AND WATERFRONTS

1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS

----- X

October 28, 2024 Start: 1:26 p.m. Recess: 5:15 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: James F. Gennaro, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés

Justin L. Brannan Robert F. Holden Kristy Marmorato Lincoln Restler Susan Zhuang

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Keith Powers

Nantasha M. Williams

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

AND WATERFRONTS

APPEARANCES

Rohit Aggarwala - Commissioner of New York City Department of Environmental Protection

David Warne, Assistant Commissioner Bureau of Water Supply at New York City Department of Environmental Protection

Shilo Williams, Bureau of Water Supply at New York City Department of Environmental Protection

Jordan Page, Environmental Budget and Project Analysts for New York City Independent Budget Office

Brian Cain, Assistant Director of Housing, Environment and Infrastructure for New York City Independent Budget Office

Victoria Leung, Riverkeeper

William Scarborough, President of the Addisleigh Park Civic Association and President of Southeast Queens Environmental Justice Coalition

Eric Goldstein, Natural Resources Defense Council

Nikki Cross, Staff Attorney with New York Lawyers for the Public Interest

Maite Duquela, Climate Policy Fellow at Waterfront Alliance

George Jordan

AND WATERFRONTS

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jane Selden, Chair of 350 NYC's Waste Reduction Committee

Christopher Leon Johnson, self

Alia Soomro, Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters

Matt Gove, Surfrider Foundation

Rachana Shah, Co-President of Beyond Plastics Queens chapter

the Filtration Avoidance Determination, commonly

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

oversight hearing today.

referred to as the FAD, that DEP was granted by the EPA in 1997. This Committee and this Council were deeply engaged in years of delegate negotiations between the EPA, New York State, New York City, the Coalition of Upstate Towns, and what was known as the Environmental Coalition, which is a group of environmental organizations that came together in common cause with City government, State government, the Federal government, and the upstate towns to keep the New York City drinking water supply watershed unfiltered and pristine in perpetuity. The 1997 FAD was the result of a very historic Memorandum of Agreement, or MOA, of all the aforementioned parties. I know this well because I was there for those years leading up to the MOA as the Policy Analyst at that time for this Committee. This Committee went upstate to engage the Coalition of Watershed Towns to work to get to yes on this historic agreement. And more than 30 years after this process began, and now 27 years since the FAD MOA, the FAD has been reauthorized several times because of DEP's dedicated stewardship and investment in the watershed and this Committee's relentless oversight, which continues with this

2.2

2.3

Special recognition, I don't know if he's here, special recognition goes to Eric Goldstein of the Natural Resources Defense Council. He and I are the last ones, I believe, that are still active on this issue from among all those involved in forging the 1997 FAD, but it is DEP that has worked to comply with the FAD every day for the last 27 years, and we look forward to DEP's good testimony today.

Additionally, this Committee will be hearing Introductions 33, 225, 816, 900, and 1067, pretty full docket.

The Committee welcomes testimony from the Department of Environmental Protection, advocates, and interested members of the public. Also, we have the Independent Budget Office who will be testifying as well.

New York City's potable water infrastructure is truly a marvel of urban planning and engineering. Every day, about one billion gallons of water sourced across three watersheds spanning thousands of square miles is collected, treated, and routed via 7,000 miles of delivery infrastructure to New York City residents as well as to some very lucky residents of Westchester, Putnam, Orange, and Ulster

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

counties. DEP services about a million customers north of New York City. Our tap water is widely regarded as among the finest and tastiest in the world and is believed to be the secret behind the City's amazing pizza and bagels. The staff put that in. I didn't want to get hungry in the middle of my statement, but they put it in anyway. Because the water supply is derived from so-called surface waters, meaning from reservoirs fed from rivers, streams, and precipitation, federal law requires DEP to either filter the water prior to delivery to the end user or meet objective and stringent water quality standards such that filtration is unnecessary. I think it's appropriate for me here to mention that it's very rare for a surface water watershed not to have to abide by the surface water treatment rule of the Safe Water Drinking Act, which requires that all watersheds that use surface water be filtered, and when that rule was first put into effect, there was no such a thing as a Filtration Avoidance Determination. There was no provision in the rule to get one. If you use surface water, you had to filter. And it was the EPA administrator under Bush 41 who was on his way out of office, I think his

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

name was Riley, who thought it'd be a good idea for those watersheds that were completely pristine not to be burdened, not to have the regulatory burden of having to put up a filtration plant when they didn't need one, and so many watersheds tried to apply for a FAD and are turned down and there are precious few that actually have that, we'll hear that in the testimony of DEP, and New York City was a real challenge in that we have, I mean, the number that was thrown around 30 years ago was 50,000 people living in the watershed. I don't know what the number is now. We have scores of sewage treatment plant that discharge, and the EPA had some trepidation about even considering to give us a FAD, and this is all, this is kind of like old guy lore here. When you know stuff, you want to share it with younger generations. So the EPA did a smart thing. They empaneled a blueribbon panel of 10 watershed experts from around the country, and they deliberated for a while, did all kinds of analysis, and they came back with the unanimous conclusion that the EPA should not even entertain the notion of giving New York City a FAD, and so EPA, with that in hand, went to New York City and said, we're going to make some history here. You

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

got a lot of people live in this watershed. We got this panel of experts. Every single one says we shouldn't even be entertaining this. We're going to jump you through all kinds of hoops and make you do all kinds of investments, do land acquisition, a farm program, new watershed rules that hadn't been updated since 1953, and we're going to try to make some history here while at the same time putting DEP on a track of building a water filtration plant just in case this process didn't work out for maintaining the FAD, and the EPA was so interested in this that ordinarily the EPA devolves primacy over the day-today operations of the FAD to the State Health Department but, in this case, the EPA itself held on to primacy itself for 10 years, which it rarely does, and so it's good for people to know that we made a lot of history and a lot of good people came together to make this happen. It's just very, very difficult to get and even harder to maintain, and I think a lot of credit goes to DEP, as I said, for doing this dayto-day upholding of the FAD. Now the FAD police are like the New York State Department of Health and so, as they say, now you know.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Where was I before I got wrapped up in my own rhetoric here? Filter the water prior to delivering (INAUDIBLE) Okay, fine. Specifically, having a FAD, this means that DEP must maintain adequate disinfection systems, implement watershed control programs, keep concentrations of turbidity, which is kind of a fancy way of saying sediment, and there are things that you don't want in water that can attach to these particles, and so we have to keep our concentrations of turbidity below certain thresholds, and meet other requirements or risk losing our Filtration Avoidance Determination, the FAD. The FAD came, if things happen, it can go away, and then we have no other option than to build a filtration plant, which at the time was estimated 30 years ago to be six to eight billion dollars, who knows what it would cost today. I look forward to hearing from DEP about the good work they are doing to safeguard our drinking water supply and maintain the status of our FAD.

As an aside, I'll turn briefly to the issue of the so-called rental payment that the Administration has requested of the New York City Water Board to the tune of 1.4 billion dollars over

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the course of four years, 145 million in FY24, 289 million in FY25, which combines to 440 million, which we just did in the last budget. This comes from water rate proceeds with addition of rental payments announced by the Administration every Fiscal Year through 2028. The rental payments really have nothing to do with DEP. They are not the bad guys here, but there is a bill on the docket today that involves a rental payment so I thought I'd give you a little background on the rental payment. (INAUDIBLE) Let's see, earlier this year, the Water Board announced that it would increase water rates by 8.5 percent in 2025, in no small part to cover the rental payments that the Adams' Administration is charging the Water Board to lease the City's potable water and wastewater infrastructure. To be clear, this is the City charging the City for the use of City property and the proceeds of this scam, oh, did I say scam? Oh my God, and the proceeds of this scam goes to the City's general fund to fill budget gaps that have nothing to do with water and sewer, any kind of service delivery in that realm. New York City water rates is supposed to be collected for the purposes of funding the entirety of the water and sewer systems

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

operating and capital needs. By charging the Water Board for the rental payments, Mayor Adams is diverting money from these purposes to the City's general fund, leaving fewer resources available for much-needed infrastructure improvements and significantly increasing the financial burdens on rate payers at the same time. Recently, the Water Board unanimously passed a Resolution condemning the rental payment. However, the rental payment is legal. The Mayor is allowed to ask for it. The rental payment, once upon a time, had a legitimate purpose. That purpose is now passed and paying off some old debt. It's a whole big, long story. The debt that the rental payment was born to kind of payoff is now all paid off. Now it's just funneling money to the City's general fund, and I have my personal kind of like side project where I'm working with State Legislators to try to put the rental payment out of business permanently. The City doesn't have the authority to do that. The Water Board and the Water Finance Authority were created by the State, so only the State can shut that down, and so that's what I'm kind of working with my partners in the State government to try to get that done.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Let's get to the bills. My bill, Intro. 816, would require DEP to provide written notice to rate payers every time the City requests the base rental payment for our water and sewage infrastructure from the New York City Water Board no later than 15 days before the first water rate hearing, following the request of the rental payment from the Water Board. No, the rental payment, that would be from the Mayor. You get what I'm talking about. Requesting rental payments from the Water Board, which is done by the Mayor, amounts to a hidden tax on property owners and any time an Administration chooses to take this action, the rate payers should be informed so that's really like a notification thing. This is not the end of the road. I think I'm starting with that, but eventually I'm not going to be satisfied until the rental payment is out of business. It's a very seductive hidden tax that no one knows about, which is why it's so enticing for OMB, where I used to work once upon a time, to grab money like wherever they can when the City's trying to make a budget. That's what's really going on here, but they shouldn't be allowed to do that. If I have anything to say about it, they won't.

2.2

2.3

Back to the text. Additionally, I have introduced a resolution, Resolution 83, which would call upon the New York City Water Board to notify all Council Members and Community Boards at least 30 days before a public hearing concerning an annual water rate increase. While the Water Board currently cannot change the water rate without both holding a public hearing, notice at least 20 days in advance as well as holding public hearings in each borough, each of which we notice seven days in advance, judging from the lack of public participation at these hearings, the Water Board's current method of public notice are not sufficient.

We'll also be hearing another of my bills, how many bills do I have on? We'll also be noticing another of my bills, Intro. 33, which required DEP to notify relevant Community Boards and Member offices 48 hours in advance of any planned work that might lead to discolored water or reduction in water pressure.

Intro. 225, sponsored by Council Member
Holden, to my left, would require DEP to post
information on its website pertaining to scheduled
and requested infrastructure. You know what, I'm

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 15

going to let Bob talk about his bill in a minute.

3 Better to hear from him than from me.

2.2

2.3

We're going to hear Intro. 900, sponsored by Council Member Powers. Council Member, I'm assuming you're going to want to speak on your bill as well. Okay, we'll let Council Member Powers talk about his good bill.

Intro. 1067, sponsored by Council Member Williams. Is Council Member Williams? I got my reading glasses. What's that? Oh, Zoom, okay. Where was I? Intro. 1067, sponsored by Council Member Williams, would require DEP to issue a request for proposals for a community-based organization, or CBO, to identify residential... Oh, you know what? She's going to talk about this, so I'll just let her do that.

Oh, I'm up to the good part, thanking people. I'd like to thank the Committee Staff, Policy Analysts, Ricky Chawla and Andrew Bourne; Legislative Counsel, Austin Malone, to my right; Financial Analyst, Tanveer Singh; as well as my Legislative Director, Josh Gachette, for all of their hard work.

I'm going to recognize the Council Members that are here, then I'm going to call upon

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 16

the members that have bills on, and they're going to talk about that. So, with us, we have Council Member Holden, Council Member Marmorato, Powers, Brannan, Restler, Williams on Zoom. We also have Council Member Avilés.

And with that said, why don't we start this way and just go around? I recognize Council Member Powers to speak on his bill. Council Member Powers is duly recognized.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you, Chair Gennaro, and nice to see everyone here today, and thank you to the Committee for letting me speak about Introduction 900, my bill to increase access to drinking fountains and water bottle refill stations in public spaces across our city.

2.2

2.3

I think this summer's heat wave underscored an issue that has long remained under the radar for many New Yorkers, which is the lack of water fountains and reusable water bottle stations in our city parks. While the City's efforts to expand the number of publicly accessible drinking fountains in recent years have been admirable, and I want to give them credit for that, in many of New York's most densely populated neighborhoods, the number of

water

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fountains fell short of meeting the community's needs. Access to drinking water is a fundamental right for all New Yorkers and visitors, and ensuring this right of safeguard is essential for public health and our city's overall well-being. The lack of convenient refilling stations promotes reliance on single-use plastic bottles, which, of course, undermines the City's efforts to reduce waste and combat pollution. Intro. 900 will require the addition of 500 new drinking fountains in our public spaces by 2030, including water bottle refill capability and an emphasis on accessibility. It will require these new fountains to be distributed equitably throughout the five boroughs so that all of our neighborhoods have access to this. It also requires our City agencies to make recommendations on whether the program should be expanded and what challenges and issues are presented in the program. Each refillable water bottle filled at a public fountain represents a step towards reducing our environmental impact and increasing access to clean drinking water for our constituents. Of course, anybody who spends time inside of a city park,

whether you are a family with children or walking

2.2

2.3

your dog or just taking a walk through, having access to that water fountain, particularly on a hot day, is extremely meaningful. And for people who spend a lot of time in those parks that don't have access to it, it can mean a whole lot to them and their family to have access to clean drinking water and, of course, to not have to rely on single plastic bottles in order to do that.

I want to give a lot of credit. I have some constituents and friends who are here today who have been working on this. I want to give them credit for being here and their work and their idea to continue to build on existing efforts in the city to expand access to water. I, of course, look forward to hearing from testimony from the DEP, who I know will certainly be in support of this bill and working closely with the Administration to make this goal a reality. I want to thank Chair Gennaro for hearing this bill today and giving me an opportunity to speak on this important issue and looking forward to hearing from the public as well. Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council
Member Powers. I recognize Council Member Holden to
speak on his bill followed by Council Member Williams

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on Zoom to speak about her bill. Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Chair Gennaro, and thank you to the members of the Committee on Environmental Protection for the opportunity to present my bill, Intro. 225.

This legislation would require the Department of Environmental Protection to publish information on its website about requests it receives and work it has scheduled, anything from catch basin maintenance to hydrant repairs and other essential tasks. I know this may seem somewhat complex, but it's actually not. It's very straightforward. With today's technology and the clear need for transparency, this bill would empower the DEP to create a public database similar to tools that other agencies do, like, for instance, the New York City Trees Map and the DOT Case Status Map so this is done already. Such a platform would allow New Yorkers to see updates on catch basins, hydrants, sinkholes, and other infrastructure showing when an issue was reported and when it was inspected and when it was repaired or slated to be repaired. While this may, again, may seem like some work, I am confident the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEP can meet this standard, just like other agencies that I mentioned have done. This tool would greatly benefit New Yorkers by offering updates on essential infrastructure near their homes and would allow elected offices to check on issues in real time to better serve constituents. Right now, we have to call DEP. We've got to get somebody to talk to, and it just goes around in circles many times. So, this makes sense, and I thank the DEP and City Hall for their willingness to discuss this bill with my office even before today's hearing. I look forward to hearing from the DEP and working with them to make any necessary adjustments to help bring this valuable resource to life, and again, I want to thank Chair Gennaro for allowing me to speak and then for also hearing the bill today. Thank you.

Thank you, Council Member Holden. It's a pleasure. Next, I recognize on Zoom Council Member Williams to speak on her bill. Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Hello, thank
you, and good afternoon, Chair and Members of the
Committee on Environmental Protection. Today, I am
proud to sponsor 1067 being heard today, a bill

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

designed to address a pervasive and overlooked threat impacting residents across New York City, groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding has damaged countless homes, affected essential infrastructure, and disrupted the lives of families and our communities. Yet, despite DEP's awareness of these challenges, little has been done to systematically identify effective properties or connect homeowners with the resources they need for repairs and flood adaptations. In Southeast Queens, groundwater flooding has worsened significantly since 1996, when the City's DEP took over the Jamaica Water Supply Company, which had previously managed water levels by pumping from local wells. DEP's cessation of well pumping caused the water table to rise by at least 35 feet as admitted by the Agency in 2007. DEP recognized the need for interventions then but has yet to deliver an effective citywide solution. The results are evident throughout our communities. Constant basement flooding, damaged homes and schools, and ongoing health and safety risk. For years, residents have been left to run costly electric pumps 24/7 to keep flood water out of their

homes, all while receiving little support from

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

government. Intro. 1067 offers a proactive solution to these ongoing issues and mandates that DEP issue a request for proposals to contract a community-based organization, or CBO, with direct ties to impacted neighborhoods. This CBO will be responsible for identifying properties citywide that are impacted by groundwater flooding and for connecting those properties with retrofit repair assistance and resources for long-term management. For at least five years, the contracted CBO will also provide case management and informational support to homeowners through mailings and other outreach efforts. The bill further requires that the CBO submit an annual report detailing the properties affected, the extent of the damage, the estimated cost for retrofits, and any insights for improving assistance programs. DEP will then communicate these findings to relevant state and federal agencies, because I do recognize, and I just want to state for the record, that this is a very complex issue, not solely on the City, but also to much responsibility of the State and Federal government. This bill also establishes an interagency task force to focus on Southeast Queens, a community that has shouldered the brunt of groundwater

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 23

flooding. This task force will be charged with recommending changes in the legislation to improve flooding assistance for the area and will be dissolved upon the submission of its fifth annual report.

Intro. 1067 is about giving our communities a voice and ensuring they have the tools to respond to a crisis that DEP knew would unfold. I urge the Committee to support this measure, enabling us to bring relief to our constituents, protect their homes, and create a foundation of resilience citywide. Thank you so much, Chair. I looked at many documents from my constituents from a few decades ago and smiled to see that you were the Council Member then, and I know as a staffer, when Archie Spinger was the Council Member, you know this issue very well, so thank you so much to you for hearing this bill today, and I look forward to working with DEP. We are working with them. So, thank you already to DEP for really working with the Southeast Queens community to find some sustainable solutions. Thank you so much.

23

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Williams. Your comments were most gracious. I appreciate it. Thank you.

And before you swear in the witnesses, I saw before we have former Assemblyman Bill Scarborough is here. He'll be testifying. And although he's no longer in office, he's still very active, particularly on environmental issues. He works a lot with Manny Kaufman. Is Manny here today or whatever? Okay. But I want to recognize Assemblyman Scarborough for his good work, and a lot of Queens elected officials stand on his shoulders for his decades of service to the people of Queens and the people of the entirety of New York State. Good to see you, Bill, and Robert Cataldo is here. I give the Mayor's Office a hard time a lot, and Robert is always very good sport about it so if I'm going to be that guy, then at least I can give recognition for his always good-natured interactions with me when I probably deserve less than good-natured interaction, let's put it that way, and so thank you, Robert, for being here.

And with that, what do I do now? Just call him?

3

4

Okay. The Counsel will swear in the panel, and then the Commission will introduce his Colleagues and proceed with his good testimony.

5

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MALONE: Please raise your right hand.

6 7

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer all Council Member guestions honestly?

9

10

8

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I do.

11

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: I do.

12

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: I do.

13

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MALONE: Thank you, you

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, hang on, I got

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: All right, thank

14

may begin.

15

the big print version of your testimony. My Staff is

17

16

so good to me. Okay, I am good to go. Thank you,

18

Commissioner.

19

20

you, and good afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Members of

21

the Committee on Environmental Protection, Resilience

22

and Waterfronts. I'm Rohit T. Aggarwala, Commissioner

23

of the Department of Environmental Protection, and

24

I'm joined today by Assistant Commissioners David

25

Warne and Shiloh Williams of the Bureau of Water

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Supply to talk about the Filtration Avoidance

Determination, the FAD, and the preservation of our

water supply in general. I will also share DEP's

perspective on the several bills being heard today.

New York City's water supply comes from a network of 19 collecting reservoirs upstate, ranging from Kensico in Westchester to Schoharie and Cannonsville, each more than 100 miles away from the city. Two other reservoirs, Hillview and Yonkers and Jerome Park in the Bronx, are only holding reservoirs and do not collect runoff. Typically, 10 percent of our annual water consumption comes from the Croton network of reservoirs in Westchester, Putnam, and Dutchess Counties, which are the oldest reservoirs and are the successors of the original Croton system of 1842. Croton water is all filtered at our Croton filtration plant in the Bronx, which opened in 2015 and, as you know, due to the currently ongoing repair of the Delaware aqueduct, roughly 30 percent of our water right now is coming from the Croton system. In normal periods, 90 percent of our consumption comes from the Delaware and Catskill watersheds, which are entirely west of the Hudson River. These two watersheds are covered by the FAD, which allows us to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

avoid filtering the water from these systems. The water is treated with both chlorine and ultraviolet light to disinfect it, but we rely on natural systems to keep the water clear. Going back to the origins of New York City's water supply in the 19th century, State law had given New York City the authority to regulate certain polluting activities in the watershed to keep the water clean. This gave us a head start when, in 1989, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency promulgated the Federal Surface Water Treatment Rule. The rule required all surface water supplies, like New York's, to be mechanically filtered unless the water supplier could demonstrate the water met certain health-based criteria and that there were controls in place to protect against contamination. In fact, all of New York City's water supplies, including the Croton system, met the rule's health-based water quality standards. However, the level of development in the Croton watershed meant that DEP did not believe it would be possible to control future potential pollution. As a result, DEP moved to adopt filtration for the Croton system and to seek a FAD for the Catskill and Delaware systems.

2.2

2.3

The FAD is the regulatory waiver that allows New York City to avoid the filtration requirement of the Surface Water Treatment Rule. It is based on the ability of New York City to convince the EPA, and now, as the Chair pointed out, the New York State Department of Health, that there are controls in place to protect against future pollution. There are many tests and reports that are required to document that we are maintaining both water quality and ongoing pollution prevention, and there are key tests we could fail, which would lead the Department of Health or EPA to mandate that New York City immediately move to build a filtration plant. The FAD also requires us to develop and maintain contingency plans for such a situation.

When we speak of the FAD, as the Chair pointed out, we are, in fact, speaking of several interconnected protocols. The FAD itself is a 10-year directive from the State Department of Health. The current FAD is up for renewal in 2027. Following the issuance of the first FAD in 1993, DEP was a party to the New York City Watershed MOA, as the Chair mentioned, which was signed in 1997. In addition, DEP's land acquisition activities in the watershed,

2.2

2.3

in compliance with the MOA, are authorized by a withdrawal permit, which is granted by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. This permit expires in 2025, and we are currently negotiating the next 10-year permit.

The FAD is a globally recognized pioneering example of payments for ecosystem services. Ultimately, by protecting nature and compensating watershed residents for the costs of those protections, New York City water ratepayers are paying to ensure that nature can filter our water, and thus avoiding the expense of building and operating a massive filtration plant. Since 1993, New York City has invested roughly 2.5 billion dollars in the programs that make the FAD work. This is a sizable investment, but one that continues to pay off and is far smaller than what a filtration plant would cost.

In 1993, when the first FAD criteria were implemented, the main risks to our water supply were human and agricultural. As a result, the FAD broadly includes three cornerstone programs. The first was the Land Acquisition Program, which preserves the pristine landscape that produces high-quality source

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 water and protects against excessive development.

When the reservoirs were constructed, the City

purchased about 78,000 acres of land. Since 1997

purchased about 78,000 acres of land. Since 1997, we

5 have tripled our footprint, purchasing an additional

6 | 155,000 acres in the watershed as buffer lands for

7 water quality protection. By comparison, the entire

8 land area of New York City is roughly 194,000 acres.

We own more land in the watershed than there is land

10 | in the five boroughs.

The second program addressed wastewater from the population of the watershed. DEP funded the installation of high-level treatment technology on more than 30 existing wastewater plants in the watershed. This treatment, known as tertiary treatment, effectively eliminates pathogens from the plant effluent and also reduces nutrient discharge. Further, DEP paid to construct new centralized wastewater systems in more than 20 communities, and DEP has ensured that more than 6,000 septic systems serving homes and small businesses have been repaired.

The third program has addressed agricultural runoff. The watershed is home to hundreds of small family-owned farms that produce

2.2

2.3

dairy products, beef, and produce. Working with local partners, DEP subsidizes on-site evaluations of each farming operation and recommends tailored practices to reduce or eliminate polluted runoff. With DEP funding, thousands of best management practices have been installed on hundreds of farms in the past 30 years. These BMPs have the added benefit of often enhancing farm operations and profitability, thereby sustaining farming as a vital part of the watershed economy. These are voluntary programs, and today 90 percent of the large farms in the watershed participate.

The FAD relies on a network of watershed partners who receive funds from DEP and implement our programs. These partners include the Catskill Watershed Corporation, the Watershed Agricultural Council, four local soil and water conservation districts, the Cornell Cooperative Extension, and the Catskill Center. These organizations are trusted in their communities and hence are often more effective at obtaining local support and participation than DEP would be directly.

The midterm review of the FAD was completed, and New York State Department of Health

2.2

2.3

issued revisions in 2022. As part of that review, the National Academy of Science undertook a review of the FAD so that all involved could benefit from impartial scientific analysis of the FAD and its programs. We are in the midst of applying for a new permit from DEC that will involve changes to our land acquisition program, including the focus on the highest priority areas. The next FAD is due to be negotiated for 2027, and we are already assessing what will be required for the FAD to continue for the foreseeable future.

While not officially part of our FAD, another critical component of our overall watershed protection efforts is the DEP Police Department. Our officers patrol the watershed every day, and they maintain a detective bureau, an emergency service unit, a canine unit, and an aviation unit. The DEP police protect against threats to our water supply, including unauthorized access, encroachment on DEP land, and potential threats to our water infrastructure. They also work closely with local police agencies and assist during emergency situations. In fact, I will point out just this weekend, we participated along with local police in helping to fight a forest fire up in Duchess County,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

including using our helicopter to dump water on it at one point. Their work is critical to ensuring that our surface water supply and our infrastructure are secure. The new union representing the DEP police are currently engaged in an effort to secure State and City approval for an appropriate law enforcement pension. DEP police have a civil service pension plan, not a law enforcement one. This means that DEP police cannot retire until age 63, while most police officers in New York State can retire after 25 years of service. Because our officers are fully trained and licensed, in fact, DEP has its own police academy, we are constantly losing our officers to other forces, in large part because of this massive disparity in the pension. We currently have nearly a 20 percent vacancy rate, despite doing everything we can to recruit and retain these important officers.

DEP's outsized role in the watershed as a landowner, major employer, and police force makes it critical that we are a valued member of the community. I'm pleased to say that, in fact, we are held in high esteem by the residents of the watershed. In fact, we undertook a Siena poll last year to understand how watershed residents west of

2.2

2.3

taxes upstate last year.

the Hudson viewed DEP, and we were rated very highly. In particular, watershed residents appreciate that DEP makes so much of our lands available for recreation, which is a cornerstone of the quality of life in the area, and a key aspect of its tourism and second home economy. They also appreciate the benefits the DEP police bring. It was less widely understood that, despite being a government entity, DEP pays taxes on its property in the watershed. In fact, we are the largest taxpayer in all of the west of Hudson watershed counties and the second largest taxpayer in Westchester. In many school districts, DEP actually pays a majority of the school system's revenues. All told, we paid 165 million dollars in

More broadly, we have to be sensitive to the concerns of watershed residents who are often concerned that land acquisition and land use restrictions can hinder economic development. We incorporate public amenities into capital work when we can, just like we would do around the city. For example, we recently broke ground on an infrastructure project that will include a new parking lot for a local school. Going forward, we

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need to ensure that we are always on the lookout for ways that our operations can also create benefits for our watershed neighbors. By being an esteemed presence in the watershed, we can ensure that there is long-term support that will allow us to protect the FAD for generations to come and for DEP to play a leading role in defining the future of the FAD.

As successful as it is, the FAD is not necessarily permanent. It can be revoked on fairly short notice if we fail to fulfill our obligations or if our water quality fails to meet standards, even if those standards are caused by storms or other factors beyond our control. There are only six water systems in the United States that have FADs or their equivalents, and one of them, Portland, Oregon, recently lost their FAD because of the detection of naturally occurring pathogens that had not been in their sourced water before and required filtration to eliminate. EPA gave Portland only 10 years to build their treatment plant, which, at 135 million gallons a day, is less than one-tenth the size of the plant we would need, and their filtration plant will cost roughly 2 billion dollars. This cost reinforces the fact that we have to be vigilant.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It also reminds us that the FAD must be based on the science. The FAD has succeeded not because it was good environmental policy or good economic policy. It has succeeded because it was sound water quality policy. The scientific review by the National Academy that I mentioned found that our land acquisition program could use adjustment. The region is divided into four areas, priority areas one through four, based on their potential to impact water sources. Most important are the lands bordering our reservoirs and their tributaries, and these are priority areas one and two. Further away are lands in priority areas three and four. Until now, we have sought to acquire land in all of these areas, but the National Academy found that continued purchases in areas three and four would have no more benefits to water quality, while land in priority areas one and two remain as important as ever, if not more so. As a result, we recently altered our land acquisition practices to focus more on areas one and two and to end programmatic purchases in areas three and four.

Going forward, we must continue to ensure that the FAD evolves as threats to water quality evolve. Increasing water quality standards mean that

2.2

2.3

there are limits to how much chlorine we can use to disinfect water after a major storm. Climate change means that intense storms are more frequent. While we have traditionally worried about human and agricultural contamination, the massive rebound in wildlife populations, particularly around the Kensico Reservoir, means that these are also now a source of potential contamination, especially after severe storms and after the short-term extreme droughts that climate change may bring, and in fact is bringing, since we are in one right now.

The new FAD in 2027 will need to continue to protect against historic threats and also guard against these new ones. What is certain is that the FAD will need to evolve. If it does not, it is far more likely to fail, and if it does not evolve based on the science, it will certainly fail. This is one more reason that DEP must be an esteemed presence in the watershed, and that we have to invest in our own research. We need to ensure that DEP has the standing to play a leading role in defining the future of the FAD.

We must also plan for the possibility of full filtration at some point in the future, even as

2.2

2.3

we seek to avoid it. The current FAD requires DEP to maintain contingency plans for filtration, and the experience of Portland, again, given only 10 years to build its filtration plan, demonstrates the importance of such contingency planning.

As I mentioned, the FAD has been a point of pride for DEP and the city for many decades. It is a credit to our staff, to the many involved in creating it, as the Chairman so eloquently described, and to the generations of dedicated civil servants who preceded us and laid the groundwork for the system we manage today.

Before concluding, I'll take a few minutes to speak about each of the bills on today's agenda. Intro. 33 would require DEP to notify Council Members and Community Boards 48 hours before work that would cause discolored water or loss of water pressure. Currently, our Community Affairs Team notifies Community Boards as soon as we confirm locations for emergency construction. They also update impacted Community Boards and elected officials during water main breaks in real time. Prior to water shutoffs, our contractors and field operations teams post notices in neighborhoods and on

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

doors with information on potential impacts and instructions. We are committed to keeping constant, robust communication with elected officials and residents. We have recently created the position of Borough Commissioner in our Community Affairs Unit, specifically to strengthen lines of communication. We would welcome discussions about how we can make additional improvements. Because this bill would codify and refine current DEP practice, we would like to work with the Council to ensure that it does not unnecessarily add cost or complicate operations. For example, we currently schedule work the day before it is done so we could not send out notifications 48 hours before. As a result, we agree with the objectives of this bill, but would seek to collaborate on the language.

Intro. 225 would require DEP to establish a website with schedules and other information on a broad range of our operations. We currently provide a great deal of information, both in real time and through NYC Open Data, which includes fully 43 data sets which DEP provides and updates. There's a list of those data in the final page as an appendix to this testimony. We offer real time information on our

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

website on a variety of topics ranging from reservoir levels and the source of water to each part of the city to whether the Newtown Creek gas-to-grid facility is operational. In addition to the MMR, we publish annual reports on water quality, on the state of the sewers, and of course, on our finances. The bill as written is breathtakingly broad and the information it seeks would, I believe, be impossible to convey in the way the bill seems to intend. Further, it would require significant cost and headcount to attempt to do so. We have several ongoing internal efforts that map our work that could be made public, and we would be happy to work with the Council to narrow the bill's scope and understand what information is needed for what purpose and by whom.

Intro. 816 would require DEP to notify by first class mail all owners of property with water or sewer service when the City requests a rental payment on a strict timeline. I certainly agree that people should understand what their water rate payments are spent on. I would like to work with the Council, though, on the details. For example, a dedicated first class mailing would cost about one million

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dollars. Further, under the City's lease to the Water Board, we do not actually always know when the City will request a rental payment. Above all, DEP does not set water rates, rather they are set, as the Chair noted, every year by the Water Board through a legally prescribed process that requires public hearings and notices. The Water Board is a State entity as the Chair noted. It is also important to note that the rental payment, if there is one, is not the only factor that is included in rate setting, as is illustrated in the chart included in the testimony from our Fiscal Year '25 rate hearings earlier this year. We have already been exploring the potential to let New Yorkers know how their water bills are being spent, as many other utilities do. An example of what that might look like is in the testimony. If rate payers understand what their payments are going to, they would have the context to be more informed when looking at rate proposals for future years.

Intro. 900 would require DEP to install 500 drinking fountains around the city by 2030. While we support any effort that encourages more people to drink our tap water, we unfortunately do not believe that the proposal is feasible. Installing 500

2.2

2.3

fountains would cost about 20 million dollars. DEP does not currently maintain any water fountains so maintaining these new fountains would require hiring a new dedicated team of staff. We do not currently have sufficient staff or even the appropriate staff to do so. It is not clear that this would be a legitimate use of water rate funding, so we would need appropriations from general tax levy funding. We are happy to work with you to develop programs to ensure access to drinking water around the city, but we strongly believe that this proposal, as written, is not the best way to achieve that goal.

Finally, Intro. 1067 would require DEP to hire community-based organizations to identify properties that have been damaged by groundwater flooding, connect those property owners to assistance programs, and provide case management support to property owners. The bill also creates a task force focused on Southeast Queens Flooding Adaptation Assistance. We have been seriously focused on the overall problem and on the challenges of Southeast Queens. For several years, as you know well, one of DEP's top priorities has been to implement better flood management around the city. This has included

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

assistance to property owners through the Rainfall Ready Program and the more recent town hall-style flooding information sessions that DEP has been hosting this past year, which included a flood preparation kit. We have also been focused on groundwater flooding. We have an ongoing effort with the U.S. Geological Survey to map and monitor groundwater levels across the city, which is of interest as sea level rise has been raising groundwater levels across the eastern seaboard. We have also been focused on those experiencing flooding in Southeast Queens. That part of New York City has 2.5 billion dollars in the current capital plan for stormwater management, which means that it is receiving more investment than any other part of the city. We have also been working to clarify the groundwater flooding issue, and we find that some residents may be conflating groundwater flooding with other forms of flooding. We welcome the opportunity to work with the Council to expand our work. We do not think, though, that the program laid out in this legislation is the best way that DEP can support residents. DEP does not provide the sort of case

management assistance to homeowners that would be

2.2

2.3

required by this bill. Rather, one approach that we are exploring is whether the New York City

Accelerator, overseen by the Mayor's Office of

Climate and Environmental Justice, which provides

precisely this kind of direct advice and assistance

to building owners around energy efficiency, could be

expanded to offer advice to homeowners on flooding

issues. We and the Mayor's Office of Contract

Services also have concerns about the contracting

requirements in the bill. The Law Department is

reviewing so we would like to discuss these issues in

the near future.

Today's hearing covers a number of important areas. I'm joined by several colleagues in addition to my two colleagues here at the table, sitting here with me and behind in the audience, and we are happy to answer any questions that you have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,

Commissioner. I appreciate your comprehensive
testimony.

In terms of how we proceed, we have,

(INAUDIBLE) Okay. I think I'm here for the whole

time, of course, but out of respect for my Colleagues

that have bills on, I think I'm going to be the nice

Chairman and let my Colleagues that I know want to

pose questions to DEP on their bills to do so, so

we'll follow the same order as last time because

these folks have places to go, and they want to get

their questions in about their bills so I recognize

Council Member Powers to ask questions about his

bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you. Thanks so much. You're always a nice Chairman in my eyes so I appreciate it.

Thanks for the testimony. Of course, we'd love to have had your support on expanding more water bottle fill stations here and drinking fountains throughout the city, but can you just give us some information today about the status on that? How many drinking fountains today currently have water bottle refill attachments?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member,

DEP provides water to water customers. We do not

actually provide drinking water fountains. As you

noted in your comments, the bulk of the city's water

fountains are actually in parks and are maintained by

2.2

2.3

the Parks Department so I do not have a catalog nor is it something that DEP actually does.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it, and so, in your testimony, noting that, you had expressed concerns or opposition to the bill based on access to water, which is one of your main goals and missions.

I understand the cost factor of it, but are there concerns that go beyond the cost of it in terms of installing?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, first of all, we are concerned whenever the scope of our activities are expanded, and this proposed legislation would basically require DEP to get into a line of business it has not been in in the past, and so we would need a new set of operations, and it is often assumed that everything DEP does can be funded by water bills, but in fact the State legislation that authorizes the Water Board does lay out what water payments can and cannot be used for, which means that a project like this would come out of the same pot of money that it would if the Parks Department or the schools or DOT through its street furniture contracts were asked to provide this.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

DEP does programs where they provide mobile water in the past, mobile water fountains in parks and open spaces. I think there used to be one right outside here at City Hall Park, I remember seeing one. What is DEP's role responsibility in terms of providing that? That is an example where you provide water fountain access.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Mobile, I understand but seems to be part of the plan to provide access to water.

is. So, the water-on-the-go stations, which you're describing are very much temporary. They are not cheap because they have to be staffed, right, and so we tend not to leave them unattended. They really were developed as an emergency response mechanism, so what we do when we have a water main break and there are going to be households without water for more than an hour or two, right? If we find out that it's going to take six hours, 10 hours, which is a minority of water main breaks, but nonetheless, an extended water main break that will leave people

2.2

2.3

without water, we will deploy one or depending on the size of it, sometimes two water-on-the-go stations so that people can fill a bucket, fill a jug, get safe drinking water without having to purchase it during that. That's why we have those water on the go stations. We have on occasion for events, we did it for Open Streets earlier over the summer. We have provided the water-on-the-go stations. To be entirely honest, we have been reducing the number of instances that we do that because we are effectively staffing that with people on overtime, and it's often on Saturdays and Sundays and it was getting quite expensive so those are mobile, a mobile station is very different from a permanent one.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Are you staffing that with overtime because you are under your headcount relative to where you used to be when you would staff those with normal staff or what has changed in terms of your staffing that requires you to scale back?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, I mean, A, we are certainly conscious of managing our expense budget. That's something I'm sure everybody is concerned about, and they were basically a marketing

2.2

2.3

device, right? It built awareness, provided an amenity, but it's really only justifiable as a marketing effort, an educational effort, because it is not really consistent with DEP's mandate. We have other things that we also do, as I mentioned, the flood kits. You know, it's not clear at all that it would be an appropriate use of water rate funding for us to send every citizen in New York City or every resident of New York City a flood preparation kit. However, as a way to educate people about the need to do flood preparation, we've invested in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it. I won't take up too much time here. I certainly want the Administration, whether it's DEP or other...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Keith, I'm happy to give you a little latitude. Go ahead.

it. I'll still stick on my point, which is that, you know, whether it's DEP or it's Parks Department, the goal here is to try to expand access to places where, we did a survey in my office and found a lot of water fountains in public spaces, mostly parks, that still a lack of in my District and places on the east side. I'm sure that's for the city as well. There's about

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

455 fountains, I think, currently in the city that have the water filling capabilities so we're still have a long way to go. I certainly would have enjoyed if Parks was here too, if they were going to speak to this bill, and the answer is going to be that your agency is not responsible. We certainly would love to have the agency that is responsible here to speak to it, because maybe it is their mandate then to help expand us with that and also figure out a partnership with the Council with our capital funding, perhaps, about how we might do that in certain parks or DDC if it's their projects that are leading to that so I'm not putting that on you. I certainly know you speak for your agency, but from an Administration standpoint, we'd certainly love to have more receptiveness to that and think about with us a plan to get you there, to get the city there, I should say, get us all there so I'm going to forego other questions here but mostly to just restate that I think this is a goal that we can achieve in the next few years, that we can work together with the agencies to find it, whether it's DDC, because I know they did some projects in my District recently where they did put these stations in, Parks, figure out the

2.2

2.3

right agencies to do this, and then also figure out how to maintain them so certainly would love to have them here as well to provide feedback, and we will reach out to them to get their feedback. But from an Administration standpoint, for folks who are here for Administration, I think there is a lot of public support for this, and do not want to live in the world of just being siloed for one agency or the other. Let's have a conversation with it, and we'll work with the Administration beyond you guys to work on that, and we appreciate your cooperation as we do that. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Powers. Now I recognize Council Member Holden for questions on his bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Chair.

You know, I see in your testimony, you said my bill would be almost impossible for you to carry this out, but that's why we have hearings to negotiate. So, for instance, we have a lot of catch basin requests, and you said it's on Open Data, but are there any other platforms that you have where we can check on the existence of, or at least the progress in a complaint other than open data?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Right now, I don't think so, and so your characterization of the fact that you are calling us, that your office and others are calling us, is not wrong.

council Member Holden: So how do you solve that? My bill's trying to, and it doesn't have to be everything, like every complaint possible, because I understand that can get unwieldy, but if other agencies like Tree Maps can do it or DOT can do it, I think there's a model already so if we can, you know, with the technology, I think that it's possible. It's not like, you know, it's not insanely ridiculous to request, you know, some kind of database.

Member, I certainly didn't use the word ridiculous in characterizing your bill. What I mentioned in the testimony is that we do have a number of dashboards that we use in our operation, and your bill is prompting us to take a look at those, to see what of those we might make available, and we'd be happy to work with you on the specifics. I think there are some, you know, for example, we have a schedule of when catch basins are due to be inspected. As I've

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mentioned in previous testimony, we do that based on an algorithm of risk. However, that schedule is not necessarily fixed because the same crews sometimes are the ones who do the cleaning and so if you find a lot that need cleaning, that's an inspection, then the inspections get delayed and we do more of the cleaning and usually it averages out so we maintain a kind of a predictive schedule, but sometimes that happens. And then of course the cleanings aren't scheduled unless and until there is a finding that an inspection yields that it needs to be cleaned so, as I said, and as I appreciated in your statement, you know, I think there's a way for us to work with you to use the data we have and we will be happy to talk with you and your staff directly on the Committee.

here's what's happening on the front lines, and I guess you know some of this, but for instance, we have a catch basin that needs cleaning. Somebody says there's an odor. It's been going on for months. We can't get anything done, and then the person tells us, well, you know, there's a car always parked in front of it and DOT doesn't even come out and put out a, you know, no parking sign the night before, and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that's even in front of my house. I have the same situation. They never clean that. On certain days, you really get, it's a terrible odor coming from it. But for instance, the biggest complaint I get is about water possibly being brown, I'm getting brown water or, you know, it's discolored. Why is this happening? Something very simple like DOT is milling the streets in the area so that probably shook, I quess, I'm just quessing on whether that would cause, you know, that discoloration of water, but there's a million other things and, again, it doesn't have to be, we could look at the top 15 complaints, what people care about, and then put that on some kind of, and just like we could log the complaints and it might just take a few employees. I don't know. I mean, that's up to, you know, I guess talking to the other agencies and see what they do, it might be a good thing, but I get a lot of sinkholes, you know, fire hydrants leaking that take a very, very long time, but the sinkholes are all over the city, and I guess DEP puts it in priority because sometimes they go on for years and so that's where we could say, we're expecting this to be done in 2025, you know, toward the end, depending on the severity of it. So,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

other than personnel, what are the barriers from your agency to do this or preventing you because you came out really kind of against it.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, Council Member, what concerns me and us is really the list of things here. As I said, we would be very open, and in fact, already have prompted by this bill, looking at our existing dashboards and our existing schedules, and we'd be happy to share kind of what we think would be easy to do, because if we're already using data to manage our operations and there's nothing sensitive in it, then it would be very easy for us to share. Creating a new data set or a new set of protocols, that would be where the trouble is. And, you know, and I know it can be very frustrating as a nearly lifelong resident of New York, I feel similarly, and Council Member Powers kind of cited it. Sinkholes are sometimes a DOT task, sometimes a DEP task, and sometimes to be honest, it goes entirely back and forth, and when you see a sinkhole that takes a very long time, it's usually one of those.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, no, and we know this because we get bounced back and forth many

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

times, and that's what this might correct. Because right now, once the, let's say DOT mill, I'm sorry, I just, the Chair's not here, oh, there he is, over there, let's say DOT mills and paves the street, and then when they put the manhole covers on, it's loose, and now we go into another complaint area of DEP saying, well, that's really DOT because when they put the asphalt down, the rim, they didn't clean out the rim, and then it's sitting wrong, and that happened to me three times, where, and it still happens so it's like we're getting bounced, and DOT says, no, it's DEP, DEP said, no, it's really a DOT issue, so this is where I think some kind of service where we can see the progress, we can see who has it. If it's DOT, let it go in a database. But I think OTI might, if you work with them, I think they might have a solution to this. Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

19

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Holden.

20

21

2.2

I'd like to recognize and join my Council Member Zhuang.

23

I'm going to call upon Council Member Williams to ask questions on her bill.

24

2.2

2.3

Just kind of like a point of order and Council rules. The rules of the Council require that there be a quorum of Council Members in the room when a Council Member is asking questions remotely so if any of you four were to leave while Nan is asking questions, I'd have to cut her off and I don't want to do that and so we have a lot of rules around here. I recognize Council Member Williams to ask her good questions of the Commissioner about her bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. The first question I have, and hi, Commissioner. Sorry, I'm not there in person. I have a little person that is sick.

This is about mapping impacted communities so I wanted to know what progress has DEP made in mapping the residential properties in Queens, Community District 12 and 13 that have been affected by groundwater flooding, how are these properties being identified, and what resources are available to help homeowners?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you,

Council Member. As you know, as your question

indicates, one of the things that we have been

working on since earlier this year, really about

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

February we started, is an effort to understand who is experiencing groundwater flooding, particularly in your District and some of the areas that have longstanding complaints about the closure of the Jamaica water supply. To date, we've done some targeted outreach, including at one of the flooding training sessions that I mentioned. We've had 75 homeowners contact us or fill out the surveys that we have been handing out and asking for. We've targeted some of those through conversation with the Borough President's Office, who, as you know, has been involved on this issue for a while, and this is actually one of the reasons that I think very strongly that we need to think more broadly than groundwater in helping homeowners. As you know, we've done these citywide efforts or it was really a pilot. We did a number of these flood awareness meetings because what we found was actually the majority of the people in the area of Southeast Queens that we talked to who replied to the survey, what they described was not likely to be groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding seeps up usually through the foundation. There could be a sewer backup, which

comes through the toilets or drains. It could be

2.2

2.3

overland flooding that comes through windows or doors. And that's actually what a large number of the people we surveyed were describing so that's as far as we've got.

Also, thanks to your help, we do have a list of seven non-profit organizations that have experienced ongoing basement flooding, and we have been reaching out to them to understand more precisely what they are experiencing, and what at least currently they are thinking about what they can do about it.

question I had had to do with the pumping stations and largely just, I know I've had some of these conversations with you already, but also wanted to know some activists, many who might be in the Chambers today have advocated for us to open back up the pumping station, so I just wanted to know if there was any feasibility studies on cost or what that could look like. Of course, I know it's contingent upon the State as well but just wanted to know if there was any other feasibility studies to assess the viability of opening the pumping stations back up.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, two efforts have been undertaken on this topic. One, we took a look over the last year or so at the feasibility of restarting them. We did conclude, and I think I gave testimony on this in the past, that restarting the wells, basically, they've been out of service for such a long time, and water quality standards and the overall regulatory regime has changed so much that we think we would basically have to rebuild them entirely. It would cost about one billion dollars. Separately, however, the State DEC, which would have to give a permit for any extractions from the old wells, issued a report that basically said it would be completely unfeasible for them ever to give a permit for us to restart the wells so, in fact, I think we need to be going the other way. I think it's time to start permanently decommissioning the wells because if DEC, which is the regulating agency, says that they would never give a permit for us to extract water, there, then it just becomes infeasible. Just to be clear, the reason, there were two concerns that they identified, but the most important one is that they determined that if those wells were restarted,

it would have a significantly negative effect on the underwater water supply that Nassau County relies on.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, just one more question, and thank you so much, Chair, for your graciousness.

On the bill, the Retrofit Assistance Program, I know Senator Sanders convened a meeting between DAP and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation in May 2024 to discuss flooding assistance and retrofit programs available to its constituents. HCR, Resilience Retrofit Program, was discussed, and all parties believed it was an effective program to assist homeowners with retrofitting their homes to mitigate flood damage. If Intro. 1067 passes, is it the assessment of your agency that homeowners can receive assistance with retrofitting their homes due to groundwater flooding through this program, and has DAP and other sister agencies looked into the effectiveness of this program to provide funding to constituents in need and, lastly, what dialogue has your office had with HCR to make sure the program can serve our constituents here in New York City?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And before the

Commissioner replies to the questions, I'd like to

indicate that I'm happy to give the Council Member a

little latitude. She's over her time, but it's a very

compelling question, and I'll have the Commissioner

speak to the questions just posed, and then we'll

kind of move on in the hearing but happy to give you

the latitude, Nan.

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you. Yes, we did have that meeting as you described. Of course, you participated as well. So, to date, we've had a number of conversations with HCR about their program. Right now, my understanding is that they are acquiring or contracting with a new partner to deliver it. It's a reason that they asked us to hold off on actively making residents aware of the program because they were not in a position to disperse more funding. I think the reality is, right now, the pot of money is relatively limited so it is not clear whether, you know, if we got a lot of New York City residents. Traditionally, that program was marketed only to people on Long Island, even though, in theory, it's a statewide program. That was the

2.2

2.3

conversation we started having with them about how they needed to focus more on New York City. They also, frankly, had been avoiding or excluding those homes that had the most chronic flooding, which seems counterintuitive, and to be honest, I couldn't quite understand it myself. They were basically saying that it's only houses that have occasional flooding that should be eligible, and we've been in discussion with them. I don't have anything definitive to report, as far as I know, but I will check with staff, and we can follow up at our next scheduled conversation, that HCR still doesn't have their new implementing partner lined up yet.

 $\label{eq:council_member_williams: Thank you, and } \\ \text{thank you, Chair.}$

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Williams.

Okay, Council Member Marmorato does not have a bill on, but I let everyone else go first, and it wouldn't seem right to just kind of wait for after all my volume of questions so I recognize Council Member Marmorato for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: Thank you so much, Chair. It seems like a lot of great legislation

said, hold on just a minute, 20 sounds a little high.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 66
2	billion over the 10 years. There's nothing in place
3	right now. Is that correct?
4	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, no, some of
5	what we are going to be spending is awards we have
6	received that we will be spending over the next
7	couple of years, including, I think it's over 100
8	million in
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER LICATA: 230 million.
10	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you, 230
11	million dollars in federal money for cloudburst
12	programs.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: Okay, but I'm
14	talking about the 20 billion that they said
15	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, that would
16	be rolling into
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: 200 million and
18	20 billion are
19	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Understood.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: That's a big
21	difference, I mean
22	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I'd need to get
23	back to you on what the
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MARMORATO: I would like to
25	have that information because that!s really

concerning, considering my District floods all the time from small little rainstorms, and we're going to create this City of Yes and put a lot more stress over my infrastructure, and I just want to know where the money's coming from, how we're going to get it, because we can't build if we can't fix the problem before...

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, if I could, though, as I have testified here before, I think we have to be careful not to conflate two separate issues. The City of Yes proposals...

Separate issues. This is my District floods, and you want to build more in a low-density district that already cannot handle the infrastructure, and I don't think it's a DEP issue. I just want to know where the funding's coming from. I can't honestly, in good faith, vote on something to create more infrastructure issues in my community if we're already struggling.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: All right, well, Council Member, as I have stated...

2.2

2.2

2.3

actually have similar question. My District also get flooded, and also, a lot of time, it's not be reported also. So, the flooded chart you guys have in the website is not really reflect the District, because there's a lot of people have flooded because they don't speak English, and that they don't know how to report it. And then, do you guys have other language access program for the people that don't speak English?

You know, DEP, all of our programs are covered by the City's All Access Laws, and we do comply with those. I think it's important to note that our flood maps are not only based on 3-1-1 calls. They are based on our maps of our sewer system, as I mentioned in a previous hearing, kind of a digital twin that we've now constructed of our sewer system so that we can understand water flows. It's got topographical data in there. It's got historical waterway, you know, wetlands and things like that are in there. So, it is not just a question of 3-1-1 data that informs those maps. Any model is going to be partially wrong. I will say I've been generally pleased when I have

2.2

2.3

occasions when we have updated it because we have found a pocket of something that didn't appear in our model and didn't appear in the 3-1-1 data. But of course, as you know, 3-1-1 is available in multiple languages, and we do ask people to call 3-1-1 when they have flooding so that that can be an input into what we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: And also, in my district, we also have a lot of times have, the electricity has blackout in my District very often.

Is any suggestion or anything you guys can do about it?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Blackouts?

COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I'm sorry,

Council Member, that is entirely beyond our scope.

DEP does not interact with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: So, if we don't have enough infrastructure to support City of Yes, so how are we going to, as my Colleague already said, we don't have the infrastructure there. How do you guys convince us to vote City of Yes?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Council Member ...

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Let me...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The Chair has already kind of, just if I could, the Chair has already indicated that we're wandering a little too far into the City of Yes. I mean, there has to be some tenuous connection to the topic before us, and we're talking about the FAD, which is where New York City's water comes from, so that's really like the topic of the oversight hearing, where the City's water comes from. This is not a storm water hearing, per se. This is where the water comes from so I'll give you, just to finish your thought or whatever, but I can't have Members ask questions that don't have any connection to what the topic is today, and so something that relates to where the water comes from, that's what we're going for today.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: Okay, thank you.

Thank you. And also, I just want to echo my

Colleague, Council Member Bob Holden said, he had the issue about when they pave the street, some place saying this is DEP issue, sometimes they are saying this is DOT issue. For us, we just wanted the problem resolved. This type of situation, who we should go

2.2

2.3

to? Go to your Administration, who we should speak to?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, as I mentioned to Council Member Holden, just the different agencies do have different jobs, and even if it is a...

COUNCIL MEMBER ZHUANG: We have like a joint, this type of issue, have joint, couple member from DOT, couple member from DEP.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, what we do, and in fact, has been very successful. We started it on Staten Island. We have undertaken it in Manhattan over the last year or so and, if funding allows, we will roll it out across the city, is a program where we actually have a DEP crew, basically embedded with the DOT crew that is doing street repaving, and they go in, they do work before the milling and the repaving happens, and they go in afterwards, and it addresses, actually, precisely this problem that you've identified and that Council Member Holden identified. We actually did it, if you look right here on Broadway, about six months ago. That stretch of Broadway is perfect because we had

because I had to step out. In particular, related to,

25

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

does DEP measure the incidence of groundwater flooding across the city and, if so, how frequently does this kind of flooding occur and in what areas?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: We do not. We do not have a map. It is actually one of the reasons that we started this work with the U.S. Geological Survey, just to understand where the water table is and, therefore, to be able to keep track of how it may be changing over time. As you know, DEP is responsible for the water and sewer infrastructure. It does not mean that wherever there is water, it is our responsibility, and keeping basements dry is actually primarily the responsibility of the homeowner. Because of climate change, as I said, the water table is rising across the city and this is something that's going on up and down the Atlantic coast, and so we are working with USGS to try to understand that but, no, as a result, we have not maintained a data set of who is experiencing groundwater flooding.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: In terms of the partnership with USGS, are there particular timeframes related to that study and kind of milestones you're hoping to meet?

2.2

2.3

year effort. It did unfortunately take about a year and a half to get the contracting done. The contract is now underway, and I believe we should expect our first results in a year or a year and a half, but I would get back to you to be more precise and more confident in that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Great, thank you.

In terms of, in your estimation, what have been some of the most kind of effective infrastructure interventions to remediate groundwater flooding?

as I've said, we do not have the responsibility to manage groundwater tables around the city. That has not been part of DEP's role and responsibility.

Generally speaking, when a home is built or any building is built, the groundwater table has to be taken into account and lots of buildings, both around New York City and around the country, actually build in management of groundwater into their basements usually, and so the most effective thing that is often done is what's called the French drain, which is kind of a passive system that allows groundwater to drain out and stay out of the areas that should be

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 76

kept dry. Many homes have sump pumps that they just

3 use on a regular basis, or many homes and businesses,

4 and that's particularly true where you have a larger

5 building that is going deeper and deeper so it's one

6 thing if a home has a basement that goes 10 feet

7 below ground level, but sometimes you have buildings

8 that go 30, even 40 feet below ground level and often

9 have mechanical equipment down there, and the reality

10 | is that if you're that level underground, there is a

11 | high likelihood you're going to have groundwater.

that, and what I hear you saying is certainly industry standards in terms of building should be very clear and up-to-date around climate change impacts. I guess what I'm wondering is where building standards meets kind of regulatory government engagement, so I guess what I'm trying to figure out there is how does government set the standards and protection given we know what the trajectory will continue to be, and it sounds like you've started that work with USGS, but it seems like it will probably be more and more if the city continues to experience more particular flooding.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

certainly correct in saying that this is something that collectively the City has to take into account. We are excited to get this information from USGS. The building standards, as you know, are led by the Department of Buildings and in some cases by the Department of City Planning, and it may be that at some point we have to think about groundwater the way we are beginning to think about stormwater the way that we have now dealt with coastal.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Yeah, thank you so much. And it was a real pleasure to visit the watershed with your team so thank you, and I encourage my Colleagues to do that.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you for joining us.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: It's quite incredible infrastructure.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member. I appreciate it very much. I have great Committee Members.

Now, I'm going to get going. I'm going through your statement here as I normally do. I was making notes here. Where did I write that? Okay. You

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

talked in your testimony, Commissioner, on page 10 about the Kensico Reservoir, and now, once upon a time, the 10 square mile watershed of Kensico, the watershed's still the same size, it hasn't changed, so you got the 10 square miles of the Kensico Reservoir. I'm going back a ways. I don't know if these numbers still hold up. And because water passes through, the idea is that the least amount of native water in the Kensico Reservoir is the best situation. Now, when I last checked in, about 2 percent of the water in the Kensico Reservoir was native water from the watershed in which it resides, and once upon a time, how do I put this delicately, about 50 percent of material that would make its way into the water supply that presented some concern or challenge came from that 2 percent of native water, and I'm wondering if that's still the reality or whether there have been mechanisms that have been put into place that have changed that dynamic. Whoever wants to speak.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, I'm going to say a word, and then I'll ask Assistant

Commissioner Warne to say more. So, what you're describing is basically one of the reasons that we've

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

made the decision that we've made to sunset our active land acquisition and priority areas three and four, and focus on these really high priority areas, and to a certain extent, like we don't have it, but that Kensico Watershed is kind of priority area zero. Right, I mean, it doesn't get more valuable than that, and in fact, I'm very pleased we had a very expensive but very successful piece of land in Mount Pleasant that we've had our eyes on for a long time that we are actually in the process of acquiring, which is a big win because any piece of land we can get in that Kensico Watershed is super valuable, and of course is sometimes two orders of magnitude more expensive than land in the west of Hudson Watershed, just because of the property values in that area. There have been a number of things that we have done in terms of shoreline stabilization and other things around Kensico, but for the details on those, I would turn it over to the Assistant Commissioner.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: Sure, thank you, Commissioner. Yes, and Mr. Chair, you're...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please state your name for the record for me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: Of course, yeah, David Warne, Assistant Commissioner for Bureau of Water Supply. And yes, Mr. Chair, your recollection is correct about the size of the watershed and the approximate contribution of the water that comes from the watershed as opposed to the aqueducts that bring water from west of Hudson. Because of the importance of Kensico in our operational scheme, a lot of effort has been focused on the basin since the early 1990s, and we have implemented a number of practices around the reservoir. We've put stormwater detention basins on every single tributary that comes in from the drainage area. We have installed spill control booms and measures at key points around the transportation infrastructure.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: All this being done to keep native water out of the reservoir.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: To keep contaminants in native water out of the reservoir, yes.

As the Commissioner mentioned, land acquisition has been a focus. There's a limited number of vacant eligible acres in the Kensico Basin,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

approximately 1,000. Thus far, we've bought about 375 of that 1,000 acres, and we have an additional key parcel under contract, which would be about another 60 acres that we intend to acquire. And then most recently, we've completed projects right around one of the intakes to stabilize the shoreline. We came to understand that wind that was coming from a certain direction was building up wave action coming down the basin, and it was eroding the shoreline immediately adjacent to the intake and then causing or contributing to turbidity readings at the intake, and so we undertook an extensive program to riprap the shoreline on both sides of the intake to prevent that kind of wave action, that wind-induced turbidity, from causing impacts at the intake, so a lot of effort has been made and focused on Kensico since the earliest days of the FAD, and it continues to be a primary focus.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for your} \\ \mbox{good efforts.}$

With regard to the continuation of the FAD, Commissioner, what is the perspective of the regulator in this case, you know, State DOH, you interact with them, and in terms of threat to the

2.2

FAD, would you put the Kensico high on that list of things that you're concerned about?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, look,

Kensico, as you've described, Kensico, to a certain
extent, is one of the key potential points of failure
and has been since the beginning, and, you know, as I
mentioned in the testimony, the dramatic increase in
wildlife has the potential, coupled with extreme
storms or particularly periods of drought that
accumulate a lot of stuff that then gets followed by
an extreme storm, to flush contaminants into Kensico,
kind of at the point in the system that we are least
well-equipped to deal with it, because upstate, of
course, we have lots of reservoirs. If one of them
gets turbid, we turn it off, right?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: We let it settle.

We can use one of the others. We only have one

Kensico, and so that is why it continues to be, and

probably the changes that we are seeing in terms of

water quality standards, climate change, and changing

wildlife populations probably makes Kensico even more

outsized as we think about the future of the family.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: When we talk about wildlife, what kind of species are we talking about?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Go ahead.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: It's a combination of white-tailed deer populations, raccoons, other small mammals that are abundant around the shore.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Pardon?

abundant. There are a lot of them around the shores of the reservoir. As you know, we do have an active waterfowl management program that has been in place since the early 1990s. We've done a very effective job of controlling potential pollution threats from ducks, geese, gulls, and the monitoring data showing the effectiveness of that program is quite striking, but it's really the mammal populations that are of concern at this point.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The mammal population. Not to sound like a meanie, but one way of controlling mammals is to control mammals. Has that been given any thought? I'm trying to use a euphemism here, I mean, trapping, relocating, I don't

2.2

2.3

want to roll out the K word here, but I don't know.
What's the thought process there?

Member, look, I think what you're talking about is exactly the kind of thing that we have to start thinking broadly about, whether some of the solutions you've just identified are the right ones, I don't know, but Dave and Shilo have begun working together on thinking about what the new threats are and what some of the potential solutions might be.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: As Dave just pointed out, the issue of waterfowl has been clear since the beginning of the FAD, and that's why there's been a longstanding effort. Frankly, as we know, the deer population in Westchester County was a lot lower in 1993 than it is today so it wasn't top of mind, but thinking about a science-based way to address that risk is exactly what we have to do going forward, which may mean that other aspects of the FAD change in order to add something along those lines. But again, we will be thinking about what the best approaches are, and I don't know enough about wildlife to speculate, but at least just logically,

Westchester County Airport, again, is something that

25

2.2

2.3

we've long known is one of the big risks. We have an active effort basically to seal off runoff from the airport. Most of the airport actually does not drain into Kensico, it's only a small portion that does, and over the last 30 years, there have been a lot of stormwater management systems put in place so that that does not drain.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great, because once upon a time, that was, yeah, that was a lot of action about that.

So, the new FAD would be reauthorized in 2027, I think you said, right, and so what steps are going on right now with DOH and other regulators?

Because there's a run-up to the reauthorization of the FAD, of these regular meetings. Does it include just DEP and State Health, or are there other actors that are part of that process? I'm just trying to get a handle on how the process works.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Sure, well, I'll ask both Dave and Shilo to say more specifically about the tasks they are undertaking, but basically we started thinking about this about a year and a half ago and, as part of a strategic review of the agency, we took a look at the long-term risks facing

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the FAD, which of course, Dave and his colleagues at BWS had been seeing in the data, in the numbers, some of our episodes in the past, in the aftermath of extreme storms and things like that. We actually, gosh, when was it? It was last year, I think, that we presented to DEC, right? Yeah, so we actually took, once we had coalesced some of our findings about this issue of water quality standards, climate change, and the changing landscape, meaning that the next FAD would have to be different. We took that to, we first did a joint presentation of our thinking to the Department of Health and DEC. They were both involved. We've had a number of conversations at the staff level with them over time. I also presented that same information to some members of the advocacy world, including, I think, Eric at NRDC, who's here.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, you know, that was going to be my next question because when we first did this, there was, you know, the Environmental Coalition, or like whatever they call themselves, that were very active in trying to, you know, create common cause and move this forward so.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And so is that formalized, or is there?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: It's not formalized at the moment. What we have, and I will ask you to talk about, we do have an ongoing set of conversations now with DOH and DEC, kind of in an informal way, but nonetheless ongoing. Dave and Shilo actually organized, several months ago, a research symposium where we actually had senior officials from all of the other cities that have Filtration Avoidance Determinations came to Westchester County, and we spent two days with them. I joined for one full day, because it was so valuable to understand what they are seeing and what they are doing in their watersheds. Some are very similar, some are very different, but it's always very useful, and in fact, Shilo and Dave are working together on developing a kind of a DEP perspective on what the future of the FAD will be in conjunction with these conversations with DOH, DOC, DEC, and certainly we are keeping a dialogue with key stakeholders as well.

Anything that I didn't talk about that we should add?

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: I would add one thing, and this was referenced in the Commissioner's testimony. Part of the framework here is a permit that is issued by New York State DEC that allows us to acquire land. Our current permit expires at the end of 2025, and we have been for some time in active discussions with a broad group of stakeholders, including the State, including the communities, including the environmental parties. I believe Eric is here today. I think I also saw Victoria from Riverkeeper, who has been at the table, to negotiate the terms and enhancements and modifications to the land acquisition program, so the focus has been for the moment on that first deadline of 2025 with the permit for the land acquisition program, and then that is going to build into the discussions around the successor.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Now, having been a Deputy Commissioner at DEC for a number of years, they're very sensitive, that agency is, to the wants and needs of the upstate community as they well should be, but in my tenure there, sometimes I see a little bit of a tilt towards the upstate communities, and I'm just wondering, I don't know if you want to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

answer this, but is there any pushback from DEC regarding reauthorizing the Land Act permit by virtue of local stakeholders up there feeling that New York City currently owns enough of upstate land and they would prefer to be, to control the destiny of their area? I think you know what I'm saying in a very clumsy manner here. I know what, and, because there have been other things. I mean, like the State, I mean, I think if the State had its druthers, we'd be using the reservoirs for upstate flood control. I mean, you know, it is what it is, and so how's it going with regard to, yeah, I mean, I know you don't want to say anything that's going to get back to DEC or whatever, but am I getting warm here, or like, what do you think?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, first of all I'll say, I think we are on track to get this permit renewed, right, and there has been an ongoing intensive collaborative process that involves DEC, that involves the local communities, that involves many of the institutional stakeholders like NRDC and Riverkeeper. It's through that set of conversations that in fact DEP may put forward the statement that we would intentionally suspend in

2.2

2.3

programmatic land acquisition priority areas three and four which was something that the local communities were very in favor of. You know, the dynamic that you're describing is really why I was stressing in my testimony that what's important to the FAD is that we remember that it has to be good water quality policy, right? If we allow other issues like economic development or more general environmental efforts or what have you to take primacy over the water quality science, then we put the FAD at risk, and, you know, that is something that no matter who's listening, that is something that DEP is going to be saying over and over again.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I just came up with a question. Let me put it this way, that that doesn't get anybody in trouble. Let's just say there was like a host of severe storms upstate and the State were to put pressure on DEP to regulate its reservoir levels in order to accommodate upstate flood control. What ability, like legal ability, would DEP have to preclude the State from leaning on you folks like that? How would that work? The State said, look, we need a little, you know, like we need a little help with flood control, this reservoir's going to have to

2.2

take a little bit of a hit, but you have other reservoirs, you're going to let this settle out or whatever. I don't know how that power dynamic works or like the legal authority of that would work.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, look, I will say, and I would have to get back to you on kind of the legal specifics of that. Ultimately, as we all know, the City is a creature of the State. So ultimately, one way or another, the State will get its way. What I would also point out...

I see like the benefit of the environmental community being involved, the Eric Goldsteins of the world, you know, to rally the troops to make sure that the State doesn't get any ideas about, you know, about, you know, let the State have any ideas other than having as first and foremost the, you know, water quality for half the people in New York state, and so that's how I see the benefit of the environmental coalition, because they have, you know, everybody knows, they all have the Governor's phone number, and so that's how I think that is a good thing that you're doing that.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: And Council Member, or Chairman, certainly I've been trying to work very closely with the environmental community. I would hope that particularly NRDC and Riverkeeper would say that we have been doing that, certainly under my leadership, but I think that's got a long tradition of DEP. I will say, I think, frankly, it doesn't matter who, what we are always going to be focused on is the water quality science, right? I would say there are people who also want to use the FAD as an excuse to do all sorts of things for recreation or wildlife protection or land acquisition, whether it matters for water quality or not, we need to defend against that. I would agree with you that we need to make sure that everybody understands that our water infrastructure is mainly there to deliver clean drinking water to 10 million people across New York state, and, you know, where we can be a positive force in the watershed, of course, we can and should do that, and one of the things that we have to do is regularly look at what we are doing, and I think that's something we've done a lot. This rethinking of land acquisition is based on the fact that this National Academy review took place. We're

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thinking differently about the threats to the FAD because we stepped back to say, well, all right, how are circumstances changing, how does the FAD have to change. I was really pleased earlier this year to do an announcement with a number of officials in the watershed and with the Ulster County Executive and State Senator Hinchey about the interim release protocol from the Ashokan Reservoir, which for, what, 14 years, I think, has generated a lot of controversy because it directed us to release turbid water into the Lower Esopus Creek, which had primarily visual and aesthetic impacts on the communities downriver, but nonetheless, they were vociferous about how much they didn't like it, and frankly, 14 years ago, those releases were important to our ability to maintain water quality. With some changes and investments that we've made, particularly the interconnection at Shaft 4 that allows us to send Delaware water through the Catskill Aqueduct, we found on reconsideration, we didn't need to do those releases at all so we were basically able to go to the local community and say, hey, look, do you want us to do releases for flood control or do you want us to do no releases so that the water in the Lower Esopus stays clear? You

2.2

2.3

choose, we really don't care, because it's fine either way. And working with the local community, they reached a consensus that we have now embraced and is now enshrined by DEC so that was a great example of how, because we looked again at something that we had looked at 14 years ago, but circumstances have changed, we found a way to avoid being a negative impact, and yet to protect our primary job of delivering clean water.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Is there any successor to the organization that used to be called the Coalition of Watershed Towns, or now you just deal with the county executives and elected representatives? So, in terms of, because there was this formal Coalition of Watershed Towns, does that still exist?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: They're still there, yeah. I met with CWT only a couple of weeks ago, actually. Rick Coombs and I got together. So yeah, no, CWT is certainly an active participant still.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Is their lawyer still Jeff Baker?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE; Yeah.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Really? Oh my God, so let me amend my previous statement at the outset of the hearing so it's Eric Goldstein, it's Jeff Baker and me, and so, okay, after all these years, I haven't talked to him in 30 years, but I just remember his name. Wow, I guess he's getting older too, right, and so, yeah, a lot of that going around, so the construct's still there.

Is there anything you need from us about like the vacancy rate and the cops? I don't think there's anything, that's not a Council thing, right? We can't legislate that, we can't whatever. That is correct.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The cops get the best training and they get cherry picked by local

18 police departments.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Yeah, we routinely, we do have very high-quality training, really proud of them. We just did a graduation of what was unfortunately one of our smallest classes ever. Just last Friday, I was up at Kingston to do the graduation, only four new officers from a class. We need a lot more in the next class next summer. As

3

you say, the pension is a State legislative issue. At some point, were the State Legislature to consider that, there would be a need for a home rule.

4 5

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Do we have anybody to do that? Anybody interested in doing that?

7

6

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Sorry?

8

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Is there anybody

9

interested in doing that?

10

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, look, as I

11

12

13

14

said...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Or is it the case that upstate communities like to hire the best trained cops without having them going through the

15

expensive training themselves.

16

17 certainly believe that they do appreciate that. I

18

don't know that I've seen any evidence that anybody

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, I would

1920

is actively trying to get in the way of our police

21

getting a fair pension. But as I was going to say, at

some point, were that legislation to move forward,

which as I said is thus far being pushed by the

22

union, it would require a home rule message from the

24

Council so the Council would have a role.

ultraviolet disinfection, right, and so we have that

25

2.2

2.3

second barrier of disinfection between the chlorine and ultraviolet.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: But yeah, but the crypto don't care about chlorine. How about the UV, does that get the crypto?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WARNE: The UV is effective at ensuring that the cryptosporidium, the pathogens cannot reproduce. It doesn't remove them from the water, but it renders them inability to reproduce and that reproduction in the human gut is what causes illness. So, we have very low levels of pathogens at our key points, our regulated intakes, and then with the two barriers of disinfection, we feel confident that we're protected against pathogen introduction into the distribution system.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Good, good, I want \\$ that, good answer.

What's the final final on Hillview?

Another 30-year-old issue. I think Rit told me

recently but might as well just put it on the record,

that like Hillview, the feds wanted us to cover the

Hillview Reservoir. You know, DEP wisely said this is

the best use of DEP resources to protect water

quality. This has gone around and around so many

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

times that I can't remember like where it even is so, but it's someplace, so what's the final final on Hillview?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Right, so yes, despite the perspective that New York City embraced at the time, EPA did require us to undertake the cover at Hillview. It was memorialized through a consent decree that was actually, now the Department of Justice so we have a DOJ consent decree that incorporates a series of steps through to 2049, which is the final date at which Hillview must be completely covered. There are a number of milestones that we have to meet. Two of them are currently underway. One is a set of upgrades to the intakes at the southern end of Hillview, which are about to be completely reconstructed, and another one is the Kensico Eastview connection, which provides the second connection between Kensico Reservoir and the UV plant, a really important project. The current work at Hillview is something like 800 million dollars. The KEC is going to be about 1.2. This particular project, and then there's actually further work that will have to happen sometime over the next several years. Ultimately, we will be covering it.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 101

2

3

4

5

1

Next year, we have to submit a report to DOJ as to a preferred design, and there are several different strategies under consideration, and we'll have more to say as we get closer.

6

7

8

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great, thank you.

No, I don't think I want to do that. You know what,
that's it. I think we're good.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I just want to sort of close out with a statement and a pledge, you know, this is how I started my career. You know, I came to the Council in 1990. As a Staff Member, this thing started to pick up, and this was such an amazing journey for a then young man to be engaged in, to kind of be a little part of making watershed history. Nothing like this has ever been done in any other watershed across the country, and the journey continues, and I have, you know, a lot of faith in the good folks from DEP, including Paul Rush, who I understand couldn't be here today. He's doing important things, as he always does. And you have a partner in me as Chair of this Committee, and I'll speak for the full Council. And I look forward to ongoing engagement, you know, with DEP, you know, and the environmental community, you

2.2

2.3

know, to make sure that, you know, we can meet the new challenges, keep the Fed going.

I guess one more question. Is there any estimate whatsoever as to, how about I just ask this scary question? Is there any estimate of how much a fully loaded, you know, (INAUDIBLE) filter with all the options and the power steering wheel on the Landau roof and everything you can imagine in a filtration plant, you know, how much that would cost and how long would it take it to build, and do we even have a site for it?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Well, so under the...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It's going to be scary so you should give a scary answer.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Yeah, well, it is pretty scary, no question. We do have a site for it, although one of the things we are doing as part of this work is exploring whether there are different approaches to a filtration system. Kind of most straightforward way would be to build a filtration plant that would be sized to not the daily maximum draw of the city but actually the peak hour, so it would require roughly a 2 billion gallon per day

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

equivalent. If you take the Portland example and you scale it up, the Portland plant is 135 million gallons a day and it's a 2-billion-dollar plant. We're looking at something between 20 and maybe 40 billion. Could easily be the most expensive factory anywhere in the United States. The site, as I said, is at the bottom of Kensico near the UV plant. We could build it there. However, again, as I said, we are also thinking about different constructs. Even in a world in which we needed filtration, do we really need that 2-billion-gallon capacity or are there ways to manage the peak couple of hours in the morning so that we don't have to build that church for Easter Sunday, as they say? Are there ways that we could think about locating plants further upstream, especially west of Hudson, which would be much more effective in terms of construction costs and land prices? All of those are things that we are thinking about as part of this going forward, but yeah, it's an expensive and scary idea.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And the purpose of that question is to scare everybody into the imperative that we keep the FAD going in perpetuity, and for those in the audience who don't know what a

2.2

2.3

landau roof is on a car, you have to look it up, but that was a thing once upon a time, and I make notes on words that have never been used in the Committee before, and this is the first time the words landau roof have been used in this Committee, and so that is in the record now. But not to close off on a silly note, this is a very serious endeavor that I indicated you have my full cooperation, but you also have my full confidence that we'll be able to do this and that is the, you know, collective us. It's you folks, it's the Council, it's the environmental community, and if you need anybody to push DEC around, let me know.

Okay, we're back. We never closed.

Okay, and so with that said, I thank this panel for its excellent testimony. Shams knows what he has to do, and we're just going to take a brief reset while I go wash my hands.

I think the first panel is going to be the Independent Budget Office. And you know what, while I'm doing it, like why don't you read the boilerplate stuff or do I have to read that? Oh, I have to read that? Okay, we're taking a two-minute recess anyway, okay.

2.2

2.3

Being a full-fledged City government institution, whatever, there's no way I could in clear conscience just hold it to the two minutes that the public testimony folks get, so you get the two plus the five for a total of seven. I figured that was the least I could do for our partners in government, and so I'm very grateful that the IBO is involved and I welcome the IBO to all our hearings, and so you have to swear them,, right? Okay.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MALONE: Please raise your right hand.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer any Council Member questions honestly?

POLICY ANALYST PAGE: I do.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CAIN: I do.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And before you go,
do we have written testimony from IBO in hand that I
can? Oh, is the print small enough? I have to hold it
like this.

Once again, thank you very much for being here and please proceed with your good testimony.

POLICY ANALYST PAGE: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Members of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Committee. I am Jordan Page, Environmental Budget and Policy Analyst for the New York City Independent Budget Office, or IBO. I'm joined here today by my colleague, Brian Cain, Assistant Director of Housing, Environment and Infrastructure. IBO is an independent non-mayoral City agency that conducts fiscal and policy research for the City. Earlier this month, we published a report examining the rate setting and billing process of the City's water and sewer system. My testimony today will highlight key takeaways from this research. After the 1970s fiscal crisis, investors were hesitant to buy general obligation bonds backed by the City's general fund. In the 1980s, the water and sewer system was financially separated from the City government to issue water bonds. Water bill revenue was removed from its general fund and moved to control of the Water Board to be used exclusively for water system maintenance, operations and debt service, or new water finance authority bonds. The 1985 Water Board Lease Agreement granted the Water Board permission to use the City's water and sewer system. However, one provision of the agreement allows the City to request an annual rental payment from the Water Board. This so-called rental

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

payment was intended to make the City whole as it 2 3 paid off pre-existing debt related to the water 4 system. That debt, which was the initial justification of the rental payment, was fully paid off in 2005.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Was fully paid off in 2005, like that's where we are, right? Okay, keep going.

POLICY ANALYST PAGE: But the City's collection of the rental payment did not end there. Since then, the rental payment has simply become a mechanism to move revenue from the water and sewer system to the City's general fund as a source of additional revenue. Once in the general fund, it can be spent at the discretion of the Mayoral Administration and can be put towards any of the City's budget priorities.

In recent years, the City's use of the rental payment has varied. Referring to City Fiscal Years here, every year from 1985 to 2015, Mayoral Administrations requested the rental payment. In 2016, notably, when the City saw strong revenue collections, the de Blasio Administration requested only a partial rental payment. The City did not

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 108
2	request rental payments for the next three years.
3	When the City faced financial uncertainties during
4	the pandemic in 2020 and 2021, the de Blasio
5	Administration requested partial rental payments.
6	Under the Adams' Administration, there were no renta
7	payments in 2022 and 2023. The rental payments were
8	resumed midway through 2024 when the Adams'
9	Administration requested a partial rental payment of
LO	145 million dollars.
11	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Which they didn't do
12	in FY24. They waited until the next year and then
L3	they reached back. We were still in '24, but they
L 4	reached back, and so they did the combined '24 and
L5	'25 for a total of 440 million, which you're probably
16	going to talk about.
L7	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CAIN: So this
L 8	collection of the rental payment was predicated on
L 9	the basis that the City needed help covering the
20	rising cost.
21	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I lost you
22	again. When the City, okay, under the Adams'
23	Administration. Oh, this was predicated, right?
24	That's where you are?

POLICY ANALYST PAGE: Yes, sir.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, go ahead.

POLICY ANALYST PAGE: This was predicated on the basis that the City needed help covering the rising cost of caring for asylum seekers, but IBO's revenue and expense forecast painted a less dire financial picture than the Administration's.

Under the same justification, the City plans to charge the maximum annual rental payment from 2025 through 2028 at around 300 million dollars each year. Whatever amount of the rental payment the City requests, the Water Board has to assess rates to not only cover the cost of water system maintenance and operations but also the cost of the rental payment. Of the 8.5 percent total rate increase in 2025, 3.1 percentage points are attributable to the rental payment. These rate increases are seen directly by property owners because they receive the water bills. Rate increases also indirectly affects residents of rental, condo, and co-op apartment buildings because economic theory suggests that some, if not all, of water bill costs for apartment buildings are ultimately borne by the residents. However, renters rarely see water bills directly and are likely to miss public notices included therein.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 110

2

4

6

7

1

Whether or not the City will request the rental payment creates uncertainty in the Water Board's rate-setting process each year and has fiscal implications for city residents. With the retirement of the Water System General Obligation Debt in 2005, the rationale for the rental payment continuing is

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

not clear.

Turning our attention now to water billing structures, IBO would like to briefly highlight a key finding from our analysis. New York City has four billing structures for water and sewer rate payers. The two most common structures make up about 94 percent of all water bill charges. 70 percent is for meter bills, which are assessed based on the amount of water used at a property. The other 24 percent is from the Multifamily Conservation Program, or MCP, which charges a flat rate per apartment to qualifying multifamily buildings. IBO made some important observations to property bills under the metered and MCP structures. Using 2020 water bill data, we found 82 percent of apartment buildings that pay metered water bills were billed less.

sense that everyone pays the same rate, regardless of

25

2.2

2.3

having limited income or ample disposable income. But if MCP properties are charged more on average than most metered apartment buildings, that could make water bills even more regressive. Without seeing actual water usage data for these MCP properties, it is impossible to say whether they would pay more or less if they switched to metered billing. Regardless, because MCP is not tied to water usage, there is no direct incentive to reduce water waste. Therefore, it could be that MCP either charges these properties more than they would otherwise pay under metered billing, fails to discourage water conservation, or both. On the other hand, some property owners may prefer rate certainty and are willing to potentially pay a premium to avoid unexpected bill shock.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and we welcome any questions.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, I really thank
IBO for getting on the anti-rental payment bandwagon.
I've already flipped the Water Board into doing a
resolution. I got my bill today is about like
notification, but we have to get the State to sort of
like cut this out altogether, only they can do that.
And what's not in your testimony is that the maximum

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rental payment that the Mayor can ask for is 15 percent of the annual debt service paid by the Water Finance Authority, and so early in the Bloomberg Admin... because up until then, the rental payment was equal to the debt service on the pre-1985 general obligation debt for DEP, that pile of debt, or 15 percent of the Water Finance Authority debt, whichever was higher. At a certain point, as the pre-1985 debt got paid down, the debt service went down, and the 15 percent of the Water Finance Authority debt service went up, the lines crossed, and then the Administration, once the debt was paid off, was flipped over to the 50 percent of the Water Finance Authority, and then they would get the rental payment, pay off the pre-1985 debt, and put the rest into the general fund, and now they're putting 100 percent of it into the general fund. One thing I'm looking into now is that with the 440 million dollars that the Administration took in the form of a rental payment in this budget year, FY25 plus FY24, by your own, I think you indicated that, yeah, so rates went up 8.5 percent. The chart that DEP put out when they break down the charges means that they, for the rental payment in this Fiscal Year, I think it was

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3.1 rate points that they put, at about 40-odd million dollars per rate point, that's only, you know, that's only like 100, maybe 130 million or whatever, so the City has, so the Adams' Administration has taken a 440-million-dollar rental payment, charged the rate payers only 3.1 percent, but if you have like a pay-as-you-go system, that would have been 11 points on the rate right there just to pay the 440, so the question remains, and this is your homework assignment, because I can't get it, is, so they take 440, they charge the rate payers, what did I say, 130, so that's like 310 million that the Administration is going to spend this year of rental payment money that it's not getting from the rate payers so where's it coming from? Is it in some reserve somewhere, or are they just going to do this thing where, okay, we don't want to shock the heck out of people, so we're only going to do 3.1 points on the rate, but we're going to take the 440 million, and we're going to pay it off over the next couple of years, which is not exactly a pay-as-you-go kind of budget system, which is what we're supposed to have. I mean, and I used to work in OMB back in, I was working in OMB back in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1985 in the Capital Division when they did this, and I was just a kid back then, but the joke was that we've got this mechanism, because nobody wanted to touch the pre-1985 debt, and so the New Water Finance Authority was like, the Water Board was like, don't look at us, like, we're not going to pay that, and the City wanted to be, you know, OMB just wanted the DEP capital budget just to be out of its hair, like, for good, and so who was going to pay for this huge mountain of pre-1985 DEP geo-debt, and that was going to be the rental payment, and so the Trojan horse that they built in was that rather than coming to an agreement whereby once the pre-1985 debt is all paid off, the rental payment, which is brought into existence to pay that debt, gets paid down and goes away, that the rental payment goes away, they put a Trojan horse in, like, whatever State Legislature, like, the State Legislature did this in consultation with OMB, who the heck knows, I was just like an entry-level person, it wasn't up to me, but there was a Trojan horse built in there that the Mayor, whoever it is, you know, many, many years down the road would have a cash machine in the form of a rental payment if, you know, she or he needed money to balance the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

budget. That is an outrage, and I'm glad you folks are on it, and because the Board of Finance Authority is a State-created entity, they're not going to give me any information on it, but I'm working on this, and it's good to see you, you know, in common cause with me on this really important issue, and bringing daylight to this issue that nobody knows about, nobody knows about this, but that issue about, where's the 330 million dollars coming from, right, isn't that what it is, 440 minus 130, and so, you got 310 million dollars, it's coming from someplace, it's not coming from the rate payers, either we're going to collect it from rate payers going forward and add that in the future, I don't even know how it's going to work, but it just doesn't seem right to me, but the biggest thing that's not right is that we have the ability for the Mayor to legally, 100 percent legally, fleece the rate payers of New York City and impede with DEP's ability to appeal to the Water Board for higher rates for stuff that they really need to do that relates to DEP, like doing all this stuff relating to the FAD, and, you know, building out the storm sewer system and everything we're doing, you know, to push back against, you know, this

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

new era of flooding storms every five seconds, and I say that in a month where we haven't had any rain yet, and I don't even know, what's the date, 29th, 28th, 20-something, so it's been like four weeks without rain, so it's kind of an irony that I'm talking about, you know, super flooding storms like every month, we haven't had a drop of rain this whole month, but I thank the IBO for being here, because this is a well-written, you know, this is more concise than I could put it, and you laid down the history, and I look forward to working together with you guys on it, I would urge you to make sure you touch base with my Legislative Director, Josh Gachette, who's right there, he's going to raise his hand, and, you know, give him your contact information, and we appreciate, you know, the real value added that IBO has brought to this proceeding. With that, I'm kicking you out, okay, in a nice way, in a nice way. Thank you very much. Thanks so much for being here, I'm not really kicking you out, you know, I'm just saying, you know. It's not that way, you know, but thank you very much, really appreciate your hard work on this, this is really good work.

2.2

2.3

So, now I have to read the thing? This form is not my idea. They make me read it. Okay.

Public comment period, section one, at the beginning of the public comment period, oh, start here, all right, I can't even do it right. I now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant-at-Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic of the Filtration Avoidance Determination and Intros 33, 225, 816, 900, and also Proposed Intro. 1067-A and Resolution 83. That means you can only

2.2

2.3

talk about what we're talking about today and not what you feel like talking about.

additional written testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant-at-Arms. You may also, is my mic ringing or something? Is it, am I too close? You may also email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted as testimony. So that's it for now.

All right. So, we have the first panel, and the first witness of the first panel, a long-time friend and colleague, Eric Goldstein of the Natural Resources Defense Council. I call him to the witness table. Victoria Leung from Riverkeeper, the Honorable William Scarborough, he is representing Southeast Queens Environmental Justice Coalition and Addisleigh Park Civic Organization. It's a real pleasure to have Bill with us. And to round out this first panel, we have Nikki Cross of the New York Lawyers for Public Interest.

Victoria is going to go first because she has to leave, so I was going to go this way, but I

2.2

2.3

have Victoria first, then we'll have Bill, who's a real gentleman, a real ladies first kind of guy, and then we'll just go this way. And so, Victoria, please proceed with your good testimony. Do I have a copy of it? Okay. All right, I'll just listen.

VICTORIA LEUNG: Hi, my name's Victoria

Leung. I'm from Riverkeeper. Thank you so much,

Chairperson Gennaro and the Committee for your

oversight of the New York City water supply. We

really appreciate this opportunity to give testimony.

Riverkeeper is an organization that's dedicated to

the protection of the Hudson River.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, speak really close to the mic.

VICTORIA LEUNG: Riverkeeper is an organization dedicated to protection of the Hudson River from source to sea and safeguarding drinking water. We are also a signatory of the 1997 New York City Watershed Memorandum Agreement. I'll keep this brief.

We're in full support of Intro. 816 and
Resolution 83. Riverkeeper and also the Stormwater
Infrastructure Matters, SWIM, Coalition, we have been
against the rental payment for a long time. We do not

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 121

believe that it is necessary. Between Fiscal Years 2017 and 2023, the rental payment was waived for five of the seven years, and there's a rate increase of 8.5 percent this year. So, we're in full support of the notification so the rate payers know exactly where their money is going and that perhaps public pressure can lead to change of the rental payment. In addition, as we heard earlier from DEP, there are a number of infrastructure projects that are needed to keep our water system in good shape so it is critical that this money that is being collected is being used

However, we'll offer one comment on the proposed law. There could be some clarity on the language of the legislation regarding the City's request.

for water infrastructure purposes. For example, the

current Delaware aqueduct shutdown that is going on.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You know what I'm going to do? I'm going to stop you there, and I'll come back to you on questions, okay, so you can finish your thought when I come back to you on questions, all right, because the panel's going to stay here until everybody's done.

2.2

2.3

Bill, you're up, and again, I'm going to hold everyone to their two minutes pretty much then I'll come back with guestions.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Thank you, Chairman Gennaro.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, wait a minute. I was just reminded that you have to leave, and so why don't you just finish your thought right now, but I have to be here so I have to ask you, and so I have to ask you to, my question is it seems like you had a couple more thoughts that you wanted to convey to the Committee. My question is, I ask you to convey what you had left to say, as long as it's not too long.

VICTORIA LEUNG: Thank you, Chairman

Gennaro. We are recommending clarity on what the

City's request of the rental payment. It appears that

the rate increase is sometimes based on anticipated

rental payments so we would ask that the language be

amended so notification is made upon either actual

request of rental payments or anticipated request of

rental payments. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, thank you, and please, if you could, please provide a written copy of your testimony to the Council. I

large degree, this has been a direct result of the

actions of the New York City and the Department of

Environmental Protection. When DEP purchased the

2.3

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

former Jamaica Water Supply Company in the 1990s, the City stopped pumping groundwater from the 69 wells in Southeast Queens that removed 60 million gallons of water per day. Although this switch improved the quality of water received by our residents, it allowed the groundwater level to rise precipitously. DEP knew from the outset that this would happen, and documents at the time showed that DEP officials promised to take steps to mitigate the rising water level. The rising groundwater level, which has risen by 35 feet between 1996 and 2007 and is still rising, has created flooding conditions in our institutions, such as York College and the Parsons/Archer Subway, which must pump water daily, as well as our residents, some of whom run electric pipes 24 hours a day, seven days a week. In an effort at revisionist history, DEP is now telling our elected officials that groundwater flooding mitigation is not the City's responsibility but the responsibility of homeowners. This is unacceptable, as groundwater flooding in Southeast Queens is a direct result of New York City actions and inactions.

Intro. 1067-A addresses key components of the groundwater flooding problem. It would require

2.2

2.3

that DEP annually report to the Mayor and the Council on its efforts to address groundwater flooding. The bill also creates a framework to identify property owners impacted by flooding on an ongoing basis and to connect those property owners with available federal, state, and city resources to protect their properties from flooding, such as retrofitting.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, you know what, Bill? I'm going to come back to you and ask you questions because I got a whole thing for you.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And then, yeah, so everybody just stay there, and everyone's going to, yeah, this is a good panel. I'm not going to waste it. Everyone's going to, you know, you're all going to be talked out by the time you leave the table there.

My pal, Eric.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon, Chairman Gennaro. Thank you. Eric Goldstein from the Natural Resources Defense Council, which, as you know, has worked intensively on watershed issues for 35 years. We appreciate your leadership over that period. We welcome the opportunity to testify today in support

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of Intro. 816 and 900 and support at least the goals and objectives of Intro. 1067. The city's water supply is its single most important capital asset. Since the 1990s, the City has advanced an innovative program to protect this unfiltered water supply via a comprehensive watershed protection and pollution prevention program. Now, more than 30 years later, it's safe to conclude that this experiment has been a major success. But the job of safeguarding this unfiltered water supply is a continuing one, and the need for ongoing investments of resources and protective measures remains as urgent as ever. We detail some of these in our written statement, but I'll simply say that safeguarding this system is not only necessary from a public health perspective, but it's essential to protect New York City residents from an enormous boost in water rates, and you heard today the testimony from the Commissioner as to what filtration could cost down the line. While filtration facilities may ultimately be needed at some future decade, investments made today in protecting the upstate water quality now will benefit this system for generations. And speaking of economics, if there's one thing the Council can do in the coming

2.2

2.3

year to protect the City water rate payers, in addition to fully funding the capital program for the Department of Environmental Protection, it's to ensure that necessary funding is made available for these water and sewer infrastructure investments, and it would be to press the Administration to change course and abandon its plans to charge the City for rental payments. This is an irrational, regressive, anachronistic loophole that allows the Mayor to charge water rate payers for non-water-related city expenditures. I'd be happy to talk about this further as well as the legislation on today's agenda down the line.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great, Eric, I'm going to get back to you on that.

Nikki, right? Okay.

NIKKI CROSS: Yes. Thank you, Chair

Gennaro. I'm a staff attorney with New York Lawyers

for the Public Interests Environmental Justice

Program, testifying in strong support of Intro. 1067.

This bill will provide necessary help for New Yorkers

facing the increasing costs of flooding and sewage

backups, and aid in protecting the safety, financial

stability, and housing security of homeowners. The

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

current options available for financial assistance are difficult to navigate for many at-risk New Yorkers, and this bill would help ensure that residents know the best options available to them and ease the burdens of applications. We represent clients in South Jamaica, a historically redlined area of Queens. There, a community of 20 homes is connected on a failing shared private sewer line, requiring frequent repair and maintenance, costing the community approximately 10,000 dollars a year. DEP has pledged to build a public line for this area within three years, but at that point, each homeowner must make private lateral connections to the public line, which would cost 15,000 dollars per household. This is a prohibitive cost for these homeowners. Without this infrastructure, they will continue to endure horrific sewage backups during rain events that have become increasingly extreme and frequent given climate change. Dealing with this persistent problem has been tearing the neighborhood apart and causing ongoing anxiety. Communities like this, in which the City has historically invested less money and resources, which tend to be black, brown, and low-income communities, disproportionately bear the

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, great.

25

2.2

2.3

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Besides protecting the homeowner's property through retrofitting of equal importance, those property owners will receive case management services to address issues such as the mental stress of dealing with flooding, and we have learned how stressful that is to people who have flooding. The bill also creates a task force of relevant City agencies, officials, and community representatives to meet quarterly to address ongoing efforts to solve this problem. This legislation can be a critical driver in the effort to address groundwater flooding, and it deserves strong support. We urge the council to support it wholeheartedly.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Bill, if
I may call you that, on the record. You've obviously
had meetings with the Administration, and what has
that yielded? You've had meetings with the
Administration. I'm sure the community has maybe held
town halls or something, and the Administration comes
down, and what have you, what does that result in?

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Over the course of years, we've been dealing with this for quite some time, the City and the Commissioner referred to it earlier, they have put a substantial amount of money

3

into the flooding issue, but there are two issues relating to flooding in Southeast Queens.

4 One is stormwater, which is what they 5 have addressed with sewers and so on, but they have not addressed the issue of the groundwater flooding, 6 7 which has to be pumped out of the ground, and because that's difficult, the Commissioner or the Department 8 is now trying to put that back on homeowners, saying it's not the City's responsibility. That we find very 10 11 frustrating, because they have stated from the outset 12 that they knew when they ceased pumping the water, 13 that flooding would exist. You go back to July of 1987 at a hearing on eminent domain when they were 14

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, yeah, because the City took over the Jamaica Water Service by condemnation.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yeah...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And then they started systematically shutting down the wells, because the water was of inferior quality...

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: (INAUDIBLE)

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

about to acquire.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: They pump it when they need to to keep subway tunnels open and that kind of thing.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So at the time when it was, I think the water service in its heyday, the Jamaica Water Service, was pumping about 100 MGD, I think, so what is it, but it's pumping something to waste now, right, for subway tunnels, so do you know how much it was pumping, and what it's pumping now?

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: You're talking about the City, or?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, I'm talking about the former Jamaica Water Service wells, because...

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: They're not pumping them.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The City took them over by condemnation, started to shut them down, but some wells, they had to pump to waste in order to keep subway tunnels from flooding so I think there's still some pumping of the wells going on, that's my question.

2.2

2.3

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: We're waiting to hear from the USGS as to what exactly the status is, but the most recent information we had was that in 2022, there was some pumping. The DEP has not been communicating with us as community residents, they've been communicating with the elected officials, but they have not had any communication so it's difficult to get information, but from other sources, we have learned that there were a few wells that are being pumped as of 2022. We're trying to get more information.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And when they pumped the well to send the water, because they're not putting it in the distribution system, they're pumping it to waste, so is that going to a sewage treatment plant, is it going to Jamaica Bay, what are they doing with it?

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yeah, it has to go through the wastewater treatment plant and into Jamaica Bay.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, and okay, yeah, so the question is, and this is like a USGS question, which is how much would you have to pump of the wells in order to regulate the water table so

2.2

2.3

that people could live with it, and that amount of water, they'd have to pump it, which costs money, they'd have to send it to the sewage treatment plant, could the sewage treatment plant handle it? So, we don't even have all the parameters on that so we need a study as to what, which presumably the bill calls for, right?

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yes, yes.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: One of the thoughts that...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, go ahead, go ahead, Bill.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yeah, one of the thoughts that had been put forward in one of the studies that was done, I believe it was Malcolm Pirnie, I'm not sure, but they indicated that the water that was being pumped by Jamaica Water Supply was at a different aquifer than Nassau, so the argument that pumping water from Queens would hurt Nassau was not accurate.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, that's bogus, like you're talking to a geologist here.

2.2

2.3

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Right, right, and there is a thought that water could, since Nassau does have an issue with their water supply...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Nassau (INAUDIBLE)

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Could be given to Nassau or some sort of arrangement to sell it, whereby they could reduce the water level in Queens and provide a resource to Nassau County.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, now we're getting really complicated, but certainly Nassau has a lot of, I mean, so were this water, I mean, I used to do water stuff up at DEC. Nassau has a lot of, has a big salt wedge coming in, and they're getting a lot of intrusion.

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Right, salt wedge intrusion, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Because the Magothy aquifer, and I worked on a project where they did a reclamation recharge thing, they tried to get that going, because they're taking whatever Nassau uses, I don't know how many MGD they use, but the Magothy aquifer in Nassau, you're getting drawdown from the Upper Glacial aquifer at the south shore, and then north shore, you're getting salt wedges in. I would

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 136

2

1

take the Jamaica water, if I were them, and just pump

3

it into the ground to maintain the hydraulic

4

stability to kind of push against the salt wedge

5

that's trying to come in. I'm not an engineer, but I

6

know a little about hydrogeology, I don't know what's

7

in the water.

8

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: That would seem to

9

make sense, yeah.

10

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: That's like another

11

issue, but yeah, I certainly support all the brain

12

waves that would need to, because this is kind of

13

everybody, because everybody's going to be, DEP's

14

going to point to someone else, and someone's going

15

to point to the State, and so you got a lot of

16

finger-pointing here.

17

WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: The beauty of this is that it takes steps, this legislation takes steps

18

to help the homeowner who is suffering, and at the

1920

same time, it pushes...

21

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh yeah, it has that

22

too, but I'm just thinking from like a geologic point

23

of view. That's where my mind kind of naturally goes.

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 138
2	WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: No, no, no.
3	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, so this will
4	be the Nantasha bill.
5	WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: All right, so Bill,
7	thank you for being here today.
8	WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Give my best to
10	Manny Coffin. How's he doing?
11	WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: He's doing good. He
12	wanted to be here today, but he had a VA hospital
13	commitment so he wasn't. Well, I'm sure he's
14	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: God bless him. He's
15	been working in the vineyard a long time, too.
16	WILLIAM SCARBOROUGH: A long time.
17	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, he's really,
18	really a good guy, and so, thank you, Bill. It's a
19	pleasure to see you.
20	Okay, Eric, I think you had a few more
21	thoughts you wanted to put on the record.
22	ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, thank you. Well,
23	first
24	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me formally ask
25	you to do that.

2.2

2.3

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Just on this bill, again, one of the reasons, while there may be details to be worked out, there can be no question that this issue is one of importance. The City has responsibility for dealing with this groundwater problem in Southeast Queens. Since it was known at the time that the Jamaica water supply was acquired by the City, and then ultimately shut down, that there would be a groundwater intrusion problem. This was known and discussed at hearings and in environmental reviews, so the City...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Wouldn't that make it a lawsuit? Wouldn't that make it a lawsuit at that point?

where lawyers would be well-advised to take a look at this issue. I think that there may well be some basis for compensation in this instance. Again, my one point on this issue is, this is not an unexpected problem. The City knew when it acquired the Jamaica water supply and started using the water for water supply purposes then abandoned the water system because of quality concerns that there would be a groundwater intrusion problem, and at least we

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

believe they have a responsibility to help the residents in the community deal with it.

I'd like to turn back for one second to the rental payment, because this is a really important issue if you care about avoiding regressive charges for city water rate payers, and I'll just say that Mayor Bloomberg in his time, his Administration recognized this as a problem. Mayor de Blasio moved away from this unwarranted charge, but Mayor Adams and his budget chieftains have restored the charge. They're planning to take, as you know, 1.4 billion dollars from the water rate payers over the next four years, and the Council can and must reduce, if not completely eliminate this as part of its budget negotiations in FY26. Now, of course, if we can get some State legislation, that would be enormously helpful too, but the Council has a direct role in budget negotiations, which we hope will be advanced next year.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just kind of speak to that for a second, just because now we're just talking about the way things are. Once the Mayor, I don't care who hears this, because it's true. Once the Mayor put in the budget that he's

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

going to do, like this year was 440-million-dollars' worth of rental payments, the Council has no ability to get that number out of the budget. The only way this works is if the Mayor cannot put the number in the budget in the first place because the Mayor, so let's say nothing happens and we go to the, like we start making next year's budget, and the Mayor puts 300-and-something million dollars in the budget in terms of rental payment. Then the Council takes it out, then the Council has to figure out what's going to get, you know, like what are the 300-milliondollars' worth of cuts that are going to have to happen to offset the rental payment, and then all the stink is on us, and so, speaking very practically, you know, the Council has almost, we're certainly players in the budget process, but like this year, to move a 440-million-dollar number like out of the budget, it's just not going to happen. Or even if we manage to say, okay, don't make it 440, make it like 330, we'd have to find 110 million dollars of cuts to make up, and then you still got 330 million dollars sitting in there, and that's just like, you know, somebody stabs you with a knife, and they put it in four inches, and you pull it out one inch, and it's

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

just like, what do you do, so the only way the knife doesn't go in is if the Mayor doesn't have the ability to do the rental payment in the first place. I mean, from where I sit, as someone who wants to see the DEP be able to make the investments it needs to make for precisely doing what needs to be done under 1067, you know, the rental payment is totally anathema, and it just gets in the way of what DEP has to do in this age of possibly building a filtration plant, making things right with the people of Southeast Queens. And if we this year, you know, we did a pay-as-you-go system, just the 440 million dollars in rental payment would have been 11 points on the rate just for the rental payment so this is going to, and so even though this is bad, you know, next year it's going to be worse, because if the Mayor does 300-something million, divide 300something million by 40 million per rate point, and you got almost 10 points on the rate just to cover the rental payment, and so this is, and you're right, I mean, if I controlled the budget process in the council, I mean, I tried to make that case this budget year, like the 440 has to go because it's like stolen money, and then, you know, everyone in the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council is just kind of looking at me like, you have to be kidding me, you know, this is a huge number, and the only way to really solve this, and there's a bill in the State Assembly, or State, you know, in the State Legislature saying that the City Council has to like be partners with the Mayor on figuring out how big the rental payment has to be or something, right, isn't that bill? Yeah, and, you know, I don't want the mayors to be, I don't want the Council to be partnered with the Mayor on the rental payment. I want the rental payment to go away. I don't want us to acquire rental payment like power and work with him on it. Like, it has to go, every penny, and so, and, you know, I'm going to be sort of doing what I can do, you know, both from like a fiscal perspective of how this takes resources away from DEP, and also from the environmental justice perspective, because the reason why we can't get certain EJ stuff done is that DEP just doesn't have enough money. There's only so high one can raise interest rates so if we're starting out every budget year with a 10 percent water rate increase just to cover the rental payment and then we have to do everything else on top of that to build out the storm

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

system from 1.75 inches per capacity per hour to 2.1 or wherever they want to take it, that's like untold billions of dollars. So, I appreciate your perspective, Eric, of having the Council kind of, you know, flex its budget muscles, but I mean, I tried that this year, and my glasses are like, I have to get them fixed, they don't stay on, that we have to get, I'm going to try to build a real coalition, this is where, you know, and build those people in the State Legislature. We're working with an entity right now that's trying to, you know, get State Legislators to sign on to this and to create a bill that would just get rid of the rental payment once and for all. I just think that there'll be problems up and until we actually get that done, so that's where things are at, and I'm happy to apply whatever pressure I can by myself or from, you know, good folks like yourself to impress upon, to come to budget hearings when we're doing the budget and all that, but, you know, I think if we're going to hit this thing sort of center mass, it would be a coalition of, you know, Southeast Queens people who are getting flooded, the people from the EJ community, everybody just, you know, coming down on the Mayor saying, you know, don't you,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

notwithstanding the fact that you're legally able to do it, like, don't dare go there, and I think that's a good way, if that was organized in such a way that that could, you know, to send the signal now to the Mayor that notwithstanding, you know, his ability to get future rental payments, he shouldn't do that, and I think if he maybe, I mean, he's going to hear from the Council, but, you know, to the extent that he hears it from the people of Southeast Queens, that he hears it from the environmental community, that he hears it from the EJ community, and more, and more, and more, and this is, you know, bring some daylight in on this issue and all the ramifications it's going to have, then it really looks like the Mayor just has his hand in the cookie jar because up until now, he's been able to take it, he's been, like, this year, he was able to take the money in stealth.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No one thought he was going to do this, so by the time anyone knew anything, there was 440 million dollars of rental payment money in the budget, and that concrete solidifies very fast. That's just what happened. And so, I think the clarion call has to go out now that

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

if you're thinking of going there, and while you're at it, he took 440, you know, the rate payers are only paying between, you know, like 130-ish million, and so where's the other 310 million dollars even coming from? Like, what is that, like, where's it coming from? What is this, Albany, where we just do, like, no offense, Bill, you know, but Albany, where they just, like, sell the thruway to the thruway authority, the thruway authority issues bonds, buys the thruway, gives it to the, you know, gives it to the Governor to put into the budget, and stuff like that. This is, like, Albany stuff. I don't even know, and not for nothing, like, the Water Finance Authority is a State-created entity. If I tell them where's the 300 million dollars, what are they doing? They're going to tell me to go jump in the lake. And so, that's just sort of, like, where we are. And so, I think we have to, there's one of these things where, you know, to kill a vampire, stake through the heart, that's the only way that I think that, I'm for the other things. I'm for saying, you know, that this is an insult to the environmental justice movement in New York City, this is an insult to the people of Southeast Queens, this is an insult to, like, all the

2.2

2.3

new needs that, you know, DEP is going to have. They need as much leeway as possible to be able to, like, raise water rates, to raise water and sewer rates for water and sewer, and to the extent that becomes a campaign that we have a lot of people in different constituencies, all linking arms, you know, maybe, and I'll talk about it from my end, but I think the pressure has to be, it has to be different than this year, when Jacques, the Budget Director can just say, I got 440 million dollars, I don't even think the Mayor knew it went into the budget. I mean, I think Jacques just put it in because he knew he could do it and so, oh, what?

Oh, okay, all right. Well, note from Counsel.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: We think you're on exactly the right track on that. Part of the problem is the public has largely been unaware of the intricacies and of this lunacy, and all of us, including the Council, need to do a better job of shedding light on the issue.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. We should get Jim Tripp back on this. What's he up to these days? He was the original rental payment waterer.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, he stepped down from the Environmental Defense Fund, but there has been a significant muttering of talk among environmental groups, and I think that getting a coalition together with our environmental justice colleagues and all, we could put together quite an effort for the coming budget.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, Josh, you should talk to Eric after this, and let's, I mean, I have no problem meeting with, and again, like, do all the EJ groups get along, or like, you know, I don't care. I mean, I just, I think we should all get together and figure out some sort of public, I mean, I'll do what I can from this side of the table, but I'm also very comfortable talking to environment, and then I can go to my Colleagues and go to the Speaker's Office and just say, look, we've really got, like, this City's got a big, you know, rental payment problem, and I did everything I could last budget year. I mean, high-level meetings, Budget Director, Chief-of-Staff of the Council, and all that. It's just, it's a very, very big lift once that number gets put in the budget.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It's huge. It's huge. It just is what it is. I wish...

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: And DEP should be a natural ally of us on this issue, because, again

natural ally of us on this issue, because, again...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: But DEP works for

the Mayor. DEP works for the Mayor. But, you know, if Rit said something that, like, that's his last day as Commissioner, and so, you know, he has to stay out of it, and so, but, I mean, of course DEP doesn't like this. They can't say anything. I run very candid hearings. I don't care who's listening, you know what I mean? I just, what's that? Read what?

Okay, yeah, Eric, you were saying.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Great, I've just got one other point.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I got wrapped up my own rhetoric, yeah.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: No problem. So, I think we're going to move forward with your suggestion, see if we can put together a really powerful coalition to make a push on this for the next budget. It's so important.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And please loop in my Legislative Director, Josh. Josh, you're on this now, okay? Yeah.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Josh is the man. We'll be in touch.

Okay, finally, another bill on the agenda was Intro. 900. NRDC strongly supports this bill, which would require DEP and other City agencies to install 500 drinking water fountains in public locations around the city. This is legislation that would both, it's a double win. It would reduce the use of throwaway plastic water bottles, which are an enormous environmental problem, and at the same time provide high-quality drinking water at no cost to consumers. We heard the Commissioner talk about the fact that perhaps it couldn't fit in their budget. We disagree with that conclusion. We also think that the Parks Department should be involved in this. Maybe the place to start is having these locations, these water fountains be replaced at entrances to the major parks around the city, because right now, most of those water fountains are not operative or can't be used, or if you have a water bottle, you can't fill it up in those old cement water fountains that have

2.2

been in the playgrounds since Robert Moses built them, but this is something that would advance water quality protection, would get New Yorkers drinking New York City water, would get rid of the millions and millions of plastic throwaway bottles. It's a good bill, and we hope that in one form or another, you can help advance it.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: We thank Council Member Powers for introducing it.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, he's a good guy, he's a good guy, and you and I have to talk more, although I have to move it along about the whole FAD thing. I mean, this is, you know, Josh, you should talk to Eric about that too, because we've got a whole, you know, we have to put the band back together regarding the FAD, okay, and you're not getting out of it, okay?

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Thanks for your leadership, it's great to have you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, and with regard to New York lawyers, I kind of know what you're going to say, but go ahead.

2.2

2.3

NIKKI CROSS: Just finally, I wanted to voice support for Council Member Holden's bill, 0225. That's something that residents have directly called for, which is increasing transparency on things like catch basin and sewer maintenance and providing all of that information to residents to keep them posted. Thank you very much for your support. Thanks to Council Member Williams and to Andrea and Bill Scarborough for all your work on this.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, thank you, and Josh, I also want to meet with Eric separately about the FAD. You and I should just compare notes on like where this is all going. You know, I, you know, this is, you know, the FAD is too big to fail, okay?

Hey, how's this for a panel, folks? Are you kidding me? We put on quality panels here? We get it. You guys can collect your citations right outside the door, okay?

Okay, and before the next panel is impaneled, I have to wash my hands for a second. I'll be right back.

Okay, the next panel is, what is this?

Jane Selden, representing 350YC, Maite Duquela,

rental payment. We find this to be a regressive tax

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

on rate payers. While discussions around eliminating the water rental payment are ongoing, we would like to express our support for 816 and Resolution 83. We thank Chair Gennaro for this leadership on this issue, and Waterfront Alliance strongly believes in transparency for residents and rate payers on any water rate adjustments.

We would also like to express strong support for 1067, and we thank Council Member Williams for her leadership on this important issue. This would provide meaningful and necessary support to residents in Southeast Queens who are experiencing groundwater flooding, and Waterfront Alliance supports the Southeast Queens Residents Environmental Justice Coalition who have been advocating for solutions like 1067. Given the overlap in groundwater and stormwater flood risk, not just in Southeast Queens but across the entire city, we see an opportunity to potentially expand this bill to address inland flood risks more broadly. We are willing to work with the Council to explore this option now or in the future, and thank you to the Committee for this hearing.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, thank you. I got my first environmental award from the Waterfront Alliance like 30 pounds ago. I got like a life preserver that said Hero of the Harbor, and so it was the first environmental award I ever got, but I like the Waterfront Alliance because of the work you do and not because you gave me something, and so thank you very much for your good and compelling testimony.

GEORGE JORDAN: Good afternoon, Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, speak right into it. Just move it, just move it where you need it to be. Just move the thing, yeah.

Jordan. I'm in support of Bill 1067. I'm here to testify on the cost. My mother has two pumps that's running 24/7 that's pumping groundwater. Okay, initially when the pump system was put in, it was for the rainwater, and the pumps can last for many years. With the groundwater, we're pumping water 24/7. The pumps last maybe a year. The replacements cost is 675 dollars a pump. For the last 20 years, she's spent over 15,000 dollars replacing pumps, plus the initial 7,000 when she had the new pump system in. When her

2.2

2.3

and my father brought the house in 1960, there was no rainwater issue. Now, her Con Edison light bill, it's through the roof, and every year we're spending like 500, 600 dollars on just replacing pumps, and it's just groundwater, we're just pumping groundwater 24/7, rain or shine, snow, or even if it's not raining. Like you stated, we haven't had four weeks of rain, her pumps are still running.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.

GEORGE JORDAN: So this is why I'm here to testify, just to give you an idea of how much the homeowner is paying replacing pumps, and the Council, the DEP said it's up to the homeowners. That's totally false, because we're just paying money every year, and we're just pumping groundwater.

earlier, it was known that this was going to happen, and so yours is precisely the kind of witness that needs to be brought to this, once we, you know, under Nan's leadership, this is her thing, but, and, you know, notwithstanding the fact that she's, you know, not a Member of this Committee, I mean, she's, you know, we're neighbors, you know, her District is right next to mine, and I've long, you know, tried to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

champion, like, the cause of sort of, you know, water equity in Southeast Queens, because when it comes to a lot of issues, you know, Southeast Queens is not getting its due, and I'm sure Nan's going to try to pull the Speaker in as well, and that's always a good thing, and so great to have your views on the record. You know, anything else that you want to, you know, get to the Committee, you know, you know how to do that, and my Legislative Director is sitting right in the back, so, you know, form some linkage with him, because you're a Queens resident, and you're a victim, and, you know, make sure Josh has your information, and then we will work with Nan to try to move the bill forward so thank you for your patience, and staying for the whole hearing to bear witness to this terrible problem. Thank you, sir.

JANE SELDEN: Thank you, Chair Gennaro, for giving me the opportunity to speak today. My name is Jane Selden. I'm a retired CUNY lecturer, and Chair of 350 NYC's Waste Reduction Committee. As a climate activist group, we recognize that the world can't achieve its greenhouse gas emission reduction goals without seriously addressing the cradle-to-grave environmental impact of single-use plastic. If

our drainage system, exacerbating the flooding on our

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

streets and in our subways from torrential rainfalls, 2 3 which will continue to increase in frequency because 4 of climate change. In addition, nobody should be paying for water, especially in a city that enjoys some of the highest quality tap water in the world. 6 7 On the other hand, bottled water contains significant 8 levels of nano and microplastics, containing chemicals that threaten our health and contribute to the microplastics that have been found in our lungs, 10 11 our hearts, our intestine, bloodstream, and in human 12 placenta. This is a great bill and we're fully behind 13 it, but for the bill to be fully effective, we recommend that the City install freeze-proof water 14 15 fountains. Can I just finish?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, just finish your thought, but also that last word.

JANE SELDEN: Freeze-proof. It means the pipes won't freeze. Right now, the water fountains.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, oh, got it, got it, got it, okay.

JANE SELDEN: So that their availability isn't limited to the warmer months. Year-round access to public water fountains with refill stations will motivate New Yorkers to break the bottled water habit

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 161

by providing them with a convenient, healthier, ecofriendly alternative. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Okay, sir.

2.2

2.3

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Hello, Chair Gennaro. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson, The Record.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yep.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, so I'm here to show my support for Intro. 225, introduced by Holden. This is really needed, but one thing I want to ask the Chair, I mean, the person introduced the bill, Bob Holden, what about the impoverished communities that don't have access to computers, don't have access to internet? Some people still use flip phones. How are they going to access this information at their convenience? That's one thing I want to ask the Chair, I mean, Bob Holden, how will this affect the inner Districts? We all know that inner Districts don't have that access to internet like that. We could say ACP and free wi-fi, but that's not enough. What about people that just flatout old school and that just rely on paperwork? And

2.2

2.3

that's one of my, I was trying to ask, like, Holden, I know you're the Chair, you should ask Holden, like, what about the people that don't have access to the computers? I understand that everything's digital, but there's people that still do things online.

And another bill I support is the Keith Powers' bill to require that there be more water fountain stations, but we need to know where they at. That's the problem, we need to know where all these water fountains are at. And my suggestion, Chair Gennaro, is to take out that water fountain right outside the, in the hallway that enters the Chambers and replace it with a new filter, like the new type filter where you can put water bottles in other than the old school, like you guys do this and old school, you need to change that up.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, you mean the one right here?

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, right outside, yeah, in the hallway, right before we walk inside the Chambers for Stated Meetings and Council Chambers.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I didn't realize} \\ \mbox{there was one there...}$

2.2

2.3

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: I know, I think that's where my DCAS, but I mean, DCAS, I mean, this is, for the record, DCAS should update that water fountain and update all the water fountain in City Council Chambers to make it for like the new versions, like water filters and you put bottles and cups and things like that, instead of like using your mouth so I support Powers' bill with that, it needs more.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I think that makes sense. And also with regard to any concerns you might have regarding the Holden bill and its scope, I don't want to sort of speak for the author of the bill. I mean, those are really questions for the author of the bill, Bob Holden, he's a very accessible guy.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, I know he is.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I mean, if you called his District office, he would definitely call you back.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah.

he's old school like me.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: He's like, you know,

2.2

2.3

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah, I know, old school, we should have access to the people. I mean, like the people need to know old school things. Some people don't use computers. I don't know why to this day, but people just don't use computers, so.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I mean, certainly, you know, we have Staff to the Committee that's taking notes on every, you know, word of testimony that's coming out here so notwithstanding the fact that Bob is not here or Council Member Holden's not hearing what you have to say at this moment, you know, we have Staff taking notes of your good suggestions, and this will be, you know, part of the record and part of the back and forth between the Staff and Council Member Holden as to where this bill goes.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: And by the way, about the filter request, do you have to introduce a bill for that, or does this, because I know City Council Chambers, this is (INAUDIBLE) DCAS, do you have to introduce a special bill to update all water fountain filters in the City Council Chambers? Like, basically, it's, I know 250 Broadway.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I mean, you know, this building is run by DCAS, but, you know, we can, you know, a note to the Committee Counsel that we should, you know, put a request into DCAS to upgrade our drinking water fountain, because, you know, not so much for the Members, but we have a lot of people who come here.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You know, who want,

I don't even know what the policy is regarding having
water bottles in the Chamber. I think it's allowed.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I don't know, I mean, or whatever, but I mean, I just think it sets a good tone when, you know, we want to get people into the rhythm of, you know, refilling their bottles, and as I'm saying that, try not to look at the collection of plastic cups, which is, you know, which has accumulated, like, in front of me during this hearing.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: Kick the stuff, I mean, we should get rid of plastic. I mean.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You know, these aren't the drudge you're looking for, okay, and so,

use it, yeah.

2.2

2.3

Well, I want to thank this panel very much for your very good and compelling testimony.

Really appreciate your patience, and, you know, for staying so long, maybe it was the benefit of your good views and anything additional that you want to submit to the Council, that can be done online, or whatever you want to tell my Legislative Director, he's right there in captivity, and if people want to take his information so you can be in touch with him, he's a great resource in my office, my Legislative Director. I couldn't do it, you know, he's really good at what he does so I thank this panel very much for its excellent testimony. Appreciate you being here today. Thank you, thank you.

Okay, I have to read the following statement for people who will be testifying on Zoom.

We will now turn to remote testimony.

Once your name is called, a Member of our Staff will unmute you. Don't speak until you get unmuted, and the Sergeant-at-Arms will give you the go-ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony.

Do we have witnesses? Why don't you just call the witnesses?

2.2

2.3

Okay, the first remote witness is Alia
Soomro of NYLCV, League of Conservation Voters. Alia,
I hope I'm saying that correctly.

ALIA SOOMRO: Yes, good evening. My name is Alia Soomro, and I'm the Deputy Director for New York City Policy at the New York League of Conservation Voters. Thank you, Chair Gennaro and Members of the Committee on Environmental Protection for the opportunity to comment. I've submitted longer written comments.

NYLCV was deeply disappointed to see that the DEP water rental payment was included in the adopted FY25 budget, despite major concerns from advocates and elected officials. The City plans to charge its own Water Board more than 1.4 billion dollars in rent over the next four years to lease its water and sewer systems from the City. This mechanism date backs decades ago when the City had mountains of water and sewer-related debt backed by the City's general fund. However, these rental payments are unnecessary today since nearly all of the pre-1985 general obligation debt is retired. However, DEP's water payment revenue will be handed over to the City general fund for non-water purposes instead of

2.2

2.3

staying with DEP, where the agency could direct it towards water-related capital infrastructure projects. NYLCV believes that reinstating the water rental payment is a poor policy decision, one that negatively impacts water rate payers and tenants alike, since charging rent to the City's Water Board causes increased costs to be passed down to rate payers. Moreover, the New York City water payments have been shown to be regressive since it is assessed on homeowners regardless of income and tenants see payments passed down to them in the form of rent hikes. Given the urgency of the climate crisis, it is essential that DEP keeps this revenue to make essential water infrastructure upgrades.

Eliminating the water rental payment will also help New York City better leverage its fair share of the New York State Environmental Bond Act funding. We cannot successfully advocate for more State funding while our City continues to give away 1.4 billion dollars in rental payments over the next four years for non-water purposes.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time is expired.

ALIA SOOMRO: And I'll just wrap up. We urge OMB and the Administration to eliminate the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

water rental payment, and we are aligned with the Council Member in working with the State and other advocates to eliminate future water rental payments. We support the bill Intro. 816, Reso. 83, and Intro. 900. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. I want to thank LCV for joining the Anti-Rental Payment Coalition. I've been fighting this for the last 20 years. It was 20 years ago that the line, well, a little less than 20 years ago that the lines crossed and the rental payment went from being the payment for the 1985 debt service to 15 percent of the Water Finance Authority's annual debt service. Mayor Bloomberg did that, and I blew my stack back then. It was only a couple of million dollars, but I knew what was going to happen. We'd get to a time when we'll be talking about hundreds of millions of dollars per year in rental payment, and so we have a strategy. I mean, this has to come from the State. The only way to make it happen on the City level, not to happen, is for the Mayor not to put the number in the budget in the first place, and I'm sure that LCV will try to do everything it can to prevail upon the Mayor not to do this. Once, as I've said before, if you've been

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

listening for the whole testimony, to the whole hearing, once that number goes in the budget, it's very difficult for the Council to get that out and do all the other budget things it needs to do. It's just a 440-million-dollar budget hole for the Council to fill on. It just doesn't work. The Mayor has to not ask for the money. And LCV has a lot of clout with the State, we know, and so once I get further down the road of trying to get sponsors in the State Legislature who will take this rental payment power away from the Mayor, we count on LCV's support for that bill in the State Legislature so that's where we can partner on this, and it's really, I couldn't be more pleased that LCV is so totally on board with this issue. Good for you. Thank you very much. I appreciate your testimony here today.

The next witness, we have Matt, either Gove or Govey, I don't know, from the Surfrider Foundation.

MATT GOVE: Hello. Thanks for having us give some testimony. My name is Matt Gove. I'm with the Surfrider Foundation representing the New York City chapter of Surfrider. We do a lot of different things, but one of our main things we do is a lot of

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

beach cleanups and we find a lot of plastic bottles and bottle caps, and so we're here to support INT 900. Seems like a pretty straightforward and smart bill to get New York's delicious and fantastic water out to all New Yorkers, make it easily accessible. You know, the summers are only getting hotter. It saves New Yorkers money. Bottled water is like a hundred to a thousand times more expensive than tap water. Also, you know, we found over and over again that there's tons of microplastics in plastic bottled water, so it's not really that great for you, and it also would reduce litter. Like I said, we find these bottles and bottle caps all over the place so it's a real win/win. Obviously, it's going to cost some money, but it seems like a worthwhile thing to do to get our great tap water out to all New Yorkers and Surfrider supports INT 900.

Thank you, Council Member Powers and Chairman Gennaro.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much for your compelling testimony and thank you for the work that you and the Surfrider Foundation do in keeping our beaches clean, and I think the greatest city in the world can find it within its means to put

2.2

2.3

500 more water fountains out there so people can enjoy our good water and we say goodbye to plastic bottles. I really appreciate your presence here today and your patience in waiting so long to give us your good testimony. Thank you.

We have Rachana Shah from Beyond Plastics Queens. Hope I pronounced your name correctly.

RACHANA SHAH: Hello. It was close. It was Rachana Shah.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

RACHANA SHAH: That's okay, that's okay.

So yes, my name's Rachana Shah. I'm the Co-President of Beyond Plastics Queens chapter, a chapter of the larger National Beyond Plastics organization. We strongly support INT number 900, and we consider installing public drinking fountains essential to public health. Before I go on, I just want to explain my background. I'm here canvassing in Lehigh County in Pennsylvania for the election, and I'm saying that because as a zero-waste consultant by profession, I've studied behavior change around pro-environmental behaviors since 2009, and I've learned one of the biggest barriers to adopt reuse systems is access.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

There's less incentive to bring a clunky water bottle like this if there is a large barrier to refill it. The current options are you can go into a bar or restaurant and ask them to do it, which they have no obligation to do, or to go and find a public water fountain in a public place, which is not really easy. So, it's imperative, we believe, that New York City makes water, which is a human right, available to all New Yorkers. A related consequence of a warming planet is hotter summers that will require easy access to water to prevent thirst and death, and we think it would be a sign of great foresight for New York City legislators to implement this plan with an eye to rapidly expand in the near future, making our city ahead of the curve on future disasters that threaten human health. It would also show foresight to make systemic and infrastructural changes that allow us to rapidly reduce the amount of single-use plastics we dispose of in New York. I mean, the fact that part of this bill is referring to flooding in Southeast Queens, it just proves that not addressing climate issues results in more time and money having to be spent to analyze the damage...

2.2

2.3

RACHANA SHAH: And that costs New Yorkers a lot of time and energy so creating solutions that allow us to rapidly decline the use of single-use plastics has major climate mitigation impacts. And I guess I'll end there, thanks.

much for your work with Beyond Plastics. I would imagine that you work with Judith Enck. Okay, so yeah, you know Judith. I know Judith a real long time. I support your efforts on behalf of eliminating plastics, and I really appreciate your support of Council Member Power's Bill Intro. 900. Good luck to you and look forward to you joining one of our future hearings. We appreciate the contribution that you made today and safe travels. Thank you.

If we inadvertently missed anyone who registered to testify today and has yet to be called, please use the Zoom raise hand function if you are testifying remotely and you'll be called in the order that your hand has been raised. If you're testifying in person, please come to the dais.

Seeing none, I will now close the hearing. Thank you to the Members of the

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS 176
2	Administration, members of the public who have joined
3	us today. This hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 6, 2024