CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

----X

June 16, 2010 Start: 01:20 pm Recess: 02:10 pm

HELD AT: Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

DANIEL DROMM Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene

Jumaane D. Williams

APPEARANCES

Linda Mafta Intern Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights On behalf of Cecelia Safont

Mark Maynard Families for Freedom

Michelle Fei Co-director Immigrant Defense Project

Diana Gaiton U.S. citizen

Kenya Garcia U.S. citizen

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Good morning everybody. My name is Daniel Dromm and I'm the chair of the Immigration Committee for the New York City Council.

Just before we get started, I want to take a moment to thank Israel Rodriguez who has been our policy analyst and who is moving on to another position. We wish you well. I just want to say thank you for all the time and service that you've given to this committee and your advice as well. Thank you very much, Israel.

I do want to thank a representative from the governor's office who has joined us today as well. Thank you for being here with us.

Good afternoon, my name is Daniel
Dromm and I'm the chair of the New York City
Council Committee on Immigration. Before going
any further, I would like to introduce the other
members of the committee who are not here yet
because we're in budget briefing and a very
stressful time. A number of them will be joining
us shortly as they get an opportunity to leave
those budget hearings.

According to data, there were an

estimated 37.9 million immigrants living in the United States in 2008. New York State is home to approximately 4.2 million immigrant residents, making it home to the second largest immigrant population in the nation.

New York City, considered by many to be the gateway to all of the opportunities that the United States has to offer, is home to more than 3 million immigrants. Immigrant New Yorkers can be found everywhere doing jobs in a variety of sectors at all different levels.

The contributions of immigrants to

New York's economy are significant, as indicated

by a recent report issued by the State

Comptroller's Office. As such, there is always

reason to consider the challenges that immigrants

face and the opportunities to be made available to

immigrants.

For today, we are considering

Governor Paterson's Immigrant Pardon Panel and its

potential impact on immigrant New Yorkers facing

deportation. Governor Paterson is using his

office to help immigrant residents of this state

by establishing the Immigrant Pardon Panel.

Although ever New York governor has had the authority to pardon immigrants who have been convicted of a crime, Governor Paterson is the first governor to establish a panel to assist him in determining which New Yorkers deserve to be pardoned.

Yesterday, the governor's office held a statewide information session on this panel in order to provide interested advocates and service providers with information on the governor's pardon power, the creation of the pardon panel and the pardon application process.

Although that information session was very helpful, today we would like to find out what, if anything, the City Council and other agencies can do to ensure the effectiveness of this panel, and how this panel will help immigrants living and working in New York City.

Until we pass a comprehensive immigration reform bill at the federal level, we have to continue to figure out how localities like New York State and New York City can help immigrants living and working and contributing to the United States.

2.0

2	I would like to thank everyone for
3	attending this afternoon's hearing. I think we
4	will now call our witnesses. First will be Linda
5	Mafta [phonetic], from the Northern Manhattan
6	Coalition for Immigrant Rights, Mary Maynard from
7	Families for Freedom, and Michelle Fei from
8	Immigrant Defense Project.
9	[Pause]
10	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Who would like
11	to begin? Would you like to begin?
12	MARK MAYNARD: Sure. Good
13	afternoon everyone. My name is Mark Maynard. I
14	am a native of Guyana and a longtime legal
15	permanent resident of the United States. I am
16	speaking to you today in the hope that you and the
17	powers that be could look into my case and find it
18	in your hearts to forgive me for all of the harm

I was sentenced to 7 to 14 years for armed robbery. This is my only criminal conviction. I served seven years in a state prison. I spent two years in Immigration and Custom Enforcement facilities around the country.

and pain I caused to my victims and family as

well, not to mention myself.

2.0

2.3

I am currently out on state patrol and ICE has
released me on supervision, which is not something
given every day to everyone.

I say that to say this, my crime that I committed was a selfish act and I just want to take this time to apologize to my victims, family friends and most of all my victims. I take full responsibility for my crime.

I speak to you all today a changed man. I was a very impatient individual back then when I committed my crime. Back then I never had any real job skills that I felt would support the way I wanted to live. I also had terrible friends who I chose and used for acceptance of who I thought I was back then.

But even though they were terrible friends, I do not and cannot blame them. I blame myself. I was a troubled kid, growing up and not having a father around. I very much resented that. Because my young mind was not fully understanding of life at that time, I surrounded myself with anyone that showed me they were there for me.

I have learned and grown so much

during my incarceration that this will never happen again because I now have the tools for my livelihood and the knowledge of who I am and of life in itself.

During my incarceration, both in state prison and ICE facilities, I acquired several job skills that were important for providing for me and my family. While being in ICE jails, I acquired three OSHA certificates. The opportunities that come with these certificates are only given in the United States of America. There are no OSHA facilities other than the United States. Deporting me to Guyana will surely lead me to fail.

In addition, my mom, Molly Overton [phonetic], is suffering with severe diabetes.

She had surgery to take out a tumor at the back of her eyes; a tumor which now is causing her to lose her sight. She's deteriorating, and there is no sign of her getting better. I'm all that my mom has left. My mom is an elderly woman who is retiring from her job. She's trying to make it with her illness so she can get her full pension.

I am here today to tell you why

me. A second chance would allow me to pay the other half of my debt to society by showing society that I am a rehabilitated man.

I know I am one of many asking for a pardon. I know that the circumstances of those who are asking for such a relief is often unclear. I just want you all to know that you won't be disappointed in supporting a pardon for me and a thousand like me now seeking such relief.

I am currently fighting to stay in the United States of America. I migrated to this country at the age of 9-years-old with my mother and brother, never once returning back to my country of birth. All I am and have known is the United States of America. My entire family is also living in the United States. I know no one and have no one in my place of birth. It would be a shame to let all of my hard work, in becoming a rehabilitated and changed man, go to waste.

I have also done research on Guyana and it is very bad in my country for deportees.

Sending me there would be like sending me to certain destitution. I must be able to turn

something negative that I've done into something positive. In my heart I believe that God will make a way.

I can only imagine what goes in to making a decision to give someone a pardon, but I can only pray that my pleadings will result in me being given a chance. I need a chance to prove the type of person I am.

If granted the ability to be considered for a pardon, this decision will become a second chance not wasted. I am not a bad person. I was never a threat to society. I put myself in the wrong circle of people and made one stupid and terrible life-changing mistake. I have been through a great deal of obstacles just to speak to you today.

I am grateful for the chance to fight my case. There have been so many that applied for supervision release from immigration detention and were denied. I only say that to say this, state parole ICE saw that I am rehabilitated and released me on state parole and ICE supervision giving me a chance. I need forgiveness and I plead to my victims to forgive

2.0

me as well.

Since my release on ICE supervision and state parole, I have been able to maintain a job, paying taxes. I also have been able to take some of the pressure off of my mom by paying some bills and by providing mental and physical support. Just these two supports alone are really bringing a change of confidence to my mom and I can see her health gradually getting better.

I also have joined the fight for immigrant justice by becoming a member of Families for Freedom, a network of families with loved ones facing deportation. In my role as a member, I have been trained to educate people in my community to know their rights about the immigration system.

I was able to inspire members at the Church of God by giving my testimony on why we all should have faith because without faith and prayer I wouldn't have been released from detention and would not be able to stand in front of the church that day. Following the service, people came up to me, thanking me for sharing my testimony because they were moved by it. I have

also had the opportunity to be a voice for the immigrant community at the forum with Senator Menendez in New Jersey, giving insight into the benefits of giving immigrants a second chance.

Finally, Governor Paterson's special clemency board for immigrants is very important to individuals like me and others who are looking for a second chance in life. It will vital for immigrants who are rehabilitated and are looking to move on and be productive members of society.

But with the criteria of who can apply for a pardon being so limited, there is slim hope for anyone to get this kind of chance. A lot of good people who made mistakes in their lives are looking to turn that negative into a positive will be left out and forced to suffer. Broaden the criteria, Governor Paterson, and a lot of good people will benefit from it.

We all make mistakes in life, but the important thing is what we do after we make those mistakes. I have only paid one half of my debt to society. Let me pay the other half of my debt to society by being in a place I call home

2	and living in it as a law abiding, productive and
3	changed man. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Mr.
5	Maynard, for your compelling and moving testimony.
6	LINDA MAFTA: Good afternoon
7	everybody. My name is Linda Mafta. I'm an intern
8	at the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant
9	Rights this summer. I'll be reading the testimony
10	of Cecelia Safont. Unfortunately, she couldn't be
11	here today because she had a family emergency, so
12	I'll be reading on her behalf.
13	Good afternoon, my name is Cecelia
14	Safont. I'm a U.S. citizen who has lived in the
15	country for 30 years. I have raised two children
16	here, one of whom was a sergeant in the U.S. Army
17	for many years. I have also worked as a holistic
18	doctor for eight years.
19	I'm speaking today to voice
20	Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights'
21	strong support for Governor Paterson's pardon
22	panel as an important step towards addressing the
23	devastating effects of deportation.
24	I'm an active member of the

Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights,

an organization that has been providing
immigration related legal services for over 25
years. We are based in the largely Dominican
community of Washington Heights, which has been
disproportionately impact by the punitive and
inflexible immigration laws passed by Congress in
1996.

These laws further expanded the list of crimes that triggered mandatory deportation for non-citizens and severely restricted the ability for the vast majority of immigrants to have a fair day in court to fight their deportation. As a result, we have seen the number of deportations grow rapidly. The U.S. has deported over 40,000 Dominicans since 1996.

Hundreds of legal permanent residents come to our office each year seeking guidance on whether they are in danger of being deported if they decide to naturalize, renew their green card or travel out of the country. Because of the combination of harsh immigration laws and the history of crime enforcement in our communities, we unfortunately need to advise them that a past criminal conviction on their record,

many of them minor and non-violent, would subject them to mandatory deportation proceedings.

Daily we hear stories such as that of Jose who has been living in the U.S. since 1976 as a legal permanent resident. Despite having serious medical issues and caring for his U.S. citizen mother, he has been under detention at Rikers for months while trying to fight his deportation order for misdemeanor drug possession offenses. And of Jorge, who had lived in the U.S. for 17 years as a legal permanent resident who got arrested after being in a fight and took a guilty plea with a one year sentence that triggered his deportation five years later, leaving behind his U.S. wife and children.

When the governor announced his pardon panel, we received a tremendous amount of phone calls from past and new clients requesting help in this process. Many of the individuals who have called us are legal permanent residents who have U.S. citizen spouses and children who contribute positively to their communities and who are 100% rehabilitated. A pardon would offer these individuals an opportunity that they would

2.0

not have otherwise to fight their deportation case and be able to stay with their families and their communities.

We are working at NMCIR to build momentum in Congress to change the laws that so severely restrict the ability of our community members to challenge deportation orders and the permanent exiles of so many of our loved ones. The fight for an immigration system that upholds due process rights, that gives immigrants a fair day in court and allows judges to judge is critical for our families and our communities.

We applaud the governor's leadership for immigrant rights and encourage initiatives that provide opportunities for New Yorkers to have a fair chance to stay united with their families as we continue to fight for just immigration reform. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

MICHELLE FEI: Thank you. I will read portions of my written testimony because I think both Mark and Linda have covered much more eloquently certain sections of my written

2.0

testimony.

My name is Michelle Fei and I am the Co-director of the Immigrant Defense Project. We specialize in criminal immigration issues. By that what we mean are how a criminal conviction, some kind of contact with the criminal justice system can affect an immigrant's immigration status and their ability to stay here in the United States.

Thank you for the opportunity to present comments about Governor Paterson's

Immigrant Pardon Panel. We believe this panel presents important opportunities for immigrant New Yorkers who are at risk of deportation and we believe we must do everything possible to help this panel stop the exile of immigrants from their families and communities here in the United States.

As you know all too well, the 1996 immigration laws dramatically increased ways in which immigrants both document and undocumented can get deported. As a result, deportations have been dramatically increasing. In fact, more than 2.5 million immigrants have been deported since

1997. They are forced to return to countries where they often don't know the language, have no family ties, cannot find a job and fear for their lives. Meanwhile, their loved ones are often stripped of breadwinners and support system here in the United States.

Immigration and Customs Enforcement thinks it can easily sweep these problems under the rug by simply labeling many of those who are deported "criminal aliens," a term that is misleading, inaccurate and offensive. But we should not accept this ugly propaganda. As a country, state and city, we should recognize that immigrants, just like everyone else, are more than the sum of their mistakes. They form the backbone of our families, workplaces and communities.

They should not face deportation as a second and often surprise punishment after paying their dues through a criminal justice system that has already put them through the wringer.

That's why we so strongly applaud

Governor Paterson for establishing an Immigrant

Pardon Panel that recognizes that we must take

2.0

2.3

steps to right the wrongs we have perpetuated against immigrants and their families and communities. We think this panel holds great promise for so many who have been devastated by the possibility of deportation. And we hope that the Immigration Committee will help ensure that pardons are granted as broadly as possible.

As Governor Paterson has stated,
this pardon panel will examine cases of legal
immigrants who have shown rehabilitation and
positive contributions to society. They will
examine individual facts and circumstances and how
old or minor a conviction is.

We think looking at these considerations make all the sense in the world. In fact, this inquiry has been considered the appropriate course of action in considering deportation for decades before the 1996 laws got rammed through Congress. It's what immigration judges, among others, have bluntly stated they wish they could still engage in, rather than having their hands tied in the immigration process.

But we also think Governor Paterson

2.0

can and should go further. Many immigrants, for example, may have convictions that are more recent or more serious, but nevertheless merit serious review. Although the immigration laws have been interpreted to mean that gun and controlled substance offenses cannot be pardoned, these are still cases that should be able to be presented to the panel should future opportunities arise to amend these laws.

And while Governor Paterson seems to intend the pardon panel to serve lawful permanent residents only, there are many immigrants in other statuses who could still benefit tremendously from a pardon.

We hope the City Council will help Governor Paterson push forward in the spirit of the pardon panel to maximize its potential and to help keep thousands upon thousands of New Yorker families and communities united. Thank you.

much. Let me start by asking Ms. Fei a couple of questions. Can you elaborate more fully on what you mean by the panel should consider opportunities for people who do not have permanent

resident status who may be undocumented and tell
me some of the difficulties that occur there.

MICHELLE FEI: Many undocumented immigrants actually do have the ability to legalize either now or may sometime in the future and this is setting aside what immigration reform or comprehensive immigration reform might come down the pike.

But if they have a conviction
they're often barred completely from legalizing.
And a pardon can be huge for these immigrants
because it can allow them to erase that pardon and
show that they've actually been somebody who
merits a grant of lawful permanent resident
status, a green card. They can actually become
contributing members of society instead of people
who feel like they have to continue to fly under
the radar.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So undocumented people then would be barred from even applying for permanent resident status. Those who have it are barred from going further to citizenship or they can be deported just based on the conviction.

Even though they have permanent resident status,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

still	have	а	possibility	z of	facing	deportation.
	TIG V C	a		, 0 ±	T G C TII 9	acportation.

MICHELLE FEI: I think that's a fantastic point. Right now, lawful permanent residents are oftentimes not aware that applying for citizenship can actually get them deported.

There are also some lawful permanent residents who very much want to become citizens of the United States and show their loyalty and patriotism but cannot because they're aware of the fact that they can get deported if they choose to put themselves through that system.

So I think the pardons not only help people not get deported as lawful permanent residents but provide for them a path to become United States citizens as well.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Then obviously they would become more productive residents of the country if they had that.

MICHELLE FEI: That's right, and they would have the ability to vote and to shape what the country should be.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you believe there's any room for city government to work with the governor's office on this issue? We're

limited some ways in terms of what we can do on state and/or federal issues because we're a city agency. I'm just curious to hear from you what ways we as members of this committee would be able to work more effectively with the governor's office.

MICHELLE FEI: I think we're all here today because we have complete faith that you can help shape what happens at the New York State government level. I think that's a range of possibilities to be explored and I would be more than happy to talk about those possibilities further. But at a minimum, one thing that comes to mind is passing a resolution to say that the City Council strongly believes in Governor Paterson's pardon panel and the promise that it holds. And to try to push Paterson on what kinds of immigrants and what kinds of convictions can actually get deported.

Because here in New York City what we have are lots of immigrants, both green card holders and undocumented people who are affected. From the numbers that you gave at the beginning of this hearing, it's more than clear that the bulk

of the immigrants that we're talking about in New York State are from New York City and we should be using that to our advantage I think.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How has the word of this pardon program gotten out and what can be done to broaden the knowledge of applying for these pardons?

MICHELLE FEI: I will Mark and
Linda speak also, but I think that there has been
a decent amount of press coverage. So I'm pleased
about that. So that word is getting around. At
the Immigrant Defense Project, we do run a hotline
that criminal defense attorneys, immigrants,
immigrant advocates and immigrant loved ones call
us on. We've had so many requests for more
information about the pardon panel and the process
and what kinds of pardons the panel would be
willing to hear.

I do think we need a lot more information about what the procedures are for obtaining a pardon. Governor Paterson has issued some guidelines but it seems like there is still a lot of information that's lacking and I think we do need a lot more. I think it would be great if

we were able to get word out about specific steps that people can take in order to put forward an application for a pardon.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is there any city agency or state agency that's doing that at this time?

MICHELLE FEI: At the time it seems like there is not, which is why we're really hoping there are ways in which the City Council can step in. We are trying to speak with Governor Paterson's office to try to work out some of these details, and also to try to put together a cadre of attorneys who are willing to help put forward these applications for pardons. So we are in the beginning stages of planning for these trainings in order to help pro bono attorneys understand what the process entails and also to spread word among other advocates and immigrants about what they can do on their own.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm curious to know also, and maybe any of the people on the panel can answer this. I would imagine that a lot of these crimes that were committed happened when people were young and made silly mistakes. The

left without a support system there?

25 MARK MAYNARD: Most definitely.

24

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Very

interesting. Do you know if there has been any outreach to any of the advocate groups or to any legal practitioners, community-based organizations on this governor's panel and what could be done to get people an application to submit?

MICHELLE FEI: There has been some outreach. I think part of the issue is that we're not clear still on exactly what the procedures are so it's hard to get as much word out to the community without being able to tell them what specific steps they can take.

But as I had mentioned previously, there is work being done to try to put together trainings on what are the immigration consequences of having a criminal disposition in order to help pro bono attorneys understand why it is that there are so many immigrants who are basically living their lives in limbo because they don't know whether or not they'll be deported or not.

And there's also work among certain law firms in order to try to coordinate these pro bono attorneys and to provide them with trainings and to start putting together some kind of review

_	
2	process to help the governor assess these pardon
3	applications.
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Ms. Mafta, I
5	know that you spoke about a couple of cases.
6	LINDA MAFTA: We have first names
7	Jose and Jorge here.
8	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's right,
9	Jose and Jorge, who have seem to have been
10	arrested for rather minor incidents. How does
11	this pardon affect them? Is the governor talking
12	primarily about people who have committed felonies
13	or misdemeanors or what?
14	LINDA MAFTA: My understanding is
15	that it's primarily people who have in their past
16	committed misdemeanor crimes or violations that
17	they later on, like Michelle said, are surprised
18	by when they come to petition to become citizens
19	to naturalize and then are faced with these
20	misdemeanors that they committed much earlier on
21	in their lives.
22	The question that you also directed
23	towards Mr. Maynard, I mean just to answer on a
24	more personal level, I'm the child of two

immigrants. If it happened that one of my parents

when they were here earlier and they younger committed a misdemeanor violation and only to find out now with families and lives here that they had to then face the consequences of them much later on down the line, you can imagine how devastating the effect would be for a person, especially if they're the sole breadwinners for their families or if they provide the livelihood for their families.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That leads me to ask also, how important is the judge's ability to have discretion in these cases in terms of deciding who gets a pardon and who does not, who moves forward with citizenship, et cetera?

discretion in these cases is understandable very important because if the judge can't take into account his or her own understandings of the unique situations, it removes a great deal of discretion from them, which could otherwise allow a certain degree of compassion or perhaps just understanding of the situation that otherwise would be neglected to be taken into account.

So my personal opinion is that it's

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

incredibly important that the judicial discretion
be reintroduced into these proceedings just
because otherwise people are just at the general
mercy of the law which sometimes doesn't take into
account very important parts of their cases.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Does anybody have any information in terms of how it worked prior to 1996 versus how these cases were handled after 1996?

MICHELLE FEI: Before 1996, immigration judges actually had a lot more leeway in order to consider whether or not somebody actually merited deportation. They were able to weigh what we call positive factors against negative factors in ways that actually helped immigrants be able to stay here in the country because immigrants were able to show, for example, that they had been living here for a long time. That their family members were here; that they were contributing members to society. All things I think clearly are what Governor Paterson's pardon panel will aim to do and what's needed because the 1996 laws greatly stripped away judges' abilities to do that.

2.0

2.3

I also want to make a note that
there's been a lot of talk recently about
comprehensive immigration reform. And I think
that sometimes advocates will rely on talk of this
CIR in order to alleviate some of these
deportations that we're facing.

I just want to note that when we're talking about CIR, the kinds of proposals that have been put forward by people like Senator Schumer and Senator Menendez in their last iteration of their blueprint will actually do very, very little to help immigrants in this situation.

I think when we look at the blueprint that Schumer put forth, it's overwhelmingly about increased enforcement and very little if not close to nothing about how immigrants can get legalized or reducing deportation.

So I feel that no matter what happens with immigration reform, which will likely be worse for immigrants than it is now, even though I think it's hard for many of us to imagine how much worse it could get, we need to keep our

2.0

2.3

eye on the prize. We shouldn't let the promise of
this pardon panel fall and rely on any talk of
immigration reform to help save immigrants who are
facing deportation.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I just want to
acknowledge that we've been joined by Council
Member Mathieu Eugene. Thank you. What was the
motive for changing the law in 1996? Was it

LINDA MAFTA: Actually, counter intuitively, from my understanding is that the 1995 Oklahoma City bombings by Timothy McVey were what sparked the kind of hysterical fear that precipitated the passing of these laws.

xenophobia? What was the idea behind that?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But Timothy McVey was an American citizen.

LINDA MAFTA: Ironically enough,

yes. It was just a generalized fear that these

people can penetrate our borders and wreak this

terrorist havoc all over our country. That's what

I understand. I'm sure I'm being a little

simplistic but I think that was the general

motivation behind it.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I think you're

2.0

right, and I think I just wanted to make that
point as well that he was an American citizen.

That's where I think the genesis of these laws,
the change in the law actually came was around
that issue. Have your organizations themselves
tried to get this information out of people that
come into contact with your organizations about
the pardon process?

working with my organization, what I've experienced is a number of clients who come in having knowledge somehow of these proceedings before many of the members of the actual staff do. So a lot of people have definitely come in asking about whether they or their families members can qualify for these pardons before, like Michelle was mentioning that there are no clear proceedings for it. But certainly the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights is dealing with a number of deportation cases and the governor's pardon would be very relevant.

MICHELLE FEI: At the Immigrant

Defense Project, as I had mentioned earlier, we do

run a hotline and we do log every single case that

2.0

comes in through our hotline. We have about 1,500 people calling us per year. As I had mentioned earlier, in the past month or so, many of them are asking about the pardon panel process. So we've been talking to them about what kinds of documents they can begin to collect already even without further information about what the exact procedures are. But that, for example, they would want to show favorable factors, have letters written by family members, religious institutions, other friends and show rehabilitation.

We're also waiting for more information in order to be able to pass that down to the immigrants who have called us. And not only the immigrants and love ones that have called us, but also the broader community as well. To that end, we've been working with a lot of other community-based organizations, including Northern Manhattan in order to push forward this issue.

We're poised, as soon as we get more information about it, to send out publicly more details about what immigrants can do.

In the meantime, what we view our job as is providing that information that there is

2.0

this pardon pane	el that's been established and that	t
there may be hop	e. So we're keeping our fingers	
cross that we ca	n actually see this through.	

just want to mention that we've been joined by

Council Member Jumaane Williams from Brooklyn. I

am also a big supporter of second chances, and I

just want to say thank you in particular to Mr.

Maynard for coming and showing the courage to come

in today and to speak with us. It's a true

example, and I hate the word rehabilitated, but of

the progress you've made in terms of your own

life.

I know for myself and I think I can speak for Council Member Jumaane Williams, both of us had second chances in life and we recognize that and applaud you on that. Thank you.

MARK MAYNARD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Ms. Fei, I also want to point out to you that we're going to reach out to you and try to find out some ways that we can further work with the governor's office on these issues as well. I'd like to now call up Kenya Garcia [phonetic] and Diana Gaitan

2.0

[phonetic].

۱ ۱	l	Pause]
)		rause

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Welcome and thank you very much for coming today to share your testimony, and I guess we'll begin right over here.

Gaitan. I'm a U.S. citizen. I simply came to show my support in regards to this new reform that affects many, many individuals and many families. I personally have a dear friend of mine who is going through this now. He was convicted of a crime in '98. He has worked for Local Union 3. He's a union member. He's a homeowner. He's a family guy. He's a great friend. He has shown in every way that he rehabilitated his life. Again, I hate to use that word.

Now that this new pardon has come along, I think it's a great opportunity because 11 years after his life has been back to normal and he's been a contributor to the economy and society, they took him and they're holding him as if he were a criminal. He's already paid his time for whatever offenses he did way back when.

I think this is a great opportunity not only for him but for everyone else who are going through the same circumstances. Many people are being affected by him. Everyone is showing their support. I think that the criteria should be not as narrow as the 1996 laws because that's going to limit a lot of cases.

His particular case should be reviewed on merit, on testimonies. He has many people who are willing to vouch for him, including his local, his employment, family, friends and everyone else who is affected by this. Again, I just want to show my support. I hope that the laws do change to give individuals who deserve the second opportunity the chance that they deserve.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

Garcia. I'm also a U.S. citizen. I'm also here to support and to find out more information about the immigration panel and the pardon panel created by Governor Paterson. I'm affected by this because my brother has a situation, basically what she described. She pretty much covered the reason why I'm here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In consulting with several lawyers, they don't really know enough about this. don't know how to go about it and in some cases we were even discouraged from attempting to do this because the law is the law and it cannot be broken and this is basically the situation and that's the end of it. So in order for me to find more information for my family, for my bother's family, he has two kids, a job, a home and right now we're kind of in limbo as to what we can do to assist him. So we saw this as a window or we saw some hope in this and I'm here to support this. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. also a big believer in government having a role in

also a big believer in government having a role in people's lives and having an important role in people's lives. But from the testimony that both of you have given, it seems that government is working in the opposite direction in terms of these cases. I just wanted to ask, where was your friend deported to?

DIANA GAITAN: He hasn't been deported yet. It will be the Dominican Republican where, again, he has no family. His whole immediate family is here, his wife, his kids. So

difficultly keeping in touch with him if he's

21 moving around?

22

2.3

24

25

They're making DIANA GAITAN: Yes. it very difficult for the families or friends to get any kind of communication with him or support for him or anything for that matter.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I just, again,
want to say that government should be in the
business of assisting our families and people who
are here. Thank you very much for your testimony,
both of you.

DIANA GAITAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: We're going to explore this issue a little further down the road as well. I do want to thank all of the advocates and people who gave testimony today. Thank you for coming in. We look forward to discussing this a little bit further as we move down the road. Thank you everybody.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

		Elouna	Leube	
Signat	ture	-	0	
Date	July 6	2010		