CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

----- X

AUGUST 1, 2023 Start: 10:10 A.M. Recess: 10:35 A.M.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM,

 14^{TH} FLOOR

B E F O R E: Farah N. Louis,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Carmen N. De La Rosa

Oswald Feliz

Christopher Marte

Sandy Nurse Sandra Ung Inna Vernikov

A P P E A R A N C E S

Kate Lemos McHale Director of Research for LPC

Steven Thomson
Director of Community and Intergovernmental
Affairs

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is the sound check for the Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Sitings and Dispositions. Today's date is August 1, 2023 being recorded by Danny Wong in the 14th Floor here in the room.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to the New York City Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Sitings and Dispositions. At this time, please place all phones on vibrate or silent mode. If you want to submit testimony, send it to

landmarkstestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again that's
landmarkstestimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for
your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: [GAVEL] Good morning. I am

Council Member Farah Louis, Chair of the Subcommittee

on Landmarks, Public Sitings and Dispositions. This

meeting is being held in hybrid format. I am joined

today by my colleagues Council Members De La Rosa,

Ung, Marte and Nurse.

Before we begin today's agenda, I will remind members of the public who wish to testify remotely that we ask that you register online and that you may do so now by visiting www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up. If you have already registered but have not

2.2

2.3

yet signed into Zoom, please do so now and remain signed in until you have testified. For anyone wishing to submit written testimony on the items being heard today, we ask that you please send it via email at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU Number and the project name in the subject line of your email.

Hearing LPC designations Linden Street Historic
District and Former Colored School Number 4
individual landmark. Today, we will hold public
hearings on the Linden Street historic district in
Brooklyn Community District 4 in Council Member
Gutiérrez's District along with the Former Colored
School Number 4 individual landmark in Manhattan
Community District 4 in Council Member Bottcher's
district.

Both were designated by the Landmarks

Preservation Commission in May of this year. I now open the public hearing on these two preconsidered items. The historic district under ULURP Number N 230353 HKK and the individual landmark under ULURP Number N 230362 HIM.

We are joined today by representatives of LPC including Director of Research Kate Lemos McHale and

2.2

2.3

Director of Community and Intergovernmental Affairs

Steven Thomson. Council Member Gutiérrez could not
join us today for today's hearing but we have a

written testimony from her office celebrating and

urging support for this designation and the statement

will be submitted into our record.

Council Member Bottcher, if he comes, will also provide remarks. I will remind anyone wishing to testify remotely, if you have not done so already, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Counsel, will you please administer the affirmation?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Analysts, will you please raise your right hands and state your name for the record?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Kate Lemos McHale.

STEVEN THOMSON: Steven Thomson.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Subcommittee and in answer to all Council Member questions?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: I do.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

2 STEVEN THOMSON: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Good, okay, can you all hear me? Great. If I could have our slides please? And good morning Chair Louis and Committee Members.

Thank you for the opportunity to present these recent designations.

We could go to the next one please. Thank you. The Linden Street Historic District was designated on May 9th. This small district is a remarkably intact group of 32 row houses built between 1885 and 1901. They were designed by Brooklyn architects and are a highly successful integration of late 19 Century picturesque styles.

Representing the areas early residential development, this architecturally and historically significant district stands out as a unified street scape with a strong sense of place. Next slide please.

The Linden Street Historic District consists of the row houses on the block of Linden Street between Bushwick Avenue and Broadway. At LPC's public hearing on February 28th, eight people testified in support of designation including representatives of

2.2

2.3

the Historic Districts Council, the Bushwick Historic Preservation Association, South Bronx Cares and five individuals. One individual representing Open New York opposed the designation.

The Commission also received six letters from members of the Bushwick Historic Preservation

Association in support of designation. And we did work with Council Member Gutiérrez's office and the Community Board, both who were very supportive of designation. Next please.

Bushwick, oh sorry. The historic district is in the Southern area of Bushwick and is the neighborhoods first historic district. There are currently 12 individual landmarks in the neighborhood and shown here are several of those including free standing houses and civic and religious buildings.

LPC staff studied the area carefully in the context of Bushwick Avenue and the neighborhood late 19th and early 20th Century development and found that its distinctive rowhouses, the continuous nature of the streetscape and its intact historic character really distinguished this area within the neighborhood.

2.2

2.3

We had a meeting with property owners and many follow up conversations with them as well through the process. Next please.

Bushwick is one of the original six towns in Brooklyn. It was chartered by the Dutch in 1661. It became part of the City of Brooklyn in 1854 and these two maps show its rapid transformation between 1880 when there really was not much built and 1898 after the arrival of the Broadway Elevated Train Service really spurred development. So, within about a decade, most of the blocks were filled with wood frame and mason rehouses and by 1901, all of the row houses in the district had been built.

Over the years many of the houses shown on these maps have been altered or demolished and replaced but those on Linden Street remain highly intact. Next please.

The district was developed by Samuel Meeker who was a Lawyer and one of the founders of the Williamsburgh Savings Bank and he built a house for himself on the corner of Bushwick Avenue and Linden Street in 1852, and you can see that in this photograph, and developed the rest of the lots on the block. Next please.

2.2

2.3

These Queen Anne Style brick terra cotta and brownstone row houses are an impressive section along the westside of Linden Street facing Bushwick Avenue. They were designed by Brooklyn Architect Frank Keith Irving whose work is represented in the Crown Heights North and Prospect Heights Historic Districts. The sophisticated row is notable for its continuous bans of decorative terra cotta, ornamental freezes and profits and high Victorian end house with a mansard roof that you can see here in the photo. Next please.

Moving down the block towards Broadway, in the middle is a row of Brownstone and brick row houses designed in the Neo-Grec style by Edward F. Gaylor and built in 1885. These have characteristic Neo-Grec style in size lintels, bracketed seals, door hoods and cornices and many of the high stone stoops retain their historic cast iron railings and duel posts. And closest to Broadway are Brownstone row houses designed in the renaissance revival style by Benjamin Finksieper and built in 1901. Next please.

And across Linden Street is an intact row of Romanesque and Renaissance Revival Style Row Houses

with alternating projecting bays built between 1894 and 1896.

2.2

2.3

Architect and Builder Charles E. Palmer combined two popular late 19 Century Styles in his design for this row, which featured distinctive Romanesque rough faced stone facades and Renaissance Revival Style Cornices and generously sized front yards. Next please.

The first residents along the section of Linden Street were predominantly White, middle- and working-class families. After World War II, Bushwick's population decreased as residents left the city and during the turbulent years in the 1970's and 80's, sections of Bushwick experienced unrest and decline. That resulted in many lost buildings.

In the 1990's and 2000's, government and community groups partnered to create new housing and improve services in the area and the neighborhood has sense attracted an influx of new residents from Central and South America, the Caribbean, Asia and the Middle East. And today, the Bushwick neighborhood has continued to experience ongoing revitalization and Linden Street reflects the diversity and vitality of its surrounding

2.2

2.3

neighborhood and preserving these houses also preserves that history of the people who have lived here through the history of the block. Next please.

So, finally, this section of Linden Street has remained remarkably intact over the years and stands out as an architecturally and historically significant residential block in Bushwick. The designation helps further LPC's equity goals and we had good community support. I urge the Council to uphold the designation and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you so much. I'd like to now recognize any of my colleagues if they have any questions or remarks and we've also been joined by Council Member Vernikov. Any Council Members with questions? Alright. You could move forward to your second presentation and when you're ready let us know.

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Okay, sure yeah, I'm ready for the next slide please. Yeah, it just keeps going after this. Great then the next slide please. Thank you.

The Former Colored School Number 4 was built in 1849 to 1850. It's Manhattans only known surviving

2.2

2.3

Colored School as schools serving African American students were called at the time. It's an important reminder of racially segregated education in New York City, spanning the period between the civil war and the post reconstruction period. In addition to illustrating what a small New York City public school looked like before the Civil War, it shows us how education afforded crucial opportunities and skills to Black students as they struggled against the discrimination and inequities that were part of their daily lives.

Historian Eric K. Washington submitted a request for evaluation and research on the building and LPC staff prioritized the building as part of our citywide survey and study of buildings with important African American history. We did a lot of detailed research and analysis of the building and we're really pleased by the designation in May.

At our public hearing on April 25, 21 people
testified in support of designation, including
Council Member Eric Bottcher, representatives of
Community Board 4, the Council of Chelsey Block
Associations, several neighboring individual Block
Associations and Condo Boards, local and citywide

preservation advocates, and individuals including Eric Washington.

2.2

2.3

In addition, we received seven letters of support including from the African American Redress Network and the Schomburg Center and no letters were received in opposition. A petition supporting designation had 2,843 signatures by the time of the vote. Next please.

This is a map showing the landmark site, which is the tax law located mid-block on the south side of West $17^{\rm th}$ Street, between $6^{\rm th}$ and $7^{\rm th}$ Avenues. Next please.

This school building was constructed in 1849 by the Public-School Society of New York as a primary school that could accommodate 300 children ages 5 to 7, using the model primary school house plan, which is shown on the left. Boys and girls use separate entrances and occupied separate floors inside the building. The New York City Board of Education was formed in 1853 and in the 1850's following State Legislation, created segregated schools identified as schools for colored children. This building became a colored school in 1860 for both primary and grammar school levels ages 5 to 14. At that time, there were

8 racially segregated public schools in Manhattan serving 2,377 students.

2.2

2.3

After the State Civil Rights Act of 1873, public schools were to open to all children but that was slow to be implemented in New York City where school segregation continued until 1884. For example, on this map, the former Colored School Number 4 in 1879 is still labeled C4 for Colored School Number 4. The map shows the schools immediate neighborhood at the time, which is home to modest row houses, churches, including the African Union Church on West 15th Street, a brewery and other commercial and industrial buildings. Next please.

There were also colored schools in other boroughs. On the left, the former Colored School Number 3 was built in 1879 as a segregated school and is the only known example remaining in Brooklyn. It was designated a landmark in 1998. On the right is the former Colored School Number 48 in Jamaica Queens, which was built in 1886 but is no longer standing. Next.

Since the Colonial era, literacy and education have been important priorities within the African American community as avenues for civil liberties and

a means of advancement despite racist discrimination and segregation.

New York City's education of Black students began with the African Free School, established by the Manumission Society as a charity in 1787. An early school house built on Mulberry Street in 1815 as shown here in a drawing by one of its students. A number of graduates became prominent community and national leaders including Henry Highland Garnet, who was a nationally influential abolitionist and Charles L. Reason, a College Professor and Reformer who founded the Society for the Promotion of Education among Colored Children. Next please.

Manhattan's African American community became the target of racist violence during the New York City draft riots, which engulfed the city on July 13, 1863. Over the course of several days, violent attacks targeted African Americans, houses of abolitionists, Black churches and institutions such as the former Colored School Number 4. Rioters stormed the school building but the staff kept the mob out and the children safe. Those rioters then attacked other innocent victims across the street.

25 Next please.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

The former Colored School Number 4 was associated with important African American teachers and students. Sarah J. Tompkins Garnet became the Principal of the school in 1863 just before the draft riots. She was one of the first African American women principals in the New York City school system. Her family members were well to do Brooklyn farmers and merchants and she was esteemed as a prominent

educator, artist, and champion of social justice.

Another distinguished teacher was Joan Imogen
Howard whose first teaching position was at Colored
School Number 4. She earned a master's degree and
later represented New York State a Board of Women
Managers at Chicago's Columbian Exposition in 1893.
And many students who attended the school went on to
become prominent leaders in education, music and
management. Shown here are Susan Elizabeth Frasier,
who became New York City's first African American
Teacher assigned to an integrated public school in
1896.

Walter Fr. Craig was a Violinist, Composer and Orchestra Leader and James H. Williams became a highly respected and influential supervisor, known as

2.2

2.3

the Chief of the Red Cap Porters at Grand Central
Terminal. Next please.

Manhattan removed the word Colored from the names of public schools in 1884. The former Colored School Number 4 became Grammer School Number 81 but it continued to serve African American children until it closed in 1894. At that time, it was one of two schools taught solely by African American Teachers. After 1894, the building remained in city ownership. It was leased to various veteran association groups and by 1936, the Department of Sanitation was using it as one of their facilities and remains the buildings owner today.

The former school retains its original configuration and model primary school house design. Although the front façade was updated in the 1930's with beige brick, it still retains its original form, roofline and window and door patterns. And incredibly, it still has its characteristic two entries that once separated boys and girls and its historic wood multi-pane double hung windows at the second and third stories. After careful study, staff determined the building retains its character

2.2

2.3

defining features that reflect its period of
significance. Next.

The former Colored School Number 4 is incredibly significant as an important reminder of 19 Century education in New York City and illustrates how the city's public educational system served African American students during the city's period of mandated, racially segregated schools.

On May 23rd, the Commission voted to designate the building and Mayor Adams announced \$6 million in funding for its rehabilitation. The designation had widespread support and is a significant part of our work to recognize important African American history throughout New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you. I have a quick question, I'm sorry. It's being used right now by DSNY and the Mayor offered to give \$6 million. Is that in the FY24 budget?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: That is a good question.

STEVEN THOMSON: I don't think that that was indicated at the time of which budget year it was placed in but I can look into that and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Okay, thank you. I would love to know that before we vote on this. And another question regarding opposition. You have seven opposition letters or is it just one, the Schomberg?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Oh sorry, no the letters were all in support for -

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Including the Schomberg?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Okay, thank you. Do any of my colleagues have questions? Council Member Nurse?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: With this designation, would the Department of Sanitation continue using the building in the way it has been?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: The use is I think to be determined. There's a study going first to rehabilitate the building and then to find a use that I think can serve a more public function and reflect the history of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Uhm, but you know the designation covers the exterior of the building, so there's lots of flexibility for whatever use.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Who is doing that study?

KATE LEMOS NURSE: Uhm, again.

2.2

2.3

STEVEN THOMSON: In the press release, I don't know, it's a \$6 million rehabilitation. It was left open, how it would be conducted exactly but the language used was that it would be informed by community consultation. So, we imagine that will be between the Council Member and the Community Board and some of the civic organizations in my area.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Is it going to include some of the folks that gave you support letters, like the Schomberg?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: I hope they're involved in the conversations.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: So, we have to vote on this today and I want to make sure we do the right thing here, right. So, I just need a commitment from all of you that you're not only involved in the folks that live in that area that are considered part resident and participatory in the civic associations which is nice but we know the area is gentrified, right. It would be great if you all can reach out to some of the folks that you got support letters from to be a part of that process. Just to piggyback off

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITINGS AND DISPOSITIONS

2.2

2.3

of Council Member Nurse's question, in addition to that, it would be great if we all can have a conversation after this being that we're voting on it now so we don't have a sufficient amount of time to have a conversation with the Mayor but it would be great if we can change the use of the building to something else. And I just need a commitment from you guys before we vote. Sorry to be abrupt guys but it is what it is. So, I just need that commitment on the record.

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Well, I think our role is to you know designate it as a landmark and a future use is going to be determined by the owners and through this process with the funding.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: And you would be in support of us working with you and them to make sure that we're doing the right thing here?

KATE LEMOS MCHALE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Okay, thank you. Any questions by any other members? Sorry about that.

Thank you, the applicant panel is now excused.

Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on either of these two landmark designations?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, it appears that we do not have any members of the public signed up remotely and if there's anyone in the room with us today who wish to testify, please come forward, fill out a speaker card. Okay, it appears we have no witnesses for either of these items.

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: And there are no members of the public? Okay, thank you. There being no members of the public online or in person to testify regarding preconsidered Application Numbers N 230353 HKK or N 230362 HIM, the public hearing is on the Landmarks Designation is now closed.

Next, we will vote on the two items we just heard and I will take this last opportunity to recognize any of my colleagues that have any questions or remarks. As noted earlier, both Council Member Gutiérrez and Council Member Bottcher are in support of these designations. Any questions, remarks? Alright, we're ready to go.

I now call for a vote to approve Preconsidered Application Numbers N 230353 HKK and N 230362 HIM. Counsel, please call the roll.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Louis?

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: I vote aye.

23

2	COMMITTEE	COUNSEL:	Council	Member	Feliz?
---	-----------	----------	---------	--------	--------

3 COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member De La Rosa?

5 COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Marte?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Nurse?

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Ung?

11 COUNCIL MEMBER UNG: Aye.

Land Use Committee.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member Vernikov?

COUNCIL MEMBER VERNIKOV: Aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair, by a vote of 7 in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no abstentions, the items are adopted and recommended to the full

CHAIRPERSON LOUIS: Thank you. That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, Subcommittee Council Land Use Staff and Sergeant at Arms for your participation today. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL]

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date August 4, 2023