CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----X

September 27, 2010

Start: 1:19pm Recess: 6:32pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ROBERT JACKSON Chairperson

#### COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Charles Barron
Council Member Fernando Cabrera
Council Member Margaret S. Chin
Council Member Daniel Dromm
Council Member Lewis A. Fidler
Council Member Daniel R. Garodnick
Council Member David G. Greenfield
Council Member Vincent M. Ignizio
Council Member G. Oliver Koppell
Council Member Karen Koslowitz
Council Member Brad S. Lander
Council Member Jessica S. Lappin
Council Member Stephen T. Levin

# APPEARANCES

### COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito

Council Member Deborah L. Rose

Council Member Eric A. Ulrich

Council Member James Vacca

Council Member Albert Vann

Council Member Mark S. Weprin

Council Member Jumaane D. Williams

#### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Shael Suransky
Deputy Chancellor for Performance and Accountability
NYC Department of Education

Josh Thomases
Deputy Chief Schools Officer for Academics
Division of School Support and Instruction
NYC Department of Education

Ira Schwartz Assistant Commissioner for Accountability New York State Education Department

Michael Mulgrew President United Federation of Teachers

Ruben Diaz, Jr. Borough President The Bronx

Erin McGill Education Policy Analyst Manhattan Borough President Scott Stringer

Micheline Edwards
Representative
Parent Action Committee from The Bronx and CEJ

Jose Gonzalez
Parent for United Friends of High Bridge and
Member, New York City Coalition for Educational
Justice

Minerva Morales Mother Concerned Citizen

Evelyn Feliciano
Parent, Advocate
Coalition for Educational Justice and Save Our Schools

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tyese Edwards Student

Leonie Haimson Executive Director Class Size Matters

Lisa Donlan President CEC 1

Donald Freeman Retired New York City high school principal, Representative, Time Out for Testing and Save Our Schools

Susan Crawford District Three parent Founder, Right to Read Project

Rodney Dees Parent Advocate

Everett Stembridge
Educator, parent, advocate

2	[extended period of background
3	noise (2:24 minutes)]
4	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.
5	[gavel]
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, good
7	afternoon, everyone, and thank you for coming.
8	And welcome to today's Education Committee
9	oversight hearing on the Department of Education's
10	State Test Score Results for 2010. And before I
11	begin my opening statement, let me introduce our
12	colleagues that are present this afternoon. To my
13	right, Vincent Ignizio of Staten Island, Mark
14	Weprin of Queens, Deborah Rose of Staten Island,
15	Al Vann of the great County of BrooklynKings
16	County, Danny Dromm of Queens, Karen Koslowitz of
17	Queens, Oliver Koppell of The Bronx, Margaret Chin
18	of Manhattan, Fernando Cabrera of The Bronx,
19	Jessica Lappin of Manhattan, Jimmy Vacca standing
20	of The Bronx, and Jumaane Williams of Brooklyn,
21	and Brad Lander of the great borough of Brooklyn.
22	And my name is Robert Jackson.
23	FEMALE VOICE: How come Staten
24	Island's not the "great borough."
25	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: It's always a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great borough. So, in July of 2010, the New York State Education Department, commonly known as SED, released the latest English and math test scores for students in grades three through eight statewide. The new scores showed a dramatic decline in the number of students who met State proficiency standards. In New York City, only 42 percent of third to eighth grade students, passed the English test this year, compared to 69 percent last year, a drop of more than 26 points. math, the decrease was even larger, from a passing rate of 82 percent in 2009 to 54 percent in 2010, a drop of almost 28 points. Worse, the racial achievement gap has actually grown, rather than decrease, as we were led to believe. English exam, the gap between black and white students grew by almost ten points, while the gap between Hispanic and white students widened by nearly eight points since last year. Math test results were even worse, as the gap doubled in one year for both and black and Hispanic students. understand the test scores drop as a result of the State raising the passing cutoff score. The State took this action based on an analysis by Harvard

researchers that found that recent year scores 2 3 were inflated and not a true reflection of student performance. I guess we shouldn't be too surprised to see that student proficiency levels 5 are much lower than the public was led to believe. 6 Overall, many respected educators, testing experts, parents, advocates have been saying for 8 years that the State test scores were inflated 9 10 based on comparisons to the National Assessment of 11 Education Progress, commonly known as the NAEP 12 scores. Considered the nation's report card, 13 where City and State performance has remained 14 relatively flat. Many have charged that the State 15 tests have gotten easier in recent years. It was 16 reported in the press that one former teacher was 17 able to earn a Level II score on both the English and math tests just by blindly filling in answers 18 19 without even the questions. To be fair, many of 20 the test problems are not the fault of the City of 21 New York or the State of New York. Although 22 standardized testing has been around for some 23 time, it has become increasingly more high stakes, since passage of the federal No Child Left Behind 24 25 Act, NCLB, in 2002. The NCLB requires that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

schools bring all students up to proficiency levels by the year 2014, or face the loss of federal funds or closure. NCLB requires proficiency to be measured in ELA and math, but allows states to develop their own tests. means there are, there's a strong incentive for states to develop tests that would make it easier for them to meet the federal standards. charge that the tests have been dumbed down, not just in New York, but all over the country. just because the testing problem didn't start with the State of New York or the City of New York, doesn't mean they're completely off the hook. State bears tremendous responsibility for allowing their testing standards to fall far below what a measure of true student proficiency should be. Single year pass rate increases of eight to ten points are too good to be true, and should have raised a red flag for State and City education officials. They're also to blame for misleading the public, especially students and parents, about how well students were really doing. The City also bears some responsibilities for making a bad situation even worse. The Mayor and the

Chancellor have been all over the country,
trumpeting the miracle of New York City student
progress as proof of the effectiveness of their
reforms, including replacing traditional schools
with charter schools. So what do the latest
scores really mean? It means that all of the
miracles are unmasked. It means that the
miraculous test score gains, like one year
increase of more than ten points in English, may
be, made by City students over the past few years,
was an illusion, and not a true measure of
progress made. It means that the miracle of a, of
runaway charter school success is tarnished, since
charter school scores dropped even more than
regular public schools. Now, I know that DOE is
going to testify today that the City students
really have made progress in recent years. And
that is true. A look at the more reliable NAEP
scores show that there has been some progress, but
very modest progress, not the huge success, the
gains that are claimed by this Administration.
The City has also taken these unreliable State
test scores and made them the foundation of their
entire accountability system, that includes

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

student promotions, school evaluations and school closings, and as a factor in principal and teacher evaluations, tenure and even cash bonuses. remember what happened when school progress report grades were last year, based on these fake test Well, I say to you, last year when 97 percent of schools in our City got either an A or a B, now you know and I know that all of our, all of our schools are not performing at an A or B level. There must be some Cs and Ds and Es and Fs. And so, I say to the As and Bs, that's absolutely ridiculous that 97 percent of our schools are getting As and Bs. And even the Department of Education admitted then that they had set their own progress report cut off score too low. However, unlike the State, the City isn't acknowledging any problems. Please get off the light in the back. Off the all. Thank you. Instead the Mayor and the Chancellor's statements suggest that New York City students have been making vast progress and vast improvements all along, and continue to do well. In a press release, Mayor Bloomberg stated, "This year's scores maintained the major progress we have made

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raising student achievement levels in recent years, since 2002." We've seen an ongoing trend with New York City students outperforming students in school districts throughout the State. the City is certainly not outperforming the rest of the State. In the rest of the State a higher percentage of students are meeting proficiency standards than here in New York City. The only thing it's fair to say is that the City of New York students have reduced the gap with the rest of the State by a few points. The Mayor even called this whole testing fiasco nothing more than a PR problem in one New York Times article. fact, the people I'm hearing from--parents, advocates, CEC members and others--are outraged by what they see as the Administration's efforts to sweep under the rug or whitewash this whole testing disaster. They're outraged because real harm has been done, harm because we all were led to believe that our kids were doing really well, when they weren't. Harm to taxpayers who shelled out tens of millions of dollars for bonuses that may not have been earned, and more than \$100 million for accountability systems that so far

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hasn't even been, been able to give us an accurate assessment of students or schools. Most of all. harm to the students, many of whom were misled into thinking they were proficient, when they were not, who were cheated out of extra help they deserved. We're just now learning more about the impact of this fiasco on students. On Friday, this past Friday, the press reported that almost five times more elementary and middle school students were held back. Over 11,000 this year compared to less than 2,400 last year. Included in this total are students who were originally told they were promoted, only to have the decision reversed, sometimes after the school year had already begun. Even if your child is not affected, or you don't have any children in the public school system, we all should be outraged because having just 42 percent of third to eighth grade students meeting the State's English standards is an educational crisis, and quite frankly it's hard to comprehend the Mayor and the Chancellor's cavalier attitude about it. much more than a PR problem. The Chancellor sent out a letter to parents about the drop in test

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

scores in which he said that if the Department of Education had the State test results earlier, more students would have qualified for summer school. He went on to promise that these students would receive extra help during the school year. However, we don't know whether that promise will be kept. Considering that the State Education Commissioner has granted districts around the State a one-year waiver from having to provide academic intervention services to additional students who fail to meet the new proficiency standards. More than 109,000 such students in New York City, 50,000 who scored at Level I, the lowest level, in addition to letting the district off the hook, for providing extra help to all students who qualify, the Commissioner has asked the federal government for a one-year grace period before schools are penalized for not having enough students meet the new higher standards. But no one has given students a waiver or a grace period this year. Once again, students are held accountable, but the system, the State Education Department, and the Department of Education, are I want to be really clear, we will not

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accept the answer from DOE that this is only about the State of New York raising the bar. we'll be asking the Department of Education for an explanation of their response to the drop in test scores, and especially about what they plan to do to help the more than 100,000 additional students who failed the state test. The Committee will also hear from parents, advocates, unions and others regarding over DOE's testing policies and recommendations for improvements in this area. addition to the Department of Education, we will also hear from a State Education Department official today, and I'd like to remind everyone who wishes to testify today that they must fill out a witness slip, which is located at the desk of the Sergeant-at-Arms, which is in the front over to you right, to my left of, of this particular hearing room. And to allow as many people as possible to testify, testimony will be limited to no more than three minutes per person. And now, before I introduce the Department of Education officials, let me just introduce other colleagues that have joined us. And I see Dan Garodnick of Manhattan, would you raise your hand,

2	Dan, please? Okay, Charles Barron of Brooklyn.
3	Melissa Mark-Viverito of Manhattan and The Bronx;
4	Melissa, would you stand up so they can see you,
5	please? Council Member Lew Fidler of Brooklyn.
6	David Greenfield of the great borough of Brooklyn,
7	David's over here. Jimmy Vacca, I mentioned you
8	earlier, okay? With that, let's move forward to
9	hearing from the Department of Education
10	officials, and we're going to ask them to
11	introduce themselves and their title with the
12	Department of Education, and then whoever would
13	like to begin their testimony first, you may
14	begin.
15	SHAEL SURANSKY: Good afternoon,
16	Chairman Jackson, my name is Shael Suransky, I'm
17	Deputy Chancellor for Performance and
18	Accountability.
19	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Welcome.
20	JOSH THOMASES: And I'm Josh
21	Thomases, Deputy Chief Schools Officer for
22	Academics, in the Division of School Support and
23	Instruction.
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Welcome to
25	the both of you.

JOSH THOMASES: Thank you, sir.

3 SHAEL SURANSKY: Thank you for 4 inviting us this afternoon to discuss the results 5 of the New York State Math and English Language Arts Exams, as well as the Department's ongoing 6 efforts to address the outcomes of those exams 7 8 ensure that each of our students graduates ready to go to college and move on in their careers. 9 10 I'm going to begin the testimony, I'm going to 11 read briefly from it, and then I'd like to submit 12 the rest of it into the record, 'cause I'm just 13 going to, in the interests of time, run through some of the data on the slides. And then Josh 14 15 will take over and talk in more depth about the 16 supports that are being put into place for the 17 schools and the students. I began my career as a sixth grade math teacher, and I worked as an 18 19 assistant principal, and then a principal of a new 20 school in The Bronx that served recent immigrant 21 kids. Our mission at that school was to teach 22 kids both to meet the State standards and to 23 prepare them for college, as well as learning 24 English for the first time. And I am proud to say that school was very successful and continues to 25

2 be successful. As I moved into my role here at 3 the Division of Performance and Accountability, I continued to take those same beliefs and values with me about what it takes to make kids succeed. 5 As you know, the State requires all students in 6 7 third through eighth grade to demonstrate mastery 8 of the State standards through an annual exam, and they rate students on levels one, two, three and 9 This summer, the State Ed Department decided to redefine what it means to pass the test 11 12 by making the proficiency requirements significantly more demanding. And I know that Ira 13 Schwartz will go into much more detail on the 14 15 analysis that they did in order to make those 16 decisions. Their goal, in short, though, was to 17 make sure that their proficiency standards were in line with what they believe kids need in order to 18 19 be ready for college. And I want to be clear that 20 we support that decision; in fact, the Mayor in 2006 wrote an editorial asking the State to align 21 22 its standards with the NAEP standards, and 23 Chancellor Klein has testified many times before this Committee about the need to raise State 24 25 standards. Naturally, though, if you increase the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

score required to pass the test, fewer people will pass. And while raising the bar is the right thing to do, parents who have recently heard for the first time that their children are not performing at grade, at grade level, are understandably upset and worried. We know that we have much work ahead of us. At the same time, it's both inaccurate and unfair to dismiss the real progress our students have made over the past several years. In fact, had it not been for that progress, it would be hard to conceive how our schools could meet this new, higher bar now. school districts in the State now have proficiency rates as low as the 20s. Thanks to the hard work of our teachers, principals and students over the past eight years, we're not in that position. Instead, we have a strong foundation to build on. I want to go into the first slide here, which kind of gives you a sense of part of the State's thinking, I think. If you look at this, it's not a data slide from the DOE or the State, it's actually economic data on what kinds of jobs are available to, to kids as they go into the economy. And you'll see that over the past 40 years, the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kinds of jobs that people are requiring are very different than what we had in 1960. There's much more cognitive, non-routine work, where you have to really think on your feet, be able to solve problems, work collaboratively with other people. And our assessments and our schools need to adjust and catch up with those changes in the workforce. And one of the things that Chairman Jackson, you mentioned in your testimony about the quality of the assessments, I think is a really important point that we'll return to towards the end of our testimony. But the State has been working hard over the past year as part of a consortium, to look at new assessments that will push on both the basic skills and on the higher order skills that kids are going to need in college. And I think that raising the bar in terms of how many questions you have to get right on the test, is really just the first step, because ultimately the kinds of assignments and the tasks that you ask kids to do is where the real work is around getting kids ready for college. So we'll come back to that point, but let's just take a few minutes, and I'm going to run you through the data

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we've got over the past several years. to your point, the, the State recalibrated the test scores and we're not at 42 percent in English and at 54 percent in math; whereas, a year ago we were at 68 and 81 percent, respectively. notable thing on this slide is that in both, in both versions of the cut scores, whether you use the ones the State has been using, or whether you retroactively apply the new cut scores back, which is the green line, there has been progress. progress has been greater in math going from 31 to 54, if you use the new cut scores, and 36 to 42 if you use the new cut scores in English. It's more, if you're going to make a comparison year-to-year, you really need to look also at the scale scores, because the scale scores are based on how many questions did a kid get right on the test, and actually they're an average of all of the students in the City. And so, whereas proficiency is a line that can be moved, and it was moved this summer, the scale score averages are actual real comparisons year-to-year. And what you see on this chart is that there has been increases in terms of the scale score gains from 2006 to 2010,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with a flattening out from 2009 to 2010 in both ELA and math. The quantity of that increase is, is on this chart. So you'll see that New York City went up between ten and 33 points, in terms of the gains on their scale scores, over this period, which is in many cases double or several points higher than what happened in the rest of New York State. And you're correct that while the rest of New York State is much more middle class than New York City, less urban, their overall scores for proficiency are higher, the gains that our kids have made in reference to the rest of the kids taking exactly the same test, are much larger, which is something that we should be proud That said, there's also real concerning news, as, as you pointed out. And so, this is the data breaking down current proficiency of white students, Asian students, black students, Hispanic students, English language learners and students with disabilities. And what you see here is you see an achievement gap in terms of absolute proficiency, and that achievement gap is unacceptable. And it's something that we've been working hard to address and are deeply committed

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to continuing to work to address. But when you look at this, it also masks some of the actual gains that have been made by these same populations. And so, again, we need to look at what is the absolute growth in terms of scale scores? How many questions were kids getting right over the same period of time? So, if you look at this, this is the scale score gains for each of the racial groups, and you see that Black and Hispanic kids made stronger gains than white and Asian kids in both ELA and math. And in terms of absolute scores on these tests, there was a reduction in the gap. Now, that reduction leaves a real gap, and that gap is unacceptable, but there has definitely been progress. reduction in terms of scale as far as ELA is about a third of the gap, and the gap reduction in terms of math is about 20 percent of the gap, or about a fifth of the gap. It's also worth nothing that where the State set the new bar for proficiency, there are many, many students who are just below that line. So, for example, we did an analysis to see what happens to the achievement gap if kids answer a few more questions right on the test, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in math five more questions right leads to a change of 16 percentage points in terms of the number of black and Hispanic kids who are proficient. And in ELA, it's only two questions that leads to a 14 percentage point gain. means there are a lot of kids that are just below that new proficiency line, as a result of the change that the State test made. As you look at this data, the same pattern is born out when you look at English language learners. The absolute proficiency is quite low, but if you look at the kinds of gains that have been made by English language learners over the past four years, it's much higher than the gains of the rest of the City, in both ELA and math. And the same is true for the gains made by kids who have disabilities: a 32 point gain and a 34 point gain, for kids who are special ed. That does not take away from the fact that those students are our most vulnerable students, and there's tremendous work to be done to get them to proficiency. But, but also, I don't want to cloud the fact that they have also been making real progress, and the way you get kids to move is by making these kinds of gains.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is exactly the pattern that we need to see, in order to get to the point that we're all collectively hoping for. You mentioned NAEP, and I want to share that data as well. So, NAEP is a national test that does a sample of about 6,000 kids every year in New York City, in grades four and eight. And it's like a poll. They don't test every kid, but they test a portion of kids that are determined by the federal government to be a representative sample of our population. And what you see here is that there's been some real progress in three of the four tested areas on NAEP. We made eleven point gains in both reading and math at the fourth grade level, a seven point gain in math, and in eighth grade reading, there's a real concern that I want to point to, because we, as well as the State and the nation, have not moved in that area. And one of the key reasons, I think, is that NAEP really tests whether or not kids are actually reading both nonfiction texts and fiction texts. And current State standards have not yet embedded that. And so kids have not been given the exposure they need to science and social studies literacy, in the early grades and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in middle school, and as result you don't see that kind of performance on the, the NAEP tests that you need to see. One of the, the good things, the same day the State raised the cut scores, they also adopted new common core standards, which address a lot of these issues, because what those standards ask is both basic skills and skills like critical thinking and the ability to do research, and to defend your ideas both verbally and in writing. And it specifies that literacy is not just in literature, it's also in social studies and science, which are skills that we really need to work to build for all of our kids. That said, New York's gains stand, I think, as a testament to some of the progress we've made, and they far outpace what happened in the rest of New York State taking the same test, and as well as if you look at the nation as a whole, they outpace the nation as a whole. On graduation, there's a measure here that we've been using over the past several years, it's a orange line, it's the State's definition of graduation. The green dot is recently the State also began counting August graduates, those are the kids who pass during

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

summer school. The blue line is for historical purposes only. We don't use that anymore for accountability purposes, or for reporting our graduation rates. But we do want to be able to make comparisons back into the '80s. And so you can see by either the old measure or the State's new measure, that we've made real progress on graduation rates. And I also want to note that at the same time period, the discharges have basically remained exactly the same, we've had 19 percent discharges in 2002, and we're at 19 percent as of last year. In terms of regent's diploma, which is the new standard the State is phasing in, right now kids have to pass three at 65 and two at 55; in the next couple years, that'll be all of 'em at 65. And you see that we've also seen progress in that, going from 30 percent of kids earning a Regent's Diploma to 44 percent of kids earning the Regent's Diploma. Now, there's an achievement gap at high school level as well. We've seen the performance of black and Hispanic students improve at the high school level, going from 40 to 57 percent for African-American students, and 37 to 56 percent

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for Hispanic students. That's closed the gap part of the way, about five points, or closing 20 percent of the gap, and six points and 23 percent of the gap for Hispanics. Part of the reason why the gap is not closing more is that white students have also been increasing during this same period of time, and we would not want to see a result where we were closing the achievement gap as a result of one group decreasing. Just let me reemphasize, though, that having a gap that's close to 20 points in graduation rates is absolutely unacceptable, and it's something that we're deeply committed to working with you to continue to close. One of the ways we're doing that is increasing the rigor of the curriculum in the high schools, and so we've seen big jumps in the number of kids taking and passing AP exams. We also saw an increase this year on our SAT scores. And our SAT participation rate has also increased. All of these are measures that link back to college readiness, which is a central goal that the Mayor talked about this morning in his speech, and I think that we, we will comment on further in a minute. Just to give you a sense of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how our kids are doing as they go into college, we had in 2002 about 16,000 of our graduates going into the CUNY system. That jumped in 2009 to 25,000. That's a huge number of kids going to college that weren't going to college before. And that's an accomplishment. But you also need to ask the question: Are they ready for college? And that's an equally important question. don't want them dropping out, we want them graduating, and we want them ready for college. During that same time, we've seen a decrease in the remediation rate from 56 percent to 50 percent at CUNY. And our weakest students go to CUNY, and so we don't actually have the, the students who go to SUNY and private colleges in that number, which would probably bring it down somewhere into the With that, let me close and pass to my mid-30s. colleague Josh who's going to talk now about the, the process moving forward and what we're doing to support the schools.

JOSH THOMASES: So good afternoon,
Chairmen and the Education Committee. I'd also
like to submit my testimony formally and just talk
quickly to some key points so we can get to your

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions and the conversation at hand. First, a quick personal history. I was a founding teacher at El Puente Academy for Peace and Justice. was one of the original new vision schools founded in the early 1990s. And one of the models of the, what's possible when you create effective small schools, and in this case deeply rooted in community. I joined the Department of Education's central office about six years ago. My primary responsibility has been the development of new small public schools, and the working with leaders of those schools, replicating what we figured out at El Puente, and figuring out how to disseminate it citywide. Now, my current role is Deputy Chief Schools Officer. I'm responsible to guide the instructional work across the City. So, today, as I talk about what we're doing to improve student outcomes and student learning, I want to begin by reiterating what Shael just said, which is that we're really talking about moving the target from the goal of high school diploma to the goal of college and career ready. The first slide showed, showed us very clearly that a high school diploma simply doesn't buy anybody the lifestyle that they

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would want, or that we would want for our own children in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. And so as a system, we're really, think, we've, we've begun pushing very hard to think about how to push towards that when, that a high school diploma means college and career ready. That is no easy task. So just to give you a sense of how we've started pushing that, Shael quickly referred to the common core State standards. We began by, by sharing them citywide with our superintendents and network leaders early last spring. And, and the reason why, as Shael pointed to, is it really points to the kinds of tasks that students need to do. he provided one example, I'll provide one other. In general, mo--our students spend way too much time K to 12, writing narrative stories about their own personal experiences. And, and when they get to college, they're not asked about their personal experiences. They're asked to read complicated texts, analyze them, make an argument and use evidence to defend that argument. when we talk about the common core State standards, what we really mean is digging into what it would look like if students were doing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that in early elementary school and through high school. And it obviously looks very different in early elementary school, it's about reading a grade level text and understanding it, and being able to explain it, the who, what, when, where, why, how of New York Times articles or Daily News articles from all of our elementary school experiences. But now going to much more complicated text, and what most of our high schools engage in at this point. And that's the real challenge that it brings. And so, we use that, knowing that the State's assessment system is not yet fully developed on it, and knowing that that's an enormous task to move the system from the, the standards that we have now, towards college and career ready for every student. began looking at those standards first with our superintendents and network leaders, and then over the summer launched it with all the principals in the City, and select people by the principals in each school, the assistant principals and teacher leadership. And each school, depending on where they're at, depending on how effective they've been, is engaging in with it somewhat differently.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But they're all looking at what it would mean to move for the school they're at, the grade level they're at, the, what their success to date, where are they currently at towards better preparing students college and career ready. The second piece that we're, that I think frames the answer to what we're doing around student outcomes, is around an expanded partnership both with CUNY, but with organizations around the City that do work with young people, to figure out how to get them successful in college, and to bridge that gap. And one of the key things that we have, and it's at this point still unique, but a model that is being shared nationwide, we have a data sharing agreement with CUNY. So, the first time, we're no longer relying on anecdotes for how kids do once they graduate. It's not just the kids who come back and talk to the quidance counselor or talk to the principal. We now know how our students do, how many of them persist for how long, how do they do in terms of students entering remediation versus students not entering remediation? And it's both, that data has allowed schools to both acknowledge their success and seed very deeply the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenges that they need to take on. And in that context, I'm proud to announce today, and the Mayor mentioned it this morning, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the National League of Cities has awarded New York City a \$3 million grant to boost college completion rates. we're looking to launch both, as the New York City Department of Education in partnership with City University of New York, and local partners ranging the gamut from Coalition for Educational Injustice, to New Visions for Public Schools, to the Youth Development Institute and many others, Graduate NYC, the college readiness and success initiative. And the goal is to double the graduation rates for Associate Degree programs within ten years. Concretely, what are we going to work on? And \$3 million is a, is a drop in the bucket to pull this off, it's going to require the combined resources of all the money we already have, is aligned academic standards across high school and into college, so that what students do in high school directly correlates to what's happening when they go to take their remedial course and their English 101 courses. Approved

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

academic advisement and counseling, both within the schools, but within the communities and CBOs and other organizations that work with parents and families to help advise students on where they're going. And concrete things, like let's make sure every student correctly fills out the FAFS application, the financial aid application, so that they can actually get their financial aid. Strengthening the data system, it's a, it's a very good start, but it can get better, and engaging parents and families across the City on the initiative. And finally, just one other example is, is partnerships between the Department, the City University and private partners. Today the Mayor announced a partnership with IBM, where students who, in the nine to 14 school, focused on computer science, who graduate and pass the certification exam, would be first in line for jobs at IBM, that pay entry essentially into the middle class in America. So those are the big pictures that frames the work that, that then gets to very school specific, if we're asking a question. So what's a school doing in response to moving this standard from high school diploma to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

college and career ready, and in the context of the State raising the bar? And there are a couple key things. The first is teacher teams. the, across the educational spectrum there is fairly clear agreement that having teams of teachers meeting consistently, talking about the work that they're doing with their students, and figuring out what they need to change to better their practice, is the most sure way to, to change educational practice in schools. And we have made that the, the centerpiece of our reform agenda. So that it's not about me or Shael or anybody at Central telling 80,000 teachers what they should teach, but it's about teams of teachers looking at their students' data, which is both the test scores and the kinds of work that the students do in classes, they're the essays they write, the math problems they solve, and figuring out what they need to learn how to do better. When we launched this several years ago, we started with a team, one team in every school. We're not at the point where the vast, vast majority of teachers will be in teams by, by the midpoint of this year. Second is, is extra supports for, for students,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particularly inside the school day, and to the degree to which we have moneys for after school and before school, after school and before school. We're obviously focusing on the students who either fell below the proficiency line, the new proficiency line, and those parents and families who are coming to your offices, concerned about what's happening with their child, and also students who dropped. They may even remain above proficiency, but last year they thought of themselves as growing at a level four, and now there may be a midlevel three. So what does this mean concretely, I'll give you just two concrete examples. PS 93 in Brooklyn, our network based coordinator of early intervention services, has been training in the content area teachers at the elementary school, in early intervention services, so that when they go into teach social studies or science, they're also going in to do early intervention support, so that it's a line to the other work that the school is doing. Or another example, at PS 310 in The Bronx, every struggling student in that school gets a pupil intervention plan. That is the teacher documents every couple

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

weeks a couple sentences. What's the student working on? What's the need the student has? How they're working on it with the student, and what's going to be the evidence that the student's progressing? And this is a document that sits in a binder for each student that in that school the parent goes in and can meet with the, the--and say, "Let me see the student, the work my student, my child is doing." And the teachers, across different subject areas, can also say, "Well, what's the work you're doing and what's the work I'm doing, and let's make sure it overlays." Schools are sharing those plans as we speak with their school leadership teams, with their parent/teacher associations, and with individual parents over the course of September and October, so that every child knows and every family knows what's the work that's going to happen to support their individual, that individual child over the course of this year to meet that higher standard. Finally, I want to call out a couple pilots that relate to his work, in terms of figuring out how to game change the work in the City. I'm sure you're familiar, so I won't spend much time of it,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on it. The phase one special education reform, which is focused on 245 schools, and particularly focused on making sure that special education students get the support they need, so that they can meet the raise bar. It's not about lowering the bar for them, it's about supporting them to meet that raise bar. Second, the Mayor spoke to this this morning, but we have our innovation pilots that are particularly focused on personalized instruction. That it's no longer about one teacher sitting in front of a classroom of 25 or 22 or 30 students and doing a lesson geared to the whole class. But that each of our children need partic--have particular needs, and that we can now organize schools to meet those needs differently, using technology and using some of the other things we've learned over the past decade. The common core assessment pilots are developing our school based assessments that would reflect these com--new common core State standards, so we can compare across the City, what's the kind of work that a student in fifth grade or seventh grade should be doing that has matched to the common core, and how do schools

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assess and evaluate whether or not the students are meeting that. And finally, our teacher effectiveness pilots are focusing on strengthening both teacher support and teacher evaluation, so that we get the best teacher possible in every classroom. The race to the top work, the award that New York State was granted, and we're obviously working with New York State on it, will support much of this work. We've made great strides in the City, and we have schools across the City that demonstrate what we all know in this room to be true, which is that all of our children can learn and perform at the highest levels. These schools show us that we can close the achievement gap, because they've already done so. And again, I'll provide two examples. schools, predominately black and Latino, almost entirely Title I, significant numbers of English language learners and special education. And both schools, Manhattan Village Academy on the high school level, and PS 172 in Brooklyn on the elementary school level, have nine out of, more than nine out of ten of their students graduating with a Regent's Diploma for high school or at the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

new proficiency level, even given the raised bar at elementary school. The fact that any school can do it means that every school should, and that's our work. I know that across the City, parents and students are struggling to make sense of the new standards, and that you are likely hearing frustration and surprise from This isn't easy and I do not want constituents. to in any way minimize or underestimate the challenge for students or families. At the same time, we've shown it's possible that for a large urban school district to change the graduation rate and to begin to close the achievement gap, that it was stagnant for decades. So now we must build on that progress while aiming towards this new higher goal, which is ensuring that every graduate, every student graduates college and career ready. Achieving that goal will require all of us working together. Our students, our parents, our teachers, principals, our communities, and our civic and political leadership. Our students are counting on us to help them prepare them for higher learning, rewarding careers and bright futures. We must not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

let them down, and we're here to tell you today we're committed to that work. So with that, we look forward to your questions. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me thank both you, the Deputy Chief of Office for Academics, and the Deputy Superintendent for coming in and, and sharing your history as far as the Department of Education is concerned, and what position you're in now, and in speaking about test scores for 2010. I did not share with you my history, and I'm going to do that in one minute, and so you know where I'm coming from. I was born and raised in New York City. I went to all public schools, and I went to SUNY New Paltz. became a parent activist when my oldest daughter in 1980 went to school. And I was involved in that parents association for 20 continuous years. And I was a member of Community School Board Six for 15 years, and School Board Six started the Campaign for Fiscal Equity. So I'm a parent advocate, fighting for parents, more so than fighting for the Administration. Let me just say that to you. So you know where I'm coming from.

JOSH THOMASES: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okav. And with that, let me just identify other colleagues that are here: Eric Ulrich, where are you, Eric, stand up so we can see you, from Oueens. And who else is here? Where's Steve Levin, Steve, Steve Levin, our colleague from Brooklyn was here, he's, he stepped out, he's coming back. And now let me turn to our colleague for the first question, questioning Mark Weprin of Queens, then we go to Council Member Charles Barron, then Council Member David Greenfield of Brooklyn. 

Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, Mr. Suransky, Mr.

Thomases, thank you for being here. As long as we're doing histories, let me just give you a quick history of me on, on--I grew up in New York City, I went to New York City public schools, and I took standardized tests. I do remember that before we took the standardized tests, the only test prep I got was the day before the teacher would say, "Tomorrow, bring two number two pencils." [background noise: "That's right."]

That was my test prep. I didn't get test prep

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

homework, I didn't get--and it was for generally two days a year, one for English, one for math.

Are you aware that some students spend 18 days doing standardized tests in New York City schools?

In the course of a year.

MALE VOICE: [off mic] Four months.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Including interim assessments. It's approximately 18 days for some students. That's a tenth of their school year, taking standardized tests. In addition, they spend weeks sometimes before those standardized tests, frozen with doing tests prep and learning how to get the right answers on the In addition, they spend, get homework, test test. prep homework. I don't ever remember that being a subject when I was a kid. We had English homework, I had science homework, math homework. My kids get test prep homework, and they get it more than any other subject. And my thing is that, when I found out the test scores, the standards were not as high as we originally thought, I'll be honest with you, I wasn't, I didn't really care, it didn't bother me, because I've always rejected the premise that these tests

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are accurate assessments of how students are doing in the first place, and more importantly, are not a good assessment of how teachers are doing. the problem with all this standardized tests, in addition to being way too many, is that we're using them to judge the wrong people. tests, as they were when I was young, should be used to just judge how are students doing. problem is parents want to know, "Is my child learning?" and because the emphasis has changed and moved to teachers, principals, buildings, a Chancellor and a Mayor, there is now a focus on trying to make sure the kids do as well as possible on that test, and not necessarily learn the information they need to succeed in life. you agree with that statement? Well, here, here's SHAEL SURANSKY: where I agree with you. I think that you want the

SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, here, here's where I agree with you. I think that you want the tasks that kids are working on to be real tasks that are authentic, and that lead to real learning, the kind of learning that would prepare a kid for college. And so, the example that Josh spoke about in terms of the pilots that we're doing, and--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Right.

SHAEL SURANSKY: -- the work that 3 4 the State is working on, is designing assessments 5 so that, look at both basic skills, you want to know, can kids do the basic operations? Can kids 6 7 read? You also want to know the deeper skills. 8 And I think that, you know, to your point about the amount of test prep, one of the controls that 9 10 we've put in place, 'cause actually that's a kneejerk reaction that occurs in places where 11 12 there isn't strong enough leadership, and there's 13 isn't strong enough teachers. But we, we have a quality review which I think you may have been 14 15 familiar with. And the first thing that we look 16 at is we go into classrooms. So my team goes into 17 over 5,000 classrooms each year, and what we look at is, is the curriculum engaging the kids? 18 Is it 19 developing habits of mind, like critical thinking? 20 Is it teaching kids in a way that's differentiated, so it actually meets their needs? 21 And we're holding schools accountable for doing 22 23 that. And so if there are schools that are going to a shortcut, like test prep, which may occur in 24 25 some places, I would say that, that is, that is a

that is not actually the norm of what we're seeing in most of our schools, it's just not.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: You, you--

SHAEL SURANSKY: And we see over 5,000 classrooms a year. And I would challenge you to prove that it is the norm, 'cause it may, it may be in some people's experience. But we have amazing educators in our schools, and amazing teachers, who have been doing real deep projects with kids, that really push their thinking in meaningful ways. And so I just don't think it's a fair characterization of what's happening in the schools, and it's not based on the evidence that we're seeing.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, I, I
then would respectfully disagree with your
evidence, only 'cause, I mean, I haven't been in
5,000 schools, or all over the City, but I've been
in schools in Queens and in other parts of the
City, and I would say 99 percent of the schools I
speak to, the principals and the teachers when
asked privately, will say "We're doing way too
much test prep." And the problem is, is that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're using it, the scores, to judge the, to judge the students. And I'm talking, I represent a district that does pretty well in school, does pretty well on those scores, but the teachers, third grade teachers, for instance, are told, told, they tell kids, if you run out of time on the test, to guess "C" as the answer, and Columbia Teachers College, by the way, is telling them this, too, to put "C" as the answer, to guess. So I wonder to myself, I asked my son that when he came home, I said, "Why, why is he guessing at all?" 'Cause it's going to give us, either he's going to get 'em all right, and I'm going to think he's a genius, or he's going to get 'em all wrong, and I think he's a dummy. And neither one is The reason they're quessing is 'cause right. maybe they'll get a couple right, and Mrs. Brown and the principal and the Chancellor and the Mayor will look a little bit better, 'cause they got three more answers right. And, and that's the problem, is that they're not worrying about the kid, I mean, I don't to say at all, but the emphasis is not on, is the kid really learning more, or can we make the school look better?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the goal of these new assessments is that
they're not bubble tests. And so it's not the
kind of assessment that you can guess on. It's
writing a research paper. And being able to go
and look at two texts and compare and analyze
them. And being able to take a math problem
that's got unfamiliar--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: DBOs.

SHAEL SURANSKY: --things about it--well, DBOs are very short versions of the kind of performance tests that are being envisioned. But they are, you're right, I mean, New York State, when it's look at nationally, is often said to be in the top third of the State tests, even though the, the calibration raised the standard before that. Our alignment with the NAEP was in the top third by the NCES statistics. And because there are a lot of essay questions and DBQ type questions on the test, I think your, your larger point, though, about accountability and should we be judging schools, and should we be judging teachers on how much kids are learning, it's, it's a legitimate question, and I think that we might

2.0

2.3

honestly disagree. I think that it's important to
measure whether or not schools are adding value,
and whether kids are actually learning, because
for decades we've had real neglect in parts of the
City, where kids have not been exposed to what
they need, and kids have not been learning, and
it's been okay. And it's been comfortable for the
adults to let it be okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Mm-hmm.

SHAEL SURANSKY: And one of the commitments of this Administration is to really ask this question, "Are kids learning? And if they're not, why not? And what can we do to change that?"

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,

Mr. Suransky, Mr. Chairman wants me to wrap up.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: There's one last statement I want to make, because I mean I go to, like I say, I go to a lot of schools in Queens in my area. And there's no doubt in my mind that those kids over the last eight years have been learning less, because they've been obsessing about standardized tests. And I can ask any

2.0

parent that I see, and they agree with me, they're
learning less geography, science, history, getting
less arts and music, physical education, they even
have gym teachers who were out grading tests so
they don't even have gym that day. So, you're not
looking if you don't realize this. I'm telling
you, this is happening. I know you go to all
these schools. I see it every day, locally, and
that's what's happening in these schools, and
that's eight years that my kids and the other kids
out there, have been learning less. And I just
feel we can't get those eight years back. Thank
you.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

Council Member Charles Barron of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: You know, this is incredible. It's incredible, it's incredible, I can't believe that you can actually come here, fail, having failed, and then put some charts up there, now it's not the standardized test, now you're going to go into cut scores and scale scores, and if they were to ask, answered a few more questions right, we would've been right

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Y'all are ridiculous. Y'all are there. ridiculous. You've failed, you fail--and Council Member Weprin is correct, everybody knows they do test prep, I don't care how many schools you visit, if you visited 5,000 schools and most of them don't do test prep, then they lied to you or you're lying to us. Because that's what they do. Test prep. Turns it into test taking mills. Т don't really have a question for you, because I think you got a chart to answer it. The bottom line is you failed us. And because this, the, the standard of the tests were raised, you failed our children, and you're failing our children because you're not listening to people who know how to educate our children. You're not listening to those of us who said, "Stop playing the charter school game, and taking money out of public schools for the public charter schools." You're not listening to those of us who said we needed culturally relevant curriculum. You're not listening to us who have said we need recreation, we need art, we need them to learn about the green economy, learn about languages, and learn about science, and art and all of these other things.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're not listening to us when we say lower the class sizes. You're not listening to us when we say put in more paraprofessionals in the school so that they can help teachers teach. You're not listening to us when we said the teacher needs to hire, principal needs to hire a business manager so they can teach teachers how to teach, and not have to deal with all the paperwork they do as a principal. I know that 'cause my wife was a principal, and I missed her at night, this was personal. [laughter] So, we know that you have failed, and I think that we should continue in this City to say that the failure of this system is because we have Mayoral control, a Mayor who knows nothing about controlling education. [applause] The failure of this system is we have a Chancellor that needs to be fired. corporate head can come with this, these statistics and keep their job. Anybody else would be fired. Chancellor has to go, and I know y'all get paid to come here and make failure look like a success, but you failed. And the CUNY students [laughter] even though the, the Speaker took my Chair as Chair if Higher Ed, I'm still the

people's Chair of the Higher Education Committee,
and I know the 6,000 students that come out of
your system and go to CUNY can't go to the four
year colleges, they have to go to the two year
colleges, not that that's a horrible thing, and
get remediation, 'cause they not prepared for
higher education, and many of the presidents of
CUNY colleges complain and were irate that the
Chancellor Matthews sat with Chancellor Klein and
said how great the students were coming into CUNY.
So, I don't have a question for you, I just wanted
to make that statement, 'cause ain't nothing we
can say that they're not going to fix up, lie, or
put some statistics or some colorful chart up
there to make you think what really happened
didn't happen. [laughter] You failed us, you
failed us, and the Chancellor needs to go.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
Council Member Barron. Council Member Vincent
Ignizio of Staten Island, followed by Council
Member David Greenfield of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes, thank you very much. I mean, I just have one basic question, and, and this Council is very unified in

2	terms of demographics, in terms of all five
3	boroughs, in terms of political views across the
4	spectrum. I have one question that dovetails on
5	my friend Charles Barron's statement, if you will.
6	And that is this Council said to Department of
7	Education that we have a potential education
8	emergency, and yet the one person in this City
9	who's responsible for the education of our kids,
10	is not sitting at that table. Where is the
11	Chancellor today and why isn't he here talking
12	about this, why are you? [applause]
13	SHAEL SURANSKY: If, if I could
14	respectfully respond to both statements, one I
15	think that if you request to meet with the
16	Chancellor, I'm sure that the, you can discuss
17	that with him. We're the two people who are
18	responsible, one, for helping him to lead the
19	Department of Education around these issues, and
20	have a lot of the details. But if
21	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: But
22	respectfully, sir, you're not the Chancellor,
23	though.
24	SHAEL SURANSKY: That's '
25	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Okay, the

Chancellor is appointed by the Mayor, and the Mayor clearly can't be in here, he allows somebody to run his Department of Education, that is the Chancellor of the City of New York. This Council, which has legislative authorization to oversight of the agency, is saying we believe there's an education emergency. And for him not to be here and say "You're wrong and here's why you're wrong," I think is an offense to this body. And that's just the statement, or question, I want to say. Where is he? Why isn't he here? And I think it's offensive to this body that he's not here representing this, this Education Department. [applause]

SHAEL SURANSKY: Just, if I could, a couple points I were made earlier that weren't accurate, one, the number of our teachers has actually increased over the last several years in our schools, and if you look at, there was a statement that kids only go to two year colleges, half of our kids going to CUNY, are going to four year colleges. Any school in the City, if they want to, can hire a business manager, in order to support the principals, schools have the autonomy

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do that. And frankly the, the decision to focus on science or art or a green economy topics is a decision that any school can make, and many schools do make. And finally, on charter schools, there's a legitimate debate in the City around that, but there are thousands and thousands of parents that are voting with their feet, and are interested in using that option, and I don't think we should deny them.

JOSH THOMASES: And, and then if I may, Chairman. We'll just add that the, the challenge of what it's going to take to take our schools from where they currently are, to graduating college and career ready, is, is not one that we're pretending doesn't exist. Or to say it another way, that challenge exists, we can call it a crisis, it's not a new crisis, the crisis existed 20 years ago, the crisis existed 30 years ago, the crisis existed when I was in public education in Brooklyn. Right? So it's not, it's not a new crisis. We have made progress. fact that we've made progress doesn't change the fact that we're only graduating 63 percent. fact that 63 percent is better than 50 percent, is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

maybe worth a small pat on the back, but then there's enormous work to do, 'cause it still means that four in ten children aren't graduating high school, let alone graduating college and career ready. So, so, I do, and Councilman Ignizio, I don't want to say that, that the invitation to allow the Chancellor to come is, is, as Shael said, he would, he'll come if you invite him. what I would offer is, is that the fact that, the fact that we're here, is not meant to, in any way, suggest that we don't, that we're not taking very seriously the severity of the task ahead. we're going to have a high, have a school system in the City, that graduates kid ready for, ready for careers, that my children are in. Right, or about to be in, actually, frankly.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Director, we appreciate your response. The bottom line I think that Councilman Ignizio was saying, because we are in a crisis, that we should not have to ask him to be here, that in fact an oversight hearing on the 2010 test scores, where over 109,000 students are now been basically, their overall scores have dropped, that should've automatically told him

2	that this is his priority. I understand that
3	there's a national conference going on, I think at
4	NBC, which the Mayor I believe spoke at this
5	morning. And that's important, too. But you've
6	heard the saying, all politics are local.
7	Education is local here today, and this the
8	priority, in our opinion. So, we differ on that,
9	and we should not have to invite him. We invited
10	the Department of Education to this hearing, in my
11	opinion, this should've been his top priority.
12	But you know one thing? We disagree on that. But
13	obviously it's been said not by me as a Chair,
14	it's been said by my colleague, who is not a
15	Democrat, he's a Republican! But it doesn't
16	matter if you're Democrat, Republican,
17	Conservative or Independent, we're talking about
18	the education of our children. That's the most
19	important thing. Well, let me just acknowledge
20	before I turn over to our colleague from Brooklyn,
21	we have in the audience Jeffrey Aubry, Assembly
22	Member from the great Borough of Queens sitting in
23	the back there. CoAnd Council Member
24	Greenfield, you have the floor.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you. You know, I appreciate you coming out here today. As you may know, I'm actually the newest member of the City Council. I enjoy saying that because in November, there's going to be a new member, so I'm trying to squeeze it in as much as I can.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: You

know, I, you know, I want to be clear, I don't, I don't think you guys are, are evil, and I do appreciate you coming out here, and I know you're trying, you're trying your best. So, I just have sort of some more simplistic questions, as one of the newer members, perhaps. You know, I, I like I don't know if you like pizza, I'm a big fan of pizza, and pizza generally costs me around \$2 a slice, right? Now, if I'm always paying \$2 a slice for pizza, and suddenly the cost of my pizza goes up to \$3 a slice, I'm going to be pretty frustrated, right? So, essentially, your response to me, if you're the pizza manufacturer, would be, "Well, you still have \$2, right?" I acknowledge that I still have \$2, right, you know, the scores are what they are, and the, the improvements may

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have, may be similar, although the numbers sort of have changed. Right, but the cost of the slice of pizza has gone up, right. So, I cannot purchase a slice of pizza now for \$2, I have to spend \$3 and I'm missing that one dollar. So, in comparison I think to what we're doing over here is, right, the grades have gone down, which means the children are now failing, which means that parents are very frustrated. So, do you sort of understand where we're coming from, like why we're frustrated, what's sort of going on? Did you know this was coming down the pike? And what was sort of your plan, you know, once this was, once the change was happening, I imagine you guys probably had a pretty good sense that if you're going to raise the bar, you know, more people are going to be below the bar. So, what was sort of the, the background and the discussion and sort of the plan, to clarify this issue, considering the fact that I still want pizza and I only have \$2 and I can't buy my slice.

JOSH THOMASES: So, Councilman, just to reiterate, we began the work on moving towards a different standard before the State test

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

scores came out, before the State tests were even given in June, let alone before the, the cut score was created, that -- in my testimony I spoke about the work that began in the spring, with superintendents and network leaders, and then moved to principals and teachers over the summer. I think the, the absolutely understand, as I said, the, the frustration and the, the challenges that parents and families, they left in June, they thought their child was doing okay, the, the teachers generally gave passing marks for students who they thought were going to pass the class based on, on the previous year's test scores, and then so there are students who got, at a, I was at a town hall meeting in Brooklyn a couple weeks ago and there were students who got 75s in their classes, and then ended up not doing, not doing well enough on the test to go ahead. And the, the mother legitimately asked, "I don't understand, how can I get a 75, and--how can my child get a 75 and then not pass the test? What's the disconnect?" So that is the challenge, the work that was being done was looking at the common core State standards and beginning to have schools look

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at, are you--to the meaningful test, to the test prep issue--are you doing meaningful work that's doing the kinds of complicated thinking and the kinds of complicated reading and writing that get to diff--and math, that get to different outcomes? Or are you not? And, and there are, look there are effective schools in the City where a teacher knows now what they're going to be teaching in October, and are going to adapt and adopt it to meet the needs of their children. And there are schools in the City where all the teachers are going home tonight, and planning what they're going to do tomorrow. And we, and, and our job, frankly, is to get to a point where regardless of what school you go to in the City, that you have, the teachers know what the plan is, that they've worked on it together, that it's meeting the students where they're at, and moving towards the higher bar. So the work around the common core State standards, the work around different high schools around looking at post-secondary readiness, the conversations with school communities around, "Is our curriculum where it needs to be? Is the work that's going to be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done?" The, the work right now, with teams of teachers looking at, so what's happening for these children? Why did they fall behind on the tests? What were the questions that they didn't answer? Doing the kind of, what's called item analysis, which basically means looking at the different questions and seeing what it surfaces about where the student's struggling. Are they struggling with decoding? Are they struggling with comprehension and the like? That's, that is the work we've done, and we, we, we've said to school leaders and, that, that this fall they should be able to answer the question of any parent who walks in, who says, "What are you doing different for my child to get to a different outcome?" And that's not easy work in a City as large as this one, with the, with the numbers that we had even before the proficiency standards. And it's not easy work now. But it is work we are seriously engaged in.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I, I understand the work that you're doing, and I actually appreciate the work that you're doing to try to raise the standards and make more students

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

college ready. But my question, my question is, did you not anticipate sort of the frustration that we would have? That, that the parents would have? That the teaches would have? That, you know, the average citizen, right? I mean, so let's just think about what you're saying, right, you did all the back work and you did what was necessary to raise the standards, and I think that's great, right, I mean we all agree higher standards are better, and we want kids prepared for college, and that's all good. But back to my original question was, did, did you not understand the frustration that we would have in terms of the average citizen? Was there not an attempt to try to clarify or to try to get the information out there, to try to explain to parents or to teachers, you know, what, what was happening, I mean, that's, that's really sort of the crux of my question. Because when you turn to us and we say, "Hey, you know, we understand your frustration," I'm not sure you really understand the frustration, right, because it's impossible to get, I imagine, for the typical parent, it's impossible to get the Chancellor on the phone.

It's pretty easy to get me as a Councilman on the phone, right. And so when a parent call, calls me up and they're yelling and screaming at me, right, for something that even we found out about a little bit later in the game, my original question becomes, you know, if you anticipated this happening, which clearly you did because you did all the back work—and rightfully so, and I commend you for doing that, and I think it was important—did you not anticipate sort of the backlash or the frustration for the pizza lovers who now only have \$2 bucks when the slice of pizza went up to \$3?

SHAEL SURANSKY: I think part of the challenge here was that this isn't a decision that was in our control. We didn't decide when to do it, and we didn't decided how to roll it out, and we didn't decide what the change would be.

And so, that makes it difficult to prepare people.

We were, as soon as we had the information, we were very forthcoming with it, and within two weeks parents had [off mic] the information available online. Unfortunately, [on mic] schools were already closed. I'm, you know, if you were

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asking me "What would I have done if I had been sitting in the State Education Department?" I might've approached it a little differently. I agree with the decision to raise standards, but doing it a month after school closed, two months after the tests were taken, has been really challenging.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: T want. to just, to ask one more quick question. And that is, specifically discussing the, the -- the black/white, Hispanic/white gap that we have, in the City, right. So, I think you guys are doing a lot of, clearly a lot of impressive stuff to try to raise the bar for those who are actually graduating, to ensure their success, their success in college. But the numbers are still pretty staggering, right, I mean, you know, you have basically a one in two chance of not graduating high school if you're a minority, far worse if you're disabled, and far worse if you're ELL. What specifically are you folks doing to try to close that gap?

JOSH THOMASES: So, we, we could be here all afternoon in this conversation, you and

_	COMMITTIES ON EDUCATION
2	I. Maybe we could have a cup of coffee
3	afterwards. I think the, the, to give you just a
4	couple examples.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well,
6	It's the holiday of Sukkot, so as long as we can
7	find a Sukkot close by, we can have the coffee.
8	[laughter]
9	JOSH THOMASES: Happy to sit in
10	there with you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I'd be
12	happy to do so.
13	JOSH THOMASES: The, so just to
14	give you the
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: If not,
16	you're welcome to join me in Brooklyn, we'd be
17	happy to have you there.
18	JOSH THOMASES: Okay. The, just to
19	give you a couple concrete examples. So, I
20	mentioned the phase one of special education
21	reform. So, there is an, and this is, this is
22	work led by Deputy Chancellor Laura Rodriguez, it
2.2	ig work that we that my organ my office partners

is work that we, that my organ--my office partners
with her hand-in-glove with. It is essentially at
its heart trying to take the State spectrum around

	special education services and fix the way the
	City has historically done it. So, historically,
	we categorize students. Either you are a student
	who is a District 75 setting, or you're entitled
	to special class, or collaborative team teaching,
	or related services. And you were, you were
	bucketed in one of those four categories. The
	actual regulations and the law speaks to a
	spectrum of services. The way they synopsize it
	is special education should be a service not a
	place. And too often, special education is a
	place. And that's been the core problem. And
	that, what happens is, the student get in the
	special education designation, and they don't get
	out, and the outcomes our horrific. And that is
	the challenge that, under the leadership of Laura
	Rodriguez, we've taken on.
ı	

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I want to, I want to--

JOSH THOMASES: So, 245 school--I'm sorry, sir. I'll go quick, So--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, no, I just, it's fine, I'll accept that answer, 'cause other colleagues have questions. I just want to

2	once again point out, I don't think you're evil,
3	but look at it from our perspective, we're
4	frustrated and I think more could've been done to
5	prevent that frustration from occurring. So
6	that's just what wanted to point out.
7	JOSH THOMASES: Thank you, sir.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
11	And we would love to hear those details and if you
12	can't get to them today, then maybe you can put
13	those details in writing to us, 'cause clearly we
14	want to know what DOE has done and what it's doing
15	to reduce the achievement gap. You gave a, a
16	brief summary as far as children with special
17	needs with IEPs, but you did not touch on the
18	others. Let me turn to my colleague, Council
19	Member Lew Fidler of Brooklyn. Then followed by
20	Council Member Debbie Rose of Staten Island.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you,
22	Mris this working?
23	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, a
24	little louder on that one, Lew.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Not working

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, Lew,

25

2	can	vou	ıust

3 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Want to

4 hear me in the back?

5 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: No speak

6 directly into the mic a little bit closer, Lew.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I think I

8 am.

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Like that,

10 yeah, like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: All right. And I'm not calling you guys liars, but listening to your presentation, I could pretty much say that you could've pulled out of this barrelful of statistics just about any group of statistics to prove whatever point you wanted to make . And, you know, particularly, even Governor Barron mentioned the, well, if you, if they got two more [laughter] if they got two more questions right on the raw score, 14 percent more would've passed, there's always a line like that, and I wonder what, whether ten years ago if, you know, if they got two more questions right on the raw score, 27 percent more would've passed. I mean, that's, that's one of those statistics that, you know, we

2.0

2.3

2	say in Brooklyn for gazy [phonetic]. Okay?
3	[laughter] You could make any argument for why we
4	should or shouldn't be concerned, why you're doing
5	a great job or you're not doing a good job, and
6	the very point that, that dawns on me, as you're
7	doing that, is how meaningless the test score
8	statistics really are. [applause]
9	MALE VOICE: Thank you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And the
11	emphasis on it, and this, you know, we've had this
12	conversation, you and I, Deputy Chancellor, but

conversation, you and I, Deputy Chancellor, but
I'm sure one of the minions Deputy Chancellors
who've sat in this seat, the meaningless of the
progress report card, which incorporates
statistics from the tests, and the point then
comes back to Councilman Weprin's point—it's not
about the test, it's about learning, it's about
achievement, it's about readiness. Now what Mr.

Thomases, is that it?

JOSH THOMASES: Yes, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Say, not being prepared for life, being prepared for college, and how insignificant the tests really are. Now, they're not totally irrelevant, but the

manipulate them in so many different ways. And one of the things that intrigues me, and I want to ask you about, is, you have about eight charts here, in that PowerPoint. Six of them start in either 2005 or 2006. Am I missing something? Who was the Chancellor in 2002, 3 and 4? Who was in charge of the school system? Is there a particular magic to why we started in 2006 on these charts?

SHAEL SURANSKY: That's when the State testing system started to test all grades three through eight. Prior to that, they only tested grades four through eight, four and eight, and if you'd like to see the data in the form of just four and eight, I could provide it for you. I have, we've published it on our website, and it actually shows greater gains. So, for example, in 2002, if you use these cut scores on the ELA, we were at 22 percent, and we're not at over 40 percent. So it actually, you know, is pretty good, if you keep on looking back. But it just isn't an apples to apples comparison, because there wasn't that testing regime in place by the

But you're missing one other statistic: What's spending for the Department of Education in 2002 compared to claiming? All right? What, where are those numbers?

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

SHAEL SURANSKY: I'm not the budget person, but I can tell you there's been a significant increase and the vast majority of those funds have gone into a major increase in teacher salaries--

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So we have-

2.0

2	_
<b>-</b>	

SHAEL SURANSKY: --that went up by 45 percent since this Administration started.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, so,

6 it's the teachers' fault.

7 SHAEL SURANSKY: No, it's not 8 anyone's fault, it's it--

9 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: But you 10 blame the teachers.

SHAEL SURANSKY: It's actually the teachers have done an amazing job.

glad to hear you say that. I happen to think that many of them do. But the point I'm making here is that you, you are also comparing apples to oranges when you neglect to mention the amount of money that we've invested, that we've given to this Chancellor, to try and do better. So, in, in an Administration with the Mayor, the Education Mayor, says, "We have to do more with less," you're not doing so much more, with more. And the, the fact, the tied up point here is, as, as Council Member Weprin really began on, it's not about the test. We know what works, you know what

2.0

2.3

works. Quality teachers, reduced class size,
involved parents. And if we would stop with the
17 different divisions of quality improvement and
progress report card, and this and that and the
other thing, and just talk to our kids in a
smaller, better classroom environment, with
trained, quality teachers, we wouldn't be sitting
here, at odds with each other, 15 times a year.

10 SHAEL SURANSKY: I think the

11 challenge--

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Please, can't we just teach?

SHAEL SURANSKY: I think the challenge with the smaller class is that, as, as you probably know is, it costs a lot of money to fund the space and the teachers to create those smaller classes. And, and respectfully, though there have been increases over the course of the Administration, over the past couple years, there's been over \$2 billion in cuts. Twelve percent of that or so was sustained by schools, more than double that sustained by the folks at Central. And so, there—and we've been working closely with the Council, and in fact the Council

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 at points has been able to restore some of the 3 funding, which we're very grateful for.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: You're 5 welcome.

SHAEL SURANSKY: It's, it's not an easy thing for any of us to see these cuts, but this is, the environment we've been in, in the last two years, is one of declining resources, both across the system.

JOSH THOMASES: If I may, just two points, Councilman. One is, as a nation, since the, Ronald Reagan's report on "A Nation at Risk" came out in 1983, we've doubled, tripled, even quadrupled the amount of spending on education, and as a nation haven't significantly moved the bar. And so, the challenge of spending money well is, is not lost nationally. The, the second point I just want to, I just want to say, around the accountability system, is the, the classic conversation, I come out of public schools in New York, both as a student and a teacher, the, the, the easiest way to dismiss the success of others in the system, is to say, "Oh, but you don't have my kids." And actually, you and I were, not

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

necessarily the finest of my, of my life or yours, but we were at a closure hearing at, I think it was at Tilden High School or South Shore, where they, where they said essentially that. And the heart of what the progress report does and what the accountability does is it, is it allows us to compare schools, and understand where are the schools that are getting breakout performance? Where are the schools that are doing amazing things with top performing students, that, that come in? And where are those schools that, that are not moving the bar with students who are struggling? And at its heart, we've got to create school, every single school, needs to, needs to move the bar. And, and we need to get beyond the question of these are not our, my kids, these are not the right kids, you don't know how hard my kids are, say, "Well, you know, what? There are schools that are, that are doing amazing things with everybody's kids." And we need to do that everywhere. And a, and a way to talk about that across schools has been an important tool in changing the dialogue about what, about how principals in schools behave around meeting the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

needs of students.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And Mr. Thomas is mentioning Tilden and South Shore in my presence is a little like waving a red flag in front of a bull, so I'm, I'm going to just pass on that. But I would just say to you that, you know, the mention of the amount of money that's being spent in this country since the Reagan years on education, and how we haven't significantly moved the bar, would at least say to me, we're doing the wrong thing. If we're spending more money and not succeeding, then we're doing the wrong thing. so, I quite frankly don't think the statistics that you've manipulated for us today have shown that you're doing the right thing. All right, we know what the right thing is, and I recognize the fiscal limitations about getting to smaller class sizes and the whatnot, although there are no fiscal implications in the way we've shut teachers and parents out of the system. That doesn't cost a nickel. So, you know, I will leave it at that, I just want to say one other thing, 'cause I'm sure the Chairman wants to move on.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yes.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I'm sorry
3	that Councilman Ignizio has, has left us. You
4	know, the Chancellor has become before the
5	Education Committee before. But he really
6	should've been here today. And the Chairman and
7	Council Member Dromm and I have introduced a piece
8	of legislation here in support of a bill
9	introduced to the Assembly by my good friend,
10	Assemblyman Alan Maisel, that would require that
11	the next Chancellor be approved by the City
12	Council, be subject to the advice and consent of
13	the City Council, and I daresay if that was the
14	rule of law in the State of New York for this
15	City, the Chancellor would've been in that seat
16	today. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
18	Council Member. Now, Council Member Debbie Rose
19	of Staten Island, followed by Council Member
20	Lander. And then Council Member Chin.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Deputy
22	Chancellors, when Council Member Barron said that
23	the state of our schools were in crisis, you
24	seemed to have taken, you know, exception to that,
25	and it's, it's amazing to me that, you know, you

took exception to the word "crisis," when our
parents, our teachers, and even our children know
that our schools are in crisis. And that it is
amazing that it's almost as ifit just, we just
realized that we have this huge achievement gap,
that we are, are in a state of crisis, and it
seems like we, we don't really realize how we got
here, and when we got here. When we've been here
for quite a while. You know, in my other life,
my, just before I was elected, I was the Director
of a Dropout Prevention Program, and it was
obvious to me, to my colleagues, to the teachers,
that the graduation rates were not being honestly
reflected. And so, I want to know if this
increase in graduation rates that you've stated
today, is based on the old calibration, or the new
recalibration, and, and if so what were we looking
at prior to this generation of numbers?
SHAEL SURANSKY: So, to your
question, the chart to the right shows you the

question, the chart to the right shows you the orange line is the State's method for calculating graduation rates, which the City has been using for all accountability purposes, and what we report. The blue line is the method that was used

prior to 2005, and it represents a different
method that included IAP diplomas and GED
diplomas, and is therefore no longer used because
it's less rigorous. Either method you look at,
there's an increase that's substantial, and I
think that, you know, I, I certainly don't want
you to come away from the comments I've made, that
I don't have a strong sense of urgency about
improving our schools. The, the notion that
there's suddenly a crisis because the State
redefined the cut scores, is not accurate. And
the, the challenges that we're facing now, are
challenges we've been facing for a long time, and
frankly, if you look at those numbers, which are
the only historical numbers that go all the way
back, we were hovering around 50 percent
graduation rates since the '80s. And it started
to change.
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: It declined.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: It declined.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, it, in the
'80s it was in the 47-44, and then it was right
around 50 from the '90s up to 2002, and then it
started going up in a way that is a testament to
the work that we've been doing together, I think.

are not--

worse on tests.

And I mean, I'll tell you a personal story. I
started work as a principal at the Morris High
School Campus, this is a campus of African-
American and Latino kids, and they were taking in
700 kids a year, and graduating 70 kids four years
later. And it was one of the dropout factories
that President Obama was talking about his morning
on the news, he gave an interview. And he said
that we need to stop allowing these dropout
factories to continue to serve our kids. And one
of the things that this Administration has tried
to do is give new groups of educators a shot to
create smaller, more personalized learning
environments in those institutions that have
failed year after year after year, and that's part
of the reason why we do see the achievement gap
closing for black and Latino students, and it's
part of the reason why we do see the graduation
rate increasing.
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But they're
doing worse on tests, and when they graduate they

SHAEL SURANSKY: They're not doing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: --qualified, they are not qualified to do the level of work that's required of them.

I agree with you SHAEL SURANSKY: that it's unacceptable to see 50 percent of our kids currently in remedial classes at CUNY, because what happens to a kid is they have to use their college loan money and they often exhaust that money paying for those classes, and then they don't have enough money when they get to the credit bearing classes. That said, that, that has also been improving. And it's improving slowly, but the way that we get to a better set of outcomes in terms of getting kids successful in college is first we need to stop them from dropping out and get them to graduate. And then we need to increase the rigor of the curriculum, which is exactly what we've been trying to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I want to ask you about the, the fact that in the local papers you read, at the beginning of September, that a number of young people were graduated or promoted, and found out in September that they had not been promoted. How did that happen? And then, and

2.0

2.3

what are we doing to, to make sure that that
doesn't happen again? And then, how many of those
students attended summer school? How many should
have attended summer school? Because of the, the,
I guess the error with the testing.

Recalculation.

JOSH THOMASES: I don't have, so, first, I just wanted to go to your earlier question, I just want to say, we're, as clear as I can, we're not saying there isn't a crisis in education. We're just saying it didn't start this summer. Right, the national crisis in education, right. So, so, but--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Absolutely, I think, I think you should be ashamed to be able to say that, it's been so long that we're, we've had this crisis.

JOSH THOMASES: So, the, the--our, our answer would be, and my answer would be, and this, this work is very hard, and if I knew a way to turn around public education so that we were graduating 90 percent of our students college and career ready tomorrow, I'd do it. We are moving the bar faster than any other large urban school

2.0

district in the country. And, and we're not
saying congratulate us for that; what we are
saying is, that success is the basethat success,
and I say that in parenthesis because it's hard to
call something a success when you're still only
graduating six in ten students. But where we've
actually managed to move the rate, where we've
reduced the number of dropouts, from approximately
140,000 to approximately 100,000, hundredright,
we're still hemorrhaging young people. But, but
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Right, we
need what works.

JOSH THOMASES: And, and--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: We know what

works.

JOSH THOMASES: Agreed. And we're figuring out how to move it, and figuring out how to position teachers to, to Councilman Fidler's point around effective teachers, to position teachers to be increasingly more effective. As we are, we are moving as aggressively as, as we can figure out to. And, and you know, I'll meet, I've met with lots of groups who've come up, who've talked about ideas for how to move the bar. Many

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the groups, including many who've been highly critical of this Administration, like, like ACORN, have opened new small schools. We'll work with anybody who's going to take an idea and take responsibility for moving a group of students. To your question, I wasn't trying to dodge the question around summer school. I'm--the heart of the, the heart of the answer is, because the State didn't announce the cut scores until the middle of the summer, long after students left, we weren't, we were not able to, we couldn't know for sure where the promotion line and proficiency line was going to be. And so what we tried to do in, in June, was make our best prediction based on the, the students, based upon the student performance and what we had as indicators from the State, around where the cut would be. And on the basis of that, made decisions around who, who would not be promoted and who would have to go to summer school. We tried to be as conservative as possible, we clearly did not hit that bar, and, and I can make sure that after this, after this hearing, you get the numbers. And so those are the ones we've been seeing in the papers, right,

2.0

those are the most, the frustrated parents, the
young women who, who thought that, who that they
were in this grade, and they're now in that grade.
And, you know, the, the town hall meeting in
Brownsville that I was at, we spoke with several
parents of students who were in the, one who was,
I spoke with one who was in the seventh grade and
one who was in the eighth grade, each who thought
seventh was going to eighth, eighth was going to
ninth, who were frustrated in trying to figure out
what they should do for their, in both case, sons,
who would do differently.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Could you--

JOSH THOMASES: Yes, ma'am.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Could you not in fact ask for a waiver for a year, would that have, have any impact on these young people who were promoted, but before the recalibration?

SHAEL SURANSKY: Just to be clear, the State has asked for some waivers from the federal government, but we haven't asked for a waiver. There, there are two groups, I think, that are getting confused. There was an article last week about a kid who found out after starting

22 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

Thank you, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Council

Member Jackson, I just, I know I used my time.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

		CHAIR	PERSON J	JACKSON:	No,	you'	re
already t	ten	minutes	already	, Council	Mem	ber,	I'm
sorrv.							

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay, Jumaane asked me to read a, just a statement.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I'll take it.
Okay. Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thanks very much, Mr. Chairman. I, I find myself in an interesting position today. Like the colleagues that have testified before me, I have frustration with what I view as a significant over reliance on high stakes testing, pushing the parents out of the system, and in a hearing when everyone from Governor Barron to Eric Ulrich to David Greenfield to Vinnie Ignizio have raised strong arguments against the Administration, I find it odd that I would feel some sympathy. And yet, both of you individually, separate from each other, I know to be fantastic educators. All right, I know you were dynamite classroom teachers, really great school leaders, who cared about your teachers, and who never taught to the test, or let anybody else teach to the test, and who consistently worked to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provide the support folks need to improve student performance and improve teacher performance, in the way that I think most of the people in this room would really agree was exactly what we're hoping for, and would be moved by it. And I know you took the jobs you currently have out of a goal to push that way that you dealt with students, and the way that you guys dealt with teachers, across a very large, very bureaucratic system. mean, I know that's true, personally. So, so first I thank you for coming, and you know, and I'm not particularly upset that the Chancellor didn't come, because I know you guys are both there working on it every day. And yet, here you are, standing in front of a system that I think, certainly by the perception of every Council Member you've heard, by my own personal perception as a public school parent, and by the perception of the hundreds, thousands of parents that I have talked to individually, who perceive that the system has been, over the period of this Chancellor, adjusted to emphasize and focus on high stakes testing. That in the experience of the students, that in the experience of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

teachers, that in the experience of the principals, is significantly more oriented around high stakes testing than it was at some point in the past, that that's how performance and evaluation are going to measured and graded, and therefore, that's how they have to spend more and more of their time, as Council Member Weprin said at the beginning of the hearing. And I mean, it takes me to the, to the first graph you put up, which I thought was a great one, which suggests that the, that the, the skills our kids need to succeed are the hardest to test and evaluate. want them to be thinking creatively, to be engaged in teamwork, to be problem solving, and boy those are hard things to test. And so, I guess I want to say one thing, and then ask one thing. I think part of what happened here with this recalibration, is a set of people who already feel enormous frustration around what I just said, the time spent on testing, energy spent on tests, the way that the system has been restructured, so there's not a superintendent who's supposed to provide support to principals, providing support to teachers. It certainly feels like more and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more of the way people are evaluated, schools are evaluated, the system evaluated, is based on testing. You have people frustrated with that, and then they get this news that the recalibration knocked scores down, and so what already made people angry now feels like a crisis even if it's a reinterpretation of, of how you look at the data, it sure hasn't changed from point of view the underlying point, it's not the new numbers that are a frustration of mine, it's the overreliance on testing data. Now, I want accountability. I don't know how the two of you are going to push good practice out to a giant system without some means, some methods of accountability, that help us see which schools are doing well, and help them improve. But boy it feels to me like the way we're doing it over relies on a particular set of things in a way that I think isn't healthy, where principals think, "Well, I got to help those few kids who were just below last time, get above, and so I should focus my enrichment on those kids who--and so, I guess my question is, you guys are both very smart and creative, and you think--have you looked at

methods of evaluation that while not, still complying with, you know, No Child Left Behind and NAEP, would adjust to counter some of these problems, to make more time for different kinds of creative programming, for arts, for culture, and that would seek as much as possible to minimize the harm to the system done, by at least the rampant perception, if not the reality, that getting a test score is what's going to make everybody succeed?

SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, thank you for your comments, I appreciate——I think that you're right, that one of the challenges is how do you create a balanced accountability system, and I've been in this job just over a year. And last January, I announced two significant changes. One is, and we're starting this, this coming year, in middle schools we're going to start looking at the grades teachers are giving as part of how we gather data on schools. It's something we already do at the high school level, and I think that the concerns that have been raised around high stakes testing and the progress reports, are much less intense at the high school level because of that,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because the high school progress report measures the Regents Exams, but it also measures the grades that teachers are giving, and the courses and the graduation rate. And I think that if you, if we can start to do that at the elementary and middle school level, which we are piloting this year at the middle school level, one it gives you data on other subjects. So, right now, the State tests only cover literacy and math. Teachers are giving grades in all core subjects, and so that broadens the base of the curriculum that's being assessed. And, and then, the other thing is it trusts the, the judgments that educators are making day-to-day about their kids' performance, because what a lot of the national research shows, is that, you know, when you look at kids' performance, for example, in college, the grades that teachers gave are a very powerful predictor of that. And so it's really good data. The second thing we, we've been talking about today and, and since the winter, is let's, let's broaden what is on those assessments. So the reason the slide, this comes from OECD, actually, the reason the slide exists is that in many countries around the world, there's been

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attempt to balance measuring the kinds of things you can measure with a bubble test, and assessments that are more authentic and performance based. And we have literally dozens, if not well over 100 schools in the City that have been doing that in, on their own, you know, without support from Central, for a long time. And so this is not new work, it's not something that just got invented, but what's exciting is that with this new leadership at the State, which has really embraced this work, and has embraced these new national standards, and has said we actually want to change how the State tests are structured. And focus them less on multiple choice, and more on these kinds of authentic work. I think it really changes the, the kinds of things that teachers need to think about, because if your question now is "How do I get a kid to make a really powerful presentation to their peers or write a really good research paper?" it's a, it's a deep kind of work. And not all teachers are ready for that. And so part of the pilots that Josh mentioned that we're doing in 100 schools around the City, which will then scale to more

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

schools the following year, is actually taking assessments that are aligned to these new standards that aren't bubble tests, that actually test both the basic skills and these higher order skills, and trying to figure out what has to change with the teaching, what has to change in the curriculum. How do we support teachers to make that transition in a way that will prepare them? Because the, the deeper changes that will come as a result of these new State assessments are going to make the changes that we're seeing this summer look very small. We aren't, we aren't yet at the point where all of our schools are doing that, but we have a lot of rich capacity in the system, and we actually have strong support at the State level to do this. And so I think it's actually a moment where there can be some really common work between folks who have previously disagreed. And, you know, I would invite you guys to work with us on this.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I thank you for that, for that answer, I mean, I think the, the notion that there is some openness to some different kinds of creative thinking about

2.0

2.3

now we do evaluation is, a lot of at least what
some people in the room kind of communicate with
me are, are angry about, and I think would be
excited, at least open to the idea of. I guess
just one little question onYou mentioned before,
doing these kind of 5,000 classrooms you visited,
and I assume, that sounded like it was sort of
also on the goal

SHAEL SURANSKY: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Is there a model being used? How is that figuring into folks' evaluation? And then I'll - - my question.

SHAEL SURANSKY: [interposing] Good question. So, so, it's, it's much less dramatic than the progress report. But it actually is a very powerful part of the evaluation for principals and for schools. And every, every school over a four year period gets a quality review. Every school that is showing weakness in their data gets one every year. And basically what the quality review is looking at is the question of what's, what's the rigor of the curriculum? What's the rigor of what's happening with the classroom teachers? We, we visit eight

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to ten classrooms in each school, we talk to kids, we talk to teachers, we look at examples of student work, we talk about what are the professional development supports that are going And in the principal performance review, the progress report counts about 33 percent, progress re--the quality review counts 22 percent, so it's slightly less, but it's a, a strong part of their evaluation, and something principals take very, very seriously. And it's not, it's not something that you can, you can have a good school that has a great curriculum, but if they haven't developed a strategy to integrate the curriculum, the teaching, the way that they assess kids, the way teachers are developed, the way their resources are used, you don't get a great school overall. And that, those levers for improvement are very powerful and what we've been able to do over the past year-and-a-half with the quality review is really in--infuse a strong instructional focus within that. And I'd welcome you, you know, you should start looking for that change by looking at the reports. Every single school has a report that's published online. One of the, one of the

2	complaints we heard from parents is that the
3	reports sounded too generic, that they weren't
4	specific enough. And so now we're requiring when
5	reviewers do their reports, that whatever they say
6	good or bad about the school, they give us
7	concrete, real example that they observed in the
8	school, so that there's something tangible that
9	parents can look at. And so, this, this is an
10	attempt to again create a balance and it's, it's
11	one of the ways that we can check some of the
12	places where there is weak leadership, and where
13	people are going down sort of a kneejerk reaction
14	of too much test prep.
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I
17	appreciate, I appreciate those answers, I just
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you,
21	Council Member. Council Member Margaret Chin.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. I
23	just want to follow up on what's next? I know

just want to follow up on what's next? I know
that we're all frustrated and, and we wanted to
really make sure that the kids who got their test

score and were told that, "Oh, you failed, you got
to move back, you can't progress, get the help
that they do get. So, if you can talk a little
bit about what kind of programs are going to be in
place to help these kids. And the other thing
about these high stake testing, is really creating
a culture, not just in our school, I mean, the
kids are getting nervous, my husband is a public
school teacher, and I was a former school teacher.
And I don't remember all these testings. And even
some of the tests that the kids take, they're not
being used. Like the social study test, I mean,
it's posted somewhere and it's not

SHAEL SURANSKY: The State eliminated that this year with their budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: But, things like that. But what I also show in the chart, I mean, what I, the fear I have is like, we want to get parents involved, but we don't want parents to also get too consumed about these tests, and some of the, the parents especially in the Asian community, people that, that come to my office and I know, friends and families, who, you know, send their kids to cram school on the weekend, and I

mean, the kids have no time to go play baseball,
they got to go and, and study. And that's how
many they got higher score on the test, but the
kids gives up a lot for that. And parents
shouldn't see the test as the only way to measure
what the kids learn, either. So, I think that we
really have to really take a look at not paying so
much attention on these test score, but on, as we
talked about earlier, how to really evaluate our
kids and also get parents involved. Because if
parents don't reinforce at home, it's going to be
very hard for the teacher. So it's got to be a
partnership working together, and we have to
really engage the parents. And in terms of
resource, like parent coordinator, I mean, how can
one person deals with a couple of hundred parents?
It's really a lack of resources there. But right
now, I think for today, is like how many of these
students who got left back or, or were told that
they're, they're not going ahead, and need the
special help and are they going to be getting it?
JOSH THOMASES: So, thank you.
Just give a couple key concrete, try and get as
concrete and specific as possible. So, every

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school belongs to a network of schools that they've chosen to affiliate with, to work together and learn from. That network team has several instructional staff over the course of this year, as part of both cost saving measures and making sure that there's an alignment to the networks. We've positioned several additional instructional folks on those staff, including a coordinator of early intervention services. So every network has, the term is CEIS, for those who like acronym soup, but have a coordinator of early intervention services. And that person's job is to work across the network to make sure that they're, that the intervention services at each school, that the students are getting the supports they need. the, one of the examples that I gave earlier in my testimony is the kind of work that that person's doing, where at PS93 they're working with the content area teachers who are pushing in the classrooms for content, or covering the classroom when the, when the, the main teacher's has, has period prep. That they understand early intervention, that they have early intervention skills, as part of their repertoire, so that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're not just there simply giving science content, but that their responsible for giving science content in a way they can meet students where they're at. So that gives you some sense of the staffing. And what's happening specifically at each school? At each school, we, we are asking superintendents and network leaders to work with those school communities to make sure that they're, that they've got a plan to meet the needs of that school community. That's specifically using the additional after school time that's part of the instructional day, about 100, there are a 150 minutes of instructional time. Some of that time can be used for teachers to meet about onefifth of it, about 30 minutes of it. The rest of the time is to work with students in, in small group settings or one-on-one. To make sure that that time is in line well with the instructional day. The heart we see students for 27-and-a-half hours every week. We need to use that time well. And so, every school is coming up with a school by schools plan. What the school's doing, as I said earlier, and I'm not trying to be vague, I'm trying to be respectful of the fact that the needs

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of schools vary differently on the basis, on the needs of students, on the skills of teachers, on the, on the strength of principles, on the particular part of the City they're in. schools are coming up with those plans. plans are being talked about at school leadership teams and with PTAs as we speak, tonight, last week, at CEC meetings, and the like. And, and the superintendents are talking them through, as well. So, at CEC meetings and everywhere they talk to. By October, we're going to ask those plans to be submitted to us, essentially so that we can have some sense of how the time's being used, and, and be able to share both in this venue and in others, what our sense if of the supports that are happening for students. I think, I mean, I want to be clear how challenging this is. Not as an excuse, but merely that we are schooled at our, at our highest point of success right now as graduating six and ten. So, getting to figure out how to make sure every child gets to meet those needs, gets their needs met is, is the task that we have to take on, 'cause there is no, there is no more important task. But it is a very

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

challenging one, and so we're going to be doing this work, school by school. The key people working with each school are our network leaders and superintendents, and their teams, and, and the, the, and just to, to get to the, the test prep challenge, in our conversations citywide with superintendents and network leaders, we've used the, the quality review that Shael spoke about earlier, to be very clear that, that where we see real success in some of the stories that I told earlier, we see real success because teachers and faculty and school communities go well beyond the confines of the, of the tests. And, and that's true across the City, and it's true in, in predominately black communities, Latino communities, white communities, Asian communities, mixed communities, wealthy communities and poor communities, that the schools that moved this best figured out how to ground their work well beyond that. The school that, that I helped found did that as well. I'm deeply connected in the arts, and community, culturally relevant curriculum to use, as you've all termed him, Governor Barron's terminology. But that's the kind of work, that's

the kind of dialogue we're having across the City,

and then the work that we're digging in with every

school community.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you, thank you, Council Member, appreciate it. Our colleague Jumaane Williams left early, but he asked one of our colleagues to read his brief statement, and I will read it for him. And it reads, "Only this Administration could be 'gangster' enough to come in here and still not take accountability for what are palpable mistakes and failures. I implore you to begin to take stock on what everyone sees. Only then can we begin a real dialogue to--

FEMALE VOICE: [off mic] --to fix this.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --to fix
this." That's our colleague Jumaane Williams.

[applause] Let me just turn, normally it's the
way I operate, I allow my colleagues to go before
I ask questions. So I'm going to turn to Council
Member Weprin, and then I will entertain questions
to the both of you. Okay? Council Member Weprin.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Mr.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman, thank you, I just had a, just brief follow up. So, we, I do really appreciate your being here today, it's not an easy job for both of you, and I, I am sure you're both quality educators and, and your hearts are in the right place. And so much of what you said today I think is great. If it was eight years ago, I really would've thought it was great, and would've had more credibility, but a lot of the programs you talk about, about deemphasizing standardized tests, about, you know, all these other programs to evaluate teachers. So, this is the question I'd like to ask you. And the fact that you say that, you know, we're not, shouldn't be doing test prep, there isn't, most schools are not doing test prep, I'd like to get one of those DOE little email blasts tomorrow that says, "Chancellor announces pilot program to deemphasize standardized tests for evaluating teacher; to not use standard, you know, multiple choice questions as the reason to judge teachers and principals and students, only; to deemphasize the progress report, which gets all the attention, which is 85 percent standardized tests, and 15 percent all the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stuff that I really care about; and to use the -- " I don't even mind the quality review, I mean, all these things are exactly what you said today, really. A lot of the things you said today, I just said maybe not as nicely. But, but you are saying you want to deemphasize that. And I'm telling you it is hurting our schools, and the one thing that sets me off is I honestly feel that because these schools have been obsessed about trying to get those test scores up by hook or by crook, and I mean by crook on a lot of cases, if we could just change everything and focus on the things that Mr. Fidler and a lot of the people said we all know works. Which is getting parents involved, to make sure the good quality teachers, I want to, I want teachers to have standards and judge teachers, but not just on how my kid does on a standardized test. Good teachers inspire kids to learn, don't just teach 'em how to bubble in the right answers. So, you seem to agree with me on a lot of these points. So, my, my question is, is there a possibility that the Chancellor will make a statement any time soon that we want to deemphasize these bubble in standardized tests,

and not make them the end all be all of whether a teacher principal is good, is good.

SHAEL SURANSKY: I think if, if

people actually look closely at what's happening, and listen closely, you'll see that there's a balanced approach that we've been pursuing and it, it may not have been the most controversial stuff, but it's not actually entirely new. Like there was a letter that I sent to every principal talking about the things that I've talked to. It went, went out in the Chancellor's weekly in January. It's posted on the accountability website, talking about these changes. And what we're talking about is a balance. We don't want to be in a situation where kids can't add, and so we do need some basic tests, and we need—

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: - - that--

SHAEL SURANSKY: [interposing] -and we also need the higher order skills. And so
there's, we don't want to be in a situation where
there's no accountability, we want to be in a
situation where there's a balance. And--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I, I agree.

SHAEL SURANSKY: In - -

2	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: And right
3	now there's no balance in my mind, and if we get
4	to the
5	SHAEL SURANSKY: Look closer.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: All right,
7	well, I looked pretty closely for a long time.
8	SHAEL SURANSKY: Let's go together.
9	I mean, let's go together
10	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I would be
11	happy to do that. Any of the schools in myI
12	mean, it's unanimous, every principal in my school
13	district, every one of them thinks the system
14	stinks, okay. Every one of 'em. So that's
15	besides the point.
16	SHAEL SURANSKY: Let's go
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: But that's
18	besides the point, and I don't know who you're
19	talking to. People who might work for you, and
20	that's a problem. But, but[applause] let's hold
21	off one second, don't clap. Just, you said that
22	there's no, there shouldn't be test prep going on,
23	it's not going on in most schools. How about
24	this? How about getting an email tomorrow that

says, "Chancellor bans test prep in schools" and

2	Stanley Kaplan's got to go out of business in New
3	York City public schools [cheers] he's got to find
4	somewhere else to get his work done. That sounds
5	like aI mean, it's not happening anyway, they're
6	not using test prep, and they shouldn't be if they
7	are, but one idea, why don't we say you can't.
8	And then we all know why they don't do that. We
9	also know why they don't purposeput a program in
10	to check for cheating on tests, and they've done
11	in some states, because we don't want the answer,
12	'cause we like the fact that they're cheating.
13	SHAEL SURANSKY: That's actually
14	not true, we started auditing the scoring of
15	Regents Exams this year, and that was also
16	announced in the January letter. Sent to
17	principals and the, the results of that audit will

18 come out this--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: But we don't, we don't put a test in that, I forgot which state in the Midwest does it now, and other states, does a test on the, on the ELA and the math, to see whether there's cheating going on in those schools.

SHAEL SURANSKY: That's what we're

looking for, is we - -

## 3 COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:

[interposing] All right, but you're doing it, it's a little too late now, eight years later, and if you're talking about just Regents, and as I mentioned before you got eight years that those children are never getting back.

SHAEL SURANSKY: For, for 3-8

tests, we actually have monitors that go in,
several hundred classrooms during the testing

time, to monitor exactly that, unannounced. And

so, there's, there's a robust attempt to hold

people accountable, and if you know of an instance

of cheating, then you should report it. We have
investigations that go on when that occurs, and

it's relatively rare that there, there are charges

sustained, but there have been charges sustained,

and that's public.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, I
will tell you that either directly or indirectly,
cheating is going on on almost every exam being
given right now in the City of New York. Either a
teacher's telling kids to reread number eight, or
you might want to read number 16 carefully, it's a

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 tricky question.

3 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

4 Council Member Danny Dromm of Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you, thank you. And I couldn't agree more with what the majority of the Council Member said here today. I just become so frustrated that sometimes I just can only sit back and listen and don't even really know how to respond to a lot of the answers that you've provided here today. Or the Chancellor's attitude and the fact that he's absent here today, and over emphasis on testing. Having been a public school teacher for 25 years, this time last year I was in the classroom. know the devastating effect that these tests have had on our students. And the harm that these tests have done to the students, and the harm that the system has done to our students. And the fact that these scores were changed and that you come in here and tell us that we shouldn't believe what our own eyes see is the truth, and that it hasn't really been quite as bad as it may seem that it is, is unbelievable. And in fact, I agree fully with what Council Member Barron said, the

Chancellor and all of you need to be held
accountable as well to the scores that you're
trying to hold other people accountable to. And
that being said, I heard on the news as I was
coming in this morning that the Mayor is
continuing to push the use of test scores to
determine tenure decisions for teachers, as well.
So, even as early as this morning, he's continuing
to emphasize the use of test scores to evaluate
teachers. Am I correct of that?

SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah, he made a, a speech this morning about teacher tenure and talking about the four point scale that the State and the Teachers Union agreed on, as an evaluation tool. And when principals evaluate teachers for tenure, we are asking them to look at a range of things, the observations that they do, the conferences that they have with teachers, looking at student work, looking at the data that they've got, which may include test score data as, as one component of it. The agreement the union reached with the State Commissioner was that 40 percent of a teacher's evaluation should be based on student learning measures, 20 percent based on the State

2	tests, and 20 percent based on local measures.
3	The kinds of local measures that we've started to
4	pilot include a range of options, including the
5	performance tests that I talked about earlier.
6	And I don't think that the Mayor, the Chancellor
7	or anyone else is talking about using one measure
8	What we know is that there are places where
9	principals just aren't looking closely. We had a
10	system where 99.1 percent of our teachers were
11	earning tenure every, every time they came up for
12	tenure, which is, means that no one was looking
13	closely, and what we want principals to do is
14	really take that seriously, and really ask the
15	question, "Is this, is this teacher performing at
16	a level where kids are really learning? And
17	should they have a lifetime guarantee of a job?"
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So you're
19	using multiple measures now, or you're proposing
20	to do that, as we move forward, to evaluate
21	teachers. However, you still are using a single
22	measure to test
23	SHAEL SURANSKY: That's not true.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM:to
25	evaluate students

they're seeing in the classroom, they have an

25

2 opportunity to demonstrate that.

don't understand why it is that you can't see that with the problems that we had with this test, okay, especially now in light of what's gone on with these new scores, that reliance on these tests to determine what's going to happen in a child's life, or teacher's life, or in the life of a school community, is, it's, it doesn't weigh, it's not good, it's inappropriate, and it should be used in those ways. But that being said, some of the cures that you talked about were these teachers teams, and are you talking about inquiry teams?

JOSH THOMASES: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And now I understand that the Chancellor has allowed the 37-and-a-half minutes, or one period of the 37-and-a-half minutes, that were part of the last contract, to be used for teacher inquiry team meetings.

JOSH THOMASES: Based on a school based option, vote by both the UFT--

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So that's going to then take away from individualized

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

instruction with the students?

JOSH THOMASES: There's--Oh, go ahead, Shael.

SHAEL SURANSKY: The, one of the things we've seen is that the 150 minutes after school was not being used in targeted, strategic ways for the kids who need it most. And part of the reason for that is there wasn't a structured time for teachers to meet and talk about the kids and look closely at the work that they were doing, and where that support is needed. And so, principals, teachers, can elect, if they choose to, to create planning time for teacher teams to think about how to most effectively use the afterschool time, as well as--and really, the afterschool time is a small part of the resources that a school has. Most of the resources happen during the day, and so those teacher teams are also looking at the curriculum, they're looking at professional development, they're looking at the different strategies that teachers are using together, to raise achievement for the kids who are outside of their sphere of success.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Have you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ever done a study to determine the impact that those 37-and-a-half minute programs have had on students? [laughter]

SHAEL SURANSKY: We, we've looked at the, the 37-and-a-half minutes in, in terms of extended time overall. And what we know is that if you look at models of extended time, you get stronger gains. If we could make those 37-and-ahalf minutes part--one of, one of the proposals that was originally made when it was negotiated was that there would be an extra part of the school day. That was not something that the Union was amenable to, and so it was focused in the way that it was focused. I think that we have definitely got room to improve in targeting that time, and this is a very good way to do that, by giving teacher teams time to plan and use that time to their advantage.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: I don't think blaming the Union for the lack of a study in terms of understanding what impact those 37-and-a-half minutes has had is the answer to this. I, I mean I'm just surprised--

SHAEL SURANSKY: I mean, if you

2.0

2.3

2	want	to	propose	a	strategy	to	study	it,	we'd	be
3	happ	v to	ο.							

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well, you could track those students, and you know who's in those classes, and you could find out what their scores are based on either the scores from last year, or the skewed scores, or whichever scores you wanted to use, and you would have some type of an idea. But, that - -

SHAEL SURANSKY: [interposing] It's difficult to isolate--

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: The other frustrating thing that I find--

SHAEL SURANSKY: --what the impact is, though.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: --being on this Committee is that I am always very limited in terms of the amount of time that we can really get into the questions and ask questions, but I do respect my colleagues in that sense. But I do have one final question, which is that you talked also about individualized instruction as being one of the other cures for what's going on in the system. And I'm wondering how you can have a

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

teacher doing individualized instruction when you have 32 to 34--and I taught 38 kids in a class, to be honest with you. How is that possible?

JOSH THOMASES: It's, I think it's a great guestion. So the, the core of the challenge in its, in its broadest sense, and I'll try and be, be short, Chairman. But just to give you some concrete examples, is that there are schools that, the schools that'll figure how to do this are doing one of two things. Either they're on the high school level, particularly for students who are overage and under credited, to the dropout issue before. They're using flexible scheduling so student are moving in and out of classes in, in different ways, and are working in smaller groups towards earning the credits and getting the skills necessary for college. One, one term for that is competency based, rather than just subject based. One of the things that we're working with the State on is looking at the issue of what are called seat time requirements. seat time requirements say you need to sit for 54 hours, and at the end of it you can earn a credit. And it means that if a child's, if a child's

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gained mastery of a subject in 40 hours, then they're not, it's an arbitrary amount of time; if a child needs 80 hours, right. The other piece is, is the use of, of technology and, and particularly around basic skills and making sure that students practice the basic skills so that they, that they learn what they need to learn. And so if you imagine a classroom in which a group of students who are significantly struggling with addition; or are on a, on a learning computer program that responds to their answers and gives them other computers; and another group of students are working on a complicated report that they're interfacing elsewhere with. And then, and then there are a group of students in small group instruction. So, that, it is very much the cutting edge of what public education is trying to do in this country. There are places in the City that are trying it, My Quest to Learn and, and others. The I-School--

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: See, this sound, this sounds good in theory, but my problem with this is that, is that as a teacher, as a cluster teacher when I left the system, I had 45

2	minutes or 50 minutes on the classroom. I had 30						
3	kids. If I was going to see each kid						
4	individually, or talk to each kid individually,						
5	that gives me about a minute or a minute-and-a-						
6	half with each child. And it's physically						
7	impossible to be able to do what it is that you're						
8	talking about doing.						
9	JOSH THOMASES: That's because of						
10	how that school was structuring the time.						
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: No, it's						
12	not, not it's not! [grumbling from audience]						
13	JOSH THOMASES: Yes, it is.						
14	SHAEL SURANSKY: There's, there's						
15	lots of models where						
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: It'syou						
17	can, you can give a child instruction in a minute						
18	or a minute-and-a-half.						
19	SHAEL SURANSKY: No, you don't have						
20	to structure it so a cluster teacher has, has 40						
21	minutes with a kid.						
22	JOSH THOMASES: schools that do						
23	that.						
24	SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, most						
25	schools, for example, push in for two hours into a						

2	class, together with another teacher, so that you
3	divide up the kids and you both have 15 kids, and
4	have some kids working at stations where they can
5	work in small groups inde
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Even 15
7	kids, you're talking two minutes a period, two
8	minutes a child.
9	JOSH THOMASES: You can, you can do
10	groups.
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: Three.
12	JOSH THOMASES: Right, you can do a
13	group of kids that have the same struggle.
14	SHAEL SURANSKY: Then you
15	conference with individual kids
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Then it's
17	not individualized instruction, it's small group
18	instruction.
19	SHAEL SURANSKY: But you, the
20	teacher, if aif you set up a structure in a
21	classroom where kids are working effectively in
22	small groups, there's often opportunities as a
23	teacher, I know I did it as a teacher, where you
24	do individual conferencing, also.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And when you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

classroom last November, my biggest frustration and one of the reasons I was kind of glad to get out, except having been elected was, was a great reason to get out of the classroom, but was this overemphasis on testing and going around the classroom and being forced to put an estimate on a piece of paper whether I thought the child got a-understood the lesson, I'd have to put a check. If I didn't think the child got a lesson, I had to put a minus. If I wasn't sure, I had to put a question mark. And I had to do that for every single child at the end of every single lesson for five, five periods a day. And that is basically the result of this overemphasis on data collection and testing that you have in the public school And it takes away from instruction, and system. it takes from what really teaching is, from what teaching is really all about. And that's individualizing, that's working with kids on a one-to-one level, and knowing those kids. Ιf you're a good teacher, you know what those kids need, you can tell it from the minute you walk in the door. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

2	Thank you, Council Member. [applause] Thank you.
3	I have a couple of questions. Do you know whether
4	or not parents have received their child's test
5	scores from their teachers this year, or any time
6	last year? Or, see if you can answer that
7	question for me.
8	SHAEL SURANSKY: So, the, the State
9	releases an individualized student report which
10	comes out typically earlier, because the State
11	tests have been graded earlier. [off mic] Those
12	reports are coming out
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, the, the
14	examination, you mean, the kids that have already
15	graduated won't, won't get their, that have moved
16	on, they'll get
17	SHAEL SURANSKY: I mean that,
18	everything is available to parents online as of
19	August 15 <sup>th</sup> .
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
21	SHAEL SURANSKY: There's
22	individualized reports that are being printed at
23	the school level that, that came once the State
24	finished loading all of that data
25	CHATRPERSON JACKSON: Right.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Yes.

23

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

24 SHAEL SURANSKY: And what we've

25 been doing is we've started some pilots,

2	specifically on providing extra parents in every
3	extra computers for parents in the school. So,
4	where, where the, the community believes that
5	there's not enough computers at home, we've been
6	providing extra computers in the school. I can
7	send you a list, there's I think almost 200
8	schools that are now involved in some way in that
9	pilot, so that parents can come into the school
10	and access it. And these computers are just
11	dedicated to parents.
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, I, I
13	would like if you could forward us that list. But
14	I'm more, I guess I'm more concerned whether or
15	not, do you feel that there's an obligation on
16	Department of Education to make sure that parents
17	receive, not have access to, receive
18	SHAEL SURANSKY: No, we, we are

SHAEL SURANSKY: No, we, we are sending it home, as well. We were wait--we are, we had to wait until the State published its, it's reports, though.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And that was when again?

SHAEL SURANSKY: They just completed it and we've been loading the data into

2	the school system so they can print it out and
3	send it home. So it should be happening in the
4	next couple weeks.
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay, so, now
6	you said that they were available online as of
7	August 15 <sup>th</sup> . Is that correct?
8	SHAEL SURANSKY: The, the absolute
9	scores were online August 15 <sup>th</sup> , but the individual
10	student reports were just given to us by the State
11	in the last week.
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: When you say
13	"absolute scores," what do you, what do you mean
14	by that?
15	SHAEL SURANSKY: The difference is
16	the individualized student reports gives a lot
17	more specific data about each kid's
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Says
19	SHAEL SURANSKY: So, you get the
20	absolute score
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: What do you
22	mean by that? I don't, I'm sorry, I don't
23	understand it.
24	SHAEL SURANSKY: I scored a Level
25	III.

2	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
3	SHAEL SURANSKY: Versus in math
4	here are the areas of strength and weakness
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
6	SHAEL SURANSKY:and specific
7	feedback on each.
8	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Now,
9	do you, do you believe that there is too much test
10	prep going on in our schools?
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: What I said
12	earlier is that one of our goals is to make sure
13	that every classroom kids are engaged and
14	challenged and there's a rigorous curriculum, and
15	it's focused on getting kids the basic skills and
16	the higher order skills that they need.
17	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Right.
18	SHAEL SURANSKY: And where people
19	take the route of test prep, it's a kneejerk
20	reaction that is a representation of weak teaching
21	and weak leadership, and that's one of the things
22	that we're looking at in the quality review. That
23	said, I don't think any parent would be satisfied
24	if their kid doesn't know how to read, doesn't

know how to add. And these tests to measure those

2.0

2.3

basic skil	lls in important ways,	and we need to
make sure	that kids meet that.	I don't think it's
test prep	to teach kids those ba	asic skills.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Right. You know, and I, I agree with you, and I was listening to your response again, and I hard your response earlier. And, but still and all, considering the fact that my colleagues have said, and you've heard from advocates, there's too much test prep going on, I guess you're the Deputy Chancellor for performance, Division of Performance and Accountability, so you have a broader perspective. And so, is your answer yes there is too much test prep going on? Or the answer is no there is not? I'm trying to get a very simple answer so that I can understand.

SHAEL SURANSKY: The answer, the answer is, in general, no, there is not. And there are specific cases where schools are taking that route inappropriately, but in general, no, there is not.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

[background noise] Do you believe, based on the graduation rates, and you showed that chart going

back from, I think going back and coming forward, and my colleague Lew Fidler asked, "Why are you only using stats from 2006 in certain areas?" and you explained that. Do you believe, based on everything you know, with the budget the way it is, and with the reconfiguration of test scores, and with now all students I believe as of this year, ninth graders coming in, every student has to pass at least what, five Regents Exams in order to graduate from high school.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Is the graduation rate in your opinion, based on all things considered, going up or going to be going down in the future? And give me a realistic answer.

SHAEL SURANSKY: I honestly think that we're going to see it go up. And well, the reason I think that is that the State has been phasing in their requirements steadily, so it started with on, one Regents Exam at 65 and four at 55; the next year it was two; this year it's three. And each of the years so far we've seen modest increases of between two and three percent.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I think that if the pattern holds, we'll likely see those modest increases continue. think it's a, it's partially because when kids know what a standard is, when you put a higher standard in front of them, and this is one of the powerful things we've been talking about with the common core standards, they rise to meet that challenge. Now, when we look at the kinds of tasks that we're going to ask kids to do, over these next four years, like I was talking about, that are deeper essays, research papers, much more writing, I think if we did nothing right now, we would see graduation rates go down. We have to work hard with teachers and schools in order to equip them to be successful. The good news is, the state isn't phasing that in gradually, and so there's time to build that capacity, and I think that we are well, we're well on our way to doing that.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now, you are, you heard my colleague, I believe it was Danny Dromm mention about the fact that of these 37-and-a-half minutes, I think there's going to be more collaboration or more, you know, teaching--not

2.0

more teaching--

_				_	
כ		CHVEL	SURANSKY:	Teacher	+00ma2
כ	)	OLALL	• IAGMAAUG	Teacher	LEallis

4 FEMALE VOICE: Schools?

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, you

said schools can elect to have a more, a situation

where teachers are collaborating with each other.

SHAEL SURANSKY: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Do you think that there is a need to have more teacher and student time for teaching and learning? Because it appears as though, because of professional development and other collaborative situations, there may be less time as far as contact, with students and teachers. Am I right or wrong in that assessment? And then do you feel there should be more students and teacher time as far as teaching and learning going on in the classroom?

SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah, I think the, the more time we have with kids, the better. One of the things you see, if you look internationally, that other countries are spending about a month longer in their school year than, than the United States is. And if you look at some of the charter schools that are not bound by

the Union contract, they have longer days and longer schools years. We have some interesting models that have figured out, for example, Ellis Prep up in, up in The Bronx, they do an extra term in July, pulling together their summer school money and other pieces of their budget, in order to have every kid go to school for an extra month. And so there are ways to do this, and I think it's something that we'd love to brainstorm about the challenges, every time you, you increase the amount of time, there's a cost to it.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Sure.

SHAEL SURANSKY: And so, doing that is complicated. Now, to you first question about the balance between the afterschool tutoring and the team, the teams meeting, teachers need to have opportunities to learn from each other. That's how they grow. And we have teachers, we're paying, most of our budget, like 85 percent of our budget goes to teacher salaries. And that's paying not for like the little bit of time after school, that's paying for those 27-and-a-half hours a week. And what good educators know, is that when you have teachers work together,

especially if there's a strong teacher in that
group, it spreads to all the teachers. And when
people start to share effective practices, and
when they start to talk about kids, and they get
to know kids well, to Councilman Dromm's point
earlier, that, that improves their instruction in
every part of the day, including the afterschool
program. So, I would gladly allocate time for
teachers to increase their capacity in order to
make sure that we're using the time we've got with
kids better. That said, if we can find more
resources and negotiate plans to extend the year
or extend the day, I think that's also an
important goal.

I'm going to turn to two of our colleagues that have questions, but--You weren't with us when we met with DOE officials and Josh was with us, and I advised Josh and other staff members to make sure they ate lunch before the hearing. I didn't take my own advice.

SHAEL SURANSKY: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, I have this, having some soup on the side because I had

2.0

2.3

not	eaten	lunch	n whatsoever.	So	next	time	Ι	have
to	take m	ıy own	advice.					

4 SHAEL SURANSKY: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: But let me turn to my colleague, Steve Levin, and then we'll turn to Council Member Lew Fidler for a follow up. St--Steve Levin from Brooklyn.

Mr. Chairman. Are we on here? Thank you very much. My question, first question, I have three questions. Follow up on a point that Council Member Weprin raised earlier, with regard to test prep and, and cheating that's going on. Can you reiterate what is, what's, what's the method that DOE is currently using on testing from three to eight, what is, what's the methodology, what's the rubric that DOE is using to ensure that cheating is not going on, on these tests?

SHAEL SURANSKY: So, the 3-8

testing program, there are monitors that go out

during the time that the tests are being given,

and visit hundreds of classrooms during the

periods. And they're, it's random, schools don't

know when they're coming or whether they're going

2	to be visited. And so that creates a strong guard
3	against during the actual administration of the
4	test, there being inappropriate behaviors. And
5	frankly, you know, while there are some small
6	cases, small number of cases that are reported and
7	substantiated each year, what we found is that
8	teachers take this responsibility really, really
9	seriously, and they actually are very professional
10	about it. And when they see something going
11	wrong, they often report it themselves, without us
12	having to find it. So that's on the actual
13	administration of the test.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. I
15	mean
16	SHAEL SURANSKY: Let me finish.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
18	SHAEL SURANSKY: So, then the, the
19	tests are, are graded centrally. So, no one in
20	the 3-8 level is grading the test in the school.
21	We have test grading centers where we pull people

We have test grading centers where we pull people together and they're monitored by managers who oversee that process. And each test is graded by multiple people. That's at the 3-8 level.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, as

2	Council Member Weprin pointed out, it, okay, so
3	you send out a monitor to a classroom, right?
4	While the class, while the test is being given.
5	They walk in, they don't see anybody talking to
6	each other, and they assume that no cheating is
7	occurring. But I, I imagine that if you're going
8	to cheat, you're going to be a little smarter
9	about it [laughter] than to, than to do it when
10	the monitor is there.
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: You know, the, the
12	controller did an audit around this last year, and
13	found not one instance of cheating that they could
14	substantiate. So, I mean, you can theorize and
15	it's, it's impossible for me to prove you wrong
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But my
17	point
18	SHAEL SURANSKY:the truth is
19	that
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: My question-
21	_
22	SHAEL SURANSKY:there isn't
23	evidence that there's a pattern of that.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But my
25	question ismy, my question is that, as Council

2	Member Weprin again pointed out, you know,
3	there's, there's different ways of doing it,
4	there's, there's, you can get very creative if you
5	want to cheat. Right? So, the, the method that
6	DOE is using is, is not, it's not looking at, at
7	it systemically at all. You're going in and
8	checking to see if kids are talking to each other
9	during the, or tests are instructing kids how to
10	fill out the bubble. But it's not, there's no, it
11	doesn't, is there a plan that you
12	SHAEL SURANSKY: I'm sure we'll be
13	doing.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is there a
15	planwell, I don't know, that's up to you guys.
16	It's internal controls.
17	SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, we believe
18	that, and the Comptroller concurred that we have a
19	good system in place, so
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Well, but
21	there's no, what I'm saying is that there, you're
22	not telling me any broad systemichow are you
23	working with the principals? Is there a, is there
24	aI mean, there's
25	SHAEL SURANSKY: There's, there's

Τ	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 143
2	very extensive guidelines around test security,
3	who has access to the booklets, when they have
4	access to the booklets.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: No, I'm
6	talking about guiding
7	SHAEL SURANSKY: What, what happens
8	during the testing time.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm not
10	talkingI'm not talking about that.
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: All of these memos
12	are public.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm talking
14	aboutI'm not talking about, I'm talking about
15	quiding the principals on how to deal with the

about--I'm not talking about, I'm talking about guiding the principals on how to deal with the pressures that DOE is putting on principals, on teachers, about test scores, how do you deal with that, without slipping into the pressures or succumbing the pressures by, by, you know, cheating is a gray skill, it's not a black and white issues, it's a gray skill issue. And, and sometimes even teachers can convince themselves, the principals can convince themselves, that they're not encouraging cheating, when in fact they actually are. What I'm trying to say is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, does DOE have a, a more comprehensive look at this? Instead of looking, you know, doing this kind of pinpoint check and seeing whether or not, you know, like a parachute drop and you're not necessarily going to see it. You're not going to see it that way.

SHAEL SURANSKY: I'll send you the Comptroller's report and then we should discuss it further.

JOSH THOMASES: I mean, I think the, the large question embedded in this, and we've been talking about it in a bunch of ways, is this question of, where and how and if the tests have value. Right? And the, the core of the, the concerns that have been raised has been saying, essentially, that because the state rated the proficiency bar, it, it doesn't have value, or it has minimal value, and that it distracts from the core instruction. And I guess from those who know my history, a couple of whom are in the audience, know that, that in the '90s I was part of group of schools that, that advocated not to have Regents And I'll, and I'll tell you part of the challenge, and I'll tell you as candidly as I know

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how, which is that in one of our early graduating classes, we had one of our top students who did not pass out of remediation requirements at CUNY. And the chall--and we were one, we're one of the schools, if you look at sort of the beats an--beat the odds analysis, you look at, we're one of the schools that does the best work with some of the most struggling students. And the heart of the challenge is, is how do we have some mechanism, however imperfect, for comparing and understanding where are schools that are actually getting the meaningful gains or not. And the challenge of cheating, I mean, I don't know much about higher ed, but I read the articles in the newspaper about how, you know, every university in this country is trying to figure out how to get an honest essay out of their kids rather than going, than the kids going online and get it. So, there's a national challenge.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, right, no I understand, but that's, that's, those are essays, those are college essays, you're dealing with the--you know, that, that's a different issue, and I appreciate that testing,

2	some testing has some value, I'm not saying that
3	it's an entirely bogus thing. What I'm saying is,
4	what I'm wondering is, what's DOE do internally to
5	disincentivise thethe insidious type of
6	cheating. Not, not the, not the, you know, the
7	looking at, you know, there are kids talking to
8	each other during the testing. The insidious type
9	of cheating that is created by the pressures that
10	DOE places because of these test scores, on
11	teachers and on principals.
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And I'll
14	move on to the next question, 'cause
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, well,
16	no, yeah, you got to move onto the nextI'm going
17	to let him respond, you have, there's another
18	question, because I have to turn to my colleague
19	Lew Fidler, then I have to come back to questions,
20	and we've been hammering this one question for
21	like six minutes already.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, sorry,
23	okay, so I'm going to
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, is, is
25	there a specific answer to his, his question that

you can answer very simply?

SHAEL SURANSKY: We take, we take any instance of cheating extremely seriously, we have very strong systems in place, they're very public and very well documented. I'd invite you to examine them before you pursue this further.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And--all right, so I'm going to just move on to one, one more question.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay, go ahead.

excuse me, to--with regard to the NAEP tests, this issue of, 'cause we're comparing, in your presentation, we're going back to the '80s to make comparisons in terms of graduation rate, and everything, we're going back ten years, we're going back 20 years. There's, there's been a significant increase in the last seven years where in New York City, New York City students have been granted accommodations on the name test, going from when, with regard to the reading test, eight to 24 percent of New York City students in the last seven years, this is during this Mayor's

2.0

2.3

Administration, on the math test, from twelve to
28 percent, accommodations help students on the
test. They, they will, you know, sometime, I
mean, I'm not going to whether or not they're
warranted, what I'm wondering is, what's, how do
you, how do you account for the dramatic increase?
How do you, it's, it's not whether or not it's
warranted but why it's grown so much.

SHAEL SURANSKY: One of the reasons is that ELL kids were not previously tested at the same level that they started testing a few years back, because there was a federal policy change in terms of when kids should be tested, who were language learns. The accommodations are monitored closely by NAEP and are fully sort of in line with the state regulations, and so I think it's, it's only appropriate that a kid who has a learning disability, or a kid who has an Eng--who is an English language learner, would have accommodations attendant to, to those that they have a right to.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So it's only, those are the only--

25 SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	COUNCIL	MEMBER	FIDLER:		Council
4	COUNCIL		L TDTFK •	_	Council

Member Lander, you know, speaks very highly of both of you, so I feel a little bit guilty taking a second whack here. I mean, I know somebody's got to be the designated piñata, and I appreciate your, your patience with me.

SHAEL SURANSKY: It's a good conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: It would've been very disheartening had you answered the Chairman's question about whether or not you think graduation rates are going to go up or not with a negative answer. So, I guess I'm, I'm thankful that you didn't do that, and frankly I would've been shocked had you said, "Yeah, Chairman, I think they're going to down." A number of years ago, maybe three I'm guessing, we had a hearing of these Committee that discussed credit recovery in terms of graduation rates. Now, we were promised that there would be some standardization of credit recovery procedures and policies, and some accountability in data tracking for how often credit recovery was being used vis-à-vis graduation rates. Has any of that happened, and

2.0

2 do you have any of that data?

SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah, so, last year, the state finalized their guidelines on credit recovery, and in response to that we put in place a system to begin to track that. And so at the end of this year, I'd be happy to come back and give you a full accounting on how many kids use credit recovery.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, so as of now, that system is not yet in place.

SHAEL SURANSKY: It's in place, but the data has not been gathered as a result of this being the first year, the first full year that those guidelines are in place.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, so this is the first year--let me rephrase it.

SHAEL SURANSKY: I can get you data for the school year.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: You could, you could've had, you could've, before the State put in guidelines, and thank god they did, I, you could have been tracking how often this was being done, you know, in our school system. Have you done it before now? Or as you, are you just

many of us believe that it has impacted on

graduation rates in a rather arbitrary and

24

25

24 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: The graduation rate chart.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

o	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:	Voah
۱ ا	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:	Yeah.

3 SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, let's look

4 at it.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: We will,

6 | thank you.

JOSH THOMASES: And Councilman, if I may, just, I want to be very clear around the, the college ready issue. And related to the Regents, and just name the challenge that we have. The current, the standards that the State is setting of the 65 on the Regents, our research is showing is actually insufficient. That, first of all, to get out of remediation at CUNY you need a 75 on the Regents exams, and for now math you need a 75 on one and a 65 on another. That the data shows that at the point at which a student scores an 85 on the math and English Regents, and it's really focused on the math and English, which, as sort of core competency tests connected to being able to read and do math, obviously. That at the point at which you get 85 is where you get really sustained outcomes at CUNY. So when we talk about the challenge in front of us, that is the challenge.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So you're
3	then advocating for increasing the standard even
4	further?
5	SHAEL SURANSKY: Well, I'm saying
6	that to, toif we're going to be serious about
7	the college and career ready standard in the City,
8	then we need to be candid acrabout what that
9	challenge means, vis-à-vis where, where the
10	standards are.
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: And we are, we are
12	going to increase
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I'm
14	supposed, I'm supposed to be the politician here,
15	so
16	JOSH THOMASES: I'm not trying to
17	be political.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I'm going
19	to say that's a yes
20	JOSH THOMASES: I'm told you were,
21	but[laughs]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I'm saying
23	that's yes, you're saying that 85 is where it
24	should be for the standard that, that you're
25	aspiring to, so you must be advocating or the

2.0

2 standard.

JOSH THOMASES: So the reason why I'm, I'm not trying to be political about it. The reason why I'm, I'm--

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And we don't want you to be political, we want you to be realistic, and that's what you're saying, that's important.

JOSH THOMASES: The reason why I'm cautious on that, is because we don't know where the State's changes in the assessment systems are, and so, and the changes in the assessment system are supposed to do, aim them towards ever more, the more complicated tasks we talked about earlier. So I want to go on record now about an assessment I don't know. What I'm saying to you is, is that our challenge in the City is, is moving towards a higher standard than what currently is represented by 65.

SHAEL SURANSKY: I will go on record, though, and say that we're going to start piloting college readiness measures keyed in to the CUNY requirements on the progress report this year. And so we will be measuring, and you will

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

have data on whether or not students are meeting that benchmark, and that schools will be held accountable for it.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: This Council Member will, will never challenge you on raising standards. I just think having read, I quess about a week ago in the paper that one of Brooklyn's, by reputation, finest high schools, Leon Goldstein, had to cancel its AP classes for all of its kids, I believe, in, in every subject, that's kind of inconsistent with where we're trying to go. I mean, I think that, that was one of the saddest things I've read about our education system in a while. I mean, my kid, you know, my kids both went to Edward R. Morrow, both enjoyed many, many, many AP classes, it benefited them extraordinarily in college. To find out that the kids going to Goldstein will not have that opportunity this year, I think is incredibly sad and DOE ought to intervene in it right now. CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [off mic]

JOSH THOMASES: And we're doing every--I mean, the challenge of the budget cuts

2	are real. Know that natacross the State, part
3	of the conversations with the State and, have been
4	around figuring out how to make some courses
5	available online, because rural districts are
6	losing their teachers for the AP courses due to
7	cuts. So, so, I will look directly into that
8	specific problem. But we, we discussed the
9	monetary challenge earlier, and it is what it is.
LO	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
11	Okay, now, advocates claim that there are now 369
12	schools, approximately 36 percent of all the
L3	schools, where two-thirds or more students are not
L4	meeting the proficiency standards in ELA, compared
15	to five schools in 2009. Is, is that statistic
L6	correct? If it is, say yes.
L7	SHAEL SURANSKY: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: If it's not,
L9	say no.
20	SHAEL SURANSKY: Under the new
21	proficiency, yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And then
23	please, what is your response to that, overall.
24	SHAEL SURANSKY: I think that the,
25	it highlights the challenge that, yes.

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:	Okay.
------------------------	-------

3 SHAEL SURANSKY: I think that, 4 that's basically accurate. I haven't looked at 5 the exact data, but given the new proficiency marks, that, that's consistent with what I know. 6 And I think that this is the conversation we've 8 been having all afternoon, and all of the steps 9 that we've outlined are particularly focused on 10 the places where we're most worried about where 11 there are more kids that are at Level I and Level 12 II, and they tend to be the schools that previously had more Level I and Level II, and so 13 14 there's already more resources focused in that 15 direction.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now, has the Department of Education made a concerted effort to reach out specifically to the parents whose children are disproportionately affected by the new proficiency standards, and if so, how?

JOSH THOMASES: We've, we've asked schools to do the core of that outreach, and superintendents in their meetings with community education counsel. So, the, the heart of the conversations have started there. And then, where

2	there, we've asked both superintendent and network
3	leaders to flag for us places where we're
4	concerned about. Where they're, you know, the,
5	the most challenging responses that would come of
6	this is where faculty, principal and parents start
7	all blaming each other, and the school ends up
8	fighting with each other rather than working
9	together to figure out what they need to change.
10	So we've called that question and been asked to be
11	apprised of it. But the, the heart of this is a
12	conversation between the school and the parents.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Do you know,
14	I mean that's a, a general answer, you gave me the
15	direction, but I, I want to know, for example, has
16	a principal called a meeting at the school where
17	this was discussed specifically? Or was a letter
18	sent out to every parent from the school about
19	that?
20	JOSH THOMASES: So there was a
21	Sorry.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And that's
23	what, what measurement, if you're now oversight,
24	of whether or not their local school
25	administration is communicating to the parents

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about this situation, you know, what proof is there?

JOSH THOMASES: So, so there was a letter sent out by the Chancellor that was backpacked home over the summer, to those students who had backpacks and were in schools over the summer. And then--

SHAEL SURANSKY: [off mic] It was -

10

JOSH THOMASES: And then we asked principals who were not, whose schools were not in session at the point at which we had the information about the test scores, to backpack, to provide that letter on the first day of school. We asked networks and superintendents to work with schools to make sure that in the first couple weeks of schools there was the September School Leadership Team Meeting where this was discussed, and encouraged schools to have their parent meetings in September. We didn't mandate parent meetings, but assume that many, many, if, if not most will. What we requested back, and this data is still coming in, and it's anecdotal data, is for superintendents and network leaders to flag

for us places where they're concerned about the	
quality of the communication. So, if, to be	
concrete, if a superintendent went to a CEC	
meeting, and there were parents from a particular	
school saying, "We don't anything of what's going	Γ
on in our school," then that's the kind of	
information that's being gathered by my office.	
And then we're going to be working with the Offic	e
of Family Engagement and Advocacy, as well as our	•
superintendents and our networks to make sure tha	.t
meetings happen in those schools. Where, where	
appropriate and you're hearing that information,	
as well, would encourage you to work with our	
office that faces you, would ask you to highlight	
for us where your, where your hearing that	
information. I'm very clear that schools that en	d
up fighting, a school that ends up fighting with	
itself about this, is not going to end up	
benefiting the kids. So, if you've gotten a doze	n
calls from a particular school, please let Lenny	
know and we'll get that information and get to	
working with you on it.	

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, I have not, but I'm asking the question, as far as

2	accountability, to ensure that the communication
3	has occurred. And you've laid out what the
4	framework is, but you, I don't know whether or not
5	in reality that has occurred. So what I'm going
6	to do is I'm going to have my staff to reach out
7	to ten different parents association throughout
8	the City, or a dozen, to see if in fact that it's
9	occurred
10	JOSH THOMASES: Great.
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:and what
12	method has that occurred via a large meeting,
13	whether or not something went home, or what.
14	JOSH THOMASES: Okay. And then, I
15	mean, we're doing the same on our side and then we
16	can
17	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
18	JOSH THOMASES:compare notes.
19	But
20	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay, good.
21	Can you explain how that the State ELA and math
22	test scores are used to evaluate schools, if at
23	all? And are there plans to change the
24	reconfiguration of the progress reports?
25	SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah, so, on the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elementary and middle school progress report, I think the Committee's fairly familiar with it, I don't go into the details. But the progress report measures both progress and performance. And so, performance is what we've been discussing a lot today, how many kids have met the proficiency mark. Since we started the progress report, we've always believed that progress was much more important, and it's not about how many kids got over that line, but how much growth was there for each kid? And so, 60 percent of the grade is based on growth. And it doesn't actually matter where the State sets the bar, it's based on looking at how much growth was there year-to-year, and there's been a substantial change this year in how we measure that growth, to make it more precise and more stable. And so, we are comparing each kid to other kids who started at the same point they did, and then we're looking at what happened to them at the next year. And if they went up or if they went down, and we compare them only to kids who started at the same point they did. Because part of what you had raised, and others had raised, was a concern that kids who

were at the higher end of the spectrum, could not
make progress after a certain point. And that
wasn't fair to them, because it was harder to make
progress as you got higher. And so, we've made it
much more precise in response to that concern.
And I think you'll see, the progress reports are
coming out soon for elementary and middle schools.
I think you'll see that the outcomes are, in
addition to the State raising the bar, we've also
raised the bar, and we, as I mentioned earlier,
are starting to gather data so that we can broader
the base of measurement, so that we also take into
account teacher evaluations of student work. And
so, we'll be looking at, first with middle
schools, the grades that teachers are giving in
science and social studies, in English and in
math, which is a way to sort of broaden the
streams of data that we're looking at as part of
that assessment.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, so then,
I can assume then that when As and Bs come out and
A, B, Cs and F, that 97 percent of the schools
will not have an A and B.

SHAEL SURANSKY: We heard you loud

what is DOE's promotion policy? So for example, are all Level I students automatically held back? Or are all Level IIs in danger? And what about their class work? What about teacher evaluation? You've heard advocates in education say that leaving a kid back is detrimental and will help 24 25 them more destined to drop out of school. So what

2	is DOE's promotion policy as of September 27,
3	2010.
4	SHAEL SURANSKY: So, if you score
5	Level I, you then have the opportunity to go to
6	summer school. And if you can improve your score
7	in summer school, then you don't get held back.
8	There's also, for kids that could, according to
9	the school, because their assessments of the kid's
10	work, despite the test scores, there's a portfolio
11	process that the schools can submit to look at
12	kids in that position. And
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: If they're
14	advocating for that kid to move forward, you're
15	saying.
16	SHAEL SURANSKY: Right, and then
17	for kids who have learning disabilities, that
18	their IAP guides, and so depending on the IAP
19	goals, that would often override whether or not
20	the test score was a Level I or a Level II.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And with the,
22	with the student that is Level I that goes to
23	summer school
24	SHAEL SURANSKY: Mm-hmm.
25	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:you said if

they do well, what--

SHAEL SURANSKY: If they score a Level II, or if the work that they do in summer school, based on the portfolio that the school submits, reaches Level II. Part of the reason we saw the big increase was the new standard; the other part is that we phased in fourth and sixth grade this year for promotional policies that didn't exist in prior years.

## [pause]

JOSH THOMASES: Chairman, there's a real tension in, in figuring out both the--taking a stand around what quality looks like to move to the next grade, which, you know, as I think we've heard over and over in this room, there's, you know, the support for higher standards, and making sure that students are given the opportunity to demonstrate it using multiple measures. So if they don't do it on the test, then having the opportunity to appeal. But the, you know, the, the heart of the stance to say that if you're not doing the quality work, you can't move ahead, is, you know, what was said earlier around CUNY, CUNY saying, "Well, the presidents of CUNY are blaming

the high schools," while the principals of high schools blame middle schools, middle schools blame elementary schools, and elementary schools blame the parents, in the most cynical versions of this story, right? And so, what we're trying to do is figure out how to move, so that we're all responsible for saying, "When students leave here, they're ready for the next level." And, and that's the heart of, of the promotion challenge. And it's very difficult work and, and always will be, really.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: When kids are held back, or when they're performing at a level that is not up to par, what, what specific help are they going to get? And I ask that question based on the report by Juan Gonzalez, report by "Class Size Matters," that a, a letter of agreement was reached between the Mayor, not the Mayor, the Chancellor and David Steiner, the Commissioner of Education, basically allowing the City of New York to only, that's only mandated to give students that are performing at Level I academic intervention, intervention services; whereas previously all those scoring at Level I

2	and II were entitled to. And now, it says that
3	the only ones that you must give academic
4	intervention services is to Level I. How can, how
5	could, how could you, and I say "you," the
6	Department of Education, ask to do less?
7	SHAEL SURANSKY: We did not,
8	that's
9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Whichone
LO	second, let me finish.
11	SHAEL SURANSKY: [interposing] I
12	think just to clarify, though, that the
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Let me finish
L4	the question, and you can clarify.
15	SHAEL SURANSKY: Okay.
L6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: How could
L7	you, in my opinion, ask to do less, when our
18	children need more? Okay, go ahead, Deputy
19	Chancellor.
20	SHAEL SURANSKY: We certainly did
21	not request to do less. The, the State of its own
22	accord, separate from us, and separate from any
23	arrangement with New York City, this applies to
24	New York State as a whole, when they decided to
25	change the proficiency marks without providing

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

additional resources to districts across the State, have revised their language on their requirements for academic intervention. And the, I think the report that you're referencing had more to do with class size, than--and, and there there was an agreement to focus on the schools that had the highest need kids, and focus on class size targets first, in those schools, given the multiple billions of, of budget cuts that the systems endured over the past two years. think we, we've been really clear today that we consider any kid that is not meeting standards, or a kid who drops significantly, as a kid that needs support. And academic intervention occurs in multiple ways, it occurs through really strong small group work, it occurs through the good use of that time after school, it occurs through creating opportunities for, for kids to, to get conferencing within the regular classroom, doing team teaching, which we've been pushing in our special ed initiative. So there's a lot of different angles that we're trying to attack this from. But we certainly are not asking for the State to give us less, for, for those Level I and

Level II kids.

3	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I think
4	clearly the communication and your responses here
5	today, all indicate exactly what you just said,
6	without a doubt. So, let me go back to, you're
7	saying that the New York City Department of
8	Education, or its agents, did not advocate for a
9	reduction in the, the children, that are Level II,
10	so you're not mandated to give them academic
11	intervention, intervention services. That's what
12	I'm hearing.
13	SHAEL SURANSKY: That's correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.
15	Because I'm going to ask
16	SHAEL SURANSKY: Yeah, and Ira's
17	here, and I think he can tell you
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I'm going to
19	ask. I'm going to ask, because
20	SHAEL SURANSKY: Good.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:the bottom
22	line, you would agree, that based on the
23	reconfiguration, based on the goals, as far as
24	college readiness or employment ready, that our
25	children are going to need a lot more services.

21

22

23

24

25

JOSH THOMASES: And I think, Chairman, Chairman, I would just reiterate that, that the heart of what our push has been, is that we have to, where the quality of instruction in our classrooms is poor, we've got to focus on

that, rather than on additional services. That at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the heart of it is that every parent in the City starts interviewing to figure out which of the teachers to get for their child. And it, we need to get to a situation where they don't have to do that anymore, because they're excited about whoever, whoever's teaching the classes. And that that, that's, that focus there, is, is the heart of where we're trying to go. That's not to say that there aren't students who need additional supports, it is to say that the thing that we have the most control over, and it's where we focused our inquiry teamwork, is to say, "What's happening during the 27 hours students are with their regular teachers during the regular school day? And I think if we strengthen that a lot, if we were to strengthen that and have a top notch teacher that any of us would be willing to send our children to, in every classroom, we would see, I don't, we would see dramatically different outcomes.

a lot of people that do statistics, and computers, also, you punch the numbers in. Assuming that the scores in 2011 mirror the 201 scores, how many

2	additional students would have to be mandated to
3	attend summer school?
4	SHAEL SURANSKY: I can get you that
5	data. I don't have it off the top of my head.
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Much more
7	than in 2010?
8	SHAEL SURANSKY: If it's exactly
9	the same, the kids that I mentioned earlier that
LO	had been promoted, and we allowed to be promoted,
11	because we didn't have the
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: The did not
13	go forward, yeah, all of those group.
L4	SHAEL SURANSKY: But that is, is
15	not a huge number, and some of those kids no doubt
L6	will have learning disabilities, and so they'll
L7	have I mean, I don't, I don't know for sure, but
18	I could get you an estimate.
L9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And what
20	about how much more money would DOE have to spend
21	on summer school in order to provide the services
22	for all these thousands and thousands of children?
23	SHAEL SURANSKY: I don't, I don't
24	anticipate that we're going to have huge increases
25	in the numbers of kids going to summer school but

2	we definitely will allocate the resources
3	necessary to serve them. And, you know, the, the
4	data from the summer, we had many, many more 3-8
5	kids going. And the, a lot of them had a very
6	successful experience, and we anticipate that
7	there will continue to be that level of service at
8	summer school. It may go up a little bit, may go
9	down. I mean, if we do our job right, it may go
10	down.
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, Deputy
12	Chancellor Suransky and Deputy Chief School
13	Officer for Accountability and Academics Thomases,
14	let me thank you both for coming in. I hope you
15	had lunch before I did. [laughter]
16	JOSH THOMASES: Took your advice,
17	Chairman, I had it, yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, I
19	didn't take my own advice. Next time I will. I
20	had to sneak my lunch while you were answering
21	questions to some of my colleagues. I thank you

had to sneak my lunch while you were answering questions to some of my colleagues. I thank you both for coming in. And we look forward to working with you to ensure that our children receive the best education possible.

JOSH THOMASES: Thank you, sir.

2	SHAEL SURANSKY: We look forward
3	to, to that, too.
4	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And next
5	we're going to hear from Ira Schwartz, who is an
6	official with the New York State Education
7	Department, that's going to be giving us some
8	responses or comments about the 2010 test scores
9	and the reconfiguration of those test scores, and
10	why that occurred. So, Ira, welcome, I haven't
11	seen you in a while. It's good to have you.
12	IRA SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Would you
14	just identify yourself and your title, and you may
15	begin testimony that you may have. Obviously, we
16	prefer you not read the testimony, but just
17	summarize and talk to us about the 2010 test
18	scores, the reconfiguration, and what, you know,
19	who basically requested that, and what's the, the
20	impact on the children of New York State, and more
21	specifically, New York City.
22	IRA SCHWARTZ: Thank you,
23	Councilman Jackson. My name is Ira Schwartz, I am
24	Assistant Commissioner for Accountability with the
25	New York State Education Department. I am a

3

4

product of the New York Public Schools and of the State University of New York.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Like me.

5 IRA SCHWARTZ: Yes. I began my 6 career as a teacher of English as a foreign 7 language, and was a teacher of English as a second 8 language before I joined the State Education Department in 1981. I've had a variety of 9 10 positions at the State Education Department and my 11 office is located in Brooklyn, New York. 12 will indulge me, Councilman, I would like to read my testimony, but you do have the slides and they 13 provide some substantially additional information 14 15 that you can look at as I present the text and I 16 apologize if there's any redundancy in it, but 17 after three hours of my colleagues testifying, I 18 think there might be a little bit of overlap with 19 what I had intended to say to you. So, with that 20 as a preamble, good afternoon Members of the New 21 York City Council Education Committee. 22 pleased to be here today on behalf of Chancellor 23 Merryl Tisch, the Board of Regents and 24 Commissioner David Steiner, to talk about the 25 Board of Regents' recent action to reset cut

scores for the state grades three to eight,
English language arts and mathematics assessments,
so that proficiency now is defined as a student
being on track to meet college and career ready
standards. My testimony today will describe why
the Regents took this step, how we're improving
the quality of State assessments, and how this
fits into the Board of Regents' education reform
agenda. Higher Education is essential to economic
competitiveness, citizenship and lifelong
learning. Individuals who complete more education
earn more over the course of their careers, and
pay more in taxes. Harvard economist Larry Katz
and Claudia Goldin, in their 2008 book, "The Race
Between Education and Technology," demonstrate the
effect of education on lifetime earnings, which
has in turn contributed to American global
economic competitiveness, and to the wellbeing of
our state. In the last decade, research conducted
by Achieve, as well as others, shows a convergence
in the expectations of employers and colleges in
terms of the knowledge and skills high school
grads needs to be successful after high school.
Nearly eight in ten future job opportunities in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the next decade in the United States will require post-secondary education or training. 45 percent will be in middle skills occupations, which require at least some post-secondary education and training, while 33 percent will be in high skilled occupations for which a bachelor's degree or more is required. By contrast, only 22 percent of future job openings will be low skill and accessible to those with a high school diploma, or More and more, a post-secondary degree is a prerequisite for employment. The U.S. Department of Labor projects that between 2008 and 2018, seven of the ten fastest growing occupations will require a bachelor's degree or higher. Even as corporations in the U.S. have been downsizing manufacturing jobs, they have been having difficulty finding persons to employ to operate the next generation of sophisticated machinery. Just think how much the job of repairing autos has changed over the last few decades. What once was a mechanical job is now largely a technical one, dealing with computerized systems requiring a higher level of literacy. I'm going to read something to you from Cynthia Schmeiser, President

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Chief Operating Officer of ACT's Education Division. ACT research shows that career readiness requires the same level of foundational knowledge and skills in mathematics and reading that college readiness does. According to our research, the majority of the jobs that require at least a high school diploma pay a living wage for a family of four are projected to increase in the number, in the 21st Century, and provide opportunities for career advancement, require a level of knowledge and skills comparable to those expected of first year college students. In other words, even the good jobs that don't require a post-secondary degree require college reading, knowledge and skills. The United States has lost its international position in recent years in producing college graduates. Between 1995 and 2006, the U.S. college and university graduation rate increased only marginally, while many of our main economic competitors rates have soared. This evidence is supported by a recent report from the College Board that found that the proportion of 25 to 34 year olds, with college degrees in the U.S., was only twelfth among all developed countries.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, Gary Wilmason [phonetic] of Metro Metrics found in 2006 that the demand of community college reading were approximately at the same level as entry level workforce requirements. We conclude that the nations that out-educate us today will outcompete us economically tomorrow. One reason why our post-secondary graduation rates lagging other nations is that many of our high school graduates enter college under prepared to do college work. A large proportion of students in two and four year institutions take remedial coursework. Nearly 33 percent of students in two year colleges require remediation in math, and 20 percent of two year students require remediation in reading or math. In some institutions, nearly 75 percent of entering students are not ready for college and require some type of remediation. These figures are for students who enroll in college. There are many more who graduate high school and do not enroll in college, because they are not college ready at graduation. We know that the more remedial courses a student must take upon enrollment in college, the less likely that student is to persist in college and graduate.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Given the data on the previous slide, the Board of Regents asked the State Education Department staff to determine the level of performance that students must achieve to be well prepared to take and pass first year English and mathematics courses without the need for remediation. Department staff spoke with Admissions directors in many parts of the State. A clear consensus was evident: students who score below a 75 on the Regents examinations in English language arts and mathematics are typically not prepared to succeed in first year college courses. Admissions directors indicate that a score of 500 is often considered a benchmark for mathematics without, for matriculation with remediation. And this is on the SAT. However, only 19 percent of students who scored below 75 on their English Regents exams scored above 500 on the SAT reading. On the other hand, over half of students who scored above 75 scored above 500 on the SAT. Similarly, 28 percent of students who scored below 80 on their math Regents scored above 500 on the math SAT, but over four-fifths of those who scored above 80 on the Regents scored above 500 on the SAT. We would

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expect that more students with score above 500 on the math and the reading SAT, because average SAT scores are higher in math, both nationally in New York State. Students who succeed at high levels on their Regents are well prepared for college admission and success without remediation in colleges and universities across the State. do we ensure that more students are well prepared for college? One answer is to provide the proper signal that students are on track for college success to the students, their families, and their schools. As I will discuss, the Regents have done this by aligning the standards proficiency on the State Assessments in grades three to eight, English language arts and mathematics examinations, with the college readiness standards on the Regents examinations in these subjects. We have strong, leading indicators of whether a student will be able to succeed in college without remediation. At CUNY institutions, students scoring below an 80 on their math Regents are likely to be placed into remedial, non-credit bearing courses like arithmetic, elementary algebra or intermediate algebra. Marginally

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proficient students scoring between 65 and 70 are almost certain to be placed into remediation. On the other hand, students who score above an 80 have a greater chance of being placed into credit bearing courses than remedial ones. Once in college, students who have scored above an 80 on the math Regents exam have more than a 60 percent chance of earning a C in their first college math course. In other words, students who score at above 80 on the Regents math exam, take more challenging courses and do better in them than students who are required to take less challenging remedial courses. If student need a score of at least 80 on the Regents in math examination to be prepared for an introductory math course, then the cut score for proficiency on the grade eight mathematics exam should indicate that a student is on track to be able to achieve a score of 80 on the Regents math exam. The former eighth grade assessment cut scores were insufficient to prepare students for the Regents new deficient -- new definition of proficiency. Students at the cut score for level III, which was 650, had less than a 33 percent chance of earning an 80 on their math

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Regents exam just one or two years later. This figure was even more disturbing in high need districts. Marginally proficient eighth grade students in these districts had less than a 20 percent chance of being college ready on their Regents. By contrast, students who achieve the new cut score of 673 on the grade eight mathematics examination have a 75 percent chance of achieving a college ready score of 80 or above on the Regents math exam. The numbers were slightly better for English language arts, but still of concern. Students scoring at Level III proficiency threshold in eight grade had a 66 percent probability if being ready to demonstrate college preparation on their Regents. However, marginally proficient eighth grade students in high need districts had only slightly better than a 50/50 shot of being college ready on their high school Regents. While proficiency on the eighth grade state math test shown in the blue line, had increased dramatically over the past four years, performance on the National Assessment of Educational Progress, known as NAEP, called the Nation's Report Card, has remained flat.

2	NAEP, administered by the U.S. Education
3	Department to a sample of students in grades four,
4	eight and twelve around the state and the nation,
5	test students in math, reading, science and
6	writing among other subjects. The NAEP is
7	structured differently from the State assessments.
8	It is more comprehensive and similar to the
9	international assessments of skills that student
10	need to be successful in college in today's
11	workplace. Given the disparity between student
12	proficiency on the State tests and the NAEP, the
13	Regents recognized that the State assessments
14	needed to be revised to more resemble the NAEP.
15	The new proficiency standards are based on a
16	review of the research that analyzed how the grade
17	three to eight State assessments relate to the
18	national assessments of educational progress, how
19	the State's eighth grade math and English language
20	arts test relate to the Regents exams, how
21	performance on the Regents exams relate to the SAT
22	scores, and how performance on the Regents exams
23	relate to first year performance in college.
24	Eighth grade proficiency scores are now set at a
25	level that provides students at 75 percent chance

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of earning a college ready Regents score. Third to seventh grade proficiency scores are set so that if a student makes a year's worth of developmental growth, they will be on track for college readiness. Thus, the Regents have determined that college ready scores that students need on the Regents exams in English language arts and mathematics, aligned to the eighth grade proficiency standards to these Regents scores, and then worked backwards to link scores in grades seven to three, to theses new standards. In addition to changing the definition of proficiency, we are also working to improve the quality of our assessments. Test questions can be answered in one of three ways: learning a set of strategies to answer test questions; adopting a set of procedures to arrive at an answer; or analyzing and synthesizing materials that require deep mastery of the underlying content. A well designed test asked students to bring to bear the skills of applying a set of procedures to arrive at an answer, as well as analyzing and synthesizing materials. A poorly designed test shades towards the first set of skills.

future test items will require that students 2 3 demonstrate more of the abilities that I articulated in the second and third categories. As we move forward, we will be making a number of 5 additional changes to strengthen our assessment 6 7 program, so that our exams will better measure how 8 students apply procedures and analyze and synthesize information rather than demonstrate 9 10 simple test taking skills. These changes include adding more items to the test to make them more 11 12 sensitive, increasing the performance indicators tested to avoid having instruction focused 13 narrowly on only certain items of the curriculum, 14 15 and making the test items more varied to discourage narrowly focused instructions. 16 17 Ensuring that assessment results provide meaningful information about student progress is 18 just one element of the Regents' broader ambitious 19 20 reform agenda. To prepare students for success 21 beyond the twelfth grade, the Regents have 22 committed to raising standards and student 23 achievement by giving every student a world class curriculum, that prepares them for college, the 24 global economy, 21<sup>st</sup> Century citizenship and 25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lifelong learning, preparing teachers and school leaders to be more effective in classrooms, with an emphasis on providing high needs schools the best staff possible, building a world class data system that tracks student performance from pre-K through college graduation, closing chronically underperforming schools and working with districts to implement strategies to replace these schools, and transforming SED from a compliance oriented agency to a support oriented one. By raising the standard for proficiency on the grade three to eight ELA in math assessments, the Board of Regents is taking an important step to ensure that our State assessments provide the information parents and teachers need to know whether their children are on track for college and career success. The four pillars of educational reform in New York: improve curriculum and assessment, more effective preparation for and support of teachers and school leader; creation of a comprehensive longitudinal data system; and intervention in persistently lowest achieving schools will enhance the interaction between the teacher and the student in the classroom, which is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the key to improving educational outcomes in our State. Thank you and I'm prepared to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, well I want to thank you for bringing the testimony in and, and reading it, because clearly by reading it into the record, those that followed you like I did, you save us the, the task of reading it. But let me ask you a couple of questions if I, if I may. You sat here and listened to the testimony, questions and answers of the Department of Education. Now, with regards to SED, some people say that you have seen the huge jump in test scores year after year. And wondering why did it take so long for the State Education Department to act, in order to basically hold everyone to a higher standard so our children will be college ready, or ready to go to, to work?

IRA SCHWARTZ: Councilman Jackson, the State Assessment System in grades, for grades three to eight was first instituted in 2005/6 school year, and that was the first time that we began to test students in grades three to eight each year. Previous to that, students were tested

only in grades four to eight. Initially, there
was an expectation that as teachers became more
familiar with the tests, that we would expect to
see improvements in those tests, particularly in
the first year, in 2006/2007. As the trend
continued into 2007 and then again into 2008/2009,
our new Chancellor, Merryl Tisch, as well as our
Commissioner, David Steiner, realized that there
was a need for an investigation into why these
changewhy this trend was of the dimension that
we were seeing. As a result of that, they went to
our technical assistance group, they brought in
national experts who took approximately a year to
study the, the testing program, and come up with
their recommendations and their observations. And
as soon as that information was available to the
Commissioner and the Chancellor, they made it
available to the public and acted upon it.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, in
essence, what are you saying to us? [laughter]
IRA SCHWARTZ: In essence, I am
saying that the, as we became aware of these

trends, we undertook a study to see why they were

occurring, and once we had the results of that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

study, we communicated it to the field. And, and
we are making changes to our assessments to
address it.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, you're saying that you acted as quickly as possible under the new leadership of Chancellor Tisch and David Steiner, the Commissioner of Education?

IRA SCHWARTZ: That is, that is correct. In addition, we should say that there are two issues here: there is the issue around the raising of the cut scores, and then there are issues around the changing of the tests. In terms of the raising of the cut scores, that is also a instance where we had additional data that allowed us to track what was happening to students for the first time, as they went into institutions of higher education throughout New York State. And as a result of that, we were better able to determine that students who were scoring 65 on the Regents exam, were not being well prepared for college success.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, somebody, who is the State Education Department's Testing Director.

2	IRA SCHWARTZ: That is David
3	Abrams, who's our Assistant Commissioner for
4	Assessment.
5	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: How long has
6	he been in that capacity?
7	IRA SCHWARTZ: I believe he has
8	been in that capacity approximately six years,
9	give or take a year or two.
LO	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now, you've
11	heard, maybe here today, overall, say that the
12	tests were getting easier and easier, or it's been
L3	the same questions, basically, and that that's the
L4	reason why students have, grades have increased.
L5	What do you say about that as Assistant
L6	Commissioner, knowing that in essence, based on
L7	the reconfigurations, either the tests were, did
L8	get easier and easier, or it was the same
L9	questions? Because obviously the, the scores had
20	to be reconfigure from a realistic point of view,
21	to ensure that our children are ready to make the
22	grade when they enter college.
23	IRA SCHWARTZ: All right, the
24	questions were not the same questions, but the
25	questions were in many cases similar questions.

And one of the things that, particularly this is a result, once again, of going to grade three to eight testing, where there was a narrower focus of the test on specific grade skills. And one of the things that we concluded is that the test did too narrowly sample from among the entire curriculum, and we are in the process of making changes to our assessment, so that they become less predictable, and that they more broadly sample from the full curriculum.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, is, is—
do you know whether or not SED plans on continuing
David Abrams as the testing director, or is there
going to be a change? I'm just asking the
question. I don't know, I don't know about the
individual's competency, but obviously, you know,
if the tests that we've been, when I say "we," our
students have been taking, are basically, you
know, not at the level that they're, they should
be, either one, he's recommending that the test
should be harder, and the Board of Regents is not
listening, or something's, something's going on
there, and I just don't understand since I'm not
in SED.

2.0

2.3

IRA SCHWARTZ: The, those types of
decisions are ones that are made by the Board of
Regents and the Commissioner, that's outside of my
purview, but I have no reason to believe that the,
that the Regents and the Commissioner lack
confidence in Mr Abrams

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Well that, I'm glad to hear that, I, I believe your assessment. But obviously the Commissioner and the Chancellor and others knew that something had to be done. So, something was wrong, you agree?

IRA SCHWARTZ: As I indicated, we do believe that there are improvement opportunities in our assessment program, and we are moving forward to make those, those changes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You've heard the statement here earlier that was made, that the students of New York City have made great improvement strides in the, in their scores statewide. And basically, I said that, that New York City is not at the standard of the average student in the State of New York. What's your assessment as far as, has students in New York City made great strides or they have increased a

2	little bit? And are the students in New York City
3	performing at the State average? And a true
4	assessment, don't give me any fluff, now, Mr.
5	Schwartz. I'm very serious, because this is a
6	serious hearing about truth, and about where we're
7	going.
8	IRA SCHWARTZ: The average
9	performance of New York City is somewhat less than
10	the average performance of school districts in
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, you're
12	a diplomat, huh?
13	IRA SCHWARTZ:in New York State.
14	The performance of New York City school students
15	on average is higher than the performance of the
16	other large city school districts in New York
17	State
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: The big five,
19	Buffalo, Syracuse, Rochester
20	IRA SCHWARTZ: The, the big, the
21	big five, yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:and
23	Yonkers?
24	IRA SCHWARTZ: It is, yes, it is
25	about, it is also, I believe above the performance

2.0

2.3

of what are known as the high need urban/suburban
school districts in New York State, and I believe
New York in mathematics may now be exceeding or
close to the performance of rural school districts
in New York State. They are not yet up to the
performance of our low need school districts or
our average needs school districts.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I turn to my colleague Lew Fidler for a question, if you don't mind. Council Member Fidler of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Mr. Schwartz.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You got to speak a little louder.

this always happen to me. Okay. One SUNY grad to another, I appreciate your having sat here through all of this. And I'm sure you heard the, you know, the, the fundamental concerns. I mean, most of us, you know, question the emphasis on the testing to begin with. But if we're wrong, and testing is valid, you also heard two concerns about things that would affect the efficacy of the test: cheating and excessive test preparation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

So, I was wondering whether or not State
Department of Education was considering any
regulations that might be imposed regarding
monitoring cheating, and whether or not the State
would consider what the City apparently is
unwilling to consider, which is to specifically
cap the amount of time we can spend on test prep.

IRA SCHWARTZ: I've made probably hundreds of presentations to educators throughout New York State and I always tell them that if you, if you want to get the best results for your students, then you should not be doing a lot of test preparation. What you should--test familiarity is acceptable, but really there shouldn't, you're not going to ultimately get to the kinds of results that you want for your students if all you are doing is lots of drill and practice on sample tests. I mean, it would be like somebody who is preparing to run a marathon who did nothing but prepare for the marathon by practicing marathons. It's not the best way to go about doing it. So, we, this is a message that the State Education Department and my colleagues constantly send out to school districts.

2.0

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So, in
principal, we absolutely agree. Does the State
Education Department have the power to issue a
regulation that prohibits excessive test
preparation?

TRA SCHWARTZ: I, I don't know if that would be within, it would be probably fairly hard to, to define that, and I don't know that whether we have the authority to make it into a specific regulation. We can continue as we have been doing, to tell our colleagues in the field about the balance that should be struck between test familiarity, test preparation and the importance of having a good, strong instructional program.

would ask you to, to ask those that make policy at the State Education Department to look into whether you have that power, and if you don't have that power, maybe you should ask the legislature to grant it to you. Clearly, there is an unwillingness to place that limitation here in the City, by the City Department of Education. And I can only imagine that for those school districts

agreed that that's correct and choose to play by those rules, that there at a statistical disadvantage as compared to those jurisdictions that do not. And so, the uniformity of a standard for just how much time, you know, goes into test prep as opposed to familiarity, prejudices people and skews the result of your test statewide when comparing school district to school district.

Wouldn't you agree?

IRA SCHWARTZ: I would take it a slightly different tack. I would say that there's a law of diminishing returns with test preparation, and that ultimately it comes to a point when you're actually going to end up having worse results if there is an over emphasis on test preparation to the exclusion of everything else. And that the better results coming from having a rich, full instructional program. And, and that is what we have been urging school districts to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Either way, excessive test prep is a bad thing.

IRA SCHWARTZ: We agree on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: 2 So, so--3 right, we agree on that. So, I'm asking you to go 4 back to your agency to see what you can do about it, because clearly not every school district, 5 regardless of what their stated policy may be, is 6 7 implementing that. Because, you know, I mean, 8 Councilman Weprin is still here. I mean, it is all of our experience. I mean, his kids are going 9 10 through it right now, my kids went through it over the, over, you know, the past years, they're 11 12 recent, you know, graduates of New York City 13 schools. Every parent knows, every parent who's paying attention knows, every teacher knows, and 14 15 every principal knows, that there's an excessive 16 amount of, of stress and test prep going on in the 17 City of New York. And clearly, since the statistics matter so much to the gentlemen who 18 19 were sitting in this table before you, they're not 20 going to stop. So, someone's got to stop them, 21 sir, and I'm asking you to take that to your 22 superiors in Albany, and maybe they can do it for 23 us. 24 I will do that. IRA SCHWARTZ:

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER:

Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Mr. Fidler,

3 actually I want to jump in on what you just said.

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You're like

5 chomping at the bit, ready to jump.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: That's right, it just, just drives me cra--I mean, Mr. Schwartz, you're right, the test prep is not good for the long term health of education, but what it is good at is short term getting right answers on And a good example of that is, you know, I'm, I probably wouldn't be an attorney today if it wasn't for, for a test prep company. I really wouldn't, I took, you know, for the bar exam, you go to Barbery [phonetic] or you do Peeper [phonetic], there were different type of people, and they teach you how to get the right answer on They'd teach, they taught you how that that test. question's going to be asked and how the answer, it can be found without even knowing any of the information. It was great. And it worked. And that was, that is what they're doing to our students now. I had, I had a third grader, he's grown older now, but he got, he got a Stanley Kaplan packet home, and one of the, one of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advice they gave the eighth grader was, "If the answer choice says always or never, it's the wrong answer." Now does that help my child long term into being ready for college, as the Department of Education was saying? No, it just helps him get the right answer on the test, 'cause probably right, if the answer choice says, "It's always this or--it's probably wrong." But that doesn't help long term. But it does help short term. And unfortunately most of the test prep that I see going on is being done for that purpose, not to bring our students up long term, but just to make sure they get the highest grade possible on those So someone can say, "Look how much better tests. our schools are."

agree. And one of the things that the State
Education Department is working on is improving
the nature of the tests. So there's shouldn't be
tests where somebody can simply give you a little
technique that is independent of any skills or
knowledge that you're attempting to assess. And
by using that technique, you can get the correct
answer. That is why we're trying to move over

time, we're part of the consortium of 26 states,
that's seeking to move to the next generation of
assessments, move away from dependence and over
dependence on multiple choice questions, over time
moving to assessments that are much more real
world skills and that are ones where if students
are being prepared for them, they are being
prepared exactly for the skills that we want
students to have.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Well, hear, hear, I have to say, and this, the final point about my, my Barb--my Barbery experience, is that as great as they were at teaching me the right answer on that test, I wouldn't want it, I wouldn't want them to be the professor I had to teach me tortes or constitutional law or anything else, 'cause that's not what they were good at. And unfortunately, and I see the head of the Teacher's Union sitting here, we're going to lose a generation of teachers who are out there learning how to be Barbery instructors and not professors.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Mr. Schwartz, you were here when I asked the Deputy Chancellor

whether or not he thought there was too much test prep going on. And he, he answered based on the answers that he gave earlier, which was a long explanation and the bottom line I said, "Is there, yes or no?" And he basically said, "No," if I'm not mistaken. I ask you, you, you were asked that question and basically I'm hearing you're saying that "Yes, there's too much test preparation going on." Am I right or wrong in your basic response in response to my colleague's questions? And I'm not talking about New York City, I'm talking about overall.

IRA SCHWARTZ: I think in terms of my definition of test preparation, I would say that in many parts of the State, there is more than I would desire going on, yes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Appropriate answer. And now more specifically, you're around the State of New York even though your, your office is downstate. Where does New York City stand with respects to test prepping compared to everyone else? Is it like number one in the City, in the State of New York as far as test preparation time or what?

2	IRA SCHWARTZ: No, I, I really
3	couldn't answer that question, it wasn't, you
4	know, one of the things that I was looking at when
5	I was observing things throughout the State.
6	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Understand.
7	Is it possible I can get that response from the
8	State Education Department?
9	IRA SCHWARTZ: We can try, I don't
10	know whether we would really have the, you know,
11	empirical data to be able to make that kind of
12	judgment.
13	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay, we'll
14	follow up on that. Now, let me ask, I have two
15	final questions and we'll move on. In the State
16	Education Department's data PowerPoint. Why do
17	you compare scores for the big five cities against
18	the total State scores rather than the rest of the
19	State, excluding the big five? So we can get a
20	assessment, as far as the rest of the State.
21	IRA SCHWARTZ: Well, typically,
22	the, the big five is considered to be, you know,
23	peers to each other, and the, the districts that
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Is it because
25	they're mainly black and Latino?

the responses has helped you more so in carrying out your duties and responsibilities as the

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2		MICHAEL	MULGREW:	I'm	not	going
3	into politics.					

4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Wouldn't have 5 a chance against that guy, anyway.

MICHAEL MULGREW: No. First I'd like to thank the Borough President for deferring, I appreciate that. And thank you, Chairman Jackson and all the Members who are here today. I'm not going to read my testimony, you're going to hear about all these numbers from all different people who have already testified and people who are going to testify. It's, it's very sad that we've gotten to this point. And when I hear, you know, for two years now, we've been saying we have a problem here in New York City. We are doing too much teaching to the test. The NAEP scores are clear that our, our achievement is not moving forward. And the City would try to, the Department of Education would try to refute what the NAEP scores were saying. Now they're trying to use the NAEP scores to defend themselves. it's almost as if we're in a surrealistic situation. But what's really bothering the teachers and all of the people who are working in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the schools, it's not so much, "What do these tests mean?" it's that how much attention is being focused upon the tests? We understand the public's need for accountability. And we accept that with open arms. But we also know that when the education experts, when people who design tests are telling us you should not be using them to the degree in which you are in New York City for making decisions, that to us is what the real problem is. You talk about test prep here. Yes, it is going on throughout the City. We call it drill and kill. And yes, it is not teaching new teachers what they need to know about pedagogical skills that really will enhance students' learning. We also have test prep that is going on throughout, I hear, teachers now are not getting curriculum, teachers are being given standards and then are being given skill sets that a child needs to understand, that will allow them to succeed on a standardized test. This is why we started seeing the gap growing between the State test scores and the NAEP scores, because the NAEP does a much thorough measurement of real learning compared to what the State test scores were doing.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I give Commissioner Steiner and Chancellor Tisch all the credit in the world for bringing in Dr. Koretz, and having him do an audit and analyze the test. And one thing that was clear, he said, "The tests, as they are currently structured in New York State, are invalid to be used for any high stakes decisions." And that is not out there in public being discussed. Now, we are in a process of changing the structure of the test, that's going to take a couple of years, so that there are things that are included that will help in terms of problem solving, critical thinking, things that you do not now have being measured inside of our standardized tests. But this continued focus, and the Department of Ed's unrelenting belief that what they are doing, by measuring schools and students almost solely on standardized test scores, is the real problem with the tests. So, you now have schools that are going to be measured in a progress report. 85 percent of that progress report is based upon the student's performance in the school on standardized tests. The very test that Dr. Koretz from Harvard said are invalid for this very use.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yet we are continuing this practice. We're going to continue this practice even though everyone we--in this analysis, it says, "We need a different type of test"--we're going to continue down this So last year, when on the progress reports, when 92 percent of the schools received and A or a B, 87 percent A, everything was great. We didn't believe it. We were public and said, "There's something wrong, you're doing it too much on standardized tests." And now this year they have to change their progress reports, only for this year, because they realize because of what happened in the tests when they moved the cut score, is that all of the schools would now receive D and F. This is a game. We're not supposed to be playing a game with our children's education. And that's what the problem is. Now, we agree that a student's performance on a test score is a valuable piece of information, and is something that we should look at when we're evaluating schools and teachers. But we also agree it has to be one component of a multiple measurement system. What we're saying now is, that a child who does a science project, a book

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

report, that that is no longer part of their learning, because we're not measuring it. And we have to get back to that, because that is what will make a difference. So this is the struggle that we have as we move forward. Are we going to continue with a fail educational policy? That is going to push children into test prep factories. Or are we going to go out of our way to do the right thing, to come up with a holistic education strategy, that will really help children learn? So for the last two years, thousands of parents were told that their child did great and was being promoted. And then this summer, thousands of parents were told that your child is now being left back. Many more than ever before. those parents, I have nothing but sympathy. But at the same time, I as a teacher, I always look back on the two years before where all the children were being promoted. We had, we got rid of social promotion, but we were promoting almost all the children. And those children now are grades ahead and very far behind, because we were using a standardized test to judge them. And that is really the crime here. Because there were

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

children who should've been receiving more services, who should ve had academic intervention, who should've been going to summer school. And they weren't allowed to do that because they were passing tests that were not up to the rigor they were supposed to be. And then people will say, "We just have to make the test harder." It's not that simple. That's why the experts are telling us, "Do not use it for the sole purpose of making these decisions." It has to be multiple measures. So when a teacher enters a classroom, they're not given a set of skills to teach a child so that they're successful on a standardized test. They're given a real curriculum that it will allow them to teach real learning to a child. speaker before me, from, Mr. Schwartz, he was correct. Children will learn, when you teach them a real curriculum, the tests will take care of themselves. And children who don't do well fine, we will design support and strategy to get them there. But what we're doing now is just playing a game with the children of this City and State and it's just wrong. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me

2.0

2.3

thank you on behalf of the people you represent,
but also giving us your perspective with respect
to the 2010 test scores and, as you call, "drill
to kill" teaching to the test. Now, you heard Mr.
Schwartz talked about in his opinion, and these,
I'm paraphrasing him, that he feels there's too
much teaching to the test, test preparation
statewide.

MICHAEL MULGREW: Mm-hmm.

Were not here when the Deputy Chancellor said that, I asked him a very direct question, was there too much test preparation going on? And his response was, an answer, no he didn't think it was. And so, as the President of UFT, I heard you saying there's too much test prep going on in our, in our system. Is that correct?

MICHAEL MULGREW: From my opinion,
yes. And I could, both of them were probably
telling you the truth, because you're talking
about an ideological difference here. You're
talking about a group of people at the Department
of Education who believe that a child's grade on a
standardized test score equates to real learning.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that is their ideological bent. But those of us who are in education who are teachers, and all the educational researchers will tell you, that a child's performance on a standardized test score is only a portion of real learning, and should be looked at that way. So when you ask them from the Department of Education if there's too much test prep, their answer should be no, because to them test prep equals good test score equals real learning. It's an ideological difference that we're always going to have. We think we owe a child a lot more than the ability to perform well on a standardized test in their education, which is why you hear so many things about college and career ready, which is a major issue we're dealing And I'm very concerned when the graduation with. rates come out soon, and will be in next month, I believe, when they now have to start showing the numbers on college ready students. And I, it's, and I'm very, very concerned what those numbers are going to say.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I asked the question of the Deputy Chancellor, and I don't know if you were here, based on the higher

2.0

2.3

standards that are being placed, based on the
higher grades that students have to achieve on the
Regents, the five Regents exams.

MICHAEL MULGREW: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And based on the funding levels that have been cut at the Department of Education and the State Education Department, I asked whether or not, in his opinion as the Deputy Chancellor, whether or not the graduation rates in his opinion are going to increase or decrease. And I ask you that same question. Realistically, no nonsense.

MICHAEL MULGREW: Realistically at this point, I have a concern that they will decrease but there has been an emphasis on a thing called "credit recovery."

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, you and I know and I'll leave it to my colleague Lew Fidler, credit recovery is a joke. Credit recovery is a, is a scam, that basically is robbing our children, in my opinion, especially when you read in the paper where in order to get credit recovery for a course, kids are basically the ushers at a basketball game. That's not

out here. 'Cause I know that Lew would jump on anybody on this subject.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Well,

[laughs] I, you know, I think we can all agree

that doing a diorama of the Battle of the Bulge

doesn't mean that you know United States history.

So, and I'm not, you know, we did hear [laughter]

we did hear from Mr. Schwartz that—oh, no, sorry,

from Mr. Thomases, I believe—that there's a new

protocol for, you know, for credit recovery,

that's supposed to standardize and collect data.

So I guess we'll see in a year whether or not

they're doing it as much as I think so. So, in

your opinion, and from the respective teachers,

how much credit recovery is going on here? And

how much is it affecting graduation?

MICHAEL MULGREW: It's, there's a lot more going on than ever before. You could make up your credit, if you sat for the class you did the seat time. And then you failed the class at the end of the year. And then, you would have to meet with a teacher at least once a week, and come back every other week with a body of work

that was assigned to you. And that would be
checked off through a plan that had to be
submitted every semester as a high school teacher.
And the agreed upon work, scope of work, would
have to be submitted to the Administration for
approval. So, it was a rigorous process. That is
no longer the process. And this has been a
subject of debate now for the past three years.
And it has gotten worse. But at least now at this
point they're going to have to report on it. It
is now something, how do I know it's out of
control? Because everywhere I go people are
talking about it. And before, years ago, we had
these programs, but it was small, and it was self,
and it was contained in a way, that a lot of
students would not engage in it because it was so
difficult. So, now that you, now the fact that
everywhere I go, people talk to me about credit
recovery, means that it's gotten way out of
control, and we've all heard the horror stories,
and about what they've been doing to just hand
credits to students.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And are you familiar with the new protocols? Or have teachers

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you.

We are now paying for an expensive tutoring class for him, on the presumption that's going to assist him, and I say very proudly that my son is attending an ivy league school, he's been four semesters dean list. And he's still taking this class. And obviously we're doing it because we believe, and he believes, that test prep is going to increase his score. He's getting his education at the University of Pennsylvania. He's getting his test prep at a test prep class. And that is a distinction I think our friends at the Department of Education are losing. And I would, I would also say that the real problem here, beyond test prep, is the reliance on statistics as the Holy Grail.

MICHAEL MULGREW: Mm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: And they, you know, yes, they, you know, statistics measure some things, but not everything. They've taken the human element out of this, I think they've taken creativity away from teachers, as well. And I would just say that when, when you make statistics the Holy Grail, and put people's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

livelihoods and existence, and ability to continue to work, dependent upon the test results of others, not only are you putting an undue stress on them, but you're, you're asking for trouble. [laughter] And I'm sure our colleagues at the New York Police Department are probably dealing with that same dynamic right now. All right? You know, we've heard a lot about it. So, I honestly, you know, I disagree ideologically with the Department of Education. I know you do, too, I agree with you completely in that respect. I would just add one other fact, another way that they're using test scores. I joined with you and a number of my colleagues in suing the Department of Education--

MICHAEL MULGREW: Mm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: --on how, which they closed schools. And I actually had a relatively interesting meeting a couple of days ago, with a team from the DOE, to talk to me about the change in process for closing schools, 'cause they probably just came out with a new set of proposed regs. Well, closing schools depends on the test scores. You get three Ds in a row on the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for us.

2 progress report cards, or 85 percent statistical, they're going to, they're, you know, you're going 4 to, you know, they're going to close you.

> MICHAEL MULGREW: Mm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: that's, that's about as draconian a decision as one could make for an educational institution. Life and death. All right? And they're using the flawed test scores for it. And I think we have a major philosophical difference, I think most of the Members of this Committee have expressed that, and you know, clearly something's got to give here, and I, I'm not optimistic that under this Chancellor, under this one man system, that we're ever going to change that here. And that's why I'm glad Mr. Schwartz is still sitting here in the hopes that the powers that be in Albany will do it

MICHAEL MULGREW: And the Mayor said, was once quoted as saying, "In God we trust, everybody else bring data." My response is, if you're looking at the wrong data, then we have to pray to God for the children.

> COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Right.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and, and the Members here. I know that the

Council Member was just talking about how proud he

is of his son; I'm proud to say that Little Ruben

is in Boston College, as well, and I saw him

yesterday, so I'm reenergized now.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: [laughs]

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: I got a chance to see my son for the first time in a month. I just want to say this, then, I'm going to read my testimony, but before I get into it, I want to say that while much has been said today on standardized testing, I've been speaking about standardized testing for a very long time, as a member of the New York State Assembly, as a member of its, for over twelve years, as a member of its Education Committee. I've always said that one size does not fit all. There are members of this audience, namely a good friend of mine, Don Friedman, who is here. He can attest to that. However, I'm not the Assemblyman anymore, Mr. Chairman, I'm the Borough President of a Borough of 1.4 million Bronxites, the wonderful Borough of The Bronx, which I call God's Country, and I just wanted to commend you and the Members of this

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Committee, for holding this very important hearing today to discuss the past year's shocking results on the New York State Reading and Math Tests. I do want to speak about tests today. I'm hopeful that this will be the beginning of a process that gets to the truth, as you said earlier, on what caused the drop in, in scores and also examines what has happened in both the State and City level over the past several years. Last year, Mr. Chairman, in math, 82 percent of third through eighth grade students in New York City were deemed proficient. 82 percent. However, this year that number has fallen by 28 points to an alarming 54 Reading scores also suffered the same percent. dramatic drop, as last year's 69 percent proficiency rate fell by 27 points, to just 42 percent this year. Recently, the State Education Department has requested that the New York City Department of Education, the DOE, develop a corrective, a corrective action plan for our English language learners, other known as ELLs. The Bronx numbers for ELL students on both the State math and ELA test, the reading test, are at crisis proportions. 41.1 percent of Bronx ELL

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students score a Level I.

3 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: 44.1.

4 RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: 44.1. 44.9

percent are at Level II; 10.2 percent at Level III; and only .8, Mr. Chairman, at the highest level of proficiency, Level IV. In math, 26.8 percent of Bronx ELL students were at Level I; 49.3 percent at Level II; 19.7 percent at Level III; and just 4.2 percent at Level IV. general response has been given by, that has been given by both the New York City Department of Education and the State Department of Ed, is that students are still doing as well as they did last year, but that the measurements used by the State have become more rigorous. However, education experts that I speak to, and you, if you listen to them, and I know you have, have questioned whether we have just reverted back to the standards that were lower over the past several years. experts feel that there have been a deliberate reduction in scoring which lea--which has led to astronomical gains in both reading and math in recent years. Plain and simple, Mr. Chair, in the short answer portion of the test, the raw scores

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were lowered. In some cases dramatically. need to understand why and how this has happened. If you would turn your attention to the last sheet on the package on my testimony, I just want you to see something, there is a table. I don't know if many of you had a chance to see this, not many people have this, this information. But I've included this, and this is--this sheet contains the entire grade three through eight ELA cut score and raw score comparisons from 2006 and 2009. this sheet you will see dramatic drops in raw scores, where in some cases the score is almost cut in half. For instance, turn your attention to 2006 grade five, the, the scores on the top are the ELA. You see the grade five Level II raw score was a twelve. Did you see that 2006 raw score, grade five, Level II was a twelve. So, you needed to get, in order for you to be a Level II in 2006, you needed twelve correct responses, in the ELA, the short answer portion of the exam. Ιf you look across to 2009, that was lowered and dropped to nine, from twelve to nine. 2006, if you look, math are the scores at the bottom, grade three, Level II. So grade three,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Level II, you see the raw score in 2006, was 17. In 2009, that number was dropped, if you look across to eleven. Now, when you look at this, it raises a lot of questions, I know that I have a lot of questions regarding this issue, and I urge this Committee to pursue those questions. instance, why did former State Department of Education Commissioner Mills lower these cut scores? Did the Board of Regents, who are his boss, or who were his boss, approve of this decision? It has been stated to me and my staff, and I have the, my Director of Education, Jessie Mohican with me here today, that the New York City Department of Education was aware of these reductions as early as 2008. If so, Mr. Chairman, then why did they continue to promote these tremendous gains in terms of our test scores, when they knew that the State had in essence lowered the bar in New York City, in New York City? Well, in New York City, schools, based on these results, have closed, have been closed, bonuses have been awarded, and students have received or not received additional help as a direct consequence to these tests. The results of these tests raise

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one core question: What is truly the state of our education system? And this is amazing because why isn't the Commissioner of the State Education Department here testifying? Why isn't the former Commissioner of State Ed not here? Where is the Chancellor? We have a, a political race for Governor and everyone wants to talk about the different personalities of the candidates. are we focusing on the issues of education statewide? Teachers, Mr. Chairman, and the President of the Teachers Union was just here, have been forced to teach to a test where many of them felt that this test was invalid to begin The Mayor is going around today with his with. Education Nation, talking about how wonderful and how much of a miracle in education he and the Chancellor and the DOE have done here in the City of New York. The whole nation is watching him today. And yet, we see that these numbers are telling a different story, and we see that in areas of New York City, in many areas, still our children are failing. And this is coming from someone, as you know, Councilman Weprin, who voted for Mayoral control when I was in the Assembly,

because I wanted accountability. And the Mayor
goes around today talking about, "Hold people
accountable, hold people accountable." Well, Mr.
Mayor, you should be held accountable, as well.
Many have argued that we should not play the blame
game or look into the past, but instead move
forward. I feel that this type of rhetoric is
hypocritical, especially when over the past eight
years we have had an education system that has
prided itself on accountability. And we have
based major reforms on this premise. We cannot
just have a selective few accountable and give
those in the positions of power the free pass.
This is unjust, and I, and I would ask this
Committee to not allow this to happen. I was also
happy to see that the State Senate Education
Chair, my good friend Suzi Oppenheimer, has agreed
to hold a hearing on this issue, as well. But I
believe, Mr. Chair, that the magnitude of these
findings require that hearings not only be held or
occurred in Manhattan, but throughout the State,
and we have written her a letter with this
request, and maybe this Committee can also join me
in those efforts and in that request. Chairman

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jackson and the Members of the Committee, I would also ask that you consider holding hearings throughout the City so that in all of our boroughs, every single parent, student, community member and educator who wants will have the opportunity to voice his or her concerns and get to the truth of this situation so that we can truly move forward. Members of this Committee, with more students scoring at Level I and II than reported in previous years, we also need to have a detailed plan from the City's DOE as to when, where and how these children who are failing will be receiving the services needed to get to the grade, to get at grade level and beyond. Borough of The Bronx, as well as all of the residents of the entire State, deserve a full and detailed response as to what has truly occurred I am confident that this Committee will aggressively examine these issues. I know you, Mr. Chair, and all of your years of advocacy, I know your heart, I know many of the members of, if not all of the members who are here today, but the members on, on this panel and this Committee, and I know that you all want what's very, the very

best for our children. So, I urge you and the rest of the Members of this Committee, and the entire New York City Council, in helping me call on the former State Education Chair, Commissioner Richard Mills, to testify at the State hearings and in future hearings for this Committee, as well as Board of Regents members, as well as our Chancellor of the, of, of the City DOE, Joe Klein, and the Deputy Mayor on Education. I thank you for the opportunity to allow me to share my thoughts, and I commend you for this hearing.

Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Borough

President Ruben Diaz, Jr., let me thank you for

coming in and testifying on this extremely,

extremely important issue. And obviously you are

fully aware of how important this hearing is, and

examining the test scores of 2010, and the results

that it will have, the impact it will have on our

children. And you gave the statistics on ELL

students, but obviously you know that you can put

the statistics on all of the students of The Bronx

and I would hope that the statistics are not the

same, I would hope that they're better, but

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

overall the statistics citywide are not good. And in fact, we said that we're in an educational crisis. And our colleague from Staten Island, Vincent Ignizio asked why, why wasn't the Chancellor at this hearing today? And the response was that, you know, if we ask him to come to hearings, or ask him, you know, then he may But we said that he should not have to be come. asked to come to this hearing. That, that he should have been here knowing that this is an extremely important issue for all of the children in New York City. And I don't know if you were here when I asked the question on whether or not the waiver that was given by the State Education Department to all of the local educational districts, New York City being one, the right to, if they so desire, to only require students that have scored at level one, academic intervention services. Whereas last year, it was all children that scored a Level I and II were required to receive academic intervention services. And now, the State Education Department is basically granting districts the waiver that they only have to grant Level I. Now they can grant Level II or

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Level III, but consider--considering realistically the budget situation, and I just think that's appalling. I clearly believe that all children that feel that they need academic intervention services, or their parents, they should receive it. Do you have an opinion about whether or not, what is the need in your great borough, as far as academic intervention services for the students that you represent, knowing that, at least to my knowledge, that the poorest congressional district in the United States of America is in the South Bronx. And knowing based on the need there, I would think that we should be providing academic intervention services to all parents, their students, who need it or who request it.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Well, that's a good question, and there are a number of things that we can do in The Bronx. Obviously, when you look at ELLs, and you, there are too many schools who should have classes and, and instruction for our ELL students, who are in one way, shape or form, figuring out how not to have 'em in their school buildings. And this is something that we have to look into. There're, there're a number f

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ways that this is happening. When you look at our ninth graders, who are, they're in, after the first semester in high school, failing one or two courses, statistics show that in your first semester in high school, as a ninth grader, if you fail, particularly our black and Latino men, when you fail more than, than two courses, there's a likelihood that you're not going to wind up finishing your high school career, that you will drop out. And yet there aren't resources so that principals and educators could intervene at that level. We should have parent academies. our parents, when they say there's, there's no parental involvement, the reason why there is not parental involvement is because we see parents that a) either feel like they're not being listened to, but the overwhelming majority of parents don't know how to disseminate and decipher. It's difficult for some of us who are in elective office, who have staff, who have experts around us, to look at formulas, funding formulas and, and grading formulas and try to disseminate that. Many of our parents don't know how to do that and so we have to give them that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mechanism. But for me, before we get to any of that, Mr. Chairman, we have to look how is it that we get the City DOE and the State DOE to admit that there's a problem? And you, you can't get some, you know, a somebody who's a substance abuse abuser to get healthy, unless they're willing to say that there's a problem. Here we clearly have a problem, and we should not allow for New York City to, to point the finger at the State, for the State to point at DOE. Collectively, they need to, I think that they've been in cahoots with each other. Collectively, we have to see that there's been a watering down of our test scores, that the tests have not gotten harder, that is a farce. What happened is that we just went back to standards that should've been there to begin with, and the City knew about it when the State did it, and the City decided to still pound themselves in the chest and say, "Look at what a great job that we're doing." We have had a problem with the way that our tests are being, are graded. We, our kids are being lied to. And before we go into what is it that we have to ha--what is it we have to do, in any particular borough or school

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

district or school building, we have to come to, to a place where we have the powers that be. Chancellor not being here today, that is wrong. The fact that the Mayor, who wanted Mayoral control, is not here today, that is wrong. why we gave him Mayoral control. The fact that we have the former Chair, Commissioner of the State Department, who is not at these meetings, who has not been called, who is not going to be called to the State hearings, that is wrong. Somebody, somebody dropped the ball here. Somebody knew that it was wrong to drop the, the standard of the, of the tests, and yet everyone turned the I think that the Mayor has been blind eye. allowed and been let off the hook, he's been off, let off the hook by the media. If this was happening in corporate America, and this type of shenanigans would've went down, somebody would've been fired, somebody would've been held accountable. And yet, this right here is such a big issue, and it's so, and it's so blatant, and it's just amazing how the, the Commissioner, the former Commissioner's not even held responsible to this. It's amazing how we know that the City DOE

25

2	knew that these scores were watered down. And
3	yet, they go out there and say, "What a wonderful
4	job they're doing." What's even scarier, Mr.
5	Chairman, is that there are other municipalities
6	in the United States who are looking at Mayor
7	Bloomberg and what's happening the City of New
8	York, and they want to create the same type of
9	model, they look at it as a paradigm for their
10	educational system. And they better watch out.
11	And, and today, he's on TV once again, and yet he
12	has not been held accountable. So before, I mean,
13	I could give you a number of different ways that
14	we can better the education system in The Bronx
15	and the City of New York, but first we have to
16	shape things up at DOE and the State Department of
17	Education before we get to that.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me
19	turn to our colleague, former State Assembly
20	Member, now a City Council Member, your former
21	colleague, Mark Weprin.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,

Mr. Chair.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Who I think is a wonderful attorney, by the way.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Aw, thank

you, you need counsel? [laughter] It's very good

to see you, Mr. Borough President, nice to see you

again. And I, I really do appreciate your

testimony. It's so frustrating for us, and as a

parent, and you as a parent, these last eight

years have drive me crazy because so much of

everything that has gone on in the school has been

based on these test scores. And as you pointed

out, it's all been a farce.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Really it's all been a farce. It's all been smoke and mirrors in order to try to make people look good. And I believe the, the problem is, is that we're using these tests not to evaluate children, students, as much as we're using them to evaluate everybody else: teachers, you know, principals, chancellors, commissioners and mayors. And that's not what the tests are supposed to be designed for, that's what Michael Mulgrew said. Those tests are not designed to evaluate those factors. And there are so many other ways we could hold teachers accountable, by having, watching them

teach, god forbid we do that. Watching them teach, do evaluations of questionnaires, of experts coming in and watching them teach, while looking at the class work and what work is being done. And hold them accountable. We're all for accountability, don't get me wrong. Bad teachers I'd like to see move on. But this is not the way to judge them. So, what I'd like to ask you to do, Mr. Borough President, being one of the most dynamic leaders I think we have in the City of New York.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Thank you.

I think has an amazing future here in this City, you have the ability to spread this word better than a small country Councilman like me, out from Eastern Queens. [laughter] And I feel like Don Quixote sometimes, 'cause I talk about his all the time, and I want parents to know, they don't have to take this. We don't have to have standardized tests be the way everyone's going to judge your kids. How about they start learning instead of that? And in my school district, there's no question over the last eight years that the

schools have gotten worse. And I wish more people would've said that. And there's no question in my mind they have learned less in my schools now because of the emphasis on standardized testing, reason number one; because they stopped teaching anything else beyond the standardized test. And your, your testimony here today says it's the same in The Bronx.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: In what's one of the most underachieving school districts. So we're on the same page. So, I would like you to continue to talk about this, 'cause people listen to you.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: I certainly will continue to talk about this. I have for a very long time. And I think when you look at all of the wonderful things that are happening in The Bronx, everything that we're doing in terms of the Green Economy and the infrastructure there, you know, so many wonderful things that, stories that, that we can go on and on about The Bronx. When it's all, all said and done, in order for our borough to have a solid, solid future, it's about

having people want and feel like they want, they
want to stay there. And people make those
decisions based on the educational system. People
make those decisions based on how they feel their
children are going to learn. And so for me, this
is personal. For me, I want to see folks in The
Bronx stay there, raise their children, and then
when those children get a wonderful education, for
them to stay as well. So I'm going to continue,
whether it's in The Bronx, whether it's in your,
your borough of Queens, or Brooklyn, or Staten
Island, and today I'm in Manhattan. I'm going to
continue to speak loud and clear. And I'm going
to go up to Albany and let them know that there's
a problem, somebody needs to be held accountable,
people knew about this, and they're not going to,
and they should not get away with it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you.

RUBEN DIAZ, JR.: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, Mr.

Borough President, let me thank you for coming in.

I've concluded, based on what you've testified,

and we've said it earlier, that education,

education in New York City is in a crisis. Do you

25 welcome--

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 246
2	ERIN MCGILL: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON:
4	representing Borough President Scott Stringer.
5	ERIN MCGILL: Thank you. I want to
6	thank members of the SOS Coalition for letting me
7	step in their place, to meet time constraints.
8	Borough President hoped to be here and couldn't
9	make it because of the, the delays in his
10	schedule.
11	MALE VOICE: Wednesday, yeah, you
12	know, [laughter]
13	ERIN MCGILL: I will be submitting
14	testimony formally and just reading excerpts of
15	the Borough President's testimony on his behalf.
16	I would like to thank Chairman Jackson and Members
17	of the Committee on Education for holding today's
18	hearing on state test score results for 2010. For
19	the last eight years, the DOE has made State test
20	scores the cornerstone for gauging their
21	educational accomplishments, and used them to
22	determine everything from student promotions to

school report card grades, school closings and

teacher bonuses. We've watched students math and

ELA scores rise rapidly and the DOE boast to City

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

students and families of the immense measureable progress they had made. This year, the New York State Education Department raised the bar students must meet on math and ELA exams to be deemed proficient, after testing experts determined that the exams were deeply flawed, and more than 100,000 students who believed they were on a path to success, suddenly learned that they instead were woefully unprepared. 82 percent passed math last year, compared with 54 percent this year; and last year, 69 percent passed reading, compared with this year's 42 percent. Special education students and English language learners saw their proficiency levels drop from an already distressing low of 35 percent to below 15, and the achievement gap thought to have been closing turned out to be as wide as it was eight years These are difficult and extremely painful realities to absorb, particularly for the students and families who were assured that ever rising test scores meant a new and promising future. The DOE's response on the whole has been underwhelming. DOE consistently reminds us that New York City is still making progress, compared

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the rest of the State, and that for years it has called upon State Ed to raise standards. And the DOE has stated repeatedly, "We know we are not where we need to be, " which begs the critical question, still unanswered, "Then how are we going to get where we need to be? And where and when are we going to get there?" Accountability in this Administration has remained fixed at the school level alone. If a Wall Street firm issued exaggerated reports and purported artificial gains, misleading thousands of investors, State and federal authorities would launch serious investigations, as they have, and people responsible would face severe punishment. We now face the educational equivalent, except instead we have, instead of lost funds, we're looking at students lost potential, and the loss of their future achievement and success. Now is the time for solutions, not spin. Parents deserve action from the DOE, including an effective, comprehensive and clearly laid out plan for struggling students and schools with high concentrations of over-performing students, support for struggling students by maintaining the

requirement that students who score below Level
III be given academic intervention services for 37
minutes a week, the names of central DOE staff who
will be responsible for ensuring that students in
schools receive adequate support and necessary
remediation, and a clear explanation of how the
DOE will handle policy decisions based around
flawed testing, including around student
promotions, school report card grades, school
closings and financial bonuses for school staff.
The DOE should recognize its responsibility to
make meaningful changes and meet this challenge
head on. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So Erin, you basically summarized and/or read part of the statement of our Borough President, Borough President Scott Stringer. I ask you the same question that I asked Borough President Ruben Diaz, Jr. In your opinion, as the representatives of Borough President Scott Stringer, are we in a crisis with education in New York City? Knowing all of the stuff that we know with respects to the reconfiguration of the test scores and everything else.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ERIN MCGILL: I believe that that would be an accurate statement, yes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Because, I just need to know whether or not I'm out there on our, we're out there on our own, or whether or not the other people that represent, that are elected by the people of New York City, the Borough President of Manhattan, 1.8 million people; the Borough President of The Bronx, 1.4 million, so that's over 3.2 million people right there. we're in agreement that, that education is in a crisis here in New York City. And if the other Borough Presidents was here, I would ask them the same thing. Because I get the impression that the Department of Education doesn't believe that we're in a crisis. Or, and they have said, I think, that this didn't start just a couple years ago, but it's been going on for decades. But I do know that Mayor Bloomberg has been in office the same period of time that I've been in office, and he asked for Mayoral control, and he got it. And so, quite frankly, I do think that the people of our great City expect results. And the result that we're getting is not what we expected. Am I right

2.0

or wrong in that assessment?

concerning that, I think it's very concerning that people were led to believe that everything, that we were on the right track, and that kids were going to have a bright and promising future, and now it clearly looks like there is something different from that happening.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me thank you, I don't know whether or not you sat in through most of the hearing, you did, so you got to hear and see everything, which is good. And what capacity are you with the Borough President, if you don't mind?

ERIN MCGILL: I became the Borough
President's Education Policy Analyst last
December.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. Well, then I'm glad that you were here, sitting through and heard every--all the testimony, questions and answers that would hopefully that help you focus a little bit more as his point person on education.

ERIN MCGILL: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you

25

2 very much. 3 ERIN MCGILL: Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you. 5 MALE VOICE: Thank you, Erin. CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you. 6 7 For the record, we received testimony from the 8 Advocates for Children. And for the record, we received testimony from David Bloomfield, 9 10 Professor and Chair of the Education Department at the College of Staten Island, CUNY, and a former 11 12 President of the Citywide Council on High Schools 13 and elected parent body. And next we're going to hear from Jose Gonzales from CEJ, the Coalition 14 15 for Educational Justice; and SOS, Save Our Schools; and from Micheline Edwards of SOS from 16 17 the Grand Concourse in The Bronx; and Minerva Morales; and Evelyn Feliciano. Please come 18 19 forward. And whoever wants to begin first, you 2.0 may begin. Identify yourself, what position 21 you're with, with the organization, and may begin 22 your testimony. 23 MICHELINE EDWARDS: Good afternoon,

MICHELINE EDWARDS: Good afternoon, my name is Micheline Edwards [phonetic], and I'm here today with the Parent Action Committee, from

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Bronx and CEJ, to support the demands to stop the high stake policy decisions that are based on test scores. My daughter Tyese [phonetic] graduated from eighth grade in June. She was really excited and looking forward to high school. On her second day of high school, they kicked her out saying that she was still registered in her old middle school. She was devastated, and I was furious. I called everyone, including the principal, the superintendent, the Chancellor, the Mayor, even the President. But nobody was helping me. It breaks my heart to see my daughter out of school for this long. Finally, I came across CEJ in the news and I got some good advice from the Parent Action Committee, at the new Settlement Apartment in The Bronx. They helped me to get my daughter in a great school. We spoke with the superintendent, which in turn was able to obtain a dispossession letter from the Executive Director of The Bronx enrollment. The letter stated that she had been promoted to the ninth grade. ecstatic, only to receive a phone call later that day from the principal telling me he was not letting, not to let her in the school and that

2	there'd been some type of misunderstanding.
3	Misunderstanding, I beg to differ. There are
4	thousands of students just like her stuck between
5	two grades because of this messed up testing
6	system. That's why I'm here today. I agree with
7	the Save Our Schools demands, especially about
8	suspending high stake policy decisions that are
9	based on test scores, until there is a reliable
10	system. Promotions should be based on many
11	factors, not just test scores. Some know the
12	material, just don't perform well on tests. In
13	addition, holding children back just because of
14	the test can deeply affect their morale and
15	attitude towards school. Luckily, my child is
16	dying to go to school. If she has to repeat the
17	eighth grade, sit through the exact same classes,
18	this didn't prepare her for the first time, how
19	would that affect her? What she needs to be is in
20	the ninth grade, where she belongs. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.
22	Is your daughter here?
23	MICHELINE EDWARDS: She's right
24	here.
25	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I made that

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

assumption, but I didn't want to assume, I wanted
to ask. So, I'm going to listen to the other
people, but is she in the ninth grade now?

MICHELINE EDWARDS: No. They just pulled her out of school on Friday, stating that they made a mistake.

8 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: It's 9 incredible. Next, please.

JOSE GONZALEZ: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Jose Gonzalez, and I have two children in PS 73 in High Bridge, located in The Bronx, District Nine. And I am a former PTA President of the school, I'm a Parent for United Friends of High Bridge in New York City Coalition for Educational Justice, CEJ. I'm very disappoint in the low quality of education that my children are receiving in edu--in New York City Public School, not just because, not because my principal, but because of the way that Mayor Bloomberg and the Chancellor Klein are conducting the public education. The New York test score are a clear signal that the Department of Education has failed to give a well-rounded education to our children, and prepare them for colleges and

I saw the test score of my two children, 2 3 and I was very shocked to see how their test score went down in 2010. Also, one of my sons is one of the 11,000 children who didn't pass the grade, and 5 have to, to repeat it, the, the third, third 6 7 grade. My child was in fourth grade last year, 8 and in 2009 he's performing level at in ELA was close to Level II. But this year, he fell to 9 10 Level I. And in math his score fell from close to Level IV down to Level II. My school is also on 11 12 the Joint - - Team, the - - team least, which is mean that it is at risk to be, to be, or being 13 14 closed. My school is working hard, parent, 15 teachers and - - support together, to make a better education for our children. But it 16 17 shouldn't be in our shoulder. We need more support and more help from the Department of, of 18 19 Education to make it work. This is why the Save 20 Our School, or SOS, Coalition is actually asking 21 the Department of Education to ensure academic 22 support for all students at Level I and Level II, 23 like my son. Also, we want comprehensive support for the most struggling school, like my school, PS 24 25 73, so we can have college preparatory curriculum,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the best teachers, social and emotional counseling, and all the support. We also want DOE to stop all policies based on test score, like closing school and giving out school bonus until we create a better accountability that is more reliable and balanced. These test score are a disaster, but the DOE seems to do not take responsibility for it. They should account--they should be accountable and open a serious conversation about this topic, that is important for the life of our children. And later for ending this statement, Deputy Chancellor was talking about the parent - - program, I will say that most of the, of the parents in New York City, they don't know what level their children are. But also, but also I will say, I will say that we need more support to the parents because most of, most of the parent have the knowledge to go into the computer and check what is the level of the child. And that's why I call and I urge you, to the Committee, Mr. Robert Jackson, Mr. Robert Jackson, is that if we got, if we can get the support for the Department of Education to send not through the school but send the test score to

2	each child in a public school education to the			
3	house of each parent, because they know to be,			
4	they know to know what the level of their child,			
5	they are right now. And also, that will make, you			
6	know, that, that also will let them know in which			
7	way they can work together to, with the teachers			
8	and, and in the school. Thank you. [clapping]			
9	MINERVA MORALES: Good afternoon,			
10	my name is Minerva Morales, and I'm the mother of			
11	Carson Regis [phonetic], a sixth grader and			
12	Montall [phonetic] Science Technology Academy.			
13	Last year, Carson was a student in PS 306; in			
14	2008, my son Level III in ELA and in mathematics			
15	exams. In 2009, my son was so excited for the			
16	hard work he paid off and his math score went, his			
17	level went up to IV. This year, after the change			
18	and all the test scores, Carson's scores went			
19	down, both in English and Math exams. He, he went			
20	down to Level II in English, and in math in Level			
21	III. DOI'm sorry. Children shouldn't be upset			
22	if their scores went down. That they should be			
23	more motivated to do better. Well, he was very			
24	upset when he learned about his test scores.			
25	Imagine thinking all is well and then finding out			

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it isn't true. Parents and children all around the City are in the position now. At least those of us in organizations who knew to go to our RIS [phonetic]. We keep hearing that the DOE is not releasing scores to families. Maybe they think if we don't know the truth, we won't be upset. City Council must demand the parents receive their children scores immediately. My son and I are both really worried about his education. He's been asking me how he will be able to know that he's making progress in his school. He needs to go to Level--he's already been a little worried about moving, I'm sorry, into middle school from elementary school and now he's extra stressed and just not being sure what kind of education he's getting in New York City public schools. As a parent, I am trying really hard to get him an extra service he needs. I'm trying to get him afterschool programs, maybe get him some tutoring, but I don't think I should have to do this alone. The Department of Education should really be taking this more seriously and helping students like my son who's self-esteem has been affected by this test scores. I am doing everything I can to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

2	help my son, but we need the Department of
3	Education to help us, too. Thank you, I'm sorry,
4	T'm nervous

5 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

6 No, you did fine. Next, please.

7 EVELYN FELICIANO: Hello.

8 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Hi.

EVELYN FELICIANO: My name is Evelyn Feliciano. I am a single parent and an active parent leader with the Coalition of Educational Justice. I am very disappointed with the new test scores because my son John Feliciano is not on track for success in life. immediately checked the ARIS site and was horrified to discover that my son's grade level had dropped to a Level I from a II regional Level He attended The Bronx School of Science Inquiry and Investigation, also known as MS 331. He is now attending HSCA high school. But he's with a low self-esteem. His school, the middle school has dropped from having a 81 percent of students on level in math to a 26 percent. hasn't received the support he desperately needed in the school. He had no official special ed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

teacher. He was placed with sixth, seventh and eighth graders in one class. My son received test scores home tutoring twice a week this year, and passed with a 77 percent. My son said the State test given was not based on anything that he's learned. The number of special education students citywide who met State standards for English language arts went from a depressing 35 percent to 13 percent, which makes me very angry. Accountability begins with Chancellor Klein. legacy has been built on inflated test scores while our children were not learning. I am here today to speak about changes, because the DOE needs an emergency action plan to keep these kids, like my son. Why do we need an emergency plan? To make sure that the more, to make sure that the more than 100,000 additional students who did not meet State standards this year get tutoring and other support services. To make sure that 50,000 additional students who like my son are in Level I this year, get intensive support so they can get on track to graduate high school and go to college. To help the 369 schools like my school where more than two-thirds of the students are

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

below grade level. And 150 schools where more than a quarter of students are at Level I. I am part of the coalition of parents, education advocates and elected officials called Save Our Schools, SOS, that has proposed three important actions. First, we need intensive services to help all Level Is and Level II students. Two, the DOE should suspend for one year all policies based on these test scores until we can create a better accountability system. Third, we need a comprehensive support for the most struggling schools so they can provide the rigorous curriculum, excellent teaching and social and emotional support that kids need. This is an emergency, it is a crisis, and Chancellor Klein acts like its business as usual. Like you see he's not here. This is our plan. Chancellor Klein, what is your plan? CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me thank all of you parents for coming in and giving testimony. And I, I don't know, did you sit through the whole hearing? Did you have the opportunity to hear all of the questions and responses? Now, of all of the parents, can you

just come up to the table, I have a question to
ask all of you, I want you to answer. You heard
me ask the question about whether or not parents
are receiving their children's test scores, and
they said it was available on ARIS as of August
15 <sup>th</sup> . So how many of you of the four parents that
are here, and obviously you are active parents,
because you're members of CEJ and members of SOS.
How many of you have gone online to see your
children's test scores as per what the Deputy
Chancellor indicated. Now the four of you that
testified, you're right in front of me, raise your
hand if you've gone on the computer to see your
child's test scores. So, three out of four of you
did. Okay? [background voice] Okay. Question
to you, okay, did you have help navigating that
DOE's website, or you knew how to navigate it?
MICHELINE EDWARDS: I knew how to
navigate it.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You do.
Okay And how long have you been involved with

Okay. And how long have you been involved with CEJ and SOS? In essence, before your involvement in CEJ/SOS, did you know how to do that then?

Question. Okay, all three of you said yes. Okay.

2.0

2.3

2	And how many of you out of the four of you have
3	computers at home, raise your hand. Okay, so all
4	four of you do. With internet access. Okay,
5	okay. You know, I'm trying to be real, trying to
6	assess the situation. Now, I, I do believe, based
7	on what I heard, that you believe that we're in an
8	educational crisis. Am I correct?

PANEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay. And do you believe that the Department of Education, you heard me ask the question, based on the new rigorous standards, based on the increase in number of Regents Exams and the higher grade that our children have to receive, based on all of that, along with reductions in budget and what have you, I ask the question, do you believe, I asked that of the Deputy Chancellor, the graduation rates are going to increase or decrease? I think his response was--

PANEL: Increase, he said increase.

Increase slightly. [crosstalk] On track to increase.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Increase slightly, if they're doing their job. I'm asking

you what's your opinion based on everything you know, based on the fact that from what all of you said, not all of you, the reconfiguration have thrown most of your children from either Level III or IV down to Level II and I. Do you believe that graduation rates are going to increase or decrease in the future, based on what you know? You can ask, ask, answer individually. So, just identify yourself and respond. Go ahead.

EVELYN FELICIANO: My name is

Evelyn Feliciano. There's going to be more high school dropouts. What's happening now with the middle school, the high schools, going back to middle school, that's making the kids want to not learn, they don't want to keep on if this is the way they have to, you know, live. Like this young lady over here, it's not fair to her that she already went to high school, and then she's back down to middle school. So, I don't think she wants to repeat the middle school, I think she wants to probably, "Oh, well, I'm not, I don't like school no more, so--" I kind of just, decrease.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Jose

Gonzalez.

3 JOSE GONZALES: Well, in the way 4 the, I think that, that in the way that the 5 Department of Education is conducting all the system without being so truly without being so 6 7 open to other, you know, to the parents and 8 community, because if you see that some of the changes of regulations, you can see that, that in 9 10 the - - the parents power is like, like, is not really huge, it's something like, you know. 11 12 and if we, if we don't get to know more - - to the 13 parent, more resources that they can help their children at home, and working together with, 14 15 within the school, but having people in the school 16 that have the accountability also, to give the 17 resources that the parents need, I think that we're going to continue watching more dropout 18 19 from, from, you know, this young, youngster. 20 also, we're going to, with this test score, we're going to see in the future that we're going to 21 22 have more children in jail. That's what we'll, 23 that's what we're watching. If we did, if we 24 don't have the DOE Department, and the Chancellor 25 and the Mayor accountability with this, this is a,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	this is a mess and this is a crisis. And I think			
3	that in the way that the Deputy Chancellor that			
4	was speaking is like as political, it's not as, as			
5	a, as a Department of Institution, it's			
6	something like protecting just, you know, the			
7	center office. And I think we're going, we are in			
8	the crisis, and, and as you are committee and			
9	you're, I know very well, you, I mean, Mr.			
10	Jackson, and, and all the committee, all the			
11	Education Committee here, you, you're doing a			
12	great job supporting the, not the, not just the			
13	parent, but the realistic thing, in of, of			
14	this mess.			
15	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.			
16	Minerva, do you have any comments?			
17	MINERVA MORALES: Yes, I do. Can			

you repeat yourself again, I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: I was asking whether or not, based on the reconfiguration of the scores, based on the higher Regents standards, based on the overall reductions in funds and all of the things that are happening, do you feel that the graduation rates are going to increase or decrease? The same question that I asked the

2.0

2.3

Deputy Chancellor.

MINERVA MORALES: Decrease,
definitely. If the school doesn't fix this crisis
right now, it's not going to get any better. We
need to fix this, so then we can see the kids
graduating.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And mother, I forgot your name again, please. McLean?

MICHELINE EDWARDS: Micheline.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Micheline.

Come on, give me, what's your opinion.

MICHELE EDWARDS: I feel that it's going to decrease, being that this is more like a epidemic, basically like a plague that's wiping through the City and states as well. I think that unless they find a remedy in terms of how to take care of the problem itself, then we're just going to plummet. The children are not going to want to learn, the kids are going to get very dissatisfied and not happy with school. I don't think that children, once again I'm stating over what I said before, I don't think that children should be based on their test scores. I think that it should be based on their overall performance in

yourself? What did you say to your mom? Did you cry or what?

25

2	TYESE EDWARDS: I was depressed			
3	that I wasn't in school for a few days, after I			
4	heard that I had to go back to middle school. I,			
5	I didn't feel like I wanted to repeat the grade,			
6	after I had passed my classes and everything. So			
7	I felt like it was unfair that I had to repeat the			
8	grade again. Well, not again, like, over.			
9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And so your			
LO	mom is trying to get you to, to enter high school.			
11	TYESE EDWARDS: Yeah.			
12	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And you got a			
13	letter saying that, "Yes, you can go to high			
L4	school," and then your mother said that they got a			
15	phone call later saying, "No, you can't go." It			
L6	seems as though based on what was described by			
L7	your mother, you know, that, the left hand doesn't			
18	know what the right hand is doing. And that the			
L9	whole system is like in chaos. I'm not trying to			
20	put words in your mouth, but what do you think?			
21	[laughter]			
22	TYESE EDWARDS: Hm. I think it's,			
23	that's crazy, 'cause they said that I could go to			
24	the ninth grade and then that same day they said			

I couldn't. I didn't, I don't understand.

2	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: And are you
3	in school now? No. That's not good, you know
4	that, right? Well, I, well, we've asked Jan
5	Atwell, our Policy Analyst to get you information,
6	and I'm going to work with your mother and SOS to
7	try to get you in school. That's where you
8	belong. [applause] I want to thank SOS and CEJ
9	and the parents that came in to give testimony,
10	because obviously the people that testified
11	earlier, the Deputy Chancellor, the Director, the
12	Assistant Director for Mr. JoJonas, Mr. Jonas
13	has children in school also in the public school
14	system. But the bottom line is that it's people
15	like you that are parents, with children in the
16	system that we want to hear from, in order to give
17	a realistic view, on the ground, as to where we
18	stand. And you know, we had asked questions
19	earlier about the achievement gaps, between blacks
20	and whites, and between Hispanics and whites. And
21	you heard your Borough President, you live in The
22	Bronx, right?
23	MICHELINE EDWARDS: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You heard
25	your Borough President Ruben Diaz, Jr., give

2.0

2.3

2	testimony and gave the statistics as far as
3	English language learner students. And the
4	terrible statistics. And so that clearly shows
5	that we're in a crisis. And I believe you all
6	agree that we're in an educational crisis. Is
7	that correct?

PANEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me thank you all for coming in, representing yourselves, representing your children, representing SOS and CEJ, and young lady, thank you for coming in with your mother. We're going to try the best we can to help you in your educational academic achievement, okay?

TYESE EDWARDS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you very much. For the record, we received testimony from Luisa Ponce [phonetic] a parent, and for the record, we received testimony from Esparanza Vasquez [phonetic], a parent leader of New Settlement Apartments Parent Action Committee, and the New York City Coalition for Educational Justice. And next we're going to hear from Leonie Haimson of Class Size Matter, and Lisa Dolan--

2.0

2.3

$\hat{}$	T T C 3	DONTE ANT.	D 1
2	LISA	DONLAN:	Donlan.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: --Donlan, of CE--CEC 1, member, and Donald Friedman, Time Out for Testing. Please come forward.

[background noise]

LEONIE HAIMSON: Hi, I'm going to go first and be very brief 'cause my husband is leaving the country in 45 minutes, and if I don't get home he's going to kill me.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: No, we don't want that to happen. [laughter]

Haimson, I'm Executive Director of Class Size

Matters. Thank you so much for staying with us
and holding these important hearing. I just

wanted to make three very quick points, that the
presentation that the DOE's made, showing rising
scale scores on the State test scores is
completely unreliable because as we all know, the
State tests have gotten easier over time. So
there's no way you can look at any evidence from
the State test scores for any evidence of
improving achievement. You have to look at the
NAEPs. And as people have said already, the, the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

progress in New York City on the NAEPs has been very, very slim. I want to look at specifically how our black students have done, our Hispanic students, our poor students, and our non-poor students. And I looked at those separate subgroups, and I looked at where New York City stands now, in comparison with other cities, across the country, as, and compared to where they stood in 2003, when Joe Klein first implemented his policies. The relative ranking of New York City black students fell in every grade and subject tested, between 2003 and 2009, compared to other large cities. In fourth grade math, they were in second place in 2003; they fell to third In fourth grade reading, they were tied for third place and fallen to fourth. In eighth grade math, they were in third place and fell to And they were in second place in, in eighth grade reading, and fallen back to third. Similarly, Hispanic, New York City Hispanic students have fallen in every single category compared to the rest of the nation. In fourth grade math, they were tied for third, they fell to fourth. In fourth grade reading, they were in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first place in 2003, now they're in third. In eighth grade math, we were in third place, they fell to seventh place. In eighth grade reading, they were in second place and fell to sixth place. Now, Suransky claims great progress for low income students, but the reality is different. Our free lunch students already ranked number one in their average NAEP scores in 2003, but had fallen behind in three categories out of four by 2009. And for non-poor students, New York City has by far the worst record of any City in the nation, in terms of their average scale scores on the NAEPs. fact, New York City is the only city in the entire nation where our scores of non-poor students are lower now than they were in 2003. The only city in the country tested on the NAEPs. Now they talk a lot about their graduation rates and some of the problems with that have been explained here today. But not all of them. High school staff still score their own Regents and the practice of scrubbing to raise those scores and principal changing those scores is not only allowed, openly allowed, but encouraged by DOE. Practice of credit recovery, we've talked about that, that is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a severe threat to the quality of education, more so than anything else that's happening right now in the City, more so than the dumbing down of the State tests. Now high schools are becoming diploma mills. And this is why I wanted to make the point that I believe graduation rates are going to go up, not down, because they are fixed. The actual level of achievement in this City is going down, but the graduation rates are going to go up, because the Regents are fixed and because credit recovery is inflating very, very, you know, low performing schools that have had graduation rates of under 50 percent, they're going to go up to 75 percent or more. Because of credit recovery, and because not all the kids who are discharged, which is the last part of my statement, we're still seeing incredible numbers of kids discharged from high school. So, I just wanted to emphasize that the lesson of this is that test based accountability systems do not work to improve achievement. Even though at this very moment that you were holding these, these hearings, our Mayor was on national television saying what a great success we're having. And the

2.3

2	Gates Foundation and NBC and Foundation are
3	holding up these sorts of policies for the entire
4	nation to emulate, and in fact imposing on the
5	rest of the country these kinds of policies, even
6	though parents and teachers don't want them. So,
7	thank you very much, and you can check out my full
8	testimony, but thank you again.
9	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, thank

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, thank
you, and get home, I don't want your husband
[laughter] we need you as an advocate in
education, we thank you for your stats. And I
guess time will tell on whether or not the
graduation rates increase or decrease, but clearly
credit recovery is a huge factor in helping kids
to graduate from high school. And hopefully, I
think that you're wrong, I think that test scores,
or graduation rates are going to go down. But you
know one thing, I've been wrong before.

20 LEONIE HAIMSON: Let's make a bet.
21 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So we'll make

a bet.

LISA DONLAN: Yeah, right, public, public bet.

25 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very much. Okay, next please.

LISA DONLAN: Yeah, hi, I'm Lisa Donlan, I'm the President of CEC 1, which is the Lower East Side and East Village of Manhattan. I'll try and make this quick, because I do appreciate that you're staying. And I'd like to thank the Committee and Chair Jackson for once again holding hearings that allow the public and our elected representatives to question the DOE. Because as you know, that's not something we get very much chance to do. And as you also know, from this testing debacle, it's very clear that under Mayoral control, there really is no accountability. I've been to plenty of hearings that look actually just like this by the time I speak, and we know over and over again that nothing changes. And there are never any consequences to the people who make the decisions and the policies. Today we learned, for instance that the DOE has no plan to address the systemic failure that they've created over the last eight years, and their failure to provide a quality education to all children. They have no plan to create equitable access to quality schools, they

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have no plan to close the achievement gap, they have no plan to support the students and the families and the schools that have been failed by the system that they've created. And they have no plan to create an assessment that actually measures real teaching and learning. The only plan we heard is the usual passing of the accountability buck. That's right, passing it back to the schools, to the teachers, and even to the students and their families. While we also increase class size and cut their budgets. for this simple reason, I have prepared a resolution, a sample resolution that I would love to present to this Committee and perhaps this Committee could present it on to the rest of the City Council, and that I will be working with to pass on to for consideration to see CECs, PTAs, SLTs and other parent bodies, where I'll go into my demands in a second, but I just really want to say that if we don't change the carrots and the sticks, nothing will change. We have to remember the definition of insanity, I think that's what we're experiencing here, collective mass insanity. We keep doing the same things over and over and

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, thank you, and we're glad to have that resolution to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take a look at.

3 LISA DONLAN: Yes, you have it.

4 You should.

5 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Next, please.

DONALD FREEMAN: Yeah, good

evening, my name is Donald Freeman, I'm from Time Out For Testing. I'm also a retired New York City high school principal, which puts me in a very strange place in terms of Shael Suransky and Josh Thomases, whom I actually know quite well. And I have major issues with some of the things they said today, undoubtedly. I'm also by the way a member of SOS. You heard a lot of inflated talk today, about inflated test scores. Dan Koretz does a study, he's a Harvard professors, and what does he come up with? Tons of inflation. Leonie used the word "unreliable." That's the kind word, these tests are worthless. They are unreliable to the point we can depend on them for nothing. And you're hearing from these poor kids about the fact that they're being held back, they can't go to high school because of worthless tests. I don't know what to do about it, but I have to tell you it's very frustrating to hear it. Professional

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ethics in the testing industry. Standardized tests should never be used to make high stakes decisions, ignored by the DOE, ignored by the SED. These kids took a high stakes test, they were left back, despite the fact they have portfolios, that in all likelihood showed they should've been promoted, which is why they sent them to high school to begin with. City Council Weprin, his kids have been hurt by the tests. Suransky answers, "Well, we're developing much more complex assessments." I'm going to give Shale his due, they are. But until you get rid of the high stakes test at the end, you've accomplished nothing. As a principal, I will tell you if I had that burden, I'd be telling my teachers to teach to the test. So that hasn't disappeared. Shale can do anything he wants in terms of developing assessments, you don't get rid of the high stakes nature, nothing changes. I want to support what Leonie said about the, the NAEP. believe that Josh said that New York City outperformed all the other large cities in the country. That's blatantly untrue. I have the feeling at that point Josh was just nervous and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

needed to say something, but that's not real at all, so let's get that off the table. What should assessments look like? I go to Mike Mulgrew, multiple measures. We need to have a look at the quality, the level of the materials the kids are reading, we need to look at the kinds of things they're writing, you need to look at their ability to research and the quality of that. We need to look at the math projects they're doing. We also need to have a look at the kinds of science experiments they're doing, that kind of stuff, the in-depth work that comes out of a classroom, and then add on to that a standardized test as a minor part of that to inform the rest of it. I also want to go back to one thing, and I promise I'll be quick on this, 'cause everybody's probably dying and want to go to sleep. [laughs] Including Robert Jackson and Don Freeman. is in on the Regents Exams. The fix is on the three day tests. Why? Because they are now setting the cut scores after the kids take the tests. That's a no-brainer. I want to make sure all the kids pass, and everybody does really poorly, I just set a lower cut score. That's how

2.0

this game is now being played. And that's how we
ended up with grade inflation. My good friend,
and I do mean this literally, Ruben Diaz, actually
put out a document that shows that what they kept
on doing is dropping the cut scores. Well,
they're doingp

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Dropping the

9 | - -

DONALD FREEMAN: Yeah, they're dropping them and dropping them and dropping them, and looking better and better and better. Sal Stern wrote an excellent article where he talks about Rick Mills actually making a unilateral decision to drop cut scores and then going out to the press and saying, "Look how well we did."

This should be a wakeup call for all of us, this is dangerous stuff, hurting our children, hurting our teachers, destroying our schools. And I thank you for your patience in listening to me.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So, Dan, what do you, what do we do about it? What, when I say "we," you as advocates, you're here, you sat through an entire hearing, you're testifying, parents came to testify, you heard City Council

2	Members ask questions, responses. What do we do
3	about it?
4	DONALD FREEMAN: I think one of the
5	first things we need to do is get the word out,
6	and you can certainly help us do that, along with
7	other Council Members. I was amazed at the unity
8	today that I heard here. Word needs to get out
9	about the games being played. I also think we
10	need to get back to the State Legislature, and we
11	need to have a conversation with them about
12	getting rid of Mayoral control, which has been a
13	total fraud. It's, it's a stacked deck.
14	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Now you said
15	that you're a retired principal.
16	DONALD FREEMAN: I'm a retired New
17	York City high school principal.
18	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: You're here
19	also representing Time Out for Testing.
20	DONALD FREEMAN: I'm representing
21	Time Out for Testing and also SOS.
22	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: SOS. My
23	question to you is that if in fact you were an
24	active principal, would you be here today saying
25	the same things you're saying now?

2	DONALD FREEMAN: I might be crazy
3	enough to do that. [laughter] Okay? I mean,
4	I've taken on the State Education Department as a
5	principal in the past, not the DOE. So, yeah, I
6	probably would.
7	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, as you-
8	_
9	DONALD FREEMAN: Oh, but you know
10	what, very few would.
11	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Yeah, I think
12	that you andyou preface your response, if you
13	were crazy enough, you probably would be here.
14	DONALD FREEMAN: Yeah, no, I took
15	on the SED on a number of occasions.
16	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Good. Well,
17	let me thank you both for staying the course,
18	listening to the questions and answers and, and
19	giving your testimony which is very, very
20	important to us overall, because then listening to
21	your testimonies, then we know that we're not
22	crazy. [laughter]
23	DONALD FREEMAN: You're not.
24	[laughs]
25	LISA DONLAN: You have our

believe it is. 24

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Not afternoon 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any more.

3 SUSAN CRAWFORD: [laughs] Okay.

them before the DOE testified.

And thank you for staying the course, as well. My testimony is essentially some notes I wrote and email to Jan this morning, hoping you might see

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Just identify yourself for the record and - - please.

SUSAN CRAWFORD: Sorry. Susan Crawford, District Three parent, also founder of the Right to Read Project. And so, you'll have that to look at on paper. The only thing I especially want to call to mind while it's all fresh in our minds, is it's my understanding there's supposed to be something called a "promotion in doubt" letter, sent to students at the end of January, if they are in danger of being held back. And it's my understanding if they never receive that letter, that no test should get in the way of the fact that they were doing well enough in school through the end of January to not have gotten a promotion in doubt letter. And I just stopped, I forget, Tyree, Tyese, I'm not, I can't, Tyese. I stopped her mother outside and I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, if you, if she never got a promotion in doubt letter, I'm not sure this is even legal. But we need to find out what the legal standing is. I'm going back in history on that. And so you'll have the rest of what I had written this morning on, on paper. I just want to respond to testimony as I heard it today, just briefly, a few things. Steve Koss [phonetic], who works with Leonie on the Parent Blog, just did some, crunched numbers and came out with some figure like only two percent of students are passing, are getting that algebra score that they're saying students need to do well in high school, in college. would just say, until this DOE comes up with a different math curriculum, you're never going to see good math scores. It's a dreadful math curriculum. And if instead of going through all these gyrations every year or two, they simply looked at the 209 schools they gave a waiver to, and emulated their curricula, we wouldn't be having this problem. Why was it decided to give a dumbed down curriculum to all those other schools? And that's a question that CPE and CEJ are now asking, and they're right to ask and they should

demand that they have the same curriculum, there's
no reason that they shouldn't. Another way to
come at this whole issue of the students being
held back is that under Section 504, it is the
school's responsibility if a child is struggling
to come forward and say, "You need help, you need
to get the help, we need to give you the help."
And for the State to give a waiver to schools and
say, "You don't have to help them," is completely
inappropriate and also probably illegal. And I, I
just want to point out that I believe it was, oh,
it was Mr. Schwartz saying he wanted to focus, the
State wanted to focus on interventions at
chronically low achieving schools, but not on the
chronically low achieving students. I mean, those
are my words. And that unless and until people,
the academic intervention services are targeted to
student beyond 37-and-a-half minutes a week, we
will not see any change in these test scores.
Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Thank you 23 Susan. Next, please.

RODNEY DEES: Good afternoon, Councilman, Chairman Jackson. My name is--

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Good evening.

RODNEY DEES: Good evening, right?

My name is Rodney Dees [phonetic], I've been at
these Council hearings before. Under full
disclosure, Jan Atwell trained me, 'cause I want

to make sure that's clear.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Okay.

RODNEY DEES: And I think that she did a fine job. I represent parents who couldn't be here today, for various reasons, as you know. At this time of day, parents are running around, taking care of their children, and they can't speak, but I'm willing to speak on their behalf. And I will support the speakers and the parents earlier who spoke about the, the, this scandal, I call it a scandal, with the test scores. really appreciate the Council for having this hearing. And briefly, I want, I want to get straight to like what's--I've been noticing for eight years that this has been going on, this criminal behavior, and the City Council, I want to step it up, I want the City Council Members who might be hearing this testimony. And for eight years I've been looking at the City Council and

4	you ve given chis mayor, mayorar concror, and i m,
3	this is critical emergency right now, and I'm
4	looking at the City Council, they must stop
5	playing games with the Mayor. I'm asking the City
6	Council, stop playing games with the Mayor on
7	this. And this is destroying families and
8	children. And this is really preventing, you
9	know, a good future for our children. And parents
10	do have a right to appeal this. So, I'm asking
11	again that, you know, the, the Council Members who
12	are not here, be, let it be known that I'm looking
13	at the dysfunction at the City Council level,
14	where the heat is not really turned up on the
15	Mayor, and you're letting the Mayor get away with
16	too much of this. It's been going on for too
17	long, and it's criminal behavior. Period, point
18	blank, that's my opinion, and that's speaking from
19	the parents who never get a chance to be heard.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, thank
22	you, representing yourself and parents that never
23	get an opportunity to be heard. Next.
24	EVERETT STEMBRIDGE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: But not

least.

3 EVERETT STEMBRIDGE: Okay. My name 4 is Everett Stembridge [phonetic], and yes, I, a 5 constituent of Robert Jackson, I live in, in But I'm also an educator, I'm a parent, Harlem. 6 I'm an advocate, I've worked as an administrator 7 8 and as a teacher. And I'm currently in court with the New York City Department of Education. 9 10 needed, I felt very compelled to speak because, 11 you know, I grew up in Harlem and I grew up in 12 poverty, and I'm sure as most of, most of you 13 know, it was my education that helped propel me 14 forward. And I was shocked that so many people, 15 leaders, and folks that are responsible for 16 educating our children has allowed the Mayor and 17 the Chancellor to put up this fallacy of student excellence. And, and that this has to be one of 18 19 the greatest travesties in, in the history of, of 20 this State, in terms of education. And it's not, 21 it doesn't appear as though anyone is taking it 22 seriously. You know, I listened to The Bronx 23 Borough President, and he had some very interesting things to say about holding them 24 25 accountable, but it, it appears as though we, we

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

can't hold them accountable, we really don't have any power to do anything. And, and I'm hoping that that's not true, because it means that, I think for eight years, we said that children achieved a level of performance that they didn't achieve. And, and so, not only was the, the students bamboozled, but we were also taken, millions and millions of dollars of, of taxpayers' money, for programs that were supposed to have resorted, resulted in student learning that actually didn't. And the reason it didn't occur, and then this is just basic common sense: cannot improve performance by lowering standards. You improve performance by having high expectations and maintaining those expectations, and then teaching children how to reach them, whether it's through extra help, whether it's through remediation, whether it's through many, many other methods. But, if the target itself is not real, then that means that we spent, and I don't know offhand, but I believe that the educational budget is, is close to a billion dollars, I believe, and--

25 CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: \$22 billion.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EVERETT STEMBRIDGE: Wow. And, and so that means you multiply that by eight, and, and so why are we so comfortable with allowing them to just come out and say, "No, we lied, and, and we were preparing these kids to fail." You know, it, it's a civil rights issue. You know? And, and when you look at the kids who are doing worse, it's the black and Latino kids and, and my right, I can't sit and not say anything, and, and not speak out. And I don't think enough is being done about it. And it, we need to do something very quickly. And, I'm just going to, I'm going to end on that note, because I mean, I could go on and on and on, but I, the thing I want to get across very clearly, is, is the fact that, you know, the, it is, it's, it's a horror story, it's a travesty, it's--it needs to be communicated that way. I don't, I don't see, you know, I don't, it doesn't take rocket science to understand that if, you know, if you, you put the bar down and say, "Okay, in order to be successful, just step over the bar." And everybody just steps over the bar. And then you know, I heard some parents talking about it, and that's very hurtful, you know, how well

their kids thought they had done, and they hadn't really achieved that type of accomplishment. So, we really need to bring the hammer down on them, or they need to accept responsibility for it and stop grandstanding and boasting about the success that they have achieved, which is, is unrealistic, and they're doing it off the backs of our children and the taxpayers of the City of New York. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: Well, let me thank all three of you for coming in. response, I think that this Committee, the City Council Education Committee, even though we cannot make the Mayor or make the Chancellor do anything, we can hold oversight hearings. We can ask them tough questions and get their responses, we can follow up in writing. As I think someone said earlier, I think it was the Borough President of The Bronx, that Suzi Oppenheimer, the Chair of the New York State Senate Education Committee, is going to be holding hearings on this. And also, then maybe Cathy Nolan, Assembly Member that's the Chair of the Education Committee in the State Assembly, she may hold a joint committee hearing,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or may hold a separate committee hearing. I think clearly, as someone said earlier, and Lisa, I mean, that--Lisa, right? Not Lisa.

FEMALE VOICE: Leonie?

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: No, you.

Susan. You or somebody else said that the Mayor had, today, at a press conference, tooting the progress of New York City, and their education achievement. But if the cameras were focused on this Committee, they would tell a different story. And so you do have, you had some several reporters here, you had some cameras here, and I hope that they will share the message, I hope that people will write about it, that people will watch WN-and New York City TV, or the Senate Channel, whoever's broadcasting this, so they can see really the perspective on this particular issue. And especially where children have gone on to high school and now they're going back to junior high school. That's heartbreaking. That's why I asked her, you know, I mean, I guess I may have been crying if I was a student. Now, you're, you know, you're graduating, you're feeling good about yourself, and you're off to high school, and then

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they say, "Uh, uh, uh," like "Don't come
here." That you're going back to a complete, not-
-to, to do over the eighth grade because you
didn't pass a standardized test. That leave a lot
to be desire.

EVERETT STEMBRIDGE: It's criminal, it's criminal.

CHAIRPERSON JACKSON: So on behalf of the entire Education Committee, Aysha Schomberg to my left is the Counsel, Jan Atwell is the Legislative Policy Analyst, Joan Pavolny who was here earlier, and Regina Poreda-Ryan, she's our finance person, she's our point person as far as finance, and Shirley Limongi is our Press Officer, we thank everyone and thank the New York State Senate for allowing us to use this room. Obviously, the City Council is in great needs now, and as you know, City Hall is being rehabbed. we're out of there for at least a year, hopefully we'll get back within a year. And so we have to ask our colleagues at the State level to use their room. Because clearly the importance of this hearing today, with the hearing room that we have on the 16<sup>th</sup> floor and the 14<sup>th</sup> floor, even combined

2	would not have been enough. So, with that, thank
3	you all for Sergeant-of-Arms and the cameraman and
4	everyone else who participated in the hearing
5	today, and we're closing this hearing at 6:32 p.m.
6	[gavel]
7	[background noise, silence until
8	end]

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature\_\_\_\_\_

Date October 22, 2010