CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----- X

December 7, 2017 Start: 11:51 a.m. Recess: 12:41 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: DAVID G. GREENFIELD

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Vincent J. Gentile

Annabel Palma

Daniel R. Garodnick

Darlene Mealy Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Peter A. Koo
Brad S. Lander
Stephen T. Levin
Jumaane D. Williams
Deborah L. Rose
Donovan J. Richards
Inez D. Barron

Inez D. Barron Andrew Cohen Ben Kallos Antonio Reynoso

Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres

Mark Treyger

Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Barry S. Grodenchik

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lenny Bornera Economic Development Corporation

Kelly Williams
Economic Development Corporation

Liza Kent Economic Development Corporation

2 [sound check, pause]

3 CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Good morning. 4 My name is David Greenfield. I'm the Councilman from the 44th District in Brooklyn. I'm privileged to 5 6 serve as the Chair of the Land Use Committee. I want to welcome my esteemed colleagues who are members of 8 the Committee who have joined us today: Council 9 Member Gentile, Council Member Palma, Council Member Garodnick, Council Member Lander, Council Member 10 11 Williams, Chair Richards, Council Member Cohen, 12 Council Member Kallos, Council Member Reynoso, 13 Council Member Torres, Council Member Grodenchik, and 14 we've also been joined by Council Member Perkins and 15 Chair Salamanca as well. I want to thank Chair 16 Salamanca, Chair Richards and Chair Koo for 17 outstanding work on our Land Use Subcommittees. 18 Today, we will hold a public hearing on Preconsidered 19 Resolution for the Restore New York Communities 20 Initiative pursuant to the New York State Urban 21 Development Act, which the Empire State Development 2.2 provides financial assistance to municipalities. 23 is seeking funding for street grade improvements in 24 Council Member Lancman's and in Council Member 25 Miller's district. The grant would provide up to \$5

2.2

2.3

panel?

million for this work related to the Station Plaza

Project in Jamaica. After this hearing we will vote
on all of the items that are on our agenda. I will
now own the public hearing on this item. I will ask
our first panel to introduce themselves, and is it
just you that will be speaking or is it the entire

So, it will be the three of us speaking.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay great. So,

can you introduce yourself first and then we'll

start—we will start the hearing. Will you just

identify yourselves first?

LENNY BORNERAS: Sure. Thank you, Chair Greenfield and fellow Council Members. My name is Lenny Borneras. (sp?) I'm being joined by my colleague Kelly Williams and Liza Kent. All of us are from the New York City Economic Development Corporation.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, great.

Before we begin, it is our practice here in the

Council to ask you to swear or affirm that everything

you're about to say is true. Do you swear or affirm

that everything in your testimony and your answers to

all questions that you say is, in fact, truthful?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 LENNY BORNERAS: Yes, I do.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, with that you may begin.

LENNY BORNERAS: Great. So, today we're before the City Council seeking approval to submit a grant application for \$5 million to fund the demolition, reconstruction and improvements as part of the Station Plaza project in Jamaica, Queens. This is in Council Member Rory Lancman's district and in Council Member I. Daneek Miller's district. this is a New York State Municipal Grant for the revitalization of communities and stabilization of neighborhoods across New York City. We are applying for Station Plaza for the maximum amount of funding for this grant. It's \$5 million, and Station Plaza complies with each of the criteria for which priority is given. So, projects with Empire Zones and Brownfield Opportunity areas, projects that leverage other state and federal redevelopment remediation and planning programs, and projects in economically distressed communities. So, this restored grant program was launched in September of 2017 by the Empire State Development Corporation, and the

2 eligible projects include buildings that are vacant, abandoned, condemned or surplus, and those-the 3 4 funding could be used for those buildings to be demolished, deconstructed, rehabilitated, or reconstructed, and so we are before you the Council 6 7 today asking for a municipal resolution, and approval 8 to submit for this grant. The Station Plaza is-is part of several initiatives in the Jamaica area. This project is being done with the goal of improving 10 11 pedestrian safety and alleviating traffic congestion 12 in this area. This is part of the Jamaica 2007 13 Rezoning Plan, which was approved by the Council, and that plan was envisioned as a vibrant-as creating a 14 15 vibrant new gateway to Jamaica's commercial corridor. 16 This was part of a suite of projects, three projects 17 in total in partnership with Greater Jamaica 18 Development Corporation. And so we have—that's an 19 underpass, which was completed in 2013, and the 20 Atlantic Avenue Extension, which design was completed 21 and now we are embarking on construction in the first 2.2 part of the one in 2018. This is also part of the 2.3 Jamaica Now Action Plan, which was released in 2015, and includes 26 action items that were identified by 24 community members, stakeholder and partners, and we 25

continue to work with the Jamaica Now Leadership
Council on all of those initiatives. So, turning to
Station Plaza so this is located at the intersection
of Archer Avenue and Sutton Boulevard in Jamaica,
Queens, and we are looking on make-on making critical
safety enhancements and a new public plaza. So, it
would be the acquisition of several sites. Three
sites we have already acquired and the fourth we are
in negotiations to acquire two new plazas, widening
the sidewalks, new medians, subway entrances, and
overall circulation improvements. So this funding,
the Restore New York funding would be for the
demolition, improvement and rehabilitation of-and
remediation of those four buildings. So, in terms of
next steps, we're before the City Council Land Use
Committee today for this hearing. We anticipate a
vote at the City Council Stated Meeting on December
$11^{\rm th}$ and the application is due on the $15^{\rm th}$. We
anticipate hearing back on whether or not we have
been-we're recipients of this award around Spring
2018. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you. Let me ask you a question. The Jamaica Now Action Plan how much is the total cost of that plan anticipated?

2.2

2.3

LENNY BORNERAS: The Jamaica Action Plan, the 26-8 actions were about \$153 million commitment from the city.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay great and where are you in that process of those--

LENNY BORNERAS: --So, it's--

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: --action items?

LENNY BORNERAS: Sure, 16 of those actions are already in some type of pre-planning process, and we report on those regularly to Jamaica Now Leadership Council.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay, great.

Thank you. I will note that the two Council Members who represent the district support the project as well. Are there any other questions from members of the committee? Are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none, I will now close the public hearing on this item. Thank you very much.

LENNY BORNERAS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: We will now move onto our votes. We'll be voting to modify LU 817, the Self-Storage Text Amendment. The Self-Storage Text Amendment is a zoning action to establish new

2	restrictions self-storage that has been designed for
3	areas and districts, which largely coincide with
4	industrial business zones. These areas include part
5	of the 24 City Council districts throughout all of
6	the boroughs except Manhattan. Department of City
7	Planning is the applicant for the citywide zoning
8	text amendment. The Administration and the Council
9	together announce the intent to advance restrictions
10	on the development of self-storage facilities in the
11	Industrial Business on November 2015 as part of the
12	Ten Point Industrial Action Plan to modernize the
13	city industrial policy. This came, of course, after
14	the New York City Council released its own report:
15	The Engines of Opportunity and one of the
16	recommendations, in fact, is—was exactly what we are
17	doing today. The industrial sector in New York City
18	provides over 500,000 jobs for the majority of
19	minority and immigrant workforce, and on average no
20	class wages to many workers who may not be able to
21	fund comparable opportunities in other sectors.
22	Self-storage-self storage can currently locate in any
23	M or CA zone throughout the city. Over 70% of self-
24	storage is currently located outside of IBZs and this
25	action with the modifications still provide ample

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

siting opportunities for self-storage facilities across New York City. But as self-storage facilities plan to be built, this actually will ensure that the growth does not undermine the economic development objectives of the city to support the industrial business sector, and preserve siting opportunities in industrial business zones for job intensive industrial businesses. After hearing from many stakeholders, the Council has decided upon a high bid (sic) proposal to establish as a special permit on most IBZs, but allows an as-of-right self-storage option in certain areas in order to allow additional opportunities for as-of-right development of selfstorage in specific areas where appropriate across the city. The as-of-right option will apply to the Bathgate IBZ in the Bronx, the Steinway IBZ in Map 1 of the Jamaica IBZ in Queens and the West Shore, and there also IBZs in Staten Island. In determining these areas, the Council considered numerous factors. Brooklyn already has the most self-storage facilities of any borough, and it experienced a particular acute shortage of industrial siting opportunities, therefore, the Special Permit was considered appropriate throughout the borough. In the Bronx and

Queens, Bathgate, Steinway and Map 1 of Jamaica IBZs 2 3 all only have one existing self-storage facility each 4 compared to IBZs such as East Chester, Zerega and the 5 other sections of the Jamaica IBZ that already heavily saturated. These areas were, therefore, 6 deemed appropriate for an as-of-right development 7 8 option because there is only one self-storage. Staten Island, the West Shore and waterfront (sic) areas have large swaths of vacant land and the 10 11 competition for industrial siting opportunities is far less acute than in other IBZs in the city. 12 13 Council has essentially revised the mixed-use 14 requirement for these areas in order to more easily 15 facility as-of-right self-storage evolvement by increasing the lot size threshold to 50,000 square 16 17 feet for 25,000 square feet in those areas. 18 Special permit will apply in Port Morris, Hunts 19 Point, Zerega, East Chester IBZs in the Bronx, all 20 IBZs in Brooklyn, Long Island City, Ridgewood, 21 Maspeth, Woodside, JFF, Jamaica Maps 2 & 4 in Queens 2.2 and North Shore IBZ in Staten Island. The special 2.3 requirement—the Special Permit requirement, folks, is not a ban on self-storage in these areas. 24 25 simply a special permit requirements.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

confident that developers will be able to make successful applications for sites in our self-storage development. I would just like to add that this is something that the Council has been working for many years. It was initially in our Engines of Opportunities Report, and the reality that up until now, IBZs have just been a name without any, quite frankly teeth in terms of enforcement giving these IBZs the ability to separate from other areas. clear, the IBZs are only a portion of manufacturing areas in New York City. For a decade we've said that the IBZs are special locations. We want to encourage industrial businesses, and in order to do that, we need to provide protections for those areas. reality is that self-storage can pay twice as much as industrial areas in terms of what they would pay for development and the reason for that is that it is far more profitable than industrial businesses would be, and that is one of the reasons why we are trying to protect these areas because they are specifically industrial business zones. So, with that, I want to thank all of my colleagues who have weighed in on this, and I would certainly like to encourage my colleagues to support this and I would recommend a

yes vote on the application as was modified. 2 3 of the 25 members who have IBZs were in support of 4 the original application. The five members who had concerns, we mead appropriate modifications to 5 accommodate the concerns of those members. We will 6 also be voting to approve LUs 805 through 807, the 7 8 National Black Theatre Application with two modifications. The applicant, MTB Victory Development LLC, seeks approval of the zoning map 10 11 amendments and text amendments, and special permit to waive off-street residential parking permits. 12 resulting development in Council Member Perkins' 13 14 district would include a new space to be owned and 15 operated by the National Black Theatre. We'll be 16 modifying the text amendment to eliminate MIH Option 17 2 and add the deep affordability option, and we will 18 be modifying the special permit application to 19 clarify that parking waiver. We will with approval 20 and modifications 1965 Raffia Avenue rezoning, LUs 800 and 801 in Council Member Palma's district. 21 2.2 modification will move MIH Option 2. We'll also be 2.3 voting to approve the two tax related exemption applications. HPD submitted both these preconsidered 24 applications under Article 11 of Private Housing 25

2 Finance Law to allow for property exemptions 3 throughout their development. We're voting on 1776 Eastchester Road Application, LUs 802 through 804 4 with modifications. The application is Council 5 Member Vacca's district. It's to present a map and 6 7 text amendment, text amendment and special permit 8 that would facilitate the development of 182 units of non-profit hospital staff dwelling units. These units would house hospital staff for the nearby 10 11 Montefiore Hospital. We'll be voting to modify the 12 applications to remove from the rezoning the proposed C2/4-2A district to the south of the development 13 14 site. We'll also be modifying the text amendment to 15 remove MIH Option 1. We'll be voting go approved 16 Preconsidered LU the siting of the new approximately 17 404-seat primary school in Council Member Menchaca's 18 district. We'll also be voting to approve LU 825, 19 These are homes we have a tax exemption application in Council Member Miller's district. This 20 21 application is for a technical correction to a 2.2 previously approved tax exemption. We're voting to 2.3 approve with modifications the Special Harlem River Waterfront Applications, LUs 785 and 786. 24 previously voted to modify these applications. 25

However, CPD subsequently determined that the 2 3 Council's inclusion of a reference to an exterior street and its modification of the location of 4 setbacks and the measure being expressed there was out of scope. Accordingly, we'll be voting to remove 6 the reference to the exterior street in the sentence and to make a technical correction to the list of 8 parcels in the same sentence. The rest of the modifications were determined to be in scope. 10 We'll 11 also be voting to approve a couple pieces of 12 legislation: Proposed Intro No. 1533-A, a local law 13 to amend the City Charter in relation to application 14 reporting requirements for urban renewal plans. 15 Proposed 1533-A would empower elected officials and their in their positions with the tools needed to 16 17 advocate rezoning and land use policies areas that 18 are currently and were formerly designated urban 19 renewal areas. Proposed Intro No. 1533-A would make 20 information about urban renewal areas and urban 21 renewals plans, RPs in the relationship with 2.2 particular zoning maps more transparent. 2.3 congratulate Council Member Council Member Chin on her hard work on this issue. We'll be voting to 24 25 approve Proposed Intro No. 1661-A sponsored by

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Council Member Espinal. This bill would require the

3 city to establish a website on NYC.gov by July 1st of

2018 to promote commercial and community based

5 agricultural uses in the city of New York.

6 Congratulations Council Members Espinal. We'll be

7 voting to approve the Preconsidered Resolution for

8 | the SDCs Restore New York Program for Station Plaza

9 Project, which we held a hearing on earlier today.

10 Are there any questions on these applications?

11 | Hearing none, I'm going to mover to the remarks and

12 I'm going to start with Council Member Perkins.

much, Mr. Chair. I want to just take a moment to extend my support to one of the items on the agenda known as the National Black Theatre and this is an organization that has been a transformative force in our neighborhood for many, many years under the vision of Barbara Ann Teer, and we wanted to make sure that my colleagues understood how they have shown how culture can become very powerful in terms of helping to renovate a community, rehabilitate a community. This particular moment they are also not only just bringing their cultural and artistic genius to—to the play, but they also are now looking into

2.2

2.3

heling to develop housing, affordable housing in the neighborhood. So, that shows artists can go beyond the art and the culture and get real nitty-gritty in terms of what people need for daily living in the neighborhood. So, I just wanted to take a moment to ask my colleagues to support this project. It's a very, very worthy project to say the least, and I'm proud to have spent so much time with the over the years, and I have to give them credit for the names that's for my own vision on what's good for the community from not only an artistic point of view, but also from a sort of a cultural and moral point of view as well. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Council Member Perkins and thank you for the work

ensuring to make sure to make sure that this a

successful project. I'm going to turn it over to

Council Member Chin to make remarks on her urban

renewal legislation, Intro 1533-A.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Good afternoon.

Thank you to Chair Greenfield and members of the Land

Use committee for holding a vote today on a landmark

piece of legislation. Intro 1533-A will provide for

the first time public access to the urban renewal

plans that change the shape of New York City for 2 3 The city has adopted of 150 urban renewal 4 plans since 1949, but until now, the public could not access any of these information without a costly Freedom of Information Law request. There was no way 6 7 for us to know whether our communities were in an 8 urban renewal area, and without requirements to notify the public when a plan is about to expire, our communities are left in the dark about the impacts of 10 11 urban renewal plans or the lack of one. 12 exactly what happened when the Two Bridges Urban 13 Renewal Plan expired in my district in 2007. 14 protection lapsed and the developers saw the 15 opportunity to build out-of-context luxury 16 developments in an entirely working-class community. 17 With the passage of this bill, I hope it will never 18 happen again to any other community in the city. 19 Intro 1533-A will require HPD to work with DCP to 20 provide written notice of an expiring plan to 21 affected borough presidents, Council members, the Speaker of the Council, and community boards. 2.2 2.3 will ensure that there is enough time to take action to expand the lifetime of the plan or to develop and 24 25 alternative neighborhood plan. Additionally, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bill will require HPD to create a publicly accessible website with critical information on all active and expired urban renewal plans as well as resources describing how to conduct further research on the ways urban renewal areas impact local land use and neighborhood character. This website will include a comprehensive map of where these urban renewal areas are in New York City, and will link to other land use and planning tools like the zoning and land use map for further analysis. These tools will undoubtedly help public officials, advocates, and the public prepare and plan for the futures of their neighborhoods. Once again, I want to thank our wonder Land Sue Chair David Greenfield for his support throughout this process. I also want to particularly thank all the advocates especially those at 596 Acres and the Urban Reviewer Project who paved the way to make information on urban renewal plans more accessible. Lastly, I want to thank members of the Land Use staff: Raju Mann, Julie Rubin, and Jeff Campagna and my own Director of Land Use and Planning, Roxanne Earley for their hard work and dedication to seeing this legislation through, and I urge my colleagues to vote yes. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Congratulations,

Council Member. I know that you've worked hard on

this issue, and it's an important issue, and it's a

very significant piece of legislation. I recognize

Council Member Torres for some remarks as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thought I was insufficiently clear in the position that I took in the previous. So, I share the-and I want to clarify my comments. First, I want to state I'm not going to repeat what I said, but I do want to state that I do not at all question the sincerity of the Council's commitment to improving manufacturing. That's a deeply felt commitment. Nor do I question the substance of the Council's goals. My concern is about the process by which we are pursuing the text amendment. I believe philosophically that a study should have been undertaken before proceeding with a citywide policy that could cripple the whole industry, and a number of staffers in the Land Use Division and the Chairperson I think it was Council Member Richards said that special permit is not a ban. The fact is we don't know the answer to that question. We don't know the real world impact of a Special Permit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

requirements on self-storage. It could be that in practical terms it is the functional equivalent of a ban. So, without knowing, without having conducted a study, without knowing the circumstances under which self-storage development is financed, how could we possibly state definitely that a Special Permit requirement is not a ban? We don't know the answer to that question, and there's no reason to think that the elimination of self-storage will necessarily lead to industrial uses. It could just as easily lead to land remaining vacant. It could just as easily lead to land falling prey to a whole host of nonindustrial uses including adult entertainment. know, I know the Council is confident in the facts claims that it has asserted about self-storage, but it's not confident enough to subject those fact claims to a empirical scrutiny, and that-that--that's where more core objection lies. And look, if this text amendment were based on a study that found that self-storage was a disproportionate driver of industrial displacement, I would be voting aye. if this were a text amendment that banned all nonindustrial uses and industrial spaces rather than single out one industry without an empirical basis,

2.2

2.3

then I would be voting aye, because I think that
that's defensible principle. But I see no basis on
which to single out this one industry without even
conducting so much as a study, and that's my core
objection, and I did not mean in any way to question
the sincerity of anyone's commitments to improving
manufacturing. I know it's deeply felt among my
colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Council. The Chair recognizes Council Member Lander
to be followed by Council Member Palma.

Greenfield. I'll just add here I think it's-it's, you know, to me it's not a question of our sincerity. I'm really proud of what the Council is doing today, and I think it's worth tracking the history here, and understanding why we are where we are. We're here because the Council has chosen through research and extensive process to try to champion the preservation and manufacturing of industrial jobs and strengthen job generating uses in this city. This didn't travel the normal City Planning process and if we had waited for that to happen, it never would have happened.

The Council took the initiative to have our staff do

the research for the Engines of Opportunity Report to 2 3 press the Mayor to do this, to push City Planning to 4 do this, and to push back when City Planning made 5 clear they didn't want to it by gutting it at the City Planning Commission. So, those are our choices. 6 7 Our choices were wish the normal process and the 8 traditional studies would save manufacturing jobs, but watch them wither or step up and take action in the way that the Council can. So, there was a lot of 10 11 research done. I encouraged people to read the 12 Engines of Opportunity Report. To say this is a ban 13 regardless of impact of the Special Permit is 14 preposterous, and 75% of the self-storage in New York 15 City is outside of IBZs. So, it's obviously not a ban on self-storage in New York City. Seventy-five 16 17 percent of the footprint where the-the-the self-18 storage facilities are today, this is unchanged in. 19 And in addition, we've got special-we don't have the 20 experience of special permits on self-storage 21 facilities, but we've got the experience of special permits on many other facilities, and we know what 2.2 2.3 that process looks like. Does every single thing proposed get through? Certainly not. Do many of 24 25 them get through? Of course they do. So, it is

anecdotal, but I would invite people to, you know,
travel with me and take a peek at a 163 6th Street ir
Gowanus, which was an active industrial site a year
ago, which was closed in order to be sold to a self-
storage facility. The self-storage facility is half
through construction. I have multiple other
manufacturers coming to talk to me every month about
their preference to be located in Gowanus, and
telling me there's no way they can compete on prices
with self-storage facilities. Hotels are actually
already not allowed in the Gowanus IBZs. So, there
they are not actually a competing use, and what's
out-competing the manufacturers more and more is
self-storage facilities. So, I'm proud of the action
that the Council is taking here. It is data driven.
It is rooted not just in anecdote or emotion, but in
our best efforts to do what we can to make sure there
are jobs for people who don't have the levels of-of
education that would be required, and I will proudly
vote aye on this action. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Council Member Lander. I recognize Council Member

Palma.

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you, Mr. 3 Chair. Today I'd like to express my sincere 4 gratitude to everyone who worked on the project in my district that we'll be voting on today, 1965 Lafayette. I want to thank Amy Levitan, Jeff Ewan, 6 7 the Land Use staff, Jordan Press, the whole team at HPD, Chair Richards and Chair Greenfield, and the 8 Park Lane team for the work that was done, and for taking time to really listen to the concerns that 10 11 were being raised, and by the incredible residents of 12 the Park Lane Tenant Association who not only voice 13 their concerns. But, also make sure that they fought 14 extremely hard for this project to include a new 15 quality affordable hour, significant capital upgrades to the existing buildings as well as a new 30-year 16 17 regulatory agreement to ensure the long-term 18 affordability of the current 1965 Lafayette property 19 I must say I'm truly satisfied with this residents. 20 project, the collaboration between Park Lane and HPD 21 was seamless. Park Lane took every possible measure 2.2 to accommodate both my requests as well as the needs 2.3 of the current tenants. Park Lane has committed to hiring locally during the development of this project 24

and to proceed with construction safely and with-and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

working together with the surrounding residents in mind. Park Lane will also provide my community district with an occupancy preference of 50% of the units, heavy advertisement geared towards making the current residents in that community aware of the incoming development and—and to doing community workshops to help residents in my district prepare and submit applications to live within these units. This holiday season we all have a lot to be thankful for, but I'm especially thankful that we were able to bring two new fully affordable developments into my community right before the exit of my-of my tenure here at the City Council. As I stated earlier, we're looking at approximately 296 units in one development, which includes units set aside exclusively for formerly homeless tenants as part of Our Space Initiative. The senior development will contain approximately 133 units with 30% of the units set aside for homeless seniors. In the end, this project is emblematic of the city's commitment to providing high quality affordable housing for some of our most vulnerable New Yorkers. If there was one thing I wanted to accomplish before leaving office, it was providing more housing for my constituents,

2.2

2.3

and I am proud of what we have accomplished with these developments. Again, I want to thank all the parties involved for their—for their hard work and their commitment, their patience and the dedication to making this the best project possible, and look forward to bringing this much needed housing into my district. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you

Council Member and congratulations again on removing
the Option 2 and ensuring the deeper affordability on
this very significant project in the district. I
know it was a lot of work, and fantastic achievement
as well. I want to welcome Council Member Barron,
also would like to give the floor to Council Member
Reynoso.

Greenfield. I just want to say I appreciate Council
Member Ritchie Torres' comments and clarification
regarding the statements he made at the subcommittee,
and appreciate his concern over the lack of a study
for this current proposal on self-storage, and I just
would like to make a couple of remarks regarding
self-storage. I am extremely concerned, of course,
regarding the negligent (sic) amount of jobs that it

2 creates paying more than double the price per square 3 footage in manufacturing districts. It is as has 4 been stated several times here that it is a nonindustrial use. Industrial advocates and—and myself and other Council members have requested a 6 7 comprehensive study and analysis of impacts of all non-industrial uses within IBZs, and I've been told 8 no several times by the Mayor's Office and by DCP. In doing so, it was up to us to—to work on the plan 10 11 regarding industrial uses that can protect 12 manufacturing for the long-term here in the City of 13 New York, and I want to thank the work that the Speaker's Office and the Land Use staff have done to 14 15 put in-give us as much information as possible so 16 that we can make the best decision possible. And I 17 do want to say that a full EIS was completed for his 18 recommendation that we are going to end up hopefully supporting today, and traditionally an EIS speaks of 19 20 the impacts or it is the standard for which we allow 21 for it to speak to the impacts of-of what a lot of 2.2 our recommendations would-would do. So, with that, I 2.3 want to just encourage all my colleagues to vote yes on the self-storage and also I want to say to Council 24 Member Annabel Palma as usual continuing to work 25

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

2.2

2.3

tirelessly to build affordable housing in her district and to the Bronx. It's why I got to continue to keep shouting out that's probably the best work when it comes to the amount of affordable housing they're building for the city of New York.

And I think actually taking on an undue burden to be the premier borough when it comes to building affordable housing and my concerns regarding segregation and how the—the City of New York doesn't have a comprehensive citywide policy or plan to sop that from happening and now allow for the burden of building affordable housing to continue to fall on members of color in districts of color. Thank you very much.

much, and speaking of the EIS, I just would note that the EIS did conclude that approximately one special permit would be approve per year based on similar kinds of special permits, and the zoning resolution.

I'm going to turn it over now to Council Member Salamanca.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I appreciate the opportunity to have a

healthy debate on Land Use Items 817, which will work

to-which will work to permit self-storage facilities
within newly designated area, which largely coincides
with industrial business zones. I have two IBZs in
my district. I have Hunts Point, and Port Morris in
the South Bronx. Both are incredibly important
economic drivers for my community, our borough and
our city, and we need to protect the opportunities we
currently have to continue to create new industry and
manufacturing jobs. Additionally, providing the
opportunity to give deference to the local members if
it is merited. As a local member often knows about
the issues in their community better than anyone
else. While I have understood the concerns that have
been raised on this issue, I believe that we have
taken a step in the right direction in doing what we
set out to do, which is to protect industrial space.
With that said, I'm proud to support this amended
zoning resolution today. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Council Member Salamanca, or Chair Salamanca. Are

there any other comments on any of the other items

here today? Okay, I don't see any other comments. I

do want to congratulate the folks in the industrial

industry who worked hard and worked on this for

2.2

2.3

years, and many of us, many of our officers worked with many different folks, but they were primarily organized by ANHD and the individual responsible for that is Armando Chaplakin (sp?), who is here with us today who has done a lot of work, and I want to recognize work that he and the coalition put into this. So, thank you for your partnership on that.

Okay, seeing no other remarks from Council Members unless Council Member Cohen wants to weigh in.

explain your vote. Okay. So, we'll get to—we'll get to that shortly. I will now call a vote in accordance with the recommendations of the Subcommittees and with the support of the local members who approved Preconsidered LU, 404-Seat Primary School, Preconsidered LUs 1965 Lafayette Avenue Tax Emptions; LU 825, small homes rehab tax exemption. Intro 1661-A the Urban Agricultural Bill, Intro 1533-A, the Urban Renewal Tracker Bill, and the Preconsidered Resolution for ESCC's Restore New York Program for the Station Plaza, and to approve with modification I have already described LUS 800 through

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 32
2	801, the 1965 Lafayette Avenue Rezoning; LUs 802
3	through 804, the Eastchester Road Rezoning; LUs 805
4	through 807, the National Black Theatre Application;
5	LU 817, Self-Storage Text Amendment; and LU 785
6	through 786, the Special Harlem River Waterfront
7	District Expansion Application. I will ask the Clerk
8	to please call the roll.
9	CLERK: Committee Clerk Matthew
10	DiStefano, Committee on Land Use. Roll call on items
11	coupled for a vote as printed in today's Land Use
12	Calendar. Chair Greenfield.
13	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I welcome all of
14	our guests in the balcony, and I vote aye.
15	CLERK: Gentile.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [off mic] I vote
17	aye.
18	CLERK: Palma.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.
20	CLERK: Garodnick.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: [off mic] Aye.
22	CLERK: Mendez.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [off mic] Aye.
24	CLERK: Lander.
J	

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [off mic] Aye.

25

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Cohen, we've been anxiously awaiting your remarks, and we're looking forward to you [laughter] explaining you vote.

tell you I think this is only maybe the second or third time in the four years that I have explained my vote, and I just want to go on record as saying while I'm proudly going to vote age on the self-storage issue, I think that there are many, many broader issues outside of IBZs citywide. I personally feel that there—that they're a scourge on—on my home borough in Bronx County that they're—that they really present some urban blight issues that I'm profoundly about. So, I hope we have the opportunity to revisit this, but I do think this is an incremental step forward, and with that, I am going to vote age on all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: So, you want us to just ban all self-storage facilities [laughter]. That's where we're going with this conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: If—if you're asking for a vote on that right now, I will be happy to give it. [laughter]

what it's for.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I-I do what to
3	respond to-to something Council Member Lander said.
4	You know I had expressed concern that we don't know
5	whether special permit requirement on IBZs is a
6	functional equivalent of a ban on self-storage in-in
7	IBZs, right? It maybe. It might not be. I don't
8	know the answer to that question because each
9	industry has its own financing requirements. I don't
10	know the circumstances under which financing is
11	available to self-storage development. It cold be
12	that a process of public review would inhibit
13	financing, but to call—characterize that fair
14	question as preposterous, I think is unfair to me. I
15	can assure you that whatever position I take, it
16	could be wrong. You could disagree with it, but I
17	can assure you it's not preposterous. With that
18	said, I vote no.
19	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Just for the
20	record, did you vote aye on the other items?
21	[laughter] Do you vote aye on the other items?
22	CHAIRPERSON TORRES: I do vote aye on the
23	other items. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

25 CLERK: Kallos.

24

2.3

24

25

abstaining on.

1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Aye on all. 3 CLERK: Treyger. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: With congratulations to my colleague Council Member 5 Annabel Palma, who has championed affordable housing 6 7 in her district, also congratulations to my colleague Council Member Perkins and his correctional (sic) 8 achievements in his district. I vote aye. CLERK: Grodenchik. [pause] 10 11 CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: You are not, but 12 you can feel free to explain your vote to me. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I'm going to 14 explain just a-no, I really don't need to explain too 15 much. I just want to congratulate my colleagues who 16 are passing legislation this morning. I especially 17 want to congratulate Mr. Perkins on the National 18 Black Theatre. I was very impressed, and I wish you 19 all good luck with that. I understand how important 20 culture is as the brother or a professional actor. 21 With that, Mr. Chair, I vote age on all except for the Preconsidered Resolution, which I will be 2.2

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: I'm sorry. What was that about being a professional actor?

CLERK: Salamanca.

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: I vote aye on 3 all.

CLERK: Okay, this-finally here's the breakdown of today's Land Use Committee vote. following items were approved by the Committee by a vote of 18 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions. Intro 1553-A, Intro 1661-A; LU 825, the two Preconsidered LU items in regard to 1965 Lafayette Avenue; the Preconsidered LU in regard to the 400-seat primary school facility; the Preconsidered Resolution regarding ESDC Restore New York Program for the Station Plaza Project passed by a vote of 17 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 1 abstention. The following items were approved with modifications by a vote of 18 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions. LU 785 and LU 786, LUS 800, 801, 805, 806, 807. The items LU 800-excuse me. Items LU 802 to 804 were passed by a vote of 17 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 1 abstention; and LU 817 was approved with modifications by a vote of 17 in the affirmative, 1 in the negative and no abstentions.

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you. I

want to thank our outstanding Land Use staff for

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 40
2	literally spending thousands of hours, thousands of
3	hours of work on all—all of the items on today's
4	agenda, and especially the years of effort that went
5	into the IBZ Special Permit, and I appreciate their
6	efforts, and I'd like to, as is our practice, we're
7	going to keep the vote open for a few minutes for
8	members who are currently in other committees, and we
9	will close out at 12:40 p.m. This concludes the Land
10	Use Committee hearing for December 7, 2017. The Land
11	Use Committee is hereby adjourned. [gavel]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 21, 2017