CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

October 22, 2024 Start: 9:37 a.m. Recess: 11:57 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah M. Hanks
Francisco P. Moya
Yusef Salaam
Lynn C. Schulman

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Adrienne Adams, Speaker Christopher Marte Lincoln Restler Mercedes Narcisse Robert F. Holden

Pierina Ana Sanchez

Eric Dinowitz Shahana Hanif Chi A. Ossé Vickie Paladino Joann Ariola OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING: (continued)

Carlina Rivera
Amanda Farías
Gale A. Brewer
Rita C. Joseph
Kamillah M. Hanks
Linda Lee
Chris Banks
Alexa Avilés
Althea V. Stevens

APPEARANCES

Patrick Bohl, Senior Director at Enterprise Community Partners

Thomas Devaney, Senior Director of Land Use and Planning at Municipal Arts Society

Emily Goldstein, Director of Organizing and Advocacy with the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development

Matthew Dunbar, Chief Strategy Officer at Habitat for Humanity New York City and Westchester County

Matthew Murphy, NYU Furman Center

Sam Burtnick, HANAC

Mark Levine, Manhattan Borough President

Zul Sulkowicz

George Janes, planner

Megan Fitzpatrick, Landmark West

Zeynep Turan, Friends of the Upper East Side

Lucie Levine, Historic Districts Council

Paul Devlin, Co-Chair of the Clinton-Hell's Kitchen Land Use Committee of Manhattan's Community Ward 4

Chad Purkey, Interim Executive Director at the Association for a Better New York

Howard Slatkin, Executive Director of Citizens' Housing and Planning Council

Emily Kurtz, Chief Housing and Development Officer at RiseBoro Community Partnership

Tom Wright, President and Chief Executive Officer of Regional Plan Association

Michelle de la Uz, Executive Director of the Fifth Avenue Committee

Bria Donohue, Senior Manager of Government Affairs at the American Institute of Architects New York

Ronnie Wolf, self

Jennifer Petit, self

Peter Miller, self

Paul DiBenedetto, Chair of Queens Community Board 11 in Northeast Queens

Naomi Bushman, self

Matthew Robinson, self

Joseph Rosenberg, Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council, representing the Archdiocese of New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn and Queens

Sara Lind, Co-Executive Director at Open Plans

Maddie DeCerbo, Real Estate Board of New York

Annemarie Gray, Executive Director of Open New York

Rachel Fee, Executive Director of the New York Housing Conference

Anthony Bartolano, member of SEIU Local 32BJ

Barbara Larkin, self

Charles Nye, self

Mary Anne McGowan, President of the Clove Lake Civic Association in Staten Island, New York

Chris Coppa, Staten Island Public Service Association

Bernadette Ferrara, President of the Van Nest Neighborhood Alliance

Antonio Reynoso, Brooklyn Borough President

Alia Soomro, Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters

Julie Chou, Vice Chair of the Land Use, Housing, and Zoning Committee for Manhattan Community Board 5

Rosa Chang, self

Gregory Smithsimon, Director of the Center for the Study of Brooklyn and Professor of Sociology at Brooklyn College in the CUNY Grad Center

Charlene Davis, self

Mark Greenberg, Executive Director of the Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness and Housing and President of the Interfaith Affordable Housing Collaborative

Gordon Lee, self

Layla Law-Gisiko, President of the City Club of New York

Renee DeSantis, trustee of the Community First Development Coalition

Alfred Brand, Chairman of the Board of the Kew Gardens Queen Civic Association

Paul Briscoe, self

Ronald Colangelo, self

Jackson Chabot, Director of Advocacy and Organizing at Open Plans

Kazi Hussain, self

Eric Shiner, President of Powerhouse Arts

Derek Marcus, TF Cornerstone

Diah Mehera, Director of Marketing and Communications at Tech:NYC

Braden Crooks, self

Lori Travers, self

Alice Barrett-Mitchell, self

Tammy Meltzer, Chair of Manhattan Community Board 1

Patricia Loftman, President of the Park West Village Tenant Association

Anna Barrett-Mitchell, self

Evidelia Boyd, President and Board Chairperson of the Rosedale Civic Association

Eric Goshow, Fellow of the American Institute of Architects

Emily Klein, Assistant Vice President for Policy and Government Affairs at the Community Preservation Corporation

Juan O'Neill, self

Gigi Gerefetari, self

Romero Rodriguez, self

MacKenzie Fillow, self

Connie Murray, self

Susan Breindel

Andrea Goldwyn, New York Landmarks Conservancy

Ronda Wist, self

Daniel Mayo, self

Susan Zinder, on behalf of B'nai Adot Kolbeth Yisrael

Michael Sutherland, Policy Analyst at Open Plans

Catherine Trapani, Assistant Vice President for Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York

Jacob Brooks, self

Rashida Tyler, Deputy Executive Director of the New York State Council of Churches and project manager for the Interfaith Affordable Housing Collaborative

Rabbi Baruch Yehuda, B'nai Adath Kol Beth Yisrael

Jamie Williams, Assembly Member

Daniel Cohen, self

Erica Turner, self

LaShaun Ellis, MTOPP, Crown Heights community, Block Association, Sullivan Ludlum Stoddard Neighborhood Association, and self

Mark Anaya, Vice President of the Neponset Properties Owner Associations

DJ Falkson, self

Darrick Borowski, architect and a member of the AIA New York Housing Committee

Sadia Rahman, Deputy Director at Chhaya CDC

Adeola Deloatch, self

Cathy Cebek, self

Alicia Spears, self

George Calderaro, Board Member of six New York City civic organizations

Angela Kravtchenko, Community Board 13, First Chair

Ilan Rabinovich, self

Tyler Baldwin, self

Michelle Kuppersmith, self

Lora Tenenbaum, self

Esther Gimelfarb, self

Carin Bail, self and Hollis Hill Civic Association

Kevin Jones, Associate State Director for Advocacy at AARP New York

June Moses, self

Celines Miranda, self

Renee Kinsella, 29th Street Association

Tom Duane, self

Yahel Carmen, self

Isaac Alderstein, Executive Director of Broadway Community

Guillermo Gomez, Urban Design Forum

Talya Schwartz, Strategist at Open Plans

Rachel Simpson, architect

Margy Brown, Executive Director of the Urban Homesteading Assistance Board

Jack Bolembach, Civic Associations against the City of Yes

Thomas Diana, self

Vienna Levitan, Ridgewood Property Owners' Civic Association

Michelle Alleyne, self

Migdalia Cortez, self

Austin Celestin, self

Ben Wetzler, self

Thomas Lopez-Pierre, President and CEO of the Black Real Estate Forum LLC and fund manager of BREF Fund Number One LLC

Paul Peart, Senior Pastor of New Testament Temple Church of God in the Bronx

John Woelfling, architect

Julio Herrera, Executive Assistant to the Black Institute

William Scarborough, President of the Addisleigh Park Civic Organization in Jamaica, Queens

Vanessa L. Gibson, Bronx Borough President

Jess Coleman, member of Community Board 1

Kyle Jeremiah, Director of External Affairs at the Bowery Residents' Committee

Lindsey McCormack, self

Michael Kaess, self

David Mulkins, President of the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors

Corey Bearak, self

Suwen Cheong, self

Stella Grillo, self

Adam Brodheim, Open New York and member of Manhattan Community Board 7's Housing and Land Use Committee

Leo Guttmann, self

Rohan Kalyani, self

Gregory Marvin, self

Dee Vandenburg, President of the Staten Island Taxpayer Association

Claudia Valentino, President of the Forest Hills Community and Civic Association

Colette Wong, self

Diane Viggiano, Vice President of the Old Town Civic Association of Staten Island

Kevin Wolfe, Deputy Director of Advocacy for the Center for New York City Neighborhoods

Dan Miller, self

Chloe Sarnoff, Director of Policy Research and Initiatives at Robin Hood

Sunny Ng, self

Cheyanne Deopersaud, Center for Fair Futures and Next 100

Sharon Pope-Marshall, Executive Director of Civitas

John Lynch, Juniper Civic Association

Laura Sewell, Director of the East Village Community Coalition

John Mudd, Executive Director of Midtown South Community Council

Edwin Westley, Community Board 3

Roxanne Delgado, activist

Julie Stein, Executive Director of the Union Square Partnership, the Business Improvement District in Union Square and 14th Street

Chloe Phitoussi, self

Elizabeth Denys, Board Member of Open New York

Reverand Dr. Terry Troia, self

Pilar DeJesus, Vice President of East Harlem Preservation

David Lawrence, Ironclad Artists

Allie Ryan, self

Stephen Crim, self

Matthew Denys, self

Kurtis Weatherford, self

Vishnu Reddy, self

Luke Lavanway, self

Toby Hyde, self

Professor Joseph Kleinplatz, self

Christopher Leon Johnson, self

David Holowka, Community Board Member

Olivia Killingsworth, self

Gabriel Dougherty, self

Alex Armlovich, Housing Policy Manager at the Niskanen Center

Dan Kent, Chief Executive Officer of Lantern Organization

Puneet Lath, self

Bill Cryer, self

Rochelle Thompson, First Lady of Jazz in Harlem

Daniel Arnow, Entertainment Community Fund and our Housing Development Corporation

Geoffrey Thomas, self

Daniel Golliher, self

Cassandra O'Hearn, self

Jorge Romero, self

Barbara Blair, President of the Garment District Alliance

Elaine Young, President of the West Cunningham Park Civic Association

Dena Tasse-Winter, Village Preservation

Arlene Schlesinger, Hollis Hills Civic Association

Grace Marrero, community advocate and activist

Susan Lunn, self

Tyler Lewis, self

Roland Nimis, Acting Director of Housing Unit at Bronx Legal Services

Sally Ann Sinisgalli, self

Latonia Harris, self

Ed Goydas, self

Cliff Bruckenstein, Zoning Board of the Community Board

Paul Graziano, urban planner

Marc Pittsley, architect

Jessica Rothkuo, self

Ernie Smith, self

Craig Gurian, Executive Director of the Anti-Discrimination Center

James Dill, Executive Director of the Housing and Services Team

Gui Marques, self

Saaif Alam, self

Sherida Paulsen, Senior Principal of PKSB Architects

Shams DeBaron, self

Emilia Decaudin, self

Judine Johnson-Harriott, self

Carolyn Iodice, self

Jane Buchanan, self

Reverend Carlene Thorbs, Chairperson of Community Board 12

Andrew Berman, Village Preservation

Maria Deinnocentiis, President of the Utopia Estates Civic Association and Area 6 Chair of Community Board 8

Joseph McAllister, President of South Beach Civic Association

Virginia Crawford, self

Amy Gross, President of Amy Gross Architects

Kayt Tiskus, self

Michael Zoorob, self

Jenny Dubnau, Western Queens Community Land Trust

Karen Argenti, self

Laura Spalter, Protect Bronx Neighborhoods from Overdevelopment

Pamela Wolf, President of Save Chelsea

Joseph Vaini, self

Jonathan Ortiz, self

Marieke Thomas, self

Lana Irons, psychiatrist

Valerie Mason, Chair of Manhattan Community Board 8

Jason Stahl, self

Amanda Agoglia, President of the Neponsit Property Owners Association

Mark Anderson, President of the Westerleigh Improvement Society

Kirsti Jutila, self

Lo van der Valk, Carnegie Hill Neighbors

Margo Margolis, self

Hien Piotrowski, self

Kate Van Tassel, self

Sanford Miller, self

Samir Lavingia, self

Michael Plottel, architect and a fellow of the American Institute of Architects

Marie Marsina, Board Member with the Douglas and Pacific Association

Lucy Koteen, self

Richard Gibbs, self

Julie Reyes, Chairperson for Bronx Community Board 8

Elisa Koenderman, self

Jacqueline Dolly, self

Renee Monrose

Leigh Behnke, self

Emily Eisner, Fiscal Policy Institute

Jesse Lang, self

Eustacia Smith, West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing

Sean Scott, self

Stan Liao, self

Phil Wong, self

Theo Chino, First Secretary of the Social Democrat of America

Nick Felker, self

Salvatore Franchino, self

Igor Nikitenko, self

Eddie Siegel, self

Andy Zhang, self

Henry Euler, President of the Auburndale Improvement Association

Zishun Ning, self

Daisy Colon, self

Roberta Gelb, President of the Chelsea Reform Democratic Club

Abigail Cliche, self

Ayaz Lavingia, self

Shamina Lavingia, self

Erik Nilsen, self

Alyssa Mayopinonia, registered New York State architect

Juan Rivero, Village Preservation

Joanne Sullivan, self

Yiatin Chu, President of Asian Wave Alliance

William Meehan, self

Alan Gerber, self

Felix Stetsenko, self

Robert Jeffrey, Community Board 1

George Tormo, Co-President of Midland Beach Civic Association

Doris Hughes, self

Maria Fiocca, self

Steve Barrison, self

Cara Eckholm, self

Isabel Kirsch, self

Sam Zimmerman, self

Mollye Liu, Manhattan Community Board 3 Housing Committee

Theresa Westerdahl, self

Felicia Johnson, District Manager representing Community Board 14

Rob Mazzuchin, President of the Marine Park Community Association

Sadie Kol, self

Nancy Kong, self

Elena Sytcheva, self

Yehuda Pollack, self

Clayton Sanford, self

Jeremy Kaplan, self

Linda Cohen, self

William Matheson, President of Garrison Beach Property Owners and Board Member of Community Board 15

Nichola Cox, self

David Pecoraro, self

Mark Young, President of the South Midwood Residents' Association

Joe Enright, self

John Sheridan, self

Ariana S., self

Jack Spadaro Vice President of the Bay Improvement Group

Jean Hahn, self

Thomas Caffrey, Executive Director of Committee for Environmentally Sound Development

Israel Peskowitz, self

Bonnie Harper, self

Sade Falebita, Managing Director of Downtown Community Television Center

Roisin Ford, self

Carol Donovan, self

Daniel Fischer, self

Andrew Stern, self

Ryder Kessler, Co-Executive Director of Abundance New York

Ramona Ferreyra, Save Section 9

James Freeley, self

Lindsay Lamb, Prospect Park South Association

Sarah McKenna, self

Joan Gilbert, self

Ken A., self

Peter Tooma, self

Neil Miller, self

Sachi Takahashi, self

Zachary Thomas, self

Amit Bagga, self

Charles Ober, self

Victoria Hillstrom, self

Nicholas Oo, self

Kevin LaCherra, self

Eric Miao, self

Peter Estes, self

Pedro Rodriguez, self

David Gordon, self

Raul Rivera, self

2 | Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 | Subcommittee. This morning, I am joined by Council

Members Schulman, Carr, Marte, and remotely by Moya.

Today, we are holding the public hearing for the third of three major initiatives that the Administration has called the City of Yes. The first initiative was Zoning for Carbon Neutrality, which we passed last year. The second initiative was Zoning for Economic Opportunity, which Council modified based on community concerns and passed earlier this year. This third and last initiative of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity, sometimes referred to here as ZHO, which is a citywide text amendment affects the entire city. Yesterday, we heard from the Administration about the details of this City of Yes for Housing Opportunity and today, entirely, dedicated to a hearing for the public about this proposal. Today's public hearing is important, if not more important than yesterday, because we need to hear directly from our constituents. This proposal has many components and, in determining how to respond to the Administration's proposal, we need to hear from our constituents about their concerns, which we take very seriously so we are looking

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

forward to hearing from you all today. Every
neighborhood throughout the city is experiencing very
real housing challenges. This proposal presents an
opportunity to address these challenges in a way that
makes sense for each neighborhood.

I will now go over the public hearing procedures. This meeting is being held in hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or through Zoom.

Many of you have registered online to testify. If you haven't yet registered to testify, please register online by visiting the New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse.

If you are here in person, the wonderful Staff from our Planning and Land Use Division are here to help you register to speak. Please make sure to register or else you will not be able to testify. I'll repeat that again. Please make sure if you are in here today to register or else you will not be able to testify.

If you have already registered online and you are here in person, you still need to check in with our Staff at the back of the room to let us know you are here. I will repeat that again. If you have

2.2

2.3

registered online and you are with us in the room,

please head to the back and check in with our Staff

to let them know you are here.

Members of the public can also view a livestream broadcast of this hearing through the Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/livestream.

With several hundred people already registered to testify today, as a result, public testimony will strictly be limited to two minutes per person. We have over 700 people that are registered to testify. I will be cutting everybody off in two minutes. You can present the rest of your testimony to us, all right, if you have a statement, please highlight the best of your statement that you could repeat within two minutes. Thank you.

If you cannot finish this within two
minutes or you would like us to consider additional
materials, you can also submit written testimony to
the following email address,
landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony
may be submitted up to three days after the hearing
is closed. Please indicate City of Yes for the
Housing Opportunity in the subject line of your
email.

Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying.

The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Furthermore, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. I would like to remind everyone that today's public hearing is only about the Administration's City of Yes Zoning for Housing Opportunity Proposal. Please restrict your testimony to this proposal.

I will now open up the hearing on LU-181 regarding the City of Yes for housing opportunity proposal. For a description of this citywide text amendment, you can refer to the PowerPoint presentation that the Administration gave to this Subcommittee yesterday. The presentation is available through Council's Land Use webpage, which can be

2.2

2.3

2 accessed at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse where you can
3 also find additional materials on this proposal.

As of right now, there are approximately 300 members of the public who wish to testify in person and approximately close to 300 members of the public who wish to testify online. Consistent with the instructions of the Council's registration page, we will first hear people who are in here in person. Starting at 1 p.m., we will start to hear people register to testify through Zoom. We will switch between in-person testimony and online Zoom testimony every hour or so after 1 p.m. This means that if you are testifying with us through Zoom, you will not be called to testify before approximately 1 p.m. in the order in which you are registered.

I will call people to testify in panels of six today. Please note that after I call the panel of people to testify, I will also indicate the names of people who will be called on the next panel to testify to prepare themselves.

For in-person panels, if your name is called in the second panel, please come to the front of the room and wait in the reserved seating for the

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

panelists. After your panel is excused from the
witness table, you may return to your initial seat.

The first panel consists of the following people who should now proceed to the witness table.

We've also been joined by Council Member Restler.

Thomas Devaney, Patrick Bohl, Emily Goldstein, Matthew Dunbar, Matthew Murphy, and Sam Burtnick.

Our second panel will consist of

Manhattan Borough President Mark Levine. We will

first begin by Thomas Devaney. You may begin. Thomas

Devaney.

Okay, we will move to Patrick Bohl. Oh,
Thomas. Are you Thomas? Yes. Thomas, you may begin.
Well, Thomas, let me give you some time. Can we start
with Patrick? Yeah, Patrick. Let me start with
Patrick, Thomas. You can get settled in. Wait one
second.

Patrick, go ahead.

PATRICK BOHL: Good morning. My name is

Patrick Bohl. I'm the Senior Director at Enterprise

Community Partners. Enterprise is a national non
profit that exists to make a good home possible for

2.2

2.3

the millions of families without one. We support community development organizations on the ground, aggregate and invest capital for impact, advance housing policy at every level of government, and build and manage communities ourselves. Since our New York office opened in 1987, we have committed more than 4.5 billion dollars in equity loans and grants to create or preserve over 66,000 affordable housing units across the five boroughs of New York City. On behalf of Enterprise, I want to thank Chair Riley and

the Members of this Subcommittee for the opportunity

to deliver this testimony.

As we all know, New York City is in the midst of a persistent affordable housing and homelessness crisis, with the lack of adequate and diverse housing types playing a major role. The latest Housing and Vacancy Survey showed a historically low 1.4 percent vacancy rate overall and a stunning 0.39 percent vacancy rate for apartments renting under 1,100 dollars. Median rents in New York City continue to reach one historic new high after another. Fewer than 5 percent of available apartments are affordable to the average household salary, and there are more than 18,000 families with children in

the City's homeless shelter system. As these numbers demonstrate, our housing crisis most acutely impacts New Yorkers of low and moderate income. It keeps individuals unhoused or in shelter longer than necessary and prevents housing stability. We are long overdue in revising New York City's Zoning Resolution to allow for more flexibility, more as-of-right development options, and more housing variety. To that end, Enterprise supports the City of Yes for Housing opportunity and applauds this effort to look citywide in how we reform our zoning regulations to foster growth and tackle housing need. This will not solve every problem. It will not solve homelessness, fair housing, and all the host of other issues, and we support a broad, comprehensive housing package to encompass all of those things, but this is a necessary step to bring about the housing growth we need to be able to house families in New York. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Patrick. I just want to state for the record we've been joined by Council Member Salam. Thomas Devaney, you may go next.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

1

3

4

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THOMAS DEVANEY: Thank you. Thomas Devaney, Senior Director of Land Use and Planning at Municipal Arts Society.

MAS supports the City of Yes as a way to

produce much-needed housing through incremental city zoning changes. City of Yes would distribute contextual residential density across the city and expand it through different building types, many of which are not permitted under current zoning, but zoning reform alone will not solve our housing crisis, and nor will City of Yes guarantee affordable housing. City of Yes must be accompanied with comprehensive planning and sensitive incentives, City and State funding programs that allow the city to grow and meet the needs of New Yorkers, particularly those most heavily burdened by escalating housing costs. Lifting the zoning parking mandate gives developers flexibility and can unlock new housing. By supporting transit-oriented development in lieu of parking requirements, City of Yes also supports the City's climate mandate by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution. We believe this change balances drivers' needs while prioritizing housing and the environment. We recommend the Council pass

incentives. Thank you.

25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THOMAS DEVANEY: Next, we'll have Emily

Goldstein.

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: Good morning. Thank you,
Chair Riley and Members of the Committee, for the
opportunity to testify today. My name is Emily
Goldstein. I'm the Director of Organizing and
Advocacy with the Association for Neighborhood and
Housing Development, or ANHD.

ANHD has long supported a more equitable distribution of housing development across New York City to ensure that every neighborhood is doing its part and unfair burdens don't continue to fall on marginalized communities. We believe ZHO is an important step in this direction, but we have several recommended changes to ensure that the zoning can actually maximize affordable housing, not just market rate housing. In particular, in the Universal Affordability Preference, we recommend requiring a deep affordability band rather than simply allowing it through averaging. We also recommend creating more incentive to have a better ratio of affordable to market rate housing rather than sort of an 80-20 across the board. We believe that can be done within the scope of the current proposal by reducing the

maximum allowed for market rate housing and keeping
the total the same, so you're incentivizing 30, 40,
50 percent affordable as opposed to just the 80-20,
but within the current proposed density. I'd also
like to highlight that we are concerned that the
transit-oriented zoning and the town-center zoning
proposals don't have any affordability component at
all, and while that may be practical for very small
buildings, we believe there should be required
affordability in those proposals for buildings that
are 10 units and above to ensure that all
neighborhoods are getting some affordable housing. We
believe that's both important from an affordability
perspective and from a fair housing perspective to
ensure that certain neighborhoods aren't sort of
being left out of the affordability equation. Our
full testimony submitted online has a variety of
additional recommendations. Again, appreciate the
time to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Emily.

Next, we'll have Matthew Dunbar.

MATTHEW DUNBAR: Good morning. My name is

Matthew Dunbar. I'm the Chief Strategy Officer at

Habitat for Humanity New York City and Westchester

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

opportunities through co-ops and condos. It provides opportunities for faith-based organizations and campuses to build affordable homeownership alongside rental. It removes parking requirements that stand in the way of affordable homeownership projects being more economically viable, and it also cleans up technical fixes to the Affordable Homeownership Program Open Door in relationship to MIH, which would allow for projects like Habitat's Claremont House to build 60 percent more units in the Bronx through this technical fix so we want to encourage the City Council to say yes and to build on what is being proposed and not no because, and we wholeheartedly support this program, and thank you for the opportunity to testify.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

40

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Matthew. I just want to state for the record we've been joined by Council Members Holden and Narcisse.

Next, we will have Matthew Murphy.

MATTHEW MURPHY: Chair Riley and Members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity for me to testify today. My name is Matthew Murphy, and I represent the NYU Furman Center.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

New York City is facing a severe housing shortage. Over the decades, demand for housing has far outpaced available supply. As of 2023, the city's vacancy rate was just 1.4 percent, far below the 7 percent that economists estimate is needed for fair housing markets with more choice. In Brooklyn, as one example, property values have increased by 279 percent since the year 2000, much faster than wages and inflation. Today, more than half of renter households are cost-burdened, with 30 percent paying over half of their income on rent. This forces families to sacrifice essentials like healthcare, education, and savings.

Housing growth has been inequitable across the city. Between 2010 and 2020, just five sub-borough areas accounted for 40 percent of all new housing development. Low-density neighborhoods, which cover 44 percent of the city's residential land, house 28 percent of our population. These areas added housing at half the rate of the city overall. They also contributed just 9 percent of the city's new low-income housing in that decade. Some districts produced no new multifamily housing at all, much less any affordable housing. Research consistently shows

Plan is a desperately needed solution to the city's

ongoing housing crisis. Demand for housing far

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 43 2 outpaces supply in each neighborhood, necessitating 3 solutions such as City of Yes to allow for zoning 4 reforms and density bonuses. These changes will increase the number of homes available to New Yorkers. Far too few neighborhoods throughout the 6 city are contributing their share of housing, placing 7 an unnecessary burden on a handful of neighborhoods 8 attempting to build enough housing for the entire city. The City of Yes zoning reforms will encourage a 10 11 more equitable distribution of housing to every 12 neighborhood and community district throughout New 13 York. The current City of Yes for Housing Opportunity 14 proposal must stay intact as the implications for 15 delaying solutions to the housing crisis will become 16 far more dire if they continue to remain unaddressed. 17 It's essential that New York City retains its 18 existing affordable housing and encourages additional 19 housing construction for the future if it is to 20 remain a place where those both young and old, from near and from far can continue to call home for 21 2.2 generations to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Are there any questions for this panel?

2.3

2.2

2.3

There being no questions, this panel is excused.

The next panel we're going to hear from is Manhattan Borough President Mark Levine.

The following panel that could come up to the front and get ready to speak after this next panel is Zul Sulkowicz (phonetic), Zeynep Turan,

George Janes, Megan Fitzpatrick, Paul Devlin, and
Lucie Levine. Thank you.

Manhattan Borough President, you may begin.

MANHATTAN BOROUGH PRESIDENT LEVINE: All right. Good morning, Council Colleagues. I'm not used to this view of the Chamber. It's very nice.

Mr. Chair, I'm so grateful that you are the one leading this Committee and grateful for this chance to testify today. I've been to hundreds of Council hearings over the years, and this is, without a doubt, one of the most important because New York City is in the midst of a crisis. We are facing the worst shortage of housing in living memory and maybe the worst that this city has ever seen. If you or anyone you know has tried to find a home recently, then you know this. We are allowing so few homes to

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be built, and the vacancy rate on existing homes is so low, just 1.4 percent, the lowest in half a century, that finding an apartment in the city is something akin to the Hunger Games. Now, that's fine for wealthy New Yorkers. They can just bid up the rent, and they'll get their apartment. And that's fine for landlords. They're more than happy to collect those inflated rents. But for low-income families, even working and middle-class families, this is a catastrophe. The average rent for a market rate apartment in Manhattan is now over 5,000 dollars per month. There will be people in homeless shelters tonight because of those rents. There are bus drivers and nurses and teachers with no hope of living in this borough because of those rents. Up until now, we've been confronting this crisis, confronting our housing shortage with the Zoning Code from 1961. From 1961, when we had the opposite problem. It was a time when people were abandoning the city, and planners were seriously worried we might have too much housing. We have a Zoning Code that outlaws converting vacant offices to housing if the building was built after 1961. Yes, that is the current rule. We have a Zoning Code that forces developers to build

Any questions for this panel?

Manhattan Borough President.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 All right. This panel is excused. Thank you.

I just want to state for the record, we also have been joined by Council Member Sanchez.

The next panel that we're going to call up is Zul Sulkowicz, Zeynep Turan, George Janes,
Megan Fitzpatrick, Paul Devlin, and Lucie Levine.

The follow-up panel that will come to the front, that will be next, will consist of Chad

Purkey, Howard Slatkin, Emily Kurtz, Tom Wright,

Michelle de la Uz, and Bria Donohue. If I call your name, please come to the front and wait right here, please.

We will begin first with Zul Sulkowicz.

I'm so sorry if I butcher your name. Just press the button, please.

ZUL SULKOWICZ: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning.

ZUL SULKOWICZ: I live in Midtown, but I am originally from the South, the South Bronx. You can take the boy out of the Bronx, but not the Bronx out of the boy, which means to me a lifelong concern for the poor, for the migrant, for working people of all backgrounds and ages from all parts of our world,

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for the least of these. I applaud Chair Garodnik for his superhuman efforts to solve our decades-long and ever-overwhelming affordable housing crisis. A little more housing in every neighborhood and greater density around transit hubs are excellent concepts, but what kind of housing? Who's building it? Who's profiting? Who's paying too high a price? We must have modest contextual development, as Chair Garodnik says, and housing affordability must come with greater investment in places like Queens and the Bronx, as Council Member Salamanca pointed out yesterday, but the underlying question with this City of Yes text amendment remains, will it increase or decrease economic and racial segregation in our town? Here's an example of how developers, bankers, and venture cap game the global real estate market in this town. The Prince George in 1904 landmark 13 stories of more than 400 units of supportive housing, always in need of funds, sold rights for the billionaire's bunker in the sky on 5th Avenue and West 29th Street. More than 50 stories high with maybe less than 30 units, none smaller than two-story duplexes for people who might reside there for the two weeks of the U.S. Open. Meanwhile, the Avenue is

Opportunity changes basic zoning standards, reduces

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

places like East Harlem, Central Bronx, where UAP will be combined with 485-X. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, George.

Next, we're going to have Megan Fitzpatrick.

MEGAN FITZPATRICK: Hello, council members. My name is Megan Fitzpatrick, and I'm speaking on behalf of Landmark West. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. Landmark West is a neighborhood historic preservation and land use non-profit based on the Upper West Side. We plan on submitting to the Council a detailed testimony on issues we feel impact our district the most.

City of Yes is a massive and often internally inconsistent overhaul of our City's Zoning Regulations. While we are not opposed to updating our zoning, we do advocate for contextual zoning that will protect our neighborhoods and produce a more environmentally conscious, viable and affordable New York. In our considered opinion, the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal will not serve these goals. Contrary to certain claims in support of proposals, it will not significantly increase the stock of affordable housing. In fact, it will legislatively make affordable housing optional. In

removing these decisions both from public scrutiny

and input. Our committee supports changes that will

enhance livability and equity in our neighborhoods.

2.2

2.3

2 Very little of any of the proposed further that goal.

3 Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Zeynep Turan.

ZEYNEP TURAN: Good morning, Council Members. Zeynep Turan speaking on behalf of Friends of the Upper East Side. Like many New Yorkers, we share the goal of creating affordable housing across the city, but we firmly believe that zoning amendments should be approached in a contextual and equitable way that is respectful of the public review process and of neighborhood character. COYHO proposes to rely on markets to regulate prices, a system that has failed on the Upper East Side, an area that has also seen hundreds of existing relatively affordable housing such as tenements demolished for ultra-luxury high-rises. The proposed Universal Affordability Preference allows developers to opt out of creating affordable housing by foregoing additional FAR. We need zoning reform that centers the creation and preservation of truly affordable housing, especially in high-density neighborhoods like ours. As preservationists, we are deeply concerned about the proposed changes to landmark transfer development

is Lucie Levine. I'm speaking on behalf of the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 Historic Districts Council. What follows is our abbreviated comments.

HDC supports some pieces of the proposal but fears that COYHO will create market rate and luxury housing while incentivizing demolition of historic neighborhoods and replacement of existing affordable and rent-regulated housing with denser and less...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry, Lucie.
We're going to start all over. Can you just put the
mic a little bit closer to you?

LUCIE LEVINE: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Can we restart her? Thank you. Go ahead.

LUCIE LEVINE: Thanks so much. Hi, Council Members. My name is Lucie Levine, and I'm speaking on behalf of the Historic Districts Council. What follows is our abbreviated comments.

HCC supports some pieces of the proposal but feels that COYHO will create market rate and luxury housing while incentivizing demolition of historic neighborhoods and replacement of existing affordable and rent-regulated housing with denser and less affordable housing.

_

First, some things we support. HDC supports re-legalizing existing typologies such as shared and supportive housing. We also endorse town-center zoning, and we strongly support commercial-to-residential conversion and adaptive reuse citywide.

Second, some things HDC supports with caveats. HDC supports ADUs but seeks confirmation that LPC will have jurisdiction over ADU design and placement on landmark sites. HDC supports expanded TDRs for individual landmarks but is concerned that zoning lot mergers could allow TDRs to be transferred further than COYHO proposes. We are concerned that COYHO's effort to streamline special district regulations will compromise their character and may incentivize demolition of rent-regulated housing. We believe any infill on public land must be 100 percent affordable.

Things HDC opposes. HDC decries the fact that COYHO does not require any affordable housing, and we are concerned that COYHO's effort to streamline housing development will remove these projects from public oversight.

Items that are not addressed by COYHO. The city is losing affordable housing through

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 58
2	building combination, affordable unit warehousing,
3	and bigfooting, a term coined by George Janes, right
4	here, to describe replacing smaller buildings with
5	rent-regulated housing with much larger luxury
6	buildings with fewer units. COYHO must address these
7	issues. COYHO should also incentivize restoration of
8	underutilized units by expanding programs like the
9	Unlocking Doors pilot.
10	Finally, HDC's 2016 report on affordable
11	housing in historic districts demonstrated that rent-
12	subsidized units remain within historic districts at
13	a higher rate than outside them. Therefore, the city
14	risks losing much of its rent-regulated historic
15	housing simply because
16	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.
17	LUCIE LEVINE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Lucie, you can submit
19	the rest of your testimony to us online.
20	Last from this panel is Paul Devlin.
21	PAUL DEVLIN: Good morning. Thank you so
22	much. My name is Paul Devlin. I'm the Co-Chair of the
23	Clinton-Hell's Kitchen Land Use Committee of

Manhattan's Community Ward 4. We submitted 34 pages

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of commentary, but I'll limit myself to two minutes today.

Hell's Kitchen and Chelsea have been at the forefront of advocating for affordable housing, negotiating into every proposed rezoning reviewed by a public or private entity. We are one of the only Boards that has a district-wide affordable housing plan, a plan that was first developed in 2015 and goes through regular updates. That plan proposes 41,000 housing units, of which close to 16,000 are affordable units. In fact, our district has the highest production of housing in all of Manhattan. Our housing plan would actually produce more housing in our district than is being projected as a result of the City of Yes proposal. We are the only board that has proposed methods for the City to manage the newly lifted 12 FAR cap on residential buildings. So why is such a pro-housing board suggesting revisions to the City of Yes housing opportunity? Because we urge for more contextual reforms. Our district has the greatest number of special zoning districts of any community district in the city. It includes the Special Clinton District, Special Midtown District, Special Garment Center District, Special Hudson Yards

District, Special West Chelsea District, Special Hudson Park District. These districts cover 75 percent of our geography. These were designed to foster the historic economic, racial, and ethnic diversity of District 4. These special zoning districts have not stopped change, but rather lessened redevelopment impacts while protecting longterm residents and strengthening existing residential communities. We are requesting that these special zoning districts are allowed to maintain these longstanding protections. Given that our geographic area is covered by 75 percent special zoning districts, yet we are still the top-producing district of housing in Manhattan, we are hoping you can find a way to recognize that special districts can produce housing even with protections against height and higher density. Our district is a working engine of market rate and affordable housing, production tempered with existing preservation. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much,

PAUL DEVLIN: This is the model we urge you to use. Thank you for your time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Paul.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Paul. Are 3 there any questions for this panel?

This panel is excused. Thank you so much.

I just want to state for the record, we've been joined by Council Member Dinowitz.

The next panel we're going to hear from consists of Chad Purkey, Howard Slatkin, Emily Kurtz, Tom Wright, Michelle de la Uz, and Bria Donohue.

The following panel that could come to the front is going to consist of Ronnie Wolf,

Jennifer Petit, Peter Miller, Paul DiBenedetto, Naomi

Bushman, and Matthew Robinson. If you heard your name, please come to the front and wait in the front because you will be on the next panel.

We'll first start with Chad Purkey. Chad, you may begin.

CHAD PURKEY: Chair Riley and Committee

Members, thank you for the opportunity to testify on

behalf of the Association for a Better New York, or

ABNY, in support of the City of Yes for Housing

Opportunity Proposal. My name is Chad Purkey, Interim

Executive Director at ABNY, an organization with the

mission of fostering dialogue and connections between

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the public and private sectors to make New York City

a better place to live, work, and visit.

As every New Yorker knows, we are in a housing crisis. Addressing this crisis requires substantive and impactful action, but it also requires measured and balanced action. We believe the proposed zoning amendment delivers just that, overdue action and a balanced approach. We strongly encourage the Council to support the entire scope of the text amendment because each component of the proposal introduces better balance between the current Zoning Codes (INAUDIBLE) regulations, which too often confine and limit, with that of choices and expanded options. These changes provide more opportunity and options to homeowners and property owners, allowing them more decision-making power on how to most effectively use their homes and property to meet their families' or their communities' evolving housing needs. We strongly urge the Council, as it works with the Department of City Planning in the weeks ahead, to not scale back on any one measure, as doing so would only continue our decades-old pattern of inequitable housing development, where we see few communities seeing the majority of new housing

CHAD PURKEY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Next, we'll hear from 3 Howard Slatkin.

HOWARD SLATKIN: Thank you, Chair and Council Members. I'm Howard Slatkin. I'm the Executive Director of Citizens' Housing and Planning Council and a former long-time senior city planning official responsible for policy on affordable housing and zoning. I'm pleased to testify strongly in support of this proposal, and I encourage the Council to approve it in substantially its current form.

First, I'd like to urge the Council to adhere to the vision of fair housing that the Speaker has so eloquently articulated. Every neighborhood must fulfill its responsibility to contribute to meeting our housing needs. CHPC's research has documented how highly restrictive zoning has decimated the ability to add housing, in particular in low-density districts, eliminating 37 percent of the land zoned for low-density apartment buildings and barring the legal addition of a unit to small homes. This disproportionately hurts communities of color. Black homeowners are three times more likely to rely on rental income and are more vulnerable to

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

being squeezed out when all we allow are single-family homes.

Second, I encourage the Council to think expansively and inclusively about housing of all types. Our vast and diverse city needs all this subsidized, affordable housing we can finance publicly as well as privately financed housing for all kinds of households. The more we restrict new housing to a narrow, just-right formula, the more we're going to consign New Yorkers to expensive and ill-fitting housing and fan the flames of gentrification. We need not just adequate zoning, but to harmonize it with affordable housing resources and with programmatic support for housing. The Council and Administration should work together to launch a basement legalization program based on the recommendations of the BASE program.

I also urge the Council to expand the applicability of the UAP off-site option to everywhere UAP applies to enable the creation of supportive housing in high-income neighborhoods rather than only in the low-income neighborhoods where it is typically built today. I also urge the

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council, well, I'll add any other recommendations in writing. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Just want to stay for a record we've been joined by Council Member Hanif.

Next, we'll hear from Emily Kurtz.

EMILY KURTZ: Good morning. My name is Emily Kurtz, and I am the Chief Housing and Development Officer at RiseBoro Community Partnership, a community-based organization providing services to and building and preserving affordable housing in neighborhoods across New York City. I am speaking in support of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity Zoning Text Amendment, one of several critical ingredients needed to address the housing crisis in New York City. Predictably, I am in support of a text amendment with provisions that will lead to more efficient and scalable sites for the creation of affordable housing, such as UAP. Creating affordable housing is a core mission of RiseBoro and demand will always be high in the New York City market, but as much housing as RiseBoro and our partners across the affordable housing industry create, we cannot address the affordability crisis on our own. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll 3 hear from Tom Wright.

TOM WRIGHT: Good morning. My name is Tom Wright, President and CEO of Regional Plan Association, and I'm here today to register RPA's strong support for City of Yes for Housing opportunity. This pragmatic and innovative text amendment would equitably expand New York's housing stock in every neighborhood, limiting development pressure on individual communities while addressing a critical citywide shortage. The restrictive zoning in many neighborhoods in New York City poses significant obstacles and costs to building new homes, for both affordable and market rate, even in places with good transportation and access to jobs. This drives up prices, drives out working and middle-class residents, and constrains flexibility and choices for renters and prospective homeowners. A recent analysis conducted by McKinsey and Company for RPA concluded that if the New York metropolitan region continues to add housing at its current rate of production, residents could see housing costs rise by another 25 percent by 2035, resulting in over a quarter of a million new households facing severe housing cost

considering multiple investments that would further

improve upon the City of Yes, and those investments,

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 71 2 whether they be to expand rental assistance or to 3 have capital investments in the development or preservation of existing affordable housing or in 4 creating new affordable housing, these are critically 5 important investments to make sure that we address 6 7 both the supply of affordable housing and housing in general, but in creating affordable housing. I'm just 8 going to give one small example. Fifth Avenue Committee just recently completed the city's first 10 11 affordable housing over a library. UAP would have created 10 additional units in that project. Doesn't 12 sound like much, but for the 4,000 Sunset Park 13 14 residents that applied, 10 of them would be living in 15 their community today had we had it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Bria Donohue.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BRIA DONOHUE: Good morning. Thank you,
Chair Riley and Members of the Subcommittee of Zoning
and Franchises, for holding this hearing today. I am
Bria Donohue, Senior Manager of Government Affairs at
the American Institute of Architects New York. We
represent more than 5,000 architects and design
professionals committed to positively impacting the
physical and social qualities of our city. AIA New

2 York strongly supports the proposed citywide zoning 3 text amendment, City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. 4 Our city's housing shortage is in no small part a 5 result of decades of restrictive zoning laws. The proposal takes an all-of-the-above approach, making 6 7 critical changes to the city's zoning to eliminate 8 antiquated barriers that restrict development, and enabling every neighborhood to do their part to build affordable housing. Zoning changes on their own will 10 11 not solve the city's housing crisis, but rather they 12 serve as a critical vehicle to unlock opportunity. We 13 urge the City Council to pass the proposal in its 14 entirety. Each component of the proposal builds on 15 the others to create the housing we need. Take out 16 parking mandates, for example, and key elements of the proposal, like transit-oriented development, 17 18 town-center zoning, or accessory dwelling units, do 19 not work. To achieve the intended impact of over 20 100,000 units over the next 15 years, the proposal 21 must be passed with all key proposals intact. Architects have done robust analysis of the projects 2.2 2.3 in their pipeline, and the answer is clear. This proposal meaningfully changes what is buildable, 24 enabling sites to reach critical thresholds of being 25

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 73 2 developable and financially feasible. Today, I 3 encourage you to say yes to housing and vote in favor of the City of Yes for housing opportunity. Thank 4 5 you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Bria. Are 6 7

there any questions for this panel?

All right, this panel is excused.

If I called you to be on the next panel and you're in the front row, please step to the table.

Before I call the next panel, if you just came in here and you registered online, we need you to check in the back. If you did not check in the back, you will not be able to testify. Also, if you came in here and you want to testify and you did not sign up, please go to the back and speak to one of the Sergeant-at-Arms or the Land Use Team.

The following panel that's going to be next after this panel will consist of Joseph Rosenberg, Sarah Lind, Maddie Discerbo, Anna Marie Gray, Rachel Fee, and Anthony Bartolano (phonetic).

The first person we're going to hear from is Ronnie Wolf.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 Ronnie, can you just press the button and 3 speak?

RONNIE WOLF: Hello. Please reject the City of Yes plan unless provisions in Section 15-01 against SoHo and NoHo residents are removed. These provisions impose a discriminatory tax of millions of dollars on us and does not make housing more affordable. DCP has targeted solely the residents of SoHo and NoHo and will not allow them to convert their buildings from commercial to residential unless they pay an Arts Fund fee. My name is Ronnie Wolf. As a glass artist, we purchased our loft specifically because it is a joint live/work quarters for artists, which meant I could legally work and raise our family here. This fee equates to over a quarter of a million dollars to my family alone. It's audacious of DCP to force these neighborhoods filled with artists and seniors to fund art non-profits in order to convert, while they are removing financial barriers for commercial building owners across the five boroughs to make conversions less expensive. Council Members, I implore you to oppose this plan unless it treats all New Yorkers equally by removing this

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 75
unjustifiable Arts Fund fee. Thank you, the Wolf-Leon
family.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ronnie.

Next, we're going to hear from Jennifer Petit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JENNIFER PETIT: Good morning. I'm Jennifer Petit. I'm a single mom working two jobs living out of a loft in NoHo. The DCP claims that the City of Yes Plan will reduce housing barriers and costs by allowing commercial buildings to be repurposed into housing. Yet Section 15-01 of the Zoning Code includes a glaring exception to the M1-5B districts, which apply only to my neighborhood of NoHo and SoHo. The DCP planted this exception so they can impose discriminatory tax of millions per building in a so-called Arts Fund fee. This massive tax punishes me and my family and my neighbors based only on where we live. Why target only my neighborhood for this unfair treatment? If your goal is truly to increase housing and reduce costs, why add a financial barrier? This contradicts the City's stated housing inequality goals and undermines the very essence of this housing reform. I urge you vote against this plan unless it treats New Yorkers equally. Reject this proposal unless the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 discriminatory provisions in Section 15-01, which

3 specifically target NoHo and SoHo, are removed. Thank

4 you.

1

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Jennifer.

I just want to state for the record we've

been joined by Council Member Paladino and Council

Member Ariola.

Next, we're going to hear from Peter

10 Miller.

PETER MILLER: Good morning. I am a resident of SoHo for over 50 years. I'm an artist. My wife is an artist. I live right next to Bloomingdale's, which is difficult. I urge the Committee to reject the City of Yes proposal unless its discriminatory provisions in Section 15-01 against SoHo and NoHo residents are removed. The proposal does not treat all New Yorkers equally. The DCP claims the City of Yes plan will reduce housing barriers and costs by allowing commercial buildings to be repurposed into housing. Yet in Section 15-01 of the Zoning Code, the DCP makes a glaring exception, singling out residents of SoHo and NoHo to impose a discriminatory tax of millions per building

in a so-called Arts Fund Fee, which would be

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Peter.

Next, we're going to hear from Paul DiBenedetto.

reform. Please vote no.

esteemed Members of the City Council, my name is Paul DiBenedetto and I am the Chair of Queens Community Board 11 in Northeast Queens. I have been a civic activist for the last 20 years, serving in various leadership roles on multiple local 501(c)3 non-profit boards. 12 of 14 Queens community boards, including my own, voted no to ZHO in its entirety, and I'm asking you to do the same. We need to come back and revisit the affordability crisis in a meaningful partnership with all local communities, council members, and City planning officials working together to achieve these goals. ZHO is a huge, one-size-fits-

benefited from. The City Council should reject ZHO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

and re-engage the community on a local level, working together to achieve a more affordable and equitable city for all New Yorkers. New York City has just 15 percent single-family zoning, which, by a great margin, is the lowest percentage of single-family zoning of any large city in the United States. Please reject the City of Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Naomi Bushman.

NAOMI BUSHMAN: I'm a NoHo resident with a very specific modification to City of Yes. I urge you to reject the discriminatory Arts Fund Fee that is in Section 15-01 of the City of Yes plan. It gravely penalizes SoHo and NoHo residents with an enormous fee, while allowing the conversion of properties from commercial to residential in other parts of the city without a fee. On what grounds do you unfairly single out my neighborhood? It is not equitable. Artists have made SoHo and NoHo more livable, safer for NYU students, and increased the value of neighboring property owners. How ironic that we should be fined, have difficulty selling our homes when we need to retire, and live under this threat. Our NYU neighbor, a vast property owner, pays a pittance of real estate

MATTHEW ROBINSON: Hi, my name is Matthew Robinson. I've been living in New York for over 50 years. I have an architectural background. How we eat, sleep, and drink, how we work, and how we learn today after the pandemic has radically changed so do we really need the dining room? Do we really need the kitchen? You know, with Grubhub, no one uses kitchens. I'm concerned that they're addressing affordable housing but not affordable office.

Affordable office, affordable manufacturing will

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

allow people who own these companies to hire people at a much higher rate which will allow them to pay higher rent so I think that we should think of that. Also, retail. Retail, for the most part, is dead. So how are we using those spaces that are offsetting the cost of the housing properly? And can some of those be support facilities like a, you know, where during tax time it's a tax office. During some other time it's maybe human resources. So that on the street you could actually go into a storefront and get the help that you need that's very, very visible. I think that, you know, when you look at housing, if you want to pare down things, you go, okay, so I don't live with a doorman, so I walk up some stairs, so I don't have a dishwasher, so I don't have so many things that people think are common things. I think that you can have smart architecture that re-examines how do people live and how people live is different. The approach for someone who's single is totally different than someone who's thinking of a family, and I think that that is not being addressed in the issues that I've seen. Also, co-ops in terms of taxes are being a wrong base in terms of other buildings, and I think that equity has to be changed.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr.

3 Robinson.

2.2

2.3

Council Members do have questions for this panel? I'm going to start with Council Member Hanif followed by Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you, Chair Riley, and thank you to all of you for joining us and testifying.

I have a question or a few questions for Community Board 11 Chair. So, I think I heard you say that there's no ULURP for this. The ULURP clock on this proposal began last year in 2023, and I'd like to understand from you what DCP's engagement was like...

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And if you could share what meaningful community input or engagement looks like to you.

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: Thank you, yes. Well, I didn't say that the ULURP process was taken away. I said that City of Yes will endanger the ULURP process so yes, of course, City of Yes has gone through the ULURP process. That's why the Community Boards and

Borough Presidents, etc., are now here. That's why
we're all hearing it.

Your second question was about engagement.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: What does community input look like?

Was the Queens Director of City Planning during the Bloomberg Administration. He literally came to the Community Boards and to the Council Members and said, we want to rezone, you know, for my neighborhood, for Bayside and Northeast Queens, and he came to us and said what he wanted to do, and we said that, well, that works and that doesn't work and it was a meaningful to and fro. I was actually unhappy with it. It was mostly an upzoning. But at least it was done in partnership, and it made us feel as if our voices were being heard as opposed to this, which is entirely a top-down, like I mentioned, Robert Moses way of approaching zoning.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And then for our NoHo/SoHo residents, are there parts of the proposal that you do agree with? Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

RONNIE WOLF: So we agree with the City of Yes plan if it rejects the provisions in Section 15-01 against the SoHo/NoHo residents so we are looking for those provisions in 15-01 to be removed. They are the ones that impose that arts fund fee on the residents of this neighborhood.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Now, do you know how much that fee would...

RONNIE WOLF: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Cost you over the 15 years?

RONNIE WOLF: Yes, so it is tied to the square footage of our homes. All of us, mostly of us are artists, and so we live and work in our lofts.

This fee started off several years ago at 100 dollars per square foot. It has escalated. I don't know what the percentage is per year since it's been proposed, but each year it goes up.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And so has there a calculation been made as to in this proposal what the cost would be?

JENNIFER PETIT: Yeah, it depends on the square foot. For my loft, it would be 150,000 dollars.

respond through the mic. No, it's all right, Ms.

you.

2.2

2.3

Next, we're going to hear from Council

Member Holden followed by Marte and then Paladino.

Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Chair, and thank you, panel, for your testimony.

Paul, I just want to... I worked with John
Young also as a civic leader, as a Community Board
Member for 30 years, and you felt that there was give
and take, they cared, you felt that City Planning
listened. We're not seeing that in the City of Yes.
City Planning is just heavy-handed with this, saying
one size fits all. Now, you fought, like I did, for
contextual zones to protect your housing stock.

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And we down-zoned. We actually helped City Planning. We surveyed everything. What's the problem in... well, 12 out of the 14 Queens Community Boards voted against City of Yes. Your Board voted against it.

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: What was the reason? Was it flooding? Because I have flooding. I have a poor electrical grid. I have poor transportation. You have probably the same...

2 PAUL DIBENEDETTO: We have the same 3 issues, and a lot of it comes down to the Department 4 of Buildings can't enforce the current zoning we have. You're going to add so many new layers. You 5 cannot get into an illegal apartment. I mean, there's 6 7 illegal apartments all over my neighborhood. You 8 cannot get into the ... not me, but the Department of Buildings can't get into them because everybody knows you just don't answer the door when the DOB comes and 10 11 you don't let them in and so you have illegal 12 apartments in basements, in attics, in garages in 13 some cases and, you know, legalizing it doesn't help. 14 Taking away the parking mandates doesn't help because 15 where are all these new people going to park? You 16 know, we have zoning built into our area that is now 17 finally coming into R6B, up and down Northern 18 Boulevard, up and down Bell Boulevard. Apartment 19 buildings are being built six stories. Do I like that 20 personally? I may or may not like that, but it is 21 under the current zoning. We have a 16 million... when 2.2 1961, since people mentioned that the zoning 2.3 amendment or the zoning plan from 1961, it was built... it says it in the Department of City Planning website 24 that it was built for 16 million people to live in 25

DiBenedetto for being here. He is part of my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Community Boards in Northeast Queens, and this is a very unique situation that we're in here today. We have people who are for, we have people who are against. That means there's a whole middle that's missing out. A middle voice. There is none. And some way, somehow, we have to find a middle voice. This is not a one-size-fits-all. Paul, you and I have spoken and gone to rallies, hosted rallies, news conferences about the City of Yes and how it is going to destroy neighborhoods that are historic. SoHo, NoHo, parts of the Bronx, parts of Manhattan. This is not a onesize-fits-all issue. Yesterday, when we discussed about how are you going to look over the pre-existing illegal apartments that we are... it's an infection in my neighborhood. Two families have become three and four families. There's not enough building inspectors to go around. Paul, we have a very diverse neighborhood, do we not?

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: We do. The neighborhood

I live in is primarily East Asian. People move there

from Taiwan, Korea, China. The neighborhood right to

the south of mine, which is Belrose and Queens

Village, is primarily South Asian. Homeowners, all

homeowners. A lot of single-family housing in that

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: This is the broadest. Excuse me one moment. This is the broadest, broadest land use ever to come new zoning in 100 years of New York City. I want everybody to think that over, and I want you to look real close and urge

pay out that 250,000. That's a lot. So, in your

aspect right now, is that anything we can do in this

24

RONNIE WOLF: Hi. Thank you for asking. We could reject the provisions within Section 15-01 that tax the residents of these two neighborhoods.

address that? Anyone want to answer?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Rejected? I have a background. I had to be in business sometimes in New York City, but rejecting is one thing. What can we do? Because we cannot take out... because you've been paying taxes before. You said at one point it was... how much it was?

RONNIE WOLF: It's 100 dollars a square foot.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If you could wrap it up, Council Member.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

we're to know our home further n, saying nobody the different of the
our home e further n, saying nobody the
e further o, saying nobody the
nobody the
nobody The different
the lifferent
lifferent
of the
ork City,
rap this.
what I'm
lo in that
that. What
· •
<u>.</u>
ng to
ng to

you.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: How do we make affordable housing if we're not building in New York City?

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: You can build. I'm not against building. I'm just against taking neighborhoods that have existed for over 100 years that are ethnically diverse just because you, you know, were able to scrape together the money to buy a house doesn't mean you have to tear... they will tear ... I live in a TOD, the Transit Oriented Development District. It's a half a mile. It's a one-mile radius, you know. My neighborhood will be destroyed. I'll leave. I'll move out. It doesn't matter to the city, but I'll leave because I chose to live there. I could have lived in Long Island City. I could have lived in Manhattan. I could have lived in Brooklyn. But I chose to live in a single-family neighborhood. Raise my kid there. I'm ready to retire in five years. COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I appreciate

PAUL DIBENEDETTO: I'll leave. But I don't want to leave. I want someone else to have it.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I appreciate that. The same thing for the 46th District. They

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 97
2	don't want to leave, but we have a lot of things that
3	have not been addressed.
4	PAUL DIBENEDETTO: It can happen. I have
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right.
6	PAUL DIBENEDETTO: All over (INAUDIBLE).
7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So
8	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. Thank
9	you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I don't want the
11	(INAUDIBLE)
12	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, everyone.
15	All right. We're going to move on from this panel.
16	I'm going to call on the next panel.
17	PAUL DIBENEDETTO: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The next panel is
19	going to consist of Joseph Rosenberg, Sara Lind,
20	Maddie DeCerbo, Annemarie Gray, Rachel Fee, and
21	Anthony Bartolano. That's going to be the panel
22	that's speaking now.
23	The following panel can move to the
24	front, which is going to consist of Barbara Larkin,

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Charles Nye (phonetic), Mary Anne McGowan, Chris
Coppa, Bernadette Ferrara, and Bruce Rosen.

We'll begin first with Joseph Rosenberg.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Good morning. I'm Joseph Rosenberg, Director of the Catholic Community Relations Council, representing the Archdiocese of New York and the Diocese of Brooklyn and Queens. We strongly support the City of Yes, which focuses on many current zoning obstacles preventing the development of housing throughout New York City. Catholic Charities of both of the dioceses understand our city's housing challenges and focus on the mission of producing affordable housing. They've developed over 6,500 units of affordable housing, housing the neediest New Yorkers, such as working families, low-income elderly, and the formerly homeless. For too long, New York City has required off-street parking spaces to be incorporated into housing developments. This burdensome mandate reduces the number of apartments that can be built on site as well as increasing housing costs. Removing this mandate is long overdue and would allow space that was once reserved for cars to now be used to produce apartments. The UAP would benefit Catholic Charities'

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

housing programs by providing 20 percent additional affordable housing units in residential buildings located in medium and high-density districts. This increase would produce a significant amount of affordable housing citywide. The City of Yes contains initiatives that would be instrumental in generating housing while assisting faith-based organizations. Currently, landmark buildings can only transfer development rights to adjacent properties of those that are directly across the street. In many cases, these receiving sites are already built to capacity and there's nowhere for the landmark development rights to land. The City of Yes changes this by allowing the development rights of landmark buildings to be transferred to properties on all blocks adjacent to where the landmark is located. Not only does this create the opportunity to generate housing in these communities, but it also provides funds for parishes to maintain and repair their landmark churches. Many churches are over a century old and contain stained glass, slate roofs, and ornate stone exteriors. Conserving these buildings is extremely costly, and this program combines the welcome elements of spurring housing while creating an income 2 stream for both dioceses to help repair and maintain

3 landmark houses of worship. Thank you. I've got other

4 points in the testimony that it will assist faith-

5 based organizations.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: We got it, Joseph.

Thank you so much.

JOSEPH ROSENBERG: Thanks very much.

Next, we're going to call on Sara Lind.

SARA LIND: Hi, my name is Sara Lind, and I'm Co-Executive Director at Open Plans. We testify today in strong support of City of Yes and especially in favor of lifting parking mandates citywide. Years ago, when Open Plans began advocating to lift parking mandates, we joined the chorus of people trying to answer a fundamental question, how do we make the city more affordable for the people who live here now and those who will live here in the future, and over the years, during this advocacy, we've had countless conversations with community members, Council Members, City Planning, and other cities who've made this reform. We've published a white paper, held info sessions, spoken with community boards, and hosted rallies. And in all those conversations, one message has stood out. New Yorkers are desperate for more

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

housing, and if the tradeoff is between parking and housing, they demand housing. What we've been hearing on the streets is borne out in polling over and over again. Just yesterday, a new poll came out that shows that 74 percent of New Yorkers support lifting parking mandates. Support is highest among lowerincome New Yorkers who are less likely to own cars and more likely to be rent-burdened. And even in southeast Queens, a neighborhood typically thought of as opposed to City of Yes, 72 percent of residents support lifting parking mandates. So, although there are some loud opponents, they do not represent the majority. We, the supporters of City of Yes, are the majority. A few weeks ago at a rally we hosted, an older woman came and stood the whole time in the rain with a sign that said, How's Everyone? After the rally, I asked her her story. She told me that she was living in a homeless shelter and desperate for housing. She uses a walker, and she does not have a car, and she couldn't believe that our leaders would force developers to build parking instead of allowing them to build new homes. Lifting parking mandates citywide is one of the most important and impactful things that this Council can do to help the woman

7 thing that they have done, and we need to do it 8 citywide.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Sarah.

Next, we're going to hear from Maddie DeCerbo.

2.2

2.3

MADDIE DECERBO: Hi, my name is Maddie

DeCerbo, and I'm here on behalf of the Real Estate

Board of New York. REBNY represents commercial,

residential, and institutional property owners,

builders, architects, and land use attorneys with

decades of experience in zoning matters. We are

pleased to support City of Yes for housing

opportunity. REBNY strongly supports the goals of

housing opportunity, which marks one of the most

significant updates to the Zoning Resolution since

1961. New York City is facing a housing crisis

deepened by years of underproduction and evidenced by

a 1.41 citywide vacancy rate. New York City has

lagged behind rapidly growing cities like Orlando,

Dallas, and Phoenix over the past decade in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permitting housing units. While housing production remains anemic, rents continue to rise, and outdated zoning regulations hinder the construction of muchneeded homes to address this crisis. The zoning reforms in City of Yes for Housing Opportunity are therefore essential to the City's goals of producing 500,000 units over the next decade. REBNY especially supports key components of this proposal, such as the expanded opportunities for office-to-residential conversions, increased density through the creation of R11 and R12 districts, and the introduction of UAP. The changes for office-to-residential conversions align with the recommendations by the City Council-created Office Adaptive Reuse Task Force. Additionally, these measures align with the recently adopted tax incentives 467M and 485X created through this year's State budget and have the potential to lead to the creation of thousands of affordable homes for New York City residents. The changes embodied in this text amendment represent the next generation of zoning rules for the planning, design, and development of housing for New Yorkers. This proposal can ensure housing of all types and sizes are built, and we ask that the City Council

2.2

2.3

carefully consider any changes through the lens of
whether those changes will protect, encourage, and
enhance the housing pipeline for the City. We look
forward to collaborating with the Council on

refinements to the proposal. Thank you for the consideration of these points.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I just want to stay for record we've been joined by Council Member Rivera.

Next, we'll hear from Annemarie Gray.

ANNEMARIE GRAY: Thank you, Chair Riley,
Members of the Subcommittee. I'm Annemarie Gray,
Executive Director of Open New York, an independent
statewide grassroots pro-housing organization with
hundreds of volunteer members, many of whom are here
with me today to testify in strong support of City of
Yes for Housing Opportunity. New York's housing
affordability crisis is rooted in the fact that we
simply do not build enough homes. Displacement,
exclusion, tenant harassment, and homelessness are
all exacerbated by our dire housing shortage. It is
now consensus from the top of the Democratic Party
that cities like New York must reform our outdated
zoning policies to help manage soaring housing costs.

Council in your efforts to secure additional

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

commitments to push forward an even more
comprehensive set of solutions.

While this proposal has seemed controversial throughout the extensive public process, I urge you to remember that the loudest, most oppositional voices are not representative of the majority. A poll of New York City voters released yesterday shows a staggering 81 percent support for the proposal. 75 percent said they are more likely to support elected officials who vote in favor. The City Council has an important opportunity for leadership on the biggest issue facing everyday New Yorkers right now. I look forward to working together to seize the moment and start to turn the tide on our housing crisis. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Rachel Fee.

Rachel, can you press the button, please? Thanks.

RACHEL FEE: Good morning, Chair Riley and Members of the City Council. My name is Rachel Fee.

I'm Executive Director of the New York Housing

Conference. We lead the Yes to Housing Coalition, a

group of nearly 150 organizations united in support

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 107

of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. Thank you

3 for this opportunity to testify today.

2.2

2.3

I've worked in affordable housing in New York City for 20 years, and I can tell you with certainty that this proposal is long overdue and that it will help our affordable housing crisis. Adding housing supply can help slow rising rents. More affordable housing can be built with a Universal Affordability Preference, and in the low-density neighborhoods, affordable rental housing and also new homeownership opportunities will be generated with the 485X tax abatement. Even commercial conversions combined with a tax incentive will include affordable housing. All of this will add up to meaningful action on housing supply that is desperately needed.

Our New York City Housing Tracker data shows that last year, the top 10 producing City Council Districts each added 600 units or more of affordable housing. Meanwhile, the lowest producing districts added 0 to 10 units. City of Yes will ensure that every part of this city contributes to solving the crisis.

I urge the City Council to pass this proposal in its entirety with minimal modifications.

2 We can't afford to leave out ADUs, which can add

3 40,000 units, or to maintain parking requirements

4 | that are driving up construction costs. Additionally,

5 increased capital commitments and more rental

6 assistance can further enhance the significant impact

7 | we expect zoning reforms to have on affordability.

8 It's time to meet the urgent needs of today and

9 ensure a better tomorrow for all New Yorkers. Thank

10 you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Just want to state for record we've been joined by Majority Leader Farías and Council Member Brewer and Council Member Joseph.

Next, we're going to hear from Anthony Bartolano. Good morning, Chair Riley and committee members.

ANTHONY BARTOLANO: My name is Anthony

Bartolano. I'm a member of SEIU Local 32BJ and I work

as a handy person in the public school system. I am

pleased to be here today to express my support and my

union support for City of Yes housing opportunity. In

addition to being an 11-year member of this great

union, I'm a lifelong Brooklyn resident who knows the

housing crisis firsthand. Currently, I rent an

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

apartment in Bensonhurst with my wife and young daughter. In the last four years, we have moved three times. And because there was nothing else available at an affordable rent, our current home is both more expensive and smaller than the apartment we rented in 2020. Moving at the fragile ages of one, three, and four has meant that my daughter doesn't get to experience the peace of mind that comes with having a stable home and, as my wife and I struggle every month to pay rent, we can't save for the American dream we share with millions of families to one day purchase our own house. I know that I'm not the only person with this experience and that my story is shared by thousands of my 32BJ brothers and sisters. The reasons that we win in every union contract are hard-fought victories, but it's hard to celebrate as our wallets are squeezed by skyrocketing housing costs. Earlier this year, my union joined many others in urging the State to pass legislation to kick-start development and bring new homes onto the market because as countless experts have shown, the housing crisis is rooted in a huge housing shortage. Now it's the City's turn to act. City of Yes is a common-sense proposal to unlock tens of thousands of units of

from this panel is Barbara Larkin.

2 BARBARA LARKIN: (INAUDIBLE)

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ms. Larkin, can you

4 just press the button, please?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARBARA LARKIN: Good?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yep.

BARBARA LARKIN: I'm a volunteer. I'm not representing a union or the city. Many years of service dedicated to my community. Good morning, all. My name is Barbara Larkin. I am a retired schoolteacher in the City of New York over 30 years. I'm the past President of the Bell Harbor Property Owners Association, and I am here representing 1,300 homeowners. I'm also the Vice President of Queens Civic Congress, and during our Zoom meetings, I've had the opportunity to listen to representatives from Springfield Gardens, from Rosedale, from Forest Hills, from the Poncet, and many other Queens civics. All of the speakers that I have heard are adamantly opposed to this proposal for a variety of reasons. Objections include, but are not limited to, lack of infrastructure needed to absorb increased density, which I haven't heard from or read about in a newspaper lately. Absence of enough classroom seats to accommodate mandates limiting class size. If you

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 BARBARA LARKIN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

CHAMBERS: (APPLAUSE)

 $\label{eq:SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Hold the applause. Hold} % \begin{center} \begin{ce$

this one more time. We do not clap in here. You can wave your hands like this, okay? Secondly, we have over 600 people signed up to register. We have staff here. I'm going to cut everyone off at two minutes. You could present the rest of your presentation after, but respectfully, so everyone could be heard, we're going to cut everyone off at two minutes, okay? We got it? Can I get a wave hands? Appreciate it.

Next, I'm going to hear from Charles Nye.

CHARLES NYE: Hello. I'm a blind New
Yorker who lives in midtown Manhattan on a fixed
income, which is SSI, food stamps, and Medicaid.
Someone like me would not be able to afford an
apartment on this Housing Opportunity plan, just like
I cannot afford a rent-stabilized apartment or any
apartment on any of the so-called affordable housing
lotteries. Someone like me in my position would not
be able to afford any apartment on this Housing

2 Opportunity so I'm very much against this. We need

3 mandates to mandate affordable apartments, because

4 affordability should be for everyone, even those who

5 are on fixed income on SSI and who are disabled.

6 Thank you.

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Charles.

8 Next, we're going to hear from Mary Anne McGowan.

MARY ANNE MCGOWAN: Is that? Okay. Good afternoon or good morning, and thank you very much for holding this public hearing. My name is Mary Anne McGowan. I'm President of the Clove Lake Civic Association in Staten Island, New York, and the first thing I want to address is the rent control, rentstabilized market rate and affordable housing here in the city. Presently, there are more than 64,000 vacant apartments within New York City's limits. Close to 30,000 of those vacant apartments are under City programs of rent control and rent stabilization. The other 34,000, I assume, are under what would be market rate, whatever that really means. As a lifelong New York City resident and a taxpayer, I

want to know how do we explain that we have 64,000

still dealing with this kind of a crisis for people

available apartments in this city and yet we are

2 | who need housing. There has to be the Buildings

3 Department who is supposed to be the enforcer, but

4 there has to be a total and complete examination of

5 rent control systems and the rent stabilized. Are the

6 people in these eligible to be there? Is this being

abused? And why aren't these apartments available if

8 | they have to be? If it's the landlords not

9 maintaining them, something has to be done, and that

10 requires us to get more inspectors into the Buildings

11 Department.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

The second area I want to address directly affects most of Staten Island and many areas of Queens, the Bronx, and Brooklyn, and that is that the proposal, the City of Yes proposal, eliminates all defining characteristics that are specific to the separate types of residential districts citywide, and I guess I will submit the rest. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next we're going to hear from Chris Coppa.

CHRIS COPPA: Okay. I'm Chris Coppa from
Staten Island Clove Lakes Civic Association. We're
against the City of Yes proposal in Staten Island
because we don't have the infrastructure to support
it. These changes would greatly impact Staten Island.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We only have two highways which are overly congested as it is for the whole day. We don't have a subway system and our bus system is poor as well. In a recent study it was found that half of the buses were not able to go out because of maintenance issues and lack of drivers. Just today, I took what was normally a 15-minute bus ride to go to the ferry and it took almost an hour. I had to take the following ferry half an hour later. The roads are narrow. Most main roads such as Amboy Road, Victory Boulevard, Forest, Seguin Post, and Castleton Avenues all are only a single lane in each direction, and those are our major roadways. Those are the roadways that the buses go on. They're single lane. Having these types of changes will just impact Staten Island even more so and will just make travel impossible. To go three miles for a normal 15-minute bus ride that took almost an hour is ridiculous. We only have two full hospitals and no City hospitals. Emergency personnel won't be able to respond to emergencies in a timely manner. We don't have enough fire houses and firemen to handle the current fires. Sometimes when there is a large fire, firemen are sent here from Brooklyn because we don't have enough firemen. We only have

- 2 | four precincts and without an increase of police
- 3 officers. When the 121 precinct was built, they
- 4 pulled policemen from our other precincts to man it.
- 5 Our schools are overcrowded as it is. There aren't
- 6 enough seats. Our outdated sewer system cannot handle
- 7 more buildings.

- 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're
- 9 going to hear from Bernadette Ferrara.
- 10 BERNADETTE FERRARA: Okay, here we go.
- 11 Good morning, Chair Riley and Members of this
- 12 | Committee. My name is Bernadette Ferrara, and I
- 13 represent thousands of families in the East Bronx.
- 14 | I'm the President of the Van Nest Neighborhood
- 15 | Alliance, a member of SEBCA (phonetic), former Chair
- 16 and 17-year Board Member of Bronx Community Board 11,
- 17 | and a lifelong East Bronx resident still living in
- 18 one of the oldest neighborhoods of Van Nest
- 19 | established in 1853. I am urging City Council to
- 20 reject the City of Yes Housing Opportunity in its
- 21 entirety. For the past year and a half, many like
- 22 myself have testified that we do not have a housing
- 23 | shortage but an affordability crisis. There are no
- 24 | HPD programs that lead to homeownership and we need
- 25 to prioritize on our infrastructure. Earlier this

if the goal of this is affordable housing to provide

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 119
more stimulus to whatever the market-will-bear
system. Our market-will-bear system has an expression
in the art world, which is actually very important
because now we had bids of eight and nine figures for
certain pieces of art and we have people on the
planet who come here on occasion and they can bid for
real estate on that. What happens is a simple
principle concept in real estate economics. You
change the comparable values. Comparable values, if
you don't know, are what a piece of property is going
for to sell or to rent, be it residential,
commercial, industrial, institutional, and doing this
this way is just encouraging further speculation. I
would like, since people think that there are big
numbers of here, to note in the quarter century that
followed the Second World War, when all three levels
of government were priming the housing market, the
City added 40 to 50,000 new units of housing every
single year and, in the last two years, the
interregnum, with the bringing of the 1961 Zoning
Resolution, the City built 100,000 units per year so
you're doing nothing with this. Is that it?
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, that is.

BRUCE ROSEN: Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council
3 Member Ariola.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you, Chair. I just want to thank everyone who will testify today, no matter which side of the City of Yes that you fall on. I think it's important that you're engaged and that you came out, but I'd especially like to say thank you for this panel, because Barbara Larkin, who we have been community activists for over 30 years together, and to see you here really reinforces why I'm in opposition of the City of Yes because the people that I represent do not want the City of Yes, and so therefore, that gives people great understanding, whether it's the Administration or the City Council, why we are so opposed, because I represent your views, your needs, your wants, the community, and that's why I'm so happy that you came today. You waited it out, as many others will, but Barbara, I appreciate your partnership over the years. Thank you.

Council Member. Any more questions for this panel?

There being no more questions, thank you for testifying.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The next panel we're going to hear from is Brooklyn Borough President Antonio Reynoso.

Following Borough President Reynoso's testimony, we are going to hear from Alia Soomro, John Wilson, Julia Chow, Rosa Chang, Gregory Smithsimon, and Mark Greenberg. If your name is called, please make sure that you're sitting in the front. Thank you.

Borough President, you may begin whenever you're ready.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: Thank you, Chair, and thank you to the Council Members. It's always great to be back to my old stomping grounds. Again, I want to thank you and the Council for holding this important hearing today and for spinning it up into two days to allow for the public's voice to be heard in a meaningful way. I am Brooklyn Borough President Antonio Reynoso, representing the greatest borough in the City of New York, Brooklyn, Chair Riley, and I'm encouraging the City Council to pass...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm about to stop your testimony.

2 BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: 3 Encouraging the City Council to pass the City of Yes 4 and Housing Opportunity in its entirety. Because our City doesn't plan, unfortunately, we zone. Certain neighborhoods, usually low-income communities of 6 7 color, have done all the work or more of the work than their fair share to address the housing crisis, 8 while other neighborhoods have done close to nothing. For example, between 2010 and 2020, Brooklyn 10 11 Community District 1, which was rezoned in 2005, added more than 18,000 new units of housing, while 12 Community District 18 only added 500 units during 13 that same time. It's almost 40 times the number of 14 15 housing units created in one District over another. 16 For affordable housing, the discrepancy is even 17 worse. During that decade, Brooklyn Community 18 District 5 constructed and preserved over 12,000 19 units of affordable housing, while in Community 20 District 10, the number is a meager seven units. That is 1,700 times the number of affordable units 21 2.2 constructed and preserved in East New York, 2.3 (INAUDIBLE), and Star City versus in Bay Ridge, Dyker Heights, and Fort Hamilton. Low-density neighborhoods 24

make up almost half of the city, and in some of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

areas, no affordable housing has been permitted since 2015, according to the NYU Furman Center. This is how we ended up with the least available housing in our city since 1968. The Regional Plan Association estimates that New York State needs to build over 800,000 units by 2032 to address current needs and meet expected population and job growth, and New York City needs to do its part. City of Yes isn't a silver bullet that's going to get us all the way there, but with this proposal, we have the opportunity to set a standard citywide that no neighborhood is exempt from doing their part for the greater good and contributing to new housing. In my recommendations, I supported the proposal with significant conditions and modifications. Most importantly, the proposal to legalize ADUs must move forward. If the City Council removes ADUs from the City of Yes, I will withdraw my support because this is how we get to a more equitable framework that allows for lower-density parts of the city to contribute. In parts of Borough Park, Bay Ridge, and Bensonhurst, more than a quarter of households are overcrowded, with families doubling and tripling up. Allowing ADUs means more units in these neighborhoods on a practical level, and it

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

means that for these families, grandma can move over to the garage, or a daughter and her family can move into a safe and affordable basement unit down the street instead of out of our city. Right now, the families leaving New York City at the fastest rate are people of color who make roughly between 30 and 50 percent of the AMI according to the New York Times, and this has to stop, meaning we need more options for them. We need everyone here in this city. Research has shown that the chains of moves sparked by new construction free up apartments that are then rented or retained by households across the income spectrum. In short, the availability of all kinds of new housing options benefits everyone. To be clear, I'm not saying we don't need to build more affordable housing. I know there are some Council Members who want to require deeper affordability in the UAP program. I support this effort and acknowledge that more affordable housing is desperately needed, especially at lower incomes. However, I want to caution the Council to be mindful that this is a voluntary program. We need to find a balance that maximizes affordability without de-incentivizing developers from taking advantage of the option. If

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the numbers don't pencil out, at the end of the day,

3 we'll be left with less affordable housing than we

4 | could have under a well-considered plan.

In conclusion, I want to remind everyone that this proposal is just one chapter in a much larger book. Good planning has to be comprehensive, and planning for housing must consider not just zoning, not just production, but preservation of existing affordable housing and strong tenant protections as well. The Council should also pass meaningful legislation aimed at these goals, including those around broker fees, co-op disclosure, and public benefits on public land. To the City Council, I want to repeat what I said to DCP, do not back down, do not scale back, do not shy away. If you're going to do anything, do more. Many things about the future are uncertain, but we know that right now this is our shot to make changes that our City needs to move forward. Let's not waste it. Thank you. I do have 20 seconds. I just want to say when I was in the City Council, we passed MIH. It was a wholly, wholly more unpopular initiative amongst the Community Boards than this plan. There were less Community Boards supportive of MIH, and now almost

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 126
2	every single person on this dais would say that the
3	work that we did on MIH is insufficient. It's not
4	enough. We should have done more. We're held
5	accountable for not being affordable enough. We're
6	not more affordability. It was arguably the most
7	unpopular proposal we had in the City Council and,
8	looking back, because we took that bold step against
9	an unpopular Community Board position, we're looking
10	back and thinking, should we have done more, should
11	we have pushed back more, and I hope that when you
12	are here 10 years later, 20 years later, you'll see
13	that these are the right decisions to make, even if
14	it's Community Board unpopular. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Borough
16	President.
17	First, we'll have Council Member Narcisse
18	followed by Hanif.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: You know I love
20	you, right?
21	BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: I
22	know you do. It's all good.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: But you
24	mentioned District 18.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: And the City of Yes... I'm in agreement with a lot of things that you said. We want to do our part. My District want to do our part, and so is the other District. But some part the government have not done their part in the infrastructure, in addressing the water backup. Like yesterday, I was talking to Dan, because Dan and I, we've been talking, and I know we're dealing with a crisis in New York City, but how do we... because my community is enraged, because when there's a rain, we all have to cross our finger and pray. We have backup from the bottom. We have backup from the water. So how do we do that? Because the government have... we, the government, have to address the infrastructure for some area that we know is a problem so how do we do that? How do we do that?

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: So, I would just say a couple of things to that. The first thing is, we are government. There's a budget that's going to come down very soon, and the choices we make are reflection of the ideas or the goals that we wanna set forth. In what time in the City Council have we made it popular to push for the reconstruction of our sewer system in the City of New

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

York in a meaningful way? We don't have those

conversations, because we're more induced to push for

fluff stuff that got us re-elected versus things that

are not sexy, that nobody's going to pay attention to

or no one's going to see, that can actually make a

difference.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: And that's the problem. I'm not sexy when it comes to those things, because I talk out of... because we need to address the infrastructure problem that we have, and we need to address housing. It's a crisis that we need to address.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: But the thing is, I think this all comes down to comprehensive planning. We don't do it in the City.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: That's the problem.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: The

Department of City Planning doesn't plan when it

doesn't zone, and it's a problem. It doesn't make

this proposal bad, right, so two things could be

true. DCP's done a terrible job at planning. The City

of New York doesn't plan. When you try to pass a

Charter Revision Commission proposal to include

2.2

2.3

in totality. 10 were affordable units. Brooklyn

Community Board 6, which I represent, between that

timeframe, built 593 units, one affordable unit. This

has, of course, changed due to the Gowanus Rezoning,

bringing me to the top 10. And then Queens Community

Boards 9, 10, 11 all built less than 300 units each

and less than 15 units of affordable units. Could you

just share why some of these communities have been

able to get away with not building anything

meaningful and, despite having ULURP, despite having

Community Board representatives who are selected by

Borough Presidents with input from Council Members,

why is it that we are lagging behind?

it's a perspective that I've been able to gain becoming Borough President that is different than when I was a Council Member. Member deference is one of the biggest problems we have when it comes to being able to build citywide adjustments or citywide solutions to citywide problems. We are not a fiefdom in any way, shape, or form. These local communities think that what happens to them doesn't affect the rest of the city, and it's just not true. What happens in your community affects the rest of the

However, the challenge for me is this notion, and it

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

was definitely told to me in the de Blasio and the Adams' Administration, which is the market in highdensity, high-income areas will solve all your problems for affordable. I don't agree with that, and I think that the problem is that the city, state, or federal government, if we're going to talk about the market, that the city, state, and federal government have to come through with some more affordable housing. You cannot just have 20 percent and have a tall building, and that is what I was told for like eight years. Gale, I'll put all my money in the Bronx. I was told that all of my subsidy is going to go to the Bronx. I want affordable housing. I want affordable housing. We've got the schools. We've got the transportation. And I'm just tired of being told over and over again, just market. So you have some not as dense, but in Brooklyn you have some dense. How do you answer that question? Because I'm all for rezoning. I've been doing this for a long time. I got the rezoning. But why are we doing it if we're not getting to the affordable at the same time? How do you answer that question in your area?

me, it's a balance. Subsidized affordable housing is

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: For

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

City of New York.

2.2

2.3

deeply important, but we also need to have... the
market angle, the market value to it is also
important. We can't do it all. We can't build through
the lots that are available to us by HPD and by the

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I have no lots, zero lots.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO:
Right. So, I just think the affordable housing that
gets built through MIH is deeply valuable, and the
subsidy should be happening in your community.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: It's not.

actually disagree with the City of New York in how they move forward with subsidizing affordable housing in the city because it's actually neighborhoods in Manhattan or parts of Brooklyn that are not building that can actually sustain more students that might be challenged or have poverty being a foundational piece of their upbringing. They need to go to better schools, and those better schools are in places that don't build housing. They have better parks, better transportation systems so I actually think that when it comes to the subsidy of affordable housing, that

our future leaders and you guys can help finish that

at this time, please find your seats. Once again,

please find your seats. We shall resume momentarily.

2.3

Once again, please come in and find your seats.

Please ensure all cell phones and electronic devices are placed to silent. Please find your seats.

Order in the chambers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. If we could just have everyone take their seats. So, two quick announcements. We have the link and we're back and running. We're back on livestream but, unfortunately, the different rooms on livestream wasn't working so if you go to the Council's webpage, you're going to see a message below that says if you're experiencing difficulties watching the livestream of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity Public Hearing at the Subcommittee of Zoning and Franchise on October 22, 2024, at 9:30, please click this link. It's going to be right below. So, if you're in touch with anyone that's not here, you can let them know, but you can still access the link.

We're going to continue with questions for the Borough President, and we're going to start back with Council Member Ossé.

2.2

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you, Chair.

Borough President Reynoso, so you seem very supportive of City of Yes, and you've been very vocal about that. I do want to ask a couple of questions about some of your support, and maybe you could highlight some answers that may make the Council more comfortable or gain some insight about City of Yes. Are there other cities that have taken an approach similar to what this city is attempting to do in increasing housing stock, and how has that taken effect on affordability within those cities? If you can list specific examples, that'd be great.

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: So

the closest thing to, it's never apples to apples, is

Minneapolis, and I just want to say that this city

speaks to exceptionalism when it wants to, and it

speaks to it when it doesn't want to, right? This

city is not like anywhere else. It's not like

Minneapolis. And so they want to talk about something

that they do want to do, and it's like there's no

City like New York, so I just want to be careful with

this idea of exceptionalism and when we address it.

Minneapolis, what it did was in its removal of

single-family zoning was, I guess, apples to oranges

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

warehousing, maybe the amount of warehouse units...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry, Council Member.

You can wrap it up, please.

vacant apartments within New York. What is your

response to that in terms of maybe addressing

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

problem.

3 BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: So, 4 yes, we have a huge issue, a vacancy issue. I think this is a state issue and not a city one. I think we need a vacancy tax. We need to talk about in your 6 second property, if vacant, you should be taxed at a 7 8 higher level so we can either bring income so we can subsidize more affordable housing or make those apartments available for rental so I would just let 10 11 you know that I think it's a state issue. I agree that that exists, but the solution, like I said, we 12 can't cram the entire housing crisis solutions to the 13 14 City of Yes. This is just one chapter of a larger

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÉ: The vacancy rate, is it 1.4 percent right now?

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: Yeah, so right now we're talking about in the City of New York having almost less than 30,000 units available at one time a month in the City of New York, which means that 50,000 people are looking for apartments more or less, 20,000 people can't find apartments and the people that tend to be those 20,000 are people that can't afford the rents that the other 30,000

for their borough?

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: I represent the entire Borough of Brooklyn. Community 3 4 Boards are a representation of a small subset of my Borough. If you looked at the polling that was done recently, 81 percent of New Yorkers support the City 6 7 of Yes, and I can't turn a blind eye to them. Also, the people that are on Community Boards tend to be 8 folks that are homeowners, not rent-burdened, and are actually housing secure, versus the people that can't 10 11 attend those meetings because they're either working 12 jobs, or newer families that are actually rent-13 burdened and are not housing secure so the 14 demographics of the Community Boards don't tend to 15 necessarily portray the demographics of the entire 16 community in which they represent so, while their 17 opinions do matter, they're not a conclusive 18 representation of the sentiment of the Borough.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: You're the Borough President. Don't you have a large part of appointing those members to the Community Board to be representative of what is happening and what is needed for the borough?

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: Yeah, so right now, what we've been doing over the last two

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

years, I've been the Borough President for two years, we've been ridding the Community Board of mostly members that don't show up is the first line of defense, and now we're starting to have conversations about adding more pro-housing, more pro-cycling, and more pro-transportation, public transportation folks in it so the Community Boards are slightly moving towards a reflection of what my goals are, but also I'm not a dictator or a tyrant. I like the diversity of my Community Boards. I like to hear about the different needs and wants of members of the Borough, what is good for Williamsburg isn't good for Canarsie isn't good for Coney Island so I like to hear the diversity of opinions but, again, those opinions are not law, right? Those are the opinions of the Community Board, not necessarily of the entire City of New York. And I'll just give one more example. There are over 60,000 people living in homeless shelters, and I would argue that if I've appointed more than five people that are homeless on Community Boards, it would be a lot, and those people need a voice too, and a lot of them are living in Brooklyn, and I want to make sure that they're represented as well, even if they're not on the Community Board so

it's just not a supply-side issue, right? Because

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 rent would be going down in your District, in my

3 District, as we continue to produce so much supply.

4 First, I want you to answer that, and then secondly,

5 you know, a lot of the Council Members here, a lot of

6 people who have testified, who will testify, wanted

7 | this application to have mandated affordable housing.

8 | You know, you touched on MIH. Are you committed to

9 asking City Planning and this Administration to take

10 another look at MIH so we can broaden the scope and

11 add also deeper affordability to make it affordable

12 | for the New Yorkers who need it most?

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT REYNOSO: Yes.

So, the second question first is yes. I think that
you should reopen the conversation to MIH to make it
more deeply affordable and add more affordability to
the projects where possible so I do think that that's
a conversation that you guys should be having and
would be more than happy to be supportive of so I do
think that that's one case. The other thing is that
Williamsburg's rezoning in 2005 came alongside the
largest downzoning in the history of the City of New
York everywhere else. So mostly politically affluent
neighborhoods got downzonings, got landmarked, got a

reduction in height versus places like Williamsburg

Voters. Thank you, Chair Riley and members of theZoning Subcommittee for the opportunity to testify.

NYLCV strongly supports the passage of
City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. Our city faces
two pressing challenges, the climate crisis and the
housing crisis. While the State boasts some of the
nation's most ambitious climate laws, the City's
zoning regulations often act as a barrier to reducing
our greenhouse gas emissions and addressing the
pressing need for denser, more affordable housing.
City of Yes will serve a dual purpose, mitigating the
impacts of climate change...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm so sorry to interrupt you before you... Sergeant, just stop our time real quick. We were joined by Tiegerman High School so I want to break decorum and just clap it up for the young people that came in here today. Thank you for coming in here.

Let's start that over again. You may.

ALIA SOOMRO: Can I start from the beginning?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah, you can start from the beginning. Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALIA SOOMRO: Good morning. My name is Alia Soomro, and I'm the Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters. Thank you, Chair Riley and Members of the Zoning Subcommittee for the opportunity to testify.

NYLCV strongly supports City of Yes for

housing opportunity. Our city faces two pressing challenges, the climate crisis and the housing crisis. While the State boasts some of the nation's most ambitious climate laws, the City's zoning regulations often act as a barrier to reducing our greenhouse gas emissions and addressing the pressing need for denser, more affordable housing. City of Yes will serve a dual purpose, mitigating the impacts of climate change and improving housing accessibility and affordability for New Yorkers in an equitable manner. While NYLCV fully supports the entirety of the zoning proposal, we strongly support the following components, removing parking mandates, transit-oriented development, town-center zoning, accessory dwelling units, and residential conversions. One prime example of showing how zoning limits housing from being built and incentivizes fossil fuel use are parking mandates. Parking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25 it. Next, we're going to hear from John Wilson.

John Wilson.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Okay. Next, we're going to hear from Julie Chou.

JULIE CHOU: Thanks, Chair Riley. My name is Julie Chou, and I serve as the Vice Chair of the Land Use, Housing, and Zoning Committee for Manhattan Community Board 5. I'm here today to testify on behalf of CB5.

In July, our Board passed a conditional resolution in support of the proposal with 34 votes in favor and one against. We are currently facing an unprecedented housing crisis in our city, and as folks have noted, vacancy rates have reached their lowest levels while our homeless shelters are operating at peak capacity, leaving many vulnerable individuals and families without stable housing. This alarming situation requires urgent action, and we cannot afford to wait any longer. However, to create a truly equitable housing landscape, we need mandates for affordable housing that extend beyond current and future MIH zones. Relying solely on incentives and government agencies to create affordable housing is insufficient, especially when half of our city is rent-burdened and a third of our residents are

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 151
2	severely rent-burdened. We must go further. There
3	should be a requirement for a minimum number of
4	affordable units in all projects over a certain size,
5	whether they are new construction or building
6	conversions. This is essential to address the
7	severity of the housing crisis we face. We're asking
8	that the transfer of development rights for housing
9	developments be required to include affordable
10	housing comparable to the UAP minimum in order to
11	generate more affordable housing in our District. We
12	must implement robust tenant protection programs and
13	expand homeownership opportunities to prevent
14	displacement. In our District, conversions often fail
15	to meet open space requirements and frequently
16	request waivers from the Department of City Planning.
17	This only worsens our already limited access to green
18	space. Therefore, we strongly urge our elected
19	officials to mandate a minimum of 10,000 square feet
20	of privately owned public space in very high-density
21	residential developments, similar to the requirements
22	established in the East Midtown rezoning.
23	Furthermore, these open spaces should include vital
24	amenities such as public bathrooms to effectively

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 meet the needs of our community. Thank you for the 3 opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Rosa Chang.

ROSA CHANG: Thank you very much. Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Rosa Chang, and I'm here to testify for myself. I am deeply involved in my community. I'm the President of my condo board where we have over 400 units. I'm a member of my local Community Board, but to be clear, I am speaking on my own behalf today. I have served and continue to serve on PTAs and SLTs for years at three public schools. I am the cofounder of a new public plaza creating desperately needed recreation space in a historically disadvantaged community with over 47,000 residents that live within a half mile radius of the plaza. All this is to say I care deeply about my neighbors, my community, and my city. I am so excited that the City is working to update our zoning text to adapt to our evolving needs. We are not the same city nor the same people that we were in 1960. We need to build homes for existing and new New Yorkers, for renters and for owners, for our children, our adults, and our

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Next, we're going to hear from Gregory Smithsimon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

Z U

21

22

23

24

25

GREGORY SMITHSIMON: Thank you, Chair
Riley and Council Members for the opportunity to
testify, and thank you for addressing the urgent need
for affordable housing in New York. I'm Gregory
Smithsimon, Director of the Center for the Study of
Brooklyn and Professor of Sociology at Brooklyn
College in the CUNY Grad Center.

For the past two years, I've been conducting research on basement flooding in New York, funded by a U.S. Geological Survey project. I support City of Yes. At the same time, I want to warn the Committee that our research finds that current City of Yes proposals would legalize apartments in cellars and basements that would put the most vulnerable families at deadly risk, especially from floods. City of Yes recognizes that living in apartments below ground level during a flood can be deadly, so it says basement apartments won't be permitted in coastal flood zones. However, when 11 New Yorkers died in basement apartments during Hurricane Ida, none of them lived in coastal flood zones. They died from rain flooding, not coastal flooding. Unfortunately, there are no existing maps to predict what properties are more or less likely to flood from rain. The

2 City's own predictions show that by 2080, rainfall

3 like Ida would flood thousands of streets in every

4 | single City Council District. Our research found rain

5 | flooding happens even on the highest elevations, and

6 basements sometimes flood on blocks where the street

7 | itself never does. There is no way to make cellars,

8 which are below ground, safe from floods.

There are other risks. Asthma is epidemic among low-income children of color. Basement apartments would disproportionately expose kids at risk to known asthma triggers, including mold, rodent and mouse and trappings, and natural gas and exhaust from furnaces and hot water heaters.

Basement apartments also expose people to naturally occurring radon gas, which causes 21,000 cancer deaths last year and has a greater effect on children than adults. We need to make sure that we're creating housing that is both safe and affordable. Burying poor New Yorkers in basement and cellar apartments during climate change is a well-intentioned but deadly move. Anyone concerned about the most vulnerable New Yorkers must prevent this part of an otherwise admirable bill. Thank you.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Charlene Davis.

CHARLENE DAVIS: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Charlene Davis, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak. Normally, when I come to different places and organizations, you don't see people like me here. It's very difficult for someone who's handicapped or someone who has asthma to get into buildings and stuff and elevators. I feel that this proposal is not one that was well thought of. There's a lot of issues that need to be taken care of first. It feels like you're putting the cart before the horse. I want to thank our Brooklyn Borough President for allowing me to be on the Community Board. For five years, I tried to get on the Community Board so that I could represent people like myself. Coney Island is where I live at. Coney Island is a peninsula. We have a lot of issues and stuff there with transportation, schools, and also a rat issue. I know that he had a program that was supposed to deal with mice and stuff, but with all the digging and everything that they're doing in the communities and stuff, it's running ragged. We have to do something about that. We also have to do something

about the infrastructure. Coney Island floods very

easily. My townhouse was full with water when we had 3

4 Sandy. A lot of people died. A lot of issues need to

be thought about. It's very nice to think about

having more places for people to live, but these 6

7 places need to be affordable. The rents are

8 constantly going up, and the subsidies are being

limited. You have subsidies that may last for five

years, and then after the five years, the person 10

11 doesn't have a subsidy and has to move. A lot of

12 people who are moving into these places move in, but

13 their rent and stuff constantly goes up. You see a

lot of people moving in, but then they're moving out 14

15 because they can no longer afford the apartments.

Thank you. 16

17

19

24

25

1

2

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. You

18 brought up a really good point. Thank you.

Mark Greenberg?

20 MARK GREENBERG: Yes, thank you. Good

21 morning, good afternoon, Chair Riley and members of

2.2 the Council. I'm Mark Greenberg, Executive Director

2.3 of the Interfaith Assembly on Homelessness and

Housing and President of the Interfaith Affordable

Housing Collaborative. Interfaith Assembly grew out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of efforts based at the Cathedral Church of St. John the Divine in 1982, and as we watched the number of people on our streets explode, we committed ourselves to bear witness to the crisis of homelessness and ask what our many faith traditions call us to do. Over the last 40 years, I've seen homeless census grow from under 10,000 to over 60,000, and now with the migrant influx to over 100,000. I've heard the stories of thousands of people struggling with the traumas of homelessness, striving to rebuild their lives. I speak here on behalf of the thousands of good and decent people just looking for a chance to reclaim their humanity. I applaud the New York City Department of City Planning for putting together this bold and innovative proposal to enable New York City to live up to the great promise, and I trust that the City Council will produce a plan that best balances the concerns and aspirations of all New Yorkers. All great faith traditions command us to stand in solidarity with those who are struggling, and New York City should do no less. New York's faith community stands ready to do our part, but we need New York zoning rules to enable us to do so. Some New Yorkers have strongly opposed the changes proposed by

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the City of Yes, but for the tens of thousands in our shelters and on our streets, and many struggling to pay the rent or simply find an apartment they can afford, their fear is not change, but the status quo. The City of Yes for housing opportunity will mean that more of the lowest-income New Yorkers will have a greater chance to find stable housing and give back to our great city. With a housing crisis of this proportion, inaction is not an option, and from the Hebrew Bible, those from among you shall rebuild the old waste places, you shall raise up the foundations of many generations, and you shall be called the repairers of the breach, restorers of streets to dwell in. Let us be known as the repairer of the breach and restorers of streets to dwell in. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Are there any questions for this panel? Council Member Hanif.

and more of a comment. It's just really wonderful to hear the support from faith-based institutions, and I appreciate you acknowledging the thousands of people who are not here, who are not housed, who would be

York, but you can also call me God. That means I

equivalent to free.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Lee. I appreciate it. You can wait right there. You're not excused yet. Stay right there.

Next, we're going to hear from Layla Law-Gisiko, but first, I just want to state for record we've been joined by Council Member Lee. You can begin.

LAYLA LAW-GISIKO: Good afternoon. Thank you, Chair Riley and members of the City Council. My name is Layla Law-Gisiko, and I serve as the President of the City Club of New York. Yesterday, Chair Garodnik in this Chamber laid out the reality of our housing market. He said, and I quote, "those who have resources compete against those who don't. Usually, those with resources win." And yet, City of Yes is a proposal that promises changes but fails to bend the arc. While well-intentioned, the proposal fails to address the City's urgent need for affordable housing. Many mistakenly believe that more housing automatically means more affordability. This is a dangerous misconception. The market cares little about our social needs. Its mission is solely to maximize profit. The proposal is expected to create approximately 7,000 units per year, most of them at

going to hear from Renee DeSantis.

RENEE DESANTIS: My name is Renee

DeSantis. I have lived in Independence Plaza, or IPN,

2.3

24

2 in Tribeca for 32 years and am a trustee of the

3 Community First Development Coalition, a non-profit

recently established to advocate and educate on 4

5 thoughtful development in Tribeca and to address

unchecked, over-scaled development and inadequate 6

7 opportunities for affordable housing in our

8 community. I urge you to vote no to the City of Yes

in its current form for two key reasons. First and

most importantly, this proposal does not include any 10

11 mandates for adding permanently affordable housing,

and when you hear about all of the "additional 12

13 housing" potentially being built under City of Yes,

14 in my community, this housing typically means luxury

15 towers filled with large, expensive units completely

16 out of reach for the vast majority of New Yorkers,

17 not affordable housing units. This is the case for

18 the mega tower planned to be built next to my home.

19 Second, the City of Yes will make even

20 more development "as of right," allowing for

21 increased developments without oversight, review, or

public accountability. Under City of Yes, fewer 2.2

2.3 projects will be subject to ULURP. This, too, is

24

25

happening with the proposed 900-foot tower planned

next to the IPN building. My home in Independence

public input and for City Council approval of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 development projects. There is no provision for

3 substantial affordable development. There are no

4 provisions to improve infrastructure in advance of

5 development. There are no provisions to expand the

6 Building Department to deal with existing code

7 enforcement issues and to control future development.

8 | It will eliminate one- and two-family zoning, which

9 | will promote uncontrolled development and ultimately

10 destroy the balanced residential character of many

11 New York City neighborhoods.

Kew Gardens has a mix of residential types. We have multifamily apartment buildings, which are rentals, co-ops, and condos. We have two-family homes, and we have one-family homes. Our neighborhood zoning has been crafted through years of careful planning to be a blend of residences suitable for a wide variety of personal life preferences and economic ability. A mix of densities enhances the quality of life for all. I urge you to vote no and force the Adams' Administration and whatever Administration follows to craft a plan which will have a middle vision, which encourages appropriate residential development without harming existing communities. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're

3 going to hear from Paul Briscoe.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PAUL BRISCOE: Well, thank you. Good afternoon. Thank you, Council, for giving me the opportunity and everybody else to speak. My name is Paul Briscoe, and I'm running for Congress of New York's District 10. My wife, Gia, and I are lifelong Brooklynites and working musicians that live right over the bridge in Cobble Hill. The constant struggle to push against the overdevelopment of my neighborhood, along with others within my district like Sunset Park, Park Slope, and Red Hook is very real. We already live in a City of Yes, and it's overcrowded and unsustainable. We're seeing it real time with our Brooklyn Marine Terminal project that stretches over 122 acres of waterfront from Atlantic Avenue to Red Hook. Developers and City Planners say they include residents in community input meetings, and they conveniently do by holding these meetings on weeknights, usually around 5:30 at night, with little to no community outreach or door-to-door engagement. It's a game that's designed to make you feel like you're involved when the truth is you're not. Behind the scenes, these decisions are having already been

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

made, getting the most money out of every square foot of parcel. So, when I hear the Mayor and the City Council pushing for this rezoning, it begs the question, where are elected officials' priorities? We have a rapidly declining infrastructure where our schools and transportation can't keep up with demand, but our City is quick to spend housing on illegal migrants within hotels and open shelters like the 10story mega shelter in Clinton Hill, but they don't see our mismanaged subway system, the lack of parking in many boroughs and our crowded classrooms. We are taxpaying residents that want better roads, better schools for our kids, safer communities with less crowding. Stop punishing people that want to live in a low-density area. That's all right. Many families opt to stay at our city's border just so they can have some space. Yet the luxury high-rises continue to sprout up, and there is little to no affordability within their confines. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Ronald Colangelo.

RONALD COLANGELO: There you go. I don't own the Phoenix Suns. So, the problem is a lot of people don't believe what goes on in the City

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council. You make decisions that really don't ... you're closing Rikers Island 2027. You don't have anywhere to put people 'til 2030, three years. What's going to go on with that? Next, you've got... you complain the commercial real estate in Manhattan is dying. Your answer, congestion pricing. Let's try to keep everybody out. They've up-zoned my neighborhood. They're going to open 7,000 apartments along the Metro North Station. So, I quess we're taking care of a tenth of the problem that you're discussing. But the City of Yes doesn't really look into what's going to happen tomorrow to the homeless people. We're talking about years and years in the future. What's going to happen to these people living on the streets when it's 15 degrees out? You have all these NYCHA apartments that are closed for renovations. That needs to be addressed now. Hundreds and hundreds of them. Oh, they have bad this, bad that. The problem is you have to start dealing with the homeless crisis today and not plan for something five and six and eight years ago when people in commercial real estate are going to make a fortune by putting up these buildings. And the City of Yes now, oh, you got this property here on the corner. It's 150 by whatever.

Alice Barrett, Patricia Loftman, Anna Barrett-

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Mitchell, and Evidelia Boyd. You can sit in the front 3 section if you heard your name called.

This first panel, we're going to begin with, we're going to start with Jackson Chabot.

And we've been also joined by Council Member Banks.

JACKSON CHABOT: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Jackson Chabot, and I'm speaking this afternoon on behalf of Open Plans, where I'm the Director of Advocacy and Organizing. I want to speak to car dependence relative to the City of Yes proposal. Research shows parking mandates have detrimental effects on urban environments and contribute significantly to car dependence. By requiring developers to provide a specific number of parking spaces, as our current zoning does, these regulations encourage the belief that car ownership is essential, leading to a culture where cars are prioritized over other forms of transportation. Developers often build larger structures with more parking than is necessary, as the Department of City Planning said yesterday in their presentation, with numerous examples in many districts across the city. This leads to less dense, more car-centric

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhoods. This sprawl not only increases the reliance on vehicles, but also reduces walkability and access to public transportation. Additionally, the focus on accommodating cars can divert resources away from essential public services, such as transportation systems, pedestrian infrastructure, etc. This creates a vicious cycle as public transportation becomes less viable and more individuals turn to cars, further reinforcing the need for parking. In summary, parking mandates foster car dependence, promote urban sprawl, inflate housing costs, and undermine public transportation. To create more sustainable, livable cities such as ours, it is crucial to rethink these regulations and prioritize transportation options that reduce car reliance. I'll offer in the remaining 30 seconds that cities far more car dependent than ours, such as Minneapolis, Anchorage, Alaska, and Austin, Texas, have already lifted parking requirements. I'd also like to offer that in organizing for this work, we had people submit testimony as well. I'll read just one of them. Cars are the biggest public health and safety crisis in New York City. Like guns, we need them to get them off our streets. From Alan, among others. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're 3 going to hear from Kazi Hussain. Kazi.

Okay, hold on one minute. All right.

I'm going to, the panel that's supposed to be right here right now consists of Jackson Chabot, Kazi Hussain, Eric Shiner, Derek Marcus, Diah Mehera, and Bradon Crooks. If that's not your name, you have to wait in the front. It's all right. I think you got confused. You're next up. Okay. You can go back.

Kazi, you may begin.

KAZI HUSSAIN: Good afternoon. Hello,

Council Members. My name is Kazi Hussain, and I'm a

proud union member and a proud resident of Elmhurst,

Queens. I am here to express my strong support for

City of Yes for housing New Yorkers. Even people like

me with good union jobs are having a harder time

finding good affordable housing. We need more housing

all over the city. The proposal is a good way to make

that happen. Please vote yes for City of Yes. Thank

you, everybody.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Eric Shiner.

2.2

2.3

25

2 ERIC SHINER: Good afternoon. I'm Eric 3 Shiner, the President of Powerhouse Arts, a brand new 4 cultural non-profit located in Gowanus, Brooklyn, 5 dedicated to supporting artists in production, education, and community engagement. Today, we 6 7 express our strong support for the City of Yes 8 Housing Initiative and highlight the urgent need for affordable housing solutions to prevent the ongoing displacement of artists across New York City. As one 10 11 of the world's great cultural capitals, New York 12 thrives on the creative energy of its artists. These artists and cultural workers are essential not only 13 14 to the city's identity but to its economy. New York's 15 cultural sector generates more than 110 billion 16 dollars annually, fueling industries such as tourism, 17 education, and hospitality. Yet, the soaring cost of 18 living, especially housing, has made it increasingly 19 difficult for the very people who enrich our city's 20 cultural fabric to actually afford to live here. Powerhouse Arts, situated in Gowanus, is witnessing 21 this displacement of artists firsthand. Gowanus is a 2.2 2.3 neighborhood known for its rich artistic history and is undergoing rapid change. Without proactive 24

measures, the artists who have long called this area

going to hear from Derek Marcus.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEREK MARCUS: Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony in support of City of Yes. My name is Derek Marcus, and I represent TF Cornerstone, a New York City-based real estate developer, owner, and operator. Since the early 1980s, our company has developed over 20,000 apartments across the city, including 3,000 affordable units, most of which are located in mixedincome buildings that we continue to own and manage

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

today. I'm here to support City of Yes because I know firsthand how it'll generate affordable housing that we wouldn't get without it. TF Cornerstone currently has two large development sites in our pipeline, one in Chelsea and the other in Greenpoint. However, even with the recently enacted 485X tax exemption, mixedincome rental housing is not financially viable at these sites. But with the City of Yes proposal, especially the UAP bonus and the elimination of parking minimums, we would be able to move forward with these developments as mixed-income rental projects, creating 1,400 units, including 350 homes for low-income New Yorkers. Without City of Yes, the only feasible development at these sites is marketrate condominiums, which are simply out of reach for the majority of New Yorkers. The reality is straightforward. We need zoning policies that incentivize and support the development of mixedincome rental housing if we are to effectively address the city's housing crisis. City of Yes offers that crucial opportunity to do that. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Diah Mehera.

2 DIAH MEHERA: Good afternoon, Chair Riley 3 and Council Members. My name is Diah Mehera. I'm the 4 Director of Marketing and Communications at Tech: NYC, a leading technology industry organization. The housing crisis in New York is a serious concern. 6 Earlier this year, Tech: NYC and StreetEasy released a 8 report on housing availability in New York, and the findings were ominous. The tech sector, a major driver of our city's economy, is struggling to 10 11 attract and retain talent because of the exorbitant 12 housing costs. Young professionals are being forced 13 to relocate to another city or forego living in New York City altogether. That is not the future we want. 14 15 We want a strong pipeline of diverse talent to be 16 able to afford to live and remain here to continue to 17 drive economic growth. But this is a citywide crisis, 18 not just a tech crisis, and the City of Yes proposal 19 offers a solution. Increase the supply of housing, 20 particularly mixed-income housing, to create a more 21 inclusive city that benefits all its residents. This 2.2 will benefit not only the tech sector, but also 2.3 teachers, nurses, firefighters, sanitation workers, and the countless others who keep our city running. 24 Tech: NYC recently coauthored an op-ed with Council 25

- 2 \parallel Member Bottcher on the need for more housing, giving
- 3 our support to two solutions proposed by City of Yes.
- 4 | One, enact zoning reforms to allow modest increases
- 5 | in housing near public transit, which could create
- 6 more than 1.1 million new homes and ease commutes for
- 7 | workers. Two, remove off-street parking requirements
- 8 | for new apartment buildings, which will reduce the
- 9 cost of building new apartments and decrease rents.
- 10 Maintaining the status quo is no longer viable. The
- 11 City of Yes represents a decisive move to keep New
- 12 York's promise of opportunity alive for everyone.
- 13 | Thank you. I urge you to approve this critical
- 14 proposal.

- 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Last person
- 16 | in this panel is Braden Crooks.
- BRADEN CROOKS: Hello, my name is Braden
- 19 | Our exhibit, Undesign the Redline, is installed in
- 20 City Hall downstairs. I really encourage everyone to
- 21 go take a look at it. Celebrating nine years touring
- 22 | New York City and the nation, this exhibit tracks the
- 23 | history of redlining and urban policies that design
- 24 structural racism and inequality into cities and
- 25 | places across America. Here in New York, the legacy

built in many exclusive areas. At least since the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 180 2 Fair Housing Act, not one comprehensive change to New 3 York City's zoning code has made it easier to build 4 new housing across the entire city. Building a little more housing in every neighborhood would be a historic break from a history of exclusion that dates 6 7 back to redlining. It is far from the only thing we need to do to undesign the legacy of redlining, 8 further Fair Housing, rebuild wealth and communities, and assure everyone has the right to a home, but it 10 11 is a critical step. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We're going 12 13 to begin with Council Member Paladino followed by Council Member Lee. 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: First off, I'd 16 like to start with you on the end there with the 17 glasses. I'm not sure, I believe your name is Jackson? 18 19 KAZI HUSSAIN: Kazi Hussain. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Not, no, not 21 you, sir. You. 2.2 JACKSON CHABOT: That's correct, Jackson 2.3 Chabot.

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 181				
2	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: I want to know				
3	what your objection is. I hear a lot. First of all,				
4	where are you from?				
5	JACKSON CHABOT: Originally, or where do I				
6	live now?				
7	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Originally,				
8	where were you born? Where, and how long have you				
9	lived in New York?				
10	JACKSON CHABOT: Do you want my original				
11	address too?				
12	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Excuse me?				
13	JACKSON CHABOT: Do you need my original				
14	address as well?				
15	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: No, I do not,				
16	and don't get smart.				
17	JACKSON CHABOT: Okay.				
18	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Okay, because I				
19	don't like it.				
20	JACKSON CHABOT: I was born in Cincinnati,				
21	Ohio.				
22	COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Cincinnati,				
23	Ohio. Okay. What's the population of Cincinnati,				
24	Ohio, my friend?				

me laugh.

Council Members are going to direct their questions

to a panelist. The panelists are going to have a

24

2 | short response and then Council Members can redirect.

3 Everyone's going to have respect for each other. You

4 | can start the Council Member's time over again. All

5 right. Mr. Jackson, you can respond to the Council

6 Member and then, Council Member, you can proceed with

7 your next question, please.

1

8

9

25

COUNCIL MEMBER PALADINO: Thank you.

JACKSON CHABOT: Presently, I'm a District

10 | 39 resident. I live in Park Slope. I live very close

11 \parallel to the 2-3 train. I also live right across from a

12 | parking lot that has approximately 15 spaces.

13 | Consider this. All of you could be my neighbors. This

14 podium could be my neighbors. The first row could be

15 my neighbors and yet we have parking there. I would

16 | love to see you be my neighbor, Council Member

17 | Paladino, so that you can also live close to the

18 | train too. I'd offer for your District, I came to the

19 | school in your District, which you wrote the letter

20 of support for their school street. I took the 7

21 | train to the end of the line and then I took the bus

22 | to your District. I understand the context. So, yes,

23 | I might be a relative newbie to not having been born

 $24 \parallel \text{here or not having lived here, but so many other}$

people that are testifying today want to live in New

2.2

JACKSON CHABOT: Yes, and guess what? It would have been a lot faster to get there if the bus had not been backed up by vehicles and there were other many working-class neighbors in your District that were on the bus with me, both to and from.

you live in a residential area such as we do, we need our cars to go to the stores, to buy our food, to go to do our banking. We need our cars. So City of Yes is, you know, we've got a lot of different issues here. Right here today, we have seen an amazing amount of people with a great many needs that everybody is different. We sit here, we listen to my friend here, the artist, who discussed how important Gowanus is. It is terribly important to maintain that historic part of New York City. You are indeed the fiber of New York City. You are part of it. My family, too, comes from artists, so I understand that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
Member. Next, we're going to go to Council Member Lee
followed by Council Member Hanif and Council Member
Dinowitz.

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Hi, how are you? 3 Thanks for being here today, and I just want to say 4 that yesterday when I asked questions to Chair Garodnik, I understand obviously that we need housing and as Chair of Mental Health Disabilities and 6 7 Addictions Committee, I fully understand that. So, 8 you know, housing is one of the most important social determinants of health, so I believe all these things. However, the question I wanted to pose to you 10 11 all is that I live in one of two City Council 12 Districts that literally has no railway, subways, we 13 rely very heavily on buses and cars, and we have a 14 lot of older adults, seniors. We're a very diverse 15 community in terms of background, ethnic background. 16 I represent parts of Northeast and Southeast Queens. 17 Tons of flooding because of Hurricane Ida, because of 18 the Southeast Queens water table rising, as well as 19 rainfall falling. So, I have two questions, which is, 20 and this is open for any one of you guys, is how 21 would you propose more housing with the fact that the 2.2 MTA budget is not improving and that we don't have 2.3 more buses. In fact, our bus lines and bus services are getting cut. So, we need to make sure that we can 24

all live in this city, and this is the conundrum I

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | think that all of us are facing as Council Members,

3 | is that each District is very different. The

4 characteristics are very different, and my issue with

5 this plan is that it sort of has a one-size-fits-all,

6 | right? So, my question is, how would you propose a

7 District like mine getting around transportation-

8 | wise, given the limits? And the second question is

9 more, I guess, for the developer, which is one of the

10 questions I had yesterday for Chair Garodnik is, if

11 | we were to perhaps do a sliding scale for the parking

12 mandates but keep them, because as we know, we need

13 to keep City agencies accountable as Council Members,

14 because we can't even get them to do simple reporting

15 | bills on how they're spending funds, right, so can we

16 keep the mandates? And what I understood is that the

17 | waiver process, which developers can apply for, is

18 | cumbersome, so my question is, would it be beneficial

19 | to have a relook at the waiver process but keep the

20 mandates? So those two questions.

DEREK MARCUS: I'll address the parking question. I think maybe a more logical solution, rather than seeking a waiver, is to craft City of Yes so that there's more tailored approaches. Once you get further out from Manhattan and Greenpoint,

know that you don't have any rail access and that you

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

afterwards via email.

2.2

2.3

don't have buses so there's copious amounts of

evidence, both from New York City, that the

Department of City Planning presented yesterday, as

well as nationwide so happy to share that with John

COUNCIL MEMBER LEE: Yeah, no, totally, and I would like to, again, have the good faith and belief that developers will do right per District wherever they're developing, but also, you know, that's the same with City agencies as well so I'm just putting that out there.

JACKSON CHABOT: Totally, and I know for the recent Metro North example is one where the developer is still building more parking than mandated.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to have Council Member Hanif followed by Dinowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you, and great to see so many of my constituents here testify. I guess I'll start first with Undesign the Redline.

Thank you so much for taking me through the exhibit a couple of days ago, and it's still downstairs, and I highly recommend that you all really read through the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

exhibit to learn the decades-long racial segregation that had been built through policy and has residually just been in place, and Council after Council, Admin after Admin have attempted, through the support of community organizing, tenant organizing, tenant rights movements, to lift the segregation, and this also speaks to the kind of nativism that, you know, was shared with you, Jackson, that additionally fosters xenophobia and all types of social division and exclusionary practices. I'd like to know, in terms of the parking mandates, like this isn't saying that parking is gone. It's saying it is optional. So, actually, nothing changes because the places where developers will have a plan for parking will remain, and potentially, given historical precedents, they may create more parking spaces. Can you just speak to why in the Districts that are closer to transit, in rich transit neighborhoods, why removing this parking mandate is critically urgent?

JACKSON CHABOT: Totally. It's fundamentally about housing our neighbors. You know, I alluded to the parking lot across the street. For me, I would love for that to be supportive housing. I bike by Chips on 4th Avenue, which serves many at-

District is that when there's as-of-right

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

exist?

development, when a developer can provide zero parking, they do, and I don't blame them. They're there to make money. But that's not the needs in our District. I mentioned yesterday. I am interested to hear some of your thoughts, Mr. Marcus. For example, in my District, we have a number of R6 areas where it's zoned for R6 where there are single-family homes. Under current zoning, what is preventing a developer from developing apartment buildings there now, under current zoning, where single-family homes

DEREK MARCUS: I can't speak to that specific District, but what I can say is that we have a development site where parking is required for 50 percent of the units. It's a transportation-rich area. It's completely unnecessary. We will build parking there, but that is excessive.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: So this is, I think, a fundamental question. Who believes that parking is unnecessary? Who's determining that?

DEREK MARCUS: I think we're assessing the market because this is what we do as developers. We look at what the demand is, and we'll decide how much parking is right for the site, so we'll have the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 option to decide, okay, we could go, you know, we

3 | could do zero, but we're not going to do zero. We

4 know some residents will demand it because they have

5 cars. They rely on cars for lots of reasons. So

6 instead of doing 50 percent, which is mandated, we

7 | would have the option of doing 20 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: I just want to point out, only because I have time, I think it is an interesting question of who makes that ultimate determination. I think I heard the phrase, thread the needle. I think that's really important because our jobs are not Manhattan-centric. A lot of people in the Bronx, the number one employer is Montefiore. The DA's office employs a lot of people. That's across the Bronx, and cross-Bronx travel is awful. If I want to get to my buddy's house, it's an hour and a half from my house. It's quicker for me to get to City Hall. It's quicker for me to get to New Jersey. So I just, I think it's important to point out, if I may just finish, Chair, that...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Real quick, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: You know, parking isn't about, you know, what you determine.

Another thing, this proposal is very

contentious. It's very challenging. There are so much

24

like some parts of it, I am alarmed at others and ask

desk? We're going to have you testify with this panel

24

25

right here.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Next, I'm going to call on Alice Barrett.

ALICE BARRETT-MITCHELL: My name is Alice Barrett-Mitchell, and I am a 31-year resident of Ditmas Park. I am speaking today in opposition to the plan to rezone Beverly Road to allow three- to fivestory apartment buildings because it is half a mile of a subway station. Our neighborhood is unique in that there are three subway stations within four blocks of one another, Church Avenue, Beverly Road, and Cortelyou Road. What distinguishes Beverly Road from the other thoroughfares, however, is that it is not a commercial strip. It is lined with freestanding Victorian homes, most with front porches and gardens. This area provides architectural and aesthetic continuity between Ditmas Park and Prospect Park South, the landmarked area which also borders Beverly Road. Much of the neighborhood has already been rezoned to allow for apartment buildings, most notably Coney Island Avenue. A new building is currently under construction on the corner of Coney Island and Beverly that is to be 11 stories high and a full block long. Directly across the street from it, a 14-story building is proposed. The point is there is considerable construction already underway

going to have Tammy Meltzer.

2 TAMMY MELTZER: Good afternoon. I'm Tammy 3 Meltzer, Chair of Manhattan Community Board 1 and a 4 downtown resident for nearly 30 years. I worked at Windows on the World, where we had seamstress, banquet waiters, managers, and owners who all lived 6 7 downtown and walked to work. The diversity in Lower Manhattan was thriving with small businesses. After 8 9/11, the City and State put massive efforts into rebuilding, and by 2016, we had nearly tripled the 10 11 number of people living in Lower Manhattan, through a 12 huge push in 421A housing that required a stabilized affordable housing. In 2014, State Comptroller 13 14 DiNapoli said the number of children living downtown 15 tripled to 7,300, with three new schools being built. 16 Once we boomed, developers chose to offset affordable 17 housing to outer boroughs, and 421A started to 18 expire. Buildings transformed into condos or full 19 market-rate housing, and people were pushed out 20 through skyrocketing rents. Our Senate District has 21 lost more affordable housing than any other District in the entire state. Our local retail suffered from 2.2 2.3 less people and higher rents then the pandemic hit. However, the housing market continued to add more 24 25 market units, and rents and rack rates continued to

have Ms. Patricia Loftman.

25

2 PATRICIA LOFTMAN: Good afternoon. My name 3 is Patricia Loftman. I am President of the Park West Village Tenant Association, located on the Upper West 4 Side of Manhattan, where I have been a resident for 51 years. The proposed City of Yes is simply a 6 7 deregulation of the current zoning rules. The public 8 is told that the proposed goal is to address the current housing crisis. I maintain that a housing crisis does not exist. A housing affordability crisis 10 11 exists. This is the legacy of the deregulation of 12 over 400,000 rent-stabilized apartments lost during 13 the past 20 years. Were those apartments still in 14 existence, there would neither be a housing crisis or 15 an affordability crisis. Under the City of Yes, 16 developers will be permitted to build market rate and 17 luxury apartments wherever and whenever they want, 18 creating residentially segregated communities. At 19 Park West Village, zoning currently permits community 20 facility users up to 6.5 FAR on our lot, which is much higher than the amount used for residential 21 2.2 purposes. It is nearly impossible to use that floor 2.3 area because most of our lot is required to be residential open space, which can include both green 24 space and parking. That open space on our lot is a

against is building large complexes in areas that

EVIDELIA BOYD: Thank you. That's exactly

how you pronounce my name. Good afternoon, Chairman

24

faced rising rents and sometimes displacement so

these proposals and TODs, that would take half of

24

2 Rosedale so that would eliminate Rosedale, and

3 basically, what we're saying, and the other thing,

4 the ADUs, people do not belong in garages. Absolutely

5 | not. So, this City of Yes plan that was put together

6 by the Planning Commissioners is so unbalanced. Think

7 about it. When they put this plan together, which is

8 supposed to be addressed, a very important issue,

9 | it's actually making it worse for most of the people

10 | that you heard from today, so thank you for

11 | listening, and we hope that you vote no on the City

12 of Yes.

13

14

17

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Boyd.

I just want to state for the record,

15 | we've been joined by Council Member Avilés.

Do we have any questions for this panel?

There being no questions, this panel is

18 excused. Thank you so much for your testimony.

19 I'm just going to restate the names I

20 | called before for this panel. We're going to call on

21 (review) Eric Goshow, Emily Klein, Juan O'Neill, Gigi

22 | Girafatari, Romario Rodriguez-Aguilar, and MacKenzie

23 \parallel Fillow. If you hear your name, please come to the

24 dais.

2.

1

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The following panel will consist of

Connie Murray, Susan Breindel, Andrea Goldwyn, Ronda

Wist, and Daniel Mayo. If you are in the front row

and you are not joining a panel, we're going to have

to ask you to find another seat. Thank you, sir.

We can begin first with Eric Goshow.

ERIC GOSHOW: My name is Eric Goshow. I'm a Fellow of the American Institute of Architects, and I'm an active member of the A.I. New York Chapter's Housing Committee, and I'm a partner in my firm, Goshow Architects, where we focus on market rate and affordable and supportive housing in New York City. First the bad news, which we all know, New York City is in the midst of a housing crisis. This is a crisis at all levels, from market rate housing to affordable and supportive and special needs housing. The Regional Plan Association has estimated that we now have a deficit of 540,000 dwelling units in New York, and that will only get worse over time. So the question is, are we now willing to address this for the health and the future of our beloved city? The answer is good news. We have the City of Yes for Housing. It's an opportunity that I have personally not experienced since my firm was established back in

2.2

2.3

1978. What is it? It's a comprehensive, more or less comprehensive, review of New York City's zoning laws that have been in place since 1961, and are now in 2024, long overdue for revision. Housing production has suffered as a result. Our rethinking of zoning resolutions and regulations cannot be piecemeal, but have to be comprehensive and holistic, because everything is interrelated with one another. So, if we're looking for, for example, revisions in parking requirements, density around transit nodes, it's very difficult.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. I just want to state for the record, we've been joined by Council Member Stevens.

The next panelist we're going to hear from is Emily Klein.

EMILY KLEIN: Good afternoon. Thank you,

Chair Riley and Members of the Committee. My name is

Emily Klein, and I am the AVP for Policy and

Government Affairs at the Community Preservation

Corporation, a 50-year-old non-profit affordable

housing lender with a significant footprint in New

York City. CPC is honored to provide testimony today

in support of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity and

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to urge the Subcommittee to support these critical
3 zoning reforms.

City of Yes would update the City's Zoning Code to meet our current housing needs and promote more equitable neighborhoods across our city by enabling the construction of a little bit more housing in every neighborhood. These reforms can create the overall amount of housing the city needs without necessitating dramatic changes in any one neighborhood. A common criticism that we've heard of the proposed changes is that they would radically alter the fabric and character of our existing neighborhoods. It's important to stress that the proposals of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity were crafted specifically to do just the opposite. Yes, these proposals would enable specific and targeted changes in what type of development is permitted in each neighborhood, but these changes are small by design. In low-density neighborhoods, this would look like adding two to four stories of housing above existing ground floor commercial and town centers, or three- to five-story apartment buildings within a half mile of transit stations, where one- to threestory development is already common. In medium and

Planning for Community Economic Development where I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Staten Island Ferry.

2.2

2.3

worked on the foundation and legislative fundamentals of the City's Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance. I urge this Council and Subcommittee to vote yes for the City of Yes proposal with the following requirements.

Adherence to contextual zoning and an amendment and expansion of the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing zoning for the entirety of Manhattan Island, Long Island City, downtown Brooklyn, and areas near the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, sir.

JUAN O'NEILL: You're welcome.

Next, we're going to hear from, I'm sorry if I mispronounce your name, Gigi Gerefetari.

afternoon. My name is Gigi Gerefetari, and I'm working as a houseman at Courtyard by Marriott Eastside. I'm here to show strong support for the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal. Like many hospitality workers, I have struggled to find affordable housing due to high rents, long commutes, and currently this housing crisis affects not just me but also thousands of middle-class hospitality workers. The City of Yes proposal will maintain low current affordable housing and reduce commute times,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 letting workers live closer to their job. I agree
3 with the City Council to support this bill. Thank
4 you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Romario Rodriguez-Aguilar.

ROMARIO RODRIGUEZ: How you doing, Council? My name is Romario Rodriguez. I work at the Temple by Hilton. I'm a kitchen steward, and I'm here to show some support with the union, the Hotels and Gaming Trades Council. I'm over here to support that you guys pass this bill, and I am a resident from Queens and Woodside, Queens. I do see a lot of abandoned places that I believe should be housing. I am a single father, and I do believe that I can't afford to buy the nicest thing at the moment, but if I get an opportunity to have some housing to raise my son and make sure that he's good, I don't want to leave my community. I'm from Queens, and I feel like every District should play their part and let affordable housing in. So, you know, why? Because it's our community, and not all of us are born to this lifestyle that's, you know, you could afford everything, but if you give somebody a chance that has, like, a single father that has two incomes

Romario. Next, we're going to hear from MacKenzie

24

25

Fillow.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MACKENZIE FILLOW: Good afternoon. My name is MacKenzie Fillow, and I live in Brooklyn. I'm here to ask you to vote in favor of City of Yes for Housing. The Mayor is wrong about almost everything, but he's right about this. We need to build more housing in every neighborhood. Upzoning in a piecemeal fashion is inadequate and lets the most politically connected neighborhoods opt out. Doing it citywide is the fairest way to get where we need to go. I am a homeowner. I will be fine no matter what you do, but government doesn't exist just to protect the comfortable and well-off. You're supposed to help the poor, to make life a little easier for those who are struggling. The biggest problem this city has is the cost of housing. You have the power to do something about it. Please do it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There being no questions for... You can't redirect. Sorry, sir.

There being no questions for this panel, this panel is now excused.

If you're in the front row, you could now sit on the dais.

If you have further testimony, you could present it to the Sergeant-at-Arms when you're done.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 GIGI GEREFETARI: No, I submitted already.

3 I just omitted something I wanted to say.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. You could tell us after.

GIGI GEREFETARI: Okay. All right. Thank you.

We have the following panel come to the dais. I'm going to be calling two more panels after this panel, and then we're going to be transitioning into online testimony. All right. Welcome.

The following panel after the panel here will consist of Susan Zinder, Michael Sutherland, Catherine Trapani, Jacob Brooks, Rashida Tyler, and Baruch Yehuda.

This panel, we're going to begin first with Connie Murray.

CONNIE MURRAY: Hi. My name is Connie

Murray. I am a born and raised New Yorker. I was born
in Manhattan, and I've lived in beautiful Long Island
City for almost three decades now. The preceding
panel, finally, somebody mentioned the Mayor, so I'm
here to urge you to vote against this plan, and our
corrupt Mayor, his corrupt Administration, and their
paid lobbyists are the only ones pushing for a one-

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Next, we're going to hear from Susan Breindel.

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUSAN BREINDEL: Thank you for such a smooth hearing, a respectful hearing, and thank you, Christopher Marte, for really, you know, staying the

3 transfer takes place. It also would not be refundable should we not be able to convert to residential once 4 we've paid it. To clarify, to get rid of this Arts 5

thousand dollars out of pocket before any sale or

Fund conversion fee, we ask that the council remove 6

just five sentences in section 143-13, which require

8 the 100-dollar-per-square-foot fee to fully legalize

our apartments. We just want to follow the same

conversion requirements as everyone else, which City 10

11 of Yes makes easier for the rest of the city and are

laid out in section 15-01. This is discriminatory. 12

13 Thank you.

1

2

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Andrea Goldwyn.

ANDREA GOLDWYN: Good day, Chair Riley and Council Members. I'm Andrea Goldwyn, speaking on behalf of the New York Landmarks Conservancy. We've submitted our full statement and have copies. We have significant concerns about City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. This would be the biggest change to the Zoning Resolution in decades, but it's been rolled out in less time and with less meaningful public input than any neighborhood or even site rezoning.

Previous rezonings relied on residents' on-the-ground

longer require ULURP. We have to ask why you'd give

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Wist.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RONDA WIST: Good afternoon, Chair Riley and Council Members. I'm Ronda Wist. Previously, I was the Director of Land Use at the City Planning Department, Executive Director at Landmarks, and Vice President of MAS. Now I'm on the Board of Friends of the Upper East Side, but today I'm speaking on behalf of myself. I was very disappointed that this is the best that the City's Urban Planners could come up with for Manhattan. My neighborhood, Yorkville, needs

affordable units because for years decisionmakers and 2 3 the media have been silent as hundreds of reasonably 4 priced units have been replaced by luxurious oversized condos. City Planning says that every 5 community must take a little more housing. Using 6 Yorkville as our evidence, we know that the trickle-8 down theory of real estate development does not work. Zoning and zoning lot mergers, combined with lack of planning, have actually caused the destruction of 10 11 affordable units. These demolished four to six-story 12 buildings house New Yorkers for decades, the very 13 people that decisionmakers purport to care about. 14 Could you possibly fool yourselves into thinking that 15 more ultra-luxury buildings will foster even a tiny 16 number of affordable units? If that's the case, why 17 hasn't that happened in Yorkville? Zoning to allow 18 even taller buildings will not address our affordable 19 housing crisis. Have we learned nothing from the past 20 when affordable and dense developments such as the 21 historic Cherokee Apartments, Tudor City, converted 2.2 hotels, Penn South, and SROs were constructed? Small 2.3 family apartments and studios were offset by outdoor space. We need affordable apartments, not grandiose 24 three-story lobbies, indoor soccer pitches, and yoga 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Last person on this panel is Daniel Mayo.

needs your help. Thank you.

DANIEL MAYO: Good afternoon. First of all, I want to thank Councilman Holden for his steadfast position. Please listen to him. He's a man that speaks a lot of wise words.

Now, severe housing crisis, which is basically the reason why this proposal is before you. Will this severe housing crisis be solved by this proposal? A lot of you acknowledge it will only put a dent. It will not solve. Agree. How about affordability? Practically, everyone's talking about affordability, but we all know it won't solve affordability. Fifteen years, people want

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Daniel.
3 I would love to hear your suggestions, so please give
4 it to the Sergeant when you're done. We really,
5 really appreciate it. Thank you so much.

DANIEL MAYO: No problem. I'll also email you a copy and give you a copy.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Marte and then Council Member Holden has questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you, Susan, for being here. So, I just want to clarify what you said. Even before you convert from your manufacturing apartment, joint live-work-quarter apartment, into a residential apartment, you have to pay out-of-pocket close to 200,000 dollars.

SUSAN BREINDEL: That's my understanding, yes.

might not go through of your apartment, but that still comes out-of-pocket, and I'm well aware, but I'm sure you have a lot of friends who are still artists in SoHo and NoHo who are low-income, middle-income individuals and can never find 200,000 dollars

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 226
2	to move forward with, hopefully, the sale or the
3	transfer of their apartment.
4	SUSAN BREINDEL: Not to mention the then-
5	residential conversion, which is way more than the
6	Arts Fund.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: And which is
8	estimated at close to 1 million dollars per
9	apartment.
10	SUSAN BREINDEL: Yeah.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: And my last
12	question. There is no other place in the city where
13	this is happening. Is that correct?
14	SUSAN BREINDEL: Not that I've heard of,
15	no.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Just in SoHo and
17	NоНо?
18	SUSAN BREINDEL: No.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: That's not right.
20	Thank you.
21	SUSAN BREINDEL: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
23	Member Marte. Council Member Holden?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, panel.
3 Some good information here. I just want to talk and
4 ask Ronda. Is that your first name?

RONDA WIST: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You work for City Planning?

RONDA WIST: I did a long time ago, yeah.

Yes that you're seeing, did you ever think you'd ever see something like this where most of the regulations are being thrown out, because City Planning protected us in zoning. It protected neighborhoods, the character of neighborhoods. It protected where we bought into. So, I'd like to hear your take on this as to who's driving this.

RONDA WIST: Well, I cannot speak to who's driving this, but I think that parts of it are actually very useful, and so when I worked at City Planning, I don't think we would have done such an overall overhaul at one time. Remember, the 1916 zoning resolution was 50 pages, right? So when they first zoned New York City. So, this was, I don't know, over 1,000 pages for us to digest. I think it's been very, very complex. I think had they done it,

2 this is me, and I don't work there, and I haven't

3 worked there, but obviously they have a reason for

4 doing it citywide, but clearly from what we're

5 | hearing here, you know, Queens and Manhattan have

6 very different issues, unlike the City of Yes for

7 Economic Development, where I think the issues were

8 citywide. I think here the boroughs have very, and

9 the communities have very different issues from each

10 other, so I think it's very complicated.

just want to go to the one size fits all, which they won't budge on this. We had the Chair of the City Planning saying, well, all neighborhoods have to share the burden or to share affordable housing or housing, period. Now, and I brought this up. I said my District has probably the worst flooding. Our sewers are backing up in a normal thunderstorm, normal. I'm not talking about hurricanes or tropical storms. I'm talking about sewers backing up. My electrical grid is the worst. So, as a City Planner, would you, you know, recommend more housing?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If you could wrap it up, Council Member Holden?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I just want to finish that. One more, you know, additional housing when the infrastructure does not warrant it. It doesn't allow for it because flooding, stormwater runoff into the Newtown Creek, poor subways or no subways, bus service only, and then take away the parking. It's almost carte blanche for developers to just make more money, and that's what I see this as a City of Yes.

RONDA WIST: Well, I just want to say I do
think Chairman Garodnik did an amazing presentation
yesterday. I thought it was unbelievable for a
Chairman to do that presentation. But I want to say
in terms of infrastructure, I'm in Yorkville and we
have, you know, different infrastructure issues, so I
think, yes, infrastructure is a big piece of it, and
I think that's what everybody's saying, that, you
know, that all the agencies and all the entities must
work together, right, to make sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: We're not seeing that, though. We're seeing take it or leave it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Avilés.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you. I just wanted to follow up. You mentioned a couple of parts of the proposal that you've seen that you said are okay. Could you mention which parts of the proposal seem to be?

RONDA WIST: I grew up in southern Brooklyn, not south Brooklyn, but southern Brooklyn, and so there are parts of it that I definitely see are useful because my old neighborhood does have available school seats and there's available outdoor space so I do see certain infill pieces. This is me personally, this has nothing to do and, you know. So, again, anyway, I would like to have this conversation with you offline if that's okay, but, yeah, I definitely think there's some very good, I only have two minutes for 1,200 pages.

COUNCIL MEMBER Avilés: Okay. We'll follow up with you offline, but it's an important part of the conversation, too. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There being no more questions, this panel is excused.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next panel could come up. I just want to remind everyone after this next panel, we will go into, thank you for testifying, we will go into online testimony after this panel and then we'll be back to in-person testimony at 3 p.m.

SUSAN ZINDER: The first panelist I'm going to call to testify is Susan Zinder.

SUSAN ZINDER: I am Susan Zinder, testifying in support of City of Yes for my client, B'nai Adath Kol Beth Yisrael, located in Bed-Stuy. For 54 years, B'nai Adath celebrated its Jewish faith, Black heritage, and neighborhood community with a broad range of Jewish and community services, including hosting the only kosher soup kitchen within Bed-Stuy, available to all who needed food, no matter their observance or faith, but in 2017, fire destroyed the synagogue. During the past eight years, B'nai Adath has been in neighborhood exile, fighting to rebuild while discovering the impossibility of doing so without a developing partner due to the City's construction costs and zoning delays and other challenges. Currently, B'nai Adath's lot is Zone 6A, with size limits that render a multi-use building too small to enable fulfillment of B'nai Adath's needs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We know this because we issued an RFP for a partner developer only to receive responses that our deal did not have enough square footage to generate interest for B'nai Adath to meaningfully rebuild its synagogue. Attempting to rezone the lot for B'nai Adath alone, under current zoning, will only result in increased delays and costs. We believe that the changes embodied in City of Yes will enable B'nai Adath to timely attract a partner so it can use its real estate capital to benefit its future generations, rebuild its home, and bring needed affordable homes and services into Bed-Stuy, providing a vibrant community asset for its neighbors. We understand the worry that City of Yes may expand gentrification, undermining existing communities. B'nai Adath intends to use any multi-use rebuilding of its home to modernize and benefit not only itself, but its Bed-Stuy neighbors as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Michael Sutherland.

MICHAEL SUTHERLAND: Good afternoon. My name is Michael Sutherland, and I'm the Policy

Analyst at Open Plans, an organization that fights

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for a more livable city. I'm also a born and raised Brooklynite from deep southern Brooklyn in District 46. While there are many parts of this plan that Open Plans supports, I'm here to focus specifically on parking mandates, and there's a lot that's been said already about parking, and with my testimony, I'd like to present in good faith some of the evidence that's come out of cities that have done this already. Like Council Member Ossé mentioned, it's important to hear about what other cities have done in the results of that. There are close to 100 cities across the country, ranging from San Francisco to Birmingham, that have lifted mandates before New York City has. I'll talk about one a little closer to home, Buffalo. Buffalo lifted mandates in 2017. The impacts of this reform span decades, but early findings indicate that lifting mandates citywide works. A SUNY Buffalo study found that many new major developments included less parking spaces than would have been required previously. Importantly, it also showed that there were many developments that built the same or more parking than would have been required. Buffalo shows that parking is still built where it makes sense, but not citywide at an

arbitrary rate, and I think the evidence is important because it's important to not just blindly trust developers, but also to trust the data that's come out of these cities. And I'd like to close with important truths about lifting parking mandates. So right now, parking is often built where it isn't needed because of mandates. Lifting mandates wouldn't ban parking or require less parking to be built anywhere. In areas where there's high car dependency, parking will still be built. But in more dense areas where parking is unneeded, lifting mandates will allow more housing to be created. And finally, without lifting mandates, this proposal would not live up to its fullest potential to create as much affordable housing as possible. It could drive up costs in UAP developments outside of the transit zone and make SROs and ADUs more difficult or impossible to build in some places so it's vital that the Council lifts parking mandates in this proposal, and it would be tragic for New York City to remain behind the curve. We urge the Council to take the step that dozens of other cities have already taken, lift parking mandates citywide. Thank you for your time.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're

3 going to hear from Catherine Trapani.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Good afternoon. My name is Catherine Trapani, and I'm the Assistant Vice President for Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York. Our mission is to end homelessness. We cannot do that if we can't build more housing. We are proud to support the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity because we agree that if every neighborhood did its part and allowed for a little more housing in every neighborhood, the city would be much better positioned to address the shortage of affordable homes that exist today. While it's true that a zoning plan isn't a comprehensive housing plan, without necessary zoning changes, building more affordable housing will be exceedingly difficult. Right now, we have a project in our pipeline that could produce over 700 units in the next few years. This project envisions at least 60 percent of the units being set aside for homeless New Yorkers, with the balance being affordable to those earning less than 60 percent of the AMI. If the ability to build to the height of existing adjacent structures are not implemented, those homes might not get built. In

crisis for those who are most acutely feeling its

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

program. Thank you.

impact. Investments in more City capital funds for
extremely low-income and supportive housing

production are necessary. Additionally, City agencies
must be able to effectively manage a robust pipeline
of affordable housing. We also urge full funding for
city agencies and the implementation of the CityFHEPS

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Jacob Brooks.

JACOB BROOKS: Hello. My name is Jacob
Brooks. I'm a nobody. I just live here. I'm
testifying in support of City of Yes. First and
foremost, the rent is too damn high. I won't dwell on
that. We all know our money is better spent
elsewhere. The only cities in America where rent
hikes have slowed or rents have declined are cities
that have built more housing. Many issues that New
Yorkers are concerned about are also addressed by
building more housing. Climate change. Thanks to
walkability, public transport, and economies of
scale, urban living dramatically reduces individual
emissions versus suburban and rural living. If we're
worried about climate change, we should be striving
to make urban living the affordable option, not the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to a better future.

2 most expensive. Fears of gentrification.

Gentrification happens because new residents compete with existing residents for older, limited housing stock. If we're able to say yes to more housing, we can build a city that's big enough for all of us. Today, I've heard nebulous concerns over preserving neighborhood character and aesthetics. I say if your neighborhood character demands regulations that keeps rents high and makes housing unaffordable, then your character is elitist and exclusionary. I've heard concerns over parking and where will new residents park. I have good news for you. You're in New York. They don't need parking. They can take the train or the bus with the rest of us. I've heard complaints about infrastructure. We don't have enough infrastructure. That's not a justification to not build housing. It's an impetus to build more infrastructure. For years, we've let the perfect be the enemy of the good, and out of fear of change, we have empowered naysayers. I say we have to remember that the only thing we have to fear is fear itself and envision a future where the city is big enough for all of us. New York needs to be able to say yes

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're

3 going to hear from Rashida Tyler.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RASHIDA TYLER: Thank you. My name is Rashida Tyler. I'm the Deputy Executive Director of the New York State Council of Churches and a project manager for the Interfaith Affordable Housing Collaborative. We work with many faith leaders across the city who would like to develop affordable housing, senior housing, and supportive housing on their properties. However, due to current zoning, they are unable to develop the number of units that would be feasible to a developer. For example, one congregation in Brooklyn would love to redevelop its property to include affordable housing, but if they were to rebuild, they would lose about 18,000 square feet, and that would mean dipping below the number of units that would actually make their project viable. Faith leaders are actively trying to combat the outflow of community members who are being pushed out of their neighborhoods by gentrification, and they're even turning down market rate and luxury development to do so. However, while they have the desire to develop, many do not have the financial ability to go through a costly and lengthy rezoning waiver process

or variance processes. The City of Yes would enable them to build a little more, which is the difference between development being viable or not, keeping families in the neighborhood or not. For these reasons, we are generally supportive of the City of Yes. Although we support the City of Yes, we do agree with what we've heard from faith leaders across the city, advocates and community members, which is that the Universal Affordability Preference falls short of what is necessary to truly address affordable housing and homelessness crisis in New York City and, yes, it is an affordable housing crisis. We only need to deaggregate data from the housing crisis to see that those in luxury housing market are not having trouble or struggling finding homes. We need to make sure that if affordable housing is a goal of the City of Yes, then the policy needs to reflect this and create a mandate and deeper affordability than having just 20 percent of floor area allotted to affordable housing. I don't know where my time is at, so.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No, I think your time is up. They didn't restart the clock. You can submit the rest of your testimony to us. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Last person on this panel is BaruchYehuda. Sorry if I mispronounced your name.

BARUCH YEHUDA: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Rabbi Baruch Yehuda representing B'nai Adath Kol Beth Yisrael, one of those congregations that she was just talking about. We're a 71-year-old Jewish congregation primarily made of Jews of African descent located in Bedford-Stuyvesant, Brooklyn. I stand in support of the City of Yes legislation. Unfortunately, our congregation suffered a devastating fire in November of 2017. Since then, we've tried to rebuild only to run into obstacle after obstacle, the largest of which is the way our congregation is zoned. The bottom line is we need the developers who work with us to rebuild with helping to provide affordable housing to the citizens of New York City. Developers are in it for their profit margins, which means they need to have a certain number of square footage to make the plan viable, and under the current zoning laws, no set of mathematics work without cutting our congregational building size by two-thirds. This will inhibit our community work, which in the past has included free space for youth of the area, clothing, kosher soup kitchens, teenage

25 You're now excused. Thank you so much.

questions for this panel?

2.

_ _

Okay, so we have heard really good testimony this morning from people here in person. It is now time to also start hearing testimony from people who have signed up to testify online.

If you have not registered and you want to testify remotely through Zoom, please go to New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to register. For those testifying through Zoom, you will remain muted until you are recognized by myself to speak. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on. Once you are done testifying, please remain in the meeting until excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

Once an online panel is excused, you will be removed from the meeting as a group, and the next group of speakers will be introduced. Once removed, the participants may continue to view the livestream broadcast of this hearing on the Council's website.

For the next hour, we will hear testimony from online registrants. We will then alternate one hour at a time, people here to testify in person and people who signed up to testify remotely. I will continue to call out two panels at a time so that

2.2

2.3

2 people can get ready to testify. The first online

3 panel will consist of Assembly Member Jamie Williams.

The following panel will consist of Mary Francois, Brian Dembowski, and Daniel Cohen.

For those with us in person who are testifying in person, I know you've been here. You can step out, grab something to eat, use the bathroom. I just ask that you come back by 2:45.

Okay? Quietly, please.

We're going to start with Assembly Member

Jamie Williams. Assembly Member, you may begin.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you so very much. For the record, not against affordable housing. This initiative set forth by Mayor Adams and the City Planning of City of Yes will definitely cripple our middle-class communities and will forever change the suburban communities across the five boroughs, threatening one- and two-family dwellings, devastating lower-density communities. This is so flawed, lacking a true comprehensive analysis, especially communities that are transit deserts, like mine in South Brooklyn. As a community, we collectively oppose the City of Yes initiative. Our aim is to preserve our communities and green spaces

Ms. Francois. You don't want to testify anymore?

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you.

Next, we're going to call on Brian

5 Dembowski followed by Daniel Cohen.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Brian, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

Brian Dembowski. Okay. We'll come back to Brian.

We'll move to Daniel Cohen. Daniel, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

DANIEL COHEN: Yes. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Daniel.

2.2

DANIEL COHEN: Hi. My name is Daniel

Cohen, and I live on the Upper West Side of

Manhattan. My rent has gone up by 20 percent in the

last three years because we don't build enough

housing, so I strongly support City of Yes because it

would make housing more affordable by helping to

alleviate our severe housing shortage. There are two

parts of the proposal that I especially like. The

first is repealing parking mandates. Not only is this

environmentally friendly, but it's also economically

progressive. Parking spots don't get built for free, so when we force developers to build parking, they pass the costs on in the form of higher rents. People who own cars would be paying for the parking anyway, but people who don't own cars have to pay extra rent for something they don't need, and since people who don't own cars tend to be less wealthy than people do, the economic effect is that the less wealthy are subsidizing the more wealthy so repealing parking mandates is very economically progressive.

The other part of City of Yes that I want to highlight is legalizing SROs. This part speaks to me because I myself lived in an SRO when I was younger and couldn't afford a full apartment to myself because the SRO was naturally more affordable. Legalizing SROs is a great way to add more housing that's naturally affordable to people who aren't wealthy enough to afford a full apartment to themselves. Thank you, and I sincerely hope that City of Yes passes in its full form without getting watered down.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Daniel.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

16

18

19

20

21

24

25

ERICA TURNER: Thank you for correcting 2.2 23 that. Can you hear me?

Turner, excuse me. Erica Turner.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you. You may begin.

We'll begin first with Eric Turner. Erica

2 ERICA TURNER: Hello, everyone. I am 3 testifying to oppose the City of Mess. I live in a 4 small complex which has a playground in the center of it and adjacent to a building which we share parking lots. Because of the new zoning rules, Settlement 6 7 Housing Fund will be able to build a 15-story 8 building in our parking lot and turn our playground into a parking lot with no space for a fire zone. Our backyard will be vulnerable to strangers to enter 10 11 into our private spaces, which currently is a gated 12 area. Today, we have air, safety, and sun. With this 13 new building going up, people who sit on their 14 balconies will no longer be able to get the vitamin D 15 recommended by doctors. Everything will be taken away 16 from us. I understand people need a space to live, 17 but why must our quality of life be imposed upon just 18 for someone else to have somewhere to live? On September 17, Brooklyn Paper reported our Council 19 20 Member, Justin Brannan, stated during a ribbon-21 cutting for a NYCHA city housing playground, in Coney 2.2 Island, we deserve the best for our city that our 2.3 city has to offer, which includes safe places for our kids to play, learn, and grow. For so long, the 24 powers that be overlooked our needs. Coney Island is 25

2 being overdeveloped and is affecting the people that

3 live here. I please and pray that you please oppose

4 the City of Yes because it's going to affect the

5 children as well, not just the adults that have to

6 pay rent here. Thank you.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ahead.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. I'm now going to ask for LaShaun Ellis.

LASHAUN ELLIS: Yes. Hello.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay. Go

want to thank all the folks that have testified before me against this proposal. My name is LaShaun Ellis. I represent both MTOPP, my Crown Heights community, my Block Association, Sullivan Ludlum Stoddard Neighborhood Association, and myself. As one of the biggest corruption scandals in our city, the first New York City Mayor to be indicted will continue to affect the city with his dirty dealings for decades to come if these so-called City of Yes text amendments are put into law. The Mayor, along with his BLAST Planning Committee, wants to create 100,000 apartments with the City of Yes text amendments, but there are almost 200,000 apartments

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 252
2	Preference units can actually reside outside of the
3	zoning lot that is supposed to have the affordable
4	units. The apartments can either be in the community
5	district
6	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired.
7	LASHAUN ELLIS: Or outside of the district
8	as long as the lots and apartments are within half a
9	mile of each other. Please, I really implore you to
10	vote against this plan. There's so much more
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, we're
12	keeping everybody to two minutes, but thank you.
13	Thank you very much.
14	Mark Anaya.
15	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Mark, is
17	Mark Anaya there?
18	Okay. We can come back to Mark. Alan
19	Becker?
20	Oh, Mark. Okay. We have Mark Anaya.
21	UNIDENTIFIED: That's not him.
22	UNIDENTIFIED: No, he's here.
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Mark Anaya
24	is there? Mark Anaya? Mark Anaya?

MARK ANAYA: Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Go ahead,
3 your time begins now.

MARK ANAYA: All right, I'm sorry. All right, so I'm the Vice President of the Neponset Properties Owner Associations. We've been around since 1919. We're one of the largest associations in probably New York City. We represent about 3,000 members in our area. I just want to cut to the chase. We want you to vote no for the City of Yes. And one of the reasons why in this location, we are in a flood zone. We got destroyed by Hurricane Sandy. We're surrounded by water on all three sides. We're a peninsula. The water table keeps getting higher. Even when we get just rain, our sewer system is flooding. We cannot take any more structure or more building. The infrastructure is not going to handle it. We did our fair share. We have numerous of senior centers here, adult living, SROs, halfway houses so they even asked the Community Board 14 what they think about the City of Yes. They overwhelmingly said no. We do not want the City of Yes, and they voted it down. Donovan Richardson, the Queens Borough President who appointed these people that live in the community, handpicked them, and they said no, we don't want the

time is up. Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 MARK ANAYA: Sorry about that. I'm not
3 that tech savvy with this whole thing. Thank you for
4 getting me in. Can you still hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED: Go ahead and mute yourself.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Brian

7 Demboski, please.

2.2

2.3

Darrick Borowski.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

DARRICK BOROWSKI: Hi.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Hi, you're Derek? Okay.

DARRICK BOROWSKI: Good afternoon, Chair and Council Members, and thank you for this opportunity. I'm an architect and a member of the AIA New York Housing Committee where, with colleagues, I've had the opportunity to study key elements of this plan and its impact on neighborhoods. I also teach, research, lecture, and write on the future of cities and housing. What both my practice and academic work have shown is that our zoning codes establish what is possible and, as a result, help shape what we get, and the current zoning code, written to address a very different crisis in 1961, has, as a result, helped shape the crisis we face

has seen its ups and downs, but always it's been the

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Falkson.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Falkson, and I've lived on the Upper East Side for over five years, and I urge the City Council to support City of Yes. I'm a member of Open New York and a concerned citizen who loves the city, loves its dynamism, and I'm worried about the lack of opportunities for young people and families to find affordable places to live. I have a five-day-old infant, and I want to thank my very generous wife for letting me take the time to testify today. We want to be able to stay in the city. We may want to have more children, but the reality is that there are not nearly enough family-sized apartments being built. I personally had friends leave the city as their families have grown, and it's heartbreaking. I want to share a personal story to illustrate why I feel this so deeply. My mother grew up in current Council District 19, Council Member Paladino's District, in a development called Crider's Point in Beechhurst. She lived there in the 1950s and '60s, and it was a wonderful place to raise a family. It had spacious apartments, waterfront views, and amenities that supported the kind of strong community New York City was known for. Crider's Point was the kind of

D.J. FALKSON: Hi. My name is D.J.

as possible. Each of these modifications will chip

away at the amount of affordable housing that can be

built citywide, so I urge the members of the Council

to support City of Yes. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

very much. Sadia Rahman.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

SADIA RAHMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Sadia Rahman and I'm the Deputy Director at Chhaya CDC. I'm also here representing the Basement Apartments Safe for Everyone, or BASE, coalition. We're here today because we have been fighting for more than 15 years to make basement homes safe and regulated. Sorry, I can't put my video on. City of Yes for housing opportunity includes zoning reforms that are necessary to create a program for basement apartment conversions and we urge the Subcommittee and the Council to ensure that the final version of this proposal maintains these key components for safe basement apartments. Number one, allowing for the conversion of basement and cellar apartments and existing building footprints without raising noncompliance issues. Two, eliminating the requirements for additional parking when a subgrade unit is being converted. And three, not considering cellar conversions to be creating new floor area. As a result of these proposed changes, livable spaces and small homes can be safely occupied without

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Time is up.

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SADIA RAHMAN: Thank you so much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Adeola Deloatch.

ADEOLA DELOATCH: Good afternoon. My name is Adeola Deloatch. I'm originally from Nigeria, and I'm voting for the City of Yes to support the Housing Opportunity and I'm standing on behalf of myself and I'm standing on behalf of the homeless people. I'm standing on behalf of the domestic violence because I was a victim of domestic violence and I was living in a shelter for one year. I couldn't get any help because of my immigration status and I keep losing the custody of my kids because of affordable housing. I couldn't afford to rent an apartment which is like 3,000, 4,000 and I keep losing the custody of my kids. While I was living in the shelter, I could listen to a series of stories a lot of hard-working women which they are just a victim of domestic violence living in a shelter and they all start as City voucher but there is no housing to move out. Some of them have been there for years. They keep working. They keep looking for hope that one day they're going to get an affordable housing so they can move out of the shelter. I was terrified. I was

George Calderaro, Angela Kravtchenko.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 We're going to first call Cathy Cebek.

3 CATHY CEBEK: Hi. Good afternoon.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Go ahead.

CATHY CEBEK: Okay. Thank you. I didn't

know if you could hear me.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Sure.

CATHY CEBEK: Good afternoon. I think we should have had a City of Yes infrastructure hearing first. I think you would have had a resounding yes on this. I was going to read my testimony, but it's only two minutes. I emailed it. I'm hoping that Council Committee reads all the testimony from today and from City Planning hearing. My testimony was basically asking for City Island Special District Zoning to remain. We are a flood zone. We are inundated during coastal storms and just plain rain. These infrastructure issues need to be addressed before any plan. I was happy to hear Council Member Riley ask the question about Special District. I would like to thank Council Member Marmorato and Borough President Gibson for listening and advocating to allow Special District Zoning to remain. We're wondering if they're going to change the actual zones and will you put in additional language in place that City Island Special

very much. Okay. Alicia Spears.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CATHY CEBEK: I don't think I had two

3 minutes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALICIA SPEARS: Yes. Good morning. I'm Alicia Spears. Can you hear me?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Yes.

ALICIA SPEARS: Okay. Alicia Spears from Cambridge Heights, Queens, and a homeowner. Stop the lying and quickering. This isn't about housing. All of you know it and don't care. We are the hospitals, the schools, the supermarkets which are extremely crowded already and support this value of people. Infrastructure decades behind. Those who really are honest and fight for the homeless, you have been hoodwinked. This is a shakedown. Our own government representatives and agencies are not law-abiding either. What makes you think they will make developers and others follow laws, buildings, codes and restrictions as well? I ask that all involved with the City of Yes be fully investigated. Governor in that office, our politicians, Department of City Planning Head and those in that office, Housing Preservation and Development Head in that office, DEP, Trade Unions, Fire Department Chiefs, Inspectors, Developers in New York City and other

SUBCOMMITTEE	\cap NI	7 ON TNC	ΔMD	FRANCHICEC

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 states and namely other countries, not-for-profit

3 homeless organizations, churches, church leaders,

4 investigate all connected to City of Yes. Don't leave

5 one rock unturned. No to City of Yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. George Calderaro.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

GEORGE CALDERARO: Hello, I'm George Calderaro, Board Member of six New York City civic organizations. City of Yes for Housing Opportunity won't create affordable housing because it does not require or even prioritize affordable housing from building owners and developers except for one optional voluntary provision, but it does encourage more unaffordable market rate and luxury housing. If the City were serious about creating and retaining affordable housing, it would not allow the demolition of massive buildings such as the Hotel Pennsylvania whose 2,000 rooms with bathrooms could easily be used for housing as opposed to the massive vacant lot we'll all be stuck with for the rest of our lives, like those vacant lots that are found throughout Midtown Manhattan, where massive historic buildings were destroyed by so-called developers with impunity.

25 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Angela Kravtchenko.

GEORGE CALDERARO: Thank you.

ANGELA KRAVTCHENKO: Kravchenko, yeah, that was perfect. Thank you so much. No, that's okay. That's perfect.

Good afternoon. I'm Angela Kravtchenko. I'm Brooklyn resident and I'm a member of Community Board 13, First Chair. Community Board 13 is Coney Island, Brighton Beach, Seagate area, and we voted no for the City of Yes, but I want to say we're not against affordable housing. Also, we cannot rely on developers to solve the housing affordability crisis. It didn't work in Coney Island. In the past 10 years, developers built 3,370 units, mostly rentals. 72 percent were rented at the market value. 28 percent are marked affordable, but not by Coney Island residents. Our median income is 44,000. 25 percent of Coney Island residents live below poverty line and very few of them have a chance to live in those buildings with indoor pools and gym and other amenities. Homeownership decreases at the same time 5 percent. Residential prices went up 55 percent. So that was our reasoning. Another important issue is a

adds more housing units to our city that direly needs

2.2

2.3

them. History has repeatedly shown us that having supply that exceeds demand is the best way to bring costs down. We saw this during the pandemic when demand dropped, prices dropped. Supply remained constant. We saw this in Minneapolis and Austin where supply was allowed to outpace demand, so prices went down. We need to do the same here. I understand the changes aren't palatable to everyone. We all want our neighborhood to stay the way it was when we moved in, but we have to hold our noses and vote yes rather than saying not in my backyard because we want people to have homes, beds, safe places to live.

That being said, I want to raise a few concerns. The proposal does not do anything to remove the barriers that prevent housing expansion or modernization coming from the Landmarks Commission.

My building was built in 1890, a time when the City of New York had one-eighth the current population.

There's nothing I can do to change my building because Landmarks will say no. I can't add more housing. Similarly, there's economic challenges here as well. The Universal Affordability Preference builds in permanently affordable housing, but it has no release valve or pressure valve should the cost of

York City is at a critical juncture, either continue

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with population decline or rebuild and prioritize working families within our city. By voting yes on City of Yes, we can help build a little more housing throughout the city. I currently reside in Astoria, a neighborhood that has experienced substantial housing development in recent years. According to StreetEasy, Astoria's rental inventory has surged 47.1 percent year-over-year while rental prices have increased 5.5 percent. While 5.5 percent may appear significant, it represents nearly half of the rent growth absorbed in the rest of the world, which stands at 11.1 percent. Notably, Astoria is the only Queens neighborhood to rank among the top ten in rental inventory growth. Many of my friends reside in two- or three-bedroom units with roommates, sometimes accommodating up to six individuals per apartment. Many of them would love to live alone but cannot due to financial constraints that prevent them from affording studio or one-bedroom units independently. Increasing the availability of single-unit housing options will enable younger individuals to obtain independence while simultaneously providing larger units for family. I support City of Yes, and I hope that it passes in the fullest possible way. Cutting anything

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you so much.

out of City of Yes will significantly decrease the
viability of future projects and decrease the
substantial choices that City of Yes offers. Thank

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Michelle Kuppersmith?

MICHELLE KUPPERSMITH: Thank you, and thank you Council Member Schulman. I spend a lot of time in your District. My grandmother has lived on 62nd Drive for almost 70 years now. I also want to thank the other Council Members for being here and listening to our testimony. My name is Michelle Kuppersmith, and I'm here to ask you to vote in favor of the entirety of the City of Yes Housing Opportunity Proposal because our city is in a shameful and dire housing crisis that leaves 45,000 children sleeping in shelters every night. I'm a Council District 1 resident, which had the dishonor of creating one subsidized housing unit last year, and I thank my Council Member for that failure. Please be skeptical when he says he supported subsidized projects in our District, such as Grand Street Guild or (INAUDIBLE) Broom, both of which were approved many years before he was elected Council

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Member. We need City of Yes so that we can build in Districts with do-nothing Council Members like mine who say they want affordable housing, yet take no steps to propose zoning changes that would hasten their creation. Folks might have been a little confused about earlier testimony, and I think there might be some coming up regarding the SoHo/NoHo rezoning, which passed all the way back in 2020. You should be aware that this is a stunt by my District 1 Council Member to set up the removal of certain provisions of that rezoning from the zoning text during City of Yes negotiations in an effort to benefit his real constituency, rich SoHo apartment owners who knew full well that purchasing multimillion-dollar apartments zoned only for artists and residents was illegal. It's distressing that my Council Member seems more interested in protecting the profits of rich SoHo apartment owners than welcoming neighbors to his District. When CB3, my Community Board that I'm a member of, although I'm here in my personal capacity, has year after year named affordable housing as our number one District need, and in fact, Community Board 3 in Manhattan did overwhelmingly support this proposal so please vote

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 277
2	yes on City of Yes in its strongest possible form so
3	we can address the racist housing crisis that is
4	ongoing, and which a recent poll said 80 percent of
5	New Yorkers support. It's not only the right thing to
6	do, it's the popular thing, and thank you for
7	spending so much time here. I know it must be very
8	difficult. Have a good one.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you
10	very much. David Tenenbaum.
11	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.
12	LORA TENENBAUM: Well, I'm not David. I'm
13	Lora Tenenbaum. I did sign up to speak, and I hope
14	I'm not speaking out of turn. Shall I start?
15	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Are you part
16	of the same household as David?
17	LORA TENENBAUM: No. No, I do not know
18	David.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Hold on one
20	second. You signed up?
21	LORA TENENBAUM: Oh, yeah. I signed up.
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Can you just
23	tell us your name again? I'm sorry.

LORA TENENBAUM: It's Lora Tenenbaum.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay. All right. Go ahead. You can testify. Your two minutes

4 starts now. Go ahead.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LORA TENENBAUM: I want to first thank my City Council Member, Chris Marte, for being such a fierce advocate for his constituents in the city. I see that you, too, value his knowledgeable input here. This plan seeks to solve, in one overly broad stroke, problems that beset our city, respecting residential land use. I think most of you have made clear you recognize its flaws, and I hope it leads you to require the revisions within scope it needs to meet its goals or to an outright rejection. The greatest flaw in the plan is its simplistic, neoliberal approach to housing. It seeks to have the marketplace solve our housing crisis, removing most restrictions, and the mistaken idea that build, baby, build is the solution. That only benefits real estate, of course. It may well bring us more housing, but not the kind needed, which is affordable housing, including supportive housing. DCP has ignored the many economists and studies that expose its flaws, including a glacial and temporary growth in the type of residences we need. So, my major ask would be to

Nope.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Okay. The other is that I want to just tell folks, if you signed up online, please stay by your computer. If you're not there when we call your name, we're going to have to skip over it, and then see if we have time for you at the end so please, please stay by your computer.

The next panel is Esther Gimelfarb, Carin Bail, Edward Ray, Diane Vigiano, Kevin Jones.

Okay. We're going to start with Esther Gimelfarb.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

ESTHER GIMELFARB: Hello. I am Esther

Gimelfarb. I am a lifelong New Yorker, a resident, a
proud taxpaying homeowner in Regal Park, Queens. This
entire process has been untransparent and a very
cynical, disingenuous theater that pretends to listen
to ordinary New Yorkers and residents and has
deployed unscrupulous, very arrogant, very divisive
ad hominem such as we're NIMBYs and elitists and even
racists, despite overwhelming opposition by every
demographic as we have seen in hearings like this and
previous ones. We have respectfully participated, put
our lives on hold, struggled to get informed,
struggled to inform others in this, like I said, very

CARIN BAIL: Hi. My name is Carin Bail.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Sorry about

3 that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARIN BAIL: Thank you so much. I am here before you today on behalf of myself and the Hollis Hill Civic Association to voice our firm opposition no to the City of Yes proposal. Despite these overwhelming concerns that are raised by numerous community members, it seems that the City and certain members of this Planning Commission are intent on pushing this forward. Over the last months and year, countless residents have expressed their objection through public forums, written statements, petitions. They've articulated fears about potential negative impacts in our communities, environmental sustainability, and overall community well-being, and these voices are being met with disregard. What is your goal here? Are we to believe that the City prioritizes progress over the genuine concerns of its constituents? This situation raises serious questions about the commitment to democratic principles. And when community members are repeatedly expressing their opposition, the responsible course of action is to listen and engage in meaningful dialogue.

Reconsider this proposal. And if the City continues

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to thank you for giving us the opportunity to testify.

While the housing crisis impacts all New Yorkers, older adults acutely feel the effects of high housing costs and fear they'll be priced out of the communities they've lived in for years. According to a report from Center for Urban Future, New York's 65-plus population grew by 36 percent, or about 363,000 people, between 2011 and 2021. As our older adult population grows rapidly, New York City must ensure housing policy meets this growing need. AARP New York supports a number of housing proposals, including allowing homeowners to build accessory dwelling units on their properties. ADUs increase affordable housing options for all New Yorkers and give older New Yorkers the freedom to downsize and live near their loved ones or caregivers. For older adults on fixed income struggling to stay in their homes, adding a small basement or attic apartment to their property can be the difference that allows them to age safely in their communities. Family caregivers, often friends or family members, are the backbone of a broken long-term care system. They help with everything from buying groceries, managing

I want to thank you again for allowing us to testify today, and I will be submitting a longer statement with more detail in writing. Thank you so much.

 $\label{eq:acting chairperson schulman: Thank you,} % \end{substitute} % \end{substitute$

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

I'm going to go back. Is Edward Ray here on Zoom? Diane Vigiano?

Okay. The online portion is now closed.

We're going to go back to in-person for the next hour, so I'm going to call this panel up to the front. June Moses, Celinas Miranda, Renee
Kinsella, Leonard Palletta (phonetic), and Tom Duane.

Is June Moses here? Hi. Okay. We'll start with you. You have two minutes.

JUNE MOSES: Thank you, sir. Hi. My name is June, like the month, Moses, like the Bible. I am a past president of a tenant association in Harlem, 1,000 people, but right now I'm here as a private citizen and a very concerned one. I'm struggling to understand how the City of Yes on Housing Opportunity, which is to address the desperate need we have for housing in New York City, will meet the needs of those this ambitious plan claims to help. First, let's talk about the 87,000 people living in DHS shelters. That number is not my number. I didn't come up with it. It's from the New York City Department of Investigations report on October 17, 2024. Google it, look it up, see what I'm talking about. See, I wonder if that number would be less if

Yorkers and we are barely making it. It's not my

7

8

25

feelings and vibes on the subject. This is documented 10

percent AMI. Now, there's about 2 million residents

here in the city that are considered low-income New

11 by the poverty tracker presented by Columbia

12 University and the organization Robert Hood. These

13 are people for whom affordable housing is necessary,

14 not a luxury, yet I don't see how the City of Yes

15 offers a real path to affordable living and most of

16 us who are living at 40 percent AMI, we recognize

17 that that type of rent at 40 percent and above is not

18 viable for low-income residents. Now, let's move on

19 to the middle-income folks who are here who are also

20 getting squeezed and they are usually the ones who

21 have cited that the City of Yes is truly going to

2.2 help. Now, for those who rent, again, me, we already

2.3 know that AMI is a fluid number, which just this year

experienced a 10 percent increase. This has 24

effectively raised the bar on what affordable means,

SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 making housing less affordable. Now, keep in mind...

3 sorry, I'll submit the rest. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Submit the rest, but if you want to just wrap it up and...

JUNE MOSES: Oh, yes. The cost of living from employers only went up 3.8 percent. The math ain't math, and until we can take care of everybody, I would have to say no or give us a plan on how we're going to leave.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Celines Miranda.

CELINES MIRANDA: Housing crisis, a terminology that's constantly being abused, used to give private developers the right to exploit our communities. All they do is build market rate housing and provide the minimum of 20 percent affordability, and our housing crisis persists. We need a different solution. There's plenty of underutilized buildings. Let's work with the ones we have now. Let's return to rent control regulations. Housing is a necessity, not a commodity. City of Yes is a plan to service private developers. Height restriction must remain. Why not allow the constituents to participate in the changes of their own community? Developers abuse their power,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

such as related owners of Hudson Yard who want to demolish our Fulton and Elliott Chelsea public housing under the guise of resident engagement and a false narrative that our buildings are falling apart, but our buildings are strong, solid, and built to last, which only need maintenance and repairs. They want rezoning to triple the height and change the aesthetic of our tranquil, cozy community with plenty of open space and an abundance of trees. The elderly, sick, disabled, and the mental well-being of the tenants are not being taken into account. We will be sacrificed. Elected officials going along with it to give preference to developers. We are a capitalist society but a democracy first. Protect our city. We cannot withstand so much more weight. We are at risk now. Stop debilitating New York City. Keep our height limit and no more rezoning in Chelsea. What the Mayor needs to do is put a rent cap 30 and 40 percent for higher income earners and hardworking class. He should lay out a rent control plan. City of Yes should be put to a complete halt. Mayor Adams is under investigation and all of his approved proposals are suspicious. No demolition of Fulton, Elliott, and Chelsea.

1

3

4

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you

very much. Renee Kinsella. You can start now.

RENEE KINSELLA: Thanks for the

opportunity to speak today. My name is Renee Kinsella 5

and I'm speaking on behalf of the 29th Street 6

7 Association on the East Side of Manhattan. We are

8 concerned about the adoption of City of Yes for

Housing Opportunity because it fails to guarantee

affordable housing will be built in our community and 10

11 further absolutely fails to preserve our existing

12 affordable housing. We've seen previous rezoning,

13 some of which generated a large supply of market rate

14 and luxury housing, yet did not alleviate the housing

15 crisis so we're skeptical that this time some sort of

16 trickle down supply side will provide the

17 affordability that we seek. We've been told that this

18 plan includes specific affordable housing provisions,

19 yet it appears what this means is leaving the

20 incredibly important goal of producing affordable

housing almost entirely up to developers to decide 21

how much affordable housing will be built or whether 2.2

2.3 any affordable housing will be built in our community

at all. And if developers choose to build all luxury 24

buildings without a single unit of affordable 25

for current affordable housing, quaranteed

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

infrastructure improvements, and community input processes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Is Leonard Palletta here? No? Okay.

So, former State Senator and former City Council Member, Tom Duane.

TOM DUANE: Thank you very much. I'm going to just go through a bunch of stuff. You could say I'm neither totally opposed nor totally for this. I am one of the authors of the Chelsea Plan I also shepherded through the City Planning Commission and then through the City Council. It has been modified through the years, but I just want to give one example of something that happened, because I heard the woman from VOA saying (INAUDIBLE) do anything, they actually put a development with supportive housing. We permitted them to put in an extra floor and the mechanicals on top. And Hell's Kitchen does the same thing. Very accommodating, and I know not every neighborhood is, but to people who could use supportive housing. In a perfect world, even if this does go through, although I understand the Council's going to do its own, but really, it would be, I don't know, Community Boards don't have planners, they

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 was supposed to be for affordable housing. There is

3 no way to build affordable housing. I mean, as far as

4 I know, the State Legislature has not given any

5 | incentives to build affordable housing.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay.

TOM DUANE: And also, one last thing. Two last things. One is, okay, what they're doing Chelsea and Elliott and Fulton houses. How come that's not being done in East New York? I mean, let's look at where affordability matters. And also, now you've made it so that someone who lives in East New York will have a more difficult time getting an apartment in a new building because of the way the Community Board... now, I understand that it doesn't work that way in Manhattan, but it works everywhere. The other thing is, New Yorkers are Americans. They drive. I think you have to have garages where people have cars. They want to get out of the city. Uber, Lyft, you know, is not enough, rental cars, and if congestion pricing comes in, I can't wait to see what 61st Street looks like. Are they going to have, like, an internal parking there? I mean, you have to give people a place to park. People are Americans.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2	TOM DUANE: And the Port Authority should
3	have built places where buses could go after they
4	dropped off tourists to go to shows so that the bus
5	drivers didn't have to sit around idling. They could
6	eat food and, you know, relax until they had to bring
7	people back.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Senator.

TOM DUANE: I can give you more than that, as you know, Lynn, but look at me. I'm stopping now. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much.

Okay. Does anybody have questions for this panel? No?

Okay. Thank you very much, all.

TOM DUANE: There might be questions. That was very fast, Lynn.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: That's okay. No, that's okay. We have a lot of folks today.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. I am back. Thank you so much, Council Member Lynn Schulman.

The next panel that we're going to call up consists of Yahel Carman, Isaac Alderstein,

Guillermo Gomez, Talya Shwartz-Neor (phonetic),

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Rachel Simmons, and Garrett Armwood, and Marjorie 3 Brown.

The following panel after will consist of Jack Bolembach, Thomas Diana, Vienna Levitan, Michelle Alleyne, I believe, and Migdalia Cortez.

If you heard your name, please come up to the front seat. We will begin first with Yahel Carmen. Sorry if I mispronounced your name.

YAHEL CARMEN: Thank you, Council, for the opportunity to speak. My name is Yahel Carmen. I'm a homeowner in Ridgewood, Queens, father of two autistic public school children ages three and six. I'm here because I think it's important for the Council to hear from a wide range of voices, but it is hard for parents of young children to show up for Community Board meetings that start during bedtime or hearings like this that run during school pickup. I took a vacation day to be here today. I'm here because I'm a parent who desperately wants to stay a New Yorker, but as it stands, our City's zoning regulations make that harder than it needs to be. I'm here to speak in favor of the City of Yes Housing Opportunity proposal. Many parents of young children are fleeing to other states that are growing their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in their entirety. Thank you.

housing supply to meet demand. The city's supply of housing has failed to meet their needs. The number of children under four in the city has dropped 15 percent since 2020. When my children were born, the zoning ban on accessory dwelling units meant that our newborn childcare options were severely limited. I didn't have room to have a grandparent or childcare worker move to help when my wife and I returned to work. I would happily jump at the opportunity to convert my fully detached garage to an ADU to enable me to expand the livable spaces on my property. We need to make it easier for families to stay in the city. City of Yes represents an opportunity to allow families to continue to grow and thrive without being forced to leave the city. More broadly, I'm fortunate to be a homeowner, but if my son's public school teacher can't afford to pay her rent, if we cannot find a local speech therapist to support my son's speech delay because none can afford to live in our neighborhood, then we are failing to create a livable community. We need more housing options in every neighborhood to allow every neighborhood to thrive. I encourage the council to support these zoning changes

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

3 Next, we'll hear from Isaac Adlerstein.

ISAAC ALDERSTEIN: Hi, good afternoon and thanks so much for giving us all the opportunity to speak. So, I'm Isaac Adlerstein, and I serve as the Executive Director of Broadway Community. We are a 42-year-old human service agency in Morningside Heights. We operate a soup kitchen, shelter, on-site medical services in partnership with the Institute for Family Health, case management services, and so much more. Each week, hundreds of New Yorkers come to us to find food, sanctuary, and community. Approximately half of the people that we serve, whom we call guests, are currently living in the streets or in the shelter system. The other half is severely rent burdened, necessitating reliance on emergency services like those that we provide. New York City, of course, is known worldwide as a place of opportunity, but for far too many, that promise is slipping away. We've heard the statistics already, but last night 87,000 people slept in the shelter system, including over 19,000 families with children. And according to City Harvest, approximately 1.4 million New Yorkers have depended on emergency food

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

programs in 2023. Another point is that in Fiscal Year 2023, the average single adult in the shelter system spent 412 days in the system before being placed into permanent housing. So, clearly there's just a serious strain on the housing stock. Pathway out of homelessness is not straightforward. When someone gets a CityFHEPS voucher or is approved for a 2010E, it could take months or even years to find a suitable place. I think the City of Yes has a lot of really interesting and compelling ideas, but after hearing some of the other testimonies today, I urge the City Council to revisit the plan as a whole. Again, I think there are lots of great ideas there, but I don't think that it should be a hammer. I think that it should be a more precise approach and do a bit more education with the communities that will be affected. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And next we'll hear from Guillermo Gomez. Sorry if I mispronounced your name.

GUILLERMO GOMEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Guillermo Gomez. I'm speaking today on behalf of Urban Design Forum to express our support for City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. New York City's housing

oftentimes unneeded extra parking on-site, which

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 301
2	could make projects more feasible and reduce rents.
3	Third, City of Yes could support the creation of many
4	housing options for our most vulnerable New Yorkers.
5	It will legalize granny flats to help older adults
6	age in place, create pathways for safer, regulated
7	basement apartments, which are critical but often
8	very vulnerable housing options, and streamline the
9	development of supportive housing for those at risk
10	or experiencing homelessness. Finally, promoting
11	transit-oriented development will ensure that new
12	housing is built where people can access public
13	transit, reducing car dependency and supporting
14	climate-forward, healthier communities. While all
15	these proposals are important, they're also
16	incremental and modest, increasing housing numbers
17	citywide rather than concentrating in specific
18	neighborhoods. City of Yes cannot address this crisis
19	alone, so we encourage the City to also continue to
20	seek ways to fund deeply affordable housing, maintain
21	our public housing, and support tenant protections.
22	Urban Design Forum urges the City Council to adopt
23	City of Yes as a key step toward a more equitable and
24	fair New York City. Thanks so much.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll 3 hear from Talya Schwartz-Neor.

TALYA SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon. My name is Talya Schwartz, and I'm a Strategist at Open Plans, and I'm speaking in support of City of Yes. Specifically, I'm here to support lifting parking mandates, which have been contributing to the rising costs of housing development and increasing car ownership all over the country and here in New York City. Parking mandates contribute to the high cost of living by requiring developers to build many, many parking spots even when residents don't need it or want it. One building in Nostrand Avenue in Brooklyn is being forced to build 193 parking spots, even though it's one block from a subway station, and many people who live in the building will have no use for a car. Because of parking mandates, the City is forcing developers, homeowners, and renters to pay for those parking spots, and the cost is high, very high. It currently costs 150,000 dollars per parking spot. This adds an estimated 17 percent increase in rental costs. This data comes from an Open Plans report about parking mandates. I encourage people to

read it. Cities are full of lots of different

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhoods with different characteristics. Densely packed neighborhoods near transit do not need the same amount of parking as residential city neighborhoods. We ask that you pass City of Yes and allow people who want parking to seek housing with parking instead of forcing it on everyone. In New York City, 50 percent of people don't own a car, and if you can believe it, some people don't want to own a car. Some people would rather have affordable housing. The current regulations are uniformly prioritizing parking over housing. Land is a precious resource in New York City, and with parking mandates we are currently choosing to build two parking spots instead of a studio apartment. Further, each new parking spot built is another car driving on our crowded streets. People here today have complained about increased congestion and slow buses, yet the population of New York City has not increased. So what explains it? Simply put, people are driving more than before.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Rachel Simpson.

RACHEL SIMPSON: Hi. Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Rachel Simpson, and I'm an

requirement to do so for new housing. This gives

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

17

25

2 developers and designers the freedom and flexibility

3 to provide parking where it is needed without

4 imposing unnecessary burdens where it's not, allowing

5 | each neighborhood's unique needs to be met with

6 appropriate nuance. Today's regulations limit our

7 potential to build based on obsolete assumptions. Our

8 housing crisis and affordability crisis demand that

9 we address the issue from all sides. Zoning alone

10 can't solve this, but these changes are a critical

11 | tool to unlock new housing opportunities and to lower

12 costs. We should not approach this problem from an

13 | either-or, scarcity mentality, but with a yes-and

14 sense of practicality and possibility. I urge the

15 | Council to approve the City of Yes for Housing

16 poportunity and put people before parking.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll

18 | hear from Margy Brown.

19 MARGY BROWN: Good afternoon. Thank you

20 | for the opportunity to testify. My name is Margy

21 | Brown, and I'm Executive Director of UHAB. For 50

22 | years, UHAB has empowered low- and moderate-income

23 | residents to take control of their housing and become

24 \parallel homeowners in the buildings where they already live.

While UHAB's mission is centered on preserving

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Bolembach.

25

2 JACK BOLEMBACH: Thanks for this 3 opportunity for coming here. My name is Jack 4 Bolembach. I belong to Civic Associations against the City of Yes, particularly for residential, and I'm here not as a paid person. I'm here just as a 6 7 resident of the City of New York. And there's a lot 8 of problems for residential neighborhoods with the City of Yes, and I'll outline them quickly as I can. I don't have any to read, but I'm just going to talk 10 11 about it. One is, zoning has been implemented to protect neighborhoods. Since 1960s, it's improved the 12 quality of life of Staten Islanders tremendously and 13 14 most of the residential neighborhoods around the 15 city, and this doesn't matter where you live, who the people are, ethnically or racially. Everybody who 16 17 owns homes is in the same situation. This is our 18 biggest investment. We want to protect it. There's 19 some dangers to having the City of Yes in residential 20 neighborhoods, and there should be some changes maybe 21 made to the zoning laws, like our Constitution. We have changes. We have provisions that make changes 2.2 2.3 called amendments so it should be looked at carefully, but nothing should be done, one shoe fits 24

all. Each neighborhood has a different character. And

cost. With an underemployed squatter of eight years

2 Holden, Vicki, Linda Lee, okay, and this drives up

3 | the costs of my other tenants, plus all the defensive

4 work I've had to do, plus paying my lawyer. And this

5 | is driving up my tenants' costs.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Thomas.

Next, we're going to have Vienna Levitan.

VIENNA LEVITAN: Dear City Council, my name is Vianna Leviton, and I'm here to testify as a resident of Ridgewood on behalf of Ridgewood Property Owners' Civic Association and thousands of others in my community to oppose City of Yes. I have already testified before this Council back in May and again in August before Queens Borough President outlining irreversible damage this agenda will cause to my neighborhood and much of our city. The City of Yes was crafted by developers for developers. You were elected to represent us, the voters, not those driven solely by profits. Over the years, many historic structures in New York City have been demolished due to rezoning, showing that cultural architectural heritage is repeatedly sacrificed for profit. This latest plan is being pushed by a Mayor under indictment, seeking to repay his most powerful donors in the development industry. Let's consider the

facts. Since the pandemic, New York City lost over 800,000 residents, bringing us back to the population levels from the '60s. Many buildings across the city have over a 30 percent vacancy rate. Why does my neighborhood and others like it need 500 percent plus increase in density? Our infrastructure is crumbling. The City is already struggling to maintain it. Developers have no interest in affordable housing because it reduces their profits, and who will ensure fire safety and compliance when we're already behind on these issues? Our infrastructure is over 30 years out of date, and moving forward with this plan will drag us back to 19th century deplorable conditions. The environmental impact will be disastrous. Since the 1960s, more than 800,000 housing units have been added, with another 150K approved this year. Current zoning can already accommodate 16 to 20 million people, so why are we handing over our neighborhoods to developers on a silver platter? The problem is not the number of housing, but affordability. Most new developments are market rate luxury, pushing out long-term residents and working families instead of addressing the real need for affordability, housing,

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

developers, and private. We ask you to vote no,

3 please.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks, Ms. Levitan.

Next, we'll have Michelle Alleyne.

MICHELLE ALLEYNE: My name's Michelle
Alleyne. I was a 9/11 responder and survivor of 9/11,
and I want to talk about the World Trade Occupational
Health Center Social Work Department for 9/11
responders going from work to retirement and they
found themselves in a situation where they lost their
housing, waiting to get on their retirement, or
receive benefits through the 9/11 fund for their
resources. It's there, but it's not being publicly
administration or organizationally managed in a way
where they can find accommodative housing. They're
falling in the wrong safety net that doesn't receive
no 9/11 funding. Right? DHS and HRA doesn't receive
no 9/11 funding. And you'll find some people,
survivors and responders...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ms. Alleyne, is this tied into the proposal?

MICHELLE ALLEYNE: Yes. Okay, let's put it this way. Low-density zoning encourages homeownership and American Dream. Low-density zoning remains the

best weapon for overdevelopment. A lot of these new
buildings are being placed around the city, and they
are on the edges of the city, and it could cause an
environmental problem if they don't look at the
situation because every year the water is rising and
New York is sinking, but yet they put in these big
buildings, and if we lose our zoning, we lose the
community input on what is built and how high some of
these buildings are being built, very high. Now
Fayetteville turned around, North Carolina turned
around and opened up their doors to receive some of
these homeless people to go to the State of North
Carolina because the shelters were overflowing here,
you know, and due to the hurricanes and stuff, a lot
of people nationally, because it's World Trade Center
Registry, is being displaced, and you'll find in the
South, they don't have shelters, but here they have
it, but they haven't

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms.

Alleyne, and what you stated before is not going to go unnoticed so you could submit your testimony, and we could also discuss that issue as well.

MICHELLE ALLEYNE: Okay.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Last from this panel

3 is Migdalia Cortez.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MIGDALIA CORTEZ: There's enough room for everyone so everyone make some room. I am 61, I just turned, I love New York, I lived here all my life, I'm at risk of getting evicted after 30 years, and privatization sounds the same thing to me as developers. They don't care about people like me. The rent just keeps going up and up. This is about affordability. This is about using what's there already. There's so many vacant lots and vacant spaces, and like someone testified before, apartments that are empty, that's a crime. While people are in the street laid out, how can we just walk over them and ignore it? The problems are there if we're willing to solve them, and it's not up to a developer. It's up to the Council working together with the Mayor and creating comprehensive things that don't hurt people like me. I love my community, and I love my neighbors, and I've worked all my life, and I do not deserve this. They make investments and they get something. I invested living in my building and I get put out, so I emphatically say no to this so-

money and couldn't care less about this lady down

here. This is horrible hearing this. I think

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 317
2	character in neighborhoods is people moved into
3	neighborhoods. They invested a lot of their money,
4	their time, and they enjoyed living where they're
5	living. If the neighborhood changes, if your
6	neighborhood changes, and you get people in there
7	that's going to be criminals or whatever, people are
8	going to move away. You've got to think about this
9	very carefully, what you're going to do. You're going
10	to have what they call flop houses. That's why they
11	came up with zoning. Character in neighborhood is the
12	different neighborhoods. Each neighborhood in the
13	city is unique. All the boroughs are unique. What we
14	want to do is preserve that, but we need
15	affordability. I would look at all the areas that we
16	can build places, empty lots, abandoned houses.
17	Something I know, I don't know if I should say this
18	here, one more quick thing. We have rent
19	stabilization, which is good. People need that to
20	help afford houses. I know millionaires who are
21	living in rent-stabilized apartments with doormen.
22	They're worth two or three million, and they pay
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Just going back to
24	the question

JACK BOLEMBACH: They pay 950 dollars a
month.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: What is neighborhood character? Where does affordable housing get built? If there's specificity, we would really love to hear it.

an engineer? I don't know if you saw this, but it was such an egregious example. They built High Rise in LA, 660,000 dollars per unit. Makes North Shore Towers look like a slum. They are building, you probably don't know the history of Levittown and Hicksville. These houses were built that blue collar workers could afford them. Instead, you're building luxury apartments and having taxpayers pay for them. That architect was right on cue when he said you need small, micro apartments that a single person can live in that are nice, not marble everything, and that they can then afford them, and you make a target price of something like 1,200 or 1,500 dollars for them.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm sorry, Mr. Diane, we have to wrap this up. We have a lot of people signed up.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

health.

1

THOMAS DIANA: As an engineer, I was 3 trying to give her a straight answer.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No, we appreciate it, and I just want to add context before this panel goes. I don't believe you meant that, Mr. Jack, but I just wanted to make context. We are trying our best to hear different feedback from the community and to keep community character in place, but I just wanted to denounce the notion that this proposal is going to bring in undesirables. I think there's a lot of people out there that need housing, no matter their situation, and we're trying to make sure that they have some form of housing. I don't want to say that this proposal is going to bring in criminals and drug addicts. I think that's unfair to say. I think this proposal is trying to address a housing issue. Is it the complete way to address it? No, it's not. We totally understand that. That's why we're trying to get feedback, but I just want to make that notion that people that are coming in our communities aren't undesirables. They're people that need housing and

Ms. Alleyne, I would let you continue, but I have over 400 people signed up, and I don't

2.2

2.3

I'm going to ask the next panel to come up, please. Thank you.

to ask you guys. Thank you.

The panel after that will consist of Julio Herrera, Vito Labella, William Scarborough, and Denisa Rodriguez.

Also, Sergeant, can you please get Ms.

Migdalia Cortez's information for me, please? Thank

you. Ms. Cortez, can you give the Sergeants your

information for me, please?

We're going to begin first with Austin Celestin from this panel.

AUSTIN CELESTIN: Can you hear me? It is 9:40 in Hawaii, so good morning. My name is Austin Celestin. I was born and raised on the Upper West Side. I've lived here all my life. This is all I know, and maybe I hope to live my days out here. I'm privileged to have a loving family that has also been here. My dad has had his Mitchell-Lama apartment since 1976. That's a privilege that I have and that I'm grateful for, and I recognize it's a privilege that far too few of us in the city have. Everyone here knows how bad our vacancy rates are, median

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 2 renters, not the other way around, and I believe that
- 3 | housing is a human right, but those words are
- 4 | meaningless if we're not building housing. I will
- 5 leave with one stat. We need about half a million
- 6 homes. In the next decade, this plan gets us 108,015.
- 7 This plan is a small step, but that's what monumental
- 8 change needs, the first small step so I encourage the
- 9 City Council to take that first small step and vote
- 10 yes on City of Yes. Thank you.
- AUSTIN CELESTIN: Thank you. Next, we have
- 12 | from Ben Wetzler.

- BEN WETZLER: Hello. My name is Ben
- 14 | Wetzler. I live in Stuyvesant Town. I'm here
- 15 | testifying on behalf of myself. I'm here to ask that
- 16 you support the Housing Opportunity proposal without
- 17 | modifications or backsliding. The proposal will allow
- 18 | for the creation of desperately needed housing while
- 19 | improving existing City programs. These include
- 20 | improving affordable housing programs by making all
- 21 | affordable units created through inclusionary zoning
- 22 permanently affordable, it's got to be permanent, and
- 23 allowing affordable homeownership options as part of
- 24 those programs. Additionally, it would allow for the
- 25 | conversion of empty office buildings into housing,

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which is critical for the economic future and public safety of the midtown Manhattan community. I say that it would allow for more housing, because zoning does not represent the full breadth of housing policy in New York. Zoning is not rent regulation. It is not housing vouchers. It is not the HPD or HDC capital budget. All of those policies are critically important to New Yorkers, including me and my family. We've heard from others earlier who need subsidized and stabilized housing, and our neighbors in Stuyvesant Town fought in court for years to preserve rent stabilization and tenant protections for the entire community. I would have to leave Manhattan if I didn't have those protections, and this Council has taken historic steps to bolster those policies in recent years. I hope that you will continue doing that, but every one of those policies is improved by a well-considered and comprehensive plan for housing growth. The Biden Administration recently estimated that New York's zoning and permitting processes add 50,000 dollars to the cost of every single home so if you want to make homeownership affordable, as many Council Members yesterday and today have said that they want, those rules add roughly 10,000 dollars

Adams' City of Yes for Housing Opportunity Plan. My

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

name is Thomas Lopez-Pierre. I was born in New York City. I live on the Upper West Side of Manhattan. I serve as the President and CEO of the Black Real Estate Forum LLC and the fund manager of BREF Fund Number One LLC, a black-owned social impact private equity real estate fund. I work with accredited black investors that include medical doctors, lawyers, and investment bankers that are committed to building wealth and creating jobs for black people. We currently own a two-family property in the Baychester section of the Bronx, located in the Council District of Chairman Kevin Riley. We love tenants with housing vouchers. Learn more about us at blackrealestateforum.com. We are in a housing crisis. In 2023, the Community Service Society of New York reported that a staggering 34 percent of the city's tenants were classified as severely rent burdened, spending at least half their income on monthly rent. In 2023, 1.4 percent of the apartments were empty and available to rent last year, according to the City's most recent housing survey. I strongly believe that building more housing is one of the best ways to help end this housing crisis. For instance, zoning reforms within City of Yes would permit the development of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 | small three- to five-story apartment buildings on

3 5,000-plus square foot lots near public transit and

4 allow accessory dwelling units to be built, like

5 backyard cottages and basement apartments. I urge the

6 City Council to pass Mayor Eric Adams' City of Yes

7 | for Housing Opportunity Plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Pastor Paul Peart.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PASTOR PAUL PEART: Good afternoon, Council Members, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Paul Peart, Senior Pastor of New Testament Temple Church of God in the Bronx. I am here to testify in strong support of the City of Yes initiative, but first I want to say thanks to New York City for saying yes to my mother 54 years ago when she fled hardship and abuse and sought refuge in the USA. She worked as a live-in job caring for elderly. She saved and was able to afford to purchase a single-family home in the northeast Bronx in 1978 for 34,000 dollars, providing housing for her children. Because New York said yes to my mother, when New York asked churches to consider making their properties available to build affordable housing, we said yes. New Testament Temple is willing to build up to 150

New Yorkers. I'm an architect that specializes in

but I have real problems with the way they frame the issues. We've seen middle-class families leave our city. That number has been referenced multiple times

my community board, and I've heard many of my

neighbors' concerns about this proposal firsthand,

in today's testimony so it's clear to me that we have

20

21

2 a supply problem. City of Yes is a comprehensive

3 proposal that allows each neighborhood to help.

4 Pulling apart the proposal to exempt high-, medium-,

5 or low-density districts is going to undermine the

6 effectiveness, and it will not allow each community

7 to help solve this problem. I applaud the Council for

recognizing that zoning reform is not the only piece

9 to solve this, but a no vote on City of Yes will

10 allow the current inequities and current pressures to

11 exist. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a question because I have two members from my community here so I'll start with Pastor Peart first. Pastor Peart, what type of housing are you seeing that we need in the northeast Bronx that many constituents are coming to your faith-based institution and asking about?

PASTOR PAUL PEART: Thank you for the question, Council Member. We need all kinds of housing, but especially senior housing. Senior housing is very short. A lot of our members that are elderly have to move out of the community to find housing, and that, again, creates a lot of

1

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 330 2 difficulties, but also housing for families is also 3 important. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And Mr. Lopez-Pierre, you stated that you have an office in 5 my District in the northeast Bronx. 6 7 THOMAS LOPEZ-PIERRE: (INAUDIBLE) CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You could use the mic. 8 9 THOMAS LOPEZ-PIERRE: No, we took an 10 abandoned two-family home that was in your District 11 where drug addicts were living and two-family homeowners were in distress, and we acquired the 12 13 property, renovated it, and moving someone from a 14 homeless shelter into that property. That's what the 15 City of Yes will do in multiples, if approved. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And who's going to own 17 the property? 18 THOMAS LOPEZ-PIERRE: The property will be 19 100 percent owned by black lawyers, doctors, and 20 investment bankers, creating wealth in our community, for the community. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Are there 23 any more questions for this panel?

2.2

2.3

There being no more questions, thank you
for testifying today. Hold on, one second, one
second. Council Member Joseph.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, Chair.

I have a quick question on the senior housing. How
much stock do we need to build because, as I know it,
by 2030, we'll have more older adults than we'll have
schoolchildren. How much are we looking to build in
the senior, in the older adult space?

BEN WETZLER: I'm not sure if this question was directed towards me or anybody else.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Anybody on the panel.

Planning Commission does that demographic analysis that far out. You should ask them. But I can speak from personal experience, knowing older adults who live in my community, that the need is tremendous, and it's not really in conflict with other types of housing. There was a very close friend of mine who was an older adult, who was living in a rentstabilized walk-up apartment. His rent was low enough that he could afford it on his pension and other income that he had, but he couldn't get up the stairs

I will begin with Julio Herrera.

24

25

you.

2 JULIO HERRERA: Can you hear me okay? Oh, 3 good evening. I'm just going to pretend it's 9:30. I'm off of coffee. Good morning. So my name is Julio 4 Herrera. I'm the Executive Assistant to the Black Institute. I'm also a longstanding tenant at a proud 6 South Brooklynite. This City of Mess falls into the 7 8 same formula that longstanding residents like in my area have been all too familiar with. It's a planned package with ambitious ideas, broad goals that claim 10 11 to address crucial needs that have afflicted the city 12 for years. Reinforced by arbitrary figures that poses 13 a veil to convince us that these measures are in our best interest. As many people stated before, the 14 15 issue is not a housing crisis. It's an affordability 16 crisis. There are apartments that have been 17 warehoused, vacant for years. With this initiative, I 18 don't feel as reassured. Considering that the only question I raised throughout this entire process is 19 20 who is this affordable for? With only 20 percent of 21 these units considered affordable and only for those making 80 percent of area median income. Furthermore, 2.2 2.3 the number of units pledged for the next 15 years range somewhere between 58,000 to 109,000 homes. And 24 to break that down into simpler terms, that's about 25

William Scarborough?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: William?

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Good afternoon, Council Members. My name is William Scarborough, and I'm the President of the Addisleigh Park Civic Organization in Jamaica, Queens, and a former State Assembly Member. I'm testifying in opposition to the City of Yes because I believe this plan will be devastating to areas in Queens such as Addisleigh Park, which are one- and two-family home communities. The proposal to allow accessory dwelling units will have a negative impact on the character of our community. That negative impact is multiplied by the transit-oriented development initiative in this plan. Southeast Queens is still designated as a transit desert due to the lack of sufficient public transportation. However, because the St. Albans Long Island Railroad Station is next to the Addisleigh Park community, all of our community would be subject to having three- to five-story buildings constructed on our residential streets, where there are currently one- and two-family homes. On top of these impacts, the City of Yes eliminates the requirement to provide parking when building new homes. In an area such as

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ours, where affordable public transportation is lacking, residents depend upon their cars to get around. This plan to eliminate parking requirements would create disastrous conditions on the residential streets of Addisleigh Park and other Queens communities. The combination of these initiatives would increase the density and change the character of our community in a very negative way, and it also exposes the dangers of trying to develop a cookiecutter citywide proposal that does not factor in what may already be happening in a community. Jamaica is already undergoing a massive 300-block rezoning project called the Jamaica Neighborhood Plan. As stated by City Planning in June 2024, this plan is expected to create 12,000 new dwelling units in Jamaica, and will create seven- to nine-story buildings along our major residential corridors. To impose the density of the City of Yes, in addition to the 12,000 new dwelling units already planned for our community, is not, as the Mayor likes to say, a little more housing in every community, but would represent an unfair burden that would drastically overload our community, our infrastructure, and our streets. We urge you to reject this plan. Thank you.

you for leading this very important hearing. Let me

begin by thanking the Department of City Planning,

our Chair, Dan Garodnik, and the CPC, as well as the

2.3

24

2 entire City of Yes at City Planning, the entire team 3 for their efforts to truly address critical housing 4 challenges that are faced by many, many New Yorkers. Our city is currently experiencing a housing crisis. Too many of our residents are unable to afford their 6 7 rents and are at risk of eviction and displacement. The construction of new housing over time has 8 unfortunately not kept pace with rising rents that for many residents have truly reached unaffordable 10 11 levels. The Zoning for Housing Opportunity proposal 12 begins our city on a path to create the housing that 13 is necessary to truly bring down the cost of rent 14 throughout our city. In my full recommendation to the 15 City Council and the City Planning Commission, we 16 supported many parts of this proposal. However, there 17 were some conditions that we outlined with several 18 components in the proposal that really required 19 greater thought of which should be considered for 20 your implementation. I fully support the goal of creating a little more housing in every neighborhood 21 of every community in our city. All communities in 2.2 2.3 our city must do their fair share to truly create the housing that we need. And when we say affordable, it 24 must be affordable for those at the lowest end of the 25

around our city without asking and adding more cars

to our already congested roads. In order to support

new housing development, the City also must look to

ensure that we support our existing residents by

our communities that will be needed as our city

continues to grow. Balancing new development with

approach, and many have talked about investing in

support for existing neighborhoods is a common-sense

providing a modernization of infrastructure within

20

19

17

18

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

work in some areas, but areas that are transit

deserts, we have to provide options to help families

get around, and so I'd like you in the City Council

2.3

24

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JESS COLEMAN: Thank you, Chair Riley.

Thank you, Speaker Adams, Members of the Subcommittee. My name is Jess Coleman. I'm a member of Community Board 1. I'm a lifelong resident of Lower Manhattan. This morning, I searched StreetEasy for a two-bedroom apartment in Council District 1 at 6,000 dollars per month or less. There are five options. To put that in perspective, that means that a household has to earn around 240,000 per year just to not be rent burdened in Lower Manhattan, and you still only have five options. Some will tell you, as we've heard here today, that this illustrates we need less housing, that we simply have an affordability crisis, not a housing crisis. That is simply not credible. Anyone who has tried to rent an apartment in this city knows that there is hardly an oversupply of housing at any price point. To the contrary, there are so little options out there that landlords are literally celebrating the market dynamics that give them all of the leverage and leave tenants powerless. We are not talking here about eliminating smart, progressive market interventions designed to protect the most vulnerable. We're talking about archaic restrictions that in many cases were designed, in

fact, to harm the most vulnerable, and all it's done and will continue to do, if not changed, is contribute to skyrocketing costs, reduce economic growth, continue coughing up congressional seats to Republican states, and so, so much more. City of Yes will not solve all these problems on its own. We need more social housing. We need more public housing. We need much more robust tenant protections. But what will we tell young people saddled with student debt, seniors at risk of being booted from their communities, families who can't imagine raising a child while still covering their rent? What are we going to tell them if we can't do something as basic as build a three-story building near a train station or get rid of antiquated parking mandates? City of Yes is a modest proposal relative to the scale of the problem, and it is the very least that we can do for the millions of New Yorkers for whom this housing crisis is literally an existential one. It is not sufficient to solve this crisis, but it is necessary, and I urge the Council to pass this text amendment and stand up for the next generation of New Yorkers. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.

•

I just want to give an update to everyone. We're trying our best to get through this as fast as possible. We have about 200 more people online to testify and about 120 people in person to testify who have still signed up. All right, so the team is getting ready to get through this as fast as possible so we just appreciate everyone's patience.

The next person that will be testifying is Kyle Jeremiah.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

York City must act swiftly to relieve the constraints that hinder the development of affordable housing. My name is Kyle Jeremiah, and I'm the Director of External Affairs at the Bowery Residents' Committee, or BRC, one of New York City's largest housing and social service non-profits. I see the urgent need for more housing options to address the city's growing crisis. While organizations like BRC are ready to build and provide housing, we are held back by zoning and other barriers that limit progress. The city's homelessness and housing insecurity crisis continues to escalate with tens of thousands relying on shelters and thousands more living unsheltered.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

direction. Thank you.

Affordable housing is out of reach for far too many 2 3 New Yorkers. Last year, BRC helped over 1,000 people 4 transition into independent housing, but we could have assisted even more if affordable housing supply wasn't so limited. The City of Yes for Housing 6 7 Opportunity proposal is a crucial step forward. By easing restrictions on smaller units and repurposing 8 underused spaces, this initiative will create muchneeded housing and help reduce homelessness. This is 10

about making real progress and building a more

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next person I'm going to call to testify is Lindsey

McCormack.

livable, inclusive city. I urge you to support this

proposal and help move New York City in the right

LINDSEY MCCORMACK: Hi, good afternoon.

I'll be very brief. I live in Jackson Heights,

Queens, and I'm a mom of two kids in the public

schools. I'm here to express strong support for City

of Yes because I've seen many neighbors, including

friends of my kids and their families, struggle to

find housing that's family-sized and affordable.

Three years ago, an apartment building in our

Plan. Getting these projects and applications passed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are no doubt critical to easing the housing shortage in communities like mine. However, the majority of housing built in New York City continues to be through as-of-right development in existing zoning districts, and it's because new housing hasn't kept up with demand that it is imperative that we change our zoning rules to create new opportunities for housing. In particular, it's critical that the residential parking mandate be eliminated. Now, to be clear, eliminating the parking mandate will not eliminate parking. Yesterday, my Council Member, Marmorato, claimed that without the mandate, developers won't give parking, but we already know that's not the case. We even saw an example from our district in the presentation yesterday. 2560 Boston Road is due to include over 100 parking spaces when none were required. During the Bronx Metro-North Neighborhood Plan hearing, developers testified that they were concluding ample parking in their projects even when the parking mandate was proposed to be eliminated in the Special District. Now, with the Bronx Metro-North Plan, I was critical of the Council for restoring the unnecessary parking mandate after negotiations. However, with City of Yes, we have a

that.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: We can hear you. You 3 can begin.

DAVID MULKINS: I'm the President of the Bowery Alliance of Neighbors, and we support our Council Member Chris Marte's great concerns about the City of Yes. The premise of Mayor Adams' plan, which suggests that building more market rate and luxury housing will somehow bring down the cost of housing, is false. Ironically, the Mayor's plan incentivizes the demolition of existing affordable housing. Because the building of affordable housing in the Mayor's plan is voluntary, there's no incentive to provide affordable housing. Affordable housing should be mandatory. New developments on NYCHA and Mitchell-Lama properties should be 100 percent affordable and should have to go through the ULURP land use review process that lets the public and their representatives review it, and if necessary, revise those proposals. We oppose increasing bulk and height of purely market rate residential developments. We oppose allowing air rights from landmark properties to be transferred over much broader areas of our neighborhoods with no public oversight. We oppose allowing development on open spaces and rear yards

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov if you're not able
3 to complete it, all right?

Next, we're going to hear from Corey

Bearak. Corey, if you can hear me, please unmute, and
you may begin.

COREY BEARAK: Yes, I can. (INAUDIBLE) Community Board 13, and the overwhelming majority of Community Boards across the five boroughs represent the true majority view of New Yorkers who give a very firm thumbs-down to the misnamed City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. It would permit greater scales of development, increased density on blocks and in neighborhoods, and with no community input and without any guarantee of affordability, especially for working families in the middle class. It's a giveaway to those who seek to develop luxury housing, thus remaking neighborhoods as unaffordable for their current residents. It would eviscerate City Charter community review that Council Members currently and smartly use to ensure smart growth and affordability where changing to zoning occur. The as-of-right features of City of Yes removes from community review development and density greater than permitted in just about every neighborhood. This means increased

going to hear from Suwen Cheong.

24

25

2 SUWEN CHEONG: Hi. I'm a resident of 3 Prospect Lefferts Gardens in Brooklyn, and I strongly 4 oppose the City of Mess. If City of Yes is voted down, every district still has the right to pass those aspects of the amendments they like in their 6 7 own districts. The City Council can still require housing targets for each district under the Fair 8 Housing framework, but allow every district to achieve this in the way they think is best. In 10 11 particular, I ask you to oppose the R6 and R7 UAP density increases, because homes, small business, and 12 13 even rent-stabilized apartment buildings in two-14 thirds of my district and all over the city would be 15 in danger of demolition or significant additions that 16 would empty buildings. We don't want this destruction 17 and displacement. We want to preserve our community 18 and homes so that families and seniors can remain. If 19 City Planning is right that only a small percentage 20 of the possible development that could happen will 21 actually occur, why are they proposing to up-zone every lot in our district and the entire city? At 2.2 2.3 best, they are pushing random development, the

opposite of why we have zoning and planning. At

worst, the entire city will be overwhelmed with

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

millions of new residents. Please oppose all other zoning density increases for market-rate housing and without height caps. For example, quality housing density bonuses in R6 and R7 will be allowed without affordable housing and without the current height caps. In Brooklyn, the data is clear that the more units a community district built in the last 10 years, the more the rents went up. Moreover, City Planning's own research shows that in the last century, New York City built more than enough housing, about 2.45 million units, to accommodate population growth, about 3.1 million people. We don't have a housing supply crisis, we have a housing affordability crisis. My community district has built 4,000 housing units since 2010 and we will continue to build thousands of units, of which we know 800 will be low-income affordable. Council District 40 ranks 16th highest of all districts in terms of affordable housing production, according to the New York Housing Conference. Furthermore, over 25,000 units of buildable housing remain possible under the current zoning. Therefore, there is no need for further broad-based up-zoning to create housing or affordable housing. There is a need for right-zoning

estate developers will actually benefit from this

in District 40, how do we plan on building more

Brooklyn, which is a State project. but I guess it's

just a matter of thinking lot by lot and just

24

Members. My name is Adam Brodheim, and I'm a proud

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

member of Open New York and a privileged member of Manhattan Community Board 7's Housing and Land Use Committee. Those are my extracurriculars, but my day job is working as a historic preservationist, and so when something big and important like City of Yes appears, I try to contextualize it and its history. 101 years ago, the Committee on the Height, Size, and Arrangement of Buildings released their report on the need for zoning in New York City. They concluded their introduction by saying that we strongly urge that there be no delay in bringing a remedy to Fifth Avenue, as otherwise an irreparable injury may be done. To be clear, that harm was my ancestors, Jewish garment workers congregating on Fifth Avenue during their lunch break, and then in 1959, mere decades after first enacting a zoning code, the City decided to start over again from scratch. Caveating itself only by noting that zoning is not a cure-all for every municipal problem. By then, my family had moved from the tenements of the Lower East Side to the sixstory apartment buildings in Brooklyn and the Bronx that are illegal to build today. And now, in 2024, (INAUDIBLE) it's time for another change. It's embarrassing that our home, the most vibrant city in

2.3 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired. 24

Someday history will judge...

ADAM BRODHEIM: On this occasion. Thank

25 you.

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Adam. Next, 3 we'll hear from Leo Guttmann.

LEO GUTTMANN: Thanks for giving me the chance to testify. I live in Long Island City, in Julie Won's District, and I'm here to support the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. It's a proposal that I think is modest and won't solve everything about the housing affordability crisis, but it's a really necessary first step that I hope we can build off of in the future. I'm excited in particular for the ability to build a variety of new housing options that will give people more choices for living in different types of neighborhoods and different stages of life. I can imagine, as a fresh grad, wanting to live in the small shared housing options that will be opened up. As an aide, I can imagine myself wanting to live in one of the accessory dwelling units that might be enabled by this legislation. Overall, I think the affordability crisis and the extremely low vacancy rates, well under 2 percent, are sort of choking the city's ability to thrive and the same with people who live here. I think it's preventing a lot of people from feeling like the economy is strong. A lot of it has to do with rising rents. And

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | it's also threatening the city's ability to be what

3 | it's represented for so many years to so many people,

4 | the ability for people from all around the world to

5 | come and find a home and make the city thrive. In

6 order to continue that, we need affordable housing,

7 and the way to do that is through these modest

8 proposals to increase housing supply gently

9 throughout the entire city. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Rohan Kalyani.

Members. I'm here to voice my strong support for the City of Yes (INAUDIBLE). I'm a resident of Crown Heights, Brooklyn, and I'm 28 years old. So, as a young New Yorker, I've experienced firsthand the struggles of finding affordable rental housing in our city, and this idea echoed by all of my peers who could not take time off of work today to come and testify. The facts and the evidence is very clear. New York City faces a severe historic housing shortage. The apartment vacancy rate is at its lowest since 1968 at just 1.41 percent. Over half of people are rent-burdened, spending more than 30 percent of their income on rent. I myself spend more than 40

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

ROHAN KALYANI: Thank you.

25

2.3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll 3 hear from Gregory Marvin.

GREGORY MARVIN: Thank you for hearing my testimony. I want to start by addressing a little bit of an elephant in the room here. It is 4 p.m. on a Tuesday. The people who are hurt most by our dire housing shortage, market-rate renters, are not adequately represented in these "community input processes" because they are busy with work and childcare, and more importantly than this asymmetry in representation is the asymmetry of the stakes. I really don't know that opponents of this legislation, who are homeowners or who are lucky enough to be rent-stabilized, really appreciate just how bad it is out there for a lot of renters right now. In the last week, I've talked to friends who, in one case, are deciding not to have a second child because of the cost of housing, another who are commuting three hours combined a day to and from Suffolk County, and I encourage the Council to consider the trade-offs at stake here in these terms and actually compare the stakes against one another. You know, I know you want to start a family, but have you considered the impact of a few more tall buildings on the character of my

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 366
2	neighborhood? I know you want to get home from work
3	before your kids are asleep, but did we really gather
4	enough "community input" for this proposal? I know a
5	few thousand more people might lose the game of
6	housing musical chairs in our city and become
7	homeless, but what about developer profits? These
8	comparisons sound silly when you really consider the
9	stakes here for renters in our city in a housing
10	shortage. And, as for arguments about 100 percent
11	affordability, to state the obvious, building market-
12	rate housing does not somehow prevent us from
13	building subsidized housing as well. I look forward
14	to supporting more subsidies that might be enabled by
15	the property tax revenue that these new market-rate
16	units generate in the future.
17	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time
18	has expired.
19	GREGORY MARVIN: Watering down this much-
20	needed modernization of our zoning code is a bad
21	policy and, in my opinion, morally indefensible.
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr.
23	Gregory.

Are there any questions for this panel?

2.2

2.3

The next panel we're going to hear from consists of Dee Vandenberg, Claudia Valentino, Colette Wong, Diane Viggiano.

The following panel consists of Kevin Wolfe, Dan Miller, Chloe Sarnoff, and Sunny Ng.

The first panelist we're going to hear from is Dee Vandenberg. Dee, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

Dee Vandenberg, if you can hear me, please unmute.

Do we have Dee?

DEE VANDENBERG: Hello. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Dee.

DEE VANDENBERG: Okay, I'm very sorry.

Thank you very much, Council Member, for having this hearing and for hearing us all. My name is Dee Van Berg. I am the President of the Staten Island

Taxpayer Association. We are also members of the Staten Island Civic United. We are against the City of Yes. I'm going to stick to what I know best, and no one's going to like this answer, but the bottom

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expired.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEE VANDENBERG: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Claudia Valentino.

5 CLAUDIA VALENTINO: Yes, hi. Can you hear 6 me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

CLAUDIA VALENTINO: Okay, thank you. I'm Claudia Valentino, President of the Forest Hills Community and Civic Association. I've been involved in civic life for over 30 years. I represent an area that we've down-zoned over 20 years ago, and if you were to walk the perimeter, it would take you over an hour to walk it. It's that large. The homes are old, sit on small lots, many with poor cinderblock foundations, all just a driveway apart. Our infrastructure, electrical grid, sewer, ability to clear rainfall is even more seriously challenged now than it was when we originally undertook our downzoning. ADUs and apartment buildings situated among our homes simply won't work. City of Yes type plans have come to us from other cities and counties across the country where properties are much, much larger than our own. Urban planners have not taken this into account. Regrettably, City of Yes does not address

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

has expired.

right. Go ahead.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 DIANE VIGGIANO: Do you hear me?

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIANE VIGGIANO: Can you hear me? Oh, terrific. Well, first of all, good afternoon, everyone. I'm Diane Viggiano, a Vice President of the Old Town Civic Association of Staten Island. We are a densely populated area, and I would like to speak for many of the residents of my community, which was estimated to be about 12,250 people. This is a very primitive plan. It's as if the City Planning Commission used a black crayon on its paper when planning for the diverse communities in our city. No colors, no appreciation of the different characteristics of each neighborhood in each borough. It is a simplistic, destructive plan that will greatly harm the quality of life in many parts of our city. Council Members, will you be a rubber stamp for selfish entities who want to overdevelop our neighborhoods? Vote no to this environmentally absurd plan, which would build cottages in backyards and five-story buildings without any parking requirements in suburban neighborhoods with one- and two-family homes. Vote no to removal of zoning and parking protections. Vote no to the unbridled addition of

that they can provide vital financial relief,

to address inequities.

particularly to homeowners and senior citizens facing high maintenance costs, and we think the City should allocate funds for outreach, technical assistance, construction financing to qualified community-based organizations to help these homeowners rehabilitate ADUs and help implement the program in order for it

Finally, with 8 percent of existing homeowners in New York being mortgage delinquent, particularly in BIPOC communities, the Foreclosure Prevention Program funded by the City Council assists 2,000 homeowners annually, and we ask the City to continue to invest up to 8 million dollars in these homeowner services to prevent foreclosures and to support the estate planning initiative that was just recently...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

KEVIN WOLFE: I thank you for this opportunity to testify, and I'll provide additional details.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Wolfe.

Next, we'll hear from Dan Miller. Dan, if you can
hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

2.2

2.3

2 DAN MILLER: Hi, my name's Dan Miller. I 3 live in Astoria, Queens, and I'm so blessed to call 4 this city home, but not everyone who wants to can, 5 and I think this is why we need the City of Yes. This proposal is a great first step at building the 6 housing that we'll need for the next generation of New Yorkers. In 1926, the building that I live in was 8 built in Astoria. It's just a simple, no-frills building that holds six apartments, and it was built 10 11 as part of this burst of development in the 1910s and 12 especially the '20s that really like transformed 13 Queens and made it the borough that we know and love 14 today. The population exploded, and New York City 15 became more prosperous and more wealthy and more hospitable, and now it's home to millions of people 16 17 from across the world. It's a triumph of American 18 life, and we should do that again. We've done it 19 once, and we can do it again. We can say yes to more 20 housing. We can build the infrastructure that we need 21 so that more generations of New Yorkers aren't left 2.2 where we're left today, struggling to find 2.3 apartments, looking and standing in line for hours at an open house, fearing the next rent increase. We who 24 25 rent today, especially market-rate renters, we know

spend more than half of their income on rent, and

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

recent data from the poverty tracker finds that for the typical rent-burdened New Yorker living in poverty, rent amounts to 70 percent of their cash income each month. With a vacancy rate of only 1.4 percent, the lowest in 56 years, our housing shortage affects all New Yorkers, but it threatens the lives of the city's most vulnerable families. These are the New Yorkers who will be most harmed long-term if the Council does not pass City of Yes. With so few available affordable apartments, families currently spend over 400 days in shelter before finding an apartment they can afford. If City of Yes is passed as written, it will add as many as 109,000 new units to the city over the next decade, and in doing so will create opportunities for low-income families with rental assistance vouchers to access newer units in higher-opportunity neighborhoods. Beyond just new housing, a new report from the Regional Planning Association estimates that City of Yes would create up to 30,000 new jobs annually for 15 years, generating 32 billion in total earnings and 83 billion in overall economic activity for New York City. Some have questioned whether City of Yes for housing does enough to create a production pipeline

for over 10 years. For anyone my age or younger, we

2 know how expensive and how annoying it is to find 3 housing because rent is so expensive. The housing 4 crisis is very real, and I believe the City of Yes will help, and this is why I'm really excited for it 5 to pass, and I really hope the Council approves this 6 7 proposal as is. While each of the proposals has their own merits, the one I'm most excited about is lifting 8 parking minimums. For a city with the best worldclass public transit in the whole country, it's 10 11 pretty ridiculous that we have provisions that 12 require parking in the first place. This is 13 especially absurd in transit-rich neighborhoods like 14 the one I'm currently living in right now. Parking is 15 definitely not free, and it is a form of subsidy 16 provided to those less than half of all households in 17 the city that drive. That cost is passed on to 18 renters and owners who may not need the space. 19 Removing parking minimums doesn't mean the City 20 doesn't allow parking space to be built at all. It just means that the market needs to figure out how 21 2.2 much parking is actually needed instead of us 2.3 mandating what needs to happen. The bottom line is developers should not be forced to build on-site 24 25 parking if it doesn't make sense for the

time. Michael Rivera, Megan Wiley, Tory Lyon,

3 Deopersaud.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will begin first with Cheyanne.

CHEYANNE DEOPERSAUD: Hi, everyone. It's good to see you, Chairperson and Speaker and City Council Members. My name is Chayanne, and I'm here to testify on behalf of the Center for Fair Futures and Next 100, which are organizations dedicated to improving outcomes for youth and young adults transitioning out of the foster care system. Today, we express our strong support for the City of Yes Housing initiative and emphasize the urgent need for affordable housing solutions to ensure that young people in the foster care system can thrive. Young adults in foster care are some of the most vulnerable members of our community, and as they age out of foster care, many face immense challenges, including housing instability. In fact, an average of one out of four youth in the foster care system will become homeless within four years of aging out of foster care. In New York City, the high cost of housing makes it nearly impossible for many youth transitioning out of foster care to find stable, affordable places to live, leaving them at increased

- 2 | risk of homelessness and other negative outcomes.
- 3 Without stable housing, these young people face an
- 4 uphill battle as they complete their education, try
- 5 | to find employment, and build independent lives. We
- 6 urge the City Council to take additional steps to
- 7 prioritize affordable housing for young people in
- 8 | foster care and young adults transitioning out of
- 9 care and setting aside units in new developments
- 10 offering targeted rental assistance and supportive
- 11 | services for them. Doing so can help prevent
- 12 | homelessness among this population and provide them
- 13 | with the stability they need to succeed. As a youth
- 14 | from the system since I was 15 years old, I
- 15 understand the firsthand experiences of how critical
- 16 stable housing is for young people in foster care.
- 17 | With the right support, these young people can go on
- 18 | to lead successful, independent lives. We believe
- 19 | that the City of Yes initiative is vital to creating
- 20 | a future where all young people, including those
- 21 aging out of foster care, have access to safe,
- 22 quality, affordable housing. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Cheyanne.
- 24 Next, we're going to have Sharon Pope-Marshall.

2 SHARON POPE-MARSHALL: Thank you. I am 3 here to ask the Council to modify the City of Yes 4 Housing Opportunity. My name is Sharon Pope-Marshall, Executive Director of Civitas. Since 1981, Civitas, as a community of citizens and residents, has 6 7 dedicated ourselves to improving the neighborhood 8 quality of life through sound, context-sensitive urban planning and sound zoning policy for the community districts on the Upper East Side as well as 10 11 El Barrio, East Harlem, arguably two of the densest 12 community districts in New York City. We thank the 13 Department of City Planning for its Herculean effort 14 to revamp New York City's antiquated zoning 15 resolution with proposals to incentivize and sustain 16 development throughout our city. We note that the 17 proposal recommends innovative, comprehensive 18 citywide zoning regulations that are meant to 19 alleviate the pressure felt by the highest density 20 neighborhoods and incentivize new residential 21 development in medium and low-density communities. Although Civitas is in general support of the City of 2.2 Yes, we offer these modifications and we trust that 2.3 the Council will consider them. Expand off-site 24 affordable housing opportunities within our community 25

Member Joseph.

2.2

2.3

2 Thank you to this panel. You're excused.

Can we have the next panel come up to that I called previously, please?

The following panel after that will consist of Michael Savino, Julie Stein, Van Sundaro Kalaspudi (phonetic), Chloe Phitoussi, I'm sorry, I know I butchered that. Thank you. You can come to the front. Elizabeth Denys and Reverend Dr. Terry Troia. You will be the next panelists.

We will begin with this panel, and we can start first with John Lynch.

JOHN LYNCH: Good afternoon, City Council
Members. My name is John Lynch, a retiree who has
lived all of my 71 years in Middle Village, Queens.
Today I am speaking not only for myself but as a
member of the Juniper Civic Association. Middle
Village in Maspeth right now is susceptible to
flooding and blackouts without additional building.
If there were any tall apartment buildings built, the
electrical grid could go out, causing further
blackouts. Community Boards have long spoke for the
people of the city, yet in Queens alone, 12 out of
the 14 boards voted no, or 85 percent in the
Community Boards. I'm willing to bet that the other

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Boroughs' Community Boards also voted no, but City Planning doesn't care. They still are pushing for this. Is this the future of Community Boards where the City doesn't care or listen to them? Yesterday there was a rally in City Hall Park against City of Yes. People from every borough were there. There were black, brown, Asian, and white people there. After the rally, I spoke to people from Jamaica, South Ozone Park, the Bronx, and Brooklyn. They were very worried about the possibility of this bill and what could happen to their neighborhoods. In the last few years, we have already lost tens of thousands of middle-class New Yorkers due to crime and high taxes. If the Council passes this bill, there is likely that more middle-class citizens will flee the Big Apple. Let's not forget that MTA is in the middle of doing cuts, not only to bus routes and eliminating bus stops. Any additional housing built on those lines would cause problems for the people already riding crowded buses. It's clear that only one business will make money on this, and that would be the developers. The question is, will Mayor Adams still be in office to oversee this? Please, I urge the City Council to vote no.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Lynch.

3 Next, we'll hear from Laura Sewell.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LAURA SEWELL: Good afternoon. My name is Laura Sewell. I'm the Director of the East Village Community Coalition. I'm a longtime resident of the Lower East Side. I want to highlight today something that I'm not hearing much about, which is the residential building standards that would reduce the distance between windows from 30 feet to 20 feet and allow more building out into backyards. City Planning said that we don't need the distance that we used to have for the tenement buildings in my neighborhood because we all have air conditioners now. I wish we all had air conditioners, but we don't. We have a lot of residents who live without them or can't afford to keep them turned on 24/7 for six weeks in a row, which is the way we're living these days. The way those buildings, those tenement buildings, were designed to bring light and air into the apartments is really, really critical and important. I'm just not really hearing anything about that from other panelists, and I'm asking you to look into it, please, and think carefully about what it means. We're also concerned that adding height, the

2.2

2.3

potential to add height and depth to these lots will encourage landlords who have buildings that are not in great condition to begin with to knock them down and further gentrify and displace what's going on in the neighborhood already. I mean, I've lived in the East Village for 40 years, and the change has been enormous, but there are still a lot of residents who've lived there for a very, very long time and really have no place else to go if they're to be displaced. When our rent-stabilized tenants are displaced, they end up nowhere near where they grew up and where they lived. So that's just something that's very important to me. Thank you very much for listening.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from John Mudd.

JOHN MUDD: Hi. My name is John Mudd. I'm with the Executive Director of Midtown South

Community Council, and I've been living in Midtown since '84. The Council has been around just as long working with agencies and elected officials and non-profits activists, and we work on the housing, health, and food needs. The City's development policies are largely to blame for burdening rents,

2 | dysfunctional voucher system to subsidize landlords

3 and it has no mandate for the right to housing. The

4 plan doesn't resolve the infrastructure problems.

Ooh, that's quick.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, John. You can submit the rest of your testimony in the landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Next, we're going to hear from Edwin Westley.

Sergeants, can you grab his testimony? I think he was trying to give it to us.

Edwin, you may begin.

EDWIN WESTLEY: Thank you very much, Mr.

Speaker. My name is Ed Westley. I'm past President of the Jackson Heights Beautification Group and a 20-plus member of the Community Board 3. I am here today to give testimony in opposition to Mayor Adams' plan. Most of the Queen's Community Boards in a vote of 12 out of 14 recommended a no vote. Unfortunately, our Borough President was not paying attention to the people. He supports the plan with conditions. Anybody that sat on a Community Board realizes that we know that conditions are meaningless, especially when the City Council approves this or disapproves it so I'm surprised he made it with conditions. It would permit

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

building apartment houses where single-family homes are now without any requirements for parking, and it would also permit widespread garage and basement conversions under cover of the law. It seems the new rules will not trump, pardon the profanity, will not trump our covenants or our historic district. Of course, the main target is the one-story taxpayers. It will be easier to buy your tenants out of their leases than build residential on top of the building. They will still have to go to the historic district council the developer, that is, for aesthetic approval from LPC but, outside it, anything goes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your testimony. Next, we'll hear from Roxanne Delgado.

ROXANNE DELGADO: Hello, City Council. My name is Roxanne Delgado. I'm an activist. I noticed that not one real estate person has spoken against the City of Yes. Not one of them. In fact, they came out in support of City of Yes, they said they funded non-profits in support of City of Yes, but not one of them came out to speak against City of Yes, as they do when we do proposed rent freezes, so actually real estate benefits from this City of Yes. That itself

not one of them even offered her comfort or offered

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Are there any questions for this panel?

much. I really appreciate it.

If there's no questions, thank you so

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

394

24

21

2.2

23

2.2

2.3

The next panel that I'm going to call up, if I called you up and you're sitting in the front row, please step to the dais.

The following panel after that will consist of Pilar DeJesus, David Lawrence, Santis Gardillo, and Allie Ryan. If you heard your name, please come up to the front row. You will be the next panel to go up.

This panel, we're going to start with Michael Savino. Is Michael Savino on the dais?

Michael Savino's not on the dais.

Julie Stein.

If I just recently called you, you could step to the front row. I'll call you from the next panel, okay? Thank you.

We'll start first with Julie Stein.

JULIE STEIN: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Julie Stein. I'm the Executive Director of the Union Square Partnership, the Business Improvement District in Union Square, and 14th Street. I'm here today in support of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity because what's good for New York City's housing is good for its business districts. Housing production in New York City has not kept pace with

get New York the character that some here today are

2.2

2.3

need. Thank you.

defending, like small and shared housing that I know some other folks are supportive of, while also addressing some new problems that we are facing as a city like the glut of office space. Brooklyn Borough President Reynoso said it well. Two things can be true at once. We can say yes to new housing and yes to solving some of the problems that other folks have mentioned today, like modernizing infrastructure and transit. The status quo is what got us here. I urge

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We'll go next to Elizabeth Denys.

the City Council to show the bold leadership that we

ELIZABETH DENYS: Hello. My name is

Elizabeth Denys, and I'm a 13-year New York City

resident and a Board Member of Open New York, a

grassroots pro-housing organization. I'm here today

because I'm worried my family, friends, and neighbors

will be forced to leave our neighborhood of Flatbush

or even the city due to skyrocketing rents. We need

to build enough housing to ensure everyone has a home

they can afford. In Flatbush, we've seen some

construction in the less-white parts of the

neighborhood, while whiter areas aren't building as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many new homes, and there aren't nearly enough to meet demand. I strongly support all of the proposals in City of Yes for Housing Opportunity because they will make it so more New Yorkers throughout the city can find affordable housing options in their own neighborhoods. Transit-oriented development will bring my neighborhood of Flatbush modest three- to five-story apartment buildings sitting next to threestory one- and two-family homes. I'm a homeowner in the area who thinks these new apartment buildings are more than contextually appropriate. Many decades-old apartment buildings already make up a significant share of the homes in Victorian Flatbush. Buildings like 1409 Albemarle, 405 Westminster, 1710 Avenue H, and too many for this two minutes. Most six- to seven-story apartment buildings like these can't be built under today's zoning code, but City of Yes isn't even proposing buildings that tall, but it still ensures that additional more affordable homes can be built in practical, transit-connected locations. These homes will help those most at risk of being displaced from my neighborhood and the city. Some of my neighbors, mostly homeowners like me who already have stable housing, will point to the 2009

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Flatbush rezoning as sufficient. That rezoning was never about creating opportunity for housing, and you don't have to take my word for it. I will quote the person who started the push for the rezoning. A sixstory building was going up within sight of my backyard so I decided I wanted to do something about it so I started a whole process of downzoning the area. Fifteen years later, our housing crisis has only gotten more dire. Half of my neighbors pay over 30 percent of their income towards housing, and 30 percent of my neighbors are still paying over half of their paychecks towards rent. City of Yes would finally allow us to start building more homes equitably within our area. That's why I hope all Council Members will do their part to create homes everywhere by supporting all the proposals in City of Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Reverend Dr. Terry Troia.

REVEREND DR. TERRY TROIA: My name is

Terry Troia, and I'm a native Staten Islander. I'm a

Staten Island homeowner, as are my siblings, my

parents, my grandparents, and my great-grandparents

were all Staten Island homeowners. I'm a pastor who

burdened with rents and utilities that suck up 50,

2 60, 70 percent of their wages. We have a serious

3 affordable housing crisis on Staten Island and we

4 need a serious plan to make more affordable housing

available on Staten Island. Whether it's the City of

Yes or some other initiative that develops more 6

7 affordable housing, that is what we need and we need

to create units now. Staten Islanders die on the 8

streets of Staten Island because they can't afford a

place suitable for human habitation. No one should 10

11 die because they don't have a safe place to live.

Thank you. 12

13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Amen. Thank you,

Reverend. Council Member Joseph has a question for

15 us.

14

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I have a quick 16

17 question for Liz. Hi Liz. Do you think UAP goes far

18 enough in delivering affordability?

19 ELIZABETH DENYS: So, I think that UAP is

20 a really good start and I think that we're going to

also see additional affordability hopefully through 21

other initiatives like rezoning Coney Island Avenue 2.2

2.3 for additional housing. I want to note that UAP

provides lower AMI for affordability than the 24

existing voluntary programs, and I also want to note

option for a lower income, you know, within MIH rezoning would provide more options for it, but I also, you know, I think that it's a good start. I know that the people in our district who are most vulnerable are below this income. But I also want to note that if housing is built through UAP, the amount of money that someone would need for a voucher to be able to get one of those units through UAP would be less than the amount of money if we don't have programs like UAP that help mandate lower affordability. So, I'm thinking of this as one component of many, many, many things we can do to do housing. Like this is kind of the zoning component and I'd love to see an expansion of vouchers. I'd love to see, you know, all of the things in this proposal are trying to chip away at some of the affordability issues, and I think that plus more will help those most vulnerable in our area with expansion of vouchers and other such programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: You mentioned that the rezoning in 2009 didn't go far enough. Could you give me an example?

24

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2	ELIZABETH DENYS: Specifically, I would
3	note that the rezoning pushed all housing opportunity
4	away from what we consider to be Victorian Flatbush
5	and onto a few specific streets. Those streets
6	include places like Coney Island Avenue, which you
7	know, there's so much more potential than even what's
8	there, and just overall we haven't seen a huge growth
9	in housing like many of my neighbors will have said.
10	I think that we need to make it easier to get
11	additional homes throughout all of these spots. You
12	know, like ADUs within Victorian Flatbush wouldn't
13	change the physical character of the neighborhood and
14	it would even help what I consider character of the
15	neighborhood, which is all the people there, be able
16	to continue to stay and to have more options to live
17	in the neighborhood that they know and love, and so I
18	think that just, you know, not having more
19	opportunity within certain pockets, you know, since
20	it's all about not every opportunity is going to be
21	taken, we need to have as many opportunities as
22	possible while still making sure that we're
23	protecting existing residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you 3 to this panel.

Just a reminder, the front section is for those who are going to speak on the panel, so if you're not speaking on the panel, if you could keep the front section clear. That's that front row right there.

The next panel we're going to hear from is Pilar DeJesus, David Lawrence, Santa Scardillo, and Allie Ryan.

The following panel we'll have to add after that is Stephen Crim, Matthew Denys, Kurtis Weatherford, Vishnu Reddy, Luke Lavanway, and Toby Hyde.

We'll begin first with Ms. Pilar DeJesus.

PILAR DEJESUS: Hello. All right. Good afternoon, almost good evening, everyone. We held out really long. I'm Pilar DeJesus. I am a Latina born and raised in El Barrio. I'm an eviction prevention specialist. I'm an advocate. I'm an organizer. I sit on a few coalitions. I sit on a few boards. I'm the Vice President of East Harlem Preservation. I've been in this Chambers a few times to talk about rezoning, to talk about housing in

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

general. I spend a lot of time in housing court as a paralegal for a legal service provider. Many of you depend on us for your constituents to give them legal advice and to represent them in housing court when they're being faced with eviction. I ran for office twice. I ran against Melissa Margarito at one point. I also have been in central bookings a few times and I spent some time on Rikers Island. I'm saying all that to say because I'm here to say that I'm a New Yorker born and raised and I've experienced a lot of different types of harms by policies, different legislators, policies and agencies. I'm also here to tell you that to say no to the City of Yes, and I have a few points to say why I say that. One, I would also like to ask the legislators and the agencies to stop acting as if you are business partners with the real estate industry. Stop acting like you're the silent investors because you're using a lot of our money to help them make profit in a business. The real estate industry is a business, and I don't think we talk about that enough. I'm also really tired of the word manipulation used in these hearings, especially by Dan with his little bit of housing because it conditions brains to think a certain way,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

including the word affordable because I don't know how you guys revise the definition of affordable in your packet. I'm here to say what that PowerPoint did not say. That 79-page PowerPoint did not address the hundreds of thousands vacant rent-stabilized units and I took a lot of time, rent-stabilized units that are currently vacant. I know this because I work in a building where there's 150 units and there's only seven units being utilized and the landlord's lawyer literally told me they're not going to rent them out. They have great lawyers to continue violating the laws in a predatory way with loopholes and all these. You're not counting all the vacant units that are still vacant from the last rezoning in these affordable buildings. We don't need more units. We have 160 eviction cases pending right now. Those are people who currently have homes but are at risk. So what are developers and what is Council...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Pilar. Thank you.

PILAR DEJESUS: Doing to protect that? I think we need to stop talking about building more housing. I'm an advocate. I want housing, but I want us to protect and preserve the housing we have now.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 408
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Pilar.
3	We want to make sure everyone else could testify.
4	PILAR DEJESUS: And also, really quickly,
5	the real estate industry is also violating the law
6	with illicit cannabis.
7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Thank you. We
8	want to make sure everyone can be able to testify.
9	You can put all of that in testimony and submit it to
10	landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you.
11	Next, we're going to ask David Lawrence
12	to go.
13	DAVID LAWRENCE: Okay, great. Chairman
14	Riley, Council Members, thank you so much for having
15	me here. My name's David Lawrence. I represent
16	Ironclad Artists. We're an artist co-op in SoHo, and
17	we've been there since 1974.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: David, can you please
19	speak up a little bit? Thank you.
20	DAVID LAWRENCE: I'm so sorry. My throat's
21	a little sore. I'm sorry about that. Would you like
22	me to start over?
	1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You can start over.

24 You can start his time over.

25

2 DAVID LAWRENCE: Of course. My name is 3 David Lawrence, and I represent Ironclad Artists, an artist co-op in SoHo, and we've been there since 4 1974. My neighbors are painters, sculptors, photographers, filmmakers, many of whom are very well 6 known internationally and nationally. All of us do what artists do best. We take humble materials, and 8 using our imagination, we create value, both in our own art and our neighborhood, which at an earlier 10 11 time was known as Hell's Hundred Acres. Because of 12 artists, SoHo is what it is today, a premier 13 destination for visitors, and one of the most unique 14 neighborhoods in the world that we can all be very 15 proud of. In fact, artists have been leading the way 16 in the spirit of City of Yes for over 50 years, 17 converting commercial and industrial spaces into 18 living and work spaces. Yet we, you'd think, we would 19 support City of Yes, but we cannot for a simple 20 reason, and that's because the plan includes Section 21 15-01 of the Zoning Code, and it singles us out for 2.2 exorbitant taxes. I personally will have to pay 2.3 hundreds of thousands of dollars to the City. This was alluded to for an earlier speaker. Chris Marte is 24 very aware of this situation. This discrimination is

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Santa Scardillo. Santa Scardillo.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Next, we'll hear from Allie Ryan.

ALLIE RYAN: Hello. My name is Allie Ryan.

I am a long-time East Village resident, raising my family. I'm active in my community. I ran for City Council twice. I'm a parent leader in my daughter's school. I'm a member of at least seven grassroot groups that have filed lawsuits to stop rezonings and bad policies in the city. I'm a Board Member of an affordable homeownership condo association that was created during the Giuliani Administration. Seventy-five percent of the original owners still live there, and they're actually in many cases three generations living in their unit. Today I am speaking as myself.

It seems like every Mayor and City Council create
their own version of a plan to address housing. My
institutional knowledge only goes back to the de
Blasio Administration, although my home goes back to
the Giuliani Administration, and Mayor Adams' City of
Yes plan is the newest plan. Speaker Adams, you've
actually talked about creating your own plan, and I
would like to give some ideas for that plan. So,
affordable homeownership and Mitchell-Lamas-type
housing is desperately needed. Right now, when I
moved to the city in the early 2000s, an apartment of
Avenue C was 2,000 dollars a month. Now, in my
neighborhood, it's 3,800 dollars a month. Mortgages
are cheaper. People who've testified throughout
today, your constituents, they want to live in their
homes for the long term. They don't want to be
transient so I encourage you to build opportunities
for homeownership than rental property. Thank you for
your time, and I'm going to send the rest.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Ryan.

Yes, we would love to hear that presentation. Speaker

Adams wants to address you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER ADAMS: Ms. Ryan, as a matter of fact, I have to leave, ironically. I'm getting an award from Habitat for Humanity tonight. Thank you.

You struck a nerve when you spoke about Mitchell-Lama because a lot of people don't talk about Mitchell-Lama and the significance of Mitchell-Lama and homeownership, and some folks in this room know how significant speaking about homeownership and now building homeownership means to me. We don't speak about that elongation of housing and creating, not just for renters, even though we know that the predominance of those that want housing will be renters, but we need to extend the thought of homeownership out, and Mitchell-Lama certainly is one of those components. Can you just, they cut you off. I want you to continue your testimony just before I leave, because I'd like to hear you out.

ALLIE RYAN: Thank you. In regard to the Mitchell-Lama, so in East Village, we have right across the street from me, Village East Towers, and we have Village View. We also have East Midtown Plaza, north of me on 23rd Street, and I have friends who grew up in their apartments that were their parents', and they inherited them, and they're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4 build housing.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER ADAMS: Okay, and we're going to let you do that next week. I wanted to expand on the homeownership stuff, and you took us into the garden, so I'm going to cut you right there, because I really do have to go. Your time has expired.

ALLIE RYAN: Thank you.

SPEAKER ADAMS: But I'm going to thank you so much for your testimony and everybody that's testified tonight. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Madam Speaker, and yes, you can present the rest of your suggestions to us.

Before this panel, I just want to address Mr. Lawrence. Mr. Lawrence, we did have a full panel today from NoHo, SoHo that spoke about the rezoning provisions. I just wanted to make it clear, I didn't address it earlier because we wanted to give the space for everyone to speak about the issue, while Council Member Marte was here, but this provision is not currently in this zoning proposal. No, it's outside the scope of the zoning proposal. Council

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 Member Marte is very familiar with it, and we are

3 discussing it as well. Okay? All right. No problem.

Yeah, it's outside the scope, but we can go more into 4

depth with that. Thank you. 5

This panel is excused.

The following panel in front, you can

8 step in.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The panel after that will consist of Professor Joseph Kleinplatz, Christopher Leon Johnson, Susanna Landa, David Holowka, and Joelle Kupferman. Sorry if I mispronounced your name. If I just stated your name, please come to the front row, and you'll be the next panel to go up.

We'll start this panel with Stephen Crim.

STEPHEN CRIM: Good afternoon or good evening. My name is Stephen Crim, and I live in a nice R8A zoning district on East 15th Street in Manhattan, where Council Member Rivera represents me. I am here because while I am blessed to be able to afford my rent and rent in various neighborhoods around the city, too many of my fellow New Yorkers cannot afford their housing. Meanwhile, an untold number of others living around our nation would love to live here and contribute to this wonderful, ever-

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

changing place but cannot because of housing costs. I ask that Council Member Rivera and all the Members of the Council vote in favor of the zoning amendments being discussed. City of Yes, on its own, will not solve our housing crisis, but it is a major step forward that we should take while also working on complementary solutions discussed today that we can address in the future. Many zoning regulations passed over decades have contributed to our housing crisis, and the City of Yes initiative appropriately responds with a multifaceted approach for all parts of the city. I'll focus on one, the re-legalization of SROs, or Small and Shared Housing. This is housing that could be crucial to keep people who are in danger of falling into homelessness, housing that could help my neighbors who are unhoused in the middle of luxury. Allowing more housing to be built is a moral issue for me. The faith in which I grew up taught me to love my neighbors and to share my blessings with others. The right thing to do is to share the city that I love with even more neighbors, which the City of Yes will allow. Thank you for the opportunity to speak, and please support this essential set of zoning reforms.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Matthew Denys.

MATTHEW DENYS: Hi. I'm Matt Denys, and I am lucky to be a homeowner in Flatbush, Brooklyn. Shout out to my Council Member, Rita Joseph. And I'm also lucky to have the flexibility to take off work today so I could be here. And so I'd like to start by reminding all of you that we aren't hearing from many of those who are most affected by our housing shortage and affordability crisis. Because even with all of the support that you've seen here today, this hearing represents the 81 percent of New York City voters who support this proposal, including 77 percent support in central Brooklyn. To touch on something that is a part of this proposal that will affect my neighborhood in Flatbush, we currently have a mix of single and two-family homes that are mostly three stories tall and apartment buildings that are usually six stories tall. Most of them have been there for going on 100 years. And we have excellent transit access. So, the transit-oriented development portion of this would apply to a lot of the neighborhood. So, like today, all of those existing

apartments, the vast majority of them would be

encourage the Council to pass this proposal in its

2 full form, because New York should never be a city of 3

less.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. That's very creative. Next, we're going to hear from Kurtis Weatherford.

KURTIS WEATHERFORD: Hi there. My name is Kurtis Weatherford, and I live in Crown Heights, Brooklyn. I'm here partially because I, like more than half of New Yorkers, am rent-burdened as a result of the massive housing shortage in this city, but I also want to talk about how the state of housing in New York has affected my family. My grandfather moved here in 1941, before the Cityimposed parking minimums, ADU bans, and other antihousing measures. He was 14 years old. He and his mother were Jewish. They were fleeing the Holocaust in their home country of Germany, and they were the only two members of my extended family to survive. Upon arrival, they were able to rent a small apartment on the Upper West Side on my greatgrandmother's salary sorting rags. New York City was a refuge for them. Housing abundance and affordability in this city may have literally saved their lives. Unfortunately, we all know that a story

2 like this would be impossible in the city today. 3 Separately, my sister's job is based in New York, but 4 she lives in Missouri. She's visited me many times and loves it here. She also hates having to work remotely, spending all day on Zoom and struggling to 6 7 connect with coworkers she seldom sees. When I talk 8 to her on the phone, I always tell her she should move here, hang out with her coworkers after work, and start to build a life in this great city. Every 10 11 time, her reply is the same. I'd love to, but there's 12 no way I can afford the rent. I try to convince her 13 otherwise, but I know she's right. She and many 14 others like her must remain far from the city in 15 states where their reproductive rights are curtailed 16 and they may face discrimination on account of their 17 sexuality because New York City has gone from a city 18 of welcome to a city of welcome as long as you can 19 pay 4,000 dollars a month in rent. The City of Yes

proposal takes a step towards returning New York to

city that we can all be proud to call home. I hope

the Council Members approve this proposal, allow a

little more housing in each neighborhood, and get to

what it should be, a diverse, tolerant, and inclusive

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

work on more measures to increase affordability in this city. We don't have time to waste. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Next, we're going to hear from Vishnu Reddy. Sorry if

6 I mispronounced your name.

1

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

VISHNU REDDY: Hi. My name is Vishnu Reddy, and I live in Sunnyside, Queens. I'm here to express my unequivocal support for the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity with as few changes to the proposed plan as possible. In my neighborhood of Sunnyside, asking rents have skyrocketed over the last few years, and this story has repeated itself all over the city because our region is facing a dire housing shortage that is decades in the making. The runaway increases in housing costs this shortage has caused has harmed all but the most wealthy New Yorkers, and especially the most vulnerable. We don't have to live like this, and it's time we do something about it. I want our city to welcome people seeking reproductive healthcare in the post-Roe era. I want our city to be a refuge for queer folks to find safety and community at a time when the rights of gender and sexual minorities are under attack all across the country. I want our city to be a beacon of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hope for immigrants seeking opportunity and a better life in the face of some truly evil and vile rhetoric towards our immigrant neighbors. We can't have that city if only the wealthy can afford to live here. New York has long had a reputation as a safe harbor for the most vulnerable, but we are quickly losing that reputation. We're displacing people to states like Texas and Florida that constantly attack the rights of others because those states build housing, and we don't. I also want to emphasize that City of Yes is just a start. It's a step in the right direction, but it's not nearly enough to make up for decades of underbuilding. We need the City to continue to pursue pro-housing zoning reforms beyond City of Yes. We also need help from Albany to help fund affordable housing and ensure the suburbs are pulling their weight in housing creation. I can't wait to see what more we can do to get us out of this mess. Thank you for your time and for listening to why I support the City of Yes for housing opportunity, and I also want to commend Mr. Riley here for sticking it out for so long and being so excellent on this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Next, we're going to have Luke Lavanway.

2 LUKE LAVANWAY: Good evening. Thank you 3 for the opportunity to testify today. Thank you for 4 being here. My name is Luke Lavanway. I'm an 11-year resident of New York City, and I currently live in Fort Green on the edge of downtown Brooklyn, which is 6 7 one of the neighborhoods currently doing the most to 8 help the city meet its housing needs. I'd like to address my testimony to Council Members who feel pressure from constituents who object to City of Yes, 10 11 and there are three points I'd like to offer. 12 Firstly, I think we should do more to communicate the 13 truth that everyone, even homeowners, has an interest in a healthy housing market. Everyone should want 14 15 reasonable, stable growth in home values, not 16 skyrocketing costs which threaten to upset the entire 17 apple cart of our city. Renters and homeowners alike 18 have a lot to lose from the destabilizing influence 19 the housing crisis is having on our city. We truly 20 are all in this together, and that is why every 21 neighborhood is being asked to do its part to add to 2.2 the housing supply. Secondly, I believe that your job 2.3 as Council Members will get harder for every day we fail to act on the housing crisis. With each passing 24 day, I see New Yorkers learning about the causes of 25

2 our lack of housing supply, many of which we have

3 seen on display in this very hearing. We are watching

4 to see which of our elected representatives are

5 working toward real housing solutions and which are

6 | not and, crucially, we understand that there will

7 never be a perfect housing policy and that urgent

8 action is required. Thirdly, City of Yes for Housing

9 Opportunity is truly a moderate step to address the

10 housing crisis. In fact, it arguably doesn't do

11 | enough. The slogan of this initiative is, a little

12 more housing in every neighborhood. No neighborhood

13 can object in good conscience to doing a little more

14 | to help our city address its housing needs. To

15 conclude, I urge the City Council to approve City of

16 Yes in its entirety. New Yorkers deserve abundant

17 | housing. Thank you.

18

20

21

2.2

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're

TOBY HYDE: Thank you, Chair. Hi, my name

19 going to have Toby Hyde.

is Toby Hyde. I'm a born and bred New Yorker. I've been here for 25 years. Grew up in Manhattan and

23 these days call Brooklyn home. I'm here because I

24 firmly believe that New York City needs to build more

housing, a lot more housing so I support the full

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

City of Yes package. I'm sick of seeing my friends leave New York City for New Jersey or other suburbs or other states because of the scary cost of raising a family in New York City. This is more personal than ever for me today. In August, my wife gave birth to our first child. Between the morning session and the afternoon, I went home and the baby spit up on me. I cannot afford to live in the neighborhood I grew up on. I'm lucky Nana's only a subway ride away. City of Yes is a small step in the direction to avoid that happening to my son. Just last night, my wife and I were joking about what kind of overbearing Brooklyn parents we'd be. We may differ about it. We agreed that we can actually force our son to live next door, but we want him to have the opportunity to live close to his parents when he grows up. As a New Yorker without a car, I do want to specifically applaud City of Yes for proposing to remove parking minimums for new housing construction. This is really important. Today's parking requirements lower the amount of new housing we produce, make it longer to build new housing, and thus make that housing more expensive. Removing parking minimums is hardly radical. Almost 100 American cities have done so, from Spokane,

Banks, do you have any questions? This panel's

24

25

excused. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next panel I'm going to call up consists of Professor Joseph Kleinplatz, sorry if I butchered your name, Christopher Leon Johnson,

Susanna Landa, David Halowka, and Joelle Kupferman, and we will begin first with Professor Joseph Kleinplatz.

PROFESSOR JOSEPH KLEINPLATZ: Speaking. I'm here on a very personal basis. I maintained excellent relationships with all the previous Administrations, including directly with the Mayor and the former Speaker. I am going to present this from my own experience. I am a survivor of a 9/11 cancer. I was a rescuer that day looking for bodies. I have since, because of the conditions in my building, suffered a heart attack, open-heart surgery, hand surgery, and knee surgery. We cannot proceed with what you're proposing at this point. It's the city of maybe because you don't have enough HPD people in place, you don't have enough DOB people in place. We have spent many, many, many, many winters nearly dying because the heat was off. Speaker Johnson isn't here today because he's no longer the Speaker, but I'm going to say this, if it wasn't for Speaker Johnson, yes Lynn, if it wasn't

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for Speaker Johnson, we would have been dead. Some of us stayed in our beds and froze to death on West 16th Street. It took Brad Hoylman to make sure that the elevator was finally fixed because the seniors on the sixth floor were trapped. (INAUDIBLE) specifically offered to carry the seniors down physically because HPD and DOB had not followed up on either one. Now, there are other issues that the City has to address. I've addressed them with Lynn privately and other Council people, there are other problems. But the way it's written in the current situation is really not where it needs to be. You have to have the proper agencies in place and HPD and DOB failed on many, many instances. If you'd like, I'll present you all of the 3-1-1 calls, I'll print every single one, and you know how many HPD and DOB phone calls there are? 453. So, if there's an alternative plan where you have these things in place, which I don't think are in place anyway, then it should be tabled, completely tabled, and there should be enough accountability, enough people to come forward, and all of you involve more engagement. There are people that are very smart in this city, you have to also include them. Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Professor. Appreciate it. Next, we're going to have Christopher Leon Johnson.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, hello. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson. I am a 33-year-old resident of Crown Heights, Brooklyn, lived there all my life. I am a former 32BJ member, the shop steward for MTA Eastside Access. I oppose the City of Yes, and I'll explain why. Because let me keep this real, right? I know we have back here Annemarie Grey, she's paid off by the developers to recruit all these guys and gals here to speak on behalf of the City of Yes. These guys and gals here are getting paid off by Annemarie Grey to speak on behalf of the City of Yes. All these people that are here to speak on behalf of YMBI, COY, are all getting paid to be here. I'm not getting paid to be here. The unions too, 32BJ, my former union, Manny Pastreich, I respect the guy, but he's getting paid off too by the developers to recruit all the members, including HEC and DC-37, to speak on behalf of COY. COY is nothing but a paid-off plan by developers to get rid of all the undesirables and bring all the YMBI friends in. Yeah, there's a housing crisis in New York City, but you cannot solve

25

2 the housing crisis by making the minimum rent in 3 Crown Heights 5,000 dollars a month, and that's what 4 they want. That's what Annemarie Grey wants. All the 5 units in Crown Heights to be 5,000 dollars a month minimum, where the AMI has to be, you have to make 6 7 between 80 percent, 90 percent, and 100 percent AMI to live in those units. This is all it's about. The 8 people that is speaking, especially the black ones like Austin Celestin, who... I can go back to Austin 10 11 Celestin. He knows what is going on, and the sad 12 thing about it, you got your own people like Austin 13 Celestin, black guy, selling out his own people for 14 Annemarie Grey and Rachel Fee, and this is 15 disgusting. We say no to the City of Yes. The City of Yes is a scam. This is nothing but quid pro quo by 16 17 the unions and all these organizations like Open New 18 York for All, Open Plans, TransAlt, and the New York 19 Housing Conference to push this, to get all these 20 people here to speak on behalf of COY. The people 21 that's against COY are not getting paid. The people 2.2 that's for COY, they're all getting paid here, and 2.3 I'm going to say this one now before I go. If you Council Members vote for this bill, we won't forget. 24 I know this is going through. This is going through,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in reference to Fairfield County ranch houses that were being bought for replacement with mansions. The practice increases bulk and housing costs without adding housing. City of Yes will do just that for New York to the extent that it makes existing apartment buildings more profitable to tear down. We'll get less housing, not more, as older apartments built for ordinary people are bulldozed to make way for much larger apartments for the rich aimed at international secondary home and investor markets. That's already happening in neighborhoods like the Upper East Side, which has been losing housing units even as new apartment buildings are added. The poster child for this effect may be 220 West 57th Street, the billionaire's road near Supertall that replaced a mere 20-story building that had about the same number of apartments, a third of them rent-stabilized. In the absence of vacant lots, developers will profitably vacate buildings with buyouts. City of Yes will throw gasoline on an ongoing bonfire of affordable housing. In most of Manhattan and much of Brooklyn, market rate means luxury. That's why even City of Yes's optional affordability preference is a losing proposition. A new building that's 80 percent

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

luxury and only 20 percent affordable, and affordable as dubiously defined by AMI at that, would have a far higher median apartment cost than almost any building it would replace. That doesn't even factor in the cost in light, air, climate impact and quality of life. The self-defeating and dangerously naive parts of City of Yes that put a target on the back of existing affordable housing deserve a thumbs down.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Are there any questions for this panel? There's no questions.

I do have a statement, though. This
proposal is a proposal from the Administration. It's
not a proposal from the Council. We are deliberating.
We are hearing it. We're trying to make
modifications. We're trying to get feedback. But I do
want to make a notion that this sentiment that this
proposal will promote undesirables within our
community, I would stray away from that. This should
only present opportunity for houseless individuals
who are looking for somewhere to call home. So that
terminology, undesirable, I just respectfully ask
those who come up after this panel if we could please

Killingsworth, and I'm a resident of Kensington in

make sense. So that's my silly way of trying to wake

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 minimums. And the last thing I'll say is in Auckland,

y'all up and argue that we do not need parking

4 New Zealand, when they up-zoned, it also ended up

5 resulting in more social housing so, when we do this,

6 we can build more social housing. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Olivia.

Next, we'll have Gabriel Dougherty.

GABRIEL DOUGHERTY: Hi. My name is Gabriel Dougherty, and I live in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. Today, I will be speaking in favor of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity, specifically the provision to relax the dwelling unit factor. That's more commonly known as the provision that enables single-room occupancy units, but I'll refer to it here as, like, the dwelling unit factor thing. This change to the zoning text would allow for more flexible housing, such as units where you pay for the room but have shared kitchen and bathrooms. This can provide a cheaper, more accessible option for shared housing. So, to put this in context, two years ago I used to live in a three-bedroom in Brooklyn with roommates. That roommate situation quickly turned sour, it didn't work out, and I had to leave on short notice

because I was subletting. It was too short notice for

me to find another set of roommates, so I ended up moving to a studio that I didn't like and I could barely afford. I've since left that studio for a twobedroom with a roommate, but it leaves me thinking, had this proposed solution been implemented, this proposed relaxation of the dwelling factor would have helped me out. First, it would have allowed me to find similar housing on short notice with a shared kitchen and bathroom, just like I had with the strangers in my three-bedroom. Second, this flexible kind of housing likely would have been a better option for me in the first place than shacking up with two other roommates, like I said, that I met on the internet and didn't really know, and this is a common situation nowadays. Lastly, folks have been talking about building families in New York. Me living in a three-bedroom with roommates as I did then or a two-bedroom with a roommate as I do now puts pressure on the price of these apartments that are so very needed by families. Flexible housing provides a real alternative that could relieve this pressure. In conclusion, I thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak today, and I encourage you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to support the City of Yes for Housing opportunity in 3 full. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Gabriel.

Next, we're going to have Alex Armlovich.

ALEX ARMLOVICH: Hi, I'm Alex Armlovich, Housing Policy Manager at the Niskanen Center, a think tank that advocates for strong markets, strong social insurance, and a liberalism that builds. Though I lead a DC-based housing team, I'm also a coop owner in the Jackson Heights Historic District. I'm here to endorse every component of the City of Yes for all the reasons that you've heard today from affordability, climate, income, and growth, ably summarized by DCP staff and my four commenters. But I wanted to explain, you've heard today from many constituents that the whole city, it's already a construction site, and aren't we already building a lot? Now the tragedy of that is that it's not wrong in the way that you might think. Under current rules, there's a huge incentive for remodeling that doesn't add housing. You don't need to go through ULURP to gut rehab a three-unit brownstone to turn it into a mansion in Brooklyn. You don't need ULURP to buy and merge your neighbor's unit to enlarge your co-op. You

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you. Dan

25 Kent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 DAN KENT: Good evening. My name is Dan Kent. I'm the CEO of Lantern Organization. We're a 3 4 non-profit affordable housing and supportive housing developer with the mission to end homelessness in New York City, and that is why I am here in strong 6 support of the City of Yes for Housing. Now, the 7 8 reason that New York City has the highest rate of homelessness in the country, five times higher than other cities like Austin, Texas, is because we don't 10 11 build enough market rate housing. We do not produce 12 enough housing, especially in the low-density 13 districts in New York City. In our low-density districts, even after City of Yes is approved, hoping 14 15 it comes through, even after it's approved, they will 16 still barely produce more housing than Detroit. They 17 will still produce housing at a rate that is one-18 third of Los Angeles, and I don't know about you, but 19 I hate when New York loses to Los Angeles so the plan 20 is actually quite modest. We're only talking about 21 100,000 additional units across the city over 15 2.2 years. There are 120,000 city blocks in New York 2.3 City. That works out to one unit of housing per block across the city. That's not going to overwhelm our 24 25 sewers. That is not going to cause a parking crisis

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Puneet Lath.

2.2

2.3

PUNEET LATH: Hello. My name is Puneet.

I'm here to testify in favor of City of Yes. I live in Lower Manhattan in the Bowery, right between Chinatown and the Lower East Side, two important, unique, historic neighborhoods in New York City. I'm here because I'm worried about the continued rising cost of housing in the neighborhoods around where I live and in the city as a whole. Neighborhoods like mine continue to feel the crunch of rising costs and gentrification. I live near, very close to two homeless shelters, and I frequently walk through Sara D. Roosevelt Park. Every day I see many people who are suffering due to the effects of high housing costs. I understand that there are many

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

considerations in how we go about creating new housing, but to a large degree, it seems to me like this is a problem of clear supply and demand issue, where the reason for such unaffordable rent costs is because the demand for apartments is so high compared to the supply. Even though some neighborhoods build a lot of housing, most do not, which can be seen in the citywide vacancy rate being below 2 percent, which is the lowest it's been since the 1960s. This is why I'm very excited about the City of Yes proposal. What I'm most excited about is that it will cause more housing to be built in more neighborhoods rather than just a few of them, which will help spread the housing burden across the city and benefit all neighborhoods by decreasing rent prices and making New York City more livable. I hope the City Council Members will approve it in its strongest form. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And the last person in this panel is Bill Cryer.

BILL CRYER: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Bill Cryer, and I strongly support the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. I live in Inwood with my wife and two young children. We just spent months looking for an

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

apartment for my growing family so we don't all have to sleep in the same room. Vacancy rates are at an historic low right now, which means landlords have the upper hand to neglect tenants and to raise market rents. Many families have left our neighborhood due to the cost and condition of housing, things like mold and lack of heat. Families with children under six are twice as likely to leave New York City as families without children. To help fix this, I support shared housing. Families are often outbid for family-sized apartments by single adults living as roommates. Shared housing can serve as an alternative for single adults, which will free up family-sized apartments. New housing can reduce competition for existing housing. My art teacher in high school lived in shared housing, and it was the only way he could afford to live near his job in the neighborhood where he wanted to live. Shared housing is small, but it's more affordable than studios and obviously better than homelessness. I also support ADUs so intergenerational families can live together. My mother often cares for my children, and my father needs more and more care himself. ADUs allow intergenerational families to stay close. Finally, I

corner from the subway and multiple bus stops. These 6

being forced to build expensive parking around the

444

7 mandates increase car ownership and traffic. If you

8 want to fix parking, we should look at reforming

street parking. City of Yes for Housing Opportunity

only addresses a fraction of the housing shortage. I 10

11 urge the Council to vote yes and continue to do more.

Thank you. 12

5

13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

There being no questions for this panel, this panel 14

15 is excused.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We've been joined by Council Member Abreu, who is going to take over as Chair while I take a break.

The next panel that we're going to call up consists of Karen Romeo, Mary Ruan, and Rochelle Thompson. If you're here, please come to the dais.

The panel after that will consist of Kevin Kierproski (phonetic), Daniel Arnow, Geoffrey Thomas, Daniel Golliher, Jorge Romero, and Cassandra O'Hearn.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Hello. Can you

3 | please state your name?

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROCHELLE THOMPSON: Hi. My name is Rochelle Thompson, and I'm known as the First Lady of Jazz in Harlem, USA, the continent that I love. And I'm here today to testify to say I feel this is a city of no. And I heard all of the testimonies before, particularly of the senior citizen who is about to be evicted so my issue is with the court. We are going to court forever. We are paying our rent by certified mail return receipt requested. The landlords are not in court. The judges are ignoring our evidence. And there is not a cap on how many times that you can go to court. I've won two Supreme Court cases. One I wrote on my own. I've done 18 HP actions. I've lived in my building for 40 years. And I'm wondering why we, as taxpaying citizens, are going through all of that. That is my main issue. And I hope that we can look into that. And I hope that the Mayor's Office and the new First Deputy will look into this and change this because it is wearing us out as people. We just want to live in our homes. I did a children's jazz festival on my block. I did a children's newsletter sent to the White House. I

express our strong support for the Administration's

City of Yes housing initiative. New York is the

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

~	~			
SUBCOMMITTEE	()N	200106	ANI)	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

employment dropped by 60 percent, and employment remains 13 percent below pre-pandemic levels. To ensure that New York remains the global arts hub, we need to expand affordable artist housing and ensure that artists have affordable workspaces that allow them to thrive in this city. In closing, we strongly support City of Yes and urge the Council to embrace policies that make our cultural community stronger.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Daniel. And now we have Geoffrey Thomas.

GEOFFREY THOMAS: Hi. My name is Geoffrey
Thomas. I'm a homeowner in Flatbush-Ditmas Park, and
I'd like to encourage City Council to pass the City
of Yes for Housing Opportunity Proposal in its
entirety, but I don't want you to take my word for
it. Two weeks ago, I was at a ULURP hearing at
Brooklyn Borough Hall about a rezoning project in
Windsor Terrace. The site is pretty close to me. It
is right next to my church, and I know we badly need
more housing in that area so I spoke up in favor of
the project. There were, of course, some folks
speaking against it. They said, we love the idea of
more housing, but this particular site in my

support City of Yes once they know what's in it.

- 2 Ordinary working New Yorkers, many of whom who can't
- 3 take a day off of work to sit here all day and take a
- 4 day off their family responsibilities and still be
- 5 | here, ordinary people who don't know what the word
- 6 ULURP even means, they want you to pass this
- 7 proposal. They elected you to do what's right for
- 8 | their community. They entrusted you with that. It's a
- 9 common-sense policy, and the city badly needs it, so
- 10 I urge you to vote yes.

- 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,
- 12 Geoffrey. We now have Daniel Golliher.
- 13 DANIEL GOLLIHER: Hello, my name is Daniel
- 14 Golliher. By day I teach classes on New York City
- 15 government, but today I'm here to speak as a happy
- 16 advocate of the city's future. I'm also an adopted
- 17 | New Yorker, what E.B. White once called the third New
- 18 | York. I originally grew up on a farm in Indiana, and
- 19 I'm one of millions who've come here to live life on
- 20 | their own terms, seek opportunity, and contribute to
- 21 | the greatest city in the world. Members of the
- 22 | Council, thank you for having me, and Council Member
- 23 | Hanif, who isn't here at the moment, thank you for
- 24 your earlier remarks welcoming people to this city,
- 25 | regardless of their point of origin. New York City

18

20

21

23

24

25

pipe galleries generally, and other critical

infrastructure. Whatever reservations you feel today

have subways. And so, can't we have housing today?

Many Council Members have concerns about the impact

of this text amendment on infrastructure, especially

sewers, concerns that many people at the dawn of the

20th century had about subway construction, which

required a reconstruction of sewers, steam pipes,

2 about allowing the city to build needed housing, your

3 counterparts in the past have felt similarly, and

4 they chose to move forward at many crucial junctures

5 to our great benefit today. The City government of

6 the past met fear and complication with action, bold

7 action that birthed the subway between 1900 and 1940,

8 and bold action that accommodated population growth

9 from 3.5 million in 1900 to 7 million by 1930. They

10 did all this with far less wealth, technology, and

11 | benefit of hindsight than we have today. My hope for

12 | this Council is that it will meet the ambition and

13 | energy of the government's past, the one that largely

14 | built the city the present inherited.

15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,

16 Daniel. We have to move on. My apologies. Cassandra

17 O'Hearn.

1

18 CASSANDRA O'HEARN: Thank you, Council

19 Member, for hearing our testimony today. I am

20 speaking as an advocate of city investment. I had the

21 ∥ opportunity, as a resident of Fulton in Brooklyn,

22 | having completed a master's degree from the

23 | University of (INAUDIBLE) Urban Planning. I strongly

24 \parallel support this proposal. The fact is that we are facing

25 | a 1.4 rating survey. It is the lowest in 56 years.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 New York City proudly defines itself as a city of

3 immigrants, built on a strong working class, and the

4 ability of new generations to bring new ideas and

5 skillsets from all over the country and the world.

6 These same residents are being pushed out of

7 | neighborhoods and are unable to build that

8 generational wealth. Now, City of Yes will enable our

9 city to continue to grow and will be welcoming to

10 | future generations of New Yorkers who will continue

11 to bring innovation and creativity. Additionally, by

12 | taking a citywide approach, the plan works towards

13 | equitable development across neighborhoods as opposed

14 | to overburdening a few neighborhoods in excessive

15 development. This will enable (INAUDIBLE) future

16 generations to continue to enjoy them. I urge the

17 | City Council to vote Yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. Now we have Jorge Romero.

JORGE ROMERO: Hi. How's it going? I'm on this panel so obviously I support City of Yes. I'm not getting paid as people got accused earlier. I gave up a day of work. I gave a day to be next to my daughter, my newborn daughter, to be here today to speak up for the City of Yes, and I think everybody

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that spoke so far on both sides are here because they care a lot about this great city. I don't question that. The reason I'm here today is because I think some of us are loving this city so much that we're stumping its growth. We're not letting the city flourish like it used to. As many of the buildings we so cherish would be illegal today with the current zoning laws. I think the New York Times said 40 percent of the buildings in Manhattan would be illegal today. City of Yes can start to change this. It is not a solution for infrastructure. Some of you and your Colleagues can solve infrastructure if you make that a priority. It is not a solution for affordability. You and your Colleagues as well can put emphasis into subsidizing that or funding that. But this is a small step in the right direction that will, you know, in 10, 15 years lead a modest growth of housing. I'm a new parent and just how I know I need to let my daughter grow on her own, I think we should let New York grow. In some areas there has been growth, 1.2 million jobs in the last decade, but only 400,000 houses. Instead, we have pushed people into illegal basement apartments, pushed people into shelter systems, made people leave, and made adults

2.2

2.3

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: All right, this panel is hereby excused. Do my Colleagues have any questions?

Thank you. After this next group, we will be pivoting back to online testimony.

In this next panel, we have Dasha

Gonzalez, Isabel Brown, Barbara Blair, Shabri Doshi

(phonetic), Ralph Yozo (phonetic), and Farron Henry

(phonetic).

Can you please identify your first name before you speak? Thank you.

BARBARA BLAIR: Hello. Good evening and good evening, Members of the Council that are with us now. My name is Barbara Blair. I'm the President of the Garment District Alliance, and I'm here to support the City of Yes for Housing proposal. New York City is in desperate need of additional housing. Allowing more commercial to residential conversions is an obvious and important step towards addressing our crucial shortage of housing. The City of Yes for Housing is a lifeline for the Garment District in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

West Midtown Manhattan because it is imperative that we find new uses to occupy vacant space in our neighborhood's commercial buildings and to create a safer 24/7 mixed-use community. Many property owners in the Garment District are seeing 20 to 25 percent vacancies with post-pandemic changes in work models including working from home and reduced days in the Central Business District. Many tenants are not renewing leases or have plans to downsize their spaces. Others have even left the district mid-lease due to dire social conditions on our streets including drug dealing, drug use, and mentally ill individuals in need of services. Without residence, ground floor retail is not viable in many cases because of the limited hours that office tenants keep. These current conditions are untenable. For the Garment District easing restrictions on commercial to residential conversions will activate our streets and create a safer 24/7 environment, provide new users to absorb vacant space, increase our consumer base to support ground floor retail, expand opportunities for restaurants to operate during dinner time and on weekends, and allow for the adaptive reuse of Class B and C buildings that are threatened by both office

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 457
2	tenants downsizing and the flight to quality. For all
3	these reasons we're fully supportive of this
4	proposal. For the Garment District to fully realize
5	the opportunity this zoning change presents, we
6	recommend that the plan include capital improvements.
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you very
8	much for your testimony.
9	Before we move to the next panel online,
10	I just want to make sure that folks who are part of
11	this panel, if you're here, please come up. Dasha
12	Gonzalez, Isabel Brown, Shabrie Doshi, Ralph Yozo,
13	Farron Henry.
14	Not seeing you here, the panel is
15	excused.
16	BARBARA BLAIR: Thank you.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: All right, I'm
18	going to call the next panel.
19	If you're online and you haven't signed
20	up, make sure you consult the Council's Land Use
21	page.
22	The next panel will include Elaine Young,
23	Dena Winter, Arlene Schlesinger and Deborah Wright.
24	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

ELAINE YOUNG: Can you hear me?

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can.

ELAINE YOUNG: Elaine Young, thank you. 3 Sorry about the delay. So, I'm sorry, this was so 4 sudden that I was called. Give me one second here. Okay, hi, my name is Elaine Young, and I'm President 6 7 of the West Cunningham Park Civic Association representing over 5,000 residents in Fresh Meadows, 8 Queens. We are a low-density community of mainly onefamily homes and we say a resounding no to the City 10 11 of Yes. In this community, we have worked long and hard to maintain a lower density, working closely 12 13 with our Community Board and local elected officials 14 in a back-and-forth dialogue that truly valued 15 community input. The City of Yes plans to eliminate 16 this community input when it comes to zoning. It 17 removes ULURP from Community Boards and Council 18 Members, eliminating any meaningful dialogue with the 19 community. I also have to say, on behalf of the low-20 density communities in listening to testimonies, it is incredibly insulting to hear speakers vilify low-21 2.2 density areas that make up only 50 percent of New 2.3 York City with implying or outright saying that lowdensity areas are responsible for the housing and 24 affordability crisis. In the City's one size fits all 25

community organization working in Greenwich Village,

the East Village, and NoHo. We strongly oppose

multiple provisions in City of Yes for housing

opportunity and urge the Council to remove these

2.2

2.3

24

13

25

2 | 20 percent or more additional affordable housing on

3 top of the market rate development. But under this

4 plan, there is absolutely no requirement that they do

5 so, and the existing incentive for including

6 affordable housing, holding back 10 percent of the

7 | allowable market rate FAR would disappear. This is

8 simply a gift to developers with nothing in return

9 for the public. This provision must be rethought. We

10 adamantly oppose raising the allowable FAR for purely

11 market rate developments in voluntary inclusionary

12 zones, and so should you. Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,

14 Dena. We now have Arlene Schlesinger.

ARLENE SCHLESINGER: Good evening. As a

16 representative of Hollis Hills Civic Association, I

17 | firmly oppose the City of Yes initiative. We must ask

18 ourselves, who stands to gain from this text

19 | amendment? The initiative is fraught with

20 controversy, and I am deeply troubled by the

21 potential for corruption, especially within the City

22 Planning Commission, which is appointed, not elected,

23 by Mayor Adams and his Administration. Their apparent

objective is to dismantle the ULURP process, which

currently promotes balanced zoning decisions.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Instead, centralize future zoning authority into their hands. This would significantly weaken the influence of local Community Boards, City Council Members, who play a crucial role in shaping our neighborhoods as they are. Who really benefits? It's certainly not the residents who are being ignored in favor of developers. This initiative poses direct threat to single-family and two-family homes owned by middle-class, hard-working individuals. The idea of transforming residential homes into business spaces, whether for stores, barbershops, labs, adding ADUs in our backyards and driveways, is fundamentally misguided. The lack of parking mandates within our proposal only proves how out of tune the City of Yes is for our city. Why should commercial areas be neglected while businesses encroach into our private homes? Who would harm our neighborhood (INAUDIBLE) that would drastically change the character of our communities? Do we really want large-scale buildings popping up on residential streets? Is that the future for eastern Queens? Additionally, the environmental and infrastructure concerns are varying. We live in flood-prone zones, and the infrastructure is already under such strain. This initiative seems to ignore

UNIDENTIFIED: Sorry, is this for me?

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: This is for 3 Grace Marrero.

All right, I just want to give Grace another opportunity if she's on the panel to speak or to try to unmute yourself to speak. And a reminder, if you're unable to testify due to technical difficulties, you may submit testimony online.

Grace, you need to accept your promotion.

GRACE MARRERO: Hello.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: All right. Non-profit.

GRACE MARRERO: Okay, sorry. I got bumped off right there at the last minute.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: No problem.

GRACE MARRERO: I'm going to cut through the chase. I am against the City of Yes. I am a community advocate and activist here in the Allentown section of the Bronx. This City of Yes is not affordable. The housing is not affordable. It's going to displace. We are mostly people of color here and low-income people here. This is going to push us further into Westchester. We keep getting pushed into Westchester. The housing is not affordable. We don't have a housing crisis like everybody wants to say.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's an affordability crisis is what we have. So, unless you're going to fix that portion, this City of Yes is a city killer and I'm just going to say to the City Council Members that whoever votes for this project, you will be primaried. Guaranteed. You're out. So, enjoy your time in City Council because you'll be primaried and you'll be voted out. We will make a mission. This will be a mission of ours to all the communities here. We're going to make a mission to make sure each and every one who votes yes gets out and then we're going to revisit it when we have the right people in there. You're not representing the communities. You guys should be brought up on charges of treason, whoever votes yes, because you are abdicating your oath of office to protect and the Constitution of the United States and this is what you're doing. You're going against the people that you are supposed to be representing so enjoy your time while you can because, if this City of Yes goes through, you guys are out and then we're going to revisit it again. And the developers, they better think again and people who, these young people that think it's a great idea, when you live long enough like I have, I'm a senior citizen, I'm disabled,

to move far away and make it no longer tenable to

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 TYLER LEWIS: Hi, everyone. Thanks for having me. My name is Tyler Lewis. I don't work for 3 4 anybody or represent anybody except myself. I'm a native New Yorker and a resident of Brooklyn. I want to just voice my strong, strong support for City of 6 7 Yes, and I hope that the Council passes it in its 8 strongest measures. I am so excited by many of the propositions within it, and I don't think I could speak more articulately or vehemently than many 10 11 people who have come before you today, but I wanted 12 to add my voice as an excited citizen and a positive 13 voice and also just express gratitude to all of you 14 for sustaining this process. I also just want to 15 voice support specifically for lifting parking 16 mandates, which are silly and outdated, and I say 17 that as a car owner. Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. Now we have Roland Nimis.

ROLAND NIMIS: Good evening. My name is
Roland Nimis. I'm the Acting Director of Housing Unit
at Bronx Legal Services, which, as part of Legal
Services NYC, defends and uplifts tenants through
Right-to-Counsel eviction defense work and antiharassment work for low-income New Yorkers. As one of

on vouchers to find housing. Likewise, legalizing and

illegal units in basements and subdivided apartments

regulating accessory dwelling units is crucial to

increase access to safe and affordable housing.

Today, many low-income tenants are forced into

where they are powerless, with few defenses to

20

21

2.3

24

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I can hear you.

2 SALLY ANN SINISGALLI: Okay. Good evening, 3 and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak 4 to all of you today. My name is Sally Ann Sinisgalli. I'm a lifelong resident of Howard Beach. I grew up here, went to school here, and I raised my kids here. 6 7 I speak for you today to discuss the City of Yes 8 Housing proposal. I want to cite multiple grievances that I have with this plan, including an overgeneralized plan, lack of community discourse, 10 11 and the overcrowding of schools and other 12 municipalities. Starting with the problem of the 13 overgeneralized plan, the City of Yes Housing 14 proposal makes a wrongful assumption that since every 15 neighborhood is within New York City, they will be 16 equally affected, and one general plan will work for 17 the whole city. I can assure you this assumption is 18 not only incorrect, but is absurd. Each borough has a 19 specific layout and makeup, and this plan will have 20 greater adverse effects on Queens and my 21 neighborhood, Howard Beach. If this plan passes, the streets will become packed with cars, and we don't 2.2 2.3 have adequate public transportation in Queens, and the whole reason why many, like me, live in Queens or 24 Staten Island and not one of the more urban boroughs 25

to address and possibly the most important is the

factors when you consider your vote. Ask who will

benefit from the overhaul of low-density areas in

Queens. Is it homeowners who already pay the highest

taxes in Queens or developers who will receive tax

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

their findings. Queens communities cannot support the

quality of life and affecting property values and

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

exacerbating the strain on neighborhoods without any increase in infrastructure or agency staffing. It will not create more affordable housing. Instead, it will replace homeownership, owner-occupied housing, and what's left of the middle class in New York with market-rate rental units, exponentially increasing the unaffordability of our housing stock. The City of Yes Housing Opportunity proposal would throw all of that away in order to, one, create massive and unsustainable increases in density across the board in every lower-density neighborhood; two, utterly ignore transportation needs and trends, particularly in lower-density neighborhoods, often transit linking to Manhattan; three, exponentially increase the stress on infrastructure and public resources, of which it makes no mention; four, deny actual housing affordability of any kind despite constant buzzwords and meaningless rhetoric; five, severely compromise the democratic process in future land use decisions by communities and their elected officials through the massive expansion of as-of-right development and limiting of ULURP. My fellow neighbors, business owners, and tax-paying citizens are vehemently opposed to the City of Yes. Thank you.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Ed 3 Goydas. We now have Cliff Bruckenstein.

4 Cliff, if you're here, please unmute.

CLIFF BRUCKENSTEIN: Yes, I am. Can you

hear me?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes.

CLIFF BRUCKENSTEIN: Okay. I'm a longtime, actually lifelong resident of Sheepshead Bay Brooklyn, third generation. I have fought zoning issues for many, many years on many different projects. I'm on the Zoning Board of the Community Board. I have voted on hundreds of zoning resolutions. Some yes, some no. I really pick and choose. My neighborhood has doubled in size in the last five years. This zoning, one-size-fits-all, is insane. It would be the elimination of a lot of people in this city, and no (INAUDIBLE) for affordable living, affordable rates. If you want to make a true reasonable way to make affordable rent, you have to make room for homeownership of all sorts, large and small, and it would be very difficult in my neighborhood, which is basically all over Brooklyn.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Please mute 3 yourself if you're not Cliff.

CLIFF BRUCKENSTEIN: It would be difficult to do that unless the City Council does a lot of thinking without developers, because all they want to do is fill their pockets. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Cliff. We now have Paul Graziano.

PAUL GRAZIANO: Can you hear me?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes.

PAUL GRAZIANO: Thank you. Good evening.

I'm Paul Graziano. I'm an urban planner working with over 200 organizations representing the interests of close to a million residents of every ethnicity and income level all over the city who say no to the City of Yes. Most speakers in favor are lobbyists or paid representatives of organizations that have skin in the game, those who will financially or otherwise benefit from the City of Yes should it be adopted.

Most speakers in opposition are residents of the city, homeowners, tenants, civic associations who are merely fighting for their homes and the survival of their neighborhoods. A few statistics. Right now, we

have the same population as we did in 1960 and

theoretical neighborhood models that DCP created are

mid density, Ridgewood is a middle density

neighborhood and you can build a 10-unit apartment

depending on the size of the property, and it could

building or even a 20-unit apartment building,

2.3

24

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can.

24

2 MARC PITTSLEY: Great. Thank you so much. 3 My name is Marc Pittsley, and I'm an architect with 4 23 years' experience working here in the city, mostly on apartment buildings, most of which are 5 (INAUDIBLE). I'm testifying today in favor of the 6 City of Yes proposal because we desperately need 7 8 every tool to incentivize the construction of more housing in the city. Specifically, I want to say a few words regarding mandatory parking requirements. 10 11 As a designer, I've seen firsthand how these 12 requirements place costly constraints on a new 13 building. For example, structural column locations 14 that are necessary for maneuvering cars are never as 15 workable for the apartments for the apartments above 16 and require expensive transfer beams, and the limits 17 on where parking can be placed without accounting as 18 floor area mean that deep excavation is often the 19 only option because of hefty costs in waterproofing 20 and ensuring the vulnerable neighboring buildings. 21 But I am also speaking to you today as a homeowner, 2.2 who has now seen firsthand the consequences of these 2.3 parking mandates for building residents. I live in Crown Heights in a 160-unit building where the 24 developer had to accommodate parking requirements for 25

 $\label{eq:acting chairperson abreu: Thank you so } $$\operatorname{\mathsf{much}}$, $\operatorname{\mathsf{Marc}}$.$

determined by market forces...

homeowners and residents long after the developer and

the architect have left the scene, but parking can be

MARC PITTSLEY: (INAUDIBLE) Thank you.

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you.

3 We'll now move to Jessica.

2.2

2.3

Please unmute, Jessica, if you're online, and if you can't testify due to technical difficulties, you may submit your testimony online through written testimony.

JESSICA ROTHKUO: Hello. Hi. Sorry, I'm here.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: No problem. Go ahead.

JESSICA ROTHKUO: Good evening, Council and fellow New Yorkers, and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Jessica Rothkuo. This is my wife, Hannah, and we're Queens homeowners. We want to urge you to support the entire City of Yes proposal and especially the accessory dwelling unit provisions. We own a single-family bungalow in the Arbor neighborhood of Far Rockaway in Council District 31. We love this neighborhood for its economic and housing diversity. We have a two-car garage that is completely unused because neither of us have a driver's license, and we don't own a car, and our current R4 zoning requires us to maintain our garage, which takes up a large portion of our lot. We

2 currently house a stray cat in our garage, and we

3 really love her, but we hope you'll pass the City of

4 Yes and allow us to house one of our human neighbors

5 instead. Thank you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for

your testimony. Ernie Smith.

ERNIE SMITH: Good evening. My name is Ernie Smith, and I work for a small embroidery company in the Garment District. Twenty-five years ago, we were forced out of Manhattan by the dot-com craze, and two years ago, we were forced out of Long Island City by changes to zoning. It became mixeduse, and within moments of being determined as mixeduse, 31 hotels moved into our manufacturing district. While I very much would like to see mixed-use in the Garment District for residential and small businesses in the lower floors of the same building, I have learned through the meetings that I've had in Long Island City 10 years ago, the Treasurer of the City had a business meeting that I attended, and he said that they were working on affordable housing. When asked what affordable housing was, he said it's 120,000 and up. We asked, what about those people who don't make 120,000? He said, well, that's workforce

- 2 | housing, and we don't consider that important right
- 3 now. When I hear the word affordable housing from a
- 4 City agency, I'm suspect. I don't believe it is
- 5 servicing those people who are today making 50,000.
- 6 It is a sham, and I am unhappy about that, and would
- 7 like to see it be more specific in what that word
- 8 means. Thank you very much for letting me share.
- 9 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,
- 10 | Ernie. We'll now move to Craig Gurian.
- 11 CRAIG GURIAN: Good evening, Council
- 12 | Member Abreu. My name is Craig Gurian. I'm the
- 13 | Executive Director of the Anti-Discrimination Center.
- 14 | I've been a civil rights attorney for more than 35
- 15 years. Some of you may even be familiar with a couple
- 16 of my cases. I have a message for opponents of City
- 17 of Yes. A longer version of this is in a piece that's
- 18 | just been published in Vital City today. Opponents,
- 19 | be careful what you wish for. City of Yes made the
- 20 usual but understandable mistake of compromising in
- 21 advance. It is, compared to what is needed to solve
- 22 | our twin crises of housing affordability and housing
- 23 segregation, a modest measure. New York City, one of
- 24 the most segregated major cities in the country, is
- 25 already vulnerable to a perpetuation of segregation

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,
Craig. Do my Colleagues have any questions?

This panel is now excused.

generations to come. Thank you.

I'm now moving to the next online panel, which includes Gui Marques, James Dill, Saaif Alam, Sherida Paulsen. We'll begin with Gui Marques.

Gui Marques, now is your moment to unmute if you're online and, if you're having technical

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 difficulties, you may submit written testimony

3 online.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

All right. We'll now move to James Dill.

If you're online, please unmute.

JAMES DILL: I'm James Dill, Executive Director of the Housing and Services Team. We are a not-for-profit dedicated solely to permanent supportive housing, which is the most cost-effective and humane way of ending chronic homelessness. We are members of the Supportive Housing Network in New York and fully support the network's advocacy points to this meeting. We are also very grateful for the Yes to Housing Coalition's advocacy and analysis work. We fully support City of Yes as a means to easing the affordable and homeless housing crisis in our city. The proposed Universal Affordability Preference will give supportive housing providers the critical mass to provide the enhanced security and maintenance necessary to keep our projects clean and safe. We thank the Council for its support for the reallocation of NYC 15/15 resources from the scatter site model to the creation and preservation of concrete supportive housing. We operate a 100-unit scatter site program which we believe is a crucial

please unmute, and you have two minutes to testify.

GUI MARQUES: Hello. Can you hear me?

25

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can. All

3 right.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

GUI MARQUES: Thank you so, so much. Sorry, I didn't get a chance to unmute fast enough. My name is Gui Marques. I'm a homeowner in Staten Island. I wanted to echo what a lot of folks have said. What I personally, I'll say that I do agree with a lot of what is in the City of Yes proposal, but there are many things that I personally as a member of this community here cannot get past. I'm echoing the sentiment from this community that we feel we are not being brought to the table in this discussion. Too much power is being ceded to developers. The sentiment in this community, which I also feel, is that there won't be just a little bit of development in our one or two areas because there's no safeguard against developers coming in and building willy nilly in every single garage in the area, garage, basement, attic, and so forth. Already now in our area, and I'm sure it happens in Queens and in the Bronx and in these R1, R2 areas, anytime a home goes on the market, developers are swarming with cash offers to outbid families. I don't see anything in City of Yes that's going to stop that from even

but I'm testifying on my behalf as just only a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

resident today. I lived in the neighborhood for 22 years. Our neighborhood is mostly residential, and it's peaceful. Our community leaders for years advocated to keep it residential. As an example, in 2004, our community and civic leaders advocated for the zoning laws to prevent residents from converting it to R2 or R4 to prevent people from building buildings. This plan prevented overcrowding neighborhoods in Jamaica Hills, Queens. In 2024, as of this year, our City government wants to implement the City of Yes, which enables homeowners to build buildings in residential neighborhoods, including Jamaica Hills. The City of Yes can ruin the character of the neighborhood with overcrowding of residents occupying the neighborhood. Queens Community Board 8 unanimously voted against the City of Yes since this plan does not reflect the desire of our fellow residents in the area, including Jamaica Hills. So, I ask the City Council to vote against the City of Yes, since this proposal needs a revision, the City of Yes being reconsidered for approval, and one of my suggestions I will suggest is once, you know, for the City Council to consider creating a taskforce of City of Yes with Community Board members, civic leaders,

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | non-profit organizations, community leaders, elected

3 leaders, and possibly very active residents. Create a

4 taskforce where there will be input from various

5 stakeholders and put this into play, then that can be

6 voted again in the future. That will make more sense.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony, Saaif. We will now move to Sherida Paulsen.

SHERIDA PAULSEN: Thank you. My name is Sherida Paulsen. I'm the Senior Principal of PKSB Architects. We're based in Midtown, and I'm Chair of the Riverdale Nature Preservancy and former Chair of the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission. I am an architect, and I do appreciate the City Planning Commission and the Department of City Planning for tackling the challenge of modernizing our zoning resolution, and I have supported many of the text changes as they streamline the language and allow for more commonsense solutions for sustainable elements of building design. However, I do not support adoption of the proposal as proposed. The text changes do nothing to increase affordability as they do not tackle any of the policy issues, as Speaker Adams has rightly pointed out, that create

the opportunity for affordable housing. More than that, the main components of the building changes increasing the footprints by reducing yard sizes, overriding special district regulations in many contexts, opening up historic districts to potentially inappropriate development, and introducing increased density in neighborhoods that truly lack the infrastructure to support that density. All of those specifics drive negative developments, and increasing density requires water management, transit connections, schools, and open space for recreation and light lighting. issues that are important there. It is important to note that our Community Board, Board 8 in the Bronx, did vote this down on many of these same issues, but the biggest issue is we need to look to the past sometimes. And if you look at Frederick Law Olmstead's report to the Commissioners in 1876, he said that this area, our specific area, should never be a place for multifamily development simply because it would be too expensive to build. So, it is very important to maintain community input into these zoning decisions and City Council decisions.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3 much for your testimony, Ms. Paulsen.

SHERIDA PAULSEN: Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Do my

Colleagues have any questions?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Seeing none, this panel is excused.

We're now moving to the next panel,
online panel. Judine Johnson-Harriot, Shams DeBaron,
Emilia Decaudin, Elizabeth Adams, and Carolyn Iodice.
Beginning with Judine, please.

Judine, if you're online, please unmute, and if you're having technical difficulties, you may submit written testimony online.

All right. Is Judine on?

All right. Next up is Shams DeBaron.

17 Please unmute yourself.

SHAMS DEBARON: I say today that we're at a crossroads with the most significant housing initiative in nearly 50 years, the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal. As someone who has experienced housing insecurity and homelessness throughout my life, I know firsthand what's at stake. I know what it's like to be homeless as a child, homeless as a single parent, and homeless as a single

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you,
Shams. Appreciate your work, Housing Hero. Next up,
we have Emilia Decaudin.

back so the choice is yours, housing or shelters.

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EMILIA DECAUDIN: Hello, my name is Emilia Decaudin. I'm a Queens resident, a proud Mets fan, and a member of New York City DSA, although I'm speaking in my own capacity. I think that we've heard a lot of talk about what needs to happen alongside City of Yes, but I'm gonna flip this around a little bit. Yes, we need social housing. Yes, we need more tenant protections. Yes, we need more money for vouchers, for CityFHEPS, etc. But while we're here now, we need to build as much housing as we can so that 10 years from now, we don't find ourselves in the same boat that we are today. It's only because of decades and decades of downzoning, failure to build enough housing, and policies that prefer and promote landlords over tenants that we have a housing crisis today with our vacancy rates so low. My rent is high enough, and I live in "affordable housing," and it's still a significant portion of my rent, and I'm probably one of the lucky ones. I have friends who can't afford their rent, and they're going to have to move out of the city or they can't afford to come here and, as a trans person, that's very personal to me because being able to live in New York City is in some cases a matter of life and death. When you think

costs and high mortgage. Where I live on Albany

2.2

2.3

Avenue, the houses are practically empty because most of the homeowners are retirees so my neighbors don't really, we don't have an issue with parking, so I urge the Council to pass the proposal because it will benefit a lot of us young people who are homeowners and also taking into consideration the people who are against it, their income status and the background of the people who are against it. Those are people who already have high incomes, so for those of us who are struggling, having ADUs, it would be very beneficial. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony, Judine. We will now move to Elizabeth Adams.

Elizabeth Adams, if you're online, please unmute, and if you're having technical difficulties, you may submit online written testimony.

I'm going to move now to Carolyn from this panel.

CAROLYN IODICE: Hi, my name is Carolyn Iodice, and I'm speaking in my personal capacity. I urge you to support City of Yes in full without any changes because it'll allow more homes to be built across the city. I live with two other people in a

name, and Joseph McAllister.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you.

2 After this panel, we will go back to one 3 to two in-person panels.

Beginning with Jane, you may go first.

JANE BUCHANAN: Hello, can you hear me?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can.

JANE BUCHANAN: Hi. Hi, am I here?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, I can hear

JANE BUCHANAN: Okay, great. Hi, my name's Jane Buchanan, and I'm a longtime resident of Chelsea in the Borough of Manhattan. I live in Penn South, also known as Mutual Redevelopment Houses, a limited equity cooperative. I am speaking on my own behalf. I am here to urge you to vote no on the City of Yes. I have concerns for my own housing complex, for my neighborhood as a whole, and not the least for neighborhoods all over the city. A particular concern is the City of Yes putting forward special provisions for expanded market rate housing and other developments with no quarantee of 100 percent affordability on what are referred to as campuses. There is no clarity on how such infill developments would affect the character and livability of our neighborhoods, including taking away much needed open Jane. Carlene is next.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

REVEREND CARLENE THORBS: Good evening. I'm Reverend Carlene Thorbs. I am the Chairperson of Community Board 12, and thank you for this opportunity. I cover the areas of Jamaica, Hollis, St. Albans, South Ozone Park, and Springfield Gardens. After listening to everyone today, everything that can be said has been said, but there was one thing that has been left out. When the conversation came up with the churches and they want to, the Scripture was quoted about rebuild and the whole nine yards, rebuild the city. You're absolutely correct. That Scripture by the clergy was absolutely correct. We need to rebuild the city. We need to

that nature. So, this has not been transparent.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you very much for your testimony, Carlene. We're now moving to Andrew Berman.

REVEREND CARLENE THORBS: Thank you.

ANDREW BERMAN: Hi, I'm Andrew Berman and I'm testifying on behalf of Village Preservation, a community organization working in Greenwich Village, the East Village, and NoHo. We strongly oppose multiple provisions in City of Yes for Housing Opportunity and urge the Council to remove them or vote it down. These include, in Manhattan Community Boards 1 through 8, City of Yes would increase the allowable size of purely market rate residential developments in contextual zoning districts, which would mean nothing more than bigger, taller luxury condo developments in areas where zoning was designed to ensure harmonious relationship between new and existing development. We oppose this. City of Yes would also allow transfers of air rights from individual landmarks over much broader geographic areas with little or no public oversight or input. This would result in nothing other than larger luxury condo, commercial office, and hotel development in our neighborhoods. It's being billed as helping to

maintain individual landmarks, but would take an
overly broad route to doing so while removing
oversight to ensure such benefits are accrued. In
neighborhoods like ours with scores of individual
landmarks, this would have incredibly far-reaching
impacts. City of Yes would allow increased
encroachments on rear yards and other precious open
space in high-density districts like ours, where it
is extremely limited and essential to quality of
life. Worse, the encroachments would be allowed for
purely market rate luxury condo developments. And
finally, City of Yes would increase allowances for
construction on open spaces in what it calls
campuses, which includes religious institutions,
university super block developments, and potentially
NYCHA complexes. The City has refused to provide more
information on the impact of these allowances, but in
many cases, sandwiching more large buildings into
these spaces, particularly just for luxury and condo
development would not justify erasing these precious
open spaces, which were all

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Andrew.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ANDREW BERMAN: For the large towers 3 around them. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: You got it.
We'll now move to Maria Deinnocentiis.

MARIA DEINNOCENTIIS: Hi, good evening. My name is Maria Deinnocentiis, President of the Utopia Estates Civic Association in Fresh Meadows, Queens, and Area 6 Chair of Community Board 8 where I represent over 18,000 residents who live in private homes, garden apartments, co-ops, and condos in the 23rd and 24th Council Districts. Our community is opposed to the City of Yes, and the Board voted unanimously against it. New York City is 30 years behind in infrastructure planning. There is no plan for infrastructure in this proposal and parts of our area flood regularly. ZHO takes ULURP processes away from our elected Council Members and Community Boards and hands our rights over to developers. This one size fits all sledgehammer solution destroys residential contextual zoning communities by allowing ADUS, (INAUDIBLE) construction, transit-oriented construction, but not affordable housing. We have an affordability crisis in New York City, not a housing crisis. The City of Yes market rate and luxury

25

moving to Joseph McAllister.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSEPH MCALLISTER: Okay. Good evening.

Sorry? Good evening. My name is Joseph McAllister, President of South Beach Civic Association, representing the South Beach community and working with all of Staten Island. I am also president of Staten Island Civics United. We oppose the City of Yes Housing Opportunity plan with all of its entire content. We know this plan would totally disseminate our communities and living conditions. Before you execute any zoning changes, our infrastructure needs to be addressed first before you start this disastrous plan. Number one, we need adequate roadways to accommodate the tremendous amount of traffic we have here already. Number two, we need more hospitals, doctors, nurses to help alleviate inrush of people here already. People are in hallways on their bed for two days. We need more police in the areas of enforcement. Number four, we need traffic agents to manage all the intersections. One-lane roadways are bumper to bumper due to too many vehicles. Example, Rockland Avenue from Richmond Road to Richmond Avenue. Number five, we need more New York City services, DOB, DOT, DOH, DPDC. Six, we need services to repair the damages already existing and

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 510 2 caused by the cost-cutting services from this Administration and past Administrations. Number 3 seven, we need more schools. Our school classrooms 4 are oversized. How are our children supposed to learn with so many other students in an oversized packed 6 7 classroom? And finally, number eight, City Planning must sit down with the homeowners and residents to 8 discuss these issues before any type of zoning changes are executed. The current infrastructure is 10 11 not handling the current situation with 12 overdevelopment and is not able to add any other type 13 of additional ADUs or a new development. City 14 Planning only met with Staten Island Economic 15 Development Corp and Staten Island Chambers of 16 Commerce. These two entities are for businesses, not 17 for homeowners or for the residents. We, the people 18 who work and live here each day, we know what we want 19 and need. By having an...

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. McAllister.

JOSEPH MCALLISTER: Maybe we can all come together to make New York City the best city of the future.

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for 3 your testimony, Joseph McAllister.

JOSEPH MCALLISTER: I also have additional written testimony I will submit.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: This panel is now excused.

We will now move to an in-person panel, beginning with Virginia Crawford, Amy Gross, Kayt Tiskus, and Michael Zoorob. Please come up to the table.

We will begin with Virginia Crawford. Hi there. Are you ready to speak? You may turn on the mic.

VIRGINIA CRAWFORD: My name is Virginia

Crawford. I'm a rent-stabilized tenant in City

Council District 3 in the West Village. I'm concerned that there is nothing in the City of Yes Housing plan that even acknowledges the need to preserve existing rent-stabilized units, strengthen tenant protections, or ensures renovations are done safely in tenant-occupied buildings. There is nothing in the plan to address landlord warehousing of apartments and renting out of units on Airbnb, and there is no mention of fully funding Right-to-Counsel for tenants

program could end warehousing and allow for major
renovations to be completed and property values to
increase, all without exposing tenants to the
asbestos and lead health risks that come with years

of dangerous renovations inside these old buildings.

tenants in aging, distressed buildings. Such a

20

2 | Finally, I just want to say incentivizing affordable

3 housing alone will not solve the crisis unless we

4 disincentivize predatory investors. Thank you for

5 your time.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. We now have Amy Gross up next.

AMY GROSS: Hi, good evening. I am Amy Gross, President of Amy Gross Architects. We have designed affordable housing in large part funded by HPD for over three decades. Our firm has deeply studied the details of City of Yes and know not only will it address the deep housing shortage we have, but also the affordability crisis, and we are certain it will help those with low and middle income to stay in this great city of ours. We strongly support this legislation. One of the main attributes of the City of Yes that has not been discussed much is that it makes the cost of construction and renovation of housing more affordable. Simply put, construction of even slightly larger buildings on the same piece of land means more apartments can be created and more people housed. It is simply well said that there is an economy of scale. Cost per square foot decrease when more is built. And one of the reasons this is,

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is because of infrastructure, because bringing services to a building is the same cost whether we have 100 units or whether we have 50 units. If we can reduce the cost per square foot, it could result in lower rents. And there is a lot of data that supports this supposition. Another point I want to make is that in listening to today's testimony and at City Planning, I hear this resolution being described as one size fits all. As architects, we do not at all see this as the case. It is important to note that this will be the first major update to the entire zoning resolution in over 60 years. That's over two generations. The time has come for a change that honors the growth of our entire city. The amount of square footage that can be built now varies much by each neighborhood. That variance will continue and actually become more nuanced with the City of Yes.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony. We'll now move to Kayt Tiskus.

KAYT TISKUS: Hello, and thank you for your time today, an extensive amount of time. My name is Kayt Tiscus. I am a queer New Yorker. I live just down the street in the Financial District, and I dedicate most of my professional life working with

me and thank you for taking all this time today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for 3 testifying. Michael Zoorob.

MICHAEL ZOOROB: Thank you very much. My name is Michael Zoorob. I'm a resident of Sunnyside, Queens, and I'm grateful to share why I hope that you will support the city of Yes. I've been reflecting on what to say that you haven't heard already. Over the last several hours or several years, you've heard about affordability crises, the unprecedentedly low vacancy rate in New York, overcrowding, displacement, homelessness, all of that. So here's where I've landed. I want to tell you about my neighborhood of Sunnyside and how it's the current zoning and not the City of Yes that threatens it. Most of Sunnyside was built in the 1920s, immediately following the extension of the 7 train. This was the original TOD, or transit-oriented development. Courtyard apartments, attached three-story walk-ups on small lots centered around the subway. Under current zoning, my neighborhood cannot be built. I live in a five-story courtyard apartment with 113 apartment units and 10 businesses. The building has zero parking spaces, where zoning now requires one parking spot for two apartments plus spaces for the

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony. This panel is now excused.

We will now go back to online panel. And we'll begin with Jenny Dubnau, Karen Argenti, Laura

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

4

6

7

8

10

Spalter, Pamela Wolf, and Joseph Vaini. We'll begin
with Jenny Dubnau.

You may unmute yourself.

JENNY DUBNAU: Yes, hi, Jenny Dubnau here.

JENNY DUBNAU: No problem. Some of you may

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: My apologies.

know my mother, Jeanie Dubnau. My name is Jenny

Dubnau. I'm a member of the Western Queens Community

Land Trust. I live in Jackson Heights, Queens. I

11 lived my whole life in New York City and have been

12 priced out of neighborhoods from Manhattan, Brooklyn,

13 and Queens. My community organizing work is all about

14 ending displacement and working for deeper

15 affordability in all neighborhoods. From what I've

16 seen over and over again, adding more mostly market

17 | rate building with essentially zero units at the

19 \parallel displaces and gentrifies working class, middle class,

20 | black, and immigrant New Yorkers. We have an

21 affordability crisis, and increasing supply simply

22 | won't address this. The vacancy rate for apartments

23 \parallel with rents above 2,400 dollars is 3.39 percent. The

24 | vacancy rate for apartments under 1,100 dollars is

25 | 0.39 percent. City of Yes would allow massive as-of-

right building almost completely for the top of the market, but the greatest need for most New Yorkers isn't being built for. This leaves us depending on the disproven trickle-down theory, also called filtering, in which supposedly wealthier New Yorkers will vacate their old affordable units in favor of newer pricier ones. This market-based solution has never worked. It's extraordinary to see City policy being built on this basis. In Vancouver, the rate of building is through the roof, yet rents continue to rise. Upzoning increases land value in speculation, which spirals rents ever higher. Until we devote more public funds to actually subsidizing rents and building public, municipal, and de-commodified housing and tightening rent regulations and demanding massive value capture from upzonings, which is a gift to developers, New York City's affordability and homelessness crises will continue to worsen. If we really care about deeply affordable housing, immediately we should bring 5,000 vacant NYCHA units back online.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JENNY DUBNAU: (INAUDIBLE) not going to give us 5,000 units that's affordable to people who need 30 percent of AMI.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: You got it. Next up is Karen.

Karen, are you online? Karen Argenti? All right.

KAREN ARGENTI: Yes, I'm here. I'm sorry. Consider that environmental injustice occurs when certain communities are disproportionately affected by pollution, unhealthy land uses, climate change, flooding, and lack of connections to decision makers. With 72 percent imperviousness in New York City, this means land is limited. The Census Bureau did the Housing Vacancy Survey for HPD, and their quote was, this was the largest housing stock for New York City in the 58 years since the survey was first conducted in 1965 and continued the trend of growth shown over the past few cycles. If you build luxury buildings, the remaining areas will be polluted ground fields, near trains and highways, and in risky floodplains, exasperating severe health outcomes for affordable housing. In 1989, the City Charter was revised to replace the Board of Estimate, which did not

officials. CPC's website states that the proposal

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 522
2	will incentivize developers to produce between 58,000
3	and 109,000 new homes over 15 years. Of that, only
4	20,000 units are projected to be affordable.
5	Meanwhile, City agencies under current zoning have
6	financed the creation or preservation of nearly
7	30,000 income restricted units in Fiscal Year 2024
8	alone. The City of Yes was crafted by the Mayor's
9	Building and Land Use Approval Streamlining Task
10	Force, BLAST, comprised largely of lobbyists and
11	special interest groups in the real estate and
12	housing industries. These proposals are a gift to
13	them. Every Bronx Community Board is more than 50
14	percent rent-burdened. However, City of Yes proposals
15	promote luxury and market rate housing, where
16	developers may voluntarily elect to build a small
17	number of affordable units in exchange for 20 percent
18	FAR bonus. These so-called affordable units will be
19	rented for many times more than the 25 percent of
20	annual wages of most Bronx sites. The City of Yes has
21	removed ULURP by substituting as-of-right
22	development, reducing the impact of the public,
23	Community Boards, and elected Council Members to
24	intervene on important land use actions. Please, City

the same size. Under City of Yes, they could be

24

Joseph, you may unmute yourself.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

online.

Joseph, if you're having technical

difficulties, you may submit your written testimony

JOSEPH VAINI: Can you hear me?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I can hear you now, Joseph.

JOSEPH VAINI: Okay, great, great, great. Thank you for allowing me to speak. It's been a while. The only thing I have to say is the sales pitch for this entire City of Yes for Housing Opportunity Program is ludicrous. Basically, what's being argued is trickle-down economics for developers. Give developers free reign and decadeslong tax abatements from the State that no private individual could ever dream of obtaining, and maybe, just maybe, housing might become more affordable. No industry deliberately oversaturates the market to the point that their profit margins would materially be impacted. Real estate will be no exception. You just got to take a look at past upzonings like Bill de Blasio's Housing 2.0 for evidence of that. My understanding, rents on average rose in those sector areas. In my opinion, this is nothing more than a generous payback by Mayor Adams and City Planning

another 10 seconds.

2	JOSEPH VAINI: I don't think that hard-
3	working New Yorkers should be asked to risk
4	overcrowding, sewer and power issues, increased
5	litter, increased crime, slower emergency or part-
6	time, lack of public parking, a whole host of other
7	deteriorations
8	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Joseph.
9	Thank you so much for your testimony.
10	This panel is now excused.
11	JOSEPH VAINI: All right. Goodbye.
12	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much to
13	Council Member Abreu.
14	The next panel we're going to hear from
15	consists of Valerie Mason, Jonathan Ortiz, Marieke
16	Thomas, Lana Irons, and Jason Stahl. I will start
17	first with Valerie Mason.
18	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Valerie Mason, if you
20	hear me, please unmute and you may begin.
21	VALERIE MASON: Can you just give me one
22	second? I'm just trying to find my script for one
23	second because I've been waiting all this time. Just

give me one second. Sorry.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. I'll come 3 right back to you.

VALERIE MASON: Okay, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. Jonathan

Ortiz.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

JONATHAN ORTIZ: Thank you. My name is Jonathan Ortiz, and I grew up in Whitestone, Queens, District 19, Council Member Paladino's District where you need a car, where I took the Q16 to see my friends and the 7 train to see the city. Not a single one of my friends still lives in that neighborhood because none of us can afford it. I currently live in Flatlands, District 45, and I still do not own a car. I'm not a transplant. I'm here today because I live in NYC and I know the cost of housing is what is driving homelessness. I'm not homeless, but I'm aware that myself and many others are just one financial issue away from being housing insecure, a situation anybody could find themselves in that goes for every single person who spoke today and everyone who will speak after me. This is ultimately a factor of luck. I'm lucky. To mitigate this problem in NYC, the city with the largest homeless population in the country,

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're here from Marieke Thomas.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

MARIEKE THOMAS: Hi, my name is Marieke
Thomas. I'm a high school teacher in the New York
City DOE. I'm currently in my 13th year teaching. I
live in a market rate studio apartment on the Upper
East Side. I strongly support City of Yes. I urge you
to pass it in its entirety. In my 13 years working
for the Department of Education, I've noticed a
really common pattern, which is that a lot of the
most amazing, dedicated, and inspiring teachers that
I've worked with leave the city after about like
five, six, seven years of teaching, and the number

25

2 one reason is the cost of housing, especially the 3 cost of housing for families. So just as an example, 4 one of the most incredible chemistry teachers at my school, after she had a baby, she and her husband 5 moved from an apartment in Harlem to a two-bedroom 6 apartment in Stanford, Connecticut, where she 8 commuted over an hour to get to school and, after two years of doing that, she left the New York City Department of Education and got a teaching job in 10 another district where she would have a shorter 11 12 commute. This has happened many times for some of my 13 most passionate former co-workers, which has led to a constant churn of teachers. It's harmful for 14 15 students. It's harmful for newer teachers who need 16 experienced mentors. Teachers shouldn't have to 17 choose between staying at the schools they love with 18 the children they care about and being able to raise 19 their own kids in an apartment where they can afford 20 rent. Our city desperately needs housing of all types. We need affordable housing for our students, 21 right? This is incredibly important for them as well. 2.2 2.3 We've been losing students over the last decade as their families get priced out of the city so we need 24

affordable housing for them. We also need market rate

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | housing for teachers, right? Teachers mostly live in

3 market rate apartments. We need to build more housing

4 of all types. I strongly encourage you to vote yes

5 for all of the City of Yes proposals.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Thomas.

7 Next, we'll hear from Lana Irons.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

LANA IRONS: Hello there. My name is Lana Irons, and I'm a psychiatrist who works in the domestic violence shelter system of Brooklyn and Queens. The reason why I'm coming on here to speak today is because as a psychiatrist, I believe it's an ethical duty to speak up for the patients I work with, many of whom are mothers with small children who find themselves in some of the most terrible circumstances you can imagine, and homeless, some of them many never been homeless before, and one of their primary concern being trying to find housing. A couple years ago and over the past couple years, this City Council has increased CityFHEPS eligibility as well as increased the amount of subsidy that is provided for these people, including my patients, to be able to use them to afford housing. The issue is that many of them find themselves competing for very

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

little, for a very small amount of housing stock, leading them to stay in the shelter system, many of them not able to find permanent housing, and in some statistics, less than 10 percent of those in the domestic violence shelter system end up in permanent housing after they're removed from the domestic violence shelter system. So, the reason why I'm coming on is to say yes, I completely support the City of Yes. It is a rezoning package, so of course there's many different other parts of housing policy that need to be addressed, but this part helps facilitate so many of those other parts that will help out so many of my patients and so many people in this city who are in dire straits who really need housing and really can't find it because there is not enough housing, so I highly encourage all the City Council Members to support this housing proposal in order to build more housing to help out those who are in need in this city. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Valerie Mason.

VALERIE MASON: Thank you very much,
Chairman. I am Valerie Mason, Chair of Manhattan
Community Board 8. COYHO's Housing Market Study

years ago. Does anyone see more affordable housing in

Jason, if you can hear me, please unmute.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JASON STAHL: I believe I'm unmuted. Can
you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

JASON STAHL: Great. Thank you very much, Chairman Riley, for your endurance. My name is Jason Stahl. I live in Sunnyside Gardens, Queens. I am a renter in a market rate apartment, and I am urging the City Council to approve the City of Yes proposal in its entirety. I have zero love for the Adams' Administration, but a broken clock is right at least twice a day, and I think that adding more units everywhere is good, even for folks who live in lowdensity neighborhoods. Single-family houses are good for what you need at a specific point in time, but I think for anyone who wants to live in their neighborhood for their entire life, once housing needs change, and I think having a variety and diversity of housing options as you proceed in the life curve, the family curve, it's beneficial for them, too. Just a note about parking requirements and minimum parking, I think it's good to remove that. It's not the same as saying parking is banned forever. It just gives the option to have less if it's not wanted. I think in transit deserts, I think

MARK ANDERSON: Yes, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can.

24

2 MARK ANDERSON: Very good. Hi, I'm Mark 3 Anderson. I'm the President of the Westerleigh Improvement Society, and I represent about 10,000 4 people in the North Shore of Staten Island. We are already living with the careless planning and peril 6 7 of the City of Yes for Carbon Neutrality. We're 8 powerless to stop the environmental hazardous mass battery storage facilities from being built in our residential neighborhoods. Our Borough wound up with 10 11 over a dozen of these, and we only need one. The City of Yes will not provide affordable housing, so come 12 13 back with another proposal to address these concerns. 14 We say no to the City of Yes. The American dream is 15 under attack. Why is the single-family housing being 16 eliminated and blamed for an affordability of 17 housing? Our existing lack of infrastructure isn't 18 even understood by the Department of City Planning. 19 When they came to our Community Board about this 20 proposal, they first asked the entire room, how many 21 of you took the subway to this meeting? We looked at each other in bewilderment because the nearest train 2.2 2.3 is miles from the meeting site. They had no idea. Basements and garages are not for people. They can't 24 be made safe. People will die in the flood water and 25

Kirsti Jutila. I'm a Flatbush, Brooklyn homeowner

Yes, so next is district fixes. I support only two,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 to increase FAR to 0.75 and drop the attic allowance

3 and sky exposure plane. Everything else should be off

4 the table. Get Flatbush out of all the other district

5 fixes.

1

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time is

7 expired.

KIRSTI JUTILA: In disguise. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Lo van der Valk. Lo van, if you

11 can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

LO VAN DER VALK: Yes, my name is Lo van der Valk, and I represent Carnegie Hill Neighbors, a civic organization in the Upper East Side. We support the goals of COY Housing Opportunities, but we have several reservations. One, we would like to keep the bulk and setback provisions of the Madison Avenue Special Preservation District created in 1973. This allows for a pyramid-shaped tapering at the top three or four floors. It is unique to Madison Avenue and worth keeping, especially because Madison Avenue is the premier shopping avenue of New York City. Two, we oppose the proposed height increases for the narrow street mid-blocks. These apply mainly to the contextual R8B mid-block zoning, whose allowed height

limit currently is 75 feet and will be raised to 105 feet if affordable housing is provided. This is a height increase of 40 percent and out of bounds with the allowed 20 percent FAR increase for affordable housing. A major understanding going back more than 100 years is that the avenues can be tall, but the mid-blocks must be short. Three, we oppose the proposed reduction of the required rear yards from 30 to 20 feet. Smaller rear yards will result in less light and air in the valued green internal donut space. Four, we oppose provisions that further diminish the rear yard open donut space, including accessory dwelling units and accessory residential space. Five, we oppose the expansions of the City Planning Commission's authorizations. These grant the CPC the authority to permit applications to break zoning laws without any public review by Community Boards or the City Council. Six, and finally, we oppose the vast expansion of the landmark transfer development rights, TDRs. This provision will vastly expand the reach of the receiving lots from the current two or three blocks to potentially eight blocks.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Lo van.

3

Next, we'll hear from Margo Margolis. Margo, if you

4

can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

artist living in a building that was converted from

1976. Residents of SoHo and NoHo are pioneers in

6 7

commercial to joint live/work quarters for artists in

MARGO MARGOLIS: Sure. I'm a certified

8

10

model that the City now wants to adopt under Section

adaptive reuse and joint live/work. We created the

11

15-01. Ironically, all neighborhoods can convert from

12

commercial to residential free of charge, except for

13 14

SoHo and NoHo, which must pay an exorbitant fee to an Arts Fund to do so. This is discriminatory tax that

15

unjustifiably targets two neighborhoods and will cost

16

the residents of SoHo and NoHo millions of dollars.

17

Many of these residents are the original pioneers who

18

are now senior citizens and living on fixed income.

19

This is an impossible expense. Please do not support

20

this unless the City abolishes this punitive tax and

21

treats all New Yorkers equally. Unless it's fully

2.2

inclusive, do not vote to support provisions in

2.3

24

Section 15-01. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This

25

panel's excused.

1

3

4

_

5

6

7

^

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next panel I'm going to call up consists of Hien Piotrowski, Kate Van Tassel, Sanford Miller, and Samir Lavingia. We'll begin first with Hien Petrovsky.

HIEN PIOTROWSKI: Yes, good evening. My name is Hien. I'm a born and raised New Yorker, speaking on behalf of myself in support of the City of Yes. My parents were broke first-generation college students who took a chance on New York, and for that, I've always been grateful. They settled in Hell's Kitchen, and they most certainly would not have been able to do that now, given how expensive the neighborhood is, and now you have to make like 250,000 in order to afford a market rate unit. We can't function as a City that has accepted immigrants for generations and centuries unless we build more affordable housing. We can't be a City of Yes without more housing. For far too long, our housing discussion has been dominated by people who claim to be against developers when not building affordable housing or any housing emboldens developers to drive up rents and monopolize housing. Talking about upzoning removing housing is interesting given that downzoning encourages converting multifamily

that clear. I am also a resident of Sunnyside. I've

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

worked for the city and state local government for over 15 years. I wanted to start by saying the reason I start with saying I worked for the city and state is that I got yelled at at a lot of Community Board meetings and so I have empathy for (INAUDIBLE) who are in this position of hearing the fear in people's voices. It's really hard to be in front of a room and to be taking on that fear, and that is because reality is less scary than the theoretical, and right now City of Yes is theoretical and it's really scary, and it's really hard for people, which we've heard in all the testimony to take that on. I encourage our Council Members to be leaders and not just give in to that fear. I've been there. And the reason I say that is that I've been at these ribbon cuttings for the same things I got yelled at publicly was people like turning back around and like, can you believe this, this is so amazing, I love it. That is what will happen in the future for City of Yes things. It doesn't have to be scary, and I encourage you to be bold. You know, I refuse to let the people from the 1960s be the bold leaders when we could be the bold leaders today. The last point I want to make is, again, I have a co-op in Queens. I do want to say

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 547
2	that not all Queens people are against City of Yes.
3	I'm very pro City of Yes. And also when people are
4	concerned about the cost, what often happens that is
5	less seen is that without the Long Island City
6	rezoning that happened a number of years ago, I
7	absolutely would not have been able to afford my co-
8	op in Queens in Sunnyside. And so…
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time has expired. Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Kate.
12	KATE VAN TASSEL: Allowed me to be able to
13	take that step up into homeownership.
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The next person we're
15	going… I'm sorry.
16	KATE VAN TASSEL: That's okay. No, thank
17	you very much. I appreciate your time. Vote yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The next person we're
19	going to hear from is Sanford Miller.
20	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Miller, if you can
22	hear me, please unmute and you may begin.
23	SANFORD MILLER: Hello?
24	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SANFORD MILLER: Okay. Hi. Hello, Council 3 Member.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hello. Yeah, you may begin.

SANFORD MILLER: I'm Sanford. I am a resident of Hell's Kitchen and also on Manhattan Community Board 4, although the opinions I expressed today are my own and not those of the Board. I'm deeply concerned about the cost of housing in the city and how quickly it's been going up for how long. Many people I know are highly burdened by their rent and/or they live far away from their job because they cannot afford to live closer. I strongly encourage the City Council to pass City of Yes as it is today or even stronger. Hell's Kitchen and Community Board 4 have seen a large amount of new housing units in the last two decades, which I am proud of, and I welcome my new neighbors, and I think they have enriched the neighborhood. However, the citywide housing shortage is not something that, by its nature, Hell's Kitchen or even Manhattan can solve on its own. I'm very happy to see in City of Yes it's going to affect the whole city, and things like ADUs, lifting parking mandates, town center zoning, all the

- 2 | neighborhoods across the city will, in a sustainable,
- 3 balanced way, contribute to providing more homes for
- 4 New Yorkers to live in, which is what we need to do
- 5 in order for to actually get into a situation where
- 6 | landlords have less leverage and renters have more.
- 7 So, with that in mind, I encourage the City Council
- 8 very strongly to pass City of Yes.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Mr.
- 10 Miller. Next, we'll hear from Samir Lavingia. Samir,
- 11 | if you can hear me, yes, go ahead.
- 12 SAMIR LAVINGIA: Perfect. Hi, my name is
- 13 | Samir Lavingia. I've met some of you in many
- 14 different capacities, but I wanted to be clear that
- 15 I'm speaking in my personal capacity as a resident.
- 16 | First, I wanted to thank Manhattan Community Board 5,
- 17 | which is where I live, for supporting City of Yes
- 18 | with some certain conditions. Some people have said,
- 19 | no one supports this or no Community Boards support
- 20 | this, but there are ones that do, including the one
- 21 where I live in. I live in Midtown on 55th and
- 22 | Broadway, and I'm severely cost burdened, paying over
- 23 | 50 percent of my income in rent. I live in a market
- 24 | rate building, and my rents keep going up. My parents
- 25 live a block away in a market rate building, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

subsidize our way out of a demand problem, and right now, there is simply not enough supply for how many people want to live here. We need to build more homes or our rents will keep going up. We simply cannot end up in a world where we need income-restricted housing for single people making 200,000 dollars a year, and the only way to do that is by building more housing and push rents down. I look longingly at cities like Austin, where they met and beat the demand, and rents are falling, and I'm certain it can happen to us, too, if we pass City of Yes. I support every single proposal in this package...

 $\label{eq:SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time} % \begin{center} \begin{cente$

SAMIR LAVINGIA: Impact me and my family...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Samir.

There being no questions for this panel, this panel is excused.

We're going to call two more online panels, and then we're going to transition back to in-person. The next online panel we're going to call consists of Michael Plottel, Marie Marsina, Lucy Koteen, Richard Gibbs, and Julie Reyes.

2.2

2.3

The panel after that will consist of

Elisa Koenderman, Jacqueline Dolly, Renee Monrose,

4 and Leigh Behnke.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We'll begin first with Michael Plottel.

Michael, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you
may begin.

MICHAEL PLOTTEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good evening to everybody. My name is Michael Plottel. I'm an architect and a fellow of the American Institute of Architects. My professional experience includes developing public megaprojects within the five boroughs. I'm now a member of NYSERDA's retirement system. I'm speaking today as a lifelong resident of New York City and a 50-year resident of the Upper East Side of Manhattan, Community Board 8. City of Yes is a big zoning. It touches every single block in every single neighborhood in our city. Although the proposal has many sensible and desirable components, I am testifying in opposition to the proposed increases in bulk and density for high-density neighborhoods. These high-density proposals will facilitate and normalize the trend of big-footing, where developers tear down assemblages of low-rise, mostly affordable

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenements in favor of very tall speculative towers that block sunlight while also causing a net loss of housing units. City of Yes Housing Opportunity will exacerbate the situation. It adds to and expands current loopholes, exclusions, and carve-outs for maximizing bulk and height. As one example, consider 1454 3rd Avenue at 82nd and 83rd Street, where a site containing a mid-rise elevator apartment building was divided into two zoning lots. The south half was then torn down for a 450-foot-tall luxury apartment tower with a net loss of dwelling units, while the north half remains as affordable, thanks to a zoning bonus transfer from Roosevelt Island. Yes, they've deployed bulk across the East River to facilitate and develop projects with a rich door and a poor door, and we lost a supermarket in the process. We do need more housing. The provisions within City of Yes that codify and re-legalize smaller dwelling units, shared housing, and converting office buildings to residential use will increase the supply of affordable and market-rate units in an economical and sustainable way, a better green footprint that doesn't drastically increase the bulk and the shade cast by new oversized and underoccupied speculative

3 the text of the proposal to remove all special

4 bonuses...

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

554

MICHAEL PLOTTEL: For FAR. Thank you for

7 the opportunity.

> CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Marie Marsina. Marie, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

MARIE MARSINA: Good evening. My name is Marie Marsina. I am a one-family homeowner living in Douglaston, Queens for the past 30 years. I'm also a Board Member with the Douglaston Civic Association. I am 100 percent opposed to the entire City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal. This proposal will not foster positive change in our neighborhoods but will instead destroy our one-family owner-occupied communities along with impacting our light, air, and green space. It will not create mandatory affordable housing because it is not mandatory. I repeat, it is not mandatory, but it will increase density without any meaningful infrastructure. Infrastructure is extremely important in every neighborhood, and it is not fully addressed in your proposal. What is the

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

housing that does not start at 3,500 dollars a month.

This proposal is not the solution in its current

form. A one-size-fits-all plan for every district

doesn't work for the diverse neighborhoods in New

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York City...

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MARIE MARSINA: Vote no. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Marie.

Next, we'll hear from Lucy Koteen. Lucy, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

LUCY KOTEEN: Hi, good evening. My name is Lucy Koteen. I live in Brooklyn. And I ask, how real is the vacancy number of 1.4 percent? Is it the big lie that keeps getting repeated? There are over 5,000 NYCHA units unavailable. A priority of repairs needs to be made to make them available. There are around 80,000 rent control units in the warehouse. Those landlords should be given whatever help they need to bring those units online. The City must work to keep people in their homes where landlords are pushing them out. It is said that around 600,000 to 800,000 people have left the City in recent years. How is there a shortage of units? Anyone with eyes sees new high-rises going up and recently built ones with thousands of units. New buildings sit half empty. Why not fill them with low-income people by using City subsidies rather than continue to pay exploitive rents for homeless shelters with subhuman conditions? Fill the current vacant units rather than pushing for all this new construction. Massive buildings are

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- 2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Lucy.
- 3 You could send the rest of your testimony to
- 4 | landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. We appreciate your
- 5 | time and testimony.

16

- 6 Next, I'm going to call on Richard Gibbs
- 7 | followed by Julie Reyes.
- 8 Richard, if you can hear me, please
- 9 unmute. If you're using the phone, you can press star
- 10 9. Well, I just was told it's star 6. Excuse me.
- 11 Richard Gibbs, if you can hear me, please unmute. If
- 12 you're using the phone, you can press star 6.
- RICHARD GIBBS: Can you hear me?
- 14 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we hear you.
- 15 RICHARD GIBBS: Hello. Good evening, this

is Richard Gibbs. First of all, let me say I am a

- 17 real estate broker. I've been doing real estate for
- 18 | over 50 years, mostly in St. Albans, Queens. I moved
- 19 \parallel out to St. Albans in 1976, and when I went out to St.
- 20 | Albans, I looked at Addisleigh Park. That's really
- 21 where I wanted to move into. However, I couldn't
- 22 | afford Addisleigh Park so what I did was look around
- 23 | the neighborhood and an area that's close to
- 24 Addisleigh Park and a place that was nice and I could
- 25 afford, so I moved to St. Albans. That's what all of

my buyers do. For over 50 years, I take my buyers to whatever they want to go to, but if they can't afford what they see, they buy in the area close to it that they can afford so I'm saying that to say is that this is what all buyers do. This is what you do. To say that we should get down value, sub-value our property is really what it amounts to, when you say that we should start turning our, that they can turn a garage into an apartment, to legalize the basement, put a bungalow in your backyard, what kind of property is that? I mean, when we moved out to St. Albans, I know when I did, it was moving out to the country living inside a city living, and I moved from an apartment building in Brooklyn where I had to deal with parking and side-street parking for myself and my wife. Many times, I had to park four or five blocks from the apartment building. Out here, no. I have a driveway and a garage. The City of Yes has no accommodations about parking, and I'm not sure if they even cared about parking (INAUDIBLE) intentional, because they're forcing these Citi Bikes on us so maybe we're supposed to use that instead of the car. I guess the other thing I wanted to say is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 when you start over-building a neighborhood, it

3 becomes crowded...

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

6 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs.
7 Next, we're going to hear from Julie Reyes.

JULIE REYES: Hi, this is Julie Reyes. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Ms. Reyes.

JULIE REYES: Okay, great. Great. Thank
you. My name is Julie Reyes. I'm Chairperson for
Bronx Community Board 8, and we overwhelmingly reject
the City of Yes proposal, the City of Yes housing
proposal. As you know, and for those who don't know,
Community Boards consist of dedicated volunteer
community members who are committed to their
community's well-being, all are appointed by their
borough president. The Community Boards have a broad
advisory role to agencies and elected officials with
respect to any matter affecting their District. This
proposal, which greatly affects all New Yorkers,
regretfully was put together without community input,
let alone Community Board input. The zoning changes

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 561
2	may eliminate ULURP on certain cases, thus taking
3	away input from the City Council Members. New Yorkers
4	rely on the City Council Members to look after their
5	best interests. Please do not let this proposal strip
6	away any of your current duties. This proposal has no
7	built-in structure in the proposal to guarantee
8	affordable housing will actually be built. There is
9	no assurance that the developers who receive any
10	benefit will commit to use it to provide permanent
11	affordable housing. Then there is the question of
12	what is affordable housing. That's what you should be
13	spending the evening on. But what is affordable
14	housing in one area is not affordable in a
15	neighboring community, and then there is no true area
16	median income. This proposal will eliminate green
17	space from tenants in the New York City Housing
18	Authority's development complexes, and this proposal
19	will devastate sensitive environmental areas by
20	promising a <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> of the natural area in
21	Special Districts such as the Special Natural Area
22	District. Community Board 8 has submitted
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's
24	expired.

JULIE REYES: Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

3 This panel is excused. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

The next panel we're going to hear from consists of Elisa Koenderman, Jacqueline Dolly, Renee Monrose, and Leigh Behnke. I will hear first from Elisa Koenderman.

Elisa, can you hear me?

ELISA KOENDERMAN: Yes. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, I can.

ELISA KOENDERMAN: Okay. Thank you. I am a lifelong resident of Forest Hills, Queens, where I've owned a single-family home for more than 30 years. I am testifying on behalf of myself and my like-minded neighbors. This ill-conceived one-size-fits-all proposal would destroy our neighborhood. The overdevelopment guaranteed by this proposal would subsume our light, air, and space, including precious green space, strain the already overburdened infrastructure, and increase crowding, traffic congestion, pollution, and garbage on our streets. Ultimately, the proposal will displace our current residents and merchants who will be forced out of their homes and businesses in a neighborhood which has become unrecognizable. Tragically, this

low-density neighborhoods. We are tired of our

elected officials ignoring our wishes in favor of

their own interests and agendas. Here, our quality of

life is at stake. Simply put, those Council Members

who approve this proposal should expect to be voted

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

out of office...

2.2

2.3

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time is 3 expired.

ELISA KOENDERMAN: And to fail in any effort to succeed to higher office. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Jacqueline Dolly.

JACQUELINE DOLLY: Hello, good evening, everyone.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good evening.

JACQUELINE DOLLY: I'd like to start by saying that all communities are not created equal. In my Southeast Queens community, many single and two-family homes have what you call hidden density. Now, these are homes that are occupied by multiple generations because they simply cannot afford to buy or rent in the neighborhood. Now, our City government has not been as aggressive with going after predatory lending and discriminatory real estate development that creates and maintains housing inequity along racial lines. Historically, Black neighborhoods across this country have been devastated by urban renewal under the guise of addressing economic inequity. Not enough research has been done on long-term impact of upzoning in BIPOC communities. Where

going to hear from Renee Monrose.

2

RENEE MONROSE: Hi, can you hear me?

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

4 Ms. Monrose.

> RENEE MONROSE: Hi, good evening, Chairman Riley and Committee Members. Thank you for being here this late. It has been a very long day. My name is Renee Monrose. I'm an artist and have lived in my loft in SoHo since 1988. I want to thank my Council Member, Chris Marte, for supporting his constituents on this matter. With him and many of my neighbors who have testified here today, I ask the Committee to reject the City of Yes Housing plan unless the discriminatory provisions in Section 15-01 are removed. The City of Yes plan aims to reduce housing barriers and costs by allowing commercial buildings to be converted to residential. Yet in Section 15-01 of the Zoning Code, DCP made a flagrant exception that targeted Soho and NoHo. In the very text amendment, City Planning imposed a punitive tax of millions of dollars per building in order to create the so-called Arts Fund. This massive tax punishes me and my neighbors, many of whom are senior citizens based solely on geography. In spite of fierce opposition by residents of these neighborhoods, the

residents in the city. It is unfair and must be

in support of increased investment in affordable

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 deep fiscal investments in affordability will 3 contribute to ending the affordability crisis and will spark new economic activity. It will make it 4 possible for people who want to live in New York to continue thriving and contributing to the city. Thank 6 you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Jesse Lang.

JESSE LANG: Hi, thank you so much, and thank you all for sticking out this marathon day and for giving us all the opportunity to speak. My name is Jesse Lang. I wear a lot of hats, but I just want to be clear that I'm here in my personal capacity today, and I strongly support City of Yes. I've lived in New York City for about a decade, almost 10 years coming up this winter, and like so many other people who came to New York before me and have come after me, I came here because I wanted greater economic opportunity and the many promises that New York City has to offer for young people and really people of all ages looking for looking for something bigger. It was actually in similar circumstances that my greatgrandfather came here at the end of the 1800s, literally riding on the bottom of a freight rail

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

train where he almost died, but similarly because he was looking for more opportunity, he came here. In the decade that I've been here, I have lived in about five, I've moved a lot of different times, and one thing that I've seen in my time here and that I've really grown to appreciate is how much more housing we need and how people who are looking for housing in New York City really just don't have enough options. There are a lot of things that are making that true. Parking minimums are really a big problem that I think City of Yes will address, but really I think we need this to pass as a package and I'm really looking forward to seeing it done. For the people that are saying that this isn't enough, that we need to do more, that there are problems with this, that it doesn't go far enough in terms of affordability, I ask you to consider that right now we need to build a quarter million apartments just to catch up with the lack of the lost productivity and we have so much further to go in the future so I urge you to say yes. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Next, we're going to hear from Eustacia Smith. Sorry if I mispronounced your name.

2 EUSTACIA SMITH: Good evening, I'm Estacia 3 Smith from West Side Federation for Senior and Supportive Housing, or WSFSH. Thank you to the City 4 Council for the opportunity to testify. WSFSH develops and operates and provides services for low-6 income senior and supportive housing in New York City as we have done for nearly 50 years. New York City's 8 housing crisis weighs disproportionately on older New Yorkers. Older New Yorkers are more prevalently low-10 11 income than other groups. They are the fastest 12 growing group of homeless people. Older New Yorkers 13 are more likely to be extremely low-income and need 14 deeply affordable housing as recent data has shown. 15 Our staff are themselves suffering in the housing 16 crisis. A growing number have experienced 17 homelessness or have to commute several hours daily 18 because they cannot afford to live in New York City. New York City must take all possible measures to 19 20 increase the supply of affordable housing. WSFSH 21 strongly supports the zoning changes outlined in City of Yes for Housing. We support universal 2.2 2.3 affordability preference which will mean additional affordable supportive housing could be built by 24 giving developments a density bonus, but we would 25

like to see a deep affordability band. We support changing outdated zoning regulations that would allow for the creation of affordable housing in all neighborhoods and areas of the city, rather than the situation we are in now, where some neighborhoods have developed a lot of affordable housing and in other areas there's been none. However, zoning changes alone will not solve New York City's housing crisis. New York City must take additional measures to dramatically expand affordable senior and supportive housing production. The development of senior housing has long depended on AIRS incentives. While we support incentives being applied more generally through the provisions of City of Yes, we will need additional incentives specifically for senior housing development. The City must set annual production and preservation goals for affordable senior housing, including at least 1,000 new units of deeply affordable senior housing with fully funded services per year. Again, we say yes to City of Yes, and New York City must also protect and prioritize the production of deeply affordable senior housing with services. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we

3 | will hear from Sean Scott.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SEAN SCOTT: Good evening and thank you for taking comment. My name is Sean Scott. I'm a resident of Council District 1 here, and I'm here only on behalf of myself and as a renter and an eight-year resident of this amazing city. I strongly support all elements of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal. I wanted to give two examples that I've experienced personally. I've seen many friends leave the city that are priced out. They move to the suburbs. They move far away because it's just so expensive to live here. I also see a lot of unhoused members of our community every day, as I'm sure all of you do as well, and I know the fact that housing unaffordability and scarcity lead to homelessness. More homes mean more New Yorkers, more taxpayers, a newer housing stock, and more choice for everyone when looking for a place to live. I'm super excited about the ability of this proposal to make a small dent in this massive housing crisis, and I hope, as others have said, it will be approved with at least everything proposed. It's time to legalize housing. Thank you.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're 3 going to hear from Stan Liao.

STAN LIAO: Good evening. Thank you for your time. I really appreciate it. My name is Stan Liao. I've been a renter. I've lived in New York for around six or seven years, and as long as I've lived here, we've just been experiencing a terrible housing crisis. I've seen people share tiny 100-foot rooms, two twin beds with barely a foot in between. I've had friends who didn't even get bed frames so they could put their mattresses up during the day for some extra space when they weren't sleeping. I've lived next to families in one-bedrooms. This isn't just anecdotes. Over half of New York renters, as you guys know, are rent-burdened. This is because we just don't build housing in New York City. In the past decade, three times as many jobs were created than homes were built, so that's 1.2 million jobs versus only 400,000 homes. Significantly fewer units were approved per capita than in comparable other dense cities. For instance, 40 percent less in San Francisco, half as many as Boston, and we don't like losing to Boston in anything, and two-thirds less than D.C. This is even getting into fast-growing cities like Austin,

2.2

2.3

Seattle, etc. The commonsense reforms in City of Yes have worked in the past and they will work in New York. Other cities like Minneapolis, Austin, and Auckland in New Zealand have passed similar reforms and seen slowed rent growth and sometimes even rent decreases. The overwhelming majority of New Yorkers, 80 percent as a recent poll shows, understand this and they support the proposals in the City of Yes. So, I urge the Members of the City Council to pass City of Yes in its entirety and to improve the lives of everyday New Yorkers and bring rent and the cost of living down. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Phil Wong.

PHIL WONG: Hi, good evening. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak. Thank you for being here listening to us. My name is Phil Wong.

I've been a resident of Elmhurst, Queens, right at the border with Maspeth since 1976. I'm here to represent myself, to talk about my community and why City of Yes is such a bad idea or such a bad one-size-fits-all solution to transportation deserts such as my district. First, I would like to start by saying our power lines, our sewer lines, and our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

water lines have been in place since the 1950s and there have been very little upgrades. We're still dealing with problems with that given the one- and two-family homes that are there right now. The problems are simple. Every time when the wind gusts of 30 miles per hour or higher, we lose power in some parts of our district. Number two, every time when the temperature hits 100 degrees, we see transformer fires, transformers hanging on poles. They're on fire and very little of that has been fixed. We're still dealing with it this past summer. And finally, every time there's a major storm, we have basements that are flooded. And I know that because I see my neighborhood neighbors bringing out their stuff from the basement, storage boxes, furniture, and even water heaters that were damaged and had to be thrown out. This is what we're dealing with right now, and the City of Yes fails to address these infrastructure problems and is giving the green lights to developers, telling them to come in and build, and that is wrong. That is wrong, right? And other than that, we have a transportation desert. We're dealing with MTA right now. They're saying they want to eliminate bus lines because of some overlapping of

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 579
2	the green bus line or the Triboro bus line that was
3	merged into MTA many years ago so we're losing bus
4	lines. We're not near bus, and so therefore, the City
5	Council should vote no to the City of Yes. Vote no.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you to this
8	panel for testifying, waiting to testify. You're now
9	excused. Thank you.
10	The next panel we're going to hear from
11	consists of Nick Felker, Salvatore Franchino, Igor
12	Nikitenko, Eddie Siegel, and Andy Zhang.
13	What's your name?
14	THEO CHINO: (INAUDIBLE)
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Just come up. You
16	could go first, sir. Yeah, go first.
17	THEO CHINO: Thank you, Chairman. Well,
18	Jane Jacob had a villain when she was fighting
19	(INAUDIBLE)
20	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you state your
21	name and spell it real quick?
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, I will say. My
23	name is Theo Chino, and I serve as the First National
24	Secretary of the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Spell it real quick.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THEO CHINO: Theo, T-H-E-O, C-H-I-N-O.

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

THEO CHINO: Thank you. Well, as I was saying, my name is Theo Chino, and I serve as the First Secretary of the Social Democrat of America, also known internationally as the Committee of the Second International. We are the socialist faction within the Democratic Party. The City of Yes is another racist concoction of the real estate industry and forced the City Council to perpetuate systematic governmental racism. No matter how you spin it, a yes vote is simply perpetuating racism. Mayor Adam's gift to the real estate industry should be known as Aunt Jane or Uncle Tom City of Yes. In 1920, the real estate industry launched a red scare against our socialist camarade by making sure five duly elected socialists were thrown out of the assembly for siding with tenent. It took 96 years for Julia Salazar to become the first socialist elected official. What did the landlord agree in counterpart? For the freedom to scare America, the landlord agreed to issue leases, the first-time leases were issued in New York. Karl Marx and Adam Smith are two authors that can explain commodity and the danger of commodifying things,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

including housing. City of Yes is a project that wants to solve a problem created by the market, and the proponents want to find a solution by only tackling the supply side, which only benefits those that provide the supply stock, and has nothing to do with the demand side, hence changing nothing. We socialists have managed growth all over the world, in cities like Paris, London, and Vienna. Vienna is a great example. There is the Vienna Project. They have managed affordable housing for more than a hundred years. On a practical note, how do I know the City of Yes is garbage? Because all the people that make my personal life misery are the criminal organizations that support it. This bill, if allowed to pass as is would only perpetuate the legacy of racial redlining initially set up by banks to prevent black and brown communities from building wealth. We social democrats are committed to educating the public into running and getting elected in City Council until all these racist and liberal policies are eradicated.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mr. Chino, if you could wrap it up.

THEO CHINO: Yes, our position is clear. We are against the City of Yes, and we will train any

2 Democratic registered voter to challenge anyone that

3 pushes for liberal supply-only market policy. I'm

4 available to answer any questions. Thank you for your

5 | time and thank you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Nick Felker.

NICK FELKER: Hello. My name is Nick Felker, a resident of the Upper West Side, and I'm currently a renter. The term housing crisis can feel very abstract. There's stats like a 1.4 percent vacancy rate, but what does that actually mean? It means that many of us have come here throughout the whole day in support of the City of Yes because we feel the crisis firsthand. The economic theory is very clear on the benefits of zoning reform to reduce rents. For example, one paper, Do New Housing Units in Your Neighborhood Raise Your Rents, by Xiaodi Li, found that even market-rate housing in New York City can push down rents for those living nearby. ADUs, SROs, UAP, and TOD are all great policies that will help many neighborhoods do their part to add more affordable housing. But going beyond theory and economics, we can see this working with our own eyes. Austin, Texas, Minneapolis, even Auckland, New

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

Zealand, have had successful rezonings that have caused real rents to fall even as more people are able to live there. What makes New York City the greatest city in the world is not the buildings, it's not the parks, it's you, it's me, and it's everyone here in this room. We need more homes for the middle class, and we need more affordable homes, and we can't let every affordable housing project turn into a 10-year debacle like what's happening in Elizabeth Street. This crisis is getting worse. We don't have time for all these delays. It's time for a new approach involving every neighborhood that is people first, not parking first, which is why I support the City of Yes in full and hope that you do the same.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, and next we'll hear from Salvatore Franchino.

SALVATORE FRANCHINO: Hello. I'm Salvatore Franchino of East Williamsburg, and I support the City of Yes full stop. Status quo is 100,000 people in our shelter system, so why don't we just build 100,000 homes instead as projected by City of Yes? I hear those of you that have some resistance to change, but keep in mind that without change, our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- 2 | would love it if you could help find more city
- 3 subsidy. Use a different tool in the arsenal to help,
- 4 you know, bolster and increase the efficacy of
- 5 universal affordability preference so let's say yes
- 6 to Universal Affordability Preference too. So yes to
- 7 | lifting parking mandates, yes to more neighbors, yes
- 8 | to affordability, yes to stability. Let's say yes to
- 9 City of Yes. Yes, please.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for the
- 11 energy. Need it. Next, I'm going to ask for Igor
- 12 Nikitenko to go.

- 13 | IGOR NIKITENKO: Hello. My name is Igor
- 14 Nikitenko. Thank you for giving me a chance to speak
- 15 here. I would like to voice my support for the City
- 16 of Yes. I was born in Russia, where my parents
- 17 | foresaw the rise of authoritarianism and seized the
- 18 opportunity to move to the United States. Since
- 19 | arriving in Brooklyn in '98, not a day passes by
- 20 without me not being grateful for being here. I
- 21 | believe our city has a moral obligation to welcome
- 22 those fleeing hostility, including many places in the
- 23 United States, as symbolized by the Statue of
- 24 | Liberty. I've been a Brooklynite for 26 years. I
- 25 lived in Midwood, Gravesend, Bensonhurst, and for the

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

past six, I've called Flatbush my home. At the moment, I share a modest two-bedroom apartment with my brother and my wife. My brother has a disability, and I take care of him. He requires a space that suits his needs. I also have a mother who lives just a few blocks away from me, and she also has a disability, and she went through a nightmare to find her own housing. She had a Section 8 voucher, but no broker would return the call once they got wind of that. We were fortunate, very fortunate, to secure something close by, and she lives not too far from me, like I said. And as far as my wife, well, she's expecting our first child. We are, to put it mildly, in desperate need of a bigger place. Now, I've been trying to find a larger apartment to rent for my own growing family, preferably not too far from my mother, but it seems every time we show up for a viewing for a decent, suitable place, there are dozens or more people waiting in line, all competing for the same space, and the price sometimes is just beyond our reach. I'd love to stay close to my mother, to my friends, to the life we've built here, but without additional housing, we'll be forced to pack up and leave the city that I love. Please

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

consider supporting the City of Yes so we can have more housing of all types. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Eddie Siegel.

EDDIE SIEGEL: Hi, my name is Eddie Siegel. I live in Nolita in a rent-stabilized apartment. I'm a member of Manhattan Community Board 2, speaking in my personal capacity and not on behalf of the Board, and I'm in strong support of City of Yes. I thank you all, especially City Hall Staff, for your patience and stamina today. I personally just got off work an hour ago and have listened to almost none of this hearing because I was at work. I want you to think about the millions of New Yorkers who have no idea that this hearing is happening, or they wanted to come but they're parents with young kids, they're working a normal job like me, and they're not able to, you know, chime in on this stuff, but those people have different ways of telling you what they want. The day job I came from was as a political pollster. We've had a lot of polling on City of Yes, and it's continually shown overwhelming support. When we poll issue polling nationally on housing abundance, people are clearly in strong support of

- 2 \parallel building more housing. For the first time in my life,
- 3 housing is a major piece of a presidential campaign
- 4 because we are in a housing crisis and people are
- 5 desperate for housing affordability. Vice President
- 6 Harris is making building three million new homes a
- 7 centerpiece of her presidential campaign because the
- 8 evidence is overwhelming that it is both an effective
- 9 strategy and is what voters want. City of Yes will
- 10 help us build some of those units in the greatest
- 11 | city in the world where 98 percent of our housing is
- 12 full. I hope you support it. Thank you.
- 13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you,
- 14 | Eddie. Lastly, we'll hear from Andy Zhang.
- 15 If you are here and I did not call you up
- 16 and you want to testify, please go to the back.
- Andy, you may begin.
- 18 ANDY ZHANG: Hello, Members of City
- 19 | Council. My name is Andy Zhang, and I am a renter who
- 20 lives in Williamsburg and this year marks a decade of
- 21 | living in this city. I'm here today to testify in
- 22 | support of City of Yes with no modifications. The
- 23 | shortage in housing is one of, if not the most
- 24 | significant contributors to elevated cost of living
- 25 and quality of life. I want to focus on one aspect I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

am particularly interested in, TOD, aka transitoriented development. I live off the train and like my family, friends, and neighbors, I consistently rely on the proximity to transit that makes for easier commutes to work, job training, school, and more. We see the same up and down, left and right across the entire transit network, be it the subway, the bus, the ferry, the LIRR, Metro North networks, and more. Unbanning and re-legalizing perfectly normal and regular homes near transit connects New Yorkers to the centers of opportunity and mobility that our communities and neighborhoods deserve. We as New Yorkers and Americans desire and deserve the freedom to live, the freedom to start families, the freedom to study, the freedom to work, to even start businesses. None of these freedoms mean anything without the freedom to build. City of Yes may only be the first step to addressing our housing crisis and our ability to build, but as many others have said, first steps are important. We are a city, not a collection of mere districts. We live in connected neighborhoods, not scattered HOAs. We cannot have local yet vocal minorities dictate one-size-fits-all solutions for any and all land use in their immediate

2.2

2.3

vicinity. A City of Yes is the first step towards a

city for all. I'm a first-generation Chinese-American

whose family arrived in America in the 1990s seeking

a better life. I, like Igor here, am proud to be one

of the many generations of new residents and

immigrants who embody the story of New York City and

the American Dream. Let's stop shooting ourselves in

the American Dream. Let's stop shooting ourselves in the foot by legalizing the housing we deserve and building the housing we deserve for a greater New York City that we all deserve. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your testimony.

Just one question for Mr. Chino. Mr. Chino, you stated that you don't like the proposal.

Is there anything a part of this proposal that you think is positive?

THEO CHINO: There are a lot of positive things in this proposal, but when you build a cake and you put garbage inside and you sprinkle a lot of very good things, like removing maybe the parking rules or modifying things, it doesn't mean that the cake is still good to eat. It looks good, but it's still garbage. Knowing where this proposal came from,

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

HENRY EULER: My name is Henry Euler. I'm the President of the Auburndale Improvement Association in Flushing, Queens. (INAUDIBLE) to Western Bayside. We are against the City of Yes, and we're very upset about the transit-oriented development part. We have the Long Island Railroad going through our community, and it goes from Broadway, Flushing to Auburndale to Bayside to Douglaston to Little Neck. It's a big, long stretch, and each station goes a half a mile radius around where there would be extra development because of TODs. We wouldn't mind if the construction was

contextual. I don't think people would mind that, but

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this is where they're going to build up and closer together, and it's totally out of character with the community, so we're against that. Now, you know, the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity was developed by the people in the real estate industry and the ones that are pro-development, and these people are not going to do anything about affordable housing, I'm afraid. I think they don't want to do it because it's not that profitable. They like to do market rate and luxury rate development much better, so the people who want affordable housing, they're going to have to work very hard to change the way things are done in the city. Of course, the real estate people are major contributors to the different campaigns, and they work closely with the elected officials...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

HENRY EULER: Expired?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. Thank you, Henry.

Next, we're going to hear from Zishun Ning, and

Henry, you're also able to submit your testimony to

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Next, we'll hear

from Zishun Ning.

ZISHUN NING: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

2 ZISHUN NING: Oh, thank you. Mayor Adams' 3 City of Yes Housing Opportunity worsened the city's displacement crisis. It follows the logic that you 4 have to let the developers build luxury high-rises that drive up the rent and the real estate tax of the 6 7 surrounding area in order for them to give you a little bit of so-called affordable housing. In other 8 words, you have to let high rents and massive displacement across the city happen first so that the 10 11 developers can throw in some so-called affordable 12 units that you and other community members compete to 13 get. Specifically, the City of Yes upzoning will 14 encourage luxury real estate speculators and 15 developers to build the maximum amount of market rate 16 units allowed in the current zoning so that they can 17 build additional heights for the affordable housing. That's not the solution. Our communities of Chinatown 18 19 and the Lower East Side have been fighting for a 20 better solution, the Chinatown Working Group Rezoning Plan, that will protect existing affordable housing 21 and increase supply of truly affordable housing 2.2 2.3 without massive construction of luxury high-rises. It will discourage real estate speculation by capping 24 the heights of any new developments and mandate a 25

- 2 high percentage of truly affordable housing at local
- 3 income level for new developments. This is not a
- 4 NIMBY plan or YIMBY plan. This is the LIHMBY plan,
- 5 low-income housing in my backyard. Any developer who
- 6 prioritizes people over profit would agree to this
- 7 plan. Members of City Council, if you really care
- 8 about stopping displacement, we ask that you reject
- 9 the City of Yes Housing Opportunity and pass
- 10 community-led plans like the Chinatown Working Group
- 11 Plan to protect communities from displacement and
- 12 real estate speculation. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.
- 14 Next, we'll hear from Daisy Colon. Daisy, if you can
- 15 | hear me, please unmute and you may begin.
- DAISY COLON: Hi, how are you? Hi, my name
- 17 | is Daisy Colon. I am a Bronx resident. I am located
- 18 \parallel in the R4 Dome area. It is mostly three families,
- 19 | four families, and some one- and two-family homes,
- 20 and also we are sprinkled with a couple of
- 21 | residential buildings, you know, in the avenues and
- 22 | in the area. I'm actually against this plan. I think
- 23 | I am blessed to live in a very diverse community. I
- 24 have Ecuadorians, Argentinians, Italians, Irish,
- 25 | Bangladesh, Asian, South Asian homeowners near me.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

25

2 ROBERTA GELB: Hi there, it's Roberta 3 Gelb, and I'm speaking as the President of the 4 Chelsea Reform Democratic Club in Chelsea, Manhattan. Although I feel akin to so many of the people who 5 spoke from the outer boroughs and I feel like we have 6 7 so many issues in common, and for those things that we don't have in common, we're on the same page. I 8 think the emperor is naked. Somebody has to really say that. The emperor is naked. Tomorrow, I could 10 11 have 26,000 affordable apartments. How? Because we 12 need to make a penalty for the landlords who are 13 warehousing affordable rent-stabilized apartments. I 14 know we have some in my building. Is anybody going 15 out and finding out how many of those apartments are 16 there? Is anybody being penalized for that? City 17 Limits estimates that there's 26,000 rent-regulated 18 units that are being warehoused. We know that there 19 are apartments being warehoused in NYCHA. Now, let's 20 talk about the conversion of commercial buildings. 21 Greenwich Village got a lot of additional housing 2.2 when St. Vincent's Hospital, the only level one 2.3 trauma center on the west side, was replaced with housing. I checked today, and there are two condo 24

apartments available in that complex, one for 6.75

```
1
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                        598
    million and the other for 8.25 million. Go past there
 2
 3
     any night and notice how few lights are on.
 4
     Residential conversion, this new opportunity to
     convert office towers will be no benefit to the
    public, no affordable housing. Do we really need 6-
 6
 7
    million-dollars condos? And why does anybody think
     that developers, out of the goodness of their hearts,
 8
     are going to provide affordable housing? They are
     asking people. There is no mandated affordability in
10
11
     this plan. If you think that the developers are going
12
     to do it, you are on another planet.
13
                SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
14
                ROBERTA GELB: Thank you.
15
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a
16
     question for Ms. Colon and Ms. Gelb. What part of the
     Bronx do you live in, Ms. Gelb? Can someone unmute
17
     Ms. Gelb? Ms. Colon?
18
19
                DAISY COLON: Hi, yes, I live in the
20
     Schuylerville, 104 East Tremont, LaSalle area.
21
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And Ms.
     Gelb? Ms. Roberta Gelb? Can someone unmute Ms. Gelb?
2.2
2.3
                ROBERTA GELB: Hello? Yeah, I'm sorry.
                CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, Ms. Gelb, what
24
     part of the Bronx do you live in?
```

Side, and I would like to continue to do so. I

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

graduated from university about four years ago. Me and a group of my peers from my university came to the city all together. Most of us lived in Midtown Manhattan for the first year that we were here. The second year that we were here, I moved to the Upper East Side and sacrificed the luxury of a dishwasher, and most of my friends moved to Brooklyn and Queens, inflating the price of housing in those boroughs. While I love my home and I am really attached to my neighborhood, I'm looking to purchase a home in the next few years, and I am afraid that I won't be able to afford to do so here. My only other options, if I'd like to stay in the city, which I intend to do, are to look in the outer boroughs, and in particular, I'm eyeing District 19, which is Council Member Paladino's District. It looks quite nice, and I, you know, see that as a more affordable alternative. Were my economic choices not as constrained, then I would like to stay put where I am. I realize that in moving to a district like District 19, I would potentially be putting extra pressure on its current residents and competing with them for housing so I would like to once again voice my support for City of Yes and increase the overall supply of housing in the city in

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Lavingia.

the interest of all of us being able to live where we would like.

4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much,
5 Abigail. Next, we're going to hear from Ayaz

AYAZ LAVINGIA: Yes, hello. I'm Ayaz Lavingia. I moved to Queens 40-plus years ago, and the housing shortage is a perennial problem in New York. It's an issue that is purely based on supply and demand economics, and if there is a supply, that is the solution, and the supply will only get done if it's built. More housing is needed, period. Let me try and put it simply. When people are hungry, the solution is to provide more food. When people are sick, you supply medicine. So logically, when people need housing, the solution is to build and provide more housing. Therefore, you've got to change the zoning, and you've got to build up. Infrastructure spend over more people is more efficient and more effective. Trying to build less density housing is difficult to support. New York City should continue to be the city of tall buildings across all five boroughs. Staten Island is severely short of tall buildings. Others, in pockets of Manhattan, you have

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 completely unregulated small houses, some big

3 | buildings, etc. Uniformity would help, and

infrastructure will be built to adjust for them.

Therefore, I am completely for Yes. Build, build,

6 build. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Shamina Lavingia.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

SHAMINA LAVINGIA: Hi, my name is Shamina
Lavingia. Thank you for letting me speak tonight. I
support the City of Yes proposal. We moved to Queens
over 40 years ago as a young couple while we worked
and attended college in New York City. We now live in
Manhattan close to one of our children, whereas the
other one lives in Brooklyn. We will be retiring in a
few years. We have been renters for a long, long
time, but the rents have been going up continuously.
Looks like we won't be able to afford the rents once
we retire in a few years. It's really tough finding
units at an affordable rate. I hope there will be
enough supply to meet the demand in the coming
future. We would like to remain in Manhattan or move
to Brooklyn, but who knows? Time will tell. Hence, I

2 support the City of Yes proposal. Thank you. Good

3 night.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Erik Nilsen.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

ERIK NILSEN: Good evening, everyone, and thank you for letting me speak, and thank you for sticking this out. My name is Erik Nilsen. I'm born and raised in New York City. I'm from St. Allen, and now I live in Bushwick, and I unequivocally support everything in City of Yes. It feels like for most of my adult life, the city has been in a perpetual housing crisis because we don't build enough. I'm tired of seeing friends of mine leave the city because they can't afford it, afford to either stay here themselves, or afford to raise children here, and this all comes down because how expensive real estate is. If it wasn't for new developments that is being disparaged on this call, I wouldn't have been able to become a homeowner two years ago in Bushwick, and I want other people to have the same opportunities that I have. I also really want to speak in favor of ending parking mandates because the city is congested with enough cars as it is, and

way of life. While the boating industry is not the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

deregulation and a build-baby-build approach will

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

destroy low-density neighborhoods without making

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The last

25 person on this panel is Yiatin Chu.

2 YIATIN CHU: Good evening. My name is 3 Yiatin Chu. I'm a homeowner in Whitestone, Eastern 4 Queens, and I'm the President of Asian Wave Alliance. AWA represents the interests of thousands of residents, property owners, and voters throughout New 6 7 York City. Asian New Yorkers have the highest rate of homeownership and were settled in outer boroughs of 8 Queens, Brooklyn, and Staten Island. Many of us are immigrants who poured our life savings into our home 10 11 to put down roots in our communities, a nest egg for 12 retirement, and maybe pass it down to our children, the American dream, and building generational wealth. 13 This aggressive plan will replace homeownership with 14 15 market-rate rental properties, making developers 16 richer. Building more does not necessarily make 17 housing more affordable for New Yorkers. Property 18 owners have to pay mortgages, property taxes, utility 19 and water bills, energy costs, maintenance, aka labor 20 costs, which all factor into the high cost of 21 housing. Building more will not lower these costs. 2.2 Instead, the City of Yes will destroy our 2.3 neighborhoods and degrade our quality of life. It's absurd that the City of Yes will eliminate parking 24 requirements for new residential housing. We are 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALYSSA MAYOPINONIA: So, I think any lowdensity area, I mean, I speak strictly of City Island due to the fact that I'm very familiar with the zoning based on me living here as well as my career. All of the low-zoning areas would be affected because one of the things that the City of Yes proposal is doing is they're adding verbiage that makes things as-of-right and, for example, like in an R3A zone that currently zoning states one- and two-family residents only, they add a small sentence in there that says and multi-families in certain locations so you're adding these small things that might not necessarily be seen as something that looks like a mega development. Or when you say you're going to permit ADUs, if you have a one- and two-family zone, you have the option to have a two-family and have the opening for maybe some type of opportunity to rent it or for your family member but, once you throw an ADU in there, you're a three-family house so you're actually making it a multi-family without making it a multi-family, which there's different codes, different requirements for that so I just think it's a lot on these small areas that can't necessarily be controlled.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms.

Mayopinonia, and just want to let you know that City

Island is considered a Special District.

ALYSSA MAYOPINONIA: Correct. I know the Special District will not...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So yeah, you wouldn't have to worry about those issues.

would protect our height requirement, but it not necessarily would protect things with the A3A and the zoning code being, the zones itself being revised with language because it still would make things that aren't necessarily as-of-right now become as-of-right. You know, typically now they go through certain processes and there are some multi-families on the City Islands and they work, but to have things as-of-right could be really detrimental to the community.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you, Ms. Alyssa.

ALYSSA MAYOPINONIA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. The next panel we're going to hear from is William Meehan,

2.2

2 Alan Gerber, Felix Stetsenko, and Robert Jeffrey.

3 We'll hear first from William Meehan.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WILLIAM MEEHAN: Hi, my name is William Meehan. I am a member of Brooklyn's CB8 speaking in my personal capacity in support of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity. New York has historically welcomed many immigrants and refugees. Throughout the centuries, people have escaped famine, violence, and persecution and made New York their home. However, as we've seen with the migrant crisis over the last two years, this is no longer possible, and we are unable to accommodate domestic migration for women seeking reproductive rights or, personally to me as a gay man, queer youth escaping homophobic and transphobic laws in other states and other countries. If we are to move from makeshift tents in a field to a housing first model, we must first build enough housing for everyone. By lifting outdated and classist regulations, the City of Yes for housing opportunity can help tilt the scales back towards housing affordability and abundance. An important aspect of City of Yes is it will allow for new housing in all neighborhoods. Too many community districts have effectively banned new housing through downzonings,

including so-called contextual downzonings, lot size and setback requirements, and parking mandates. I would like to narrow in on parking mandates because I believe they are the key to unlocking more affordable housing near the subway. I live in a pre-war building without any off-street parking. Due to parking mandates, my home would be illegal to build today right where it is and in most of the city. That's absurd. Parking mandates stifle affordable housing production by requiring significant space for parking, which often ends up underground at great costs, and the numbers are capricious. It's better to let the market decide. Parking mandates have already been eliminated in all of Manhattan and parts of Brooklyn and Queens due to the Clean Air Act, so there's obviously health and climate benefits as well. City of Yes actually does not go far enough in eliminating parking mandates. Cities like Buffalo, Minneapolis, St. Paul, Portland, Oregon, and recently Austin have eliminated all parking mandates for all uses. If these relatively car-friendly cities can do it, then New York, with the best transit in the country, can certainly do it. Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Alan Gerber.

ALAN GERBER: Hello. I'm Alan Gerber. I'm a resident of Crown Heights. I'm a member of CBA's Environment, Sanitation, and Transportation Committee as a public member, but speaking in my personal capacity. So, I've been a resident of a rentstabilized apartment in this neighborhood for something like 12 years. It's been very good as a stable and affordable home for me, but my upstairs neighbor, it's been a very good affordable home for her as well, but the building is a walkup and she's getting older. There's down the street 100 percent affordable housing being built on State-owned land. It's five stories tall under so-called contextual zoning, even though there's a 14-story building from 50 years ago across the street and a 12-story building from 100 years ago a block away, and because of downzonings like this, we don't have enough affordable housing for my upstairs neighbor to move to an apartment with an elevator that would make her life easier in her age, and that's true of a lot of people as they go through life. There's just not homes available that meet their needs, and so we need

strike out on my own, but I don't want to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

surrounded by only people with well-paid white-collar jobs or those with very nice brothers to let them crash for a full year. I want to be surrounded by the artists, the dreamers, the immigrants who come here looking for the American dream. I want to live in the city that first welcomed my parents 40 years ago, immigrants from the Soviet Union who came without a penny in the bank account. I want to live in a city that's affordable for all who make New York, New York. And what does City of Yes do for all of us? It allows our city to build more housing to grow. It would open up lots next to subway stations that today are filled by single-story big box stores to instead be filled by apartments and ground floor retail. It would incentivize the construction of thousands of new units of permanently affordable housing in every single neighborhood. Ours is a beautifully vibrant, diverse, magnificent city, and by adding a little more housing in every neighborhood, I strongly believe City of Yes will make New York greater and even more vibrant. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to give testimony this evening.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Next, we're going to hear from Robert Jeffrey.

2 Robert, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

ROBERT JEFFREY: Thank you. Thank you, everyone, for taking the time out to address these issues and listening to the community. I am a sitting member on my Community Board here in Community Board One, Williamsburg and Greenpoint, and I am coming on tonight to say I am for City of Yes. I really am looking forward to the hundreds of thousands of housing we're going to get potentially over the next 10 years and the income that's going to bring in. I'm really looking forward to being able to find housing that doesn't require parking mandates because that's going to really lower the cost of housing for a lot of people and also just give more people opportunity to move into the city and be able to enjoy everything that we enjoy in the city ourselves right now, and I would like for you all to vote yes on City of Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel is now excused.

The next panel I'll call up consists of George Tormo, Doris Hughes, Maria Fiocca, and Steve

show you that the City of Yes will destroy what we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Opportunity. It will destroy the fabric of our communities and the way of life we work so hard to achieve. One size does not fit all. It's kind of arrogant of our elected officials to push this through without community involvement and proper input. The City of Yes will have an adverse environmental impact, taking away green space. It will overburden the infrastructure and increase crime in our neighborhoods. Little Neck is already parking challenged as most units have multiple cars. If this is past, it will cause taxpaying residents to leave. Hundreds of thousands have already left the city due to affordability. There are currently thousands of vacant NYCHA units in New York City as well as vacant office buildings that can be converted to residential units. Why isn't that being done? This is a power grab. The City of Yes will take away the review and approval process from Community Boards and Council Members. New York City has affordability crisis the City of Yes will not solve. This is a land grab that only benefits the developers. As an employee of a national real estate lender, I've seen developers withdraw their affordable units even if they incur penalties just so they can charge market rents. The

- 2 | City of Yes will not produce affordable units. And in
- 3 light of the corruption scandal the current
- 4 Administration is mired in, the City of Yes must be
- 5 rejected. The City must work with local communities
- 6 for solutions that will benefit all residents. Thank
- 7 you.

- 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next
- 9 person we hear from is Maria Fiocca.
- 10 MARIA FIOCCA: Fiocca. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Fiocca.
- 12 MARIA FIOCCA: Good evening. My name is
- 13 | Maria Fiocca. As a lifelong resident of Flushing,
- 14 Queens, I am deeply concerned about the City of Yes
- 15 | legislation and its potential impact on my community.
- 16 The Broadway North Flushing neighborhood, which is
- 17 | listed on the National Register of Historic Places,
- 18 | is a source of immense pride for many of us who have
- 19 | called this area home for decades. The provisions
- 20 | within the City of Yes pose a serious threat to the
- 21 character and integrity of our historic neighborhood.
- 22 The transit zone provision will lead to the
- 23 demolition of cherished homes, including my own 100-
- 24 | year-old residence in favor of multifamily units with
- 25 | minimal green space and zero parking mandates. ADUs

I looked up at four different websites, and most of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the testimony of the younger people today in their 20s and 30s was right out of those websites giving you suggested transcripts to pull from and speak so it's incredible. It's as if they don't know the facts so here's the bottom line. It is a big lie, and I live here. My family's been here since 1898 in sunny Sheepshead Bay, and I will tell you, you can build five to six million new units right now without changing one word of the zone so the whole idea of you've got to change it to build is a lie. So do not hitch your wagon to the sinking Mayor. Do it for your constituents in the City of New York. Affordability is what's lacking. We've heard that a thousand times. What else can I possibly tell you? You've got to vote no because it's about you and me and us in the City of New York, a big fat no. The 81 percent is a lie. It's just that fake Open New York and there's three other organizations that say the same thing. It's a two to one vote in this city. We literally went around to over 225 organizations in 175 communities. Most of the people are opposed to this. Why? Because you have the ability now to build as much housing as you could ever want. You want to build affordable housing? Say no to this. Kevin, please contact me. I

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time's expired. Thank you.

STEVE BARRISON: Vote no.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Steve. This panel is excused.

The next panel I'm calling on this Cara Eckholm, Isabel Kirsch, Sam Zimmerman, and Mollye Liu. I will begin first with Cara Eckholm. Cara, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

CARA ECKHOLM: Hello. Thank you so much for having me today. I am a fourth generation New Yorker coming out strongly in favor of City of Yes. My great grandparents moved here as garment workers in the early 1900s. Unfortunately, my family was not so lucky so as to buy and then hold those properties so primarily throughout the course of my family's history, we've been renters, and that means that we've been pushed to different neighborhoods as the cost of housing has increased over time. And I just want to respond to the comment made by the previous speaker that there's sort of a concerted organized effort to get young people out to speak today in

favor of City of Yes, and I think you're hearing so many voices from the next generation of New Yorkers because people are upset and they're desperate and they want to be able to continue to live in New York. There is a preponderance of evidence to suggest that increasing supply does in fact increase affordability. That means building all types of housing. To deny that evidence at this point is comparable to denying basic science like around climate change. We really need to treat this as a crisis that is of that magnitude and is not just a crisis that is going to be occurring in the future but something that is happening today and is pushing people out who have had long family histories in the City of New York yet can no longer afford to live here so I just wanted to really emphasize that all aspects of the City of Yes proposal are important to getting more housing built and that there are many people in my generation, a 32-year-old renter living in Brooklyn but previously lived in Manhattan, who really care about seeing this getting passed, and it's not some kind of organized effort but rather a byproduct of true...

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

3 Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Next we're going to hear from Isabel Kirsch.

ISABEL KIRSCH: Hi. My name is Isabel Kirsch and I live in Sunnyside. Thank you all for your time. I know it's been a very long day and I'm really appreciative of you being here and taking my input. And I'm here because I unequivocally support City of Yes and I hope that you will pass it resoundingly and in the strongest possible form. I am a very new New Yorker. I moved here recently for a new job that I was very excited about and it's really been the most challenging apartment search and transition to a new city that that I've experienced and it's really been even more daunting than what I was expecting. I'm incredibly lucky to have an aunt who lives here in Sunnyside and to be able to stay with her while I get settled, and I really don't know how my move would have been possible to pursue this professional opportunity without this landing pad. And I know that I'm obviously in a really select minority of lucky people who have a landing pad when they get here. So, you really feel that 1.4 percent

vacancy rate. I feel it at open houses. I feel it when I'm rushing to respond to an apartment listing, when I'm spending money on application fees over and over and over again, and of course just seeing the people who are experiencing homelessness on our streets every day. We need all kinds of housing for all kinds of people so that means market rate, that means low income, that means subsidized, that means affordable housing, and in the strongest possible terms, City of Yes is what we need to help us get there. My grandmother arrived in New York as a refugee after the Holocaust, and I strongly believe that this city is and still should be a beacon of opportunity and of hope and, unfortunately, our current housing crisis makes that vision feel pretty far away so I'm incredibly enthusiastic about City of Yes as a one step in the right direction to make New York to continue to be a welcoming place for immigrants, for new arrivals, for people who are seeking, you know, following a dream of being in New York for whatever that reason may be. And I'm so appreciative of all of the thought and care that has been put into this project ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's a expired.

ISABEL KIRSCH: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you and congratulations on your new job.

Next, we're going to call on Sam Zimmerman.

SAM ZIMMERMAN: Hi, my name is Sam. I know it's been a really long day, so thank you to the Members and Staff for sticking with us. I just got home from work and wouldn't have been able to testify if this meeting wasn't in its 12th hour, so I appreciate it. I'm a resident of the Lower East Side where I own a unit in a co-op that would potentially be significantly impacted by City of Yes, and I enthusiastically support each and every provision of City of Yes because making New York housing more affordable and accessible to all is the most important thing we can do. City of Yes came out of the City's Fair Housing Report to address the legal and practical segregation that has existed in our city for decades. Contrary to the misinformation I've heard here in the short time I've been on from organizations like Village Preservation, the

MOLLYE LIU: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity of speaking. I'm here to show my support to the City of Yes. I'm a member of Manhattan

2.3

24

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Community Board 3 as a Housing Committee, and also I've worked for Bronx Pro. It's a 100 percent mission-driven affordable housing developer. We build 100 percent affordable housing in the Bronx and in Harlem, and as a new immigrant to the city, I'm also a renter, and also I was born in affordable housing back in China. So, I suffered a lot of issues looking for affordable apartment in the city, I've been through housing court and everything, and under this situation, I can say City of Yes is a really good opportunity to provide more affordable housing to the people in need and to the people who cannot afford, like, the increasing housing price in the city, and as a developer side, I can see the operation expense is getting higher, the tenant's arrear extremely high, and the insurance price are going higher and higher, so all these things make it harder to operate a mission-driven organization like us, and I can see, like, City of Yes have a lot of good part, like, to make the, like, Mandatory Inclusive Housing procedure, like, easier, and that can make the housing project happening sooner, and we can have more people to move into our project as soon as possible. Based on lots of research data, I can say,

Stoddard Neighborhood Association. I represent myself

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in this testimony. I am here to testify in opposition of COY, City of Yes, text amendment changes. City of Yes lacks visions and lessons we have learned from history and the pandemic. It is Robert Moses all over again. In my district, one of the densest in the city, the current zoning is working. Within a few blocks of my home, there are over 3,000 units being built in large developmental projects, which are continually creating hazards and violations of environmental protection, OSHA, and DOB regulations. The City has failed to conduct environmental and racial impact studies and assessments in our district. CB9 is overburdened and yet fails to acknowledge that what we have done can and will do in our district in the way of housing development. We want housing development that is truly affordable while maintaining our rights to clean air and water. Our current zoning allows for over 25,000 units of new development. That's our current zoning, which is underway, and we could see it every day in the buildings going up around us. The new COY zoning would allow for an additional 25,000 units, meaning our density would double. No, we do not have the infrastructure for that, and we are barely surviving

sandbar that is ranked number 9 out of 10 in the city

for already having developed affordable housing. Affordability is not the issue. It is the warehousing and the AMIs that is what's keeping renters from renting apartments that are available and vacant. We have new development that they're filling up slowly, but they are filling up, but I feel that this peninsula, that the paintbrush cannot be painted the same across the city. There are reasons why people move to the outer boroughs, and that is to have some space, to have land, to have water, to have sea. This was basically a seaside community. It was a resort community, and now we have over 5,000 nursing home beds, adult home beds, several shelters, and one safety net hospital. We're still waiting for an evacuation plan from the City for the people we have on this peninsula. Community Board 14 voted overwhelmingly no. We do not have the infrastructure, and we are still considered a transportation desert. I ask that the City Council take the City of Yes proposition as it is, go back and rework it, because I know a no just can't be a no, but we're giving you valid reason as to why a small 11-mile sandbar peninsula cannot accommodate any more housing, and

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

FELICIA JOHNSON: Peninsula because that's the only transportation hub. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Rob Mazzuchin.

ROB MAZZUCHIN: Thank you, Chairperson. A little more. It's Rob Mazzuchin. I am President of the Marine Park Community Association, 20,000 homes, 50,000 residents. I've heard people say that 80 percent of the people are in favor of this, 80 percent of the citizens of New York are in favor of this. I don't see 80 percent of the people voicing approval for today. We move into these neighborhoods, as Ms. Johnson just said, we live in a one- and a two-family home, because that's where we choose to live. The City of Yes is a broad stroke, which allows for drastic changes in a neighborhood without input from the people in the community who reside in the neighborhood. Now, the City Council, the most important function of City Council is land use. This bill takes land use away from the community council

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 639
2	and from the Community Boards. It can happen. Now,
3	I've heard some people complain about what their
4	Council Members do and don't do. You know, each
5	member, each Community Board is different, and each
6	Community Board has different needs, and it should
7	stay with the Council Members and the Community
8	Boards to decide what goes on in their neighborhood.
9	This bill does not provide affordable housing. If you
10	want to address affordable housing, address
11	affordable housing. This bill allows for developers
12	to build whatever they want, wherever they want. It's
13	1,383 pages, and I'd like to know how many people who
14	testified today and how many Council Members read the
15	entire 1,383 pages. I'm an attorney, and I know what
16	I can do with 1,383 pages. If I want to build
17	something, I can build it. The bottom line is, at the
18	end of the day, the developers are going to make a
19	fortune. They're going to turn properties that should
20	be for affordable housing into market rent areas, and
21	all these young people voting in favor of City of Yes
22	are not going to have a place to live or an apartment
23	to afford. And the city, it's going to cost the city
24	a fortune more, because this is not about

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROB MAZZUCHIN: Schools, police, all infrastructure. Thank you.

SADIE KOL: Thank you. Dear City Council,

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Rob. Next, we're going to have Sadie Kol.

my name is Sadie, and I am here to testify against the City of Yes proposal on behalf of myself, many other residents of Ridgewood, Queens, and the Ridgewood Property Association. Ridgewood is a unique neighborhood as are many other New York City neighborhoods throughout New York City. Adding more dwelling units as part of the City of Yes proposal would drastically change quality of life for every single New Yorker, mainly those who already struggle to keep up in this economy. I am a landlord myself. I will say that we do not have a housing problem. We have an affordability problem. New York City should be focusing on providing affordable housing for our tenants, not making their dwelling spaces smaller in an already full neighborhood. We are not against tenants, and we are not against the homeless. We are looking to call a spade a spade. Developers are advertising this plan as building out market-rate apartments, but on the contrary, these apartments

Powers, Nantasha Williams, and Adrienne Adams to not

2.2

2.3

Thank you for your time.

violate their oaths of office and to support their
constituents' voices instead and vote against this
measure. This measure...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

SADIE KOL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. The next panel we're going to call on is Nancy Kong,
Elena Sytcheva, Yehuda Pollack, and Clayton Sanford.
We'll begin with Nancy Kong.

NANCY KONG: Thank you very much for your time, and I know it's late, so I will be very brief. I live in District 1, one of the most densely populated communities in the city. I grew up in a tenement and a Mitchell-Lama. I implore the City Council to vote yes. I am clearly not one of the 20-or 30-year-olds testifying in favor of the City of Yes, but I do agree with them. I have not seen our city in such a state since the '70s. We are in dire need of housing. We have multi-generational families living together. We have immigrant families all piled (INAUDIBLE) not able to afford housing. We need bold, brave, and dramatic change, and this is the time.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Nancy.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

You're the best. Next, we're going to have Elena
Sytcheva.

ELENA SYTCHEVA: Hi. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, I can hear you.

ELENA SYTCHEVA: Good evening, Chair Riley and Members of the Subcommittee and Council. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in favor of the City of Yes initiative. My name is Elena Sytcheva, and I'm a lifelong Brooklyn resident of over 30 years. I also grew up in Mitchell-Lama housing in Sheepshead Bay, Brooklyn, and I currently rent in Greenpoint in Brooklyn Community Board 1, and it would be my preference to stay permanently in the great Borough of Brooklyn. My parents immigrated from the former Soviet Union, and we were able to remain in New York, and they were able to work in public service because of their access to affordable homeownership. This allowed me to have a wonderful cultural, educational, and career opportunities in New York City, and I'm concerned that this is no longer a possibility for my generation or future generations. My friends and colleagues are being forced to leave the neighborhoods they love because

came to the Western Hemisphere. I grew up in Kew Gardens Hills in Queens. I'm 27 years old. I just moved out of my parents' house. It is not a secret to anyone that there is not enough housing and there are not enough places to live in New York City that are affordable to young adults. My brother just had twins earlier this year, and he needed to move out of his apartment because he needed more space, and he was living with his in-laws because he was just taking a really long time to find a place with enough space for his family. Now, it's six people, and he was able to do that because he's lucky because he had people in the city to fall back on to live with while he was looking for an apartment. It is just impossible to find a home, and we need more of it. Right now, I'm in a two-bedroom that I live in with a roommate. If there were more studio apartments, then this could be used for a family of just a couple and a kid, but right now, it's two adults taking up a two-bedroom apartment on their own living in separate rooms because there just aren't enough studio apartments. There just needs to be more housing of every kind in New York City because there are not enough places to

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 live, and it is not affordable enough, so I'm in 3 favor of the City of Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Clayton Sanford. Clayton, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

CLAYTON SANFORD: Good evening. My name is Clayton, and I'm a resident of Hamilton Heights. I want to thank everyone for taking the time to do this. I'm an enthusiastic supporter of City of Yes for all the reasons that have been mentioned ahead of now. For most people my age, just like Yehuda, we all know people who are living with their parents and don't want to be, people who are living in smaller accommodations than they are or not living in the neighborhood or even the city that they want to be in, and this housing shortage has enacted a huge toll on so many people, so many people who are near and dear to me. I wanted to speak in particular about the UAP provision. In my district, which is Community District 9, Manhattan, we've built only at most about 300 affordable units in the past decade, and really anything we have to increase the capacity and to encourage people to build more units in general and more affordable units in particular would be critical 2 so I'll keep it brief because it's so late, but thank

3 you everyone for being here, and please, I urge

4 | everyone to support the City of Yes in its purest and

5 | fullest form. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel

7 is excused.

2.2

2.3

The next panel I'm going to call on consists of Jeremy Kaplan, Bill Matheson, Linda Cohen, and Nichola Cox. I'll first call on Jeremy Kaplan.

JEREMY KAPLAN: Hi, how you doing? Thanks.

It's very late. I'm a rent-stabilized tenant. I'm in Sunset Park, Brooklyn. I think that we've heard a lot of disinformation. I think the whole thing about supply, supply, supply, we need to keep building and building, and then I look at throughout, they talk about data, and then I look at the data, and I see that Long Island City has a 60 percent rent increase, and it's one of the fastest growing neighborhoods in the United States. I hear all this stuff about Minneapolis. I hear all this stuff about Austin. I hear all this stuff about these cities that keep building and building, and I look at the data, and their rents are going up, and their rents are super

high, and I ask you, why do we keep doing the same thing over and over again and pretending like it works? This is the problem, is that we've given up our ability to build truly affordable housing to developers, and so we're constantly incentivizing it, and this incentivizing doesn't work and doesn't create affordable housing. The UAP is woefully inefficient and woefully ineffective and doesn't meet the needs of people. Right now, the real housing crisis is at rents 1,500 and below. The UAP will not produce any of that at all. It'll produce a few units, so let's please spare me this whole thing about, oh, we need to be housing migrants. You're not housing migrants with that price. You're not. You don't. I work. I go outside and work with homeless people. You're not housing people for 3,000-dollar single bedrooms. That's what you're producing, 4,000dollar market rate, one bedroom. sI live in a rentstabilized apartment. I...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time's expired. Thank you.

JEREMY KAPLAN: I stay in the city because of rent stabilization and...

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Ms. Cohen.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LINDA COHEN: Okay. First, I keep hearing today that there are thousands of vacant apartments that are available. Rent-stabilized apartments that are vacant, boarded-up houses that are available, commercial buildings that can also be used for housing. What are the real numbers? Is CPC being transparent about these numbers? Is CPC doing

is also the City's Chief Climate Officer? Does he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

restrictions on building all these new locations.

Make them put in 60 percent affordable housing. It's
all about these guys want to become millionaires and
billionaires. Anybody tells you that the developers
care about the city, it's all about the money. We
have building codes that are in place that work very
fine and well, and we should maintain it. It's a big
no to City of Yes because it's just a land grab, and
nobody's going to get any benefit of it other than
the builders and the developers. They're holding real
estate now until this goes into effect, and then
they're going to be ripping houses down, and they're
going to be displacing people, which is going to
increase the homeless problem because the people they
displace are not going to be able to afford the
building units they're putting up. It's unfair to the
city because a lot of people think that they're going
to get affordable housing on it, and it's not going
to happen. We have a 400-plus unit proposed close to
our neighborhood, and only about 15 or 20 apartments
are really going to be affordable to anybody.
Everything else is market value, and nobody's going
to be able to afford the market value

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you very much.
Your time's expired.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we hear from Nichola Cox. Nichola, if you can hear me, please unmute.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

NICHOLA COX: Okay. Good evening. My name

is Nichola Cox. I'm a resident of Brooklyn's Community Board 9 in Crown Heights South. I am also on the Land Use Committee. We voted no on City of Yes because of a number of concerns, including about a UAP. Tonight, I'm speaking for myself, and I've agreed with many of the concerns expressed today. It reassures me that there are other people that have read between the lines. While City of Yes is marketed as developing a little bit of housing everywhere, the bulk of development, especially affordable housing development, focuses on UAP, which currently targets medium to high density areas defined as R6 and higher. Many of these areas already have high levels of development, gentrification, and displacement. I'm specifically concerned about the one- and two-family homes on lots zoned R6 and R7 during the 1961 rezoning. These were mostly in areas targeted for people of color. As a result of this zoning, our oneand two-family blocks are now deemed to be underbuilt

communities who have approved this plan. Those Boards

2.2

2.3

who have thus far voted down this plan that we see as an existential threat to our lives, that we pay for with high taxes and mortgages, can then observe the implementation of the plan in the target areas. If, as the paid real estate representatives claim, the plan works well, then we as a Board should be trusted to do what is right for our neighbors in eastern

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

Queens. If it is the disaster that we foresee...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Mark Young. Mark, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

MARK YOUNG: Okay, good evening. My name is Mark Young. I'm the President of the South Midwood Residents' Association in Brooklyn. In our neighborhood, over 15 years ago, the Administration at the time negotiated a rezoning agreement for Flatbush, which upzoned the neighborhood to allow for the creation of new residential units and protect the fabric of the community and, by all accounts, it was a big success. Just in a brief period of time, in this small neighborhood, over 2,000 new apartments and housing units were created. Many of our neighbors

feel that this new proposal is a complete betrayal of that agreement. There's two particular areas that our neighborhood association is concerned about and proposes. One is the transit-oriented development proposal because it would allow for the creation and building of three- to five-story apartment buildings on streets that are less than 230 feet long. This proposal seems to be based on a cookie-cutter model based on Manhattan, where the short ends of blocks are multi-use types of thoroughfares. This is not the case in our neighborhood, and it would really destroy the fabric of our neighborhood. The second proposal that's being considered that we oppose would increase the authorized FAR in our neighborhood from 0.5 to 1 and, while this might seem to be great for building new affordable housing, in fact, the opposite is true. What it's done in our neighborhood, in the neighboring areas, is allow for single-family wealthy residents to just build large McMansions on their properties, which is completely the opposite of the goal of the City of Yes so I urge you and the other Council Members to oppose those two pieces of the proposal. Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to hear from Joe Enright.

JOE ENRIGHT: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can someone please unmute Joe?

JOE ENRIGHT: Okay, I'm unmuted?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead.

JOE ENRIGHT: Okay, thank you. I'm from West Midwood in Victorian Flatbush. We have a mall here on Glenwood Road that's been the town square of this neighborhood for 120 years. It's a 10-foot-wide strip of trees and grass that separates the single east and westbound lanes of Glenwood Road, lanes so narrow that no parking or even standing is permitted. It's four short blocks with dead ends that the Q train cut. It's not a through street, very serene, one of the few public green spaces in our district. It's also the site of our Halloween parade for the past 40 years, and 500 Flatbush kids and their parents will have a ball there next week. Transitoriented development threatens all that because it's a wide street under City of Yes's definition, and we're told there's no exceptions. Mall or no mall. So at least 20 of the 29 beautiful old homes facing the

to spend my time advocating for City Islands Special

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The next panel we're going to hear from is Ariana S., Sade Falebita, Carol Donovan, Jack Spadaro, and Jean Hahn. We'll begin with Ariana S.

ARIANA S: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

ARIANA S: Okay. Hi, my name is Ariana. I was born and raised in Brooklyn, and I've lived here most of my 30 years. I work as an engineer with existing buildings and adaptive reuse of buildings. I oppose this, specifically transit-oriented housing, voluntary UAP, and removing parking mandates. I agree that we need more affordable housing in New York City, but this plan is a volume plan, not an affordability plan, based on the misconception that increasing the volume of housing will decrease the cost of housing, which has consistently shown to be untrue in New York. This theory will not work in New York, as it never has, and demand to live in New York will never plateau, so these units will be luxury market rate units, as they have been for the past 10 years, the bulk of the new construction. In most neighborhoods in this city, new development has consistently led to skyrocketing of the cost of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

living, including my neighborhood, where new units are almost 100 percent more than the existing units owned by families and individual owners, not development companies. Yeah, and people in my neighborhood spend hours looking for parking. Parking spaces are going on sale for 90,000 dollars. These are the things that are happening in specific neighborhoods that we're not addressing and overlooking here, and as a young person who makes more than the national average, I can't even afford some of the affordable units in this city, so I think what we need to do is look at what we're defining as affordable, redefine the area that we use for AMI, and consult with existing communities to see how we can actually bring affordable housing into the city and not just bring what developers and a corrupt Administration would like to push forward, as well as people who have come on and, you know, scripted responses but, yeah, so that's my take. I don't think that this has ever been the case in New York. Areas like Williamsburg, Long Island City, and Crown Heights...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's expired.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 ARIANA S: Thank you. Good night.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good night. Next, we have Sade Falebita. Sade, if you can hear me, please unmute. Sade.

We'll move on to Carol Donovan. Carol, if you can hear me, please unmute.

Carol Donovan. Sade Falebita.

Let's move on to Jack Spadaro. Jack, if you can hear me, please unmute.

JACK SPADARO: I am here.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead, Jack.

JACK SPADARO: Okay, my name is Jack
Spadaro. I'm the Vice President of the Bay
Improvement Group. It's a coalition of residents and
business owners in Sheepshead Bay, Brooklyn. We live
in a flood zone. Common sense building would mean no
new building in any flood zones but, since Superstorm
Sandy, 200 new housing projects have been built on
the waterfront alone. The overdevelopment is real,
and the housing crisis is false. Our waterfront
community, which has a special use district zoning,
such as City Island, should be left as is to keep our
waterfront neighborhood in the character that it was
intended. This planning is meant for hundreds of

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 665
2	years, not short term. We are for affordable housing,
3	but this plan does not incorporate that. We are for
4	more housing. The Mayor wants 500,000 more units in
5	this plan over the next 10 years. Currently, without
6	changing one word of the current zoning, we can build
7	5 million more units. The solution should be
8	comprehensive planning with each community
9	individual's needs, and not deleting zoning
10	altogether. And let's be real, zoning will be deleted
11	all over the city. Whether it be historic districts,
12	special use districts, residential and commercial
13	use, it's all gone. Real estate developers will have
14	free reign to do whatever they like. There are
15	estimated to be more than 100,000 empty units of
16	housing right now for private ownership, warehousing
17	of empty apartments, and tens of thousands of empty
18	co-ops and condos across the city. The City of Yes
19	will impact our city forever and will never be
20	unchanged. This will affect generations to come. The
21	biggest real estate grab in our city history is
22	happening now. Please vote no.
23	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you, your time's
24	expired.

JACK SPADARO: Yes, thank you.

1

3

4

6 7

8

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next person we'll hear from is Jean Hahn.

JEAN HAHN: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead Jean.

JEAN HAHN: Hi, thank you for staying up

so late. My name is Jean Hahn, and I'm a homeowner in Forest Hills, Queens. I am strongly opposed to the City of Yes, which is an ill-conceived plan designed to strip away zoning decisions from local Community Boards because we are not a one-size-fits-all city. There's a saying, never waste a good crisis. Well, City of Yes does this with this zoning proposal. Daylight, air, and open space are important qualityof-life issues which are embedded in our zoning and building codes for a reason. Tossing them to the wayside for City of Yes because we're in a "housing crisis" is akin to throwing the baby out with the bathwater because upzoning does not translate to, or guarantee, more affordable housing. Instead, market and luxury developments get built, pushing low-income residents out. We have all seen examples of this historically and everywhere. If we are truly in a housing crisis, why isn't more being done to put vacant units back on the market where it wouldn't put

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a strain on our aging infrastructure? Where are the plans for new school buildings, hospitals, police departments, utilities, etc., etc.? Will developers be given freebies when our infrastructure is further overburdened? At the end of the day, we know it will fall on taxpayers who are already struggling with current inflation. I'm opposed to the expansion of transit and town center sites, which would negatively affect the historic and contextual fabric of my lowdensity neighborhood, which my neighbors and I pay a hefty premium property tax to live in. I'm opposed to permitting ADUs in basements and rear yards as-ofright. Cramped spaces are not quality of life for neither the residents nor their neighbors, and again, brings up the questions of infrastructure. Legalizing illegal basement conversions does not make the space any safer. I'm opposed to eliminating the parking requirement. Many families in Queens rely on cars because we live in transit deserts. To say we don't need parking is discriminatory against those that need a car to get around and those that live in transit deserts, which are pretty much everywhere outside of high-density areas. One other elephant in the room is the class size law, where five out of the

2 Development. We're on the Upper West Side of 3 Manhattan. We try to support sound development. We 4 strongly oppose this plan because the city needs more affordable housing. This is our main point. But the 5 Mayor's plan completely misses that need, focusing 6 7 instead on a raft of opportunities for developers to crowd their square feet of rentable and purchasable 8 space into our already overcrowded city. This results in a more crowded city and in spaces potentially 10 11 available for affordable housing being consumed by further housing for the rich. More sinisterly, as 12 13 already cited by many other witnesses, it rewards the destruction of now affordable housing with that 14 15 housing to be replaced by lucrative market rate 16 units. Nowhere in the plan is there a mandate for 17 housing that would be affordable to middle class or 18 poor New Yorkers. No such provision whatsoever, 1,300 19 pages. One speaker after another has first supported 20 COY, C-O-Y, and then added that other or 21 complementary plans could address affordable housing. 2.2 Why is the Mayor treating the city's number one need, 2.3 namely affordable housing, as a maybe issue or a number two or number three issue, something we might 24 do in the future after we do this. 25

2.2

2.3

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time 3 has expired.

THOMAS CAFFREY: For developers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next person to testify will be Israel Peskowitz. Israel Peskowitz. Israel, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

ISRAEL PESKOWITZ: Hello, can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

ISRAEL PESKOWITZ: Thank you, guys, so much for staying so late. I'm a fourth-generation New Yorker. I've lived in New York City all my life. I'm a member of a Community Board 8 in Queens, but I speak only for myself. I would very much like to be able to afford to live in the city I grew up in. My parents were only able to afford the mortgage on their small attached house they purchased by renting out the basement. This proposal has many good ideas and a few terrible ones. New York City prides itself on being the most diverse city in the world, yet the Council is proposing a one-size-fits-all zoning. I am not opposed to ADUs, to transit oriented development or town center zoning. I, however, am opposed to the

10

13

14

24

25

671

unnecessary parking requirements in Manhattan and

generational equity. Currently zoning imposes

11 has the same robust transit access as Manhattan and

downtown Brooklyn, pretending the rest of the city

12 therefore doesn't need cars is just as absurd.

(INAUDIBLE) the Queens bus redesign final plan is slated to remove public transit from a neighborhood

15 instead of adding it, but we're still expected to no

longer need cars. Not a single study I've seen has 16

17 cited on cities removing parking requirements looks

18 at the increase in traffic and pollution due to

19 circling for parking or increases in traffic transit

20 times. Closing our eyes and pretending cars don't

21 exist is absurd, if not suicidal. The plan also does

not address the need for infrastructure improvements 2.2

2.3 needed to handle increased population. Most people I

know in my neighborhood have gotten married and have

a few kids and there's not enough housing in their

be honest with you, this plan is laughable. There is

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

nothing here except to give money away to developers, give land away to developers. It's going to ruin the quality of life in New York. I can't even begin to explain how, and I know many other people have already discussed the fact that our infrastructure is aging. We have water mains that are over 100 years old. They are not going to handle more water. They're breaking. They're being unrepaired. We have a transit system that is over 125 years old that's already at max capacity and inexplicably there is cutbacks in bus service. We cannot seem to expand subway service. We have cut back on so many other City services. The Department of Buildings cannot keep up with current construction. The idea that we're going to have an explosion and all of this unmonitored construction seems like a disaster waiting to happen. We've already had a number of basement apartments flood and people get killed. The idea that we're now going to sanction this somehow seems to fly in the face of reason. We have a city that is in deep trouble environmentally because we've paved over so much. We have the heat island effect which will only go up if we continue to allow this kind of building where we continue to pave over all of our property. Air

allow non-profit cultural institutions who own and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are housed in landmark buildings to transfer their unused development rights within an expanded radius. For DCTV, this is a critical matter. DCTV's building has 75,000 square feet of development rights shared 50/50 with the city. However, under the current and proposed City of Yes zoning rules, there are no reception sites available. In 1972, our landmark firehouse was saved from collapse and transformed into a center for documentary education, production, and exhibition. Our mission is to use filmmaking as a tool to catalyze, inform, and empower our communities and, since that time, we've provided over 75,000 New Yorkers with affordable and free filmmaking training and resources. Every year, teens and adults in our programs create award-winning original films that document the critical social issues of our time, and we serve many filmmakers in our new cinema that was opened, Firehouse Cinema, and we screen over 100 independent documentaries every single year, and this is work that we hope to continue far into the future, but the rising costs and declining production income really have endangered our existence in our social services. Council Member Marte's proposed expansion of air rights transfers for landmarks creates income

2.2

2.3

for the City, it supports our community services, and
the creation of desperately needed affordable housing
and so we hope that you will explore and endorse this

5 proposal and thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next panel we're going to call on is Roisen Ford, Armand Smigielski (phonetic).

ROISEN FORD: I read about the City of Yes with great excitement.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Roisen, Roisen,
Roisen, one second. The panel is going to consist of
Roisen Ford, Armand Smigielski, Daniel Fischer, and
Everett Samuel. Roisen, you may begin.

ROISEN FORD: Thank you. Good evening. I read about the City of Yes with great excitement. I know that we need two- and three-bedroom apartments for working families to stay in New York. I'm passionate about that. However, the City of Yes does not address the housing needs of New Yorkers and it is a giveaway to developers. TOD, ADU, and district fixes are not worth the damage they do. We've heard activists grilling Council Members today on the new housing in their Districts. In Flatbush, our 2009 rezoning untapped thousands of spots in our community

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to build and we are fighting to preserve 120-year-old homes that make the character of our community. They don't make these anymore but, if the City of Yes has its way, developers sure will knock them down. Nearly every corner lot in my neighborhood is at risk. Do we criticize a mature redwood forest for having no new trees? Others have claimed that 80 percent of New York voters approve this plan because one poll asked questions like should we "allow homeowners to add small apartments to single one- or two-family homes." No one was asked if we should allow 25-foot-high cottages and yards or if century-old homes on corner lot should be replaced with buildings nearly double the size due to the nearly doubled FAR. That is what TOD, ADU, and district fixes would allow. To the whole City Council, I say fewer than 900 people or 0.0001 percent of New Yorkers do not speak for 80 percent of New York voters. Similar misleading tactics are employed to make people believe that the City of Yes will provide their family relief. Many speakers have spoken (INAUDIBLE) about their own search for housing. This is deeply personal. We all know people affected by this. Families are leaving because the availability and price but also size and

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 678
2	quality of housing. Families moving to New Jersey are
3	not going to stay in New York City if offered the
4	chance to rent a studio over a garage. TOD, ADU, and
5	district fixes are just not worth it. I urge the City
6	Council to vote no on transit-oriented development,
7	vote no on ADUs
8	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time's
9	expired.
10	ROISEN FORD: Exempt neighborhoods. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next
13	person we're going to call on is Armand Smigielski.
14	Armand Smigielski, if you can hear me, you may unmute
15	and you may begin.
16	Okay. I'm going to call on again Carol
17	Donovan. Carol Donovan.
18	Is Carol Donovan still there?
19	CAROL DONOVAN: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead, Ms.
21	Donovan.
22	CAROL DONOVAN: Can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.
24	CAROL DONOVAN: Thank you for giving me
25	the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm President of

2 Richmondtown and Clarke Avenue Civic Association in Staten Island, and we clearly, as many residents of 3 4 communities have said, we really ask you please say no to the City of Yes in its entirety. The City of Yes has no provision for affordable housing. It's 6 only more housing and surely housing at market rate. 7 8 We do not want this to become a slum city with no democracy, no ULURP, no community or Community Board input, no provision for increase in infrastructure 10 11 capacity. The ADUs, they would rob the ability to 12 absorb rain water in backyards. Climate change has 13 made storms much more intense. We need the backyards. 14 SROs, they encourage the transmission of communicable 15 diseases and are very dangerous. Basement apartments, as we've seen, lives were lost in Hurricane Ida. 16 17 Basement apartments are deadly. Attic apartments, 18 too, are dangerous. The Department of Buildings is 19 understaffed to review or investigate that these 20 types of apartments would meet any types of codes. 21 Parking spaces are clearly needed. Staten Island has one train for half a million people, which is 2.2 2.3 laughable if they say they want transit-oriented development. How will the people get to work if 24 there's barely a train service? We have extremely 25

proposal for me. As someone who plans to spend the

Stern.

2 ANDREW STERN: Hi.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Andrew?

ANDREW STERN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right.

ANDREW STERN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You're welcome.

ANDREW STERN: Thank you so much, Chair Riley. My name is Andrew Stern, and I live in Lower Manhattan, where I am proud to be represented on this Council by Council Member Carlina Rivera. I want to thank you all for staying up and hearing out everyone's testimony. Earlier, someone quoted Tim Walz, who I hope will be our next Vice President very soon, and I'd like to bring in Tim Walz's quote of my own. We have permitting that takes too long and prohibits or makes more expensive doing renewable energy projects. I think the same thing applies to housing. We put up barriers to make it more affordable. We've built 12 percent more houses in Minneapolis. Prices went down on rent. It's working. While we're at it, I'd also like to quote something that President Obama said at the DNC back in August. If we want to make it easier for young people to buy a home, we need to build more units and clear out the

income for homeowners struggling to pay their

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yorkers who want to end the scarcity and the stagnation that have had this city in a chokehold for decades. It's a lot of younger folks, folks not that young in their 30s, 40s, parents of young kids, people who are trying to make a life in New York but are often left out of our political processes. I want to point to recent research from Boston University about the over-representation of homeowners and older folks in local politics. This is a quote about local elections. Older homeowners are dramatically overrepresented in local elections. While racial disparities in turnout and representation are consequential, they pale in comparison with homeowner, renter, and age disparities. That applies to our elections here in New York. It applies to our Community Boards. It applies to hearings like this. I would hope that folks think about the voices that aren't in this room and folks who are often disempowered from political processes, people for whom the status quo is not working. I didn't know that I would be able to speak tonight. I was spending my day supporting the presidential campaign. Andrew mentioned how the presidential ticket is fully behind this agenda. I want to remind folks, they may not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

9, which fights to rehabilitate and expand public housing throughout the United States. This has been such a disappointing hearing because so many people are putting oppositions to include and honor the average New Yorkers. This plan does not offer affordable housing to the average New Yorker, and it does not ensure that you will not be discriminated against, face unfair rental increases, and become a fabric of the communities that we're talking about. What does that is public housing under Section 9. NYCHA is the only property manager in New York City that provides truly affordable housing for New Yorkers earning up to 120,000 dollars a year. Our rents are capped at 30 percent of our income. We never face unfair increases. We are supported with tenant development and empowerment leading to union jobs. NYCHA supports BIPOC, the disabled like myself, and elderly New Yorkers, and it gives us talent such as Supreme Court Justice Sotomayor, Marvin Gaye, Nas, and Jay-Z. But it's being disposed of via privatization in New York City through the projectbased Section 8 program and the Trust. In order to revert this housing crisis, I encourage you to invest in Section 9 public housing, repair 7,000 units at a

say it again. 703,000 rent-burdened households.

Households need units for less than 1,100 a month.

24

- 2 Currently, according to the Housing Vacancy Survey,
- 3 | there are only 2,300 available so you've got to
- 4 figure out how to get from 2,300 under 1,100 dollars
- 5 a month to 700,000 under 1,100 dollars a month, and
- 6 that's not my numbers. That's according to the
- 7 Housing Vacancy Survey and ANHD and such. It's all
- 8 available online. Just say no. Have a good night.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You too. Next, we'll
- 10 have Lindsay Lamb.
- 11 LINDSAY LAMB: Hey, I'll try to make this
- 12 quick because, by golly, it's late.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks.
- 14 LINDSAY LAMB: Yeah, I don't know how you
- 15 guys are doing it. I keep getting on and off. But
- 16 really quickly, I'm Lindsay Lamb. I'm from Prospect
- 17 | Park South, and I'm on the Prospect Park South
- 18 | Association. I had a full testimony based on transit-
- 19 oriented development but, realistically, when I've
- 20 | been listening to other people talk, what this has
- 21 really made me realize is one size doesn't fit all
- 22 when it comes to rezoning, and one size never really
- 23 | fits all. I'm 5'2", so everything one size is way too
- 24 | big. This was particularly highlighted with all of
- 25 the parking arguments. Parking is great in some

- 2 places, not great in others. Personally, my biggest
- 3 concern is the transit-oriented development.
- 4 Historically, TOD initiatives have been linked to
- 5 gentrification and displacement from families and
- 6 long-standing community members. We've seen this in
- 7 Austin. We've seen it in Minneapolis. We've seen it
- 8 | in every case that people have talked about transit-
- 9 oriented development. While there is an argument that
- 10 supply increases, it reduces rents, realistically,
- 11 | this is just a land grab for developers. It's by
- 12 developers for developers. And, you know, really just
- 13 | stop there and give you your time back because I
- 14 | think it's way too late for us to keep going, but I
- 15 | think one size fits all is not a good plan for
- 16 anyone.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Lindsay.
- 18 Next, we're going to have Sarah McKenna.
- 19 SARAH MCKENNA: Thank you so much. I'm
- 20 | Sarah McKenna. I'm calling in from Victorian
- 21 | Flatbush. I want to echo the voices that have come
- 22 | before me today. The city needs affordable housing,
- 23 | but this is not a plan to achieve that. The City of
- 24 Yes plan has no mandates for affordable housing, but
- 25 it does meet the needs of developers for more land.

2 It does not present a viable solution to an issue 3 that is becoming more pressing every year. I'm 4 calling in, as I said, from Flatbush, from Victorian Flatbush, and as a homeowner, I would likely profit 5 from this plan, right? I could expand my home. I 6 7 could put rental units in my garage and my basement, which both flood regularly. There would really be no 8 requirement for me to charge affordable rents for those spaces, and there would be no incentive for me 10 11 to fix up those spaces unless I expected a higher 12 rent. That's basically the plan that you guys have presented us with, and it doesn't make any sense. 13 We've worked together as a community to put forward 14 15 the 2009 rezoning plan for our neighborhood, and it 16 did result, as many have said before me, in 2,000 new 17 units. It was seen as a great success, and it was the 18 outcome of a community working together. One question I have is, why aren't we taking advantage of the 19 20 massive real estate opportunity we have after the 21 pandemic lockdown in Midtown Manhattan, where we have huge office buildings that are going underutilized 2.2 2.3 and a societal shift that's not going to change? Why don't we put the developers to work to reimagine 24 25 those huge buildings to create more livable,

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

affordable spaces for our New Yorkers so that we can really solve this problem? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to call on Joan Gilbert. Joan Gilbert?

JOAN GILBERT: Yes. Good evening. Thank you so very much. Thanks for staying up so late.

Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You're welcome.

JOAN GILBERT: So, my name is Joan Gilbert, and I'm a resident of Flatlands. I'm in District 46. Flatlands is a southeast section of Brooklyn, and this section of Brooklyn boasts the largest homeowner occupancy in Brooklyn. Most of the houses are one and two families. These were homes in generation, from different generation to generation, and one of the things from the City of Yes Housing is going to do is strip families from generational wealth. Owning your home is one of the ways that generational wealth is created. The other issue with the plan is that there are lots of proposals for building and building, but there aren't any mandates for infrastructure. We, in this area, in Flatlands, we have an electrical grid problem. We also have flooding issues, and it's not just when it's a

going to be sleeping good. Hopefully that the City

2.2

2.3

Council will be voting for Yes on City of Yes, and that's my testimony, and I'll let the next one speak.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you. Next, we're going to call on Peter Tooma.

PETER TOOMA: Hi, everyone. My name is

Peter Tooma. I live in Bedside, Brooklyn, New York,

District 36, and I am likewise here to express my

support for City of Yes. I think this, as everyone

has said, New York City lacks housing and or housing

supply, and I think it's hurting the dynamism of the

city so this is a great start to get us on a path to

build more supply, which will push rents down, and I

also think it provides alternative housing for people

that need it and for people that can do alternative

accommodations so I'm here to express my yes for City

of Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to call on Neil Miller.

NEIL MILLER: Great. Thank you so much.

Just a quick comment since it's late. I support the

City of Yes plan. Not like it really matters, but I'm

a fourth-generation New Yorker. My parents grew up in

Queens and Whitestone in Jamaica, and the homes that

they lived in provided an opportunity for my family

of Open New York, a non-profit pro-housing

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

going to hear from Zachary Thomas.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ZACHARY THOMAS: Hi, everyone. I'm Zachary Thomas. I'm a member of Manhattan Community Ward 3. I'm also on the Parks Committee, but I'm here speaking on my own behalf. I'm also a deacon at 87th Street Baptist Church nearby Thompson Square Park. We recently celebrated 50 years serving East Village and Alphabet City. We are a neighborhood church, although many of our members come from deep Brooklyn and Queens to go to church on Sunday morning. We just had a young couple move out of the city due to high housing costs to move in with a family member out of state. Institutions like my church need housing reform like City of Yes to ensure the church has

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

you. Good night.

Bagga. Amit Bagga.

steady membership for another 50 years. National
leaders like President Biden and Vice President
Harris have made it clear we need to build more
housing to address our housing crisis, and I agree
with lifelong New Yorkers like (INAUDIBLE). We need
City of Yes. Please vote Yes in its full form. Thank

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next panel I'm going to call up is Amit Bagga, Victoria Hillstrom, and Charles Ober. We'll begin with Amit

AMIT BAGGA: Good evening, Council Member Riley and the Committee. I don't know if you can see me. If you can't, I will be happy to speak to you nonetheless. My name is Amit Bagga. I am a very proud resident of Sunnyside, Queens, and I am a native New Yorker. I was born and raised, Council Member Riley, very close to your Council District in the great neighborhood of Pelham Gardens in the Bronx, a one-to-three-family-zoned neighborhood, very much like the one that are the types of neighborhoods where most of this opposition is coming from. I would argue it's actually even a little bit nicer than some of the neighborhoods where some of this opposition is

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

coming from. I first want to just quickly start out by thanking the great, noble, and brilliant public servants, Leila Bozorg, Genevieve Michel, Deputy Mayor Maria Torres-Springer, and Chair Garodnik for their tireless service over many decades for this city. They have been working on housing issues for a long time. This is a plan that is incredibly well thought out and puts New Yorkers at the heart of what it does. I have had the great honor and pleasure of serving the people of New York over 17 years in public service, federal, city, and state government, but I am speaking to you today entirely in a personal capacity. My parents, who are now in their 80s, fled a very horrific war in 1947 where they had to cross borders and ultimately found their way to New York City and to the Bronx in the early 1970s. My mother was a psychiatric social worker who served the State of New York for nearly 50 years. My father was a junior engineer. They were able to buy a home in Pelham Gardens at the very end of the 1970s with the incomes that they had at the time precisely because New York City, in that moment, was providing a sufficient amount of housing for the people who were seeking lives and livelihoods that were better than

unit buildings. We did have a very substantial number

am a noted designer. My work has been published. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

panel I'm going to call on is Nicholas Oo, and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there's an unidentified iPhone user. Can you please
use the raise hand function so we can submit you into
the Zoom so you can testify?

We'll begin first with Nicholas Oo.

NICHOLAS 00: Hey, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

NICHOLAS OO: Hi, my name is Nicholas Oo.

I support the City of Yes Housing Opportunity. I live in Astoria. I immigrated to New York more than a decade ago. I'm a citizen voter. It's simple. We need to build more housing to slow rent growth and lower rents. Minneapolis rents went down when they built more. Austin rents went down in the past year because they built more. Minneapolis rents went down 10 percent because they got rid of parking mandates. If we want people of all walks of life, all backgrounds to be able to live here, we need to build more housing. We're currently in a game of musical chairs. There aren't enough chairs for everyone, and the highest bidders get the chairs. We need to have more chairs. We just need to get out of the way and not try to slow things down. We need to get things to go fast. There's no time to slow down. We're in a halfmillion-unit shortage, and this will get us 100,000,

2 which is a good start, and we just need to do more.

3 | Thank you. I support this plan.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We're getting more people that are signing up, so the next person is Kevin LaCherra. Kevin LaCherra, if you can hear me, please unmute.

KEVIN LACHERRA: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

KEVIN LACHERRA: Great. Thank you very much. Yeah, thank you very much. I'm just here to state my support for the City of Yes. My name is Kevin LaCherra. I'm a fourth-generation Green Pointer and a fifth-generation New Yorker. My great-greatgrandparents were Italians who came through Castle Garden 145 years ago next week. At the time, there were plenty of people in the city who opposed them being here, and I thank God every day that New York City had a space for them, and I thank God that New York City has a space for me. I live in Green Point. I'm a proud Green Pointer. Green Point currently has a population of about 42,000 people. When my grandpa was born here in 1924, there were over 75,000 people living in Green Point, and our city has always been a place where people have been able to make their home,

2.2

2.3

build their lives, and I think that's what this is ultimately about, the ability for our city to remain what it has always been, right, you know, which is that beacon, the Statue of Liberty, the shining city on the hill, and all that so very heavily in support of City of Yes. Thank you all for sticking with this hearing as long as you have. I just got in from a long day so I'm glad it's still going, and thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There is an unidentified iPhone user, number ending in 5872. If your number is ending in 5872, please use the star 9 function to raise your hand, and then the star 6 function to unmute, and also there is a Zoom user. You have 30 seconds.

The next panelist we're going to call up is Eric Miao.

ERIC MIAO: Hi. Thank you for doing this so late. I just wanted to call and speak on behalf of the proposal. So, I grew up in apartments. I've lived in New York now for 17 years. I help run a business that employs about a thousand people, and I think the number one issue that we see is people want a space to be able to live that is affordable, to be able to

parking. There's nothing preventing them from doing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 708 2 that. And it will actually, well, recent studies have 3 shown that removing parking mandates can 4 significantly boost the production of affordable 5 units, increased by, like, 36 percent in areas where parking requirements were lifted, according to a 6 Regional Plan Association study that came out just a 8 few years ago. It's also just important that we collectively nudge ourselves towards using public transit. Third, UAP combined with state incentives is 10 11 a huge game-changer. If we'd had UAP in place 10 12 years ago, it would be like we had built another 13 year's worth... 14 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time's expired. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next 17 panelists we're going to call up are Pedro Rodriguez, 18 Peter Estes, and the Unknown Caller, ending at 1578. 19 Pedro Rodriguez, you may unmute and you may begin. 20 PEDRO RODRIGUEZ: Hi, can you hear me? 21 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you. 2.2 PEDRO RODRIGUEZ: Hi, thank you so much 2.3 for the opportunity. My name is Pedro Rodriguez, and I have lived in New York City for over two decades. I 24

currently live in Forest Hills, Queens. I am a

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

father, a husband, a Dominican immigrant, and a member of Queens Community Ward 6. My parents moved here in the '90s, and I came here in 2002 at the age of 12. I lived in Section 8 in the Bronx until they moved out of my parents' place after college. I was the first member of my family to go to college, which helped me buy a place in Forest Hills in 2019, but the rest of my family couldn't, and they left New York City in large part because of the housing crisis. I've lost many friends over the years, and now my current friends are considering leaving because of the housing crisis. This is something every New Yorker has experienced, even those who oppose more housing. For this reason, I support City of Yes, especially the part of lifting parking minimums. I mean, I get it. I am a driver myself, so I get the frustration of not finding parking when you need it, but keeping parking minimums does not help with that. They increase the number of cars in the city, making traffic worse and making housing more expensive. Instead, the City should increase scalable transportation options, such as bus lanes and micromobility. I get that current residents get to vote, such as myself, but let's not forget that we depend

UNIDENTIFIED: Oh, I...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, go ahead.

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

2 UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you. I'm just
3 listening. I don't know why I'm showing up as a
4 speaker, but I appreciate your time this evening.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we're going to call on 1578. 1578, please press star six.

1578, can you please press star six?

Okay, we're going to go to the Zoom user.

Zoom user, can you please unmute yourself? Zoom user,
can you please unmute yourself?

Okay, we're going to go to David Gordon on Zoom. David Gordon, can you please unmute yourself?

DAVID GORDON: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

DAVID GORDON: Okay, thank you so much. I just want to reiterate my support for the City of Yes zoning proposal. This is the biggest proposal for upzoning and zoning for new housing in at least half a century. This will be incredible for young people like myself, who though I am lucky enough to live in New York City now, I don't know if I'll be able to live in New York City forever given the high cost of rent. I especially want to bring attention like the

2.2

2.3

previous speaker to eliminating parking minimums. We know from research and from just looking around our already congested city that cars are one of the biggest things that are in the way of housing, and it's a shame that for the city with the best transit system in America and one of the best transit systems in the world that we still mandate that apartments have housing. Thank you so much for this testimony. I very much encourage my Council Member and all of the Council Members on this Committee and in the City Council to vote yes on City of Yes. This is the most important vote they will take this year. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel is excused. We're going to go to Raul Rivera in Chambers. Raul, you may begin. You have two minutes, Raul.

RAUL RIVERA: Good evening. My name is
Raul Rivera. I'm a native New Yorker. I want to talk
about the 1964 Civil Rights Act, discrimination,
public spaces, and housing. If any Council Member is
for the City of Yes, they are discriminating against
the New Yorker. That's how I feel. I also want to
address Council Member Salamanca. He is the Chair.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Raul, it's midnight.

3 Please keep it to...

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RAUL RIVERA: I reclaim my time. I'm going to.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Go ahead.

RAUL RIVERA: You're referring all the testimony that people submit to his Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

RAUL RIVERA: I want to speak on the fact that he is the Chair to the Committee on Land Use, and Eric Adams is indicted right now, and this is his proposal, right, the City of Yes, and I think he's gaslighting people, and I don't know why we have so much testimony when he's under indictment, and this is his program, this is his proposal. This should not pass. It should not pass at all, and the fact that also I want to bring out the fact that Salamanca's wife received a job, right, she received a job, and it's questionable there because Salamanca is the Chair to the Committee on Land Use, and we reported him to the Conflict of Interest Board. He knows it. I was here, and I testified against that, so it's very suspect the way Adams is going, the way Salamanca, his wife is getting a job, and he's the Chair to the

- 2 Committee on Land Use. We just want to put that out
- 3 | there. If you're a City Council member and you're for
- 4 | the City of Yes, you're not for the New Yorker.
- 5 You're discriminating against us, and you're
- 6 | violating the 1964 Civil Rights Act. You might not
- 7 | think that's true, but that is exactly what's
- 8 | happening. I was here this morning, and what we had
- 9 outside there was nothing but non-profits. HTC,
- 10 Richard Morocco, all these non-profits were there,
- 11 and they're nothing but lobbyists, and we say no to
- 12 the City of Yes today, tomorrow, forever, and I'll
- 13 | have you know, too, I am currently homeless. I am a
- 14 | homeless New Yorker trying to survive in the city I
- 15 was born in, and it's disgusting. In this City
- 16 | Council, we have blacks, we have Puerto Ricans, we
- 17 | have Dominicans, and it's our own people failing us,
- 18 ∥ our own people. It's not the white man. It's not
- 19 | white supremacy, and it's not Donald Trump. It's our
- 20 own people.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, we're going to
- 22 stand at ease for about 30 seconds to see if anyone
- 23 else is going to pop in and testify or sign up online
- 24 and testify.

_

All right, there being no one else who signed up to testify in person or online, I will proceed to close this hearing.

As a reminder, if you were not able to testify today, you can submit written testimony over the next three days by submitting an email to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

That concludes a very long but very informative hearing. It was critical that we hear from all of you about this important proposal to address the housing shortage that the city is facing and the need for more affordable housing. We will take into consideration everything that was said today in evaluating the Administration's proposal over the next month.

I would like to thank the members of the public who took the time out, stayed in here to testify, stayed online to testify, my Colleagues that came here today. A special shoutout to the Subcommittee Counsel and the Land Use Team that are still here and the Council Staff that are still here. A special shoutout to DCP that's still here as well. I also want to give a huge shoutout to the Sergeant-at-Arms who did a tremendous job today. We really

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES truly appreciate you all. A special shoutout to Chelsea Kelly, the Deputy Director of Planning and Land Use and her intake team, and for everyone else who participated in today's hearing, we could have not done it without you. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 30, 2024