CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

Monday, January 27, 2025

Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 12:38 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Hon. Deputy Speaker Diana I. Ayala,

Chair

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Chris Banks Tiffany Cabán Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens Sandra Ung

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE A P P E A R A N C E S

Molly Wasow Park, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Social Services (DSS)

Andrea Reid,
Deputy Commissioner of Prevention and Housing
Assistance at the Human Resources Administration

Reesa Henderson, Chief of Placements at the Department of Homeless Services

Noam Cohen-Weinberg, Representing - Self

Elizabeth Mackey, Representing - Self

Germaine DeLaney, Representing - Self

Diana Ramos, Representing - Self

Robert Desir, Staff Attorney at Legal Aid Society

Timothy Pena, Founder of Veterans Justice Project

William Botchway, Legal Advocate for the Housing Defense team at Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem

Graham Horn, Staff Attorney at New York Legal Assistance Group

Helen Strom, Safety Net Project at Urban Justice Center

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Chris Mann,

Assistant Vice President of Policy and Advocacy at WIN (Women in Need)

Kate Goldmann,
Housing and Planning Analy

Housing and Planning Analyst from Real Estate Board of New York (REBNY)

Eric Lee,

Director of Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York (VOA-GNY)

Gina Cappuccitti,

Senior Director of Housing Access and Stability Services at New Destiny Housing

Steve Behar,

Counsel for New York State Senate; Counsel New York City Council

Juan Diaz,

Policy Associate at Citizens Committee For Children (CCC)

Laura Lazarus,

Co-Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Anthos Home

Christopher Leon Johnson, Representing - Self

Reverend Dr. Terry Troia,
President of Project Hospitality

Amy Blumsack,

Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, good morning, this is a microphone check for the Committee on General Welfare, located in the Chambers. Today's date is January 27, 2025. Recording done by (INAUDIBLE).

(PAUSE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Please take your seats, we will be beginning shortly.

(PAUSE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to today's New York City Council Hearing for the Committee on General Welfare. At this time we ask that you silence all electronic devices, and at no time is anyone to approach the dais.

If you would like sign up for in person testimony or have any other questions throughout the hearing, please see one of the Sergeant at Arms.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: (GAVELING IN)

Thank you. Good morning, everyone. My name is

Diana Ayala, and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New

York City Council, and the Chair of the General

Welfare Committee.

We are here today to hold an important oversight hearing on the Administration of the City's CityFHEPS Program.

The City has a long history of developing housing voucher programs to support New Yorkers in stable, permanent housing. In 2018, then Mayor de Blasio, consolidated a number of such voucher programs to create what is now known as the CityFHEPS Program.

CityFHEPS assists households in the community who are at risk of homelessness or are referred by specific city agencies to avert the need to enter the shelter system.

CityFHEPS also helps households who are already in the City's shelter system to obtain permanent housing.

This program not only provides individuals with vital resources to secure housing, but also provides individuals the opportunity to work with specialists after securing housing to ensure that that housing is the opportunity to work with specialists after securing housing to ensure that that housing is maintained.

We know that housing vouchers are an effective solution to address homelessness and affordability.

And in New York City, the CityFHEPS Program supported over 13,000 households in fiscal year 2024 alone.

However, we are all we also know voucher programs can be plagued with administrative issues, delays, and complications that make them difficult to access and use.

The General Welfare Committee hopes that this hearing can provide a forum to understand the operationalization of the CityFHEPS Voucher Program, including successes, obstacles, and lessons learned.

We look forward to hearing from representatives of the Administration about the operation of the CityFHEPS Program, including current data on how the program is benefiting New Yorkers and where the potential pitfalls lie in ensuring that it is effectively and efficiently implemented.

The Committee understands that the CityFHEPS program is currently the subject of ongoing litigation, but we are here today not to discuss the litigation, but to rather understand the way the program is being implemented and has been implemented since before this Council passed the legislation that is subject to the ongoing litigation.

\_

We thank the Administration for speaking to these components. And I also thank advocates and individuals who are here today that can speak to their direct experience with the CityFHEPS Voucher Program.

I would also like to thank the General Welfare

Committee staff who worked hard to prepare this

hearing, including Sahar Moazami, Legislative

Counsel; Nina Rosenberg, Policy Analyst; Julia

Haramis, Finance Unit Head; Phariha Rahman, Finance

Analyst; Elisabeth Childers-Garcia, Finance Analyst;

Ann Driscoll, Data Analyst; and finally my staff,

Elsie Encarnacion, Chief of Staff.

I would now like to turn it over to our committee counsel to sworn members of the Administration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning, will you please raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

PANEL AFFIRMS

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Perfect, you may begin when ready.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Just one second, I'm sorry, I just want recognize that we have been joined by Council Member Avilés and Council Member Ung.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Good morning, I want to thank Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the Committee on General Welfare for holding today's hearing on the Administration of CityFHEPS.

My name is Molly Wasow Park, and I serve as the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Social Services, which is comprised of the Human Resources Administration (HRA) and the Department of Homeless Services (DHS). I am joined today by Andrea Reid, Deputy Commissioner of Prevention and Housing Assistance at the Human Resources Administration, and Reesa Henderson, Chief of Placements at the Department of Homeless Services.

The City Fighting Homelessness and Eviction

Prevention Supplement rental subsidy, better known as

CityFHEPS, is a component of the larger DSS/HRA/DHS

strategy of providing an integrated continuum of

client services to create a path to sustainability

for low-income New Yorkers. CityFHEPS is the largest

municipally funded rental subsidy in the nation, and

a vital tool in the agency's pursuit to promote
housing stability for all New Yorkers.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Rental assistance programs like CityFHEPS are an essential resource in assisting New Yorkers experiencing homelessness move out of shelter and into stable housing. Developing Independent Living Plans (ILPs) and a strategy to support that plan starts upon a client's entry into shelter. From the issuance of the CityFHEPS Shopping Letter, to the point of move-out from shelter, our dedicated DHS and provider staff are laser focused on connecting shelter clients to permanent housing. That process includes case management, connecting clients with the tools to obtain employment, the work of shelter housing specialists, the DHS apartment search team and the Public Engagement Unit to find apartment leads, and shelter housing specialists and DHS staff who collectively make sure subsidy packages are accurate and processed efficiently.

CityFHEPS also plays an integral role in keeping
New Yorkers at risk of homelessness housed. For
clients in community, HRA's Homebase program serves
as a key pathway for access to CityFHEPS. HRA
oversees 26 Homebase sites across the five boroughs.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Homebase program provides various homelessness prevention services and aftercare support services to families and individuals exiting shelter and transferring to permanent housing. New Yorkers may be eligible for Homebase if they are at imminent risk of entering the New York City shelter system, are lowincome, and want to remain stably housed in their community. Once in the program, dedicated Homebase staff are available in each borough to evaluate a household's specific needs and offer supports such as: services to prevent eviction; assistance obtaining public benefits; emergency rental assistance; utilities and rent payment support to address arrears; short term financial assistance; educational and job placement assistance; and help relocating.

The City's investment in the CityFHEPS rental subsidy, and overall focus on permanent housing placements has proven to be essential in stabilizing the lives of at-risk New Yorkers since the CityFHEPS launch in 2018. FY24 was a record-breaking year for placements from shelter to permanent housing. DSS connected more New Yorkers to subsidized permanent housing than any year in recent history. We helped

\_ -

more than 18,500 households move out of shelter and into stable homes - a 24 percent increase from the previous year. The majority of those households relied on CityFHEPS to move out of shelter. Nearly 14,000 households - a record number - leased apartments using CityFHEPS vouchers last year, reflecting a 42 percent increase compares to FY23.

These record-breaking outcomes were delivered to New Yorkers despite a historic low in the City's vacancy rate. The New York City vacancy rate of 1.4 percent is the lowest since 1968, and when we focus in on the vacancy rate for affordable rental units its is even lower. For households searching for units under \$1,100, the vacancy rate was 0.39. Those vacancy rates have ongoing impacts on securing affordable housing. In the face of that challenge the City and DSS continue to innovate and partner with sister agencies in mobilizing resources to assist our clients.

Recognizing we are in a housing emergency, DSS is leaving no stone unturned in our efforts to connect

New Yorkers in shelter to housing and has embarked on a program to use social service dollars to actually create deeply affordable housing. We announced our

2.2

2.3

ground-breaking Affordable Housing Services program
in the beginning of 2024. Through this initiative we
are fast-tracking the creation of newly constructed
high-quality and deeply affordable housing for
CityFHEPS voucher holder in shelter. The agency will
develop nearly 900 units through this program during
the Adams' Administration. To date the agency has

brought four high-quality sites comprising of 240 units online with most of these units leased up in a

11 matter of weeks.

We understand that CityFHEPS is a complicated and intricate program. DSS is committed to progressively strengthening the program over time. In recent years we have worked to increase subsidy access by reducing work requirements for families with children, eliminating the 90-day rule, and allowing for the use of CityFHEPS statewide.

We have also focused on ways to generate more housing packages by holding providers accountable for meeting exit targets, and training shelter staff on best practices for complete and thorough submissions to ensure housing packages can be expedited to get clients housed as quickly as possible.

24

25

2 And finally, we have invested in technical 3 improvements to help streamline the process. We continue to work to reduce client and landlord 4 administrative burden by improving systems like Access HRA and CurRentNYC. We are streamlining 6 7 workflows and thinking critically about what documentation we really need. Doing so reduces the 8 clients' paperwork and form-filling burden. To give just one example of how we are approaching this work: 10 11 we are currently partnering with a nonprofit, Re!Institute, that has a long history of bringing 12 13 together people with lived experience of 14 homelessness, government and providers to facilitate 15 housing placements for households experiencing homelessness. This is work that they do all over the 16 17 country. They are helping us bring down our package 18 return rate, since we know that when we have to 19 return a CityFHEPS package to a shelter provider 20 because documentation is missing or inaccurate, it adds time to that household's shelter stay. 21 Re!Institute is looking at both DHS and provider 2.2 2.3 workflows to identify ways we can improve.

Process improvement is an ongoing endeavor, as, step by step, we gather input, reflect, and reassess

Э

based on client and stakeholder experience. We are committed to listening to feedback from our clients and stakeholders and exploring what we can make work better - even in the face of a tight affordable housing market and other complex challenges.

Though our focus is CityFHEPS today I do want to highlight that this subsidy is part of a larger landscape of housing subsidies that serve New Yorkers experiencing homelessness and low income households generally. In addition to CityFHEPS, the New York State Family Homelessness and Eviction Prevention Supplement (StateFHEPS), the Housing Choice Voucher Program, also known as Section 8, and New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) housing all serve as important tools in providing crucial aid.

Situating CityFHEPS in a broader policy context, it is important to recognize that other levels of government share the responsibility to devote resources to protecting housing stability overall, and through the avenue of rental assistance in particular. Relatively speaking, our municipal City government, has the least latitude and fiscal capacity when compared to state and federal partners; while we can provide evidence of the efficacy of our

2.

\_

J

2.3

policies and strategies, I would like to underscore the need for further investment by our government partners. Investment in these other housing subsidies is essential to ensuring New Yorkers at risk of housing instability receive as many resources as possible.

Lastly, I want to briefly deviate from CityFHEPS entirely, and flag a time-sensitive issue that will affect many voucher holders and your constituents generally. As of April 2025, households receiving Medicaid must recertify in order to maintain their coverage. The COVID-era extensions are fully exhausted, and it is crucial that Medicaid recipients recertify. We want every eligible household to maintain their coverage, so strongly urge everyone to respond to DSS recertification notices. We welcome the opportunity to collaborate with the Council on getting this message out.

We appreciate the opportunity to testify today and welcome your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I am making myself a note to make sure that I am properly getting that information out.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Thank you.

#### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Commissioner.

2.2

2.3

Can you describe the process in which a family is provided information about their potential eligibility for the CityFHEPS Program and how they would go about applying?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Sure, let me start and my colleagues can chime in.

That looks a little bit different depending on whether we're talking about a household in shelter or a household in the community. So let me do a high level overview of each of them.

So for a shelter... a client in shelter, the conversation about housing should start on day one. The client was going be meeting with their case worker to complete an independent living plan. That's something that they update, every two weeks in most cases. And then Housing Specialists should be, helping to certify that client for any subsidy for which they appear to be eligible.

For clients who meet initial... who are in shelter who meet initial CityFHEPS' eligibility requirements, they'll get a Shopping Letter automatically, and about 75 percent of the Shopping Letters are in fact issued automatically.

If a family isn't, for example, on cash assistance or working when they first enter the shelter, they'll work with their case manager to deal with, uhm, address those issues first, and then as soon as they do meet the standard, that letter will get issued.

For clients in community, it is a little bit different. So many of the people in community, you know, there are many people in community now who have a CityFHEPS voucher. They obviously know about the program if they would like to move, or have changes in life circumstances that they need to make adjustments, or even, frankly, just the annual renewal, that can be done on Access HRA.

For somebody who believes that they are eligible for CityFHEPS, or thinks they might be eligible for CityFHEPS, we have quite detailed frequently asked questions on our website. The, you know, core requirement, there a whole slew of varieties, but through the basic requirement for in community eligibility is imminent risk of eviction and has prior shelter history. And then households should go to Homebase, and then they can move the process from there.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Well, two things about the shelter, process. Is there, like, an internal audit to ensure that folks are getting that information on day one?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So, I don't know that I would necessarily characterize it as an internal audit process, but, yes, we are monitoring our

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

processes and our shelter performance very, very closely, and ex... housing exit performance and subsidized exit performance is one of our key metrics... The key metrics that we look at.

So we have expectations for how many placements each shelter subsidized placements in particular that each shelter should be doing. It is adjusted a little bit based on the population. Right, we're gonna... we're not gonna necessarily give the same targets to say, a mental health shelter and an employment shelter just because the life circumstances of the people in those shelters are different.

But, we give targets, and if they aren't meeting their... those targets, we will put them on a corrective action plan, and we will engage.

Chief Henderson has also recently launched a program that I think is really innovative to provide some extra support to the shelters who are struggling a little bit, so I will let her speak about that.

CHIEF HENDERSON: Thank you Commissioner, and thank you Council Member for that question.

So as the Commissioner said, we're doing a variety of different strategies to help providers

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 set the supports that they need, as well as to hold them accountable.

We just launched a concierge pilot, which is aimed at providing the blueprint for housing to show... to help providers understand their roles and expectations in supporting clients in their journey.

Our staff is embedded in shelters that require more hand holding, and the requirement is to ensure that clients are getting access to information, to support, as well as helping them navigate the system.

We're also providing, uhm, reporting and analytics to help providers zone in and target the clients who are most in need of the services that they deliver.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: We've been joined by Council Member Cabán on Zoom.

How is the Administration sharing information about the new utility allowance?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Terrific question. So, just to take a step back so we are all on the same page, the utility allowance is really a reflection o the fact that utility costs are a part of housing costs. Right? And our desire is that people are paying 30 percent of their income for housing at

1

20

21

22

23

24

25

large, and that includes utility costs. So what we					
have done recently is to - this is about a year ago -					
is to adjust the maximum payment standard is					
adjusted depending on what forms of utilities the					
client is responsible for. So, I just want to pull					
my notes here to make sure I'm doing accurate					
examples here. But, so the maximum payment standard,					
if all utilities were included for a two bedroom is					
\$3,027.00. But if the tenant pays gas and					
electricity, which is a very common scenario, it's					
\$2,885.00. Right? So there is a \$142.00 difference.					
And all of this is coded into our systems. Right? So					
that it is The client doesn't need to know to ask					
for this, that this is set when their voucher is					
getting processed.					
So, in that case, the maximum that the landlord					
can collect is the \$2,885.00. And also varying based					
on legal rent and things like that. But I pretending					

The client is paying 30 percent of their income in rent. So if you theoretically, let's just say 30 percent of their income was \$300.00, they are actually paying \$158.00 of that in rent, and then that \$142.00, that shelter allowance, is available

for the moment that that's not an issue.

1

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for use of utilities. So this aligns with Section 8, the way Section 8 has been doing it for a very long time, those utility allowances are posted on our website, but as I said, this structure has been now codified into our system so that it is processed on an automatic basis.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Council Member Cabán, did you want to ask a followup question on that? Oh, we don't have quorum, sorry. Send it to Alexa.

How many households were found eligible for CityFHEPS vouchers in the previous and current fiscal year? And can you disaggregate by household type?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So the eligibility process for Section 8 for, excuse me, for CityFHEPS is slightly different than the eligibility process for many other benefits where you have a clear cut, I am applying, and then and there is a yes/no rejection moment, you know, as is the case for, say, SNAP or cash assistance.

With CityFHEPS this sort of early upfront and frankly rolling assessment about eligibility. If you appear to pass that upfront, uh, the eligibility assessment, right, you're in shelter, you're on cash assistance, you have some work income, you get the

2.2

2.3

Shopping Letter. At that point, the formal eligibility of processing the voucher happens much later after the client has identified the apartment. But because we've done that early assessment, we find there's almost never a formal ineligibility determination. And if a household is, uh, doesn't appear to pass the initial upfront assessment on day one, we'll do it again at day three, at day five, at day 10, right, as their circumstances change.

So it's much more of an iterative and rolling process, which is a long winded way of saying I don't really have ineligible numbers to give you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm. Do we know how many households were found newly eligible for APS households?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So as my colleague noted, APS is one of the criteria. APS is very sensitive medical information. So while we, it is... the clients can be routed to CityFHEPS because of their APS eligibility. We don't, in their rental assistance data, tag them as APS clients because we're trying to protect the confidentiality of that medical information. So that's another one, I'm sorry, that that I can't add.

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

To give a little bit of context on, so that we do
have quite a bit of data on CityFHEPS, in FY 24,
there were 13,400 households who did lease up with
CityFHEPS. For this fiscal year through December,
there's been 7,100 households that have done so, and
I can, you know, certainly break out that data in
other ways. We have about almost 52,000 households
that are currently receiving CityFHEPS in total.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You said 52,000?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Uh, the exact number is 51,921 as of December.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, hold on. The, okay, I'm just trying to go back to the APS issue because I just, I find it a little confusing.

So how would personal medical information be accessible to anyone if...

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Well, so in order to be APS eligible, you must be so mental... meet a definition of mental or physical impairment that you may not... you cannot manage your own affairs.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Right? So and it is critical that the program staff within our APS team

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 understands that and knows that, and they certainly
3 have data on their clients.

We have a whole other universe of people who are managing rental assistance vouchers. Right? And to know that client "Molly" is physically - seriously physically impaired, they don't necessarily need to know that. Right? So it is important that the APS program staff are monitoring their caseload, and they absolutely are monitoring their caseload. They engage with everybody on that caseload on a regular basis. But the people processing the rental vouchers don't need that medical information on the client.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But I would argue that DSS would need that information, or should have that information, because you're the largest advocate for these types of programs and how we are able to assess whether or not there's a specific need in the aging population that ,you know, we're not able to respond to...

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So we can certainly follow up with information about the APS caseload in general. Absolutely. We agree that there is, uhm, that is a critical vulnerable population.

2.2

2.3

And just to expand a little bit on the

intersection between APS and CityFHEPS, and to speak broadly about APS in general, but there are very specific eligibility criteria for APS in, uhm, that are in state law, right, around physical, mental impairment, ability to manage your own affairs, and

nobody other... else who's able or willing to take responsibility for that individual.

And there is some curtailment of civil liberties when somebody is participating in APS. So it's a very, very specific program without a lot of discretion for the agency. So we process all... we look at all of the referrals that come to us. You know, the fact that somebody isn't... is or isn't qualified for APS is whether or not - it's strictly based on whether or not they meet those state requirements.

There may be many other, you know, somebody can meet their... not meet their requirements for APS and still have other kinds of housing stability needs, at which point we would really engage with them to look at our full complement of can we bring our emergency rental assistance to bear? Do they need assistance with a lawyer? Are they SCRIE eligible? Right?

There's a slew of benefits. So APS is a very narrow slice of it and very constrained by state law, but there other resources for seniors facing housing instability.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I would... I mean, most of the cases that you described to me warrant some sort of supportive housing, not necessarily CityFHEPS where they're living independently after they've had a history of not being able to do that on their own.

I had another question about it. It just left... go ahead. Alexa had a follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I wanted to... I want to back to the question about ineligibility. I know you noted that the process is iterative and can change on a daily basis. But it's a little shocking to me that there is no way for you all to note how many times a person or family, whatever, a client is tagged as ineligible, and what that cycle and where it's happening throughout the system. I would expect the staff is inputting all this information— Is this a technological problem, or is it that that's just not a metric we're interested in in following?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yea, and I understand where you're coming from certainly. But for... by

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

deeming somebody ineligible, essentially, we're

3 saying this is not an option for you. And what we are

4 instead saying for, let's focus on families on

5 households in the shelter system, just because as I

6 say, it does look a little different outside. But for

7 families in the shelter system, you may not... if you

8 | are ineligible for a shop you don't get a Shopping

9 Letter today. Our goal is that you are gonna get a

10 Shopping Letter at some point in the near future.

Right? So, but I don't want to qualify somebody as

12 | ineligible because that feels to me like we're

13 drawing a line under what is and isn't part of their

14 planning process.

So we absolutely know how many... which households have a Shopping Letter and which housing... which households don't have a Shopping Letter. And then our goal is to work with those who don't have a Shopping Letter to try and help them get into that Shopping Letter category.

As of January 20th, there were over 11,000 households in the shelter system with Shopping Letters. So there's also, you know, a big piece of making the CityFHEPS program work is thinking about housing supply. We have a lot of people with vouchers

who are struggling to find places to use them. But, but then with the remaining households that don't have a Shopping Letter, right, I don't wanna... I don't want to label them as ineligible, because that sends, to me, sends the message and to the, you know, very diffuse groups of staff that we're working with, that is... we're crossing CityFHEPS off the list as a resource that that household has available to them when it's not the case.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So how... so it sounds like... it sounds like there's like a soft process happening. And I guess, from the perspective of a client, when you're not given the Shopping Letter, you're like inedible and moving other places without real clarity around why.

So I guess I just would like to understand if the agency is really looking at those, uhm, at those particular moments and looking at the data and understanding why it is happening at that point, to look at trends that could help us kind of, uh, ensure that that the service provision is able to respond to gaps and/or challenges that maybe we're not set up to look at.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So that's a little bit of my concern with this not reporting in some kind of ways where these gaps are happening, because we see the back end of it. We just get people who are frustrated and say, "I'm constantly bumped out. I'm not eligible. I don't understand. I'm, you know, in a hotel."

So I guess I'd love to understand more how the Agency can be more nuanced and assess those particular points in time.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So, and I hear you, and we can, you know, we have been doing a lot of training with our staff. I can ask my colleague here to speak more about the training. And I think one of the things that we should be making sure is that housing specialists and case workers are making sure to communicate with clients about the full spectrum of resources that are out there. Right? CityFHEPS is a big one, and growing. Right? We have grown overwhelmingly in the last several years, but also that making sure that people understand that there may be Section 8 vouchers, there may be NYCHA vouchers, there are StateFHEPS is a resource that's available, and helping them understand that spectrum of resources.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But absolutely, we do look at data. You know, the two primary qualifications for CityFHEPS for those in shelter are receipt of public assistance and the work hours.

And absolutely, we look at data (TIMER CHIMES) on public assistance on a very regular basis. It's important to us for all kinds of reasons. It plays into not only the resources that clients have for their own financial stability, but it affects our ability to claim shelter costs from the State, so it matters very much for the City's budget as well as for CityFHEPS eligibility.

We're monitoring cash assistance enrollment on a shelter by shelter basis. We are doing outreach. We are following up with the providers where it looks like the cash assistance rates are not as high as they should be. There may be a slew of reasons for that. If you have, you know, a shelter with a higher proportion of recent immigrants, it's going have lower cash assistance rates. But we do a lot of follow up.

As my colleague mentioned, we are looking at exactly how many placements people have, how many Shopping Letters that they have, and anytime we see

/

any deviation from where we expect people to be, we will absolutely do that.

CHIEF HENDERSON: I'll just add to the

Commissioner's point that part of the resolution of
this is really making sure that providers are doing
intense case management, focusing on individual
living plans, is key to understand each client's,
journey and options available to them.

We are laser focused on providing real time support to help expedite some of those inquiries, that's part of our natural process.

Providers are expected that clients that are not automatically generated, that they are reaching out and investigating specific issues or circumstances around the client's needs. And our office is laser focused support those decisions in real time as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So in terms of, you noted the shopping letters that you have, 11,000 people who were given Shopping Letters. We understand that there are significant delays in even getting those Shopping Letters and that, I think delays since October.

So how do you explain this current issue of delays, and then where people are kind of not meeting

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 eligibility gaps? So if you could speak to that,
3 please.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: We don't have any systemic delays in our CityFHEPS program, which is not to say that a package cannot occasionally get delayed. But in terms of across the board, we are not seeing anything systemic.

About 75 percent of the letters that get issued are done so automatically. And for the remainder of those, by definition, it is a manual process. So there is more client by client engagement on those circumstances, but we are, uh, we set very clear expectations for what that process should look like. And our DHS program administrators program analysts are following up on a regular basis with sites to make sure that that is happening as it should be.

So, you know, if there's particular instances, or cases that you would like us to follow up on, happy to do that. As I say, you know, the fact that we do not have across the board systemic delays does not mean that there aren't sometimes an issue that, across the board, in a relatively complicated process where mistakes can happen, and we are always there to follow up on that.

Something that that, Chief Henderson has instituted recently is an office hours process where shelter providers and clients can call and get information on a specific package.

So we want to make sure that we have really clear lines of communication across the board so that if there is a hiccup, we have a way to solve for that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: So what's the gap between, uhm, you mentioned there's automatic responses. I guess, in terms of the overall percentage, how many are getting automatic responses, and how many are undergoing this manual assessment?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So about 75 percent of the Shopping Letters are issued automatically. So that's for households that meet requirements that we can that are easily codified within administrative data. Right? So that we know somebody is on cash assistance or and working, or that they are receiving SSI, for example, all of that would generate an automated letter.

And I should say we are perpetually looking at our data. What can we pull in from other systems so that we can increase the universe of letters that are automated? The ones that are not automated are for...

are the other 25 percent are clients who are in a slightly more complicated situation.

2.2

2.3

So for example, a single adult who meets the work hours, they are not on cash assistance right now, but we know that we'll be able to apply for a single issuance case for them at the point in time that they find an apartment. That letter might be manual.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: And are you keeping track of the average length of time that these manual assessments are taking?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Again, because... (CROSS-TALK)

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: I know they're complicated, but it it's an important 25 percent to track if they're taking six months, a year, or, you know, I guess the time frame is important in terms of metrics and moving people along and making sure they're not getting caught.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Right. I don't have a metric from the point in time that somebody requests a letter until that is issued. It is a shelter by shelter specific process. I hear where you're coming from, and we'll look at ways whether or not that's something that is trackable going forward.

### COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2	COUNCIL	MEMBER	AVILÉS:	Thank	VO11
_	COOMCIL		71 V T T T C •	TIIGIII	y O u i

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So going back - So can you tell us how many households exited shelter to NYCHA?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yes, in FY24, 585

households exited shelter to NYCHA.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That was this fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: 585.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. How many households were eligible for vouchers that did not utilize the voucher within the year?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So given this rolling nature of the Shopping Letter process, the within a year part of it is a difficult question to answer.

As I've said, about 10,000 households, slightly higher right now, but in shelter and searching has been a relatively consistent number.

The length of time that it takes to find an apartment with a Shopping Letter can vary really substantially, depending on how specific an individual's needs are. So if you need an accessible apartment, there are fewer of those, and that tends to take longer.

2.2

2.3

Domestic violence survivors, right, who might
have parts of the city that are not safe for that
person to search in, that takes longer.

There's analysis, frankly, that it takes... it's harder to find an apartment in the summer than it is in the winter, because there's more people competing in the summer.

So there's a lot of factors that play into how long it takes to use the Shopping Letter. But we absolutely know that the City's vacancy rate, that incredibly low vacancy rate is hurting our clients. There's no doubt about that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, income discrimination is also hurting your clients.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Absolutely, that is a real factor.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: The agency coordinating with the Office of Income Discrimination to report cases?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Always. Yes. So, we work with the Commission on Human Rights. We will always report cases. I will say, uh, the interplay between source of income discrimination and the tight real estate market makes some of these cases hard. Right?

2.2

2.3

2.3

There are absolutely instances where, you know, people will say no vouchers allowed, and that's very clear cut and black and white. There's also instances where, you know, at a given viewing, there's 30 people there, and 10 of them walk in with cash on hand, and it's harder to say what is income discrimination versus just a really tight real estate market.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm. Can you tell us how many households moved into permanent housing using CityFHEPS Vouchers in the previous and current fiscal years, and can you disaggregate that by household type?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yes. So just for FY24, there were 13,400 households who leased up. And I'm using the "lease up" language very deliberately because that includes both community and leaving shelter. Right? So some of those people will use a CityFHEPS Voucher to stay in the apartment that they were in. So that's why I'm using that "leased up" language, uh, 7,100 households to date.

There are about 52,000, almost 52,000 active CityFHEPS cases. Of those cases, uhm, 25,643 are

families with children; 3,966 are adult families; and 22,296 are single adults.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: And we can certainly follow up with you with detailed data breakouts.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Perfect.

I want to note that we've been joined by Council Member Banks, and Council Member Ossé was here a few minutes ago.

What was the average time between voucher eligibility and move-in into an apartment in the previous and current fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So starting the clock from the point in time that we got the package submitted to us, so that's actually slightly before the eligibility determination, uh, on average it takes about 24 days.

And I am really actually quite pleased to say that this is data that we now are tracking on a regular basis. I've testified about timelines before, uh, in front of you beforehand, and have had to use samples that we manually... that we pulled and did manual accounts. We now have the, uh, I'm now looking at this every single week.

2.2

2.3

So we... it's from submission to move-out is on average about 24 days.

I will fully acknowledge that there is variation around that average. There are issues that happen, uhm, and we take those very seriously, and we, uh, both troubleshoot and use that to inform changes in process going forward.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: When there are issues, what is the I mean, I'm hoping that is... that those numbers are minimal, but what is the longest amount of time that a person has had to wait?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: I don't know that figure off the top of my head, but I would say the most common source of delay is when we return packages back to the provider. Right? So something is missing. Something is inaccurate.

And we know that our... I mentioned this a little bit in our testimony, we know that our return rates are higher than we would like. That is a pain point for us and something that we're really focused on.

We have done an enormous amount of training with providers to try and assist them in in putting together higher quality packages. We are still not there yet, which is why we're going through this 3rd

2.2

party process assessment. Want to be looking if there you know, what are things that we should be changing on our side? Is there are there particular documents, forms, pieces of information that we require that are, that are pain points, you know, there's a trade off.

You know, one of the... we ask for a deed, for example, because there were instances where people represented that they were landlords, in fact, did not own the building, and we paid the wrong person money. Right?

So we do an inspection because we don't want people moving into substandard conditions, but there's always a trade off between how quickly we move and how diligent we are. So we wanna make sure we're getting that right and not asking for too many things. So that is an ongoing and iterative process.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It's a lot of work.

The CityFHEPS Program currently provides the opportunity for voucher holders to receive a month hold on an apartment as the apartment is being reviewed for suitability. Can you provide us with the number of month holds that are sent to landlords in the previous and current fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So the way we're doing it right now, is that we're paying the unit hold essentially as a matter of course unless it is an HPD... the unit is an HPD finance development.

So, at the moment, the unit, uh, number of unit holds roughly aligned to the number of CityFHEPS moves. In FY24, it was 10,274, and in FY25 through December, it was 6,497.

So you, you know, I think there is some association with unit hold and paying for delays on the agency's part. Actually, that's not the way it's being used right now. This is something that we are looking at going forward.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. In IBO's January 2024 report, DSS reported that as of November 23, 115 landlords were fully participating in the current NYC electronic payment pilot, and 800 were able to receive an electronic payment for at least one tenant.

As of December 31, 2024, how many landlords were fully receiving electronic payment for all of their tenants? And how many are receiving electronic payments for at least one?

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So we have gone up; although, there is still a lot of work to do. There's currently 1,500 landlords receiving EFT. It's multiple tenants associated with most of those landlords. We did start with bigger landlords. I don't have the exact number of tenants associated with that; although, we can try and pull that.

We've been really focused on Current. Over the last year, our focus was getting all of the shelter providers to use Current. Current is our new end to end CityFHEPS voucher subsidy processing system.

So at this point, I can say that virtually all of the shelters are using Current. As of this month to date, something like 91 percent of the CityFHEPS packages are getting submitted through Current, which is at the, you know, a year ago it was single digits.

So we put a huge focus on getting our providers to use Current, and now we're turning our attention to really focus on landlord enrollment. We have a couple of tech tweaks that are happening, I think, by the end of this month that will facilitate that. And really, as I say, it is a Calendar Year 25 priority for us. We've been moving, I would say, deliberately slowly with Current, although I think it's an

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

incredibly important system. We also have track record with, uh, across the City with tech systems that when you move too fast, there are problems, and this is too high stakes. We cannot screw it up. So we've been moving pretty slowly.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Has there been any update to the CityFHEPS' Landlord Information Form? Because I know that it was last updated in June of 2023, but it didn't indicate any option for electronic payments. Has that changed?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: I am going to need to follow up with you on that one, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. How many CityFHEPS tenants had their vouchers terminated for failure to renew in the current and past fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So about 90 percent tenants renew every year, and that's been quite consistent over time. And I do want to just caveat that figure a little bit to say we would never expect it to be a 100 percent . So, right, people move, they pass away, they... their income changes such that they're not gonna renew. So from where we sit, we think that 90 percent number is reaching the

2 overwhelming majority of the people who should be 3 renewing.

2.2

2.3

We have recently added CityFHEPS renewals to Access HRA to try and make that easier for people.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And what is the outreach effort to both the tenant and the landlord as these cases approach their renewal deadline?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: There are multiple mailings. Andrea, is that something you can speak to?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER REID: Yeah, thank you,

Commissioner, and thank you Council Member for that

question.

Three months in advance before the lease is up for renewal, a notice goes out to the household. A second notice goes out about at the two-month marker, and then a third notice goes out to say that you are at risk.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, I just I get a lot of cases where folks are telling me, you know, are complaining that they did not receive anything letting them know that the voucher would be expiring.

And I wonder, uh, and I... and I actually had a case like this the other day where I had an elderly woman who has, you know, serious issues, actually the

2.2

2.3

Commissioner was very helpful with, who had the letter in her bag of documents and didn't know what it was.

I wonder if there's a better system, because I feel like a lot of folks are receiving, I mean, when HRA sends documents, they usually send it in, like, different languages, so you may get the same package two and three times, right?

I don't know if that's overwhelming folks that they're not realizing what it is that they're receiving. But I do acknowledge, right, that in some of these cases, people did receive the letter, they just didn't know what it was.

And I wonder, I mean, it seems difficult, but if there's a better way, you know, highlighted in red, I don't know.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: We're certainly happy to work with you to think about ways that we can, improve our tenant and client communication. You know, we really do try and think about different ways that we can get notices out.

It is important that we are sending them in writing and sending them in multiple languages because it's a legal requirement. But, you know, we

have... you can't do this on an individual level, but how are we using social media to communicate with our with our clients? We're try... we are experimenting with different things. There's not necessarily in the CityFHEPS renewal contracts, but we've been developing videos and things like that that we can put out there.

So, you know, if you have thoughts about ways that will be, uh, that might resonate in terms of communication strategies, happy to talk about that.

I also just should add that we are fairly flexible on our CityFHEPS rule strategy. So if somebody doesn't renew at the year mark, but then comes in, you know, a few months later, we will put them back in the program.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I just wonder, I mean, since the percentage is not that high, is there... are there other means of communication, you know, telephone calls, maybe, communicating directly with the landlord who can then, right, ensure that the resident completes their recertification or at least can add some clarity as to what's happening with that individual tenant.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: mean, I do think the 90 percent renewal rate is relatively strong.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It is.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: And, so but we will... we can certainly think about different alternatives.

You know, there's a challenge, and, sorry, one other piece that I wanted to say, we do actually auto renewals for people in many different circumstances. So that if we have, uh, if they're on fixed income, there's other categories, that we... that we they don't have to submit paperwork for recertification. So there's a universe that we are auto renewing as well.

You know, I think there's a challenge with communicating with landlords about tenant responsibilities and tenant individual dynamics that I think can be hard that some people, you know, most landlords are very good actors and are there to look out for their tenants, and will understand that also ongoing receipt of CityFHEPS is in their best interest, but there are landlords, as you indicated before, that will discriminate against voucher holders. So I think how we pull landlords into that is also a somewhat complicated one. But...

COMMITTEE	ON	GENERAL	WEL

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But you stated that, you know, you're already... that they are already receiving a notice three months ahead. Right? (CROSS-TALK)

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That that letter goes out to the tenant and the landlord?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: It's the tenant. Just the tenant...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Just the tenant?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: usually. So, but I hear the point, and it is certainly something that we can take back.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean, I just figured it, you know, it becomes more expensive to not address it in the beginning, because now a person doesn't have it, doesn't realize they don't have it, they enter, you know, into arrears, they have to go to court, they have to come back to you. You have to help them pay the arrears.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yes...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And, you know, and now see if they qualify again.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Right. Absolutely. And that's, you know, it's why we send multiple notices.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

It's why we are flexible, you know, give some flexibility on the date, things like that. But at the end of the day...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: there is a level of tenant engagement that we do need.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, understood.

How many CityFHEPS tenants had their vouchers terminated after reaching the five-year cap on the current and past fiscal year?

And how many of those household had previously held an older type of voucher such as LINK that counted towards their five-year cap?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So the extension rate at year six is very similar to the previous, the prior years, that we're seeing about 90 percent qualify for that that good cause extension.

We've done some analysis on it and, you know, majority of the households are on fixed income and therefore qualify. So it's quite high. I don't have it broken out. We don't track it that way, about whether or not they were on any of the predecessor subsidies, but it as I say, it's quite high.

1 2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So if the person is on fixed 3 income, they get a CityFHEPS voucher, they hit the 4 five-year mark, they can apply for an extension. How much time are they given after they apply for the 5 extension if they're approved? How long is it 6 7 extended? COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: I believe... 8 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER REID: Thank you, Commissioner, thank you, Council Member. 10 11 So it's just a regular process of the annual renewal, and so they would just be submitting the, 12 13 renewal application that says good cause request. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But it but does that... So 14 15 then they're approved, right? So does that mean that they have a the voucher for an additional year, an 16 17 additional five years, or do they like, are they 18 coming back annually? 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER REID: There's an annual 20 renewal that's required. 21 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is at some point, do you 2.2 exhaust the amount of times that you can request an

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So we have not timelimited anybody out of CityFHEPS. There is... it

2.3

24

25

extension?

2 looks very similar. The process is essentially very 3 similar to your recertification process.

So we haven't had any situation where we say, you would keep qualifying, but for the fact that you've now been on for eight years or nine years or something like that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You haven't gotten there yet because the program is still fairly new. But is there...

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Oh, we've absolutely had people who are in their...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah?

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: They're past their fiveyear mark. And there is no hard and fast timeline at this point. To the extent that people qualify for a good cause extension, they do need to recertify annually, but that is something that we maintain.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That would be concerning, right? We had this happen with... the one thing, the downside, I think, to some of these programs is that, you know, if when they do expire, they do. They run, you know, we run out of time.

Most of these tenants are coming into apartments that they can't afford on their own, because they

have a fixed income, or because they're working and
not making enough to pay the rent. And so when the
program expires, so does their time in that apartment
because they inevitably end up back in, you know, in
shelter And so

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Right. And we are using the good cause...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Extension, which, as I say, is applying to the overwhelming majority of households to prevent having that cliff.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. But it's also... that's also an indicator that we need to be building more subsidized housing.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: I could not agree with you more.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. I think that that's the long term solution to this.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: The fact that we have a 1.4 percent vacancy rate is overwhelmingly at play here. Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. No, you mean, you always hear, you know, when we're building - these apartments are affordable to who? Right? And I get

2.2

2.3

it, like, you know, I think the majority of the public doesn't understand that those apartments are meant to replace, you know, subsidized housing units that we lost to destabilization at some point.

However, this still doesn't negate the fact that, you know, while they're affordable to working people, they're not affordable to individuals on a fixed income, to the elderly, to people that are making low wages. Those people really need subsidized housing. They don't need affordable housing; they need subsidized housing and there's a difference.

So, you know, I think that any help that we can,
I think, you know, as we learn, right, about the root
causes of homelessness, and as the numbers continue
to climb, I think, you know, that it's imperative
that we all step up and advocate for more of that
type of housing.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yeah. I absolutely agree.

One of, you know, obviously, housing development is a little bit out of my lane at the Department of Social Services, but one of the initiatives that we are incredibly proud of is our Affordable Housing Services Program, where what we've done is take

2 CityFHEPS and turn it into a Project Based Rental
3 Assistance Contract.

2.2

2.3

So a not-for-profit will get a contract that includes CityFHEPS rent, some maintenance and operation dollars, and light touch social services, and that contract runs for 30 years.

And because there is this, you know, long term contract to pay rent, they can take that to a bank and get a mortgage. And we so over the summer, we had three nonprofits acquire four different buildings using this tool. Right?

So the not-for-profits actually were able to buy the buildings, and everybody going into those buildings for the next 30 years will be a long term stay or DHS client who's effectively receiving CityFHEPS. And the CityFHEPS is actually running through the contract.

It's permanent housing, so the people who move in there might stay for 30 years, or maybe they'll stay for 10 years and, you know, move someplace else, and then the next person that moves in will be also from that.

So we, you know, we can't solve all of our problems that way, but recognizing, as you say, that

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE the vacancy rate is an... has an enormous impact on 2 3 our clients, we are trying to actually create those 4 really deeply affordable housing opportunities. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Do we know... I don't, I mean, I'm sure they... 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I don't know that you would have this on you, but would we know how many - a the potentially 10 percent of folks that are not applying for renewal, we would we is there a way to keep track to see if any, you know, if any of them end up back in shelter?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: I, you know, I know this, the return rate from subsidized housing to permanent... to shelter is quite low. It's under 1 percent for families with children, and just a couple of percentage points for single adults.

We have... I don't know that we have done that analysis of specifically people who have not returned, uh, renewed their CityFHEPS vouchers, but, we can take a look at that and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. And if you could give me the number of households that, uh, received an extension after the five-year limit was up?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Yeah, we'll follow-up with that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: What is the referral
distribution of CityFHEPS users? How many users are
referred through the community versus government
agencies?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: So of the lease-ups each year, so people who start using a CityFHEPS Voucher, two-thirds of them are people who are in shelter and a third of them are in community users.

You know, it varies on the margins from year to year, but those have been relatively consistent numbers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are there a specific number of vouchers that are set aside for community?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: No.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

2.2

2.3

What is the City's actual spending so far this year for CityFHEPS Vouchers, and what is the projected spending for the rest of Fiscal Year 2025?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Through December, we have spent \$578 million on CityFHEPS. The FY25 budget is \$1.1 billion.

Just by way of context, last year, so FY24, actual spending was \$855 million. So, spending on this program is growing very rapidly.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Will additional funding be needed for the current fiscal year to meet the need?

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: We're coordinating really closely with OMB. I feel good about where Fiscal Year 2025 stands. There's many uncertainties in CityFHEPS spending, both the number of people participating, what fair market rents are, things like that. So we work closely with OMB to adjust the budget on an as needed basis.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It's a point of contention with the Council because we continue to ask for baseline, you know, funding that accurately reflects the needs of the program.

I have confidence that they will fill it in and that the vouchers will be paid, but it's, you know, it's a risk that we're taking. Right?

If we fall off the front the, you know, fiscal cliff, like, how do we ensure that we have the appropriate funding if it's not baselined? I don't... it scares me.

I will continue to advocate on your behalf. Don't worry.

1	

2.2

2.3

Okay, uhm, I think that that's do you have any questions?

(PAUSE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Sorry, I had, one more question.

We recently heard from advocates at DSS that there is almost three months behind - Well, I think Alexa asked that question about the Shopping Letter, so I won't ask it.

Okay, no, we asked these, all right. All right, that's it. Thank you so much for coming.

COMMISSIONER WASOW PARK: Thank you so much, appreciate it.

(PAUSE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right, I now open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

\_

2.2

2.3

о F

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic:

Administration of CityFHEPS.

If you have a written statement or additional testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms.

You may also email written testimony to

Testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours after the

close of this hearing. Audio and video recordings

will not be accepted.

We will now be calling the first panel: Germaine DeLaney, Elizabeth Mackey, Diana Ramos, and Noam Cohen-Weinberg.

(PAUSE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, you can begin on either side. Who wants to go first? Do you want to go first?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

NOAM COHEN-WEINBERG: Hi, my name is Noam. I live 3 in a homeless shelter in Brooklyn right now, and I 4 have met countless people who have been waiting such

a long time to receive housing, to receive supportive

housing, to receive their CityFHEPS Voucher. 6

There are... I know a guy with a Shopping Letter who has been waiting for that has been waiting for many months that lost his voucher, in fact.

You know, when you, after four months, if you don't find a place in time - and source of income and discrimination is a big factor in this, where landlords won't accept your voucher, basically. Because, where landlords basically won't accept your voucher because, frankly, it doesn't suit their interest a lot of the time.

There are you know, the New York State Comptroller's Report showed that, I'm sorry, it showed that the average waiting time is about 10 months. And, you know, in my shelter, the waiting time is about a year. So, I mean, that sounds about right to me, uh, after receiving your Shopping Letter. That's not after just entering the shelter. That's after receiving your Shopping Letter for a CityFHEPS Voucher.

2.2

2.3

And I think it's worth noting that, uhm, think it's worth noting that when we tell people that we want them to work, that we want them to obtain housing, but then we... there's all these barriers, and there's all these bureaucratic hurdles to doing so, it's basically telling people that it's more important to prolong your stay in the shelter rather than to obtain your basic needs.

(TIMER CHIMES) Because even if you're making, like, \$3,000, right, a little over the voucher worth, in a month, you're still not getting... you're still not gonna be able to get that voucher, and it's just gonna prolong your stay in the shelter.

So we need to upgrade the worth of those vouchers, and we also need to directly tackle on discrimination by landlords.

An example of that is text messages. You know, if you text a landlord and say, you know, I want to schedule a viewing, then you mentioned you have a voucher. Guess what's gonna happen? A lot of the time they are going to ghost you. They are not going to respond. And that's a serious problem, and that's a serious hurdle towards, obtaining housing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

2 ELIZABETH MACKEY: Good morning, everyone.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Good morning.

2.2

2.3

ELIZABETH MACKEY: My name is Elizabeth Mackey.

5 Currently, I, okay, currently, I am a voucher holder.

I was in a shelter for a year and a half.

Thank God for the 90 day new rule, but I had to wait less than really to get my voucher.

However, the waiting is... housing, apartments to get apartments You could get the voucher, but
waiting for a year, two years to actually get
apartment, that's kinda ridiculous.

But to understand the fact that CityFHEPS is needed for those that's unable to afford the rent out here.

I used to work for the City 29 years on the ACS, but I came through a lot of medical problems that I couldn't work anymore. So here I am being placed in a shelter system, not knowing so much about the shelter system. But I became a statistic working for ACS, being in the shelter system, seeing all the bureaucracy that goes on in the shelter system.

So I was glad that the Mayor, you know, when the Committee passed the 90 day rule, because I didn't understand why you had to be in a shelter for 90 days

S

just to receive a voucher and to get help. But even though that you could get the voucher, you still remain in a shelter.

I know people that the shelter that I was in still is in the shelter. So it was by God's grace that I got out of the shelter.

What is needed n shelters is support. It's not to be looked down at, but they need Housing Specialist that are gonna get on the ground to find housing for the people, and also give us a toolkit on how to navigate the system of getting apartment.

They need inspections. Inspections are needed in these apartments. I'm in a two bedroom, nicely fixed or, you know, (INAUDIBLE) stuff, but I don't get no heat. The radiator's not working. Windows wasn't working - up and down.

So I had to literally reach out to the shelter that I was in to navigate, say, okay, what's going on? I need the landlord attention, this, that, and third.

Home based services? Crap. Why do I have to come to you saying I have a problem and I would like to move, but they give me the same run around as if I was in a shelter? I have to do a IOP. Why do I have

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

to do a IOP when (TIMER CHIMES) I'm actually, I have an apartment, but I'm requesting because of emergency that I need to move.

So they need to look into the inspection. They need to really do a order regarding home based services. Because home based services are supposed to be a resource place that we could go to in time of need, and they do not do anything. Just to get appointment is like six months waiting, and that doesn't make no sense.

So there's all little things that need to be improved with the CityFHEPS Voucher.

I hope by us testifying here can, like, you know, be able to adjust for the people.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm.

ELIZABETH MACKEY: Because I'm looking to say the government of the people, by the people, for the people. Is that really true?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

ELIZABETH MACKEY: Because if we are doing it for the people, for us, then we wouldn't even be here

ELIZABETH MACKEY: Under these conditions.

So they need to work on a CityFHEPS Voucher, because if they got all these people, 11,000 people that's still in the shelter with a voucher, something is not right.

When the Mayor said that he got 65,000 apartments that's available, but who is it available to? Really? Because the people, that's 11,000 that has the letter, should be in one of those 65,000 so-called apart that the Mayor continues saying that he has for the people.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

ELIZABETH MACKEY: So the inspections need to be looked into. Home based services need to be looked into, because I shouldn't have to wait and going through all this back and forth just to get a transfer. So that wasn't even discussed neither.

So next time when you have a hearing, ask them what is the transfer eligibility, because it shouldn't be a eligibility if I already have a voucher.

If I said I need to move, all they should do is process my paperwork and give me my move in voucher, so I could be able to look for a decent, affordable apartment because every apartment is not decent. It

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 67
2	may look decent, but everything starts falling, you
3	know, apart once you get into the apartment. And the
4	landlord seem like he don't care because he gets his
5	money every month.
6	So those inspections need to be really Same
7	way Section 8 has yearly inspections, these CityFHEPS
8	Vouchers Come in my home, inspect my apartment.
9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. I would also
10	suggest that you call 311
11	ELIZABETH MACKEY: Oh, I did that
12	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And report, yeah
13	ELIZABETH MACKEY: And the landlord got the nerve
14	to tell me, "Don't say nothing," that he will send
15	the super, "because I am making a problem for him."
16	"That it may be a problem, that I may not get my
17	room", I said, "So, are you threatening me?"
18	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, no, he can't
19	ELIZABETH MACKEY: You know what I'm saying,
20	there's a lot of stuff that goes on (CROSS-TALK)
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can report that as well
22	(CROSS-TALK)
23	ELIZABETH MACKEY: (INAUDIBLE) there (CROSS-

TALK)

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's tenant harassment, and we've passed laws against that as well.

ELIZABETH MACKEY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

GERMAINE DELANEY: Good morning, my name is Germaine Delaney. I want to give a brief perspective on CityFHEPS Vouchers based upon my own personal experience.

As the City Council knows, obtaining and using the CityFHEPS Voucher Program often intersects with other social circumstances. You may be facing eviction, you are trying to move to a better and safer neighborhood, which includes moving away from an unresponsive and or negligent landlord or moving out of a shelter.

When I first received one 10 years ago, I moved from a shelter to an apartment that was, you know, less than ideal, but you're trying to get out of a shelter.

And I also had an apartment that I kind of knew I was going to get. So I said okay, I'll move here, I'll probably be here a couple of years, three at tops. You know, it was, you know, I got the voucher

based upon what I could afford and my own personal
finances at the time.

2.2

2.3

So I did get called for that apartment after a couple of years and I went through all the interviews, submitted all the required documents.

When I tried to work with Homebase to transfer the voucher, everything feel between the cracks. It took too long and I lost the apartment.

No landlord is waiting for you months to get the money. You know, as I understand it, it's still happening to folks today as the lady next to me just said.

You know, I had the voucher until March 2020, at which time they deemed my income was too high, and, coincidentally, the very next week, the pandemic hit, and I lost my job.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mmmm...

GERMAINE DELANEY: True story. And I'm sure I'm not the first person in this city that that happened to.

But, okay, so we go through the whole pandemic and everything. In 2022, I asked for another one, I got one, and, you know, I see that, you know, I'm just not getting an apartment, you know, people not

2 really wanting to deal with the voucher, the landlords.

2.2

2.3

So I got a renewal, and my experience at that time was that some landlords, you know, they're just not interested in a voucher. Okay? So which makes it harder to go find an apartment. So it expired, and I tried to get another extension and this is where the procedures (TIMER CHIMES) again fall apart.

You know, I followed all the preliminary procedures because you're supposed to call, do, like, a preliminary interview with...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm

GERMAINE DELANEY: A case worker, and then she gives you a case manager, that kind of thing. I guess that's what you do. Right?

And then, I did that, and then after repeated attempts, I didn't receive any response from that second case worker. And, you know, Homebase, also known as Catholic Charities -So what I want to point out is that there's some kind of disconnect here with the Homebase and Catholic Charities.

Some of the people I've worked with there, they were, you know, seemed like they were better at their job than others maybe, you know, I don't want to, you

J

know, really say something, you know, ugly about
people - But, you know, here is where I want to be
very clear, after the first voucher took so long, you
know, that I didn't get that first apartment.

So, you know, maybe three years after I got out of the shelter, you know, I keep I became a target of a crime at my current residence, which the police are aware of. And, you know, I'm not a person that blames other people for what happens to me. However, I think about what could have happened if I had had that voucher and could have moved. I could have prevented probably having a crime committed against me, you know - and if the procedures were clearer to an applicant like myself.

You know, I do want to say the increase in the voucher amount is a great step in the right direction, you know, and this is fixable. We as a city can fix this if we work on correcting the red tape. Find out what's happening with Homebase and, you know, put the connection there. It's just some kind of disconnect sometimes.

Okay, moreover, the City Council and other, you know, authoritative persons, maybe we could work with

it closely with the State Legislature and come up with creating something close to a Section 8 Voucher.

I don't know, I'm just throwing things out there, possible solutions, because as I understand there are certain procedures for tenants that are built into the voucher.

Because what I've understood is that, like, this lady is saying the Section 8 would have done that inspection and would have, you know, came to court and said, "Yeah, we did the inspection," and it would've been over. But the CityFHEPS, I don't think it works that way.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Did the City, uhm, did anybody from the shelter come inspect your apartment before you moved in?

GERMAINE DELANEY: No. No, I didn't know anything about that. I thought ,you know, I found this place on my own, I saw just an ad in a local Queens newspaper, I found it. Uhm, I went to the, uh, the place and ,you know, I didn't really know if I was going to get it or not. And, then, next thing I know, three months later or something like that, the shelter called me and said, "Oh, you got this apartment." You know, because I had kind of given

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

that. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

GERMAINE DELANEY: You know?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate, thank you.

GERMAINE DELANEY: Thank you, thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

DIANA RAMOS: Good morning, my name is Diana Ramos. I am a current CityFHEPS Voucher holder, and I receive CityFHEPS.

My main issue is lack of information, trying to find information. It is- I, myself, am having an issue where I really need to get out of my apartment and move somewhere safer.

,

2.3

IIIEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

I love to give you a little bit of an experience. Last year, I was left without a working toilet for four days. I did call 311. They, you know, did come - but four days. My maintenance management company left me without a toilet for four days. I'm a diabetic. That puts stress on my body, you know, and then you're afraid to you know? Thankfully, I'm a resourceful girl, military brat.

So, that this is a situation. When I initially got into my apartment, it was inspected. They did not do, like, replace some of the outlets that needed to be replaced. Not a big deal. I, you know, don't use that. I don't have, like, a lot of electronics, but I don't have a GFI in my bathroom.

These are little things. Well, you know, semi little things. The toilet's a little bit bigger, and I do have mice in my oven and no holes in my apartment. Just you know?

So these are situations. I want to move. You know? I wanna go to, you know, closer to where I attend church, closer to where I do volunteering with safety net activists, and I have no clue how to do that.

,

I actually you know, thankfully, I am part of safety net activist. I can ask that question. Well, what's good cause? Who do I contact? I haven't heard anything from Homebase. I've, lived in my apartment since September 2022. Haven't heard a darn thing from Homebase. Wouldn't know how to do that. You know?

I did not know about the utility allowance. I'm a little bit bitter about this because I was on cash assistance. (TIMER CHIMES) Recently just got on SSI. Don't know what the process is. How, you know, how's that gonna work? I don't know any of these processes.

I'm an intelligent woman. I you know, I've been to college, university. You know, obviously, I do activism. So where is this?

You know, my neighbors who are about to be evicted because of crises, they're telling... they're being told, they didn't know that, you know, they could be fighting for the CityFHEPS expansion laws to be initiated. Some have families in shelters who are waiting for their Shopping Letters.

2.3

2.2

It's a 30-day, uh, 90-day that was supposed, you know, that, "Oh, we were taking away the 90-day," but it's still waiting 90-days.

We requested these in October. It's been over 90 days since, you know, we to get these letters.

There are so many issues with CityFHEPS

Vouchers, and, you know, there's so many little red

flags and stuff. I heard nothing on how we're gonna

do this. How are you going to get information to

someone like myself who wants to know how to

transfer her apartment? Because I am tired - my

current toilet is currently clogged up again. I am

tired of calling my management company and asking

to please snake my toilet. I am tired of being sent

an exterminator who says your apartment is

airtight, you need a new oven; that's why; that's

where the mouse...mice are living. You know?

I am tired of having to ask for this. Elevators being down, I cannot go up eight flights, you know, eight floors worth of stairs. I have neighbors who are on mobility scooters. I have a neighbor who uses a walker. This is ridiculous. This is absolutely insane. You know, as I said, neighbors who don't know how to renew. They were never given

2.2

2.3

2	that information. I helped them, because I knew the
3	information, but I didn't know anything about that
4	utility assistance. Because when it was enacted, I
5	was already receiving a voucher, didn't receive any
6	mail about how to get utility assistance, how to
7	qualify, here's a form. Nothing.

Again, I have SNA, SNP to help me get information. There's people out there who don't. It is absolutely ridiculous. It's absolutely insane.

And, yeah, bitter? Yeah. Totally bitter, because I'm in arrears with my electric.

ELIZABETH MACKEY: (INAUDIBLE) the mic... So, regarding the inspection, I'm not sure if the inspection was done. And may have been, because I did have a Housing Specialist there, and I think that was back then when DHS had the Housing Specialist to do a video, and then I guess a check off list.

But, again, if you're not trained in how to do a home I mean, a apartment inspection, then they don't need to have the Housing Specialist to do it, because if they did, I would have heat. Even though I moved in the spring, they would see that something was wrong with the valves.

J

2.2

They sure not were seeing that when all the windows was open up in the apartment, that was something wrong with that. So when I actually moved into that apartment, windows was open, but when I went to put them down, couldn't. And so I contacted the landlord. So he had to send someone within maybe two weeks and had to give me new windows.

Because HRA, DHS, or wherever they get their funding money, they paid this landlord \$18,000 for the time when I got out of the shelter to get into this apartment. And that's from the months that was being held, because I was supposed to move in that apartment in that February. I didn't move in that apartment until April.

Regarding the paperwork that goes through, I think that the shelter, because I hear it a lot from other people with these little minor mistakes, which how you get my last name all of a sudden messed up? How you not sending my Budget Letter that I gave you to them?

They're supposed to have a whole list of documentation that they're supposed to send to DHS so DHS could look at the application.

2.2

2.3

So when the Commissioner have mentioned 24 days, it could be much more than the 24 days of them actually processing it. Because if the shelter doesn't process it properly, then it gets booted back, and then they'd be asking us, the clients, for more information. And then when you go down to HRA and you're asking them, where's process regarding the checks, they give you the run around. They don't wanna tell you nothing.

So I really feel that the client needs to be in that process of making sure all those documents are available for us to read before they submit.

Because they're submitting wrong stuff and they having us wait.

One thing that I realized, when you are going in the shelter, they want you to stay for that beginning of the month, because if you look at it and take data, no one really moves out of the shelter beginning of the month. They always move out either the middle or the end.

Why? Because they wanna get paid their full amount for us to be in that shelter. Period.

So they linger around to keep us in there and they come up with so many excuses. So now you have

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

to deal with HRA to find out what is going on because the shelter system, like, "Oh, well, your packet has been submitted. There's nothing that we can do."

Then give me a phone number so I can make my phone calls. They don't give you the resource to call no one. You go to HRA, you're standing there all day just to say, "Oh, they're processing it."

But what is the process? A signature? Because when they processed those checks for that landlord, I wasn't aware of it until I had got... and I'm not on public assistance because I received disability. But I looked on my app, because the worker said, "Go to the app so you would know...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm...

ELIZABETH MACKEY: when the checks are being processed."

So, that's when I found out, when the checks were being processed. Well, they gave this landlord, it was \$17,890-something.

So, I didn't have to literally pay my rent until like literally September, because they already - which was a good thing - because they paid it all up to September. And when the landlord thought I

\_

didn't know, he gonna ask me where is his 30 percent? I told him he already got his 30 percent. You already have the money that they gave you, which they told me.

So a lot of the landlords don't let... Well, we have clients that are not aware of that, and so many people that came out of the shelter, the landlords have been bamboozling a lot of these clients asking more money, when HRA already had paid them their rental already up to maybe four months in advance. Which gave us a little safety cushion to save for the future months so we won't get into arrears.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes.

that 30 percent that you have to take out of your income, can be a little ,you know, steep. Because you've been in a shelter for so long, so now you don't even know how to keep paying your bills or whatever. But they give you a little leeway. But these greedy landlords, they are thinking that you are dumb and that you don't know how to read, and they come to meet people like me. And I told my landlord, "You got the wrong person...

give you a...

2.3

(PAUSE)

1

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Who wants to start first? Do 3 you want to start on this end? You can begin, make

sure the light is on.

4

ROBERT DESIR: Good morning. Good morning, and thanks for the opportunity to speak today.

8

6

I'm Robert Desir, I am a staff attorney with the Legal Aid Society. We also have written testimony that we'll be submitting later. And when I say we, I'm talking about in conjunction with, VOCAL-NY, Community Service Societies and, Coalition for the Homeless.

11 12

13

14

15

16

10

So first, we want thank the City Council for their tireless efforts in trying to improve the voucher program, trying to make sure that the most vulnerable people are included in the program, and that the program reaches its potential.

17

18

19

20

Unfortunately, the CityFHEPS Program has not lived up to its promise. While well intentioned, the program is riddled with inefficiencies, delays, and unnecessary complexities.

21

2.2

2.3

Families who qualify for assistance often struggle to access the help that they need because of burdensome eligibility criteria, low rental assistance caps, and a lack of coordination between

24

25

2 agencies. As a result, families remain at risk of

3 homelessness.

2.2

2.3

Unfortunately, these problems aren't new. They've been flagged by auditors, elected officials, and stakeholders for years, but meaningful reform has been slow to materialize.

The October 2024 Comptroller Audit highlights the program's continued failures, problems like inefficient support services, poor communication, and delays in processing applications.

If we are serious about preventing homelessness, we need to make key changes:

First, we need to implement the CityFHEPS reform laws that were passed in 2023, which expanded eligibility and simplified the application process.

We also need to focus on targeting high risk households, and that was part of the efforts with the reforms, and we hope that the reforms will come into play if there is a successful decision in the courts.

But we also thank the Council for securing the (TIMER CHIMES) \$215 million in the City of YES legislation. We're very encouraged that that will be something that will at least work as a stopgap if

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

we're unable to implement the reforms that were passed.

I don't know how much time I have left, but I just wanted to go through a couple more, recommendations.

We also want to ensure access for homeless and unsheltered youth by upholding the laws that grant them access to CityFHEPS without having to enter a shelter.

We want to increase the rental assistance caps to reflect the true cost of housing in New York City and eliminate policies like the Rent Reasonableness Rule, which restrict access to suitable housing.

Finally, we wanna streamline the review process.

I know many have talked about that, but we really need to focus on that to eliminate the delays that prevent families from securing housing and, leaving shelter.

Without these changes CityFHEPS will continue to fall short of its goals to provide safe, stable housing for New Yorkers in need. It's time to take action to fix the system and ensure families don't continue to fall through the cracks. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TIMOTHY PENA: (NO MIC) Good morning, my name is Timothy Pena....

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Can you make sure that the mic is on and facing you? Thank you.

TIMOTHY PENA: Good morning, my name is Timothy Pena; I'm a veteran and small business owner here in New York City, and I run an organization for homeless veterans.

I came to New York City in July of 2022 and, ended up with an HASSA, supportive housing, with a HUD-VASH voucher. I had an apartment within two weeks of coming to New York City, for an apartment set aside specifically for veterans. Two of those apartments have been empty this entire time, and that's because DHS won't tell us... won't tell the veterans in the shelters that they are eligible for these programs.

I was... I sat in... despite having access to an apartment under the HASSA program, I sat in the Borden Avenue for five months waiting on my documentation to be processed.

The HUD-VASH program combines Section 8 rental assistance, case management, and supportive services from Department of Veterans Affairs. In September

/

2023, HUD and VA were awarded \$16.1 million in HUD-VASH Vouchers to 71 public housing agencies, including those in New York City.

Unfortunately, in the last seven years, there have been only 272 HUD-VASH Vouchers that have been issued to veterans in this city - 272 in seven years.

That is because Department of Homeless Services has told (TIMER CHIMES) HUD that veterans don't need HUD-VASH Vouchers. Instead, we sit in VA shelters, veteran shelters, being told that it is our responsibility for finding housing and that it is our fault for being homeless.

Meanwhile, we are being subjected to two years of a grant per diem program that the VA is paying for and that the DHS is taking advantage of. And then only to be basically kicked out of the shelter program, the GPD program with a CityFHEPS Voucher.

Many of these veterans are under the impression that they're waiting on HUD-VASH Vouchers and that's absolutely not happening. And instead, it's just... so I have a couple of, suggestions, recommendations:

One, that instead of doing everything separately and leaving veterans to fend for themselves as far as

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

when it's CityFHEPS or HUD-VASH Vouchers, that we bridge to gap.

2.2

2.3

Had I been able to use a CityFHEPS Voucher to come into my apartment when I got here in July of 2022, I never would have spent as much time as I did in the shelter, have and been able to have that CityFHEPS Voucher transition into a HUD-VASH Voucher.

I also would like to see - we have specialized case workers that process for CityFHEPS and then separate ones that do HUD-VASH. HUD-VASH is not doing a good job of processing veterans' vouchers. We could use CityFHEPS caseworkers to assist in processing our HUD-VASH Vouchers.

I also like to see an increase, and pressure from the New York City Council, to tell Veterans Affairs and Department of Homeless Services that 272 vouchers in seven years is unacceptable.

There are ways... we have seen a 45 percent increase in veteran homelessness in shelters in the last two years, up from 480 in 2023 to over 700 now. And hundreds more are living on the streets because our shelters and our places of refuge have become too violent. And that's Department of Homeless Services' fault - and Commissioner Molly's fault as well.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

She knows about what's going on in the shelters. She has done absolutely nothing about it.

Lastly, I'd like to see... I've thought about this for quite a number of years, especially since coming here - there are thousands of empty apartments in disrepair and eligible for rental in this city. It would be my suggestion to provide stipend teams of shelter residents to go into these apartments and fix them up and get them ready for rent.

I think last year, I read a report that somewhere in the neighborhood of 440 days is the amount of time that apartment sits empty waiting for repairs and a tenant to flip that apartment. That's over a year, 14 months or so. When the private sector could do that... would do that in 30 days.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

WILLIAM BOTCHWAY: I'm William Botchway, a legal advocate on the Housing Defense Team and Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem.

NDS is a community based public defender office that provides high quality legal services to residents of Northern Manhattan, your district, including representation in Housing Court through the Right to Counsel Program.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

My main duty as an advocate is to assist clients in obtaining public benefits such as CityFHEPS to settle their cases and provide them with long term stability.

The Commissioner's statement earlier that there are no systemic delays in the CityFHEPS program is plainly false.

So I'd like to share some stories about how deep delays with the current system have impacted our clients.

A year after submitting the application and subsequent contact with Homebase, Adult Protective Services, and HRA, it was only when an impending Marshal's notice loomed over our client, Mr. F, did we learn that APS should have been processing his case instead of Homebase.

These cases are serious and life changing, yet dozens of people watched Mr. F and my team mistakenly believe that his application was being processed for over a year.

The inefficiencies not only deprive our clients of housing but are costly. Ensuring the efficiency of the CityFHEPS Program will alleviate pressure on every government system, save the City money, and

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

provide housing security to our city's most

vulnerable residents.

2.2

2.3

We once negotiated an arrears abatement, decreasing the amount owed by \$25,000 if paid by a set deadline. CityFHEPS delayed the process, blowing past the deadline and being forced to pay that extra \$25,000 when the client was approved.

These inefficiencies not only deprive clients with the ability to secure housing, but they also continue to perpetuate family separation.

For example, my client, Ms. S, who was already receiving CityFHEPS, needed a transfer voucher to move to a larger apartment suitable for her family and would not be reunited with her children until that happened. Her application was submitted in April. She did not receive her voucher until September (TIMER CHIMES) without any substantive updates from Homebase in the meantime.

The unnecessary delay kept Ms. S and her kids apart for many extra months, creating an extra cost in the foster care system that housed her kids in the meantime.

We also find that clients are simply unaware of their housing options. On behalf of our clients, we

2.3

ask that this committee can consider issuing the following directives regarding the administration of CityFHEPS:

If not already done, Homebase, APS, and shelters must inform clients upfront during their intake of each step of the process their application will go through and require faster response times from caseworkers.

We acknowledge that the Council has proposed major changes to the CityFHEPS program, which we wholeheartedly support. However, we also believe that there are many measures that can be put into place immediately under the current structure that will ensure the stability of our clients and their families.

We hope by alleviating the inefficiencies and informing individuals of their options, individuals and families can quickly obtain safe and stable housing that they are entitled to. Thank you.

GRAHAM HORN: Good morning, my name is Graham

Horn, and I'm a staff attorney with New York Legal

Assistance Group where I work on the Shelter and

Economic Stability Project and on the Community

Access Program.

\_

2.3

I want to start by thanking the Council for your work to expand access to CityFHEPS, and I look forward to continuing to partner with you to push for the enactment of those laws.

Our shelter system is broken. Our CityFHEPS

Voucher system is broken. And we particularly feel

the pain of overcrowded shelters and apartments

standing empty on Code Blue nights when the

temperature drops below 32 degrees. We've had quite

a number of those in a row where my clients and our

neighbors are refused access to shelters and drop
in centers that are at capacity.

We've also heard a lot today about source of income discrimination. The voucher system is primed for discrimination of all types with little oversight and weak enforcement. At the same time, brokers and landlords are also wary of working with the City because of the bureaucratic problems with the CityFHEPS Program.

Payments are late. Benefits get shut off without warning. There are massive delays between voucher approval and move in dates. There's even a website, a kind of blog of sorts, where brokers and

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

landlords share horror stories about working with 3 DSS.

The bureaucratic morass of the CityFHEPS programs cuts against my clients as well in multiple ways. We know that an apartment is a better foundation for a family than a shelter, and for an individual, and it's cheaper for the City to put them in apartments than hold them in shelters.

So what do we need to do? We've heard a lot today and great suggestions all around.

An online portal system that would allow all stakeholders, brokers, landlords, and voucher holders to see the status of their applications, to see which specific forms have been kicked back for minor errors, would dramatically cut down on wait times, alleviate some of the burden on shelter Housing Specialists who are incredibly overworked and I'm sure underpaid.

A switch to digitized check issuance, where possible, would cut back on delays related to mailed or misplaced and check cashing errors.

Moreover, (TIMER CHIMES) increased staffing at all levels of the DSS chain - in shelter, at HRA, at Homebase, so that voucher holders, and all DHS

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

guests, are able to access their help directly instead of needing advocates like us to navigate those systems for them.

Please see my submitted testimony for more details. I look forward to continuing to work with the Committee and the Council at large. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you all for the wonderful suggestions. If you have not already done so, please, if you could submit your testimony electronically, we would appreciate that. We can use some of these ideas in future legislative processes. Thank you.

Our next panel will be Chris Mann, Eric Lee, Kate Goldman, and Helen Strom.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

HELEN STROM: Thanks so much. My name is Helen

Strom, I work at the Safety Net Project at the

Urban Justice Center. I also support the Safety Net

activists, and our members have direct experience

with homelessness, public benefits, and the

CityFHEPS Voucher.

Thank you for holding this hearing. I'm disappointed that the Commissioner didn't stay to

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hear from people who are actually experiencing how this program is working, because I think what you've heard in the last couple of panels is much more accurate than what the Commissioner testified to.

First of all, I want to say that the CityFHEPS laws that were passed by the Council a year and a half ago urgently need to be implemented.

We are working with individuals and families every day who are being evicted, who are eligible under the new laws, who could have saved their apartments, and are ending up in the shelter system.

We are working with people in shelters every day who cannot get access to CityFHEPS Vouchers because the laws haven't been implemented. That includes fire victims such as the recent victims of the Allerton fire. They would be eligible under the new laws. They can't access them because the Mayor continues to block access. Homeless youth, people who are working and make just a little too much, all of these people, who could have either stayed in their apartments, or could be housed now are being blocked by the Mayor and his refusal to issue

2.2

2.3

people the vouchers that the Council expanded. So I

wanted to start with that.

I also want to speak about the serious issues that we're seeing with CityFHEPS processing. Last week we reached out about a household we're working with who has been requesting a voucher and the CityFHEPS Voucher Unit told us they're still processing Shopping Letter of requests from the end of October.

Systemically, like their inbox, they're processing requests from the end of October. So when the Commissioner sits here and says there's no delays, that is simply not accurate. That came from the head of her CityFHEPS Unit, and to say that there's no delays in Shopping Letters is absolutely wrong. It's a lie.

Also want to speak about the utility allowance. This is other legislation that the Council passed and they have done nothing to let existing voucher holders know that this exists. It's not in the renewal paperwork. (TIMER CHIMES) There was no notice sent out, so people who are facing utility turnouts right now have no idea that there's a benefit that they're eligible for that they should

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

have been getting for the past year. And we've brought this to the City's attention and nothing has been done.

And I just want to echo what people have already said about the delays at Homebase and the delays in packet processing.

So at Homebase, a lot of Homebase offices you have to wait three or four months just to get an initial appointment, and that's someone who's facing eviction, and at, like, potentially at the edge of being evicted from their apartment, and just to have an initial intake, it takes three or four months.

We have people who've been trying to get through that process for a whole year. We have people who've been evicted while they're waiting for Homebase to try and process a CityFHEPS application.

So to say there's no delays there just defies the experience, and this is like people who are working with Right to Counsel Attorneys and have people advocate on their behalf. That just defies the experience of everyone we've worked with.

2.2

2.3

J

2.3

We've honestly seen very similar delays, like, with the packet processing for people coming out of shelter. People have to resubmit their packets over and over and over, and they lose apartments. They lose apartments that they found in very difficult conditions because there's such ridiculous delays.

I don't know where that number of 24 days is coming from. It must be like after it's basically already approved. Because there's no way that that's the actual time period from beginning to end. I've never seen them process a packet that quickly. So I think it must be like some number based on like when you're already very far along in the process.

So, thank you again for holding this hearing, and we're looking forward to working with the Council to provide more accountability for the program, and also to get the expansion laws implemented.

CHRIS MANN: Good morning, thank you, Chair Ayala, for having this hearing. Thank you for your relentless advocacy around CityFHEPS, and thanks to the Committee members for the opportunity to testify this morning.

S

My name's Chris Mann, I'm the AVP of Policy And Advocacy at WIN, the City's largest provider of shelter and supportive housing to families with children. We operate 16 shelters and nearly 500 units of supportive housing across the five boroughs. Last night, just under 7,000 people called WIN home, including over 3,800 children.

In 2024, New York City shelter system saw a record 130,000 individuals each night. CityFHEPS has the potential to play a pivotal role in addressing this crisis, but it's held back by administrative issues.

That's why we partnered with REBNY, last year to put out Housing More New Yorkers, a report that is in the folder with you up there.

Unfortunately, administrative issues started the first step in the process. Although the 90 day rule was repealed, in some cases families are still waiting for months to be able to start their housing search.

Even after a family has overcome the heroic feat of finding an apartment, it can take weeks and sometimes months before they're able to move out of shelter.

2.2

To ensure that applications are being processed, inspections are being conducted, and payments are being issued on time, the Council should pass legislation that sets concrete time requirements.

The administrative inefficiencies families face are compounded by outdated systems. Access HRA must be updated to allow families to apply for and track CityFHEPS applications and renewals online.

Something that they should already be doing under a Local Law.

Similarly, the current system, which is the system for processing CityFHEPS application, needs to be updated. It's lacking core functionality, like named based searches. Right? To find a client, (TIMER CHIMES) you have to search by a WMS Case Number. Just absolutely ridiculous.

The inspection process should also be streamlined. We recommend categorizing issues into two levels - major health and safety risk requiring full reinspection, minor issues that can be resolved with photo or video proof.

With the looming cuts to the social safety net under the new federal administration, we should be looking for ways to expand eligibility. We continue

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 to fight for the implementation of the CityFHEPS
3 expansion that you all passed.

2.2

2.3

And we also support Resolution 255, urging Albany to give the City permission to expand CityFHEPS undocumented people. Expanding eligibility to undocumented households could save the City up to \$3 billion each year.

Additionally, we strongly oppose the Mayor's proposed \$500 million cut to CityFHEPS. Instead, the City should be increasing funding for more vouchers and staff for DSS. Thank you.

KATE GOLDMANN: Good morning, my name is Kate Goldmann, and I am testifying today on behalf of the Real Estate Board of New York.

REBNY thanks the Committee for the opportunity to provide testimony at today's oversight hearing on the administration of the CityFHEPS rental assistance program.

Housing vouchers are pivotal in mitigating homelessness, increasing housing stability, and improving long term health, social, and economic outcomes.

New York City's CityFHEPS Rental Assistance
Program intends to help New Yorkers living in

shelters or at risk of homelessness secure permanent housing. In practical application; however, the program is flawed and voucher holders find their opportunities limited.

REBNY frequently hears from members, owners, and agents that the process is proving to be cumbersome, lengthy, and convoluted.

In 2024, REBNY and WIN authored Housing More New Yorkers, a policy paper outlining the challenges facing the City's voucher programs and the subsequent legislative fixes. REBNY and WIN share a common goal, improving the voucher process and helping voucher holders find stable housing.

Today, REBNY intends to call attention to administrative delays within the CityFHEPS Program and our proposed fixes.

Application processing times are particularly problematic. A 2024 audit executed by the State comptroller found that it took an average of 10 months from when households received A Shopping Letter to when they were approved for CityFHEPS.

In comparison, the lease signing process typically takes a matter of days for all other

apartment seekers, enabling them to move into a given unit within one month.

Therefore, the Council should pass a Local Law requiring that the administering agency of a rental assistance application informs the applicant of the determination of approval no more than 15 days after submission.

Similarly, inspection and apartment walkthrough walk through times are a pain point. After taking several weeks to schedule, case managers often delay or cancel inspections. All the while, voucher holders remain languishing in shelter while property owners keep units open for unreasonable amounts of time.

To address these challenges, we urge the Council to pass a Local Law requiring the preclearance and walkthrough to be conducted within seven days of locating (TIMER CHIMES) the housing unit.

Owners and agents also regularly fail to receive payments, particularly the City's share of rent in a reasonable amount of time. REBNY believes that voucher holders and all other apartment seekers should be on an equitable playing field.

Under New York State law, a payment is late if received more than five days after the scheduled

payment date. To that end, we urge the Council to pass a Local Law requiring the administering agency to provide landlords with rental assistant payments within five days of the scheduled payment date.

We are submitting our complete comments, and we look forward to working with the Council to address these challenges. Thank you.

ERIC LEE: Good morning. Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and members of the committee for allowing me to testify today.

My name is Eric Lee, I'm the Director of Public Policy at Volunteers of America Greater New York, a 128-year-old antipoverty organization impacting more than 12,000 adults and children annually through our 70+ programs in New York City, Northern New Jersey, and Westchester.

I'll submit written testimony following the hearing, but I would like to use my time to share how VOA-GNY, worked collaboratively with DHS and HRA on our Street to Home Pilot Program. And we're able to use CityFHEPS to quickly place people experiencing unsheltered homelessness into permanent supportive housing often within one to two weeks of first meeting them.

2.2

2.3

While enhanced clinical support services are core to the success of this pilot, DHS's flexibility in processing the CityFHEPS paperwork after the person moved into housing enabled us to drastically shorten the time spent homeless.

By increasing flexibility in the CityFHEPS application and lease-up process, similar to how DHS did for our pilot, the city can help households more quickly utilize the voucher to access and maintain permanent housing.

Our recommendations include expediting access to public assistance to meet the CityFHEPS requirement more quickly, including waiving birth certificate requirements for people born outside of New York state, but could otherwise prove their identity.

Allow providers like VOA-GNY to create photo IDs for our clients or provide expedited access to IDNYC appointments to meet the public assistance photo ID requirements.

Assign HRA expeditors to quickly open public assistance cases, especially single issue cases for people with income, and process public assistance, re-budgets post move-in for households well within the CityFHEPS income limits to expedite the lease-up

process and avoid losing out on housing opportunities that were identified.

VOA-GNY also urges the City to prioritize funding to expand headcount at HRA to meet the growing demands (TIMER CHIMES) for public assistance and rental assistance through ensuring sufficient headcount with sustainable caseloads at HRA. They could ensure timely access to public benefits and rental assistance for those who need it.

Finally, we urge your Administration to fully implement Local Laws 99 to 102 of 2003 to expand access to CityFHEPS.

In addition to the fiscal savings that would be generated by fewer households, and shorter, stays in shelter, it can't be overstated the personal and societal benefits of helping more people avoid and abbreviate the destabilizing and traumatizing experience of homelessness in New York City.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, thank you.

I don't have any questions, because I agree with everything that has been said. And we are working on it to ,you know, on a process that makes it easier.

2 But I want to thank you all for you service. Thank you so much.

I am going to call up the next panel Gina
Cappuccitti, Juan Diaz, Laura Lazarus, Steve Behar,
and Christopher Leon Johnson.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, go ahead.

GINA CAPPUCCITTI: Good afternoon, Committee Chair Ayala, members of the City Council, and council staff. Thank you for holding this oversight hearing on the CityFHEPS Program and the opportunity to testify on behalf of New Destiny.

My name is Gina Cappuccitti, and I'm the Senior

Director of Housing Access and Stability Services at

New Destiny. Our mission is to end the double trauma

of abuse and homelessness among domestic violence

survivors. For 30 years, we've been solely dedicated

to permanent housing for survivors. We are also a co
convenor of the Family Homelessness Coalition.

Why do we do this work? Because domestic violence is the leading cause of family homelessness in New York City. And with so few housing options available, survivors are more likely to move from shelter to shelter instead of into housing.

2.2

2.3

I oversee New Destiny's Housing Navigation

Program, helping survivors find safe homes they can

afford. Many survivors we work with have CityFHEPS

Vouchers. As such, we have firsthand knowledge of the program's issue areas and the opportunities for improvement.

First, we would like to recommend That Shopping

Letters be extended to one year. Currently, Shopping

Letters provide only four months to find housing.

While this should be enough, with various

bureaucratic delays already mentioned, and a record

low vacancy rate, it rarely is.

In addition, as others have mentioned, Section 8 has demonstrated best practices, which we encourage CityFHEPS to adopt in establishing program eligibility.

We would recommend the following changes:

Number one, changing the maximum income requirement to 50 percent AMI instead of 200 percent federal poverty level.

Number two, implementing exception payment standards of codes.

Three, eliminating work requirements or offering work exemptions for survivors and other opportunities

for waiting periods before losing eligibility after loss of employment. And eliminating the reliance on shelter and home based providers to manage the voucher process by allowing voucher holders to access their Shopping Letters on Access to HRA directly rather than relying on providers, allowing landlords or brokers to submit packages directly to HRA, and preserving the, eligibility for survivors (TIMER CHIMES) who exit to homelessness, without securing housing.

Finally, New Destiny recommends addressing issues impacting reliable payments to landlords. This directly affects CityFHEPS reputation and our collective ability to convince landlords to accept it. Landlords do not perceive the program as reliable compared to Section 8 due to history of nonpayment from HRA.

I will close with just one note of a client that was directly impacted by the failings of CityFHEPS eligibility. This is a client who is mother of one and a survivor, trained as a medical professional.

She had to lose... leave the workforce due to domestic violence and faced eviction with the CityFHEPS Shopping Letter in hand. Due to multiple

J

delays at Homebase, she lost out on units and ended up going into shelter. Because of her lack of employment, she was not found eligible for CityFHEPS. We were able to secure her a Section 8 voucher, through a temporary stability voucher referral program, and she was able to move into her apartment last month. If it were not for that program, she would still be in shelter languishing because she would not have been eligible for CityFHEPS

Thank you, and we'll submit extended written

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Your mic is not on.

testimony via email.

STEVE BEHAR: I've been here a lot, but I've never been at this point. So good to see you, Councilwoman.

My name's Steve Behar, and I'm here because I have been trying to help a friend of mine who's been in a shelter for nearly a decade. And I've been trying to help her for the last two years. And, I have been an attorney for nearly 30 years.

I spent two years as counsel in the New York

State Senate and six years as counsel right here in
the New York City Council, and I can't help her.

The system is broken, and frankly the people are broken. I'm not gonna use her real name, but I will

2.2

2.3

call her Jane. Jane is in her fifties. She's a Black woman, and she walks with a cane. She had a voucher, and I was driving her around to see apartments, and she was clearly discriminated against. She would see an apartment, and she'd write an application, and a few days later, they'd say somebody else got it. And then I would call a week later, and they'd say, it's still available, would you like to come see it?

That was bad enough, but she was, at that point, staying in the Bronx in a shelter from Black Veterans for Social Justice. I called them repeatedly. She talked to them repeatedly about meeting with us to try to help her situation. They wouldn't talk to us. I finally called the COO, and they put me in charge of, uh, they put me in contact with her supervisor.

They made 12 appointments to see us and canceled each one of them, either the day before or the day of.

She was then transferred to Samaritan Village,
Women's Shelter in Douglaston in Queens, which is
near where I live. At this point, I reached out from
my contacts here in in the Council to (TIMER CHIMES)
the Community Housing Improvement Program, which is a
group of landlords that own rent stabilized

2.2

2.3

buildings. And they said we have plenty of landlords that are willing to take her voucher. So I sent them her voucher, and it was expired. The shelter in the Bronx never realized that her voucher had expired. It's been two years, and we can't seem to get another one.

She was moved to Samaritan Daytop Village in Douglaston in Queens. I called the main office of Samaritan Village, and I got a call, phone call from a woman named Michelle Demott, D E M O T T, who was the head of External Affairs there. She spoke to me twice and then ghosted me. I've left probably a dozen messages for her, and they've never been returned.

And all my messages were saying was, I'm trying to help my friend get out of the shelter, and I have landlords that will take her voucher. No response.

I then talked to Ms... I called Ms. MacFarlane, who's the general counsel at Samaritan Village, Samaritan Daytop Village. I've left her over a dozen messages and no reply.

I did find out the reason why her voucher expired was that DHS had, when it expired, had sent the renewal paperwork to the shelter, and they don't take... they don't get mail on the shelters.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So this woman's been in the shelter for years. I've spent two years trying to get her out. right now, I have nowhere to go.

And like I said before, I've been an attorney for three decades, including being counsel in this body for six years, and I haven't been able to help her. I can only imagine what a single mom with a high school education, struggling day by day to go through this process.

I will finally say that I've taken Jane to DHS down on 16th Street in Manhattan from Eastern Queens probably a half dozen times. We've gotten no help down there. What we did get was a phone number, a phone number that when you call, rings one time and shuts off.

So, I'm asking the Council to, you know, please look into this. I'm also asking for some personal help in trying to help my friend, Jane, get out of this shelter, because, as you guys know, the voucher is \$2,300 -\$2,600 a month. The City's probably paying \$400 a day to keep her in the shelter. It's a mess. Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, can you send me her name?

2 STEVE BEHAR: I will.

\_

•

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

JUAN DIAZ: Thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, for holding today's hearing. My name is Juan Diaz. I'm a Policy Associate of Citizens Committee for Children, CCC, a multi-issue children's advocacy organization. CCC is a co-convener of the Family Homeless Coalition, FHC.

The recent CCC's Keep Track of New York City

Children Book revealed that in 2023, over 30 percent

of New York City renters pay at least half of their

income towards rent. There were more than 1,200

residential evictions, and more than 46,000 children

reside at a New York City's shelter.

As such, we cannot underscore the value of housing vouchers like CityFHEPS. We value the Department of Social Services' efforts to improve the approval to improve the approval of CityFHEPS packets and expedite shelter relocations. However, more investment and programmatic reforms are imperative to ensure that more households receive housing assistance in a timely manner.

2.2

2.3

In our reading testimony, we will highlight recommendations. But today, I want to highlight the following investments:

Implement and fund CityFHEPS expansion. We appreciate your support in passing these legislations and look forward to make this a reality.

Additionally, significantly increase the budget for Homebase. In recent years, Homebase providers have taken on tremendous increases in caseload as well as ever-expanding set of responsibilities, including CityFHEPS applications, renewals, transit requests, rent portion adjustments, and more. This has not been attached by funding levels to match the new post COVID reality.

Additionally, Homebase providers also provide emergency rental assistance for clients in need of CityFHEPS two-state applications and for clients at risk of eviction.

I also want to recommend the following administrative reforms:

(TIMER CHIMES) The current single issue time frame is 30 days, but often the process for applying and getting the voucher is longer and requires a single issue to be resubmitted again. We recommend a

90-day time frame. The application package should be readable, fillable PDF forms so that it can be signed electronically and emailed back while still allowing for a paper process.

Additionally, consider reducing the amount of paperwork to submit CityFHEPS packets. Currently, case managers must complete and submit large packets, in some cases, over 40 pages.

Deputy Speaker Ayala, for several years, I was a supervisor at a Homebase location in Brooklyn. And the amount of work that case managers and staff at Homebase is tremendous. They have to enroll almost on everyday basis. They have several times dozens of CityFHEPS package applications,. And it's with so many pages and so many requirements of repetition, the same information over and over, it makes it very difficult.

So that's why it's very important to invest in

Homebase to reduce, you know, the time the

application needs to be submitted. Thank you for your
time.

LAURA LAZARUS: Hello, good afternoon, Deputy

Speaker Ayala. My name is Lara Lazarus, and I'm the

co-founder and Chief Executive Officer of Anthos

2.3

Home. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and share insights about how we can improve housing outcomes for New Yorkers who use housing vouchers.

Anthos Home is committed to helping New Yorkers using housing vouchers transition into permanent housing as quickly as possible with the goal of having that happen within two months.

We know that housing vouchers are one of the most effective tools we have to address homelessness and housing instability, yet they can be very difficult to use.

Here in New York City, it takes families an average of at least a year to find housing after receiving a voucher, and nearly half of all voucher holders cannot secure a suitable apartment.

Every day we see how programs like CityFHEPS can change lives, but we also encounter barriers that prevent voucher holders from accessing stable housing.

These issues are decades in the making and can prolong shelter stays and leave families in unstable and difficult conditions.

The challenges include finding landlords willing to accept vouchers, delays in processing approvals

2.2

and payments, and the need for more robust support services to help tenants succeed in maintaining their homes.

Our model helps tenants and landlords overcome these common roadblocks. Here is how it works:

We proactively reserve and identify eligible apartments through a network of landlords and brokers, ensuring families with vouchers can access housing options immediately. This is the first and only initiative of its kind in New York City.

Additionally, our flexible funding model allows us to cover necessary costs such as repairs to pass inspections, moving costs, application fees, and more removing barriers that prevent families from moving in quickly.

And we have seen from our work that this support on the landlord side has been bringing in more units into the system that are willing... with landlords who are willing to work with voucher holders (TIMER CHIMES) because of the support that we are providing.

Our expert team also guides both tenants and landlords through the often complicated housing process. From assisting with paperwork to ensuring units and tenants are move in ready, we streamline

what can otherwise be a slow and just disjointed effort.

Once families are housed, we provide wraparound support for at least a year for both tenants and landlords to make sure that a tenant is able to recertify their voucher. This support includes monthly check-ins, help with repairs, and intervention if payment issues arise.

Our flexible funding can be used to help address utility costs or to ensure a landlord receives payment even if a government check is delayed.

By offering this ongoing support, we reduce the burden on landlords, allowing them to focus on property management while we handle tenant related challenges.

This model has been especially effective for vulnerable populations, such as foster youth and individuals with complex health needs who often need extra guidance to navigate the system and achieve stability.

In 2023, we entered into a partnership with the New York City Administration for Children Services to support young people who have aged out of foster care

2.2

2.3

2 as well as families who are involved with child 3 welfare and are living in shelter.

Our approach is working. To date, we have helped nearly 200 families and individuals move into housing, and of those, 100 are former foster youth who are now settled in dignified stable apartments.

All of them remain housed.

Each element of our model, our partnership with government, direct support of tenants, and working relationship with landlords made this possible. We're in active discussions to bring our approach to other city agencies.

CityFHEPS is a critical resource. Its impact could be even greater with targeted improvements. Streamlining the process, addressing inspection delays, and creating more effective landlord engagement would significantly reduce bottlenecks.

At Anthos Home, we've seen firsthand how these changes can lead to faster transitions and better outcomes for tenants and landlords alike. We have helped nearly 500 New Yorkers move into permanent housing, significantly reducing the average wait time to just a few months. Our model is creating long term

2.2

2.3

2 stability for families and individuals who might
3 otherwise cycle through unsafe conditions.

These successes demonstrate that targeted well supported interventions can make a measurable difference.

Thank you so much for your support of these improvements and for the opportunity to speak with you today.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: All right, good afternoon. My name is Christopher Leon Johnson.

I wanna first up address the fact that, you, the Chair, let a nonprofit, VOCAL-NY, speak for over 25 minutes while you give everybody else here, even the REBNY nonprofits only about two minutes to speak. So we all we all know that the City Council is ran by VOCAL-NY.

Now I'm here to speak to support CityFHEPS. I believe that when people get CityFHEPS, there should be an increase with the... how much money you should get for to try to get an apartment because... and there should be more investigation into every landlord that refuses to honor and... honor a CityFHEPS Voucher, while at the same time, you should

2.2

2.3

J

,

O 1

give a limit from, like, years to unlimited amount of time to use your CityFHEPS Voucher.

And I believe that the way you could really solve homelessness is by eliminating these nonprofits from running the CityFHEPS like local New York that is ran by a sex offender, CAMBA, Housing Works, and all these nonprofits take away their rights to hand out vouchers and give it back to the government, give it back to DHS, let DHS run those vouchers.

Yeah, VOCAL-NY... And one more thing we need to start doing a little more is to take away all advocacy rights from VOCAL-NY. VOCAL-NY should not be the d... should not be the de facto organization that the City Council listens to support... to vow to... advocate for City vouchers on the basis of the fact that they have a sex offender in a nonprofit that is named Douglas Powell. Until they remove Douglas Powell as the a member of VOCAL-NY, they should not be in the power of advocating on behalf of homeless people that have vouchers, that... (TIMER CHIMES) who want vouchers and who request vouchers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Time, thank you...

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: And it make sure... you gave them more time...

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Christopoher, thank 3 you. Thank you...

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: You... Thank you. You gave them more time...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We have another panel.

CHRISTOPHER LEON JOHNSON: All right.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

(PAUSE)

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you, thank you so much.

Is there anyone in the public, who has not signed up to speak, who would like to speak? If so, please see the Sergeant at Arms.

(PAUSE)

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so our last in person is Reverend Terry Troia.

REVEREND DR. TERRY TROIA: Good afternoon, Deputy Speaker Ayala.

I am here representing Project Hospitality. We are a Staten Island-based organization that's been serving homeless people on Staten Island since 1984, 40 years. I've been there since the beginning.

I am here, first of all, to say that we are grateful for the FHEPS Voucher Program. It's a

2.3

lifeline to move homeless people from a chair in our drop-in center to permanent housing or from our family shelter into permanent housing.

It's very important for us. We have a serious, serious growing homeless problem on Staten Island. We always have. We've always had the least amount of services, and our people are stuck on the streets and people die on our streets.

We urge the expediting process that can be made possible to allow a person to move from street to home through FHEPS.

We also want to note with great gratitude the work of CAMBA, Staten Island Homebase office, and to share that it is really important to know that that Homebase office is absolutely overwhelmed. It takes months to get an appointment. No one answers the phone. And you... I have to go down in person often to advocate on behalf of someone. They are overwhelmed and we really need an additional Homebase office or an extended or expanded office.

We need the FHEPS program to work quickly to streamline their process, to expedite the apartment inspections, and to help reduce the crisis of homelessness on Staten Island by such an expedited

2.3

service. This will get our most vulnerable families and single street people into safe, stable housing as soon as humanly possible.

And finally, I just want to share that Staten

Island greatly needs to be considered for placing

Staten Islanders back in shelter on Staten Island,

Now that we have, for the first time in our borough's

history, a city shelter on Staten Island for homeless

single people. It is so critical that people can draw

on the resources of their communities, their

neighborhoods, and their families who may also be on

Staten Island, (TIMER CHIMES) and to and to recircle

them back.

There are many homeless people who've never been on a subway before or off the island, if you can imagine that. And if we can get them sent back to Staten Island, once they get processed to 30th Street, they can get into a more familiar setting in in the neighborhoods from which they came and use those strengths to move quickly out of shelter into stable housing.

Thank you so much for considering our request from the Borough of Staten Island.

CHAIRPERSON AVILÉS: Thank you so much for. And thank you for coming.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, we will now be moving on to our online panel. Amy Blumsack?

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

AMY BLUMSACK: Hi, good afternoon, my name is Amy Blumsack. I'm the Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together.

We'd like to thank the General Welfare Committee, and Deputy Speaker Ayala, as well as the Council overall for the opportunity to submit testimony on the Administration of CityFHEPS. And we want to give a deep seated thank you for all of your work to improve the voucher and to fight for its expansion.

Briefly, Neighbors Together is a community based organization located in Central Brooklyn. Over 60 percent of our members are homeless or unstably housed. We have been organizing with voucher holders for many years now. We fight for policy and legislative improvements to vouchers so that they will be effective tools for moving out of homelessness and into housing. And at Neighbors

2.3

Together, we also provide direct services such as housing search assistance for people with vouchers.

As many others have said, CityFHEPS is a critical tool for moving out of homelessness, that it is rife with problems, delays, and administrative barriers.

And so I did want to say that after listening to the Commissioner's testimony this morning, I really need to emphasize, as other panelists have said, that our members' experience of working within the CityFHEPS program is incredibly different than what was described by the Commissioner and her team this morning.

To name a few quickly, it's already been covered, but our members as well experience late payments or payments sent to the wrong address; a very unclear, nontransparent application process; significant delays in every single step of the voucher process from receiving a Shopping Letter, all the way through packet submission and processing to move in and the final check cutting.

(TIMER CHIMES) Additionally, our members are also experiencing...

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time has expired, thank you.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You can finish, go ahead. You can wrap, go ahead.

AMY BLUMSACK: Okay, our members are also experiencing really long wait times at Homebase, anywhere from one to four months for an appointment regardless of the urgency of need.

And we're also hearing our members say that some of the Homebase locations are not giving them a Shopping Letter at all, but instead telling them, "Come back once you've received your Shopping Letter", which is a surefire way to lose an apartment, which is super hard to come by if you have a voucher or just at all in New York City.

I think what I want to emphasize is just that, you know, I know you guys listen to this. You've been listening to it for two and a half hours, and it's you know, it sounds like a list of problems, but behind every problem are many, many homeless New Yorkers who should be treated with the dignity and care that they deserve. Those are somebody's mom, someone's sibling, someone's auntie. And we see such a lack of care for them in the system that the, you know, that CityFHEPS Voucher Program, it is in in the bureaucracy of it all.

I want to give two examples, and then I'll wrap it up.

One of our members had a CityFHEPS Voucher. He was scheduled to move into a set aside unit, which means it was being held through negotiations done through the City Commission on Human Rights for a source of income discrimination. And he, in the middle of the process, the application process to the apartment, his voucher came up for renewal. His renewal took so long, it took months and months and months, it was so difficult to get responsiveness from the agencies that he lost the apartment, and he remained homeless, and he is still homeless.

Another member of ours is disabled. It's very hard for her to walk. She is supposed to be moving into an apartment, but over the break, we shut down for the holidays. When we came back, our Housing Specialist followed up with the case manager only to learn that the submission of their preclearance documents hadn't been done yet. So a month went by where no action was taken on this application, and our member is currently in a nursing home. But she is going to have to pay now for an additional month in the nursing home at a cost of \$600 per day. Because

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the delay in her preclearance, there's no way she's moving in on February 1st. It's gonna be March first at the very earliest, and that's best case scenario. So, you know, she's gonna be paying thousands of dollars that she doesn't have because of an unnecessary delay.

So our recommendations are to increase capacity at the shelter system, you know, for the shelter providers and at Homebase; to create better transparent, more efficient tracking processes for the application of CityFHEPS; to baseline and increase the funding in FY26 and out years; we want to see the CityFHEPS reform laws implemented, of course; and we really want to see the \$215 million that was negotiated in the City for All City of YES agreement applied to protecting the most vulnerable New Yorkers, who are at risk of eviction; we need to continue to Resource the Human Rights, uh, City Commission for Human Rights to fight source of income discrimination; ensure access timely access to the utility allowance; and ensure timely distribution of Shopping Letters to name a few.

I want to thank you for your, perseverance and patience listening to all of the testimonies today,

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 133
2	including mine. We'll be submitting more detailed
3	testimony in writing, and we thank you again for you
4	attention to this important issue.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. We look forward to receiving that information.

Thank you all for coming today. And with that, is there anyone else that we have missed that has not signed up to speak? No? Okay. Seeing no one, this hearing is adjourned.

(GAVELING OUT)

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 15, 2025