1	COM	MITTEE ON EDUCATION	1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
3		Y	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF THE		
5	Of the		
6	COMMITTEE ON EDUC		
7			
8		September 24, 2019	
9		Start: 1:12 p.m. Recess: 6:15 p.m.	
10			
11	HELD AT:	Committee Room - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	Mark Treyger, Chairperson	
13		onarr person	
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:		
15		Alicka Ampry-Samuel Inez D. Barron	
16		Joseph C. Borelli Justin L. Brannan	
17		Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Daniel Dromm	
18		Barry S. Grodenchik Ben Kallos	
19		Andy L. King Brad S. Lander	
20		Stephen T. Levin Mark Levine	
21		Farah N. Louis Ydanis A. Rodriguez	
22		Deborah L. Rose Rafael Salamanca, Jr.	
23		Eric A. Ulrich	
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 2
2	APPEARANCES
3	Anthony Ramos
4	Actor and singer
5	Sara Steinweiss
6	Founder of Conflict Resolution Systems
7	Linda Chen Chief Academic Officer at the Department of
8	Education
9	Alice Brown
10	Senior Executive Director for Policy and Evaluation
11	Allen Chang
12	Acting Superintendent for New York City
13	Consortium Schools, Internationals and New York City Outward Bound
14	Jeannie Ferrari
15	Principal of Humanities Preparatory Academy
16	Larissa Tehada
17	Graduated from Humanities Preparatory Academy this past June as Valedictorian
18	
19	Brian Pimentel Senior at Humanities Preparatory Academy in
20	Chelsey
21	Cheyenne Penya
22	Senior at Humanities Preparatory Academy
23	Lucca Quillio Senior at Humanities Preparatory Academy
24	Connie Delagraze Raios
	Committee Delagraze Natos

From a PBAT school

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 3
2	Marlinda Lee
3	Parent
4	Ashley Grant Attorney at Advocates for Children
5	Ann Cook
6	Co-founder Co-director of Urban Academy
7	Jonathan Katz
8	Math Staff Developer
9	Tasfia Rahman
10	Policy Coordinator at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families
11	Emily Carrazana
12	Class Size Matters
13	Robin Brosche
14	Parent of 9 th and 6 th graders
15	Peter Goodman
16	CCNY Capon and the President of the Education Alumni at City College
17	Mike McQuillan
18	Teacher of 18 years at Leaders High School
19	Lori Gummow
20	retired New York City Department of Education Special Educator
21	
22	Michael Roffman Founder and Executive Director of Adult School
23	Research and Design
24	Kemala Karmen
25	Cofounder of NYC Opt-Out

Joanna Miller

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 4
2	Director of the Education Policy Center at the
3	New York Civil Liberties Union
4	Tamara Gayer Parent of 4 th grader
5	Susan Horwitz
6	Supervising Attorney of the Education Law Project
7	at the Legal Aid Society Civil Practice
8	Jennifer Gabrey[SP?] Teacher at Hunter College
9	
1,0	Dermot Miry Educator
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: [GAVEL] Okay, well, I'll tell you, this room is almost as packed as a Hamilton show on Broadway.

Alright, good afternoon and welcome to today's hearing. I am Council Member Mark Treyger, Chair of the Education Committee.

Today, we will be examining Breaking Testing

Culture. Evaluating Multiple Pathways to Determine

Student Mastery.

Before we get started, I just want to note we've been joined by Council Member Kallos and other members will be filing in shortly.

Each year, New York City's Department of
Education administers high stakes standardized tests
to students throughout the school system. These
exams include grades 3-8 state English Language Arts,
ELA and math tests; grades 4 and 8 science tests,
state tests to identify English Language Learners are
now called multilingual learners; language
achievement tests and ultimate assessments for
students with disabilities.

Additionally, the DOE administers some city tests to students who opt to take such exams including gifted and talented tests; second language

proficiency exams; advanced placement and college entrance exams including the SAT and ACT. Finally, all New York City students in elementary, middle, and high schools take periodic assessments in multiple subjects several times throughout the school year to give teachers more information about what students have learned.

That's a lot of tests and I'm not even considering tests that teachers create and give students throughout the year in addition to quizzes.

A 2015 study by the Council of the great city schools found that the average student in America's big city public school takes approximately 112 mandatory, standardized tests between pre-kindergarten and the end of 12th grade.

One test that I haven't mentioned yet is the State Regents Exams, that students in New York are required to pass in order to receive a high school diploma. There is growing discontent over the use of such exit exams as research has shown that Exit exams increase dropout rates particularly among low income students of color while not increasing achievement or adult incomes for graduates.

As a result, many states have eliminated Exit exams and there are only 11 states with graduation tests in place, down from a high of 27. Recently, the New York State Board of Regions convened a commission to help consider whether to continue use of the Regents Exit Exams in New York.

Students have multiple intelligences and the current assessments only capture a fraction of what students can do. We need to follow the research and science on education and tap into accessing and celebrating our students multiple intelligences. We need to build a system that fully captures our students abilities and talents.

And I would like to take a moment to welcome our very special guests, the musician and actor and proud high school graduate Anthony Ramos. You may know him as one of the stars of the Broadway musical Hamilton. He will be speaking about his experience and I want to let him and his wonderful drama teacher and my former colleague Sara Steinweiss to tell their story shortly.

But let me just say that our school system did not do everything possible to recognize and celebrate Anthony's talents and we need to make sure that

students in performing arts, music or other creative endeavors are being not only nurtured in an academic setting but also celebrated for their extraordinary talents that a single high stakes tests like a Regents, simply cannot capture.

What's also very troubling is that because there is so much writing on tests, there's been a lot of teaching to the test. That is focusing instruction on what is on the Regents and other tests and spending less time on what's not on the test.

In fact, studies show that across the nation, there has been a narrowing of the curriculum with many schools reducing the time spent on science, social studies and the arts in order to focus more time on reading and math, the subjects tested the most. Teachers are well aware of this and often say, if it isn't tested, it isn't taught.

As a former high school history teacher, I always

— I felt disturbed about how much pressure I was

under and my colleagues were under to focus strictly

on the Regents exam to boost students scores and just

to make the system look good. And I often felt

frustrated that I couldn't teach in more depth on

issues of greater impact on students lives.

Some critics of testing content that schools are turning into test prep factories, with far too much time spent on preparing for and taking in scoring tests. That's time taken away from other essential subjects like the arts and physical education and other activities that really engage students.

Parents across the country ad city are angered by what they perceive as excessive testing and have launched petitions and boycotts or have chosen to opt their children out of high stakes testing all together.

New York State has one of the highest test opt out rates in the country. Parents and advocates say that excessive testing and test prep rob students and teachers of motivation and joy in school.

Further, teaching to the test narrows curriculum and instruction. Thereby limiting kids world rather than expanding their horizons. Instead, they advocate for replacing standardized multiple-choice exams with alternative performance-based assessments that measure how well students apply their knowledge, skills and abilities to authentic real-world problems.

_

Examples of performance-based assessments include: Student portfolios; projects; oral presentations; experiments, exhibitions and dance; drama; or music performances; among others.

In fact, New York performance standards consortium, a network of about 35 schools in New York City has been using only performance-based assessments in its schools for over 20 years and received a waiver from state requirements in order to do so.

The majority of consortium schools outperform the average for traditional DOE schools and have higher graduation rates for students with disabilities and multilingual learners than the citywide numbers.

I was fortunate to visit a consortium school recently and was extremely impressed by what I saw. There are very promising results in many areas at these schools and I wonder who in the DOE is looking at these schools best practices? Is anyone at DOE sharing these best practices? Are there more schools that want to adopt these methods? These are just some of the questions I have for the DOE later today.

Clearly, this is an important topic and we have a lot to examine today about the use of testing, as

2.2

well as alternative assessments in New York City
schools.

As a former teacher, I wholeheartedly understand the importance of measuring students understanding the critical content material. However, I question if relying solely on high stakes standardized testing is the right answer.

For one thing, teaching to the test takes the joy out of teaching for teachers and I am concerned that these exams are driving the best teachers out of the classroom. I am also concerned about the mental health of our students and that these exams are causing some to undergo anxiety and have panic attacks. I even heard from one hospital administrator that they can predict when the local schools are administering exams because of ER visits by students that arrive sharply on those test days. Equally concerning, is the amount of money and time DOE invests in preparing for and administering these high stakes exams. This money and time could be most effectively spent elsewhere.

Today's hearing will provide an opportunity for the administration to address such concerns among others. It will also provide an opportunity for

students, educators, parents and experts to share their concerns and provide recommendation regarding alternative ways and more effective ways to measure student mastery.

I also want to thank everyone who is testifying today. I want to thank the City Counsel staff for their work, Malcolm Butehorn the Committee Counsel, Jan Atwell Policy Analyst, Kalima Johnson Policy Analyst, Chelsea Baytemur Financial Analyst. I want to thank my Chief of Staff Anna Scaife and my Policy Director Vanessa Ogle.

Also, we've been joined by Council Member Lander, Council Member King, Council Member Holden, Council Member Borelli, Council Member Grodenchik, Council Member Barron. And before we hear from our star panel, I'd like for the Council Staff, if they could play for the audience and the public this very powerful You Tube animation from Story Booth about the story of Anthony Ramos.

[YOU TUBE ANIMATION PLAYING 15:41-15:54]

[ANTHONY RAMOS'S VOICE ON YOU TUBE]

So, I grew up in Bushwick Brooklyn, I grew up in the projects with a single mom and you know, it was funny, my mom used to say to me when I was a kid, I

2.2

2 used to sit by the window and say, I don't want to be 3 here anymore.

She would laugh, she would be like, ha, ha, ha, ha, ha, well, where do you want to be Baba? And I would be like, I don't know, I don't know. I had dreams for myself that I couldn't actually like vocalize. Couldn't say the words. I felt like I was just locked in this box and I needed to be free of this box that I feel like I was in.

I always enjoyed singing; I would sing at the family events. I would sing at Christmas, I would sing at Thanksgiving but in my junior year, I went and auditioned for what I thought was a talent show. My director, her name is Sara Steinweiss, she said, hey, what are you singing for us? I said, I am singing Ordinary People by John Legend.

She was like, okay, go ahead. I sang my song, I went okay, great, thanks. She was like, hold on, give me the lines. I said, I'm sorry Miss, I don't do lines. And she was like, what? Do you know what this is? And I'm like, yeah, a talent show. She was like, no, it's a musical. I said, wow, hold up. I didn't sign up to audition for a musical.

She was like, well, since you are here, can you do these lines. And I'm like, alright, cool, whatever. So, the next day, I was selected to play the character of Zeus.

Fast forward, I get on stage, I get this overwhelming feeling that came over me and I'm like, I couldn't define it, but later on I realized that it was this sense of joy and the sense of belonging that I hadn't felt in my entire life.

You know when you see a movie and it's like you see a superhero getting their powers like Spiderman. Like how a spider bit him and all of a sudden, he's like spitting webs from his wrists. You know, like, I'm not saying I turned into Spiderman, but it felt like I became a superhero on stage.

Fast forward, I'm ready to apply for colleges but my grades weren't that good. All of my applications had gotten withdrawn from every single school I applied to because I didn't get my financial aid forms in in time. Unfortunately, my family was going through some hard stuff and we just couldn't get the forms in in time. So, I had no school to go to.

I was doing a community theater show at the time. Sara Steinweiss, my Director in high school, comes to

rehearsal and gave me a pamphlet and she says, you need to audition for this school.

I'm like, I can't. This is not a school that a kid like me can afford. I don't really have any actual formal training. She was like, I don't care, go for it.

I was like, alright, let's go. And I do the audition and I get the call. I get into the school and I'm like, thank you so much. I fall to my knees and I'm like praying, I'm like God, wow, thank you, thank you, thank you.

Next thing you know, I get the welcome packet and then I see the magical page with the numbers. I was going to have to take a loan for more money than I could ever afford. And my reality set in. You are poor from the Projects; you didn't get a scholarship, and this is where your dream stops.

Then, Sara Steinweiss comes to our rehearsal.

She says, hey, I gave your name to the Jerry

Steinfeld Scholarship Foundation and they want to

meet you. So, I had this meeting with this amazing

woman named Kate Fenneman. I said to Kate, you know,

I don't need anybody to give me a handout. All I

need is someone to give me a shot. Somebody to just

2.2

2 give me a shot. I am going to give it all I got.

3 I'm crying, she's crying, like, alright peace, I
4 qotta go.

Kate calls, she says, hey, we want to pay for your school for all four years, and immediately, my life changed. My mother and I will never forget it, we were in the living room and we both fell to our knees and we both cried. Because we knew in that moment, that was a chance for me to do something that was beyond anything that any of us could have imagined or have ever experienced before.

Fast forward, I end up going to musical theater school. I studied and worked my tail off. I kept going and I worked hard. Today, I can gratefully say that I have lived some dreams out and I still am with that. I could never imagine as a kid from being in the biggest musical in the history of Broadway and Hamilton to working on a movie that seems to be changing peoples lives and a star is born to now staring in the Heights in the movie version playing the lead role when I never thought that there would ever be a lead role for me because there weren't many lead characters that looked like me. That were Latin, who came from where I came from.

But I thank God that when you dream big enough, your dreams sometimes become bigger than reality.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I think we could just close the hearing right now. That was powerful and I just want to note we've also been joined by Council Member Brannan and Council Member Louis and with that, I would like to turn the microphone over to Anthony Ramos.

ANTHONY RAMOS: Okay, word, thanks man. I feel kind of weird because I have my back to all of you, so hello everyone back here and all the folks in front of me. Hello, thanks for coming. I am grateful to be here. Thank you, Sara Steinweiss, that was crazy watching it like that, it's wild.

So, I didn't write anything down, so here we go.

I wasn't a great test taker in high school. I am not even going to lie, my grades are not that good and if it hadn't been for the theatre guild — you know, I played baseball and that kept me focused, that kept me coming to school and I think we should keep sports in schools. I think sports is so important, but I feel that theater — programs like the theatre guild and like peer mediation, where actually two students can sit in the middle of a table and be in between

two or more students that have just gotten into some kind of fight or some kind of argument and just get to the bottom of it between them, without any adults involved but with the training of someone who is experienced in this, can change lives. And I've seen it, I've seen it happen in our school and both those programs got cut from the New Utrecht High School.

And it's always about money right, but we live in the greatest city in the world and there's so much money in New York and I feel like we could find the money if we wanted to and you know, again, my grades weren't that good but as you saw in that story, usually I tell that story, but thank God you played a video, so I don't have to go through that; telling that story all over again and I'm just grateful for teachers like Sara Steinweiss who changed my life and believed in me.

You know, I auditioned for performing art schools like LaGuardia and such and I didn't get in because of my grades. It had nothing to do with my talent, at least I don't think but all that to say, is you know, thank God again for people like Kate Fenneman, who I sat across from and who gave me a chance. She saw my grades; I had a C average. Like, it was like,

2.2

who wants to give a kid with a C average a scholarship.

I failed the Earth Science Regents three times.

I failed that test three times, failed biology once,

I failed math once. I barely got a Regents diploma;

I almost didn't graduate because I failed my Earth

Science Regents three times.

And, thank God we found another way, right. And I think all that to say is tests aren't everything.

I wish that the theatre guild was a part of the curriculum. I wish it was mandatory for me to go to theatre guild. You wouldn't have to drag me out of bed to do something that I was that passionate about.

I wish we spent more time finding those things that kids are passionate about. I know we only get a certain amount of hours allotted in the school day, but I feel like there are a lot of smart people that are assigned in certain positions to help find solutions to these questions. And I feel like if we work just a little harder, we might be able to find a way to bring the best out of students without it falling so hard on their test scores. Because again, I was not a good test taker but I'm so grateful today

2.2

2 that I can sit before you and say that my life isn't
3 so bad.

I've been blessed to do some amazing things and work with some amazing people and live a comfortable life. Not because of my test scores but because of what people saw in me. What another human saw in my eyes, what another human saw in my ability far beyond a score on a paper.

So, I didn't come here to preach to anybody, but
I just came here to give you my personal experience
and in my personal experience, if it hadn't been for
the theatre guild, if it hadn't been for the
rehearsal being heard. Just seeing beyond the
grades, Kate Fenneman seeing beyond the grades and
just looking at the human and being like, there is
more to him than what I see on this paper, I wouldn't
be sitting before you today. I probably wouldn't
have this story to tell you. There wouldn't be a
story or a cartoon for us to play today.

So, I just as a former student of the New York

City public school system and a New York Native,

Brooklyn Native, I just ask that if can push a little

harder to find ways to keep the funding for these

programs and focus less on the testing and more — or

2.2

let's balance it out maybe, right? Oh, well, this

3 kid is weak in testing but their very strong in these

4 extra curriculars. Which don't actually have to be

5 extra curriculars, these extra curriculars maybe can

6 become a part of the curriculum.

I'm just spit balling you know, like, maybe we can take some of these things that are extra curriculars — I don't use math in my life. Only when I add the money, I paid for whatever I just bought. If I'm going to be honest. Right, I don't use algebra, but best believe I use the skills she taught me in theatre guild everyday of my life.

When I step on the set, the things I learned in peer mediation, how to treat people, conflict resolution, I use that in my life every single day and I wish I had more of that, but I didn't because again, we only have so many hours of school and they were filled with history, US history. Which even in that class I didn't learn anything about Latin history. I didn't learn anything about my ancestors in Puerto Rico, I had to look for that on my own as an adult, right.

But all that to say, is I just hope that we can — because I am probably running out of time, I was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

supposed to time myself and I didn't. But all that to say, is I hope we, as in us, me being the regular person that just lives everyday and you, who work here and do this every single day and this is what you do, if we can work together to figure out ways to help students find the things that they are truly passionate about. And again, hopefully focus less on the A minus or the F that they got on that test. also, put more social workers in schools, maybe even one can make a difference. Just someone that kids can talk to and say, you know, my life is hard right now. I can't even focus in class, because that was a big reason why I couldn't focus in class because my life was crazy when I was 17. I was going through some things that a 17-year-old should never go through.

And all I needed was just somebody to maybe get it off my — to help me get it off my chest and just tell me it's going to be okay. And then, I'd be like, okay, maybe it is and then go to my next class and then hopefully — and which it did. It helped me focus. I had a great social worker in school named Jason Jacobs, who is not here right now, but he was

2 ano

another person who was amazing, an integral in my graduating.

But anyway, all that to say is I hope we can focus less on tests and more on theatre guild and more on programs like peer mediation and let's maybe get one more social worker in these public schools.

Because these kids are going through a lot and there's like 35 kids in a class and it's kind of hard to focus on one person talking. There's like 35 kids, that's 35 different issues happening in all of their heads and one person trying to deal with that, as well as teach something that they probably don't even want to teach because that was just assigned to them because that's the curriculum.

But anyway, I'm going on and on but thank you for listening to me today and I hope that again, let's just try to bring out the best in the students and I know I'm just like some person who acts and sings or whatever, but I'd love to help in anyway if anybody cares or is open to thoughts and opinions.

Because I did go through the New York public school system and I experienced this, and I did take Regents and failed many of them. But again, life turned out okay and again, because of teachers like

2.2

Sara, because of teachers like Jason Jacobs who

listened to me when I was having a hard time and

almost getting evicted and my dad was on drugs and

all sorts of craziness and he took the time to just —

he was hired and payed to sit with students like

7 myself and counsel them through these things.

And teachers like Ms. Hal Violette[SP?] who was the peer mediation teacher. And if it hadn't been for that, I think I wouldn't have graduated.

Baseball was important for sure, but theatre guild and these other programs were equally, if not more important in my growing process and in me graduating and me becoming an adult who has a heart for contributing to society.

So, again, thank you so much for hearing me out and I hope that helped a little bit and have a blessed day. Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, we're going to hear from the amazing Ms. Steinweiss shortly, but you know, Anthony there are so many powerful inspiring stories embedded in what we just heard, but one of the most interesting ironies is for one of the most talented performers to ever hit the Broadway stage, our school system labeled him as underperforming.

2.

2.2

Think about that, one of the most talented individuals to hit the stage of Broadway, was labeled underperforming by our school system. He is an extraordinary talent and we're grateful to all those supports that were there in addition to your hard work to help make your success possible. And this Council fully hears you and supports you on more social workers in schools as well.

With that, I'd like to turn over to the extraordinary Ms. Sara Steinweiss.

SARA STEINWEISS: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. It's an honor to be here. I want to piggyback off of what Anthony said, but share a little bit of my story.

I am also a product of the New York City public school system. Born and raised in Brooklyn and still living in Brooklyn. And when I was going through the New York City public school system, my mother was the president of every PTA known to man. She was a force of nature, as they said when I was growing up.

And the most pivotal thing in my life happened on February 14, 1983 when we all came home from school and my father, who was also a New York City public school teacher. He taught musical education in Bed-

2.2

2 Stuy, had a massive heart attack in front of all of us and died.

And what I took from that day when my entire life changed, was how many of his students showed up at my house. That they had to rent buses for his kids to come to my house and to come to his funeral and that stuck with me. In my house, there was a poem that hung that one of his students wrote about him. About how he is leading the celestial band in heaven. And I knew at that moment, at 5 and 7 years old when I kept reading that, that I wanted to finish the job that he started.

So, I decided then that I wanted to be a teacher. And when I was in the public-school system, even though my mom was the president of every PTA and involved in every single thing, I was a kid who struggled.

By the time I was in the 5th grade, my uncle who lived in my house was arrested and went away to prison for 12 years and in our household, we had to say that he went to college but he was in prison and I wasn't allowed to talk about that because we were a strong, tight family that pushed through. And then I was raised by two very strong females who raised 7

2.2

classmates.

kids and every time I went through school; I was completely lost. Nobody saw me, least of all, my

And in elementary school, I started to self-harm. The first time I ever cut myself was when I was in the 5th grade because I was being made fun of so much that I didn't know what to do and nobody knew that story until I turned 30 and I started sharing that with other kids.

And as I move through elementary school, I never had a connection and my mom was involved. She was an involved parent, but I was a good liar because kids who are hurting, kids who have a lot on their plate, we often feel unseen. And if you're in environments like Anthony and I grew up in, in certain paths, we don't want to bring more to our single mothers plate that they already have, and we know how to survive and get through the day.

So, when I went to middle school, there was a program called the RAP program, which was led by the social worker, who I always say saved my life. And then a school social worker came in and saved my life again because I met with him on a week to week basis.

2.2

2 And it built in me knowing that I wanted to be the 3 teacher that sees kids.

So, when I went to high school, I wasn't that kid that sat and was sulking, I won most popular. I was President of the school; I was the Mayor of the school. I ran every program but on any given day, if I really thought about my life, I could have ended it and it wasn't until a teacher ran a theater class in my speech class that I said, maybe I should start a theater program.

And I went to my Principal as a senior in high school and I said, I want to start a theater program, there is nothing going on here. And he said, we don't have funding for that. I said, I will talk to my friends, we'll get things going. And together, a few of my friends wrote a script, raised some money, begged a few teachers and the New Utrecht High School Theatre Guild was born in 1995 when I was a senior in high school.

And that foundation was a platform for me to be seen and heard and that became my moto as a teacher.

My quote that I say all the time that I've said to my kids for years is, I see you. When no one else sees you, I have your back and I see you.

And as I started teaching, I first started teaching at Canarsie High School, the first thing that I started at Canarsie High School and I was only there for six short months because I was excessed.

The first thing I started at Canarsie, I went to the Principal of Canarsie High School and I said, can I start a theater program? Yes, no problem.

So, I took \$50 of my own money and I started a theater program and just got kids together to talk to them. Because what people don't realize is what goes into theater, is not just what you come to see on show night. What goes into theater is reading a script, annotating a script, understanding your character, putting together a play bill, doing the research on your characters. Figuring out the finances that we have to do and how we fund this. How do we build the sets? Where do we get the money from? Where do we network? We need some lighting, how do we run a lighting board? We need some sound; how do we get sound in here?

So, when you're doing that, a true theater program is student led. They have to figure out how to get that money. I look to them to say, how are we doing this today? How are we getting through it?

And it was an honor for me to be at there for the 13			
years that I was there to build this program. But			
what Anthony said, resonates so profoundly because he			
is the face of thousands of kids that have gone			
through my classroom. That's what he is. One of my			
students who was my stage manager, I just want to			
give you a quick tidbit on her. She was my stage			
manager for years and she was the valedictorian, she			
graduated a few years before Anthony. She was the			
valedictorian at New Utrecht High School, and she got			
a full scholarship to Cornell and it was a big thing			
for New Utrecht High Schools, because we had a child			
who got a full scholarship to Cornell and what			
Cornell looked at was her SAT scores, her Regents			
exams, the fact that she was the President of the			
school. She was the Captain of the softball team;			
she ran the theatre guild backstage for me. But what			
Cornell also made her do was in order for her to			
accept the scholarship, she had to leave the day of			
graduation and go sit in a summer program at Cornell			
with other inner-city school children who received			
scholarships to do a two month summer program or they			
would have taken her scholarship away, because			

2.2

Cornell knew that New York City public school kids
were not prepared for an ivy league education.

That's something huge, because our kids who are graduating, as an English teacher, because that's what I taught and Council Member Treyger spoke about, teaching to the test. I refused, I got into a little trouble with my AP sometimes, because I refused to teach 10th and 11th grade. It was not allowed to be on my program, I wanted freshman and seniors. The reason for that is because the students in New York City public school systems take their English Regents in the 11th grade.

So, if I'm teaching 10th and 11th grade, I don't get to teach. I have to do test prep from the day they walk into my class until the day they pass that Regents.

So, as a freshman, I get to teach. I get to teach you how to love literature. How to understand, how to critic literature. How to look at characters, how to grow through literature and as a senior, I get to prepare you for life.

I wasn't allowed to do that in the 10th and 11th grade. What I had to do was teach you how to write to a critical lens and put some literary elements and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

make sure you had three of them, but if your punctuation wasn't okay and you didn't have sentences correctly or you didn't write with a capital letter, that's okay as long as I could figure out what you were saying, you had to pass that Regents.

So, now, we have these students graduating with a Regents diplomas and what we tell them, is you are prepared to go out into this world. Yet, if you look at the statistics from colleges, most of our students are stuck in remedial classes for one to two years before they're even allowed to take any of their other classes because colleges know these kids have been ill prepared and they don't know how to sit as a college student.

So, needless to say, in all of this and going through a lot of this, in 2011 I decided to resign from the Department of Education, because I wasn't okay with being immoral anymore. I think the Department of Education is a profound system. think that we do a lot of tremendous, phenomenal work for your kids but there is a great deal and room and need for improvement. And hearings like this, are the beginning of something extremely exciting for someone like me, because it's about time we're

2.2

talking about looking at alternate ways to access

3 students.

The students that came through the theater program, on any given day would come out of class at three o'clock and not leave that building until 11 p.m. They did an extra day of school in rehearsal. So, when they're rehearsing, their learning leadership, their learning perseverance, their learning commitment, their learning how to read. They are learning how to take what they read and process it and put it together, how to speak to people. How to be a part of something greater than themselves.

ANTHONY RAMOS: And trying to do homework.

SARA STEINWEISS: Yes, he's right and on top of that I had to give them time to do homework in addition to that because I would weasel that in, in some way. And they also learned how to balance schedules. Things that we all do every single day, that I couldn't teach on any critical lens essay that I taught. But when it came time to graduation, I would sit with the list that I would get from the office of all my kids in theatre guild who were not going to graduate.

And I was brought in because I was always notoriously brought in, because I had kids who I would literally walk to the corner store and say, oh, we're cutting out again today. Come inside, I have something for you to do. I don't sing and dance, great, why don't you type the play bill for me? I don't want to do the play bill. Awesome, you want to learn how to do a lighting board? Let's do a lighting board.

And giving them skills that are necessary for life and now when it comes time to graduate, none of those skills matter. I can't comprehend how none of those skills matter. Their time spent when they're not on the street, their not doing questionable things. I'm not going to say you did questionable things in front of people. Their not doing questionable things that can lead them down other paths, but they're really putting in their time and they want to grow and believe in themselves.

How do we as a Council, as the Department of Education, as people in the industry come together to create alternate assessment and pathways? Because I always say this, as an educator, we teach kids how to break down brick walls. That's at least what I

2.2

believe my job was and is as an educator, because

even though I resigned and then I worked for

Councilman Gentile as his education liaison, and then

I left working for Councilman Gentile and now I own

my own business running workshops and trainings for

Department of Education schools in helping teachers

understand what their job is. Teaching kids

leadership skills, helping schools grow programs

because I feel like that's where I will be of best

service, but our job is to help kids break down

walls.

What happens when you teach them to break down that wall and behind that wall is a cement wall? Now what? So, I gave people like Anthony and others this dream of here you go, but now, without Kate Fenneman and the Seinfeld Foundation, there really was no other path for us to go. Because the truth was, because his grades were so poor, scholarships were not available to him. That was just the end of it.

So, I constantly had to think out of the box, and I am grateful always that opportunities came my way for my students that led them to their path to greatness. And I think if we all work together, we can make this work this time. We really need to make

2.2

this work because if we don't, just teaching to the tests, it's proven we're losing a generation. We are losing a complete generation of kids because they don't want to stay in school, they don't want to be there, and I still cannot fathom why in this day and age, theater programs, mediation programs, conflict resolution programs, culinary programs, debate programs, mechanic programs, plumbing programs are not a part of the curriculum.

They need to be a part of the curriculum. We talk about choices with choosing different schools and having the opportunity to go to Charter schools, well, what about a kids choice to choose what they want to be in life? Where is that choice within our education system? We have the people to do it, you have dedicated people that want to do it. If we bring that all together, you bring a passion back and you bring opportunities for greatness to happen, because he is one of thousands.

So, I thank you for this opportunity. Like

Anthony, I am ready, willing and able to be on the

ground running to help in whatever way I can. To be

part of the grander conversations of what works and

what can work, because you know, it only takes one person to start the conversation to get things going.

So, I thank you all for having me today.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you, Ms. Steinweiss.
Ms. Steinweiss and Anthony are used to getting
applauses.

I will say this, and my colleagues have some questions and I will get to them. Let me be very clear, I challenge any critic of our school system to label this man underperforming. I challenge anyone to say that. That's the heart of what we're trying to get at in today's hearing and today's analysis.

Our system is not capturing the fullness of who our students are and their talents and abilities.

I will turn it over to my colleagues for questions. Just to note that you are on the clock because Anthony's schedule and also, we want to hear from the DOE. We have a lot of questions for DOE as well. We will begin with Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I want to thank you Sara

Steinweiss. We need to elevate our teachers who go

the extra mile for their students. My Sara

Steinweiss was named Steve Kallum[SP?]. He taught me
how to fix computers, he got me my first job building

websites when I was 15 and that skill and talent actually got me paid while I was running for office to this day.

So, my question to Sara Steinweiss is, how do we encourage more teachers like you and Steven Kallum to go that extra mile, how can we facilitate that? How can we support you in all those hours that you put in that you wouldn't otherwise be. That you aren't going to get paid for and just thankyou to Anthony Ramos. I don't know many people who've made it like you. Who return calls to their old friends, let alone come testify at the City Council. Believe me, I've tried.

I also grew up in a household with a single mom.

Wasn't stable at home and I find it hard to explain

to some of my colleagues here what that's like and

why it can be so hard to get those good grades when

you might not even have a desk at home to do homework

on. And for me, after school programs were a way of

not going home and they did provide a safe, nurturing

environment and I love the idea of why couldn't we

offer kids grades for some of these programs,

especially when they have an academic component. But

2.2

I guess, if both of you could just share a little bit.

SARA STEINWEISS: So, to your how do you support teachers like your teacher and myself. I think overall, the number one is to let them know they are appreciated and give them the resources that they need. Most teachers don't go into this for the money. We pretty much know we're not making any money going into this. We go into this because we want to help kids get to where they need to be in life.

And when I was at New Utrecht's the

Administration continually made it extremely

difficult for me to run this program, because as

directives came down from the Department of

Education, that we needed to start going with the

common core and work to the test, all the money and

funding went to everything in that realm or into

sports activities. And it felt that what I did for

our kids was not worthy and not important. And I

really think at the end of the day, if you see a

teacher, you know how I said, I see you when no one

else sees you, the same holds true for teachers.

Teachers need to be put back on the pedestal that I

3

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

don't know who took them off of, but they are not the enemy. Teachers are there to do their job and in

4 order for us to do our job, we need resources. If we

5 don't have the resources to do our job, we talk about

6 equity in education. Equity in education is giving

7 the teachers in all backgrounds, in all areas the

resources they need to provide it for all of their

kids. Without that, it makes our job ten times

10 harder.

So, to keep teachers like us, to bring teachers like me and some of my colleagues who have left and who are in the system now, it's just do whatever you all can to continue to work with the Department of Education to lift teachers up rather than make them the enemy.

ANTHONY RAMOS: Yeah, I mean, Sara said it beautifully, but it's support. It's just support and it's not that hard.

SARA STEINWEISS: No, it's not.

ANTHONY RAMOS: It really isn't, I've seen some amazing things happen for \$5,000. We can find money when we need it and in this case, when it comes to — if a teacher says I have X amount of students who are thriving in this but we are on the verge of losing

_

this program if we don't do X, Y, and Z, I think it is a crime to not go the extra mile to try and help that teacher get what they need to keep that program going.

You know, and I think sometimes we just give up because we're like, ah, we just don't have it. Well, work harder. If we got \$800 million — we got a wrestling team, we got a swimming team, we got a tennis team, we got a team for everything. Why can't we keep this one theater program here? Why can't we keep this one mediation program? We got a million teams, and no one goes to the games. What are we doing? Right, and I'm just like, I think it's really about the assistant principals. The principals, the Deans, when a teacher comes to you and says I really feel passionate about this and I think that the kids can succeed and thrive if we do this.

We at least owe it to the teachers to listen to them right. When you all have issues right, you hope that when you bring these issues to whoever you're bringing them to, that they at least listen. They may not give you what you want, but we hope that we have concerns and we bring them to the people who can

solve these problems. We at least hope that they

listen because we feel like that is owed to us.

So, I feel it's reciprocation, right. Resources; let me at least hear you and I can give you the reality. If we don't have the money, I will tell you to your face, we don't have it. But we can try right, we'll try and again, I can't promise you, but we will try. And then we do that and honor our word, right, but I feel like you know, I just think it's support. You know, somebody says I think these students can succeed if we do this, if we keep this and just hearing them out and listening to them and actually doing what we can and going the extra mile to make that happen for them. Because in turn, that will only make teachers work harder. That will only make teachers want to come to work.

I don't know about you all, but if you all felt like, again, whoever you're bringing these issues to was actually listening to you, wouldn't that make you want to work extra hours because we're making progress, we're making progress, we're doing this.

You know, so, it's about support and just listening and actually doing something about it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much. In the interest of time, we have two more members and then we're going to hear from DOE. I want to next hear from former Principal, educator, still always an educator, Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair and thank you to the panel for coming and sharing and as my colleague stated, there was a teacher that exemplified what you talked about and for me, that would be Mrs. Ann Yearwood and she was a teacher without comparison. And it was a program designed by the Department of Education, which at that time it was called the Board of Education, which allowed for the arts to be incorporated in the day of instruction.

So, Ms. Yearwood, a very talented teacher, would teach us music and took my music theory and reading notes and keeping rhythms. She would teach us art, because that was her interest, art. We had keel in the basement and the students in the school were allowed to go down and do their models and put them in the keel and fire them. Every year we had a musical production and you talk about the sets and you talk about costumes and all of that was supported

2.2

by as you mentioned flexible scheduling and allowing people to get involved and have a way to express what their talents were, and we know that Garner talks about multiple intelligences. It's not all academic, there are the artistic, the naturalists, the linguists, the musical person. And until the Department of Education finds a way to incorporate all of that in the instructional day, not as a tag on or add on, but incorporates that into the instructional day and until they give teachers the training that's needed.

It's not just that you went to school and you got a degree and you came out and now your ready, no.

You may need a mentor, you may need someone who has done that to be able to model for you how to value children, let them know they are important and that undergirds all that goes on.

So, I just wanted an opportunity to commend you for what you are doing. To encourage you and to say that the DOE has got to do better and move away from these high stakes tests, which really don't give us a full measure of what it is that people are able to do. Thank you.

ANTHONY RAMOS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you. Spoken like a true educator, Council Member Barron.

Next, we will hear from Council Member Cornegy.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good afternoon. Thank
you so much for spending this time with us. My Sara
was Steve Sultan who actually allowed me to be in the
jazz band and a visual monitor as well as being on
the basketball team and this high-stake testing
culture, this is a perfect example of why it should
be abolished in its current form. There are so many
different measurement tools to determine the capacity
and acumen of students that it is really ridiculous,
and we are robbing the world of the ability to
benefit from the talents and gifts that all students
have.

Mr. Sultan spent — I was the kid who was there until nine, ten, eleven o'clock based on one of those activities. School was a second home for me. Those hallways have cherished memories for me whether it was sitting in the hallway having a conversation or talking about a play or the set for the jazz, the new jazz ensemble band.

Like, those memories, I'll never forget. So, I sit here today before you as a legislator, based on

2.2

2 having an opportunity to hone all of those other 3 skills. So, I thank you for what you are doing.

Thank you so much for being here today. I am obviously against high stake testing as a culture and just thank you for your voice.

SARA STEINWEISS: Thank you.

ANTHONY RAMOS: Thank you, thanks for having us.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much Council Member Cornegy and just to note as well, New Utrecht High School is in the home district of the big supporter of New Utrecht High School, Council Member Justin Brannan. Thank you for being a big supporter of our schools as well and I just — if my colleagues have any additional comments.

I want to thank both of you. You know, Anthony, you've chosen to turn your story into a platform to help other students and children and that to me, is extraordinarily, so commendable. You are paying it forward. This story has to be shared. There is power in a story and we have to share this story, as there are many other stories to be shared in the public-school system.

And Sara, as you hear, everyone seems to have a Sara Steinweiss in their life. We need to help

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

support our educators who in turn always are there

for our students. In your story Anthony, I heard the

story of resilience, I heard the story of class size,

I've heard the story of a culturally responsive

5 I've heard the story of a culturally responsive

6 curriculum. I've heard the story of just giving kids

7 a shot, not a handout but just a shot. The story of

8 more social workers that are needed in our school

9 system. Many of the things that we are talking about

10 | that we need to act.

And so, thank you for setting the framework of this hearing and this discussion. I think in lesson plan, this is called the hook, Ms. Steinweiss.

SARA STEINWEISS: Yes, it is.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: We grab folks attention and we have a lot of work to do. So, I want to thank both of you for taking the time out of your extraordinary busy schedules to help pay it forward for our students and our future generations.

Thank you both very much.

SARA STEINWEISS: Thank you.

22 ANTHONY RAMOS: Thank you all for having us.

23 Take care.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I've just been notified that they have concluded the hearing next in the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Chamber. So, in order for us to be a little bit more comfortable we could actually move into the Chamber for some more space. And just to note, the first

4 Tor some more space. And just to mote, the first

panel that we'll hear from will be from the DOE,

6 | Linda Chen, Alice Brown and Allen.

Okay, so, before we hear from the DOE, I will just ask our Council to swear in the Administration.

COUNCIL CLERK: If you could just raise your right hand please. Do you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to answer Council Member questions truthfully?

Okay, you can just hit the button and state your name for the record and then begin.

LINDA CHEN: Yes.

ALIYAH BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You may begin.

LINDA CHEN: First, I do want to acknowledge and show our gratitude for Anthony Ramos who his story is a testament of the greatness within every student and the power of a great teacher like Sara Steinweiss, that truly sees every student to find their passion, purpose and contribution and unlocks access and opportunity for every student to fully thrive. Thank you Chair Treyger for inviting them.

J

/

Good afternoon, Chair Treyger and Members of the New York City Council Committee on Education here today. My name is Linda Chen and I serve as the Chief Academic Officer at the Department of Education. I am joined by Alice Brown, our Senior Executive Director for Policy and Evaluation.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. We appreciate the opportunity to discuss the critically important issue of ensuring strong instruction in every New York City classroom that is focused on preparing our students for college and careers.

We know that you have called this hearing today due to real concerns about standardized test preparation and we want to reiterate this administrations focus on a rich, rigorous, joyful and inclusive learning experience for every student.

A well-rounded education includes social studies and civics, science, hands on and project-based learning opportunities. The arts, world languages, physical education, social/emotional learning, opportunities to explore and learn from our amazing city and so much more. This is the foundation of our equity and excellence for all agenda, including pre-k

2.1

2.2

for all, 3K for all, universal literacy, computer science and AP for all, as well as programs focused on college and career readiness. We are deepening this work with a systems approach to improve every classroom in every school. Including through the instructional framework, our approach to accelerate learning and instruction in every classroom for every student.

Schools across the city have formed or are forming instructional leadership teams or ILT's in order to ensure cohesion and rigor in their schools academic approach. ILT's are composed of school leaders, teachers and staff and serve as a driving force in the school to improve instructional practices and student outcomes.

This is a commonsense strategy building on this administration's focus on expanding and strengthening professional learning for teachers and building trusting, effective relationships amongst school staff.

Many schools already have ILT's or use a similar approach to instructional leadership and ongoing supports will be offered this year for those teams.

ILT's will examine what is happening in classrooms

2 acro

across the school to ensure all students are engaging in rigorous, authentic and culturally relevant learning experiences.

Through the instructional leadership framework, schools focus on one of three instructional priorities. Strengthening core instruction, knowing every student well or using a shared and inclusive curriculum.

While I'd be happy to discuss the instructional leadership framework in greater detail, I'd like to speak to one but important part of this approach and the focus of this hearing today.

Limited and targeted assessment is a natural part of good instruction. It provides necessary information on the progress students are making toward year end benchmarks in preparation for future learning. It is important to have multiple stopping points in multiple ways throughout the year for teachers to evaluate where their students are on the learning continuum and what they need to do to continue to help students make progress.

There are two primary types of assessments already in use in the New York City schools. First, schools use formative assessments to provide teachers

across grades in subjects with information about what students know and are able to do in relation to grade level year long standards expectations. They can be administered in a variety of ways, paper, pencil, booklets, on the computer or oral conferencing.

Formative assessments are designed to provide data that can be used for teams of teachers to reflect on past instruction and to plan for tailored supports in upcoming instruction for students based on their current level of performance. Collaborative inquiry and conversation is a significant component of administering formative assessments.

Through data and student work analysis, teams of teachers can reflect on and analyze the implementation of their schools curriculum and instruction to assess their effectiveness and providing opportunities for students to develop required grade level skills and determine where gaps and instruction may exist. Then allows teachers to plan for adjustments or enhancements to their instruction to address those gaps and ensure students are mastering content.

It also provides opportunities for teachers to share the best practices and instruction and the

opportunity to collaboratively reflect on accommodations provided to students with special needs and to make adjustments where necessary. For instance, through conversations between general education teachers and special education teachers.

The second form is outcomes-based assessments, which are formal assessments that are given on an annual basis to all students in a grade level or a school. Outcomes based assessments are an indication of overall achievement levels across the school or district or state. The New York State Education

Department requires the DOE to annually administer math and English Language Arts or ELA tests in grades 3-8; science tests in grades 4 and 8, as well as Regents exams in multiple subject areas that are required for graduation in grades 9-12.

The New York State Board of Regents and New York State Education Department also grant some schools a variance to provide a Regents diploma without taking all five required Regents exams. Including 46 high schools that belong to the New York Performance Standards Consortium or the Internationals Network.

Like all New York City high schools, these graduates are required to earn 44 distributed credits

and pass the Regents ELA exam and for some schools, a math Regents exam. These schools instead administer performance-based assessment tasks, sometimes referred to as PBATS, in the other subject areas.

The PBATS are written tasks and oral presentations that are reviewed by evaluators external to the school and are graded based on a rubric. Each year, we produce reports to support schools in utilizing the results from outcomes-based assessments to refine their overall instructional planning for the year. The results from the test can also be used as one part but not the primary part of promotion decisions as well as search and admissions decisions.

New York City and New York State use the results as part of school accountability metrics. In New York City, these exams are included in the school quality guide and school quality snapshot as only a part of one of seven measures aligned to the framework of great schools. Namely, student achievement.

These family facing resources are provided to help families understand the quality of their schools and include data from a variety of sources.

25 assessment.

Including formal school visits; feedback from students, teachers and parents from the New York City school survey; and a variety of student achievement measures.

The New State Accountability System is comprised of six measures at the elementary middle level and seven measures at the high school level. At the elementary middle level, four out of six of the measures consider performance on standardized assessments. At the high school level, five out of the seven main measures considers performance on standardized assessments. These measures are used by the State Education Department to determine which schools are designated as comprehensive support and improvement or CSI, or targeted support and improvement or TSI schools.

In recent years we are pleased that the New York
State Board of Regents has made improvements to the
administration of grades 3-8 math and ELA
assessments, including shortening the administration
from three days to two days and making these tests on
time, so that any student who is productively working
will have the time they need to complete the

2.

They have also enacted a moratorium on the required use of these assessments in the evaluations of teachers and principals. We are also closely monitoring the Board of Regents review of graduation requirements through the Blue-Ribbon Commission that will be established later this year.

The Commission will be charged with reconsidering current diploma requirements, ensuring all students have access to multiple graduation pathways and ensuring a transition timeline to allow districts to prepare for and implement any changes.

The DOE also offers optional outcomes-based assessments to increase college and career readiness through the AP for all initiative and college access for all initiative. The AP for all initiative is part of Mayor de Blasio's equity and excellence agenda with a goal that all students will have access to at least five AP classes by fall 2021.

In 2018, 550,011 took at least one AP exam, a 22 percent increase since 2016. As part of college access for all, the DOE has provided all juniors with access to the SAT during the school day free of charge. This has led to record high participation.

For the class of 2018, 63,499 students took the SAT at least once in four years of high school. This is 80 percent of the cohort. We remain focused on setting and ensuring a high bar for learning where every student has access to rigorous learning in all content areas and attainment of New York State Standards at grade level and beyond.

Thank you for your partnership and for the opportunity to testify before you today. We will be happy to answer any questions you have for us.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you very much. We've also been joined by Council Member Alicka Ampry-Samuel, Council Member Levin and I believe that is it.

Just, I guess, before I get to some prepared questions, what is your reaction. I mean, we heard — thank you, which I appreciate. Any reactions to the powerful stories shared by both Anthony Ramos and his teacher Ms. Steinweiss?

LINDA CHEN: I have many reactions. One, first of all, in terms of the student focus, there is a greatness that Anthony Ramos represents in every student across this great city. And that greatness is within every student and it is our job as

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

educators in schools across the city to be able to see who every student is. Much like Sara Steinweiss

shared, how she see's every student and that is the

5 role of the Department of Education. To be able to

6 cultivate that kind of professionalism and passion

7 | for every one of our students to accel and find what

8 their passion is. To be able to equip them with all

9 the skills necessary in order to pursue those

10 passions.

So, those are just the beginnings of my takeaway from there and the idea that there are many ways multiple measures, many different opportunities to acknowledge and represent a students mastery of learning across a varied level of subject areas and interests.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I appreciate that Linda

Chen. If you heard me earlier discuss the irony in

his story, because many times when I was teaching and

to this day, I still see government documents

referred to schools or students as underperforming.

Is that term still used to this day, underperforming?

LINDA CHEN: I agree with your assertion around that label, because again, to truly see every student is beyond a label of a single test score and we stand

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

wanting to ensure that we have multiple ways to view every student and their competencies, so that we can also support them where needed and those kinds of indicators in a variety of ways are important to take notice of.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, I appreciate that. quess that's maybe your diplomatic way of saying that you hear me, because Anthony is not underperforming. He is quite the talent and again, the current structure, which I recognize there are a lot of state mandates in place here, but the state has to hear us We are the largest city in the state, and we have a big microphone and a big voice, we have to use We are not fully capturing the amazing skills and talents of our students. It's just, we're not capturing it. I think that was a powerful story that I believe many students across our system share. as you also heard from Ms. Steinweiss, there are educators who just refuse to shortchange their students and shortchange learning. And so, we're losing quality educators because of this current structure as well. So, this issue is very pressing for a number of reasons.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

ALICE BROWN: About \$1.9 million is spent for the specialized high school admissions test. Which

I will get to some questions. So, does the DOE have an estimate of how much money does the DOE actually spend on exams? Both in terms of purchasing exams, administering exams and test prep? Is there a ballpark number?

LINDA CHEN: So, we know that we spend approximately \$3 million on Regents and grades 3-8 ELA and math assessment materials. And in terms of the many things that you listed, some of those things are procured individually by schools as well. So, I don't have a number in terms of a sum of all of the things that you listed, but I do know that we spend about \$3 million on the materials for Regents in ELA and math.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and I know for example, we heard in testimony or in other hearings that DOE has a contract with Pearson in terms of asking Pearson to design the specialized exam for high school, is that correct?

for one of the assessments for gifted and talented. Alice can give the details.

LINDA CHEN: We do have a contract with Pearson

2.2

includes some of those are not directly to Pearson,

but just for the Administration in terms of teachers

support and the Administrative support that goes

5 along with it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, so, if I hear correctly, \$3 million for Regents, is that right?

LINDA CHEN: Regents in 3-8, ELA in math

9 materials.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Regents in 3-8, math, ELA, we're hearing about \$1.9 million and that's just in the last budget, is that correct? That's the size of the whole contract?

ALICE BROWN: Yes, for the specialized high school admission test, yes.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and then there is exams for gifted and talented. Do you have an estimate how much that costs?

ALICE BROWN: The estimate for the administration is about \$4.4 million, which includes — a large percent of that, however, is for the — it is not to the contracted vendor, it is for the support of the administration of the test.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, so, but it's fair to say and I would appreciate if the DOE can get back to

2.1

me on the total of all exams that I mentioned in my testimony, just to have a full picture of how much — to put a dollar amount to this issue, because I think that's important to kind of discuss the gravity of the test culture. And the cost of the test culture in our school system and I hear you about — there is a place for assessments. I think what we're going to get at is why are we relying solely on this one area when there are so many other pathways that should be and must be explored to demonstrate student proficiency and mastery.

By the way, you mentioned in your testimony, I think a number in the 40's, council folks, how many consortium schools do we have in New York City's school system, just so we're clear?

LINDA CHEN: I believe it's 40 - hold on, I want to make sure I give you the right number.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Because I am hearing different numbers. I just want to get a number on the record.

22 LINDA CHEN: There is 38.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, 38. It's not in the

24 40's?

UNIDENTIFIED: [INAUDIBLE 27:58]-

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I'm sorry, can you just 3 tell us who you are?

ALLEN CHANG: Hi, good afternoon. My name is

Allen Chang, I am the Acting Superintendent for New

York City Consortium Schools, Internationals and New

York City Outward Bound.

And so, just to clarifying that particular number where Dr. Chen mentioned was all the schools at the high school level that are either consortium or the internationals who have a slight variance and so, some of our international schools, at those schools, the students take both a math and an ELA exam and then when we're looking at full consortium schools, of which there are 38, those schools take just the ELA exam.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And Superintendent, what is your background prior to you being in this role? You oversee the consortium portfolio, is that correct?

ALLEN CHANG: So, I am a high school superintendent with the New York City Department of Education and prior to this, I was a principal of a consortium school and a teacher in a consortium school.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, your whole history was

4 VOI

in consortium schools?

ALLEN CHANG: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, because I am very interested in learning more about this and I also find it fascinating that this might have been like the best kept secret in the school system. Because many of my colleagues sometimes have never heard of the consortium network and my question is why? If there are things that are working, if there are things that are promising, particularly in some groups, students with IEP's multilingual learners, why aren't we sharing these best practices?

So, can you just summarize very quickly for me, because I actually visited — I visited one recently, I am visiting more. January, I think is PBAT season if I'm not mistaken. I know the terms now. Tell us quickly your thoughts on what you believe is working in consortium schools. What is consortium? What do you think is working? And how are we sharing those practices across the system?

ALLEN CHANG: Sure, and I really do appreciate you know, and any time on behalf of the schools and

2.2

2 the DOE for us to share some really great work that 3 is happening.

So, as I mentioned, there are 38 consortium schools, 27 of them are officially in my district.

There are 8 transfer schools, there are additionally 1 school that's a 6-12 in District 7 and then there are 2 consortium schools that are in upstate New York.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Are these all high school, middle school?

ALLEN CHANG: They are all high schools. They all culminate in high school. There are a few consortium schools that are grade 6-12.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Nothing elementary?

16 ALLEN CHANG: No.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, please continue.

ALLEN CHANG: Yeah, and so, it really at the heart of the consortium model, is this idea of performance assessments. And it's a performance assessment that is really practitioner developed, student focused and really externally assessed. And what I mean by that is that teachers are really working hard to be able to design the curriculum and

the rubrics using a wide variety of resources

2.2

aligning them to the state standards. Students are
engaged in those particular practices and their

chance to go much deeper into a particular subject

area and express their work and that is assessed by

other teachers that are at the school as well as

external evaluators who are brought in both on their

8 written work as well as their oral presentations.

Overall, the work of the consortium schools is something that is deeply shared across the district and that that means principals are collaborating with each other. In my district, there are additional schools that are not consortium schools but were also part of the affinity executive super intendency which has a 162 schools.

So, there are many structures that are in place to be able to highlight really great practices in which we invite outside officials, outside principals, leaders, who want to be able to come and visit and do that work. The consortium also hosts an annual conference in which members are able to be able to attend, lead professional development workshops, participate and that often times has a national presence as well.

And I would say that, you know, the performance assessments is one part of what it means to be an excellent consortium school and really at the heart of what the department believes is that project focus instruction culturally relevant instruction, things that are really grounded in what our students believe and value and want to learn is extremely important. And those things don't only occur in consortium schools, but rather, we're working hard to ensure that that's occurring really across our system.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I really appreciate that response. I would just note for you that I was elected in 2013, joined the Council in 2014. I was very active in my school and in my school community. I did not hear about consortium schools until I joined the Council, and this is coming from someone that is very active in education.

And I am amazed by — I visited one, I am visiting more. I have a schedule that's going to be — I'll be visiting PBAT season, I can tell you that

Superintendent. But I'm trying to figure out, you know, can you confirm where we're seeing that there are promising results in terms of student proficiency, particularly students with IEP's and

_

multilingual learners. Are you seeing that data that we're seeing internally here as well?

ALLEN CHANG: That is correct. I mean, I think there are many different ways for us to be able to measure overall. I mean, I think partly, because the consortium schools don't take all the exams, the comparisons are a little bit different. But if we're looking at overall graduation rates, the graduation rates are a little bit higher when you're looking across the consortium schools.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And they except all kids, am I correct on that? The application process is open to all?

ALLEN CHANG: Yeah, so the application process is open and you know, I think what's important here is that you know, I think there are hundreds of high schools in New York City and you know, I know many parents who are looking into the high school process and it can be hard to find just exactly the right match and then as a district, we've really attempted to be able to work with various offices, family welcome centers, to really make sure that these options are well known. Because really at the heart of it, the parents and the families really need to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 understand what this model is about. Because as much of a fan as I personally am, because I taught in one, 3 I led a school, I was out there being as big of a 4 cheerleader as you can be. I also know that it needs 5 to be the right kind of match and there are some 6 7 places and some schools and some particular students for which we want to make sure that this is an option 8

that is available. In which they can apply through

the high school admissions process.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You hit on something important, because in my visit to the school, I visited outward bound leaders in my district and very, very impressed with the visit and the talk we had with students. The students shared with me that during freshman year, they had to educate their parents and their families about what the school was doing in terms of assessing their performance because PBAT is kind of new term. They are used to hearing Regents or big, big tests and I think there is more work to do in this area to inform the public about alternative assessments.

And for those folks, because I have been waiting for this. For those folks who are saying that any discussion of moving away from high stakes or you

2.2

that anytime.

know that the Regents is watering down education.

The work that those students are working on at leaders is extraordinary and it is rigorous. I read that rubric, there is a lot to read in that rubric and try convincing me that a student sitting with a Barron's Regents review book all day in a library, memorizing or trying to memorize dates and names of things that happen 500 years ago. That's not rigorous to me. That is not rigor, I will debate

Because that's what I witnessed and observed with my own two eyes. The type of work that students are working on that I observed, is actually far more rigorous, far more complex and actually it's amazing, because they actually get to work on this during the course of the year. There is no one big test that just they have to just obsess over. They are working on this along the way, there are benchmarks along the way. Actually, this school has a unique, this is unique to the Outward Bound model, it's not for the all consortium but they have something called Crew, which is really interesting where they have like a home room but it's the same students throughout the year with the same Crew teacher throughout the year

and they create a support network within that classroom for each other.

And there is peer mentoring, peer tutoring, peer support. Everything that I was taught in school that we should be doing, they are doing. But it's not being shared across the system. And so, that's why I do have to kind of ask — you're the Superintendent that oversees these schools. Is there someone in a more larger [inaudible 43:42] in DOE that says, hey, this is working here in these 38 schools. Can we apply these types of strategies and these thinking and this approach beyond 38 schools? And are there other schools that are interested in applying and also becoming consortium schools that are on a wait list? How long is this wait list? Tell us about these things.

ALLEN CHANG: Sure, I mean I will say that certainly on your very first question in terms of collaboration, I mean, I think that is certainly one of the most important values in the hallmark of this particular administration that you know, we're really ensuring and doing our best to make sure that school to school leaders are the leaders, teachers the

2.2

teachers, that there is that collaboration that is in
place.

And I certainly, you know, on behalf of that particular district welcome people who want to learn more about those schools, come and visit. You know, I'd love to be able to go on a visit along with you and other members of the Council.

I think when we're talking about sort of officially becoming a member of a consortium, I want to clarify that the particular variance that Dr. Chen spoke about is a variance that is granted by the New York State Board of Education and the New York State Regents.

And you know, while at the city, we certainly want to be able to encourage the kinds of practices that are in place. It's a state requirement that is there with regards to high school graduation and over the past several years, New York State has not increased the number of schools.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: But have you asked?

ALLEN CHANG: So, you know, there are many schools that are interested.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: How many?

ALLEN CHANG: I, as a Superintendent, they don't reach out to me directly.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Who do they reach out to?

ALLEN CHANG: Well, they reach out to Ann Cook.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Who is amazing but yes, she is here.

ALLEN CHANG: And she hopefully will be able to speak to that a little bit more and I think really there, the dynamics are that you know, as somebody who helps supervise and lead one of those schools, it's a complicated transition. And in particular, when we're thinking about schools that were designed under one particular model and shifting the mindsets of the students and the teachers.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I would just respectfully add, I hear you that it's complicated. Dismantling inequity is complicated but it's necessary.

ALLEN CHANG: I have no disagreement with that.

And so, over the last several years, it's a five-year variance at a time in which they grant, and they have not increased the number of schools. I certainly would happy to work with you and others to think about how might we be able to advocate on behalf of those schools that are interested.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and now, in the testimony we heard, we heard about a Blue-Ribbon Commission. Will the DOE give names or make suggestions to the membership of the Regents Blue Ribbon Commission?

LINDA CHEN: As we get more information from the state, we will certainly engage you and other elected officials to advocate for ensuring that we have fair multiple measured ways to determine graduation pathways.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Dr. Chen, are you aware or who is aware within DOE about the number of schools in addition to the 38 that are interested in being granted such a waiver away from the traditional assessment model. Is anyone keeping a list?

LINDA CHEN: My understanding of the process is that schools that are interested would then reach out to the consortium and there would be a process involved. And, as the Superintendent mentioned, it is determined the timeline and the processes are determined by the state and so, those are things that we do track to make sure that folks have the opportunity and know where to go.

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

23

2.2

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And is there some sort of a report or a paper or something that summarizes some of the practices that are being applied - effective practices that are being applied in consortium, that are being shared in non-consortium schools? Because I've been to many PD's during my career and I always mention that the cookies and muffins are very tasty at these PD's, but this is what I would have really appreciated seeing and hearing about, rather than test prep. Because many PD's, that's why I asked you about how much the cost, many PD's were about, how do we get more kids to pass the Regents? When the work that they're doing is far more rigorous and far more meaningful in the lives of our students. sort of a concept paper? Is there some sort of report that we could share PDF, we could email to our schools?

LINDA CHEN: So, I will just jump in here. We have had a structure around showcase and learning partner schools in the past that work will continue on in instructional leadership framework, but that is a structure where schools learn from each other.

It's the kind of professional development that you are describing. Where they come together to learn

2.2

from each other and the best practices. Not only in

a setting outside of the classroom, but more

importantly, inside school buildings. So, they can

actually see what is happening from the learning that

6 they have been working on together.

So, in terms of the instructional leadership framework, part of the design of that is for schools to be able to learn from each other around best practices and that's why it's so important that systemwide, that it isn't just limited to one network, such as the network that we've described. So that all schools can learn about what consortium schools are doing, what international schools are doing, what all schools are doing across the city.

Because as you know, we have very great work going on all over the city.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, Dr. Chen, I taught at New Utrecht which is the 11214-zip code. Leaders in the 11214-zip code, no one ever partnered my school with Leaders. They partnered me with other large high schools, like Fort Hamilton and FDR where we shared information with each other, but we do the same thing.

I would have much rather sat down with teachers from Leaders and learned about what they are doing with students rather than just repeating the same practices within the traditional school models. Just a suggestion, because you have schools that are next to each that are not talking to each other and we really should — let me just move on.

Although Every Student Succeeds Act ESSA, requires states to access high school students in reading, language, arts, math and science for the purposes of state accountability. No federal law requires high school exit exams.

Today, as we heard, New York State is one of only 11 states that still require students to pass exams to graduate, down from over half of all states. Why do you think so many states are moving away from exit exams and does the DOE believe New York State should eliminate use of Regents as a diploma requirement?

LINDA CHEN: This is why we look forward to this official capacity with the Blue-Ribbon Commission at the State Ed department because we do need to have this important conversation and subsequent action to determine what is it that we need to do to ensure there are fair and multiple pathways for our students

2.2

and influence together.

for graduation. And I think that's why you've seen those changes in state exit exam requirements across the country and I appreciate you convening this conversation today. Which is a great prelude to being able to as you said, being heard by our New York State Education Department. That is what is a critical and important conversation for us to have

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And I appreciate that, but
I am not sure if I am hearing an answer. What is the
DOE's position on the Regents exams being used as a
requirement for diploma in our high schools?

LINDA CHEN: So, Regents are what I referred to early as outcomes base assessments and those outcome base assessments have a role of some information but not complete information about a student, much like what we saw earlier where those assessments wouldn't capture the fullness of everything that Anthony Ramos knows and is able to do.

And we support looking at the ability to have multiple measures to determine a students graduation and pathway.

There is value but it's not the only measure that should be considered.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And I also share with you one of the worst kept secrets in the school system is that if you are a — well, I could speak from some history teachers, is that if you are a history teacher teaching a Regents class, I think I could speak for many of my colleagues that at some point in March of the year, you're are told to stop what you are doing with your curriculum and shift everything to Regents prep.

And so, if you are teaching a global 3 or global 4 curriculum, usually you get stuck somewhere in World War II and it's as if the world stops after World War II.

So, we just had a powerful, inspiring transformative climate change march, led by our young people. Ask the average high school history teacher if they can get through the curriculum to teach about the gravity of the issue of climate change.

I had more time to discuss it in depth senior year government class, which has no Regents. So, I had more freedom to teach in that class, but everything was about making sure that the test was our guide. And if it was not tested, it was not being taught. And so, all the politicians cheer on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the students for marching and leading and doing a great job, meanwhile, they know that it is so difficult to get through that curriculum to even get to teach and discuss the biggest crisis facing our planet today.

And so, we are shortchanging students, we are shortchanging learning. There is a difference between testing and learning. We have to ask ourselves Dr. Chen, do we actually value learning in the school system? Because what we're doing right now is not learning.

I have a few more and I am being mindful of my colleagues and time, I am also concerned about the mental health of students, particularly during testing season. Have any schools reached out to DOE about testing anxiety? Does the DOE have any knowledge of students visiting emergency room, due to test related anxiety or panic attacks? Are there increases in visits to school social workers and counselors during testing season? And if so, how does DOE support schools with this influx?

LINDA CHEN: So, in terms of incidents around testing season, we're not seeing any significant differences in terms of the kinds of incidents that you are bringing up. We do focus and it has been
very clear from the chancellor around focusing on
teaching rigorous instruction throughout the day and
so, when the test comes up is simply another time to
be able to demonstrate their learning and we do make

sure that we reinforce the importance of learning

8 throughout the day that's not just focused on

9 testing.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I'm going to give you some anecdotal data because I don't have anything concrete at this time but I heard from local hospital administrator in Southern Brooklyn that said to me that he could almost predict testing season based on the visits he sees in his ER from young people who come into the emergency room because of test anxiety and panic attacks.

I will also share with you that an administrator who oversees a guidance counselor department of one of our large high schools shared that there are students who come in during high stakes testing season with evidence of self-harm because of the stress associated with exams.

So, just because you're not seeing it, it does not mean it's not happening. I am not sure if you

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

LINDA CHEN: I do want to note.

are looking to see it. I am not sure if the DOE is actually trying to find supportive ways of hearing from schools about the impact.

First of all, when you mentioned in the testimony that the state moved from three days to two days, Dr. Chen, let's be clear why they made that move. they were doing to young children was outrageous and I know some people are also applauding this announcement of the shift to make the test on time all together, their not even giving them guidance on when to tell a child, are you okay? Just ask, are you okay. Some students are just there for hours and hours and hours and no one is just asking the child, are you okay. This is not learning. This is not even normal in my opinion. It's not backed by research in my view.

So, I do think we need to actually get more data on this because what I'm hearing from credible stake holders is that there are students who are hurting themselves. There are students who are experiencing stress and anxiety, particularly during testing season and if they lack social supports in the school, which we know they do, we have work to do.

2.1

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Please.

LINDA CHEN: That part of this administrations focus is being able to support the social/emotional learning of all students. I think you've heard a number of examples of our investments in those areas and that's important to us whether it's test anxiety or other anxieties. And thank you for your advocacy around social workers and so on.

That has been tremendously helpful in pairing with our messaging to principals and teachers on the importance of the day to day learning. That will continue to be a focus in this administration.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and Dr. Chen, and I appreciate that, and I am hearing you saying that we should be looking at other assessments. That students are more than a test score, but I will tell you that the current DOE structure when they visit schools, and this is folks that visit schools from Tweed and from Central. Many of the questions asked of our school communities are based on those scores.

When I was called in by administrators, the question was not really, how could I support you.

The issue was Mark, I'm being grilled by Central, how do we get more kids to pass the test? And I had

students that were absent, chronically absent because they were facing enormous challenges at home.

And respectfully, the last thing they cared about right now was a Regents, when they didn't know where they were going to sleep at night. And so, if we're not caring for their whole needs, we're really shortchanging them.

I want to turn to my colleagues who have been very patient. I will begin with Council Member Borelli, but just note that we will start a clock just to be mindful of everyone's time. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: You mentioned the climate change protest. Did the Mayor and Chancellor seek any guidance from the Conflict of Interest Board before allowing students an excuse?

LINDA CHEN: I would not be able to speak to that, but we can certainly gather a collective response to provide for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I did ask for one, I didn't get one, so that's why I am asking now. Is there any policy difference between two weeks ago versus today as to what protest students will be excused for?

LINDA CHEN: Again, I would want to make sure we get that information from our other offices to be able to provide you accurate information.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, we're talking about test taking and I noticed that at Maspeth High School, 98 percent of students graduated within four years, which is significantly higher than the citywide averages; it's impressive. Obviously, there have been some allegations of cheating. Has the DOE begun an investigation of those claims?

LINDA CHEN: We take all such allegations seriously around academic integrity. And when those came up, we did refer those allegations into SCN and currently there is an investigation underway, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, some of the other schools in the same school district, I think District 24 have equally remarkable statistics. So, in one, PSIS 87 actually where 18 percent of kids go to Maspeth. They have 100 percent pass rate on core math, English, science and social science exams.

Now, 100 percent is remarkable because 100 percent means not one child has failed or not passed their classes. So, how come only 42 percent of the students there passed their math exams? How can we

marry that between 100 percent of the students passing, which is remarkable versus only 42 percent of the students pass? Mr. Chair, could I have some more time?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Just to be mindful, but I will give you an extra minute Council Member just to follow up the question.

LINDA CHEN: We would need to speak with the school and get a sense from them directly on what those differences would be looking at the instruction and the abilities of the students. I would not be able to give you an answer here.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, just down the road from PSIS 87, there is junior high school, same situation, 90 percent of the kids pass their core classes but less than a third pass math and English. I'm not going to ask the same question, but I'm going to ask something different. You guys rate PSIS 87 as fair as far as student achievement. You rate JHS 8 as good, three out of four in student achievement.

How do you justify the fact that at one school where more kids pass the curriculum and pass the state exams is fair, whereas the adjacent school, less kids pass the curriculum and less kids pass the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

2.2

23

24

25

state exam and that's a good school theoretically for the purposes of student achievement?

LINDA CHEN: We have a number of metrics for student achievement. I can certainly have Alice Brown delineate what those are, but it would come down to the difference between all those metrics between those two schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: What can be more important than both -

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: This will be your final question. Just to move on, this final question, and answer and then we can move on. Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Yeah, what is more important than passing the school standard for passing the grade and the state standard? If both of those are higher in one school and you are saying that's worse than the other school. What other metrics could be weighing on that?

LINDA CHEN: Do you want to go through the metrics?

ALICE BROWN: I think when you see a difference between the grades that students are using and I believe that's what you are referring to and the junior high schools that you mentioned, it's that

2.1

2.2

next ready levelness metric. Which is taking in the multiple measures that we are talking about versus the one single test score. So, I believe that's what

5 you were referring to.

So, we're here today talking about what does that one single test score mean? And in this case, it's the ELA and the math exams from the state versus all the work that students do across an entire year in many multiple formats to show their mastery and their growth towards the state standards. So, those are included in the elementary and in the math —

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I thought you were going to say the next level and I will end it here. My next question is we're going to go into the schools that these kids go into, because clearly, they're not ready for the next level. But I will yield the rest of the time, I have none left anyway.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you Council Member
Borelli for your questions. I just would note that
I've always argued that any state report of our
schools should come with an asterisk noting how much
money New York State owes the New York City school
system. Because I find it really interesting when
the state produces all these fancy glossy reports of

_

,

shortchanging us default of a lawsuit. My professor Glenfield who educated me so well in class, that they

our schools, knowing that they are still

lost and yet are lecturing us and trying to tell us

about how to do better. So, pay your bill Albany, start with that.

Next, we'll hear from Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair. Talking about Maspeth High School again; it's in my district and we've had now almost a dozen teachers come forward and say that there is a no fail policy at Maspeth High School.

And then we're seeing on certainly on social media that it's happening all over; the no fail policy. Is the Administration allowed of a particular school, allowed to change a grade without notifying the teacher of that particular grade?

Let's say the student got a 55 and somehow it magically changed to 65?

LINDA CHEN: So again, academic integrity is important to us. We also have academic policies around grading that I will ask Alice Brown to summarize.

ALICE BROWN: Each school should have a grading policy that is well known to all of the teachers and the students and the teachers who are in charge of each class, should administer those grades. If there is such a change, that change should be discussed, and the teacher should enter that change.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: But the administration cannot change it?

ALICE BROWN: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, is it against regulations, against the law?

ALICE BROWN: It's our policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: It's a policy, okay.

ALICE BROWN: For a teacher to be able to know the grades that they're giving and have them be aligned to the grading policy as has been published to all of the students and the parents, so that they know what the expectation of the course are.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, so we have for years, it's not only a few years. We have a lot of evidence, a mountain of evidence that says, there is a no fail policy and a no fail policy now, in many, many schools. And I'm just wondering why DOE has never red flagged any of this. That faculty,

2.2

teachers are being driven out, good teachers who won awards from other schools when they come to Maspeth High School are driven out. Because they refuse to change grades, they refuse to have a no fail policy and they are then given bad evaluations and driven out of the system. And this has been going on allegedly for years and we notified DOE of this. We notified the investigators and it took three or four weeks for them to even come around and interview the whistle blowers.

So, this is a real — and what we're hearing around the city that this is going on, it's widespread, it's systemic. And, by the way, I just want to ask one other question Chair, because I know my time is up. Do Special Ed education students get — is there extra funding available for the school for accepting Special Education students?

LINDA CHEN: We have a fair student funding formula and according to that formula, there are associated waits for characteristics aligned to the needs of students, students with disabilities included in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, we've seen — there was an article in Sunday's post that we saw a student

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

graduate early who never attended class in his senior year. He graduated six months early in December and is that being investigated? Because this is going on, now we're getting more people coming forward that other special needs students are graduating early because they're problems and the school gets rid of them. Is anybody red flagging this?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You can answer and then move on, but you can answer the question.

ALICE BROWN: I think that it's what Dr. Chen said earlier, that all of those allegations are part of the SEI and OSI investigation.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, and so, I just want to turn to more of my colleagues. Those are very serious allegations made at Maspeth and I am hearing that there is an active investigation by both SEI and OSI, so that is welcomed news that there are folks who are following up to take appropriate action when necessary.

LINDA CHEN: Sorry, just to specific, OSI.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: OSI, forgive me, OSI, yes. Just to note also important is that equally important in terms of following up on the seriousness of the allegations is also the idea of also due process.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

And not, also, you know, issuing verdicts via tweet or social media. There has to be follow up here but just because a story is published somewhere, doesn't mean the whole story is true or are we in the fullness of the story. I have seen that through my experience being a public-school teacher, particularly by certain folks who have an agenda in my view, to really hurt the public-school system. And the whole issue of cheating in general, think about what we're talking about. Folks who feel pressured or folks who are accusing administration officials or pressuring them to pass tests. Rather than talking about learning and comprehensive curriculum and finding ways to bring out student talents and traits. This is one of the impacts of a testing culture that's just hyper driven in our school system.

They are not talking about learning, their just talking about tests and this is a part of the hearing. News to my colleagues, this is a part of our hearing. We are not focusing on learning in our schools when everything is just about tests.

Next, we'll hear from Council Member Barron.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Regents Chancellor Betty

Rosa said that the Board will be meeting to exam, "to

LINDA CHEN: We'll work a little faster.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you to the Chair and thank you to the panel for coming. Just a few quick questions.

You mentioned an increase in the percentage of students who are taking the AP exams. What is the data on the students who are passing or achieving the score that shows that they have completed that successfully?

LINDA CHEN: We're just looking to see if we have that with us right now.

ALICE BROWN: Hi, so in 2018, 55,011 students took at least one AP exam, 28,581 passed at least one of those AP exams.

So, since 2017, that was an increase of 11.4 percentage point of the number taking and 10.7 percent increase of the number passing.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That's great, but I also want to talk about the reflection of how much - and Mr. Chair just to notice, it took a minute for them to get me my answer. So, I want to add that time back.

2	what degree requiring passage of Regents exams
3	improve student achievement, graduation rates and
4	college readiness." So, again, these high stakes
5	tests, they may be getting improvement in the
6	percentage of the number of children passing but we
7	don't know what positive impact that may have on
8	students moving forward and secondly, what is the
9	budget that the DOE has for all those companies that
10	prepare testing material, particularly Pearson's?
11	ALICE BROWN: The testing material for Pearson
12	for the SHSAT and the GNT I gave earlier, so I can
13	find that again.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: What percentage of the
15	budget goes to that contract for testing?
16	ALICE BROWN: The percentage of the overall
17	budget?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Hmm, hmm.
19	ALICE BROWN: I don't have that.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, I would like to get
21	that information. In terms of the consortium
22	schools, how are they selected?
23	LINDA CHEN: I will ask the Superintendent to join

to respond more specifically to that question.

24

2.2

ALLEN CHANG: Can you repeat that question one

3 more time?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, how were the participant in the consortium schools, how are they selected? What schools, what criteria determine the schools that participated?

ALLEN CHANG: So, the schools that are in the consortium reach out to the consortium, which is an independent non-DOE organization.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: What outreach was done to those schools for them to know that this opportunity exited? Because are there a concentration of them in a particular geographic area?

ALLEN CHANG: No, they are spread out over all four boroughs. They are not concentrated.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: There in four of the five boroughs.

ALLEN CHANG: Sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, alright, and then finally, does the DOE have on it's website any notice to parents that they have the right to opt out of testing without any consequences because parents who are friends of mine, have said that they've been told that if their child is absent and opts out, there

_

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

will be negative consequences. And I've told them, go back and tell that principal that's not true.

So, I don't know if the DOE has such a policy.

If not, I'd like to offer that we prepare that Mr.

Chair, so that it be noted on the website that that is an option that they have without penalty.

LINDA CHEN: There is information for families on the website and that is also shared with principals, so that they are aware and are in alignment with what we've communicated.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, does it say on the website, parents, you can opt out for your child and there are not consequences? Is that what it says?

LINDA CHEN: It doesn't say those words exactly, but it does provide them the opportunity to refuse the state exam for their students and that information is also backpacked home, so that families get it even if they're not looking on the website.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, it wasn't in that particular child's backpack, because the parent does go through it and it said the contrary. Don't miss having your child here, because it affects our rating and there will be consequences.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you Council Member
Barron. I think your point is well made that the
onus should not be on parents and families,
communities. The DOE has a responsibility to inform
folks of their rights. Just in the case — the answer
we heard with regards to the knowledge of the
consortium schools, that the onus should not be just
on the school. DOE should be proactively informing
school communities about opportunities that exist
within its system. And it just — it amazes me that
you have like these schools that are really onto
something really promising that address areas of
education that historically have plagued the system.
And we're not talking to each other.

So, there's a lot of work to do. So, I want to thank you for that. Next, we'll hear from Council Member King.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Good afternoon and thank you Chair. Thank you, doctor, thank you both. I have a quick question. How long have you been with the DOE in this leadership role?

LINDA CHEN: In this leadership role, this is my second year, but I was previously a teacher and a principal and a literacy supervisor in the DOE.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay, and you as well.

3 ALICE BROWN: 22 years.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: 22 years in leadership?

ALICE BROWN: Oh, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay, so, I'm pretty sure that none of you have been part of the structure putting together the DOE for the last 25 years. Can I assume that correct? You didn't put the rules together for the DOE in the last — we're just going 25 years. Okay, I'm just going to go there first.

So, right now, then I'm saying that you're not responsible for the crumbling or the mismanagement or somebody's bad ideas that have been placed on our children and our teachers and our principals that have to manage a curriculum that's always changing depending the Chancellor or whoever is at the top of the hill.

So, I'm asking you right now to figure out ways for when you see something that is wrong, how do we correct it. The DOE over points of time, people will say it's not segregated but parts of it is segregated. You have a consortium, you have charter schools, you have public schools who operate on different program depending on who is managing it.

2.2

So, I'm saying to you all, how do you all come together in a world of testing? I'm not a guy who says testing is a bad thing, but I just think it's the be all to everything. And we had a great young man who came in and told his testimony of how he had to navigate a system that kind of was just bias towards him because he wasn't a great academic student.

Again, not every student needs to know the definition of pie and what is x square minus 4 square hypothesized. That has nothing to do with anything when you move on in your life, but we'd be held accountable if we don't understand it at 14 and 15 years of age and it hurts later on when we're trying to get scholarships or when we're trying to graduate.

Right there, that just says to me, there is a system that is bias to some of our students. When we can have a consortium school that's getting it right, but our kids who live on 149th Street or Brownsville, don't have access to that type of education.

So, I'd like to know the first thing, Anthony
Ramos's story, it's not new to you all. What have
you done to build on his story to allow children who

2.

are on that path to have greater access to graduating and being a great student? That's my first question.

My second question, you mentioned something about these surveys and people come back and give you assessments of all the data they've collected. Who are the people that are gathering this data, and do they reflect the children who are in the school system?

The reason I ask that question, I went into a high school system where I saw 90 percent of the administrative was one ethnicity and the students were totally different than the leadership in the building. So, there's definitely a disconnect from what the students are going through each and every day to the people who are supposed to be educating them.

That's my second question. Then I would like to know from all of the education that's being taught with these testing, where are we teaching our kids, because if I'm good at drawing, maybe I don't need to understand all these different fractions. If I'm good at acting, maybe I don't need to have to understand some of the other things that you are holding me accountable on a Regents test. The basics

2.2

that every child needs to know is how write, where to put a semicolon, learn a little bit about history and then that means it's inclusive of everybody's history, not one particular story on this globe. And how do we manage that when it comes to making sure that we have a good education curriculum for our kids? And I'll end there.

LINDA CHEN: Okay, so, I will tackle the first and third questions because I see some relationship between those and then I will also have Alice Brown share the process for the report card, the surveys and the quality reviews, those reports that you mentioned.

To you point, regardless of who is leader, what you are seeing right now under this administration, this Chancellor, is the need to even the playing field and ensure that there is access and opportunity and I would also say, an expectation for attainment of learning for every student.

So, you see those things in some of the signature initiatives. The excellence and equity initiatives around college access for all, AP for all. Those are examples of what we are doing to make sure that we are giving access and opportunity. And to the

question asked about attainment rates and AP, to make

sure that all our students are able to attain those skills and knowledge as well.

And part of that work is also making sure that we

have streamlined these expectations for schools if you will. So, I shared briefly around the instructional leadership framework. The idea there is to make sure and to Segway a little bit to your third question, that every school has a coherent and full curriculum. So, what we heard from Anthony Ramos, this ability to have an education that meets all of their needs.

Anthony Ramos that ends up having a career in the arts or not, you have exposure. It's the right of every student to have, exposure and access and attainment to a full education and that is part of what we expect through our instructional leadership teams at the schools, to make sure that they figure out how to make that content and those standards that the state expects us to teach and that is across all content areas. Including the arts, including world languages, including physical education, that all

students are attaining that in a way that considers who they are.

And that piece is important to this
administration similar to some things that the
Chancellor announced yesterday around our
instructional approach and the importance of aligning
and identifying who our students are. Leveraging
their strength and their identities in their learning
and to learn the identities and the characteristics
and perspectives of those outside of themselves in
their own immediate culture and context as well.

So, those are the things that we are doing that in terms of what the Chancellor has also shared, is t really be able to change the systems and structures, so that regardless who is at the helm at the moment, to your point, that we are making sure that we are developing these capacities and systems that will not go away depending on who is in leadership at the time. And part of that is through our comprehensive supports framework, which is having an ability to share with schools, with each other, to learn from each other but also to look at, every school doesn't need the same thing and the same kinds of supports.

It is our responsibility centrally to be able to know our schools well through our executive superintendent structure, to be able to allocate the supports where they are most needed. So, that every student does indeed be able to access and attain those opportunities that we are speaking of.

So, I'll have Alice answer some of the questions around who does the survey's and the quality review reports.

ALICE BROWN: The multiple measures that have been used in this administration include having surveys. We survey our students in grades 6-12, our teachers of all of the grades and our parents of all of the students. We invite them to participate in the survey and those measures get included in our school quality reports. There are other measures that we use, like a school quality review and also, we use student achievement data and some other data that compile those reports.

I think you might have asked specifically, who are the people who do that, am I right?

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Yeah, because I want to get a make about the ethnicity of every one who is part of the process. Because again, I go back to a school

where 90 percent of administration was one ethnicity

So, I know there is a breakdown in relationship

and the children were a whole other ethnicity.

where whatever thoughts are thinking or how much

energy that gets put in there. Is there any bias

conversation when it comes to the Department of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

on.

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER:

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you, Mr. Treyger.

not, you know, so, I just want us to be honest in a

Education when you look at the makeup of the DOE, who

into this conversation. You know, whether implied or

is doing what for a system that has 70 percent people

of color in it. And the leadership throughout all

the schools don't reflect that.

So, how do we have a real conversation? I'm asking you all if you see a problem, and I end with this as you answer this question. What do you think is your number one issues when it comes to curriculum and if you know what that is, how do we seriously address it, other than talking points in a conversation?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, so, you can answer, and this will be the final, because we have to move

Sure.

2.2

is to make sure that every student gets access and attainment to a full curriculum taught at the highest levels where there is expectation for every student to learn. That is our greatest challenge of being able to have every student — and part of that challenge is making sure that we understand who every student is in order for them to be able to partake in that education.

So, it's the high standard for everyone and the ability to differentiate for everyone of our students, that's the challenge before us.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, so thank you and we will move on. I think Council Member Borelli had a second round of questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Sure, I don't think I have time to explain all the stats, but I'm looking at one middle school in the same district as Maspeth, and this school has 98 percent passing rate of the course, but only 5 percent of the students passing the state exams.

I'm looking at another school in a similar district, I think it's the same district where less students, 90 percent passed the core courses, but a

2.1

2.2

lot more passed the state exams and I follow these two middle schools to the high schools, to where the majority of their students are going. Does it surprise you that the outcomes at the high school where the higher amount of kids pass the state tests, did better than the high school where less kids passed the state test.

In other words, are state exams a good indicator of future success or at least better than perhaps in this case, your passing rate or the schools passing rate?

LINDA CHEN: I think that is the essential core of the very conversation we're having, which is that it is one single measure and it measures a certain amount of what a student knows but not the complete reflection of what the student is learning in school.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: We're not really gaging — we can't gage this entire metaphysical needs of a student, we're looking for ways to predict outcomes and measure our success in the classrooms. Do you think standardized tests are a good method of that?

LINDA CHEN: It's a method that we currently have that gives us insight as a system. Because we are

2.2

2 responsible for over a million students in the 3 system.

It gives us insight as to what kinds of things we do need to do. It gives us insight into are there areas of the curriculum that we need to pay more attention to when we see trends of students struggling particular aspects of mathematics or aspects of English Language Arts. That is what the state assessments allow us to do. It gives us a look ahead if you will, for schools to think about what's the overall planning for the students that are coming into them that happen to have —

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Should parents be concerned if there school has a passing rate on state exams of only 5 percent?

LINDA CHEN: I'm sorry, could you repeat the question.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Should parents be concerned that a school that their child attends has a passing rate on state exams of only 5 percent?

LINDA CHEN: I think that as one indicator, we hope that families will look at everything that the DOE provides about a school.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, is that why it's more important then to give the school the higher rating?

LINDA CHEN: Is it more important? I think it's looking at the full measure and I think parents would want to look at what else does the school provide.

Of course they would want to know the data that you are referring to but I believe that all of our school quality reviews and the numbers, that the amount of data we have on the website does provide our families with multiple measure and we do hope they look critically at all of those measures including the surveys and perceptions of other parents and teachers.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: So, then my final question is back on cheating. Can you just explain to me why Kathleen Elvin is still on the job if OSI determined that she had passed kids who did not perform the critical parts of their curriculum?

LINDA CHEN: As I mentioned earlier, this matter is under investigation and we certainly would not be making personnel commentary either.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: Well, this is from 2014, I mean she was still on the payroll at DOE correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

LINDA CHEN: We can confirm that and get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I know it's confirmed, I'm kind of asking why? That's kind of the question.

LINDA CHEN: T —

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: We can't be serious about cheating but when OSI brings charges against someone and nothing happens to them, I think that's where I'll leave it.

LINDA CHEN: I will refer to Chair Treyger's comment earlier to around due process. So, there are a multiple number of things here, but I couldn't comment on that personal matter.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, and it's a lot to unpack but I do have some critical follow up question and I want to hear from students as well.

This again, as mentioned before to my colleagues, there are serious allegations made and there must be critical follow up in terms of investigations. I do believe that this is an outgrowth and one of the impacts of a hyper driven culture that's driven by exams and to answer Council Member Borelli's question about whether these state exams are the best

indicator of student success, I think we heard from Anthony Ramos the clear answer.

A student that was labeled by the state and by the city as underperforming is one of the best performers ever to hit the Broadway stage. And I think that is kind of the crucks that we're trying to get at. Is that, have we built a system, are we perpetuating a system that only captures a small fraction of student abilities and actually perpetuates inequality and injustice in our communities and our society.

So, I think that that's really the crucks of some of these matters. And I would also ask the question about the school with the 5 percent passing rate.

How much is that school owed in terms of resources, because I have schools that you know, the state would label as struggling but their lacking about \$400,000 to \$500,000 of critical state funding and their desperate to hire a full-time guidance counselor.

New York State doesn't even mandate that
elementary schools have guidance counselors. Our
schools need them and social workers and supports.
Because as I mentioned before, it's very hard to
think about passing a test when you're not sure where

2.2

you are going to sleep at night. If you'll have a warm meal at night and how are you going to pay the bills to make ends meet. You can't divorce these realities and no one's making excuses. What you heard from Anthony was not — he didn't ask for a handout, he asked for a shot. Let's be clear, he asked for a shot and we are denying kids that shot and we are denying kids that shot. That's the point and I have some final follow up questions to the DOE and then I want to hear from students.

Has DOE analyzed the relationship between class size and test scores?

LINDA CHEN: No, we have not.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I would appreciate some data on that, because again, my former teacher hat on right now, class size does make a difference in terms of instruction, in terms of learning and I would appreciate some data from DOE on that.

Actually, Anthony Ramos's story talked about that as well. He mentioned a class of over 35 students which I believe actually contractually is even higher than it's supposed to be in high school, I think it's 34. I had over credit classrooms as well.

Next question, how many students in the school year 2018, 2019 received testing accommodations?

LINDA CHEN: I think we will need to get that information back to you, we do not have that with us. The total number of students -

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, receiving testing accommodations, right. And also, forgive me, we missed — Council Member Holden has a follow up question as well, so I want to give him time to ask his follow ups, forgive me, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you Chair. Does each school come up with their own or establish their own grading policy?

ALICE BROWN: The schools publish their grading policies. We give guidance for how to do so, and then they have a grading policy per school, per even subject area if they want to because you might have different expectations right, for arts versus social studies.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, so, different schools grade different ways. They have slight — and some schools grade a little bit more lenient possibly or more strict and that's throughout the system in different classes. But let me know the process of

2.2

how a student graduates early. Is there a process

3 they have to go to DOE and put an application in and

4 | how is that possible?

ALICE BROWN: Students have credit and exam requirements for graduation. So, when they meet them, they're eligible for graduation.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And does anybody oversee that? Let's say DOE, Central?

ALICE BROWN: For the graduation of each individual, the school has multiple check points throughout the career of the student to see that they are meeting the requirements, both of credits and exams. And then, the staff at the school, generally a guidance counselor works through to be sure that those particular subject area requirements are met in the exam and then presents it for approval from the administration for a student to graduate.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, it's all within the school. So, if the school wanted that person to graduate early, there's no outside entity that evaluates that?

ALICE BROWN: Not if the requirements are met.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, but that explains a lot of it. Okay, thank you and this EduStat, it's

2.2

2 like a CompStat, will that be an oversight? Will the 3 principal come before this body of performance

4 | management? Is that how it will work?

LINDA CHEN: EduStat is a process and protocol that we did fashion after learning from CompStat as well as ChildStat and ACS and it is to measure the systems health.

So, currently the plan is not for an individual principal to come before, but we do this in groups such as in the borough by Executive Superintendent groups.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay, alright, thank you. Thanks, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Okay, that actually is going to be my next question. Well, actually I have some other questions to EduStat.

Earlier this year, the administration as we heard announced the development of EduStat, a performance management system modeled on the NYPD's CompStat and ACS ChildStat. Can you provide us with more details related to what this system will entail and how is this system different then ARIS, a system that I had to endure when I was a teacher in the school system.

LINDA CHEN: I had to endure that as well as a principal Chair, so I commiserate. It is not a data system like ARIS was, it is really process and a protocol tracking certain data points to make sure — sometimes the Chancellor talks about it. Like a checkup; when you go in, whatever reason you are going in to see the doctor, you get your blood pressure taken and those kinds of things.

So, it is a way for us to have a health check on how we are doing as a system and importantly for us to respond as a system to places where perhaps course accumulation isn't on track in the way that it should be. What do we then need to do as a system to support and ensure that every student is on track to graduation?

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, can you provide some additional details, what will the system entail. What will this give you that you don't have right now?

LINDA CHEN: What it will give us is a way to look at one particular group. So, the example that I used earlier was through a borough or Executive Superintendent supervision, to look at that group of schools. To look at a number of indicators; and

2.2

Alice can talk a little bit more about this. But we want to make sure and again, we are starting this.

This is different, this is not something the system has done before. But we need to be able to look at one large group of schools at a time to be able to hold frankly, ourselves, accountable at Central around some of the various questions that have come up today are around what's happening in individual schools. Something like an EduStat is going to lift up in regularity a routine and a process for us. And a Chancellor is part of everyone of these sessions and senior leadership, so that we have requisite oversight and most importantly supports to ensure that every student is on track.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: How do you reassure someone like me that this is not just another fancy endeavor to tell us what we already know in a very fancy, expensive way?

LINDA CHEN: While it is new, it does have — it really is about and what I would frame as internal accountability for us to be able to do something for our schools.

Now, what is part of this I would say too that's important here, is that there is also progress

monitoring that's aligned where schools on an individual basis are measuring their own goals and where their meeting every student that's aligned to what every Superintendent is doing in terms of their schools.

Which is aligned to what every Executive
Superintendent is doing around the oversight of an entire borough and this simple is to some degree, a
Central version of making sure that we have all of our systems in place and know when things flag, that we have a way to systematically catch those things and that, I think is the key here. Is that there is a system that's much like CompStat and ChildStat.

There's a way where regularly we come together and we're able to see things flag and that means we must do something about that. And there are solutions on the spot that happen as a result of this. And that is what I would say to you, is a main purpose of that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Can you provide us with a cost breakdown of EduStat?

LINDA CHEN: Okay, we can give that to you. We can provide that.

2.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yeah, we need that information and I respectfully — we need that information. As someone who lived through the ARIS era, respectfully, I heard many similar things where I just heard now about what the promise of ARIS was. It was also hard to utilize ARIS in a school that didn't have adequate computers and internet access as well.

LINDA CHEN: Well, believe me, I remember the circle. I just want to be very clear and I don't think I was, that it is not a data system like a data dashboard where someone logs into this. It is a protocol and process where key leaders in the DOE including the Chancellor come together to ensure that we are tracking what should be on progress, if you will.

So, there is not a logging into something. I just want to be very clear about that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, and so, I think ARIS costs an excess, I don't have it in front of me, an excess of \$180 million, somewhere in that range.

Let me tell you what we already know. We already know that many of our schools desperately need more school counselors and social workers. Just imagine

2.2

2 how many counselors we can get with \$180 million or

3 so.

So, if all of this is just going to simply find a new way to regurgitate what we already know or already should know in a fancy title, I'd rather invest in schools directly. I'd rather get money into those schools to hire more social workers, school counselors, find better ways, effective ways to assess student proficiency and mastery. Art, music, physical education, but I do want to hear more. And also, has the DOE engaged NYPD and ACS to learn from those agencies the issues and challenges faced when they implemented their respective systems?

LINDA CHEN: Both agencies were gracious enough to have cabinet members and those involved in the design of EduStat to not only observe but have discussions around some of those very challenges.

Again, of course, we're looking at different kinds of data points, but I must extend you know, on behalf of the Chancellor, our gratitude for those agencies in sharing their lessons learned.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, I'll just tell you that as someone that worked in the school system and at times the school had to interact with ACS, and I'm

saying this respectfully. The feedback that we would get back from ACS to our school; hire more social workers, hire more support staff in your school.

So, if that data is going to be memorialized in a new way, I hope it reaches someone that has the power to make a decision to get us more supports in our system.

I'm going to just the final and then we will hear from our colleagues — the students here. We understand that Principals were told that DOE intends to administer a single, uniform new assessment four times a year in place of school selected periodic assessments. Is this true? If so, what assessment is this and when will this information be shared with families? Will this be an off the rack assessment that the city purchases?

LINDA CHEN: So, yes, the Chancellor has shared the need for us as a system to be able to know how ever student is doing in any particular grade level prior to a state exam. Which is really an outcome that happens after we have the ability to do something about this while the student is still in that grade, if you will.

2.

So, yes, we are looking at a long-term plan of having a formative assessment system and the reason why one single measure as part of many, right. So, that's one way of being able to see how all students are doing, so that we can — most importantly, this is not a high stakes, this is about informing us as to what we need to do to better support our students. And it is difficult to compare or be able to see the differences, rather it's equity of resources or impact if there are multiple different assessments happening simultaneously.

The idea is to streamline and to have one — but we're looking into right now, actively, as one that schools are already using. So, I do want to be clear that a lot of these practices are somethings that schools are already doing and electing to do on their own and we'd just like to be able to do that centrally with some subset of schools to begin with during the course of this year.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, but Dr. Chen, you just kind of answered my — schools already should be conducting formative assessments with students and what I'm hearing goes against a lot of the training I received as a teacher when I was told over and over

2.2

and over again. Including on PD's I went to when I

worked for the DOE was to differentiate my

4 instruction.

What they never differentiated was assessments, but they always said to differentiate your instruction. And now, we just had a whole discussion on the gravity and the impact tests have on our schools and we're saying that we're going to implement another one.

You see, teachers that I've worked with, when we first start teaching in high school, we like to advance low stakes assessments that give us baseline data to work with to see where our kids are at without the pressure and the stress and just work with them during the course of the year.

I think you could just simple call the school and speak to a teacher and get some data on formative work, rather than investing in a new fancy test. You will save money, headaches, bad press, from simply picking up the phone and calling an educator on how kids are doing and what their needs are in a school building.

So, I would like to learn more and find out more information about this, because I have concerns about

2.2

instituting or requiring more assessments that I,

1 other than just naving test companies a let of meney

quite frankly, I'm not sure we're measuring anymore

4 other than just paying test companies a lot of money.

LINDA CHEN: If I might.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes.

teacher, of being able to look at baseline information, to be able to then make decisions about your teaching and meeting the needs of every student. That is the purpose of a formative assessment system and again, this is something that many schools are already engaging with. This will not necessarily be a new resource for schools.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, so why spend money to institute something that we're already doing?

LINDA CHEN: I think the difference is that we're doing it in a number of different ways and so, that doesn't allow the system to be able provide the resources and supports in the most needed areas. And that is why for the very same reasons that you're expressing, is to be able to determine what those needs are and to be able to provide those supports.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, so, we'll follow up with more discussions on that and I think with that, I thank the panel for your time.

Next, we're going to hear from the next panel.

Jeannie Ferrari, Connie Delagraze Raios[SP?] Lucca

Quillio[SP?], Cheyenne Penya[SP?], Brian

Pimentel[SP?], Larissa Tehada[SP?] and Alex Brooks.

Folks, each witness will be given around two minutes to speak and there could be some additional follow up, but I appreciate everyone's time and patience today.

I guess, whenever you are ready, you may begin.

Just tell us your name and you can get started.

JEANNIE FERRARI: Alright, so, my name is Jeannie Ferrari, I am Principal of Humanities Preparatory Academy. We are a consortium high school located in Manhattan. We are unusual, we're a highbred model of enrollment, so half of our students come to us as 9th graders from all five boroughs and the other half are transfer students, which means they may have struggled in another school setting. Sometimes they were in a school with a high stakes testing culture and they want to find something that's more tailored to their needs in individuals and more nurturing.

So, I'm just going to read a statement, it has to do more with college preparation and consortium schools.

So, standardized tests like the Regents assess compliance, recall, memorization, speed, how to follow directions and how to sort. These skills were very useful in the past century when the majority of our students were trained for routine labor in factories, but they are very outdated in the 21st century. What and how we choose to assess drives everything from instruction, culture, equity and even safety in a school.

New York State students, many of whom are English Language learners or have IEPs are subjected to entire courses designed to prepare them for tests.

Many are taught to cram, memorize, recall, sit still, be quite and follow directions.

What we assess shows what we value, and it also shows what we value in our children. Our Chancellor has courageously set a vision of equity and access for all students in New York City. Let's adapt an assessment system that aligns with those values. My school, Humanities Prep is part of a consortium of high schools in New York City and New York State who

2.2

assess students based on their performance on a series of research projects called PBATS or PBA's.

These projects are aligned with state standards and common core standards. Each student presents a minimum of four PBAT projects in their core subject areas and they present them to a panel of teachers and an outside evaluator who is a member of the community and often an expert in the field the student is presenting in.

Am I done? Okay.

They spent a lot of time preparing for these projects and presentations. They are tailored to the individual learning needs of each student and ensures that each student gets consistent and meaningful feedback about their work.

Perhaps most exceptionally, PBAT projects teach and assess college and career readiness skills for the 21st Century. Skills that use reasoning and logic to solve a problem. They help teach students how to determine the validity of evidence in arguments, find credible and strong sources to support ideas, make connections between an issue and it's larger social and political context, revise written work, present ideas to an audience in a clear

2 a

/

I hope that New York State and New York City will lead the way towards a truly equitable rigorous and

and convincing way, collaborate with others on a team to find solutions to complex problems, develop stamina and persist us in the writing process and utilize experimental design. These are the things we want our kids to know when they go to college, not how to memorize, not how to cram and not how to sort.

The PBAT's measure much more rigorous skills than the Regents but each student is supported individually to master them. It is not one size fits all. Assessing students in this way would fundamentally shift how students engage with school if this were widespread.

As a Principal, I know that my students have truly succeeded when they graduate from college, not necessarily when they graduate from high school, not even when they get into college but when they graduate from college and every single graduate who has come back to Humanities Prep has told me that the single most important process that prepare them for college was the PBAT was going through this, was getting the feedback and practicing and rehearsing and revising.

effective assessment system that truly measures what students actually know and can do.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I liked your opening better than mine. Please, go ahead.

LARISSA TEHADA: Good afternoon. My name is

Larissa Tehada. I had graduated from Humanities

Preparatory Academy this past June as Valedictorian

and I am currently a freshman at Brooklyn College.

Humanities Preparatory Academy is a small New York City public high school that is in the New York Performance Consortium. A group of schools that focus on portfolio base assessments as a graduation requirement rather than Regents exams.

For those who don't know, my former school,

Humanities Preparatory Academy and the other schools

in the consortium are exempt from New York States

Regents exams with the exception of the English

Regents and use portfolio-based assessment tasks or

PBATS as an alternative.

My classmates and I all wrote research papers in the four core subjects; English, history, math and science and presented them to a panel of teachers

that read, questioned and graded us off both our writing and presentation skills.

Personally, my four PBAT's were empowering and allowed me to be the intellectual I am today. As a freshman at prep, I was taught how to properly write a cohesive essay and how to defend this essay with peer view and discussion.

As my high school career proceeded, I grew as a writer and an intellectual. My junior year I wrote and presented three PBAT's, English, science and math. It was a challenging year. I was taking APUS History, starting to think about the college process, writing three of my graduation requirements and dealing with personal issues of my own. However, I knew I was prepared to face all of my responsibilities.

My three papers were about three distinct topics.

Dystopian Literature and Patriarchy, Physics and

Warfare and Statistics and School Policing. After

presenting my three papers and passing, I felt more

secure in my education. I knew I actually understood

what I had learned in each class and that I can apply

it to real world experiences. This is where I find

2.2

2 that standardized tests, such as the Regents fail the 3 students.

At Prep, I was taught in a way which ensured that I understood the topic and allowed for my own interpretation, rather than a system that forces us to cram and regurgitate what we can onto a test that eventually determines if we fit to graduate from the high school program.

After writing and presenting my final paper for history, focusing on the death penalty, I knew I was prepared as a writer and student to move onto college. I recently submitted my first draft from my first college paper. My professor gave us a prompt, the MLA format requirement and no rubric. And with little guidance, I applied the writing schools I learned at Prep and submitted the draft.

At the end of last week, my professor sent me my edits and included a message. This is a strong, vividly embodied and committed first draft. My comments have marked local areas where sentence structure can be smoothed out and generalities can be deepened.

Two, I have marked how you can widen the angle on your conclusion, but overall, you're well on your way

2.2

2 toward a final draft and unified narrative voice,
3 Well done.

It is safe to say that I was successful on my first draft because of the education I received at Humanities Preparatory Academy. And the focus on educating students and preparing them for both discussions and rating in college rather than preparation for a test. Thank you.

BRIAN PIMENTEL: Good afternoon, my name is Brian Pimentel. I am a senior at Humanities Preparatory Academy, a school in Chelsey.

Before coming to Humanities Prep, I used to be at a Regents bilingual school. When I first started my freshman year in that school, we didn't get to do ice breaker games or get to know each other. Instead, we started doing work based on getting as ready for the Regents exams.

Personally, I'm not good at taking tests and would always fail every test or quiz. I was worried that I was not going to do good in the Regents and I graduated on time. But when I found out about a non-Regents school that only takes PBAT's, I was amazed because I'm not a good test taker. And for me, PBAT's were going to be better. I was grateful that

a school like Humanities preparatory Academy helped me be a better person and a better writer while preparing me for college.

I feel that PBAT's, a superior system, because once I head to college, I will already know how to write a paper. I don't remember any of the Regents exams that I have taken. I felt pressured training myself to master multiple choice question. The PBAT's helped me learn more of a vocabulary and how to read and write at a higher level. I also got to analyze problems and ideas, instead of just memorizing.

CHEYENNE PENYA: Hello, my name is Cheyenne Penya and I am a senior at Humanities Preparatory Academy. Today, I will be speaking about my experience with PBAT's and my past history with standardized tests. As a student at Prep, I am confident that PBAT's have made me a better writer by increasing my writing stamina, improving my analytical skills and allowing me to voice my opinions.

Before in middle school, I was intimidated by writing. My hands would get sweaty, I would get headaches and a horrible feeling in my stomach. That not only extended to my writing but also the tests in

J

/

general. The thought of having a cap on how much work I had to do in one sitting was unbearable. Once I finished with the multiple-choice answers and the short answers, I was left with a cramped and clammy hand and three to four pages of MD space essay.

I wasn't always the best test taker, but I tried my best and I felt that that was enough or so I thought. When I would get those tests back, I was disappointed in myself for not getting as high as my peers and was ultimately embarrassed. I questioned if I was as intelligent as I thought I was and if school was meant for me.

The teachers taught us that it took practice, but I felt as though every time we took a test I was doomed from the start. So, I told myself that I would never take a test again and apply to Humanities Preparatory Academy. However, when I got to Prep, I found out about PBAT's. Again, that nervous, nauseating feeling came back. I was only a freshman, but the anxiety of tests still filled me up.

When I reached my junior year, I figured it was best to take PBAT's head on. I stayed in during lunch and after school to work on PBAT's. I was frustrated but still motivated because after all, I

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

had three more to do. So, I worked hard on my paper, constantly asking my teacher for help. When I was done, I felt like I had a weight off my shoulders and also found a new sense of confidence in myself.

I was able to write an eleven to fifteen-page paper with convincing evidence, proper citation and analysis. For my first PBAT, I got a 4 on my presentation and a 3.5 on my paper. I was elated and happy to be done with one PBAT.

Coming over that experience was stressful considering my test stress and my thinking that I was unable to write, but looking back at it, it was one of my best moments. I came to the realization that it wasn't that I couldn't write. It was the fear that was instilled in my head from middle school that tests were the only thing that mattered.

Those experiences of staying in a room for three hours with two number two pencils, a calculator, with anxiety and not having the ability to stay still, stayed with me until I was a junior in high school.

PBAT courses and teachers taught me that I am more than test scores, more than my fears and that I am more than capable. That following summer of my junior year, I signed up for a summer emerging

2.2

program called, Freedom and Citizenship. There I would be reading some material from philosophers, writing daily responses to what we had read. Then later, in the year we would create a project calling attention to a social issue. The same feeling of anxiety came over me, but I was able to sooth it. I told myself, you've already written three papers that are up to 20 pages, what is a one-page response to a 20-page paper.

So, I did the one-page response every night. I was able to analyze difficult content from Socrates, John Hobbs and others. I was able to connect their ideas and make connections with present day life. I was able to form a sound argument and stand up for my ideas. While everyone was complaining about the whole ordeal, I was okay. I felt peace because I knew I could do it and I did.

Now, whenever there is a paper due at school, or writing samples are due for college, I can do it because of PBATs. Tests and writing no longer make me afraid. I am confident in the work that I do.

Most of all, I feel prepared for college more than ever. Thank you.

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LUCCA QUILLIO: Good afternoon, my name is Lucca

Quillio. I am currently a senior at Humanities

Preparatory Academy, and I moved from Brazil actually

6 semester of freshman year, so I was new to that whole

not a long time ago. I came during the second

7 PBAT experience. I grew up in an education system

8 where tests are the main form of providing how much

9 people know about a certain subject. Therefore, all

10 | me and my classmates would do is try to memorize and

11 somewhere understand the subject and prepare for

12 those tests.

And ironically, memorizing/remembering is considered the lowest level of thinking. For the past hundred years, we have been using the same way to test peoples knowledge based on how well they remember, rather than deeply analyze their capability to create, evaluate and apply their understanding into a piece of work. I always questioned why do colleges always ask for a graded written assignment and why are so many of them going test optional and requiring more written creations.

Well, because I guess times are changing and so are our minds. Our goal isn't to prepare students to follow orders and turn into robots working in

2.2

factories anymore. Our goal is to show them how they

can improve their critical thinking, problem solving

can improve their critical thinking, problem solving and creativity. And PBAT's require a lot more effort

5 from your whole brain then regular tests.

I would like to use an example as the math PBAT is a pretty one. In tests, you have to use formula's that you were taught to remember under time pressure to see if you can get the answers right.

While in a math PBAT, you are meant to explain why you would use search formula and what the formula actually means. And part of Rubric says, make sure it includes proof, reasoning and analysis. Most of the time you can either create your own formulas or reach for many different branches over a single concept, just by simply taking your time to dig into it.

The second and last step of a PBAT is to present it. Teachers will be able to brainstorm with the students and get a more in-depth perspective about what is great and what isn't about their work.

Giving them feedback that could be used for future experiences rather than just a grade in the form of a number.

Having test taking skills is definitely not a bad thing, but I would argue that having wider and more developed methods of thinking would prepare you for a better future.

Thank you for your time.

UNIDENTIFIED: Transferring to a PBAT school was a breath of fresh air after being in a Regents focused school. Teachers in a Regents school seem more focused on improving test scores then on student learning. We memorized material that the teacher knew the test would ask.

Schools like kill the fun in learning. A student can only stay interested for so long when even the teacher is bored, because they have been repeating themselves for the past decade. That's why teens don't do homework, skip class and drop out. The school system is not tailored to the children of today.

It is rare to see the passion or nurturing care found in a PBAT schoolteacher in a seasoned Regents teacher. In a consortium school, we learn about current issues, law and world events. Let me tell you about a real-life situation that happened to me and how being in a PBAT school was important.

2.2

I've had courses where I've learned research skills, how to gather evidence, and how to express my ideas. I was able to use these experiences to solve a serious problem.

In April of 2018, my family which was living in the Bronx, was relocated by New York City's

Department of Homeless Services to Patterson New

Jersey as a part of a program of what the city called stable housing. There were many problems with the conditions of the apartment, but we didn't have too much choice.

In May of this year, my mom received a tenancy summons saying that since she hadn't paid the rent for one month, she had to appear in court. Although our rent was supposed to be covered by NYC, something had gone wrong and we were scared. We thought we might be evicted. I remember thinking I should try to help my family, but this is the sort of problem that deals with like legal stuff and legal rhetoric is complicated in deciphering that jargon is difficult.

Then I remembered the course I took called Constitutional Law. One of the teachers was a lawyer and it helped me understand more of the legal world.

2.2

Every class we'd analyze a case individually and then come together to discuss it. We would debate and have some homework on the topic. Pairing research skills that I had learned in another course called English Foundations, with the legal rhetoric, I found fundamental laws that the landlord, our property company had violated. I even found that there are you tube videos describing legal ideas.

Another great help was the contact my school helped me get with a housing lawyer who helped me find my footing. When the day came for my mom to go to court, I went with her. My mom wasn't clear about what the focus should be, and I was able to help keep the discussion on track. The results were positive.

This experience showed me that my PBAT education was preparatory for life. Yes, we write papers and take courses, but the way PBAT's work, you learn how to present your ideas and topics become something you care about and you also learn how to stick up for yourself and know when to ask for help. I believe PBAT schools teach you lifelong skills. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You know, I could just drop the mic for all of you right now. This is extraordinary. First of all, you supposed to teach

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

your quorum not to do clapping in this room, but I just want to applaud these amazing students here for their amazing testimony.

Just some quick follow up questions to this amazing panel. A question I ask the students at Leaders in my district, is a question I'd like to ask the students here as well. How did you hear about the consortium school? Who was the first person to tell you about it? How did you hear about it and feel free if anyone could just to the mic.

LARISSA TEHADA: So, when I arrived at Humanities Prep as a freshman, I had no idea it was a consortium school. I had heard of similar schools like Beacon High School, which is pretty well known around the city and I was actually in my middle school, I was discouraged to apply to Humanities Preparatory Academy because it seemed too farfetched for people from my district.

So, I was suggested to apply like a vocational school in Queens.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Who suggested that?

LARISSA TEHADA: My school counselor in middle

school.

Ū

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: From a non-consortium school suggested to do that.

LARISSA TEHADA: Yeah, she was like, oh, these schools are really hard to get into. It's really farfetched to even apply and like risk not getting in. So, you should apply to these schools instead and then after discussions with the principal and my mom, I went ahead and applied to Humanities Preparatory Academy and gratefully got in.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Good for you. Please, I'd like to here -

CHEYENNE PENYA: I really love my story about how
I found my PBAT school because yeah, I didn't know
PBAT schools existed and the main thing on everyone's
plate was like, oh, like audition for one of the bigname brand schools and I didn't believe that like, my
art and my skills of that nature were that good. So,
it was like the last night of me — like, the night
right before they were taking the high school
application papers, I was filling it out and it was
like I was looking for art school that didn't have
like an audition. Yeah, you didn't need to audition.

So, that was Gotham Professional Arts Academy which is my first PBAT school and yeah, I didn't know

2.2

either or I didn't know at all but I fell in love
with how the school was ran and so much so, when I

transferred to a Regents school and saw that it was
run differently, I was ready to drop out. That's how
bad it was, so I had to go back to another Regents
school which is Urban Academy presently but yeah, I
didn't know. I was just looking for a school without
an audition.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, you found it on your own, interesting. Next, just how did you find it? Who told you about it?

BRIAN PIMENTEL: So, after I was done with my freshman year at my old school, I went back to the enrollment center because I didn't like the environment in my old school. But then, they gave me a huge list of schools to look at and then he told me that there was like, consortium schools, which I didn't know what they were back then. And he gave me two Humanities Prep and James Baldwin.

So, I'm like, I'll try out Humanities Prep and then when I found out that it was a non-Regents school, I was actually glad that Humanities Prep got to take me in. I was like actually grateful because

2.2

I actually learned more like the writing and through

3 public speaking also.

So, yeah, I felt like if I stayed at a Regents school, I wouldn't learn as much as I do now and I'm actually really grateful for that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you.

LUCCA QUILLIO: In my case, like, since I had just come from a different country, it's pretty hard to accept a student in the middle of the year especially, not having English as a first language.

So, I had the high school book and I basically wrote down about like ten names and we called every single school and nine out of those ten were Regents schools, but I didn't really know about any of that.

So, the only school that actually opened their arms and said, yes, you can come in was a non-Regents school and that was Humanities Prep.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Interesting.

CONNIE DELAGRAZE RAIOS: I first found out about Humanities Prep when I was looking through the high school book.

23 CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Directory.

CONNIE DELAGRAZE RAIOS: Yeah, high school directory when I was in 8th grade and I had applied

2.2

to — I think 12 schools, like the normal amount and [INAUDIBLE 3:00:11] was my 5th choice. I didn't think I was going to get into it, I didn't really

5 want to go to it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Keep it real.

CONNIE DELAGRAZE RAIOS: And when I found out I was kind of upset, but then when I arrived at the school and they told me that they didn't have Regents, then I was like oh, this is different, okay, this sounds better. And then, after looking back on it, I'm actually really happy. If there is such thing as fate, I think fate had it, but I was supposed to go to this school.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Interesting, I'm learning quite a bit because a lot of the answers I heard today were the answers I heard in my visit to Leaders as well. It sometimes just happened by chance; some student just did some research on their own. A lack of guidance from the system to educate you about the opportunities and the options.

Last question for the students and I'd like to kind of here because I have to ask this question.

How did you explain to your folks at home about a school that does not administer the Regents, because

2 a

a question that came up in my visit was some parents are saying, what do mean there's not Regents. How do they objectively assess your performance, that sounds crazy. Did you get that at home and how did you deal with that?

LARISSA TEHADA: So, I'm the youngest of three.

So, both of my older siblings went to New York City

public high schools and they were distraught when

they found that Humanities Prep didn't do Regents,

and everything seemed kind of foreign.

But it was something that I only had to deal with in my junior and senior year, so we went along with it and then as they like, looked over my schoolwork and proofread all my essays, they were like, wow, this is really excelled writing for somebody who's a freshman and sophomore in high school.

So, once I did reach the junior year, like threshold, and started writing my actual PBAT's and got ready to present, they understood why it was an alternative to Regents and they understand like the whole test anxiety. So, they supported me full-fledged and my siblings definitely did help me edit my papers.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: That's great, that's great.

it.

2.2

BRIAN PIMENTEL: In my case it was different

because my parents didn't really know what the word

Regents meant, but they were surprised that there was

no main test to make sure I passed to the next level.

But after I explained it and explained why we do it,

they were actually fine with it, completely fine with

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Great.

CHEYENNE PENYA: I remember that my mother, she was very suspicious. She was like, what do you mean they don't have tests? Are you lying to me? And she didn't understand.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Understandable question, yeah.

CHEYENNE PENYA: And my brother too, he was like you're lying. No, because he goes to a Regents school and so he was like, no, that's not true and I'm like, no, it is true and it was weird because he would get out of school June 26th and I would get out like two weeks earlier because I didn't have to take PBAT's like my freshman and sophomore year. So, I had all this free time and he was like, no, that's not fair. And then when I actually got time to

writing, my mom was like, oh, okay, I see. Because it was like rigorous writing every single day.

Like, I can't talk right now, I have to write, I have write. And then she was like okay. And then she understood like, what the school was about.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Fascinating.

LUCCA QUILLIO: So, my mom was like, oh, you're going to a PBAT school. For my mom, she was like, oh, you're not taking Regents. And I'm like, no, because I have an IEP so like, I'm not good at taking tests. Like, I noticed since I was in 3rd grade because I had trouble taking tests always.

So, she knew that that school would be a perfect fit for me. Like she would support me and then she's seen like, how much I've improved throughout this whole year. Like, especially, now that I'm a senior, she's seen like how much I've accomplished like writing papers.

And she's actually been like proud of that because I've been like not writing as much as I used to.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Extraordinary stories and question for the principal, thank you by the way, very powerful opening which I took some notes on.

How do you respond like, what are your general

2 3 thoughts? You heard me earlier exchange with the 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

administration. I was very active in my school community. I attended many PD's; I was a member of my SLT. I never heard of consortium schools when I was a teacher. I would have loved to have learned some of the amazing practices that can be applied even in a non-consortium school. Do you get opportunities to speak with your peers beyond the consortium network? Does the DOE foster that environment where you collaborate beyond the 38 or just the consortium network because I would have loved to have learned some of this amazing practices that happens obviously here in consortium schools.

JEANNIE FERRARI: So, I've been principal for this is my 8th year, but I've been a teacher for 10 before that. So, a long time; I've seen the kind of arch of the DOE and the state.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, right.

JEANNIE FERRARI: Kind of there are different relationships depending on who the Mayor is and so, whenever you are doing radical work that is somewhat diversative and not what other people are doing, people want to stop you.

Sometimes it's the state, sometimes it's been the city and I think we're in a unique opportunity right now where both the city and state are open to this model of instruction where parents and students are exhausted by the testing culture and we have an opportunity for real change.

You know, I say that to say that sometimes we've had to float under the radar, just because you know, if you are heavy on the radar, people try to stop what you're doing. Now, we feel we can come out and you know, announce who we are — at least I do. This is my perspective; I don't represent the entire consortium and the DOE does foster opportunities for learning and it hasn't necessarily not been any fault of their own. I think they are learning about us.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: 20 years, there are lifelong learners, yes.

JEANNIE FERRARI: There's different Mayors at all different -

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Yes, I hear you.

JEANNIE FERRARI: And they have to kind of relearn the system, you know. So, I think that we will have opportunities to be able to show the work that we're doing and showcase it and certainly, nationally and

2.2

internationally, we've had visitors from all over different countries. We had someone from Australia, a team of educators from Australia, from Canada, from the Netherlands in the last few years. All over the world that come and visit our school and learn about our practices nationally. We've been part of a group of all kinds of schools that do similar things and they visited us, we visited them. We train them in restorative justice, consortium schools we're doing restorative justice in the 90's.

So, you know, it's a safe and comfortable environment to share what we do now.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And tell us about your admissions process.

JEANNIE FERRARI: So, ours is unusual because we're split. So, the 9th graders have to go through that horrible — you know the 8th graders go through that horrible process — if you are a parent, you know what it's like or you have to apply to the schools and everyone has a standardized rubric and you know, they apply and then they get sorted and either get seats or they don't. But we get transfer students from all four grades. No age limits, nothing and no testing requirements.

So, I can't even tell you. We have transfer

students from all different kinds of situations.

Some of them like Lucca have come in from a new country and don't have a placement. Some of them have really struggled like Brian in a testing culture. Some of them you know, we have students who are transitioning from incarceration. We have students — for them, it's like wow, this is amazing.

You know, we have students who have left the system, are in temporary housing placement. We just had a student, well, I won't say this, but you know, in the past we've had students come in from different temporary housing situations.

There's no one type of transfer student, but the admissions process is, enrollment will often send us kids, sometimes guidance counselors, advocates for children, different children advocates groups in the city. They will show up in our office, we'll meet with them, we'll assess their needs if we have space for them and we'll talk about them.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, to be clear, you welcome all, is that right?

JEANNIE FERRARI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You welcome all. You meet students where they are at and you are building a school culture around their strengths and their abilities and you're still meeting standards along the way. Is that correct?

JEANNIE FERRARI: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: For the public that's paying attention, because that's really important and you're graduation rates if you could speak to that.

Some of the metrics that they use.

JEANNIE FERRARI: The one that just came out for this past year was 94 percent which is a lot higher. And I think it was our six month — I don't know what they call it, but six month after graduation are in college is 83 percent.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: 94 percent and how are key subgroups? Students with IEP's, multilingual learners, how are they doing?

JEANNIE FERRARI: So, students — our English

Language learners I think they had a higher

graduation rate for some reason then the rest of our

normal number of students. We do very well with our

population of Black and Hispanic males. I think it's

20 or 30 percent higher than a citywide average,

their graduation rate and IEP students, I'd have to get back to you on that because I just got that report.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No worries and if anyone, I know many folks here might know but if anyone in the public that's paying attention, if the term PBAT is new to you, it was new to me. I copied it from one of the rubrics for the presentations, check it out.

Before anyone passes judgment that it's a watereddown version of education, it is far more rigorous than any New York State Regents exams. Take that to the bank as someone who taught Regents classes for a number of years. What these kids are doing is far more rigorous, complex and actually meaningful than the Regents.

It is not simple but it's also not a surprise or a gotcha game and you're always in it together. And one of the things I will leave you with is that when I visit schools, and I always encourage the DOE to do the same, because I can't say when they sent their Central folks in about test scores. Rather than ask schools about test scores, what kinds of problems are our students tackling?

Look, I was never a great calculous — I was not great in test or in areas as well, but you know what, all of you are amazing problem solvers. And in this world today, in this country today, we need you more than ever. You are so much more than a score. Thank you very much, appreciate you all.

Next, we'll hear from Emily Carrazana, Ann Cook who is an extraordinary person, Johnathan Cats,

Tasfia Rahman, Ashley Grant and Marlinda Lee[SP?].

And I think there is a clock I think for three minutes.

Whenever folks are ready, you may begin.

MARLINDA LEE: Good afternoon, my name is

Marlinda Lee and in three minutes I'm going to

describe the whole world of Board of Education to me.

I have a daughter 23 years old with Downs syndrome. I have advocated on behalf of my child since before she was born. They told me to terminate her, so that was the first test. She is now 23 years old attending BMCC in a Reggio program. I say no, to the Board of Education with the advocacy that I have had to endure persistently to get her an appropriate education to have an impartial hearing on seven occasions to actually get a compensatory order. I

2.2

say no to Regents and the reason being, my son is 15 years old attending a Charter school where they do nothing but testing. They don't have life other than testing, testing. They are failed on purpose but then they pass the Regents. The rhetoric as the Board of Education says, they come to kids where they are, they don't.

This whole myth of 25 years of we're going to meet them where they are, they're not. I am 57 years old, I have just as much Downs syndrome in me as my daughter. The Board of Education, I don't know where they came from, but they set behind a table and they decided that they were going to take special needs and put them in a bubble with all this money. But what they didn't do was to release our children, so that they can be their best self.

Meet me where I am and let me be who I am. Not a Regents, not a CPAP or all these other entities SSVR. You have so many different resources that you're supposed to align your kids with that they are able to obtain.

My daughter may never be the president, she may never drive a car. I, myself, will never be the president or drive a car. I am retired from New York

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 City Transit as a station agent for over 17 ½ years.

3 I came here today because on behalf of every

4 individual with intellectual disability, there has to

5 be another pathway. You cannot give a child a

6 certificate that says you have completed. My

7 daughter has attended over 21 years of school. I

8 took her to SSVR, they told me oh, go get her GED.

I took her to HRC Supportive Employment because she was diagnosed to need supportive employment. My daughter has an income of over \$500 a month. She receives \$15 in SNAP benefits. In this real world, what we live in in New York City, what is that? My child is able to read, write, get on a computer better than me. Has more common sense and will help anybody.

Our system today amongst all of us, we have to make them stop. This is not the norm. To stand here today, I am privileged, and I am blessed but as I advocate, you get tired. Fighting and fighting and fighting, I am that story.

I came from a mom of seven. I have a 33-year-old that just got 33 credits or 3 years of credits incarcerated. I have a daughter 25 years old, cancer survivor, got an alternative diploma.

So, I'm going to finish my statement with this, please revise the way that we test our kids. Please give them their life that they deserve. Please allow them to be who they are and go where they need to be, because I am somebody and I have special needs. Thank you.

ASHLEY GRANT: Hi, my name is Ashley Grant and I'm an attorney at Advocates for Children. I also coordinate the statewide coalition on multiple pathways to a diploma.

So, on behalf of the coalition, I thank you for the opportunity to speak about high stakes tests and the need for more ways to determine that students have mastered graduation standards.

Our coalition of more than 70 members includes advocates, educators, parents and youth and we represent a broad cross section of students including students with disabilities, multilingual learners and economically disadvantaged young people.

For more than 12 years we've come together to urge New York State to create multiple instructional and assessment pathways to a high school diploma.

Each of which holds all students to high expectations, provides them with quality instruction

opportunities.

2.2

technical ed or CTE and work base learning
opportunities that have been shown to improve student

existing graduation pathways like career and

and opens the door to career and post-secondary

engagement, reduce drop out rates and improve college

We are also unified by the concern that access to

completion rates. These opportunities have been

limited for many students.

So, I'm attaching to my written remarks, a copy of our coalitions full policy goals. New York students need pathways to a diploma that do not rely on high stakes exams. As has been said before, to earn a diploma in our state, students must generally five Regents exams or substitute other types of high stakes exams in those subject areas.

But research shows that high stakes tests are poor indicators of student readiness and that locally determine measures like GPA, better predict how students will do in college. High stakes tests also disproportionately create barriers for students with disabilities, multi-language learners and for students of color.

So, as the Council Member has shared, New York is one of only eleven states that maintains exit exam requirements and with the requirements the students pass five exams, we have some of the most burdensome in the country.

So, it's really time for New York to catch up with the rest of the country and to find ways to demonstrate that students are ready for college and career without forcing them to pass these high stakes tests.

The states current graduation requirements create a barrier to opportunities for students who are already ready to graduate and move on to the next phase of their lives.

So, take for example, an English language learner in foster care who advocates for children assisted and who I am going to call Myra.

Myra is very bright, she earned more than 50 credits, far exceeding the course work required for a Regents diploma. Myra did well in her classes, and she maintained B average, but she struggled to pass the Regents exam in English Language Arts.

After completing all of her other graduation requirements at age 19, rather than going on to

college, Myra had to spend two more years studying for and retaking the English Language Arts exam.

Eventually after taking that single exam seven times, she finally passed at the age of 21. She eventually went on to college and she did well, but if she had been able show her mastery of those English Language Art standards another way, through a performance-based assessment, through her course work, through a capstone project, she could have spend those two years working toward her college degree, rather than retaking a single test.

Our coalition is very pleased that the New York
City Council and the New York State Board of Regents
and the New York State Education Department are all
considering other ways in which students like Myra
could show that they are ready to graduate. And we
strongly urge New York State to create pathways to
graduation that don't rely on high stakes tests.

I would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: A lot of good stuff today.

ANN COOK: Well, a lot of the issues that are in the printed statement have been dealt with I think very adequately. There are few things I'd like to just kind of emphasize.

One is that you know, I think it's wrong to thing about an assessment system as the be all and end all. It's what the assessment system creates for teachers. And I think that what you heard today from the kids and from other people is that that's really the issue. You know, you need some way of allowing teachers to really teach and be professional, form professional communities which is I think, one of the things that is built into what the consortium is trying to do.

So, I think just to kind of really emphasize that and I want to thank you very much for raising a lot of really critical issues that I think are really an issue here. We have been an existence as you said, for more than 20 years. We've seen something like six Chancellors come and go, five state commissioners come and go and most of them have been I would say, less than enthusiastic about what we're trying to do.

I think that there is a change at least at the state level. I think people are starting to ask question about what do we want kids to be able to do when they leave high school. I would like to see the department be as you were sort of indicating more open about the opportunities that exist for kids. I

think it would be very valuable for the city to be as the biggest district in the state to really be able to present to the Regents and to the state ed department, a very solid case for why the state should move away from coupling graduation with the tests and also why they shouldn't really open it up. Unless it provides an opportunity to design a different way of doing assessment in every state.

In New York under the previous commissioner did not want to participate in that. I think that was a very large mistake and I hope that it's something that we can begin to think about in the future.

The other point that I would like to just mention is that you know, it's not only the kids that get affected by this, it's also the teachers and you know, one of the teachers said something to the affect that it's no doubt that it's made me a better teacher; more knowledgeable, more engaged and more enthusiastic. What more can you ask? I mean that is what you want professional to be able to feel about what they're doing when their working with kids.

People have asked what does mean as a system because we talk about it as a system and I guess there are sort of four things that are very important

2.1

2.2

to us. One is that it's a pedagogy based on inquiry, teaching and in-depth learning and it's a respect for the diversity of ideas and experiences. High expectations for all students and a value of community and collaboration. And I think that one of the things that the people ask a lot; you've asked a lot about. What are the biproducts of this? In what ways has this affected the system?

One of the ways that I think is particularly important is a pilot that we ran with CUNY and because what we discovered was when we pulled all our college admissions people together, they said you know, our kids are getting into private colleges, they're getting into state universities, they're not getting into the CUNY four year colleges.

And we then went to CUNY, we designed a pilot.

That pilot has been running for four years. Over 400 kids have now gotten into CUNY; into the CUNY four-year colleges that would not have gotten in before because we shifted the admissions from test scores to looking at their GPA and looking at their PBAT's.

So, and that's affected CUNY because they've now changed the way they do the admissions. They're more open to recommendations. Their more open to looking

at student work and it's also moved them away from remediation because we've been able — and Dr. Katz will talk about this. We've been able to get kids into the freshman level math classes without doing the remediation and they've succeeded.

So, I think we've had an affect on for all the kids in New York City on kind of convincing CUNY that there are other ways of looking at student admission. That's one thing and there are other things. There's an LGBTQ curriculum that was out that the department actually knows about and we put that out online.

There are things like PRO's which is one of the critical areas that teachers in the UFT got involved in, which was really the push where that came from, the consortium. Those are things; there are money things that we've been able to kind of suggest; college preparatory courses that we started way before they started AP for All and I was very interested in the data on AP For All. I think it's very important to find out how much is being spent on that and how many kids are actually using those credits and getting credit for that because the teachers feel in competition with that. That they have college ready course, college preparatory course

2.2

that they would like to teach and have credit for
that for the school and I think it's something that
the department needs to really think again about how

5 | they're doing that.

So, finally, I would say that one of the critical things is, is this for all kids? And I think it is for all kids. It's particularly relevant because one of the critical issues in teaching this way is discussion. And, I think that, I just want to read one observation by a student about coming to a school where discussion was really valued.

He says, the school I came from before this one, which was a very competitive school with all collegebound students was not a very diverse environment. So, there weren't too many opportunities to hear ideas from kids who came from really different backgrounds and neighborhoods. It wasn't a place where your ideas really mattered in classes. Discussions were pretty predictable, leading to specific answers that we knew the teacher wanted us to give.

The first week I came here, I was in a class where students were having a lively discussion about the behavior of people during the great depression.

2.2

And I hear a White girl, someone with dyed red hair make a controversial point. I'm sitting there thinking, wow, I agree with her. I didn't expect someone like her to say that and the next person who speaks is a Black guy in a hoody and he is agreeing with her.

That was a very important moment for me, because I suddenly realized that in this school, in this class, in this discussion, kids could learn from one another; from what others say.

School wasn't just a social place, it was academic. You could agree with kids and share something uncommon. The hoody guy and the dyed red head could agree on a topic. People who were not like one another could agree on a point and the teacher could listen to the points being made without judging.

And it seems to me, that's really the essence of what your trying to create as an environment where kids feel that that is something that is to be respected and honored.

So, I think the question about what we do next and how we move things along. I would like to see — I think parents are looking for something to opt into

2.1

2.2

and I think that this is an opportunity. Parents in the city that go to private schools are able to choose schools with a particular pedagogical perspective and I think that could happen in our schools. That not everybody wants to do performance assessment but those schools that want to do it and more parents should know about it. And should know that those opportunities exist, and I think the DOE should advocate on behalf of those parents and kids to persuade the Regents to expand the number of schools that are covered by the consortium.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I have to applaud her.

Your name was referenced during the exchange with the administration. That schools interested in joining the consortium are told to refer to you. Is that correct?

ANN COOK: Say that again, I missed it.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: I was told by the administration when they testified earlier, that if a school would like to join the consortium network, that they are referred to speak to the one and only Ann Cook, is that right?

ANN COOK: You know, the issue is that the state put a number; they created a number of schools that

could be in the consortium and over the years there were some school on there that we have nothing to do with. Some of those schools got removed and then we were able to put some schools in there because there was a number.

I don't know where they came up with this number in the first place. You know, our origins go back to Tom Sobol who was the Commissioner in 1995 who was the originator of the waiver. And it's been passed by five different unanimously, about five different Boards of Regents to extend it.

I think that they could extend it further, I think there's a tremendous about of interest not only in the city but across the state for clusters of schools to come together, not necessarily under us. But form a consortium that would serve another group of schools.

It isn't up to the DOE, accept that the DOE could become a vocal supporter and advocate for the state to really take this on.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: And I thank you for saying that because this is my point. I mean, I understand that this is the states decision and I know the DOE kept punting to that, but we are the largest city in

2.2

2 the state. We have the largest microphone in the

3 state, and we have folks here including me that's not

4 shy to use the microphone.

Where is the advocacy? Where is the movement?

The only thing we heard from the Mayor as far in the last time we went out to Albany last years was you know, mayoral control and the SHSAT and there was no other conversation. Also, about CFE by the way, they owe us money.

So, I hear you and you're absolutely spot on and the last question I'll have for you is that, you heard my example before that I taught in the same zip code as Leaders but I never had an opportunity to sit down with teachers from Leaders to learn about some of the amazing practices happening there. Has anyone in DOE reached out to you or to the consortium network to say hey, you know, our schools might not have the waiver from the Regents from the test, but your doing some amazing things that we could still apply here. Has there been any of that cross departmental or am I asking too much? Has there been any? You can be diplomatic.

ANN COOK: Well, I mean I think that when you hear from Jonathan Katz, I mean, one of the things

2.2

that we've been interested in is how do you work with math. You know, the city's had a struggle around math for example. And the state looks at the data and it's awful. There are some schools that are trying to do some really different things and it would be I think helpful for this department to start to listen. To bring some of these people together to talk about, what are some of the things that they're finding. And there are veteran teachers out there who have had really some interesting experiences who tend to take the temperature of their kids every year and nobody asks them, what's really happening in your schools?

And I think what you're suggesting when you were in the classroom how useful it would have been to have had an opportunity to share with other people. I think one of the things that we've discovered is that we have something called exchanges, where we bring some of the consortium schools together around a subject discipline and Jonathan will talk about that a little bit.

And that has proven to be one of the most effective teacher professional development strategies of bringing teachers together from different schools

2.2

to grade student papers and do a moderation study, so that the instruments have reliability. Coming together and talking about student work, having teachers come together around a discipline and talking about what are they trying to do in their classes and going to see each other teach.

Those are things that I think could be scaled up.

They're always talking about scaling up and that is

something that the system could be much more

aggressive about, I think.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: The last thing I will say, and I'll turn to the rest of the panel is, one thing they could do immediately without any waiver or application process. For example, at Leaders, which I know is unique to their outward-bound model. It's not that every consortium school does this, but this model of crew, which I find so interesting because my school where I went, I went to Morro High School, we had like a home room so to speak.

But Crew has an entire, almost like a period block out which meets during the week. It's more than just five minutes of check in attendance. They actually meet for a block during the day. It's the same; every child, every student is assigned, and

they meet pretty much across the week and throughout their entire school tenure. So, that's your crew, that's your class and it's the same crew teacher that mentor with you throughout your academic career. And every freshman is given the opportunity to attend a, I think, it's a school sponsored trip to kind of you know, I think it's a camping trip to build just comradery, support, trust, relationships.

And sometimes, I worked in a large comprehensive high school and the challenge for us; how do you create a small learning community within such a large place. They're on to something there. They created a small family within a large place and the students talked to be about less incidents of bullying or less incidents of not knowing each other. They all knew each other and during the PBAT season, which we kept hearing about, the students during the crew time were helping each other for their PBAT's and the teacher would be their support system as well.

So, they are onto incredible things that I wish other folks can also learn from and apply. So, I thank you Ms. Cook for your leadership in so many different ways. Thank you so much. I am going to applaud you.

-

2.2

Next, I'm sorry.

JONATHAN KATZ: I work with Ann and I work with the consortium and I do the math work. So, I am going to talk a little bit about that.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Just make sure that you're speaking into the mic and also just announce your name.

JONATHAN KATZ: Okay, my name is Jonathan Katz.

I've been involved in education for almost 40 years.

24 of those years as a middle and high school math

teacher and it has been a great privilege to have

worked with thousands of New York City public school

students and hundreds of teachers across the country.

I've taught students who were required to take the math Regents and students who had a waiver from the math Regents. So, I taught in the consortium in the 1990's when it became the consortium.

I want to take this opportunity to describe the difference between these two experiences through the views of myself and the thoughts of a student.

As I view the teaching and learning of mathematics, I believe we have two purposes in our work. One, students should come to appreciate the power and beauty of mathematics. And two, students

_

should come to understand mathematical ideas with depth and nuance, and this will enable students to think mathematically.

So, how can we make this happen? Mathematics has both great simplicity and complexity. It can create frustration in a students mind if they are not given time and opportunities to make sense of ideas and procedures that are presented to them.

I stress the term time because that is the crucial difference when you are teaching towards an exam or when you are teaching for deep thinking and understanding.

When you are asked to have students successfully understand a great deal of math content over a fairly short period of time, the learning will be superficial at best and nonexistent at worst.

Teachers often feel rushed to cover the material while many students feel frustrated and angry and come to dislike this beautiful discipline of mathematics.

How disappointing is that and how hurtful it is to both teachers and students. And we can see the results of this conundrum with the New York State math Regents is scored on a scale where a passing

grade of 65 is equivalent to less than 33 percent of the answers being correct. We make believe that students understand mathematics in this state.

But I want to share a more hopeful story. In the New York Performance Standards consortium, we believe in depth over breath. Students spend three to four years grappling with problems, thinking about concepts and procedures with the major goal of having the students view mathematics as sensible and worthy of their time.

As a culminating experience, students in our school will spend one to two nights working on just one problem. Why would we do such a thing, because that one problem takes on new meaning over time as the student keeps thinking about it. The problem becomes his or her vehicle to expressing their deepest mathematical thinking and understanding while learning new ideas and raising new questions about mathematics.

And the young man who was sitting where I was,

Lucca, is that perfect example. He last year, took

on what is a famous Joe Sevis problem written in the

1800's and did incredible investing and thinking and

going to new places for himself at incredibly

2.2

interesting places. And so, he was just one example of what happens when a kid does this work.

The problem becomes his or her vehicle to expressing their deepest of mathematical thinking and understanding while learning new ideas and raising new questions about mathematics.

Students become independent thinkers and creators of original thoughts. Now, that is not how we definitely think mathematics is thought about. You have original thoughts of mathematics, that's crazy. You're just answering questions and you're right or you're wrong. We don't look at it that way.

Students write about their experiences with this problem along with the mathematical thinking and the ideas they use to try to make sense of the problem and find its solution. Through this endeavor, students are experiencing the work of a mathematician.

This approach to teaching and learning prepares students to develop in-depth understanding that is required for students to succeed in mathematics courses once they enroll in college and this has been proven by what has happened in the pilot study.

Because in one year, and I forget the number, so I

2.2

this kind of work has.

will be a little off, but 80 kids were accepted who had not met the certain criteria at that point what is accepted and over 90 percent passed a math course that was going to give them credit. It was not a remedial course and so, 90 percent who didn't meet the requirements that they had passed the course that was a regular course and that raised eyebrows for the people in CUNY. So, that really supports the impact

I want to share words of an incredibly young man from Gambia and they call it The Gambia, so I should say, the Gambia. Who never studied mathematics in his country. He only went to coron school in country.

So, when he came to the United States two years ago, he never went to a math class. He had some mathematics just by living and because he's an incredibly curious human being, but he wanted to come to this country, because he wanted to learn math and left his family to do this.

So, he went to International Community High
School which is a consortium school. He was given a
problem created by Zeno over 2,000 years ago. People
have heard about Zeno's paradox and there are four

2.2

paradoxes, he took on one of them. And he wrote this as he was doing his PBAT.

Learning math at ICHS has helped me to think mathematically, learn how to think outside the box using different strategies. When I was given a problem, I had to think in new ways and research ideas I didn't know about. I have spent two month thinking about one problem which we called a walk to the door. It led me to thinking about limits.

Now, this is a person whose been only learning math for two years and anyone that knows math, limits is a precursor to work you do in calculous.

And I had to study fractions, which he knew nothing about, in order to be able to think about this problem.

Through doing the problem, I got fascinated by the ideas of the infinite and the fine knight and I was able to connect it to my life. The amount of math I know today as compared to when I came to this country is amazing and I thank my teachers and ICHS for believing in and supporting me.

This young man's experience is not unusual.

Working on a PBAT changed the way he thought about

2.2

math and thought about himself and this is a curd for many students in the consortium schools.

If we are willing to rethink what it means to teach and learn and have the belief that all students can truly learn mathematics, we can see a dramatic change in the way students experience and talk about this subject. Thank you.

TASFIA RAHMAN: Good afternoon Chair Treyger and Members of the Committee on Education. My name is Tasfia Rahman and I am Policy Coordinator at the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families. The nations only Pan Asian children and family advocacy organization that leads a fight for improved and equitable policy systems funding and services to support those in need.

The Asian Pacific American population comprises over 15 percent of New York City, yet their needs are consistently overlooked, misunderstood and uncounted. The Asian model minority masked the many challenges that marginalize APA students face in education.

In New York City our students often come from immigrant and low income families, face language barriers and are the first generation in their families to attend American schools and pursue higher

2.2

education and the perceived success of Asian students
in education particularly around testing, is
consistently used not only used as a reason to
further marginalize students within the community but
also, students from other disenfranchised

7 communities.

The monolithic view of the community is why we continue to advocate for the implementation of policies, asses the data disaggregation to better accurately represent the needs of APA's

Today, we will testify on how a single test culture negatively impacts APA students, despite the perceived notion of their success in testing. As an alternative, we advocate for utilizing a multiple measure model for assessing a students academic progress, potential and interest.

In the case specifically and the most relevant,

New York City specialized high schools entrance exam,

for APA families when faced with the challenge of

navigating a complex education system, a single

testing comparison is seen as the least difficult

barrier.

Unfortunately, this misguided hope motivates many families, particularly limiting English proficient

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

APA parents vulnerable to spending money they do not have. Ultimately, a vast majority of Asian students in public schools do not end up attending specialized high schools or other screened programs despite the over investment in test prep.

Our communities should be able to explore and understand the variety of academic options available to their children. Language access and access to teachers would help ELL and new immigrant parents unfamiliar with the DOE system and opportunities, rather than of making them rely on private tutoring centers that provide expensive in language support but that are incentivized to uphold the paying customer base who are prepping for the SHSAT and other high stakes exam.

Teaching to a single test hurts our students, their critical stages of childhood and adolescent development. Rigorous tutoring and exam prep often contribute to high levels of stress, isolation and shame that young students do not yet have the social skills to manage independently.

It also diminishes the capacity to foster more holistic learning among all our youth. Further, the emphasis on high stakes single tests sends a message

2.1

2.2

that a students worth beginning as young as four years old has already been defined by a single number. Even before they enter the education system. This can foster lifelong unhealthy learning environments for many students that can have a negative impact on their mental health, learning abilities, and outcome.

In advocating for a multiple measure model, we also caution the use of specific measures that are vulnerable to existing negative biases about APA students and other students of color.

Finally, we commend the Committees and the City's commitment to educational equity across our school system for all our students. We hope that at the very least, high stakes single tests that harm the social and emotional wellbeing of all of our students are eliminated.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you for your powerful words and I think further advancing you know, the concern about shortchanging education for many of our students. You just reminded me of an experience when I was teaching the global history regents class and I was able to get through World War I and we would

2.2

2 review over and over again, the treaty of 3 Rasi.

On the regents, there was a question, which one of the following choices was not a provision of the treaty of Rasi? Because we never covered or discussed the word provision, it threw off a number of my students. We usually described it as terms, conditions of the treaty, but that one vocabulary word threw so many of them off and some of them left the question blank.

Now, I assure you those students know the treaty of Rasi, we discussed it, I saw their work. That one question threw them off because of that one word and so, you brought me back to that painful memory during my teaching days.

Thank you all. Actually, we have to hear more, I'm sorry, but thank you for that powerful testimony. Please, next, I'm sorry.

Thank you Chair Treyger for holding this important hearing and for always holding these marathon hearings, listening to all advocates.

According to the term that was used in the first panel, we see you and we very much appreciate that.

EMILY CARRAZANA: My name is Emily Carrazana, I work at Class Size Matters. I attended elementary and middle school in the public system here in New York City and I am here today in part to advocate for my younger self.

Beginning in the 6th grade, I would truck up to
Bronx Science two to three times a week and spend my
summers there. I was participating in the dream
program specialized high school institute and after
many hours of sacrificed time out of my childhood
learning math formulas and dissecting sentence
structures at nauseum, I did not get into a single
specialized high school.

And this is despite having high grades in my courses and performing well on the state exam. The entire premise of the program was to give prep to low income high achieving students, and I was fortunate to be one of them, but not as fortunate as I thought I was.

My parents well-intentioned first-generation immigrants. They didn't know how to navigate the bureaucratic system that is this complex admissions systems in our high school admissions program in New York City.

So, when I was rejected, they did the only thing that they thought they could do, and they uprooted my entire family and we moved to neighboring New Jersey. Yeah, and from there I attended in my towns public high school. I took AP course, IB courses, did very well. Went on to go to Rutgers University, earned my bachelor's degree in political science of a concentration in philosophy in three years.

My SAT results were no indication of where my ability stood back in 8th grade, just as they are not a valid marker for success for any student today.

While many argue that eliminating this exam or the gifted and talented programs will cause the families of high achieving students to move out of the city, the example of my family shows how the opposite happens currently because of the use of an unfair, high stakes exam which has been shown not only to discriminate against students of color, but also high achieving girls.

This is a portion of discussion that has not been touched on. While nearly all the discussion has so far revolved around the clear racial disparities, this exam has also been shown conclusively to be highly gender bias.

The New York City girls receive higher test

scores on the state exams and better grades.

are accepted into the specialized high schools at much lower rates.

It is high time that we consider relying on more holistic factors when deciding on the policies that shape the life trajectories of our students. If we instead move to implement more gifted and talented programs and implement more specialized high schools, we would be moving backwards and replicating the same damaging practices that have undermined educational

I thank you for the opportunity to testify.

opportunities in our schools.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: How do you follow some of this. Thank you and I believe that every single child, every single student is gifted and talented. I don't care what any test says. Every single student; if given the opportunity, students always accel. Take that to the bank, so I really do appreciate that. I appreciate everyone's work and testimony. We have a lot of work to do but I appreciate the fact, this is has been a very rich conversation so far that is really needed.

And we need to educate the system that there are other pathways and where an alternative throws people off because they think it's some sort of less, no, it's actually more. We are denying kids this opportunity. And so, thank you all for your incredible work and advocacy. I appreciate you, thank you.

Okay, next panel, Robin Brosche, Michael
McQuillan, Peter Goodman, Melinda Lee, Lori Gummow,
Kemala Karmen and Michael Roffman.

Whenever folks are ready, they may begin.

ROBIN BROSCHE: Okay, my name is Robin Brosche, I am actually the parent of $9^{\rm th}$ and $6^{\rm th}$ graders at consortium schools. Although that not what brought me here, but I was delighted to hear the topic of the conversation.

Ironically, they had to both submit their respective fourth and seventh grade state standardized test scores in order to be admitted into schools that are not going to be using state standardized tests.

I've served as a member of the CEC for school district 2 in Manhattan for over five years and I formally served as its president for three years. My

J

children have taken every state assessment since third grade with varying degrees of personal stress on them and me and their principal, but I do believe there is some merit to standardized assessments to help districts in schools understand both their instructional strengths in the areas where they may need further development.

But of course, two days of testing is too much and the stakes remain much too high and I think that current DOE policy around using the results of state assessments for selective school admissions contributes to the high stakes climate.

In 2014, the New York State legislation passed a revision to the state's education law, which I've attached to the back of my testimony. That mandated that New York State assessments could not account for the majority of the schools admissions criteria.

Resulting in the adjustment of many school admissions rubrics to reduce the role of state assessments to ensure compliance with the law.

However, my reading of the law is that it also mandates that the student scores on state administered ELA and math assessments for 3rd and 8th grade may not be placed on a students official

transcript or maintained in a students personal record.

The law has language obligating districts to provide families with a clearly written notice that the results will not be part of a students official transcript, nor the students permanent record. And that the results are being provided to families for diagnostic purposes only.

That's me paraphrasing the language and the law.

And so, I believe the DOE is not in compliance with
that aspect of the law, because they don't provide
that information when they give parents test results.

Further, the DOE then uses these student records, which I believe are out of compliance because they include state assessment outcomes as part of the application process for selective school admissions without giving families an option to withhold scores on assessments that are not designed to be used for academic placement.

Although families have the option to opt out of the assessments, the black box nature of selective admissions leaves them worried that the selective schools might take their child's opt out status into account. Additionally, confusion around the latest

2.2

ESA implementation in New York State leaves some

families and even some school administrators I've

spoken to with the misunderstanding that children who

opt out will be assigned a 1 on their individual

6 transcript.

Earlier this month, I reached out to the office of enrollment on behalf of some families in School District 2 for guidance on how they may withhold their own child's scores on their record during the application process and I'm still awaiting feedback.

I'm not optimistic the DOE is going to share my interpretation with the law that would allow families to withhold these diagnostic assessment scores in the application process.

To the extent that there are pedagogical merits to using thoughtfully designed standardized assessments, the current system of mandating that families provide their child's assessment results for the purpose of selective admissions increases pressures on teachers to focus on test prep and incentivizes families to provide outside instruction to students in 4th and 7th grades muddying the utility of the assessments for diagnostic purposes.

Although, working through changes on how assessments are designed does live at the state level, I believe it is within the DOE's power right not to change the high stakes climate around the assessments, while also increasing their reliability by properly complying with the state law as it is currently written.

PETER GOODMAN: My name is Peter Goodman, I write a blog called, Ant in the Apple, the intersection of education and politics. I am a CCNY Capon and the President of the Education Alumni at City College. I attend all of the Board of Regents meetings, so I've sort of made my life after retirement trying to change the galaxy one planet at a time.

Let me speak in three sections. First, was

EduStats, which we heard from the Board of Ed, which

to me is the Board of Ed interpretation of Hunger

Games. It's accountability on steroids; it is a

terrible idea.

As a teacher, we give tests for understanding every period. We teach something and we test whether the kids learned it or not. We do it through calling on kids in class, calling on non-volunteers, giving a quiz, we know what our kids don't know and don't

_

_

know, and we constantly try to find some way of getting the kids to understand the concept.

It's challenging, we have good days and bad days, good periods and bad periods; that's the nature of teaching. As we teach, we build a bigger toolkit, we have more ways of doing things.

So, when someone tells me, some computer somewhere is going to spin out numbers and is going to tell me how to teach some kid, it's ludicrous and I think it could be extremely dangerous because it could turn teachers off, it could turn the whole system into test and punish.

So, I have confidence that City Council and others will do what they can to bring some enlightenment for those people who are running the system.

As far s the consortium schools, I've been involved with them since Eric Nadelstern back in the 90's when it first started. I visited them many, many times and has fought a war with various Chancellors to keep the consortium going. Time and time again, it was challenged by those people in power.

2.

2.2

So, I'm not so enthusiastic to rush to form all consortium schools, because I fear the Department of Ed is going to try to gobble them up and if they gobble them up, they'll eat them. These are special schools, they require special teachers, special school leaders. Only certain schools have the ability to change over. It's a totally different method of instruction and I think what they do is absolutely wonderful, but I think we have to be careful that we don't rush to try to make every school a consortium school, because that would not be a great idea.

And lastly, the Board of Regents, the Board of Regents is moving towards a two-year study, what they call graduation measures. And whether or not they keep the Regents is the end of the process. All the 44 credits, the proper credits, is the curriculum, the proper curriculum. Are we teaching kids so they can be good college students and good employees? When the Blue-Ribbon panel is being started, they're going to be people from the private sector. Do the current graduates have the skills to work in this new age, jobs are very different.

So, I think it has to be done very carefully. There are going to be meetings in every borough and before the Blue-Ribbon Commission starts and we have to go step by step, because we don't know where the end is going to be. And I always say that one size fits all, fits no one. There is no reason why there has to be one system for everybody. Some schools can go one direction, some schools can go on a different direction. In New York City, I think we should have pilots, lots of pilots, trying out something. There are wonderful schools that have stayed under the radar.

There's Manhattan Day and Night Comprehensive
High School. It's a great high school; nobody knows
about it. When I spoke with the principal and I
said, do you want to spread it throughout the city,
he said, absolutely not. What we do is great, I'm
afraid that if we try to spread it, they'll destroy
it.

So, we could have many different models because we have so many different types of kids and in fact, in the closing, I'll give you a job and I think is totally appropriate. I think instead of the current 32 school districts, there should be 51 school

2.2

districts and they should be [inaudible 4:32:50] with City Council Members. Every City Council Member should have schools which they own because then, they could really work with those schools and what happens in different part of the city is going to be different because kids are different, and parents are different, and the city is different. I thank you.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: As long as the members don't draw the districts right. Very powerful and also just to be clear, I am certainly not suggesting that every school become a consortium but my goodness, they are doing some great things that I am jealous, I didn't know about when I was teaching that we could have applied.

Another small thing they do which I am so proud of. They have a whole event around celebrating students. I call it marching to the mailbox to celebrate their college application. I love that, they celebrate these types of occasions. And so, for us, I was always taught share best practices and I'd love to learn more.

So, thank you so much for your great words, appreciate it. Next, sorry.

2.2

2 MIKE I'm Mike McQuillan and I'm proud to 3 represent -

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Is the mic on? Is the microphone on? Make sure that the red light, yeah, thank you.

MIKE MCQUILLAN: I'm Mike McQuillan, thank you,

I'm still Mike McQuillan and I'm proud to represent

Leaders High School in your district, in your

consortium and I've come here from teaching 18 years

of history in Brooklyn High Schools to support your

initiative and to share why that matters to those who

don't know it.

In 1863 Abraham Lincoln traveled for six hours by stagecoach, horse back and railroad train to speak for just three minutes. I've devoted a third of my lifetime to do the same and I hope that you will remember me like you remember him.

22 out of 50, 22 out of 50, just get that right on the Regents multiple choice in history and then put together 3 decent essays, no worries. You'll pass that history Regents. An assistant principal where I launched my 18-year career, told me that I should say that to students. It was a mandate not a suggestion.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

She went around to us, to our department

distributing lists of all the recycled history

questions, your treaty of Rasi and others and she

came into classrooms to evaluate us and whether we

were drilling those kids until they internalized.

God, they probably thought about it at night. And it

educates to mediocrity, those aren't my words, Jewels

Henry, an anthropologist at Washington University

said that ten years before I studied there in the

70's but it's still true in much of our system.

It makes fertile minds dull; it make kids afraid; it makes them settle for just getting by and it teaches them to temporarily memorize facts but not to

know how to analyze anything.

You know, and I do, because I taught my last four

years at Leaders that we can do much more and we

already know how to do it. Performance assessment I

won't repeat what's been said in those generic

definitions, but I will say for Tina Grant, one of my

students came back and said, Mr. Mike, my classmates

freaked out in college when I was a freshman when the

professor said 20-page paper folks. I was nervous,

but then I remembered, I did that already.

Students not only do that, but in doing that,

they teach us to track the evolution of their thinking, to monitor how they learn to persevere to

help them overcome obstacles, to form opinions, to

write a scholarly paper, to learn the difference

between a legitimate and illegitimate sort citation.

And to learn how not to just speak out in public, as

I have the privilege to do but how to debate it. How

to respect but refute opposition, how to work out a

consensus. Those are things that make great human

beings, but that's not what most of our system

teaches.

The system as a whole, and I respectfully say, the testimony of the DOE representatives proved it, has the swiss cheese as a metaphor. There are pockets in visionary teaching, but that's not yet the reality. But as you've pointed out so well, and thank you for staying so late, when so many of your colleagues have left. We know what to do, we know how to do it and we know the impact it has and so do the kids and their parents and isn't that what lifelong learning and making democracy real is all about? Thank you.

2.2

LORI GUMMOW: Hi, thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Lori Gummow and I am a retired New York City Department of Education Special Educator.

Special Education Constructor at Hunter College and the parent of a student with disabilities and for my spoken testimony, I'm going to focus on my role as a parent.

My son received early intervention services from the age of six weeks due to his premature birth and special education services beginning at age 3 and continuing through high school. In the 8th grade, he refused standardized testing and did not participate in the PSAT or SAT in high school. He attended Edward R. Murrow High School in their screen studio theater program. Received set services, or resource room, passed all of his course and earned the required 44 credits for a diploma.

He earned a special theater award at his graduation in 2018, however, due to math learning disability, he was not able to pass the algebra or geometry regents exam with a 65. He received a 56, a 55 and a 46; yet he passed both of the courses.

Э

2.2

My son also legitimately threatened suicide in the 8th grade when he couldn't understand his math homework. The big kitchen knife was in his hands and I share this with his permission. As a result of not being able to pass a math regents exam with a score of 65, he received a local diploma. He also earned a special regents endorsement for theater yet didn't receive it with his diploma because he didn't earn a regents diploma.

Because of his failure, "New York State deemed him not college ready based on their so-called standardized tests and regents exams." Let me rephrase that, he did not receive a regents diploma because of his score on one exam.

A single test, on a single day, in a 15-year public school career determined that he was not college ready. There is something very, very wrong with that. This was the algebra regents exam that the year previously had been reworked to reflect the common core standards and had a 92 percent failure rate for students with disabilities. This was the year that students did not have the option of taking the old and the new exam and have the highest score count.

2.2

And so, after all of the special education services of occupational and physical therapy, after all of the successful inclusion classrooms, and team teaching, after all of the excellent professional development his teachers received. After all of the expenses of providing these supports and services, and most of all, after all of his dedication and hard work to pass all of his classes, he did not receive a regents diploma because of one test.

And because he did not receive a regents diploma, he was not eligible to attend a public SUNY or CUNY four-year college. One test on one day, out of the 2,700 days he attended New York City public schools was the deciding factor. This should have been a special education success story. There needs to be alternative and multiple measures of evaluating our students progress toward graduation.

All of the intense focus on testing and linking test scores to stringent graduation requirements is taking its toll on many of our students with mild disabilities.

While my son worked very hard to pass his academic courses, his talents lay in performing arts. He was a member of the To Die Youth Theater Resident

2.2

Ensemble, a drama desk award winning youth theater for seven years. He performed in nine musicals of Tada. This past July, he received a national youth arts award for outstanding supporting performance in a musical for his performance in Tada's original musical, Geniuses.

My son also performed in the Murrow production of A Few Good Men while in high school. Fortunately, for my son, there is a happy ending. My son is currently a successful sophomore at Dean College in Franklin Massachusetts. Dean is a test optional college, meaning they do not require SAT or ACT scores. They do require a high school diploma and because they're in Massachusetts, do not care what type of diploma he earned. They don't care about scores on Regents exams.

A high school diploma is a high school diploma.

He loves being a college student; Dean provides

excellent support services for him including academic coaching and tutoring and based on his college audition, my son receives a \$20,000 a year scholarship for performing arts in theater and will be performing in a second college production next

2.2

2 month while carrying a full load of 16 credits. He
3 is not taking any remedial courses either.

He is successful despite New York trying to beat him down and calling him a failure and he will be joining Anthony Ramos in a couple of years; you will see him.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Alright, and I'm proud to call your son a fellow Murrow High School.

LORI GUMMOW: Go Murrow.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Alright, go Murrow, thank you. Thank you so much, powerful stuff, thanks.

MICHAEL ROFFMAN: Hi, my name is Michael Roffman and I am the founder and Executive Director of Adult School Research and Design.

We are a non-profit organization for a lot of schools across the city. Most of the schools we work with are transfer schools, which are schools serving kids who had struggled in the past, been chronically absent in the past. Some of them are consortium schools, some of them you've heard from today. Many of them are not.

And there are there points, I feel like, you know, you've already heard a lot of points today and so, my three points, maybe I'm repeating some of the

2.2

2 things you've heard. But I want to just note three
3 things.

The first is that having worked with many city schools and with many offices of the Department of Education, the Regents exams are often treated as if they're some how sacred. And something that I've often said to principals is to not confuse precision with meaning. I think that because we have tests that can produce exact numbers, we treat them as if that means something and sometimes it's hard to tell what they do.

I think also going with that, is the thought that because they're designed by somebody who's outside of the schools, they're given more credence when as we've been hearing today, that's often in many ways undermines students.

The second point that I think is important to know is that there is a lot of studies out there from, I'll just go to employers that say that what they need from students are not the skills that standardized tests assess but they are actually the skills to problem solve, to collaborate, to adapt, that we were hearing in different ways from consortium schools, but that also are what employers

look for and there's this disconnect between what is

For the last 13 years, I've been working as I

_

2.2

assessed by the state and what they need.

said, with schools that serve this population of underserved students and I will note that the vast majority of those students are Black and Latino students, often from low income neighborhoods.

They're students who have been bullied; they are students who are earning the money for their family.

They are students who face many, many hardships and when you ask the ones who have succeeded despite those challenges, what skills they developed in their schools, they talk about the same ones that employers talk about. They talk about being able to adapt,

The third thing that I will note, that again, we've been hearing today is that, while assessing learning is important, there are other ways to do it that are being used in schools in various ways. And as Ann had said before, the assessment system you create influences the culture of the schools that grow in the system.

becoming lifelong learners. They do not talk about

the skills and knowledge that are on Regents exams.

And so, if we can think of new ways to assess and to support schools, we help foster different kinds of cultures in schools.

I feel like so much of what I'm saying has already been said, so I won't say much more about that. Let me just see if I need to add anything else.

The one other thing that I will just note because it hasn't been noted as much today, is that my sense of the regents being treated as sacred is that you take a test that hasn't in many ways changed much from what it looked like 50 years ago. And right there, it changes but, in many ways, it looks a lot the same. There is this consolidation that we're going to test math, science, social studies. We're going to have these sets of multiple choice and openended questions and in a time when now, the way people access knowledge is so different in our society that we are continuing to test knowledge as if we're in a place before internet and computers.

And even though a lot of the things we talk about in consortium schools are the kind of thinking that I would like to believe went on in Ancient Greece, it's also the kind of thinking that you need to be able to

2.2

do to look at all this information that's thrown at
us in our current society. The kids need to be able
to navigate and helping them to have the skills to
navigate that is something that we are not accessing
at all in our schools and we do a disservice to kids

tests that actually don't matter for their futures.

who have struggled and then experience the anxiety of

So, hopefully we find ways to rethink that and I appreciate you giving me the opportunity to talk and to bring people together to think about this. Thank you.

KEMALA KARMEN: Hi there, my name is Kemala

Karmen and I am a cofounder of NYC Opt-Out which is a

no budget totally grassroots organization.

I'm also on the steering committee for New York
State Allies for Public Education of which NYC OptOut is a constituent member. But as Lori said, I'm
mostly going to be talking from my position as a
parent of children in the system.

So, the email I received about this hearing announced that it would be called, Breaking Testing Culture. So, one of the first things we might want to ask ourselves is, what would our schools need to successfully break that culture? And unfortunately,

I don't have to think very hard to answer this question because both of my children have attended non test centric schools from Pre-k all the way through high school.

I realize that our families experience is extremely rare in the public schools in New York City or even those of the state, or the country as a whole. But it also means that if we want to break testing culture, we don't have to scrabble about for some illusive key to solving this problem. We already have a handful of schools including the consortium schools, but not limited to them, that service models.

So, how is it that these schools have been liberated from testing culture when most schools have not? It's a combination of state regulation and parent voice and the NYCDOE can contrary to first impressions or whatever it said earlier today; both of these necessary prerequisites. Let's start with the state. So, you've heard a lot about the consortium. My children from 6th grade on attended a consortium school. One is still there and as you heard that in leu of the regents, they conduct original research or analysis on topics of their

choice. The only thing I would add — I'm going to skip some of what I had written about that earlier, because the only thing I would add to what was already said about that. What this also does is help children identify and connect their interests.

So, for example, my older daughter did her — she, you will be able to tell that she has an interest in public health because she did her history PBAT on government regulation of the opioid industry. She did her math PBAT using derivatives to calculate disease outbreak using actual data sets of vaccination rates in New York City public schools. She did her science PBAT on 24/7 exposure to Wi-Fi.

So, doing all these things you know, really helped her see the connection, into disciplinary connections between things. They're not like separate, like, this is math, and this is science. You know, she could make those connections and this is a little mama bragging, but I will say that my daughter won a first award in the New York City Science and Engineering Fare for the project that was based on her PBAT and you know, there are kids at all of the specialized schools or whatever, she got a first.

2.

God, that went quick. So, anyway, I want to get to the next part. So, I want to say, while the state controls how many schools are in the consortium, as Council Member Treyger said, I might ask, what is the city doing to use its muscle, since we have a majority of the state's students to push for either expanding the consortium or in other ways securing regents waivers for the city students.

Also, waivers only exist for the high school grades, in part, because standardized testing in grades 3 through 8 is federally mandated. Here is where parent voice comes in.

My children were able to attend elementary and middle schools that did zero test prep, because the parents in those schools overwhelmingly rejected high stakes testing, in favor of more holistic teacher created assessments.

How did they do this? They opted out of the state tests. Are these parents just out liars. I would say no. They were able to organize because administrators at these schools did not try to hold them back. It seems that there are very few of these administrators, why?

Does the DOE punish, or reward principals based on the test scores of their students? Are superintendents similarly incentivized or disincentivized regarding the test scores of the schools in their charge?

At NYC Opt-Out the majority of the calls that come into our hotline are from parents saying that principals are trying to coerce them into taking the tests. They threaten summer school or grade retention. This is wrong but not really that surprising. When NYCDOE to the extent that addresses Opt-Out at all, I would contest what Linda Chen said earlier today about the availability of Opt-Out information.

States that parents who want to opt out should meet with the principal. If opt out is a right, which as state education commissioner and the Chancellor of the Board of Regents have affirmed, why do parents need to meet with the principal? If not, so that the principal can try to dissuade them from their purpose.

People shouldn't need to ask permission to exercise what is an acknowledged right. A policy like meet the principal intimidates many parents,

especially those who don't speak English or who's own experiences of school were traumatic or who merely can't come in during school hours.

NYCDOE should emulate what some other school districts do. Backpack home a form where parents can simple check off yes, my student will take this test or no, my student will not take this assessment.

And in closing, I just wanted to say, I also want to thank you for holding these hearing but I'm also—
I want to ask you, what can the City Council actually really do though to push DOE to do these things?
Because under Mayoral control, they can do whatever they want and it's so disenfranchising as a parent to hear somebody who's an elected representative of the people and you know, I was at the meeting where the Council unanimously passed the Parents Bill of Rights to have an opt out provision and be distributed yearly. That was in 2015, it's never happened.

Because they don't have to abide by what the City Council says.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: So, I appreciate that. A couple things. Actually, I might have been the only member of the City Council. I could be wrong, that testified at the state hearing. Not to give the

2.2

2 Mayor a full blanket power during our Mayoral 3 control. I believe in checks and balance and I

4 believe that parents, communities, local officials

5 should have a way to help shape our system.

So, also, I will say that it was this City

Council and I'm very proud of this Committee in

particular that actually educated law makers about

fair student funding. Because that was sort of like

a — Leaders in New York State, I don't want to

embarrass some people, did not even know what fair

student funding was. But made the charge that our

budgets were not transparent in schools.

And thanks to our great staff here in the City

Council, which is extraordinary, we brought up to the

state a copy of a school allocation memo to show them

where they could find fair student funding.

So, here is your transparency, now, pay us your CFE money. So, we have the ability to advocate to agitate. We have the ability to hold folks accountable. And I hear you, I was not one of the city officials that praised Albany on their budget. There was a net cut of \$25 million to our school system and that's with a Blue waive.

2 So, there is still a lot of work to do up in 3 There is no question about it. We will continue to be a platform for parent, for students, 4 educators in our school communities and the purpose today in breaking the testing culture, why I wanted 6 7 to hear from consortium schools is because it' important as you pointed out, if we're breaking 8 something what are we saying we're moving towards? 9 What is the world beyond testing? And the fact that 10 11 this world has been an existence for decades, is 12 really an indictment on the school system for not 13 telling us about this and teaching me. I was a 14 teacher during the time of consortium. No one talked 15 to me about this. Even in my teaching preparatory work, I would have loved to have learned about 16 17 multiple ways, effective ways to gauge proficiency 18 and mastery of content. Even in my teacher training

So, it's an entire culture that extends both into our school system and even in the way we're preparing future teachers and school leaders.

it was test driven.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, I thank you and we hear you. There is much more work to do, but we have shown the ability to make change here in the City Council.

And, I'm sorry, my apologies, the very patient and extraordinary New York City Civil Liberties Union, please.

Thank you, thanks so much for letting me join this panel. I'm actually, at six o'clock have another meeting I'm hosting on Gifted and Talented. So, it's all a huge day about segregation and all of these things.

JOANNA MILLER: So, I won't take a ton of your time, but thank you so much. My name is Joanna Miller, I am the Director of the Education Policy Center at the New York Civil Liberties Union.

From our point of view, testing and the testing culture in New York City is an urgent civil rights issue and we haven't heard it talked about very much today. So, that's what I'm going to focus on.

The testing culture in New York City has created and fortified the deep segregation, racial segregation that we have in our system and I think without — well, everyone's having a conversation about segregation and here you're having a conversation about testing and I think that those things have to come together if we're going to talk about how this system tracks and segregates and

2.2

2 separates children from the age of four throughout 3 their career.

I think we have to talk about how when the stakes are high, people who have the means will game the system. There was a New York Times investigation a couple months ago that almost 50 percent of the kids who have extra time on the SHSAT are White and students who have extra time are far more likely to get an offer.

I'm not saying those people are cheating, but I'm saying when the stakes are high, people will find a way to get an edge and that's just the name of the game, right, that's the whole game. And I think we need to really be looking at those kinds of things, if anything, to me, that percentage actually just shows how arbitrary the time limit is at all and how arbitrary the test is at all.

That just given a little extra time, you're going to do that much better. It doesn't change how much you know or how well you think, it's just giving a little extra time and I think that really just shows a lot of the arbitrariness in the system.

From the New York Civil Liberties Union point of view, we are very invested in school climate and

culture. That keeps kids engaged, that supports teachers in classroom management, and you can't get that with testing culture and the people have named that already.

But I'll just say, in District 8 in the Bronx, the superintendent has started offering an enriched curriculum to every student. Essentially, gifted and talented curriculum for every kid and the curriculum was designed by an education professor and she said that the primary tenants were engagement and enjoyment.

Because you can't do deep inquiry-based learning if you hate what you're doing and if you don't want to be in that classroom and I think when we talk about the suspension crisis, we have — when kids are dropping out because they can't pass tests. When kids can't sit in their seat, can't pay attention and teachers don't know how to manage the classroom, I don't see how that could be disconnected from a testing culture and from losing that inquiry-based learning.

The last point I'll just make, and some people have mentioned it, but I just want to put a finer point is about narrowing of the curriculum. At the

2.2

Civil Liberties Union, we are super invested in kids getting adequate access to a lot of subjects that just aren't tested. To arts education, to sex education, which we know is not getting taught because it isn't being tested. In a very perverse incentive, you know, Washington DC actually created a standardized test for sex ed in order to ensure that they would teach it.

So, that just shows you where we've come, and I think it's essential that kids are learning these things. Civics, phys ed, arts and not, in fact, as you said, every kid has giftedness and every kid has needs and a more individualized system that doesn't rely always on tests, could allow us to meet those needs and you could be super gifted in music and really need a boost in English. And that doesn't mean that you have to be segregated out and labeled into one bucket or the other.

So, I think I made my point. Thank you so much for letting me testify. I really appreciate it and there's a lot more studious kind of sounding things in the written testimony.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You were spot on, thank you and I would touch upon it, but you're right, we need

2.2

to make the connection. This is about dismantling inequity in all forms in our school system. There is no question about it, and I have received so much — first of all, all this support from educators and school communities but also, there's critics out there that are just questioning like the PBATS and questioning the alternative.

I strongly encourage people to read. Like, you know, read the report from the ESTAG, read the report. Like read about the PBAT, it's actually far more sophisticated than any regents exam. It's really meaningful. It's not watering down anything.

I mentioned before about my memories of students memorizing dates and names in the Barron's Regent's Review Book, that was painful, that's not learning. Or the flashcards that they would constantly create, peer the greats beard, westernization. I'm not sure if they could define westernization, just — they have a matching game just to memorize if they see a certain thing, On the Regents, that's not learning folks. I know I'm singing to the choir here but to the public, that's not learning.

And so, thank you, thank you, I appreciate you all here, appreciate it so much. And

2.2

2 the last panel and the patient and brave panel.

Tamara, Kate, Jennifer, Susan and Dermot.

Alright, so, whenever folks are ready, you may begin.

TAMARA GAYER: I'm happy to start. Hi, my name is Tamara Gayer[SP?] and I am a parent of a fourth grader and I want to talk about the standardized test in 3rd to 8th grade, which haven't been discussed very widely today.

My son is not an English Language learner. He doesn't have any IEP. He is maybe what you would call the classical, general education kid. And I have to say that my first encounter with the effects of standardized testing actually happened when he was in kindergarten.

Kindergarten as I experienced it as a child, was that year of play. Where they introduce you to the classroom, they introduce you to socialization. In my sons case was what they were pounding over and over was the necessity to learn how to read. Over and over, learn how to read and learn how to write. There was no time for play and all these kind of things in a school which really claims not to do very

2.2

much test prep. In a year where they're still very
far away from testing.

So, this was really, really sad for me because I remember my kindergarten teacher and I still love her, she is my sort of shining star. And my child was not ready to learn how to read. The next year, in first grade, about three months in, when he was ready, he learned it in about 10 minutes.

By the time he got to 3rd grade, the year for testing, he was way above whatever the expectation for that year is, but if you asked him, he would still that he hated reading because of the way it was drilled into him in kindergarten.

He is a kid who in second grade, you'd ask him what he wants to learn that year, he would say I want to learn division. By the middle of 3rd grade and I have to practice this by saying that in 3rd grade we had a heartbreakingly amazing teachers, one of the many very, very dedicated and creative teachers who you know, because of the way common core and testing is structured, really had very limited input into what she could vary in her classroom. So, by the middle of 3rd grade, he was already saying, I hate math.

2.

I looked around and saw many of his friends. He comes from a house, which is you know, basically, like a lower middle-class house, but he is very supported in education. Not all of his friends have that advantage.

So, if I looked at my kid and I heard him and some of his friends who run the gamut of New York City saying, school is jail. And their only in 3rd grade, these are 8- and 9-year old's. we're not even talking about some of the difficult situations we've heard about high school and middle school and all of those things. The effect of standardized testing starts from the first day of school and if we're looking not only to create people with skills for the future, but just the basic thing, of we want kids who find the joy in inquiry.

Because those will make happier and better individuals in the future. We are losing that on the first day of school and that is way before they get to the regents or any of this kind of stuff.

So, that's mostly what I wanted to say. Thank you very much for allowing that opportunity.

SUSAN HORWITZ: Hi, my name is Susan Horwitz; I'm the supervising attorney of the Education Law Project at the Legal Aid Society Civil Practice.

But today, I want to talk to you as a parent, as several of us have done. I have two boys, now young men, 18 and 20 years old with vastly different high school experiences. The 18-year-old went to East Side Community High School, amazing 6-12 PBAT school. I'm not going to say anything else about that because it's all been said already. Incredible experience for him but just numbers wise, by the time he finished 12th grade, he had done accounted between 50 and 60 roundtables, or PBAT style presentations of work. He is now a freshman at Temple University, and he said the other day, mom, my history class is just like Ben's class last year.

So, really phenomenal, I love the whole program, but to me and I sort of talked about him because he always gets left out in our family because my other son who's 20, is really smart, really, really learning disabled and from the time he walked into a school house for pre-k, we knew this kid, he shouldn't have to go to school. He has been in public, private special ed, nonpublic and now back to

high school and is now at 20 finishing the last few credits of what's required for high school diploma.

What we haven't heard about much today is the new superintendent determination option that thank the lord above, the New York State Regents adopted, because my son was not going to get a high school diploma. He is severely, severely learning disabled in all areas, but if you need somebody to come over and you know, rearrange your furniture, fix stuff in your house, get you onto a boat ride somewhere, he is this incredibly resourceful. His superpowers networking and sort of understanding social situations and to think about the fact that because of his learning disabilities, he wasn't going to be able to get a high school diploma, it was just devastating for us.

You know, and he is the kid who at the Harbor School has volunteered for every activity. Has done so many work base learning internships that he maxed out and they couldn't give him another one. I really wanted him to come with me today, but he was busy working on one of the charter sail boats as a deck hand.

All he wants to do is work. Everybody doesn't want to go to college. I totally appreciate that when we look at how schools perform, the focus is 90 percent of the time on high school acceptance rates, — sorry, on college acceptance rates and on college readiness. I have a kid who has zero interest, nor does he need to have any interest in going to college. Which is a lot to be said for the kid of a lawyer and you know, a guy with an engineering degree, but we have to remember that as much as we all have become very anti sort of technical track training.

If my son had had the option when he started high school of just — even middle school, of like going toward this non regents and more technical program, I will tell you we would have saved two years of him being out of school because of major mental health stuff that was in great part due to knowing that he couldn't do what the other kids were doing.

And so, what I really urge you is to remember that there is this huge population of kids; kids with and without disabilities who don't have access to the kind of program that we've been lucky enough to find for him and to open up this option of SEDO's local

diploma, superintendents determination for kids who just want to get out there and work and incidentally, he is going to have a much easier time finding a job than my college kid is going to have.

So, thank you again for holding this hearing.

JENNIFER GABREY: Hi, I am Jennifer Gabrey, I am also a parent of a child who just entered pre-k, but I am not here to talk to you about him.

I am here to talk to you because I teach at Hunter College and I'm here to talk to you about the students that I see in front of me and how they've been prepared or not to be in college. I teach in the department of understudies and political science.

So, I want to just reflect for a moment Council Member Treyger on what you just said about the ways in which you, your own teaching preparation and was sort of test driven.

One of the things I think about when I reflect upon the things that we've heard today is that what we know, many of us know in the room, is that the preponderance of evidence and research on high stakes standardized testing shows us that we shouldn't be doing what we're doing. Right, and that there is this massive gap between all of that research and the

2.2

ways in which we are learning. And luckily one of the things that I do know about Hunters School of Ed is that we are not teaching teachers currently for the most part, to do that. But then what we have is teachers having this kind of like melancholy where they in fact learn particular kinds of things about pedagogy, but then going to school and don't get to practice them. And that right, is one of the problems.

If I had more time, right, this is not a hearing focused on higher ed, I would talk about things like student achievement and the issues tied to the GRE or other things.

Among the different things that I think is under focused on in some of the hearing material that I've heard today is the problems and limitations with the AP exam and I would want to see that sort of like lifted up in some of the modes of analysis here.

Because this is a terrible test. All of the tests are really terrible, and the pedagogy are terrible.

College professors which that we had time machines on a regular basis, and we could go back and fix the things in the past. Right, what we see are fragmented modes of knowledge, we see things that are

2.2

identified in the literature as lower level learning
and that researchers identify those things as coming
from high stakes testing. We see students struggle
with argumentation with higher level modes of
analysis, with collaborative and extemporaneous work

and the verbal participation.

Right, the things that would make them successful as college students, that they are not being prepared for in regimes of high stakes testing. They are taught to identify, regurgitate, toss away that

12 knowledge, rather than internalize those things as

13 concepts.

Those are the things that high stakes testing emphasizes. Students do not have that kind of relationship to knowledge assimilation and having taught well, before 3rd through 8th grade testing came in and now seeing students come in who have been part of 3rd through 8th grade testing, I can tell you that I see that difference.

We have to trust teachers, right, when we talk about — one of the things that hasn't gotten raised today that I just want to say, I am a teacher who gets to have extraordinary freedom in assessment and gets to do rigorous assessment.

2 | 3 | w 4 | h 5 | F 6 | a 6 | a 7 | a 6 | m 9 | a 6 | 10 | t 10

CF

One of the things that really, really bothers me when parents say, well, what choice do we have. I have to be able to know how my students are doing.

As if there are not modes of assessment that everyone agrees are here right, are more rigorous and that we are failing to communicate. Right, that those are more rigorous forms of assessment, better modes of assessment, rather than the fake modes of assessment that we currently have. But that part of what it means is trusting teachers, supporting teachers to do that work and that's what we have to do.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Next, sir.

DERMOT MIRY: Chairman Treyger and all the City

Council Members and members of the audience. My name

is Dermot Miry, I am an educator, I am a certified

school counselor and also a chapter leader.

As a member of the moment of Rank and File

Educators, the social justice caucus of the UFT, the

time is right to end high stakes testing period.

It must be stated that our caucus has been at the forefront for years as allies of the opt out movement, numerous social justice grants movements and people of good moral conscience, educating

2.2

parents, communities about the evils of high stakes
testing.

The demands of testing have placed so many stressors on our teachers, students, and their families. Students are labeled bad; students are labeled failing because of low test scores.

Schools that are closed are being truncated because of low test scores. Personally, I have been at PB meetings for many years fighting former Chancellor Farina trying to make a difference. Trying to make the argument that tests fail our students but finally we have a listener in you. Chairman Treyger, you have been an educator and law maker, it makes a difference. We have crossed paths so many times on a social justice trails in New York City and I hope this is the one that will elevate the voice of students.

Students do not even have a voice in a conversation about testing which is really sad.

Middle, elementary school students, the amount of time they have to be spending doing this testing, it's too stressing.

Psychologically, there is research that shows that over testing affects students' self-esteem when

2.

they fail, and it takes years to reverse this psychological harm. So, I am here today to speak of that role as social justice advocate.

I must say my colleague confident and lieutenant gubernatorial and green party candidate and a member of [INAUDIBLE 5:36:43], she has testified before congress about the effects of high stakes testing and I urge you to watch this testimony and enter it into the record as well. In 2015, she testified in front of congress about effects, the harm done to our students and the students across New York City and around the country and I also encourage you Chairman to enter the transcript in the record. The video speaks of thousands of colleagues around the country.

In closing there is so much to say, but I must reiterate that high stakes testing is profit driven. It means children who have had a bad test day. It does not take into account that Black and Brown students especially are victims of systemic oppression and racist motives in a testing frenzy to elevate those who don't have the money to pay for test prep and these test prep factories and then you blame the have nots. I join with my ally Professor Chen Haze from Leeman College and declare that in

testing they use artificial intelligence to have students to the test and invest in teacher driven authentic assessments for students.

So, and I'll just close by saying, just run the testing corporations and the consultants out of town. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Thank you, thank you very much, I appreciate that. Professor, just quick, thank you for your great testimony.

DERMOT MIRY: I'm not a professor, I'm a teacher.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: No, no, I know, and I appreciate your testimony. I'm just asking the professor a quick question from Hunter college.

I have some concerns as well about how they are administering the APA exams. If you could share, just kind of go deeper on your concerns with the AP exam, I'm curious to kind of share notes.

PROFESSOR: Sure, I in fact, have been wanting to

- I tried to convince one of my colleagues here who

teaches US history to come. He feels that he

describes the AP history tests as having scorched his

field and I have wanted to write with him.

I mean, one of the things I think about a lot is for example, in the way that I was describing

2.2

hegemony.

students relationships and concepts. Teaching like political science and I teach antidiscrimination work, is one of the thigs I do. I find for example that students do not know or cannot remember when the US Civil War is, and I sometimes will sort of assess

who is taking the AP history exam.

And so, I have students who have gotten a four or a five on the test and cannot tell me when the Civil War happened. And that is because of that sort of disposability. Those same students can sometimes explain to me what hegemony is and a critic of

So, part of what that shows — right, I mean, I think you understand what that means about knowledge and learning and about the flaws of — I mean I remember from my own experience right, and my own AB history class a very long time ago. Having my own AB history tell me, if it were my child, I would not be having my child sit in this class because I love history.

Right, and I don't want this for you because I love history and then I remember the feeling of going to college and having history class and being like,

2.2

2 oh, okay, I get it now. And I mean I love history
3 also. I think you do to.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: You know, you're spot on, just my quick reflection on this is that before I left teaching to serve in the City Council, they had asked me to start working on curriculum for an AP government course and I just immediately realized and recognized that it's not magic that happens in there, they just give you a bunch of stuff to read and they tell you to read it very fast and they move on very quickly. And there is not time to just unpack and debrief and have — and Cook mentioned a discussion to kind of discuss concepts, big ideas. How does this connect to the real world. It's just here is a bunch of text, read it fast, move on, next.

That is not learning, that is not learning, especially if we have students — I will close this hearing also by sharing a personal story from my teaching days.

I had a student in 12th grade, who 7:30 in the morning class, that's tough. I had assigned a government assignment. They had to respond to Washington's Fair Well Address. It was common core line, all the good rubric stuff, but the student

2.2

shared with me, Mr. Treyger, I'm going to try but I'd rather not do this assignment. Can I instead bake you a loaf a bread?

That was a fascinating request, one that I did not expect, and I said to him, well, I'm always open to trying bread, but why don't you give me a draft, I will work with you on this. And he really did not want to do the assignment and I kind of found out that he also would sometimes come in late to the class very tired.

I found out that he was working at a bakery overnight. One of those bakeries that have nighttime hours because they do delivery routes to restaurants and diners around the city and he would work there to support his single mom and younger siblings and he would come to school, but he would come to school very tired and late. And he brought in some of the bread that he baked actually, and I have to — as someone who likes to cook when I have time at home, it was some of the best, if not the best I've ever had in my life. And this is a child that we also labeled as underperforming and struggling. He was extraordinary. The question I ask myself is that how was the student able to go through all these years to

2.2

get to the 12th grade and have difficulty for

example, forming like a thesis statement and topic

4 sentences in an essay.

Like, when did the system kind of stop and say, wait a minute, let's help assist the child. And how did we miss on all the amazing talents that he does have?

So, that's what kind of drives me as well in addition to what I observed. Even with folks pushing gifted and talented. Look, every child in my view has gifts and talents. There's no magical curriculum there folks. It's not like some magical fairy dust sprinkles in the class. It's just, they give you a lot of stuff to read, move fast pace, move on to the next.

We have to ask ourselves. I think I could speak for folks in this room but does the system, does the DOE actually value learning? We have to really keep emphasizing that question.

UNIDENTIFIED: Obviously not.

CHAIRPERSON TREYGER: Right, they don't value learning and that's the fundamental issue here.

There are other agenda's and interests at play. And so, I really thank all of you. The educators and

also quick note, I am a proud CUNY graduate. I love my CUNY experience. I love my professors who helped me with pedagogy. I wish I had more pedagogy quite frankly in college, sometimes it was more content, but I am so grateful to our professors, educators, to our parents, to our school communities. You give me hope that we will effectuate change and I am with you every step of the way and I think we can close by just saying, all of our children are extraordinary, let's build a system around them. And, thank you very much and this hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018