CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

OF THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

Wednesday, September 17, 2025

Start: 10:15 A.M. Recess: 5:12 P.M.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Hon. Kevin C. Riley, Chair

Hon. Sean Abreu, Interim Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu

David M. Carr

Kamillah Hanks

Francisco P. Moya

Yusef Salaam

Lynn C. Schulman

Other Council Members Attending: Joseph, Won, and Stevens

#### APPEARANCES

Melanie Rodriguez and Mike Reyna, El Caldero Restaurant and Sport Bar

Nadia Busuttil and Eric Busuttil, Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports Lounge

Shawn Alyse Campbell, District Manager, Brooklyn Community Board 14 RE: Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports Lounge

Ruhel Haque, Lava Rock Kitchen

Amin Uddin, Landlord of 2119 Starling Avenue RE: Lava Rock Kitchen

Lin Zeng,
Director at Queens Office of Department of City
Planning (DCP)

Hye-Kyung Yang, Senior Lead for Special Projects at NYC Department of City Planning (DCP)

Sarit Platkin,
Executive Director of Neighborhood Planning and
Equity Initiatives at New York City Housing
Preservation and Development (HPD)

Beth DeFalco, Deputy Commissioner for Public Affairs for New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Dylan Meagher, Chief of Staff of Sustainability at New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Jason Banrey,

Deputy Borough Commissioner for the Department of Transportation in Queens (DOT)

Nick Molinari,

Chief of Planning and Development at New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Laura Melendez,

Project Planner at New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Marit Larson,

Assistant Commissioner of Planning at New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Erich Bilal,

Chief of Staff, Bureau of Sustainability at New York City Economic Development Corporation (EDC)

Lisa Goren,

Long Island City Coalition

Steven Edgar,

Long Island City

Donal Cogdell,

Minister of Justice at Hope Astoria Church

Andrea Mungo,

Western Queens Community Land Trust

Corey Hannigan,

Active Transportation Program Manager at Tri-State Transportation and Member of Queens Community Board Two

Senjuen Hsia, 21-07 40th LLC

Alberto Cruz, Associate Executive Director for Adult and Community Services at Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement Houses

Amanda McEnery, Associate Executive Director for External Relations at Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement Houses

Eliana Gibbs, Community Engagement Director at Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement Houses

Senator Kristen Gonzalez, New York State Senate

Frank McCrea, Director of Older Adult Center at Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement Houses

Patricia Cooper, Resident of Queensbridge

Kariesia Baton, Founding Executive Director of Queensboro Dance Festival; Member of Community Board Two

Kirsten Bladh, Policy Manager at Open New York

Maria Rojas, Seniors of Queensbridge

Valerie Green, Resident of Queensbridge

Audrey Hilliard, Resident of Queensbridge Houses

Paula Chambers, Resident of Queensbridge

Christine Hunter, Chair of the Land Use and Housing Committee for Queens Community Board Two

Paula Kirby, Plaxall, Inc.

Manny Romero, Vice President for Communications and External Affairs at LaGuardia Community College: On behalf of President Kenneth Adams of LaGuardia Community College

Edjo Wheeler,
Executive Director of Culture Lab LIC

Jubaire Salim, Representing—self

Elena Ketelsen González, Associate Curator at MoMA PS1

Connie Butler, Director of MoMA PS1

Kevin Douglas,
Representing self,
[Affiliated with the Floating Hospital]

Laura Rothrock,
President of the Long Island City Partnership

Charles Yu, Vice President of the Long Island City Partnership

Alan Suna, Chairman of Silvercup Studios in Long Island City

William Xu, Vice President of United Construction and Development Group

Rachel Cohen, Representing—self

Matt Quigley, Plaxall, Inc.

Jordan Hare, Plaxall, Inc.

Peter Papamichael, Founder of the VOREA Group

Carlo Casa, Director of Policy and Research at the New York Building Congress

Rob Basch,
President of the Hunters Point Parks Conservancy

Barbara Etzel, Long Island City Resident

Jessica Sechrist, Executive Director of the Hunters Point Parks

Chris Batres, Council Representative for the New York District Council of Carpenters

Andrew Ebenstein, Representing—self

April Adams, Manager of Government Affairs at JetBlue Airways

Denis Ibric, Council Representative for the New York City District Council of Carpenters

Paolina Hsia, Speaking on Behalf of Small Business and Property Owners (along 21st Street between 39th and 40th Avenue within the IBC zone)

Kevin Dantonio,
Representing—self

Austin Celestin, Representing—self

Laura Wolf-Powers, Western Queens Community Land Trust; Professor of Urban Planning at Hunter College

Seth Bazacas, Pastor at Wellspring Church NYC, Founding Director of Renew Queens

Christopher Leon Johnson, Representing—self

Olivia Munn-Shirsath, Resident of Hunters Point and Pastor at Wellspring Church

Jackson Chabot, Director of Advocacy and Organizing at Open Plans

Daniel Taylor, Resident of Queensbridge Houses

Kenny Greenberg,
Member of Queens Community Board Two

Anatole Ashraf, Chair of Queens Community Board Two

Riley Owens, Chair of the Transportation Committee of Community Board Two

Jenny Dubnau, Coalition of Community Groups in LIC & Western Queens

Rachel Brown, Coalition of Community Groups in LIC & Western Queens

Pamela Herrera, Land Justice Coordinator at the Western Queens Community Land Trust

Memo Salazar, Western Queens Community Land Trust and the Coalition of Community Groups in LIC & Western Oueens

Lior Brimberg,
Parent of a Baccalaureate School for Global
Education (BSGE) Student

Dan Miller, Resident of Astoria, Queens

Thomas Mituzas,
Blissville Civic Association

Morry Galonoy,

Chair of the Small Business Committee for Queens Community Board Two, and Member of the Land Use and the Transportation Committees

Christine Garner, Urban Design Associate at the Regional Plan Association

Valentin Cannucci, Long Island City Resident

Lisa Deller, Queens Community Board Member and Former Community Board Land Use and Community Board Chair

Sarah Helden, Member of Western Queens Community Land Trust, Coalition of Long Island City Community Groups

Christina Chaise, Second Vice President of the Resident Association Board—On behalf of Residents of Ravenswood and Queensbridge

Joanna Levinger, Resident of Long Island City

Rosamond Gianutsos, First Vice Chair of Queens Community Board Two

Sarah Dole, Resident of Long Island City

#### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Christian Tirado, Parent and Member of a Baccalaureate School for Global Education (BSGE) Parent Teacher Association

Ping Yeung, Resident of Long Island City

Yarianna Céspedes, Resident of Long Island City

David Gordon,
Resident of Long Island City

Leo Guttman,
Resident of Long Island City

Nick Leung, Resident of Long Island City

Adam Ganser,
Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks

Sabreen Rahman, Resident of Long Island City

Salma Haque, Event Coordinator at BHALO, Inc.

Deborah Diggs, Resident of Long Island City

Maddie DeCerbo, Real Estate Board of New York

Charles Wang, Resident of Long Island City

#### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Brian Ferreira, Resident of Long Island City

Nellie Afshar, Second Vice Chair, Queens Community Board Two

Michael Zoorob,
Member of Queens Community Board Two

David Chai, Resident of Long Island City

Émilia Decaudin, Resident of Long Island City

Mark Follo, Resident of Astoria, Military Veteran of the US Navy

Ciaran Gallagher, Resident of Long Island City

Lindsey McCormack, Resident of Long Island City

Tyler Baldwin,
Resident of Long Island City

Vishnu Reddy, Resident of Long Island City

Jasper Wu, Resident of Long Island City

Salil Khanna, Resident of Long Island City

Mandana Limbert, Court Square Civic Association

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Edith Adams, Resident of Long Island City

Evelyn Chango, Community Board Two

Emily Smith, New York City Resident

Ernie Brooks, Resident of Long Island City

Corinne Haynes, President of Queensbridge Houses Resident Association

SERGEANT SHERMAN: Good morning, this is a microphone check for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. Today's date is September 17, 2025. We are located in the Chambers, and this is being recorded by Taisha Sherman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: (INAUDIBLE) Are we ready?

INTERIM CHAIR PERSON ABREU: [GAVEL]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Council Member, one second.

Good morning, and welcome to the New York City
Subcommittee Council hearing on Zoning and
Franchises.

At this time, please silence your cell phones. If you wish to testify, please go to the back of the room to fill out a testimony slip. At this time and going forward, no one is to approach the dais. I repeat, no one is to approach the dais.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

INTERIM CHAIR PERSON ABREU: [GAVEL] Good
morning, and welcome to the meeting of the
Subcommittee of Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council
Member Shaun Abreu. I'm temporarily chairing this
committee today. This morning, I am joined by Council
Member David Carr.

Today we will have five hearings, three Sidewalk Cafe applications, including:

LU 379 for El Caldero Restaurant and Sport Bar; LU 380 for Lava Rock Kitchen; LU 381 for Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports Bar.

We'll also hold the hearing on LU 372 for the Station Plaza Jamaica City Map Change. Note that a comprehensive public hearing was held by the Subcommittee on September 9th for the Jamaica Neighborhood Plan, including discussion and open testimony on this related action.

Today, we are holding a separate public hearing for this mapping action pursuant to a separate public notice and consistent with ULURP requirements.

Finally, we will hold a public hearing on LUs

373 through 378 for the Long Island City Neighborhood

Plan, a series of Land Use actions to rezone a

portion of Long Island City in Council Member Won's

district in Queens, which I will describe in more

detail when we open that hearing.

First, I will go over the meeting procedures.

This meeting is being held in a hybrid format.

Members of the public who wish to testify may testify

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 15

in person or via Zoom. Members of the public wishing

to testify remotely may register by visiting the New

York City Council website at <a href="https://www.council.nyc.gov/land">www.council.nyc.gov/land</a>

use to sign up, or for those of you here in person,
 please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to prepare and

7 submit a speaker card.

2.2

2.3

Members of the public may also view a live stream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by me to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony that you would like the Subcommittee to consider, or if you have written testimony that you would like to submit instead of appearing in person, please e-mail it to <a href="mailto:landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov">landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU number and or project name in the subject line of your e-mail.

We request that witnesses joining us remotely remain at the meeting until excused by the Chair, as Council Members may have questions.

meeting, this is a government proceeding, and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless they are testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify, and no recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

I will now open the public hearing on LU 379, a Sidewalk Cafe application by El Caldero Restaurant and Sports Bar for approximately five tables and 20 chairs located on Jerome Avenue in the Bronx in Council Member Stevens' District.

For anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to submit a speaker card. If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to

### landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov

I don't think Council Member Stevens is here with us, so I would now call on the applicant panel for this proposal, which consists of the following panelists: Melanie Rodriguez and Mike Reyna (phonetic).

Counsel, please administer the affirmation. Melanie Rodriguez and Mike Reyna (phonetic)?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Mr. Reyna and Miss Rodriguez, I'm going to ask you to raise your right hand and answer the following question.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions, Mike Reyna? Melanie Rodriguez, thank you.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

MIKE REYNA: Okay, we already presented the application to the government, and we received some information that we needed to reduce it, which we did. We're not going to use that part, and they want something in writing (INAUDIBLE) like that, so we're going to do a small section on it, which is in the paperwork that we submitted.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Can you please restate what it is that you're changing?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

MIKE REYNA: Well, they are saying -- We have some tables and chairs inside on top of the doors of the basement on the sidewalk. So they wanted us to do something else to the structure there. So we didn't do that. We removed that and we put it on the side.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Okay. I am checking to see if my colleague, Council Member Stevens, has any questions.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Just give us a minute, please, thank you.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: So my understanding is that the council member has concerns about pedestrian safety at this establishment. Can you speak to those concerns? Please make sure your mic is on.

MIKE REYNA: What concern is this?

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Are you aware of any pedestrian safety issues at the site?

MIKE REYNA: We don't think so because it's a very large area, and we're just talking a side of it. It's, like, the side of a restaurant, not on the main street. Jerome is like...

MELANIE RODRIGUEZ: 169...

hearing into recess.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Yes.

25

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you, Council Member Abreu.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony regarding Land Use Application Number 381 concerning the request by Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports Lounge, located in my district at 4307 18th Avenue in Brooklyn, NY 11218, for a revocable consent to operate a sidewalk cafe under Section 19-160.2 of the New York City Administrative Code.

At the outset, I want to acknowledge that thriving local businesses are a vital component of a strong and vibrant community. We recognize the value that restaurants and hospitality establishments bring to the Council District 40 in New York City at large, not only through economic activity and job creation, but also as gathering spaces for families and neighbors. As a council member representing the mighty Council District 40 and its residents, I remain committed to fostering a positive, collaborative relationship with small businesses. I often use small business spaces for social and community events. However, we must also be honest that serious public safety concerns have been brought

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

to my attention regarding this establishment. Those

23

2

concerns cannot be ignored as we consider this

3 4

application for expanded use of public space.

5

According to the conversations and emails we have

6

7

troubling series of incidents that have raised red

received, the Green Pavilion has been linked to a

8

flags with law enforcement, local residents, and

community leaders.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The Community Board 14 Team contacted us, reported from NYPD 70th Precinct, and detailed the following incidents that occurred in the vicinity of the establishment, with the majority of which

• April 21st, there was a felony assault.

happened during late night or early hours:

- April 28th, workers were reportedly beaten.
- May 8th, a woman was assaulted, and an arrest was made.
- July 5th, there was a stabbing incident.
- August 11th, there was a robbery and shooting.

These incidents are significant enough to prompt the precinct's then commanding officer to sign a dedicated post at the location for certain hours, suggesting that these are not isolated cases but part of a pattern of late-night disorder.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In addition, we have received corroborated complaints from local residents, including one particular detail: the passionate message from a neighbor who had lived through the chronic disturbance associated with this establishment. This resident described ongoing noise complaints, often until 6:00 a.m., frequent street fighting and altercations, and a shooting occurring just as children were arriving from school.

General fear and concern about the establishment is a blight and a source of terror for nearby families. Given this pattern of troubling activities, we cannot in good faith recommend approval of a Sidewalk Cafe application to expand the use of public space and potentially the hours of operation without a clear and credible plan of action from the owner.

That said, the goal of this call-up is not to punish, but to partner. We believe that safe, respectable, community-oriented businesses should be supported, but support must be earned through accountability and transparency.

We respectfully ask the owner of Green Pavilion:

- 2.3

- To appear before the subcommittee today to address these concerns directly with sincerity.
- 2. Present a concrete Safety Compliance Plan which includes: adjusted hours of operation, enhanced private security measures, collaboration with the 7-0 Precinct and local Community Board, a commitment to regular communications with nearby residents, outlined steps taken to deescalate late-night disturbances to prevent further violence or public disorder.

This application will not be considered in a vacuum. Public sidewalk space is a shared community resource, and any extension of use must reflect the values, safety, and expectations of our residents.

My team and I are ready and willing to work collaboratively with business owners and all relevant stakeholders to find a path forward, but we must ensure that path leads to a safe, safer, more respectable, and community-positive outcomes for all parties involved.

We encourage the Green Pavilion to engage in this process in good faith, take the concern

truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,

24

3 council member questions?

4

1

2

NADIA BUSUTTIL: I do.

5

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

6

NADIA BUSUTTIL: I can now speak?

7

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: You may begin, and

8

please restate your name and organization.

Ç

NADIA BUSUTTIL: Hi, my name is Nadia Busuttil,

10

and I am representing Adboni Restaurant Corp, DBA

11

Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports Bar. That's my

12

name and the business. I just wanted to address, of

13

course, the concerns that Rita Joseph has spoken of.

successfully. And my father owns the business. We

neighbors over the past four decades. We do have a

strong relationship with the 7.0 precinct. And the

concerns that were mentioned, some of which we do

things said are not accurate. I'm not sure where the

information was, you know, received from, or who gave

that information, so I just want to explain that.

take accountability for, but some-- most of the

have become very close to our community and our

This establishment has been here for 43 years

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, we're doing all we can every day in terms of safety. We've had this outdoor seating since

28

2 COVID. It's helped us, you know, strive as a

3 business. Like I said, thank you, this is actually

4 not an updated picture of the outdoor seating, we

5 actually are in compliance. Our application was, you

6 know, successfully accepted by the Community Board

7 | 14. The outdoor seating has allowed us to engage more

8 | with the community. We're very close with the

9 neighbors around the corner from us on Lawrence

10 Avenue, which is a cerebral palsy institution. A lot

11 of customers come from there, as well as the 70th

12 Precinct.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We hold a lot of family events, weddings, birthday parties that, you know, like I said, over the past four decades have been, you know, this place has been a hub for families, and this is a family place. The things that have been occurring within the vicinity of the restaurant, you know, not all of it can be predicted, nor are we at fault with everything.

But in terms of the hours of operation, we operate from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. We are a bar, an established bar. We have a license for that. Let me see, I just want to, I just want to point out that we do have a security guard during the evening, uh,

3 we do search people, and we take IDs. You know, we do

29

4 all that we can, and we have been doing all that we

5 can for the past 43 years. Unfortunately, the

6 neighborhood has been changing over the years, and

7 some things we do not have control over,

realistically.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, you know, we do provide a clean, safe outdoor area that has enhanced the atmosphere of the neighborhood. We are one of the very few long-standing businesses in Kensington, Brooklyn. We're a very unique place that holds, you know, different family events like I said. We are very, you know, community-driven, and it's a shame of what has been going on within this neighborhood.

We cooperate with them very closely. You know and I know that the past few months have not made the place look, you know, the best, and we are taking, you know, the measures to make sure that, you know, we're doing all that we can. And some things, you know, we can't do, you know, everything in terms of safety. The people outside, we can't control them. We have a 24-hour camera surveillance, and we have a security

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

30

2 guard. Like I said, our hours of operation are 7:00

3 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. You know, we want to keep this

4 outdoor seating. It helps with our customers who are

5 | wheelchair bound. People do hold parties here. It is

6 very inviting. Like I said, this is not an updated

7 | picture. Our picture is in compliance-- I mean, our

8 outdoor seating is in compliance. It's actually open

9 | air. You know, I just want-- I came to testify today

10 to explain that (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. Council Member Joseph, do you have any questions for the applicant?

reported in this testimony today were submitted to us by 7.0. The 7.0 is also very concerned. They had to post someone outside. And as I said, I'm not here to get you, but I would love to see a plan of action as to what will happen for the safety of our communities, right? They are young children, there are families, and the disturbances have been a lot, and there have been complaints. We received a slew of complaints. So my thing here is not to find excuses, but I would really love to see some transparency, some accountability, and some responsibility.

2	NADIA BUSUTTIL: Yes, I'm absolutely taking
3	accountability. Like I said, we do have a security
4	guard. We do, you know, we do cooperate with the
5	police, and any camera evidence that they need, you
6	know, we've provided. We do everything up to code,
7	you know, we have a valid liquor license. We have a
8	valid food establishment for the past 40 years. I'm
9	not making excuses. I feel like this has been a bad
10	run, a bad year in terms of the neighborhood, and
11	we're doing all we can on our end, the best we can as
12	a restaurant. We are a restaurant. We have many
13	customers that do enjoy us being here and are very
14	loyal to us. Many, many families over the past 43
15	years have, you know, can speak on that. And I wish
16	that we could have those people here today, to you
17	know, not say the negative things that are happening,
18	you know, around our vicinity, not in our vicinity.
19	Not in, I'm sorry, not in the establishment. You
20	know, we are more than just those incidents that have
21	happened this past year. You know, the past 43 years,
22	I feel like we should have some credit in that sense
23	of being successful for that long, and not having
24	these types of terrible issues for that long

(CROSS-TALK)

25

1

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Would you be willing to submit to the Council Member a plan that she can review and take back to her constituents?

2.2

NADIA BUSUTTIL: Absolutely, we can do that.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Council Member, when would you like that plan?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Yeah, then, that's what I had said in my statement. I want to see a plan, and I gave her five bullets. If she wants our office to email it to her, I would love to do that gladly. Like I said at the beginning of my statement, it's to work with small businesses and support them, but when they become a problem in the community, and we get a lot of emails and complaints—for shootings, for stabbings, for assault, then it becomes a problem. It's a shared space, and this is a community.

NADIA BUSUTTIL: Yes, you can email that over to me. That would be great, and I can have that safety plan sent to you today as soon as possible. We are taking accountability, and we hope that, you know, we plan and we hope that things like this won't

Joseph's work on this particular application. I'm

25

2.2

2.3

14.

I'm concerned about the process itself, in that when community boards only have 30 days, and this hearing was scheduled for and was held on August 7th by a committee of the whole of Community Board 14. At that time, we did not have information from the NYPD that followed the shooting that took place on August— the morning of August 11th. And because the application was due on the 18th, our recommendation on the 18th, the Community Board had no time to then revisit the application at the community level with community members who have since come forward expressing concerns about the location.

And I understand the applicant's testimony about a long track record of no negative incidents.

But I'm concerned about the trajectory that we're on.

I would urge the Committee, urge the Council Member to consider some space in between the time that an application is approved, if that's where this is going, in order for the establishment to sort of regain the trust and comfort level of the surrounding community, and then allow the Community Board time and space to bring those comments back into an arena.

Because it wasn't just one incident, the information from NYPD suggests that more than one thing has been going on in the establishment, and not just nearby. And it seems as though it's violence of an escalating manner. And so I do wish to express those concerns and recommend that we exercise caution and take this slowly.

And then finally, recommend that (TIMER) the City Council review the process by which there's no way...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

SHAWN CAMPBELL: (INAUDIBLE) a 30-day recommendation. Thank you.

 $\label{thm:chairperson} \mbox{ INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you so much.}$  The witness panel is now excused.

Counsel, are there any individuals here waiting to testify?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Chair, there are no other witnesses registered to testify on this item. Thank you.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LU

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 36

2 381 related to Green Pavilion Restaurant and Sports

3 Lounge Sidewalk Cafe application, the public hearing

is now closed, and the item is laid over.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I will now open the public hearing on LU 327 related to the Station Plaza Jamaica City Map Changes, an application by the New York City Department of Transportation and the New York City Economic Development Corporation to change the City Map to facilitate the construction of two pedestrian plazas as part of the Station Plaza Enhancement Project in Downtown Jamaica, Queens in Council Member Williams' and Council Member Gennaro's districts.

As I mentioned at the opening of today's remarks, a comprehensive public hearing was already held on the Jamaica Neighborhood Plan on September 9th. That hearing included a discussion and open testimony for this related action. We are holding today's public hearing for this mapping action, consistent with ULURP requirements.

Counsel, has any member of the public registered to testify for this application?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Mr. Chair, there are no

registrations for this item, and no one in person

4 signed up to testify.

2.2

2.3

(PAUSE)

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on the LU 372, related to the Station Plaza Jamaica City Map Changes, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

(PAUSE)

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I will now open the public hearing on LU 380, a sidewalk café application by Lava Rock Kitchen for approximately 24 tables and 46 chairs, located on Starling Avenue in the Bronx in Majority Leader Farías' district.

For anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at <a href="mailto:council.nyc.gov/landuse">council.nyc.gov/landuse</a>.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to submit a speaker card.

If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

The Majority Leader is unable to join us in person today to participate directly, but she has provided a statement, which I will now read on her

behalf:

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

"Thank you to Chair Riley for the time and for reading the statement on my behalf today. I made the call-up request for this application in order to ensure that there was a thorough and accurate review.

The Sidewalk Cafe's proposed location is on Starling Avenue, the center of Bangla Bazaar, one of the busiest corridors in the district. Within one block of the proposed location, there is a highly used playground and several schools. PS 106 has an exit and entrance on the very same block on Odell Street. Every afternoon, hundreds of students and families move through this same stretch of sidewalkadd to that, the tutoring center in the same building with an entrance directly next to the proposed sidewalk café. The sidewalk distance and space is really the crux of the issue before us. What remains of paramount importance is whether there is enough safe walking space. On Starling Avenue and Odell Street, with schools, businesses, and apartment buildings all converging, the narrowing of the

landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin, and I will just ask you to please restate your name and organization for the record.

RUHEL HAQUE: My name is Ruhel Haque of Lava Rock Kitchen.

AMIN UDDIN: My name is Amin Uddin. I am the landlord of the property, 2119 Starling Avenue.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

RUHEL HAQUE: We submitted the application to the government, to City Hall, and I received an email from the City Council regarding some— the issues with the drawings. And I spoke with Mr. Curtain from Ms. Farías' office, with questions about the nearby entrance, the light post, uh, the distance from the light post, as well as the Ahsan's Learning Center, which is on the second floor of the restaurant, on the main entrance, on the left side, which is the main entrance to (INAUDIBLE) to the second floor. I was advised to clarify the distance. And we are working on it now.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: All right, since
your restaurant is located on the main road of
Starling Avenue, which is one block from Concerta
Playground, PS 106, and Saint Raymond High School for

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 41
Boys, in addition to MS 127 two blocks away, concerns

about pedestrian flow have been identified.

2.2

2.3

Do you believe that there will be major pedestrian concerns for your sidewalk café, especially since there is a tutoring center within your building that has an entrance directly next to your sidewalk café? In addition, right next to Ahsan's entrance is the entrance for the Daka Digital Printing, which will add to the traffic flow.

So, do you believe that there will be a major pedestrian concern for your sidewalk café?

RUHEL HAQUE: Regarding Ahsan's Learning

Center, they're open only, I believe, on the

weekends, Saturdays and Sundays. If I may, and the

Daka Digital Printing, uh, to my knowledge, I do not

see a lot of crowds from the students from Ahsan's

center, because they are not open Monday through

Friday, they are just open on the weekends, from, I

believe, from morning to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m. I'm not

sure exactly of their business hours.

So, the main entrance, uh, the main, on Starling Avenue, I do not see that it is going to be really crowded, because of the students from the learning center.

2.2

2.3

AMIN UDDIN: And if I may, the-- we are looking at the application here, on Starling Avenue, there's, uh, from the curb up until where the tables are proposed to begin for Lava Rock Kitchen, there is 10 feet of space. And on Odell Street, on the other side of the sidewalk, the proposed sidewalk café, there is a distance of roughly 8.5 feet, I believe from the curb to where the proposed seating begins, which we believe should be enough to ensure that there is enough space for, you know, typical and even, you know, more traffic flow than usual, especially around school, business (INAUDIBLE) time. We believe it should be okay.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: So the tutoring center you said is open just primarily on the weekends, and you're not seeing a lot-- you're not seeing much traffic from the digital printing center?

RUHEL HAQUE: Uh, yes.

AMIN UDDIN: Yeah, the-- it doesn't coincide with the restaurant opening hours. The restaurant is mainly busy in the late afternoon, and the tutoring center is mainly busy in the morning time.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: And the... What are your-- what-- do you know the hours of the tutoring

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 43 center on the weekend and your business hours on the weekend? Is there any overlap there?

RUHEL HAQUE: The restaurant's business hours on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday are from 3:00 p.m. until 11:00 p.m.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Okay.

RUHEL HAQUE: And Monday, we are closed. And Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, we are open from 4:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.

AMIN UDDIN: And the learning center hours, I am checking on Google right now, uh, they are open Saturday, Sunday, 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: So, there's not much overlap on the weekends, uh, for the, uh, for the learning center?

AMIN UDDIN: Yes... (CROSS-TALK)

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: for the tutoring center.

And on the weekends, probably not much overlap with schools either. But, during the week, uhm, there is definite overlap. I want to ask the question on behalf of the Majority Leader: if pedestrian traffic becomes an issue, what would you do to try to, you

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 44
know, reduce the issue, to mitigate the issue? If it

AMIN UDDIN: If it becomes an issue, we can reduce the size, I guess, or the width of the—
particularly on Odell Street. We can reduce the width of the tables. Instead of putting two tables across, we can just stick one table in order to better facilitate traffic flow.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: And you are willing to speak to the local council member on that— on your plan, uh, should pedestrian traffic become an issue?

RUHEL HAQUE: Yes.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Do you plan on seating patrons outside, all the way to closing, to 11:00 p.m.?

RUHEL HAQUE: The last seating is, like, let's say for the weekend, it's 10:30, the kitchen closes at 11:00 p.m. That's, yes, that is ideal, at least for summer, yes, maybe not for the winter.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: But you are saying on weekends, uh, most certainly, maybe not every other-- I mean, if you could just provide clarity to

2.2

becomes an issue?

AMIN UDDIN: Thank you.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Counsel, is there anyone else signed up to testify?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: No, Mr. Chair, there are no, uh, there is no one signed up to testify on this item.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LU 380, relating to the Lava Rock Kitchen Sidewalk Café application, the public hearing is now closed and the item is laid over.

(PAUSE)

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. I will now reopen the public hearing on LU 379 for the El Caldero Restaurant and Sport Bar. We opened this hearing earlier today, and it was put into recess. I reopen the hearing so that we can hear a statement from Council Member Stevens.

Council Member Stevens?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Hello, good morning.

I'm sorry I'm not there in person. I just wanted to

make sure that a couple of items were talked about

while we're thinking about the sidewalk café.

2.2

2.3

,

2.2

First, I just want to say El Caldero is an amazing partner, and we really appreciate having them in the community. They provide very nutritious food, and they have always— they've been a place that we've used for catering. However, the sidewalk café is what I have a couple of concerns about.

My first concern is when talking with El Caldero, they were told that they can actually already set up their sidewalk café by DOT, before the application was approved. So I'm concerned that even in this process, there's a disconnect in how the process should be played out and what that should look like. So that's my first concern.

In addition, although this area is no longer zoned for auto shops and auto mechanics, there are two auto shops directly across the street from where the sidewalk café would be. So, I think that we need to consider the safety hazards of people eating so close to where cars are being fixed. Fumes are being zoomed into the air, and so I'm really concerned about the safety of people eating outside.

My last point is that this is on and near

Jerome Avenue, where there's an elevated train right

across the street from the 4.4 Precinct that has a

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

48

fleet yard there. So we often have cars double-parked and triple-parked outside of there. So it's already a very congested area. So, to add a sidewalk café, I believe we'll just continue to add to the congestion. And like I said, there are two auto mechanics, often there are cars being worked on right outside, under the train station, and right across the street from it, on the street. So, I think that, with all of those things still being present in the area, we need to address those issues before we consider any sidewalk cafés in this area. Because, right now, it's just not equitable for residents in the community to be able to move freely, with both the congestion and

Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns that folks would like to address.

the auto mechanics still being there.

INTERIM CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Council Member Stevens.

With that, the public hearing on LU 379 for the El Caldero Sidewalk Café is now closed, and the item is laid over.

(PAUSE)

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

49 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning. I just wanted to thank Council Member Abreu for starting the hearing today.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 373 through 378 for the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan. This is a city proposal to rezone a portion of Long Island City located in Council Member Won's district. The proposed actions include Zoning Map amendments, acquisitions, and site selections by the city designation of an urban development action area, approval of an urban development action area project, the disposition of the city-owned Properties, City Map amendments, and Zoning Text amendments, including modifying and expanding the special Long Island City mixed-use district and establishing mandatory inclusionary housing.

On a technical note, I want to note that there is an additional related action for a City Map amendment for this plan, which has been approved by the City Planning Commission, which will be introduced at our next Stated Meeting and will have a public hearing at a future date.

However, because it is related to the overall Long Island City Neighborhood Plan, it will be

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 included as part of today's presentation and
3 discussion.

Altogether, these actions seek to create approximately 14,700 units of housing, including up to 4,700 affordable units, create a connected, resilient, and accessible waterfront, and meet the infrastructure and community needs of a growing neighborhood.

Today, we will have the opportunity to discuss with the Administration how these goals will be met, including the creation of housing that our constituents can actually afford, providing new school seats and public open space, and ensuring that the water and sewer infrastructure capacity will meet the needs of the community into the future.

For anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms to submit a speaker's card, and please indicate if you're in support or in opposition of this proposal.

2.2

2.3

If you prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to give the floor to Council Member Won for opening remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much, Chair Riley.

Good morning, everyone. It's so good to see you. My name is Julie Won. I'm currently the council member who represents the 26th District, which includes Long Island City.

Our goal together, collectively, when we first embarked on this journey two years ago, was to promote equitable growth in Long Island City and to ensure that our neighborhood is equipped with the resources it needs to thrive for our current residents and for the generations to come.

Today, we're here to discuss the One Island
City Neighborhood Plan (OneLIC), which seeks to
increase the supply of permanently affordable
housing, expand open space opportunities, waterfront
access, promote job growth, address critical
infrastructure and capacity needs, arts and culture,

and plan for the future resiliency of Long Island

City.

community thrive.

2.2

After seeing how previous rezonings in this particular area in District 26 and Long Island City overall have lacked sufficient infrastructure investments to support the growing community and have disproportionately benefited property owners and developers, I recognize the need for a comprehensive neighborhood planning process in Long Island City, one that considers all aspects of what makes a

If you feel like you're having a flashback or you're in Deja Vu, where you may have sat in this hall before about this very project, you're right! You're not having a Deja Vu. This project has failed four times before me with my predecessors. You know, sometimes the fifth time is a charm. Maybe, maybe not. We'll see how it goes today with the agencies—but over the past two years, we have collaborated with the Department of City Planning to engage local residents and community organizations to better understand community needs and develop a shared goal for the plan, all of which has guided the ultimate proposals we are discussing here today.

I know many of you have spent— We've had a lot of engagement throughout this process for the last two years. One that is— that surpasses the collection of the four projects that have gone before us. So this isn't the total of all the surveys, but for the survey. Because there were two separate surveys before our survey that my office sponsored, thanks to the City Council and the support of Speaker Adrienne Adams, we had 2,300 survey respondents, over 5,700 written comments from the town halls, and the focus area meetings. We've had canvassers knock on more than 4,900 doors at Queensbridge Houses, and so much more.

And I know hundreds of people have testified at both community board hearings and town hall. And I thank you for coming yet again in this marathon to have your voice heard again.

I want to thank the Department of City

Planning for their tireless work on this plan, as

well as our constituents and neighbors for their

extensive engagement, especially for all of the

advocates from Western Queensland Trust, Long Island

City Coalition, Hope Justice Astoria, and so much

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 54

more that have really come together to make sure that

people's voices are heard.

2.2

2.3

I want to also thank Community Boards One and Two for their leadership. They have, for the first time, I believe, passed this project in both the Zoning Committee or their Land Use Committee, as well as the General board. And I want to extend my gratitude to our Land Use Council team, James Cottone, Perris Straughter, William Vidal, and so many others who have worked on this with us so far.

And I want to also give flowers to my incredible staff, Ellie, Laura, Nick, Issac, Lilly, Greg, and Farah, who have also worked very hard to ensure that our community's priorities are heard by the City.

I also want to thank my colleagues, Borough
President Donovan Richards, our Congresswoman, Nydia
Velázquez, our State Senator Kristen Gonzalez, our
State Assemblymember, Claire Valdez, and Zohran
Mamdani, who also overlap where this project
currently lies. And I would be remiss if I did not
thank all of our NYCHA residents. I know that
(INAUDIBLE) Settlement Houses is here today, thank
you so much, as well as all of our union partners, I

./

see the orange back there—carpenters proud. And I know DC9 has also been present, cement and brick layers, and Liuna has also been very active. So, I thank you all for all of your efforts, because I know you are volunteering your time.

In June, we reached a historic milestone with both Community Boards One and Two passing the OneLIC. Both community boards included a thorough set of recommendations on stipulations in their resolutions to improve the current plan to more effectively meet community needs now that the New York City Planning Commission has passed the plan, but this work is far from complete.

As it stands, the One in the City Neighborhood Plan lacks a tangible commitment to address community needs and fund capital investments for critical infrastructure in Long Island City. To gain my final support of One Long Island City Plan, the City must translate community needs into real, concrete commitments. My red lines, or our priorities for this neighborhood plan, have been clear and public.

Nothing has changed. So, at the bare minimum, we need to make sure that there is a maximization of deeply affordable and family-sized units of housing on both

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

\_

private and public sites. And we need to create a publicly accessible waterfront open space connecting Gantry Park to Queensbridge Park, Con Ed, and NYPA. I know you're out there somewhere. Please do the right thing. We need to relocate city agencies, the Department of Parks, as well as the Department of Transportation, their agency operations from under the Queensboro Bridge and the ramps, and restore these sites back to Parks, which is included in the Queensbridge Baby Park, to a publicly accessible open space connecting the new waterfront with Parks' land.

To secure investments, we have to secure investments in critical sewer and stormwater management infrastructure to address existing flooding and resiliency issues.

To improve neighborhood and resilience, we have to build new schools to address the existing school seat shortage, which currently existent because the City failed to open the schools that they promised when they increased density in Long Island City, and students continue to be on waitlists.

People continue to move away from Long Island City because there aren't adequate school seats for every single grade level in Long Island City.

S

We must bring vacant units online at

Queensbridge Houses, Ravenswood Houses, and Woodside

Houses, and we have to make sure that there are

critical capital investments to improve these

physical conditions in our public housing units in

Long Island City.

So, without these commitments to address every one of these priorities, the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan will not have my support. I will vote no later this fall, but we can try again next year, maybe with the new Administration. Maybe.

We have an opportunity here to write the historic wrongs in Long Island City and set a model example for equitable growth that centers on community needs. I will continue to fight for the needs of the long-- I will continue to fight for the needs of District 26 and hold the City accountable to deliver a final plan that ensures Long Island City is a neighborhood where current and future residents can thrive.

I look forward to finalizing commitments of these issues over the coming weeks as we begin the Council's negotiations for this vote. And I just want to reiterate what Commissioner Osorio, on the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

58 Department of City Planning Commission, had said when he voted "no" on this project earlier this month, but, again, in quotes, he said, "I respectfully vote no because I cannot support a proposal of this scale in good conscience, leaving critical significant adverse impacts unaddressed." (BABY TALKING) Yes, the baby agrees. We need (LAUGHTER) more school seats.

And according to the final Environmental Impact Statement, the list includes public schools, libraries, open space, and air quality. I want to underscore that this is a disadvantaged community designation, underscoring the need for special attention under the New York State Climate Change Laws, namely the Climate Leadership and Community Protections Act. Equally important, I understand the future of the Vernon site is still to be determined, but at that current stage of the process, I cannot support a proposal until there is a guarantee that if housing were to be developed here on public land, it would be 100% affordable. As other commissioners have mentioned, I hope these things can be addressed, but I really want to continue to say that he is not alone in these thoughts. These thoughts have been what have prevented this rezoning from passing for decades. And 1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES if the city of New York cannot right the wrongs that 2 3 we have made for the decades before us, then we will

59

continue not to have progress in this area, and it 4

will continue to remain how it is today,

underutilized, unwalkable, and sad. I see DCP 6

frowning in agreement.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But I do hope that today's conversations can be fruitful, and I will turn back to the chair to continue on to our hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Won.

I will now call the applicant panel for this proposal, which consists of Lin Zeng and Hye-Kyung Yang from DCP; Nick Molinari, Laura Melendez, and Marit Larson from the Department of Parks and Recreation, Sarit Platkin from HPD, Jason Banrey from DOT, Erich Bilal from EDC, and Dylan Meagher from DEP.

Good morning, everyone. Counsel, can you please administer the affirmation?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Panelists, please raise your right hands, and I will ask you in turn to affirm the following: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 60	)
2	your testimony before this subcommittee, and in	
3	answer to all council member questions?	
4	Lin Zeng?	
5	DIRECTOR ZENG: I do.	
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hye-Kyung Yang?	
7	MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: I do.	
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Sarit Platkin?	
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PLATKIN: I do.	
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Beth DeFalco?	
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: I do.	
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Dylan Meagher?	
13	COF MEAGHER: I do.	
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Jason Banrey?	
15	COMMISSIONER BANREY: I do.	
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Nick Molinari?	
17	CHIEF MOLINARI: I do.	
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Laura Melendez?	
19	MS. LAURA MELENDEZ: (NO RESPONSE)	
20	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Laura Melendez?	
21	MS. LAURA MELENDEZ: (NO RESPONSE)	
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Marit Larson?	
23	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LARSON: I do.	
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Erich Bilal?	

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email to request to

## landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin; I just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record. We also have some chairs over here, so you guys can move here as well.

You may begin.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Good morning, my name is Hye-Kyung Yang from the New York City Department of City Planning. Good to see everyone. I'm here to present to you, on the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan, a vision for the next 10 years of growth, opportunity, and transformation of the neighborhood.

The Long Island City Neighborhood Plan is a series of land use actions developed from the OneLIC planning process, an extensive community engagement process conducted in partnership with Council Member Won, which delivered three key goals as part of a long term vision for the neighborhood to address the

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need for affordable housing, to facilitate business growth, and to address the need for open space.

First, on housing, LIC has been one of the fastest growing neighborhoods in the city, with over 21,000 homes constructed in the past two decades. However, while some income-restricted affordable housing has been created through voluntary tax incentive programs, current zoning does not mandate the creation of affordable housing in new buildings.

Second, on business growth, LIC is Western Queens key industrial and commercial hub. It is centrally located and has excellent vehicular and transit infrastructure, making it an ideal location for businesses to grow and thrive.

And third, an open space, as Long Island City continues to grow, new open space is needed to increase resiliency and support community well-being. With this neighborhood plan, we have the opportunity to create a resilient and continuous public waterfront.

As proposed, the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan is projected to create close to 14,700 new homes, of which approximately 4,300 would be permanently income-restricted, affordable to New

3

4

5

6

7

transit options.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yorkers earning between 40 and 80% of the area's median income. The plan will also create nearly 3.5 million square feet of new floor area for commercial and community facility uses, space that would support approximately 14,400 new jobs in an area with many

63

The following Land Use actions are proposed to encourage housing growth while requiring permanently affordable housing, enlivened corridors with active ground floor uses and streetscape improvements, and incentivize job growth in the neighborhood. We'll share more on these actions a little later in the presentation.

We have the Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendments, which are proposed to support the area's growth by applying MIH to the project area, expanding opportunities for open space, improving resiliency along the waterfront, and modifying the existing special Long Island City mixed-use district as coapplicants to this application, HPD is seeking UDAA designation, UDAAP project approval, and disposition to redevelop and improve city-owned land with 100% affordable housing development. With co-applicants DCAS and EDC, we're seeking approval for acquisition,

\_\_\_

city-owned properties to improve access along the waterfront.

And lastly, we're proposing City Map

site selection, and disposition of non-residential

And lastly, we're proposing City Map

Amendments to improve circulation near the

waterfront.

The project area covers a 54-block area in Western Queens. Most of the project area is located within Community District Two, with a small portion located in Community District One.

The proposed rezoning area focuses primarily on industrial areas South of NYCHA's Queensbridge

House campus and West of the Court Square neighborhood.

Though parts of Long Island City have seen tremendous growth in recent decades, the LIC Neighborhood Plan area in particular is still predominantly low-rise with more room to grow than other parts of the neighborhood.

Today, only low-density manufacturing uses are allowed across most of the plan area. Additionally, there is no requirement for income-restricted affordable housing to be developed if housing is ever built. And although there is waterfront access plan

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

in place along the waterfront, this restrictive zoning means that nothing has been developed that would create public open space—next slide.

A short background before we get to the specifics of the proposal—to create this Neighborhood Plan Proposal in partnership with Council Member Won, we've held 21 public meetings, hearing concerns and desires from over 1,800 attendees.

These conversations have led to the following five goals:

- 1. Create more housing for people at a range of income levels.
- 2. Create a connected, resilient, and accessible waterfront from Gantry Plaza State Park to Queensbridge Park.
- 3. Meet the infrastructure and community needs of a growing neighborhood while mitigating climate impacts.
- 4. Support the diversity and vitality of Long Island City's unique and thriving economy.
- 5. Make it easier for residents to access Neighborhood resources and amenities.

So, we've broken up the project area into four distinct subareas: The Waterfront, Corridors, LIC Core and Queens, and Industrial Subarea.

We will use the subareas to walk through the zoning proposal, beginning with the Industrial Subarea.

Today, zoning creates a hostile environment for pedestrians and constrains businesses' ability to grow. The proposal calls for applying a variety of M-1A Districts where maximum building heights range from 155 to 245 feet. These districts encourage loft-like building forms with flexible envelopes to address a variety of business needs.

Next, we'll move to the Corridor Subarea.

Today, this area's zoning prohibits residential or mixed-use buildings. We're proposing to introduce residential uses here while still allowing commercial and manufacturing uses for mixed-use development. The highest densities will be allowed along 44th Drive in an M1-4A or 8-A District. And to the South, shaded in yellow and orange, lower densities would complement the existing density just outside of the project area. And along the waterfront, a high-density M1-5A or 8 district would complement existing density.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

Next, we'll move over to the LIC Core and Queens Plaza Subarea. Today, the LIC Core and Queens Plaza areas host a broad variety of land uses, including many vacant sites ready for development. The proposal would map M1-6 paired with the R-9 and R-10 Districts, adjacent to transit stations and existing high-density districts. The M1-6A/R10 District proposed to the north of Queens Plaza would have a height limit of 750 feet to limit shadow impacts on the adjacent Queensbridge House campus.

Lastly, we'll walk through the zoning proposal for the waterfront subarea. Today, the waterfront is mostly comprised of low to mid-rise buildings that host a variety of manufacturing, auto utility, and storage uses. The proposal would map an M1-6 AR9 district around Anable Basin and along the East River, and an M1-5A/R8 District on parcels fronting 44th Drive.

Parcels without color, which include the Con Edison site, are not proposed to be rezoned but are subject to updated Waterfront Access Plan requirements and special district regulations to ensure consistency across the waterfront.

/

The LIC Waterfront Access Plan is the first ever created in New York, but it has not delivered significant public open space since then. Waterfront Access Plans, which are now used across the city, are meant to ensure public access and benefit when waterfront property is developed in those areas, and it presents an opportunity for us to gain new, high-quality open space as part of this plan.

The proposed changes would increase public access to both Anable Basin and the East River and require at least 40 feet of open space along the shoreline from Gantry Plaza State Park to

Queensbridge Park, with opportunities for expanding open space through the floor area bonus. The bonus would incentivize the development of community—designed amenities such as performance spaces, athletic fields, or playgrounds. The updates would also establish a new street network that improves both access and visibility.

The proposed actions also call to map MIH in portions of the project area shown here in orange.

Mapping MIH with this increase in residential density would produce approximately 4,000 permanently incomerestricted units in LIC, where there are none today.

We're not proposing to map MIH in the purple areas that make up the IBZ, because no new housing would be allowed by the plan.

The proposal also includes site-specific actions. Within the project area, there are three city-owned sites centered along 44th Drive and two sites under the ramps to the Ed Koch Queensboro Bridge. I will go over these in the next few slides. While not a city-owned property, the Con Ed property located off of Vernon Boulevard is also subject to acquisition action.

During our engagement, the community envisions the three city-owned sites along 44th Drive to be used for community amenities that include housing, open space, and space for community facilities and local businesses. To meet the plan's goals of creating more housing for people at a range of income levels, co-applicant HPD is seeking a number of approvals for city-owned property at 4-59 45th Avenue to facilitate the development of approximately 320 100% income-restricted housing units and community facility space.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

70 With co-applicants, DCAS, we're seeking disposition of the city-owned property at 44-36 Vernon Boulevard and 4-99 44th Drive.

EDC currently has a request for interest on the 44-36 Vernon Boulevard building, where concepts to convert all or portions of the Vernon Boulevard. are being sought from the public.

The lots under the ramps to the Queensboro Bridge are also subject to disposition approval at Blocks 428 and Block 429. This disposition action is necessary for the City to enter into any future agreements with private entities on the adjoining privately owned sites. There are no known projects at this time.

To meet the plan's goals of creating a connected, resilient, accessible waterfront, DCP, with applicants DCAS and EDC, is seeking acquisition and site selection of Block 488, part of Lot 114, which aligns with the already 900-foot-long waterfront portion of the Con Edison property. This is a major waterfront property occupied by Con Ed's Learning Center facility, and approval of this action would facilitate a continuous public connection along

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 71 the waterfront. Con Ed would maintain use of the rest of the property.

2.2

2.3

The existing street network along the waterfront does not provide sufficient circulation to align with the proposed actions. For example, 45th Avenue is only 30 feet wide, which is well below citywide standards, while 44th Drive is excessively wide for a street near the waterfront. In order to enhance circulation and right-side streets to create a distinct waterfront, we're proposing a series of changes to the City Map to realign, narrow, d-map, and widen portions of the street network in order to map a new Loop Road connecting 45th Avenue with 44th Avenue.

The City Planning Commission approved this plan with a number of minor modifications. These include technical cleanups and clarification on signage regulations, height restrictions, waterfront access, and street widths.

In addition to Land Use actions as part of this one LIC planning effort, we have and continue to work with electives, city agencies, and stakeholders to identify support for the needs identified through this neighborhood planning process, including city

2.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 72 investments in schools, affordable housing, open space, and sewer infrastructure.

And to close, LIC has been through decades of planning and attempts at piecemeal rezoning. While Land Use updates since the 1990s have allowed much of the neighborhood to grow, the One LIC Project Plan area remains locked in place by decades of regulations despite the City's housing shortage.

The One LIC plan aims to meet the City's evolving needs and the needs of the neighborhood. And while much of this presentation focuses on the Land Use rationale and proposal that are part of the overall project, as I've noted in the previous slide, the plan also considers the need for capital investments and City commitments that are being deliberated throughout the planning review process.

We've been working across city agencies to respond to the asks of the multiple stakeholders that have interests in the health and viability of the growing LIC neighborhood. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a few questions before I turn it over to Council Member Won.

The Special Long Island City mixed-use

District was established in 2001 with the goal of

creating a new central business district in LIC. Over

the last 24 years, we have seen the neighborhood

transform into a largely high-rise residential

neighborhood. So three questions come with that:

What lessons were learned from the last two decades of development in LIC that informed this plan before us today?

Are you introducing any new urban design controls that weren't part of the LIC Special District for the inland portion of the rezoning and separately for the waterfront portion?

And the third question under that is, you are proposing transition heights along the waterfront that will create wider towers. Has this been done elsewhere on the waterfront, and how do you propose transition heights compared to those other areas?

If you need me to repeat, I will.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Chair Riley.

We believe that the rezoning and density changes are appropriate for the centrally located

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74
transit mixed-use neighborhood, which has excellent
access to jobs (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you talk into the microphone?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Oh, I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

 ${\tt MS.}$  HYE-KYUNG YANG: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

2.2

2.3

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Okay, great... which has excellent access to jobs, services, and amenities with opportunities for diverse job growth and workforce development.

As you mentioned, LIC has seen transformative changes in recent decades, starting with the 1995

Long Island City Framework, which identified Central

Long Island City as an area with significant

potential for office, retail, and residential

development.

Over the past three decades, the global economic and environmental events have changed the landscape of the neighborhood. Planning efforts that sought to leverage LIC's proximity to Manhattan business districts and envision the neighborhood to develop into a mixed-use composition for LIC with a

a mainly residential market.

2.2

2.3

thriving commercial business district, as the main catalyst for this change did not come into full fruition because of the tragic historical events of 9/11 and soon after the Great Recession, Hurricane Sandy, and most recently the global COVID-19 pandemic. By the late 2010s, the area had shifted to

The proposal before you is consistent with current citywide policies and goals to facilitate the production of both market-rate and income-restricted housing, particularly in high opportunity neighborhoods well served by transit, and to support the diverse, inclusive economy.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How did DCP decide on the proposed zoning districts and densities we see in this plan? Specifically, how do densities compare to the Gowanus Rezoning and the Greenpoint-Williamsburg Waterfront?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Nice to see you again, Chair Riley. Lin Zeng, Director of Queens City Planning.

This is an ambitious plan. The density that we're proposing will create the housing that we need in the city right now, and mostly because of the transit connection here, which you know is what we

2 are striving to do, which is finding appropriate

3 spots to place housing units. And I think one thing,

4 | you know, to note that's different from the previous

5 plans, we're also mapping MIH and having

6 affordability, which is also similar in the

7 (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The previous LIC plans or the previous...

DIRECTOR ZENG: No, the previous LIC plans did not have mandatory inclusionary, but as part of this OneLIC, there will be required affordability on private sites, whereas before and right now buildings are going up or are not required or mandated to have affordable units there.

So the densities here, we believe, it is appropriate. So that we can accomplish the housing goals that we've been, you know, we need to solve this housing crisis that we're in.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: To the densities you just discussed, take into account any lands underwater that also generate floor area?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Yes, yes. Right now, the districts along the waterfront are mostly M districts, manufacturing districts, so part of this

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

77

2 rezoning, we are allowing residential development.

3 And a big part of this plan is to require those

4 residential developments, those private site private,

5 uhm, residential developments to include public open

6 space as well. So, whereas right now the area along

7 the waterfront is completely closed off to the

8 public, uhm, implementation of this plan would open

9 this up to everybody and not just the residents who

10 would be moving in.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Staying on the waterfront, since this plan includes so much of Long Island
City's waterfront, can you describe what the existing flood risks are in this area?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Chair Riley.

We understand that (INAUDIBLE) is going to keep New Yorkers safe, especially by the waterfront. There's no one way to protect against the coastal storms and sea level rise. Resiliency happens on multiple scales and strategies across the city's multi-layered system, especially along the LIC waterfront, as you noted. For coastal storm flooding, we look at making our buildings more resilient, having structural infrastructure improvements, and

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7 community preparedness. For LIC in particular, new buildings in the floodplain have been designed and

78

4 built to higher resiliency standards to both

5 maximize-- oh, to minimize risk to life and safety as

6 well as property damage. Appendix G of the NYC

7 Building Code requires that all structures within the

8 | 1% annual chance floodplain must meet Flood Resilient

9 Construction standards, and these exceed FEMA

10 standards and are intended to help sites with sand

11 storm surge. A building will be required to be built

12 to withstand the flooding at least two feet above the

13 base flood elevation.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you address how this plan will be-- excuse me, will add to the resiliency of the neighborhood to coastal storms and sea level rise?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Yes, thank you for your question.

So, in particular, to the waterfront sites, we're unlocking the development potential of the low-lying, underutilized sites along the waterfront to address the neighborhood's vulnerability to coastal flooding. So by establishing a minimum elevation within waterfront public access areas that reflects

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 the sea level rise projected in the 2100s, the

3 revitalization of the waterfront over time will help

79

4 protect Long Island City more broadly from tidal

5 | flooding and coastal storm events. So the creation of

6 new open space along the shoreline will also

7 accommodate the additional coastal protection systems

8 in the future.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, of the approximately projected 14,700 units of housing that the plan is intended to produce, how does it ensure that family-sized units will be built? Because if you know anything about this hearing, I don't really like studios, so, yeah.

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you for that question, Chair Riley.

Yes, affordable housing is top of mind in, you know, in all our projects and all our proposals right now. And certainly we want to produce housing units that serve, you know, recent college grads, serve seniors, serve families as well. So one thing I could say about this plan is that there is a public site that we are proposing for disposition for 100% affordable housing. And this is the site on 44th Drive. So as part of that site, the City would have

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 an engagement process, a workshop that would fully

3 gather information from the public on the type of

4 housing units, and if the feedback is for more family

80

5 housing, we would dedicate, uh, looking for a

6 proposal that would fit that.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In terms of the private site developments, MIH does allow for the development of two and three-bedrooms. I believe the requirement right now, and I'm joined here by Sarit Platkin from HBD, is that the MIH units have to be proportional to the market rate units. So if the market rate units are all two bedrooms, then the MIH units will also be two bedrooms as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: That's good to know.

This plan includes mapping new M zones, which were created as part of the City of Yes Text

Amendment. How do you anticipate these new M zones

might impact the future growth of the LIC IBZs?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question.

Thank you for your question. So the existing industrial business area is located just north of 44th Drive and South of Queens Plaza South. Today, it's an M1 zone where the floor area ratio is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 81

generally limited to a maximum of two to five FAE.

The uses allowed are commercial, industrial, and

community facilities. We've studied the trend of this

area in the last decade and understand that this area

is one of the economic drivers of the city, being

located adjacent to our growing neighborhood and

strong transit connectivity. Uh, industries such as

construction, film, and food production continue to

strengthen the vitality of the city. New industries

such as creative spaces for artisan galleries,

breweries, banquet halls, bakeries, and recreational

facilities, activities that complement the

residential uses surrounding this area, are growing

We're working closely with Long Island's lead partnership while crafting our proposal. And to help continue the economic growth, we're proposing M1-A districts that allow for higher development allowances for commercial, industrial, and community facilities to give the growing existing and new creative maker space businesses flexibility to grow as needed, as well as seeking complementary investments in public realm and infrastructure that

and align with supporting the new neighborhood.

2.2

2.3

can help this area fulfill its potential as a creative and innovation-centered job district.

We've heard the interests of residential uses within the IBC during the OneLIC engagement. And as part of the legislative requirements set by City Council, City Planning, in partnership with SBS and EDC, just released the first draft of the Industrial Plan for public feedback that looks to explore the economic conditions of the industrial economy of the city and the conditions of the industrial areas. We look forward to further engagement on the Industrial Plan this fall.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Okay. As I shared with you before, I'm currently doing a neighborhood plan in my district right now. So I'm going to go into some questions that I currently want to know.

So this is for Erich. Erich, can you just speak about some of the economic opportunities the City foresees happening in this corridor with this neighborhood plan for this community?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Thank you for the question—Erich Bilal, Vice President, Neighborhood Strategies, Economic Development Corporation.

2	So, I think the idea, based on the zoning				
3	proposal, is that Long Island City can produce a				
4	diverse economy. The idea is that a live/work				
5	community can support multiple industries,				
6	particularly industries that demonstrate opportunity				
7	for growth. I think that there is potential here to				
8	deliver commercial and residential opportunities. And				
9	I suspect that with the supportive investments and				
10	initiatives associated with this rezoning, a very				
11	diverse economy in Long Island City would be propped				
12	up.				

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Is there an estimated job count that you're foreseeing with this zoning project?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I'll defer to my City Planning colleagues for that question.

DIRECTOR ZENG: I believe it's about 14,000.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Yes, 14,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Fourteen thousand jobs?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Yes, correct.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: (UNINTELLIGIBLE)

Corridor, okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Ö

I will defer to Council Member Won. I will probably have some questions after, but Council Member Won, you go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much. I just had a follow-up question for an earlier question that the Chair had asked. I remember a few months ago, maybe a year ago now, we...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: (INAUDIBLE)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I want to go back to a question that Lin-- Commissioner had-- Borough Commissioner had answered.

How much of this plan includes so much of the Long Island City waterfront that is under the water already? So, for any of the land under the water that is counted as floor area to calculate density in the waterfront, can you help me understand the process for that, because there have been multiple constituents who have pointed that out to us as well?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you, Council Member.

FAR underwater has calculated along the waterfront, and so whatever the FAR has designated, as you see, it takes into account the densities underwater. So as I have noted, the, uhm, I'm sorry--

DIRECTOR ZENG: I think maybe to follow up on that is, yes, some properties do have their land underwater, and that would be counted towards their zoning lots. But the requirement under the (INAUDIBLE) access plan that we are modifying as part of this plan is to require that 20% of their, you know, at least 40 feet wide, that's the minimum of waterfront access. So, regardless of, you know, what their zoning lots' floor area is, there are still standards that they need to meet so that we can create a continuous waterfront.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So when we calculate the 40 feet, it won't be from the land that's underwater, but it'll be for the area above water? (CROSS-TALK)

DIRECTOR ZENG: Correct from the shore. From the...

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: But, then, does it count depending on the tide of the water? How do we calculate that? That's what people are asking.

DIRECTOR ZENG: I think there will be a hard edge. So it would be counted-- it would be 40 feet from there. But we can certainly get back to you with a more precise answer to that because, yeah, I don't

2.2

2.3

1

2 have the full list of sites and the specifics on

3

that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So, for the Waterfront 4

Access Plan, the calculation for 40 feet will be done 5

for the land that's above water. But am I 6

7 understanding correctly that for density, when you're

calculating for the density that that land is capable 8

of or entitled to include the land that's underwater?

I think that's what's confusing people because we're 10

11 not creating an underwater city over here, so... And

people have a lot of concerns about the density in 12

13 the waterfront.

14 DIRECTOR ZENG: I understand that. Thank you

15 for your question. Yes, I understand that concern.

16 The allowable floor area would consider the land

17 that's underwater as well because it is part of that

18 property.

19 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And how do we ensure that

20 it's taking into account the flood and mitigation

21 risks that people are so concerned about, about

2.2 building that height along the waterfront so close to

2.3 the shore?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: So part of the -- I think 24

the crux of the question is how do we mitigate some 25

of the impacts, like the height and density, along the waterfront. So some of the tools that we have with our urban design along WAP are along the basin, the lowering of the base heights from the underlying R9, and introducing (INAUDIBLE) a set of regulations that limit the tower size and locations near the 44th Drive. And then extension on 5th Street, which really begins to push the buildings towards— away from the shoreline.

We're also introducing the Open Space Bonus, which will expand the waterfront open space and push buildings further from the shoreline in some areas to protect the people and the buildings from the coastal rise that you're talking about.

The 40 feet that you mentioned is required with the shore public walkway, and some areas will need to provide a supplemental public access area, which will expand the width between shoreline and buildings in selected locations.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you, that's helpful.

And you mentioned that Con Ed property along the waterfront may be acquired by the City. Do you expect to have an MOU signed with Con Ed before the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 88

vote that will pave the way to make this become a

2.2

2.3

reality?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you for your question.

And before I turn this over to my colleague Erich the EDC, I would just like to say, you know, this was definitely an idea that we share with you that you've brought to the table of having this continuous waterfront connecting Queensbridge Park to the North and Gantry Plaza State Park to the South. And so we added this acquisition action as part of OneLIC so that we can see that through. Conversations are ongoing. And so I think Erich would probably be more, you know, can speak to the latest on that and see whether we can get to it before the plan is approved.

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Thank you. Thank you for the question.

That's right. The approval of these actions would allow for EDC on behalf of the City to acquire an easement to facilitate the creation of that publicly accessible open space and make progress towards the goal of a continuous greenway continuing from Gantry State Park to Queensbridge Park.

And EDC, on behalf of the City, is working closely with City Planning and is in the process of

SIIRCOMMITTEE	$\cap$ N	7.ONTNG	$\Delta ND$	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

hard as we can.

negotiating the terms of an agreement with Con
Edison, including any associated costs for the
acquisition, maintenance, and construction. Those
conversations have been productive, and we thank you
for your partnership there, Council Member. They are
ongoing, and the goal is to provide that continuous
waterfront access as robustly and quickly as
possible. Don't have details on timeline for
completion of the agreement, but we're working as

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Do you believe we'll have an MOU with Con Ed and NYPA to make this a reality before the vote?

 $\label{thm:president} \mbox{ VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: That's what we're } \\ \mbox{ working towards, yes.}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So it's a yes, we will have an MOU before the vote?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I'm sorry, Council Member, I can't guarantee, but that's what we're working towards.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you.

At the City Planning Vote Commission, uh,
Planning Commission vote on September 3rd,
Commissioner Dan Garodnick publicly said that this

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

City, delivering a continuous, resilient waterfront along the East River that will connect Gantry Plaza
State Park to Queensbridge Park.

Does the City commit to providing necessary

plan doubles down on the public realm of Long Island

resiliency measures along the waterfront to protect
Long Island City from sea level rise, increasing
severe storms, considering that now, with this
acquisition, it would become public land—for Con Ed
and NYPA?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Those properties and those developments would fall under our WAP requirements. So similar to the open space that we're requiring from the private site owners, these will also follow the same restrictions, guidelines, and codes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So the city will invest in resiliency for that waterfront?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Specifically, you know, landscaping and, where needed, raised shoreways. That is part of the larger plan, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: The City has been in conversation with Con Ed and NYPA, as the Vice President of EDC has just testified, who owns the property in the waterfront, to acquire land to create

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

91

2 this public waterfront that is connected. The Con Ed

3 and NYPA properties contribute to the segregation of

4 both Queensbridge Houses and Ravenswood Houses, which

5 | are predominantly Black and brown, from the rest of

6 the neighborhood, that does predominantly happen to

7 be demographic-wise white and Asian.

What have Con Ed and NYPA done to be good neighbors in the district to make this connected waterfront to Queensbridge and the rest of the neighborhood a reality? Can you give us more details on what the conversations have been and what the current process will be?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Council member. On your topic on your question about engagement with NYCHA residents, you have been at the forefront and front of engagement with our (INAUDIBLE) residents since the beginning, and we have multiple focus area meetings, town hall events, tabling, walking tours, and everyday interaction with the Queens (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSSTALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Wait, thank you, I just want to clarify that the question is...

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Yes, okay...

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: What is the current process that Con Ed and NYPA individually are requesting from the city of New York to make this a reality? Because that has not been public information, and the public deserves to know.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question. It's my understanding that there is an ongoing conversation with Con Ed and NYPA. I will pass it over to our colleague at EDC.

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Sure. Thank you.

At this early stage, the conversations have been focused on planning and feasibility. We've been conducting information sharing with both of those groups to understand operations at their sites, to understand what implementation of a waterfront esplanade would look like. The impact that it would have on operations. We've also been talking about opportunities to provide upland connections, which are a requirement as part of these projects. And I'll just note that the waterfront access design requirements are very strong and thoughtful. And so those components of the conversation have also been under discussion. Meaning, what does the final topside condition look like? What does the water's

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So, what is Con Ed requesting of the city of New York to make this happen?

conversations and topics like that.

2.2

2.3

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I guess I'm not sure I understand the question. Sorry, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: What is Con Ed and NYPA-- What do they need to allow you to acquire their land?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Well, the negotiations are ongoing, and I, I should say that there's some proprietary and confidential information being exchanged, but, in essence, the idea is that some kind of exchange of value would take place in order to facilitate a transaction whereby the City could construct a publicly accessible esplanade on their private land.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And do you believe that both parties, Con Edison and NYPA, are engaging in these negotiations in good faith?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I would say yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you. We'll be following up on the progress of those conversations.

And the expectation from the public is that we would

build out the public waterfront?

25

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: There has been no dedicated funding for this exchange as of yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Do you have an estimate on how much this would cost?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: I will have to get back to you with a precise answer. I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. Will the City-- I am just going to state on the record that, from what you have testified, I do believe that the City has committed to securing concrete agreements from both Con Ed and NYPA. And the question is, does the City commit to finding adequate funding and providing an implementation timeline by the City Council vote to make this happen?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Yes, that's our-- that's our hope.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, yes, thank you.

And along the lines of connected waterfront, our next line of questioning is on infrastructure and resiliency. Residents of Long Island City often experience flooding in basements and ground floors, often from sewer backups during heavy rain. How will the City work to reduce existing flooding issues

2 while mitigating the impact of new residential

3 density?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Council Member.

I will start by saying that, before I hand over part of the question to our colleagues at DEP, I want to note that the neighborhood planning process, we've analyzed the potential outcome through our Environmental Impact Statement, and rezonings and/or new development, present an important opportunity to enhance onsite stormwater management and reduce demand for City sewer systems. So, as disclosed in the EIS, stormwater flows to the sewer system are expected to decrease as a result of the proposed rezoning as sites redevelop. Now, this is due to the Unified Stormwater Rule, which passed in 2022. This rule requires that the lot that meets a certain criteria to meet enhanced onsite stormwater management requirements, include higher stormwater volumes, more restrictive release rates, and, for sites requiring, uh, requirements to infiltration practices to entirely prevent some stormwater runoff from entering the sewer system. This is an improvement over today's conditions, where sites

2.2

2.3

either may not have onsite storm management systems, or are meeting less stringent requirements. These requirements help the City maintain sewer operation volume and improve water quality conditions by reducing combined sewer overflow in combined sewer areas and reducing the stormwater pollution entering the water bodies.

Now, within the rezoning area, there are 43 of the projected potential development sites identified for environmental purposes review, which would likely require to comply with stringent components of the uniform— of unified stormwater rules and need to use the permanent green infrastructure practices such as green roofs, to retain stormwater onsite for stormwater control, and also implement the stormwater management best practices during construction.

So, in other words, development would actually assist in stormwater capture, because each property would be required to create and provide a system to reduce and completely capture all of the stormwater on their site.

Now, DCP has been working with our agency partners to investigate the infrastructure needs of the area, both as they currently exist and what will

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

98 be needed to support future growth—including that our DEP partners conduct an analysis to better understand the cost and timeline associated with the infrastructure that is required to meet these needs through the amended (INAUDIBLE) fund.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, from what I understand from your testimony, is that new residential development in this area would actually help with stormwater management rather than hurt?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Can you give me an example of another neighborhood where this has been successful?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: I think our colleagues are (INAUDIBLE) to speak to this.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Hi, Council Member. Gowanus is one of those areas where we have been very successful with the Unified Storm Water Rule. In that area, again, any-- and this was different from the last time Long Island City was rezoned. This rule didn't exist. Right? This came into existence in 2022. And, so, the difference now is that anytime any property touches 20,000 square feet, or disturbs 20,000 square feet, they are

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 99 required to manage, on their property, an inch and a half of rainwater. That's critically important because most of the sewers can handle about an inch and a half of rainwater a hour before they start to go over capacity. In Gowanus, we have actually seen, because there were so many new properties being developed and having to manage stormwater, that the amount of stormwater that was being managed onsite, on those properties, actually reduced the amount of stormwater that we were seeing going into the sewer system. And that's really important, because it adds capacity to the existing system.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much. I just have a concern that new development can take anywhere from 20 to 30 years to complete, while the current flooding issues have been there for decades, and every major hurricane and every major rainstorm, Long Island City continues to suffer. So, I just want to understand: What are the steps that DEP will be taking on public sites or on public streets where we continue to have severe flooding, like 11th Street in Long Island City or other areas like the IBZ? What will happen there?

24

\_

2.3

Z 4

As well as, Can you help me understand the accountability measures that are existent right now by DEP for the Unified Stormwater Rule in an area like Gowanus?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Certainly. So, a couple of things to understand when there is a rezoning, regardless of any amount of units that are approved in that rezoning, once the rezoning is approved, we begin what we call a drainage plan amendment. Kind of think of that drainage plan as the blueprint for the area of the-- a blueprint of the way that the area actually drains and how all of the sewers are being used in that area. That's something that we've already started to do ahead of time, just knowing how important this was. And certainly because of your advocacy. So that's already begun.

In addition, no property can hook up to the existing sewer system without getting a permit from DEP. So we'll never hook up a property to the existing sewer when we can't handle the capacity. And there are a lot of engineering analyses and very specific analyses that go into that process. So we're not going to overtax the existing sewer system.

2.2

At the same time, we've worked very closely with your office and gone out to a lot of site visits, a lot of different problematic areas to start examining, not start, but to continue examining, really, some of the flooding issues that Long Island City is already experiencing. Some of those issues we're working to address, adding catch basins, looking at capacity, and how our outfalls help drain, you know, out into the harbor.

We're working on that right now in addition to other upgrades inside and outside the IBZ, and that's important because the entire system is connected. So, you know, in order to increase flow on a block or sewer capacity on a block, you can't just fix the sewer on that block. You've got to really look at how the system is connected and where that major big trunk line, which is how we think of it like a tree, right, where we need to increase capacity there, so that it will help the drainage throughout the entire area.

COF MEAGHER: Thanks, Deputy Commissioner. This is Dylan Meagher from DEP. And to add to that, the regulations that the Deputy Commissioner was speaking about, we also encourage water reuse onsite in

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

102

2 private developments. This is a citywide policy that

3 we are encouraging large developments, especially

4 developments of over 200 or 300 units, to reuse water

5 onsite. And there are different levels of reuse that

6 can happen in the site, and at kind of different

7 levels of reuse, to develop the property owners will

8 get a discount on their rate, so that there is an

9 incentive for them to make the upfront costs to reuse

10 the water. And this water reuse is for non-potable

11 reuse uses. So, for example, maybe air conditioning

12 or gardening or anything that's non-- that people are

13 | not using directly.

So this is an incentive that reduces the actual water being used and flowing to the building, and also reduces the amount of water that's flowing out of the building. So it's really kind of a win-win for reducing water inflows in the sewers and also and also for the community. Along with that, we've been using, uh, increasing our FloodNet technology system.

So FloodNet is our sensors that we place on the streets that have live, real-time monitoring of any, uh, of flood levels in that location.

So thanks to outreach from your staff and yourself, we've identified two new locations within

2425

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 the specific LIC area to add FloodNet sensors, and

3 there was already one previously. And people from the

4 community can go right now and search online for

5 FloodNet, and they can see the live conditions of

6 | flooding at those locations.

2.2

2.3

council Member Won: Thank you so much. So essentially, what I've heard both DEP and ECB testify is a Unified Storm Water Rule, and more development would actually help with our flooding situation in Long Island City, not hurt, and that Unified Stormwater Rule came into effect in 2022, which means that most of the areas where we see severe flooding were not under the Unified Stormwater Rule, which is why we continue to have this flooding.

We look forward to having the accountability that permits will not be given to any new development to come online and have water access if they do not comply with the Unified Stormwater Rule, which is a built-in accountability system. And we welcome the two new locations for FloodNet, so that way we have real-time monitoring of flooding in our districts, which we have been looking to have regular civilians currently report to 311, which has been tedious and has been draining, because people have been reporting

2 for decades. So we appreciate that, and we look

3 forward to continuing to work with you to have more

4 positive impact in Long Island City for flooding and

5 resiliency.

2.2

2.3

What I also want to understand is that much of the rezoning area is in the 100-year coastal floodplain, particularly the blocks surrounding Anable Basin. Can you explain how the new residential development in the waterfront sub-area will be resilient to future coastal storms?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Council Member.

As I noted earlier in response to the question from Chair Riley about some of the strategies that we're using, including Appendix G of the New York City Building Code, uh, as a city, we do face a huge challenge balancing the need for housing and jobs while needing to reduce the vulnerability of over 400,000 New Yorkers who live in the floodplain.

Therefore, the City's Land Use policies across the floodplain carefully consider the city's needs while reflecting the varied degrees of flood risks that exist in different parts of the city, including Long Island City. Many of the buildings in the floodplain

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 105
predate contemporary flood resiliency standards, and
the use of substantially improved buildings is
required to meet some of the highest resiliency
standards in the city, as I referred to in Appendix

2.2

2.3

G.

We believe that addressing the City's housing needs and increasing resiliency are complementary goals. Addressing the need for housing is a top priority of the City, and enabling housing development through updates to zoning is one important way the City is going to address this.

Now, the City believes that resiliency must be advanced through multiple strategies that address a range of vulnerabilities, including the heating and flooding. These strategies include shoreline adaptation and improvements, increasing planting wherever we can, and building scale resiliency measures such as elevation. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I think the public still has a lot of concerns about the waterfront, coastal resiliency, and the floodplain. I wish we had something like the Unified Stormwater rule equivalent. So maybe that's something that our Legislative Team, I think she's downstairs, but she's

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 106
listening, can start to brainstorm about how we
legislate that to have that go into effect as soon as

2.2

2.3

possible.

Does the City commit to at least \$90 million in public funding to address sewage and stormwater management and infrastructure in Long Island City? This would be in addition to investments made by private developers to be in compliance with the Unified Stormwater Rule, especially because we continue to have concerns voiced by the public about how long it would take-- we love the explanation of how Unified Stormwater Rule works, and this is great, but the rezoning will take decades to build out and people are continuing to voice their concerns about current issues. So we want to have commitments addressing those separately, sooner than the timeline that would be achieved by the Unified Stormwater Rule.

COF MEAGHER: Thank you for the question, Council Member.

We're currently performing a drainage plan as our Deputy Commissioner mentioned. And as that process, as that analysis has proceeded, and thanks to the advocacy of your staff, and the site visits,

which came out with us once to visit a number of the locations, we've been able to identify some priority areas within the LIC rezoning area that we understand has seen complaints and also may have capacity issues due to our preliminary analysis. The drainage plan that we're doing is expected to be completed early next year. So we will need to have that drainage plan completed to have greater certainty. But we are happy that we're already able to identify a couple of

2.2

2.3

areas.

In terms of cost, it's a little bit too early at this moment to identify a specific dollar number. But, you know, again, we're already identifying some areas that are seeing complaints.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. We look forward to working with you and understand the technicalities of making sure that we don't have taxpayer dollars misused or misallocated. So we want to be accurate to your technical expertise, and we do look forward to the drainage plan being completed next year. But I do hope that by the time of the Council vote, we would have a range or an estimate that DEP could commit to in order to make sure that once the drainage plan is complete, it would be invested in Long Island City.

\_

Businesses in the industrial business zone consistently face flooding and drainage backup, and will not benefit from the Unified Stormwater Rule requirements. Will the City commit to funding and addressing these flooding issues before the Council vote? Are there other options for the IBZ that don't have the benefit of the Unified Stormwater Rule to help with this flooding? Because we do call this area the "toilet bowl" of Long Island City.

COF MEAGHER: Interesting, I haven't heard that term. But, uhm, I've visited the area and I enjoy kind of walking around. But that area is part of our drainage plan, and it's a critical component of our analysis. So we can't speak on the specific investments that we would identify that are there at this moment, but it is part of the drainage plan, and we are looking at it carefully.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, we will follow up, and I know that the IBZ has been requesting a walkthrough with the DEP team, so would you commit to that before the Council vote? To do a walk-through and an inspection of the IBZ current status?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Yeah, we're happy to go out and walk through with you and look at all

Lastly, for DEP, I understand, uh, for DEP, I understand DEP is conducting a drainage study that includes the Long Island City Neighborhood Study area, which you have testified, does DEP commit to completing the study by next year, early 2026? And if you say early, is that quarter one or quarter two? Can you clarify? And will commit to presenting the study findings in a public forum to both Community Boards One and Two?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Yes, Council Member. Right now, it is scheduled to be completed by

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 110 the first quarter of 2026. And we absolutely will 2 3 make that public. Part of what goes into those 4 drainage plans—those are very highly technical engineering analyses. So, they also look at, you 6 know, projected zoning as well, as that will become 7 so important to the way in which we look at what 8 needs to be done to add capacity in some areas. But, 9 yeah, that will be a very public, available 10 presentation. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you so much, 12 and we look forward to that presentation. Are we 13 talking quarter one or quarter two that the drainage 14 study would be complete in 2026? 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Ouarter one. 16 COF MEAGHER: Quarter one. 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DEFALCO: Quarter one. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, that's great, thank 19 you so much. 20 Okay, moving on to the neighborhood's favorite 21 topic, parks and open spaces. Thank you for being 2.2 here, uh, to DOT, to Mr. Banrey, our favorite. I 2.3 believe he's also a local resident.

Community Board One and Community Board Two

are already among the lowest in terms of open space

24

25

\_

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 111

per capita in the city. And the environmental impact

statement identifies a significant impact on open

space in the industrial— in the residential study

area. What new parks and open spaces does the City

plan to introduce as part of this rezoning?

DIRECTOR ZENG: I want to kick it off before turning over to my colleagues at DOT and Parks, because they are doing, you know, good work now, ongoing work, and improving park spaces and looking for ways to expand, you know, the public realm.

As part of this plan, as we already discussed, you know, open space is a key goal here in addition to allowing for more residential developments. One is creating that continuous waterfront open space, which could create up to six acres of new public open space in this area.

Second, as part of our Text Amendment, we are also including Open Space Bonuses for sites that are not on the waterfront to include on the inland, in terms of trying to incentivize private developers to develop quality open space for the public there, where they currently don't exist. And we are also adding an active Open Space Bonus on the waterfront as well, and parcels that are larger, so in addition

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 112
to passive recreational space, there will be
opportunities for active recreational uses on the

waterfront as well.

2.2

2.3

So those are sort of the main, you know, actions that we are proposing that would expand open space. Additionally, as we have been working with your office, you know, in the past two years, we're still looking to expand on public sites where we can. And so I think this is where I'll hand it off to our colleagues so that they can speak a little bit more in detail... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Before we move on to the City agencies, I have a question about the private sites. Can you quantify how many square feet and which sites are going to be adding either passive open space, active recreation space, or green space in this project?

DIRECTOR ZENG: So on the waterfront sites, anyone who is building is required to provide a waterfront open space. And so that is the WAP that we had discussed, where, at a minimum, it needs to be 40 feet wide. On the Open Space Bonus, that is something, uh, it's up to the developer whether they want the additional floor area on their site. So we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

can't guarantee who is going to take up on this development -- on these bonuses, but the idea is to have that opportunity so that we can create more public open space where we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And for the Open Space
Bonus, how many square feet of open space would that
create?

DIRECTOR ZENG: It is, uh, any sites that are larger than 25,000 square feet can utilize this Open Space Bonus.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. And do you believe that by the time we have our City Council vote, we would have a clear understanding of how much additional square footage of open space we would have from the private— from the private sites?

DIRECTOR ZENG: We can look into that, but with the private sites, it is up to the private owner whether they want to take up that bonus. But on the waterfront side, we can certainly give you a little bit more of an understanding of what that total open space will look like. Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, we look forward to that.

2 And back to the Parks and Department of

3 Transportation.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF MOLINARI: Thank you, Council Member, for the question. I am Nick Molinari, Chief of Planning and Neighborhood Development at New York City Parks.

The Parks Department is committed to exploring new open space creation in Long Island City.

Currently, the agency is completing an addition to the western entrance of Queensbridge Baby Park, which will create nearly half an acre of new public open space and features a central gathering space, lawns, game tables, and seating. Anticipated construction completion is April 2026 for that project.

Additionally, looking into the future of open space expansion in Long Island City, Parks, along with our colleagues at DOT, is also exploring creative solutions such as expanding the footprint of existing parkland triangles. One successful example specifically in the Court Square area was the expansion of Rafferty Triangle through the closure of an adjacent slip lane. Opportunities for further expansion exist near Rafferty Triangle, Hunters Triangle, and adjacent to Court Square Park.

\_ 0

Additionally, across the city, Parks has been successful in partnering with New York City DOE through the Schoolyards to Playgrounds Program, which is opening up existing and future schoolyards to the public during after-school hours. Along with our colleagues at SCA (New York City School Construction Authority) and DOE, we're looking for opportunities for further collaboration through the OneLIC plan.

Additionally, through our Walk to a Park

Initiative, the Parks team, along with our colleagues
at City Planning, is identifying potential sites that
will be suitable for open space acquisition. We've
kicked off the Land Use processes so far for two
community boards elsewhere in the city and anticipate
starting the public review process for Queens

Community Board Two in mid to late fall of 2025.

I'm going to pass it along to Jason if he has more to add on the DOT aspect.

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Thank you, Nick Jason
Banrey, Deputy Borough Commissioner for the
Department of Transportation in Queens, former
District 26-er. It's been a pleasure to be a part of this, and thank you for the opportunity, Council
Member and Chair Riley.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

\_\_\_

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DOT has been committed to transforming public land, especially in your district. DOT has been working with you since you joined the office, as well as our sister agencies, and this community through the OneLIC process to identify new public space opportunities, important new cycling connections, and more, while also preserving space needed to support critical safety and work on city bridges.

DOT already has several projects either completed or underway that will continue to expand public space offerings in your district and complement the current rezoning packages that are currently being discussed. These projects include and also are a result of your leadership—or the breaking ground of a baseline in Dutch Kills Street, this exciting new \$9 million project, which is currently under construction, will provide one more acreage of new parkland that never existed, and new protected bike lane infrastructure on Crescent Boulevard, the completion of hardening on Queens Boulevard and Northern Boulevard, 11th Street, Jackson Avenue, and 44th Drive. Additionally, the development of a new Plaza at 46th Street and Jackson Avenue, as a result of state funding that we recently SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 117 received, and also as a result of your leadership, the 29th Street open space, which also never existed in this district.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Other projects and public spaces also include, and are currently under consideration by the agency, are the 11th Street, the North and South connector. This connection and this new multi-use pedestrian path and bicycling path will reduce a barrier for NYCHA residents caused by the Queensboro Bridge and connect to existing open space assets such as Murray Playground, 12 Street Plaza, which is also currently under construction and will be completed for its final phase, and the sports courts adjacent to Queensboro Bridge. Additionally, that's also included in this package are the 23rd Street public realm improvements and public space recapture. This project aims to connect Queens Boulevard to Queens Plaza, Queens Plaza North Bike Path, with the core of Long Island City by potentially upgrading existing facilities, reconstructing curb lines, sidewalks, and roadways for all pedestrians, and potentially will also include pedestrian refuges and curb extensions.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, I think it's safe to say from your testimony that District 26 is by far

(CROSS-TALK)

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 119
2	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Even for people who are
3	bad at math, that's pretty bad.
4	DIRECTOR ZENG: It is very bad
5	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: That's like a negative F.
6	Beyond F.
7	(LAUGHTER)
8	DIRECTOR ZENG: Maybe, probably.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: The City is failing.
10	DIRECTOR ZENG: We would need to redo first
11	grade, right? It's failing, but I think it also
12	speaks to sort of the manufacturing nature of this
13	district, right? Because it's long been industrial.
14	So, as part of this plan, we want to open up some
15	more of these spaces where people can enjoy either
16	sitting or playing, you know, playing catch or
17	pickleball, where it's appropriate. But without any
18	action, without the plan, because Long Island City
19	has been such a popular neighborhood, the population
20	will continue to increase. So from 0.9 Councilwoman,
21	it will drop to 0.67 without(CROSS-TALK)
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: (INAUDIBLE)
23	DIRECTOR ZENG: without the proposal, without
24	the proposal.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Oh...

25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 120
2	DIRECTOR ZENG: That's
3	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So now we're
4	DIRECTOR ZENG: So the amount of
5	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: (INAUDIBLE) redoing first
6	grade
7	DIRECTOR ZENG: The amount of
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: We're going to go back to
9	kindergarten
10	DIRECTOR ZENG: Right? The amount of space
11	would continue to decrease. So, I think with this
12	plan, we will be adding more. So as I said earlier,
13	we're increasing up to about 6 acres along the
14	waterfront. And I think, as Nick has also stated,
15	some of the efforts that we're looking at adding to
16	this plan
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And by adding 6 acres and
18	14,000 units of housing, roughly, what percentage
19	does that leave us with a ratio?
20	DIRECTOR ZENG: I don't have that number here.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Hey, (INAUDIBLE) team,
22	please do the math now. (INAUDIBLE)
23	DIRECTOR ZENG: (INAUDIBLE) we (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: (INAUDIBLE), you have time. Because if we're continue to fail, beyond 1%, I don't think six acres is going to cut it.

DIRECTOR ZENG: And I think we want to continue to work with your office. It is a challenge. Space is definitely limited. And we want to hear how best we can do this to meet that (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

a proposal, which is clearing out under the Queensboro Bridge, by the Department of Transportation, as well as Parks, to make it clear that we need to have more open and green space. If we were to clear underneath the bridge and the ramps used by DOT and Parks for operations, for parking and storage currently, it would add an additional 370,000 square feet for the 14,000 units of housing that we're proposing to build.

So under the bridge along the Queensboro

Bridge and the ramps, there's 370,050 square feet of

publicly owned land across 15 city-owned sites that

are presently occupied by New York City DOT and

Parks. This space is equivalent to 8.5 acres or 6.5

professional football fields. So it seems that in

order for us not to be at less than 1%, it would only

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 122

be the minimum we would have to do for this project

for it to pass in the City Council.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you for that. Yes, we are exploring, definitely exploring all of our options here. And I don't know if Nick or Jason wants to add to that, but currently, there are uses under the bridge, as you noted.

CHIEF MOLINARI: Thank you, Council Member, for the question.

You're right, the open space ratio is low in this area. It's been on Parks's radar independent of the Long Island City OneLIC plan, which is, as I mentioned, some of those products I mentioned before will add additional acreage of open space. So those four projects, but particularly as I mentioned, the Walk to a Park ULURP, that's something that we are focusing on, community districts across the city with low open space ratios, and trying to advance acquisition opportunities related to your question of under the Queensboro Bridge. The City team understands the desire for additional open space underneath the bridge. As you know, there are critical and location-sensitive City operations located there, and those serve the public and the

2 neighborhood. However, the City team has been working

3 together to explore opportunities for consolidation

4 and or relocation, including some strategic and

5 important north-south connectors, as Jason mentioned.

6 And we're looking forward to continuing conversations

7 and discussions with your office about the properties

8 there.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you for your commitment to relocation and consolidation for this very important issue, because without this, there is no way the City is going to-- the City Council is going to pass this project, because we are already failing. What this hearing is going to continue to show you, what the constituents who are here to testify will tell you, is that Long Island City has been a detriment to city development. It has been great that we have been at the forefront of building more housing, but it was to the detriment of social infrastructure as well as basic infrastructure for our community. We don't have enough park space, we don't have street safety, we don't have enough schools, we don't have enough libraries, we don't have enough of much of anything. So I look forward to continuing to work with you.

2.2

2.3

One thing about the Queensbridge residents, in particular the seniors who have lived through the different iterations of their park space at Queensbridge, as well as Queensbridge Baby Park, whether it be a swimming pool that was taken away from them, or Queensbridge Baby Park that was taken away from them. It has been brought to our attention that there seems not to have been a clear protocol followed for park alienation when that park space was taken away from the public.

Can you help us clarify what process was taken legally to take the Queensbridge Baby Park and transform it into parking and storage space?

CHIEF MOLINARI: Thank you for the question,

Council Member. Related to the site here, as I

mentioned, we're looking to continue conversations

about this property. It is a critical infrastructure,

and it is location-specific. So it is a hard

conversation to have about relocating facilities, but

we will continue the conversations there.

In terms of the property, it is being used for park-appropriate use now. Parks operations is a park-appropriate use. So there is an alienation required because it's being used for Park purposes, which is

2.2

2.3

the back-of-house operations. But I hear you about the desire for additional access here. It is something that Parks has been incredibly focused on, which is the first project that I mentioned; we did relocate our maintenance operations facility to allow for that acre, uh, half acre of open space on the western side of the park, close to Vernon Boulevard. We have focused on cleaning up this area, cleaning out abandoned vehicles, improving safety along the greenway there, and have had many walkthroughs with

your office. And we understand the importance. And we

will continue the conversation, because we do believe

the community deserves beautiful open spaces here.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Can you help me understand what-- so, I understand your argument that legally you're not required to go through park alienation through the state legislature to take away the Park's public use of that land. But can you help me understand what community outreach was done, what engagement was done? Because community boards do not have any record of public messaging or public warning from the Parks Department letting Queensbridge residents know that their beloved baby park, which was used for toddlers and children, that their

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

playgrounds would then be taken away and fences would

126

3 be built with barbed wire. And there seems to be no

4 record of any sort of outreach with the Tenants

5 Association, and no one has any recollection of this,

6 and they do find this extremely racist and harmful.

CHIEF MOLINARI: Thank you, Council Member, for

8 the question.

These facilities, some of them have existed for decades. So I don't know exactly what outreach was done when this happened way back when. However, I can say since my time at Parks, we have had outreach to the community, the Queensbridge residents, as well as local residents for the projects we've done. We've described some of the work we're doing at the site, including the operational swap that we did on Vernon Boulevard, which was moving operations under the bridge to free up the space that's not covered by the bridge for public access.

But we can check and see if there was outreach back then, but it's decades ago, and you know, these sites, you know, I don't know if we have clear records.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I want to have a follow-up conversation in writing if you can provide both

2425

2.2

2.3

DODGOTHITTEE ON ZONING THE TRANSPORTED 127
Community Board One, as well as my office, with what
archival records you have of communication with the
Tenants Association or the Queensbridge residents
that notified them that this happened? And I want to
make sure that I say it on the record that Community
Board Two has slammed the relocation of GreenThumb
services yard, that moved operations there in 2003.
So even as of within 2003, neighbors have said that
there wasn't adequate communication from the Parks
Department.

CUDCOMMITTE ON TONING AND EDANCHICEC

So we want to have a positive relationship with the Parks Department. We love our parks. I have given more capital funding to Park's Agency since I've taken office than any other Queens member. And I want to continue to support your work. But we have expectations on how we operate, especially in underserved neighborhoods in my district, and we have not been meeting their standards of communication or with the community boards. So, we please, we expect better from you.

CHIEF MOLINARI: Thanks, Council Member. So, we will check the records, and we can provide something in writing.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay.

J

2.2

Back to DOT. Through our public engagement, we have become aware that the City has encroachments on public land under the Queensboro Bridge, which was once an open public site, including. Queensbridge Baby Park. Does the City commit to relocating DOT's operations off these sites and restoring this land to public open space?

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Thank you for the question, Council Member, and thank you for your leadership on this issue.

In regard to the comments made about the Queensboro Bridge, DOT is having earnest conversations with City Hall and Parks about potentially relocating additional facilities beneath the Queensboro Bridge, and we've been making progress. As you're aware, much of the land under the Queensboro Bridge supports critical City operations that are geographically sensitive and manage emergency response, as well as the daily maintenance work of our East River bridges, and also serving your district.

Through the rezoning process, though DOT has committed to providing a north-south linkage under the Queensboro Bridge that has never existed before.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

129

2 This is approximately a 40-foot walkway, but not

3 | fully to the bridge's pier, is adjacent to the river.

4 This will allow for the development of your

5 waterfront vision, connecting a new path between the

6 Con Ed facility through the agency's current bridge

7 preventative maintenance facility, deicing yard, and

8 Queensboro Bridge, and the Queensbridge Baby Park.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Can you help me understand clearly, because I want the record to reflect that the Parks Department has stated very clearly that they are looking to work together with the City of New York for relocation, consolidation, and moving towards a future that really works for all of us to have more open space and park space. So does the DOT commit to the same?

COMMISSIONER BANREY: There are additional sites that we're currently taking into consideration, and we're going to continue progressing those conversations as we meet with you over the next month and a half.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: For consolidation and relocation, as long as there is support to do that?

24

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Correct. We have been making great progress on that, and we are going to continue to keep you updated.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you both. And will the City—I guess City Hall isn't exactly here, but DCP can respond on their behalf. Does the City commit to providing a timeline for relocation and redevelopment of these sites before the Council vote, as well as estimated costs, to make sure that the community, which currently has less than 1% of adequate public space, can rest assured that they will not continue to go into the negatives of public space per populace?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you, Council Member, for being such a proponent for open space.

We are— there are a lot of uses and there are a lot of moving pieces. So we are trying— we will try our best to get to some resolution by the time of your vote. I think because of all the different parties involved and the needs of the different uses, we cannot commit at this moment, but we can commit to getting to a spot with your office and with your partnership to get to a spot that you're happy with.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. Well, I will commit to the public on the record that I will not be voting yes on this project without a clear commitment for a timeline for relocation, uh, funding to support the relocation, as well as the redevelopment of these sites, before the Council vote.

So we are looking to go from 0.9% to a much higher number, which we will follow up with DCP. For the current estimated three-acre, uh, six-acre addition to-- we are looking forward to 370 square feet of additional open space through the bridges and the ramps.

And I want to pivot now-- Oh, okay, what provisions of the special district and or the waterfront access plan will mitigate the impact of so much height and density of the water's edge—which you already testified, so we can skip that—is the 40-feet maximum or 40-feet minimum that the City requires for the public walkway given-- Oh, okay, so I guess I'm just stating on the record that 40-feet is the minimum, correct? Not the maximum...

DIRECTOR ZENG: That's correct, that's the minimum... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: that the city can require for the public walkway given the proposed zoning.

And in addition to the 40-foot walkway, there are incentives required for private developers to build public open space along the waterfront, as you have testified, through the Open Space Bonus.

So that wraps up our open space questions. I just want to pivot to street safety while we have DOT.

Can DOT tell us which major streets
throughout the OneLIC Plan study area are getting
major pedestrianization and protected bike lane
projects? Because we know that we get a ton of
complaints on 311 about the hazards of the bike lanes
that go through the IBZ, with a lot of truck
activity, as well as very low pedestrian usage in the
study area currently.

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Thank you, Council Member, for that question.

So, currently there are several street improvement projects that are currently underway, and then those will progress into what we are looking into packaging into this one OneLIC proposal.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

So, currently right now, we're pursuing a second phase of Borden Avenue. A lot of these are east-west connections. Borden Avenue is in its second phase review, which is a connection review of its industrial area as it connects to the Kosciuszko Bridge. Thompson Avenue outside of LaGuardia Community College is a plan that we're going to start working with your office to develop. It's an additional East-West connection, which connects to the recently completed Queensboro Boulevard. And then there are additional areas within the zoning area and around the zoning proposed area that are scheduled for upgrades as well as part of this package. And those are Vernon Boulevard, uh, Court Square, and the area around Court Square, which is an investment that we're looking into as well. And each of these projects, just to note, is going to consider all of our tools within the agency's toolkit where feasible.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: There has been a lot of incoming, as you know, and I know, and even the Parks Department knows, because all three of us are getting the heat for it for many years now, to connect the site-- to connect the bike lane, the protected bike

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 lane on Vernon, I believe, outside of Queensbridge

3 Park.

2.2

2.3

So, can you help us understand when and if that can happen?

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Yeah, Vernon Boulevard, uh, improvements are projected-- are part of this plan...

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANREY: OneLIC, uh, up until

Queensboro Bridge. As the plans are developed, and as
this project is developed, we look forward to
continuing conversations about what that project will
look like outside of Queensbridge. So north of the
bridge, alongside the western perimeter of
Queensbridge Houses. But right now we don't have any
scheduled improvements for that section.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. So we will follow up to make sure that there is a scheduled improvement for that section, because we have had both cyclists and pedestrians complain. A lot of our NYCHA seniors use Queensbridge Park for their walking laps, and they don't want to have any head-on collision with a cyclist, and cyclists do prefer to just have the right of way as well as an option to go into the

2 waterfront if they want to. So we want to open that

3 up for the public.

2.2

2.3

And can you help me understand a little bit more clearly, uh, as a follow-up for the truck traffic required by the industrial business in the neighborhood, and I know that you have been doing the truck study. Can you help us understand what the City will do to improve the street safety for cyclists and pedestrians, as well as drivers in the IBZ who also don't want to become murderers?

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Thank you for the question, Council Member.

So there are some things that we've actually done in advance and that are integrated into this OneLIC proposal. Uhm, 44th Drive was recently identified as a truck route within the agency's current truck route network redesign. But in addition to that, DOT is working to assess where changes are warranted within the network and within the proposed area. And we'll work to introduce safety improvements to any new route or any additional routes as a result of this proposal.

DOT plans to provide more regular updates to the truck route and network in the future. DOT also

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

\_

promulgates the rules regarding truck routes, enforcement and uses of truck routes. That's done by the NYPD, and we'll continue working with them as well on those improvements.

As we work to develop the street improvement projects—implement them, we'll consider working with the 108 precinct and the 114th, depending on where the area is.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you. We look forward to working with you.

I know that it's almost one o'clock. It's 12:43 on the dot right now. We are going to work through our questions. It is extremely important that if you're part of the public, that we go through these questions to have them on the record for the public to know, from the city agencies, as we make these decisions on how we negotiate for this project, as well as how we vote on behalf of you all as a Council on this project.

But know that I am a mother of two, and I don't like anybody going hungry. At one o'clock, we are going to have pizza that will arrive for everyone to eat for free. So hold on tight, don't leave yet.

\_

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 137
We want you to stay here. So my team will help you get your pizza.

Last question for the DOT—and of course, the agencies are also welcome to pizza.

(LAUGHTER)

If you could describe -- so one of the biggest heartaches that we've had was a lot of women, especially women of color who are working in the IBZ, in the manufacturing sites, who are going home at night. They have had a lot of unsafe incidents, whether it be mugging or harassment, etc. And we are seeing heightened issues there.

Can you help us describe, by DOT, the proposed rezoning? How will this improve the pedestrian experience in this area, because currently it is very dimly lit, if at all? There hasn't been a lot of street cleaning, etc. So we have a lot of concerns about the lighting of this area as well.

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Thank you for the question, and I want to give Nick and Farah from your office a shout-out on just leading the way on this as we work together every day.

You know, if there's any areas that exist right now with any current issues, we're working

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 138 aggressively with our maintenance contractor to address them. But as you know, we are also working to package a new street lighting contract, and we're working to prioritize specific locations as we identify that contract.

So as we move forward through the rezoning for Nick and the Council Member team, you know, let's talk about specific locations where we're seeing those issues. If we need to see new lighting, we'll work to have that integrated into the proposal, if not before.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, great.

And my last question to you is, can you help me understand if there is an associated street plan for DOT for this project? If not, why not? And if so, when can we expect to see one? And if you don't have one now, do you want to do one very quickly? (LAUGHS)

COMMISSIONER BANREY: And you're referring to the master plan, the streets plan that the City Council thankfully passed several years back? (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BANREY: Yeah, I think the best way to answer that question is that, while there were

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 139 a lot of studies done by the agency, and this kind of compares to what we're dealing with in downtown Jamaica—Downtown Jamaica had several extensive studies that were undertaken, specifically the Jamaica Now streetscape plan, which helped identify a lot of projects within that package proposal for Jamaica.

Here, it's quite different, as you said a little bit earlier, and I think it's fairly true. The 26th District is a favorite of this administration and the Department of Transportation, and we've been working with the Community Board and your office to see through many improvements. And so as we continue to move forward, a lot of our plans are going to beand have been dictated by this process, but also previous studies that we conducted with your predecessors, as well as your team when you got into office.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you so much.

I want to move next to one of the most contentious topics in my district. It's schools. I don't see anybody from School Construction Authority. Is there a representative from New York City Public Schools or SEA?

DIRECTOR ZENG: No, there is not a representative here from SCA, but we are here to answer your questions. As... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, got it.

DIRECTOR ZENG: to our ability.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So, for the families that are watching or individuals, parents, students who are watching, we have been tracking very closely. The Environmental Impact Statement, which identifies a significant need for elementary school seats—1,300 seats, because of the anticipated development.

We have heard very clearly from the students of BSGE High School, and we have seen firsthand, as well as a clear affirmation from the School Construction Authority, as well as New York City Public Schools or Department of Education, that they do not have adequate space in their current space or the current school. And they are up for their lease in three years, and they do need a new spot—as well as many parents who have been organizing for years for a new middle school to be opened in the area.

So we know from all of the protests and rallies, petitions, we need to increase the number of schools in this area. And we also, I want to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 141 acknowledge that there has been a lot of foul play here. From our predecessors, I know no one sitting here has worked in School Construction Authority. I know that even the current School Construction Authority leadership is not responsible. But we have had a lot of confusion in the past failed rezonings and the announcements of a Court Square school of a Vernon Boulevard Middle School, which never got built and never moved forward for over a decade, and it has pissed off a lot of people.

So today I want to confirm, and this is public knowledge, Parcel C and Hunters Point South as well as Court Square Elementary School has moved forward, is in construction, or is going through -- is going in the process of demolition for both of those schools, and those school seats do not count towards these 1,300.

We are working towards citing separate school seats for these 1,300 seats, so those would be additional schools. And I am proud to say that as of tomorrow, it will be public knowledge that the Vernon Boulevard Middle School, thanks to the partnership with Plaxall, will be moving forward into accelerated construction for our community.

2 We got to give that a round of applause.

(APPLAUSE)

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So now with those confirmations, I want to move forward to the questions.

Is the School Construction Authority and DCP, on behalf of the School Construction Authority, committed to citing the number of schools needed to supply the additional 1,300 school seats by the City Council vote, not including the Court Square school, Parcel C School, or the Vernon Boulevard Middle School?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you so much for this question. Schools, in addition to open space, have been a huge priority for you, and you've been such a strong proponent for us to find the seats that would meet the needs of this plan.

I'd like to just mention, as part of this plan, we are, as you mentioned, the middle school on 44th Drive—that is part of this plan as well. That space is—a portion of that lot would be reserved for a future school in the future. So that is city—owned land, and we can build a school there. And that is, you know, and we need to design for that as well.

As part of this plan we are also including a school floor area exemption. So any private site development that wants to include a school either on their base, in the base of their building, or on their zoning lot can be exempted up to 150,000 square

And so we added this so that we can have more options for appropriate school sites because, as you know, schools have very particular standards, design standards, and safety standards for this very vulnerable population of young schoolchildren.

And I believe that exemption is already coming into play, as we've been talking to private site developers about exploring the options of including schools.

So, and then lastly, as you've mentioned, uh, the commitment with Plaxall is definitely very promising for adding more seats to this area. And as we continue with this proposal, and with ULURP, I believe we can still continue those conversations with other owners that you have brought to the table, that you have helped really, you know, worked really hard in forming those partnerships with the City, so

2.2

2.3

feet.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 144 that we can get to the right number of seats by the time you vote.

2.2

2.3

So we hope to continue to work on getting the right sites for our school students.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, so what I am hearing you say is that School Construction Authority is committed to siting the 1,300 school seats by the Council vote?

DIRECTOR ZENG: I can't speak for the School

Construction Authority, but we are actively working
on it, and we have been talking to all the interested
parties, the private site parties, that could include
a school on their sites, as well as your office. But
again, it involves multiple parties. So we are
working hard to get to a point where everybody is
comfortable with moving forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, well, since the School Construction Authority is not here, I'm going to put it on the record that I will not be voting yes on this project without clear commitments for 1,300 school seats, siting the schools and the locations of them. And in addition to identifying the school sites, School Construction Authority will have to have committed funding to provide, as well as a

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the City Council vote. And in addition to the 1,300 school seats for

provide a developmental timeline for the schools by

elementary school, the Vernon Boulevard Middle School, as we have just talked about, we will also need a site for the BSGE (Baccalaureate School for Global Education) High School for us to move forward with this project.

The Hunters Point community has long been promised a new middle school, and we're really excited for that. And over the last six years, the Baccalaureate School of Global Education has needed a larger site for the growing students, and we will be looking forward to having a clear commitment from SCA and a private developer to ensure a new site for them that will be large enough to have a completely transformed student experience. Thank you so much. We will follow up directly with School Construction Authority.

The next line of questions is about housing and NYCHA. I don't believe I see anybody from NYCHA.

DIRECTOR ZENG: Council Member, I will be speaking as part of the NYCHA team.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay.

So we'll move on to talking about housing and NYCHA. There are currently three public sites

4 included in the rezoning area. This is a unique

5 opportunity to introduce much-needed, deeply

6 affordable housing. What is your plan to maximize the

7 use of this public land for affordable housing on

8 these sites? I know the Commissioner has already

9 mentioned that there is one site that you are looking

10  $\parallel$  to make 100% affordable.

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR ZENG: I'm sorry, I was having a sip of water. Can you just repeat the first part of your question again? Sorry, Council Member... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: There are currently three public sites included in this rezoning area, and this presents a unique opportunity to introduce muchneeded deeply affordable housing. What is your plan to maximize the use of public land for affordable housing on these public sites?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Yes, thank you for that question. So, on the first-- the UDAAP (phonetic) action that we are proposing as part of this plan is for 100% affordable housing on a public site. This is the current DOT property on 44th Drive. And we estimate about 320 units as part of that development.

3 | pu

And now you know, we have a limited amount of public sites. There are two more that are also-- it's across the street from 44th Drive, which currently has an active use as a DOE building on Vernon Boulevard, as well as a parking facility that the staff of that building use. So, currently, you know, with a limited amount of public space, we are exploring.

And through our public review process and community engagement, we've heard other needs from the community. So we are exploring those different needs here.

And I think I could turn it over to maybe

Erich to talk about the Vernon Boulevard sites that

we are currently looking at, what potential uses are

there, and then maybe later on, Sarit can talk about

our affordability goals on these public sites.

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Sure. Thank you, Lin, and thank you for your question.

So, of course, we recognize the extraordinary need for housing across the five boroughs and that the City is working on identifying as many new housing opportunities across the city as possible.

Because of the size of these public sites at

Vernon Boulevard, we do believe that they can support

a comprehensive economic development approach that

includes new affordable housing and local access to

6 family-supporting jobs. We understand that there is a

strong community interest and a diverse mix of uses

8 across the city on sites.

2.2

2.3

The City is taking steps to understand what mix of uses would maximize that economic opportunity in LIC. The first step is ongoing, and that is the Vernon Building Request for Information, or RFI. And of course, we're in active conversations with you and your team to plan for the future of those sites and to understand the best approach.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I understand—to build off of your testimony, I understand that the RFI for the Vernon building has closed recently. Can you provide an update on the number of respondents who submitted their RFI responses, as well as next steps in the process? What is the timeline for determining a path forward for this site?

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Of course, so, just to zoom out a little bit, the RFI or Request for Information, uh, gives the City an opportunity to see

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

how interested stakeholders view the opportunities and challenges of adaptive reuse of the Vernon building, specifically concepts to convert all or a portion of that building for commercial, light industrial, retail and or community serving uses.

I note that there is no reward-- no award-associated with this RFI, and the City will not be
selecting a developer. Rather, the City aims to
better understand the level of interest and adaptive
reuse of the building and the viability of potential
redevelopment projects there.

So we ask that responses incorporate community feedback that's been gathered through these responses. And we've received nine proposals. We are currently reviewing them and looking at things like the building's ability to accommodate the programming that's in line with community priorities and also financial feasibility, including reliance on public subsidy.

That said, as Lin mentioned, my colleague, there are over 1,000 DOE employees who work in the Vernon building right now. They're working on site in offices, repair shops, storage, and warehousing, and

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 150 they're performing very critical citywide administrative services.

There's also the adjacent parking lot, which supports the Vernon building employees. So any potential redevelopment of these sites would take a substantial amount of time and resources.

As for next steps in the coming weeks, we'll share summaries of those responses with you and your team. We'll be gathering input from you, Council Member, and also our partner agencies, and that'll give us a clearer view of the viable options in terms of pathways forward.

And, you know, lastly, I just want to note that any redevelopment of these sites, any potential redevelopment of these sites, would be done through a subsequent public procurement, and any funding to support a project at these sites would need to be identified at that time.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Can you just state on the record when you believe that the RFP would be released? Would it be next year or later on? Not this year.

VICE PRESIDENT BILAL: Well, I think we'd like to have conversations with you in your office first,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 151
Council Member. But, I think that, at the earliest,

it would be sometime next year, is my assumption.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Yes, I agree. Next year, thank you.

In response to the community's-- Oh, and I just want to publicly thank all the respondents of the RFP, especially our very homegrown Western Queensland Trust, who have been engaged and have been a great community partner throughout this process.

In response to the community's need for affordable housing at the deepest levels, my team and I are exploring mapping only MIH Option One, which is an average of 60% AMI, and MIH Option Three, an average 40% AMI, along the waterfront, and only MIH Option Three average 40% AMI, in the inland areas.

How many affordable units is this projected to produce on private sites within the rezoning boundaries?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PLATKIN: Council Member, thank you for that question. I'm Sarit Platkin, Executive Director of Neighborhood Planning and Equity Initiatives of the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD).

2.2

2.3

2 So, I just want to start by saying that, you 3 know, goal number one of the LIC Neighborhood Plan was to create more housing for people at a range of 4 income levels. And HPD and the City team generally have really, you know, adhered to that as we've 6 7 developed our commitments for the plan. MIH is the Administration's best tool to create affordable 8 housing on private sites, and we're projecting 4,000 permanently income-restricted rent-stabilized homes 10 11 through MIH and the LIC rezoning.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We thank you for this proposal, and we are currently analyzing it. Don't have the exact projected amount of affordable units that it would create right now, but we will get back to you shortly with that early next week.

But in the meantime, I do just want to share a little bit about, you know, the value of potentially mapping multiple MIH options in these areas:

So, you know, mapping multiple MIH options really is the best way to ensure that a range of incomes are served and that affordability is maximized. Multiple MIH options would give property owners the flexibility to adapt over time. MIH interacts with state tax programs such as 485-x,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

153

2

which change more regularly than zoning, and as a

3

4

6

7

8

in each sub area.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

result, having multiple MIH options can really ensure that at least there's one feasible option for development. Multiple options also allow MIH to accommodate developments of different scales, which

we expect, you know, really a range of building sizes

So you know, in sum, multiple options would really guarantee the flexibility needed to ensure development, and limiting MIH options could risk development feasibility and lead to a reduction in projected affordable housing overall.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, I just want to state on the record that there will be no development here for housing if we don't do MIH Option One and Option Three, as we have asked, because I will not vote for this project without this, which is what the community boards have requested.

And I also want to say that pizza has arrived. If you're hungry and you're part of the public, please feel free to just go right out the door, down the stairs, and there will be 10 pies of pizza for you all to enjoy.

2.3

And we're going to continue with questioning, but we're wrapping up. So know that—— please don't leave, we want to hear from you as part of the public testimony.

As-- and I just want to close out on the MIH

Option One and Option Three comment, this would be in
addition to any affordable units developed on the

public sites.

As of July 2025, we had 162 vacant units at Queensbridge Houses, 66 vacant units at Ravenswood Houses, and 33 vacant units at Woodside Houses. Will NYCHA commit to a timeline to bring these vacant units back online at the NYCHA developments—the ones in my district, before the City Council vote?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Council Member.

NYCHA is a critical affordable housing resource, and our goal is to get New Yorkers into affordable, available apartments as quickly as possible without compromising the safety or quality of their lives.

NYCHA has been working hard to improve the turnover process and scale up operations to reduce turnover time. And over the past few years, they've

)

2.2

2.3

been performing extensive and required environmental work in every apartment once they become occupied, so anyone moving into a NYCHA apartment will be safe from lead and asbestos.

This extensive cleaning process, along with the general repairs performed, contributes to a longer turnover time and lengthier waits for many families. The NYCHA team shares Council Member Won's commitment to ensuring that the turning over of vacant units is as expeditious as possible.

Currently, as stated, there are 227 vacant units at NYCHA-- Queensbridge North and South, and of those, 183 units are vacant--available, meaning turnover is in progress. Eight units have been matched to a tenant, and 27 are permanently off the rent roll, which means not available for rent, and (UNINTELLIGIBLE) temporarily off the rent roll.

And since last June, NYCHA has been turning over approximately 535 apartments per month. NYCHA will be happy to further discuss these efforts with the Council Member and continue to work with you on this front.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

I couldn't hear you for a second. So eight
units, uh, you said we're matched to-- matched, and

4 then what was the number right before that, a 190?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: One hundred eighty-three.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: One hundred eighty-three units... (CROSS-TALK)

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Are vacant-available, meaning turnover is in progress.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay.

We currently have 38 shelters in my council district, which means that 10,000 people at any given time are homeless or unhoused. We want to make sure that the 183 units are filled, and that Section 8 housing is housing for those who need it the most. So we want to get a clear timeline before the Council vote, with a commitment of when these vacant units will be online in all three NYCHAs.

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: At Queensbridge Houses, as well as Ravenswood Houses, and Jacob Riis services both. They have served at the Queensbridge housing location since 1950, and have significant capital needs to improve the physical space.

2.2

We have a large group of seniors here who are here to testify on the amazing services that they receive there and have dire needs for it.

Does the City commit to funding the necessary renovations to make the community center safe and welcoming for staff and residents?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question.

There are several recently completed and ongoing capital projects at Queensbridge North and South, including elevator and ground improvement projects.

On elevators, uh, capital elevator rehabilitation work is in progress at Queensbridge. Queensbridge North has \$35 million allocated and is expected to be completed in the spring of 2026. As part of this project, 47 elevators are being modernized, 35 are complete, and 12 remain.

Queensbridge South has \$29.3 allocated, and is delayed, but expected to be completed by fall 2026.

This project involves the modernization of 49 cars. As of June 30th, 35 are complete and 14 are remaining. Construction is ongoing, and NYCHA will provide regular updates on progress.

2 | 3 | No 4 | ha 5 | al 6 | im

2.2

And on the grounds improvement, Queensbridge

North and South have significant soil erosion, which

has impacted the site. There is \$2.8 million

allocated for Queensbridge South for grounds

improvement. The NYCHA and the City team are grateful

to Council Member Won for additional funding towards

this project. And with new funding, this project

needs to be rescoped with resident leadership and the

Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, so, is that a yes,

NYCHA is committed to funding capital for their site,

which is used by the Jacob Riis Community Center?

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: I will get back to you on- after I speak with our NYCHA team, but they are
committed to working with you and seeing progress
here at NYCHA.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. And we also have the Queensbridge Residents Association. Our beloved TA President, Miss Corinne Haynes, has brought it to our attention that the community space needs renovation in order to accommodate adult and youth programming.

Does the City commit to funding the necessary renovations to transform this empty space into flexible programming space?

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you for bringing that to our attention. We'll look into that and continue to work with your office and the TA to better understand what their needs are.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay.

In addition to all the capital improvements by maintenance that Ms. Hye-Kyung Yang has testified to, we have to address the most dire concern, which has been sewage and plumbing-flooding issues there. We have videos of children water sliding down the staircase because the flooding is so incredibly bad in the buildings themselves. From the 5th floor, you can take a garbage pail and slide down like you are at, I don't know, some sort of water park.

It is a huge issue. We have shared the sentiment with City Hall on behalf of NYCHA. NYCHA has sent us estimates, and we do look forward to having those maintenance issues resolved.

And now moving on to the DIS (phonetic). Was the DIS completed, factoring in the changes made as part of the City of Yes, or was it completed prior to the City of Yes implementation? Are the scenarios reflective of the impact of City of Yes on all EIS (phonetic) categories?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Yes, thank you. Thank you

3 for that question.

2.2

2.3

And also, we'd just like to highlight that we want to thank the City Council for your support in getting our City of Yes Text Amendment through late last year.

So the short answer to your question is yes, it does apply—the changes from City of Yes do apply there. So the most conservative estimates using the Option 3 MIH, uh, option is included in our studies across the different chapters, as well as the new manufacturing zones and districts that were created under the City of Yes economic opportunity, which was also used in our environmental impact study.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: If someone could just let the seniors know that they could take the elevator down instead of walking down the staircase to get pizza. And if you're eating pizza, please eat it outside of the Chambers and return. But please let the seniors know not to walk down the stairs, especially with their canes, and just to use the elevator, which is to their left hand side.

Okay, I'm almost done—wrapping up. So we have our beloved local arts and culture folks who are here

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 161
to testify on behalf of arts and culture. Long Island
City has been a haven for artists and artisanal
producers of all kinds. How will this plan support

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Thank you for your question, Council Member.

arts and culture in Long Island City?

2.2

2.3

You're right, throughout the engagement and ULURP process, we've heard from the arts and culture community expressing the need to support existing arts and cultural resources.

The OneLIC Plan supports this important aspect of the neighborhood and, through the proposal, encourages cultural and community-oriented recreational space, such as open air amphitheater performance stages and gathering lawns, as possible amenities for developers to provide on-site when opting for bonuses for active recreational space as part of the updated WAP.

The WAP also encourages inclusion of public art within these public spaces. And through the recent Council-approved ZEO (phonetic) consolidation of arts and manufacturing uses into a single use group, which would enable arts and culture users to locate throughout the project area, as of right.

2 Partnering agencies, the Department of Cultural

3 Affairs, has newly launched the Create in Place

4 Initiative, which offers tailored support to arts and

5 cultural institutions citywide, including an

6 interagency task force, a resource hub, a creative

7 space network connecting organizations with

8 underutilized space, and case support to navigate

9 city requirements, or assist with space transition,

10 | is available to existing and future artists and art

11 organizations. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: The arts advocates have been requesting for a very long time throughout the city for DCP to create a zoning incentive program that provides floor area ratios bonuses to developers who provide free or discounted street-level cultural space to local artists and cultural organizations as part of their plan.

Could you explain why this is not possible and the views of DCP?

(PAUSE)

MS. HYE-KYUNG YANG: Sorry, apologies. Thank you for the question.

So as part of the plan, we've included zoning incentives to encourage development of public schools

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES and open space for the community. Schools and open space were among the top needs stated by the community and are critical to the development of the neighborhood.

And, as part of the City of Yes economic opportunity, as I mentioned before, the same use groups, arts and manufacturing uses, are brought together under the same use groups and permitted throughout the product area.

We have -- to encourage the public arts within the neighborhood, there are provisions for the proposed Inland Open Space Bonuses to include the public arts. And these proposed WAPS also encourage the inclusion of cultural spaces, such as performance spaces along the waterfront. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, I just want to state on the record, to return to the MIH conversation, we are mapping multiple One and Three MIH Options along the waterfront, and MIH Option Three inland.

HPD data shows that developers do not choose Option three when they have other choices, which is why we're eliminating those other choices for this project in order for me to vote yes on this rezoning. And it is our goal to serve the AMIs that are

2 3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 164 typically neglected in my neighborhood, especially because the majority of Hunters Point was built out without MIH. And it is within my power to make this modification, so that's what we will be doing.

Last set of questions for oversight and accountability. We're aware that the Gowanus Neighborhood Plan secured at least \$500 million in City investment, which produced only 8,500 new housing units in comparison to mine, almost half less than mine, making it significantly smaller than the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan, which is projected to create over 14,000 housing units.

Can the City of New York commit to meeting the affordable housing, infrastructure, schools, and open space needs for rezoning and making those commitments ahead of the City Council vote? I expect a unison yes from every panelist on this panel.

DIRECTOR ZENG: Thank you for your question. I think we can all agree that we appreciate your thoughtful questions throughout this morning, and to make clear what your priorities are in this plan and for your community. So we really appreciate that.

For us, we want to be accountable for everything that we commit to. We hope to continue to

\_

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 165 work with your office on what those things are, uh, the specifics of those commitments and priorities, in that, we continue to talk even after the vote, and that it doesn't end a few weeks from now—that we will continue to hear from you.

But the concerns are from the community, even after the commitments are made, so that we can really be responsive to all the issues and concerns that would be raised by your office and the community.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, I will take that as a yes, but I look forward to a clear, written commitment closer to the Council vote.

And, my last question of the day—Huzzah—how will the City be held accountable for following through with the commitments that the Council negotiates as part of adopting the plan, should it be approved?

And from the City's perspective, what are best practices from neighborhood plans to ensure accountability and community oversight over public and private commitments? And do you commit to following those best practices with the potential of an overturn of a new administration?

\_ \_ \_

DIRECTOR ZENG: Yes, absolutely, we commit to following through on our commitments when they are made. And as I said just now, we want to work with your office in defining what that type of oversight looks like. And we will learn from best practices and what to do, what not to do, from previous plans, such as in Gowanus.

But every community is different, and every issue is different. So we want to make sure that what we are following up on and what we are accountable for meets the needs of your community.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, thank you so much.

You are now dismissed or adjourned. The question

portion of this hearing has now ended, and we are

going to move into public testimony.

(PAUSE)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And, please, don't forget to grab a slice of pizza on your way out. There seems to be cheese, pepperoni, and veggie. So, enjoy!

Thanks for coming.

(PAUSE)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Our first panel will be Lisa Goren, Steven Edgar, Donal Cogdell, and Andrea Mungo.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Next, after that panel will be Corey Hannigan, Alberto Cruz, and Eliana Gibbs.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So Lisa Goren is already up. Donal Cogdel is up. I don't see Steven Edgar or Andrew Mungo. Oh, is it Andrea? Okay, they must have misspelled it. Okay, thank you. You may begin.

LISA GOREN: Hello, I am Lisa Goren. Hello, Council Member Won.

I'm a 35-year resident and owner in Long
Island City speaking on behalf of the LIC Coalition,
along with other local organizations that oppose the
OneLIC Plan as it stands.

OneLIC is marketed by the DCP and the developer's own PR campaign as answering critical needs. It does the opposite, and despite numerous public hearings, the plan has not been changed based on public input. Many of us who are— many of those in support believe it brings resiliency, open space, school seats, and services. In fact, it sacrifices these for housing that, even where below market rate, is not guaranteed to be affordable to those that it should serve.

\_ .

Excessive height and density will stress a community already suffering from overdevelopment, counter to the City of Yes's goal of distributing new housing equitably. Open space will plummet from the second or third lowest per capita in the city to the lowest. Elementary school seat utilization is expected to exceed 143%, and there are no guarantees as of yet for those 1,300 school seats to keep kids off much longer wait lists.

And I'm sorry, but drainage of existing CSOs and sewer backups will not be fixed by on-site stormwater management. Most egregiously, resiliency and existential threat here is left to an ineffective patchwork of protections dependent on private developers. The plan hands developers city-owned waterfront properties at the intersection of 44th Drive and Vernon Boulevard that lie in the vulnerable Hunters Point floodplain and were under more than six feet of water during Superstorm Sandy.

Moreover, it places low-income towers here where they will be unsafe at the low point of the floodplain and (TIMER) an evacuation zone, despite growing risks from storm surge and sea level rise.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you (INAUDIBLE)...

2.2

2.3

LISA GOREN: Our most effective tool would be a public waterfront park, not just a waterfront walkway, to withstand flooding events. And by the way, that would be consistent with what the City is doing on the Manhattan side at East River Park and Battery Park City. We have an unparalleled opportunity here to develop Hunters Point North for the City and Long Island City's long-term needs

Please vote no unless we can reduce its density, guarantee needed infrastructure, and keep these public sites for a park that would keep LIC livable and safe. Thank you.

without damaging the neighborhood to fix it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You can go next, thank you. Just read and state your name, uh, for the record.

DONAL COGDELL: Testing. Uh, yes, I am Donal Cogdell, and I am a Minister of Justice at Hope Astoria Church. I thank you for this time.

I come here not alone. I have over 40 letters from concerned members of my church about this plan.

As currently constructed, it is not a community-driven plan for the working class, for seniors, for the vulnerable, for Black and brown communities. I've sat and been to most of the community gatherings that

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

170 have asked for input from the community, and I have not seen much of those contributions of the community in this plan.

Julie Won has went on record of saying 4,000 housing units is not enough for affordability, and that has not been adjusted yet. The school shortage problem has not been adjusted yet. The environmental hazards have not been adjusted yet.

So I'm just trying to figure out where has the community's contributions been involved in this plan? It's just been another typical luxury development plan, and that is sad. My faith informs me that we are to love our neighbor, think of our neighbor, consider our neighbor, and there's only one class of people that this plan seems to be serving, and it's not all of New Yorkers.

In my church, we have housing insecurity, people that are looking for homes and want to stay in the community. And this plan does not help them stay in that community.

And so I'm just-- I oppose the plan as currently constructed. And we are asking for deeper affordability. The same energy that was put forth in Innovation Queens, the fighting for 40%-50% above the SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 171 MIH, is what we—— it's what we want. It is what we desire——that this truly be something for New Yorkers. Thank you.

2.2

ANDREA MUNGO: Proverbs 31 urges us to speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves and to defend the rights of the poor and needy. I am a concerned resident of Astoria, and I believe this—the overall impact of this rezoning on the community will be negative.

A big rezoning can seem good for a community, with shiny new buildings and better landscaping.

However, the people it excludes, the people it does not provide for, the poor, the struggling artists, small business owners, small manufacturers, who can't afford to find affordable space to rent, are unseen and forgotten. The powerful and the wealthy, again, benefit from the inequities of yet another super-upzoning in New York City.

I choose to speak up for New Yorkers that want to earn a living wage and pay rent that is truly and deeply affordable. The OneLIC Rezoning Plan mainly will benefit wealthy developers, land owners, and wealthy people who can afford exorbitant rent and high-priced condos.

I received the emails from New York Housing

Connect, and rarely do I see any two and three
bedrooms for families at 20 or 30% AMI.

We have a housing affordability crisis that vast numbers of rent-burdened New Yorkers can testify to. The build, build, build message from our mayor and other uninformed politicians and city leaders has not been shown to be the solution. Numerous studies of data in recent years are showing that upzone neighborhoods become whiter, more educated, and more affluent in the long run. Upzoning is also associated with increases in housing production, but housing prices also increase.

I'm calling for responsible and just development that truly meets the needs of low and middle-income singles and families who do not have generational wealth, double incomes (TIMER), or high paying jobs. I suggest using Value Capture for this rezoning, which will produce wealth to subsidize truly affordable housing. This has been successfully done in Vancouver. Why not set a precedent here in New York City and show our country we truly care about our residents?

2.2

I respectfully ask that this rezoning in its current form (TIMER) be voted down. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Can you please reinstate your name for the Record?

ANDREA MUNGO: Yes, Andrea Mungo.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I wasn't here when we started public testimony. I am going to ask that everybody, when you hear the bell, please start wrapping it up. We have a lot of people signed up to testify. So we just want to show respect to everybody here.

STEVEN EDGAR: Good day, everyone. My name is Steven Edgar.

After the Air Force landed in Long Island City in 1987, my wife and I raised two sons there. It's been a good fit. One of the many attractions to the neighborhood is the mixture of residential, commercial, and light industry—can't forget about the presence of artists, of course. We quite like to make sure (INAUDIBLE) Long Island City single-family homes, two-family, and multi-family.

Proposed high rises are too tall. They will block the sun and throw shadows over the whole

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 174 neighborhood. Although there are some good ideas in the plan, I'm afraid the proposed zoning changes will tilt density for the worse. Thousands and thousands of people and their cars, noise pollution, and air pollution are real concerns—bicycles competing for the same space. Pedestrian safety will be threatened as well.

Public transportation already struggles. Subways are crowded and would only get more crowded with 40 to 60,000 new arrivals. Today, two crowded trains passed before I could board a Manhattan-bound 7.

Sewers, green space, flood mitigation, and schools have been considered, while height of new buildings has not wavered.

I'm not anti-change or anti-development, but I urge you to vote no on the plan. It is too much, too fast—70-story towers? Come on. Does anybody really believe the construction of dozens of luxury towers will lead to more affordable housing? Back to the drawing board.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your testimony. There being no questions, this panel is excused.

a

The next panel I am going to call up consists of Corey Hannigan, Senjuen Hsia, Alberto Cruz, Chris Hanway, and Eliana Gibbs.

Just a brief logistical announcement for the benefit of those signed up to testify remotely and who may be following along, I want to note that, as approximately— we anticipate roughly 1.5 hours of public, in-person testimony first, and then we will transition to online testimony.

We begin first with Corey Hannigan.

COREY HANNIGAN: Good morning. My name is Corey Hannigan with the Tri-State Transportation Campaign, a 30-year-old nonprofit dedicated to improving mobility in our region. We support this rezoning.

I'm also a member of Queens CB2, which conditionally approved this plan alongside a robust and thoughtful list of recommendations that I strongly urge you to look through and consider including in this rezoning.

First, thank you to Council Member Won for her focus on affordable housing and safe mobility, two issues that go hand in hand—more housing and walkable, bikeable areas ensure our streets are safer

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

176

2

and that people can live within reach of family,

3

employment, education, and recreation.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

of.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's a simple fact that the need for housing in our city vastly outstrips an artificially constrained supply, driving up rents and displacement. But the citywide vacancy rate of 1.4%, legalizing apartments for tens of thousands of new neighbors, many of whom, like me, are queer and may be fleeing discrimination in other places, including other states, and thousands of new, permanently and deeply affordable units, is exactly what we need more

That said, the DEIS and corresponding plan are missing key components that I hope you will fight to include. There are significant unmitigated impacts to per capita pedestrian space. There was no consideration of bicycle demand in the City Council district with the highest rate of bicycle commuters in Queens, nor any proposal for new bus lanes, despite some of the new housing being half a mile from the train.

We still have not seen a comprehensive streets and open space plan from DOT for this project. Such a plan should include more and wider bike lanes, bus

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

prioritization, as there are currently no bus lanes in CB2, new pedestrian plazas, especially under the Queensboro Bridge, and a high-quality continuous two-way cycle track on the proposed Waterfront Esplanade in line with the City's Greater Greenways plan.

The CB has also asked for a transit coordination plan with the MTA to improve subway, bus, and ferry service to accommodate the influx of new residents and employees on already crowded lines. The last thing we need is 14,000 new cars in LIC (TIMER), and we avoid that by offering practical and attractive alternatives.

Finally, I urge you to fight for a large public outdoor pool as part of the rezoning, possibly the Con Ed site.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration. I have no doubt you will see the opportunity this plan offers (TIMER) to bring dramatic infrastructure improvements to the neighborhood while creating space for new neighbors in the world's borough.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Senjuen.

2.2

SENJUEN HSIA: Greetings, my name is Senjuen
Hsia, and I represent several property lots in the
IBZ-designated area of Dutch Kills in Long Island
City.

I have been a resident here for 42 years and have worked in its warehouses for 30. While we are supportive of the DCP's efforts, we feel that it does not go far enough in adding mixed-use and residential units to its rezoning plans.

Driving along 21st Street from Astoria to

Hunters Point, we can see that left turns were banned
on every intersection throughout the IBZ; 21st Street
has officially been designated as a Vision Zero

Corridor and has been completely redesigned to
emphasize pedestrian safety and bus reliability.

These traffic changes no longer make the lots in IBZ North feasible for significant industrial transport. It is no longer possible for large trucks and containers to effectively maneuver around this zone. This discourages any major manufacturing or wholesale company from wanting to move into the area.

Secondly, the IBZ is isolated on all sides by residential buildings. We are surrounded by Queensbridge projects, hotels, eateries, and places

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 179
specifically catered to the growing number of
residents and tourists.

2.2

2.3

This area is already geared towards residential commuting and commercial activity. As such, LIC has already lost key elements of its industrial character decades ago. This IBZ designation has effectively been made outdated by the City's own policies to encourage livability. There is no need to force industrial-only spaces when there are numerous empty and dilapidated warehouses littering the area already.

The IBZ designation in LIC North and Dutch
Kills should be modified to allow for more
residential and mixed-use purposes. Let's turn those
vacant and run-down warehouses into the homes,
plazas, theaters, schools, and community facilities
that LIC still desperately needs. Thank you. (TIMER)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Alberto Cruz.

ALBERTO CRUZ: Good afternoon, Council Members.

My name is Alberto Cruz. I'm here on behalf of Jacob

Riis and the Queensbridge community at large.

Queensbridge Houses is the largest public housing development in North America, home to some of

180

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Long Island City's lowest-income residents. Yet for decades, Queensbridge and all NYCHA developments have endured disinvestment, leaving residents with crumbling infrastructure and poor housing conditions.

Residents live with constant plumbing issues, leaks, and repairs that never seem to come. Problems that affect health, safety, and overall quality of life. At the same time, past rezonings in Long Island City have overwhelmingly benefited developers and property owners while leaving Queensbridge behind.

The OneLIC plan represents a once-in-alifetime opportunity to change that, to finally secure meaningful, concrete investment for the people of Queensbridge. But it cannot move forward without binding commitments from the City to repair and improve their homes.

Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement, in Queensbridge, is a pillar of this community. Every day, children, teens, families, and older adults come through our doors for critical programs from afterschool to ESL classes, immigrant services, and senior services. But beyond the programming, Riis is one of the few community spaces where residents of all ages gather, connect, and support one another.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21

2.2

2.3

too?

24

Unfortunately, our community center and office spaces are in desperate need of repairs and renovations. The plumbing, flooring, and basic infrastructure are deteriorating, and without investment, we risk losing the essential safe space for our community.

So today, I urge you to approve the OneLIC Plan with concrete capital commitments from the City, not just for NYCHA apartments, but also for the Queensbridge Riis Community Center that sustains all of the community. This is a rare chance to reverse decades of neglect (TIMER), and we cannot afford to let it pass us by. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Chris Hanway.

AMANDA MCENERY: Good afternoon. My name is Amanda McEnery; I'm here representing Chris Hanway and Riis Settlement.

As my colleague Alberto just said, our center is just such a hub for the community...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Amanda, are you testifying,

AMANDA MCENERY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I don't want you to lose your testimony, so if you want to testify for yourself right now, you could go ahead. All right? And you can submit Chris' testimony to us.

AMANDA MCENERY: Okay. Uhm...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So, just please restate your name for the record, sorry.

AMANDA MCENERY: Amanda McEnery, Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement.

So, as Alberto has shared, it is a vital community hub that is not just home to resettlement, but a place where neighbors gather to celebrate birthdays, to hold repasses for loved ones, or where local community organizations come to meet.

As Alberto has said, time has not been kind to the space. Pipes are old and deteriorating. Floor and ceiling tiling, especially in our senior center kitchen and cafeteria, need to be replaced, and outside, crumbling concrete and uneven stairs make the entrance unsafe.

These conditions not only undermine the dignity of residents but also hinder our ability to serve them effectively. This is why this plan is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to invest directly in Queensbridge residents by revitalizing the community center that has anchored them for generations.

So, on behalf of Riis and the families we serve, and to echo what Alberto just said, we urge the City Council to approve this plan, but only with concrete capital commitments for renovations and improvements at our center.

I think oftentimes these projects bring the promise of amenities that realistically many community members cannot access. So instead of promises of amenities that may or may not be accessible for all, we think direct investment in the space that serves Queensbridge residents already is most important. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And next, we will hear from Eliana Gibbs.

ELIANA GIBBS: Good afternoon, Council Member.

My name is Eliana Gibbs, and I have the privilege of serving as the Community Engagement Director for Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement.

2.2

For generations, our settlement house has stood as a beacon in Queensbridge Houses. Not just a building, but a sanctuary of hope, creativity, and empowerment. Inside these walls, children find their voice on stage, elders share wisdom through art, and neighbors come together across generations to heal and create.

We are the home of civic engagement—
correction, our settlement house is the home of civic
engagement, hosting participatory budgeting and
workshops, where residents learn the power of their
voices in shaping the future of their community.

And I have witnessed with my own eyes just how transformative this work is. Through the MAP Program that I oversee, I have worked alongside a young man who was once-- could not even walk in the community or step in the same room with his peers without conflict. But through our Art Safe Workshops

Blueprint and intergenerational pilot, Thrive Across Generations, he and others who only once saw enemies are now collaborators, brothers, and leaders in our community. That is the power of community. That is the power of community. That is the power of my Settlement House.

23 SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: Oh, okay...

24

22

quick...

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [Speaking to the Sergeant at Arms] Can you change -- uh, elected officials get five minutes, thank you.

Go ahead, Senator.

SENATOR KRISTEN GONZALEZ: Great, it's good to see you both. Thank you so much for having me. I appreciate Council Member Won's leadership on this hearing today.

I'm Senator Kristen Gonzalez. I live in and represent Long Island City. I've spent the last two years participating in the community engagement process for the LIC Neighborhood plan. Since day one, I have emphasized the need for a comprehensive vision for community development.

While building housing is necessary amid our housing crisis, new development should be affordable and intentionally benefit our communities to support working New Yorkers.

I want to be very clear: Without binding commitments from developers and city and state agencies, this plan could exacerbate the infrastructure gaps that many of us already live with.

Between 2010 and 2020, Long Island City built

over 20,000 new housing units, increasing Long Island

City's population by 78%. However, our schools didn't

keep pace, our sewers continue to struggle during

storms, green space has stretched thin, and subway

capacity has not significantly expanded.

This plan proposes to increase the number of residential units in Long Island City by 14,700. This could be an estimated 30,000 new neighbors in the next 10 years. We must ensure that with growth comes infrastructure we all rely on— more schools, upgraded sewage and storm water systems, safer streets, a transit system that increases subway and bus capacity and reduces travel time. We also need open and accessible green space, not just luxury apartments, but everyday essentials.

We must also ensure that the 4,300 affordable units are deeply and permanently affordable across all income levels. That's why I support CB Two's recommendation that MIH options One and Three be required throughout the rezoning area to protect housing for folks making 40 to 60% of the area median income.

Family units must also be prioritized. In addition to these affordable options, the more than 10,000 public housing residents who have resided in the community for decades deserve deep investment. We have heard from residents who are deeply concerned about density across from Queensbridge Houses.

Finally, we deserve art spaces so LIC can continue to be the cultural hub it already is.

We deserve investments in our community-based organizations that serve our neighbors in need, and we deserve spaces that help small businesses grow and thrive.

I'm encouraged that this process has shown that when our neighbors speak up, we can change what feels like the inevitable. I think everyone who has participated will agree that this has been a community-driven process, and I look forward to seeing those voices heard.

I'm also grateful for Council Member Won's work to lead the community engagement process around the LIC Neighborhood Plan, and that she's made it clear that her support is tied to securing community benefits.

2.3

We have an incredible opportunity in this project. If we get real commitments, this plan can be something transformative that addresses the needs and dignity of every LIC resident.

I appreciate you hearing my comments today and look forward to working with you through this. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much to our state senator, Kristen Gonzalez. She represents three boroughs: Manhattan, Queens, and Brooklyn. But she always listens, always takes the time to represent her community. And we're so grateful for your partnership in this. And everything she says, I completely, 100% agree with.

So she's been in lockstep; she has testified at every single hearing for the community boards, as well as come to town halls. Her staff has been present at every single meeting for this. So I can, I do think that she has been the most involved state senator in the state of New York. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Senator.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Shhhh... Thank you,

everyone.

(APPLAUSE)

Settlement.

2.2

Riis has been a pillar of the Queensbridge

Houses and the broader Western Queens community for

generations. I have been fortunate to come to Jacob

Riis after facilitating grandparent support groups at

Queensbridge in my previous role in city government.

And I am proud to now work for this organization that

uplifts and empowers older adults who are truly the

heart and wisdom of our community.

At Riis, we provide a wide range of critical services and programs designed to support older adults in every aspect of their lives. These include educational workshops that build digital literacy, lifelong learning, nutritional programs like daily congregate meals and food pantry access, and health and wellness offerings ranging from exercise classes and chronic disease management to mental health support groups.

We also provide opportunities for recreation, intergenerational engagement, and cultural enrichment that help to combat social isolation and keep our members connected and thriving.

But Riis is more than just programming. Our Community Center in Queensbridge is an essential gathering place, a safe, welcoming space for older

As our older adults live longer, more vibrant lives, the demand for our services continues to grow. To meet these growing needs, it is critical that we expand our older adult center so we can continue delivering the high-quality support our neighbors deserve. The physical space itself is in urgent need of investment. Our community center and offices require major repairs and renovations, which (TIMER) NYCHA cannot provide, and this will ensure that this space remains strong, safe, and sustainable for generations to come.

This is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to invest in this essential (TIMER) community space for Queensbridge and to ensure that Riis continues to be a place where older adults are supported, celebrated, and able to thrive. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks. Thank you, Frank.

FRANK MCCREA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Patrica Cooper?

PATRICA COOPER: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good afternoon.

2.2

2.3

PATRICA COOPER: My name is Patricia Cooper, and I've lived in Queensbridge for 27 years. In that time, I've watched rezonings come and go. Each one came with promises of progress, but while developers profited, we did not. Our lives stayed the same.

We're still living with broken plumbing, crumbling infrastructure, and buildings that have been neglected for decades.

A few years ago, I had a severe leak in my apartment, which started on the 6th floor. I live on the 1st floor. I called NYCHA immediately, and I was told someone would come within 24 to 48 hours. Three days later, they came. By then, my entire apartment was underwater. The leak reached the electrical light fixtures, and it took Housing an entire week just to find out where the leak started. That's unacceptable. No one should live in these conditions.

OneLIC cannot be just another broken promise.

If you want our support, we need real, written, and enforceable capital commitments to repair our homes and to renovate resettlement. Without that accountability, this plan must not move forward.

We have been patient for decades. Now we are demanding action. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Cooper.

Next, we will hear from Kariesia.

KARIESIA BATON: Hello, my name is Kariesia

Baton, speaking as the founding Executive Director of

Queensboro Dance Festival. I also serve on CB2 on the

Land Use Committee, and I chair the Arts and Culture

Committee.

Our sector mapped 111 arts and culture organizations in the OneLIC zoning area that provide enriching services to LIC residents year-round. Yet the EIS and OneLIC Plan do not include protections for arts and culture in this massive development proposal. That is unacceptable.

Arts are an undeniable historical identity and key amenity of the neighborhood. We cannot put the arts at risk of disappearing, impacting the livelihood of thousands of artists, and killing the vibrancy and economy of our neighborhood.

Arts and culture are a leading economic driver of LIC tourism—\$1.00 spent generates \$7.00 in economic activity. When there is a cultural event, the neighborhood comes to life with people visiting and spending money in our art spaces, our bars, our

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

restaurants, and our parks. But it starts with the

3 arts.

2.2

2.3

I urge the City to make sure there are longterm investments in arts and culture through incentives, city agency support, and developer collaboration, as stated in CB2's recommendations.

I want to highlight two main CB2 recommendations for arts and culture:

One is incentives for developers to create below-market arts and culture spaces. And two, investment by developers into an LIC Arts fund operated by local arts service organization, IndieSpace, to streamline funding equitably, especially among the small budget arts and culture organizations within the zoning area.

We see models for this in other parts of the city. If one LIC is meant to be a comprehensive plan, it must include arts and culture. It starts with the arts. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Kirsten.

KIRSTEN BLADH: Thank you, Chair Riley, Council Member Won, and Members of the Subcommittee on Zoning

\_

2.2

and Franchises, for the opportunity to testify in favor of the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan.

My name is Kirsten Blaud, and I'm the Policy
Manager at Open New York, an independent, grassroots
pro-housing nonprofit working towards the goal of
making New York a city where everyone who wants to
live here can readily afford to do so, and where
people who already live here can comfortably stay.

New York is in the midst of a deepening housing crisis. The city has a vacancy rate of just 1.4%, the lowest rate since 1968. More than half of renter households are now rent burdened, paying over 30% of their income on housing. And for the most vulnerable New Yorkers, this shortage is not just a financial strain; it means displacement, tenant harassment, and homelessness.

Despite the growing urgency of New York's housing crisis, outdated zoning continues to stand in the way of building the homes we so desperately need, squandering opportunity in places like the OneLIC area, where entire blocks of buildings sit underutilized and out of step with the city's needs, in one of the most resource accessible neighborhoods of the city.

hear from Ms. Maria. Ms. Maria, can you-- one second, Ms. Maria.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will

The OneLIC Plan will help to correct this profound mismatch by allowing for the construction of over 14,000 homes and new employment centers that better reflect the needs and future of the city. And it will do so in a way that centers equity to ensure that the benefits are broadly shared.

For the first time, the OneLIC Plan brings mandatory inclusionary housing to Long Island City, reserving thousands of units for low and moderate-income households. It launches the Partners in Preservation program to protect and empower existing tenants and prevent displacement. And it transforms the neighborhood's waterfront from private parking lots to publicly accessible open space.

The OneLIC Plan is an opportunity to address

New York's housing crisis with the urgency and scale

that it demands, and within all of the above approach

that protects existing tenants while welcoming

thousands of new ones.

Open New York urges the City Council to approve the OneLIC Plan.

MARIA ROJAS: Hello, Council Members, my name is Maria Rojas, and I want to speak on behalf of the seniors of Queensbridge. Many of us rely on Jacob Riis Neighborhood Settlement for meals and activity, and mostly companionship.

Riis's Center is more than just a building. It is where we gather and support one another and feel part of the community. But the space is falling apart. The garden is crumbling and has no safe bench or proper seats for other older adults to gather. The roof is leaking. The kitchen sink is leaking. The bathroom is outdated. And the gym needs a new floor and fixtures. Many rooms are not acceptable for anything.

Every Thursday, I attend art and crafts class and (INAUDIBLE). And we make beautiful jewelry, but what matters even more is that we laugh and talk and connect. Without this program, I would have no companionship. This is why One (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: LIC.

MARIA ROJAS: LIC, thank you-- must include a remodel of Jacob Riss Settlement. Our older adults deserve dignity, and rebuilding is central to our

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 199 well-being. Please do not pass this plan without 2 3 investing in the seniors of Queen Bridge. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Ms. 4 Maria. 5 MARIA ROJAS: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are you Ms. Green? VALARIE GREEN: Yes. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I was right. (LAUGHTER) 10 11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ms. Valerie Green, you're 12 next. VALERIE GREEN: Hello, my name is Valerie 13 14 Green, and I have lived in Queensbridge for 40 years. 15 In that time, I've seen promises of repairs and new 16 fixtures come and go, each one claiming progress, yet 17 leaving us with the same broken plumbing, crumbling 18 walls, and neglected buildings. Developers have 19 profits, but our quality of life has not improved. 20 In 2017, I requested a simple paint job for my 21 apartment. To this day, it still has not been done. The paint is chipping off my walls, and now I'm also 2.2 2.3 battling a leak in my bathroom. This is not the quality of life I expected after a lifetime of work 24

and service.

-

O

. .

OneLIC cannot be just another broken promise.

If you want our support, we need real, written, and enforceable capital commitments to repair our homes and to renovate the Jacob A Riis Neighborhood

Settlement. Without accountability, this plan must not move forward. We have been patient for decades.

Now we demand action. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

I guess I have a question for everybody here. You all don't have to answer, though. It seems like you guys are for the project, but you'll be 100% for the project with these investments to the arts, to the center within the community, and to housing infrastructure. So you're for the project, but you are calling on the City to do more and invest in the area. Am I safe to say that?

PANEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.

FRANK MCCREA: Yes, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right, thank you so much. This panel is excused. Thank you.

The next panel will hear from will be: Darlene Dickson, Audrey Hilliard, Paula Chambers, Christine

Hunter, Paula Kirby, and Manuel Romero.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Okay, the following panel that we will listen to is Edjo Wheeler, Kevin Douglas, Jubaire Salim, and Connie Butler. That will be the following panel.

We will be hearing first from Ms. Darlene Dickson. Ms. Dickson left? Okay.

All right, so we will then hear from Audrey Hilliard.

AUDREY HILLIARD: Hello, good afternoon,

Council Members. My name is Audrey Hilliard, and I

have lived in Queensbridge Houses for over 30 years.

In that time, I have seen promises of repairs and new

capital fixtures come and go. Each one claiming

progress, yet leaves us with the same broken

elevators, broken plumbing, crumbling walls, and

neglected buildings. Developers had profited, but our

quality of life has not improved.

In 2023, my apartment tested positive for lead. The lead was treated; however, to this day, my apartment has not been painted. The work has not been completed. When they removed the lead, they left the wall unpainted and unfinished. Looking at these damaged walls every day has taken a toll on my mental and emotional well-being. This is not the quality of life any of us deserves.

OneLIC cannot be just another broken promise. If you want our support, we need real, written, and enforceable capital commitments to repair our homes and to renovate the Jacob A. Riis Neighborhood Settlement. Without that accountability, this plan must not move forward. We have been patient for decades. Now we demand action. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Ms. Hilliard. Next, we will hear from Paula Chambers.

PAULA CHAMBERS: Hi. Hello, Congressman (sic) and Members. My name is Paulette Chambers, and I am proud to be a senior living in Queensbridge.

After retiring from the New York City Board of Education, I suddenly found myself with quiet days and too much time on my hands. I then found Jacob Avery Settlement housing, and everything changed. The Riss Center gave me a reason to get up in the morning, to get up and get dressed, and reconnect with the world. It gave me promise, it gave me a community. But this place that gave us life is falling apart. The roof leaks, the bathroom is outdated, and the rooms are not accessible for everyone. The building that brings us joy is crumbling around us.

2.2

2.3

Every Wednesday and Saturday, we attend arts and crafts and jewelry classes at the Riis. The program calms my mind and helped me socialize and pull out of isolation. Without them, I would be alone. This is why OneLIC must include real concern, commitments, and the resettlement. Our older adults deserve dignity. The Riis Building is certain to our well-being (sic). Please do not pass this plan unless it includes real investments in the seniors of Queensbridge. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Miss Chambers. Next, we'll hear from Christine Hunter.

CHRISTINE HUNTER: Thank you.

Good afternoon. I'm Christine Hunter, Chair of Queens CB2's Land Use and Housing Committee. As an architect who's worked primarily on deeply affordable and special needs housing in the five boroughs, I believe that good housing doesn't end at a building's front door. All of us, at all income levels, live in our neighborhoods as well as our apartments. Local communities that meet our needs across our lifetimes are vital to the city's future and stability. While our committee supports the goal of more affordable housing in LIC, we do have concerns about the very

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

204

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

high density and the vertical scale of development enabled by the proposed rezoning, especially when coupled with a lack of usable public open space.

CB2's recommendations thus include some modest adjustments to the rezoning actions:

First, we urge that unlimited height provisions be removed where they are currently proposed. While we understand DCP's goal of design flexibility, we see clearly in the 3D massing studies that the towers permitted by the current actions would be out of scale, even with recent development along the waterfront and in Court Square. We urge the Council to replace these provisions with defined height limits that balance flexibility with neighborhood context.

Second, we ask that the base zoning on the waterfront and in inland areas now proposed at R-10 be modified slightly downward. This would incentivize developers to use the Open Space Bonus in order to achieve the densities assumed in the DEIS. We see this as a win-win, allowing creation of the proposed 4,000 MIH units, but also providing critically needed open space.

Finally, we stand with Queen CB1 in asking for a reduction in the zoning adjacent to Queensbridge

Houses on the blocks facing 21st Street. Any new market rate development here should respect and not overwhelm (TIMER) the scale and character of this historic complex.

An important stated goal of OneLIC is to serve diverse types of households and family needs. We feel strongly that affordable housing (TIMER) and well-planned neighborhoods are not either-or propositions. They should go hand in hand. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Next, we'll hear from Paula Kirby.

PAULA KIRBY: Good afternoon. Hi, my name is
Paula Kirby, and I work at Plaxall, a family business
my grandfather started in the early 1940s in Long
Island City. Over the past 80+ years, four
generations of my family have seen incredible change
take place in LIC. What was once a purely industrial
area of the city has become a vibrant mixed-use
neighborhood. And with the LIC neighborhood plan,
there's potential to provide even more for our
community. At Plaxall, we are also doing our best to

′

give back to current and future members of the community.

As our Council Member just announced, we have signed an MOU with the School Construction Authority to fast-track the delivery of a site for a standalone school at 46th Avenue within Plaxall's property.

Further, as long-term supporters of the arts and founding members of Culture Lab LIC, we are planning to provide a space for them at Anable Basin for the long term.

Additionally, and subject to necessary funding from the City, we are also exploring the possibility of providing a site for a much longed-for rec center in our neighborhood.

OneLIC provides a real opportunity to positively shape the future of New York City. I strongly urge all council members to vote in favor of the LIC Neighborhood Plan. Much thought and hard work have gone into the development of this plan, and I would like to thank Dan Garodnick and the Department of City Planning, our tireless Council Member Julie Won, and her staff. Thoughtful change can provide opportunity for so many. Opportunity in the form of housing, jobs, schools, open space, community

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 207 facilities, and space for arts and culture, and I believe the thoughtful change is what this rezoning will achieve. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Manuel Romero.

MANUEL ROMERO: Good afternoon, Honorable Chair Riley and Council Member Julie Won. I'm Manny Romero, Vice President for Communications and External Affairs at LaGuardia Community College. I'm testifying today on behalf of President Kenneth Adams.

La Guardia, an anchor institution in western Queens, is deeply committed to the prosperity of Long Island City. Several aspects of the plan are particularly important to La Guardia. As the largest provider of non-degree workforce training in CUNY, we offer vocational education and training to local residents. La Guardia is committed to working with employers to ensure that Long Island City residents have the skills needed to succeed in the positions these firms depend on. We strongly support the proposed plan to provide affordable housing for its residents. Unfortunately, many students suffer from homelessness and housing insecurity. We are

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There being no questions, this panel is excused. Thank you so much.

208 encouraged by the possibility that the proposed zoning changes will lead to the construction of affordable housing, including student housing.

LaGuardia has been an LIC since 1971 and serves over 25,000 students annually. Capital funding for sewage and plumbing infrastructure is critical for the future of the college and LIC. We have old industrial buildings, and infrastructure upgrades will help address current needs as well as accommodate the growth of LaGuardia.

As the plan moves forward, we strongly support the creation of new public open spaces, which are urgently needed. In fact, our College has been collaborating with the Department of Transportation to develop the LaGuardia Community Greenway that features one of the largest city street murals.

Open spaces play a vital role in promoting mental and physical well-being. By providing spaces for recreation, stress relief, and social connection, we advocate for the health and well-being of our community. (TIMER)

2.2

The next panel we're going to hear from consists of Jubaire Salim, Connie Butler, Elena González, Edjo Wheeler, and Kevin Douglas.

Okay, I'll just actually start from my right.

Just state your name for the record, then you may begin.

EDJO WHEELER: Thank you for this time. Good afternoon, I'm Edjo Wheeler, Executive Director of Culture Lab LIC.

There are 112 arts organizations and independent artists working inside the proposed rezoning footprint. If we don't build structural incentives or requirements for free or low-cost space into this plan, they will disappear. Once the buildings go up, the time has passed. Cultural Lab alone brings over 60,000 people to the area each year and contributes more than \$2.5 million to the local economy. No other sector can say that. And yet the current plan does not mention arts and culture at all. NYC could not survive without tourism, neither can a new LIC. If this plan is about long-term health and livability, you must include the arts. Without structural inclusion, the arts will not survive here.

We are asking for three things:

- Zoning incentives to provide free or affordable long-term space for nonprofit organizations.
- 2. Percent for the Art, a developer-funded LIC arts fund managed by a third-party nonprofit like IndieSpace.
- 3. Investment in cultural infrastructure, like a permanent amphitheater and local artistled installations.

If developers are going to benefit from fundamentally changing the fabric of this community, they need to give something back to it. Plaxall, one of the key property owners within the rezoning area, has already shown what's possible. By donating space to Culture Lab LIC, they've helped create a thriving arts hub that draws tens of thousands of visitors each year and delivers economic and cultural value to the neighborhood. This is the model—proof that when developers support the arts, the whole community benefits.

You have a chance to make LIC a destination, something future residents can be proud of, but it has to be baked into this deal. If you still don't think the arts are important, just look at the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 211 economic studies. We're happy to provide them.

(TIMER) Let's give people a reason to come here, stay here, and invest here. That can only happen with the arts. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, uh, just for the public, Sergeants, can you show them where they can record if they-- you guys are trying to record-- the areas that they could record at? All right, so, just look at the sergeants real quick. If you want to record, those are the areas that you record at. All right? Because I see some people recording the back of your head. So I just want to make sure everyone knows. All right?

Next, we're going to hear from Jubaire.

JUBAIRE SALIM: Hello, my name is Jubaire, I speak for myself. I wanted to show support OneLIC. The City urgently needs housing. And every year of delay only makes construction and rents more expensive. For the first time, we have mandated affordable housing, and our council member, Won, is fighting for more school seats. We should really seize this opportunity now. I also ask that you evaluate private developments holistically to ensure they remain financially viable. Even fully stabilized

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 212 buildings, including those run by nonprofits that have no tax obligations, are going bankrupt.

Please balance residents' needs with financial realities so that these projects can succeed and the overall project is a success. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Please re-state your name for the record.

ELENA KETELSEN GONZÁLEZ: Good afternoon, I am Elena Ketelsen González, I testified previously as a worker and resident of LIC. Every day, I walk 20 minutes to MoMA PS1, taking in the neighborhood change and rising luxury towers. I remain extremely concerned that the current OneLIC rezoning does not do enough to address deeply affordable housing and will only continue to exacerbate racial wealth disparities.

As a cultural worker at MoMA PS1, I have the pleasure of working with many local artists and CBOs, all of whom repeatedly say the greatest resource PS1 provides them and they are lacking is space. We welcome partners like Malika, Fortune Society, Teen Art Salon, and more. We recently planted native plants in our public Plaza with Matinecock artists,

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES the original stewards of LIC who used to come to youth programs at PS1 in the 90s.

When migrant Street workers are criminalized just for trying to work, we partner with them for our openings and events. And with young New Yorkers, court-mandated youth, instead of serving sentences, come to PS1 to do arts diversion programs and heal through art. So really, art is not a luxury, it's not a privilege, just like housing is not a luxury or a privilege. It's essential to community well-being and safety.

institutions that is still completely free to New Yorkers. I've seen in the past few weeks art spaces open in the neighborhood that charge exorbitant fees to families to make art. All of our programs are free, and this plan requires investing in what already exists, from Queensbridge Houses to our local institutions, to preserve what makes our neighborhood what it is today.

Our vision at PS1 includes offering even more space, this valuable resource to our partners. We can open our campus to the public, transform our

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 214

courtyard into a green space, and create a better

dedicated (TIMER) area for families and children.

2.2

2.3

So we urge the Community Board to support investments not only in PS1, but also in the 100+ arts organizations in the neighborhood that are essential to our community's well-being and preservation of its history. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Connie Butler?

CONNIE BUTLER: Thank you. Good afternoon,

Chair Riley and Council Member Won.

My name is Connie Butler, and I'm the director of MoMA PS1. For nearly 50 years, MoMA PS1 has been proud to serve Long Island City through our art exhibitions, community partnerships, and free public programs, welcoming over 150,000 visitors annually and contributing millions to Long Island City.

The OneLIC rezoning presents a rare opportunity for our community to receive much-needed investments in several areas, including public space, and as you've heard today, in arts and culture.

We believe that MoMA PS1 can serve as a cultural anchor within the rezoning for city investment. We proudly provide free admission to all New York City and New York State residents, host art-

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 making workshops for families, amplify and celebrate

215

3 Queens-based artists and organizations through our

4 homeroom program, and host community programs in our

5 courtyard. We also manage the 46th Avenue Public

6 Plaza, scheduled for a major renovation through the

7 DOT by 2028.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This rezoning has inspired us to be more ambitious and consider new ways to provide more open, clean, accessible, and safe public spaces within Long Island City. Our vision includes opening up the Jackson Avenue wall, renovating our courtyard and making it ADA accessible green space, and revamping our first floor to create a dedicated space for learning and creative exploration for children and families.

With City investment, we can not only expand our physical space, but also our arts education and community engagement programming. We're so grateful to the Queens Borough President for including our capital project in his recommendations for the community programmatic needs of the rezoning.

Additionally, Community Board Two has provided a letter of support for our project. We've also received a number of letters of support from

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

216

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

community partners, including but not limited to Fortune Society, Teen Art Salon, Socrates Sculpture Park, Street Vendor Project, Court Square Civic Association, and Jamaica (TIMER) Center for Arts and Learning.

We ask that the City Council consider supporting City investments in cultural and public space projects such as ours as part of the OneLIC rezoning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Kevin Douglas.

KEVIN DOUGLAS: Good afternoon, my name is Kevin Douglas; I am the Director of Philanthropy at the Floating Hospital—We're located at 1029 41st Avenue in Astoria. And I'm speaking today as a community member and nonprofit leader affiliated with, but not on behalf of, my organization.

I would like to express my support for the vision of the OneLIC Rezoning Proposal. As someone who works daily with families experiencing homelessness and housing insecurity, I see how critical it is to invest in the long-term health, resilience, and inclusivity of Long Island City.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

This plan, shaped through years of community dialogue, charts a path toward making LIC a place where families can both live and thrive. I especially support the community priorities to develop nearly 15,000 new residential apartments, including more than 4,000 permanently affordable homes for our neighbors; true affordability at levels that meet the needs of working families and low-income residents is essential to stability and health; increase school capacity and add over 1,300 new classroom seats families we serve tell us that education access is a top concern for their children's future; create new and accessible open spaces along the East River waterfront and under the Queensboro Bridge—safe green space is not only about recreation, it is about public health and is particularly a public health issue for children; improve aging sewer and drainage infrastructure to reduce flooding, as we've talked about a lot today, for our community—environmental resilience is health resilience.

At the Floating Hospital, our mission for almost 160 years has been to ensure that every New Yorker, regardless of circumstance, can access compassionate care. We know that health is determined

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 218 not just by what happens in a clinic, but by housing, schools, transportation, safety, and stability. And in that sense, this rezoning is a health plan as much as it is a Land Use plan.

2.2

2.3

At the same time, I want to acknowledge the concerns raised by many of our neighbors around displacement, affordability, and whether infrastructure services will keep pace with growth. I am hopeful that these concerns can be seen as an opportunity to strengthen the final plan and ensure that its benefits are broadly shared.

If we get this right, (TIMER) OneLIC can be a model for equitable development, new homes that real families can afford, open spaces and classrooms that welcome every child, and the infrastructure to keep our neighborhoods healthy and safe. Thank you for this opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for testifying. This panel is excused.

The next panel we are going to hear from consists of Laura Rothrock, Charles Yu, Alan Suna, William Xu, Jarad Lewis, and Rachel Cohen.

LAURA WOLF-POWERS: Hi, actually, the name is Laura Wolf-Powers; my handwriting is abominable.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 219
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: It's Laura Laura what?
3	LAURA WOLF-POWERS: Wolf-Powers.
4	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Wolf-Powers?
5	LAURA ROTHROCK: (INAUDIBLE) my name.
6	LAURA WOLF-POWERS: Oh, you might have okay,
7	I'm sorry.
8	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, no, we we didn't call
9	you yet.
10	LAURA ROTHROCK: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sorry.
12	LAURA ROTHROCK: I apologize.
13	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is Laura Rothrock here?
14	LAURA ROTHROCK: Yep, that's me.
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: That's you, Laura?
16	LAURA ROTHROCK: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right.
18	LAURA ROTHROCK: Good afternoon, Chair Riley
19	and Council Member Won. My name is Laura Rothrock,
20	and I am the President of the Long Island City
21	Partnership.
22	We strongly support the OneLIC Plan as a vital
23	step toward a more inclusive, connected, and
24	sustainable future for Long Island City. The plan is
25	the result of months of community engagement and
	1

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 220
addresses urgent needs that our neighborhood cannot

4 resilient infrastructure, and accessible open space.

delay, including more housing, better schools,

2.2

2.3

We're particularly encouraged by the commitments and the Council Member's support for over 1,300 new school seats, new and accessible open spaces, affordable family-sized housing, and home ownership opportunities, resilient waterfront access, a transformed DOE building, and critical sewer and drainage upgrades.

Long Island City is a neighborhood
experiencing tremendous growth with new residents,
businesses, and visitors arriving every year. Yet
this growth has outpaced the capacity of our
infrastructure, leading to frequent flooding,
overcrowded schools, and limited public spaces.
Investment in infrastructure is urgently needed to
ensure that growth is sustainable, equitable, and
beneficial to everyone who lives and works here.

As a neighborhood known for its creative spirit, we believe the final plan must also preserve and expand space for the arts. In that spirit, we strongly support MoMA PS1 Courtyard and Plaza Access renovation project, which will expand public access

and green space while keeping arts and culture at the heart of the community. We encourage the Council to join CB1, CB2, Borough President Richards, and the City Planning Commission in supporting the plan to ensure it will create housing, sustain jobs, update infrastructure, and enhance cultural spaces. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank You, Laura. Next, we will hear from Charles Yu.

CHARLES YU: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Charles. Go ahead.

CHARLES YU: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair
Riley and Council Member Won. My name is Charles Yu,
Vice President of Economic Development at the Long
Island City Partnership. I'm here today to support
the OneLIC rezoning, which I believe offers an
opportunity to strengthen the future of businesses in
Long Island City.

The OneLIC Plan is an exciting and important step toward building a stronger, more inclusive future for our neighborhood. LIC is experiencing tremendous growth, with new residents, businesses, and cultural institutions arriving every year. This

2.2

2.3

high quality of life.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 222 growth creates opportunities, but it also highlights the urgent need for infrastructure investments to keep pace. By upgrading sewers, drainage, and public space infrastructure, this plan can help ensure that LIC continues to thrive as a place where businesses can operate efficiently and residents can enjoy a

We are especially encouraged that the plan recognizes LIC's role as both a residential and industrial hub. A significant portion of the rezoning area lies within the Industrial Business Zone (IBZ), home to firms that provide thousands of good-paying jobs and keep our city's economy running. Ensuring that infrastructure investments support both residents and businesses will help retain these employers and allow them to grow alongside new development.

The City also released the draft NYC

Industrial Plan today. We look forward to working

with the City and Council to explore potential

synergies between the Industrial Plan and the OneLIC

rezoning, where appropriate, given the overlap with

the IBZ. Together, these initiatives have the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 223 potential to support balanced growth while sustaining 2 3 jobs and strengthening the industrial base. We urge the Council to support the OneLIC Plan 4 and to ensure its implementation advances housing, 5 sustains jobs, delivers critical infrastructure 6 7 upgrades, and enhances LIC's unique mix of industry, culture, and community. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Alan. 10 11 ALAN SUNA: I've never been Alan, but I'm Alan. 12 (LAUGHTER) CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Alan Suna? 13 ALAN SUNA: It's okay, yeah, that's me. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, that's your nickname 16 now, Alana. 17 (LAUGHTER) 18 ALAN SUNA: There you go. Maybe I'll become a 19 great tennis player. I don't want to use my time for 20 that, though. I'm Alan Suna, Chairman of Silver Cup Studios 21 in New York, which I started in Long Island City 45 2.2 2.3 years ago, when our area was characterized by crime, drugs, and prostitution. Today, it's a much better 24

place than it was back then. And these are the kinds

1

3

4

5

6

'/

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of things-- change makes things better. All right?

While we don't have a dog in the fight, per se, in the OneLIC effort, we continue to support the effort of Council Member Won, and we think it's going to bring much-needed improvements in Long Island City.

Contrary to some of the things that you've heard, there will be new and better schools, four of them, and they'll be mixed-income housing, with 25 to 30% of all housing being affordable. There'll be better flood controls throughout the improved shoreline protections and the most new open space of any neighborhood in Western Queens, which includes a waterfront, pedestrian, and bicycle connection from Queensbridge Park South, which I've worked on with the Council Member. None of these changes will force anyone out of their homes in Queensbridge, nor will it increase the cost of living in Queensbridge. Streets will see improvements in sewerage and safety. More people, more rides in the streets, will just make it a safer place.

We further look forward to working with the City and the Department of City Planning to rethink Long Island City's IBZ, both North and South of the bridge, to continue to develop a long-term plan for

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 225 all of western Queens for living, working, learning, and playing safely in the decades ahead. I'm done.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: With time to spare, thank you, Alan. Next, we will hear from William. William, how do you pronounce your last name, if you could just educate me?

WILLIAM XU: It's pronounced "Zoo".

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Xu?

WILLIAM XU: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Go ahead, William.

WILLIAM XU: Good afternoon, Chair Riley, and Council Member Won. My name is William Xu; I am currently a Long Island City resident for over five years now, and I am a lifelong Queens resident. I am also the Vice President of United Construction and Development Group, which is a family-owned business based in Queens that my uncle started over 30 years ago. Our company has built homes for countless families throughout the borough, and that includes families of all sizes and income levels.

I'm here today to ask for your support for the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan, because our city needs more

you. Rachal?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 227

2 RACHEL COHEN: I am Rachel.

2.2

2.3

3 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead, Rachel.

RACHEL COHEN: Good afternoon, my name is

Rachel Cohen, and I'm here today in support of the

OneLIC Neighborhood Plan.

The energy and participation throughout this process, from community workshops to the turnout for hearings like today, reflect just how much we all care about the future of Long Island City. This plan presents a long-overdue opportunity to invest in the basics that every neighborhood needs to thrive:

Schools, sewers, streets, and open space.

Too many areas of LIC remain disconnected,

defined by aging infrastructure, underutilized

zoning, and public spaces that don't serve the people

who live and work there. In parts of the

neighborhood, unsafe and uninviting streets create a

physical and perceptual barriers between residential

areas, transit hubs, and the waterfront. The One LIC

plan addresses those gaps head-on. It supports

thoughtful growth, creates safer and more engaging

streets, expands access to open space, and brings

real investment into the infrastructure that sustains

a growing community. It's a plan that prioritizes

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 228 people and lays the foundation for a more connected, inclusive, and resilient Long Island City. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, everyone. Thank you for your testimony and your time. You are excused, thank you.

Next, we will hear from Annalisa Iadicicco. I am sorry if you mispronounced your name.

UNIDENTIFIED: (UN-MIC'D) (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you.

Matt Quigley, Jordan Hare, Peter Papamichael, Carlo Casa, Rob Basch, and Barbara Etzel. Thank you, you may begin. We will start with Matt. Matt Quigley?

MATT QUIGLEY: Thank you for your time. My name is Matt Quigley, and I'm with Plaxall. My cousin was up here before speaking in favor of the plan, which, unsurprisingly, I'm in favor of, too.

We've been in Long Island City for a long time. And we know that there are areas that are more dense and areas that are less dense, but I think density is definitely a requirement if the City is going to get out of crisis, and the areas that can accept density, like on the waterfront, should take as much as they can. You know, density should not be

2.2

places like that.

One quick point about housing costs—we've owned a four-unit building on Vernon Boulevard for like 40 years. And in the 2000s, when the area was getting more popular, you know, we were able to raise the rents on our tenants, because more people were interested in taking these non-luxury units. And then when Queens West opened up and gave people more options, a lot of our tenants left. So then we had to reduce the rents in order to find new tenants. And that's, you know, I think all the economic studies will tell you that's the way it works. You know, you'll end up with a higher, you know, average rent, because you put in these more fancy apartments, but the non-fancy apartments get cheaper.

And the last thing is, you know, Long Island
City has most likely taken more than its fair share
of the housing burden, but with that, taking on that
burden, you know, for sure, the City should be
funding the infrastructure that's needed. You know, I
just think the City should use it as a carrot for

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 230 more neighborhoods to say, you accept more housing, and we will devote more City funds. (TIMER) But Long Island City, it's not a carrot—Long Island City needs it. So, please help with that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thanks, Matt. Next, we are going to hear from Jordan.

JORDAN HARE: Hi there, my name is Jordan Hare; I work at Plaxall in Long Island City, and I am raising three kids in Jackson Heights. I would like to express strong support for the LIC Neighborhood Plan, along with sincere gratitude to Council Member Won, DCP, City Hall, and all the agencies that have been working so hard for the last two years. I respectfully encourage the City Council to vote in favor of the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan, which will do so much good for Long Island City.

This plan will improve the resiliency of the LIC Waterfront. We at Plaxall will be able to open up Anable Basin with up to 3 acres of new world-class resilient open space, which will also include resilient shorelines, the elevation of new and existing streets, and more. It's a big step forward.

But in addition, we would also strongly encourage the City to make complementary investments in Long Island City's aging sewer network. These

long-awaited improvements would upgrade our aging

local infrastructure and significantly benefit the

2.3

wider neighborhood. This plan is also a big opportunity to celebrate and champion LIC's artists who add so much vitality to our neighborhood—the arts are what make LIC such an amazing place. Plaxall has been a supporter of LIC arts and artists for many decades. We are proud to donate space to Culture Lab, and we look forward to creating a permanent home for Culture Lab and a redeveloped Anable Basin.

In addition, we would strongly encourage the City to make significant investments in LIC's arts and culture, such as the creation of a local arts fund that can support existing and emerging arts nonprofits—the Arts need to grow—capital funding for nonprofits like Culture Lab and others, and capital funding for arts infrastructure like an outdoor amphitheater and the renovation of PS1's Plaza. Once again, thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Peter?

PETER PAPAMICHAEL: Good afternoon, my name is Peter Papamichael. I was born and raised in Queens,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 232 and over a decade ago, I founded the VOREA Group, a local business in Long Island City.

I'm here to urge you to vote yes on this plan, because it has been thoughtfully shaped around the real needs of our community. I urge you all to look around the room. I'm hoping City Hall is taking notice. Despite the stormy weather outside, countless neighbors and constituents have shown up today. They're here in this room. Their presence is proof of just how important this project is to the people of Queens, the people of Long Island City. And it's proof that capital needs are required to make this a reality. This is about balance—balance between growth and community needs, and balance between opportunity and responsibility. And with your support, we can get it right.

A vote of yes means for Long Island City and for Queens, a vote for education, for equity, for opportunity, for infrastructure, for resilience, for open space, and also for the expansion of arts and culture.

This plan reflects years of community input and delivers benefits we desperately need. So I ask

2.2

\_

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 233 you, on behalf of local businesses and families and generations to come, please vote yes. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Carlo?

CARLO CASA: Good afternoon. My name is Carlo Casa, and I'm the Director of Policy and Research at the New York Building Congress. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

The Building Congress represents over 500 organizations and over 250,000 skilled tradespeople and professionals, all dedicated to the growth and prosperity of our city. We strongly support the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan. The plan is a comprehensive, community-informed, and much-needed initiative that addresses Long Island City's pressing needs for affordable housing, public spaces, and resilient infrastructure.

Nearly half of renters in LIC spend more than 30% of their income on rent. Why? Because our city is in a growing housing crisis where the demand for housing far outpaces our supply of homes.

The OneLIC Plan will create almost 15,000 new homes, including over 4,000 permanently affordable units. This is a critical step towards fixing our

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

234

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

housing crisis while providing housing for families at all income levels.

The plan will also transform areas beneath the Queensboro Bridge into vibrant public spaces that reconnect Queensbridge Houses with the broader community. The plan aims to unify the waterfront, creating a continuous esplanade that improves recreational opportunities and waterfront access for all residents.

OneLIC includes investments in sewage and plumbing infrastructure to address current flooding issues and prepare the neighborhood for the future. The current proposal reflects extensive community engagement, incorporating feedback from dozens of meetings, as well as thousands of public comments, all to ensure that the plan aligns with the community's priorities and needs. Simply put, the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan is a huge step forward in addressing New York's (TIMER) housing crisis, and we urge its approval. Thank you for your consideration. And thank you for the pizza.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Rob?

ROB BASCH: Hi, and thank you for holding this committee meeting. My name is Rob Basch, and I am the

2.2

2.3

President of the Hunters Point Parks Conservancy and

5 a resident of LIC since 2009.

Since about 2017-2018, I have been testifying at various redevelopment projects for LIC. Whether it's your LIC and Anable Basin rezoning, Amazon, or others, nothing ever gets done.

When Amazon backed out of LIC, I heard people say in the neighborhood, "Oh, we dodged a bullet, and now the neighborhood can get something we really, really want." But here we are six years later, and again, nothing is done.

When I go jogging down Vernon Boulevard, I see the same boarded-up properties that I saw when I moved here in 2009. The community has not benefited, and the only ones benefiting from this are the Canada Geese and the rats that live behind those walls.

This project is not perfect, and I will continue to advocate for more parks and green spaces, but this is our best opportunity to get something great done for our community.

'No' to this project will mean nothing gets done. A new project will not materialize in six

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES months that everyone will love. It's time to get something done, and I trust our Councilwoman to bring

236

this plan to fruition that will greatly impact LIC in a very positive manner. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Rob. Ms. Barbara?

BARBARA ETZEL: I am Barbara Etzel, and I have lived in the Long Island City neighborhood since 2009. I fully support the OneLIC Development Plan.

No plan is ever going to get 100% agreement. People will always have different viewpoints. However, this plan has incorporated over 18 months of community feedback during dozens of meetings, 2,300 survey responses, and over 5,000 written comments. If this plan is not enacted, then we can expect to have inertia and the status quo for the next decade. That would be a tragedy—that's too long to wait to unlock more housing and sites for commercial development.

This plan will give us 14,000 new housing units, including 4,300 affordable units. And it is also essential that these units accommodate families. Too many people live in the neighborhood, love it, and just as soon as they have child number two, they

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 237

are priced out of the neighborhood and leave for the

2.2

2.3

suburbs.

However, while I support the plan, there are some adjustments that should be made. We should ensure that this includes space for arts organizations, gallery space, and artist studios that the artists can afford. One of the special things about LIC is the number of artists who live in the neighborhood. Let's not price them out of the neighborhood by trying to do a great thing for the neighborhood.

We also need a connected waterfront from

Queensbridge Park to Gantry State Park across both

public and private sites and the city-owned sites

under Queensbridge should be returned to the

community and park uses. This plan should also

include an extensive investment in sewage, plumbing,

and resilience in infrastructure. (TIMER) Too many

areas in our neighborhood experience flooding and

sewer issues now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There being no questions, this panel is excused. Thank you so much.

I just want to state for the record, online, we have about 20 more people in person, and then we

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 238 2 are going to transition into online testimony. So we 3 should be on to online testimony in the next 30 to 40 minutes. 4 The next panel you are going to hear from consists of Jessica Sechrist, Muhammad Rijaz 6 7 (phonetic), Chowdhury Islam, Muhammad Abdullah Kashif, Chris Batres, and Juhaib (phonetic) 8 Chowdhury. Sorry if I have mispronounced any names. Jessica? 10 11 JESSICA SECHRIST: Hi, I'm Jessica Sechrist, Executive Director of the Hunters Point Parks 12 13 Conservancy... 14 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Jessica, one second. I just 15 want to call these names again. 16 Uh, Jessica, what's your name? So we have 17 Jessica and Chris. Is Muhammad Rijaz here? Do we have 18 a Chowdhury Islam? Do we have a Muhammad Abdullah 19 Kashif? 20 Okay, all right, you may begin, go ahead. 21 JESSICA SECHRIST: I do just want to mention 2.2 that a group did go out looking for a prayer room 2.3 earlier, so they may still be doing that. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No, problem, thank you so 24

25

much.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 239

JESSICA SECHRIST: Of course.

I'm Jessica Sechrist, Executive Director of the Hunters Point Parks Conservancy and a Long Island City resident whose building touches the plan area.

As the neighborhood's primary parks group, our mission is to support and advocate for the green spaces and waterfront of Long Island City and to ensure the parks remain an indispensable asset to the community.

We support the approval of the OneLIC Plan. We feel that an overall plan is superior to approaching individual properties on a case-by-case basis, as we feel this is the best and most effective way to address the neighborhood's current needs, as well as plan for its sustainable growth in decades to come.

Our organization can attest to the incredible benefits that have resulted from the new acres of waterfront parks built over the last two decades. We see OneLIC's focus on new open space along the waterfront and in the core that are high quality, resilient, sustainable, accessible, and designed to meet the needs of an expanding community as a major advantage of this project. We know our neighborhood

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 240
desperately needs more park space, and the current

approach to development has not delivered that.

2.2

2.3

We appreciate the work done by our Council
Member Julie Won, the Department of City Planning,
and the plan consultants for providing many venues
for community engagement and feedback, and feel it's
essential that the approved plan incorporate the
community priorities identified through this process.

We particularly appreciate our Council
Members' dedication to equitable park spaces and
championing of projects like the reclamation of
Queensbridge Baby Park as part of this.

We recognize that with growth comes challenges and that to fully support approving this plan, it must address infrastructure needs ranging from sewers to transportation to school capacity and affordable housing.

The neighborhood needs more open space, and we feel that an overall community plan is more likely to bring these goals to the forefront rather than approaching development lot by lot. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Chris? Go ahead.

CHRIS BATRES: Good afternoon, my name is Chris

Batres, and I am proud to serve as the Council

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 241
Representative for the New York District Council of
Carpenters. I'm also a local resident and a part of
the Latino community in Queens, Astoria.

I'm here this afternoon in strong support of OneLIC Neighborhood rezoning. This rezoning represents an opportunity for families like mine and thousands of others in Queens. This plan means access to good middle-class jobs that provide real wages, health benefits, and retirement security. As a Latino and Hispanic, I can tell you firsthand how critical initiatives like this are for the community. For communities of color, too often, working people are left out of the economic growth around them. But OneLIC Rezoning is different. It will be built with union labor, guaranteeing that our neighbors have a fair shot at careers that can support their families.

Beyond the jobs, the rezoning will bring long-term community benefits, new affordable housing, improved infrastructure, and spaces to bring people together. This is the kind of investment that Queens deserves and needs. Queens deserves to lift working families (INAUDIBLE) Astoria neighborhoods and give opportunities right here in Queens, Astoria.

2.2

2.3

\_

\_

On behalf of the Carpenters Union, as a proud local resident, still living in Astoria—I grew up in Astoria—I urge you to approve this rezoning to allow Queens to keep building a better Astoria for a better future for all the families that still live in Queens. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Council Member Won?

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Hi, thank you for being here. Jessica, I just wanted to ask from your perspective, as you and Rob Basch, the chair of Hunters Point Conservancy (TIMER), have been leading on green space advocacy. How dire do you think the need is, considering that the city testified that on their goal of 2.5 acres of park space for every 1,000 residents, we are currently at 0.9% of that goal.

JESSICA SECHRIST: We think it's incredibly important, you know, we are advocating for green spaces throughout the District, as you know, and we think that, for the well-being of the community, for mental health, and crucially, as this becomes a more economically and racially diverse community, it's important that we are providing residents in affordable housing the same access to quality public

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 243

spaces that have been enjoyed by people who live in

much higher income areas. And honestly, this is an

unsustainable amount of open space for the size of

our community. And it's only getting worse every year

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: In the summertime, when the weather is nice, how many people do you see on average within, like, the Oval?

with more development.

2.2

JESSICA SECHRIST: Hundreds, like, hundreds, I mean... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Which is just a small parcel of the park.

JESSICA SECHRIST: Gantry Plaza State Park, which has more capacity for tracking attendance than the city parks, reported 3 million visitors last year.

Some 2021 data from a consulting call we took with a geocaching company, so it's not exact, showed that we were seeing a million visitors to Hunters Point South Park. And those numbers have certainly gone up with all of the new towers coming in. And it's a real challenge, because we are desperately in need of both passive and active public spaces to serve our rapidly growing community.

\_

Ŭ

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: This is just a note for my team: I think we need to follow up on the calculations of if we were to only move forward with six acres of forecasted public park space with this project as is, what that would lead to in our current 0.9%, and what that calculation is.

And then we should also look into the one to three million person visits number to also compare that to how many residents we currently have in Hunters Point alone.

I also wanted to ask: From the years that you've worked at Hunters Point Conservancy, you've also witnessed and reported unmaintained parcels, large parcels of publicly owned land in Hunters Point South. Do you foresee that there would be a lot of public support if we used one of the public parcels, even if it's temporary—because we know that it goes on for more than a decade—if it were to be converted to active sports use?

JESSICA SECHRIST: Absolutely. We actually—we've had a petition running for less than a month that we started ahead of a meeting with Parks, and we've gotten close to 1,000 signatures, just off of our own efforts to run this, uh, in support of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 245 transforming the remaining publicly owned parcel to section D.

2.2

2.3

I have queued up on my list today to send your office some of the concerns with the new bus stop, because HPD has not maintained the walkway around that. We have a gala tonight, but that is on my list for this week, because that's an ongoing issue as well. Because it's very difficult, when you see these overused spaces, and then you see these empty ratfilled, weed-filled lots that are owned by the City that could be used by the community and are just not.

And I do think, you know, a lot of
neighborhood organizations like Plaxall have been
really leading the way in allowing creative uses of
lots that aren't going to be developed right away.

And it would be wonderful if the City could follow in
that to address this real need. Obviously, we need
housing, but especially low-income housing, which
deserves adequate and well-maintained public space as
part of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: So, just for a public record, you are proposing that Parcel D be converted to temporary active sports use for the public to have access to?

JESSICA SECHRIST: Yes, I think that would help--it would not-- it's not that much space; it's a City lot, but it would help address some of the incredible overuse of the current park assets.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: And for your current proposal for converting Parcel D to a temporary active sports area, I believe I had read in some of the public forums that there are light commitments from local developers to fund this project. Can you share some more information on how this would be funded?

JESSICA SECHRIST: So we've talked-- I mean, there's the ideal way that we would all like development to happen in our city, and then there's the reality of limited city budgets and how things are paid for—So we've talked to some of the large developers in the area who are looking at building projects that would be adjacent to these lots that have already started building them. And they are potentially interested in the, you know, the standard New York City agreement of you invest in something like MTA structures, or you invest in improving an adjacent publicly owned property, in exchange for building more density, they are even-- you know, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

directly.

21

22

23

24

can't speak for them obviously, but they have potentially committed to adding affordable housing on some of their existing properties through the, you know, through the ULURP (phonetic) process to get a waiver in exchange for helping construct the space. Obviously, we all know that there are challenges with New York City Parks' capital construction process and how long-term it, uh, is finding the funding for it— You have supported so much investment in the parks, I'm sure you know how that works—So, finding private -- public-private partnerships to help support this, to serve the needs of this community--because developers benefit hugely from public space, they're able to charge higher rates in market rate units, they are able to really bring more economic gain to support that. And it's so much easier to add something like that as a change order on an existing project than for the City to pursue the entire thing

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay. So, what I've learned from your testimony right now is that there's no such thing as a free lunch. In order for us to get the capital funding from these other developers, who

JESSICA SECHRIST: I mean...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 249 2 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much. JESSICA SECHRIST: Thank God for smartphones so 3 that I can do both at the same time. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much for your testimony. You're excused. 6 7 The next panel we are going to hear from is Muhammad Islam, Muhammad Nasiruddin, April Adams, 8 Paolina Hsia (phonetic), I think it's P A O L I N G, H S I A, sorry if I have mispronounced your name, and 10 11 Denis Ibric and Andrew Ebenstein. The following panel is going to consist of 12 Kevin Dantonio, Francis Sheilds, Austin Celestin, 13 14 Christopher Leon Johnson, Laura Wolf-Powers, Seth 15 Bazacas, and Olivia Munn-Shirsath. 16 All right, you can start from my right, sir. 17 Just please reinstate your name for the record, and 18 you may begin. 19 ANDREW EBENSTEIN: Thank you. My name is Andrew 20 Ebenstein. I hope that the City Council will vote in 21 favor of the rezoning. The city needs more housing, 2.2 it needs more affordable housing, and it needs more 2.3 market-rate housing. And the OneLIC plan will provide 24

both. The plan will also bring real, tangible

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

neighborhood improvements that benefit the Long

3 Island City community, including public access to the

4 waterfront, new schools, and important infrastructure

5 upgrades. Without this rezoning, these investments

6 simply won't happen. We can't continue to let the

7 perfect be the enemy of the good. The boarded-up

8 sites across the neighborhood aren't benefiting

9 anyone, and it's time to approve the OneLIC rezone so

10 Long Island City can finally move forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next?

12 APRIL ADAMS: Hi, thank you, Chair Riley. Good

13 | to see you, Council Member Won.

My name is April Adams, Manager of Government Affairs at JetBlue Airways. We are located at 27-01 Queens Plaza North.

On behalf of JetBlue, I would like to express our support for the OneLIC Rezoning Proposal. Since 2012, New York's hometown airline has been headquartered in the historic Brewster Building in

Long Island City. As a major employer in Queens, we

22 have 88,500 crew members in Long Island-- in Queens,

and we have more than 1,600 crew members based at our

24 Long Island City Support Center.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We recognize how critical it is to invest in this neighborhood.

As a result of thoughtful community engagement, the OneLIC Rezoning Plan outlines how to make LIC a place where top talent will want to live and work. We especially support the plan's priorities to create new accessible open spaces under the Queensboro Bridge, develop affordable family-sized housing to retain a diverse workforce, improve aging sewer and drainage infrastructure to reduce flooding, open public waterfront access with resilient design, increase school capacity, which is essential for families living and working in LIC, and transform the publicly owned DOE building, who is our next door neighbor, to better serve the community.

We hope that these priorities will be included in the final plan. For JetBlue, these investments are vital to creating a strong local economy, attracting and retaining talent, and ensuring that LIC remains a place where both families and businesses can thrive.

We urge the City Council to support this (CELL PHONE RINGS), apologies. We urge the City Council to support the OneLIC and prioritize the needs of the employees, residents, and businesses in this

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 252 community. Thank you for the opportunity to share our support.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

DENIS IBRIC: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Denis Ibric. Like my colleague, Chris, I'm also a Council Representative with the New York City District Council of Carpenters. But more importantly, I am a lifelong Queens resident.

I grew up in Queens, I live in Queens, and
I've seen firsthand what happens when development
does not include the community. We have seen projects
go up that provide little to no real benefit for the
people who actually live there. That is why the One
LIC Neighborhood Rezoning stands out. This rezoning
is committed to creating thousands of good-paying
union jobs, jobs with training, benefits, and a
pathway to the middle class. These are careers, not
just paychecks.

But it does not stop there. OneLIC will bring much needed affordable housing, community facilities, and spaces that make this neighborhood more livable. It is an investment in working people, families, and the next generation of New Yorkers.

2.2

2.3

As someone who has spent his whole life in Queens, I want to see rezonings that do not just change the skyline but strengthen the community. The OneLIC rezoning does exactly that.

And on behalf of my union brothers and sisters and as a proud Queens resident, I urge you to approve this rezoning—and I can't stress this enough—to make sure development in Queens works for everyone.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next? Miss?

PAOLIN HSIA: Greetings, everyone. My name is

Paolin Hsia, speaking on behalf of small businesses

and property owners along 21st Street, between 39th

and 40th Avenue, within the IBZ zone.

We support the overall plan, but respectfully urge that our block (INAUDIBLE) 392 to be modified to mixed-use to allow some housing. We have been surrounded by mixed-use for decades and never used IBZ incentives, simply because the plan-- the program isn't practical. Our area has become transit-rich and pedestrian-friendly, with subway, bus lines, bike lanes, and safe crossings. Industrial use poses a very high risk. During the pandemic, a fire set by homeless people left our buildings damaged and

- 2 unsafe. We desperately need to rebuild despite
- 3 repeated appeals and proposals for mixed-use, but we
- 4 have been told "No Housing allowed. You are an IBZ."
- 5 | However, Rule 22-625 and 626 IBZ Boundary Commission
- 6 clearly grants its authority to designate, amend, or
- 7 repair in part or in total. We ask the Council to
- 8 establish a clear, published process for individual
- 9 | blocks within IBZ to apply for a zoning variance,
- 10 including residential components based on character
- 11 and justification, without excessive burdens. After
- 12 all, 20 years have passed, and some IBZs have become
- 13 outdated.
- 14 We are not opposing IBZ policy. We are asking
- 15 for adaptive flexibility. Modify Block 392 to mixed-
- 16 use, including housing and affordable housing
- 17 (TIMER), aligned with the Council's goal to expand
- 18 | housing supply and affordability. Thank you for your
- 19 time and consideration.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member
- 21 Won?
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much to
- 23 everyone who came to testify. I have a question for
- 24 the Carpenters.

So this is a very special rezoning, because we are going to be going through 485-x, which has been newly adopted. And developers have been a little squeamish with it throughout the city, but not these developers. They're ready to take on 485-x.

So I just wanted to get your take on the Carpenters Union, and if you've had any recent experience with the success of 485-x, where it has given you union jobs in the city of New York?

DENIS IBRIC: Sure, I can speak on that a little bit. Thank you so much again, Julie.

So on Orchard Street, the high rise, the general contractor is Triton, I believe. We were able to get Union carpentry signatories on the project. It started with just the drywall package and then eventually moved into the millwork. You know, historically, Long Island City has gone sort of the nonunion route. If you're asking me, honestly, it was a shame to see that. Hopefully, with this rezoning, we can change that. It is important that we have union jobs out there where workers are getting benefits—area standard wages. But Orchard Park, I think, is our sort of champion at the moment, where it can happen.

a

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Okay, glad to hear that it is possible. That it's not just a lofty idea on paper that the government has formulated. And you may want to say hello to Schumann's (phonetic) properties before you leave. Thank you so much, everybody.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we are going to hear from Kevin Dantonio, Francis Sheilds, Austin Celestin, Christopher Leon Johnson, Laura Wolf-Powers, and Seth Bazacas.

After this, we will be transitioning to online testimony. If you did not hear your name, and you wanted to testify here in the Chambers, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms and let them know. Okay? This is the last panel that I have here.

You may begin.

KEVIN DANTONIO: Good afternoon, my name is

Kevin Dantonio. My wife and I are proudly raising our

son in Long Island City, just a few blocks from where

my grandmother was born in 1931. I also work right

here in the neighborhood, so I see the community's

growth and challenges every single day. Now, as a new

dad, I'm thinking even more about the future,

particularly quality schools, green spaces,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 257
infrastructure improvements, and a strong local

The OneLIC Project brings all that closer to reality. It's not just about buildings, it's about jobs, housing, and smart development that keep families like mine in the neighborhood. I want my child to grow up in a place that's vibrant, inclusive, and built to last. That's why I fully support OneLIC. Thank you.

AUSTIN CELESTIN: Good afternoon, my name is

Austin Celestin, I am a lifelong New Yorker and an

urban planning graduate. I also led a walking tour of

Long Island City back in July with a Member of CB2,

Anatole [Ashraf]. I think all of us remember a time,

I think even 10 years ago-- 15 years ago, when the

Citigroup Tower was the only building in Long Island

City that stood alone. And now it's just one of many.

The 2001 rezoning gave a lot of housing to the neighborhood. It helped make Long Island City one of the most densely populated neighborhoods in the city and the top producer of housing for the last 10 years. It also had no accountability. It was originally a commercial rezoning, and instead, what

2.2

2.3

economy.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we got was a lot of housing with no accountability

258

3 metrics to enforce affordability.

OneLIC is different, and almost ironically, OneLIC works because of the mistakes of the past. Because of the high rents in a new housing in Long Island City, it makes the mandatory inclusionary housing viable and feasible. It's one of the neighborhoods where that kind of mechanism would actually work, which is why OneLIC makes so much sense. It is the largest rezoning in recent memory. It's bigger than Soho, bigger than Jamaica, bigger than Midtown South. It's a model of how much housing we actually need to build in this city. It's also more than just housing. It's resiliency, investment, and more green space. And obviously, those kinks need to be worked out and adjusted accordingly to make sure that the plan is as good as it can be. But as I wrote in an op-ed three years ago, the goal should always be to get to 'yes'. And while I support this rezoning wholeheartedly, I would also like to make this point:

As I said before, Long Island City is one of the top producers of housing in the city, and that should be recognized in a way that makes this

3 cannot continue to carry this burden. Rezonings like

259

this, of this scale, should be exercised in areas 4

across the city and not just Long Island City. And

any adjustment should be made so that it's feasible 6

to be delivered. (TIMER) Thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LAURA WOLF-POWERS: Hello, thank you for listening. My name is Laura Wolf-Powers. I am part of the Western Queens Community Land Trust, and I'm also a Professor of Urban Planning at Hunter College. I've testified in hearings along the way on the draft scope, on the final scope of Community Board Two, and I've submitted testimony to the borough president and the City Planning Commission. So, along the way, I've had a lot of opportunities to witness the advocacy that Council Member Won has provided for the extremely crucial needs that are necessary for whether she's going to vote yes on this plan. And that includes stormwater-related investments, it includes investments in open space, it includes very specific housing-related funding commitments, including commitments on city-owned sites, and it includes NYCHA. We've heard all that today, and I was here when the panel of City officials was here. I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.3

just wanted to say I appreciate you really holding their feet to the fire, and making it very clear that you did not intend to vote yes on the rezoning, with all of the benefits that we people have been saying that have, you know, unless there's some basic provisions made.

I'd also like to, you know, correct what I consider to be a misconception about the role of housing supply and addressing the housing crisis that we have in this city, and promoting affordability and inclusion.

This is not-- many studies show that simply up-zoning and allowing for more market-rate housing does not alleviate rent burden. Up-zonings during the Bloomberg administration resulted in neighborhoods that were wider, where rents were higher, and where low-income people of color were pushed out. (TIMER)

So I think that it makes sense to really double down on your insistence that there be significant affordability for very low-income people as part of this plan.

SETH BAZACAS: Hi, my name is Seth Bazacas; I'm the Founding Director of Renew Queens and Wellspring Church and the Renewal Collective for a bunch of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nonprofits and for-profits in the Long Island City neighborhood. I've been a resident just shy of 20 years, and we are strong supporters and fans of the plan put forth for the OneLIC project.

We want to see this come to a reality. We've seen many, many iterations that have failed to live up to the needs of the people, and I'm just in great admiration of the work that Councilwoman Won has done, in particular to represent a huge swath of voices and diversity groups. It's very important that we bring LIC together—no longer a divide, both through infrastructure and affordability, through our poorer neighborhoods and those in Black and brown communities, and the parts that are not, that are more wealthy and have access to other spaces. Our nonprofit alone is doing its best to hold the middle ground on that. This week alone, one of our facilities will have four different church organizations from various backgrounds and religious perspectives, using our facilities. We try to give as much away as we can for free. We are trying to hold that gap between the two different LICS as much as we can. We will host events, one of them being like the Queens Easter Festival, and it will sell out for

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 262 over--not sell out, it's free--for over 10,000 people in less than 24 hours. The need is so great. We can't, we can't keep up with that. We'll host an event, and we can barely send out an email without the need being there for young families. We support thousands and thousands of young families in the

2.2

2.3

neighborhood.

To be clear, though, I think we can get this done with, well, OneLIC, but for that to be a reality, we do need public investment from the City to do their part. A huge part of what we need is for young families. That's schools, that's sewage, that's plumbing, that's parks. And we cannot ignore NYCHA housing.

So we need the City to do its part and to come alongside the radical generosity of private developers, which we're beginning to see, but just needs to come to fruition for community organizations, for places of worship, so this truly can be a fully comprehensive community plan. That supports everybody. I think it's possible with OneLIC. (TIMER)

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, hello, Chair Riley, Chair Won. My name is Christopher Leon

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 263

Johnson, and I'm showing my support for OneLIC. I

appreciate you, Julie Won, for doing this plan. Hope

you vote yes for the plan. Hope this goes to the City

5 Council and the mayor signs it.

So I want to make clear that what we need to start doing more of is to find a way to revamp the Queensboro Bridge to make it more accessible for a deliverista hub and for street vendors. And what needs to start happening more is with Queensbridge projects; you have to find a way to really get rid of Queensbridge projects and implement the RAD/PACT into the Queensboro projects. The Queensbridge project is an eyesore, a big eyesore in Long Island City. I think that Queensbridge projects need to be demolished and have something different put over there. Because it is high crime, the quality of life is garbage, and it makes Long Island City look bad. It makes Long Island City-- it gives Long Island City a bad look, uh, the Queensbridge projects. I call for the demolition of the Queensbridge projects and to build something new. While you're there, you should put the people somewhere else around there until you build something new around there. That's my opinion.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

a

2.2

I think that this plan is a great, great layout. I believe that the City, the DOT, need to come forward with what the plan is for the open space within this plan. Because why is it only three spots? They could do open space. They should be all over the area.

And I believe these waterfronts, they need a big renovation. I've been to a few of them. They're not looking good. They're horrible. So I'm like I said before, 20 seconds left, I think, like I said, demolish the Queensbridge projects. It's an eyesore in the city. I hate it over there. It's like, it gives the whole Queens a bad name—crime, prostitution, the drugs, the gang violence, and once you get rid of Queensbridge projects and build something new better over there, Long Island City will be way better. (TIMER) Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. No questions, but I just have to state that I think Queensbridge is a beautiful community. I actually just went there not too long ago, and it was a very, very beautiful community. I do feel like the City needs to invest more into it, because those (INAUDIBLE) are struggling, but it is a very beautiful community. And

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 265 I just want to thank Council Member Won for the great 2 3 things she is doing in that area. No questions for this panel. This panel is 4 excused, thank you so much. 5 The next panel I am going to hear from 6 7 consists of Olivia Munn-Shirsath and Jackson Chabot. Like I said, if I did not mention your name 8 9 and you do want to testify, and you're in the 10 Chambers, please raise your hand right now. 11 Is there anybody in here who wants to testify that we did not call on? 12 13 (NO RESPONSE) 14 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you. 15 After this panel, we will transition into 16 online testimony. The first panel I'll be calling 17 online will consist of Shawn Campbell, Daniel 18 Talylor, Kenny Greenberg, Anatole Ashraf, and Riley 19 Owens. That will be the next panel I call on online. 20 Okay, you may begin. I'm sorry, go ahead. 21 OLIVIA MUNN-SHIRSATH: Hi, my name is Olivia Munn-Shirsath, and I live and work in Long Island 2.2 2.3 City. I am a beneficiary of affordable housing in Hunters Point, and I want that for more families like 24

mine. I'm also a pastor at a local church, Wellspring

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 Church, and part of my role as pastor is to listen to

3 the community. And so I have tried to spend the last

4 six months or so listening to my congregants, hearing

5 their perspective and their needs. And what I hear

6 and what I see is that people are looking for

7 stability, for long-term housing options that could

8 last. They're also looking for schooling. So I do ask

9 the City to keep their promises to provide

10 elementary, middle, and high schools for all ages, so

11 | families don't have to leave.

And I see that this is a thriving community that loves its neighborhood and wants to put down roots. So I support OneLIC, and I'm grateful for all the work that Councilwoman Julie has done.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

JACKSON CHABOT: Good afternoon, my name is Jackson Chabot, and I am the Director of Advocacy and Organizing at Open Plans.

At open Plans, we think a lot about livable neighborhoods and specifically livable streets. So I'm here today to testify in support of OneLIC from the infrastructure perspective. And I'd like to highlight several key points:

2.2

First and foremost, this plan must include north-south connections through the bridge to provide neighbors in all parts of the community access to each other.

Second, this should include the restoration of the Queensbridge Baby Park. Recently, I saw some of the historical photos from the Queensbridge Houses, specifically when the Queensbridge was built, and it was gorgeous, and it was gorgeous because there was an investment in the infrastructure, and we need to return to that. Neighbors, specifically in and around the Queensbridge houses, deserve more access to public space. They deserve more access to the waterfront, and this plan is an excellent opportunity to provide that. It is imperative, and it must be a part of this final plan.

Third, the plazas and open space under the Queensboro Bridge ramps must be open, fully accessible, and fully usable by the neighbors and the community.

Fourth, traffic safety, and specifically pedestrian safety improvements throughout the area, must be prioritized. Council Member Won has a fantastic bill, Intro 1138, that would provide

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

daylighting, and specifically hardened infrastructure

268

3 at intersections where there are the most points of

4 potential for conflict between drivers, folks

5 driving, walking, biking, scooting, rolling, as we're

6 talking about families. And that must be at the

7 | forefront of this plan, specifically having the

8 agencies, DDC, amongst others, put in the curb

9 extensions from the get-go, so we don't have to fight

10  $\parallel$  for intersection safety down the line.

And all neighbors moving into the neighborhood or living in the neighborhood presently have access to improved Traffic Safety.

And then finally, a connected waterfront.

Queens is beautiful, and the Queens waterfront is

amazing, but far too many neighbors do not have

access to Queensbridge Park and Gantry State Park, so
this plan must have a connected waterfront. (TIMER)

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much for your testimony. There being no questions, this panel is excused.

2.3

24

25

We will now transition to online testimony.

The first panel will consist of Daniel Taylor, Kenny

Greenberg, Anatole Ashraf, and Riley Owens.

2.2

The panel following will consist of Jenny
Dubnau, Pamela Herrera, Memo Salazar, and Mandana
Limbert.

We will hear from Daniel Taylor first.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

DANIEL TAYLOR: My name is Daniel Taylor. I'm a lifelong resident of the Queensbridge Houses. I've been living there for 69 years.

First, I would like to say, uh, I'm in favor of the OneLIC plan only because I don't want to throw the baby out with the bathwater. There are some things that are terribly wrong with the plan that I'm hoping can be worked out with the City Council and the city itself. Because we do need jobs, we do need schools, we do need infrastructure, and we do need open spaces.

I've been advocating for the open space under the Queensbridge, uh, Queensboro Bridge, for about a decade and a half. I've been trying to see why they took that park from us and trying to get it back.

That little half-acre of land that they are trying to call the baby park for renovation of the baby park is an insult to me because nothing in there has anything to do with the baby park. That entire strip of almost

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 270 a baseball -- I mean a football field was that park, 2 3 and it was a vital connection to the Queensbridge Houses where our parents took us to so we can grow up 4 and thrive in safety. I took offense to it when the guy said about tearing Queensbridge down. Because 6 7 Queensbridge, let me tell you, is one of the best places you can come to live. There are a lot of 8 people there and positivity that you're missing. And a bunch of them spoke today at that panel, the 10 11 residents of Queensbridge. It's a shame that, you know, NYCHA has failed us in a lot of ways, but, you 12 13 know, I'm hoping that with this plan that we can, you 14 know, benefit from it. I know we will if, in fact, it 15 goes through (TIMER), maybe not the way it's 16 designed, but the way that City Council Julie Won is trying to make it, uh, designed to do. Thank you. 17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much 19 Next, we are going to hear from Kenny 20 Greenberg. 21 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. KENNY GREENBERG: Hello, I'm Kenny Greenberg. I 2.2 2.3 am on the Queens CB2 Land Use, Small Business, and

Arts committees. I'm a business owner and homeowner

for over four decades.

24

2.3

CB2 volunteered hundreds of hours to arrive at carefully thought-out recommendations. LIC needs to be a mixed-use, affordable neighborhood where small businesses, makers, and industry can survive. The present plan does not guarantee this. We need affordable grocery stores, fresh food markets, and opportunities for small retail operations. The present plan does not guarantee this.

We need to avoid encouraging speculative development at the cost of existing businesses. New development should complement, not replace, Long Island City's industrial and creative communities. We call for a downzoning to the M1 and M2 districts with targeted bonuses that encourage affordable, creative, and maker spaces. This would promote economic diversity while retaining the area's unique mixed-use identity. We've seen more than one up-zoning where promised mitigation never happened. The Innovation Center achieved new zoning, but now the Community Benefits Agreement is in doubt. We need to see guaranteed allocations before shovels go into the ground.

Finally, climate change and sea level rise, the most significant threats to local business and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 272
the community, are barely addressed. We need
resiliency for the entire neighborhood, not just the

2.2

2.3

new buildings.

We urge the Council to set advanced conditions for approval as clearly expressed by Council Member Won and CB2. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Anatole Ashraf.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

ANATOLE ASHRAF: Thank you. Good afternoon.

Thank you, Chair Riley, good to see you again. And

Council Member Won.

My name is Anatole Ashraf. I'm the chair of Queens Community Board Two, which represents most of the OneLIC rezoning area. And thank you, CB2, for showing up. Proud of your teamwork.

I'm the first Bangladeshi Queens Community
Board chair in New York City history. I've lived in
this district since I was a teenager, and I was
homeless from 1999 to 2000, and I was as anti-Amazon
HQ2 as they come. I've seen what happens when the
City doesn't plan for working families with growth,
leaving people behind. I've also seen LIC change. And
now, as chair, I'm urging you to bake into this plan

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 273
the public guarantees our community asked for,

2.2

please.

Community Board Two voted 37 to 7 in favor of OneLIC with conditions, after, like Kenny said, hundreds of hours of deliberation—that none of us got paid for. So we work for (INAUDIBLE) 99. We want growth, but only growth that delivers real public benefits, deeply affordable housing, infrastructure, schools, parks, cultural space, and accountability.

Per the email testimony we received in June, before we took our CB2 vote, roughly 75% of testimony supported the plan, another 15% was mixed or conditionally supportive, and only 10% opposed fully. This council has the power and the responsibility to make this plan real. That means another summary, please. Homes at 40 to 60% AMI, not just a few units buried in luxury towers, public land for public good, schools, parks, 100% deeply affordable housing, infrastructure upfront, open space that meets our needs, like Daniel said, more than the tiny bit that promised, and real access to the waterfront, zoning with a purpose, scale bonuses to deliver community benefits, protect arts, makers, small businesses, the people who made LIC what it is, like Kenny and Ernie

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 274 Brooks I'm sure is somewhere, all the music people, 2 3 arts people, and above all binding accountability. We need a community oversight body and enforceable 4 timelines—and also, particularly, millennials and 6 Gen-Z in particular, (TIMER) need this if they're 7 going to stay and grow old in NYC... SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank 8 9 you. 10 ANATOLE ASHRAF: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ashraf. Next, we 12 will hear from Riley Owens. 13 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 14 RILEY OWENS: Hello, thank you. My name is 15 Riley Owens, and I'm the Chair of the Transportation 16 Committee of Community Board Two. 17 I'm here today because I am in favor of the 18 OneLIC Plan—with our Community Board's 19 recommendations. This plan works to meet the need for 20 new housing and job growth in an area that is well 21 served by mass transit and a proximity to Midtown 2.2 Manhattan. 2.3 Growth in Long Island City is inevitable, and 24 a holistic plan to properly address that growth is

important. Our concerns, addressed with our

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 275 recommendations, are about city infrastructure that is already overtaxed by the explosive growth of this neighborhood in the last two decades.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

While LIC benefits from multiple subway lines and bus routes, they are nearly always overburdened here, and train cars and subway stations become dangerously overcrowded. Mass transit must serve its customers with safety, comfort, accessibility, and dignity, especially if the city seeks to prevail in reducing automobile dependency. We were dismayed that the plan did not include any participation with the MTA to address the expansion of the area's subway stations, which the DIS states will not be able to safely accommodate peak hour traffic, nor did the plan address the expansion of Long Island Railroad service to a future Sunnyside station or, with the EDC, the expansion of New York City ferry service. Many of the problems of crowding on the subways can be fixed with frequent and reliable bus service, which would require a dedicated bus lane on the Queensboro Bridge and the Queens-Midtown Tunnel.

We seek a formalized transit coordination plan that addresses the current and future needs of this community. Likewise, we are asking for a long-term

Limbert.

industrial zones.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

According to the DEIS, the OneLIC rezoning 2 3 would lead to the net loss of almost 800,000 square feet of industrial space. Yet unbelievably, DEIS 4 predicts no major results in job losses. Industrial buildings house manufacturers, small startups, 6 streetcar vendors, auto repair shops, and a ton of working artists. These crucial sectors are dependent 8 on affordable space, and the outer borough industrial vacancy rate of approximately 8% is actually rather 10 11 low. In fact, in central Queens, it's only 4.9%. Western Queens Community Land Trust and Pratt 12 13 Institute's Made in NYC recently conducted a survey 14 of Queens-based manufacturers. The vast majority said 15 they are at risk of displacement, and on average, 16 they can afford only about \$20 a square foot 17 annually.

To be clear, the current industrial vacancy rate will be even lower if the rents were truly affordable. Rezoning manufacturing zones to mixed-use residential means the destruction of older, lower-rise industrial buildings with higher-rise residential towers. Many industrial tenants will be immediately displaced when their buildings are torn down, and others when their rents are raised.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Meanwhile, the DEIS states that more than 2.5 million square feet of office space will be added in LIC, via OneLIC, even though, according to Crain's New York, fully one-third of Class A high-end office space in LIC is vacant.

The rezoning should be modified to preserve industrial buildings, not incentivize their demolition, and no more unneeded, unaffordable office space should be added. It's hard to see how the current OneLIC plan supports the preservation of manufacturing and the arts, two important stated goals.

And finally, to help mitigate the manufacturing affordability and supply crisis that would be worsened by this rezoning, the City should ensure that the final RFP for the DOE building (TIMER) follows the vision of the Queensboro (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you... (CROSS-TALK)

JENNY DUBNAU: (INAUDIBLE) provides over 600,000 square feet of permanently affordable space.

Thank you very much. We can't support this plan unless the above issues are addressed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, I am going to call Rachel Brown.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

RACHEL BROWN: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead, Rachel.

RACHEL BROWN: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can.

RACHEL BROWN: I'm not sure why I don't have a camera, but that's okay. I can just go without.

Thank you for moving me up in the line. I'm also part of this kind of coalition of community groups in Long Island City and Western Queens in general. I focus on food, housing, and environmental justice. And I'm here to urge you to vote no on the OneLIC Plan unless it's changed substantially.

This process I've been involved in from the beginning, and it really is upsetting to hear it called extensive community engagement when it doesn't feel like that, when it feels like it's been rigged for for-profit development with no concern for

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 281 affordability and quality of life for those of us living and working here.

2.2

2.3

I've said it before, I'll say it again, that this is a plan that puts profit over people, and it's only going to benefit the rich and the richer.

The narrative that more housing will solve our housing crisis has been proven wrong. As Laura mentioned, it leads to displacement, it leads to higher rents. So MIH One and Three, like yes, we need MIH, but it's not good enough. We need lower AMIs and a higher percentage of those affordable units per new construction.

I implore you to use local AMIs, local AMIs, because the actual AMIs that we're using are not what people in the neighborhoods make. And then more than 20 to 30% of the new constructions need to be affordable. We need at least 50%. Like, what are we doing? We need to fund NYCHA. We need to build more public housing. We need more low-income housing. They talked about 38 shelters in the area. We need housing for those (TIMER) people. We need housing for people like me... (CROSS-TALK)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired... (CROSS-TALK)

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 282 2 RACHEL BROWN: I'm a working artist... (CROSS-3 TALK) SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. 4 RACHEL BROWN: Thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Brown. Thank 6 7 you for your testimony. And I just wanted to clarify, AMI is determined by the federal government, and we 8 definitely need them to help us out with that. But thank you for your concerns and everything you 10 11 stated. 12 Next, we are going to be hearing from Pamela 13 Herrera. 14 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 15 PAMELA HERRERA: Good afternoon, Chair Riley 16 and Council Member Won. My name is Pamela Herrera, 17 and I am the Land Justice Coordinator at the Western 18 Queens Community Land Trust. 19 The Western Queens CLT is not against 20 development. We are for equitable development. What we need is deep affordability, truly community-led 21 planning, and protections for permanent, deep 2.2 2.3 affordable housing. We need public investment in

services and infrastructure, not luxury towers that

none of us can access. This development will

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 283 constrain the working class further, displacing them from the roots they have set here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As a community org, we are here to support the marginalized communities that the City continues to neglect. We are working closely with businesses, community members, and I'm here to urge the committee to consider the harm this massive 54-block rezoning will cause.

Vote no on the rezoning, unless there is a prioritization of long-standing community-led plans for the public sites in a commitment to keeping all public sites out of private hands; a legally binding mechanism that funds deeply affordable housing far beyond the minimum required by law; a resilient waterfront public park connecting Queensbridge Houses with Anable Basin; specific funding for upgrading current infrastructure in schools; sewage lines, and transportation proportional to the anticipated increase in population; concrete financial investment in nearby NYCHA housing, the removal of unlimited height zoning, especially across the street from Queensbridge Houses; and stricter regulations on mixed-use zoning to prevent displacement of manufacturing spaces and jobs.

Thank you for listening and for your continued service to our community.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Memo Salazar.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MEMO SALAZAR: Hi, thank you-- Hi, my name is Memo Salazar. I am also with the Western Queensland Trust and with the big coalition of groups that are very concerned about OneLIC.

I want to be clear that everyone who testified today or at any of these public forums, other than the developers and landlords, of course, has been telling you the same thing—it's not about counting the yes and no votes. That's a distraction from reality. No one who lives in LIC is okay with the current OneLIC plan. We are all in favor of a true community plan that addresses our needs, and we're all voting no on the plan as it now stands, which is an embarrassing hundreds of pages with zero useful provisions for the community.

The community boards and the borough president have also said the same thing. Yes, for the plan—only if their conditions are met. The City's responses to Council Member Won's questions today

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 285 show that with only a few weeks left, they still don't have a solid plan, and that equals a no vote.

2.2

2.3

So here are a few of those conditions that they have set throughout the different-- the community boards, borough president, and councilperson votes before they can give you a yes:

First of all, District 26 Land Use principles from the council person's own Land Use office have said clearly that new developments should provide more income-restricted units at deeper affordability than required by MIH Option One. So that goes beyond MIH, which currently our plan does not. Rent should be affordable for the 53% of Community Board One and Two residents who earn less than \$75,000 a year and the 25% of households that make less than \$35,000.

Other things: Infrastructure sequencing plan to fix the issues left by past rezonings, including upgrades to sewers and transit. Public land must remain publicly owned and leased, not given away or sold. The EDC's RFP needs to reflect that policy. You need to downzone downward in key areas that encourage the use of bonuses for public benefits. You have to create a new public park, a minimum of two acres, by

MANDANA LIMBERT: (NO RESPONSE)

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 287
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Mandana Limbert, are you
3	there?
4	MANDANA LIMBERT: (NO RESPONSE)
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. We will move on to
6	the next panel, which consists of Christine Gardner,
7	Lior Brimberg, Dan Miller, Thomas Mituzas, and Morry
8	Galonoy. We will hear first from Christine Garner.
9	SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
10	CHRISTINE GARNER: (NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Christine Garner, are you
12	there?
13	CHRISTINE GARNER: (NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Christine Garner, are you
15	there?
16	Okay, Lior Brimberg?
17	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Lior, you may begin.
18	LIOR BRIMBERG: Yeah?
19	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead, Lior.
20	LIOR BRIMBERG: You can hear me?
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes.
22	LIOR BRIMBERG: Okay, yeah, you can see me,
23	thank you.
24	Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Lior
25	Brimberg. I am a proud mother of (INAUDIBLE), who is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

288

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

an 8th grader at Baccalaureate School for Global Education, BSGE, I'm here to testify on his behalf because that's a school day, or at least it was when we started today.

So I'm going to just say what he told me, what he asked me to say:

As a proud student at the Baccalaureate School for Global Education, BSGE in Queens, I'm here to ask city leaders to give our school a real home, including a new building for BSGE in the LIC development, in the OneLIC Development. So my name is (INAUDIBLE)—this is my son—I live in Bayside, Queens, and I choose to commute daily to BSGE in Astoria, which is about an hour away, one Long Island Railroad and two subways, and I am here to tell you why.

BSGE is the first public school in New York City to offer an International Baccalaureate program. This IB program is a highly challenging program, but we all meet the challenge together. This is a (INAUDIBLE) that starts in 7th grade and runs to 12th grade, and the acceptance is based upon grades, but also upon (INAUDIBLE) dynamic schools, leadership qualities, and this school has a very strong emphasis

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 289 2 on community involvement. This school represents and 3 reflects New York's diversity, many of us coming from immigrant families, and we represent all religions 4 (INAUDIBLE). Although we are one of the top schools in New York City and in New York State, we have no 6 7 gym and no auditorium; in fact, we call our cafeteria (INAUDIBLE) "cafegymatorium", I cannot say that, but 8 just basically saying that our cafeteria is our gym as well as the auditorium (TIMER) when we need it. 10 11 (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK) SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank 12 13 you. 14 LIOR BRIMBERG: especially for a high school in 15 New York. Without this space, it means for school-16 wide assemblies, no proper place for sports, no stage 17 for theater or concerts. We have a crammed 18 classrooms. Our entire school is squeezed into 19 (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK) SERGEANT AT ARMS: Ma'am, your time has 20 21 expired. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. 2.2 2.3 Brimberg. LIOR BRIMBERG: So, I am just going to add and 24

say that-- I do want to say that we do want LIC

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 290
2	(INAUDIBLE) is one in a generation chance to give
3	BSGE students that building that they never had. And
4	I also want to say that this kind of (INAUDIBLE)
5	Council Won has mentioned earlier thrived for growth
6	to come (sic).
7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we are
8	going to hear from Dan Miller.
9	SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.
10	DAN MILLER: (NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Dan Miller?
12	DAN MILLER: (INAUDIBLE)
13	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Dan Miller?
14	DAN MILLER: (INAUDIBLE)
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Dan? We hear you a little
16	bit, but I think you're breaking up.
17	DAN MILLER: (INAUDIBLE)
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: We will come back to you,
19	Dan. Next, we will hear from Thomas Mituzas
20	(CROSS-TALK)
21	DAN MILLER: Is this better?
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah, Dan?
23	DAN MILLER: Yes, I'm here.
24	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right, go ahead, Dan.

DAN MILLER: All right, so my name is Dan. I'm a market-rate renter and I live in Astoria, Queens, nearby. And I just want to speak in support of the plan, and I want to urge Council Member Won to really-- hope you can get to yes and get to a yes quickly. Housing delayed is such a huge problem.

We're in a giant shortage already, and waiting another year or a couple of years for just the right plan means more and more market-rate renters like me, facing increased pricing pressures and competition from people who won't have housing that would have been built in Long Island City if this plan passes.

It's important that we get it right, absolutely, but it's also important that we get it right quickly. The more years we have to delay, the greater the pressure gets, and market-rate renters like me are already facing a huge issue of just competition; there are too many people looking for too few apartments. We need to build more so that we can accommodate all the people who want to live in this incredible city. Letting this plan go forward is absolutely the right thing to do, and I hope that, you know, you'll negotiate and you'll make the best deal you can for the district. But I also hope that

recommendations to invest in Blissville-Investments

2 for stormwater management to combat the unprecedented

3 frequency of sewer backflows already experienced,

4 particularly on 37th Street and Greenpoint Avenue;

5 the creation of an inland park for the context area

of the plan to move Blissful from the 15% of New

7 Yorkers who are not within walking distance of a

8 park; and we seek investments to combat the pollution

9 | identified in the DEIS that will come to Blissful as

10 a result of the plan—A neighborhood who is already

11 experiencing issues with pollution.

Please vote in favor of a plan that includes investments for the Long Island City on "the other side of the tracks". We have an opportunity to end the tale of two Long Island cities. We are confident that Council Member Won will negotiate investments for all those living, working, and sheltering in the Blissville section of Long Island City. Thank you

very much.

19 very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Thomas. Next, we will hear from Morry Galonoy.

MORRY GALONOY: Exactly, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You're welcome.

MORRY GALONOY: Good afternoon, Chair Riley,
Council Member Won, and the Subcommittee Members. My

20

21

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

24

2 name is Morry Galony, and I serve as Chair of the

3 Small Business Committee for Queens Community Board

4 Two, and also a member of the Land Use and the

5 Transportation Committees. And I'm a small business

6 owner in the community.

2.2

2.3

I am grateful to Council Member Won for her leadership in prioritizing affordability in Long Island City and making it clear that she won't support the plan without it. Thank you, Council Member Won.

I want to express conditional support for the OneLIC Plan. While it presents real opportunities for growth, it must include the specific stipulations recommended by the Queens Community Board Two. There is serious concern that the proposed housing doesn't include enough deeply affordable or enough affordability for long-time residents, risking the displacement of families who've lived here for decades or generations.

As a small business owner, I'm also concerned about the future of small and micro businesses, especially immigrant and family-owned shops that are vital to the identity and economy of the entire city. These businesses make up 90% of the city's economy

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead.

CHRISTINE GARNER: Okay, I don't have the option for video, but I'll go ahead.

Good afternoon, my name is Christine Garner, and I am an Urban Design Associate at the Regional Plan Association. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan.

RPA has long supported and advocated for increased housing in central transit-rich areas such as Long Island City. This neighborhood is a vibrant part of New York City known for its excellent transportation options, diverse economy, and beautiful waterfront. However, many areas of Long Island City are currently hindered by outdated zoning regulations that restrict the construction of new multi-family buildings. Moreover, large sections of the waterfront remain closed or inaccessible to the public. At the same time, both the neighborhood and the city are in urgent need of new income, restricted affordable housing, as well as resilient multi-purpose open space infrastructure.

The OneLIC Neighborhood Plan has been a collaborative and comprehensive effort that will help address these challenges. We commend the Department of City Planning and Councilwoman Julie Won for their

dedication and commitment to this neighborhood
planning process. As proposed, the plan supports
developing residential areas at higher densities
along the waterfront and 44th Drive corridors, where
such uses are currently not allowed. With the
appropriate design and resiliency standards, the plan
will promote the redevelopment of underutilized
properties and create connected open spaces along the
East River and Anable Basin. To facilitate this, the
existing Waterfront Access Plan will be amended to
guide the development of up to six acres of high-

quality open space that links the waterfront with the

2.2

2.3

community.

As described in our recent Averting Crisis
Report, LIC faces the dual challenge of managing
significant climate risks while accommodating
substantial housing growth. To effectively tackle
this complexity, the proposed plan prioritizes
policies that integrate climate-resilient, multipurpose open space with mixed-use and affordable
housing development. The forward-looking land use and
zoning policies being considered today can shape a
more vibrant, resilient, and inclusive Long Island
City. As such, we recommend that the Subcommittee on

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 298
2	Zoning and Franchises adopt this measure. As always,
3	RPA (TIMER) is available to discuss concerns or offer
4	insights to help realize this (INAUDIBLE) (CROSS-
5	TALK)
6	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired
7	CHRISTINE GARNER: priorities
8	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you.
9	CHRISTINE GARNER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. This
11	panel is excused. The next panelist we will hear from
12	is will consist of Valentin Cannucci (phonetic),
13	Lisa Deller, Sarah Helden, Kristina Chaise, and
14	Joanna Levinger.
15	We will start first with Valentin Cannucci.
16	VALENTIN CANNUCCI: (NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Valentin, if you can hear
18	me, please unmute, and you may begin.
19	VALENTIN CANNUCCI: (NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Valentin, can you hear me?
21	VALENTIN CANNUCCI: (NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay
23	VALENTIN CANNUCCI: Yes
24	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Oh, go ahead.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2 VALENTIN CANNUCCI: My name is Valentin 3 Cannucci. I have lived in Long Island City for over 55 years. I am against the project. They have not 4 done any infrastructure in Long Island City for that 5 long. Right now, my house, for 18 months, since all 6 7 the backup of white and gray water, due to DEP-- I finally ended up spending close to \$25,000 to fix it 8 myself. But they have done nothing. Everybody wants new projects, everything could do something -- do 10 11 something first. They cannot even fix lousy dog park in Murray Park, which is probably 500 feet. Me and my 12 13 crew of two people, we could have cleaned that up in 14 two hours, and then put some rocks. But, anyway, I 15 have been through this whole back and forth through the Zoning Commission. I note that they have received 16 1,358 votes against the project, which they seemed 17 18 not to have acknowledged. But, because of Julie Won 19 and OneLIC, I think they are in collusion. They 20 pushed this project while people were at work or on vacation with their kids. And everything seems to be 21 One, One, One, and that's it. They have not let the 2.2 2.3 people in Long Island City to know (sic). I live in 11101. They didn't include us in the zoning. They 24 just decided -- when I asked the people from OneLIC 25

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 300 2 (TIMER), I was told, the next one (INAUDIBLE)... 3 (CROSS-TALK) SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank 4 5 you. VALENTIN CANNUCCI: Bye-bye. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Bye-bye, I'll see you later, thank you. Next, we will hear from Lisa 8 Deller. 10 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 11 LISA DELLER: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Riley and Council Member Won. My name is Lisa Deller, 12 I have been a CB2 member for over 20 years, and I 13 14 previously served as Land Use and Community Board 15 Chair. I've spent my entire career working to expand 16 and preserve affordable housing. 17 I'm here today to testify in regard to the 18 failure of the rezoning proposal to adequately

I'm here today to testify in regard to the failure of the rezoning proposal to adequately address the need for public park land and adequate social infrastructure. While the 40-foot waterfront access plan is welcome as a continuous waterfront connected walkway, it does not increase the accessible parkland for the rezoning. As others noted, the statistics for accessible parkland in this community are abysmal. (INAUDIBLE) CB2 is ranked 57-

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.3

As we've seen in the Court Square rezoning, failure to plan and incorporate significant parkland leaves families retroactively working to address mistakes of past planning. As the Hunters Point Conservancy testified, the existing parks are overutilized. The Long Island City Coalition and Hunters Point Community Coalition have noted that the existing open space is hidden behind small gains. The draft DIS claims the project has 4.3 acres of open space, but buries the fact that open space per resident drops by nearly 20%. This is way below the (INAUDIBLE) parkland of 2.0.

The existing public land presents the opportunity of a lifetime. This is our last chance to preserve the public land for public uses, including parkland, affordable housing, (TIMER) schools, and community facilities. The (INAUDIBLE)...

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

LISA DELLER: (INAUDIBLE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you.

LISA DELLER: Thank you very much.

housing, flood-resilient public parks, and truly

affordable spaces for the arts, small businesses,

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.3

manufacturing, and community organizations, the

OneLIC Plan, as it currently stands, protects none of
these sites from being handed to private developers.

And CB2's recommendations they state that public
lands must be retained in public ownership and
(INAUDIBLE) not (INAUDIBLE) to ensure long-term
accountability and community benefits.

Time and again, we have seen valuable public lands being sold to private developers, and this is an opportunity to improve on past mistakes and give residents and community groups agency in determining the use of these spaces based on the unique needs of the people who have lived and worked in this area for years.

When Amazon tried to take over, it was the individuals and community groups in the area that led efforts against that acquisition. This rezoning cannot reward such efforts with more speculation and private development. Public sites should remain in public hands, and residents of the neighborhood should be able to determine the use of these areas based on community-driven planning.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I urge the Council not to approve the rezoning plan while there is no guarantee that these public sites will be exempt from upzoning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Christina Chaise. Christina, if you can hear me, please unmute, and you may begin.

CHRISTINA CHAISE: Good afternoon. I'm Christina Chaise, born and raised in Queens, and grew up in Queensbridge in Ravenswood. I'm now Second Vice President of Ravenswood Resident Association, a member of Justice for All Coalition, and a board member of Queens Community Board One, and on the Land Use Committee.

But I'm not here on behalf of the Community Board. I'm here on behalf of residents of Ravenswood and Queensbridge and longstanding LIC residents in general who are working and cannot be here today to convey their dissent—exhausted from broken promises and outright lies.

We've collected hundreds of signatures opposing this plan, primarily from NYCHA residents, and we will keep getting more. We ask that our elected officials vote no on this plan because it is criminal. The EIS in this proposal did not include

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Queensbridge Houses and Ravenswood Houses, omitting about 15,000 predominantly Black and brown, poor working-class and middle-class residents, with larger proportions of elderly, children, and disabled residents—even though we will be directly impacted their lives matter. It is criminal to invest all around Queensbridge and not in Queensbridge. This plan does not include a penny for Queensbridge or Ravenswood, which is less than four blocks north of the rezoning site.

It is criminal to propose unlimited-height luxury towers that will literally leave Queensbridge in the shadows and destroy access to air and light, and subject us to years of major construction hazards in what is already known as "asthma alley". We don't need or want 700+ story luxury towers with sprinkles of affordable housing that our people cannot access or afford. As a day-one participant in this study, I can tell you that our voices are not reflected in this plan. We asked for green space, community space, and investments in public housing. LIC is already an enclave for the wealthy; it does not need more luxury skyscrapers. MIH is 70 to 80% luxury housing. It is not affordable housing. I urge my Council Member

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 Julie Won, and all council reps to vote no, because 3 it's planning spends \$0.00 for NYCHA residents. A Community Center means nothing if my home is falling 4 apart and my plumbing isn't working. We need a full, comprehensive modernization of Queensbridge and 6 7 Ravenswood, not bread crumbs—According to NYCHA's 8 2023 Physical Needs Assessment, that would be \$1.7 billion for Queensbridge (TIMER) and \$1.2 billion for 10 Ravenswood. This must be part of the condition... 11 (CROSS-TALK) 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.. (CROSS-TALK)

306

SARAH HELDEN: If the City cannot procure this funding for Queensbridge and Ravenswood, then this plan should be jettisoned altogether. NYCHA is affordable housing, and we warrant the deep investments. Thank you. Vote no.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Christina. Next, we will hear from Joanna Levinger.

JOANNA LEVINGER: Hi, my name is Joanna Levinger, and I have lived in Long Island City for 12 years. This plan will affect me on a deeply personal level, as I live on the borderline of 44th Drive, where three of the four of the windows in my

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 307 apartment will be blocked by the height of these new proposed buildings in my corridor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I am always deeply shocked to hear neighborhood landlords and tenants voice their support for the plan, citing that it would bring other neighborhood rents down, as this has not been my or many of my neighbors' experiences at all.

I live in a building that is mixed-use, market-rate--Excuse me, it's mixed market-rate and rent-stabilized tenants. It was built in 1917, and prior to living in my rent-stabilized apartment, which is the only reason I can afford to live in the neighborhood, from 2013 to 2021, I lived in a marketrate apartment that was relatively affordable in the building. But after the overhaul of the LIC Neighborhood that we saw from 2013 to 2021, our building was purchased by a private equity real estate firm, and the market rate apartments in my tiny pre-war building suddenly went from a onebedroom at \$1,800 a month in 2019 to today, the same apartment is listed for over \$3,400 a month—a relative steal when looking at the other market rate one bedrooms down the street going for \$4,200 a month or \$5,100 a month for one-bedroom apartments.

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

JOANNA LEVINGER: and shade, and that did not

24 happen. Thank you.

While I am not against development or rezoning, I am against any plans that serve only corporate greed-- corporate real estate greed and not the needs of the existing and future Long Island City community, which is why I urge you to vote no for the plan in its current state.

This plan lacks the guarantee of a peoplefirst approach we were hoping for, with a guarantee
of truly affordable housing, expansive green space
with tree cover, and neighborhood infrastructure
improvements. Instead, we are offered monstrous high
rate rises which contribute to a terrible heat
effect, where it becomes so dangerous to walk
around—and I have a dog—because she could burn her
paws.

I have lived in the neighborhood since before the redevelopment of Court Square and the Queensboro Plaza areas (TIMER), and I was deeply hopeful that the redevelopment would include public space (INAUDIBLE)...

very proud of our colleagues' work and efforts, and I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 fully support our 37 to 7 yes vote, together with

3 | carefully thought out conditions.

I would especially resonate with the call for upgrades to public transit and street calming. With this plan, we will see our population increase, and in addition to infrastructure upgrades, we need to offer accessible public transit, which is so plentiful and efficient that fewer people will want to have their own vehicle. We need to create parks, as people have been saying, instead of parking, and say yes to permanently affordable housing, which is what this plan will do.

Finally, over the years, I have learned that plans and promises need to be monitored and reviewed independently. I would propose that a task force of (TIMER) CB2 and CB1...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

ROSAMOND GIANUTSOS: should be involved throughout the evolution and implementation of the OneLIC Plan. Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Next, we will hear from Sarah Dole.

\_

2.3

SARAH DOLE: Good afternoon. It's been a very long day, so I'll do my best to keep this brief. But I would like to express my support for the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan.

LIC is an amazing neighborhood, and it seems to be getting more dynamic by the minute. It's got excellent transit options, the arts and culture scene is amazing, and those waterfront views are beautiful. So to me, it totally makes sense as to why current residents want to stay and new people want to move here.

However, when you walk through the areas that are proposed for rezoning, that dynamism is totally lost. These sites sit in some of the most well-connected, high-opportunity parts of the neighborhood, yet their potential is completely wasted on empty lots and underutilized warehouses.

By transforming these underutilized spaces into homes, OneLIC is directly responding to the urgent need for more housing in New York. Like we've heard over and over today, more than half of New Yorkers are rent-burdened, and even market-rate renters are struggling with the constantly rising costs. To meet this crisis, we need to build both

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 affordable homes and market-rate homes. For this

3 reason, I'm asking you to please vote yes on the

4 OneLIC Neighborhood Plan. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Christian Tirado.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin, Christian.

CHRISTIAN TIRADO: Good afternoon, everyone.

Thank you, Chairman Riley, Council Member Won, for giving me the opportunity to testify today.

I am Chris Tirdao, a Queens Resident and a proud parent of a student attending the Baccalaureate School of Global Education. I am also a member of BSGE's Parent Teacher Association, representing parents, teachers, and students who strive every day to ensure that our school has the resources it needs to succeed.

And I'm here today, I'm representing BSGE in support of the OneLIC Plan—with the caveat that it should have and ensure that there's education infrastructure included in the plan.

BSGE is a prime example of a school that urgently needs and deserves to be part of this vision. Just a bit on BSGE, it remains the only public school where every student completes a full IB

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 313 diploma. We provide rigorous, inclusive academics. And yet, despite this, the school faces conditions that no (INAUDIBLE) high school in New York City should endure. We have limited classroom space and resources. And I know that the School Construction Authority was not here today, but we really need the support to have schools like BSGE expand, and we stand in support of this plan if they're committed to do so.

The OneLIC development presents a once-in-ageneration opportunity to correct this inequity. A modern, purpose-built facility for BSGE would allow the school to expand enrollment, give schools proper athletic and arts spaces, and ensure that classrooms are equipped with 21st-century learning.

I respectfully urge you to include a new BSGE building as part (TIMER) of the OneLIC Project. Thank you...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

CHRISTIAN TIRADO: And your commitment to education equity in our city.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will

hear from Ping Yeung(phonetic). Ping, if you can hear me, please unmute, then you may begin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You begin.

PING YEUNG: (NO RESPONSE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Ping, if you can...

PING YEUNG: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead.

PING YEUNG: Yes. Okay. Hi, my name is Ping Yeung, and I am a resident of Long Island City. I live in Court Square. I am raising three kids with my husband in the neighborhood, and I am speaking here in support of the OneLIC Plan. And I urge a yes vote on OneLIC.

The area that's proposed to be developed is within a few minutes walk from my apartment, and I look at it every day from my window. As a resident of the neighborhood, I want to see this area redeveloped for the benefit of myself, my children, and the entire community. We see ourselves living in this area for many years to come, for my children to go through middle school and high school in the neighborhood, and I would hate to see this

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 315 neighborhood still looking exactly the way it does by the time they get to high school.

2.2

2.3

The area, as it currently is, is an underutilized area that feels vacant and abandoned. I don't feel comfortable walking around there with my kids.

While I hear all the different ideas and all the different objections that people have to the current plan, I think that there's a need to be realistic. There's never going to be a plan that's perfect. There's never going to be a plan that will make every single person happy, and more importantly, be financially workable.

The plan that is in front of us has been discussed by many different stakeholders. A lot of things have been taken into consideration, and I really think it's time the community makes a move and does something.

Please vote yes. I really hope that we can change this area, and that by the time my children get to high school, it won't still be looking the way it does right now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Yarianna Céspedes.

2 YARIANNA CÉSPEDES: Hello, can you hear me?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yes, we can hear you.

2.2

2.3

YARIANNA CÉSPEDES: Okay, I never did this before, but I am going to try my best.

Okay. Hello, and thank you for having me. My name is Yarianna Céspedes, I live in Queensbridge

Housing, and I believe that OneLIC has the benefit to change and improve the quality of life. However, I cannot fully and authentically support it if there is not more information about how Queensbridge Housing will be funded and in detail, concrete detail, and prove that they will hold NYCHA accountable in giving more support in our community.

Not only should there be more affordable housing, but we should also support the housing public system that is there, so that everyone can grow and prosper together. And my main concern is just making sure that the 4,300 rent-stabilized housing, uh, apartments, if there's a way to prioritize born and raised New Yorkers, because what tends to happen is that a lot of people who are out of state or not even born in the country tend to get those luxury-- not luxury, but affordable housing instead of the actual born in this city. So I think

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 317 2 it would be a disservice not to prioritize actual 3 people who live here and have lived here for years-4 and yeah, that's it. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. 6 YARIANNA CÉSPEDES: Hello? Oh, okay... 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, are you complete? 8 YARIANNA CÉSPEDES: Well, have a good 9 (INAUDIBLE). Yeah, have a good, uh, afternoon, 10 everyone. 11 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, thank you for your 12 testimony. This panel is excused. Next, we will call 13 on David Gordon, Leo Guttman, Nick Long, and Adam 14 Ganser. 15 We will first start with David Gordon. 16 DAVID GORDON: Hi, thank you so much for 17 allowing me to testify and for holding this hearing 18 today. I just want to testify in favor of the OneLIC 19 rezoning plan. I think that this is an incredible 20 opportunity for my neighborhood. I am a resident of 21 the Court Square area, directly adjacent to where the 2.2 project area would be for OneLIC. 2.3 I'm a renter here. I came to Long Island City because it was more affordable than Manhattan while 24

also being an incredibly vibrant and exciting

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 community for me. But I do know that, myself, my

3 roommates, other renters in the area, without

4 additional housing being built and the ability to

5 rent and have affordable housing opportunities in

6 this area, they may be even priced out of Long Island

7 City itself.

I know that there have been a lot of comments today about the different types of red lines and the different demands that everyone has for this rezoning, and all of them, I think, are great ideas. But like my Court Square neighbor said previously, I don't want "perfect" to be the enemy of the good here. This is an incredible rezoning opportunity to build lots of new housing. In fact, to be completely honest, I think some of the proposed areas could go

even further for even more new housing.

I do want to quickly address that—— I know so many people have brought up green space. I'm a relatively new resident of the Long Island City area, and as a runner, I have loved using the Gantry Plaza area to go on runs since I moved here. And this rezoning plan would give us the opportunity to potentially connect that area all the way up to Queensboro Park, and I think that that would just be

a great idea. And I know so many people have thrown out different numbers as to what the green spaces are, but I think that's one type of green space that this rezoning plan already offers us, and would be an

incredible opportunity with it.

2.2

2.3

So, more green space, more new housing. This is a great plan, and I really encourage Councilwoman Won and the rest of the Council to approve this plan. Housing delayed, is housing denied (TIMER), and we need more housing here. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Leo Guttman.

LEO GUTTMAN: Hello, I am Leo Guttman. I live in Court Square. And I would like to thank Council Member Won for leading on this. And I am here to speak in favor of OneLIC.

The first meeting I went to for this was nearly two years ago. I'm pretty excited to get it over the line, and I really hope we get to a yes. This will provide a lot for the neighborhood that we need. Even if all it provided was the housing, I think that's one of the most urgent issues facing the city. It'll create a lot of new housing in all different affordability level, and will allow people

Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 320 to stay in the neighborhood for a long time—and move

to the neighborhood if they're not here now—or for people to be able to move out of housing situations that they don't like into situation they like more

and, you know, into nice new housing.

Fortunately, that's not all the plan provides.

Long Island City Neighborhood Plan allows us to comprehensively, you know, consider all other kinds of factors like open space, creating effective greenways, allowing for more school seats, and updating infrastructure. And I'm glad we're considering all that and that, you know, I hope that some of what the Council and the community board have been pushing for will make it into the final plan. But ultimately, I think we really need to get to a yes. And I'm excited to see how the neighborhood develops as we move forward, because it is great

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Nick Leung. Sorry if I mispronounced your name, Nick.

today, and I think this will make it even better.

NICK LEUNG: Thank you. Good afternoon, I'd like to thank everyone for the opportunity to testify today.

I'm calling as a resident of Long Island City.

I live in Queens Plaza, which is just outside the

OneLIC Plan. And I strongly urge the Committee and

Council to vote yes on the OneLIC Plan.

As a resident, there are so many great parts of Long Island City, and I've seen so many benefits of the rezoning over the past few decades. There's amazing food and a very lively art scene, and I know these are things that me my roommate and I have really come to enjoy.

But, you know, despite all the things that I love about Long Island City, when I look towards the next year, when my lease expires in January, you know, I'm thinking there's a real possibility that, you know, I won't be able to stay here due to the, you know, increasing unaffordability of housing.

That's why I'm in strong support of the OneLIC Plan.

This is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to provide really everyone an opportunity to enjoy Long Island City, and even enhance more of Long Island City. When walking through here, you see that there's a lot of

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

322 water that could be transformed into a beautiful waterfront. There are plenty of empty warehouses, as I walk through the grocery store, so I support this plan because it seems like such a great opportunity to rezone Long Island City and make it even better than it is now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Adam Ganser.

ADAM GANSER: Hi, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you, Adam. Go ahead.

ADAM GANSER: Great. My name is Adam Ganser, and I am the Executive Director of New Yorkers for Parks. We are a fully independent, not-for-profit organization that focuses our advocacy and research solely on New York City's parks and open spaces. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

The proposed rezoning of Long Island City is comprehensive and transformative. Based on our research, Queens Community Board Two, in which the majority of this rezoning is sited, is ranked nearly last among all 59 community boards in the amount of parkland available and in tree canopy.

New Yorkers deserve vibrant, accessible public spaces, and broad zoning changes like the one proposed offer unique, once-in-a-lifetime opportunities to provide those spaces.

In Long Island City, from the East River to

Court Square, there are 8.5 acres of underutilized

city land underneath and around the Queensboro

Bridge. This land was once home to Queensbridge Baby

Park and a recreational area with swing slides,

basketball courts, sprinklers, and open space for

families and children.

We urge City, New York City Parks Department, and the Department of Transportation to convert these 15 owned lots under and around the Queensboro Bridge to high-quality open space for the Long Island City and Queensbridge residents—to restore the full Queensbridge Baby Park and the waterfront site next to the Queensbridge Park for public use as it was originally intended and generally to increase open space in Long Island City by creating new parts, playgrounds, plazas, and community spaces under the Queensboro Bridge and the ramps.

We are going to begin with Ms. Sabreen Rahman.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin, Sabreen.

SABREEN RAHMAN: Yes, okay, thank you.

25

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

I'm not very sure if the camera is on. Thank you, Chair Riley and Councilwoman Won, for giving me the opportunity. Are you able to hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes. You're good. Go ahead.

SABREEN RAHMAN: Oh, thank you so much. I am Sabreen Rahman. I'm a resident of Sunnyside with strong ties in Long Island City. I have family and friends, and I happen to be a social work provider as well, so I know the growing community in that area.

So Long Island City is an area where the Banqladeshi Muslim community is growing very fast. And this community does not have any mosques, any place to pray. So we often see that people from Long Island City come to the mosque in Sunnyside, Woodside, or Astoria, and they're always coming to this site to these areas, to this mosque. And what happens is, because of all the men coming here, the women hardly have any space in this mosque to go and pray.

I would request Councilwoman Won to consider planning for space for a mosque in Long Island City, for the Muslim community, specifically the Muslim Bangladeshi community, so that they can have a space SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 326
to go to, you know, to go for prayers, as well as to
meet up for cultural events.

2.2

2.3

At the same time, I would suggest, if possible, at the same time, to establish the Muslim Community Center by the mosque (TIMER) so that the women also have a space where they can meet...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

SABREEN RAHMAN: Okay, thank you so much for allowing me to give my view. A mosque for the Bangladeshi community in Long Island City, please. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Sabreen.

Next, we are going to hear from Salma. Salma, if you can hear me, please unmute.

SALMA HAQUE: If I can hear you.

Thank you, Council Member Riley and Council Member Julie Won, for this opportunity to speak.

So my name is Salma Haque, and I'm here on behalf of BHALO, a nonprofit organization serving BIPOC individuals and families in NYC. We're here in support of the OneLIC Neighborhood Plan, but with conditions to ensure immigrant families are included in the future.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 So our mission is to strengthen neighborhoods 3 through advocacy, resources, and community building. And Long Island City is a home to a rapidly growing 4 immigrant population, including Bangladeshi, South Asian, and Muslim families. Many are long-time 6 residents, while others are new arrivals. Yet Long Island City still lacks a dedicated cultural and 8 religious space that reflects and supports these families, like my predecessor, Ms. Sabreen Rahman, 10 11 said. We respectfully request that the neighborhood plan include investment (BACKGROUND NOISE) in a 12 13 cultural and religious space in Long Island City. 14 Such a space would provide (BACKGROUND NOISE) 15 (INAUDIBLE) for Muslim residents, serve as a 16 gathering place for cultural events and interfaith 17 dialogue, and offer programming that connects 18 seniors, youth, and new immigrants. Without this 19 commitment, the plan risks leaving behind communities 20 that are already underrepresented.

On behalf of BHALO and the families we serve,

I thank you for your leadership and urge you to make
the creation of a culture and religious base a

condition for building a stronger and more inclusive
Long Island City. Thank you.

mean, I don't know why he felt that way, but I mean,

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

2.3

24

TALK)

DEBORAH DIGGS: I heard some people say that they don't even have percentages. They don't know what they want to do yet. So, wait.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Diggs.

Next, we will hear from Maddie DeCerbo.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MADDIE DECERBO: Oh, sorry, if you can't see anything, the camera's in a weird spot.

But hi, my name is MADDIE Decerbo, and I'm testifying on behalf of the Real Estate Board of New York. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of the One LIC Neighborhood Plan.

REBNY strongly supports the OneLIC

Neighborhood rezoning, a critical step towards

addressing the housing crisis and spurring necessary

housing production. New York City's residential

vacancy rate is an all-time low of 1.4%, and in

Queens the rate is even lower at just .88%.

Long Island City is one of the fastest growing neighborhoods, and demand for housing continues to outpace supply, making plans like OneLIC urgently needed to meet the current and future needs of Queens residents.

The rezoning paves the way for up to 14,000

new homes, including 3,500 affordable units through

MIH. It incorporates new tools advanced through City

of Yes, such as the Active Recreation Bonus, which

will help facilitate housing development while

creating publicly accessible open spaces for

improvements become a reality.

residents and improving upon the public realm.

2.2

2.3

Importantly, the plan will create a continuous waterfront in Long Island City. This long overdue connection will link the shoreline to Court Square, enhancing neighborhood cohesion and improving pedestrian access. We encourage the establishment of long-term governance mechanisms to ensure that these

Success on the housing supply front will be measured by the ability to meet the projected housing yield. This will require alignment between the MIH requirements with the new 45-x tax incentive. It will be critical to maintain the flexibility of multiple MIH options so projects can remain financially feasible while delivering the intended level of affordability.

The OneLIC Plan is a thoughtful and balanced proposal that addresses our city's urgent housing

I'm here to express strong support for OneLIC. This

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

plan can deliver more housing, safer waterfront access, better transit, stronger infrastructure, and quality local jobs.

For decades, restrictive zoning and chronic underbuilding across New York City have driven up rents and forced working families, immigrants, and longtime residents into overcrowding, displacement, and homelessness. OneLIC's thoughtful upzoning and development can help reverse that trend. It means more public parks, more affordable housing, safer streets, more school seats, and a stronger economy. Most importantly, it means ensuring that Long Island City, in particular, and New York City in general, remain places where families can thrive rather than face being pushed out. We also have to consider the bigger picture. For 70 years, New York City's population has been stuck at around 8 million. That's not because we've run out of space. We can always build taller, and the city itself actually owns enough undeveloped land to house hundreds of thousands of new New Yorkers. Instead, we've trapped ourselves in restrictive zoning rules and layers of red tape. While community voices must be heard and are important, the current process makes it nearly

Brian, go ahead.

2 BRIAN FERREIRA: I am a longtime resident of 3 Queens, and I currently live in Sunnyside. I have lived in Long Island City for about eight to 10 years 4 in the 2010s. And when I was there, it always struck me how great a neighborhood it was with its proximity 6 to the city and amenities, but how underutilized it was. So I was glad when the Court Square rezoning 8 occurred. And I'm a strong supporter of this OneLIC Plan, which I think is a balanced and comprehensive 10 11 plan.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think a perfect plan will never exist. There will always be competing interests. But New York has to move forward. We need more housing. It's a desperate need that is crippling the city at this point. And I strongly support it and urge the Council Members to support it. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Next, we will hear from Nellie Afshar.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

NELLIE AFSHAR: Thank you to the City Council,
Department of City Planning, and City agencies.

My name is Nellie Afshar, and my family and I have proudly called Long Island City home for over a decade. I'm not just a resident, I'm raising my

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

family here and deeply committed to the diverse community that makes this corner of Queens so special. I also serve as a Second Vice Chair of Queen CB2, where we carefully reviewed, assessed, and proudly voted in support of the OneLIC Plan with conditions. These conditions were designed to ensure that the growth of LIC happens with public benefit at its core, and its people, not profit, are driving the vision.

Today, I want to emphasize that OneLIC is not just about building an infrastructure. It is about people, public health, and quality of life. Every new tower adds pressure to overcrowded classrooms, parks, sewers, and subways. This time, we need a binding infrastructure plan—schools, healthcare, parks, transit, including bike lanes, delivered with development, not years later.

Open space is a public health necessity. It supports physical and mental health, builds community, and ensures equity. Court Square specifically, urgently needs a park, more trees for shade, and a public pool to fight extreme heat and flooding.

We must also ensure that the construction itself does not harm public health. LIC has a legacy of industrial land, and we need thorough monitoring of air, water, and soil during construction.

In closing, this rezoning is a once-in-a-generation opportunity. Growth must come with public good, affordable housing, infrastructure, parks, culture, jobs, and a healthy environment so that LIC remains livable, equitable, and inclusive for generations to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Next, we will hear from Michael Zoorob.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MICHAEL ZOOROB: Thank you. Thank you, Council Members. Thank you, Council Member Won, in particular, for your leadership on this process over the last several years.

I'm a resident of Sunnyside and a member of Community Board Two. Like the Board, I'm excited to be here to express my support for the OneLIC Rezoning Plan, and I'm speaking in my individual capacity.

We on Community Board Two have just done a survey of community district needs as part of that process. And one thing that people say again and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 338 again, more than any other issue, is that the top pressing issue in our district is affordable housing. And that's the lens through which I see OneLIC.

I looked at how much affordable housing has been built in our district since 2014. And we're not a district that's slouching. We're one of the more-the district's building a lot more than other places. But it's only like 1,300 or so new affordable housing units constructed in our district since 2014.

This plan would produce more than double that number by itself in just one small corner of our community district. And that's really exciting to me.

I also love the mixed-income aspect of it, that these affordable units, which, if the Board adopts the MIH options that the Community Board recommended, would be deeply affordable, and would be in buildings integrated with market-rate units. So we'll have a truly mixed-income community with thousands of low and moderate-income residents joining our neighborhood.

I think that's a really exciting thing to achieve for the Council, and I hope that you can get to a yes that achieves that goal while also bringing investments that we need in our district. Thank you.

of citizens of other large-scale cities who can

2 afford renting. Again, emphasis on renting and not

3 buying, decent quality apartments at reasonable rates

4 like Tokyo and Seoul. And truthfully, while I hear

5 | some concerns from the opposing side, I also don't

6 really know if we're talking about the same

7 neighborhood times, because the area that, you know,

8 | that we're trying to preserve, somehow it's got

9 (INAUDIBLE) of the vibrancy and true urban beauty

10 | that the part of Long Island City just across the

11 (INAUDIBLE) train tracks have. And whenever I walk

12 | from Court Square to the waterfront, I have to cross

13 | through a giant underutilized space that feels both

14 sterile and unsafe at night. And not to mention that

15 most of that space is sitting vacant. And yes, in a

16 city that had a historically low rent vacancy of 1.4%

17 back in 2023.

And truthfully, New York City is that one place that people are going to want to move to, regardless of whether we build or not. So I urge that we stop using New York City exceptionalism as an excuse. And I want to strong encourage the Council to

23 vote yes for OneLIC. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Émilia.

25

24

18

19

20

21

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 341
SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

ÉMILIA DECAUDIN: Hi there, my name is Émilia Decaudin. I'm a resident of Long Island City, and I'm speaking in support of the OneLIC Plan.

A previous person who testified said that they don't know anyone in Long Island City who supports that plan, and I will happily give myself and a few other friends who are on this call a shout-out, because we do.

I'm looking through my window right now, and
I'm privileged to have a view of a decent portion of
Long Island City, and a big part of that view is the
(INAUDIBLE) area for the OneLIC Plan. And when I see
out my window, when I look in that area, there are
warehouses, dangerous streets with trucks, and an
area that is right next to the most vibrant part of
New York City, not Manhattan, (INAUDIBLE)
Queensborough Plaza, that could house thousands and
thousands of people who deserve homes, who deserve to
live in a neighborhood as exciting, as welcoming, and
as beautiful as ours. But it is currently, you know,
completely underutilized.

I think that we have a real opportunity to build a significant amount of housing, and affordable

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

expired.

2 housing, open space, and infrastructure improvements

3 on this site. And I think that now is the right time

4 | to do it. I'm grateful for Council Member Julie Won's

5 | work in engaging the community on this plan, on

6 getting feedback, and on making demands to make sure

7 that this is the best plan we could get. And I would

8 urge the Council Member, and the Council at large, to

9 | find the path to yes, and make sure that this plan

10 gets through the finish line when it's voted on later

11 | this month. This is the right time to do it.

As another friend of mine on here said, housing delayed is housing denied. There are so many people who either could live in this neighborhood or who otherwise will have to compete with people who could live in the OneLIC Plan, because that housing hasn't been built—a year away, two years late, 10 years late. We've had a number of previous plans for the site, a lot of them were imperfect (TIMER), and I

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time has

think that this is a really good one, and I

(INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Next, we will hear from Mark Follo.

MARK FOLLO: Hey everyone, thanks for the chance to speak. My name is Mark Follo, I'm a resident of Astoria, a proud military veteran of the US Navy, and I support this plan.

I'm also about to be married to my fiancé, who's a special education teacher at IS 10, an NYC DOE middle school here in Astoria. We'd really like to start a family, have kids, and move into a larger space, but since there are so few housing options available, we feel stuck in our one-bedroom apartment. Many people directly in our friend group also wanted to stay in New York, but had to leave once they had kids. They moved to places like Texas, North Carolina, and Florida because they couldn't find a place for their family here. In fact, the NYC Comptroller's Office has found that families with young children are leaving New York at higher rates than other residents. We don't want to have to make that same choice. That's why I urge the City Council to support the plan to redevelop this underutilized area, and allow for even higher density where appropriate.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

The proposal is consistent with the existing buildings around Queensboro Plaza and takes advantage of the excellent transit accessibility in this area by creating more housing in LIC. This plan will help ensure that growing families like ours can stay and thrive in New York. So I respectfully ask you to vote in favor of this plan. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

Next, we will hear from Ciaran Gallagher.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

Support of the plan. I almost missed testifying today, because I was going around this neighborhood in Astoria looking for an apartment with my pregnant wife. We need more space. And even if you're in a stabilized apartment right now or own, everyone's family changes. Relatives get sick and need to be moved in. Families grow. So everyone is subject to the need to look for market-rate housing in this neighborhood at some point in their life.

And so we desperately need more of that because the prices are extortionate. We're unsure if we're going to be able to stay. I've been a resident near Long Island City for almost 10 years. I love the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

345

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

culture and the communities here. But I may have to leave. That's the reality. My wife is a Bangladeshi immigrant, you know, of Muslim origin. We don't want to have to move to Florida or Texas. We're scared of what's happening there and the attitudes that are happening better. And New York has the potential to be a refuge. But we can't be a refuge if we put conditions on housing here, that every other possible problem and every other possible request has to be solved before we can build housing. My red line is more housing. It is a place to keep my family. I will figure out the congestion and any other problems after that. First, let's get the housing that will bring the tax revenue that will allow us to solve any

So please, as you search for a yes, make sure that housing is the first priority. I know it's a priority for me and my family, and I think that's true of the overwhelming number of residents in Long Island City and in Queens. Thank you very much.

problems we have with our services.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. There being no more questions for this panel, this panel is excused.

2.3

**~** 4

The last panel I have here will consist of Lindsay McCormack, Tyler Baldwin, Vishnu Reddy, Jasper Wu, and Salil Khanna.

I will say again, for anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

And if you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to land use landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

We'll begin with Lindsey McCormack.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

LINDSEY MCCORMACK: Hi, good afternoon. I'm calling in to voice my strong support for the OneLIC Plan. I live next door in Jackson Heights, and I strongly believe that building more housing in Long Island City and the associated amenities is really going to directly and positively affect the quality of life for the whole region, not just the Community Board.

As a parent of two, I want to highlight that

Long Island City is a great place for kids. It can be

even better with more housing, more investments. The

a great place to raise children.

2.2

2.3

I would just note in ending, that the reason my partner and I have been able to raise our kids in New York City is that we have a rent-stabilized apartment. It was built by private developers almost 100 years ago. I think we want to give more people the opportunity to live here. And, for that, we need permanently affordable and market-rate housing. So thank you so much for your time and for listening to our voices.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Tyler Baldwin? SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

TYLER BALDWIN: Hello, I strongly support the OneLIC Plan. The OneLIC Plan will really help create economic development within the city, as well as provide affordable housing throughout the city.

I live out in Astoria. Astoria is known for its housing and businesses as well as restaurants and other economic, you know, activities.

I support the OneLIC Plan because it will support the economic opportunities in Astoria and

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

348 other parts of Queens as well—from people buying things in Astoria and then bringing them back to LIC, even through DoorDash, Uber Eats, etc.

The housing plan in the city-- Housing needs to be built at the end of the day. The OneLIC Plan is a very thoughtful plan that will increase schools, infrastructure, and green space, and without this, we risk not having enough schools and economic opportunity, and other benefits that the OneLIC proposal has. I fully support the proposal, and I hope you pass it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much. Next, we have Vishnu Reddy.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

VISHNU REDDY: I am Vishnu Reddy from Sunnyside, and I strongly support OneLIC.

When I walk just a few blocks from Court Square, I notice that the area becomes a lot less inviting. It feels like there's a lot of missing potential there. I'm excited for OneLIC to change that so we can have more of what makes LIC great. And I'm especially excited to have more neighbors of all income levels, since this plan has many times more

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 349
subsidized affordable housing than we've ever seen in
LIC before.

We have a terrible housing shortage, and I

2.2

2.3

want us to be a part of the solution instead of the problem. This process has gone on for years and has thoughtfully included the public's input. And I want to thank my Council Member, Julie Won, and DCP for helping us get this wonderful and thoughtful plan.

It's time to finally get this across the finish line after trying several times and failing before. We cannot afford to delay any longer, and it would be a damn shame if we threw away all this effort and let the perfect be the enemy of the good.

I strongly encourage the Council to do the negotiations you need to do to deliver the best plan you can for the community and ultimately come to yes.

Thank you for your time, and please help get this plan across the finish line. We desperately need the housing. Thanks.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you, Vishnu.

Next, we have Jasper Wu.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

JASPER WU: Good afternoon, City Council. My name is Jasper Wu, and I am a six-year resident of

2 Long Island City. I am calling in to support the

3 OneLIC proposal.

2.2

2.3

In the six years that I've been living here,
I've seen this area experience tremendous growth.

Ever since I moved in, there has been an influx of a variety of food, culture, and recreation that is opened here, and I'm very happy to call this area home.

I'm thrilled to support this opportunity for this area to grow and develop further. I believe that the proposed rezoning will bring significant economic investment into the area, which will lead to a further invigorated, lively, and welcoming Long Island City.

Today, there are many blocks and areas where people still do not feel comfortable pushing strollers around, and the time is long overdue to invest in these blocks and put them to use in enriching our neighborhood. I strongly believe in the future of Long Island City and see this rezoning as an incredible opportunity we would be remiss to pass up. Please vote yes to One Long Island City. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MANDANA LIMBERT: Hello, my name is Mandana
Limbert. I have lived in Court Square since 2011. I
am speaking on behalf of the Court Square Civic
Association and five other local organizations that
all oppose the OneLIC Plan as it currently stands. We
instead support modification of the current plan with
a focus on sustainable development, which has also
been outlined already by our city officials and
community boards, whom I'd like to thank.

Mere, I'd like to focus on one particular modification that is fundamental: scale. CB2 notes that the plan needs to be scaled back to support a more contextual and sustainable project, and that would also, in fact, be aligned with the City of Yes, extending development more evenly across the city. CB2 has recommended lowering the base zoning heights and densities to more contextual and sustainable scales. As it is, the proposal has 100-story buildings in R-10 and R-9 zones, and abutting (INAUDIBLE) block of eight-story buildings. Contextual heights along 44th Drive have also been ignored, creating profound mismatches. In addition to not maintaining contextual height, the DEIS itself admits that the infrastructure of this neighborhood

Remove unlimited height provisions. As it is, the plan proposes buildings that are so tall they would cast shadows all the way across the East River, buildings that are so tall, they would cast shadows all the way across the East River, never mind shadow our tiny patches of green for 5-11 hours a day, significantly longer than the 4-hour limit established by CEQR. And without height provisions, developers would have no legal requirement to ensure appropriate sidewalk width or tree planting.

Developers not being held to such basic environmental standards would worsen the heat island effects that are already terrible in Long Island City.

In order to maintain and support livability of this neighborhood, eliminate unlimited height and reduce the (TIMER) base zoning heights to what the neighborhood can actually support.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time has expired.

MANDANA LIMBERT: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 354
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Thank you, Ms.

Limbert.

Next, we will hear from Edith Adams.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

EDITH ADAMS: Good afternoon, can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

2.2

2.3

EDITH ADAMS: Thank you for this opportunity. I want to commend Julie Won for not falling victim to the fluff of this plan and for challenging it. I thank you very much. She has been a great councilwoman in this neighborhood of Long Island City.

I am a resident of Queensbridge, and I have been here since the beginning of Gantry Park for 25 years. I've watched some promises being offered to Queensbridge Park, such as redoing the baby park, and that has never happened. And they kind of out-moved us out of the zone. They rezoned us when we had allocated monies for us to improve our neighborhood based on our zones. And I feel as though, when they start rezoning, Queensbridge gets left out.

Queensbridge is also an extremely highly political community. Although we are of a low-income community, we are very family-oriented, and we want to be taken

to speak in support of the OneLIC Plan, while also

3 recognition of Blissville.

2.2

2.3

The OneLIC Plan represents a tremendous opportunity to create a more equitable, vibrant, and sustainable Long Island City, and I support its goals. But I must stress that the future must include Blissville. Blissville, though it's small, is a vital part of Long Island City, and its neighborhood is rich with history, hard-working families, and residents who have long lived in the shadows of heavy industrial use, environmental burdens, and limited city investment. It is also home to multiple shelters, which means Blissful shoulders a disproportionate share of responsibility for vulnerable populations without receiving the proportional support.

OneLIC is about building one community, and leaving Blissville at the margins undermines the promise of this plan. The residents of Blissville deserve cleaner air, safer streets, greener infrastructure, and a fair share of investments in parks, schools, and health services. They deserve the same opportunities for affordable housing and economic growth that the rest of Long Island City

Next, we will hear from Emily Smith.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

EMILY SMITH: Hi.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hi.

EMILY SMITH: Thank you for allowing me to speak. I signed up super last-minute. I just got out of work. I didn't think I was going to make it to this hearing today. So this is very off the cuff.

I'll send an email with more organized thoughts.

My name's Emily. I'm a New York City resident, and like about half of renters in New York City, I'm a market-rate renter, and I am rent-burdened. I spend well over a third of my income on rent. And so it's really important to me to advocate for more housing. I'm excited about the new homes that this proposal could bring. I'm speaking in support of the OneLIC Plan today.

I honestly think it could be more ambitious, given that this is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to ensure that we have enough housing in the area for generations to come. And we need housing of all

my name's not there.

./

2.3

Anyway, my name is Ernie Brooks. I've lived on the corner of 44th Drive and Vernon Boulevard since 1977. I am strongly opposed to the rezoning of this neighborhood as it is currently proposed. I appreciate that Julie, my City Council person, has indicated positive changes that she will require to gain her vote. While extremely important, if met, they still won't cover all my concerns.

Our country has seen a massive shift in wealth from the less affluent to the rich over the past crisis-ridden decades of this century. A disaster that has intensified beyond imagining in the past year, as a corrupt real estate tycoon has taken on an unprecedented level of control over the reins of power in this country.

Unfortunately, I believe that the rezoning as presently proposed will mostly exacerbate these trends, the trends of a large upward transfer of prosperity. Rising rents in massive luxury towers will continue to push out those who have lived and worked here for years, as well as creating a riverfront that is not more but less resilient in the face of the climate changes that are already upon us.

CORINNE HAYNES: (NO RESPONSE)

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 361
CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead, Miss Haynes. We
can hear you, Ms. Haynes. Go ahead.

CORINNE HAYNES: Oh, hi, good evening. How are you?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: We're all right.

2.2

2.3

CORINNE HAYNES: My name is Corinne Haynes, and I'm the President of Queensbridge Houses Resident
Association, the largest housing development in North
America. I was born and raised here.

The previous rezonings in Long Island City have mostly benefited wealthy developers, and the improvements in Long Island City have always missed Queensbridge. Just as our demand for our existing services has increased over the years, we now need to change it.

With the OneLIC Plan, the City has the opportunity to invest in Queensbridge. Every day, I get calls from residents about plumbing issues, water that leaks from above that destroys the bathrooms and the kitchen. Today, as I speak, there is a water shutdown affecting four buildings on Queensbridge South, due to a shut-off valve being improperly repaired. We also, on the north side, have another, maybe three to five, they haven't finished assessing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.3

yet, how many buildings are affected. No water right now as we speak.

Public housing residents deserve better in Queensbridge. They are living with chipping paint, mold, water damage, flooded apartments, you name it. The plumbing infrastructure has not been changed since 1930. And in Queensbridge, it needs to be updated. We need millions of dollars of City investment for NYCHA to replace plumbing in Queensbridge.

In addition to that, we have a new residents' association office that needs to be renovated to house job training, a recording studio, and a kitchen to help young adults in life skills programs.

Queensbridge also has about 150 vacant apartments that are completely rundown and need to be renovated, and this will increase the affordability for housing and improve the quality of life of the residents of Queensbridge.

I fully support the restoration of the Queensbridge Baby Park, which I grew up with as a park, so we can get it back to that, and increase our open space—maybe have a dog park and other things

So I do urge the City Council to approve the One Long Island City Plan.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Haynes.

CORINNE HAYNES: Only if it includes capital investments from the City for Queensbridge Houses. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

All right, if there are any members of the public who wish to testify regarding this rezoning proposal remotely, please press the raise hand button now. We will stand at ease for 30 seconds.

(PAUSE)

residents in Queensbridge.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, seeing no one-- there being no members of the public who wish to testify on Preconsidered LU 373 through 378 relating to the Long Island City Neighborhood Plan, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

That concludes today's hearing. I would like to thank Committee Counsel, all those who testified, Sergeant at Arms, the Land Use Team, and Council Member Won for staying for the entire hearing and hearing her community.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	364
2	This hearing is closed. Thank you. [GAVEL]	
3	(APPLAUSE)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is no interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 19, 2025