COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 1

CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

----- X

December 14, 2023 Start: 1:08 p.m. Recess: 4:37 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Rita C. Joseph, Chairperson

Committee on Education

Shaun Abreu, Chairperson Committee on State and Federal Legislation

COUNCIL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION:

Alexa Avilés

Carmen N. De La Rosa

James F. Gennaro Jennifer Gutiérrez

Shahana Hanif Kamillah Hanks Shekar Krishnan

Linda Lee Julie Menin

Mercedes Narcisse Lincoln Restler Pierina Ana Sanchez Lynn C. Schulman Althea V. Stevens

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 2

COUNCIL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION:

Shaun Abreu Christopher Marte Carlina Rivera

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 3

APPEARANCES

David Banks, Chancellor of New York City Schools

Carolyne Quintana, Deputy Chancellor for Teaching and Learning

Kara Ahmed, Deputy Chancellor for Early Childhood Education

Danika Rux, Deputy Chancellor for School Leadership

Barbara Foorman

Jo Anne Simon, New York State District 52 Assembly Member

Mary Vaccaro, Vice President of Education for the United Federation of Teachers and Executive Director of the Teacher Center

Sarah Part, Senior Policy Analyst at Advocates for Children of New York

Debbie Meyer, consultant for the Dyslexia Alliance for Black Children, serves on the Literacy Advisory Council for New York City Public Schools, and consultant on the Dyslexia and Literacy Program at the Ralph Bunch PS 125 School

Callie Higgins, legal fellow at the New York Legal Assistance Group

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 4

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lasana Tunica-El, Senior Deputy Director at Educators for Excellence New York

Teresa Ranieri, educator in District 9 and member Educators for Excellence New York

Robert Murtfeld, PTA Advocacy Committee at an East Village school

Dr. Daniella Maglione, Pearson

Emily Hellstrom, co-founder of Literacy Academy Collective

Jeannine Kiely, co-founder of Literacy Academy Collective

Naomi Peña, co-founder of Literacy Academy Collective

Yemi Semiglazova

Alex Estes

Paulette Healy

Charlene Parker

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Today's hearing is on

the Committee on Education being recorded in the

Chambers by Keith Polite. Today's date is December

14, 2023.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Education jointly with State and Federal Legislature.

At this time, we ask that you please silence all cell phones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing.

If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov.

At any time throughout the hearing, do not approach the dais.

We thank you for your cooperation.

CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: [GAVEL] Good

afternoon, and welcome to today's Joint Committee on

Education, Committee on State and Federal Legislation

oversight hearing on the New Phonics Based Curriculum

Chairs, we are ready to begin.

1

and Dyslexia Screening in New York City Public Schools.

4

5

6

7

8

3

I'm Rita Joseph, Chair of the Education

Committee. Thank you to the State and Federal

Legislation Committee, Chair Shaun Abreu, for joining us, and thank you to everyone who's planning to testify today. We are very much looking forward to hearing your testimony.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Over the past several decades, reading instructions in the United States had largely alternated between phonics-based and whole language methodologies. The whole language methodology is a top-down approach that teaches students to memorize sight words and use context and picture cues to guess words. Meanwhile, the phonics-based is a bottom-up approach that explicitly teaches the relationship between letters and sounds that form words. In 1997, the U.S. Congress convened a National Reading Panel with the mandate to study all available scientific research to determine the most effective methodology to teach students how to read. In 2000, the panel released its report which concluded that since thematic phonics, not whole language, is the most effective methodology for teaching new readers and

proficiency in reading. In May 2023, the City

25

2.2

2.3

announced a 35-million-dollar investment for a new NYC Reads initiative, which is to be phased in over two years starting during the 2023 to 2024 school year. The initiative is a standardized reading approach designed to provide all students with access to compatible research-backed instructions. For students with disabilities, the New York City Public Schools Special Education Office offer curricular support with adapted learning materials, while its division of multilingual learners provide support to teachers of English language learners.

A year later, in May 2023, the City announced the largest, most comprehensive approach to supporting public school students with dyslexia. In the U. S., dyslexia, a language-based learning disorder that stems from individuals' differences in areas of the brain that process language, is one of the most common learning disabilities affecting an estimated 15 to 20 percent of the population. Yet, historically, people with dyslexia have been underdiagnosed and untreated, which have been devastating consequences including social and emotional problems, the constant confusion, frustrating experience by those with dyslexia can

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lead to anxiety, anger, poor self-image, and depression. Disturbingly, dyslexia also over represented among school dropouts, teen pregnancies, addiction, homeless, and suicides.

Research findings also indicate that almost half of the people in prison are dyslexic. Ιn fact, a couple of months ago, the Council passed Law Local 138 of 2023, which requires New York City Department of Corrections to screen and offer evidence-based interventions to individuals between 18 and 21 years old in custody who self-reported that they do not have a high school diploma or equivalent for dyslexia to be screened for dyslexia immediately. According to the Mayor's press release, beginning in the fall of 2023, New York City public schools was set to set up two pilot programs at 80 elementary schools, 80 middle schools across the city to receive targeted support training to screen and identify students at risk of dyslexia and provided targeted interventions.

Early identification and treatment are key to helping students with dyslexia overcome obstacles to achievement in school and life.

Together, NYC Reads and widespread dyslexia

fourth grade. I was a struggling reader from a

struggling Latino family, and I had a year shaved off

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of my life as a consequence. I am not alone in this experience. Today, less than half of children in New York City public schools are reading at grade level by the end of grade three. This is a tragedy, but it is also a policy choice. Twenty years ago, this City's Chancellor and Mayor partnered with a professor named Lucy Calkins to announce that our schools would be adopting an approach to reading instruction known as balanced literacy. It was an approach that could be best described as pseudoscience and at worst, an outright grift, a money grab that banked on our excitement over what is new and novel over our commitment to what is tried and true. This choice was one of national consequence. New York City operates the country's largest public school system and drives a large market for educational materials. Our choices not only affect our own children, but those across the nation as schools are forced to select among the educational materials available to them. With our poor choices, a generation of children within and beyond New York City missed out on the single most important skill that our schools should provide, the ability to read. We see the consequences of our

2.2

2.3

failure to teach our kids to read every day. We see it hold kids back from finishing high school and then hold them back from succeeding in a career. We see adults with dyslexia dramatically over-represented in our prisons and among our homeless populations. We see illiteracy prevent New Yorkers from being able to read the newspaper and be informed, engaged citizens. We see it prevent people from being able to write a note to someone they love or a letter to representatives that they should be able to hold accountable.

The reason we're talking about this today is because we know how to teach children to read, and we've known for a long time. The science of reading is one of the most well-researched, well-established concepts in education, and this year, for the first time, we have a Chancellor who is willing to stand up for our kids and declare that we are going to teach you how to read. Chancellor Banks is an educator and he is someone who recognizes that the decisions he makes have real, lasting consequences in the lives of New Yorkers. His decision to invest in the science of reading and to strip out the disease of balanced literacy could be the most consequential policy

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: I do.

25

Before I begin, I would like to thank

Speaker Adams, Chair Joseph, Chair Abreu, and the

24

25

2.2

2.3

entire City Council for your interest in our work around the science of reading and creating a culture of reading across the city. Our team assembled here today is leading incredibly transformative work to reimagine the way we teach reading. We are calling this body of work, NYC Reads, and, as I'll explain, it includes a comprehensive approach to literacy instruction including curriculum shifts, intensive professional learning and coaching, academic screening and intervention, and supports for students at risk for dyslexia. There is no more important work that we are doing than this.

We're implementing NYC Reads in two phases. Phase One launched this school year across nearly 94 percent of our early childhood portfolio and in grades K to five across nearly half of our community school districts. Phase Two will launch next school year, by which point NYC Reads will be active in all early childhood and K-5 classrooms across the entire city.

The need for dramatic action on literacy is glaring. A quick look at the data makes the case. At the start of this Administration, 51 percent of our students in grades three to eight, including 63

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

percent of our Latino students and 64 percent of our black students, were not proficient readers. While proficiency rates were slightly higher on the 2023 State exams, signaling that we are headed in the right direction, our work is far from done. Our mission is to ensure that each student graduates on a pathway to a rewarding career and long-term economic security, equipped to be a positive force for change. We cannot fulfill that mission statement if our kids cannot read. The good news is that we know what needs to change. Our current reading results are not the fault of our teachers, our families, or our students. They are the result of a flawed approach. For too many years, there was no cohesive, comprehensive, citywide strategy for literacy, and schools were left to figure it out on their own. Many schools used balanced literacy, which involved techniques like asking children to use pictures to guess the words in a story. This was not grounded in research, and for some students, it simply did not work. Like many other academic skills, reading and comprehension must be explicitly taught. We can't expect students to just pick it up. That's why we are grounding our instruction in the science of reading, which is an

2.2

2.3

evidence-based approach that focuses on five strands

of instruction that Chair Joseph already laid out,

phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, fluency, and

comprehension, that together enable a student to read

6 confidently and understand what they are reading. We

7 know that our children can only love to read if they

8 know how to read. So as the largest school system in

the nation, we are proud to lead by example with this

10 shift to the science of reading.

Today I will outline the various components of our comprehensive approach to transforming literacy instruction in our public schools. Both the early childhood and K to 5 implementation of NYC Reads include changes to curriculum, yet we can't expect a curriculum to produce results if the teachers using it are not properly trained and supported so, in both early childhood and grades K to 5, we are pairing these new curriculum materials with intensive, unprecedented levels of professional learning and coaching for teachers and leaders.

Let me talk a little bit about early childhood. Early childhood classrooms in NYC Reads use a singular developmental screener, a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

developmentally appropriate curriculum, and an authentic child assessment system. These tools were selected because of their inclusion of our birth to five continuum and the sound alignment of all three tools grounded in the science of reading. All early childhood teaching teams and coaches in Phase One received 17 hours of professional learning in the spring with a multitude of professional learning options offered over the summer to support their individual needs. In addition to these 17 hours of professional learning, all school and program leaders received six hours of professional learning laserfocused on their role as an instructional leader. Over the course of this school year, early childhood teachers are receiving in-person coaching every other week tailored to their individual professional needs. At the same time, all leaders are also receiving coaching every other week to support them in implementing high-quality early childhood education for their program or school community. This has never happened before. In grades K to 5, when Mayor Adams officially appointed me, one of the first things we did as an administration was mandate that all K through 2 schools implement a phonics-based

curriculum including Hegarty and Foundations and that our teachers receive training and resources accordingly. We ensured each foundational literacy curriculum chosen by our school was vetted by our Central Literacy Team so NYC Reads can be seen as a continuation and expansion of this work. Community school districts participating in Phase One of NYC Reads have selected one of three curricular choices. HMH Into Reading which includes Ariba La Lectura for Spanish bilingual programs, Expeditionary Learning, their education curriculum, or Wit and Wisdom. They make one of these choices after a full-on engagement with their school community. Districts in Phase Two of our rollout will be choosing among these three options as well.

For K to 5, professional learning sessions for Phase One educators began last spring and summer as an initial introduction to their district's chosen curriculum. As of this fall, teachers are also receiving job-embedded coaching, meaning they have experts working alongside them in the classroom to provide real-time support including demo lessons, side by side modeling, and more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

So far, Phase One K to 5 schools received between 13 and 16 hours of training throughout the spring and summer and on average have received five coaching sessions this fall. By the end of the school year, they'll receive about 26 sessions. This has never happened before in our public schools.

Combined, our New York City READS professional learning and coaching ensures consistent implementation across schools and programs, it builds internal capacity to sustain this work, and ultimately results in more supported educators and stronger instruction for children.

At the central level, we will be monitoring the implementation of NYC Reads to adjust coaching plans and inform ongoing professional learning.

In addition to being evidence-based, we know that our reading instruction must reflect the lived experiences of our students and school communities. To that end, to ensure our instruction is culturally responsive, we are supplementing our NYC Reads curricular options with materials designed in New York City and representative of the diversity of our school system. Specifically, our Hidden Voices

2.2

2.3

initiative spotlights histories and perspectives
which are often overlooked, including series which
are focused on the AAPI community, the LGBTQ+
community, and the Global African diaspora, which we
are announcing officially next month.

In addition to culturally and linguistically responsive materials, the professional learning and supports provided through our Division of Multilingual Learners and our Division of Educator Development are also helping ensure that each child feels seen and heard.

As I mentioned earlier, NYC Reads is a comprehensive approach, one that also includes academic screening and supports for students showing signs of print-based learning disabilities. For grades K to 9, we conduct universal academic screening three times each year to help us monitor student progress and also identify students who may be at risk for dyslexia. Students who score in the bottom 16 percent in ELA on these screeners are given a secondary screener, after which they may be identified for intervention services, which are provided by centrally funded interventionists in 322 schools and trained staff members in all other

1 schools. As part of the 322 schools, intensive 2 3 reading interventionists are providing intervention services to 1,900 students in 111 of our K to 5 Phase 4 One schools. Students are receiving intervention services four times per week with weekly progress 6 7 monitoring to ensure that students are making 8 progress towards grade level standards. Interventionists have received in-depth training in evidence-based practices for reading instruction 10 11 through the International Dyslexia Association, 12 accredited graduate level coursework, research-based 13 interventions, data analysis, and progress monitoring. For students who are diagnosed with 14 15 dyslexia, we are creating options for families that 16 provide comprehensive supports including the newly opened South Bronx Literacy Academy and our iREAD 17

Last year, we also piloted dyslexia programs at PS 125 in Manhattan, PS 161 in the Bronx, PS 295 and PS 107 in Brooklyn that included training educators in the Orton, Gillingham and Wilson methods. We're currently studying the impact of these models and pilots and thinking about how to most effectively scale this work.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

programs.

2.3

Additionally, New York City Public
Schools increased the assessment tools and training
for all school psychologists citywide including
assessments needed to identify students with printbased disabilities such as dyslexia. Through the
enhancement of our assessment tools and training, we
provided our clinicians with the ability to
investigate and understand the needs of each student
assessed, decreasing reliance on private evaluators.

As part of our NYC Reads, we're also providing tailored supports for students with disabilities and multilingual learners. Centrally funded special education intervention teachers in 960 schools are trained in explicit, systematic reading intervention programs and effective assessment and instructional practices to accelerate students' progress and skills such as fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. 208 of these teachers in Phase One elementary schools and teachers in select secondary level cohorts also take the International Dyslexia Association accredited graduate level coursework that I just mentioned. We know all students, including our multilingual learners, must receive comprehensive instruction that includes all the components of

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

literacy, including language development, development of content knowledge, vocabulary, foundational skills for decoding, comprehension, and writing. With this in mind, we have developed resources for teachers to support their multilingual learners to assess and engage with the new curriculum and provided professional learning to teachers.

We know that change isn't easy, and for many teachers, leaders, families the shift to the science of reading will require new mindsets and practices. We will only be successful if our internal and external stakeholders believe in and support NYC Reads and for that to happen, we must treat them as true partners in the design and the rollout of this work. To that end, Superintendent teams have been deeply engaging their families, schools and communities in choosing their NYC Reads curriculum. Centrally, we have hosted webinars and focus groups to share information and gather input and feedback, and we're also planning local literacy events and sharing of activities and resources with families across the city to bolster reading skills at home. This past summer, over 1,200 families joined us for an overview of the Early Childhood Developmental

Screener Curriculum and Assessment System, and these sessions are continuing throughout the school year. These sessions were offered to all early childhood families citywide and included what they should expect their child to experience while at school and the resources and support available to extend their child's learning at home. During the 2022 to 2023 school year, we convened a diverse group of stakeholders to join the Literacy Advisory Council to help shape the direction of literacy in New York City. The Council meets monthly and includes local community members, experts in literacy, our public school staff, students, and parents. We also created internal planning teams to support each of the Literacy Advisory Council topics and subcouncils focused on the rollout of NYC Reads and other literacy initiatives across the city.

Lastly, we are working with our organizational partners in the education space, including CBOs, higher education institutions, and others to ensure that they are also adopting the science of reading in their work with our children or the adults who teach our children.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Finally, we're now preparing to roll out

Phase Two of NYC Reads, which will launch next school

year and will include all remaining early childhood

education programs and elementary schools. Beyond

our birth to grade 5 students, we are also looking at

our upper grades because the data tells us that many

of our older students are also struggling to read.

We are in the midst of a core curriculum review

process for grades 6 through 12 including a rigorous

review of all major vendors.

As you can see, we're taking a thoughtful and very comprehensive approach to NYC Reads because we believe that teaching students to read is the greatest and most fundamental responsibility of any school system. I want to thank you all for taking the time to dive into the subject today, and when our students are strong readers, we all win, students, families, teachers, and the entire City of New York. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, Chancellor Banks.

I'd like to acknowledge Council Member Hanif, Narcisse, Marte, Krishnan, Gennaro, and Aviles.

1

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

Thank you, Chancellor Banks, for your testimony. I also was part of the Reading Advisory as well, and I sat in on a lot of the sessions.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: That's right.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: My first question for you is any curriculum is only as good as its implementation and teachers need ongoing support and training to be able to use materials and teaching strategies effectively with students in front of them. What is New York City Public Schools doing to ensure teachers have it at the elbow, support they need, particularly given the elimination of universal literacy coaches and the fact that many teachers were not trained in science of reading in their preservice preparation programs. Before we dive into that, also keep in mind, are we also working with universities to make sure their curriculum aligns with yours because we're putting out teachers that are not trained on reading.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: That's right. So let me just answer the second part first, and I think each one of our Deputies has a word to offer as relates to what this training looks like in real time. I recently convened the Deans of the Schools of

Education, across the city and some who are outside 2 3 of the city. We did an analysis of all the schools of 4 education where we essentially get all of our teachers from and we brought them all in and we made it very clear, it was not just a convening, it was 6 7 not just an open conversation, we made them clear that NYC Reads and our ability to teach our kids to 8 read is the most important thing that we are focused on in this Administration and that we need every 10 11 teacher who's coming into our schools to be well-12 versed in the science of reading. It's not a request, 13 it's not a it would be nice if you would do it. We said we are looking for the partners who want to work 14 15 with us, and if you decide that that doesn't fit the philosophy of your school, that's fine, but we 16 17 certainly won't be looking to take any of the 18 teaching candidates that are coming for your school. They can work in other places but not here. That 19 message was delivered in a very, very strong tone, 20 21 and they got it, and it's going to take them a little 2.2 while as well just like it is all of us. This is a 2.3 sea change for everybody, but they understood where we are, what we're trying to do. When we announced 24 25 NYC Reads, the biggest ovation was when I announced

the schools of education and responsibility that they have and you heard a roar from everybody. Our schools of education have not been preparing the teachers that we are receiving in our schools to be well-versed in the science of reading. Once they do that, coupled with everything that we're doing, we will be able to move at levels that the school system has never seen before. That's where we're going. That's the message that we have sent.

But as it relates to this level of training and what we're actually doing in our schools, I don't know who wants to start first. Why don't we start with early childhood and then work our way from there?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: Sure. Hi, good afternoon. Thank you. It's a really important question and it absolutely is one of the most critical pieces of the work and, as you heard the Chancellor describe, NYC Reads is about adding in new or different curricula, but it is also so much more about the professional learning and the coaching that we're implementing to ensure a truly comprehensive approach, and that is beginning with our youngest learners, and so it is beginning with our educators

2 and our leaders that are teaching those young 3 children. In early childhood, you'll see many 4 parallels even through K to 5, but specifically in early childhood, there is an intentional drive to 5 ensuring that there is ongoing professional 6 7 development so long gone are the days of a one-shot deal, a one-shot webinar, a one-shot PD session, but 8 9 that there is ongoing spaces for both teachers, teacher assistants, paraprofessionals, and all of our 10 11 school and program leaders to really engage in 12 professional learning that's going to help us to go 13 deeper into the work, not just wider, wider, wider, and that is being coupled with building really strong 14 15 internal capacity around coaching. From our instructional coordinators that are supporting our 16 17 teachers directly, as you heard the Chancellor share 18 in biweekly coaching sessions, to our leadership coaches that are coaching directly with our program 19 and school leaders, we're looking to build a true 20 ripple effect, right, so that if we can build the 21 2.2 capacity and empower our school and program leaders 2.3 so that they feel that they're at their best to lead their school and program communities through NYC 24 Reads, through curriculum, through screening, through 25

assessment, they in turn will be the best coach for their teachers, and, in turn, our teachers will be the best coach for our children and their families.

There's an array of things that are happening on that professional learning and coaching front, but I think

-

the key pieces and the key ingredients there is that

it's ongoing, it's frequent, right, so it's not,

again, that one-shot deal to really build scalability

2.2

2.3

and sustainability.

We go to you, Dr. Rux, and then we're going to come over to you to wrap up what's actually happening in the classrooms. I think it's important, please, for every Council Member to fully appreciate the early childhood sector has never gotten this kind of professional development. As we have visited the schools, they are overjoyed, they are overjoyed because it is a level of intense PD that is going to marry them to everything that's happening in K-5.

We've often said, imagine what it would be like if kids are coming out of pre-K already knowing how to read. That will enable us to take the school system to much greater heights than we've ever done before so it's really important that you see this as a birth

2 through process. It's not starting in kindergarten,
3 starting much earlier than that, and the rollout and

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 | what's happening with our school leaders. Dr. Rux.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: It's a pleasure to be here today to talk about such an important topic. I want to lift one thing, I've been in the New York City public school system for almost 29 years, and one thing that I've often noticed is when there's a rollout of new curriculum, the training starts over the summer and that is it. The professional development, the curriculum provider does a one-hour professional development session and that is it, you go into your school in September and you have to figure it out with your school community. What makes New York City Reads different is that even before we launched, even before teachers, principals, school district leaders had the curriculum in hand, there was professional development that was setting them up for success, and we started that in the spring with all of our Phase One districts, and that is 16 superintendent districts. The professional development continued throughout the summer, and we partnered with UFT, and UFT provided in-person professional development. We had the professional

25

year.

2 development that was online, and we had 3 superintendents who partnered with curriculum 4 developers to provide additional professional development sessions for the curriculum. That is 5 unique. That has never happened. During the 6 7 professional development sessions, one of the mandates that we had was that every single teacher 8 would have access to the first unit before the first day of school. Again, never has that happened. While 10 11 you're unpacking the boxes and getting all of the 12 curriculum material in the school, you're trying to 13 figure out, you're laughing, you're trying to figure out, how am I going to teach this first unit? That 14 15 did not happen this year because we were intentional 16 in ensuring that when you came into school, you were 17 ready to go, you were ready to teach. In the fall, 18 what was also unique is job-embedded coaching. That also has historically never happened. You have 19 20 someone there in the classroom with you, giving you some tidbits, hey, do this, ask this question, you 21 2.2 might want to try this out. That historically has not 2.3 happened, and we're going to continue on with the professional development throughout the rest of this 24

2.2

2.3

Now, I also want to point out that the superintendent's team has expanded tremendously as you all know, and we were intentional in identifying two additional staff members on the superintendent's team that are just solely responsible for the implementation and the professional development.

Through a partnership with UFT, we have teacher centers in every single district that is rolling out in Phase One, and we also have an implementation center specialist who is on the superintendent's team in classrooms monitoring what's going on, providing us with feedback so that we can make adjustments in what is going on to ensure that we're pivoting and making real time adjustments that's going to yield us the results that we're looking for.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: Before we go to Deputy
Chancellor Quintana, I just want to also add, I want
to emphasize our partnership with the UFT is critical
here, and our partnership with CSA as well. They are
both on board, but Michael Mulgrew got this from day
one, and his team and several of his members of his
leadership team are here today. They have worked with
us hand in glove on this from the very beginning, and

2.2

2.3

the reason why I think that is so critically important is because when you want to actually move things in a system this large, if you've got the union and you've got administration at loggerheads with each with each other, you can only move the system but so far, but when you see this level of alignment that we have here, this is the perfect marriage of the union and the administration working together for what makes all the sense in the world to everybody, and we'll only get where we're trying to go if we're working really, really closely together, and so that's been one of my proudest moments of being Chancellor on this NYC Reads and our extreme engagement with the UFT in particular as well as the CSA.

afternoon, and thank you, Council, for having us for this important topic. While you're on the conversation about our partnership with our labor partners, I just want to add that part of what makes this successful is that we are emphasizing the training under the science of reading every day, every leader, every superintendent, every principal, and the UFT has been a big part of making sure, even

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

crafting their own sessions of science of reading, modules so that we could participate broadly across the city.

You had asked very specifically, though, about higher education, and for higher education, we have actually found partners, and so with CUNY, for example, we will this spring begin launching training that we are offering to the professors at CUNY so that they are receiving access to the curriculum that we are administering and facilitating throughout our schools, but they also receive training in the methodology so they understand what it is that we are doing in our schools and the professors can train their teacher candidates accordingly. We're working alongside our university partners so that we can make sure that the folks that we get that come to us come with an understanding and some skills in place already. For the folks that are already here though, we need to make sure that we are training and so we also have university partners who are working with us to launch micro-credentials and other opportunities for training through the university that are a foot in the door for added hours and degrees for our teachers as well so it's a symbiotic relationship and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it really works for all of us to make sure that we're moving in the same direction together. Aside from those pieces with the university, though, and I know that we talked a little bit about the UFT district teacher center reps. At the district, we've placed people who are supporting our teachers in their development so we have the implementation specialists. Last year, we also placed academic intervention specialists, and those were our former coaches who are now working in these very specific roles to help ensure that we're implementing the curriculum with fidelity, that folks understand the transition to this type of teaching of reading, and that they have support in their schools every day. Many of those folks also went on to become interventionists. Not only did you hear about the 960 centrally funded special education interventionists, we also have over 100 interventionists that have been placed across our Phase One schools who are helping to develop internal interventionists because we want to create a system that is sustainable. We want to create a systemic shift that remains beyond any of the supports that we provide right now, and so those interventionists are with Phase One schools, making

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sure that schools are developing the capacity from within, and in the meantime, also supporting with secondary screeners and other type of intensive intervention supports.

You heard the Chancellor talk about all iREAD staff continue to receive training in OG and other types of methodologies. We have a train-thetrainer model that we'll be rolling out as well, again taking advantage of the training folks have already received. Some will be fast tracked, some will go through the process with our partners like the AIM Institute, making sure that folks know what it means to facilitate that kind of learning. We've already seen some really fantastic examples. A centrally funded interventionist at one of their schools who was actually providing the intervention was able to train an additional five teachers at their school, and now they're all happy to provide those intensive interventions for the kids across the school, and so we want to build capacity from within and that will continue to happen. We're visiting model sites. Superintendents actually started going on their own, principals are visiting one another, and so we really are building this culture of

| 2 | learning as well as this culture of reading, and we |
|----|---|
| ۷ | learning as well as this culture of leading, and we |
| 3 | wouldn't have been able to do this if we didn't have |
| 4 | consistency, right? If we didn't have not only the |
| 5 | same methodology but commonality with those |
| 6 | curricular choices, and that creates commonality in |
| 7 | the language, commonality in the outcomes, and so our |
| 8 | folks can actually work together on that, and the |
| 9 | last piece is we have actually built modules which |
| 10 | we'll be launching in January. We'll be focusing on K |
| 11 | through 5 in January and then again in late spring, |
| 12 | and we'll be focusing on secondary in September, and |
| 13 | those modules will give a complete recap overview of |
| 14 | the science of reading starting with what is |
| 15 | comprehension because we're starting with the end in |
| 16 | mind. We want to make sure that folks are exposed to |
| 17 | that, and we'll continue to provide as my colleagues |
| 18 | have said professional learning throughout. |

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Well, thank you.

When I was in the classroom, I had a drive-by PD for

my reading, it was a drive-by, get it during the

summertime, and that was it.

I'd like to recognize Council Members De La Rosa, Sanchez, Lee. Thank you for joining us.

2.2

2.3

I was about to ask you about the coaches, but the coaches were repurposed for implementational specialists instead, the literacy coaches that you used to have in the system for K to 2?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: They were given priority to apply for the interventionist positions, the AIS coordinator positions at the district, and the implementation specialist positions at the district so we've re appropriated many of those, and for folks who went back to the classroom, those are many of the folks that we'll be able to fast track through some of this train-the-trainer model.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Good. How is DOE learning from experiences of other jurisdictions? You wanted to add on something?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: Yeah, I just wanted to add that that was really important to us because they had the training that was necessary to engage in this work right away so they were prioritized in our hiring.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Okay, good. Are you learning from any other jurisdiction or experiences? Are you calling other states and finding

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

out what they're doing? Talk to us a little bit about that.

41

CHANCELLOR BANKS: There were two states in particular that really served as a little bit of an inspiration for us here as well. Tennessee was like the first one that we really engaged and and Mississippi. Interestingly enough, the state of Mississippi for decades has had the worst reading results in the nation. Every other state that was doing poorly would always say, at least we're not as bad as Mississippi. Mississippi has leaped over almost all the states across the nation, and it is because they locked into this. They were doing what we were doing before. They had a lot of balanced literacy. They had a lot of allowing every school district to do what they thought worked well because you know your kids best. You figure it out. All of these answers should come closer to the local community. So what you have were dozens of different approaches and, as an entire state, it did not work, and they continued to languish and it was when they made a decision that we're going to create a focus around the science of reading, we're going to eliminate all these choices, we're going to vet the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on that too?

system, we're going to pick the stuff that works best 2 3 for us, that's already proven, and we're going to 4 ensure that everybody gets the level of professional development and support together with working with the unions, they refer to it as the Mississippi 6 7 Miracle, how much they have improved, and Tennessee, similar kinds of results so we've been engaged. We've 8 spoken to their commissioners of education and those who are really driving this work. We've been in 10

conversation with them as well as others in this

space. Is there is something that you wanted to add

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I'll actually let Deputy Commissioner Rux talk a little bit about our partnership with the states in part because she was actually able to invite folks to come in and speak to the superintendents directly so I'll let her talk a little bit about that.

What I did want to mention is we're also learning from institutions around us, local districts and what they're doing, but also visiting some of the private organizations and schools, right, to find the practices, as you just heard Chancellor say, what works and what doesn't so that we can learn from

that. We know that people pay attention to New York City. It's important for us to get this right. With the size of our district, we can't afford not to do the right thing. We also know that each of our districts are incredibly different, and we want to make sure we're providing the right supports across the variety and the diversity of student bodies that we have in each of our boroughs, and we want to make sure that we have the right types of supports in place. For example, we took a group of superintendents and their teams to the AIM Institute in Philadelphia, and we're able to see many of those practices integrated into the content areas so that you don't have to separate learning of reading through the explicit and systematic processes from really building content knowledge and still having the opportunity to have an inquiry based classroom where you're really building experiences and schema that instead those things can actually be connected and combined, and so we want to be able to create spaces that look like that as well and so we're partnering with different kinds of organizations so taking a look at wherever we can learn about what to

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 do and what not to do. Danika, if you want to talk 3 about this.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: Yes, most notable to share, we had Penny Schwinn from Tennessee join one of our superintendent meetings and she livestreamed in, and it was an amazing opportunity for our superintendents to ask some real poignant questions around the change management, and she shared great resources with us as well, She provided us with some model tools that they used, and we were able to use those to help us and guide us with the work. We're always looking for models of where this work has happened so that we can adjust what we're doing to ensure that we're impactful.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. I'd like to recognize Council Member Gutiérrez.

I wanted to find out earlier, you mentioned you had 100 interventionists. What happens when one of them leaves the school? What's the plan?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Sorry, I had to figure out the mic there. Part of that was what I had mentioned, that we're asking the schools actually to build the capacity from within so we have those 960 centrally funded interventionists that are across

our schools. We also have the just over 100 2 3 interventionists that we have right now in those 4 Phase One schools. Many schools already have identified interventionists so they have speech teachers, they have different types of coordinators 6 7 that they've identified, and I've even seen models when we visited schools where the schools have 8 actually allocated intervention and enrichment during a period, and so every teacher becomes an 10 11 interventionist through one of their programs that 12 they're using, so Spire, Rewards, Starry, right, and 13 so they're actually creating a system where every teacher does this work. Part of that is just about 14 15 training. We were actually able to do much of that 16 through what the City Council had funded through 17 MSQI, and we've learned a lot about what it means to 18 create those intervention blocks in that way and continue to to build those resources, but it's really 19 20 important for us, particularly because we don't know 21 the future of our budgets, to build the capacity from within and because we don't want this to be an 2.2 2.3 initiative. This needs to be a systemic shift. As you heard Chair Abreu say, right, we made a shift a long 24 25 time ago that actually had negative impact on many

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

students and so we need to make sure that we're breaking those behaviors, we're building new ones, and this means a systemic shift in the way that we structure our schools, our school days, our staffing, and we ensure that we're building sustainability from within.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: You mentioned Spire. I like Spire. I was trained on it.

Please provide an update on the status of districts under Phase One of the initiative. How often do teachers receive coaching support? I know you talked about that, but you can just repeat it for me, and how are you collecting feedback from teachers, administrators about the new curriculum? If so, what are some of the feedbacks you're hearing and how do you plan on addressing any concerns?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: So, Phase One is running very smoothly at this point, and it is because of the feedback loops that we have. We meet with our superintendents on a weekly basis in Phase One. We have a 30-minute call with them. We talk about what's working, what's not working. We're making adjustments to what's not working. We're providing them also with opportunities to see the

2.2

2.3

practice in action. We've organized our superintendent meetings so that we are going out, I'll give you a concrete example. We recently went out to District 24 and District 30. We broke up our superintendents into small groups, they went into classrooms to see the work in action, and then to come back and to debrief because it's really important for us that we're norming the work and we're making adjustments as we go. When we came back together, we all shared the feedback and we gave the superintendent some next steps about the work that they are engaged in with their district. That is professional development on the ground, working, we're ensuring our superintendents are getting that.

At the school level, it's working out in a number of different ways, but what we have ensured is that from September to now every school has had 5.5 days of professional development coaching at the school level. By the end of the year, they'll have a minimum of 17 days of professional development coaching at the school level. We're ensuring that it's ongoing, it's not just a one and done, that we have multiple paths of professional development and enrichment for our teachers. We're continuing to

receive feedback from CSA. We meet with them monthly
to ensure, because we don't hear it all the time from
the superintendents. We need to triangulate the

5 information as it comes in, which I often say, and so

6 we are listening to what they're saying and we're

7 | taking that into consideration.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: Slightly different for, for early childhood, but all the same good stuff. As you heard the Chancellor mention, the majority of the early childhood portfolio is part of what we would call Phase One so it's been an absolutely wonderful launch to this work. Even those that are not technically part of Phase One, they've been part of every single piece of professional learning, coaching, materials so that as we transition into Phase Two for next year, everyone feels very well-prepared and very well-equipped to make that move. You had mentioned, Chair Joseph, what does coaching look like, and I know we've all talked a little bit about it, but I would say that as we talk about our labor partners and the significant role that they've played in this work in shaping professional learning for our educators, we'd be remiss if we didn't talk about the wonderful role

that they've played, incredible role in really 2 3 shaping the work that's happening with our coaches, 4 right, so when we think about that significance of a coach with both our teachers and with our leaders, I 5 personally have just had an absolutely, just 6 privileged opportunity to work closely with the UFT 7 in shaping what that looks like for our instructional 8 coordinators, right, and so Karen Alford has been, I'll give her a shoutout, just absolutely amazing. I 10 11 know Mary's here. Mary, you have been amazing for K to 5, and with the CSA, Rosie Sinclair has been just 12 13 an incredible thought partner, and so when we think about like, what does that feedback look like, we are 14 15 adjusting in real time. Children don't get a do over, 16 you know, as Council Member Abreu has said, right, 17 the impact that this work has on children in real 18 time. You don't get a second chance of being 2. You 19 don't get a second chance of being 3, 4 or 8 so we 20 owe it to our kids to get it right, and so we can't 21 wait a year from now and figure out if it's really 2.2 working. As things are happening in real time, we are 2.3 adjusting, and so that feedback comes both from UFT and CSA. It comes from weekly meetings that are 24 happening with our coaches, with their own coach, so 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that they're feeling supported, and then it's coming from all of the professional learning and coaching that's happening. Our educators, our leaders, have an opportunity to give feedback, and we use that to really inform policy practice and systems that are in place. Chair Joseph, thank you for attending our first ever Leadership Summit over the summer. People are still talking about your presence there. I think the Chancellor might be a little jealous because they talk about you being there more than him, but it was the first ever, and this is what's important, you've heard the Chancellor say this a few times, the first ever time that we've brought together all leaders across early childhood, principals and assistant principals, our program leaders from our CBOs and our non-public schools because we're serving the same children, and so when you hear the Chancellor talk about that cohesion, that coherence, we want to ensure that no matter where that child is, no matter what zip code they're in, no matter what borough they're in, no matter what program they're in, that they're getting the same high quality, and so all of that plays a part in the feedback and the support so thank you again.

2 CHANCELLOR BANKS: Deputy Chancellor 3 didn't tell you this is her last day. We want to

2.2

2.3

thank you for...

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you for your service, right?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We've had that experience with Chair Joseph at our visits too. They're more excited about her being there than about the visits.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: She's amazing. Chair Joseph is absolutely amazing.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We're going to have to invite you, Chair Abreu.

I also wanted to add, so we collected a survey of data in the spring after our teachers were trained and our leaders were trained, and much of that information helped us structure the coaching and make decisions about partnership allocations and supports. A little bit like using an exit ticket at the end of a lesson, right, where you figure out what you're going to do next, and we just actually sent out another survey so we just sent out a survey to ask about coaching and professional learning so that we can find out what we need to do a little bit

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

differently, not only with the folks who are in Phase One but also as we're about to launch Phase Two, and so it gives us the information that we need to tweak. We're also collecting information though through many forms of other data so we're looking at screener data, and that actually gives the teacher information about how well they are following the curriculum and whether or not students are progressing along different skills that they need to be learning. It tells the principal what kind of support a teacher may need, and so we look at that. With screeners, we're actually looking at other information, like in year one of the screeners, we had an 89 percent completion rate, year two, 92 percent completion rate, and this year 92%, but that's only because District 24 is doing a pilot for us where they're actually piloting a screener that is in both Spanish and English, and so their numbers don't count, but those numbers are 98 percent completion rate, and so every year we increase in the number of folks who are actually using the screeners which is a testament to how important that information is for us to do things like provide intervention supports. We collect information through our visits, and I think that's

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the most important way. You get lots of fantastic stories, but you also get to see when folks are making meaning. Are they actually shifting what their classroom libraries look like to be about genre or to create context for knowledge building instead of levels? Do they now have different things on the walls so instead of word walls that focus on letters, are they actually focusing on sounds where you have phonemes and graphemes up on the walls? So through the visits, we get to see whether or not folks have made meaning and how they are progressing through the curriculum and whether or not they have the right resources up so that kids are building those practices and skills along the way too, and so we collect that and we bring it here. There are also folks in the room that don't hesitate to give us feedback. We have partners who we call for feedback and others who just give it to us anyway. It's really, really important though that we use that information, and I think you've been part of the Literacy Advisory Council is one of those bodies that actually collects information for us very deliberately, and the Literacy Advisory Council has many subcouncils so we get to hear about data

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 collection, we get to hear about parental 3 involvement, we get to hear about secondary supports, 4 about dyslexia, through these subcouncils, and they feed us that information so we know what's working, what's not, what questions are coming up, and 6 7 actually because this Literacy Advisory Council is made up of experts, teachers, students, parents, just 8 a wide range of folks who are invested in or know about literacy, we actually have resources built in, 10 11 and so it's, it's been really helpful to be, I think, 12 learners in this space and to be humble about such a 13 big shift because we have to be willing to be flexible and make sure we get it right, and I think 14

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: We've messed up reading for so long, we can't mess it up now.

Question is, D75, are they included in this training for the educators? It's very important we do not leave our students with disabilities out of this conversation so can you tell me what type of training are you providing for D75 educators?

that we've taken the right stance.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: The same training that we're providing for all of our other leaders and teachers we're providing to District 75. We have high

2.2

2.3

expectations for our students in District 75, just like all of our students, and so we were very intentional in ensuring that they're getting the same quality of professional development that we have for everyone else. It's also important to note that we have 22 schools in District 75 that are part of Phase One 1 as well, and we will expand that in Phase Two.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: That was my next question, but thank you.

Please provide an update on status of districts under Phase Two initiatives. How many Phase Two districts have made the curriculum purchase already? If you can, please provide the list of those districts. How many Phase Two districts have identified a model classroom in each school to receive professional learning? Please provide a list of those districts and the name of the school serving as models.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: We are at the tail end of the engagement process for Phase Two, and what that means is by January 1st, we will know what their selection has been. That is important because we gave them additional time to engage their community adequately, and that engagement looked very different

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

across many districts. Some had town halls, ongoing town halls, some had curriculum experts come in and share the different curriculum options with them. They went and they visited different districts with their teachers, with their principals, with families so it was very important to us that they had adequate time to make a decision in collaboration with school communities. The selection will be made by January 1st and at that point they will start to receive samples of the curriculum that they can then launch in their districts, and then we will begin professional development in the spring, similar to what we did with our Phase One and the cycle will continue; summer professional development, continuing to engage, ensuring that they get all of their materials before the start of the school year, just as we did with Phase One and ensuring that the professional development continues in the fall of 2024 so we are knee deep in that right now, ensuring that we have the right systems and structures and support, more importantly, for our Phase Two districts.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: We'll provide you the list, and if there's anything on the model classrooms

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

school system.

that we want to speak to, but it's been exciting for me to see the superintendents all visiting each other across the city, all taking a deeper look and bringing teams with them as well as they've been doing their due diligence on making this selection as part of this process, very exciting for the whole

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: You asked about the model classrooms. In district one, I have to shout out district one, superintendent Chan, Dr. Chan, she is Phase Two and she did something really amazing in her district. She brought the entire community together to norm. What are the practices that we want to see in classrooms? Because as she begins to launch, she wants to be able to have model classrooms in her district, and so she wanted to set a clear expectation about what she wants to see in her classrooms and that is an exemplary model that we shared with all of our superintendents who are in Phase Two as a best practice. It's looking different in every district, but we are providing them with sample best practices that they can scale across their district.

the hard question for Chancellor. This all sounds

amazing. With budget cuts, how is this going to look?

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I'm going to ask

CHANCELLOR BANKS: This is our priority,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

potential things that have to be cut, we are making

this our number one priority so tough choices have to

all right, and so as we are reviewing all of these

be made, but this is the legacy work for this

Administration and we're going to ensure that the

supports that are needed for kids to read is going to

be there because as far as I'm concerned, there are

lots of other programs that we have that are

wonderful and amazing programs and it breaks my heart

to have to look at cutting anything, but if kids

can't read, none of the rest of the stuff really

matters and so I want to make sure that we are fully

locked in on NYC Reads. It is the Chancellors' number

one priority, and so this is what we will fight the

hardest to protect.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: But at the same token, I'm going to be Miss Joseph right now, social

safety nets are also important.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: There are a lot of

things that are important.

2.2

2.3

reading to an empty building so we got to keep in mind as we're building this out, the things that make New York City kids come to school, that some of them are also in place, that they're in place to make them come to the building. As much as we, you and I, may love reading, we want everybody reading, but remember the safety nets have to be in place for New York City kids to be able to come and read or no one is going to be reading the curriculum.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: Well, that's why we need the Council to fight for these cuts for us. I'm not instituting any cuts.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: (INAUDIBLE) it's the Mayor, it's not me, it's your boss.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: You all work together to negotiate a budget and so I work with the budget that I'm given and with the budget that I'm given, I'm just letting you know publicly, a priority for me will be the reading, and it doesn't mean that's the only thing that we're going to do, read and go home. No, there's a lot of stuff that we have to do, but we do know, as you all know, right, that we got some major challenges in front of us, major challenges,

financial challenges. There are so many programs that
we've done that have been so important. I think

Summer Rising was amazing. We saw the impacts of
that. Our ability to be able to do 110,000 students
next year like we just did? We could have done

150,000. That's what the demand was. Are we going to

8 have the funding in place to be able to do that? I

9 don't think we will, but I'm anxious to see as you

10 all engage in this process as well what the ultimate

11 | budget will be that New York City Public Schools is

12 going to be given to work with, and then we'll make

13 do as best we can from there.

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: One last question before I pass it on. A recent New York University report found that an HMH curriculum currently used by 13 out of the 15 Phase One district is culturally destructive as it perpetuates stereotypes. Did the 2021/2022 formal review of curriculum relieve any issues regarding the curriculum lack of cultural responsiveness and how are you working with HMH to address this issue?

CHANCELLOR BANKS: Yeah, that's a great question. You know, we looked very, very closely at that review. We didn't fully agree with that review

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

given what we saw, but we have worked very closely with HMH to fill in some of those gaps. I certainly am not going to stand as a Chancellor providing curricular options for our kids that are just wholly culturally unresponsive. That goes against the grain of everything that I'm all about so we've worked very closely with HMH. We think they're doing a greater job because we've got this magnificent team here that are holding their feet to the fire, but I think it's important to know, and I want everybody to know, even those that are not here from the Council, the curriculum itself is a tool, the magic is not in the curriculum. The curriculum is a basic foundation for us. The magic is in the training and how we're showing up, and we will continue to add to the curriculum and build on it. It's one of the reasons I mentioned some of the things, particularly a lot of this work that Deputy Chancellor Quintana is leading, we're adding stuff all the time so it's not one thing in a bottle and it's like, wow, this one curriculum doesn't have, there's no curriculum that has everything that you're looking for. The answer is in our connectedness to each other and making sure that we're hearing the voices from across the entire city

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because there are curricula that has been called culturally responsive and then when you do the analysis, it's culturally responsive to certain cultures.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Correct.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: We live in the most diverse city in the world and, if you want every child to be seen and to be affirmed, that's a huge body of work, but that's a body of work we need to be committed to, and you will never find that in any one canned program. It comes through the magic of the people who bring culture to life and take anything that's on paper and said now let's make it really matter, and that's why the training for our adults is critically important. We're looking at a Middle East crisis that's happening right now that has visited itself and its impact in all of our New York City communities and certainly in our schools, and I'm dealing with those issues every single day. Issues of anti-Semitism, issues of Islamophobia, which are wholly unacceptable, but I can say it's unacceptable. The way that you address it is how you teach the kids, but when you're relying on the adults to teach the kids, who's teaching the adults who bring their

2 own biases, very often? We have a lot of work to do,

3 but I do believe that we can get there, but it needs

4 the right kind of leadership, the right kind of

5 support, and people need to know that they should

6 believe and trust in the leadership and our ability

7 | to work together, and that's what we're trying to do.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Absolutely. Thank

63

you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I wanted to acknowledge Council Member Hanks, and now I'm going to pass it over to my Co-Chair.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: And I would just say this. I'm sorry. I think we had shared that I was going to have a hard stop. I've got to get up to the Bronx, but you're in better hands with the Deputies who are driving this work each and every day. There's not one question that you would ask that I would know any better than they would know, but I would just like to just say in closing I very much appreciate the leadership of this Council at large, and I certainly appreciate my Councilman, Abreu, and I want to thank you very much for even pushing to make this session happen today, this hearing, and I know how personal it is to you, and I want you to know that we

are fighting on behalf of all the Sean Abreus all across this system, that they don't get held back, that they get what they're supposed to get, and we're going to do everything that we possibly can, and we think this is like the best place that we can start by ensuring that by third grade, if every Shaun Abreu in the whole City of New York learns how to read, just think about the possibilities of what they can do from there. And Chair Joseph, who is just my ultimate partner, she's with us everywhere. I think she's the only Council Member, I think probably in history, who's ever gone directly from a classroom to Chair the Education Committee for the City Council. That is historic, but what it is is she brings a lens of what it really means to be in these schools and that matters to us. Even as you ask the tough questions to us, it makes all the difference in the world, right, and so we appreciate all of you.

(INAUDIBLE)

Did he go straight from the classroom?
UNKNOWN: Yes.

CHANCELLOR BANKS: You're the first woman to go straight from the classroom.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

3 knows, he knows, he knows.

2.2

2.3

CHANCELLOR BANKS: Thank you so much,

Chairs. Thank you so much all the Members of the

Committee, and I appreciate all of you, thank you so

much.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: See, he knows, he

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you, Chancellor Banks.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Chancellor.

Well, thank you, Chair. Joseph. I have a question with regard to the Mississippi Miracle and figuring out things that worked there and things that may have been different in terms of its implementation here in New York City. Starting in 2013, the state passed a series of bills to overhaul their teaching methods in Mississippi. At the outset of its transformation, Mississippi funded coaches for each of the state's poorest performing schools, helping teachers apply lessons from the Letters training. The coaches provided monthly reports on their work to keep principals and state officials informed. They also provided professional development and online learning for schools without coaches. As a

2.2

2.3

result of these efforts, Mississippi was the only state that improved fourth grade reading scores on the 2019 National Assessment of Educational Progress. They went from 21 percent of fourth grade students scoring as proficient in reading in 2013 to 32 percent in 2019. This has been dubbed as the Mississippi Miracle. What lessons has the Administration taken from this and incorporated into

your own program but have done differently as well?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I'll take a stab at that one. I appreciate the scope of everything they did. One thing I do want to point out, and you all know this, New York City is huge, right? We're bigger than the whole state of Mississippi, and so thinking about the way that we roll this initiative out, we have to be really careful in terms of the relationship that, that we have with the state, with our partners, with the universities that exist here, but we did take a page from their book, right? We made sure that all of our leaders received the training, actually through Letters very specifically, but we also offered other forms of science of reading inductions, right? We wanted to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

make sure that folks had an understanding of what shift we were about to make before we actually made the curricular shift and the pedagogical shift so that they could have a sense of what it was that we were going to do. As you mentioned, coaching was a really big part of their success. They were able to get that support from the state. It would be fantastic to actually have funding from our city, from our state, from wherever it is to be able to afford coaches for everyone, but what we've done is build from within, right, and so we were able to one, bring in third-party vendors because we don't have enough people, and then we took what we did have and put it in places that are really strategic for us so I had mentioned the interventionist, the implementation specialist, the academic intervention support folks, and so we've placed the people who had that kind of capacity already in very strategic positions to support us at the district level and at the school level so that we can actually have that breadth of support across we're talking about 16 districts that already are probably the size of their entire state, right, and so what does it mean to be able to provide that? We also are looking at our data

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and are seeing that through intervention, which is where we're really focusing in, we're going to have results like this. We're going to close the gap of the students that are being identified. We've already actually seen that. Students identified as at risk, who may have been thought to have a dyslexic profile, have actually been able to move out of that at-risk category already through intervention alone. We've seen examples and have heard stories at schools where students who were in a 12 to 1 to 1 setting through intensive intervention have now been recommended for ICT, where a student who was considered, right, exactly, thank you, that is worth clapping for, who may have needed or would have needed to be put in a different sort of program for that kind of intensive support was able to receive intensive support over time, and we're talking about the difference of students who need 10 times the support and students who need 30 times the support. We're able to build that sort of tiered model across our schools, and we're trying different things in different spaces because we also have a much larger population of English language learners, and we need to be really thoughtful about the kids that we have in our schools

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and the kind of support that they need so we're 2 3 learning from states like Mississippi and we're also 4 taking into consideration what we have available here and what we can build, and our teams fortunately are working very well together because we can't do it 6 7 without the superintendents investing, we can't do it 8 without making sure that our labor partners are involved right, which is actually that's another first that, that our central office is working so 10 11 well together and really breaking down silos so that 12 each of us are playing a role in making sure that 13 this NYC Reads isn't just an initiative, it is a

change to our system as a whole.

peruty Chancellor Ahmed: Yeah, I would just add we are standing on the shoulders of many different people that have come before us and doing this work. To Deputy Chancellor Quintana's point, we are definitely taking those lessons learned, but also they're real promising practices that have proven to be effective. I think what makes us unique and different is that when the Chancellor made this commitment to grounding our work in the body of research called the science of reading we also made a very clear commitment very early on that this was

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

also going to be inclusive of our youngest, youngest children, which does separate us from the norm and really inject a very healthy dose of difference that you may not see elsewhere, but that's also because we have this grand opportunity here in New York City to begin with our youngest learners and recognizing that we cannot build a house starting on the second floor. It begins with young children. If we do this, and we do this well very early on, we will not be playing catch up in third grade, right? We will not be looking for quick fixes and fast interventions, doing a sprint to get some maybe immediate results, but not necessarily sustainable results to really build confident and competent readers and lifelong readers, and so that is also something that's very unique, that we're adding on to the lessons that we're learning from states like Mississippi. They were able to, for many different reasons, not necessarily because of lack of will, but maybe just because of their own resources of providing coaches to lowperforming districts, in early childhood, every single teacher, every single leader, so we're talking over 8,000 classrooms, we're talking hundreds and hundreds of elementary schools, thousands of our

2.2

2.3

of us.

contracted programs has access to a coach, right?

That's huge, and we believe, right, I think part of our own philosophical stance is that coaching isn't just for those that are not doing well. Coaching is for all of us to continue stretching and growing our own professional work so that we can do the best possible for every single child that stands in front

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. One thing that was present with the Mississippi Miracle was a dedicated state budget for this. What budgetary assistance from the state especially could help further the implementation and support for students with learning disabilities, and is the absence of that resource hampering your ability to implement your program?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: That's not a question I'm prepared to answer, but I do want to say that at this time I don't feel that we're hampered, right? We're three months in. We're really doing what we can with what we have. I think that we're already seeing success. We're seeing a shift in teacher practice. We're seeing a shift in the way that principals actually talk about instruction. This NYC

25

Reads is directly aligned to our citywide 2 3 instructional priority of making sure that every 4 student has a high-quality academic experience that leads to deeper learning where students are taught to think critically and solve complex problems and that 6 7 they are taught in a space, Chair Joseph, as you suggested, of belonging and inclusivity, and that's 8 happening across the board. When we hear principals and teachers ask us for additional ways to do this 10 11 work that really meets the needs of their specific 12 populations, we know they're being thoughtful about 13 it and I would say that that's success, and we continue to evolve. I think that's really, really 14 15 important. I sat actually in an arts function earlier 16 today where I heard Commissioner Curry actually talk, 17 not Commissioner Curry, sorry, no, but I'll remember 18 in a second because it was arts, but we talked about needing to evolve, right, how important it is to 19 20 evolve as a system and that's what's happening. We're 21 seeing that already. We're seeing that across the 2.2 board. We're seeing that with all of our schools. 2.3 We're seeing that at the central office, the way that we're talking about teaching and learning as a whole. 24

A school system is never going to say no to

2 additional support, but I think that given what
3 resources we have and what we know we're absolutely

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: And I just want to add that we can't do this work without our parents as well and so that was something else that we learned, engaging our families in this process was really critically important to us as well. Again, I'm going to give you another example because I'm all about going to see the best practices, but we have in District 25 where they have Welcome Wednesdays, and they're part of Phase One, where their families come into the school and actually see lessons in action and then following observing the lesson, they go into the auditorium with the principal and they debrief and talk about what did you see and what can the extensions be for at home. Again, you know, that is a best practice that we lifted from Mississippi, and we are collecting all of those types of best practices to ensure that we can leverage them for our Phase Two districts.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Commissioner Cumbo, Cultural Affairs.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

making it happen.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I have one last question before I pass it back over to Chair Joseph.

There are various bills at the state level currently in committee that are in relation to screening for learning disabilities, particularly dyslexia and dysgraphia. Some require longer continuous screening such as the New York Individuals with Dyslexia Act, which requires annual screenings for all students in grades K through 5. Others only require annual screenings up until the second grade. Do you know of any advantages or disadvantages to longer continuous testing?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: The question is about screening for dyslexia, right?

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yes, longer continuous testing.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: The way that the screeners work is once we've had students who've gone through a round of screening, we provide them with intervention. If we don't see that the student is making progress, then they'll receive a secondary screener, right, a more intensive screener. What's important there actually becomes both the intensity of the intervention and the continuous monitoring,

2.2

2.3

Gutierrez.

and so what that looks like is the interventionist really paying attention to whether or not the child is making progress on those particular skills. If that's what you're talking about in terms of the length of screening that happens, we're talking about students who in this case I had mentioned earlier may need 30 times the type of intensity in terms of intervention, and so there are students who will necessarily have more attention paid to what they're doing, and that monitoring looks like additional

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you.

assessing that happens along the way.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Of course.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Council Member Narcisse and then followed by Council Member

Also acknowledging Council Member Menin.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: one of the things that I was about to ask, you answered that for me too, is about parents' engagement. We have to keep in mind, that's a little statement here, that some folks really don't have the education to support their own children. That's why we and the Chair of Education are always pushing for libraries, for

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

different things. That's when culture come in to understand all that so I'm so appreciative and the collaboration, I'm so appreciative of that. I could have danced for this because I'm a mother of four children, and I know for a fact, yes, I went back four times, it's a fact that kids need, I see more parents here than I have four, that look, yes, we have a lot of children here. Education is everything, and I am so grateful. One of my questions are what metrics, I know you kind of allured to it definitely, so I want to ask you, what metric system that you're using to measure the progress of this curriculum? It's a great curriculum, by the way. I'm not judging you, but I want to know how you're going to know it's working.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We're actually collecting data through a number of ways. We have a tool that's been put together that will help us actually go in and look at the curricular implementation in a very developmental way, and so that's just about making sure that the resources that we have and the strategies that we need are happening across our classrooms. We're also, though, collecting data in terms of the screeners, and those screeners

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

give us information about whether or not, those are very specific classroom data, that gives us information about whether or not the teacher is progressing through the curriculum and through the building of skills the way they need to, and it lets the principal know if the teacher needs additional support to help the kids make progress through those skills. Those screeners then give us information too about groupings for intervention, both in Tier 1, so in the general classroom, and for Tier 2 interventions. Those interventions generate data, and we're collecting that information as well, and that's actually some of that information that I had mentioned where we're seeing children who've been recommended either out of certain settings in terms of placement for special education, or they were considered severely at risk, and were able to get out of that category because they advanced through whatever would be the foundational level of literacy, and that's the kind of information that we want to see, that kids are making progress to close, mostly it's that gap, right, when we want to make progress on, for example, the state assessments, which we will look at as well, but that's lagging data. Usually,

| 2 | what we want to do is close the number of students |
|----|---|
| 3 | who are scoring level one and increase the number of |
| 4 | students, obviously, who are scoring at proficiency |
| 5 | level, but first, you need to close that gap of |
| 6 | students who are scoring at level one. We're doing |
| 7 | that already with the interventions. We're closing |
| 8 | the number of students who are coming up as at risk, |
| 9 | and that's already in three months, tremendous |
| 10 | efforts, and so that kind of data that we're |
| 11 | collecting, we're collecting qualitative data as |
| 12 | well. I mentioned that we put out a survey in the |
| 13 | spring. We just administered a survey actually, just |
| 14 | got put out, I think this week, is that right, so |
| 15 | just this week for teachers and leaders to give us |
| 16 | feedback on professional learning and coaching and |
| 17 | how their sense of self-efficacy in terms of the |
| 18 | curriculum so we're looking at it from a number of |
| 19 | ways, and then we also have a whole team, actually, |
| 20 | that does policy and analysis of data and some of the |
| 21 | funders who are funding our partners have also |
| 22 | invested in having some research done so we're |
| 23 | looking at case studies and we'll also have some |
| 24 | information about implementation so a range of |

different ways because we need to make sure that implementation is leading to...

2.2

2.3

question is taking all my time, one question, but I have others. I think I'm getting to where you're going. What role would parents play, which we asked, but you told me that parents are going to be engaged throughout, right, and I'm hoping that every train that I get on in New York City, I'm going to see parents reading, I'm going to see the children reading. What does your budget look like for marketing, promoting this curriculum?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I can't speak to the budget, but I can tell you a little bit about the ways that we're involving parents, and that looks like a number of different resources, activities, and opportunities. The family and community engagement team has put together resources for parents to be able to engage in this process with the schools. They also, this past summer for example, did the Summer Streets where they had a bus go out or it was a minivan that actually provided books and did read alouds and things like that for parents to engage them. We're inviting parents into different functions

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to learn about the curriculum. The curricula all come
with information that goes out to parents and with
online resources as well, and our team put together
resources for schools to know how to work alongside

6 parents. I don't know if you want to add something.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: If I may. I really appreciate your question around the ways in which we're engaging families, partnering with families, but also recognizing that all families are also entering our school system at all different levels and all different levels of being comfortable in the ways that they're engaging around their children's education, and that's our job, is to figure that out and to be able to respond to that, right, and so when we think about families and as a former teacher, a former principal, and interacting with countless families across the years, and knowing that all families don't enter this school system in the same way that others may, right, in reading and feeling comfortable in reading, or English as their first language, there are so many ways that families can engage with their child that are outside of just reading a book in order to build their literacy, in order to help literacy development for their own

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

child so we really want to make sure that families also understand this is a big piece of our work. One of the reasons in early childhood we selected the curriculum that we did in order because it had a huge family engagement component and a two-way communication system and mechanism already set up and built into it and a number of resources that are absolutely free to families to understand that reading is one way to build children's literacy, but oral language development is one of the biggest predictors of children's reading skills in the later years, and so, families, it's important for families to know, and especially those that may not be comfortable reading or that are learning to read themselves, that just talking with your child, right, especially in the early years, is huge. Talking with your child while you're walking to the bus or to the subway, what you're seeing on the way to the park, and in your home language. Really, really huge, right, and very, very important. Building that language proficiency in a child's primary language will help them build proficiency in another language. Writing with your child is also a huge piece to

building literacy development so, you know, making a

engagement and just a little bit more specific, and I

think you alluded to this, Deputy Chancellor, about, like my experience where my parents immigrated here, my mom got a fifth grade education and my dad had an eighth grade education so the engagement part is yes, engaging with parents and like making sure that they understand like the curriculum is different and their children will be taught a little differently, but I quess for non-English-speaking parents, right, and I hear a mix in my community where they get home really late and it's a lot of work for them to kind of do that supportive work at home, but especially for those parents where English is not their first language, kind of where is the distinction of support for them? I'm going to read through my questions so that I can respect the time so would love to if you can answer that or if you can get that to us.

The second question is related to how you are all thinking about PD, and Chair Joseph and I had a joint hearing a couple months ago related to AI in our schools, and I'm really curious if this is a tool that you are all thinking about or already doing,

but I think the consensus from our hearing, both Chair Joseph and I think this is like a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

The last two questions. The Chancellor alluded to this in his statement about integrating CBOs. Is there any specifics that you can share, specifically our CBOs that are partnering with our schools and community school scenarios, for example, how are they being trained or integrated into this program.

The last question is related to City's

First Readers, which is a wonderful initiative that

the Council has funded and continues to fund, and it

really speaks to, I think, some of the goals in this

program, which is really tackling literacy from zero

to five, and I am very lucky in my own community, I

was able to work with a City's First Reader partner

when my child was first born and so curious if you

are all working with the coalition of City's First

Readers and what are some takeaways, what are some of

their feedback, because they've been funding, the

Council has been supporting them for a long time? I

hope to continue to support them, but they've been

speaking about this for a long time too so would love

to know how you guys are working together.

That's it. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3 24

25

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you.

85

That's a lot of questions. Do you want to start?

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Four questions.

It's four questions.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: No, they're I'm excited actually about these questions.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: Four really good questions. We've got them written down and I think we're ready to roll.

Thinking about supporting families and the resources that are available in different languages, right, so through the early childhood curriculum, there are a number of resources that are available to family, and each of those resources are available in English, in Spanish, Arabic, in a number of different languages, I think over seven or nine languages for the Modeled Moment videos, which again are really supporting families in understanding how to extend that learning outside of school so seeing experiences that actually happen in the classroom, the ways those can happen in the park, on the bus, or at home, but in multiple languages so that it's accessible for families. The same goes to all of the

2.2

2.3

digital books that are available to families, again, through our curriculum and assessment, available in multiple languages. Again, another reason why these tools were actually selected so that they had full-on access available and readily available in multiple languages for families so that they could also be part of that work. If you want to speak a little to the K to 5 piece.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Sure. For parents, not very different, right, we have translated materials, the resources are available in different languages and if parents are coming into the school to speak with or to be part of open school nights and things like that, the interpretation that's available across the city is standard, right?

In addition to that, though, something that Deputy Chancellor Ahmed said earlier we encourage parents to speak their heritage language to the students throughout. If they are readers in their heritage language, to do that as well, and a lot of what reading is, and I know that we joke that kids are going to leave age 3 or 3K reading. Really what kids are going to leave 3K doing developmentally appropriately, most kids, is having reading

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

behaviors, and that's what we want, right, and so parents can practice those reading behaviors regardless of language. Not only are we building sound fluency through practicing the speaking but also practicing turning pages, practicing actually reading aloud in their heritage language, and so we want parents to continue to do that and to feel confident that its going to contribute to the child's literacy development and their biliteracy, which is incredibly important. For those of you who are bilingual and biliterate, you know that that actually is really good for your brain and your development so we want that to continue to happen across our schools and to really honor and value what our kids bring as their strengths already.

Aside from that, you had asked about community schools and whether or not the community schools are part of the Phase One districts or of the early childhood districts continue to be part of that as well, and they've been funded to have very specific support for core content area development, and so I had mentioned earlier, our citywide instructional priority, the first lever in that citywide instructional priority that leads to deeper

learning is to make sure that every school and every teacher has strong content knowledge, and that doesn't just mean I know math; it means I know how to teach math. In this case, not just that I know reading, I know how to teach reading to every child in front of me so that I can anticipate where that child is going to struggle and I can make sure that I have the right resources in place and I can adjust the curriculum where needed to meet the needs of the students in front of me.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: Can I just clarify that? Thank you. It was just on the CBO piece specifically.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. CBOs actually are a huge part of this work for us, so super exciting not only in terms of supporting but they're also very anxious to receive training in terms of NYC Reads. We've been working with them through our tutoring programs, including them in part of that work to develop those literacy skills, not so that they become literacy teachers, but so they're aware of what kids are learning and they can continue to foster that when they're there.

2.2

2.3

25

2 The one part that I also wanted to 3 respond to, and then I'll turn it over to you, 4 Danika, is AI because it falls under my team as well, and it's a really, really exciting part of the work that we're doing. We just launched an AI policy lab, 6 7 which is a national initiative, and through the AI policy lab, we will be able to collect both guidance 8 at the local and at the national level and tools and resources so that we're creating a toolkit for 10 11 leaders and educators, and part of what that also 12 means for us is the development of resources that 13 include inclusive technologies as a whole, AI and generative AI being part of that, and so we are 14 15 already building a tool that works to help students 16 engage more closely in math, and we're now in talks 17 with the university and we'll start the work very 18 soon, not announced yet, to do the same for literacy, and so we're really, really excited about how we're 19 20 using the technologies, and we already know that 21 those technologies allow our students entry 2.2 regardless of where they are in terms of language, in 2.3 terms of literacy, and so we already have many schools using a range of technologies to give kids 24

opportunities to practice, to build fluency, or to

give them ways to engage in the class when they don't have the capacity to do so yet on their own so really, really exciting.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: I took copious notes of your questions so we're saying if Deputy Chancellor Rux wanted to mention anything else about the integration of CBOs, but I think Deputy Chancellor Ouintana covered it.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Oh, and we have CBOs in our Literacy Advisory Council as well.

They're a big part of that, and the Reading Alliance also provides after school support and foundational skills so something else just to keep in mind that we have a range of ways. We can't do it alone, and so we're taking advantage of anyone who wants to help in that process who's aligned in our thinking.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Wonderful. Thank
you so much. Some educators have expressed concern
that the curriculum options are too rigid and
incorporate difficult text but are not
differentiated, especially impacting ELLs and SWDs.
Is New York City Public Schools concerned about this
issue? If so, how does the New York City Public

5 | Schools plan to address it?

1 2 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: That's a 3 really important question because it's one that 4 speaks to the importance of making meaning. When folks don't actually understand the shift that we're making, when they don't have the instructional 6 7 strategies needed to actually read into grade level text and to help students access grade level text, 8 that's the response. This is too hard. My kids can't, and that actually ends up, unfortunately, whether 10 11 intentionally or not, being about low expectations. 12 We've used, again, research, right? There was a RAND 13 report that showed us that when teachers were 14 trained, that mindset shifted. When they actually had 15 the resources to be able to do that, that mindset 16 shifted. PS 125 actually received the support of the 17 Council, thank you very much. I was able to visit 18 them last year a few times. I had many meetings with 19 their teachers, their union folks, their families, 20 and then went back again this year, and the shift was 21 incredible. I know I've talked to you a little bit 2.2 about it before, and you've been there with me, but 2.3 the shift in that particular school, to use as an

example, teachers were afraid of what it meant,

right, they had been trained in a very different way,

24

they were experts in what they knew, and they didn't 2 3 know that the kids could actually access those texts. 4 We saw a shift in their practices. Now if you walk into their classrooms, they'll actually pull you in to show you how exciting it is, and what their bins 6 7 look like, and what their classrooms look like, and what they're doing, and one of the teachers actually 8 said, I couldn't get the kids to read, now I actually have to pull the books away because they want to be 10 11 part of the reading, right? It's easier to get them 12 into those texts now. The texts are also exciting. 13 The topics the kids really are engaged with. When we visited a school last week, the teacher said, you 14 15 know I found that some of the topics really engage my 16 boys who would have been reluctant, and some of the 17 topics really engage my girls in different ways, and 18 not that we should genderfy anything like that, but they're noticing that there are topics that engage 19 the kids differently, and I think that that's 20 21 incredibly important, that these books are exciting, the kids want to read into them, and the teachers now 2.2 2.3 have the strategies to do it so we don't want to make things more simplified because we're afraid that the 24 25 kids can't access it. We need to be able to provide

2.2

2.3

adequate supports for the students to be able to access, and that means for our English language learners, that our teachers have those supports in front of them, and that they know how to modify for the kids to meet, and for our students with disabilities that we're thinking about things like pacing and the right sort of materials in front of them to help support as well the technologies that we asked about earlier, and, when necessary, we will absolutely and have already spoken with the vendors because we want to make sure, New York City is a big city. We're on a big platform. We need to hold the vendors accountable as well and so when necessary, we're having those conversations there too.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. one quick question. Do you keep data on your interventionists? If you do, would you be able to share that data with the Council?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: What do you mean by data on the interventionists, on the results of the interventions?

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Correct.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We are collecting data on the results of the interventions.

2.2

2.3

We have some of that data already to demonstrate growth. I'm not sure actually if that's data that we can share, so I can get back to you on that but let me get back to you on that one.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Okay. Thank you.

I'd like to recognize Council Member Stevens,

Restler, and Rivera. Thank you.

My next question will be on Dyslexia

Screening and Intervention. The term Dyslexia

Screening has been used somewhat interchangeably to

refer to universal screening of all students for

reading challenges using tools like Abesia (phonetic)

as well as more in-depth secondary screening done as

followup to initial assessment. Can you confirm that

all schools are now conducting universal screening

across all grade levels? If not, which school and

which grade levels are conducting universal

screening?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you.

Yes. So those numbers actually, the screeners, as I mentioned, we have a 92 percent completion rate for screeners for ELA, but that rate actually doesn't include the District 24 who are piloting Amplify (INAUDIBLE) class screener in Spanish and English.

2.2

2.3

For that group of students who are piloting that,
that are over 11,000 students, we have a 98 percent
completion rate for them so we're pretty happy with
the completion rate in terms of screeners. As far as
interventions, last year we actually piloted
intensive interventions and so the number was much
smaller, but this year we have over 300 schools that
receive intensive intervention support so students

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. I'm passing it on to Council Member Avilés.

have access to that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so much, Chair, and thank you all for being here and the work that you do. I'm sorry if I'm asking a question that you may have already answered, but can you talk to me a little bit about what specific training happens for co-occurring learning disorders, particularly in the context of the dyslexia intervention? What kind of training are folks receiving for that?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you.

The training looks like a number of different things.

Most of the training that we're providing to teachers who work with students, for example, in our iREAD program or who are working at any of our structured

| 2 | literacy sites is OG training so Orton Gillingham |
|----|---|
| 3 | through Edwards OG. Also many of them have Wilson |
| 4 | training, and so we're really looking at teachers to |
| 5 | have the skills to provide that kind of explicit |
| 6 | instruction, and they're being trained on intensive |
| 7 | interventions as well so that they can administer |
| 8 | intensive intervention support, and that's the |
| 9 | interventionists that we have have been trained so we |
| 10 | have over 100 interventionists that are part of the |
| 11 | literacy team, and then we have over 900 |
| 12 | interventionists that are part of the centrally |
| 13 | funded special education interventionists, and |
| 14 | they've all received training that is through the |
| 15 | International Dyslexia Association so they have not |
| 16 | only intensive training that is recognized |
| 17 | internationally but nationally, but they also have |
| 18 | consistency across the practices, and that's what's |
| 19 | really important to us. Dr. Ahmed talked earlier |
| 20 | about coherence, and we want to make sure that our |
| 21 | practices are consistent across the board. |

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: it sounds like really large numbers, 100, 900, but, of course, where we have a system of almost a million students. How

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many interventionists do you think we need to have in the system to fully be able to cover.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Every school should have an interventionist, absolutely, and depending on the population of a school, some schools even have more, but right now what we're doing is using those over 100 interventionists that are at the central level plus the 960 to develop capacity from within. Part of what's happening is those interventionists are going into Phase One schools that have demonstrated need, and the idea is those Phase One schools should have identified someone who will be developed from within so that that is sustainable. That's what's most important. This can't be seen as an initiative that is temporary and only while the resources are there. This has to be a systemic change that includes building capacity from within so we'll continue to do that. Last year, we also piloted intensive intervention across 80 schools, and so we continue to build, right, and I think that's part of it is that we're growing those numbers, and then I mentioned a story earlier before you arrived that is actually an example of what's really exciting, right? A teacher who is a centrally

2.2

2.3

funded interventionist trained on SPIRE was able to train five additional teachers at her school to use SPIRE so now you have a cadre of six at one school because we had a teacher who was an expert. That's what we want to continue to do is to build that capacity, and more and more folks are seeing themselves as part of that process.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah. One of the things we've talked about in the past in the context of the screenings around dyslexia is multi-language learners and how the challenges that are presented because they present differently, and those students are facing different challenges so to speak. Is that also included in these trainings? Obviously we have co-occurring and then we have also speaking multiple languages.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes, yes, and incredibly important for us. So there are two different ways that our English language learners experience school, and that may be in a bilingual program, which is either a transitional bilingual or dual language or in one of our ENL classes. In ENL classes, they'll receive instruction in English, and in our bilingual classes in their heritage language

| 2 | as well so in those bilingual classes that are |
|----|---|
| 3 | Spanish-speaking, which is the majority of our |
| 4 | programs, we are currently piloting both screeners |
| 5 | that are available in Spanish and English, and we |
| 6 | have schools that have selected or districts that |
| 7 | have selected as their Spanish language, Arriba la |
| 8 | Lectura, and so they're able to use that. For the |
| 9 | foundational reading curriculum, they use Estrejita, |
| 10 | and so they actually have access to materials in both |
| 11 | Spanish and English, which other teachers have found |
| 12 | incredibly helpful. So not just the teachers who are |
| 13 | in the dual language or bilingual programs but |
| 14 | teachers across the content areas have found really |
| 15 | helpful to have the materials or teachers across the |
| 16 | programs, the materials in both Spanish and English, |
| 17 | because then they can actually use the language to |
| 18 | support their students who are in ENL classes as |
| 19 | well, not having to look for resources or not having |
| 20 | to find translations. They already have access to |
| 21 | those resources to support too. We know that our |
| 22 | multilingual learners need explicit instruction in |
| 23 | phonics. We know that they need vocabulary |
| 24 | comprehension and that's part of what's happening |

and in fact, many low-income communities that are

experiencing severe post-COVID shortage of language, speech language intervention services. I'm curious, I cannot understand why we have not utilized speech language pathology assistants in New York to help us with Dyslexia Intervention Initiative and the

shortages that we're experiencing.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yep. We do have speech teachers, not the same thing, but we do have speech teachers as part of our related services, and those speech teachers have been trained as interventionists at schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Right, but there's not enough of them.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yep.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Do you think the City should be pursuing the use of speech language pathologist assistants to expand the capacity to be able to meet this need?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes and no, right? Part of it is, many of the students who receive speech services, receive speech services because of their needs in literacy, and much of what we're doing is through the intensive intervention

supports that they're receiving through whether it's

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 the centrally funded intervention teacher, the speech 3 teacher, or some combination of those. We're able to 4 provide them with the supports, the early and intensive intervention that they need to be able to

move out of that as well. Part of this is needing to 6 7

connect with the state to explore further.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Without question, but I guess what I'm getting at here is we have a capacity issue.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Obviously we are making a great effort to expand this, and this is a mechanism through which we could expand our capacity, and so what I would like to see is our City counterparts fully advocating for an expansion, particularly getting more assistants on board so what I'm asking you directly is, will the New York City Department of Education vigorously advocate at the state level for assistance to be added?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We will explore. We'll connect with the state to explore further. We need whatever services are available for our students to be able to be successful in school.

25 We understand that this initiative is a lever for

2.2

2.3

change, and we need it to be as such, and so we'll connect with the state to...

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Great, to be continued. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Council Member Menin.

much, Chair. I had a question about the literacy instruction. Back in 2016, I launched a program called NYC Kids Rise, which we launched in Queens in District 30. We piloted it with 13,000 children. It's a program that seeds every kindergartner with a college savings account, and one of the things that we were really focused on at the time was financial literacy and trying to embed in the curriculum financial literacy skills even at ages as young as kindergarten. My question is are you doing anything around that piece regarding literacy instruction?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes, great question. I was at the event where we launched that, and it's really, really exciting that students have a foot in the door in terms of really being able to build out their long-term financial security in that way.

| Financial literacy is something that we |
|---|
| are in the process of building as a standalone |
| curriculum and also integrated K through 12. We want |
| to make sure that it is not something that you only |
| take as an elective in high school or that it is a |
| unit as part of your econ in 12th grade, but instead |
| that we are teaching kids from very early on what it |
| means to be financially literate and financially |
| savvy and that we think about the different |
| components of that. I'm glad that you bring that |
| question up though, because it's also an opportunity |
| to talk about many literacies and just how important |
| it is to absolutely focus on the teaching of reading, |
| but to make sure that our students are data literate, |
| financially literate, that they are digitally |
| literate, right, and that we're focusing on all of |
| those other pieces as well. To your point earlier, |
| Chair Joseph, this is incredibly important and it is |
| at the heart of everything that we do, and there's so |
| much more that goes into this comprehensive piece. |

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Okay. That's great to hear. NYC Kids Rise, as you know, we've got all sorts of resources, we're now in every school so

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes. Thank

you. Thank you for that for that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Council Member Rivera.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you so much, and thank you for being here, to your whole team. you've mentioned that current reading results aren't anyone's fault which I appreciate in your testimony, it's the result of a flawed approach, and that you're really trying to rectify that with some of the programming. I know I've spoken to some of my schools, the leadership and the parents and they know that every school district is different. As you mentioned, every child learns differently. With programs being implemented and set to launch, is the new standardization and enforcement for new curriculum really set to this timeline this year and next year? Are you going to really hold to that? Are you going to adapt to different districts and their needs?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR RUX: We are looking at each individual district and their needs. The

2.2

2.3

superintendent is really leading this work, and they know their districts best, and so they've been engaging with different stakeholders in their district to make the best decision for their district, for their schools so yes, we are, and we're going to continue to monitor that and see how it goes but as of right now, yes, we are.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. I appreciate that. We have great superintendents in my District, so I look forward to working with them closely.

Data was mentioned and you said there might be some data that you cannot share. Can you tell us what data is being collected and how are agencies communicating with teachers to understand the effectiveness of these new curriculums?

peruty Chancellor Quintana: I'm actually just not sure what we can share publicly. We're just now collecting data so I don't know whether or not, but we are collecting screener data, we're collecting data about intervention results, and then we're collecting a range of qualitative data as well and, whatever we can make available to the Council, we absolutely will. We need this to be public and accessible and where we can celebrate that we're

making great progress we want to and where we know that there's room for growth, we absolutely want to be able to dig into that as well so all of our teams are actually sitting behind us and so we'll absolutely make sure that we take note of this and what we can share we will.

Screenings were mentioned. What initiatives are in place to address the needs of older students with dyslexia, so students who are in middle school and high school, and I would also like to know how does dyslexia affect graduation rates? I guess alternatively are students with dyslexia who are not given the proper individualized education plan graduating at a lower rate?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I don't have that data as far as the graduation rates. I think that's a really interesting topic to dig into a little bit more. We know that necessarily dyslexia has an impact on a student's well-being as a whole, health and mental health and their ability to engage in learning, right? You hear stories of folks who struggled throughout school. Many of our students who have dyslexia learn a range of strategies that they

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

can use to progress through with difficulty and many, many long hours and a heavy cognitive load, but it'd be interesting to take a look at that specifically.

As far as programming and training for secondary school, we in January are launching literacy hubs for middle school and high school that are going to be something like learning sites. We'll have intensive intervention supports, we'll have specific programming, the teachers will receive training in OG and other strategies and we want to make sure that these are integrated into the school space and so we already have schools that we've identified with the help of the Division of School Leadership where they will get that kind of intensive support, and we will collect information, data from what works there and also open up those sites for learning for other schools who want to take any of those practices and build them into their own schools as well so that launches in January, and we have a range of training that we have already been offering to our secondary school teachers. We'll also launch modules in September for secondary to review the science of reading and we'll continue to build the capacity because we know that there are many

2.2

2.3

secondary students who still need to have their needs
met and we want to make sure that we're doing it
within our school system.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. Well, thank you. I look forward to the partnership. I have a very interesting District, School District One and Two, very progressive schools as well that are proud of what they've been able to accomplish and achieve and look forward to all of us at the table. Thank you to the Chairs for the time and thank you.

Member. This one is going to be dedicated to Council Member Rivera. In November of 2022, in an op ed published in the Village Sun, Mayor Adams announced for the first time we'll be testing everyone who comes to Rikers Island for dyslexia and providing support to those who need it. However, nearly a year later, the Administration testified at City Council hearing that they missed the targeted start date in September to screen incarcerated individuals at Rikers Island for dyslexia. Has dyslexia testing at Rikers Island begun?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you. We are training leads at each of the schools at East

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

River Academy and at Passages on administering dyslexia screeners. Those are eight staff members who are being trained. Dyslexia screeners, it's a pilot so we're administering screeners to adults ages 18 to 21 at East River Academy and students at Passages. In the event that a student is screened and identified to have traits of dyslexia that would require additional assessment, we've organized instructional programming to provide that and to make sure that they get access to that. We already have trained some of the teachers, and some of those teachers in D79 have been trained in Orton Gillingham and are already providing training on Wilson and Rewards, another intervention that I think you're familiar with, so all structured literacy programs to help students who struggle with reading, but it's important for us because these are students who are also part of our system, young people, and we can change their life opportunities.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So have we begun?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: We've started the training, yes.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: How about screening the incarcerated individuals?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 111 1 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I don't think 2 3 we've started screening yet. 4 CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: And what's the 5 timeline to start? DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: January. 6 7 Thank you. 8 CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: January. I'm sure 9 Council Member Rivera will appreciate that. Any followup, Council Member for the dyslexia on East 10 11 River Academy. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: That was my 13 question, whether testing had actually started, but I 14 see you're on your way. 15 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay. We'll 17 certainly follow up. 18 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Yes, thank 19 you. 20 CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: All right, thank 21 you so much, Administration, and we'll send over some 22 questions for you. 23 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: Thank you.

24

25

You look surprised. Was this a little too short? We

could stay in that on a couple more hours.

surprised. I brought snacks just in case.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: You're welcome.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR QUINTANA: I did look

On behalf of my colleagues, just thank

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York City has taken on in a really big way and, as

you mentioned, it's a national effort, and anything

you. This matters incredibly. It's something that New

that we can do to help others learn as we have

learned from other states and cities, I think is

incredibly important, and this also helps ensure that

our public has information that they may not have had

before so thank you for this opportunity.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR AHMED: And thank you for the critical role you play in all of this. We really do appreciate it.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: You're very welcome. As you mentioned, two year olds only get to be two year olds one time so if we build a strong foundation, you'll have a strong top so the early childhood is very important.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to the Administration.

to the table once your name has been called.

2.2

2.3

We will now turn to public testimony. We will be limiting public testimony today to three minutes each. For in-person panelists, please come up

For virtual panelists, once your name is called, a Member of the Staff will unmute you and the Sergeant-at-Arms will set the timer and give you the go ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. Our first panelist will be virtual, and then we will hear from in-person panelists.

Barbara Foorman on Zoom, you may begin your testimony.

BARBARA FOORMAN: Thank you. I hope you can hear me. It's wonderful to be Zooming into this meeting. I really thought that the New York City Public School staff did an outstanding job, and it's like you've confirmed my whole 40 years of work in the United States on helping kids learn to read.

So If you don't know me, I am how to describe it. I've been involved in learning to read because of my undergraduate interest in Japanese, doing cross linguistic work. My first academic appointment was in Texas where I worked with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bilingual programs across the state, and then spent a year in Washington, D.C. as the first Commissioner of the Grant Program at the IES, Institute for Education Sciences then went to Florida. where I was a distinguished professor, Director of Florida Center for Reading Research, and then the Director of the Regional Educational Lab Southeast and as the REL Southeast was intimately involved in the Mississippi Miracle, we did the evaluation, which showed the gains in teacher knowledge on Letters actually showed up in the classroom with an observation tool that I developed. It's in the appendix. So all of these REL materials are free for you to use. Those observations of Letters implementation and high student engagement were associated with student progress in grades K to 2 on reading and then you have the, the NAEP significant gains in subsequent years. Carey Wright partnering was brought in by the Barksdale Reading Institute, a private foundation which I worked with the State Board of Education, the legislature, everything was aligned to make this a long-term reading success program. You are correct, Mississippi is tiny compared to New York City, but there are some key things that are important about how it worked,

still debating it?

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

BARBARA FOORMAN: Yes, yes. I submitted my testimony to you about this. It's an issue of differing truth systems, epistemologies seem to lie at the heart of the debate. For example, you could imagine a group of people, we'll call them Group A, typically prioritizes deductive methods that embed hypothesis testing, precise operationalization of constructs, and efforts to decouple the researcher's beliefs from their own interpretation and generalization of empirical evidence. Then imagine another group, we'll call it Group B, prioritizes inductive methods such as phenomenological, ethnographic, and grounded theory approaches that embed focus on the meaning and understanding that comes through a person's lived experience, and relies on the researcher's own observations to shape meaning and principles. So Group A says look at the mountain of evidence. How can you believe it? How can you not believe it? Whereas Group B says, it doesn't make sense. It doesn't match up with our experiences. Why should we value your knowledge above our own?

This really lies at the basis of the

disagreements in the great debate on reading, whether

24

you believe in evidence and the scientific method or not.

117

4

5

6

1

2

3

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: What does the science of reading say about how children learn to read?

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARBARA FOORMAN: Yeah, so in my testimony I gave you just one paragraph because it could go on for, I also gave you a book chapter on the topic, but basically, the basic key points on how children learn to read and then what we know about instructional practices that promote reading are that these are learned activities and they reside on a foundation of linguistic skills that are supportive so we decode from written letters to oral or silent language to comprehend word meaning. We encode from spoken language to letters to express meaning. And through explicit instruction, explicit and systematic, systematic means the scope and sequence of these sound letter patterns needs to be clear, and the assessment needs to be aligned to that scope and sequence so the instruction in the decoding and encoding of words is what we call the alphabetic principle. English speakers have an alphabet, most European languages do. I've worked in Florida, of

course, with Haitian Creole, there are Creole
versions, there are different orthographies, but

4 mostly we're talking here today about English and

5 Spanish and other alphabetic languages, and so these

6 letters and sounds relate intentionally and

7 conventionally in a written language, in the

8 orthography, that's the written language. So in

9 English, this orthographic mapping extends beyond the

10 letter sound patterns to include learning frequent

11 | irregular words like of, said, morphemic elements,

12 | like inflectional endings, plurals, affixes, and

13 reliable syllable patterns like closed syllables,

14 such as the word insect so mastering the depth and

15 the structure of English orthography is essential if

16 students are to recognize words accurately and

17 efficiently, thereby freeing cognitive resources to

18 activate the linguistic devices and the world

19 knowledge critical for making text cohesive and

20 therefore comprehensible so I list a lot of

21 references in my testimony.

22 CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. Thank

23 you so much, Dr. Foorman. We really appreciate it. We

24 have your testimony. We'll make sure to review it

25 and, again, we really appreciate your time.

to thank you for this opportunity to testify today

25

1 about the science of reading, the curriculum effort 2 3 that the City is doing and the issue of dyslexia screening. I will tell you that my first reaction to 4 the news was hallelujah because we have needed to do 5 this for so very long and this approach that the City 6 7 is taking will not be smooth. We have a big system, right, but it is so incredibly critical, and you know 8 9 these changes are needed because our city and our state have not been teaching enough of our students 10 11 to read accurately and fluently. Too many of our 12 educators have been taught about reading, but not 13 enough about how to teach it and, where they have been taught about teaching, they haven't been taught 14 15 in a way that aligns with the brain science because 16 the brain is how we process written language. As many 17 of you may know, I'm a former teacher of the deaf, 18 and the biggest challenge for prelingually deaf children is reading and language, right, and for deaf 19 children of deaf parents, they often have much better 20 language. Why? Because they have language right from 21 2.2 the beginning so it is language, so all of the 2.3 testimony you heard previously about bathing your children in words and in language and let's make a 24

list, let's go to the store, we're writing it down.

25

All of that is stuff you really need to do, and the 2 3 more we are able to bathe our children in language, 4 the more they will learn that language, but then we have to translate that to the written form, right, and so language, as you know, is based in the brain, 6 7 and so I come to this proposition with a lot of background in language and cognition, which I think 8 has been one of the issues that hasn't been something we've addressed really when it comes to teacher 10 11 education. I'm also a former board member and 12 president of the New York branch of the International 13 Dyslexia Association, which is now called Everyone Reading. We have an annual conference every year that 14 15 really draws from the region, and we, in fact, we, I 16 still say we, it's been 10 years since I left, train 17 a lot of New York City school teachers. Just another 18 point, again, just in terms of context you know, I've been a disability civil rights attorney for three 19 20 decades, and I tried the seminal case on dyslexia and 21 access to standardized tests, and it did take us to 2.2 the Supreme Court. We won, by the way. But what you 2.3 find when you do that is that people aren't paying attention necessarily. Let me just say that I passed 24 25 a bill on dyslexia that requires that you're allowed

1 to use dyslexia in an IEP or an IEP eligibility 2 3 document. Parents have been told for 45 years that's 4 not allowed. That is not true. Governor Cuomo signed that bill in 2007. The two takeaways from the 5 stakeholder group that we did that was part of the 6 7 mandate from the bill was that early screening was needed and teacher training so we need to prime the 8 pump in higher education, make sure our teachers enter our schools knowing something about how to 10 11 teach reading in a way that the brain processes 12 reading. This goes back to why Johnny can't read, I'm 13 not going to go into great detail, but one of the things that I will just sort of cut to the chase a 14 15 bit is that New York has started an initiative called 16 the Path Forward. We're doing it in connection with 17 the Hunt Institute and the Literacy Academy 18 Collective, and what is so critical about this, 19 because I have a bill for three credits of science of reading for our teachers, I have a bill to screen in 20 21 the state prisons, I've passed it in the Assembly 2.2 several times, but has not yet passed the Senate, and 2.3 I have a universal screening bill, but the reality is what you want to do is make sure that everybody's on 24

the same page, and the effort for the Path Forward is

25

| 2 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| 3 | |

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

really all of the institutions that matter for changing the picture in New York are working together. The Regents, State Education Department, SUNY, CUNY, Independent Colleges and Universities, the Governor's Office, the Legislature, I'm the Legislature's Designee to the Steering Committee. It is so important that the State of New York is saying our kids are worth it, our kids need to learn how to read, and we are going to ensure that we actually teach them, and we will change all of those things that have been happening in our state that are not working and change them into things that are working, and we have everybody at the table working together in partnership to make sure that happens so that dovetails very nicely. The City is also at the table with this. It is the largest school district in the state, but they are on the right track. I just want to just say again how happy I am to be here and I'm certainly happy to answer any of your questions. Thank you, and I appreciate that I've gone over the three minutes, but you know that happens.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: So when I visit Albany, I can go over the three minutes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

24

25

MARY VACCARO: Good afternoon, everyone. I think you know me, Mary Vaccaro, Vice President of Education for the UFT and Executive Director of the Teacher Center. Thank you for having me on behalf of 190,000 members.

Learning is fundamental. One of the basic premises is understanding how to read, being able to comprehend what you're reading, and being fluent in your reading. I'm not going to go through all that I had for you, all of the things that were already said but, to make sure this important effort succeeds, it's essential that the DOE provide educators with appropriate and ongoing professional development opportunities to not only support them this year but next year as well. Michael Mulgrew has been going over this as the initiative was launched, and he has spoken seriously about the need to drive instruction and training for classroom teachers. At the union's urging, the UFT Teacher Center is playing an integral role in the training to make sure teachers get the support they need adapted to the students they teach. To kick things off, the UFT Teacher Center designed and offered courses this summer as you heard about in the earlier testimony down in Shanker Hall at the

25

125

2 UFT. The title of the course, as our Assemblywoman 3 would tell you, is Reading for All, the Science of 4 Reading Across All the Curriculum Areas, because that's vital. The course had hundreds of participants that came for both in-person and virtual, and I know, 6 7 Chair Joseph, you came to visit us there. 8 Participants also found out about digital resources, learning strategies to address their social emotional needs of all of the students in the classroom within 10 11 the context of reading, and received guidance on how 12 to use these curricula with diverse groups of 13 learners, MLL learners, SWD Learners as well. We found that teachers are energetic and open to 14 15 changing their classroom practices in response to 16 high-quality professional learning. They understand 17 why they're in that learning that will translate 18 right into the classroom. We had a teacher that I 19 want to quote here, Michelle Grant, a teacher from PS 20 280 in Jackson Heights, who said the training gave 21 her a tool kit and resources she could use to help 2.2 her English language learners, and she told us after 2.3 the first session, "at first I felt overwhelmed, but now I'm starting to feel more confident and at ease 24

about how I can support my students in the 23-24

school year." As the rollout of each of these

1

2

3

programs moves forward, the DOE must ensure that all

4 educators using the new curricula have the help they

5 | need to make a successful transition into this

6 curricula. The UFT Teacher Center is committed to

7 doing everything it can do to support them. We have

8 | 15 new Teacher Center district coaches who will

9 provide regular assistance around the literacy work

10 | to educators in the 15 community school districts. I

11 know before you questioned about District 75. We have

12 one coach there that's supporting them as well. The

13 | Teacher Center, I'll finish this sentence, the

14 Teacher Center will work hard and offer literacy

15 workshops and office hours throughout the year so I

16 | think that's key to you knowing these office hours

17 | have been integral to the work that we're doing.

18 | Teachers are getting online with our teacher center

20 | time, getting the support that they need, and you

21 have my testimony.

22 CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you to you

23 both.

Assembly Member, I have a question. Bill

25 S7003 is currently a bill in the committee at the

2.2

2.3

state level. While the bill would require all school districts to screen all students for dyslexia and dysgraphia, it also gives parents and guardians the right to have their children opt out of these screenings. Though parents and guardians have the right to make decisions on their child's education, some may have beliefs surrounding stigmas associated with learning disabilities and therefore may choose to opt out and feel that should their child be diagnosed with a learning disability, they may be treated differently, bullied, or put in a different class. Given this background, should the opt out portion remain even with the risks it would negatively impact a child's educational path?

JO ANNE SIMON: I'm just looking up the bill. It's easier for me if I had the Assembly number. No offense. So it's Brad's. Okay. So let's see, yeah, so the challenge is that there is a very strong parent choice movement and, of course, parents ultimately have the decision to make about their children's education. One of the early, early Supreme Court cases was around whether a parent had a right because the teachers, somebody was teaching German, it's like 1920s kind of thing, right, and the parents

didn't want that, and whether or not a child had a 2 3 fundamental right to an education, and in fact that 4 right is encoded under the law so it's really the parents' rights until a child reaches the age of majority so I think that that is probably a provision 6 7 that might be constitutionally required. I'm not as familiar with that bill. It's not a bill that I'm 8 carrying in the Assembly. I think I'm on the bill in the Assembly. I think one of the issues is that when 10 11 it comes to how you educate your children, there are 12 certain things that we can't mandate, and of course 13 mandates these days are not very popular in many 14 respects for a whole host of reasons whether the 15 mandate is in fact a good one or not and so I can't 16 really speak to that more than that. It's not my 17 bill, so I don't really know what Senator Hoylman's 18 thinking was in that, but I do think that one of the 19 ways that we will get around some of these issues is 20 if we help parents, if we educate parents, if we 21 educate our teachers, if we provide that at-the-elbow 2.2 support once they've had some training, a weekend of 2.3 Wilson is not enough. We need to empower our parents, and we need to find lots of different ways that we 24 can help students, whether it's in school or out of 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school so a lot of after school programs. We can actually embed the science of reading in a lot of after school programs. Teach for America is now getting interested in science of reading. That's really important, and so parents will start understanding this stuff better and addressing this in a more constructive way once they understand it better.

And let's face it, we know a lot of the parents in our school system were themselves not given a very good education by the New York City Public Schools or other schools, right? The prevailing method of Dick and Jane was word memorization, it's whole language, actually isn't very good, it doesn't teach you how to break down a word or to address words that are new to you. It's only what you've memorized, right? Now, according to results, roughly 35 percent of our kids are going to learn to read no matter what you do to them, and, unfortunately, we've been training teachers to teach the 35 percent. We need to really look at the 65 percent that we haven't been addressing, and I think that there are lots of ways that we can embed that and lots of ways that I know we will be coming

J

2.2

forward with a state action plan by June that I am sure will address a lot of those issues with regard to supports, professional development, staff development. The people who teach our folks who are incarcerated. They're not with the UFT, they're with another union, right? So I've been working with them on our bill in the state for state prisons, for example so we can address those issues in a variety of ways.

One of the challenges at the state level is legislation because whatever smacks of curriculum is depending on your interpretation of the State Constitution, something you really can't do, and that's part of the reason why I think this Path Forward idea will be successful, is because it is a partnership. Nobody's blaming anybody, nobody's pointing fingers. What we want to do is take this ball and run with it and change the way, and we will change our kids' futures if we teach them to read.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Absolutely.

Earlier, I'm not sure if you were here, and that was one of the questions I asked the Chancellor, what is the partnership with the universities because they're creating the pipelines that's providing our teachers.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

He did say that he met with CUNY and SUNY and other higher ed institutions to make sure the pipeline.

JO ANNE SIMON: Yeah, I mean one of the things is we know that for example, there was a report issued last year, well actually June 2023 that really pointed to the fact that we have five pillars of reading according to the national reading panel, and 25 percent of our schools were teaching any of the pillars, 25 percent weren't teaching any at all, some were teaching a pillar here, a pillar there, the cart before the horse pillar, that sort of thing, but we need to progress. We need it to be systematic. We need to be direct instruction. We need it to be explicit instruction. For years we were doing discovery stuff like the new math. It really didn't teach anybody anything. If you weren't wired for math, you didn't learn anything. That's me. We need explicit instruction, and we've learned over time that in fact children need explicit instruction, and so most of our children need that. Kids who are dyslexic need much more intensity and much more direct instruction on a more intensive basis, more specific interventions for particular areas that they have difficulty in, but the reality is 65 percent of

2.2

2.3

our kids are not dyslexic, right? We know that, but
we're missing the boat with an awful lot of our kids.

4 We can stop missing that boat. We want them all on

5 the boat.

 $\label{thm:co-chair-person} \mbox{CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: I second that. I}$ want all of them on the boat as well.

UFT, one of the questions I know I get a lot is how are we leveraging also our paraprofessionals that are in the classroom that work one-on-one with these students on a daily basis. Are they included in this conversation as well?

MARY VACCARO: They are included in the conversation. They're part of all the training that we give through the Teacher Center. The Teacher Center does, even though we call it the Teacher Center, probably should have renamed at some point because it's all educators that are in the school, so we have social workers coming to the workshops, we have school psychologists, we even have secretaries showing up at our workshops so everyone that's in the school is coming. Paraprofessionals in particular, some of the focus we have done with them is more than on the reading on the math, on the new algebra ninth grade curriculum. We have been having our

paraprofessionals because it's a different style of teaching math, getting support on the actual math itself, so we've been doing a lot of that work after school hours, and I'm happy to say full classes, all coming, so that's been great.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. Chair Abreu.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Chair.

Assemblywoman, I'm really excited to see everything you're doing in Albany. Curious to know, what's the appetite among your colleagues to get this done, and I specifically asked the Administration earlier about the Dyslexia Education Act. Can you speak to A7101?

It's a bill that would establish statewide standards for screening of students in grades kindergarten through five for dyslexia for the interventions required for students with dyslexia.

JO ANNE SIMON: What's the number again? CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: 7101.

JO ANNE SIMON: Okay, so that's Assembly

Member Carroll's bill. One of the things you'll

notice is that a bunch of us have bills that are very

similar, right? So I have a similar bill, he has

similar bills, Senator Jackson and I have other bills

25

so I think there's support there, for sure, right? We 2 3 have passed bills in the Assembly. When I passed the Dyslexia Bill in 2017, we had almost unanimous 4 support for that bill. We passed a Dyslexia Task Force Bill a couple of times. It was unanimous, if 6 7 not, maybe missing one person. The challenge really has been, is it funded, right? That's an issue. The 8 Governor has vetoed a number of things that were task forces, commissions, etc. because there's no money 10 11 there. It costs money to run a good task force. It 12 costs money to run a commission. So that's a 13 challenge. The other thing I think is right now I think the State's focus is on the collaborative 14 15 effort right now, which is new, right? We launched in 16 October, but there's a tremendous amount of support. 17 When I started Dyslexia Awareness Day in 2016, we had 18 a few people who came, we had young people come up. That's been growing every year. Every year for the 19 20 last five or six years, the Commissioner has 21 attended. She attended when she was Chancellor. She 2.2 attends as Commissioner. We have parents, we have 2.3 kids come up. We have kids speak about their issues. We always have a panel of consumers, usually adults 24

and one teenager, who themselves have dyslexia. We've

2 had Legislators with dyslexia. We have had former 3 Legislators with dyslexia, principals, kids who were 4 6 7 8 10 11

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

just applying to college, etc. Each year, we have more and more people supporting that. Each year, we have more and more Legislators who want to come and address the group and talk to the kids, and so there's a tremendous amount of support for doing this work. The challenge has been legislatively or doing it another way, and State Ed does know that they need to make these changes. They've known it since we did the Dyslexia Stakeholder Group. The challenge has been how to go about doing that, and that's why I have so much confidence in the initiative that we're embarking on now.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you so much, Assemblywoman. Really excited for your work here, and thank you so much for leading on this.

JO ANNE SIMON: I'm very excited. I've been waiting for this for a very long time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to both panelists.

Our next panel, Sarah Part, Debbie Meyer, Callie Higgins, Losana Tunica, and Teresa Ranieri. Please make your way to the front table.

2.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Sarah Part, you may begin your testimony. SARAH PART: Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Sarah Part, and I am the Senior Policy Analyst at Advocates for Children of New York. For more than 50 years, Advocates for Children has worked to ensure a high-quality education for New York students who face barriers to academic success, focusing on students from lowincome backgrounds. Every year, we help thousands of families navigate the school system, including many families whose children are struggling with reading. We routinely work with middle and high school students who are still non-readers, not because they lack the motivation or the cognitive capacity to learn to read, but because the public schools fail to

AFC has long advocated for New York City Public

provide evidence-based instruction and intervention.

Schools to institute guardrails around English

language arts curricula. There's an enormous body of research on how children learn to read, but leaving

the choice of curriculum up to individual schools

resulted in tremendous inconsistency and widespread

4 use of programs grounded in discredited theories of

2 reading development rather than in the scientific evidence.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

At AFC, we've seen the consequences. Students who are unable to read menus and job applications, let alone their academic textbooks, whose self-esteem and mental health suffers, and whose understandable frustrations with reading manifest in disruptive behavior and disengagement from school. That's why we're supportive of the effort New York City Public Schools is making to shift away from balanced literacy and towards curricula that align with the science of reading. By improving core instruction, we can prevent many reading difficulties before they occur, but there will always be some students who need extra support and so New York City also needs a robust literacy safety net that identifies such students and matches them with intensive intervention. While universal screening is one component of such a safety net, it should be a means to an end, not an end in itself. The point of identifying students who are struggling with reading or who have language-based learning disabilities like dyslexia is to ensure that those students receive the targeted help they need to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

become strong readers. New York City Public Schools must ensure that schools, all schools, have the training, the personnel, and the infrastructure necessary to use screening data to inform instruction and to provide timely, evidence-based, small group, or one-on-one intervention to all students who need it.

Finally, we'd just like to emphasize that shifting what happens in thousands of individual classrooms on a day-to-day basis is going to take time. There are no silver bullets in public education, and when it comes to the science of reading, New York City is just getting started. We want to ensure that current budgetary pressures and the understandable desire to see results quickly do not lead to policymakers abandoning the current effort before it even has a chance to succeed.

We urge the Council to remain steadfast in the commitment to ensuring that all schools are using reading curricula with proven effectiveness and to push the school system to provide all students, including those who have disabilities like dyslexia, with the intervention and support they need. Thank

3

4

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much Debbie Meyer.

139

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

5

DEBBIE MEYER: Thank you very much. I was here in 2016 testifying to Council Member Dromm, I testified in front of Council Member Mark Treyger, so today is exciting. We've really come a long way. Again, my name is Debbie Meyer. I consult for the Dyslexia Alliance for Black Children, I serve on the Literacy Advisory Council for New York City Public Schools, and I consult on the Dyslexia and Literacy Program at the Ralph Bunch PS 125 School, but, most importantly, I'm the mother and wife of dyslexic people. I've rewritten my testimony as I've listened today. I want to talk about the importance of a true diagnosis. I wanted to talk to Council Member Abreu about state and federal issues, but I'll just say that for the record and, if there's time, I'll tell you about what's happening at PS 125.

2021

The importance of a true diagnosis.

Dyslexia is a lifelong issue. It doesn't end in high school. It doesn't end when you learn to read. We need a true diagnosis, and kids need to learn how to

22

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

140

advocate for themselves during their middle school and high school so they can do so at college. Dyslexia and a true diagnosis will also protect you at a career. If you have a career shelving stock and something happens and you're asked to write a memo and you can't, your dyslexia diagnosis will protect you. You can't get fired for that. So we need our dyslexic kids to be identified past screening, but with true diagnoses that they can carry on through their whole lives. My son uses his in college. His disability advisor talked to him three times before he started with his college career. It was absolutely amazing. He also has a lifelong pass to all national parks and all federal lands. He can camp on any federal land for free because he has a disability. We really need to identify these kids and make sure they

For teaching colleges, could this

Committee do a joint hearing with the Committee on

Higher Education and support what the DOE is doing

and bug the colleges more? I'd be happy to testify

there as well.

have all the tools they need to succeed.

The biggest state issue I think we really need to get to and you could help with is teacher

2.2

2.3

licensing. The Hunt Institute program is trying to get to that, but we need to get there quicker because that's what will make teaching colleges respond.

rederal issues. There is a bill, it may not go anywhere, but Senator Cassidy really wants to put dyslexia higher in the IDEA, and that would be great if he had a reasonable definition of dyslexia, but he's using a really outdated definition from 1896, and we know what the country was like in 1896. Sarah Carr just wrote a long article about it.

about it? Okay. If you had gone there in May of 2022, you would have seen kids rolling around in the hallway and the paras frustrated, you would have seen kids on the floor, paras frustrated but, when we asked PS 125 if they wanted to do this, Principal Leopold got her community on board. So September 2023, the teachers dismantled their Fontas and Pennell classrooms and created content libraries. Some of them did this with their children and had their children resort the books. They removed the word walls and replaced them with sound walls. They added decodable readers to the phonics program that they're using. They have Geodes and Fundations. Then

1 2 from late November to February, K to 3 teachers, 3 interventionists, and SLPs participated together in 4 30 to 60 hours of Orton Gillingham training so they had a common language and a common training, and they're using this to implement Fundations well. So 6 7 if you walk through in 2023, it's a totally different 8 picture. Every student was engaged. The paraprofessionals were bored. They need something else to do because these kids were just totally 10 11 engaged. The teachers were incredibly energized. Year 12 over year, I looked at some of the statistics. At the 13 end of 2022, less than half of the kindergarteners were at grade level. After just a few months of good 14 15 phonics instruction and good engagement, 60 percent of the same exact kids were at or above grade level. 16 First graders in 2022, barely one third of them were 17 18 reading, but two thirds by the time they finished 19 second grade. They really started flipping this 20 around with only a few months of training. It started 21 mid-year, the good engagement just started thereafter, but they are also using inquiry-based 2.2 2.3 knowledge building for all their social studies and science in the classroom, and that's really good too, 24

and to understand that you can have a progressive

25

approach for content and a direct approach for reading and writing and direction giving in math really works in this school. They invite any teacher and any of you to come see what's happening in the school, and I really think you should.

Thank you. I have Christmas gifts or

Hanukkah gifts or December gifts for everybody too,

but I can't walk up there so we'll get them to you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much.

Callie Higgins?

CALLIE HIGGINS: Chairs, Council Members, and Staff, thank you for this opportunity to speak.

My name is Callie Higgins, and I am a legal fellow at the New York Legal Assistance Group, or NILAG. For more than 20 years, NILAG's Special Education Unit has advocated on behalf of low-income children with disabilities. I appreciate the opportunity to offer the following comments, and I have also provided detailed written testimony.

My fellowship at NILAG focuses on ensuring that students with dyslexia have access to evidence-based reading interventions. The transition by New York City Public Schools towards a phonics-based reading curriculum in elementary schools is an

25

important step that acknowledges the growing 2 3 consensus around the science of reading. Yet, there 4 is an important gap between the literacy instruction the next generation of New York City Public School students will receive and the instruction current 6 7 middle and high school students received as younger 8 students. Our clients with dyslexia at NILAG reflect this reality. They are intelligent middle and high school students who were not given the tools to learn 10 11 to read as elementary school students, and this lack 12 of evidence-based reading instruction harms them to 13 this day. Additionally, our older clients frequently 14 do not have evidence-based reading services 15 recommended on their IEPs because IEP teams know 16 these services are unlikely to be available in a 17 middle or high school setting. Not only is this a 18 clear violation of federal law, it is also a deprivation of the only service scientifically proven 19 20 to benefit students with dyslexia. We know that 21 dyslexia develops equally across all races and 2.2 genders, but access to meaningful reading 2.3 interventions in New York City is not equal across demographics. Our clients are low income and 24

overwhelmingly students of color, and we see them

| receive disproportionate access to evidence-based |
|---|
| reading approaches. They are less likely to be |
| accurately diagnosed with dyslexia and have worse |
| reading outcomes than their white peers. For example, |
| my fellowship focuses on serving the communities of |
| East New York and Brownsville. These two communities |
| serve predominantly Black and Hispanic students, and |
| only 10 percent of their special education population |
| is reading proficiently. Access to evidence-based |
| reading approaches is not just a disability rights |
| issue, it is also a racial justice issue. We ask that |
| as you continue to invest in evidence-based literacy |
| policies, you consider the needs of older students in |
| historically underserved communities. This can be |
| done by requiring every middle and high school to |
| have access to reading specialists, by including a |
| section on IEPs to target the historic lack of |
| phonics instruction, and by investing in more schools |
| like the South Bronx Literacy Academy that |
| exclusively serve students with dyslexia. |

We thank the Committees on State and Federal legislation and Education for the work they have done in supporting evidence-based phonics

2.2

2.3

2 instruction and for holding this hearing. We hope 3 NYLAG can be a resource for you going forward.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony, Lasana Tunica.

LASANA TUNICA: Good afternoon. My name is

Lasana Tunica-El, and I'm the Senior Deputy Director

at Educators for Excellence New York. Educators for

Excellence is a non-profit organization that's

committed to building teacher power to ensure that

teachers have direct input into the policies that

impact their classrooms, and our secondary mission is

to raise the prestige of the teaching profession.

of our members met with Chancellor Banks and Deputy
Chancellor Quintana and presented them with a set of
recommendations around ensuring that there was a
streamlined set of high-quality curriculum options
for New York City public schools that were culturally
relevant and that there was embedded PD aligned
throughout the year to implement said curriculums.
So, as you can imagine, we were ecstatic when NYC
Reads was rolled out, and, upon the implementation,
we immediately began mechanisms to continuously have
that feedback loop from educators so that NYCPS would

be informed through our network what educators were 2 3 saying on the ground. We facilitated four different 4 town halls starting in the summer, and most recently about a week ago. Two of those included Phase One and Phase Two educators who shared their experiences who 6 7 had experience in the three curriculums as well as 8 vendors, experts, PL practitioners also presented, and there were O and A sessions from that. We also facilitated and partnered with two district 10 11 superintendents as part of their gaining feedback from teachers to get feedback in what curriculums 12 13 they would like to see so those were awesome sessions. Comparatively speaking, I guess we spoke to 14 15 over 500 teachers in those four town halls. We also put out surveys that they shared. Some of their 16 17 feedback that was glows and grows expressed around 18 the different curriculums, it was billed as straight 19 talk. We also had teachers from various parts of the 20 country who were well-versed in the three curriculum, 21 Baltimore, Oakland, that shared with New York City 2.2 teachers their experiences in implementing these 2.3 curriculums as well. From our survey results, we'll find that teachers' concern is that they received the 24 PL throughout the year. That's common. That's what we 25

2.2

2.3

hear constantly and repetitively is the PL, PL, PL as well as the tailoring to unique student populations through the curriculum as well, and they would like to see those models of real in-classroom teaching and implementation of these curriculums. We've also collected about 300 or so petitions around this PL so that's the major piece of this thing that we will continue to contribute to as a way of sharing the feedback from our educators and continue to partner effectively with New York City Public Schools to make sure that this thing is rolled out successfully. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Teresa Ranieri.

TERESA RANIERI: Hi, I'm Teresa Ranieri.

Thank you for having me. I've had the privilege of being an educator in District 9 located in the Bronx for 27 years. I'm also a member of E4E. I was a kindergarten, first, and second grade teacher for the majority of my career. As a classroom teacher, I was considered highly effective, so much so that I was asked to be a literacy coach in a teacher's college reading and writing project school. Using the premise that 15 to 20 percent of students in a classroom are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

impacted by dyslexia, I am personally responsible for approximately 55 students not learning how to read while in my classroom. As a project school literacy coach, I contributed to approximately 525 students not receiving the instruction needed. My students that I loved fiercely, had undefined learning differences, were being taught by an untrained teacher using curriculum and assessments that were unproven and at times harmful, and as they grew, they had a greater chance for decreased school engagement and a much more likely chance not to finish school. I contributed to the school-to-prison pipeline. I am partially responsible for the inequities for our black and brown students that are disproportionately impacted by the negative effects of dyslexia. Knowing there was something wrong, I began my own journey to learn more and, once I knew better, I wanted to right my wrongs. Fortunately, in 2017, I was hired as a universal literacy coach under Andrew Fletcher. I was placed at the Family School in District 9. The Principal, Ms. Penn, a math guru, was willing to listen and learn and invested in developing teacher content knowledge. Before it was common or trendy, we discontinued those unproven, ineffective curriculum

1 2 and assessments. Teachers were trained in Orton Gillingham, Letters, and the Writing Revolution and 3 4 have learned to provide research-based instruction, but we still do not have a dyslexia screener. While children at the Family School make significant 6 7 progress based on Acadience and have the highest 8 growth in the district as measured by MAP reading growth assessment, we still have students' needs not being met due to unidentified dyslexia. Yet, less 10 11 than 10 miles from our school, Mayor Adams has stated there's an estimated 30 to 40 percent of inmates at 12 13 Rikers have dyslexia. For years, rather than invest in a dyslexia screener and provide needed 14 15 instruction, more money is spent on special education 16 services and incarceration. How did that make any 17 sense? I look forward to a change, and I hope budget 18 cuts do not interfere. That's where y'all come in. 19 Thank you so much for New York City Reads. It's year 20 one curriculum. Again, the Family School has been 21 very successful. This is our third year because 2.2 teachers became knowledgeable on children, how 2.3 children learn to build knowledge, read and write. As a school-based literacy coach supporting schools, we 24

use Edwards OG letters, the Writing Revolution,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Learning Ally, the Reading League, and Achieve the Core, that's aligned. This is my huge concern. There are schools, not Phase One, that are still today, and I saw it last week, using consultants with DOE contracts that are still encouraging Running Record's level text. I saw on Friday a kindergarten classroom where they had to read, I have a grandfather, and she said, I have a grandpa, and the teacher accepted it because these consultants aren't as trained as the people you heard speak earlier. I am a volunteer with HMH Into Reading so I provide in the evening support, live video calls, Facebook Live, for those teachers who I hear. The second concern is Teach Hub. The youngest students cannot access these wonderful curriculum resources through Clever. Kindergarteners are expected to go to a website, type in an email, and type in a password so they don't have the resources that we spent all the money for and also we can't link it to our Google Classroom. This is not the chancellor, but DIIT does not want to hear from me anymore. I've done all I can. So as you're having these conversations, especially in budget cuts, why do we have consultants that are ineffective, and why

_

2.2

2.3

aren't we using all the resources that we've paid for? On behalf of my children, thank you so much.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: Thank you. I'm so happy Deputy Chancellor Quintana is here so she can hear. She's taking notes to bring it back to leadership so you never have to sit here and say you were part of the problem. Next time I see you, you'll be part of the solution.

TERESA RANIERI: I'm very happy to say we are now fourth in District 9. We went from under 20 percent reading proficiently to fourth in the district. It's a tale of two schools. We're one building with two schools. If you make a right and come to our school, you have a 60 percent chance of learning to read. If you make a left, you have less than a 20 percent chance.

CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: We need to change the two tales of the city in the same building.

Access and equity has always been one of my things.

Thank you.

I wanted to ask you real quick. You also talked about TeachHub. It is very hard to navigate. Is there a plan to make DoITT, at DoITT, is there a plan to make that website a little bit more user

whether or not they receive it all year, but we were

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

exciting.

very inspired by particularly the models in the 2 3 districts where the superintendents were engaging 4 their districts around having input during the selection process, and some of the things that 5 teachers were doing was very exciting and tailoring 6 and scaffold into their unique populations using 7 various create creative ways. I remember one anecdote 8 around bridging kids from where they are to teach Greek mythology and using a book with a character 10 11 that they would recognize but using that to bridge 12 them toward learning about this Greek mythology. So 13 there was great enthusiasm. There was a lot of creativity and tailoring that we saw that was pretty 14

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I have one question for Sarah Part. Who spoke about PS125? Apologies. What's your name?

DEBBIE MEYER: Debbie.

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Debbie. PS 125 is in my District. Can you please talk about the program that's taking place there, its success, and how it's working?

DEBBIE MEYER: Sure. Thank you. I'm not sure if you heard everything before, but we got first

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

family and SLP and PA buy-in to it. I think that was really important. The second was a commitment to remain steeped in social justice and progressive education in content, but direct instruction where you need a direct explicit instruction in reading and in math and in writing and things like that. Last November, Orton Gillingham Instruction began, and it went through February, and we did an alignment, a horizontal alignment, so it was teachers, interventionists, and the SLPs all speaking the same language, all having the same training. They could talk to each other about it, and so when you walk through, you used to walk through and see kids hyperactive with a small h type of hyperactive, so just frustrated, rolling around on the floor, and now when you walk through, everyone's engaged. The ICT kindergarten class, you couldn't tell who was a special ed student and who was a general ed student. They were all engaged. And the paras were wanting to do something else because they didn't have to redirect any kids, and that meant the teacher could teach more effectively. The first grade classroom, not exactly the same because they had kids that didn't have great kindergarten instruction and some

| 2 | kids that had legacy missing school from COVID |
|----|---|
| 3 | instruction, but most of the kids were with the gen |
| 4 | ed teacher. Two kids were with interventionists, four |
| 5 | kids with the ICT teacher, and then I spoke about the |
| 6 | flip in the Acadience scores for that, and I can look |
| 7 | at it again. Oh, they also got tons of coaching from |
| 8 | both Edwards Orton Gillingham and from Fundation. |
| 9 | There were coaches in their building twice a month so |
| 10 | it was amazing training. At the end of 2022, the |
| 11 | kindergartners, less than half were approaching grade |
| 12 | level. A year later with only four months of really |
| 13 | good instruction, 60 percent of the same kids were at |
| 14 | grade level. The first graders in 2022, only a third |
| 15 | were at grade level. A year later by the end of |
| 16 | second grade, two thirds, so it's really flipping, |
| 17 | but they knew before they could even attack dyslexia, |
| 18 | they had to fix the Tier 1 instruction because you |
| 19 | cannot find your dyslexic kids unless you have good |

CO-CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to everybody on this panel, and thank you so much to all

24 of our in-person panelists.

Tier 1 instruction.

20

21

22

If there's anyone else in the room who wishes to testify, please make your way to the Sergeant-at-Arms' desk in the back and fill out a witness slip.

We will now move to virtual testimony, and, again, to all virtual panelists, please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

Our first virtual panel, Robert Murtfeld,
Daniella Maglione, Emily Hellstrom, and Jeannine
Kiely.

Robert, you may begin your testimony.

 ${\tt SERGEANT-AT-ARMS:} \ {\tt Time \ starts \ now.}$

ROBERT MURTFELD: (INAUDIBLE) in Bushwick.

Can you hear me well? That's good. I have just two very brief comments that I wanted to contribute to this wonderful meeting that you, both Chairs who are still there, you, Chair Joseph as well organized.

Number one, it is the statement by Chancellor Banks today about the rollout of this reading initiative, vis à vis how families particularly were involved in the rollout and the choice of the curriculum. What I mean by this concretely is that you have three curriculums that have been chosen, and to my best

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

knowledge, even the Advisory Council to the

3 Chancellor, the Literacy Advisory Council, did not

4 know about the choice of these three curriculums. So

5 why was, for instance, Amplify, which is a curriculum

6 vendor from Brooklyn not chosen on the science of

7 reading, and why was EL Education chosen and the two

others? So that is number one.

Number two, the key point is we really need to get the opt-on from teachers. We cannot tell teachers that what they've been doing was wrong and here's something new and we just kind of plant it onto you, and there is a section on teacher autonomy on the DOE website regarding that matter, and I think that needs to be respected, and I was a bit disappointed by the Chancellor today saying that if you don't agree with our philosophy, you can go. I don't think that's the right approach, but his other staff was very good about this, and it was absolutely wonderful as well to see the testimonies from others, such as Jo Anne Simon who has been very good about that issue. So, my name is Robert Murtfeld, and I represent our PTA Advocacy Committee in the East Village at a progressive school. We are one of four

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

progressive schools in District 1, and that is my
testimony to you today. Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony.

Daniela Maglione.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

DANIELLA MAGLIONE: Okay. Thank you, everyone. My name is Dr. Daniella Maglione, and I work for Pearson. I want to thank you for the opportunity to continue to work with you all in this very important initiative. As you know, dyslexia is listed as a learning disability disorder under IDEA and, as you all know, we also have national and state support in place for dyslexia. As many of you know, and it has been discussed, dyslexia is neurobiological in nature, which means that it is caused by genetic or biological factors, not ineffective teaching. Depending on the source, as you know, it's estimated that one in every five students is affected with dyslexia, and I'm also a mother and a sister to individuals with dyslexia.

Now, I do have a slide deck that I sent

you, and I wanted to show you some of the symptoms,

causes, and risk factors for dyslexia, but I did want

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to note, as many of you have spoken about today, that symptoms of dyslexia can be observed even before a child begins to read as it is a language-based disorder so earlier intervention is essential for dyslexia. The impact of this disorder depends on the severity, the effectiveness, and the timing of the remediation, and research has shown that interventions provided to younger children are more effective and can prevent impacts to their social emotional well-being. For this reason, a comprehensive evaluation is essential in the process to co-occurring conditions with dyslexia, and those include ADHD, dysgraphia, dyscalculia, and others. As many of you know, a screener is a brief and quick measure that sorts individuals into two groups, those that are at risk, and those that are not. There are two types. There are performance-based screeners as well as rating scales. Here at Pearson, we use the screen, assess, intervene, and progress monitor process. Much like a diagnosis of diabetes, when patients are diagnosed, doctors must monitor their progress to determine different treatment options and their effectiveness. Pearson does have a dyslexia toolkit that offers options for screening as well as

South Bronx Literacy Academy, which is the first

2.2

2.3

disability.

that opened a school in the Nation run by NYCPS
that opened a school in the South Bronx, South Bronx
Literacy Academy, that is specifically designed for
students with dyslexia, language-based learning
disabilities, and other struggling readers. At
Literacy Academy Collective, we believe access to
literacy is the most pressing civil rights issue in
New York City, and it is our mission to build and
support a full network of NYCPS schools to allow
struggling readers to achieve academic success by
bringing culturally relevant structured literacy to
students at the intersection of race, poverty, and

I just wanted to say today we opened in September to 67 families in the South Bronx that actually come from all over the Bronx, and we have a few data points to share, and so I just wanted to read them into the testimony. We had a model classroom pilot last year at PS 161. At the beginning of the year, we had 30 students, 27 of whom were performing two to three years behind at a pre-K level even though they were in second and third grade, and by the end of the year, our students had gained an average of 3.7 words per week compared to an expected

rate of 2.2 words gained per week. For this group of students, their average growth was equivalent to moving from the 16th percentile in the Acadience measure to the 68th percentile. These are students who had not experienced academic success in the

7 entire three to four years of their formal education.

These are not just numbers. We have some feedback already from parents, and I just want to read it to you. One mom told us "at first my daughter was complaining about why we needed to do a lot of reading things every day." She said, "I'm not going to lie to you. I was happy when she said that because she's not used to it. She began spelling letters and learning their sounds. Currently, she's reading short words. For me, it is such an achievement. The things that she never learned in two years she learned in a few short months." Another mom said, "in the first two months of attending SBLA, I saw a drastic change in my son's ability to sound out words, read, and write. I was literally blown away when my son came home and started to sound out words and actually write letters."

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

EMILY HELLSTROM: Sorry. "His parents first teacher conference, his teacher was able to show me side by side work assignments showing his handwriting from September to October. I just couldn't believe it. It was the most fulfilling moment. I was so proud and I knew right away that I had made the best decision choosing SBLA. He went from drawing shapes and pictures to writing letters and words."

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony.

Next panelist, Jeannine Kiely.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

JEANNINE KIELY: (INAUDIBLE) another cofounder of Literacy Academy Collective. I'm not going to go through the details of our programs because Emily did such a thorough job of that, but I do want to thank the City and DOE for its support and funding.

For me, this is personal. My youngest son was labeled a behavior problem at four. He failed spelling test, could not sound out simple words, and got up and down during library selecting books to impress his friends even if he couldn't read them. He

| STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 105 |
|---|
| felt dumb, and he fell further and further behind in |
| every subject. Instead of structured literacy |
| intervention, his school suggested a feelings doctor. |
| If our son were a black or brown boy, he would have |
| had a higher chance of being labeled emotionally |
| disturbed and recommended to a more restrictive |
| educational setting, but we are a family with |
| resources, and before fourth grade, which is very |
| late, we paid for a private neuropsych exam that |
| diagnosed him with dyslexia. We funded private |
| tutoring twice a week, and our son finally learned to |
| read, but he still suffers from low self-esteem and |
| shame. If he were diagnosed and received structured |
| literacy instruction earlier, he could have avoided |
| five years of shame. Like my son, children who cannot |
| read fall further and further behind each school |
| year. Without intervention, they fall into the trauma |
| trench of shame. They are at higher risk of suffering |
| from depression, anxiety, and act out, breaking |
| rules, engaging in risky behaviors, in the worst case |
| fueling the dyslexia-to-prison pipeline. Avoiding |
| these negative consequences will take money, and we |
| |

hope save lots of money down the road.

I want to ask the City Council for three

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

things. We want funding for Literacy Academy Collective's second school in Brooklyn. We'd like to open up a second one, and more close to home, we would like to fund the Bleeker School. It's in Greenwich Village, a great location for middle school students with dyslexia who did not receive the instruction they needed in elementary school. Just as a side note, the City has an option to build a new school at an NYU-owned property, but the option must be executed by the end of the year or 65 million dollars of value transfers from New York City taxpayers to NYU. Third, we'd like the City to continue to fund literacy programs for teacher training and structured literacy instruction for students of all ages. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to everyone on the panel.

We will now move to our next virtual panel. Naomi Peña, Yana Semiglazova, Alex Estes (phonetic), Paulette Healy (phonetic), and Charlene.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

1

3

4

5

6

7

^

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

NAOMI PEÑA: Good evening and thank you for City Council for having us. I am actually also another co-founder of Literacy Academy Collective.

I'm not going to belabor the information that's been shared, but I will tell you a little bit of my story and how I got here. I am a mom to four dyslexic children, my oldest is 23, my youngest are 14-year-old twins, and the reason I'm elevating that is because I am raising children of color, and 18 years ago when I started having complaints about my son's behavior I was being told that he was lazy, that he didn't care about his education, and it wasn't until one day he came to me and said after getting in trouble from me and a teacher he literally told me, I don't know what's wrong with me, it's like my brain won't let me. I will tell you that his entire academic experience was marred by bad experiences from teachers not understanding how he learned to read or to process information to really feeling like he was incapable of being successful. He often called himself stupid and dumb. What ended up happening is, by the time high school hit, he completely felt deflated and not supported. He ended up going to a District 79 school so he can get his

1

3

4

5

•

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

2.3

24

25

GED, but as a boy of color, a young man of color at this point, he's still my baby, he does not have any desire to continue in higher education because of his marred experience his entire academic experience.

On the flip side, 18 years later, I saw a repetition of how severe my son's dyslexia was with my younger son and his similar situation. He was held back twice. I was told to do all sorts of reading interventions, but by this time I knew there were options. I ended up taking my fifth grader out of a traditional public school and into a private school in the city specifically for students with dyslexia, and it turned out that he was not reading on grade level. He was reading on a first-grade reading level, and this is just a snapshot of my household of what happens across the city, and this is why we need to elevate these reading concerns because we know if we do structured literacy with Fidelity and across the board for everyone, 95 percent of all readers can read at level.

I will end it by saying I am here to fully extend the invitation to anyone on the City Council who wants to see this in practice because schools like SPLA and also the pilot in Harlem are

2 getting those types of results because it's being

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 done with Fidelity and with the proper teacher

4 training and the students are flying and they feel

5 successful and they feel empowered so full invitation

6 to everyone who wants to come. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to our panelist. Yana.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

YANA SEMIGLAZOVA: Hello, everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

My second-grade child is in a Phase Two school, and my child doesn't get to be a first and a second grader a second time like you mentioned. I'm just asking that the DOE trains principals as soon as possible. I find myself and I really had to search and find Debbie Meyer to provide more of the evidence-based information. I was constantly provided no information, misinformation by my child's school team, the principal, the assistant principal, the teachers, and the therapists. I had to get the diagnosis independently, I had to file for mediation, even after providing the prescribed intervention of the neuropsych. I called Include NYC and Advocates for Children multiple times for their help. There

2.2

2.3

needs to be a better road map and better information provided by school staff to parents. New York City DOE needs to ensure that literacy interventions are appropriate at every school, whether they're in a Phase One or Phase Two. You need to also provide data on independent set certifications and trainings because I had to obtain Wilson-certified provider lists, I had to call the company myself and call each provider within my neighborhood to find out if they even receive DOE funds and are willing to work with my child. Honestly, it should not be this way. I am more educated and I was seeking out information, and I would also like to ask Literacy Academy and the Council to consider opening a school similar to the one in the Bronx in Queens. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Next up, Alex Estes.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

ALEX ESTES: Hello, thank you for having me and thank you, everyone, for participating. I hope I can be heard. I just picked my son up from school. My biggest concern I think around all this and I hate to be a wet blanket, this has been quite a cheerleading session for the science of reading, and

25

I am not against the science of reading. I'm 2 3 concerned about the co-opting of that phrase because 4 there is a science regarding reading, but to group an effectiveness together as a science of reading is a little grimace. Regardless, what I really would like 6 7 to put forth here today is my biggest concern is the 8 fact that this this Miracle of Mississippi thing that keeps going over and over and over again, if one were to look into it you can pull up, you can just type in 10 11 Miracle of Mississippi science of reading, and there's an AP article, it's like the second thing 12 13 that comes up and there's a chart in there of Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, and the other Gulf 14 15 state, maybe Texas, anyway, and Florida goes up 16 starting in 2002, and the question of course becomes 17 why did they do it so much earlier, and the law that 18 they passed was just to implement simple teaching of phonics. I think what has happened is there's been a 19 lot of curriculums that have gotten behind this idea 20 of, well, how can we wrap up an entire curriculum 21 2.2 just around phonics to be sold to schools? So my 2.3 concern is that we are spending millions of dollars on something that could have been achieved by just 24

the simple implementation of phonics.

25

2 Now, onto the Acadience screening, which 3 I think is very important when it comes to locating 4 the potential students that have dyslexia, but the implementation of it I feel like has been off a little bit. For instance, and there's no data, I 6 can't access any data, it's not public record, for 7 8 whatever reason. but even anonymized data would be nice, but, I'd be curious to know how many December babies is because these are being flagged for 10 11 intervention because the Acadience screeners are 12 grade based, and so we're screening all of the 13 children, but our children are four months younger in each grade than the ages of everyone else who's being 14 15 screened throughout the country because of the way that we use the calendar year to dictate our ages per 16 17 grade in New York. Again, I'm worried about resources 18 being used that don't need to be being used. We could 19 have just put in a phonics program years ago when 20 Florida did it. I can't believe as a New Yorker, I'm 21 even saying we should have done something like 2.2 Florida, but here I am, and so in 2002, when they did 2.3 that, they saw their scores go up, their test scores, everyone did so well, and everyone just sat around, 24

no one asked about it. Now it's being called the

importance of incorporating assistive technology into

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

our approach. If we were to utilize some of the programs and philosophies that have perpetuated progress with the use of assistive technology and using it in a UDL type of format where it's used in the classroom so that all children, whether they have been identified or not, can benefit from it. It should go hand-in-hand when we're discussing literacy in our schools.

I also want to talk about the importance of paras. Chair Joseph, you and I have visited schools where we really couldn't tell in the classroom who was the teacher, who was the para, and that goes towards the collaborative efforts of a universal approach where everyone is invested in making sure every child in that classroom gets the support that they need. So it hurt my feelings a little bit when I was hearing previous panelists talk about like paras were standing around because they had nothing to do. Well, paras are not babysitters. They're actually quite intelligent and, given the opportunities like PDs and resources, they have the skills to help manage the classroom as effectively as any teacher with a degree.

STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 1 2 That being said, I want to acknowledge 3 that before Into Reading became one of these three 4 curriculums that the Chancellor was putting forward, Into Reading was being used in D75 schools, at the higher education level, in middle school and high 6 schools so it's like when we're looking at like what are we going to do for our children who are not 8 within those early intervention ages, that have not had any of these interventions that we're looking at 10 11 now, it's not a matter of, oh, too bad, they're 12 already lost to us in the system. D75 has been really 13 perpetuating the advances when it comes to 14 manipulating these particular canned curriculums to 15 format to the various degrees of disabilities in a 16 classroom. I'll give a big shoutout to 753K in 17 Canarsie, which I think is in Council Member Mercedes 18 Narcisse's District. They have been doing incredible 19 work with Into Reading with their high school 20 students, so much so that for their students that are 21 under-credited but over age, they created a worksite 2.2 program called Bridges to Books where they're 2.3 actually going into elementary schools. These

students, graduates from this D75 Into Reading

program, are going into elementary schools...

24

SERGEANT-AT-A

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

4 ki

PAULETTE HEALY: To do reading with kindergarten and first grade children based off of the learning that they received as students.

I know I have to wrap up, so if you want more information about it, Chair Joseph, you know exactly where to find me, and the last thing I just want to say is that as City Council, we can't have this literacy conversation if we're talking about an austerity budget that's going to cut funding to our schools and libraries. What sense does it make to teach our kids to read when they have no books to access? So I urge you all City Council Members stand with us in making sure that there are no more austerity cuts to our to our schools and our families. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. Charlene Parker.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

CHARLENE PARKER: Hello. I have an eighthgrade son, my son is in the eighth grade. He was just recently diagnosed with dyslexia. However, my son had signs of dyslexia early on. I would say from the first grade, I brought these issues up. I brought my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

concerns up early on from when Ajani (phonetic), my son, was in the second grade but to no avail. It was never looked into. He was sent to have some kind of evaluation, but the evaluation through the Department of Education only showed that he needed speech therapy so for years my son was only receiving speech therapy. My son's IEP only said that he needed speech therapy, but for years I went back and forth with his school about the things that I was seeing, and every time I was dismissed. At every IEP meeting, I was dismissed. My concerns were dismissed. They were not looked into. Most recently I was sent to get my son reevaluated because of my continued concerns, and I went to have a private evaluation, which by the way, I was not told that I could have a private evaluation paid for by the DOE, and I did that and it came up that my son is dyslexic. So for seven years my son had dyslexia, and it wasn't addressed in the classroom. That resulted in having to take the DOE to court, and it's just a long drawn out process that could have been prevented if someone looked into my concerns more deeply and he received the services that he needed to receive early on. Again, I just want to kind of put everything in perspective. My son

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 178 |
|-----|--|
| 2 | is an eighth-grade student. He's going to the ninth |
| 3 | grade, but he's reading on a fifth-grade level. That |
| 4 | is concerning to me. That's it. Thank you. |
| 5 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to |
| 6 | all of our virtual panelists. |
| 7 | If the following panelists are logged on |
| 8 | or if there's anyone else logged on that wishes to |
| 9 | testify, please use the raise hand feature. Tanisha |
| LO | Grant, Sharon Roberts, Ophelia Morris, Nichole |
| 11 | Sherry. |
| L2 | No hands. |
| L3 | That concludes our virtual testimony. |
| L 4 | CO-CHAIRPERSON JOSEPH: This concludes our |
| L5 | hearing. [GAVEL] |
| L 6 | |
| L7 | |
| L 8 | |
| L 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 26, 2023_____