CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

June 11, 2024 Start: 1:16 p.m. Recess: 3:47 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm. 16<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: Diana I. Ayala Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés
Chris Banks
Tiffany Cabán
Chi A. Ossé
Lincoln Restler
Kevin C. Riley
Althea V. Stevens
Sandra Ung

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Angela Cerda

Ninibeth Riuas

Joslyn Carter DHS Administrator

Deborah Berkman NYLAG

Dr. Xellex Z. Rivera Housing Solutions of New York

Maya Jasinka WIN

Will Watts
Coalition for the Homeless

Catherine Trepani VGNY

Chloe Smith
Children's Health Fund

Juan Diaz CCC

Ibrahim Xavier Johnson

Sharon Brown

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and 3 welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for

the Committee on General Welfare. If you would like

5 to submit testimony, you may at

testimony@council.nyc.gov. At this time, please silence all electronic devices. Just a reminder, no one may approach the dais at any point during this hearing. Chair Ayala, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Good afternoon everyone, and welcome to today's hearing. My name is Diana Ayala, and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New York City Council and the Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Today, we are holding an oversight hearing on families with children in DHS shelter. We will also be hearing five bills. first, Intro 123 which I have sponsored, would preclude DHS from requiring that every member of the family be present at the PATH intake center when the family seeks placement at a shelter. Currently, DHS requires that children under the age of 21 be present with their adult family members at PATH, the facility that processes shelter applications. There is no good reason for this policy which makes the shelter application process unduly burdensome for families.

The second bill Intro 124 which I also sponsored
would require DHS to provide process navigator
services to every family with children at an intake
shelter site. This process navigator would provide
assistance to shelter applicants in helping them to
understand the procedures, meetings, interviews, and
documents necessary to complete the shelter
application process and to answer any questions. The
next bill, Intro 440, sponsored by Council Member
Althea Stevens, would require DHS to ensure that
eligibility specialists are available at every
shelter to assist residents with determining
eligibility for public assistance benefits. Intro
453, sponsored by Council Member Sandra Ung, would
require DHS to establish additional intake centers
for families with children in boroughs other than the
Bronx. Finally, Intro 460, also sponsored by Council
Member Ung, would require DHS to report on the
feasibility of partnering with community-based
organizations to accept and process applications for
shelter intake for families and children. Onto our
oversight topic for today. The DHS shelter system is
designed to provide temporary emergency shelter to
families with no other housing options available to

them. At a citywide level, the overall families of
families with children entering DHS shelters has
increased dramatically over the last few years. As
compared to the first four months of fiscal year 23,
the first four months of fiscal year 24 saw a 147
percent increase in entries to shelter for families
with children. While average lengths of stay have
decreased, this greater number of clients utilizing
families with children shelters have strained an
already overburdened system and we must start to
think outside of the box as to how we can better
support families in shelter transition into permanent
housing, freeing up vacancies to shelter other
families entering the system. We also know of the
inefficiencies in the shelter application process for
families with children. Families all across the City
must travel to PATH intake center located in the
Bronx. Upon doing so, they often have to endure
waiting in long lines with their children present
with limited resources and staff interactions while
waiting for hours. There are so many drivers of
homelessness for families with children, including a
lack of affordable housing, poverty, unemployment,
and domestic violence. Families with children

experiencing homelessness have several unique needs
from childcare to educational support to employment
assistance to income support to mental health
counseling and trauma-informed care. We want to know
what the Administration is doing to meet these needs.
We also want to learn more about the specific ways
that DHS is responding to the needs of those families
who are asylum-seekers. We are particularly troubled
by reports that asylum-seeking families with children
have been receiving notices to transfer out of their
current shelters within 24 to 48 hours, despite the
Administration's assurance that these families are
not subject to the 60-day rule, limiting their stay
in city-operated shelters to 60 days. I look forward
to hearing from the Administration, providers, and
advocates in gathering feedback on this oversight
topic and of all of the relevant current issues, as
well as on the bills on our agenda. At this time
I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who are here
today, Council Members Riley, Cabán, Ossé, and Ung.
I'd also like to thank the Committee Staff who worked
diligently to prepare this hearing, Aminta Kilawan,
our Senior Legislative Counsel, Nina Rosenberg,
Policy Analyst, Julia Haramis, Unit Head, Faria Raman

[sp?], Finance Analyst, and finally my staff, Elsie

Encarnacion, Chief of Staff. I will now turn it over

to our Public Advocate Jumaane Williams to deliver a

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

statement.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so As mentioned, my name is Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate, City of New York. Thank you Deputy Speaker Ayala and the members of the Committee on General Welfare for holding this hearing. In March 2024, 69 percent of those in shelter were members of homeless families including 48,304 children. are families experiencing homelessness for a variety of reasons in every neighborhood and community in our They are our neighbors, friends, classmates, colleagues, students, and loved ones. It is our moral and legal duty to provide shelter to those experiencing homelessness, and we should all prioritize creating permanent, affordable, accessible housing for everyone who needs it. The current time limit set by this Administration violates the spirit of our city's longstanding right to shelter. City cannot bear the cost of housing and providing service to our newest New Yorkers alone, but evicting them, including families with children, from shelter

2	is not the solution, particularly when there's no
3	real case work for many of these families, and not
4	without timely communication. While the
5	Administration said that the 30 and 60-day shelter
6	limits for migrants have contributed to a cost
7	reduction, the consequences of that decision do not
8	outweigh the benefits. Children displaced from their
9	schools and communities just when they are settling
10	into normalcy, people lined up overnight on the
11	streets in the freezing temperature, anxiety,
12	confusion is particularly unacceptable. I also want
13	to mention, an administration that prides itself on
14	diversity, to have this new policy affect primarily
15	Black migrants is particularly disturbing. In
16	October of last year, Mayor Adams announced that the
17	60-day shelter limit would be extend to migrant
18	families with children who represent about 75 percent
19	of the migrant shelter population. In the time
20	since, thousands of families have been given notice
21	or evicted. This policy has been a catastrophe for
22	these families and especially the children. Nearly
23	one in five migrant children evicted from the
24	shelters had their schooling interrupted, two-thirds
25	of those students are no longer enrolled in New York

25

2 City public schools at all. In addition, many 3 migrant children who have moved far from the schools 4 are now spending a large portion of their days on transportation if their buses arrive at all. For 5 children who have already experienced massive 6 7 disruption in their lives leaving their countries and 8 coning to a new city on top of the trauma they may have endured, this is particularly devastating. Students become attached to their schools, teachers, 10 11 and classmates, and interrupting the consistency is 12 stressful -- interrupting that consistency is 13 stressful, potentially re-traumatizing. The process 14 for seeking shelter for families even for non-migrant 15 families is onerous with multiple hoops that families 16 must jump through. The entire family including minor 17 children must travel to the Prevention Assistant and 18 Temporary Housing, PATH, center in the Bronx. 19 is the only office which a family can apply for 20 temporary housing. This means that children will 21 likely not be able to attend school that day, instead spending their day in transit and in waiting rooms. 2.2 2.3 Families often wait hours for their appointments, and they are not allowed to bring in outside food. Many 24 families receive temporary overnight placement and

must return the next day in the hopes of being placed
in a long-term shelter. Some families are deemed
ineligible for shelter and must start the process
over again. While families can receive a temporary
conditional placement while they reapply, they do not
become eligible for DHS specific rental vouchers
until they have a formal placement. For all, but
especially children, experiencing homelessness is
stressful and traumatic. Many children enter shelter
after fleeing abusive or violent environments.
Domestic violence is one of the leading causes of
homelessness in New York City. In April, Mayor Adams
announced a pilot program called Project Home to
connect domestic violence survivors with permanent
housing beginning with 100 families with children.
Those staying in HRA domestic violence shelters will
be eligible for HPD housing, affordable housing,
which was previously limited to those in DHS
shelters. I applaud the Mayor for this effort and I
hope to see more like it in the future. We also want
to make sure that we're clear with this policy we're
affecting primarily Black migrants. We're also not
keeping track of where they're going. We've seen
overcrowding in places like mosques and other spaces.

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

orientation. We were not given enough information in

terms of how to apply for our immigration papers.

2.2

2.3

INTERPRETER: So, we were never assigned a case, like a regular case manager. They were different every time, so I wasn't able to follow up on my case. I had to go by myself and do my immigration papers myself, and then I was supposed to receive my mail regarding my paperwork at the shelter, but I never had access to that. My case manager never actually helped me with the correct information. So, therefore, as of now, I do not have a working permit and I'm not able to support myself.

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, her case manager kept—she was worried she wasn't advancing enough with her immigration papers, but the case manager keep telling her don't worry about it. Everything will be okay. You will be—you'll be okay. Nobody's going to throw you away from here. But unfortunately, one day she was coming back from the hospital with her child that was sick, and she was very overwhelmed and when she got to the building, security or a staff member from WIN shelter tells her that she needs to sign this paper, that it was in English. She wasn't even understanding what was there. And they were just—they just pressure her to sign and because she was so

1

6

7

overwhelmed she did. Then after that she was given a

3 bunch of trash bags, and they told her you need to--

4 you're leaving. You need to put your belongings here.

5 You are being transferred.

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, this paper that she

8 was -- that she signed, it turns out it was a notice

of transfer. It was given to her with less than 24

hours, and then they just told her you're being 10

11 transferred to a hotel, and then this person-- her

12 case manager tells her don't worry about it.

13 are going to be a lot better for you there.

14 turns out it wasn't. She's been living a nightmare.

15 The conditions that she's now living in this hotel

16 room is -- they're just so degrading. But the thing

17 that she's worried the most is how her kids have been

18 impacted emotionally, because they-- her kids loved

19 They have been now traveling a long their school.

20 distance just to go to their school which is the

21 place that Angela is referring. They did tell her

2.2 mommy is -- I don't want to leave my school.

2.3 this place and all my friends are there. So, she's

really living a hard time. 24

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

So, this new place that

INTERPRETER:

1 2

3 A:
4 m.
5 s:
6 t:
7 s:
8 a
9 w:
10 t:
11 r:
12 b:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permanent housing.

Angela is now, she's just saying how they're a lot of mistreatment that she receives by the staff-- by the staff of the hotel. The cleaning staff, they insult them all the time. They tell them that they're stupid, that they're not clean people. They just use a lot of abusive words with her, and again, she's so worried mainly about her children. They get sick all the time because of the food. The food is just really horrible, and they don't have-- they have to be all the time inside the room. There's nothing for them to do, and-- sorry. And she's-- she also said that if it wasn't because of the lack of, you know, the fact that the case manager didn't give her enough orientation in terms of her paperwork, she would now be able to have a working permit and maybe be able to be a little more independent and have a job and be able to support her kids and maybe get some temporary

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, she's saying that she came-- basically, she came here to get a better future for her children. Her dad was killed back in her country. Her mom died as a consequence of his

dad death, and they just came here to provide a

3 better life for their children, and the impact that

4 the children have emotionally worries her a lot, and

5 she's sees that when her small kid tells her, "Mommy,

6 I know today you don't have money to buy me food.

It's okay. It's okay. I'll-- we don't have to eat

8 today."

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, thank you very much for listening to me today, says Angela, and—sorry. She said that she's grateful for all of you for listening to her. She understands that she's not supposed to get free things here. She didn't came here to get free food or free housing or anything like that, but she thinks that it's just unfair the way they are treated, the way they were just transfer from, you know, one day to the other to this place that they're now. Really the conditions are not every optimal for her, especially for her children.

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: Good afternoon. My name is Ninibeth Riuas. I'm from Venezuela and I have two children and I'm here with my two children and my

25 mom.

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	NINIBETH	RIUAS: [	speaking	Spanishl
_			. ~   ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ [

INTERPRETER: I was also one of the families that was transferred from WIN shelter in south Park Slope, and— it's been very difficult for us. The conditions at the hotel are really bad, and— she's saying that her mom is sick and there are—that conditions in the hotel room are just horrible. There are bugs.

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: so, I have a lot of difficulties also from my kids commuting to school now that we're a lot far away from the school. I'm not able to work because they will not allow me to leave my children by themselves in the hotel room--

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: until they are 16 and 13

years old. So I can't work. They will not allow me
- they can't stay by themselves. They treat us like

basically like we're criminals. They search us. We
- everything, every time we came into the room they

search our belongings. They just treat us as we were

criminals.

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

2.2

2.3

INTERPRETER: I just want to be able to thrive, to be independent. Again, we don't-- we

4 didn't came here to get anything free from anybody.

5 We just want to be able to work and provide the best

6 for our children.

2.2

2.3

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, we just want to have stability, and again, back in WIN shelter they told us that things were going to actually be better for us once we were transferred to the hotel, that things were going to improve for us, but it's not true. We have not even been assigned a case manager there. We have nobody to ask questions with regards of anything, you know, with anything that has to do with our case, our paperwork, immigration paperwork,

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: Thank you.

anything. We are-- we feel completely lost there.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [speaking Spanish]

I'm going to ask questions in English, and then you can help translate. So, have-- since they've been at this new facility, have they been connected to legal services to start the paperwork for their working papers?

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 19
2	INTERPRETER: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And how long have
4	they been at this site?
5	INTERPRETER: United States?
6	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, no, no. At the
7	site where they're staying now.
8	INTERPRETER: A little bit over a month
9	now.
10	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Has anybody told them
11	that they would have to leave after 60 days?
12	INTERPRETER: they just they don't
13	they don't receive any information with regards with-
14	- to anything basically.
15	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, that I
16	understand, there's absolutely no social worker on-
17	site?
18	NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]
19	ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]
20	INTERPRETER: So, in Angela's case, she's
21	saying that there's sometimes there is somebody
22	there that is called a case manager, but there is no
23	access, no real accessibility to this person. Like,
24	it's not there all the time. And Ninibeth's case

INTERPRETER: Also-- sorry.

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

-	CONTILITION ON CONSTITUTE VIOLENTIAL ZI
2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I also understand
3	that it feels, you know, dehumanizing, and so they'r
4	saying that while they were at the WIN shelter they
5	were not subject to that, but at the HERRCs they are
6	INTERPRETER: Also, Angela clarified that
7	she does get the searches in the room, not only when
8	she's
9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing]
10	[speaking Spanish]
11	ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]
12	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. [speaking
13	Spanish]
14	INTERPRETER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm sorry. [speaking
16	Spanish] Council Member Hanif, did you have a
17	question?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I did. Thank you
19	so much, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and thank you for
20	joining us this afternoon. I represent the shelters
21	or used to represent the shelters now that WIN
22	manages in South Slope, which is now represented by
23	Council Member Alexa Avilés. I have a few questions.
24	Could you share what information you received as you

were instructed to leave the WIN shelter? And then

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 22
2	my second question is, are you still in a DHS,
3	Department of Homeless Services, operated shelter?
4	And can you discuss how it's been to how your
5	child's education has been either interrupted or what
6	are the challenges now since you don't live near
7	PS124?
8	INTERPRETER: They don't know about if
9	the hotel is also DHS shelter.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I just wanted to
11	get at that, because I'm curious, because where they
12	were was not under the 60-day rule, and I'm wondering
13	if where they are right now is within the purview of
14	the 60-day rule.
15	INTERPRETER: Yeah.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Do they know that?
17	INTERPRETER: They're not aware of.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: You don't know if
19	that 60 days
20	INTERPRETER: No.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay.
22	INTERPRETER: Sorry, could you say the
23	first question again?

NINIBETH RIUAS: [speaking Spanish]

they had received when they were instructed to leave

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: The information

2

3

4

their first shelter.

5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

INTERPRETER: [speaking Spanish]

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, she was coming from work and there was security people waiting for her at the entrance of the shelter, and they just gave her a paper which was not in her native language, and they just told her you need to sign this. You're leaving tomorrow, and let me know if you need trash bags for you to put your stuff.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Oh, they made that ask of trash bags. And then, so no-- no translated materials about the exit plan. And my understanding is that there was -- there were more families that were supposed to have exited that shelter. Could you share what that process and advocacy was like? Because I know that there was, including WIN involved, in trying to decrease the number of families exiting that shelter. What kind of advocacy did you have to do to try to stay, and why did you leave?

So, in Angela's case it was

INTERPRETER:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

about the same. She was-- she had mentioned before that she was coming from a doctor's appointment with her child. They just gave her this paper, no translation whatsoever. Then she just-- they made her sign the paper without explaining anything, and then 15 minutes later they were just in her room, in her apartment with the trash bags telling her that she needed to get the stuff. In terms of what they did, she's just referring to what they always do. They just -- it's the community comes in their defense, and we have a great group of parents that supports the community at PS 124, and that's who they reach out to, and then from there we just started reaching out to your office and Ms. Avilés office and whoever could provide any support to stop the transfer of these families.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I won't be here for when the Administration testifies, but I would like for them to respond to what the protocol is for when these transfers are taking place, and why is it that these individuals, parents of children in a school community, were forced without an explanation to sign a paper that they didn't not understand and

then offered trash bags. I think that is adding to
the dehumanization that these newcomers are already
experiencing because of the wider rhetoric that our
mayor has enforced. But I'd like to make sure that
that question is responded to. And then finally, if
you could just respond to the schooling of your
children right now. What is that looking like?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANGELA CERDA: [speaking Spanish]

INTERPRETER: So, it's been very, very hard. They were-- one of my kids, it was literally the school was just across the street, and for my other child it was just a couple blocks away. we have to wake up like very, very early. commute is now around 40 minutes she was telling me from the new place they're living in, and sometimes they make it on time, sometimes they don't. they-- she's just not going to transfer her kids from the school because it's the-- what they-- it's a request specifically from the children. They tell her, mommy please do not change my school. I love my It's basically-- it's become their second home. They have received so much support. just not willing to give up the benefits that they received there, yeah.

I do.

Council Member questions?

2.3

24

25

POLICY ANALYST: Thank you.

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Good afternoon.

My name is Joslyn Carter, and I serve as Administrator of the New York City Department of Homeless Services. I would like to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala and the members of the Committee on General Welfare for holding today's hearing on Families with Children in DHS Shelters. I also want to acknowledge the two moms who testified here this afternoon. It's very brave to share their stories, and we'll follow up with them after this hearing to get more information from them. At the outset, I want to reassure the Council that at DHS we recognize the vulnerability of the families we serve and understand that families experience trauma from housing instability. Our objective is to carefully and compassionately meet the full needs of the people we serve while they are in our care. That includes serving as the bridge towards connections with the resources to meet the very real psychosocial, and socio-emotional needs, of families experiencing homelessness. We understand housing is a critical part of wellbeing and the dangers families face in these vulnerable moments. That is precisely why we aim to craft an intake process that meets our clients

SIX to seven nours at PATH. That is a dramatic
decrease in the amount of time families are spending
at intake. PATH allows us to realize economies of
scale, offer wraparound services, and serve as a
critical node in diversion, screening, and assessment
required for placing families in shelters. We have
staff at the front door and at reception that greet
families as they enter the building and inquire about
the family's reason for coming to PATH. Families are
then directed to the intake area where we have staff
prepared to begin the application for shelter.
During this time, discussions with clients also
focuses on the needs of children within the
household, including school enrollment. We explain
next steps and offer families an opportunity to ask
questions. When new applicants and those families
reapplying after more than 30 days apply at PATH,
they're engaged by PATH social service workers.
These workers provide crisis counseling, mediation
services, and referrals to community-based resources
as an alternative to shelter. For those families with
housing options still available in the community,
PATH social service workers elaborate with HRA
Homeless Diversion Unit caseworkers, and Homebase

offices throughout the five boroughs to put services
in place to help families retain or secure
independent housing without having to enter shelter.
All families reapplying for shelters following a
break in shelter services of less than 30 days,
except for those who have experienced domestic
violence, are also referred to meet with a Resource
Room social service worker who then collaborates with
our HDU team to further explore ways to avoid shelter
entry through family mediation, legal services, HRA
emergency grants, and rental assistance. There is no
one-size-fits-all solution for families. Their
circumstances are all unique, their needs are unique,
and we need to take the time to listen, learn, and
connect them to the resources that best serve. For
instance, a family may have had a non-physical
argument that could benefit from family mediation.
For that family, mediation could be the course to
avoid shelter altogether. We find that approximately
13 percent of families are diverted from shelter. If
families have no alternate options immediately
available such that they would qualify for homeless
prevention services, they are interviewed by a DHS
family worker who obtains the family's two-year

2	housing history. This serves as the basis for
3	determining shelter eligibility. During the interview
4	process, families may be assigned a conditional
5	shelter placement while DHS investigates and assesses
6	the family's individual needs. Conditional placements
7	last for 15 days on average. During this time, field
8	specialists visit the homes of family and friends
9	with whom the family previously resided to verify
10	information provided during the interview. During
11	this conditional placement, social services staff and
12	social workers from DHS arrange in-person meetings,
13	whenever possible, with families applying for shelter
14	and family or friends they lived with previously to
15	further pursue mediation and explain in greater
16	detail available housing preventive services and
17	rental assistance programs to return to the
18	community. Once the investigation is completed, an
19	eligibility determination concerning the completeness
20	of the application and the availability of other
21	housing is written, reviewed, and provided to the
22	family in the shelter placement. We conduct the
23	eligibility assessment in accordance with New York
24	State social services law and regulations from our

oversight agency, the New York State Office of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Temporary and Disability Assistance, OTDA. benefits from our co-located sister agencies who partner in delivering their insights and services. The Department of Education is represented at PATH in the form of family assistance staff who serve as a critical point of linkage with NYC schools, offering an opportunity to discuss transportation and educational needs. Administration for Children's Services staff provide collaboration and consultation as related to abuse or neglect cases. Our partners from the Human Resources Administration No Violence Again does safety assessments for families who indicate domestic violence, have a domestic violence history, or there is a suspicion of DV while at Path. Additionally, HRA's Homebase team serve as a link to further homeless preventive services and aftercare services. There is also a medical provider on site, the floating hospital, and they are there to conduct health screens and other health education as necessary. With that larger context in mind, I will now turn to the legislation being heard today. Intro. 123 would preclude DHS from requiring that every member of a family be present at its intake center when that family seeks placement in shelter.

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have made accommodation for the logistical challenge of having all children under 18 present at intake. Currently, all adults in the family are required to appear. However, children under the age of 18 can appear remotely for the initial visit. They are not required for any subsequent visits. We believe the system we have in place maintains the appropriate balance between the necessity of having children on screen to assess for real potential needs, while also offering the flexibility and recognition of the potential challenge posed to a family. For families that choose to bring their children to PATH, we have a Child Wait Space on site with educational activities and fun games. Initially it was designed to accommodate children whose parents were being interviewed by the NoVa Social Workers to avoid re-traumatization, we have since expanded to serve all children. Intro. 124 would require the DHS to provide process navigator services to every family with children at an intake center. Intro. 440 would require DHS to ensure that eligibility specialists are available at every shelter to assist residents with determining eligibility for PA benefits, public assistance benefits. Intro 124 and 440 focuses on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

roles and functions that already exist in our system. Our intake staff is trained to guide families through this process. We offer an explanation to every family that comes to us with multiple touchpoints, immediately at the front door with greeters, at the window where registration occurs, as they exit elevators, and throughout their time in the waiting areas. Our managers, case managers, social workers, family workers, or medical providers have been charged with explaining the process and offering families the opportunity to ask questions. structure this system of touchpoints to make sure families feel safe, secure, and deliver a consistent, supportive message in the intake process. Prior to becoming DHS Administrator, I had the privilege of directly overseeing PATH. I understand the intent of Intro 124 and the idea of adding process navigators, but I believe we are already providing that navigation over the intake process itself and I do not believe adding another navigation layer would be advisable. With regard to the eligibility specialist in Intro. 440, at intake we have a host of staff on site who are responsible for ensuring that family needs are met. This begins with the leadership and

staff at PATH, followed by the shelter directors,
directors of social services, case workers, housing
specialists, social workers, and operations staff at
shelter who all play a constructive role in
fulfilling the responsibilities of guiding clients to
resources and services. We understand that shelter
is temporary, and we are aiming towards housing
stability, in-community where our clients can attain
their goals. Assessing exit to permanency is part of
our process. One key to ensuring that this happens
is ensuring that Public Assistance Cases are open.
We have staff both at the front door and at the
shelter level who are already doing this work. We
want to make sure applications for public assistance
occur and work towards clients putting appropriate
applications in. At intake, we have staff onsite,
helping open these cases; furthermore, Independent
Living Plans include eligibility and applications as
part of the toolkit in driving towards exit and
permanent housing. Once at shelters, families meet
with staff [background interruption] We're good.
Thanks. I needed water anyway, so that's good. Once
at shelters, families meet with staff to complete an
Independent Living Plan. There are then biweekly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

meetings with staff to update these ILPs. They can include procuring entitlements of families that they may be eligible for, referrals for mental health, educational, or job preparation opportunities, or referrals for other services that best needs meet for clients. In addition to being a component of ILPs, Access HRA is a tool in this process. All providers have been trained in Access HRA. Serving as our online benefits portal, Access HRA allows clients to gain information on and apply for government programs including Cash Assistance, SNAP, which are Food Stamps, Fair Fares, the Home Energy Assistance Program, Medicaid, CityFHEPS, and Child Support. Because we have this layered system in place, including contact with case managers, Access HRA, ILPs, we do not believe legislating a specific title and accompanying ratios to be appropriate. Intros 453 and 460 focus on expanding families with children intake, through an additional intake center in the Bronx or Queens, and through producing a feasibility report on community-based organizations viability for accepting and processing intake. There are manifold advantages of centralized intake. Those advantages

include consistent screening of critical factors and

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

assessment for conditions related to medical needs, mental health needs, substance use, adult and child protective services, and domestic violence. Moreover, centralization fosters continuity of service by taking advantage of co-located interagency links: DOE, ACS, HRA NoVA and HRA Homebase, as well as medical. Centralization also allows us to benefit from economies of scale in addition to cost concerns. Intake is a complex process with a host of regulatory compliance requirements, example: client privacy. Accordingly, centralization allows us to deliver services with consistency and clear monitoring for deviations from best practice. Those advantages mean there are significant drawbacks to the proposals envisaged by Introductions 453 and 460. As we discussed in 2023, we believe a feasibility study is a prerequisite to considering an additional PATH site, therefore we would be supportive of moving forward with a feasibility study that encompasses the design requirements that went into PATH, and that takes into account the capital cost of building a new facility, location considerations, staffing requirements, and obligations to meet our federal and state legal and regulatory mandates. We are working

25

to exit families. In January, The Adams 2 3 Administration launched "Women Forward NYC: An Action 4 Plan for Gender Equity," an investment aimed at making New York City a national leader on gender 5 equity. Supported through city dollars, private and 6 7 public partnerships, academic institutions, and 8 federal grant funding, this living action plan is a framework for all of the Administration's efforts addressing gender disparities going forward and takes 10 11 immediate action to connect women to professional 12 development and higher-paying jobs; dismantle 13 barriers to sexual, reproductive, and chronic health 14 care; reduce gender-based violence against women; and 15 provide holistic housing services, including for 16 formerly incarcerated women and domestic and gender-17 based violence survivors. Through Women Forward, the 18 Administration committed to increase families exiting 19 shelter into permanent housing by 25 percent by 2030. 20 As part of the Administration's comprehensive efforts to connect New Yorkers to safe, affordable homes we 21 2.2 are aiding New Yorkers from intake, to exit, to 2.3 transition into permanency. We continue to focus our efforts on moving families and individuals from 24

shelter into permanent housing as quickly as

possible. This is challenging work. Our frontline
staff, our sister agency partners, and the community
of providers are focused on aiding families through
crisis towards permanency. I would like to take this
opportunity to thank them and acknowledge their
successes. While we acknowledge we have further work
to do in delivering the highest quality social
services to our fellow New Yorkers, we can also
recognize the meaningful difference our hardworking
staff and network of providers makes in the lives of
children and families on a daily basis. I thank you
for this opportunity to testify, and I look forward
to your questions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I'm going to pass it over to Council Member Ung for questions first.

COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Thank you, Chair.

Thank you for your testimony today. I just have a couple of questions. As far as the PATH intake center for families, is there a practical reason why there's only one in the entire New York City?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Thank you for that question, Council Member. So, one of the things that's important to us is really thinking about when

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

families come to us and the consistency of messages -messaging that we give them. Prior to this Administration and historically, we have had-- we did have intake in all the boroughs, and we found that families were spending up to 20, you know, 20 hours waiting for intake. And we really thought about intentionality as we were thinking about how do we do intake. And so, the Bronx, we own that land in the It was easier to site that, but not only that, families who come to us primarily came from the Bronx, right? And so we really wanted to think about what would we need if I was coming-- you know, as a person coming into shelter. What were the drivers of homelessness for families? We knew that we needed to have support and wrap around services. And so we needed to be sure that we had a space that would have all the services in once place, and that would be able to have that service provided to every family in the same way, and so we would need, you know, support services from ACS on site. We'd have medical on We would have children services on site. would have domestic violence, you know, safety on site. We also really looked at the cost factor. You know, it really was-- back in-- it started in 25

the people in further boroughs who also experience

25

2 homelessness, do you think the City could benefit 3 from having a site somewhere else?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Well, one of the things that's important is that, you know, we are a on a-- people can get to us, right? We're-certainly on transportation you can get to us, and when you come into our front doors, there's a service that you've seen. You have seen the service that we do. You see that we are really looking at the entire family, the needs that they have, and we're meeting those needs. So, getting to us, you know, we're in the Bronx, but you know, it's not-- you know, you can get to where we are, and the service that you're going to get every family, as you saw Council Member, getting the same information, this wrap around. You come through our doors, there's a medical provider there. So it would not behoove us to try to do the same service in a space that's retrofitted. I want to come to a building that's, right, made for the service. We built it for intentionally to say when you come through we respect you, right? And I don't want to go back to retrofitting buildings for this service. We want to be able to have wrap-around

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

understand. So, I think-- you know, I read the testimony. I heard you. so, you would be open to a feasibility study of having another site where it could have all the services as what you were just saying, because you do want someone to go there and also have the same services, the wrap-around services that right now the Bronx intake--

space for you when you come to us.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] We'd be open to that, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Okay, thank you.

And then my other question about working with notfor-profit, has DHS ever partnered with not-forprofits to access shelter?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Well, I think it's important to recognize that what we also want to be able to do is that we, DHS, you know, City, that we do this work with consistency. When we have— and we do have not-for-profits in our single, you know, kind of doing that work, and we find it is— there's disparity when one, you know, in Brooklyn and one in Bronx, it's not done consistency. Again, I do

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

believe that we have to kind of make sure that

families get the same, and I would-- you know, I

4 don't want families if you went to one -- certain one

and another one that is different. Again, I do

believe that we have to make sure that families are 6

7 getting the same services that come through our

8 doors.

> COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: No, consistency is great, but is there a role? And this is why, you know, I'm asking this question. Is there a role that you think not-for-profits can have in helping families' access services? Just because there are just some communities out there that work more for the not-for-profits, that trust their not-for-profits more, and those not-for-profits do speak a language of that community. Back to-- going back to-- you know, going up to the Bronx might be difficult for some communities living somewhere else. So, is there a path-- and I recognize there needs to be some coordination, you know obviously, between DHS and the not-for-profits. But do you see a path of where that could happen, where there can be partnerships with not-for-profits, making sure that all the families

would have as much consistent, you know, services as possible.

apministrator carter: I mean, I think I go back to the same thing about, you know, wrap around services. I think we always and to make sure that there's the wrap around services that we put in place. I'm concerned about client privacy. I'm concerned about clients having to wait. I'm concerned about, right—you know, if I went to a particular place. I think, again, I think feasibility study is important for us to really look at, you know, before we say yes, I'll do this or no, I'll do that. I would love to be able to do a feasibility study.

COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Okay, so it would be the same like if the feasibility is seen, maybe like what not-for-profits-- obviously, probably people with experience in this, that DHS and these not-for-profits to work together.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: I mean, I think one of the things is to be important to think though how is there a feasibility of--

2.2

2.3

with Council Member Ung. You know, it's very

25

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

understanding how having more sites equates to more time spent.

difficult, and I don't understand why -- I'm not

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, can I--CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yeah.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: give you some background that -- one of the things is what we found, kind of what happened. So, intake there's a lot of information that needs to happen, but there's also trying to find places, and there's competition for that also. And so we want to make sure that A, information is shared the same, but B, we also need to make sure that if we need to find spaces that would happen for the families to go to. So, for us, it is not something that we want to be able to have families spend so many more hours waiting for placement. That's something that we have to really look at and think thorough. So, that's not, you know, top of mind for us at this point. So, once we do a feasibility study we'll come back with that information.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, yeah. I just--I'm confused about that. Assuming, you know, and I don't know what the rates are, you'll get those

2 numbers to us, but that it is true that it is true 3 that, you know, a large percentage of folks that are 4 coming into PATH are from the Bronx. Is DHS being 5 proactive in terms of maybe working with the Administration then to create some sort of, I don't 6 7 know, public campaign to help offset the driving forces behind this placement of families? Like, are 8 we adding tenant support clinics? You know, do we have Know Your Rights posters everywhere? What are--10 11 what if anything, right, has DHS been advocating for 12 to help? You know, because you have all of the data. You have all of the information. What are the 13 14 driving forces, right? People are coming in for 15 domestic violence. People are coming in because, you 16 know, maybe they're getting displaced. People are 17 coming in because they have no jobs and they can't 18 afford to pay rent. Are those things that you are 19 then tasked with bringing to the Administration and 20 saying, like, look, we're seeing that in the 21 specific -- these specific zip codes, we have a higher need for workforce development. We have a higher 2.2 2.3 work-- you know, a need for tenant support services. Like, this is where we would prioritize, right, the 24 bulk of our attention. So, you know, I mean, I would 25

think that that's something that we would do. We've already truly intentional about driving down the

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

numbers.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, I can tell you that our staff at PATH have been making inroads with the schools through some education with the school staff. We're having them come to make visits at PATH so they could talk with families around just kind of prevention of homelessness. We're having them do some tours. You know, we found just the other day one of the schools, and I won't tell you which one, came and the principal himself had been homeless, and just to really talk about us being there and to go and talk with families about -- you know, there was a family there, and to talk about how you push upstream to not having to come to intake, but how do you connect to, you know, prevention in the community. And so we're reaching out to schools to do that work in DHS. So we're doing that with our connections in PATH, and that work is happening now through our intake site and the schools in the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, but if we don't-- and I know specifically in my part of the Bronx that we have very limited resources in terms of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenant support and legal services. If DHS has the data, right, that proves that certain neighborhoods are more impacted than others, then I would think that that's information that the Administration could use to help identify new funding streams and new programs for these communities to help with those issues, right? So that we're not seeing such large number of folks coming into the shelter system unnecessarily. We're addressing it. You know, I have a tenant support clinic in my East Harlem office, and I started probably -- I don't know -- 2016ish. Yeah, about 2016. And I used to have the Constituent Services Division and most of my cases were driven by folks that were being pushed out, you know, were losing their NYCHA apartment. They were, you know, being threatened by their landlord, and that kind of support clinic has really been a gamechanger in my district. Those are no longer, right? The concerns that I'm hearing, not that they don't-that they don't continue to exist, but not at the volume that I was witnessing before that clinic, you know, was put in the district.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yeah, and thank you, Council Member. I'd love to talk with you more

2 about that, but we will work with the local CBOs.

3 | We'll use our area in DSS to work with the CBOs to

4 get the information out. I'd love to talk with you

5 more about that also.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

office.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I mean, because this is kind of like the mental health debate that we have, right? People are like, well, you know, we need to have-- we need to send people to, you know, their community-based clinic, and there are no clinics. When there are clinics we have no psychiatrists, right? So, it-- you know, there has to be more-- this has to be very intentional, right, very purposeful. We have to, you know, be able to identify. And again, because you're the driving force behind all of the data, then-- and it's centralized within you, you know, your agency, then it makes sense to me that that information that trickle into the budget cycle and conversations around policy and expanding. You know, it's very difficult when you're, like-- you know, I get folks that come into my office, because apparently there's an office at 105. It's 105 East 16<sup>th</sup> Street. 105 East 116<sup>th</sup> Street. That I think is a SNAP

office--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: 109 East 16<sup>th</sup>.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: 109, yeah. And so people for years since I've worked at this office have come to our office accidentally. At first I thought maybe the information was wrong on the paper, but I've since concluded that people just read really quickly, and they—you know, they don't realize that it's 16<sup>th</sup> and not 116<sup>th</sup>. But when they get to my office, often times I hear, "Oh, God, I don't have any more money. I had enough to get there and back home."

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Access HRA, I think they do come to your office. They could really apply on Access HRA the portable port where they make the applications. That's one of the things. So they actually don't have to go into an office to apply for public assistance, and they--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] But some people do, because some people don't have, you know, computers or they don't-- they're not computer literate, or they may not-- you know, in my district I have two libraries. Both closed.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Come into your

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Same 3 I mean, you know, they aren't really-- not effectively, you know, gauging where the needs are 4 5 going to be. But it is -- it's a problem, and the point that I try to make is that even when you have 6 one site that is working well, it would be nice to 8 have multiple sites that work just equally as well so that folks that are already burdened, that are already going through, you know, their own 10 11 psychological stress that are now-- you know, they 12 have children that have to carry their belongings, don't have to travel across the boroughs to get to 13 14 the south Bronx to be able to see an intake worker, 15 It's a-- it is emotionally overwhelming, and I think that it's really hard to explain it unless 16 17 you've kind of lived it. You know, and I have. 18 did. I remember spending, you know, I don't know--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Right

it must have been at least 24 hours at PATH at

Catherine Street when Catherine Street was open.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And I remember somebody preparing me mentally. Like, you need to go, you need to take food. You need to take water. You need to take a book. You need to talk a Walkman

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

at the time. Remember Walkman's? You know, something to entertain yourself, because you're going to be there for a long time. Being there with my son was another trip, you know? He was all over the floor. He was hungry. He was tired. He was restless. He was kicking. He was crying. He wanted to go home. You know, it just adds layers of defeat, if you will, right, to a person's spirit. And so, you know, we're not trying to frivolously spend taxpayer dollars on things that are not necessary, but trying to make these services more readily available to the people that need them. And while I don't have a specific complaint about the site. I've been there several time to the Bronx. I do believe that it's, you know, really unfortunate that they're not able to recreate that same experience at least in another borough so that folks that are living in southeast Queens can easily access that site if possible. So, the numbers are going to really be helpful. Now you also mentioned that when a person is at PATH, the-- in addition to, you know, to whatever other services, they also have access to HRA and SNAP, Fair Fares, all of those services.

Somebody's connecting them to those?

\_

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, we have at PATH-- and thank you for your story at Catherine Street. I remember those days Catherine Street and Kings County and really people spending multiple hours at intake, that we'd be able to shrink that. But we have HRA Homeless Diversion Unit, as well as my resource room where we have social services workers and social workers who'll begin the application for public assistance.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: At PATH?

At PATH.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER:

we also have now it's Access HRA that we're really doing that piece up front to really help them to open the cases while they're there, and that it— so we have that starting there at the front door, and then when they get to shelter placement, they've trained our providers to use Access HRA so that can continue there. If they haven't started there, that we're doing it on site when they get to placement. So we're laser-focused on public assistance making sure that we have our families connected there. So, starting at the front door. And while I was there, we had started that, but now we've really ramped that up in this year to make sure that we are paying

2 attention to get folks PA case, public assistance

know.

cases open, SNAP, cash, you know, whatever they quality for starting at that front door. So, HRA-CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Well, that's genius, because I mean usually it's-- you

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yeah.

underlying factors that are contributing to the situation, or programs and services that are not aware exist that they may qualify for. Are those services also available to migrant families that are coming in through PATH? I don't know if they're-- if you have any more families that are coming in through PATH at this point, but--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, if an asylum-seeking family comes to PATH, we do-- once we realize it's an asylum-seeking family, we do transport them to the arrival center. So, if they come through our front door, once we realize that, they're transported to the arrival center, so they're not processed at our intake site.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yes.

25

love them. They're so cute.

6

7 8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yeah, they are cute, right. So, Girl Scouts, and so they're doing a series of financial empowerment, you know, savings for these young ones, and so that's part of the Women's Forward initiative, and the second thing that we have is the pilot with New Destiny for 100 families with domestic violence in the DHS system with NYCHA Section 8 vouchers. So, they have navigators to help them to exit shelter. navigating to help them to seek permanent housing, and so there's lots of work happening there so that we could help them to get out. So, that's our twopart of that Housing Forward initiative that's happening within the Administration.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I would also say that you should plug in that we have as a Council voted out a number of land use projects that would make available a set-aside for homeless families, and the faster they're able to finance those, the faster you get to your goal of 2030. Just saying. Regarding the asylum-seekers families with children, Documented [sic] has reported that a number of families staying at WIN shelters received notices ordering them to transfer to another shelter within 24 to 48 hours.

Do you know anything about that and how many families

have in total have been asked to transfer?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, Council ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Member, since 22 when the crisis started, initially because we didn't realize how big this was going to happen, we were placing asylum-seeking families into a regular system, right, just-- and so that was started. And then we started to open asylum-seeker sanctuary sites. We just started to open those. so since 2022 we have been transferring families into these particular sites really dedicated to get -- you know, pay attention and get their services [inaudible] to that. Early today, mom talked about she'd been in a shelter, that that had not happened. So what we want to do is to have families kind of geared to that, because in a tier two that's not what our expertise has been, right? We're a regular tier I mean, I work in a tier two shelter. not my expertise, I can tell you that. That's not-we're all learning, but that's not what we're experts at. And so we have been transporting families to that. So, I don't have a number of that, but I do know, you know, 24 hours is not the right time. We should really be planned for-- and we should have

_	
2	
2	

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been 48 hours, should have been in the right

language. I'm not so sure what happened there. And so that is something that we have been doing. We've talked bout just making sure that we're getting these asylum-seeking families in a place where they can get those services. I am going to follow up after this, because I don't know what happened, mom that was talking about when she gets to this place. I'm going to-- I was texting during that testimony. I'm like, okay, I need to follow up on that one. But this is something that we've been doing. This is not something that's been-- that we'd started. So I know that that did happen, but it's something we have been doing since we started this, because we really wanted to get the appropriate service that's geared towards asylum-seeker so that they can get, you know, what they need to do and get resettled and get their services and get them working -- you know, opportunity to go to work, right? That's what I want us to be

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, I'm assuming that if WIN did this, it was under the advisement of DHS? I mean, --

able to do. So this is something that we have been

doing throughout, and we've talked about this.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 6
2	ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing]
3	Pardon me, I missed your question, Council Member.
4	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: They would WIN
5	wouldn't just decide arbitrarily that they're going
6	to send folks
7	ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] No

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] No.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: from, you know, from
their site--

2.2

2.3

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] This is--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: somewhere else, so they must have been given a directive.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: This is DHS, right. We, DHS, you know, WIN is our contracted provider.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, we do-- we are the transferring-- we make the transfers, right, so we say yes, transfer. And we should have done the 48-hour notice, but we have been moving families from the tier twos to really have them all in a dedicated-- a site that's dedicated to get the services that they need for the asylum-seekers. We've been doing that throughout our system to really have-- because

we now have two separate systems, right? We have the
DHS regular New Yorker system, and now we have the
CMT, the Crisis Management System which is what we
call it. Right? They're all under one leadership,
but I have a dedicated line this way with staff
that's now because it got so big. I didn't think
we've had 162, now 160 shelters. I never we never
thought that. And now because it got so huge, we had
to really make a whole arm that manages that. And so
that's what we were moving, you know families to do
that. We've been doing that throughout. This one,
you know, 24-hour transfer notice is for emergencies,
and it wasn't an emergency. So, we will follow you
know, we have since corrected that. And so I will
follow up on what happened with this particular
CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you know in

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you know in general how many families were asked to transfer? I mean, I'm assuming again, that this is not just WIN, that this is— this extends far beyond.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: I don't, because what we find that— you know, we don't track it like that because if I tracked by country of origin, we are concerned about privacy, right? Because if I tracked by council—

coming through, you know, to our-- our asylum-seeking

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

shelters, right? So when we opened those shelters, DOE does [inaudible] that we've opened those shelters, and then they're coming on site to really make those connections for school. There are interpreters available. We are -- at our asylumseeking sites, if there's no language, people don't speak the same language, we're really pushing to make sure that we have people how speak the language on site at these shelters, and we've done pretty good in-- you know, good enough in making sure that we have the language access, but we do use Language Line if needed. We have ASL if needed. I know there are wait time for language access is about eight seconds we found in 2023. So there's no real wait for that at this point. So, and we give referrals. H+H do-we use H+H's system to refer if we need to, and so we've made supports for all of our families, not just in the asylum-seeker part of our system, but in our entire system.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Now, you reminded me. I would really appreciate it if when you were looking into the 24-hour issue where folks were being transferred out, if whatever documentation they filled out was in their native language, because

2.2

2.3

I don't want to have to-- I would love to get to the bottom of that without having to FOIL that, because I find that highly problematic that folks are-- if in fact they are being provided with documents that are not in their native language and they're signing stuff, that essentially gives away their rights They need to know that. And you know, there's no excuse for not ensuring that that's the case. So, if you could just add that to the list of things that you're going to get back.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yeah, let me just say that we, DHS, we have 12 languages, right? So we should be making sure, and we'll follow up also,

Council Member, that we're using—we're making sure that we're giving forms in the language of people's choice. And so absolutely we'll follow up on that one.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Look, I think you guys have done the best that you can. However, I do think that, you know, when history retells this story that, you know, we're not going to be in the best position to be able to explain away how we displaced, you know, so many children who came in here innocently without consenting, without you know, so much as—

2	you know, saying a word, you know, giving any
3	consent. And we are taking them and this is my
4	this is and you know, and again it's not a critique
5	of DHS, because I understand that you also have to
6	follow rules that are set by individuals that have no
7	lived experience with, you know, any of this. The
8	fact that we're moving families with children out of
9	settings that would allow them a level of stability,
10	and then moving them into, you know, inappropriate I
11	would say settings in hotels, and you know, HERRCs
12	where they're now subject to 30 and 60-day so that
13	we're bypassing our right to shelter laws is to me
14	problematic. And I just I feel really badly for
15	these children, because I believe her when she says
16	to me that the children are getting sick off of the
17	food. I believe that. I've seen it. I've seen
18	people come and testify before our hearings in the
19	past few months. I mean, I had a gentleman come and
20	bring me breakfast. His breakfast consisted of a
21	yogurt with raisins. So, you know, I've learned to
22	ask more detailed questions, because when I ask, you
23	know, are people being fed, and I'm told yeah,
24	they're given they're provided with breakfast,
25	lunch and dinner, it never occurred to me to ask.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

well is the dinner that they're being provided the same day after day? Is it culturally-relevant? it warm enough? Is anybody checking into it to ensure that it was -- it didn't expire? And these are real things that people are living through, right? And then we are seeing also as a result of that, that people are one, not eating, not getting enough nutritional, you know, contents of food throughout the day, but then there's also a lot of waste, right, because folks are refusing to eat the food. And I have a mother that sits in front of a store every day with her children and refuses -- she says that the last time she ended up in the emergency room with her son with food poisoning, and so she sits in front of the dollar store and she begs people for not money but for food for her kids, and that's heart-breaking. Because if she was the exception and not the rule, then I-- you know, it's sad, but the fact that she is part of the rule is to me an indication of how badly we are failing these children. And regardless of whatever people may think of the adults that are making the decisions and are, you know, migrating here for whatever their own personal reasons are, these children are innocent and they are being, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

know, re-traumatized every single time that we're forcing them to leave a school, every single time that we're forcing them to leave a place that they now call home, every single time that they think that they're going to have to sleep on the street because they don't have anywhere else to go, because nobody did their due diligence to ensure that they had a place to go and just assumed that they may. So now, they have to continuously rotate through the system. I don't-- I can't justify that. And I just have to say that because I don't-- I want to be able to sleep at night and feel like I was complicit in a system that allow these injustices to happen. I'm a mother. My mother struggled with us as we were, you know, growing up. We were, you know, very poor. We were in shelter. The tier two that we lived in is on Houston and Columbia, still there. We moved in when it was brand new, and I remember what that felt like as a child. And I just-- you know, whatever the politics and the reasoning and the money and -- there's no justification for putting young children out on the street. You know, and even in the adults, with the adult migrants, I get it. it's a-- you know, we may look at that a little bit differently, but I worked

2.2

2.3

with a migrant— with two migrant young men, and it took me months to be able to get them an ID to be able to get them an attorney that they could see so that they could start their paperwork so that they can get their working papers. So it's a process that should be started on day one, right, so that then we're at least ensuring that they're exiting with the resources that they need to be successful in that journey, right, that we keep hearing about. SO, you know, I just had to say that. I sorry that I regurgitating that on you, but—

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] I know. I know you-- you said a lot, but could I just-

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yeah, I know. I know.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: You know, I think there's-- I mean, one of the things that's important to me, and I like you was very poor, and was [inaudible] and lived tripled up, a mom with DV, and you know, on the ledge of coming into shelter, but my aunt saved us. So, you know, I feel that I do this work because I connect with the humans that we work for. So, you know, I understand where you're coming

2.2

2.3

24

25

from, and I understand that piece of it. understand how hard it is to work in this space, and so we-- and on to my leadership, and I've been here for a long time, right? So, this is my 28<sup>th</sup> year sitting in DHS, right? So, I stayed because I loved the work and I do, and I want work-- you know, my folks hear me talk about raising the bar on services. And so, one of the things that's important to know that we're really -- and the food issue really focus and how do we-- what do we need to do about food and really focus on that. We have a nutritionist and my medical director who are really paying attention to, you know, looking at that food and the food service and what we need to that. So, that's something that we're working on. I'm aware of that, and we had a whole meeting yesterday and thinking about that. So, we're working on that. In terms of, you know, the DHS and the 60-day rule, that is not-- we're evaluating that that's not something that's happening here, and so those families are not going to be moved or transferred within DHS. We want on the beginning whether it's DHS system or asylum system within DHS to be thinking about exit strategy. We want to be thinking about asylum-seekers. How do we get them

will, you know, look at what happened. Notices need

25

2.2

2.3

to be, right, in the language that people speaking. I mean, I speak English barely sometimes. People hardly understand because of my accent, so I know. People who don't speak English, it's even harder, So, I understand we need to make sure that we're sharing information and the way people speaking that they understand what we're saying to them and that we get their needs met. So, you and I are on the same page, and so we'll [inaudible]. 

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, I believe that.

Can you just tell me what-- I mean, I think you heard also testimony from young women about the transfer situation in schools and how difficult that is. And actually, the mother that I was referencing to that sits in front of the dollar store, you know, she says that her kids have transferred out of school at least three times, you know, in this school year, and it's been horrible for them because they have friends in school. They have teachers that they learn to care for, and then they have to leave those environments as well. So what kind of coordination is taking place between DHS and the DOE in advance of these types of shelter transfers and shelter closings to ensure that children aren't missing school days or

having to transfer to a new school for example in May or June, and similarly when DHS intends to close a shelter, why can't DHS wait until the end of school year to do so in order to not cause the children to

6 be absent from school, transfer out in a year?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, for children ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: we-- when there's a need to transfer, typically we're trying to transfer within the borough where the children go to school, and the parents have their choice whether or not they're going to transfer their children, you know, if they choose not to. don't have to, and then the DOE and Office of Pupil Transportation to do busing for the younger ones. much as we can, if we have to close a shelter, a hotel, because for a variety of reasons, sometimes the hotel wants us to leave, and they may want us to leave and don't want us to say until the end of school year, right? There's just some reality. want us to leave now. As much as we can, if they want us to leave, we're trying to push to the end of the school year, end of June, to do that to make that a seamless closing as much as possible. There are times when that doesn't happen, but we do coordinate-- DOE coordinates with the family to let them-- you

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

know, have that opportunity to make that choice if
they need, but we're trying to transfer within the
borough where the child does go to school. So that
is something that we try to do, so they don't have to
leave the borough of the youngest child's school.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I mean, it's still happening, though. And what do you mean, if a hotel asks DHS to exit, aren't there contracts that are signed for a specific amount of time?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Sometimes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Sounds very

spontaneous.

2.2

2.3

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Sometimes there's a reason the hotel may not want to extend the contract, right? You know, a contract ends. They decide that they could do better business than us.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, hopefully you don't-- we're not entering into many more contracts, but--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] Hopefully, not.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [inaudible] We've learned to maybe, when there are families with children, to extend them through the end of June.

J

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Until the end of June, yes, and hopefully we can get real tier two's and we can get open sites and not have to use hotels. So, you know, I'm hopeful that we can get tier two's, because you know, we don't want to have to use hotels, and just-- you know, surging has happened, right? We've had to open hotels which we were very excited when we didn't have to, but right now we do.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I got that. So, theI'm going to move to PATH intake shelters. So the eligibility rate at PATH for families with children, or the proportion of families with children applicants found eligible has consistently been under 60 percent since 2017. Do you know the main reason for denial or why families with children were found ineligible in fiscal year 23 and 24?

families-- you know, it is for us, it is because of the state law. We follow the state regulations, regulatory compliance. We comply with them.

Families have to cooperate with the investigation.

There may be another housing option. Sometimes it is because-- primarily, those are the two reasons why families are found ineligible. They didn't cooperate

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Primarily,

2.2

with housing, with the investigation or there's another housing options. Those are the two reasons why folks are found ineligible.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Who determines that the housing option is viable?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, let me just kind of take a step back and kind of walk you through the process a little bit. When families are placed in the conditional placement, they have met with a family worker and they do present a two-year housing history. Our field specialists do meet with the placement, the friends and families they've resided with. They do go to the homes. They do meet and they do investigate. They do go to the houses, and if there's adequate space and there's no domestic violence and hater's no risk of violence in the home, they could be a viable housing option, right? It is space and safety that is viable.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And does DHS work with-- let's say you find somebody ineligible. You send them back to maybe their mother's house. They obviously-- maybe they're overcrowded. Maybe there are other issues in the household. Does DHS then

2 refer that individual to another program that can
3 help identify housing?

2.2

2.3

administrator carter: If it's overcrowded and it doesn't meet the-- if it's overcrowded and we determine it's overcrowded, we're not going to be-- it's not going to be viable, right? So, our field specialist follow the regulations of what OTDA says is overcrowded standards, right? So, if it's overcrowded and its standard as what overcrowded is, then it's not viable. So they are standards that we do follow based on what the regs say, and those are determine--those make the determinations for viable or not viable.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: If there's domestic violence in the home, if there's family violence in the home, intimate partner violence in the home, they're not— those housing options are not viable. They're not suitable.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so regarding the-- you mentioned the two-year look-back at previous housing, what's support is provided to applicants in obtaining, you know, the evidence that

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

they need specifically for people who may have difficulty getting acceptable proof or such as those who are previously unsheltered?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: The-- our staff do help with that, as well as if you're unsheltered. do get-- we will talk to-- if they're unsheltered on the street, we'll talk to store-keepers. Our outreach teams can verify that a person was unsheltered on the street. That's typically on the adult family side. Families are not-- we don't see families with children unsheltered on the streets. That's not something -- we don't see that. I could say that in my time at PATH or even here, that that's something that we're seeing, unsheltered families on the street. But our field specialists and the staff at PATH do help with that. That's some of the things that we're charged with to really help support. family has been in a hospital and they miss-- we do find-- we're supposed to help do that. You just can't say it's on you and you don't do it. We're supposed to help the family with that information to help to get [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, recently I learned that there was a pilot program at some-- I

don't know, maybe nine shelters -- that would pay 2 3 \$4,000 to migrant families that could-- that maybe have family in Texas, if they could relocate there, 4 5 and then there was some other type of monit -- I think it was like a gift card or something like that that 6 7 they would be provided. When-- assuming that a 8 family receives, you know, that payout and they leave the system and they go to Texas and it doesn't work out, and they end up back in New York City, are they 10

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] We can't turn you away. It's [inaudible] asylum moveout assistance pilot.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes.

no longer eligible for--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yes. If we help you through that pilot and you move out, we hope you do not return, but if you return, I'm not going to say to you, Joslyn Carter, you know, we gave you—and you can't come in, right? We have to honor that person if they need a unit, need a bed.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And I'll just go through this really quickly, because I know we want to get you out of here. But PATH clients, they can request a fair hearing if they feel that they've

2	been unfairly denied. How many fair hearings were
3	held in fiscal year 23 and 24, and what were the
4	outcomes of these hearings?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, one of the things is that we get our results from OTDA for fair hearings. Unfortunately, they don't break it out by families with children, single adults, or adult families. I don't have families with children by itself. I don't know if I could parse that out because it comes from OTDA. So, I don't have families with children fair hearing information, because OTDA just sends us—the state does our fair hearings. So, I don't have the families with children fair hearing results.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So you know the amount of hearings that were held, but you don't--you can't make the distinction of whether or not--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] Of who is families— which ones are families with children.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright, do you know how many fair hearings were held in general?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: I don't have that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you get that to

us? Reporting from the Institute of Children and

Poverty and Homelessness on PATH intake processes

noted that anecdotally client characteristics such as

English proficiency, assertiveness, and educational

level play a role in eligibility determinations.

What training is given to DHS staff undertaking these

investigations to ensure that all clients are

receiving the same level of clarity, information and

support, and what training is provided to ensure that

staff avoid unconscious bias?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: So, we believe--

we strongly in trauma-informed care, right, and making sure that we are meeting the family where they are. That's important to me. You know, I always see myself in these families. And so we train staff. We have monthly training with all of our staff. We have monthly training in how we're engaging our families. We have—you know, it's from top down. Every person that are in those trainings recognizing unconscious bias. We have our—from our training area, Training Department, we have training that happens at PATH and then I have a training at DSS, Department of Social Services area that does training. So, they're doing

training for us also just to make sure that we have

staff that's aware of what's happening for

themselves. So that does happen at DSS for the

5 entire agency.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is there a place where folks can make a complaint if they feel like they've been mistreated or-- I mean, I think--

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: [interposing] At PATH?

do it, because you know, doing-- providing social services is very difficult and the burnout rate is really high, you know, usually at DSS and DHS. The retention rates are pretty high. Most folks that I speak to have been there for over 20 years, which is unheard of, but I have witnessed interactions, right, between some workers and clients that are a bit harsh, right? And I think that with time people become desensitized, and so we want to make sure that that's not happening or that at least we're recognizing it when it does. And allowing that individual, you know, of an opportunity to kind of reassess whether or not this is something that they

want to continue to do. Is that part of the
training?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: It is. But let me just step back a little bit. That's -- one of the things that's important for us is thinking about staff also. So, when I got to PATH, and you know--I'm going to talk about myself a little. When I got to PATH, the folks in our -- because our folks stay, like you said. Our folks on the front line had been there for a long time, and so one of the things that I started to institute was pulling them off the front line, and having them come upstairs for some training and have managers work that front line, right, to give them opportunity to kind of talk about what's happening for them, because what I always say to this is I recognize where clients are coming from. know, what's happening with them and what they're giving to you is not -- don't take it personally. They've been through trauma, right? And so you're getting secondary trauma, so what happens to you? So, then I-- so what I have now-- and I am still a licensed social worker -- is having the personnel who is in charged-- first it was Ms. White who was also licensed, and now putting a social worker in that

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

role prior to me was an attorney. nothing against attorneys, but-- but you know, we want to put people who have some kind of, you know, clinical training to pull folks off the lien and have them kind of talk about how they're feeling, right? And we always thinking about families and who's coming in, but-right. So what we do now is pull folks off so that we can actually hear how they're doing because we want to also recognize how hard this job is, right? This is a hard job. And so that is happening, right? So that's one of the things. But you asked me about complaints at PATH. So, we do have a dedicated complaints line that clients can call. That, we have posted up, and if clients call 311 and talk about how bad we're doing at PATH, hopefully they don't do that, but if they do it get routed to the same complaint line. Then they [inaudible], right? there's multiple ways that our clients, our families, can complain and can leave kind of hopefully good things about us to, and it's addressed. Depending on the severity of what the complaint is really depends -- it really will tell us who is going to respond to that. So, those numbers are posted up. So we want to make sure that we get an opportunity. On the

floors at PATH now we have as you come off the
elevators, those you've been there. So when you
come off those, you know, counters are staffed now,
right? So, we want people when they come out to have
somebody to talk to. I'm now making sure people are
on those floors asking questions, getting people
getting their answers met, their questions answered,
and if there's something happening, they can find a
person to talk to so that they don't leave we don't
have the questions answered. And so there are
multiple places, multiple touch-points that we've put
in place now. And we you know, sometimes you don't
do it right, but I want to make sure that if we know
that we can, you know, fix it. And so we have posted
the numbers up. Staff is on the floor. I don't want
staff just in offices. I don't want a seventh [sic]
floor with people. I want people downstairs on the
floors, right, just kind of roaming the building. So
that's how we're changing the culture there. But I
also want to recognize how hard the job is. You
know, we stay and we stay because we love the job,
right? People who come in, I always say, you choose
to work here, I love you, but you choose to work here

because there's something about you. That's why, you
know, our staff stays.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Certainly not for the money.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Certainly not for the money, you're right about that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, I said-- it's important assessment I have too, maybe. I ao throuah this with my staff all of the time, too, because we-you know, we get people that come in and sometimes they're-- you know, they're down on their luck or they're just like -- and we're human beings. Like, I-- I already -- I know when I'm ready to step away for a little while, because you know, I get crankier and my fuse is a little bit, you know, shorter, but it's important to kind of be able to kind of regulate yourself and to check yourself right, and under -- and be able to identify like, okay, I need a moment here. I need a break, right? Because I'm worn out and I no longer care, and at that moment you're not helpful to yourself, the agency, or the people that you're representing. My final two questions are regarding the reporting of-- so, City Limits in May reported that over 60 percent of shelter exits were marked as

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unknown in DHS' system. Can you tell us what measures DHS has taken to capture exit outcome from families with children leaving the shelter, what outreach is done to stay connected with those families who were formerly in the shelter system?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: The families as they exit shelter, and most of our exits that we want to happen with subsidies, right? Because those are the ones that we find that stick. When families leave with a subsidy, they don't return to us. return rate is 0.6, and so they stay out longer. Those are the ones that we're-- some people may stay a little bit longer in shelter because they really need to get themselves much more stable before they Some families come in and leave, just you know, they come in and leave, and that's really-they make their own arrangements. We don't track We really track families who come those families. because, you know, if I decide I'm going to leave, I don't want people just kind of -- you know, I've decided I'm not going to stay, this is not the place for me, and I think people have a right to selfdetermination if they decided I don't want shelter. And so even if-- we used to have an aftercare program

early on in my career here, and when you call people
are like, why are you calling me? Right? So, I want
nothing else to do with you, but when people make
their own arrangements but for a permanent shelter
exit which are [inaudible] ready, more than they were
last fiscal year, they're the ones that sticking and
those are the ones that people are moving to
permanency, and those are the ones that we want to
have happen. Those who come through front door,
develop and exit strategy with our staff, get their
vouchers in hand, in hands quickly which we made a
lot of changes to make sure that happened quickly
get our processing in place, get a key, move home
not to permanency when I speak to families, because I
never say I'm moving to permanency. I always say I'm
moving home, and then they stay because they're
actually move with a voucher. Those are the ones
that we want to see. You know, those that make their
own arrangement and decide I want to go live with a
family or whatever else, I don't track them after.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But 50 percent is a pretty big number, right, and I mean, I would imagine that people are not just walking out like, peace, you know. They're saying to somebody I'm leaving, you

2.2

2.3

know, I'm not going to live here anymore, and that that somebody is asking, you know, maybe congratulating them or saying, you know, are you sure? Where are you going? So that folks have an understanding of whether they're leaving because they found something on their own, because they decided to go back to wherever they came from because they got tired of waiting, you know, and just decided it wasn't in their best interest. I think that information is helpful.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Yeah, hold on.

Could you-- I'm going to have to follow up on that

one, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, yeah. And then— the last question. So that same City Limits report noted that only 38 percent of exiting families with children secured permanent housing with a rental voucher. Do you have any insight into why so few families with children are succeeding and utilizing rental vouchers to leave shelter and enter the private market, and what can be done to increase this number?

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Well, what I would say to that is families exit with multiple means from

2	shelter, right? So not necessarily with just a
3	voucher. We have families who exit using NYCHA, HPD,
4	supportive housing, right? It's a variety of
5	exiting. One size does not fit all in terms of how
6	family leaves us. So, I think that and I haven't
7	read City Limits. I'm not so sure. That's why I'm
8	looking at my folks saying I'm not sure about this.
9	But I do know that it's not just vouchering that the-
10	- that families leave us. So there's a variety of
11	means that families leave. So, the City Limits and I
12	have to read it, you know, to be truthful. I do know
13	that families leave with multiple ways to exit
14	shelter. So we have families that are leaving,
15	right? We have, you know, at this point over
16	almost 5,700 families who have left, which is much
17	more than we have done in the last fiscal year. So
18	they're leaving, right? So if it's 38 percent or
19	whatever the numbers are, I know that they're using
20	different means to exit. So I don't want us to think
21	that every family is using just this one particular
22	means to leave our system, and you know, it's a
23	variety of ways that they are leaving shelter to
24	nermanency to go home

also have to mention that we're competing with a 1.4

percent vacancy rate in New York City. So, --

24

25

get to a place where we build some consensus here,

24

2 and any way that we could also be helpful, you know 3 where to find us.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you so much.

ADMINISTRATOR CARTER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We will now be moving over to public testimony, and if you give me a two-minute break. Okay, I now open the hearing for additional public testimony, and I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony may be submitted, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record. If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant of Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic.

If you have a written statement or additional written

2.2

2.3

testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant of Arms. You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov or other email addresses that—you know, mine, or the General Welfare staff within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted. We will now call the first panel. Will Watts [sp?], Deborah Berkman, and Doctor Rivera. You may begin whichever one of you wants to go first.

DR. XELLEX RIVERA: Thank you, Chair

Diana Ayala and the members of the General Welfare

Committee, for this opportunity to testify at today's hearing. I'm Doctor Xellex Rivera, also known as

Doctor X. It's easier, right? Chief Program Officer at Housing Solutions of New York. Housing Solution of New York is committed to ending homelessness in New York City. We accomplish our mission by delivering essential support services as well as creating holistic paths to employment and stability so that individuals and families experiencing homelessness can secure and retain safe, clean, affordable permanent housing. HSNY offers emergency transitional housing and support services with over

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

20 facilities in New York City through Department of Homeless Services. In FY 23, 75 percent of my facilities, 15 out of 20, are emergency housing facilities that service families with children which are mostly headed by single women. Thirteen of these families with children facilities are located in the Bronx and two are located in Queens. In the last year, HSNY has serviced 1,050 families with emergency housing and support. We would like to thank Speaker Adrienne Adams and the members of the City Council for their relentless advocacy and efforts to support unhoused New Yorkers. The recent increase in families living in shelter is due largely to the current asylum-seeker crisis which HSNY did partner with the City to address. HSNY has provided shelter for over 3,000 migrants with partnering to open five commercial hotels and working to transfer over to tier two facilities. To this day, two of the Brooklyn sites have transitioned fully to sanctuary sites with the continuing effort to provide resources for the new New Yorkers. Included in this number is over 200 families with children in areas that require two fair zones. Before I run out of time, I do want to indicate that we are in support of Intro 123,

2.2

2.3

Intro 124, and Intro 440, emphasizing the Intro 125, we do agree that the trauma that is imposed at the PATH center does have a lasting ripple effect when they enter the shelter system, and there should be a better way to streamline these services. A lot of the stuff that they collect in PATH, we're not necessarily privy to, and it does delay our ability to then service them when they come on site. I also want to indicate that we at HSNY offer culturally-sensitive food options to our asylees by our food and nutrition program offering pantry bags. Thank you for allowing me to testify.

DEBORAH BERKMAN: Should I wait until the clock starts? Good afternoon Deputy Speaker Ayala,
Council Members and staff, and thank you so much for the opportunity to submit this testimony. NYLAG overwhelmingly supports all of the bills on today's agenda, and I've written— I've submitted written testimony expanding on that support. But right now I want to focus on the experience of my new immigrant clients in DHS shelter. First of all, I want to thank you for all the questions that you asked Administrator Carter about the food, about the eligibility process. All of that is really

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

important, but I want to note that when new immigrants are sorted into the DHS shelter system, they are overwhelmingly placed in DHS emergency sanctuary site shelters. And while these shelters are operated by DHS, they lack the supports and resources of the traditional DHS family shelters that non-new immigrants are placed into. We've heard so many stories from our clients that highlight the way that these emergency sanctuary site shelters are deficient. Many clients are not able to enroll their children in school and haven't received help or quidance from the shelter staff, because they don't have a case worker. I have several clients who don't have enough beds to accommodate all the family members in their family. In fact, one NYLAG client family has seven families in a room with only two double beds. And one of the biggest challenges that our clients in the emergency sanctuary site shelters report is that their children can't eat the meals provided. And I was so happy to hear that you have culturally-appropriate and culturally-sensitive food, because that's such an important thing. But also, clients have reported being given food that is still frozen or has visible mold, only being served cold

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

foods or being served the same meal several times per day. The majority of our new immigrant clients report that their children experience gastrointestinal distress when they eat the provided meals which has led to the children losing weight, experiencing lightheadedness, headaches, and fatigue. Our team has worked with families whose children are under close medical evaluation due to the symptoms they experience as a result of the food they're provided. Two more points. It's very difficult for families when children have a medical need that has dietary restrictions to obtain a reasonable accommodation, especially when the accommodation needed is access to a kitchen. And particularly with clients who have children on the autism spectrum disorder who have problems with certain textures, that's a huge challenge for them. I also wanted to note that a lot of clients report to us that they have been subject to racist and xenophobic comments and behaviors directed to them by shelter staff, and I've written more about that in my testimony, but our request is that DHS sanctuary sites be funded and supported at the same level of all other families with children sites, and that staff at DHS emergency

Thank you. That's a

2

\_

3

4

•

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

1920

21

21

22

O 1

24

25

sanctuary sites undergo culturally competency training to ensure that our new neighbors are able to have a safe environment to live in. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA:

really good point, and I appreciate all the work you do, and thank you so much for, you know, for the It's been an issue, and I-- you know, I hear it from sometimes when we-- some of my colleagues, you know. Have you seen how much food is being thrown out, you know, thrown away in the garbage? And I'm like, have you eaten the same food every day for three months? I mean, I always remember -- this is a funny story. When I was in elementary school they used to serve, there was this fish-- I don't know if any of you went to public elementary school, they serve this fish that had like a layer of cheese underneath it. Oh, my God, it was so good, the bomb. And when I went to junior high school, you know, they didn't have that. They had hamburger every day, so the kids would go across the street, because we could go to the Chinese spot. They used to sell these little doll rice boxes. I'm aging myself. Or we could go to the pizzeria. When we got to high

school, we couldn't go outside, so we had to eat the
food inside, and they I went in the first day, they
had that cheese, the fish cheese, and I said, oh my
God, thank goodness. I haven't day one I had it.
Day two I had it. By day three I was done with the
fish. I was like, is this every day? Like, it was
that or peanut butter and jelly sandwich. You know,
so I get it, right? It almost feels like, because
we're poor or because we're coming from a certain
community we should be grateful that we have
something to eat in the first place, and it's just
so you know, it's just wrong. It's wrong, and I
don't want to be in the wrong, you know, side of
history when, you know, this story is told about how
we handle this situation. So, I really do appreciate
your taking the time to recognize that and make those
changes, because you know, it does make a difference.
Thank you. Thank you. Alright, next panel is Will
is Will Watts here? Will, there you are. Catherine
Trepani, and Maya Jasinka. I think you guys don't
want [inaudible] people anymore [inaudible]. I mess
up everybody's name. You can begin.

2

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

)

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WILL WATTS: I feel like ladies should go first. My mom and dad would be very upset with me if I didn't say that.

CATHERINE TREPANI: I always defer to the

Council's order, but I appreciate it Will. you. Thank you, Chair Ayala, for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Catherine Trepani, and I'm the Assistant Vice President for Public Policy at Volunteers of America. We operate five family shelters in the traditional DHS system that serve, I think, 430 families an additional 244 families in the specialized DHS sanctuary system. I have submitted written testimony that's much more detailed, but I want to talk a little bit about family intake. About 20 percent of the families in our DHS shelters are in conditional status at any given time. We find the intake process to be very arduous. It was interesting that they said it was faster. Our experience is that our families have to reapply multiple times. We've had some people in conditional status for up to six months, diverting our shelter staff that's supposed to be helping them with permanency to simply stablish their eligibility before they're even allowed to begin the path to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permanency. So, as you're aware, you're not eligible for CityFHEPS or any other rental assistance until you're found eligible. So we have people at our warehouse going back and forth to PATH on this vicious circle for months on end trying to establish their eligibility. So, I want to really thank the Council for your attention to the crisis at intake and all of the bills that you've introduced to make it a much more client-centered process. One word of caution about your bill that would allow children not to be present with the household at PATH. parent that was in our care who sent her children to school. She had no working phone, because she's very low income. When she reapplied to PATH, the process took so many hours that she was unable to pick her children up from school. Our shelter staff knew this mom and we picked her kids up for her and desperately tried to reach her at the PATH center to make sure everything was okay. We called all four numbers that PATH had relentlessly for hours, couldn't reach her. She couldn't reach us. Shift changed. We fed her kids dinner. We took them upstairs. It was after midnight, we finally had to-- we're mandatory reporters, and had to call ACS and Children's

J

2.2

\_ ¬

Services because there was nothing we can do, and they removed the children for that night, and when she got off the bus an hour later at one o'clock in the morning to return to her conditional placement with us, her kids were already gone. So, I would strongly encourage, and I know I'm over time, but to please prioritize the other reforms to get that intake system tight and efficient before, you know,—I could not in good conscious tell a parent to separate from their children unless they had ironclad childcare, just because of that—

UNIDENTIFIED: [background conversation]

microphone is on. If you could just please mute yourself. Yeah, I think that the intent of the bill is to kind of give parents an option so that they're not— they don't feel like they're forced to bring in their children, and if they have, you know, a family member that can stay with the children while they're going through that process, then it's a better alternative than dragging children into the site, but I get that.

CATHERINE TREPANI: Yeah, so more detail is in my testimony. I realize I'm over time. but

15

17

18

24

2 one other note on the other bill that's regarding 3 services, Intro 440 that would require eligibility

4 specialists, I just want to caution the Council on

5 trying to legislate program budgets outside of the

budget process, because what we often find is that 6

7 it's an unfunded mandate. So, if we were forced to

implement this without new dollars, we would just 8

wind up pulling a case manager off to satisfy this

requirement. It's actually in that reduction in 10

11 holistic services. So that would just sort of be an

12 issue, but more details in my written. I'm always

13 happy to talk to you and members of your staff, and I

14 really appreciate your advocacy.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We'll make sure to

share that with the sponsor. 16

> CATHERINE TREPANI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

19 [off mic] [inaudible] and MAYA JASINKA:

20 thank you for [inaudible] the opportunity to testify.

21 My name is Maya Jasinka and I'm the Manager of Policy

2.2 and Advocacy at WIN, the city's largest provider of

2.3 shelter [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I can hear you, but

25 is your mic on? It is?

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 MAYA JASINKA: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Oh, there you go,

yeah.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We operate 16 shelters in MAYA JASINKA: nearly 500 units of supportive housing across the five boroughs, and last night just under 7,000 people called WIN home, including over 3,600 children. Improving the intake experience at PATH is a top policy priority for WIN families according to a recent client survey. WIN families report that the process of applying for shelter at PATH is confusing and grueling, and that workers are insensitive and dehumanizing towards families, and there's more like actual client quotes in my written testimony. Worse, far too many families are found ineligible for shelter the first time they apply, unnecessarily forcing them to miss days of school and work. As one WIN parent told us, you can't keep a job if you keep on going back and forth to PATH. Experiencing homelessness is inherently traumatic, and entering the shelter system should not compound whatever trauma a family has already suffered. We seek policy solutions that simplify the process for a family to prove they need shelter and reduce erroneous denials.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

For example, Council should preclude DHS from requiring the presence of children at intake and reduce the housing history requirement from two years to on year. council should also pass legislation to make the experience of being at PATH less confusing and more humane, such as opening intake sites in more boroughs, hiring navigators who clarify PATH procedures, training PATH employees, and traumainformed care, and creating appointments to reduce wait times at PATH which would also help with what Catherine testified to. Finally, Council can pass measures to improve the shelter experience for families with children such as requiring public assistance eligibility specialists at shelters, and fully funding and implementing Local Law 35 so that families in shelter have the mental health services they need. Council should not neglect the opportunity to transform PATH into a tool for advancing the citywide goal of ending family homelessness. Thank you.

WILL WATTS: Thank you, Deputy Speaker

Ayala and other members of the Council, for the

opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Will

Watts and I'm here on behalf of the Coalition for the

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE Homeless and our counsel Legal Aid Society. 2 3 shelter eligibility rate constantly hovering around 40 percent for families with children, the ability to 4 access shelter continues to be one of our top concerns. Take for instance Lisa and her two 6 7 children who entered a conditional placement in September. Now, three months later she still had not 8 heard anything from PATH about her shelter eligibility or her eligibility for vouchers like 10 11 CityFHEPs. So, exasperated, she contacted our 12 office, and we learned that even though she had fled her abuser and shared that fact with PATH at intake, 13 14 she had not been contacted or evaluated by the NoVa 15 In fact, upon filing for a fair hearing, she 16 learned that the City was alleging that she had not 17 cooperated with NoVa. So, we advocated for her and 18 finally five months after entering her conditional 19 placement, she was found eligible for shelter and 20 able to move forward with her housing search. overcoming the hurdle of getting into shelter is just 21 2.2 part of the challenge for families with children. 2.3 Take for instance, Jane, who several months ago was

living at a shelter with her two-month-old son who

had just been discharged from the NICU with liver and

24

25

kidney issues. Jane was concerned of that her unit
was not safe. You see, there were rats, and Jane
feared they might enter her son's crib and hurt him
or otherwise jeopardize his fragile health.
Compounding this was the lack of heat which was
particularly problematic because her son's medication
made him more vulnerable to the cold. Jane raised
these issues with the shelter several times, and her
case worker had even seen the rats in her unit. Yet,
the situation remained unchanged until she contacted
us and we successfully advocated for her to be
transferred, and it only took five days for her to
get the transfer once we were involved. So while
we're generally we generally support the bills
introduced today with a few caveats that will be set
forth in our written comments, we remain concerned
that too many families experience barriers to shelter
or unsafe living conditions. No one should be
subjected to this, least of all, of course, our
children.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony. We did have some questions about the conditions and the capital needs,

but they were not able to respond to those, so we were not-- we were asked not to ask [inaudible].

2.2

2.3

WILL WATTS: We've just been seeing an increase in the number of conditions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: OH, yeah, no, yeah, yeah. We hear them all the time. People living with bed bugs and roaches, and you know, mice, and again it's-- they shame you for being poor, right? And like, be happy you have a roof over your head, but thank you so much.

WILL WATTS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The next panel, and I'm sorry if I ruin your name, Chloe Smith and Juan Diaz. You may begin.

afternoon members of the Committee and thank you for the opportunity to speak with you. My name is Chloe Smith and as Director of Programs at the Children's Health Fund, I lead CHS Healthy and Ready to Learn Program. Today, we're hearing about ways that New York City can better support families with children in DHS shelters. We're happy to support Councilwoman Ayala and others as they introduce bills that will make life a little less challenging for unstably

housed families in New York City. At Healthy and
Ready to Learn, we work in schools every day, and we
know many of the students and families whose lives
will be meaningfully impacted by these bills. We
understand that children need to be in school to
learn, not at the PATH center. They also need to be
able to see the board, hear their teacher to do their
best learning. They can't be distracted by hunger
from food that is inappropriate for them or for their
family, and they can't be distracted by tooth pain
and by other challenges. Children and families
don't' only need supportive policies, they also need
programs, services and other supports that ensure
that their health needs are met and that their
environments where they live, learn, and play are
safe, are connected and provide opportunities for
developing strong relationships with caregivers. HRI
provides such support. We work with schools and
parents to ensure that students are well-positioned
to thrive in school. HRL was developed by the
Children's Health Fund in 2014 as part of our mission
of supporting kids so they can thrive. Through our
current model, we leverage findings from our flagship
school PS49 in the Bronx and in Councilwoman Diana

2.2

2.3

Ayala's district, District Eight, to inform materials and training that we conduct with educators and parents citywide through our Resource and Training Center. Launched in 2017, the Resource and Training Center is an online platform that enables Children's Health Fund to scale our impact and reach students throughout New York City. It's HRL.nyc if anyone's interested in sharing it with the families that you work with. With eight seconds left, I just want to say thank you to New York City Council. HRL reaches thousands of families and educators throughout the City every year, giving students the best chance of succeeding in school and life no matter their housing circumstances.

JUAN DIAZ: Thank you, Chair Ayala and all members of the Committee on General Welfare, for today's—today's oversight hearing. My name is Juan Diaz and I'm a Policy Associate at Citizen's Community for Children, also known as CCC, a multi-issue children's advocacy organization. CCC is a [inaudible] of the Family Homeless Coalition, a group of organizations united to end family hunger in New York City. CCC applauds the City Council's efforts to improve the services within DHS shelters.

25

2 However, to improve the services, implement new 3 programs and retain staff, we urge the City Council 4 to support in calling the restoration of the Mayor's 5 2.5 percent PEG DHS shelter budget personnel lines cuts. As you know, DHS shelters reduce vacancies and 6 7 collapse multiple positions into one to meet the 8 Mayor's November's budget cut. We will continue to see service delays, longer shelter waits if the city does not act to fully staff these critical positions. 10 11 Now, I want to turn to the bills considered today. 12 CCC's happy to know that DHS will no longer require 13 children present at PATH. We strongly support Intro 14 0123 which will codify the reform to eliminate this 15 inhumane practice. We also strongly support the 16 goals of Intro 124 which will provide process navigator services at DHS into shelter center for 17 families with children, and also Intro 440 which will 18 19 provide -- require Department of Homeless Services to 20 designate eligibility specialists at shelters. 21 However, we urge the City Council to ensure adequate 2.2 funding if appropriate -- that adequate funding is 2.3 appropriated to implement these bills, because we're concerned that DHS shelter providers would have to 24

relocate funding and personnel to fulfill these

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 114
2	mandates. Lastly, we support Intro 0453 which would
3	require new shelters and intake shelters, therefore,
4	reducing the time of families that children have to
5	travel across town. Thank you for the opportunity to
6	testify, and I will submit more detailed information
7	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Were you
8	surprised to hear that DHS says that they don't
9	that their policy is that you don't have to bring
10	children in? Because I mean, when I go to PATH there
11	are, you know, so many children. They're all over
12	the floor, you know, driving their mother's crazy.
13	JUAN DIAZ: Yeah, and I previously
14	joining I work in social services and homeless
15	prevention, and often times, we used to serve
16	families that were residing double [inaudible] and
17	they had to go to PATH, and that was one of their
18	concerns they had to bring their children, take them
19	out of school, wait for long hours, and it's just a
20	traumatic experience for children as well.
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I agree.
22	JUAN DIAZ: As long as they have, you
23	know, childcare as

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: [interposing] Yes, absolutely.

24

JUAN DIAZ: as the Catherine mentioned.

3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. Thank you.

4

final panel will be Ibrahim Xavier Johnson and Sharon

Thank you so much. Thank you both. Our next and

6

Brown. You may begin. Just make sure that the light

7 is red.

8

IBRAHIM JOHNSON: Yes. My name is

9

Ibrahim Xavier Johnson. I'd like to thank Chair

10

Ayala. I do support the legislation that's proposed.

11

I just want to share briefly my experience at the

12

homeless shelter. I was sent to Bellevue shelter by

13

the VA. I am a veteran, and occasionally I do have

14

issues with homelessness from time to time, and the

15

VA always sends us to the shelter in New York.

16

That's how they do it here. I went to Bellevue

17

shelter. I was well-received. I was impressed.

18

That was the first time at that particular shelter.

19

I was impressed at the way the staff handled the

20

single men. However, there was an African family

21

that came there, a father with two sons, 15 and 16.

22

He was given the runaround because he had documents

23

in French. He was from Burkina Faso which speaks

24

French, and I live in Harlem. There's a lot of

25

people from that country. I would have just sent him

2	to the mosque. They would have helped him faster,
3	but the worker sent him back to the embassy, and by
4	the way, the embassy is in Washington, D.C. She
5	really meant the consulate. There's a consulate in
6	New York. The embassies are in Washington. He was a
7	little bit confused, but she told him he had to have
8	his birth certificates translated by the Burkina Faso
9	consulate. Now, this is three o'clock in the
10	afternoon, and he's walking around with two teenage
11	boys homeless that were sent to the men's shelter.
12	Now, apart from that, I watched them process a person
13	fresh out of prison. He was processed quickly. I was
14	taken care. I was a veteran. They had a veteran from
15	Florida, came in off the bus from Florida. First day
16	in New York, they sent him right to the shelter. He
17	was okay. They processed a person who had medical
18	difficulties. They helped him. They fed us. They
19	took care of us. I felt safe, and fortunately, a
20	family member found out I was in a homeless shelter
21	in New York City. They sent me a bus ticket to D.C.
22	They said get out of there. But fortunately I was
23	able to solve my problem. And just to wrap up, I
24	think the system works well, but it could work better
25	for families.

SHARON BROWN:

2

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that.

Thank you. Sharon, can you make sure that your light is on, the red light?

Yes, my name is Sharon

Brown. I think that the family shelters, they have rooms or apartment-style things for people to go to. When people come into the shelter, they-- some of them have different scenarios why they're there. Everyone doesn't need to go through six months or whatever to qualify for subsidies and things like if they come in there and they say they're having an issue with the landlord or something that can be solved by looking for an apartment, why don't they take them into their office and say let's get on the computer and start looking at apartments right now, and then have somebody take them out the same moment and look and see what they have? They have the financing. If I come in and I said I have the financing. I have a job here. I own a company. have children, they're in certain school. We want to go into this area. We just need you to help us out. Why isn't someone there to direct them directly out? They can go into the shelter house or whatever it is and stay there and lay down and relax until they're

2	ready to call them. Okay, the first day, second day,
3	the second week, let's go out and look at housing
4	now. Why do they have to wait for six months, a
5	year, and say oh, my gosh, housing, oh my gosh it's
6	so horrible. There are ads full of apartments,
7	house. Why aren't they sending them out to own
8	homes? Why are they only telling them come into a
9	shelter to stay? Why aren't they saying you have all
10	your resources, there's no problems? So let's look
11	and go out on an interview today. Let's call. If I
12	was looking for an apartment, what would I do? Get
13	on the phone. Hello, I want an apartment. Hello, I
14	want a house, and I would go that day. I'm going.
15	Why can't they do that when they go into these
16	places? If the person is prepared, they're having a
17	problem with their landlord or whatever, there should
18	be if people who immediately go out and look for
19	housing or all they need is a computer or something
20	like that. It should be something to fast-track
21	certain people.
22	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I know. I know. I

agree with you, Sharon. Thank you so much for coming to testify. Really appreciate seeing you.

SHARON BROWN: Okay.

25

23

2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright. Thank you
3	guys so much. We have now heard from everyone who
4	has signed up to testify. If we have inadvertently
5	missed anyone who would like to testify in person,
6	please visit the Sergeant's table and complete an
7	appearance card now. We are making a final call for
8	Zoom registrants who have not yet spoken. Any? No?
9	Seeing none, okay. If we inadvertently missed anyone
10	would like to testify virtually, please use the raise
11	hand function on Zoom and I will call you in the
12	order of the hands raised. Nope? Seeing no on else,
13	I would like to note that written testimony which
14	will be reviewed in full by committee staff may be
15	submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the
16	close of this hearing by emailing it to
17	testimony@council.nyc.gov. With that, this hearing
18	is closed. Thank you.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 12, 2024