CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

FEBRUARY 28, 2022 Start: 10:04 A.M. Recess: 2:04 P.M.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING (VIRTUAL ROOM 1)

B E F O R E: DIANA I. AYALA, CHAIRPERSON

COUNCIL MEMBERS: TIFFANY CABÁN

CRYSTAL HUDSON

LINDA LEE CHI A. OSSÉ

LINCOLN RESTLER
KEVIN C. RILEY
ALTHEA V. STEVENS

SANDRA UNG

NANTASHA M. WILLIAMS

KALMAN YEGER GALE BREWER

RANDY DILLARD, Represents Right to Counsel, Steering Committee

N'JELLE MURPHY, tenant leader with Flatbush Tenant Coalition

LAUREN SPRINGER, Tenant, leader with Catholic Migration Services

JENNIFFER LEE, tenant leader with Flatbush Tenant Coalition

ANNA ABOODY, staff attorney for Mobilization for Justice

MATTHEW LONGOBARDI, Senior Staff attorney Mobilization for Justice

MARIKA DIAZ, Director for Section 8 Project at Evan Justice Center

KATHLEEN KELLEHER, Staff attorney Legal Aid Society

ERIN DRINKWATER, Deputy Commissioner of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs at DSS

RANEICE MEDLEY, OCJ Coordinator at New York City Department of Social Services

LISA FITZPATRICK, HRA Administrator New York City Human Resource Administration

MONSIGNOR KEVIN SULLIVAN, Catholic Charities

JENNY LAURIE, Executive Director Housing Court Answers

ERIC LEE, Director of Policy and Planning at Homeless Services United

ERIC ROSENBAUM, CEO of Project Renewal

JOSEFA SILVA, Director of Policy and Efficacy for WIN

AMY BLUMSACK, Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together

SARAH WILSON, Formerly homeless

BEATRICE SIMPKINS, Chief Program Officer for Partnership for the Homeless

ANDY AUJLA, Director of Advocacy Communities Resist

JESENIA PONCE, Supervising attorney at Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation

EMILY PONDER WILLIAMS, Managing attorney of the Civil Defense Practice

OKSANA MIRONOVA, Housing Policy Analyst Community Service Society of New York

IMRAN HOSSAIN, Staff attorney with Volunteers of Legal Services

RAFAEL MOURE-PUNNETT, Associate Director for Housing at the Harlem Community Justice Center

STEPHANIE ESPINAL

ANN KORCHAK, President of SPONY, Small Property Owners of New York

JOAN ZHU, Landlord

HELEN, Landlord

HONGBAO MA, Landlord

SHUZHEN ZHUO, Landlord

ZELL FINCHEN

TOWAKI KOMATSU, Tenant

DIANNA PERSHAD, Homeowner

KATRINA CORBELL, Member of SHOUT

TAMARA HOLLIDAY, Senior Staff Attorney for Civil Justice Practice

SARAH BLOCK

KRISTIE ORTIZ-LAMB, Brooklyn A Director

2 SGT. LEONARDO: Sergeants we please start 3 the recordings.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Cloud recording underway.

SGT. LEONARDO: Good morning and welcome to today's remote New York City Council Hearing for the Committee on General Welfare. At this time we ask that Council Members and Council Staff please turn on their video for verification purposes. To minimize disruptions, please place cellphones and electronic devices to vibrate or silent. If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record, you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. We thank you for your cooperation, Chair we are ready to begin.

everyone. Good morning and welcome to the very first hearing of the City Council's Committee on General Welfare in this legislative session. My first hearing as the chair of this committee. Today the committee will hold an oversight hearing on the impact on the end of the state and federal eviction moratoria that were put in place to protect tenants from losing their housing during the pandemic and the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

potential influx of new clients in need of social service assistance. The COVID 19 pandemic has underscored the importance of safe and secure housing. It has never been apparent than housing is healthcare and housing is a human right. It is both a public health and economic priority to keep New Yorkers safely in their homes for the duration of the pandemic but given the end of the eviction moratoria we must proactively get ahead of accommodating what could be a massive influx of new clients in need of assistance. Prior to the pandemic nearly half of New York City households were rent verted, meaning that they paid more than 30% of their income toward rent. The unemployment rates dramatically increased in the five boroughs as they have around the country due to the economic fallout of the pandemic. The pandemic further strained what was already a precarious situation for low income people in New York many of whom will likely have no way to remain in their homes unless the city, state and federal governments take further action to assist them. Today, we want to hear about what the city is doing to protect New Yorkers for losing their homes and what services and programs are available to ensure that those on the

brink on homelessness remain stably housed. Thank
you to the advocates, members of the public and those
with lift experience who are joining us remotely
today. Thank you representatives from the
administration for joining us. I look forward from
hearing from you all on these critical issues. At
this time I would like to acknowledge my colleagues
who are here, Council Members Yeger, Riley, Stevens,
Caban, Lee, and Williams. Finally I would like to
thank the Committee Staff who worked to prepare this
hearing today, Aminta Kilawan our Senior Counsel,
Crystal Pond, Senior Policy Analyst, Julia Haramis of
Finance Analyst, Rose Martinez Senior Data Scientist,
Nicholas Montalbano Data Scientist and my staff
Michelle Cruz, Deputy Chief of staff. With that, I
will now turn it over to the Senior Counsel Aminta
Kilawan to go over some procedural matters for this
hearing.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Ayala, good morning everyone. I am Aminta Kilawan, Senior Counsel for the Committee on General Welfare of the New York City Council. I will be moderating today's hearing. Before we begin I want to go over a few procedural matters. I'll be calling

on panelists to testify. I want to remind everyone
that you will be on mute until you are called and
then you will be unmuted by the host. Please listen
for your name to called. For everyone testifying
today please note that there may be a few seconds of
delay before you are unmuted and we thank you in
advance for your patience. At today's hearing the
first panel will be comprised of members of the
public followed by the administration followed by
Council Member questions and then additional members
of the public will testify. During the hearing if
Council Members would like to ask a question, please
use the Zoom Raise Hand function and you will be
called upon in order. Again, our first panel will be
comprised of public testimony. All public testimony
will be limited to two minutes. After I call your
name, please wait a brief moment for the Sergeant at
Arms to announce that you may begin before starting
your testimony and please note that panelist will be
able to register for this hearing until three hours
into the hearing itself. The first panel in order of
speaking will be Randy Dillard followed by N'Jelle
Murphy followed by Lauren Springer followed by

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Jenniffer Lee. And we will now begin with Randy
Jillard.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins now.

RANDY DILLARD: Okay. I'm Randy Dillard and I'm here to represent to the Right to Counsel. I'm on the steering committee. I am a Council leader for Community Action for Safe Apartments. In 2017, we passed the Right to Counsel giving access to legal representation to tenants across the City facing eviction cases and the reason why we started this campaign, I live in the Bronx and the Bronx had the highest eviction rate in all five boroughs which was 11,000. Can you imagine the suffering of 11,000 families losing their homes? We did a survey of the court and we found out that 90% of the landlords had attorneys and 30% of the tenants did not. Tenants will sign the stipulations and did not know what they were signing and we won this right and now 84% of the tenant get to stay in their home. We went back into the court and surveyed it again and we found out that 53% of the tenants didn't know that they had the right to counsel. Landlords was getting their early having tenants to sign stipulation before the Right to Counsel Lawyers got there. Tenants was moving out

without going to court. Landlords found another way
to harass tenants. So, we came back to the City
Council to get Local Law 53 passed. While we applaud
the city for passing the legislation in May of last
year with the effective date of November 2021, we are
deeply disappointed that it has not yet been
implemented. Since March 2020 more than 227,000
eviction cases has been found throughout the case.
More than the population of Rochester. Cases in the
Bronx account for 40% of cases found in New York
Housing Court and everywhere in the city they mostly
impact women of color, black and brown people, unpaid
workers. This is a crisis. This is critical. We
need implementation of the local law 53 with 1.2
million households online. Organizers need to be
able to get the word out before we have a homeless
problem so tenants know that they have a lawyer. We
do not know what new tactics that at the landlord
will be using to get tenants out of their homes as
well as the judge's in the courts. Thank you.
AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time starts now.

you for your testimony Randy. We are now going to

move to N'Jelle Murphy.

2 N'JELLE MURPHY: Good morning and thank 3 you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is 4 N'Jelle Murphy. I am tenant leader with Flatbush 5 Tenant Coalition. A group of tenant leaders and tenant associations in central Brooklyn with a 6 7 mission to build tenant power. We are a proud member 8 of the Right to Counsel Coalition, Housing Justice for All and Stabilizing NYC. Thank you for accepting my testimony today on behalf of the Flatbush Tenant 10 11 Coalition. Right to Counsel has shifted the power 12 dynamic of housing court. A place that was created 13 for tenants to get justice for repair. Since the 14 creation, New York City Housing Court has been 15 weaponized by landlords and turned into an eviction mill. The Right to Counsel is changing that. 16 know that 84% of tenants who had a Right to Counsel 17 18 lawyer in housing court won their case and stayed in 19 their homes and with Right to Counsel tenants have a 20 strong foundation when we organized to protect our 21 rights and fight for safe and decent living 2.2 conditions. I testify today on behalf of Flatbush 2.3 Tenant Coalition for two reasons. First to urge this committee to immediately and fully implement local 24 law 53. Second to work with the courts to ensure 25

2 that no case moves forward without a Right to Counsel 3 attorney. One, immediately a fully implement law, 4 Local Law 53, last May tenants won a major victory when this City Council passed local law 53. The law 5 requires the city to work with community based 6 tenants organizing groups to spread the word and 7 8 educate tenants about their right to counsel. Many tenants in our City don't know that they have this right to free legal representation in eviction cases. 10 11 Most cases that Flatbush Tenant Coalition speaks 12 with, tenants who are not already one of our members, they don't know anything about Right to Counsel. 13 14 Most have never heard of it before. In New York City 15 more than half of all tenants and families who are 16 forced out of their homes are forced out informally 17 before a judge ever orders an eviction in court. 18 Tenants who don't know about their rights, often 19 believe they will on their own in housing court. 20 That there is no point in fighting and they will be evicted anyway. We know that that's not true. 21 2.2 know that Right to Counsel makes a big difference and 2.3 allows people to stay in their homes. Even fight for repairs. Local law 53 would provide much needed 24 education and outreach to tenants in our community. 25

2 It was supposed to be implanted this past November 3 It is now the end of the February 2022 and 4 this law has not been implemented. Most eviction protections end in mid-January and more than 250,000 5 eviction cases are now moving forward in housing 6 courts. Some cases are individual tenants and most are families. That means more than half a million 8 New Yorkers are on the brink of eviction. that the city implement local law 53 immediately like 10 11 the law requires. We need you to start funding 12 community organizing groups so that tenants across 13 our city can know about and use the Right to Counsel. 14 It is more important than now ever. Two ensure that 15 no case move forward without a Right to Counsel 16 attorney. New York City tenants have a right to 17 counsel in eviction cases. Are deeply concerned by 18 tenant reports that the courts themselves are 19 ignoring this law and trying to speed up case through 20 housing court even when a tenant has not been 21 connected to a lawyer. We want to be clear that we 2.2 expect the courts to adjourn each case until a tenant 2.3 has been connected to a lawyer. We also expect that the courts and the Office of Civil Justice will 24 ensure that lawyers don't just end up with more cases 25

2.2

2.3

than they can handle. Tenants deserve and demand quality representation with Right to Counsel, who deserve lawyers who have enough time to represent us well. Lawyers that we can work with to stay in our homes. We need our City Council to work with the Courts and the Office of Civil Justice to ensure that no tenant faces eviction without legal representation and to ensure that no tenant struggles with inadequate representation because the courts are rushing to do landlords bidding who deserve the demand and the Court respects our right to counsel.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much N'Jelle for your testimony. We will now move to Lauren Springer for testimony.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.

LAUREN SPRINGER: My name is Lauren

Springer and I'm a tenant leader with Catholic

Migration Services, a non-profit legal services

provided and community based organization actively

engaged in tenant organizing work. I'm only on the

steering committee of the New York City Right to

Counsel Coalition. The Right to Counsel Law,

landmark piece of legislation guaranteeing the right

2 to counsel in eviction proceeding was won after a 3 three year tenant led fight. To secure this victory, 4 we used a plethora of tools at our disposal including among other things holding rallies, press conferences 5 and town hall meetings, testifying at City Council 6 7 hearings and meeting with elected officials, 8 collecting 7,000 petition signature, making community board presentations, developing a wide base of supporters and employing an active social media 10 11 campaign and tapping into the power of the press. 12 But even after securing this right in August 2017, 13 tenants continue to fight to strengthen the law securing two key legislative victories in May 2021, 14 15 specifically the passage of local law 53 which provides for the support and funding of community 16 17 based tenant organizing groups for RTC, outreach and tenants' rights education and local law 54 which 18 19 eliminated the five year phase-in period immediately 20 providing full citywide coverage of this right. 21 exploration of the eviction moratorium is made RTC law and its amendments and its amendments even more 2.2 2.3 critical as tenants base an onslaught on eviction cases. While local law 53 became effective in 24 November 2021, four months later, community based 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

organizations have yet to receive any of the \$3.6 million reportedly allocated toward this bill and the request for proposals has yet to be released. City needs to get in compliance with its own law by releasing funding to the organizers for tenants to exercise their rights they need to know they have them. Additionally we need to slow down the housing court process by joining cases where tenants are unrepresented as not to render the RTC law ineffective. Local Law 54 was passed in recognition of the needs of the times and reflected a codification of OCJs practice instituted during the COVID pandemic. It eliminated the 5-year phase-in period which was implemented in the first place to allow legal service providers time to hire, train and support staff and for the courts to establish the necessary infrastructure. Unfortunately ...

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time.

LAUREN SPRINGER: ... excuse me?

Unfortunately the legal services providers are not immune from the widespread staffing shortages facing many organizations. And so our understanding from coalition partners that Judge Jean Schneider from New York City Housing Court Citywide Supervising Judge

2 has taken the position that where providers don't 3 have capacity to accept cases at intake part, the 4 court plans to move these cases forward in resolution parts without an attorney in respective of a tenants 5 eligibility for RTC. That plan is simply untenable. 6 7 Moving cases forward without an attorney supports the 8 intent and purpose of the RTC law and represents a retraction of the ground gained by tenants in securing this hard one right. To be clear, no case 10 11 should move forward without an attorney. Moreover, 12 legal representation should be meaningful. 13 are entitled to due process and quality 14 representation and do not deserve an overworked, 15 overburdened attorney with caseloads so huge they cannot devote adequate time, attention, effort and 16 17 resources to their client's cases, nor should cases 18 be proceeding with all deliberate state so that the 19 clearing of court dockets is carried out at the 20 extent of effectuating a tenant's right to legal 21 representation. Thus, I strongly urge the City Council to fully implement both local law 53 by 2.2 2.3 providing funding and support to tenant organizers and ensure that local law 54 is carried out as 24 intended. Thank you. 25

2.2

2.3

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Lauren for your testimony and the final panelist for this first panel of our hearing will be Jenniffer Lee, moving to Jenniffer.

JENNIFFER LEE: Good morning and thank
you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is
Jenniffer Lee. I am a tenant leader and steering
committee member with the Flatbush Tenant Coalition.
We are a group of tenant leaders and tenant
associations.

AMINTA KILAWAN: Jenniffer, Jenniffer just, Jenniffer, just a second. Sorry to interrupt but I think you might have audio on in the background so maybe you want to turn that.

JENNIFFER LEE: Okay. Okay. I'm sorry.

Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Jenniffer Lee. I'm a tenant leader and steering committee member with Flatbust Tenant's Coalition. We are a group of tenant leaders and tenant association group with a mission to build to empower. We are a proud member of the Right to Counsel Coalition, Housing Justice for All and Stabilizing NYC. I'm asking this committee to immediately and fully implement law 53

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and to work with the courts to ensure that no eviction case moves forward in housing court without an RTC attorney. Tenants won local law 53 this past May but it still hasn't been implemented. The law is supposed to give money to community groups to spread the word and the Right to Counsel in our communities so people in dire straight know that they have a right to free lawyer to represent them in housing court. Lots of people facing eviction don't know they have someone to turn to. They are harassed by landlords and pushed out of their apartments thinking they are on their own. We need you to make sure Local Law 53 is implemented so that our neighbors know that legal help is available if they are facing eviction and where they can get it. We also need you to ensure that no tenant with the Right to Counsel faces housing court on their own. There are too many tenants in housing courts right now and not enough lawyers to represent them all immediately. We have heard that the courts plan to deal with the situation to just force some tenants to have a Right to Counsel to go through the housing court process on their own, not represented by an RTC attorney. We also have heard that the court is bullying legal organizations

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

into taking more cases than they can reasonably
handle. We remind you, New York City tenants have a
Right to Counsel. This means that we have the right
to quality legal representative. When a tenant is in
court and there isn't an attorney immediately
available, we demand that these cases be adjourned
until tenants can get proper legal representation.

Almost every landlord has a lawyer in housing court.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

JENNIFFER LEE: But, every day tenants are not lawyers and most of us cannot afford their own lawyers. How will everyday people defend themselves against landlord lawyers in housing court? We are regular people trying to stay in our homes. We have a right to free RTC attorney. That right must be respected. We ask you, our City Councils to work with courts with the Office of Civil Justice to make sure that no tenant faces eviction without quality legal representation. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you

Jenniffer. I'd like to acknowledge that we've also
been joined by Council Members Brewer, Hudson,

Restler and Ung.

2 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you very much Chair and thank you to this entire

4 panel for your testimony. Chair or Council Member do

you have any questions? If, Council Members if you

do you may use the Raise Hand Function and Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I don't have a, I don't have any questions per se but I just wanted to say thank you for your advocacy and continued advocacy and you are absolutely right, if tenants are not aware that these protections exist then we might as well not have them in place. I, I was actually not aware that we had, that that Local Law had not been implemented yet. So, you know, even I am learning something today and I, I will, you know look into this and we'll try to figure out what the holdup is and see how we can be helpful in ensuring that we are moving that along as quickly as possible because that doesn't make any sense to me that we are in the middle of the worst, you know, crisis the City has seen in so many years and that we even have to go back and remind them that this has to be done. thank you all, I know that this is not easy work but it is important work so I just want to, to just recognize that, you know, we, we really appreciate

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you. I don't know if any other members, if other
members have anything that they would like to add. I
don't see any.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: It looks like Council Member Brewer has questions followed by Council Member Hudson.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time.

GALE BREWER: Thank you very much Madam Chair and to the Committee. When, I believe that ANHD, Association of Neighborhood Housing Developers has a task force on housing court data. So, what we're trying to do with that data in addition, God help us to know where evictions are. They have found the Housing Court data because I helped them get it that says where evictions might be taking place because the owners have filed papers. So I'm wondering if any of you have had any contact through any of your non-profits. Because what's supposed to happen is that if there is data showing that there are, you know, hold overs being filed or whatever kind of eviction the owners are trying to pull off, hopefully unsuccessfully, that we can then send in some of your re-organizations to either organize or have legal representation. I just didn't know if

Thanks everyone and thank you Chair Ayala. I just,

before I get into my questions I want to note that

24

25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residents and ZIP codes included in part or full in my district, District 35 have filed more than 21,000 EVAP applications including over 10,000 for rental arrears, over 8,000 for prospective rental arrears and over 2500 for utility arrears and my district lost 20% of its black population over just the last decade and so I'm very concerned that we will see a continued displacement of black residents during the, this next recurrent eviction crisis. And so I wanted to ask some questions specifically about older adults who of course are included in those numbers. many older adults have applied for one shot deals and how many times have they needed to apply before they've been approved is my first question? HRA conduct outreach about One Shot Deals to older adult facilities? Are there relationships established with NORKs, older adult centers, home care providers or any other organization that are serving older adult? And are there programs or grants for older adult many of whom are on severely restricted incomes where they can apply to have the repayment requirement waived?

Council Member I'm not sure if you're, thank you so

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

- 2 much of your questions. I'm not sure if you are
- 3 aware but the first panel that we had for this
- 4 hearing was a public panel and I believe your
- 5 questions are geared toward members of the
- 6 Administration?

1

- 7 CRYSTAL HUDSON: Yes. Sorry, I, I ...
- 8 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No
- 9 | worries. If it's possible for you to hold on those
- 10 questions and then re-ask them when we, when the,
- 11 after the administration testifies that would be
- 12 really, really helpful.
- 13 | CRYSTAL HUDSON: Sure thing. Sorry about
- 14 that.
- 15 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
- 16 you so much Council Member. No worries. We are now
- 17 going to move on to Council Member Restler for
- 18 questions.
- 19 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.
- 20 LINCOLN RESTLER: Thank you so much.
- 21 | Firstly, let me just congratulate my friend,
- 22 Chairwoman Ayala. I cannot think of a better person
- 23 | in the, not just the City Council, in the world to be
- 24 chairing this committee and we are so lucky to have
- 25 Diana at the helm of one of the most critical

committees in the Council and thank you Chairwoman 2 3 Ayala for your leadership. Really excited to work 4 together with you here. I want to take the 5 opportunity as well to congratulate our now HRA Administer, Lisa Patrick who is awesome and we are 6 7 lucky to have such a really experienced and capable government administrator in this role. Lisa is a GSD 8 kind of person, a get shit done kind of person, so thank you Lisa. My question is for Ms. Springer, and 10 11 I just want to thank the tenant leaders and 12 organizers. Randy has been doing this work for a 13 long time, he's one of the great advocates and 14 activists out there. So thank you for everything you 15 do at CASA and Ms. Lee from Flatbush Tenant Coalition 16 but Ms. Springer, I'm really interested if you could 17 speak to the capacity side on legal services. 18 think we are all ea-, we all at the Council 19 understand how important it is to guarantee Right to 20 Counsel for every tenant. And that's the best way to 21 keep tenants in their home and avoid evictions. 2.2 my understanding is that we are struggling to keep up 2.3 with the legal capac-, with the legal services capacity even within the very narrow income bands 24 that the program serves currently. And I certainly 25

2.2

2.3

would love us to not, you know to expand the income bands and make it a universal program where every tenant in New York City is guaranteed a free attorney but I'm very concerned that our legal services organizations don't yet have the capacity to do it. Could you speak to the current capacity constraints and any strategies that we should be employing together to expand legal services capacities so that universal access to counsel is truly a right that we

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: If staff can please unmute Lauren Springer.

can quarantee for all New Yorkers?

LAUREN SPRINGER: Okay. So basically you're right. I mean there are issues in terms of what capacity currently as we've testified. So, attorneys are basically handling unprecedented caseloads and so they're handling like maybe upwards of 60 to 80 cases. Also what's happening and then what we've heard is that there's a faster pace of the court caseload so it's like whereas like it used to be judges would hear one case for every 30 minutes and in an RTC intake part, now they're having like two cases every 15 minutes. With respect to managing the caseloads, it really is about like slowing down

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the court process. Um, it's about allowing the adjournment and giving the adjournments that are actually meaningful because a lot of times what is happening even if there is an adjournment they're short so like maybe one to two weeks which is not adequate time for an organization to get up to speed. Basically to get the capacity. They are already handling all of these cases. So, I think in a sense it is really about prioritizing what's important here. This isn't, you know, tenants are entitled to due process. I mean basically the fact that this is full, RTC is fully implemented across the city so it's really about making sure that cases don't move forward when tenants are not represented and it's allowing the time for these legal service providers to either cut down on caseloads or, you know, come up to speed in terms of dealing with their staffing shortages. And I think that anything that the City Council can do to urge the courts to just basically follow the law that was passed I think would be helpful.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Lauren for your response and thank you Council Member Restler for your questions. See no

finally do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- truth and nothing but the truth before this committee
 and to respond honestly to Council Member questions,
- 4 Deputy Commissioner Erin Drinkwater?

ERIN DRINKWATER: I do.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you all. HRA Administrator Fitzpatrick, you may begin when ready.

LISA FITZPATRICK: Thank you. I want to thank the General Welfare morning. Committee and Chair Ayala for holding today's hearing and for the opportunity to testify and offer my congratulations to the Chair on her appointments. My name is Lisa Fitzpatrick and I am the Administrator of the New York City Human Resources Administration, HRA. Let me take a moment to say I would look forward to working with you in my new capacity as administrator. I've spent the last 30 years working for HRA and I am honored to now serve as administrator. I am joined today by Raniece Medley, the Civil Justice Coordinator at the New York City Department of Social Services Office of Civil Justice as well as Erin Drinkwater, Deputy Commissioner of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs at DSS.

The New York City Department of Social Services Human

Resources Administration is the nation's largest 2 3 social services agency. Each year we assist more 4 than 3 million New Yorkers through the Administration 5 of 14 public assistance programs. Every day in all five boroughs HRA provides essential programs and 6 7 supports to low income New Yorkers. In administering 8 these programs, HRA is at the forefront of this Administration's efforts to combat poverty and address homelessness. As part of DSS, the Office of 10 11 Civil Justice largest, manages and monitors the 12 City's Civil Legal Services programs for low income and other vulnerable New Yorkers in need. OCJ is 13 14 currently working with nearly 70 non-profit legal 15 service organizations to ensure thousands of New 16 Yorkers in need across the five boroughs have access 17 to legal services and legal matters involving 18 housing, immigration and the workplace. 19 appreciate the opportunity to speak today on the work 20 that DSS, HRA and our partners in and out of 21 government are doing to help vulnerable New Yorkers 2.2 stay in their homes. The COVID-19 pandemic has 2.3 brought along an unprecedented environment for many New Yorkers and this climate further increased the 24 housing instability for many of our clients and 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenants across the five boroughs. Today, we will be updating the Committee on the work the DSS, HRA along with our legal service and non-profit partners have done to prevent evictions throughout this pandemic. DSS HRAs eviction prevention work. The pandemic brought on many challenges for New Yorkers and government alike and we were fortunate to have built a strong foundation via Housing Focus Services and Supports over the years to help tenants during this time of crisis. DSS HRA has developed a multipronged approach to support at risk tenants via programs providing legal services, rental support, homelessness prevention assistance and other supports. These existing programs placed us in good stead as the pandemic hit and we encouraged you to help us connect New Yorkers in need to these critical programs as we all worked to prevent evictions and keep individuals and families in their homes. services and the right to Counsel. DSS Office of Civil Justice has marched and operated a wide range of Civil Legal Services for New Yorkers in need since the office's inception in 2015. At the center of this work is the implementation of New York City's ground breaking Right to Counsel Law. In partnership

2 with the City Council, New York City made history by 3 becoming the first City in the nation to enact a law 4 ensuring that all tenants facing eviction in housing court or in administration termination of tenancy 5 proceedings in public housing have access to free 6 7 legal services. Since the Right to Counsel Law was 8 enacted in 2017 the landscape for access to housing justice for tenants in New York City has been We are proud to report that we are 10 transformed. 11 making real and substantial progress in increasing 12 access to justice which is leading more and more to 13 fair and just outcomes for tenants in need. Moreover, New York City's Right to Counsel Law is now 14 15 implemented citywide with tenants regardless of the 16 ZIP code or immigration status having access to legal 17 services which are provided by our OCJ contracted 18 legal services providers. These efforts have had 19 dramatic and positive results for at risk tenants. 20 Residential evictions by city marshalls fell by over 40% between 2013 and 2019 while nationwide evictions 21 2.2 climbed and the percentage of tenants facing eviction 2.3 in court with the help and protection of legal representation stood at 38% at the end of 2019 up 24 25 from a mere 1% in 2013. Moreover, in the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

overwhelming majority of cases when tenants have lawyers and eviction proceedings they get positive results. For resolved cases in calendar year 2020, 86% of households represented in housing court and public housing proceedings by OCJ funded tenant lawyers were able to remain in their homes. end of 2021, over 574,000 New Yorkers have received free legal representation or assistance in eviction and other housing related matters since 2014 through legal services programs administered by DSS HRA. These efforts have leveled the playing field for tenants and we look forward to partnering with our non-profit legal service providers, advocates, partners in government and the City Council to further build on this progress. Rental assistance programs. Our legal assistance work is part of a broader array of eviction prevention tools and DSS Among these programs and tools are our rental assistance and arrears programs which help stabilize the housing conditions of tenants at risk of eviction. We have increased access to rental assistance by taking various steps such as and most critically re-establishing rental programs and then stream lining them into one program, CityFHEPS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CityFHEPS had replaced various rental assistance programs making it easier for tenants to get the support they need and streamlining landlord payments and case administration. Moreover in October the raised the value of the CityFHEPS rental assistance program to the Federal Section 8 Fair Market rent, FMR levels and changed renewal eligibility from 250% of the federal poverty level to 80% of the area median income, AMI. Raising the value of the CityFHEPS rental assistance will increase housing options available as client's transition to permanent housing from shelter as well as going further and helping New Yorkers who may be experiencing homelessness or facing eviction remain in their homes. Home base. Shifting to homeless prevention services, HRA oversees 26 home based centers across the five boroughs. The home based program provides various homeless prevention services and aftercare services to families and individual exiting shelter and transferring to permanent housing. New Yorkers may be eligible for home based if they are at eminent risk of entering the New York City Shelter System or low income and want to remain stably housed in their community. Once in the program, dedicated home based

staff are available in each borough to evaluate a 2 3 household's specific needs and offer support such as services to prevent eviction, assistance obtaining 4 public benefits, emergency rental assistance, 5 utilities and mortgage payment support to address 6 7 arrears. Short term financial assistance, 8 educational and job placement assistance and help relocating. These programs and more importantly the outcomes show the importance of investing in a 10 11 prevention first approach to address housing instability. The agency has connected more than 12 155,000 New Yorkers to rental assistance and 13 14 rehousing programs and supported nearly 60,000 rent 15 burdened households annually, payback rent or 16 utilities during the prior administration and we 17 expect to continue this trend under Mayor Adams 18 leadership. DSS HRAs eviction prevention work during 19 the COVID-19 pandemic. Now, we would like to shift to 20 updating the committee on our work and response to the COVID-19 pandemic. While we continue to build on 21 2.2 the progress of the housing support programs 2.3 mentioned today, we understand that the pandemic has brought on a new and challenging environment 24 particularly as it impacts vulnerable tenants. 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the public health emergency and the importance of stabile housing, the city strongly advocated for eviction moratoriums in both the magislator and the courts. Several housing eviction moratoriums and extensions were implemented at the federal and state level with the goal of giving tenants the reprieve they needed to remain in their homes and recover from the financial downturn. As you know, the New York State Eviction Moratorium came to a close on January 15th of this year. In response, the City launched a campaign to inform tenants about their rights and connect them to critical resources. Our campaign focuses on three key messages, one illegal lock outs. It is illegal for someone to pressure or force a tenant to leave their home. Tenants have the right to stay in their home unless they have received an eviction order signed by a judge and delivered by a marshall or sheriff. Moreover, a landlord cannot evict tenants verbally or through letters or notice and tenants have the right to heat, hot water and electricity and it is illegal for someone to shut of utilities to try to remove tenants from their homes. Two, the right to counsel. Under the New York City's Right to Counsel Law, DSS's Office of Civil Justice

provides tenants facing eviction in housing court or 2 3 NYCHA registrative proceedings access to free legal 4 representation and legal advice. Right to Counsel's legal services are free, available in every ZIP code 5 and available regardless or immigration status. 6 Tenants can call 3-1-1 and ask for Right to Counsel 8 to speak to a housing specialist who can connect them to free legal services. Three, ERAP. We are urging all New Yorkers in need for rental relief to apply 10 11 for the emergency rental assistant program, ERAP 12 through the New York State Office of Temporary 13 Disability Assistance, OTDA. As a pending 14 application, will provide temporary protection from 15 eviction. Focusing on legal assistance, we transform the way this critical support was provided to tenants 16 17 to meet the new pandemic environment. We work with 18 our legal services partners, housing court answers, 19 the Mayor's Public Engagement Unit, PEU and the 20 Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants, MOPT to quickly 21 stand up a housing legal hotline to provide access to 2.2 live legal advice by telephone provided by our tenant 2.3 legal service partners. Legal advice services are free and are available to all New York City 24 residential renters with housing questions or issues 25

2 regardless of income, ZIP code or immigration status. 3 Tenants can access these services by calling 3-1-1 4 and asking for the city's tenant help line hosted by PEU or through housing court answers hotline. 5 service providers continue to be available to connect 6 7 with tenants at initial appearances across all 8 boroughs. OCJ continues to work with the housing court supporting a case referral protocol assuring that unrepresented tenants are connected with legal 10 11 counsel. When housing court has fully reopened for 12 all eviction proceedings, stays for pending ERAP 13 applications and other procedural safeguards remain 14 for certain eviction matters. At just one month past 15 the end of the moratorium it is still too soon to say 16 how the housing legal system, case scheduling system 17 and court operations will be impacted. In the wake 18 of the moratorium and the upheaval of the pandemic as 19 across all sectors recruitment and hiring continue to 20 present challenges for legal services providers. 21 While at this time new eviction filings have not 2.2 returned to pre-pandemic numbers, it is unlikely that 2.3 OCJ providers can continue to provide full representation to tenants above 200% of the federal 24 25 poverty level. Even if full representation is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

discontinued for over income tenants they will still continue to receive free legal advice and brief counsel to understand the legal process and be equipped to defend their cases. Working together, PEU, MOPT and OCJ conduct a proactive outreach to tenants at risk of eviction throughout the pandemic. This outreach included a mail campaign promoting the launch of the tenant helpline as well as a targeted outreach, mail and phone outreach directed at New York City tenants who face pre-pandemic eviction warrants or who were at risk of eviction for failing to appear in court proceedings. Since its inception in April 2020, the city's tenant helpline run by PEU has received almost 90,000 calls from New Yorkers with housing related issues. The helpline was designed to serve as a one stop shop to inform New York City tenants about their rights and connect them to housing related resources including free legal services. Because tenants who call the help line are frequently experiencing a range of connected hardships. The help line expanded to serve callers more holistically by connecting them with additional city programs like SNAP, cash assistance, home base, one shot deals, and helping them to apply for state

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

programs like rent relief and unemployment insurance. Unlike 3-1-1 the helpline is staffed by housing experts who can triage a wide variety of calls and immediately determine whether the client will need comprehensive case management or a simple referral to another agency or a community based organization. accurately identify a client's need and provide them with the personalized care necessary to address sensitive cases requires extensive training and PEU staff are uniquely equipped with the skills required for these conversations. When case management is needed, helpline staff referred the tenant to in house tenant support unit specialists who seamlessly opened a case for the calling and assist them at every stage of the process whether fighting and eviction or landlord harassment. When making a referral, PEU specialists consistently follow up with all parties to ensure the referral has the successful and support the caller if they encounter a road block in the process. This types of hands on support is particularly critical when dealing with cases related to possible vacate orders, illegal lock outs, undocumented callers and others with sensitive or otherwise urgent situations. In addition to

25

receiving incoming calls PEU is conducting aggressive 2 3 pro-active outreach to tenants in housing court. 4 PEUs campaign includes peer to peer texting, individualized telephone calls and a citywide media 5 campaign. The team has already conducted outreach to 6 7 over 40,000 tenants with cases currently in housing 8 court to connect them to resources and legal support. They are also reaching out to tenants who have not appeared for their court date based on weekly data 10 11 from OCJ and emphasizing the importance of appearance and offering referrals to legal service providers. 12 13 Since the beginning of the pandemic, MOPT has worked 14 to make sure city agency efforts are coordinated. 15 Streamlining and enhancing our enforcement and 16 strategic initiatives while conducting outreach to 17 support tenants. We have created and helped to 18 create an ecosystem of resources for tenants to 19 access information about their rights and communicate 20 with the city. As mentioned before, in 2020 and in close partnership with PEU and OCJ we establish the 21 city's tenant helpline to make sure all New York City 2.2 2.3 residential tenants regardless of their income, immigration status or whether they live in the City 24 could connect with a PEU specialist and if needed a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

non-profit legal service is provided to get the help they need. Also in 2020, we launched the City's Tenant Resource Portal. Another one stop shop for tenants to learn about eviction proceedings and what to do when facing an eviction and if needed connect with the PEU specialist from the tenant helpline. 2021, we launched a pilot version of the tenant text and partnership with Just Fix. Tenant text a text messaging tool connects renters in Inward and Washington Heights with up to date information and organizational resources to address their housing questions. It is our intention to expand this resource citywide in late 2022. We continually update our MOPT COVID-19 fact sheet that includes federal, state and local guidance regarding COVID-19 that covers many aspects of renting in New York City including how to access rental assistance programs and how to get help when facing harassment and eviction. We have conducted and continued to conduct citywide and targeting outreach to tenants. This includes several mailing campaigns to tenants with active eviction cases in housing court since early 2020. These campaign have included information about tenant's right and how to submit a harsher

2 declaration to trigger eviction protections, information about ERAP and eviction protections and 3 4 lastly information about illegal lock outs and additional eviction protections after the expiration of the eviction moratorium. MOPT continues to send 6 these mailers on a weekly basis to any tenant who has 8 a new eviction case against them in housing court. In partnership with OCJ, DSS and PEU we launched a right to counsel public education campaign in late 10 11 2020-2021. In early 2022, with the additional 12 support of the Department of Housing Preservation and 13 Development HPD we launched that illegal evictions 14 and evictions moratorium campaign which is still 15 running and aims to inform tenants about their rights 16 with facing an illegal eviction and how to access 17 additional eviction protections. State and federal 18 landscape on housing support. As mentioned earlier, 19 and in accordance with state law, the New York State 20 OTTA is administering ERAP. Though this program 21 federal funding is available for city households who 2.2 are behind in their rent. Moreover, ERAP provides 2.3 certain eviction protections to tenants who filed for participation in the program. In New York City, DSS 24 HRA launched an outreach and education program where 25

the city contracted with local community based
organizations to provide New Yorkers with assistance
in completing ERAP applications. We partnered with
organizations at each of the five boroughs to provide
ERAP support. Their outreach included application
assistance conducting trainings and presentations to
community groups, tabling and canvassing and other
forms of direct contact with tenants in need. ERAP
and other programs such as the federal emergency
housing vouchers have provided critical support
during these unprecedented times. These programs
along with the services provided each day by our
partner providers and staff are more tools in the
toolbox that we can deploy to help tenants in need
and stabilize their housing conditions. In closing,
we appreciate the opportunity to testify today and
update you on the work that DSS HRA and our partners
have done and continue to do to support tenants in
need. We stand ready to help vulnerable tenants
through their housing uncertainty and we look forward
to partnering with the council on these efforts.
Thank you and we welcome any questions you may have.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank

you Administrator Fitzpatrick for your testimony and

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to the administration for your testimony at large. I

am now going to turn it over to Chair Ayala for

questions for the administration.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you Administrator Fitzpatrick that was actually a really great testimony and I want to just you know give credit where credit is due. I have been paying attention to the public awareness campaigns that the DSS has launched in the last year. And I actually find them very informative. My only critique would be not even -- it's not even a critique but I actually I would welcome a partnership with DSS and I think that many of my colleagues would agree if it would be possible to get that information in to our local offices but we have constituents coming in and out where we can share, that would be really helpful. I think it would help, you know, amply that these resources exist out there because I think you know that is usually challenging especially when we rely so heavily nowadays on, you know, you tube and, you know, the internet and assume that we, you know, most people use the trains and so I got that we're trying to be in all places at all times but there are places where we know for sure that people will come in let

us to our constematic [sounds like] offices and so we are happy to help spread the word. With that in, having said I wanted to ask, what is DSS doing to coordinate with the stay partners. Have there any meetings or ongoing conversations since the end of

7 eviction moratoria?

2.2

2.3

We definitely appreciate the Council's support and getting the message out and getting the word out. As the testimony disclosed there is a lot of great work that is happening and although we do as much as possible to get it out we need partnership with the Council to make sure the message gets out even further. I want to defer to my colleague Erin Drinkwater about the partnership. Can someone unmute her, oh, thank you. Oh, you're muted again Erin, there you go.

ERIN DRINKWATER: There we go. Thank you Chair. In terms of partnership, one what I will say about getting materials to the council. We will follow up afterwards, we have a direct line that Council Members can order materials from, the agency that will be mailed directly to District offices so we will certainly follow up to make sure that members

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of this Committee have that information and we can share it with staff so they can circulate that to all members as well. In terms of the discussions with other groups, I'm going to pass it over to Raniece Medley to talk about those discussions.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. Good morning Chairwoman and all of the Council Members that are here, thank you. Regarding a partnership with state, that in our wheelhouse at OCJ that primarily needs the office of Court Administration and housing courts is the biggest state partner that we work with directly and we are in constant communication with them as well as our legal service partners to understand how the cases are flowing, what the work flow looks like and how the program is supported in the courthouses so that we can best connect with tenants that are coming into the court. So for instance, one of the things that we're very much wanting to support in our partnership with the courts is making sure that there are touchpoints and opportunities in the court system no matter where individuals may present in court to be connected with Council and through our partnership with housing courts we've been able to ensure that when people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

present to court even if it's not in that part that's designated for individuals to show that they are able to connected with Counsel when they come through those doors. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Has there been any conversation with the state and the federal government about additional resources to help fund programs such as ERAP because I'm concerned that we are encouraging more and more New Yorkers to apply for ERAP when we know that we don't have the resources necessary to pay that out, just yet, but you know using it as a tool to kind of detour evictions which is great but what happens is that if you are applying for ERAP and you know you could have easily applied for a one shot deal because maybe you don't owe that much. Now, you don't qualify or a one shot deal because you've already, you have an application pending for ERAP. So, is there conversations, with, you know, has DSS or the city or the admin been having conversations with the state and federal government that has made you know you all comfortable knowing that we're sending all of these people to apply for ERAP because at some point these

2.2

2.3

programs will, you know receive the additional
dollars that they need to, to pay out.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. I'll defer to my colleague. I, I will say that with regard to the ERAP I noticed that you mentioned Council Member Ayala that individuals are not able to apply to one shot deals because of the ERAP application pending and I know my colleagues here can speak more to that, that has changed, I know, with the second round of the ERAP portal opening but I defer to, Administrator Fitzpatrick or Erin to be able to expound further.

that's actually accurate. Once the porter reopened for applications, ERAP no longer became an available resource. So yes, we strongly encourage every household to apply in order to get the eviction prevention that it offers but we don't have, we don't hold the one shot deal decision waiting for an ERAP determination. There have been conversations with the state to try to get additional funding for the program but as we all know the state is working through the federal government to try to get additional funding so in the interim we continue to encourage people to apply for it if for no other

reason but to get the eviction protections. Prior to
the portal closing if someone applied for a one shot
deal they were sent to ERAP to apply for ERAP as an
available resource so for those initial applications
which have sense passed but for those initial
applications we encouraged ERAP because as you know
ERAP funding is free. There is no repayment
agreement. It's available to non-citizens as well so
it was more of a resource to individuals than to cash
assistance one shot deal. But since the portal has
reopened that is no longer the case. The state put
out guidance saying this is not considered an
available resource so we don't defer applications
anymore in order for clients to apply. We want to
encourage them to because that provides the eviction
protections but we don't require it as a condition of
eligibility for one shot deals.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: No, I get that.

I, I, when did that change occur? Was that recent?

LISA FITZPATRICK: It was when the portal reopened yes.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Can you remind me when, how long ago that was?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LISA FITZPATRICK: I think it was, it was in January I don't have the exact date that the portal reopened, I can get that for you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Yeah, because we, we have had cases I know in my office and I'm sure I'm not, you know, it's not exclusive to us, where you know constituents have been denied the one shot deal because they have a pending ERAP application. And so that, that really just, it concerns me and I wonder, you know, if, we're not able to secure those, those dollars that, you know, well then I have a backlog of, you know, of people that could have maybe you know just easily applied for the one shot. And I get it. I mean if it was, if I was applying for one or the other I'd rather apply for the ERAP that I don't have to pay back because it creates, you know, a financial burden but I just want to, you know, just to make sure that people understood that they had options and what those options were. OK. Hold on a second, I kind o lost my track of thought here, so. Do we know what the number of applications is, has been for one shot deals today versus what it, what they were in let's say March of 2020?

2	LISA FITZPATRICK: Uh, we don't have that
3	data available today, but we'd be happy to get that
4	to you?
5	CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Can you please,
6	I would appreciate that. Do we know what the number
7	of families in shelter is today versus what they were
8	in March of 2020?
9	LISA FITZPATRICK: That's a very good
10	question but again we don't have the data available.
11	I do have the date of the reopening off the portal.
12	It reopened on the evening of January 11th.
13	CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: January 11th.
14	LISA FITZPATRICK: 2022.
15	CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you. OK.
16	In regarding the FEPs appli-, the FEPs vouchers. So
17	the value increased however, have we, do we know,
18	does DSS capture data that sounds like. We gave out
19	10,000 vouchers and 5,000 families were housed.
20	LISA FITZPATRICK: I, us, I'm sorry

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: And what's FEPs

24

22

23

21 Council Member.

vouchers.

25

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 LISA FITZPATRICK: The FEPs are the 3 CityFHEPs. Yeah, we don't have that data available as well.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Okay.

LISA FITZPATRICK: But it's something that we might be able to.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: To help me colleagues to understand that. You know, obviously it's a new Administration and so some, they may not have all of the information for us at today's hearing but they will, you know, it's important to, you know, to get up, to be on record and they will forward the information to us so, if, you know, I didn't want to, just to clarify because I know people that ask when, when we're not getting the information that that we are requesting. But these are important questions either way. I'm going to, let me see, I had another question on how, can you tell us how DSS is working with those who are undocumented and at risk of eviction.

LISA FITZPATRICK: So, Chair Ayala are you referring to people coming in to apply for one shots or?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 LISA FITZPATRICK: Or as an outreach
3 effort?

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: No, as an outreach effort. How are we connecting with undocumented families?

LISA FITZPATRICK: Okay. Raniece?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Sure, thank you Chairwoman. For our public education campaigns which include the Right to Counsel Campaign and the Evictions Moratorium and Illegal Lockouts Campaign that we heard about that have been administered or largely pushed out through the Mayor's Office to protect tenants and the Mayor's Public Engagement Unit. The Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants work with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs or MOIA to ensure that the full campaign was translated into 11 languages that are mandated under local law and that the community based organizations, particularly those serving immigrant communities had access to these resources. The translations were distributed to citywide targeted neighborhoods and that as you had mentioned Chairwoman now contains social, digital, ads and print media. For the mailer campaigns where language targeting can be difficult,

2.2

2.3

they Mayor's Office to protect tenants provided additional information included in the mailers to make sure tenants can still access their information in their preferred languages. So that's one of the ways to be sure through connecting with MOIA that we are able to reach out to those neighborhood organizations that connect with those community based organizations to better meet that population where they are.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Absolutely.

Well great, thank you. Now we've received reports

that HRA job centers have become understaffed. How

may HRA job centers are currently open per borough

and of those that are currently open how many are

fully staffed?

LISA FITZPATRICK: Thank you for that question, Chair Ayala. All of the job centers out of our borough based job centers are now open with the exception of one location and that one location was undergoing renovation, construction during the pandemic and it hasn't been safe to reopen it to clients. We have workers there but because of social distancing, we are not able to have client enter in that building and that's the St. Nicholas Center. So

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

all of the centers that were open prior to the pandemic are now officially reopened and to the public.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Are they fully staffed?

LISA FITZPATRICK: The staffing, the centers are staffed. One of the things that I'd like to light and actually thank the staff. During the pandemic we had a lot of staff who worked with us from other areas to help us to meet the surge of applications and so we had the normal job center staff as well as volunteers from other part of the organization that helped us to interview clients and do what, whatever was necessary in order to process applications. So, the staffing of the centers is not as much a concern. Making sure we have all the resources we need and able, to be able to process applications that is more important. Because today we still do not see the same volume off traffic in our job centers as we saw prior to the pandemic. Clients have adapted to using access HRA as a means of submitting applications and they're having telephone interviews so there's really no reason for them to go into a center unless they need that

2.2

2.3

special touch of additional support in order to process the application. So, we have workers who are available to help with the interviews and they've been doing it throughout the pandemic. They still continue to do it today so there isn't really a concern at this point about whether or not centers are fully staffed up. We just want to make sure that

we have all available support that we need in order

to process applications as they come in.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: So, I'm a little bit confused if we don't have the, if we don't have the number of workers that are needed to do the appli-, to complete applications to help with the process then how are we?

LISA FITZPATRICK: We do.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: OK.

that's what I'm saying, with the redeployed staff, with the people volunteering to assist the regular job center staff, we have enough staff available to help us with the interviewing and the processing needed in order to stay head of our application activity. So at this point it's not a matter of whether or not the centers themselves are staffed up,

2.2

2.3

it's whether or not there's sufficient staffing to be able to handle both the application and the recertification volume. And with the assistance of all of the redeployed workers who've been out there since March of 2020 helping us to get this work done then we've been able to do that.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Are you comfortable that you have enough staff to meet the needs of an increase of applications should ERAP not be funded?

career at the agency we've had various emergencies that came up from September 11th to various hurricanes and tropical storms and wherever there was a need, HRA staff came together and they helped to meet that need. So, I am not concerned about not having sufficient staff. We've shown throughout the pandemic that we can pull together our resources to make sure that we can get this work done despite having people on the ground, in the job centers. We were able to get this work done through telephone interviews and having volunteers throughout the agency help us. So, they workers did not necessarily

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have to work in a job center in order to be able to 3 provide that additional level of support.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Understood.

Now, you mentioned that the number of, the foot

traffic has decreased at the, the different sites.

Do you know what percentage that decrease, I mean, I,

I, is it below 50%?

LISA FITZPATRICK: I, I don't have that data here today and I don't want to speculate but it is, we have definitely seen a decrease in traffic as more, as more applications moved online there was less need for clients to actually come into a job center to submit an application. Prior to us receiving the state waivers, in order to apply for cash assistance, individuals had to physically come into a job center, at some locations they were able to submit the application through Access HRA online at the center and at other times they just submitted a paper application or a worker helped them to complete that application. Since we got approval from the state to be able to submit applications remotely, we, this traffic has shifted from that in person focus to now people submitting applications online and having telephone interviews. This is a C

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

change for the cash assistance program, it was something that we were already doing for SNAP and we saw with the SNAP program with the, with the, online submissions and the telephone interviews that the traffic decreased dramatically. We are seeing the same thing with cash assistance. This is a much easier way for the public to access services. don't have to take off from work or worry about child care or getting back to school to pick up their children while they're waiting in an HRA facility. They go ahead, they use the technology and then they wait for the HRA worker to call them for an interview. So this has helped to make the program much easier to access throughout the pandemic and so even there is another surge of applications as a result of the end of the eviction moratorium, we are pretty certain that we will be able to handle that surge.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: My concern is, my concern is and I, and I appreciate that, you know, we're in 2021 and that, we're, you know, we're relying more and more on using, you know, online resources, um, however, while DSS has maybe seen a reduction offices like mine, you know, I mean we're

2 here to do that work have seen an increase in the number of people that are coming into the office to 3 4 have the applications completed, you know, through, you know, one of the case, one of the workers here because they don't have access to the computer. 6 7 don't feel secure enough, right, they're a little bit nervous about, you know, maybe not completing it 8 correctly. Not having emails, you know, some, oftentimes, and I don't know if this is the case for 10 11 DSS but it has been my experience that an access in 12 resources via, you know, online services, the person, 13 individual oftentimes needs to have an email address. A lot of my older adults don't have email addresses 14 15 so it becomes a little bit difficult so I just want to make sure that we're all kind of aware, you know, 16 17 paying attention to the unattended consequences, 18 right, that while we are seeing a reduction of, of 19 foot, of people coming into the sites physically, 20 that, you know it does, it may, you know, I don't 21 know what that means. Right. I don't know, 2.2 universally I don't know what it means, it could be, 2.3 right that more and more people are more comfortable with this, this, this form of, you know, of, of 24 25 communicating with the agency but it also, you know,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

very well could mean that there are people that are now struggling within their own community to try to find somewhere where they can get the, you know, the assistance they need to fill out the applications and that concerns me because during the height of the pandemic, specifically with the older adult population a lot of the senior centers were closed and I know that when I was, you know, door knocking, handing out PPE oftentimes I was doing constituent services, you know, in the front of someone's door because they didn't have anywhere else to go. A lot of, you know, most offices were shut down. We have a storefront. We were fortunate enough and we represent a constituency that's, you know, in high need and so we wanted to make sure that we were here but I was still doing constituent services, you know, in the front of people's homes because they didn't have access there so I appreciate, you know this form of communication and, and our ability to use technology in this way because I think that, you know, it's also, uh, how it can be very helpful, right? I don't have to leave my home and stand online and you know that there are so many benefits to it but I also want us to be aware and you know and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

realistic about the fact that there are still a lot of New Yorkers that don't have, you know, access to technology that are not, you know, tech savvy enough to really access it in the way that we think that they are and so what they're doing is then struggling within their own communities, you know, to, you know find someone that will do that for them.

LISA FITZPATRICK: I, I definitely appreciate your concerns and one thing that we want to constantly reinforce is that, you know, the way that we're trying to convert our centers to do business is a more humane approach and is actually in line with the banking industry, right, like there aren't very much of us that will go to a bank to handle our financial transactions. The banks are still available. There are still tellers that are available to provide that additional level of So it's the same with, with our job support. centers. If we can shift the vast majority of people who don't necessarily need that extra handholding. If we can shift those people to the access HRA technology then that frees up staff at those locations to pay more attention to the people who need that extra handholding. So the sites are open

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and they are primarily open for those people need that additional support. Time and time again throughout my career I would go into a job center and I'd have somebody who was more savvy say I don't need to stand in this line to return a document to a worker. I don't want to wait to speak to a worker to be able to submit an application. So the technology really addresses that vast majority of the people that are savvy enough to use the technology to return documents to, to get access to applications while freeing up the services, freeing up our banks, freeing up our job centers for people who need that extra level of support. And so we absolutely agree with you and we want to make sure that services are available for those individuals who need that extra touch, who need to have somebody explain information to them and we've had a number of community based organizations as well and partnership will, you know, help clients be able to submit applications even at our home based sites, we had HRA workers out stationed at home base sites to be able to help people when they come in for those services to also initiate the cash assistance application as needed. We saw it happen for SNAP and we know it can happen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with cash assistance as well if we shift as much as possible to an online environment and that frees us up to be able to spend more time with the people who need extra support.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Absolutely but the danger in that and it's posting it, I'm putting it out there is that, you know as we start to see less and less people coming into the physical job centers that I'm concern that this will lead to a consolidation of programs and the closure of some of these buildings, right, and that it would then, you know, result in individuals in need having to travel a lot further, to get access to those services that they need and I, I'll tell you that I have, you had the Food Stamp program on 14th Street, 16th Street and Union Square and I have been working in this office roughly 15 years and I cannot tell you the number of people that come here accidently looking for that space and then when I tell them that it wasn't 116 that it was 16th Street, they're like, oh my God I only had enough money to get there and back, right. So, that, you know that, that, I just want to be mindful of that as well and, you know, and I'm sure that at some point these conversations will be

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 had that if there is ever a conversation of 3 consolidation, you know, this body would love to, I 4 know that my, our members would love to be a part of 5 that conversation and we would love to see that priority for, you know, sites that remain open are 6 7 specific to neighborhoods in the, in the highest needs and with that I'm going to turn it over to my 8 colleagues because I want to give them all. we have quite a few here and I want to give them an 10

opportunity to ask questions as well.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. At this point if Council Members do have question you can please use the Zoom Raise Hand Function and then just to note that we are going to be limiting Council Member questions and answers to five minutes and the Sergeant at Arms is going to keep the timer and let you know when your time is up. Council Member Hudson, over to you.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.

Apologies again for my earlier error. Um, so I want to just note again that and just for the record that residents of ZIP codes included in part or in full of my district, District 35 filed more than 21,000 ERAP

CRYSTAL HUDSON: Okay, round two.

applications including over 10,500 for rental
arrears, 8400 for perspective rental arrears and over
2500 for utility arrears and our district lost 20% of
its black population over the last decade and so I'm
very concerned about, um, further displacement of
black residents during what might be another eviction
crisis. And so as part of those folks, as part of
the population that I mentioned it includes older
adults and so I have a few questions specifically
pertaining to older adults. The first one is, how
many older adults have applied for one shot deals and
how many times have they needed to apply before
they've been approved? How does HRA conduct outreach
about one shot deals to older adult facilities? Are
there relationships established with NORKS, Older
Adult Centers, Home Care Providers or any other
organizations directly serving older adults? And are
there programs or grants for older adults, many of
whom are on severely restricted incomes where they
can apply to have the repayment requirement waived,
specifically for the one shot deal? Thank you.

ERIN DRINKWATER: So I'll start with some of the responses on outreach. So we have an atlas or advocacy and outreach that does outreach across the

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city which includes partnerships with DIFTA, making sure that information about HRA programs and services is shared in both directions. So if DIFTA is working with clients and they learn that a client needs some of the resources provided by HRA, they will refer those individuals to us and we work also to provide information to DIFTA about our programs. Wealso have with DIFTA the MAP Outreach teams, HRA also has outreach teams so again making sure that we're communicating to those programs through DIFTA. would have to get back to you with any partnerships with any NORKS. If we are not partnering with them it's a great suggestion to make sure that our flyers and materials are provided in different centers for older adults. In regards to the data questions and the breakdown, we can get back to you on overall cash assistant applications but the subset of one shot data is difficult both in part because of the way that the state stores the data and New York City stores the data, so it's a subset of the cash assistance case load. I will make a mention of a local law that was passed at the end of last session that will require HRA to submit a report beginning on January 30th that does include information about

2.2

2.3

utilization of emergency assistance grants for the
previous quarter. So I would just say to look out
for that report it'll provide some additional
information to members of the committee.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much Deputy Commissioner and thank you Council Member Hudson for your questions. Now I'm going to turn to Council Member Williams for questions followed by Council Member Osse. Over to Council Member Williams.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

NATASHA WILLIAMS: Hello. Thank you so much Chair Ayala and to the administration for being here today and of course our wonderful advocates. I know Chair Ayala asked a lot of questions about the outreach and so definitely wanted to second and triple that effort and wanting to be supportive and the question that I have is a little bit nuance. So, I have a district with a lot of small landlord homeowners and just wanted to know what the city is doing to help them because, you know, there's, there's a lot of neglected needs and sometimes they have folks who have been renting and have not been paying and there's a lot of compounding issues for

2 small, homeowners. So small landlords, so I just

3 wanted to know if there's, if there's any resources

4 or if the City has made any plans to help small

5 | landlords?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you for that question Council Member. Of course at the Office of Civil Justice we focus on the tenants but you're absolutely right in terms of thinking about the small landlords as well. Our city partners particularly I think to the HPD that focuses and connects with landlords but I will say that there are still resources that we discussed such as the housing court answers helpline which is a hotline that is run by a nonprofit in partnership with the Office of Court Administration. They provide information and support about the housing court process and assistance as you've discussed and that hotline is available to landlords so that they can call and get information just as it's available to tenants. The number is 212-962-4695 and of course we will follow with you and any other members that would like to get this information so that it can be available to your constituent.

2.2

2.3

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member Williams for your question and to OCJ Coordinator for your response. I'm going to turn now to Council Member Osse followed by Council Member Lee, followed by Council Member Stevens. Over to Council Member Osse.

CHI OSSE: Hi, good morning everyone and good morning Chair. Thank you so much to the administration for answering many of our questions and for that wonderful testimony. The question that I do have and it's a quick one but it's something that I've heard from multiple constituents here in the 36th District. What are some of the reasons that ERAPs are denied? I know that there have been a couple of instances where that has happened from some of the tenants within, within the district and I just wanted to hear from you about some of the reasons that that may happen and then how those could be appealed and the best way possible?

LISA FITZPATRICK: That's actually a really good question Council Member. We don't have that information at this time, the reasons for the ERAP denials. I can tell you that I've heard sometimes people don't complete the paperwork

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

properly and as a result of not submitting the information through the portal appropriately then the application gets denied but as far as the details regarding those denies, it's a state run program and because it's a state run program, New York State OTDA has more information about why applications are denied and I just want to say welcome. You are actually the Council person for my district.

CHI OSSE: Oh wow.

LISA FITZPATRICK: So.

CHI OSSE: I love that.

LISA FITZPATRICK: It's a pleasure meeting you and it's a pleasure meeting all of the new Council Members as well.

CHI OSSE: Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member. I am now going to turn it over to Council Member Lee for questions followed by Council Member Stevens. Over to Council Member Lee.

LINDA LEE: Hi everyone. Thank you so much Chair Ayala for putting this hearing together and thank you so much to the folks from Administration as well as the City Council staff because I know it takes a lot of effort to put your,

um, testimonies and everything in order. So, thank
you so much and I apologize ahead of time if this was
already address because I had to take a couple of
calls and I've been running in and out so. But I
guess, just so you know, Ms. Fitzpatrick, my former
hat was in the non-profit sector working at KCS as
the president/CEO which we were one of the recipients
of the ERAP program through HRA and so we saw
firsthand how important this program was and we had
so many different folks from all different walks of
life that were coming in and applying for these
assistance programs. And I, I guess I just wanted to
talk more from the back end of things on the, on the
data collection and also database in general because
I know that for us, previously as providers like one
of the things that was frustration is that we have
DYC, the HRA, DOHMH, you know you have someone coming
in at the entry point with ERAP but then we find out
in assisting them that they need all of these other
supportive services. And so we have to input all of
their information and assist them through all of
these different portal but is there a way that HRA is
tracking the clients and other supportive services
that are needed throughout the different city

2.2

agencies and how is that, how's the data being
collected for that? Is it, is it just relying on us
as providers and what we think because I think that's
limited on what we can input or is it, is there other
ways that we can sort of coordinate supportive
services because oftentimes they may need SNAP
benefits, I mean not SNAP, sorry, because you guys do
that too but they may need for example to be
connected to the senior center or mental health
services or something else and so, I know we use
passport but it doesn't necessarily communicate or
connect all the city agencies together so is that
something that you guys use on your backend or what's
the, what's the database that you all use?

effort I'm not sure if Raniece can speak to this a bit more but we know that in the outreach effort as I testified today in the testimony that we have the PEU the tenant helpline that are looking at these cases holistically and they are trying to figure out if client's need additional support. I'm not sure if they are keeping track of that data to show that there's been a handoff to another city agency, or, you know, other benefits but that's not something

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

within the agency. If someone is applying for SNAP and then they need cash assistance, we can see that data because opening of the Cash Assistance case forces the food stamp only case to close but we don't look and coordinate and see what other benefits other than the HRA administered benefits through W master what states welfare management system, there's no way for us to look across to see what other programs the client is receiving so we only look at the New York State Welfare Management System, benefits of Cash Assistance, food stamps and medical assistance. know if people are applying for those programs but it is very difficult for me to know if they are applying for other assistance. Raniece, is there any information that could perhaps provide about the outreach effort?

Administrator Fitzpatrick. One of the things about the Mayor's Office of public engagement and the way that they manage it. It's just that. It is meant to be a holistic approach so that it's one door to be able to move and be routed to the services that are needed. So, there are a number of things that a person might say or think about that would cause them

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to be routed there if a person were to call 3-1-1 and once they interact with someone at that helpline that individual is able to triage and understand the needs of that individual to route them to HRA for instance or emergency cash assistance, to connect them tenant legal services if that's what's best. And the thing that is special about what the public engagement unit does is just that. They do track and follow what happens with that particular individual to ensure that the connections that they have put in place are actually happening. So they make sure that that individual has successfully connected and that individual has a touchpoint in the PEU if, so that they can follow and let them know what the status of the matters are and they have an advocate at PEU that can help them if they find that they are not able to successfully connect.

know that my time is up but I just wanted to ask a really quick follow up question about the language access outreach that's being done as well because I think given the language access diversity in our, you know, Queens alone is a bit crazy in terms of how many languages are spoken across the city as well.

2.2

2.3

2	So	if	you	could	speak	a	little	bit	to	the	language

3 piece and which languages or how many of the 11

4 languages if all of them great, if not, how many?

5 Yeah, if you could speak to that whole?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. Any communications that are tenant and public facing are going out in all 11 of the local languages that are mandated under local law.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

RANIECE MEDLEY: I'm sorry. They are translated either in the actual posting that's available or there are links that are made available so that individuals can see how to follow in a different space. Such as they may be able to go online and see more fully what that document looks like. One thing that I would also note in terms of how we make language access available. The Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants for instance has translated some of the court documents. For instance, the notice of petition that begins an eviction case. The Mayor's Office to Protect Tenants has translated those documents into the 11 local law language and they make it available so that an individual can even call in and head that notice read to them in their

2.2

2.3

language of choice. So, there are all sorts of
things that are happening so that anything that is in
front of a person is available directly in that space
in those languages or there are very clear links to
where additional languages can be access for, and
even different types of modes of access. Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Council Member Lee for your questions.

We are now going to turn over to Council Member

Stevens for questions.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

ALTHEA STEVENS: Good morning everyone.

Good morning to Chair Ayala, the Administration and all the advocates who do this work on a regular basis so we thank you for all your efforts. I just have one quick question, given the increase in the legal services do the legal service providers currently have capacity to provide full quality representation? And if not, what can the city do about it?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you for your question Council Member. Our legal service providers as you well know are very committed to the work that we've done. We partner with established organizations that have made this their life's work.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

You know, and these organizations are stood up in this base to do just that. They champion this law and bring it to the floor and they are very much committed to delivering on the promise and what Right to Counsel requires. Since 2014, since the law went into effect we know that we have served over 574,000 individuals with free legal services and that's in housing court eviction matters as well as in NYCHA Administrative Proceedings to terminate tenancy. Just during the pandemic and I would be reference here March of 2020 to October or 2021, we, our legal service providers have been able to provide representation to over 131,000 New Yorkers and that comprises about 61,000 households of individuals who just in that space of the peak of the pandemic were able to get those services. With that, over 1/3 of those individuals were able to receive full legal representation so about 41.2% of those individuals will receive full legal representation and I want to speak to that. When the look at the Right to Counsel Law the law provides that individuals below 200% of the federal poverty level are going to be assigned to counsel for full representation meaning they will have a counsel assigned to their matter who will work

2

8

14

18

with them on that case throughout that matter until 3 the matter is concluded. For individuals who earn 4 more they are entitled to brief counsel or legal assistance and so the providers are there to be able to give case specific information to the individual 6 so that they can understand what happening and that 7 they can move forward defending it on their own even if they are not able to have full legal representation. What happened during the pandemic 10 11 because the courts noticed, the court system had very 12 much slowed down and the number of matters were very 13 limited. Our legal services actually really stepped up and were able to provide full representation even 15 to some individuals above 200% of the federal poverty level when they faced emergency proceedings and 16 17 limited proceedings that the court was actually able to allow. So that was something that was able to 19 happen again because of the nature of the small 20 number of cases. Where we stand now. We have not 21 seen that the number of new eviction filings have 2.2 reached the same volume that they were at the same 2.3 time in pre-pandemic era. So we haven't yet returned to that volume of new cases being filed but we also 24 25 are facing a number of unknowns. We don't know what

2.2

2.3

the rate will look like. We don't know how much it will up-tick and so we are very close in contact with our legal service providers and with the housing court to be sure that we are paying attention to the ebb and flow of cases so that we can be thoughtful and creative about managing it. Because again, we want to make sure that our providers feel supported and that they are able to meet this need.

ALTHEA STEVENS: And, I'm sorry, you were going to say something. I'm sorry. So like what does the planning look like 'cause I know you said that you guys are looking at it and with the uptick but I don't feel like I was, I fully understand what the actual plan is other than monitoring it. Because we know that it is going to be uptick in the evictions and these things and so how are we preparing to get the numbers correct so that there is proper representation for all people who are afforded it.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. So we have to be responsive and one thing I will say as I've learned in my space of, of time in this role is that each of the boroughs is different. The way that the supervising judges of the housing courts decide how

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they will manage the calendaring, how they will manage the volume and flow of cases differs borough by borough and we have a different set of providers in each of the boroughs that we must work with who have different needs so that we can balance it. So in terms of thinking through and solutioning it's something that we're in conversation about to understand at a particular point in time how to best meet that need and as Administrator Fitzpatrick mentioned in testimony we know that providers as across all sectors they're challenged with staffing and staffing up and being able to onboard so as much as they possess the resources to be able to bring people on to rise up to meet the need there's a time that is necessary to bring those people onboard and to train them and bring them up to this, you know, to be able to manage those cases. So, we're looking to them to understand their needs. We're being responsive and wanting to be as nimble as possible as being able to respond to the needs of the providers and we're also in conversations with the courts so that they have visibility into what's happening with the program and what the provider's needs are so that we can think about how the court might also be able

2.2

2.3

2 to support the program and some of those concerns 3 from providers as well. Thank you.

I'm still just very concerned that if we don't have the proper representation that evictions will move forward but I know I'm out of time but that's a major concern for me because we all know that that's a violation of the Right to Counsel so we should definitely be planning and making sure that we are able to expand where we need to expand and pull back where we need to pull back but thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Council Member Stevens. I am now going to, seeing no Council Members with hands raised for additional questions, I will now turn it back over to Chair Ayala.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Yeah, I wanted to ask a question because it came through as via email. Regarding going back to the job center staffing, so if that is, so the question is if staffing is okay why have rejections for failure to complete interviews spiked to over 9,000 cases in the quarter from July to September 2021 compared to 1,397 such rejections in March 2020?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LISA FITZPATRICK: Chair Ayala the data regarding the failure to complete interviews is really based on the process that we have in place. Prior to the pandemic as I said before, clients had to actually physically come into a job center in order to apply or a cash assistance and have an interview. With the waivers that we have in place at this point, workers are able to call them to make, uh, to have the interview held by telephone and that means the household has to be available for that telephone call. If they're not available for the telephone call we do send out a message telling them that they missed and call and they should get, they should call HRA info line in order for the agency to get back in touch with them. But it's because of the telephone system that we have in place at this point. If people go into the centers to have that application interview then they put the client on the phone with an HRA worker while they're at the location to be able to complete the interview. only those who are submitting the applications remotely who there are many reasons why people miss telephone interviews. Sometimes they're busy at the time that we call, sometimes their phones don't have

2.2

2.3

minutes, so there's a lot of reasons why they might miss that call but in order for the case to be logged as failing to have an interview we must first call, make an attempt to call that household. If HRA is not calling that household we cannot log that case as failing to interview. So, just want to be clear about the process, it is HRA physically calling the individual at the numbers that they leave for us, we make two attempts, we leave the HRA info line number on the application interview notification. It tells them that if they missed a call then they can call HR info line and we can make arrangements for that call back. So that, that's currently the process.

about the calls. So if a client is, you know, has an appointment for a phone call and they're using their cellphone and they've opted to block calls that are, that have no known number is that, has that been, you know something that has been raised as a reason for why maybe people are missing those calls? Maybe people are not. I don't answer my phone I don't know who's calling right? And oftentimes I won't have a number and I, you know, these days we're all getting spams, you know, left and right so most people don't

2.2

2.3

pick up the phone. I mean I'm assuming if I want to have an appointment at 1:00 and I got a call, you know, a call at 1:00, obviously, you know, but if the number is not coming through has that been an issue?

many different reasons why we can't get through to the household. It's difficult for us to say exactly a lot of the calls are missed because people just don't answer or the phone is not taking messages, so there are a variety of reasons why those calls are not the, why we can't connect with the client's but as I said earlier, you know, the telephone interview process it has been able to help us to get more clients to access benefits without having to come in. They can still come in.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I get that, I get that my concern is just like how did the spike, so how did we go from 1300 to 9000, right? Like something happened in between I am trying to get to the bottom of like what happened in between. I am trying to get to the bottom of like what happens, um, is it because more people are applying that this has happening right. And so we're seeing, you know, a lot more, the number of people who's, you know, cases

that have been denied or closed, increase as well. I

don't know, you know, I'm trying, I'm trying to gain

4 clarity on that.

2.2

2.3

LISA FITZPATRICK: Chair Ayala, can you please give me the dates of that, for that data again, that you want.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Yeah, from July to September of 2021. Um, cases were 9,000, compared to 1,397 rejections in March of 2020.

application volume. It was the application volume.

We had a spike of applications at the height of the pandemic and as a result, one of the things that we heard over and over again from staff is that there was a time where the state's unemployment system were not working and people were first applying for assistance through HRA if they couldn't get through to state for unemployment insurance benefits. Once they got access to unemployment insurance benefits, they didn't necessarily need the HRA services their unemployment was paying more than the HRA cash assistance grant. So, a lot of people made that first attempt to apply but then they didn't follow through because the other money became available to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

them. So the change for that particular period, the change in the data was related to the surge of applications that we were getting at that time.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Okay. right, I want, I want to just switch a minute to tenant protection. So, I know that we here in East Harlem have, uh, I don't have it in the Bronx yet but I do have it in the East Harlem part of my district, the Tenant Support Unit services because of the, it came from the rezoning in the, you know the community was part of the benefits agreements. I, I find that, you know, it's a really valuable resource. We've greatly benefited from it and I think that we have seen a reduction in the number of evictions because we were able to put in a lot of resources in the community to help education tenants on their rights. However, during the height of the pandemic the tenant support unit went virtual completely and I'm wondering how, you know, was there an impact because of that and, and also I'm curious to know has there been an expansion of the program like have other neighborhoods been selected to receive these resources?

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LISA FITZPATRICK: I'm sorry, the Tenant
Support Unit?

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Yeah.

LISA FITZPATRICK: Raniece?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. I couldn't Thank you. The Tenant Support Unit is part unmute. of the Mayor's Public Engagement Unit so I would have to follow and defer to our colleagues there on the specifics of their staffing numbers and plan and how they, you know how they are planning to phase their roll out but I do know that as you mentioned Chair Ayala that they are very much, um, at the pulse of that high touch connection with tenants and that they have a number of means by which they connect with tenants, peer to peer texting, phone calls, the letters and even door knocking in certain instances are things that they are able to result on and I know that they are very nimble in being able to adjust as they see the need move from different neighborhoods or a particular strategy that may be needed for particular, you know for a particular need at a time but I don't have the specifics at this time for their staffing but we're happy to follow and get that for you from our colleagues.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appreciate it. Regarding the one shot deals, do we know how many people apply for one shot deals that have been, that have had to apply more than once before they were approved and what was the amount of time between, you know, how many, how many one shot deal applicants who successfully applied, you know, what was the wait time was it, you know, three months, four months?

LISA FITZPATRICK: Well we typically have to make a decision on one shot deal application between 30 and 45 days depending on the case type. We don't keep the data by how many times people apply for assistance. We reason for a re-application can be you know based on a variety of factors. There are times when clients are still gathering documentation for the one shot and because of administrative reasons well if we've gotten to the end of that application period or we have to make a decision between 30 and 45 days we might do what's called an administrative denial. When the client has the documentations we just start that application again. So, we, we have to reject it for the state system because it can't hang out too long without a

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

determination but when they're still working with us, still submitting documents, as soon as we get the documentation we go ahead and make a decision on that one shot deal application. It's currently tax season and sometimes people come in and they apply for assistance, they have to use all available resources and if you're entitled to a tax refund then that's an available resource that you would have to apply for before HRA would make a determination on the one shot deal. So your case could be deferred until you can submit verification that you've actually tapped into a pension or applied for, you know, applied for your taxes, some other documentation. Usually when cases, when clients have to come back multiple times it's because of documentation, or sometimes we just don't hear from them and the case gets rejected because they don't pursue the application but it's not information that we keep in our data base to see how many times individuals have to reapply before they can get assistance. It's really on a case by case basis. Some person might, some people might be more proactive have all their documentation. They might have gone through the process before in the past so they are familiar versus the person who is not really

2.2

2.3

sure, who might have resources but hasn't tapped into them so they might have a reason for a deferral. But the application generally has to, we generally have to make a decision between 30 and 45 days.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Is there a cap on the amount of money that a person can get through a one shot deal?

but we like to say depending on what type of housing the person is living in. If it's a rent stabilized unit or otherwise affordable unit then we do as much as possible to try to make sure that the household does not lose that housing. So if someone hasn't paid rent in over 12 months we'll work with them in order to try to make sure, especially for our older clients we will definitely work with them to try to make sure that they can stay connected to housing. So it's on a case by case basis.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I mean we've had cases here where we have had individuals go into a particular home base office and try to get assistance and have been told that they don't qualify until they are able to bring down whatever the arrears are so then they have to kind of go fish,

2.2

2.3

they have to go to Catholic Charities or they have to go to others, you know, institutions that can help with 300 here, 500 there so that they are able to bring down that amount which is very, you know, is difficult to do but you know, we've been able to push successfully to have the arrears covered because I mean, in my humble opinion I think it is more cost effective to the city to just pay the arrears than to have to invest in, you know, fully funding this family's stay at shelter for what, you know, in these days can amount to three or four years.

they are using are based on the state regulation and the state regulation requires people to have a future ability to pay as well as using all available resources in order to preserve tax payer dollars. So if the family can get money from a charity or through a loan from their pension or other resource, then, they first have to tap into that before we will provide assistance but I, I can't say I disagree with you but we operate within the confines of the state regulations.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Understood.

Understood. Maybe we can do a little bit better

about advocating for some changes there because i
just, you know, we don't want to put people through,
you know, unnecessary stress while they are already
undergoing a pretty traumatic experience. You know
having to live with the a, you know the thought of
being evicted any day, you know, it's very, you know,
it's traumatizing. You know, I've been there, many
New Yorkers have been there and I, you know, it's,
it's just, you know, it's heartbreaking to see that.
You know, it just becomes more and more difficult to
get them connected sometimes. OK. I think I had a
couple of questions on CityFHEPs. How many CityFHEPs
move outs occurred each month disaggregated by
household size and by borough but each from September
2021 through February of 2022, you might not have it
but if you can get it to us that would be great. Are
CityFHEP vouchers automatically renewed?

automatically renewed. The CityFHEP vouchers are renewed after a five period. If households simply submit the renewal then the vast majority of them will be renewed. Individuals over the after of I believe it's 60 or 64 do not have to go through a renewal process for CityFHEPs those are automatically

yeah, there's no, no five year period for aged
individuals but for all other families they have to
submit the renewal form and we certify those cases,
readily certify them and as you know, the, um, if the
household is now working we've changed the standard
so now they can earn up to 80% of the AMI so there's
less of a cliff for people who feel like that they
are not able to maintain their housing because they
now found a job. We want people to find jobs and we
want them to eliminate or reduce the need for cash
assistance so with this change to the AMI that now
gives them the ability to still have the support they
need to maintain housing without having to worry
about losing it because they found a job.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Last sessions we introduced, I introduced and passed Intro 1339, this bill requires that DSF provide written notice to all CityFHEPs voucher applicants about source of income discrimination once they receiving a shopping letter. Please provide an update on the implementation of this bill?

LISA FITZPATRICK: Yeah, there's a statement on the shopping letter about the source of

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

income discrimination. Raniece, also anything you can
add to that?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. right, I know that there were efforts and that it was part of it that would continue to include source of income discrimination information which we already had included and, you know, before the admin of the bill but obviously continue to do so. To make sure that individuals that hold shopping letters are aware that they is support available should they experience landlords who are pushing back on them. And we also know that there is a source of income discrimination unit within the, within DSS that is available to individuals who if they should experience landlords that are saying no, we're taking certain tactics to persuade them from moving forward with applications because we know that saying there are still many landlords that are very bold in doing that, surprisingly maybe but you know we also know that there are other ways that landlords refuse to take folks with vouchers as well and the folks in the Source of Income Unit here at DSS and of course also at the City Commission on Human Rights are well aware of how source of income discrimination shows up and

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they can arm individuals with information to
recognize that is happening and also have the ability
to move forward with actions or, to intervene in

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: What is the penalty to the landlord should this, should this discrimination occur?

those matters. To change nos into yeses.

RANIECE MEDLEY: That's a, that's a great It actually, I would say the best answer I question. have for you is that it's a case by case basis. depends on what the nature of the refusal is and how it's, and how it's mated and obviously because you know the highest priority is being able to connect that individual with that housing opportunity. you know the, the approach is to intervene initially while that opportunity is still available, right? Before the landlord could lease to someone else so that we could get that landlord on board and understand how they have defaulted right? Or deviated from the law so that we can let them know that they could be looking at litigation which is going to be costly for them. They could be looking at, you know other concerns in terms of restrictions, reporting issues, things of that nature, so, you

- 2 know, those are some of the things that could be
- 3 brought to their, in terms of how landlords are. You
- 4 know, what's on the other side for landlords as, as
- 5 deterrents.

- 6 CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: That's
- 7 fantastic but my question is, what is the number of
- 8 people that are actually calling into the unit? Has
- 9 | that increasaed?
- 10 RANIECE MEDLEY: That's great. I don't
- 11 | have, I don't have those details. I believe
- 12 Administrator Fitzpatrick tossed this over to me
- 13 | because I joined DSS to actually stand up the sources
- 14 | in the unit but I'm not running it right now so I
- 15 | don't have those details at my fingertips but we will
- 16 surely follow with you and make sure that we can
- 17 push, you know, I know that they do, that they have
- 18 | any number of resources and collaterals available
- 19 | that you all can make available to your constituents
- 20 and then you know, to get you the information about
- 21 calling in, where folks can call in. But we do take,
- 22 my understanding is that the unit still receives
- 23 | calls directly into the unit so that they can help
- 24 because again the timing is very essential in these

2.2

2.3

2 matters and there is also email referrals that are available as well.

which is why we introduced the bill was because of the volume of constituents that were coming in here, you know, that had, they had the shopping letter but they couldn't find an apartment because landlords were telling them that they didn't accept the voucher and they hadn't been documenting what landlord, you know, numbers and so, you know, in order for me to better, you know, gauge whether or not the, you know legislation is working right, it would be, it would be great to kind of get an idea of the number of people that actually are calling in to unit and whether or not that number has increased.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Sure. Absolutely noted and we will follow up with you. Thank you.

LISA FITZPATRICK: Chair, I just want to correct what I said for CityFHEPs and the recertification. The re-certification is annual and households can get CityFHEPs for up to five years if they are age 60 years of age or older there's no five year maximum but for those who don't fall I that category they can request an extension beyond that

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 five year mark and that extension is normally 3 granted.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Got it. Okay.

I don't know of any, does any, do any of the members have any second round questions? Aminta?

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No chair, it doesn't appear, it doesn't appear that any, any Council Members have additional questions.

Want to close this, this part of the hearing off with one question. One final question is how, what, what would your recommendation be? What should we be calling our constituency that they should be doing? What should, what should they be doing to ensure that they're meeting their responsibility to the landlord by paying back rent but, you know, doing it in a way that is sustainable and not for the, you know, for the financial imposition on their family.

LISA FITZPATRICK: I can answer from my perspective and then also have Raniece answer from the OCJ perspective but from my perspective it's about information and action. Like, information without action goes nowhere. So please have your constituents follow up when they get information,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

when they get access to information through mailers or through your office they have to actually do something in order to protect their rights. They have to actually connect with HRA if they need that additional assistance and when they do connect with HRA we please, please need them to follow up and make sure that they submit the documentation. they need help getting documentation they can use us as an available resource to help work with them and sometimes we can get the information that they need I they can't access it themselves but from the perspective of, you know, cash assistance and the CityFHEPs program. It really is about making sure that people do follow up on the information that is provided to them and Raniece if you have anything from the OCJ perspective? Can somebody unmute Ms. Medley? There you go.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you. Thank you.

Thank you Administrator Fitzpatrick and I would actually echo that. The first thing that I would definitely urge is that as individuals receive notifications from court or any notification, whether from a landlord, from court or who have you that they call 3-1-1 and connect to assistance to understand

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what it is that's before them and that they stay in their apartment. That's why the illegal lockout campaign and the information that was pushed out through PEU and the MOPT are so critical. Individuals must understand that unless they have a judge's signed order that has been handed or presented to them by a sheriff or marshall they do not have the leave that home so to stay in position and to not self-evict are the best things they can do before they are able to connect with anyone. other thing that I urge is that our electeds and that other members of community organizations and individuals definitely call 3-1-1 and the tenant helpline or the HCA, Housing Court Answers Helpline. Those referral processes are actually the best way for individuals to be connected with the legal services that they need at that particular time. know sometimes there may be an instinct that by going directly to a provider, you know, there is more immediate service or response but the thing is those were foul streams or exactly where providers expect to be able to connect with these individuals and by going through those referral processes individuals are able to talk to experts that are knowing how to

triage the cases, how to streamline those cases so
that people get reassurance and information that can
help guide them in the best way for their particular
cases. So, instead of trying to figure things out on
their own, understand, well, did I file in time or is
my case is too old or not. They don't have to guess
about that. The best thing to do is go to a resource
where they can be directed and get that additional
support. So those are things that I would definitely
urge. To get anything is just, as Administrator
Fitzpatrick said, take action, be responsive and
reach out to those resources that we said have been
made available so that they can be met with the
services that they need. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Ms. Medley, the local law 51, that would fall under your department, right?

RANIECE MEDLEY: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Do you have any, do you have any information on why the implementation has taken so long?

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you Council

Member, we're at the status there where we have been brought to fund that ourselves as OC-, at DSS or the

2.2

2	Administration of Social Services so, we look forward
3	to discussions, you know, with council. I know there
4	are additional hearings obviously beginning with the
5	budget season that our commissioner will be engaged
6	in, but for the work to support that Bill, we will
7	have to find the money here to support that.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: No, no, no, my understanding was that the program was fully funded, so. Okay. So it wasn't?

RANIECE MEDLEY: That's my understanding. That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Okay.

RANIECE MEDLEY: But I, I would say too and I should have mentioned this as well, as much as we do not have this additional funding in place to support that work. The work is hap-, there is work. There is always work that's happening around education and outreach and I know that in Administrator Fitzpatrick's testimony she spoke to the worth for instance that PEU did at the same time that this bill was going into effect which was November, as you, as you well know Chair Ayala, but that, you know, in November of 2021, PEU initiated that massive Right to Counsel Campaign that included

the radio, the TV buys, the social media, and, and
move into the community in ethnic newspapers to be
sure the word was out there. The massive phone calls
and outreach campaigns so all of these things have
been part of that work and we also have this built in
to the work that our providers deliver just as a
basis of being contracted to do services through the
Office of Civil Justice. So it is important to note
that while this work the bill I should say would
definitely give opportunity to expand on outreach and
expand on education, it is a part of what happened
and through our collaboration with our agency
partners as well as calling on providers as they're
asked to do just in the scope of being providers
through the Office of Civil Justice. We are able to
active that as well so that we have additional
supports coming through. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you.

Thank you. I have no further questions for the Admin unless any other members have. Again, last opportunity to ask a second round of questions.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I see no Council Members with any questions at this time

2.2

2.3

Chair, so if it's all right with you we will move on to additional public testimony?

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Yep.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And thank you to the members of Administration for your testimony and for your responses.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you guys.

LISA FITZPATRICK: Thank you. Thank you so much. We look forward to continuing working with you on these issues.

RANIECE MEDLEY: Thank you.

aminta Kilawan, Committee Counsel: We will now move on to additional public testimony. As a reminder, all public testimony will be limited to two minutes. After I call your name please wait a brief moment for the Sergeant at Arms to announce that you begin before starting your testimony. And please note that panelists will be able to register for this hearing until three hours from the start of hearing so that would be about 1:00. The next panel in order of speaking will be Kathleen Kelleher,

Marika Diaz, Matthew Longobardi with Anna Aboody, and Monsignor Kevin Sullivan. You may begin now.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I would like to add one, one quick, question, not a question but I 3 4 just wanted to say I know that, you know many of us have, you know, extensive remarks we, we do have a two minute time limit so if you have time, while your 6 colleagues are sharing their comments if you could 7 8 consolidate yours a little bit. You can always submit those to the Council and we will, you know, review them but just, you know, to be fair and, and 10 11 to try to stay on time we are asking that everyone 12 please keep it to two minutes. 13 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: A

full written testimony and we will certainly review all of that and if you are interested in submitting your testimony you can do so at testimony@Council.NYC.gov. We have a lot of witnesses registered to testify today. So again we will now begin this panel with Kathleen Kelleher.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.

KATHLEEN KELLEHER: Thank you. Good afternoon I think it is now. I'm Katy Kelleher, I'm a staff attorney at the Legal Aid Society and this morning or afternoon, excuse me, I'm testifying on behalf of both the Legal Aid Society and the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Coalition for the Homeless and since we only have two minutes I will just flag for you that we are submitting extensive written testimony so what I'm going to do now is just talk about, like, respond to what I heard HRA talk about and try to hit on some of the topics that I've heard the Council Members speak about so far. So, on behalf of Legal Aid and the Coalition for the Homeless we want to congratulate Council Member Ayala for hitting the nail on the head which is that the problem that we're facing with the eviction mor-, the end of the eviction moratorium is that it is really a long term housing affordability crisis and so the first thing that we want to highlight that we think that the Council could take action on is that the Council really needs to act to expand eligibility for CityFHEPs to all rent burdened New Yorkers with incomes below 200% of the federal poverty to really address the crisis. We've heard some about ERAP this morning and also about one shot deals but those are really just filling in the crisis gap, they don't address the affordability issue and since we do, the federal government has not solved the problem in terms of making section 8 vouchers available, widely available the city is really going

2.2

2.3

to be forced to act. We think that CityFHEPs should be reformed in three ways, first the requirement should be eliminated that families must have lived in the shelter system for at least three months and have received, or have received an eviction notice and previously lived in a shelter in order to quality. Enable burdened New Yorkers with income below 200% of poverty to quality for CityFHEPs and eliminate unnecessary documentation requirements and streamline the Administration so payment can be issued within four weeks.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time's up.

time. I'm going to say two other topics. I'm just going to literally say what the topic headings are and I would invite, I would appreciate if you would ask me questions because I actually would like to respond to Administrator Fitzpatrick's testimony with respect to the incredible spike in rejection of applications to over 9,000. So I would really appreciate questions on that but I just want to, the other two areas that our written testimony focuses on area the extensive barriers faced by clients when they apply to HRA and the third area is that the

- 2 | COVID 19 crisis has taught us that there are changes
- 3 | that need to be made in the shelter system
- 4 permanently to ease the crisis for homeless New
- 5 Yorkers. So, with that I, I guess I have to end my
- 6 testimony. Thank you.

- 7 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
- 8 you Katy for your testimony. We are now going to
- 9 move to Marika Diaz for her testimony.
- 10 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.
- 11 MARIKA DIAZ: Good morning committee.
- 12 I'm here on behalf of the Section 8 project at the
- 13 | Evan Justice Center. I am the director there and on
- 14 | the steering committee of the Right to Council NYC
- 15 Coalition. You know, at the City level right now we
- 16 | have a huge problem for the City's Right to Council
- 17 | initiative which is really one of the key
- 18 | interventions is standing between New York City
- 19 tenants and mass displacement. The National Labor
- 20 | Shortage that we are experiencing as Right to Counsel
- 21 providers is really impacting our ability to deliver
- 22 the services and we are currently carrying
- 23 unprecedented caseloads that have accumulated during
- 24 | the pandemic. This is really a disastrous
- 25 combination when you add it to the fact that we have

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a court system that is determined to run through thousands of cases without regard for the essential due process right for tenants and the fact that we can't keep up with the demand for services no matter how hard we try. And so the result of this is going to be the tenants will miss out on Right to Counsel, they will get evicted and they will be rendered homeless. So we really need the City's Office of Civil Justice to coordinate more closely with the court to push for a flow of cases that matches the legal provider capacity and we need the City to defend it's Right to Counsel Law as a due process right that it is and to do everything in its powers to ensure that Right to Counsel can prevent mass displacement. It is also obviously essential to immediately implement local law 53 as we've heard from a number of speakers. In addition, our One Shot Deal system needs to be reformed to meet the moment and ensure that New York City tenants aren't displaced. That will include eliminating the future ability to pay requirement, simplifying the application process, ensuring language and disability access. Ensuring a rent relief for undocumented New Yorkers likely post Super Storm Sandy because they

you Marika for your testimony. I'm now going to move

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to Matthew Longobardi and Anna Aboody to testify. I
3 believe they will be testifying together.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time is ready.

MATTHEW LONGOBARDI: I think we each wanted two minutes. But, good afternoon I'm Matthew Longobaradi I'm a senior staff attorney at Mobilization for Justice and a member of the Coalition of Legal Service Providers and we are also a member and support of the Right to Council NYC Coalition. Currently my colleagues and I are feeling an immense pressure of the flow of eviction cases moving through court and we are urging this committee to work with the Office of Civil Justice and the Office of Court Administration to manage the amount of cases being calendared in Housing Court. Only cases where tenants are currently represented by Council should move forward, other cases should be adjourned until legal service providers like MFJ have additional capacity. We are approaching caseloads that will interfere with our ability to provide the zealous and competent representation that our existing clients deserve. Those caseloads will also interfere with the rights my unionized colleagues and I were members of the Legal Services Staff

Association have under our collective bargaining
agreement. We have the right to caseloads that allow
us to provide robust and ethical legal services and
our clients and your constituents deserve. High
caseloads undermine the effectiveness of the Right to
Counsel Program by failing the tenants we current
represent. They also cause burnout and attrition
among legal services staff which will impact the
quality of representation for tenants under the Right
to Counsel program for years to come. Additionally,
as caseloads swell, my colleagues and I have even
less capacity to offer tenants the holistic services
that are necessary to enforce their right beyond the
defense of an eviction. Currently, we have
significant less time for taking on new HP
proceedings limiting our ability to assist tenants
with enforcing their rights to habital housing and to
be free from harassment. For all the reasons it is
essential that cases in housing court only move at
the speed in which legal service providers with
capacity and cases where tenants do not currently
have counsel need to be adjourned. Thank you.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Matthew for your testimony. We are now going to move to Anna Aboody for testimony.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time begins.

ANNA ABOODY: Good morning. My name is Anna Aboody and I'm a staff attorney at Mobilization for Justice in the Bronx. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. While the eviction moratorium expired just six weeks ago the negative impact on tenants and legal service providers has been overwhelming. Perhaps the most notable impact and what I'd like to bring your attention today has been a misplaced urgency with which the court is trying to hear new cases. Instead of prioritizing existing cases wherein both parties have representation, the court is prioritizing newly filed cases where tenants do not have counsel. these cases are appearing before the court for the first time in what's commonly referred to as the intake part, tenants are supposed to be given the opportunity to connect with the legal service provider. Since the eviction moratorium expired cases from these parts have been moving through the court system at fully unmanageable speeds. Cases are

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

being scheduled at rates that far exceed our capacity as a legal service organization. When MFJ is the legal service provider on a duty in an intake part we are responsible for contacting each tenant who's case is on the calendar. The goal is to inform the tenant of their Right to Counsel and the opportunity for free legal representation. Unfortunately there is still a significant amount of confusion for tenants about how they are required to appear in intake courts. If a tenant does not appear for their initial court date the provider assigned to the intake part is frequently left with nothing more than the tenant address in order to contact them. makes the likelihood of connecting with the tenant very low. Moreover, given the high number of cases that are being scheduled in court, our resources as a legal service provider are often tied up in contact tenant and conducting intake. Simply put, tenants are entitled to information about their right to counsel and should have the opportunity to connect with an attorney at these appearances but legal service providers are being charged with the near impossible task and time consuming task of performing outreach to many tenants that often yields few

2.2

2.3

2 results because so many cases are being calendared so quickly.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time.

ANNA ABOODY: A colleague of mine recently compared the situation legal service providers are being faced with right now to the overburden and understaffed hospitals at the height of the pandemic with limited resources, no end of sight to the very sick patients walking through their doors and an obligation to help just about everyone. This is a fairly accurate analogy. However, unlike the overburdened ERs and ICUs throughout the pandemic who had no control over the number of people requiring care on any given day, the Office of Court Administration does not and should not carrying on as if they have no choice but to calendar as many new cases as possible now that the moratorium has expired.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time is expired.

ANNA ABOODY: The decision to do is calculated discriminatory and harmful to tenants, legal service providers and the court staff alike. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
3 you Anna for your testimony. Our final speaker in
4 this panel will be Monsignor Kevin Sullivan.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

muted. Can somebody unmute the Monsignor, please?

MONSIGNOR KEVIN SULLIVAN: Thank you for the opportunity and I will not repeat what the previous panelists have said. I just want to speak to three particular areas. One, how services are delivered, how we help people to avoid eviction. Hotlines, helpless are very, very important. The City's helpline is critically important, however, in person sites in neighborhoods where people can walk in and talk to a real human being are also critically important. For that Catholic Charity, we instituted just last week that all of our neighborhood offices are going to be open five days a week in person. may stagger the work schedule but they are going to be open five days a week. Home base, other sites, that is critically important to make sure that that happens. Secondly, at the end of the day we have a crisis of affordable housing. Therefore, if we want to deal with it, capital funding is critical to make

2.2

2.3

sure that there is more than temporary help to what is going on, so that is the second point. The third point which a number of people have spoken to is that of affordability. And let me suggest to this committee and to its chair that this committee put forth a policy proposal to New York City which initially may not cost any money but sets a direction that we should be setting as our policy in New York City. And I phrase it very, very simply. The policy of New York should be that no New York household who's income is less than 200% of New York City's poverty level should pay more than 30% of their income for rent. This can be achieved by . . .

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

MONSIGNOR KEVIN SULLIVAN: . . . vouchers, rental assistance or even refundable tax credits.

That policy aspiration should then guide all of our assistance programs to make sure that every single

New York City household doesn't become rent poor.

Madam Chair thank you for the opportunity to testify before your committee.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you.

Thank you so much Monsignor and thank you so much to

Catholic Charities for your consistency. I couldn't

2 tell you how many of my constituents actually have 3 utilized your resources and found them to be very helpful. And I agree. I think that creation of a 4 new voucher program is essential because, you know, there is a housing crisis in New York City and it has 6 7 been here for many, many years. I, I benefited from 8 section 8 and you know what was then the Jetis [phonetic] program which is now, you know, a form of the FHEP program. But it helped my family 10 11 tremendously, you know, we, we often talk about affordable housing but there's a need for affordable 12 13 housing and there's also an even bigger need for subsidized housing and we unfortunately don't speak 14 15 enough to that. And so I, I hope to be able to 16 change that conversation in my time in leadership in 17 this committee and I want to first apologize to all 18 of the panelists because I will not be able to ask 19 everyone a question and it's not because you know 20 it's not of interest to me. I know many of you, I've 21 fortunately been able to sit with many of you and I 2.2 know of the great work that you do but we have over 2.3 35 panelists that are registered to speak and it just becomes impossible. But I did have, I just want you 24 to know that, you know, we're not trying to, to shive 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you or anything. We really appreciate your coming here today and if you can submit your written testimony, I promise to review it. I've heard many great ideas. I am not an expert on all things. bring life experience to this committee which I think is important as a person who has been housing insecure several times in my life. But that doesn't render me an expert on all matters and so I will be relying heavily on your expertise to help guide the policies that we create and the work that we do through this committee in a way that is really meaningful to New Yorkers. But I do have a question for Kathleen regarding the rejections. Why do you think that the rejections are so high for interview that allow for the numbers to spike to over 9,000.

NATHLEEN KELLEHER: While there are a number of reasons but one of the reasons is that there are two issues. One is that Administrator Fitzpatrick acknowledged that there are lots of reasons why people miss a phone call except you can't get a renters grant of any kind of public assistance without a mandatory interview and they are happening by phone and in pre-COVID days, back in the day people got a phone call from HRA and they could call

2 And you know, that somebody could leave a 3 message saying like, this is your worker, you know, 4 Ms. Jones call me back. Now, they get a message that says sorry you missed our call, you need to call info 5 So basically what it means that, like, you 6 7 acknowledged Chair Ayala people who don't answer 8 their phone because they don't answer unless they know who it is. They see, oh, they listen to the voicemail and they go oh my gosh that was HRA, let me 10 11 call back, let me hit redial. Guess what, the redial 12 doesn't work and calling info line means, info line is one centralized number that itself needs to be 13 reformed. People can wait for literally over an hour 14 15 on hold to talk to a generic phone agent. When they do talk to that agent what happens is they are told 16 17 they are going to get another call to just wait for 18 another call it's not scheduled. The exact same 19 thing happens again so oftentimes it takes days and 20 days to tie that loop to actually make this happen 21 and HRA is actually not giving people. They're not 2.2 calling from phones that can be called back. 2.3 not treating people like actual humans. I mean I do appreciate that the city and city workers are, you 24 know, do try to do their jobs but using technology 25

2 putting people up against like a massive phone line 3 is, it's a system designed to fail and the same thing 4 is true that, and these are people you only get 5 scheduled for a phone interview if you've successfully filed an application. And as 6 Administrator Fitzpatrick acknowledged they are 7 8 really trying to get people to use the automated system known as Access HRA. And we agree that people should be able, that Access HRA is a great 10 11 alternative for people who can do it but only, but 12 there are 30% of New Yorkers according to a study 13 that former Controller Strainer published, 30% of New 14 Yorkers lack broadband access to the internet. 15 that's 30% of New Yorkers do not have access to the 16 main way that HRA wants them to apply. Right. 17 that automatically knocks them out of the box. 18 let's think about the idea that over 9,000 people 19 were rejected and those were people who already 20 jumped over the hurdle of somehow getting their 21 application filed. Think of all the people who 2.2 didn't manage to even get through the first hurdle 2.3 and get their application filed. So the other thing that HRA is really missing on is that having job 24 centers follow a bank model is really not, it's not 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appropriate. If HRA is a human services agency and it needs to be prepared to serve people as individuals in person not act like a bank ATM lobby which is what they do now. They have been, job centers have been converted into automated selfservice models. I don't know if you caught when Administrator Fitzpatrick answered your question about interviewing clients what she said is if a client comes to a job center we sit them, we put them, we connect them to a phone. So, in other words they don't even interview the person personally. They sit them in a cubicle and hand them a phone and the other thing they do is if you show up at a job center and say I tried to apply and it didn't work for me, I need help. They put you at a computer carol, they call them PC Banks and they tell you to apply online. So, what's crazy is about that is a huge percentage of the people who are going to HRA job centers are people who are there to say I tried to do it online and I couldn't do it. I don't understand how to do it. So, the shift that HRA has made to the self-service lack of individualized assistance is a gigantic failure and those numbers reflect that and so HRA needs to fix that and the

2 other thing is that even for people, there certainly are people who can use info line. There definitely 3 4 are but one of the, there are even more people who could use it if they had real time help. One of the things that is different between HRA and your bank or 6 7 Con Ed or Verizon is that if you try to go online and 8 pay a bill and you need help, there are ways that you could get help with online services. You can call There is usually an online chat feature. 10 11 Somebody can help you navigate. Oh, you can't find 12 your password, let me help you reset it. 13 real time technical help. There is no assistance for Access HRA, none. Zero and the, if you want to see 14 15 witness of that you can look on the mobile apps. 16 you look in the app store there is a technical 17 assistance. You can look in the Q and A sessions and 18 there are questions there that are posted by clients. I actually put one of these in my testimony. One of 19 20 them was from a client who said I'm trying to do 21 this, it's really confusing, I've been calling 2.2 repeatedly, I don't understand. The response from 2.3 HRA 12 days later was thank you for your comment, please send us an email. So I think it's an 24 25 automated bot that responded, so HRA needs to provide

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

online assistance for that too. They need to fix
their centralized phone system so that people can
reach them by phone. They need to provide
individualized phone numbers that people can call
them back and they need to provide the technical

7 assistance and they need to provide real alternatives

8 to applying online. So, I'm sorry like it took a

9 long time to answer but I really want, I'm afraid

10 \parallel that my time is going to be up and I really . . .

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I saw a lot of nodding, I saw a lot of nodding heads so I mean that there is consensus amongst the group along with the recommendations and the agreement on some of the hurdles that are making it really difficult for people to access services. You know, I've seen it, we see it, you know we have an influx of people coming in and you know, we we're able to troubleshoot but if we were not here if our office had gone remote then my fear is that, you know, and that was one of the reasons why we opened and we were one of the first offices to open and remain open throughout the pandemic was because a lot of people needed access to a copy machine, they needed a scanner to scan document, not everybody has a smart phone, not

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

everyone knows how to use a smart phone, hello, my included. So, it become you an impediment, just a further barrier to accessing resources that are well intended, right. That are there within a person's reach we sometimes make it difficult for them in ways that, you know, we shouldn't. So I really appreciate that. Thank you so much. And we're going to move on to the next panel because I want to be mindful of everyone's time. Thank you so much.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this entire panel for your testimony. We are now going to move on to the next panel. I also just want to flag that there sometime is a few seconds delay in your being unmute so just please be patient with us on that. The next panel will be in the order of speaking, Jenny Laurie, followed by Eric Lee followed by Eric Rosenbaum, followed by Josefa Silva. And we are going to begin with Jenny Laurie.

SGT. MARTINEZ: It's ready.

JENNY LAURIE: Thanks very much. My name is Jenny Laurie, I'm the Executive Director of Housing Court Answers. Housing Court Answers is a member of the Right to Counsel New York City Coalition. I want to specially thank Council Member

2 Ayala you have always been so really great, terrific 3 on housing justice issues since you were first 4 elected and we all recognize you as a leader in this Housing Court Answers in partnership with the Office of Civil Justice staffs a hotline for tenants 6 7 facing eviction. Tenants can call the number on 8 their court papers to reach us and our hotline staff can connect those tenants with cases to an attorney provided under the city's Right to Counsel Law. 10 11 Right to Counsel at this point as we've all be 12 talking about is really the only thing standing between 10s of thousands of tenants and eviction. 13 14 Our hotline has gotten super busy since the 15 expiration of the moratorium on January 15th. Somedays we get over 600 calls compared to 100 or 16 17 less a hundred a day at the beginning of the 18 moratorium. I hope that Council will ask the 19 Administration how many tenant are calling 3-1-1 with 20 eviction cases because I'm sure their numbers are 21 super high as well and I'm curious to know how well 2.2 they respond to those calls. As we have heard from 2.3 previous testimony, tens of thousands of tenants now fact eviction for non-payment of rent, some of them 24 25 are in court and some of them are not yet in court.

And there are some partial solutions to the current
crisis and I'm repeating what other have said just
because I think if we all repeat it maybe it will
happen. Full funding for Right to Counsel both for
the provision of legal representation and for the
organizing work that was mandated under Local Law 53
to convince tenants not to give up their homes
without a fight, pressure the Housing Court to slow
the progress of cases so that every tenant who is
eligible gets proper full legal representation. The
current pace of calendar cases is surpassing the
ability of legal service providers to deal with it
and then finally as others have said, streamline the
CityFHEP and the one shot deal process. Some of our
tenants to access rental assistance. Thank you very
much.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony Jenny. We are going to move to Eric Lee for testimony followed by Eric Rosenbaum. Over to Eric Lee.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

ERIC LEE: Hi, good afternoon my name is
Eric Lee. I'm Director of Policy and Planning for
Homeless Services United. Congratulations on Chair

2 Ayala on your leadership of the General Welfare 3 committee and thank you for the opportunity to 4 testify. I'll summarize my written testimony here. To meet the overwhelming need for arrears we urge the Council to call upon Governor Hochul to commit the \$2 6 7 billion in unallocated Federal Pandemic Relief Funds 8 to fund ERAP. On the city level, expanding access to one shot deals can also help with arrears. recommend re-introducing Intro 2172 to remove future 10 11 ability to pay as an eligibility requirement and to Council Member Hudson's comment around recruitment we 12 13 recommend the Council urge the Governor and UTBA to remove the recoupment clause from what I feel is from 14 15 state statute. Housing resources like One Shot, City 16 and State FHEPs and EHV vouchers are only effective 17 if there is enough staff at both government and non-18 profit providers to be able to help people quickly 19 access those resources. The City should exempt from 20 the 3% proposed pegs across the board included in the 21 Mayor's Preliminary Budget. Staff at HPD, NYCHA, 2.2 DHS, HRA and DYCE, runway and homeless youth that are 2.3 all working to address the crisis of homelessness. HSU is extremely grateful to Chair Ayala and members 24 of the Council for passing Intro 146 last session 25

2.2

2.3

raising CityFHEPs to FMR and the Council must hold

DHS and HRA budgets harmless to ensure that both

agencies are not shorthanded and do not need to rely

on redeploying volunteers to be able to process the

additional applications. A partial response

diminishes the anticipated need and could catch both

agencies flatfooted when it outstrips the limited

staff capacity. The Council should also direct

additional funding to NYCHA and HPD departments

responsible for processing EHV vouchers. We

recommend . . .

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

ERIC LEE: . . . we'd recommend the

Council fund the request of Human Services Council's

Just Pay Campaign to increase the low wages of the

non-profit workforce and we also urge the city to

strike the rent reasonableness and utility deductions

from CityFHEPs. Providers have already seen

households lose permanent housing placement duet to

rent reasonableness even when it is below FMR and in

closing we also urge the Council to address the

Mayor's Preliminary Budget which did not include any

funding for Affordable Housing or increased cost to

rental assistance. Thank you.

Eric Rosenbaum.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 AMITRA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
3 you Eric for your testimony. Now going to move to
4 Eric Rosenbaum followed by Josefa Silva. Over to

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

ERIC ROSENBAUM: Thank you. I'm the CEO of Project Renewal a New York City homeless services nonprofit. I'm grateful to Chair Ayala and the entire City Council for your support of our programs. For 55 years we've provided shelter, housing, healthcare and employment services to hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers who are experiencing homelessness and a special focus on those affected by mental illness, substance use and criminal justice involvement. In the 10 years before the pandemic and the eviction moratorium, the single adult shelter system was rising by 1000 people a year and the length of stay was steadily increasing. That's the equivalent of 50, 200-bed shelters needed just to accommodate the growth. I applaud the increase in the value of the FHEPs vouchers because that helps people compete for the very limited supply of affordable units but those vouchers aren't creating housing by themselves so they are only a stop gap

2 measure. Almost all the plans that can increase 3 production of ultra-low income affordable and 4 supported housing won't have an impact on supply in the next three to four years because it takes so long 5 to acquire sites and get financing and build. 6 7 it's not fast enough to help the dramatic imbalance 8 between supply and demand. To avoid an explosion of homelessness, we have to look at all ways to increase housing capacity in the next two weeks. We wrote 10 11 last year in a policy paper that hotel conversions could create thousands of safe, highly affordable and 12 13 supportive units at a much lower cost than new 14 construction and get people in apartments within two 15 years with rent stabilized leases. HPD master lease 16 can fund those conversions without requiring additional or much additional capital funding because 17 the rental subsidies become lateral and then allows 18 for 100% debt financing. 19 That means that these FHEPs 20 vouchers would be funding new permanently affordable housing instead of just subsidizing existing 21 landlords. The previous administration stopped those 2.2 2.3 conversion effort and now the window is kind of shrinking. And in the meantime the city could help 24 alleviate the bureaucratic challenges including 25

2.2

2.3

staffing shortages that delay filling the 60
vacancies that we have today at our 1000 supported
housing units. Getting approval for a housing
package takes months.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time is expired.

brand new supported housing building in Bedford Park with 118 units, as of today we've filled 26. We could cut the time to fill the rest by two to three months if the approval process were faster. I'm grateful that we are now allowed to make some direct connections between our shelter clients and our own housing vacancies. We want to see that expanded. I just close by saying if we have to fight battles to house New Yorkers I'd rather be fighting the battles to create housing than for more shelter. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify and I welcome any questions.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Eric for your testimony before moving to the final panelist for this panel, Josefa Silva. I just want to call the next panel as well so folks can have a head's up that you will be up next. The following panel will be Amy Blumsack, followed by Sarah Wilson,

followed by Beatrice Simpkins followed by Andy Aujla
followed by Jesenia Ponce. I am now going to call

Josefa Silva to be the last panelist. You are over

5 to Josefa.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

JOSEFA SILVA: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm the Director of Policy and Efficacy Thank you for the opportunity to testify for WIN. and for the attention and work that the Council is doing to keep people in their homes. WIN is New York City's largest provider of shelter and permanent supportive housing for families with children who have experienced homelessness. We operate 13 family shelters and 365 units of permanent supportive housing. In total, we served more than 9,000 individuals last year. One of the reasons that WIN exists is that New York City has a shortage of housing that is affordable to the lowest income New Yorkers. For the pandemic and Fiscal Year '19 600 of the families in WIN shelter were there just in WIN shelter because of eviction. Those of us in family homelessness remember when in desperation DHS turned to unsafe cluster sites and commercial hotels to shelter families with children because the need

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

overwhelmed the capacity of the shelter system. fear a return to those days as an influx of people turn to shelter in the aftermath of the expiration of the moratoriums. There's no doubt that the eviction moratorium was a necessary emergency intervention but we need a long term solution and that solution is an expanded rental assistance program. CityFHEPs has the potential to mitigate housing vulnerability but none of New Yorkers are able to use this key solution. There are three areas of reform that would fix this. First, it's time to use the voucher to prevent evictions. Currently CityFHEPs is targeted to helping New Yorkers leave homelessness by expanding access to CityFHEPs for people facing eviction before they lose their home. We would prevent homelessness in the first place. New York City needs to improve it's systems and administration of the CityFHEPs vouchers while also working with landlords to build participation. WIN we see families lose apartments because of long processing times on the applications, delays on apartment inspections and approvals. Late payments to landlords and other administrative issues.

Finally New York City has to step up enforcement so

2.2

2.3

that landlords can't discriminate against families who use vouchers. WIN joins neighbors together and other advocates to call for a \$1 million increase in this year's budget for a source of income unit at the commission on human rights. Thank you again for your time and attention, we look forward to working with you in the coming months to help New York's most vulnerable families.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Josefa for your testimony and that concludes this panel. I will now call. Go ahead, Chair Ayala.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Regarding the number of individuals and I'm asking because several of you have brought it up due to the inability to pay future rent. Do we know what that number is? Does anyone know? No, Eric maybe? Can somebody unmute Eric please?

ERIC LEE: With regard to inability to pay
I think HRA has that information because that's how
they would determine whether or not they would allow,
whether they would grant the one shot deal, because
they would be like if you don't have future ability
we're not going to the . . .

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Understood but several of you brought it up as something I need to change, are you seeing an increase in the number of clients that have been denied for that reason?

ERIC LEE: I think it's just a longstanding challenge of trying to access rental
assistance and given the idea if we can try to ensure
that given the need that we are able to then get more
people to be able to qualify of it. That's how we're
going to get people to be able to remain in their
homes.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Perfect. Okay and do we know, what is your experience and I'm not this is for anyone on the panel on the, the length of time for inspections, when you say delay like what is that a, is that, are they delayed by a month. I would imagine that during the COVID pandemic those delays were even longer?

JOSEFA SILVA: We, you know the inspection times and delays vary hugely which is also one of the challenges is that there isn't always a clear expectation of what the timeline and the process is. During the pandemic itself, inspections were handled remotely. There were videos, there were

- 2 ways to use video and such to conduct inspections.
- 3 Those have si-, that's no longer how they're being

4 done.

2.2

5 CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Okay. Thank 6 you. Thank you guys.

other issue which is tenants who apply for a one shot deal if they're, if they have a subsidy and they got behind anyway they've applied for ERAP they've been told basically they're not going to receive the funds from ERAP because they're subsidized but they also can't go forward on their one shot deal. I don't think it's a huge problem but there are people who have applied for one shots in the first round who are going to, basically are getting rejected that can't go forward with their one shot deal application.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: That's interesting. Thank you so much and I wanted to just add thank you for Housing Court Answers. Actually taught me so much when I was doing constituent services way back when. I found that they are very useful tools so thank you very much.

JENNY LAURIE: Oh sure, as I've said

you've been such a terrific leader on these issues,

4 we really appreciate it.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you. Okay, we can move on to the next panel.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL:

Thanks again to this entire panel. Moving on to the following panel which will be speaking in the following order, Amy Blumsack, Sarah Wilson, Beatrice Simpkins, Andy Aujla and Jesenia Ponce. Beginning now with Amy Blumsack.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

AMY BLUMSACK: Hi good afternoon. My name is Amy Blumsack I am the director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak today and Chair Ayala thank you for leading us in the right direction and I look forward to continuing to work with you. I just want to say I echo what so many others have said. I'm going to try and focus on a few things that have been talked about less. Obviously we have run out of ERAP money that we didn't get enough to cover people who need assistance. Although this is obviously a City Council hearing there are two bills that are going to

2 be really important at the state level, the Housing Access voucher program. And the Cause Eviction. 3 4 These will both lift people out of homelessness, right? Prevent people from falling in who are at risk for eviction and then also give unregulated 6 7 tenants protection so that they can also not fall 8 into eviction by creating a floor for them of rights. And so those are going to be critical to sort of cap on both ends, the homelessness crisis and, yeah, New 10 11 York City has large influence at the state level in 12 terms of homelessness and so I really want to 13 recommend that the Council, the Mayor, the Administration continue to be equal supporters of 14 15 these two bills at the state level particularly as the budget season is coming to an end at the state 16 17 level. Additionally I really want to echo that CCHR, 18 the SOI unit, their source of income unit needs \$1 19 million in funding at minimum. They have been such a 20 huge resource to our members. They have literally 21 helped people get housing when they struggled for so long to find it with the voucher but they are down to 2.2 2.3 one person right now and that's not enough. creating a huge bottleneck and that just incentivizes 24 people from reporting discrimination which allows 25

- 2 | landlords and brokers to continue to break the law.
- 3 So, additionally CityFHEPs is a huge help. We're
- 4 super excited about all the wins last year but again
- 5 I echo that the administrative delays and burdens are
- 6 huge barriers for people. The lack of staffing is
- 7 | slowing down the processing. The cumbersome
- 8 documentation requirements. I mean I have a member
- 9 who got accepted into a really incredible apartment
- 10 | in November and she is still waiting to move in
- 11 | because of delays. I mean it's, it's unacceptable.
- 12 So I will continue to spell out the details of that
- 13 | in my written testimony and I look forward to
- 14 continuing conversation with you about these
- 15 | important issues. Thank you.
- 16 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
- 17 you. Thank you Amy for your testimony. I'm now
- 18 going to move to Sarah Wilson, over to Sarah.
- 19 SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.
- 20 SARAH WILSON: Hi everyone. Thank you
- 21 so much for having me. My name is Sarah Wilson. I'm
- 22 here today with Neighbors Together someone I've done
- 23 a lot of work with on housing and homelessness
- 24 issues. What I have already head mentioned the
- 25 | CityFHEPs is something that we work on together.

2 Both the dollar amount as well as getting the income 3 curve taken off. I've also done work with Urban 4 Justice and Vocal New York as well as on the HRA Info line which I keep hearing come up as well. Like I 5 said, Amy said a lot has already been discussed so I 6 7 am going to try as well to see things that maybe have 8 not. I am formerly homeless, was in multiple shelters, I'm on disability, fixed income, needed a When there is foreseeable of risk of fixed rent. 10 11 loss of general welfare community has an obligation 12 to intercede. A major part of why the homelessness 13 is so big despite stereotypes is because of illegal wrongful evictions, one of the documents of shelter 14 15 requests is an eviction letter. Most of the people 16 have that but they've never had Counsel nor good 17 cause which is leading to a responsible for a major 18 factor that's creating homelessness and the 19 homelessness crisis itself which then creates a lot 20 of secondary characteristics and it carries like I 21 said a great risk for loss of life. Once you're in 2.2 the system it is nearly impossible to get out of and 2.3 that's, sorry, give me one more second. Okay, it's understood that preventing homelessness by serving 24 people who are at risk is both more effective and 25

2.2

2.3

humane than what's been going on for the past decade and contributing like I said to a major part off the homelessness crisis. People assume that there was something wrong before they went in but a lot of the time it's not a condition of being in the street and in the shelters for so long and the current practice and treatments is what's causing the health conditions and the breakdown. Without shelter, food to eat, community privacy, safe conditions, you have physical, mental, emotional, spiritual will become broken that can be prevented by extending the eviction moratorium, allow people the opportunity to obtain counsel as well as rental assistance that may be needed or whatever else is needed to . . .

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

SARAH WILSON: . . . stop creating this homelessness crisis. I got just one more second and I'll be done with y'all. Sorry, sorry, sorry, okay, you know what instead of describing the people as a cancer let's start treating them as the humans that they need to be and I'll leave it at that. Thank y'all and God Bless.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Sarah for your testimony. I move now to Beatrice

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Simpkins followed by Andy Aujla. Over to Beatrice 3 Simpkins.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

BEATRICE SIMPKINS: My name is Beatrice Simpkins I'm the Chief Program Officer for the Partnership for the Homeless which focuses on preventing homelessness via housing rental assistance, health education and changing the public narrative. I would like to thank Chair Ayala and committee members for the opportunity to speak about the impact of the expiration of state and federal eviction moratoria. With the moratoria over and without sufficient emergency rental assistance program funds, our City and State will experience a tsunami of homelessness that will last for decades. This is a moment to focus on prevention. The most cost effective humanitarian solution. This requires safeguarding people in existing homes, via rental assistance and other measures and safe guarding the states stock of reportable homes through investments. It is estimated that 400,000 households in the city will need rental assistance that two and three of them that applied for ERAP have not been assisted. While many families who lost income during COVID

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

regained work and income these, those earning minimum wage and low incomes have no means to cover the rent gap without assistance. Lifting the moratorium without adequate assistance hurts tenants and property owners, particularly smaller property owners. Not providing rental assistance equates for the allowing of thousands to lose their homes and end up in shelters and that annuals 68,000 cost per family is vastly more expensive than the average \$4000 that a household needs to address rent arrears. New York Homelessness is primarily a story about women and children of color bearing the devastating impact of domestic violence, evictions and overcrowding in housing. This sadly is a racial injustice story. Over 95% of people in shelters are people of color and over 90% of families in shelters are female headed. At the partnership 85% of our clients are black and Latin women and women with children. When government is willing to pay more to allow families to lose their homes and experience homelessness and doing best in measures that prevent homelessness we must ask why. Instead we must together, we must work together to end homelessness and the first step in investing and prevention.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 partnership is ready to be your partner in this.

3 Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. I'm going to call now on Andy Aujla to testify.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

ANDY AUJLA: Thank you everyone. My name is Andy Aujla I am the Director of Advocacy with Communities Resist. A community based legal services and organizing nonprofit serving tenants throughout Brooklyn and Queens. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and we echo the sentiments of many other legal services providers who have already testified and this will be a summary of our testimony which is also being submitted in writing. Communities Resist work and model legal advocacy is centered on representing tenant associations an affirmative cases for improved living conditions and to combat tenant harassment and discrimination. We were founded on the understanding that housing justice is a racial justice issue and that tenant legal services must be in support of community based tenant organizing. Although Communities Resist is

2 not directly providing representation through the 3 Right to Counsel program we continue to provide 4 services through for tenants through the City's Anti-Harrassment tenant protection program which supports building wide legal action by tenants against their 6 7 landlords for repairs and to fight back again 8 harassment and discrimination. The pandemic has exacerbated an already existing housing crisis by compounding the scarcity of affordable housing in our 10 11 most diverse neighborhoods with an economic and 12 healthcare crisis. Our organization has been 13 assisting tenants throughout the pandemic by filing 14 legal action against landlords using illegal means to 15 displace tenants providing brief advice, hosting 16 dozens of Know You Rights workshops and connecting 17 tenants with essential services to meet their basic 18 needs like heat and how water. Also a member of a 19 coalition of organizations that has helped hundreds 20 of tenants apply for and receive state ERAP funding. 21 Over the last two years we've seen a start rise in illegal lock out cases and instances of landlords 2.2 2.3 shutting off essential services the aforementioned heat and hot water and also not making repairs or 24 eradicating vermin. There has also been a steady 25

2.2

2.3

now . . .

rise in cases of harassment and discrimination and discussed previously. We know that evictions and displacement have a disproportionate impact on people of color, especially women and children and that housing instability has a significant adverse effect on an individual's education, employment, family relationships and physical and mental health. It's

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired

ANDY AUJLA: . . . there more than ever that legal representation is essential to level the playing field between landlords of means and our low income neighbors. This is why we are employing the City Council to increase the funding that's available to all of these legal service organization to fully fund Local Law 53 and also to fully fund the one shot deals. Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Andy for your testimony. Before calling up our last panelist in this list of speakers, I also want to note the following panel so everyone can be ready once you are called. The following panel is going to be in the following order of speaking, Emily Ponder Williams, Oksana Mironova, Imran Hossain, Rafael

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Moure-Punnett and Stephanie Espinal so that's going
to be our following panel. And now, finally for this
panel, calling on Jesenia Ponce.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

JESENIA PONCE: Hi. Good afternoon. thank you for the opportunity to be able to present our testimony. My name is Jesenia Ponce I'm a supervising attorney at Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation. We provide legal services for low income tenants in upper Manhattan and the Due to time limitations I'll jump right to the point. Over 200,000 pre-pandemic eviction cases continue to move forward in Housing Court as we speak. As you know from previous testimony expiration of the moratorium has brought a dramatic increase in seeking rental assistance. Tenants continue to struggle with unemployment and consequently be unable to pay their arrears. with tenants unable to apply for a one deal unless they have future ability and ERAP funds no longer realistically available and rent programs, rent voucher programs being underfunded and maintaining limited eligibility the tenants find themselves at a dead end because they will never be able to pay the

accumulated arrears. With evictions now in place 2 3 without having a solution for tenants struggling with arrears there is limited bureaucratic work around 4 this issue because there is simply not enough funding for renal assistance. Also the impact that the 6 7 expiration of the moratorium has had and will continue to have on legal services is disasterous. 8 Advocates lack proper support to manage the wave of impending evictions. The tsunami as someone 10 11 previously testified. That's a perfect way to put 12 Providers are working at higher than normal caseloads without additional funding and support 13 14 staff. We must remember that housing attorneys 15 maintained a full caseload before the pandemic and 16 the additional wave of eviction proceedings will 17 influence services provided as well as debilitating 18 our staff. This additional strain on our work place 19 poses a risk of high turnover as staff find 20 themselves overwhelmed and, and, and it's nearly 21 impossible to navigate this wave of cases 2.2 efficiently. Without fund funding for legal counsel 2.3 and support staff, legal services are ill-equipped to handle the growing housing crisis in our city. All 24 tenants should have, all tenants should have the 25

opportunity to be represented by an attorney who will
be able to provide meaningful representation on each
and every case. Thank you and I welcome your

5 questions.

2.2

2.3

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Jesenia for your testimony and I apologize for mispronouncing your name earlier. Now, going to turn to our next panel. Our next panel will be Emily Ponder-Williams followed by Oksana Mironova, followed by Imran Hossain, followed by Rafael Moure-Pennett followed by Stephanie Espinal. Over now to Emily Ponder-Williams.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

EMILY PONDER-WILLIAMS: Hello. Thank
you so much for, to the committee and to our Chair
for hearing these important issues today. My name is
Emily Ponder-Williams. I am the managing attorney of
the Civil Defense Practice, a neighborhood defender
service of Harlem. And DSS as a holistic public
defense officer that participates in the Right to
Council Program and has been providing some of the
legal services to the residence of Northern Manhattan
for the last 30 years. I want to jump in and
specifically address some of the issues that are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

facing legal services providers at this time. I know the committee has heard a lot today about the challenges but I'd like to get a little more specific about what those challenges are and I'll also point the committee to my written testimony which provides even further detail on these challenges. committee has heard a lot today I think about new eviction filings and an impending wave and we've heard that the new filings have not quite reached pre-pandemic levels but what I want to stress is that the influx of cases coming to legal services providers is not just about new filings. being assigned to cases that pre-date the pandemic sometimes by a number of years. We were recently assigned a case that is on appeal and has been pending since 2016. This is because the full Right to Counsel as we all know was not in effect prepandemic but these causes paused and they are now returning we are being assigned both new filings as well as cases that have ongoing again for a number of years and pre-pandemic. As a result, in Manhattan we are fielding on any given intake day four different parts and might take on roughly 30 or more new cases, new to us cases on that particular day. This is

2.2

2.3

today.

spreading our staff incredibly thin. On top of this we are not only being assigned cases in court but we are fielding referrals constantly through Housing Court Answers, the court and OCJ asking us to contact tenants before court and these are, this is truly hampering our abilities to provide holistic services that is required to implement and real meaningful right to counsel. So, thank you again to the Committee and to everyone else who is testifying

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Emily for your testimony. Now going to move to Oksana Mironova.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

OKSANA MIRONOVA: Good afternoon and thank you. My name is Oksana Minova and I am a Housing Policy Analyst at the Community Service Society of New York. We have been closely tracking eviction rates in New York City for decades. We know more than one in four loan tenants are behind on their rent during the pandemic with black and Latin-X tenant and particularly women at greatest risk. We have a bunch of additional data on evictions that's imported in my written testimony as well on your

2 website in the reports. Below are five 3 recommendations for easing the City's looming 4 eviction crisis. Right to Counsel. Following the implementation of Right to Counsel we have worked 5 closely with the Right to Counsel Coalition to 6 7 advocate for local law 53. The law needs to be 8 implemented immediately for all the reasons that the previous panelists have talked about already today. The eviction case backlog. We know that New York 10 11 City Housing Courts are struggling with a backlog of 12 eviction filings and in the coming months Housing 13 Courts should only move the cases for tenants with legal representation specifically and adjourn all 14 15 others until legal services organizations have more 16 capacity. Rental Assistance. CityFHEPs is the 17 rental assistance program that the City has the most 18 direct control over. Unfortunately, there is an 19 administrator that enforcement of [inaudible] 20 eviction prevention specifically. Most crucially New Yorkers must stay in shelter for 90 days before 21 becoming eligible are faced with systemic source of 2.2 2.3 income discrimination from landlords and undocumented New Yorkers are excluded. For the New Yorkers who do 24 get access to access to the vouchers, often get lower 25

2.2

2.3

quality housing with a lot of HPD violations. The city should work to expand eligibility to effective prevent intervention and ramp up enforcement of housing code and source of income discrimination.

Abolishing and replacing the tax lien sales. Today the authorization for the City's Tax Lien Sales this policy often leads to displacements and eviction for renters living in buildings facing Tax Foreclosure.

The City must end this program and replace it with a system that stabilizes these buildings by turning them in to social housing.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

OKSANA MIRONOVA: And finally the

Community Opportunity to Purchase Act. This is a

bill that was introduced by Council Member Rivera in

the last session that would give non-profit and

community land trusts the right of first refusal to

buildings going up for sale and we urge the City

Council to pass this law when it's reintroduced.

Thank you so much.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Oksana for that testimony. I'm going to call now Imran Hossain. Over to Imran.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

IMRAN HOSSAIN: Good afternoon and thank you Chair Ayala. My name is Imran Hossain and I'm a staff attorney with the Volunteers of Legal Service, the Civil Services Organization serving all five boroughs while working to marginalize small businesses. The COVID 19 pandemic has highlighted and extenuated many of the systemic injustices within New York City. However, unlike the disease itself where it developed an effective medicinal solution we have not yet found a fix for the havoc this pandemic has brought upon our marginalized small business office for the overlook back on this incredible city. The moratorium made one thing apparent, legislation that requires landlords and commercial tenants work together to yield to mutual success. Recently I was working with an immigrant woman, small business owner who operated a barber shop for over 20 years. Given the past two years in which overly sees operations for a substantial period of time, she was unable to pay rent and face the prospect of losing her business to eviction. In the absence of a moratorium, the landlord likely pursued an extensive, time consuming legal proceeding only to receive an empty store front while a tenant would have lost her business, a local

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

pillar of the community. Instead the landlord, tenant worked together and found a mutually beneficial solution. I'm happy to say that the landlord is receiving regular rent payments while the small business owner can maintain her livelihood. addition to legislation and/or potential funding to provide much needed relief to commercial tenants, we must focus in on showing our small business owners are able to take advantage of these breaks. Many of our small business owners are immigrants who do not speak English as their first language or know their rights under the law. This is all exacerbated by the fact that these tenants, unlike residential tenants do not have the right to counsel and correct eviction proceedings regarding themselves at their businesses. This resulted in missed opportunities and makes it harder for landlords and tenant to come to an agreement. While the city considers programs, fundings and policies for residential tenants who face eviction, we must not forget the commercial tenants who are also struggling to make rent.

23 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

IMRAN HOSSAN: When a small business fails, not only does a family lose its livelihood,

the workers lose their jobs and the community loses
an important service and for that, New York City is

4 worse off. Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Imran for your testimony. Now going to move to Rafael Moure-Punnett for testimony. Over to Rafael.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is set.

RAFAEL MOURE-PUNNETT: Thank you. Good afternoon Council Member Ayala and other members of the committee. I want to thank you for allowing me to testify. My name is Rafael Moure-Punnett. I'm the Associate Director for Housing at the Harlem Community Justice Center. We are a project for the center for court innovation which is an agency that has 25 years working in New York City to create a more humane justice system and the Harlem Community Justice Center has been operating for 20 years showing residents in central Harlem including constituents in your District, Council Member Ayala. We want to thank the Council for allowing us be in partnership and speaking to them about our concerns around the eviction moratorium ending. You know, it seems like now that there aren't legal protections

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the increase in volume of court cases is a risk for our clients and your constituents and the service provides has addressed. But I want to speak a bit about public housing tenants because before the pandemic NYCHA was the largest evictor in New York City and we are very much concerned about our clients in public housing who have ballooning debts. Many of these clients were encouraged by NYCHA to apply for ERAP and now have not received any ERAP funding and now area ineligible for one shot deals because they have pending ERAP applications. We are concerned that this is a very large number of tenants in the city and that they have no options for rental relief and their rent arrears are going to continue to increase until NYCHA brings them to court. We are advocating for these residents at the Justice Center and definitely want to highlight the residents, the new protections available due to the Fields Re-Rust Settlement that NYCHA entered in to which is going to provide tenants hopefully the additional protections encountering rent over charges. While the state assumes that rent subsidies will pull into arrears, you know the subject of this litigation was that NYCHA is not doing, not adjusting rents and we are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hoping that the Fields Re-Rest Settlement and educating tenants about this settlement can really dramatically increase tenants ability to fight overcharges due to grievance process. I am . . .

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

RAFAEL MOURE-PUNNETT: . . . Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Rafael, let me ask you a question. The Administrator Fitzpatrick and I, I asked her about the, I lost my track of thought, the evictions and I didn't ask about the public housing. I lost my question, I'll come back to me could you just mentioned something and it just like, it struck a cord with . . . oh, the ERAP applications. The ERAP applications, so the person applied for ERAP my understanding is based on what we are seeing in our office is that they can't apply for a one shot deal because they have a pending ca-, so Administrator Fitzpatrick mentioned that that had changed. Is that, so that, so that's not applying to people that had applied previous to the change?

RAFAEL MOURE-PUNNETT: It's my understanding that if you apply for ERAP this year, you can still apply for a one shot deal but if you applied for ERAP last year, it's going to appear as

2.2

2.3

- pending in the system and you are no longer eligible for a one shot deal. NYCHA sent out letters and emails to all the residents saying go apply for ERAP so if residents did what NYCHA told them to do they are not ineligible for a one shot deal and this is a problem that has, you know, no immediate solution and NYCHA has to figure out what to do with all these
 - CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: Gee. Thank you. Thank you.

tenants who owe tens of thousands of dollars.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Rafael for your testimony. Going to move now to the final panelist in this segment, Stephanie Espinal. Over to Stephanie.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I believe Stephanie needs to be unmuted. At this time I think that Stephanie might be experiencing some technical difficulties because Stephanie no longer on the Zoom. So, in the meanwhile I will call up our next panel and we will circle back with Stephanie. The next panel will be in the following order, Ann Korchak, Joan Zhu, Helen and Hung Bouma.

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

2 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, I

am now going to turn to Ann Korchak for her

4 testimony.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANN KORCHAK: Hi. Good afternoon, my name is Ann Korchak and I'm currently serving as the President of SPONY, which is the Small Property Owners of New York. I'm grateful for the opportunity to speak today. Our members have been greatly impacted by the eviction moratorium. And I just want to share a few examples with the ending of the eviction moratorium, what some of our members might see. In Brooklyn, a homeowner in an affordable building who has had a drug dealing squatter in her home since before the pandemic may get a chance to return safety to the other residents in her building but first a judge must view the videotapes and hear the testimony of the other residents in the building. In Queens, a young woman who has daily encounters with a threatening and misogynistic non-paying tenant may get a judge to understand the danger that she's living in. In Manhattan an owner who has a drifter in her apartment and is losing every legal protection afforded to tenants that allows her to continue to occupy a bedroom in this woman's apartment, perhaps

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the woman can be removed and she can begin healing from this incredibly emotionally damaging ordeal. In Staten Island there is a homeowner with at tenant who has not lost his livelihood and was not impacted by COVID. Perhaps this owner can seek back rent and get the home back. In the Bronx, perhaps a judge can finally hear a case that pre-dates the pandemic where a tenant has willfully destroyed the property and made the building uninhabitable for the other family below. The eviction moratorium began with the best of intentions, to keep people housed and out of shelter so as to slow the spread of COVID but this public health measure has been seized as an opportunity to force small owners to act as shelter providers without compensation all the while the city and the state dole out millions to wasteful and sometimes criminal third party providers who are running the broken shelter system in our City. time to acknowledge that the eviction moratorium has hurt small landlords.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

ANN KORCHAK: And that the blanket eviction moratorium that protected all tenants and left no room to deal with situations like the ones

2	I've just described has created a crisis for the
3	small operators. We are the backbone of New York
4	City Housing and destroying us greatly impacts the
5	renters in our buildings and I'd just like to add
6	quickly, I know earlier, you know, there was a lot of
7	people speaking about the right to Counsel
8	legislation and you know we support that. You know,
9	we do believe that people that are in need, you know
10	finally should get representation but we also believe
11	that that protection either should be provided to
12	struggling small housing providers too. You know,
13	they fit the same income parameters that you will be
14	offering the right to Counsel for tenants, you know,
15	the, the small owners who fit that same financial
16	profile, you know, should be given the Right to
17	Counsel as well. And also, one of the earlier
18	speakers, I believe it was Eric Lee, you know, called
19	for the entire \$2 billion in pandemic aid to go to
20	rental assistance and you know, we agree with that
21	also 100%. So thank you for allowing me to testify
22	today. I appreciate it.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank

you Ann for your testimony. I'm going to now move to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Joan Zhu for testimony followed by Helen. Over to Joan Zhu.

JOAN ZHU: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in front of the Chair Ayala and all the City Council Members. I'm just a small landlord in a building. My case actually has been over two years of not going anywhere. I filed two court cases. One is the Housing Court. One in the Supreme Court. My tenant actually has the ability to pay. He has a two factories in the City. He makes about \$4.5 million sales every year and before he applied. When he file the application to apply to an open apartment, he actually told me that has only, he makes over \$270,000 a year. So, a person that makes almost \$300,000 a year but now paying me a dime for two years. And it also was mentioned just two blocks away from a property but he's not moving back. told me to just like put my mortgage into forbearance, asked me to defer my tax and he said that he was just staying here. I'm not going anywhere. With this pandemic there is nothing that you can do so he owes me like so far for 22 months rent which is \$55,000. My case actually was reported by NY1 News on 10 p.m. live on February 17. I

2.2

2.3

actually I'm going to include a link on my testimony and you are welcome to watch it and just one of the things that people just using the system. The person at ERAP they are basing all the eviction moratorium, they are just us, not paying a small landlord and we are actually in the danger of losing our other house. As a small landlord, we need help. We want our voice be heard of what has happened to us. So I'm just telling you that. You are welcome to ask me any questions, that's all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I appreciate you coming to testify and I, I actually did the New York 1 report and I'm sorry that this is happening to you and I, you know, I would imagine that throughout the pandemic there are situations where people may be taking advantage of the system but I really want to reiterate that the reason that we're having this hearing is because the majority of people don't, right. And then they, they really are in need and we need to be able to make that distinction and to keep that, you know, and make sure that we are protecting, you know both those residents that really need to be protected, but also not at the expense of smaller landlords, so there has to be a better balance. We

2.2

2.3

helpful.

need, we need to do better to protect, you know, both sides. But I wanted to thank you personally for coming in today to testify and I'm sorry again that this is happening to you. Submit your information and we will look at it and we'll see how we can be

JOAN ZHU: Okay. Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much Joan for your testimony. Before calling on the next panelist I want to give a heads up of the names of those who will be on the following panel. So, the following panel is going to be comprised in this order of speaking, Shuzhen Zhuo, Zelle Fin Chen, and I apologize if I'm mispronouncing your names and Towaki Komatsu. So I'll now go back to calling the names of the remaining panelists in this panel, over to Helen for testimony.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

HELEN: My name is Helen. I'm a small landlord from Queens. I'm still suffering from financial, mental and emotional distress after eviction moratorium has expired. My eviction cases got in court because of a need to wait for the ERAP decision. We need to wait for the tenants ERAP

2 decision. We are unable to take back the upstair 3 aparatment to allow our children to get more spaces. 4 Plus bad tenants, they have destroyed my apartment. 5 They are destructive. Their behavior has breached the quiet enjoyment of my home and in fact according 6 7 to my life that is why I do not participate in ERAP. My tenant applied for ERAP. They gave fought, you 8 know landlord, which I don't . . . I can't access to They do not give landlord contact number. 10 11 don't give my address. Actually I'm living in the same house with them but on the first floor. 12 13 on the second floor. They did this on purpose in 14 order to prolong their long stay in my property free 15 of rent. I told my lawyer, I'm having financial 16 hardship with two college kids. I don't want the 17 ERAP money but I would rather to evict the 18 destructive noisy tenant as soon as possible. 19 disability. I have cancer. I have severe medical 20 problem. I'm having psychology program plus they're 21 a destructive noisy tenant. They have destroyed my 2.2 property. They use force to break the apartment 2.3 doors and windows on and off. They drilled holes in the bathtub sewage purpose cause flooding on the 24 first floor apartment. There is dog urine everywhere 25

2.2

2.3

on the carpet in the apartment. The dog urinate smell seeps down to my first floor apartment from time to time. It is stinky and very disgusting. They sought this dog without my permission. They constantly have stranger moving in and out of the apartment. They addict to marijuana. Husband is alcoholic. They are always fighting, hitting walls, pounding floor, smashing and crashing on the floor. They keep screaming, yelling, crying, loud talking. They have engaged in physical fighting and police were called in many times. My tenant continues to damage my property on purpose. They continue stumbling, jumping on the floor, making excessive noise every day to harassment. I'm on medication.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.

HELEN: My medical condition requires me to sleep a lot. I can't sleep at night because of the destructive tenants makes my medical condition getting worse in just two years. My tenant has abused the ERAP system. As a property owner and a regular primary voter, I have a right to tell the lawmakers we need laws to protect the owner not just tenant, not just a certain population. Not just only my property next to, but also my house and my life is

- 2 getting screwed because I can't trust my neighbors
- 3 anymore. As a small owner, I have a same human
- 4 | rights as all the tenants in New York. We all want
- 5 to live in a safe, peaceful and quiet enjoyment home.
- 6 I need to take back my property as soon as possible
- 7 for my health and my life. Thank you for the
- 8 opportunity to testify. Thank you.
- 9 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
- 10 you Helen for your testimony. I will now turn to
- 11 Hongbao Ma for testimony.
- 12 SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.
- 13 HOUNGBAO MA: Hi. My name is Houngbao
- 14 Ma. I live in Queens. I have a tenant about three
- 15 years now, before the pandemic, also damaged, flooded
- 16 my basement. I check how to . . . every year. I
- 17 | can't do anything even before pandemic. I heard
- 18 \parallel landlord . . . but my question, besides my problem.
- 19 \parallel My question, I have several, several questions. 1)
- 20 \parallel I'm single. Before somebody return, the tenants.
- 21 | But I think this is a legal problem. Money problem.
- 22 | Money problem. Because the owner needed to pay a
- 23 property tax, lose the property because school,
- 24 policeman. The owner must pay public service to the
- 25 City and the electricity and the water and a lot of

- 2 thing. Who pays this? Who pays this? Your own
- 3 money. Why do New York City, when is the landlord
- 4 | who have to pay for other people. This is more of a
- 5 problem. Global. No facility exists. This is why
- 6 some people pay other people's electricity, water, my
- 7 water bill sometimes is . . .
- 8 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.
- 9 HOUNGBAO MA: . . sometimes \$500 per
- 10 month. Pay the bill. My problem. Why I must pay.
- 11 Yes I need to pay the bill but this is not
- 12 reasonable. Second to one this prompted 100 percent
- 13 on the property. Our homes are, our mail, our kinds
- 14 of people are committed, everybody the whole New York
- 15 of people who think about this. This is a problem.
- 16 The country, your whole country, the global history,
- 17 | all the family is affected. This is very good if
- 18 somebody pay rent.
- 19 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.
- 20 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr.
- 21 Ma I'm sorry.
- 22 HOUNGBAO MA: Consider this. Like a
- 23 restaurant. There are certain ones, there are
- 24 certain ones is . . .

2.2

2.3

HOUNGBAO MA: Why so many people come out to buy the home. This is a problem. The country, the, our President, our government, our Mayor to make our New York City [recording issue] everybody reach.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr.

Ma, we have to move on, I'm sorry. Thank you. Thank
you for your testimony. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:houngbao} \mbox{MA:} \mbox{ I love America.} \mbox{ Now there}$ is somebody there not move out.

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time is expired. Thank you.

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony Mr. Ma. We're now going to move to our following panel. I'm going to call up the next two panels so that everybody has a heads up as to when they will be going. So, the next panel will be comprised of Shuzhen Zhuo, followed by Towaki Komatsu, followed by Dianna Pershad followed by Katrina Corbell and then that panel will be followed by Tamara Holliday, Sarah Block and Kristie Ortiz. So I will now begin with our next panel, beginning with Shuzhen Zhuo. And I apologize if I'm mispronouncing your name. Over to Shuzhen.

2 SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

3 SHUZHEN ZHUO: Hello. I am Shuzhen Zhuo. 4 I live in Queens. My whole family has been here 5 since the pandemic. Just because I leave my, our lives are now safe before or even after the 6 7 expiration of the moratorium. Before the pandemic, 8 my tenant are normal people. They were, normally they are nice but since there are so many special rules come out they met the laws. I mean I don't 10 11 know why they just make the tenants multiple people. 12 I have, there are five kids in my family. 13 youngest is not even two years old and now it's my 14 tenant play loud music in the early morning before 10 15 p.m. Not only do they play loud music after 10:00 16 p.m. the time my kids are all sleeping. I call in 17 to, not at 10:00 p.m. I call at midnight but they 18 don't listen to me, the kid plays loud music until 19 2:00 or 3:00 a.m. in early morning. I call 3-1-1 and 20 no one come to help me to stop it. I'm so sad. 21 kids cannot even have a peaceful night's sleep and 2.2 there is something [recording problem] in the 2.3 daytime, not at night, in the daytime. If my kids are out in the backyard at the time and sometimes 24 late, if you think you can't stop your kids, go to 25

hearings you also know that I've done so absolutely

no avail. We were in a hearing back in 2019 with

24

25

2 Ritchie Torres, where Mr. Torres illegally kicked me 3 out of it. Like I said in this hearing like I got 4 last week for OTJ is essentially condoning the fact that HRA committed that fraud. So the question is 5 that today's hearing is about oversight, it's about 6 7 back to the eviction moratorium. Well HRA is 8 providing the funding for the legal services providers so if I contact legal aid and all of these other partners of HRA and ask them to have a subpoena 10 11 issued against HRA to give me the records, guess what 12 they won't cooperate. So, my question is, your 13 Chairman of this committee not Steven Levine, that 14 useless piece of something. The question is, what 15 are you going to do about it? I mean are we going to 16 have a fresh new start here. The question, what are 17 you going to do about it? Also to point out I have a 18 federal lawsuit against Steven Banks the case is 19 Komatsu versus City of New York case number 21-CV-20 I filed an illegal filing on Friday about 21 that, to the Chief District Judge in New York City. 2.2 So again, new Chairwoman of this City, New York City 2.3 Charter Section 1116 applies to you and the other thing too, Urban Pathways, it's the slumlord of the 24 building which I reside. I tried testifying in a 25

2.2

2.3

public hearing last week about a new control for \$15 million. Urban Pathways and HRA jointly worked together to subject me to that fraud and forgery. So the question is, why is Urban Pathways getting more money from people like you who fund these contracts when Urban Pathways together with HRA committed fraud and forgery. I should also point out that Fredrick Shack is the CEO of Urban Pathways. He is also on the Advisory Committee for Mayor Adams, so don't you think there is a bit of a conflict of interest there? And do you want to keep your cash or do you want to continue to have it be wasted to slumlords like Urban

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: My answer is that I will not discuss active litigation cases at a hearing. You are more than welcome to reach out to my office and we can have an offline conversation.

Pathways? Do you have an answer for me?

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Oh and last question is this. There was another publishing hearing recently where people had Exhibits in conjunction with their testimony for the outdoor dining public hearing about two or three weeks ago why is it that when they tried to present is that it's in conjunction with their

history month and yet I will be discussing how

2

systemic racism in our agency like DSS and HPD has 3 adversely affected my wife and I as black LGBTQ 4 homeowners and taxpayers. While I understand the intent of the moratorium was to safequard New Yorkers who were experiencing financial hardship with the 6 7 COVID 19. In my case, the moratorium protectors were 8 abused by New York City Agencies particularly DSS and HPD to enact an agenda of retaliation and discrimination against my household. My wife and I 10 11 belong to the community of first time homeowners who 12 by way of our contract or obligation to maintain our 13 homes as primary residences. On March 15, 2022 DSS 14 illegally placed a clientele in the empty home 15 attached to mine and has been paying that homeowner a CityFEPs voucher to break her contract. Our homes 16 17 are precluded from being rented and those DSS clients 18 are not supposed to be on site renters. Every 19 homeowner in my development is required to remain in 20 our homes until 2032. Because of this moratorium, 21 DSS has refused to remove it's illegally placed clients from the home attached to mine. On December 2.2 2.3 2, 2021, my ongoing nightmare with these New York City Agencies as well as your clients were published 24 in the City online newspaper. I will be attaching 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this article as an addendum to my testimony. March 15, 2020 to present my wife and I have been dealing with numerous issues from this illegally placed DSS client family. It's a home precluded for raising. We have been and continue to be threatened with physical and bodily harm. These threats were even verbalized to the NYPD. They have damaged our property. They have made threats to damage our vehicle and this was realized in Manusha on January 1, 2021. We have been consistently and based on our sexuality and continue to experience homophobic slurs by parents and children alike. Our quality of life has been adversely affected to the home that was only supposed to be occupied by a three-person household and this DSS client family consists of nine or more people with unended amount of people moving in and out of the home. DSS has allowed an active drug dealer to reside at the addressed premises. DSS has also allowed illegal car rental scheme being brought to our community. DSS has also allowed an illegal daycare to be operated. The quality of life issues are numerous. From March 2020 to December 2021, Eric Drinkwater, Steven Banks have used many excuses to keep their problematic clients in an illegal rental

2.2

2.3

while they are actively harassing us and causing quality of life and safety issues. Post moratorium the Jenkins Administration has now sited the home base to protract this DSS client's illegal stay in the residence. DSS is aware of the client's desire to remain in the illegal residence and have provided them and continue to provide them with a plethora of excuses using the gray areas of social service policy

SGT. MARTINEZ: Time is expired.

to continue their illegal occupation of the home.

DIANNA PERSHAD: And this is simply because the clients were displaced in a black community. On west of the 9th street when the quality of life dropped down, safety issues came to the forefront of DSS. DSS property launched an illegal campaign and eviction of homeless clients even with a backlog to move these clients from being a nuisance in a Caucasian community, all of whom are in legal housing. We are here to demand the removal of this illegal DSS client family. We are demanding equal treatment and equal protection under the Law and we are seeking your intervention by way of investigation and replacing policies and legislation in place, eradicating these gray areas of social

SGT. MARTINEZ: Clock is ready.

2 KATRINA CORBELL: Thank you. Hi, my name 3 is Katrina Corbell. I currently live in the Bronx. I am a member with SHOUT which stands for the 4 Supportive Housing Organized and United Tenants as 5 well as a handful of other non-profits some of which 6 7 have been named already. I also am a member of the 8 COVID-19 long haulers advocacy project. I have volunteered with both the federal as well as the New York State and City groups. Today, I am testifying 10 11 because of my personal COVID-19 experience in March of 2020 which also led to the ongoing post-COVID 12 13 pulmonary emboli and then what became known now as 14 long COVID and the acronym I always forget. I became 15 eligible to apply for ERAP because of my higher 16 medical costs, the higher utility costs because of 17 how we all had to stay in our homes the entire summer 18 basically. For me, I had to stay in my home a lot 19 longer than high. The higher utility costs 20 specifically. In addition to the ERAP or prior to 21 the ERAP I was mainly applying for it because of the 2.2 utility but also I wanted to point out the clause 2.3 that affects the lower poverty people like below 30 percent AMI in that we wouldn't get the rent portion 24 because our rent was either subsidized by one of the 25

25

improvement. Thank you.

2 numerous government agencies. In my situation, my rent was fronted by HRA and is going to be paid back 3 4 by me own SSI so I am still paying my rent but I am 5 not going to be, my rent is not going to be reimbursed. Like, I am still paying my own rent. 6 7 I'm asking for help for my utilities and I know some non-profits will offer utility assistance but then 8 you guys would not be able to count me in your statistics. We would not be able to hear my 10 11 testimony. Other people applied for ERAP and was able to receive rental assistance but were denied 12 13 utility assistance. And so I don't know where their 14 statistic counts either. I haven't even seen my 15 friends in that area be on this committee today. 16 don't know if they were aware that this Council 17 Meeting existed or what happened or if they're even 18 being asked to follow up. I wish I could give 19 another two minutes to counter the testimony from 20 DSS. I will gladly do that in another day. The HRA 21 helpline is a joke. I will spend an hour on hold and then be hung up on. So I look forward to future 2.2 2.3 testimonies and future meetings with you guys from SHOUT on other areas at that need desperate 24

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank

you so much Katrina for that testimony. Now I'm

going to call up our next panel. Our next panel will

be in the following order, Tamara Holliday, followed

by Sarah Block followed by Kristie Ortiz. Over to

7 Tamara.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.

TAMARA HOLLIDAY: Thank you Madam Chair and this committee for the opportunity to testify. I'm Tamara Holliday, Senior Staff Attorney of the Civil Justice Practice at Defender Services. statewide eviction moratorium protected vulnerable tenants and their families from eviction and homelessness during an unprecedented health crisis. Many families who are facing housing insecurity and permanent displacement before the pandemic had temporary relief with the moratorium. The fallout of the end of the eviction moratorium lands disproportionately on the city's most vulnerable communities. While most jobs have recovered in New York City that recovery has been uneven. Many of our clients work in industries that were shattered during the pandemic and have not recovered at nearly the same rate. Also, many of our clients are unable to

2 return to their previous jobs because they still 3 suffer from the lingering health effects from COVID. 4 The city must prioritize creating more affordable The affordable housing lottery as of 2018 housing. had a one in 593 chance of success for each person 6 7 applying for an affordable unit. Even if someone 8 succeeds in obtaining affordable housing from the lottery, the ability to afford those units is uncertain. We also urge the City and HRA to waive 10 11 the shelter requirement for CityFEPs. Families who 12 are eligible for public assistance or who's income 13 falls within the federal poverty guidelines should be 14 able to be assessed for CityFEPs even if they never 15 lived in shelter. This requirement unnecessarily 16 imposes the trauma of a shelter stay on families 17 while burdening the City with the cost of providing 18 their shelter. Finally, we urge the city to consider 19 an agreement where it pays a portion of the tenant's 20 rental arrears in consideration for the landlord not 21 pursuing a money judgment against the tenant. Many 2.2 of our clients have or will have the burden of trying 2.3 to find an apartment with a money judgment. Because these judgements are another barrier to securing 24 housing it will inevitably force families into 25

- 2 shelters. In those cases it will be cheaper for the
- 3 city to pay these arrears than to provide shelter.
- 4 We thank Madam Chair and this Committee for the
- 5 opportunity to appear today and discuss these issues.
- 6 AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank
- 7 you Tamara. Going to now move to Sarah Block for
- 8 testimony. Over to Sarah.
 - SGT. MARTINEZ: The clock is ready.
- 10 KRISTIE ORTIZ: Good afternoon. I'm
- 11 Kristie Ortiz. I'll be presenting testimony for
- 12 Brooklyn A.

- 13 AMINTA KILAWAN: Understood. So I now
- 14 will call Kristie Ortiz.
- 15 KRISTIE ORTIZ: So my name is Kristie
- 16 Ortiz Lamb and I am the Director of Housing Agreement
- 17 | for Brooklyn A. Brooklyn A is a proud member of the
- 18 | coalition comprised of community based legal services
- 19 providers working in collaboration to serve no
- 20 | income, low income and moderate income New Yorkers.
- 21 We believe that members play issues with roles with
- 22 | the Right to Counsel as well as the anti-harassment
- 23 | tenant program. We approach this work as community
- 24 lawyers and emphasize the importance of sustainable
- 25 caseloads, sufficient resources to support homeless

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

client services and fighting the fight against tenant harassment and displacement beyond individual eviction cases. These are essentials to protecting our communities and ensuring the tenants can remain in their homes. The New York Moratorium, residential evictions went in place in March 2020 to recognize the hardship and stress caused by the COVID 19 crisis which in turn became a worldwide pandemic. almost two years followed extensions the moratorium allowed tenants deserving of protection free of displacement. The tenants we represent are low income and many are living paycheck to paycheck prior to the pandemic. When the pandemic hit, it drastically changed. A moratorium based tenant had an immense impact on tenants and legal services provided and that the eviction stayed allowing tenants the opportunity to find employment, alternative housing or secure funds for payment of arrears rather than facing eviction during a worldwide pandemic. Since the moratorium was lifted on January 15, 2022 eviction proceedings were able to move forward. Tenants who have no income due to the pandemic had no recourse under the law and because they could not afford their apartment anymore

- 2 therefore they were made homeless in an abrupt way.
- 3 The moratorium lifted on January 15th and sometimes
- 4 were evicted by January 31st. While the court
- 5 initiated administrative orders and directions it
- 6 wasn't class where it was prior to March 2020, a lot
- 7 of them are already in legal predicament and the
- 8 pandemic made things worse. So the benefit of the
- 9 moratorium is evident in one of many success stories
- 10 with the clients we represent during the pandemic.
- 11 This particular client was laid off when the pandemic
- 12 started and found two part time jobs to try to make
- 13 ends meat. Her . . .
- 14 SGT. MARTINEZ: Time expired.
- 15 KRISTIE ORTIZ LAMB: Time?
- 16 SGT. MARTINEZ: Yes.
- 17 KRISTIE ORTIZ LAMB: Can you reset those
- 18 | two minutes. Just kidding.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: If you can get
- 20 | it wrapped up.
- 21 KRISTIE ORTIZ LAMB: Okay. So I will
- 22 wrap up. I have some suggestions where I think would
- 23 actually initiate protections for tenants and
- 24 | landlords and one is at the federal, city, state add
- 25 | additional funding for ERAP. Also the HRA allow one

much.

2.2

2.3

shot deal applications simultaneously with ERAP
applications which I heard earlier is the practice
but I have seen different so I'm hoping that that
actually becomes reality and the last thing is that
OCJ will allow cases that we are taking on as Right
to Counsel, that would allow us an opportunity to
adequately defend the evicted tenants. Thank you so

AMINTA KILAWAN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Kristie for that testimony and to every member of the public who has testified today. At this point we if have inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify, if you can please use the Zoom Raise Hand Function and we will call you in the order in which your hand is raised. Okay. Seeing no hands raised, Chair Ayala we have concluded public testimony for this hearing. I'll now turn to you Chair Ayala for any closing remarks and then to close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON DIANA AYALA: I just want to thank everyone for not only coming but for staying so long, to run the testimony. I think that this is a constructive hearing, a lot of information was shared. A lot of really great recommendations and I

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 194
2	want to once again, you know, highlight the work of
3	all the advocates. We really wouldn't be able to do
4	this work without you and your expertise so thank you
5	all for coming and with that I want to thank Council
6	Yeger and Riley for staying and sticking it out
7	through the end even though he's not even supposed to
8	be here. That is great. With that, our hearing is
9	closed. Thank you.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 8, 2022