get back to you on that.

I will tell you that we have a forfeiture fund, I
would assume at this point it's about 90 to 100
million. You cannot and I want to make this very,
very clear to all the Council Members that are on
this Zoom.

One cannot use the forfeiture funds for salary without permission and you can't use it without permission to a large extent for a large part of it without permission from the feds. So, that money cannot be used in order to create less of a disparity

.

amongst the funding and I just want to make that crystal clear. I will tell you that -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: You can -

MELINDA KATZ: Excuse me one second, if I could just finish, that would be great. So, we have \$2.5 million that we just did a youth wrap around service contract on. We are disseminating that money into the community for youth wrap around services at \$50,000 a piece and we've also done an RFP for intervention groups; violent criminal intervention groups and we're spending I think it's Camille \$500,000 on that as well. I'm sorry Councilwoman Cabán.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: So, you can use it for — you can use all of that money? Those millions and millions and millions of dollars just to clarify on alternative to incarceration programming?

MELINDA KATZ: No, we cannot and if we did, we'd also have to get permission from the feds for a very large portion of it and perhaps my Chief of Staff can get into it in a little more detail if you'd like.

Camille? Can you unmute if you don't mind Chair Hanks in order to answer the Councilwoman's question.

CAMILLE CHIN-KEE-FATT: Yes, hi, can you hear me?

2 MEI

MELINDA KATZ: Yes.

CAMILLE CHIN-KEE-FATT: So far in as the DA said, we used three million fifty thousand in our RFP's, two million seven hundred and fifty thousand for the wrap around services for a number of youths, based CBO's in Queens and three hundred thousand for Cure Violence programs in Queens. A lot of the work that the DA wants to use the funds for in this universe in restorative justice under our new unit. I see Eisha Green is also on, who's the head of that unit. You know really got waylaid because of COVID. That is what we've used a good portion of the funds for as of now and we are looking at other ways to use it in community service and community justice programs.

MELINDA KATZ: Right and we can't just say, we want to give five hundred millions dollars to a notfor-profit. It has to go through a very intricate process to make sure that the forfeiture money, individuals monies, companies monies that we have forfeited and taken are used in proper circumstances but it has been our desire to use it for alternatives to incarceration and programs dealing with our treatment courts.

\_

CAMILLE CHIN-KEE-FATT: And we will continue to do so.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Great, thank you.

MELINDA KATZ: Hmm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: And just my remaining questions that weren't answered with whether the specifically DA Katz and DA Bragg would commit to partnering with alternative, restorative justice alternatives like Common Justice and then finally that last question on bail.

MELINDA KATZ: Sure, we already commit with many, many not-for-profits and CBO's throughout the borough of Queens. In fact, we increased it and Eisha Green, if you can go through some of that if you'd like. I know we're working with some to take on some of the violent offenses as well.

UNKNOWN: She's on Jay Bond. She is listed as Jay Bond, so if you can unmute Jay Bond.

MELINDA KATZ: Anyway, the answer is yes. We've already been trying to work different gun diversion programs and different violent offense programs right now. We're in the midst of working on all of that now that everything is up and running again. It is my hope that a lot of the diversion programs which in

history here at Queens County, and I can't speak for the other boroughs, has been mostly nonviolent crimes. I do think the only way to make diversion successful and to make sure that we don't have recidivists on the street and to make sure that we actually make things the safest we can possibly make it, the only way to do that is not only prosecuting drivers of crime but also making sure that the next generation is better off. You can't do that without violence uh, diversion programs as well.

CAMILLE CHIN-KEE-FATT: Eisha is unmuted.

MELINDA KATZ: Oh, Eisha, did I do okay?

EISHA GREEN: Yes, you did. Good afternoon everyone and thank you for the opportunity to speak here. Council Member, to your question, restorative programs are very important. I have the distinct pleasure of presiding over programming here in the Queens County over felony cases, misdemeanor cases, and also the Crime Victims Advocates Program. So, we have outreach to local community-based organizations that work specifically with restorative justice. Also, under District Attorney Clark, I had the pleasure to work with Common Justice and have

experience with working with them for a number of years.

And so, we are exploring opportunities to make sure that we can bring those types of programs to this office, so that we can address the needs of the victims that we have here who are looking for different alternatives to make sure that folks are held accountable but that they also have different ways to speak to those that have committed crimes.

We've also reached out to other community-based organizations that are not specifically funded to do this work. So, to your point, we are exploring all of those things to make sure that we adequately serve those that come to us in the criminal legal system.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Council

Member Cabán, in the interest of time and letting
other members have questions, we're going to ask that
we kind of move on to other member questions. We
could follow-up each with the other offices who have
not answered this question. We apologize for not
getting to you as well but we will follow-up directly
as well. So, thank you so much.

Next, we'll have Council Member Stevens followed by Council Member Barron.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Hi, good afternoon.

One, I would like - hello, can you hear me? Hello?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we can hear you.

Sure. One, I would just like to say thank you so much for having me here. Thank you Chair. I think the Chair already started to ask some of my questions just around the disparities and funding and the offices and specially in the Bronx. I always want to give a special shoutout to my DA in the Bronx, Darcel Clark, who works very hard and whose always on the ground with all of our community members and community folks and really leading with love and compassion. So, I just want to say thank you to her.

But just looking at the reports and I just want to make sure I'm correct. Is it true that Bronx DA didn't get, did not get DOVE initiative funding and if so, why? Why wouldn't we get that?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: DA Clark, you're unmuted, go ahead.

DARCEL CLARK: Oh, yeah, we did not get — you mean the recent, yeah, we did not receive that funding and I can't tell you why Council Member

Stevens. But we're doing as much as we can and you know that and thank you for your partnership of course because you stand shoulder to shoulder with me in all these things that we're doing in our community for prevention as well as prosecution, as well as the reentry, as well as you know anything we can do to

give people a way out of the criminal justice system,

9 so I thank you.

You know we're doing a number of, we have a Community Justice Bureau, formerly the Alternatives to Incarceration Bureau, which Eisha Green talked about and I thank her for being the architect of what we started and now she's there in Queens but we've worked with Common Justice and many of the community-based organizations, the Cure Violence. We're doing everything that we can. We're leaving no stone unturned and using every tool that we can to fight the violence.

So, funding for you, for those kind of groups in order for us to continue to do our work to train the crisis management teams and the Cure Violence groups in order to really be impactful in this work would definitely be a help in the Bronx and I would love to

- 1

have your support and the City Council's support to make sure that we also get the funding that we need in the Bronx for that.

agree and I think it's inappropriate that we wouldn't get it right. Like, we have needs as well and right now the Bronx has been on fire and all of our Council Members and you and other electives have been working together and so funding is always the adequate part. So, I stand with you to advocate and to say that we need it and whatever we need to do to make sure that we get it let me know. You know you have partners in me and whoever else you need but we definitely, I want to make sure that that was highlighted that we did not get that funding and it's not appropriate and we need to make sure we get that moving forward.

DARCEL CLARK: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Next, we'll have Council Member Barron followed by

Council Member Holden followed by Council Member

Bottcher. Council Member Barron, go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you very much. You know one of the roles of the DA Office, the DA is supposed to be the peoples lawyer and they're supposed to protect the people from community crime and police crime. I think the DA's do well in incarcerating civilians but poorly in incarcerating police when they engage in misconduct.

So, I'd like to see from the offices you know, how many police that engaged in misconduct, how many of them were actually prosecuted? You know in the last like last rally, hundreds of complaints, people beating, very few if nobody incarcerated.

It's good to hear that we do have in some of the offices alternatives to incarceration because many of our people need the alternatives to incarceration is not the answer and then, we need to reduce the pretrial incarceration and those who are arrested and no cash bail bill needs to be read and understood by more people. And those of you who read the bill and know that over 90 percent of those with no cash bail from when I was in the assembly that we passed that and I'll take ownership of that anytime and we shouldn't back away from it when the capitalist propaganda machine attacks. The law and order

capitalist propaganda machine will attack you on that but no cash bail was for nonviolent crimes and well over 90 percent did not get reincarcerated. And I also support strongly the Raise the Age bill that the Mayor want's to change that. He wants to change No Cash Bail. He want's to bring back solitary confinement in the prison system, which does not stop crime and violence in prisons because we been had that and it was not stopped.

So, I'm hoping that we can come up with more creative ways to dealing with this. Let the youth go to family court for these nonviolent crimes and the No Cash Bail works and so does Raise the Age and we need speedy trials. Part of the problem with speedy trials and some of the reform during discovery needs to take place as well, so that we can have a fair process in that.

And I support the publicizing grand jury proceedings. They say a DA can get an indictment on a ham sandwich but not on police and even when we get an indictment and a conviction, as DA Thompson; may he rest in peace, did in Brooklyn for a Kia Gurly case, officer Lee Yang(SP?). He then recommended after indicting and convicting him of man slaughter,

recommended no jail time. And he and the Asian judge, it led to no jail time.

So, we live in a very capitalist system that creates the poverty and creates the unemployment and then you are part of this system that either has to — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Do it right or at least be on the side of the people. DA Bragg, I want to give you credit for your stance and I don't think you should have pushed back. You didn't push back much but when they come at us, we got to stand strong if we're right. And even it if ain't due to public opinion because what happens often, they use emotional cases around police killings or even children being killed and then come in with solutions that don't do nothing but have been failing us in the past. So, I think we need to stand strong on these restorative justice issues and we need to stand strong when we're right.

So, I just wanted to share that with you. Keep up the work that you're doing and I hope everybody gets better.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.
We'll move on next to Council Member Bottcher
followed by Council Member Brewer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Hi, my question is for District Attorney Bragg. District Attorney, in your testimony, it said that you're seeking \$1.6 million in additional fundings for in fear hate crimes unit and you said that you need that this unit needs a full-time Chief and two full-time Deputy Chiefs investigative analysts etc. and to me, that should be a top priority given what's going on now with hate crimes particularly against the AAPI community.

Am I correct in understanding that you requested an increase in the Hate Crimes unit and this budget isn't providing you with the increase that you requested.

ALVIN BRAGG: Thank you Council Member Bottcher for your leadership. It's always good to be out with you in your district. I guess virtually out with you these days. This is a top priority for Manhattan.

We've seen the uptick; I've mentioned it in my opening remarks. We have a phenomenal team working on hate crimes now in Manhattan DA's Office but they

are stretched thin. As I mentioned in my opening remarks, the docket, the active number of case is tragically at its highest since the unit was formed.

And the way the staffing works currently in the DA's Office is everyone in the office, in the unit including the leadership is working on other matters.

They're not specifically focused on hate crimes.

And so, we want to have dedicated staff working on an urgent public safety issue, so you're understanding is correct and that's why we made the request and I think it's urgently important. Right now we're seeing the increase and I think it's important when it's noted. It affects us all right? We see the increase specifically in the AAI community now but as the preamble the Hate Crime Statute says that kind of crime tears at the fabric of society. It affects all of us, and so, I thank you for the question and I think the funding on this crucial so we can move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I want to support you strongly on getting that increase. I'm surprised that that request wasn't granted because it's just hard what's happening now. So, you'll let us know how we can be helpful in pushing for that.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 ALVIN BRAGG: I appreciate it and one thing I 3 want to underscore is a big component of this is also the outreach, so you know obviously I'm relatively 4 new here so learning the office and wanting to deepen 5 the language capabilities right, because in order to 6 7 have people feel comfortable coming forward to the office, having you know the cultural understanding 8 and the language capabilities to interact with 9 people, is very significant. 10

So, to the traditional lawyering a big component of this is the outreach and having those capabilities in the office. Thank you Council Member.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Expired.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. We're going to finish up here with Council Member Brewer followed by Council Member Holden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. A couple questions for DA Bragg. First of all, I saw in the material that we were given that the — I call the Brooklyn Youth Court but I assume it's the same as the Youth Court Midtown in Manhattan.

So, I think they're open finally, so my question is, if it should get more money, is that something

2.

that would be helpful in terms of alternatives to incarceration for you or what would be helpful in

ALVIN BRAGG: Yes and I think today is the day

terms of funding for alternatives to incarceration?

that it's opening particularly my understanding for mental health services, which are so desperately needed. I know a number of us work very hard in coordination with OCA and others to get it back open.

diversion strategy going forward but it's not the only part. I mentioned the kind of pathways the public safety division and having people with you know diversion expertise embedded within each trial bureau, so that we can do the interventions at the

earliest moment, is a significant part.

It's a resource. It is a significant part of our

But also, to unquestionably Midtown Community

Court is, so I am happy that it's back and I think

it's — hoping it's part of the phase plan to be fully back sometime very soon.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The other question is, there's a mental health court in Manhattan? So, how does that help? It's only open certain days, not every day. How does that help, if at all?

\_

J

\_\_\_

ALVIN BRAGG: Yeah, so thank you so much and thank you for always elevating on these issues

Council Member. You know right now and I learned this as I was you know getting oriented and it was shocking to me, the mental health court in Manhattan is you know 50 slots by contract.

So, 50 matters can be you know handled at one time and as part of the request, we're seeking funding to coordination with service providers and obviously the court to expand that number significantly for fold to 200. We know we're in the middle of a mental health crisis. We know the importance of the mental health court. It's not the only solution but certainly it's part of the puzzle and to have a captain of the court I think you know, does what it can to increase beyond 50 but we need to have it set in place contractually so that we can well above that and start to address the many you know significant mental health concerns we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Do you have a number in mind or maybe you have a budget that would accompany that or is this still being worked out?

ALVIN BRAGG: No, we have it Council Member uhm, in order to go from 50 to 200, we think the \$3.3

million. So, it's attached as is of Appendix A to our written submission.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, alright.

ALVIN BRAGG: And with that then could give you more detail.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you and I know I have no time left but at some point more discussion on domestic violence, the people, Safe Horizon I think is in the precincts. Is that enough? They're not 24 hour etc., etc.. So, the DV issue I think is pretty numerous on the numbers of cases.

ALVIN BRAGG: Yes, it is by number, the biggest part of our docket. It's something I've been extraordinarily impressed by my short time here is our witness aid function. The support for survivors and so, that's a group. We didn't put that in our request but it's a group I'm hoping to expand to support survivors. I was in our Washington Heights office earlier this week. I was there last week.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [INAUDIBLE 6:02:49], go ahead.

ALVIN BRAGG: Right, that's right so glad to have her. Something that I'm encouraging our ADA's to do with greater frequency is to get to our Washington

~ ~

Heights Office, our Harlem office across a range of cases but specifically for domestic violence.

To tie it back to the parity issue, it is hard because of the attrition and because of COVID and the dockets that they're carrying for them to get to those offices. But it's so crucial and Joslyn who you mentioned for those who don't know who heads our Washington Heights office has told me how impactful it is when people come and sit in the Washington Heights office, how the comments she gets from survivors in particular of domestic violence. So, thank you Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

We'll turn to Council Member Holden and then we'll return to -

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: And apologies Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: That's fine, that's alright. It's a long day.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I know.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you. Thank you

Chair. You know I agree with all the DA's, we need
to pay our hard working ADA's a living and

competitive wage and certainly give the DA's offices the tools they need, catch up to the  $21^{\rm st}$  Century here.

I guess this question is and I'd like to address it maybe to SNP because uhm, I don't think anybody asked any questions in that area. You mentioned Ms. Brennan that there were 2,500 overdose cases. Do we have any idea out of those 2,500 cases how many drug dealers were charged with homicide for dealing this poisonous drug fentanyl? And if you had a larger budget, could we charge more with that?

Because that would actually send the message to the drug dealers, if they do this, they could be put away for a long time.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Yeah, it's a great question and thank you for asking me. Charging someone with a homicide after an individual overdoses is very difficult because often we don't have the information that we need. The medical examiners office generally doesn't get the report back too quickly and often in an overdose situation, you may not have collection of evidence right at the site of the overdose. So, you may not know exactly who made the sale. Usually you would have to get the overdose victims phone, maybe

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if they obtained it that way. If it was a hand to hand transaction on the street, it's very difficult but it's worth while if you can do it and we have explored it in different cases and other offices have explored it.

Our statutes in New York aren't great for that. Uh, there has been from time to time discussion of a homicide by overdose statute but right now, in the event one case like that is charged generally, you charge them with a manslaughter. The only case that we have ever been able to bring was actually against a doctor in Queens who had numerous patients who overdosed and died. I believe a total of 18 patients and because he was a doctor, we had some records. had obvious reckless behavior and he was unnoticed that people have overdosed and he continued to give them large prescriptions for large amounts of medication. So, it's much more clear cut than usual in this kind of case but I think all the DA's offices look at it. I think Staten Island actually brought a manslaughter case, manslaughter by overdose by selling deadly drugs. And I think they had success with that.

2.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, I did mention this to DA Katz and she said the same think you're saying but if we had the necessary funding —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And could we actually do more of these cases or if we had the legislation; I like that idea too because I think some message has to be sent and I just want to mention that you know I also mentioned to DA Katz about the alternate sentencing programs that were really discontinued during the COVID. That's where you have nonviolent offenders, they were in parks or they work for Sanitation rather than going to you know being incarcerated.

And I'm just wondering if all the DA's are in favor of bringing that back our at least you know pushing for it because it's so important. They are asking me and also Sanitation but thank you Chair.

MELINDA KATZ: Council Member Holden, just so you know, we intend on starting I believe it's April with the not-for-profit that you and I spoke about at some point. We are in conversations at this point with Sanitation. They have an interest in continuing. We have an interest in bringing it back. And you know

as the city comes alive I think that more of these
programs including a lot the CBO's and nonprofits
that we want to use for other diversion programs,
we'll start seeing you know the light of day and

6 being used in the way that we want to use them for

7 | ATI's.

And just to like add upon what DA Brennan talked about, you do need a causal connection and that's you and I spoke about. Between the drug dealer and the one who is dealing the fentanyl or the heroin laced with fentanyl or cocaine laced with fentanyl, to show that there was an intent.

Most crimes require some intent when you're charging them as an element of the crime and that's always where you hit the rub on the those dealer homicide types of cases but I'm going to say no matter what, anytime you have more funding for certain things and so investigate certain crimes, you have more for other areas of the office. But thank you for asking the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you. Thank you Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

MELINDA KATZ: Thank you Chair.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very one. We'll go back to Chair Hanks to close off this portion off this portion of the hearing and then we'll move onto the public testimony. Go ahead Chair Hanks.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Well, thank you so much Josh and the staff for the Public Safety Committee. All of my colleagues that are part of the Public Safety Committee and the NYPD and CCRB and now finishing off with the District Attorney's who really were able to aluminate and articulate some of like really the underpinning of what public safety needs to look like and how that needs to be reflected in our budget.

So, I really wanted to thank everyone. It's been a long day but you can stay on if you'd like but we're going to be entering into our public testimony and I really appreciate all of you stopping in and telling us where you are, what's on your mind and hopefully we can make an impact on the FY23 budget talks. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. Thank you everyone. We're now moving on to the public testimony section. Uhm, I will be calling on individuals sequentially to testify, so please wait for your name to be called. We will unmute you at

that time. For the public hearing testimony section,

we will be giving two minutes of testimony for

individuals. Please use the Zoom raise hand function

5 | if I'm missing you but we'll get to everyone.

To start with, we're going to hear from — I'm going to name a couple folks and so you can be prepared for when you're coming up next. We're going to start with Donald Nesbit followed by Jim McLeod followed by Olivia Duong followed by Lisa Schreibersdorf. So, Donald Nesbit, are you present?

DONALD NESBIT: Yes, I am.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Oh you are, go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DONALD NESBIT: Thank you for this opportunity to present testimony before you as members of the Council. Thank you to Chair Hanks and the distinguished members of the Committee, I am Donald Nesbit, Executive Vice President for Local 372, the New York City Board of Education Employees, District Council 37, AFSCME. It is the honor to provide testimony on behalf of the 2,600 Level-I and 80 Level-II School Crossing Guards who we represent under the leadership of Shaun D. Francois I as President.

2.

School Crossing Guards are often the first line of defense to improve the safety for students who walk, bicycle or take transit to schools. Student-pedestrians often face major safety traffic hazards everyday caused by double and triple parked cars at bus stops, in front and near to school building. As essential workers, School Crossing Guards remained vigilant through the pandemic, even when the schools were shutdown, to ensure that children, pedestrians, adults and everyone who was getting around during the pandemic made it to and from healthy and safe to their homes.

Approximately 90 percent of School Crossing

Guards are females, they work on a 25-hour cap, a

part-time schedule, mainly Black and Latino and a lot

of our membership is over the age of 55. However,

despite their roles on the frontlines, School

Crossing Guards are not always treated like those

essential workers. At the height of the pandemic

they were mandated to return to work when there are

school closings, they do not get paid for those days

and we just want their paychecks to be reflective of

the work that they do. On top of this immediate —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2	DONALD NESIBIT: May I? Over loss of wages that
3	represents an issue of equity for our members.
4	Additionally, the role as first line of defense for
5	our students and pedestrians often place School
6	Crossing Guards in vulnerable positions with no
7	immediate assistance in mind. School Crossing Guards
8	are often at risk not only from cars too close or
9	from exposure to COVID-19 but face physical attacks
10	and harassment from people on the street. A number
11	of School Crossing Guards have been the victims of
12	on-duty assaults, which currently is a felony but we
13	need to do more. There are bills in the Assembly and
14	the Senate which are pushing for making crimes
15	against a School Crossing Guard an aggravated
16	assaults. And again, I thank you for the opportunity
17	to provide this testimony on behalf of the School
18	Crossing Guards and the Public Safety functions that
19	they perform in service in 1.2 million children in
20	New York City. Thank you Chair and members.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you.

COMMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Council Member Barron, do you have a question for Donald or do you want to ask — okay, you may ask a question of him. Go ahead.

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Donald, I just wanted to 3 say that I couldn't support you more. The School Crossing Guards in my beloved East New York are 4 5 tremendous. They are a great asset to our community. As a matter of fact, they cross me too because they 6 7 say hold on Mr. Barron, don't cross yet and they don't just cross people, they take these youngsters 8 when they're crossing the street, they're telling 9 them, "you better get yourself together in school. 10 You better start acting right." They're like one of 11 12 the most valuable assets in our community that is 13 underpaid, not respected. And so, Donald, you can 14 tell all of your members that I love them because 15 they are just some of the nicest people you want to 16 meet you know when they talk to you and even the 17 elderly, they help the elderly get across the street 18 but there's far more than just getting us safely 19 across the street.

The kind of work that they do and the kind of counseling that they provide for our youth and they don't play. You know some of those sisters, they don't play. You know some of these youngsters they look like they're dressing wrong or walking wrong or using the wrong language, they have to deal with that

2.

crossing guard and by the time they get into school, they have been dealt with. So, I want to thank you for that presentation and say that you have my 1,000 percent support and then then Council, we should really prioritize the School Crossing Guards because they are the best.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member Barron and thank you Donald for your testimony.

Next, we'll go to Jim McLeod.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JIM MCLEOD: Good afternoon Madam Chair Hanks and Committee Council Members. My name is Jim McLeod, I am the Second Vice President for Local 1549 under the leadership of Eddie Rodriguez. Local 1549 Clerical Administrative employees represent 13,000 employees of the city of New York. Some of these members are Police Administrative Aides, Senior Police Administrative Aides, Clerical Associates, Secretaries, Cashiers and paralegals. They worked during the pandemic, winter storms, transit shutdowns and during any catastrophic incidents in the city. They are truly essential workers. The PAA's and SPAA's feel less then appreciated for all their

commitment and sacrifice to the city, NYPD, and New York City citizens.

These members make less than many of their counterparts. Their clerical job functions is being taken over by Uniforms who make triple their salaries. NYPD overtime budget is annually over budget and none of it allocated to the PAA's or SPAA's. If they ask to perform overtime to complete these tasks they are told they can only take it in comp. time. Cash overtime for PAA's or SPAA's is forbidden however, Uniform Officers are performing clerical duties, many work overtime as often as they want and take it in cash.

How does an agency tell one of the lowest paid members they can't do overtime or not for cash? They also are being told that they can't work the holiday in a 24 agency. PAA's and SPAA's are forced to take off on a holidays even though it's not their regular day off. They don't allow them to accumulate time for the future or get the holiday premium pay that they deserve and the union fought for.

NYPD needs to spend money in multiple facilities as members occupy. Some locations have deplorable conditions. These commands have holes in the walls,

ceilings, broken HVAC systems, overcrowded rooms and

appreciative and comfortable in their work space.

We know that crime has risen in our streets,

need more policing to protect our families, streets

the city is significantly higher than the national

transit systems and along with the gun violence. We

and home. We also know that the unemployment rate in

lots of other issues. A member should feel

2.

average. Inflation rate is at its all-time high. We

as a community need to address these issues -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JIM MCLEOD: With a common-sense approach. And if can get a second more Madam? A couple seconds more?

Inflation is at an all-time high. We as a community need to address these issues in a common sense approach. Put all able Uniform bodies in the field to protect and serve as they were hired to do. This will free up some 800 or more positions where they are doing clerical duties. Hire more entry level positions such as PAA's, SPAA's, clerical associates, secretaires, cashiers and paralegals to do the clerical function at each and every command.

These hires will help the unemployment rate go down and build a better economy in New York City like it was before the pandemic hit. The agency does not give cash overtime to uniform employees for clerical duties, they should be assigned to a PAA's or SPAA's. Comptroller Brad Lander just released a report on January 19th of this year on NYPD Civilianization efforts. Like his predecessors and before him, they have reached the same conclusion. NYPD has failed to truly make civilization efforts in its agency as a reality as requested time and time again.

Instead, they have come before this Council to report more Uniform personnel is needed each and every year. Local 1549 contends that PAA's, SPAA's need the support of the city and the agency with pay inequities, staffing and decent facilities to work in. The citizens of New York City needs the agency to use its billion dollar budget in a ways to help reduce crime and allocate money in appropriate ways to save tax payers in areas it can. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Olivia Duong followed by Lisa Schreibersdorf.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

methods.

22

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Olivia, you may begin.

OLIVIA DUONG: Hi, good afternoon everyone.

Good afternoon Chair Hanks and fellow Council Members. My name is Olivia Duong and I am President of Local 3778, DC 37 AFSCME representing 300 members of service who are typically not heard of. We are civilian NYPD professional titles such as criminalists or forensic scientists, city research scientists, architects and engineers. I am here to highlight the hard work of NYPD civilians and to voice our support to further civilianize the department. The criminalists of police laboratory are part of the NYPD Detective Bureau. We are forensic scientists who analyze different types of evidence involved in alleged crimes such as controlled substances, fingerprints and firearms. Our job is to remain objective and partial and unbiased while conducting analysis using scientific

We provide the results to the Assistant District Attorney's across all five boroughs as well as the federal government. Criminalists also testify in court as expert witnesses to the results of our

analysis. Our testimony educates juries to make informed verdicts. Throughout the pandemic, criminalists were deemed essential workers for a critical role in public safety and we answered the call.

The scrutiny of the NYPD's budget, we are in agreement with the Committee that more oversight is needed and exactly where and how money is spent within the department. Civilians can play a larger part in support rules for policing. I'd like to point out a few examples where there would be some cost savings in the NYPD.

One place be the firearms analysis section of the police laboratory, which is where a hybrid uniform civilian section exists. There's a discrepancy here where for the same work product. The analysis of firearms or operability, restoration, etc.. The uniformed members being paid much, much more.

Having civilians in this unit would be an added advantage to the city within compromising the quality of work.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

OLIVIA DUONG: Chairwoman if I may continue just for a little bit more? Thank you. Another area

we're looking into is the evidence control section of the police laboratory, which is responsible for 24/7 intake security and storage or forensic evidence.

The second is traditionally staffed by 100 percent uniformed members. When there was a massive

7 retirements by the police officers during the

8 pandemic, criminalists stepped up to the plate and

9 | filled in those positions during the height of the

10 pandemic. It's evident that these roles to not

11 require some of the extensive police training to be

12 | filled up to do this type of work.

There are more areas where we can further civilianize the NYPD and the union applauds City

Council for pushing the initiative. I do not believe that the public wants a reduction in forensic science or less internal oversight of the NYPD. And similar to the attrition issues that the District Attorney's brought up, criminalists are leaving employment with the city by large numbers and moving to other state and municipal forensic laboratories. We are losing talent and people who have dedicated many years of service to the city due to pay parity and lagging promotion timelines for our members. All of our members joined the department at the lowest end of

2.

the salary range and rarely, if ever, have the opportunity to receive salary adjustments within their range.

This has been a long standing issue with the police laboratory and further exasperated with the pandemic. Chair Hanks, I submit my written testimony for the record and I thank you for the opportunity to speak today. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Next, we'll hear from Lisa Schreibersdorf followed by

Alice Fontier followed by Tina Luongo followed by

Justine Olderman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Hi everybody. My name is
Lisa Schreibersdorf and I am the Executive Director
of Brooklyn Defender Services. I want to thank the
Committee for providing the New York City's Public
Defenders some time and opportunity to talk about our
work and our budgets. Today's National Public
Defense Day, which I hope you can see on my
background. Most people never have to use our
services but if you or a loved one find themselves in
the very unfortunate position of being behind bars,
our dedicated and skilled criminal defense attorney's

Ĭ

are God sent. Not only will they explore all legal issues and investigate the facts of your case and litigate your case on trial, but they will stand beside you as you are dragged through a horrific process, especially if the judge sets bail and you are on Rikers Island while you wait for a trial.

We have heard a lot today about public safety but there is something fundamentally wrong about the notion that we can arrest and prosecute our way to solving the problems that give rise to drug use, the mental health crisis or the challenges our youth have in the city. And we certainly cannot and should not delegate to prosecutors no matter how well intentioned the solutions that will actually solve some of the overwhelming problem we are seeing.

We as public defenders provide a different type of safety for the public, protection from the overreach that law enforcement has in this city.

Protection from harsh laws that destroy lives often because of one mistake or one moment in a persons life. We provide a safety net for people we represent as well. We are their individual advocate and in that role, we are able to truly assist when our low income clients are dealing with issues,

problems, concerns that relate to poverty and racism. We provide assistance with housing and benefits. We represent people who are being suspended from school, are facing immigration consequences and many other issues. Social workers and other staff in our offices stand by our clients and their families and communities assisting them in the most fundamental needs.

You will hear more from my colleagues about our budgets for our criminal contracts. But I wanted to take a moment to talk about another —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time.

LISA SCHREIBERSDORF: Public function that we provide, which is representing parents whose children are being removed to foster care by ACS. I wanted to highlight this program because MOCJ is the agency that oversees this legal service and MOCJ is under the jurisdiction of this Committee. Although they did not testify today, which is a disappointment to us who need to hear their vision for the coming budget. Parents, I only be one more minute. Parents facing the loss of their children, deal with one of the most devastating circumstances imaginable.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Alice, you may go

ahead.

Unlike the image of an abusive uncaring parent, in fact, the vast majority of families targeted by ACS are families of color living in very low income communities. Often the allegations that bring the family into court have to do with parents who are struggling with mental illness, drug or alcohol use. Have a developmental or physical disability or have children who need services that the parent is unable to access.

As of last year, statewide standards, promulgated by the Office of Indigent Legal Services establishes that our funding needs to double or more just to meet those standards. We are asking that the City Council demand that the Mayor add an additional \$40 million to the city budget specifically for these legal services to be designated across our offices as deemed appropriate.

I want to wish everyone a very happy Public Defense Day. Please call someone who does this important work today and thank them for what they do. I will pass it to Alice Fontier of Neighborhood Defense Service of Harlem.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ALICE FONTIER: Thank you and as Lisa said, I'm Alice Fontier, the Managing Director of the Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem. As Lisa was beginning to describe, contact with a criminal child welfare immigration, housing and broader systems threatens immediate and long lasting destabilization for individuals and families who are already most vulnerable.

The destabilization that results from systems involvement extends beyond individuals and their families, touching entire communities. By addressing the underlying problems that trigger legal issues, our offices help reduce recidivism and increase public safety, while maintaining the fabric of some of New York's most vibrant neighborhoods through preserving housing, preventing deportation, and reducing barriers to opportunity.

Our offices are the primary providers of indigent and mandated legal representation in this city. work that we do serves not only our individual clients but also stabilizes families and thereby the entire community. When our offices are properly funded and we are able to provide the full array of

holistic services, we create a very real and measurable tangible financial benefit to the city.

In the criminal courts, a study that was recently conducted by RAND, demonstrated that over a ten year period, holistic defense in this study alone helped clients avoid 1.1 million days of incarceration.

Reduced incarceration rates by 16 percent, cut pretrial detention by nine percent and shortened the sentence link by 24 percent.

At the time of this study, this work resulted in a savings of \$165 million in housing costs alone. Given that the cost of pretrial incarceration in this city has skyrocketed to over half a million dollars per year, per person, it is simple math to demonstrate the value in reducing the number of people and the amount of time they spend on Rikers Island. And that is what we do.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALICE FONTIER: I need another minute. In the last few years, the city awarded our offices both of conflict criminal cases and homicide cases. Because it has been conclusively demonstrated that our offices obtain excellent results for our clients and we do it efficiently.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The average length of time that a case pends, impacts every facet of the case from the likely outcome to the cost of the case. The homicide contracts were awarded in 2018 and have not been revisited, while the homicide rate has unfortunately increased significantly. Our offices continue to intake new cases despite being well over the anticipated intake numbers with no additional financial support from the city. The end result is that our homicide staff are overburdened and will be forced to delay trials. The exact opposite impact that the city saw in creating these contracts.

Our offices are also the primary providers of mandated legal representation to indigent parents in Article 10 cases filed in New York City Family Together, we have created nationally Courts. recognized model of interdisciplinary parent representation and together we have prevented thousands of children from needlessly entering the foster system and we have reduced the city's foster system census by almost 50 percent since 2007. NYU School of Law study that examined over 28,000 child welfare cases in New York City found that holistic, multidisciplinary representation is more

/

effective than traditional legal representation at reunifying families and shortening the length of stay in foster care. This translates to nearly \$40 million in annual savings for the city and the preservation of family bonds that are priceless to our clients, their children and society at large.

Uhm, our offices are now also providing mandated representation that the city has uhm, City Council has generously funded in immigration courts through the NIFUP program. Where a recent study demonstrated that the impact of having representation in deportation proceedings resulted in a 1,100 percent increase. In the ability to stay within this country. Those people who are protected from deportation contribute \$2.7 million in federal, state, and local taxes each year.

In housing court, in 2013, approximately one percent of tenants had a lawyer in housing court. Through the pilot project, 62 percent of tenants in those zip codes had lawyers from our offices. It is impossible to measure the value and economic impact of protecting people's homes and keeping them from becoming homeless. That destabilizing and catastrophic impact must be avoided at all costs.

\_

In sum, in every aspect of the legal system, our offices are providing top level legal services to the indigent and vulnerable. These services stabilize entire communities and have very tangible benefits to these families and the city as a whole. So, we are asking City Council to continue our funding and fund us to the extent that it is necessary to provide true, meaningful, holistic services in each of these practice areas. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Next, we will hear from Tina Luongo followed by

Justine Olderman followed by Kathy Kramer and Evelyn

Graham-Nyassi.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tina, you may go ahead.

TINA LUONGO: Good afternoon. My name is Tina Luongo and I'm the Chief Defender at the Legal Aid Society. And you know, we just heard my colleagues and the other defender organizations talk about the critical role our holistic teams play. And when we talk about that, we talk about that we are a people driven service. Our staff are important in communities, lawyers, paralegals, investigators,

social workers, administrators and our operations staff.

We are there in our courts communities way beyond there's an arrest, way after a conviction, when people return home and to prevent people from ever going in. Now more than ever, with the crisis at Rikers and the unfortunate death after death that has happened even yesterday, OCA's push to move fun matters faster, in a political play and a backlog of matters delaying fairness and justice because of COVID. That keep people locked away and prevent them from coming home.

Now more than ever, it is us, the public defenders that protect those people. And I want to raise that there's a bit of an imbalance when we talk about public safety, that we're also not talking about the role of the public defender. We spent hours upon hours this morning in billions of dollar conversation with NYPD and speaking to six district attorney's about the money they need. And here we are the Public Defenders, needing the same exact thing but not having the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice here to talk about their plan or to answer questions from Council Members about what they are

\_

going to do to provide the public defenders and the services providers that provide critical alternatives to incarceration, the funding they need. Because through MOCJ is where our funding happens.

The fact is we need more money and let me give you a reason why. Since Fiscal Year 2020, our contracts for trial level, a pellet level, family representation —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TINA LUONGO: Have been held flat. I'm going to need more time. Have been held flat and we haven't received the COLA in many, many years. Now, as you know inflation is up seven plus percent. And as independent nonprofit agencies, the costs of our rent and healthcare and other fringe benefits fall on us to bear within our flat contracts. That is not the case for the DA's or Court Counsel that have the benefits that when the rent goes up or healthcare goes up, the city covers that. So, that every dollar that is given to the district attorney or cooperation counsel goes right to personnel costs or technology.

When we are held flat, we have to make choices.

Ones that harm the people we represent. The

communities of New York City most vulnerable.

\_

/

\_ -

Without additional funding from the city and on our MOCJ contracts, we are forced for instance to decide behind hiring more staff and covering healthcare increases without additional money. We cannot enter our contract negotiations with our two unions knowing that the increases we give them will be reimbursed.

As you heard the DA's hired hundreds of people in Fiscal Year 2022. I'm the Citywide Provider of Public Defense and in all five of my offices, I barely was able to hire a few laterals leaving my office for attrition, for the exact same reasons you heard the DA's all testify to. Cost of living, more pay parity needed, all of those things affect us as well except we have to also deal with the rent increases and the healthcare increases.

So, we are asking the Council and this

Administration to make an effort to fund us

appropriately. To take into consideration cost

inflation and to take into consideration that when

our rent goes up, we have to pay it, which means we

need more people. And finally, I want to talk about

technology because it was a big piece of the DA's

testimony and I want to say I agree with them. More

technology dollars are needed for us to be able to on

the other side as we are representing the people who are at Rikers Island and in the community accused and

4 awaiting trial, that we need those same dollars to go

5 to the technology because we received the discovery.

6 We receive the 28 body cam footage from the DA's who

7 just told you that they need more money.

I really appreciate hearing DA Clark today call out for pay parity for the public defenders. And we should be having pay parity with the DA's up against the salaries of court counsel, which during this time after pay parity one, which we also received, we understand that that same group of people that we were supposed to have pay parity with are zero to five staff. Actually during this pendency has gotten a three percent increase, which means that same group of people we were promised pay parity aren't actually in line now.

And while we are also talking about pay parity to for our more senior people, there have been no commitment by this Administration to put money in the dollars and so we call for that. I thank you for your time and I'm happy to answer questions. More of this will be in my written testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Tina.

We're going to move onto Justine Olderman followed by Kathy Kramer followed by Evelyn Graham-Nyassi. What I would kind of ask is that if folks could please try to kind of stay within the time constraints that we're setting. I know that this is a short timeframe but please, we have a lot of individuals who are trying to testify as well, so we'd like to try to accommodate as many people as we can. And again, testimony could be submitted into writing as well.

Justine, go ahead.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Thank you so much. As defenders, I can say we would love to spend all of our time, as limited as it is here making the case like the DA's did for greater investment in what we do but as organizations that are collectively owed close to \$50 million from the City of New York, we just simply don't have the luxury.

So, I'm going to spend my time talking about the multitude of ways the city has failed to live up to its contractual obligations, failed the nonprofits it claims to partner with, and more importantly, has turned its back on the tens of thousands of people who rely on our organizations each year. I know it

is late. I know people are tired. I know that there's a lot to get to and I especially know that contract procurement is not exactly an exciting or sexy topic. But this is about more than contracts. It is about the commitment by the city to low-income people, to the people in your districts. It is about our values and as was referenced by Council Member Cabán on the anniversary of Gideon vs Wainwright, 

it's about the right to counsel.

I'm going to spend just a minute going through the problem. Collectively we contract with the City of New York for over \$350 million a year. Our contracts are cost reimbursement. We have to pay our expenses out of pocket before we can get paid through the invoicing and reimbursement process. This is not ideal but it can work. But it only works if our contracts are registered on time. When that happens at the beginning of every Fiscal Year, we will receive a quarter of the total contract amount. That in theory provides us with the cash we need to pay three months' worth of expenses.

We then invoice for the expenses and when we get reimbursed, we use that money to pay for the next three months' worth of expenses. However, when the

contracts aren't registered on time, we don't get the advance and we can't invoice for our expenses.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: We have to find another sources of funding to pay for our programs. The delays in our contracts at this point have ballooned to the point where they span years, requiring us to find years' worth of funding to operate our organizations.

Let me just give you a little sense of what this looks like in practical terms. The Bronx — I'm going to use Bronx Defenders as an example. We currently owe \$12 million by the city. We don't have an endowment. We don't have a reserve fund and we don't have a line of credit. Why? Because most funders don't understand why we would need any of those things given that we provide constitutionally and statutorily mandated services funded by the City of New York.

Because we don't have enough cash laying around, we have to prioritize our spending and that's going to be our staff, our payroll. Without being able to pay the dedicated defenders that staff our offices, we would leave the courts without lawyers to defend

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

the people of New York and we would have to turn away thousands of low income people in need.

So, what happens? What goes left unpaid when we're cash strapped? Rent, utilities, software to do legal research, expert witnesses, court reporters and the list goes on. What else goes unpaid, you heard about this a little bit earlier, vacant staff lines. Right, because we don't have the cash to be able to fill them, so the lines stay open and when we're short staffed as was already talked about with the DA's, the workload goes up. Workload goes up, morale goes down and the people, you came to the Council to represent, they are the one's that get hurt. And when organizations like ours are struggling just to make payroll, what else happens is an inornate amount of time is spent just trying to make sure that low income people ensnared in the various legal systems that my colleagues have highlighted, get the support they need and deserve.

All of this hurts the people that the city claims to care about. I was happy to see recently a report from the joint taskforce that is so named, the Taskforce to get nonprofits paid on time but we have

all seen our fair share of taskforce recommendations that go nowhere.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Excuse me Justine, could you please try to wrap up your testimony as soon as possible? Thank you.

JUSTINE OLDERMAN: Thank you Josh. I'm here to ring the alarm bell with my colleagues. This is a budget hearing. You are here to assess how much money should be allocated in the budget to various city agencies but a budget is about more than a list of numbers. It is a reflection of our commitments and our values but it's only a reflection of our commitments and our values if it translates into money and that money translates into services for low income people in need.

If you care about the people you came to the City Council to represent, more importantly, if you care about low income Black and Brown people who are overwhelmingly the people who need our services, you have to care about this issue. You have to care about the defenders that serve them and you have to care about the contracting problem that prevents us from delivering high quality services the city pays us for. There is no time to waste. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Moving on, we'll now receive testimony in this order from Evelyn Graham-Nyassi, Nancie Katz and then Shane Correia. Evelyn, you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Evelyn are you present? If not, we're going to be moving on to the next person. Nancie Katz.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

NANCIE KATZ: Hi, I'm sorry, I'm sorry, it's my turn Nancie?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, go ahead Nancie.

NANCIE KATZ: Okay, okay, hi. So, my name is

Nancie Katz, I am the Director of Seeds in the

Middle. My request is a little bit out of the box

from what everybody else was talking about but when

we're talking about public safety, we're also talking

about things that we can do that can support the

police or make areas more safe and so, we work in

Brownsville. One of the churches we work is called

the Charity Baptist Church on Walker Street between

Belmont and Pitkin. We run school fund farm stands,

we run a soccer program for underserved youths in

Brownsville and Crown Heights and we've proposed an education program five days a week for ages K-5 in

4 the church. The church is volunteering it's space

for not only education but also arts education,

6 healthy eating, cooking, and building community on

7 | that block.

The reason I'm mentioning that block is because it's one of the most dangerous in the city. The 73rd Precinct is dealing with you know drug gang killings, a lot of violence and the pastor and his wife, who I hope will get on here, can't even hold a service in their church because of all the vagrance around and the threat of violence. And so, there's a lot of hopelessness around there and entered a petition, the Department of Transportation to get more street lamps up. I don't know when that's going to happen but that was a direct request of the 73rd Precinct because they said if it was better lit over there than it would be easier for them.

So, I'm coming from the perspective of a not-for-profit that is trying to empower the community, create options that could help take back the street, so to speak. Soccer on the street, an education program, we also proposed Brownsville moms healthy

food store right around the corner of the market and a wellness area. There's some nice entrepreneurs in that area. We work with youth. We work with other

5 organizations. Fortunate Society and -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

NANCIE KATZ: To engage youth. So, I'm asking that you please support our asks to the City Council. We're small but we're very effective and we often are overlooked because we're so small. That's it, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Next, we will be going to Shane Correia. Go ahead

Shane.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SHANE CORREIA: Thank you so much and thank you
Chair Hanks and members of a historic New York City
Council for allowing me to testify today. My name is
Shane Correia, I'm the Deputy Director of Government
Partnerships at the Center for Court Innovation.

For members who may not know our organization, we are one that researches and implements justice reforms, that advanced fairness and effectiveness.

While we use our reach to provide expert assistance across the nation and the world, the majority of our

direct service sites are here in New York City, where we help improve civil, family, criminal and non-court based processes touching the justice system.

In fact, I have a personal connection to it where I'm a South Bronx Native and a participant in one of the programs after two of my siblings were arrested and deported for felony crimes back in 2002. Which brings me here to talk to you today about what the Center for Court Innovation is doing in justice system reform. Specifically, we're implementing new approaches for addressing intimate partners violence, anti-gun violence programming and reducing unnecessary incarceration through community supervision.

All of which the relevant renewal and expansion proposals are in our testimony and we'll reach out to you through our individual services sites to brief you on. I also want to thank the DA's for their support of these programs and several of their letters of support requesting Council's continuation, expansion and initial funding of our major proposals.

Regarding our budget asks, I just want to highlight a couple of items. One is our ask to expand our core center funding under the innovative

criminal justice initiatives which we use to flexibly respond to the needs as they arise throughout all five boroughs. Over the last two years, that's been everything from pandemic responses to deploy

7 responses in the following months during the summer

technology to implementing new methods of gun

protests. We ask that this funding be expanded to \$1

million to further empower our direct service

10 community sites.

Similarly, we're asking for the city to continue its support of the felony alternatives to incarceration in Brooklyn and expand it to support the funding in Manhattan where asset forfeiture funds are dwindling and it's expected to run out in March of 2023 which is —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SHANE CORREIA: Part way through the Fiscal Year. Thank you and finally, I just want to highlight our other proposals which we have intersecting with the general welfare of mental health and substance abuse committees. Because of our unique position in prevention response and alternatives to incarceration, our proposals are driven by where we see practical opportunities that intersect between

_	
$^{\circ}$	
/	

## 

## 

## 

what we otherwise understand as sometimes silos and we hope to bridge those with the support of Council. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Shane.

Next, we'll turn to Mateo Guerrero-Tabares and I

believe Mateo will be given testimony and then we'll

be translating for a number of individuals as well.

So, Mateo, you may give your testimony and then we'll move on to the other individuals who I believe are also prepared to testify. Mateo, are you present?

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Yes, I'm right here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. Go ahead and then we could lead into the other folks after you, okay?

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Sounds good.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: I'm so sorry, my computer just froze. Uhm, well good afternoon, my name is Mateo Guerrero-Tabares, and I am the TGNCIQ Lead Organizer at Make the Road New York. I'm here testifying on the importance of reallocating the funds from the Vice units into community programs and services for impacted communities.

The Vice squad is a unit that is tasked with policing offenses deemed immoral, such as consensual sex work, street-level narcotics use, and gambling. However, over the past four years that I have working with undocumented and immigrant transgender women in Jackson Heights and different areas of Brooklyn, we have seen and have been able to document a pattern of harassment, surveillance, sexual assault, and violent raids against community members who are perceived as sex workers or who are sex workers, particularly in the 110th and 115th precinct.

Today we are about to hear the stories of
Katherine, Dulce, Roselyn, and Ruby. And those are
just four testimonies out of so many community
members who have been unjustly arrested. There are
many more testimonies of community members who have
been told that they need to perform sexual favors, so
that they are not be arrested, or who were forced to
give the names and locations of other sex workers in
the neighborhood to prevent their arrest.

Police have also been following transgender community members around the neighborhoods with flashing lights and forcing them until they get to their homes, to their apartments, and they usually

increase the level of harassment around four a.m. when the clubs are closing in the Jackson Heights area. This behavior from the Vice units, and in general from the NYPD is terrorizing and only continues to instigate fear in our community.

Nearly 93 percent of Vice's targets for

purchasing sex are Black, Brown, or Asian, while 90

percent of those targeted for selling sex are people

of color. Almost all the people Vice officers prey

upon are in low-income neighborhoods like Jackson

Heights and 5th Avenue in Brooklyn. Under Mayor de

Blasio, New York City has paid more than \$1 million —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Expired.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: To settle false arrest claims by people targeted for patronizing. As Make the Road New York we demand that the funds that have been historically allocated to the Vice units are redirected to community services and programs to prevent further harassment, assaults, violence, and criminalization of or trans, queer, nonbinary, and gender-expansive community members who are sex workers or perceived as sex workers. Investing in community safety means investing in health, access to affordable and permanent housing, legal services,

\_

\_\_\_

support for survivors of violence, and in general services that will nourish and protect Trans and queer communities across New York, particularly those that have been victims to the NYPD. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Mateo. Do the other individuals who are logged in of your name want to kind of go after you and then you can use the translation for them?

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, so just name who you want to testify and then go from there. Go ahead.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 6:54:56-6:55:01]

KATHERINE SAL: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 6:55:02-6:57:50].

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Gracias Katherine [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 6:57:53].

KATHERINE SAL: Yeah.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Uhm, so, good afternoon, Thank you all for hosting this public safety hearing. My name is Katherine Sal, I am a member of Make the Road New York and I am a trans woman from Guatemala, a resident of Queens, and a sex worker. —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

22

24

LANGUAGE 6:59:59-7:00:08].

Yup. [SPEAKING IN OTHER

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Two weeks ago, around three a.m., while I was walking home, there were two White men who approached me in a black car and asked me "how much do you charge?". They showed me cash and I told them to stop bothering me because I knew beforehand that they were undercover officers. They told me to get in the car," and I decided to ignored them, so they continued on their own way. These is just one of the many tactics that the Vice Police squad uses to arrest and criminalize our community. This squad is not trying to help anyone who is surviving human trafficking, what this police squad is doing is raiding people they consider to be doing sex work, which is not under their jurisdiction. And that is why today, for the first time, I am raising my voice to demand that New York City transfers the money that has historically been allocated for the Vice squad and reinvest it in social programs for our community.

Many of our sisters who do sex work live in fear of going out to the streets to work because they might end up arrested, or they might end up sexually or physically assaulted by the Vice police and it has happened to many trans women already. New York City needs to invest in low-income TGNCIQ community and stop criminalizing and deporting us for being just and deporting us. They need to invest in our wellbeing and they need to continue to contribute to the New York economy.

It is time to close the gaps and improve on our health, employment, housing, and services for immigrant TGNCIQ New Yorkers. We need more resources, no more criminalization. Thank you all.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Mateo and please move onto the next individual who is going to need translation services as well. Go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES:

25

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:00:14-7:00:17].

DULCE: Okay. [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:00:19-7:02:02].

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:02:04-7:02:07]. Good afternoon everyone. Thank you for holding this public safety hearing. My name is Dulce. I am a member of Make the Road New York and I am a trans person from El Salvador, an immigrant, and a resident of Queens and also a sex worker.

The Vice unit has criminalized many of my community members for many years, especially my trans sex worker sisters, who have been deported for raids and wrongful arrests made by the Vice squad. I am here to raise my voice and make it clear that New York City needs to dismantle the Vice Police Units. I demand that New York City, and the new Mayor Eric Adams, stop providing more money to the Vice units and instead invest in our communities. The rate of discrimination in unemployment, food insecurity, trauma, unstable housing and police abuse are issues that are little heard of, but a reality that we face in my community very often.

د ک

CEDCEANI

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

Many of our community members are at increased risk for anxiety, depression, suicide, low life satisfaction, self-harm, isolation, PTSD, HIV, and incarceration. And that is a reason why it is necessary to invest in programs for our communities and stop investing in —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Police squads that constantly harass our community and use racist and sexist tactics to criminalize us and put barriers in our futures. These raids and arrests lead to barriers to obtaining a decent job, housing and being able to fix our immigration status.

So it's time for New York City to transfer the money that has been historically been allocated for the Vice squad and reinvest it in social programs for our communities. Thank you.

[SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:03:49-7:04:03]

Uhm, if we can unmute Roselyn Martinez, the person who is raising her hand. She is the other person who I am interpreting for.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, one second.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: Thank you so much.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Roselyn, I'm requesting to unmute you, can you please click unmute?

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:04:35-7:05:091

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hey, Mateo, are we okay moving onto the next person. We can come back to her once we figure out the muting situation?

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: I have her testimony and I will read her testimony in English.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay.

MATEO GUERRERO-TABARES: [SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:05:35-7:05:37]. Okay, good afternoon, thanks to the City Council for creating this Public Safety Hearing. My name is Roselyn, I am a leader on the Trans Immigrant Project at Make the Road New York. I identify as a transgender woman and I am here today to share my testimony about my interactions with the police.

In 2019, I was arrested by the police, as they mistook me for another person they were looking for. When they saw the photo they had of the person and compared it to me, they realized that I was not the person they were looking for, but they still decided to arrest me and take me to jail. The police were

\_

targeting me only based on my gender identity, and as a result they charged with sex work.

I have no doubt that the police patrol that arrested me is one of Vice's squads. Vice is a police unit that has been responsible for harassing and raiding people who they believe are doing sex work. Vice hides under the guise of supposedly helping people who are surviving human trafficking, but in reality what they do is persecute and harass people who are sex workers or who are perceived as such.

So it's time for New York City to transfer the money that has historically been allocated for the Vice squad of the police and reinvest it in social programs for our community. Our communities don't need more arrests or police raids, we need access to affordable housing, health, job training programs and legal services. Thank you for your time. We demand more resources, not more raids.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Mateo.

Next, we'll move onto Andy Bowen followed by Salma

Andrews. I believe Salma is also going to be

translating for some individuals on her end as well.

So, Andy, you may go ahead and then we will move on from there.

ANDY BOWEN: Thank you. Thank you Chair Hanks,

Council Members and staff for making this hearing

possible. My name is Andrea Bowen and I'm Associate

Director of Government Affairs for the Sex Workers

Project of the Urban Justice Center or SWP.

SWP in collaboration with and guided by impacted communities offers legal advocacy to survivors of human trafficking and people who engage in sex work regardless of whether they do so by choice, circumstance, coercion.

I want to focus on two budget issues today.

First noting that the NYPD Vice division does not need to be funded at the \$18.2 million level but it has been funded at in the last previous several fiscal years. Vice arrests are down but Vice harassment of BIPOC and transgender communities has still occurred even since the expose of Vice in December 2020.

Following the Mayor's desire to eliminate proutilized government funds, we ask that Vice not continue to be funded at its level of \$18.2 million. What would be helpful to sex workers in the

\_

Ŭ

communities they have worked in is using funding, ideally by repurposing \$10 million from the Vice budget to better serve the actual needs of sex worker communities.

It is critical for the city to establish a physical center which would aid an accessibility to services and community building for sex workers, message workers and trafficking survivors. There are many organizations that are trusted by the impacted communities and we aim to work with these communities and let the city know where to put the funding.

Friends of mine will be providing more details about their experiences with Vice through the years and also the need for community services for sex workers, former sex workers and trafficking survivors. Some of my friends have already testified.

Thank you so much Chair Hanks and Council Members and staff very much for your attention to these issues, holding these hearings, making the translation possible and constantly being in conversation with marginalized communities to address longstanding and ongoing injustice.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Salma Andrews, are you testifying on behalf of yourself or also translating?

SALMA ANDREWS: Just by myself. I'm going to help somebody else to translate.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay.

SALMA ANDREWS: Okay, uh, thank you. Thank you Chair Hanks and members of the Council and staff who help make this hearing happen. And I am testifying for the requests made by many others today to ensure that \$10 million Vice budget is appropriated and repurposed to better serve the real needs of sex worker communities. And I want to tell you about my experience with New York Police Department and people in need of community services, to show what is the needs.

My name is Salma Marmolejo, and I'm a Mexican trans woman living in New York City and I'm currently part of the Sex Worker Project, which I have discovered and realized the number of needs we have for not having employment opportunities, housing, legal services, medical services, mental health, and job opportunities, also opportunities to go to school and the help of these funds would be

York.

We have many dangers outside, example angry,
violent, dangerous customers and domestic violence.

protect the vulnerable group of sex workers of New

very useful to create spaces that train us and

Having more resources and funds to ensure greater safety for sex workers will help them helping us have a better quality of life.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SALMA ANDREWS: And thank you for listening to hear my story and thank you Chair Hanks, and I hope you will support the request to repurpose the \$10 million from the New York Police Department to address the real needs in sex worker community. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Salma, is there any other individuals who you are translating on behalf of after this?

SALMA ANDREWS: Uh, there's somebody else here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If there's someone else who you want to translate on behalf of, you can do that now.

SALMA ANDREWS: Uh, sure, yeah, she's here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay.

\_

SALMA ANDREWS: But she don't want to be on - COMMITTEE COUNSEL: That's fine. That's fine.

Just go ahead. Yes, that's fine.

SALMA ANDREWS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may begin. Afterwards, we're going to Ravi Reddi. So, just Ravi Reddi, I see you.

[SPEAKING IN OTHER LANGUAGE 7:12:51-7:14:54]

SALMA ANDREWS: Thank you Chair Hanks. I'm going to testify for the request made by many others today, to ensure that \$10 million of the New York Police is appropriated and repurposed to better serve the real needs of sex worker communities. My name is Jennifer and I am a sex worker and a trans woman working on the streets in Queens. I have seen police pose as clients so they can trick us into arresting us.

Sometimes when we are walking on the street the police trick us into incriminating ourselves. For example, once when I was walking on Roosevelt Avenue, a police officer told me "where do you live?" and I told him that I live in 108 Street. Then he told me that I was charging \$108 for sex work. And he arrested me. I know that the police in New York continue to do those kinds of tricks on sex workers.

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS:

SALMA ANDREWS: And I would like to see more resources for sex workers in our city, for example assistance finding employees, because many of us from the transgender community who suffer discrimination in employment and have to do the sex work. We need to help find better jobs and to be accepted. Thank you.

Time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony and thank you for the translations as well.

Next, we will hear testimony from Ravi Reddi followed by Mon Yuck Yu and Yafa Diaz. Ravi, you may begin when you are ready.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RAVI REDDI: Thank you so much. I want to thank
Chair Hanks and the Council Members of this Committee
for holding this hearing. I'm sure it's been an
endurance but we deeply value this time. My name is
Ravi Reddi and I am the Associate Director of
Advocacy and Policy at Asian American Federation.

The Stop AAPI Hate platform collected over 9,000 reports of anti-Asian hate incidents from March 2020 to June 2021 from all 50 states. In NYC, there were more than 2,170 incidents collected by AAF and our

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

partners. Only a fraction of which were reported to
the NYC. We're hearing that there is already an
underreported of almost 70 percent, which can be

5 easily explained by systemic factors impacting

6 competence in reporting to government institutions.

Recent murders in our community unfortunately across our city, assaults in all of your districts only

9 highlight the trauma that our community is navigating

10 | in real time.

Our own Hope Against Hate Campaign is working toward immediate safety for Asian New Yorkers as you'll hear from our colleagues Mon Yuck Yu from AMPHS and Yappa Diaz from AAA NY. These programs are helping tens of Asian led, Asian serving nonprofits provide the safety services, our most vulnerable community members have been demanding. Like safety ambassador programs and Asian enclaves across the city and multilingual victim support services, including mental health support. From the creation of safety pamphlets and resources to providing culturally competent mental health care, our organizations, our member organizations are the experts on the ground and they deserve your attention. They deserve to be prioritized with

funding. So, we're asking for City Council to invest
in and prioritize Asian led, Asian serving community
based organizations like AMPHS and AAA NY that are
already doing the work in a linguistically and
culturally competent manor. They are the experts on
the ground and programs like Our Hope Against Hate

Campaign deserve full support and funding.

Secondly, we are asking for you to invest in the creation of a well-coordinated network of mental health support for vulnerable Asian immigrants that prioritize this collaboration between formal systems and the Asian nonprofits who are the experts on the ground in delivering culturally competent services.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

RAVI REDDI: We have been working together with the City Council and members of the Committee to expand access to response to mental healthcare for the most vulnerable members of the Asian community. A response system and network that doesn't overly rely on NYPD and prioritizes our organizations as the experts they are. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ravi, thank you so much for your testimony. We're going to actually go back to Evelyn Graham-Nyassi, who we missed over earlier

followed by Mon Yuck Yu and uhm Yaffa Diaz. I apologize for the little detour here but I wanted to accommodate that individual. Thank you Evelyn.

EVELYN GRAHAM-NYASSI: Thank you for allowing me to speak. I'd like to thank the City Council Committee on Public Safety for allowing me this opportunity to speak to you today. I will be talking about correct crisis intervention today, which is a broad coalition of peers that's individuals with lived mental health experience, service providers, advocacy organizations and other advocates committed to disability and racial justice.

We launched CCIT in 2012 with the aim to end trauma, abuse injuries and even violent deaths. Our goal is to remove police from mental health crisis responses and instead institute a peer driven health response.

Now, let's fast forward to 2017-2018. And 25 years after my last hospitalization, I was sitting on my sofa where there was a knock at my door. When I opened the door, there were eight to nine police officers in the hallway. A police officer told me that someone from my home had called 911 and said I had a knife. He then said that I had to go with him

and to bring my medication. I was afraid of cops and I knew what they would do to me, so I grabbed my coat and medication. I was escorted outside and the police officer asked me if I wanted to go in the police car or ambulance?

I chose the ambulance because I didn't want to go to jail. I was taken to Bellevue Hospital and dropped off. They put us in a locked room where people were screaming and yelling. We were locked up like animals. I asked for my high blood pressure medication, which is very important but I was ignored and sent back to my seat. It was after Martin Luther King Juniors birthday weekend so nothing would be done until Tuesday. I was angry that I was lied to. Angry that I was lied on. I was angry that I was taken to Bellevue Hospital and angry that I was stuck there until Tuesday.

And then when Tuesday finally arrived, I was taken upstairs to the ward and wasn't released until two weeks later. After being home for a year, I put in an application for Highway to Harm Advocacy Center to be trained as a PS Specialist.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

EVELYN GRAHAM-NYASSI: Oh, time? A person who has been successful in the recovery process and is able to work with others diagnosed with a mental illness, I learned motivational interviewing, active listening, interpersonal communication, cultural competence group facilitation and more.

The program lasts for 20 weeks and I had to do a 12-week internship. I say that to say that peers can be trained and be used to help others who are experiencing a mental health crisis response. Yes, so we thank you for allowing me to speak. Thank you sir.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. We'll now go back to Mon Yuck Yu.

Apologies for the interruption there. You may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MON YUCK YU: Good Afternoon. My name is Mon
Yuck Yu, Executive Vice President and Chief of Staff
at the Academy of Medical and Public Health Services
or AMPHS. Thank you, Chair Hanks, for the
opportunity to testify.

AMPHS is a not-for-profit public health organization in Sunset Park, which is a primarily Chinese and Latino community and we work to bridge

the health equity gap among communities of color through individualized health education, social services, and preventative health services, free of cost and regardless of immigration status.

Over the past two years, much of our work has included addressing rising anti-Asian hate crimes against our community. Mei is a visually impaired, undocumented immigrants and lives alone. She is scared to leave her home after someone tried to push her on the streets. One of the few ways that she can find solace is calling AMPHS' Community Health Worker and speaking with her in Mandarin to resolve her challenges, from seeking public benefits to connecting her with safety accompaniment volunteers for her daily activities.

After having sought free bilingual mental health services for years and struggling with anxiety, she finally found assistance through us. She is also taking virtual self-defense classes with us, and has finally felt comfortable with going outside, despite her continued fears. Our Asian communities are feeling the stress of racism and harassment every day when they ride the subway going to work. There is a 339 percent spike in anti-Asian hate crimes

nationwide since last year, with many more cases going unreported. Sunset Park, Brooklyn is the neighborhood with the highest reported rates of hate crimes in New York City.

Just this past week, we remembered the one-year anniversary of the fatal Atlanta shootings. The list of victims who were stabbed, pushed, assaulted, shot, and called racial slurs goes on. Every day, they live in fear that when they or their loved ones go to school or visit the doctor, they might not return. Seniors are afraid to leave their homes; instead, they live in solitude, sometimes even missing critical healthcare appointments. There is an unspoken fear that they will be next.

We would like to thank the City Council for its historical support of funding through the AAPI Community Support Initiative. The City Council must continue and enhance funding for the initiative to continue supporting this work, in addition —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MON YUCK YU: To supporting more organizations through the Hate Crime Preventions Initiative. The Initiative has allowed us to support our Campaign Against Hate, where we offer free short to middle

anxiety.

term bilingual mental health counseling, support
groups, and self-defense classes and
upstander/bystander intervention workshops for our
most vulnerable community members, including women
and children. There is also currently a three-month
waiting list for mental health services, as community
members continue to experience loss, isolation, and

As a partner of Asian American Federation's Hope Against Hate campaign, we are providing community businesses with safe zones training so businesses that can serve as safe spaces to help targeted individuals with immediate resources and reporting. We are also providing safety accompaniment services, where volunteers trained in upstander intervention accompany vulnerable residents to conduct everyday activities.

Finally, we are offering solidarity-building to help our communities build mutual understanding and stand up for one another. AAPIs are now 18 percent of the city and growing and we can no longer be invisibilized. We appreciate your support to continue this critical work because we know that this public safety crisis will not end until we can come

together as a community to proactively prevent and address the violence against our communities. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Bear with me for a second while we kind of figure out who's the next panel. Uhm, okay, I believe the next panel will be kay, yes. The next panel will be Alex Mojica followed by Anthony Morales followed by Keyanna Bernard followed by Crystal Reyes followed by Solema Dominguez and Kevin Fore. So, Alex, are you on the Zoom and ready to testify?

ALEX MOJICA: Yes, I'm here.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ALEX MOJICA: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Alex Mojica, I am a senior at Bronx Academy for Software Engineering. I live in Council District 15. I'm a Youth Leader with [INAUDIBLE 7:26:35] United and the Urban Youth Collaborative. I like many students in the Bronx attend a school with more police than guidance counselors, more police than social workers, more police than gender neutral bathrooms.

Every morning as I get to school, I have to empty my pockets and hear the cops yell, belts, keys, change, watch, wallets. I have to walk through a metal detector, be stopped and be questioned about any bit of metal on my body.

As I go through these metal detectors and get intimidating looks from the police, I feel less than human. I feel angry, annoyed and hurt that I am not seen as a student but as a criminal as I walk through the front doors in my school. The 18-months of virtual classes had had a major impact on my mental health and I'm sure many of my peers can relate.

We suffered the loss of family members, friends, loss of incomes, stability and even loss of homes but why when schools opened up I was greeted by police at the front door. Why did my school not hire a new social worker or transfer a justice coordinator right away?

As young people, we need support. We cannot attend schools that are over policed and under resourced. The Bronx cannot continue to be our elected officials playground. We will not accept another pilot program that is not in the best interest of our people. So, we will not accept Mayor

2.1

Adams new proposal to upgrade invasive surveillance equipment in our schools and communities. Our call for police free schools is a call for an investment in all of us, so we call on elected officials to listen to our vision and act on our behalf. Our priorities center BIPOC and LGBTQ folks. What do you think you are centering when you vote for a budget that criminalizes us in the streets and in our schools? Mayor Adams's budget continues to fund the school to prison pipeline and funds Black and Latinx youth arrests and continues negative interaction with police in our schools and communities.

This budget is not the budget we call for because we would never invest \$450 million on school police. We would never invest millions of -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALEX MOJICA: And we would never fund racism.

Instead, our budget this year calls for \$75 million to hire 500 new social workers, \$75 million to hire 500 new counselors, and \$5 million baseline funding for mental health continuum and many other things that we actually need.

Today, we call for a real investment in us. Thank you.

2.

was not how I imagined it to be. When the pandemic hit, it make me realize that my school and all

This is all while the Mayor is allowing the years

education budget to drop by \$1 billion instead of

divesting money from policing to make up for it. I

was excited to be in high school but my freshman year

schools across New York City were not equipped to

deal with the situation like this one.

Coming from middle school to my first day of high

school was disorganized and stressful because I was

testimony. Next, we will hear from Anthony Morales followed by Keyanna Bernard. Anthony, you may begin when you are ready.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alex, thank you for your

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ANTHONY MORALES: My name is Anthony Morales; I live in Staten Island and I'm in 10<sup>th</sup> grade and I'm a Youth Leader at Make the Road New York and the Urban Youth Collaborative. I'm here today testifying because after years of us campaigning for police free schools, it is a slap in the face to return back to schools and still see school police and learn that the Mayor and Chancellor are already talking about hiring for these empty school positions.

not informed by any staff about my school schedule nor emotional support that was available to deal with my anxiety from the pandemic. I became aware that there was a lack of funding for social, emotional and mental health support for students.

To me and probably to many students, the lack of resources is a nightmare. We have to stop spending money on policing schools. Hiring new school police is not what young people like myself want. For years, we have been extremely vocal about removing police out of our schools. We have been demanding to relocate that funding from policing students to emotional, social and mental health supports.

There are more school police across New York City schools than social workers, guidance counselors and school nurses available for one million students.

Every time students of color like myself walk into a school building, we have to go through metal detectors. We get treated as the problem but we aren't the problem. Racist policies are the problem. It is more infuriating that school police constantly watch us Black and Latinx students and are ready to punish us for any minor mistake we make. In mostly White schools, where there are fewer school police,

students are constantly surveilled and any mistake
that are seen are treated as opportunities to learn

4 from, not reasons to be punished for.

Now, more than ever we need everyone to listen and stand by us. We need guidance counselors that can help us be on track. We need student success centers that can make the process easy, less stressful and less scary.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ANTHONY MORALES: We need staff on our side that will make us feel safe and supported. I want to be welcomed at a school building that prioritizes students' needs and well-being, not criminalizes just because of their skin color. New York City must spend \$75 million to hire restorative justice coordinators in 500 high schools and \$45 million to implement restorative justice practices.

Unlike policing, restorative practices seek to address the root cause of the harm. It would look at youth like me as a person rather than potential problem. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Hi Anthony, what school, high school do you go to?

ANTHONY MORALES: I go to Wagner.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Okay, I'll be checking in on you. Thank you for your testimony.

ANTHONY MORALES: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony
Anthony. Next, we will receive testimony from
Keyanna Bernard followed by Crystal Reyes followed by
Zelema Dominguez and Kevin Fuller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

KEYANNA BERNARD: Hello, my name is Keyanna. My pronouns are she, her and I'm a high school senior in Brooklyn. I'm one of the core member youth leaders at Make the Road New York and I am the Youth Leader at the Urban Youth Collaborative. This new school year after 18 months of being at home doing remote learning reminded me on my return how stressful it is to have to wait for an hour just to get into school.

On one of the coldest days of the year, I had an AP Biology test and one of the school metal detectors broke. 500 students had to go through one single metal detector and many of us were left out in the cold shivering, desperately waiting to go through the metal detectors and head to our classes.

Imagine how you would feel waiting outside in the midst of the winter season for more than an hour.

Unfortunately for me, I didn't have an hour to take that test. I had one single hour and because of a broken metal detector and a broken sense of how schools treat their students, I missed the most important test in the single most difficult and

unforgiving class I have ever taken.

It also doesn't help that there are 15 to 20 school police on my campus. I've seen every single one of them but I don't know where to go to see a therapist, nurse or social worker in my school. There are so many better ways to spend the \$450 million the city spends on school police. It's unjust that students of color have so many adversities they still have to overcome. School police are put in schools that have a majority of Black and Brown students. This over policing force more students of color to interact with police. Leading them into the school to prison/deportation pipeline.

This is not right. I am fighting for a future where my seven-year-old sister doesn't have police in her schools, as she does now and she is easily able to access any support she may need. We demand you treat students with the respect they deserve by

hiring more support staff and program. Eliminating the more than \$400 million on school policing budget.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KEYANNA BERNARD: Eliminating all current metal detectors from our schools and reinvesting the \$200 million already dedicated to them over the next four years. And there should be no new funding for any new student scanning equipment that Mayor Adams wants to put in our schools. Instead, we should invest \$75 million to hire restorative justice coordinators, \$45 million to implement restorative justice practices. Invest \$75 million to hire school counselors and \$75 million to hire new school social workers. And that's just a start.

Now, spread the word throughout the City Council about our fight for police free schools. We don't plan on giving up, so take us at our word when we tell you we're going to keep on fighting until our schools are better funded and we have police free schools. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Keyanna, thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we will hear from Crystal Reyes followed by Zelima Dominguez, Kevin Fuller.

After Kevin Fuller, we'll hear from Leo Ferguson,

Darren Mack, and Kelly Grace Price. Crystal, you may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Crystal, I see you're logged in at two names, are you present currently? Okay, we will move on to the next panelist will be Zelima Dominguez followed by Kevin Fuller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uhm, we'll move onto the next panelist. Kevin Fuller, are you present? Okay, we will loop back to you if you join and are available.

Next, we will hear from uh, Leo Ferguson followed by Darren Mack followed by Kelly Grace Price.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

LEO FERGUSON: Good afternoon Chair Hanks and Council Members. My name is Leo Ferguson and I am the Director of Strategic Projects at Jews for Racial Economic Justice. I am testifying on behalf of our organization and our partners in the NYC Against Hate Coalition. I'm here to talk about the tragic increase in hate violence plaguing our city.

In FY20, the City Council funded the Hate Crimes

Prevention Initiative at approximately \$1.7 million.

This initiative provides resources to community-based

organizations to engage in culturally competent hate violence prevention and education.

In the years following, that already low level of funding has been cut repeatedly despite skyrocketing levels of violence — directed at Jewish, Asian, LGBTQ New Yorkers and others. Instead, the city has poured resources into the NYPD's Hate Crimes Taskforce and focused on prosecutions intervening after a crime has already occurred and sometimes arresting children as young as 13 or 14. This approach is neither just nor an effective way to prevent violence.

We already heard that the taskforce only made arrests in 50 percent of cases earlier today. But based on recent reporting by the city, only 15 percent of that 50 percent even resulted in a hate crimes conviction, just 87 people over five years.

One of the nice things about long hearings is that I had time to do some rough math. Based on what we heard from the NYPD earlier in that same five year period, we would have spent approximately twenty million, four hundred and sixty thousand dollars on the hate crimes taskforce and that's probably a low number.

Compare that to the embarrassingly tiny amount

2 3 the city is spending on OPHC, the Hate Crimes 4 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Prevention Initiative and Hope Against Hate and you'll see that truly effective violence prevention at the community level has been wildly under resourced in our city and the Jewish community, the Asian community and all New Yorkers have been short This is especially frustration since data changed. shows that hate crimes laws do not in fact deter violence. Hate crimes enforcement displayed by the same racial disparities that define the rest of our criminal legal system and many of the communities most directly impacted by immigrants, undocumented New Yorkers, trans New Yorkers, Black New Yorkers, and others are also least likely to contact the NYPD for understandable reasons.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

LEO FERGUSON: The city's current budget priorities are not as serious response to the terrible problem we face. We are seeking an expansion of funding for programs like HCPI and Hope Against Hate that fund prevention at the community level and other non-carceral bridges. We urge the City Council to stop wasting precious resources so

the NYPD and DA's can play antisemitism whack a mole

3 and instead make a transformative investment in

4 preventing hate violence in communities at scale.

Thank you Chair Hanks and members for the opportunity

7 COMMITT

to testify.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony Leo. Next, we will hear from Darren Mack followed by Kelly Grace Price. Darren, are you on the line? Kelly, are you on the line?

KELLY GRACE PRICE: I'm here, hi, can you hear
me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can. Go ahead.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: I'm sorry to scream. I'm so sorry, it's been such a long day of hearings. I know you can relate.

Uhm, okay, I have my testimony right here if you don't mind, I'm just going to pull it up. This is Kelly Grace Price from Close Rosies. I just want to thank the Committee always for letting the public contribute to these budget hearings. I've already submitted my written testimony and I know that time is short, so if you don't mind, I'm just going to go over the five main points that I made in my written

testimony. And I'd like to put extra emphasis on the fifth point.

The first thing that I really want to do is support the public defenders call for parity in budget. I cannot emphasize this enough. They need staff parity in their salary budgeting and also in the tech and the tools that they are able to gather and to work with. They're so far behind the DA's offices. I heard the Brooklyn DA's Office ask for two more forensic techs for their tech lab and let me tell you, the Legal Aid Society would give an — I don't speak for them but I work with them and I feel like they would give an eye tooth to have two techs. They don't even have a tech.

So, I really want to emphasize that. I don't want to take up all my time but I cannot emphasize that enough.

I also, I'd like to kind of dress down the Bronx

DA a little bit and I put extensive written testimony
that I've already submitted. I've already added that

my level of frustration with the Bronx DA over her
dropping the case of the Rikers Physicians Assistant

Dr. Hanzie is so egregious and her excuse that she
couldn't meet the discovery mandates of Albany is

2.1

just so opaque. She brought that prosecution in 2017 and the discovery laws did not kick in until 2020. I've included at nauseum emails between myself and her office from 2018 until 2021 about this case and you'll see that it was not a discover issue. There's a lot more going on with that and I would really appreciate this Committee trying to dive down to the bottom of what goes on with cases like that. That physicians assistant was indicted on 43 counts of rape.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: And he's still roaming around. The one thing, if you just give me 30 seconds. I really want to emphasize is that it would be great if this Committee could really dig into what the DA's and NYPD are doing with their forensic tech labs. Cell Bright, how much are they spending? I put in my testimony uhm, my great desire to have a new Council Member take up former Council Member Dromm's Intro. 2266. We'd like to get to the bottom of the property that DA's and the NYPD specifically DA's are holding and what kind of data is being extracted from devices that the DA's are holding.

25 How long they are keeping that data?

Rosies.

I appreciate you giving me the extra time. I'm a

little tired of the sound of my voice. I've already

submitted my testimony. Please Council Member Cabán,

Council Member Adams, I really need a sponsor for

2266. I'd like to make this essential focus. Thank

you so much for listening. Kelly Grace Price, Close

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony Kelly. Uhm, Darren Mack, I believe, have you returned?

DARREN MACK: Yes, yes, sorry about that.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No worries, go ahead.

DARREN MACK: Okay.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DARREN MACK: Thank you Chair Hanks, members of the Public Safety Committee. My name is Darren Mack, I'm a Co-Director at Freedom Agenda, which is an organization dedicated to organizing directly impacted people in their communities to achieve decarceration, a system transformation. I'm also a member of the Commission or Reinvestment in the Closer of Rikers Island, established by Local Law 193. And this Commission is charged with ensuring significant reinvestment recommendations made in

2.

vulnerable communities disparity and historically impacted by mass incarceration.

So, research and data have shown a significant percentage of individuals impacted by the criminal legal system reside in historically under resourced neighborhoods in New York City and we know that the most resourced communities are the safest communities. And one question that always arises you know around a budget is where will the city get the funding from? And I want to direct you know everyone's attention to the New York City Department of Corrections which has the highest DOC budget in the country. The proposed Fiscal Year 2023 DOC budget is \$2.679 billion.

We spend the most money in DOC and get the worst results. Yesterday another person passed away in DOC's custody, George Pagan, who had \$1,000 bail and only spent less than one month in DOC custody and lost his life.

So, to be clear, we want programs and services that people held at pretrial detention continue to be funded to meet their basic needs, however, we want to be strategic in right sizing DOC's bloated budget and keep areas and simultaneously be strategic in

reinvestments in the things that people are asking for today.

So, you know good jobs can and do exist outside of law enforcement. You know the choice to invest so much in New York City's budget and policing.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DARREN MACK: Uhm, has meant that you know — that has become a path to the middle class for many people of color but the city can make a choice you know to invest in human services.

And lastly, I want to say that you know I urge
City Council to deflate DOC's bloated budget and
we'll be able to reinvest those resources to fund all
those things that the young people were asking for
today and more and thank you for allowing me to read
my testimony. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony Darren. I'm going to read off a couple names here and you all can just bear with me as we kind of get folks on. Uhm, next up will be Adeyemise Oni followed by Amber Khan, followed by Divad Durant followed by Jonathan Salazar followed by Kercena Dozier and Peter Ronk.

Uhm, if your name was just called, please - okay,

I see, go ahead.

ADEYEMISE ONI: Yes, good afternoon Chair Hanks and the members and staff of the Committee on Public

Safety. My name is Adeyemise and I am a Youth
Organizer and Program Participant at Growth for

Gender Equity. We are offering testimony today to

uplift our demands for police free schools.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

Exposure to constant police presence outside and inside schools make students feel unsafe and intimidated walking into school buildings. Metal detectors and officers with guns and the authority to enforce harm won't help address the issues students are facing today.

Considering the fact that students spend the majority of their time in school, they deserve to feel wanted, welcomed and safe without tactics of control and intimidation. When students enter the school building, they should be greeted by teachers, counselors and other school aids that care about the social, physical and mental wellbeing of these students they are engaging and teaching with.

All the money gone into SSA's should be cut and invested back into the schools and communities that need them to advance a positive social climate.

Decision makers have the opportunity to work together to create the future leaders of today. That starts with more funding that goes towards hiring more social workers and therapists, along with having academic and social after school programs that support students and updated accessible technology.

As a former student in New York City public school, I had the opportunity to do assertive justice programming held by GGE school-based learning team and I know first hand how impactful these programs are and can speak on the importance of them being fully funding opposed to policing. It is important to remind you of it. You people have experienced a tremendous amount of loss during the pandemic.

Personally and educationally and deserve trusted and well-equipped adults that they can turn to.

This is why we demand police free schools.

Students should never feel like they are being surveilled and policed everywhere there go and learn every time they go to learn with their peers. They should never feel the possibility of a negative

2.

,

interaction with a police officer. This only exacerbates emotion and mental stress students are already under. Us young people are the future and you all should make decisions and invest in cultivating positive opportunities —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ADEYEMISE ONI: For the them instead of heavy handed policing. I urge you elected officials to join the fight for police free schools. Use your position in decision making to restore that respect and dignity for every student, especially people of color. Furthermore, we stand in solidarity with the many organizers and education justice advocates across New York City who demand — [LOST AUDIO 7:49:29].

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe we've lost connection there. Uhm, I guess we're going to move on now. Next is Amber Khan or yeah, Amber Khan.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

AMBER KHAN: Hello, thank you. Good afternoon.

My name is Amber Khan and I am the Director of the

Health Justice Program at New York Lawyers for the

Public Interest or NYLPI. Thank you for the

opportunity to present testimony today regarding the

Preliminary Budget and mental health crisis in New York City. New York City must ensure that individuals who experience a mental health crisis receive appropriate services which will deescalate the crisis and ensure their wellbeing and the wellbeing of all other New Yorkers.

Only those who are trained in de-escalation practices should respond to a mental health crisis and the most appropriate individuals to respond are trained peers. Those with lived mental health experience and healthcare providers.

Police who are trained to uphold law in order are not always suited to deal with individuals experiencing crisis and New York's history of its police killing 19 individuals who were experiencing crisis in the last six years alone is a sad testament to that.

Eliminating the police as mental health crisis responders has been shown to result in quicker recovery from crisis, greater connections with long term healthcare services, community resources, and diverting future crisis. Correct Crisis Intervention Today New York City or CCIT NYC, which has over 80 organizational Members including NYLPI, has developed

a needed antidote modeled on the CAHOOTS program,
Crisis Assistance Helping Out On The Streets in
Eugene Oregon, which successfully has operated for
over 30 years without any major injuries to
responders or respondents, let alone deaths.

The CCIT NYC proposal is positioned to make nonpolice responses available to those experiencing
crises in New York City. This proposal also avoids
the enormous pitfalls of the city's Be Heard Pilot.
It includes trained peers and emergency medical
technicians, has culturally competent community
organizations running it. Response time would be
comparable to those of other emergencies. It would
be for 24/7 operating hours. Call would be routed to
a number other than 911. It would have an oversight
committee board of more than 51 percent of more peers
including on the board.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

AMBER KHAN: The full text of this proposal can be found online. NYLPI therefore urges the City Council to ensure that that \$112 million it allocated in last years budget for a nonpolice mental health crisis response be utilized solely for a true

nonpolice response such as the CCIT NYC model and not be utilized for the Be Heard program. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Divad Durant followed by Jonathan Salazar.

DIVAD DURANT: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DIVAD DURANT: Thank you. My name is Divad

Durant, I'm a Representative of the Justice

Committee. I grew up in the section of the Bronx in one of the precincts being targeted by Mayor Adams revamp of the anti-crime unit.

Growing up, I witnessed how a lack of access to quality education, jobs and housing drives community members into survival economies and how over policing cycles us through the criminal legal system. Further deteriorating our chances at employment. Our city needs a transformative shift in its approach to public safety. One that is based on principles of equity and ensuring all New Yorkers have the resources we need to thrive. Unfortunately, Mayor Adams preliminary budget followed New York City's failed pattern of investing in criminalization over people by cutting monies from schools, mental

healthcare and social services, while maintaining the NYPD's clear budget bloat.

When Mayor Adams released his gun violence blueprint, he alluded to increasing resources for Black and Latinx communities yet, these investments are abused in this proposed fiscal year 2023 budget. It increases the headcount of the NYPD by 112 while cutting 249 positions from the Department of Health, Mental and Hygiene and 381 from the Department of Homeless Services.

The safest communities have the least officers and the most resources. Over policing worsens conditions like poverty that drive people into survival economies. If passed, the Preliminary Year Fiscal Year 2023 Budget will only perpetuate the safety concerns we are all seeking to address. We urge the City Council not to accept the status quo and instead advance a Fiscal Year 2023 budget a public safety plan that makes historical levels of investment in services and infrastructure for our communities including non-law enforcement violence intervention programs.

Cuts the NYPD bloat and eliminates the  $112\ \text{new}$  positions. Removes the NYPD from mental health

response, homeless outreach, youth engagement and social service roles. Focuses on police accountability —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

DIVAD DURANT: Not over policing. Even as the revamped anti-crime unit hits our streets, taxpayers are being forced to pay the salaries of the officers who murdered Delrawn Small, Eric Garner, Allan Feliz, Kawaski Trawick and Antonio Williams. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Next, we'll hear from Jonathan Salazar followed by

Kercena Dozier and Peter Ronk.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JONATHAN SALAZAR: Thank you Chair Hanks and
Council Members of the Public Safety Committee for
the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is
Allison Halhan and I am the Senior Policy Manager
with the Osborne Associations New York Initiative for
Children of Incarcerated Parents testifying on behalf
of my colleague Jonathan who had something to attend
to.

Osborne provides a wide range of diversion and reentry programs in the Bronx, Brooklyn, Harlem,

Buffalo, and Newburgh, as well as services at more than 30 State prisons and in New York City jails including Rikers. We provide a range of services for children whose parents are in the criminal legal system, in total serving close to 12,000 people each year.

There are many ways to promote public safety and my testimony will focus on one under-discussed strategy. Safeguarding children when a parent is arrested. This is not only critical for childrens wellbeing but also for their evolving view of law enforcement and thus, is part of a larger strategy to improve community police relations.

Currently, there is no data on how many children in New York City are present when a parent or caregiver is arrested. And until recently, it was rare to find a law enforcement agency that provided training on child development and interacting with children.

From our programs, we know that children and young people are deeply affected by a parents arrest and carry this experience for years or even a lifetime, never speaking of the memories they have about the moment they witnessed the arrest. Many

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have felt invisible and vulnerable at the time or felt simply ignored. Many have incredible ideas that reimagine a public safety system where they are considered and even seen in moments when they are striped of their parents.

Last year, we were thrilled to receive City Council funding to work with NYPD and community based organizations to implement the law that City Council passed in 2019 in response to the shocking arrest of Jasmine Hedley where her infant son was ripped from her hands. We thank the Council for a responding to this with a law and for this critical funding, which has allowed us to work on many unprecedented steps towards safeguarding children, which include training for police and for providers and the development of materials to support implementing best practices. With 36,000 services members in the NYPD and a network of community providers in NYC, and so many elements to cover, achieving a truly comprehensive citywide approach to safeguarding children will take time.

To continue to advance the critical work underway, we have submitted a City Council discretionary funding application that we hope that

2.

you will support. Osborne also provides other services that support public safety in New York City including our court advocacy services, services for young people in efforts to address violence and harm in ways that heal rather than further damage communities.

We have submitted funding applications for this work as well. We believe these programs along with supporting pre-trial reforms, closing Rikers and measures to expedite court processes as well as investing in families and communities are what make us safer. Thank you for your time and consideration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Kercena Dozier, Peter Ronk, followed by Melissa Vergara, Peggy Herrera, Shani Adess and Typhani Carter.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

KERCENA DOZIER: Good evening Chair Hanks and members of the Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Kercena Dozier and I am the Executive Director of the Children's Defense Fund New York. I want to focus my testimony today on young people, especially those who are at risk for or who have contact with the criminal

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legal system and the priorities we believe should drive your budget process to deliver true community safety for all New Yorkers.

The reason that I want to focus on young people is because youth justice is public safety. When we center young people who are in crisis in our budget making with a focus on what are the systemic conditions that are creating and exacerbating their crisis conditions, along with those of their family and community members, then we can truly make longstanding and sizable progress on public safety. Relying on policing and prosecution will not meet the needs of young people in our communities or address the systemic conditions that negatively impact their access to opportunities. This is especially true today following two years of a pandemic, a mental health crisis facing adolescence, and more young people ages 16-24 who are disconnected from schools and work than we have seen in a decade.

We urge you to focus the city budget on investments like expanding the Summer Youth

Employment Program, increasing support for credible messengers, violence interruption and cure violence programs that work with communities in making

investments in local supports for mental health and economic mobility. Young people are at the center of our public conversation about gun violence and community safely frequently blamed for the problems adults have failed to solve.

We need solutions, focus on addressing root causes that are grounded in a public health, antipoverty response to violence that can actually lead to improved public safety. I look forward to working with you on a budget that delivers on need of systemic changes that enable us to reach these goals. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Patrick Ronk.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Go ahead Patrick.

PATRICK RONK: Hello, thank you Chair Hanks for the opportunity to testify before the Committee on Public Safety. I'll be talking about the New York City Police Department's Fiscal Year 2023 Preliminary Budget and I am Patrick Ronk, Research Associate at the Citizens Budget Commission.

Early today CDC released analysis of the NYPD's budget that identified six major fiscal takeaways

2.1

that I'm going to summarize real quickly. First, the Fiscal Year 2023 NYPD budget including both the departments operating budget and central expenses is projected to be \$10.8 billion. Well, next years NYPD operating budget is currently \$216 million lower than Fiscal Year 2022, it will increase as the city recognizes federal security grants that are not currently in the budget.

Second, the February update reduced the city funded portion of NYPD's fiscal year 2022 operating budget by \$533 million to \$4.6 billion but this did not include — this didn't reduce resources available to the department because they were replaced with \$500 million in federal COVID related aid. This federal offset is for one year only, so city funds return to about \$5.1 billion annually in fiscal Years 2023-2026.

Third, the NYPD's PEG is relatively small. Among the ten largest city's agencies subject to the PEG, NYPD's cut was the fourth lowest in Fiscal Year 2022 and the second lowest in Fiscal Year 2023. The departments Fiscal Year 2022 PEG was 2.2 percent of the department city funded operating budget and only one-third of those saving recur in outyears,

primarily from eliminating 210 vacant civilian
positions.

Fourth, the NYPD is likely to exceed its overtime budget by over \$200 million in Fiscal Year 2022. The Fiscal 2022 overtime budget is now \$513 million.

However, through February 20th, the NYPD has already spent \$477 million on overtime. So, at the current rate, the Fiscal Year 2022 overtime spending could reach \$742 million, which is close to pre-pandemic levels.

And for Fiscal Year 2023, the budget only includes \$430 million on overtime. CBC has long highlighted the need to reign in on overtimes spending and significant managerial controls would be necessary to keep uniformed overtime closer to budgeted levels this year and next.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

PATRICK RONK: After reduction of 1,171 positions in Fiscal Year 2021, the authorized uniform headcount for NYPD remains at \$35,030 throughout the financial plan but authorized civilian headcount increases slightly.

And sixth and finally, Mayor Adams reversed the plan transfer of school safety from the NYPD to the

\_

Department of Education, leaving 5,290 school safety personnel within the NYPD. Thank you and I'm happy to answer any questions if you have them.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Patrick, thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we will hear from Melissa Vergara followed by Peggy Herrera followed by Shani Adess.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Melissa, are you - yes.

MELISSA VERGARA: Yes, I'm here. Hi, my name is Melissa Vergara and I'm a Member of Freedom Agenda and the Treatment not Jail coalition. I am also a mental health professional.

The continued narrative that public safety
equates to more policing and throwing more people in
jail and prison is actually the opposite of public
safety. District attorneys that fail to consider
mental health and substance use disorder are just as
much responsible for unsafe communities. People with
such disorders do not better in prison on contrary
they get worse.

The New York City DA's often talk about the decriminalization of mental illness and substance use disorders, the fact is, it continues to be

criminalized and not treated. They highlight their work in diversion programs and alternative to sentencing but currently in New York City there are only 140 cases in mental health court statewide, that is not public safety.

ADA's have the authority to decide who gets evaluated and treated and even when a mental health professional finds treatment to be imperative, they have the right to override clinical suggestions, which is not public safety. I know this because it is currently happening to my son.

My son who is currently detained on Rikers Island has an array of challenges; he has been diagnosed with Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder, Autism Spectrum Disorder and operates on borderline intellectual functioning as well as developmental delays. His attorney asked for eval the ADA in his case, who has no clinical training whatsoever, stated that she believes he only has behavioral problems, which she characterized as tantrums and denied him an eval. I sent a letter appealing this decision to DA Katz, and after a lot of unrelenting advocacy, the DA's office finally allowed by son to be evaluated for mental health court.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The evaluation was completed by a psychiatrist through TASC, who highlighted the severity of my

son's impairments and his need for treatment. The

psychiatrist and my son's Care Coordinator with  ${\tt OPWDD}$ 

then developed a specialized treatment and an

intervention plan, which entailed a residential

program to receive specific services to rehabilitate

my son. They both believed my son would have optimal

chances for success. The Judge was open to this plan

and willing to give my son a chance, but

unfortunately the DA denied and she intervened and

refused to allow him to be admitted into mental

health court.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MELISSA VERGARA: Instead, she said she was never

seriously considering allowing him treatment. She

stated it was only done, an eval was only done as a

courtesy to the mother for contacting DA Katz. Yet

the ADA took pieces out of the assessment to ridicule  $\,$ 

and criminalize my son. Denying treatment is denying

rehabilitation and increases the chances for

recidivism. DA's and NYPD do not need any more

funding, communities need resources and funding to

treat the same people that are thrown in prison by DA's and NYPD.

Complaining that ADA's start with over \$70,000 annual indicates an obvious disconnect from the communities they are serving as many live in extreme poverty.

There is a clear correlation between poverty, substance use, mental health, trauma and crime which police and DA's continue to disregard. I would suggest all who mentioned concerns with about the starting pay for ADA's research the pay of case managers, mental counselors and social workers and the medium pay for the people in the communities in which they serve. The DA's caseloads would reduce if they stopped standing in the way of diversion and treatment.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. Josh, could you please make sure that I get that testimony. We'll be in touch.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yeah, absolutely.

MELISSA VERGARA: I'll send it now, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much Melissa for your testimony.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Cabán has a question. You may go ahead Council Member.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yes, first of all Melissa, I just want to thank you for your testimony. You know I will say that I spent over seven years as a public defender. Very few of my clients "qualified" for diversionary courts and there are a lot of problems there but I just want to highlight a piece that you said and really affirm it. Is that quite often, because we are allowing these issues, particularly mental health issues to be dealt with in the criminal legal system, we're finding district attorneys, individual prosecutors making medical decisions that they have no business making. And so, we will come in with recommendations from mental health providers about exactly what they feel an individual needs to be able to heal and maintain their mental health and DA's will override that and say that they insist on another course of action or "treatment." And you know, we're in a position where we're asking lawyers. I'm a lawyer, I'm not a mental health expert to make medical decisions for folks and I think by removing you know treatment points from

2.

our criminal legal system, we can get to a place where we're really, really supporting folks in the best way we possibly can.

So again, I just want to thank you for the testimony you shared.

MELISSA VERGARA: Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member.

Thank you Melissa. Next, we'll hear from Peggy

Herrera followed by Shani Adess. Peggy, you may

begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

PEGGY HERRERA: Hi, my name is Peggy Herrera.

Wait, I'm outside, so I'm going to okay. So, good
afternoon Chair Hanks and Committee Members. My name
is Peggy Herrera, I am a Leader and a Member with
Freedom Agenda and the Treatment Not Jail Coalition
and several advocacy organizations. But most
importantly, I am a mother of a handsome son who
struggles with mental health issues. I'm here today
to advocate for more community based services and
resources for mental health. I've been advocating
for my son since he was in elementary school. But
until this day, getting help for my son has been a

task. I had devoted years to searching for intensive and quality treatment for him but it seems like law enforcement is the only thing our so-called progressive city has to offer in unlimited supply.

My son has been profiled by policing, aggressively prosecuted by the Queens DA and at one point even landed on the boat in the Bronx. Sleeping in the bull pins with roaches climbing on him in my efforts to prevent police from interacting with him when he was having a mental health crisis because I feared for his life, I was even arrested and prosecuted.

New York City's District Attorney's do not need more money. Instead of asking for more money, they can reduce their caseload by dropping baseless cases, like the charges that were pending against me for months for obstructing governmental administration.

When I tried to prevent police from entering my house after I called for a mental health emergency and cops showed up.

The District Attorney's can also reduce their caseloads if they stop standing in the way of diversion and treatment. Can you believe that with all the people shining through our jail and court systems who have mental health needs. There are only

140 cases mental health court statewide because DA's keep slamming the door on people like my son, who need treatment.

The Mayor's budget basically promises more of the same and that is unacceptable. What that status quo has meant for my family is that when my son goes into a crisis, I go sleep in my car because I'm too afraid for his safety to call for help. In a city as rich as ours, we should have all access to resources like mental health services, murder crisis team, mentoring, jobs, behavioral health, education —

PEGGY HERRERA: Social services and right here in my community and in our schools that could have benefited my son. While my son continues to deal with the struggles of mental health, Mayor Eric Adams has deployed more police and revised an NYPD unit that further traumatizes our community instead of addressing the real issues that affect our families.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

Our communities mental health should be the priority because we are facing a mental health crisis. As a mother, I am deeply concerned about the potential harm to our youth and communities resulting from over policing. I believe that the way to deal

with the gun violence is to invest in the services that help people. We need to engage people before and during a crisis. After all our communities have been through in the last two years and starting long before that. This is not the time for a business as usual budget. We are counting on the City Council to use every ounce of your power to push for a budget that finally responds to the needs of our community. I know that if the funds are not provided for my community, it is not because there wasn't enough money but because elected officials put the law enforcement union ahead of people in need.

I want to thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we will go to Shani Adess followed by Typhani Carter. Uhm, last we will go to Salma Andrews who is also going to be testifying for another individual to finish things off. So, Shani, you may go ahead.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SHANI ADESS: Chair Hanks, Committee Members and everyone who has stayed on to the very end, good evening and thank you for the opportunity to speak

J

before and submit this testimony to the Committee about the barriers survivors face in achieving safety and stability. My name is Shani Adess. I am the Associate Director of the Domestic Violence Law Unit, New York Legal Assistance Group.

In my role, I supervise two legal teams, in the Domestic Violence Law Unit and the Immigrant Protection Unit, who serve survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse, and trafficking. It is a harrowing time right now for so many members of our community here in New York City. We have seen an increase in racism, xenophobia, anti-Asian hate crimes at the same time as we have seen the devastating increase in domestic violence.

In the midst of this public safety crisis, we need to expand the avenues available for survivors to seek help. To do so, we need to address barriers to accessing health and acknowledge the realities within our communities that inhibit engagement with our systems.

NYLAG is one of the contracted partners with the Brooklyn, Manhattan, Bronx, and Staten Island Family Justice Centers. Working within the FJC's enables us to respond to the many intersecting needs that a

2.

survivor faces. Alli with survivors to explain their options and address the barriers they have.

We see time and time again that getting survivors options and meaningful access makes them and their families more safe. For example, Talya. Talya is one of our clients who is working with a DA as a complaining witness against her trafficker. She came to the DA and said that she no longer wanted to continue with the case and the DA brought her over to our onsite attorney and paralegal at that collated FJC. When speaking with her, they realized quickly that since reporting, she had become homeless, her family members in their home country were threatened by the traffickers friends and family and she was told that when she deported because she didn't have status, she would be killed.

We made clear our assistance was in no way predicated on cooperation with the DA but we still took on her needs one by one. She now has a T visa, work authorization, humanitarian parole applications are underway for her family members to join her and she was connected with case management and housing assistance at the FJC to find shelter. With these needs met, she herself chose to continue to engage

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

with the DA in their case against the trafficker who trafficked not only her but many other New Yorkers.

The collaborative environment of the FJC's has strengthened communication amongst agencies and victim service providers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SHANI ADESS: Something so essential. I just need ten more seconds Chairwoman. As we all do our part to support survivors and make our community safe for everyone. We had two incredibly impassioned and inspiring speakers right before me and I just want to say that one thing that I have learned from a collaborative environment is that having collated spaces, building communication amongst the victim service providers, the people within the community and the law enforcement agencies are so essential to make sure that justice is being served and they're not necessarily filing charges or going after people who are true victims or people who are vulnerable and are in fact responding to all the needs that somebody faces. And so I hope that in the coming year, we continue to be able to expand the collaboration. Thank you everyone.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Typhani Carter followed by I believe Crystal Clark has signed back on, so if Crystal if you're available and then we'll finish off with Salma Andrews.

So, yes, I see Crystal is here, so she will go after Typhani. Go ahead Typhani.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TYPHANI CARTER: Thank you. I want to thank Chair Hanks and the members of the City Council Public Safety Committee for the opportunity to submit testimony at this hearing and really focus on the needs of our youth who are impacted by violence.

So, my name is Typhani Carter and I work at the Joe Torre Safe at Home Foundation as the Vice President of Programs. When our Co-Founder Joe Torre, the baseball player, when he was a child, he witnessed unrelenting verbal abuse and saw the results of the physical harm inflicted on his mother.

The perpetrator was not some stranger but his own The emotional and physical pain his mother suffered scarred him for life and scarred her for life as well as Joe.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Joe grew older and came to terms with his experience, he wanted to ensure that no child grew up as he did, feeling alone and afraid. That's why he started Safe at Home over 20-years ago in New York City. Our work is critical. 60 percent of children in the U.S. have experienced violence in their homes, schools and communities. 90 percent of children exposed to intimate partner violence or eye witnesses. In 2020, the New York City Police Department responded to over 635 domestic incidents each day and during COVID, this only increased. Children can no longer rely on going to school as they reprieve from the dangers they face in their homes and overall the calls to domestic violence hotlines and visits to resources increased dramatically during COVID, indicating a significant need for further support to youth and family support systems.

Safe at Home currently operates 17 school based DV prevention and intervention programs called, Mergers place, four of which are located in New York City. At our schools, we really aimed at establish youths, friendly spaces staffed by full-time licensed clinicians and we are there when students need us.

Э

\_ -

We provide individual and group counseling to youth who have experienced trauma, antiviolence workshops, peer leadership programs and other violence prevention activities as a multifaceted approach to engage youth to break the cycle of violence.

Counselors are also tasked responding to youth in crisis and to date, over 50 percent of our teachers are —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TYPHANI CARTER: Have referred students for immediate crisis. Our principals at our schools are our greatest champions. One principal in particular stated the Mergers Place is much more a room at his campus. It's shaping who the school is and it's determined to provide a respectful supportive environment for all members of the community and remind everyone that no one is alone.

So, exposure to violence without timely intervention can really impact a child's ability to learn and attend school, impact their physical safety and mental health and increase the likelihood of continuing the cycle of violence.

However, there is hope and support for our youth.

Certain factors such as resilience, positive coping

skills and supportive social networks can all really do the work to support youth and disrupting that cycle. We are kindly asking today for your support to be able to continue our programs and to provide critical services to the children of New York City that are impacted by violence, abuse and trauma and we thank you for this time and allowing us to testify

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. Next, we'll go to Crystal Clark followed by Salma Andrews.

around the work that we do. Thank you very much.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CRYSTAL CLARK: Good afternoon Chair Hanks and Committee Members. My name is Crystal Clark and I'm a member of Freedom Agenda.

Today, I would like to discuss the current Public Safety Budget and how it can be more impactful and meaningful elsewhere within our community in my neighborhood, which is lower east side Manhattan.

There's a lack of funding which is negatively impacting housing, mental health, public safety, and also our once bright thoughts for the future.

The city budget has allocated \$11 billion to the police, \$100 million to the District Attorney's and

almost \$3 billion to the notoriously dysfunctional

Department of Correction. We have such a high budget
going towards punishment, surveillance and
incarceration and little to none that can actually go
to keeping people out of the system. The Mayor
proposed cuts to every agency but the only one's he
made sure to preserve is Law Enforcement. That shows
that the priorities and the City Council must push
back.

In my community, there are no open community centers, no mental health outreach openly accessible and we can't even locate a mentorship program for our youth. So, this leaves people shuttling to find a sense of community and for the youth an important purpose, so they go searching elsewhere and there's nothing we can do for them.

It leaves the members of the community coming out of pocket to help ourselves and also try to pry our loved ones away from the traps that are set up for them to fail. And for NYPD, the DA's and the DOC to then capitalize on them, having them within their systems and then they release them back into society with no choice but to struggle. So, there's nothing for them to start with. They then go into the system

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

enough.

2 and once they leave, they have nothing and many of 3 them don't have the tools to succeed and this goes back to generations prior. And now, we are the one's 4 5 trying to make the generational change. We are the one's that are trying to stand up and say enough is 6 7 enough. And we need the support and we need the funding because our generation is saying enough is

You know, the community investment is community safety, so the community needs to make sure that the things are community needs does get the funding that they deserve.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

TYPHANI CARTER: In hopes that this will be the end and going forward, we have the tools to succeed and let's do better for everyone who comes after us.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, thank you so much for your testimony. To finish things off, we're going to go back to Salma who is going to translating for another individual. Salma, you may go ahead.

22 SALMA ANDREWS: She's going to testify herself.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Go ahead, thank you so much.

PAOLA MARTINEZ: Good evening. I'm a survivor of human trafficking. Thank you Chair Hanks and Council

Members and of those who help me to make this hearing happen. My name is Paola Martinez and I am testifying in favor of the request made by many other people today, to ensure \$10 million dollars be appropriated from the New York PD Vice budget and repurposed to better serve the actual needs of sex worker communities. I want to tell you about my experience with New York PD Vice, and as someone in need of community services, to show why this is so necessary.

A couple times in New York, I was stopped as a transgender woman. And every time I was stopped by an undercover Vice officer, they asked me what I was doing, and they always stopped me. They told me I have to go home every time they saw me, and if I didn't go home, they would charge me and arrest me. That traumatized me a couple times because I got stopped by them, I was arrested even though I wasn't doing sex working at that time.

The two arrests I had really affected my mental health. This happened maybe six or seven years ago.

The harassment from the police caused lasting trauma.

I would like to see money spent on programs for trafficking survivors that would give people access

to mental nealth treatment, job readiness programming 
and financial empowerment. Thank you for listening to
my story, Chair Hanks, and I hope you support the ask
around repurposing \$10 million from the New York PD
Vice budget to serve the actual needs of sex worker
communities, including a physical center to aid sex
workers. I can answer any questions you have. Thank
you for hearing me.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. Thank you.

COMMITTE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I appreciate your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Absolutely, Chair Hanks, seeing no other members of the public to testify, you can close out the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yes, I will hereby called this meeting adjourned. Thank you so much everyone, my Council Members who hung with me to the end. I appreciate it. Uhm, we'll be taking this conversation offline and unpacking it as a committee. Thank you so much and thank you to all essential staff for all your hard work on this. I appreciate it.

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date APRIL 27, 2022\_\_\_\_\_