CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

February 24, 2025 Start: 11:06 a.m. Recess: 12:29 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room, 16th

Floor

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Francisco P. Moya
Lynn C. Schulman

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Adrienne Adams, Speaker

Christopher Marte

APPEARANCES

Richard Lobel, Sheldon Lobel PC

Kevin Williams

Bishop Tyrone Butler, Full Gospel Mission Church of God in Christ

Dan Ruzeu, architect

Max Bookman, Pesetsky and Bookman PC

John Neidich, Golden Age Hospitality

Craig Atlas, Le Dive

Sandy Lay, Neighbors on Canal

Bruce Tory, self

Emma Culbert, President of Seward Park Around Canal Street Block Association

Tommy Mendes, owner of Bar Belly

Lucinda Constable, self

Kat Maldon, self

Jason Casella, self

Michael Lermont, self

Kahiem Rivera, general manager at Le Dive

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Check, check. This is a microphone check for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises located in the 16th Floor Hearing Room recorded on February 24, 2025, by Pat Kurzyna. Check, check.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning. Welcome to the New York City Council hearing on the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

At this time, please silence all electronics and do not approach the dais. I repeat, please do not approach the dais.

If you wish to testify, make sure you fill out a slip at the back of the room with the Sergeant-at-Arms. If you wish to testify online, you may do so at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. That is landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

At this time, any further questions or concerns, please contact the Sergeant.

Chair, you may begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Good morning,
everyone, and welcome to a meeting of the
Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council
Member Kevin Riley, Chair of the Subcommittee. Today,
I'm joined by Speaker Adams. Remotely, we're joined

2.2

2.3

by Council Member Moya, Abreu, and in person, we're
joined by Council Members Schulman, Carr, and Marte.

Today, we are scheduled to hold two public hearings. The first public hearing concerns a proposal to develop a mixed-use residential building in South Jamaica, Queens. The second hearing concerns a sidewalk cafe application along Canal Street in the Lower East Side of Manhattan.

I will now go over the hearing procedures. This meeting is being held in hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or through Zoom. Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council's website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up, and for those of you in here in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms prepare and submit a speaker's card.

Members of the public may also view a live stream broadcast of this meeting on the Council's website. When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted. We will limit public

2.2

2.3

We request that witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

Lastly, for everyone attending today's meeting, this is a government proceeding and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying.

The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recording as testimony but may submit transcript of such recording to the Sergeant-at-Arms for inclusion in the hearing's record.

and 240 relating to the 123-12 Sutphin Boulevard rezoning proposal in Speaker Adams' District. The proposal seeks a rezoning to develop a mixed-use residential and community facility building in South Jamaica, Queens with approximately 125 apartments. The apartments will be above a house of worship on the ground floor and cellar be owned and operated by the applicant. This new development will have 55 surface parking spaces at the rear of the building. The proposal also involves the mapping of Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and the result approximately 31 of the apartments will be permanently affordable.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online by visiting the council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to submit a speaker's card. If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to recognize Speaker Adams for her opening remarks.

2.2

2 SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you very much, Chair 3 Riley, and good morning, everyone. We are having a 4 hearing today on the land use application at 123-12 Sutphin Boulevard to facilitate the development of a mixed-use community facility and residential building 6 7 with permanently affordable homes. This rezoning proposal is not only in my District, it is also very 8 close to my District office and not far from my own home. This project is located in my neighborhood, so 10 11 our community is keen on ensuring that it fits and 12 contributes to the surrounding area in Southeast 13 Queens. As I have said throughout my time as Speaker, 14 our city is in a housing crisis that is squeezing out 15 working and middle-class families who are on the 16 brink, so it's important that we advance solutions 17 that meet our residents' housing needs. At the same 18 time, we must also maintain respect for neighborhood 19 character so that our communities can retain what 20 makes them special and unique. Striking that balance 21 is important in any project. I want to thank the 2.2 applicants, SBR Equities, and Full Gospel Mission 2.3 Church of God in Christ for being here today. Pastor Rausch (phonetic) and Bishop Butler, I sincerely 24 appreciate the work and engagement your church and 25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 8
2	the developer have done already, and I expect that we
3	will continue this conversation moving forward on
4	this proposal. I do acknowledge that this proposal
5	has undergone some design revisions to address
6	concerns raised by Community Board 12 as well as many
7	of our neighbors. I look forward to further progress
8	today, and I look forward to hearing your testimony.
9	Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Speaker
11	Adams.
12	I will now call on the applicant panel
13	for this proposal, which consists of Richard Lobel
14	and Kevin Williams.
15	Counsel, can you please administer the
16	affirmation?
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Please raise
18	your right hand and state your name for the record.
19	RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel.
20	KEVIN WILLIAMS: Kevin Williams.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Do you swear to
22	tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your
23	testimony today in response to Council Member
24	questions?

RICHARD LOBEL: I do.

2 KEVIN WILLIAMS: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And for the viewer in public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin.

I'll just ask you gentlemen to please reinstate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you. Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel PC.

Speaker Adams, Chair Riley, Council

Members, good morning. We're thrilled to be here

today representing both Sam Rabbani from SBR as well

as Bishop Butler and Mr. Matthews from Full Gospel

Mission Church. We're also joined by Dan Rousseau

online, project architect, as well as Kevin.

And so this is the 123-12 Suffolk
Boulevard rezoning. The rezoning, next slide, would
change existing zoning districts from R3A and R3A/C13 to R6A and R6A/C1-3. And so what this will do will
be to really correct a wrong in terms of the church
and the site, which for close to 30 years now has
housed a one-story dilapidated structure. What this

2 rezoning will allow would be to facilitate the

3 development of a new mixed-use community facility

4 building as we will detail in the plans and

5 materials. We also, at the same time as the rezoning,

6 | would be asking for a text amendment, of course, so

7 that the site would be inclusive of Mandatory

8 | Inclusionary Housing.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide are the numbers behind the proposed development. We would note that there have been many conversations with community members, including several meetings with Community Board 12 and local stakeholders, many of whom have submitted materials and consent letters in favor. And so the original proposal was for an eight-story plus cellar building. The proposal now is for a full five-story building with a deeply setback six-story merely for accessory recreational use, primarily glass-enclosed structure on the sixth story, which would allow for health and wellness classes and fitness classes. The building itself reduced from over 87,000 square feet to 81,000 square feet, allowing for a 10,000-squarefoot cellar and ground-floor community facility for the church, as well as 77,000 square feet of residential use above. The building would reach a

The next slide is the zoning map, which, although challenging to read, you can kind of see there's R6 both to the north and south of the site.

We'll detail on the area map how well this fits in.

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide is the tax map, which shows highlighted in red the church property, as well as the next slide to the north, the property across 123rd. And so these would be the properties that would be rezoned pursuant to this proposal. There is existing R6 to the north and south of the site.

2.2

2.3

I think the next slide really tells the story well. It's the area map, which shows that this proposed R6A district bridges the gap on Sutphin between the existing R6 to the north and south, allowing for contextual development. There are fourstory residential buildings to the north. There are eight-story residential buildings to the south. This building at five stories, in terms of the primary bulk of the building, will fit well within the character. We also have a park immediately to the southeast, which would allow for the benefit of residents of the proposed building.

The next slide and the next several slides are photos of the surrounding area. In the upper right corner, if only you could see the... there it is. You can see that one-story concrete structure. This was erected in 1995 and has sat there for the entirety of this time, really demonstrating that there was no productive development at the site so we're really excited about the opportunity to move forward and to produce something that would allow for housing and affordability as well as for updated community facility space for the church, which currently is housed in a small building across 123rd.

2.2

2.3

The next slide continues with pictures, and then beyond that, there is the project plans, architectural plans under the current proposal. I'm going to briefly go through those. Dan Ruzeu is our architect, is on the phone, and is happy to answer any specific questions as they arrive. But you can see the current rendering of the building.

Next slide. Next slide. So, this merely shows the context of the building within the surrounding area. Again, a five-story building in terms of the full stories.

And then if you want to keep going, you can see the next slide shows this recessed, you know, rather lovely space on the roof, which would allow for outdoor recreation space for all of the building's residents.

The next slide is a site plan, which kind of shows the layout of the area in terms of this site and the existing density.

And then the remaining slides are, if you want to page forward, parking, which would be on the ground floor, including the blue space devoted to the church, that's 10,000 square feet of usable space, with residential units above.

And if you just want to go through that,
you can see the rough layouts of the residential
units set back from the rear to allow for additional
space in the rear yard.

The next several slides are proposed renderings of the building, inclusive of mural space to allow for artistic expression on what would otherwise be a blank wall. This is something that our project architect is proud of and has done on other developments. So, without taking any further time, if we're going to just forward through the remaining slides, you can see the building again, the renderings of the building, inclusive of a setback on the northeast corner to allow for additional space in the area so that the building won't mass too greatly in that area.

If you want to just forward through the remaining renderings, just again demonstrating the proposed bulk of the site and the context of the surrounding area, I think if you just want to forward to the last page, which says 123-12 Sutphin Boulevard on the top, we would now be happy to answer any questions.

2.2

J

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. I just have a few questions, then I'm going to turn over to Speaker Adams. Where is the church operating right now and are there any future plans for the existing church building after it relocates to the new building?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, Chair, the church currently operates in a small two-story building across 123rd Avenue, and its rather small space allows for, you know, small church gatherings but doesn't really allow for utilization of the space in terms of the church's program and the outreach they do. So, the church, as proposed, would move into this space, which now amounts to roughly 10,000 square feet, which is several times the size of the current building in which it's located. Their current property, which they own, would also be rezoned under the proposal, which would, you know, at this time be as-of-right under the R6A, but there aren't any current development plans for that. They just would own that space as well.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: What current programs does the church have right now?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: Tyrone Butler.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Do you swear to tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony today in response to Council Member questions?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: I do.

DAN RUZEU: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Bishop, what type of programs do you have operating out of the church?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: So, for example, we do community impact programs like Thanksgiving, the last community feeding we did, which we fed hot meals to everyone in the community who desired it. We literally had to it outside. It wasn't comfortable. Of course, it wasn't convenient, but we fed hot meals to everyone in the community. In the summer, we also have our programs where we go outdoors, give away bags, items, as well as food for the families in the community. We just also launched a brotherhood program to attempt to get more young men to come into some of our contact with our church programs. So, we're constantly looking for other ways to impact particularly families in the community, particularly young people, young men and young women to get them

2.2

2.3

services.

into a more positive aspect of life. So, between our youth programs, our feeding programs, we're always trying to do something to show our touch and our sort of concern for the community, not just our worship

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Bishop.

Will the new church location be used mainly for worship services, obviously not because Bishop just mentioned other services, or do you plan to use it for other services that the Bishop did not speak about today?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, the current proposal includes flexible space, and the discussion that we've had internally was the opportunity to allow for community groups, non-profits and such to schedule time with the church in order to use that space so it's an important part of the current proposal and would be allowed to be used by members of the community in large.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Why are you proposing the R6A district? Did you not explore other zoning districts?

RICHARD LOBEL: You know, we did explore other districts. The R6A was originally proposed

2 because of the existing R6 to the north and south of

3 the site and the density in the immediate area.

4 There's Baisley Gardens, which is to the south of the

5 site at eight stories. After further discussion with

6 many community stakeholders, as well as with the

7 | Speaker, the discussion was around whether or not

8 | we'd be able to fulfill the program with a shorter

9 | building. But as it turns out, the opportunity to

10 allow for the full utilization by the church,

11 | inclusive of this 10,000 square feet, as well as to

12 create a meaningful number of units, we decided to

13 maintain an R6A, which further allows us to provide

14 | over 30 units in terms of affordability. So, through

15 City of Yes, we're able to fill out more of the

16 | footprint of the building, and so this really allows

17 | for both the church and the residential program to

18 exist.

19

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If this proposal is not approved, are there any other plans for this

21 property?

RICHARD LOBEL: You know, Chair, sadly, when we've talked about this internally as an applicant team, when you look at that one-story concrete, it's not even really a structure, it's like

has sat, you know, on Sutphin Boulevard for a very
long time. It's been an eyesore. It's been a blight.

You know, as was mentioned, this property

2.2

2.3

here today.

And just for my Colleagues on the Committee, this property pretty much is a pretty large vacant lot on a corner, but it abuts an elementary school. So, when you're looking at the rendering, if you can put the rendering back up, I just want to make sure that my Colleagues are clear. The rendering where the mural is, the mural literally abuts an elementary school with a fence there. So, PS 23, their playground is literally right here on this side of what would be this building. So, the community has been talking about this space for a very long time. I just want my Colleagues also to get an idea, the Chair touched on it a little bit as far as why this property has been, you know, just sitting there for so long. And myself, just like I always say, we're our own constituents. You know, as my own constituent, I'm sitting there, you know, all these years, why is this happening, what's going on, you know, we've seen this before COVID, now through COVID, after COVID, and it's just sitting there, nothing's happening except overgrowth and some stones. So, can you just, you know, explain to my Colleagues what happened, you know, what the process was as far as the plans for this property and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 how it has evolved into today getting to this 3 Committee?

RICHARD LOBEL: Should I start?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: I mean, I can. To be honest and transparent, this church, I only took over the pastorate two years ago. I do know some of the history of it. The problem is for churches, as you can imagine, the lack of resource and partnership. It's very difficult for churches to obtain the resource to do development. These kinds of partnerships that are becoming available now with developers and churches are actually a great benefit to us because it will allow us to extend. So, all those years, the property was owned. The prior Bishop, you know, became, of course, elderly, wasn't as active. Eventually, I believe he was in a nursing home so it wasn't a lot that he was doing at that point. The other persons in the church continued to try to do their best to reach out to the community. But without the partnership and resource, it was unfortunately unable to be developed. It just was unfortunate. And he already addressed what happened with the original plan, which the Bishop at the time, who was Bishop Jerome Norman, did desire to build a

2.2

2.3

2 church on that property. But the issue happened with 3 that development.

SPEAKER ADAMS: How many members? What's your membership like at the church?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: Currently, it's right around 30 members. It's not a large church, but they're faithful, faithful to the ministry, faithful to all the programs that we've been able to implement over the last two years.

knowing my community and my community board very well, I know that they would more than likely rather have just the church there in that space instead of looking at something else next to the school that will possibly bring, you know, a lot more folks in that we're going to have to, you know, make provision for. So, why isn't it possible just to do the church in that space, just for the record?

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: Well, again, for the record, to get resource to build a church from the ground up for churches, particularly smaller churches, is almost, I won't say impossible, but it's nigh to impossible so these type of partnerships that have become available in the last several years where

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: Talking about the new space or the existing?

versa. Is there any way, or are there any thoughts

around doing something like that in this space with

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the church?

SPEAKER ADAMS: The new space.

BISHOP TYRONE BUTLER: Yes. We certainly are open to community partnership. That was one of the discussions we had, that we want to be able to

2 make the space available for community groups, other

3 CBOs, community-based organizations, the school, if

4 possible, for any programs that they would have.

5 That's certainly our desire, because again, we desire

6 to expand our outreach and touch all parts of the

7 community that we can.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SPEAKER ADAMS: Yeah, and I would agree with you, given that this space has been around so long, there are feelings about this space, but certainly our district wants this to be beautiful. We want this to enhance the community. And again, a certain thing about those of us in Queens and the characteristic of our neighborhoods goes along, so we would want this to fit in as well. And looking at the rooftop space itself, that's an unusual thing to do in District 28. It's unusual to do. And when I looked at it the first time, all I could think is, what if we turn this into, because it looks like a private space to me, just for the residents, and that's something that we don't want to do, is isolate the community. We want to bring the community into a space like this. So, your feelings on maybe making this a green space, some kind of a garden, community garden even, something that the community could enjoy

2 together collaboratively. What are your thoughts on
3 that?

RICHARD LOBEL: I think it's a great idea.

I mean, we'd have to talk internally. I guess there's liability and other issues with regards to that. The idea here was to have space where community, where at least the residents of the building would be able to engage in wellness classes. You can imagine going up there and taking yoga classes and such. It seems like a really beautiful space. So, I think it's something that we can talk to Sam about and see whether or not we're able to solve those issues. But having said that, it is somewhat unique space in that regard, and we think it's going to be a great asset.

SPEAKER ADAMS: I'm thinking also with PS223 right next door, what a great thing to do with the children.

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure.

SPEAKER ADAMS: You know, to invite the children over, make it inviting, and something, quite frankly, that I think the community would welcome even more. When we start to incorporate everything that we have that's great about our community with

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 something new, I think that's a really good place to
3 start. My two cents, as they say.

So, how did the developer arrive at the number of units for this property?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, the original number of units was 125, and so I don't know, Dan, if you're available, can you talk a little bit in terms of the size and layout of the units?

DAN RUZEU: Sure. So the original building program looked at an eight-story building that covered less of the property than the current development or proposed development does. COY released us to actually have a lot of flexibility in terms of the way we look at laying out a building on the property. It allows for what's basically a larger bulk but shorter building, so we were able to preserve most of the units while actually increasing the bedroom count on every single unit. That was one of the comments we received during public review meeting with the community. Everybody wanted to see larger units and less studios or one bedroom. So, we really pushed on increasing the amount of twobedrooms and one-bedrooms and reducing the amount of studios that are being provided. So to that end, COY

talked with Sam about hiring a local administering

agent so that in addition to their opportunity to

market the affordable units, they could also make

2.3

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 efforts to market to the local community. So, Sam is

3 a local developer. He is happy to engage with a

4 Queens administering agent in order to try to really

5 get the word out, advertise in local media, and to

6 conduct educational seminars to allow for utilization

7 by Community Board 12 and local residents.

SPEAKER ADAMS: That's one of the biggest complaints, you know, throughout, not just my District, but throughout Southeast Queens is that we have beautiful developments coming up all over the place, particularly in the downtown Jamaica core, and initially we hear these apartments going up and the community is left out where, you know, there's always been some stipulation, even as my days as Board Chair, that the community will always have priority, and apparently that's not been the case over the years so, whatever I'm doing in my District, I want to make sure that the community board, the surrounding residents, do have priority in these spaces that are going up and not being pushed out of, you know, some wonderful places that are coming up in the community, and that is going to be my ask. I'm going to be looking at that very carefully. And, yeah.

2.2

2.3

I will now open the public hearing on LU 241 concerning the application by Le Dive for a sidewalk cafe in Council Member Marte's District. The proposal seeks to place nine tables with a seating capacity for 18 along the sidewalk in front of the wine bar, which is located along Canal Street on the Lower East Side by Seward Park.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you may do that by registering online by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to submit a speaker's card. If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to give the floor to Council Member Marte to give remarks on this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Thank you, Council Member and Chair Riley.

Good morning. We are here to call up Le Dive's sidewalk cafe application. In my past three years as Council Member, I've never called up an application before, but Le Dive has demonstrated a

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mixed-use communities. Many of our streets are lined with bars and restaurants with elderly immigrant neighbors living in rent-stabilized apartments above them. But this harmonious community cannot exist if bad actors are allowed to break the rule. Our elders have a right and a legal right to be able to use the sidewalk, a legal right to have their windows open without cigarette smoke waffling in, a legal right to not be physically forced out of public space left to push wheelchairs and walkers in the middle of Ludlow Street after sunset. Le Dive doesn't just bar elderly people from this otherwise highly trafficked corner. I've heard dozens of neighbors, including cool young people that probably would otherwise would love to go to Le Dive about how this one bar drags down the quality of life for the entire open street. These are not just violations, but a result of a business systematically ignoring the well-being of its neighbors with no consequences. We hope that Le Dive can be a better partner in the future. When they were notified about this called up, they sprung to respond, unlike their reactions to nearly the 75 3-1-1 complaints from their neighbors. This shows that they're capable of being a communicative neighbor and

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we're happy to reconsider their application next year. I also want to assure the business owners and patrons the removal of the sidewalk cafe won't hurt the business or your ability to have a good time, provided that the rules are followed. Le Dive will still be eligible for 70-plus outdoor dining seats on the open street. They will still have access to the Open Street program and the Roadside program, which makes up the majority of their outdoor dining opportunities. This sidewalk cafe will have relatively little impact on the business, as I said, which will still be allowed to have its entertainment program on the open street and on the roadside cafe. But it's an essential tool that we must use that is important that these rules and regulations are followed, because if not, this becomes a pattern. And many businesses will see that if one business can do it, why can't they? And so today is making sure that we are allowed to have successful open streets, we are allowed to have successful sidewalk cafes, but the only way we can do that is by making sure that the bad actors are held accountable.

Thank you for your time, Chair, and for the opportunity to speak.

JOHN NEIDICH: All good.

_

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

And now the applicant team may begin. I just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

MAX BOOKMAN: Yes, thank you. Thank you, Chair. Thank you, Council Member Marte. My name is Max Bookman. I'm an attorney. My law firm is Pesetsky and Bookman PC, and I'm the counsel for the applicant. With me is John Neidich and Craig Atlas. John is one of the owners and founding partners of Golden Age Hospitality, which owns Le Dive, and Craig is one of the partners as well as the managing operating partner for the restaurant and eating and drinking establishment. Thank you again for the opportunity to present testimony to the Council today.

I just want to give a little bit of background, first about the team that's here today, as well as what the proposal is, and then respond to some of the concerns that Council Member Marte has raised. I've personally been representing businesses

before, used to be the Department of Consumer Affairs and now the Department of Transportation in Sidewalk Cafe and other commercial uses of the public sidewalk for the last 10 years of my legal career. My firm has been doing it for the last 39 years. I've personally been involved with several hundred Sidewalk Cafe applications over that period of time. We're no stranger to the process and how it works, as well as how these City Council call-ups work, which of course were suspended for five years during the pandemic, but were a feature of the program both before COVID as well as in the new DOT program. John is, as you heard me say, is a founding partner of Golden Age Hospitality. He is a New York homegrown success story. He's lived and worked in this industry his entire career. He is a fixture of this industry. He is completely devoted to the restaurants and the bars that he opens. He's raising a family here. He currently owns about a half dozen bars and restaurants in the city, and he's a very involved owner of his businesses. And as you heard me say, Craig is very involved in the day-to-day operations of the business.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Le Dive is a Parisian-style eating and drinking establishment. It opened in 2021, and it, you know, I've heard a description of it today potentially as trendy. You know, it has a lot of support in the community, and I think that's one thing that we want to emphasize. Of course, all our communities in New York are diverse, have a lot of different voices, and some of the voices that we've submitted in testimony today, many of the voices that we've submitted through emails that have been submitted to Committee Council and potentially testifying today, if they've stayed around, are voices that support Le Dive and believe that they are good operators and support this application for a sidewalk cafe. In the materials that we've submitted, we've given a few indications of not only just the emails and support, but the types of community engagement that Le Dive is regularly involved in doing. They've been involved with family-driven community events. We gave photographs and descriptions of a Halloween event that they were part of that involved families and face painting, a Christmas tree lighting. They've donated over 200,000 dollars to charitable causes that have a direct

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

impact in the community. Nobody required them to do that. That was not pursuant to any stipulation. That's just part of who they are. They have roots in the community, and they consider themselves part of the community, and many others in the community feel the same way. There's a long history of eating and drinking establishments at this location going back before Le Dive. As far as we could tell, going back to 2007, which was 18 years ago, throughout that time, there's been an eating and drinking establishment at this location, and potentially earlier. We just can't see past 2007. All of them have had some version of a sidewalk cafe on Ludlow Street, which is what the application is before you today. Le Dive is on the corner of Canal and Ludlow, and this sidewalk cafe is on Ludlow Street, and they've all had a version of a sidewalk cafe. Our proposal to DOT and before the Council is nine tables and 18 chairs on Ludlow. That layout was approved by DOT, examined and approved by DOT, and importantly, it was approved by Community Board 3 with stipulations. I just want to reiterate that because I've been involved in call-ups before, and this is the first call-up that I've been involved in where we 2 actually have an approval with stipulations from the

3 Community Board, which of course is another voice in

4 | a community with lots of voices, but it's an

5 | important voice that is often looked to as a source

of guidance, as a useful tool for raising issues, and

7 we have stipulations with Community Board 3 in

support of this application.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We understand that the application has been called up because of concerns about our operation. We just heard, Council Member, your remarks, and we'd like to have a chance to address those in a few different ways. First is, you know, this call-up did come as a surprise to us. Within the four corners of this application, you know, not only were we approved by the Community Board, but at no point in the process with the Community Board were any of these concerns raised with us. We were not made aware by the Community Board that anybody opposed our application. No one had reached out to us from the broader community looking to tell us that they were opposed to our application, and I've been involved in a lot of Community Board applications over my time. One of the other things we do is liquor licenses. I'm routinely in front of this Community

sat down here at the dais from very limited

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

information regarding what specific opposition there is to our application, and we've also only had vague ideas, and we still only do, as to who exactly it is who's putting forth this opposition, whether it's various sort of disparate community members, which is sometimes the case, or whether it's an organized group of residents, which is also sometimes the case. Because of that, we've had no opportunity to sit down face-to-face with any of them, whoever they are, to speak and directly hear from them about their issues concerning this application. We're grateful for the opportunity to appear before you today, Council Member, and for the engagement we've had with your office over the past week, which is basically the chance that we've had to be involved here. But, of course, we've had no opportunity to sit down face-toface with you to discuss these concerns, but we are here to listen. We have the top two people at this restaurant here. They want to hear specifically what the issues are. If there are members of the community here today in opposition, we want to hear from them. They're experienced operators. They understand how this process works. They've gotten liquor licenses before. They've gotten sidewalk cafe licenses before.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

They understand that compromise is key, and if there are specific areas that we can compromise on, we want to know. We're here to listen about any aspect of our operation, not just what's contained on the Ludlow Street proposal, but at the same time, we want to be clear about what this application does cover and what it doesn't cover. As you heard me say, this is a DOT application for nine tables on the sidewalk on Ludlow Street. This is not about any other approval program that the City has. This is not about the Canal Street Open Street, which I know and the Council Member knows as well, has generated a great deal of passion from many different viewpoints. That's not what this application is here today. We understand from the very limited info that we have that there's been some 3-1-1 complaints, but I know that every member of this Committee and every member of this City Council cares a great deal about due process, due process for small businesses, and due process generally. There have been zero adjudicated violations from any agency concerning this business. We understand that there's been 3-1-1 complaints. We've just heard that, but there have been no adjudicated violations, and that's not something that we hide behind. That's just a

this community, and we ask you that you be a

facilitator of that conversation. My office has

24

⊥	SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONING	AND	FRANCHISES

3 another sidewalk cafe application where we were able

worked with your office in the past, very recently on

4 to successfully reach a deal that the community board

5 wasn't completely happy with, and we weren't, but it

6 was a compromise, and that's what compromise is all

7 about. We hope that we can have the chance to do that

8 here for this season, and the way it's done is

through stipulations. Stipulations, my last point,

10 stipulations are what create accountability.

11 Stipulations are incorporated into the DOT license.

12 | They are verifiable. If my client violates

13 | stipulations that we've agreed to with your office,

which get incorporated into the DOT license, there

15 | are consequences. DOT can take a license away. We

16 | think that's the right approach here, and we thank

17 | you once again for your time, for your engagement on

18 | this. We look forward to continuing to work with you

19 | and your office, and we really do hope that we can

20 | reach a compromise that works for all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mr.

22 Bookman. I have a few questions, and I'm going to

23 | turn it over to Council Member Marte.

21

14

sidewalk cafes at this location, but just through

7 1	SUBCOMMITTEE	\sim 3 T		70 70 77 77	
	I GIIBCANNNIIIIII	() ()	'/ () N I I N I ('	// // I	
	1	() 1		\neg	- 1. I I III - III

2.2

2 conversations in the community, as well as Google

3 Street View, which has a time travel function.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: That's what I was just looking at.

MAX BOOKMAN: We could see that if you go all the way back to 2007, which is when Google started doing this, there was a restaurant called Les Enfants Terribles that had a sidewalk cafe there, and if you go forward, you could see at various other times there were as well.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Have you also applied for an open roadway cafe for your establishment?

MAX BOOKMAN: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: For how many tables and seats?

MAX BOOKMAN: The roadway cafe is for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 tables with 24 seats.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Can you please discuss how the Community Board responded to your application and what modifications, well, you responded to how they responded to your application, but can you, for the record, can you state what modifications the Community Board presented?

2 MAX BOOKMAN: Sure. So, we were presented 3 with a stipulation from Manhattan Community Board 3. 4 They asked us to agree to things that are more limiting than what the program laws and regulations allow. For example, the Community Board wanted us to 6 7 close all outdoor seating by 10 p.m. The law allows 8 for midnight. We agreed to 10 p.m. They asked for a phone number for Craig that could be conspicuously posted at all times so that anybody from the 10 11 community had concerns, they would be able to 12 directly contact him. That is enshrined in the 13 stipulation. We have a copy of the stipulation form 14 here, and just on that point, I just would like just 15 to say, because I know Council Member Marte raised 16 it, you know, it was important for us to make an 17 agreement with the Community Board because, A, we 18 know how important the community engagement is, but 19 also, you know, we knew that not having a sidewalk 20 cafe actually would have a significant amount of 21 consequence for the business. We've done calculations 2.2 here, and three to four employees are employed through the sidewalk cafe alone. Three to four 2.3 employees are subject to losing their jobs without 24 the cafe. So, it's not completely a sort of academic 25

into. But of course, the plan that we've proposed and

that, again, we're happy to further modify if needed,
would create adequate space as far as the ADA is

concerned.

2.2

2.3

know how you keep records of complaints or how you address them. My office alone has received dozens of complaints, not only through petitions but direct emails to our office from local non-profits, from other businesses and residents in the area, and so for me, it's also a surprise that you haven't received any complaints. Have you spoken to your staff or managers at the location to see if they've gotten... residents that have walked in to file some of these complaints directly?

MAX BOOKMAN: So, Council Member, I'm going to say a word on that, but then I am going to turn it over to my clients because they're under oath as well, and I want you to hear it under oath directly from them on this point. In the week that I've been involved since the call-up investigating this, they have been emphatic with me that they have not received complaints from individuals in the community concerning the operation of their outdoor space. There was one particular resident, the only

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 exception to that is one particular resident, who

3 they could speak to more directly about, but there

4 has not been, beyond that, complaints that we're

5 aware of, nor are we aware of communications from any

6 elected officials or the Community Board directly to

7 us concerning our operation. But with that said, let

me turn it over to my clients to add to it.

JOHN NEIDICH: Thanks, Max. Yeah, as Max said, we've been doing this for 12 years, and we've had a number of instances with places that we've run when there have been neighbors who have had complaints around operation. There was an instance around sidewalk operations during the pandemic at one of our venues in the West Village, and the person came to us, left their number, our email was given, they reached out, and we started a conversation in which we compromised, complied, took off. I mean, it was the pandemic, so it was a different situation, but we basically took down half of our sidewalk so that we could comply with creating passageway for that. In this instance, there have not been any... no one has gone and created an outreach that facilitates a conversation where someone sends an email to us or gives a phone number or calls us directly, which is

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 | normally how we're done. Our managers are also

3 instructed to give out numbers or our contact

4 information. On our Community Board stipulations that

5 were from the very beginning that we were on, our

6 numbers are on them, so there are avenues that we... I

7 know the Community Board knows how to get in touch

8 | with us because we've been in touch with the

9 Community Board over... we were in touch with the Block

10 Association when we first got our license, who hasn't

11 | been involved in this process. So, sorry, that was a

12 | long answer to say that there hasn't been any formal

13 | outreach which we have either ignored or pushed to

14 | the side or anything like that.

MAX BOOKMAN: And if I could just add one more point to that, Council Member, which is, you know, again, I've been involved with a lot of Community Board applications, liquor licenses. It's a common feature or bug of the system, I suppose, where you appear, whether it's a hearing like this or at Community Board, and there's sort of a disagreement about who reached out to who and when and was it sufficient, and sometimes different parties could

just have different views of the same facts. I mean,

I think the important point, the point that I just

really want to emphasize is we are here now. There's an opportunity now. I mean, we've been emphatic, you've heard about what our view is in terms of outreach to us, but, you know, even if you don't want to take that view, we are here now. This is not the end of the story. This is a hearing today to hear it out, and we would greatly appreciate an opportunity to sit down, facilitated by your office, with Members of your office, with whoever it is that has reached out to your office to see if we can't address

questions. You know, residents and actually the Block Association have demonstrated proof of complete blockage of the sidewalk, amplified music coming from business late into the night, alcohol service happening past the permitted hours in the open street, which has a curfew of 10 p.m., and wait lines into the restaurant, which are a violation of the stipulations from Community Board 3, and a lot of these have been recorded as 3-1-1 complaints. What process do you have in place to address these 3-1-1 complaints, and have you gotten them resolved?

2.2

2.3

something now.

2 MAX BOOKMAN: I'll be happy to address 3 that, and then if you have anything else you want to 4 add, feel free, but you don't have to. So, you know, one of the, you know, issues with the 3-1-1 system 5 is, you know, if there is no summons or violation 6 7 that comes from that, it's very difficult for us as 8 the business owner to be put on notice. We think the Community Board is aware of that as well, which is why their stipulation process sort of doesn't really 10 11 rely on 3-1-1, but instead relies on direct communication between the business and the 12 13 individuals in the community who are having a 14 complaint through the posting of phone numbers and 15 other means of access, because that is a better system, as you've heard John speak to, than the 3-1-1 16 17 system. So, you know, through the system that the 18 Community Board has suggested that we use, which is 19 through direct communication, you've heard us say 20 that we've really not had the types of complaints 21 that we're hearing about. Again, we're not here to 2.2 say that people are lying. We're not here to say that 2.3 people don't have issues. We're just here to say that, you know, we've not had a chance to sit down 24 with those people to actually talk it out, and it is 25

2.2

2.3

important, again, on the point of due process, which I know is something that you stand for as, you know, as very important, you know, again, not saying anybody is lying, but, you know, it is important that if there are violations, and Community Boards say this all the time, that they be brought to the appropriate agency so there could be an adjudication, so we could get the facts out on the record, see if someone, you know, have a chance to confront and speak with who's making the accusation, and it's critical here that we don't have any adjudicated violations, and as you've heard me say, we'd love a chance to speak with whoever it is who has been having complaints through 3-1-1 or otherwise.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much, Council Member Marte.

I just want to state, we do have members that are testifying online, so if you want to stay and possibly hear some of these community members, that would be important.

I do want to state, it's not a question, but it's a statement, usually as Council Members, and you possibly haven't heard these complaints, but as

testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the
members of the public here to testify, please note
that the witnesses will generally be called in panels
of four. If you are a member of the public signed up
to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you
hear your name being called and prepare to speak when
I say you may begin. Please also note that once all
panelists in your group have completed their
testimony, if remotely, you will be removed from the
meeting as a group. The next group of speakers will
be introduced. Once removed, participants may
continue to view the live stream broadcast of this
hearing on the Council's website.

Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant-at-Arms has started the clock.

I will begin with the first panel, which consists of Sandy Lay, Bruce Tory, Emma Culbert, and Tommy Mendes. We'll begin first with Sandy Lay.

Sandy, if you can hear me, please unmute.

SANDY LAY: Hi. Sandy Lay here.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you.

24 You may begin.

2 SANDY LAY: Great. Well, first of all, 3 thank you, Council, for your time today. My name is 4 Sandy Lay, and I'm here from Neighbors on Canal. I live in Chinatown on Canal Street, half a block away from Le Dive. Le Dive has been an absolute nightmare 6 for the neighborhood. They are by far the worst 7 8 operator on the block. Their crowds are massive, unruly, disrespectful. They are so disrespectful and large that they employed private security on the 10 11 public open street seven days a week last summer. 12 They are in constant violation of their SLA 13 stipulations. They have DJs in the basement. They 14 have wait lines outside on Ludlow Street. They blast 15 amplified music with their façade open audible from 16 two blocks away. They consistently block sidewalk access by adding tables. A two-top suddenly becomes a 17 18 four-top, suddenly becomes an eight-top. They 19 encourage smoking by placing ashtrays on outdoor 20 tables. I have a child. It's gotten so bad on Canal Street that I've been rejected by babysitters who say 21 they want to avoid the area. They can't get picked up 2.2 2.3 in front of the house. They tell me it's dangerous stepping outside at night and that it's like walking 24 into a music festival. I want to be very clear here 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that Le Dive does not serve the community. It is not a neighborhood joint or a mom-and-pop restaurant. It is an outpost of a corporate nightlife empire called Golden Age. They offer 16-dollar cocktails even though 26 percent of our neighbors here in Chinatown live below the poverty line. So, today we are speaking about a grand total of 18 sidewalk seats. Last year, Le Dive operated 90 outdoor seats. So even if these 18 seats are rejected, under Dine Out NYC and Open Streets, Le Dive will still be able to operate 70-plus seats outdoors. 18 seats is no great loss to a hospitality giant like Golden Age, but it is a step in the right direction in restoring quality of life to residents and neighboring businesses. And in regards to neighbors not contacting them directly, I am authorized to read a written statement from Anne Wenninger at 35 Canal Street who wrote in her written testimony to the Land Use Committee, "when we and our downstairs neighbors have asked them to reduce their music sound, they laugh in our (TIMER CHIME) faces and say no.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

SANDY LAY: So please, please do the right thing and curb LE Dive's extravagant outdoor dining

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

61

- 2 allowances and restore balance to our neighborhood.
- 3 Thank you.

- 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next we'll
- 5 | hear from Bruce Tory.
- 6 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Bruce, if you can hear 8 me, please unmute and you may begin.
- 9 BRUCE TORY: Good morning.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay, we hear you,
- 11 Bruce. Go ahead.
- BRUCE TORY: Yeah, thank you. Sorry about
- 13 | that. Thank you for your time this morning. I don't
- 14 | think I'm able to add anything more coherent and
- 15 succinct than Sandy, but I would just maybe call out,
- 16 | I find it quite striking to compare and contrast the
- 17 | subject of the previous hearing, a mixed-use building
- 18 of clear benefit to a neighborhood over a church with
- 19 | this application, which frankly provides zero benefit
- 20 to the neighborhood and in fact is a net negative to
- 21 | our quality of life and safety so thank you for your
- 22 time. I agree with Sandy. We need to do something to
- 23 roll this back and here's a first step. Thank you for
- 24 | your time this morning.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll 3 hear from Emma Culbert.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

EMMA CULBERT: Good morning. Can you hear

me?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can.

EMMA CULBERT: Good morning. My name is Emma Culbert. I'm a 20-plus year resident of the Lower East Side and also President of a long-standing block association called SPaCE. I'm raising my family 200 feet from Le Dive. Our block association met with John Neidich in July 2021, and we came to an agreement regarding hours and impressed upon him the vibe of our neighborhood. Since Le Dive opened almost three years ago, they've preyed upon the community with zero concern for their neighbors. Le Dive regularly flout stipulations dictated by their New York State liquor license as well as dine-out stipulations. They also have been in gross violation of the American Disability Acts, and finally they constantly have patrons standing in and amongst their outdoor tables smoking tobacco, cannabis, vaping at the tables, thus violating the Smoke-Free Air Act. They've created a huge quality-of-life issue for

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

required to have a sit down to review his stipulations as he's required by law, and that also that there's no adjudicated violations because the DOT and NYPD are grossly understaffed and have not been on top of managing bad actors. If John and his lawyer prefer that we go after the SLA license, we can do that. And finally, three or four employees for 18 seats. That's simply just not the case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. One last time. Tommy Mendes. Tommy, if you're here, please unmute. You may begin.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

TOMMY MENDES: Hello. I'm the owner of Bar Belly, which is located on Orchard Canal, and we've been there for 12 years. I will not say anything about the business. It is the open street situation. And for us in particular, it's the traffic. We're on Orchard Street, and all the traffic has to come up Orchard Street, which is extremely narrow. And, you know, four years ago, we had our roof, our outdoor barrier hit by a truck where the roof collapsed. Thank God it was during the day. We were not open. It is so narrow. And on the weekends, Fridays and

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Saturdays in particular, (INAUDIBLE) Orchard delivery drivers. It is just a matter of time before someone is injured really very seriously there. Nobody really knows where they're at, including the people that are now down over here that have no idea what (INAUDIBLE) Square is or what they're doing or where they're going, and it is like an outdoor festival. The situation really is, when you think about it, is they turn to Division to come to Orchard only to drive two blocks to Grand, where they're forced to turn to the right or to the left. And instead of having a barrier, if they were, onto Allen Street, which is two lanes. For the life of me, I cannot understand how they've let this happen. I mean, I've sent many videos to the Council, to Community Board, just the traffic, the cars that are backed up, cars that do back up and the Fire Department that just can't get through there. I mean, it is so critical. And it's incredible because we're talking about Orchard Street. We're not talking about a small (TIMER CHIME) street to close here.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

TOMMY MENDES: A major thoroughfare. So, again, I'm not sitting here saying it's just the open

neighborhood has a stipulations agreement signed by

us. Many block associations just try to shoot down

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KATHARINA MALDON: Hi. Good morning. Thank you for your time this morning. I just wanted to add that we are regulars at Le Dive. We go there a lot in the summer, and I have a lot of friends who live and work in the area, and I think it's absurd to assert that a restaurant and outside cafe is not a resource to the neighborhood or doesn't add anything to a neighborhood because New York City thrives on restaurants and entertainment, and I just think that Le Dive has been overwhelmingly positive. We spend a lot of time there. We sit outside a lot. I personally have pushed a stroller down the sidewalk with no problems. The staff is very attentive to managing the crowds, to managing the line, moving people out of the way. There's somewhat limited impact people can have on moving the public around when they stand on public sidewalks. But everything I've seen from Le Dive is that they do what they can to manage the impact and be good neighbors to other businesses and the residents in the neighborhood. And I think those sidewalk tables are like, they're always pushed up against the wall. There's only two chairs. I've never seen them turn those two tops into four tops on the sidewalk specifically. So, I personally have not seen

space. It's a gathering space where people are

outside. It's mostly people eating dinner. It's not

24

2

3

4

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

honest, of <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> Square and kind of the community it has there so I think it'd be a

9 disservice to the neighborhoods for them to lose 10 their tables. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Michael Lermont.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

MICHAEL LERMONT: Lermont. Yeah. Thanks so much. Yeah, thanks for your time. Yeah, so I'm a 25-year resident of the Lower East Side. I don't live directly above Le Dive. I live on Clinton Street so I don't want to diminish the concerns of some of my neighbors, but I do want to say I'm a big supporter of open streets. And I just think the Open Streets program, particularly there on Jackson Street as well as Canal, have just added so much to our community and just created really positive street life for this neighborhood. As far as the tables on Ludlow Street, that stretch of Ludlow is kind of dark and kind of

2.2

2.3

blighted next to the theater that's basically just sitting there so I feel like tables there are a great addition to an otherwise pretty dark area in our neighborhood so I'm here to support. I think that area and the Open Streets program in general is just very, very good, and outdoor dining is an amazing addition to the community, obviously not to diminish any of the concerns of my neighbors over some people

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. And lastly, we'll hear from Kahiem Rivera.

who may behave badly. Anyway, thanks so much.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time starts now.

KAHIEM RIVERA: Hi. Thank you. I am the general manager at Le Dive. I started in October. I'm a third generation New Yorker. My mother and grandmother both grew up here. I'm super sensitive to neighborhoods changing and gentrification. My mom has been priced out of most of the neighborhoods that she used to rent from. Since I started in October, my employers have always told me prioritize the neighbors. I give my information out to anyone that comes by and says they're a neighbor as a guest or just that I'm introduced to. It's really been a priority to listen to feedback and adjust

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.

2.3

24

CHIME)

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 KAHIEM RIVERA: You know, we want to be 3 able to operate. That's all.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. Are there any questions for this panel, Council Member Marte?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: I'll ask one question to Mr. Rivera. When you do have crowds, what is your process to deal with it, specifically on the sidewalk?

KAHIEM RIVERA: So, you know, on the weekends, this happens in the afternoon, you know, people will queue up to try to get a spot, and what we do is we run a wait list, and we say, hey, you know, you can't wait here, but we'll shoot you a text as soon as we have something available, and you try to move through it as quickly as you can. At night, you know, it's a high-trafficked area on Canal Street. People will try to line up, and I walk up and down the line, and I say, hey, we're at capacity right now, please go grab a drink somewhere else, you can try again in a little while, but we can't have a line. We have neighbors upstairs. And that is an every night thing that I do. And again, there's only so much we can do to fight natural foot traffic on

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 75 2 Canal Street when there are so many businesses. But 3 we are doing that. 4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Marte. 5 There being no questions for this panel, 6 7 this panel is excused. We'll just stand at ease for 30 seconds. 8 9 If you are online and want to testify on this sidewalk cafe proposal, please use the raise hand 10 function. 11 12 All right. There being no other members 13 of the public who wish to testify on LU-241 related 14 to the application by Le Dive for a sidewalk cafe 15 application, this public hearing is now closed and 16 the item is laid over. 17 That concludes today's business. I would 18 like to thank the members of the public, my 19 Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use, and other 20 Council Staff, and especially the Sergeant-at-Arms for participating in today's meeting. This meeting is 21 hereby adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL] 2.2 23

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 26, 2025