CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

22

November 30, 2023 Start: 1:15 P.M. Recess: 4:20 P.M.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Alexa Avilés,

Chairperson of Committee on Public

Housing

Crystal Hudson,

Chairperson of Committee on Aging

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Charles Barron Chi A. Ossè

Christopher Marte

Darlene Mealy Diana Ayala Eric Dinowitz

Julie Won

Lincoln Restler

Linda Lee

Lynn Schulman

COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)

Pierina Ana Sanchez Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

APPEARANCES

Areiner Garcia Resident

Jean Serviola

Rohan Lalla University Settlement Society

Julie Sharpton Resident

Eva Trimble NYCHA

Shaan Mavani NYCHA

Lauren Gray NYCHA

James Secreto NYCHA

Iziah Thompson Community Service Society

Joceyln Groden DFTA

Maryanne Mullany Representing the New York City Comptroller

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Check one, two, check one, two. This is a prerecorded sound test for the Committee on Public Housing jointly with Aging.

Today's date is November 30, 2023. It's being recorded by Michael Leonardo in the City Council Chambers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council Public Hearing on the Committees on Public Housing and Aging. At this time, we ask you to silence all cellphones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing. If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record, you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

At any time throughout the hearing, do not approach the dais. We thank you for your cooperation. Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: [GAVEL] This meeting is coming to order. Good afternoon everyone and welcome to this hearing of the Committee on Public Housing, which is being held jointly today with the Committee on Aging. I am Council Member Alexa Avilés, the Chair of the New York City Council Committee on

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Public Housing. I'd like to thank you all for

3 attending this oversight hearing examining the

Infrastructure of Resident Safety at NYCHA.

We are joined by my colleagues from the Public Housing Committee Carmen De La Rosa, Council Member Carmen De La Rosa, Council Member Gale Brewer. you for being here.

Every tenant in New York City has the right to a safe and secure home. Safe communities are communities where people have what they need. does mean a community that resembles a prison or is over policed. Safety for tenants includes knowing that doors will lock. That they can count on their fire alarms to go off in an emergency, that the grounds are maintained and when they have them that cameras in their buildings are functional and being monitored.

Unfortunately, NYCHA residents have become accustomed to just the opposite with broken doors, inoperative fire safety systems and non-working or non-exiting cameras. Today, we are looking at all aspects of safety and security systems that NYCHA has direct control and responsibility over. These systems, like any physical systems on NYCHA campuses,

2.2

2.3

have faced disinvestment and need billions of dollars in repair. While we at the Council will continue to push all levels of government to fully fund NYCHA and fund it properly, much of this disinvestment is compounded by poor policies, poor oversight, lack of training, plans or lack thereof, and sometimes poor or incoherent implementation.

Even in light of the disinvestment, NYCHA must make focus and strategic investments, communicate those plans and outcomes and have effective policies to ensure residents safety. Over the last 18 months, NYCHA has received reports from the New York City Comptroller from the New York Department of Investigations and the Federal Monitor all detailing, not just that NYCHA needs more money to keep residents safe but also pointing to management changes and recommendations that NYCHA should take to address many of these issues.

Today, I'm looking to hear from NYCHA which specific recommendations they have adopted and what changes they are making with specificity, timeframes and locations to keep residents safe in their homes. I would like to thank my staff Christina Bottego and Edward Cerna. Along with the Public Housing

2 Committee Staff Jose Conde, Charles Kim, Dan Kroop,

2.2

2.3

Nicholas Montalbano, Christopher Zawora and Connor

Mealy for all the work they've put into this hearing.

As you heard at the top of this — as you heard at the top, this is a joint hearing that will look specifically at the impact on NYCHA seniors, seasoned or sexiest part of New York City's communities. The seniors who built this city are our greatest treasures and we must do right by them and with that, I now turn it over to the Chair, Chair Hudson to make her opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much Chair

Avilés and good afternoon and welcome to today's

joint hearing on the infrastructure of residents

safety at NYCHA with a special emphasis on the safety

of older NYCHA residents. I'm Council Member Crystal

Hudson, Chair of the Committee on Aging. My pronouns

are she, her. Thank you to Chair Avilés and the

Committee on Public Housing for holding this vitally

important hearing today along side the Committee on

Aging.

NYCHA serves a large number of older adult residents living in both regular developments as well as in seniors only developments in buildings that

2.2

2.3

situations.

only allow residents age 62 years and older. As of March 2022, 23.6 percent of NYCHA's entire resident population, or almost 78,000 residents are age 62 or older. Major safety concerns exist across NYCHA's portfolio of senior buildings. There are frequent reports of delayed repairs and outdated equipment including persistent mold as well as broken elevators, doors and locks, smoke detectors and fire safety components. Safety issues pose an outsized risk to NYCHA's older residents who are more likely to be mobility impaired and to have health issues that impact their ability to respond to emergency

NYCHA is charged with a proper administration of seniors only buildings and developments where older adults make up the majority of residents. However, when it comes to keeping our older adults safe, I'm concerned NYCHA is failing in its duties. 2021 data revealed that approximately 20 percent of violent crime in New York City occurred inside or within 100 feet of public housing developments. NYCHA's senior buildings have been directly affected by violent crime, with a notable example being woods and houses in Brooklyn, which saw three older adults murdered

over a five-year period between 2015 and 2020. This
loss of life should have been a wake-up call to the
city. It is high time that the city makes major
investments in safety infrastructure yet issues

persist and I fear it may only be a matter of time

7 before something like this happens again.

2.2

2.3

More recently, reports have emerged on how broken doors and locks make older NYCHA residents feel unsafe. Last year, New York One reported that Corsi houses, a NYCHA senior building in East Harlem, had a broken front door lock that allowed unauthorized individuals to occupy the buildings staircase and hallways. Other reports detail senior only buildings with front doors that are broken for over three months at a time and frequently in need of repairs, littered with needles and drug paraphernalia as well as stairwells smelling of human waste.

These conditions are unacceptable and I hope that NYCHA is doing everything it can to quickly and thoroughly address these glaring safety and quality of life concerns. All New Yorkers deserve to feel safe in their homes, including our older NYCHA residents. Decades of neglect and under investment in NYCHA developments have left older residents

2.2

2.3

vulnerable to crime and fire emergencies. We owe it to our older adults and their families to prioritize investments in safety infrastructure and to quickly address needed repairs. I look forward to discussing how the city plans to address these issues moving forward, as well as how the Council can work with older NYCHA residents to help meet their urgent needs. NYCHA residents have waited for action for far too long. Older NYCHA residents should not have to worry for their safety every time they leave their apartment. We need bold and comprehensive action at every level of government now.

Thank you again to Chair Avilés and thank you to the representatives from NYCHA and the Administration for joining us today. I'd also like to thank my staff, Casey Addison and Andrew Write and Aging Committee Staff Christopher Pepe, Chloe Rivera and Saiyemul Hamid. I'll now turn it back to Chair Avilés. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you. And in keeping with the tradition of this Committee, before we hear from NYCHA, we will first hear from a panel of residents. So, I will turn it over to Committee Counsel to call up the first witness.

2.2

2.3

resident pre-panel. We will call a witness on Zoom.

As soon as we call your name, you'll be asked to unmute. You can begin as soon as you're unmuted.

For those wishing to testify in person, please see the Sergeant at Arms in the back of the room and fill out a testimony slip. For those wishing to testify and are on Zoom, we will move to virtual testimony after the Administration testimony. Julie Sharpton, we'll hear from Julie Sharpton. You should be getting a notification to unmute yourself shortly.

JULIE SHARPTON: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: We can hear you Julie, good afternoon.

JULIE SHARPTON: Good afternoon Council Member
Hudson and everyone, the City Council, Department of
Aging. Thank you for having us and allowing us to
voice our concerns. My name is Julie Sharpton. I am
the President of Whitman Houses Resident Association.
We share the concerns as our Council Member and many
of you do in regard to the lack of safety mechanisms
in place to keep our senior residents and resident at
large safe. We have various doors in our
developments that are broken. The locks don't work.

They are constantly in need of repair and which it
causes unfortunate people that don't live here to
come in and utilize drugs in our stairwells,
vandalize our mailboxes, which makes our residents
especially our senior residents feel very unsafe.
They have voiced their concerns to us in our monthly
meetings repeatedly about this. They are afraid to
go and empty their garbage and the compactors on
their floors because of homeless people that have
inhabited our stairwells, our rooftops. They are
afraid to come again and just go to their
appointments because they have to step over or
encounter you know people that don't live in our
buildings and they get that access through broken
doors, poor lighting is another issue that causes our
residents, especially seniors not to feel safe going
to and from the store. We know that it's getting
dark now earlier in the evenings. At 4:30 p.m. it's
dark outside. That's the early town of the evening
but with it being dark out, our residents don't feel
safe and comfortable, especially again seniors, with
just going to the store or even just going downstairs

in the lobby to check their mailboxes. These have

been constant concerns that we have addressed to NYCHA.

2.2

2.3

I won't say that NYCHA hasn't been responsive but there needs to be some system put in place, better mechanisms if you will for lack of a better term put in place, so that we are not constantly dealing with the same issues repetitively when it comes to doors not locking. Perhaps key fob situations instead of the key. I don't know what — we need to just put something in place.

As we speak of the roof access, I was walking with an elected official about two weeks ago to show them the conditions of the roof and we were met by two gentlemen that didn't live in the development, didn't belong there, that created an encampment at the rooftop where construction workers had heavy equipment. Equipment that could be dangerous to anyone that doesn't know how to utilize it properly and we couldn't continue that walk through and had to have them removed.

So, it's things like that that make our residents that live here not feel safe. So, we also need our access to our roofs to be safer to not allow people, whether they live here or not to access those roof

landings and be able to inhabit them for whatever

2.2

2.3

3 reason they - or whatever they're doing up there.

Unfortunately, we had a woman that committed suicide about two months ago. We were told that she, I put my jacket over her body and waited for the ambulance to come and because she accessed the roof and jumped from one of our roof tops that had railing over it.

So, maybe we need to consider alarms in our roofs that directly alert the police department or management or the fire department that somebody has access the roof because we've seen way too many bodies coming off of roofs since I've been a resident since 1973. We've seen way too many bodies, at least five, come off the roof. Whether it be murder or it be suicide.

So, I support the City Council's effort in this meeting and an agenda to work with NYCHA and move forward so that we can foster better safety conditions for our residents. And again, especially our seniors and children who are the most vulnerable to our communities.

And thank you for giving me the opportunity to share that.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

15

We

Thank you so much Julie.

3 appreciate you being here and for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON:

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Having no other residents to speak at this time, we'll move on to the Administration testimony.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: I'd like to recognize Council Member Dinowitz, Council Member Lee, and Council Member Marte.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Again, if anyone is on Zoom and is interested in testifying, please go on to council.nyc.gov and email and sign up to testify at council.nyc.gov/testify or email to testimony@council.nyc.gov. After the Administration testimony, we'll move to public testimony.

Would you all please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

PANEL: Yes.

If you could just identify COMMITTEE COUNSEL: yourself and your title for the record before you start.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

EVA TRIMBLE: Eva Trimble, Chief Operating

3

Officer.

SHAAN MAVANI: Shaan Mavani, Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer.

6

7

5

4

LAUREN GRAY: Lauren Gray, Senior Vice President Quality Assurance Safety and Technical Programs.

8

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You can begin.

9

EVA TRIMBLE: Thank you. Good afternoon Chairs
Alexa Avilés and Crystal Hudson, members of the

10 11

Committees on Public Housing and Aging, other

12

distinguished members of the City Council, NYCHA

13

residents, community advocates and members of the

14

public.

15 I am Eva Trimble, NYCHAs Chief Operating Officer.

16

Today, I am pleased to be joined by Shaan Mavani,

1718

Chief Asset and Capital Management Officer; Lauren

19

Gray, Senior Vice President of Quality Assurance,

20

Safety and Technical Programs; and Chief James Secreto, Vice President for Public Safety and

21

Security; as well as our partner from the Department

22

of Aging, Jocelyn Groden, Associate Commissioner.

23

The safety of residents is a fundamental part of our mission, so thank you for this opportunity to

24

2.2

2.3

discuss our efforts to enhance safety and security at
NYCHA developments.

We recognize the important role of security cameras and other security enhancements, like layered access controls and exterior lighting, so we are always seeking funding to increase the scale of these measures across our portfolio. Since 2014, NYCHA has expended about \$600 million on cameras and other security infrastructure. Funding that's generously provided by the City Council members and other elected officials including the Manhattan District Attorney, as well as through initiatives like the Mayor's Action Plan for Neighborhood Safety.

More than 18,500 CCTV cameras are currently installed at NYCHA developments across the city. They are placed strategically at building entrances, roof landings, stairwells, and other exterior and interior areas where illegal activity could occur or unauthorized entrants could gain access. When installing sidewalk sheds for public protection and other structures, we always try to have them designed to minimize blocking security cameras or creating dark, unsafe spaces. If we learn about a camera or lighting that is inadvertently becomes blocked due to

_ .

sidewalk shedding or other obstruction, we immediately work to reposition the camera, lighting or obstruction to a new location.

In the past decade, NYCHA has installed nearly 10,000 exterior lights at 87 developments. We've also installed layered access controls, new entrance intercoms, key fobs and doors, at more than 400 buildings across 80 developments.

Considering the massive needs across the

Authority, currently totaling more than \$78 billion,
we appreciate the funding from the City Council and
other partners which enables us to install these
vital quality of life and security enhancements. To
help maintain our investments in layered access
controls and ensure their functionality, we issued
protocols for staff on monitoring and repairing
damaged doors promptly, work that is both costly and
time consuming. Our protocols is also to alert the
NYPD in the event of a nonfunctioning door.

NYCHA's Office of Safety and Security is dedicated to the safety of residents, through its monitoring of safety systems and policies as well as partnerships with experts like the NYPD. We work closely with the NYPD on many aspects of crime

prevention and security enhancement, including the placement of CCTV cameras and exterior lighting. The

4 Office of Safety and Security is also working with

5 property management and resident leadership to access

6 potential safety hazards at our properties through

7 inspections, as well as the analysis of data and

reports and resident input to develop solutions for

9 any issues.

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As we strive to address the growing challenge of aging buildings that have not received the invest they've needed for decades, the safety and quality of life of our residents remain at the heart of our mission. We appreciate the support of our partners, including the NYPD and the City Council as we work with residents to foster safe communities at NYCHA. We are especially thankful for funding from City Council Members for security enhancements such as cameras, exterior lights, and layered access controls. We hope that you will continue to partner with us on these efforts, as we need funding not only install the infrastructure but also to maintain it.

Although we commit all available resources and work with our partners to promote the safety of NYCHA communities, there is always more that can and must

0.4

be done. Thank you for your support as we continue to make progress for residents. We're happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you and I'd like to recognize we've been joined by Council Member Won and Council Member Schulman.

Thank you. So, to jump into the questions. Who within the NYCHA management structure is in charge of the physical aspects of resident safety and security policy for NYCHA buildings?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, most of that accountability lays with our property management structure, as those—
the infrastructure elements that I assume you're
referring to are the basics of our buildings, the
doors, the lighting, and so forth. And so, that is
at its main function a property management function
to ensure that the doors are working. Our caretakers
every morning do their rounds across the buildings
and the grounds and they're required to report any
deficiencies in doors or any broken doors, both front
entrance and rear entrance, as well as interior stair
hall doors. They're supposed to report all of that
to their property management supervisors by 10:00

2 a.m. and those supervisors would take appropriate
3 action from there.

2.2

2.3

And so, at its base, it is a property management function. However, Property Management works closely with the Office of Safety and Security and other partners both within and outside of NYCHA. So, if there is an incident where a caretaker comes upon a door that's severely broken, clearly vandalized, we will also flag it to the Office of Safety and Security. We will reach out to NYPD and file a police report and if there are cameras, we will pull the cameras in order to see if there's footage of what happened to the door.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it. So, how are Safety and Security policy changes filtered down through the neighborhood model?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, NYCHA works off of a series of standard protocols and standard procedures, and any types of policy. So, as I mentioned, our caretakers are required to you know do their grounds work and by 10:00 a.m. report buildings.

I issued guidance reaffirming that practice back in August after we saw you know over the course of the summer many broken doors. The Office of Safety

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2.2

2.3

and Security also works closely with Property

Management doing safety assessments and that is

communicated and partnered with Property Management.

So, we work you know collaboratively both within

NYCHA and as I said with our partners at NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, I recognize that excuse me one second. Pardon me, excuse me. Uhm, in terms of the you mentioned the safety assessments. Are these — what do you mean by that exactly, if you could explain what that is?

AVA TRIMBLE: Sure, I'll ask Lauren Gray to talk more about our safety and security assessments.

LAUREN GRAY: Thanks. So, before we even set foot on the grounds of our developments, the Office of Safety and Security is looking at a whole host of information that's provided to us. So, for example, we will look at equal reports from NYPD to see if there's been recent crimes at the developments. We look at our joint homeless initiative with both DHS and NYPD to see if there's been any issues at the development related to homeless folks at the development. We also look at security guard reports and fire safety reports and of course, we take an overall holistic look at the development itself.

That all happens before we even set foot on the ground.

2.2

2.3

When we go to do an actual assessment, we invite the TA President and property management staff to come along with us. We do a full assessment of the development from roof to basement, looking at seven critical indicators. The first one being doors of course, roof landings, any access points into our buildings, layered access doors versus traditional to make sure that they're functioning properly. We also look at lights both internally and on the external grounds of the properties as well as the roofs, cameras to make sure they haven't been vandalized and are facing appropriately and not being blocked by scaffolding or shedding.

We do radio checks with our staff from roof to basement to make sure that the radios are working properly and that everyone could be heard across the development. We look for environmental issues, anything that could pose a safety hazard to both staff, residents, and our guests. We check to see if there are security guards or fire guards, that they're at that post at the right time. And then of course, we look for quality of life issues, such as

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

any trespassers or homes people in our stairwells or our roof tops, if there are e-bikes or motorcycles blocking a means of egress. All of this occurs when we do our assessment of the entire development.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And when does that happen?

LAUREN GRAY: Weekly. We go to — we're trying to hit every single development within a yearly timeframe and to date, we've done 114 assessments throughout the portfolio.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, to date in terms of this calendar year you've done 114?

LAUREN GRAY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And how many do you do on an annual basis?

LAUREN GRAY: Well, again, we're trying to do

274. That's our portfolio. We get anywhere from 50

to 70 percent of the assessments done. We also work

with the union, I forgot to mention that. Our union

partners come on to do the assessments as well, so we

kind of have to wait for them to be able to join us

on these walk throughs.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, with 335 developments this year, you've conducted 114 assessments?

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LAUREN GRAY: Well, we don't do the RAD PACT developments. We're doing the developments that are in the NYCHA portfolio.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And so, how many developments remain? I forget the moving target. RAD PACT and what is not.

LAUREN GRAY: Oh, about 130 to 140 left.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: That sounds right. Uhm, could you provide the Council with a copy of those assessments?

LAUREN GRAY: Sure, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And in particular I'd love to see the assessment done for Red Hook, east and west.

LAUREN GRAY: Okay. If we got there, I have to check if we've been to that development yet.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Well, there should be one from last year, right? You're doing them on an annual basis.

LAUREN GRAY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: We have Council Member Salamanca who has joined us virtually. So, in terms of neighborhood level safety and securities, safety and security issues, this is really held at the

property management level, I just want to make sure.

2.2

2.3

3 And in terms of who is overseeing property managers

in terms of safety and security? Who do property

managers check into? Is it the office?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, for all items, property

managers report to a neighborhood administrator who

reports to a borough vice president. So, that would

be the same for Safety and Security as it pertains to

the physical infrastructure. A broken door or

something like that but as I said, there is an Office

of Safety and Security that is underneath Ms. Gray

and coordinates with us centrally.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: My ever challenge with the NYCHA organizational structure ongoing. At a Council Hearing on Public Safety, Mr. Ramon Rodriguez, Director of the Office of Safety and Security at the time at NYCHA, described annual security assessments, which what we talked about. Oh excuse me, we just talked about these assessments. I guess the question, I'm sorry about the assessments, are they made public for the residents to review?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, because they do contain sensitive information that we wouldn't want to make public about, for example, the positioning of all of

our cameras. We don't want to give all that information out because it does jeopardize the safety of the residents. But I will say, I did want to add to that the safety and security assessment that we've just been discussing, we started this year in its current form. Previous years, there were other types of assessments but we greatly expanded the role of safety and security and the safety assessment this year. And so, I just wanted to clarify that the ones that the ones we've done this year, in relation to the ones that had been done previously are much more

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, I could understand not publicly reporting on the solutions, locations of the cameras but in terms of what the assessments are finding, why would that not be made available to the residents? The assessment themselves, like what is going on?

expanded and comprehensive.

EVA TRIMBLE: So, the resident leader does join us for these assessments, so that we are seeing safety and security through the eyes of the residents at the development every day and we do work to address that. So, if they say for example, this area is too dark or there needs to be pruning of bushes

1

3

4

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

here or you know, whatever it may be, so that we can work to address those conditions but again, we don't want to share the full report because it would be it contains sensitive information as to the safety of the development.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, okay, I mean it means that I do think there are ways to do reports without including sensitive information that residents can have access to and add information to. I think it's unfortunate because you know there's no public record of tagging the issues and/or addressing them if there is no way to find them. And I appreciate that you have residents with you during this process. wouldn't be a good one without them but there should be a way for them to access this report. forward to receiving them and reviewing them.

So, how do you plan to allocate resources for the implementation and recommendations that come out of these assessments. How is that part?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, our ability to implement all of the recommendations of those reports is restricted by our budget limitations, so it really depends on the extent of the recommendations. If it's you know reposition a camera here so it gets better viewing,

2.2

2.3

change the position of a lighting, that's fairly easy to do but if it's something like replace an entire door system, that's much harder. Our most recent PNA identified 137 million for the total cost of just our doors. That doesn't include cameras or lighting.

So, we do face a significant capital hurdle to completely replacing our doors. And the issue with you know not for a full capital replacement we're faced with repairing a broken door over and over again and that repair becomes less effective at each time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, so how is NYCHA prioritizing and how does it communicate what it will be doing to residents in terms of improvements? I imagine you have 100 improvements, you can only 25. How do you communicate how you're prioritizing those 25?

EVA TRIMBLE: It would depend on a case-by-case basis as we again review the report and work with property management to see what we can fix within our resources.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Does every development get an allocation to address safety and security concerns?

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: No, it's just within our general repair budget.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, while these risk assessments are intended to be performed each year at the campuses, the information available to us is the Authority-wide Safety plan for the AWSP. The AWSP, however, is not very useful and understanding the safety risks at NYCHA. For example, while reporting on a rise in crime, it does not specify what type of crime. Who develops the AWSPs?

LAUREN GRAY: So the Office of Safety and
Security developed the Authority-wide Safety plan and
a lot of the work that we're doing in the Authoritywide Safety plan is going into actually the Community
Development Risk and Safety assessment or the CDRS.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay and how are the assessment categories chosen?

LAUREN GRAY: Uhm, things that we're seeing in terms of an uptick in you know e-bikes at our developments that are blocking passage way. We never had those in our assessments before. Now, we have a protocol to work with NYPD to have them come and take them off the campus. We never had joint operations with the Department of Homeless Services and NYPD

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2.2

2.3

before. We vetted that as part of our safety assessment as well and the day-to-day operations where we see broken doors, lights, cameras, all of that has been in compass as part of the Authority-wide Safety plan but we're doing newer things as things become a problem at the developments.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And how does the AWSP allow for NYCHA to make better use of the resources?

LAUREN GRAY: It's giving us insight as to where we might have higher concentrations of issues at the developments where we never really did before. We essentially relied on eco-reports, just to tell us where there might be an issue, just based on the seven crime indices. Now we're doing this from a more holistic and 360-degree view. We never had residents involved or participated in these before. We are really trying to get buy in and work with our staff and our partners at a much lower level to really understand the needs of the developments.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Can you tell me a little bit more about the Office of Safety and Security? How many people are in that office? What is its budget?

LAUREN GRAY: Uhm, right now, we're between 57 and 62 staff members and we have a budget of I

believe \$40 million. I will double check that for
you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Is that an increase from prior years?

LAUREN GRAY: As far as I know, it's been an increase of maybe about five to ten staff members.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Uhm, I'd like to recognize

Council Member Lincoln Restler has joined us. So,

the Office of the Federal Monitor released a report

in May of 2023 describing their findings about safety

and security at NYCHA complexes. They proposed the

creation of a safety and security technician position

to act as a point person for safety and security

issue within NYCHA neighborhoods. Is NYCHA planning

on creating such a position?

LAUREN GRAY: Unfortunately, we don't have the funding to support the positions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Can you — can you — so we mentioned, you mentioned a team of about 57 to 62 staff members. Can you explain a little bit more on what that looks like?

LAUREN GRAY: Certainly, so we have a group that's our admin group. We have a team that focuses on uhm, installing, reinstalling CCTVs. That's our

_

CCTV Group and that group also helps to manage security guard and fire guard contracts. We have our resident watch group and we have a team that does the workplace violence and the community, the comprehensive development and risk and safety assessments.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Can you give me a general breakdown of at least percentage? I'm trying to understand how you're allocating resources within the office.

LAUREN GRAY: I can get back to you on the percentages of each of the groups.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, what changes have been made to address safety and security concerns raised by the Monitor? Particularly in the realm of the lack of communication and collaboration between NYCHA and outside agencies.

EVA TRIMBLE: We understand the Monitor's feedback in that report and we work closely with them. We speak with them on a weekly basis, if not more often. We believe that we have strong communication with our external partners. Always ways to improve and the monitor team has been helpful in connecting us with the District Attorney's Offices

2 and initiatives there that can help improve public 3 safety response and crime prevention but as we've

4 stated, some of the recommendation in their report,

5 while we would agree with, we are not able to

6 implement because of funding restrictions.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Can you tell me which ones you're not able to implement because of funding?

EVA TRIMBLE: Any that cost money, staffing.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: All?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

25

12 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it, the entire report.

13 Uhm, no new money. Uh, such an interesting

14 contradiction from all the press releases. During a

15 housing - during a Public Housing Committee Hearing

on February 2021, NYCHA reported that they were

17 spending \$50 million on providing security

18 improvements across 35 developments. The security

19 enhancements were set to involve the installation of

20 25 CCTV unit projects. Ten layered access control

21 projects and nine lighting enhancements. Were these

22 projects completed?

23 SHAAN MAVANI: Sure, thank you Chair. The

24 majority of those projects have completed or are

close to completion. We can provide a full list and

2.2

2.3

2 the current status as a follow-up to the hearing if
3 that's helpful.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Was that the yesterday's press release announcement?

SHAAN MAVANI: Yeah, a number of those projects are the ones that are highlighted in the press release. The press release from earlier in the week only focused on those projects that we've completed in the last 12 months. So, since November 2022 but the majority of them, vast majority, were projects that completed in 2023.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Great, I'd love to get the complete inventory of what is complete and what is outstanding. Uhm and in particular uhm, let's see, related to that announcement, uhm, could you tell us about the funding mix and the funding sources that were able to facilitate those projects?

SHAAN MAVANI: Definitely, so the announcement focused on projects at 23 NYCHA developments, uhm, \$24 million of funding in total. The majority of that was you know generously provided by City Council members. There were a small number of projects that included mayoral funding or state elected funding. And there were a number of projects where you know we

2.2

2.3

put in federal funding to close the funding gap or for another reason but the majority of that funding was Council funding.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And how far back does this Council funding go?

SHAAN MAVANI: So, the majority of those projects start at construction in 2022. A number of the lighting projects are much quicker and started construction in 2023 and completed in 2023. There are a couple projects that date back to the entrances in particular where the construction is more complicated back to 2021. Uhm, if helpful, we can provide kind of the specific history of funding that supported those projects.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Right, so if the construction is happening in 2022, it's probably fair to say we're talking about funding that was allocated in 2019, 2018, 2017?

SHAAN MAVANI: So, typically, CCTV and lighting projects are one's that we can often do in a very abbreviated timeline, both the design and procurement phases that in other projects could easily take us two years between those items, you know we can often complete in less than six months. So, you know in

J

fact a lot of these projects are able to move quicker. So, you know if they're in construction in 2022, uhm where typically you know could have been funded in Fiscal Year 2022 city or Fiscal Year 2021 city funding.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And these, none of these projects are the projects that NYCHA put on hold because they did not have capital money for project managing?

NHAAN MAVANI: That's right, so when we put a number of projects on hold earlier this year, we did not include safety and security related projects in general. We do have a number of a small number of projects that are on hold in this space, but that's typically not because of our capacity constraints. That's where the budget does not meet the scope of work that resident leaders would prefer and therefore, are advocating for additional funding to close the gap before we move ahead.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: When you report the breakdown of the sources for the funding of the projects, can you also tell us specifically which developments received entryways, entry way enhancements and how much that cost and uhm and if

you could also include the breakdown across the developments. I know you gave us kind of the aggregate and that's fine for the press release but I'd like to see that itemized of what the investment was per development. It's kind of hard to discern. Uhm, I think I have to take a pause and turn it over to Chair Hudson.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you much Chair Avilés and I'd like to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Members Mealy and Ossè. I wanted to go back to your testimony briefly and the assessment that the Chair was mentioning. Can you guarantee that the remaining developments that have not yet been assessed will be assessed by December 31st of this year, the annual assessments?

EVA TRIMBLE: The goal is to try to get them completed by June $30^{\rm th}$ of 2024, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so when you talk about annual, you're talking about fiscal, annually fiscally, not calendar?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, our goal would be to get them all done within a calendar year, which also happens to be our fiscal year but due to the limited resources and the scheduling constraints that are

2.2

2.3

required for these inspections, again they have
multiple, external partners. We're not able to get
them all done within a year but that's something

we're continuing to strive for.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay uhm, you also mentioned that your protocol is to alert the NYPD in the event of a nonfunctioning door. Does the NYPD have the ability to immediately fix broken doors?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, they would not fix broken doors for us. What we hope to do is alert them in case it is a possible criminal or vandalism incident. We want to have their help in that process as well as for them to know that there is an unsecured door at that location.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Uhm, and how quickly do you notify contractors?

EVA TRIMBLE: Well, it depends. We are — I've asked the staff to try to have maintenance workers repair doors as a first, as a first resort and see how far maintenance workers can get. They're not always possible to repair the doors and then we would reach out to a vendor if necessary.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So, who do you contact first maintenance or NYPD?

2 EVA TRIMBLE: Maintenance.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Uhm, what is NYCHA's involved in the cabinet for older New Yorkers?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure, NYCHA participates in the cabinet for older New Yorkers along with our partners at aging and NYPD. We work closely with aging and their existing domestic violence programs. We have our family partnership program that works closely with them. There are liaisons between NYCHA and Aging and I'm happy to refer to Aging if you want more information on how that older adults cabinet is working.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. How many NYCHA campuses have cameras?

LAUREN GRAY: There are a total of 214 developments that have cameras and 52 developments that do not.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. And what type of camera systems does NYCHA have?

LAUREN GRAY: NYCHA has three type of camera systems. We have the NYPD Viper. We have Argus and we have General camera installation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You may proceed.

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

JAMES SECRETO: Okay, Viper, the 3,000 Viper cameras, those are located in the precinct or the PSAs, the monitors. And those are accessible to the police department. The 15,000 some odd cameras are the NYCHA cameras where we either have they call it a sock or I'm drawing a blank here, I'm sorry but the 15,000 cameras that we have that we can monitor and there are also some of them that PD is able to monitor aside from Viper, there's 15 Viper cameras and there's also, there's about 40 cameras in total. So, 25 others that PD can monitor from the desk. Sergeant can monitor. They can monitor it from an iPad or a cellphone. There's about 40 of those, 15 of which are Viper and the 3,000 that was mentioned, those Viper cameras as well, 15 separate developments throughout the city that are NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And when you say they can monitor, do you know how frequently they do monitor those cameras?

JAMES SECRETO: I do not. I don't know that but I would imagine you know every day that they're watching. There used to be separate rooms when I was with the police department, there was separate Viper rooms that they had. At some point they did away

Uhm, how do you determine which cameras go where?

25

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

Like, NYPD Viper cameras versus the NYCHA CCTV cameras. How do you determine which developments get which type of cameras?

JAMES SECRETO: That's pretty much determined by the management of the development but it's pretty standard. It's going to be lobbies, roof landing, elevators —

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry, I mean the camera types. So, how do you determine which developments get the CCTV?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, NYPD supports the Viper and Argus cameras and so they determine and we have an MOU with them where we work closely if they're willing to support additional cameras. NYCHA has the CCTV cameras that we allocate based on funding from mostly elected officials. So, they are determining which developments they are going to.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And then how long is the footage kept?

EVA TRIMBLE: Several weeks.

22 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Can you give me a more 23 specific answer?

EVA TRIMBLE: I'd rather not. Again -

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah sure.

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Are the cameras, the

2.2

2.3

monitors actually, are they — are any of them located on NYCHA campuses or are they off campus?

EVA TRIMBLE: Some are at the property management offices and some are centrally.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Oh okay, so a combination.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Has the city considered training volunteers who might be interested in monitoring the cameras? Like resident volunteers or any one else?

EVA TRIMBLE: We can certainly talk more about that possibility.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you. I'll come back.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, we're going to uhm, I would like to recognize Council Member Chi Ossè and we'd like to pass the mic to some of our colleagues.

Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great. Thank you so much to Chair Avilès and Chair Hudson for leadership on this hearing and it's good to see the NYCHA team. Thank you for joining us today. And I apologize if I missed this at the beginning but just to come back on the data relative to the Comptroller's report from

last year on open — on broken locks and doors not secured. Do you have updated data for where we are currently relative to where we were about a year ago?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure and good to see you again. I feel like we're doing this once a month lately.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Not enough. Not frequently enough. Although I guess the good news for you is that all seven of my NYCHA developments have been moved out of our district, so you won't have to bother me — I won't be bothering you as much anymore.

EVA TRIMBLE: Always happy to work together. At the time when the Comptroller issued his report, that was a point and time assessment that they had conducted of doors. I think it's important to recognize that the state of doors really does change every day. We fix them, they can be broken again. The caretaker could do their daily rounds and not notice anything and move on to their afternoon work and the door can be broken after the caretaker leaves that building.

And so, the point and time nature is really important. After the Comptroller issued their report and sent us those findings, we corrected all of the

deficiencies that were noted. And so, since then,
you know we're not tracking specifically to that

4 Comptrollers report but like I said, we are tracking

5 every day the deficiencies that we're finding on our

6 doors and grounds.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I mean, the Comptrollers report found 58 percent of NYCHA doors were not secure, 40 percent of broken locks. Those are super widespread issues and for all of us who spend time in our NYCHA developments every day, every week, it's consistent with what we experience on the ground. mean, I called one of my tenant association presidents in advance of this hearing. You know she said a significant number of the doors at Gowanus are broken right now. That you know the electronic locks are on the lobby doors are broken as we speak. there - just to understand the tracking and you know because it's with the tracking that we can inform a greater accountability, do you have a point and time sense for right now or the most recent point and time data that you have available for what percent of doors were broken, lobby doors?

EVA TRIMBLE: Right now at this time, we have none that — we have just over 9,500 work orders for

2.2

2.3

doors. That includes both lobby doors, rear exit doors, stairwell doors and any kind of public space door. That 9,500 work orders can be anything from fully broken and not functioning to you know a broken handle or a broken window but they still lock. So, we know that maintenance of building entrances is an ongoing process. I know that every time I go out to a property, there is an issue with the door.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Totally

EVA TRIMBLE: It is definitely one of our biggest challenges as I said because it changes day to day.

It's also, as I mentioned earlier from our last PNA, \$137 million need just for doors, not any other type of security enhancement. And because we're not able to do that kind of capital work when we continue to repair and repair a broken door, it kind of becomes like a frankly for lack of a better word, a

Frankenstein door. Where we've pieced together multiple parts and it's just working as well as it should anymore. But that we continue to monitor and we continue to repair as best as we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great and I'll just wrap with a brief comment and question. You know we all know how important this issue is because we you know

2	we have squatters. We have unsafe conditions. We
3	have people who are perpetrating violence and dealing
4	drugs and doing other problematic things in those
5	buildings that don't have locked doors and so, if
6	we're able to secure the lobby, everybody in the
7	building is safer. And you know we do our best to
8	bring you the issues of the individual units that are
9	causing challenges for neighbors but if we can get to
10	the heart of the matter and keep the building secure,
11	it makes it safer for everybody. I know you know
12	that and I know you get it but 9,500 to me it seems
13	like a big number. I don't have a perspective though
14	over time. Are you able to give us any context of
15	how does that number compare over time? Is that a
16	high-water mark for NYCHA? What was the high-water
17	mark in the last couple years or can you give us any

EVA TRIMBLE: I can certainly follow-up on a year over year analysis. I do believe that we are starting to lower the backlog. So far this year we've closed more work orders than have been opened for the first time in a long time, so I think we're slowly starting to —

perspective on how that compares? And thank you

Chairs.

comes to these doors?

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: I'm not familiar with that exact incident or location but I will say NYCHA is in the houses every day. Our caretaker staff is there every day. The property management staff is there. So, I'd have to follow up with property management to see what they've been doing to address that situation and I'm happy to follow up with you on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Sure and secondly, does $\begin{tabular}{ll} NYCHA partner with DHS on issues like this when it \\ comes to unhoused folks who make their way into $-$ \\ \end{tabular}$

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, I defer to Lauren to talk more about our program with DHS.

LAUREN GRAY: Hi, so we go to developments.

NYCHA partners with NYPD and DHS when DHS is

available when it's made known to NYCHA that there is

either a pervasive homeless issue or an encampment.

They go out two to three times a week with NYPD and

DHS to try to offer services to the folks that are in

our stairwells and on our rooftops and if the person

refuses services from DHS, the police help escort

them off the campus.

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Great, if you could look into that specific issue you know I think some folks over there have been calling 311 about it and you

3

any -

1

2

4

5

6

7

EVA TRIMBLE: And please make sure they're calling the CCC about it and not 311. The CCC will route that complaint directly to property management so we can make sure it's addressed.

8

COUNCIL MEMBER OSSÈ: Okay, wonderful. Thank you.

9

question. What's the current level of funding for

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I have a quick follow up

11

10

that program?

into shelter.

12

EVA TRIMBLE: We don't have funding for DHS's

13

support of that program.

14

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So, NYCHA doesn't provide

EVA TRIMBLE: No, it's just a coordination and

15 any funding specifically for that?

16

partnership. So, it's existing staff that we've

17 18

committed to twice a week going to different sites

19

and doing walk down roof to basement and if we find

20

any unhoused individuals, you know DHS is there to

21

hopefully offer them services and try to get them

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How many units are made

24

available to do those searches?

25

EVA TRIMBLE: You mean teams?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

JAMES SECRETO: We do it two to three times a week. Pick a different, well not pick, there's a different development. I think last night we were at Saint Nicolas. Tuesday night we were at Brownsville.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So, it's just one team that does -

JAMES SECRETO: Yeah, I think uhm two teams actually from DHS and that's an improvement as well because there was a time when DHS would not go inside the development. So, there was an MOU entered into early last year between NYPD, NYCHA and DHS. Whereas now, they come inside the buildings and try and encounter you know homeless people and offer services. Either drug treatment, mental health or shelter and so, it's basically a team of two.

Depending on how many people we have.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: A team of two or two teams?

JAMES SECRETO: A team or two.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Oh, a team or two.

JAMES SECRETO: A team or two and we do you know all the buildings in the development or several buildings in the development.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

_1

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Are you pursuing complaints that are coming in or how are you choosing where to deploy the teams?

JAMES SECRETO: Yeah, definitely complaints.

There was an issue in more houses over the week. I

think a homeless person overdosed in a staircase, so

we're going to concentrate on more houses you know

because we're getting complaints of homelessness you

know in that development but yeah, that's how we you

know we decide where to go based on complaints,

tenant leaders, complaints others police department

complaints.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And does NYCHA have on hand the number of complaints they've received in terms of unhoused individuals in the hallways or on the roofs or?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, we don't have that. I can see if we can - if that's something we can pull for follow up for you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, I'd love to understand what the scale. It is obviously even from our tenant that testified earlier, an issue and we hear anecdotally it's proliferating particularly when the

doors don't close. So, would love to understand fully what that looks like.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

On average, I know this is challenging because you know you talked about in terms of you could repair the door and it could be broken several hours later or relatively quickly but on average, with work order reform, how quickly are these door lock work orders being addressed?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, this is and I love that you bring up work order reform since you know it's so near and dear to me. But this is actually something that's outside of the work order reform process since it's not a skilled trade response. As I mentioned, we are working with our maintenance workers to try to have them review the situation with the door, see if it's something that we can fix in house before we reach out to a vendor. However, as we've done more door rehabs and switched over to layered access controls and improved our doors from the capital program side is something that we are looking at and we are having to retrain our maintenance workers to be able to handle the maintenance of and therefore are more often than not having to reach out to vendors to have the doors repaired.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Does that require developments to have materials on site?

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: Sometimes and sometimes we rely on the vendors to bring the materials.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: To bring them. Uhm, in terms of the layers access, how much does it cost to install layered access controls in a NYCHA building?

SHAAN MAVANI: So, layered access controls is kind of a broad concept uhm that typically or basically means that there are multiple ways that you are securing the entry. So, in addition to the physical door, typically layered access control will include electric uh, the ability to change access controls through key fobs that can be managed centrally or digitally. It can include intercoms; it can include video intercoms. It could include additional CCT cameras and a range of other components, right? And so, we look at what is the priority for the given site and based on the budget and try to maximize what resident leaders and our property staff are prioritizing.

So, the cost can range quite a bit depending on which components we're talking about. So, if we are kind of saying let's do everything, including you

know increasing disability and a lobby and things

like that, you could see an entry way project costing

one and half million dollars for one building. But

if we're just doing a door replacement and maybe the

store front around the door, then we can do that for

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Is there evidence that the implementation of layered access controls reduces crime in NYCHA?

know what we're trying to achieve.

\$300,000. So, there's a range of prices based on you

SHAAN MAVANI: So, I think that uhm, that is a very good question and something that we think about a lot and try to test when we put in a layered x control in terms of monitoring that surveying residents. There are a lot of confounding factors as you would imagine that drives security at a specific building or a site that may or may not be related to layered access control or other components. I think that's the kind of thing we partner with NYPD and others to look at systemically and who are better placed to understand the crime data and work with us on that.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

of time?

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, does NYCHA keep track of 3 certain dimensions or criteria's over a long period

EVA TRIMBLE: We're not currently tracking that. Although we could certainly talk about working with NYPD if they're you know on a specific research into that question but as Shaan said, we really have to defer to NYPD as the criminologists to determine cause and correlation of the crime that is happening at our developments.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, and at least associating, checking in outcomes right. If you're actually having systems that work, do you see a reduction? I'd like to turn it over to Council Member Mealy. Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you. I just have a few questions. Has there been any review comparing the time that property management and staff takes to repair compared to the outside contractors?

EVA TRIMBLE: We have not done that analysis primarily because if we're having to go to a vendor to get the repair, it's usually because we're not able to do the repair in house due to either materials or labor skills. Again, as the doors

become more complicated, it's not just a simple
repair, it becomes you know more electrical or other
in nature. And so, it wouldn't necessarily be a one-

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, how do you select the contractors? How many WMBE's?

EVA TRIMBLE: The vendors are — go through our typical procurement process for the doors for repairing these types of doors.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: BIDs?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes. It's our regular procurement process.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Because I just heard you tell my colleague that the managers go check the doors. So, how often do the management go check to make sure the doors are secure?

 $\,$ EVA TRIMBLE: So, our caretakers as part of their daily walk throughs of the building -

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But how are they able not to know that Albany projects or Marcus Garvy Projects doors are open? Shouldn't you say they all on staff right then? So, is it daily do they check them or after they check them, if they find out because

2.2

2.3

to-one analysis.

really heavy."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

So, have you all ever concerned changing that? Or a video com for senior buildings by itself?

SHAAN MAVANI: Yeah, thank you for the question Council Member Mealy. Something that we do hear a lot about and think about a lot. We have been piloting a new type of door for the last couple of years at a number of sites.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Where?

SHAAN MAVANI: I can give you the list of specific developments in a moment but this is a more standard residential door than we have at many natural properties. It's an aluminum door but with steel reinforcements, so the benefits are that it's as strong as the doors that we've had traditionally but it's lighter. It's almost much more available on the market, easier to replace and repair. So, we've been running this, what we call our entryway pilot that combines those new doors with more visibility and the lobby with video intercoms and other components and so far that's been successful at the sites where we've done that and we're doing that based on a lot of resident feedback the last few years and engagement where we designed this pilot to implement at five developments and then we're hoping

that based — if it's you know as successful as we're

hoping, to be able to mobilize funding to expand that

more broadly but that's the one main effort that we

5 have that gets at your issue around the weight of the

6 doors themself.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I will get it after the hearing. Out of the five pilot sites, how many are senior developments?

SHAAN MAVANI: That's something I can also come back to you on as a follow up if that's possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: There's only five.

13 | Shouldn't one of them be seniors? At least one?

SHAAN MAVANI: Yeah, because we have some exclusive senior developments and we have developments that have exclusive senior buildings. just want to make sure that the five buildings we're doing it at, uhm we can give you an accurate answer

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I think to have due diligence, one of them should have been a senior building straight away. I mean first thing because those doors are really, really, really heavy. So, thank you.

2.2

SHAAN MAVANI: Yeah, so I think with the sites that we're doing it at are 45 Allen Street, Carver Houses, Cassidy Lafayette, Seth Low Houses and Conlon Lihfe Tower.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Seth Low is mine.

SHAAN MAVANI: Yes and so, I was going to say
Seth Low is where we have a senior—

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But it's not a senior development. Like Marcus Garvey and just for your knowledge, you should check that door is kind of secure but they do have homeless living on the 6th floor and whenever they call the police or PSA, they leave and come right back and the people on that floor is very scared. I mean, it's becoming people where they live, exactly right by the roof.

So, could we please our eye on that? And that's the senior, all seniors. Thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Mr. Mavani, could you repeat the five developments? You said 45 Allen Carver Seth Low and I missed the last two.

23 SHAAN MAVANI: Sure, Cassidy Lafyette -

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Lafyette.

SHAAN MAVANI: And uh Conlon Lihfe Tower.

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: What?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Conlon Lihfe.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And can you tell us a little bit more what will make the pilot successful? What is that you will be tracking and over what period of time?

SHAAN MAVANI: Yeah, so after we finish construction at each site. We are both monitoring you know performance in terms of what was asked earlier, are the doors being broken again? Are there security incidents? But we're also serving residents at each of the sites about how they feel about the renovation. Do they feel that's improved safety and security? And then concretely, where do they see those benefits coming. You know we're also in that pilot trying video intercom systems, new type of intercoms and fobs and other aspects, also surveying them on if that's working well for them. If they're seeing challenges and things like that. So, given we have now finished construction at four of the five sites, we have started to get that feedback.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And how long will you track it for?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

3

SHAAN MAVANI: I believe that our plan is to track it for one year at each of the sites after completing construction. Uhm, to be able to understand what other refinements could be made? then to think about how we might be able to fund this

7 at a broader set of sites.

> CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And how much did the pilot cost?

SHAAN MAVANI: The cost at each of the buildings ranges between I think \$1 million and \$1.75 million. I have the exact numbers, I can either find them or provide them as a follow-up if helpful but that's the general range. One of the objectives of the pilot was to try to optimize cost by both cost and the ability to repair quickly if there is issues, given that's one of our challenges in the past. And so, we continue to think about how do we provide these improvements at the best possible cost.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And uhm in terms of the I mean; you don't have the repair piece yet because you haven't been to that part of the pilot but like how do you anticipate will you have maintenance staff particularly trained in how to repair the doors?

2 like how are you anticipating addressing that
3 differently?

2.2

2.3

SHAAN MAVANI: Sure, uhm, so some of — one of the design elements of the pilot was to make sure that the different components of the doorways and other aspects are easily available on the market. And therefore, it's easier for our developments to order them, but also that there is wide knowledge about their installation and usage, right?

So, I think we'll look at this question of is there further training required? But generally, the door technology itself is not something very unique. The intercom systems are usually where there's quite you know, there can be specific technical skills or capabilities required because of the electronics and other components and so, we you know if we introduce a new technology like this at a number of developments, we'll typically keep vendor contracts on to ensure in the initial period there is support and then over time, you know if we can bring that into our staff training to provide that.

I just want to correct now that I have the financial data. The cost did range in a couple developments around \$1 million but in a number of the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

developments, it was significantly more at \$2.8 million or \$3 million.

That really depends on you know the size of the lobby and other features that we're doing at that building.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it and in terms of uhm, has there been a review or a study of when work can be done in house and the comparisons between the cost of in-house repair work and outside contracting for the doors in particular?

EVA TRIMBLE: For the repairs?

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah.

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, we I mean, on each individual case, we're making that analysis and so, again as I mentioned, if it's something simple like a hinge or doorknob or something that we think our maintenance workers can repair, we do try to make those repairs in house because that's both the quickest and cheapest way for us to get the door back in service. However, we do often have to call in a vendor to have the doors repaired.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And can you tell us how much I guess in total is allocated for outside contracting to repair doors?

_

EVA TRIMBLE: I don't have that total value with me but I can certainly follow up.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And I'd also like to know in the event that the contractors who are awarded repair contracts, if they've failed to complete their work, what are their claw backs or other remedies and what that looks like and has NYCHA actually done that with any of its contractors?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, the door contracts, the door vendors are uhm, you know similar to any of our contracts where we have accountability measures in the contract. There are you know a lot of work with our doors going around and we actually did have one vendor who we didn't feel was performing, where we were hearing long delays in getting their response. They were also I think facing a large volume of workload themselves from our needs and we called them in and we sat them down and we went through the doors that are pending with them. What they were facing from their supply and labor market issues and we've seen some improvement in their performance since then but we've also been working on issuing new contracts to expand our capacity of vendors in this field.

1

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How many contractors do you

3

use for exterior doors and locks?

4 5

now we have about three or four vendors on contract

EVA TRIMBLE: We can follow up but I think right

6

for doors specifically.

7

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And do you know on average

8

how long each of those vendors have been contracting

9

with the city?

that.

10

EVA TRIMBLE: I don't but we can follow up on

11

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you.

12 13

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, we'd like to recognize

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Thank you and I'm sorry

14

Deputy Speaker Ayala.

15

I'm late. I was watching in the car, so on the way

17

16

here and I just want to say congratulations on

18

securing Secreto, he's great. Uhm, he's been very

19

helpful to my community and he's always available

20

when we reach out.

21

layered access. So, my concern is that I know that

I have three questions. One is regarding the

2.2 2.3

the original vendor went out of business and so, when

24

you are - when you say that you're still seeking

2 public dollars for vendors, for the layered access,

2.2

2.3

3 uhm what vendor are you using? Is it somebody new?

EVA TRIMBLE: I'm not sure what vendor you're referring to that went out of business but we have two vendors that are specific for layered access right now and then two other vendors for our other types of doors.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: So, when they first started installing the layered access systems, we gave money for the like Corsi Houses for instance to install layered access and they were maintaining them and then they were not and then when I reached out, I was told that the reason was that that specific contractor was out of business and they didn't have the parts. So, is that not accurate?

EVA TRIMBLE: We can certainly follow up. I'm just not familiar with that specific case but I'm happy to follow up on what happened there.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Yeah, and it wasn't just specific to Corsi. Like, uhm, during that time, other buildings that had the same system installed had similar issues. So, I'm curious to find out if that contractor went out of business. As I was told, uhm how does the — so, does the new contractor then —

are they able to maintain that system if it's not their system? Because it has been problematic for

us.

2.2

2.3

vendors are able to maintain those systems but like I said, we have about three or four vendors that work on different types of doors. So, layered access is the most complicated and complex because as Shaan mentioned it involves both the intercom system, sometimes connections with cameras. So, you need electrical and sometimes technological — like inter IT type work, connections to the phone system and things like that. Other vendors are — so some vendors aren't able to do that level and just do the basic door repair work. But we can follow up on the specifics.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: That would be great. I think one of the other concerns that I had is that because that system was so expensive, I you know, we weren't able as an office to replicate it through all of our developments, so we specifically focus on the senior buildings. But one of the selling points for it was that if you had a side door that was left open, there would be some sort of alarm that would

1

3

J

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ring to some security office and then somebody would immediately come and close it and we found that that actually does not happen at all.

So, there have been many times when I've gone into and I'll keep using Corsi as an example, to Corsi and the side door by the parking lot is continuously left open by transients that are you know living in the building. They usually use a rock or something but you know I just assumed that it was because we were still trying to figure out who the partner would be. So, if there is a partner now, then I'm curious to know why that's still the case, right? Because that was really the selling point for You know I mean, when I'm considering funding projects and I felt that that was a little bit expensive for you know that system, I said well, the added benefit is that there are other protections that are very unique to the system but if there's no oversight over it and it's not working it doesn't really make me want to continue to fund them you know. And I want to be helpful but I -

EVA TRIMBLE: Thank you. We appreciate your support and generous funding throughout the years and

we definitely will follow up to see what happened with those security features that you're mentioning.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EVA TRIMBLE: They do sound very helpful.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Yeah, yeah, no, it was awesome. Uhm and regarding security, so typically at some of my senior buildings have security. Some of my buildings don't have security. I don't know how NYCHA makes the determination on who gets it and who doesn't and how NYCHA determines what the amount of time the security should be allowed in these buildings is, but I find that usually it is like eight hours, so the seniors can decide whether they want 8-4 or 4-12 right? And so it becomes problematic because you're just moving people around and then you know the folks that we don't want to be coming into the building are like, oh, well, there's no one there during the day so let me go in the day. And then at night we have a problem.

So, I've always requested 20 you know 24-hour security for these buildings because of the sensitivity right regarding the age of the folks that live there. They're a little bit more vulnerable.

Is this something that NYCHA has considered?

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: So, we provide right now we're providing security at 56 senior developments, which are all the senior only buildings in the portfolio and it is just a single eight-hour shift and that eight-hour shift is determined by the Resident Association President about as you said, whether they want it during the day or night. And unfortunately we are severely limited with our funding in order to do anything further.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: You have \$40 million for security.

EVA TRIMBLE: And \$7 million -

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: I heard it on the drive down.

EVA TRIMBLE: \$7 million of it goes to these security guards at senior and the other part of that \$40 million is for our fire guard watches. So, it does not go as far as it sounds as it goes.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: So, I know that some of my senior buildings are transitioning now to RAD and PACT. Are all senior buildings transitioning or is it just a select few?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, just if the PACT, if the development already has a senior building or is part

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

or is part of the PACT pipeline, it will go out but it's not a senior program.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Okay, because one of things that I do like that they offer is that it feels more like a Section 202 proposal, where you have the social services component and you also have the security features. I never understood why NYCHA was in the business of having senior build - senior focused buildings because they didn't have - back many, many years ago, they used to have like a social worker on site that knew if Apartment 2C hadn't been seen you know in a while. If the mail was backing up in the you know in the mailbox. That doesn't exist anymore and you know I'm concerned because these buildings again, you know what we did was that we populated them with a vulnerable population and then left them there to really fend for themselves. many of them as you've heard through testimony of the opening remarks from the Council Members and the Chairs this afternoon, uhm, have encountered pretty serious criminal activity you know within the scope of the buildings.

I know for sure that in my buildings, the first and the third of the month, I mean the rate of break-

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ins into apartments, the prostitution that's happening in the hallways, things you know, serious things are happening in those facilities and you know and I think that it merits a conversation about really rethinking the way that we oversee these vulnerable buildings or not have them, right? But we

can't just continue to leave them there because

seniors come with very unique needs.

I had a senior Gable White; she was 104 years old and she would call me every few months so that we can go to her house and change her lightbulb because she couldn't change her lightbulb and the maintenance you know unit would say that that wasn't their job. know we have seniors that have severe arthritis that can't open windows and they need somebody to help you know with that as well. But security definitely is always at the top of everybody's list.

EVA TRIMBLE: I appreciate your raising those issues. We - I do want to say too that we you know, I do think one of the great benefits of the PACT program is that they do have the funding to provide more substantial security than we're able to provide through our funding and that is definitely a benefit of going through that conversion and that program.

2	And NYCHA you know would love to provide more
3	security if we had more funding to do it. I also
4	want to add you know earlier when we were talking
5	about the Monitors Report and not having the funding
6	to staff it. That's NYCHA's internal funding. None
7	of that is provided through the city's OMB. It's not
8	a headcount or city issue, like you hear from other
9	agencies, it's just within NYCHA's federal budget but
10	what we do get and from the city and is a really
11	important resource from us is CDBG funding in order
12	to support the Elderly Safe at Home program that we
13	have right now across 20 sites in our portfolio. We
14	have sites in every borough except Staten Island.
15	And every senior in those developments is
16	automatically part of the Elderly Safe at Home
17	program and involves check-ins with the staff that go
18	out to the development, check on the seniors, make
19	sure they're connected to services and whatever they
20	need. So, that is a really important program that
21	we're very thankful to get city support for.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Is it possible that you could share some information about that with the Council?

EVA TRIMBLE: Absolutely.

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Because I think it would be helpful for our constituent services staff to understand that that program does exist. And I think lastly, uhm, my last question is regarding the CCTV So, I had an incident a couple of years ago cameras. at Carver Houses where a young man was shot and killed and ironically enough, the police - we had installed, you know we funded the installation of CCTV cameras at the development and that camera that could have captured what happened was not operational that day and on top of that, the lighting within the proximity of where that camera was situated was also out. So, DH's cameras caught some shadows but they couldn't necessarily - they couldn't see because it was dark.

And so, my question is who is responsible for the daily check-in to ensure that all of the cameras, like does this happen daily? Does it happen weekly? Does it happen monthly? So, who is responsible for making sure that the lights and the cameras are working? That there's no obstruction that's preventing you know access to footage? And what is the process for getting those repaired?

_

2.2

LAUREN GRAY: So, it's a combination of both
Property Management and the Office of Safety and
Security. When Property Management does its walk
through from roof down to the basement, they're
supposed to be looking to see if there's any impacts
to the camera, where they've been vandalized or
they've been moved. And they should be reported
immediately to the Office of Safety and Security so
we can go out and repair it.

Additionally, the Office of Safety and Security does spot checks on the 18,000 cameras that we have throughout the week to make sure that they're functioning and operational.

And as far as the lighting, that's also Property Management as they walk the ground throughout the day. They are supposed to make sure that the lighting is working correctly.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: So, you use the word should, is there some sort of requisite that mandates that every day as part of their you know, I'm coming in, this is my checklist. I have to make sure that all the lights are up. I have to make sure that all the cameras are operational.

2 LAUREN GRAY: I will change my vocabulary from 3 should to shall.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Okay, alright and so now the property manager notices that there's a maintenance issue with one of the cameras, how long does it take before from the time that it is reported to the time that the repair?

LAUREN GRAHY: If it's something that we can do in house, it usually takes less than 72 hours to fix. If it's more complicated, we might have to go out to vendor to get it repaired.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: And that could take as long as?

LAUREN GRAY: It varies. We try to get it done hopefully with under two weeks. Under two weeks.

DEPUTY SPEAKER AYALA: Okay, alright, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah and I'd just like to follow up to make sure I'm understanding. So property management staff is walking, doing visual checks. Let's say visual checks is — the camera looks like it's working. Uhm, and then I guess within the office, they're doing the spot checks once a week. What are they spot checking? Like —

2.2

2.3

_

_ -

LAUREN GRAY: They're doing checks daily at various developments. They're checking to see if you know they turn on the angles if anything is blocking or obstructing the view of the camera. Making sure that they work properly.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, they can tell visually just look at the camera that it's actually functional.

LAUREN GRAY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay. Uhm, I'd like to just quickly follow-up before I call on you Council Member regarding the senior program that you talked about. Elderly Safe at Home Program, yes, if you could I know you mentioned I think one in every borough but could you tell us what the program is specifically? What developments have this program and if there are any plans to expand the program to all NYCHA developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure, so there's 20 developments across every borough but Staten Island and we'll send you the list of the 20. The Elderly Safe at Home Program is funded by the city through CDBG funds, so we're not able to propose an expansion of it on our own but it's a program that we take advantage of and

2 we're very happy to have that support. It involves 3 having a social service worker providing daily checkins on residents at those sites. Giving them support 4 of whatever they need, whether it's living support, crime prevention, crime intervention, other types of 6 7 education and workshops. The assigned social workers who will meet with residents. They go door to door 8 9 doing wellness checks and so it's an important just kind of quality of life, not just safety and security 10

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And what's the — how much CBDG funding?

but quality of life for our seniors program.

EVA TRIMBLE: I don't have the dollar amount in front of me unfortunately but we'll definitely follow up with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And can you tell us what developments the program is currently in?

EVA TRIMBLE: It's in 20, I would just rather send you the list.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah send us the list please. Uhm, okay and with that, I'd like to turn it over to Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. Uhm, I hate those fab keys, so Wise Towers has them. The seniors

2 hate them. 139 has them, the seniors hate them.

that's not - that's fixable.

This is all about seniors and security, so are they in regular NYCHA or are they just in the PACT program? And what are you doing about it? I have written letters, so I assume you know that and I talk about it endlessly because people won't even go out at night. They're scared to go out at night because their key won't work for their apartment. Now,

EVA TRIMBLE: It is fixable and thank you for bringing it up because we -

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I bring it up all the time.

EVA TRIMBLE: I know you do. We believe we've resolved all the key fob issues that were happening at Wise Towers for a large majority of it was a battery replacement issue that needed to happen, but key fobs is a technology that we use across the city in many of our portfolios. It's not just for those developments that you mentioned or just for senior developments. It is a technology that we're using across our program.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Do you do any surveys to see if the seniors like them or not? Because if the battery is out at midnight, there's nobody to call.

EVA TRIMBLE: We have not done surveys but you can call the CCC and we would have someone come out there and help you into the development at any time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: At midnight?

EVA TRIMBLE: At midnight.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Has that happened?

EVA TRIMBLE: I don't know off the top of my head but you can call the CCC and we would send an emergency services staff out there.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I would like to see that.

I will check but I don't believe it's as perfect as you think. Number two, how much does a camera cost?

The Hostos many years ago was \$75,000 for one camera.

I thought that was excessive.

SHAAN MAVANI: Thank you Council Member Brewer.

So, uhm I don't have the off hand just a single

camera replacement cost with me. We can follow up.

When we're doing CCTV installations for the first

time, obviously it will be the cameras plus you know

2.2

2.3

SHAAN MAVANI: Yes, fiber optic. The monitors

2.2

2.3

3 and all of that. So, it can be more expensive.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Can you give us a breakdown so that we have some sense of it?

SHAAN MAVANI: Yes, we'll provide you our standard cost estimate for camera projects and you'll be able to see the different components there.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, the other question

I have is and maybe I was doing a Zoom next door.

So, the issue is, how information about what's repairable, not repairable is done in real time? In other words, if the door is out, this is out, that's out. You probably know it I assume. I just want to know how do you know it? And then is there some way that the public, meaning the residents, could also know that? Without compromising security, which I know is of concern. We just want to know; how do you know when the door is out? The CCTV, the layered, etc., and is there some way that the residents could know that in a real time function?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, we know it on a property management by property management basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And that's done on a technology basis or is that on paper?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: No, it should be through a technology basis in that when a caretaker or other worker reports a damaged door, we would create a maximum work order for that door repair, whether it's done by a maintenance worker or a vendor, we would have note of that repair. And that's why I was able to say today that I know we have just over 9,500 door work orders right now in our system that are open.

So, we do track that information. As far as CCTV cameras and lights, it would be the same. We would be able to track that work order, those work orders.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, is there any way that the public can know this or the residents in terms of their — because they call all day long, as you can imagine. The door is out, the door is out, the door is out, the door is out and we certainly management and contact you. We write you a lot of letters as you know but there is no real time.

EVA TRIMBLE: What we would do is we would post flyers in the lobby and in the buildings if there is a certain situation. We've had an intercom situation where we've posted flyers explaining the timing of the repair for an intercom which can sometimes take a long time to get repaired. And so, we do try to post

2 information in the lobbies for those residents so 3 that they're informed.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, but there's no real

- I keep trying to ask, there's no real time on a

computer to know for the residents who are computer

literate, to know that their door is out. It will be

fixed in ten days or whatever?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, partially again because of the security issue. I don't want anyone to be able to look up and see that this store will be remaining to be unlocked for another ten days.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But even the tenant leaders don't know?

EVA TRIMBLE: We try to keep our tenant leaders informed but we're happy to answer any specific questions if someone comes to us with you know asking for a status update on a situation, we will provide them that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, final question is the monitor. You have a new monitor. I understand Mr. Schwartz is moving on. So, my question is the monitor will continue to just do the mold and lead and not have anything to do with these topics. Is that correct?

2.2

2.3

2 EVA TRIMBLE: I can't speak to the new scope of 3 the new monitor.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you. I'd like to recognize Council Member Barron online and Council Member Sanchez and I'd like to ask a follow up question before I turn it over to Council Member Sanchez. Does NYCHA conduct audits or reinspection's of the CCTV cameras or layered access doors to ensure they are properly functioning? And how often does that get done?

EVA TRIMBLE: I wouldn't say we conduct audits of those because we are checking for deficiencies every single day when the caretakers are going through the buildings and as we've said, we've do sampling of the camera footage to see what's working and what's not working.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And is anyone monitoring that unit to make sure that those things are working and not working? So, this is what I'm getting at. This, maybe it sounds a little obtuse but uhm, it sounds like we're checking in the cameras but until there is an issue that happens, right and then it's clear that cameras have been out or the lighting was

out and not capturing any of the images that are needed for — then we realize that there was a problem. I'm assuming throughout this whole time, there were spot checks, there were daily checks, there were whatever weekend checks. Clearly, there's still a breakdown in the process. So, is there any additional checking in or auditing to make sure that the cameras that are identified that are offline are not functioning properly are brought properly online? What's the next layer of oversight that happens to make sure that these things don't happen and are captured?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, I just want to clarify that I don't believe a broken camera is necessarily a reflection of a broken process. As I said, we could check everything in the morning and they could be broken again in the afternoon and then, you know we would identify it again and the next time we check.

So, there is a constant review of all of these pieces of infrastructure as you call them that create the safety and security for the residents but we also have a quality assurance department that's out at our sites all the time. They would you know be following up if they see a deficiency as well.

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it. Council Member

3 Sanchez.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so much Chairs and good afternoon. Good to see you.

EVA TRIMBLE: Good afternoon.

Question and then a question that came from one of my tenant resident association leaders. So, the general question is you know in comparison to HPD, HPD inspectors go into a building. They are mandated to look for certain conditions in the lobby and units.

Are there any uhm, is there any comparable lists that NYCHA maintenance workers need to consider when they enter an apartment?

EVA TRIMBLE: So when maintenance workers enter an apartment to respond to a work order. They also have to do what we call a five alive check and that's not just actually maintenance workers. That's any worker that comes into an apartment. They do a five-alive check. That five alive is GFCI outlets, smoke detector, carbon monoxide detector, fire signage, and window guards. And so, that's a standard check that we do every time that we enter an apartment.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Got it. Thank you and so can you share how they record that information and does NYCHA audit these records?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure, so all of that information is recorded in our work order and I also want to add that in addition to the daily work of our team, we also have annual inspections that NYCHA performs on apartments as well as inspections through HUD that are performed, formally known as SPAs and now the INSPIRE program that are performed that do quality checks within our units and our grounds.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you so much and then in particular, does NYCHA inspect fire suppression systems on a regular basis?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yeah, do you want to talk about fire?

LAUREN GRAY: Sure. Uhm we have a new fire safety department that was just recently formed at NYCHA. It was originally a unit. We just brought on someone who has over 30 years of experience in fire safety and is looking to transform how we do business in fire safety. We currently have an independent vendor that goes out and does inspections of our fire safety suppression system and if any repairs are

~ 4

necessary, we use another vendor to actually make the repairs, so it's separate and apart from one another.

and then if the Chair would permit me my complex question but the last general question is concerning electric micro mobility vehicle and devices. We understand that there were new rules that went into effect. When does NYCHA implement those proposed changes and what is it looking like?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, right now, we have a proposed rule that's out for comment. It is not in effect yet. They went out on November 1st, and the comment period closes December 31st. All of the information on that micro mobility policy is on our website. I encourage you to share that with your constituents and our residents. We're looking for all of our comments to be submitted by December 31st on that policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you and that's for mopeds, e-bikes, all that right?

EVA TRIMBLE: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: And then my - if the Chairs would allow me. Thank you. My complex specific question is regarding NYCHA's practices

around tenants that feel unsafe because other tenants are not following rules. So, at Sedgewick houses in

4 particular, I have residents who you know say that

5 their lobbies have been taken over. That there's

6 activity that makes them feel unsafe. That they've

7 been you know yelled at by folks that live in the

8 building, don't live in the building, are guests.

9 So, the question is about you know how does, does

10 NYCHA use the security camera footage to learn about

11 these kinds of situations and how is NYCHA enforcing

12 the safety rules, the quality-of-life rules that you

13 have in the leases?

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

question. It is a very complex issue that really requires us to both respect resident rights and determine how best to enforce the lease and the quality of life for the residents. So, we do, if there are people hanging out in the lobby that shouldn't be hanging out in the lobby, we are able to bring in NYPD and we work with our partners there to comment if we think it's an unsafe condition that's happening. If we have cameras in that lobby, we will certainly use it to see if there's any illegal activity going on other than just loitering, which is

2.2

2.3

unpleasant but not necessarily something that is enforceable from a lease perspective but if there's — we work closely with residents as much as possible to enforce our lease on residents that are causing problems I buildings. It's not a fast process, as you can imagine but we have to go through us you know a step-by-step process to work with the residents, call them in, go through the termination process. We have been working closely actually with Council Member Restler on an issue in Gowanus where we were luckily recently able to achieve the eviction of squatters in a development, the development that was causing problems for the rest of the residents but it does take a long time for us to achieve that.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much and I look forward to working on the Sedgewick Houses with the office.

EVA TRIMBLE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Does NYCHA conduct proactive inspections to see if self-closing doors or trash shoots are operating?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, the trash shoots are part of our daily walkdowns that the caretakers are supposed to be checking that all the trap shoots are closing

properly and we also have a waste management department that does checks on trash shoots as well and will help do repairs to trash shoots if it's something that goes above and beyond a maintenance worker.

LAUREN GRAY: Sorry, self-closing doors.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Self closing doors, yeah.

LAUREN GRAY: That is also something that is just part of the caretakers in lockdown, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: The daily checks. And we have them, right? We have self closing doors?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, all the doors and the stair halls must be self closing. I can just say personally, if you've ever done a lock down with me, I check every single door, every single hopper every time I'm in a building.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Uhm, in terms of emergency evacuations and emergency plans, do the residents receive an emergency plan? Are they ever convened to say in the event of a fire or emergency, this is what you need to do.

EVA TRIMBLE: So for emergency evacuation plans, we defer to NYCEM and whatever emergency evacuation plans are in place for that area. For fire?

2.2

2.3

LAUREN GRAY: For fire, we have the stickers on the door, which tell you the proper location and every year we're mandated to send out through an annual notice, fire safety information about the property.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And does FDNY ever do resident engagement around that because I'm almost sure nobody has never read that fire safety sticker on those.

LAUREN GRAY: So, I'm glad you brought that up.

We just had really two great events with FDNY. We're really trying to form a phenomenal partnership with them. We recently just had a fire safety symposium where we invited over 100 TA Presidents to come to the Fire Safety Museum during fire safety month in October to talk about fire safety at NYCHA developments and what they could do to prevent. We provided like a whole Q&A panel with people from both FDNY and NYCHA along with documents that they can bring back to the development. And the last thing that we recently did at the end of October, we brought an actual fire truck to Farragut Houses and simulated an actual smoke condition to teach children how to get out of fires from their apartments. And

we invited both parents and kids to participate in it. And we intend to continue this partnership at

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 our family dates in the upcoming season in 2024.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: That's great. I guess you know given the number of natural instances, particularly Hurricane Sandy, the fires that we had, it becomes very evident that if you have no practice of the muscle of emergency evacuation, nor do you have a plan identifying your most vulnerable residents whose homebound, it can be a really chaotic and awful situation. I know the residents will know everybody in the building but certainly engaging and a periodic person to person review around what will we do if there is an emergency situation and who can be a captain of a building to make sure that our seniors are being evacuated properly. Where is there oxygen right that could potentially be another significant fire hazard and stuff in the building.

LAUREN GRAY: And we agree with you Council

Member, in fact as we expand our Fire Safety

Department, we plan on getting trainers to the program to go out to the developments to like work with our TA presidents and their board to try to identify building captains and explain to them their

2.2

2.3

role and responsibility in the case of a fire as

well. So, again, we want more activity and

involvement with the residents at large once we build

up the program.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: That's great. I'm pleased to hear.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I have a few questions as a follow up from some of my colleagues, so bear with me because I've gotten notes scribbled everywhere here but, uhm, regarding the testimony from Julie Sharpton who is at Whitman Houses, which is in my district, I'm just curious to know, you know she listed a lot of issues and concerns pertaining to the safety and security in her building. How do you collect feedback from residents?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, we're in constant contact with our residents, particularly our resident leaders through our resident services participation in the initiatives department. So, we are getting complaints, issues, anything that they want to bring to us. Some of them come directly to my office and to me personally and we work through all of our proper channels to address it whether it's a complaint, whether it's a repair need. Whether it's

2.2

2.3

something that we need to flag to Office of Safety and Security and bring NYPD in and we've had cases with you know resident leaders bringing like we heard today and I took notes to follow up with Whitman about again homeless individuals living in the buildings. You know maybe this is something that needs to get on the rotation for one of those assessments that we're doing. So, everything is feedback. Every conversation is feedback and we use

it you know every single day.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you and that's a perfect segway to one of my next questions. We've actually, I've worked with Mr. Secreto at Whitman Houses specifically with that same program and I guess my question is, you know I think it's a good program, although personally I don't think the NYPD should be dealing with the issue of homelessness but that's a separate issue for a separate hearing I supposed. But I think it's a good program and DHS being involved, uhm you know and being able to provide specific resources to people in need, but it seems like the way the program is structured and with only having one or two teams available for the whole city. Number one, that there aren't enough resources

2.2

2.3

you know allocated to the program and then number

two, uhm, that the program is really addressed as if

it's an acute program, an acute problem but it's

really a chronic problem that we see all across the

city and I think we've heard from you know my

colleagues who have all experienced similar issues in their districts as well.

Uhm, so and I know you mentioned more houses earlier but is there any plan to expand that program or any consideration to expand the partnership that you have with DHS and the way that MOU currently works?

EVA TRIMBLE: It has been a really productive partnership and collaboration with DHS and NYPD and we agree that having that DHS component there is critical because it is a resource to talk to the individuals that we're finding, understand the resources that they need, whether or not they're willing to come into shelter or accept services and that's something that no one else but DHS can really have that conversation with. And it also provides us a feeling of safety and security for our employees as well as our residents and our employees are often very hesitant to approach an individual that they see

because they fear for their safety. We have had caretakers that have been attacked by these individuals if they were seen removing their belongings or so forth.

So, it is a really important partnership for us and we welcome any future conversations about expanding the program.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, great. You also mentioned earlier that NYCHA doesn't maintain all of the camera systems particularly at PACT RAD sites. Who does maintain the camera systems at those sites?

EVA TRIMBLE: The developer, the PACT RAD developer, who is the property manager.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. Uhm, going back to the contractors for doors. How do you measure the quality of work and yeah, how do you measure the quality of their work? I know you mentioned there's accountability measures and that you brought in one of the contractors but do you have like a formal system or KPIs that you use to determine whether a contractor is you know meeting the needs of the residents?

EVA TRIMBLE: We have a contract review process but I think it, I will admit it, it's probably not

specific to the individual repair. I think the best way is if the repair holds and isn't broken again by unless it's broken again by vandalism or something beyond our control, which does happen quite a lot.

So, if the repair holds, that's our measure of success.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay and then when you remove a vendor or consider removing a vendor, how is that decision made? Is it based on an objective basis or subjective basis?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, we haven't removed any vendors yet and we hold them accountable through conversations and follow ups and ensuring that they're doing the work that their scheduled to do. However, we know that you know we work through the city system, so if we have an issue with a vendor, we can put that into passport, so that any other city agency can see that.

We haven't had to do that on any of these door vendors and we've been working with them to make sure they're doing what they're supposed to do.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay thank you. You also mentioned I believe it was in response to Council Member Ossè's question that residents shouldn't call

2 311. Why is 311 not an option to report issues and
3 have you ever considered integrating your system into

4 311?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EVA TRIMBLE: Because our system at the Customer Contact Center routes directly to NYCHA staff that can respond. It would be an extra step to have someone call 311, only then to have it routed to NYCHA to respond. We are the landlord and so, just if you you know, if you have a problem in your apartment, you don't call 311, you call your landlord and it's only when your landlord doesn't respond that you call 311. But for us, the best way to get us to respond is to have that information go through the CCC. We can directly route our staff to that issue and we use that information as data. Like I said, everything is feedback. This is particularly important as we're heading into heat season right now, to be able to see every single apartment that's complaining about heat, to be able to identify. Does it mean a line is out? A whole building is out? it just this one apartment that may be cold? So, it's really important that all that information comes through our CCC so we can use the data to best target our response.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you and then uhm sorry, back to doors. I mentioned I have notes scribbled everywhere, so just bear with me. Uhm, how many intercom systems require a mobile device?

SHAAN MAVANI: Just to clarify by mobile device do you mean a key fob or do you mean a phone?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Like a phone to let somebody into the building or something like that.

SHAAN MAVANI: We have — we'll have to comes back to you with an exact answer on that but we have different types of intercom systems that have that option of being on the phone and providing that flexibility to residents to be able to buzz somebody in. The majority of them have that functionality.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: If you can in your follow up, also include is there any opportunity for older adults to be provided with a mobile device, if they don't have one? I've heard from constituents who are unable to use the intercom system or to let guests in because they don't have a mobile device and so, I'm just wondering if NYCHA has a program that would make mobile devices or some things that they can actually use the system that's in their building available to them.

EVA TRIMBLE: I'll also double check. I believe they can still be routed to a landline but we will double check that information and back to you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. Uhm, can you describe how NYCHA tracks elevator outages?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure, all elevator outages are tracked through our maxima workorder system. So, we are able to track all of that. We also have the service interruption page on our website where you can see any outages both elevator, heat, gas, electric.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: What's the current status of elevator outages across NYCHA developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: I don't have that off the top of my head, though I could pull up our service interruption page but that information changes hourly.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay and then when you provide the follow up, can you please include disaggregated data by borough and Council District and also seniors only NYCHA developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: We will follow up with you on your specific elevator request to make sure we have the right data included.

٠,	
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

climber services?

EVA TRIMBLE: It's provided by NYCHA staff.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay thanks. I don't know if you'll have this but do you know which developments suffer from chronic elevator outages? EVA TRIMBLE: No, I don't have that information off the top of my head.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay and how are NYCHA residents made aware of elevator outages?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, when there is an elevator outage condition we start with doing a robocall to all residents to inform them of the outage and then we will also flyer the building and we will make note if there are stair climber services available to assist residents in getting back to their apartment.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How quickly does that happen once you've been notified of the outage or that you're aware of the outage?

EVA TRIMBLE: Once the elevator mechanics have identified this is an outage and they open the workorder, the robocalls automatically trigger it from there and then staff will go out and flyer the buildings.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And who provides the stair

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: NYCHA staff is responsible for potentially caring residents who might be wheelchair bound up the stairs or several flights of

5 stairs?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, it's something that we offer.

NYCHA staff actually volunteer for stair climber

service. It's not part of their job description but

it's something that we understand is an important

service so we encourage volunteers and we train the

volunteers to use the stair climbers in order to

provide the service to our residents.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, uhm, what's the status of the Senior Resident Advisor program?

EVA TRIMBLE: Senior Resident Advisor program, I may have to get back to you on that one.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, when you do, I have a couple more follow up questions for that. So, when you do, can you also let us know if SRA staff are solely and seniors only NYCHA developments and then how many live on site? And how does an older NYCHA resident contact an SRA staffer?

Do you know the status of the Senior Companion program?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sorry, say that again.

the Senior Companion program?

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: No, again I don't believe that that's a NYCHA program. I don't know if that's something our partners at Department of Aging want to respond to.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, we can bring up the Associate Commissioner and I'll have Council swear you in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good afternoon Associate

Commissioner. Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

JOCELYN GRODEN: Yes, I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: You can proceed.

JOCELYN GRODEN: The Senior Companion program is not a program of New York City Aging. Can you clarify?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Well, I'm trying to find out from you all, so I suppose we'll find the appropriate agency but I was just asking the status of the Senior Companion program and how many older NYCHA residents receive visits and how often?

2.2

2.3

JOCELYN GRODEN: I see, so I'm going to interpret the question to mean perhaps that you're talking about our friendly visiting program, which is attached to our case management and para's and older

adults would somebody with like interest to provide

7 companionship and intellectual engagement.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I do — sorry to interrupt.

I do think it's different. This one is the Senior

Resident Companion program funded by the Corporation

for National Service, assigns companions through the

Henry Street Settlement to conduct friendly home

visits to frail and socially isolated residents in

Manhattan, Queens and Staten Island. It's a program

under NYCHA specially.

JOCELYN GRODEN: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: But you all can get back to us on that. Uhm, and I'm sorry, did you want to finish? Okay. Uhm, who oversees — well, actually since you're here, let me just jump to you for a moment. Can you describe the ways in which NYC Aging works with NYCHA to best serve older NYCHA residents, including with regards to language access?

JOCELYN GRODEN: Sure, we work very closely with NYCHA as we have for a long time in many facets of

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

our various programs. Notably, more recently, NYCHA is a member of the cabinet for older New Yorkers and we work closely with them on different programs and services. For example, through the cabinet and the cabinet member agencies, which include NYPD, NYCHA and New York City Aging, we're collaborating on connecting NYPD older adult liaison's with NYCHA's Safe at Home program, which I know you talked about earlier, around their caseworker personnel and communities in order to best enhance, better enhance

public safety for older adults who live in NYCHA

This includes crime prevention and social service assistance to older adults and residents with disabilities across the 20 NYCHA developments that you've been speaking about. This is a robust program to further develop our crime prevention and social service to these communities. Additionally, NYPD has designated older adult liaison's for each of the 77 NYPD precincts and 9 police service areas. The older adult liaison's are a contact person for helping to support NYCHA residents.

In terms of language access -

2.2

2.3

residents.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry, just really quickly, how many developments did you say the older adult liaison's were in?

JOCELYN GRODEN: They are currently in 77 NYPD precincts and 9 police service areas, which include the NYCHA service areas.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you.

JOCELYN GRODEN: And you had asked about language access. Certainly, on our side, whether it's our community-based providers or direct services, we prioritize hiring bilingual, bicultural staff, that speak and reflect the needs of the population we serve. Of course, there are so many spoken languages in New York City. Wherever there is a gap, we access the language line.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: And is there active data sharing between the two agencies?

EVA TRIMBLE: For what data?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: All data regarding older adults.

EVA TRIMBLE: We have privacy issues, so we are federally mandated to protect residents privacy, so unless there's a specific need to share any resident data, we do not share it.

1

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

3

4

5

data.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOCELYN GRODEN: On a case specific issue, we would work around that but nothing disaggregated

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. In 2011, NYC Aging

together with NYCHA, the Department of Health and

Mental Hygiene and the CUNY School of Public Health

at Hunter College published health of older adults in

New York City public housing, which contain findings

from the NYCHA Senior Survey. Do you know the

current status of the NYCHA Senior Survey?

EVA TRIMBLE: We are not currently conducting any survey of our seniors.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So, this is not like a regular - uhm, sorry, can the Sergeant at Arms just give them a few copies of these for me? Oh, it's just the one copy, okay. It's just one copy but you can have it, share it.

EVA TRIMBLE: And I will just follow up from earlier, I learned that the Resident Senior Advisors you were asking about have been folded into the Elderly Safe at Home program. So, I think some of the programs you're mentioning which maybe date back 2 a few years have evolved into the newer program 3 forms. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, I think many of these programs are listed on the website, so it would just be good to know what's active versus inactive.

EVA TRIMBLE: Sure, we'll take a look at that.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you know how many New Yorkers age 62 and older live in NYCHA developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes. I think you even quoted in your testimony. We have about 25 percent of NYCHA's population are seniors age 62 and over. We have it as of 11/1 over 77,000. 77,047.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: 047, thanks. We always like to have you say it on the record so I appreciate that. Do you know how many or what percentage of these older NYCHA residents live in seniors only developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, in the — we have 8,130 senior only units. Of which, there are 7,259 households in senior only buildings. But I'd like to go back and double check those numbers because I just read them.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah, more units than households.

2.2

2.3

committee. I'm wondering, you know we're going to

25

have a huge increase in the older adult population over the next like 15, 20 years and I'm wondering how closely you track that type of data to determine the needs that NYCHA might have for — well, that New Yorkers will have and how NYCHA might be able to meet those needs?

EVA TRIMBLE: We have all of our demographic data from our residents as part of our annual recertification process. However, we're not focused in a social services way as far as analyzing that data for social service needs. We are focused in our role as a landlord.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah, I'm not talking about social services. I'm speaking about the general population. We're going to have like a 40 percent increase in older adults in New York City specifically in the next 15 years. And so, I'm wondering if you are looking at your — as a landlord, if you're looking at your portfolio of senior only buildings and you know developments that would and you're taking into consideration the increase in the older adult population and therefore, a presumed increased demand for senior only housing. Are you

you know following any of those trends in the population? I guess is my question.

EVA TRIMBLE: We're not doing any current population trending analysis.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. Uhm, can you describe the process including the typical timeline by which an older New York may secure an apartment in a seniors only NYCHA development?

EVA TRIMBLE: Our senior only development, just like any development at NYCHA is subject to our waitlist and waitlist requirements from HUD including our transfer requirements. As you're probably aware, we have a tremendous waitlist for apartments, so I can't give you the timeframe right now of what the waitlist or timeframe is for our senior buildings.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you have a sense of how this process compares between a current NYCHA resident and non-NYCHA residents?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, I do not have a for a transfer verse an incoming applicant. I don't have that information today but we can send that to you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How does the waitlist compare for seniors only versus non-seniors.

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: I'm sorry, I was not prepared with any waitlist data but we can get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Uhm, what would qualify an older New Yorker to be fast tracked for an apartment in a seniors only NYCHA development?

EVA TRIMBLE: Can you define fast tracked?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Uhm, for example, with domestic violence you know folks experiencing domestic violence issues at home. There is a separate you know waitlist or their usually fast tracked to get housing. So, is there any qualifications?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, we have transfer preference categories. I would caution against using any term like fast tracked. As our transfer priorities are heavily regulated and our transfer process is subject to a court degree. So, the emergency transfer is a separate priority within our transfer priorities but as is well documented through this hearing and others, we do have a very long waitlist and a long turnover time for our units. So, they are — unfortunately the domestic violence cases are a part of that transfer list priority process.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Understood. Uhm, how are older New Yorkers made aware of the existence of seniors only NYCHA developments? And I guess giving the waitlist, are you doing any active outreach?

EVA TRIMBLE: We are not doing any active outreach.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How does the older NYCHA resident population compared to New York City's older adult population at large? I don't know if either of you may be able to answer that.

JOCELYN GRODEN: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How does the older NYCHA resident population compared to New York City's older adult population at large? Are they, you know are older adults in NYCHA developments for example, do they tend to be lower income? Do they tend to have more chronic illnesses? I don't know you know. I know you probably don't track —

JOCELYN GRODEN: Right, I don't have the specific data in front of me. I can certainly get back to you. You know obviously they need to meet the thresholds and criteria for NYCHA eligibility, which

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Do you have and this

would really to some income and security and we could

get back with the NYCHA clients that are known to us.

demographic breakdown for older New Yorkers living in

is probably more so for NYCHA but do you have a

NYCHA developments by age, gender, race, or

ethnicity, disability status. Do you know how many

NYCHA residents live alone and how many are

homebound?

EVA TRIMBLE: We have some of that data and we're happy to provide that with you on an aggregate level.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you. Uhm, can you describe any challenges and concerns that older New Yorkers have while living in NYCHA developments? Maybe from your perspective if you're hearing from anybody that lives in NYCHA developments and anything that you all maybe may have been made aware of?

JOCELYN GRODEN: So, you know clearly we all know about health disparities that are related to income and certainly we've worked broadly to support NYCHA residents around some of the safety and security issues that have been discussed here. Of course we deal with all older adult issues on a case-by-case basis so we're not you know bifurcating our service

2.2

2.3

residents.

2	like around NYCHA versus not. Recognizing that the
3	large number of older adults we serve are diverse and
4	have diverse complex needs. So we would be
5	responsive based on that and I think it's important
6	to mention again our collaboration in the New York
7	City in the cabinet for older New Yorkers. And
8	opportunities that we have there to level set around
9	community needs and align to have a synchronized
10	supportive approach to addressing the needs of those

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you have anything to add?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, I think that's a helpful summary.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. In what ways does NYC Aging or NYCHA work to address the challenges and concerns of older adults?

JOCELYN GRODEN: Again, you know I'm really sorry to be repetitive but I'm going to come back to the cabinet. As mentioned earlier, our collaboration around Safe at Home, our collaborative focus on safety and security both together and in concert with NYPD, including the creation of the older liaison role to best support and address the needs. Also, we're focused on the projects that we have in flight

1	
Т	

_ ¬

today but the cabinet is always iterating and there are different phases. So, while today we're focused on community safety and some of the things I briefly mentioned earlier will continue to evolve our work together based on the needs that we're seeing in the community.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you and how are older NYCHA residents advised to request repairs or make complaints related to their apartment and development? Anything outside of the usual —

EVA TRIMBLE: There is no specific instructions for older adults. We do our typical outreach on our repair order process and you know older adults are no different in some ways and so, we you know they're just part of our regular outreach efforts.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Uhm, I can probably anticipate your answers for this next question but I'm going to ask it anyway. How will PEGs exacerbate older NYCHA resident challenges or impact their concerns?

EVA TRIMBLE: I defer to Aging. NYCHA's not part of the city expense budget PEG in that way.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

2.2

choices. To balance the budget as required by law,

every agency found savings with minimal disruptions

to services and no tax increases. As we have made

these choices, the wellbeing of older New Yorkers is

always our top priority.

JOCELYN GRODEN: While we still work out the details of the budget, our priority is to maintain continuity of services for older adults and under this Administration, we've made historic changes and investments in older adults, which I'm sure you're aware of. And as we've discussed today despite the very real fiscal and financial challenges, we're working with our partners to continue to evolve our work and commitment to public safety.

And I also want to say as I'm sure you are familiar, we've learned for many months that New York City is facing a fiscal crisis and that federal COVID-19 stimulus funding is drawing up, revenue growth is flowing, the cost of humanitarian and asylum seeker crisis continues to skyrocket.

While we've made clear that without timely and

significant action from our federal and state

partners, we will be forced to make some tough

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. That was a good statement. I uh you know beg to differ on the specific point about minimal disruption to services but that's for another hearing on another day. Who oversees public safety for NYCHAs senior only buildings and developments?

EVA TRIMBLE: Our Office of Safety and Security and property management both partner to oversee public safety and security in the senior buildings.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

EVA TRIMBLE: And in all buildings.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Alright, I have only three questions left but one is extremely long. So, over the summer it was reported in Politico that NYCHA threatened to evict tenants who received free air conditioners through the Get Cool NYC program, which provided thousands of NYCHA residents with free AC units and financial assistance on utility bills. Unless tenants agree to start paying monthly utility costs or asked to have the units removed. NYCHA installed about 16,000 air conditioners under this program. According to the Journal of Urban Health, older adults who participated in the Get Cool NYC Program were less likely to report feeling sick from

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

the heat compared to those who didn't participate and were more likely than their peers to be able to stay home on hot days.

More than 60 percent of air conditioner recipients said the cost of the unit itself was prohibitive and why they had not previously obtained an AC unit. How many NYCHA residents received air conditioners through the Get Cool NYC program?

EVA TRIMBLE: Thank you for that question. I want to clarify. NYCHA's mission is to keep people housed. We did not send out eviction notices to residents. We sent out a notice to residents informing them of the process to enter into an appliance agreement and failure to enter into appliance agreement is something that is a lease enforcement action. However, we did not attempt to evict any residents over the summer and we did extend the timeline for the Get Cool program to the end of October.

I'll have to get back to you on the exact number of residents. I know you said 16,000. I think it was closer 14,000 but we'll get that number for you as well as the number of residents who chose to start

2.2

2.3

paying the \$8 a month appliance fee verse ask to have the air conditioner removed and given back.

That is - all residents that do not pay utility

That is — all residents that do not pay utility bills do have to enter into an appliance agreement for air conditioners so we were just seeking to have party between the Get Cool residents and all of our residents that have air conditioners in their home.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Respectfully I would say that there's an indirect you know implication of eviction or penalty. If you're requiring people to enter into an appliance agreement, that then they would you know, the appliance agreement could then you know cause eviction or cause them to be evicted even though you're not directly evicting them. So, I just want to sort of make that clarification. That indirect eviction is still eviction.

Uhm, okay hold on, you answered a couple of my questions so give me a second here. In June, NYCHA's spokes person Michael Horgan said that coverage of tenants utility costs would end on October 1st. Did this coverage in fact end on October 1st?

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, it did. I will double check. I believe it was November $1^{\rm st}$ but it did end.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay and how much would it have cost for NYCHA to absorb the energy costs of AC units provided through Get Cool NYC?

EVA TRIMBLE: I will get back to you with that number.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Hmm, hmm and sorry, did you say how many NYCHA residents forfeited their AC units as a result of the subsidy ending?

EVA TRIMBLE: No, I don't have that number with me today but we will get you the full breakdown for the Get Cool program.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. What steps is NYCHA taking to ensure that its residents are safe from heatwaves and the temperature effects of climate change?

SHAAN MAVANI: So, I think the major effort we have in that area is our kind of what's called Clean Heat for All challenge, as it's known publicly.

Where we are working to push the private industry to develop products that are energy efficient and provide heating and cooling technology. We currently have an ongoing effort to do that and have committed to buy 30,000 of those units once we work through a pilot process where different manufacturers

construction. We do partner with HPD to facilitate

24

affordable housing development on our sites and

that's through you know our partnership with HPD.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So, do you know what's taken into consideration for the siting of that construction because it's on your property?

SHAAN MAVANI: I think we can follow up and provide that information. There is a process to look at the communities needs around affordable housing and any other specific purpose of those projects that we work through and we can follow up with information on that.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. And then can you describe how older NYCHA residents especially those who are homebound are accounted for during an emergency? Following Hurricane Sandy for example, flooding electrical outages stranded a number of older NYCHA residents in their apartments, which is what Chair Avilés was referring to earlier. Which created dire conditions for those who required prescription refills or that their prescriptions be refrigerated as well a need for fresh food.

EVA TRIMBLE: Yeah, so our Family Partnerships

Division conducts wellness checks with elderly

individuals as well as any individuals that may have

life saving equipment, as long as it's reported to

2.2

2.3

us. So, as part of our annual review process, we do ask for that information so that we have it available

5 in the event of an emergency and so that we can

6 follow up with residents in need to make sure they're

getting whatever help they need during an emergency.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Great, thank you all so much.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Do you have a sense of how many disabled or mobility impaired residents you have?

EVA TRIMBLE: We do have all that information and we can provide that to you and again, it's all self-reported, so we can provide what we have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Great. So, we're in the home stretch here. Just the last straggler is that I was like, I didn't ask but I will. Let's go back to fire. We understand that some security guard staff also act as fire watchers. Uhm, is that correct and how many, I guess how many developments have security staff?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, uhm, the companies that we work with, most the people regard to both fire and

security guards. Most of them are required as a

prerequisite and they have to get special

certifications from the city and the state because it

5 can be interchangeable. Uhm, we have 56 developments

6 where we have the security guards.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Oh, okay just those senior developments we talked about.

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay great. Uhm, and can you describe what the Fire Watcher program is?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, the Fire Guard is supposed to be put on site if one of the fire safety apparatus has been our for four hours or more, like a steam pipe or sprinkler system or a fire panel. And essentially the buildings that it impacts, they're supposed to be doing patrols to make sure that a fire does occur that they immediately notify residents and call FDNY.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it, so in the event of failure of some kind of a mechanics —

EVA TRIMBLE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: They'll take on that role.

24 EVA TRIMBLE: Yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it. Uhm and I think you mentioned this. How does one qualify as a fire watcher?

EVA TRIMBLE: Uhm, they have to take a test from \mbox{FDNY} .

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it. And is it fair to say that all the security guards all have the fire watcher?

EVA TRIMBLE: That I don't know.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay. Uhm, and how is the Fire Watcher program different from the NYCHA Fire Safety unit?

essentially gets the Fire Guards to — we contract that out. We have Fire Guards once we are made aware that a fire safety component has been compromised and is not functioning properly, we try to send the guard as quickly as possible, usually within a couple of hours to the site to make sure that they stand guard 24 hours a day, 7 days a week until the repair has been corrected.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: So, the - I'm so sorry. So, the Fire Watcher is just on standby till the repair comes or till a guard comes?

2 EVA TRIMBLE: So, the fire guard -

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yes.

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: Uhm, is sent to the site once NYCHA is notified that a fire safety apparatus has been compromised.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Right.

EVA TRIMBLE: So, they stay on guard it's usually in shifts of eight hours. If it's at a community or a senior center, it's when the senior center or community center is open. But if it impacts a building at NYCHA, we have usually three shifts of eight hours. So, there's someone constantly there 24 hours a day, seven days a week until we're able to correct the issue.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Got it and are there additional supports needed from the city to improve any of the work of the work of the Fire Safety unit? What could the city do to support that?

EVA TRIMBLE: If above additional funding that would be great if we can get more staff.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Do you hear that Mr. Mayor?

Additional funding. Thank you. In terms of — now switching over to contracting. We understand that sentinel security is an entity that NYCHA contracted

with to perform door repairs. What is NYCHA's current relationship with sentinel security now?

EVA TRIMBLE: Sentinel is not a vendor that rings a bell. I will have to go and check on that for you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay. Uhm, we have here if you could explain why in some instances what should be a minor door repair that might cost \$1,500. If performed in-house, it would cost \$45,000 with a contractor as was in the case of Riis Houses.

EVA TRIMBLE: I'd have to look into that specific example at Riis. I'm not sure the specifics of that or the condition of the door.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: I can't imagine any condition that would cost \$45,000 but we'll talk more.

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay. Uhm, I think in terms of the Mayor's November plan, uhm we understand that from OMB that a PEG removed \$5.8 million in funding from capitally ineligible projects generally funding that supports training of staff on how to make repairs on new capital. Will this reduction mean any loss of training for NYCHA in-house staff on how to repave things like doors?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

EVA TRIMBLE: I'd have to follow up with our Finance Department on that specific budget item. I'm not familiar with that.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay, alright thank you. think with that, I appreciate - oh no, I always do I'm notorious for it. My Red Hook residents this. would kill me if I did not bring this up. Actually, there was a survey of NYCHA residents, I don't know how long ago that said what was the element that made them feel most unsafe in their homes and the number one thing was lighting. And we know that there are sheds everywhere that have been up some for months, some for years in various states and they can't come down because of repair issues on the building. Nevertheless, lighting continues to be a persistent issue. How is NYCHA tracking and responding to that lighting and I will tell you, I have sent several emails about incredibly dark places in NYCHA, not in Red Hook in particular, given all the fencing that is there that remains an issue. How is NYCHA addressing the persistent lighting issues?

EVA TRIMBLE: So, NYCHA has limited resources as you are aware to do major lighting projects similar to as we've talked about with doors and cameras. We

rely on elected official funding of major lighting enhancements at our developments. If it's something that's small scale, an extra light here on a corner, something that we think we can incorporate into our property management work, we will add in a light or two. So it really varies on what the scope of the need is to crack the issue that you're talking about.

As much as possible, we will try to incorporate it through our property management work but otherwise we usually rely on capital funding to support major lighting enhancements on our campuses.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Alright thank you and do you have any agreements with NYPD or DOT to obtain maybe additional equipment that would be needed like those like -

EVA TRIMBLE: We have had in certain instances where we've been working with NYPD and we know there's certain criminal activity going on. NYPD has put in light towers for us and stationed them you know to try to deter that criminal activity. So, we do work closely with NYPD as needed but I know that those light towers are very bright and very disruptive to residents as well.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yeah, for sure. And what's the threshold? Like, how many calls does it take to get an additional light or two? What's the threshold do you use? Because I'm thinking in particular, in many corridors in Red Hook, it is a safety issue 100 percent. It is not a perception of how many calls will it take to get —

EVA TRIMBLE: No, I know it's not a matter of number of calls that we're finally like, fine we'll do it and I've walked -

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Or television, or television spot lights.

EVA TRIMBLE: I've walked Red Hook with Ms.

Glendale(SP?) and I see all those dark spots as well but we really do need funding in order to support major lighting.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Okay, well, I'll certainly follow up around how the uhm, the safety, around how the safety assessment stands and guides the actual spending and the decisions that are made around what gets prioritized in terms of you know this competing need queue of needs that are greater than expenditures. Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry, I have one follow-up

question. Uhm on July 24th of this year, Mayor Adams

and DOB Commissioner Oddo unveiled a plan to remove

unsightly sheds and scaffolding. Do you know if

NYCHA is part of that plan? I believe it's called

Get Sheds Down?

SHAAN MAVANI: Broadly, yes we are you know

involved in those efforts and have had a lot of

discussions with City Hall on what aspects of the plan and the different measures could be applicable in our context.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thanks. Sorry, I have one other question. Uhm, you all just provide such great information that we have all this follow up.

Uhm, it's our understanding that the NYPD cameras use facial recognition technology. Can you confirm whether that is the case?

JAMES SECRETO: No, not at all. No.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: They do not use facial recognition?

JAMES SECRETO: Yeah, I don't like to speak for the NYPD anymore but -

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: But we'll allow you.

2 JAMES SECRETO: There's no facial recognition

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

cameras certainly in NYCHA.

2.2

2.3

EVA TRIMBLE: Yes, not on NYCHA properties, no.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: I think with that, we will follow up on certainly all the elements that require additional follow up but thank you for your time and testimony and your ongoing work. And we will continue to ensure that our residents are safe and that we prioritize you know their health and wellbeing as safety is — ensuring they have their resources that they need.

EVA TRIMBLE: Thank you for your partnership.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you all for your testimony today.

EVA TRIMBLE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll now move on to public testimony. We'll start with testimony from Maryanne Mullany who is representing the Comptroller, the New York City Comptroller. You may begin.

MARYANNE MULLANY: Okay, thank you. Okay, thank you Chair Avilés and Chair Hudson and members of the New York City Council Committee on Public Housing and

Aging for the opportunity to testify on NYCHA's

3 safety infrastructure.

2.2

2.3

A year and a half ago, my office kicked off an engagement effort to hear directly from NYCHA residents about the most pressing issues in their developments by attending family days, hosting roundtables across the five boroughs, and collecting hundreds of surveys. Many residents flagged significant security concerns including chronic issues with broken doors and locks. In response, over 100 auditors took to the field and visited every NYCHA development and checked the physical condition of doors and locks in August and September of 2022.

Auditors visited 262 developments and were alarmed to find the following: 36.8 percent of residential building entrance doors were propped open or unlocked, 40.1 percent of entrance door locks were broken, 37.5 percent of rear side doors were propped open or unlocked, 28.5 percent of rear or side door locks were broken.

The results of this review in 2022 found conditions much worse than in 2018, when the Comptroller's auditors undertook a similar review.

Between 2018 and 2022, the number of entrance doors

propped open and/or had broken locks increased dramatically from 23.5 percent in 2018 to 57.9 percent in 2022.

Our 2018 report recommended that NYCHA implement the following recommendations: One, repair or replace damaged exterior doors. Two, regularly inspect all exterior doors and maintain all doors and locks in good working order. And three, ensure that when exterior doors are obstructed or unsecured, maintenance staff are immediately made aware.

The 2022 review resulted in our auditors reissuing many of these recommendations to NYCHA. In March 2023 when NYCHA was asked by the Comptroller's Office for the status of recommendations, they reported that they implemented all of the recommendations. However, our office and other elected officials continue to hear from residents of ongoing and long-standing issues. Our 2022 field review made clear that NYCHA did not fully implement the recommendations made in 2018, and continuing complaints since the 2022 review raise concerns that the problems remain.

For example, as recently as this week, we heard from a resident that most of the doors at Jefferson

2.3

Houses were opened, not secured, and the intercom did not work. We stress that NYCHA must urgently and consistently follow through in implementing these recommendations. While we understand NYCHA's dire financial straits, our office voices our concerns that repairs are not adequately done even when funding is allocated. We also understand the issues extend beyond the doors themselves. Residents inform us that doors are often propped open because replacement keys are too expensive, and we urge NYCHA to make replacements more accessible. However, these worsening results overall do not represent one-off challenges, but a deeper culture of improper maintenance without quality assurance mechanisms.

We urge NYCHA to listen to the voices of many residents who shared the impacts these security issues have on their daily lives, and what it means to live without a sense of security in their own homes. Thank you for your consideration, and our office welcomes any questions or elaboration on our recent field review.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Yes, thank you so much for your patience and sitting through the hearing. I was

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MARYANNE MULLANY: We did not cover that. was really point and time observations of the conditions. So, really just site observations and

curious around if you were able to look at any of the

- if there were any distinctions between contracting

and in-house capacity and any financial implications.

not a full audit of the security.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: No, that's fair and I will say that we heard in testimony today and in response to the Comptrollers Report that those items were cured and we know for a fact that they are not. there is a clear discrepancy and experience around what is happening and how that is getting monitored.

So, I thank the Comptroller's Office for this work and we'll continue to push to get these repairs and improved management and thank you in particular around the replacement, the accessibility of replacement keys and even so far as even mailbox keys in some developments have been difficult for residents to obtain. So, thank you so much for your partnership.

MARYANNE MULLANY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The next in person witness will be Iziah Thompson. With that we'll move on to Zoom testimony. For those wishing to testify on Zoom, you'll again be prompted to unmute yourself and once you're unmuted, you'll be able to — you should be able to just begin.

At this time, we're going to call Areiner Garcia.

Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to call time

before you begin your testimony. We can hear you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

AREINER GARCIA: Alright, uhm, I'm a little nervous but my concern is about seven years ago, I put in several tickets with housing. Then they had informed me that they couldn't take care of the tickets because of the COVID and that was quite understandable but once everything was established and things opened up, I contacted them and it was done. I'm referring to tickets like for child's painting. Now I'm in the you know in the projects already like 15 years and never once have I ever bothered anybody regarding anything.

Uhm, finally after several attempts for my child, they came to the home and the guy says to us after he did a test, "oh, you got asbestos. You have to move

2.2

2.3

out of this apartment immediately." "You cannot stay here. It's no good."

Now, I had my leg amputated so I'm in a wheelchair. I have a daughter that is mildly retarded but she's bed down. She don't do walk or talk or nothing. So, we don't have no men in our lives that can help us, so you know we were at housing, put in your tickets. Put in your tickets.

When I informed the housing office that I had asbestos and the guy that checked it said we have to leave the apartment. My worker, Ms. Cabel, he says, "Oh yes, we're going to send you to a hotel. Are you ready to leave?" I told her yes. This was seven months ago. Nobody did nothing. I reached out again. He said, "no, we're going to get you the hotel." Still nothing.

Then she says that a hotel, a hotel can not a time or date me and my family. Uhm, so I said well put us in an apartment.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.

AREINER GARCIA: I'm still waiting. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Can you state your name for the record?

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH 1 THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 146 2 AREINER GARCIA: Areiner Garcia. 3 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: And what development are you in Areiner? 4 AREINER GARCIA: Breukelen in Canarsie. CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Is there a way to get a 6 7 contact information from Ms. Garcia. Okay. Garcia, we will follow up with you. We thank you for 8 your testimony and I am so sorry that you have had 9 this experience and you're still going through it. 10 11 Thank you for your courage and your testimony and your patience and being here. We will follow up with 12 13 you Ms. Garcia. 14 AREINER GARCIA: Thank you. Okay. 15 CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you. 16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll be moving 17 on to Jean Serviola. Please wait for the Sergeant at 18 Arms to call time before you begin your testimony. 19 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun. 20 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay Jean is no longer

available. Moving on to Rohan Lalla. Please wait for the Sergeant at Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has begun.

21

2.2

2.3

24

ROHAN LALLA: Hi, uhm hello. My name is Rohan Lalla and I am a case manager with University Settlement Project Home, a non-profit organization that offers anti-eviction, and homelessness prevention services, and housing counseling to lowincome residents.

Our organization works with countless NYCHA residents living in Manhattan and Brooklyn who are struggling with housing issues, such as rental disputes and arrears; lack of safety; lack of repairs and safety and infrastructure problems. I'm addressing this body today to request that NYCHA take urgent action to improve its physical conditions which threaten its residents' safety daily.

We primarily work in the Lower East Side, Fort

Greene, and East New York. NYCHA residents across
these neighborhoods are concerned with the state and
upkeep of their buildings: the lights in the hallways
flicker, the elevators are often broken down,
entrance doors don't lock to keep trespassers out.

These conditions gravely imperil tenants, especially
those who are elderly and disabled. NYCHA,
unfortunately, has proven to be unresponsive and
understaffed with regard to repairs and tenant

2.2

2.3

complaints, a situation that should be blamed both on underfunding and mismanagement.

Not only do the state of public and shared spaces in NYCHA make tenants unsafe, so do the conditions in NYCHA's individual units. My colleagues and I have seen NYCHA drag its feet on repairs that threaten health and safety. I have personally interacted with NYCHA residents who live with severe health hazards in their apartments daily. One of my clients who testified today Ms. Garcia, a disabled amputee residing with her two daughters who are both disabled as well, lives in Breukelen Houses in East New York. She has been living in a unit that tested positive for toxic levels of asbestos in September. NYCHA has continually delayed the scheduled repairs and apartment transfers for this family.

Another family in Vladeck Houses in Lower East Side, two elderly retirees, have had severe mold issues in their apartment since September. Again, no action besides inspections have been taken. Photos show the entire ceiling covered in mold, garbage piles right outside of the couple's window, and a severe leak with rancid water leaking through the door.

Τ	THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 149
2	NYCHA has failed to do root cause repairs,
3	forcing the couple to move out of the unit and live
4	with their daughter while still paying rent to the
5	Housing Authority. These are anecdotal cases that I
6	have witnessed and advocated for. Aggregated data
7	details a larger, systemic problem. The Ombudsperso
8	person Call Center, OCC, a court-appointed group
9	formed to assist residents for leak and mold
1,0	complaints that were not being adequately addressed
11	or resolved by NYCHA, has participated in 67,000
12	calls with NYCHA residents since 2019.
13	Between 2022 and 2023, the OCC averaged 234 new
14	mold and leak complaints per month —
15	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired.
16	ROHAN LALLA: Can I finish my sentence? 50
17	percent of which were classified as severe
18	conditions.
19	CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Do you have remaining
20	testimony?
21	ROHAN LALLA: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Would you like to complete
23	it?

24 ROHAN LALLA: Yes.

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Feel free.

2.2

2.3

opened.

ROHAN LALLA: The average days to resolve a resident-reported complaints to the OCC, again, 50 percent of which were severe conditions, ranged from 168 days to 224 days. This was caused by recurrence failures, or inability to meet scheduled appointments, and craftsmanship, where NYCHA contractors and vendors failed to conduct root cause repairs, making it so that tickets had to be re-

NYCHA residents are among the most vulnerable populations in New York City. The majority of our clients are from low-income, minority tenants who receive public benefits. They form the constituency of many of the electeds sitting on this Committee, and they deserve better than what they are being given right now.

Thank you for your time, and I am happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you so much Mr. Lalla.

And I hope you will be submitting your testimony
electronically. Thank you for your advocacy on
behalf of tenants. We continue to see this
persistence, combination of funding and mismanagement
and we hope to follow up with Mr. Garcia and

2.2

2.3

potentially with your other client, so thank you so
much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: So, we'll now go to Iziah Thompson.

IZIAH THOMPSON: Good afternoon, okay. Uhm, thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Iziah Thompson. I am a Senior Policy Analyst at the Community Service Society for New York. So every year we do a survey of New Yorkers with a sort of emphasis on the issues that affect low-income New Yorkers. Last year, we carried out the survey and we found that in 2022, more than half of NYCHA residents said that properly working exterior door locks, intercoms and buzzers were a concern.

We compared this across various housing types and found that access to a safe and secure dwelling is a strictly public housing issue in New York. Public housing residents were 2.5 times more likely than rent regulated households to have issues with exterior doors. More than four times more likely than market rate households and 12 times more likely than homeowners.

So, this data matches with the on the ground reality that we've seen. In the past year I have

visited public housing developments in the Bronx,

Queens, Manhattan, and Brooklyn, and have

consistently found doors ajar, broken, and in

disrepair. I've attended multiple resident

with contractors used in the past.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

association meetings where residents describe a situation where NYCHA spends money on new doors, only to have outsiders break them so they no longer close. This occurs again and again across the city and in none of these cases were more modern resilient doors installed, despite the fact that residents have complained about the poor quality and deficiencies

While it is disconcerting to see, we can understand that the modernization of heating and replacing old pipes and roofs are really capitalintensive fixes that we continue to call for more funding for but it's beyond our understanding why the basic provision of a protected entry and exit way can't be maintained for public housing residents.

Thank you again for the opportunity for us to share what we've learned and we will do the survey again this year and hopefully this attention will show different results. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Thank you so much Iziah.

_

Thank you for your work and I think like with the Comptroller, it kind of defies logic and understanding to hear that all these issues were addressed that were pointed out and yet the reality as you probably can step onto any NYCHA campus and find broken doors and doors ajar. So there is an enormous amount of work to be done and thank you for your partnership.

IZIAH THOMPSON: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We'll ask one more time if there's anyone on Zoom that wishes to testify.

Please indicate by raising, using the raise hand function. If there's anyone in person that would like to testify, please indicate by coming up to the dais or coming up to the table upfront or approaching the Sergeants. Otherwise, seeing there are no, we'll end public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÈS: Great. So, in closing, throughout this hearing, we once again have heard how budget shortfalls have led to poor conditions for public housing residents in NYCHA despite the trend of disinvestment has also made some short strides in improvement. And while I applaud these measures, it

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

is clear that NYCHA still has a long way to go to improving the management structure that continues to

put residents in harms way.

Safety and security is a basic human right and our NYCHA residents are left to suffer the indignities of multiple systemic failures and we as leaders and agency employees must step up for them.

I encourage NYCHA to take a hard look at the recommendations made by the Federal Monitor and other agencies. The reality is pointed out by countless number of residents and advocates and consider what was said in this room today and take a second look at how it might begin to improve the safety conditions for our residents in Ernest and in particular our most treasured assets are seniors.

We thank you. And with that, would you like to say something? Okay, and with that, I will close today's hearing. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date DECEMBER 15, 2023