1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON	ZONING AND FRANCHISES	1
2			
3	<b>II</b>		
4	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
5		X	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
7	Of the		
8	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND		
9	FRANCHISES	X	
10		.h	
11	Start	nber 2, 2021 2: 10:06 a.m.	
12		ss: 1:01 p.m.	
13	HELD AT: REMOT	E HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 1	
14		riago P. Mova	
15	B E F O R E: Franc Chair	rperson	
16			
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
18	Diana Ayala		
19	Josep	oh C. Borelli any Cabán	
20	Barry	7 S. Grodenchik nen T. Levin	
21	Antor	nio Reynoso Lna Rivera	
22		.iia I/I/6Ia	
23			
24			

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2	
2	APPEARANCES	
3	Richard Lobel	
4	Sheldon Lobel, PC	
5	Kenneth Miraski Architect for Stephen B. Jacobs Group	
6	Kevin Williams	
7	Equity Environmental	
8	Fayanne Betan Sheldon Lobel, PC	
9	Amber Kartalyan	
10	Equity Environmental	
11	Scott Barone Barone Management	
12	John Silviano	
13	Barone Management	
14	Stacey Gauthier Principal of the Renaissance Charter School in	
15	Jackson Heights	
16	Sean Freas EDC	
17	Alessandro Zamperla CAI	
18		
19	Dennis Vourderis Deno's Wonder Wheel Park	
20	Jimmy Kokotas	
21	Third Generation Owner of Tom's Restaurant of Prospect Heights	
22	Maya Haddad Miller	
23	Own and Operate Brooklyn Beach Shop on the Coney Island Boardwalk	
24	Tina Georgoulakos	

Owner of Paul's Daughter

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	3
1		
2	APPEARANCES(CONT.)	
3	Telisha Lee Reading a letter on behalf of April Simpson	
4	Alexandra Silversmith	
5	Executive Director of the Alliance for Coney	
6	Island	
7	Nick Liberis Archimaera	
8	Jeremy Zuidema	
9	Archimaera	
10	Kevin Williams Principal of Central Construction Management	
11	Louis Handler YIMBY Tracks Construction and Real Estate	
12		
13	Steve Wygoda Project Architect	
14	Harry Einhorn	
15	Rachel Scall	
16	Associate at Greenberg Traurig	
17	Harley Braun Shorewood Real Estate	
18	Uri Kaufman Real Estate Developer	
19	_	
20	Frank St Jacques Akerman, LLP	
21	Laura Picallo	
22	Steve Trilivas Home Owner on 32 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
23		
24	Renzo Ramirez Member of 32BJ SEIU	

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 4	
2	APPEARANCES(CONT.)	
3	Gilbert Pickett	
4	Senior Pastor of the Mount Horeb Baptist Chur in Corona	
5	Nakeah George Community Advocate for Woodside Houses in Queens	
6		
7	Victoria Kammer Reading on Behalf of Claudia Cogger(SP?)	
8	Bishop Mitchell G. Taylor Reading a Letter on Behalf of Reverend Bobby	
9	Moore, the Overseer of the Astoria Baptist Church	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

SERGEANT BIONDO: Thank you. Cloud Recording all

5

set.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and Council Member Cabán.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great.

Today, we will hold

public hearings on an amendment to the special

SERGEANT PEREZ: Backup is rolling.

SERGEANT BIONDO: Thank you. Good morning and welcome to today's Remote New York City Council Hearing for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

their videos for verification purposes. To minimize disruption, please place all electronic devices to vibrate or silent mode. If you would like to submit testimony, please send via email to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your

cooperation. Chair Moya, we are ready to begin.

At this time, would all panelists please turn on

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you so much. Good morning. I'm Council Member Francisco Moya, Chair of the Subcommittee Zoning and Franchises. I'm joined remotely today by Council Members - I'm going to allow you Arthur to name those Council Members.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Grodenchik

process agreement related to the Coney Island

Amusement Park Plan in Brooklyn, the 1 Wythe Avenue

IBIA Proposal, the 79 Quay Street Rezoning and the

River Ring Development, all of which are also in

Brooklyn. And rezoning proposals for 160-05 Archer

Avenue, Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Street Self Storage, 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup>

Street and 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue, all of which

are in Brooklyn, I'm sorry in Queens.

Before we begin, I will first recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to review the remote meeting procedures.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Moya. I am Arthur Huh, Counsel to the Subcommittee. Members of the public who are asked to testify — members of the public wishing to testify were asked to register for today's hearings. If you wish to testify and have not already registered, we ask that you please do so now by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov to sign up.

As a technical note, for the benefit of the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of any of the presentations shown today, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

When called to testify, individuals appearing before the Subcommittee will remain muted until recognized by the Chair to speak. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your microphone is on before you begin speaking. Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing before the Subcommittee, you may email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Please indicate the LU Number and/or project name in the subject line of your email. Witnesses are requested to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions. And with that, Chair Moya, we'll now continue with today's agenda items.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Arthur. I now open the public hearing on two Preconsidered LU items for the 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Street Proposal, which seeks a Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendment under ULURP Numbers C 210041 ZMQ and N 210042 ZRQ and relating to property in Council Member Dromm's District in Queens.

2.3

2.2

Once again, for anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Counsel, please call the first panel for this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Richard Lobel and Fayanne

Betan and I will ask both panelists to now raise your right hands.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

FAYANNE BETAN: Yes, I do.

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Chair, whenever you are ready to begin, please you can begin your testimony.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Moya. Good
morning, good morning Council Members. Richard Lobel
of Sheldon Lobel, PC. I'm joined today with Fayanne
Betan from my office, as well as the applicant team
Kevin Williams and Amber Kartalyan from Equity
Environmental. Ken Miraski from SBJ Group, as well

2.2

as Scott Barone and John Silviano from Barone
Management. If you can load the presentation please.

I'm going to begin with a brief discussion of this zoning actions that are saw today. Uhm, Kevin and Amber can discuss some of the environmental issues surrounding the rezoning and the negative declaration received and Ken can discuss the architectural aspects of the proposed.

So, this is the 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Rezoning. Next slide. By way of background, this rezoning primarily involves three lots that are part of the development site. Uhm, Lot 223 and Lot 80 are the primary lots affected, as well as additional lots which would allow for consistent boundaries for the rezoning. This is in Queens Community District 4.

So, the Rezoning action actually encompasses two proposals. The first is to rezone the project area for M1-1 to R7A. And the second would be to of course provide for Mandatory Inclusionary Housing district to be mapped on the R7A as is required now pursuant to the Zoning Resolution. The project as would permitted by this rezoning and Text Amendment would be to facilitate the development of a new nine

story building with roughly 141,000 square feet and approximately 163 dwelling units.

This would also allow for an as of right school at the premises. This is one that was previously approved by special permitted BSA's. We can discuss as we proceed to the presentation. Next slide. So, this is a Tax Map which shows with more specificity the area of the rezoning. What do we know it about, uh, the rezoning and the surrounding area. Well, particularly, if you look at the dotted area, that's the area it will be rezoned from M1-1.

To the north of the site, there is an existing R7A on the remainder of our block. This was rezoned back in 2006. So, our M1-1 on this block really is just a hold over and is kind of an island of M1-1 in a surrounding sea of residential district. So, you see the R7A to the north and east of the site, including along Broadway as well as residential districts to the south in the form of R6 and to the west in the form of R6-B. So, really here the rezoning would allow for uses that are more within the context of the surrounding area than the existing M1-1. Next slide.

So, the next slide demonstrates a Land Use Map. Importantly here, although there's a designation of M1-1, on the eastern portion of the site, there is under construction Renaissance Charter School. This was permitted pursuant to a BSA Special Permit obtained last year and so, the rezoning to R7A will have the added benefit of allowing that school to be as of right.

And also, providing for contextual residential uses adjacent to the school. So, it's really seemed to be a good thing for the general area as well as for this block. Next slide. Here you can see the Zoning Change Map. You can see the oddly proportion M1-1 currently on the block and then the rezoning to R7A post zoning change. You can also see that this would even out some of the orders of the existing R7N site. Uhm, you know which would allow for a consistent R7A along the entirety of the block. Next slide. So, the next slide demonstrates site photo, which shows the uhm, the school at the premises which is under construction.

And with that, I actually would defer to Ken for a brief discussion with regards to the architectural aspects of the project.

KENNETH MIRASKI: Thank you Richard. Ken Miraski here with Stephen B. Jacobs Group as the Architect for the school project and the Proposed Zoning for the residential building. Next slide.

So, this slide just shows the zoning massing of the proposed residential development adjacent to the school. The school is in blue, residential building in white. We're proposing a nine story building with a cellar, 163 dwelling units with a mix of studio one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedrooms. The mix that we proposed is more heavy on the one and two bedrooms for the — considering the community.

The massing's you see here are consistent with the adjacent R7A massing's that you see next to our site. And we're proposing a 141,000 square foot residential development. Next slide.

This is just a site plan showing the location of our residential development fronting 47<sup>th</sup> Avenue with the school fronting 83<sup>rd</sup> Street. We're proposing an interior court yard for light and air and distance between our school and the residential development. Next slide.

This is just a zoning section showing the residential building next to the school. We're going

C

to have our accessory parking detention tank and bicycle parking below grade, with lobby and amenity recreation space for the residential building on the first floor and apartments, dwelling units on the floors above. Next slide.

And these are just some illustrations of the proposed development. This is a view looking down  $47^{th}$  Avenue towards  $82^{nd}$  Street. Elmhurst Plaza, which is a neighboring development is off to the left. Our building would be composed of like a metal paneling system, large windows to let in light and air, and we have this transitional bulk because we're next to the R6B District, kind of showing how our building would step back up at the upper floors. Next slide.

And this is just another view looking down 82<sup>nd</sup>
Street toward our development. Uhm, once again
showing the setbacks up at the top and then next
slide. And then I'll turn it back over to Rich who
can talk more about uhm, the affordability and the
project.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thanks Ken. So, briefly just touching upon the affordability of course, we're requesting a Text Amendment to Appendix F to allow

for Mandatory Inclusionary to be imposed on the site. And this would result in anywhere from 41 to 49 units of affordability, either pursuant to Option One or Option Two. The applicant has elected to map Option One and Option Two to allow for greater flexibility within the project area. And so, depending on the option, we would be averaging either 60 or percent AMI's in accordance with the uhm proposal that you see in front of you. There's also a breakdown between 60 percent and 80 percent on the bottom of the screen as well as 40 percent, which would be achieved depending on the option.

So, with that, next slide. That concludes the bulk of the presentation. Again, we have uhm, Kevin and Amber from Equity who are available for environmental discussions but with that, we would ask the Chair and the Council Members if there is any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Richard. Yeah, just one quick question here. Uh, the Community Board had a lengthy set of conditions. Could you identify some of their concerns and respond to them as best as you can here today?

2.3

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, so and I think actually it might be useful to have Kevin and Amber address this. There were some discussions with regards to infrastructure and the ability of the street system, as well as the you know water retention and sewer systems to uhm, handle the capacity of the additional development here.

I would just note that with regards to bulk and the actual size of the building that was not really a general concern given the fact that we are adjacent to R7A districts on our block, as well as to the east. And also, we've got uhm, you know the existing R7A building to the north of us at eight stories with 140 units. And prior to moving forward to Kevin and Amber and I would also ask that the slide presentation be reloaded because some of the environmental concerns that were discussed at the Community Board are on the slides.

Uhm, it's important to note that the R7A that's there now on this block from 2006, precedes Mandatory Inclusionary Housing. So, the primary difference in terms of Inclusionary Housing is that that building that exists to the north of us did not have a requirement, whereas, with MIH now, all these R7A

districts that are being rezoned, will carry an MIH requirement. So, you know we're happy to be able to bring that affordability to the area.

Uhm, Kevin, Amber, do you want to briefly touch on the uhm, the sewer and stormwater issues?

KEVIN WILLIAMS: Sure, I can start and then if Amber wants to add something. Kevin Williams from Equity Environmental.

So, as folks here me know, you know the seeker analysis uhm there are some you know 22 different categories of impact analysis and two of them involve storm and sewer. Generally those sections of seeker and this is probably not satisfying you know to the Community Board members but the project falls well below city environmental quality review standards to evaluate capacity analysis or combined sewer area. You know generally you have to get to 500, 600, 700 units in such an area to trigger an analysis.

So, the architect although has identified and deployed several measures as shown on the screen to provide a more sustainable building and you know many of these are per you know emerging requirements over the last several years through the Department of Buildings you know that provide for sustainability

measures and obviously, a lot of the concerns that have emerged were related to you know the recent storm that really inundated New York City in multiple areas. This area in particular is not one of those that was heavily impacted in the Queens area, which we know that that was one of the most heavily effected boroughs of the city in certain areas.

Having said that, you know comparing this current site, which is entirely impermeable, uhm, you know where you have a previous industrial area in a parking lot. You know the building as designed when compared to a potential M1-1, such as the paper production facility that was there before, actually you know would result in an improvement most likely in terms of stormwater management and stormwater capture in the area.

So, I just ask that that be taken into consideration and we're available for additional comments or inquiries regarding capacity issues as required by the Council.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you for that.

That's all the questions that I have for the panel.

We've been joined by Council Members Treyger, Borelli

and Ayala. Counsel, do we have any Council Members with any questions?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, I see no members with questions. I'll just note that uh, we've also been joined by Council Member Levin.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, there being no further questions, the applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on the 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Street Rezoning Proposal?

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: We have one member of the public to testify on this item and we will call her now. We are calling on Stacey Gauthier is it? I apologize if I mispronounced that.

STACEY GAUTHIER: Yes.

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Thank you.

STACEY GAUTHIER: Thank you so much and good morning everybody. My name is Stacey Gauthier, I am the Principal of the Renaissance Charter School in Jackson Heights and the Executive Director of the Renaissance Charter School Two, the school referred to in the presentation.

First, I want to just uhm say a public thank you to Chair Moya and to Council Member Dromm for all your support for both of our schools for the last

2.2

many, many years. Renaissance has been around for over 22 years and Renaissance Two is very excited to be coming to our permanent home in September 2022.

I strongly endorse this project because I think that the area will be better served by having housing. It will continue to improve the neighborhood feel over there. It's a wonderful area with a lot of families, a big park nearby. Our building is going to be a beautiful school and we look forward to serving the students of District 24.

Just one other piece, we've done a lot of community outreach in District 24 and have been very welcomed there. And so, I think having housing on the other side of this lot is really a preferred type of development versus something else that might go and we will of course and part of the school continue to be the great neighbors that we are already in Jackson Heights and look forward to working with everybody in Community School District 24. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Stacey. Thank you for your testimony. Good to see you, glad you are doing well. Uhm, Counsel, do we have any members with questions for the panelist?

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, I see no members with questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: There being no further questions, the panel is now excused.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, we will see if there any other speakers at this time, Mr. Chair. If there are any other members of the public who wish to testify on the 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Street Proposal, please press the raise hand button now. And Chair, we will stand the meeting at ease very briefly to confirm.

Chair if you allow it, I'll just also advise that we've been joined by Council Member Reynoso and Council Member Rivera.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Moya, I see no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered LU items for the 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Street Proposal under ULURP Numbers C 210041 ZMQ and N 210042 ZRQ, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

2.3

Okay, at this time we are going to vote on two items previously heard by the Subcommittee at our October 25<sup>th</sup> and November 18<sup>th</sup> meetings. We will vote to approve with modifications LU Numbers 914 and 915 for the Proposed Special Brooklyn Navy Yard District relating to property in Council Member Levin's District in Brooklyn.

The Proposal seeks a Zoning Text and Zoning Map

Amendment to establish the new Special Brooklyn Navy

Yard District. Our modification will be to include

periodic reporting requirements relating to Navy Yard

tenants. Council Member Levin is in support of the

proposal as modified.

We will also vote to approve LU's 925 and 926 for the 103-16 Van Wyck Expressway Rezoning relating to property in Council Member Adam's District in Queens. The Proposal seeks a Zoning Map Amendment to Rezone an existing R3A District to an R6B C2-3 District and a related Zoning Text Amendment to establish an MIH program area utilizing Options One and Two. Our modifications will be to strike MIH Option Two while retaining Option One. Council Member Adams is in support of this Proposal. And now, I will call for a vote to approve with modifications I have described.

abstentions, the items are adopted and recommended

for approval to the full Land Use Committee.

24

PANEL: I do.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you and with that, we will return to our public hearings. And with that, I now open the public hearing on the Preconsidered LU item related to the Coney Island Amusement Park Project Plan for the Proposed Third Amendment to the Special Process Agreement and relating to property in Council Member Treyger's District in Brooklyn.

I will remind the viewing public for anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. Counsel, if you could uhm, please call the first panel to this item.

SUBCOMMITEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Sean Freas of EDC, Alessandro Zamperla of CAI and Dennis Vourderis of Deno's Wonder Wheel Park.

I will ask all panelists to now raise your right hands. Thank you. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give to this Subcommittee will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all Council Member questions truthfully?

3

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. You may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SEAN FREAS: Good morning Chair Moya and members of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. you mind bringing up my presentation please. you.

My name is Sean Freas and I am a Vice President of Portfolio Management at the New York City Economic Development Corporation. I am joined by Alessandro Zamperla from Central Amusement International and Dennis Vourderis from Vodou Food Corp and the Operator of Deno's Wonder Wheel. We are pleased to testify before the Subcommittee in support of the Resolution to approve the Third Amendment to the Agreement Special Process or New York City Council review and approval of Coney Island Amusement Park Project Plan, otherwise referred to as the Special Process Agreement. Which governs the historic Coney Island Amusement District.

This Amendment would authorize the city to extend its lease with NYC EDC and NYC EDC to extend our ground leases with the operators of the Coney Island Amusement Parks CAI and Deno's, for an additional ten

J

year period from 2027 to 2037. As part of this transaction, CAI intends to enter into sublease extensions with current small business boardwalk subtenants, Brooklyn Beach Hoffa foods one summer and Tom's Coney Island for the same ten year period 2037. Please note that NYC EDC entering into any ground lease extensions must first be approved by EDC's Board of Directors and require notice provided in compliance with the requirements of the New York State Public Authorities Law and EDC must also receive applicable lease extensions from the city.

Additionally, the Third Amendment to the Special Process Agreement must be signed by City Council and the Mayor. Next slide please.

So, here you can see a map of the Coney Island

Amusement area along with the map of Brooklyn to help

orient you. The specific portions that we're

discussing today are outlined in green. So, CAI,

Deno's and the small businesses at Coney Island have

faced disproportionate impacts from the COVID crisis

and you'll hear directly from them about that later.

Through this Proposed Amendment though to the Special Process Agreement and the resulting ground lease and sublease extensions, the city will do its

2.2

part to support one of the worlds most iconic and urban amusement parks along with the small businesses that are central to New York City's economic and cultural life. Next slide please.

So, let's talk about how we got here. The 2009

Coney Island rezoning set the stage for much of the redevelopment that is taking place in the neighborhood today, including the preservation and revitalization of the iconic Coney Island Amusement area. So first, the 2009 rezoning permitted mixed use developments in the Coney Island District and in the past decade, the city has made significant investments in infrastructure and housing in the Coney Island District including funding for thousands of units of affordable and mixed income housing, new retail options and new office space for city programs like the City Human Resources Administration and Health and Hospitals.

Everyone at NYC EDC would like to thank Council Member Mark Treyger for his continued support and advocacy for the Coney Island community and this historic amusement area.

Second, the 2009 rezoning called for the reactivation of vacant parcels at Coney Island with

J

in field development. To support beloved attractions by creating new world class amusement developments and by strengthening the historic Coney Island Amusement area. And as we'll discuss in a moment, the Proposed lease extension will help achieve that goal.

And finally, the 2009 rezoning created the Special Process Agreement or SPA that we're here to discuss today. Under the SPA, the city and EDC are authorized to enter into leases and land within the Coney Island Amusement Area. Under the authority granted by the SPA in 2009 and subsequently amended in 2013 and 2018, the city leased property to EDC and EDC entered into ground leases with CAI and Deno's to develop and operate world class amusements and entertainment attractions in Coney Island. These ground leases are set to expire in 2027.

In the past dozen years, CAI and Deno's have made significant investments to help revitalize Coney

Island's Amusement area aided by the Council's approval of previous amendments to the SPA.

In 2013, the Council approved the first amendment to the Special Process Agreement, which facilitated CAI's construction of the thunderbolt rollercoaster

J

a

as shown in the picture at the top. And in 2018, the Council approved the second amendment. This amendment added new vacant properties for development and you know, you can see a picture of the groundbreaking here on the bottom.

And next season, these lots will be the setting for a brand-new log flume ride, an open ropes course, more dining and entertainment options and additional public open space to be enjoyed by New Yorkers for years to come.

We thank the Council for their assistance to enable these crucial investments, which will help to cement Coney Island as the peoples playground. Next slide please. Thanks.

So, we are here today to ask for the Council's assistance once again, by approving the Third Amendment Process Agreement to authorize the city and EDC to enter into a ten year extension of the leases from the city to EDC and from EDC to CAI and Deno's from 2027 to 2037. Again, and here on the bottom is a picture of the Coney Island Boardwalk. These lease extensions will help support one of the world's most iconic urban amusement parks. Its boardwalk, the

2.3

small businesses and residents who are central to New York City's economic and cultural life.

The COVID-19 crisis presented significant challenges for the amusements in the Coney Island Amusement area. Typically, more than five million people across the Coney Island Amusement area helped to sustain the longstanding small businesses deeply embedded in the districts rich cultural history. However, due to the mandated government closure in 2020, Luna Park, their respective subtenants, Deno's Wonder Wheel and surrounding areas were shutdown for 18 months throughout the entire 2020 season resulting in severe financial losses.

This proposed lease extension will help CAI,

Deno's and the subtenants recoup losses incurred

because of the government closures and will support

their continued efforts and investment in the

amusement area.

To close, by approving the Third Amendment to the Special Process Agreement, the Council will ensure that the unique and iconic Coney Island Amusement area and community continues to thrive for future generations. And it will help the city take one step closer toward a recovery for all. We therefore

respectfully request your consideration and approval of the Third Amendment to the Special Process
Agreement.

I will now turn the floor over to Alessandro
Zamperla from CAI to describe his company's history
in Coney Island and their shared and continued
investment in this historic amusement area. Thank
you. You may also now take down the presentation.

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: Good morning everyone,
Chair Moya, Council Members, thank you for your time
and for your attention. My name is Alessandro
Zamperla, I am the President and CEO of Central
Amusement International Inc. and we are here today to
support a Council's approval of the Third Amendment
to the Special Process Agreement which would
authorize EDC to extend the entering ground lease
with CAI.

As shown explained earlier in conjunction with this ground lease, CAI will simultaneously extend our subleases with our small business of tenants that like Luna Park are critical to the identity of Coney Island.

In coordination with EDC and the Parks

Department, CAI has revitalized the historic

2.3

amusement district with the development of Luna Park in Coney Island and as operated amusement rides and attraction in the Coney Island Amusement District since 2010.

In order to fulfill our shared vision of returning Coney Island to its glory days, our small business has invested tens of millions into development of the Coney Island Amusement District through Luna Park which benefited our small business community in the district, brought record revenues to the boardwalk businesses, and provided thousands of job opportunities to the broader Coney Island community.

With 11 years of experience working in Coney
Island, we have demonstrated great care for the
neighborhood context. Not only by recreating and
thrilling and affordable amusement park for all ages,
but also by strengthening the relationship with our
community surrounding Luna Park. A key goal of CAI
is to hire locally to create economic opportunities
and to support the growth of a professional system
that benefits the surrounding neighborhood.

With this purpose in 2021, we launched the Luna

Park Leadership Academy courses designed to reach our

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

employee skill sets for long-term career growth in the hospitality industry. As we continue to invest in the Coney Island Amusement district, we'll continue to work with the City of New York, local organization, to provide local hiring programs for Luna Park and to expand and strengthen our relationship with local officials, schools and community organizations.

In addition to hiring locally, CAI's been building a strong relationship with the surrounding neighborhood by founding the Coney Island Alliance in 2012 with other key stakeholders to facilitate the collaboration and cooperation amongst the Coney Island business community and the residential community.

In 2018, CAI developed a donation day, a day where we provide free entry on rides all day to guests that make us more donations to a local community organization and we help and highlight the local extensions to support -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: Children - hello? Can you

hear me?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, you can continue.

,

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: Oh sorry. Yeah, sorry, donation day, we provide free entry on all rides all day to guests that make a small donation to our local community organization and we help and highlight local organization that supports children from difficult backgrounds.

As our neighborhood has been hit very hard by the COVID pandemic, CAI partnered with the City of New York to incentivize COVID-19 vaccinations by 10,000 respins and rides on the Coney Island Cyclone to VAX for NYC. Although nothing could have prepared us for the financial challenges that CAI faced through the COVID-19, as our operation was shutdown for 18 months throughout the entire 2020 seasons, we are thankful to be operating again.

2020, amongst other things demonstrated how critical the amusements are to the economic ecosystem of Coney Island in South Brooklyn as our small business of tenants were allowed to operate during this season when our park was closed, but they still suffer severe financial losses. To make matters worse for CAI, amusement parks were excluded from critical federal and state aid programs designed to help businesses shattered due to the pandemic.

2.

For example, we were not included in the shatter venue operators grant.

Fortunately, as it became clear the outdoor amusement park is one of the safest activities in a COVID context and when [INAUDIBLE 36:42] was allowed to reopen, New Yorkers have been unbelievably supportive. Unfortunately, CAI and our small business of tenants would not recuperate the economic losses, however, an extension will provide economic stability while we continue our long-term investment in Coney Island as New York City recovers from the unprecedented pandemic and its devastating effects.

As CAI continues to invest in development of Coney Island with their new attraction and environmentally friendly pedestrian plaza slated to open in 2022, Luna Park, we've created an additional one hundred jobs for the local community. Despite the severe financial losses due to the pandemic, our commitment to Coney Island has not waivered.

We are continuing our long-term investment and this proposed lease extension will help ensure economic stability that we more of historic amusement district and our small family businesses, CAI along with subtenants from recovery to resilience.

2.2

2.3

in 1966 as operators of a small hotdog stand on the boardwalk. In 1970, they opened another hotdog stand

in what was then known as Ward's Kitty Park in the

Our parents began their journey in Coney Island

shadow of the Wonder Wheel. A decade later or so,

As Sean explained earlier, in conjunction with this ground lease extension, we will extend our subleases with our small business of tenants who are also committed to invest in Coney Island for years to come and are critical to the identity of Coney Island.

We thank you Council for your support. Most importantly, Council Member Treyger and we respectfully request your consideration approval of the Third Amendment to the Special Process Agreement. Thank you.

SEAN FREAS: Uh, if we could now pass it to Dennis Vourderis from Deno's.

DENNIS VOURDERIS: Okay, good morning everybody.

My name is Dennis Vourderis and I am the Co-Owner

along with my brother Steve of Deno's Wonder Wheel

Amusement Park, home of the world famous New York

City landmark Wonder Wheel.

they purchased the Wonder Wheel from its original owner and Deno's Wonder Wheel Park was born.

At a time when Coney Island was less than desirable for investment, my family began to rehabilitate and restore the Wonder Wheel. We purchased new rides and restored old ones. In 1989, the Wonder Wheel became an official New York City landmark. We continued to invest and build a family oriented amusement park. We invited members of the Salton Sea Mission to come on opening day for free and to do a blessing of our rides. We had 12 or so families show up that day but today, that tradition started by my parents over 35 years ago continues. And this past opening day in 2021, over 500 families came to give their blessings and enjoy the rides for free.

In 2019, we acquired the adjacent abandoned lot of West 12<sup>th</sup> Street with plans to expand Deno's.

With the help and support of third generation

Vourderis boys working at the park, my son Deno's, my nephews DJ and Teddy, we decided to build upon the parks family demographic and seek a unique attraction that would not compete with other Coney Island rides but would compliment them.

Process Agreement, which would authorize EDC to extend the interim ground lease with Deno's. The state of the control of the state of the control of the con

COVID-19 crisis presented significant challenges for

our businesses. I don't have to tell you guys. We

We are here today to support the Council's

approval of the Third Amendment to the Special

want to continue our long term investment in Coney

We traveled to other amusement parks around the country and trade shows to do our research and made the decision to build a brand-new family thrilled rollercoaster on our newly acquired lot right next to the Wonder Wheel, the Phoenix. Then came COVID, what now, we asked each other? Closed for an entire season, which hit us all very hard, both financially and emotionally, this was devastating.

We have had rainy seasons and lost holiday weekends in the past but never an entire summer. We made the decision to do the only thing we knew how to do. What our parents taught us to do and forged ahead with our plans to build. We borrowed money and leveraged up to move ahead. We were able to keep our staff and family working and to complete the phoenix, which opened up this past July 4<sup>th</sup> weekend.

2.2

2.3

2 Island and this proposed lease extension will help us do that.

I want to thank the New York City Council for their support and most importantly, Council Member Treyger. And we respectfully request your consideration and approval of the Third Amendment to the Special Process Agreement, which will help ensure that Coney Island remains one of the world's best amusement districts. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much Dennis and Alessandro and Sean. Uhm, I'm Council Member Stephen Levin, I'm filling in for Chair Moya for a short period of time here.

I want to acknowledge Council Member Cabán who has joined us as well. Welcome Tiffany and turn it over to Council Member Treyger for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you Council Member
Levin and Chair Moya and members of the Committee.

For over 100 years, the Coney Island Amusement
District has been an American icon. A potent symbol
for the diversity freedom and opportunity offer here.

The lights of people Chase Park, with a first name
many immigrants would see as they made their way to
LS Island. People of all races, classes, and breeds,

2.

were off to Coney Island. The Nickel Empire because anyone could enjoy a day of sun and fun here as long as they had a nickel for the train fare. Throughout these years, Coney Island has faced many existential threats. From fires to Hurricane Sandy and even Robert Moses.

Yet, throughout all that, there was never a summer when the amusement parks in Coney Island weren't open up until 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic forced the closer of amusement parks for the first time since Sea Lion Park opened in 1895. This had devasting consequences, not just for the queue of amusement parks, Deno's Wonder Wheel Park and Luna Park but also for the generational family operated small businesses on the boardwalk.

You will hear from these businesses today about the devastating impact of these closures. We are not remotely out of the woods. While the parks were able to reopen safely last summer, according to New York City and Co., tourism is not expected to fully recover for several years. With each new variant, there is additional uncertainty about how long it will take us to bounce back.

2.2

While performing arts venues received dedicated federal aid to help sustain them during their closure, no such aid was offered to the family run businesses that make Coney Island unique. PPP which while helpful, did not fully cover the losses and many of the boardwalk small businesses did not ultimately receive restaurant revitalization funding. For the first time in its history, Coney Island is facing an existential threat from which it may not recover. Today, we are considering an item that provides the first step to rebuilding the Coney Island we all know and love.

I want to thank EDC, Sean Freas, Sabrina Lippman, Winthrop Hoyt, Lydia Downing, Rachel Loeb, Karen Lapidus, Rick Da Costa, Rody Jolanda(SP?)) and Justin Turner for working with me in my office on this issue.

Under consideration as a Proposal to authorize EDC to extend its lease with Luna Park and Deno's for an additional ten years to 2037. This lease extension would grant necessary stability and security for these iconic family businesses, both of which have recently committed to making significant improvements to their parks.

With Deno's opening the Phoenix rollercoaster this summer, which I did take a ride on, pretty thrilling ride. And Luna Park in construction on several rides but recovery cannot be for two businesses alone. As part of this Luna Park has reached agreements with four of its subtenants. Paul's daughter, Ruby's Bar and Grill, Tom's Coney Island and the Brooklyn Beach Shop to offer more sustainable rental terms and lease extensions that run until 2037, coterminous with Luna Park's extension.

Many of these family businesses have been in Coney Island for generations. I want to thank Alessandro, Tracy, Tina, Al, Mike, Matt, Jimmy, Mya and Dennis for their patient and collaborative work to reach these agreements.

The Coney Island Amusement District is what it is because you are there and because your families have been there for our community through good times and bad. Having worked so hard to reach six agreements to help six iconic family run businesses survive and thrive, we must ensure that this process moves forward according to the letter and spirit of these agreements.

2.2

Unfortunately, because of additional approvals, this process will need to be completed in the next term. I don't need to tell any stakeholder from Coney Island about the challenges of enforcing commitments across administration transitions. We have enumerable examples of broken promises from the 2009 rezoning.

Today, I will be seeking additional assurances from EDC and CAI that the agreements that we have all worked so hard to reach will be executed. After so much instability caused by the pandemic, we all deserve some security in the knowledge that we have reached agreements that will preserve the Coney Island we all know and love for another generation.

I want to thank Jeff Kompania(SP?) and Amy

Levitan(SP?) for their assistance, as well as my

extraordinary and I quote extraordinary Chief of

Staff Anna Scaife for her tremendous and tireless

work on this issue. I thank Chair Moya and members

of the Zoning Subcommittee for their time and for

their consideration.

And with that, Council Member Levin, I do have some questions for EDC and CAI, if that's okay?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sure thing, yup.

\_\_\_

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you. Thank you so much. To EDC, if the Council approves this application, what additional approvals are required for the agreements that EDC has reached with its tenants to move forward?

SEAN FREAS: First thank you Council Member for such impactful words. I believe the remaining approvals include mayoral approval, uhm, borough board approval, and EDC board approval.

In addition, you know I just want to renote again that we are required to provide notice and compliance with the requirements of the New York State Public Authorities Law. And then also, we must receive our respective lease extensions from the city as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Uhm, given that the next steps in this process will occur after an administration transition, what assurances can EDC provide to its tenants and their subtenants that these agreements will move forward?

SEAN FREAS: Uhm thank you. Well, we certainly share the same goal to ensure a positive path forward and you know we fully anticipate that all subleases will be signed prior to the execution of the mass release extensions. Uhm, and then again, yeah, as I

mentioned earlier, our EDC Board must approve these lease extensions as well. But honestly, we wouldn't be here unless we also anticipated that approval.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And what is that timeframe?

SEAN FREAS: Uhm, I, honestly don't have that in front of me. A timetable that I could answer specifically right now but I'm happy to come back and have someone from our office reach out to you with a more specific timetable.

appreciate that because time is certainly of the essence and will EDC commit on the record to only approve the lease extension for its tenants authorized under this action if their tenant Luna Park has signed lease amendments with the four subtenants in accordance with the terms they have mutually agreed to?

SEAN FREAS: Uhm, you know again, we certainly anticipate that all subleases will be signed prior to the execution of the mass release extensions. That being said, you know I think in a practical matter, if one of the subleases was not executed because of

you know problematic actions by CAI, then you know, we probably won't extend the ground lease with CAI.

On the other hand, if one of the subleases failed to reach final agreement because let's say the subtenant rolled out of the deal for example or chose not to move forward, then we probably will continue with the extension of the ground lease as we want to make sure that the other subtenants are also able to recover. You know again, our goal here really is to continue to support the recovery and provide a path forward for long term investment for Deno's, for CAI and the small businesses through this amendment.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay, because to be clear, they have reached agreements and we just want to make sure that that is baked into this because we need a win-win for Luna Park and the critical subtenants.

So, I have a question for CAI for Luna Park for Alessandro and again I want to just state for the record that they have made tremendous investments to the Coney Island community, both in terms of their business, hiring local folks, many charitable events. Just recently, they were at a Thanksgiving distribution and they gave out meals to families and

2.2

we do appreciate that. And I think it's not a secret that we are striving towards an agreement that is a win-win for Luna Park and for the subtenants that have worked in good faith really to strike agreements with Luna Park.

So, question for Alessandro is, are you — and this is an important one, certainly for my office, for me. Are you willing to concretize the term sheets which you have signed with the four subtenants in the form of leases with a condition precedent clause which will only bind you to those terms if you receive your lease extension from EDC? And will you commit to doing so before this Council's final stated meeting on December 16<sup>th</sup>?

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: Good morning Council Member Treyger and thank you so much for your support and you mentioned you know a couple of times; this is a win-win approach. That's what we want you know? One way to ensure the stability, the recovery and the resilience of Coney Island and the Amusement District.

We have executed terms sheets with the subtenants and we realize how important it is to achieve you know and to make progress to the point that we

already asked our attorney's to draft, you know to

start drafting the subleases and we'll make you know,

our best to continue to make progress. And as you know Sean mentioned, that will be a condition you know for us to receive our lease extension.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So, just to be clear, you are willing to concretize the term sheets, which

you've already signed with the four subtenants in the form of the leases, right? That is something that

you are committed to doing, right Alessandro?

but again, be resilient.

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: We want — yeah, it is a win-win you know transaction where we want to ensure that the subtenants that have always showed extreme you know commitment, investment in Coney Island, they are you know supported so they can not only recover

So, those you know term sheets, those will be the terms of the sublease amendments that will be executed. And as of obviously as Commission President, we will have to receive our lease extension from EDC.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Right because the term sheets are not binding. Leases, signed leases are

binding and are you committing to get this done before the vote on December 16<sup>th</sup>?

ALESSANDRO ZAMPERLA: We'll do our best. I will also be very honest, this December 2<sup>nd</sup>, like multiple parties, so we will do our best. As I said, on our end, we already asked our attorneys to draft the sublease amendments.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay, just making clear Alessandro and I appreciate that. It just — make it very crystal clear that that is critically important for us. Because in order for it's the win-win, we just need to make sure that we have signed agreements.

Uhm, okay, last thing I'll say here and I understand that in addition to these four generationally family owned small businesses with whom you have reached agreements and Nathans — well, I'm just making sure that I have everything here correct Alessandro as far as the timeframe. I'll follow up with you and your team afterwards. We have more work to do on this front and again, I thank everyone for their time. Thank you so much. Thank you Chair.

S

)

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you very much Council Member Treyger. Uhm, and thank you to Council Member Levin for stepping in. Uh, Counsel, do we have any other members who have questions for this panel?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, I see no other members with questions for the panel.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Uhm, seeing that there are no more questions for this panel, the witness panel is now excused. Counsel if you could, please call up the next panel.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The first public witness panel will include Jimmy Kokotas, Maya Haddad Miller, Michael Serel(SP?) and Tina Georgoulakos. Apologies for any mispronunciation there. Jimmy, Maya, Michael and Tina, if you have not already done so, please accept promotion to panelist and please also accept any invitation to unmute.

And with that, we will now hear from the panel beginning with Jimmy and then — Jimmy Kokotas and then Maya Haddad Miller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

3

4

7

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Kokotas, if you can hear me, I need you to accept the unmute request in order to begin your testimony.

JIMMY KOKOTAS: Okay, we're good?

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Yes.

JIMMY KOKOTAS: Yes, okay, okay. Thank you very much. Thank you to the Council. Thank you to the Committee and to the Subcommittee for the opportunity today to speak on this very important matter.

My name is Jimmy Kokotas, I am the third generation owner of Tom's Restaurant of Prospect In 2012, after negotiations and talks with Heights. CAI, we were able to bring a family run sit down. Family friendly business to the Coney Island Boardwalk which was not there for the last 50 or 60 years prior to us arriving. There's been plenty of fast food amusements and other different types of food options but never a sit down restaurant that would be friendly to young children, to older adults, and to anybody else where they could sit down inside, climate controlled private bathrooms and things of that nature. We are very happy to bring that to the We had a very good run. Through amusement area. those years we were active in the community working

with our local schools, working with the different volunteer groups in Coney Island and we're proud to participate, host Christmas parties, Thanksgiving parties for the children and again, be active members of the community, the broader community of Coney Island. Things were challenging through the years. It's tough to be a sit down business on the boardwalk through the increment weather of the winter but we were able to do it.

We used to stay open for probably around 300 days of the year. So, even tourists that came to Coney Island were able to find something open on the boardwalk. A place to sit down, a place to get something to eat. With COVID, obviously everything changed. Our business was down approximately 93 percent in 2020 and approximately 75, 80 percent in 2021. We were fortunate enough to get the PPP loans, the Restaurant Revitalization Funds as well. And without those, we would not have been able to even make it to this point in the discussion.

We speak in favor of this proposal for CAI so that they can in turn extend our leases which will add some stability and at least going forward, give

2.2

2.3

us an opportunity to survive. We've taken loans out

3 on the business.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

JIMMY KOKOTAS: We've taken loans out on our business and again, giving us the extension here and the additional years and CAI, making some concessions in lowering our rents for the —

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Jimmy, thank you for your testimony today. We really appreciate it. Thank you. Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Maya Haddad Miller will be the next speaker and Michael and Tina, if you can hear me, please accept the promotion to panelist and standby for your testimony. And now, we'll hear from Maya Haddad Miller.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MAYA HADDAD MILLER: Good morning. Hi, my name is Maya Haddad Miller and I own and operate Brooklyn Beach Shop on the Coney Island Boardwalk with my dad, Hiam Haddad.

25 years ago in '96, my dad opened a discount store on the corner of Mermaid and Stillwell where McDonalds stands today. In 2003 it went out of business. That same year, he opened Coney Island

2.2

2.3

Beach Shop right next door to the original Nathan's Famous location. By the summer of 2012, we opened Brooklyn Beach Shop on the Boardwalk. That same year Hurricane Sandy flooded his shop off the Boardwalk and everything inside was destroyed. He had no flood insurance but we built back.

By 2019, our family had six retail shops within the amusement district but in 2020 only two were able to open. And those two shops ended down 80 percent to 2019. Lately, one of our biggest challenges has been rent. Our current rental terms are not suited for the environment we are in.

As you may or may not know, most boardwalk subtenants signed lease renewals right before the pandemic. I signed mine on March 17, 2020, the day after I closed my doors for the pandemic. These new leases are based on revenues, projections from 2019 in which in the current environment as you know are not sustainable.

If you recall, there was very little protection for boardwalk subtenants in the original underlying leases between EDC and right operator but this extension addresses some of those concerns, at least through to 2037.

2.2

you.

It would bring my family and some of my neighbors stability during these unstable times and it will better prepare us for the new normal. The new normal requires realistic rental terms that can accommodate a slow recovery in an unpredictable future. More specifically, I have signed a term sheet with CAI, summarizing the principle terms of a proposed amendment to my existing sublease, as previously mentioned.

Will it protect me from another year like 2020? SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MAYA HADDAD MILLER: Uh, not entirely but I support this because it will put me in a much better position to bounce back and offer some stability.

And my time ran out. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony. Next speaker please.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tina Georgoulakos. And if you can hear me Tina, please accept the unmute request in order to begin your testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Tina Georgoulakos, thank

)

TINA GEORGOULAKOS: First, I'd like to thank Mark
Treyger and his staff for their tremendous support
during the last two years. Knowing how much he cared
about Coney Island and our businesses, helped me
navigate through this crazy time.

Hi, my name is Tina Georgoulakos, I am the owner of Paul's Daughter and have a restaurant on the Coney Island Boardwalk and I am Paul's daughter. My family has been in continuous operation since 1962. My dad started working in Coney Island almost since the day he immigrated from Greece in 1946. He built this business from nothing and turned it into the iconic place that it is today. Sadly, he passed away two years ago. He was a beloved member of the community and a friend to all and his devotion to Coney Island is legendary.

There's nothing he loved more. I've worked there since I was a kid. He asked me to help him 25 years ago, just one summer and one summer turned into 25 years later. I've seen all kinds of things happen in the last 60-70 years that my dad was on the boardwalk. Hurricanes, Sandy, gangs, up and down summers, 2003 it rained every weekend. Uhm, it was unbelievable. It was just a terrific — the business

2.3

unmute request in order to begin your testimony and

was down 30 percent but I never encountered anything like this. Our business was down 80 percent. Uhm, this lease extension is our lifeline. We won't make it another summer. So, we need it. I'm in support of it. I had a whole list of things I was going to say but everybody has kind of covered it.

So, I give my support for this extension. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Tina. Thank you so much for your testimony today. Next speaker.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Michael Serel, if you can hear me, Michael Serel, you are being called to testify. And if you can hear me, we need you to accept the promotion invitation to panelist in order to begin your testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Michael Serel, if you can hear me and you are on a telephone, uh, you can unmute yourself by pressing star six on your keypad. Star six to unmute yourself on a phone.

Once again, it's for Michael Serel. Michael

Serel, if you can hear me, we need you to accept the

O 1

you can do that on a phone by pressing star six on your keypad.

Okay Chair, apologies. Yeah, we will attempt to come back but we will now call the next panel, which should include Alexander — uh, excuse me. Alexandra Silversmith and Telisha Lee. Alexandra Silversmith to go first followed by Telisha Lee.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Let's see if we can —

Telisha Lee, can you hear me? If you can hear me, we need you to accept the unmute request in order to begin your testimony.

There she is, Telisha Lee, please accept the unmute request and then you can begin your testimony. Telisha Lee or Alexandra Silversmith, if you can hear me, please press the raise hand button and if you intend to testify, please accept the unmute request in order to begin to speak.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, I see Telisha there.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see Telisha Lee.

Telisha Lee, if you can accept the unmute request

then we can take your testimony. If you do not

intend to testify, you can -

TELISHA LEE: Okay, great. I think that worked.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You got it, okay.

TELISHA LEE: Yeah, okay, hi, my name is Telisha Lee. I'm reading a letter on behalf of April Simpson.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin.

TELISHA LEE: Okay, my name is April Simpson and

I am the President of Queensbridge Houses Resident

Association. As the President my mission is to

uplift, encourage and advocate for residents in

public housing. In the last 18 months, we've really

There are upwards of 50,000 homeless people in

seen how badly new housing stock is needed.

New York including children. Every time we put an affordable unit online, it gives someone a greater opportunity to get a home. I am speaking today to show my support for the 31<sup>st</sup> Street rezoning as it will provide affordable housing options. A new senior and youth center along with high quality jobs and community programming.

One of the reasons I am most passionate about this project is because of the new youth center.

High quality youth programs promote positive youth development and authorize safe space where children

2.3

can explore their potential. This project is partnering with HANAC(SP?) and Urban Upbound to create programming that best serves historian needs. HANAC service to the neighborhood spans almost 50 years since their founding in 1972. They had them providing services including youth programming, adult literacy classes, legal clinics, healthcare access programs employment and training and resources, and family counseling along with renting several senior centers.

Please accept this testimony as my support for the rezoning. Thank you for your time and consideration.

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Alexandra Silversmith.

Alexandra Silversmith, please accept the unmute request if you see one. There we go, thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

ALEXANDRA SILVERSMITH: Good morning, my name is Alexandra Silversmith and I am the Executive Director of the Alliance for Coney Island. I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify today about the Third Amendment to the leases for Central Amusement International and Deno's Wonder Wheel Amusement Park.

J

My nonprofit, the Alliance for Coney Island seeks to continue the revitalization of Coney Island and increased visitor-ship. Both of these entities are critical to the health of the Amusement District.

The closure in 2020 caused by the COVID-19 pandemic had devastating consequences on their businesses and the surrounding businesses in the district.

The pandemic illustrated the degree to which

Coney Island truly operates as an ecosystem and

without these two strong operators, the area cannot

thrive. Additionally, both businesses have shown a

strong commitment to Coney Island with private

investment. Deno's and CAI both are defying

expectations during pandemic and continuing to invest

in the area.

Deno's constructed an opened the Phoenix rollercoaster, as you've heard and CAI, as we sit here now, is currently constructing several new attractions that will add to the Coney Island Skyline and expand the types of rides and amenities visitors can experience.

I am here today to support the extensions for both entities and also support the subtenants who have testified today. CAI and Deno's are founding

board members of our organization and the subtenants here today have all been members of organization since our inception supporting the community work we do and aiding our work as a nonprofit in the revitalization and economic development of Coney Island.

These extensions particularly in light of the new omicron variant are crucial to the stability of the family owned businesses. The character of Coney Island and the longevity of these two generational businesses is what makes Coney Island so unique. This year, despite the amusement district fully reopening, business was down on average 25 to 35 percent from 2019. Many of the businesses who will benefit from this extension only receive relief from PPP. The rules and stipulations for the other programs did not cover them.

Though we hope for a brighter future, we can know nothing is for certain and extensions are a much needed -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

ALEXANDRA SILVERSMITH: I thank Councilman

Treyger and EDC.

a

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now try to hear from Michael Serel. Michael Serel to speak next.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uh, Michael Serel, I can see you in the list of speakers. If you can hear me, please accept the unmute request in order to begin your testimony.

I'll take this opportunity to announce that written testimony can be submitted by email to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. If for some reason uh, we're unable to take your testimony today, landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Arthur, I think we should proceed to the next panel.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uh, as it happens, that was the last speaker on this panel Mr. Chair. So, you could excuse this panel and we can see if there's anybody else left.

If there are any other members of the public who wish to testify on the Coney Island Special Process

Agreement Amendment, please press the raise hand
button now. Please so not use the raise hand button,

unless you are wishing to testify on the Coney Island Special Process Agreement Amendment. The meeting will briefly stand at ease while we check for any other members.

Okay, Mr. Chair, not seeing any hands for new testimony. Uh, once again this is for anyone who has not already testified. And with that Chair Moya, I see no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered LU item for the Proposed Third Amendment of the Special Process Agreement related to the Coney Island Amusement Park Project Plan, the public hearing is now closed and this item is laid over.

I now open the public hearing on the Preconsidered LU item for the 1 Wythe Avenue Industrial Business Incentive Area Proposal, which includes a Proposed Zoning Text Amendment under the ULURP Number N 210273 ZRK and which relates to property in Council Member Levin's District in Brooklyn.

Once again, if you wish to testify on this item, please visit the Councils website to register. That link is at the <a href="https://www.council.nyc.gov/landuse">www.council.nyc.gov/landuse</a>. And you may also submit written testimony by emailing it to <a href="mailto:landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov">landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. And now, Council, if you could, please call up the first panel for this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: First, the applicant panel for this item will include once again Richard Lobel and Fayanne Betan and also available for question and answer, we will have Nick Liberis, Jeremy Zuidema, Kevin Williams and Louis Handler. I will ask all panelists now to raise your right hands please.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin Richard.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Moya, Council
Members. Good morning, Richard Lobel from Sheldon
Lobel. I will be presenting landuse matters to be
considered today and will be joined by Nick Liberis

who will be discussing the architectural aspects of the Proposal.

If you can load the slide presentation please.

So, we're here today to discuss the 1 Wythe Avenue,
IBIA Special Permit and Text Amendment. Next slide.

This is to establish an industrial business incentive area on three lots in Williamsburg. So, the Text

Amendment is to provide for the extension of that

IBIA and then there's a special permit, which allows for three aspects. The first is to increase the floor area ratio to permit light industrial and commercial uses. The second, to permit an adjustment in the underlying height and setback regulations and the third, to modify accessory off street parking requirements and loading berth requirements.

Again, the IBIA as has been established through the original text amendment and three prior applications including 103 North 13<sup>th</sup> most recently, simply allows for as of right floor area in the form of 4.8 of community facility floor area in the subject M1-2 District to be used instead for incentive uses, industrial uses, business uses, to allow for more walk to work opportunities and increase job numbers in this area. Next slide.

So, here you can see there's a Tax Map with three sites in question. The lot area amounts to roughly 16,820 square feet, which given the floor area ratio would allow for roughly 80,000 square foot building. Nick will run through the relative square footages as he goes through his presentation but we do know that there will be 13,000 square feet of industrial uses, which will be located on the ground floor of the proposed building. Next slide.

So, the area map here indicates what you would expect from this area, which is a heavy uhm usages with regards to manufacturing and commercial uses.

So, this lot itself and this development site was part of the original IBIA prior to the time when it was reduced. And now, applicants seeking the Special Permit are required to come in and ask for this Text Amendment to expand it over the area. But this was one of the original blocks that was included in the IBIA.

You can see of course that M1-1 and M1-2 use zoning districts abound in the area, particularly here, the three streets around the property give excellent access to the site and make it particularly

appropriate for the uses and bulk for this proposed here. Next slide.

So, the next slide demonstrates uhm existing uses of the site. This is uhm, as is typical in the M1-1, given the low FAR and high parking requirements results in primarily one story manufacturing and industrial type buildings. Next slide.

And given that there are also some taller buildings in the area of course, the William Vale Hotel can be seen several blocks away and if you want to just page through the remainder of the pictures, uh and come to the first map after the pictures, you'll see the proposal with regards to the Special District and the expansion of the IBIA. Of course, there are three sites that are currently included in the IBIA. Again, 103 North 13<sup>th</sup> to the South being the most recently added and now, as you could see the amended and proposed map, the IBIA would be mapped over the three lots that are included in this application.

With that, uhm, I think if you want to forward two slides to the proposed project, uh, these are the square footages and after that, I'm going to defer over to Nick.

2.3

So, the building again, would be an 80,000 roughly square foot building with 33,000 square feet of permitted uses in the cellar. Second and fourth floors, 13,000 square feet roughly required industrial uses on the ground floor and 33,600 square feet roughly of incentive uses on floors five through eight. The resulting building would be 110 feet tall and eight stories similar to other applications approved pursuant to the IBIA with one loading berth and reduction to no parking spaces.

Uhm, and as we go to the next slide, which has zoning calculations and I turn this over to Nick, I would merely note that this is an application which has received tremendous support, including from Evergreen, which has signed a letter and submitted that into the record. It indicates that they are supportive of the application particularly in light of the high quality industrial space which will be on the ground floor here. With that, I would defer to Nick Liberis.

NICK LIBERIS: Thank you Rich. Nick Liberis with Archimaera. As Rich said, we've received overwhelming support from the Community Board and from local light manufacturing groups and the basis

\_\_\_

of this is having this — the light manufacturing space on the ground floor. We have about thirteen and a half thousand square feet of very high quality light manufacturing space on the ground floor. We meet all of the criteria that DCP set forth for this space. It has high ceilings. It has excellent access to loading and what makes this unique is that in this area, there's been a lot of talk of keeping the characteristic manufacturing but it's often relegated to the second floor or higher. And in this case, we've kept it on the ground floor. We had uh and like an aligned ownership and lots of community support that made this possible. Next slide please.

You can see here that the access to this is off of all three streets that the building fronts off of. You have Banker Street to the north, which is the typically more traffic street by trucks. Acme is the next block to northwest. North 15<sup>th</sup> Street is to the south and we've been hearing a lot recently about the community asking for this to be turned into a pedestrian only promenade, that would lead from the inlet into the park all the way to the east. And then we have Wythe Avenue that the shortage frontage faces. So, this is light manufacturing space which

will be very easily accessed from the street. It will be very visible to the public and one other thing that this implicates is because of this and also because of the size of the sites and the shape of the site, we're unable to come up with a good way to provide parking. This is something that we've received backing from — backing for excuse me, from DCP and also the community. It's quite clear that it's impossible to have parking really access this site in anyway that wouldn't really chop up this first floor. Next slide please.

So, these are, these are typical floors coming up, if you could just please cycle through these quickly. This is the cellar floor you know these are the typical floors at the base and then we have the upper office floors. We have very high quality office space. We have light coming in from you know all three sides and we have a very good window to office floor depth — depth ratio. There's been a lot of consideration to the quality of this office space and how its been set up around core. You can see here as Rich described, you know the maximum height is 110 feet with a setback at the eighth floor, which

hosts a roof terrace and there's a significant roof terrace at the fourth floor. Next slide please.

Uhm, this building access show what the general massing of the building. There's been terraces provided at multiple floors that are set up to service various office tenants and fourth floor tenants. The fourth floor has been contemplated to be any type of an as of right use. Uhm, we have thirty three and a half thousand about square feet of as of right uses and then we have thirty three and a half thousand square feet of these so called incentive uses, which are uses that are permitted as of right but have been kind of called down.

So, it's mainly office space that's permitted in that incentive use upper bid. Next slide please.

Uhm, this was the presentation that we gave to the Community Board, which show that there is sufficient parking in this area to support the request for us to reduce parking to zero. So, you can see that there are in excess of 15 properties within a few block radius that have excess capacity. This is something that we've been keeping very close track of. First, starting with that other Special Permit Project that we had approved a few years back on the Three North

0.4

13<sup>th</sup> and then with other ones coming up. You know we are very keen you know to support as uh, like reduce traffic, a scheme as we can with the support of City Planning and the community but we always have to be mindful you know of making sure that we meet the criteria of the zoning as its currently written for parking to the best of our ability.

So, this shows that you know even though we don't quite do it, there enough there to provide what the site actually requires based on the uses on site.

Next slide please.

And you can see here, this is I think one of the most appealing views of the building. This is coming from the east looking west. On the west side, you have 15<sup>th</sup> Street, which is leading towards the future Bushwick Inlet Park. On the right side, you have Banker and we've taken great pain to make sure that there's a graceful massing as this steps back from this very acute corner and what's been created is some very nice public space also at the end. And we anticipate with the Open Streets Program and also you know future support from the city that as this neighborhood changes, that this will only serve to

\_\_\_

further enhance the pedestrian aspect of this area which is so characteristic of it.

And with that, my presentation completes. Thank you everybody.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you and as an applicant team, we also wanted to take the opportunity given that this may be the last Special Permit considered during the current Council term to thank Council Member Levin for his involvement both in the creation and the opportunities to sustain this Special Permit, which has been a boom to the area and which as an applicant, it's been a pleasure to work with the Community Board on these applications and really bring job growth and work opportunities to this area. So, with that, we're happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just one quick question here before I turn it over to Council Member Levin. Uhm, within the uh, an industrial business incentive area, a special permit to allow an increase in the FAR from 2.0 to 4.8, requires that the building provide a minimum of 0.8 FAR required industrial uses. What plans are proposed for this required use and how many manufacturing jobs can we expect to be created by this development?

2.

)

RICHARD LOBEL: Nick, can you talk a little bit about the proposed uses given your familiarity with the area?

NICK LIBERIS: Sure, yeah sure. So, we have about 14,000 square feet that's been provided. The way that it's been set up on this ground floor, uhm, and it's anticipated that it could be demised into several smaller spaces and it's also set up because of the office floor up above with a very wide space into the columns in a way which would also support just a single user that would want a big, wide open space.

So, in this area, typically there is uses ranging from alcohol manufacturing. There's several brewers in this area, all the way down to people that you know that make custom watches, custom jewelry.

There's fabric making, print making, you know furniture making. There is all sorts of smaller types of uses inhabit spaces all over the neighborhood up into Greenpoint. So, the Greenpoint Manufacturing Center, 67 West Street, 42 West Street, uhm, there's a couple of other addresses that are now alluding me but there's five or six very significant you know warehouse type spaces that now house these

2.2

2.3

uses and this is anecdotal. We've always had — we've had offices in these spaces and during COVID, we left for about a year and a half and when we came back, I mean, everything had been rented out almost immediately going back to you know late 2020.

So, there's a tremendous demand and there's really, there is a shortfall of these spaces and uhm, you know we can't know who is going to be the person or the person signing into the spaces but we could definitely vouge for the quantity of the demand for such spaces and uhm, uhm, you know as to who it could be, I really can't comment but I'm sure that if you go and visit in the next year, it will be fully occupied. Or I guess, after it's been built, sorry, not the next year because it's going to take a little bit of time to build it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you. That's it for me with questions. I now want to turn it over to Council Member Levin for questions.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Do we have Council Member

Levin still on?

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: I see Council Member Levin is logged in. I just can't confirm that he is  $-\ I$  can't see his video.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Alright, I just reached out to Council Member Levin. I'm going to give him about one minute to see if he responds. If not, we're going to have to move on uhm, to the next panel.

Okay, I have not gotten a confirmation back from Council Member Levin. Uhm, so do we have any Council Members that have any questions for this panel?

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Uh, no Chair, I see no other members with questions for the panel.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, there being no further questions. The applicant panel is excused.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry about that Chair, can you hear me okay?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: There we go Steve.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, sorry about that. I had to step out for a second. No, I just, uh, I want to thank this application for engaging with us. I wanted to ask about uhm, uh, uh, your willingness to commit to Option One as part of the MIH?

2.2

2.3

2 RICHARD LOBEL: Uhm, Council Member, this is the

3 | -

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: The other application.

Got it, got it, okay, uhm, okay, this is — I'm okay I think with questions on this item. I do have a question on the other end.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you very much

Council Member Levin. Seeing that there are no other

members who have any questions for this panel, this

panel is now excused.

Counsel, do we have any members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Any members of the public wishing to testify on the 1 Wythe Avenue IBIA proposal should please — are asked to please press the raise hand button now. Mr. Chair, the meeting will briefly stand at ease while we just confirm.

Okay, Chair Moya, I see no members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered LU items for the 1 Wythe Avenue IBIA Proposal under ULURP Number N 210273 ZRK, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

We can go to our next item. Okay, I now open the public hearing on two Preconsidered LU items under C 210166 ZMK and N 210167 ZRK for the 79 Quay Street Rezoning. Requesting a Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendment relating to property in Council Member Levin's District in Brooklyn.

Once again, anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Councils website once again at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Counsel, if you could please call up the first panel for this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Richard Lobel, Fayanne Betan.

Available for question and answer will be Kevin

Williams, Steve Wygoda and Harry Einhorn.

For those of you who don't already remain under oath, please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you will give Subcommittee will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all Council Member questions truthfully? Thank you.

\_

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin Richard, whenever you are ready.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Moya, Council Members. Good morning, Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel, PC for the application at 79 Quay Street. This is for a Proposed Rezoning. If you can load the slide presentation please.

I'm also hoping at the end of the presentation that Council Member Levin can finally answer whether it is Quay, Quay or Quay. Because Merriam Webster Dictionary allows for all three pronunciations.

So, good morning again. We're here for the 79

Quay Street Rezoning. Next slide. The rezoning

would rezone a current M1-2R6A M8 Special Mixed Use

District, uh as was rezoned in the Greenpoint

Williamsburg Rezoning to an M1-4R70 District.

What this would allow for would be the development of a nine story, approximately 92,000 square foot building, roughly 5.58 FAR with 86 dwelling units and then depending on the level of affordability 22 under Option One or 26 under Option Two affordable units.

The Zoning Map Amendment application consists of two aspects, the first would be the Zoning Map

C

2.2

Amendment change, the Zoning Change to the M1-4R7D and the second being a text amendment to not only amend the Special District Text under Mx-8 but also to of course provide for uhm, Mandatory Inclusionary Housing on the site. Next slide.

So, as you can see here, this is a Zoning Map, again the 2005 Greenpoint Williamsburg Rezoning created many of the mixed use districts in this area including at the subject site. Next slide. So, you can see here that the M1-2R6A currently covers the property with R6B to the east and R6 and R8 Districts to the west. Next slide.

The next slide is the Tax Map which shows with greater specificity what is proposed at the site.

This is an M1-4R7D. Why is this appropriate at the site? Uhm, particularly here because you've got existing R6B and R6A Districts, particularly R6B

Districts to the east and you have R8 and R6

Districts to the west, which is more easily seen on the next slide. Which have largely resulted on the west in building heights ranging from 14 to 33 stories.

So, particularly here the opportunity to put an R7D mixed use district to allow for a nine story

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

building, allows for appropriate transition from the taller buildings to the west to the smaller and shorter buildings to the east. In addition Quay Street here operates as a corridor towards the waterfront and the opportunity to put new development here and to enliven this corner with some retail is something which is seen as valuable to the surrounding area.

The site itself as you can see in red, is roughly 16,500 square feet. Two other lots would be partially included in this proposed rezoning. slide. So, the next slide demonstrates the actual Zoning Change Map. You can see on the left, the existing M1-2 R6A and on the right, the change in the dotted portion for the M1-4 R7D. We note that R6A, depending on the affordability and inclusionary housing, can result in floor area ratios of 3 to 3.6. So, the transition here to an R7D is seen as particularly appropriate as has been confirmed in the approvals of the Community Board, the Brooklyn Borough President and City Planning Commission, we further note that the Community Board here did issue a unanimous recommendation. We were happy and proud to receive that. Next slide.

So, here you see photos of the site and the surrounding area. Again, this site has remained largely underdeveloped with one to three story buildings despite the rezoning in 2005 to the R6A District. You know generally commercial uses at the property. Next slide.

And resulting in warehouse type development. Uh,

I think if you want to fast forward through these

pictures, we can get to the first slide demonstrating
the building plans. Uhm, I would briefly run through
those building plans.

So, you have in front of you a nine story building, which sets back after the seventh story.

Next slide. This slide includes zoning calculations. Primarily of note here, is that the bedroom mix results in 13 studios, 31 one-bedrooms, 29 two-bedrooms, and 13 three-bedrooms. One of the things that the R7D permits here is to allow for a wider floor plate and more floor area to flush out these units, so that we're able to bring large units to the community. This was something that was seen as a huge benefit to allow for a large number of three bedrooms, as well as two bedrooms. And importantly, pursuant to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing, the units

2.2

2.3

in the building generally will mirror the inclusionary units, such that the inclusionary units will include the same mix of one, two's and three's.

So, this is actually the opportunity to allow for affordability and affordability at larger bedroom sizes, which is you know one of the goals of the program. Next slide.

The next slide merely shows an elevation demonstrating the various building levels at the site ranging from six to nine stories. Next slide. This slide demonstrates the parking at the site resulting in 31 below grade parking spaces. Next slide.

There is the retail on the ground floor, 10,000 square feet of new retail space and a residential lobby. And then if you would page through the remainder of the slides, this simply show the unit layouts per floor the second or sixth floor and then the setbacks seven and eight stories. We also include copies in the next two slides of the building elevations both from the south as well as the west.

And finally, the building section, which shows the commercial on the ground floor followed by the residential uses on floors two through nine above.

Uhm, there you go. So, with that, if you just want

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

to page through the last three slides, this is just a 2 3 massing of the building, particularly you know it's 4 probably that there is larger building types to the 5 west of the sight and thank you for doing that. didn't mean to go too fast and uhm, you can see the 6 7 larger buildings to the west of West Street and then, 8 uhm, you know as you page through to the end, the rendering, which demonstrates the proposal and the massing as it currently exits. With that, the 10

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uhm, sorry, can you hear me now?

applicant is happy to answer any questions.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yup, okay, thank you for your testimony. I'm going to turn it over for questions now to Council Member Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, thank you very much Chair. I had a question I'm sorry about the mix up. By the way, I say Quay Street.

RICHARD LOBEL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I think that's what most say but uhm, I'm pretty sure, it's a small street so you know it doesn't get a lot of foot traffic.

24

~ ~

RICHARD LOBEL: Every time we say it, we say it wrong, so we're happy to have you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So, on the MIH Option, uhm is the applicant willing to commit to Option One?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, we discussed that with Mr. Einhorn. Uhm, and obviously it's the progress of the Council Member here. We understand that there is a unity to interest in the opportunity to bring the community some real affordability, so we are happy to acquiesce and uhm, understand that this will be modified.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, uhm, and then in terms of like sustainability measures at this development site, uhm, can you speak to that little bit?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, uhm, I'd actually ask if

Steve Wygoda who is the Project Architect is standing

by? Steve, are you able to talk about sustainability

at the site?

STEVE WYGODA: Yeah, I can. Can you hear me? RICHARD LOBEL: Yes.

STEVE WYGODA: Okay, I'm not on video, I apologize but we uhm we're looking to uh — we're looking at the solar energy, the green roof, the

exterior wall functions. We put some green planting along the street. Uhm, and we're trying to use some materials that are environmentally sustainable you know but, so we're going to come in. Once we begin the design of the building, we commit to doing all of those sustainability factors in our design. So, the answer is yes. We also like the sustainability of the terraces that have the wonderful view towards the river and that has sort of uh, in my interpretation sustainability. That means that those families will stay there for a while. It will be a really cool place to live. So, we sustain the families and we provide I think 26 is what but well, it depends which Option we go with.

So, just to keep it short, that's our approach to the sustainability aspect.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay and the applicant will be willing to make some commitments that we can uhm, talk about after this hearing to memorialize the commitments on sustainability measures?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, Council Member, we're happy to discuss that you as we go forward and to do so to your satisfaction.

Okay, seeing none -

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, uhm, and uh, Rich, I should have asked that question as well to the previous applicants but understanding that that hearing is closed, we can have that conversation post-hearing.

RICHARD LOBEL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, thank you. Thank you very much Chair.

STEVE WYGODA: Sorry, just for the record Richard - it's Steve.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, okay, I didn't see it.

STEVE WYGODA: Sorry. I just want to add the parking is above grade. Just because you're recording it because we're in a very high water table and Rich, I think you might have said below grade.

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, apologies.

STEVE WYGODA: No problem.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And obviously, I'm in favor of as minimal parking as possible and uhm, if you were able to do no parking, I would be in favor of that. We can talk about after as well. Uhm, uhm, okay, it seems that I am currently the Acting Chair. So, does anyone else have any questions on this item?

2 SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Chair, I -

3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, go ahead.

4

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, I don't see any

members with questions.

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, okay, thank you

Arthur. Okay, so in that note, uhm we will let the

applicant go. Thank you very much for your

presentation and look forward to working with you

over the next week or so to uhm, to see if we can get

both of these projects to an approval stage and uhm,

I think that they bring both projects real benefit to

uhm, to the neighborhood and have you know a minimal

- any negative affects or mitigated and minimal in my

opinion at this point.

So, just want to work through some of the details

as we move forward.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Council Member.

Thank you. Uhm, okay, so COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:

seeing no other members that wish to ask questions, I

will ask if there are any members of the public to

testify on this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, I'm going to take

this moment to just make a quick technical

announcement. For anyone who maybe logged in and

S

2.3

wishing to testify as a public speaker, we ask that you register online at the Council's website before you do so. And with that, we have no advanced registrations but we'll ask now that if anyone would like to testify as a public witness on this item to please use the raise hand button now.

Okay, Chair it appears that we have no members of the public who wish to testify on this item. Oh, sorry, just to make sure, for anyone wishing to testify and has not already registered and is here by phone, you can use the raise hand button by pressing star nine. Star nine on your key pad or telephone if you intend to testify on this item.

Alright Chair, thank you. I see no members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, thank you very much Council.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will recommend that you close the hearing on page 15.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yes, I'm sorry, I'm looking for the notes here. Uhm, I don't have it in page format, so bear with me here. Okay, sorry Arthur.

\_

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: It's okay, I'm sending you a quick statement on this now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, got it.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just with the - just with the -

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it. Oh, I see, got it. Got it okay. Okay, page 15.

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Middle of page 15, closing by announcing the ULURP members.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it. Uhm, okay. I'm sorry. There being no members of the public who wish to testify on Preconsidered LU items for 79 Quay Street Rezoning Proposal under ULURP Numbers C 210166 ZMK and N 210167 ZRK, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: And now, we will be on page 21 to continue.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. I am now opening the public hearing on LU Numbers 932 through 936 related to a number of land use actions related to the River Ring Proposal in my district in Brooklyn, Council Member Levin District in Brooklyn, in which also include a Zoning Text Amendment and Zoning Map Amendment. I'll note that in conjunction with those

related, Preconsidered Zoning Map and Text

Amendments, the Subcommittee held a public hearing on

November 18<sup>th</sup> and took comprehensive testimony

concerning the anticipated development under the

proposal and its entirety. That is pursuant to all

eight related actions.

At this time, I will ask Council whether we have any members of the public signed up to testify today on this item.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes Chair, I believe that we do have — we did have one advanced registration for this item. If we have Renzo Ramirez, Renzo Ramirez will be the at this moment a lone speaker on this item. Renzo Ramirez to testify on the River Ring items.

Alright Chair, I have been informed that Renzo Ramirez did cancel registration, so with that, if there any other members of the public who wish to testify on the River Ring items, we ask that you use the raise hand button now.

Alright Mr. Chair, with that, I see no members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, there being no questions for this panel. Excuse me, I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yes, yes, thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Page 24.

There being no members of the public who wish to testify on landuse numbers 932 through 936 for the River Ring Proposal, the public hearing is now closed

and the items are laid over.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: And now, we're onto the very next item, The Archer Avenue.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I now open the public hearing on landuse number 938 for 160-05 Archer Avenue Proposal, which seeks a Zoning Text Amendment related to property in Council Member Miller's District in Queens. I remind the viewing public for anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online. And you may do that now in the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse one word landuse.

Okay, Counsel, can you please call the first panel for this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Rachel Scall, Landuse Council for the applicant and Hugo Corvalan for the applicant.

RACHEL SCALL: I think we have Harley Braun instead of Hugo Corvalan, I apologize.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, Harley Braun, I'll ask both panelists to please raise your right hands.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

RACHEL SCALL: Yes.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RACHEL SCALL: If I could please have our slides. Thank you. Good just afternoon, my name is Rachel Scall and I'm an Associate at Greenberg Traurig. We represent Archer One LLC and this application to allow a curb cut on a currently restricted portion of Archer Avenue. The application has received the support of the Borough President as well as unanimous approval of the Community Board. I am joined today by Harley Braun on behalf of ownership.

If I could just get the slides. Sorry, are we have technical difficulties with the slides? Oh, thank you. Next slide please. Sorry. Thank you.

Archer One LLC owns 160-05 Archer Avenue located at the northeast corner of Archer Avenue and 160<sup>th</sup> Street, just north of the above ground LIRR tracks in the special downtown Jamaica District. Next slide please. This site is currently being developed with an as of right 22 story building that will contain 315 dwelling units as well as ground floor and cellar retail or medical use. Construction is about 75 percent complete and we expect people to begin moving into the building in March 2022. Next slide please.

We would like to provide a permitted not required loading berth for residents moving in and out of the building as well as the retail or medical tenant as needed. The new building does not have space for a loading berth curb cut on 160<sup>th</sup> Street because a curb cut can not be located within 50 feet of the intersection and the balance of the 160<sup>th</sup> Street frontage is occupied by the new buildings, residential parking and residential lobby.

Therefore, we are seeking a Text Amendment to create an authorization that would allow the City Planning Commission to authorize curb cuts on restricted streets where a zoning lot has more than one street frontage in the special downtown Jamaica

district. We are simultaneously applying for this authorization and are proposing this 14 foot wide curb cut on Archer Avenue. Next slide please.

The proposed authorization requires that we meet the finding shown here related to traffic, safety and flow and pedestrian safety. With regards to findings one, two and four, the proposed curb cut would not be hazardous to traffic safety. It is expected to draw minimal traffic and its use would be prescheduled with a flagman present during daytime use.

As a result of conversations with the Community Board and Council Member Miller, we have agreed that the loading berth would not be used during am rush hour or pm rush hour and that any use of the loading berth by a retail tenant would take place overnight. The proposed curb cut would prevent curbside pickups and drop-offs by moving vans or trucks and by giving them an off street place to pull up.

With regard to finding three, the loading berth associated with the curb cut would prevent trucks from coming in the site and blocking the sidewalk or idling curbside or double parking. And the proposed flagman would ensure pedestrian safety.

With regard to finding five, the proposed curb cut will not be inconsistent with the character of the existing street scape. A portion of the sites

Archer Avenue Frontage that was occupied by curb cuts prior to the beginning of construction would be reduced from what was previously there.

And neighboring blocks also feature curb cuts on Archer Avenue. And with that, Harley and I are happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much. I don't have any questions on this item. I'm sorry, I'm just bringing up the — are there — do any of the other members of the Subcommittee have any questions on this item? If you have questions, please use the raise hand function.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see no members with hands raised for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, there being no questions, the applicant panel is excused. Uhm, Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 160-05 Archer Avenue Proposal?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, we have no advanced registrations but we'll ask now if anyone from the

public does intend to testify on the Archer Avenue proposal to please use the raise hand button now.

Alright, it appears Chair Levin that we have no members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, uhm, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on 160-05 Archer Avenue Proposal, please — I'm sorry. Which is LU 938, 160-05 Archer Avenue, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

We will next turn to Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Self Storage. I am now opening the public hearing on LU 937 for the Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Street Self Storage Proposal which seeks a Zoning Map Amendment related to property in Council Member Brook-Power's District in Queens. For anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse one word landuse.

Counsel, would you please call the first panel for this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include again Rachel Scall Landuse Counsel for the applicant and Uri Kaufman for the

Ö

applicant. Panelists, please or I guess Ms. Scall you remain under oath. Please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: Yes.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

RACHEL SCALL: If I could please get the slides for this one, thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

RACHEL SCALL: Hello again, my name Rachel Scall and I'm an Associate at Greenberg Taurig. We represent the applicant 79 Urban Development LLC, owner of approximately 107,500 square foot development site at Block 16100, Lots 14, 18, and 20 on the northside of Rockaway Freeway at the terminus the Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Street.

I am joined today by Uri Kaufman on behalf of ownership. Our client purchased this property in January 2018 and planned to convert an existing 34,500 square foot building on the site to self-storage and to construct a new approximately 73,000 square foot building on a vacant portion of the site.

2.2

Instead, we are pursuing the proposed rezoning, which would increase FAR available on the site from one to two. Next slide please.

This would allow the exiting building housing seven industrial tenants with approximately 55 employees to remain. See the industrial building here. Next slide please. And a new approximately 146,000 square foot self-storage building to be constructed on a vacant portion of the site seen here. Next slide please.

The proposed development site is located on the northside of Rockaway Freeway and the above ground subway tracks at the terminus of Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Street. Next slide please.

It fronts on Rockaway Freeway and backs onto
Barbados Basin and is adjacent to Brandreth Creek to
the west. Next slide please. The site surrounding
area is largely industrial and residential uses to
the south are cut off from the site by the above
ground subway tracks and vacant parcels. Next slide
please.

The proposed rezoning would rezone a portion of an exiting M1-1 district to an M1-2 district. Next slide please, thank you. It would overlap with

2.3

portions of city owned property that make up the adjacent street and sidewalk and Brandreth Creek.

Approximately 16 percent of the rezoning area is made up of city owned land, two percent by another private party and 82 percent is applicant owned. Next slide please.

The new building except entrances, would be raised above flood hazard levels and its entrances would be flood proofed. Parking would be on the ground level beneath the occupiable portions of the building. The building would be approximately 90 feet tall from grade and would have six floors of self-storage units containing approximately 950 climate controlled storage units of various sizes. Next slide please.

We will also be repaving the properties drive lane with permeable pavers, planting a wetlands mitigation area adjacent to Barbados Basin pursuant to a DEC permit, adding landscaping at the front of the site and adding picnic tables adjacent to the wetlands area that would be open to the public as well as the existing industrial tenants.

Pursuant to DEC's voluntary Brown Fields Program, we have a plan in place to cleanup hazardous

q

materials at the development site that have resulted from its previous, almost more than 100 years of industrial use. Without the proposed rezoning, uh, next slide please.

Without the Proposed Rezoning, we could have a total of 107,500 square feet of self-storage on the site. The Proposed Rezoning represents an increase of approximately 38,500 square feet of self-storage on this site and would allow us to keep the existing industrial uses. Next slide please.

The Proposed Rezoning area makes up a discrete area separated from low density residential areas and more pedestrian focused streets. Allowing the area to support higher density industrial development without disturbing nearby of uses. The uses immediately surrounding the Proposed Rezoning area are industrial in nature and have been since before adoption in the 1961 Zoning Resolution.

We are excited to be a part of the Rockaways community and hope to be a positive edition. To that end, my client has set forth commitments and along with Council Member Brooks-Powers, including to conduct a traffic study at the site once the Proposed Storage Facility is open and to fund the installation

J

of a traffic light if needed. The letter also outlines goals for local hiring both for construction jobs and permanent jobs.

Now, I will turn it over Uri Kaufman to provide a little bit more information about the demand for self-storage in the area.

URI KAUFMAN: Hello? Can you hear me?

RACHEL SCALL: Yup.

URI KAUFMAN: Okay, my name is Uri Kaufman. Uhm, I grew up in Queens, uh, I now live about 15 minutes away from the site. I've been a Real Estate

Developer for almost 30 years. I've built self-storage facilities in other parts of our state and elsewhere. We bought the site in January 2018 with the idea of turning it into a self-storage facility because the Rockaways are not so much lacking in storage, it's basically nonexistent. It's astonishing how there's almost none out there at all.

We did a study that showed there's about 700,000 feet of unmet demand. We expect this facility to serve people in the local community particularly people with smaller homes, people in affordable housing apartments. It's anyone in some sort of transition in their lives, people moving, people who

2.

are divorced, soldiers who are mustering abroad and then it is most importantly for small businessman.

Every electrician, every plumber, every gardener, every sheetrock hanger, every artisan, artists, anyone with an Amazon business, an Etsy business, anyone who needs micro warehousing. There are many, many such people. There is literally nothing for these people right now. They have to travel quite a distance to get those vital services.

The storage facility itself will not create a lot of direct jobs. Actually, our industrial complex there is a pretty important local job creator. However, to the extent that the storage facility will create jobs, and it will, we will reach out to the local community, particularly people who can walk to work, which there will be quite a few of those. In fact, interestingly enough, while I was waiting for my turn to testify, I got a call from a local person asking for a job there and I told him to please send me his resume and we will certainly give him every consideration. His name is — he's a Mr. Hunt. We're very excited to be part of this project.

As I said, I only about 15 minutes away. I grew up in Queens myself, so it's great to do a really

2.2

good project like this in my own backyard and thank you very much.

RACHEL SCALL: Thank you. We're happy to answer any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uhm, okay, thank you and you said that I just want to confirm that you were willing to do a traffic monitoring program and make additional improvements to the intersection that are needed by DOT once the project is built?

RACHEL SCALL: Sure, so we are planning to within six months of the storage facility opening, we would go back out and restudy the traffic conditions to see whether our study shows a need to reopen that intersection there and install a traffic light. Or if DOT were to independently come to the conclusion that that intersection should be reopened and we needed to install a traffic light, we would still fund the purchase of the traffic light and the installation.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so I'm just looking at the — it's a you know very little infrastructure. I'm just looking at Google Maps right now and on that intersection, very little infrastructure there. Are there other improvements that uhm, that have been

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: No Chair, we have no

discussed other than like a traffic light? Any other type of like stormwater mitigation? If there's any

flooding mitigation or anything like that?

RACHEL SCALL: Sure, so as part of our DEC application, we're required to plan to 50 foot wide wetlands area. That area is designed to provide that type of stormwater mitigation. It will be fully replanted with native plantings. The idea is that it will allow for tides to come in. It will allow for absorption of groundwater. We're also replacing any paving in the drive lane right now with permeable pavers, which should also help with stormwater.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uhm, okay. I appreciate it very much. I think that's all the questions that I have. Do any other members of the Subcommittee have questions for this applicant?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, I see no members with questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Uhm, okay, there being no questions, the panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on Beach 79th Street Self Storage Proposal?

advanced registrations but we'll ask at this time

whether any members of the public who do wish to testify on this item are asked to please use the raise hand button now.

Alright, Chair Levin, I see no members of the public wishing to testify on this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, there being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU 937 for the Beach 79<sup>th</sup> Street Self Storage Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

Counsel, is this next item 45-20 83<sup>rd</sup> Street?

That's already been heard this morning?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: That's right. That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, we will be skipping ahead then to 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue. I now open the public hearing on two Preconsidered LU items for the 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue Rezoning Proposal which seeks a Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendment under ULURP's Numbers C 210200 ZMQ and N 210201 ZRQ in related property in Council Member Tiffany Cabán's District in Queens.

I will remind the viewing public for anyone wishing to testify on this item, if you have not

already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. And I want to turn it over to Council Member Cabán for open statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you very much. Uhm, thank you Acting Chair Levin and the members of the Committee. This is literally my first full day on the job and so, I also want to extend thanks to the Landuse division who gave me a really incredible briefing yesterday and I want to be upfront and name that I'm coming in at the end of this process. I feel like I'm not coming in at the ninth inning, I'm coming in at the last out of the ninth inning.

I know that this is a project that's been going on for years. That my predecessor, former Council Member Constantinides was very engaged on and you know, I'm working as quickly as I can to get up to speed and continue to learn. And I do just want to very briefly name a few things that I care about. Things like deeper affordability, accessing displacement risk, working in partnership with both community and labor, so that the folks that are most directly impacted are ideating and uhm, you know are articulating the things that we want and need, so

we're developing to the needs of our community and the city as a whole.

And so, I'm looking forward to hearing from folks in the community on this project. Thank you all.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Council Member Cabán. Uhm, and Counsel, would you please call the first panel for this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The applicant panel for this item will include Frank St. Jacques, Landuse Counsel for the applicant. St. Jacques, please raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: I do.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Thank you. Could you please load the presentation? And good morning Acting Chair Levin. Council Member Cabán and Committee Members.

I have a brief presentation and then I'm happy to answer any questions.

And also to say at the outset, Council Member
Cabán, we recognize that you're just coming into this
project but we welcome your input and we look forward
to working with you as we move towards the conclusion
of the ULURP. Next slide please.

This slide provides an overview of the rezoning. The Proposed Zoning Map and Text Amendments will promote transit oriented mixed use development in Astoria at three underutilized sites on the 31<sup>st</sup> corridor on wide streets with excellent access to mass transit and open space. The project vision is to revitalize the section of 31<sup>st</sup> Street near the recently renovated Astoria Boulevard Station, with significant community benefits including new housing with permanently income restricted units under MIH Option One or Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Option One.

A partnership with local nonprofit HANAC to provide senior and youth programming in two of the buildings. And a daycare and local retail along with about 193 associated jobs. Next slide please.

The three development sites and surrounding context are shown in this areal view. The rezoning area again, is highly transit oriented with access to

J

the Astoria Boulevard Station and several bus lines.

And the Ditmars Boulevard Station is also a few blocks to the north. The elevated railway above 31<sup>st</sup> Street dominates the streetscape and there is currently very little active ground floor use on this portion of 31<sup>st</sup> Street despite being right next to the train station and Hoyt Playground. Where you would expect to find this type of local retail.

This results in an uninviting and dark pedestrian experience and is in contrast other portions of 31<sup>st</sup>

Street and other similar corridors through Astoria.

Development Site One, is the southernmost site. It's currently a one story restaurant building with a service parking lot. Development Site Two, is currently a large retail store with a blank street wall and surface parking lot and the northern most site, Development Site Three was formerly industrial buildings. Next slide please.

In the next few slides I will go over the project details. Again, starting with the southernmost site. In these photographs of Development Site One, you can see the elevated rail above 31<sup>st</sup> Street and the entrance to the Astoria Boulevard Station.

Development Site One is located at the northeastern

2.3

corner of the intersection of 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Astoria Boulevard North. It's an approximately 10,000 square foot flag shaped corner lot. Next slide please.

The Proposed Development on Development Site One is an 11 story mixed use building with 51 dwelling units including 13 income restricted units under MIH Option One. It also contains about 10,784 square feet of commercial floor area on the first and second floors and 30,064 square feet of community facility floor area intended for a daycare on the second floor.

About 63 percent of the units are two and three bedroom family size units and local retail would activate the streetscape as you can see on the rendering on the righthand side of the screen. Next slide please. Just to the north across 24<sup>th</sup> Road is Development Site Two. In these photographs, you can see the long blank street wall for the Staples retail store and fenced service parking.

Development Site Two, is the largest of the three sites at about 29,638 square feet. Next slide please. The Proposed Development on Development Site Two is a 12 story mixed use building with 161 units, including 40 income restricted units. It also

2.

contains 14,454 feet of commercial floor area and 3,962 square feet of community facility floor area intended for HANAC to provide senior programming on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor. Just over half of the units are two and three bedroom family units. Again, here local retail uses will activate the street scape and improve the pedestrian experience along this portion of 31<sup>st</sup> Street. Next slide please.

Finally, the northernmost site is Development
Site Three, just north of 24th Avenue. It's a 13,503
square foot rectangular lot. Next slide please. And
so finally, the Proposed Development on Development
Site Three, is an 11 story mixed use building with 63
units, 16 of which would be permanently income
restricted under MIH Option One. It also contains
7,043 square feet of commercial floor area for ground
floor local retail. And community facility use on
the second and third floors including about 8,000
square feet of space for HANAC to provide youth
programming. And here about 54 percent of the units
are two and three bedrooms. Next slide please.

So, the bulk regulations of the currently mapped C4-3 Zoning District are an important factor in the rational for the rezoning. The 2010 Astoria Rezoning

2.2

2.3

changed the R5 District that had been mapped in 1961 to the current C4-3 Zoning District. Unlike other areas within the Astoria rezoning, the Voluntary Inclusionary Housing Program was not made available in this area.

We believe this rezoning is an opportunity to refine the zoning to allow more housing at nearly the same bulk envelope that is allowed today under the C4-3 Zoning.

This slide provides a comparison between the maximum floor area ratio or FAR and the height that permitted in the current and Proposed Zoning

Districts. So, on the left hand side, you see the existing C4-3 and the Proposed C4-5X and C4-4 under

MIH with a comparison. And as you can see, the rezoning represents an increase of 1.2 FAR from the C4-3 to the C4-5X and an increase of 1.7 FAR from the C4-3 to the C4-4.

The Proposed Zoning Districts would allow more housing production versus community facility use and would also require the provision of permanently income restricted units under MIH Option One with a similar bulk envelop to the current C4-3. The

2.

rezoning would promote a revitalization of this transit oriented area. Next slide please.

This slide shows a comparison of as of right development versus development under the Proposed Zoning. While the building heights are about the same, the Rezoning promotes more housing development and requires again that permanently income restricted units be provided under MIH Option One. Next slide please.

So, under MIH — excuse me Mandatory Inclusionary
Housing or MIH Option One, the requirements for
Option One are a waited average of 60 percent AMI.
And the breakdown is shown here for all three
projects combined. Of the 69 permanently income
restricted units under MIH Option One, 24 units would
be provided at 40 percent AMI, 25 units at 60 percent
AMI and 20 units at 80 percent AMI. And you can see
the corresponding rents and income limits in each
income band.

And I'll just note that according to American

Community Survey Data, the local census tracks 115

and 125 that the project is within have median

household income of \$81,596. The slightly larger

area, the Steinway Q 72 Neighborhood Tabulation Area,

or NTA has a median household income of \$74,388. And the much wider approximate of Community District One has a median household income of \$63,592. So, we believe that these uhm — the affordability under MIH Option One is appropriate for the site.

I'm going to also note that uhm, this permanently income restricted housing would be provided without any city subsidy. Next slide please. The proposed project, we have a conservative estimate that it would create about 193 jobs. We've signed an agreement with 32BJ for prevailing wage building service worker jobs. We've submitted a letter to the Council regarding the applicants commitment to local and MWBE hiring. And also partnering with local nonprofit Urban Upbound on job placement and workforce development. Next slide please.

And finally, we are partnering with HANAC to be both the projects MIH Administrating agent and to operate community facility space at Development Sites Two and Three, with the intention of providing senior programming at Development Site Two, and in the larger space a Development Site Three youth programming. Next slide please.

So, that concludes my presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much to the applicant. I'll turn it over to Council Member Cabán for questions.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you. Uhm, I just have a few questions. I understand that there are no significant uhm, adverse impacts related to shadows, but there certainly are significant new shadows cast on Hoyt Playground. So, just wondering how you respond to concerns at this what is a really valuable open space resource in our community. How that could be limited to the public by new shadows your project would cast over the site?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Sure, so I'll just point out the negative declaration that was issued by the Department of City Planning with respect to the Environmental Assessment Statement that was produced in connection with the rezoning. Uhm, you're correct, there is no significant adverse impact from shadows cast by the Proposed Development. A detailed analysis was performed, which is included within the Environmental Assessment Statement.

J

So, while some shadows are cast on portions of
Hoyt Playground, uhm, that they are not considered to
be significant adverse impacts and then thus, the
Negative Declaration was issued.

With that said, the applicant team has uhm, been in contact with the Parks Department and had a meeting with the Borough Commissioner with respect to potential contributions either to maintenance or around improvement of Hoyt Playground. At this point, that the conversation is essentially tabled pending you know potential approval of the rezoning, uhm and we look forward to continuing those conversations if the development moves forward. And we're happy to involve you in those conversations if you so choose to be involved.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Yeah, that was going to be my next you know question in addition to Parks, would you be willing to work with my office to identify a way to make sure that the public can get maximum use from that?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Absolutely, yeah, I think
we've had pretty production — I believe we've met at
two — uhm, they are video meetings but two meetings
with Parks. And you know we're sort of ready and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

willing to move forward with those conversations and are obviously happy to include your office.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Awesome. Uhm, and how much of the community facility space is being occupied by HANAC for the youth and senior programming?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: So, uhm, in the uh - there's three community facility spaces. There is community facility space in each building. The southernmost site, Development Site One, has about 4,000 square feet of community facility space. HANAC is not involved or in that space. The intended tenant is a daycare.

Moving northward to Development Site Two, that is about just shy of 4,000 square feet. That's the only community facility space in Development Site Two and that's intended to be occupied by HANAC to provide senior programming. And then the third development has two floors of community facility space. The intention is that uhm about 8,000 square feet of that community facility space would be used by HANAC for youth programming. So, either on the second or third floor. And then the other floor is intended to be

2.3

occupied by medical office uses as the community facility space in that building.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you and uhm, just one more question. The Community Board mentioned the need for more and it's obviously a concern of mine as well, more and deeper affordability for this application. Would you be willing to work with my office to increase the number of affordable units within these projects?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: We're happy to have that conversation. You know as we noted, we're working with MIH Option One and but you know, we're happy to sit down and figure out what we can do with respect to affordability with your office.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: Thank you for taking the questions.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Absolutely, thank you. I'm looking forward to working with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Council Member Cabán. Uhm, I want to just — I have a couple of questions if I may Mr. St. Jacques.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Of course.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

^

10

11

12 13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uhm, can you just briefly walk us through how the Community Advisory Board will work?

Sure, so this was a condition FRANK ST. JACOUES: uhm and you know part of a discussion with the Queens Borough President. Uhm, we're still working out the details but we've actually you know had several internal meetings. I think uhm, you know sort of roughly the intent is to engage staff at the Queens Borough Presidents office, involve the Community There is a neighborhood organization, the 32<sup>nd</sup> Street Association, which we've been in contact with and which we'll continue to be in contact with to basically form the Community Advisory Board. would also ask Council Member Cabán and her staff to be involved in forming that. And you know, beyond sort of extending an invitation and to membership, you know through the Community Board, the Borough President and the local Council Members office, you know we haven't really gotten much farther. think the idea is to make it as inclusive and as productive as possible, such that the applicants, the local - who own the three development sites, will be able to have an ongoing and productive discussion

with uhm, the community about you know potential concerns and responding to those concerns sort of at a real time basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uhm, and can you speak to how — what your plan is to uhm work with neighboring low density property owners to ensure that the construction on your sites will not make their lives unbearable during construction?

that conversation. We had one meeting, I believe it was in November by video. Uhm, and you know again, this is another ongoing conversation. As we noted, there's a you know significant opportunity for as of right development at these sites, so for the rezoning not to go forward, there is an intent to develop here. So, we understand that the applicant team needs to work with their neighbors you know to ensure that construction goes smoothly.

So, I'll just say again, we have been in contact with the 32<sup>nd</sup> Street Homeowners Association to set up a follow up meeting. This would be an in-person meeting. Our contact at that group, I believe he's the Chair or the head of that group has asked uhm for ample time to essentially flyer the neighborhood to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

make sure that folks that you know wouldn't otherwise be notified have sufficient time and notification of an upcoming meeting.

So, we're working out the details of that now and expect to meet either, I'm not sure if it will be later in this month or in early 2022. But we're working on that now. And also note, I think we touched on this in our letter to the Council and definitely in the letter to the Community Board, uhm, there's really significant protections uhm for adjacent property owners in the New York City Building Code. So, we will, you know obviously have to comply with all of those provisions in the Building Code and as part of the Environmental Assessment Statement and Negative Declaration, Restrictive Declarations will also be recorded against each of the three properties to ensure certain protections are implemented with respect to construction noise and air quality. The Restrictive Declaration also requires a third party environmental monitor to not only ensure those protections are in place before any construction starts, but also that they continue to be implemented and are effective during the entire construction process.

So, we believe that there is you know between a commitment to ongoing discussion and engagement with the community, there is also several legal protections that are subject to enforcement that you know will ensure a smooth construction period.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you so much. Uhm, those are all the questions that I have. Uhm, if any of my colleagues have any further questions, please use the raise hand function.

Okay, seeing none, Counsel, do you have anything to add at this point?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Mr. Chair, you could dismiss the applicant panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, there being no further questions, thank you. The applicant panel is excused. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on the 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue Proposal?

SUBCOMMITTE COUNSEL: Yes, we have a number of advanced registrations for testimony and we will begin that testimony with Laura Picallo and Steven Trilivas. Laura Picallo and Steven Trilivas, Laura Picallo to go first.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

2.

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: I will remind all public witnesses to please accept any unmute requests that you might see on your device in order to begin your testimony.

LAURA PICALLO: Awesome, thank you so much. Good afternoon City Council Members and especially City Council Member Cabán as our newest representative.

We look forward to you representing our communities interest here in Astoria.

The Proposed Rezoning for development on 31<sup>st</sup>

Street and Hoyt Avenue is yet another afront to everything that is beneficial for Astoria. As someone who lives directly behind Proposed Rezoning,

I am disgusted. It is yet another piece of a developer placing profits before the integrity of the neighborhood. This project is built to reinvigorate the area but if you are actually from here, you know it is a fact quite active. I walk down 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt daily and it is far from desolate, which the developer would like you to believe.

Is the developer here to make Astoria? To make sure that Astoria has adequate daycare, senior facilities or affordable housing? All of which can be built at the proposed site. Or are these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

rezoning's here exclusively to pack more and more people into our trains? Pack out and overflow our sewer system? Pack out our schools? And overwhelm our already stressed energy grid as well as overburdening existing infrastructure.

We can't keep pretending these sham affordable housing developments are either affordable or beneficial for Astoria. How can we continue to pack up the neighborhood without any changes to infrastructure knowing fully well that the environment cannot handle it? It's almost criminal. Please ask yourself what true value does this upzone bring to the people of Astoria? What real value does building more luxury units bring to this neighborhood? How many of these units will actually be affordable? Or does this rezoning solely develop so we benefit the developer? Can we add to the community while not destroying the character of the community? Can we add these services while respecting the integrity of the area? Yes, we can.

We can easily HANAC daycare centers and shops at this exact site as it is zoned today without this upzone.

\_

LAURA PICALLO: And as someone who is directly affected by this, I beg you to please reject this upzoning. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much Ms.

Picallo. Uhm, Counsel, can we call the next witness?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Steve Trilivas to testify

next. Mr. Trilivas, if you could accept any unmute

request that you might see on your device in order to

begin your testimony.

I can see Steve Trilivas. I cannot -

STEVE TRILIVAS: Hey, thank you guys.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

STEVE TRILIVAS: So, my name is Steve Trilivas,

I'm a Home Owner on 32<sup>nd</sup> Street impacted by this

development. I also have reluctantly taken up the

mantle of being with my elderly neighbors, who don't

have the ability to access this meeting.

So, the three Proposed sites on  $32^{\rm nd}$  Street have launched position from the local community for a number of reasons.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mr. Trilivas, can I just interject for a second? Can you turn off your second device? We'll grant you back.

STEVE TRILIVAS: I do not have a second device.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, okay, you're on — there you are. Okay, okay, we'll credit you 25 seconds.

STEVE TRILIVAS: Thank you. Alright, so there was 675 signatures collected, 450 supported, but only eight were in the neighborhood. 225 opposed, 162 were in the neighborhood. As a consequence we brought our case to the Community Board and they voted against this in a mandate landslide 25 to four.

The general community is against this. If you read local resident feedback you know, it's an eyesore, it doesn't fit with the architectural framework with the community. The height of the building does not fit in with overall neighborhood framework and there's a lot of you know reasons for that in terms of the people on 32<sup>nd</sup> Street. The block on 32<sup>nd</sup> Street is a plethora of houses that were built in 1930. They have delicate utilities made of terracotta and in addition, most people that live on the block are octogenarians. The development is directly on the property line of the buildings, especially Site Two and Three and will cause significant jam. The backyards of these homes but against.

2.

In addition 24<sup>th</sup> Road is the lifeblood of the ability of the housing on 32<sup>nd</sup> Street to move in and out of their homes as their parking spaces are behind the houses. However, this would likely be used as the primary staging ground for [LOST AUDIO 2:39:01].

Keep in mind the impacted block is a dead end street and has limited access for the residents to get in their homes. There is also an abundance of noise and pollution, while they might code requirements will cause a great amount of distress to local residents who you know once again are elderly.

Alright, as a result, there has been amount of people selling their homes on the block to avoid the multiyear construction project including the family, the parents of Louise Alverez.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

STEVE TRILIVAS: Who you might not know his name, but his face was the one of like along with John Stewart was the face of the first responders in Congress.

Alright, and also, you have to [LOST AUDIO 2:39:44]. A public school, which will severely impacted by the noise and traffic that is going to come in the neighborhood. So, I ask the City Council

to vote against this and abide by the mandate that

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RENZO RAMIREZ: Alright, good afternoon Chair

Trilivas. Counsel, are there any further members of

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: There are additional members of the public but that was the last speaker on this panel. So, I don't know if there are Council Member questions for this panel. If not, we can go to the next panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I have none Counsel.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Alright, with that, uhm, we can move onto the next panel, which will include Renzo Ramirez to testify first followed by the Reverend Gilbert Pickett, then Nakeah George and Victoria Kammer. Renzo Ramirez to testify first. Mr. Ramirez, if you could accept the unmute request in order to begin your testimony.

We can see you -

came from the community.

the public to testify?

RENZO RAMIREZ: Okay, can you hear me now?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

Levin and members of the Subcommittee. My name is

2.2

Renzo Ramirez and I am a member of 32BJ SEIU here on behalf of the more than 85,032 BJ members to express our strong support of this project.

The developers MDM Development group have applied to build three new 11 and 14 story mixed use buildings that will include residential, commercial and community space. We are pleased to announce that the developer has reached out early to make a credible commitment to providing prevailing wage jobs to the future of building services workers at this site.

We estimate that this rezoning will allow for the creation of new property service jobs and 72 new affordable units. These are jobs are typically filled by local members of the community and because of this commitment, will pay family sustaining wages, which help bring working families into the middle class.

These apartments are needed for working people in Queens. This commitment to good, prevailing wage jobs will give opportunity for upward mobility, security and dignity for working class families.

32BJ supports responsible developers who invest in the communities where they build. We know that this

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

development will continue to uphold the industry standard and provide opportunities for working families to thrive. Thank you so much for your time.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll next hear from the Reverend Gilbert Pickett followed by Nakeah George.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

REVEREND GILBERT PICKETT: Thank you so much. am Pastor Gilbert Pickett, Senior to Senior Pastor of the Mount Horeb Baptist Church in Corona and even though I'm Pastor in Corona, I have a large percentage of my congregants who live in Western Queens. I am in support of the Proposed 31st Street Rezoning Project. We know that 31st Street has undergone a lot of construction that is not an eyesore to that community. As the media pass moderator of Eastern Baptist Association, which is comprised of Brooklyn, Nassau Suffolk and the majority of our churches in Queens, therefore again we have a lot of congregants who live in Western Queens. We know that this project will bring about affordable housing. We know that this project will bring about a senior center, a youth center, and a necessary universal PreK program.

So, it is very important that we see this project go up as we know that this project along with the others on 31<sup>st</sup> Street will not be an eyesore and it is unfortunate that the homeowners feel a certain kind of way. But we know that those homes will not depreciate in value. Who wouldn't want to live in New York City, especially in Queens? So, those homes will not depreciate in value after this beautiful building has gone up, which will be beneficial to the entire western community.

So, I am in support of this groundbreaking effort and I hope this project gets approved. Thank you so much.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next speaker will be Nakeah George to be followed by Victoria Kammer. Ms. George, if you could accept the unmute request before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

NAKEAH GEORGE: Yes, good afternoon everyone. I hope everyone is doing well. My name is Nakeah George and I am a Community Advocate for Woodside Houses in Queens.

Today, I would like to explain to you the importance of why I fully support the Proposal and

Map Amendment and Zoning Text Amendment to facilitate new mixed use developments on  $31^{\rm st}$  Street in Community District One Queens.

The Proposed development would include over 375 dwelling units. Out of those 375 dwellings, 100 of those units will be made permanently affordable. As mentioned earlier, all 100 new affordable housing units, rents will be significantly less than the market rates for comparable units throughout the entire neighborhood.

Additionally, allowing this change would ignite the birth of several new commercial locations to be developed and opened. Allowing commercial spaces to evolve will provide new career opportunities for everyone to access within the community. This zoning change has so many benefits for the public use.

Additionally, this zoning change will beautify the section of 31<sup>st</sup> Streets corridor. To be plainly honest, this area has been under developed and rather neglected for many, many years. This portion of 31<sup>st</sup> street as opposed to the other portions of 31<sup>st</sup> Street is much less attractive and less active.

As a woman, I would not want to walk down this corridor alone at any time of the day, especially the

2.

night time. What I'm trying to say is a portion of 31<sup>st</sup> Street needs a facelift. The current dark and dangerous street will be reactivated filled with light in abundance, providing a fresh new heartbeat to Astoria giving it a place of life and resilience.

I'm in full support of the Proposed Rezoning and I ask that everyone involved, rather for or against, to look deeper and to look into this proposal. We will all benefit once we all come together. I hope you listen to the words and you understand the importance of us taking the next step to do this development as a community together. Thank you for your time.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: And we will next hear from Victoria Kammer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

VICTORIA KAMMER: Hi, my name is Victoria Kammer and I will be reading on behalf of Claudia

Cogger(SP?). She was on earlier but she had to jump off, so I will be reading a letter on her behalf.

My name is Claudia Cogger and I have been a resident of Astoria houses for over 60 years and the President of the Astoria Houses Resident Association. I am a tireless advocate for social welfare,

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

education and tenants rights in the Astoria community. As an advocate for my community, I speak today in support of the 31<sup>st</sup> rezoning, as it will provide our residents with new facilities and resources, including a youth and senior center.

The youth center will provide much needed space for recreation and it will be open to the community at large. The youth center will promote social interaction, volunteerism and civic pride, while providing opportunities for young residents to be active and interact with other residents.

The developer has already demonstrated their support for Astoria houses and supported this year's family day. Their proposed work with HANAC to create programming that best serves Astoria's needs is more than promising. This development will turn underutilized land into a community resource that will be immediately accessible to the people it will house and the seniors and children it will serve.

Please accept this testimony as my support for the rezoning. Thank you for your time and consideration. And just one additional, I know, I only have a few seconds left, but Annie Cotton Morris is also unable to make it today and I do have a

letter which will be sent but I'd also just like to express my support on her behalf as the President of the Woodside Resident Association and also the President of the Queens wide President for NYCHA.

She's a community leader and you know in her letter; she discusses how much she supports this project and the need for public housing in Western Queens. Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Levin, that was the last speaker on this panel and we will now check to see whether there are — if there are no questions for this panel from Council Members, we will now see if there are any other members of the public wishing to testify.

For any members of the public remaining who have not yet testified and wish to do so on  $31^{\rm st}$  Street and Hoyt Avenue Rezoning Proposal, please press the raise hand button now. Once again, for anyone who has not already testified and intents to do so on  $31^{\rm st}$  Street and Hoyt Avenue, please use the raise hand button now.

Okay, Chair Levin, I see no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item. Oh, excuse me. Alright, I see -

a second and then they disappeared. So, maybe we'll

just wait a second to see if they are coming back on.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: We had somebody there for

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: If they have come back in.

Anyone who is currently logged in as Victoria Kammer and has not yet testified, please use the — okay, I see an individual there with I guess a different log in. So, we will now hear from one addition speaker who is currently logged in as Victoria Kammer who we not to be Victoria Kammer. Please state your name for the record and you can begin after. We need you to accept the unmute request. Okay.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

BISHOP MITCHELL G. TAYLOR: Alright. Thank you so much. Thank you so much I had a little problem.

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please do state your name. Uh, just state your full name for the record and then

BISHOP MITCHELL G. TAYLOR: My name is Bishop
Mitchell G. Taylor and I am reading this letter on
behalf of well, first of all, to the Committee and
everyone that's here. Council Member Cabán,
congratulations on being seated yesterday.

\_

2.2

I'm reading this letter on behalf of Reverend

Bobby Moore, the Overseer of the Astoria Baptist

Church located at 31-17 21<sup>st</sup> Street in Long Island

City New York. I would like to express my unwavering support for the 31<sup>st</sup> Street Rezoning. I am in favor of this rezoning because I believe it will support the local employment and boost our local economy.

This project will provide construction jobs that will be available to the community as well as permanent building service jobs. The developer has committed to working with Urban Upbound to ensure local hiring and fill the various positions with residents living in the existing community.

Additionally, the developer will provide space and resources to do local job training and placement. They are also committed to using MWBE suppliers and contractors and subcontractors throughout the construction phase once the project begins.

Additionally, the developer has committed to work with HANAC to build a youth and senior center and fund intergenerational programming.

Please support the request of the rezoning of this property as we support it. Thank you in advance for your support. And I'm reading that letter on

behalf of Reverend Bobby Moore, the Overseer of the Astoria Baptist Church in Astoria Queens. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Bishop Taylor.

Nice to see you.

BISHOP MITCHELL G. TAYLOR: Likewise.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And okay, uhm, are there any other members of the public who wish to testify at this time?

SUBCOMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, we will ask one last time for any members of the public who have not already testified and who wish to testify on the 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue Rezoning to please use the raise hand button now.

Alright Chair, I see no other members of the public who wish to testify on this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Council Member Cabán, do you have anything that you would like to add?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÁN: I initially couldn't unmute myself. Uhm, no, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. Uhm, okay, so, there being no further members of the public who wish to testify on the Preconsidered Landuse Items for the 31<sup>st</sup> Street and Hoyt Avenue Proposal under ULURP

-	1	$\sim$
	1 4	u

SUBCOMMITTEE	$\cap$ N	7.0NTNC	$\Delta ND$	FRANCHIS	FC

Numbers C 210200 ZMQ and N 210201 ZRK, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

Uhm, now Counsel, do we have any further votes to take? Any members of the Committee that wish to vote? Okay.

So, with that, this concludes today's business.

I will remind the viewing public that for anyone wishing to submit written testimony for items that were heard today, please send it by email to landusetestimony all one word,

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

landusetestimony all one word @council.nyc.gov. I would like to thank all the members of the public, my colleagues, in particular my new colleague Council Member Tiffany Cabán, Subcommittee Counsel, Landuse and other Council staff and the Sergeant at Arms for participating — Sergeant's at Arms for participating in today's hearing. This hearing is adjourned. Thank you all.

That is

2.2

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 12, 2022