CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

----- X

April 19, 2024 Start: 10:15 a.m. Recess: 3:34 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Chris Banks

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Erik D. Bottcher Justin L. Brannan Darlene Mealy Chi A. Ossé

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Pierina Ana Sanchez

Julie Won

Amelia Walden

Eddie Rodriguez

Sheryl Boyce

Ms. Yves

Jonathan Gouveia
Executive Vice President for Real Estate
Development at NYCHA

Lakesha Miller Executive Vice President for Leased Housing at NYCHA

Brian Honan
Executive Vice President of Intergovernmental
Affairs at NYCHA

Brad Greenburg Chief Compliance Officer at NYCHA

Leroy Williams
Vice President of Resident Services at NYCHA

Michael Parkinson Director of Community and Portfolio Planning, NYCHA Real Estate

Alixa Cruz

Celines Miranda

Ann Valdez

Crystal Glover

Zulay Velazquez

Louise Velez

Shirley Belton

Lucy Newman

Alex McDougal

August Leinbach

Cecilia MacArthur

Ramona Ferreya

Metin Sarci

Aixa Torres

Kesha Crawford
Stuyvesant Gardens

Caesar Godwall

Manuel Martinez
Chair President South Jamaica Houses, Queens
South District Chair

Renee Keitt

Tanesha Grant

Princella Jamerson Residents being forced to sign NDAs with developers without lawyer present.

Annette Tomlin

Christopher Leon Johnson

Christina Chaise

Dana G Elden

Doreen Goggans

Judy Smith

Lisa Hall

Teresa L Scott

Sarah E. Morgridge

Lesley Halliday

Jeanine Lopez

Ilana Dunner

Danette Chavis

Iziah Thompson

Yolanda Hall

Alex Stein

Please,

At no

2	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning. Good
3	morning and welcome to the New York City hybrid
4	hearing on the Committee on Public Housing. Please
5	at this time silent all electronic devices. At no
6	time, please do not approach the dais. If you have

any questions, please raise your hand and one of us

Sergeant at Arms will kindly assist you. Thank you

9 very much for your kind cooperation. Chair Banks,

10 we're ready to begin.

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. [gavel] This meeting is called to order. Good morning everyone and welcome to this hearing, this Committee on Public Housing for New York City Council. Council Member Banks and the Chair of the Committee and I'm joined by my colleagues, Council Member Avilés and also-- nobody else. Hopefully the other members will come. But today we are talking about the Permanent Affordability Commitment Together program, also known as PACT, and specifically we're talking about when developments undergo the conversion from traditionally public housing to PACT. We're also going to be hearing Introduction 110, which is sponsored by Council Member Alexa Avilés which would require the Mayor -- as agency designated

by the Mayor to give us a report on the impact of the
PACT program. While this is my first council hearing
about PACT, this is far from the first time the
Council and this committee have examined the PACT and
NYCHA's public private partnership models. These
hearings and this oversight is important, because we
want residents to have the space and time to voice
their concerns about how also to share their stories
about when the PACT and how the PACT is successful
or successful. Compared to a nearly 90-year history
of public housing, the PACT program is still new to
NYCHA. The Council and the residents must all work
together to make sure it provides to its residents
what it was intended to, namely safe and secure homes
free from hazardous conditions. Today, we are
looking specifically at what happens during the
conversion process from traditional Section 9 housing
which is managed by NYCHA to privately manage PACT
programming. My focus is on three main areas, first-
- what residents know, what they must do during that
process. Second, what NYCHA and the developers are
required to do and what they are actually doing. And
finally, how this committee and the council can
conduct the necessary oversight to make sure that

2.2

these residents of the quasi-public and private PACT
housing are not being overlooked or left to fend for
themselves. With the last point in mind, I'm
interested to know NYCHA's and the public's thoughts
on Intro 110. This bill would be an important
oversight tool for the Council, and we want it to be
as strong as we can make it. I would like to thank
my staff, Michael Lambert [sp?], along with Public
Housing Committee Staff, Jose, Charles, Dan,
Nicholas, Christopher, and Connor for all the work
they put into this hearing. With that, I will turn
it over to Council Member Avilés, my predecessor as
Chair, to speak about her bill. Council Member
Avilés?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you, Chair
Banks. Thank you to everyone for being here today.
So, during my time as Chair of Public Housing, a
theme that would emerge over and over again in our
hearings was the lack of clarity top to bottom around
the Permanent Affordability for Commitment Together
program, or PACT. The PACT program allows NYCHA to
offload property management to private entities,
which theoretically should improve NYCHA's bottom
line, which we haven't seen materialize, and also

theoretically allows tenants to receive repairs that
they may have been requesting for years. Despite the
PACT's program being in place for years, more and
more developments slated for private management, we
still do not have answers to some of the very
fundamental questions. Now, I recognize that the
program has evolved, and certainly there are
successes, but there are still many gaps, and among
those questions, for example, is how is NYCHA
ensuring private managers are responsible to the
needs of tenants? What are the tenants' rights after
conversion takes place? What sorts of repairs have
been conducted by private management since
conversion? How many evictions have taken place
since conversion? These are a small example, and are
tenants actually satisfied with the conversions.
These are just a few of the many questions that I
continue to hear residents talk about after. And
these are just some of the questions that Intro. 110
aims to answer. If we are to continue to invest
public dollars into privatization, at minimum, we
should be aware of the effectiveness of the program,
and whether the program is carrying through on the
promises made to public housing residents. I look

forward to hearing feedback from the Administration

today from advocates, from residents themselves as we

advance this piece of legislation which will bring

greater transparency to NYCHA residents and to all

6 residents of New York City. Thank you, Chair and I

look forward to the hearing.

2.2

2.3

Member. In keeping with tradition of this committee, before we hear from NYCHA, we'll hear first from a panel of residents and those residents are-- we're actually going to call two up at a time. We're going to start with Amelia Walden and Eddie Rodriguez. And you know what, Ms. Boyce, you can come on up, too. And also we'll have Ms. Yves come on up. And we'll start from my left, so that would be Mr. Rodriguez, and then we'll--

EDDIE RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Committee for listening to a couple points I want to make. My name is Eddie Rodriguez, and I just recently moved from Arizona back to New York where I grew up. I may be one of the only people that's moving back in, but I'm here. My mother lives in the Riis Housing projects on Avenue D, and she's lived there for 40 years.

They're currently in the process of trying to convert

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that over to the PACT system that's working in New So, a couple things on that. I represent-so, myself and Riis residents who are in the struggle to find out more about this, what has it impacted, and as an independent sort of journalist, I go around the City and I actually visit these places that are in the process of being converted. Eden Wald [sic] I know that it's happening. Langston Hughes, been there just checking the conditions out. One of the issues I have is that we with NYCHA, we still have a situation where we're trying to entrust NYCHA who has let these building sort of get run down over the last 50, 60 years to be part of the process the now fix them with the privatization movement. And that's a trust issue that residents that I've spoken to just To answer a couple of the question that don't have. you said your committee has three main points, and-what do residents know? Well, myself, having gone to these meetings, I can see that a lot of the information that's put out is very jumbled together and not put in a process where the residents really know what the implications once they sign on to this thing are, and we're kind of seeing them around the City that some of these places are either using

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You could have 30 more seconds to finish up.

points that you guys put out there today, it's really great. Just, we need to make sure that the residents really know what will occur once they sign on to this. Is it for the benefit of them, or as Avenue D, because it is such a coveted last spot on the River that's very expensive land, let's be honest—once they fix it up. So that's a concern. We need the residents who live there to stay there and be happy there. Thank you.

2.2

2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Before we
3	move on to the next person that's going to give
4	testimony, I want to remind members of the public
5	that this is a formal government proceeding, and that
6	decorum shall be observed at all times. As such,
7	members of the public shall remain silent at all
8	times. The witnesses table is reserved for people
9	who wish to testify. No video recording or
10	photography is allowed from the witness table.
11	Further, members of the public may not present audio
12	or video recordings as testimony, but may submit
13	transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant of
14	Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. If you wish
15	to speak at today's hearing, please fill out the
16	appearance card with the Sergeant of Arms and wait to
17	be recognized. When recognized, you will have two
18	minutes to speak on today's hearing topics. Thank
19	you. Just have to get that on the record. Now, we

AMELIA WALDEN: My question is, first of all, [inaudible] [off mic].

will move forward with Ms. Walden.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Press the-- thank you.

25

2 AMELIA WALDEN: Sorry. My first question 3 is, NYCHA-- under NYCHA development we did see shoddy 4 materials and work and we are still seeing that with 5 this renovation. Okay. My next one, I was served papers with inaccurate service. Example, they state 6 7 that they left papers at my door or they came to my 8 apartment and I was not there, and I was home every So that needs to be looked into, because I figure that this is a scare tactic. The next thing 10 11 is we feel we are getting inferior materials, because 12 with the new stove, people have had oven fires. 13 People have had breakdown of refrigerators. 14 person is blind and something with their stove, and 15 they wanted the daughter to go out and look for a stove, which they knew this person was blind from the 16 17 beginning. Another one is-- I'm just finding out 18 that because I'm not refusing the risers they want to 19 put in the building -- they want to -- on my line they 20 want to put a riser to the roof. They had the building since 21. They didn't notify us until late 21 May of 23. So this is like something that's pushed 2.2 2.3 on us, and we're not really ready for it or aware. They're downsizing your apartment space, and they 24

don't want to account for it. Example, one thing, my

2 apartment door, it has a gap straight down the side,

3 and they put a little sweeper under the door. You can

4 see the light. You can feel the air coming in. When

5 | you put in work requests, the assistant manager said

6 they don't make-- schedule appointments. The

7 appointments is very lagging. I've had appointments

8 where they first took over, HPD had to come in and

9 | hire a contractor to change my door--

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty more seconds.

AMELIA WALDEN: to make it slam lock. Not slam lock— to make the door slam in case of a fire.

Okay, now our quality of life is still being affected because they have automatic slam locks. People are being locked out of their apartments, having to pay a— what do you call it, hardware or electrician or someone to come out and let them in. Their so-called security is only up to maybe 11:00 p.m. If things happen before 11:00 p.m., sometimes you still don't get back in in a timely manner. It happen after 11:00 p.m., you're stuck with a bill. So we need to find out more clarity on that. I think that should be it. Okay, and I wanted to know does NYCHA or HUD ever visit these buildings during the renovation

SHERYL BOYCE: Good morning. I didn't prepare anything to speak about because at this particular time we just now-- in December we selected our development team that is to come into Bayview [sic] Houses, and we've had a series of meetings

2.2

2.3

24

morning.

1 2 MS. YVES: Thank you. I just want to say 3 first and foremost, as far as for Penn Wortman Houses 4 in East New York, they included four other 5 developments, there was a lot of things that was promised that sound good, but there was a lot of 6 misinformation, false information, ad things that 8 they know that people at the time that they pressured us to be able to get along or to accept this PACT/RAD. We didn't have a choice, because the 10 11 reason why we didn't have a choice is because they 12 rushed us through the time that we were having a 13 pandemic. So everybody was afraid of being homeless. 14 That's the reason why they were forced to sign, 15 because they state that if they do not sign, you will 16 be evicted, and I feel it's very wrong and when I 17 kept bringing up that the preservation trust and 18 other things was coming about, they saying no. Not 19 six months later in June of 2022, now moving forward 20 that everybody has now the choice to vote. We didn't 21 have a choice to vote. It was only 10 percent or 20 2.2 percent and they use Penn-Wortman Houses to be able 2.3 to get the contract because they needed more Section 9 to be able to get the contract for the Section 8. 24

Things that was promised, not. I still have not

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

gotten apartment done because even though we were forced to do something that we didn't want to do, we was promised a lot of things. As such, as my aunt said, they do not care about the residents, and the reason why I'm saying you can put anything on paper as stats. Stats mean nothing if nobody is checking into it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You have another minute.

MS. YVES: I fell several times. Here's a picture of hospitality suites that they had individuals with oxygen tank. Only 155, the A and the B line, they changed the pipes. They didn't change the pipes. They have obscured boxes for the fuses where the juice is coming in from inside the cabinet. So if there's an electrical fire, the Fire Department will not know that it's inside the cabinet. Department of Buildings was called nothing was done. There's also a case that was stemming from the PACT and the RAD that was filed on 06-02-2021, case number 121CV04372 where a judge stated that the PACT and the RAD is inhumane and that one-- let's be clear, the PACT and RAD is not law. The PACT and RAD is a program. Okay? So we were forced to do like-- as

currently, I am going to be evicted because I refuse
to sign the lease, okay? So, I have an email also
from HUD stating that leases should have been signed
prior to the contract of conversion. That did not
happen. They, meaning C&C Management, and the
language that they use, they always want to be able
not to say who's the landlord, but NYCHA is the one
calling the shots, but it's like something like Ponzi
scheme going on and it's wrong. Okay? I am being
victimized. My car was set on fire. I fell down the
stairs at least 22 times from the time that C&C under
this conversion. The things that's happening makes
no sense. The Tenant Association, everybody that's
supposed to do what they're supposed to do is not
doing it. It's nothing but lies, and it's true.
Who's checking behind them? C&C checks behind the
contractor. The contractor check behind C&C. It's
HUD. Radiator covers, they didn't change the coils.
They didn't do a lot of things that going to say.
They sound like used car salesman. Until and then
you get what they doing is wrong, and I'm being
victimized, okay? Every time I try to reach out some
ways, nobody is doing anything about it. Because,
you know why, they say I gossip. I am being

_	COMMITTEE ON FUBLIC HOUSING 22
2	tormented because they say that I'm the one who in
3	fact, okay, called the Department of Justice and the
4	DOI in regards to all this fraud that's going on and
5	so forth and so on. They don't know that. And if
6	so, that is my given right, okay? So, resident
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thank
8	you.
9	MS. YVES: engagement does not help at
10	all.
11	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.
12	MS. YVES: with the process that's going
13	on.
14	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Thank
15	you. We have a couple of questions for the panel.
16	I'm going to let yes. Council Member Brannan has
17	joined us, so we want to recognize him. Also, again,
18	guys we're going to allow for questions to the panel
19	I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Avilés
20	and then I'm going to come back and ask some
21	questions myself.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so much
23	for your testimony. I wanted to follow up with you.
24	Ms. Walden, is that correct? Okay, thank you. Could

you-- you mentioned the key situation which has been

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an ongoing issue across NYCHA, and it seems like particularly in conversion has not been addressed. Can you state it again for the record, what is happening with the keys, and why would you have to-or any resident, would have to pay more money to the management company?

AMELIA WALDEN: Okay, what happened, like I said, they had it since 21. They only notified us limited information May of 23. So, when you buy a house or whatever, whatever, don't you check the heating, electricity, and so and so, the major things? We feel that wasn't done. So when they thrust this onto us a the last minute, they wanted to come in and break my floor, say asbestos. I refuse, because you're supposed to have certain precautions in place before you do that. They wanted to move you out of the apartment for 30 days to another apartment. I'm not refusing, but I need to find out what's right and what's wrong. Okay. On the C line, I'm on the top floor. They want to go into the wall, put what they call a riser, put pipes in the wall, taking away some of my closet space which is right in my living room. So I told them no. First of all, when you put that electric panel -- when you move it

2 from one side of the kitchen to the other concealing 3 the wall -- there was cabinets. There was pipes with 4 cabinets. They put a fake or false wall from the top 5 of the ceiling down to the bottom of the floor. where that electric panel stop, there's nothing under 6 7 that space, but still my dining, look, is small. 8 When you do that, you taking up part of my space. I told them I don't know longer have four rooms. Now, they want to do the closet, downsize. They told 10 11 me they'd build a closet and put it in my living 12 room. I said do you know how unsightly that would 13 look. So, they don't even want to reduce my rent or anything, so they took me to court. They made an 14 15 agreement with the judge. The judge told them come 16 to conclusion. So far, management is still trying to 17 get out of me, do I still plan on pursuing. S o I 18 told them speak with my lawyer. I don't let him know 19 that I know you're trying to get information from me, 20 but now I found out that from February on my rent 21 receipts, it's like I'm behind in my rent. So, two 2.2 times I went to the office, the person available for 2.3 leasing wasn't available. I went down to NYCHA on Atlantic Avenue. They say I'm not converted. 24 voucher's not [inaudible]. So now the manager's 25

2	telling me the other day that they're not accepting
3	my rent. So the person was trying to give me my
4	money order for that. So I told her no, you keep it.
5	Give me something she's telling me that this is why
6	my rent is stating that I owe this and I owe that.
7	So whatever paper they gave me it wasn't sufficient
8	for me, but what I didn't know that they were on
9	waiting for me, not waiting for me. That they didn't
10	call me into the office. They interviewed me in the
11	waiting area. That they were on the phone with their
12	lawyer, so their lawyer is telling them what to do.
13	So I told them the assistant manager, he's telling
14	me call your lawyer, maybe you all should come to a
15	conclusion. I said no, tell your lawyer to call my
16	lawyer and then they can settle it with that. So now
17	I'm receiving they' not accepting my rent. So
18	that's February, March and April. So I guess I'll be
19	getting another receipt. They didn't let me know
20	that they was holding the rent. And they are doing
21	it to other tenants, but other tenants are not
22	speaking up and speaking out.

UNIDENTIFIED: They're afraid.

23

24

25

AMELIA WALDEN: We have the right to ask questions and get legit answers. And I'm going to

give you another example. I don't know what they're
doing with the smoke alarms, because the people with
disabilities they should have those smoke alarms,
especially the hard of hearing or blind also, that
speaks vibrates and, you know, whatever and
whatever. I call the Fire Department myself and got
them to go to a person's apartment who doesn't hear
or speak, and they set up the whole thing and showed
the son how to use and the mother. They're not doing
that with us. So we feel we are getting inferior
materials because for someone's refrigerator to break
down and oven to catch fire, and these supposed to be
new materials. What are we getting, refurbished or
what? They don't even give you any information.
They gave us information with the stove. No
information with the refrigerator. If you wanted to
know what model, they have no in it's just that we
feel we are being treated unfairly, and it's no
different than from when NYCHA had it, because we're
still having major problems. Now, if you break my
wall in my living room and if I become ill with
asbestos poisoning, who's going to compensate me when
I'm dead. I would know nothing about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Just have one.

Ms. Walden, if there is a-- I guess you mentioned a

number of repairs, but have you ever received a price

list that you would have to pay for obtaining any

repairs in your apartment?

AMELIA WALDEN: They do the repairs, but not in a timely manner. When HPD discovered that my original apartment door did not close completely, they put that as a violation from January. Not until March did management look into. HPD had to-- when he said hire outside contractor to come and make sure that door is safe for me and my apartment. Now, the new door they put up, it's still not fitting it.

Draft is coming in from the hallway. You can see the light form the hallway clearly through that whole side door where the lock is, and air is coming in and under the bottom.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Got it, and in terms of the complaint system, you mentioned that they're not scheduling appointments. What is the complaint system and scheduling appointments look like under a conversion?

AMELIA WALDEN: Okay, I've been putting in complaints since 2023, 01-23.

2	
_	

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Like, is it like the NYCHA calls? Do they have a system where you get a ticket number? What is that— is there a system?

First [inaudible] that AMELIA WALDEN: they had, the manager. I had to go to the office and ask to give me a confirmation or some sort of tracking. And what did she say that -- okay, she'll look into it. So now they do give you a work order, you know, with the number on it and the date, but as far as the repairs being addressed in a timely manner, no. just like that door. Maybe if a fire occurred, maybe I would be done by now. But they had to call an outside contractor, and the same thing with the door now, I've been putting it int. here this was from January, then I put it in again., but they're telling me as long as the ticket is open and the repairs is not done, the secretary they have to say that they can't create another ticket. manager said they don't make scheduled appointments. So how are you supposed to know when they are coming out?

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: That is a very interesting and disturbing fact.

meeting -- they use to have a construction meeting --

well, they still have it every Monday and I mentioned

AMELIA WALDEN:

2.3

that. And the assistant manager blatantly said, we don't schedule appointments. So, people at the table, their heads turned. They couldn't believe that he was saying something like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so

Well, at a construction

much, and thank you for looking at for your neighbors, particularly your disabled neighbors who are actually not being looked out after. They're lucky to have you as a neighbor. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council Member. I have a question for Yves pertaining to the initial stages of when the conversion, the tenants were notified that RAD/PACT or that Penn-Wortman Houses was going to be converted.

MS. YVES: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What was the level of engagement by NYCHA as far as explaining or setting up meetings with the tenants, and in your opinion, would you say that the tenants were satisfied with the information that they got from NYCHA--

MS. YVES: [interposing] No.

3

1

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: pertaining to this

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conversion and how it was going to look? MS. YVES: No. From the very beginning

they tried to discretely have a meeting back in 2020, quietly, and I got wind of it through the bearings [sic]. I was on that call where they asked me to gather a certain amount of tenants. My aunt was on there. And at first they was saying no, no, no, but it was yes, but they were saying that they were talking to us regarding this two years prior from the time that they was in talk of that. Now, form November of 2020 up until now, I have been to every meeting, not meeting been-- but in-person, meaning over the phone. Ever since December 21 when they received the contract for this PACT/RAD, everything I have an email from HUD, okay, where it ceased. states that certain things that should have been done, X, Y, it's not being done. Every month, C&C Management is supposed to have a construction meeting with the residents. it's meaning that they're supposed to have the meeting, but what they do is they monopolize the Tenant Association Board meeting to come 10, 15 minutes, walk around, talk, and they want the tenants from the Board to be able to explain

the process, and the Board is not even correct right
now. From when the Board became established in
2021 to now it's still dysfunctional. What they do
is they focus on whoever. I'm not saying I can be
opposed to it. She can be with it. Everybody is
entitled to their own opinion, but when you take a
position and you're supposed to be there for the
tenants, you're supposed to advocate for them,
because that's the reason why you act on it. What
they do is they only choose and they like for people
to in other words, everything I say, even when I
was on the Zoom calls, Brian Honan, I had to contact
an attorney, okay, because everybody I think you
did a walk-through with me one time when you just
happened to be passing by and when I was they were
doing a walk-through with the Bronx. Everybody that
came to do a walk-through they didn't do a walk-
through with us. They just-like I said, just
breezed through everything with us. They did a walk-
through with the TA Presidents in the Bronx. They
made a makeshift apartment to show everything was
nice, and they tried to keep you at bay. Since under
the PACT and the RAD, for east New York under the
five development that went under the PACT and the

MS. YVES: Meaning as far as--

Thank

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 34
2	there's also one for when you're dealing with the
3	Federal Government. I forgot the name of it, the
4	acronym, but you can also get that. How do we know
5	what's being submitted? We don't know what's being
6	submitted.
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.
8	MS. YVES: We don't know what's being
9	submitted.
10	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright, thank you.
11	Yes, another question by Council Member Avilés.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I'm sorry. Than
13	you. Thank you. I'd like to follow up with Mr.
14	Rodriguez. Mr. Rodriguez, you're talking you
15	mentioned how the information that is being offered
16	is just it seems not accessible and that the
17	implications of what is being presented is unclear.
18	Can you just tell us like what you've seen the
19	interaction be about what is being presented, where
20	are the gaps? Is it are is information also
21	being provided in multiple languages so that
22	residents can understand what's happening?
23	EDDIE RODRIGUEZ: So, from the documents

I saw, you know, they were putting up pamphlets on people's doors and saying, okay, these are the days

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

when the meeting are going to be held. I don't know that that was as much of an issue as the thickness of the packet that needed to be reviewed in a short period of time. Just looking at it as a former educator, it was almost-- it's like if a kid misses class for a couple weeks and he comes back and you give him a packet, right? He could do the packet, but he's going to miss that interaction in between, that kind of sense of -- and then when the meetings occurred, and I went to every one of the meetings representing my mom, they couldn't answer the most basic questions when we were telling them that -- you know, we were telling the residents in essence, make sure that you understand that once this RAD/PACT thing takes into play, you don't have the negotiation skills that you do have with let's say, NYCHA, if you fall behind on rent, kind of that stuff. What we're seeing from door-to-door knocking and talking to folks like this young lady said, is that the work is not quality and the evictions are being -- notices are being put out. And we're seeing it at Riis Housing, and that hasn't even converted over. So that's why we are, you know, steadfast in-- I know your oversight may look at some of these things, but what

we're seeing is that the information is willfully not	
put forth in a respectful manner of the residents.	
And I'll tell you and I can equal that to say that	
they openly lie at these meetings, and when we	
confront them with information about say who is	
paying you, like those sort of things that people	
should have the right to know, they can't answer	
them. And with the tenants association we have the	
same issues. They and I don't blame them fully,	
because like this lady said, when they go and we go	
to these meetings, they're not prepared with the	
questions that the residents want answered. If they	
going to sign onto this thing I can see it from say	
the ground level where it hasn't kicked in yet, but	
now with the testimony that they're giving, once it's	
kicked in what we've been saying is what will happen,	
that the residents will be left behind, the residents	
that they say that they're going to help is not who	
they're going to help. And that's our stance on	
that, that it's all rigged in their direction.	

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright. We conclude this panel, let me just recognize Council-- we've been joined by Council Member Sanchez. And also too, we have in the balcony, we have some students,

2.2

2.3

college students from Guttman College. They're here with their Urban Planning class. So we want to welcome you and thank you for being a part of our hearing today. Now, we're going to bring up NYCHA, and thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for your testimony. We've been also joined by Council Member Darlene Mealy. Okay, thank you.

right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? You can begin.

We're going to swear you in.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You can begin. Thank you. You can identify yourself before you begin. Thank you.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Good morning, Chair Chris Banks, members of the Committee on Public Housing, other distinguished members of the City Council, NYCHA residents, community advocates, and members of the public. Good morning. I am Jonathan Gouveia, NYCHA's Executive Vice President for Real Estate Development. I am pleased to be joined by Lakesha Miller, Executive Vice President

2	for Leased Housing, Brian Honan, Executive Vice
3	President of Intergovernmental Affairs, and other
4	members of NYCHA's team. Thank you for this
5	opportunity to discuss PACT, an incredibly impactful
6	initiative that is investing billions of dollars to
7	completely renovate or rebuild the homes of thousands
8	of NYCHA residents, dramatically improving their
9	quality of life. PACT also provides residents with
10	professional property management and valuable social
11	services, all while maintaining their rights and
12	protections and keeping their rent permanently
13	affordable. I would like to thank the residents who
14	spoke about the program earlier today. Collaboration
15	with residents is a fundamental feature of the PACT
16	initiative as it transforms their developments into a
17	safer, healthier, and more beautiful place to live
18	and for the generations to come. Please thank you.
19	As you know, the capital needs across NYCHA's
20	portfolio have risen to an astonishing nearly \$80
21	billion, an unacceptable reality that residents know
22	all too well, as manifested every day by elevator and
23	heating outages you can go to the next slide
24	leaking roofs and facades, bursting pipes, and mold
25	and lead unabated. At the same time, for decades the

2	federal government has failed to provide public
3	housing authorities across the nation with sufficient
4	funding to keep their properties in a state of good
5	repair. To address this critical and existential
6	issue head-on, NYCHA is using the PACT program to
7	bring billions of dollars in desperately needed
8	investment to our developments. PACT is NYCHA's
9	implementation of HUD's Rental Assistance
10	Demonstration program, and it is enabling us to
11	radically transform many of our properties through
12	top-to-bottom apartment, building, and grounds
13	renovations. Next slide, please. To date, over
14	20,000 apartments have been converted to Project-
15	Based Section 8 through the PACT program, and a
16	record \$1.8 billion in financing for capital repairs
17	was closed in 2023 across four PACT deals. One
18	hundred and thirty-eight developments comprising over
19	37,000 apartments are in either the engagement or
20	pre-development process, under construction, or have
21	received comprehensive repairs through PACT.
22	Essentially, the PACT program facilitates billions in
23	repairs and investment for our NYCHA community. Right
24	now, 57 developments are under active construction,

representing over \$3.9 billion in construction work

2	happening across the city, work that we would not be
3	able to accomplish without PACT considering the lack
4	of federal capital funding available. Next slide,
5	please. To bring comprehensive repairs and
6	renovations to residents' homes, and address a
7	development's entire range of capital needs, PACT
8	leverages the more dependable and valuable Section 8
9	funding stream. As shown in the slideshow, key
10	improvements include brand-new kitchens, bathrooms,
11	windows, and apartment floors next slide updated
12	common areas like laundry rooms, mail rooms, and
13	building entrances next slide please critically
14	important upgrades to building systems, such as
15	elevators, boilers, roofs, windows, and facades, and
16	improved grounds, including landscaping, lighting,
17	security, and playgrounds. Next slide, please.
18	Environmental hazards such as lead, asbestos, and
19	mold are abated, and our PACT partners offer
20	professional property management and enhanced on-site
21	social services and community programming. After
22	conversion, all PACT developments remain under public
23	control. NYCHA remains the permanent owner of the
24	land and buildings, administers the Section 8
25	subsidy, and continues to monitor conditions at a

2	development following its conversion to Section 8.
3	NYCHA's Leased Housing Department is the largest
4	Section 8 administrator in the country. As the
5	subsidy administrator for the program, NYCHA
6	continues to set each household's rent based on their
7	annual income and oversees the creation of the
8	Section 8 waitlist. Next slide, please. NYCHA also
9	has a specific team called Resident Outreach for
10	Conversion and Modernization Services who continue to
11	conduct outreach to residents and support resident
12	leaders at PACT sites after conversion. This is just
13	one of the many teams supporting residents, and the
14	PACT process itself, to make the engagement and
15	transition as seamless as possible. Fundamental to
16	our PACT program is centering resident priorities and
17	expertise. Residents are not only involved at every
18	step of the PACT planning process but also help lead
19	the selection of partner teams, prioritize
20	investments, and work in partnership with our PACT
21	partners after conversion. During the planning
22	process, we partner with resident leaders to share
23	information and to keep residents informed and answer
24	any questions. In partnership with these leaders, we
25	host regular meetings and conduct tabling, office

2	hours, open houses, info sessions, and workshops. A
3	PACT Resource Team is also supporting our resident
4	leaders by connecting them with trusted, third-party
5	advisors and consultants. Free legal assistance is
6	available to residents via a PACT hotline operated by
7	the Legal Aid Society. Resident committees have now
8	selected 19 partner teams. This includes developers,
9	general contractors, property managers, and social
10	services providers who will elevate their quality of
11	life and address priorities for their community. In
12	2023 alone, resident leaders across 30 developments,
13	representing over 14,000 residents, have selected
14	PACT partner teams to provide their communities with
15	tailored investments through the program. Together,
16	our partners and residents are creating detailed
17	community plans to capture their planning and
18	decision-making during the pre-development process.
19	An example of how fundamental resident participation
20	is to the PACT process: After about five years of
21	extensive resident engagement and planning, residents
22	of Fulton and Elliott-Chelsea Houses expressed their
23	preference to entirely rebuild their campuses as part
24	of PACT. Next slide, please. In 2022, NYCHA partnered
25	with BFJ Planning, an independent planning and

consulting firm, to conduct a comprehensive survey at
our converted PACT sites. We are distributing surveys
to all converted developments on a rolling basis, and
have collected results from Ocean Bay, Baychester,
Murphy, and Betances. The majority of residents who
responded to the survey have expressed strong
satisfaction with the PACT program. As you'll see on
this slide, 70 percent of respondents recommend the
PACT program for other NYCHA developments and nearly
the same amount say they feel more stable in their
living situation. This is because residents are very
satisfied with the new management teams and the
renovations. As you can see on this slide, 77 percent
of residents who responded are very satisfied with
the renovations to the buildings and even more are
satisfied with the renovations to the grounds. Two
out of three respondents say that their new
management is better than NYCHA, and 78 percent of
residents say that the buildings and grounds are
cleaner. We will continue to survey residents and
collect their direct feedback on the program. Survey
responses have helped us understand what is working;
they also help us determine how we can improve the
program so NYCHA and our partners can better serve

2	residents. Next slide, please. Transparency and
3	accountability are also fundamental features of PACT.
4	In line with those values, we recently launched the
5	new PACT Dashboard, which provides an unprecedented
6	level of insight into the PACT program. Available to
7	anyone online, it tracks and displays performance
8	metrics on maintenance and repairs, tenancy, and
9	construction, including resident hiring, across the
10	PACT program and at individual PACT sites. For
11	instance, the Dashboard shows that all PACT partners
12	are addressing work orders within 30 days. It also
13	shows that PACT partners are making significant
14	progress with rehabilitation work, with five projects
15	reaching over 50 percent completion in 2023. We
16	believe the PACT Dashboard supports the intent of
17	Introduction 110-2024, in reference to reports on the
18	PACT program. We also wanted to take some time this
19	morning to provide clarity about the PACT program.
20	First, we'd like to discuss the differences and
21	similarities of the Section 9 and Section 8 programs.
22	Both programs are funded by the federal government
23	and are HUD programs. Section 9 is funded annually
24	by Congress, with funding fluctuating year to year.
25	Funding by the federal government is insufficient to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cover the costs of long-term maintenance and capital needs of public housing. As shared earlier, this is evident by the fact that NYCHA's recent Physical Needs Assessment reflects nearly \$80 billion in major repair needs across the city. The Section 8 program, however, comes with a 20-year contract guaranteeing a set amount of funding each year, and by law that contract must be renewed in perpetuity. Section 8 also provides a larger subsidy amount per household than the traditional Section 9 program. Given this guaranteed funding stream, our partners are able to raise debt to fund all capital repairs and save for capital repairs that will be needed in the future. In both programs, NYCHA owns the land and the buildings. Through the PACT program, NYCHA enters into a ground lease with each partner team, maintaining our ownership of the building. In both programs, rent continues to be 30 percent of a household's adjusted gross income. I'd also like to confirm that all resident protections under Section 9 program will continue to apply after a household converts to Project-Based Section 8 through the PACT These protections are codified in NYCHA's agreements with all PACT partners and between the

PACT partner and each tenant. It is rumored that the
PACT program will displace residents from their
homes. This is not true. Under the federal RAD
program, all current public housing residents have
the right to return to an apartment in their
development if they need to move temporarily for
construction, hazard mitigation, or any redevelopment
activities. The federal RAD program was designed to
keep residents in their homes. We bolster this
federal requirement by requiring all partners to sign
temporary move agreements with each household. And
lastly, I'd like to clarify any misconceptions around
evictions at PACT sites. The PACT program, in
accordance with federal rules and regulations,
provides strong anti-displacement protections for
residents. At the time of conversion to Project-
Based Section 8, all authorized residents will be
offered a new lease, regardless of whether they owe
back rent. In addition to providing all current NYCHA
tenants a lease, NYCHA requires our PACT partners to
work with on-site social service coordinators to
conduct proactive outreach to help connect families
with resources, such as accessing public benefits or
setting up payment installment plans. PACT partners

2	must make every effort to avoid bringing a lease
3	issue to Housing Court, and NYCHA closely monitors
4	these outreach efforts. Lease agreements
5	automatically renew every year and cannot be
6	terminated except for good cause, which includes
7	criminal activity and nonpayment. Residents can
8	continue to add people to their household, and
9	permanent members will continue to have succession
10	rights. In sum, residents at PACT sites benefit from
11	the multiple layers of oversight, the comprehensive
12	repairs and renovations, and the numerous
13	opportunities provided to their community through
14	enhanced social services and connections to jobs. We
15	all know how critical NYCHA is to New York City in
16	providing safe, decent, and permanently affordable
17	homes for families across the city. With nearly \$80
18	billion in capital needs across the portfolio, we
19	also know how critical programs like PACT are in
20	ensuring that NYCHA can continue to carry out its
21	vital mission. Our goal is not only to breathe new
22	life into our developments, we are also striving to
23	transform NYCHA as an organization, so it is better
24	adapted to the funding realities of the day and can
25	serve residents in a strong and sustainable way.

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

Together, residents, community advocates, elected officials, and NYCHA staff, we can ensure the Authority remains a vital bastion of affordable housing, for today and for the decades to come. Thank you. We are happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Before we go forth with questions for the panel, let me just recognize the 6<sup>th</sup>, and 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade from Eagle Academy. [applause] From the Bronx, y'all could do better than Thank you. And they're also from, I believe, Council Member Steven's district. Now, thank you again for the testimony, and I guess we-- some of the questions were answered through the presentation on overall numbers. I want to know what is, in general, what's the general time frame for when under construction development is completed? What's the general timeline?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: from the date when we do the conversion or the closing, it typically takes two to three years to complete a renovation. The timing will vary depending on the size of the development as well as, you know, the level of work that has to be done. So, if it

convert 62,000 units through PACT.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: We look at a number of metrics, but there are three areas that we focus on. Number one is the level of deterioration as primarily determined by the physical needs assessment. We also look at sites where NYCHA struggles to provide sufficient management and, you know, day-to-day property management, I guess. So those are the two areas that we look at primarily, and then we also of course work with residents to make sure that there's buy-in.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Specifically, will developments ever be entered into the PACT program without a resident vote?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Sorry, say that again?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Will developments be entered into the PACT program without a resident vote?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So, we work with residents and follow their lead, and you know, right now we have not had a vote on a PACT site. We work with resident leadership and the wider

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, residents don't have a say as to if they want to be entered into the PACT program. It's-- they're compelled based off of the points you pointed out?

will have-- again, we will work with the resident leaders at first, and if they are interested in the PACT, we will then host a number of meetings with the larger resident body and gauge interest, and if there is interest, we will proceed. And, you know, if not, then that's a different-- and I think Brian might want to add something.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, let me just ask you this, specifically. What amount of support will be required to move a development into the PACT program?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So, far we've been able to gauge it just based on the meetings that we've been having with residents, you know.

2.2

2.3

does not require a percentage of residents to support

2	a PACT project for conversion. However, we have
3	engaged residents in certain sites, and they have
4	told us no, we're not interested in this program.
5	And Jonathan's team have plenty of work to do
6	throughout the City. We have no interest in forcing
7	this on residents. The thing is, our conditions
8	right now have, as you all know, we have \$78 billion
9	dollars in capital needs. We have developments in
10	your district, for instance. You know, Boulevard
11	Houses and Linden Houses were both built by the City
12	of New York and had no operating or capital funding.
13	We needed to find a source of funding for that
14	development. We engaged the residents there for
15	almost two years before we were able to convert that
16	property. Fiorentino Plaza, as you know, again in
17	your district, conditions were there so bad that the
18	residents had to be relocated. What we're seeing at
19	properties right now is that residents are eager to
20	get the renovations that they deserve, renovations
21	that they wanted for years, and so we are really
22	interested in engaging with residents who want either
23	of those programs.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I mean, one thing I could agree with you is that there are residents and

2.2

2.3

I think majority of the residents I think all the
residents want better living conditions within NYCHA.
It's just how they go about getting there. And you
know, from my opinion and from speaking to residents
in my district, RAD/PACT hasn't been the best program
that has been forced upon the tenants or that has
been given to the tenants. Particularly, what
information about the amount of public support will
be shared with the residents, the Council and the
public for the sorry and the public for
developments entering into a PACT pro without a
PACT program?

again, in order to do conversion, we are not required to take, you know, surveys and to hold votes. So it would be -- we wouldn't have that information.

However, we do go through extensive votes. Now, votes-- excuse me. Meetings that we have regularly, you know, with residents. The attendance is very good. We have residents who participate from very, very early onto the process all the way up to selecting development teams and social service teams. So residents, you know, -- the goal here is to make sure that we have very engaged residents throughout

2 the process from before conversion to after
3 conversion and moving forward.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. With the introduction of the Trust, has the implementation of the Trust resulted in any reorganization or management changes at NYCHA in relation to the PACT program?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: I would say no, not in relation to the PACT program. have a team, though, in place, a very small team that now look-- you know, at two sites we've had elections which is Nostrand Houses, and Bronx River addition. We have a small team that engages tenants around there around the elections, and we also have two other elections that are in process at Coney Island Houses and Coney Island site 1B, otherwise known as Unity, but in terms of the way the real estate team, you know, functions it really has -- they've been engaged with that team to make sure that residents have information on, you know, all the choices, but in the way they do business, I wouldn't say so. Jonathan can speak more to the Department.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

tenancy proceedings, legal proceedings, Section 3

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 57
2	hiring, and financial performance. And then NYCHA
3	also has a Compliance team, and they also go out and
4	do spots or inspections to make sure that the
5	projects are complying with all relevant rules and
6	regulations.
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, is there a
8	designated person who's responsible for all the
9	issues at any particular development?
10	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Within
11	NYCHA?
12	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yeah.
13	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: I
14	mean, it depends on the issue, right? So, if it is a
15	Section 8 related issue
16	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Right.
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: it
18	would be Lakesha and her team. If it's related to the
19	monthly reporting or construction that I mentioned,
20	it would be within my team, and each the Design and
21	Construction team and the Asset Management team have
22	leadership there, so they would be primarily

accountable.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, there's no single point-person that will resolve an issue or mediate an issue that may arise?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: it's all dependent upon what the issue would be so that we can make sure that we're, you know, bringing the right expertise to whatever the issue happens to be.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Well, how often do regular meetings and communications take place around any particular development?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: are numerous meetings happening all the time. Again, depending on what the issues may be and where the projects are in the lifecycle. So, when we're in the pre-development stage, we are out there-- you know, we have scheduled meetings, and we're also willing to go out at any time to resolve issues. So there'syou know, there's not necessarily-- you know, we're only-- we're not only committing to a thick schedule. We will go out and make sure we're addressing resident concerns in real-time. You know, during the construction phase we are typically having monthly meetings, and post-construciton we're also out at the sites very regular, as well.

one day.

3

1

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. It seems that way. Has there been any thought of having a designated transition team with the members of the offices who have dealt with the development preconversion and members of the offices who will deal with it-- deal with the development post-conversion to all the information to be shared and for residents to have some similar faces to deal with? Is that taken into consideration, and--

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: [interposing] Again, we work to make sure that there is overlap of pre and post-conversion, but certainly always willing to explore other opportunities to continue to improve the program. So it's something that we can certainly consider.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: I'd like to, you know, add to it. We don't just hand over the keys and say, you know, good luck. We continue to own the property. We continue to own the buildings. We have an interest to make sure that the conversion goes smoothly. There has been many cases, especially early in conversion processes where there have been, you know, emergency situations where NYCHA staff who knows the property better has been-- you know, worked

2.2

2.3

have seen that.

alongside with the partners, you know, to make sure that they understand the systems, that they make sure that they've been able to get things like heat back on quickly or other outages. But once-- after a while, once they get used to the systems, once they put in their new systems, those things aren't required as much. But early on in the process we

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Particularly when it comes to document sharing, how are documents transferred over to the new management?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: In the months leading up to the conversion, we are certainly providing all forms of documentation whether it's related to the building and their conditions and their systems, but also, you know, the resident files so that the new team can start to build out their rent rules and alike, and they can understand who all of their incoming residents are going to be. I don't know if Lakesha can speak to some of that as well. But it is very comprehensive and we try to make sure that they have a full package well before the conversion so that they can hit the ground running at conversion.

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 62
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: To add
3	in, we're also scanning in information related to
4	like the last recertification, vital documents so
5	that the landlord has access to that most recent
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] So, it's
7	a system that the electronic system that where the
8	documents are scanned on and transferred over to the
9	management in the form of a is it a disc, or how is
10	it actually handed over to them?
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: They
12	are physically scanning. They maintain their own
13	database, as well as NYCHA has a database of
14	documents.
15	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Got you. What
16	resources are currently available for tenants to find
17	their documents are being properly handled by the new
18	management?
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER:
20	[inaudible]
21	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I mean, you do
22	the oversight. That's what I'm asking.
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Sorry,
24	can you repeat?

\_

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What resources is currently available for tenants who find their documents— who find their documents were not being properly handled during the transition? What process is put in place?

don't know that that happens often, but you know,
when we have heard of issues of incomplete
information, we work with the existing team at NYCHA
as well as the incoming property managers to make
sure the files are complete. It's not-- wouldn't say
a systemic issue, but sometimes for whatever reason
there are documents that might be missing. We want
to make sure that we have a complete file.

instances where tenants have put in documents with the prior management, whether it was to change the composition in the household or add folks to the composition in the household, and that information wasn't transferred over, and has resulted in being dragged into court or possibly be-- you know, lead in towards an eviction. So that's the reason why we're asking this question as to what process is put in place to make sure that documents are being

2.2

2.3

transferred over properly and that this aggressive attack on the tenants to drag them to the court, it does— it stops. So, we need to get some clarity as to how those documents are being transferred over, because we're not— it's not happening on the ground, and then management, when those controversies do arise, management is saying well, you got to go to NYCHA. It's like a back and forth. So, if we can get some more clarity on that, I greatly appreciate it.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are new management companies required to maintain a 24/7 hotline or any equivalent services to receive tenant feedback?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: I wouldn't say it's required to be 24 hours, but they all have various methods of communication, whether it's email, telephone and alike. So we expect our PACT partners to provide a high level of customer service to make sure that they're hearing from residents.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, does that high level of, I guess, response in a sense-- does it require them to actually maintain-- are they

should be provided in any file. So whether it is a

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

resident noting that something might be missing or the PACT partner noting that something's missing, that's how we will triangulate and figure out how to identify what's missing if anything, and then either find the document or recreate a document if necessary.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, when it comes to shelter allowance information, we've received concerns that NYCHA and HRA do not automatically updated the shelter allowance information when a property transition from public housing to PACT. This administrator fix could prevent a PACT developer from filing an eviction for nonpayment. Can we get a commitment or timeline from NYCHA on when this issue will be fixed?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Council Member, I'm happy to say that it has already been fixed. We do work with HRA pre-conversion to make sure that they know that they have clients who live in the development that is about to be converted, so this way shelter allowance payments are transferred to the new development team. Early on this was an issue in our early conversions. something that we learned. It was something that,

original lease was developed, as you mentioned, with

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

67

1

we are planning those monthly meetings accordingly,

2 making sure the proper languages are distributed to 3 residents often. We have a PACT hotline that either 4 can call or email to make sure that if you have questions we can make sure within a 48-hour notice 5 return your phone call and answer any questions that 6 7 a resident may have. We send out robo-call and email 8 reminders for all meetings that we have to make sure people are reminded besides jut putting up notices door-by-door. We make sure that the resident 10 11 association is a part of everything that we do, all 12 of the planning for meetings and any type of 13 engagement, because of course, we do want to make 14 sure that we have foundational engagement, but every 15 development is different. So we want to make sure 16 that we set a plan that sets the engagement for that 17 specific development. In the last few years we've 18 learned that, you know, residents want to see someone 19 and make sure that ongoingly [sic] that they know 20 that particular person would like to be at the development. So we instituted a PACT office hours 21 2.2 for all PACT developments where staff of mine are at 2.3 a specific site. It could be at a management office or a TA office, and they know that staff person will 24 be there very Tuesday and Thursday from 1:00 to 5:00 25

and to make sure that they can come down and talk to an actual staff person to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How do you determine the outreach efforts you conducted is sufficient?

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: So, again, we sit down with the resident association and any resident that would like to take part in it to make sure that besides the monthly meetings, besides just the ongoing distribution of information, we try to form an engagement plan that fits the needs of that residence. Again, there's larger developments and there's very small developments, so we want to make sure that it fits the needs of the residents.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright. What recourse exists with tenants who find that the PACT developers are not maintaining sufficient levels of outreach or communication during the process?

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: So, I'll just talk about what my staff do, and Jonathan can surely jump in. But I have a post-conversion unit that works with the resident associations, the management companies, and the social services offices where we go and inspect and meet with them quarterly to make sure that we're hearing in real-time, you know,

issues and concerns. We make sure to partner with our asset management team at real estate to transfer that information to them to make sure that we're addressing all of the issues and concerns and getting back to the resident in a timely basis. The residents are—besides just the quarterly meetings, they can also call us at any time and we can set up special meetings as needed.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Now, we're going to move forward to-- oh, we have been joined by Councilman Salamanca. We're not going to move forward with a round of questions from my committee members, and we're going to begin with Council Member Avilés.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, Chair.

Good to see you all. I guess I'd like to-- so many questions. So many questions. Let me start very quickly, because I know I'm on a clock this time, so I don't have the liberty of yapping. But in the response-- in the response to the survey that you mentioned in your presentation, you mentioned four developments participated in the survey. Can you tell me how many respondents that included?

2.2

2.3

and Reid Park Rock are the first two to receive city

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 73
2	subsidy, and we expect as we go forward there were be
3	additional projects that will require some city
4	capital.
5	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: There was a huge
6	investment of staff and NYCHA time, I'm sure, in
7	putting together these deals and units and potential
8	oversight, creating the dashboard. So I would
9	imagine it's significantly higher than just direct
10	subsidy, is that correct?
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:
12	Certainly, yes. There's NYCHA staff time.
13	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Could you provide
14	some estimation of what that actual direct investment
15	in developing, maintaining and expanding this program
16	is looking like?
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: We
18	would I would have to look into that. I don't know
19	what that would be.
20	CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. We look
21	forward to receiving the information. You mentioned

We look entioned the number of conversions over the next two years, I guess that would complete the full amount that is in the pipeline and the end amount. Of course, I'm not doing the math, but do you expect to continue to

22

23

24

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 aggressively fill PACT sites over the next five
3 years?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Well, the commitment was to convert 62,000 over 10 years starting in December of 2018. So it is our intention to get to that 62,000 by the end of-- by the deadline of 2028.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Okay, and in terms of— how is NYCHA monitoring response time to tenant requests at the PACT development currently, and how would you respond to a resident who said that in her development that's been converted, you're only allowed to submit one request at a time, and that management told her she could not submit another request.

track work orders and resolution on work orders on a monthly basis, and overall the performance is very strong, well over 90 percent on time. However, as we've heard sometimes that doesn't seem to be the case. So I would -- you know, I would invite the residents to obviously work with their property managers, but also if they're not getting satisfactory answers, they can reach out to us

the construction is progressing as expected and

consistent with the scope that we agreed to with our PACT partners, and that happens that throughout the construction process. Post-construction there is also going to be annual HQS inspections to make sure that the apartments were, you know, repaired correctly and are continuing to be maintained appropriate. We've also as mentioned in my testimony we've developed this sruvey hat we are administering on a rolling basis. So as developments are completed in term so their construction, there will be surveys and we will gauge resident satisfaction with those in the construction and the property Ma.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: And obviously, I mean, I feel like I remember talking to you about it when it as developed, so I'm glad to see that it has been implemented. I guess could you provide the Council with an accounting of how many inspections have been done to-date? What is-- is there a metric by which I guess oversight feels robust enough for you to feel like these numbers that are on the benchmark are in fact verifiable?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: We could put that information together for you.

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Do you have
3 benchmarks for the quality-- I think they're called
4 quality assistance or quality monitoring team?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: You mean the HQS?

CHAIRPERSON AVILES: Yes.

it relates to-- so it' housing quality standards. It is an inspection required by HUD no less than annually. We are required to do 100 percent basically annually. We have set with HUD discretion permission. If the inspection passes, we do it biannually. Residents can also, if they feel the need, they can contact us and request a special inspection. If they feel like in between their regular HUD-required inspections that something is in disrepair, they can contact us. We'll come back out.

Member, may I had, and Lakesha could probably even add to what I'm going to say, too, is that the Federal Government recently put in even more stringent standards in place in terms of not only inspecting the units, but also inspecting the common areas, and safety, and inspections standards. So

2 that is not only true for the PACT properties, but 3 also true for public housing.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Yeah, and I'm glad for that certainly. But biannual, I think-- what we see in the pre-panel is there are still some real gaps here, and these are the same complaints we've heard over the years that there continues to be this disconnection between residents are experiencing shoddy repairs, real challenges with the private management firms, and not a lot of remedy to that And we're continuing full steam ahead with the program. Can we-- and obviously we have to acknowledge where flowers are due, that some of these developments, you know, have bene successful. are real-- there is real resident satisfaction. Nevertheless, in terms of the legislation that is being proposed today, you referenced the benchmarks that is on the website, and I was looking at them, and it think they're moderately helpful for someone like me, but certainly even when I was looking for Penn-Wortman house in these benchmarks, they're classified by bundle. That's relatively useless if you don't know what the bundles actually are. So I would say a resident -- that would not be helpful for

2.2

2.3

them. It wasn't helpful for me, so you may want to reconsider, but if— and none of thus data is disaggregated, and certainly I think the legislation put forward relatively minimal standards of impact, and I think we're going to hear that we need to increase those— we need to make the measures more robust that we would like to hear from NYCHA and the Mayor's Office around. This does not meet the intention of the legislation. I think there's some still some space in between. I would like to know very clearly if the Administration is interested in working together to get a robust impact statement on PACT?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Yes.
We are--

## EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

[interposing] so Council Member, I think we've heard you and this is something that you've called for for a long time. I think the dashboard is very new, but we would be happy to work with you and the Council and the Chairman and Council staff making it even more robust and making sure that it is user-friendly and also has the data that is the most useful, but this is just a start. The one thing about this

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you. And I

2

3

1

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

program, it has evolved over the years, and it has taken a lot of input, and to us transparency, you know, and the more data that's out there can only, you know, help the program.

would just like to say in closing, I think residents need to be given an option to choose the future of their developments and to be given full, accurate information. And written information is one think. Accessible information is another, which often requires conversations and detangling and using language that is accessible, and much of these documents are incomprehensible. So I would say we need to do better, because we're still having the same conversation around these, you know, highly technical documents that are not helpful to residents who are given the tasks of reviewing them and coming to some sort of conclusion in very short time frames. It is an unfair engagement. But also the name for what is on the website. Thank you for staring that. nevertheless, if the data that is here is incomprehensible and not usable, it's because the benchmark says right now that PACT pretty much-there are no outstanding work orders, and yet we see

2.2

2.3

here that that is not in fact the case. There is something wrong. So please continue to do the work of making things truly accessible to the residents and having them truly engage in a process of dignity and autonomy where they decide with full information, not after the fact, like demolition—full information before they make choices. With that, I thank you and I yield, and thank you so much for the courtesy, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council Member. Now we're going to move-- we're going to allow Council Member Sanchez to have a round of questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you,

Chair, and good morning, friends. It's good to see

you all. I have a lot of questions. So we could— I

have a lot of questions. I have a lot of questions,

so if we could just try to be very direct. So, first

of all, jut by way of framing, and we heard— we

heard from tenants in the pre-panel. Thank you so

much for testifying. It's a very big impact, 35

percent of the public housing portfolio in the City

of New York transferring over to private management

through the PACT program, 62,000 units, that's really

2.3

big. So I just want to-- I'm going to ask this question. Is there a goal for the Trust, how many units are going to be converted via the Trust?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Thank you, Council Member. The legislation gives us the ability to transfer 25,000 units.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: 25, okay, thank you so much. Second, picking up where Council Member Avilés left off and directly in relation to so many of the concerns we heard this morning from our tenant panel— I was listening online. Thanks, technology. What oversight or inspections is there on PACT conversion construction sites? Is DOB inspecting these sites? Does NYCHA have a dedicated team?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So, certainly all regulatory agencies can inspect at any time, but as it relates to our direct involvement, there's the Design and Construction Team within real estate that conduct inspection. Some of that is staff based. We also have a couple of firms that help augment that work for us and go out and do inspection so that we can ensure that the work is progressing as expected.

$\sim$	
_	

2.2

2.3

call 311?

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: And so if NYCHA tenants observe what they deem to be unsafe work practices like asbestos incorrectly— asbestos remediation work being incorrectly covered or unsafe lead practices, etcetera, who do they call? Do they

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: They can certainly reach out to us, and we will respond quickly. We have always responded to every complaint that we've gotten in-- basically immediately.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: And yes, they can--

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: [interposing]

Also call 311? You can also call 311 and let your
local Council Member know. Thank you. Okay, third,
with respect to the PACT hotline you mentioned, you
said Legal Aid Society is receiving funding to do
this work. How much funding is legal aid society
receiving? what is the source of these funds, and
are they receiving enough funds to represent tenants
in court or is this simply the operation of the
hotline, and if so, what is— what sources or

over-income families remain in PACT converted

24

buildings? By how much have their rents increased,

3 and how are rents determined for these over-income

4 | families? Yeah, I think, Gouveia, you know where I'm

5 coming from.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: The same-- I am sorry, but the same answer. We will get you that information following this session.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay, and for context, the Center for Court Innovation has raised concerns about rent increases at PACT sites. RAD regulations require PACT managers to charge flat rent based on Section 8 flat rent schedule instead of the NYCHA flat rent schedule, and there is concern that this change can push higher and moderate income families out of PACT buildings, helping to concentrate poverty and depleting shared resources among neighbors. So really look forward to that information. Okay, thank you. Regarding transfers-actually, I'm going to skip this one due to time. regarding transparency-- so in the process of conversion, various documents are produced by NYCHA to ensure that protection of the NYCHA property tenant rights, which of these are shared, which are not, and why not? And specifically I'm referring to

the best deal for the City and for NYCHA.

[interposing] No, it was just one--

but also providing good paying union jobs for the

2.2

2.3

building trades. I know we can't say that in those terms nowadays, but it was concerning your answer to the Chair's question that no NYCHA union employees have stayed within their worksites. They have all have transferred to other developments. So question one is has there been attrition of these union employees that were on NYCHA sites? Have they all transferred to other sites? And second, are there either local hire or union requirements for PACT-converted sites? And that is the conclusion of my questions.

Member, as so just to clarify, I think the-- so all the employees at the site are offered the opportunity to stay onsite. However, most of them choose not to CHIEF BELTRAN: they're city employees. They have municipal pensions. They have a lot of benefits and they have a lot time, and so the option to stay in their union which is the Teamsters which is a municipal union, most of them choose to do that because they'd be giving up a lot of benefits there. We have at Betances Houses, the super retired from NYCHA and then stayed on with the property there, lent their expertise, but in that situation

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you, Chair.

Just to follow back on the question. Section 8

24

2.3

would not do it.

resident body.

$^{\circ}$	
/	

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: is going rogue on their own and they want RAD and PACT because maybe you promised them something, or-- and the majority of the tenants don't want it, let me know.

[applause]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Order.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Again, this is why we have meetings with the full resident body to gauge their interest. We don't rely just on the tenant association presidents.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, now, any development who do not want to get in RAD and PACT, you will not make them?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: If we felt like there was a significant number of people who are not interested, we would not, and we have done-- we've made those decisions--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] So, I have a few that's been calling me, so I will make sure we're going to stay on that route, that they do not want it. Okay. I just want to ask you another question. You said—how many times has NYCHA changed a PACT partner? You said you never did.

we didn't-- as I mentioned, that was a decision that Penrose [sic] the developer at Hope Gardens made about Pinnacle City Living. Pinnacle has worked extensively with BFC who's the developer for West Brighton. They have a long-standing relationship. They manage-- Pinnacle manages many of BFC's-- or a number of BFC's buildings, so it appears that there is a good working relationship there, and we're confident we can move forward.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: If the tenants would like them to cut ties with them, is it feasible?

complaints?

I'm just-- no. I'm just describing--

want to know.

reconstruction is really dusty and--

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 101
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Is it
3	a budget for you to move them?
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: No, it's
5	not a budget issue, you know.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: No, I'm asking
7	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:
8	[interposing] Yeah, yeah, it's not a budget issue
9	whatsoever.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing]
11	Management company do not have a budget that if it
12	egregious you would move the tenant into a hotel or
13	if it's not enough vacant apartments, you would move
14	them out.
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: It's not
16	a budget issue whatsoever.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So you do have a
18	budget for that.
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We do
20	have a budget for that, correct.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Can you tell me
22	how much? Because I believe I know a lot of
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:
24	[interposing] Yeah, with it

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 102
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: constituents want
3	to move out while you're doing the development.
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: That
5	really honestly, in our experience that has not
6	been the case. A lot of people, you know, they want
7	to stay in the development. They want to stay in
8	their apartments. The reason being, a lot of people
9	have, you know if they children, you know, they
10	don't want to they want to make sure that they move
11	too far away from the development.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So you can move
13	them to a a nice hotel close.
14	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We
15	could. Yeah, we definitely could, and you know,
16	especially when people have health issues, we want to
17	make sure that the work is done safe.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I have one more
19	question.
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Go ahead, Council
21	Member.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Just one more
23	question. With RAD and PACT conversions, why are the

apartments being smaller, the elevators are being

smaller? Could you explain that?

24

appropriate for other buildings in my district, but

the PACT that is currently occurring on Stebbins and

24

2 Hewitt, my constituents are extremely happy with the work that's being done. I can tell you that. 3 years they went out with, you know, the winter, no 4 heat, no hot water, leaks in their roof whenever it It rained inside of their apartments. 6 rained. windows not insulated appropriately, air coming in 8 and out. This was the first winter where they thanked me for getting this work done, and I'm just--I just want to say thank you for that. But, as I 10 11 said, that doesn't mean that the PACT will be 12 appropriate for Melrose Houses or Jackson Houses, 13 right? So just -- I just want to put that out there. 14 Going back to what the Council Member Darlene Mealy 15 said, some of the frustrations while going through 16 these renovations are-- they came in at times 17 unannounced, had to move their furniture around, kind 18 of inconvenienced them, the dust -- that did affect 19 So there are, I can say, certain situations-them. 20 or maybe what I think NYCHA should do is look at what 21 occurred so we can fix these issues as you move 2.2 forward in other PACTs. So with that, I'm going to 2.3 leave it at that. I want to talk about sidewalks. homeowner, when you have a sidewalk and the sidewalk 24 25 is cracked, the Department of Transportation gives

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 105
2	you time to fix it. If they don't fix it if you
3	don't fix it, you get a violation, and the Department
4	of Transportation comes and fixes it for you and they
5	send you a bill. Your sidewalk, if you don't clean
6	it, the Department of Sanitation comes in and gives
7	you a violation, and they'll come back again. If it's
8	not clean, they double your violation or your
9	summons. I made a call the other day because Forest
10	Houses, there are their sidewalks are completely
11	damaged, and the President of Forest Houses, you
12	know, he's disabled and he uses a wheelchair to get
13	around. I made a call and I asked hey, we need to
14	fix this sidewalk and I sent pictures. There were
15	holes on the sidewalks. How soon can we get this
16	sidewalk fixed? And I was told that NYCHA's not
17	responsible for the sidewalk, that is the Department
18	of Transportation. I want to know why is it that a
19	homeowner is responsible to fix their sidewalk. If
20	they don't fix it, you get a violation by the
21	Department of Transportation, but NYCHA is not
22	responsible to fix their sidewalk. I need an answer
23	to that, because it just doesn't not make sense.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Council Member, thank you for the question. So NYCHA is

24

_	_

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

responsible for the roadways and the -- within the campus, and you know, this predates me. You know, this goes back decades. And the sidewalks itself is the Department of Transportation. I cannot tell you why. We probably have to do some research, but you know, I've been with NYCHA now for 18 years. It's been as long as--

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: [interposing] Brian, you and I have a great relationship. I talk to you often, but it just does not make any sense. Why is a property owner, a building, or a home wonder responsible for their sidewalk, and NYCHA is not responsible for their sidewalk? It's called common sense. Like, that does not make sense. So, can we get the sidewalk fixed at Forest Houses?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: call you later, and then we can look into that, yeah. You know, I don't want to make -- I can't make a commitment here, but we could look into it.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright. Mr. Chair, I think we should have a hearing on sidewalks, you know?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We'll have a hearing on sidewalks.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 107
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: See how we can
3	fix our sidewalks at NYCHA.
4	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Hopefully we get a
5	better answer than a side bar.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright, thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright, we're going
9	to go back to changing during conversion, and we want
10	to get to right-sizing. What is the process for
11	NYCHA using right-sizing during the PACT conversion?
12	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: For
13	right-sizing we honor the public housing standards,
14	because there is a slight difference between public
15	housing and Section 8.
16	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right.
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: So
18	anyone who was in place at the time of conversion, we
19	honor the public housing occupancy standards. We
20	only require right-sizing for those who do not meet
21	that standard.
22	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: and what happens to
23	families who are under-occupied units when there are
24	no other units available in their development?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 108
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: They
3	are notified of the need to right-size, and they're
4	put on a list so that the next time that a unit is
5	available that that meets size of their need, they
6	are notified that they need to transfer.
7	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, there's no
8	displacement.
9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. And are there
11	reasonable accommodations given to elderly folks?
12	Because I know we have a lot of seniors who are
13	living in two or three-bedroom apartments. Are
14	reasonable accommodations being given by the
15	developers, or the new management company that's
16	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER:
17	[interposing] To remain in their current unit?
18	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: To remain in the
19	current unit in a three-bedroom apartment, are
20	reasonable accommodations being given?
21	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: We are
22	allowed to give reasonable accommodations, but not
23	to like, it can't be more than two bedrooms greate:
24	than what they need. So, the most that we could

really do is offer one additional bedroom based on

that shows a true medical need.

unit.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: can become a court issue if they refuse to leave the unit.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, so you-possibly could possibly become homeless if there's no place for them to go?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: I will say hopefully everyone will comply, but if someone refused to abide by the regulations that they've signed onto, then--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well, I mean, but this is a situation where you have a person who was not part of the composition, but has been living in the apartment for the last 20 years, the-whatever the situation is with the wife, wife left, took the children. Now this particular individual is in the apartment. What is done to make sure that person doesn't become homeless and maintains the place where they're living at? And they've been also -- they've been also continued to pay the rent.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: just want to clarify, that the answers given before was based on someone legally being part of the household.

oversight and NYCHA's role when it comes to a

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 113
2	controversy like that, who's the lead person or who
3	is there who steps in in a situation like that.
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: The
5	Lease Housing Department
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Right.
7	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: will
8	review. We will work with the family. We will work
9	with on-site management to gather as much information
10	as possible to come to a final determination on that
11	tenancy.
12	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, what
13	information about under-occupied units is given to
14	the PACT developer during the conversion?
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: During
16	the conversion process everything is given regarding
17	legal logs like court actions, who the last who the
18	occupants are, the known occupants are. Anything
19	else? The rent roll, sorry.
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And what happens to
21	families who are in an under-occupied unit when there
22	are no other units available in the development?
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: I'm
24	sorry, can you say that again?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 114
2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What happens to
3	families who are in under-occupied units when there
4	are no other units available in the development?
5	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: They
6	would go onto a list, and would consider according to
7	that list whenever vacancies occur.
8	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, they would have
9	to go on a list?
10	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Yes,
11	because at the in the entire development we're
12	looking at everyone who is either under or over-
13	occupied. So as units become available, then

13 14 management is notified here's the next people on the

15 list who need to right-size.

> CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. And when it comes to right-sizing, we know that there are some development where there are only X amount of onebedrooms. And I think-- I just want to get that on the record again, it is the policy of NYCHA that a-until an appropriate apartment becomes available, then the person would be moved. When it comes to ticket tracking and repairs, working during the PACT process, what is the current system in place for

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I know there's

been instances where some management companies have

24

2.2

2.3

not instituted a ticketed system, and— well, let me say this. they've taken two years or so to introduce a ticketing system, and it's been very chaotic, and even after they've introduced the ticketed system, they're still, you know— repairs are still not being met, and there's still major issue that are not— not basically being addressed by the new management.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Okay, I'd be interested to follow up on specifics on that with you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Also, tenants have described that once a development begins the PACT process, repairs at the development tend to slow down or stop at altogether, and are left for when the conversion process commences. What work is still being done by NYCHA, and what work is left to be undone by the PACT partner?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So,

NYCHA remains responsible for all the properties and

all the repairs right up until the date of

conversion, and if so, it is our expectation that

work would not slow down, and so to the extent that

we are made aware of any issues, we will sort through

that and make sure that work happens.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What happens to
3 ongoing work already underway?
4 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVETA:

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: It would have to be completed.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, does NYCHA

complete it, or does the new management? Was there

a-- because we're talking about transitioning. Is

there a smooth transition? Because I've seen

situations and heard of situations where basically

NYCHA just, you know, disappeared, and that has

happened in a couple of different developments that

are in my district where even the developer said that

they just-- they just gave us the key and

disappeared.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Well, again, that is not what is supposed to happen, and I'm not sure if you're asking about, you know, work orders that residents have been filing in their specific apartments or other types of projects, but certainly--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well, it's a combination of the two, work orders and also projects that are being undertaken by NYCHA at the time of the conversion.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:

^

)

work orders, you know, they should be addressed in real time as they're being filed by residents right up until the closing and NYCHA should complete those. As it relates to larger potential capital projects, you know, one of the things that we try to do is make sure that, you know, if we think a project can be done NYCHA before the conversion happens and it makes sense for the longevity of the project, then that project would go forward. if we think it makes more sense for the PACT partner to take over a piece of work, like say replacing boilers or what have you, we would then ask the PACT partner to do that work if it

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Let me move on to the employment at PACT development which is to me very important. At Linden and Boulevard Houses which are in my particular district, two development that recently transitioned from public housing to PACT—not most recently, but a couple of years back. How many NYCHA employees did these developments have before the conversion?

makes more sense for them to do it than us.

basically a housing assistant would be the same as a

housing assistant under the new management, right?

24

What would be the difference? That's a simple question.

2.2

2.3

starting in both.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: I think we can get you— also— I think we can get you the starting, you know, at each— you know, starting salary at each, but you know, NYCHA employees tend to stay for quite some time. You know, a housing assistant could make, you know, the salary would be quite different, you know, but we could look for

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I guess this question hits on what Council Member Sanchez had brought up. Does NYCHA track the union membership or local hiring of the PACT developers against what they propose or promise to the developer's selection process?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: We do.

We do track the local hiring. We do track the

Section 3 hiring, and also too, can I just clarify

something I had said earlier, too. I just want to

make sure, too, the Section 3 rules apply, you know,

to the construction under, you know, PACT sites. You

know, just as they do in NYCHA, but not moving—not

on all hiring.

real engagement even with the tenant association to

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:

24 Absolutely.

2.3

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Regarding-- and also, too, can we get a breakdown of the unions that are being used-- that are being used by these particular development companies?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Yes

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: And also the numbers on union positions that are on these particular sites. Now, I'll move onto post-conversion oversight. What information is captured in monthly reports by PACT developers that details high-risk repairs such as mold, lead, elevator, heat, and hot water complaints?

it's the same as the period during construction. So all of the work orders that are filed, you know, we get that monthly reporting so we know how many have been create—how many work orders have been created and we track both the number, the quantity but also the time to resolution.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, how do you-- how does NYCHA verify that these reports are accurate?
What system is in place?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Well, you know, we also make sure that our residents are

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 124
2	satisfied, right? Like, if our re we rely in part
3	on residents confirming
4	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] What's
5	the system that's in place?
6	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Well,
7	right now, it's really based on the information that
8	comes from the development
9	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] How do
10	you engage the residents to get that information?
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Well,
12	we often hear from them if they feel like it hasn't
13	been resolved in time.
14	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, there's no
15	outreach?
16	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Brad
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just one second,
18	yeah. Could you raise your right hand? Do you
19	affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing
20	but truth in your testimony before this committee and
21	to respond honestly to Council Member questions?
22	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I do.
23	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And if you could just
24	identify yourself and your title for the record?

CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: I'm

3	Brad Greenburg, the Chief Compliance Officer at
4	NYCHA. Just to our systems that we have in place
5	in really key areas to confirm that the work is being
6	done, including with field inspections and talking to
7	residents, I want to talk about a couple of them for
8	you, Chair. One is the reports that come in from the
9	PACT developers on mold and leaks. If the work order
10	exceeds a 30-day period, it comes directly to my
11	Department, to the Compliance Department form the
12	Real Estate Department, and then we have a process in
13	place where we take each work order and we chase down
14	information about it. We both call the residents
15	themselves and ask them about the status of the work
16	in their apartment, and we also ask for an update
17	from the developer. If we're not satisfied either
18	with generally how the developer is responding or the
19	residents detailed reporting to us about the work
20	order in their unit that's exceeded 30 days, we go
21	and do a visit ourselves. We've gone to a lot of
22	properties throughout the portfolio just to see the
23	quality of the work, see whether or not the work
24	should be getting done. If we find that it's not
25	getting done, we have a protocol in place to issue a

trigger a

2

3 housing quality standards inspection by the Leased

4 Housing Department to suspend subsidies. That's what

we do on the mold and leak front. We also have a 5

third-party environmental firm that goes out related 6

7 to lead-based paint, and if we are told that there is

an issue responding to a complaint around that, we 8

send that inspector out, you know, to go do a full

evaluation. We also might ask for clearance reports 10

11 or other things that we need from the developer.

12 there are systems that we've put in place in the last

13 few years to try to understand whether or not they

14 work's being done properly.

15 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How many times has

16 NYCHA identified issues with a PACT partner for being

17 non-compliant?

18 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

19 know, so we are working with them every day. Like,

before this committee hearing I was on the phone--

21 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Can you

2.2 give me a number?

2.3 CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:

hard to say exactly because it vary--24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 127
2	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well,
3	can you get that can you get that to us?
4	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: The
5	number is how many times we found
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] You
7	found a PACT partner in non-compliance.
8	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG:
9	yeah, we've done multiple instances of that. It's
10	really not so simple of like whether you're
11	noncompliant or not. Our goal is to get the
12	residents satisfied with the work in their apartment,
13	so we get to that point, and that's what we're trying
14	to chase.
15	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I mean, the
16	reason why I ask that, because if there's a multitude
17	of situations when the PACT partner is found non-
18	compliant, then we know you know, they're obligated
19	to provide these services to the tenants.
20	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER GREENBURG: We
21	just
22	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] So, we
23	need to track to see, you know, if this is really
24	working, and that's the reason why we need to know

is get to resident satisfaction. So we will be

working with the PACT partner and the residents to

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 2.3

24

make sure that whatever the issue is, it is being resolved.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: On the PACT Dashboard, NYCHA describes tenancy proceeding status with pre-eviction outreach, cases initiated, and evictions. Do PACT partners provide any other data about outcomes of outreach or initiations such as the number of households entering payment plans or the number of households who are self-evic [sic] or leave prior to pre-eviction or to a pre-eviction?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: we require our PACT partners to share with us, you know, the names and numbers of all of the households that are in pre-eviction outreach, and as well, they have to provide us with detailed notes on, you know, the nature of the issue and the steps that they have been taking to communicate with residents and put those residents into-- to connect residents with the relevant resources to try to rectify the issue.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, and the services. Any services the developer or nonprofit provides for a development connected to household, what are the services that are being provided?

2.2

2.3

2		EXE	CUTIVE	VI	CE	PRE	SID	ENT	G	OUVEIA:	well,
3	something	that	comes	up	a	lot	is	if	а	resident	is

having trouble paying rent and it's due to a change in income, often times folks don't-- perhaps don't realize that they can recertify, so just taking the step of making sure that the resident re-certifies their income and then they can have their rent

understanding what the nature of the issue is, and then figuring out what is the best strategy for

recalculated. So it's things like that, really

12 | helping them out.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Who provides the oversight over the not-for-profit or the community-based organization that's providing the social services?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: It is the-- they are governed by the joint venture team primarily, and you know, we have some oversight over them as well.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: The-- particularly the work scope, some of the nonprofits or CBOs who are providing services or say they're providing services for some reason they have-- like I know one particular not-for-profit or CBO that is providing

services at Linden Houses. They basically—their work scope is dedicated to benefits and I guess assisting folks with rent arears, but when I question them on workforce development, they said that wasn't part of their scope. So— and I think that that goes back to the oversight and making sure that these developers or management companies fall into compliance with the Section 3. Why are they not hiring nonprofits or CBOs that are also providing or assisting with workforce development?

the social service programs vary by development to development, and what we've tried to do is allow those plans to be developed and tailored to the needs of each community. So that is what— that is the approach. That's why you'll see some variations from development to development, but you know, if there is a need that's not being met at any particular sites, we can certainly discuss revisiting what social services are being provided.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Do you have a list of all of the CBOs or social service providers that are in--

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:

[interposing] Yes, we can provide that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. And actually, when it comes to their contracts, is the tenant association involved in that process in helping to make sure a situation that's going on like in Linden Houses doesn't take place where they're providing work to the tenants—well, basically they're providing services to tenants that—not saying they're not needing, but where they should be providing other services.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Yes, we do rely on, you know, the insight and the expertise of the tenant association president and leadership to tell us what they think their needs are. So again, if there are— as the projects evolve and as things change on the campuses over time, if there are new needs that come up, we can certainly talk about what those social service program would look like.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, resident engagement is key and important. One of the things that we constantly see is that what you said is not what's actually being done. So, if we can get

clarity or just a straight answer more as to why we have developments or CBOs coming into New York development under RAD/PACT that have not been any engagement— it's been no engagement with the tenant association in the beginning stages to make sure that the CBO that is coming in is meeting the needs and desires of the development.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, we're going to forward with another round. I'm just hogging the mic for a little. We're going back to our-- we're going to go to our second round of questioning-- questions by our committee members, and we're going to begin-- we're going to go to Council Member Sanchez.

Thank you so much, Chair. That is the Chair's privilege, so that's just what you do, okay? Thank you. So, my first question is a local one, and I'm looking at you, Leroy, because you know, just in case this is happening in other conversions. So I have the northwest Bronx bundle. It's not 100 percent in my district, but it's pretty much, very close to 100 percent of my district. Almost all of my NYCHA buildings with the exception of Marble Hill are

_	COMMITTIES ON TODATO MODELING 131
2	undergoing conversions, and in one of my sites we
3	have a tenant association that we were told by the
4	tenants was dissolved. They're having internal
5	issues, and NYCHA appears to make that choice. You
6	can confirm that for me. But in these cases, and I
7	know this has been an ongoing conversation between my
8	office and NYCHA where tenant leadership is not, you
9	know there is not the formal board. It's been a
LO	question for me what is NYCHA's approach rather you
L1	know, other than just blanketing the whole building
L2	and inviting everyone to information sessions. What
L3	is the participation in the leadership, the steering
L 4	of the conversion process by the tenants? Right?
L5	I'm concerned about how residents' concerns and their
L6	voices are reflected when they don't have a formal TA
L7	or where they have a dysfunctional TA, or where you
L8	know, for whatever reason the average tenant is not
L 9	really privy to what's going on. So, can you just
20	share about what is NYCHA's approach in these kind of
21	situations? How do you ensure that NYCHA and the
22	development team, the PACT partner are hearing from
23	all of the voices and all of the concerns?
24	VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: so, our

approach again is like you said, is to make sure all

24

2.3

residents know the same thing. NYCHA's job is to make sure we have the withdraw [sic] recognition from that particular association. We'll be meeting with the residents to see if we can start a new association at that particular development, but again, we want to make sure from top/bottom that all residents receive the same information and we're there to assist in any way if possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay. All tenants receive the same information, but there's a steering committee that is sort of, you know, their-they sign NDAs, they're not able to disclose what is happening in those meetings. So in the case of my building there are buildings that are not represented.

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: So, if there ever is a development where there's no resident associations, we select residents that seem like they want to be a part of the process. So it will never a point where we don't have residents as part of the committee to select a developer.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: And what are the responsibilities of those residents to inform the rest of the NYCHA residents within that building?

tenants in particular, because it is concerning. I
have questions about who's at the table representing
hundreds of apartments that are, you know, don't have
a TA leadership and don't have anyone right now. So,
regarding transfers, Legal Services of New York City
has reported that following a building conversion
under RAD/PACT, tenants lose their ability to
transfer unless their desired building is a part of
the same bundle owned and managed by the same private
landlord and company which is in turn limiting their
ability to transfer outside of say with northwest
Bronx bundle, to transfer outside that bundle, and is
limiting their, you know, privileges, if you will, as
compared to NYCHA residents. So that is one way that
the, you know, privileges of a NYCHA residency are
different under PACT. So, given these concerns,
we've heard of tenants who, you know, were on the
wait list for a very long time, you know, wanted to
have an accessible unit and they were waiting and
their building was converted. Is NYCHA considering
allowance of families that are staying that have
been on wait lists before the transfer? Is NYCHA
considering allowing them to stay on the wait list or

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: That you cannot

transfer from Section 8 to Section 9.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 139
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Not
3	that I'm aware of, but we can get back to you on
4	that.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: If you have not
6	made that request, is that something that NYCHA would
7	consider?
8	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: It is
9	we are looking at ways we have heard this, and
LO	we've heard this form the group that you had
l1	mentioned and also from residents. It is something
L2	that we acknowledge is an issue, but not an easy
L3	issue to solve, but we would definitely consider and
L4	listen to ways to try to solve for it.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.
L6	I know you have a great relationship with your
L7	regional secretary, right? Former Chair of the
18	Public Housing Committee here, Alicka Ampry-Samuels.
L9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Talk to
20	her a few times. We talk to
21	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: [interposing]

22 Last question, this might be a TBD, but does NYCHA have anything to share regarding how the Mayor's City of Yes proposals will impact either PACT campuses or NYCHA campuses?

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 140
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: I
3	think that's TBA.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Thank you. Look
5	forward to the discussion.
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, Council
7	Member Sanchez. Now we're going to go allow
8	Council Member Darlene Mealy.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay, I just want
10	to go back to the beginning. Maybe I missed
11	something. Why did NYCHA go to Section 9 when you
12	say Section 8 is the same thing?
13	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So,
14	they're not the same. So, Section 9 is what we, you
15	know, referred to as traditional public housing,
16	right? So, that was the original program that was
17	created in the 1930s.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Don't give me the
19	history now. Let's
20	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:
21	[interposing] Sure, no, okay. You're right. And you
22	know
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] How's
24	it different?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 141
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: How's it
3	different, okay. So the Section 8 program was a
4	program that was created, again, by the it is a
5	federal subsidy, and it comes into two different
6	ways, either a voucher-based program where the tenant
7	is given a voucher. They're meant to go out and find
8	an apartment or
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] So
LO	why they keep Section 8?
11	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: There is
L2	more funding in Section 8.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: It's more funding-
L 4	-
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:
16	[interposing] Correct.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: in Section 8?
L 8	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: That is
L 9	correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, why not keep
21	that?
22	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So,
23	that's what we basically, what we're doing is we're
24	going to where the funding is, right? So the funding
25	is in Section 8.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 142
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So, you are
3	thinking about discontinuing Section 9?
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: No, I
5	think the goal is to preserve the housing stock. The
6	funding right now is within Section 8.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But I got to
8	understand. Section 8 you said has more money.
9	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: That's
10	correct.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Why did who
12	bright idea was to go into Section 9? If this was
13	already sustainable to NYCHA and to the tenant?
14	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: So,
15	Section 9 was the original program, the program that
16	was created, you know, the public housing program.
17	Section 8 was created in the 1970s as a
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Yes.
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: program-
20	_
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] It
22	was working.
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: It does,
24	but NYCHA has to number one, we had to convert our
25	properties in order to become a Section 8. In your

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Was

that a requirement?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: district we did this 10 years ago. It was one of the first-even predates RAD at Saratoga. And it's held up really well. I mean, Saratoga is -- you know, Saratoga is--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] So far.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: a senior development, yeah. 10 years later, we're seeing that, you know, the seniors still are really happy there, and the reason we were able to do that is we converted form Section 9 to Section 8. We received more subsidy. We were able to bring back more improvements, and you know, I remember working with the tenants there at the time, and I've gone back recently and still proud to say that it looks really nice.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, yes, yes. Okay. Park Rock, I got to say I just was over there, and it's a big tree that fell the last storm and it's still there, and I called numerous time, and now I concur with my colleague Salamanca -- I get tickets in

Rock, Rochester and Park Place.

know the tort responsibility there. I'm not an

the names or the numbers?

all of the different partners that make up each team

to have MWBE participation, and as I mentioned, we

\_

)

have to-- they have to provide meaningful roles for each of the MWBEs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: And for these

Section 3 jobs, how are you informing the residents

of the NYCHA developments about-- do they have to

have OSHA training certificate, or they could just

come in and see a flyer and then apply? Because I

haven't seen any, and one person--

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: [interposing] So, I think--

unless he's in your district, the TA President Arthur Warren. He's been harassing every Council Member in regards to these Section 3 jobs, and no one is getting. They used to get these jobs. TA President has the latitude to give these jobs to people who live in NYCHA, and all of a sudden now not one person getting the job. That has to be addressed, Chair.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Yep, and I think this is one of the areas where the social service provider really helps in terms of getting residents either ready to do the work, because each job requires different--

number of residents that have been hired. I think

what we want to do is we want to make sure we hire as many people as possible.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Many people that are qualified as possible, but we don't one or two or even three people controlling the process.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: No. Wait-
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN:

[interposing] We want to make sure that we have a
fair process along the way.

much money is coming through Brownsville and every part of this city. We are just asking if the TA President could have— they did it before— have a list of people in the community or in their development that is qualified. You said some of those jobs, you know, have to have a college degree. I feel— you did it before, because I know. The TA Presidents has the latitude to at least hire five or six or ten people. It's not that you're giving them jobs automatically. They are making sure the people are in compliance. Why can't that be done? If you want to partner with the TA Presidents— because it's

want to be clear, that we do make sure that the resident association along as also the residents overall knows what, you know, jobs are available through the Section 3 and overall.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: How do you post?

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: Excuse me? I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: How do you post a job event? I know we got to go.

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: So, really--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] How

24 do you post a job?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2	

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: So, it really 3 depends on the site. We work with our social

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Do you send an email to all the tenants, presidents, or [inaudible]. 6

services providers and also our developers at NYCHA.

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: Again, it depends on the outreach for that specific development. So we try to make sure in different ways. So sometimes, yes, we do send emails. We do posts. We put door-to-door flyering [sic]. So it does vary.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: What was the last building you did that? And that's my last question. Because I go-- I would like to go over to that building and see where it was posted.

VICE PRESIDENT WILLIAMS: No problem. colleague, Michael, will respond.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY:

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just one second. Could you raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: I do. And my name	
3	is Michael Parkinson. I'm the Director of Community	
4	Planning in NYCHA Real Estate. Thank you for the	
5	question, Council Member. It is a fair one. Just	
6	really quickly, I do want to just for the record	
7	state the number of hires that we have made through	
8	the Section 3 requirement at our projects where	
9	construction is completed. At Ocean Bay we've hired	
10	102 Section 3 residents, Baychester Murphy is 50,	
11	Betances 52, Brooklyn Bundle 144, Hope Gardens	
12	Bushwick Bundle 38, Twin Parks West and Hybridge	
13	Franklin 28, and the Manhattan Bundle 140. So in	
14	total, just on those projects alone we've hired well	

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Do you have the breakdown of the dem--

over 500 Section 3 hires.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: We do have those broken down by project. I believe those are even in the tracker that is posted online. But I just want to share, too because your question was about engagement and how residents come to find out about these opportunities. At each of our projects, our team and Leroy's team and others, we work with our development partners and the tenant association to

hours, Section 3 labor hours at Reid Park Rock.

many options?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 157	
2	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Sorry,	
3	I was starting to pack up. Can you repeat that	
4	question?	
5	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How many options are	
6	residents given to review PACT partners?	
7	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So,	
8	typically about three to four, maybe three to five	
9	teams will respond to a request for expressions of	
10	interest, and then those proposals will be	
11	proposals from those teams will be presented to	
12	residents for their review and consideration.	
13	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Who makes the final	
14	decision?	
15	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: It's a	
16	joint decision between the residents and NYCHA.	
17	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: How is that process	
18	done?	
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:	
20	Actually, I'll turn it over to Michael who works with	
21	residents during that particular stage.	
22	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Thank you.	
23	Appreciate the question. Well, the process has	
24	evolved over time. So it looks a lot different now	
25	than it did two year ago, and even I think before	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we weren't seen doing the same process. really, we defer to the needs of the residents. make a lot of options available to them with respects to various meetings to go over the proposals that have been submitted. We can break down the components of those proposals meeting by meeting, whether it's the scope of work that's being proposed, the type of management plan that's in place, potential social service partners. We work with resident -- we call them resident advisors. These are technical support consultants that we provide to the residents to support them through this process, and we get residents comfortable with the proposals to a point where they even interview all of the perspective development teams, and the residents lead these interviews. They work with their technical assistance providers to prep for questions, prod them on certain things. They definitely ask the hard questions with respect to some of the history and experience that these partners provide. Following those interviews, often times residents will suggest going on tours of sites that these partners have completed, work that they've done, so they can see for their own eyes the quality of craftsmanship and

work that the partners are able to bring to the

table. Following that step, there are often times

the need for more questions, and we'll go back to the

5 partners with questions developed from the residents

6 in writing to--

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Okay.

Thank you. Back to my original question. Who makes the final decision? Is this decision—because it seems like, you know, what you said is all good and dandy, but it still didn't answer my question. Are the tenants truly involved in the process of picking these developers?

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Yes.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. So, if the tenants are involved, why is it that after-- and we have multiple situations or conversions that have taken place where residents said they didn't even know that they were even being privatized, or this particular program was coming to their development?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: So, this, you know, Michael had referred to the fact that

2.3

the program has evolved over the years, and I was starting to describe this earlier. When we started the program in 2019, NYCHA would put out requests for proposals and solicit proposals from the development community, and we would review and make the selections. Over time, you know, we recognized that resident engagement was of critical importance. So with every round that we've been doing since 2019, we've been expanding the role of the residents. So, yes, there's certainly a disconnect in some ways, because some of the earlier projects did not have the same kind of resident engagement that we do today.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: So, now, at Bayview Houses, they're pretty new-- they're going to actually be-- they're going to be converted. They're in the early stages.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Will the tenants have a vote or a say in the new developers?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: They have selected a developer there, and in fact, I attended the meeting with Ms. Boyce, the new development team and all the elected officials including Council Member Narcisse's Chief of Staff at

them?

2.2

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Well, we-- Jonathan,

I don't know if you want to-- we issued a request for
expression of interest describing the project and the
requirements, and of the pre-qualified development
partners, general contractors, property managers who
get prequalified annually, teams were formed at their
own discretion, and they chose-- those partners chose
to submit proposals for the Bayview project.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. I'm going to ask does the implementation of the Department of Investigations, the 14 recommendations that were given, have-- are they being-- are they bearing anything as far as the NYCHA selection process for the PACT partners? Is there any-- You want me ask that question again?

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Yeah, no.

No, I think it's a different issue, and so the answer is no. It's not-- that was on a micro-purchasing, and this on development selection.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I'm saying that as far as the selection process, is there anything—any of the recommendations that were recommended?

Are they being used in this particular selection process to avoid—

כ

2.2

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: So, the 14 recommendations from DOI were about the micropurchase program--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Correct.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: At NYCHA managed properties which are \$10,000 or less purchases for services. This is obviously a very different program. It's a program that Jonathan, like he said, he has a prequalified list. It's a procurement process that has request for expression of interest, totally different procurement model. So, DOI's recommendations really have no relationship at all.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Well, I think the point we're getting to on this is more the contractors or selection of contractors.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Yeah, it's a completely different type of contract.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: What's that process look like, and what oversight is NYCHA doing on the selection of contractors so-- because you know, basically as we speak right now, we-- there's no type of financial accountability to this council as to how much money is being spent, who's being hired, who's getting the contracts. So, to avoid a situation

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: [interposing] Yeah,

the general contractor is a part of the development

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

2.2

23

24

25

team from the outset. So that general contractor gets put through the same selection process with the rest of the team.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Are the tenants involved in the process of selecting contractors?

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: And that's the process that Michael was talking about where they had a selection process and the general contractors—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well, I mean, no, we get the actual -- the developers, but we get the actual, the developers, but when we get to the nitty gritty of now hiring-- for a simple example, someone who's going to put the doors on, the company -- the company where they purchased the doors, where they get the toilet bowls, the supplies, is there a process put in place by NYCHA where the tenants can weigh in on it and give their input so we're not getting in a situation where we're seeing in Linden Houses where the current management company or the current developers or construction company is going ahead, they're putting on doors, they're putting slam locks on doors where the tenant association in this situation has told them repeatedly slam locks won't work in Linden Houses,

2	but the management goes ahead and well, the	
3	developers go ahead and they purchase all the doors	
4	with slam locks, and there's being massive lock-outs	
5	and I think that was mentioned earlier by one of the	
6	tenants. What process is put in place, because this	
7	goes back to my general point, if the tenants are	
8	engaged early, and I guess this is the reason why I	
9	kind of fundamentally disagree with the RAD/PACT	
10	program if the tenants are not engaged and they're	
11	not at the table, we're going to have situations	
12	where purchases are being made that are not in line	
13	with what the tenants want, and these are the folks	
14	that live there. So these are this you know, the	
15	demand for company and the developers that are coming	
16	in to develop the property need to make sure that	
17	they're listening and not just listening, but that	
18	they're following the instructions of the tenants	
19	when it comes to what they want in their apartments.	

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: I--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] And we're not seeing that.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: I agree with you, Chair. I've personally attended construction meetings with TA Presidents and the contractor and heard the

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

back and forth myself, and including at Linden.

3 hear you that it needs to be more, and I think

4 Jonathan can certainly take that--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well, the reason why I bring this up is because, you know, you have another 37,000 units that you're going to put into a RAD, and we need to make sure that these safety nets are put in. So that's the reason why-hence, this hearing. Because for the last units that have gone on the RAD, we haven't seen that. And particularly, we can point out-- as was pointed out by my colleague, there are some successful RADs. know in Florentino Houses, the gut rehab was done pretty well, but when it come to the in-place rehabs, they've been an abysmal failure in my district.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Council Member, or Chair, just to -- I want to reiterate a couple of the points were made earlier and respond to the -- I think the question around the scope of work and how residents are made aware of what is going to be happening, because this is something that in our work we care a lot-- of concern about, right? You know, our development partners in NYCHA, we share a lot of information. We gain valuable feedback throughout

DIRECTOR PARKINSON:

Yes.

yes. So, the issue--

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 170	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Is	
3	that holding the whole project?	
4	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: The	
5	issue that and again, I'll give it Jonathan and	
6	Michael, but conditions at Farantino was so bad, and	
7	thank goodness, though, for this program that we wer	
8	able to find the funding to reinvest in Farantino to	
9	where it was	
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] How	
11	many years it's been so far?	
12	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: well,	
13	it's been bad for a very, very long time. But it's	
14	a	
15	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing]	
16	Excuse me. No, how many years?	
17	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Oh, the	
18	conversion. Oh, pretty fairly	
19	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA:	
20	[interposing] Yeah, the renno	
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Has	
22	it been over four years?	
23	EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT GOUVEIA: No,	
24	the renovation has not been	

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Sure?

there, including -- it closed it December 2021.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 172
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: It's closing?
3	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: It closed in December
4	2021, so it's been
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: 21.
6	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: December 20, 2021.
7	That property had severe issues
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] We
9	know.
10	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: with the roof and
11	the para [sic] pit, and so there was a massive amount
12	of water intrusion that came into the property. They
13	had mold throughout the wood joists.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But people are
15	still there?
16	DIRECTOR PARKINSON: Yeah, it was a
17	physically very damaged because when we converted it.
18	They have vacated the buildings in order to do the
19	construction, and it's a really important project.
20	There were people like Brian said, they were living
21	there without a subsidy for a very long time. It was
22	un-subsidized, and the property was in terrible
23	condition because of all the water intrusion.

because I think you'd be very surprised at the

progress they've made there, and as somebody who

24

you have to relocate the person out of the unit. You

can't keep the person in the unit. So there's no risk

24

1
_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of lead dusk hazards, and we have to clear the unit before that person can come back in dust wipes. the same thing applies in the Farantino example where we had pervasive mold behind the sheetrock and around the wood joists. We didn't feel comfortable letting people stay in place during the rehab.

> COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you.

DIRECTOR PARKINSON: So, it was vacated and we worked with the developer to do that. So we take that very seriously.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes and I'm putting legislation, any meeting go after one, we should be provided lunch.

[laughter]

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: could cosponsor that bill, I'd be glad.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. I bet you Now we're going to move forward, guys. will, Brian. Thank you so much. And again, to NYCHA, thank you for the opportunity to, you know, to hear some of the responses that we heard, but I think overriding is important; tenant engagement is key, and that's what's been lacking with these RAD-- with these conversions. There's been no real tenant engagement,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and it seems more like a occupying force coming in and taking over the community and that's what we've been seeing my particular district, and we've constantly said to a lot of developers, have the conversation and listen to the tenants. Just don't take it as an advisory, but listen to the tenants and hear what they have to say and then implement it. They're the folks that live there. They live there every day. They have to deal with the decisions that are being made by some of these RAD/PACT developers who have shown complete disregard for a lot of the tenants, and particularly some of the tenant association. I get text messages all the time about just basic things. Just got a text message yesterday from the tenant association at Linden Houses where the maintenance folks that are keeping the grounds, mopping the buildings basically told them on the side, pulled them to the side after a construction meeting and told them that there's no budget for mops or supplies to mop the buildings. This is basic, basic maintenance, basic maintenance. And we're talking about the groundkeepers, the folks that are supposed to maintain the buildings are telling the tenant association there's no budget to mop the

2 floors, to purchase mops, to purchase supplies.

3 That's definitely disheartening to hear that, and

4 once again, we have to get a wrap on where the

5 money's going. We-- you know, listen, this get rich

6 scheme has to stop. So again, thank you, and

7 hopefully we'll get responses back to some of the

8 questions you were unable to answer today. Thank you

9 so much for your testimony. Thank you.

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT HONAN: Thank

11 you.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to open up the hearing on public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording, photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transmits of such recordings to the Sergeant of Arms for inclusion into the hearing

record. If you wish to speak-- well, we already did

that already. Most of the folks have already signed

2.2

2.3

up already. Again, guys, let's obey the decorum, and
now we're going to move forward with bringing up our
folks who are giving testimony today. We're going to
start with the first name is Alixa Cruz, Alixa Cruz
and thank you. Celines Miranda? Ann Valdez? We
have a C.G., C.G. Yeah, it's two initials, C.G.
Velazquez, Zulay Velazquez? Louise Velez? Okay, no
not a problem. I didn't see her. Sorry about that.
We're going to start from my left. So that would be-

ALIXA CRUZ: Alixa Cruz.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Ms. Cruz. Thank you, Ms. Cruz.

alixa CRUZ: Hi. Good afternoon
everyone. I'm a NYCHA resident for many years, since
1969, and I've been under Section 9, and I'm very
happy with-- I was very happy with NYCHA before, but
excuse the language, but now they're full of it,
NYCHA people, because they're selling us and they're
trying to get RAD/PACT-- whether it's RAD/PACT,
Preservation Trust, or whatever they might call it,
it's still essence [sic] related, and it's privatized
and will hurt every person that has low income. We
don't need that. We need security. We need to be

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subsidized, and we need to have Section 9 stay as is, and you know, I don't trust NYCHA, because of what came to the light about the 70 employees, and I'm pretty sure they're pretty good count of people that are dishonest at NYCHA. I'm not saying all, but I'm not happy with them. See that they make a six figure salary on our account, on low income. There were billions of dollar distributed for years, and years, and years, and years, and look where we stand now. We don't have-- we have a bad stove. We have plumbing, whatever. My building is pretty good, but we're complaining about this because they were pocketing the money. This is a money grab, and essence [sic] related is a landscape grab because they want to take everything. They want to make money on us, and we don't -- we're the victims here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Cruz.

ALIXA CRUZ: Thank you.

CELINES MIRANDA: I'm Celines Miranda. I am a lifelong resident of Elliott Houses. As many of you know, my public housing development along with Fulton Houses may be demolished, and if the Council

2 does not act fast to support he majority of the 3 residents, 84 percent who are firmly against demolition of our home, Fulton and Elliott Chelsea 4 5 residents were forced into a RAD conversion based on a working group where only six tenants from my 6 7 campus, the Elliott Chelsea development participating. This is how Related Essence got their 8 foot in the door. Renovation were initially offered, but out of nowhere they sprung up a demolition 10 11 proposal on us. So now, in addition to being forced into a RAD conversion, NYCHA and Related companies 12 want to force us into a demolition. If NYCHA's 13 14 Section 18 application gets approved, resident rights 15 and protections are not guaranteed. Related has a 16 history of not following through on their promises. 17 Some tenants are believing their word, but there is 18 not a contract that quarantees a secure transfer. The 19 survey that Related developer circulated was a biased 20 survey. For starters, it was designed and 21 administered for the developers themselves. 2.2 the word demolition does not appear on the survey. 2.3 Instead the term new construction was used to misinform residents by not describing that the option 24 requires decades of demolition, 16 to 20 years or 25

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2 more living in a construction site. Another flaw in 3 the survey, we were not given the option to stay in 4 public housing which explains why 73 did not 5 participate in this survey. CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] 30 6 7 seconds to wrap, please.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CELINES MIRANDA: 73 percent of the FEC [sic] tenants chose to stay in public housing when they did not participate in this PACT survey. tenants consciously chose not to participate in the survey with the purpose of keeping private developers away. This survey is not a fair representation of what the residents actually want. Unfortunately, several public officials, including our own Council Member, are choosing to support this proposed demotion by Essence, Related, and NYCHA. I am urging all Council Members to reject to this flawed and biased survey and to stand with the majority of FEC tenants who are against the demotion of our homes. We made a decision, no privatization, no demolition.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Next.

ANN VALDEZ: Hello. Hi, my name is Ann I am a resident of Gravesend Houses in Coney

Island, first domestic violence built in 1954. I'm 2 3 the third generation. I've also lived in Park Rock 4 Consolidated. I lived at 1598 Sterling Place, between Buffalo and Rochester, and when I lived there I was-- I had been transferred originally from 6 7 Richmond Terrace Houses in Staten Island. I moved 8 there on an emergency transfer for domestic violence, and when I moved into Park Rock, about a year into it, or almost a year into it my ceiling in my 10 11 bathroom fell-- almost fell-- literally fell in my 12 Had my four-year-old son been in the bathroom in the bathtub, I don't know what would have 13 14 happened. But we're not here for that. Alright, my 15 point is -- I'm just telling you I've been around. 16 Like I said, I live in Gravesend Houses. As it is, we 17 have a lot of things being thrown at us that we don't 18 want in Coney Island, and we're busy fighting. 19 we're fighting one. There's somebody coming up 20 behind our back forcing us into something else. Now, there's two developments in Grave-- in Coney Island 21 that are being considered for the PACTs. 2.2 2.3 believe that these tenant association presidents fully know the scope of what's going on, and I wish 24 that I could have been called before the NYCHA folks 25

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

left, because I don't have problem speaking out. Recently, Brian Honan came to Gravesend Houses for like a second time telling us the story of what they plan to do, how beautiful it's going to be, but I don't know if anybody else noticed that when NYCHA speaks, they constantly change words and names of programs.

> Thirty seconds. CHAIRPERSON BANKS:

ANN VALDEZ: Remember this was-- I'm sorry. Yes, this was originally rolled out during COVID, at the beginning of COVID and it was called the Blueprint. Now it's called Preservation Trust. RAD started nationwide and now in New York it's called PACT. Either way, they keep changing names, terms, who qualifies, who's behind it, who's going to do the work, what's going to be the same. literally trying to tell folks most of the time that as Section 9-- oh, when they turn into a Section 8 program, everything's going to be the same. That is not true. That is a total lie. I appreciate the City Council speaking up on it, and I know that we don't have very much time, but I have no problem to speak to each and every one of you individually, together, or whatever, alright? And you're welcome

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to come to Coney Island to see exactly what's going on in Gravesend Houses and everywhere else, and I'll walk with you no matter what development. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for your voice.

CRYSTAL GLOVER: Good afternoon, Mr. My name's Crystal Glover and I'm from a development in Manhattan. I'm afraid to even say which one, because that's the fact that I speak up is scary. Maybe I'll tell you in private which one it First of all, City Council, NYCHA, these people-- everybody stays in their lane. I remember coming and testifying a while ago, and I forget the brother with the cowboy hat, he was falling asleep. He was on the committee, this committee. They don't do their homework to even know what's going on these development, so they're just here. So if you're in a lane and you don't even know what's happening, you got a problem. These NYCHA people, for instance, Curtis Williams, he was with resident engagement -- I could tell you all the names of them because I used to be a president of a tenant association. And under my leadership infill [sic] was cancelled because we found out about TPA money, how to spend it, etcetera,

etcetera, etcetera. Most of the questions asked, I
could probably answer, because to me, like the sister
said, these people, resident engagement, today they
got somebody new. Like, when I was in there you had
Curtis Williams and you had Dejesus and all these
kinds of people. Then 20 minutes later they change
and get some Curtis went from a Leroy Williams to a
Curtis Williams. Now you got this other chick. At
every minute they changing shifts so they can never
get to know who TAs are. Tenant associations are
operated by bylaws. Residents, district council, the
presidents and all them folks, they only deal with
leadership, the boards. They don't come out and
speak to all residents, come on, let's gab and sit in
the front, in the backyard or whatever to have a
conversation. So they don't' really get to hear what
we're talking about. This I could go on and on and
on. This thing here today, public housing, RAD/PACT
and what have you, hasn't hit in my development yet.
But I'm here today

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty seconds to wrap up.

CRYSTAL GLOVER: I'm here today to say a prayer. Our father who art in heaven, hallowed be

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 186
2	thy name. Thy kingdom come, they will be done on
3	earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily
4	bread and forgive us our debt as we forgive our
5	debtors, and lead us not into temptation, but deliver
6	us from evil, for thine is the kingdom, the power and
7	the glory forever. Amen. I want to dedicate that
8	prayer to two women in my development, both jumped
9	off the roof to their deaths. One was funeralized
10	[sic] yesterday. And I also want to say one more
11	prayer, the 23 <sup>rd</sup> Psalm. I'm sorry. How does it
12	start out? The Lord is my shepherd. I shall not
13	want. He maketh me to lie down in green pastures. He
14	leadeth me beside still water. He restores my soul.
15	He leads us in the path of righteousness for his
16	namesake, and ye though we walk through the valleys
17	of the shallows of death, we will fear no evil, for
18	thou art with us. Thy rod and they staff comfort us.
19	thou prepareth a table before us and the table in the
20	presence of our enemy. Thou anointeth our heads with
21	oil. Our cups runneth over. Surely goodness and
22	mercy shall follow me all the days of my life, and I
23	will dwell in the house of the Lord forever. Amen.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you so much for your testimony.

24

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

UNIDENTIFIED: Excuse me--

3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] We're

going to keep--

UNIDENTIFIED: before she starts, can I just say one more thing?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We're going to keep the testimonies to two minutes, alright guys? I want to be respectful of everybody and give everybody a chance to be able to, you know, hear their voice. So please, two minutes. Two minutes. Thank you.

ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: Hi, good afternoon. name is Zulay Velazquez and I'm from Jacob Riis Houses, born and raised in the Lower East Side. just want to say as in general, like, the Lower East Side has suffered so many tragedies, right, 9/11, multiple resilience projects. So now, we're like in a second resilience project. Our infrastructure is damaged -- not damaged because of them, but I'm sure that the banging and the digging hasn't helped our infrastructure, right? But I want to say that the Lower East Side as whole may be affected this way which sets us a little bit apart. Jacob Riis is an animal in itself because we basically were built on top of an old manufactured gas plant, and the

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residents we didn't even know that when we got our leases, right? We just got into the properties and we didn't even know that. Then came the asbestos notification about maybe like about a year and a half ago, two years, but prior to that Con-Ed already knew that Jacob Riis needed to be cleaned up, but it wasn't, because at the request of their resilience project. so we've been further, like, put into danger, our healths because of this resilience project, not just because of the Sandy resilience project, but because of the -- also the east coastal resilience project. So, as they dig the toxins get into our water system, our air, you know, and it's a Not only is it a hazard, but people have actually died, and I think my mother has actually died because of it as well. Had multiple medical problems, and we couldn't even figure out some of the reasons why, but they treated her, but she's dead now. I have marks on my body that may be cancerous that I haven't even checked out, and it's a shame because the people who are actually voting for this project are the people going to be most affected by it. Because you know what, those people are the people ho work-- working class people who had their

social security and their pension. They don't 2 3 qualify or Section 8. They're not going to qualify for Section 8, and they're going to be the first ones 4 to get kicked out because they want change. want a new building, but guess what, they're not 6 7 going to be here when this conversion takes place. 8 And the only thing that sets us aside and makes us unique-- and I'm so sorry, Mr. Banks-- is the fact that Jacob Riis is going to be given the option to 10 11 vote, and our vote is going to be un November, and 12 not enough tenants know, so I've been trying to get 13 the tenants aware of this. But is that what sets us different, what's aside, is that you're basically 14 15 killing us little by little, slowly. Like, it's sad. 16 You can look online and see all the way from 20, but 17 I'm sure there's more proof where-- there's proof 18 that they need to fix the site and they haven't. 19 Con-Ed also-- asbestos came on the news. They 20 retracted their statement, but we have a list of over 40 tenants that suddenly-- not only tested for 21 2.2 arsenic, okay, but that actually have like rapid 2.3 cases of cancer. We have a two-year-old that just died in back of my building because she was so frail, 24 and her parents haven't even connected the dots. 25

Hi, my name is Louise

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

This is serious for us, but the fact that people don't even know that this is taking place, the project in itself--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your voice, ma'am. Thank you for your testimony.

LOUISE VELEZ:

Velez, and I also live in Jacob Riis. I've been there for 41 years, and where I live now, I've been there for 11 years. It's sad that when I moved from 1115 into 1017, they never said to me-- housing never tells you that the apartment has an issue. I have a room that is disgusting. It rains, I mean, through the ceiling, through the walls because the bricks are not to part [sic] anymore, since those buildings were made in the 40s. my concern is that my granddaughter, she's 11 years old, they had to take her recently to the emergency for oxygen, low blood pressure and heart low beat because of the fact that there's a stink and smell in that room, horrifying. They've known this since the day I moved in when it started raining, and they haven't done nothing. put numerous tickets. They haven't done it. I myself have health problems about -- since I've been into that apartment also. But my concern is that all this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that is going on with PACT and RAD and Section 8, knowing that certain income s are high, and that we're not going to be able to afford to live in the Section 8 apartments because of our income. So that concerns me. The second thing is that I'm very affected by the TAs because for nine months they were with a consultant without telling us anything. didn't know anything that was going on for the last nine months. I think the last two or three months is when they-- we finally found out what's going on in New York City Housing Authority projects. They never said to us that -- all of a sudden they said they were training, but there's developers. The consultant promises that there's going to be permanency in Section 8, don't worry about it, everything's going to be fine. All lies. So, at this point, they're saying that our vote, we need X amount to vote. is that 20 percent or 15 percent, I think it is, for votes? That's unfair. We have the right to vote. We're the tenants. We live there. I pay my rent. They don't pay my rent. I pay high rent. I pay over \$1,000 rent. It's unfair that they should have the right to vote and the right to decide for us. That is unfair. We have the right. We are the tenants.

2 They should consult us. They should tell us. 3 should bring us-- every time we go to a meeting, a 4 consultant or developer was there to pressure us into 5 taking this plan that they have. I believe that we should have Section 9, keep it the way it is, and 6 7 have it fixed. Housing had billions of billions of 8 dollars for years. When John Weir [sic] was there and Margarita Lopez [sp?], where's all that money went to? Billions of dollars. They never said 10 11 anything about it. That was for us, for them to fix 12 our apartments. They didn't even touch our 13 apartments. Nothing has been done to our apartments 14 in all these years. Where's all this money? And now 15 they want to put Section 8 because they need the 16 money? That's unfair for the tenants. That's unfair 17 for the people that there, the seniors. Another 18 thing is, that if I wanted to go into a senior 19 housing, my daughter has to leave the apartment in 20 order for me to move into a senior apartment when 21 she's a single mother with two kids. You kidding? That's not fair. The rules and regulations of 2.2 2.3 housing -- the three panelist people that were here, they're all liars. All lies. All likes. That the 24 25 tenants are all engaging in all this process, lies.

2.2

2.3

question.

2 Lies. Thank you so much for your time and for having 3 me here.

4 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your 5 testimony. Council Member Mealy wants to ask a

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: I just want to know, you said your inf-- and my condolences in your family. You said the infrastructure of your Jacob--how do you think-- did NYCHA come around and test after the earthquake?

ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: Honestly, I don't know, because-- I don't know if the TA would even address that in the next TA meeting, but the TA hasn't put out a notice and said, you know, has anybody or anything happened to your apartment that I've seen, nor has NYCHA. And the only reason why I know, not just because it's common sense, but because one of the Council persons, right, actually told us the infrastructure because of like what's happened with the construction, like it's weakened our infrastructure, and he knows this.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: And that's why I was just asking if it's already so-- and with this earthquake we just had, I think it needs to be

addressed to make sure that you're safe. That's what

I'm saying.

ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: I think that, you know, it's going to all boil down to the fact that we don't-- instead of having like basically Section 9 residents, NYCHA could have told Section 9 residents, hey listen, we need to press our local, state, and federal agencies for more money to fund public housing and please help us do this. Instead of asking us for help to help them get the money that they needed to repair our apartments, they didn't reach out to us and ask us to do that, and some people are not politically conscious, and don't-- and some of these people because of all these programs have been defunded for so many years, don't even know that they have the power to make these changes on their own and don't have a voice, but then PACT and RAD comes with the -- we have social service programs, but we would have had-- we already had social service programs, but they would defund it. and this was-almost seems like it was a process, a strategic one, to put us in apposition where we're so desperate and our teenagers are getting into trouble -- when we can

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

24

\_\_

25

CRYSTAL GLOVER: NYCHA, these tenant associations are supposed to be the voice. was president, they would drop-- I would go to management, they'd drop everything they was doing. Yes, Ms. Glover. That's where the power's at. we need to be doing -- I don't know how many development in total in New York City Housing Authority, 500 and something -- we need to get a major lawsuit. That's what we going to have to get. going to have to kick they butts and get a major -- we got to come together. Every NYCHA development has to come together and get a major lawsuit going on. tried to rock Shayola Latoya [sic], dog her out, but she was just whatever. We as tenants, we're going to have to get this lawsuit and what it will do, it'll give us everything we need. That's what we going to have to do. Thank you. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Thank you. 30 seconds.

ANN VALDEZ: The reason why I wanted to speak again, I forgot to mention that I'm also a member of Community Voices Heard for over 20 years.

So all through these processes, I have been a part of it. We fought to make sure to try to keep section 8

2	out of public housing, because we knew that when we
3	put them together, they were going to water down
4	Section 9 even more than they already have. Not
5	everyone knows that originally New York City Housing
6	Authority was under three entities, separately paid.
7	Only a few are fully federal. Now they're all federal
8	because of my organization, as well as a couple
9	others. Alright, so point is that, and I'm also a
10	resident off of Super Storm Sandy as well. So the
11	two developments that they're trying to convert now
12	should have been sandy recovery, so why would they
13	need to be part of RAD/PACT.
14	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.
15	Thank you for your advocacy. Thank you everybody on
16	the panel. Now we're going to go to the next panel
17	to be respectful of time.
18	ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: [off mic] [inaudible]
19	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Just 30 seconds.
20	Just wait for them to get up and then we'll just
21	ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: Okay. So, I just want
22	to say that RAD when they were up here talking, the
23	panel before us. They said they needed to get back

to us about what they would do if there was no tenant

association either functioning or none available.

25

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Right. 3 ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: However, they have--4 RAD/PACT has something called principles. 5 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Principles, correct. ZULAY VELAZQUEZ: and in those 6 7 principles, it says that if there is no tenant association, that they would -- that it would be the 8 management's office discretion to make the decisions. This is what their principles say. So that's just 10 11 for the record what I've seen, you know, and it 12 signs, and it was a series of organizations that was 13 a part of this principle planning. And there was one 14 more thing. 15 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright. Thank you 16 for your advocacy again. Alright. We're going to 17 call up the next panel, Ramona Ferreyra, August 18 Leinbach, Cecilia MacArthur. We have Mr. Newman and 19 Alex McDougal. Shirley Belton? Ms. Belton? Thank 20 you. Thank you we're going to begin to my right, Ms.

Belton? 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Okay, I wasn't ready to SHIRLEY BELTON: be the first one, but here you go. Being old-school. Yes, I am from the forgotten borough of-- well, it's call Staten Island, but I call it Satan Island, okay.

2	And unfortunately, people on Staten Island are most
3	definitely forgotten, okay, and don't know a lot, but
4	I'll just give you what I have. The grounds are kept
5	immaculate in Mariner's Harbor Housing Development,
6	but they let the apartments dilapidate 'til the point
7	where it's horrendous. There are mice in there,
8	right, that you end up renting from being kicked to
9	the curb, even to the point where I've I've even
10	gotten bitten. And they purposely and I can prove
11	it. they purposely let that happen, because one
12	person will have a problem with mice, and I have told
13	them many times to do the basements and they didn't
14	do it, and then they did it and then all of a sudden
15	it would come to a point where there'd be at least
16	and I'm not exaggerating, I'm literally saying that I
17	could catch at least three mice a day, okay? So,
18	they finally did that, and they allow people to do
19	things in the development that they shouldn't be able
20	to do. Like above me, they allow people to run water
21	to the point where my cabinets came off of my
22	bathroom wall and even my cabinets came off of my
23	kitchen wall, and they knew about it, and they didn't
24	remove the cabinets for about a week. And it's been
25	five years since this happened, and I still don't'

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have kitchen cabinets. Okay. They-- the what you call it? The mew management came in and I was in there one day putting in a complaint against the worker and the maintenance-- like, he would come in and knock on the door lightly and then put something on there saying that he was there, and he didn't. I caught him going out one day, and I called him I said, listen, when you knock, you need to knock hard enough for me to hear, and also say who you are, and he says, no, I don't have to do it like And so he came in there. I showed him different things, what's happened. And he says, well, you know what, you should really move. Said--I'm like, oh, really? So I went to management to explain to them about him, and I was speaking to the receptionist -- beautiful child. I pray for her every day working there, okay? So I was paying attention to her and the assistant management came in and was talking to me, and I didn't pay attention to her because I was still talking to the assistant, Then this guy, I don't know who he was, came out and started talking to me. I'm like, listen, I'm still talking, putting in my complaint. Will you please wait, just like we tell our children, you

introduce herself in am minute. I just wanted to

thank the Committee Chair and the members of this

committee for having this important hearing and your

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

continued commitment to public housing. I just want to give you a little brief kind of overview of Legal Aids work over the many years to help NYCHA's residents. We really have for decades been at the forefront of representing NYCHA's residents. Many of the rights and protections that NYCHA residents have result from lawsuits that Legal Aid brought against the authority, and in that vein, when the Bloomberg Administration filed for approval for a RAD application at Ocean Bay Bayside, we demanded a seat at the table to ensure that we could be there to protect residents through that process. subsequently were part of the RAD roundtable that developed the guiding principles that governed NYCHA's RAD and now PACT program. And Legal Aid Society together with Community Service Society drafted and published a RAD handbook that is given out to many residents facing PACT conversions, and it's online and widely distributed. In addition to that, we have most recently set up a helpline that was mentioned earlier. The number is 212-298-3450 for any resident who is undergoing or has undergone a PACT conversion on that helpline. We can give people advice. We answer their questions they may have

2.2

2.3

around PACT and we also advocate for them with either their administrator in regard to the agency or the new property management. I'm here because I want to talk about three of the most common issues that we see in pre-conversion of PACT properties. I would say the first one that we see and hear of a lot is the lack of repairs that are made in the run-up to a conversion. Routinely, we hear not just form our clients but form their own mouths, from property management, you'll have to wait until the conversion for repairs to be made. Until the point of conversion—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] 30 seconds.

LUCY NEWMAN: Until the point of conversion, NYCHA remains the landlord, and as such is obligated under law to make those repairs, and tenants are entitled to have those repairs made, so I really want the Council to continue oversight over the issue of repairs, and I urge the agency itself to ensure that they have adequate funding to cover the cost of repairs up until the point of conversion. The other thing we see and we're concerned about is the number of vacancies that are held pending the

temporary relocation that they expect to have after
conversion. Holding those vacant for a long time
means that people are not coming off of NYCHA's
waiting list, and we know that every person who sits
on that wait list is in need of housing, and we also
know that that means that people who are seeking
transfer within NYCHA or within those developments
are not able to get into those units. Thirdly, goes
to the other thing around morale in the build-up to
conversions. I think it's fair to say that the
property management staff become very checked-out
because they know that they're going to be moved to
another development after conversion. This means that
we see routine things that they're required to do
like interim recertification's, helping people add
household members. It's just not getting done. So I
urge the agency to create basically a specialized
group of kind of roving managers who can come in
prior to conversion and start working with the
property management to start taking care of like
housekeeping matters so that people do not suffer
after conversion because of things that should have
been done weren't done. Thank you. I'll pass it

2 over to Alex who's going to talk about post-3 conversion.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

ALEX MCDOUGAL: Hi, just before I start, I just want to address something that Mr. Gouveia said. He said that, you know, through PACT there's no displacement, and his basis for making that statement was that under the RAD statute there's a right to return, but you know, history has just not borne that out. We know that demolition does lead to displacement. So I would just strongly caution against taking that statement at face value. And for the sake of brevity I just would like to share some additional issues that folks are dealing with, that tenants are dealing with post-conversion. You know, we've mentioned repairs pre-conversion. Postconversion repairs are a huge issue. You know, NYCHA has-- at least NYCHA has, albeit flawed system, but a system for making requests for repairs. A lot of tenants tell us that, you know, post-conversion there's just not really a clear way or an effective way to make requests for repairs and to track those requests which is a huge problem, especially prior to

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 any work being done at the development. Some people 3 feel like they're in a greater state of limbo than 4 they were before, and sometimes the team doesn't really know what to tell people about how to get 5 repairs done, especially after hours like in the 6 7 evenings and on the weekends when there are serious 8 issues like boilers going out. And then quickly, I will just say prioritizing things here-- that on the issue of transfers. At the time of the conversion 10 from Section 9 to Section 8, transfers-- the transfer 11 12 extinguishes, so people have to-- if they need a 13 transfer have to make a new transfer request. You 14 know, NYCHA tells us that these transfers, you know, 15 if there's no transfer back between Section 8 and 16 Section 9, but also that people cannot transfer 17 within the Section 8 portfolio, and there's simply no 18 reason for that. There's no reason why the only--19 you know, the alternative to transferring within the 20 development is getting a portable voucher. So we

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Excuse me one minute. We want to remind the public-- the public shall remain silent.

really think that is a priority issue based on what

we're hearing from tenants.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

ALEX MCDOUGAL: Oh, no, I think that's fine, because we're tight for time, so I get it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Next.

AUGUST LEINBACH: Good afternoon.

name is August Leinbach. I'm a Staff Attorney along with my colleague Cecilia MacArthur in the Manhattan Housing Unit Legal Services NYC. Our organization represents thousands of low income tenants across the City. Today, we'd like to focus our testimony on two specific issues with the RAD/PACT program we've identified from recent casework and organizing. First, the program's failure to live up to its promise of affording better living conditions for tenants, and second, the failure to protect tenants' due process guarantees throughout the conversion. While the central promise of the RAD/PACT program is has leveraged Section 8 funding and private capital to fund repairs and rehabilitations. This promise often comes slowly, if at all, and tenants are forced to live in toxic conditions long after buildings have converted. Our work with Mr. and Ms. D, and elderly man, his adult daughter, and her two young children illustrates this problem. Their building was converted in 2020 and since then it's been managed by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PACT Renaissance Collaborative. When we first met the family during the process of moving back to their long-time home after one year temporary relocation. Despite this long absence, upon their return, they found that most the hazardous conditions in the apartment had been remediated. Over the following year, Ms. D's son landed in the emergency room with asthma attacks on at least four occasions. asthma is exacerbated by the exact conditions for which the family was relocated, including infestations of mice and cockroaches, broken radiators that do not provide adequate or consistent heat, and a build-up of dust from construction work throughout the building. The D's story highlights how NYCHA's oversight or lack thereof has failed to provide the quality of housing that was promised the RAD/PACT conversion. Yet, the D's story does not end with them staying in an apartment in disrepair. In both 2022 and 23, the apartment failed NYCHA's housing quality standard inspections, and earlier this year, the agency shut off their Section 8 subsidy and required the family to look for alternate housing in a private market with a portable Section 8 voucher. Now the D's are under a deadline to

2.2

2.3

alternate housing at a private rental market or lose their subsidy altogether. This is the mirror opposite what RAD/PACT was supposed to offer. A direct result of this conversion, the D's were first displaced for a year on the promise they would come back to a safe and healthy living environment. And not only did that not happen, but ultimately PACT Renaissance and NYCHA's failures led this family to require to abandon their home entirely. Two things went utterly wrong here. First--

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] 30 econds.

AUGUST LEINBACH: [inaudible] failed its obligation to repair the D's apartment to livable condition. Second, NYCHA failed to oversee PACT Renaissance along the way, and instead of intervening the agency let conditions to deteriorate to the point requiring the family to move out.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: thank you for your testimony.

CECILIA MACARTHUR: Good morning. My
name is Cecilia MacArthur. I along with my colleague
here work at Legal Services New York City. I will
speak to the other central promise of PACT which is

that tenants will maintain the same rights they had 2 3 as public housing tenants. Our RAD/PACT casework had 4 demonstrated in practice rights are often not maintained after conversion and tenants have few loads of recourse when violations occur. 6 7 experience of my client Sonja Torres [sp?] is 8 illustrative. I represent Ms. Torres in Housing Court. She's the mother of two adult children and six minor adopted children. She's a single mother. 10 11 She lives in what was formerly NYCHA's Wise Towers and she's lived there since 1972. In April 2020, her 12 13 mother who is the head of household died, and so Ms. 14 Torres began requesting that she succeed. 15 directed those requests to NYCHA, but NYCHA contrary 16 to its own rules, failed to commence the succession 17 grievance process, the RFM process. Later that year, 18 Wise Towers converted to RAD/PACT with PACT 19 Renaissance Collaborative as the new landlord, and so 20 Ms. Torres began directing her succession request to 21 PACT Renaissance, and they told her they would handle PACT Renaissance, in fact, is not in charge. 2.2 2.3 NYCHA's Section 8 evaluates these succession requests. So, Ms. Torres' requests where never 24 provided a Section 8. Then without notice or 25

opportunity to be heard, Ms. Torres was removed from
the household composition and stripped of the
opportunity to succeed altogether. Now, Ms. Torres
is facing eviction in Housing Court from her home of
50 years. For Ms. Torres, PACT conversion has meant
losing access to procedures that were available to
her as a boucle housing tenant, and she's not alone.
In recent years, our office testified to City Council
about the many issues, the specific issues Ms. Torres
is facing in a widespread in a variety of
situations, including NYCHA repeatedly losing
applications in the lead of the conversion. Both
NYCHA and private landlords providing incorrect or
confusing information about succession grievance
processes, and no communication whatsoever between
NYCHA and NYCHA-leased housing, both pre and post-
conversion. Ms. Torres and tenants like her have a
right to succeed, but PACT conversion has made a
process that was once straightforward, so fraught and
confusing it becomes impossible to navigate,
effectively denying these tenants their rights.
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: 30 seconds to wrap.

CECILIA MACARTHUR: Further, Ms. Torres' 

experience points to a critical gap in the RAD

_	COMMITTED ON TODDIC MODDING 212
2	accountability structure. After conversion, private
3	landlords commence eviction cases, so here NYCHA is
4	not a party to the case at all. But NYCHA's
5	participation is necessary as they along with PACT
6	Renaissance denied Ms. Torre's rights, and as NYCHA
7	Section 8 processes the succession requests only they
8	can remedy the situation. This structure completely
9	insulates NYCHA from accountability and leaves Ms.
10	Torres without clear process to vindicate their
11	rights. So while we're now trying to bring NYCHA
12	into the housing court case, this is a very difficult
13	procedural mechanism that no tenant could accomplish
14	alone, and in fact we haven't had success with it
15	yet. And so in our practice we've seen these two
16	central promises of repairs and maintenance of rights
17	broken with next to no recourse for long-term
18	tenants. We ask that you look into these violations
19	and we thank you for the opportunity to testify.
20	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
21	testimony. Ma'am?

RAMONA FERREYRA: Hi. So my name is actually Guatu Ke Ini Inaru, and my colonial name is Ramona. I am the founder of Save Section 9. We're a national coalition that works to educate and empower

2 tenants so that they can fight against the 3 privatization of public housing. I think this 4 morning we've heard a lot about what's wrong with the program, and that's been well-documented by legal services here in New York and by Human Rights Watch 6 7 which issued a scathing report about the impact that 8 PACT has on public housing tenants in New York City. So I actually want to focus a little bit on some of the solutions that our members have created, and that 10 11 we hope the City Council will join us in. First, we 12 ask that you place an immediate moratorium on all 13 project-based conversions or privatizations, and that 14 this is put in place until a comprehensive third 15 party impact study is conducted. We encourage you to 16 look at the mechanism used by Human Rights Watch to 17 ensure that it actually takes into consideration the 18 true impact on the tenants. As HUD and NYCHA defines 19 success for project-based Section 8 by how much money 20 is actually brought into a property to address 21 outstanding repairs. So it does not take into 2.2 consideration the impact that it has on tenants which 2.3 you've been hearing this morning. So, according to them, the success of the program is also always going 24

to be based on the money coming in, not on the tenant

2.2

2.3

points--

2 expense 2 cong 4 to h 5 some 6 stop 7 9 pu 8 ther

experience. Then we want to ask that you call on Congress to uphold the RAD sunset which is scheduled to happen in September 30<sup>th</sup> this year. That is something that is going to allow us to nationally stop investing money that should be going to Section 9 public housing in project-based Section 8, and there are multiple organizations calling on Biden to actually change the funding that he's allocating for project-based Section 8. And I have some other

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty seconds to wrap up.

RAMONA FERREYRA: so, one of the thing is want to highlight is the mental health impact on tenants. So when this process begins, tenants feel cheated, they feel anxious. They experience depression and feel like their homes are in danger. That is another layer of trauma that's added to already living in conditions that are inhumane, but what you'll note today is that the tenants here are telling you that in spite of the tenant repairs that we need, what we want to keep is public housing. Then I also want to encourage you to read the analysis conducted by Community Service Society which

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

undermines NYCHA's accounting. According to NYCHA, they need \$79 billion dollars to bring our housing stock up to date. In our individual report, and that I report that I note actually find that the number is closer to \$39 billion. So they're lying to you about the financial need. And I'm sending this all to you guys in writing, too, so you'll have the notes and the resources. And then I want to talk about the TA for two seconds. There is no clarity on the website as to when the tenant association is actually approached. What conversation's they're having are not open to the tenants. The tenants are not told what the timeline is for the project, and they also don't understand what the special application is submitted to HUD, and those are all points that when we try to organize tenants or look for legal support. We need to actually understand in order to fight better as tenants. And then evictions, they are two percent under NYCHA, but 12 percent according to the latest article written by the City. And finally, that rent burden that keeps coming up and you guys are asking questions about, it's something that's actually created by fee that the new developer manager company put into place. Some of these fees

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that they can put in, we saw in other cities, they

include the repairs of pipes, and one of the RAD

lease lines that's really important is that they will

now determine what excessive use is. So the family

has a grimy door because they have a three-year-old

7 that likes to color on the wall, they can consider

8 | that excessive use. They'll also include things like

9 maintenance of the grounds, so grounds keeping. They

10 | will start charging tenants for repairs that really

11 capital. So that's what you need to be looking at

12 when looking at the rent increases.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: thank you, ma'am, and thank you everybody for your testimony. I want to also remind everyone that you have up to 72 hours to submit written testimony to the Council's website.

Alright? Yes, Ms. Mealy, and then we're going--

just want to know, would y'all meeting that we could start seeing about the succession rights for our tenants. I would love to meet with you. Something has to be done. That's almost life or death for people to lose their housing, and if we don't stop it now, it's only going to get worse, so it's up to us to put something in place to help out constituents,

and I hope my colleagues be with me, and ma'am, I

hope you got an escrow account that you put your

4 money in--

2.2

2.3

SHIRLEY BELTON: [interposing] wasn't ready for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: But do it to make sure that when they do repair your building, you have the money to pay them that they cannot displace you. We do not need another person--

SHIRLEY BELTON: [interposing] I hear you, but they're not getting it back.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay.

RAMONA FERREYRA: I wanted to mention, there's actually a clause that NYCHA's not adhering to that would make the succession rights easier to keep. According to HUD and the Section 1.8 of the notice for RAD, a tenant that currently lives in Section 9 and is opposing privatization should be afforded a transfer, so another section 9 unit, based on availability. And like we just heard, they're constantly holding the apartments. So there's no reason why NYCHA is not able to provide the neighbor that spoke earlier with a transfer to another Section

UNIDENTIFIED: oh, okay, let me get--

2.3

24

to begin to my right.

Thank you, Chair

Hello.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Well, we'll begin to my left. You can begin, sir. Thank you.

METIN SARCI:

Banks, for allowing me to speak today. My name is Metin Sarci. I am a public housing specialist with 12 years of service in public housing. I specifically specialize in NYCHA policy, residents' engagement, and program implementation. The testimony before you is not representative of the New York City Housing Authority where I am presently employed, and all opinions shared on based on the wisdom influenced by my service to NYCHA residents. I wanted to, number one, reach out in support of Intro 110 and provide three friendly amendments for consideration. first part, to strengthen this piece of legislation, I would actually recommend that this body seek an independent program evaluator. The mayor administration and their controlled state corporation, not city agency, has a soft selection bias to present favorable reporting that could invalidate the purpose of this important legislation. Number two, section 8 PACT should be measured in comparison to existing Section 8 programming under

3

4

5

6

8

\_

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

NYCHA to ensure that we are making apples to apples comparison. In doing this, an impact study has implications to not only improve Section 8 PACT practices, but all NYCHA-run Section 8 programs. Number three, I would actually recommend removing quidelines on the impact you are seeking to measure from Section 8 in relation to Section 9 by allowing the independent city partner to conduct the above. Variables can be identified and measured annually to provide this body with factual recommendations to improve practices. In consideration of the above recommendations, I am not only in support of Intro 110, but would encourage expanding this piece of legislation to expand protections across all Section 8 programs in NYCHA to benefit the largest amount of constituents. If I could add 30 more seconds?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, 30 more seconds.

METIN SARCI: The one thing that I will add, you asked the question earlier regarding the difference between nonprofits that are serving under Section 8 PACT and the way NYCHA does it, and the difference is NYCHA's a much more sophisticated program. NYCHA REES, or most people know them as the REES program, Residents Economics Empowerment and

Sustainability, employs a service coordination model
under the opportunity zone model, originally created
by the Rockefeller foundation in which you have
Community Boards that make up each zone. There are
15 zones throughout the City in which zone
coordinators are specifically partnering with
nonprofit organizations within the community to
better connect residents to services either through
events or they're doing so through referrals. I
understand most folks want to talk about Section 3,
but having been a zone coordinator myself, I
specifically like it when I am engaging NYCHA
residents to share opportunities based on where their
journey is. That could surely that could be in
construction, but there's much more than that.
There's finance. There's tech. There's Americore
programs for our youth, HSC completions. There's so
much more of a sophistication that we can do, and
that is not something you're going to get from
getting one nonprofit provider who may not employ an
opportunity service model in the same way that NYCHA
does. But I just wanted to share that, and thank you

so very much for having me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Ma'am?

AIXA TORRES: Good afternoon. I've been here all morning. So, my name is Aixa Torres. of all, I'm here today representing residents to preserve public housing of which I am a board member, but I am also president of Alfred E. Smith Houses Resident Association. I sit on CCOP [sic] and I'm Manhattan South District Chair, but at the end of the day, the most important thing is that I am a resident before I hold any other title. I also sit on the board of Goles [sic], but today I am here to talk about how residents preserve public housing. Systematically, we have opposed RAD and PACT, because our feeling and our experience has been there is no real resident engagement. To the point that residents when they found out, they go. And what they're shown, you know, what I've told the residents in Smith is we don't want new stoves, we don't new refrigerators. What we want is for them to knock the walls, change our pipes so that our buildings are sound, and those are the things that need to be done. And so I was sitting here going let me count the ways of everything that they said that it's not true,

2.2

2.3

right, that it's not functioning. REES does not work for my development and for a lot of the developments and now, you know, we don't have those connections when it comes to Section 3, but my co-chair is here who is the Chairman of the Section 3 and is a much better person, so I'm going to let him address that. But the reality of it is that the residents who go into these programs, a lot of times they know. And I just found out, and I'm a Chair, that if you're a senior building—because this is important. If you're a senior building,—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty seconds.

AIXA TORRES: you go into PACT-- if you go into PACT or RAD, they stop being senior buildings, and that is a real issue. Because one of the reasons that people go into these senior buildings is for safety, and they're going to do that, because you know what happens, right? Senior buildings have a high turnover people die, you know, when we get to a certain age. I'm 71 and I tell NYCHA all the time, I got one foot in the cemetery. Don't play with me, right? But the reality of it is the turnover. So that will allow them to bring in

2.3

people outside. Now you're talking about gentrification. And as somebody else said, if you are a retired person like I am, we don't qualify for Section 8. And the bottom line is the Trust with the 964, there's one line. It does not include all the protections that the 964 under Section 9 applies to the residents who live in public housing. And I thank you for holding this hearing that's important.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.

AIXA TORRES: I told you I would come.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Appreciate it. Thank you for coming. Thank you for your voice and your advocacy. Next, Ms. Crawford? Hold on one minute. Hold on one minute, before you go. You got to-- that right?

KESHA CRAWFORD: Thank you. Thank you, sir. Good afternoon everyone. My name is Doctor

Kesha Crawford. I am the duly elected president for

Stuyvesant Gardens One development. As the duly

elected president, I told NYCHA that we don't-- the

residents do not want RAD. We don't want PACT. We

don't, you know. PACT staff since October of 2023.

PACT been coming in our development and just bullying

us. They knocked on my neighbor's door, my senior's

door, you know, telling them if they open up their 2 3 door, they're going to break their door knobs and 4 take them to court. My senior -- I have videos. My seniors are petrified, scary, depressed, and asking what can we do. So I said we gonna [sic] sue. 6 7 brought it to one of the staff, one of the PACT 8 managers who's CEO, who's ever-- whoever's in charge of PACT and told them about the behavior of one of their staff member, and he spoke -- he said he's going 10 11 to speak with her about it. I don't know what 12 happened, but this keep happening. I have a lot of seniors in my community, and since they've been 13 around my office been violated. I had my office, my 14 15 tenant association office for a year and a half. 16 Nothing ever happened. Someone violated and only 17 papers was stolen, no electronics. Honestly, I feel 18 that the property managers need to be investigated as 19 well. And getting back to my neighbors, they're very 20 afraid. Just had a meeting, a tenant association meeting on the 9<sup>th</sup> of April, and PACT invited 21 2.2 themselves. They was not invited. I asked them 2.3 politely three times to leave. They did not leave.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thirty seconds to

25 wrap up.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KESHA CRAWFORD: Okay. Yes, three-- I asked them to leave three times. They did not leave. Very disrespectful. Something need to be done, and we need your support.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. You can begin, sir.

CAESAR GODWALL: Caesar Godwall. I've

lived at Elliot Chelsea Houses for almost a couple of decades now. I moved from Brooklyn. I was living in [inaudible] in Brooklyn before, working my whole life. Got sick. Fortunately, I moved in here, and these buildings, these NYCHA buildings are the best buildings in the City. They're bomb-proof, fireproof. We got real kitchens, real bathrooms. else has them. Even a \$2 million one bedroom, your kitchen is in your living room. We have separate kitchens. We got separate -- we -- in Brooklyn before I moved to NYCHA, I had a one by one foot closed. That was the average in these old buildings. Really, you know? I have a bathroom where I can put in a small machine. But anyway, I've been going to every meeting. I've been retired, and I used to be an insurance agent, so I know how to read contracts, talk to people and do a lot of crazy stuff. And but

_	COMMITTEE ON FOBLIC HOUSING 227
2	with, you know, joy, intention. Not you know what
3	I mean. I mean okay, anyway. I have I
4	discovered something recently. I want to say that
5	when I walked in and I saw that Executive, that NYCHA
6	Executive sitting here that you were screening, I
7	watched this in January meeting, and I watched him
8	perjure himself. Obviously, you don't care, or else
9	you don't have fact-checkers, because they should all
1,0	be in jail. Okay, this project was promoted as
11	tenant-directed. This is absolutely false. I used to
12	be heavily involved in the tenant association
13	[inaudible] Chelsea, like 13 to 15 years ago, until
14	they threw me out when Darlene Watters was installed
15	as our tenant association president by Gottfried's
16	office, which is a violation of our tenant
17	association bylaws. You cannot have outside
18	interference. Gottfried's office manages personally
19	and installed Darlene Watters. She speaks for him.
20	And Gottfried's retired, but that guy that's on our
21	tenant board CB4, Jeffrey LaFrance [sic], he was in
22	Gottfried's office. He personally

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty seconds to warp up, sir.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CAESAR GODWALL: He personally installed 3 Darlene, and she speaks for him, and she hasn't been coming to any of our meetings, because I think she 4 saw-- I think Darlene thinks she sold her soul. And anyway, at the last CB4 meeting, Jerry spilled the 6 7 beans-- not Jerry, but Joe Rizado [sp?], and it's right here. It was what he said. Joe Rizado, "I was 8 called to a meeting with Related on the 88<sup>th</sup> floor March 2023." I had never-- okay, we've been talking 10 11 for two years. I've been going to all the meetings. 12 I got nothing else to do. I got no family. I'm 13 retired. I got nothing else to do, so I've been going 14 to all the meetings. I got pages and-- I could write 15 a-- I could write a crime eppy [sic] award-winning 16 series. I was called to a meet-- Joe said this two

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Okay, sir, you're going to have to wrap it up.

the 80<sup>th</sup> floor of Hudson Gill [sic]--

days ago, "I was called to a meeting with Related on

CAESAR GODWALL: in March 2023. I had never seen any change or talk whatsoever about demolition up until that time." This was last year when they first announced this program. "All the sudden, I had the same familiar elected officials in

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thank

24

25

you.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 230
2	CAESAR GODWALL: who appointed them.
3	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
4	testimony, sir. Thank you.
5	CAESAR GODWALL: This is real testimony.
6	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
7	testimony.
8	CAESAR GODWALL: And there's a lot more.
9	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your
10	testimony. And you can
11	CAESAR GODWALL: [interposing] Really,
12	this is [inaudible] stuff.
13	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Sir, hold on a minute,
14	sur.
15	CAESAR GODWALL: This is the same
16	Ukrainian war people.
17	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You have 72 hours
18	sir, sir.
19	CAESAR GODWALL: [inaudible] RAD projects,
20	same exact people.
21	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: You have 72 hours to
22	give written testimony. You can go to the Council
23	website and you can we heard you, sir.
24	CAESAR GODWALL: [inaudible] Because it's

real.

MANUEL MARTINEZ: Hello.

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay. Let me just remind everyone, guys. It's a two-minute, two-minute, two-minute for testimonies. It's by discretion to give a 30 seconds. So please, just keep it within that timeframe. We want to be mindful of everybody's time. Thank you. You may proceed, sir.

MANUEL MARTINEZ: Thank you, sir. name is Manny Martinez -- Manuel, Manny Martinez. I am the Resident Council President for South Jamaica Houses, as well as the Queens South District Chair. This is an alliance of 11 resident councils from Far Rockaway to south Jamaica and Queens. I would like to state that RAD is an attack on the Black and Hispanic middle-class families in New York City given the large population that we have of public housing residents in New York City. Section 9, the difference between Section 9 and Section 8 goes beyond just the voucher or the project base. It's also a 40 percent difference when you discuss household income growth stability, because you have the ability now to be moderate income, to go from extremely low to moderate income class. This is where programs such as Section 3 resident management as well as a special resident business procurement process, all of which is

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

expected to be facilitated to the residents so that they can facilitate that transition. When you move to Section 8, you're eliminating 40 percent. You're destabilizing 40 percent of their household income growth stability because now even though when a Section 9 residents gets converted into a Section 8-project-based Section 8, they have in perpetuity 30 percent of their household income, but that is based off of HUD waiver, not legislation. So at any time a HUD secretary could be appointed that could remove that waiver, and then removes that perpetuity or 30 percent of their household income. If that public housing resident loses that apartment, right, that once public housing resident, and a new household comes in, that perpetuity does not exist anymore. And so now they are-- they will only be qualified-could I have 30 seconds?

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Yes, 30 seconds.

MANUEL MARTINEZ: They will only be able to qualify to the 80 percent, and then after they qualify for the 80 percent, the gross rent would kick in which is equivalent to the flat rent for Section 9, and after six months of that the Section 8 unit comes off the rent roll if they stay there. And so

this would drastically change the characteristic of our community given that Section 9 public households don't always stay in on place. Also, just the Section 3, so that you all know, Section 3 does not apply to PACT, RAD. It applies to the conversion of PACT/RAD. So while the conversion process of going from Section 9 to Section 8 of PACT/RAD happens, as soon as that finalizes, Section 3 doesn't apply anymore to that expenditure of funds, even though the residents that are Section 8 qualify as a priority for Section 3 worker, right? But it does not apply in perpetuity to a PACT/RAD development. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony.

RENEE KEITT: So, Council Member Mealy, I want to thank you for some of the questions you asked, because it made me think of some things. So, one thing we say is why were things bundled together? Fulton, Elliott-Chelsea, not together. Within Elliott-Chelsea, it's Elliott-Chelsea, Chelsea addition. The survey as of now continues not to be transparent. As was previously said, 969 of the 3,388 responded. That is 16 percent; 84 did not. Therefore, they are the majority. Related, Essence,

2	PACT, RAD needs to leave the development immediately.
3	We continue. It is very important we have our
4	seniors, our knowledge tree. They're being left
5	alone. Upon petitioning, we found the resident who
6	has dementia and they have not repaired her wall.
7	The attitude was from HOU, Housing Opportunities
8	Unlimited, they are demolishing. Therefore, they do
9	not need to repair do her repairs. The people who
10	are keeping her accountable are the residents. So,
11	along with Darlene Watters yes, I did call her and
12	let her know what was going on, and this is what she
13	said, "I heard this. I heard there are problems in
14	the development and repairs are not being done."
15	That's nice. Can we do something about it? We keep
16	saying resident associations. We have not had a
17	meeting in six months. We had one. It was cancelled
18	in the middle of the meeting. We keep saying we do
19	not want one or two people to drive this process.
20	The resident association leaders are the one or two
21	people who are driving this process, not the
22	thousands of other residents who are in this process.
23	We can't keep saying MWBEs are in lovely [sic].
24	Quite frankly, this one is furthering our
25	destruction, our demolition. They are perpetuating

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 236
2	urban renewal. We need to acknowledge that fact. It
3	can't be about people who look like us and are us.
4	It has to be us. One thing I want to say, this is
5	the real estate transaction. We are pawns. We are
6	chess pieces. This isn't about the City of New York.
7	It is about all people, the half a million that live
8	in NYCHA housing
9	CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thirty
10	seconds to wrap up.
11	RENEE KEITT: that has been said to be
12	its foundation, the most stable housing there is. So
13	what I'm saying is, no demolition as always.
14	RAD/PACT go home. Essence Related, stop fronting.
15	Related is not part of this process. They stand by
16	the side as Jamar Adams [sp?] of Essence Related does
17	the explaining. They say nothing. They are in
18	violation of the Western Rail Yards, and they will be
19	in violation of our civic rights and our human rights
20	as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony, and thank everybody on the panel. Thank you so much. Tanesha Grant, Princella Jamerson, Annette Tomlin, and Christopher Leon Johnson. She's coming back, Princella. Ms. Jamerson?

2.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

TANESHA GRANT: Hello. My name is

Tanesha Grant, and I am the Executive Director of Parents Supporting Parents and Moms United for Black Lives New York City, and we are community partners of Residents to Preserve Public Housing. First, I would like to thank Chair Banks for having these important hearing on public housing. We appreciate you. First and foremost, most residents I know want to keep their Section 9. There is a lot of misinformation and outright lies being told by the leaders of NYCHA, and I use that term loosely. These programs, the RAD, PACT, and Trust are already leading to eviction and displacements of many longtime residents. NYCHA communities have either been bullied or lied to to force them into these conversions. The fact is NYCHA has been mismanaged and unkempt by the elected managers and not the residents. Why should residents give up their Section 9 for programs that offer less protections and dangers of not qualifying for their section 8 apartments? The whole point of Section 9 is to make sure everyone has access to affordable rent. It should not matter if they are so-called low-income or on SSI or SSD or working-poor.

Everyone deserves a high-quality decent home. We

hope to work with you, Chair, to support residents to
preserve public housing to make sure our public
housing is protected. We have over 400 public
housing residents. Our communities cannot afford to
those their Section 9, to lose the protections that
Section 9 offer. We also want to talk about Section
3. I know a lot of amazing residents who fight for
their development every day. These residents don't
get paid. These residents give their free labor
because they care about their communities and they
care about the NYCHA developments that not only did
they grow up in, but their mothers and their
grandmothers. This is truly a community. I look
forward to working with you, Chair Banks. You know
that I'm always on the ground, and that I'm always
going to bring the voice of the community, and the
community wants to keep their Section 9. If we lose
our Section 9, that is privatizing housing, and it
will displace Black and Brown people who deserve a
quality home. My name is Tanesha Grant, and thank
you for listening to me.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony and your voice.

2 PRINCELLA JAMERSON: Hello. I want to 3 piggy bank off of Ms. Tanesha Grant. My name is 4 Princella Jamerson. I am a resident of public 5 housing. I'm also a member of RPPH, the Residents to Preserve Public Housing. I wanted to speak today 6 7 about something that wasn't talked about under people 8 going -- being converted under RAD and PACT. I wanted to state that resident leaders are being forced to close a Nondisclosure Agreement form with the 10 11 developer, management companies, and NYCHA without a 12 lawyer representative. This is deliberately hiding 13 the facts that are involved with these conversions. 14 These methods are harmful to the residents, because 15 vital material and facts are being hidden from the 16 stakeholders in the public. How can resident leaders 17 protect themselves if they don't understand the legal 18 implications of the NDA that they are being forced to 19 sign. NYCHA has to stop telling residents that the 20 964 regulations apply to Section 8. I also want to add that the va-- the units that are vacated take 21 2.2 away needed funding from the Housing Authority that 2.3 they state that they need this money, but yet there are so many vacant units throughout the portfolio of 24

So mine's just really short. And then I also

wanted to add that the pre-conversion of RAD, residents aren't getting services under these pre-conversions that are going through with RAD. So once the conversions are talked about, the residents stop getting the services that they need in their unit until the people or the management takes over the development, and sometimes this can last up to two to three years or more.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Ms. Tomlin?

ANNETTE TOMLIN: Good afternoon,
everyone. My name is Annette Tomlin, and I am glad
to be here to vent. I am pissed off, okay? I am
tired of the smoke-screen. This has to stop. Ninety
years of NYCHA, we understand where it failed, why it
failed, and now we're here again. Who is doing the
assessment? We need critical thinkers that's going
to make a difference and a change. The tenants are
suffering. The plotted plan has to stop now,
immediately. I agree with everyone that's here that
are residents that actually want to hold not just
NYCHA, HUD responsible, but also the City Council.
Y'all are responsible for a lot of this stuff here.

We are calling and asking for your accountability.

2 Stick up to your responsibility, and also the tenants 3 have a responsibility and accountability as well. are not lawyers. We don't understand all the 4 language, but we're good at understanding where we're 5 at now. Okay, and this is because it's being forced 6 7 upon us. It's just wrong. What are we going to do? 8 Where's everybody going to go? We already have an 9 influx of, you know, asylum-seekers coming, homelessness crisis. You have 400,000 some-odd 10 11 people that are in NYCHA. Where are they going? So 12 what are y'all going to do? Nothing. The same old 13 thing? No? We have a plan. I know I do. A town 14 hall meeting needs to be called right away, okay? 15 And I don't know what sister just said so, okay, but 16 it needs to stop. Okay, a escrow, yes. A demand, 17 stop, hold-- suitcase lawsuit, yes. We're going to 18 hold you all responsible. Contractors, you know, 19 they-- the developers and everything they'll say 20 there's some jobs, hair pick-- excuse the expression, 21 but hair -- okay. And nobody did anything to go 2.2 behind them to make sure that anything was done 2.3 correctly. This is uncalled for, and it's ridiculous how we have to actually live. Y'all are responsible. 24 So what are y'all going to do? Nothing. Except for 25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

sit here and just listen to us while we vent.

3 minutes you give us, and it's been over 30, 40, 50,

4 60, years of complaints. Not anymore. Thank you for

5 your time. I'm pissed.

> CHAIRPERSON BANKS: thank you for your testimony, Ms. Tomlin.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Readv? my name is Christopher Leon Johnson. I'm opposed to this plan. Let me tell you the truth. This is all part of the City of Yes. A majority of you members are for the City of Yes. Like, you, Mr. Banks, you're for the City of Yes, because you're with the developers. You took money from developers. You take money from-- you was part of Labor Strong 2023, and therefore the City of Yes. So you're not going to go against them. You got to run in 2025. They might get an opponent to run against you, so you're not going to go against the developers. They own Labor Strong. They own the carpenters. So you're for the City of Yes. You're going to vote yes for it, sir. And the truth is the people here have to organize. The people here need real new organizations to fight for them and organize themselves, not have this organization that's called

2	the Residents to Preserve Public Housing fight on
3	their behalf. Because what's going on is their
4	leader, Marquis Jenkins, is team Carlina Rivera who
5	is for the City of Yes. How do you have a leader
6	like Marquis Jenkins fighting for your guys, while
7	he's team Carlina Rivera? He's not even team
8	Christopher Marte. AT least Christopher Marte is
9	against the City of Yes. He's against this
10	unscrupulous developers. He's against this bad up-
11	zoning like we SoHo, NoHo. So shout out to
12	Christopher Marte for being against this stuff. He's
13	not team Marte. He's team Carline Rivera. You guys
14	go to look at the petitioning ballot access with
15	Marquis Jenkins. If I was you members of RPPH, you
16	need to have a meeting to expel Marquis Jenkins as
17	the Chair and the de facto leader of RPPH because he
18	is compromised. He is a fraud. He's conflicted.
19	He's a open conflict of interest. He is corrupted.
20	So I'm going to tell people right now, you people
21	have to organize and fight against this stuff. This
22	is all a big plan. This is a little part of the City
23	of Yes, and you guys will be displaced. Don't pay
24	this Chair any mind. He is for the displacement of

2 you people in NYCHA and RAD. So, that's all I got to

3 say. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. We're going to take a quick-- yeah. I'm going to take a quick bathroom break, and after that-- then we're going to go to the Zoom testimony right after. Thank you.

[break]

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [gavel] This meeting is called back to order. We're now going to proceed to our virtual testimony, and the first person on is Christina Chaise.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: Oh, hello. I'm sorry.

I was not ready. Good afternoon. Thank you everyone for making yourself available to be there in person.

Today, I'm sorry, I cannot be there with you. I'm

Christina Chaise. I'm Second Vice President at

[inaudible] Resident Association. I'm also a member of RPPH, the Section 9 Justice for All Coalition, and an advocacy coordinator at Take Root Justice where we provide legal policy support for different community-based organizations, and I have the honor and privilege of working alongside NYCHA residents. But

2	today I'm here specifically speaking as a NYCHA
3	resident and things that I share, as well as some
4	information that, you know, [inaudible] by way of my
5	employment. The first thing I really wanted to
6	center was resident engagement. I am shocked to hear
7	the way in which NYCHA presented its what seemingly
8	amicable engagement, but to my understanding has
9	always been coercive, intimidating and un-democratic.
10	And I just wanted to center that what NYCHA's doing
11	is not necessarily anything amazing or new. It's
12	actually part of HUD's RAD notice that came out July
13	27 <sup>th</sup> , 2023 regarding resident engagement, and that
14	[inaudible] is encouraged to partner with the
15	resident leaders, and they now have to provide all
16	these additional documentations substantiating
17	resident engagement that rubberstamps their
18	applications and hold additional meeting. However,
19	when we look at who actually shows up in the meeting,
20	consistently, it's not the population at-large. It's
21	a very small handful of folks, and as far as I'm
22	concerned, even as a leader, I don't think those
23	handful of folks can make decisions for the whole
24	population of residents they

population of residents they--

-

)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank you for your testimony.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: [inaudible] represent. I just wanted to say one last thing, that in reality that it's coercive, and what we're seeing with regard to FEC is that there are a large [inaudible] mass of residents that are not in support and they're not being listened to. And originally RAD and PACT had nothing to do with infill, and so the infill plan to build new "mixed-income housing" on that very land that is Fulton Elliott-Chelsea was not anything the tenants ever decided upon, and that's being pushed into the agenda, and that has nothing-- it's a land grab. It has nothing to do with the want and needs of current residents. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. The next person is Dana Elden.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DANA ELDEN: Hi. Alright, alright, my time. Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Chairman Banks. Greetings to all attendees today, as we all agree that there is more to be said regarding RAD/PACT and the Trust. I am Dana Elden, president of St. Mary's Park Houses Resident Council, its 5013C,

as well as an executive board member of Residents to
Preserve Public Housing, and a member of the DCOP
[sic], Executive Board of the South Bronx. I live in
a Section 9 project-based development. I'm here once
again to speak before this council regarding my
disdain of how NYCHA has continuously misled and
abused the rights of the residents of NYCHA.
Currently, we have 73 vacancies according to the
manager, and of those, I have not been provided the
number of vacancies in Section 9 versus Section 8
which I am supposed to be informed according to NYCHA
publicly, but privately, they deter management from
providing me that information. Also, the budget for
the development is a secret, and that information is
not provided. Section 9 must be adequately funded,
not only by HUD, but also by local city and state
funding. Section 3 employment is said to be
supported by NYCHA. However, the construction
companies only accept union members to work on
Section 3 assignments. Qualified residents are not
allowed to work on a project in their development
until they become union members. Currently, I have
one out of 13 applicants that has an OSHA 40 and
experience, but because he is not union he's being

2.2

2.3

offered a flagger position at best if they deem him worthy. No one looking like us or our residents is working on my capital project, a group replacement in the south Bronx.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time has expired.

DANA ELDEN: [inaudible] No, no, no. I've been here since 10 o'clock. Let me finish my two page, please.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: We'll give you 30 more seconds, ma'am. Is that okay?

DANA ELDEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay.

DANA ELDEN: Others that have had at other sites would appear substantiate the Section 3 compliance. The Council's questions are repeatedly that we'll get back to you with that, or it is listed on our website. I'm here once again to stress that this council needs clarity. Residents in this process are not being told the whole truth. They're not informed of the transition of their rights, as well as the transition and responsibilities towards the developments. The process of voting is also an issue as they are not fair with the percentage of

Fifty to 60 percent in my position-- in my opinion, this percentage is fair. It has been my opinion, and as I visit developments who have gone through the process that the materials are cheap. The workmanship is just a cosmetic effect. The main problems are leaking roofs, pipes, old electrical lines are not being addressed. The appliance that are being installed are not completely new, and were most likely not sold by the manufacturer. Also, residents who have had the conversions and complain about the servicing of their developments and how the private managed companies are lacking in the work orders and in need of fixing different issues in their apartments. I live four blocks from Betances. I have been approached by a number of residents who voice their disappointment with these conversions as they still have leaks that come through the drywall and stain ceilings.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, ma'am. We're going to conclude.

DANA ELDEN: Sir, Chairman Banks, I've been here all day. Please let me finish.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: I'm going--

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

DANA ELDEN: [interposing] I only got two paragraphs left.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Okay, I'm going to give you 30 seconds. Just reward a little quicker. Thank you.

DANA ELDEN: Okay, I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Alright.

DANA ELDEN: It looks nice outside, but truly lacking in the material quality. This misinformation and the promises of residents' rights are important to the human right to have a quality of life that will sustain them in a healthy environment. Section 9 protects our residents. Section 8 guarantees the legislature of 2022 that they will adopt the language of the 964 rule and regulations, but what quarantees that the residents will be awarded the same rights as they would with Section 9. Also, this practice of RAD/PACT must be abolished. The damage that has been done in many cases already is astounding. What is happening around the city agency, passing up their responsibility to these private management teams is critically affecting us. I ask the Council to stand with the citizens of this

city who reside in public housing. We deserve better

for NYCHA who has totally abandoned its properties

[inaudible] privatization and the unrolling [sic] of

16 to 18 properties on one private developer who has

2.3

24

25

2.2

little to no experience in renovation is proof of
that abandonment. It's an abandonment that's
motivated by profit and profit alone. I think we're
all clear on that. I think we're going round and
round in circles trying to avoid the issue. I would
like for the City Council to hold these hearings and
give the opportunity to tenant leaders to address
NYCHA as they sit up here at their table and tell lie
after lie while you ask questions. It's clear to me
that you're aware of the deception being given, but
you could be far more effective if you let some of
these tenants and TA leaders confront them when they
tell these lies about what they're doing. And that's
all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Chavis. Doreen Goggans?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

DOREEN GOGGANS: Good afternoon everyone.

My name is Doreen Goggans. I'm a resident and also

I'm the President for the FHA Homes Resident Council

which is an entity of FHA repossessed homes. This

entity basically is for single-dwelling and multi
dwelling homes which are scattered. We are a newly
formed council as of December 2023. The residents--

I've heard everyone speak about NYCHA and RAD/PACT
which I agree that they should not be involved, and
the things that they're doing to the residents is no
transparency, they tell a bunch of lies. When you
ask them specific questions, they can't answer it,
because of the lies. So they started out telling
lies, so you know when you tell one lie, you got to
continue to tell that lie, and that's what they have
been doing for years now. My parents went into this
home in 1968. They're deceased now, but they always
wanted to purchase the home, but a lot of things fell
in the cracks. They used to come and do the repairs
and keep the house maintenance, but that all stopped
over 10, 15 years ago. No work has been done, but
I've been paying my parents faithfully paid their
rent, and we're in compliance. I'm in compliance, so
I'm just here basically being a voice for the
residents, because they need a voice. They need
someone to know their concerns, and they want to live
in a healthy, safe environment. For a lot of years,
people have been saying they're going to do this.
NYCHA's going to do this. NYCHA's going to do this,
but they don't do anything.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

2	SERGEANT	AT	ARMS:	Thank you.	Your	time
3	has expired.					

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

 $\label{eq:documents} \mbox{DOREEN GOGGANS:} \quad \mbox{And I'm really tired.}$  Thank you for having me here.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Ilana Dunner?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

ILANA DUNNER: [inaudible] director of Riverstone Senior Life Services, community-based organization at 99 Fort Washington. We're kind of coming from a different angle. We are a nonprofit agency in one of the NYCHA buildings. The new management seems to consider us more like a commercial tenant, responsible for all repairs at our own expense. This was a real change since NYCHA management managed the building. As renovations continued, there were major leaks causing significant damage to the two floors where we provide services. We were informed by management that repairs in any area which had already been renovated by Riverstone, thanks to capital and City funds, would be our responsibility. After five such events, our longstanding insurer dropped us, and I could not locate another insurance company that would even consider

Please help us.

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. It's duly recorded. Jeannie Lopez?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JEANNIE LOPEZ: Yep, Boston Secor. NYCHA single-handedly decides which development will get The selections are not based on repair needs. The latest physical needs assessments demonstrates that the repair backlog do not impact the selection process. Instead, they seem to be focused on the sites that are desirable to their real estate partners. Then they work and hand over my precious ancestral land to one of the worst management companies in New York. The list of real estate partners allowed to manage and/or develop is limited by New York State, NYCHA, and the selection of partners is not truly democratic. NYCHA's approach to the tenant association is private and they're votes to that -- here we go. During the initial conversation NYCHA informed that the TA will undergo conversations on project-based Section 8. given at least three choices. The management development team does not have to report this to the larger community of tenants. Therefore, the selection process is un-democratic and also violates our rights. Boston Secor, for instance, just had their President dies a year ago around the same year

3

\_

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

my mom died, and now we did not get a fair vote into how to get a new president in, and it's not whoever was a family member and/or just on the team before got voted up. Crazy. Yeah, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Judy Smith?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JUDY SMITH: Hello everyone. I'm keeping this in the frame of what you asked before, what do residents know, what can NYCHA residents do, and the [inaudible]. What do residents know? Not much. They don't know about Section 9 and their rights. 99.9 percent of the tenants don't know what Section 9 They don't know what City Council Presidents are, but they sit at the table. They are our voice. And it's some confusion about the Resident Council. Are they the same as the tenant association or are they just another lawyer between residents and NYCHA. What can NYCHA residents do? Educate themselves and vote based on candidate's achievement, qualifications, and vision, because I read a article that said NYCHA residents don't get what they want, because they are low-priority because they don't So, that's something NYCHA residents need to

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

has expired. JUDY SMITH: Okay, just one more thing. CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thirty seconds, JUDY SMITH: Okay. And some other things that I-- if you know RAD/PACT is imminent domain in practice. And I want you to realize that a lot of NYCHA are civil service workers, and a lot of their employees are civil service workers. Another point, I heard that RAD/PACT does not address the infrastructure. That's another point. And as for roque TA presidents, Manhattanville, look at Manhattanville. They are supposed to be taken over by RAD/PACT this summer and most of the tenants don't

results

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 259
want it. They don't know what's going on. They're
being bullied. So, I'm going to have some of those
tenants give you a call.
CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.
Thank you for your testimony. Lesley Halliday?
SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.
LESLEY HALLIDAY: Hello. I'm Lesley
Halliday. I'm the Board President of Riverstone
Senior Life Services located at 99 Fort Washington
Avenue which is a NYCHA senior housing complex. We
have served the community for over 39 years. Our
NYCHA residents appear to be content with the result:
of the renovations and capital improvements.
However, our experience as an organization operating

from a RAD NYCHA building has not been positive.

previously responsible. We believe that these

What RAD has mean to Riverstone is the transferring

of many costs and liabilities for which we were never

problems stem from a failure at the highest level of

NYCHA to anticipate the RAD program would generate a

need for a written articulation of the relationship

between the nonprofit organizations which operate

management running RAD buildings. Six and a half

under contract to other city agencies and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2	years since we learned 99 Fort Washington was
3	becoming a RAD building, we have no written
4	guidelines. Our management companies have expressed
5	the opinion and operated under the assumption that
6	Riverstone is atypical commercial tenant. The
7	consequence of being located in a RAD building have
8	been negative in many ways. As our director
9	mentioned, our deductible has gone from \$1,000 to
10	\$25,000. The absence of written guidelines means the
11	board operates without any clear understandings of
12	our legal and fiduciary responsibilities. There is
13	no clarity about the hierarchy of multiple companies
14	that seem involved with 99 Fort Washington.
15	Furthermore, representatives from these companies
16	offer conflicting and contradictory statements about
17	the chain of communication and operating procedures.
18	Our executive director now spends about 50 percent of
19	her time in building manners, undercutting her
20	ability to lead staff and provide effective
21	programming. Members of the Riverstone team will
22	further address some of these issues in oral
23	testimony. I want to say listening to this hearing,

I am a proud product of the Dyckman Houses. However,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

I am saddened by everything that I am hearing from the tenants today. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Our next testimony will come from Lisa Hall.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: starting time.

LISA HALL: This is Lisa Hall and her

mother Simone Hall, NYCHA's Boston Secor residents, a federally classified housing development in the Bronx, right across the street from Co-op City, the world's largest cooperative tenants association and apartments. And I wanted to discuss how this program, project-based Section 9, actually works. And we are having a hybrid situation where the Wavecrest management team is coming in to privatize it, and we had the death as an earlier residents said of our tenant's association president in December of So it left us with no authorized resident leaders. Yet, someone is talking to the projectbased Section 8 and PACT program without our knowledge, because we understand that NDAs were signed, and we can't get any actual intel for what's been going on. But Wavecrest Management has stated during these various PACT meetings that the

you, your time has expired.

LISA HALL: NYCHA ends. additional time, please.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thirty seconds to wrap it up, ma'am.

2 LISA HALL: so, on Monday we're being 3 forced by Wavecrest Management to bring in our personal information, birth certificate, social 4 5 security cards, photo IDs, driver's licenses, passports, and permits to force us to sign for 6 7 Section 8, and this is not what we asked for. And they also put on flyers that was left on our doors, 8 the English language version of this document is the 10 official legal controlling document. The Latin 11 translation is not an official document. So you have 12 biases going on here for a development that we did not ask for. And what's actually going on is our 13 14 property is being commandeered by Section 8 and 15 Wavecrest Management and put into a financial 16 portfolio so that will control our apartments and

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Thank you, ma'am.

disappear our apartments, and that is the overall

agenda under the guise that you're coming in to

provide upgrades and repairs--

LISA HALL: we've been waiting for for at least 20+ years. Thank you for your time.

24

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Teresa -- sorry about that. Sarah Morgridge?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

SARAH MORGRIDGE: Hello. I'm Sarah Morgridge. I've been on the Riverstone Board of directors since 2016. From 2002 through 2013 I worked for Council Member Robert Jackson. Riverstone was in his district. Currently, I work for Literacy in Community or, LINC. LINC provides two generate early literacy programming in eight NYC neighborhoods including East New York and Cypress Hills. My testimony is about the absence of written guidelines, MOUs or any other, other kind of operating agreement. For instance, we've never seen a sample or an actual version of the NYCHA RAD contract that governs 99 Fort Washington. How can we understand our parameters without that? Is it because NYCHA's an

we have to FOIA this? We have an excellent relationship with our RAD social services liaison, but no understanding of what her contractual

authority, they're exempt from public scrutiny?

relationship is to RAD or to us. We've been

stonewalled throughout this process which began in

allowed.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thirty more seconds, ma'am.

24

2.2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

buildings.

2 SARAH MORGRIDGE: WE look forward to receiving a written report on topics covered. 3 would welcome the opportunity to discuss ideas with 4 5 you about how this situation for service providers could be improved. We'd like to talk to you and 6 members of the central staff. Because it's board 8 members who have the legal and the fiduciary responsibility for service organizations. current RAD structure undercuts our ability to 10

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.
Thank you for your testimony. Teresa Scott.

very real consequences to nonprofits in RAD

provide services and threatens our solvency. We

understand the benefits, but please do not ignore the

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

TERESA SCOTT: Okay. Hi, peace and blessings. My name is Teresa Lorraine Scott. I live in Redfern Houses. I love public housing. Public housing saved my life, and I feel like y'all are allowing NYCHA to destroy and destruct our homes. RAD needs to be sunset today. Sunset RAD today. Don't wait until September. Stop RAD right now. Also, reenact the fair housing [inaudible] so people who are

2 put in RAD by force, by terror. This is the USA. 3 This is not-- NYCHA has become terrorists. RAD has 4 become terrorists. They have guns [sic] on us, 5 silent guns on us forcing us to be part of stuff, telling people I'm going to give you new-- I need a 6 7 new frigerator [sic]. I need to be in a home that's 8 safe for me, that's not going to be harassing. have been harassed for the last [inaudible]. During COVID we were harassed. The resident management told 10 11 when I was part of the board that RAD was horrible. 12 Then they changed their whole subject. Oh, take RAD. 13 So they're in cahoots of destroying public housing 14 residents who wants to stay in their homes. 15 Section 9 is the only home that's affordable. 16 mixed-income. No affordable -- no low-income house 17 that's affordable. We need public housing. We need 18 to keep it -- the Section 8 that they had years ago is 19 not the same as it. So they tricking people to-- we-20 - I feel that we need to have these leaders that was 21 today to create a new strategy. We have the 2.2 solutions. We can tell you what to do. We need 2.3 y'all to work with the Assembly, the HUD, and yourself to join with us. I am so tired of NYCHA 24

coming to me, lying to y'all, and y'all say the same

- 2 thing. Send us a letter-- no, forget about send a
- 3 letter. Meet with the residents that are here today.
- 4 Let us be the leaders, because these TA boards are
- 5 rogue like that council woman said. They are rogue.
- 6 They don't care about us. They don't' ask us
- 7 questions. Why should a NDA be signed by TA
- 8 | Presidents, and then the public -- this is a public
- 9 housing. That mean--
- 10 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank
- 11 you. Your time has expired.
- 12 TERESA SCOTT: public is [inaudible]
- 13 public. Okay, I'll-- and the other thing I want to
- 14 | say is that Councilman Banks thank you for having
- 15 | this thing, but I need you to hire us to be part of
- 16 this team. Make us be the new leaders of public
- 17 | housing, because we know what we need. Y'all don't
- 18 know what we need. We can tell you. You listen to
- 19 NYCHA, and we are doomed. We need our home. I am a
- 20 disabled person, and if I have to put on the street,
- 21 where am I supposed to live? I don't want to live
- 22 | with my parents. I want to stay in my home that's
- 23 safe.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.

2.2

2.3

TERESA SCOTT: Respect our buildings and make it livable so we-- it's a human right to have a safe place, and y'all have taken our rights by allowing RAD, the Trust and all the other programs that are stealing our homes. This is our land, not their land. They just want our land. They don't want us.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank--

TERESA SCOTT: They want our land.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you.

TERESA SCOTT: This land belongs to us, not to these other people that are trying to destroy our homes.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you, ma'am.

TERESA SCOTT: Save our home.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your

testimony. Iziah Thompson?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

IZIAH THOMPSON: Thank you, Chair Banks and the committee staff for having this hearing. I'm Iziah Thompson representing Community Service Society. I'll be submitting a much longer testimony, but in short, last year the Community Service Society carried out our annual survey, a random

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

representative sample of residents to capture the experience and need of low-income New Yorkers. While we found that the PACT respondents reported that their landlords show more respect and responded to repair needs much more successfully than NYCHA, PACT residents were more likely to report serious concerns with leaks and mold, cleaning drinking water, as well as needing hot water. These respondents were mostly in the areas where Park Rock Consolidated, Brooklyn Bundle, High Bridge Franklin, Northwest Bronx scattered sites, Twin Parks West, Reid Apartments and Ocean Bay conversions occurred. We also found that 43 percent of PACT respondents reported that their landlord tried to evict them compared to just eight percent of NYCHA residents. From this, we were surprised to find that many of the management partners at these conversions had trails of reviews and complaints on review sites and Google Maps, and terrible images and videos on social media showing their conditions. Our written testimony includes examples of management partners losing subsidies in private buildings just this year due to failing HQS, a trend of residents getting no response from companies regarding emergency conditions, private and

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 2/1
2	PACT units, poor maintenance of luxury buildings that
3	they own with pictures from lobbies and apartments,
4	and evidence of harassment and being heavy-handed
5	with evictions. It's a shame that these residents
6	have to resort to Google map reviews and TikTok to
7	express their dissatisfaction. Ultimately, it is one
8	thing to present a pretty plan for resident leaders
9	who without real education campaigns and technical
10	assistance for residents, folks who can point out the
11	questionable past of some of these companies, NYCHA's
12	not supporting residents with unbiased independent
13	education throughout this conversion process that
14	PACT is. FEC in its survey is one of the best
15	examples of this. We know that NYCHA can empower
16	residents with choice and information. The
17	Preservation Trust slated sites have an extensive
18	education campaign and actual vote. We don't
19	understand why some residents get this historic
20	engagement and others are
21	SERGEANT AT ARMS: [interposing] Thank
22	you. Time has expired.
23	IZIAH THOMPSON: [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your

testimony.

and Boulevard Houses. Boulevard Houses construction

2	is one of the worst that I've ever seen. The
3	material that was used in our development all seems
4	to be flammable, front doors, floors, the paint jobs
5	were like done with like plaster paint instead of
6	semi-gloss paint. The apartment is already falling
7	apart. My apartment has already failed inspection
8	from after being redone completely since December.
9	NYCHA came and did an inspection. But I tell you one
10	thing is true, and I listened to everybody and the
11	questions that was going on today it's hard to
12	reach NYCHA. It's hard to reach anybody in this
13	RAD/PACT program that can give you any kind of
14	assistance, even on the weekends when we have
15	emergencies out here in a district between Penn-
16	Wortman, Linden and Boulevard. There is no support
17	system for us to call on the weekend, no support
18	system. I don't feel that I'm I feel like I'm being
19	invaded by Section 8. I was threatened to go on
20	Section 8. If I didn't go on Section 8, because I've
21	always been a Section 9 resident if I didn't go on
22	Section 8 when this RAD/PACT program came out, I was
23	being threatened to be evicted and my mother, too,
24	who's been out here for 52 years. We've all been out
25	horo since 1972 So I will continue to support

2.2

whatever fight that we have to fight with my councilman and whatever other-- my assemblywoman and whoever else, you know, within my district, but we really need to have a option to go back to Section 9, and we really need to have the PACT/RAD program and the management team of PRC and Boulevard Houses has to be removed, has to be removed.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: Thank you for your testimony. Okay, thank you for your testimony. And we are now going to move to Alex Stein.

ALEX STEIN: Hey, guys. It's me, Alex Stein. I just want to talk about the latest political action committee coming out in 2024 we started. It's called Illegals for Trump, and we want to offer illegal immigrants better social services—

CHAIRPERSON BANKS: [interposing] Sir, you have to stay on topic.

ALEX STEIN: by the Administration. It is. What I'm saying, we're going to be able to get Section 8 and Section 9 housing to illegal immigrants over American citizens under this new legislation that Donald Trump is going to put in, because we need

these people were born and there's no--

mean? We got people cooking rats. If we get the

for participating in our hearing on RAD. Again, this

is our fight to hold NYCHA accountable, and-- we have

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING 278
2	somebody that raised their hand. Okay, that
3	concludes our hearing. This meeting is adjourned.
4	[gavel]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HOUSING

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_May 29, 2024\_\_\_\_\_