CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

Wednesday, May 29, 2024

Start: 10:18 A. M. Recess: 1:20 P. M.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Hon. Diana I. Ayala, Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Chris Banks Tiffany Cabán Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens Sandra Ung

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Farías and Brewer

APPEARANCES

Jill Berry,

First Deputy Commissioner at the NYC Department of Social Services

Lacey Tauber,

Legislative Director, Representing the Office of Brooklyn Borough President, Antonio Reynoso

Joseph Rosenberg,

Executive Director for Catholic Community Relations Council

Daryl Wright,

Food Policy Fellow at the Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty

Lakisha Morris,

Director of Operations for Catholic Charities Community Services Community Outreach Division

Jennifer Smith,

Food Resource Manager for Catholic Charities Community Services-Brooklyn and Queens

Ariel Savransky,

Senior Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA-Federation of New York

Chef Greg Silverman,

CEO of the West Side Campaign Against Hunger NYC

Barbara Hughes,

Executive Director of City Beet Kitchens at Project Renewal

Richard Ralph,

Training Quality Control Manager City Beet Kitchens at Project Renewal

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jeanette Estima Director, Policy and Advocacy Citymeals on Wheels

Stephen Grimaldi, Executive Director of New York Common Pantry; and Representative of Roundtable: Allies for Food Access

Nick Buess, Director of Government Relations at The Food Bank for New York City

Camila Gomez,
Policy and Planning Manager at God's Love We
Deliver

Christina Hanson, Executive Director at Part of the Solution

Rachel Sabella, Director of No Kid Hungry New York

Joel Berg, CEO of Hunger Free America

Martina Santos, Board Member of the West Side Campaign Against Hunger

Evelyn Garcia, Executive Chef of the Teaching Kitchen at Lenox Hill Neighborhood House

Alex Hughes, Senior Director of Hunger Prevention and Advocacy at Project Hospitality

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Sophie Martin, Registered Dietician at Housing Solutions of New York

Paula Inhargue, Policy Analyst at United Neighborhood Houses

Graham Horn, Staff Attorney in the Shelter Advocacy Initiative and the Public Assistance and SNAP Project at the New York Legal Assistance Group

Nazneen Rahman, Assistant Vice President at Emblem Health Neighborhood Care Emblem Health Neighborhood Care

Ruth Moore, Representing Self

Sharon Brown, Representing Self

Kathleen DiPerna, Senior Director of Government Relations and Advocacy at Rethink Food NYC

Egondu Onuoha,
Board member of the Metropolitan NYC WIC
Association

Susana Camarena, Executive Director at Tacombi

silent mode.

2	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, everyone, and
3	welcome to today's New York City Council Hearing for
1	the Committee on General Welfare. At this time, to
5	minimize disruptions through the hearing, we ask that
5	you please place all electronic devices to vibrate or

If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record, you may do so via email to testimony@council.nyc.gov, once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

We thank you for your cooperation, Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. (GAVELING IN)

Good morning, everyone, and welcome to today's

hearing. My name is Diana Ayala, and I am the Deputy

Speaker of the New York City Council and the Chair of

the General Welfare Committee.

Today we are holding our annual oversight hearing on hunger and food insecurity in New York City. We will also be hearing seven bills:

The first, Intro 28, sponsored by Majority

Leader, Amanda Farías, is a Local Law that would

require the Department of Social Services to create a

system to obtain employment and income information

President to sign, a renewed Farm Bill that increases

funding for life- saving food aid.

24

25

2.2

2.3

Resolution 237, sponsored by Council Member
Dinowitz, calling on Congress to pass, and the
President to sign, S.1488/H.R.3183, the "Enhance
Access to SNAP Act of 2023" (EATS Act of 2023), o
remove certain eligibility disqualifications that
restrict otherwise eligible students from
participating in the Supplemental Nutrition
Assistance Program.

Resolution 419, sponsored by Council Member Sanchez, calling on the New York State Legislature to pass, and the Governor to sign S.7823/A.4096, requiring the implementation of an electronic benefit transfer system using industry-standard commercial electronic funds transfer technology.

Moving on to our oversight topic for today,
every year the Committee on General Welfare holds a
hearing on hunger and food insecurity, recognizing
the growing challenges around these issues for too
many.

We know that for too many New Yorkers, we that poverty and food insecurity overlap, and we know that people of color, as well as those living with disabilities and chronic health conditions, are more likely to experience food insecurity.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

There are various food programs administered by DSS and HRA to help fill the inequities and gaps resulting in hunger in our city. For example, DSS funds and manages the network of the New York City's food pantries and soup kitchens through Community Food Connection.

We want to be sure that these programs are set up for success, and that providers have what they need to curb hunger. This means properly funding providers and doing so on time.

As the pandemic era food assistance programs have come to an end, we're seeing a greater number of New Yorkers facing hunger. Factors such as backlogs and rejections of SNAP applications, the influx of asylum seekers, and the theft of electronic benefits used to disperse SNAP payments have exacerbated food insecurity in New York City.

I look forward to hearing from the Administration and advocates today and gathering feedback on the oversight topic of all of the relevant current issues, as well as Intro 28.

At this time, I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who are here: Council Member Avilas, Council Member Ung, Council Member Cabán, and Council
Member Brewer.

I would also like to thank the committee staff
who worked hard to prepare this hearing: Aminta
Kilawan, Senior Legislative Counsel; Penina
Rosenberg, Legislative Policy Analyst; Julia K.
Haramis; Finance Unit Head; Phariha Rahman, Financial
Analyst; Rose Martinez, Assistant Deputy Director;
and Anne Driscoll, Data Scientist. And, finally,
Elsie Encarnacion, Chief of Staff.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

I will not turn it over to Council Member Avilas followed by Council Member Brewer to speak on their resolutions.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: Good morning, thank you

Chair, thank you all for being here today to discuss

this very pressing issue of hunger and food

insecurity in our city. This is an issue that impacts

certainly my district, District 38, and we know from

citywide data collected by Feed NYC that food pantry

visits are up by 60 percent since the pandemic. Of

course, this shouldn't be a surprise considering

since that time we've faced record high inflation

record high housing costs, rising health care costs,

/

_ _

and stagnant wages - and of course the reason I'm here today -all of this combined with an expiration of the child tax credit, which caused poverty levels to double nationwide up from 5.2 percent to 12.4 percent after the tax credit expired.

While the extension of the child tax credit failed to materialize ahead of the April 15th deadline, there is still hope that the Senate will push this important measure through, and our families of our city who are struggling, will be able to see this benefit retroactively.

I urge my colleagues to move this resolution forward so that we might send a clear message to our colleagues in the federal government that our families desperately need this benefit to cover basic expenditures. We know that by implementing proven strategies like the child tax credit, they uplift working families and we're able to materialize gains that impact our communities for generations to come.

According to the center on Budget and Policy
Priorities, by boosting the future earnings and tax
payments of child beneficiaries improving health and
longevity of parents and children, reducing health
care, child protection, and criminal justice costs,

the child tax credit expansion would likely provide large and lasting gains for the next generation.

So, here in New York City nearly, 18.5 percent of our children are living in poverty, the majority of which are Black and brown and living in communities like the one I represent. In helping our families care for their children we, uplift our entire community, and not only make our streets safer, but create flexibility to access opportunities that otherwise would not have been within reach. Thank you, Chair Ayala, for holding this important hearing today and all the Resolutions and Intros being heard today on this very important issue. I appreciate it thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. I am here to support Resolution 227, which calls on a renewed Farm Bill that increases funding for lifesaving food aid. It used to be that, in the old days, this wasn't an issue, because it was bipartisan- farmers, and urban dwellers, and people who needed food. Well obviously, in our crazy environment that is not what's happening. The recent House majority Farm Bill proposal is doing the exact

opposite by reducing \$30 billion in food resources to

44 million Americans who live in food insecure

4 households.

2.2

2.3

Too many New Yorkers are experiencing food insecurity. According to the Recent Mayor's Office of Food Policy 2022 Food Metrics Annual Report, an estimated 1.2 million - or 14.6 percent -of New York residents were food insecure. All you have to do is go to a food pantry, and you will see it. More cuts to food security will only continue the increase in need for emergency food assistance. Across the City, food banks and soup kitchens have seen double digit increases in demand.

We need more government investments in food security at all levels. The farm bill proposed by the Senate majority, which protects the nutrition safety net would be far better for hungry Americans. We urge the committees in Washington to work together to pass a farm bill that expands benefits. Providing lifesaving food aid is a moral imperative. Thank you Joel Berg, always, and Hunger Free America, for your leadership and advocacy in the fight against food insecurity. Thank you, and I have to go next door for the Committee.

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

I would now like to turn it over to our Policy

Analyst to swear and members of the Administration.

PENINA ROSENBERG: First Deputy Commissioner

Berry, could you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Thank you. Good morning, my name is Jill Berry, and I serve as the First Deputy Commissioner at the Department of Social Services or DSS.

I would like to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala and the members of the Committee on General Welfare for holding today's hearing on hunger and food insecurity in New York City.

There are many faces of food insecurity: a child going to school hungry in the morning, a parent lying awake at night wondering how they'll put the next meal on the table, a pregnant person seeking

nutritious options as a part of their diet to foster healthy prenatal development.

Because food insecurity intersects with so many aspects of our well-being, it is essential that our city continue to build on efforts to be a strong partner in aiding food and secure households.

DSS serves as an integral part of a safety net that includes the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) and The Mayor's Office of Food Policy, as well as a panoply of community stakeholders, including neighborhood older adult centers, our houses of worship, and community based organizations. Collectively this array of institutions participate and tackling the challenge of food insecurity. Our shared commitment stems from our understanding that everyone deserves the peace of mind that comes with food security.

If anyone listening is facing food and security, know that there is help available. You can call 311 or visit foodhelp.nyc.gov to find nearby food pantries and community kitchens. Access HRA, our mobile app, and our benefits access center serve as further resources where clients can apply for benefits. I also want to take this opportunity to

2.2

2.3

encourage those clients receiving SNAP to learn more

about the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene's

food access initiatives, including Health Bucks, Half

Off Farm Box, Get the Good Stuff, and Groceries to Go

6 - all assist in strengthening and network of healthy

7 | food options for New Yorkers.

The Department of Social Services is responsible for administrating programs crucial to uplifting food security. The Supplemental Nutrition Program, or SNAP, helps approximately 1.8 million New Yorkers access nutritious food. The Community Food Connection Program, CFC, funds 699 food pantries and community kitchens across the five boroughs. CFC served more than 25.9 million people (duplicated) in FY24. The CFC model has created added flexibility for providers to distribute the mix of foods that best meet the needs of the communities they serve, including fresh produce, Halal certified proteins, and Kosher certified foods, among many other options.

As highlighted by Mayor Adams earlier this year,
DSS is happy to report that we have functionally
eliminated the previously reported backlogs of Cash
Assistance and SNAP applications that peaked at more
than 50,000 combined cases, ensuring low income New

2.2

2.3

Yorkers can quickly and easily access the federal benefit for which they qualify. Investments in staffing, technology, and process improvements has reduced the backlog of cases by 99 and 92% respectively. In total, DSS processed more than 600,000 Cash Assistance and SNAP applications during this period. Faced with the record number of applications as federal pandemic related support expired, DSS deployed a robust plan to process outstanding applications quickly and make it easier for low income New Yorkers to access critical benefits.

DSS hired nearly 1,000 new staff since January 2023 to process both Cash Assistance and SNAP applications, doubled down on training for staff, and strengthened remote application processes to make it easier to apply for benefits. This achievement would not be completed without the hard work of our dedicated staff who service millions of New Yorkers every day.

Outreach is an essential component of our work.

We have a responsibility to not only make assistance available but also to make sure New Yorkers are aware that the City is a partner and food security. To that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

end, the DSS Office of Community Outreach conducts targeted outreach campaigns to organizations serving specific populations including older adults, the LGBTQIA+ community, persons with disabilities, and immigrant communities. The Office of Community Outreach conducts presentations and attends resource fairs, farmers markets, and other events to increase SNAP awareness and engagement.

In addition, DSS works through various channels to educate the public about SNAP benefits to maximize the City's use of available federal programs to increase the purchasing power of low income New Yorkers. The Office of Community Outreach also provides SNAP trainings and presentations, prescreens s potentially eligible applicants, and assist with the SNAP application process.

As I mentioned at the start of my testimony, New Yorkers in need of assistance should call 311, visit foodhelp.nyc.gov to find nearby food pantries and community kitchens, or reach us through Access HRA or our Benefits Access Centers. New Yorkers can also be connected to a community based organization to get help with SNAP and enrollment, as well as other

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

and federal authorities. 25

benefits by calling 311 or visiting the HRA website's "Find a Partner Organization" page.

In addition, DSS participates in monthly SNAP Task Force meetings, chaired by Food Bank for New York City, to provide updates and answer questions from participating CBOs.

In 2023, DSS conducted a total of 81 trainings, which included 1,730 attendees, in using these tools to submit SNAP and other benefit applications, and provide benefit case management via Access HRA. Training attendees included staff from other municipal agencies and community partner organizations, including older adult center staff. These trainings were also a forum for questions and concerns from providers and advocates, working directly with HRA and DHS clients, including older adults.

We must also recognize the challenge of pursuing innovative solutions and approaches in an environment that is severely constrained by stringent federal and state regulations. As the administrator of multibillion dollar social services programs, DSS is obligated to adhere to the rubrics set out by state

3

4

5

6

7

8

J

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

One of the most recent and successful collaborations across levels of government has been the response to the theft of SNAP benefits commonly referred to as skimming. Skimming occurs when unscrupulous actors tamper with retail credit card swipe machines and are able to siphon off vital SNAP funds from our local clients. In response to the high number of instances of stolen funds, DSS worked with our partners on the state and federal level to create a reimbursement program to assist the clients to rely on these funds. As of May 22, 2024 DSS has approved the reimbursement of over 82,000 SNAP claims amounting to more than \$26 million in restored benefits. Approved replacement benefits have consistently been added to client EBT cards within three days of determination.

We look forward to continued collaboration with our state and federal partners to ensure EBT cards are suited with the highest level of security to protect clients who rely on these benefits.

Currently, there are proposals to add chip technology to the EBT cards similar to your average credit card, which will prevent this type of skimming theft. We are supportive of these proposals and are having

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

ongoing conversations with our partners in government to advance this effort.

As a largest social service agency in the nation, it would be criminal of me not to highlight the importance of the federal Farm Bill currently being reviewed and elevated in Congress. Among several provisions included in this dense piece of legislation, this bill and enable the SNAP funds that 1.8 million New Yorkers rely on. Any discussion or entertainment of a cut to the SNP program would be catastrophic, and put millions at risk of food and security, including 560,000 children and 530,000 New Yorkers above the age of 60. One in three New York City renters experience food insecurity. More than half of New Yorkers experiencing food hardship also reported facing health problems. It is essential that this already limited subsidy get maintained at current funding levels, or better yet, improved. The Adams' Administration are or actively collaborating with our partners in government, as well as the advocacy community, to ensure that this vital resource is available to New Yorkers in need of food. We encourage the City Council and any other

stakeholders to join us in advocating for this essential tool in fighting food and security.

Shifting to the legislation noticed for this hearing, DSS is reviewing Introduction 28 and is committed to working with our state and federal partners improve income and employment verification. We agree on the need to utilize tools to facilitate the process of eligibility, determination, and client information verification; this why presently DSS engages with external databases and state government partners.

We welcome an opportunity to discuss this

legislation with its sponsor further, especially as

to what further third-party verification systems or

interfaces the bill envisions, and a benefits that

would accrue to applicants, clients, and the City in

using these further third-party verification systems.

We stand ready to pursue any additional technologies

or tools that facilitate connecting New Yorkers with

the benefits and services that they rely upon.

Our work as the largest social services agency in the country would not be possible without the generosity, partnership, and commitment of countless fellow New Yorkers. Thank you to the hard-working

2.2

2.3

staff at DSS/HRA/DHS and all across government and our community partners who dedicate their lives to servicing the most vulnerable. Special thanks to the Council and this committee for your support and sustained advocacy on this critical issue. I appreciate the opportunity to testify and welcome your questions, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, I would like to recognize that we have also been joined by Council Member Farías, Council Member Banks, and Council Member Riley.

Council Member Farías?

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Thank you, Chair, for allowing me time to read my statement.

So, thank you, again, and thank you, First Deputy Commissioner Berry, for your testimony.

Good morning everyone, I'm really proud to be attending today's hearing to discuss hunger and food insecurity in New York City and hear my bill Introduction 28 in relation to establishing a system to obtain employment and income information from a third party for the City's use in making determinations for benefits and services eligibility. This legislation would encourage the City to better

2 s

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

)

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

streamline City processes and ensure we get New Yorkers the services they need as fast as possible. Instead of making an appointment, after appointment, and waiting weeks on end to hear back if you qualify, which many of my constituents go through, this legislation would allow for people to instead have a one-stop shop where they can find out every benefit that they qualify for, and while we use similar systems throughout our service oriented agencies currently, our people really do need a one-stop shop focused on efficiency. We know that programs like SNAP are often emergency relief for people and their families, and, thus, we cannot leave our neighbors in need to wait for weeks to know if they're eligible for only one benefit.

Local government's main function in my belief is to get dire services to their constituents and to maximize our tools for outreach. We can do that with Introduction 28.

In New York State, one in four adults are experiencing food insecurity, and in my home borough of the Bronx, it's at a staggering 39 percent. This legislation is long overdue and grows more urgent with the rise of inflation and the ongoing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affordability crisis in New York City. So I look forward to continuing our conversations. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, for your leadership on today's issue and for including my bill in today's hearing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. And I just want to clarify, I mean, today's hearing is really... is an important one, because we touch on so many different aspects of food and how that impacts , you know, daily lives of our very... of our most vulnerable New Yorkers. I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge that I'm very disappointed that HPD was not here they were invited to come and testify, specifically because they did receive... they were the recipient of a no-bid contract, in the amount of \$53 million, to provide asylum seeking families with debit cards that they would be able to use for food. And it would have been nice, considering that it's been several months now, to get a sense of how well or not that program was operating. It is , you know, our oversight responsibility to ensure that we have a better understanding of how our taxpayer dollars are being spent. And it's really just disappointing that ,you know, no one is he from HPD to respond to those questions.

J

O 4

I will move on. HRA's, uh, New York City benefits program contracts with community based nonprofit organizations across the city to provide assistance with public benefits eligibility screening and applications. Funding for this program was first added in Fiscal Year 2023, uh, as a joint priority between the Administration and the Council, and it is a vital resource aiming to increase public benefit enrollments among low-income New York City residents.

Currently, can you tell us how many CBOs are part of the New York City benefits program, and can you provide a breakdown by borough?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yep, there are 36 direct services CBOs as part of the program and another three that are technical assistance providers. I do have the full list of each of the 39 by borough, which I could read to you or we could provide to you after the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think you... I would actually like to have it on the record, so if you can read through them?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Absolutely. So, the citywide providers are: Arab American Family Support, Center for Independence of the Disabled New

2.2

2.3

York, Center for Urban Community Services, Fortune

Society, Hunger-Free America, Jewish Association for

Services for the Aged, Red de Pueblos

Transnacionales.

In Brooklyn we have Bedford-Stuyvesant

Restoration Corporation, Center for Family Life in

Sunset Park, Chinese American Planning Council,

Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation, Haitian

Americans United for Progress, Make the Road New

York, Mixteco, RiseBoro Community Partnership, YM
YWHA of Brighton-Manhattan Beach, United Jewish

Organizations of Williamsburg, Yemeni American

Merchants Association.

In the Bronx we have BronxWorks, Bronx Defenders,
Mercy Center, Montefiore Medical Center, Mosholu

Montefiore Community Center, Northern Manhattan

Improvement Corporation, Part of the Solution (POTS),

Women's Housing and Economic Development Corporation,
and Yemeni American Merchants Association.

In Manhattan we have Asian Americans for

Equality, Chinese American Planning Council, Goddard

Riverside Community Center, Henry Street Settlement,

Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, and Union

Settlement.

2.2

2.3

In Queens we have Asian Americans for Equality,
Common Point Queens, Haitian Americans United for
Progress, Korean Community Services, Make the Road
New York, South Asian Council for Social Services,
Sunnyside Community Services.

In Staten Island, Project Hospitality, La Comina, and Make the Road New York.

And our three technical assistance providers that operate citywide are: Bronx Legal Services, Community Services Society, and Public Health Solutions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

We've also been joined by Council Member Stevens.

Can you confirm that there will be no programmatic impact to any of those CBOs in this program from the PEG that was included in the preliminary plan?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes, these...

all of these providers will receive the same level of
funding for... In FY25 as they have received under
the prior contract. What we did was we took these
contracts inhouse from CUNY. CUNY charges an 8
percent admin fee on top of the amount that we're
paying for the contracts. This program is also very
important to our agency, and we really felt strongly

2.2

2.3

that both, there would be the dollar savings running

it ourselves, and we can also be closer to and have

better oversight over the program that is so

important to connecting New Yorkers to our services.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you tell us what the budget for the New York City benefits program is in Fiscal Year 2024 and Fiscal Year 2025, and in the outer years if possible?

for the program, uh, the budget for FY24 was \$9.2 million, it's \$11.7 million in FY25. That's more than enough to cover the \$9.5 million for the CBOs. There is a cliff in the out years for this program, but we're confident that as we can continue to demonstrate the success and importance of this program that we will work with the Administration to keep these this program funded. The new contracts will be one-year contracts renewable for three succeeding years that we're establishing in July.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

Now we've heard from some of the CBO providers is that despite being granted a three-year award, their initial contracts were just for one. Why was that the case?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The contracts were one-year contracts that were renewable for subsequent years for up to three years.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: For up to three years? Okay.

And we have additionally heard from providers that the first year contracts ended in December, uh, that when they ended in December, they were not automatically given another contract. After some delay, while many of them continue to provide services without a contract in place, they were granted the six-month contract. Why was there a delay and why was the contract then, uh, term shorted if you're acknowledging that it should have been renewed?

month contract term was the was the bridge
essentially from CUNY to DSS. So there was a one-year
calendar year 2023 contract with each of the
providers. Now we're bridging the... CUNY is bridging
the gap for the six months from January 2024 to June
2024, and then we will pick up one-year contracts at
DSS starting in July 2024. There were absolutely some
delays with the that six-month bridge, but we are
working with CUNY to smooth out any issues.

2	CHAIRPERSON	AYALA:	Okav

2.2

2.3

Can you tell us what the share is that goes to CUNY?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: They charge an 8 percent admin fee on top of the contract values.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: On top of the contract, okay.

I had a question regarding the EBT, the reimbursement of the skimming cases. So, you mentioned in your testimony that as of May 22nd 82,000 SNAP claims amounting to \$26 million were restored.

Do you know how many applications were denied?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It is a very small number. Let me see if I have that here with me. If I don't have that readily available, we can definitely get that to you. Uh, 70 percent are approved.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Seventy percent (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Seventy percent of the applications are approved, so 30 percent are not approved.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you have any idea of what would... what would be a reason for a denial?

_

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes, so there's a variety of reasons. We do set submit a send a denial notice to every client who is denied with the reason for denial. So they have an opportunity to resubmit their application.

Uh, reasons for denial could be that dates that they indicate their benefits were skimmed were not dates that there were any transactions on their cards. It would mostly be issues like that, uh, somebody didn't indicate their case number; we're not able to identify them as a SNAP recipient, uh, mostly issues like that. If the individual were skimmed, they receive that information in their denial notice, and they would then have the information to be able to resubmit their claim. And there's no limit on the number of claims people can submit.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm just... I'm concerned about that, because I ,you know, I know that we were receiving a number of calls directly from folks that had their benefits skimmed and were desperate, like, I mean, desperate. Because ,you know, this is the only money that they have for food for the month, and now it's gone, and there's no way to reimburse it.

2.3

mean, thankfully because of that, because she had the app, she was able to go back, and she's one of those

So, I wonder if that happened to ,you know, I

And, then, finally, after they wait months for reimbursement, and they're finally... there's an opportunity, the State does the right thing here, and people were being denied.

I had a case in my district where there was, uh, one of my seniors had an issue. She called me frantic she's ,like, Diana, they stole my money, and I had to explain to her that, unfortunately, she wasn't going to get it back. That we were working and hoping that the State would add some funding. So, as soon as the State added the funding, I was really excited to call her and say, hey ,you know, the money's there, let me help you personally fill out this application, sent it in that same day, and she got denied.

She was denied, because of the date issue. Now, the problem was that she was correct, because in the system that she has, she has an app on her phone, when I looked at it, the date that she gave me was the date that we reported. But, for some reason on HRA's end, you had a separate... you had a different date.

very savvy older adults that knows how to handle technology. So, she was like, no, no, no, that was the date, that's the date that's on the app. So, we were able to go back, and she was then ,you know, provided her funds back. But, it took a little troubleshooting.

So, is that happening ,you know, beyond offices like mine?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: It is.

Unfortunately, the date issue is the most common reason for denial, and it happens a lot. There are third party apps out there that don't have the exact transaction date that is required for submission of the application. We make sure that as we're talking to elected officials, we're talking to CBOs, and all of the literature that we're putting out to our clients, we are letting them know that they need to use the app (TIMER CHIMES) the main app, the primary app. It was connected EBT up until a few weeks ago.

Now it is Edge EBT, but you have to use the State's app not a third party app in order to get the correct information.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So who contracts with the third party app?

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Third party apps are using... yes, they have no connection to the City

4 or the State

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's scary, because if they don't have a connection to the City or the State, how do they have access to all of that information that's supposed to be very confidential... (CROSS-TALK)

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes, we strongly encourage people to use the app that is approved by the State and the City.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, but how... is there any... does HR ... is HRA aware of where... how...

I mean, I don't understand. I'm not as Tech savvy as my seniors obviously, but how does a third party...

are they purchasing that information?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: You know, honestly I'm not sure. They're certainly not purchasing it from the city of New York. The city of New York is not providing that information to any vendors.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We will sick Gail Brewer on this, because this is like more of an oversight.

(LAUGHTER) This is more of an oversight and

2 investigations getting to down to the nitty
3 gritty...(CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: part of the of this investigation. But, I find that very troubling.

Is there any information that is shared with the recipients letting them know what a third party app is? An example of any that may be out there ,you know, in in the atmosphere, and that they should be very careful not to?

Because, I mean the one that she was on, I was pretty sure was an HRA app. So, if it wasn't an HRA app, it looked very official. Because even I thought that it was.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yeah, and ,you know, I don't know for certain that these third party apps are doing anything the nefarious. They are not getting their information... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, but still... (CROSS-TALK)

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: from us. And we do... all of our messaging to clients tells people which app it is that they should be using.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, I'm gonna... yeah, we'll follow up on that, just because I'm... you know,

and, again, I don't know whether they are or they aren't, but the fact that they have access to client information scares the bejesus out of me. Uh, how they're obtaining that information ,you know, is important to me. What they're doing with that information is important to me. But, whether or not individuals understand what the difference is between the apps is also critically important. Because, they're using it, as a guiding principle, right? They're using it, and to them this is a legitimate app. And I was very impressed with it by the way. So, I will go back, and I'll look at hers just to double check.

Regarding the hiring and staffing processes, even with the system improvements, well-trained, adequate staffing levels at HRA is a crucial component of processing public benefits in a timely manner.

According to OMB documents, the SNAP program area has 1,231 budgeted positions, which is 26 positions less than the actual head count back in April of 2023 - which was 1,257.

Does HRA plan to adjust the budgeted headcount for the SNAP program area in a future plan? If so, when, and by how many positions?

1	
2	
3	OM
4	th
5	le
6	in
7	pr
8	th
9	ch
10	wi
11	
12	cu
13	tr
14	
15	le
16	in
17	
18	
19	SN
20	
21	hi

2.2

2.3

24

25

OMB is allowing us to replace all of our attrition in the SNAP program one for one. So every time somebody leaves or is promoted, we are able to replace that individual. And we're going to continue with that process to maintain our current staffing levels. At this point, we don't have any plans to make any changes to our budget. We're going to keep working with OMB to maintain our current staffing levels.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How many positions in HRA are currently trying... is current... is HRA currently trying to fill for SNAP administration?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Every person who leaves, we are trying to replace. I want to say it's in the 30 range for this month.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Where are they budgeted?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The budget for NAP is in budget code 1315.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: What are... what special hiring accommodations of any are being made to staff up to these areas beyond just the citywide mandated hiring policy for one-on-one? Does HRA have authorization to fill all of the budgeted positions that are vacant regardless of attrition?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: In the case of SNAP, we're working with OMB to fill all of the positions one-for-one regardless of the budget. So, right now, that is a little bit over the budget quite frankly. So the one-for-one will maintain our current staffing levels, which is what we believe we need in SNAP right now.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is HRA still deploying staff from other areas to assist in the processing of SNAP?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: There are no staff redeployed in SNAP right now. We still have about 200 staff redeployed to the Cash Assistance program, they'll be returning to their home programs by the end of June.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

Can you talk is where we are in terms of the backlog? I know that we heard that the backlog had been cleared, are we at zero now? I mean, that was the previous backlog, so I'm curious to hear about what the number is to date.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yep. So, as of the end of April, we had approximately 165 SNAP applications that were overdue and 534 Cash

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 Assistance applications that were overdue. Well...
3 (CROSS-TALK)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Five-hundred and I'm sorry?

Could you repeat that number, the last number?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: There are 534 Cash and 165 SNAP.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How does that compare with where you were last year?

were in the much higher than that. These numbers are ,you know, while every application should absolutely be processed on time, these are more reflective of our regular process, where occasionally a client might submit a documentation... a piece of document close to the end of the time period, and we'll keep the case open while we assess the eligibility. We're not looking to just close out an application if we have more work to be done.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

So, what would you say that the current timeline rate is for processing - for SNAP specifically?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, our timeliness numbers are still the February numbers, which are reflective of before we cleared the backlog

- the 61.8 percent for Cash Assistance and 71.2 2

3 percent for SNAP. The numbers that we manage to on a

day-to-day are the actual backlog numbers the numbers 4

5 of cases that are actually due. Uh, calculating

timeliness for over 70,000 applications received 6

7 every month takes us a little bit of time to put

8 those numbers together.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Analysis from the new city Council's data team found that there was a decrease in SNAP usage in 2023 as compared to 2022 - in Brooklyn, uh, especially in Bushwick, which was had a 6 percent decrease; Crown Heights had a 5 percent decrease in Red Hook; and Carol Gardens well in Red Hook; and Carroll Gardens... Well, in Red Hook, Carroll Gardens, Gowanus, uh, had a 7 percent decrease.

Do you have any idea of what could account for this decrease in usage in these areas?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: This was a decrease in what? I missed the beginning part... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It was a decrease in use of a... decrease in SNAP applications. The SNAP usage.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

there would be a decrease in SNAP applications from any particular borough. There were certainly decreases as the pandemic benefits decreased over time. There were... the dollars that were going... that are going out into every community are a lot less today than there they were during the pandemic when everybody was receiving the maxim benefit. And I'm sure that there are some people who were staying on... applying for and staying on SNAP for that maximum benefit - where it might not be quite as worth their while for a much smaller benefit today.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: But, there's...

there would be no particular reason. We really do try

to make sure everybody who's eligible for SNAP

applies.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Testimony by HRA staff at the Preliminary Budget - and the Executive Budget

Hearings - directly contradicted some of the claims that were made by HRA leadership at the at the hearing regarding the benefit backlog - such as the backlog being cleared and that the mandatory overtime no longer being utilized. Employees testified that

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

the mandatory overtime is still happening at some sites, and that HRA still had a backlog of 30,000 applications as of May 6.

In addition, staff claimed that the technology changes HRA had made, such as those relating to the ANGIE system, severely hindered their ability to work efficiently, because the system does not allow the workers to double check applications. It is prone to glitches, and the IT tickets were not quickly resolved.

Staff members testified that they are able to process fewer applications per day than before ANGIE was implemented.

Can you clarify why there was such a notable discrepancy in your testimony and the account of HRA staff at the hearing? And what is HRA doing to address the issues with the ANGIE system?

And I know that there was a lot... there's always ,you know, the testimony are always very, very different when it relates to the ANGIE system.

So, I... my understanding of it is that ANGIE was created right before the pandemic, or it was it was implemented right before the pandemic. So, when the pandemic hit, and everybody went remote, there was a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

more of, uh, kind of like, no, no, we've gone through

it several times, is the explanation that we get is

know, when we were going through the questions,

They've brought it up several times. Has there

been an acknowledgment of those complaints? And has

anyone addressed them? Because I feel more like ,you

whenever we've asked these questions, and we've asked

decision in HRA to revert back to the old system, which allowed... I think it was over 70 percent processing rate. You know, it was pretty decent in comparison. Then once people went back to the office, ANGIE was then reinstituted. Now, the complaint that we consistently get from the staff, and it's very consistent, and I believe them, is that there are glitches with the system that don't allow them to go from point A to point B sometimes, so they have to stop an application. And I HRA's point, you want to be very thorough, so you want them to be able to slow down enough to ensure that they are asking all of the questions. But, at this point ,you know, it appears as though there is something, it may not be the worst thing, but there is a problem that is occurring within the system that is preventing the workers from being able to process some applications.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it, but... you know it's not, "Yes, listen, we heard that from them, so we're going to go back, we're going to go check."

There's never been that level of accounting for like what the root cause of those complaints...

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I absolutely...
I hear you.

So, a few things that I want to say that we we've done, so the backlog number that was being ... the backlog number that was being reported in ANGIE was not the number of cases that were overdue. It was a number of cases that had been ready for processing for more than five days. And it wasn't just an application or a restart, it was any case change. So, we've... since then, we - and since we were last here, we've changed the name of that indicator in ANGIE. So it no longer calls it a back backlog, but rather , you know, case changes ready for action or something along those lines. So it is more reflective of what that data point actually is. The staff members that testified after the last budget hearing, we reached out to each of them personally and invited them to join the regular meetings that we are already having with other line staff. It's not that we were

2.2

2.3

not meeting regularly with line staff to hear about the system and what's working and what's not working. But, we personally invited those particular staff members to join that Working Group. And I know at least one of them is actively participating at this point in time. The system is not perfect, every system has some glitches. Some things are glitches and technology issues, some things are training issues, and we need to do a better job of training staff. Now that we have cleared the backlogs, and in recognition that this system needs a little bit of attention, our IT teams took all of the outstanding help desk tickets - which I know staff get annoyed at having to put into the system, but they do help us to figure out where our problems are.

We were able to group the top five help desk tickets, and we're specifically focused on resolving each one of those issues. We believe if we could address those top five help desk issues, it would probably resolve about 80 percent of the issues with the system.

So, those are some concrete steps that we are taking now, and we are able to take now because we've been able to clear the backlogs, and we can put some

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

more energy and attention into tweaking the system
and making sure that it's working well - as we
intended, and is working well for the staff who
actually have to use the system and do the work every
day.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, I'm assuming that the next time that the staff comes to testify, they'll say that it's gotten better?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I'm hoping the same staff, they should be talking about working in these Work Groups, and the and the same things that I'm saying is what they are hearing and talking about.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: If it's new staff, we'll invite them to the Work Group.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

I'm going to allow council member questions now.

Council Member Farías, followed by Council Member

Riley.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Thank you so much, Chair.

And, thank you for answering all of the Chair's questions.

2.2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just wanted to kind of go over Introduction 28. You... the Admin at least seems somewhat supportive of it.

Can you kind of describe some of the systems we already use? I know you gave a brief description to the Deputy Speaker earlier. Some of the systems we're already using, what's the catch basin that we're getting information from? Is there any specific things we look for to find eligibility? And any streamlining that we do.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Absolutely. The legislation specifically talked about income and employment information. So the data matches that we have and use right now for income and employment information include information from the State Department of Labor, new hires and wage information. We also are able to leverage the State's contract with the (INAUDIBLE) Corporation that has a lot of income and employment information from private vendors. And we use all of that information whenever possible to not require additional documentation from clients. But, in addition, income and employment is not the only data that we get, we also have access to data through Lexus Nexus, Department of Health vital

2	records. We're always looking for ways to get
3	information that we need for applications from data
4	matches. It's verified. It's something that staff
5	don't have to look at. It's easier for the clients.
6	It's really it's more streamlined and easier for
7	everybody. That being said, not everybody's income is
8	in one of these systems. The other thing is that if
9	somebody had a job, was employed, was working, and we
10	see that in the system, but they just lost it - Now
11	they are eligible for benefits, but data that we're
12	looking at indicates that they were very recently
13	working, of course, and doesn't have the date that
14	they lost their employment. So, there are Even
15	though we have access to a lot of data, we do a lot
16	of data matches, there are absolutely times when we
17	do need to ask clients for more information. And, I
18	mean it, if there are other third-party sources for
19	data out there - that we're not using and we should
20	take a look at - we are happy to take a look at those

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Okay, great. 22

options.

21

23

24

25

And are there any concerns or challenges you might see, at least right now, for where the bill stands on it?

_

payments?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I think that the bigger issue is, what is there that we're not looking at? We would love to take a look at it.

COUNCIL MEMBER FARÍAS: Okay, great. I don't have any other additional questions, thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Riley?

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you, Chair.

Good morning, Deputy Commissioner. I think, as you stated before the CFC provides, uh, according to the 699 food pantries and community kitchens across New York City, DSS funds and manages the CFC and the network of NYC food pantries and kitchens. Due to the fact that food and living costs are increasing, can you just share what the plans are to address the

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: What was the last part? Could you repeat the question?

theft of electronic benefits used to disperse SNAP

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: What are the plans to address the theft of electronic benefits used to disperse SNAP benefits?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Right for skimming - So, we do have an application out there online, we've we have approved over 82,000

2.2

2.3

applications, over \$25 million in replacement
benefits for SNAP. One thing I do want to highlight
for everybody is that the authorization to replace
skimmed SNAP benefits expires currently at the end of
September. After September, we will not have the
authorization to replace SNAP benefits. We would
welcome and encourage everyone's advocacy at the
federal level to have that extended past September.
As long as there are not chips in the EBT cards, the
EBT cards are going to be very susceptible to
skimming.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you.

In recent years, New Yorkers have been dealing with increased food bills due to the rising cost of groceries. A great number of New Yorkers are experiencing food insecurity as we all know, what plans or steps are being done to address the rise in backlogs and rejections of SNAP applications? And what recruitment efforts, advancement opportunities for employees and external partnerships have been explored to fill the needed positions in your office?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, we've been able to fill all of our vacant positions and address our backlogs. I believe as Deputy Speaker Ayala

pointed out, we're a little bit over our budgeted
headcount on SNAP right now, and we're maintaining
those staff levels, and we plan to continue to do so.
We have resolved our backlogs, and at this point we
continue to not have backlogs, and we will keep a
close eye on those. In terms of access to the SNAP
program, we're really proud the federal government
establishes a program access index, which essentially
gives you the percentage of people who are in
receipt of SNAP versus the number that that are
likely eligible for SNAP. And the PAI for New York
City is 91.9 percent. That is our highest yet, we
continue to increase that each (TIMER CHIMES) year.
PAI for the United States is 77.9 percent, and for
New York State it's 82.7 percent. So we are doing
better than the country and the state. That doesn't
mean we don't have more to do. There's still another
8 percent left, so making sure our benefits are
available both in centers and online, contracting
with CBOs through the New York City benefits program
to outreach to communities that might be a little bit
more wary of government. All of those are things we
are doing to try to continue to close that gap and

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you, Deputy Commissioner, thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

Council Member Avilas?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: Hi there, thank you so much, thank you, Chair.

I'd like to inquire a little, I understand HPD is not here today to talk about ,you know, the contracts that they're managing related to food, which is deeply disappointing. However, you are here, so I'd love to hear some more about food in the DHS facilities in terms of contract management for asylum seekers in the asylum seeker shelters. Could you tell us a little bit about how many contracts are being held for food? And if you've seen a similar experience to what we saw happening with the Dot Go Food (sp?) contract, which was enormous amounts of waste and these meals being thrown out for variety of reasons?

So, if you could tell us a little bit about that?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Sure, so

generally in the DHS system providers, the contracted

shelter providers are responsible for procuring and
providing food - usually through a subcontract with a
food vendor. In recognition of the large number of
contracts we had to stand up very quickly, and the
inability of community based organizations providers
to stand up the staff immediately, we also have three
emergency contracts that are held directly by DHS,
and those bridge the gap until a provider is able to
fully take over the shelter and contract for their
own food. They can provide the food themselves, they
can cook food on site, they can subcontract with
others. In terms of the food itself, we follow the
City's food standards for food. We do make sure
that we do allow shelters and encourage shelters
to order more food if people are hungry, to make sure
that if somebody needs in especially in
recognition of the new arrivals to the city, people
are hungry. Right? And if people want more than one
meal, they can get more than one meal. We do things
like tasting the foods, weighing the foods to make
sure that food vendors are providing food that that
tastes good, that meets nutrition standards when we
review the menus, and that it is the right weight and

size for what we are contracting for. And when we find issues we resolve them.

There is certainly some food waste always. It can be very hard to predict what meal is going to be appealing to the residents of a shelter on a given day. We do encourage our shelter providers if there's a particular meal that's not popular at your shelter, maybe you work with your vendor to not order that meal again and look for something different. (TIMER CHIMES)

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: Thank you, Chair.

I just want a follow-up question. So, for the three emergency contracts you hold for those that are, I guess, those shelters that are in transition, who are those contracts with? And what... how much are those contracts?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The contracts are with Whitson, Riviera, and (INAUDIBLE), and I would have to get back to you on the exact dollar value for each of those three contracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: In terms of you mentioned , like, doing some quality control and checking in.

What is... what's the cadence of that, given kind of the huge expansion of facilities? How often are you

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

checking in? Is there... do you receive any direct
feedback from any of the residents in those
facilities around the quality of the food?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Thank you, those are great questions. We do regular surveys with all of our clients. That was something we did before the influx of asylum seekers, and it is something we continue to do. In terms of it's of (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: What does regular mean? What does that mean?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I'm going to have to get back to on the... I'm not sure I have it... the regular... I believe it's annual, but I do want to get back to specifically on what that is.

In terms of the staffing and the cadence of checking the food, we are staffed for pre-migrant when most of our shelters in fact did not provide food. We had gotten to the point where families with children were not in hotels, they mostly had their own cooking facilities, in which case we were not providing food.

So, it's not... we are not tasting the food, weighing the food for every provider on any regular

2	basis. We are right now targeting it to places where
3	we are hearing a lot of complaints or maybe larger
4	providers. And it is something we need to explore as
5	to whether we need to expand our capabilities there.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: And, lastly, in terms of
7	complaint feedback, what's the mechanism for that?
8	Is it participants have to complain to the provider?
9	Is there a number they can go directly to DHS? What's
10	the mechanism through which you receive the feedback
11	around the quality of the food in the facilities?
12	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: They have a
13	variety of methods. Certainly the first line of
14	defense is the provider who is on site, who should be
15	hearing that information. But, we also run the DHS
16	Office of Ombudsman's where clients can come to
17	can walk in, call, email, reach out to us with any
18	complaints about their shelter, food, and other. And
19	we do follow up on every one of those complaints
20	(CROSS-TALK)
21	COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: Great if you would, for

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: Great if you would, for the record, can you note the telephone number and email for the DHS Ombudsman office?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I think I might have to get back to you on that, I'm sorry.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you happen to have a sample menu or an idea of what a day-to-day meal would look like at some of these facilities? Because, I'm a little concerned that , you know, we had a hearing a few months ago, and there were some gentlemen that were asylum seekers who were here in the vicinity of City Hall for something else, and somebody told them about the hearing, so they came in and testified. One of them actually had brought his breakfast with him , and I'm not saying that that was the DHS site or whatever, but I'm trying to kind of get a general idea of - if we're saying that we're serving folks breakfast, is it a full breakfast? His breakfast consisted of a yogurt with a pack of raisins. So, if I ask him , you know, did they provide you breakfast? Technically, yes, he was provided with breakfast. But, then when I asked for more clarification in terms of the details of what that breakfast entailed. then it was really obvious that it was an inadequate meal for an individual that's placed in a City shelter.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, if I could just go back, the ombudsman's office, the phone number for the DHS on Ombudsman's Office is 800-994-

311 and they'll be directed appropriately.

2.2

6494 (TIMER CHIMES), and the email is

Ombudsman@dss.nyc.gov. People can also, and I should have said this initially, but anybody could also call

I don't have any sample menus with me, but we do post the menus in the shelters. I've seen them posted for the week in the shelters, and providers are required to send us sample menus to review the nutrition standards on a regular basis. So, as an agency, that is something that we do have and collect and review.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So how would you compare the waste ,you know, the quantity of food that went without being eaten last year compared to May of 2024? Has it gotten better? Are we ordering less? Are we changing the menu options? And that is making it so that folks are ,you know, more inclined to eat the food that were serving them?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, we're not currently measuring he amount of food waste in order to make those types of comparisons. But, again, we are sharing feedback with providers. We are spot checking where we can to try to encourage providers to hold their subcontractors accountable to providing

_

healthy, nutritious, quality food that meets the needs of shelter providers.

I would be a little bit cautious about the food waste piece. There are always going to be... We would rather make sure that nobody is hungry and have a little bit of excess food on a day... day-to-day basis than to have insufficient food to meet people's needs. That being said, we shouldn't be wasteful either, we should be monitoring that very carefully.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, but it is an important question, because if you don't like the food, if you're eating the same thing day after day, if you're not getting enough of it - all of that impacts the nutritional intake that you're able to get daily. And people may say well, you know what, if you don't have anything else, then you're going to have to eat whatever is there. But they're not the ones that are eating it day in and day out. And it can become not just annoying, but your body just doesn't even react to the food anymore at some point. So, that's important, because then we are contributing to folks not eating, in one scenario, but we're also wasting public dollars by paying contractors to provide foods that are going ,you know, uneaten. So, that is

_

problematic in and of itself, right? Because when we're trying to determine how much money we need to fund these different line items, we need to know that people are actually eating it. And if they're not eating it, then what is the solution to that? Right? Is it as simple as a meal change? Is it as simple as giving them a card so they can buy foods that are culturally relevant to them?

I mean we recently ,you know, we saw and heard from a lot of, specifically in the HERC, with the Muslim holidays, there were folks that were not sure if they were going to be able to eat the foods that they're accustomed to, at the time that they were accustomed to.

So it is important that ,you know, in New York
City, we don't have people that are going day in and
day out without the nutritional energy that they need
to be able to get through the day. And that really
concerns me.

So, I would really like to... I would encourage HRA and DSS to start tracking that as a means of...

I don't want it to be something that is worth criticizing, but rather something that we're learning from. Right? I don't want to say, "Hey, you wasted 10

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

bags of bread," no, I... why did we waste 10 bags of

3 it? Is that people here in this particular site don't

4 like it? Okay, well then that means that they didn't

5 get that. They didn't get that that meal option that

6 day. So, how can we do that better? And unless

7 you're tracking, you're not going to get a good sense

of where this is happening and where it isn't. So, I

9 really would encourage that.

Regarding... I want to just move on, because I know that we have a lot of people that are here to testify.

I have a couple more questions. Regarding the Community Food Connections Program. You know that it is a vital resource providing much needed food to the City's vast network of food pantries and soup kitchens. This program is especially important given the current number of asylum seekers in the city who are not eligible for public benefit programs.

As of the Executive Plan, there is \$57.1 million budgeted in Fiscal Year 2024 for HRA's Community Food Connection Program. This drops to \$25.1 million in Fiscal Year 2025 and then \$20.9 million in Fiscal Year 2026 - and in the outer years.

2.3

In the budgeted responses the Council called on the Administration to increase the baseline funding for CFC at \$60 million a year. No funding was allocated in the Executive Budget Plan to increase the baseline funding for CFC per the Council's budget response.

Does HRA expect adjustments will be made in the adopted plan? And, if so, how much will be added and in which years?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, the CFC program is really important to DSS and to this Administration as evidenced by the additional \$30 million that the Adams' Administration added to the CFC budgets for FY23 and FY24.

We know that the need is still out there. There are, unfortunately, still many hungry and food insecure New Yorkers in this city. And we will continue to work with the Administration to make sure that CFC is adequately funded.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How will the program continue its current operations without the additional funding added in FY25 and beyond?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Say.... I'm sorry the first part of that?

,

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How will the program continue its current operations without additional funding added in Fiscal Year 2025 and beyond, especially given both the increased need in the city and state and the higher cost of the food?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: As I stated, we are working with the Administration to make sure that the CFC program is adequately funded. Regardless of the funding level, we will continue to operate the CFC program, and we will ensure that all 699, or more, depending on... as more people are added to the program, our given allocations within our CFC budgets to be able to supplement their food pantries and soup kitchens.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILAS: I mean, do you feel that they're getting sufficient money to supplement their food needs at the pantries now?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you feel that the food

pantries are able to make do with the funding that

they're getting now? Because my understanding, and

what I've seen visually in communities post

pandemic, is that the lines are still ridiculously

long. I have a pantry that's I would say maybe half a

2.3

block from my apartment building, and I've never seen the line so long. And it has consistently stayed that way.

So ,you know, I have been having conversations with a lot of my food pantries, and what they're saying is ,like, we're having to also ration to be able to ensure that people get something. But there are times when we're struggling to make ends meet. And on top of that, we're struggling to allow new groups in, new participants into the programs, because we just don't have enough. And this is why we've been fighting for the additional increase this year as well.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: The need is definitely there. CFC is not the only funder for food pantries, it is supplemental to the state HPNAP (Hunger Prevention and Nutrition Assistance Program) funding, and federal funding, and private donations and food bank resources. I completely agree that the need is there. We see that the need for food is there.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that. Can you tell us what the actual spending for CFC in Fiscal

Year 23 was, and what is the actual spending thus far in 2024?

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: In FY23, we fully expended our budget at \$54.3 million. For FY24, we have spent \$46 million to date, and we will continue to make reallocations as we get towards the end of this fiscal year to make sure that all the funding is spent. There are larger food pantries that can... that are that are skilled and capable of getting bulk food out into all communities throughout the City quickly - to make sure that we are using every resource at our disposal and not letting any of it go to waste and getting the food out into the community.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: How many... can you tell us how many providers are in the CFC network?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: There are 699.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, 699.

All right, I'm going to move on.

City Harvest released their State Child Hunger
Report on in May of 2024. The report states that one
in five New York City children do not know where
their next meal will come from. And there has been
nearly a 100 percent increase in visits to food

./

pantries by children and families compared to pre pandemic years. Given the increase in need and the budget proposal to reduce the CFC budget by nearly 56 percent in the next fiscal year, how do you intend to ensure that no child is going hungry New York City?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Yes, CFC funding for sure is an important component of that, but also the SNAP program and making sure we're preserving and advocating with the Farm Bill to make sure that there is adequate SNAP funding for families. The maximum SNAP benefit right now is at the maximum not the average, but it's \$8.00 per person per day. That is wholly inadequate already as it is. Any cuts to the Farm Bill are going to make hunger, including childhood hunger, even worse.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I think that it's specific...
it's harder also on... because, I think people don't
get that even when we were having the discussion
around the cards that the asylum seekers would
receive, the daily allotment was \$11.00 or \$13.00.
So, they're like that's a lot, and it's more than
people on food stamps get. And I'm ,like, well having
been a food stamp recipient, one of the benefits of
having a home was that I could buy and bulk and cook

2 and prepare raw foods. When you're living in a 3 shelter, and you have to buy the foods already 4 prepared, I don't know how you can possibly eat 5 breakfast, lunch, and dinner for that amount of money. So I think when you break it down like that, 6 7 it gives you a different perspective on what exactly 8 is happening. But, even with buying bulk, obviously it's still not enough to ensure that families have the adequate you know amount of food at home for the 10 11 entirety of the month. So, usually , you know, for 12 those people that are watching, or for those people 13 that are not familiar , you know, even if you are 14 receiving food stamps, you may very well run out of 15 food ,you know, week two or week three. So, you have to stretch whatever little bit you get. So, we're 16 17 seeing more and more families going into the food 18 pantry system to help supplement those needs. So, 19 these networks are great when they work together, but 20 we need to ensure that we're fully funding them so 21 that people are not forced to ,you know, supplement

I had another question here regarding...

24 (PAUSE)

those needs.

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, I didn't ask about the
3	audit from The Comptroller's Audit. So, on May
4	15th, the New York City Comptroller's Office released
5	their audit on the New York City Department of
6	Social Services Administration of the Pandemic Food
7	Reserve Emergency Distribution Program or P-FRED, and
8	found that DSS had poor fiscal controls over the
9	contract with Driscol. As a result, the Comptroller
10	recommended that DSs should recoup almost \$7 million
11	in overpayments. DSS agreed to take several
12	corrective actions to improve fiscal controls. What
13	are the implementation plans and timelines for those
14	corrective actions?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And DSS currently has a provider, uh, H. Schrier & Co, Incorporated managing food inventory, warehousing, and delivery for the CFC program. What measures is the DSS already taking to ensure that this provider is accurately billing for transactions without warehouse staff and food cost?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: So, the P-FRED program that was run by Driscoll was really instrumental in the early days the pandemic in getting fresh food out to communities. This was at a time when grocery stores weren't open, people were

2	afraid to leave their homes, people were afraid to go
3	into grocery stores. And the program was very
4	successful in getting a lot of food out into the
5	community - millions of pounds of food for hundreds
6	of food pantries in a relatively quick period of
7	time, and in a time when food was really important.
8	And the quality of that food was tremendous. We had a
9	,you know, all providers talked about the quality of
10	the food. That being said, we certainly were
11	overcharged for the delivery of that food. And we are
12	working with a CPA firm to do a deeper audit of the
13	contract to make sure we're recouping the full
14	amount. The Comptroller's Audit, some of it is based
15	on samplings, and we have no reason to doubt the
16	Comptroller's findings. We just with such a large
17	disallowance, we want to make sure that we're
18	accounting for every dollar correctly and
19	appropriately as we do the recoupment.

We're not currently working with Driscoll any longer. The way that contract was structured is not the same way that the Driscoll contract is structured. But, we are still making sure that our contract with Schrier, for the CFC program, that we're getting the rebates that we're entitled to -

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

and other things that were found - that that we not
being charged for truck space, delivery, that is
being delivered for another program for example -that
all that cost allocation is done correctly.

What happened with the P-FRED program is unfortunate. The Agency certainly should have caught it sooner, but it was successful in getting food out into the community. And we have the proper oversight and controls over our current contract with Schrier... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So was the Agency aware prior to the Comptroller's audit of the overspending... the overcharging?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Who was the vendor for P-FRED?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, no, no, was DSS aware of the overcharging...

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: We had been aware of some of it, and we had already recouped as the Comptroller's Audit acknowledge. We had already disallowed some of their expenses. The Comptroller's found additional ones that we had not previously found.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. All right, well, thank you so much. Hopefully there's somebody here that can stay behind and hear the public testimony from some of the providers. I think it's always helpful and useful to get that real time feedback from the folks that are the most impacted by these contracts and our fiscal protocols that sometimes become impediments to their ability to service their clients in the way that we intend. So, thank you so much for being here today.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BERRY: Thank you, Deputy Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We are going to take a five minute break.

(PAUSE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Can I have your attention please, can I have your attention please? At this time, please take your seats, please ensure that all cell phones and electronic devices are placed as silent mode. Once again, please take your seats, please ensure all cell phones and electronic devices are placed on silent. We shall resume momentarily.

(PAUSE)

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I want just recognize that we've been joined by Council Member Ossé.

I'm now going to open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a governmental proceeding and that the decorum shall be observed at all times. AS such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photographing is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as test testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion into the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic:

Hunger and Food Insecurity in New York City or any of the seven bills that we are hearing today.

If you have a written statement or additional written testimony that you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also email testimony to

2.3

testimony@council.nyc.gov - or any other email
address that you wish - so, you can send it to mine,
you can send it to any other council member here within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video
recordings will not be accepted.

We're going to call up the first panel now,

Lacey Tauber, and I'm sorry if... I Lacy I'm so

sorry, okay is it okay so it's just her, Lacy is here

Brooklyn Borough President, Antonio Reynoso's office.

LACEY TAUBER: I'm the Legislative Director for Brooklyn President, Antonio Reynoso. Thank you so much for holding this hearing today.

Again, I'm speaking on behalf of the Borough

President of Brooklyn where 30 percent of adults

reported facing food insecurity last year. I'm going

to kind of summarize. There are some maps also in

this testimony that you can look at along with.

Last year, our office published the comprehensive plan for Brooklyn, which examines the intersection between Land Use Allocation of Resources Policy and Public Health. Analyzing data across multiple metrics, the plan identified patterns in which lowincome neighborhoods of color are less likely to have access to affordable housing, reliable

0.1

transportation, educational opportunities, active recreation, and, of course, healthy food.

So, the maps from the Comprehensive Plan show these patterns clearly as they are related to food access. The first one shows food insecurity in the borough. You can see Coney Island, Gravesend, and Brownsville have the highest percentage of food insecure households in the borough and that this also correlates to the highest use of SNAP. You can see that there is a real pattern of food insecurity in Central and Eastern Brooklyn.

Map 2 addresses is healthy food. So, when we were talking to stakeholders and residents about their food and healthy living habits, they really said that the food insecurity metric didn't respond to observed conditions in their neighborhood or really reflect their experience. So, what we also looked at was DOHMH's metric of unhealthy food access, which is the ratio of bodegas to supermarkets in each community district. So by this measure, you can see that Central and South Western Brooklyn stand out with as many as 19 bodegas to a single supermarket. So, these trends, as I mentioned, align with the parts of the borough that receive SNAP.

2.2

2.3

In map three you can see NAP access, but I think something that's really important is that even when New Yorkers do get SNAP, according to a recent report by The Natural Resources Defense (TIMER CHIMES)

Council, people still struggle to access fresh and healthy food, and they may not understand how to use incentive programs.

Also in map 4, you see a lack of correlation between where the farmers markets are, where they can use these benefits and where people are receiving SNAP.

I will I just stop there and say there's a number of recommendations in the Comp Plan that are in the written testimony which I encourage you to take a look at. And Borough President Reynoso Brooklyn is very interested in working with the Council on addressing this.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Were you here when... I believe that we mentioned in my in my testimony or my line of questioning, there was a question about certain sectors in Brooklyn that were under... where the application rate had significantly dropped, or I mean significant I guess it's maybe too large of a word, but we had maybe like a 7 percent decrease in

2

3

4

_

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

LACEY TAUBER: Thank you.

applications - 5 percent. Was that something that you guys covered as well?

LACEY TAUBER: I think that this data might predate that a little bit, but I think that , you know, I think it really speaks to the need for the City to be partnering with these CBOs that are doing the work on the ground, uh, which you've talked a lot about just now. Because , you know, I think there were two reasons that we thought people might not really be using the benefits: One, again, they just don't have the information for how to use them, and then what we're also kind of hearing is that there's still some fear on the ground related to Public Charge Rule and everything that happened under Trump. Even though that was lifted, I think people are still... a lot of immigrants are still worried about using the benefits. So, there needs to be education that it's okay, that it's not going to affect Green Card applications.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I agree, I agree. And any partnership would ,you know, be welcome. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, our next panel will be Joseph Rosenberg, Jessica Chait, Lakeisha Morris, Jennifer Smith, and Daryl Wright.

MR. JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Good morning, Deputy

Speaker, Council Member Stevens, and Council Member

Ossé.

I am Joseph Rosenberg, the Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council. Thank you for focusing this hearing on such an important and timely topic. Food insecurity and hunger among New York City residents is in a crisis situation, and nonprofit human service providers require emergency funding to distribute desperately needed food to the working families, elderly, and children of our city.

Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of New York and Catholic Charities of Brooklyn and Queens have been providing shelter, food, and clothing to New Yorkers for more than one century - not just during disasters such as superstorm Sandy and the Covid-19 pandemic, but every day of every year. Both Charities combined operate 77 food pantries throughout the five boroughs, and we serve more than 7.4 million meals annually.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

All providers have faced many challenges feeding hungry and needy New Yorkers over the years, right now this challenge is at a crisis point. We are not only feeding more working families, seniors, and children at our pantries, recent clients include college students, youth aging out of foster care, as well as asylum seekers. The plight of hungry children is particularly heartbreaking with more than one in four children in our city living in poverty. That is why with more New Yorkers going hungry, we urge that you support our request to include \$20 million in emergency funding for food pantries in the FY25 City Budget. We are not alone and pressing for this relief, we are working closely with Met Council on Jewish Poverty and the Hispanic Federation.

We also request that the 60 percent funding cut to the Community Food Connection program be reinstated and be restored at a level of \$55 million.

While the skyrocketing increase in food insecurity caused by the pandemic has partially subsided, demand remains extremely elevated from pre pandemic levels. We're seeing an increase of over 20 percent clients in the last two years and in many pantries (TIMER CHIMES) much more.

)

that need it.

2.2

25 C

We all understand that \$10.00, because of inflation, covers far less food than it used to, and we've also had a tremendous cut in the federal and loss in the federal pandemic programs that helped fund us. We're also, because of the deficit issues, are not being able to provide as much fresh food as we used to, and we are relying on canned food, which is not as healthy and nourishing for the families

All of us must ensure that New Yorkers, especially working families, the young, and the elderly do not go hungry. We therefore call for your support in including this essential \$20 million emergency fund for the food pantries of our city, thank you.

MS. DARYL WRIGHT: Chair Ayala and fellow members of the General Welfare and Aging Committees, thank you for the opportunity to testify at this crucial oversight hearing on food and insecurity in New York City.

My name is Daryl Wright, and I am Food Policy
Fellow at the Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty,
one of the largest emergency food providers in the
City.

For over 50 years, Met Council has been one of the America's largest Jewish Charities dedicated to fighting poverty. We provide services across the five boroughs through a range of services including 100 percent affordable housing, award-winning family violence programming, comprehensive Holocaust survivor assistance, senior programming, crisis intervention, and the country's largest Kosher, and increasingly Halal, emergency food network. In total, we provide a wide array of support to over 320,000 clients a year.

Met Council fully supports all the items on today's Agenda. Despite all our work, food insecurity still rages across our city, and we must do all we can to alleviate it. And these resolutions in the bill identify areas where we can do better.

In addition, we must reiterate our grave concern over the Mayor's proposed cuts to the City's

Community Food Connections program or CFC. CFC is one of the most important hunger fighting tools we have, and we implore the Council to ensure that the program is funded at least to 2024 levels.

Finally, we urge the New York City Council to reprise the Food Pantry Initiative to support

2.3

grassroots organizations and those excluded from traditional emergency food system by providing \$20 million in order to relieve severe and overlooked food insecurity remaining from the pandemic in our most under resourced communities.

Met Council plays a unique role in the emergency food system by offering exclusively Kosher and Halal emergency food. While our goal is to ensure communities with specific cultural needs have the food they deserve, any New Yorker in need may access our food regardless of race, ethnicity, or religion.

Like a food bank, we secure food either by purchasing or through government sources, and then distribute it to Jewish, Muslim and other communities (TIMER CHIMES) facing significant barriers to food access.

In addition, to linguistic and cultural barriers, which have kept these communities from fully participating a in the established emergency food system, these communities have historically been short changed as so little of the distributed products will be suitable for their religious dietary restrictions, despite the fact that the need in these communities is acute and documented.

_

A recent study conducted found over 80 percent of Muslim Americans observe a Halal diet, and that food insufficiency within these communities was more than double the rate of other respondents.

New York is also home to the largest Jewish population in the country. Another recent study conducted by UJA Federation of New York showed that there are nearly 243,000 people in Kosher observant households that are food insecure, one-third of which are children.

More broadly, we know that New Yorkers still desperately need an extra hand and another push to get back on their feet post pandemic. The New York Times recently reported that, one, the poverty rate is still higher - 23 percent- than it was in 2021-18 percent; two, life expectancy in the City has not yet recovered; three, most working families in New York cannot afford to pay for their basic needs; and four, evictions are rising as rents are increasing.

These studies reflect what Met Council, our partners, and our colleagues have witnessed firsthand as many of us continue to serve more New Yorkers than at any point in the history of our organizations. In fact, Met Council served more people in 2022 than in

2020 or in 2021, and more and more New Yorkers are arriving on our doorstep seeking food each day. These individuals cannot afford to pay for their most basic needs, and they need our help.

While the pandemic may be over for many, the lasting economic effects remain part of the daily lives of our community members. At the start of the pandemic, we quickly recognized that without additional assistance, many smaller more thinly resourced pantries might fail. Indeed the pantry system itself seemed to be teetering under the weight of the need.

The City Council stepped in and provided funding to the largest emergency food distributors in the City to supply these pantries with the food and capacity resources they desperately needed. Met Council, along with partners including Catholic Charities and the Hispanic Federation used the funding to distribute tens of millions of pounds of food in 18 months to New Yorkers in every zip code in the City.

We also distributed millions of dollars in grants to keep frontline agencies operational, and conducted distribution via mobile pantries and popups in

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

neighborhoods that lacked pantries. Met Council on its own provided food and grants to Halal pantries who were otherwise not connected to the emergency food system in New York City.

The City's targeted funding works to keep the emergency food system afloat; unfortunately, as we now know, poverty and food insecurity have not abated since the pandemic, and these pantries once again need help.

Met Council urges the Council to come to the rescue again by rejecting the cuts to CFC and by bringing back this initiative by providing \$20 million, so that the pantries can continue to provide food resources that New Yorkers so desperately need, thank you.

MS. LAKEISHA MORRIS: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala and members of the Committee On General Welfare. I want to thank you for this opportunity to sit in front of you and testify on behalf of Catholic Charities Community Services regarding food insecurity. My name is Lakeisha Morris, and I'm the Division Director of Operations for the Community Outreach Division.

As you know, Catholic Charities' mission is very simple, treat our neighbors like we want to be treated. And one of the ways that we are able to do that is through our food pantries.

In New York City, between the Bronx and

Manhattan, we have about 27 pantries, some of which

are in your district, Chair Ayala, St. Cecilia's for

one. And what we do at these pantries is not just

give out food, but we provide people with food

information ,you know, education, access to other

resources, quality of foods. We try our best to do

what we can, but with the recent cuts that we've

experienced, it's been more and more challenging

every day to meet the needs of our New Yorkers...

(LOUD BACKGROUND NOISE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Sorry, give me one second.

MS. LAKEISHA MORRIS: It's been and more challenging to meet the needs of our New Yorkers, and one of the things that Catholic Charities strives on is client choice and making sure our neighbors, our families have an option in what they eat. We want to be more culturally sensitive and dietary appropriate for what we give out. And with the reductions that we've received, and the proposed reductions to come,

_

2.3

it's really going to limit our ability to be able to provide these resources to our families.

If I can't say anything else I would say this, without food, we can't sustain households, people can't think to make informed decisions, so on behalf of Catholic Charities, we support every bill that has been put before (TIMER CHIMES) us today. And we hope that we continue to support our New Yorkers in need. Food is not a luxury, it's a necessity, and it should be treated as such, thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

MS. JENNIFER SMITH: Thank you, good afternoon
Chair Ayala and members of the Committee. Thank you
for having me here today.

I am Jennifer Smith, Food Resource Manager for Catholic Charities Brooklyn and Queens. I have overseen the Operation of our 21 Network pantries throughout Brooklyn and Queens since 2015. I'm happy to be here today, because the subject of hunger and food insecurity in New York City could not be more important at this time.

Since the pandemic, many of our pantries have seen an increase in attendance of more than a 1,000 percent. Small Parish pantries that fed 25 to 30

1

people a week started seeing 400 to 500 people.

3 People were out of work, seniors needed help, food

4 prices are rising. Our network went from feeding

5 10,000 people a month to over 54,000 people a month.

It was overwhelming, but we changed how we 6

7 distributed food, received help from many

8 organizations, and with the strength of our amazing

volunteer base, we made it through the worst of the

pandemic. Things started to level off in 2021, and 10

11 while we never get back to pre-pandemic numbers,

12 things became more manageable. People went back to

13 work, Catholic Charities was able to help people get

14 SNAP benefits, seniors were still coming, but working

15 families started to come less often. We started to

16 average about 20 to 25,000 people a month. But, the

17 price of food is rising, and funding is becoming more

difficult. 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Since the beginning of 2023, we've been seeing a steady increase of people attending our pantries once again. As of today, we feed an average of 36,000 people a month. We are seeing many clients come back, especially working families needing help feeding their children, seniors need Catholic Charities' help

to stretch their fixed incomes, as well as many new

^

2.3

_ ¬

clients (TIMER CHIMES) who have heard from friends and family that we can help. Our pantries, especially in Sheepshead Bay, Coney Island, and Canarsie are seeing an influx of Russian and Ukrainian people, many of whom have displaced family members living with them. We've seen an increase in the amount of young people seeking our help, college and high school students are now coming to pantries either for themselves or to help their families.

This surge of clients and the high cost of food has forced us to change food distribution again.

We're not able to provide fresh produce like we were, and we rely mostly on dry goods and canned foods. I work at a pantry every Wednesday at Our Lady of Angels at 330 73rd Street in Bay Ridge, this pantry is one that has grown by over a 1,000 percent. As I register new people, I make it a point to say my name is Jennifer, and, please, if you ever have an emergency, you come to me; we'll get you some food.

Please support the \$20 million food pantry emergency funding for the FY25 City Budget, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm going to be limiting my questions, because we have a significant number of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

panels. But, I wanted to just say, thank you, for not only being here today to testify, but for your guidance, because we have really been listening and utilizing those experiences and bringing those to the budget negotiating table. Because, we agree that we need to do more, and we... I mean, you have to be ,you know, insane not to realize that the need has gotten greater in New York City. All you have to do is look and see. Thank you so much.

PANEL: Thank you

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Our next panel consists of Richard Ralph, Barbara Hughes, Ariel Savransky, and Greg Silverman.

You may begin, any one of you want to come this.. way? You can begin.

MS. ARIEL SAVRANSKY Thank you for, Chairperson Ayala and members of the Committee on General Welfare, for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to submit testimony. My name is Ariel Savransky, and I am a Senior Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA Federation of New York.

We know that food access continues to be a concern among New Yorkers, and we continue to see high rates of inflation and food insecurity,

2.2

2.3

especially in households with children, and New York food pantries and soup kitchens are reporting that they are unable to meet increased demand. We thank the Council for introducing the resolutions that were discussed today, which uplift opportunities for the state and the country to implement programs and policies that will support the most vulnerable individuals and families.

UJA supports the New York City Council in this area, and offers the following contributions:

I know there were a number of questions, and thank you Chairperson, for posing a lot of the questions that we also had questions about.

We were happy to hear commitment from the Commissioner that the CFC program will be adequately funded. We still have concerns, but we know that they are aware of how important this program is, and we will continue to push for the \$60 million in funding.

We were also happy to hear that the NYC Benefits program will be maintained, that's something that we know is very helpful, especially given the influx of asylees and migrants into the City.

A program that we support, and, again, will continue to push for, uh, the contracts to be awarded

_ .

on time and for providers to be made aware that they are actually going to be awarded those contracts.

I'll just say a few words on the Farm Bill. We are very supportive of a full Farm Bill and increased funding for emergency food programs. We're also very focused on the Halal and Kosher food being included in the emergency food assistance programs. We know that there's a huge need. UJ Federation supports Met Council to operate the largest Kosher food bank and pantry network in the country, and there are a number of recommendations (TIMER CHIMES) we have that would make the program work better for these kinds of pantries.

Lastly, I'll just say, I know we've spoken about SNAP for All Bill, and we're very supportive, thank you for introducing that resolution. We're working with the state to make sure that we can get a Task First form that can look into the impact of this program.

We are looking forward to continuing to work together, thank you so much and I'll happy happily answer any questions.

MR. GREG SILVERMAN Thank you, uh, General Welfare Chairperson Diane Ayala. We really appreciate all

your support, and I want to call out - thank you for
not letting go on the line of questioning on the SNAI
backlog. We're all baffled by what's happening, and
our frontline staffers keep saying the same things.

said call 311 to find a pantry. The pantry is going
to be closed with these CFC cuts - many of them. So,

You know and Jill Berry said if someone's hungry, she

9 it's the disconnect is real you know my name is real.

My name is Greg Silverman; I come from the
Westside Campaign Against Hunger. We serve about
80,000 community members, some in in your district,
with a lot of healthy fresh food, a lot of that
through CFC funds. That's really important.

In addition to the work at the Westside Campaign an Hunger, we helped found the Roundtable Allies for Food Access, a network of eight of the largest emergency food providers in the City, you're going to hear from a number of them in this process. Many of us brought plates, we would love for you to bring to the Mayor or Jill Berry, saying things like that our community members said ,like, we're hungry, don't cut CFC - you're starving our pantries. Restore CFC funding, so our community gets the food they need.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

You know, during the past summer, WSCAH alone, my organization, saw a 42 percent increase in the demand - on top of the pandemic. This is unheard of. I was proud in the winter of 2020, 2021, 2022 to be named

6 Co-Chair of the food transition for Mayor Adams in

7 looking at the food policy initiatives, and we really

focused in on CFC as this core piece of the work. And

9 to see these kind of cuts as an outrage.

So, you're hearing it from everyone, but we want to be as helpful as we can to you and other city council Members in pushing this agenda forward of making sure the community is fed. So, obviously, again, like everyone else is saying, we need to make sure there's no cuts to CFC, bring it back to \$57 if not \$60 million, and make sure that the City is truly a City of Yes to our community, and Yes to CFC, thank you. (TIMER CHIMES)

MS. BARBARA HUGHES: Hello again, my name is
Barbara Hughes, and I'm the Executive Director of
City Beet Kitchens at Project Renewal; it's a New
York City homeless services nonprofit agency. And
thank you, Chair, and the City Council for convening
the hearing.

For over 55 years, Project Renewal has provided shelter, housing, health care, and employment services to New Yorkers experiencing homelessness.

We're grateful to the City Council for supporting our programs. We're proud to have partnered with the City to address some of New York City's biggest challenges, including food insecurity and hunger.

The budget must address the root causes of these challenges. And to make meaningful progress, we must improve stability for individuals and entire communities in the first place - In part by expanding access to supportive workforce development opportunities, especially for those who face significant barriers to employment. We need these employment opportunities for New Yorkers, so that they can move towards supporting themselves.

Our workforce development programs are a vital resource for thousands, or example our Culinary Arts training program provides New Yorkers facing barriers to employment, including veterans, with a worldclass culinary education and a pathway to fulfilling a career. Graduates who go on to work in settings like corporate kitchens, local restaurants, and for City Be Kitchens, prepare food for other New Yorkers,

)

which prepares food for other New Yorkers in need.

Our career advancement program provides retention and advancement services tailored to helping our clients build careers. Programs like this ensure career growth and maximize earning potential, so participants can attain financial independence.

To continue critical programs like these, we rely on \$400,000 in City-Wide Initiative and Speaker funding. (TIMER CHIMES) Investing in workforce development programs generates a strong return on investment for all of New York City by creating conditions for entire families to survive. We know the Council is a true partner to the nonprofit sector, so in the final budget we urge the Council to increase investment in workforce development programs. Thank you very much.

MR. RICHARD RALPH: Good morning, thank you Chair Ayala and the City Council for convening. My name is Richard Ralph, I'm the Training Quality Control Manager for the nonprofit Project Renewal at Social enterprise catering company City Beet Kitchen.

As Barbara said, Project Renewal has been providing shelter, housing, health care, and employment services for 100,000 New Yorkers for over

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

55 years. This is a meaningful program working 3 towards addressing these challenges.

Supportive employment personally made a big difference in my own life. I worked hard to get where I am today. My journey wasn't an easy one. I'm proud to say that I served our country in the Marine Corp from 2001 to 2005 and an Army National Guard from 2006 to 2013 with one deployment in Afghanistan in 2012. When I finished my term of service, I had a hard time readjusting civilian life, and unfortunately I was incarcerated for 5 years. Upon release, I was eager to renew my life, and that's when I found Project Renewal in 2018. I enrolled in the Project Renewal Culinary Arts training program, and CATP trains New Yorkers who face barriers, such as myself, and many others, in finding employment after hardship that we experienced due to poor life choices. We need these types of employment opportunities...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Not always a life of poor choices, sometimes it is a life of poor circumstances.

MR. RICHARD RALPH: Yes, I totally agree...

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Don't assume all of the responsibility.

MR. RICHARD RALPH: Yes.

2.2

2.3

We need these type of opportunities, and definitely getting the support and funding for these programs y helps in that manner. (INAUDIBLE) program the Project Renewal team was impressed with my hard work and dedication, and I was hired as a junior cook. Since then, I've been promoted twice, and now I'm the Training Quality Control Manager. I oversee service, we allocate to contracts with other homeless shelters to buy food for the residents, assess food quality, address discrepancies, and get feedback so we can (TIMER CHIMES) try to improve the quality of what we do.

Project Renewal has helped brighten the dark part of my life after incarceration, and has helped me to get back into work and help me to give back to the community - which I'm very proud of.

Every person, every veteran, should have these kinds of opportunities, especially when they fall in hard times, and I hope that New York City Council will continue to provide funding for Project Renewal and other programs like these to help generate a

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

strong return investment for New York City by creating conditions for entire families to thrive, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm going to have to come and

taste the food first. (LAUGHTER IN CHAMBERS) And then we'll determine. But, I really appreciate this program so much. I appreciate , you know, all of the panelists, but I really appreciate this program the most, because it has such a benefit for sustainability for a person's independence, for future generational change. I grew up on public assistance; my mother was a single mother who was also born and raised into poverty, and so it's cyclical. And then, when I got there, you know, when I was old enough, I had two children, and I remember being in the park, and I couldn't afford to buy the kids an ice cream cone, because I had already spent my money on , you know, Con Edison, and the cable bill, and the kids needed this and that or whatever. And it was really through school, and I tell people, it's either... You know, the only way out of... really get out of this, out of poverty is through an education or through employment. And , you know, they... these programs reap so many benefits for

2.2

2.3

future generations. So, I'm so proud of you. I'm so happy that you're happy there. And I will come and taste the food, and maybe you can teach me how to make your favorite dish. It's something that I really enjoy. I'm trying to get my son into as well, because he really enjoys the art of cooking. So, thank you, and best of wishes. Thank you guys so much.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The next panel consists of Jeanette Estima, Stephen Grimaldi, Nick Buess, and Camila Gomez, and again my apologies if I mispronounce your name.

I feel like you shouldn't even be here, Steve, I feel like I know too much about you. (LAUGHTER) You may begin, you may begin.

JEANETTE ESTIMA: Hello, my name is Jeanette

Estima, I'm the Director ff Policy and Advocacy at

City Meals on Wheels. Thank you, Chair Ayala, for the opportunity to testify.

In addition to funding home delivered meals for weekends, holidays, and in emergencies City Meals also provides, uh, directly, uh, food to people during citywide emergencies and localized emergencies

3

4

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

when they cannot access other emergency food programs.

I wanted to share some findings from recent research that we completed which demonstrates the urgent need for expanding nutrition programs to better address food insecurity for older New Yorkers. We partnered with the CUNY Urban Food Policy Institute on a study of older adults using home delivered meals and congregate meal programs to understand their practices and unmet needs. The level of food insecurity among those who are already accessing the City's two programs that target elder hunger is staggering; 48 percent reported some level of food insecurity, and that goes up to 60 percent when we're looking just at home delivered meals recipients; 56 percent received SNAP benefits, but about a third of them said that the amount that they received was not enough to meet their needs; in addition, only 18 percent had used a food pantry, and about 4 percent had used a mobile food pantry. So, given that this was also a very low income sample that we surveyed , with about 65 percent of those surveyed earning about \$15,000 a year or less in income, and this high level of food insecurity all

2.2

2.3

really suggests a serious disconnect between the services that are offered to them and the need that they're experiencing.

Older adults must no longer be an afterthought.

They really (TIMER CHIMES)... their needs must be included in our anti-hunger solutions. We need to look at all the food programs across City, State, and Federal levels to ensure that they're accessible to older adults and that they're presenting a comprehensive approach to hunger. This includes expanded SNAP funding, the ability to use SNAP dollars for hot and prepared meals, extending benefits to all immigrants and undocumented older adults, and funding more mobile food pantries.

Finally, we're also asking that the Council help to advocate for expanded funding and additional meals that are provided through the Older Americans Act for congregate and home delivered meals programs, thank you.

MR. STEPHEN GRIMALDI: Good afternoon, I have about 500 exhibits here from participants of our programs just to give you an example of the commitment and the impact that cuts to CFC will have. We actually gave the people, all of our participants,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

the option of just putting a sticker in their native language, they chose not to, most of them, they actually wrote a personal message on them. So, I encourage you to read them, they're very powerful.

Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and members of the Council for allowing us to testify. I'm Stephen Grimaldi, the Executive Director of the New York Common Pantry, as well as a representative of the Roundtable: Allies for Food Access. As you know, the Roundtable is a dedicated and collaborating network of eight emergency food providers throughout New York City.

Put simply: It should be a right to have access to healthy food. What should be a right, is now a fight.

An investment in providing food means allocating resources—financial, human, and material—toward programs aimed at ensuring people have access to nutritious and sufficient food.

By confronting food insecurity today, we help solve its attendant problems of tomorrow - poor health outcomes, poor functioning in school, mental health issues just to name a few.

2.2

2.3

2.3

Unfortunately, the current proposed budget does not create a positive the blueprint for the future with its extreme cuts to CFC funding. For the past two years, we saw what a difference a well-funded CFC program made. CFC provided fresh produce and increased allocations for food purchases to help us serve the increased volumes of people needing food assistance.

Last year alone at NYCP, we saw the number of guests we serve rise 32 percent, this year it's rising another 29 percent with our fiscal year ending June 30th, and we will likely distribute more than 11 million meals.

According to the True Cost of Living Report, 3 million of our neighbors are considered income inadequate; 50 percent of working age households do not have enough earnings to meet the minimum cost of living; and more than half of New Yorkers earn less than \$46,000 annually.

We urge the City Council and the Mayor to not only restore CFC funding to its original levels, but to increase funding to \$60 million for CFC (TIMER CHIMES) and \$20 million in emergency food pantry funding.

_

Let's invest in a brighter future, let's increase CFC and Pantry funding not cut it, let's say, yes, to food access.

MR. NICK BUESS: Hi, good afternoon, I'm Nick Buess... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I feel like I know too much about you, too.

MR. NICK BUESS Okay, I'm done (LAUGHTER).

I'm Nick Buess from the Food Bank for New York
City; I'm the Director of Government Relations. Thank
you, Chair, so much, to you, to your staff, and to
all the Council Members for really pressing HRA and
DSS on the importance of food security.

You've heard a lot about need from so many community providers, and you will continue to hear about it. So, I just want to clarify and kind of add some flavor to a couple things. One, when it comes to the community food connection, you've heard the First Deputy Commissioner talk about adequate funding.

The reality is when you look at City, State, and Federal funding it is inadequate for emergency food.

The supply gap and the meal gap - so these are the metrics that indicate what the difference between household resources are versus how much it costs to

2.2

2.3

actually buy food at the grocery store - and when you look at the supply gap, which fills in that gap, there's still a gap. And that's with... if we look at current funding, where CFC is higher than it has been, where SNAP had been higher than it had been, but the reality is citywide food distribution is declining. That means community based organizations are trying to do more with less and that's unacceptable. You know this, but I just want to reiterate this idea that the adequacy that we're talking about is really just a basic survival and persistence. We're not talking about filling that

meal gap or filling that supply gap fully.

The second thing I want to clarify is the concept around the way the City helps people access SNAP. New York City and New York State does a good job. I don't want to underestimate that, but it is the case that, while around 90 percent of the people who are under 125 percent of the federal poverty limit do access SNAP - many people in New York City who are above that federal poverty limit, up to 200 percent, still qualify for SNAP benefits. And when you look at that metric, it's really one in four New Yorkers. New York City, by HRA's estimate specifically, who are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

eligible potentially for SNAP, but are not participating in SNAP. (TIMER CHIMES) That's one in four New Yorkers. So, there's a lot of room, a lot of opportunity for growth, and we think the way to do that is to lean into community based providers who are providing access, who are helping people navigate in highly personalized, language specific, trusted spaces, and I'll stop there.

MS. CAMILA GOMEZ: Hi, thank you, Chair Ayala, for holding this very important hearing. My name is Camila Gomez, and I'm here on behalf of God's Love We Deliver. We're New York City's leading provider of medically tailored, home delivered meals and nutritional counseling for people living with severe and chronic illness, and who are too sick to shop or cook for themselves and their families.

Annually, we prepare and cook more than 4 million meals in our kitchen with fresh ingredients and deliver and refrigerated vans directly to the home of more than 15,000 clients across New York City.

The medically tailored meal model is an intervention designed to support people living with severe and chronic illnesses. Our meal plans are tailored to the medical and dietary needs of each of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

our clients by one of our 11 registered dietitian nutritionists.

Our clients receive unlimited nutritional counseling sessions from our team of RDNs in an effort to provide ongoing support to clients.

Research demonstrates that MTMs improve health outcomes, lower cost of care, and increase patient quality of life. In fact, when patients receive MTMs, health care systems see a 16 percent net cost savings after paying for the intervention. Patients are admitted to the hospital 50 percent less often, and they reduce their reliance on emergency department services by 70 percent.

We believe that being sick and hungry is a crisis that demands an urgent response. And for New Yorkers living with complex illnesses, God's love is the only service that stands between them hunger and malnutrition. Each Love, God's Love continues to grow to meet the demand for services; it's one of our core principles that we never have a waiting list. However, this is becoming increasingly difficult without additional support, as we're now on track to deliver 4.3 million meals this fiscal year, having

grown more than 50 percent in just the last two years alone.

Now in our 39th year of service, we have been a longstanding life-saving resource for New Yorkers in need who are living with severe and chronic illness - and who are facing malnutrition. Food insecurity impacts an individual's ability to follow medication plans, control their chronic conditions, as well as receiving timely medical care. Furthermore, malnourishment actually increases a person's likelihood to be readmitted (TIMER CHIMES) to the hospital after a first hospitalization by 50 percent.

In light of the great need demonstrated by New Yorkers living with severe and chronic illness, along with the improved health outcomes shown by research, we ask that the City Council develop a contract specifically in service of those in need of life-saving medically tailored meals. However, while appreciating that this may not happen in the last month of the fiscal year, we urge the Council to support our request for FY25 discretionary funding through the Speaker's Office, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, I've had the pleasure of doing both Gods Love We Deliver and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Meals on Wheels program for many years, add I love them. The benefit... I mean I think it, to me, is like... I love them more than they do probably, because when I go into those homes and speak to the people, the recipients, these are real people that are maybe struggling or maybe are , you know, undergoing some illness that prevents them from being able to prepare their own meals, and who don't have that informal support. So, thank you for doing that work, and ,you know, Steve is ,like, teaching me how to really understand the ins and outs of t what it means to run the food pantry business. It is very difficult, but it's also very humbling experience. He had me do for... I'm just going to rat him out, but he had me do Thanksgiving dinner a couple of times. And it was hard taking those ,you know, the menu options, and then making sure that people got the right food back. It was hard, it was hard, but it was very fulfilling for me, and to the people that were there, so thank you so much. And Nick has taught me everything about ,you know, the waitlists that exists even in the local pantry around the corner from my home, which , you know, thanks to your program, gets funded. And just seeing all of the work that you guys

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 put in, and the love that you put into the work that

you do, is really uplifting and helps ensure that we

4 have that fight, to continue to advocate beyond the

5 walls of today's hearing, and have that translated

6 into our budget negotiation conversation. So, thank

7 you so much.

PANEL: Thank You

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Our next panel consists of Christina Hanson, Rachel Sabella, Martina Santos, and Joel Berg. You may begin.

MS. CHRISTINA HANSON: Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and the Committee on General Welfare for having this hearing today.

My name is Christina Hansen, and I'm the

Executive Director of Part of The Solution or POTS, a

community based organization in the Bronx that has

been fighting food insecurity and poverty for over

four decades.

Last year's True Cost of Living Report shed light on a stark reality, over half of New York's households cannot cover the necessities, it is an insurable challenge. That rate exceeds 80 percent in the Bronx communities that we serve. The demand for

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our services has not abated, in fact, increased since the Covid-19 pandemic.

For many of our clients in the most critical situations, including those facing homelessness, they come to us desperate for access to food. Clients will prioritize paying rent, so they're not evicted; they will sacrifice their access to food, and rely on organizations like POTS to make it through the month.

In addition, for clients who've overcome many challenges by securing steady a job or finding a stable housing arrangement, the wages they are paid are just not enough to cover the cost of essentials in this city.

Last year we partnered with members of our community to create a space where they could share their thoughts about neighborhood issues. On the subject of food insecurity, I bring a statement from this committee, and it reads: "POTS Community Advisory Committee stresses that the five boroughs are facing hunger. The added costs of rent and taxes, among others, make it impossible to cover the cost of food that is needed. Salaries have not increased at the same pace as prices. The Committee is concerned about the quality of food that is available. Children

2.2

2.3

are hungry at home, and school meals are not always ideal; a hungry child cannot focus on learning.

Seniors are not always accessing the most nourishing food that will give them the nutritional values they need at their age. Hunger is real in the City, and people are forced to make the most of limited resources, including expired food to survive."

POTS believes that hunger in New York City is unacceptable. This is one of the richest cities in the world, with the political will and resources available, we can have both short (TIMER CHIMES) and long-term solutions to food insecurity.

We're concerned about the trends we see in proposing cuts to public programs assisting with hunger, like Community Food Connections and the School Meals Program, which are a matter of survival for many vulnerable families. We ask City officials to consider expanding the budget for these programs to the levels that others have mentioned, as well as in this program. Thank you so much for your time, we really appreciate it.

MS. RACHEL SABELLA: Good afternoon, my name is Rachel Sabella, and I serve as the Director of No Kid Hungry New York. Thank you, thank you Chair; thank

3

4

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

as we're approaching summer, the hungriest time of

you Deputy Speaker; thank you hunger hero, Diana Ayala, and the entire City Council. We have worked together for a long time to connect more New Yorkers

with meals, and we need your support and leadership

more than ever before.

One in four children in New York City could face hunger this year. A recent poll that No kid Hungary put out in the field found that 85 percent of New Yorkers are saying incomes are not rising as fast as grocery prices. We all feel that, and we need to do more. What passed last week out of the House Agriculture Committee was cruel - to take away that much funding from SNAP recipients. We need to come together to ensure there is a bipartisan bill that protects New Yorkers, that expands benefits.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

year, the City is working to promote summer meals to anyone who is eligible through different agencies.

This year, for the first time, New Yorkers are going to be eligible for Summer EBT. We thank the Council for advocating for New York to opt into it. While it's being administered by the State, we need the City - DSS, DOE, any agency that touches eligible families, to promote it. And the best way to make sure people are getting those benefits is to do SNAP Enrollment.

So, are those happening are those events happening in schools and after school programs? How are they working across City agencies (TIMER CHIMES) to feed families?

I would be remiss, though, if I did not mention a big issue that we've been all working on - cuts and confusion over the Department of Education's budget for School meals. We want to make sure that kids have consistent access to nutritious meals and that this Administration continues to fulfill their promises of supporting families. So, thank you, and together we can make No Kid Hungry reality.

MR. JOEL BERG: Hi, I'm Joel Berg, CEO of Hunger Free America. Let me reiterate the thanks of all my

testimony for the record. So, let me just highlight a few points.

Number one, this is an affordability problem, not

colleagues to the Deputy Speaker for your incredible

leadership on these issues. I've submitted detailed

a food distribution problem. We need to raise wages and make New York City affordable again and then fill it in with food.

Second, just like Rachel said, that the top thing we need to do is increase participation in the existing federal programs. Every dollar we at Hunger Free America spend on SNAP outreach funded by the City generate \$60 worth of groceries funded by our very wealthy Uncle Sam. We need to do more to help people get WIC, which is available for new migrants. School breakfast, we still have the lowest school breakfast participation rate out of any big city in the United States.

I know the General Welfare Committee doesn't oversee the Department of Education, but I hope the Council can really focus on this. Every Mayor claims they're going to fix it, and it's still not fixed.

We're losing out to LA, Chicago, Houston, Newark -

_

not Mayberry, big city school districts are doing better than us.

Of course, it's absurd that for the third Mayor in a row, they're proposing slashing emergency food to pantries and kitchens, the food banks, and agencies. Every year, the Council puts back the money, and every year we have this ridiculous dance where we have to come here and beg so to speak, for the needs of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. It is insane.

Lastly, let me reiterate how crazy and immoral the Farm Bill passed by the House Republicans are. We support all the legislation here to increase, to push Congress to do better. But just to help you understand, the same bill that cuts \$30 billion out of the most vulnerable American children, older Americans, workers, veterans, and people with disabilities - that adds \$50 billion in agribusiness corporate welfare - to the biggest agriculture corporations in America. (TIMER CHIMES) It's immoral, and Council should do everything it can to oppose it, thank you.

MS. MARTINA SANTOS: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala, good afternoon everyone in this room. My name is

2.2

Martina Santos, and I'm a volunteer and board member of the West Side Campaign Against Hunger.

My testimony today is because the situation is really critical for our community here and the United States.

Currently, I attend the West Side Campaign

Against Hunger (WSCAH) food pantry as a customer,

volunteer, and board member. I have been visiting

WSCAH for over 14 years. When I initially came to

WSCAH, I fell in love with WSCAH. As a customer my

income was too low; I was living in the Bronx, and I

could not afford to buy healthy food to put on my

table, and that is why I came to WSCAH. That day, I

went home with a smile, as I brought with me fresh

fruits and vegetables, protein, grains, milk, which I

was able to choose myself during my visit.

Everything is worse after the pandemic. In the pandemic, people lost their jobs and they need to move with a family member.

WSCAH's mission is to alleviate hunger by ensuring that all New Yorkers have dignified access to healthy food selection and support services.

Please do not cut our budget.

I am really nervous, because this is the first time I am talking in public. I hope you will forgive me.

Last year, WSCAH distributed over 4 million pounds of healthy food for its 80,000 families.

For example, seven years (TIMER CHIMES) ago WSCAH had one distribution point, and now WSCAH has more than 30 distribution points and is also delivering directly to over 1500 homes. My greatest satisfaction is being able to refer family, neighbors, friends and even strangers to the WSCAH pantry because I know they will find not only a wide variety of fresh and healthy foods, but also empathetic treatment from the WSCAH team.

Also, they are trying to cut the budget. If the Council could do something, please do not cut the budget. Everybody who in the room, we are working on the same page - do not cut the budget for families going to food pantries. Food pantries means healthy food for people and the communities, and they are also saving some money. Before you reminded me when you said something about Con Edison. I spoke to a couple of ladies at the pantry in WSCAH, and those ladies said they are going to two pantries, day by

MS. MARTINA SANTOS: That is why I am here. To give my testimony, because the thing is really,

24

25

Garcia, and I am the Executive Chef of the Teaching

25

Kitchen at Lennox Hill Neighborhood House. Thank you so much for holding this important meeting.

The Lennox Hill Neighborhood House is an 130year-old settlement house that provides an extensive array of social services that improve the lives of over 15,000 New Yorkers in need each year - from ages three to 103.

Today I will be speaking on behalf of our community, from my unique perspective as a chef leading a farm to institution training program that impacts almost every council district.

Lennox Hill Neighborhood House is in support of resolutions being heard today, and we will submit a more thorough testimony on what we believe are positive impacts of federal legislation supported by Resolutions 25, 227 and 237.

The Neighborhood House serves over 400,000 meals annually to our clients inhouse, including three and four-year-old children in our Head Start Early Childhood Program, to unhoused women residing in a mental health shelter, and to members of our older adult centers. Our social workers and Benefit Advocates help clients obtain gain SNAP benefits, provide resources for food pantries and soup

C

2.2

kitchens, assess and authorize home delivered meals, share free nutrition education programs, and often navigate conversations with clients on how to maximize governmental benefits and eligibility in order to stretch budgets and improve access to food and food security.

The resounding refrain and common barrier is lack of access to healthy affordable food and not having enough funds or resources to afford food - even if accessible and available to purchase.

Generally, legislation to increase flexibility—
and where and what type of healthy food can be
accessed through assistance programs is welcomed and
more closely matches the realities of how working
people purchase and prepare their meals.

Expanding eligibility for food assistants to promote participation in higher education and workforce training is also (TIMER CHIMES) warranted.

Most importantly, increasing food for food aid, that adjusts for the rising consumer cost, is essential.

There are steps that the City can take on this for this as well. To address food cost and inflation, the City should partner with organizations to provide

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 y

government funded meals through nonprofits and schools. At the Teaching Kitchen, we offer all nonprofits free training on our Farm to Institution Model to improve citywide meal programs. Incorporating this training into workforce development for cooks and chefs, along with basic equipment upgrades, such as sharp knives and cutting boards, would ensure healthier nutritious meals across the City. Promoting local procurement, and enhancing the food system across New York State to supply locally grown food at a reduced cost is essential. Additionally, investing in the salaries and professional development of cooks and chefs, especially in nonprofit institutional kitchens, will improve food security and support the retention and financial growth of paid workers, primarily (SPEAKING

In closing, food and having access to health healthy affordable and fresh food and meals, is something we consider a right. The federal legislation you will support through these resolutions and innovation in the City practices can get us closer to addressing food insecurity, thank you.

FOREIGN LANGUAGE) women of color.

J

MR. ALEX HUGHES: Hi good morning, thank you

Council Member Ayala, for holding this important

hearing on an issue that is really critical to not

just our city, but our state and nation as a whole,

and also for the rest of the City Council members who

are here to hear this.

My name is Alex Hughes, I'm the Senior Director of Hunger Prevention and Advocacy with Project Hospitality. We're an interfaith service provider in Staten Island and we service over 30,000 individual Staten Islanders a year.

According to United Way's 2023 True Cost Of
Living Report, 41 percent of Staten Islanders in
Community District 1, do not make enough money to
make ends meet; Community District 2, also 41
percent; and in Community District 3, which is
considered to be a more affluent area of the Island,
still almost one-third of Staten Islanders do not
have enough resources to make ends meet, often times
that means not enough to feed their families.

I'll tell you a little bit about our pantry.

We're still seeing 200 to 400 individual households

come to us twice a week. We have some messages from

some of those households, these plates are literally

just from three hours of a distribution, uh, and the messages can range from things that are very policy oriented to just messages as simple as, "please, we need the food pantry," "this is how we feed our family of eight", "please".

If these cuts go through, I'm not worried about just our program, but also all of the other programs that benefit - I think 699 was said earlier - that is how many programs that are receiving funding from CFC.

This issue is personal to me as well; I was one of those kids that went to school hungry. I was one of those kids that couldn't focus in class because I was hungry. I was in a state where there was not a robust emergency food system that exists here. that's one of the reasons I moved here, because New York City (TIMER CHIMES) has often been a leader on so many important issues. And our city has the chance and the opportunity to continue being leaders in this space - and not followers, especially with what we all fear is upcoming with the with the Farm Bill. So, thank you all for your support and recognition of how important those policies are at the federal at the level.

S

So, in closing I'll just say that ,you know, thank you again for the support, and there are so many families who just don't qualify for SNAP, because they make "too much" money. And that was my father, and that shouldn't happen. That should not happen. So, CFC should absolutely be fully funded in our view, and a fully funded CFC means stronger and healthier communities, thank you.

MS. SOPHIE MARTIN: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today; my name is Sophie Martin, and I'm a registered dietician at Housing Solutions of New York.

HSNY is committed to ending homelessness through essential support services and creating holistic paths. To successfully address homelessness, we must focus on helping New Yorkers who face poverty and may become at risk of homelessness. We're intentional in services like the food and nutrition program that address root causes, provide stability, and prevent homelessness before it occurs.

Food insecurity is associated with negative outcomes like poor health and low education achievement that perpetuate the poverty cycle. Almost 15 percent of New Yorkers experience food insecurity.

J

Healthy food access and nutrition promotes health, community stability, and fights against homelessness and recidivism. Since 2019, we've empowered this through various services like our Ryan White Food And Nutrition Program, emergency pantry services where we provide a variety of culturally diverse meal kits for family shelters and community based pantry.

We're pleased that the Council is supportive of crucial bills like the Farm Bill, enhanced Access to SNAP Act, and the Hot Foods Act; however, there's definitely more work to be done. We call on council members to encourage their federal colleagues to prioritize nutritious foods in each legislation.

A USDA study estimates that over 20 percent of SNAP dollars are spent on poor nutrition items like soda and desserts, which contribute to unfair and debilitating disease. As the largest food benefit, SNAP presents an opportunity to improve health by prioritizing nutritious foods as allowable purchases. This will ensure that SNAP dollars can go further in helping vulnerable families achieve security and health. We recommend partnering with meal providers to deliver low cost nutritious meals for those in need.

Finally, we'd like to emphasize that we can effectively reduce food insecurity by aligning policies and programs (TIMER CHIMES) to evidence-based models and recommendations. Giving away food is not enough. By offering evidence-based programming that combines Client Choice Pantry Models to reduce food waste and promote autonomy, nutrition education, motivational interviewing, and targeted referral services, we can better help people obtain stability in food, health, housing and beyond. Thank you.

MS. PAULA INHARGUE: Thank you, Chair Ayala, and members of the Committee for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Paula Inhargue, and I'm a Policy Analyst at United Neighborhood Houses. UNH represents neighborhood settlement houses and our members provide a wide variety of services to their community, such as providing support to access benefits and case management for HRA programs.

We are at a crucial moment to address issues of hunger, food insecurity, and poverty in New York City. The City must fund the Community Food Connection Program at \$60 million in FY25 to sustain and grow the program, allowing providers to

'/

2.2

effectively allocate resources and keep supporting the growing needs of asylum seekers.

Several settlement houses in UNH's network receive this funding, and it directly supports communities in need of food. Thank you for your support for this funding level.

The Council should also restore its Food Pantry

Initiative to at least FY23 three levels, recognizing

its important role in addressing food insecurity and

supporting communities in need. Fully funding both of

these programs is critical, especially because they

both support people regardless of their immigration

status.

Our written remarks highlight our concern around New York City aging programs that address food insecurity for older adults, including recently awarded contracts for the Home Delivered Meals Program. We are concerned that some of these contracts went to private contractors for the first time, and that this may compromise the integrity of this essential social service program.

Lastly, thank you for your questioning earlier around the New York City Benefits Program and clarifying the funding levels. We need to ensure this

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

program is fully funded in the out years, so all 36 CBO providers are able to continue their essential work. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you guys so much.

This is the final in person panel, so I just want to remind anyone that if you are here and you wanted to testify, please see the Sergeant at Arms and fill out a form.

Nazneen Rahman, Graham Horton, Ruth Moore, Sharon Brown, come on up. You can begin when you are ready.

MR. GRAHAM HORN: Deputy Speaker Ayala, Council Members, and staff, good afternoon, and thank you for this opportunity to offer testimony.

My name is Graham Horn, and I am a staff attorney in the Shelter Advocacy Initiative and the Public Assistance and SNAP Project at the New York Legal Assistance Group or NYLAG.

Thank you, Chair, and other members for highlighting the issue of food provision in in DHS, and the questions to DSS Deputy Commissioner. I would like to offer some further reflections on the state of food insecurity in the City's increasingly multifaceted shelter system.

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

0.4

24

NYLAG clients frequently report that the meals they are served in shelter are too small to abate their hunger, they say that they are not allowed to have more than one serving of items per meal, and that the serving sizes themselves are very small. As a result, clients residing in shelter are left perpetually hungry.

Inadequately feeding shelter residents only further disenfranchises them. Providing food is not the same as providing enough food. Shelter residents with a job are often faced with the impossible choice of "work or eat" . This is a result of the fact that shelter meals are served at specific and rigid times, and if the residents are not present at those times, they cannot get a meal. This difficulty is multiplied by the fact that recipients of Cash Public Assistance are not awarded the restaurant allowance supplement if they live in a shelter that that serves meals. On top of that, individuals and families who reside in shelters that purport to provide meals, have their Cash Assistance benefits reduced based on the fiction of readily available food. Further, shelter policies prevent residents from bringing in outside food,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

weakening the possible benefit of food pantries and market shares.

This compounding problem of hunger and shelter deserves the Council's attention and immediate action. Our most recent immigrant neighbors and clients also face extreme hunger in their new immigrant only shelter system. Those clients residing in these shelters report that they are not being provided with adequate food, not being served hot food, and that the food they are served has mold on it or is otherwise spoiled. Compounding the difficulty here for our new immigrant neighbors, most are not eligible for federal SNAP benefits. NYLAG is honored to stand in support of Resolution 57, (TIMER CHIMES) which calls for the state of New York to provide food support benefits for those not eligible to receive federal SNAP assistance. Such a local program would provide our new immigrant neighbors with essential food assistance.

We thank you for the work you have done to facilitate services for vulnerable New Yorkers, and we hope you we can continue to be a resource for you moving forward, thank you

,

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, and if you can get your hands on a menu...

MR. GRAHAM HORN: Say again?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: If you can get your hands on a menu that you can send me? I wanted to go and see, because that's a really good point. The timing issue also, it's really... I didn't ask about that I didn't think about it at the time, but you're right. If you're working, and you're ,you know, you can't stay, thank you so much for bringing that up.

MS. NAZNEEN RAHMAN: Good after afternoon, my name is Nazneen Rahman, and I'm an Assistant Vice

President at Emblem Health Neighborhood Care,

overseeing our 15 neighborhood care sites across New

York City. On behalf of Emblem Health, I would like

to thank Chair Ayala and the Members of the Committee

on General Welfare for holding this hearing.

Neighborhood Care is a nonprofit, operating in all five boroughs, where we provide free in person and virtual support, access to community resources, and health and wellness classes to all community members. We provide services in English, Spanish, Mandarin, Cantonese, and Haitian Creole, and 81 percent of our team members are bi or trilingual.

_

At Neighborhood Care, we are dedicated to addressing unmet health and well-being needs, and some of our priorities include increasing access to healthy food and nutritional guidance.

In 2023, nearly 72,000 individuals access care through our sites, and we reached nearly 7,500 recipients at food pantry and farmers market events in collaboration with our partners at our Harlem, Crown Heights, East New York, and Jackson Heights locations. We also won support for three grant programs that increased food access and programming at our sites in Flushing, Chinatown, and Cambria Heights, thanks to Council Member Ung, Manhattan Borough President Levine, and State Senator Comrie. In addition to these events, we host nutrition classes, we run diabetes self-management courses, and we facilitate SNAP enrollment.

We support the City Council's efforts to address hunger and food insecurity in our city, and we hope to be a constructive partner in resource to accomplish these goals. We would welcome support and partnership from City Council Members to enhance our ability to host food distribution and nutritional education at our sites. We would be happy to share

our findings and experiences working directly with the community, and would like to be considered as a partner in initiatives you have underway to improve access to healthy food, thank you.

MS. RUTH MOORE: Hi, good afternoon, thanks for having me. Thank you, Chair, for allowing me to speak at this hearing. SNAP benefits are extremely important to satisfy the basic needs to myself and people that are in my community. I live in the borough of Brooklyn, and the SNAP program assists families throughout my neighborhood. Without the benefits of the SNAP program, myself and others would not know where our next meal would have come from. Thank you for having me and hearing me out.

MS. SHARON BROWN: Hello, how are you? My name is Sharon Brown. Okay, this for General Welfare? This is not for welfare benefits for general welfare, but we're speaking about food also welfare?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's right.

MS. SHARON BROWN: Okay, so if people aren't give monies that live in shelters for hot meals, they must stick around the shelters doing nothing, they won't be able to prosper themselves. So, they need to get cash benefits for food. They need food stamps for

cold food, so they can get things. They also need to
be able to bring food inside and keep it. The general
welfare is they should have the food money for
hot meals and food stamps. This affects the homeless
military, homeless veterans, even police officers -
some who were charged with crimes, going to these
shelters. They don't tell people that they are police
officers or former police officers, but they report
to these places, too. So, it needs to be carefully
handled. We have military in there. We have police
officers, former police officers, police officers who
will have their overturned convictions, and then be
back on the force. So, we need to treat them and
handle them with care. General welfare, we need
places for people to be. We have the homeless
population, some of them are not really homeless,
they are in between places, because of landlord
abuse. We need to handle the general welfare of
landlord abuse period across the board. It's not
being taken care of properly, and it affects mostly
military, veteran, police officers, and things like
that. We need to have seating for everyone -tourists,
military, police officers, (TIMER CHIMES) et cetera

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, so much Sharon, 3 thank you. Thank you to this panel.

2.2

2.3

Okay, we're moving briefly to Zoom before we hear from our last panelist. I'd like to remind everyone that I will call up individuals in panels. Once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you, and you may begin your testimony once the Sergeant at Arms sets the clock and gives you the cue. All testimony will be limited to two minutes. Remember that there is a few second delay when you're muted before we can hear you.

First we'll hear Kathleen DiPerna followed by Egondu Onuoha.

MS. KATHLEEN DIPERNA: Okay, good afternoon Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the General Welfare Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Rethink Food's efforts to reduce food insecurity and support frontline community based organizations and our city's small minority and women-led businesses.

My name is Kathleen Diperna, and I represent

Rethink Food, a New York City based nonprofit with

the mission to create a more sustainable and

Equitable food system, one where every New Yorker has

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

access to dignified, culturally competent, and nutritious food. Currently, we operate in 35 council districts across all five boroughs.

Rethink was founded in 2017 by our CEO and Founder, Matt Jozwiak, who saw firsthand how good food from restaurants goes underutilized and to waste. He started our sustainable commissary kitchen by transforming excess food from restaurants, corporate kitchens, and grocery stores into meals delivered to CBOs - a model that concurrently tackles food waste and food insecurity.

Today that kitchen prepares an average of 15,000 meals per week and distributes to 10 CBOs in Queens, Brooklyn, and Manhattan.

Our work has evolved to include another innovative solution to address food insecurity, our Rethink

Certified Restaurant Program. Launched at the height of the Covid-19 pandemic, with food insecurity escalating and restaurants facing widespread closures, Rethink leveraged its experience to partner with restaurants to prepare meals for food insecure communities.

We had an unique opportunity to distribute meals, to keep restaurants open and retain staff and jobs in

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

our community. Since the pandemic, we've engaged both of these models to respond to providing over 13 million culturally competent meals for our newest asylum seeking neighbors at 34 shelters in the City We were brought in to help improve the quality and cultural competency and reduce food waste at these sites. We would like to continue to work with the City and Council to provide better prepared meals to New Yorkers in need.

Ultimately, the clients that we continue to serve seek food assistance at organizations like Rethink, because of an inefficient food system, and we continue to urge the City Council, agencies that procure food, and the Administration (TIMER CHIMES) to continue focusing on improving the quality of food procured, so that we can get New Yorkers the food they need, decrease the amount... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony, your time has expired... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. KATHLEEN DIPERNA: food wasted and be less reliant on food access organizations, so they can use these funds for other pressing City issues.

And Chairperson, I just want to echo the comments that you made earlier and we'd love to continue

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

working with you, Majority Leader Farías, Council Member Won, Council Member Hudson, Council Member Hanif, and The Mayor's Office of Food Policy on improving food quality in New York City food procurement, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

We will now hear from Egondu Onuoha.

MS. EGONDU ONUOHA: Thank you, Chair Ayala, and the Committee on General Welfare for holding this important hearing on food insecurity. My name is Egondu Onuoha, and I'm here today as a board member of the Metropolitan New York City WIC Association.

I will submit a written testimony in case my time runs out.

The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children, known as WIC, offers nutrition education and counseling, healthy foods, breastfeeding counseling and support, voter registration, referrals to community agencies for women, infants, and children up to the age five.

WIC is one of the only federally funded programs available to all residents, regardless of citizenship or immigration status. Our goal is to help women, infants, and children live stronger, healthier lives.

2.2

Our member organizations from the five boroughs,
Long Island, and the Hudson Valley serve hundreds of
thousands of New Yorkers. The impact WIC makes plays
a significant role in reducing healthcare cost, as
well as reducing high mortality rates, especially
among women of color.

According to the New York State Department of
Health, only half of eligible New York families
participate in WIC. Additionally, less than half of
eligible children from age one to four participate.
Our association knows very well that more outreach
must be done to engage as many eligible New Yorkers
as possible.

Despite the growing need for WIC, funding for WIC providers in New York State has remained stagnant for a decade, leaving our programs severely understaffed and unable to upgrade facilities or purchase basic technology and office equipment. This has resulted in WIC providers straining under caseloads that have become more challenging as asylum-seeking families seek our assistance.

The State has provided flat funding of just \$26 million a year for the entire Statewide (TIMER CHIMES) program for the past 9 years... (CROSS-TALK)

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony,
3 your time has expired...

2.2

2.3

MS. EGONDU ONUOHA: which has resulted in many WIC providers shutting their doors for good, including facilities at Jacobi and North Central Bronx, Kings County Hospital, Gouverneur Medical Center, East Harlem Council for Human Services, and Richmond University Medical Center. Those shuttered offices have endangered 12,000 women from getting services from WIC.

While remain in productive conversations with the Governor's Office about avenues for additional funding, we must make the New York City Council aware that WIC providers need the City's ongoing support and advocacy on increasing State funding and forging new partnerships that will allow us to continue our work in many of your districts.

I hope you will please consider us a resource as you seek ways to address food insecurity in New York City, and we look so forward to collaborating with you on ensuring the long term availability and stability of the WIC program in New York. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We will now hear from our final panelist, Susana Camavera.

MS. SUSANA CAMAVERA: Good afternoon, Madam Chair Ayala, and members of the Committee. My name is Susana Camavera, and I'm the Executive Director of the Tacombia Foundation an established 501(c)(3) and Tacombia philanthropic arm.

As you might know, Tacombia is a New York City based company operating 21 Mexican Taquerias in the United States and a Tortilleria Factory in Sunset Park Brooklyn, 12 of which are based across Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Queens.

The mission of The Tacombia Foundation is to advance Mexican and Hispanic immigrant communities through food accessibility, education, and employment training, which is why I'm here today.

In April of 2020, the foundation launched the Tacombia Community Kitchen Program, or TCK for short, as a response to the food insecurity crisis exacerbated by the Covid-19 pandemic. Since then the Tacombia Foundation has turned TCK into a food accessibility program with partners such as Rething Food and Hispanic Federation among others, and has provided over 1 million meals since then.

The TCK Program has continued to grow by leveraging the kitchens of the 21 Tacombia

_

Restaurants to produce over 8,000 meals every week and distribute them through a network that consists of over 30 community based organizations across Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens, and especially the Bronx, and which are embedded in neighborhoods with large concentrations of Latino and Hispanic communities, many of whom are recent arrivals.

According to the latest data from the US

Department of Agriculture, one in five Latinos in the

United States experience food insecurity and are two

times more likely to be food insecure than their

white neighbors.

According to 2022 data from the New York State

Comptroller's Office, the food insecurity rate for Hispanic New Yorkers is 22 percent. In our extensive work through the Community Kitchen Program, we have seen a bigger demand for food, one which is much larger (TIMER CHIMES) than today; it was the highest of the COVID-19 pandemic when the program launched. For this reason, we are here today to highlight the issues affecting the Hispanic immigrant communities going through food insecurity, and we call upon the City Council to consider all measures that will improve the living condition and provide (INAUDIBLE)

through food to all New Yorkers. To continue our work 2 3 of the dignifying people through food of providing reliable, wholesome, and culturally relevant meals to 4 5 families facing food insecurity, the Tacombia Foundation has requested discretionary funding under 6 7 the food access and benefits, and welcome NYC Initiatives for Fiscal Year 2025, for which we hope 8 to be considered and work alongside the City Council on this pressing an issue, thank you so much. 10

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, and with that, I want to just add that if we've inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify virtually, please use the raised hand function in Zoom, and I will call on you in the order of hands raised.

(PAUSE)

Okay, seeing no one else, I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by committee staff, may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

I want to thank all of the folks that came out today to testify, and with that this hearing is closed.

(GAVELING OUT)

25

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 15, 2024