CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

April 17, 2025 Start: 11:03 a.m. Recess: 11:26 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room - 16

Floor

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Francisco P. Moya

Yusef Salaam Lynn C. Schulman

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Pat McCann Local 32 BJ

Eric Palatnik QBM Properties 102 51 Queens Boulevard SERGEANT AT ARMS: Hello, good morning. This is a mic check for Subcommittee Zoning and Franchises.

Today's date is April 17, 2025, location 16<sup>th</sup> Floor

Committee Room, recorded by Tavell King.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. At this time, we would like to ask you to please silence all cellphone electronic devices. If you wish to submit testimony, you may send it to <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. Please do not approach

the dais. If you need assistance, we will be more than happy to help you.

Without further ado, Chair, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON SHULMAN: [GAVEL] Good morning and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee of Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Lynn Schulman and I will be Acting Chair of the Subcommittee today because Council Member Kevin Riley, who is the appointed Chair of the Subcommittee cannot be here.

I am joined by Council Members Yusef Salaam,
David Carr, Kamillah Hanks and online, Francisco
Moya.

Today, we are scheduled to have one hearing regarding a proposed mixed use residential development known as 102-51 Queens Boulevard. Before discussing this proposal, I will first go over the hearing procedures.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format, members of the public who wish to test- what?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Sorry for interrupting you Chair but we're having a technical issue, so we are just going to pause the hearing for a second.

Apparently the livestream is not working.

13 CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Oh great, okay.

[00:02:07] - [00:04:07]

2.2

2.3

Because of a technical issue, we're going to start over. Good morning and welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Lynn Schulman and I will be the Acting Chair of the Subcommittee today because Council Member Kevin Riley, who is the Appointed Chair of the Subcommittee cannot be here. I'm joined by Council Members Yusef Salaam, David Carr, Kamillah Hanks and virtually by Francisco Moya.

Today, we are scheduled to have one hearing regarding a proposed mixed use residential

development known as 102-51 Queens Boulevard. Before

3 discussing this proposal, I will first going over the

4 hearing procedures.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format.

6 Members of the public who wish to testify may testify

7 in person or via Zoom. Members of the public wishing

to testify remotely may register by visiting the New

9 York City Council website at

10 | www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up or for those

11 of you who are here in person, please see one of the

12 Sergeant at Arms to prepare and submit a speaker

13 card.

25

1

8

14 Members of the public may also view a livestream

15 | broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

16 When you are called to testify before the

17 | Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you

18 | will remain muted until recognized by myself to

19 | speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will

20 | be unmuted. We will limit public testimony to two

21 | minutes per witness. If you have additional

22 | testimony that you would like the Subcommittee to

23  $\parallel$  consider or if you have written testimony that you

24  $\parallel$  would like to submit instead of appearing in person,

please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU Number and/or Project Name in the subject line of your email.

We request that witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair as Council Members may have questions. Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present video - present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. I will now open the public hearing on LU's 269 and 270 related to the 102-51 Queens Boulevard Rezoning Proposal, which is located in my district specifically in Forest Hills.

The Proposal is seeking a rezoning to develop a mixed use residential development with approximately

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7

216 apartments. The Proposal also involves the

mapping of mandatory inclusionary housing and

approximately 54 of the apartments would be

affordable. As many of you know, affordable housing

is one of the most important issues in my district

2.2

2.3

7 and something I have advocated for since joining the 8 Council.

I look forward to hearing more about this project and continuing to work constructively with the applicant team. For anyone wishing to testify regarding this proposal remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants at Arms to submit a speaker card. If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to

## landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I will now call the applicant panel for this proposal, which consists of Eric Palatnik. Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning. Thank you for raising your right arm. Would you please state your name for the record?

2 ERIC PALATNIK: Eric Palatnik.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today in your response to Council Member questions?

ERIC PALATNIK: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SHULMAN: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to <a href="mailto:landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov">landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. And now, the Applicant team may begin and I'll just ask you to please restate your name and organization for the record.

Palatnik PC Law Firm representing QBM Properties 102
51 Queens Boulevard and thank you very much Council
Member Schulman. It's been a pleasure to work within
your district and with your board and with your
office and we're happy to be here today and it's a
pleasure to be here with you as well Council Member.
I'm a huge fan of yours and I'm very respectful of
your plight so to speak and what you've been through
and what you've achieve in your life. You're a big

2.2

2.3

,

2 encouragement to me. So, thank you very much for 3 being here today.

We're here today from 102-51 Queens Boulevard, which is a proposed rezoning from an R71 to R8X C24 Zoning District located within Queens Community Planning Board 9 - 6 excuse me. I'm just a little upside down. We met with Community Planning Board 6 and they were very supportive of the application and we've also appeared before the Borough President who was supportive as well. Next slide please.

The proposal is as Council Member Schulman mentioned a moment ago would allow on the right hand side of the screen, you could see what's proposed and would allow for a 17 story, 175 foot tall mixed use building. It would include approximately 216 units, 54 of which would be affordable. Queens Boulevard, as many of you know, I'm sure Council Member Lynn Schulman knows better than anybody is tremendously under developed and has been for the past century.

A half century since I've been alive. It's encumbered by predominantly one story buildings as you see on the lower left corner here and behind it on the side streets and an anomaly of land use are

2.2

2.3

2 the taller buildings. I'm not sure to the pattern up 3 and down Queens Boulevard.

4

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And the reason for that is simply because the zoning does not allow for a developer to create anything economically viable while still developing the property under the current zoning. So, the proposed rezoning to an R8 being able to activate this property, it will allow for the developer to create the building we just proposed. If allowed, it will have 85 studios, 85 one bedrooms, 23 two bedrooms and 23 three bedrooms. We would also have 87 parking spaces. Next slide please.

The next slide shows you what the zoning district is proposed to be. The left side shows you an R71 that was enactive in 1961. On the right side, you could see the R8X proposed. Go to the next slide.

Next slide shows you a comparison in height of the buildings that can be constructed. The existing zoning allows for the building that you see on the left hand side, which would be an eight story building and it would allow for 111 units. doubles the amount of units. Next slide please.

The next slide just summarizes everything we just spoke about. You can go to the next slide.

2 slide shows you a good depiction of what I described

3 a few moments ago about the pretty much as build

4 characteristic of all of Queens. A lot of Queens

5 Boulevard, which is buildings that predate 1961 that

6 are one story with the taller buildings behind.

That's what the rezoning is here to change and we're

hoping that you would support it. Next slide.

The next slide shows you the design of the building and talks about - a little bit about its attributes. What's important to note is that the buildings design plays into Queens Boulevard, which curves at this juncture, which is why you see the building opposing in its setbacks in different heights and different depths and the reason for that is to play into the curvature of Queens Boulevard and the fact that the roadway goes up at this point.

18 Next slide.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide just gives you an elevation of the building and I'll skip past that and go to the next one, it's not that exciting.

The next slide shows you what's gone on around us through the years. So, although the building here is taller than some people would say the buildings behind us, which they are. They're not - it's not

2 taller than the buildings that have been built,

3 substantial buildings that have been built in the

4 last half a century up and down Queens Boulevard.

5 Unfortunately, most of the buildings you're looking

6 at in this picture were built 30, 40 years ago. Very

7 | few of them were built in the last decade, if any.

think just one may be done by Yellowstone. Next

slide.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

The next slide just shows you what we've been just talking about so we'll pause there. I think we could pretty much clip ahead to if you can one, two, three, three slides till we get to Neighborhood Demographics. I think it's Page 13.

So, this is just very interesting to give you an idea, this is taken from the Census. It describes to you that it's the lower left corner of foreign borne population, it's 47 percent. The top right corner, housing units have increased by only 3.1 while the population in this area has increased more than double, 6.9 percent. So, this whole sheet is telling you is what you already know, which the Council Member spoke to. If you go to the next slide, this shows you something that's very interesting. Again, the same thing just sort of maps it all out for you.

more.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ERIC PALATNIK: Yeah, which is really impressive.

I mean just to revert from the presentation. cannot tell you enough, everybody says they want affordable housing. Every time I walk in most of my applications and staff lists, there's none in most of the districts, so you're creating it and it's to be applauded because as you could see from 9881, as soon as it went up, it's leasing right up. People are eager for it. Everybody loves the tower diner but every body also loves that there's housing available.

This gives you the next page please, gives you the breakdown of the affordability units. everything we just spoke about, so I'm not going to go there, and then the distribution, unit distribution. I'll pause here with my presentation because I think that pretty much sums it all up and I'm happy to answer any questions anybody has.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much and to Mr. Palatnik's point, a large part of Queens Boulevard is underdeveloped and what he was showing you is that a lot of the property there is one story. So, there's really potential there for affordable housing and for units and everything else, so I want to thank you for that.

2.2

2.3

I have a question about the commercial space.

Have you had conversations with the existing - is
there just the one tenant there?

tenants. There's an urgent care there and there's a restaurant there. The restaurant will be coming back. The restaurant is owner occupied. The applicant is actually the operator of the restaurant and all the other tenants have been given the chance to come back. Nobody has decided yet. It's still a couple years away from going into the ground but everybody has been given the chance.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: How many commercial spaces do you anticipate in the new development, just a couple?

ERIC PALATNIK: Three, two or three or four. It's about 17,000 square feet so.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Is the proposed amount of parking primarily for resident use or accessory to the commercial?

ERIC PALATNIK: Residents. One thing we are learning from talking to the owners in 9881, is that although and you know it better than I do although you are a part of suburban New York City and although

2 you are incredibly access with mass transit, people

3 in your community have cars and they use them and

4 | they like it and it's not just a luxury, it's a

5 requirement for them.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Are you able to make design changes to what the Community Board recommendations to improve the esthetics of the rear facade?

ERIC PALATNIK: The Community Board, we tried to explain to them and we showed you on the renderings that the building is set back quite a bit. I don't have the dimension in front of me nearly I think 30 or 40 feet from the residents to the rear. Those are the six story apartment buildings we were speaking about. This building has been designed incredibly well and it's the design that we portray to you is on all four sides of the buildings. Some buildings will just do a nice design on the front.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Right.

ERIC PALATNIK: You know like a fence between yards. Somebody gets the crummy side. Here, everybody is getting the nice side of the building. So, we did try to convince them of that. I don't

2 know if they were agreeable to that but we believe 3 that should address our concerns.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, do you have MWBE hiring and contracting targets for the project aligned with the Borough Presidents recommendation?

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay another question I have about the jobs that this project will create is whether the applicant plans on engaging with labor unions to meet the wage requirements of the states 485X tax exemption.

ERIC PALATNIK: We plan on having prevailing wages in compliance with the 45 tax abatement program but we've also already engaged conversations with Local 32 BJ who was here today for Building Services, that will give their people or people who are working employed at the building to be constructed. The right to unionize should they desire and join 32 BJ and they'll speak further to that.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Have you given any thought to providing supportive housing as suggested by Community Board 6 and you can tell us, I know that we had a conversation about this, about how the

2.2

2.3

18

2 apartments are designed for people with disabilities

3 too. You can mention that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes, thank you for refreshing my memory. Of course, the apartment is required to be built with a certain percentage with of all the ADA accessibility requirements. So, that's not the issue. The bigger issue that they ask for is to have supportive housing units within the building and that's not possible. There's no program in place that would allow for that to occur. As you know we worked very closely in your district, in Parkway Hospital which is being constructed right now and we're seven years, I think we're eight years later to try to create the supportive senior housing. So, it is - and that was a building that was existing already. So, it's a difficult process and you really have to have the opportunity to support it financially. Parkway hospital as you know had an income producing component to it with a market rate next to it. So, it allowed for the offset. doesn't have that set up.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Maybe we can have that conversation moving forward with in that district

be called in panels of three if there are three to be

24

2.2

2.3

here. If you are a member of the public signed up to testify, please stand by when you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when I indicate that you may begin. Please also note that once all panelists in the group have completed their testimony. If remotely, you will be removed to the meeting as a group and the next group of speakers will be introduced.

Once removed, participants may continue to view the livestream broadcast of this hearing on the Council website. Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant at Arms has started the clock. And so, we have Pat McCann who has joined us at the witness table. You may begin.

PAT MCCANN: Good morning Chair Schulman and all members of the Subcommittee. My name is Pat McCann and I am here today representing SEIU Local 32 BJ.

32 BJ is the largest union of property service workers in the country, representing over 175,000 members across 13 states, including tens of thousands of commercial property services workers, security officers and residential building staff in New York City.

in the communities where they build. I'm happy to report that the developers of this proposed project have made a credible commitment to creating prevailing wage jobs for the workers who will permanently staff the buildings. We estimate that this rezoning will allow for the creation of four property service jobs. Good jobs like these mean prevailing wages, meaningful benefits, and a pathway to the middle class for local community members who tend to fill such positions.

Moreover, we need more housing to be built in every neighborhood of New York City to ensure that working families are not displaced by dwindling supply and skyrocketing rents.

The proposed development will include 216
residential units, approximately 54 of which will be
permanently income restricted for low to middle
income residents. As the cost of living rises and
working New Yorkers struggle to stay in their homes,
it is more important now than ever to create
affordable housing and good jobs which uphold the
industry standard in the city. For all these

2.2

2.3

reasons, 32BJ is in strong support of the 102-51 Queens Boulevard rezoning.

Thank you for your time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. Does anybody have questions for this panel? No, okay.

Thank you very much, appreciate that.

PAT MCCANN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: If there are any members of the public who wish to testify regarding this rezoning proposal remotely, please press the raise hand button now or if you are in person, please identify yourself to one of the Sergeants.

Oh, we'll pause for 30 seconds to make sure that there's nobody else to testify. There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LU's 269 and 270 related to the 102-51 Queens Boulevard Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over. Wait, oh sorry. This concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues,

Subcommittee Council, Land Use and other Council Staff and the Sergeant at Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is hereby adjourned.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 14, 2025