CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----X

July 29, 2010 Start: 11:20 am Recess: 11:35 am

HELD AT: Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

DANIEL DROMM Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel Dromm Charles Barron Mathieu Eugene

Ydanis A. Rodriguez Jumaane D. Williams

Robert Jackson

Vincent J. Gentile

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Good mo	iorning.
------------------------------	----------

I want to start off by introducing my colleagues who are here. To my right is Council Member
Ydanis Rodriguez, Council Member Charles Barron.
To my left are Council Member Jumaane Williams,
Council Member Mathieu Eugene and Council Member
Robert Jackson.

Today, the Committee on Immigration will vote on Proposed Resolution 224-A, relating to Arizona Senate Bill 1070. The Resolution at issue today supports the United States and its action against the state of Arizona, challenging the constitutionality of SB 1070. All branches of federal, state and local government using their powers to oppose the Arizona law and the Council's decision to file an Amicus brief in support of the federal action at its discretion.

I want to say that although we support the decision of the court yesterday regarding Arizona, we know that the efforts to protect the rights of all immigrants are not over. We look forward to participating in these efforts as we move forward.

As a co-sponsor of this resolution,

2.0

2.3

2	I would like to encourage my colleagues to vote
3	aye. With that, I would like to commence the vote
4	on Proposed Resolution 224-A.

WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martin,
Committee Clerk.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Excuse me on moment, Council Member Barron would like to make a statement.

just like to say the importance of this vote on this whole question of immigration is going to be before us for some time to come. There is going to be national legislation on it and debates on it. But we need to remind people that I would say 90 percent of the people in America came from somewhere else. The only indigenous people in this country are the so-called Indians who are not really Indians. Those were Christopher Columbus' historical mistake. He thought he was in India, so he called everybody Indians.

But we have to remember that people, even in the state of Arizona and the state of Texas, some of the very people that they don't want in there were there before them. Some of

them are coming back to reunite with their families. So when we think of immigration, the complexion of immigration has changed and the policies have changed along with the complexion.

When Irish, Jewish, Italians, Germans and others came, they were discriminated against as well ethnically. But certainly after a certain time they were able to integrate into the society.

I think, and people don't like to talk about it based upon race, it's easier to integrate when you immigrate, not to say there was no discrimination, when you're white. But the complexion of immigration has changed. A lot of Africans are coming. A lot of Caribbean people are coming in. A lot of Latinos, Mexicans are coming in. So the race factor, which is the reason why we are against this racial profiling, or the profiling of this immigrants is important to understand.

Then finally, we should understand the importance, the value, cultural value, financial value of immigration that can really benefit this nation. That's why we say we have to come up with some kind of formula to try to grant

2.0

2.3

citizenship to the 12 million as opposed to
criminalizing and those kinds of things. I know
there are serious questions at the borders. But
this is a land, a country that has tremendous
wealth, even though you have economic crisis.

I think that there can be some kind of way that we can deal with this other than some ridiculous law. That's the state that didn't even want Martin Luther King's birthday to be a holiday. So that's the kind of racism that we have to continue to combat.

The supporting of this resolution is just a drop in the bucket in trying to really get to this serious question of immigration that's going to be before us for some time. With that, I'm going to support it 1,000 percent and suggest that we do the same, that all of us do the same. This is an issue we've got to stay on top of.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,

Council Member Barron. I'd like to commence the

on Proposed Resolution. Council Member Ydanis

Rodriguez would like to comment.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: This is an important resolution. As everyone knows, I've

been supporting the movement of all the colleagues in this committee for immigration reforms. Being against this law 1070 is not the end of the struggle. There has been a movement in the last three decades that have brought together religious leaders, elected officials and labor movement together saying it is time for us to discuss, to have an open discussion about immigration reform.

We're going to be joining the march that already is crossing the Brooklyn Bridge. To be part of that movement, we've been part of this movement. We've been participating in civil disobedience. We've been going to Washington.

You know what, 20 years from now we will have to continue doing the same thing because this is a matter about the vision.

This is a matter of the vision of this nation. Something wrong is going on when we have certain people that don't understand that especially anyone who is in the 80-years-old, as a grandfather who is an immigrant. I came clean and for me I would say I will allow my vote on the first immigrant who set in this island, Juan Rodriguez, and Dominicans that came to this

country in 1613 and his information reported by
the Historical Society. But they will say it's
exhibition about Latino in the United States, that
we open August 17, that they expect Sotomayor that
will come. They will say that Juan Rodriguez was
an immigrant. That he came here Dutch, in a Dutch
boat, and he settled the first one the indigenous.
So this is a country of immigrants.

We're going to be voting for this resolution. As I have said, many of us supported a boycott on Arizona, I mean all the way, but at least here we are not calling for the whole boycott, but at least we're calling for the city employees and government employees not to be allowed to use tax dollar money to travel to Arizona. So that's what I would like to say.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, Council Member Rodriguez. Now, Council Member Eugene has a comment.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I just want to mention what other Council Members have mentioned already. But because each one of us, each person living in the United States can trace his roots back to one or

several countries. This is a reality. I believe that the law of Arizona, it's against the American way of life, American philosophy.

What makes the United States great and wonderful is the philosophy to embrace, to welcome immigrants, regardless of where you come from, to allow them to be part of this wonderful country, to give them opportunity to have a better life for them and their children. They come over here to work, to be part of the system.

If you see, for example, in the American life, doctors, nurses, teachers, people are working in the house, most of them they are immigrants, but they are part of the fabric of this country. We cannot destroy the bridge after we finished across. We cannot close the doors to other immigrants.

I think this is the right thing to do. We have to respect the American way of life, the American philosophy. That's what makes

American the wonderful country, a very special country. I think that it is a wonderful thing, the right thing to do to stand together and to ensure that such legislation be stopped. We have

to ensure that America stays the same America it was before, for those who came before, the same America it is for those who are living now in the United States, and the same America for those who would come later on. Thank you.

much. I think I'll add my two cents now since we've had other Council Members make statements. The reason I was a little late getting here today was because I just left court. I was arrested on June 21st, protesting the law in Arizona, an act of civil disobedience, much in the way that Dr. Martin Luther King would do as well. I feel that strongly about it and that's why I did what I did.

Although my case was dismissed today, the case that immigrants in Arizona and across the country have to face every day and the discrimination, the prejudice that they face is not dismissed. That's why we still have a long battle ahead of us to ensure equality and the protection of the rights of all the people who live in this country. So unless there are any other comments to be made, we'll proceed with the vote.

2 problems with it.

I think it goes against everything that America says it should be. Unfortunately, in practice, historically, it's very much in line with what America has done to immigrants and people they deem to look differently, or not look American. I know there are some people that might disagree with what we're doing. But I believe that New York City in particular that benefits so much from immigrants just in the city, and America in general, as was mentioned, most of us come from someplace else, has every right to say in the harshest language and the harshest actions that this shouldn't stand anywhere in this country.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,
Council Member Williams.

WILLIAM MARTIN: By a vote of five in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no abstentions, item is adopted. Members please sign the committee report. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. The meeting is adjourned.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

entje

Signature_____

Date __August 16, 2010_____