CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON AGING

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----- X

January 28, 2025 Start: 1:03 p.m. Recess: 3:58 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway-Committee Rm., 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: Crystal Hudson

Chairperson

Chris Banks Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Linda Lee
Darlene Mealy
Yusef Salaam
Lynn C. Schulman
Susan Zhuang
Alexa Avilés
Erik D. Bottcher
Justin L. Brannan

Chi A. Ossé

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

Julia Won

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ryan Murray
Executive Deputy Commissioner NYC Department for the Aging

Ukah Busgith
Executive Vice President for Resident Services
Partnerships and Initiatives

Dylan Baker-Rice Deputy Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer

Anya Herasme Associate Commissioner Bureau of Community Services Department of Aging

Charles Madray
Vice President of Health Services at Samaritan
Daytop Village

Renee Keitt Resident Association President Elliott-Chelsea Houses

Metin Sarci Public Housing Specialist

Jasmine McFarlane Deputy Chief Program Officer at Lenox Hill Neighborhood Houses

Terry Campuzano

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quiet please. Good afternoon. Welcome to the New York City hybrid hearing for the Committees on Aging jointly with Public Housing. At this time, please silent all electronic devices. If you have any questions, please raise your hand. One of us at Sergeant at Arms will kindly assist you. At no time, please do not approach the dais. Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. [gavel] Good afternoon everyone. I'm Council Member Crystal Hudson, Chair of the Committee on Aging. Welcome to today's joint oversight hearing with the Committee on Public Housing on older adult centers at NYCHA facilities. Thank you to Chair Banks and the Committee on Public Housing for holding this important hearing with me today, and we are joined by Council Member Chi Ossé. New York City is home to 322 older adult centers, or OACs. 108 of these centers or about one-third are located on NYCHA properties. Per NYC's Aging's report on Older Adult Center Metrics published last year, OACs and NYCHA facilities served 6,325 daily participants out of almost 26,000 daily OAC participants in New York City. In other words, about one of every four OAC

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING participant goes to an OAC in a NYCHA facility. provide older adults with access to nutritious meals, activities, resources -- okay, apologies, and thank you for your patience. Good afternoon everyone. Council Member Crystal Hudson, Chair of the Committee on Aging. Welcome to today's joint oversight hearing with the Committee on Public Housing on older adult centers at NYCHA facilities. Thank you to Chair Banks and the Committee on Public Housing for holding this important hearing with me today. Today, we are joined by Council Member Chi Ossé. New York City is home to 322 Older Adult Centers, or OACs. these centers or about one-third are located on NYCHA properties. Per NYC Aging's report on Older Adult Center Metrics published last year, OACs in NYCHA facilities serve 6,325 daily participants out of almost 26,000 daily OAC participants in New York City. In other words, about one of every four OAC participant goes to an OAC in a NYCHA facility. OACs provide older adults with access to nutritious meals, activities, resources and social engagement while also working in partnership with other community agencies, organizations and businesses to offer opportunities to stay active, healthy and safe. OACs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING are vital community hubs. Research shows that older adults who participate in OAC programs experience better psychological wellbeing across several measures, including greater life satisfaction, higher levels of health and increased social interaction. OAC program participants can learn to manage and delay the onset of chronic disease and may experience measureable improvements in their economic, emotional, mental, physical, social, and spiritual wellbeing. In short, OACs help older adults stay healthy and independent, allowing them to age in place with dignity. So, what happens when an OAC on NYCHA property is faced with an urgent repair request that impacts operations? What if an OAC is forced to close due to a maintenance issue or broken equipment? Where do older adults turn when their community space is shuttered because of mold or broken stove or extensive water damage? Who is ultimately responsible for ensuring the upkeep of these centers and creating safe and modern spaces for older adults to congregate? As our city's older adult population grows exponentially, there remains a dire need for major investments to support the infrastructure of aging in place, including and especially OACs in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING NYCHA buildings. OAC staff and participating older adults at OACs on NYCHA properties face unique challenges in addressing facility issues due to the lack of clarity around which agencies are responsible for which repairs. While NYC Aging has previously testified before these committees that NYCHA's responsible for everything inside the wall or above the ceiling, the delineation of responsibility between NYC Aging and NYCHA is not always clear in practice, and this has been the case for years. one instance shared by an OAC provider in Flushing Queens, NYC Aging and NYCHA failed for months to agree on which agency was responsible for fixing a gym's leaking roof, leaving the space unusable by community members in the meantime. Press reports and direct feedback from OAC staff and participants to our committee illustrate continued confusion and finger pointing when something goes wrong. Much of this bureaucracy could be streamlined or at least clarified by a memorandum of understanding between NYC Aging and NYCHA. I look forward to discussing the status of an MOU to ensure that the division of responsibility for facility and maintenance issues is clear, and I'm eager to learn more about the ways in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING which NYC Aging and NYCHA are educating OAC staff and older adults about who to turn to when they need help. The OAC metrics report tracks the number of complaints about NYCHA facilities such as needed repairs or other structural or operational issues. In 2024, NYC Aging reported 27 such complaints regarding NYCHA facility issues at OACs. The majority of such cases where OAC staff or NYC Aging could not resolve the issue, NYC Aging's NYCHA liaison escalated the facility complaint to NYCHA management for resolution. We will check in today on these escalated requests and their statuses, as well as whether such facility issues have disrupted OAC operations. In closing, NYC Aging and NYCHA have a responsibility to ensure that our city's older adults congregate in clean and safe OAC facilities and to quickly make repairs and address facility issues when they arise. No OAC should have to close due to a lack of resources for needed repairs. Thank you to the representatives from the Administration for testifying today. Thank you to the members of the Aging Committee and the Committee on Public Housing who have joined us. I'd also like to thank my staff, Andrew Wright [sp?], Elika Ruintan [sp?], and Omar

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 9
Richardson, and Aging Committee staff, Chris Pepe
[sp?], Chloe Rivera, and Saiyemul Hamid. I will now
turn it over to Chair Banks for opening remarks.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Chair I'm Councilman Chris Banks, Chair of the Hudson. Public Housing Committee. I would like to thank Chair Hudson for co-chairing this important hearing and helping to bring attention to the concerns and the needs of older public housing residents. Older Adult Centers are the lifeline for many NYCHA residents, providing essential social services, meals, community support, but too often we hear troubling reports that these spaces are neglected. Plagued by pests, mold, heating outages, broken elevators, plumbing and electrical issues, and kitchens and bathrooms in dire need of renovations. I've heard these complaints firsthand from residents at the five centers in my own district. But I know these issues persist throughout the Older Adult Centers throughout NYCHA campuses across the city. There is unfortunately a longstanding history at NYCHA of failing to adequately maintain their facilities, ultimately leading to the creation of the Federal Monitorship in January of 2019. There have been some improvements

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 10 in recent years under the monitorship, but NYCHA has certainly shown some admirable resilience in the face of the years of the dwindling support of the federal funding, but a significant amount of work remains to be done, and we must continue establishing accountability. Just last week it was reported that more than 3,000 NYCHA residents lost heat and hot water while outside temperatures dipped below freezing levels, and this is isn't an isolated incident. These outages occur everywhere. Older residents are especially vulnerable. So I'm curious to know why we keep seeing NYCHA struggle to meet the needs of its older residents, and what can we do moving forward to ensure that Older Adult Centers are properly maintained and funded so they can eventually fulfil their promise. I hope that today's hearing can provide answers to these questions and more. We are undeniably partners in our collective mission to best serve New Yorkers. We need full transparency from both NYCHA and New York City Department for the Aging about the challenges facing these centers. The budget and operational decisions that impact them, and the steps being taken or not being taken to improve conditions for older residents. We are also here to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 11 hold NYCHA accountable and explore how they can do better to provide older residents with the dignity and support and safe space that they deserve. I also look forward to hearing from those present today, and I hope we can work together to find real solutions-real solutions to some of these critical issues facing our older adults. I would like to also thank my staff, Michael Lambert [sp?], along with the Public Housing Committee staff, the former staff member Connor Mealey [sp?], Jose, Charles, Kim, Dan, and Reese [sp?], and Ms. Townsend, and for all the work they put into this hearing. And with that, I'll now turn it back over to Chair Hudson. CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Chair

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Chair
Banks. I will now turn it over to the Committee
Counsel to administer the oath to the Administration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you, Chairs.

Good afternoon. If you could all please raise your right hand? In accordance with the rules of the Council, I will administer the affirmation to the witnesses from the Mayoral Administration. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 12

2 UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may proceed with your testimony.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: afternoon Chair Hudson, Chair Banks, members of the City Council, staff, and those who are here or at I am Ryan Murray, the Executive Deputy Commissioner for the New York City Department for the Aging, NYC Aging. I appreciate the opportunity to testify before you today about older adult centers in the New York City Housing Authority facilities. Throughout the NYC Aging portfolio of programs there are about 106 older adult centers located in NYCHAowned buildings across the five boroughs. represent a range of OAC types including some of the largest and smallest centers. As we've discussed in past hearings, OACs are a critical component of the many social services and programs offered to older New Yorkers as part of our community care plan. addition to the important work of providing over six million meals at OACs which Council has been a partner with us for over the years, older adults also need to be connected to critical programs which

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 13 address these needs. These include workforce programs, health and mental health services, elder justice, elder abuse, elder survivor, older adult survivor services. What is clear to us is that these centers serve nearly one-third of all older adults served by NYC Aging at OACs. Because of that fact, we are constantly working to ensure that the services in these centers are well-run and meet the needs of older adult communities who live in NYCHA facilities. As with NYCHA facilities themselves, we understand the unique challenges of repairs and maintenance in these buildings and work with our partners at NYCHA to address complaints when they are filed and rectify any pressing concerns which may arise. I'm joined by Ukah Busgith and Dylan Baker-Rice. Ukah is the Executive Vice President for Community Engagement and Partnerships at NYCHA, and she and her team, many of the members who are here, work very closely with us on a day-to-day basis. They address and repair any of the facilities needs that are brought to their attention in the OACs within NYCHA complexes. New York City Bureau -- Aging Bureau of Community Services oversees 300 Older Adult Centers and NORC programs in the five boroughs, and they work closely with NYCHA

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 14 and the Executive Vice President to ensure that the programs and the facilities are functional and repairs are addressed quickly. The working partnership is pivotal to the success of NYCHA OAC locations and how we ensure that we deliver the best services for older New Yorkers possible. Thanks to the Council's leadership, the New York City Cabinet for Older New Yorkers, created by the Adams Administration in 2022 was codified into law with a permanent slate of cabinet member agencies. NYCHA's been part of the Cabinet for Older New Yorkers since its inaugural meeting in September 2022, and their partnership has led to a number of improvements in programing at the spaces such as intergenerational initiatives, public safety responses, and transportation-related enhancements. Like many partner agencies in government, NYCHA and NYC Aging work every day to better serve New Yorkers over 60 and in our OACs, but also in ways beyond serving meals and programming. NYC Aging has OACs at NYCHA facilities since the founding of our agency and the establishment of centers through the Older American's Act. In fact, the nation's first OAC was at William Hodson Older Adult Center in the Bronx. It's located

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 15 in Daniel Webster Houses and serves older adult population in that complex as well as the surrounding community. Throughout New York City there are over 42,684 older adults who attend the OACs located in NYCHA complexes, and about 12,686 individuals who receive services from NYC Aging and are NYCHA residents. Historically, NYCHA did run a number of OACs and then they were known as Senior Centers in their facilities. Though, as I mentioned before, it was not the totality of centers that were run and located in NYCHA development within the NYC Aging portfolio. While NYC Aging has always had some OACs in NYCHA sites, newly added OACs came from NYCHA to NYC Aging in two distinct tranches. First, during the Bloomberg Administration, NYC Aging took on a number of centers which NYCHA transitioned over to us, and those were previously run independently. folded those into our current network of programs based on a range of factors such as the size of the programs, the space, capacity, as well as budgetary needs for our agency. This, as you know, lets you visit our Older Adult Centers throughout the City, shows the diversity of the programs. And as we talk about addressing facility issues, you'll also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 16 appreciate that that's some of the nuance that happens when we're going to different centers to address issues. So, the other tranche that came our way was 2018 to 2019 period. NYC Aging assumed oversight over all remaining Older Adult Centers still managed by NYCHA. A similar situation occurred with other NYCHA social programs for children where New York City Department for Youth and Community Development took on new programs as well. these transitions from NYCHA to Aging, not one center was closed. NYC Aging and NYCHA agree that the facility management and funding are large concerns to running these centers effectively. Just as repairs and maintenance concerns at NYCHA apartments, the state of our facilities are also a concern and an area where we frequently interface. In order to provide older adults in the OACs a safe experience, we have a system in place with our partners at NYCHA to report and address issues or complaints when they arise. First, the center itself should report an issue either to the property manager or to NYCHA, whether that be in-person or over the phone. NYCHAlocated OACs maintain the relationship with the property managers for the facility repairs like any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 17 other center would if it was with a landlord. NYCHA then issues a ticket number to the individual who reported the problem and the issue is either addressed or potentially referred out based on its complexity. As you can imagine, some issues are fixed immediately, but as NYCHA must prioritize repairs throughout their portfolio, some issues may be addressed later when they do not impede safe functioning of the center such as repainting needs or light replacements. Major issues such as roof and ceiling leaks or flooded spaces would still receive-will still receive a ticket number, but will be triaged differently based on the severity and the impact of the space, the OAC or the older adults themselves. In all cases, NYC Aging prioritizes the service continuity and we do everything we can to ensure that centers may remain open. In order to better monitor these repairs and determine which need to be escalated, the NYCHA liaison at NYC Aging regularly reviews reports of open tickets that need-needs at individual centers. Our goal is to create constant communication and open dialogue between our agencies to ensure that repairs do not languish and the needs are addressed quickly. During repairs, if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 18 a center is indeed closed for whatever reason, older adults at those locations clearly will know what options they have for meals and programming at nearby centers or any other alternatives which best meet their needs. When a repair ticket goes unaddressed, a second complaint might be opened with NYCHA. escalated. The escalation happens a visit to the property manager takes place, and then we are working with them as part of what we refer to as our NYCHA Escalation Team. We bring these issues up during regular meetings with NYCHA facility staff and work quickly towards a resolution for that center. Because we are a NYCHA space, while NYC Aging may wish to fix an issue ourselves, we're not always able to do so, must rely on NYCHA to escalate the matter further in order to remedy the situation. We do much of the escalation through the NYCHA liaison and the NYC Aging team that manages the process in meetings for NYCHA. We ensure that the appropriate escalation happens to avoid any center closures or alterations to programming. It is imperative that we monitor and respond quickly to repair issues so that's there no disruption to services for older adults. Recently, NYC Aging has been working to address issues with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 19 NYCHA-located kitchens which prevent a center from cooking on site because of the range of compliance issues and safety issues. Currently seven OACs in NYCHA sites which have kitchen issues which need to be legalized and brought up to code. This includes whether the kitchen is on the certificate of occupancy for the space itself, if a range hood is code compliant for the FDNY or if there's gas-related issues in the space, or the building at-large which we would have to address with the Department of Buildings. This would prevent jus from legalizing that kitchen or allowing the space to operate. Additionally, there's some NYCHA-located OACs where gas line issues prevent the center from cooking onsite. However, these can be larger concerns at the NYCHA facility itself. We are also thankful for the Council's leadership when the second tranche of NYCHA centers was transferred to NYC Aging, and you allocated \$4 million to the OACs for facility repair and upgrades to be used principally for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, or as we refer to it, HVAC repairs. As I know Council is aware, overarching throughout the discussion today is the need for capital investment in NYCHA facilities.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 20 believe that Council can continue to be a strong partner as you have been in the last fiscal years to improve these facilities which serve older adults. New York City older adult population continues to grow, and as I've said before and in today's testimony, roughly one-third of our overall OAC portfolio is located in the NYCHA facility. As NYCHA has pursued its rental assistance demonstration [sic], RAD, or through their Permanent Affordable Commitment together programs, or RAD PAC, NYCHA-- NYC Aging has needed to work more closely with NYCHA as the relationship with our centers has evolved or changed with these programs. When a NYCHA development converts through their program, OACs remain at those locations, and they have not signed leases with the new landlord once NYCHA has relinquished control of the site, but it does maintain a licensing agreement that allows them to provide services there and delineates responsibilities. This agreement also outlines the space needs for that location and the interaction between the provider and the landlord. As the PAC program continues to develop through NYCHA, more OAC are involved in those change, and the approach to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 21 those transitions is on a site-by-site basis. Additionally, we take seriously NYCHA's relationship to the Tenant Association Presidents, or the TA Presidents, at NYCHA, and their commitment to the development and the community residents utilize common areas and shared spaces like the OAC. As you may remember, NYC Aging OACs are required to maintain advisory councils of older adult participants who host regular meetings and hear complaints or suggestions regarding how centers are run and managed. In NYCHA facilities, these can be some inevitable overlap between the TA presidents, the resident councils, the advisory councils, all serving older adult in those locations. Similar to our partnerships with NYCHA, we view the TA presidents as important stakeholders in our operation at our centers and look to their support navigating issues, whether it's in the buildings or advocating for older adults for services in the centers more broadly. As I've stated before and as NYCHA is a partner in our Cabinet for Older New Yorkers. Their collaboration with NYC Aging goes beyond the administrative and the facility needs of OACs. We've deepened our relationships with multiple agencies through the

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 22 cabinet, and that includes our work with NYCHA. Beyond working to serve older adult needs at centers, NYC Aging has partnered with NYCHA as a cabinet member on intergenerational programming in these communities. one initiative focused on what we refer to as My New York Story, and that is an effort at community building with younger and older individuals in the development where they've shared a variety of stories, prioritized [inaudible] and it brings folks together to be stronger advocates and strengthen the relationships on-site in the developments. kinds of programming with DYCD or other programs with our public schools and NYC service are really important to us and reflect a coordination not just with NYCHA, but multiple agencies across the spectrum. Story-telling and building connections is critical. This is just one example of the many ways NYCHA is focused on the fundamental network of providers and are highly innovative with us in how we address the needs in the community. In conclusion, at NYC Aging, we are pleased to provide services to over 150,000 older adults last fiscal year through our OACs, including those with NYCHA developments, and we look forward to continuing these efforts to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 23 address the needs of the growing population in New York City. NYC Aging and NYCHA have a strong relationship and we look forward to continuing to build this relationship through the work of our cabinet and our daily interactions on facility needs and improvements for older adults. We're currently looking forward to the third year of other events that showcase the vibrancy, energy and community building of older New Yorkers like Talent is Timeless, -- some of you've heard us talk about this and many of you supported in communities -- where over 1,000 older adults across the five boroughs competed to showcase whether it was dancing or singing or art or theater. All of these culminated in a final show where we had winners, and it's one of the things that steps up the energy and the vibrancy of programs beyond the usual. That it is our commitment moving forward in terms of how we work together. So, we are happy that NYCHA is strengthening our relationship with us and we look forward to your questions. Chair Banks, Chair Hudson, thank you for the opportunity to be here today. Thank you Committee Members for your advocacy. I will pause for the Executive Vice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 24

President to share a few words before we take any

questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Chairs Chris Banks and Crystal Hudson, members of the Committee of Public Housing and Committee on Aging, other distinguished members of City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, and members of the public. Good afternoon. I am Ukah Busgith, NYCHA's Executive Vice President for Resident Services, Partnerships, and Initiatives, and I am pleased to be joined by Dylan Baker-Rice, Deputy Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer, as well as my colleagues from NYC Aging. Thank you for this opportunity to discuss the Authority's efforts to support older adults as they age with grace and dignity in their homes at NYCHA. Older adults are an integral part of the NYCHA community. Every day, we strive to support older adults in our communities through connections to beneficial programs, services, and other resources from a range of quality providers. We believe strongly in the power of partnerships to serve the population -- populations like older adults. Our collaboration with NYC Aging is one fundamental

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 25 example, as they operate a variety of programs for older adults' right at our developments. And we are an active participant in the City's Cabinet for Older New Yorkers, which brings together over two dozen City agencies to help address the needs of aging adults. One especially helpful initiative that resulted from our participation in the Cabinet is a program where liaisons from the NYPD connect with NYCHA seniors to provide assistance and raise awareness on issues like domestic violence. The HUDfunded Elderly Safe-At-Home program is another key initiative. Through the program, NYCHA staff offer on-site assistance at several NYCHA developments, supporting older adults as they age in place safely and independently through home visits and connections to services, workshops on crime prevention and other topics, and floor captains which are residents who volunteer to support their neighbors. These are just a few examples of the initiatives that are serving NYCHA seniors. I am proud of our efforts to support older adults in the community, and I am happy to answer any of your questions on this topic. I also want to thank members of the Council for your support. Partnership is key to our success.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 26 eager to continue our very impactful collaborations with you and other stakeholders. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you all so much for your testimony. I'd like to just share that we've been joined by Council Member Rivera, Brewer, and Salamanca online. We're actually going to start with Council Member Ossé, because I know he has a time constraint with some questions specifically about [inaudible].

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you so much, Chairs, and good afternoon to the panel testifying here today. I want to speak about a specific site in my district. I represent Bed-Stuy in Crown Heights. And according to the committee report that we received for today's hearing, Maria Lawton [sp?] Older Adult Center which is Roosevelt II Houses, was one of the centers where DFTA escalated complaints to NYCHA management. This center, again is in my district in the Roosevelt development, always has an infrastructure issue. I visited this site two years ago, and the HVAC system was down in the middle of July last year. My staffer received a complaint from one of the CBOs at Roosevelt that there were still HVAC issues. Our older adults are, as we all know, a

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 27 vulnerable population and need temperature controlled spaces, especially in OACs where most of their day-to-day social circles, resources, and programming exists. What is the update on this center, and have issues that DFTA reported in the HVAC system been resolved?

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: hi, thank you for that question. So, I apologizes, I don't have the exact details about that center. We could obviously look into it, but generally speaking we do work with the program to repair HVACs. That is something that New York City Aging has committed to. And so there are different hurdles in doing that. It's not always an easy process. Both with the program there's different steps of getting quotes and things like that. So what we can do is look into obviously the status of that site. It's possible it's in the process somewhere, but we are committed to serving as cooling centers, and so that is a priority of ours.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And Council Member, heard you-- you said that two years in a row, we have an issue. So, we will get a response to you later this week or early next week.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: A response would be great. We'd love to hear that it's currently in the process, but again as you said, two years is a decent

5 amount of time. How on average-- how long on average

does it take for some of these infrastructural

7 repairs to take place, especially if they are brought

up to a top of the list or made to be a more posing

9 issue to a constituency?

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: So, I'll start and then maybe I'll turn to NYCHA. In terms of—sadly, the answer is it depends, right? If you're talking HVAC, there might be a significant multi—months process to get a vendor in there as the Associate Commissioner shared, whether it's quotes, the timing of funding and certain other issues that need to be addressed. So, some of these processes are lengthy. I am concerned about the particular case that you brought, so I do want to look into it.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I know everyone says that, but we will be actually looking into it, and I want to get you an update and confirm if, for example, this one they've gotten a vendor in place and there are other issues related to the

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 29 facility that make it a bit more challenging to just simply replace the HVAC. I will get those details for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Okay, thank you very much, and thank you, Chairs.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Council Member Ossé. And before we get into our question--oh, yes?

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Thank you. I was just going to add to that. If the HVAC replacement is more extensive capital repair, then it was follow the more typical capital process which would-expectation it's four years to five years. And in those cases, we have to replace an entire HVAC system, and it's often coupled with roof replacement, because much of the equipment is on the roof, so it's much more extensive to get into the space. To remove, we have to abate, particularly for older systems. So we need to check for lead, asbestos, due diligence there, and then bring a vendor on board and complete the repairs. If it's a maintenance item, that's much faster than a typical capital project, but that gives you an idea of some of the challenges with replacement. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

\_\_\_

2122

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Before we jump into our questions, I do just want to ask a few questions from Council Member Schulman who is in another hearing at the moment and is extremely timely. So, as you are likely aware, the White House Budget Office has ordered a pause on all federal grants and loan, effective 5:00 p.m. today. pause could impact trillions of dollars already congressionally approved for dispersement. primary source of federal funding for older adult nutrition programs like Meals on Wheels is the Older Americans Act Nutrition Programs which is a grant. If the White House memo applies to the Older Americans Act Nutrition Program, it could jeopardize service to millions of vulnerable older adults who have no other means of purchasing for preparing meals. What is the plan to ensure that home delivered meals continue for the city's older adults given the President's order to halt all federal grand dollars which most likely includes home-delivered meals? And I know this is all, you know, breaking news happening in real time.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Here's the short answer, and I appreciate the acknowledgement that this is every single day breaking news and

flashes and updates and alerts and whiplash for everyone. much of the-- yes, the grants that the-Council Member Schulman very well familiar with-- do support New York City, much of our dollars are CTL dollars in terms of programs to the City. So there are working groups that have been looking at this for quite a while. We must ensure that meals continue to be delivered, and there are other budget mechanics that we're going to have to get through. So I know I'm not being extremely significant here, and I can't be in this moment--

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Yep.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: but we're aware of the impacts that could happen with various federal funding. The truth is that across the board, New York City directly funds must of its programs, and so the term I've heard or the ratio— and this is just— we'll get to budget season soon— but it's roughly 25 cents on the dollar, right, is usually what we're talking about overall for a number of other than New York City—funded, but we fund most of our programs, and we— you know, we're going to have to figure this out, but I appreciate that this is

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: So, we're going to just have more conversations.

I'm sure in another hearing. But I do just want to ask NYCHA, because-- or specifically, do federal grants impact OAC operations at NYCHA facilities?

What about other NYCHA operations? And if so, how can we make sure the NYCHA Older Adult Centers do not lose their ability to exist if federal grant money is removed. I know obviously the financial situations is different for city agencies versus one like NYCHA?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I'm not aware of funding affecting Older Adult Centers at this time, but there are other grant programs that are impacted at NYCHA, and you know, this is fresh news and trying to grapple with this and put things in place, but it's still very new for us to comment further.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And for NYC Aging,
have you heard from providers about how this freeze
might impact their operations? Have you been told
about potential furloughs or layoffs at all?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: As of 9:30

last night we haven't received specifics from

3

providers about other federal-- other programs that

5

4

they run where there's federal dollars that

6

principally support them. We were-- I was just with

7

400 providers at a Live On conference this morning.

8

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: this morning, yeah.

9

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And you can

10

imagine the mood, but we're also pretty riled up.

11

So, no, providers haven't reached out yet to talk

12

about impacts to programs that they might be directly

13

funded from the feds on. I could imagine that they

14

exist. Folks understand that, again, we principally

15

fund the programs that are on the ground here, and

16

the concern is more about immigration and other  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

17

18

things.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And just one

of these cuts from the federal side.

19

suggestion also from Council Member Schulman is to

20

have maybe a meeting for the Cabinet on Older Adults

21

to start discussing if they haven't already -- some  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

22

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Yeah, and

24

2.3

we-- there have been working groups since the

25

election within the New York City government where

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 34 folks— there are federal working groups that our alleged [sic] team is involved in, looking at what those impacts are. So, happy to bring this up to the cabinet overall, but those work groups do exist.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Great, thank you so much. Aside from location, is there anything specific about OACs located on NYCHA property that sets them apart from other OACs in New York City?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: It's a broad

question, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: It is.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Here's what I'll say. You know, that's like why is NYCHA important and who's been there? Like, this is, you know, as we said, one of the oldest Older Adult Centers in the nation. It happens to be a NYCHA Older Adult Center. What for us sets them apart is that rich, deep history of organizing, of making sure that services are brought to a location in terms of social services or responsiveness. So that energy I think is something that I, when I visit the centers—I've been out to about a third now of the 300. That's the thing that I think sets it apart, and

folks, you get the sense of deep commitment to

community. Folks live there, right? And just for folks who know, it's not— the centers are hubs of activity overall, that's all of our centers, but you get a sense that folks who aren't in the development, that go to the development also are invested in the community as a whole. So, it's that energy and vibrancy that I think is what sets apart the NYCHA programs.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I know we're going to get into facilities and stuff in just a bit, but I do want to say I've been to the William Hodson Center in Council Member Stevens' district. It is an amazing community that's incredibly vibrant and active, but I will say that I was extremely disappointed when I went and saw the physical, you know, center and infrastructure. You know, the floors were coming up. There are holes in the walls, leaks, and to be the oldest, you know, proudly the oldest Older Adult Center in the nation, I just think that our older adults deserve a better environment than that. And so I would encourage you all, if you haven't been to that center specifically recently to visit them there, because that should be sort of the shining light and the example for the entire network

committee on aging with committee on public Housing 36 of what it means to be the oldest and the first older adult center in the nation that's here in New York City, in the Bronx, in a public housing facility with such a vibrant community as it has. That, you know, that should be the example of what these centers should look like. What I'll-- yes, yes, sure.

2.2

2.3

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: We certainly take note of that, and that's been our focus, so that's one of the centers that's funded for critical upgrades.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Great. Thank you.

What is the Administration's long-term vision for

OACs on NYCHA property, and how does it align with

broader efforts to support NYC Aging's population?

about the vision for Older Adult Centers overall, and then I'll pause for any additional thoughts that NYCHA might have on how we continue our partnership to strengthen programming at NYCHA as a service provider. As you know, the vision remains that older adults thrive, not just get basic needs met, but have active, engaged lives for the long-term. Longevity is obviously thankfully a thing we can now look to where folks are living longer, and so the vision for us is to ensure that folks remain healthy, that they

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 37 have basic needs met, and beyond that, that we have vibrant, energized communities that are multigenerational. Earlier today, another stat just hit me that, you know, it might be a little different stat if I fact-check it, but one in nine public school students has an older adult as their emergency contact. I think it might -- either one in six or one in nine. We will get the real numbers, but that's just striking to me. And you know, I think we share a vision around how to ensure that folks continue to contribute to community, built it and they're still here, and have a say in what happens on the ground in every New York City neighborhood. So, as a foundational thing, that is part of the vision, community services, community building, and beyond that, it is important to acknowledge caregivers, right? Having had the blessing and burden and ensuring that those who are aging in place and the family members who then have to respond to a multitude of issues that the older adult may face as life circumstances changes, we have to invest in caregiving and ensuring that services are as enriched as possible where people live. So that's part of the

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 38 vision for us overall as an agency. But I'll pause for NYCHA if there's anything additional to add.

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I think you covered it pretty well, Ryan. But for us, as you know, our resident association and NYCHA staff ourselves are very invested in our senior centers.

We used to run the majority of them, and I know how important they are for our residents to have a safe place to attend and meet with their peers, talk about issues, and participate in many activities. So we want to support Aging and continue to provide a safe, clean space for seniors to gather and their share their issues, their concerns, and work together to resolve them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Can you describe the process for opening and operating an OAC located on NYCHA property? So who makes the proposal and to whom, and what is the timeline from proposal to opening?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I'll refer to the competitive process that was in place and that will continue to govern how we select Older Adult Centers that are under direct contract with the Department for the Aging. I'll refer to very briefly

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 39 the partnership and, you know, with NYCHA and then I'll pause for any follow-up questions you might have. So, like most New York City agencies, we adhere to the Procurement Policy Board rules, right? And where we're putting money on the street for operating a center that is from dollars that the Administration puts out, that is competitive. And so there's a request for proposals process, and the last one we had a few years back resulted in some of the new providers that are in the portfolio now. process, as you know, is involved and usually includes us talking about, you know, what the services are. We spend a fair amount of time educating communities on what a full-service program should look like. We allow for, given our experience with NYCHA and other communities, a variety of sizes of program. Like, folks may run a smaller Older I'm just going to give a quick number. Adult Center. The team will give me a look. But, you know, let's say it's 25-30 people in that center. It's a little tinier than say a center that is 85 to 100, and there are others that usually might have average daily participation even beyond that, a larger center. the numbers matter in terms of obviously the space

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 40 and accommodation, the staffing that is in place, director, someone helping with case assistance and navigating benefits, people that are activity specialists, but we set that up and we look at geographic areas. So we usually refer to catchment areas. I just say where the programs will be, and we divide up the available dollars in that way. Look-we, on our side from the planning perspective will first consider what the population of older New Yorkers looks like in an area. We-- the census data, right, was released recently, and we also just had our survey, older adult survey -- and thank you to Council for publicizing that -- where we heard back from 9,000 older New Yorkers. Our job is to make sure that the programs are where people-- where the services are needed. We go through the competitive process. People evaluate the proposals, and then we make our selections. There's some negotiation that happens in terms of budget and so on, and then we're off to the races. In terms of where the programs are, as you know, there's 106 that are in NYCHA, but there's, you know, some 200 others that are in community whether its city-owned buildings or it's working with the landlord. Often it's the church in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 41 your community. I'm thinking of a few right now just looking at both of you, all three of you. There are churches that will, you know, have a free space available and has historically had that. So, the ability of the proposal to say that they have an agreement for where the program would be, there's a commitment from that community, and then they go through the competitive process and review the proposal, and then we select, and we're off to the award. That's the longer-winded answer, but I wanted to just be really clear about the competitive process. There may also be discretionary funds that the Council puts up, right, where you say I want to expand or run another program down the block because the numbers support it, and that is up to the Council, but where we're getting discretionary dollars, then we of course will educate the provider if they aren't an existing operator of an Older Adult Center on what the standards say, right? And in general we say, you know, like you need a director. You need someone overseeing programs. Here's what a nutritious meal looks like. Here are the standards for recipes. So, when we're involved in those fuller-scale programs that are discretionary funded,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on aging with committee on public Housing 42 we also help to bring oversight and support to the Council dollars and ensure that what you intended in your districts is actually happening. So, that's how the programs come to be.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

You know, for these hearings we appreciate the longwinded answers. So, no worries there. As the older
adult population in New York City grows
exponentially, are there any plans for expanding the
number of Older Adult Centers within NYCHA
facilities? If yes, where? If not, why not?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Not there
yet.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And so this is the short answer now. When we get to the point where we're talking about a request for a proposal, we're actively looking at those data— that population data now. So, you know, numbers that I saw about hot zones and cold zones in the city where people— but the numbers might be different, right? I would want to have the opportunity to come back to you around what that plan would look like, but I can't affirmatively say right now that we absolutely

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        43
     have a plan to expand in NYCHA. What I want to
 2
 3
     confirm is that one, facilities are there, the
 4
     interest is there, because it may also be near to
     NYCHA, right? It might be down the block at a
 5
    privately-run facility or a building that is owned.
 6
 7
     Our commitment, however, is that services are where
     older adults need services, that folks can go from
 8
    program to program easily, and that's why we invest
     in transportation and other things. That is our
10
11
     commitment. But in terms of absolutely saying we
12
     will expand to increase the number in NYCHA, we're
13
     not prepared to say that today, but we will go
14
     through a planning process.
15
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Is it fair for you
16
     to say we absolutely will expand facilities across
17
     the City?
18
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: If the older
19
     adult population continues to grow as we--
20
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] I mean,
21
     it's--
2.2
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
2.3
     [interposing] We--
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] It's
24
```

25

growing.

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        44
 2
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
     anticipate it to be and it is growing as you said.
 3
 4
     We have to respond to those needs.
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. I quess--
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
 6
 7
     [interposing] Now, what--
 8
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Yeah,
 9
     go ahead.
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: [inaudible]
10
11
     yet. Is it--
12
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Go for
13
     it.
14
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: We will ex--
15
     our plan is to fight for the resources to expand
     services where they're needed. That is our plan.
16
17
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. So, I just
18
     hope that and encourage you all to think about the
19
    plan being more proactive than reactive, but what so
20
    ha -- what so often happens, particularly with
21
     government, is that bureaucracy gets in the way, and
2.2
     the population already increase. It's already boomed.
2.3
     It's already here, and then we say, oh gosh, we have
     to catch up. Let's put in some OACs and it's kind of
24
```

already too late. So I just want to make sure, and

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 45 you know, I'm just going to continue beating the dead horse that I always beat at all of these hearings, because it is almost unconscionable to me that as a city, and this is not just you all, but broadly as a city we are not really planning for the population boom that we all know is already happening. So I what I don't want to happen is that we are not prepared. We're already not prepared. We're underprepared, but I'm hoping that through these hearings and other conversations and through the advocacy of so many of our partners that the city will be, you know, better prepared to address the needs of the older adult population.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Agree with you. We're not— the world, the country, the city, we're not prepared and we need to be, and the data says that we should be. You helped to open a conference recently last December that was called Boom, which was acknowledging that the last of the Baby Boomers turn 60, and everybody forgets about the forgotten generation, the Generation X folks, and then millennials and others, right? Like, we're all aging and rapidly and committed to the City, so we've got to plan as such. I agree with you.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 46

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. What metrics or evaluation processes are in place to assess the impact of OACs on the overall wellbeing of older adults in NYCHA housing? Just turn on your mic.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Oh, sorry. So, currently NYCHA doesn't capture the metrics for older adults. That falls under Aging. So, Ryan, I don't know if you want to talk about that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Let me just- I heard your question, and it was overall impact at
NYCHA, yes? Something like that?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: What metrics or evaluation processes are in place to assess the impact of OACs on the overall wellbeing of older adults in NYCHA housing?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Yeah. So what we as NYC Aging can speak to is the impact on the older adults who participate in the programs, right? I think-- and that's a distinction versus the overall development. so, earlier I gave some numbers in testimony about the number of individuals who participate in programs that are located at OACs, just another important distinction that it's not a

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 47 program that only is for the individuals who are in-live in that development. Individuals from the community, as you heard, because the number was like 40-something thousand, and 14,000 were from NYCHA. They're hubs for the community as a whole, and so what we looks at in terms of our metrics is participation. It is looking -- I mean, there's the usual satisfaction I call it, the happy face evaluation. Do you like it here? And then there's more nuanced things that might be happening in terms of case assistance and responsiveness, food quality and making sure that meals are delivered. Those are the kinds of things we track. So it's-- are services being delivered as contracted? And there's a fair amount of time spent by our program officers getting out there to talk to residents and get their feedback beyond happy face about the quality of the program and if it's meeting their needs. The other thing that we do is we take that information and that helps to evolve what we're doing as an agency. Talent is timeless wasn't just a bright idea. That came from the farm, as we say, but it was-- folks want to be better-connected to other programs and have that be a thriving thing, right? And I find that these days

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 48 many older adults aren't just thinking about one program, right? They might be shopping around for different things, different kinds of activities. So, the metrics are about quality. The metrics are about participating of the programs, and then there's direct feedback from the older adults, but it is for us, the program itself, not just the NYCHA building. There are cases where -- I can think of one or two where if we've received feedback that conflicts with-- and to your point we want to be more proactive here-- conflicts with what we understand of a particular program. then NYCHA's team-- Audrey sitting over here as well-- Ukah-- then they actually do a more comprehensive building survey to confirm whether or not the building itself is maximizing the services that are offered with EOAC, and then if there's other things that NYCHA should be doing. those aren't full building-wide survey by default. Those are a bit more reactive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: What Ryan was speaking about, there are incidents where our resident leaders may complain about the program being offered at the location, and so we took it upon ourselves with Ryan's team support create a survey to

survey residents who are participating in the program. How are they responding to the services, if it's adequate? Or if there are older adults that are not participating, why and what would bring them to the program, and we use that data to share it with Aging so we can increase recruitment for those programs initiative. And we have done two within the last few months, and the resident leaders are very happy with the results and love the fact that we're being proactive about their needs.

mean, I would also make the argument that an OAC present in a NYCHA can only benefit, you know, all residents in that building, and that as people receive information in the OACs they're I'm sure sharing it with their neighbors and friends and family members. So, even if they aren't themselves not visiting the OAC, they're still benefitting from it being there. So I think could at least benefit us all to at least ask the question of residents in terms of, you know, their positive or perhaps negative, but their overall opinions of the centers. I do want to acknowledge that we've been joined—

2.2

2.3

2 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]

3 Sorry.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: by Council Member Avilés. It's all good.

When Aging surveyed those 9,000 residents that respond—— I mean, New York City residents that responded, we were very proactive in NYCHA developments as well and ensured that our seniors were responding, older adults were responding to the survey. Whether those are programs that we run directly, encouraging the older adults to submit that survey.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And then in the spirit of partnership this year, Chair and Council Members, one of the things that in proactive land, we want to get out there and spend more time with our Advisory Councils. So I mentioned earlier that every OAC is required to have an Advisory Council, so folks who go to the program and are—by their members, you are the representative. I want to meet with folks, and I want to do that with you. So I will obviously be reaching out to each of you and others in the Council starting with these committees

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 51 to spend more time in community over the next few months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sounds good. We look forward to it. How does NYC Aging collect feedback from older adult residents about the quality and variety of the programs offered at these centers, and what about feedback regarding the facilities? I know you just shared a little bit about that, but facilities as well?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Sure. So, one of our standards for all of our Older Adult Centers is to survey participants. I believe that's twice a year, and as we talked about also, they have Advisory Councils. So that is made up of Older Adult Center members. They-- most programs also have general meetings, and that's another opportunity for the entire membership to attend, share feedback. Sorry about that. we also are-- so, as I mentioned, it's one of our standards to survey members, and we are working on also standardizing that so that we can assist programs to really take that information and have it readily available. Not everybody has the same, you know, capacity with technology. Some are still on paper. So we are working on improving that

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        52
     process as well so that can be available. And then
 2
 3
     another thing we are piloting right now is actually
 4
     kiosks. So as Ryan mentioned like the happy or -- you
     know, you go to a bathroom in the airport and it's
     like how do-- so it's not exactly that, but we are
 6
 7
    piloting with a few sites to get reactions for the
 8
    meals right now, because as I'm sure a lot of you
    have heard about the plant-based meals and the
     feedback on that exactly. So, but we--
10
11
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] where
     are those sites, do you know?
12
13
                ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
14
     gosh, off the top of my head.
15
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: No, for the pilot.
                ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
16
                                                  No, no--
17
     the ones with the kiosk. It's a pilot. We're
18
     rotating. So actually we're about to do another. So
19
     I think there's about five kiosks. So, what-- the
20
    plan is to have them there for about a month and then
21
     to move them to other centers.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: If you could just
2.3
     let us know where--
                ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
24
```

[interposing] Yeah, absolutely.

trying to get real-time feedback, and we have the

ability to change the questions depending on the

24

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 54 need. So, again, right now we're looking at meals, but we can incorporate different types of topics.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Great. Thank you.

And then are there any staffing shortages or

challenges in retaining qualified staff to work at

centers in NYCHA facilities as opposed to other OACs?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: You know, overall it's difficult I think for social service programs to hire staff. That is a struggle that we've seen across the network, not just Older Adult Centers. So, it's not necessarily a bigger issue in NYCHA OACs, but it is something that we are, you know, always concerned about and looking into how do we get more, you know, more people interested in working with older adults. Really, I think that's the bigger issue we face.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And I just want to say thank you again, Council, for your support of the workforce, right? The COLA, the cost of living adjustment, and all the workforce enhancement initiatives and those things that we've been fighting for years and years and years, beyond this current administration, those things re important to the human services sector as a whole.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 55

And this has to be more attractive. I started in nonprofits making nothing, and that still shouldn't be the case 25 years later, right? So, thank you for that partnership. Our goal is to make sure all those dollars are getting where they need to be and continue to lift the— lift up our human services workers, because they're the ones doing the work.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Well, I will not miss an opportunity to say that the Council will always deliver the investments that are absolutely needed and that are best for the city and including its workers. So thank you for saying that. I'm going to move over to Chair Banks for some questions, and then I'll be back.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Chair

Hudson. We spoke about community engagement, and I

just want to touch back on that. Can you describe

the outreach efforts to engage older adults in NYCHA,

the Older Adult Centers?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Sure. Thank you for that question, Council Member. We start with—we—our [inaudible] team resident participate in resident services partnership and initiative delivers a monthly newsletter to every household with an email

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 56 address, and we will list all the program opportunity. Sure, we also canvas the developments, knock on doors for various initiatives, ensure those flyers are new-- and programs [inaudible] with resident leaders. And I mean, when we're canvasing we ensure those pamphlets are shared with households. In addition to that, we send weekly updates to over 218 resident leaders across the board on new initiatives, programs, opportunities. If necessary, at times, we will table at a development to launch new initiative if we have a cohort, a specific cohort and we need additional residents to participates, and during our Family Day events we really like to launch new initiatives and table again and these resources out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Are you door knocking? And is there any collaboration with the Department of Aging or the particular CBO that's in the center?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: There are times where CBOs may come to us requesting mailing lists since they want outreach for a specific program, or if it's a new provider on the ground they may want to introduce themselves to residents and we are able to

committee on aging with committee on public housing 57 share those mailing lists without any PI, no names, just address and they can mail a flyer to residents introducing themselves. When we're canvasing for other initiatives we could include program flyer for specific older adults if necessary at a development.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Well, how does these efforts address the mental health needs of these older adults, particularly in the light of increased isolation during the pandemic? How are you dealing with that?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, during the pandemic we were fortunate that we received some funding to distribute over 10,000 tablets to older adults, and we worked with OTI to distribute those tablets to seniors. So it helped where— if Aging was conducting a workshop or training our seniors, older adults, at the time could have access to those programming. Post-pandemic, the start-up for those centers were very slow. Recruitment was— residents were not returning. There was still a fear of going and congregating in open spaces. So we had to really partner with Aging to get the word out that the centers are open—

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] And now

3 | after the pandemic, what is there--

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, it took a slow start, but I think centers are building up to the numbers that they're--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Okay.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: they used to serve pre-pandemic, but Ryan could confirm that.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: can add a little more. Actually, just this morning I was talking to providers about, you know, if they're having trouble reaching out to residents that we've had a lot of luck I think especially with resident leaders. A lot of time they have their pulse. So, you know, I've said so many times that could be your best friend if your work with them to get information about. But as far as mental health, another program that we have embedded into a lot of our Older Adult Centers is Geriatric Mental Health Services, and that's open to any older adults. They don't have to be part of that site. They don't have to be-- they can live anywhere. They can go wherever they're comfortable, but that is something that we have in a large portion of Older Adult Centers, including some

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 59 of our NYCHA sites, and so that's been a wonderful program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And I know this probably not a NYCHA-based question, but for those seniors who are not residing in NYCHA-- so I guess this would be more directed to the Department of Aging. What's the outreach when it comes to mental health? How do you monitor them? Because I have a particular senior who resides in Meadow Wood [sic] who's a-- it's a condominium. It used to be a Mitchell-Lama back in the day. And she has a major hoardering [sic] issue that we're now trying to address, but it seems like it went over the CBOs head or the center that she attends. So, you know, if we can-- this is also an outcry to also have-- to work together, to collaborate to see how we can help this particular senior that attends the -- I think she attends the Penn-Wortman senior center which I believe the sponsor is Millennium Development.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: We-- happy
to support you with the case that you're referring
to. More broadly, obviously mental health thankfully
we can now have this conversation, right, about
mental health being a part of health and being

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 60 important for all of us and not just in moments of crisis or based on a particular mental illness. we-- as Anya said, we have collocated programs with our Older Adult Centers. There are 88 geriatric mental health programs. That was expanded in this administration up from 20-something from the prior. There's so much more to be done there as part of the cabinet work. We are currently working with the Parks Department for example to make sure-- the theory here is go where people are. The centers are As opposed to waiting for people to go to the clinic where the clinician is and can offer services, right? Culturally, also, in many communities talking about how you're feeling and thinking and what you might be struggling with, hoarding or other kinds of things, is still a barrier and we've only gotten recently to the place where we can have these conversations. So, for folks who are older, there's so much work that needs to be done there. So, one, happy to work on this case with you. Two, we're always looking to collocate and site and so on. There's a whole office of mental health process around what is appropriate to expand and when for licensure, but we need to do more, and I think I want

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 61 to-- if this is an area you want to work on, happy to bring you in.

2.2

2.3

On this particular topic when it comes to outreach and particularly when we talk about mental health, are there adequate case workers in the centers, Older Adult Centers, to assist? Because we constantly see where directors are having to take on that responsibility and, you know, that definitely puts a stress on a director. So, are there adequate case workers in the centers?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah, I think-- so obviously-- again, every center is different, and the providers determine what their structure looks like. It's-- you know, I don't know off the top of my head what the vacancies look like at those programs. However, you know-- and needs change. I think that what happens especially with more serious mental health issues is sometimes staff-- it is more than an Older Adult Center should be handling, and so that's why we have this part-- you know, the partnership with the geriatric mental health program, but as far as we are aware, it's not-- they're not-- we don't hear complaints that there's

not enough staff to do case assistance. However, what the Older Adult Centers should be doing is really more kind of basic assistance and then usually bigger needs are referred out. Obviously, it's-- you know, it's also education, I think, to ensure that those people providing those services know all the resources, and that is something we-- it is in our standards that programs have that available, but you know, it's-- I think it's different in every case, and sometimes these are more challenging situations, so we're always here to support.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. I now want to move on to RAD/PACT, particularly— and I think this— these— this line of questioning just can be answered by either, but I guess it would be more for the Authority. We've heard previously that the division of responsibility for repairs between NYCHA and New York City Aging at a hearing, I believe,—hearing before the Aging Committee back on April 2024, the New York City Aging Commissioner Vasquez testified that New York City's Aging policy is to incorporate the same division of responsibility to the licensing agreements for the RAD/PACT managers when facilities were converted. Is this still the

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        63
     New York City Department for Aging's policy with
 2
 3
     regards to the OACs and facilities that are converted
    to RAD/PACT?
 4
 5
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes, I'm happy
    to report we work Aging to finalize an agreement that
 6
 7
     can be used for all--
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] And it's
 8
 9
    been final, okay.
10
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yeah, it's been
11
     finalized and it's in place. So, any converted site
12
     will sign this agreement delineating who's
13
     responsible for repairs in the Older Adult Centers.
14
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. And when it
15
     comes to-- can we see that agreement?
16
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Sure. I think we
17
     can send.
18
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Thank you.
19
    When it comes --
20
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]
21
     Template, right? It's a template.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: It's a temp?
2.3
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right, because it's--
24
           You can provide that. We definitely
25
```

committee on aging with committee on public Housing 64 appreciate. When it comes to the Older Adult Centers who provider submit tickets to RAD/PACT managers, does NYCHA track the status of the ticket or conduct any follow-up to ensure that these issues are resolved?

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: NYCHA doesn't track those tickets. It's with the property manager, the new operator. And it should—because we now have an agreement, it should delineate who was responsible for what aspect of repairs. If there are issues, then—where the providers—I mean, the property manager is not responsive, they can escalate to NYCHA or to Aging.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, NYCHA just plays a role when it's escalated.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: When it's escalated, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are there-- are you aware of any issues that the older adult providers have faced getting repairs from RAD/PACT managers?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Currently, I'm not aware of any outstanding issues. Since I-- I think the agreement is very clear and the roles are really defined in that agreement.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: In most of the

65

3

RAD/PACT converted developments where the -- most of

4

the centers are being rehabbed, right?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

6

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Am I correct?

7

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.

8

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We know-- and I know

9

this from experience and from complaints from some of

10

the CBOs that are in those particular developments,

11

those particular centers, there's been issues with

12

repairs or the rehab not being complete in parts of

13

the building and it's just been an ongoing back and

repairs done. And I guess these are particularly in

those particular centers that are shared either do a

cornerstone or shared by another senior pro-- shared

If it's

by a senior program, is NYCHA involved in those--

escalated to NYCHA, we will get involved and work

with our RAD department to address those with the

property manager. So, if we need to get to the table

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH:

forth with the RAD/PACT management to get those

14

15

16

17

18

19

when those controversies arise?

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and discuss what the concerns are, we're willing to

do that.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And again, you're not 3 tracking anything. 4 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We're not tracking, unless--5 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] So, 6 7 nothing is-- there's no system put in place where NYCHA can go in and check to see if repairs are 8 needed? VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: No, not at this 10 11 time. 12 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. When a NYCHA facility with an Older Adult Center is converted to 13 14 RAD/PACT, how does that conversion affect the status 15 or operation of the OAC, and how does that conversion 16 affect the services offered by the OAC? 17 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: It should not 18 affect the services at all. The program transitioned 19 with the conversion and we ensure that the provider 20 are introduced to the new property manager there at 21 the table. Now they're required to sign a lease 2.2 agreement and the program continues as normal. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And Boulevard Houses, we have a situation where there's a rehab being done 24

to the center that's shared by I believe CAMBA and

Fort Greene Senior Services. The seniors have been out of home for close to a year or so, and we've been waiting and waiting for that center to be opened up. I believe— and I say total disregard to the seniors. The seniors have been placed at an apartment, and I visited that apartment plenty of times, and it's no place you would want to put seniors to be able to have programs or activities, and I believe that the activities are very limited because we're constantly getting complaints from the seniors about the lack there of services and programs that they're not being offered. So, what's being done particularly in that situation?

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I'm not familiar with that situation, Council Member, but I can follow up.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, and that's why it goes back to the broader issues of NYCHA being engaged, especially when it comes to the RAD/PACT conversions and the need for oversight, because they're not— they're not there, and that's something that I think NYCHA— we brought it to their attention, but it seems like nothing has been being done, and we're constantly— the seniors are losing

valuable time, and hopefully this conversation today will hopefully move the RAD/PACT converted management team or developers or management team to finally finish rehabbing that center so the seniors can get back in there, and also CAMBA can get back to the services that they're providing.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I know some of our facilities have critical infrastructure issues. So, we'll just follow up on the timeline to restore.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yeah, okay. Thank

you. I appreciate that. After a conversion, does

NYCHA monitor the OACs to ensure that the level of

service provided under RAD/PACT matches the level of

service provided when the facility was under Section

8?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, NYCHA doesn't monitor the OAC, but I think Aging will ensure that the providers are following through in terms of the program.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Why is it that you don't monitor the services?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: In terms of delivery of services? So if we have--

2.2

2.3

to advocate for the residents or the-- well, the

24

other developments, yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 71
2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Other developments,
3	but we would love I would definitely love to see
4	that, to see how that how that's informing you.
5	VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Absolute, we can
6	do we can do present that [inaudible] you.
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Look
8	forward to that information. How does NYCHA ensure
9	that older residents are aware of their rights
10	leading up to and during the conversion process?
11	VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Sure. So, we
12	have info sessions throughout and engage residents
13	and ensure all that information regarding their
14	rights
15	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Have you
16	attended any of those engagement sessions?
17	VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I have in not
18	recently, but in the past.
19	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How long ago?
20	VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: At least four
21	years.
22	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Four years.
23	VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I have a team
24	that does that.

72

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: The engagement sessions that I've actually been to for Boulevard, Linden, for the developments that have gone RAD/PACT in my district are not friendly to seniors, particularly-- and these particular ones that I've been to. What is being done to better those engagement -- you know, those engagement opportunities for NYCHA residents, particularly when it comes to seniors are-- coming-- I just-- some of these conversions took place during COVID and are taking place now as we speak.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, we do share information. Our team also may have office hours at the locations where residents, especially our older population who may not have access to internet requesting additional information, could go to our staff who's on the ground during the hours that we're there available to them to answer any questions regarding the conversion. We -- they also have access to legal aid if they choose to use that avenue.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, you know for sure that the-- when a senior has to sign a-- sign over

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 73
 1
    from a Section 9 lease to a Section 8 lease, there
 2
 3
    are services to assist those seniors?
 4
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Legal Aid is
 6
    available to help.
 7
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And they-- and what
 8
    are those particular organizations?
 9
10
               VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: There's Legal
    Aid.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Legal Aid is one.
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yeah, that's the
13
14
    one that we--
15
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] That's
16
    the only one.
17
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: And resident
18
    leaders can also bring other partners to support the
19
    residents.
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Resident leaders can
20
21
    bring on--
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing] If
2.2
23
    they need additional resources.
                CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. And can you
24
```

give me a scenario? [inaudible] development where

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 74 conversion is taking place where resident leaders are 2 3 given the opportunity to bring in other agents? VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: There have been 4 occasion where resident leaders may want to--5 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Do you 6 7 know any specific developments is the question. VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: retain an 8 9 I know this is happening currently at Riis attorney. 10 Houses. 11 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: At Riis, okay. 12 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yeah, we're 13 engaging and the residents, they want to have an 14 attorney representing. 15 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Community 16 engagement and education, what outreach does NYCHA 17 conduct to inform older residents about Older Adult 18 Centers, the services they offer? 19 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: so, again, I 20 previously spoke about our newsletter that we share. 21 We may table at a development, and we encourage the older adult provider to attend resident association 2.2 2.3 meetings, to share information on the programs that they're offering. In the Older Adult Centers, as 24

Ryan spoke to, some of our resident leaders are

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 75 playing key roles in the Advisory Board at the Older 2 3 Adult Centers--4 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] And 5 when--6 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: to get 7 information. 8 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And when 9 controversies arise, when it comes to repair issues, not being clear on documents that the senior may be 10 11 signing, what -- is that the -- is that something that 12 they would bring to the senior center or the Older 13 Adult Center? How does NYCHA step in, or where is 14 NYCHA at in these conversations, or when a situation 15 like that comes up? 16 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Are you talking 17 specifically about leases, or? 18 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yeah, when it--19 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Or anything? 20 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Correct, when a senior is not sure. I mean, multiple times I've got-21 - my office has received calls about re-2.2 2.3 certifications. You know, that's something that constantly takes place under the RAD/PACTs, which to 24

me doesn't make any sense. But when it comes too

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 76 recertifications, that's something that's constantly 2 3 being done, and you're constantly hearing seniors have to do recertifications when their incomes are 4 not changing. What is--5 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: They do have to 6 have to recertify. Our team, you know, as we spoke 7 8 earlier about the elderly Safe at Home program, we have that at about-- at 14 locations. They will support seniors--10 11 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Yeah. 12 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: completing those recertifications. We have trained--13 14 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] I hear 15 about that program a lot, but I just don't' see them-- I don't see them on the ground. So maybe you can--16 17 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing] I can share the list of--18 19 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] There's 20 a disconnect somewhere. VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Share the list 21 2.2 of developers where they are. We help to train our 2.3 CBO partners to assist with recertification. CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, the CBOs that's 24

25

overseeing the--

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 77

2 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]

3 The Older Adult Center.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: the Older Adult Center is trained. Okay.

 $\label{thm:president_business} \mbox{ VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes, we have } \\ \mbox{trained as requested, yes.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Maybe Council Chair, I could also speak to-- you brought the history about repairs. So, any time we're engaging in capital project, we're upgrading a center, we have our standard operating procedure which is for community engagement.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: So we're working both with the center operators with their CBO. We're working with our resident leadership and residents. So if there are seniors as part of that, we're working with them to scope that— to scope the project and make sure they're involved in the process of the repairs both in the design, so they actually have input about the needed repairs and upgrades, and also throughout the construction process with regular updates.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 78

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright. Does the

OAC service continue even when all residents have

signed onto the new PAC lease? It continues?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: The Older Adult Centers will continue to operate.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. When it comes to enforcement and transparency, Local Law 75 of 2021 created a liaison position for the New York City Aging to coordinate with NYCHA and make recommendations to New York City aging about how to better service NYCHA residents. Who is the current liaison or liaisons, and how has the liaisons been received by NYCHA residents, and what recommendations has the liaisons made to the NYCHA Aging Commissioner so far?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: so, the lead liaison is Marlene Shallow [sp?] and she also directed of older adults, senior director of older adult services at Aging, but I think I would ask Ryan to respond to these particular question.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: So, Council Member, I spoke to this a little bit earlier when we were talking about what is needed on the ground to have a thriving experience and not just the typical,

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 79 you know, kind of transactional. Like, this thing needs to be fixed or whatever. So, the liaison role was created to-- as a point of accountability. reality for us is we have 103 Older Adult Centers, and so our senior directors share the portfolio. One is designated as our liaison and spends a lot of time with our NYCHA partners here. We do have biweekly-so, practically speaking, we have biweekly meeting where issues that are escalated to us and need to be resolved between the parties, some of the day-to-day things. [inaudible] will literally go into tickets all day. I'm not on all the emails, but they're all happening right now--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: around emerging issues around—for particular sites. I'm sure the team is already looking into two of the cases that you flagged earlier during this session. So, the liaison is making sure that it's—think of it as project management in some way—across the different program officers. Our structure is such that we have our senior directors and we have our—we have Anya who oversees the entire older adult operation, and then we have a associate program

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 80 officer, program officer, and we have an operations infrastructure around that. That rolls up to me along with any -- if there are other issues that need to be integrated. For example, we had a hearing recently on the scams, right in the Aging Committee with the NYPD, the Public Safety Committee. for example, our team that is the-- they're called the Elder Justice Crime Resource Victims Group, for folks who are survivors of issues. Their job is to be-- take intake folks who have been victims of crime. One of the things that became important is to do more education on the ground at Older Adult Centers in general, and some targeted ones with our NYCHA facilities. So that's another example, thriving programs response to scams and other things. Those are two concrete ones that we put through our programs. But the liaison exists, and it's Marlene Shallow. CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How is the -- how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How is the-- how does-- what does that education look like? Are these workshops?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: sure. Yes, there are workshops that happen where folks go out. It might be an hour long workshop. It also is-- over

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 81 the last, the holiday period, if anybody follows NYC Aging, our social media had a ton of content in partnership with NYPD, educating around things from the jewelry scam to the grandparent scam where somebody's calling to say send me money now, because I'm in trouble. So there's a lot of education that happens, whether it's in social media where some older -- many older adults are, or it's proper use of social media tools and how not to get in trouble. But on the ground there are workshops, an hour 90 minutes. They're often done with the older adult liaison as well, and our team is directly doing that and we have provides whoa are in our portfolio who are also participants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright, thank you.

I'm going to conclude in a few minutes. And when it comes to policy improvement and future plans, what capital improvements are currently planned for the OACs on NYCHA campuses, and how long are these improvements expected to take, and what is needed to streamline these projects.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Thank you, Chair.

Those are great questions. So, we just completed

four OACs this past year in 2024 at a cost of roughly

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 82 \$1.7 million, and thank you to Council by in large who's provided the funding. We have another 15 projects that are in various stages of design, procurement and construction. That's a total of roughly \$26 million, and that constitutes roughly 25 percent of our overall community center portfolio. So that's a big piece of the portfolio. So it's a big focus for us, and like I shared before, it typically takes four to five years to complete these capital renovations. The challenges there are quite extensive. I think you outlined and some of the other Council Members outlined through their questions some of the challenges. We're often renovating places that have deferred maintenance over a long period of time.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: So, the renovations are very extensive. It constitutes in many cases exterior work. So, we're talking about roofs, windows, façade work, access, not to mention plumbing work which is extensive. In some cases, they're part of our buildings and so it connects to larger building infrastructure issues. In other cases they're separate, but as much as possible we try to

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 83 do the work without relocating these OACs, because we understand the impact of community, if we relocate So that means that the work is generally them. phased over a longer period of time. So, we're trying to work in sections to not displace the entire population there, and that means it does take us longer. The other challenges that we run into is at the tail end of work we have to close that out, and in some cases the changes to the use means that the certificate of occupancy needs to be updated and corrected. So we have to work with our other partner agencies in order to remedy that, and that sometimes can take more time than we would like.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Want to point it towards the two centers in my district, the Vandalia Senior Center which is one that is in disrepair, in bad need of— just a complete overhaul, and they have bathroom issues, plumbing issues that have been ongoing for years.

And that's one of the NYCHA developments that's not—it's only a senior development. So that particular senior center is a jewel to those seniors in that development. Also, the Rosetta Gaston Senior Center which is also a senior center there that is in bad

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 84 disrepair, and also the Pink Houses Senior Center. 2 3 The first two centers I mentioned are only senior-4 only centers that are only occupied by seniors. 5 Pink Houses Community Center is actually shared by two different program, the senior program and I 6 7 believe CAMBA the youth program. What is being done? 8 Are they in the pipeline? Are they going to get any relief, any help or assistance on the capital side? It seems like, you know, they're being left out there 10 11 to starve. Good programs. Fort Greene Senior 12 Services does an amazing job and Millennium 13 Development does an amazing job, and the Wayside [sic] does an okay-- pretty good, decent job. 14 15 what is being done on the capital side? Are they in 16 the pipeline? Will they ever see the day of light? 17 Will they ever? 18 DYLAN BAKER-RICE: So, we can come back 19 to you on the particulars for those, but currently 20 the funding we have is the funding that -- that's the 21 pipeline. So that's the challenge we run into. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right. 2.3 DYLAN BAKER-RICE: You know, the priority

for funding really is towards the residents in the

buildings and building infrastructure. So, most of

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 85

the funding on the capital side for upgrades has come
through discretionary funding.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright. Well, I'm hoping in this budget we can work together on those particular centers. Madam Chair, thank you, and I'll come back.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: thank you, Chair
Banks. I'd like to share that we've also been joined
by Council Members Mealy and Bottcher, and I'm going
to go to our colleagues for questions, and I'll wrap
up. So, starting with Council Member Brewer followed
by Avilés.

much. Just on the RAD/PACT, it's my understanding that some of the initial discussion are virtual, and those seniors can't turn on that damn computer. So, can we cut the virtual and just do it in-person. They cannot do the virtual. How are we going to do that?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, we do-Council Member, we also have--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] And we like you, Ukah, just so you know. We like you a lot.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

23

24

25

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We do have inperson meetings as well, and what we-- whatever we're
discussing we deliver that package of all the
information to the resident door and serve--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I

know all that. I'm just saying -- I'm being honest. You got to really. They can't read it. They need to understand it. It's got to be in-person. You really do, because it's not fair to them. And I wonder just, you know, in curiosity, as you are converting do you know how many-- most of your buildings even though they're family buildings, you know, in the general sense, not a family building, are senior buildings. There are so many seniors living in your NYCHA buildings right now, as you know. So, when you're converting you've got a lot of seniors who are a part of this process. They are just-- they cannot turn it on. It just doesn't work. So, I would say please -- really, it's not fair to them. begging you to use that opportunity to do it inperson.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: We will, and-COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I'm
not going to mention buildings. You know which ones

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 87
2	I'm talking about, and you got Cynthia who's going to
3	be all over you if you don't do it. Number two is
4	scratch cooking. I am not a plan of plant-based
5	because it's processed food half the time. I can't
6	stand it. So, do you know in your senior where you
7	have your senior programs, how many of them are
8	scratch cooking? Plant-based was horrible, but it's
9	even worse if it's not scratch cooked.
10	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: We I
11	don't have an exact number. We do we really value
12	that, and actually we have one of the programs, Lenox
13	Hill, actually
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
15	That's the only one that's high-quality. That's it.
16	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: I think
17	there's more, but they do training actually, and so
18	we work with them to get
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I
20	know. I know.
21	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: training
22	for other programs.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know all that.
24	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: But our-

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 88
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
3	Could you get us could you get us could you get
4	that information to us, how many
5	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
6	[interposing] How many are scratch cooking?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: of the senior
8	programs
9	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
10	[interposing] Sure.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Lenox Hill is the
12	gold seal, but it kind of goes downhill from there.
13	I've been to all the meetings.
14	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: I do
15	want to share we don't allow processed meats because
16	that is concern with plant-based.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Not just meats,
18	other kinds of stuff
19	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
20	[interposing] We're not
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
22	coming out of cans.
23	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Sorry, I
24	mean, for plant-based. It's not it cannot be
25	processed

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, and--3 because the mental health issues could be handled 4 that way. In other words, many of them have found and been able to address mental health issues. So, my-- I'm just wondering how many more do you think 6 7 should be in your programs? Because there are lots 8 of programs in the world. NORC is phenomenal. And then having been there, seen that, done that with mental health, it's frightening how many mental 10 11 health challenges exists and are addressed 12 wonderfully by the NORCs. So, do you-- like, do you 13 have plans to do more? What's the status, etcetera? 14 Because you talk about mental health, just put a NORC 15 in, it's addressed.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Well, thank you. That's a very-- that's very kind. I think--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] It's not kind, it just-- it's like how it works. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: No, I was going to say, I think back to what Ryan was saying earlier about planning for Older Adult Centers. So we actually, the RFP that we did last

- time and that we-- and when we bring new contracts.

  When we re-RFP, we do always see [inaudible] and

  NORCs together. So,-- because they are more or less
  similar programs. So, as we plan for that, we are
  looking at statistics of where older adults are
  needed, where-- you know, we-- our goal is to
  obviously have as many programs to reach as many
  older adults as possible. So we are in the planning
  phases of seeing what the needs are. So we don't
  have that number right now, but we are looking into
  it.
- COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: what's the timing on that for more NORC potentially?
- ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: That would be in the next RFP, but I don't think we have a-- we don't have a timeline just yet.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I think the answer I can give you is that our planning process and looking at the older adult survey and all the things we just did, this year I think will be a year for us to-- Council, us, everybody to have real good conversations about what is needed for the future of older adult services, period.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 92

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Which would

2.2

2.3

include NORCs.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I would just [inaudible] ones and do the NORCs.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Heard.

mean, it works. So, why try something else if they work? Finally, just on database and tracking, so obviously some buildings have— we know them. You know which ones they are. They got the heat. They got the heat problems, the elevator problems, etcetera in the senior buildings, particularly. So, how do you— do you track those? Do you focus on them, prioritize them? I call, you know, 24 hours a day, seven days a week for Ukah and everybody else. But in other words, how are you— are they improving these statistics on the heat, the elevator and the grab bars? Grab bars take a month apparently to get. Go ahead.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Sure. So, in terms of elevator outages, if someone has to call in the ticket, the ticket agent will self-confirm if it's an older adult, especially if they have an impairment or they're in a wheelchair waiting for the

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        93
     elevator to be restored. So, those would be
 2
 3
    prioritized for -- and it's, you know, if it's off-
 4
    hours it's also flagged for the emergency response
 5
     team, and we'll address it--
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing] I
 6
 7
     know, but then long-term, it's going to break down
     again. So, is that elevator prioritized for more
 8
     repairs? Because it is a senior building.
10
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, again, if it
11
     comes -- we have to replace the elevator, that's a
12
     long-term capital project.
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And how about the
14
    heat?
15
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Heat outages,
16
     again, if it's called in as a ticket--
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
18
     Okay.
19
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: if it's an older
20
     adult we will--
21
                COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [interposing]
     Okay. And how long do grab bars take to be
2.2
2.3
     installed?
                VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: It does--
24
```

probably take a month like you said. It's a

committee on aging with committee on public housing 94 reasonable accommodation request, and it's addressed by our property management as they receive the work order.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, alright.

Thank you. I do think that you guys are working hard, programs are good. It's the buildings that are collapsing around them. That's the problem, not the great programs. So, I don't know if you got to—it's got to be fixed somehow. Alright, thank you.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Council Member Brewer. Council Member Avilés?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you,

Chairs. Thank you for your work and certainly your

testimony. I'm sorry if I'm asking a question you

already answered as I got here after the hearing

started, but— and I feel like I should know this

answer, but I do not. Does NYCHA keep track of the

older adult population across its development?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes, we have data on our older adult population.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So, how much of the older adult populations are being served by these centers?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I think the

numbers-- Ryan, if you could talk about the numbers.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Earlier, I talked about 14,000, 12,000, 12,686 NYCHA residents who are being served by the centers. I contrasted that with the number of individuals who actually go to the centers, because they're hubs, yes, for residents, but they're hubs for the community more broadly, and there's some 42,000 individuals who are served by those centers.

adults does NYCHA house currently? So, I'm trying to get a sense of-- are we serving five percent, 10 percent, 15 percent? Because my center doesn't allow older adult non-members, and it's for older adults. Like, the youngins [sic] are not coming to the senior center to hang out.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, there are 76,042 seniors ages 62 and over, and 64,710 seniors 65 and older at NYCHA. They represent about 25 to 22 percent of the population, respectively.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Got it. So, 25 percent potentially being served by-- and others.

Because I'm sure the centers are very different. So

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 96 we have a long way to go. How do we-- how do we assess? Like, I represent the community of Red Hook. I would love to know how many older adults we have in Red Hook and the mechanism to be able to touch base with them, because we know a good number of seniors, certainly after the pandemic, never returned to the centers and are still -- some in instances homebound, some instances just kind of changed. So, I'd love to know like what other outreach mechanism -- I mean, not outreach mechanisms, but what other efforts are being made to make sure that we meet a certain metric of being able to get beyond kind of a baseline support if that makes any sense. So, how does NYCHA assess, or is there a metric let's say per development that NYCHA's looking at in terms of how many touches it has with older adults?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: so, we have several programs that may touch households. We don't have a mechanism per say to capture all the touch points with NYCHA, with residents. We're building towards that. We are in the process of establishing a CRMS [sic] system. Overall, hauling all of NYCHA's systems into one, and it could—eventually we can run a report where we have all the touch points with

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 97

NYCHA residents. We're right-- specifically, we can

pull the data on the number of households that have a

senior and then share that with you. We proactively

work with all the CBO stakeholders on the grounds, so

provide services to the residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah. I'll wrap up, Chairs. Yeah, I'd like-- I'm going to follow up with you offline, particularly in Red Hook, because we love our Older Adult Center that's there, but we know it is literally scratching the surface, quite literally. And there's so many other adults that are not connected, and that center does not have the capacity to do canvasing and outreach. I've never seen anyone doing canvasing and outreach for these kinds of services. So, I'd love to dig in there more. Just really quickly, in speaking of Red Hook and that Older Adult Center. We're in a current situation when NYCHA's decided to pull out the gates that were surrounding the center. We have been on calls with the Department of Aging with DFTA and NYCHA, and now we are in a ping-pong because each agency thinks the other is responsible for this capital that needs to be done. And--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]

2.2

2.3

Happy to report, Council Member. Don't mean to interrupt you. We have resolved that. We will be putting up the fences and working on the roll-down gates. I think the first one was installed again,

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: [interposing]

Great, because--

 $\label{theorem} \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: and addressing the doors. \end{tabular}}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I can't get the gates down and so the seniors and staff, it's impossible. So I'm delighted to hear that we have resolved it. I thank you for that. It's not something you hear often, so I'll take it on that note, and I'll follow up with you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

I'm going to ask a few questions on behalf of Council

Member Sanchez who's with us online, but

unfortunately can't ask the questions because we

don't have quorum in-person. So I'll start with a

couple and then follow up. What specific actions

have been taken since the closure of Rain Bailey OAC

in 2019 to address the flooding, mold, and asbestos

issues?

they all shut down, or do they remain? Like, do they

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 100 receive the same upgrades that the residential units receive? Like what does that process look like as far as OACs are concerned?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: So, if-- when the center is converted, it remains open with the same provider. We don't swap them out. Aging continues to fund that provider to operate the program. If there are infrastructure issues, then we have to close for renovation, then we will, and the developer ill address those repairs. Yeah, similar to the apartments.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Are there any projected timelines for completing the necessary remediation and repairs to make this facility safe and operational again?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I don't have that timeline, but we can get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. What are the current barriers to setting such a timeline?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Funding and we're waiting for it to be converted, if it's the Fort Independence. I just need to confirm the site. So, I can get back to you on that one.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 101

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, do you think you might be able to get back to me before we leave today, or?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Hold on.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What I don't

understand is the -- initially with the early community engagement with a lot of the RAD/PACTs, there were timelines given when the rehabs were being done on the apartments, especially for those developments that did like gut rehabs or in-place rehabs, and I know there were some developments that got -- were gutted, completely gutted, and there were some developments that did in-place rehabs. timeline was given for the apartments. Why wasn't there a timeline given for the community centers? And also, even-- I know in Boulevard Houses we had a situation where the Boulevard Nursey which was around since over 60 years was shut down and they were basically-- they were in jeopardy of losing their licensing. We had to fight hard with the administration just to get them back open, and it was-- it wasn't to their own doing. It was because the center needed-- was in bad disrepair. So why

isn't there a timeline set and pressure put on these management companies to do the rehab and move it, you know, expeditiously knowing that we're dealing with a population of seniors or older adults who, you know, when you move them and you take them out of familiar places it can be a death sentence for them. I mean—

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]
Well, Council Member, I don't have those timelines or
information, but the Red [sic] Team [sic], our real
estate development team will provide those responses.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I hope so one day.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Just continuing with Council Member Sanchez's line of questioning. I want to be little bit more specific.

So NYC Aging said that there was an RFP coming for this particular site, and Council Member Sanchez was told the following criteria would need to be met by centers. The center must show a daily attendance of at least 75 daily attendees. This attendance must be demonstrated historically over a two-year period and with insufficient lunch funds and higher rent, the center attendance numbers will plummet over time, and given that this site Rain Bailey has been closed due to building conditions since 2019, they will

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 103 obviously be unable to meet these criteria. Would you consider them anyway given the circumstances for the RFP?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: If there's an RFP coming up, we would lay the criteria out. Earlier I made reference to allowing for sites of various sizes and participation. That would be one of the things that would be laid out in the RFP for folks to propose to. I don't want to get ahead of the actual criteria because there's not an RFP and mislead anyone, but in the last RFP, for example, we allowed for various sizes of programs based on geography and what they proposed. So, not sure where that specific feedback may have come from, but happy to speak to the Rain folks yet again, and walk through what the RFP process is and how we approach it.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. I think the general concern obviously is that, you know, if the center is closed and they have all of these, you know, rehabs that need to be done on the physical space, then they're presumably not going to be able to meet the criteria, and we wouldn't want that to preclude them from— especially if there will be funding coming we don't want to, you know, leave them

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 104 out and keep this community without the services for much longer.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Of course, and I just want to add in the last RFP that there were new sites that opened, so they didn't have historical data on how many people attended.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right, okay.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: What they do is predict and explain why—— you know, how they—— there's an older—— you know, there's a senior building there and that serves—— or that, you know, so many people live in. So they could provide information like that. That's—— we definitely—— I cannot imagine we would ever not allow them to apply because they didn't exist previously or were closed for a long time.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. And in general, what steps are taken to ensure that older adults in the community of these closed centers have access to alternative resources or temporary accommodations specifically with regards to food. The Council Member is telling me that people are going hungry now. They're getting turned away and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 105 they depend on the Rain Bailey and Tolentine food.

So, what can be done?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Sure. So I do believe that Baily is actually a discretionary site. we will-- I can-- will doublecheck that, but in general when a center has to relocate for any reason or close, we always look at what are the nearest centers and make sure that the programs are sharing that information so they know what's available around them, whether that's the same sponsor or different, it doesn't matter. goal is always that older adults have meals, right? That's a primary service that we offer at Older Adult Centers. As much as we can we work with them to either send older adults nearby or find a temporary location like the Fort Greene site. Sometimes it's not ideal, but we do our best to ensure that they can provide services in one way or another. If-- we will also work with programs to provide transportation as much as possible. So, some programs have transportation funded through their Older Adult Centers, so that's one option. If not, we will work with our stand alone transportation providers to also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 106 provide that as an option for older adults who maybe can't get-- you know, it depends how close the-
CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Yeah.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: other centers might be, or where-- if there's a possible relocation site.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. If NYC Aging had the necessary funds, would it fulfill the needs of the center, the physical site needs of this center in terms of--

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: In the NYCHA site? I think we would work together to determine what— which parts would be our responsibility and which— there's a lot of different things, right? The facilities, like roofs and things usually NYCHA, but sometimes there's stoves or different— you know, depending on— there's kitchen things internal—

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Well, with this I think it's flooding, mold, and asbestos. So, if--

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: That's NYCHA.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: It's all NYCHA,

3

okay. I guess is there a possibility or -- to

4

spaces of the OACs? Because it seems like if NYC

revisit, perhaps, the agreement in the physical

6

Aging was able to get the funding to make some of

7

these capital improvements and much-needed upgrades,

8

it might be a faster process than having to wait on

the money from NYCHA and going through the typical

10

NYCHA process. so I'm just wondering, you know, if

11

that's something that you all would be open to,

12

number one, and then number two, if then NYC Aging

13

had the funding, would it then be willing to invest

in some of these NYCHA properties if, you know, the

14

agreements perhaps were changed or adjusted?

16

15

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Bottom line

for us is we want quality centers that are great to

17

18

go to. How we get there, if there's the money

19

available, happy to figure out the best way to get it

20

to the ground and have things be addressed.

21

2.2 is a NYCHA facility, and therefore, something that

2.3

might seem faster for us to do, we still might need

challenge we always will think about together is it

24

to do a significant amount of coordination with NYCHA

25

and there might be many-- I'm looking at the team

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 108 here— many other things that need to be addressed. So, if it's a funding mechanics and there are pathways for that to actually make all the centers amazing, I'm happy to have that conversation. That's the short answer. How that actually plays out in terms of, you know, executing, I think that's been the place where we spend time talking a lot.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Fair enough.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Maybe just add to that. I mean, that's the strength of the partnership. For us it's really how can we maximize investment and how can we do or execute projects as quickly as possible. So, we're certainly open to other avenues, but as much as possible, we do try to expedite for the reasons we shared before. You know, we have to work with the centers, and so if there's additional funding, we'd love to work in partnership. But as shared, you know, many of these are in existing residential buildings so there are infrastructural issues that connect to the broader buildings which make them necessarily complex from a coordination perspective.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Are all OACs on NYCHA campuses ADA compliant and easily accessible

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 109 for residents with mobility or other physical challenges?

NYCHA campuses are not all ADA accessible. But whenever we can conduct renovation or capital upgrade, that's always within our base scope of work. so that's a big focus for us is making sure we have funding in place for those residents so that anyone that has mobility impairments is able to access the centers. Typically, we upgrade the ramps or entrances to the buildings. We're also looking at upgrades for accessible bathrooms, facilities, and we'll make sure that there's an adequate turnaround radius, that the fixtures meet ADA compliance, and then some of our centers are more than one story so in those we would advocate for additional funding for a dedicated lift or for upgrades to our elevators.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: What number percentage proportion of the total OACs in NYCHA facilities are ADA accessible?

 $\label{eq:definition} {\tt DYLAN~BAKER-RICE:} \quad {\tt I'd~have~to~get~back}$  to you with a number for that.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

2.2

2.3

2 DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Any-- as I said, if

3 we're conducting a full upgrade, then we're adding

4 ADA accessibility.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right. I'd just like to know if it's like half of them.

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Or [inaudible]

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: I understand.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thanks. What resources are needed to make all facilities accessible? Is there a number?

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: No, there isn't a number. It's really a case-by-case basis. So, any time we're working on the scoping we want to look at the existing conditions. We want to look at access and ability to get equipment into the space as a prime consideration. So, you know, that can vary from a relatively small dollar to a significant investment, particularly if we're talking about a lift for two stories.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Has there been any progress since the last hearing in November 2023 to your knowledge? So, like, has the number of

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 111

accessible OACs in NYCHA facilities increased since

November of 2023?

2.2

2.3

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: I'd have to get back to you, but yes, for the projects that we've completed, we've added accessibility for those. So we have increased the numbers since 23.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you.

Can you describe the process that OAC staff must go
through to report a facility or maintenance issue in
a NYCHA facility?

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: The OAC staff will call in a ticket. So, our CCC [sic] and given a number with an appointment date, and if the property management will address those repairs. If for any reason the repair is not addressed on the appointment date, then they can escalating it to Aging who meets biweekly with our Office of Public Private

Partnership staff and we will address it with the property management, and if necessary, bump it up to our neighborhood administrator and VP.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: That's sounds--sounds like a long process.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Usually it's handled at the property management level.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 112

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

3 VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Once we escalate

4 it.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. What determines whether a NYCHA facility-related complaint is logged in the Older Adult Centers Metrics Report as required by Local Law, and are outstanding complaints logged or only resolved complaints and issues?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: So, the ones that are escalated, there's tons of tickets I think-- I'm assuming-- that go in, and many are resolved. So we don't-- we wouldn't log those. However, the ones that are brought to us for escalation are the ones we log and whether they're resolved or not. We would keep-- we have a log of those.

OAC staff have reported that they sometimes can spend hours navigating facility requests and are often unsure of which agency is responsible for handling a particular facility issue. Other staff have reported that NYC Aging and NYCHA engage in finger-pointing, seeking to assign responsibility for a repair request to the other agency. Do NYC Aging and NYCHA provide

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 113 clear instructions to OAC staff on which kinds of repair or facility requests are handled by each respective agency?

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: I'd like to think so. Of course, it's-- you know, there-it's not always black and white, but generally speaking I think we've been pretty clear. Things like HVACs, Aging has been handling things that are usually larger facility issues, NYCHA. I think what's happened in the past is there's some property management that have done-- gone above and beyond for things that normally the program handles. And so when then they're told they-- you know, when it becomes their issues I think that's sometimes a challenge, but it's-- generally speaking, we are pretty clear I think on what the program or Aging handles versus NYCHA.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Are written

materials provided to OACs on how to request

assistance with facility issues, and which agencies

should be contacted for which kinds of issues?

Anything in writing?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: No, that's a good question. I think generally speaking

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 114 anything NYCHA, they are told to— they should go to NYCHA, and that's usually a discussion between property management and the director. They have a relationship as well. We do have regular meetings with our directors.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How regular?

month I host a meeting, and so as things come up we will address it and take questions. We can always revisit the process. you know, obviously, staff changes over, but mostly I would say I think people are pretty clear that they can escalate issues to us as needed, but usually the facility issue goes to NYCHA, and I think NYCHA will let them know if it's not something that the— that they're responsible for. Again, sometimes it's— the program is responsible, but that's not always clear. So, we can look into or discuss a way to share that information clearly.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Do you have any mechanisms in place to ensure accountability on regular oversight of these OAC operations?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Oh, yes. We do extensive assessments. That's something--

we're always looking at how do we also improve, but yes, we go out twice a year. Our program officers go twice, and then our nutritionists—nutrition advisors go twice and assess the premises. They also look at records. I mean, they look at everything that's in our standards. So it's a pretty lengthy process. They also provide technical assistance so that the programs are not surprised by anything on the assessments. They should be very, you know, clear what's expected, and so that hopefully they can do well on the assessments.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And what about emergency support that might be needed for vulnerable older residents, how do you coordinate the two agencies? Like if somebody shows up at a OAC and then, you know, they need some type of-- whether it's in their unit or, you know.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Oh, sorry. Yeah, of course. So then in that case, usually the program staff always assists clients.

They don't-- you know, if they don't know they will call some-- they'll call NYCHA, but usually a lot of times our-- the staff at NYCHA sites will help the residents make tickets if they're unable to do that

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 116 or help coordinate. That's a lot of the work that they do.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, just thinking about, you know, folks that have like medical conditions and then the--

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: [interposing] Of course.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: you know. The

Department, you know, repairs that are needed might

have a direct impact on their health needs and all

that. Maybe they're going to the Older Adult Center,

you know, for help and assistance.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Or even respite from their place. And so just wondering what that relationship is like.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah, no, they're—— I think they're wonderful advocates honestly. I think the directors and the staff on the ground will escalate to NYCHA, and of course, they can—— even though our role together is mainly the Older Adult Center, if there is a serious issue with a client we can, of course, work with NYCHA and let them—— make sure they're aware.

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 117 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: okay. How many OACs 2 3 located on NYCHA property are currently in operation? And do you have the breakdown by borough? 4 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah. So we have 106 right now throughout the five boroughs, 6 7 27 in the Bronx, 34 in Brooklyn, 31 in Manhattan, nine in Queens, and five in Staten Island. 8 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: 27 in the Bronx, 24 in Brooklyn. 10 11 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: 31 in Manhattan, nine in Queens, and five Staten Island. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you. Do 14 you know if this number has increased or decreased 15 over the past five and 10 years? 16 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: 17 trying to remember when the last RFP was. What year--18 I mean, it could have changed with the RFP, but 19 generally--20 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Are we 21 trending up, trending down? 2.2 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: 2.3 RFP I believe new sites opened. I don't know we exactly how many were NYCHA sites, but we have 24

opened -- with the last RFP new sites were opened.

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: And we would have that. I just don't have that right here.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. It would be great if you could follow up with that.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And then what kind of assessment if any does NYC Aging undertake to determine whether a NYCHA location might be a good candidate for an OAC?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: You mean during an RFP process?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I think it relates to the RFP criteria overall which is, you know, you've got to show that you've got a population, you've got the outreach, you've got the partnership of the TA President and you've got a people you can work with, you know, possible site control and all the other things. And in terms of quality we pretty much lay out what we expect in terms of a program and what's required in the RFP, the standards for operating a program are attached to the RFPs typically, and it would lay out like what do

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 119
we mean about food preparation, whether or not
they're preparing it or they're catering it? So, our
evaluators look at all that information whether it's
NYCHA or another site and we'll make a decision
based-- we'll score proposals based on what they
submit.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you.

How many older adults are served by OACs located on

NYCHA property? I think you mentioned that number.

How many of these older adults typically partake in

meal services and mental health counseling?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Have to get back to you on how many are utilizing those services specifically. I do want to just add-- so, well, to your first question. The number we gave is 12,686. It is an optional question about to disclose whether it is NYCHA sites. So, this could be under-representing how many are actually NYCHA residents, but we-- we would know-- we know how many participants eat meals and how many use the mental health services, but we can get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, and is this 12,686 number unique individuals?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 120

2 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What is the current ratio of staff to OAC members at OACs located on NYCHA campuses?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: So, that can vary from site to site. Generally speaking, there's always a director level. Every program has different titles as well, but generally we-- there's usually somebody who oversees the program and usually there's also a program assistant or a assistant director, something of that sort. If meals are served, they might have -- or if they cook, of course, there would be at least one cook. Some larger programs will have an assistant cook or other -- or kitchen aide. There's almost-- I think every center has a custodian either fulltime or part-time, and then larger programs might have more staff doing programming. Some will use consultants. So, the way that they structure the programs are little different, but usually there's at minimum there's at least two people kind of running the program.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And how many facility-related complaints were filed by Older Adult Centers and NYCHA buildings over the past year? And do you know how many complaints were resolved by

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 121
2	Aging versus NYCHA? Like your you know, you're
3	saying you do this part. These are the repairs. You
4	do those repairs. So, how many facility-related
5	complaints were filed, and then how many were
6	resolved by Aging
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: [interposing]
8	We'll have to get back to you with that concrete
9	number.
10	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Do you have
11	any sense of the number of outstanding complaints
12	there are as of today?
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: What I can
14	tell you is the ones that are escalated to the team
15	that we talked about that works
16	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Right.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: on a biweekly
18	basis, there are about 15 critical
19	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing]
20	Fifteen?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Fifteen
22	issues that are being worked through. That obviously
23	doesn't
24	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] And so-
25	_

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
 1
                                                        122
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: account for
 2
 3
     like all the ones that are in the broader pipeline--
 4
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Yeah.
 5
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: other things.
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: The 15 elevated, are
 6
 7
    they at 15 different sites, or they're a few--
 8
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: [interposing]
 9
     They're 15 different sites.
10
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Fifteen different
11
     sites.
12
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Yeah.
13
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Can you get us the
14
     facility list?
15
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Which facilities
16
17
     are-- and do you know the nature, the general nature
18
     of these complaints?
19
                ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
                                                  T think
20
    that they vary. Every site has different issues, so
    but leaks are one we see a lot. I think sometimes
21
2.2
    plumbing issues. I think those are two of the most
2.3
    typical.
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Is it true
24
```

that NYC Aging has \$4 million in expense funds

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 123 available for non-capitally-eligible improvements divided between cornerstones at DYCD and OACs?

that the Council worked with us to have \$4 million allocated to repairs. What we have accounted for, there's \$2 million that was already spent. The nature of the things that we spent it on— you heard Anya earlier talk about we prioritize things like HVAC, especially since we operate cooling centers. During the summer that's a critical operation for us, and then there's \$2 million that is allocated to a variety of things. I'll have to get back to you things that are in the pipeline. So, that's where the \$4 million is right now.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Okay. Hold on one second. Okay, apologies. At a hearing before the Committee on Aging in April 2024, NYC Aging Commissioner Cortez Vasquez testified that one of the goals borne out of the Cabinet for Older New Yorkers is to create an intergenerational team at each NYCHA facility to support community building. Do you know of the progress that's been made towards this goal, if any?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 124

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Yeah, so we-

3 - I can probably follow up with you on the

1

25

4 intergenerational programs that we've put in place.

We gave an example earlier of My New York Story where

6 there are about 100 young people participating in the

7 program with older adults. So that's a very specific

8 step in the right direction. I think it's a vision

9 for us to have intergenerational program everywhere,

10 | right? In-- not just in NYCHA, but in all of our

11 programs, and that exists to different extents. But

12 | I can get back to you around which developments were

13 | involved, but I think Chair, that is-- the goal is to

14 have as much intergenerational programming

15 | everywhere, NYCHA, public schools, and all over.

16 | That's our default approach and that's I think the

17 | spirit of what the Commissioner was getting at.

18 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, great. And

19 | sorry, I want to acknowledge that we've also been

20 | joined by Council Member Schulman. In your testimony

21 you said that some issues may be addressed later when

22 | they do not impede the safe functioning of the center

23 such as repainting needs or light replacement. I

24  $\parallel$  would think that light replacements would impede safe

functioning of a site, especially when you're talking

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 125 about older adults not being able to clearly see 2 3 where they're going, especially if they mobility 4 impairments or use any type of assistance. Can you maybe just address that or maybe commit to ensuring 5 that light--6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: [interposing] 8 Sure. 9 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: replacements are prioritized in the future? 10 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: And Council 11 12 Member, I think the spirit of that is also to indicate like, you know, there's sometimes -- I get a 13 14 lot of notes on these kind of things. The changing 15 of the type of light, right, to be more up to what is 16 appropriate in buildings now. Like, the light might be there, but you might need to swap it out for--17 18 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] I see. 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: something 20 else. That's the spirit of it. 21 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. 2.2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: It may also 2.3 be in an area where older adults may not frequent. So, yes, of course, lighting is important to feeling 24

safe, seeing what's around you, and where there are

those cases that obviously is a priority, but I think the spirit is there are some things that are like change the lights to make it better, and I don't want to get the wrong lighting. I don't want to state on record the wrong fluorescent lighting that is appropriate right now, but swapping those out would be something that is important, but not in critical today.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. I appreciate the clarification. I'm going to turn it back to Council Member Banks, Chair Banks.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Chair
Hudson. Can-- and this is for NYCHA. Can you
confirm that NYCHA's Asset and Capital Management
Division portfolio includes capital projects for
community centers and the ground enhancements?

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: Yes, we can confirm, it does include community centers and ground enhancements.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And how much of that funding went towards the Older Adult Centers in 2024?

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: In 2024, \$1.7 million

had gone toward the OACs specifically.

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And how much funding has been allocated to the Older Adult Centers on NYCHA property in the current fiscal year, and how does that compare to previous years?

DYLAN BAKER-RICE: We have \$26 million currently. That's spread over, obviously the capital project, the life of the capital project, but that's the extent of funding that we currently have.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Just want to circle back on to some of the RAD developments, particularly in-- and I guess this may be on the service side, the social service side of the CBO that's the sponsor of the senior center, or the Older Adult Center. When a senior refuses to sign over to a Section 8 lease -- and I know of situations where seniors have refused to sign and have been intimidated to-- or forced over to have to sign this particular Section 8 lease. What is being done to assist those seniors in a matter like that where a senior is afraid to leave their apartment or attend the senior center, the Older Adult Center, because of this fear that exists, and they're being threatened, you know, that their tenancy is in jeopardy? What's being done to assist those seniors? You're not-- or

committee on aging with committee on public housing 128 maybe you may not be aware of any scenarios like that, because you seem confused.

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: I'm aware of scenarios where residents are refusing to sign the section.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Particularly seniors,} \\$  that's what I'm talking about, older adults.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: Again, we have Legal Aid that support the residents if they needed clarification on why they should sign the leases.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. So, okay.

That's-- so then on the social service side, when a senior, or older adult comes to a senior center and you're made aware through the case notes that you're doing in the senior center, what is done? What steps are taken? And what-- is there any communication with NYCHA?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah, so just generally speaking, any time a client comes in and needs help with housing, right, we will-- the staff will assist them either to put them in contact with the right people, or even offer--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] No, I'm talking about particularly when it comes to a

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 129
RAD/PACT converted development, and when it comes to
a senior being confused or in fear of signing over to
a Section 8 lease.

2.2

2.3

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: So, we can— we can also refer them to legal services. I don't think our staff is qualified to advise on something like that. However, they can help them through the process, make the proper referrals, and you know, kind of assist them with it, but they wouldn't be knowledgeable enough I think to walk them through or to— you know—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Is it escalated to NYCHA?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Oh, yes. I think-- yes. I mean, theoretically they should escalate it to NYCHA or to us. It has not come to my attention that that's been an issue that we're seeing at the centers. I'm not saying it's not. It just has not been raised to this level. I do believe--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] I have a couple of--

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

[interposing] I'm sure. I'm not denying that it's happening. It's just that its' not been an issue

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 130 that's been raised to New York City Aging as a concern that they need advice on. So, my assumption that they are--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] It should be a major concern if it's preventing them-ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

[interposing] Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: because of that fear of losing their apartment. They're not partaking in senior programming.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

Absolutely. We can only know when the clients come to the staff, to the program to say something. A lot of programs do out reach. Of course if somebody's a regular member and they're not coming anymore—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Right.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: I think a lot of-- it's not a requirement. We don't tell them how to run their programs, but I think many will do that. You know, obviously there's a concern if somebody stops coming. And if that's true, I believe it's being handled at the center level, but it is something we can, you know,--

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 131
2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Yeah,
3	yeah. Obviously, it needs to be paid attention to.
4	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yeah,
5	absolutely.
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Especially when you
7	have seniors who rely on
8	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
9	[interposing] Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: the breakfast, the
11	lunch
12	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
13	[interposing] Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: and the supper
15	ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:
16	[interposing] We don't want anyone not coming.
17	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: provided from the
18	center and they're not being able to do that because
19	of this fear, this frustration that their tenancy is
20	in jeopardy. They're afraid I know a situation
21	where senior's afraid to go to other door, and she
22	used to be an active member at a particular center,
23	and she just stopped because she refuses to sign a

Section 8 lease. That's--

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: [interposing]

3

24

25

Council Member, I don't if you want to share that

4 information, we can reach out.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We will share that

senior situation with you. When it comes to the 6

7 centers that are being rehabbed under RAD/PACT

8 development, are there inspections that are done by

NYCHA? When those senior- when the older adults,

when they're moved to another location, is there an 10

11 inspection being done of that particular location to

12 make sure that it meets the -- it qualifies? And

13 who's is that done by? Is that Department of Aging.

14 Is this collaboration with NYCHA? And these are--

15 this can be a center that I particular -- one

16 particular scenario, this may be a discretionary

17 funded center, probably just became a discretionary-

18 funded center. And I-- to be more pointed, it's

19 actually the Boulevard Center. And I think y'all are

20 aware where of the -- the situation at that particular

center is in, but the location -- I think I had 21

2.2 mentioned this earlier. The apartment that they're

2.3 in is-- it's not a place where they should be at.

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

that's something that we would do. I wasn't sure if

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 1 133 you were referring to the apartments or the Older 2 3 Adult Center. If the Older Adult Center is 4 relocation, we do have staff go out to assess, 5 especially for meals. There ear a lot of requirements to serve meals. So our staff will 6 7 assist the program to make sure that they meet--8 they're in compliance so that they can continue meal service. I believe I'm familiar the one that you're talking about. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, you would approve of them being placed in like a two-bedroom apartment? 12 13 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: sometimes it's hard-- space is hard to come by in New 14 15 York City, and so we-- no, of course, I'm sorry. 16 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I'm aware of that. 17 ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: But we 18 do the best we can. So, the programs -- it's better. 19 Sometimes that's a better option than closing the 20 program altogether. So, of course, if NYCHA has 21 alternate space, and some cases that's been an option 2.2 where they'll move to those sites. In this case, the 2.3 program approach us with option, and so because it is

temporary, it's not something we would normally want

an Older Adult Center to be like, but it's a better

24

committee on aging with committee on public Housing 134 solution temporarily than closing it. That's the way we see it, and a way to continue to have some service rather than moving them too far away from where they are, too.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I get the need for continuity of services being be provide, but you know, putting them in a two-bedroom apartment--

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Where, you know,
little sunlight. It seems— they're distant away
from where their old location used to be. The numbers
have obviously dropped, and obviously that particular
center was dealing with numbers in the— you know,
from the beginning. You know, we go to do better, and
that to me is something hopefully that we can— we
don't repeat that, because I do know that there are
other developments in the pipeline to be converted to
RAD/PACT, and we're hoping that this is not the
pattern of NYCHA or those particular development
companies that coming in just think that they're the
saviors, and they— you know, they take tenants and
residents and some of our CBOs down a rabbit hole.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 135

So we're looking forward to working with you on that,

and thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you all so much for your time. In just a couple of moments I'm going to open the hearing for public testimony. I do want to take a two-minute break. So we'll come back in two minutes, but thank you all so very much again. We look forward to all the follow-ups.

VICE PRESIDENT BUSGITH: And we'll follow up with Council Member Sanchez.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, great. Thank you so much.

[break]

2.2

2.3

the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 136 Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's oversight topic of Older Adult Centers at NYCHA facilities. If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted. I'd like to call the first panel to the table. Princess Benn James, Metin Sarsi [sp?], oh, Metin, excuse me, Sarsi, Charles Madray, and Renee Keitt or Keitt or Keft-- Keitt, okay, thank you. We can start on the end here. Is that Ms. Keitt? and then go down the row. The Sergeants will let you know when to begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RENEE KEITT: Ready? Okay. Hello, my name's Renee Keitt. I'm the Resident Association

President of the Elliott-Chelsea Houses. In the case of the Elliott-Chelsea house's potential demolition.

We're deeply concerned about the impact on our

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 137 seniors, particularly those slated to be the first moved as part of the process. One of the proposals as-of-right buildings is Chelsea addition. appears to prioritize relocating seniors. raises significant issues: isolation and mental health risk. Meetings regarding seniors' relocation are occurring without broader community knowledge, leaving them isolated from key decision-making process. Downsizing seniors and relocating them to other developments is also a possibility. As well as, if you downsize them into our development, we don't have a lot of single-room apartments. We're built for families. If that doesn't happen, they will go to the PAC partners, that's Related or Essence or anywhere they have, or other NYCHA developments throughout the city. That leaves them in social isolation. That is very difficult. That's mental health issues. That's physical issues. basically breaking social cohesion. As much as people say this is about making a better community, it leaves our seniors isolated, and of course, all our seniors are not in the senior buildings. with my mother as well. Needless to say, the mental health challenges, the worrying about what's going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
1
                                                       138
     happen is currently something that occurs to her.
2
3
     One thing we can say, yes, there are Older Adult
4
     Center, but Fulton Houses is actually a community
     center, not just an Older Adult Center. So, that has
5
    to be thought and brought in the mix as well, because
6
7
    we also need our teens to be there. This is the
8
    middle. You deal with the younger and the children.
    You deal with the older, but we can't lose our
             It's very essential. This is the age when
10
    middle.
11
     things happen and they can end up in jail, and this
12
     can begin the process. They need someone to care.
13
     They need community programs, so it just can't be
14
     used as an Older Adult Center. For Elliott-Chelsea
15
    Houses as well, we are actually a center that
     fulfills the broader community, not just Elliott-
16
17
     Chelsea itself. So both these centers are very
18
     essential for our seniors as well who has to go down
19
    to Fulton to eat. So we're also dealing with food
20
     insecurity as well.
21
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.
    Next?
2.2
2.3
                CHARLES MADRAY: Good afternoon.
                                                   My name
```

is Charles Madray. I'm the Vice President of Health

Service and the community-based programs at Samaritan

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 139 Daytop Village. At Samaritan Daytop Village we provide services to over 60-- over 30,000 clients per year, and we actually operate the Woodside Older Adult Center. One of the most pressing issues is The Woodside Houses are almost 80 capital funding. years old and significant infrastructure needs that our current grant and budget cannot cover. We've been waiting for NYCHA to address the repair of the air conditioner system or the HVAC system which would cost us over \$200,000. This is beyond our financial capacity, but it's critical in creating the comfortable environment for older adults, particularly during the summer. This past summer, we had to opt out of the cooling center for one week due to the system repairs. Without these repairs, attendance will be affected, and the overall experience for older adults will be diminished. Last year, we spent almost \$50,000 in repairs from our operating budget. Our agency has been subsidizing a significant portion of our Older Adult Center budget. Additionally, we face challenges with NYCHA responsiveness. Delays in addressing repairs and maintenance requests often prolong the time it takes to resolve the critical issues, leaving our older

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

adults to cope with inadequate conditions. Better coordination is needed between New York City Aging and NYCHA. We respectfully ask for the Council consider the following priorities: dedicated capital funding, improve coordination, and support for technology enhancement to make the centers more engaging and accessible boosting participation and overall community impact. I want to thank you for allowing us this time to speak, and sincerely appreciate it.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for your testimony.

METIN SARCI: Hello, good afternoon. My name is Metin Sarci. I'm Public Housing Specialist with over 10 years of experience serving NYCHA residents. From January 2023 to January 2024 I designed and implemented the Community Relations Program within NYCHA's Office of Public Private Partnerships, created to facilitate, manage and track over 11,000 repairs at over 400 community centers citywide. The opinions shared in this testimony are based upon my own experience. I do not necessarily represent the views of the Housing Authority. In my opinion, NYCHA's community centers are the city's

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 141 most valuable resource. They provide locations for communities to vote, to organize, obtain childcare, attend after school programs, and provide recreation for our older adults. However, about 78 percent of NYCHA's community facilities to find lacked upkeep responsibility and no rental cost to support for measures. This disinvestment has created spaces in disrepair which has been spoken about today. has also interrupted essential services as was just brought up, and it causes instances of partners using their own funding to ensure compliance with city contracts. If facilities with a combined 2.5 million square feet were leased at the NYCHA standard of \$5.50 per square foot, NYCHA would be producing about \$13.75 million annually to meet that demand. However, NYCHA currently operates with a \$8.43 million deficit to address any of these issues, and that's after the annual \$2.3 million allocated through the city's budget. I have three recommendations. The first part of it is to leverage partnerships with workforce development partners to replace private vendor repairs. Currently, facilities, a majority of them are repaired through vendors which we've seen through multiple reports

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 142 what that has led to. If we look to our nonprofit partners, especially those that perform workforce developments, we could reduce the not only capital needs, because we're looking at reducing essentially any sort of capital repair in which there could be a tie-in. And I'll use Council Member Banks' Brook Ellen Center as an example in which we were able to use about \$10-\$15,000 to do tile replacement, and in doing that we were able to free up \$150,000 of capital funding to for example replace the HVAC or perform another capital project. So, it brings about the importance of that. We'd also-- we should be looking at grander scale things. In terms of looking towards our nonprofit partners--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] Sorry, if you could just wrap up.

METIN SARCI: absolutely— to lead the capital projects, it just expands the net in which we're casting. We've seen examples where Grant Street Settlement over at 85<sup>th</sup> Street has raised \$20 million to do a complete rehab of a NYCHA building, and we should be looking towards those type of solutions abroad. And the very last thing that I'll say is we really need to look at increasing the contracts with

the nonprofits that are being contracted. They barely get enough money as it is to run their programs, and if the original thought process was we weren't going to charge them rent to be in these spaces, again, they're still using their operating funds to handle repairs anyways, and we're not getting anywhere to perform those repairs. So at the end of the day you're only increasing the amount of capital needs by not addressing the operating needs. And because we have an \$8 million deficit, you're now seeing the result of it as you're going through all these tours. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

Thank you all for your testimony. We're now going to move to those who'd like to testify via Zoom.

Starting with Jasmine McFarlane followed by Terry Campuzano.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JASMINE MCFARLANE: Thank you, Council

Member Hudson and members of the Committee on Aging
and the Committee on Public Housing and everyone in
attendance today. My name is Jasmine McFarlane. I
am the Deputy Chief Program Officer at Lenox Hill

25 Neighborhood House. Thank you for holding this

COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 144 important overnight meeting. I learned a lot. Hill Neighborhood House is a 131-year-old settlement house that provides an extensive range of social services that improve the lives of over 15,000 New Yorkers in need each year, ages three to 103. Neighborhood House serves 5,000 members in our NYC Aging-funded network of Older Adult Centers, one of which is located in a NYCHA building on the Upper East Side that is designated for older adults. Our center at this location provides three nutritious farm-to-table congregate meals seven days a week, a remarkable daily calendar of classes, services and programming, and comprehensive on-site social services. Being on-site, an on-site Older Adult Center in a NYCHA building that houses aging adults has proven to be a lifeline for older -- for low income individuals who are often isolated and on limited income. Our presence within a NYCHA building has proven invaluable to both our clients and the surrounding the community. However, like many of our peers who operate Older Adult Centers in NYCHA buildings, we've encountered various infrastructure challenges that directly impact our programs and services. These include recurring repair issues such

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
1
                                                       145
     as flooding from apartments above the center,
2
3
     unexpected water shut-downs that disrupt programming,
4
    and the need for sudden closures due to prolonged
     leaks and water shut-offs resulting in unusable
     facilities. While we maintain a positive working
6
7
    relationship with NYCHA staff and handle as much of
8
    the maintenance and repairs as we can on our own, a
     full review of the NYCHA Older Adult Center system's
     expense and capital needs is required for substantial
10
11
     and sustained improvements. The overall budget for
12
     our aging programs already poses challenges to
13
     operators, but even more--
14
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time has
15
     expired.
              Thank you.
16
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: You can--
17
                JASMINE MCFARLANE: I'm sorry?
18
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: You can finish up.
19
                JASMINE MCFARLANE: Okay.
                                           Thank you.
20
    But even more to those at sites with major capital
21
     needs. New funding which demonstrates a
    prioritization of NYCHA Older Adult Centers and
2.2
2.3
    accompanying investment in capital improvements will
    be welcomed. In closing, Older Adult Centers are a
24
```

safe haven for numerous vulnerable older adults

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 146
2	including residents in the NYCHA building above our
3	site. As we face fiscal uncertainty in Washington, we
4	urge local stakeholders to prioritize investments in
5	these vital center to ensure that they remain a
6	cornerstone of support for our city's aging
7	population. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.
9	Terry Campuzano?
10	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
11	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Terry Campuzano?
12	Okay, we'll move on to Julie Sharpton.
13	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
14	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Julie Sharpton, are
15	you still there? Sheila Glover? Terry Campuzano,
16	are you prepared to provide your testimony? You're
17	muted.
18	TERRY CAMPUZANO: Am I unmuted now?
19	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yes, you are. Are
20	you prepared to give your testimony?
21	TERRY CAMPUZANO: Okay,
22	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: [interposing] You
23	have two minutes. Please wait for the Sergeant at
24	Arms to call your time.

TERRY CAMPUZANO: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TERRY CAMPUZANO: Okay. The only thing that I could think of was that when they're saying-when the Department of Aging says that they're working with the TA Presidents, that's not true in my case. Everybody at NYCHA knows how unhappy I am with University Street Settlement that we have, Hernandez has, and I think Seward Park and what's the other one-- Cobo's [sp?]. And it seems like, you know, if you come to-- if you go to 189 Hernandez and then you come over to Meltzer, you'll see the drastic difference. There's absolutely nothing going on here, and I've been trying -- even if I could NYCHA back in here I'd appreciate it. They-- NYCHA, when they left, they had 10 desktop computers here. University took over they threw all of those computers out. They never replaced them, even though that they're a nonprofit corporation. I told them, I says, you can't-- the best way to teach the seniors is on a desktop, not on a little-- what do you call it, a little tablet. So, and somebody else mentioned that you can't do virtual, because they aren't taught. Oh no, that was me. Somebody was mentioning about that, the seniors can't do the virtual, and I'm

```
COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING
1
                                                        148
     saying to myself they can't do the virtual because
2
3
     they're not taught. I have asked constantly for
4
    University Street to get us desktop computers so they
5
     could train the seniors. Another thing, I have
    spoken to Marlene Shallow and she put me off several
6
7
    times and I have complained to her what's going on
8
    over here at Meltzer and how unhappy I am. Nobody
    has ever contacted the TA about what's going on here.
     I had found out that they just had signed new
10
11
    contracts, and we were totally unaware of this,
12
    because I've been working with another lady from
13
    resident engagement, Leticia Garcia--
14
                SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Time's
15
     expired.
               Thank you.
16
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, Terry, do you
17
    want to wrap up your statement or are you finished?
18
                TERRY CAMPUZANO: No. Well, I would like
19
    to know how I could -- what I could do, if I could get
20
    another organization, even NYCHA. I would like
21
     anybody to take over that spot.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, we will look
```

into it and get back to you, okay?

TERRY CAMPUZANO: Okay, thank you.

25

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 149
2	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Thank
3	you so much. Now we're going to turn to Sheila
4	Glover, and before we do so I'd like to share that
5	we've been joined by Council Member Lee. Sheila
6	Glover, are you prepared to testify?
7	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
8	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sheila Glover?
9	Okay, we're going to move on to Dana Elden.
10	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
11	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And we're going to
12	move on to Myrna Jefferson.
13	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
14	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. And Rafael
15	Jaquez?
16	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
17	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. I'm going to
18	do a last call for Jasmine McFarlane. Last call for
19	Julia Sharpton. Last call for Sheila Glover. Last
20	call for Dana Elden. Last call for Myrna Jefferson.
21	And last call for Rafael Jaquez. Is there anyone
22	else in-person or on Zoom who would like to testify
23	who did not have their name called? Seeing no hands,

I would like to thank everyone including Chair Banks

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 150
2	for attending this hearing and providing testimony,
3	and we will adjourn. Thank you.
4	[gavel]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 2, 2025