CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----X

July 17, 2012 Start: 11:39 a.m. Recess: 1:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MARK S. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Daniel R. Garodnick Robert Jackson Jessica S. Lappin

Diana Reyna Joel Rivera

Larry B. Seabrook

James Vacca Albert Vann

Vincent M. Ignizio

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Lynne Brown Senior Vice President New York University

Alicia Hurley Vice President New York University

Alison Leary Executive Vice President for Operations New York University

2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. You
3	ready to go? Alright. Good morning, everyone.
4	It's still morning. Good. My name is Mark
5	Weprin. I am the Zoning and Franchises
6	Subcommittee. Let me offer my apologies for the
7	delay. We are now ready to go. We are joined by
8	the following members of the Subcommittee on
9	Zoning and Franchises: Council Member Al Vann,
10	Council Member Joel Rivera, Council Member Dan
11	Garodnick, Council Member Leroy Comrie, and
12	Council Member Jessica Lappin. We are also joined
13	by a number of members of the Land Use Committee,
14	who are here for the following meeting and the way
15	this is going to work, we are continuing a
16	recessed meeting. We had a hearing as many of you
17	know, a nine hour hearing where we heard an
18	enormous amount of issues brought up, a lot of
19	community concerns about the project that NYU is
20	doing, and over the past two weeks, we have been
21	having negotiations to try to address many of
22	those concerns and with the help of our staff and
23	the staff of NYU as well as Council Member
24	Margaret Chin, who hasn't gotten much sleep, but
25	she is here beside me, and although she is small

in stature, I want her on my side when I'm in a fight. We have come to an agreement with New York University on changes to this plan and we are going to have them come up and describe the details of that agreement and then take questions from the Subcommittee members first and then the members of the Land Use Committee, who have any questions. So I'd like to ask the panel of people who are going to be representing NYU to please come forward. Once again, we are now back on the record.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Again, identify yourselves for the record. Once again, we are back on the record, so when you speak, give your name and then every time you speak, please restate your name. Okay, whenever you are ready.

LYNNE BROWN: Thank you very much.

My name is Lynne Brown, senior vice president at

New York University. Mr. Chair, members of the

Committee, Councilwoman Chin, working as the Chair

said with all of you and Land Use counsel, we're

pleased to come forward to you today to present to

you for your review and approval a series of key

modifications to our core project. From the 2 outset and our planning effort did begin over five 3 years ago on this project, we had several goals in 4 5 mind to create a transparent and predictable blueprint for NYU's growth over a full two decades 6 to maintain our own academic momentum by being able to provide space for classrooms, faculty 9 offices, labs, theaters, rehearsal and study 10 space; three to concentrate our expansion on our 11 own footprint; four, in the process to seek 12 designs for these superblocks that you see behind 13 me that would increase and improve open space that 14 was truly accessible to a wider community and that 15 reintegrated these blocks better into the urban 16 fabric and finally, to enable NYU to contribute 17 and continue to contribute to New York City's 18 economic and intellectual vitality. I believe 19 that with the plan before you today we have met 20 those goals and also been able to address very 21 other important community needs and priorities 22 identified by Councilwoman Chin and members of the 23 Committee. The plan before you is not the same as 24 the one you saw a few weeks ago, and it's not the 25 same as the one that was certified by City

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Planning in January, and it's certainly not the same as the one we began with in January 2007. ULEP [phonetic] is a process designed to foster such movement and evolution as the circle of stakeholders are taken into account, widen the range of goals and views and now as you members of the City Council weigh offsetting priorities for communities for the city at large and for its major institutions like NYU. I will summarize the main proposed Council modifications and then turn to my colleague, Alicia Hurley, to take you through them on the site plan. The modifications call for a reduction in density. Using our plan at certification as a baseline, the plan before you now represents a 25% reduction in above grade density and overall, a 20% reduction counting both above and below grade. Second, the plan will provide for space dedicated for community uses. Third, the plan increases the amount of publically accessible open space overall, and requires early investments in improving existing open space. Fourth, the plan requires NYU to take on the responsibility for the operations and maintenance of the open space, including the public land and

finally, the plan requires community consultation 2 on open space and construction issues. 3 also requires NYU to meet certain MWBLE goals 4 which we can describe as well. So as it comes 5 before you for your consideration we believe this 6 plan represents a thoughtful balance not to be confused with an easy to achieve balance between density and open space, between allowing NYU to meet its academic needs, but being respectful of 10 11 the surrounding neighborhood, between preserving 12 some of the elements of the superblocks that were 13 best, but allowing other elements to change in 14 response to a changing city and different ideas of 15 urban planning. So thank you. I will now turn to 16 Alicia Hurley and just first re-orient you back again from our site plan to be clear about the 17 18 blocks we're talking about. These are the two so-19 called superblocks. As part of urban renewal, 20 they were originally six city blocks fused into 21 these two superblocks in the late 1950s, 1960s, 22 and this is north, south, west and east. 23 the site plan with Washington Square Park and over 24 here to the right, the existing site plan. I'll leave it there and turn it over-unless there are 25

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 any questions - - Dr. Hurley, and we'll answer
3 questions.

1.....

ALICIA HURLEY: Thank you. I like to point. Thank you. Alicia Hurley, vice president at New York University. I'd like to walk you through two categories of changes. There's the first set, which we will walk through which are actual adjustments, modifications to the application. The second are a set of commitments that we have made to the Council and the Councilwoman, which are—there's a separate letter of agreement from President John Sexton, which has been made available to the Council, so some of those items are not linked with the application. So first of all, we'll start with the reductions in density. As Lynne noted, it's an overall above grade reduction of about 25% and I'll walk you through the major changes, so for those of you who are familiar with the plan, this is what we have called the Zipper Building. It is the building to replace the current Coles Athletic Facility on the street that is bound by Bleecker Street and Houston along Mercer Street. It's a full block long building. It's current our athletic

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facility, a single story. This was our original proposal. It was not modified by the City Planning Commission, so this is what was before the Council originally, and what you can see is a 70,000 square foot reduction and particularly the focus was shifting the density to the south, which is what the request that they asked us to look at given the residential nature of the buildings across the street, particularly on Bleecker and Mercer Streets, so this podium as you can see, drops from a building of 168 feet down to 85 feet. The other pieces of the building you can see up higher here have also been reduced and some of the bulk was shifted to the south as per the request, mainly to really try to relieve the density of the space on Bleecker Street. The second section of density reductions is with regard to what we call the boomerang buildings, and you can see here would be the buildings inserted into the northern block. Again, there's already a single story of retail on a footprint there. This building would be where the new footprint is. This was what was certified by City Planning. You can see particularly notice the Mercer Building at 11

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stories and the LaGuardia Building, an 8 story building. So you can see a significant reduction to the Mercer Building, reducing it from 11 stories down to 4, a 94 foot reduction of that building alone. The LaGuardia Building was as I'll show you next-'cause the other question and concern raised was the amount of open space and particularly whether or not these buildings would feel like they were blocking access into what is meant to be this public open space on the north block, so the footprints of the two buildings were reduced by a total of 7500 feet in total. You can see on the red lines the actual shrinkage, so very much widening even more the distances between the buildings, so at this point at - - we're between a full 65 to 70 feet. If you can picture, a normal city street is about 60 or a distance between buildings, so it's a very generous and it should be a welcoming open way into the site, which is intended to be a public open space. This is an overall table. It's in your packets. Happy to rehearse any of it, but this is how we derive the numbers of the reduction from the certified plan and specifically outlines the reductions of the

2 Council. And so that's the set of density reductions for the Bleecker Building. This is the 3 site here, which is on the corner of Bleecker and 4 5 LaGuardia. The certified application by the City Planning Commission had outlined that the School 6 Construction Authority had until 2025 to notify the university whether or not it wanted to utilize the site. At that point, if they elected not to, 9 the site reverted to the university for its sole 10 11 What the Council has asked are two thingsuse. 12 one is they have shortened the window, making it 13 more an urgent concern and question about whether a school is needed on this site, and given the SCA 14 15 until 2014 to give us notice and 2018 to begin 16 constructing the site. If the SCA opts out of 17 doing that, the university has agreed that we will not take the whole building and in fact a 18 19 community center at the university's expense will 20 be built. We will build - - and the fit out for a 21 community center up to 25,000 square feet, and 22 that was part of a real priority for the 23 Councilwoman understanding the many needs in the 24 area. On the note of community uses as Lynne 25 noted one of the priorities that was raised for us

was ensuring that there is—we already provide
plenty and a lot of access to community groups to
use our spaces. What this is now enshrining is
dedicated space for community users, so in
addition to the agreement on the Bleecker
Building, which I just discussed, what you can see
we've agreed to and this is in the spirit of
trying to ensure the public are feeling welcome
and can be drawn into and across the site, but
particularly here in this Zipper Building, we have
committed to up to 7500 square feet for a public
atrium and some community amenity. That will be
determined as we're looking at the space-

Excuse me. I'm going to ask everyone to please be quiet. We can't have outbursts. The sergeants at arms are prepared to escort anyone out who becomes out of hand, so I just ask you to please be quiet. Thank you. I won't ask for jazz hands [phonetic] necessarily, but I will ask for quiet. So please...

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]

ALICIA HURLEY: Thank you. The intention behind the public atrium is really ensuring that this green street walkway is something that pedestrians feel they can be drawn

into and enjoy. The other piece which will be an
immediate amenity is 4 Washington Square Village
[phonetic]. This space right here within the year
will be converted to some community use to be
determined with the Council, whether it's
childcare related or senior related, that is what
we will be working through, but that will be space
that's converted for use. It's it's ground
floor area, so highly compatible to those types of
uses. Again, at the university's expense, the
only thing is we would like an independent
operator. The agreement is to have somebody come
in and run these spaces. I'll note here as many
of you may have received our maps in the past
week, you see all of the outreach our students do
and our schools do. We have distributed those
maps. So these are programs that are great for us
because our students can work in these
organizations, volunteer. They are very
compatible with some of our nursing programs. So
there's a lot of opportunity for us as well by
hosting these uses. So this is where-this is just
text from here, so forgive me for that, but
there's a hundred and some page restrictive

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

declaration that makes all of this legal and puts the authority in place to bind the university. the further modifications again, the reduction of the footprints by 7500 square feet on those two buildings on the north, funding commitments to ensure upkeep and maintenance of public spaces and parkland, so in the restrictive declaration the university and with the separate maintenance and operations agreement with the Parks Department, the university commits to up keeping and maintaining after we built out all of the public and obviously the private space. The public had not been included by the City Planning Commission. That is what the Council is adding-the requirement that we also maintain the public open spaces. Because the university quite honestly has not had a great history in this, we have agreed to put a letter of credit aside for the Parks Department, which will ensure that there are funds available if for some reason we are in default of our obligation. The Council recommendations are to expand the responsibilities of what is known as an open space oversight organization, providing opportunity for that organization to provide input

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on design as well as monitor the maintenance of the commitment, so that will be an organization that we will be required to set up as a 501c3 by the end of this calendar year, and they will be working with us as our partners, and there are members who are designated by the Council, the borough president and the community board and the Parks Department. There's also now the creation of a construction committee to liaise with the university on construction matters. As you may remember, we also have an independent monitor, who will be ensuring that everything that we have written into the restricted declaration in terms of mitigation and careful procedures on the site are overseen by somebody independent of the university. So finally, I will go to the additional commitments. This was in the letter outlined by President Sexton, which was delivered this morning. Again, the request is we all heard a lot about the wonderful Sasaki [phonetic] garden. We've been asked to make improvements and improve access and make sure the public understands that they are welcome to go into that area. It disappeared. I'm sorry. It was in the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

existing conditions. It's still there. Т promise. So this is the garden in the middle of the Washington Square Village area. So through way finding and notifications and different measures we will be making sure that it's understood as publically accessible. university also will again back on the spirit of making sure that people understand that we're serious about maintaining these spaces, create an endowment to ensure that at least \$150,000 starting in current year is available to be able to provide maintenance for the public open spaces, community access to the future gym and academic facilities will exist as they do today. We have agreed to limitations on the retail that can go into the superblock retail areas, precluding bars and nightclubs, but allowing bona fide restaurants as exist on the superblocks today--we have about three or four different restaurants now--and then a commitment as we mentioned to convert 6,000 square feet of space at Washington Square Village to a community use, and finally, and I'd like to call up the university's head of construction to talk about the goals that we have outlined for

2 ourselves in the MWLBE. So Alison Leary?

Thank you. Good ALISON LEARY: 3 morning. I'm Alison Leary, executive vice 4 5 president for operations. I'm here to express the university's commitment to ensuring that the set 6 of projects benefits minority, women and locally owned businesses and residents. Toward that end, 9 we intend to develop and implement a robust and 10 effective program that ensures that we have a 11 minimum participation rate of 25% for minority, 12 women and locally owned business enterprises. We 13 have-sorry about that. We have established sub 14 targets within that 25% overall participation of 15 25% minority owned businesses, 15% women owned 16 businesses, 20% locally owned businesses. 17 Further, we have agreed to commit to working with our construction architects, engineers and other 18 19 service provides on these projects to have overall 20 workforce participation by minority, women and local residents of no less than 20% with sub 21 22 targets of 20% minority, 15% women and 25% local 23 residents. The details of proposal including our 24 commitments for tracking and reporting our 25 participation are outlined in your packet and I'm

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 happy to take any questions you might have.

3 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That's the end 4 of your presentation, Ms. Brown?

5 LYNNE BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: What I'd like to do now is I'm going to ask Council Member

Margaret Chin, who represents this site to make a statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair Weprin. I just want to thank you for the opportunity to address this morning's meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. to take a moment to thank Gail Benjamin, Danielle - - , Christian Hilton and Ann [phonetic] McCoy from the Council's Land Use Division as well as Council Member Comrie's staff, Council Member Weprin's staff and my own staff for all their hard work and many late nights and early mornings over the last month. I also want to thank my colleagues, many of whom are gathered here today, for their deliberate and thoughtful consideration of the application before this committee today. I know many of you attended last month's public hearing and have continued to meet with groups on

both sides of this issue, and I've heard that many
of our district offices have gotten hundreds and
hundreds of phone calls. I wanted to thank you
for taking such a proactive role in this process.
At least month's public hearing, I made it clear
that I did not support NYU's expansion proposal as
modified by the City Planning Commission.
Throughout this process, I have tried to keep an
open mind. I have maintained that it is possible
to strike a balance that upholds the integrity of
Greenwich Village and meets NYU's immediate
academic needs. I'm confident that the proposal
that you've just heard strikes this appropriate
balance. My priorities in modifying NYU's
application focus on three issue areas; one,
reducing density on the north and south
superblock, preserving open space and holding NYU
to its responsibility as a good neighbor. Today,
you have heard NYU commit to major modifications
to their core campus expansion proposal, and let
me tell you, they have made significant
concessions. To be perfectly honest, no one got
everything they wanted. This was a compromised,
but it was arrived at rationally and in good

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

faith. It is because of those modifications and NYU's willingness to fully engage in the public process and respond to concerns expressed by the community that I express my support for this proposal moving forward. I wholeheartedly believe that this proposal will allow NYU's growth in the village to occur at a sustainable pace and it will not overwhelm the wider [phonetic] village community. The construction mitigation plans that have been approved by City Planning are exhaustive and I have added to the requirement that NYU must meet in the phasing construction and design of the superblocks. I have sought reduction in the above grade density that responds directly to concerns expressed by constituents, namely with regards to the Mercer Street boomerang and the - - Building Towers at the corner of Mercer and Bleecker. I have been able to substantially reduce the density that will be built above ground while securing commitment from NYU to build and maintain improved open space and community amenities that the village is sorely lacking. Over the past few months I have heard a litany of NYU's broken promises from village residents. It is time to

start a new chapter. I have put in place strong checks and balances to ensure that NYU holds up its end of the bargain. If NYU fails to do so, there will be consequences. To that end I hope that members of the Greenwich Village community no matter what side of the aisle you sit on can work together to shape this plan as it moves forward. You have a seat at the table. There are significant opportunities for community input and I ask you to make your voices heard. As for my colleagues, I ask you to stand with me in supporting this NYU's modified 2031 expansion proposal. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

Council Member Chin. What I'd like to do now—

first of all, we've been joined by the following

members of the Subcommittee, who weren't here when

we first started: Council Member Ignizio, Council

Member Reyna and Council Member Jackson. What I'm

going to do is have the members of the

Subcommittee get the first questions and then a

lot of the members of the Land Use Committee have

questions as well. I'd like to start with the

chair of the Land Use Committee and a member of

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Subcommittee, Council Member Leroy Comrie.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you.

First off, I want to congratulate Council Member Margaret Chin for the hours and hours that she put in trying to come to a point where we are today where she can be comfortable with moving this plan forward. The reductions that she has put in, the checks and balances that she has made, the opportunities for community input, the making sure that public space was maintained and - - that the enhanced community space would be developed are all important components in maintaining the quality of life in the Washington Square area. think that Council Member Chin has made a herculean effort in listening to all sides, on hearing all sides of the debate, understand the issues, and understand that there needed to be a way to make this happen that made sense and created some compromise for all. In every plan there is never-everyone is never satisfied. every plan, everyone still has issues, but I think that Council Member Chin has a - - effort, and we would hope that with everything that is put in place, the community supports her after this and

that NYU will continue to follow through because
they have been given new charge to do more, a new
charge to make checks and balances, the creation
of an endowment fund, the creation of a
maintenance fund, the promise to include community
space and also community participation and also a
plan for inclusion for people to take
opportunities in both the construction, training
and cost of developing this plan. In that regard,
I would like you to explain the underground
reductions. You didn't talk about that
specifically.

LYNNE BROWN: This is Lynne Brown.

As certified—as voted out by City Planning, Mr.

Chair, there were below grade reductions on the strips, the public strips. We had originally asked to be able to develop those below grade, but City Planning did not accept that, and so we are just using them for easements to construct and then getting off and using them as parkland, but there were no further below grade reductions after those.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: So there's no reduction in the below the garden areas? There

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

won't be any expansion of construction below the
garden area?

LYNNE BROWN: No. In fact, I think one of the importance points we were able to make in our discussions with Councilwoman Chin and many others is that below grade space for us, although not always considered optimal [phonetic] space for other developers is actually quite helpful to us in being able to meet our academic needs specifically on those northern blocks for classrooms, and the reason the classroom below grade are so important there is by the time we get to the northern block in phase two, we will have finished most of our renovation of our existing buildings outside the superblocks to be able to do a major renovation and expansion into the That is very important for a university sciences. to stay current with sciences. We have plans that we're already moving forward on to convert existing buildings for sciences, but one of the effects of that is that we displace 40 to 50 classrooms, working classrooms, now to be able to bring on the science facilities, so the below grade space on the northern blocks allows us to go

as many as four levels down, and that will be
vital space for us to be able to move the 40 to 50
classrooms we need that we will have displaced in
renovation our science facilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And the boomerang buildings, you did reductions from 11 stories to 4 stories and you maintain 1 at 8 stories, but you brought down the density of the 8 story building and that was brought down from—

ALICIA HURLEY: [Interposing]

Alicia Hurley. It was brought down from City

Planning had approved the LaGuardia Building at

135,000 square feet. The City Council proposal

would be 114,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And that will allow the smaller footprint and more of the existing gardens to be maintained?

ALICIA HURLEY: That's right.

You—just talking about the garden space, the dog run that folks were concerned about, will that be maintained and preserved and where will that be located? And if you could just talk about the other new transfers of public and accessible space

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because we talked about losing the space on

Houston Street, but with the expansion of the

Zipper Building, and how will that be reallocated

and where will that be assigned?

ALICIA HURLEY: Okay, so currently there is a dog run at the corner of Houston and Mercer Street, which is sitting flush against our Coles Gymnasium, which is a brick wall, so that The idea is to take the dog run and work works. with them to move them to the other side of the new building onto NYU property. It's a landmarked site, but we already have the approval to convert it to a dog run. We're working with the Dog Run Association that runs the dog run to sign a lease for them to use our property. The idea of the footprint of the Cole's Gymnasium versus the Zipper, the footprint stays the same, but it does shift toward the street wall, and the idea there was not to have a 30 foot sidewalk in front of a large building, also to make sure we were in compliance with how the building on the other side-there's a certain distance you have to have to have between windows, so we had to observe silver towers as we were looking at all of this.

24

25

2	So the idea is to push the building toward Mercer
3	Street, still allow a generous 15 foot sidewalk,
4	but create on the other side of the new Zipper
5	Building, a generous 25-28 foot pedestrian walkway
6	that will have plantings and benches, and the idea
7	behind the public atrium is to put it somewhere
8	along that walkway so that people have this
9	amenity that is all joining together. There will
10	also be a new playground installed on the
11	landmarked site just north of the dog run.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And those
13	properties will be maintained by NYU?
14	ALICIA HURLEY: All by NYU. All
15	built and maintained by the university.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And plus
17	the endowment fund. Is that in addition to the
18	maintenance of the NYU or ?
19	ALICIA HURLEY: The endowment is
20	really to ensure so-the desire was that the
21	university makes sure that for the public spaces
22	particularly that we can't default on our

obligation and so we were asked to set aside

funding so that there is a certainty of a flow of

funds to maintain those spaces so that in 20 years

2 if it's not-grass is not a priority for a
3 president, it doesn't matter, the funds exist.

just to—I have many other questions. I know other members have questions, but just to talk about the MWLBE, what are you going to do to ensure compliance and to ensure that there is community participation and how will notification be done? Will that be done to the member or the Council, the community board to ensure that there is compliance numbers that can be realized, that people can understand?

ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary. As you note, tracking and reporting these numbers would be very important to ensuring our commitment and toward that end, I'm assessing right now the internal resources and the external resources we will need to put in place to make sure that we can make good on those commitments. Additionally, we have some existing systems that we can adapt to help us meet this commitment and ease the ability to report. There are a number of details we will need to work out in order to make sure that we are meeting our commitments to your satisfaction.

2 We're committed to doing so.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And those details will be worked out before the 25th?

5 ALISON LEARY: Before the 25th?

6 COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Yes.

have been able to work out are already outlined in your packet. I think it's just an outline and there is definitely a lot more we need to put in place to flush that out. That will not be done by the 25th, but I'm committed to getting that in place over the next six months or so, certainly before we start awarding contracts, so that we can meet these commitments.

think we need to talk about that a little bit more because I'd like to see as much done before the final vote is possible. Also, just one last question, on the facts of construction, how will you mitigate the impact of the construction to the residents in the area and what will be done to ensure that there is a minimum intrusion to existing residents and homeowners in the area on the construction?

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary again.

We have a number of steps and they have been outlined in a fair amount of detail in information that has been provided to you. They are comprised of a combination of steps that will be taken in the residents' apartments, which include installing additional windows for sound and dust attenuation, modifying the air conditioning units and the openings through the walls for the same purpose. We also have a number of steps that will be take on the sites. We're limiting the hours of construction. We're taking a number of dust mitigation steps. We're taking in a number of sound mitigation steps, including installing barriers and other sound attenuating construction barriers to help with that. We're imposing in our construction contracts a number of requirements including limiting how long trucks can idle for example and using low sulfur diesel fuel, trying to locate noisy equipment away from residential areas. It's really quite comprehensive and all of the details are included in the information that we have provided.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And that

2	has been detailed already? We don't have all of
3	that in front of us yet, but I'm sure the Council
4	Member has it and we'll get that

ALICIA HURLEY: I'm sorry. It's Alicia Hurley. To be clear, that is all outlined in the restrictive declaration - - .

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER: Oh, it's in the restrictive dec [phonetic]. Okay. Okay.

Alright. Thank you. Mr. Chair, I want to thank you as well for all of your work and diligence.

You have been a great subcommittee chair with a high level of integrity in making sure that all of the hearings and meetings were held at a very structured and coordinated and open manner. I just want to thank you for all of your work in making this happen.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,
Chair Comrie. I'd like to now call on Council
Member Robert Jackson, another member of the
Subcommittee.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Did you all hear me there before? So let me thank you for your advocacy on behalf of the people that you

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

represent. Even though I know that some of those individuals will still disagree, but as you indicated when you gave your opening statement during the hearing and which was held approximately two weeks ago that at the current, the way the plan was proposed that you disagreed with it and you felt the need to be a lot of give and take in order to move this forward. Listening to you today, you are clearly an intelligent articulate individual and in my opinion have your heart and mind on behalf of the constituents overall that you represent, so I thank you on behalf of myself as someone that has been through this process with Columbia University, along with my colleague, Inez Dickens and others. I thank you for your advocacy and your intelligent, articulate voice in this particular matter. Let me just say that I've said two individuals that I've spoken to that not being directly involved in the negotiations, not being in that community and attending hundreds of meetings over the past several years, I don't know all of the ins and outs and details. And I speak for myself as a member of the City Council, who lives in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Manhattan, but also I know that as other members of the City Council, meaning 51 of us, many of us are in the same position that I am in, and what we do is we depend on the City Council staff and people that are involved in the negotiations to reach a solution to this particular matter considering the time frames in which we are governed by and as such, what I'm hearing today is that we have reached that point where a recommendation is being made to vote yes on this particular matter, and so I have a couple of questions because in talking to some of the residents of that area, one of the issues which was brought out publically which was even mentioned today is the issue of trust and the issue that NYU has broken commitments on various things in the past. I've heard that from more than one individual. I ask the question today on all of the commitments that were indicated in your presentation and that may have been put forward in writing, I want to hear from the officials of NYU that you will honor these commitments and will not break these commitments so that in the future we will not hear from residents and others that NYU

has reneged again and broken their promise to the members of their community with respects to all of the things that have been outlined here, and especially when it relates to public park and access and all of the things that have been outlines, so I ask you whether to not you promise not to break any of the commitments and is there an enforceable agreement anywhere in this particular matter?

Member Jackson. Lynne Brown. I think the operative word is actually to move beyond trust to verify, and I think one of the prime motives and in her discussions with us over these weeks with Councilwoman Chin, this is what she was after.

Trust, but verified and verified is more important. The set of commitments in the restrictive declarations have the force of covenant and law behind them, and she wanted us to go beyond that, which is why have the letter before you from President Sexton and we have committed to actually posting all of those commitments and promises prominently on our websites, inviting the community and others to go

to it at any point that they feel one of those commitments is not being met. They will be able to be in touch with us and the Councilwoman and others to say that. We have committed to anything we have put in writing before you. Certainly the restrictive declarations, but even in the sidebar letters to commit to. I think that's also an important reason and motive for the Councilwoman to ask to beyond talk the talk walk the walk and put money on the table to make sure that those promises would be kept, and that id what we have done. On a personal note, I also don't want to face Margaret Chin if she is disappointed.

was looking at on page 11 where it says future modifications. It says create a construction committee to liaison with the university on construction matters. I make the assumption that these committee members will involve people from the community. Has that been established in detail or that is to be worked out along with the Council Member. Can you explain that if you can?

ALICIA HURLEY: It's--the language

right now actually, the borough president of

organization-

Manhattan and the community board 2 who will
decide who is on the committee and what that
committee does and our responsibility to work with
that committee, provide them aspects of the
reports from the independent monitor who is
monitoring the construction and to provide them
information. We will liaise with them. The
obligation is to be at the hands of the community
board and the borough president of the creation of
that committee as it should be. It should not be
created by the university.
COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So what
about the open space committee. Same thing?

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:

information about the open space oversight

[Interposing] In essence I'm raising the issue of any committees or groups that are going to be established, I want to make sure that NYU doesn't control those situations.

ALICIA HURLEY: That's right.

ALICIA HURLEY: There's a lot more

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Basically, in order to ensure as you talked about, trust but

verified. Because NYU is a huge educational institution in the city of New York and in our country and some people say it's too big, and it should be cut down to size, so in order to grow appropriately, you have reached an agreement in substance and in this particular process we will be voting on in order to make sure that the growth happens, but also to protect the community, so I'm concerned about committees that have been put into the agreement, but have not been established and making sure that NYU doesn't control those committees.

ALICIA HURLEY: The open space oversight organization will be a separate 501c3 that will be established at the university's expense. There will be five members, four of them appointed by elected officials, one by the Parks Department and one for the university, so certainly no control.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: And last, you had indicated in one of the buildings that there was going to be a childcare center that's going to be run independently by an independent organization, a 501c3, I make the assumption. So

is that for a 10 year period of time, 20 year
period of time or in 10 years it will be there and
then it won't be there again? You may change your
mind?

ALICIA HURLEY: Our obligation is for the life of the facilities, and that as long as there is a viable person who wants to run it that we will make the space available. We've worked out rent arrangements and how to make sure that we can attract tenants. Currently just so you know we currently already have a nursery school and a day care center in the facilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So you say the life of the facility. Do you mean as long as you have a viable operator to operate it or the life of the building? Are you planning on tearing down the building in five or ten years?

ALICIA HURLEY: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: I'm just asking because these are obviously concerns that people have because of the lack of trust and people have indicated broken promises before.

ALICIA HURLEY: Right. So for instance, so the space that we identified in

Washington Square Village, those are large
residential buildings. Those aren't going
anywhere. Those house thousands of people so that
ground floor space that's already where an
existing daycare nursery is, so there's an
expansion of that program. The other commitments
for the new buildings are they will as long as
that building is standing 150 years from now would
be probably more appropriate, not a five ten
[phonetic]. We don't build buildings and tear
them down. It's much more on that horizon that we
can make these commitments right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Mr. Chair, thank you and I thank everyone involved.

Margaret, I thank you and your staff and all of the staff involved in order to bring us to where we are today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you council Member Jackson. Before I call on Council Member Lappin, who is the last member of the Subcommittee with a question, colleagues, if we could just keep the questions as short as possible. Not that you went on long, but you did not. I don't want to put a clock on the

colleagues, so just we have a number of people

still to ask questions. With that, Council Member

Lappin?

I will try to be as brief as possible. I have also as many of the other committee members have heard from and met with concerned residents throughout this process and something that has come up repeatedly is a question that I wanted to pose to you today give your expansion as near as Brooklyn and as far as Abu Dhabi, how you will be financing this proposal that you presented to us today?

LYNNE BROWN: This is Lynne Brown, and this came up at the hearing as well where we did have our Chief Financial Officer and our Chief Fundraiser submit documentation, so I'll go through the way we typically approach a building project. It's a mixture of philanthropy, debt financing—we do take on a common practice among universities taking on a capital project is to take on some debt—and working capital, as working capital project, it's part of our rolling capital budget and plan. You retired debt; you're able to

Dhabi?

get a ceiling and take on more. We do think this
will be the centerpiece of a major fundraising
project. Four years ago NYU successfully
completed the then largest fundraising campaign of
any private university in the country-over \$3
billion. This will probably be the center piece
of a fundraising campaign that is even more than
that. We have extremely good rating from the
rating agencies and I would say that as a
benchmark over the last two decades, NYU has been
bringing on new and renovated space at about
200,000-300,000 square feet a year. What we are
projecting for this plan over the course of 20
years does not outpace that ability, so we've been
able to do it the last two decades, and this is
bringing on projects at just about that same pace.
We feel very comfortable. We have a board of
trustees, some of whom are leading realtors in New
York City. They do not let any building project
go forward unless they assured it's on a sound
financial footing from beginning to end.
COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And I said
Abu Dhabi. It is actually Dubai or it is Abu

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 LYNNE BROWN: No, you're right. It 3 is Abu Dhabi.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: And there are no planned tuition hikes?

LYNNE BROWN: Well, that's a somewhat separate issue. Usually within--the conversation about tuition has more to do with your operating budget and the pressures that are on operating budgets from a number of a factors; a small part is debt service, and so that's where a capital project presses in upon the operating budget. We think this project would only increase our debt service by one or two percentage points, but that's the balance of private university in particular is always struggling with costs of salary, faculty. The driver of most costs in education is labor, and those are the factors you take into account with trying to moderate tuition. You try to raise money. You try to put dedicated resources into scholarship funds. You have to pay your faculty and staff and you have to keep your existing buildings in shape, so there is always upward pressure on tuition, but I think most people would tell you, it's not linked to your

	2	capital	projects	except	through	the	debt	service.
--	---	---------	----------	--------	---------	-----	------	----------

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. In terms of the community dedicated space, I just want to be crystal clear. The school if it is not a school will remain a community facility forever.

Correct?

LYNNE BROWN: On that site on the Bleecker Building, if SCA now the way the plan would take the entire site-

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:

[Interposing] Right.

square feet. Building the school would be an expense that the city would have to bear in the plan, and if SCA decided to go ahead by 2014, the site would be theirs. They would build it out. If they decide not to build it by 2014, the site reverts back to NYU with NYU's commitment that on that site, we will build core and shell [phonetic] and fit out 25,000 square feet for a community facility of some use.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. And that is in addition to a new enlarged preschool facility and then a separate facility that may be

2 used for either senior use or child use?

LYNNE BROWN: That's correct. So it comes to close to 40,000 square feet spread over the site at different time periods for non-NYU dedicated community facility use.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

Council Member Lappin. I am now going to turn to some members of the Land Use standing Committee.

I'd like to call on Charles Barron followed by

Inez Dickens.

very much. You know, we talk about trust. This is not a body that should just ask you, "Can you be trusted?" I want to encourage us remember when President Sexton came to our Committee or came to this body and here he said, "I hope you have the courage to vote yes on this project." I'm asking my colleagues that I hope you have the courage to vote no on this project. We have to listen to the people in our communities that are affected most and a lot of faculty members was against this project, Community Board 2 is against it, 40 members of the board voted against it. They have

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a whole list. I read this thoroughly and I'm wondering if you all read through all of their concerns from noise, from traffic congestions, from affecting affordable housing, from changing the character of the community, from expanding a university as Columbia did. These are neighborhoods. These are not university towns where you can come in expand your university so that a community becomes less and less of a community and more of a campus. This and open space should be determined by the people of the community, the kinds of buildings, the height even you modify, should be determined by the people of the community. Most of us don't live us. Most of us that will be voting on this project don't live in the community, but yet we're going to give the NYU as we did Columbia and Columbia is a notorious real estate agency I think in Manhattan, and we're going to regret this vote. I know nothing comes here without the votes being in place, but I'm asking my colleagues as much work that has been done that this thoroughly put together document by Community Board 2, we should send them back to the drawing board and make them respect the wishes of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the community and vote no on this project. going to ask you some things from this that I would like some answers to. Even the president understands the faculty might even be prepared for a vote of no confidence for your president and students that graduate from NYU I understand that the average debt they are in is \$43,000, which across the country is only \$23,000, so this is for students and faculty-even the faculty and the students are having problems with the administration. I just think that some questions need to be answered. One, did you read this report thoroughly from Community Board 2 and all of their concerns—I mean from environmental concerns to character change concerns to not believing that the open space and parks that you promise are going to be the kinds of open space and parks that this community wants? It's so much. What has your response been to all of these issues?

LYNNE BROWN: This is Lynne Brown. We have read carefully the document from the community board and we were engaged with them for several years through this process and over three

2 dozen presentations and meetings. It was a frank and candid exchange of views. We knew some of the 3 concerns from the past that the community board 4 5 had expressed. All I can say is that what we tried to do in this is a couple of things; one, 6 one of the impetuses for us beginning this planning process was a fundamental complaint from the community that we had never put a plan on the 10 table so leaving aside for the moment what people 11 think about the plan--and there are disagreements 12 about it—we thought and I thought actually 13 understandably the community had come to us and 14 said, "We don't know what you're doing next. 15 look for opportunities in the neighborhood. 16 look for real estate opportunities; sometimes you 17 take them and you build. What we would like is a 18 roadmap and a blueprint." And actually that's what 19 we spent three or four years trying to put on the 20 table. Again, separate from whether you like the 21 roadmap or the blueprint, it was a concerted 22 effort by us to say this is what we think we need 23 and this is why we think, trying to concentrate it 24 on our own footprint to your point; not expanding 25 out into the neighborhood with one off [phonetic]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

projects, but having something that concentrated in our own area was a considered way to go. So that was our motive. We have worked closely with the community board. We have read all of their documents, and we have tried to be as responsive as we could in the plan as it's evolved over these five years.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Alright. But it does appear from me reading these documents and looking at your plan that they are diametrically opposed to just about everything that you have planned, and this is the community that lives there. Even when they say more students will be coming and more people will be coming and they will cause traffic congestions that will impact hospitals. They just closed down a hospital in the area, so that will impact medical services. It will impact emergency vehicles--all of this and that is just the environmental impact. That's not even talking about scores of other things and I just think that too often this body, we, this is a so-called representative democracy and we're supposed to be represented the people, not NYU. We're supposed

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be representing the best interests of the people, not using our power to diametrically oppose the things that the people are requiring or asking us to do, so once you get this vote today, you can promise all you want. You can say you're going to verify all the things you want. don't have to. The bottom line is that once we give up our power and vote for a project that the people of that community diametrically oppose; can't you understand the frustration that a community will have if so much of this document is not adhered to? It's thick and it's so many issues, and I've read it thoroughly and I've listened to your presentation and your presentation doesn't meet hardly anything in this document that the majority of the community are concerned about, so I just want to encourage my colleagues once again to do the opposite of what President Sexton said. Have the courage to vote no. Force them back to the community and make them respect the thorough job, the reasonable, logical job of the people that live in the district and will be affected most by it. Thank you.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

Council Member Barron. I'd like to call on
Council Member Inez Dickens from Manhattan
followed by James Sanders - - .

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me to ask some questions when I am not a member of Zoning. I do want to commend the Council's Land Use staff, particular Gail Benjamin [phonetic], who worked very hard to try to address the concerns of both the developers and in this case, NYU, and the people, the community. I want to thank Council Member and chair of Land Use, Leroy Comrie, for this long and dedicated hours and to Council Member Margaret Chin in whose district this development actually will occur because it's very difficult to go through a ULEP [phonetic] process. I've been through one, and it's hard and difficult because you try to address the issues of your constituents as well as to recognize that development is going to go forth and looking to the future to try to ensure that there are benefits that are inherent for the community, the residents, the growth of the city as well as the community. Having said

all of that, I have a question about that \$150,000
endowment. An endowment usually means the
principal stays in the bank and the interest is
what is used. Is that what I hear because it
seems to be a significant shortfall here for the
maintenance of gardens, open space, dog runs, play
yards, atrium and even if it's the principal, it's
a shortfall, so I'm asking about that because
you're agreeing to do the maintenance and I
assume-well, I shouldn't assume-I'm going to ask
does that mean maintenance in perpetuity
150,000?

LYNNE BROWN: Lynne Brown. No, they're separate. The maintaining of the space would be NYU's responsibility as part of our general budget and our space and the way that we maintain all of our current buildings and space. That is out of our budget every year.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:

[Interposing] What is the 150,000?

LYNNE BROWN: The 150 is-I'll ask

23 Alicia...

ALICIA HURLEY: I'm sorry. Alicia
Hurley. So, Councilwoman, thank you. So the idea

was just making sure that there is an endowment t	.0
ensure that there is funding particularly for the	<u> </u>
public spaces so these are the spaces the	
spaces that are going to become parkland as part	
of our application. The university is also	
obligated to maintain all of our own property,	
which is the area which will become privately	
owned public space open to the community. That	
will be separate from the 150. What we did right	•
now is set aside an endowment in the area of \$3	
million to ensure that a certain amount every year	ır
can be assured to provide interest and use that	
for the maintenance and operation. We don't have	ì
the maintenance budgets yet. That will be	
something that happens once the parkland is	
developed. DPR, the Parks Department will work	
with us to come up with maintenance budgets at	
that time. That will be ten years from now at	
least, and at that point that will become the	
budget that we will be responsible for, whether	
the 150 is adequate at the time, we're unsure but	
we wanted an upfront commitment to make-	
COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:	

[Interposing] So, then if it's not-if it is

inadequate, you will increase that amount
accordingly based upon the budget? 'Cause I can
already tell you that is woefully shortfall today,
let alone in ten years.

ALICIA HURLEY: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aright.

Now I am sorry. What is your name? Would you please...? Because now my next questions will be addressed to you. I have been reading through your proposal for the MWLBE utilization plan. I didn't see anything in here that addressed soft costs such as insurance, architect, engineering, expediters, et cetera that are inherent in any construction or development and I see nothing in here as relates to soft costs. MWBE, MWLBE or MBE.

ALISON LEARY: Yes. Alison Leary. So I think in terms of total project cost, which as you note includes soft costs and hard construction costs and it's my understand and certainly my intention that we would look at our total project costs and strive to achieve the targets we have set out based on a total project cost basis including soft costs in addition to

2 hard costs.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright, because I see nothing in here that would lead me to believe that soft costs will become a part of that and that's a concern that I have because we always ask about construction, but there's money in the soft costs--

ALISON LEARY: [Interposing] Right.

development and we've got to begin to address that because we have insurance brokers, we have architects, we've got engineers, we've got expediters, we've got all of that that are MWBEs and that's never addressed by any developer, so we've got to begin to address that and not just ask about the --, which is --. What will be in here? Council Member Chin, I'm asking is there anything that you plan to do to change this to incorporate soft costs for MWLBEs?

ALISON LEARY: May I respond?

Alison Leary again. I think we do have a brief

mention, but I think in order to be responsive to

Councilman Comrie's and your concerns, we should

over the next week expand our existing proposal to

|--|

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: On page 2

have you identified a firm or hired a firm that

will be your compliance firm for MWLBE?

ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary. We have not yet identified a firm. We have started to develop a request for proposals in order to begin to solicit those proposals and engage a firm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: The reporting that is done that you mentioned in here, who will get those reports other than NYU?

ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary. It's obviously our intent to be transparent and to report to the City Council, to Councilwoman Chin and anybody else that has an interest and need to receive those reports.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now this is a question, on page 2 next to the last paragraph, you mention the compliance team will monitor payments to contractors who have subbed [phonetic] to MWLBE subs the amounts paid to the subs that are paid to other MWLBEs will be credit to the contractor only once for the purposes of

tracking. Now I get an understanding of this
because historically subs that are MWBEs have
problems because the payments are slow and the
contractors are huge contractors that can afford
to have a payment held up and slowed down, but the
subs cannot. So I'm very concerned that even
though you're putting these requirements in that
if they don't get paid, many of the subs don't
want to even participate in these developments for
that very reason.

ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: You don't have to keep saying it. It's alright.

ALISON LEARY: I'm sorry. I just want to follow instructions.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Actually, you do. Thank you. You guys are doing great at that.

ALISON LEARY: We recognize that many of these firms are small enterprises and/or just getting started and trying to get their financial footing. I'm very sensitive to that and we will take steps to make sure that we keep their cash flow healthy.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: That was

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nice. Now the bids, you mention in here from between two and three about working with certain agencies - - as well as the Empire State et cetera, which I'm not impressed with their list. They all have lists of MWBEs. They don't update They haven't even encouraged participation them. by MWBEs and so working with them is just part of that's not impressive. What are you actually going to do to ensure that with all of these 25 percents [phonetic] and the 20 percents and the 15 percents of area residents et cetera that would get job employment out of this development? Where is the teeth for enforcement in this document? Ι don't see that anywhere in here because historically what contractors do is they say, "Oh, guess what? I agreed to 25%, but you know what? I can't find a person that can do this job, so therefore, I've got to move forward and I don't comply." We historically have allowed contractors and developers to get away with that. That has got to stop. We have got to begin to put teeth into these agreements that there are penalties realized for failure to comply with all the things that are put in, which sounds nice, very pretty on

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enforcement?

print, has absolutely no meaning and significance,
so where's the teeth to this? Where's

ALISON LEARY: Alison Leary. think some of the teeth come as Lynne described in the force of the approvals that we obtain and the covenants that bind us to these commitments as well as our obligation to meet our commitments and report to Councilwoman Chin. We intend to hold our contractors contractually liable for meeting these obligations. Certainly is part of our process for soliciting proposals and bids from whether it be architects, engineers, contractors and the like, will be for them to demonstrate to us their willingness and ability to commit to achieving meaningful participation. It's very important to me to make sure that we're not just hitting numbers for the sake of hitting numbers. I want to make sure that the participation is meaningful and that we're distributing the financial benefit to as wide a cross section of the community as we possibly can. You have my personal commitment. We are promising to report. We are promising to hold our contractors and

service providers liable. We are promising to
withhold the prime contractor's payments if they
fail to comply with our requirements and I think
at the end of the day, the proof will be in the
pudding when we produce our reports and the
results that we hope to obtain.

very nice. I'm sorry that I don't agree that you will do that. I have not stated how I intended to vote, but you know, this is another agreement on paper that I don't think is enforceable because there's no teeth to it. It has no mean for MWBEs offer [phonetic] the area residents. Don't raise your hand so fast because I didn't say I wasn't going to vote for it yet, but I am going to question because these dire times, the area residents need those jobs. Alright. Well, thank you. Thank you.

ALISON LEARY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,
Council Member Dickens. Council Member James
Sanders followed by Council Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Thank you. It's rough around here. I will be-they gave me

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 the power back, I will be quick. Ms. Leary, what
3 is your title?

ALISON LEARY: My title - - ? My title is executive vice president for operations.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Okay. too have questions about MWBE. Many of them have been given by the people who spoke before me. am especially interested in what penalties will take place if these worthy goals are not met. am also concerned about the idea of after an agreement is signed then we will fill in the blanks. That's usually not a good way to go about these things. There are many people on this Council and other places who have a lot of experience. I'm the author of Local Law 129. There are many people who have a lot of experience about this that could help you fill in the details before the vote and outside of this Council there are many organizations that are worthy and could be useful to you. I stand willing--as all of my colleagues I'm sure up here do-to supplement, to give you any information that you need. want to know the reports back in the future. short enough, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Sanders. I'd like to call on now Council Member Rosie Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,
Mr. Chair, particularly for getting my name right.

I like that. So if you could just explain to me
about the 150,000 what it covers, name all of the
open spaces, all of the parks, the dog run if it's
included, can you just walk us through each and
every open space and what that 150 would cover and
what this something of credit—whatever it was—is
going to cover?

Those are two different items. The line of credit, the letter of credit, that's the assurance piece that will be tied to the two parklands—remember this application is mapping as parkland—two public spaces between 3rd Street and Bleecker on LaGuardia and Mercer. Those DOT now [phonetic] DOT, Department of Transportation strips will become parkland subject to easements that the university has worked on with the city. Those are the primary areas that are focused for the \$150,000. It's also the primary focus for where

2	the letter of credit. The letter of credit will
3	be established based on 150% of the management,
4	the operating expenses that will be determined
5	once those are actually designed, so the request
6	to us was early on to make sure that we've got
7	money on the table to make sure that we can go in
8	and do work around the site to improve the
9	maintenance and operations, but that 150 is
10	specifically for those, the rest. So the dog run
11	is actually a private dog run. It's a members'
12	organization. They maintain themselves now. We
13	have helped them on the fit out of their space.
14	We will do that again, but that's a private entity
15	and they pay for themselves. All the other public
16	land will just become part of our operating budget
17	as Sasaki [phonetic] Garden is today, as other
18	areas are today that we maintain.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: With the
20	150 and the line of credit?
21	ALICIA HURLEY: No. Separate
22	operating budget.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Will be
24	maintained.

ALICIA HURLEY: That's right. We

2	have gardeners. We have a troop of people right
3	now who take care of planters and Sasaki Garden
4	and the areas around the buildings.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And LMNOP
6	[phonetic], that falls under the 150 and the line
7	of credit? Is that correct? Is that on that
8	site?
9	ALICIA HURLEY: That's right. The
10	Mercer site.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay.
12	Thank you. And then my other question is about
13	community use. Currently the community uses Bobst
14	or your libraries and the gym. Is that correct?
15	ALICIA HURLEY: We have paid
16	memberships to Bobst Library as well as the Coles
17	Gymnasium.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And so can
19	you talk me through what that is right now? How
20	many memberships? How much does it cost? Is that
21	going to increase the amount of memberships to the
22	community for any of those sites?
23	ALICIA HURLEY: If you don't mind,
24	Councilwoman, I'd like to send you that

information just so I have all of the numbers and

data and it's available some of it on our website,
but I will send that to you as a follow up, but
the idea is to maintain certainly the Bobst
Library is not changing, access to the new gym is
intended to stay as it is today. The primary
access that the community uses our facilities for
are for holding meetings and public sessions, that
type of thing and provide that free of charge.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So the numbers--and you'll get them to me or I could look it up online-will be about the same for community access that you current have now.

ALICIA HURLEY: It should be.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. We are going to be moving to a vote. Before I do that, I just wanted to thank all those involved in this process, the people from NYU, the community, Community Board 2. I really have to say you have been so intelligent and cooperation in so much aspects of this. I know a lot of people have concerns still, but just it's been a long time we've been spending together. I made a lot of new

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 66
response]
COUNSEL: Council Member Garodnick?
COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
COUNSEL: Council Member Lappin?
COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: May I be
excused to explain my vote? Well, I wish that
this final plan had gone further, but on balance,
there were significant changes made in terms of
density, height, open space and community
dedicated space, and I also want to acknowledge
just how hard Councilwoman Chin has worked on this
for many, many months and want to be supportive of
her and her good work and her efforts and I vote
aye.
COUNSEL: Council Member Ignizio?
COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.
COUNSEL: By a vote of nine in the
affirmative, none in the negative and no
abstentions, L.U. 632, L.U. 633, L. U. 634 and
L.U. 635 are approved with modifications and
referred to the Land Use Committee.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Alright. Well, thank you very much. The Land Use

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	67
2	Subcommittee, and with that in mind, the	
3	Subcommittee is now adjourned.	
4	[gavel]	
5	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Can we	
6	please inform all the members the Land Use	
7	Committee will start right away?	

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Kimberley Uhlig
Signature	0 0
Date	July 28, 2012