CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

----- X

January 21, 2025 Start: 10:12 a.m. Recess: 12:47 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Selvena N. Brooks-Powers,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joann Ariola Chris Banks Gale A. Brewer

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Amanda Farias Farah N. Louis Mercedes Narcisse

Sandy Nurse Carlina Rivera

Julie Won

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Margaret Forgione First Deputy Commissioner of the New York City Department of Transportation

Paul Ochoa Executive Deputy Commissioner

Eric Beaton
Deputy Commissioner of Transportation Planning
and Management

Rick Rodriguez Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Community Affairs

Jean Ryan Disabled In Action New York

Michael Ring Vice President of Disabled In Action

Michael Capasso Self

Michael Acevado(SP?)
Self

Christopher Leon Johnson Self

Philip Miatkowski Interim Deputy Executive Director at Transportation Alternatives

Miriam Fisher Self

Caleb Smith
Resiliency Coordinator at We Act For
Environmental Justice

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Andrew Rigie
The New York City Hospitality Alliance

Glen Bolofsky Self SERGEANT AT ARMS: Testing one, two, one two.

Today's date is January 21, 2025. Today's hearing is
the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 4

5 being recorded in the Chambers by Keith Polite.

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Transportation. Please silence all cell phone electronic devices. Please do not approach the dais. If you need assistance, we will be more than happy to help you. If you wish to submit testimony, you may do so online at <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. Once again, that's <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: [GAVEL] Good morning and welcome to this morning's Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure hearing. My name is Selvena Brooks-Powers and I am the Chair of this Committee.

Today's hearing will focus on the Department of Transportation's Capital Plan. New York City's infrastructure and the future of street repair. In addition, we will be hearing the following related legislation: Intro. Number 145, sponsored by myself in relation to placing a cap on the correlated color

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE temperature of new and replacement streetlights; Intro. Number 552, sponsored by Council Member Brannan in relation to the street resurfacing timeline; Intro. Number 928, sponsored by Council Member Nurse in relation to requiring the Department of Transportation to conduct a pilot project on the use of cool pavement; Intro. Number 1105, sponsored by myself in relation to tracking progress made towards the requirements of the streets master plan; Intro. Number 1114, sponsored by Council Member Won in relation to requiring the Commissioner of Transportation to create an online capital project tracker; and Intro. Number 1160, sponsored by Council Member Brannan in relation to repainting of payment marking lines.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Department of Transportation manages an annual operating budget of \$1.4 billion and a ten year \$33 billion capital program. Along with 6,300 miles of streets and highways, over 12,000 miles of sidewalk and approximately 800 bridges and tunnels.

The Department is tasked with maintaining the city's infrastructure and ensuring that people and goods can move effectively throughout New York City.

Over time, road ways that our city relies on will

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 6

deteriorate from wear and tear, requiring frequent

pavement condition assessments and DOT led repairs.

For problems like potholes, cracking, hummocks, bumps

and patches of street cuts, DOT utilizes street

resurfacing which is a corrective measure to address

smaller surface level problems.

2.2

2.3

Over time, the Department has implemented a number of sustainable street resurfacing techniques including recycle asphalt, pavement technology, warm mix technology and recycle classic waste technology. All these measures reduce pollution and help our environment.

Another important aspect of the city's infrastructure that falls primarily under the Department of Transportation's purview is our bridges and tunnels. DOT owns and operates and maintains 789 bridges and tunnels, 24 moveable bridges and 4 vehicular tunnels throughout New York City.

As part of DOT's maintenance of this work, it executes bridge construction projects that range from preventative maintenance to install entirely new bridges. Current projects like the Belt Parkway Bridges reconstruction and the replacement of the Brooklyn Queens Expressway are ongoing and vital to

the city's transportation network. Under federal law, bridge structures must be inspected at least once every two years. State assessments are done for all bridge structures within the state with some exceptions but the Department of Transportation further inspects bridges not inspected by New York State.

2.2

2.3

The New York City Charter requires DOT to publish a bridges and tunnels annual condition report, which contains a description of all capital and revenue budget funds appropriated for the rehabilitation and maintenance of bridges and tunnels. As opposed to complying with the annual requirement, DOT published only one report for 2022 and 2023 confined, which was released on December 31, 2023. According to this report, .87 percent of the city's bridges were rated poor, 63.81 percent were rated fair, 27.86 percent were rated good and 7.46 percent were rated very good.

In addition to ensuring a good state of repair for the city's infrastructure, we also want to ensure our city streets and roads are safe. It is important that - it is more important than ever that the city fulfills the legal mandate of the Streets Plan.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 8

Local Law 195 of 2019 was passed in October 2019 by

the Council to expand the city's biking, bus and

pedestrian safety infrastructure. But as we have

seen since then, the Department has not satisfied

many of the legally mandated benchmarks in the

Streets Plan. Largely in regards to protected bus

lanes, bus stop upgrades and transit signal priority

intersections, this was evident in the 2024 update

and in the Committees past hearing on the Streets

Plan.

2.2

2.3

I want to better understand what the city has accomplished in 2024, how we can ensure that the mandated benchmarks are actually achieved, and what funding has been allocated to the second phase of the Streets Plan to ensure its success. In terms of legislation today, I will allow the sponsors of Intro.'s Number 552, 928, 1114, and 1160 to speak when they arrive. Aside from the pieces of legislation, today's hearing will focus on how the Administration can ensure good state of repair of the city's infrastructure, how the Administration is implementing the Streets Plan and street improvements to ensure safety on streets and how the city will utilize and apply for federal funding made available

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 9 in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act from 2021.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I would like to thank my staff and Committee Staff for their hard work, Kevin Kotowski, Senior Policy Analyst, John Basile, Senior Policy Analyst, Mark Chen, Senior Counsel to the Committee, Adrian Drepaul, Senior Financial Analyst, Julian Martin, my Policy and Budget Director and Renee Taylor, my Chief of Staff. I would also like to take a moment to recognize Connor Mealey, who was previously Counsel to the Committee and wish him the best of luck in his new endeavors. Connor, thank you for all of the work you have done for our Committee and for the Council. I always say that the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee has the best team in the Council and yes, I am bias and we wish him well.

I will now ask Committee Counsel to swear in the Administration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Our next panel will be from the Department of Transportation, Margaret Forgione, First Deputy Commissioner, Paul Ochoa, Executive Deputy Commissioner, Eric Beaton, Deputy Commissioner of Transportation Planning and Management, Rick

3 Intergovernmental and Community Affairs.

I will now administer the oath. Please raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Good morning Chair BrooksPowers and members of the Committee on Transportation
and Infrastructure. I am Margaret Forgione, First
Deputy Commissioner of the New York City Department
of Transportation. With me today are Paul Ochoa,
Executive Deputy Commissioner, Eric Beaton, Deputy
Commissioner of Transportation Planning and
Management and Rick Rodriguez, Assistant Commissioner
for Intergovernmental and Community Affairs. Thank
you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of Mayor
Adams and Commissioner Rodriguez on capital planning
and the future of street repairs.

DOT is responsible for operating and maintaining 6,300 miles of streets and highways. This includes

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 11 maintaining roadways, curbs, and pedestrian ramps.

Over 800 bridges and 5 tunnels, nearly 400,000 street lights and jurisdiction over 12,000 miles of sidewalk.

2.2

2.3

DOT's \$33.5 billion capital plan makes this work possible, with \$5.7 billion dedicated to DOT's Capital Street Reconstruction program. This program advances a broad range of agency priorities. Among them, enhancing safety conditions for all street users, increasing accessibility, improving pedestrian cyclists connectivity and bus operations, keeping the road network in a state of good repair, and addressing flooding and ponding.

DOT identifies street reconstruction needs regularly and through a variety of stakeholders, including community members, elected officials, other agencies, as well as proactively through our internal operational and planning teams. As part of the capital planning process, DOT evaluates perspective street projects using statistical prioritization frameworks that include metrics to quantify safety needs, roadway conditions, mobility improvements, climate considerations and community demographics. Equity is one of the largest considerations in

determining how projects rank. Our most recent ten year capital plan for street reconstruction invests the most money ever in communities of color throughout the five boroughs, including cycling and safety improvements in Northern Manhattan, Mott Haven and Hunts Point, drainage improvements in Southeast Queens, the Rockaways and South Brooklyn, and pedestrian and transit projects on the north shore of Staten Island and along Southern Boulevard in the Bronx.

2.2

2.3

DOT's capital street reconstruction projects undergo a rigorous scoping process that includes various multi departmental reviews, both from within DOT and across the wider city administration. DOT coordinates closely with other agencies and entities working within the city's right of way, including the Department of Environmental Protection, New York City Parks, Utilities, the MTA to ensure that resulting reconstruction project is thoroughly scoped, meets a range of stakeholder needs, and has been sufficiently coordinated to prevent follow up work and repeated community disruption. This approach ensures that the roadway is not disturbed twice unnecessarily. The capital scoping process includes collaborative

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 13 dialogue between DOT and the Department of Design and Construction who are generally ultimately responsible for managing the projects implementation during its design and construction phases.

2.2

2.3

Once a project scope consensus has been reached,

DOT transfers the project and its funding to DDC for implementation. After assuming management of the project, DDC brings on a design consultant directly oversees design activities, facilitates design reviews and leads the community engagement process.

When design is complete, DDC then procures a construction contractor for the project build out while maintaining contact with the community throughout the projects construction phase. Beyond capital street reconstruction projects, DOT also implements a wide array of street improvement projects to enhance safety connectivity and accessibility for New York City streets.

Last week, we released an equity and street safety report to analyze how we are prioritizing these projects and to ensure they are benefiting the neighborhoods with the greatest needs. I'm happy to say that the report found that since the start of Vision Zero, neighborhoods with high shares of non-

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 14
White residents or high poverty rates have received
more street improvement projects per mile.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now turning to the legislation before us today. First, Intro. 145, sponsored by Chair Brooks-Powers. This bill would limit the correlated color temperature of new and replacement lamps installed to illuminate streets, highways, parks, or any other public space to 3000 Calvin. 3000 Calvin is already DOT's lighting standard based on engineering quidance, and so, we all understand what this means. Calvin refers to how warm or cool a light is, ranging from orange to white to blue. This is different from wattage, as wattage refers to how bright a light is. All new or replacement lamps that the agency installs are 3000 Calvin unless the agency is complying with the intent of the bill. However, it is critical that we are able to adjust our practices in the future if engineering standards change.

For this reason, we do not support legislating an engineering standard but we would be happy to have further discussions with the Council about the standard and our operations.

Next, Intro. 552 sponsored by Council Member Brannan. This bill would require DOT to finish

resurfacing within two weeks from the start of the work. If the work is not finished within two weeks, DOT would be required to notify the surrounding community of reasons for delay and provide a new timeline. DOT resurfaces roadways to address problems on the surface, including cracking, patching and peeling by replacing the top layer of asphalt pavement. This extends the roadways lifespan and helps prevent potholes. The more we pay for the fewer potholes we need to fill.

2.2

2.3

DOT's budget has baseline funding for resurfacing 1,100 lane miles and up to 50 miles of protected bike lanes per year. We do not support this bill as written. While DOT works hard to mill and pave as quickly as possible, our process must give sufficient time for utility companies, plumbers, and our sister agencies to make repairs under the asphalt. We conduct extensive coordination efforts with sister agency and utility partners throughout the city to ensure the time when a street is milled is well used.

Without this necessary time for repairs, more cuts would need to be made to roadways in the future, which would make the whole process less efficient.

We all want to avoid the situation where a newly

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 16 resurfaced street needs to be cut in two for a repair right after the work is finished. To have smooth streets for years to come, we need to give sufficient time for these repairs.

2.2

2.3

Moreover, it is critical that we maximize our capacity to ensure we can resurface 1150 lane miles annually. The pace of resurfacing is faster than that of milling, so we must have enough areas to mill - milled to spend our resurfacing dollars well. This helps the department maximize the number of paved lane miles.

Next, Intro. 928 sponsored by Council Member

Nurse. This bill would require DOT in partnership

with DOHMH to conduct a pilot on the use of cool

pavement. This Administration shares the Council's

goal of enhancing resiliency and addressing heat

vulnerability throughout New York City and we support

the bills intent.

DOT is already taking a number of steps to enhance resiliency and to address heat. Since the Unified Stormwater Rule came into effect in 2022, DOT has also embraced installing precast porous concrete panels in capital projects. Roadway reconstruction projects that disturb over an acre of the subbase of

the roadway or any project that adds 5,000 square feet of impervious surface, are required to include stormwater management practices to reduce impervious space. DOT and DEP have either completed or plan to complete in the relative near term approximately 92 linear miles of porous pavement that will result in approximately 450 million gallons of stormwater managed per year. The vast majority of which has been installed as part of DEP projects. We would be happy to discuss this work with the Council further.

2.2

2.3

Other than porous pavement, DOT is looking at other ways to beat the heat. Our cool corridor study completed in 2024 was a first in the nation capability and capacity building grant from FEMA to study the types and efficacy of heat mitigation and heat released strategies through the lens of a benefit cost analysis. The study identified many types of physical interventions, including street trees, drinking fountains, surface treatments and seeding that can address the growing seasonal issue of extreme heat.

Through analysis and discussions with sister agencies and sister cities, we evaluated on a conceptual level the feasibility of these

interventions and the dense New York City context.

DOT is advancing our mission to make streets

comfortable and safe, especially in the summer. We

are seeking federal funding to advance the cooling

interventions identified in the study and working to

integrate heat vulnerability assessments and heat

resilience into capital planning and prioritization.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Given how multifaceted heat health is, we are grateful for the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice and New York City Emergency Management who have served as organizers for many of these efforts and also to DOHMH who has been an incredible technical partner in understanding heat Regarding the pilot that the bill would require, the Administration supports advancement and additional research into the use of cool pavements. DOT is aware that other municipalities have tested cool pavement and seen some promising results, but New York City is a dense and complicated place and implementing cool pavement here will have its unique challenges and any studies and recommendations must consider the wide array of factors at play on the city's street scape in adjacent buildings. Including in areas outside of DOT's jurisdiction.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

2.2

2.3

Such a pilot would also require an understanding of heat impacts that are outside of DOT's expertise. We look forward to future discussions with the Council and other appropriate agencies about how to best achieve the bills aims as well as the city's broader efforts to reduce heat.

Next, Intro. 1105, sponsored by Chair BrooksPowers. This bill would require DOT upon submission
of the Annual Streets Plan update to post on our
website a description of all the planned projects for
the year and describe how each would contribute to
the Streets Plan mandates.

This bill would also require that DOT give monthly updates on each projects progress. DOT does not support the bill as written. Community engagement is a vital part of DOT's work. We are concerned that by putting out a list of projects in the beginning of the year, it could hamper community engagement if community members feel the projects will be moving ahead regardless of their input.

Additionally, when the Streets Plan was negotiated into law, the annual February update was intended to serve as the agencies chance to publicly account for the previous years' work and it continues to serve

that purpose. That date was specifically negotiated to require our planners to focus on this accounting in the winter months outside of our construction season. We are concerned that requiring such accounting throughout the year will divert our planners time away from actual project implementation and engagement to bureaucratic paperwork, slowing down our projects during peak construction season.

2.2

2.3

Monthly updates will also be of limited utility, as many of our projects are completed in the last quarter of the year.

Next, Intro. 1114 sponsored by Council Member
Won. This bill would require DOT to create a capital
project tracker and provide quarterly updates on all
projects that are not part of the Streets Plan.

I'm happy to say that the Mayor's Office of
Operations already maintains a citywide capital
projects dashboard that includes all of DOT's capital
projects. We would be happy to have further
discussion with the Council about this dashboard and
how it can be improved.

Finally, Intro. 1160 sponsored by Council Member Brannan. This bill would require DOT to repaint all pavement markings within one week of repaving or

resurfacing a street. If the work is not finished within one week, DOT would be required to notify the surrounding community of reasons for delay and provide a new timeline. DOT does not support this bill as written, although we agree with the intent. DOT has been working to shorten the time between repaving or resurfacing our streets and repainting markings.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Once paving is complete, DOT issues a work order right away. Then the vast majority of markings or 86 percent begin within five days and nearly all or 96 percent begin within ten days. While much better than past practice, we agree that there is room for improvement but operational flexibility is important to reflect real world conditions. DOT needs to be able to respond to other urgent priorities and if necessary redirect the contractor. In order to get the painting done within one week, we would need to know the completion date a few weeks before the repaving work is completed in order to update plans and schedule contractors and that is not always possible, as weather and other unexpected conditions can change resurfacing schedules. Environmental conditions can also play a role as extended periods

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 22 of wet or cold weather may prevent marking installation within any set time period.

2.2

2.3

In conclusion, I would like to thank the Council for the opportunity to testify before you today. We would now be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you

Commissioner. We have been joined also by Council

Members Rivera, Louis, Farias, Narcisse, Banks,

Ariola, Nurse and Brewer.

Before we get started with my questions, I want to give an opportunity to Council Member Nurse to give some remarks about her bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Good morning and thank you Chair. On January 10<sup>th</sup> Climate Scientists confirmed a silvering milestone, which is that the earths average temperature has surpassed 1.5 degrees Celsius above preindustrial levels for the first time in recorded history. And this terrible milestone signals a point of no return for our planet and will lead to untold devastation and suffering. New York City is the nation's largest urban heat island and exemplifies the urgent need for action.

On an average summer, our average summer high temperatures are already five degrees above what it

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 23 was 50 years ago and without significant interventions and emission reductions, projections indicate an additional increase of 3.5 degrees by 2063.

2.2

2.3

While reducing our reliance on fossil fuel is essential, we must also implement policies that can mitigate the immediate effects of our warming climate. With 6,000 miles of asphalt, New York City street absorb and radiate heat, often making the city feel up to eight degrees hotter. This exacerbates the risk of heat related illnesses and contributes to the growing annual average of 350 heat related deaths. Data from the DOH, the Department of Health Heat Vulnerability Index highlight the severe risk in communities like mine; Brownsville, East New York, Bushwick, and Cypress Hills, which rank among the highest for heat vulnerability.

Eastern Queens and much of the Bronx also face significant risks. This data provides a roadmap for where DOT could and should prioritize cool pavement pilot projects. Beyond reducing heat, according to the US Environmental Protection Agency, cool pavement offers additional benefits including decreased stormwater runoff, improved water quality, enhance

committee on transportation and infrastructure 24 street safety and better night time visibility depending on the treatment. Moreover, cool pavement treatments could also improve commercial activity where you are able to achieve the cool corridor effect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Last summer, I visited the San Antonio Department of Transportation and observed their cool pavement pilot program and with just \$1 million investment, they piloted various products costing approximately \$14 per yard across ten districts covering a mile. So, I think they did a block, one block in ten districts. They collaborated with the University of Texas at San Antonio Civil Engineering and Environmental Departments and it yielded impressive results. A single treated block reduced surface temperatures by ten degrees and ambient temperatures by three degrees just on a single block. although humidity sensitivity was noted, it was believed that increased demand could drive the development of more resilient treatments that would be more less sensitive to humidity.

Notably products like the GAF and I don't know how to say it properly, reclaimant(SP?), have shown promise in handling winter conditions like salt use

and extending asphalt life. San Antonio and New York City are very different. We have an expansive tree canopy, which provides summer leave; however, many areas lack sufficient green cover making them ideal candidates for a cool pavement pilot. And frankly, we also cannot guarantee that our current city tree scape will hold up as ongoing extinction events across the world negatively impact our local biodiversity.

2.2

2.3

Fighting climate change means mitigation,
adaptation and most importantly resiliency. So,
integrating multiple layers of heat mitigation into
our landscapes will be critical for New Yorkers with
chronic health issues and vulnerabilities to enjoy
public space for as long as possible.

The pilot is calling for - a pilot for heat vulnerable neighborhoods. I am eager to hear your thoughts on this. I have a lot of questions based on your feedback. I apologize that we weren't able to connect before this hearing, so I understand this will be like a cold conversation but looking forward to talking more. Thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Okay, we can go ahead and get into the questions here. I'll

start by asking a few questions and then I'll yield to my colleagues and then come back for round two. So, starting off with New York City streets and roads. Does DOT have any idea of how many times the agency may repair the same location? So, for example, my Laurelton Office is located on 222 and Merrick and we've worked with DOT a couple of times to come back to the very same site to address a massive like pothole that's there. And so, I'm curious to know how many times or if the agency is tracking how many times they have to go back to a particular location?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, in our system we do have the ability to track work orders and locations of work orders but using the example that you gave Chair, there's sort of two different borough based divisions that could potentially be very involved in those repairs. So, if that issue was caused by a utility or another city agency, it would be our inspection division, Highway Inspection Quality Assurance.

They very much pinpoint locations and what is the history of them because if something syncs once or has a problem once, it's usually caused by that same

committee on transportation and infrastructure 27 utility or that same issue. The other entity is our street maintenance unit, which has all the staffing for that borough. There's a limited number of supervisors in our street maintenance unit so they tend also to be very well aware of reoccurring problems so they can address them, including having that location paved.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, I'm glad to know that you all are tracking that. Do we know what that impact is to the agencies budget?

MARGARET FORGIONE: I don't think we have any number that would correlate to the budget. You know what I will say is if it's simply like wear and tear in a road, cracking, patching, just typical you know road that's past its useful life, we will make sure it gets paved in the next cycle. We're really on top of that.

If it something more systemic, like a leak underground or some kind of subsidence that might require some capital work, that's on a different track but by and large, anything that just requires paving would get incorporated into the program, so we're not wasting resources going back over and over.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

28

2

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: To your point in terms of wasting resources going over and over, because I've seen this a number of times. Like even in moments when there is a systemic issue, instead of going ahead and addressing that, it's still paved over, so I think to Beach 19th Street in Far Rockaway, which we've been in communication with DOT on, we know that it's something happening underground that continues to make the roadway itself collapse. And every time my constituents call 311, DOT comes out really quickly to uhm, you know put some you know - to come and repair it and then it happens again. And so, one, we're now wasting man power. Then in terms of resources. So, I've always questioned the type of materials being used, especially on certain roads where you know it's going to be like a lot of utility vehicles or trucks that go on that roadway.

So, when I travel other states, I'm always looking at like how their roads look, how they're so smooth and not broken down as much as ours. And so, I've questioned if we're using the appropriate type of material for our roadways to ensure that the wear and tear is not as frequent as we're seeing it.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 29

2.2

2.3

it's below the surface.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, so what I will say is if you or your constituents are seeing the exact same spot, literally over and over, something is happening underneath. It's not the quality of the asphalt;

So, many, many years ago when that street was being built, it's possible something was put in there that degraded, like wood and now it's sinking.

That's a possibility. There could be a leak underground and it keeps washing away and washing away and then the road keeps collapsing or sinking.

It could be one of those things that we have to troubleshoot. Sometimes it's not that easy to know what's going on underneath but we do get out our partners like DEP. We will bring in Con Ed or National Grid or whoever we think may be involved to troubleshoot it.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: But how quickly does that happen, right? Because whether it's 222<sup>nd</sup>

Street in Merrick Boulevard or if it's Beach 19<sup>th</sup> by

St. John's Hospital. Either way those are just two of a number of roads that in my district that I've seen it and I've also heard the same from my colleagues. So, that's why I thought it was

important to bring up before you kind of bring everyone else in. Because even with Beach 19<sup>th</sup>, from the very beginning, I asked for it to be interagency because the way in which it collapsed and after that first time of repairing it and how quickly it collapsed again, I knew through learning from you all in the past hearings that when it collapses in a certain way, that means something else is happening underground but the agency wasn't the one that said let's bring in DEP now. Like I said like, can we have a holistic conversation? But again, it's been about three years now and that road is still not repaired.

2.2

2.3

Right now, 222<sup>nd</sup> is repaired but like in the summer months is when I notice that that part of the roadway caves by my office. And so, in terms of having limited resources and man power, I'm just interested in understanding what that fiscal impact is on the agency and how we can be able to do a better job to have less trips to the same site because we're fixing it the way it needs to be fixed the first time if at most the second time.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, okay so I will check into both of these locations and find out what has

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

2 happened and I just want to say we totally agree with

3 you, multiple trips back doesn't make sense.

4 Sometimes there is a challenge in actually detecting

5 what is the underlying problem but we will find out

6 what has happened at these two locations.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay and I'll have more - oh, go ahead sorry Commissioner.

PAUL OCHOA: And Chair, if it something that requires a street reconstruction, we can begin a street reconstruction capital project, which as you know takes five to seven years but it is the long term fix that may be needed in case of repaving, where repaving is not working.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: But we've spent three years waiting, so one would have hope that we would have been half way through that timeline.

PAUL OCHOA: Well, so for example, when we repave, we can't go back and touch that same street within five years for the same capital eligibility purposes but I think as First Deputy Commissioner said, we can really look into initiating a capital project if that's going to be the permanent solution for you and your constituents.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

biggest projects?

I was going to say, I'm going to come back to the streets and roads because some of my colleagues may have questions on that and I'll catch whatever they don't ask. I'm going to pivot to capital streets projects. So, how many current capital street projects are going on right now and what are your

PAUL OCHOA: We have about 20 street reconstruction projects currently underway. They vary in size but we have Queens Boulevard. We have Grand Concourse. We have projects all over the city and across five boroughs. By the time the construction starts, it's usually anywhere from two to four years of construction for us to - for DDC to finish these projects.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: In terms of sustainable technologies, DOT is a national leader in the use of recycled asphalt pavement. It also implements warm mix recycle tire rubber and recycle plastic waste technology. What are the advantages of each of these technologies?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you for the question. We at DOT, we love talking about wrap, which is

committee on transportation and infrastructure 33 recycled asphalt pavement. So, as everybody knows before we pave, we remove the top layer of the street. That's what we call wrap. In our current in house, we have two in house asphalt plants where we utilize this product. We use the wrap, so we don't have to purchase what we call virgin, aggregate and new materials.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And what are those sites?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Our Harper Street Plant and our Hamilton Avenue Plant. So, in our Harper Street Plant, we currently use about 35 percent wrap or recycled asphalt. We're in the process of rebuilding that site. It's a very, very old site. Once we rebuild it we expect to use about 50 percent recycled asphalt. And then currently in our Harper Plant, I'm sorry, in our Hamilton Avenue Plant, we use about 40 percent recycled asphalt. We've also experimented just this year with going up to 50 percent. So far that product that work product that we put down in Brooklyn is looking good. We're going to keep watching it. We all know that our streets need to last for many years. So, it's fine if it looks good for one or two years but does it hold up in the long

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 34 run? That is really the question. You also and then one other thing I wanted to mention that we purchased about 50 percent of our asphalt for our resurfacing operation and we have four contractors. They use anywhere from about 20 to 40 percent of the wrap that we dig up in that asphalt mix.

2.2

2.3

So, what we do is rather than paying for disposal of the material, we uhm we give it to them and it goes back into the mix and then comes back into our streets.

So, you also mentioned an additive that we sometimes put in the warm to keep asphalt warmer in colder months. The additive is an interesting thing that allows us to basically pave longer in colder weather. So, that is something that we also use but we have to be very well aware of how that effects the mix. I mean you have to always make sure that our mix is of a certain durability and high quality.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. What is the net effect of DOT's use of warm mix and how long does it extend DOT's repaving season? And how many more roads has it repaved due to the use of warm mix?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, our paving season is of a set time period. It's March through December.

That's because for many, many years, for decades, we've designed a program that does not pave in the coldest months and so we do have part of our workforce is seasonal. So, we have seasonal assistants, city highway repairers that we lay off in March and we rehire I'm sorry in December and we rehire them in March. And that kind of works well because then what we do with the rest of our workforce is all winter long, we do pothole repair. We do other work like we try to catch up on speed humps and work like that with the rest of our workforce.

2.2

2.3

The other thing, having a seasonality to our operation is a benefit and that we can do work on the vehicles over the winter. The vehicles get a beating. We have milling machines with like lots of teeth. We have our paving machines that are constantly in use day and night throughout the year. So, having a downtime in the winter allows us literally we take apart those machines. We thoroughly clean them and we put them back together and we get them in good shape for the season. So, the additive that you mentioned allows us to do work at the beginning of the season or maybe at the end of

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 36 the season when it's cold and to keep paving so that the material stays pliable enough to put down but we don't extend the season because of it right now.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: But how long does it extend DOT's repaving season or it doesn't phase it at all?

MARGARET FORGIONE: It doesn't.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, it does not.

MARGARET FORGIONE: But it allows us to do a better product in the colder parts of the season where we're already working.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah, I'll come back to this area if my colleagues don't pick that up.

Going to bridges and tunnels. As you may recall, I was fighting trees on this topic the last time we talked about it.

So, as of calendar year 2023, DOT owns, operates and maintains 786 non-movable bridges, 23 movable bridges and 4 vehicular tunnels throughout New York City. As of December 31, 2023, the condition of the city's bridges in the 2022 to 2023 bridge and tunnel annual conditions report, indicated as I mentioned in my opening remarks, the different ratings. So, this is the first year that DOT's bridge and tunnel

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 37 conditions report has implemented the new condition rating scale. Can you please provide the Committee with an overview of the new rating system?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, thank you for that question. So, it's been a little complicated over the last years to develop and to issue our bridge ratings but first and foremost, I want to emphasize that at every moment we are well aware of the condition of all of our bridges. So, we do inspections. The state does inspections and we've been on top of the conditions of every bridge at all times. But in 2016, the Federal Highway

Administration mandated a new system of guidelines from Ashdod(SP?) that we needed to comply with and this really changed how we reviewed the bridge inspections and issued the bridge ratings.

As you know, the City Charter requires a single bridge rating where this FHWA system did not. So, we have spent some time translating the ratings into a single rating, which as you know, we have put out for 2022 and 2023. And now that we have developed a better system and we really have a very good handle on this and we feel confident of it, the next bridge

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 38 report, which will cover 2024 will come out much more quickly this year.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Did you also report on the individual component ratings?

MARGARET FORGIONE: I have the bridge ratings which should match what you have. Is that what you mean?

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: The super and substructure.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, oh the different components of the bridge. Yeah, so we have all of the different components of the bridge, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Now, why was this report delayed to the point that it needed to encompass the two years' worth of ratings?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, so as I mentioned, we were working hard to develop a single rating that we believed properly expressed the condition of the bridge. So, you know we have now been using this FHWA system, which has many different components of the bridge but we had to produce as per the charter, we needed to produce one rating for the bridge. So, we have spent a number of years going back and forth with the federal government and with the state

committee on transportation and infrastructure 39 government, you know the state DOT to review our methodology of translating these ratings. So, that definitely took some time but we're now in a place that we feel much more confident with that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Can you give us an update on the status on the following projects: The Belt Parkway Bridges reconstruction; the BQE replacement; John Finley Walk Rehabilitation; Riverside Drive Viaduct Rehabilitation?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Absolutely. Okay, so the
Belt Parkway Project is our first bridge design build
project. We're very excited about that. We issued
our RFQ last year and we're planning to issue the RFP
in the first half of this year. So, that would mean
that notice to proceed would be in Fiscal 2027. And
that Belt Parkway Project includes four bridges and
the connecting highway between them roughly from
Sheepshead Bay Road to Nostrand Avenue.

Next, the Riverside Drive Viaduct, this project rehabilitates Riverside Drive Viaduct from 153<sup>rd</sup> to 161<sup>st</sup>. We're replacing the deck and the sidewalk. We're rehabilitating the super structure, the concrete piers, the bearings and substantial completion should be in early next year, early 2026.

Okay, John Finley Walk will rehabilitate the FDR

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

promenade from 81<sup>st</sup> to 90<sup>th</sup> Streets. The scope is reinforced concrete repairs, water proofing, resetting the papers and installation of benches.

We're obviously working very closely with the Parks Department on this work. Final design has just started and then the construction is in - the notice to proceed is in Fiscal 2028. And then last, the status of the BQE replacement work, we are working closely with FHWA and state DOT. We're now completing a very comprehensive traffic study and we're preparing the documents to enter environmental review this spring. Construction would begin after the two year environmental review process.

But I would like to say as far as BQE, we have been doing a lot to make sure the structure is still constantly safe for the traveling public. We completed interim repairs this past year on spans 4 and 34. We had a successful deck and wall coring program begin and we also installed our Staten Island bound weigh and motion sensors in addition to the previously installed Queens bound weigh and motion sensors.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as you say it's getting a lot of attention and

do take very seriously. Pedestrian safety is

by micromobility and we've had a real focus on

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Going into street safety and Streets Plan. DOT has implemented a number of tools to address vehicular safety such as speed cameras, speed humps, red light cameras, all the things but what steps has the agency taken to ensure measures to protect pedestrians and motorists from micromobility users, recognizing while that it may not be at the same frequency as a car, that there are still incidents of crashes as it pertains to micromobility. As we saw in the last hearing we had, 9 hours 40 minutes, there was a lot of passion and concern from the public in terms of safety as it relates to e-scooters, e-bikes. And so, I'd like to understand what steps DOT has taken to address the safety as it pertains to micromobility.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you Chair. So, I will have Eric Beaton, Deputy Commissioner jump in on this as he has been championing a lot of that work.

ERIC BEATON: Sure and see this is something we

important whether they are getting struck by cars or

micromobility over the past couple years or you know

committee on transportation and infrastructure 42 deservedly so as micromobility and biking has been on a rise in the city. But we think that broadly that's a good thing. We like seeing people getting around outside of cars but we do need to make sure the street is safe for everyone.

So, I will mention a few of the things that we do first on the enforcement side. We work very closely with NYPD where we use data to identify the corridors where the most crashes are happening. Then NYPD does a real focused effort on those corridors and you see that you know if you go out once you catch someone, you know maybe they learn, maybe they don't. But if you do a lengthy focused area in a corridor, people really start to learn and change behavior. So, we've been seeing that being effective.

We've done a very strong education campaign where we've worked with the community at large but also very specifically with the delivery worker community to try to make sure both that they are operating safe equipment but also that they know the rules of the road. And you know as people come in and they start working without necessarily knowing everything about how the city functions.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 43

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: But do you focus on

like riders outside of the delivery system because

not everyone riding a scooter or bike are necessarily

using it for work.

ERIC BEATON: That's right, we do do both. The delivery worker community, you know has a very focused particular set but we do work with the larger you know advertising and other ways to get at the larger community. And then we're working on the design side as well because ultimately you know enforcement cannot be everywhere at all times. We want to make sure that the streets are designed to be safe and that's why we've looked to change the design of our bike lanes in some cases making them wider so that the passing is safer. Installing things like pedestrian refuge islands so that it's clear where pedestrians where need to wait or not.

As we've been installing our new sets of bike lanes, we've been incorporating more and more of these elements to reflect the changing nature of micromobility in the city.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Now you raise a good point in terms of how the roads are designed and this

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 44 is something I've said in the past before as well in terms of when you talk about motor vehicles.

2.2

2.3

One would argue that they move the way a road is designed when you don't have a breakup of the traffic. When you may have like a mile stretch with no stop sign, no traffic light. However, DOT is often very punitive in how they address safety related to motor vehicles but there's an emphasis on design for micromobility. So, there's a great disparity in the agencies approach to safety for pedestrians and you know uses of the road, and how we're able to find a greater harmony amongst the different modes of transportation.

So, what I'm hearing from you in terms of what the agency has done is the agency has put resources in funding and addressing the infrastructure of the roads to address this. But there is no real accountability I'm hearing in terms of the micromobility motorists and how they are using it because how will an officer - like, because we saw that the numbers in terms of the NYPD issuing these summons are not significantly high. From what I hear from some of the local employees sometimes they can't even catch up with them, right in terms of their

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 45 patrol, of their own foot patrol for example? So, it is something that obviously the Committee is looking at in terms of ways to make the road safe for everyone and so, would love to work with DOT and I know we have a couple of bills that are looking at that now that we will continue to have discussions around.

2.2

2.3

Streets Plan, Local Law 195 of 2019 also known as the Streets Plan or the Transportation Master Plan was to ask again in October 2019 by the Council and in September 2023, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, with the Committee on Oversight and Investigations, held an oversight hearing to receive an update on the Streets Plan. During that hearing and regularly throughout the year, the Committee has asked DOT to provide information about progress being made towards the Streets Plan mandate and was not provided any meaningful information.

So, 2024 has come and gone. The construction season is over and I'd like to know how many protected bus lane miles were implemented in 2024 out of the 30 mile mandate. I'd also like to say Commissioner, I heard you in terms of your thoughts on my bill that's being heard today as well and not

committee on transportation and infrastructure 46 being in support of it. However, the rational for that bill came out of one of our previous hearings where Commissioner Rodriguez indicated that we only get that information because the law requires DOT to give us that annual report and if we wanted it to be more frequent then the law would need to be changed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And so, that is where that bill is coming from.

That was through the words of Commissioner Rodriguez in our hearing under oath. So, I'd like to understand where we are with the bus lanes.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, let me start by saying that you know at any point throughout the year with any communities, with yourself and any communities, if people are interested in an update on what you know we're thinking of in that community and we would like their feedback of what is being planned. We are always ready to do that, so you know I know Commissioner Rodriguez was not trying to imply like we shut the door and we only talk about this once a The current February timeframe for the report works well because that is the off season of our construction seasons where our planners can really focus on developing these numbers and making sure that they're correct. So, that's what we're doing

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 right now Chair. We're putting together all of those 3 numbers and as you know, next month we will be providing those. So, we don't currently have those 4 numbers for you. I don't want to give you any 5 numbers today that are going to be you know 6 7 readjusted. We have so many projects out there and 8 sometimes they're in final states of being completed. So, as I mentioned, we're tabulating that right now and we'll have that information for you next month. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Well, I will just say 12 that this case and point, when we try to get 13 information, we're unable to get it at any of the 14 point, which indicates why we need this bill. 15 And how many intersections did DOT implement 16 transit signal priority out of the 1,000 mandated by 17 the Streets Plan? MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, I'm going to turn to 18 19 the MMR where I know - I think we have that there but 20 if any of my colleagues find it first, oh go ahead Eric. 21 ERIC BEATON: Yes, last year or in 2023, we did 2.2 about 750 intersections. We're still getting the 2.3

final number for 2024 as Commissioner Forgione said

but we expect it to be in that same range.

24

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 48

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. How many accessible pedestrian signals were installed out of

4 | the mandated 500?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: So we will have the number for you but I can tell you, you know we'll have the number for you in the next several weeks and I will tell you that we're probably exceeding the goal.

We've been doing very well on accessible pedestrian signals and last year in 2023, we completed 866, which well exceeded our goal.

And the way we have an agreement with the court, we're also allowed to bank the additional accessible pedestrian signals that we have completed. So, we're looking very good in this area.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you for that.

So, it's no secret that DOT has consistently failed to meet the requirements of the Streets Plan and has fallen further and further behind the aggregate five year target. Is DOT treating this with the urgency that it demands?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes Chair, we are working extremely hard in this area. We have constant dialogue with you know you, your colleagues, the Community Boards, the public in order to identify and

committee on transportation and infrastructure 49 get their input on projects. We're also as you know a very data driven agency and we're constantly looking at where we would like to do projects and where we should focus our resources.

One thing I do want to mention and then

Commissioner Beaton can elaborate a little bit on

this. One thing the Streets Plan does not take into

account is a lot of recent initiatives, fairly recent

initiatives that have taken our time and attention

and produced amazing results for the City of New

York.

One of those is the Dining Out program. We're not in the middle you know we stepped that up during COVID and now we're in the middle of implementing the permanent program.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: To be clear, that was a program that DOT supported and fought very tirelessly to have that within its agency, and when there was hesitation of it being within DOT, and instead going to DWCP, DOT affirmed that they had the capacity and bandwidth knowing that they have to meet the goals of the Streets Plan to be able to fulfill that requirement with that program.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, we have received - and you're right, we love the Dining Out program. We believe we're doing a very good job with that program and we also did get additional resources to help us continue and step up to the program. I'm pointing out also that yes, you're right, that is two separate things. There are other programs that sort of are all part of similar buckets. For example, our Open Streets Program, which is very well loved in many communities.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Also at DOT requested a program.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, but these are also still new initiatives that are really contributing to how we use our public space and how the lives of New Yorkers can be made better for them. So, I'm just pointing out that these are additional programs that are happening now.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And I received that but the thing that DOT has to understand is, the law was in place when these programs and initiatives were being pushed. DOT knew that they had the obligation to fulfill the Streets Plan, even when advocating for these programs. And still under oath in a number of

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 51 hearings have affirmed that they had the capacity and bandwidth to see these programs through understanding what the law was. However, DOT has failed to meet the goals of the Streets Plan and continues to push these programs and initiatives as priority without meeting the requirements of a law.

So, with that, what plans are in place to not only satisfy those mandates going forward but to exceed them in order to compensate for the earlier shortfalls?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, and I can give you our word Chair that we're not letting up on any of these goals and any of these initiatives.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah, give me - and I apploagize Commissioner and I appreciate you. You know I do but DOT gives us our word in every hearing and most of the time we hardly get answers that we're looking for and we have not seen the results.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay and what I'm giving you my word is that we are deeply committed to these programs. That's all I can give you and that is what I am telling you that we will continue to push hard on doing as much work in these areas as possible and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 52 we will have all the information for you within a few weeks exactly of what was achieved in 2024.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And the Streets Plan is one of those programs you have committed to also?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay, I just want to make sure that that was on the record too.

MARGARET FORGIONE: No question.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I'm going to yield right now and allow my colleagues to ask some questions and then I'll come back for round two. I'm going to start with Council Member Brewer followed by Council Member Nurse.

a huge supporter of you Deputy Commissioner as you know, so thank you for all your responsiveness. One of my questions is on the truck weight because I know you mentioned it for one specific location but generally at least I see in Manhattan these massive trucks and I'm wondering, do they get weighed? How do they impact the roads etc.? Because I just don't think they're complying.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, I'll start and then Eric Beaton can chime in if I've left anything out.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 53
So, NYPD does have a unit that focuses on overweight,
over dimensional trucks. They have the ability to
arrange for weighing as well with portable weigh
stations but as you can imagine, that's a tricky
operation. You need a lot of space to do it. You
need places to pull them over.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I've been talking about it for 30 years, so I'm aware.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yeah, you're very well aware. It's very challenging. What I can tell you is that we have worked very, very well with NYPD on the BQE prior to the way in motion. We had arrangement with them for where they could pull off vehicles and how we could support them with those efforts.

So, if you have, I know you see them out and about in your district. If there's certain locations, that would be helpful to have and we can do our best to work with NYPD to see if some enforcement could happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: My question would be just without belaboring it, more discussion, but it seems to me that they're not getting weighed. I don't know how NYPD is going to be able to keep up with it and my question to you is from your roads, because NYPD

is not going to care about your roads. How does it impact? Do you have any sense of how many of them there are? There are very few weighing stations.

These things take up an entire block, an entire block not to mention you know tying up traffic. So, my question would be is there weighing going on? Do you have any sense of it? Don't you care because of the road situation?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: We do care and I'll ask Eric Beaton to elaborate a little bit more.

ERIC BEATON: Sure and what we do see is heavy trucks have an outsized effect on because it's our streets right? And we know you know realistically NYPD particularly the operation like this can't be everywhere.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They're nowhere on this topic. Go ahead.

ERIC BEATON: We're just very excited that we're able to work with our state legislature to get weigh in motion on the BQE. We know we're stilling showing the results of that but I think that's something that in the future, we'd like to have more automated ability and we'd need to work with our state colleagues to do that.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They're called motion

sensors. So, that means that would weigh the truck

as it's going through because the BQE is obviously,

you have to or the BQE is going to collapse but so

you could put on motion sensors. Is that something
I thought with these weigh stations on the highway

but these trucks can't fit on those tiny little weigh

stations.

ERIC BEATON: No, so it's called weigh in motion, which means literally like as they go - they weigh as they go over. There's also a camera that records the number of axils on the truck because the bridge formula is actually based on the number of axils on the vehicle.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so we don't have that many of these devices right? Just for the BQE? ERIC BEATON: So, we can only enforce on the BQE, the Port Authority and NYZDA(SP?) has had other locations through the years where we've collected data but we only have enforcement ability -

PAUL OCHOA: Council Member, specifically, we're only - New York State has only authorized that corridor along Atlantic to Sans and the Governor has proposed as a part of a congestion pricing package,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 56 mitigations that would cover the entire state where scales could potentially be installed throughout the

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Oh, it has to pass a state law just like the red light cameras etc. in order to get more. We need to work on that.

Alright, thank you.

Next question is, I don't know what they're called. The covers, alright, so who is overseeing? I guess it's Quality Assurance. You fix the road or temporarily put down those yellow covers and then my phone rings off the wall because they're not properly placed and then in Manhattan, it goes right up to the 23<sup>rd</sup> floor and they start complaining.

So, my question is, who oversees the placement and then maybe drive over it yourself to see if it's properly placed etc..

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: 311 calls must be off the charts.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, so our Highway

Inspection Quality Assurance division does this, so

if a utility company has a loose metal plate, a loose

utility cover or I think what you're referring to is

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Correct.

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: That's up to us to ensure that they're keeping them in safe condition so no one trips over it, plus a non-quiet condition. Any of those we jump on, we're very effective at getting the utility companies out there to address those locations. We issue violations. They're pretty good about responding. One very important thing for metal plates is that they have to be ramped with asphalt to make them smooth. So, if you see any of those -

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Believe me, we call in but I'm just saying I'm trying to think of proactive because literally the men, the yellow jackets or whatever the hell you call them, go down, there's — it just — it never seems to work. So, something to suggest so that I don't get the call, 311 doesn't get the calls and you don't get the call. It's just an idea because they are definitely never seem to me on the first try, correct.

ERIC BEATON: And Council Member if I may, this is another reason why we don't like Council Member Brannan's bill about resurfacing immediately because

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 58 we want to avoid those conditions. We want to let utilities do their work ahead of us.

either. I'll be honest, so I didn't sign on it.

Because that's my other question, so you mill and I

do think with milling, one other suggestion in terms

of the community would be let them know. They think

that's the end of the project. They're going to be

tripping on it for years. I do think website

community communication; we milled on Tuesday. I'm

making this up. It will be done in two weeks or

whatever. Some general idea. They don't know what

milling is. They don't know what's next etc..

So, that's a communication issue that I think could be improved. But what is the timing generally between milling? I don't know if that's the same thing is wrap. I always call it milling, I don't know.

MARGARET FORGIONE: That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: That whole thing needs some improvement. I understand that you need some flexibility on time and that's why I'm not signing on it but you need some help there. Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

2 MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay. Yes, these are good

questions, so first you asked about how do people

4 know what's going on. We have a pretty robust

5 process for informing the community. Every week, we

6 send out notifications to the Community Boards.

7 Often times they do send them out to their lists. We

post these schedules about when milling is happening,

9 when paving is happening on our website and then we

10 also give Community Boards like quarterly updates on

11 what to expect that's coming up.

1

8

12

25

If we can do more and better there -

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: We can talk about it

14 | because there are some ideas.

15 MARGARET FORGIONE: And then as far as exactly

16 how long it takes between milling and resurfacing,

17 about one quarter of our projects are done,

18 | completely paved within two weeks of the milling and

19  $\parallel$  then about 54 percent more are done that third week.

20 | So, in a nutshell, 80 percent of roads are completed

21 | within a three week time period. So, that's the vast

22 | majority and then we have uhm, the rest are mainly

23 one more week, need about one more week. And as

24 we've mentioned in our testimony it's really

important that the utilities have an opportunity to

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 60 come in. Sometimes like leaks, it could be a gas leak, it could be a water leak are detected during that mill stage. It is very important for them to come in and address that. We also had the utility companies come down milled streets and measure for gas. Measure with their instruments to make sure the street is safe and that could also trigger some of that work.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, more communication on that because as the Chair said, everybody complains. You were here last week, now next month is torn up blah, blah, blah. So, any communication, you know it's like talk more about it, that's what I would suggest in general. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Council Member Nurse followed by Council Member Narcisse.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. So,

because I was late, I got a chance to read your

testimony before I give mine but you mentioned a lot

around stormwater management. It's part of your kind

of resiliency work, which is really important and

great. However, the bill is really primarily focused

on heat reduction and you've mentioned that you had conversations with other municipalities and looked at the feasibility of it and I was wondering if you could share on the record some of your takeaways.

This is the second time this bill was heard and I went back to the transcript from last year and I realize that actually the person from DOT who testified was thinking of the cool corridor instead of cool pavement. So, we actually haven't really been able - like haven't really had a public conversation about it. So, just to get on the record some of your takeaways from past conversations.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay and what I would suggest Council Member is that we get together with our more technical team who can best get into all of the details of that with you but you know we very much support reducing the heat and having our agency do whatever our part can possibly be in that area.

So, as I mentioned, we are working with DEP. 92 miles of porous pavement panels are being installed in various neighborhoods within the city right now.

We're working with DEP and Sanitation. It's a multiagency effort to figure out how they will remain

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 62 clean because they have to be able to absorb how they will be tested to make sure they're working.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Which ones are related to heat reduction?

MARGARET FORGIONE: I'm sorry. Oh you're talking about heat specifically. Let me mention a few different things then. Thank you. So, a lot of our pedestrian work, our plazas, our new pedestrian spaces are painted. They are painted a light color. That is something that you know will reflect the heat. One thing that we're very aware of while we're talking about this issue is how would the work that we do in the street effect the heat of the neighboring building? Like for example, does it deflect it? Does it reflect it? That is one challenge in this area. That is something that we would like to talk about further. We've looked at more drinking fountains in our public spaces, seating, that can also help with cooling, and you know if we're talking about like a coating on the streets in general, we need to be aware of whether or not that coating reduces the life of the pavement. If we can still mill and pave our streets the way we normally would. So, these are some of the things

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 63 that we've considered that we would like to talk to you - you know sit down and talk through with you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah. All the - from what I understand, the treatment is done right as you're resurfacing or as you're already repaving, you put the treatment right on. You could either do it the same day. Some treatments you can wait a few days. You're already there, and so you do it at the time you're repaving anyway, so it would just go on your normal schedule of how you're dealing with repaving the roads.

extend the life of the asphalt and they're continuing to do studies on that. So, there is quite a bit of research already done. I mean, you mentioned you know there's a lot of research needs to understand how to do this. That's the point of the pilot. You would study four blocks or four areas and conduct the pilot and there's an abundance of research around now available to you all. So, I - you know from what I'm seeing - from what I'm hearing, you're not specifically testifying that you are against the bill but that you would like to work it out. Is that correct?

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 64

MARGARET FORGIONE: We'd like to - yes, we'd like to talk to you more about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, that would be great. So, then I won't ask too many more questions of that and we can do that offline but I do have a separate, one separate question since we are talking about repaving and things like that. When you are just on your normal schedule repaving and you're approaching a set of blocks that you are doing, does DOT proactively consider any new speed mitigation infrastructure and proactively install it? For example, if you are coming up on a series of blocks that you are paving that have had requests, multiple requests for speed bumps and those studies; they're eligible streets but they didn't meet the speed criteria according to DOT. Is this something that you would proactively look at and just say hey, they've studied this before? People have asked for it. Let's just go ahead and put in the speed bump.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, so it's an excellent question, so every time we mill and pave it's an opportunity to have a blank slate on the street and so, Deputy Commissioner Beaton works very closely with our resurfacing division to coordinate any new

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 65 street designs during repaving and in fact, sometimes he'll ask that division, hey, when are you planning on paving so and such a street because we'd really like to have a new safety project.

So, we coordinate very carefully and whenever we mark, it's an opportunity to put down a new street in effect. So, that is something we do each and every time. We also - our paving crew is different than our speed hump crew, for reasons probably too tedious to go into. It doesn't make sense to do that at the same time. The speed hump crew comes after the paving crew. The paving crew is just moving, moving, moving. The speed hump crew takes a little bit longer and they have a specialized skill, so they come in afterwards. So, we don't actually have to unite those together. So what I would instead say is if there are roads that you need speed humps, we want to evaluate them as quickly as possible at this body and the Chair is urging, we have been doing more speed humps than we ever have before.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I want to take a moment and acknowledge the great work. They have gotten a lot better.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 66

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I haven't got a single speed bump.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: It could be a five year wait and so we can work together to make sure yours is getting prioritized.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Every time ours get denied and I'm just wondering how we can weave this more proactively into the planning process. If vou're already hitting the streets; I understand those are different. I'd love to learn more about those. units being different and how they could potentially talk to each other, if not already in a very like structured way because if you're already hitting a place, if not a speed bump, then here are the - the speed bump study was failed, fine, here's three other options to reduce speed. Because what the frustration is and I'm sure you hear this all the time, it's just that they're constantly denied. are constantly denied and you know it would be nice to get a single one in my district up and running.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay, then let's talk with you separately because if you give us corridors or areas that -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 2 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: We have but we'll talk to 3 you directly. 4 MARGARET FORGIONE: Right and I would like to 5 hear it myself because what we can do is rather, sometimes the public, they think this is the answer. 6 7 Maybe we can offer something else that can help 8 address the problem, so. COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I mean I think it would be great for when a study fails that you all proactively 10 11 offer those neighbors uhm, okay it failed? You're 12 saying we can't do a study for x-amount of years. Fine, here's what we can do. So that there's 13 14 something that lets them know the safety of their, 15 you know the people on the block. It's being 16 carefully considered and that their anecdotal stories 17 about their experience on those blocks are given more 18 weight than necessarily some criteria that is super 19 technical. 20 MARGARET FORGIONE: Understood. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: That they don't care 2.2 about. 2.3 MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Thank you

25

Chair.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you Chair and 3 thank you for being here. You know you're one of my 4 favorite agencies because I have a lot of work. uhm, talking about speed bumps, I want to start with 5 this. I have a senior that died recently, January 6 10<sup>th</sup> and her name is Ester Sealey. So, she was 7 crossing the street, just crossing the street and the 8 car just hit her and she passed. It is unfortunate that we have - and let me honest by looking at the 10 11 video, I don't think that person was really speeding but it's an unfortunate situation that happen but how 12 13 we can reimagine those large corners when people are

some of the corners. Some of them they shift;

We have the little bump that we put at the corner,

turning, which I was talking to my Chair about that.

they're not steady.

MARGARET FORGIONE: A left turn treatment.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Left turn. So, can we actually invest in more steady speed bumps at the corner? Which I think I'm going to push for that.

Chair, I think we have to work on that seriously because I have visited some other country, which I have seen it and where you have a large corner like this, we don't have a light. Maybe we can consider

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 69 to have that steady speed bump, not those little flimsy things that can turn. I'm sorry to say that but I have seen them moving all over. So, when we have people crossing the path, are we considering that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes and uhm, Commissioner Beaton will elaborate a little bit but so those are our turn calming treatments and Council Member, they're designed not to function as a speed hump. want cars to go around them rather than over them and we always have to weigh when we install these things, we have to put in devices that won't introduce a new hazard. So, if it was really jarring and if it was directly in the path of a vehicle, they could actually - an injury could take place because of that. So, the devices we have down are designed to have a car avoid them and go around them. If they shift, that's not what we want. We have to go out and repair them in snow season, especially with snow plows, that can sometimes happen.

So, maybe we need to look at like different devices or how we're maintaining them but that is the concept of them, so Eric, would you like to add a little bit to that?

2.2

2.3

that goal. We do a tremendous amount of maintenance on these and as we put more and more out, like that is a big part of the work we do is having to go out and maintain them. So, we do look around and look for better materials. If you've seen things, please share them with us and we'll look into them. The thing that's most often a restriction is that we can't attach them to the sidewalk in a way that would block drainage.

So, a lot of the things that we might see elsewhere don't work with the way drainage is handled at many of our corners so, but if there's better things out there, we're always-

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I have some pictures for you. I can show you because whenever I visit any place and I see something working, I want to bring it back to my city because we have to be the best city in the world, right?

So, I love that. We can come back to that and we're going to work on that with my Chair. Potholes, what's the operation - sink holes, sorry, not potholes, sink holes. What's the collaboration between DEP and DOT? I would like to see that more

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 71 because if you have a pothole, I mean a sink hole, the DEP come and do their part, DOT come, and then it seems like you can never get them together on the same page and it takes so long, and by the time they get it, guess what? It's already sinking again.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay and that is not at all what we would like to happen. We have a very strong relationship with DEP. I have an excellent relationship with my counterpart there. When we see conditions that we think may be due to some underground water situation, they are pretty good about getting out very quickly but as I mentioned earlier, sometimes these are pretty complicated The water may be coming from a house connection. It may be coming from some city infrastructure and sometimes it's hard to detect exactly what is going on but if you are ever seeing a situation where something is delayed and is not being addressed, it is something that we you know, I'd definitely like you to bring to the Borough Commissioners attention or my attention and we will make sure everyone gets out there. They can get out together.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: And I have something 3 that I need to continue talking and you already 4 before about church lanes in my district next to the cemetery. The Commissioner came with me, did the 5 visit. I'm still not having a solution for church 6 7 lanes and that's been a problem for decades. We need to address it. We say it's a private street but 8 something that would be done to remap it because those folks can get tickets on that street but yet 10 11 the DOT, our government not taking responsibility for it. So, something has got to be done and it's icy 12

right now, dangerous in that area.

One more, one last thing I'm going to ask. The canopy in our streets, we don't have that in many areas and like the Council Member Nurse mentioned, the temperature is rising, right? We know temperature is rising. That's global warming right?

So, how do - any study done to see what's the difference between when you use - I mean, uhm, cool asphalt comparing to regular? And what is the difference in that temperature? Has any data been done?

MARGARET FORGIONE: I don't have that off hand.

I think other jurisdictions probably have that. So,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 73
you're saying like a light color on top of the
asphalt versus regular asphalt?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Hmm, hmm.

MARGARET FORGIONE: I don't have that with me but I'm sure we have access to that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Alright, thank you.

This isn't my hearing; I'm going to leave it alone

and we have to reimagine transportation by the way.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Thank you Madam Chair and thank you to the Administration. I have some district related questions, strictly pertaining to the gateway mall area in the 42<sup>nd</sup> Council District. We, for some reason, none of the streets are regulated. There's no alternate side parking. street cleaning that takes place and I want to know is if they have planned to regulate the streets? It's been like that for quite a couple of years. Since you know that is the - we've had a lot of development that has taken place on that side of the district and obviously folks are getting used to not having to move their cars but we need the streets cleaned. So, I want to find out exactly what's the plan by DOT to assist or possibly regulate those

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 74 streets back there, so they can get the alternate side parking?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And also two, the streets are in disrepair. We definitely need assistance with having those streets repaired back there all up and down Alton, [INAUDIBLE [01:26:53], Mandela. So we definitely want you to possibly take a look into - see how we can get those streets regulated with street repairs.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you for the question. It's a really important question. So, over recent years, we have been working with HPD on this development. The area was built but it is not built exactly to city standards so we have not yet taken those streets. And we could clarify off line exactly what streets we're talking about but we have not taken them into our ownership. So, if there are asphalt repairs that are needed, that is not something we would do if the city doesn't own them. But my understanding is that there is now a contract with DDC to address all of those issues, so then the city can then take them, those streets over. But we can, offline, we can confirm everything with you and then just as far as Sanitation sweeping, they may not do it if the streets are not owned by the city but we COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 75 can check with them as well but once they are owned of course, that can be discussed putting them in the rotation and then putting up street cleaning regulation.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Yeah, we definitely want to have a side bar on that so we can get briefed and just have more conversation on that.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Good.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Now, with the implementation of congestion pricing. Has anything budgetarily capital-wise that's been taken into consideration as far as the outer boroughs where there would be more traffic or more stress placed on those communities?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, so I'll start and then Eric can jump in. So, as part of congestion pricing we of course are working closely with the MTA. We are doing a study of impacts, particular to parking in all of the communities. The ones just on the edge of the congestion pricing zone, but then also ones further afield and we can provide you which communities those are to see how those communities are being impacted. There's also a lot of information being collected on speeds and impacts

committee on transportation and infrastructure 76 around you know all the bridges and tunnels and either further afield in the borough. So, I know we don't want to conclude anything from two or three weeks. Over time, that information will be shared so we can understand other districts.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: And street maintenance as well. Obviously with the high usage -

MARGARET FORGIONE: I see what you're saying, so if there's an increase in traffic in a certain area?

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Correct.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Agree.

2.2

2.3

ERIC BEATON: If I could just add, as part of the congestion pricing program and part of the law, there was an outer borough transportation fund created that's funded largely by these on TLC vehicles. That fund is controlled and run by the MTA. The city does not have a role in distributing the money but there is a dedicated fund for improving transportation outside of the congestion pricing zone. And if you have ideas, that may be worth discussing with the MTA.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Okay, you know we'll definitely have a side bar conversation on that. The - oh yes, when it comes to bike lanes, there are

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 77

certain parts in my district where bike lanes have

been placed and you know we are all in support of

protecting the cyclists, but are there any studies

being done once bike lanes have been placed to look

at the possible congestion it has caused? I know two

particular areas in my district along Fountain

between Blake and Newitts and then, which is located

in front of a school. It's heavy congestion up and

down that street and this is something that didn't

exist you know prior to the bike lanes being placed

and also along Van Siclen all the way up from

Haldeman(SP?) down to I think Stanely and all the

areas where there's schools, there's heavy, heavy

congestion that never existed before.

2.2

2.3

So, we definitely want to find out what's being done to assess you know how effective placing a bike lane you know as far as also cutting down congestion or just making it more safer for bikers and pedestrians to travel.

Is there anything being done or studies being done?

ERIC BEATON: Yes, it's an important part of our program that we don't just put in these projects and walk away. We do look at both broad data, you know

committee on transportation and infrastructure 78 whether speeds or safety but we do go out and follow-up. We can't be there every hour of every day so if you're saying that there's an issue around the schools, we'll absolutely go back and take a look.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Well, with congestion, with congestion.

ERIC BEATON: With congestion.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: That wasn't there before.

ERIC BEATON: So, we look broadly at the corridor and traffic speeds over the course of the day but that might not tell us that there's something happening at a particular location at a particular time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Well, it's obvious that with the bike lane being placed, it has caused less space for cars to travel.

ERIC BEATON: Yes and we take the congestion seriously. It is one of the things that we look at that and care about but we will go take a deeper dive into those locations that you mentioned.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Well, that's why I think it's important when we talk about bike lane placement that you have strong community engagement when those things are being done because I served on the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 79

Community Board, Community Board 5 for close to 12

years and I know we probably wouldn't have supported

putting in a bike lane in that particular location,

knowing the area and the amount of traffic that comes

through there. Because now it's been reduced

obviously to one lane when it was two lanes before

and we also lost parking for our NYCHA residents and

the sidewalks.

2.2

2.3

So, hopefully we can get on the record some type of assessment that's done after these bike lanes are placed and the environmental impact that's happened.

And just to touch back on speed bumps. We've had multiple speed bumps that have been denied in my district. Also, traffic lights that have been denied and with no other solution. They are just denied but still the homeowners and residents are complaining about the speeding that's taking place on some of these blocks. What's done so if a speed bump is denied on a particular block, is there any further talk about any other type of devices that can be put in place to reduce speeding or is it just a denial and come back to us later?

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, speed humps can be denied for a few reasons. One, generally the reason is that

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 1 80 2 we are not finding the incidents of speeding. Another challenge sometimes can be if the street 3 4 itself has a lot of driveways or other places that make it difficult to place the speed hump. the community - especially if we do see speeding and 6 7 we can't put in a speed hump, we will look to see what other measures potentially could be put into 8 place. There's other traffic calming that we have in our toolbox that we can do that. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, if you know of certain ones yourself COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: We can get you those, yes.
MARGARET FORGIONE: Get us the list.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: And when is a speed cam taken in consideration? Is that an alternative to a speed bump or?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Well, we may not - we have to of course be mindful of where a school is situated for putting in a speed camera and then we also need to make sure it's a street. We look at the crash data. We do of course look at the speeds and we usually don't put them; if we're talking about a small side street, that may not be the first place that we're going to put them. So, that is something we would want to have a conversation about.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: How long does it normally take?

little bit longer than what we would like but I will

MARGARET FORGIONE: Uhm, it's not years. It's more in the range of a number of months.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: So, the study was done. We get notification that it's been approved and that was maybe about hmm, about six months ago, yeah, six or seven months ago.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: So I guess uh -

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 82

MARGARET FORGIONE: It should be very soon at
this point but I will get you the exact -

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Well, we need the light there.

MARGARET FORGIONE: I understand.

COUNCIL MEMBER BANKS: Because there's two schools there and uhm, that's a heavily traffic, foot traffic area to go into Gershman Park and to cross on the other side of Lynden Boulevard. So, we look forward to you paying attention to that and hopefully getting that device there as soon as possible. Thank you Madam Chair and thank you to the Administration.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. The Chair is allowing a few more questions and I appreciate it. I know very well about the post congestion pricing study. 18 months, is that what it's going to be? That's what it's supposed to according to the legislation. Is it on track?

ERIC BEATON: So yes, we've been working with the MTA but I think what we'd say is we're not going to wait 18 months if we're seeing an issue, right?

Like, there can be a full study and report that comes

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 83 out at that point but if we're seeing issues before that, we're going to be ready to respond.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, number two, I was talking about resurfacing. How many streets were resurfaced in '24? How many constructed? And how does this compare to previous years, just generally?

MARGARET FORGIONE: As I mentioned earlier, our target is 1,150. In Fiscal 2024, we resurfaced 1,176. We always try to have some overage because we hate, hate, hate to lose that, miss that target. The year before in 2023, we did 1,200 lane miles and in 2022, we did 1,192, so it's always around there between 1,150 and 1,200.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Alright, that's very helpful and then secondly, in terms of my trucks, obviously you got condition pricing. You're going to pay when you go in as a truck. My fear is bigger trucks mean less fees. So, I'm still concerned about this truck problem. Have you seen - obviously the study will indicate this but do you have a sense of people are using bigger trucks, less fees? That kind of situation.

ERIC BEATON: Yeah, it's not something we've particularly observed yet but we're very early in.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 84 think people are still reacting, so it's a good thing to keep an eye on.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay and then daylighting. I'm very supportive. Does this take into consideration as you're resurfacing and so on daylighting, in terms of doing it as a project and a plan and a policy?

mentioned, like the resurfacing effort is not you know, it's not embedded with the other things but every time we resurface a street, it goes to our traffic design engineers and they look at that street and see if there's anything else that needs to be done. So, daylighting isn't the only tool but it's one of the tools we can look at as part of that effort.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay and it makes things safer, is that true? Or I'm just living with it in complaints and parking spaces?

ERIC BEATON: That's a good question because there's actually some nuance to it. What we found and we put a study out just last week is that Harden daylighting, like where we put in a neck down, we put

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 85 in bike racks. We find that to definitely be effective in reducing injuries.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

ERIC BEATON: What we find is we just put up signs. It has essentially no effect and if we do it just sort of universally, we've actually found locations where it has a negative effect. So, we think it's done well, it's positive and we just want to make sure we keep doing it well.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. 79<sup>th</sup> Street, go ahead.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Sorry, one other thing we want to add.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: About daylighting?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, thanks for your advocacy and the Mayor's Office. We've received a new need in just this January plan that was released for more daylighting work, both to the Traffic Planning team, the Transportation Planning team and also our roadway teams who are the ones who are dropping off the Harden daylighting barriers and removing them on repaving operations. So, we did get a new need, which we're very thrilled about.

2.2

2.3

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Right, okay. Two things quickly. 79<sup>th</sup> Street, can you update me on the 3 construction? I know the Chair has some good lists 4 5 of major projects. That's one of them, so if you could rotunda update me and second, trees. 6 I have 7 speed humps. I always could use more but I got a lot of them. I got a lot of dead trees. The Parks 8 Department takes forever. Can you get infrastructure money to say trees provide cooling and that would be 10 11 something that could be included in your

MARGARET FORGIONE: Interesting. So, let's talk about that more and if we can get with Parks and we can strengthen applications to help them, we would be very interested in doing that.

infrastructure? Just an out of the box idea.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, so in other words, infrastructure could perhaps pay for trees in terms of street repaving blah, blah, blah for heat reduction. Something to think about.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: They die.

MARGARET FORGIONE: We'll have to see like how where that nexus is and how we could be involved but we'd be interested in exploring that.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 87

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay and then 79<sup>th</sup> Street.

Do you have any updates?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: You know what, I don't have that update with me. We can get it to you today.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Great, that's fine.

Thank you Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you Council

Member and Commissioner. So, just revisiting a few

of the areas that I had already spoken on. I went

into touch base on the Columbia Waterfront district.

So, has DOT studied whether there are negative local

effects, for example, air pollution attributed to

these facilities?

PAUL OCHOA: Thanks Chair. We've actually received - we've been fielding a lot of comments from the community and the elected officials at all levels. We did see in a couple of occasions dust. On high wind days, dust pile up. We've made a lot of efforts to reduce that. We've done a couple of things. Just, we've wet our piles. That means so it doesn't create dust. We've lowered our piles over 90 percent at this point and we've actually moved our piles in ways that they could - any gust wind would not you know blow up any dust. We are monitoring.

We are in constant communication with that group of electeds and Community Boards. In fact, Commissioner Rodriguez, Deputy Mayor, Mayor Oshe(SP?) and a couple of us at DOT were just in a meeting with them last week to discuss all the mitigation efforts for this operation. Obviously, the operation is key to the work we do. It is our [INAUDIBLE 01:42:40] crews in concrete recycling but we are monitoring and we are constantly talking to the electeds about any mitigation efforts.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, the concrete recycling facility in the Columbia Waterfront District that was moved from the South Brooklyn Army Terminal, it was supposed to be temporary initially. However, local residents have reported concerns about concrete dust being admitted by the facility. Does the city have plans to relocate this facility back to its original location?

PAUL OCHOA: It's not going to be back to the original location. The South Brooklyn Marine

Terminal is now being used for the wind turbine project, which is obviously very important for the city's electrification goals. We are in active conversation with DCAS about a new site. We hope to

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 89
2 secure the new site in the coming months. It would
3 start as a licensing phase moving towards a long term
4 lease in the near future.
5 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, the plan is to
6 keep it there?

PAUL OCHOA: No, no, we're looking for a new site. Just not the old site because the old site is being repurposed for the wind turbine project.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And does DOT employ dust mitigation efforts to lessen the negative effects on the local communities?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, I mentioned the irrigation system. In fact, we're going to have automated sprinklers coming in in the next month or so.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So you haven't done it yet, you're preparing?

PAUL OCHOA: We're doing both. We're using water trucks, just water down the piles. We're lowering the piles but also we're going to have an automated irrigation system that we placed an order about a few months back and we should get it in the next month or so.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. I want to talk a little bit about the federal funding. So, on

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 90 November 5, 2021, the US House of Representatives 2 3 passed the IIJA to invest in the nation's 4 infrastructure including providing funding for roads and bridges, rail transit ports, airports, the 5 electric grid water systems broadband and other 6 7 priorities. The legislation has provided \$1.2 8 trillion in total spending in over five years, including \$550 billion in new funding. With about half of the total and the new funding going to the 10 11 United States Department of Transportation, how much funding, how much federal funding from the IIJA has 12 been allocated to New York City to date? 13 PAUL OCHOA: I have the DOT numbers. The city as 14 15 a whole and City Hall has been leading this effort 16 have been applying for all types of competitive 17 applications. It is usually not just at DOT. EDC 18 may have a project, EEP may have a project, HPD may 19 have projects. So, uhm but in terms of DOT, we've received over \$300 million worth of federal funding. 20 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Million or billion? 21 PAUL OCHOA: Million, million but this is just 2.2 2.3 I know for a fact the city has surpassed the billion dollar mark. If we count EDC, actually two 24

billion dollar mark if we count the other parks has

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 91 also received a good chunk of federal funding. So, actually it's \$2.3 billion that the city has secured.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: How much federal funding is being utilized by DOT?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PAUL OCHOA: So, a lot of our funding projects were projects that were already underway. So, we've essentially what we do is we replace or displace the city tax funding and we put in federal funding. There are a couple of pilots though that we won and we are in the process of starting. One of the just very pertinent to this topic is our automated paving assessment. Essentially, we are going to be overhauling the way we assess our streets using automated artificial intelligence technology, which we're very excited about. We received about \$4.4 million for that grant and that, we are working on a grant agreement at this point. We're going to go through the federal procurement process and we're going to secure a vendor to implement that but it is very exciting.

I would say the vast majority of the funding that we've received has already been spent if you will because they've been placed in capital projects.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Just not all of it?

PAUL OCHOA: Not all of it, no because we have other pilots, which is the one I mentioned that we either have started or will start very soon.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: What type of grants has DOT applied for and which have been awarded?

has DOT applied for and which have been awarded? PAUL OCHOA: Oh boy, we have like so many different sort of grants. So, we've applied for bridge grants. We've applied for street reconstruction grants. We've applied for pilot. We've applied sort of traffic related grants. way it worked is, every time there is a NOFO or a notice or I'm sorry I'm blanking on the acronym. Notice of Funding Opportunity, we would look to see what projects in our - that we would want to undertake could fit under that criteria. And then we've been really, really aggressive at applying at all the funding opportunities. We usually have a huddle internally. We come up with ideas, ship them over to City Hall. They would tend to approve and then we would start on the application but its run the gamut from across all our operations I would say.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Are there any additional grants that DOT expects to be granted?

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

PAUL OCHOA: I think we are all expecting infrastructure grants to continue but we don't know yet. There are some long standing grants that we don't expect they'll be canceled but it's hard to anticipate.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. How will DOT utilize federal funding to improve and maintain their bridges?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, most of the federal funding that we received have been on the capital side, meaning we've asked for a lot of funding for our capital projects in our bridges division. That's how we've used the grants because that's how the notice of funding opportunities have come out. Your question is about like improving the way we maintain our bridges? I mean, we improve them by rebuilding them, so I would say that's how we are using the grants.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Uhm, well when I say that, how do you plan to utilize federal funding to improve it? Like for example, is it to your point, rebuilding a particular part of a bridge? I know in Council Member Farias's district, when we did our transportation infrastructure tour, there's a lot of

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 94 opportunity there that kind of cap off some of the overpasses there and those type of dynamics. So, I'm trying to see how DOT is envisioning leveraging federal dollars to improve and maintain bridges.

2.2

2.3

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, one good example of a bridge that we've received federal funding is the Grand Street Bridge. It's a very old bridge. It's over 100 years old, is my understanding. It's one of the moveable bridges that we have in our repertoire and it needs a lot of improvement. It is safe but it is the rebuilding of that bridge was part of our Capital Reconstruction Plan and it ended up being a competitive bridge for us to apply and we did get funding for it.

MARGARET FORGIONE: And maybe just to add to that, when we approach the condition of our bridges, we always look if we need to fully rehabilitate the bridge or if we can just do what we call component rehab, which is rehabilitation of specific components to give the bridge another 15 years of life or whatever before you would have to replace it. So, things fall in different buckets. Some of it is not a full replacement or rehabilitation. Some of it is and then there are other projects that you are like;

when you were talking about if you were referring to the Cross Bronx Expressway. Those are sort of in a separate category. Those are less about state of good repair and they're more about initiatives that will achieve other results. And that would probably follow a different funding path then the first two.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, the federal funding wouldn't have been - it wouldn't have been a qualifier for it?

MARGARET FORGIONE: No, it potentially could have been, although the Cross Bronx gets you know a little bit interesting because we don't own the Cross Bronx Expressway, the state does.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Right, the state hmm, hmm. Uhm, and to that point, I imagine that the City DOT and the State DOT are working hand and hand with these applications anyway?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Hmm, hmm. How will DOT utilize federal funding to implement more Vision Zero street improvement projects?

PAUL OCHOA: I'm pleased to report that our street reconstruction projects have been very, very competitive in securing federal funding. They

usually meet every single criteria that the federal government laid out for competitive applications.

One of the projects is the Lancey Street, which has received, I believe, a reconnecting communities grant. We've also received funding in our upper Manhattan Greenway, over \$96 million worth of federal funding for that project, so our Street

Reconstruction projects, which usually come with some component of a bike lane or pedestrian improvement have been incredibly successful securing federal grants just because they tend to meet other criteria that the federal government is hoping to fund with projects.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And how will DOT utilize federal funding to implement resiliency upgrades within the city's infrastructure?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, I mean just in similar ways,

Queens Boulevard, one of the reasons, what I - it

also received federal funding is because there are

components to a lot of our Street Reconstruction

Projects. We mentioned porous pavement. I know when

we were talking earlier about porous pavement as a

cooling effect but what we really use porous pavement

is for stormwater management and that fits squarely

into the resiliency portion. We've also received funding for planning grants. Snake Road is a good example of a planning grant that we've received. It is not quite ready for a capital implementation grant, what they're called but they are very important for us to really study what a potential solution or what a potential reconstruction or capital project could be in the future. So, we've actually received both types of grants from federal government.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And how will DOT utilize federal funding to especially now with the change of Administration, implement EV charging infrastructure?

PAUL OCHOA: Yes, we - last month to two months, we've been working on securing the grant agreement for us to move forward with the EV charging. A grant that we received. We did finalize the grant agreement like last week I believe so that's going to be moving forward or we hope it moves forward. We have a lot of projects in the pipeline in terms of electric vehicle. Both electric vehicle and micromobility charging are two of the things that we're going to be tackling with federal funding.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 9

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And then how will DOT utilize federal funding to shift reliance on diesel trucks with deliveries to rail and freight?

PAUL OCHOA: Yeah, or freight collaboration lab was one of the projects that we seek funding and actually received funding. Eric's freight team has been doing a lot of work thinking that, using either moving them towards blue highways or barging but also exploring new technologies within our roads. I don't know Eric if you want mention anything else but we have - that type of work has also been successful in receiving federal funding.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And then how will DOT utilize federal funding to implement and improve the green way network in the city?

PAUL OCHOA: Ah, so the Manhattan Greenway, which connects the Inwood portion of the division to go around Manhattan was successful in receiving \$96 million in federal grants. I know we're working with our partners at EDC and Parks for other funding opportunities on the sort of Harlem side of the greenway but it has been a pretty competitive project for federal funding opportunities.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: And how will DOT utilize federal funding to improve Ferry service?

PAUL OCHOA: Actually we receive a lot of federal funding for our Ferry operations. Most of the salaries of our Ferry team is funded through federal funding. We've received actually a couple of federal competitive applications. I think we're improving the CCTV in our Whitehall Terminal. There is a pretty large project that EDC is managing with MOCJ to potentially move Whitehall just a bit. It is in the community stage of the process but the Ferry team and the Ferry projects have also been pretty competitive. Yeah, we had a storage facility

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 100 rehabilitation for \$3 million and then I mentioned the CCTV upgrades that we did on Whitehall.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: When passed, IIJA was expected to increase New York City's federal formula funding by at least \$50 million a year, providing more than \$1 billion in total formula funds to DOT over the next five years. What is the current total of New York City's federal formula funding broken down by year?

PAUL OCHOA: Oh I would have to get that to you. I'm sure we can pull that.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I thought you had the answer.

PAUL OCHOA: Hmm, hmm, yeah so we get about \$250 million of formula funding every year. That's usually a program in various visions, Ferry takes a good chuck, traffic operations does as well, emergency management, transportation planning. It usually - we program it depending on the type of formula requirements that are set by the federal government.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Got it and how is this funding expected to change in the out years?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 101

PAUL OCHOA: It will not change. We are very
hopeful everything will continue to be exactly the
same.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay. Going back to my bills starting with Intro. 145. Does DOT currently believe that a color temperature of 3,000 Calvin is appropriate for our city street lights?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes Chair we do. So, about ten years ago, there was a different standard. We follow standards by the society of illuminating engineers. These are sort of federal guidelines that we use for our lighting and about ten years ago roughly, there was a standard of 4,000 Calvin, which we started implementing and then around that time, the standard was reduced to 3,000 Calvin, which of course is like a more yellowish light, less of a glaring type of light.

So, in all we have 400,000 street lights out there in the city and about 140,000 still do have the 4,000 Calvin in them but what we plan to do - they're really reaching the end of their useful life. So, rather than try to find tens of millions of dollars, it would be \$65 million in particular to go out and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 102 replace them. As they burn out, we will replace them with a 3,000 Calvin.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: What are the advantages of color temperature of 3,000 Calvin?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Right, so people seem to find

the 3,000 Calvin more pleasing and less glaring.

However, there are different standards so like if you have a parks ball field, the Calvin will be much higher. It can be as high as I think like 5,000 or 6,000 Calvin and that is like a much brighter light, almost like direct sunlight so that people can see better in certain environments but we do agree that the standard, the look of it is appropriate for basically everything that we are lighting in the city.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Is DOT currently evaluating or considering implementing street lights with a different color temperature at all?

MARGARET FORGIONE: At the present time, we are not.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Going back to Intro.

1105, you testified Commissioner that you were

concerned that local communities could misinterpret

the progress of these projects but I think our

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 103 communities are definitely more savvy than that. If a project is listed as awaiting community feedback, why would local community members feel like they are not being heard?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARGARET FORGIONE: Even having a project on a list leads a community to feel like our mind has been made up and it's something that we plan to implement when in actuality that isn't the case. There are so many projects we go and we start a conversation about and we start to just say, you know how does this community feel about the conditions on so and such an avenue or a street and get feedback. That's really important to us to try to build the trust of the community and for our planners to really start hearing what the community feels about that street. So, if there's a list that comes out every year that says all the many things that we're thinking about looking at, we enter those communities already having developed like a lot of ill will because they're going to feel that we've already made up our minds. So, we're really reluctant to do that.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I would argue that even when you don't publish it, people feel like DOT has made up their minds but uhm, you testified that

monthly updates would have limited utility due to projects being completed in the last quarter, but that's precisely why we need monthly updates. We want to track the progress of projects even before they are complete. Is this data that DOT has?

2.2

2.3

MARGARET FORGIONE: So, we have information on which projects we are engaging with the community about and then that's pretty much at that point very public information where those projects are and sometimes you know years before anything ever happens we start engaging to have the dialogue. And then we're very clear with communities if we've had a number of meetings usually about a project and the design, we're very clear about like if it is moving into the construction phase, and we formally notify them at that as well. So, those are the kinds of things that we have at a given time.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, DOT is tracking and has the information, you saying?

MARGARET FORGIONE: Yes, we are working on different projects with communities and we certainly have that information.

ERIC BEATON: If I could say, we track the broad status, right? This one is in community engagement.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 105
This one is actively being implemented. It isn't something that converts easily into a percentage, where 23 percent of the way for community outreach because you know the amount that is needed is a little bit undoable. It depends how it goes. So, I wouldn't want to say that we have information on like percentage complete for every project that could be provided and frankly, we're not sure how we would convert some of these things into percentages appropriate for a monthly update.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Commissioner, you testified that the Streets Plan was negotiated to include an annual February update. It was also negotiated to include many mandates, which have not been fulfilled. If DOT cannot meet those mandates, then we need DOT to be more transparent about its project pipeline so that we can better understand the bottleneck preventing these projects from being completed. And as I mentioned earlier in the hearing, that's where this Committees concern lays, is that one part of it is that DOT is not meeting the mandates but more so, that DOT is not communicating with us or being transparent as to why they're not meeting the mandates, where the bottlenecks are. So,

we have no real line of site. We just know that we have to wait till February to be told we're not meeting our mandate. And so, in order to make sure that the Streets Plan is successful, we think having greater transparency and greater frequency would be most helpful and useful to making sure that we're meeting these goals. And I haven't heard in any of the responses today how the Department of Transportation is working to not only meet the goals for this past year but how they plan on catching up for being behind for several years now.

And you know I just want to on the record emphasize the Streets Plan is a top priority of this Committee. We fully expect the DOT to comply with the law and we have continued to extend ourselves to work in partnership with DOT to try and iron out whatever those challenges may be that the agency has met with to meet the goals. Because the question becomes, were the goals not realistic in the first place? Why DOT is not meeting the goals, which I know that Commissioner Rodriguez wouldn't say that because he was a part of one of the sponsors for it, right?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

So, help us as a Committee help DOT in achieving the goals of this law. And so, I'm looking forward to having further conversations on my bill that I am looking forward to moving forward so that we can see a better outcome from the goals established in that law.

And so, with that being said, I do not have any more questions. I thank you for your patience and your participation today.

MARGARET FORGIONE: Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

MARGARET FORGIONE: We appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at

Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 108

2.2

2.3

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When you are recognized, you will have two minutes. You will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic.

Oversight DOT Capital Planning in the future of street repair, Intro. Number 145, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to placing a cap on the correlated color temperature of new and replacement street lights.

Intro. Number 552, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to the street resurfacing timeline. Intro. Number 928, a Local Law in relation to requiring the Department of Transportation to conduct a pilot project on the use of pavement.

Intro. Number 1105, a Local Law to amend the

Administrative Code of the City of New York in

relation to tracking progress made towards the

requirements of the Streets Master Plan. Intro.

Number 1114, a Local Law to amend the Administrative

Code of the City of New York in relation to requiring

the Commissioner of Transportation to create an

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 109 online capital project tracker. Intro. Number 1160, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to repainting a pavement marking line.

2.2

2.3

If you have a written statement or additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also email written testimony to <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a> within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

We will now call the first panel. Jean Ryan,
Michael Capasso, Michael Acevado(SP?), Michael Ring
and Christopher Johnson.

Jean, you can begin when you are ready, just turn on your mic please.

JEAN RYAN: Hi, I'm Jean Ryan, President of
Disabled in Action of Metropolitan New York and I am
a wheelchair user. We have members with all kinds of
disabilities. I am submitting a longer version of
this testimony online.

We are in favor of the bills being presented today. Pedestrian ramps and sidewalk safety: The pace is too slow to make new pedestrian ramps and to

fix the remaining ones that are broken and or too steep, yet they are all over; the broken ones.

Despite my complaints, the crossing between 2

Broadway, which is MTA headquarters and Bowling

Green, has a boulder in the crosswalk and the whole crossing needs to be redesigned because people in wheelchairs and blind people are unsafe. We're falling off the curbs there. We don't know where to go.

2.2

2.3

The sidewalks on both sides of Shore Road and Bay Ridge Brooklyn are mostly impossible with raised [INAUDIBLE 02:11:02] and poor repair and no access to and from the sidewalks.

Trees are lifting up the sidewalks all over the city with no action. DOT and Parks need to get together on this or I suggest DOT use an arborist and fix the sidewalks themselves. They become like steps and then wheelchair users can't get down the sidewalk, and it's a tripping hazard for everybody else.

Inaccessible bus stops: It's unbelievable that there are quite a few inaccessible bus stops and they continue year after year. Why? Some are on Shore Road and Bay Ridge and also on 86th Street and

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 111
Bensonhurst. Brooklyn under the L, Staten Island has some too.

2.2

2.3

Outdoor Dining: We are glad that the mostly inaccessible sheds are gone but with the new outdoor dining plan, we are against any inaccessible set ups with a ramp because it usually means we won't be dining there.

That happened even on a DOT tour of a prototype. Scooter rental: The scooters are being littered all over the sidewalks. I'm calling it scooter litter and it's not right and there's no sound on the scooters.

APS and Daylighting: Some accessible pedestrian signals are too quiet to hear and until all are done. Blind people say they are minimally useful in new places because how do you know they are there?

Daylighting isn't mandatory all over in New York City but that doesn't mean that DOT can't do more. In my neighborhood in Bay Ridge Brooklyn, cars turn so sharply and so close to the curb that crossing the street is a safety gamble. When I go to or from my family -

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: If you could wrap up please.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 112

JEAN RYAN: Yeah, I worry every time that I will
be hit by a turning car. Preventing the loss of life
is worth way more than a few parking spaces. Thank

you.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL CAPASSO: Good morning. Thank you for having me Council Chair Brooks-Powers. I'm just here to talk about the wrap content that the First Deputy Commissioner of DOT discussed in producing hot mix asphalt for the City of New York, and that green asphalt can in fact produce 100 percent recycled asphalt to provide to the city, which would reduce the cost to New York City DOT and afford them the opportunity to increase their lane mileage output per year from their target of 1,150 lanes miles by 25 to 30 percent. And we will submit written testimony based on that within 72 hours as you instructed before.

She pointed out that at the Harper Street Plant, they manufactured 35 percent recycled and at the Hamilton Plant 40 percent and we in fact recycle 100 percent.

And at the four producers, private producers that supply to New York City DOT, she used an estimated

range of 20 percent recycled to 40 percent recycled, and again reminder in fact we could do 100 percent recycled, all while meeting the performance standards of the New York State specifications and the New York City DOT specifications and we're just looking for an opportunity to provide that benefit to the City of New York while reducing carbon footprint emissions all at the same time. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Just a quick question because this is the question I asked the Commissioner also. Wanting to understand how the recycled asphalt could help extend I guess the lifeline of the road. So, you're saying now 100 percent where she was saying 50 percent. Does the recycled asphalt have the strength to be able to extend the life of the roadways that there are?

MICHAEL CAPASSO: The testing requirements that we use on the 100 percent recycled are identical to the testing requirements that New York City DOT uses. So, the lifespan is the same.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Mike, I realize there's three of you up there, three Mike's.

MICHAEL RING: Welcome to my world. It's all Michaels. My name is Michael Ring. I'm Vice

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 114

President of Disabled In Action for anyone who needs
a verbal description of my appearance. I'm a 61-year
old White guy that needs to shave more than usual and
I'm wearing a blue hoodie.

2.2

2.3

I'd really like to reiterate what Jean said about the scooters. When we met with the scooter operators way back before COVID, they were talking about little parking spots that they'd have for them. They even mentioned like the thing next to the ice machine at the bodega. They were going to pay the bodega owners money so they can use a little bit of sidewalk and require people who rent the scooters to return it to a good spot that people won't trip over them.

I don't live in a neighborhood where there's scooters but when I go to those parts of Queens or the Bronx, it is litter. It's hard to walk down the street. Also, while I'm here, I hate to switch into the complaining mode from being an advocate but I live on the corner of 8<sup>th</sup> and Union in Park slope and it has become the wild west. 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue is a one way street. Cars are continually making a right turn from the left lane because there's gridlock, people going through the lights all the time. I walk out of my way to avoid crossing that intersection and the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 115 big culprit of it is a car rental agency on union street that fills the sidewalk with their inventory and they use the travel lane on Union Street to store all their vehicles because they don't have enough staff to get them into the car rental place.

2.2

2.3

This morning I made a 311 complaint. There was seven cars with no one in them in the right lane of Union Street and a car parked on the sidewalk. So, anyone on the sidewalk had to walk on the ice or in the street to get passed it.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: On that point, did you do a 311 for it?

MICHAEL RING: Today and 50 times in the past year.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So, I would just suggest and this is how I handle my office, I always have my constituents reach out with the 311 so that I can then follow up with DOT. If this is something that's persistent that is more of a global issue, then before you leave, you can talk to a member of the Committee staff so we can look into it a bit further but going back to you and Jean's point in terms of the scooters and how they're kind of littered. Like, this is something that I hear in

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 116
Southeast Queens pretty often and either you or Jean
can answer this question. In terms of the corrals,

DOT has been pushing the corrals thinking that that
would be a fix to seeing them kind of scattered all
over the place. From the disability communities
perspective, would that be effective? Would that
address those concerns? Are there any concerns that
you have?

MICHAEL RING: If the scooter rider were required to use it. I mean, I have kids. They use the scooters, they're great but there's no reason for them not to just stop and lean them on a wall outside the subway entrance and it's going to fall over.

JEAN RYAN: We had asked for -

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: The mic please.

JEAN RYAN: We had asked for geo fencing they call it. We met with DOT for one year.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: I believe the geo fencing -

JEAN RYAN: And the manufacturers of the scooters. DOT and the scooter manufactures were our monthly meetings and I feel like - we all feel like we didn't accomplish anything. We kept pushing for safety and they kept saying well, we come around with

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE people and we pick them up. Well, that doesn't do any good if you're there and you're trying to get on the curb cut and the scooter is there. Nobody is going to help you at the moment and they said, oh the technology isn't good enough but they can set the technology so you can't take a scooter now and throw it in the Bronx River because people were doing that. So, I think they have the technology and they just don't want t spend the time on doing it because we heard about people in Europe. They prevent them from -the scooters from going to like places where there are a lot of pedestrians or a park or something like that. Are they preventing the scooters from going into parks in the neighborhoods that they're in even now? Because they could do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, we just think, we're not against scooters, we just think it's such as mess now and the people are just leaving them all over and riding them on the sidewalks and they don't make any sound and we complained about that every month too. And there were blind people on that meeting with DOT also but it's not just blind people who can't hear the scooters, nobody can and if they're behind you, you're never going to see them. So, why can't they

make a noise that you know not a loud noise but enough so that you can hear them and you know that they're there and then they should not ever be on the sidewalks. We don't think they should be parked by a bodega either. They should be in the curb; you know by the curb.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: So the corrals you think is better, a better solution?

JEAN RYAN: Yes, yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay, thank you.

12 JEAN RYAN: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Mike three. No, press the button.

MICHAEL ACEVADO: I'm sorry. I'm a Manhattan resident in Chelsea. I'm with 27<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>. There's a building, I mean a facade that's for construction that has never been started. There's nothing at the site. You have to go in the gutter to go around and the DOT I was told was responsible and they're not there. There's no signs up or nothing. It's a core street from the hotel venti. It's on 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue and I have pictures; unfortunately you won't let me show you but the problem is it's been over two years and there still doing nothing. There's no signs up,

nothing and it goes into the gutter and when they go by, water goes in there and if it's not in the right spot, the water stays there. And you know, just not me with my walker or someone with a wheelchair, anybody going by can get wet. So, that's the issue and you know the bikes and everything but she's right, they should have made horns. You don't know they're coming. I almost got hit a couple of times already in the gutter with those bike, e-bikes, whatever they are. That's the issue also.

2.2

2.3

Going down Chelsea on 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, those bikes speed like SOB's, so she's right. Something has to be done. They have to have a horn or something that you know you accidently step off and boom you know. So, the bike thing they should have to do something with too. A horn or something because they scream at you and curse at you, so that's the issue also, the bikes.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you.

MICHAEL ACEVADO: You're welcome. Chris.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah good morning,
good afternoon. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson.

I'm here to show opposition to Intro. 1105 introduced
by Council Member Julie Won. Everybody know that

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 120

Julie Won was the one that got sent by Trans All to introduce the bill. The only reason they made you the prime sponsor is because a lot of people are scared of you and they don't want to cross you, so they made you prime sponsor of the bill that they do with Farah Louis and Council Member Williams, to introduce bills to make sure people don't really oppose them because of retaliation.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But I want to make this clear about the Intro's, about Intro. 1105 is that this is nothing but a sham bill just about data instead about real action. reason Eric Adams does not want to really implement the Street Masters Plan is because of his donors that don't really want these bike lanes and bus lanes, especially on Flatbush Avenue and they don't want delivery hubs right outside City Hall and especially on upper west side where the power from upper west side constituents went against the deliveries hub. That's really introduced by Worker Justice project. Let's make this clear that Eric needs to be endorsed by Streets Pack. I'm calling on Eric McClure and what's his name? John Warcutt (SP?) to endorse Eric Adams for the Streets Pack endorsement. If you don't endorse him for Streets Pack for reelect for Mayor

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 121 for 2025, you're not going to get your Street Masters Plan. You guys are back at Brad Lander for reelection for Mayor, you have to endorse Eric Adams number one. I'm not here to really endorse – uhm, this is my time to speak okay. This is my time to speak okay.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Please keep going.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah okay but I'm not here to endorse a candidate but this is my advice to

cut and Eric McClure of Streets Pack endorsed Eric

Adams for Mayor ranking number one.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Bring it back CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: No, no, no, you'll get
your Street Masters Plan. You'll get your Street
Masters Plan. You'll get your Street Masters Plan.
I understand you guys want this stuff. I'm not
totally against Street Masters Plan but the only way
you're going to get your Street Masters Plan, endorse
Eric Adams, rank him number one. Thank you so much.
Enjoy your day.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Now, we'll go online to Glen Bolofsky followed by Philip Miatkowski, and I apologize Philip if I messed up your last name.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 122
SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. Glen, you're

3 unmuted. It doesn't look like he's on.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Okay, we'll go to Philip Miatkowski and followed by Miriam Fisher.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yeah, you may begin. You're unmuted.

PHILIP MIATKOWSKI: Thank you to Chair BrooksPowers and members of the Transportation and
Infrastructure Committee for holding today's hearing.
My name is Philip Miatkowski and I'm the Interim
Deputy Executive Director at Transportation
Alternatives. We are the keeper of safe and
equitable streets across the five boroughs.

TA strongly supports Intro. 1105, which would require DOT to publicly track progress on a monthly basis made towards the Streets Hub. New York City Streets Plan is a guiding document for New York street improvements and yet the city continues to fall short of meeting the legal requirements. With transparent and clear information on the Streets Plan progress, everyone can see the status of urgent lifesaving improvement projects and hold DOT accountable of legal requirements.

2.2

2.3

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Currently New Yorkers are forced to rely on a bike lane tracker graded from the volunteer advocate who bikes the city in a pre-time instead of an official, not from the city. We also strongly support Intro. 1114, which requires a public online tracker for DOT's Capital project. New Yorkers deserve to know what capital projects are in the works whether they are on time, reasons for delay and when they can expect to see lifesaving improvements in their neighborhoods.

We also would strongly support an amendment to the bill to increase tracking of all DOT projects presented to community ports.

These two bills will increase transparency and public accountability and we hope the Council passes them quickly. Additionally, TA supports Intro. 552 which sets a two week deadline for street resurfacing. Intro. 145, which caps the correlated color temperature of street lights. Intro. 928, which creates a pilot for using cool pavement and Intro. 1160, which sets a one week deadline for repainting pavement markings.

Finally, in the upcoming budget season, the city must further ensure -

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 124

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony.

Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Miriam Fisher followed by Caleb Smith.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

MIRIAM FISHER: Good afternoon. In our commitment to improve bus service, we always forget to include improvements in bus stops where people wait. This has already been mentioned this afternoon. In some boroughs, subways don't extend to the outer region. People are dependent on buses to work, medical appointments, personal recreation, social connections. We have 15,000 bus stops in New York City but only 20 percent have shelters and only 17 percent have benches.

The worst statistics are in the poorer
neighborhoods, especially parts of Queens and the
Bronx. For people with disabilities, the seniors,
this presents another difficulty in transportation.
Edmund Asiedu, ADA coordinator for the DOT informed
me that the DOT is installing benches at bus stops in
all five boroughs. Great but that doesn't directly
address shelters, which we all need from bad weather,

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 125 heat, snow, heavy rain. It's hard for anyone to wait in the snow for 20 minutes for the next bus and with climate change more extreme weather is expected.

I presented the need for improved bus stops in December at Manhattan Community Board CB4 for Transportation Committee, which is my district. Got a vote of 100 percent support. CB4 sent a letter January 13<sup>th</sup> to Ed Pincar at DOT, Demetrius Crichlow of the MTA and Edmund Asiedu at DOT.

CB4 concentrated on specific stops on West 23<sup>rd</sup>

Street. It's all detailed in the letter, including seats with back support for those with spinal disabilities like myself. In CB4, there was a growing population of seniors, many with disabilities including a [INAUDIBLE 02:29:48], which has hundreds of residents with -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony. Your time has expired.

MIRIAM FISHER: Okay, thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. You could submit an extended testimony via email if you have anything further to add. Caleb Smith.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

2.2

2.3

3 Selvena Brooks-Powers and Committee on Transportation 4 and Infrastructure. Thank you for the opportunity to

5 testify in support of Introduction 928.

2.2

2.3

My name is Caleb Smith, Resiliency Coordinator at We Act For Environmental Justice. We Act for Environmental Justice, a community based organization headquartered in Harlem at the city, state and federal level for more than 30 years. We ask theory of change empowers environmental justice communities to build healthy neighborhoods by ensuring that they are full of color and low income meaningfully in the creation of sound and fair environmental policies.

As global temperatures continue to shatter records, New York City must take every opportunity to defend against extreme heat impact. New Yorkers are especially vulnerable with 83 percent, that's 7.2 million people of the population exposed to the urban heat island effect. Generations of policy decisions have caused communities of color to live in the hottest parts of the city. Black New Yorkers are twice as likely to die from heat related illness compared to white residents and the EJNYC report found that environmental justice areas have access to

127
19 percent less accessible green space than other neighborhoods. Cool pavement is a critical form of green infrastructure that can provide direct relief to neighborhoods with limited practical canopy.

Pavement from a lower surface temperatures, improve thermal comfort, energy savings, decrease dimension, mall production and mitigate damage to local water sheds.

Introduction 928 lays the foundation for us to convert streets that currently exacerbate the urban heat island effect and the climate mitigation. It is necessary and cost effective multi-hazard mitigation but not overlooked. Findings from this pilot will be instructive to improving heat health equity throughout New York City. We support the passage of this bill with equity in mind. Thank you.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: If we have inadvertently missed anyone that has registered to testify today and has yet to have been called on, please use Zoom hand notification if you are testifying remotely and you will be called on in that order if your hand is raised. If you are testifying

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 128 in person - okay, we have Andrew Rigie followed by Glen Bolofsky.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. Andrew, you are unmuted.

ANDREW RIGIE: Thank you so much. Good afternoon Sorry I'm not there in person and members. My name is Andrew Rigie. I am the Executive Director of the New York City Hospitality Alliance. represent restaurants and bars across the five boroughs. I am submitting comments on Intro. 552. You've received my written comments but just from a high level, I wanted to say we think it's a good bill on street resurfacing, giving notice but we do have some amendments to help reduce some of the burdens that street resurfacing can cause for small businesses, like restaurants. For example, it could close their outdoor dining, particularly with the new roadway dining program. It can impact and reduce foot traffic, create delivery issues and all this can also add up to reducing hours for workers.

So, we have a few points we think the bill should be amended just to strengthen it. One, instead of the two week notice for resurfacing, we think providing one month notice would be better. It gives

businesses more time to adjust their operations.

There should be a requirement that the agencies coordinate between the resurfacing and the city's roadway café program to help prioritize, minimizing any disruptions. For example, we have a seasonal roadway café program. Restaurants can set up there street structures in April. It would be a real shame if they go through the time, the large expense, setting up the roadway café in April to only be told a month later that they're going to resurface the street and they have to remove it and store it again.

2.2

2.3

So, we think that you know they should prioritize doing them in the off season when possible to make you know these disruptions or if they are going to resurface mid-April or in May, at least tell the restaurant that say in March, so they don't set everything up in April just to have to take it down you know a month or a few weeks later and go through the headaches and all that expense.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you for your testimony. Time has expired.

ANDREW RIGIE: Restaurants are paying for the sidewalk café or the roadway café consent fees. When there's road resurfacing going on, they should get a

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 130 prorated refund for those fees when it's not 2 3 operational. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. we'll hear from Glen Bolofsky. 5 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 6 7 GLEN BOLOFSKY: Thank you. Can you hear me out there? 8 CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Yeah, we can hear 10 you. 11 GLEN BOLOFSKY: Alright, good afternoon Madam Chair and Majority Whip Selvena Brooks-Powers. How 12 13 are you today? I want to thank you and the Committee 14 behind you, the Transportation and the legislative 15 staff and all the people who are going to making this 16 first - it's the first hearing of the new year, so I 17 want to complement you for bringing this to 18 everyone's attention and these terrific bills that 19 will help improve the quality of life for all of us. 20 So, thank you and that is my testimony today. CHAIRPERSON BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you Glen. 21 sorry, you all were [INAUDIBLE 02:36:03] and I 2.2 2.3 apologize. We went to the virtual but thanks for being a part of today's hearing. So, with that being 24

said and I believe everybody's hearts and minds are

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE clear. We are going to go ahead and gavel out of this hearing. I just want to thank everyone who took part today in testifying and being a part of this conversation that I think is very important. And with that, this Committee is adjourned. [GAVEL] 

## 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date JANUARY 29, 2025