CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

----- X

May 2, 2024

Start: 10:00 a.m. Recess: 11:15 a.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Gale A. Brewer, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Chris Banks Rita C. Joseph Shekar Krishnan

## APPEARANCES

Jocelyn Strauber, Commissioner for the New York City Department of Investigations

Nakeeb Siddique, Supervising Attorney with the Legal Aid Society's Harlem Community Legal Office

Christopher Leon Johnson

private citizens appointed by the City primarily to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS enforce orders from civil court cases, including collecting on judgments, towing, seizing utility meters, and carrying out evictions, which is fortunately or unfortunately what they're known for. Marshals collectively perform approximately 25,000 evictions per year. Obviously, during the pandemic, it was much less, and we'll see what it is today. Their work and oversight of it are of great interest to tens of thousands of New Yorkers. As private citizens, not City employees, Marshals tend to have their most profitable years during times of economic hardship for many others, which of course is challenging for all of us. Critics claim that this system, in which politically connected citizens appointed by the Mayor, and obviously that could be discussed as whether they are politically connected, they're often known to each other in any case, they are allowed to keep a portion of the debts that they collect, and that could incentivize aggressive debt collection strategies. The Marshals recorded their highest grossing year in 2009 during the worst part of the Great Recession. The City's 31 Marshals collected a net income of about 11.6 million in 2022, which was a lot less than in 2009. The New York City

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Today, I look forward to hearing from the Department of Investigation and interested stakeholders regarding the agency's oversight of the City's Marshals. This Committee seeks to learn how

2.2

2.3

24

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

6

2 DOI conducts its oversight, the financial and other

3 resources DOI has at its disposal to conduct its

4 oversight of Marshals, and recommendations that DOI

5 may have regarding the overall performance and future

6 roles of the City's Marshal and, if there's anyone

7 from the public, their experiences interacting with

8 the Marshals would be helpful and any improvement

9 ideas.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I would like to thank all of the

Committee Staff for their work on this hearing,

including Nicole Catá, who is the Legislative

Counsel; certainly Erica Cohen, Policy Analyst; Alex

Yablon, Policy Analyst also; and Owen Kotowski,

Finance Analyst. I'd like to thank Sam Goldsmith from

my office.

We will now hear testimony from

Commissioner of the New York City Department of

Investigation, Jocelyn Strauber, and I will turn it

over to Committee staff to swear in the Commissioner.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATÁ: Thank you, Chair Brewer. We will now hear testimony from the administration.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I will administer the affirmation. Commissioner Strauber, please raise your right hand.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATÁ: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

morning. My name is Jocelyn Strauber, and I serve as the Commissioner of the Department of Investigation.

Thank you, Chair Brewer, and anticipated members of the Committee on Oversight and Investigations who may join us this morning for the opportunity to discuss with you DOI's oversight role of the New York City

Marshals. As I will explain, and as you alluded to in your statement, Chair Brewer, DOI's authority and oversight of the City Marshals is conferred by state law through delegation by the First and Second

Department of the Appellate Division. DOI is thereby authorized to supervise the Marshals, which includes the investigation of their conduct and activities and

the issuance of procedures for performing their official duties. The Appellate Division retains a role in DOI's oversight of City Marshals and, for example, must be notified of and, in some instances, approve DOI's directives to the Marshals. DOI's authority does not extend to substantially affecting or delaying the court orders that the Marshals are tasked with executing. Today, I will describe the scope of our authority with respect to the Marshals, as well as their roles and responsibilities.

New York City Marshals are officers of the New York City Civil Court, tasked with enforcing the orders issued by that court. They are not DOI or City employees, and they operate their businesses independently of the City. State law vests supervisory and disciplinary authority over the Marshals in the State Appellate Division for the First and Second Judicial Departments. Those bodies have delegated their day-to-day authority over the Marshals to DOI, mainly through the two joint Administrative Orders, 453 and 456, which generally define our authority. I have attached those to my testimony today.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Pursuant to State law, City Marshals are appointed by the Mayor for five-year terms. The law allows the Mayor to appoint up to 83 Marshals. There are 28 City Marshals today. The Mayor's Committee on City Marshals handles the Marshal appointment process. The Committee consists of 15 members, six selected by the Mayor, six chosen by the Appellate Division, and three selected by law school deans in New York City. The Committee establishes criteria for City Marshal appointments, recruits candidates for that office and, after vetting candidates, recommends appointments and reappointments to the Mayor.

With respect to DOI's role, and beginning with the appointments process, DOI provides administrative support to the Mayor's Committee on City Marshals. That includes receiving and applications and resumes, organizing interviews with applicants, conducting background investigations of candidates, preparing reports of a Marshal's history in office for re-appointments, and preparing letters of recommendation from the Committee for the Mayor's review. DOI's oversight of City Marshals commenced in its present form in 1968 when the Appellate Division for the First and Second Judicial Departments

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 they are deemed approved unless the Appellate

3 Division nullifies them.

> DOI has also prepared a Handbook of Regulations, which was last updated in 2013, set forth in DOI's directives to the Marshals through the years, and is publicly available on our website. The regulations developed by DOI generally relate to Marshals' official conduct, discipline, recordkeeping, and the execution of evictions and levies. DOI is completing an updated version of the Handbook to reflect statutory changes that have been made over the past decade as well as adding to the Handbook the DOI directives issued to the Marshals since 2013. The Handbook of Regulations must be reviewed and approved by the Appellate Department as well as any substantial policy changes therein, if any.

> DOI carries out its oversight of the Marshals through its Bureau of City Marshals, a fourperson unit within DOI consisting of a Director, Investigator, Auditor, and Administrative Assistant. The Unit reports to DOI's General Counsel. In addition to the responsibilities described above, DOI's Bureau of City Marshals engages with the civil court regularly with regard to matters of eviction,

4 and assisting the court with decisions regarding

citywide eviction suspensions due to weather or other

extraordinary circumstances such as the COVID-19 6

7 pandemic. DOI's primary role in these matters is to

8 convey to the Marshals the directives imposed by the

courts. DOI also shares that information with the

public via our website. 10

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Bureau of City Marshals also conducts investigations into allegations concerning Marshals' conduct. Those investigations have resulted in discipline ranging from informal warning letters, letters of admonition, and letters of stipulation. The Bureau receives complaints from members of the public, including individuals subject to eviction. Investigations of a Marshal's conduct are handled much like any other DOI investigation. We may review relevant documentation, collect evidence, including where available, video or photographic evidence, and interview witnesses among other investigative steps, depending on the nature of the matter and follow the facts as we do in every case. DOI has authority to impose penalties, including suspension of a Marshal's

resulted in a Marshal's resignation.

2.2

2.3

badge, a monetary fine, forfeiture of fees collected, and removal from office. DOI cannot make unilateral decisions regarding removal of a Marshal and must file charges with the Appellate Division regarding these matters. DOI must also make the Appellate Division aware of any stipulations entered against a Marshal, such as a stipulation ending in a Marshal's resignation. Since 2019, DOI has received 550 complaints, conducted 30 investigations, and taken disciplinary action against 11 Marshals, including a disciplinary stipulation in November 2019 that

about the Marshal's responsibility to execute evictions and collect money judgments as well as information about DOI's oversight role. With respect to the work of the City Marshals, as officers of the civil court, Marshals primarily enforce court orders, including warrants of eviction, collections of money judgments, and utility meter seizures when a utility company has received a judgment to reclaim their meter from customers who have failed to pay their bills. Marshals participate in the Boot-Tow program for the City Department of Finance, enforcing

judgments for the City's Parking Violations Bureau by placing a boot on vehicles for seizure due to nonpayment of violations, including parking tickets and camera violations. Marshals also conduct vehicle auctions of towed vehicles that have not been reclaimed and that have outstanding judgments. The Department of Finance promulgates a standard operating procedures manual, which includes the rules and regulations under which participating Marshals

2.2

2.3

Some Marshals also enforce the collection of Environmental Control Board fines on behalf of the Department of Finance. Marshals do not serve summonses.

operate in the Boot-Tow program.

Marshals can be hired by private

litigants to enforce judgments issued in their cases,
and in that event they are paid by those litigants.

The Department of Finance and the New York City

Housing Authority, as noted, also hire City Marshals
to execute judgments. Marshals' fees are set by state
law and are specific to the services that they

provide. By law, Marshals retain 5 percent of any

money they collect on court-ordered judgments. They
are required to remit an annual assessment fee to New

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 York City consisting of 1,500 dollars plus 4.5

3 percent of their gross income. Over the past five

4 | fiscal years, those annual assessments have brought

5 | in nearly 6.2 million to New York City's revenue

6 base.

2.2

2.3

Marshals perform some of the same functions as the New York City Sheriff's Office, whose staff are City employees under the umbrella of the Department of Finance. The Sheriff's Office can enforce eviction orders of both the Supreme and Civil Courts. The Marshals can only enforce eviction orders of the Civil Courts. The fees for City Marshals and the City Sheriff are the same, and the Sheriff's fees also are set by state law. It is important to note that DOI does not direct work to the Marshals and does not and cannot influence how many and what type of orders the Marshals enforce. The nature and quantity of a Marshal's work is based on those who hire them.

Each Marshal annually submits to DOI

their fees and their yearly financial statement, a

month-by-month summary of all sums collected and

disbursed by the Marshal, and a statistical summary

of the Marshal's official activities in the preceding

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Calendar Year. The Bureau of City Marshals audits

3 those financial statements and reviews any requests

4 for any unclaimed funds, which are generally funds

5 where, for instance, an employer garnishes more wages

6 than the debt requires or a car has been sold at

7 auction for more than the outstanding judgment.

With respect to evictions, City Marshals are authorized to carry out eviction orders by the civil court, which is where most eviction cases are brought in New York City. The City Sheriff is also authorized to carry out civil court evictions, and private landlords, the Department of Finance, or NYCHA can hire a City Marshal or the Sheriff to carry out an eviction order. DOI plays no role in that decision. Pursuant to state law, when a City Marshal is asked to carry out an eviction, the Marshal must first request that the court issue a warrant of eviction. After a warrant is issued, but before it is executed, the Marshal must serve the tenant with a notice of eviction and then wait 14 days to carry out the eviction. In cases where a tenant would like to delay an eviction, their recourse is to move for a stay on the warrant of eviction in housing court. Alternatively, a tenant can communicate with their

occurred during the pandemic. DOI understands the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 18

critical and sensitive nature of evictions and is

discussing with APS whether there are any other

proactive steps that DOI and APS can take, consistent

with applicable state law, to ensure that all tenants

6 facing eviction who need social services are captured

7 | through the existing APS referral procedure.

2.2

2.3

Evictions executed by City Marshals are searchable as part of an online public portal that is updated by the Marshals and exists both on DOI's website and on the City's Open Data website. In 2023, there were 13,521 evictions executed by City Marshals in the five boroughs.

judgments, both a City Marshal and the City Sheriff's Office can collect on those judgment orders. Both can execute money judgments from the New York State Supreme and Family Courts. To collect on a money judgment, a City Marshal must be given a court document called an execution, which can be provided by an attorney or the court clerk. This document provides the Marshal with legal authority to enforce the judgment and collect an individual's income, money, or other personal property. To begin the collection process, the Marshal must mail a notice of

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 state statutes dictate precisely how a money judgment

19

5 can be collected and what can be collected, and City

6 Marshals are bound by these statutes. Certain

7 properties, such as welfare and social security

8 payments, household furniture, and a resident's

9 security deposit held by a landlord or utility

10 company are exempt from collection under the law.

I hope that this testimony begins to shed light on an extremely complex area that, as you note, impacts New Yorkers at a very critical and emotional moment in their lives and also provides some fundamental information as to the law governing City Marshals and DOI's oversight. I am happy to take any questions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much for shedding light, I think, on a topic that most New Yorkers do not know, and I just, interesting that you should be pursuing the APS angle, because even though, and I did not know this, that there is a relationship between APS and the Marshals, but I can tell you we have two cases this week where it is clear, and I'm not going to mention the names of the

2 Marshals, but they were not aware that the

3 individual, one mentally ill, one 81 and not in good

4 shape, they were not referred to APS so we did it

5 | with our own, but that's a problem, because in both

6 cases, they're rent-controlled apartments paying 300

7 dollars a month and, of course, we think that that

8 might be why they are under this circumstance so I

9 | think that APS link needs to be strengthened much

10 more. Even though you mentioned there were so many

11 | referrals, in these two cases, it wasn't clear that

12 | there was, the owner of the building did not make it

13 | clear that there were issues with the residents, for

14 | obvious reasons, and the Marshal had not referred to

15 APS.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

I just want to make that, you really

17 | need, somebody needs to work on that.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yeah, and one possibility here is that it can be the case, and it should be the case, that in the housing court proceeding, some of this information comes to light, and that can be another mechanism for referral to APS. That does not always happen, and one of the things that we're considering is whether there's

guidelines or directives that we might provide that

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 21 would help the court identify when a litigant is before them for a reason relating to mental health, infirmity, etc. Now, sometimes litigants don't always appear in court, and a default judgment can be entered so there's a lot of complexity here in terms of who has the information, but when a Marshal arrives at the site of an eviction, even at that point, if they discover that the resident is elderly or unable to care for themselves, what happens routinely in that circumstance is they reach out to our office and confirm with us that the appropriate course is to prefer the matter to APS so that can happen even as far along in the process as the actual eviction itself. We agree with you that that's not the best way for it to happen, and it would be much better for that referral to occur well in advance so that APS has the time that it needs to address the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

needs of the resident.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, something to work on. I know you talked a little bit about the oversight of the Marshals and what is entailed. Is there anything that you wanted to add to that? In other words, there are not a lot of Marshals now compared to in the past so the four people that you

do have, is that enough to have the kinds of oversight that you think is appropriate? I was wondering if you think there should be more Marshals. Is the relationship enough to have that kind of oversight, though, would be my first question, and is there anything, you've talked about APS, but any

other kinds of oversight that you think should be

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

involved?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: With respect to the staff that we have and the current number of Marshals, we think that that it is sufficient. I mean, obviously, DOI always could benefit from more staff in all areas, and the workload is significant, but we have a very strong group who are handling the Marshals, and one thing that I do want to highlight, just to make sure that it's clear, is that on an annual basis, we are able to audit the financials of every single Marshal. Now, obviously, the audit is a sample of the work that they do. I'm not saying we look at every single document, but the goal there is to ensure that their financial records are consistent with the court orders that we have, that they should be enforcing, and that the amounts that they are retaining and dispersing are consistent with the law,

and again, certainly in light of the current number

of Marshals, we feel that we have sufficient staff to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cover that work.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I want to welcome Council Member Rita Joseph.

There are 31 Marshals, as you indicated, but the Mayor could appoint as many as 83. Do we know why there is a discrepancy? Now, of course, if you're a tenant and you are concerned about tenant rights, you would say 31 is fine, you don't want any more.

I'm just saying, or if you own a car and you don't pay your tickets, then you would say that, but I just, I want to know, that's a pretty big discrepancy as to what's possible. Is there any discussion? Are there enough Marshals to carry out the required work?

2 Is there some discussion with the Mayor's Office

3 General Counsel as to why they're not appointing

4 more, etc.?

2.2

2.3

5 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I actually have 6 the number as 28.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: 28, okay.

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: You and I seem to} \\ \mbox{have a slight discrepancy there.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: 28, yep.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I understand that
the Mayor's Committee on City Marshals, the current
Mayor's Committee, was formulated this January, and
so they are undertaking an appointment process. It's
actually expected to begin this month. This is a
matter within the Mayor's discretion in terms of the
number of Marshals to appoint and, although we
provide support in that application and selection
process, we are really not involved in the
substantive questions of how many Marshals there
should be. If issues were brought to our attention
that suggest there weren't enough, we could obviously
raise those, but that's really not something that
we're involved in or taking a position on.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. It is a little strange, this procedure, that you are a private citizen, it's state-enforced, not city, so if there are any changes, it would have to go through the state, but you mentioned that sometimes the Sheriffs do the work, sometimes the Marshals do the work. So my question would be, obviously, they get to keep, as a Marshal, 5 percent of any money they recover for the City. This would say to some tenant advocates that that makes an incentive to be aggressive about the debt collection strategies. Have you seen any of that? I think you indicated that in audits you have not seen anything like that.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We have certainly not seen in the audits that we've done that someone is sort of over-collecting or taking more fees than they're entitled to, certainly as a general matter.

In terms of aggressive strategies,
historically over the years, we certainly have
received some complaints that a Marshal is not
behaving the way we would want them to behave in
terms of their interactions. It's not saying it's a
frequent thing, but we have received those complaints
historically, and we address those with the Marshals,

2 and they can result in the different forms of

2.2

2.3

3 discipline that I mentioned but, overall, we are not

4 seeing issues that suggest that there's unlawful

5 strategies being used, unlawful retention of funds,

6 any kind of over-collection. We are not seeing that.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So there's no indication that the Marshals are collecting, that they're collecting money that's actually owed and nothing above that. There's been no indication of that.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Not in the audits that we've done, no. We have not seen that.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Do you ever do what I would consider sort of an investigation in the sense of just calling the offices, because that's the complaint that I also get, not that there's anything illegal, but just getting somebody to respond at the office, the professional way in which that communication takes place. I don't know, are there 28 different offices or are some of them shared? How is that done? Because when one is in the field trying to get a discussion going with the Marshal, you have to call an office and, as I indicated in talking to many tenant advocates I called, they said some are really

2 polite and very professional, and others are not so I

3 didn't know if it's 28 different offices or is it

4 | shared?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I don't know how the individual offices are structured. I know that each Marshal has a staff, and I believe by law and by our handbook, they are required to have regular office hours of eight hours each business day, and those hours have to be posted at the entrance to their offices. If they close their office before 5 p.m. on a business day other than a public holiday, they can't enforce eviction warrants the next day so there are certainly incentives for them to maintain the office hours as required, and they have to notify DOI 24 hours in advance if they intend to close their offices so, obviously I can't speak to the fact that someone may call and not get anyone at any particular time. There are only 28 of them so they are busy, and they may not be available at every moment that someone calls. Thank you for that note. I understand that they do maintain different offices, but some do share physical office space, but each does have their own office, so there wouldn't be like a general phone number, for example.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, I know. There are individual numbers that we're very aware of. I'm just saying at some point it might be worth doing, just calling to see whether they are answering and how professional the staff is. Something, again, pretending you're the public, even though they're private, they are certainly doing a public good or service, I don't know if it's good depending on what they're doing, that needs to have attention. I'm letting you know that that is an issue that we get a lot of complaints about. I don't know if it's good or bad. You know, this is kind of funny to have less revenue coming in, but in 2010 it was 21 million, as you know, in 2022, it was 11.6 million, and obviously, since the pandemic, the revenue has been decreasing. Now, in other cases, we might say, oh, this is a terrible thing. In this case, we might say, oh, good, nobody's getting evicted, but I don't think that's true so I assume that the revenue is down because there are fewer evictions. Are there other reasons do you think? Why would the revenue be down? Fewer Marshals? Do you have some sense of that? COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Well, certainly in

terms of the City's assessment fee, that went way

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 29
down during COVID. It is higher this year so far in
Fiscal '24, and it was higher in Fiscal '23 than '22
so it's gone up to some extent since COVID. It is
down overall from a decade ago. You know, in terms o
the individual revenue, that could in part be a
matter of how they staff and pay their staff so it's
a little hard to say. In terms of the City's
assessment fee going down, that I do think is relate
to the fact that there have been fewer evictions. I
think we're still, even though we've gone up since
COVID, I think there are fewer than there were, and
there may be other reasons. It's a little hard for m
to speak to that exactly, the distinction between
what's going on now and in 2010

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: In the past, my understanding is some Marshals made, I don't know, a million dollars, and some obviously less. How does that work? In other words, how does the work get distributed? Is it I'm in Brooklyn and somebody else is in the Bronx? Is it whoever gets the call? Is it the court makes the assignment? How does the work get distributed? Like, how would one person make a million and somebody else less?

2 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: The court, as far 3 as I'm aware, doesn't make these determinations. 4 These are private litigants, or to some extent, in the limited ways that I mentioned, City agencies who are selecting whether or not to use a Marshal, and if 6 7 so, which Marshal to use. We don't have any 8 involvement in that process and, therefore, I don't have any insight unless anyone wants to pass me a helpful note, but I don't think we have any insight 10 11 into how certain Marshals have more business than 12 others. Perhaps they've been established longer, they 13 have more contacts in the community, but I'm really just speculating here. 14

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I didn't know.

I would say, and did you indicate, maybe you did in

your testimony, the percentage of revenue generated

from evictions, or is that something that is hard to

delineate from the other?

numbers by type of Marshal action, so that is not information that I have. Anecdotally, we may be aware that certain Marshals do more evictions, other Marshals do more types of work, just based on our

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 | contact with them, but that's our ad hoc sense.

3 | That's not a hard number figure.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. So basically, the way it works then is that if you are an owner or an agency, be it NYCHA or, like you said, Department of Finance, and you have a relationship with a certain Marshal, then you would call that person to take care of whatever business it is that you're concerned about, or maybe that person has an expertise in that business.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Like I said, it could be. I don't know if they rotate in terms of a list. I just don't know.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I have to say it's a little strange. I'm just saying, a little strange.

Do you think there are state-level changes to any laws that would make it easier for DOI to conduct oversight, or do you feel you have enough authority?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We feel we have enough authority. I mean, we're certainly open to other thoughts, if there are concerns out there that we're not aware of, in terms of conduct, but I don't

2.2

2.3

think, at the moment, we have any proposals for state law changes, no.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and do you think that there are trends in Marshal performance over time that you feel like, you've done all the evaluation necessary, but, again, are there any recommendations based on the oversight that you have done that could improve the way that Marshals do their work, or do you think that would have to come from the state and from the court?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Well, fundamental, substantive policy changes in how the Marshals do their work, at a minimum, would have to be approved by the Appellate Division, and something potentially more significant would be a matter of state law. As I mentioned, we are thinking about the problem that you discussed as well, which is how to make sure that there's better information going to APS about residents' issues that might warrant a delay of an eviction so that's one of the main issues that we're thinking about right now in terms of areas where there could be improvement. That's not necessarily really a change in oversight, but it's a process-related issue.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and I assume you haven't, because this would be a state issue, but have you ever thought about whether, have you done an evaluation whether it would make sense for the government to take the Marshals in-house? Obviously, that would increase revenue, which I did not realize until I noted the IBO report, and keep, although there have been no abuses during your term, there have been in the past. Do you think that would still enable the Marshals to enforce judgments? Would there be advantages, basically, to having sort of local sheriffs? Maybe you can't comment on this, because I know this is a state issue, but I just didn't know if

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: It's really not something that I've studied, and it's really not at the moment, part of our role. I have seen, I think, some of the same literature that you've mentioned that suggests that the City might have an increase in revenue. On the other hand, there are other costs associated with making someone a City employee. I haven't really gotten behind those numbers, so I can't even say if they're accurate.

that's something that had been thought of.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. What kind of trainings do Marshals undergo in order to do their role? Who trains them, and where do they train if they do train?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: They're trained by

our office and by officers of the civil court. That's actually a requirement in the joint Administrative Order, which lays out some of the types of training that they're required to have, and it's on the various types of work that they do. Let me see if I can, so, for example, there's a series of lectures that they're given by, again, members of our office and by officials from the civil court, and they really relate, I mean I can read them, but they really relate to all the areas of the work that they do so maintaining books and records, how to execute warrants, the bank accounts they're required to maintain, how they maintain their financial statements. They have to either attend all the lectures in person or they can review a tape recording of any lecture that they might have missed. They also receive a copy of our handbook, and they receive every directive as we issue them. We not only post them, but we send them out to the Marshals, and

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member, do you have questions?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Yeah, just one question. Thank you, Chair Brewer. Good morning. Happy to see you always. Thank you for this important work.

I just have a question. DOI budget includes funding for Marshal programs. Could you please provide some information about what is encompassed within the funding for that program? Can you just break that down for us? Thank you.

all personal services, so at least the numbers that I have, and they may be a little different than the numbers in your report. They're not that far off. I have a personal services budget of 266,000 per year.

and by law school deans, and they are currently in

and there's a requirement that if for whatever reason

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

a Marshal can't attend, they can then review a tape recording. I would assume if there were trainings

4 during COVID, which I can't speak to ...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, I'm talking about now.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: But the rule is that they're in person, and I think that's the standard.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. One question that Legal Aid is asking is that legal providers are reporting that Marshals are refusing to tell them when a notice of eviction was served. We want to know if the Department of Investigation would require the Marshals to upload a copy of every Marshal's notice they serve or re-serve, whether by mail or personal service, on the website within one business day of completing the service. Is that something that you have considered?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We are in the process and have in the past worked on a portal that Legal Aid would be able to access or that HRA, which is responsible for coordinating counsel in these circumstances, would be able to access that could include that information. I believe there was some

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2.2

2.3

work done on that in the past. It's not clear to me
that that portal is currently...

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: It is not.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Working as we would like it to work so that is something that we are working on. That is different than issuing a directive to the Marshals that would require that, which would be a different policy issue, but I think the point is that we are trying to make sure that there is an accessible way for that information to be available to counsel when they need it.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: What is the timing on whatever you are planning to do with the portal?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Our efforts are ongoing right now as we speak. I don't think that I can give you a specific timeframe for when that can be up and running, but we are working on it right now.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Do you meet or has there ever been a meeting with some of the Legal Aid and others, HRA, perhaps to talk about APS, to discuss the role of other ideas that might soften the blow for some of these challenges that are faced by individuals? Do you have those kinds of discussions?

2.2

2.3

contact with all of those folks, and we are certainly open to hearing ideas that they have to improve how the process works. Like I said, there may be limitations on our ability to affect those changes, but we are certainly open to having discussions with them and hearing what the concerns are.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Have there been

Marshal appointments during your tenure, or are those

28, I understand we are now waiting for new

appointments, but as far as you know, there haven't

been any new Marshals appointed in the last couple of

years.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: There have not been.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Do you have any sense of what the quality or criteria is for being a Marshal? Is that something totally in the Mayor and the court? Obviously, you review, but is there some criteria for those who are Marshals? I don't know. I'm just asking.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: There are criteria, and we also do a background check, but I don't know that I have a list of...

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We want to welcome 3 Council Member Banks also.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Thank you. Okay. So there are minimum qualifications set by law. Those involve age, high school diploma, being a U.S. citizen, and being a resident within New York City and then a number of the outlying counties. The Committee considers candidates that have proven records of occupational and educational achievement so these are folks who have established themselves generally in various areas. We've already discussed the training course, and they also have to demonstrate the ability to obtain a public officer's bond of 100,000 dollars for their work and any liabilities that might arise so there are minimum qualifications and, beyond that, the Committee is really the decision-maker as to who to select. We do conduct a background check.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and do they have one staff member, or can they decide how many staff members they want? How does that work, or is that up to them?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I believe it's up to them.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, so depending on 3 how much money, I guess, they bring in...

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$ 

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Right. Exactly. One of the Marshal's offices is listed under "fiduciary management." What does that mean? What are the implications of that designation, if that's true?

is that when a Marshal passes away and their work is ongoing, they are required to have had available a fiduciary to wind down their business, to ensure that all of their records are in place so that they are also required to turn over, those records are required to be turned over to DOI after a Marshal passes away so I believe when a Marshal's office is in fiduciary status, that is what that means, and there is one that I'm aware of that is in that status.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and so from the DOI's perspective it doesn't really matter who has a majority of the work. It depends on who gets the work, basically. In other words, and that's how it is. In other words, if you're doing, I assume if you

2.2

2.3

we control, and it's not something that I am aware of. Certainly, if someone had so much work that they weren't able to do it in an appropriate way, that might generate a concern that would come our way but, other than that, I think you're right. It's not something that we're involved in, have awareness of, have a position on.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I was certainly aware of Finance's role. What does NYCHA have work-wise that is relevant to Marshals? Maybe you mentioned it. I know you mentioned NYCHA. I certainly know what Finance does, but what would NYCHA do? Is that evictions also?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Evictions.

Correct. There may be some other things as well, but evictions is what I'm primarily aware of.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and then the Department of Finance. Now, if you're a private citizen and you want to, I have a friend who has a

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yeah. Enforcement of a money judgment is one of their responsibilities so yeah, that's right.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay and, if there's a problem with that not happening, then they would contact the Department of Investigation to say this Marshal has not been fulfilling his or her duties. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I mean, certainly if someone hired a Marshal and they weren't doing the work that was assigned or they were not doing it in a proper way, that's something that we could receive a complaint about.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. All right. So then if there is a complaint from somebody who hasn't felt that the Marshal is doing the right thing, what is the exact procedure? Do they call DOI and then there's a response? How does that work?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Well, if they were to call us, we would determine the nature of the complaint, the nature of the issue. We provide the Marshal with notice of the complaint and give them an opportunity to respond and, depending on the nature of the complaint, we would do whatever investigation we feel is necessary. It could involve looking at records to see was there a judgment issued, was that judgment given to the Marshal, what did they do with it in the example that you raised, if it was misconduct of some kind in connection with an eviction, we might try to figure out what happened at the building. Is there a camera? Does the tenant provide us with any video footage? Does the building have a camera with footage? We would sort of undertake the steps you would expect to try to figure out the facts in terms of what happened and then the determination of any appropriate penalty would flow from that, if any.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Also, is there oversight, I sort of asked this before regarding the Marshal's behavior interactions with the public. In other words, that's also where I'm asking you to do more of an informal survey of the offices by just

2 calling, seeing what kind of response you get. Are

3 there any other ideas? Just because, even though they

4 are private individuals, I bet the public thinks that

5 | they are individuals who work for the City of New

6 York, and so they would expect a certain level of

7 expertise and professionalism.

2.2

2.3

and I do think that we have, as I indicated, received complaints on occasion that a Marshal's not conducting themselves in a civil and decent manner, and those are things that we look into. Those are things that, if substantiated, could result in discipline, and I take your point on the value of a sort of informal integrity test of that interaction, if you will.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and then, now you mentioned that, how would one determine that the office of the Sheriff would be involved with any of these activities? Why would, I mean, obviously the public, I'll be honest with you, would prefer to have the office of the Sheriff because they are, at least when I talk about the tenants and Legal Aid, because they are City employees and they feel they have a better oversight over City employees and individuals

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 47
who don't work specifically for the City, but you
mentioned earlier that maybe the office of the

4 Sheriff does do some of this work. How does that get

5 allocated, if at all?

2.2

2.3

the same process, and this is a part of this work
that we don't really play a role in. A private person
who is seeking to evict a tenant, for example, could
go to the Sheriff. My sense is, as you say, that the
Sheriff's Office does relatively few evictions
compared to the Marshals, though they do do some, but
that's really up to the entity that is selecting a
person to do this work, whether they want to pick a
Sheriff or a Marshal. The fees are consistent as we
said so it may come down, ultimately, to a question
of relationships and, again, I'm really just guessing
because we don't see that process and we're not part
of that decision.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and do you have a sense from the Department of Finance or from, particularly from Finance, that the work that is done by the Marshals is satisfactory to the Department of Finance, because they have parking, they have judgments, they have all other kinds of probably less

15

16

17

18

19

25

2 controversial and not as heart-wrenching, shall we

3 say, but do you have some sense that that goes well

4 for the Department of Finance? Do you have any

5 contact with the Commissioner or anything?

6 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I mean, I

7 certainly haven't had any contact to suggest that

8 it's not going well. We do oversee the Marshals with

9 respect to all their work so, if a complaint were to

10 arise from work done for the Department of Finance,

11 | either by the Department or by a private citizen

12 affected by that work, that complaint would come to

13 | us, but I'm not aware of any issues with their work

14 | for the Department of Finance.

question is, obviously, you're going to work on the issue of APS because I don't think it's going well.

Just two cases this week, as an example. And then, secondly, to see if there's some kind of informal

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I guess my final

20 oversight that can be done with the offices, because

21 they, people think they're City employees, and why

22 are they not responding in a professional way? And

23 then, I hope you would, as you do, keep in contact

24 with those who are seeking, maybe, the portal and

changes that Legal Aid has suggested. I also think

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Thank you.

24

2.3

much for your testimony.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Now, I open the 3 hearing for public testimony.

I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding, and that decorum will be observed. As such, members of the public will remain silent. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table. Members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit transcripts of such recording to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak in today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's oversight topic, which is the Department of Investigation's Oversight of New York City's Marshals.

If you have a written statement and you want to submit it for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You can also email any written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of the

2.2

2.3

recordings.

For in-person panelists, please come up to the table once your name has been called.

For virtual panelists, if there are any, once your name is called, a Member of our Staff will unmute you, and the Sergeant will set a timer, and you can go ahead and begin to speak. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

I am delighted, from the Legal Aid Society, Nakeeb Siddique, and please come up to the witness table. Thank you so much, Siddique.

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Good morning, everybody.

Thank you very much, Council Members, for having me here. My name is Nakeeb Siddique. I'm a Supervising Attorney with the Legal Aid Society's Harlem

Community Legal Office, and I'm a housing attorney.

I've been doing that with the Legal Aid Society since 2011. I think the Members here are familiar with the Legal Aid Society so I won't belabor it, but we've been around here since 1876. I always like to tell folks in my office that's longer than the City of New York has been the City of New York as we know it, and

2 I'm proud to work there, and I just want to briefly

3 address some of the points I think you, Council

4 Member, have already mentioned, asking the

5 Commissioner.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're really here to raise just two points. One, again, it's already been raised here. As advocates, in the course of our work in terms of eviction defense, in some percentage of cases, it inevitably becomes necessary to monitor a warrant of eviction. Without getting into the details and the weeds of it, it's the pointy end of the stick. There's some number of cases, some number of lowincome tenants who face eviction. It may be that just the law, such as it is, will ultimately require their eviction, or, more often, they're waiting. They're waiting for an agency to come through. Often the City's Human Resources Administration, they're waiting for some other contingencies to happen to hopefully avoid eviction in the case of a nonpayment case, and so I think the Members here may already know the housing court can be a protracted process. It can take months, and it often becomes the situation where there is a final judgment of eviction entered against the tenant and, pursuant to that, the

## COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Court issues a warrant of eviction, typically to a City Marshal, very rarely to a Sheriff, but usually to a City Marshal, and those warrants we have to monitor, and we typically do that by calling the Marshals. Most cases, my personal experience, the Marshals are very helpful. They take a lot of these calls from hundreds of advocates around the city, and that's not even including pro se tenants, and often what we ask is, has a Marshal's notice been served? What's the date on the Marshal's notice? When is the eviction scheduled? And this happens basically every single business day. We've gotten some reports from our sibling organizations, folks in our office, pro se tenants, that sometimes some Marshals, their staff, are not as willing to give out that information, or they say call back later, or send me a fax or an email, and that's one thing for lawyers to do, it's an inconvenience but, for pro se tenants, that could be a barrier to knowing when this very serious thing could possibly happen, and so one recommendation we have here has already been raised in this oversight meeting is that there should be a rule or a law that compels City Marshals and Sheriffs to upload an eviction notice to the State court's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

evictions. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

for him?

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. We will 3 certainly absolutely follow up. Do you have questions

Okay. One other question I have, though, is APS, because we don't find that it's a seamless process. I didn't know if that's something that you, like I said, as you heard earlier, we have two situations, one mentally ill, one very, very elderly, that were not referred to APS so there's a challenge there, and I didn't know if that's something that you come across or any of your associates.

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Absolutely. I agree that happens quite frequently. Again, different Marshals' offices and different staffs are, I don't want to take anything away from the Marshals and their staff. Some of them are wonderful. They really do take, I think, but the vast majority of them, the section in the Marshals' handbook, it's right at the top, Section 1-2, talks about respect for the public, and it holds the Marshals to the highest standards, and I do think a lot of the folks at the Marshals' office are sensitive and helpful, but it is like manual transmission. Unless they receive some information that requires them to notify APS, Adult Protective

Services, there is no there there, so often what we do as advocates, we are the first time that the Marshal is hearing so-and-so who's scheduled for eviction tomorrow is disabled, is 95 years old, or has other issues so, absolutely, there's definitely a gap there. Sometimes judges, which is great, will, on their own initiative, require the Marshal to make an APS referral. Not all judges do that. Sometimes it's not obvious to the judge that this is a vulnerable person so I think that coordination would be super helpful and important. I do find, in my personal experience, most Marshals are sensitive. They don't want to be also evicting somebody who's really elderly and vulnerable. That's not really something they want to do so I think there is an area there that we could all work together, all the stakeholders.

Of, I certainly want to implement your NYSCEF suggestion. Is that something we can do on the City level as far as you know? It doesn't have to go through the State or the court, to the best of your knowledge.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Yeah. To the best of my knowledge, Council Member Brewer, I was about to call you judge, but to the best of my knowledge, I think it can be done by DOI pursuant to a special order.

They have directives that they're allowed to do.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We could also pass a} $$ law directing them to have the directive.$ 

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Yes, Judge. I think that's right, and the ...

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Then there's no, I don't know if this is, then it's clear.

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: That's right. I think my understanding is the two Appellate Divisions, the First and Second Department, they only have to approve full revisions of the Marshal's Handbook, although I'll just note the last revision of the Marshal's Handbook was in 2013. A lot has happened in this country, in the city, since 2013. I think it's probably overdue, but that does require, I think, the judiciary to weigh in on, but I think a simpler method is what the Commissioner has been doing certainly during the height of the pandemic, with the State laws that happened in 2019. If you look at the text of the Marshal's Handbook, it talks about six-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 moment for any reason so some number of tenants I've 3 encountered who are either represented by the Legal

4 Aid Society or one of our siblings or is

5 unrepresented, some number of folks are like, yeah, I

6 | will opt in because I want notifications sent to me

7 in email in real time, and I think increasingly we'll

8 see that happen. I think most folks, especially as a

9 lot of folks are very technologically savvy, they

10 don't really want to wait for a paper, like who gets

11 snail mail anyway, right, and this is not the kind

12 of...

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Me.

Member, I do as well, and certainly we're not saying that this should be in lieu of whatever this law requires in terms of service of papers. It's just an additional security belt, and I'll just acknowledge as to how the court system in New York City, housing court, they send postcards to tenants to say, hey, here's your next court date. They don't have to do that. The state law does not require that, a city of eight and a half million people and just it's a humanitarian gesture. It's helpful because sometimes tenants say all the time, hey, I got the postcard. I

5 notification, why not? It exists. I think it would 6 save a lot of heartache and time and effort for

7 | everybody involved.

2.2

2.3

We've been joined by Council Member
Krishnan, who knows everything about this topic. Do
you have any questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Well, thank you,
Chair Brewer and, yes, fortunately and unfortunately,
I have a lot of experience with these issues, and so
that's why one thing in particular I wanted to raise
and you sort of already touched on it too with the
APS referrals, but one of the biggest problems that
we see now that I think is even undercounted is the
alarming spike and presence of illegal evictions in
our city where there are no court orders and
landlords are changing locks on tenants, putting
their things out on the street overnight, and so I
know you all operate based on the court system and
you're checking NYSCEF and making sure where things
are on the docket, but I'm just wondering what work
you all are doing, given how traumatic evictions are

2 as well, to make sure that you have the most up-to-

3 date information that you actually have a warrant and

4 a final order of eviction before proceeding with

5 anything and are not being misled by baseless

6 proceedings or illegal evictions?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Yeah, thank you. I think you're absolutely right. I mean, that has been a perennial problem and, certainly, I think in the last few years during the height of the pandemic, a lot of landlords and even roommates, other folks have resorted to self-help. It's wrong. It's a misdemeanor. Wrong in a criminal sense. It's actually a misdemeanor in the City of New York. I guess I would just go back again to saying the subject of this kind of conversation about oversight of Department of Investigations, it is really, really important, I think, for all parties, and I always tell this to tenants, go directly to the source, which is the court. In the old days, people would have to go physically to the courthouse, and some people still want that experience. They want to see somebody face-to-face, and that's fine. The courthouse is open, it's a public courthouse, it's our courthouse, but I would again say NYSCEF is super

volume of information that we have, in an unfortunate

word search, so really I think the docket, the vast

way, the legal system is like a library, like the 10

11 worst kind of library that there is, but it's a

social record. You can find a huge amount of 12

8

24

25

13 information, and it used to be locked away in the

courthouse, in these dusty files, you got to go there 14

15 with your quarters and make photocopies. You don't

16 have to do that anymore, and I think it could be

17 really, really empowering to tenants' rights

18 advocates, tenants themselves, people in communities,

19 electeds advocating for folks to be able to keep an

20 eye on the bad actors and spot these trends,

21 especially using the databases that we have. I think

2.2 starting fundamentally with the e-filing system that

2.3 the court has. That, I think, is really the key.

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Thank you, and I appreciate how it's really a collective effort from

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 64
2	the advocate side, from the agency side, to make sure
3	that those kinds of illegal evictions aren't
4	happening, that the tenants get proper process, and
5	their rights are respected, but I appreciate your
6	answer, and thank you, Chair Brewer, for holding a
7	hearing on a topic that's very important but does not
8	get as much attention as it should but thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.
10	You are a fabulous witness.
11	NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Thank you very much.
12	Have a nice day. Be well.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Agreed.
14	NAKEEB SIDDIQUE: Take care.
15	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We have one witness
16	from Zoom so wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to
17	announce that you may begin and then you can begin
18	your testimony. It's Christopher Leon Johnson.
19	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.
20	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello. Can you
21	hear me?
22	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We can hear you.
23	CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Oh, yeah. Hey,
24	my name is Christopher Leon Johnson, and I am a
25	rontor I livo in Brooklyn I can't say the exact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify virtually, please use the raise hand function in Zoom, and we will call on you in the order of hands raised.

Okay. We want to know if Charlie Linehan is here.

Seeing no one else, unless he's here and he's not online, I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by Committee Staff, may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

I want to thank the Sergeant-at-Arms and my Colleagues, and this is a topic that I think has been around, the Marshals since the Dutch were here, and we have to consider if this is still relevant from those times.

Thank you very much. This hearing is concluded. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_May 31, 2024\_\_\_\_\_