CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

----- X

June 24, 2024 Start: 1:11 p.m. Recess: 3:44 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Carmen N. De La Rosa, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik D. Bottcher Eric Dinowitz Yusef Salaam

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Joseph Borelli Rita C. Joseph Joann Ariola Kristy Marmorato

APPEARANCES

Dan Pollak, First Deputy Commissioner at New York City Office of Labor Relations

Georgette Gestely, Director of Employee Benefits Program at New York City Office of Labor Relations

Claire Cammarata, Director of Employee Assistance Program at New York City Office of Labor Relations

Claire Levitt, Deputy Commissioner for Health Strategy at New York City Office of Labor Relations

Katrina Porter, Deputy Commissioner, Chief Human Capital Officer, at New York City Department of Citywide Administrative Services

Jude Pierre, Fire Department of New York

Sal Maita, Fire Department of New York

Jack Wei Lin, Fire Department of New York

Tom LaPolla, Fire Department of New York

Michael Hulkower, New York City Department of Sanitation

Alfonso Ventura, New York City Department of Education

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Trinidad Smith, New York City Department of Education

Michael Kane, Teachers for Choice

Marlon Bethel, New York Police Department

Christine Gross, New York City Department of Education

Michael Tracy, Uniformed Fire Officers Association

Mowuli (Moe) Olivierre, New York City Department of Education

Edmund Wallace, self

Anastasia Christopoulos, New York City Department of Education

Jean Jean, New York City Department of Education

Krista O'Dea, Bravest for Choice

Zena Wouadjou, New York City Department of Education

Javier Vazquez, Fire Department of New York

the administration of City benefits for current

following three employee benefit programs. The

municipal employees. This hearing will center on the

23

24

2 | Employee Assistance Program, which provides

3 | education, information, counseling, and

4 individualized referrals to assist with a wide range

5 of personal and social problems; the Management

6 Benefit Fund, which provides a range of supplemental

7 benefits, including dental and vision insurance; and

8 the Flexible Spending Accounts, which allow employees

9 to set aside a portion of their pre-tax income into

10 accounts maintained for certain health and dependent

11 care expenses.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A competitive employee benefits package is crucial to support the current employees and to strengthen the City's ability to recruit top talent. However, a strong benefits package is only part of the equation. The real challenge lies in ensuring that New York City employees can fully realize their benefits. Given that employee benefit programs are a crucial tool for the recruitment and retention of staff in the municipal workforce, it is imperative that such benefits are not just simply offered on paper, but are accessible to employees in a meaningful way. The Committee is concerned that the administration of these programs is plagued with unnecessary processing delays, technicalities, and

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2 other bureaucratic problems that can frustrate

3 employees' attempts to realize benefits or deter

4 employees from seeking benefits altogether.

5 Additionally, many employees may benefit from

6 receiving more comprehensive instruction about the

7 programs.

2.2

2.3

At this hearing, the Committee's focus is to gain a deeper understanding of OLR's efforts to not only create employee benefits, but also the critical role it plays in facilitating their accessibility and usability for employees.

In addition to today's oversight topic, we will be hearing the following legislation. Pre-Considered Intro., sponsored by myself, related to OLR's Administration of City Benefits; Intro. 265, sponsored by Council Member Joseph, which would call upon the municipal agencies to expedite the health insurance coverage processing for employees who transfer from one agency to another and, finally, Resolution 5, sponsored by Minority Leader Borelli, which would call upon the State Legislature to pass legislation regarding the reinstatement of employees who were terminated pursuant to the vaccine mandate.

2.2

2.3

I'd like to thank Committee Staff for their hard work in preparing for this hearing, Policy Analyst Elizabeth Arzt and Legislative Counsel Rie Ogasawara. I'd also like to thank my Staff, Chief-of-Staff James, Legislative Director Kiana Diaz, and Communications Director Fraynette Familia.

I would like to recognize that we've been joined by my Colleagues, Council Members Joseph,
Ariola, Minority Leader Borelli, Council Member
Bottcher and, on Zoom, Council Members Moya, Menin, and Cabán.

I now turn to Council Member Rita Joseph, who is present to make a statement on her bill, Intro 265.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, Chair

De La Rosa. I am excited to introduce Bill 265 as

being heard in the Council, a local law to amend the

Administrative Code of New York City about health

insurance for City employees. The bill mandates that

each agency must expedite process to ensure

continuous health coverage for employees transferring

between City agencies, preventing any lapse during

transition. This issue is particularly close to my

heart. Before becoming a Council Member, I served as

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR
                                                        8
2
     a New York City public school teacher for 22 years.
3
     When I transitioned to the Council, I experienced
     change in my benefits, including disruption in my
4
     health coverage. As someone who had open heart
5
     surgery, maintaining my health insurance is vital. In
6
7
    New York City, employees moving from one job to
8
     another should not face any interruption in their
    health insurance as this can jeopardize their well-
    being. This bill would make sure that it doesn't
10
11
     happen anymore. When I showed up for my cardiology
12
     appointment, I was told that I had no health
13
     coverage. 2008, I had open heart surgery, and I do
14
     follow a yearly basis with my cardiologist and, come
15
     to find out, when I became a Council Member, I had no
    health insurance when I showed up at my cardiologist.
16
17
     I wouldn't want this experience to happen to anyone
18
    moving from one City agency to another. I'm moving
19
     from New York City public schools to New York City
20
     Council, not from a State to City agency, but from
21
     City to City so that's what this bill would do. Thank
2.2
     you, Council Member, Chair De La Rosa, for
2.3
     considering my bill today, and I'm eager to hear
     feedback from the City agencies and representatives.
24
```

I will ask questions as needed, but my goal is to see

2.2

2.3

2 this bill passed in this Committee. Thank you, Chair
3 De La Rosa.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you,

Council Member Joseph, and also for your advocacy and sharing your story.

I want to recognize that we've also been joined by Council Member Salaam. Welcome.

I will now turn it over to Minority
Leader Joseph Borelli, who is present to make a
statement on Reso. 5.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you for indulging us by giving us this opportunity to have this hearing about an issue that, frankly, I think most people would have assumed we would not still be talking about in 2024. I had some prepared remarks, but today when I got here and I saw all of the people here to testify on this Resolution about the vaccine mandates, I was struck by the irony in that you folks aren't in your firehouses or your schools or your DOT garages or wherever, and you're not there because you're some sort of cootie-ridden plague carrier or something, and yet here you are, and not one of you was stopped before coming into City Hall. Not one of you was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

asked whether you were vaccinated. Not one of you was required to put on a mask. Our friends here from the Administration, although not responsible for this policy, I noticed none of them are in spacesuits out of fear that they might contract some horrible disease from you, and yet you are terminated from your employment, which for all of you was exemplary and fine. None of you are terminated for any other reasons. You're terminated because you refused to take a vaccine so you can't go to your firehouse, you can't go to your school, you can't go to your DEP plant, but here you are just feet away from the Mayor's Office. You just walk through the room where all of the policies of the City are made. You're in a Committee Room where policy for the entire City is routinely discussed and made. You're in essentially, you're in the nerve center, the heartbeat of the City, and there's no problem with you here, but because of a wrong policy that was implemented, a wrong policy that was implemented. That is the only reason you don't have your job, and now it's easy to say because we know it was a wrong policy. If we look at the timeline, December 6, 2021, Mayor de Blasio announces a vaccine mandate. February 11th, a few

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

months later, 1,780 City employees, some of them are here, were fired due to noncompliance with the COVID vaccine mandate. Just six months later to the day, August 11th, six months. Now, I mean, just think about how many terrible policies are made in this building that go way longer than six months. All the time. We make bad policy professionally here, and it stays in place for years, but this policy was so fundamentally flawed and so stupid that the CDC, the follow-the-science people, the brain surgeons, literally, they said, oh no, no, no. The CDC issues new guidance, acknowledging that vaccines do not prevent community spread, acknowledging that their own recommendations no longer ask for mass vaccination policies, concluding "there are no public health benefits sweeping COVID-19 vaccine mandates." Meaning there is no benefit for us to having this policy and, at that point, or really September 20th, when the Mayor acknowledged that we're no longer to have a vaccine policy going forward, this would have been the great time to say, hey, you know what, we had this COVID crisis, we did the best we could, we followed the science but, when the science changed, we changed our policy. That would have been the right

workers that had resigned, retired, or terminated for

2.2

2.3

2 non-compliance with the COVID-19 vaccine mandate.

Many people do not know that there was no protocol in place when the mandates were lifted that dictated how New York City workers would be brought back into the workforce. Some were asked to sign waivers for their civil service rights while others were in the exact same position, or similarly situated, and they were asked to sign a waiver. The State bill seeks to allow any worker who wishes to return to be able to do so just as many before them have returned.

In February of 2023, the COVID-19 vaccine mandate was lifted. Many were really so finally excited, especially us, so that you would be able to return to work, the job that you loved, and after you had helped people during the entire COVID-19 crisis and shutdown but, for many, that excitement quickly faded. Workers were not told how to reapply. There wasn't any outreach being done by agencies to guide those who had been separated from their City employment, and there was no protocol that had been outlined for agencies to reinstate the workers. Some were getting answers to emails from their former supervisors while others were not. The Law Department seemed to act in a silo on many of these issues, and

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you will hear about them today. With no rhyme or reason, they made their decisions. You will hear from firefighters who were similarly situated, who were named on the same lawsuit, one of which has been reinstated with the Department since May of 2023, and one who continues to sit in limbo with no income, waiting to return to the Department he bravely served for 16 years. You will hear about cases where Article 78 lawsuits were won and City workers were reinstated immediately, while others, similarly situated and won their Article 78, still remained separated from City service, leaving them to have to find new ways to provide for their families and pay their bills. You will hear from those who retired not having to sign a waiver even if they were a complainant on an active lawsuit, and they were reinstated while others were not given that ability so what Reso. 5 does is support the State's agenda that there is a policy that is followed that is equitable for all our municipal workers so that they can come back to work. I have worked with many of the City employees from many different agencies to help them get back to work. We worked with the Law Department, and we oftentimes could not find an answer as to why some

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

15

were being brought back and some weren't, and we were given a complete runaround and, imagine, we sitting here, as the Minority Leader stated, we're the policymakers, yet there was no policy so that is why we sit here today. This is not a mandate bill. This is not an anti-vaccine bill. This is a Resolution for municipal employees to receive the same equity as others did to get back to work, back to their jobs, back to providing for their families, back to teaching our children, back to being able to work for Sanitation, and for the DOT, and for the Fire Department, and for Police Department, and any other municipal office and agency when we know that there was a reduced headcount, and there is no one to fill those positions. We need this Resolution to pass, and we need Albany to do their job and pass the legislation at hand. Thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much to you both for your statements and your continued advocacy on this issue as well.

We will now hear testimony from representatives of OLR, and I now turn to the Committee Counsel to administer the oath for this panel of Administration officials, including

Labor Relations, and I thank you for the opportunity

- 2 to testify today. I'm here with Georgette Gestely,
- 3 Director of City Employee Benefits Program; Claire
- 4 | Camerata, the Director of the City Employee
- 5 Assistance Program; and for Q and A, Claire Levitt,
- 6 Deputy Commissioner for Health Strategy; and Katrina
- 7 Porter, Deputy Commissioner for Human Capital at the
- 8 Department of Citywide Administrative Services.
- 9 I will first provide an overview of the
- 10 | benefits OLR administers then turn it over to my
- 11 | colleagues to provide greater detail, particularly
- 12 | regarding the Management Benefits Fund, Flexible
- 13 | Spending Account, and Employee Assistance Program. I
- 14 | will conclude OLR's testimony with some observations
- 15 on the legislation before the Committee.
- The Employee Benefits Program consists of
- 17 | five separate programs, the Management Benefits Fund,
- 18 known as MBF, the Flexible Spending Accounts Program,
- 19 commonly known as FSA, the Deferred Compensation
- 20 | Plan, the New York City Employee Individual
- 21 Retirement Account, and the Health Benefits Program.
- 22 | The Employee Assistance Program operates separately
- 23 from Employee Benefits Program as does WorkWell NYC,
- 24 | the City's workplace wellness program.

The largest program is our Health

Benefits Program, which covers approximately 1

million active employees, pre-Medicare retirees and

their dependents, and over 200,000 Medicare-eligible

retirees and dependents through our health insurance

plans. The 95 percent of City employees who are

unionized have access to additional benefits through

their union welfare funds. These funds provide

benefits such as dental and vision insurance,

frequently prescription drug coverage, as well as

other benefits. They're funded through contributions

made by the City to the funds, the amount of which is

negotiated through collective bargaining.

Non-represented City employees receive additional benefits through the Management Benefit Fund, which is administered by OLR. Similar to welfare funds, MBF receives a contribution per employee and retiree, similar to the amounts received by union welfare funds, and uses those funds to purchase ongoing benefits for non-unionized employees.

I'll now turn it over to our Director of Employee Benefits, Georgette Gestely, to provide

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 additional details about our Employee Benefit
3 Programs.

DIRECTOR GESTELY: Thank you very much.

It's a pleasure to be here. If we can go to the next slide, please.

I'd like to go over what First Deputy Commissioner Pollack just talked about as far as the Employee Benefits Program is concerned. If you would look at that monitor, then you will see that the Health Benefits Program, the Management Benefits Fund, Flexible Spending, Deferred Comp, and the NICE IRA. The first three are listed in the order in which employees use them. The very first thing that you use is the Health Benefits Program. If you have out-ofpocket expenses after that as a manager, then you can go to the Management Benefits Program after paying a 500-dollar deductible, then you get 90 percent of that money back. If you still have out-of-pocket medical expenses, then you go to the Flexible Spending Program, including the deductible that you just paid so that's the order in which this is used. What is not there is the Pension Plan, which is not an Employee Benefits Program and not at OLR, but it is tied to the Health Benefits Program. In order to

2 get retiree health benefits, you must be receiving a

3 pension. If you can just imagine a pension bullet

4 there and then looping back up to the Health Benefits

5 Program, that's how that ties in.

2.2

2.3

Employee Benefits Program utilizes,
particularly with regard to health benefits, various
ways of communicating with participants. We reach out
to the agency reps on a monthly basis. We send email
blasts to employees about new benefits. We have open
enrollment for both Employee Benefit, the Health
Benefits Program, and Flexible Spending. There is a
very, very active OLR website where participants can
get all of their communication on every program.

Pursuant to Executive Order 99 of January 3, 2007, Consolidation of Health Benefits Program Assistance, the function of providing support to agency employees and the Office of Labor Relations in furtherance of the administration of the City's Health Benefits Program was centralized at DCAS, the Bureau of NYCAPS Central. When employees from centralized agencies have issues regarding health insurance, their first point of contact is either their human resource departments or NYCAPS Central and, when employees from non-centralized agencies,

DIRECTOR GESTELY: Yes, that's the one.

OLR monitors the carrier's performance and claims

data, administers MBF and flexible spending as we

said, operates the retiree health benefits enrollment

and call center, communicates issues to HR and

payroll, and advocates for all City employees and

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

retirees with health carriers when they come to us with questions. DCAS operates active health benefits enrollments and central agencies, supports noncentral agencies with health benefits inquiries, and creates new hire onboarding orientation materials.

Finally, the agency HR reps, they are the ones who distribute the benefits information and materials to employees. They provide benefit information to all new hires and to onboarding process, act as the first point of contact on questions, and bring those questions to OLR for clarification. As I'm going through this, if you have a question, please stop me, and I'm happy to go further into it, so let's go to the next slide.

OLR is the primary point of contact for retirees, as I said, regarding the health benefits insurance and to better serve our retirees. In 2022, we received funding to launch a dedicated call center staffed by OLR employees. That now handles 85,000 phone calls. 50 percent of those are related to enrollment, 40 percent are related to Medicare Part B reimbursement, IRMA reimbursement. The remaining calls are related to retiree health coverage.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

Management Benefits Fund, and that's this slide.

First Deputy Commissioner Pollack went through these.

Let me go through them again. The Management Benefits

Fund includes dental, vision, long-term disability,

basic life insurance, superimposed major medical,

health and fitness, group universal life. There was a

question, as I went through the list of benefits, I

said if you're a manager, this is where you go as a

second level. Well, if you're not a manager, then you

go to your union, to the welfare fund. Flexible

spending, everybody goes to. But that's the

equivalent to the Management Benefits Fund. If you

could go to the next slide, please.

Management Benefits Fund procures its service vendors through an RFP process. The dental vendor, the vision vendor, those are actually contractors of the fund who pay the claims, receive the claims, and have the contact with employees. It is done through an RFP process on a rotating basis, contracts of five years. We have, in the last 18 months, switched out both the dental and the vision carriers because they were better carriers in the field and, in the last four years, we also went

2.2

2.3

through an RFP process with the (INAUDIBLE) major medical carrier who was rehired. The vendors are selected based on their experience, their service levels, the breadth of their networks, and their costs. The Committee Members of the Procurement Committee are those who are members of the Management Benefits Advisory Committee, and all of these service contracts have performance guarantees built into the contract, how quickly they answer calls, how quickly they pay their claims, and so on. That's all contractually specified. Just to give you an idea of numbers, if you'd go to the next slide, please.

The SMMP, over the last 12 months, has processed almost 13,000 claims and answered 4,600 phone calls. That is the carrier. That is ASO. Their carrier has done that. Dental, we had 96,743. You can see that that's the primary program in the MBF, and there were 10,791 calls. Vision, dental, by the way, we just switched out and we now are with ASO as well. Vision, total claims processed, 17,478 last year. Total calls, 5,850, and that also has a new carrier, GVS. The Health and Fitness Reimbursement Program does not have a carrier. That is handled in-house, and you can see that the total claims processed by

Reimbursement Program provides reimbursement for both

2 active and retired members, as well as their spouses

3 and domestic partners. You can use a fitness program.

4 You can use Citi Bike, yoga, any number of programs.

5 Effective March 1, 2024, the reimbursement has gone

6 to 500 dollars for each consecutive six-month period

7 or 1,000 dollars a year. Last year, there were, as I

8 said, 68,837, 1,600 calls.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Flexible Spending Program, which is the next slide that we're going to go to, consists of two spending accounts so what happens is a participant decides to enroll in one of these programs during the open enrollment period, which is in the fall. It's always for the following January 1, and there is an open enrollment every year. The participant is signing up for a single year and then has to re-enroll. There are two kinds. There is the HCFSA program, which has 4,700 participants, and there is the DCAP program, which has 1,500. HCFSA, as I said, is the Flexible Spending Program, which is a third level, the last payer, for whatever is left over after the health benefits and after the union or MBF has paid. Whatever is left over, you can bring here. The DCAP program is for childcare expenses. The participation is very low, as you can see, and that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is because, if they don't join this program, people can take exactly the same credit on their tax return at the end of the year so the only benefit here is that you get the money a little bit sooner, but the benefit exists even without this program. The way that the claims are processed... Is that the next slide? I'm sorry. I didn't go through these numbers. In the HCFSA program last year, there were a total of 28,059 claims processed. There were 18,500 phone calls handled and 6,500 emails responded to. The way that the claims are processed is that if we receive a claim by the 25th of the month, that claim is paid in the month following, the last week of that month so it is batched and paid on a monthly basis. That's the process. What you have here is the way that people can submit their claims through the LEAP file. Again, this LEAP file was put in during the COVID time. Before that, people just sent us mail. All of that went away. The whole world, not just the City of New York, but the whole world went electronic in communication. You started to communicate with your bank electronically and everybody else. We try to communicate the same way. Participants submit their forms here. They get an immediate confirmation saying

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we have received their claims as soon as they submit those then participants receive confirmation of their claims. Once the claims are processed, they receive quarterly statements and they receive annual statements. The rules that govern these two programs are all Internal Revenue Service. They are under Section 125 of the Internal Revenue Code. The minimums and maximums are set by that. The way that claims are processed are set by that. Specifically within HCFSA, and I know that this is something that comes up again and again, people don't like this. In order to be reimbursed in HCFSA, you must show documentation of the fact that you have out-of-pocket costs. You have to show the original bill. You have to show what the healthcare carrier paid. You have to show what your secondary carrier paid. Once we have that information, we can process. It's a lot of paperwork. People don't like to fill it out. It's not our rule. It's the IRS rule.

Just to talk a little bit about our website. Most participants and most employees get information from the website. We make sure that website not only is current, but is very user friendly. We especially are proud of our videos, I

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have to say, because we try to make them

3 entertaining. We try to make them animated. We try to

4 engage people. We try to keep them short. There are

5 multiple web videos about the Flexible Spending

6 Program. If you go out and click on one, then you

7 | will see it. There are worksheets. There are

8 calculators. All are there on the website to help

9 | individuals calculate their annual expenses and their

10 potential SAC savings, so that when they are joining

11 | these programs, there is not a surprise at the end.

12 | Finally, if I could ask for the last slide. Moving

13 | forward. We talked about that. Next slide, please.

These are projects and improvements that I wanted to talk about. We are working on an FSA portal. We understand that participants want to see what their balance is, what has been paid, what has not been paid, and so on. They want to see their claim. In the early part of 2025, that portal will exist. Participants will be able to check all of that directly. That is what we are working on right now. We are continuing to maintain a schedule of onsite agency presentations, both for FSA and for MBF. As a matter of fact, the City Council presentation from

both MBF and FSA are scheduled for September for the

social problems have a serious impact on the health

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 employment, welfare, and social life of the
3 individual, the individual's family, co-workers, and

individual, the individual 5 lamily, co workers, and

4 \parallel the community. With this in mind, Executive Order 46

5 was issued in 1992, establishing municipal EAPs to

6 address these issues that New York City employees may

7 face and to provide support and counseling to assist

8 them in seeking help and recovery. New York City has

9 an extensive network of agency and union-based EAPs

10 providing services to all City employees. Each EAP

11 offers distinct services based on employees' needs,

12 | but all the programs work in concert with one another

13 to best serve all employees.

Relations Employee Assistance Program, the largest of all New York City's EAPs, currently provides services to New York City non-uniform Mayoral agencies, New York City Housing Authority, New York City Health and Hospitals, and the Department of Correction and then, in early 2020, the EAP extended its program to the New York City Department of Education, which led to an expansion of the EAP program and increased staff by over 50 percent. Approximately 325,000 City government employees and their family members are eligible for New York City EAP services. While our

program only formally serves those entities I just
mentioned, EAP never turns down a request for
assistance, and we have frequently provided services
to non-Mayoral entities who need it, including City

6 Council.

2.2

2.3

New York City OLR's EAP is designed to assist employees and their families in resolving problems that may adversely affect their personal and professional performance. New York City EAP offers counseling and assistance with a broad range of behavioral health topics. The most common concerns brought to EAP are mental health-related, such as symptoms of anxiety or depression, grief and bereavement reactions, or traumatic events. They also come in with work stress related to job demands, poor co-worker relationships, or trouble with work-life balance, and then also they may come to us with concerns for family members, such as mental health concerns or substance misuse.

Other services provided by the EAP include information and referral services, case management, extensive followup, and insurance authorization. Short-term counseling is also available when the client and the clinician agree

2.2

2.3

that the presenting issue can be addressed within a

short-term model. If the client needs a referral for

longer-term treatment, EAP assists in identifying a

provider that accepts their insurance and also has

availability. In general, all services are free and

confidential, and all EAP services are provided by

8 master-level mental health professionals.

The NYC EAP also offers tailored services for supervisors and managers to aid in their response to staff's behavioral health needs. Stress management, suicide awareness and prevention, improving communication in the workplace. These are just a few of the presentations offered to New York City agencies. Supervisory and managerial consultations, online workshops, and staff presentations are provided upon request of the agency.

EAP continues to grow, reaching more clients each year. In 2023, EAP served 39 percent more clients as compared to 2022. That was a total of 27,000 and, specific to our managerial and supervisory support, we have reached over 15 percent more employees in leadership roles than compared to 2022.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Despite all that EAP has to offer and all the services we provide, we want to do more. We are devoted to educating employees about the program and encouraging their utilization of EAP's offerings. To consistently promote the EAP and educate the workforce about our program, we offer the following. Online and in-person presentations to review the EAP program and services at new hire orientations and, currently, we present at all Department of Health and Mental Health, New York City Health and Hospital orientations for new employees, and then recently DCAS added an informational video that highlights the EAP program to share in their new hire orientations. We also send biweekly emails to agency leadership to distribute to staff, and then each of those emails includes a relevant behavioral health topic. We attend health fairs, DEI events, wellness events, and more. We provide presentations to senior management and supervisors on things like how to address staff that may benefit from EAP support. We collaborate with unions so they can provide information to their members, and our website, which is currently being updated, is going to be updated to be a bit more user friendly.

2.2

2.3

I and the staff at EAP are passionate about the work we do assisting City employees and are constantly working to better serve our clients. Thank you, and I'll now turn it over back to First Deputy Commissioner Pollack.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Thank you to my colleagues for describing those programs.

We all are very proud of all the benefit programs and EAP and other programs we administer to help City employees.

I want to comment now briefly on the pieces of legislation before the Council. Intro. 265 would require City agencies to make best efforts to expedite the processing of health insurance coverage for City employees who transfer employment from one agency to another to avoid lapses in health insurance coverage during such transfer. As mentioned during our testimony, enrollment is handled through the NYCAPS system by City agencies with assistance and coordination from DCAS or through other agencies for non-NYCAPS entities like H and H, NYC Health Plus Hospitals. OLR and DCAS make best efforts collectively to improve processes and disseminate health benefits information to agencies to ensure

2.2

2.3

with the Council.

that coverage is seamless as possible when transfers occur. For a large part, if we're informed of any lapse in coverage, we immediately seek to restore health benefits retroactively for the affected employees. Council Member Joseph, I'm very sorry to hear about the experience that you had. That's never anything we want to see happen when employees are hired or moving between agencies. You know, our goal is to make sure that people have their health coverage. When we are contacted when someone faces an issue like yours, we leap into action to try to rectify it, so we certainly appreciate the intent behind this bill, and we'll continue to discuss it

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Well, thank you for that, but that day I had to go back home and wait until you guys reinstated my health insurance in order to see the cardiologist. This was pressing for me.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I understand and, again, I apologize, and we never want to see that happen. It's definitely our goal to make sure that doesn't happen. Sometimes, especially when there are transfers between entities that may have

different systems, like Department of Education to an agency that's more directly administered by DCAS, there could be issues, but I know we're always looking to improve the process, and we will continue

6 to do so.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: But I also wanted to note that it was also the same insurance that I had. I wasn't changing provider. I was keeping the same provider, just transferring it over to New York City Council, and there was still a delay and, even when I spoke to cardiologists to see if they would see me and then bill me later, they said, no, I would have to pay up front or not get seen that day at all, and I was not seen by the cardiologist.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Again,

I do apologize for that. Anyone who faces that

situation, as soon as we're notified about it, we

will seek to rectify it. We have no interest in

seeing people have that issue come up.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Chair, can I ask a couple of questions?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: They're almost finished.

2	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: T2024-
3	2170 would establish new requirements on the
4	processing of benefit claims, including requiring
5	that claims be determined within 14 days of filing.
6	OLR would also be required to create a City Benefits
7	Help Center, which would be responsible for offering
8	information and guidance to employees about the
9	availability and structure of all benefits for City
10	employees. The bill would also require the
11	establishment of an online portal through which City
12	employees could submit and track their benefit
13	claims, receive information about benefits, and
14	communicate with benefits administrators. OLR would
15	also be required to submit an annual report on
16	employee utilization of such portal and basic data on
17	claims processing. Lastly, the bill would require OLR
18	to design and administer a one-time survey for
19	municipal employees to provide feedback on the
20	substance and administration of benefits. We
21	appreciate the intent behind this bill and share the
22	goal of improving service for our City employees, but
23	we do have some concerns about the scope of the
24	legislation and the resources it would require given

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

39

24

coverage?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I'll 3 turn it over to Deputy Commissioner Porter from DCAS to describe that in more detail.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: Hello, Council Member Joseph.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Hi.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: The way it works is that a transaction is processed through NYCAPS, and the automated system will determine how the benefits will react so a transfer between agencies should not result in a lapse in benefits. However, when you're transferring from an agency outside of DCAS' jurisdiction into an agency that's under DCAS' jurisdiction, there is a communication that needs to happen between the two agencies, and so long as that happens before the transfer occurs, then the process is seamless. If that does not happen, then we would need notification from the employee and/or the agency, and that would include the submission of supporting documentation, and then we would ensure that the health benefits are transferred over accordingly.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So I guess my agencies were not talking to each other. Does OLR COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2 track whether, and if so, how often employees

3 experience a lapse in coverage in the event of

4 interagency transfers?

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: We do. We do track that. We have an audit process that runs every day, and it gives us an opportunity to review exceptions or benefits that did not transfer over as a part of the automated process and then, in a timely manner, we will reach out to the employee and/or the agency to rectify any discrepancies with employee benefits.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: So you missed me, huh? You missed me. I fell through the crack. How can this issue be addressed to ensure employees do not experience lapses in coverage?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: Once again, we can look at our auditing procedures and ensure that we're capturing all relevant transactions. It's a bit difficult when we're dealing with the agencies that are outside of DCAS' jurisdiction, but we do have contact with them so we absolutely can work with these agencies to strengthen the process.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I would love to 3 see that, and I don't want anyone to ever experience

4 what I experienced, so thank you so much.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: You're very welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Chair.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much, Council Member Joseph.

I want to ask a few more questions.

First, I want to thank you for the lengthy PowerPoint and walking us through it. I want to recognize that this is a complex system, and so we're all trying to just get our bearings around the process.

So timely and accurate processing of claims for benefits is a core component of administering a functional, successful employee benefit system. Who handles the administration of various employee benefit programs offered by the City, and I guess you ran through that, right, but how does OLR assess the performance of these contracted administrators? You testified that there are performance guarantees for each administrator. Can you walk us through what those are?

2.2

2.3

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 43
2	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: First,
3	to start, we can certainly provide some of them now,
4	but we're happy to provide a full list of the
5	guarantees. They're fairly lengthy so we're happy to
6	provide that to the Council. I think some of the
7	performance guarantees include timely processing,
8	answering calls, things like that.
9	CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: And when you say
10	timely processing, what is your standard for holding
11	these administrators

DIRECTOR GESTELY: We process within 10 days.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Within 10 days? DIRECTOR GESTELY: They have to process within 10 days.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: It's 10 business days.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay. What are the claims processing standards that apply to various benefits offered by City employees, and how are those standards determined?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Just to provide some more clarification to the last one, that's really the MBF contracted programs, so our

- dental, our vision, our superimposed major medical.
- 3 Obviously, union welfare funds would have their own
- 4 | contracts and their own performance standards that
- 5 | we're not fully aware of.

6 For health plans, there are regulations

7 | issued by the State Department of Financial Services

8 | that say that claims have to be processed within 30

9 days if submitted electronically and 45 days if

10 submitted by paper.

11 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: So that's how
12 much time specifically the administrators are given

13 | to process the claims and render decisions?

14 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: That

15 would be to process a claim for a health insurer, so

16 Emblem, for example, they would have that amount of

17 | time to do an initial processing. That could mean

18 | that the first, the processing, there needs to be

19 more information requested, and then so within 30

20 days they request more information, then more

21 | information is submitted, then there's another 30

22 days to process that.

23 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: And what about to

24 | issue a reimbursement? Is it the same kind of

25 timeline?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: No, 3 I'm not sure about the timeline for once you've determined that, for health insurance, once they've 4 determined a claim is reimbursable, like an out-ofnetwork claim, I'm not sure the timeline for how 6 quickly they have to make that payment. I think 8 usually it comes with the explanation of benefits. For our programs, Ms. Gestely can perhaps speak to it if she can find it. If not, we'll get the information 10 11 to you. As she testified for our GIM and FSA 12 programs, if it's submitted by the 25th of the month, payment is made the last week of the following month. 13

DIRECTOR GESTELY: But I did find the answer to your previous question, which was on one of the slides. I don't know why I didn't say it. The service performance guarantees are 97 percent of claims must be processed within 10 days, and 99 percent of calls must be answered within 30 seconds so they're very stringent and we monitor them.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: How does OLR ensure that benefits processing standards are met?

Obviously, you track, but does OLR track the timeliness of claims determinations or audit claims for erroneous determinations?

reports from all of the vendors, and we review those on a quarterly basis. We discuss it with each of them. We discuss all of these and, as I said, what we do regularly is go out to the market, and we bid and, if we hear that service levels are not where they should be, we switch out the vendor, which is exactly what happened with dental and vision.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Well, that was my next question. Can you describe an instance when OLR had to take action to enforce an administrative standard or improve substandard benefit administration?

DIRECTOR GESTELY: That's exactly what we did. We started to get complaints about Davis Vision. We started to get complaints about HealthPlex. We were going out to bid, and that was part of the process that we went through, is that we took those complaints into consideration.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: In your experience, what is the most effective way to ensure that claims for benefits are processed within a prescribed timeframe?

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I Can
try to answer that. So you're asking about how we
would ensure, the most effective way to ensure that
claims are processed. I think, as Director Gestely
mentioned, we do receive these reports, staying in
touch with the carriers, and also hearing from non-
representatives to the employees. We all, in our
jobs, deal with employees a lot, and we do hear
concerns about if people submit things and they're
not hearing back, if people are unhappy about certain
aspects of a benefit, and then we can address those
with the vendor, and I think also the RFP process
really allows us to evaluate the best vendors who can
provide superior service, maybe, to the ones that we
had previously.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I'm going to pass it to Council Member Ariola because she's waiting to ask questions, and then I'll come back to ask some more technical questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you, Chair.

This is for DCAS. How did the idea of City workers waiving their civil rights come about?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PORTER: I'm sorry, can you please ask that question again?

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Sure. How did the idea of the City workers waiving their civil rights come about, and how was it decided who would be asked to sign the waiver, and not once the agencies determined that it was no longer going to be a vaccine mandate, because it was lifted?

I'm going to defer the question to OLR.
COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Okay.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Under civil service rules, when competitive class employees are to be reinstated, there's a requirement that they sign a waiver of certain claims so it came from civil service rules.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: So every member would have had to have signed that waiver, according to your rules?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: The rule was that competitive and labor class employees are required to sign waivers. Whether every agency complied with that, I can't say, but that was the guidance given to agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: So can you explain why some members were asked to sign waivers and

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 others who were similarly situated were not asked to 3 sign waivers?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I certainly don't know every individual case. As I said, for competitive class and labor class employees, the guidance was that they should sign a waiver.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Was a protocol given to the agencies regarding reinstatement for the members who had been separated from the City service during the COVID mandate and once it was taken away?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Yes, there was an FAQ prepared by DCAS and sent to agencies.

exactly agree with the answer you gave before that because there were so many similarly situated members, and some were asked to sign a waiver and had an active Article 78, and some also had an active Article 78 and were put back in without a waiver, and they were exactly the same, and that's what we've been fighting each and every day with the Law Department, with OLR, with DCAS, and trying to find out why, why there was inequity across the board, and

do not.

get back to the Chair.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: I would like to
3 know the answer to those two questions, if you could

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I can confer with the Law...

important for everyone to understand that there was no real protocol. People were being brought back on the job, and it was the exact same situation as another firefighter, another teacher, another DOT worker, another DSNY worker, another any municipal worker, and some were hired back and some weren't, and they were told that they had to either sign a waiver or they had to drop their Article 78. Some even won their Article 78 and were brought back on so I don't think that OLR really did have a protocol in place because, if they did, then that wouldn't have been happening. There wouldn't have been such a disconnect and such inequity when members were trying to get back on.

Do you know if the Law Department tried each case or if the outside firm tried the cases for the Article 78s? Do you know if that's...

2.2

2.3

2 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I'm

not aware of the Law Department, how they handled it,

_ |

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Okay. Perhaps we can send a letter to the Law Department to find that out because I cannot imagine how many taxpayer dollars, how much money and taxpayer dollars were spent to keep our first responders, our educators, and our essential workers off the job when we needed the most to come back to the job once the mandate was lifted, and we're not going to stop asking these questions and we're going to make sure that a policy is put in place. We're not going to stop asking these questions until each and every one of those members who deserve to come back are reinstated the way they should be reinstated, the way their colleagues were reinstated, and there shouldn't be a double standard. Thank you. Thank you for the time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: My pleasure.

Okay, so Council Member Ariola led us into questions about legislation so I'm going to ask you some questions regarding my Pre-Considered Introduction in relation to the administration of certain municipal employee benefits, would impose a minimum standard on

2.2

2.3

certain claims and benefits process whereas federal or state standards do not control. Additionally, it would require claims tracking for benefits that do not currently offer online tracking, which include the health and fitness reimbursement and the FSA program. Does OLR offer a mechanism through which employees can voice complaints or submit inquiries about benefit administration? If so, what are the most common questions and concerns that OLR receives?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Yes, we do have a mechanism. I can turn it over to Director Gestely to speak more about that.

DIRECTOR GESTELY: There are a number of ways that people can contact us. They can call us. There's a telephone number for each of the programs. They can send us an email. If you go to the OLR website, it specifically says contact us. You can send an email just by clicking there and it comes to us directly. You can use the mail. We are under an obligation for a very long time by the Mayor's Office to respond to all communication within 10 days. We try to respond much faster. The majority of issues that we hear, as I said at the beginning, are not issues that we can actually change. People say, why

is the gym benefit, the fitness benefit taxable? Because it is. Because that's the way the Code is written. There's nothing we can do. People submit claims, for example, for HCFSA, which are for the prior year. We can't process them this year if it's for the prior year. That's a complaint. People say, my doctor doesn't want to give me an ELB. We say, but we can't process without an ELB. You must talk to your doctor and get an ELB. These are complaints, but they're not complaints that we can do anything about because they're part of parcel of these programs. It is incredibly rare that we hear somebody, and I can tell you, we painstakingly went back to all of last year for both MBF and Flexible Spending to see how many financial errors we had actually made, five in the two programs in a 12-month period. It is exceedingly rare that we do that. We have checks and balances in place. Those kinds of things that people come to us about are really not things that we can do anything about. The one thing that we can is that people say, I want to know what my balance is, I want to know what my claim is, and that's the portal that we are building.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I
would just add another way that complaints often com
to us when they happen is through unions. Obviously,
we have relationships with all our unions, and
they'll contact us on behalf of a member who has an
issue. We'll also obviously hear from agencies
directly. They'll contact someone at OLR who they
know with the issues that one of their employees is
having, and even occasionally from Council staff
about a constituent who's having issues. When we get
those concerns, we try to respond as quickly as we
can.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: How long is a typical contract duration between the city and a benefit administrator or provider?

DIRECTOR GESTELY: They're five-year contracts.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Five years. Would you consider including a new element in a future contract that would require providers to offer users a more streamlined and modernized means of submitting and tracking claims and methods to contact administrators with questions?

2.2

2.3

think anytime we are doing a new contract, we are definitely happy to consider any improvements so, yes, we consider any and all improvements to improve the experience for employees when we have a new contract.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: In regards to the performing guarantees that you discussed earlier, are those contract terms made available to the public?

provide them when they're entered into. I believe they are posted on the OLR website when we enter into a new contract so they should be publicly available. Okay. We'll have to get back to you on that. I'm looking at our Chief Contracting Officer back there, and we'll get back to you with the answer on that. It may be different for MBF as opposed to a normal City contract.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: All right. This bill contemplates the potential to improve the administration of benefits under OLR's oversight power by increasing their role in offering help to individuals who are encountering challenges with claiming their benefits. If OLR is unable to directly

2.2

2.3

answer an employee's question, what leverage do you
think it would offer an individual employee if they
were to have an OLR advocate assisting them with
interacting with the providers? Do you think that it
would serve an additional oversight on the insurance

7 provider's successful administration of the benefits

8 and therefore increase the likelihood that the

provider would complete their assigned functions more

10 thoroughly and effectively?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I will say that, first of all, when issues are brought to us in the many ways I mentioned, we will always try to reach out to a carrier to help resolve them, especially when they're urgent, pressing health issues. I think one of the challenges with what you described is just the resources it would require. As we mentioned, benefits in the City is really complex. We have more than 10 different health plans. We have 62 welfare funds providing all these various benefits, all of them with different carriers. There are other union funds for annuity, legal benefits, education benefits, and most of these things are handled by vendors. Our contracts essentially pay them to administer the programs and to have a whole

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 staff who would have to be trained and really have an

3 intimate knowledge of how health claims work. They'd

4 have to learn the procedure codes. No one at OLR

5 right now knows all those various procedure codes for

6 health insurance. No one knows the ins and outs.

7 That's really what the health carriers are for. It

| would require a tremendous amount of resources, and I

9 think that's a concern for us.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I understand the challenges, and I'm not saying that the challenges are small in any way. I'm not minimizing the challenges, but we continue to hear from City workers, and we can acknowledge the complexities of this system. This bill is looking to really streamline and help people learn how to navigate systems that are so complex and so foreign for the majority of City workers and, while the challenges and the resources are needed, I think the Council serves as a partner to try to make sure that the resources are in place, but only because there are challenges doesn't mean that it's not worth exploring as a mechanism for us to streamline these processes. As you heard from Council Member Joseph, and that is a story that we hear, and I'm sure you all hear a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hundred times a day. There are some City workers that have some serious medical issues, their dependents, their spouses, and one of the benefits of working for the City has always been the benefits that come with working for the City, the stability of working for the City, and if we're not making inroads into systems that are so complex that they no longer make sense, then we are going to continue to see the retention of top talent in our City continue to flee. I mean, we've been here in other hearings when we're talking about the vacancy rates across City agencies. These things are correlated and these things are connected. If people feel like that one thing that always drew people to City service, which was their benefits, their stability for their families, is no longer intact, then they won't work for the City. They'll go work in a private company somewhere where they'll get a 401K and forget about it, right? So we need to continue to improve, and I am glad that you all brought the data that you did bring because it sheds some light to really the effort that you're trying to make in getting these claims processed and making sure that we're moving things forward but, when we put forward legislation, we're putting

2.2

2.3

forward legislation because the voices of our

constituencies are reflected in the issues that we're

seeing here, and so I appreciate your efforts. We're

going to keep asking a few more questions. I want to

see if any of my Colleagues had additional questions.

Okay. I wanted to ask a little bit about education and outreach to employees. Obviously, understanding health insurance benefits and supplemental benefits, particularly when they're interrelated, can be a daunting task. What efforts does OLR make to ensure that employees understand the benefits available to them and how to realize those benefits?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Thank you for the question. First, just going back to your earlier statement, I just want to say I completely understand the frustration that people often feel when dealing with health insurance companies. I know it can be a frustrating process dealing with claims. We are definitely prepared to continue working with the Council to figure out how we can improve things for the employees. I think, unfortunately, the system we live in, we all have health insurance companies to deal with, and it can be frustrating, but we want to

2 make things as best we can for the employees within 3 that system as possible so we definitely want to

4 continue working with you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In terms of outreach and education, generally, agency HR departments are the primary source of information. We are constantly communicating with HR departments at City agencies. We often appear at the agency personnel officer monthly meeting that DCAS hosts to present on either new benefits or as a reminder of current benefits available to employees. We obviously also provide information through our website, and then there are the other efforts that we spoke about in our testimony, mailing a mini booklet out starting this year and, especially when we introduce a new benefit, we really make an effort to ensure that we are communicating both directly to Members as well as through the agencies because is one thing I find is that, sadly, a lot of people will kind of ignore an email that's just from a central email account, but if it's from your agency HR, people probably take it more seriously and read it so we do work with those agencies to make sure they're communicating out to their employees.

1

3

4

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

City?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: So going into questions about pension and retiree health insurance, health insurance for certain retirees is a major benefit of employment, especially non-union employees are not aware of. What are the various ways a former employee can qualify as a City retiree for purposes of enrolling in a City retiree health insurance plan?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: That is governed by the Administrative Code, and there are a few different categories. For anyone hired before 2001, you need five years of City service. For anyone hired after 2001, you need 10 years, except for certain teacher titles that need 15 years of service, and the other requirement is that you have to be receiving a pension to qualify for health benefits so if you're retired and you meet those service thresholds, once you start receiving your pension, you can also start receiving retiree health benefits.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Is the information about the benefits and the methods by which it can be obtained communicated to all new employees at the beginning of their career with the 2 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I know it's 3 certainly contained in our summary plan description.

I'm not sure if every agency provides that to their employees. Is there any information we provide to

6 agencies to include?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR GESTELY: Yes, we do, and we actually have pre-retirement seminars where we talk to people about the steps that they have to take in order to retire, and the pension is their first stop, and coming to OLR is their second stop, and going to the union is their third stop, and it is very popular, and a lot of people come.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Is the necessity of participation in a pension plan in order to take advantage of retiree health insurance communicated to employees when they make decisions about whether to enroll in the pension or alternate retirement plan?

TDIRECTOR GESTELY: hey do come to us because we also administer the deferred compensation plan, so those people who are not choosing to go into the pension, there is a program called VDC that they can go into. There is also the alternative of putting 7.5 percent of your income into the deferred compensation plan so that you don't have to go into

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 64
2	the pension. All of that is spelled out to employees,
3	yes. All of this is on the website in great detail.
4	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK:
5	Obviously, we can't speak to what every single agency
6	does, but we will make an effort to ensure the
7	agencies are aware of that and communicating it.
8	CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: These programs
9	provide important support to current and former City
10	employees and strengthen the City's ability to
11	attract new hires. Additionally, the Committee is
12	concerned that employees do not receive adequate
13	instruction and information about these programs. How
14	many employees participated in the superimposed major
15	medical program last year?
16	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I
17	think for SMMP, we have claims numbers, but we don't
18	have unique individuals. We can see if we can get
19	that information. I believe it was about 13,000
20	claims last year.
21	DIRECTOR GESTELY: SMMP?
22	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Yes.
23	DIRECTOR GESTELY: 13,000.
24	FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK:

13,000.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay.

DIRECTOR GESTELY: So let me just talk about that a little bit.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay.

DIRECTOR GESTELY: It sounds low. 13,000 sounds low, and we talk all the time in the office about why that number is as low as it is because people don't have 500 dollars out-of-pocket medical expenses. An awful lot of City employees don't. They go to their in-network providers. They pay 20 dollars or 30 dollars as a copay. They never come up to the 500 dollars then they never can take advantage. SMMP is very much a catastrophic benefit, and that's why it's there.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Following up on that question, then what qualifies as substantial out-of-pocket medical expenses? Is it like a surgery or prescriptions that are highly costly?

DIRECTOR GESTELY: If you have claims that are over 500 dollars, then you're going to be reimbursed for 90 percent of what is out-of-pocket.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: It's often when someone goes out-of-network. I would say that's the most common reason someone would submit

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yeah, the

question was more about language access so is this

24

of gym memberships in New York City?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Based
on just preliminary internet research, I would say
the average cost looks like it's probably around
1,000 dollars a year, in the neighborhood of 90 or
100 dollars a month. I don't have a study on that,
but that's some information we found online. When we
decided to increase the reimbursement, we had heard
from a number of managers over the years that they
felt that 500 dollars was low. That wasn't sufficient
to essentially pay for a full year's membership to a
lot of places so we took that into account. We looked
at the state of the MBF's finances, and we determined
that we had enough revenue versus expenses that we
could accommodate that increase.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: So it's 500 dollars for a six-month period?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I would still say that that's low. A lot of the gym memberships in the city are very pricey, even for non-luxury chains, but I understand that it's a revenue question.

Did OLR perform employee outreach before determining the increase, and how often are reimbursement amounts reconsidered?

didn't do any formal outreach. As I mentioned, it was something that we heard over and over over the years, that what people felt was a low amount for that reimbursement so we felt like there's strong support out there for it, and there's no set frequency at which we reconsider. It all depends on what we're hearing as well as the state of the MBF's finances. If we're seeing that our revenue is above our expenses year over year, then we'll consider increasing a benefit but, if there's not room to do that, then we don't really have the opportunity to.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Okay. One question we often hear about is the commuter benefits and the option of adopting to the new Omni system, and what will be the registration process for employees who are seeking to utilize this benefit?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER POLLACK: I would have to confer with the Financial Information Services Agency payroll administration and get back

right. I now open the floor for public testimony.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I want to remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing and you haven't done so, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait for your name to be called. Once you have been recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic of the Administration of City Benefits for Current Employees.

If you have a witness statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of the testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You may also email written testimony to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov within

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 42 hours of the hearing. Audio and video recordings 3 will not be accepted.

When you hear your name, please come up to the witness panel. For our first panel, we will invite Jude Pierre, Sal Maita, Jack Wei Lin, and Tom LaPolla.

All right, you may identify yourself for the record and begin your testimony.

JUDE PIERRE: Hello, my name is Jude Pierre. Thank you for having me. I am a New York City firefighter. I was reinstated to the Department after the COVID-19 mandate was lifted. We were told to send an email to the Fire Commissioner and Chief of Personnel stating that we would like to return to our jobs. I received the email back from the Chief of Personnel with paperwork to fill out, which I did and sent back to him. I received the email back telling me to make an appointment with the medical office. There were long stretches in between the emails from the Personnel, and when I didn't hear back, I reached out to Councilwoman Ariola's office, where she intervened along the way. After my medical appointment, I was given retraining dates and was back to work. Knowing that my brothers and sisters

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

were still fighting to return to work, I kept in touch with the Councilwoman's office. I knew there were firefighters in the exact same position as me that weren't being allowed back to their jobs. My return wasn't an easy one. After being out of work for months, I was finally able to return to the firehouse in the community where I served, only to be pulled off the job yet again and told to report to FDNY headquarters, where I was told I must sign a waiver of my civil rights in order to be back in the firehouse. Yet again, the Councilwoman's office intervened, and I was able to go back to the job that I love. The other members of FDNY and all other City agencies weren't the same. They want to work for the City that they love and the residents that they wish to serve. I ask that you all vote yes for Resolution 5, calling for equity for all City workers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

SAL MAITA: Good afternoon. My name is Sal Maida. As of January of 2022, I was a 16-year vet with the FDNY. The very next month, along with 1,700 other brothers and sisters serving the City of New York in different capacities, were terminated by the

as a condition to returning to work, as our brother,

Jude Pierre, gave testimony to. The blatant double

standard witnessed in New York City's reinstatement

process amounts to a caste-like system marginalizing

a segment of society as second-class citizens. The

equality, and rightly so. We therefore respectfully

and humbly ask you to support Resolution 5. This bill

City Council prides itself on advocating for

1920

18

21

22

24

25

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

does not bestow special rights on individuals, but
only what is inherently right and just. We thank you
for your time, and may God bless us and keep us.

5 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so 6 much.

JACK WEI LIN: Good afternoon. My name is Jack Wei Lin. I was an EMT with the FDNY for over 14 years. I'm here representing former FDNY EMS workers fired over non-compliance with the COVID vaccine mandate. After the public sector mandate was lifted in February 2023, there was a lack of communication and protocol regarding reinstatement. I wasn't informed by mail or phone call or email informing me of the process to come back. In June 2023, within one year of being fired, I initiated contact with the Fire Department to inquire about the reinstatement process. My three email requests for more information went unanswered for six weeks, until Councilwoman Ariola's office intervened on my behalf. Finally, I received an email response in late July 2023. I was sent a DCAS waiver asking me to surrender my right to sue, just for the possibility of maybe getting my job back. Other members were reinstated, no waivers required, even retirees could return without signing

Bill de Blasio and Dan Nigro for not taking the shot,

25

I open up for questions from my

Colleagues, if any. Council Member Ariola.

2.3

24

25

to this first panel.

thank you so much for coming in today. If I understood your testimony correctly, the Law Department stated that you could not return without a waiver because you were a complainant and had an active lawsuit. Is that correct?

SAL MAITA: Actually, they never gave me any reason why I had to sign the waiver. The only time they made reference to the waiver was when they told me that they were not able to process my reinstatement applications if I did not sign it.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: But at that point, were you on a lawsuit as a complainant with several other members of the Department who were still active at the time you requested your reinstatement?

SAL MAITA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Firefighter

Pierre, I know that your road back to your job was

not an easy one and that you were treated poorly

while you were getting reinstated and I apologize for
that.

JUDE PIERRE: Thank you.

2.2

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: But you were also named on that same lawsuit as a complainant. Is that correct? The same as Firefighter Maita?

JUDE PIERRE: Yes, that's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Yet you were both named on the lawsuit, but you were not required to sign a waiver or drop your Article 78. Is that correct?

JUDE PIERRE: I think my Article 78 might have been denied by that point, but I was still part of the lawsuit but, when they sent me the initial paperwork to get reinstated, they didn't include the waiver.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Were you ever made to sign a waiver?

JUDE PIERRE: Yes. I was in the firehouse for about a week, and my officer was having trouble putting me into the payroll. He was like you basically won't be able to get paid until I put you in the payroll so we were trying to figure out what the issue was, and then I got a call saying that there was additional paperwork I needed to sign, and it said basically I wouldn't be able to get paid until I signed the waiver, but I was already back

1 COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 80 2 working in the firehouse for at least a week at that 3 point. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: So the waiver was an afterthought? 5 JUDE PIERRE: I mean, they didn't really 6 7 explain to me why they decided then to give it to me, but they just said if I wanted to start, you know, 8 continuing my service while working as a firefighter, I would need to sign it so then, you know, I reached 10 11 out to see what other opportunities I had, because, you know, that would affect my decision to come back 12 13 if I knew I had to sign the waiver. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: And did you sign 15 the waiver? 16 JUDE PIERRE: No, I did not. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: And are you 18 working actively? 19 JUDE PIERRE: Yes, I am. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you. 21 Firefighter Maita, have you ever been given a reason why you were asked to sign a waiver while other 2.2 2.3 members were not? Did that conversation ever arise?

24

2.2

2.3

2 SAL MAITA: No, that never came up. It was
3 never indicated to me why that was so important for
4 the City for me to sign that waiver.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Was it given to you as a condition?

SAL MAITA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: And if you had filed for a vested retirement, knowing what you know about retirees being reinstated without having to sign a waiver, would you have been asked to sign a waiver to be reinstated if you had retired?

SAL MAITA: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: The whole point of this is to show that there is no real protocol. There is no real balance or equity.

I'd like to change my questions now to

EMS Lin. At the time of the mandate was ordered, a

mutual aid agreement between the FDNY and the

Volunteer Fire Corp was active in New York City. Is

that true?

JACK WEI LIN: Yes, that's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: So from the time the mandate was put into place in October of 2021, where you were no longer able to do your job, members

much for your testimony and thank you so much for

25

letting everyone on this panel know why they need to sign onto Reso 5 and why the State needs to pass this legislation. Thank you. Thank you so much for your

5 testimony.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you,
Council Member Ariola, and thank you to this first
panel.

The next panel will include Michael Kane,
Trinidad Smith, Alfonso Ventura, Daniel Hulkower, and
Marlon Bethel. I apologize if I messed up anybody's
name. Just re-introduce yourselves on the record when
you begin.

All right, you may begin. Just identify yourself for the record one more time and you can begin.

DANIEL HULKOWER: Thank you. My name is

Daniel Hulkower. I worked for the New York City

Department of Sanitation for five and a half years. I

was fired for refusing to comply with the COVID-19

vaccine mandate. I just want to point out the City

Council had mentioned nobody should have a lapse in

their healthcare or experience that. Three years I've

had no healthcare now. When Eric Adams issued Order

62 mandating the vaccine for City workers, he added

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an important caveat that exempted celebrities as they generate income for New York City. I wish to apologize to Mayor Adams. I'm sorry that I'm too short to play basketball. My singing voice is best confined to my shower and not broadcasted through a loudspeaker or on a Broadway stage. I'm sorry that I avoided the open casting call for Home Alone despite my grandmother suggesting my mom audition me so that maybe today I could have been a world-famous actor. I'm sorry that all I had to offer the City was my muscles, my only contribution picking up New York's trash and plowing its snow. Although the City does make some money on paper recycling, my job doesn't generate Broadway bucks, so I wasn't privileged enough to be given an automatic Mayoral exemption from the vaccine. As smoothly as it went to terminate my employment, despite me being a member in good standing of a municipal labor union, getting back not so much. I sit here nearly three years after winning our case and being ordered back at 6 a.m. the next day, treading through stay after stay until the City could finally be dragged kicking and screaming into oral arguments, and I'm still not back to work.

They're going to keep pushing resources into the

ALFONSO VENTURA: Thank you. Good

afternoon. My name is Alfonso Ventura. When the

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COVID-19 pandemic began, I, like another municipal worker, was pushed to work. I was working in the bigger restaurant in New York City, the school. I was working at PS-8 located at Amsterdam Avenue and 68th Street. Even though I follow all kinds of recommendations in order to avoid the COVID-19, I get it, and I get immunization. That was my reason for rejecting the vaccine. I was reluctant. When the DOE know that I reject the vaccine, they was pushing me to sign a paper, but I was reluctant to sign any paper because to me make any sense. On Monday, October 4, 2021, the DOE closed me the door and I couldn't access my job. Then, from hero and essential worker, I became right away a villain. The DOE closed me the door, but I didn't receive any letter, any email, anything that explained my status. I lost my job, my car, and anybody gave me employment because I was not vaccinated. Without a job, without money, and without unemployment insurance, I was a hero and homeless. I spent almost three-fourths years in shelter. On December 22, a friend told me that DOE was reinstalling workers that had been suspended by COVID vaccine. Immediately, I called Raul Rodriguez from DC-37. He told me that I should talk to Pamela

2 Rodriguez, DC-37, too. I sent her a text message on

3 December 16, 2022. She answered it on December 22. I

4 called her by phone and explained my situation, and

5 her recommendation was that in order to be

6 reinstalled, I need to apply again. I need to apply

7 again to the DOE. I rejected her recommendation

8 because, if I do that, I'm saying that I didn't spend

9 more than five years previously (INAUDIBLE) the

10 pandemic. Looks like the DOE authority used the

11 pandemic to get rid of guys like me. They called me

12 unsubordinated. I rejected the COVID vaccine because

13 | the (INAUDIBLE) coronavirus created immunity in my

14 body. When I got COVID-19, as a paradox, I rejected

15 | the COVID vaccine. The COVID vaccine has been more

16 | harmful for my life than the coronavirus itself.

17 | Thank you.

18

1

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you for

19 your testimony. Next.

20 TRINIDAD SMITH: Hello. My name is

21 | Trinidad Smith. I would like to start out by thanking

22 everybody for this opportunity to share my story. I

23 was a tenured special education teacher in the field

24 of autism for over 20 years. My main objective here

25 | is to shine a light on how difficult the process

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

continues to be for me and thousands of other city workers in trying to get our careers back. The City of New York and DOE failed those of us who were terminated or had resigned with a lack of outreach and guidance on re-entering the workforce. We were only told to reapply as new teacher as I did. I was cleared and I was put in the new teacher recruiting section of the DOE's website. I had three separate interviews in August of 2023. My last interview was an in-person demo lesson in the interview with the principal in Brooklyn. Her last words to me was, I will call you this evening to let you know what site I'm going to put you at providing you clear HR. My immediate response to her was, I don't know why I wouldn't be cleared as they are the ones that cleared me to be hired. To my surprise, I did not get a call back or email or thank you for the interview or that they were going with another candidate. Absolutely no response. That was my third interview in a row that was left like this. I understand perhaps one no call back but three in a row is highly unlikely and unprofessional. I stopped interviewing after that, and I truly feel that HR is being instructed by the Legal Department not to clear me. I won my right to

2.2

2.3

work in September 2023 and was ordered by Judge Ralph Porzio to go back to work at the start of this past school year. I arrived to work with a court order in hand and was denied access to my job site and made to wait outside for hours to be told by the current principal that, as per Legal, I was not allowed back to work. After 20 years of service, I was humiliated and made to wait on the sidewalk. Just last week, I received an invitation from the Teacher Recruitment Center asking me to apply for summer school with a one-week deadline approaching. I would be genuinely grateful if I could work for summer school as I have done for my full 20 years straight with the DOE.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

MICHAEL KANE: Hey, my name is Michael

Kane. I was a New York City special ed teacher for

over 14 years. I lost my job over the vaccine mandate

and founded a group called Teachers for Choice. Thank

you, Chairwoman De La Rosa. Thank you, everybody

here, especially the Minority Leader, Councilwoman

Ariola. You've just been heroic for us, and I

appreciate that. Thank you so much to Councilwoman

Joseph for being here and everybody for being here.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I first just have to just note that it really struck me to hear Alfonso talk about homelessness from this, and I didn't know that. I just met Alfonso. Our friend Daniel Vila from La Voz Latina introduced us, and that's the type of loss this has had. When we're trying to get back, it's so important. There's two real things I want to talk about. One is that I've been involved in two major lawsuits. One is Kane versus de Blasio that is currently in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals paired with two other lawsuits. It's been sitting there 17 months. Then a state lawsuit called DiCapua versus the City of New York. Trinidad is a named plaintiff along with me in both of those cases. In the state case, we did have a victory as Trinidad had just talked about, and we're now back to work. The appeals process goes on and it continues on.

The last thing I want to talk about is what Trinidad said too. She's blocked. She feels she's blacklisted. We know this is in court record, and we talked about it in City Council. There are problem codes that have been placed on unvaccinated educators that have provided roadblocks. Some educators have gotten it admitted to them and others,

2.2

2.3

like Trinidad, she suspects it but she just can't

prove it. Please support Resolution 5. There's no

reason for this anymore. There's no reason for an

impediment. We might disagree on the past. I don't

6 think we disagree on the future. We need to move

7 forward. Thank you all so much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

MARLON BETHEL: Good afternoon, Council Members. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak today. My name is Marlon Bethel. I'm a former NYPD detective.

Contrary to the lies you've been told by the Adams' Administration, NYPD cops were not only forced out of their careers but some, like myself, were terminated and today prevented from returning to work lest they waive their rights. There are Members of this Body that are fond of preaching the virtues of social justice and minority rights so I ask, where is the equity that a minority, an immigrant to this country, a resident of the city, and a 15-year veteran cop with over 200 arrests and citations from the NYPD and the Kings County District Attorney's Office cannot return to work unless I waive rights

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bestowed upon me by the laws of this Nation, State, and City itself? How can we expect workers, the arm of government, to operate with fairness and equity if they are being discriminated and extorted of their own individual civil liberties? The return-to-work process has been a disjointed mess from the get-go. This is in no way an outrageous statement. The NYPD's own procedure was not detailed until a week after Eric Adams announced the cancellation of the mandate and was not distributed to former employees by the Department. I, myself, only became aware of the change after being called by former colleagues who themselves saw the Mayor's press conference and had no idea about the details as the Department had sent out no notifications or emails. After making numerous calls throughout the Department, I was finally able to speak to somebody who explained the procedure to me, and the procedure itself was as arbitrary as the deadline that was set for reinstatement. It glossed over a lot of minutiae like back pay, return to work, return of accrued vacation time, return to prior unit, pension shortages due to the lapse in contributions, and worst of all, the procedure explicitly noted that I must sign a waiver in order

Council to send a message.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 for me to return. Throughout my career, I have always 3 sought to not overstep the civil rights of other 4 individuals, including those who don't respect our own laws. As I sit here, I can't help but wonder, why must I now give up my own? Had I simply chose fraud 6 and dishonesty and used a fake VAX card, I would have been reprimanded and still allowed to keep my job so 8 why is it that, having chosen honesty and actual science, I'm no longer allowed to be there unless I 10 11 sacrifice my additional rights? It is time for this

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you conclude, please? Thank you.

MARLON BETHEL: I think it's time for the Council to send a message, okay, on behalf of your constituents, some of who are all in here, and we all work for the City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you to this panel. I want to open it up for questions, so please don't move for one second. I know that Minority Leader Borelli has a question and I believe Council Member Joseph. Minority Leader.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: Just a question for Mr. Hulkower. You mentioned you won a lawsuit.

DANIEL HULKOWER: Yes, Garvey et al.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: Can you just explain the merits of the case and what the judge found?

DANIEL HULKOWER: Garvey et al was decided in October of '22. It was an Article 78. We basically declared the entire mandate arbitrary and capricious. The entire rollout, we were ordered back the next day at 6 a.m., but the City was applying for a stay before the ferry reached South Street. They already had a stay going, and they've just been continuously staying us ever since, but basically our case declares the entire mandate rollout arbitrary and capricious.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: And the decision also requires that you and the other complainants be restored to work?

DANIEL HULKOWER: We were ordered back to work at 6 a.m. the next day but, as I said, the City has been staying us for the past, like, every opportunity the City gets to throw a stay at us, they'll take it. If they can throw 10 minutes extra, they'll do it.

2.2

2.3

2	MINORITY LE	ADER	BORELLI:	Were	all	the
3	complainants Sanitatio	n emj	ployees?			

DANIEL HULKOWER: Yes. Everyone was a member of the DSNY, either 831 or 444.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: And other employees that weren't a part of your lawsuit that was successful have been reinstated?

what's going on with anybody else. They've kept everything pretty much in the dark. We only know about the 16 of us from our group, from our lawsuit. We have found stragglers here and there from the internet and whatnot, but I don't know of anybody, I'm not sure, to be honest with you, because we are completely in the dark, and the City doesn't release any information about how many Sanitation workers were fired, anyone who was reinstated. We do know that people from other agencies have been, but from what I understand from Sanitation, no, I don't know.

MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you,
Minority Leader. Council Member Joseph followed by
Council Member Marmorato.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you so much.

First of all, thank you for your service, my fellow educators. I was an educator for two decades before I became a Council Member, and I'm also the Education Chair in New York City Council.

I had a couple of questions for you. How did you find out you could be reinstated to your positions?

MICHAEL KANE: I found out from my lawyers and my attorneys. I didn't find out from the union or from the Department of Education.

TRINIDAD SMITH: We're on the same lawsuit, so I was told by the judge that I was cleared to go to work, and he wanted me there the next day, bright and early with the kids, first day of school, and I was excited for half a second.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: I can't imagine serving as an educator for two decades as well, so no one did any outreach to you to let you know you could return. You had to find out through other means?

TRINIDAD SMITH: No, and even when I keep getting through with all these interviews to the very last step, all three interviews, they aren't even

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

allowed to call me back. I'm getting absolutely no response from them at all.

MICHAEL KANE: And just one thing I want to add to that. We found out because the Mayor ended the mandate two days before we went into the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which was very interesting timing, so I knew about that, but we didn't get any outreach. Teachers reached out to me and to teachersforchoice.org asking us, hey, what's going on? What do we have to do? Eventually, I spoke to Beth Norton, who's the general counsel of the UFT, who told me everything, had a 40-minute call for her. I wrote it all down. I have it published on the website now, and when people ask, I send it to them so this Administration has been really lacking in any form of outreach. It really seems like they just wanted to act like it didn't happen and make it go away as opposed to admitting, okay, maybe some mistakes were made. Here's how we'll fix them. It just seems like that part never happened.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Wow. How many of those teachers have been able to be reinstated without signing a waiver? Do you know any of the educators that were reinstated?

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL KANE: I know some educators who were. Obviously, they're not excited to come down here and raise their hand and say their name and tell that it happened.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Of course.

MICHAEL KANE: And I know some who refused to go back because they're insisting on the waiver, and I know some who went back, some in tears because they said, my spouse is going to leave me if I don't go back so I'm going to sign this waiver. They didn't want to, but they did so there is no uniformity to this. It has been a hodgepodge. It depends what district you're in, depends on your relationship with your principal, depends on all of these different big bureaucratic things, not a defined policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Off the top of your head, can you tell me how many educators altogether?

MICHAEL KANE: Of which category?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: That was out of work, that are still out of work, and those that have returned. Do you have an idea?

MICHAEL KANE: I'm sure hundreds, if not thousands, have returned because they had to.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Right.

MICHAEL KANE: Many signing the waiver, maybe some not. I'm positive hundreds still want to.

I'm positive of that based on the emails and stuff I get. It could be thousands, but I don't know for sure.

question, just for clarification, our City is going through a shortage of special education teachers. And I know, I was an ENL coordinator before I left to join the Council, so I know what shortage looks like, and this is a moment where we need shortage areas, and if you're watching anything, our preschoolers are home, about 700, that have no preschool education teachers, and so you guys are needed in the City, so I hope the Administration is listening and get you guys back to work.

MICHAEL KANE: Thank you so much for that, Councilwoman. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Sure.

TRINIDAD SMITH: (INAUDIBLE) there are teachers that were found to have fake cards, and they gave them a little slap on the wrist, and they're back to work so, I mean, it's like, if they want

Department.

2.2

2.3

hear that. As retired members of the Department that were forced to retire because they did not want to comply with the mandates, were they able to return to the Department without signing a waiver, and did anyone ever explain to you why you were being treated differently than other retirees?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MARLON}}$$ BETHEL: Yes, they were. No one ever explained it to me.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: All right.

Sorry to hear that, and I hope everything works out

for all of you, and I'm behind you guys. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: I want to thank this panel for your service and for continuing to come here. I've seen you at least in three other hearings so we appreciate it, and we look forward to getting this Resolution done. Thank you so much for being here and for your service.

Okay. Up next, our third panel is
Christine Gross, Moe Olivierre, Diane Pagen, Michael
Tracy, and Edmund Wallace.

Thank you. You may begin and just identify yourself for the record so we can keep track. Thank you.

2 CHRISTINE GROSS: My name is Christine 3 Gross. In September of 2021, I should have been 4 starting my 21st year as a teacher. Instead, I took a leave of absence because I needed the medical insurance, and then I was fired in September of 2022. 6 7 I was certainly not informed in February of '23 that 8 by the Department of Ed or my union that I could go back to work. I heard most of this information on the news, and I didn't bother because as far as I 10 11 understood, there was going to be no back pay and no 12 being made whole and, secondly, I would have to find 13 a principal who was willing to hire me, and my 14 principal at my school had just started that year so 15 I had absolutely no relationship with her. As being 16 somebody who has stood strong with kids for 21 years, 17 I can't imagine any principal who was willing to hire 18 me back. But anyhow, this should be the end of my 19 24th year of teaching, and instead I've lost hundreds of thousands of dollars, and I'm now collecting food 20 21 stamps. I thought this was about being made whole and 2.2 getting our back pay back so I kind of prepared 2.3 things that don't really make any sense for this now, but I did want to say that in my research on looking 24 25 into this, I found out that at the end of July of

2.2

2.3

2021, the City was offering 100 dollars for people to take the vaccine, and apparently 800,000 New Yorkers took advantage of that, which, I'm not a math teacher, but that's 80 million dollars, which I can kind of now understand why they needed to fire us all if they paid 80 million dollars for people to take one dose of a vaccine when the vaccine wasn't even effective without two doses so I am just here today to say that I would like to be made whole. I would like to go back to my career and not be six years away from when I thought I was going to retire with no money, because when I should be making my 22-year

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

longevity, I'm now not making anything. Thank you.

MICHAEL TRACY: Good afternoon to the

Committee Members and those from outside the

Committee to allow these members to tell their

stories. My name is Michael Tracy. I'm from the

Uniformed Fire Officers Association, and I'm

testifying quickly today to communicate our support

for this Resolution, which puts the politics of

vaccines aside and asks for a transparent and

consistent process to rehire these City employees. As

union representatives, when a workplace rule is

passed, we have a choice to either challenge that
rule or to make sure it is fairly and equitably
enforced. In this case, that sense of fairness and
equity is missing from the process. In early June,
when the City of Los Angeles, a city that was far
more arbitrary in its enforcement of vaccine

8 requirements, changed course and removed vaccination

9 as a requirement of employment, it immediately

10 provided a pathway back for these workers. We asked

11 | the more highly functioning New York City government

12 to follow suit.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'm going to change course quickly and discourse the FSA, because I have an opportunity and it's important to us as a labor union. We talk a lot about in the labor movement and in this Committee, I know it's important to you, Chair, about affordability for City workers who have families. I can't think of any better opportunity, aside from a pay raise, to deal with this than the FSA, which includes DCAP. As many in the Committee will know, DCAP covers a lot of expenses, such as camp, daycare, and other things. We implore this Committee to press OLR on increasing windows for enrollment. That's very important. Electronic payment cards, which I

2.2

2.3

DIANE PAGEN: Good afternoon. Good afternoon. My name is Diane Pagen. It's been a long road to get here. We're worn out. Excuse me. My name is Diane Pagen. I'm from Queens. I grew up in Woodside Houses. I went to DOE schools throughout my childhood. I'm a social worker, and I did a lot of financially helping people with the income that I had from the DOE in addition to doing the job that I had at the DOE. I'm a tenured school social worker who was forced out because I declined to comply with the demand that I take a COVID shot. I was living in District 36 at the time when I was forced onto DOE's unpaid leave. If myself and other City workers of all

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

agencies had been removed from our jobs and we had been bankers or tech workers, it would have been called a scandalous brain drain, and Bloomberg News and Forbes would have been coming down to New York City to report on it, but we're not those things, and so no one calls it a brain drain, but that is exactly what it is, and we've been going through it now for about three years. We need Resolution 5 for a couple of reasons I'm just quickly going to say, and I'm going to give an example of why the DOE is not qualified, nor competent, nor has any moral authority to solve this problem, and why we need Resolution 5. After the vaccine mandate was lifted and I tried to reapply, I was treated like I had to apply as if I were a total stranger, completely new to the City and completely new to the DOE. I will not sign away my rights, and I will not turn in documents that I know the DOE has every stitch of document that I would have to hand in in order to apply for another job. I insist on being treated with the respect that I should have garnered with my 10 years of service to the city. Number two.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Can you please conclude?

1

3

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIANE PAGEN: Well, if you don't mind, this is an important example, and I'll do my best to get it out in two minutes.

DIANE PAGEN: Yes, I'll do my best. DOE

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Less than two minutes because the entire clock is two minutes.

created a leave without pay category and then reneged on the only term in the leave without pay that the DOE itself had to honor. Why do I say this? When the DOE placed me on leave without pay in October of 2021, it outlined what it said were the obligations under that leave. Under their terms, my job was to be reserved for me for the duration of the leave. However, in 2023, I discovered that the DOE had hired a new permanent employee into my position. They hired her in November of 2021 so they violated the terms of their own unpaid leave and, while I was thinking that I was on unpaid leave, they had actually already permanently replaced me so DOE has no moral authority to do the right thing now. An agency that conducts itself this dishonestly, like the DOE and the Mayor have done, cannot be trusted to create a fair and proper reinstatement process, and I just want to say

2.2

2.3

in conclusion that you might want to look around and notice that most of us here are over 40, and that is another thing that has to be discussed, because that would qualify us as being protected workers. I really ask from the bottom of my heart for the Council to pass Resolution 5 so that we can be done with all of these past injustices and move forward. All we want is to be treated with a certain amount of decorum and not be once again put through hoops trying to apply for jobs when we were never actually legally and properly terminated. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

MAWULI (MOE) OLIVIERRE: All right, good afternoon. My name is Moe Olivierre. I was born in New York, raised in New York, went to school in New York, and I was a New York City teacher for 23 years. On October 2021, I was put on a leave of absence without pay because I refused to take a vaccine that's against my religious beliefs. April of 2022, I was re-offered my job back in exchange for vaccination, which I refused. Then February, I hired my own Article 78. Unfortunately, I now argue some of the same points as the Garvey case, the Sanitation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

case that won under George Porzio. Judge Sadler ruled that my case was time-barred, even though Judge Porzio had said that it wasn't. I want to also highlight that the media has been misleading the public about reinstatement. A lot of folks believe that the City workers are back to work. A lot of people in the city feel like the mandate's over and everyone's back to normal, which is not true. I will not sign a waiver because a waiver is a new contract. The City needs to honor the first contract before I sign a new contract. I think it's important for that to be known. Also, I want to say that the City needs to correct a wrong that's been done to me and so many other City workers at this point in time. I think whatever happened during the mandate, it's safe to say that the City made a mistake. Now it's time to correct that mistake by putting us all back to work. Also, consider the fact that we are, someone like me and the rest of us, we have years of service. We're no longer able to pour into our retirement so this issue is going to spiral into retirement for many of us because we may not have a sufficient amount of money invested in our accounts for retirement because of this issue right here. Please consider that when

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you guys advocate for Resolution 5. Thank you so 3 much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much.

EDMUND WALLACE: Hello, my name is Edmund David Wallace II. I am here to speak about deprivation of the First Amendment right of freedom of religion, a basic human right. I have not worked since January 6, 2022, because New York City denied my religious exemption and my appeal for not taking the COVID shot/bioweapon. The past 30 months have been a burden on myself and family, causing a massive amount of undue hardship. The lie travels around the world while the truth is still tying its shoelaces. Safe and effective, they said. More like not safe and not effective. It's easier to deceive someone than it is to convince them they have been deceived. Going through this process, it pokes holes in all three branches of government. How is it that my religious exemption was not accepted and yet countless other religious exemptions were approved? I am still waiting for an explanation of which I still never received through countless emails. To Plumbers Local Union Number 1 and Steamfitters Local Union Number

- 2 638, at the very foundation of your core principles,
- 3 it shall be first and foremost to protect your
- 4 | workers' rights, not go along with a globalist
- 5 depopulation agenda. Ephesians 6:12, for we wrestle
- 6 | not against flesh and blood, but against
- 7 | principalities, against powers, and against the
- 8 worldly governors, the princes of the darkness of
- 9 this world, against spiritual wickedness which are in
- 10 | high places. In conclusion, it is my immediate demand
- 11 and wish and order that you restore all that has been
- 12 | taken from myself without due process and all others
- 13 | similarly situated. That includes reinstatement, back
- 14 pay, and full seniority. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so
- 16 much.

- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: I don't have a
- 18 | question, but I do have a statement. I mean, so far
- 19 | everyone who has testified has a very common theme.
- 20 One, no outreach to tell you that you could be
- 21 reinstated. Two, no continuity in policy for that
- 22 reinstatement. No compliance with the court when you
- 23 were ordered and given your civil right to go back to
- 24 work. And everything that you're saying here is in
- 25 complete contrast to what the testimony of Daniel

Pollack, the First Deputy Commissioner of OLR, just said under oath so I think that's very important because we're listening to people who are on food stamps, who had no jobs, who were living with family, who were living homelessly, all because you decided to take a stand, and you did take that stand, but I will say that I agree with what was said. What was then is then. What is now is now. There are no longer any mandates, and we need to get our municipal workers back on the job. I want to thank the UFOA for coming and standing up for your members today. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you,
Council Member Ariola, and thank you to this panel.

Thank all of you who came here to share your thoughts, your experiences, your stories with us.

If there is anyone in the Chamber who wishes to speak but has not yet had the opportunity to do so, please raise your hand and fill out the appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms at the back of the room. Anyone else in the room that wishes to speak in person.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Seeing no hands in the Chamber, we will now shift to Zoom testimony. When your name is called, please wait until a member of our team unmutes you and the Sergeant-at-Arms indicates that you may begin.

We will start with Anastasia

Christopoulos followed by someone named Jean, we

don't have your full name, so please give that to us,

followed by Krista O'Dea, and then followed by Zena

Wouadjou, am I saying that wrong, well, I'm probably

saying that wrong, and Javier Vazquez.

We're going to start with Anastasia Christopoulos first. If you're on Zoom.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time stars.

ANASTASIA CHRISTOPOULOS: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: We hear you, Anastasia.

ANASTASIA CHRISTOPOULOS: Hi, my name is Anastasia Christopoulos, and I was a tenured speech pathologist working in District 75 for almost eight years, and I had worked the 2020-2021 school year in person at my school while more than 50 percent of my colleagues were granted medical accommodations and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

worked from home. I worked in person with my special needs District 75 students who didn't mask, didn't socially distance. I held their hands. I took them to speech therapy because I saw firsthand what being on remote speech therapy with a nonverbal six-year-old child on the autism spectrum, how difficult that could be, and I went in person, even though I have a BMI over 30 and would have easily gotten a medical accommodation to work from home that year, but I worked in person and I was happy to do so. And then I came back in September of 2021 to the threat of the vaccine mandate. I applied for a religious accommodation and was denied. I was given 24 hours to appeal. I was denied and I was placed on leave without pay. I did not sign the waiver because I did not want to waive my rights, and I felt as a tenured teacher, if you're going to fire me, then charge me with something and tell me that I'm doing something wrong and serve me with a 3020A. I was then told to reapply with the citywide panel, and I did, and I was denied again, appealed, and denied again. I was not allowed to work anywhere within the five boroughs for several months because I was not vaccinated. I was walking dogs on Rover. My family took a more than 50

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR 115
2	percent pay cut, and I eventually got a position
3	working in Nassau County, and I took a more than 40
4	percent pay cut to work there and, if I do want to go
5	back to the DOE, I was told I would have to reapply
6	as a brand new employee. I would not have tenure. I
7	would become a probationary teacher again. I would
8	have to reapply for all my salary…
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time has expired. Thank
10	you.
11	ANASTASIA CHRISTOPOULOS: I would not I'm
12	sorry.
13	CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Your time has
14	expired. Please conclude.
15	ANASTASIA CHRISTOPOULOS: Okay. Okay. And
16	in conclusion, I hope that you all support Resolution
17	5 and get us reinstated and made whole. Thank you so
18	much.
19	CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so
20	much, Anastasia.
21	Up next, we have Jean. Jean, we do not
22	have your last name so if you could please identify
23	yourself for the record with your complete name.
24	Thank you.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts.

2 JEAN JEAN: Hi. Good afternoon. My first name is Jean and my last name is Jean. That might 3 4 have been part of the confusion. I am here to support Resolution 5. Also, I was a teacher, a veteran teacher, 17 years in the Department of Education, 6 7 special education teacher. I did not get the vaccine. 8 I attempted a religious exemption. I was denied twice, and I was put on leave without pay on October 4th. Same as my other colleagues from all City 10 11 agencies, there was a lack of communication, a lack of information, a lack of outreach from union, from 12 13 DOE, from any City agency to help guide us in what we 14 needed to do or what was happening to us. I was not 15 offered any type of 3020A hearing. By October 18th, I was under so much stress from my principal calling 16 17 and harassing me to see if I was coming back to work. 18 I had to resign. Under the arbitration agreement, I 19 was anticipating being able to collect some 20 unemployment to be able to at least support myself 21 until I could figure out my next steps, hoping that I 2.2 would be able to get my job back in the City school 2.3 system. I was a stellar employee. I never took a day off. This really was a very emotional, stressful time 24 25 for me. And losing a career, I not only lost a part

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of who I am but I also lost 100 colleagues. I lost something that I educated myself with 30 credits above my master's degree at the highest part of my payroll, where now I'm working and piecemealing four different jobs just to make half the money. At the time when I decided to sign the waiver, I was under so much stress because I didn't know how I would support myself like many of my other colleagues, some of them wound up homeless, I could not see myself go in that direction, so my decision was to just sign the waiver but move forward and try to put back together pieces of my life hoping that the mandate would be lifted and I could get my job back. When the mandate was lifted, I did attempt to reach out to several principals that I knew, no one returned my call. HR did not return my call so I am still steering through the grapevine, the same information that all my colleagues I support and verify, that they also only heard that if you go back you start at zero. You have to work hard to get back to where you were, you have to apply for all your salaries, you have to find a school that could afford to hire you back, starting at the starting teacher salary but having to know that they've been here 17 years...

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you. Thank you for coming and for testifying.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Up next we have Krista O'Dea.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts.

KRISTA O'DEO: Hi. My name is Krista
O'Dea, and I'm a former FDNY rescue paramedic. I was
employed with the FDNY since 2005, began as an EMT
and, upon completion of top class, went to Station 38
in Brooklyn where I worked for three years before
being accepted into medic school. I attended
Paramedic Basic Nine at the EMS academy and graduated
in 2008. I worked as a paramedic at Station 58 in
Brooklyn for five years and during this time I

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

for being a paramedic, I currently work as a 9-1-1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

paramedic at Robert Wood Johnson Barnabas Health in New Jersey. My religious exemption was accepted without issue. There was no panel opinions deciding whether my beliefs were valid, and I am still employed as a paramedic for Robert Wood to this day, a little over two years. It was very interesting to me that I could do the same exact job in New Jersey that I was doing in New York City, just across the bridge, able to practice as a paramedic, which begs me to question what happens in the event mutual aid is requested by New York. According to the Emergency Management Assistance Compact, if I were to be deployed to assist as a paramedic in New York, I "work for the agency that requested" me and would be "under the operational control of that agency". I would then be working for the very same agency that fired me because of my vaccination status. Additionally, on the EMAC website, under license and reciprocity, it states that whenever any person holds a license, certificate, or other permit issued by any state evidencing the meeting of qualifications, such person shall be deemed licensed, certified, or permitted by the state requesting assistance. To clarify, I would be able to work in New York City as

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Krista, we're going to need you to conclude. Please conclude.

KRISTA O'DEA: I am also a named plaintiff in the NYFRL, the New Yorkers for Religious Liberty versus City of New York case, which is still being heard by the attorneys Nelson Madden-Black, ADF, Freedom Council, with assistance from attorneys Sujata Gibson, Christina Martinez, and (INAUDIBLE) Mendenhall.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you.

KRISTA O'DEA: The damage can be repaired, and there's always a time to change. Please approve

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

2.2

2.3

2 Reso 5 and hire back all those who have lost their 3 jobs. (INAUDIBLE) Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: (INAUDIBLE) Okay,
I'm going to remind panelists it is two minutes. We
have been very generous with time allowing people to
go over, but going over doesn't mean speaking for an
additional five minutes. Please respect the rules of
this Chamber and of this Council. Two minutes. If you
go a little over, we're giving you grace to speak
because this is your moment to speak, and we
understand the severity of the case you're bringing
forward, but not for an extra five minutes, please.

So up next, we have Zena Wouadjou, and I'm sorry, I know I'm messing up your last name. If you could re-identify yourself and correct the record.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts.

ZENA WOUADJOU: My last name is Wouadjou. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Yes, we can hear you. Thank you.

ZENA WOUADJOU: Okay, thank you. I was a New York City public school teacher for 22 years, serving in my school as the only ENL teacher for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

school that had a high population of English language learners as well as an alternative school that serves young people who are returning to school. I was removed from my position and placed on a leave without pay in October 2021. Some of the impacts of that, because it was extended through my, to me, kind of runaround exemption appeals process, which lasts for six months. As a part of that LWOP status, I was restricted from seeking gainful employment elsewhere. I was also restricted from seeking unemployment benefits and from seeking food and nutrition benefits, which were needed in my household, as I was the sole income provider in my home. Some long-term effects have been since the termination that happened in the spring of 2022. A depletion of my savings during the leave without pay period, where I had no other resources except what I had saved. Being significantly reduced in terms of my retirement income, which I was told when I pursued an appointment with the retirement specialist, who also told me to just take the shot and go back and then I wouldn't have a problem, that I will not be able to recover that because that time was not pensionable and I have not been paying into the system. I also

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

religious exemption got denied. I also practiced my rights to not disclose if I was vaccinated or not, which I never did. I did comply with the weekly testing despite of that because I was coerced to do that or have to be escorted outside of the building. I lost a secure job that I trusted. After I joined the Marine Corps, I did get my associate degree. I didn't continue because I trusted the City to keep me employed. I've been impacted by the termination. My family has struggled to eat, to keep the utilities on. We've had to sell our house, get rid of our animals. That's even including farm animals because I had a dream home. I was happy to be in the FDNY. I support the Reso. 5 for us to go back to our jobs, but in the same time, I would like to feel some security that once we go back to our jobs, we have the option of retiring. Because of the stigma that's been created, the Division has been prejudiced that we're the unvaccinated. It's caused a little bit of a stir at the Division is what I would say. I don't really trust the City anymore that I fought for overseas. I honestly feel betrayed and I almost lost my family because of the emotional trauma that is caused within my family. I have a really hard time

you that, did they give you a reason that you were

not being allowed to come back to work even though

the court mandated that you do, that you won your

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JAVIER VAZQUEZ: Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DE LA ROSA: Thank you so much for coming and testifying, sharing your story.

If you are currently on Zoom and wish to speak but have not yet had the opportunity to do so, please use the raise hand function and our Staff will unmute you.

Seeing no hands, I would like to note that everyone can submit written testimony at testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing.

To conclude, I would like to thank my

Colleagues, Ms. Ariola, who's still here, and all of
you who came out to share your stories, making sure
that the administration of benefits for City
employees is a more seamless process as well as the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR Staff who have helped to prepare this hearing as well. They're all City employees. We want to thank them for coming, for allowing us to have this important hearing on City benefits. In addition, we would also like to thank all of you who are still sitting in this room for sharing your stories. As I said before, this is not the first time you're here. We're looking forward to getting Resolution 5 passed and for all of you to be reinstated. I'd like to take a moment to express my thank you for all of your interest and your advocacy today. Thank you for all the work that you do and for your collective service to our City. With that, this hearing is now concluded, and I hope everyone makes it home safe. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 22, 2024