1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

----- X

Tuesday, September 10, 2024

Start: 11:08 a.m. Recess: 11:43 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room,

14th Floor

B E F O R E: Kamillah M. Hanks, Chair

Sandy Nurse, Acting Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Justin Brannan
Amanda C. Farías
Oswald Feliz,
Christopher Marte
Sandy Nurse
Yusef Salaam

Other Council Members Attending: Restler

#### APPEARANCES

Lisa Kersavage - Executive Director Landmarks Preservation Commission

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS (BLANK PAGE)

#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS 1 AND DISPOSITIONS 2 SERGEANT WONG: Sound test for the Subcommittee on 3 Landmarks, Public Sitings, and Dispositions. Today's date is September 10, 2024; being recorded by Danny 4 Wong on the 14th floor Hearing Room. 5 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Subcommittee on Landmarks, 6 7 Public Sitings, and Dispositions -- at this time we 8 would like everyone to silence cell phones and electronic devices to avoid any interruptions. Please, at no point approach the dais. 10 11 Chair, we are ready to begin. 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: 13 (GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING IN) 14 Good morning, welcome to the meeting of the 15 Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Sitings, and 16 Dispositions. I am Council Member Sandy Nurse, and I 17 will be today's Acting Chair of the Subcommittee. 18 I am joined today by my colleagues Council Member 19 Brannan and Council Member Marte. We will be joined by Council Member Feliz online. 20 21 Before we begin with today's Agenda, I will 2.2 remind everyone that this meeting is being held in a 2.3 hybrid format. For members of the pubic who wish to testify remotely, we ask that you first register 24

online, and you may do so now by visiting

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 5 www.Council.NYC.gov/landuse to sign up, and then sign into the Zoom and remain signed in until you have

For anyone here with us today in person and wishing to testify, if you have not already done so, please see on of our sergeants to fill out a speaker's card, and we will call your name at the appropriate time.

For anyone wishing to submit written testimony on the items being heard today, we ask that you please send it via email landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov, and to please indicate the Land Use number and/or project name in the subject line of your email.

On today's Agenda, we will have a hearing on Land Use Item Number 130, Brooklyn Edison Building, and landmark designation in Council Member Restler's district in Brooklyn; Preconsidered Land Use item designation of the Willoughby Hart Historic District in Council Member Ossé's district in Brooklyn.

We will also hold a number votes which we will do as soon as we get a quorum.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

testified.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I'd like to recognize that Council Member Restler has joined us.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The Zoom host cannot hear the panelist.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Give us one second, the slides are out of order in the way that they want to present. So, we're going to skip over to the public hearing for Land Use 130, the proposed designation by Landmarks Preservation Commission of the Brooklyn Edison Building in Council Member Restler's district in Brooklyn as a historic landmark.

Council Member Restler, do you want to say a few words on your... on the project?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Uh, thank you very much, Chair Nurse.

The Edison Building, uh, 345 Adams is well-known to, I think probably, certainly every member of the City Council from Brooklyn, because that's where the Board of Elections is. We have a number of city agencies in there. It is a beautiful building, and ,you know, downtown Brooklyn has been undergoing dramatic changes in recent decades. And, it is important that we continue to recognize and celebrate the rich architectural history of some of the buildings that have made downtown Brooklyn great.

I have been in close communication with the ,you know, it's... for my colleagues' benefit, this is an unusual ownership structure of the building, uh, where the ground floor space is, or the first two floors, I believe, were condo-ed out to a developer to make them for dynamic retail spaces, and DCAS, the City, owns the remainder of the building.

It is critically important to me that we preserve the beautiful architecture of this building, and I have been working with some of the civic associations in the area, uh, to advance this goal - while also ensuring that the retail spaces can be activated and that we develop an effective plan with LPC (Landmarks Preservation Commission) while this is under Council review, uh, to ensure that these retail spaces can be, uh, to the benefit of our community.

So, I have a number questions, but happy to hear the presentation and go from there.

Thank you for the chance to comment, Chair Nurse.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you, I will now turn it back to the panelists for your presentation.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Good morning,
Acting Chair Nurse and Council members.

2.2

2.3

#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS

AND DISPOSITIONS 8
My name is Lisa Kersavage, and I am the Executive

Director of the Landmarks Preservation Commission.

And I appreciate the opportunity to testify today on our recent designations.

The Brooklyn Edison Building is a distinguished renaissance revival style office building designed by McKenzie, Voorhees & Gmelin for the Brooklyn Edison Company and built between 1922 and 1926. Highly visible from Adams Street, Columbus Park, and Fulton Streets, the monumental building is a notable landmark in the civic and commercial center of downtown Brooklyn.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

At the public hearing on June 4, 2024, three people testified, including representatives of the Downtown Brooklyn Association, Historic Districts Council, who spoke in favor of the designation. The owner of the commercial condominium unit did not oppose designation, but emphasized their concerns about the flexibility in making necessary changes to the commercial storefronts. And, the Commission also received two letters in support, including from Council Member Restler and a representative of the Brooklyn Heights Association.

The Brooklyn Edison Building is located at 345

Adams Street on the corner of Willoughby, Pearl and

Adams Streets.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

The Edison Electric Illuminating Company of
Brooklyn was established in 1889 to provide
electricity to the homes and businesses of Brooklyn.
After a merger in 1919, the company was formally
reorganized as the Brooklyn Edison Company. After the
completion of the subways lines in the 1920s, growth
in residential development increased the demand for
additional electricity capacity in Brooklyn. To
answer this demand, the Brooklyn Edison Company
required a larger building as its headquarters and
commissioned one of the most prominent architectural
offices of the era, McKenzie, Voorhees & Gmelin, to
design it.

Next slide.

Located on the prominent corner Willoughby and Pearl Streets, the Brooklyn Edison Building was designed and constructed in two phases. The first phase took place in 1922 to `23, and the building expanded northwards in 1926 doubling its footprint.

building features a tripartite configuration with rusticated stone base, brick and stone middle section, and double setback crown responding to the requirements of the 1916 zoning law. It is richly ornamented with Renaissance Revival style elements, particularly focused at the street level and its roofline.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

Originally located on the southeast corner of its block, during the construction of the Brooklyn Civic Center and the expansion of Adams Street in the 1950, the western half of the block was removed and the urban setting changed. Following demolition of the adjacent buildings, the ground floor of the Brooklyn Edison Building's west façade became exposed. The building was adapted to the changing streetscape by moving its main entrance to Adams Street in the mid-1960s.

In 2009, commercial owners, Muss Development LLC, created retail spaces with new storefronts along

Adams that interpreted and replicated historic features on Willoughby and Pearl Street with modern materials, activating the street frontage facing the

Civic Center. LPC recognizes that these new storefronts are non-historic, which allows flexibility into the future.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

Despite the change to the city grid around it, and changes on the lower stories of the west façade, the building retains its historic form and character. Highly visible from many vantage points in its monumentally and prominent massing, incorporating highly intact Renaissance Revival style elements, is further emphasized after the change in the urban fabric of downtown Brooklyn.

Recently, a comprehensive restoration of the building facades was completed under the ownership of DCAS. The building continues to serve as an office building with commercial activities on its first and second floors.

Next slide.

The Brooklyn Edison Company was central to the borough's electrification needs and stands as a testament to the transformative impact of technological advancements in electricity production in Brooklyn's urban development.

The architecturally and historically significant building continues to provide a monumental backdrop to Brooklyn Civic Center with an elaborate design and setback massing that recall the time of construction.

Thank you, and I am happy to answer questions.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you.

I would now like to recognize any colleagues if you have any questions?

Okay, Council Member Restler?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you. Thanks so much, uh, you know, it's in my lifetime that, uh, downtown Brooklyn changed dramatically. And, the presence of the Marriot, the retail spaces on Adams in this building were critical components of kind of the revitalization of... maybe that's not the perfect word - but were critical components of kind of the new era of development in downtown Brooklyn.

And, I just have a couple kind of related questions.

The current owner of the kind of condo retail space, Muss Development, removed the façade on the Adams Street side and created replica to look the Pearl and Willoughby side of the building.

2.2

2.3

S

2.2

Could you confirm that LPC agrees that the Adams side of the building was the back of the historical building and does not intend to seek any changes or require future repairs that are consistent with the current design?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Yes, so, it is true that the front of the building was on... was on Pearl Street, historically, and the urban renewal, as I said, did change the views of the building. So, it exposed kind of the back. And ,you know, as I said, the retail was a re-creation, we recognized that in our... all of the three, uh, the hearing and two public meetings, to the council members, and in our designation report. So, that is part of the record that allows for flexibility for those retail storefronts.

Our staff met with the owner onsite, and we had a really helpful tour of the site. We talked about, uh, future regulation, the potential of master plans, and other ways to provide ,you know, flexibility but also timeliness in issuing permits for those retail... which we agree really activates that street.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That is helpful to hear, but just, to ask the question plainly, recognizing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS 1 AND DISPOSITIONS 2 that Adams was the back of the building, you're not 3 intending -- Landmarks Preservation Commission is not 4 intending any future repairs that are inconsistent with the current design? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Well, the ,you 6 7 know, the back of the building is a huge - it's a big 8 building. COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yes. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: So , you know, it's 10 11 the upper floors and the ground floor. And the way 12 LPC regulates is , you know, we regulate the whole 13 building, but we recognize for storefronts that 14 there's often been alterations to its base, and that 15 storefronts have special needs. So, our rules allow 16 for a lot... our staff to issue permits that can be 17 expedited, they can be fast. Uhm, so, we recognize 18 the needs of business and... (CROSS-TALK) 19 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I... 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: I talked to them... 21 (CROSS-TALK) 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And, I do want to get 2.3 into the storefront-specific issues with you, but I do just want to try to make sure that we're saying 24

25

the same thing.

As a result of urban renewal, Adams kind of became the main entrance for the building, as it opened up the Adams Street side, and Columbus Park, et cetera. But, you're not intending to require future repairs that are inconsistent with the current design with regard to kind of the historical nature of where the original entrance was or anything to that effect?

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: I'm not sure what you mean with inconsistent.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well, you know, I think we're, you know, I'm certainly happy to see a landmark designation that, you know, maintains the current design and structure broadly. And, I can get into the specifics on the retail spaces. But sometimes, I worry that when we designate a building, we then look back to some picture from 1922 and say, we need to recreate that picture from 1922 and hold the building owner accountable for a redesign that's gonna recreate a 1922 image. And I'm sure 1922 was a great year in Brooklyn, but, you know, it can feel random.

And, so, I just want to make sure we're not require... because the previous entrance of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS
AND DISPOSITIONS
16
building was on Pearl Street, not on Adams, we're not
going back to some previous design from a different
era that we're imposing on this building and saying,
you're gonna have to recreate this... that's
inconsistent with the current design.

Does that make sense? Did I say that more plainly?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Well, LPC never mandates a certain design. You know, people come with the changes that they want to make to us. And, in this case, because we so clearly documented the changes to this building in our designation report, and that's what the Commission voted on, we recognize that those storefronts on Adams were not historic. We are very clear about that, and that allows for future flexibility for those storefronts. But, we regulate every building the same ,you know, we don't, uh, it's not a different set of regulations for each building we designate. But, we never mandate that somebody has to do restorative work. And, in this case... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Although, I... if you were to...

2.2

2.3

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: We... we were very

clear about the need for flexibility and these buildings... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Great...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: these storefronts have been recreated.

the staff review of exactly this banister or exactly this window frame, or whatever the heck it is, it can feel like it's a mandate. It... You may not use that language, and that may not be the way that you think about it, but I think for an applicant going through a process, they may not feel like they have the latitude that, uh, it sounds like you're describing in your answer.

But, I, I, I do, I digress, I... Well, I don't really, I just wanted to clarify that point. It sounds like we're on the same page.

I just want to dig down a little more on the storefronts, if that's okay?

It's critical we kind of ensure that these stores are able to continue to operate effectively in these spaces, and that, when there's turnover, we're able

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS
AND DISPOSITIONS 18
to fill these spaces and have a vibrant, dynamic

2.2

2.3

And, I think we just want to understand in the creation of kind of a master plan with the owner and with the business, you know, for the... for the storefronts, do you intend to set policies?

mixed-use commercial corridor here.

Are you kind of committed to setting policies around backlit signage, signage size requirements, awning and color requirements -- so that those are certainties for businesses that are coming in -- and what they need to comply with to be able to understand kind of the approvals that are in place for them to be able to kind of set up their facade appropriately?

And, I think you've said this, but I just want to kind of affirm, LPC is committed to working with Muss on a new storefront plan that addresses these issues and removes any uncertainty for future tenants?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Yeah, We talked to the property owner, we went on-site, and we talked about master plans, set examples of master plans. You know, the way those work is that, uh, it is an application from the property owner, it's not something that we can create.

#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS 1 AND DISPOSITIONS 2 But, it can either be approved by the staff, if 3 it meets our staff level rules, uh, which , you know, 4 it sounded like most of the issues that the property owners are interested in could meet our staff level rules. If they don't, then it would just be reviewed 6 7 by our commission. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Uhm, and, then, it allows for, uh, staff approval... (CROSS-TALK) 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: So, you're not able at 12 this time to ,like, make a commitment that it would 13 be staff level approval for something like this? 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Not without seeing 15 what the application is... 16 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yeah... 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: no. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Yeah. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And, do you think backlit 21 signage, signage size requirements, and awning color 2.2 requirements are reasonable expectations to have 2.3 incorporated into the master plan? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Those are things 24

that are typically in a master plan for sure.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay.

Well, that's all helpful. I am appreciative of your patience with me today and your patience in working with Muss. They have been very appreciative of your time and insight and expertise. I know you met with my staff as well...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Mm-hmm

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: so thank you for that.

I think this is a good project and a good designation and good for downtown Brooklyn. I just want to make sure that we have sensible policies in place to protect the commercial storefronts and make sure that we can continue to have those as vibrant spaces in our community.

So, thank you very much, and thank you for the time, Chair Nurse.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you, Council Member.

I am going to recognize Council Member Oswald Feliz is joining us online, Council Member Salaam is here. Is there anyone else that has any questions regarding this... okay, great.

2.2

2.3

Executive Director of the Landmarks Preservation

Commission, and I appreciate the opportunity to testify today.

The Willoughby Heart Historic District, which was designated on June 25th, consists of two blocks of cohesive historic streetscapes with row houses built between the early 1870s and 1890s representing the early development of the Bedford Stuyvesant neighborhood in the late 19th century.

The Willoughby Heart Historic District, which you can see with the red label, is located in the northwest corner of the Bedford Stuyvesant

Neighborhood in the vicinity of the Clinton Hill and Bedford Stuyvesant Historic Districts. It was identified by LPC in various surveys over many years, and after having designated larger historic districts in the neighborhood, LPC restudied the area and prioritized it for designation. In addition to our internal studies, LPC received a request for evaluation, from two block associations, for a historic district encompassing the area that we had found to merit designation.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

The district is bounded by Nostrand Avenue to the east, Marcy Avenue to the west, Willoughby Avenue to the north, and Hart Street to the south.

LPC held three Owner Information Sessions in advance of calendaring and after. At each one, we provided an explanation of why the historic district is significant and answered questions about our review process for typical alterations, including rear yard extensions. We also offered and held many one-on-one meetings with individual property owners. We also responded to concerns about timing by postponing two public meetings.

Throughout the designation process, and at our public hearing on Tuesday, June 11th, the Commission received written and verbal testimony from property owners both in support and in opposition of the historic district. Elected official support included Council Member Ossé, State Senator Brisport, and New York State Assemblymember Zinerman.

Organizations supporting the district included Brooklyn Community Board Three, the New York Landmarks Conservancy, Historic Districts Council, Save Harlem now, and others.

2.2

2.3

I will note that the full reporting of the testimony is included in the Historic Districts Designation Report.

Next slide.

2.2

2.3

The Willoughby Hart Historic District was historically part of a community known as Cripple Busch, which was developed in the mid-17th century, along the historic Cripple Busch Road, that connected the settlement of Bedford Corners to Newtown in Queens, which we can see in this 1886 map. The land immediately within the district was later part of Henry Bourne's property.

Development in this area started slowly in the 1870s with one group of houses on Willoughby that are mostly still intact. The rest of the row houses in the district were designed, constructed, and planned by a small group of builders, owners, and local Brooklyn architects such as Thomas E. Greenland, Arthur Taylor, and Isaac Reynolds.

As you can see here in the 1880 map in the center, and 1898 map on the left, by the mid-1880s, the blocks have been nearly fully developed. And by 1898, all of the buildings in the district were constructed, which you can see in pink.

2 Next slide.

2.2

2.3

The district is characterized by long consistent rows of preserved row houses. Many of the early inhabitants of these houses were Jewish immigrants from Russia, many of whom were also local business owners. The homes were owner occupied until the 1920s when most were split between multiple families who rented space.

By the mid-20th century, the African American community grew here as it did in the larger Bedford Stuyvesant neighborhood. And in 1969, of the 250,000 people living in Bedford Stuyvesant, 80 percent were Black and 10 percent were Puerto Rican.

Next slide.

In the 1960s, the Central Brooklyn Coordinating
Council lobbied Senator Robert F. Kennedy to visit
Bedford Stuyvesant and worked with his staff to form
the first federally funded community development
corporation in the country, the Bedford Stuyvesant
Development and Service Corporation, which focused on
restoration largely carried out by local men learning
skilled trades. Number 485 Willoughby Avenue and the
five adjoining row houses were restored as part of
this program.

2.2

2.3

2 Next slide.

Shown here is our analysis and the building dates and styles represented in the district. All the row houses were built during the last three decades of the 19th century, during a time of transition from

7 | farmland to dense residential development.

The most common architectural style is Neo-Grec, seen throughout the district and employed throughout the phase of the development. Row houses, featuring a combination of Neo-Grec and Second Empire-stylistic features, are among the earliest buildings constructed, and a row of Romanesque Revival style and Queen Anne style houses on Hart Street among the latest. The consistency in age and style creates a distinctly cohesive streetscape.

Next slide.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Uh, one moment, we're going to... Is it okay if we're going to call for a vote?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Sure.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Just because we have members who are in other hearings.

So, we're just going to pause on the public hearing piece.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 27
2	We will now vote to approve the designation of
3	the following sites by Landmarks Preservation
4	Commission:
5	LU 129, the designation of the Temple Court, now
6	the Beekman Hotel Atrium in Council Member Marte's
7	district in Manhattan; LU 108, the designation
8	Heckscher Building, now the Crown Building, in
9	Council Member Power's district in Manhattan; Land
10	Use Item 131; the designation of Frederick Douglass
11	Memorial Park, in Council Member Carr's district in
12	Staten Island.
13	Council Member Marte, do you have anything you
14	want to say? Okay, great.
15	Members of the subcommittee who have questions or
16	remarks about today's items, please let me know.
17	I now call for a vote to approve LUs 108, 129,
18	and 131. Counsel, please call the roll.
19	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Brannan?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Aye
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Feliz, who's
22	online?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: (NO RESPONSE)
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: I don't know if he's able to
25	unmute?

1	CUDCOMMITTEE ON LANDWARKS DURING CITTAGE
1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 28
2	Council Member Feliz online? Are you able to give
3	your vote?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: (NO RESPONSE)
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Uh, okay, Council Member
6	Marte?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I vote aye.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Acting Chair Nurse?
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: I vote aye.
10	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Salaam?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SALAAM: I vote aye.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: And, then, we'll leave it open
13	for one minute.
14	So far with a vote of four in favor, the items
15	are approved. Do you want to leave the vote open?
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, we will leave it
17	open, but we will turn it back to you all. Sorry for
18	the brief intermission.
19	Can we get the slides again?
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Okay, and here you
21	can see examples of the Second Empire, Neo-Grec, and
22	Romanesque Revival styles.
23	Next slide.
24	After calendaring, there was permitting activity
25	at DOB (Department of Buildings) that LPC monitored,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS 1 AND DISPOSITIONS 2 including resurfacing rear and rooftop additions and 3 facade repair. In voting to approve the district, the LPC commissioners were apprised of and consider those 4 permits. Today, the district has good integrity overall, with consistent historic streetscapes, and 6 7 minor alterations to some properties that do not 8 detract from the strong historic architectural character, streetscape integrity, and sense of place consistent with similar historic districts. 10 11 Thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions. 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you, one second. 13 And, we do have one question. Wondering if the 14 homeowners will still be able to make additions to 15 the back of their homes after the historic district 16 is designated? 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KERSAVAGE: Sure. Our rooftop 18 and rear yard additions are very common applications 19 at the Landmarks Commission, some of which can even 20 be approved by staff, others that go to our 21 Commission. So some, if they follow the rules, even 2.2 our staff can just approve them in an expedited 2.3 fashion. But otherwise, you know, we have hearings

most Tuesdays, and oftentimes, rooftop and rear yard

additions are on the agenda. So it's something that

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS

AND DISPOSITIONS 30

we very commonly see in row house districts such as
this one.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Okay, thank you for that.

Are there any remote public participants who wish to testify and have not already done so?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: There are no public... there are no members of the public.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify -- or members, uhm, regarding the Preconsidered LU item for the designation of the Willoughby Hart Historic District, the public hearing is now closed, thank you so much, panelist, and sorry for the disruption.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The final vote for the Land
Use items 108, 129, and 131, is 4 in the affirmative,
0 abstentions, no negative, and all items are
referred to the full Land Use Committee.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON NURSE: Thank you, Counsel.

That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, staff, committee counsel, Land Use staff, and the Sergeants at Arms for your participation today.

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS	31
2	This meeting is hereby adjourned.	JΙ
3	(GAVEL SOUND) (GAVELING OUT)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

#### ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 14, 2024