CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

August 27, 2024 Start: 12:48 p.m. Recess: 2:41 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY, COMMITTEE ROOM, 16TH

FLOOR

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Francisco P. Moya
Yusef Salaam
Lynn C. Schulman

APPEARANCES

Richard Lobel, Sheldon Lobel PC

Valon Pilku

Nicholas Liberis, Archimaera

Kevin Williams

Karen Blondell, founder of Public Housing and Civic Association

Shirley Gilyard

Tyrell Gibbs

Marcella Williams

Frances Brown, Resident Leader of Red Hook East

Marjorie Booth

Tiffiney Davis

Father Eamon Murray

Frank Chaney, land use attorney with the law firm Rosenberg and Estis

Demetrius Drogades

Fayanne Betan

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Check, check. This is a microphone check for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises on the 16th Floor recorded on the 27th of August 2024 by Patrick Kurzyna.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

At this time, we ask that you silence all electronic devices and at no time is anyone to approach the dais.

If you have any questions during the hearing, please see the Sergeant-at-Arms.

Chair, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Good morning, everyone, and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of this Subcommittee. Today, I'm joined by Council Members Hanks, Abreu, Carr, Avilés, and remotely by Council Member Moya.

Today, we are scheduled to hold three hearings. The first hearing concerns LU 137 for a project known as 150 Mill Street. The second hearing concerns LUs 138 and 139 for a project known as 31-17

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 12th Street Rezoning. The third and last hearing
3 concerns LUs 140 and 141 for a project known as 250
4 86th Street.

This meeting is being held in a hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or on Zoom. Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up and, for those of you here in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

Members of the public may also view a live stream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony

2.2

2.3

you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have the written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing in person please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU numbers or the

We request that the witnesses join us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

project name in the subject line of your email.

Lastly for everyone attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing records.

I will now open the first public hearing on LUs 137 relating to the 150 Mill Street rezoning proposal in Council Member Avilés' District in Red

2 Hook Brooklyn. The proposal consists of rezoning a

3 manufacturing area from M1-1 to M1-5 to create

4 primarily new office space. The proposed site is

5 located within the Southwest Brooklyn Industrial

6 Business Zone.

2.2

2.3

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/land use and, once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speaker's card. If you would like to prepare to submit a written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

We've been joined by Council Member

Schulman, and I would like to give the floor to

Council Member Avilés to give her remarks for this

project.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so much
Chair. Good morning. I've been here for hours. Good
morning, everyone. Good to see you. Again, thank you,
Chair, and thank you to Members of the Committee who
are here today. I am Council Member Alexa Avilés here

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7 2 to discuss the 150 Mill rezoning application. The 3 application proposes a zoning map amendment from an 4 M1-1 to an M1-5 to facilitate the development of a 5 new 10-story commercial building in Red Hook Community District 6 Brooklyn. The development site 6 7 falls within the Southwest Brooklyn Industrial 8 Business Zone, the IBZ. While the application seeks a higher density industrial zoning designation, the development proposal as filed in the official 10 11 documents with the Department of City Planning 12 describes an entirely commercial and so-called 13 community facility building with very little space 14 for industrial or manufacturing uses. The proposed 15 M1-5 does not require or incentivize industrial 16 space. From the start, unfortunately, the applicant 17 never sought to use tools specifically geared for 18 industrial manufacturing. I'm dedicated to the growth 19 and the preservation of the industrial sector and 20 industrial jobs in District 38. Despite the historic 21 decline of manufacturing sector in New York City, the 2.2 larger industrial sector remains an important source 2.3 of middle-wage jobs with low barriers to entry. According to an analysis by DCP, 33 percent of all 24 New York City jobs at least pay 50,000 dollars and

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 are available to those without a college degree and 3 are in the industrial sector. Because this property 4 falls within the industrial business zone, we must see a strong credible commitment to industrial use. I'm passionate about keeping the character and 6 7 function of the IBZ alive as this is a major land use 8 principle I use to guide development in our District. This sentiment has been evident throughout the application process and made clear to all parties 10 11 involved. While I appreciate the involvement 12 throughout the ULURP process, I feel this application falls short of a credible commitment to an industrial 13 14 use while falling within the IBZ and the heart of 15 industrial businesses. I do not wish to see the 16 integrity of the IBZ compromised. Mapping an M1-5 17 district here without a concrete and credible plan to 18 preserve and grow industrial jobs could set a 19 precedent for commercial conversion of this entire 20 portion of the IBZ. As the Borough President's 21 recommendation for disapproval states, without a 2.2 viable mechanism to hold the applicant to a 2.3 commitment that maintains industrial character and uses, the proposed zoning change introduces 24 flexibility contrary to the broader goals of the IBZ.

this item which consists of Richard Lobel, Will

Pilku, and Nick Liberis.

24

Good morning/afternoon. We're here today to discuss

2.2

2.3

the 150 Mill Street rezoning. This rezoning has been in process for close to four years and Willie and the entire applicant team has really worked diligently to create a coalition which resulted in a 28 to 2 vote in favor of this application at Community Board 6, no easy feat. In addition to which we have

representatives from powerful community organizations in the room with us today who I know will provide testimony and support.

With regards to the land use rationale of the application, the next slide, here's a description of the application. This is a proposed zoning map amendment which would change an existing M1-1 district to an M1-5 district, and we'll go through some of the maps and photos to demonstrate why we think that there's a strong land use rationale here, and then Nick Liberis, the project architect, will go through some plans and materials to show the illustrative plans. This rezoning would cover the entirety of six lots and portions of six other lots, constituting roughly four zoning lots, the development site, two adjacent lots and a gas station. Right here on our development site, this would facilitate the development of a roughly 10-

something at the site which would be binding and require the applicant to allow for the requisite light manufacturing uses.

declaration but we did draft that, send it to the

Council, and are confident that we could record

18

19

2.3

24

25

The next slide demonstrates some of the community benefits which have been the subject of frequent conversations with the Community Board and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

other stakeholders. There's a supermarket proposed for the ground floor of the site which would provide access to fresh and affordable groceries. One of the things that kind of compelled us to move forward with the food market and a fresh store is our conversation with the community when they demonstrated that there were not good options in the area for produce, for fresh foods, etc., and so the opportunity to provide that in this expanded building was very important to the applicant and to the community in general. There were lengthy conversations about the lack of fresh options, about the sad state of produce in nearby supermarkets, and it was something which really compelled our action here. There would also be community space for local non-profits as is recorded in the community benefits agreement. There would be meeting rooms for local non-profits to collaborate and serve the community. There would be light industrial space. Again, this is mentioned in the community benefits agreement and would also be in the restrictive declaration with regards to small scale manufacturing and production space. There's maker space which would empower local individuals in kind of smaller, tailored space to allow for creative and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

14

2 entrepreneurial work, provide for innovation and

3 craftsmanship within the local community, and then

4 | there also, importantly, would be job training. This

5 was an important aspect of the application as was

6 requested by local non-profit organizations which

7 | would allow for classroom space for job training

8 programs, something which is absent from the

9 surrounding area.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

The next slide is a zoning map which kind of gives an overview. We'll go into the area map which is a little bit more detailed, but you can see generally speaking that to the north northeast on the second map and to the west there are residential districts which abound here, including the Red Hook houses which is the largest NYCHA development in Brooklyn.

To the east here, next slide, please, you can see to the east, the southeast, and the south are M districts, manufacturing districts, specifically as we are located along the Gowanus Expressway.

The next slide is the tax map which shows the specific delineation, again, with these four zoning lots included. Although there are portions of

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 other lots, it's really these four sites, including 3 the applicant site in red, 9,900 square feet.

The next slide is the area map which I think really tells the story of why this rezoning is important and not just one which is elective. You've got an area where you have a dense residential population, and the idea here of the opportunity to build commercial uses, light manufacturing uses, job generation is something which is extremely important as has been expressed both by the Commission and by the City Council. This allows for walk-to-work opportunities. It's the next slide, if you can just advance that to the area map. Thank you. You can see the dense residential, specifically the Red Hook houses to the west. We are creating walk-to-work opportunities in an area where it is appropriate. The existing M1-1 district at the site, which has existed for over 60 years, has produced what M1-1 districts produce, which is low job-generating manufacturing uses/open uses, and M1-1 use has a 1 FAR or a relatively small floor area, high parking requirement. It results in what the applicant site is now used for and has been used for for 20 years, which is a scaffolding business on an open site. This

2.2

2.3

location.

is doing no good for anybody. The fact that Community Board 6 so strongly came out in favor of this, and really the request of the applicant was that we continue along on our memorandum of understanding and sign a community benefits agreement, really indicates how this site is just poorly utilized and is underutilized for the opportunity that presents here. You're basically adjacent to the Gowanus Expressway. The area, given transportation, the South 9th Street Station can easily handle the additional bulk that is proposed at the site and is really, for those attending the Community Board meeting, was welcome at the site to put a building of this nature in this

The slides which are after this demonstrate pictures of the surrounding area. Again, the looming expressway immediately adjacent to the property as well as the open nature of the existing site.

After the photos, there's a copy of the zoning change map, which, again, shows the minor change highlighted in black on the right, which would create the M1-5 here. While this zoning change is minor in terms of the map, it is major in terms of

2 the hopes and desires of this community. Again, this

3 is an applicant who's really gone out of its way with

4 | numerous meetings in the local area, outreach, and

5 other communications to try to build a coalition

6 here, which is what we've got. It's very

7 disheartening to face the prospect of a loss of this

8 potential building, which really engages so much of

9 the community and really has invested much of the

10 hope in the surrounding area, because basically, I

11 | think the applicant here, in good faith, has talked

12 | to everybody and has really tried to get everyone on

13 board.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

I think with that, I would hand the mic over to Nick Liberis, our project architect, who can talk a little bit about what might be possible at the site, given the proposed rezoning.

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: All right. Thank you,
Rich. Nick with Archimaera. So, in 2018, Willie came
to me, and the question that he had was, where can we
put a good office space, and he and I were both
looking for office space, and we had some friends
that are subs that we all use that were also looking
for office space so we looked around, and he showed
me this piece of land that's been in his family for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many, many years, and I thought, you know, maybe this is a good spot so we went to DCP, and the first question DCP asked us was, how high do you think that we should go, because apparently, they had been thinking about this entire strip for some time so they were thinking that M1 and M1-5 was completely appropriate for this entire strip, and this was maybe like late 2018. So we said, okay, fine. This is what we'll do. So, we went to Menchaca, and everything that we had done with him is everything that you see here. So there was a big emphasis on making sure that we had reached out to the community. Out of maybe 20 applications that I've worked on like over the past however many years, this one stands out as it has the most outreach, it had the most, like the most earnest outreach, and we've gone to great lengths to really do something which is really, finally, like, catering to what everybody asked for. So, to that end, we have a supermarket that's on the ground floor. We have this incredible jobs-training program. We have all of this community space, which is on the second floor also. So I'm just going to walk you guys through quickly what this thing looks like as of right now, and then I'll kick it back.

2.2

2.3

2 This slide just shows what we propose.

The ground floor has to deal with the fact that we're right next to the highway so the highway goes about almost 50 feet over here. What we did was that we end up shielding the front of the building from the highway, and then we end up with some more normative office space up above. So you can see that the building tops out at about 145 feet. We have 10 floors, and it's currently contemplated as being office space from the third floor to the tenth floor. Most of it is actually spoken for so this is not like a speculative building. This is something where people will be ready to move in very, very quickly. Next slide, please.

One other very significant site

consideration is the fact that you are right down the

street from this 9th Street stop, and you can see

that like there's this terminus to this access going

down Mill that kind of passes underneath the highway

so, thank you so we have this condition where we have

the office space, which has to be shielded from the

highway, and then we have something where we could

make some sort of urbanistic mark. We reached out to

DOT, and it turned out that this pathway over here is

2 actually something which is contemplated as being

3 part of the future improvement for the area so we

4 thought this is great, we can have like this brand-

new sidewalk, this nice thing that kind of leads into 5

the building so the focus was both on making this 6

7 thing pop from underneath the highway and also

dealing with the highway for the program up above.

Next slide, please.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have something which we think very, very strongly anchors the corner, starts something that we hope proliferates up and down the street, you know, which is to say that there will be retail fronting the street. You'll have some care and some consideration as to how this thing works with the street and adds to the neighborhood also. You can see here that we have the building poking up above the highway. At one point, we had something which was much more polychrome, but we were getting advice to tone it down just because it may be too distracting because this is right up against the highway. Next slide, please.

This is Mill Street. This is looking southeast. You could see that we have the supermarket program here. The way it's been set up, we have entry

2.2

2.3

please.

and exit off of the corner and off of Mill because we have an extra-wide sidewalk over here. There's a bus station which is directly to the south of us, and then we have this very nice, almost like 20-foot-wide sidewalk that then goes out even further so it's very, very safe, you know, very, very well set up to receive a lot of people coming in and out. Then, off of Hamilton, you could see kind of in the distance, you have the entry to the office spaces. Next slide,

This is the office spaces up above. Next slide, please.

Okay. This is a cellar floor plan. Right now, the supermarket gets much of the cellar, and then everything else will be storage space for the offices, bike parking, stuff like this. Next slide.

Okay, so this is the ground floor. This is where we have, you can see the entry and the exit to the supermarket. Right now, this is an illustrative plan, and there's a tenant who has signed an LOI. Typically, what happens with these projects is that we do (INAUDIBLE) for these projects, and then we will work with whoever they hire as an interior designer to kind of make this

2.2

2.3

what they want so this was something that we had done based on some other supermarket plans that we've done in the past so, you know, I think this would work, but I can't really attest to the final positions of these entries and exits, but it will be somewhere on this thicker sidewalk piece that you see over here on the right side of the screen. One other thing that we've done is that we've put in a pretty significant freight, and this is both to service the supermarket and also to service the second floor uses, which are contemplated as being a mix of light manufacturing and also community facility. Next slide, please.

The second floor, on this floor, this is where we have all of the space which has been deeded to the community. At the top right in this shaded area here, we have a space which has been contemplated as being a shared conference space/gathering spot that can be booked by the community, and then next to it, we have this classroom which has been configured so that it could flexibly work also as a woodshop workshop type of use. What's happened in the past few months is that the same entity has now spoken for both of these spaces so we would probably make it more flexibly

2 laid out so it could be configured in a way that could take advantage of the whole space because it's 3 4 a pretty long space. So we have that use, and then 5 the second use is this crosshatched use that's right next to the dark shaded use and also below it, and 6 7 this is what has been contemplated as being the light manufacturing. Like Rich said, we would be into 8 signing something that would restrict the use for this space, and the square footage which has been 10 11 dedicated to this is proportionally very, very similar to other stuff which has been approved in the 12 13 neighborhood recently so I think, from what you're 14 saying, Council Member, I think that we kind of fall 15 into this kind of trap where we have a small site. 16 The site is about 10,000 square feet so it could be 17 difficult to give the amount of space that might be 18 wanted across an entire neighborhood with such a 19 small site, but I think that through these other 20 rezonings, you should be able to get what you guys 21 need just in terms of the square footage. It's very, very difficult on such a small site to fit everything 2.2 in there. This is the third floor, and this is the 2.3 typical third through fifth floor. You can see that 24 we have balconies here facing south so this is also 25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

kick it back. Thanks.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Nick. I think to conclude, and then we're happy to answer questions. I think it is something that we can't take for granted that development happens, and Willie and his family, who've had this site for 20 years, they went out and they asked people questions and they asked neighbors questions and they asked what they wanted to see here, and the nature of the conversations at the Red Hook Tenants Association meetings as well as at the Community Board, they listened, and the agreements which have been drawn

community. Thank you for your time, and I'm going to

up, they hold Willie's feet to the fire when it may not even be necessary. I mean, here's someone who's basically not just come to the community in the last one to two years. They've been here for decades, and so this building right now represents a lot because it provides a lot to a lot of people, and to listen to actual conversations from local tenants who couldn't buy fresh food for their families, I think was very meaningful. To even have people who talked about the fact that having a tall building next to the expressway might be a nice thing as shielding some of the local area from some of the effluent and other materials from the expressway was a really nice thing. To hear about how there's local youth, young men who are in the area who don't have jobs and have no opportunity for training, and that this site actually goes out on day one after it's built and provides that space for nonprofits to do that, I think that that was meaningful. So yes, this is in an M1-1 district, and an M1-5 district is requested, and the truth of the matter is there's room for everything here, and we've made very strong efforts and are willing to commit to over 6,000 square feet

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

consistent with the IBZ designation?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

26

24

2 RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, I'm going to start because one of the things that's important, I think, 3 4 in ULURP generally is that people operate in good faith and, when we were in this for maybe six, seven months, we took a meeting with the Industrial 6 7 Development Corporation, and so at some point, if you come to the table, someone has to come back to the 8 table and talk to you. There's other applications that have been approved by this Zoning Subcommittee 10 11 and by the Council, for example, 41 Richard Street, 200 Huntington Street, which sought larger buildings 12 in which the IBZ was involved in. With regards to 41 13 Richard Street, you had a rezoning there, which was 14 15 an M1-1 to an M1-5, much like our rezoning, and the 16 IBZ came out and the IBZ came out strongly in favor 17 of that application. There were no restrictions on 18 that property. It's not located in the IBZ, but the IBZ actually came out and made strong statements in 19 favor on the record saying how important it was for 20 21 them to have this property. The IBZ themselves has an 2.2 interest in that property. They have a grant from the 2.3 EDC to allow them space in that building, so the truth is that we came out to the IBZ. We have 24 25 agreements in place. We gave them the same

2.2

2.3

documentation that they've signed in 200 Huntington Street, almost to the letter, the exact same document that they signed, and they basically said, no, thank you. So the truth of the matter is we do contribute to the central goals of the IBZ in terms of job creation, in terms of training, and also in terms of light manufacturing space, but yes, the building itself needs to have a host of uses, and frankly, the office use here will be harmonious with the light industrial use, will be able to, in some sense, subsidize some of the buildings so that the non-profits and other light industrial potential users can use the space.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: My last question, have you explored other uses at this site with the Southwest Brooklyn Industrial Development Corporation, which assists in overseeing the IBZ?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, we've been exploring uses with them, and we also, interestingly, as they requested, and again, we're happy to provide all of this correspondence to the Zoning Subcommittee, we basically wanted to limit the industrial space in accordance with their request to certain industrial uses, and essentially the email came back yesterday

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

29

2 that they weren't interested because they weren't

3 interested in being a party to the restrictive

4 declaration when they had already served as a party

5 to other restrictive declarations that had been

6 approved for rezonings.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard.

Council Member Avilés?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you. I quess I'll just start by saying the comparison to 40 Richards is actually not a correct comparison, because while that property is not in an IBZ, the majority of that building is actually geared towards industrial manufacturing, so they are actually meeting a mandate that they currently don't have, whereas we have the opposite. We have a building in the industrial business zone who is proposing a 75 to 80 percent usage of office rate with a slight giveaway for light industrial manufacturing, which I still don't know so I think the two examples that you provide are not quite right and slightly disingenuous. So let's talk about has this application changed at all since our first meeting way long ago in '21?

2 RICHARD LOBEL: Nick, do you want to 3 start?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: How so? Can you walk me through the changes?

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: We had the supermarket, and there was a period where you had asked us to look for other uses, so we considered other uses, we went back to the community, and they were very, very adamant about that being the only use so that was something where, even though it may not have like registered, that was something that was deeply considered. Then second floor was without anything, and then as we spoke more and more, we got closer and closer. What always happens is that when we get past DCP, at that point, then you have to kind of firm up these commitments so, at that point, they had to be firmed up. They had to be put on other plans. So at that point, we put everything on the second floor, and then we went back and forth with the South Brooklyn IBZ several times. We met with them, I think, three times, and we discussed uses. We discussed placement of where this stuff would go on the floors, and they were asking us to consider all

2.2

2.3

I'm sorry, I'm hearing a lot of conversation about possibilities, but no concrete terms in terms of actual changes to the proposal. Proposals always included what I would call a mini-mart because it's too small to actually be a supermarket, which was what you went out and promised community members at the beginning of the process. But what are the changes of allocation? We are currently 80 percent commercial use in this space. What actually changed in the proposal from 2021?

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: Two things. Number one, this is actually like a pretty...

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Like in concrete terms. Can you tell me space allocations?

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: Yeah. It's all on that second floor, basically. So the second floor has been re-set-up. We have this very large freight elevator, which was put in. We have the inclusion of this second part of this second floor, whereas before, we

think we had three. We had three. We have, how many

2 LOIs? We have one that was signed that I think, so 3 Met Fresh is the one that we're going with.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Right. It's the LOI saying, I met with you. We had a nice conversation.

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: No, no, no. We've done significant outreach, because part of what makes us a lot different than other applications that I've seen is that we have somebody who really isn't a developer. This guy owns this scaffolding business so he's not really thinking in that way. He's very into giving back to the community so these all have been very real conversations.

submitted concrete proposals from, the LOI that I saw was just a recording of a conversation with one person from Met Fresh. It was not a substantive proposal around what a supermarket would entail and price points, the things that our community deserves, right? A real meaningful proposal, not a we met and this was a great conversation.

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: Well, I mean, I'm just seeing this right now. This looks like a pretty

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

standard LOI. I don't see any pricing info so there
should be pricing info in there.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Let's take a step back. Tell me why did you decide to propose an M1-5 for this location?

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, I can start. The choice of zoning districts during the course of the application was from an existing M1-1, you've got M1-2 and M1-4, which would allow for a 2 FAR for commercial and an M1-3 and M1-5, which would allow for a 5 FAR for commercial. The M1-5 had the added benefit of not requiring parking, which in this area, given that it's so transit rich, nearby subways, two bus lines was not deemed necessary so that was the decision point. The 2 FAR for commercial would not make a meaningful building here and the 5-FAR would allow for the mix of uses as was expressed and desired by the local community. I mean, some of these other projects we've been discussing have serious grants from the EDC and from the City of New York in order to allow for their feasibility. This is not, you know, essentially the office space which is being used here is in essence cross-subsidizing non-profit space, the fresh food market as well as other uses

2.2

2.3

work.

within the building so that's the square footage that
was chosen in order to allow for this building to

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: How can we be sure that an M1-5 district is appropriate for this part of the IBZ without a more comprehensive plan? As you know, I stated in my testimony, the City will be engaging in a comprehensive plan and particularly looking at the IBZs.

RICHARD LOBEL: Understood. So you've got really two aspects of the application where you have to satisfy the City and the City Council. There's the land use perspective and then there's the public hearings and the community perspective. From a land use perspective, as is demonstrated by the vote of City Planning and the density in the area, there's no doubt that an M1-5 works at this site. It produces a 10-story building which basically clears the expressway. There's other, you know, the other buildings in the area. This is not an area of low density. There's commonly six- to eight-story buildings within the area of the buildings, including in the Red Hook area so really what you're looking at is whether or not the M1-5 is appropriate for this

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

area in terms of public perception and in terms of the public discussion. The square footage that was chosen for this building, as Nick said, it's not a huge building and it's not a huge site. The site itself is 9,900 square feet, so the opportunity here, the 64,000 square feet, would allow for the exact mix of food store, which, Council Member, I know you're going to hear other testimony here as far as local neighborhood residents are concerned. There was never a question about the quality of the food store that would be coming in here. Met Fresh is an applicant who we've brought through other applications before the City, who has received tax incentives from the EDC for purposes of fresh applications, who has multiple locations throughout Brooklyn, so this is not a, you know, it is a real applicant here, and I think probably what we would convey to you is that we're not getting out of this deal, nor do we want to be out of this deal. Like the CBA right now, there's experienced counsel on the other side that is finalizing the CBA. The restricted declaration, which was offered to the IDC, was a binding agreement of the same form that they have already signed in other deals.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Richard, is there 2 3 any such thing in this circumstance that is actually a binding agreement? If Mr. Pilku decides to sell 4 this property two days from now, is there any such thing as a binding agreement? 6 RICHARD LOBEL: I think there's two. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yes or no? 8

RICHARD LOBEL: It's yes in two parts.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: (INAUDIBLE)

RICHARD LOBEL: The first is that I can include legal terms...

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: There is no binding agreement here.

RICHARD LOBEL: I can include legal terms in a restricted declaration and in a CBA that is recorded against a property that is binding on the applicant.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Who is going to hold that restrictive declaration? There's no entity on the other side.

RICHARD LOBEL: The Public Housing Civic Association is on the other side of the CBA, and they are funded, they receive, they basically are ensured that they will have legal counsel.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Richard.

RICHARD LOBEL: Yes, but there's another point to this, Council Member Avilés, which is you have to, this is a Body which is conducting a factual hearing and accepting testimony, and you can look at my applicant and see whether or not this is some sort of ploy. I mean I don't know how Willie would be involved and given this level of care and concern and have people come out and support him if he didn't mean what he said so, yes, there's documents and there's CBAs, and frankly, when I talk to community boards, they tell me that the best CBAs are the ones you stick in a drawer and never see again because you know you trust your guy and we trust our guy.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: And CBAs are not legally binding, right?

RICHARD LOBEL: That is an incorrect statement. You are wrong.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay. Okay. We'll see whatever this agreement is.

RICHARD LOBEL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Much like the Met Fresh LOI, which said much of nothing. I know you have seen a good number of CBA documents that were

2.2

2.3

Fresh, we can give you better documentation.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Let's go back to
the SBIDC reluctance around the M1-5 zoning. You

the SBIDC reluctance around the M1-5 zoning. You noted that, my understanding is they never committed to signing any CBA that would have to go to their board. However, they did have a good number of conversations, some of which I was privy to and some which you had independently. I think it's important to note that there were never commitments there, and the two examples that you noted earlier are quite distinct and very different, and thing that this proposal has often been described as is skeptical.

Not skeptical, excuse me. Oh, I'm losing my words.

I'm going to leave that point.

RICHARD LOBEL: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: And I will get back to it. But if you would describe to me how this proposal enriches the goal for industrial manufacturing.

RICHARD LOBEL: The current Select Uses
Industrial Space Agreement, as was forwarded to the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council, has a binding commitment for 6,800 square feet that would be used in this building and, so to the extent that, call it, you know, rounding to 7,000 square feet, to the extent that not only that, which is committed to light manufacturing uses. By the way, we have an applicant here who has a business which is considered under current zoning or under prior zoning Use Group 16, which itself is a light manufacturing use in a scaffolding establishment. But taking that aside, there's 6,800 square feet that are committed. And by the way, that commitment is recorded against the property and basically is, you know, as we've discussed, binding on the applicant. So, it's not just that. It's also job training space. And there was a lot of conversation at the Community Board where there's questions as to what the commitment has been in the area to providing that space so if that is an important part and parcel of the application to allow for local youth to be trained in these light manufacturing jobs, then this is an important aspect of the proposal, and it exceeds the opportunity just to provide light manufacturing space. It provides the opportunity to train people in that use so that you're sending them out into the workforce and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

41

they're better able to stay within Red Hook to work
in manufacturing jobs and to be able to prosper.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So in terms of, I realize we're burning through time and my brain is so slow today. Thank you. In terms of, I think the word that I was trying to use earlier, which I said skeptical, which it was not, was speculative, that this proposal as described by DCP was speculative, and the reason why that word came up over and over again, and certainly this is not at all to suggest, Mr. Pilku, I know you're in this and you're fighting for this property to do an office space building that you imagined long ago. My role here is to protect and ensure accountability and guard an industrial business zone that is being eaten at by uses that do not conform, right? We are seeing this on a daily basis. In terms of the, it's clear that you have engaged and had conversations with many residents who are here today and others whom you have not. Have you talked to all the adjacent properties about your plans and impacts?

VALON PILKU: Yes, I've had conversations with the neighboring properties.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Anyone else?

VALON PILKU: There's only two additional

neighbors. One is a gas station that's been a gas

sanitation business where he is.

2.2

VALON PILKU: Yeah, it's been there for a

while, but it was owned privately as the owner of the

24

single neighbor and this is like within...

or six.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Let's move on. I
3 think we spoke to four out of the six.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I think my point is there is a school that would be deeply impacted, and you haven't had a conversation with them in the four years.

VALON PILKU: It's true.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, and that's my point, and I think you're saying you didn't, and that's okay, and now we know.

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: I think the point that he was making, though, too, was that we've actually been, this is our, I think, seventh year doing this because of the switch from Carlos. When you came in, we had to start over, basically, so I think that's where that comes from because I think back then it was not a school.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay, the timeframe continues to change. The point remains the same.

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: We haven't (INAUDIBLE)

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: There is a school

there who's been there more than four years that you

have not had a conversation with that houses three

NICHOLAS LIBERIS: Let's go.

Member Avilés wants to finish her questioning or

aspect of the rezoning process. But having said that,
you know, given the size of the building, the
construction impact is relatively limited in terms of
duration. It falls under what's called a significant
impact sort of threshold for evaluation by
Environmental Assessment Review Division, but we do
consider that. Of course, there are in place

Department of Building requirements related to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vibration and noise exposure for construction term impacts. In addition, I would note, given the texture of this building and the adjacency to this type of community facility, whether it's a nearby church or whether it's a daycare, the type of uses proposed are probably more commensurate and the quality of the building is of higher quality. You know, you're not going to have fugitive dust from an open lot. You're going to have a building where there's availability to get food for teachers and staff, and you're going to have a professional office building that also has a combination of community facilities, training center, grocery store, manufacturing, and offices, all which are probably more compatible than an M type of industrial use that's currently present, you know, on the adjacent streets. The other thing I would say is, just in terms of impact and land use, you know, what I'd like you to consider is what's happening in the Southwest IBZ in the section of the Brooklyn Waterfront Park area, where New York City Economic Development Corporation has been engaged in a longterm plan to redevelop the waterfront, similarly zoned M1-1, M1-2, from a long dilapidated waterfront into a mixed-use type of environment that engenders

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not sort of Euclidean zoned types of land uses, but mixed uses that combine community uses, job training, maker hub space, commercial offices, all of which work together, reduce vehicle miles traveled, reduce unnecessary trips, and also the buildings are of higher quality. They're flood resilient. There's an environmental cleanup that's required here. They're required to be vibration and noise resistant. All of that is afforded by the movement from M1-1 to M1-5. The last thing I would like to note is there's nothing in the M1-1 except a lot of old regulations that I do not believe are helping to transition this corridor to a modern, integrated, commercial, industrial mixed-use corridor, and I don't think that this corridor, given the overpass, the access to the site, is particularly well-suited to heavy industrial of a significant amount. The amount that's proposed, and given the access limitations of this site, better serve as a type of mixed-use as proposed. If you look at development along the stretch of the corridor, gas station, partially used warehouse, a multi-story residential that's non-compliant. There's not been any redevelopment, any investment, any sidewalk improvements, any crosswalk improvements, any

2.2

2.3

station...

neighborhood benefit provided by this zone in this place, and so I think if you follow what EDC is doing along this corridor, along what they're doing on the Brooklyn waterfront, that this zone, and I get your concerns about the free range of uses, but I would note this does not displace any of the uses you could currently put on that site right now. Office, gas

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry to cut you off, Will. Sorry to cut you off.

Council Member, if we could just do two more questions real quick.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you. Thank you so much. I appreciate you pointing out, oh, you disappeared. I appreciate you pointing out. The question around the neighbors and the construction impacts were certainly not what, not in terms of the illustrative potential for future uses, but just construction impacts and how it would affect children on the site, and I would agree with you. I would not put a school there, but it is there, and they are neighbors, and they must be considered in that. Certainly, if it was my two-year-old, I'd be very concerned, right? So it really is a matter of just

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 the present conversations with your current

3 neighbors.

2.2

2.3

I guess the last thing, I guess I'd like to know in terms of, I mean, is it true for the record that if this property were sold, none of these commitments would mean anything?

RICHARD LOBEL: No, that is not true.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: That is not true. So if we sold the property tomorrow, the new owner would be binded to what?

RICHARD LOBEL: Correct. So there's provisions and agreements which would be recorded prior to the time of the Council's vote which would dictate that upon a change in control, they would be bound by the terms of the current agreements so, upon a change in control, these regulations would remain in effect.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Okay, and then lastly, what are the community groups that you are working with and supporting this application, and have you made any financial contributions, Mr. Pilku, to any of those groups?

RICHARD LOBEL: Well, I'm just going to start with the non-profit with representatives here

2.2

2.3

RICHARD LOBEL: And I think that there's representatives here who can have a further discussion about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: They will tell me all about it.

RICHARD LOBEL: I know, and I'd also just mention that there's financial commitments in the CBA to allow them to administer the CBA, but there's been no present...

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Mr. Pilku, have you made any financial contributions over the last two years to any of these entities?

VALON PILKU: I don't recall at the moment. Maybe if they had a fundraiser on a page, I've maybe donated a minimal, nothing specific to this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Has anyone involved in these conversations been paid as a consultant?

RICHARD LOBEL: Well, I would tell you,

Council Member, that as a matter of course, in

negotiations of a CBA for a non-profit who has not

yet entered into agreement and may not enter into an

agreement, there is a third-party agreement where we

VALON PILKU: Not more than 1,800 dollars.

agreement.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. I just want
3 to be clear. This agreement would not be conditioned
4 by the Council's approval.

RICHARD LOBEL: That's correct. Due to limitations in terms of contract zoning, the Council can't take an active role so it would not be conditional by the Council approval, but it would be entered into prior to the time of any vote.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You are excused.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair.

members of public here to testify, please note that the witnesses will generally be called in panels of three. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when the Chair says that you may speak, which is myself. Please also note that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony, if remotely you will be removed from the meeting as a group, the next group of speakers will be introduced. Once removed,

2.2

2.3

and Wyckoff Houses. That was from the City of New

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

61

1

2 York because I was able to build a coalition of public housing residents. That money goes towards 3 4 their bathrooms and kitchens. Red Hook was built way before that. As a part of 150, and what I see at 150 5 Mill Street, right across the street is a central 6 7 heating plant that was built by FEMA. It is about 10 to 12 stories tall, and it's supposed to take all of 8 the heat or (INAUDIBLE) all of the heat across Red Hook. One of the things, as a person who's an 10 11 engineer assistant, a 10-story building that abuts 12 the Hamilton Expressway, is not that concerning to me 13 in regards to heights when you have a building 14 adjacent that NYCHA built without a ULURP that's 15 going to have other effects. Secondly, Public Housing and Civic has been running on air, Holy Spirit air. 16 17 Okay. I won a David Prize, and I have not received 18 any money from anybody. As a matter of fact, I 19 haven't even worked in the last eight months because 20 I'm giving back to my community, the Red Hook houses. A part of that is building a workforce corridor. I've 21 2.2 asked the IBZ, as an organizer, since 2016, show me 2.3 the numbers of the people in public housing that you have helped get a job in your IBZ. What kind of jobs 24 are in the IBZ? Oh, that would be Amazon. They don't 25

2 skunks, squirrels, raccoons, and I did the killing of

3 most of them. As soon as you turn around, it was more

4 and more. Nobody did nothing. When you go in the

5 | supermarket to get their food, horrible, horrible. So

6 I feel like they really do need supermarkets because

you've got to walk a mile here and a mile there, and

8 I live in Gowanus. And when I come to Red Hook, I

9 can't find hardly any stores. The little bodegas,

10 they're not that good. They do need definitely a

11 supermarket. Okay. Yes.

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

12 KAREN BLONDELL: Shirley said I can have

13 her time. I just want to...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: It doesn't work like that in here, but I'll give you grace because you came today. Go ahead.

KAREN BLONDELL: Thank you. I also want to say that we're not food insecure. We're a food desert. Red Hook is over 40 acres, just the development. For me to go food shopping, I literally have to take a bus to get to Food Bazaar. I'm in my 60s. I know I look good, but I'm in my 60s. We got construction everywhere. A little more construction is not going to make a big difference in Red Hook for the next 10 to 20 years because that's how long we'll

2 be under construction in Red Hook. We do need office

3 space. We need to level up Red Hook. And this is one

4 of the things that I think we can do to do that. And

5 I do trust Willie. I'm a good judge of characters. I

6 trust Willie.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Ms. Tyrell.

SHIRLEY GILYARD: I just want to say thank

9 you, guys.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You're welcome.

TYRELL GIBBS: Okay. Good afternoon. I

just feel like, others feel like Red Hook is secluded

from everywhere else, and I feel like what's going on

now is nothing but pollution. We have nothing but

drug addict buildings. We have gas stations. We have

sanitation, and all this is doing nothing but

polluting the air. So building this building and

including the supermarket, which will help the

residents that people do not care about, obviously,

and giving job trainings and get these kids off the

street. If these kids are off the street and these

kids are working in job trainings, that's doing

nothing but helping the future and helping the

residents of the area. I feel like people don't even

care about the residents of the area because,

there's a lot of work, including EDC, which we could

want to thank you for being here.

talk about all day long, and we will, because we have a lot of conversations to be had around the City's, in many ways, archaic laws and policies, and the ways people get around all those things and things move forward, and the benefits for our community doesn't materialize in the way they should so we are certainly on the same team here about wanting to do better and get better for our residents because they deserve not to have to take a bus to get fresh food. We deserve a meaningful, real proposal, not the same letter that doesn't say much so I'm with you, and I

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council

Member Avilés, and thank you to the panel for taking
the time to come down here. We really do appreciate
when constituents take the time for projects like
this to come testify. It means a lot so we really
appreciate y'all coming down here.

The next panel we're going to call up is Ms. Marjorie Booth, Francis Brown, and Marcella Williams.

First, we're going to begin with Ms. Marcella Williams.

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MARCELLA WILLIAMS: Good afternoon,
3 everybody.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good afternoon.

MARCELLA WILLIAMS: Well, I've been living in Red Hook for 34 years, a long time.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Speak right into the} \\ \mbox{mic. There we go.}$

MARCELLA WILLIAMS: Yes, good afternoon, everybody. I've been living in Red Hook for 34 years, and I remember when I first came out to Red Hook, there was a bunch of shootings and killings, and you had to hide in your bed. You had to get under your table. Your kids didn't want to go outside to play. And I remember that it was like that for a long time, and we still have a lot of problems out there, because they have no jobs for nobody. The kids is running around. The teenagers are getting high. They're killing one another. In the supermarket, the food over there, you buy some meat, it stinks. You buy some lettuce. In two days, the lettuce are rotten. The cucumbers are soft. You know, it's just a lot going on out there, and we need to improve Red Hook. See, I'm getting personal with this right now, because it's been a long, long time, and why

scared to even go outside sometimes. They need to do

this development, let these people get the jobs, and

let these kids do the right thing. Help, help the

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 neighborhood. Help these young men and children.

Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, Ms. Frances Brown.

FRANCES BROWN: Good afternoon. My name is Frances Brown. I'm the Resident Leader of Red Hook East. I've been living here over 30 years, been working right where, in the middle of development over 25 years, and I want to first say to say, I'm not rented, leased, you know, so as far as the questions about money, no, I'm not rented or lease because I'm gonna speak my mind and I don't care who it is but, since I met these gentlemen here, they kept their word. When they say they're gonna do something, they do it. They follow. And I can't say that about a lot of people because a lot of things in Red Hook is terrible because we don't get no help. Everybody else get what they want. We don't get nothing, nothing at all. I haven't seen Council, whatever, I haven't seen nobody do nothing for Red Hook. I've seen them do it for other developments, other places, but not for Red Hook. I go to Coney Island, have meetings in Coney Island, and the Council and Senators, they awesome. They're awesome

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, I'm going to have Ms. Marjorie Booth.

my grandmother to have to go through this, even

to throw that card in. Thank you, dears.

though I'm a grandmother because I'm over 60, way

over 60, and I know I look good, though. I just had

MARJORIE BOOTH: Good afternoon to the panel. My name is Marjorie Booth, and I've been living out in Red Hook since, I came to Red Hook when I was nine. I'm 69 now. Red Hook used to be a lovely

2.2

2.3

all.

place. We had things, but now we don't have anything. We have to go so far to go shopping. We need a grocery store. I don't want to go in a grocery store, and I get food, meat. It stinks when you're in there. We need better service than what we're getting. We vote these people in. All (INAUDIBLE), we vote in, but they forget about Red Hook. We looked over, and it's not fair to us, for the simple fact is we worked hard, the ones that could work. I worked until I could no longer work. I raised my kids there, but now it's a mess. If we vote y'all in, y'all supposed to help us, not help somewhere else and forget about us. We're the lost community, and it's not fair to us at

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

Council Member Avilés, you want to address this
panel?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Sure. Again, thank you for being here. We know it takes a lot to come all the way down here and wait so I appreciate you. I guess I just want to directly point out that there was no insinuation that anyone was purchased. It was a direct question that has come from numerous community members. For the record, to lay facts on

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I'm going to give the same message. We really appreciate you ladies coming out, making the time, and we heard your concerns so thank you so much.

You can leave that there. We really don't have the witness panel ask us questions. If you want, you can speak with her right after this.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

The next panel I'm going to call up is

online. It's going to be Tiffany Davis and Johannes

Siegert. Excuse me if I mispronounce your name.

We'll begin first with Ms. Tiffany Davis.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ms. Davis, if you can hear me, you may begin.

TIFFINEY DAVIS: Hello?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Ms. Davis.

TIFFINEY DAVIS: I'm sorry. I'm currently in program with my students, so please forgive me.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem.

heard everyone. Thank you, guys, for inviting me and allowing me to speak today. I do want to just say that when this project first was brought to my attention, I am very in tune to making sure that our community has space. That's the one thing that we are lacking. As you guys know, we work with young people, but as our young people age out of programming, all the non-profits here, trying to make sure they have another space that they can go to so they can do job readiness, job training, and being able to lock in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the different careers that they would like, whether that's in their community or outside of their community, but also just need a safe space so that we can expand off of the work that we've already been doing in the neighborhood. I think that we have amazing youth programs. We have amazing leaders and stakeholders in the community, and I just want to see more done when it comes to our young adults. As you guys know, between the ages of 20 to about 30, that's the age that we are forgetting about, and they need other spaces other than just being outside in the parks and playing basketball, just really looking for a safe, vibrant space, and I think that 150 Mill Street can be supportive with this space. We're just making sure we're holding everyone accountable, and we're getting the things that we do need that's going to benefit Red Hook. As me being a Red Hook resident, my first apartment was in Red Hook, I've seen how much Red Hook has been left behind. I know that there's a lot of work to do in Red Hook, but there are leads on the ground doing that work, and we just need supporters like you to expand off that work by making sure we have vibrant spaces that can take on the work that we need to be done with our students as

Johannes about 30 seconds. If we don't get them, they

can also submit their testimony to us online.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Johannes, are you there?

FATHER EAMON MURRAY: Yes. Hello. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. There you go. You may begin.

FATHER EAMON MURRAY: Yeah, it's actually Father Eamon. Johannes was listening. He went away to get something to eat so I have been listening with him for most of it. I've been here for the last 15 years, working as a priest in the community. I have just come back because we're in a pilgrimage, so I don't know enough about the project. Anything that helps the life and the well-being of the people is good, and we need a lot of help spiritually and physically so I just commend the efforts to do something good and beautiful for the community, and that's all I can say. I pray it's going to be a good project, and the needs of the people, first of all, will be taken care of so that's all I have to say. Father Johannes is not available at the minute so I just pray for the success of the project and that something very beautiful and good happens.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sir, are you Johannes?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

All right, going once, going twice.

All right. If there being no more members
of the public who wish to testify on LU 137 regarding

Mill Street rezoning proposal, the public hearing

5 is now closed and the item is laid over.

I will now open the second public hearing on LUs 138 and 139 relating to the 31-17 12th Street rezoning proposal in Council Member Cabán's District in Astoria, Queens. The proposal consists of a residential development with approximately 35 apartments. The rezoning would involve the mapping of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and, as a result, part of the new housing will be affordable apartments.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare submit a speaker's card. If you prefer to submit a written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

I will now call the applicant panel for this item, which consists of Frank Chaney and Demetrius Drogades (phonetic). I'm sorry, man. I'm trying. I'm trying here.

Counsel, please administer the affirmation once these gentlemen get settled.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today in response to Council Member questions?

FRANK CHANEY: Yes.

DEMETRIUS DROGADES: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now the applicant team may begin. You may just restate your name and your organization for the record before you begin.

FRANK CHANEY: Good afternoon. My name is Frank Chaney. I'm a land use attorney with the law firm Rosenberg and Estis representing the applicant and the owner of the property at 31-17 12th Street.

2.2

2.3

I'm joined today by Demetrius Drogades, the owner's representative, and we'll both be available to answer questions after the presentation. This application is for two actions. Next slide, please.

The first action is an amendment of the zoning map to rezone a portion of a block in Astoria, Queens from an existing R5B district to R6B. Next slide.

The second action is a text amendment to Appendix F of the Zoning Resolution to designate the rezoning area for MIH Option 1, and we'll talk a little bit more about the specifics of Option 1 in a minute. Next slide, please.

The rezoning will extend the existing R6B zoning district directly to the north down across the western half of the block to 31st Drive, covering 150 feet back from 12th Street. Next slide.

In addition to the development site, which is outlined in red on this slide, it's Lot 13, the rezoning will include eight other lots and small slivers of two lots, which under the split lot rules would be unaffected by the rezoning. Next slide.

The text amendment will designate the rezoning area shown here on the right, outlined in

2.2

2.3

2 red, as a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area subject 3 to MIH Option 1. Next slide.

Within the surrounding area, the predominant land use is residential with a scattering of institutional uses, which are blue, and light industrial uses purple. As you can see, there's a community facility use directly adjacent to the development site and another one at the corner. The zoning in the surrounding area is a mix of R5B, R6B, and R7A. The predominant residential building type ranges from two- and three-story semi-detached and attached houses in the R5B districts to six- and nine-story apartment buildings in the R7A district to the south and to the west. Next slide, please.

The site is currently occupied by five buildings, one of which is a two-story, two-family house, and the other four buildings are single-story commercial buildings, three garages, and one building occupied by a window display business. It's a residential zoning district so all the commercial uses on this property are legally non-conforming. Altogether, the five buildings locate a total of 5,826 square feet total floor area. That gives the property a 0.48 FAR, which, as you can see, makes the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 83

site a substantially underutilized site. Next slide,

please.

Our proposed rezoning will change a portion of the existing R5B district to R6B, which will increase the allowable FAR from 1.35 to 2.2. Next slide.

We'll increase the maximum building height from 33 feet without a setback to 55 feet after a 15-foot setback above a maximum 45-foot-high base. Next slide.

The rezoning will enable the development of a five-story residential building consisting of a four-story, 43-foot-high base, and a fifth floor set back 15 feet from the street, reaching a total building height of 53 feet. Next slide.

The building will contain approximately 35 dwelling units and approximately 26,500 square feet and will have an FAR of 2.2. Next slide, please.

Subject to the MIH Option 1, the building will have 25 percent affordable floor area consisting of approximately nine dwelling units in approximately 6,629 square feet. It will have a maximum of three income bands averaging 60 percent AMI with a minimum

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 10 percent at 40 percent AMI, and the maximum income 3 band is 130 percent AMI. Next slide, please.

This is a site plan. Looking in plan view, the site will have 42 percent lot coverage and 58 percent open space consisting of approximately 2,000 square feet of green landscaped area and 15 parking spaces accessed by a driveway through the building on the left-hand side of the site. Next slide.

With that, we'd be happy to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I did not announce this, but we were joined by Council Member Yusuf Salaam.

The proposed site has an existing residential and commercial uses. Do you have a relocation plan, and are the tenants aware of this proposal?

DEMETRIUS DROGADES: Yes, they are aware. The two commercial tenants that are there are very small-use companies. One has three employees. The other one has two. They are aware. At the point that we do decide to break ground, they'll have plenty of time to relocate.

2.2

2.3

2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Do you have a
3	relocation plan for them or do they have to figure
1	this out themselves?

DEMETRIUS DROGADES: No. Well, I will assist with relocating them. That's one of my other jobs.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: What is the breakdown of unit sizes you are proposing to build?

FRANK CHANEY: Currently, it will be primarily one-bedroom apartments with perhaps as many as half a dozen studios and a small number of two-bedrooms. The exact distribution of unit sizes is still open.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How many units?

FRANK CHANEY: Approximately 35. It could vary depending on discussions with HPD. Once we get our approval, we begin having discussions with HPD.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And the studios, are the Community Boards supporting the studios? I'm trying to stray away from studios, to be honest, but are the community boards supporting the studio units being proposed?

FRANK CHANEY: Yes. I think the vote was almost unanimous at the Community Board.

2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The proposed
3	development includes 15 parking spaces. Are these
4	parking spaces all required by the proposed zoning?
5	FRANK CHANEY: They are required by the
6	current proposed zoning but, of course, as you know,
7	the parking requirement will be eliminated under City
8	of Yes, and we spoke about this with Council Member
9	Cabán, and she expressed her interest in and desire
10	that we could do without so many parking spaces.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Will this increase
12	more units if you do without these 15 parking spaces?
13	FRANK CHANEY: I don't think so, because
14	we're already maxing out the FAR.
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.
16	FRANK CHANEY: But, you know, providing
17	perhaps some additional open space is a possibility
18	for sure that we'll be taking a look at.
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. What about like
20	an electric charging station or something like that?
21	Is that something
22	FRANK CHANEY: There'll be electric
23	charging stations in the parking area.

DEMETRIUS DROGADES: Yeah. We've discussed this with our architect so we've planned to have

24

FAYANNE BETAN: It's okay. It's Fayanne.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Fayanne.

24

2.2

2.3

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Can you please 3 raise your right hand?

Do you swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today and in response to Council Member questions.

FAYANNE BETAN: Yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now the applicant team may begin. Just please reinstate your name and organization for the record.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair. Richard Lobel of Shelton Lobel PC. Good afternoon. We're here today to discuss the 250 86th Street rezoning. Next slide.

This is a very straightforward rezoning application. The property, along with nine adjacent parcels, are currently zoned R3-2. They are within the Special Bay Ridge District. 86th Street here is an extra-wide street, as we'll demonstrate in the maps, and can easily handle the proposed rezoning, which would allow for the zoning of the property to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 be R6B. On the site, this would allow for the

3 applicant's medical office to occupy a two-story and

4 basement building at 250 86th Street. There are no

5 enlargements proposed pursuant to this rezoning, but

6 | it would legalize that condition. In addition to the

7 rezoning, of course, we would also have an

8 accompanying text amendment to allow for Mandatory

9 Inclusionary Housing in the rezoning area.

The next slide is the proposed project area. You can see that the site is zoned R3-2 in the Bay Ridge Special District.

Next slide is a tax map, which demonstrates the site as well as eight other full sites and a portion of an adjacent site to the east, and all these would be R6B. You can also see the existing R6B along 3rd Avenue. This would be continued to the west in order to cover the site and these adjacent sites.

The next slide is the area map, which I think well demonstrates why the rezoning is appropriate. 86th Street here is a wide street at 100 feet wide, an extra-wide street, and a major thoroughfare in the area, and can easily handle the additional density. The existing R3-2 is not really

2 productive for a wide street, given that the

3 residential FAR is only 0.5, community facility FAR

4 is only 1. In addition to that, there exists an R6B

5 adjacent to the proposed rezoning area. This would

6 merely be stretched to cover the site and these other

7 sites as well as the subway system, which exists two

8 blocks to the east along 4th Avenue so transportation

9 | in the area is good. The wide street condition

10 supports the modest additional density, which would

11 | be allowed pursuant to the R6B, which would be

12 currently a 2.2 FAR.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide shows pictures of the buildings in the area. These really reflect an R6B typology. Most of them are roughly three to four stories. The R6B itself caps out at four and a half to five stories so, you know, easily 86th Street here could accommodate this additional proposed density, despite the fact that no additional density is proposed at this site were anyone to redevelop. You can see again the building typology along 86th Street, along the next slide, which are these three-story buildings. And to add a note, the existing Floor Area Ratios of the 10 properties located within the rezoning area, most of them, if not all of them,

come closer to complying.

2.2

2.3

I think eight of them, 80 percent, are currently noncomplying so they're already all overbuilt. Four of
them are actually built to a Floor Area Ratio of
greater than an R6B so prior to the rezoning, call it
80 percent of the existing properties do not comply
with zoning. After the rezoning, a full 100 percent
would either comply or, in the case of those
properties built closer to a 2.4 to a 2.5 FAR, would

I would have the presentation forwarded through the remaining slides, which merely demonstrate the proposed modification of the existing building to allow for community facility medical office at the site. Dr. Helen Kim, who is the owner of the site, is a doctor who has had a longstanding practice in Bay Ridge but has been unable to use this building for years because she's unable to convert it in light of the limitations of the R3-2.

Inconclusion, we think that the bulk would be appropriate here and that the rezoning should be supported by the Council, and we're happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. That was good.

that this block could probably support Floor Area

- 2 Ratios greater than the R6B. Having said that and
- 3 | having done much work in Bay Ridge before Community
- 4 | Board 10 and in the Bay Ridge Special District, we
- 5 understand that in certain cases there are
- 6 limitations as far as what an area will support and
- 7 potentially what would be productive in terms of the
- 8 ask, and so, here, the R6B would allow our applicants
- 9 to move forward, would allow for a modest increase in
- 10 density that is already reflected by the four
- 11 properties to the east of this site so that's why we
- 12 | selected R6B.

- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How are you proposing
- 14 | to address the Community Board's concerns,
- 15 particularly the large FAR usage that's going to be
- 16 | taking place?
- 17 RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah. I mean, you know,
- 19 one for community facility use. The proposed R6B
- 20 would allow for a 2.2. There's already 2.2 existing
- 21 along 3rd Avenue for the entirety of 3rd Avenue here.
- 22 | It's literally adjacent to these parcels so we
- 23 understand and are sensitive to the concerns of the
- 24 area, but the idea that there's going to be some sort
- of massive development in this area and that there'll

2 be buildings which will be atypical is just not

3 supported by this district. This district basically

4 would allow for the compliance of most of the

5 properties that are already in this area. The soft

6 site analysis really demonstrates that there would be

7 | no meaningful additional development in this area so

8 we respect the community board. We've had successful

9 rezoning applications with them over the last couple

10 of years. They did not choose to support this, but we

11 | would basically make the argument that R6B is not

12 | just totally appropriate here, but is really merited.

13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: What is the total

14 square footage of the medical offices you are seeking

15 to allow on applicant site? And given the lot size,

16 how much FAR does this require?

17 RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah, I'm going to ask

18 | Fayanne to look at that information.

19 FAYANNE BETAN: I know that for the total

20 | building, we're asking for FAR 1.54, which is around

21 \parallel 3,090 square feet. I just have to double check the

22 | actual community facility space, but it would be for

23 two floors out of three so the basement and the

24 second floor would be for the medical offices and...

rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed

and the item is laid over.

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 97
2	That concludes today's business. I would
3	like to thank the members of the public, my
4	Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other
5	Council Staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for
6	participating in today's meeting. This meeting is
7	hereby adjourned. [GAVEL]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 15, 2024