CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

Tuesday, November 12, 2024

Start: 10:05 A.M. Recess: 12:45 P.M.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Pierina Ana Sanchez, Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Alexa Avilés
Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
Crystal Hudson
Lincoln Restler

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING: Nurse

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

APPEARANCES

ADMINISTRATION TESTIMONY:

AnnMarie Santiago, Deputy Commissioner for Enforcement and Neighborhood Services at New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

Lucy Joffe,

Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Strategy at New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

Marti Weithman,

Assistant Commissioner for Housing Litigation at at New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

Jennifer Leone,

Assistant Commissioner for Sustainability and Chief Sustainability Officer at New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

Joshua Levin,

Director of Legislative Affairs Unit at New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Sarah Parker,

Senior Research and Strategy Officer New York City Independent Budget Office (IBO)

PUBLIC TESTIMONY:

Evan Ma,

Staff Attorney, Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Evan Henley, Staff Attorney at The Legal Aid Society

Justin R. La Mort, Esq. Managing Attorney Mobilization for Justice, Inc.

Whitney Hu,

Director of Civic Engagement and Research at Churches United for Fair Housing

Dr. Diana Hernandez,

Founding Principal Investigator of the Energy Equity Housing and Health Program at the Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health; Mayoral Appointee of the Environmental Justice Advisory Council

Israel Sanchez, Campaign Coordinator at Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development

Caleb Smith,
Resiliency Coordinator WE ACT for Environmental
Justice

Hannah May-Powers, Health and Safety Policy Analyst from the Office of the Brooklyn Borough President

Danielle Manley,
Policy Manager of Urban Green Council

Irene Mextaxtos,

New York City Resident: Testifying Regarding Landlord Misconduct/Harassment/Unsafe Living Conditions/ Illegal Eviction

Stanley Wong, Housing Staff Attorney at the Manhattan Unit of Legal Services NYC

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Shravanthi Kanekal, Senior Resiliency Planner at the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance (NYC-EJA)

Isabel Friedman, Advocacy Associate at The Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)

Christopher Leon Johnson,
Representing self: Off-Topic Testimony

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

SERGEANT WONG: Sound check for the Committee on Housing and Buildings; today's date is November 12, 2024, being recorded by Danny Wong in the Council Chambers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Everyone, please have a seat, thank you, please have a seat, (INAUDIBLE) thank you.

Good morning, and welcome the New York City

Council hearing for the Committee on Housing and

Buildings. Please silence all electronic devices at

this time. Also, please do not approach the dais. If

you have any question, please raise your hand, and

one of the Sergeant at Arms will kindly assist you.

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

Thank you, so much, Sergeants, and good morning, everyone. I am Council Member Pierina Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. Thank you for joining us today for our hearing on Tenant Harassment and Safety.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: (GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING IN)

I would like to thank my colleagues who are present, Council Member Abreu, and we will also be joined by colleagues who are sponsoring legislation today.

2.2

2.3

As we all know, our city faces a dire housing crisis. We have a 1.4 vacancy rate that's a functional zero if you live in a low rent apartment. Ever rising housing prices and inflation is hitting families across our city. New Yorkers are strained to afford the cost of everyday necessities. Compared to 2021, during which time the pandemic eviction moratorium was still in place, we've seen over 13,000 registered evictions, up from 5,000 in 2022 and 268 in 2021. In 2024, we have already passed that year's mark with over 14,000 evictions.

In New York City, a landlord has to follow a set procedure in order to evict a tenant from their home. This court process is in place to ensure the rights of all parties are respected and that tenants are not unfairly deprived of shelter. However, unscrupulous landlords try to circumvent this process, unlawfully evicting New Yorkers from their homes through such measures as harassment or changing the locks. In 2023 alone, 5,189 illegal lockout cases were filed in housing court, and the NYPD issued 89 summonses for illegal evictions.

Harassment can come in many different forms, such as threats or changing the locks, but it can also be

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

7

2 in the form of inaction, like failing to maintain

3 building systems or not making repairs. This places

4 | the burden on tenants and or tenant groups to fight

5 back as the only way to have their rights upheld and

6 live safely and comfortably within their homes. Some

7 are lucky enough to work with legal service providers

8 to advocate for habitable living conditions, but

funding for these services are always at risk in

10 annual budget fights.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We continue to work with the Administration to ensure that the agencies in charge of enforcing these housing standards are doing so within their power to keep tenants safe.

This is why it is alarming to see that in the latest Mayor's Management Report, there was an increase of violations issued from 722,597 in FY23 to 895,457 in Fiscal Year 2024.

Building conditions are getting worse. How many of these are related to tenant harassment? The Council has passed measures to put in place...

Sorry... the Council has passed measures to address concerns around illegal lockouts.

The Certificate of No Harassment pilot program, was created in 2018 and extended to 2026. This

/

program was created to ensure that owners do not harass tenants when they seek to conduct alteration or demolition work.

Of the 1412 buildings included in the CONH Pilot program, as of October 18, 2024, nearly five percent of them have been subject to a finding of harassment by a court or DHCR finding since entering the program, with the highest rate being found in Brooklyn, where harassment was found in more than seven percent of CONH Pilot program buildings.

Today, we will be hearing several pieces of legislation on this topic that I will allow my colleagues, yes... to speak on. Yes, they're here... And I'd like to acknowledge that we were joined by Council Member Hudson and Council Member Nurse.

Council Member Nurse has sponsored Introduction
621 in relation to expanding the definition of tenant
harassment to include unlawful evictions and
expanding the Certificate of No Harassment Program to
include unlawful evictions; Introduction 622 in
relation to injunctive relief for lawful occupants of
rental units; Introduction 623 in relation to
increasing penalties for unlawful evictions;
Introduction 993 in relation to creating lock change

2 procedures in response to illegal lockouts;

3 Introduction 1037 in relation to posting certain

4 information in multiple dwellings containing rent

5 stabilized units; and Resolution Number 246 calling

6 on the New York State Legislature to pass, and the

7 Governor to sign, legislation requiring unlawful

eviction cases to be heard within five days.

We will also hear Introduction 994 in relation to requiring that tenant-occupied dwellings be provided with cooled and dehumidified air, sponsored by Lincoln Restler. While the temperatures in New York City continue to rise each of our summers with, hundreds of New Yorkers killed in heat related deaths every year, all New York City tenants are currently protected by strong heating laws but not against the extreme, heat that we're seeing in the summer months.

Resolution Number 119, sponsored by Council
Member Hudson, calls on the New York State
Legislature to pass, and the Governor to sign,
legislation denying property owners from filing
eviction proceedings for tenants who reside in
buildings with substantial pending housing
maintenance code violations.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I would like to thank my Chief of Staff, Sam

Cardenas; my Director of Land Use, Policy and Budget,

Ben Ratner, and the Housing and Buildings team,

Taylor Zelony; Austin Malone; and the Policy Analyst,

Jose Conde; Andrew Bourne; Dirk Spencer; Daniel

I would also like to take this moment to offer a very, very tearful and sad word of thanks to Taylor, who has been our Housing counsel for the last two years, and will be moving on. And this will be her last hearing with us today. She has done an incredible job. Thank you, Taylor, for everything that you have done. Thank you...

(PAUSE)

Kroop; and Reese Hirota.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you, we will now hear from Council Member Nurse on her legislation.

And I would like acknowledge that we have been joined by Council Member Restler.

Council Member Nurse?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you, Chair Sanchez, thank you for hearing my bills, I really appreciate it.

Good morning, everyone, uh, good morning, everyone joining us here today. Today we are hearing

six bills of mine, but I really want to focus on about, the first, four bills that are on illegal

4 evictions.

2.2

2.3

Since October 2023, there have been over 15,000 Marshall evictions and 5,600 illegal lockout cases in New York City. During this time, we have... there have just been 89 criminal summonses for illegal evictions, despite them being a class a misdemeanor and an arrestable offense.

My district is hit especially hard by illegal lockouts. Zip code 11207, in East New York, is one of the City's top five zip codes with the highest number of illegal lockout filings over the past three years.

Myself, my staff have probably been to 10 or more illegal lockouts since 2022, and what my experience has shown me is that these landlords, these nefarious landlords, operate with basically sheer impunity.

Lockouts are frantic, confusing, and intense.

They abruptly rip people from their daily lives. In the worst cases, tenants have to watch as their possessions are tossed on the curb by their landlord's hired henchmen. They have to figure out not only what to do with their tossed valuables, but also where they're gonna sleep that night. It's

2 horrifying to witness, and I'm sure it's even more 3 horrifying to experience.

2.2

2.3

It is our job to intervene at this most critical point, to stop the lockout from proceeding, restore the person to their home, and ensure property owners won't even think to lock out their tenants in the first place.

What tenant support does exist relies on the NYPD, an agency not designed or equipped to restore tenants back to their homes or hold property owners accountable, and nor should they be.

There must be a better way to disincentivize illegal lockouts while also making it easier and faster for lawful occupants to regain entry and stem the flow of people to the shelter system. This is the intent of Introductions 621, 622, 623, and 994 to create stronger disincentives and make it easier for the lawful occupant to get back into their home.

What we cannot do is throw up our hands in exasperation or pass the buck. Having witnessed illegal evictions, I know there are gaps in our enforcement and there must be solutions.

I also want to note that we have discussed potential edits to these bills with HPD, NYPD, and

./

2.3

.

advocates, and I thank everyone who has given feedback so far. I'm looking forward to hearing today's testimony and continuing to work with you all, and I appreciate the time you've, set aside ahead of time to help us get some really, productive feedback for these bills.

Lastly, Introduction 1037 is a basic education and awareness, bill to alert incoming tenants that their building has rent stabilized units, and incentivize them to find out more information or seek damages for being overcharged.

As many Yorkers are aging out of rent stabilized units, or move for other reasons, oftentimes, younger or less informed tenants move in. They don't realize that they might be living in a building with rent stabilized units, they might be paying higher rent than is legal, and with such little vacancy tenants have less bargaining power and are forced to pay rents and compete with many, many tenants.

And so we hope that this will bring just some basic transparency and help empower tenants to find out what's the status of their unit, and pay the rent that is legal.

2.2

2.3

So I just I want to thank the Chair again. You know, these bills really come from watching illegal evictions happen, both our staff, with police officers on scene, and still finding people have their stuff thrown out at night, not having the intervention that is in the Patrol Guide, that is lawful for them to do to hold these owners accountable, and people sleeping in their cars at night, and trying to figure out how to get to housing court - Where is housing court? What do I do? What are my next steps? We want to make it extremely costly to break the law and illegally lock out tenants. So thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Council Member Nurse.

Now I would like to pass the floor to Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much, Chair Sanchez, for everything you do, especially doing just an excellent job leading this committee, and just want to echo your sentiments about what a loss it is that Taylor is leaving us. I wish you the best.

You know, last Tuesday, November 5th, I was in Emmaus, Pennsylvania in Lehigh Valley in a t-shirt,

sweating in 80 degree temperatures. We had brush fires in Prospect Park this weekend and in the Bronx, in New Jersey. We have a drought in New York City.

The climate crisis is here. New York City keeps getting hotter and hotter and hotter and hotter.

We've had twice as many days over 90 degree heat this past summer as we did 50 years ago in the seventies.

And the number one climate killer, it's not hurricanes or wildfires, tornadoes. It's heat. It's heat all across this country, all across this globe, and right here in New York City, where over 350 New Yorkers are dying each and every year because of extreme heat.

We've provided heating in people's homes. We've guaranteed it for over a 100 years in New York City. We understood that the right and moral and necessary thing to do to keep our communities safe in the dead of winter is to require that landlords provide heat.

And now to ensure our neighbors stay alive in the summer, we have to provide cooling. And so our legislation models builds on the really successful work that Deputy Commissioner Santiago and the team at HBD do each and every year to keep New Yorkers safe during heating season and replicates that same

2 enforcement model so that all tenants are guaranteed 3 access to cooling in the summer and that HBD enforces

4 it.

2.2

2.3

The exact same penalties and requirements that a landlord faces for failing to provide access to heat in the winter now apply... would now apply in the summer if you fail to provide access to cooling.

We would create a cooling season from June 15th to September 15th, and the devices... the cooling devices in people's homes would be capable of maintaining an indoor temperature of at least 78 degrees when it is over 82 degrees outside. That is the temperature at which health risks begin for New Yorkers.

This will be a big change - a big change for landlords across New York City, a big change for new development as construction goes up in our communities. And there are major issues for us to work through with the state, with HCR, to ensure that tenants do not bear the brunt and the burden of these... of increasing access to cooling in their homes.

That is why we've included a four-year ramp up period in this legislation, so that we can have ample

time to ensure that state subsidies are in place to
keep access to cooling affordable for tenants and to
ensure that changes in local law do not lead to

increased costs for tenants in New York City.

2.2

2.3

It's also imperative that we set efficiency standards for these new cooling devices to encourage that we not... that we reduce emissions, overall, while protecting the health of the most vulnerable.

There are... this is a complicated bill. It's a bold bill, but it's a necessary bill because people are dying.

When you look at the 350 New Yorkers who die every year due to extreme heat in New York City, the number one common factor among them is that they lack access to cooling in their homes. So we have a moral responsibility to act, to intervene, to make a difference, to make sure that each and every New Yorker is safe from the number one climate killer, extreme heat.

I want to just take a moment to thank WE ACT

(West Harlem Environmental Action, Inc - WE ACT for

Environmental Justice), in particular, Neje, for

their help in crafting this legislation and bringing

a really strong environmental justice perspective to

2.3

,

the crafting of this bill. We've been in active communication with the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice (MOCEJ) over many months now in the crafting of this legislation. We've been in good conversations with HPD.

I want to thank some of the tenant groups that we've been working with as well, especially Churches United for Fair Housing. And I especially want to thank my Chief of Staff, Molly Haley, who's put in an enormous amount of labor, to crafting this bill. You know, this is a beginning of a conversation in the legislative process, we take everyone's input, we will craft a sharper and better bill as we work to pass it, but we need to act, because extreme heat is killing too many of our neighbors. Thank you very much, Chair Sanchez.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Council
Member Restler. And I just want to echo that, we put
these bold ideas out there, and I thank you, Council
Member Restler; I thank you, Council Member Nurse for
this suite of bills - and Council Member Hudson - for
many of the resolutions we have on the table today.
We put these ideas out there, because we want to

My name is AnnMarie Santiago, and I am the Deputy
Commissioner for Enforcement and Neighborhood
Services at the New York City Department of Housing
Preservation and Development. I am joined by Lucy
Joffe, HPD's Deputy Commissioner for Policy And
Strategy, Marti Weithman, HPD's Assistant

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2.2

2.3

Commissioner for Housing Litigation, Jennifer Leone,

HPD's Assistant Commissioner for Sustainability and

Chief Sustainability Officer, and Joshua Levin,

Director of The Legislative Affairs Unit at the New
York City Police Department.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify about
Introduction Numbers 621, 622, 623, 993, 994, 1037,
and Resolutions 119 and 246. We share the Council's
goals and are supportive of the intent of the
legislation we are discussing today to protect
tenants from both illegal eviction and the effects of
extreme heat.

We appreciate this opportunity to discuss HPD's role in ensuring the quality and safety of our housing stock, protecting New Yorkers from harassment, and mitigating evictions.

Every New Yorker deserves a safe, affordable place to live in a neighborhood they love, and HPD works towards this goal every day.

HPD's enforcement work ranges from responding to hundreds of thousands of complaints received through 311 for conditions such as no heat, pests, and leaks, to conducting emergency repairs for immediately hazardous violations when landlords fail to do so, to

2.2

2.3

2 litigating, uh, to initiating litigation in housing

3 court seeking the correction of violations.

HPD has a varied set of enhanced enforcement tools, which identify buildings with significant hazardous or immediately hazardous violations, either generally or of a certain type, like heat, for special enforcement programs. We have more than 900 staff dedicated to this entire process.

Just as importantly, HPD is committed to educating tenants and owners about their rights and responsibilities to ensure homes are safe and habitable. With our colleagues throughout HPD, we work tirelessly to preserve our existing housing stock and to ensure it remains affordable.

HPD also has a number of programs to protect tenants from harassment. Through our Anti-Harassment Unit, AHU, we identify both individual buildings and portfolios of buildings where there are indicators of harassment and seek to enforce correction of conditions against bad actor landlords.

AHU also responds to complaints from tenants and advocates about poor conditions being used to harass tenants. We issue housing maintenance violations and, where warranted, initiate litigation seeking orders

2.3

to correct violations, obtain civil penalties, and address harassment.

In addition to civil penalties, one landlord has twice been ordered to serve jail time. The

Certificate of No Harassment process administered by HPD is a narrowly targeted tool intended to deter current owners from benefiting from past harassment.

Prior to being approved by the Department of

Buildings for substantial alterations that affect the use, occupancy, or layout of the building, an owner of a building subject to one of the CONH programs must apply for a CONH from HPD. Current and former tenants of the building, community groups, and the relevant community board and elected officials will be notified of the application and provided an opportunity to submit comments concerning any issues of harassment experienced by the tenants.

HPD conducts an investigation, and based on its findings, makes a determination which may preclude an owner from proceeding with the proposed alterations for a period of time or require that the owner agree to designating affordable units.

Our most recently created program to address harassment, Partners In Preservation, is set to

2.2

2.3

expand into new communities later this year. PIP provides crucial funding and technical support to tenant organizing groups, empowering tenants to advocate for themselves and improve building conditions.

Partners in Preservation is unique in the nation and seeks to address harassment through data driven organizing in closer collaboration and coordination between community based organizations, government agencies, and legal services providers.

Specifically related to evictions, the City

Council passed universal access to legal services

Right to Counsel Law in 2017. Through universal

access, the City provides free legal services to

thousands of residents facing eviction in housing

court or NYCHA... NYCHA termination of tenancy

proceedings, citywide, regardless of immigration

status every year. Tenants facing eviction may

qualify for free legal advice or representation

depending on income.

The City also funds the Anti-Harassment Tenant

Protection Program, or AHTP. AHTP provides legal

services to achieve pre-litigation resolution and, if

necessary, representation in court for tenants facing

2 harassment, disrepair, illegal lockouts, and

3 eviction. AHTP also provides tenant education and

4 outreach regarding tenants' rights and protections.

5 Additional resources include the Tenant Helpline,

6 which can be reached through 311. Eligible tenants at

7 | risk of eviction can also get access to One-Shot

8 Deals from the Department of Social Services to help

9 manage arrears, and the City uses various rental

10 assistance programs to stabilize households that are

11 risk of eviction.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Although HPD is not a party to eviction proceedings that take place in housing court, and does not provide direct anti-eviction services, we play an important role in educating tenants about their rights.

HPD has multiple resources that provide information on harassment and eviction related issues, including the ABC's of Housing, which can found on our website, is available at public events, or can be requested through 311, our website itself, and the informational pamphlet that we hand out on every inspection.

While supportive of the intent of the bills before us today, we would like to share some of our

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

concerns about the specifics of the proposed
legislation.

explicitly to the definition.

2.2

2.3

Intro 621 seeks to add illegal eviction to the
housing maintenance code definition of harassment and
as a criterion for buildings selected for the CONH
Pilot program. We interpret illegal eviction to be
included in the existing definition of harassment in
the HMC, but have no concern about adding it

However, given that the CONH Pilot program is already more than halfway towards its sunset in September 2026, we have concerns about adding a new criterion at this time. The incorporation of the current criteria took significant time, involved research and careful data analysis. It is unlikely that we'd be able to take the necessary steps to incorporate illegal eviction as a new criterion for the program without disrupting the ongoing operations of the pilot. Should the program be reauthorized in 2026, that would be the appropriate time to consider and weigh the incorporation of a new criterion and the viability of obtaining the needed data.

Illegal eviction cases currently are adjudicated in the trial part of housing court, which is

appropriate given the urgency of the nature of
eviction. Our understanding of Introduction 622 is
that this bill would require the housing part of the
housing court to hear illegal eviction cases instead
of the trial part. HPD has concerns about this
proposed change. The housing part hears actions and
proceedings involving the enforcement of housing
standards and tenant harassment brought by tenants
and HPD. Requiring illegal eviction cases to be
adjudicated in the housing part will harm tenants who
have been illegally evicted, as their cases will
likely take longer to be heard in the housing part.
It would also negatively impact HPD's enforcement of
housing standards by affecting the housing part's
capacity to timely address HPD's enforcement
litigation and tenants' cases seeking the correction
of serious housing maintenance violations, conditions
and claims of harassment. Additionally, as the
housing court would have to effectuate this change,
there is a question about whether amending the HMC
would be binding on the New York State Office of
Court Administration. The Law Department will be
reviewing this issue

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

illegal eviction.

Regarding Introduction 623, the Administration is 2 3 happy to assist Council staff in further developing the bill language to achieve the Council's goals. The 4 5 Law Department is authorized to take legal action related to illegal eviction and has used other 6 levers, as allowed by law, when addressing this issue. We are supportive of steps that the court can 8 take to improve the just resolution of illegal eviction cases, and the law department is currently 10 11 reviewing the proposed legislation regarding both the increase in civil penalties for illegal eviction and 12 the new requirements for HPD administered tax 13 14 subsidies, abatements, and exemptions to consider

While we try to ensure that we are only doing business with good owners through these programs, there are circumstances in which HPD needs to take action to help tenants living in distressed housing. A blanket five year ban on our ability to provide city subsidy, a tax abatement, or tax exemption to distressed properties takes away one of our tools for doing that. Without further review and careful consideration, such a blanket approach could actually harm our ability to improve conditions for tenants.

2.2

2.3

Turning to Introduction 993, the Administration

supports the intent of this bill, but has concerns

regarding the scope of its requirements. We agree

that no one should be illegally evicted from their

6 home and forced onto the street. We also believe that
7 the Police Department is not the right agent to

8 perform the service contemplated in this bill.

First, such a requirement is firmly outside the realm of officer responsibilities. As a result, NYPD would be required to staff and train a number of officers to replace these locks, and furthermore, to create a system to track the locks and keys.

Considering that there are approximately 50 arrests a year where unlawful eviction is the top charge, out of millions of 911 calls, the cost of identifying officers with preexisting skills, training other officers in these skills, while equipping them with the proper tools and spare key storage is great compared to the number of cases they would respond to.

Second, and of greater concern, is section(c), which compels officers to take reasonable steps to identify any other lawful occupant and provide them with copies of keys to the changed locks. As written,

2.2

this places an unfair and unworkable burden on officers to determine if someone is a true legal occupant. This would basically ask an officer, possibly days later, to make a legal determination about whether another occupant, possibly not related to the complainant who originally called 911, is allowed to live at the location.

The reason we have landlord-tenant court is so that judges can review evidence, records, text messages, and leases to make these weighty determinations. A police precinct without access to all relevant documents is not the right venue to decide successive claims of tenancy at an apartment. The rightful occupant who has already been given keys and access to the apartment by HPD... I'm sorry, by NYPD is in a better position to determine who should be allowed access.

Finally, this provision could force NYPD to grant entry to someone that the true owner does not want at their house, specifically in cases of prior family disputes or domestic violence incidents of which the NYPD may be unaware. For these reasons, the NYPD has significant concerns with the bill as drafted, but as

2.2

2.3

2 always is eager to work with the Council to find a solution and raise awareness on this issue.

Regarding Introduction 1037, which requires the posting of a notice for registered multiple dwellings with one or more rent stabilized units, HPD will need to engage in conversations with New York State Homes and Community Renewal, or HCR, to ensure that the information required to implement this legislation can be accessed by HPD staff in order to enforce compliance. Technology changes may also be required to ensure that the information is accessible to our inspectors. While we agree that it is helpful for tenants to be aware that there are rent stabilized units in the building, such posting may also lead to confusion and concern for tenants if the majority of units are not covered by rent stabilization.

The City will not be taking a position on resolutions 119 and 246. However, we support efforts to ensure that allegations of illegal eviction are properly adjudicated and addressed by housing court in a timely and just manner.

Finally, turning to introduction 994, far too many New Yorkers are affected by the consequences of extreme heat and climate change.

2.2

2.3

We must protect everyone, especially those most at risk from extreme heat. However, we need to consider this legislation in a way that accounts for the reality of implementation timelines, existing technology, funding limitations, and the needs of our residents.

Both HPD and the City take this issue very seriously. HPD has integrated measures to address extreme heat into the Designed Guidelines for all projects we finance - including requiring cooling in new construction, and for retrofits that include senior housing, among other preventative measures.

Citywide, the Mayor's Office f Climate And
Environmental Justice and agency partners have
advanced several goals to extract address extreme
heat within homes. This includes advocating for
reform to the Home Energy Assistance Program, or
HEAP, to cover equipment and energy costs for
cooling, as well as for increased federal funding for
this critical program. In addition, the City was
awarded \$1 million through the US Environmental
Protection Agency for an air conditioning recovery
program to start in 2025 in partnership with the
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, New York

City Housing Authority, MOCEJ, Department of
Sanitation, Big Reuse, and the Fund for Public
Housing.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The City also advocates for expanded energy affordability program funding to assist more low and moderate income residents in paying their heating and cooling bills. As a key strategy for cooling the built environment, MOCEJ and Parks have also launched the planning process for the City's first urban forest plan to achieve 30 percent citywide tree canopy. We appreciate that a short term solution is needed to address health impacts now while we focus greater resources and energy on creating a long term sustainable strategy that works together with other climate legislation already in place to try to move New York City into the future. In creating this structure, we need to ensure that we're addressing the unique and distinct parts of our housing stock appropriately and taking steps that will meaningfully align with the City's long term climate goals, considers the age of our building and condition of our electric grid, ensures energy affordability, and ensures the law can be enforced effectively to make sure it works as intended.

2.2

2.3

This will need to be a multiagency effort across levels of government, and we are committed to working with our colleagues at MOCEJ, the Department of Buildings, and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and others, to ensure that we are accounting for both the realities of our physical infrastructure and New Yorkers' current circumstances.

We look forward to continuing to discuss the challenges with you, your colleagues, and other city agencies who have an interest in the health of New York City residents, the resiliency of New York City's housing stock, and the effects of climate change.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about these important pieces of legislation and on HPD's current work to support tenants throughout the five boroughs. We remain committed to working with you to improve what we do to better serve New Yorkers in need. We are happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Deputy

Commissioner. I am going to ask just a few questions

based on testimony, and then I want to turn it over

to my colleagues. We will have Council Member Nurse,

you between 2022 and 2024 fiscal years, we initiated

24

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

And what have been the majority of topics or complaints that have driven these 95 buildings to be identified by HPD?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Again, thank you, Council Member.

So generally, we initiate litigation based on maintenance conditions and speaking with tenants at these buildings regarding, how they are faring with the landlord in terms of obtaining repairs.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Do you want to follow up?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Anything?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: I would just add that we do have a data model, which we are constantly updating and, uh, looking to for the bad actors who we target through the Anti-Harassment Unit. We also take referrals from advocates, elected officials, legal services providers, and through that we conduct our proactive, inspections. And then based on the data that we have, we initiate the litigation on usually portfolio wide.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Of these 95 buildings, how many have been involved in other HPD enforcement programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member.

So, we can look into breaking that down for you. Generally the buildings are obviously high consumers of our activities. So, they get a lot of inspections, they have a lot of emergency repair in general. So, we can break that down by program is that's of interest.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you.

And what have been the outcomes of the cases? How many are still pending? How many have had outcomes determined?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. We've gotten quite a number of settlements in our cases. As you know, we have one landlord who continues to not comply with the court's orders, and go to jail, and we follow-up all of our cases with additional inspections and we take the owners back to court if we find that they are not complying with the orders from the court. Again, we can break down the 95 buildings exactly in terms of outcomes if that's the information that you would like.

they paid on time? I've been hearing a lot of

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 concerns about payment issues from HPD to providers,

3 which hinders their ability to carry out these

4 programs.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yeah. I think this program is starting in the fall. Right? It's gonna be starting shortly, so I don't think that at this moment there are any issues with payments - no payments have been incurred.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you.

I'm gonna ask one more question, and then I'll stop it here and turn it to my colleagues, and I will come back.

But with respect to universal access, my understanding is that we as a city; although, we have promised universal access to indigent New Yorkers through City Council legislation and law, we fund legal service providers to provide about 44,000 services to 44,000 cases per year, out of the 200,000 that we are receiving, uh, that we are seeing move through housing court, very slow and broken housing court.

How does the Administration understand our performance with respect to universal access in the

face of growing evictions and the instability that
this causes for New Yorkers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you for that question, Council Member. As you know, HPD doesn't administer the Universal Access Program, so we will bring that back to our colleagues, uh, and provide an answer to the Council.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: We're not doing enough.

And the Anti-Harassment Tenant Protection

Program, I was surprised to see it, uh, touted in

your testimony given that the Administration cut this

program by \$25 million last year, leaving many, many

families, uh, who would rely on and be protected by

this service, uh, without protection.

So, I will now turn it over to my colleagues, and we're going to start with Council Member Nurse, and then we're going go to Council Member Abreu, then Council Member Restler, and then I'll circle back for more questions.

Council member Nurse?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you, Council Member, uh, thank you, Chair Sanchez.

2.2

2.3

me through, just so we all have an understanding,

illegal lockout... when a call is made, an illegal

lockout is happening, can you walk us through what

would happen on the ground And kind of both of your

what is the NYPD's role and HPD's role when an

is on... alright, I'll be louder. Normally I'm, like,

2

1

I was hoping for the record that is... yeah, it

3

4 trying not to be shouting.

So, can you... for the record, you all just walk

agencies role in it?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Yes, I do.

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Good morning...

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hold on before you answer, let me just swear you in. Can you please raise your hand... (CROSS-TALK)

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Oh, I did, but I'll, sure, let's do it again.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay. Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

2.2

2.3

When NYPD gets a call that there was an unlawful eviction, we respond to the scene, and officers then have to take account of the totality of the circumstances. There's not usually one dispositive piece of evidence that will allow an officer to make a determination about whether somebody's been unlawfully evicted, or whether they're trespassing, because it's kinda like two sides of the same coin.

So, I'll speak obviously to NYPD's role in this.

So when NYPD arrives, they're going to look for a host of different pieces of evidence.

So, for example, any evidence of a type of lease, whether it be text messages or whether it be an oral conversation or whether it be a written lease.

They're going to look to see if the person has property in there. They're going to look to see if there's signs of forced entry. They're going to look to see if, uh, a person has utilities or bills or letters or mail that has been sent there.

And then we'll make a determination given that totality of the circumstances, because it's not really one size fits all, and determine whether this

your, if I'm... just to reiterate back to you, you're determining, does this person actually live there?

And, then, in a... in a situation where you come to the scene, would you not be looking for, like, a notice on the door of a of a legal eviction?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Sure, that would certainly be part of the calculation we... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay. And if you don't see one, what are your steps there?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: So, the notice from the sheriff wouldn't be the only way, it's a great indicator that the person is there improperly, but someone also could be trespassing at the location. And it doesn't matter if you've been there for 30 days or more...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah.

DIRECTOR LEVIN: If you're still there improperly.

And so that's why we go into that analysis and we take a look at all the independent factors. We're not throwing people out on the street in the middle of January, February. Right?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 43 2 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Do you all have, like, a 3 list of criteria that officers are trained on? Like, a checklist of making those determinations so that 4 it's a little less subjective - like, for example, if... I've been to some, lockouts where a tenant is 6 7 having, like, a real crisis response. Right? They're 8 in panic, they're upset, they're angry, they're cursing, like, not a person in the most likable time of their life, like, they're having a very visceral 10 11 reaction. So, maybe the officer is now annoyed with 12 them or not... feeling, you know, just like a way 13 about how someone is responding to a situation. 14 Is there an objective criteria that officers 15 could use, to kind of punch through a list and say,

okay, we've made a determination here?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR LEVIN: So number one, let me be the first to say that an officer being annoyed or frustrated should never play any role whatsoever. Just gotta say that. Right? I know how you feel, right...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I will let you put that on the record...

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Thank you. It shouldn't...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: You're right, yes.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Now having said that, what I can say is that there are trainings that have been done with HPD. We have Legal Bureau Bulletins that list what the law is and what the process and what the steps are. We have a Patrol Guide. But, I am currently unaware of any type of document that would list out any... every single piece of evidence that ever would apply, and that's, I think, also a testament to the fact that we have field training units - we have sergeants, we have supervisors. Uh, thank you, Matt... We take criminal calls. We have attorneys 24 hours a day available for an officer to call and say, "I think this is this, can you help walk me through this?" I've taken those calls myself.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: But you don't have... so, no, you don't have an objective list? But you have a person that can be called?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Yeah. I'm scared of an objective list, because then if there's something... officers... people might think this is the only things that could be used, which we want enable people to have a wider aperture when they're going into this. So we make resources available, so officers know who to call and who to speak to if

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Right, so, these are very open to discretion is what I'm saying.

there's no, that I'm aware of...

But I'll move on, because, it's... this isn't really a hearing about, uhm, how... what you all are doing, it's just trying to illuminate the fact that on the ground, it gets really fuzzy, and people are not always in their best moment, and so determinations that are made don't always, in my experience watching it, don't always work out in favor for people who are the occupants there.

When is an officer off the hook to leave? Like, what are the things that need to be in place for the officer to be like, we can leave this... we... this unlawful lockout?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: I'll talk in generalities,
because, obviously, every situation is different, and
also there could, god forbid, be an emergency, shots
fired, or something else that's gonna call resources
to the scene, especially if it's nearby. Right?

But, generally, a resolution of the issue is something that would trigger an officer being able to leave. So, for example, officers, if they do

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

46

2 determine that there is an illegal eviction, will

3 call or attempt to get in touch with the landlord or

4 a representative of the landlord or a super, have a

5 | conversation, say, buddy, listen, this, this, this,

6 this. You can't just lock this, you've got to go

7 through the... you can't do this.

And then the person will come down, let them back in, and we will write a summons or take an arrest based off what happens.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: And, so, if you determine that, uhm, you can't... this is an unlawful situation, do officers... are officers supposed to leave until that person is let back in, or can it just be a verbal agreement with the super? Like, what's the full...

DIRECTOR LEVIN: I think it depends on the facts on the ground. Like, if the landlord picks up and they're like, listen, I've been fighting with them, but okay, fine, we're gonna come right down and let them in. And an officer also speaks to the complainant, and the complainant's like, I'm comfortable waiting here for them to let me back... Let's say it's 11 o'clock p.m., I'm comfortable.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2	I think it would be up to an officer's discretion
3	to say this job is accomplished. And then if there is
4	further follow-up, we will then return to the scene
5	and do whatever we need to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, I appreciate that.

And for HPD, can you confirm the tenant helpline hours? So, in addition to calling 911, the City has a flyer on illegal evictions that tells tenants to call 311 and ask for the tenant helpline.

I have on here, and I just want to confirm with you, the hotline hours are Monday 9:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., and, uh, Tuesdays through Fridays, 9:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and 10:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on Saturday.

Are those correct hours?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you for the question, Council Member.

That unit is not within HPD, so I will have to get confirmation...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: for you on those hours.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: No problem.

2.2

2.3

So I guess that... well, I had a question here about what would happen, uhm, if a tenant calls the helpline outside of these hours, but it sounds like you're not able to answer those?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yeah, I apologize for that, Council Member...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Alright...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: we will circle back with DSS...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: and get an answer for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, uhm, I just would put on the record, I hope that ,you know, considering, at least in my experience, many lockouts happen outside of the hours. It would be really good for the City to consider expanding that to a 24/7 rapid response service.

Uh, we were... Uh, just one other question for the NYPD. We weren't able to find the, uhm, to get that granular in terms of the data, we know the date when calls are made or a summons or a ticket is issued, but we don't we don't have a sense of, like, the average hours. So, if not today, if you could, in

2 the follow-up, let us know, on average, when are you

3 all getting, like, when of the highest calls for

4 illegal evictions happening? We can... we've only

5 been able to find the date.

Just a few more questions, Chair, I'll wrap it up.

8 So on Introduction 623, which I think is to me 9 the more important bill, because we really know that

10 the intervention on the ground is, as we mentioned,

11 | is extremely challenging, there's a lot of different

12 factors. There's a lot of discretion at play. We

13 don't have clear cut criteria identified.

So on the back end, we're trying to say, you know, if you do this, it's gonna be extremely costly to you, and you could potentially miss out on other opportunities.

Currently, property owners who are found guilty of illegal lockouts are fined between \$1,000 to... and \$10,000 per violation. How often are these fines issued and collected, and kind of what pot do they go into?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. So the law currently allows the City to seek

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

various violations through judicial actions, but it's
brought by the Corporation Counsel.

Again, HPD doesn't have a role in the litigation for illegal eviction or eviction in general. The Law Department would be happy to meet with you and your team to discuss the law... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, uh, do you have a general sense how...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Uhm, I don't...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, no problem.

Do you think that a property owner who illegally locks out one of their tenants should benefit from city subsidies or tax exemptions?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: Thank you for that question. I think across the board, what we would say is that our primary responsibility is protecting tenants. So while we want to do as much as we can to ensure that bad actor owners are not benefiting from HPD programs or other city subsidies, at the end of the day, we are primarily, focused on making sure, when push comes to shove, that we are protecting tenants wherever we can. So we want to have the tools that we need in our toolkit, but we share the same values, and we're very focused on making sure that we

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 51
go after bad actors with all of the tools that are

2.2

2.3

available to us.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. And just as we kind of talked about in our conversation ahead of time, I do think with this bill, uh, we could look at some thresholds or layers to build in so that, uh, folks who are frequent flyers, or who are repeatedly found guilty of this, I don't have that... It's hard to get that that data from just, like, the public end. So I'd love to work more with you all on that, or the Law Department, or whoever I need to be pointed to, but thinking about ways to build in some layers.

I agree, like, a blanket situation might not work, but there might be people who we should not be subsidizing, uh, and find ways to permanently make some of those units affordable.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: We'd be happy to continue that discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I don't know if, uh, the chair asked this, but I'll just ask it again because I can't remember.

Did you say where, uh, illegal evictions and lockout most commonly occur? Are they in bigger multifamily rentals, small families with a rental

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 unit? What are the most common types of housing
3 stock where these are happening?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member, I don't think that question was asked before...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay...

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: And I know that there is an illegal eviction lockout group, uh, across city agencies that is looking at the data. I do not have that data though, so again, I apologize, but we can look at what information they have. I am not sure that that is a distinction that they've made in their research, but we can look and provide you with an answer.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

And to your knowledge, are there any specific landlords or companies that are serial illegal evictors?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Again, I'm not involved in the data analysis there, but we will certainly circle back with that team, uhm, to find out what they have identified as a prevalent either actor or, as you asked...

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: a location type of building.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: That would be great to get that data in the followup, and I will follow up with the chair to send over some questions.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: And I'll just note on our data work, on these issues, sometimes it can be difficult parse out, particularly by building type. We see owners of all kinds these days owning buildings of all kinds, so we don't always see those patterns. We're always really aggressive whenever we're looking at any of these issues and trying to identify how do we understand trends, etcetera.

But sometimes we do see that, uhm, they're not always easy to parse out because of the variance in our, uh, in our housing stock.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

And, sorry, the last thing, uhm, which someone from my staff flagged that, uhm, we're not... maybe we're thinking that maybe there might be a misinterpretation of Intro 622.

You know, our amendments to the law wouldn't require all illegal lockouts to be in the house... the HP part of housing court, but the bill says that

information?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR LEVIN: So, I do want to just step back and say one other thing, I just want to clarify.

We're not... we don't like making a very solid checklist that you have to have this or that, because we don't want officers to not be able to take action in enforcement if one of those things isn't there. I just want to make sure.

Okay, now, back to your question, Chair. What do they do with the information? They gather it, and then they have to do an assessment about whether they believe every single element of the individual crime has been met.

So in other words, does the person have a right to be there, and have they been either affirmatively or constructively evicted? Right? Affirmatively, like, locked the door, but constructively would be turned the gas off, there's no heat. Well, I didn't lock you out, but you've been constructively evicted. Right? Because you have the right to a full domicile and all the things that come with it.

So officers will gather all that information, they will make a determination. If they need, they will speak to a sergeant or a supervisor or they will call Legal, and they will ask us, hey, I got this,

So you'll see, I've watched body where you'll

actually see the text messages, that's the way to

24

I can't take action.

that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Okay, because that's...

alright. That that makes sense, because the stuff
about whether it's present or not, that's like
getting into a legal case. I don't expect our

officers to be assessing the merits of cases, but I
do want them to go in there with the information that
they need.

Second, on Intro 1037, I understand that HPD testified that postings may lead to confusion and concern for tenants if the majority of units are not covered by rent stabilization.

Can you please expound on that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. Yes, I... we're not against this bill. I think we're in support of this bill...

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: But, we just want to caution that ,you know, if there is one of two rent stabilized units in a building, it could lead to a lot of confusion... (CROSS-TALK)

22 COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: No, I understand...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: That's all...

2.3

Council Member Restler?

(CROSS-TALK)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But I'm just asking for a 3 broader policy standpoint. I know we've got a good diversity, an impressive panel of HPD leaders and a 4 NYPD-er, but we do have Mayor's Office Representatives in the audience -I'm just trying to 6 7 understand the City's position on this, because it did appear, in whatever your PLANYC iteration is now, 8 that the Mayor supports requiring cooling requirement in all new construction. 10 11 So, I'd just like to get that on the record, that

So, I'd just like to get that on the record, that the city of New York supports a cooling requirement in all new construction? Tell me if I'm missing something, though.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: Yes, we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: We do? We do. Good. Okay, so that's clear.

Uhm, I'd now... I think, if I'm not being presumptuous, I would like to ask, and this may be better for you, Deputy Commissioner, or for Assistant Commissioner Weithman, a couple of questions about potential costs and IAI (Individual Apartment Improvements), if this bill were to move forward. Assistant Commissioner, you have a long history of doing tenant advocacy work, right?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yeah, uhm, do you want to remind us on the record where you used to work?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Uhm, throughout my career I have worked at, uh, what used to be MFY (Legal Services, and then I worked at Mobilization for Justice, which it currently is, and also for the Goddard Riverside SRO Law Project.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great, all impressive - all great work, and I think informs the important work that you do today, uh, great experience that informs the important work you do today.

So, my understanding, and you all can correct me if I don't have this right, because this is all state stuff, and I will admit I get I a little confused when it comes to state law issues.

If a brand new air conditioner were in installed in an apartment, in a building with more than... with a in a large rent regulated building, that the landlord could include in the rent 1/168th of the cost of the cooling unit in the installation if an air conditioner were installed in an apartment. Do I have that right?

2.2

2.3

conditioner. So... and, but that also then depends on

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 or has a caveat as to who is paying for the 3 utilities.

2.2

2.3

So there has been, a step to protect tenants a bit more. So it really would, uh, involve... depend on certain circumstances, but there can be situations in which if, uhm, where an IAI could be added to the rent.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Right. And, you know, we've looked at average install costs on an air conditioner in the few hundred range, so let's just say \$250. That would likely be about \$1.50 a month in additional rent, a \$1.50, maybe couple dollars if the install costs were higher.

But it's worth noting that landlords are limited in the number of IAIs that they can impose on a tenant over an 18-year period. Is that right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: HSTPA (Housing Stability and Tenant Protection Act) did include protections to that effect, yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Three... There IAI increases over an 18-year period, do I have that right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: You're testing all of my knowledge here. I believe that's (INAUDIBLE)...

inspections a year. Is that right?

the bill as written in terms of the enforcement, so

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

we would like to continue speaking with you on exactly how that would play out.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. Uhm, certainly welcome the ongoing conversations, and I will just say, the way I've always internalized HPD's work, or your team's work, I should say specifically, is heating season is bonkers, and crazy busy, and very intense, and you catch up on everything else during the rest of the year.

I think if we were to successfully pass this bill, it would make cooling season quite intense for you all to ensure that tenants are safe in the summer as well from extreme heat, and it would require an increase in headcount for HPD and for the enforcement team. And... so that we're ensuring that there's effective enforcement against every other issue in addition to heating and cooling, lead, etcetera.

So we want to have a real conversation about what those require... what those, uh, demands would be on HPD, so that we can plan for it and fund it appropriately, to make sure that enforcement is successful here.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I appreciate that, Council Member.

_

Restler.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I have some additional questions, but I will defer back to the chair. I really appreciate the chance to go a little long.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Council Member

I am going to ask a few questions, and then we will go for round two. Uhm, or maybe we will just go round two, so that I can... I can align my questions.

Council Member Nurse, you had a quick followup?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I had a quick follow-up for the NYPD.

A story that the City did in 2022, uh, around illegal evictions and kind of the crisis that was growing, found that the PD was issuing... were issuing desk appearance tickets, despite the Patrol Guide explicitly saying not to.

So I'll just read the little expert (sic). It's very short... or excerpt.

"The NYPD made just 39 arrests for the crime of unlawful eviction in 2020 and 2021 when that crime was the top charge listed at arraignment according to state court data. The City's district attorneys have disclosed a dozen other cases in that time where landlords were charged with unlawful eviction in

2 combination with other charges such as assault. Many

3 of the arrests led to desk appearance tickets, which

4 allowed defendants to come back to court later even

5 | though the NYPD Patrol Guide explicit... expressly

6 prohibits issuing the tickets for unlawful

7 | evictions."

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So I wanted to know, or if not today in the followup, if you could give us, data on how many DATs have been issued in 2024... and 2023 also?

Just because, you know, it... in my experience again, and I've been to a bunch of these before being here, but even in being here is that, you know, and look, I certainly am not interested in having a bunch of people arrested, but I think when... when you have a situation where a landlord isn't... has done... has broken the law and put people out on the street - and people now have to figure out what they're gonna do for the night and what to do with their belongings - It doesn't seem like there's ever any accountability on the day of, which is clearly not what the Patrol Guide would show.

And in the absence of... and I apologize if checklist maybe, uhm, if checklist, kind of made it seem like we're looking for something overly

factors and thresholds and conditions being met to

determine if something was if there was an unlawful

eviction or not, it just feels like people are just

burdensome, but in the absence of like a clear set of

2

3

1

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

And by the way, most times they don't even get back because they they've spent now the rest of their time figuring out where they're gonna live in an

up. They don't rule in time to get people back in the

emergency situation. The courts are slow and backed

house.

getting away with it.

And so an officer not taking action that day can render someone homeless. And so if you all are issuing desk appearance tickets versus arrests, it seems like the Patrol Guide is not being followed, or maybe it needs a refresher.

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Yeah, I'm unfamiliar with the article. I'll check it out myself as well. I'll follow up for that information. You asked 2023-2024 DATs issued for unlawful eviction? Right? I got that right?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEVIN: Yeah, I'll follow up for that.

2 I just want to just step back and say one thing, 3 it's not like foot dragging, it's not unduly 4 burdensome to have a list. My only concern is that sometimes when you put stuff in black and white, it just locks people into that mentality when we want 6

8

determining whether there's probable cause.

them to be able to think outside the box in

I believe those things are enumerated in our recruit training, but for... as Council Member Abreu asked, I will follow up with that as well, so you can see that it's not like hide... it's not like we're... we want officers to know the law. We train them with what the law is. We give them the tools. We're not trying to hide the ball or not give them what they need to be able to make the right enforcement. But, I will follow up on those things for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah, it's just.. This is an example of ,like, the soft-type of crime that happens, uhm, that people who generally own properties, have much more wealth than others get to get away with stuff that other people - where in other situations there is clear, explicit criteria, and that should be the case here.

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

I do have... My last question is, uhm, are trainings on unlawful evictions done within the PD, or with your officers, on an annual basis?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: So, I will have to double check.

So, we will do refreshers. If an issue is perennial and keeps popping up, we will put out, like, the departmentwide videos or have roll call trainings where sergeants will say, hey, guys, don't forget, hey, girls, hey everybody, don't forget, you gotta do x y z if you're seeing a b c.

And, so, it depends on whether the issue is coming up or not, I can double check on that specifically for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: It would great to know since 2020, since I think that's where we're really starting to see spikes, uh, or 2021, 2022, up to this year. You know, when was the, uh, any, uhm, curriculum on unlawful evictions incorporated into a training and on what frequency. Because this is a growing trend, uh, given that housing court is completely backed up, people are taking matters into their own hands in really destructive ways. And, so, it would be... I think it would be really pertinent to have a pretty consistent training on that.

2 DIRECTOR LEVIN: Yeah, certainly...

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Particularly in, like, the South Bronx, North Brooklyn, I forget where the other, uhm, there's like a third highest area... Is it over by you? Maybe by, uhm, the chair. So, thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: If I can say something in answer to that same question?

Thank you, Council Member Nurse. I know that as part of the Tenant Protection Cabinet and even before, uh, its creation earlier this year, there has been an Illegal Lockouts Working Group, uh, working across agencies and working with the PD. I am not part of the group, so I... we can provide you more detail.

But I know they have been working on developing training with PD around these issues, uhm, and that they've created a resource guide for homeowners, on this as well - for small homeowners - on this as well, to help guide them in what is, uh, (TIMER CHIMES) in the legal lockout and what they cannot do.

So, all that information about the training that they've been doing, we can certainly provide you in more detail.

codes across the city.

2.2

2.3

So, to your question, Council Member Nurse, they really are working to make those very targeted, (BACKGROUND NOISE) uhm, in high risk zip codes.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Okay, Thank you.

So just, another followup on Intro 993, uhm,
Deputy Commissioner, in your testimony - and by the
way, thank you for having a comprehensive testimony
that address every piece of legislation, that is much
appreciated - In in your remarks on Introduction 993,
some of your concerns were related to the
determinations that NYPD officers would have to make
in order to, you know, determine who to give copies
to, for instance, to changed locks, right, the keys
to any changed locks. Is it the Administration's
position, or what is the Administration's position
regarding this action in general, whether it's NYPD
or another agency? Should there be a role that the
City has in helping to expedite people getting back
into their homes faster?

DIRECTOR LEVIN: So I'll just speak from NYPD's perspective. Uh, based off the numbers I've seen, and I haven't... I don't have the anecdotal experience that that some of the other... the other ones you've mentioned, Council Member Nurse. But as I understand

2 it, when a phone call is made to the landlord, the 3 vast majority of the time, it resolves the issue.

I know any one time is too many times. Anyone who's out of their house at two o'clock a.m. is never a good thing. But we believe that NYPD has a specific mission to preserve the peace and to fight crime, and this one doesn't feel like it should live within PD's wheelhouse.

So if the question is, should we do everything we can to possibly help tenants who are improperly evicted? Yes. Nobody should be homeless out on the street because of some greedy landlord who has all the power. Right?

I just have... NYPD has some concerns about subsection (c), about who we would then have to give keys to. That... I think that's a really big concern for us, actually, and have some concerns... Listen, everyone talks about funding. I get it. But, you know, we'd have to find the officers. It's possible officers have these skills. We would have to train officers. We'd have to do refreshers and other set of trainings. We'd have to make sure they have access to the blank keys, and where to store them, and a

2.2

2.3

3 concerns about how that would operationalize.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: And I think zooming out, absolutely. This is, uh, we spoke about this in testimony. This is what HPD is committed to doing.

We're here to protect tenants. We're here to help people be stably housed. We don't benefit as a city when people are, experiencing any kind of housing instability, and we take these issues incredibly seriously.

What we're here to talk about today is the weeds, and how do we, uh, identify gaps in our current practice, how do we work together to close those gaps in the best way possible, and how do we do that while still ensuring that we have the tools that we need to get our job done?

But this is what we are here to do, and what we're focused on, and what this panel here collectively in our various jobs, what we focus on every day.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, I appreciate that response.

On Intro 6, uh, well, the... I think I have the wrong number written down. So, in relation to

2.2

2.3

revisited.

So can you first tell us, just confirm whether that's true? When was the last time that the penalty schedule for unlawful evictions was revisited?

And second, can you... can you tell us about how much HPD has collected in penalties for unlawful evictions versus how many penalties were required to be paid?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you again, Council Member.

Illegal evictions are not handled by HPD. Again, these are... would be under the law, under the jurisdiction of the Corporation Counsel, and I believe they have other tools that they might use in these cases. So we're happy to have the Law Department, circle back with you on this.

HPD's actions are around harassment or physical conditions, housing maintenance violations. That is what we represent in housing court and the, conditions that we seek to enforce.

Regarding, Council Member Restler's bill on cooling, does HPD or any other agency currently receive extreme heat complaints with within apartments? Is that something that is tracked by the City?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you for that question, Council Member. We do not accept complaints for it being too hot inside an apartment in the summer. That's what we're talking about, right?

The one complaint we do receive is whether the heat is actually on in the summer.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

universe of those and what, uh, what these landlords

are or how these landlords are harassing?

24

J

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Yes, we do not have data on the variety of harassment claims in all of the cases, uh, I can speak to what the Anti-Harassment Unit, uhm, includes in our cases. I think, uhm, you know, the definition of harassment in the Housing and Maintenance code obviously is fair game for tenants who are seeking their own claims.

But, we would have to look through, for the most part, uhm, all of the cases in order to make that determination.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: I actually I want to jump in there.

You are familiar, Council Member, with, uh, or Chair with, our Research and Evaluation Team. They worked very closely with the folks who were working to design the CONH Pilot program, and that was based that was a very rigorous assessment of the factors that we could isolate that were really contributing to harassment, and that would allow us to proactively identify buildings where that had happened.

It's why we talk about the significance of the criteria so often. They were research-backed. So all of that came from really rigorous review of as much information that was available to us at the time.

That was now a couple years ago, but that's... we

believe that the... I'm... I was about to use a word

that I don't think rigorous... rigorousness, I think,

is still a word - but rigorousness of that criteria,

we think, is part of why we saw such success within

the pilot, because it really did come from that, uh,

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it, thank you.

that type of work.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: And just so I can provide a little bit of numbers regarding tenant harassment cases in housing court that are initiated by tenants. So in Fiscal Year 2024, about 2,500 cases included a claim of harassment on behalf of the tenant. And generally, you know, the tenants, uh, initiate these. The... one of the most common, obviously, reasons for that is the conditions in the building.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, got it. Thank you, that's really helpful.

One more from me, and then we'll go to Council Member Restler.

NYPD summons history, it's publicly available and includes summonses that are issued, for instance, for unlawful eviction. Does HPD incorporate this data

being with us today and really appreciate his great

work, on behalf of the city and his constituent. So

I'm not just saying nice things because he lives in

4 District 33.

2.2

2.3

Couple more questions, if that's alright, for
the, stellar HPD team. I'm not sure if this one is
for Deputy Commissioner Joffe or Santiago, but right
now, tenants are concerned about extreme heat.

It's... we're going through one of our crazy heat
waves or maybe it's just 80 degrees on November 5th.

Uh, they call 311, do any of those calls go to HPD?

People are concerned about the conditions in their
home being unsafe, being dangerous?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. So right now, as you know, there is no temperature at which it is too hot inside your apartment. So we continue to... although, as you said, yesterday it was 80 degrees, today it is 50 degrees. We are accepting complaints regarding inadequate heat at this time.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Right. So we only have inadequate heating complaints that get sent to HPD.

Right now, if I understand correctly, somebody calls 311, they're concerned about extreme heat. In all likelihood, if there's a cooling center that's open,

2 they'll be directed to go to the cooling center, and

3 | that's kind of the City's policy at this point.

Right?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: I think that's why we want to work with you. We take this issue incredibly seriously. We do not yet have the legal infrastructure...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: to take action about it. That is what this process is about. And we are looking forward to working on it - and doing it in a way that we think prioritizes all of the important thigs we talked about here today, City's climb (sic)... well, that we talked about in testimony, City's climate goals, thinking about those who are most vulnerable, and really being able to implement this in a way, based on our experience with various enforcement programs, and that's what we need to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I really appreciate that response, and I appreciate the constructive kind of nature of your testimony and your engagement both prior to and at the hearing. But I raise the question just to say, I raise the point to say how lacking we are currently. Right? That, like, our only move is to

And so are you seeing improvements in, uh, air

conditioning devices that are less carbon intensive,

24

uh, cooling approaches that you think are more sustainable, uh, and that are the kinds of things that you think we should be focusing on or incentivizing, either in this legislation, or just more broadly?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LEONE: That's an excellent question and not an easy one to answer.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Fair.

2.2

2.3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LEONE: I think in your opening statement, you did talk about specifically efficiency standards and making sure all the pieces are in place for this kind of bill to work.

I think at best, right, an outcome aligns with all the climate goals of the City. Right? Low efficiency equipment that functions and is effective and efficient.

Right now, I think to your point, we're not there with the equipment that's on the shelf and ready to deploy today. We also have some policy challenges around cost shifting and paying for utilities.

I think that's change... I think we all see that changing rapidly as a result of the City's ambitious climate goals. Local Law 97 is driving a lot of action toward better equipment that's more suitable

that is the challenge of a moment like this. You said

2 this is a bold piece of legislation. And we recognize

3 | that this is a bold, uhm...

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: change. And in a moment of urgency, we both want to balance the necessity of doing this and doing it quickly and of doing it well. Sorry, I'm... So, we... That's something we are balancing here. We want to discuss with you as we think about really getting this right, responding to the urgency of the moment, but also thinking... being really thoughtfully as we are in a moment where the industry is changing, where we're catching up with where we need to be in a lot of ways. And we are, uh, very appreciative that you are so appreciative of the work that, uh, MOCEJ is doing. And I am also really excited for you to get to know our Substantially team better, because they are also doing amazing work at HPD - based on which we can really take a lot of learnings that we can applying to the broader market.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great, uhm, that's very helpful. I think that the... I will kick it over to Chair Nurse (sic), and I am happy to filibuster with more questions if we are waiting on our chair.

2 So, uh, Council Member Nurse.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, no problem.

I had a question about Intro 621, uh, more just for me to understand how to better think about this bill in terms of the operationalizing the inclusion of illegal evictions into the pilot program that you have.

Can you let, uhm, tell me how long did the research take in terms of developing that criteria, and if it was done in house or if it was, like, outsourced to some groups?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: So there was a working group that involved folks internally and externally. The research itself was done by the Research and Evaluation team at HPD, who, I am a bit biased, but does some of the best research in this area.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: We'll take your word for it, I'm sure they are.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: Yes. So, they led the research component of this, but the working group period actually predated both Marti and I, I think in our current roles - or you were involved from both sides. Uhm, but that actually was a multiyear effort.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. And you said it was done most mostly in house with some external partners in the working group?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: The policy was developed... did you want... sorry. The policy was developed as a collaborative interagency working group. The research itself, HPD has access to, uhm, unique data sources that other people don't. This is the same team that conducts the New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey, so they were providing a lot of that, uh, technical support.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: I would just add that the working group did include the external, uh, parties of advocates, legal services providers, as well as, uhm, some representatives from the landlord side.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, and if you... If, I understand that it predated you all being there, but if... It would be helpful to know if unlawful lockouts, uh, illegal evictions were at all considered during that, uh, by that working group?

I don't imagine you might have it today, but if you could, in the followup, just let us know if it was considered and what some of the findings were or

2.2

2.3

the rationale for not including it. Because I think it would be, you know, I think the program sunsetting in 2026; although, that seems like just around the corner, understanding, uhm, just isolating this one particular condition of an unlawful lockout might reduce the time needed to research, but, then, also if there was anything considered in the past that might additionally reduce the time to research that criteria and including it.

And then in terms of, uh, it sunsets in 2026, could you just expand on what happens after that?

Like, is there a period right before it sunsets where you all are doing assessment, like, some proactive looking ahead and saying, like, we definitely want to continue this program, here's some tweaks we're already anticipating?

Because I'm wondering if there's a way to build this into some of that proactive assessment that you might be doing before the sunset.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yes, and thank you very much, Council Member. So, actually, we have a report due to the City Council in November of 2025 in anticipation of that sunset.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

(LAUGHS), so that's to provide you with the

disproportionately are dying from extreme heat,

disproportionately going to ERs from extreme heat.

24

In 2020, there was significant state subsidies made available, uh, to allow low income seniors to run air conditioning in their homes, because the last thing we wanted is people being forced to stay in their homes and then be suffering from dangerous extreme heat.

So based on the analysis that we did, we found that about \$70 million in subsidies was provided for low income seniors in New York City to help cover their utility bills and stay safe in their homes.

Have you all done any analysis of what amount of funding would be necessary to help keep low income tenants safe in their homes and, uh, to help them, you know, take advantage of the cooling devices they would newly have access to in this legislation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: Thank you for that question.

It is true that we view the ability to run the equipment as a very critical piece of this puzzle.

And we certainly also look to some of the lessons we learned during COVID as instructive here. We... and have been advocating, I might say, for years, to really expand in this area. There are subsidies that

2.2

2.3

come from the state level, uhm, through the HEAP program, for example, but we recognize...

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That are pretty limited...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: that... we recognize that more is necessary.

I don't think that we have a baseline number as to what the cost would be. Moreso, we have been focused on the question of how do you think about the criteria, in terms of who is getting to have access to this, for how long, and how you structure that. I think that's the focus that we've had. Estimating people's utility cost, isolated from, uhm, isolated to cooling, is a little bit more challenging. So, we have some great information that comes from the New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey but actually have not isolated specifically the costs per household for cooling. And we know that that's very variable for a whole host of reasons. And the folks who are most trying to get it, are not currently running their air conditioners at times. So, that's an area that we would continue to work on, but we absolutely think that expanding the criteria, making sure that we are actually able to get sustained

2 assistance to people is a critical part of this whole 3 puzzle.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. I just, you know, want to say plainly and clearly on the record that, you know, this legislation requires access to cooling devices, but the second piece of what we're trying to accomplish here would be significant city and state subsidies to make it affordable for the lowest income tenants to actually be able to utilize those cooling devices.

And I guess relatedly, DOHMH did their analysis not too long ago of what percent of tenants of apartment of households in New York City have access to cooling. Do you guys have any updated data on that, or is there anything you can share?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: So I am not familiar with DOHMH's analysis, through the New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey, we are quite confident in the numbers that we collected... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Please...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: there. And we know that about, 90 percent of households have access to cooling through a variety of methods, whether it's, window installed or building wide. Uhm...

Is that a fair, uh, I mean, are you... And I'm

not trying to... you're not looking at the data in

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Do you break that down geographically in HVS?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: I didn't bring it today. My understanding is there's not significant variation at the borough level, which is probably where we have it at. But, that... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: My understanding of the data is that in low income predominantly African American communities, like Brownsville, East New York, areas in the South Bronx, uh, we see rates as low as 75 percent of households having access to cooling, and that's disproportionately where people are dying, right, from extreme heat.

And so if we're looking at a 90 percent figure citywide, but in poor, predominantly Black communities, we're seeing 75 percent, Black and brown communities, 75 percent, that really means that in most middle income and higher income communities, we're in the mid-nineties or above, and it's really this is an issue that's concentrated in in low income communities.

2 front of you, but is that consistent with your 3 understanding, Deputy Commissioner?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: I mean, I think just logically, based on how we see other trends playing out, yes. I just don't know that we have it at the sub borough level through the HVS, so that's why I hesitated.

But, absolutely, (TIMER CHIMES) this is a problem of, uh, resources, and we see this absolutely concentrated in low income communities, particularly communities of color.

What we found to be particularly concerning and striking is that, in addition to that 10 percent - which we're not minimizing, in a big city like this, that is a lot of households...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOFFE: uhm, is that there's an additional big chunk of people, or of households, who are not running the air conditioner that they have, because they cannot afford the cost of utilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Just to give a sense, the number of people who don't have access to air conditioners in New York City, that's more than the

hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole

truth, and nothing but the truth, before this

_

committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

SARAH PARKER: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, you can begin.

SARAH PARKER: Good morning, Chair Sanchez, and members of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I am Sarah Parker, a Senior Research and Strategy Officer at the New York City Independent Budget Office (IBO). Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

I am here to discuss Introduction 994, which would require all tenant-occupied dwellings to have cooled and dehumidified air. Concerns about the City's more frequent and intense heat waves are real. Buildings, roads, and city infrastructure absorb and re-emit heat from the sun. This makes high temperatures even more dangerous in an urban area, particularly for its oldest and youngest residents. Attention to the needs of the City in relation to climate change is a topic well worth discussing. In its testimony today, IBO aims to provide context and considerations for policymakers in relation to

2 Introduction 994. I will first focus on heat-related

3 | emergency room incidents in the City.

Using hospital administrative data, IBO looked at the number of heat-related illnesses in New York City in the calendar years 2020 through 2023. The annual number of heat-related cases in this period ranged between 365 in 2020 and 625 in 2022. And this followed a general trend of increasing year over year. These numbers are almost certainly an undercount of heat related medical issues, as they only capture individuals who sought medical care at a hospital and not those who sought out treatment at clinics or who went untreated.

When looking at the age distribution of heat related cases, IBO found that older adults, those 65 and older, tend to make up a greater proportion of individuals admitted to the hospital for heat related illness. On average, they are 24 percent of all cases, but comprise 45 percent of inpatient cases, meaning that they were admitted to the hospital.

I will now turn to discuss the costs associated with air conditioning and the home energy assistance program.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

A major consideration related to this bill is how it will affect renters in New York City. Intro 994 calls for a property owner to install a window air conditioner in residential rental units without central air or an existing window unit. Per the 2023 Housing Vacancy Survey, as we just discussed, around 11 percent or 257,000 occupied rental households reported that they did not have air conditioning. These units would be most immediately impacted by this bill. Citywide, there is variation by community district with some neighborhoods having notably lower shares of households with air conditioners than others.

While under this bill the owner pays for the appliance directly, economic theory suggests that some or all of the cost to purchase and install the air conditioner would be passed on to tenants in the form of rent increases. Apart from the cost of purchasing the appliance, there's also the cost to run the air conditioner. In most cases in New York City, tenants pay for their electricity.

Cost is a major barrier for households using air conditioning. Again, looking to the 2023 Housing Vacancy Survey, approximately 21 percent or 493,000

2 rental units have air conditioning but reported they

3 | did not use it due to cost.

2.2

2.3

This strongly indicates that the availability of air conditioning does not necessarily mean a tenant will use it to cool their home. Running a small energy efficient window air conditioner for 12 hours a day yields an increased electric cost of roughly \$130 a month. In comparison, an inefficient oversized window air conditioner run full time could cost over \$500 a month. In instances where the rent includes electricity, property owners often charge an annual surcharge for air conditioners. This is applicable to rent stabilized units as well as public housing units and rental and market rate units.

I'd be happy to speak further on those.

Intro 994 does not require the air conditioners to be energy star rated or appropriately sized for the space, nor does it clarify if window units are to be installed outside the cooling season and who is responsible for storage. Units left installed year round, unless they are specifically winterized, are a major source of air leakage during the heating months. Heat more easily escapes out from around a

2 window air conditioner, which means higher heating

3 costs for the building.

2.2

2.3

On the topic of utility affordability, I'll now discuss the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program known as LIHEAP or HEAP. This is a federal program through the Department of Health and Human Services, and New York State receives a block grant on an annual basis.

In New York State's current HEAP plan for the 2025 year, the largest share of funds, 51 percent, is to be used for heating assistance, while only four (TIMER CHIMES) percent of funds is dedicated to cooling assistance. The cooling program operates on a statewide first come, first serve basis. And once funding is exhausted, the program is closed.

The summer 2024 cooling program that we just underwent was funded at \$22 million statewide, and \$8 million was spent in New York City. It is notable that the number of benefits issued in New York City has more than doubled over the past four years, meaning the program is benefiting more households in New York City than ever before.

Demand for the program; however, always exceeds available funds. The HEAP cooling program works

2.2

2.3

different than the heating program. The heating program provides direct payments to utilities on behalf of low income households to offset heating utility costs in the winter months. In contrast, the cooling program supplies appliances either with a window air conditioner or a fan but does not offset utility costs. HEAP eligibility is determined by income adjusted for household size per requirement sent annually by New York state. Our written testimony includes further details on the number of households in New York City that might qualify for HEAP.

The HEAP cooling program as it's currently structured is unlikely to provide a large source of funding to offset property owners' costs to implement Introduction 994. Additionally, HEAP funds, as it's currently structured, will not help New Yorkers pay for electric utilities in the summer months.

Lastly, I will discuss the capacity of New York City's electrical grid in peak summer months.

Adding air conditioners in summer months brings up questions around capacity of New York City's electrical grid. Demand typically peaks during summer months during heat waves, more cooling is needed and

2.2

2.3

for longer periods of time. Demand for energy usage is expected to grow year over year. Utility companies have asked City residents to limit their energy consumption during summer heat waves to avoid outages. In fact, many utility companies have billing incentive programs that reward residents to use less energy during summer daytime hours.

While these are good for the environment and the stability of the energy grid, we have messaging from utilities to reduce summer electrical usage, and this is working against the goal of this bill, which is to increase household's access and use of air conditioning.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I'd be happy to discuss any of these topics in further detail.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, thank you so much, very helpful testimony. Council Member Restler surprisingly has a couple of questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: (LAUGHTER) It sounded like there was a little shade in that from our distinguished chair, but I love her anway.

Thank you so much, I really appreciate, Ms. Parker, you taking the time to testify and your

2 incredibly thoughtful testimony. Just more broadly, I 3 greatly appreciate the work of the IBO.

SARAH PARKER: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And, under, Ms. Joffe's leadership, I think you all have been doing even more exceptional work. It's so valuable for us as a council to have thoughtful, independent expert input on our policy making process. We don't have all the answers, uh, we certainly don't have all the answers, we try our best, but we really value the input that you're offering today and that the IBO consistently provides, you know, when we call on it.

I just would love to get a... I think the last point that you're getting at, which is how do we try - and this is kind of impossible question, so I would. if you, uh, I don't expect any... but I'm just interested in your thought pattern. There is, uh, there is almost a contradiction between our desire to keep people safe during, you know, and reduce subsidies for lowest income tenants during extreme heat and the stability of the grid and reduction in emissions, right, that are working at cross purposes. Like, how do we try to line up the incentives so that we're providing access to cooling

2 to people who need it, but not at the, uh, at the

3 expense of achieving our climate goals or

4 destabilizing our grid?

2.2

2.3

SARAH PARKER: That's a great question. So the underlying premise of this bill is to reduce and prevent heat related illness and death in New York City. One, there are... I almost think of it as a triangle. We have the question of access to air conditioning, which this bill aims to address, to provide more air conditioning to rental units so that people don't have to go to a cooling center, or go to a public space that is air conditioned, or even a commercial space and spend the afternoon shopping because it's air conditioned even if they buy nothing. That's one piece of this, access to air conditioning.

The second piece is the cost. How do we pay for air conditioning if we have these appliances?

And the third piece of this triangle is how does this fit in with our energy goals and our city's desire to reduce our reliance on fossil fuels and reduce overall energy demand?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Mm-hmm.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

using the same level of electricity as a high rise

SARAH PARKER: So when we're thinking about appliances, we have energy star ratings. There certainly are air conditioner... there's guidelines put out by the ASHRAE, which is the industry standard for heating and cooling on how to size an air conditioner for a room space - larger rooms need more larger air conditioners with more output, smaller rooms do not. The smaller an air conditioner, the... generally, the less electricity it uses.

So those are some ways, if we're focusing on the appliances, we can think about getting energy efficiency ones, thinking about how to best size it for this space.

In terms of...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Utilization.

SARAH PARKER: Utilization, the question is, it really comes down to cost. This premise of providing appliances is only as good as people using them, particularly at times of most need, which then comes up with this tension of really taxing the energy grid.

Now an individual window air conditioner is not

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 113
2	office tower, to be clear. And the city has a lot of
3	big buildings that are cooled almost to extremes
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yup.
5	SARAH PARKER: And a lot of commercial spaces
6	where the front doors are left open. So we are
7	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Illegal
8	SARAH PARKER: air conditioning(CROSS-TALK)
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: (INAUDIBLE)
10	SARAH PARKER: there's a law against it, and I
11	still see it.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yep, totally, don't
13	tell (CROSS-TALK)
14	SARAH PARKER: Air conditioning as I walk
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Council Member Brewer
16	(LAUGHS)
17	SARAH PARKER: So there are clearly many, many
18	aspects on reducing our energy demand overall as a
19	city that we need to be tackling.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I That was incredibly
21	helpful and smart and well broken down. So, I really
22	appreciate that thoughtful answer, I appreciate the
23	thoughtful testimony, I appreciate the conversations

that my office has had with the IBO on this bill, and

annual increase. It's not part of that calculation.

25

2 But it is an annual surcharge to cover the cost that 3 running an air conditioner will have on the property

4 owner.

2.2

2.3

Separately, the cost to install an air conditioner, if the property owner is the one to pay for it, would be considered an individual apartment improvement, and that is a fraction of that cost can be put onto the legal rent - that is the monthly rent that the tenant pays. And that is the rent that then gets increased based on Rent Guidelines Board rules.

Back of the envelope, for a window air conditioner to be installed and applied to an individual apartment improvement, we're talking about two to... \$2.00 to \$5.00 a month.

So I'd like to highlight the annual charge that we see for air conditioners in instances where the owner pays electricity. And just to clarify, there are three... there's a limit on three individual apartment improvements in a 15-year period, but those can be a bundle of improvements. So it's not that installing a window air conditioner is a singular instance. It could be part of an owner does painting, replaces appliances, fixes windows, and installs a window air conditioner, and they would submit that

bundle of expenses as an individual apartment
improvement.

3 improvement.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That's very helpful, I really appreciate it.

SARAH PARKER: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

Okay, I will now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic of Tenant Harassment and Safety, including the following

establish further protections for the most vulnerable

25

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 renters of New York City with a slate of bills. BDS's

3 civil justice practice aims to reduce the civil

4 collateral consequences for the people we serve who

5 are involved with the criminal, family, or

6 immigration legal systems.

2.2

2.3

Due to our model of representation, we often work with New Yorkers before they even get to housing court. Our clients are more likely to be in informal or unstable living situations with landlords or roommates who may resort to self-help eviction.

Temporary orders of protection are an almost automatic part of most criminal cases. These orders require relatively little evidence and yet have immense power to separate families. Our clients facing criminal charges almost always have these orders issued against them. And too often, landlords feel empowered to evict our clients based on the order of protection alone and without filing a petition in housing filing a petition in housing court.

We are often able to intervene during these situations, but, of course, there are countless tenants who do not have that legal assistance.

We applaud Intros 6021, 6022, and 6023 as

2.2

2.3

3 necessary protections against illegal lockouts. And

4 | I'll speak specifically on our, support of 6022.

Even though it is illegal to evict anyone who has lived in their apartment for more than 30 days without going through housing court, extrajudicial evictions are still very common, as you know. Many of these illegally evicted residents are known as "occupants", meaning they likely don't have long term rights to the apartment.

Most housing court judges have determined it would be futile to restore such occupants to their homes and dismiss their illegal lockout proceedings.

This practice, of course, does immense harm to the tenants who are illegally evicted. It also harms any tenants who are deterred from asserting their rights in housing court due to the chilling effect of this judge-made law. It empowers more landlords to rely on illegal lockouts without representation.

Today's bills would protect the rights of all renters and ensure that illegal lockout victims, (TIMER CHIMES) even those without a formal lease, would have recourse in housing court to return to their home.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 Can I finish quickly?

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Please conclude, yep...

(CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

EVAN MA: I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: If you could summarize...

EVAN MA: Although we support the goal of Intro 993, we recommend that it be revised to provide detailed guidance about the specifics of this new process and to designate a different agency other than the NYPD to fulfill its goals. As a public defender office, we see how granting broad discretion to the NYPD and adding armed officers to already volatile situations can quickly escalate and result in irreparable harm for New Yorkers. Many of our clients understand that calling the NYPD is often an extremely risky proposition for their own bodily safety.

We advocate for a version of this bill that would empower a different city agency to effectuate, its goals. Housing is human right. Although the City could go further to protect the housing rights of all residents and ensure that housing court works fairly, this slate of proposed laws contains nuanced responses to specific and niche housing issues that

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

will affect positive change for thousands of New Yorkers. Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank You So Much.

MICHAEL GRINTHAL: Good morning. My name is
Michael Grinthal, and I am the Director of Housing
Rights at TakeRoot Justice.

TakeRoot Justice is in support of all of the bills that are being heard today, but I especially wanted to thank Council Member Nurse for her leadership on the issues of illegal eviction, and I'm going to speak specifically to intro 622.

So as Mr. Ma said, it is illegal to evict somebody in New York if they are a lawful occupant without going through housing court. And a lawful occupant includes lots of people that we might not necessarily think of as having this right, including, uh people who don't have leases, family members, roommates, subletters, live-in caregivers after a tenant has left. These are people who are extremely likely to be illegally evicted.

And right now, the state illegal eviction law is not protecting these people. They fall through a crack, where when they go to housing court in order to get restored to their homes, which is what they're

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

supposed to do, their cases are getting dismissed because they don't have leases. We believe that's a wrong interpretation of the law, but it is the one that most housing court judges are following, and it is what's happening to people right now.

Intro 622 is a... is something that the City can do to provide an alternate path for lawful occupants who don't have leases, who are illegally evicted from their apartments. It helps you, uh, it helps tenants or licensees use the existing harassment law to bring a case in the HP part of housing court, since illegal eviction already comes under the definition of harassment under the City Harassment Law.

The problem right now is that the HP part in harassment cases are not really well set up to work (TIMER CHIMES) for people who've been illegally evicted. The cases drag on for months, many judges don't recognize restoring somebody to their apartment as something that they have the power to do. Intro 622 addresses those issues, and we think that it will make a big difference to hundreds of New Yorkers who are illegally evicted every year. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

EVAN HENLEY: Hi, good afternoon, my name is Evan Henley, and I'm a staff attorney at the Legal Aid Society, and thank you so much to the chair and the committee for holding this hearing.

The Legal Aid Society practices across all five boroughs, and we do see illegal lock lockouts happening, pretty frequently, and they are a scourge on our community for the reasons that have been set forth. And it's also clear that the current framework of laws and policies and practices is really failing occupants in the situation.

In our written testimony, we talk about a client in the Bronx whose trial took five-and-a half months to conclude. And when she did get a judgment in her favor, the landlord had changed the layout of her room, and so that was just a piece of paper that gave her no redress. So we are in support of measures to ensure that occupants have swift and effective relief in this situation.

Due to the limited time, I just do wanna focus on a few concerns that we have about some of the bills.

So with Intro 623, we certainly are in favor of increased penalties for landlords who commit misconduct. However, we think that the proscription

J

on receiving the city benefits would have negative impacts that would outweigh the deterrent or punitive effect, particularly for tenants who rely on SCRIE or DRIE or have CityFHEPS, uhm, the inability to benefit from those subsidies could have really disastrous effects and cause them to be displaced.

For the Intro 993, we think that giving the NYPD that amount of discretion in that situation also could be harmful. You know, for example, sometimes landlords quickly rent to unsuspecting tenants after performing these illegal lockouts, and so changing the locks again, just by the police, I think, could be quite negative.

Then, the other bill regarding air conditioning for Intro 994, we definitely support the intent of that, but, uh, we share the concerns about the cost and would be happy to talk more to Council Member Restler about that. Thank you. (TIMER CHIMES)

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Perfect timing, thank you so much.

JUSTIN R. LA MORT: Good afternoon, my name is Justin La Mort, I'm a managing attorney at Mobilization for Justice (MFJ).

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

MFJ has been fighting for tenants' rights for over 60 years, and we applaud the efforts to focus on illegal lockouts.

I wanted to focus on 622 and 623 specifically.

In terms of 622, what we try to do is we heard there's a lack of data, so we randomly sampled 275 illegal lockouts, primarily Manhattan and Brooklyn, because the courts, uhm, use a particular numbering system. But, unfortunately, due to privacy laws, this isn't data we can get from the Office of Court Administration.

Through that data, of those 275, around four percent of those cases ended through the futility doctrine. Just to be clear, the futility doctrine was created by the courts with no basis in law for over a 100 years and allowed the courts to use speed in sacrificing justice. While it may be efficient to kick people out in the streets, it's not an effective use of the law, especially when dealing with complicated matters such as succession, regulatory agreements, or the new good cause laws that have passed through the state.

What we saw looking at the futility cases is that not only is it used in those four percent of cases,

2.2

2.3

but often is used as a threat to force other tenants to resolve cases by either dropping it or negotiating some sort of agreement to move out with the threat of utility hanging over the head by the judge. And the reason being is, currently the cases are being handled in the trial courts, who are overburdened - over 200,000 housing court cases, 50 judges with trials set out for months - But because these illegal lockouts must be handled so quickly, they push out trials and often it is something that makes the judge's job harder to do when coming managing their docket.

I also want to focus on the issue of 623. We support the increased penalties, but we do have concerns like Legal Aid when it comes to not allowing the use of subsidies. Looking at the data, of the cases where legal lockouts are brought, on average using just fixed data, the portfolio is... the average portfolio is at (TIMER CHIMES) 339 buildings or the median of 15 buildings. The average size of the building is 293 units or the median size of the 12 units. The reason there's such a discrepancy is because we see giant buildings as small buildings, but we're talking about hundreds in buildings. And

- 2 by restricting the use of SCRIE, DRIE, CityFHEPS,
- 3 | 421-As, that could have collateral consequences of
- 4 tenants who are there, and allow bad actors as a way
- 5 to get around income protections and income
- 6 discrimination of getting rid of a tenant to depend
- 7 on that affordable housing. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.
- 9 Council Member Nurse?
- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah, I just... just to
- 11 clarify, those are for the landlord, not... any of
- 12 | those restrictions would not be for tenants. I just
- 13 want to put that on the record.
- 14 And additionally, we wanted to put on the record
- 15 | that while we agree we don't want PD to have any more
- 16 power, there is... I would love to know a city agency
- 17 \parallel that has 24/7 boots on the ground. This bill was
- 18 developed in coalition where there was just no other
- 19 agency with the power to change the lock, at 12
- 20 o'clock at night one in the morning, when a super
- 21 | fails to show up, when a landlord fails to show up.
- 22 Uhm, we just don't have personnel on the ground
- 23 besides PD. I mean, the next closest thing we have is
- 24 | sanitation workers, who are out by a certain hour.

1 So the 24/7 piece, uhm, and this is why we asked 2 3 about, uh, what the hours are, like, when does an unlawful eviction generally get reported? I imagine 4 it's when most people get home and realize their shit's out on the street, and we just don't have 6 capacity on the ground.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So we already proactively spoke with these groups. We would love to find anyone else to do this that actually has operational capacity, in any sense, for 24/7. So if you all have ideas, we'd love to work with you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Council Member Nurse. Thank you so much to this panel.

I would now like to call up Whitney Hu, Caleb Smith, Israel Sanchez, and Diana Hernandez. Oh, Whitney, Hu, not Ha! Hey, Whitney!

WHITNEY HU: It's my... It's my handwriting (LAUGHS)

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: (LAUGHS) I take responsibility there. I'm, like, that sounds familiar, but... Okay, please...

WHITNEY HU: I'll go ahead and go first. Good morning, my name is Whitney Hu, and I'm the Director of Civic Engagement and Policy at Churches United For

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

Fair Housing (CUFFH). At CUFFH we represent over 25,000 members through our 40 church partners in Brooklyn and Queens, and we provide affordable housing services citywide.

I'm here today on behalf of the tenants we serve to express strong support for the Illegal Evictions Act, uh, Intros 621, 622, 623, 093, and Reso 246 - that's a lot of words - and Cool Homes For All, Intro 994. And thank you to Council Member Nurse and Council Member Restler.

These bills share a common goal, ensuring all New Yorkers have access to safe, stable, and dignified housing. Unlawful evictions and extreme heat related deaths disproportionately impact Black, brown, and low income communities, exposing systemic inequities.

When landlords illegally lock out tenants, they strip away basic housing rights, often forcing people into homelessness. Similarly, tenants without access to cooling during deadly heat waves face unlivable and life threatening conditions, including death.

Both legislative packages address these failures by holding landlords accountable and protecting tenants' rights. The Illegal Evictions Act strengthens enforcement, provides immediate remedies,

2.2

2.3

and poses significant penalties on violators. It sends a clear message - housing is a human right, and no landlord is above the law.

Cool Homes for All ensures tenant safety year round by requiring landlords to provide cooling devices, extending protections already in place for winter heating. By requiring landlords to provide cooling devices, this legislation ensures tenants can live in homes that are safe year round, not just during the winter when heating laws apply.

Both proposals protect tenants from neglect and abuse while creating clear, enforceable standards for property owners. Taken together, these bills reinforce the City's commitment to treating housing as a as a right, not a privilege. They both address immediate harms or laying the groundwork for a more equitable, just housing system in New York City. And I would like to thank, uh, the chair for bringing us together. And that's what I have, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

DR. DIANA HERNANDEZ: Alright, good morning, or good afternoon now, New York City Council's Housing & Buildings Committee and Chair Sanchez.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

By way of introduction my name is Dr. Diana Hernández, I am a tenured professor in the Department of Sociomedical Sciences at the Mailman School of Public Health. I'm also the Founding Principal Investigator of the Energy Equity Housing and Health Program at Mailman, and the co-Director of the Center... at Energy Opportunity Lab at the Center on Global Energy Policy at the School of International and Public Affairs at Columbia. I am a Mayoral Appointee of the Environmental Justice Advisory Council as well.

I am testifying in my capacity as a leading authority on the issue of energy insecurity in the US. I have published nearly 100 peer reviewed papers, book chapters and reports on this and related topics and a forthcoming book called Powerless: The People's Struggle for Energy.

Energy Insecurity is defined as the inability to adequately meet household energy needs. It has three dimensions- which has everything to do with the affordability of bills, a physical dimension, which has everything to do with the physical quality of housing, and coping, which has to do with the adaptive strategies that people use when they cannot

2.2

adequately meet the economic or physical conditions of their homes.

The pending Local Law, Intro 994, requiring that tenant occupied dwellings be provided with cooled and dehumidified air is a critical step in closing energy insecurity gaps in New York City.

I am here to both express my support for this measure by sharing evidence from published research that indicates a need for greater cooling access among New York City residents while also expressing concerns that without enhanced financial support for tenants, the assurance of air conditioning alone will not be enough to ensure safeguards again extreme heat at home.

There are substantial human health risks associated with excessively high temperatures in residential dwellings. From hyperthermia to heatstroke, sleepless nights, mental strain and even death, (TIMER CHIMES) the public health literature on the adverse health effects of extreme indoor heat is well established and incontestable.

These issues are also more pronounced with rising temperatures driven by climate change. There is also greater need for cooling at home. In disadvantaged

communities, urban heat island effects compound the need for indoor cooling while at the same time making it more expensive to cool.

New York City regularly tracks air conditioning prevalence, uh, meaning how many households in New York City actually already have access to cooling. From this tracking, we know that over 90 percent have access to cooling at home, except in certain neighborhoods among them the highest, uh, low income groups, uh, households of color, renters, and households with preexisting health conditions.

As emphasized by Council Member Restler, every year in New York City, high indoor temperatures cause an, on average, 350 exacerbated heat deaths - 100 percent of people who died of heat stress in their homes, either did not have a working air-conditioner or were not using it at all.

In 2020, the New York City COVID-19 Heatwave Plan was passed due to the swift action of the City Council and coordination among multiple city agencies. This emergency AC distribution measure enabled the installation of 73,000 AC units in homes over a three month period.

2.

2.2

2.3

The program goal was to help low income older adults stay home safely during COVID-19 and extreme heat, supporting social distancing during the summer of 2020. In addition to the actual ac units, there were also modest utility bill credits for almost 500,000 low income electricity customers at around \$35.00 per month.

This highly impactful program, known as the Get Cool Program, was a well targeted, uh, was well targeted such that populations with the highest levels of heat vulnerability and those at greatest risk of COVID were prioritized in the distribution of ACs.

I was an academic partner in a program evaluation effort done in collaboration with the New York City

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene that resulted in a peer reviewed paper published last year in the Journal of Urban, Health. In that paper, we presented the results from the Get Cool Program, which showed that it was highly successful in ensuring that more households in New York City were positioned to access cooling at home.

The evaluation compared program beneficiaries to applicants that demonstrated interest, but were not

2.2

enrolled in the Get Cool Program. And results indicate that Get Cool participants were able to access cooling at home compared to the prior year and also, uh, to non-participants. More Get Cool Program participants reported comfort at home. They were also more likely to... uh, less likely to report being sick at home compared to non-participants.

But almost... so just to kind of put this in context, almost an equal number of study participants did not go to cooling centers, really demonstrating a low uptake of cooling center use.

Another point of convergence was about a persistent challenge around energy affordability, particularly among Get Cool participants that expressed concerns around increased electricity cost compared... and then nonparticipants faced other barriers. So the participants were expressing concerns around affordability. The AC installation, landlord surcharges, and applying for cooling assistance were all substantial concerns for those that were non participants. And together, this shows that there is still a need to fill cooling gaps in New York City.

2.2

2.3

We've done some additional work with the New York
City Department of and Mental Hygiene. Just this
year, we published a paper that was in Health
Affairs, and we show that 28 percent of New York City
residents experience energy and security, 30 percent
of them are experiencing homes that are too hot, 15
percent of them are experiencing... are not using
their ACs due to cost.

And in a report that we published this year with the Robin Hood Foundation, alongside the Columbia Center for Poverty and Social Policy, we demonstrated that 10 percent of New York City residents are falling behind on their utility bills and five percent, experience a disconnection due to nonpayment.

Considering the aforementioned, I'm...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm sorry, I am just going to ask you to summarize, okay?

DR. DIANA HERNANDEZ: Right now... uh, evident... thank you so much... A vast body of research showing the links between energy housing and health, there is definitely a strong rationale for establishing a cooling season during the months of high heat.

However, in addition to establishing that, we also

2.2

2.3

need to be thinking about reforming the Home Energy
Assistance program and making cooling assistance more
robust, expanding the Energy Affordability Program so
that more eligible households are actually enrolled,
considering strengthening disconnection programs, uh,
disconnection protections during summer months,
ensuring that AC units are actually efficient, and
that low intensity cooling options like ceiling fans
are also part of this plan and that, uh, we also need
to educate the public on indoor temperature set
points that support health. Thank you so much for the
opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, thank you so much for your robust testimony.

ISRAEL SANCHEZ: Thank you, Chair Sanchez and members of the Committee. My name is Israel Sanchez.

I am a campaign coordinator at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development.

ANHD supports the goals of Intro 994 to mitigate the effects of extreme heat on vulnerable New Yorkers. We've all heard the effects that extreme heat, rising temperatures have on the most vulnerable New Yorkers in our city due to the urban heat island effect, redlining, etcetera.

2.2

2.3

It is critical that everyone has access to a

thermally safe environment and cool temperatures in

their homes, especially when cooling centers are not

always available. However, at this moment, we are

7 structured in a way that is feasible to implement for

unable to support the legislation, because it is not

our nonprofit owned affordable housing members.

ANHD's member organizations are already... are already struggling to maintain their buildings in the face of unaddressed rental arrears, uh, and rising insurance costs, and costly delays in backlogs.

There's simply no money in their building's existing underwriting to pay for the new cooling systems, especially if we wanna encourage long term sustainability over quick fixes.

We recommend adding a temporary exemption in this bill for nonprofit-owned affordable housing, which should be required to meet the new cooling requirements, uhm, standards in existing buildings only at a point of refinancing or major renovations when the costs associated with the upgrades can be accommodated and any necessary structural or system upgrade work can be done more efficiently. This would ensure that our nonprofit-owned affordable housing

2.2

2.3

stock can be brought up to the max temp requirements proposed without sacrificing affordability or placing our community housing organizations under further financial duress.

We also recommend committed funding in the next Council budget, to ensure that low income tenants, that are already struggling with rising rent and utility costs, have access to programs that can help them cover any increases in utility costs through programs such as HEAP.

And finally, I'd like to also state that ANHD supports the other bills being heard today, and we'll include some recommendations for strengthening modifications in our written testimony. (TIMER CHIMES) So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

CALEB SMITH: Hello. Good morning, Committee Chair Pierina Ana Sanchez, and Committee on Housing And Buildings. My name is Caleb Smith; I'm the resiliency coordinator at WE ACT For Environmental Justice.

WE ACT, a community based organization headquartered in Harlem, has been fighting environmental racism at the city, state, and federal level for more than 30 years.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

My role is focused on advancing health equity by advocating for extreme heat and flood adaptation policies.

I am here in support of introduction 994, because the map of New York City's most heat vulnerable communities bears a striking resemblance to a map of its formerly redlined neighborhoods.

It's no mistake that Black New Yorkers are twice as likely to die from heat stress as white New Yorkers. It's a consequence of policy decisions, decisions that perpetuate a lack of green space, limited access to air conditioning, and poor housing quality for low income and black communities.

Introduction 994 closes a policy gap we can no longer ignore. Now that we are in a humid, subtropical climate zone experiencing sustained and extreme heat, everyone must have a right to cooling.

Cooling at home is the most holistic response to address the unpredictable, indiscreet, and cumulative threat of extreme heat.

The MPCC-4 Report shows that heat exacerbated mortalities are increasing at non extreme temperatures between 82 and 90 degrees, which are increasingly frequent. This indicates delayed plans

2.2

2.3

PANEL: Thank you.

to acclimatize residents and adapt our built environments put our lives at risk.

We will continue to support this bill, ensuring that it uplifts the most protective health standard, feasibility of implementation for nonprofit and low income building owners, energy affordability, and housing stability for heat vulnerable tenants.

In our written comments, we detailed the potential amendments to help directly address these priorities. Thank you again, committee chairs, (TIMER CHIMES) for allowing me to testify on this matter.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Excellent, thank you, thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I just want to thank this panel - especially Caleb and the team from WE ACT in helping us to shape this legislation, and Whitney and her team at CUFFH, for helping us to make sure that we're doing everything we can to protect tenants, and I really wanna thank the expert insight from Dr. Hernandez. We really look forward to continue... and ANHD. We continue to look forward to working with each of you as we shape this legislation in the weeks and months to come.

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Excellent, thank you, thank 3 you so much.

We will now be turning remote testimony. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you, and the Sergeant at Arms will give you the go-ahead to begin. Please wait for the sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

First up will be Hannah May-Powers, then Danielle Manley, followed by Irene Mex... sorry, I am going to get this wrong Mextaxtos, and finally Stanley Wong.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

HANNAH MAY-POWERS: Hi, and good afternoon, Chair Sanchez, and thank you for holding this hearing today. My name is Hannah May-Powers, I'm Health and Safety Policy Analyst at the Office of the Brooklyn Borough President, who I'm here in behalf of, and also in turn in behalf of Brooklyn tenants.

State and local legislative changes in the last few years have strengthened tenant protection, but issues remain. For example, during BP Reynoso's time in the Council, he was proud to pass the Stand for Tenant Safety bill package, a robust set of

2.2

2.3

regulations designed to protect tenants from landlords using construction as harassment.

The Council also passed and expanded the right for tenants to have counsel in housing court. And during the pandemic, the state temporarily paused housing court proceedings, giving tenants relief from eviction, at least through legal channels.

However, in order to side step these and other regulations, some landlords have become bolder, resorting to criminal behavior such as lockouts, shutting off utilities, and even throwing out tenants' possessions. Worse, recent reporting shows that NYPD frequently fails to hold landlords accountable for committing these crimes.

These issues are particularly acute in East New
York and Brownsville where rates of illegal evictions
are among the highest in the city. That's why Borough
President Reynoso supports the Stop Illegal Evictions
Act. These proposals clarify that illegal evictions
constitute harassment, give tenants who have been
illegally evicted a tool to use in court to stay in
their homes and create stronger disincentives for
landlords to engage in these illegal practices.

S

In addition to this legislation, in the next budget cycle, we must ensure that our community based nonprofit legal services providers are well resourced and supported for the necessary work to organize, educate, and protect tenants. For example, we must fully fund the Anti-Harassment Tenant Protection Program, (TIMER CHIMES) lift caps on rollovers, and address how programs funds...(CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you, your time has expired.

HANNAH MAY-POWERS: Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

DANIELLE MANLEY: Dear Council Member Sanchez,

Council Member Restler, and members of the Committee,

uh, thanks you for the opportunity to comment today.

My name is Danielle Manley (BACKGROUND NOISE) I am

the Manager of Policy at Urban Green Council. We are

a non-profit with a mission is to decarbonize

buildings for healthy and resilient communities, and

I am here to submit our feedback on Introduction 994.

As others have said, extreme heat is the deadliest climate hazard in New York City, claiming an average of 350 lives each year, and climate change is making our summers hotter.

2.2

2.3

As we see it, Intro 994 aims to achieve two goals to address this problem. First, is to mitigate life safety risks for the most heat vulnerable New Yorkers, and second is to enshrine a right to cooling for all residential tenants in the same manner that there's a right to heat.

Urban Green strongly supports both of those goals, and we welcome the opportunity to work together to achieve them. But as others have said, there are key challenges with the bill as drafted right now, and we think that thoughtful engagement is needed to navigate them before moving forward.

My written comments offer more detail, and some of these have been set already, but those challenges include, one, that utility costs are a major barrier, because those who need cooling often cannot afford to pay for it; two, most large buildings will not have heat pumps in the four-year time frame laid out in this bill; three, that means that inefficient and secondhand window units will become the lowest cost option, unintentionally leading the city's most vulnerable residents getting the worst performing equipment; fourth, the grid is already strained and most polluting on hot summer days; and last, there

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are some building science and technology hurdles that practitioners say are difficult or impossible to meet as its drafted right now.

But, to be clear, we don't feel that these obstacles are permanent or insurmountable, and we want to this to succeed. So, we recommend four steps. First is to start with a requirement for cooling in all new buildings, don't leave the option on the table; second, is to identify the truly vulnerable residents without AC and target programs for them today - we don't have to wait to help them; third, is to require a study with broad stakeholder engagement to navigate the issues that have been raised today, that are sometimes at odds with one another, in a citywide right to cooling bill, and offer funding in the City's budget for it; and fourth, consider minimum efficiency standards for window air conditioners in this or any other future bill on this topic.

Thank you for your time, and we look forward to collaborating on a path forward for this.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, thank you so much.

Frankenstein duplex apartment above. Uh, this

IRENE MEXTAXTOS: (INAUDIBLE) time...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Do you want to summarize?

3 IRENE MEXTAXTOS: Uhm, I just think that landlords

4 have been given just free reign to bring evictions

5 that are baseless, and continuous, and that city

agencies really don't prevent it from happening. He's 6

7 on the list, and he has done it quite a few times.

8 And I feel like legislation is too weak, and it...

You know, he has a greedy landlord. He is... He has

lawyers downtown that continuously are there for 10

11 whatever... whatever they want. You know? And they

will do it over and over again, because it's just too 12

13 easy.

14 So, you're dealing with after evictions happen,

15 but I am the before ,you know? And I have withstood

16 countless, countless times based on nothing. I was

17 taken to Supreme Court as well, where they tried to

18 overturn a DHCR... whatever. Anyway, so I do have

19 more to say, but, okay...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, thank you for

21 joining us today.

Stanley Wong? 2.2

2.3 (PAUSE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin, Stanley.

24

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

STANLEY WONG: Thank you. Good afternoon, uh, thank you to this committee for holding this hearing and the opportunity to comment.

My name is Stanley Wong, I'm a staff... I am a housing staff attorney in the Manhattan Unit of Legal Services NYC, the largest provider of civil legal services in the country.

We support the bills today that seek to restrain illegal evictions as sensible proposals that will provide much needed stability to working class and lower income families and protect due process for all New Yorkers.

We believe Intro 623 is an important step to disincentivize unlawful eviction unlawful evictions. However, we encourage the Committee to rethink its five-year ban on participation in city tax credits, abatements, or subsidies, which would likely have unintended negative consequences for the tenants the bill is meant to protect.

We urge the Council to continue engaging with community stakeholders to explore the options in addition to monetary penalties.

Similarly, we believe interest for 622 provides much needed safeguards to curbing illegal self-help

2.2

2.3

evictions by eliminating the futility doctrine, a

legal principle that denies lawful occupants and

This bill would ensure property owners and courts respect due process as well as provide stability for the number of rent burdened families in New York City who are unable to find alternative affordable housing in a short period of time.

their families their right to return to their homes.

Going to Intro 621, the bill would enhance the efficacy of the Certificate of No Harassment program and give proper attention to future development as we continue to protect current affordable housing stock.

We support Intro 1037's proposal to provide for the dissemination of crucial information regarding occupants' potential rights, which would both protect individuals and bolster rent stabilization laws.

And finally, resolution 119 would reinforce justice and fundamental fairness in our courts while protecting families who often are on the other side of the stark power imbalance when seeking to have their rights vindicated.

I thank the City Council for its attention in these critical issues that impact hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, thank you so much for joining us today.

My next... I'd like to call Shravanthi Kanekal.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and members of the Council. My name is Shravanthi Kanekal and I'm the Senior Resiliency Planner at the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance (NYC-EJA).

Founded in 1991, NYC-EJA is a non-profit citywide membership network linking 13 grassroots organizations from low-income neighborhoods and communities of color across all the five boroughs in their struggle for environmental justice.

NYC-EJA is here today to lend our support for Intro 994, establishing a maximum indoor temperature regulation. We want to ensure that the City government needs to change its policy, management, and response to extreme heat. It needs to expand existing strategies and invest in new ones to reduce the urban heat and island effect and protect and prepare New York City residents from the increasing risk and dangers of heat, particularly the most vulnerable among us.

Cooling strategies can no longer be regarded as a privilege, but rather must be seen as a necessity for the health and safety for the most vulnerable New Yorkers.

According to the most recent report from the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, heat is, as many have noted, heat is estimated to cause approximately 350 excess deaths annually with the highest burdens among Black New Yorkers, who are twice as likely to die of heat related or exacerbated heat impacts than white New Yorkers.

The report also states that heat exacerbated deaths were more likely to occur at home, underscoring the importance and access to cooling at home. And the lack of air... the lack of access to home air conditioning is the most important risk factor in a in a heat stress death. And amongst those who died from heat stress, the place of death was most often an un air conditioned home.

For these reasons and many more, addressing this problem of heat head on and providing tenants with guaranteed cooling at home, which is what Intro 994 would require, is the best way to prevent such dire health consequences and deaths from continuing.

residential building construction. This is consistent

with PlaNYC which set the goal of codifying cooling

24

2 requirements in new construction by 2025. Heat pumps,

3 passive cooling, and other cooling efficient

4 mechanisms can be used to mitigate an increased load

5 on the grid and unnecessary additional greenhouse gas

6 emission.

2.2

2.3

Second, we need to prioritize vulnerable populations in the implementation of this bill. Black New Yorkers, New Yorkers over the age of 60, and those with chronic conditions, as well as those living under the federal poverty line have a higher risk of heat related mortality. We must prioritize these populations either through a phased implementation of the cooling requirement or through the specifying of bill language.

A third challenge to be resolved is how to ensure that low income New Yorkers can afford to run the air conditioners they already own or that are installed by building owners. We need to prioritize energy affordability, because even if low income New Yorkers have air conditioners, the issue of extreme heat isn't solved unless they can run them.

Two final points: We believe that a thoughtfully designed bill can be advanced in a way that doesn't conflict with Local Law 97 and impose a double burden

SHANNON LUMPKIN: (NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, if we have inadvertently missed anyone that is registered on Zoom, please use the raise hand function to let us know that you are here.

I would now like to call up Christopher Leon Johnson.

(PAUSE)

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: You let all the...

Christopher Leon Johnson. You let all the nonprofits speak before me. That's some bullshit. Alright, let me make this clear, you're for the City of Yes. Like that's not right that what you did... You're for the City of Yes. I...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: We're going to need to stay on topic, alright? (CROSS-TALK)

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: I'm staying on topic.

This has to do with this, because these... these evictions... Everybody knows these evictions are disgusting, and the City of Yes has a lot to do with the evictions, and you're part of it Ms. Sanchez and you Mr. Restler and Miss, uhm, Mrs. Nurse.

This panel... This, I mean, this, uhm, public hearing is a sham hearing. All you council members are for the City of Yes, which will, uhm, evict all

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

these people out of these apartments, all these all, uh, undesirables... Let me correct, little clown, baldheaded clown, Kevin Riley, about undesirables. Undesirables meaning the people that pay less than market rate and rent in a certain... in apartment buildings. That's what undesirables means, Kevin Riley, not houses people (sic). So, that's what... this is... this is all about. The City of Yes will... Ya'll... All ya'll gonna vote for City of Yes in two weeks on the 21st, and all this gonna do is displace all of these people that they want out. This committee is... This little hearing today is a sham committee hearing. You guys are all for the City of Yes, you guys are here for... You guys are down with the slumlords and these corrupt landlords that all ya'll care about... that all care about is get all these people out these buildings, so they get all their... all their wanted tenants in that's paying five, six, seven, \$8,000 a month. Ya'll hate the people that pay \$2,000 a month. All you guys want to do with those people that pay that amount of money in rent is give them free food and...and free backpacks...

```
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
 1
                                                       159
 2
        CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Chris, do you have a... Do
 3
     you have a... (CROSS-TALK)
 4
        CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, I have a... yes!
        CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: a position on the bills that
 5
     are... (CROSS-TALK)
 6
 7
        CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, Im'a...
        CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: being heard today?
 8
 9
        CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: I'm against all these
10
     bills, because you guys are... are for it. You guys
11
     are frauds, you guys are hypocrites. And 2025 will be
12
     the year that all you guys will be voted out,
13
     including you, Ms. Sanchez. Ms. Sanchez, you're gonna
14
     be voted out in 2025 by Mr. Cabrera (phonetic). You
15
     will... You guys are frauds... You guys are fr... You
16
     guys support this... you guys are frauds...
17
        CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you... Thank you so
18
     much for your testimony today, Chris, that...
19
     (CROSS-TALK)
20
        CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: You guys are frauds,
21
     (INAUDIBLE)...
2.2
        CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: that will be all, thank
2.3
     you... (CROSS-TALK)
        CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Shoutout...
24
```

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 160
2	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: That will be all, thank
3	you (CROSS-TALK)
4	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: (UNINTELLIGIBLE)
5	Cabrera 2025, man! I'm leaving (CONTINUES SHOUTING
6	UNINTELLIGIBLEBLY THROUGHOUT)
7	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you Thank you so
8	much to all members of the public for joining us
9	today. We really appreciate your testimony. These are
10	important topics here that we are discussing, and I
11	appreciate everybody's time and attention to what
12	matters are before the Council. Thank you so much.
13	(GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING OUT)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 7, 2024