COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

April 13, 2022 Start: 10:41 a.m. Recess: 3:20 p.m.

HELD AT: REMOTE HEARING - VIRTUAL ROOM 3

B E F O R E: James F. Gennaro, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Jennifer Gutiérrez Kamillah Hanks Robert F. Holden

Ari Kagan Julie Menin

Francisco P. Moya

Sandy Nurse Lincoln Restler Pierina Ana Sanchez

Pierina Ana Sanchez Alexa Avilés

Charles Barron Tiffany Cabán David M. Carr Oswald Feliz Crystal Hudson

## APPEARANCES

Rohit Aggarwala, Commissioner Department of Environmental Protection Constadino "Gus" Sirakis, Commissioner Department of Buildings Gina Bocra, Chief Sustainability Officer Department of Buildings Anthony Fiore, Chief Decarbonization Officer Department of Citywide Administrative Services Vlada Kenniff, Vice President for Energy and Sustainability, NYCHA. Costa Constantinides, former Council Member Louise Yeung Donna De Costanzo Shravanthi Kanekal Carlos Castell Croke Michel Yaki Brett Thomason Bob Friedrich Lonnie Portis Alex Shapanka Chris Halfnight Martha Sickles Lucia Santacruz Pete Sikora Jeff Rios Warren Schreiber Sharon Zea Rincon Hannah Birnbaum Justin Wood Megan Ahearn Georgi Page Eric E. Weltman Shiv Soin Karolina Gomez Richard Lipsky Atalia Howe

Sonal Jessel Jasmine Graham Crystal Smith

## APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Michael De Valera Geoff Mazel Iram Amin

Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on Housing and

25

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Law.

Buildings. For the record, this is an oversight

hearing on the implementation of Local Law 97 of

2019, the city's landmark Greenhouse Gas Reduction

On June 7, 2021, just last year, the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii which has compiled atmospheric CO2 data since the 1950s recorded 419.33 parts per million, that's ppm, of CO2 in the atmosphere, the highest CO2 reading since record keeping began. On April 8, 2022, just a few days ago, CO2 emissions were recorded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration at 420.22 ppm of CO2. It has been estimated by NASA that these are the highest CO2 levels the planet has seen in the past 800,000 years. There was a study published in 2017 in the climate-focused journal, The Anthropocene Review, which estimates that human-linked factors during the past 6 decades are causing the climate to change 170 times faster than they would without human intervention. The effects of climate change disproportionately burden low-income communities, also burden communities of color, children, senior citizens. Low-income communities often lack the financial and community resources to respond to these

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 6

climate-induced disasters, and such disasters are disproportionately likely to impact neighborhoods

with environmental justice concerns.

2.2

2.3

According to the International Panel on Climate Change, keeping worldwide warming of the planet before 1.5 degrees Celsius, an important benchmark to avoid less the planet's climate suffer dire climate consequences, will require reaching net-0 global carbon emissions by 2050. Certainly, a daunting task. Local Law 66 of 2014 requires the city to reduce citywide greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent lower than its 2005 level by 2050. That's, of course, the 80 by 50, which was done in 2014. This requirement to reduce greenhouse gas emissions falls largely on New York City's one million plus buildings which are by far the largest source of local greenhouse gas emissions, approximately 70 percent.

It is estimated that more than 90 percent of New York City's current buildings will still be standing in 2050. Increasing energy efficiency of both existing buildings and new construction is imperative to meet the city's emissions reduction mandates. Buildings 25,000 square feet or greater account for the largest proportion of building

emissions in the city and 35 percent citywide emissions overall across all sectors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In 2019, the City Council passed Local Law 97, which sets emissions limits for buildings of 25,000 square feet or larger in order to achieve a 40 percent carbon equivalent reduction by 2030 and to meet an important milestone to meet the overall reduction goal of 80 by 50. There are about 50,000 buildings covered by this law, but together they comprise nearly 60 percent of New York City's building area. This law sets emissions intensity limits for 10 categories of buildings with intensity calculated in metric tons of emissions per square foot. The emissions intensity limit for a specific building is calculated by the relevant building category multiplied by the gross floor area of the specific building. A lot of tech talk. When Local Law 97's emissions goals are met, and they will be met, it will represent a reduction of approximately 17 million metric tons of CO2 per year from a 2005 baseline by 2030. That is the equivalent of removing 3.6 million cars from the road per year. Data analysis suggests that retrofitting all 50,000 buildings covered by the Local Law by 2030 would

2.2

2.3

generate nearly 25 billion dollars of economic activity and potentially reduce energy consumption costs of retrofitted buildings by up to 30 percent. Proper implementation of this Local Law will not only put New York City well on track to meet its climate commitments but would also significantly reduce local emissions to the benefit of public health and the environment.

reduce greenhouse gas emissions you are also reducing all of the other harmful pollutants that are covered by the federal Clean Air Act which we're effectively not allowed to regulate, but, when we reduce CO2, we're also reducing these other harmful pollutants. That means we're kind of regulating them through the back door, but this is to say that we're not only doing this for the planet but we also generate large local clean air benefits by reducing greenhouse gases so it's global and local positive impact. Back to the script.

Of course, implementing Local Law 97 will generate the green jobs and grow our city's economy.

I'm happy to report that the Council has partnered with DEP Commissioner Aggarwala and the Mayor's

2.2

2.3

Office of Climate and Environmental Justice to create a working group to partner with Climate Jobs New York and other organizations who seek to advance the climate jobs agenda. The Council is grateful for this partnership with the Adams' administration and creating good clean jobs in communities where they are most needed. We welcome more partners in this endeavor, and I encourage anybody that is interested to contact my office to engage in this process.

I would like to thank the terrific staff of the Committee who does such great work over the years, Committee Counsel Samara Swanson, Policy Analyst Ricky Chawla, and Financial Analyst Jonathan Seltzer, and, of course, my staff, my Legislative Director Nabjot Kaur and Matthew Malloy who worked very hard on this hearing.

One important housekeeping note I will mention is that in order to get all the organizations who wish to testify on the record without waiting an inordinate amount of time, each organization will be allowed one witness until all the organizations that are here today have been heard. Once all the organizations have been heard, organizations that have more than one witness will have an opportunity

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to have its second witness or more witnesses if they so desire to be heard. This is to say that no organization will be heard twice before all organizations have been heard at least once. I think that is fair, and that is how we shall proceed.

Let me go to my phone, I hear it going off because I have to recognize Members. I told them to send me the Members that are here so we are very, very grateful to be joined by Council Member Moya, Council Member Hanks, Council Member Kagan, Council Member Menin, Council Member Nurse. They're all Members of the Committee on Environmental Protection. We also have Council Member Holden, also a Member of the Committee on Environmental Protection. We have other Members of the Council and particularly Members of the Housing and Buildings Committee here. Other Members that have been sent to me that are here, Council Members Aviles, Brewer, Caban, Carr, De La Rosa, Hudson, Council Member Restler, a Member of the Committee on Environmental Protection who just joined, and we have Council Member Barron as well. That is the full list as I have it. I would urge staff when they see other Members join the hearing to let me know so I can give them proper recognition.

With that, it is really my honor to call upon my esteemed co-Chair to make her opening statement so I happily recognized Council Member Sanchez for her opening statement. Chair Sanchez.

Thank you.

hearing today.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Chair Gennaro. Good morning, everyone. I am Council
Member Pierina Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on
Housing and Buildings. Thank you to my co-Chair,
Council Member Gennaro, for holding this joint

2.2

2.3

oversight on one of the most consequential pieces of legislation enacted by the City Council in recent memory, Local Law 97 of 2019. We are facing a climate crisis, and we must entirely transform our economy, our society, and our entire way of being in order to try to stop it. The effects of carbon dioxide on atmospheric temperatures are well-known. We know that it is imperative that reducing carbon dioxide will have a dramatic impact on the climate of the entire planet. We also know that the effects of climate change are felt inequitably by some of our city's most vulnerable communities, low-income communities,

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 12 communities of color, children, and seniors. It is 2 3 therefore a matter of urgency that we work 4 expediently and aggressively to meet the city's climate goals. In New York City, we have poor air 5 quality caused by the many highways crisscrossing our 6 7 communities, dirty fuel burning in our buildings, toxic pollutants flowing in our rivers and the peaker 8 plants, wastewater treatment plants, and waste facilities occupying our waterfronts. In partnerships 10 11 and through the implementation of Local Law 97, we will be tackling the biggest source of New York City 12 emissions. New York City buildings account for over 13 70 percent of our greenhouse gases. With 50,000 14 15 buildings over 25,000 square feet accounting for 30 16 percent of those emissions, 59 percent of which are 17 residential and 41 percent of which are commercial. 18 Local Law 97 is an ambitious effort by the City 19 Council to bring the city's buildings' emissions 20 down, setting limits on large buildings in order to achieve a 40 percent carbon equivalent reduction by 21 2030 and to meet the reduction goal of 80 by 50 2.2 2.3 previously set.

If met, our 2030 targets would represent a reduction of approximately 17 million metric tons

24

25

2.2

2.3

of carbon dioxide per year from a 2005 baseline by the year 2030, equivalent to removing 3.6 million cars from the environment each year. Fortunately in the Bronx where I represent and in frontline communities all across New York City, we have a long history of environmental justice activism spurred out of necessity after generations of environmental racism and disinvestment in our communities. I want to take a moment to thank environmental justice leaders and environmental advocates for their work in pushing for Local Law 97, their participation on the current advisory group, and their ongoing work to keep the city on task and the public informed on Local Law 97 implementation.

Now, nearly 3 years after its enactment and after over 2 years of COVID-19, the time is right for the City Council to take a closer look at Local Law 97 and its implementation so far. Local Law 97 represents the most aggressive municipal law in the country to reduce emissions targets. As Chair of the Housing and Buildings Committee, I am particularly interested to hear from the Department of Buildings on updates on the implementation of Local Law 97 and whether the city is on track to meet its goals, both

in rule-making and our own municipal goals of 2

4

3

residential versus commercial buildings including

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

23

24

reduction by 2025. I'm interested to hear updates on NYCHA properties. Nationwide, as we continue to fight for a

more aggressive green planet, Green New Deal, that closes are all peaker plants and more rapidly moves us off fossil fuels. Local Law 97 is going to be a critical piece of legislation to enact and to move forward and implement in a timely fashion.

Thank you, and I look forward to a robust and substantive discussion today.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much, Chair Sanchez, for your comprehensive opening statement and all the work that you've done to get ready for this hearing. I certainly appreciate your partnership. I think the next item on my list is to turn it over to the Moderator, Counsel to the Committee, to go over some procedural items. Is that right, Madam Moderator?

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Yes, you are correct. Okay. I'm Samara Swanson, Counsel to the Environmental Protection Committee of the New York

2 City Council. Welcome to this hearing of the 3 Environmental Protection Committee.

2.2

2.3

Before we begin, I want to remind
everyone that you will be on mute until you are
called on to testify when you will be unmuted by the
host. I will be calling on panelists to testify.

Please be aware that there could be a delay in muting
and unmuting you so listen for your name to be
called. I will be periodically announcing who the
next panelists will be.

We will begin with testimony from the administration, which will be followed by <a href="(INAUDIBLE)">(INAUDIBLE)</a>. During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question, please use the Zoom raise hand function, and I will call on you in order.

We will be limited Council Member questions to 5 minutes including responses. I will call on you when it's your turn to speak.

During the hearing, if Council Members would like to ask a question, please use the Zoom raise hand function, and I'll call on you in the order that you raised your hand.

Now, I will deliver the oath to the administration, and I will call on each of you

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 16
2	individually to record your answers to be followed by
3	your testimony. Would you please raise your right
4	hands?
5	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
6	whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this
7	Committee and to respond honestly to the Council
8	Member questions? Rit.
9	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I do.
10	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Constadino
11	"Gus" Sirakis.
12	COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: I do.
13	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Gina Bocra.
14	CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: I do.
15	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Anthony Fiore.
16	CHIEF DECARBONIZATION OFFICER FIORE: Good
17	morning, Samara. I do.
18	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Thank you.
19	Good morning. Vlada Kenniff.
20	VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Good morning. I
21	do.
22	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Vlada Kenniff.
23	VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Can you hear me?
2.4	Good morning. I do.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: If I could, Rit, before you start. I've been notified by staff that we've been joined by Council Member Gutierrez. Very happy to have the Council Member with us. While I'm talking, let me take this opportunity to welcome you back, Rit. We worked many years ago. We're back together. I really appreciate you and your team that's here today and the steadfast commitment of this administration to fully implement Local Law 97. With that, it will be an honor to hear your good testimony.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Good morning. My name is Rit Aggarwala. I'm

the Chief Climate Officer for New York City and the

Commissioner of the Department of Environmental

Protection. I'd like to thank Chair Sanchez and Chair

Gennaro and the Members of the Housing and Buildings

and Environmental Protection Committees for the

opportunity to testify today. I am excited to work

with all of you as we implement this critical climate

legislation.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

I'd like to acknowledge my Colleagues,
Gus Sirakis, Acting Commissioner of the Department of
Buildings, Anthony Fiore, the city's Chief
Decarbonization Officer and Deputy Commissioner at
the Department of Citywide Administrative Services,
Gina Bocra, Chief Sustainability Officer at the
Department of Buildings, and Vlada Kenniff, Vice
President for Energy and Sustainability at the New
York City Housing Authority who will join me in
answering your questions today.

Local Law 97, which is part of the historic Climate Mobilization Act passed by the City Council in 2019, requires the city's largest buildings to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions starting in 2024. It also requires that the city reduce annual emissions from city government operations 40 percent by 2025 and 50 percent by 2030. During my testimony today, I'll provide updates on the implementation of this law, both for private buildings and the public sector.

Before I do that, however, I'd like to make a number of points for context as this is the first hearing on decarbonization for this Council and this administration. The Adams' administration is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fully committed to achieving the important greenhouse gas emissions limits established in the law for 2024, for 2025, for 2030, and for 2050. There should be no question about our commitment to these limits which will shape much of our work on decarbonization. Buildings are, as both Chairs have noted, a large portion of this effort as they are the majority of our greenhouse gas emissions, but, of course, our decarbonization work extends beyond buildings to include an expansion of renewable power, mobility initiatives such as congestion pricing, pedestrian and bike safety, and vehicle electrification, and waste efforts, such as developing a smarter approach to organics and the beneficial reuse of solids from our sewer, and, of course, our overall climate strategy includes resilience and environmental justice as co-equal priorities.

The Adams' administration is committed to implementing Local Law 97 in the right way. For us, this means several things. We will ensure that we implement this and all laws with a view towards crafting a just transition and addressing the legacy of environmental injustice that is a reality for so many New Yorkers. We will implement this law in a way

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that creates good-paying local jobs to the greatest extent possible. We will work to ensure that we implement the law in a way that is consistent with the city's dire need to recover economically from the effects of the pandemic, and we should note that a growing, prosperous New York City is good for the planet because New York is the most carbon-efficient community in the United States.

Finally, we will work to implement this law in a way that focuses on compliance and not on penalties. I'd like to say more about this last point. A great deal of the recent discussion about Local Law 97 has emphasized the penalties laid out in the law. The ability in the law for the city to fine buildings that do not meet their limits is a critical tool, one that gives this law teeth. We will not hesitate to levy penalties on buildings that do no comply or simply seek to avoid or negate the law. But the law's title is not the Climate Penalties Act; it is the Climate Mobilization Act. Mobilization implies a broad-based effort that requires multiple parties to play an active role. We don't use the word mobilization when we speak of a simple rulemaking. We don't use the word when we believe the task at hand

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is easy. We use it to describe a situation in which there is a lot to do and where there will be a lot of sticking points, and we usually use it to describe a situation in which the government must play an active role, not just one of standard setting. We know this is true because by definitely any time a fine is warranted under this law it means there has been more carbon emitted into the atmosphere. It means that we have not mobilized the action we need to save the planet. Every fine, therefore, reflects or represents an abject failure of this law's intentions. The good news is that the work we need to do, especially for 2024, is manageable. The law was designed so that 80 percent of covered buildings would not have to do anything at all. That was what the law intended. The set of covered buildings that has work to do is a few thousand buildings. Of these, we estimate that about half need reduce their emissions by 15 percent or less in order to come into compliance. So as we implement Local Law 97, this administration will focus on mobilization. We need to understand the challenges buildings face in undertaking the work that needs to be done, and we need to do everything we can to help them. This is true for all building

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

types. It's obvious that low-income housing needs help, but it's not just low-income housing. Local Law 97 will require middle-income co-ops across the city to do retrofits. There will be houses of worship affected by this law. There will be retailers and community organizations and small businesses affected by this law. In many cases, the work needed will be quite small and highly manageable. In others, the work may be significant. Traditionally, we focus on financing as the key challenge facing buildings that need to retrofit, but it's also likely that we will find buildings that struggle to comply because their co-op board lacks a quorum or their building manager is incapable or they can't find a contractor in time. While the penalties outlined in the law play a key role in giving the law teeth, they are not sufficient to create the mobilization that we need. That is what we will focus on. I'm not going to tell you today at 103 days into this administration that we have all of it figured out, but I'll share with you the areas that we are beginning to prioritize.

The first is clarity for 2024. The first step we must take is to promulgate the rules, implementing Local Law 97 as necessary to enable

2.2

2.3

buildings affected by the first compliance period, which starts in only 20 months, to do the work they need to do. Although additional rulemaking will be necessary to implement other aspects of Local Law 97 including requirements for compliance in years 2030 and beyond, our immediate focus is going to be getting rules in place to ensure that affected buildings are able to comply in 2024. We are also committed to the robust public engagement required by the rulemaking process.

paths. We know that there are emerging ideas under discussion about how the city could create an alternative mechanism to traditional civil penalties that would also help retrofits in affordable housing. We are enthusiastic about this concept, though we have not yet figured out whether, and, if so, how, we would achieve this under current law. We will continue to work on this, and I will point out that we are not pursuing a cap-and-trade system at this time.

A third is additional funding opportunities. We are proud of the work that we have done with the Council as a partner to stand up PACE

2.2

2.3

and the NYC Accelerator. I'll share an update on those in a moment, but we see those as a partial solution to what is necessary. In the coming months, we will be exploring additional sources of funding, financing, and technical assistance looking to the private sector, philanthropy, and our partners at the state.

A fourth is enforcement flexibility. We note that Local Law 97 explicitly in the law provides that buildings acting in good faith may have their applicable annual building emissions limits adjusted or their penalties for noncompliance reduced. We are continuing to explore how these provisions and other options for enforcement flexibility may be utilized to assist building owners who are working to achieve compliance on the fastest practical timeline. We have no intention of giving anyone a free pass or letting anyone off the hook, but we also see no benefit to the environment in punishing someone who is genuinely doing everything possible.

Of course, mobilization also goes beyond the direct assistance we give to buildings. We also, for example, need to ensure that the electricity grid in New York City gets greener quickly. This

1 administration has continued the city's strong and 2 3 outspoken support for the 2 renewable transmission 4 projects, Clean Path and Champlain Hudson Express, that form the Tier 4 proceeding currently before the New York State Public Service Commission. We also 6 7 note that Con Ed recently released a concept for decarbonizing its steam system, which we hope proves 8 viable. In general, we have high hopes for the prospect for district energy systems. 10

Finally, I would like to point out that this is a tremendous opportunity for New York City.

Mayor Adams' Economic Development Blueprint explicitly calls out the fact that Local Law 97 has the potential to create thousands of new jobs, well-paying career-oriented jobs for both blue collar and white collar workers.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now let me turn to an update on the work that is ongoing and has been done thus far. I'll start with the law as it relates to privately owned buildings. The Department of Buildings established the Climate Advisory Board in late 2019 as required by law which includes appointments made by the Speaker and the Mayor and which is chaired by DOB's Chief Sustainability Officer Gina Bocra who is here

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with me today. The Advisory Board is tasked with providing the Department with advice as it works to implement Local Law 97. Advisory Board members are architects, engineers, property owners, representatives from the business sector and public utilities, environmental justice advocates, and tenant advocates. To further engage stakeholders, the Department has also taken the initiative to establish 8 Climate Working Groups to help develop best practices for building owners to comply with Local Law 97. The Department has also engaged both state and federal policymakers and experts in working group efforts. To date, the Advisory Board and Working Groups have met over 300 times with 100 diverse stakeholders and continue to meet weekly to provide guidance on the implementation of the law. We thank the Advisory Board and Working Group members for their important contributions. The Advisory Board recommendations are still being developed. Their work has been invaluable, and we look forward to reviewing their formal recommendations.

DOB has already started work on some of the rules that must be in place before 2023 for the private sector. This includes rules that allow the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 owners of covered buildings that are significantly 3 over their emissions limits and the owners of not-4 for-profit hospitals and healthcare facilities to apply to the Department for an adjustment to their 5 applicable emissions limits. Additional rules will be 6 7 promulgated this year to continue to provide owners 8 with guidance as they prepare to comply with Local 9 Law 97. With the City Council's partnership, the Department also took Local Law 97 into consideration 10 11 in the latest updates to the New York City Construction Codes, which include provisions that 12 13 would allow for greater wall insulation encroachments into the public right of way to support Local Law 97 14 15 retrofits. The Department is also educating building owners of their obligations under Local Law 97 and 16 17 will continue to work to educate owners leading up to 18 2024. To date, the Department has created a dedicated 19 website to provide information to building owners and 20 the public about requirements and established a 21 dedicated email address to field inquiries from 2.2 building owners. The Department is also informing new 2.3 building applicants of their obligations under this law when they submit plans to the Department so that 24

they can start planning to reduce greenhouse gas

25

3 (

emissions from the very beginning of their construction projects. Additionally, the Department continues to participate in public presentations to educate a broad range of stakeholders about requirements. This work will ramp up over the coming year and will continue through 2024 and beyond.

As I mentioned, we are eagerly working on ways to expand the variety of support that is available to building managers and owners who need to undertake work to comply with the law. Our first effort on this front is the New York City

Accelerator, operated through the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice, which provides technical assistance for building decarbonization including advice on building's responsibilities under the Local Law. In addition, the Accelerator operates an internship and workforce development program, a service provider program, and training programs for building operators, architects, and engineers. As of April 12th, the program has assisted 2,580 buildings.

Alongside the passage of Local Law 97, the Council authorized Property-Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) financing to help pay for energy efficiency or renewable energy projects in commercial, multifamily,

industrial, and institutional buildings. Unlike conventional financing, PACE is repaid in installments through a charge on the subject's property tax bill. This feature provides for innovative long-term financing. PACE isn't the right solution for every building though. The minimum loan amount for PACE is typically 500,000 dollars so buildings with relatively minor needs may not be suitable for PACE. To date, 2 existing buildings have successfully accessed PACE financing for renovations, one loan for 28 million dollars and another for 89 million dollars. We expect that in the coming months as the city continues to accept applications for loans to retrofit existing buildings, the city will be able to begin accepting applications for loans for new buildings as well.

We are particularly eager to ensure that support from the Accelerator and other resources are directed at rent regulated buildings. As you know, buildings in which more than 35 percent of units are rent regulated can comply with Local Law 97 by implementing prescriptive energy conservation manners by December 31, 2024. The city has published an FAQ

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

to help buildings understand their compliance requirements.

Local Law 97 requires the New York City Housing Authority to make efforts to reduce its emissions portfolio-wide 40 percent by 2030 and 80 percent by 2050. In 2020, NYCHA released a Climate Mitigation Roadmap and committed to meeting the requirements of Local Law 97. While developing the Roadmap, NYCHA determined that following a businessas-usual approach of installing the most efficient fossil fuel units available such as hydronic boiler conversions and partial electrification could achieve the Local Law 97 intermediate 2030 reductions. To date, NYCHA's capital budget for 2022 through 2026 includes 1.4 billion dollars in federal, state, and city money for heating system improvements. NYCHA has plans to replace or upgrade heating systems at 82 developments, 26 of which will decouple domestic hot water from central steam, averaging a 10 percent greenhouse gas emissions reduction, and 13 developments are planned to electrify space heating, cooling, and domestic hot water. NYCHA has also launched a design challenge to the HVAC manufacturing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community to develop a cost-effective heat pump that would compete with the cost of boiler replacements.

Local Law 97 also enacted a set of limits for city operations, requiring a 40 percent reduction over 2005 by 2025 and 50 percent by 2030. As Mayor Adams has repeatedly stressed, this administration is committed to leading by example, and meeting these limits is a top priority. We are optimistic that we can and will achieve the 2025 target, although I will point out it will be a close-run thing as 2025 is right around the corner. I'd also like to point out something that is often overlooked. The greenhouse gas emissions of city operations are already 27 percent below their 2005 baseline so city government currently is outpacing the decarbonization of the city as a whole. The city's decarbonization efforts are led by DCAS and particularly by Anthony Fiore, who is with me here today. DCAS is working both to decarbonize the city's energy supply and to coordinate and support the efforts of multiple agencies to identify and implement energy efficiency and clean energy generation projects. These efforts are largely outlined in the implementation action plan which was published in December 2021 and which

2.2

2.3

lays out 4 primary areas of focus. First deploying
100 megawatts of solar on city properties by 2025.

Second to procure enough renewable power to meet 100
percent of the city government's electricity
consumption. Third, to invest in interventions to
reduce energy consumption by 20 percent by 2030.

Finally, to begin to electrify building's heating and
hot water systems to reduce criteria pollution
emissions, especially in environmental justice
communities, and to mitigate the risk of stranded
assets (INAUDIBLE)

The city's mandated emission reductions for government operations are very ambitious. A 40 percent reduction, while something we will all need to get to, is a challenge, but the time constraint of 2025 only heightens the task. We currently face headwinds. The work stoppage and project delays associated with the COVID-19 pandemic have set the city's progress back by about 2 years. Additionally, global supply chain disruptions continue to slow suppliers' abilities to obtain necessary equipment for our projects, setting them back weeks or months. The pandemic and other geopolitical events such as solar tariffs, the demand for liquid natural gas in

Europe and Asia, and skilled labor shortages have resulted in a higher cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The city's own efforts, therefore, give us significant insight into what mobilization actually means. The city is poised to become New York State's largest single voluntary purchases of renewable power if the Tier 4 proceeding is approved by the PSC.

DCAS and OMB are developing new contracting mechanisms to deploy energy efficiency interventions like lighting and control upgrades across dozens or hundreds of buildings at a time rather than one-by-one. OMB and several agencies are collaborating closely on the hiring of agency energy personnel. In a significant achievement that demonstrates our commitment, over the last several months the Adams' administration prioritized an initiative to obtain state legislation for DCAS to be able to use design build contracting, and we are pleased that this authorization was included in the state budget passed this weekend. These actions are critical to open up additional flexible and streamlined project implementation vehicles for the city to accelerate emissions reductions.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The reality is that time is not on our side to meet the 2025 mandate. The reality of what has happened over the last 2 years has, as I said, slowed us down. Now, we are highly focused on achieving this goal, but, as I said, it will be a close-run thing. Over the next 2 years, everything has to go right. Every contract has to move on schedule. Every construction project has to be on time. Each supply chain has to work. The risk of failure is real, but, if we do miss this target, it will not be because this administration has not taken it seriously, and, of course, we will be happy to provide updates to you about our progress as we move forward.

In conclusion, the Adams' administration is committed to achieving the greenhouse gas emissions limits established in Local Law 97. All over the world, the climate fight is precisely now about mobilization. Mobilization is this law's middle name, and it is precisely what we intend to do. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Commissioner, for your comprehensive testimony. Let me just check and see if there are other Members who

2.2

2.3

have joined us that need to be recognized. We've been joined by Council Member Feliz. Happy to have the Council Member with us.

With regards to my questions,

Commissioner, and to all here, there are many Members who I suspect want to ask questions so I'm going to really limit my questions until the Members have had an opportunity to pose questions. They have other meetings that they have to get to, and I'm going to be here for the duration so I'm going to ask just a couple of questions and then I'll open it to my co-Chair and then we'll take questions from Members. I would urge Members who have questions to signify by raising their hands because they're going to do them in the order that you raise your hand so if you wanted to get in early, now would be the time to do that.

I've got your testimony in front of me,

Commissioner. I'm just going to work backwards

through it. I made some notes here. The last page of

your testimony, you talked about the Adams'

administration pushing to get DCAS to be able to use

design build contracting. That is a big deal, and I

understand why it is but perhaps not everyone on this

4

5

1

hearing has an appreciation of what kind of difference that is going to make. If you could probe

that a little bit for everyone's education.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you. I'll

ask Anthony to elaborate on the specifics of it, but 6

7 I will reiterate what I said, and I appreciate your

noting it, Mr. Chairman, because identifying this 8

need and working to unlock the mechanism that's

needed to accelerate action really does, to me, 10

11 demonstrate what mobilization really means, that

12 without the kind of planning that Anthony and his

13 team and the many agencies he's worked with did last

year would not have appreciated how much we needed 14

15 this change in the law that, in turn, allowed us to

16 make the case internally to make sure that the Mayor

17 and others who were leading the discussions in Albany

18 prioritized it. I think it's a great example of how

mobilization has to happen and what happens when it 19

goes right, but, Anthony, maybe you could elaborate 20

21 on the precise implications of having this.

2.2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Anthony,

23 and, before you start, there was the old paradigm,

there's the new paradigm. Just walk us through why 24

2 that's a big deal so we understand it. That'll be 3 great. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHIEF DECARBONIZATION OFFICER FIORE:

Sure, sure. Thank you. The old paradigm is you do a lot of upfront work to get to put out a bid for design. You go through design, you get your design fully completed, you get bid documents produced, and then you go out and you bid for a construction implementation contract before any work begins so you're talking 18 months to 2 years before any work even begins and then you have 2 to 3 years' worth of work in comprehensive projects. It's 5 years or more from concept to implementation, and we cannot keep doing things in that way.

The design build authorization provides speed. While design is still being completed, work can begin. You do design and maybe there's civil work that gets done, the design work gets done first and you begin that civil work while the design for the structural work begins and so forth. It offers more speed, and, with time not on our side, that's what we need.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Anthony. Rit, with regard to city operations and the race to

2.2

2.3

2025 for the city and government sector to meet its greenhouse gas reduction mandates, by my math there's 13 percent to go. We're at 27; we have to get to 40. You laid out a nice roadmap here of how the city wants to do that. On the second bullet point on that roadmap on the second to last page of your testimony, one of the pillars of the city's strategy would be to purchase enough renewable power to meet 100 percent city government's power consumption. Would that be dependent on these Tier 4 projects going through or is it, even if they are approved by the time that power came online and they wouldn't be available, so my question is basically where is this power going to come from?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Actually, let me just turn that over to Anthony since Anthony's the mastermind behind the strategy here.

CHIEF DECARBONIZATION OFFICER FIORE:

Thank you, Commissioner. The power is to come from these two Tier 4 projects. Currently, the first of those projects, which would have enough energy to meet 100 percent of our consumption, is scheduled to come online before the end of the calendar year 2025. If these projects are not approved or if they're

2.2

2.3

delayed, the city could also purchase energy from new offshore wind projects that are also scheduled to come online in the late 2020s, before 2030, so that's currently where this power is scheduled to be procured from.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Rit, I have a question regarding PACE financing. Everyone knows that PACE was created in order to help to retrofit the current building stock that needs to be renovated. You make mention here on the 5th page of your testimony regarding the city taking applications for PACE financing for new construction. Is that a pivot in the PACE paradigm? Was that always intended to be that way? I certainly welcome it. If you could elaborate on that.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I think, Mr.

Chairman, you know full well the extent to which PACE started out primarily focused on retrofits. It has subsequently been expanded by legislation to do new buildings. The Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice is currently working on developing the approach to accept applications for new buildings, but those rules have not yet been promulgated. The way to think about it now is that

2.2

2.3

PACE is open to business on existing buildings. It is not yet open for business for new construction. Of course, what we're concerned about with Local Law 97 is existing buildings.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Certainly. Okay.

Thank you for that. On the 3rd page of your

testimony, the second bullet point that talks about,

you talked about it during your testimony, about

alternative compliance paths and you spoke about it

somewhat, but if you could speak a little more about

the process by which these alternative compliance

paths would be crystallized. How would that work?

can't full share a precise roadmap for how we're going to get there. What this refers to is that there have been a number of ideas discussed among members of the various advisory committee and the working groups, others who are involved in advocacy around the implementation of Local Law 97 whereby instead of paying fines there could be mechanisms through which building owners who are out of compliance could fund buildings that have affordable housing or otherwise in need of assistance. Right now, we are still trying to figure out what the legal approach to that might

2.2

2.3

be. I merely want to say that it is an idea that this administration is enthusiastic about. We're going to do what we can to make it possible, but, as you might imagine, there's a variety of legal and institutional challenges with crafting a mechanism like that, and, of course, at the same time as I said, we're not looking at it as a market-based mechanism. We are looking at it as a direct kind of approach to channel funding towards affordable housing and its retrofit needs.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you,

Commissioner. Like I said, I was going to keep my

questions brief. I see a lot of hands going up of

Members, and so I will sit tight for now. I have he

great honor of calling upon my esteemed co-Chair,

Chair Sanchez, to question the panel. I recognize

Chair Sanchez. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Council
Member. Good to see you, Commissioner Aggarwala, and
other Commissioners present, DOB, DCAS, Chief
Resiliency Officer. It's really great to see this
representation from the administration, and I'll just
start by saying that thank you for starting off with
making that very strong statement that the Adams'

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

administration is committed to the implementation of
Local Law 97 and sharing the good work that has been
happening so far.

My first question sort of couched in the eyes on the prize sort of thinking. For the Climate Mobilization Act, all of my questions, and I suspect so many of ours here on the Council are going to be about the central goals of the Climate Mobilization Act. Are we, in fact, mobilizing? Are we on target, are we on pace to reducing emissions across the city of New York, and, two, are we creating good jobs? What is happening in the economy? Are we prioritizing frontline communities and such. My first question is an information one and then I have several others, but, like Council Member Gennaro, I'm going to keep my questions short in this round and then come back around since I'll be here all day with my Colleague. The first question is about the profile of buildings that are subject to Local Law 97. 50,000 buildings, approximately 60 percent resident, approximately 40 percent commercial. Can you give us sort of a breakdown further of what we know about these (INAUDIBLE)? Where are they geographically in the city of New York and also what are the sort of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

profiles? For the residential buildings, are we talking about low, moderate-income buildings or are we talking, what percentage is NYCHA? Are we talking about moderate-income co-ops, etc.? You can just give us a sense of that to see who we're talking about.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Let me see whether Gus or Gina, would you the specific numbers in front of you? I will just say the really interesting thing about this law is it runs the gamut, and I think that is one of the things that we have to really bear in mind as develop the implementation plan for this law. If my Colleagues at DOB do not have the precise number, I'm certainly sure we could get it to you. Of course, one of the things going on right now that DOB and MOCEJ are working on is what's called the Remapping Exercise, which is required by the law and so that will help us refine and finalize precisely what the targets are for each category of buildings. I will just remind us that we're talking about class A fancy skyscrapers in midtown that are all commercial, we're talking about middle class co-ops here in Elmhurst where I'm speaking to you from DOB, we're talking about affordable housing across the city, much of which is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in your district, Chair, the larger buildings in parts of the city like yours, we're talking about, what was frankly surprising to me, the number of houses of worship and other kinds of community and nonprofit owned buildings that are covered by this law because they are sizable, and so we have to come up with an implementation approach that acknowledges that all of those different buildings have very different capacities, and, as I said in my testimony, we tend to think about capacity only as being the money to pay for something, but it is equally the management ability to get stuff done, and we do know that that kind of management ability varies, you can expect a great deal of management expertise overseeing that class A skyscraper in midtown, you have very different expectations if you're being realistic about when you're thinking about some of the other building classes that I described. Let me pause there and ask, Gina or Gus, do you have the precise numbers in front of you? If not, we can certainly get that to both Committees.

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Thank you,

Commissioner. I think it's probably best for us to

get back to you with the actual stats of the

2.2

2.3

breakdown. I will point out though, as well, if someone wants to investigate the actual buildings themselves on our dedicated website to sustainable buildings, we actually have a map of the building locations right now, nyc.gov/sustainablebuildings where someone can go and peruse both the Local Law 97 building profiles that we have as well as the Local Law 33 energy grades. There are some slight differences in the buildings that have to comply with each, but that's at least a starting point right now for us to share with you to get a visual of this, and we can get back to you with the breakdown as you requested.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you,

Commissioner. That would be great. I appreciate it. I

always love a good online open data source so thank

you for that. The next question is really around,

something that Council Member Gennaro started to ask,

I'm very, very glad to hear the administration is not

pursuing a cap-and-trade mechanism. We've seen time

and again research shows that cap-and-trade

mechanisms create hotspots, exacerbate harms to

environmental justice communities so glad to hear

that. On the conversation about reducing penalties,

2.2

2.3

not being overly focused on penalties, and also ensuring that we have strong alternative compliance mechanisms, that's also an area where antennas are going to go up and concern us around environmental justice concerns and making sure that we are seeing the reductions across the city as we should and that we're not overburdening any communities. Can you share a bit about whether, the early thinking on penalty, the way that penalties will be reduced and in some cases for those good faith efforts and the way that alternative compliance mechanisms might be taken up, how are we prioritizing and sort of looking out for environmental justice communities?

Sanchez. It's a really important point, and I'm glad you have given me an opportunity to talk more about it. The alternative compliance mechanism concept and the idea of flexibility in terms of fines are things that I think are really important, but they have to be used well and judiciously. I think if you were to see in your oversight capacity that the city was being overly generous in terms of reducing fines or allowing alternatives, I think that would be a violation of the intention and the spirit of the law.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think that would not be, as you said, keeping our eyes on the prize. I think at the same time, especially given our own experience with working as fast as we can to do this kind of work on city buildings, we know that reality sometimes intrudes, and I think part of our thinking here is that, as I said, it doesn't do the environment any good if a building owner is working as fast as they truly can work and then to hit them with a fine, which is one reason that we think there's real benefit in the potential for an alternative compliance mechanism. I do not have any more details because what I shared is kind of an intention for the kinds of things that we plan to work on as we implement this law. I'll reiterate we're only 103 days in, I'm only 8 weeks in, we've got a lot of work ahead of us to figure some of this out, but we think that the combination of focusing, I mean spiritually you can think about it as a cure period or there are lots of other analogies that we use in the enforcement of rules that focus on that prize which is the carbon reduction but reserve the right to invoke penalties so we're not taking away that stick that is important to spur activity, but we are using it with

2.2

2.3

thoughtfulness, and I think that is the real message here, that we've got to strike that balance where we're not just letting people off the hook and we're certainly not letting people off the hook disproportionately in certain neighborhoods, but, at the same time, we are also accepting the fact that getting stuff done in this city can be difficult and sometimes things are genuinely beyond the control of management.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you,

Commissioner. Appreciate that. Does the city at this
point, maybe before your 8 weeks tenure, have any of
the agencies done any preliminary projections on the
ability of buildings to comply, of the 50,000, how
many might be able to comply, how many we might know
or hear that they're opting for alternative
compliance, etc.?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I should be clear. Nobody has an alternative compliance mechanism right now so we wouldn't know that anybody is doing that, and I think our topline message can and must be that nobody should focus on alternatives until they've genuinely tried to do everything they possibly can to reduce their carbon emissions, right,

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 because, once again, that is the top priority, that is our top priority, that is the priority of the law. 3 Alternatives are there for when there is some 4 insurmountable barrier, and, again, I think it could run the gamut from a building that puts out an RFP 6 7 and actually gets no bids on the work, that's a 8 challenge, a building where there's a management issues, a building where there are other known issues that could prevent work. I think, again, we have to 10 11 figure out how do we embrace an approach that works 12 for that skyscraper in midtown with millions of 13 dollars in the bank and a team of a hundred people who can manage the work down to that co-op or that 14 15 community center or that church. Across that full 16 range of buildings, we're going to find a variety of 17 alternatives so I don't have a good sense. I will 18 tell you I know we have more than 2,000, as I said in my testimony, buildings that the New York City 19 Accelerator has already helped. We know that 20 particularly for buildings that house low-income New 21 2.2 Yorkers and are rent regulated, there's a variety of 2.3 programs that provide many, for example, of the prescriptive path measures established in the law for 24

buildings with a large portion of rent regulated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Right. I think you covered it well, and I think a building that has questions or concerns should contact the Accelerator right away and seek their assistance on finding what path is best for them. Gina.

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: I think that covers it, Commissioner. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Great. Thank you so much. It's reassuring to hear that, and I hope that it proves to be true and we'll certainly be looking out for anything you're learning along the way.

2.2

2.3

My last sort of set of questions here is around the jobs that Local Law 97 could spur. I've heard as many as tens of thousands of jobs could be created this decade in design, renovation, construction as buildings meet these emissions targets, and so as the city's Chief Climate Officer, Commissioner, I wonder where the administration's thinking is at this point about making sure that as the city is able to create these jobs meeting these great goals we're creating those jobs in frontline communities, that we are ensuring that economic

development is shared across the city. Just to

forecast that this is a topic that our Chair of Civil

2.2

2.3

Service and Labor, Carmen De La Rosa, who is on this hearing today, is going to be digging into much further, but just at this early stage wondering where you all are in thinking about it?

we have to remember is that, as I said at the beginning, the job creation opportunities from this law are something that we embrace, that we are excited about, that Mayor Adams has, as I said, included it already in his economic development agenda so that's something we take very seriously. As Chair Gennaro pointed out, he and I jointly have already engaged with the Climate Jobs New York Coalition and will continue to do so, and I think it's one of the biggest opportunities.

I think in a large part we have to remember that the easiest things to do, the things that are outside the city create fewer jobs, the things that focus on the more difficult work in buildings tend to create more jobs. There is a bit of a trade-off. A building owner who wants to do something that's easy by definition is probably going to do things that have fewer job hours created shall we say, and so we have to think about how we do those

2.2

2.3

things at once. I think one of the critical tasks for us is to make sure that we are helping the decarbonization of electricity in the best possible way but also not allowing alternative compliance to become a long-term excuse for buildings not to do work on site. I think that's a tension that we are going to have to manage, and, again, I think there's just dueling needs here between helping building owners do things quickly and doing things in the way that creates jobs to the greatest extent possible. We're going to do our best to manage that for both outcomes.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, Commissioner. I'll turn it back to Chair Gennaro to call on our Colleagues. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much,
Chair Sanchez. Again, it's great to be co-chairing
this hearing with you. I certainly appreciate you and
the Members of your good Committee here today. I
thank the Commissioner and his team for his answers
to your questions and my questions. Now, I will call
upon the Moderator of the hearing to let me know who
is first in line for questions. Samara, I haven't
been keeping track of the hands as they go up, but if

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 you could let me know who is first up I'd be happy to 3 recognize that Member. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Hello. Can you 4 hear me? 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I got you. 6 7 SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: I have first have Councilwoman Menin followed by Councilwoman 8 9 Nurse followed by Councilman Carr followed by Councilwoman Caban followed by Councilman Restler and 10 11 followed by Councilwoman De La Rosa. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, thank you for 13 that order. You're going to have to remind me of that whole list, but, for now, it gives me great pleasure 14 15 to recognize Council Member Menin. 16 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Thank you so much. 18 Thank you, Chair Gennaro, thank you, Chair Sanchez, for this incredibly important hearing. In my 19 district, the East Harlem part of my district, has 20 one of the highest childhood asthma rates in the city 21 2.2 so my first question focuses on enforcement. How many 2.3 staff are you going to have that are focused on

enforcement, Commissioner, and can you talk a little

bit about how many inspectors you will have, how often will inspectors go out into the field?

2.2

2.3

moment to Acting Commissioner Sirakis and to Gina to talk specifically about how the enforcement will work, but I'll observe 2 things. First is I know there's been a lot of attention paid to the Mayor's budget from January. I'll point out that was a preliminary budget, and I will say that I am confident that we are going to have the staff that we need, both at DOB and outside of DOB, to do the job of enforcing this law. Gus or Gina, would you like to talk specifically about how this law gets enforced?

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Sure. Thank you,

Commissioner. I'll start it off at a higher level and
then pass it over to Gina for some more details.

Largely, this law would not necessarily require a
field inspection by the Department to go out to issue
the violations and to determine compliance as this is
based on the reported emissions that are required to
come to the Department from the building ownership
via design professionals in an online portal so we
can do much of this work administratively and from
behind the scenes rather than needing to go out to an

2 actual job site. This is not specifically about

3 (INAUDIBLE) being about existing buildings, buildings

4 being occupied in use, so this would be more digital

5 enforcement and violation issuance from that

6 standpoint. Gina.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank you, Commissioner, and thank you, Council Member Menin, for the question. It's obviously something that we are giving a lot of thought to, but, as Commissioner Sirakis has explained, we know a lot about the buildings based on the energy reporting that they are submitting to our Department on an annual basis, and that also gives us the ability to look into the future and identify buildings that may be at risk and work very closely with our friends at MOCEJ and the Accelerator to try and get ahead of them before they become a problem that is resulting in a fine so we really look forward to doing that work and really look forward to your support with your constituents and getting the information out about the Accelerator and the help that we can offer at the Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Can you give an update on Accelerator and also an update on the PACE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

financing? Is PACE financing up and running, and how many buildings have already submitted applications for PACE financing?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, as I said in my testimony, PACE financing is certainly up and running. It opened for business and available for buildings to seek loans for retrofits. The rules following on last year's expansion of it to new buildings is what is pending, but PACE for existing buildings is definitely open for business. As I said, to date, there are only 2 buildings that have received PACE financing for retrofits, and the way that property owners would apply actually starts with private lenders so building owners do not actually come to the city. They go to a set of private lenders that they're reasonably well-known, they often self-identify if somebody searches for PACE financing and the NYC Accelerator, can certainly direct buildings to them and so I don't have a good sense of how many projects are currently under discussion between buildings to lenders because the city only gets involved after a lender has done the due diligence and brought it forward as something that it thinks is in compliance with the law. To

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS date, as I said, it's only 2, and it's actually one 2 3 of the reasons that we think that there may be a need for additional financial because we think... 4 COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Could I just interrupt because I see I just have a few seconds 6 7 left. If there are only 2, then shouldn't there be 8 more education outreach to building owners. Two is obviously a very low number so it just strikes me you need to do more on education and outreach so that 10 11 building owners know about this and avail themselves of it. 12 13 COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER MENIN: Okay. Thank you 14 15 very much. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, thank you very 17 much, Council Member Menin. I appreciate your 18 participation and your good questions. I recognize 19 Council Member Nurse for questions. 20 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you, and good 2.2 morning, everyone. Thank you for this really 2.3 important meeting. Thank you, Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. I just have 2, maybe 3 questions. I'll see 24

what I can get in. One, I just wanted to say if I

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 59 heard correctly, please let me know if I didn't. I'm 2 3 really encouraged by the testimony of the 4 Commissioner that we are not pursuing a cap-and-trade program for energy efficiency at this moment. That's really exciting to hear since I think it's 6 7 resoundingly agreed that these are false solutions. I have a question about the RECS, the Renewable Energy 8 Certificates. I'm sorry if I missed this in your testimony. I think there's some agreement that RECS 10 11 aren't really reducing local emissions. Will the 12 Department be limiting the number of RECS that 13 building owners can use to meet the targets? 14 COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, 15 the rules around how RECS, first of all, RECS are fully encompassed within the law, like there is a 16 17 clear provision that they be considered so including 18 RECS in some way, of course, is not in contravention 19 of the law's intent. We are working on the rules for 20 exactly what extent they should be allowed. I will 21 just say that I think it is our objective not to 2.2 allow RECS to be used overly broadly. Gus, Gina, 2.3 anything more we should add there? COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: I'll defer to Gina 24

25

(INAUDIBLE)

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Do you know when you all will have a better sense of how many you're going to allow or to what degree you'll allow it?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I don't know that I can give you a timeframe with any certainty, but, as I said, that is the kind of question that we know has to get answered for 2024 so that is one that we are actively working on with a very high sense of urgency.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. My next question, I hope I'll be able to squeeze the last one, this one's really just a simple yes or no. Can New York City cut its climate heating pollution and overall greenhouse gas emissions without buildings achieving the pollution cuts required under Local Law 97?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I'm sorry, Council Member. That was a long one.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: I'm sorry. Will we be able to hit our greenhouse gas reduction goals if we do not fully implement this law effectively?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: No, I think reaching the greenhouse gas targets in this law are critical to the citywide targets. No question.

2.2

2.3

council Member Nurse: Okay, and my last one is really around kind of the midtown buildings owned by the ultrarich. I want to ask about a particular building, 1 Bryant Park Bank of America Tower, because its owners are really outspoken in opposition to this law. It's owned by the Dursts. They're extremely wealthy. They're arguing the law is unfair. Will the city fully implement the law and its consequences even to the ultrarich building owners who do not comply?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, look, I don't think it's appropriate for me to talk about any specific building, but I think we will certainly not be letting buildings off the hook if they are not doing what they can to reduce their emissions.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council
Member Nurse. Pleasure to have you with us here today
and for your participation. I'm happy to recognize
Council Member Caban for questions.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Can y'all hear me?

## 2.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes.

Ŭ

(

you to the Chairs and to all the folks who are here today. A few questions. We'll see how many I can fit in like my Colleagues. The first one is a quick one. You talked about the job creation of the Local Law,

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Great. Just thank

but I just want to specifically ask does Local Law 97

create union jobs, and does that union job creation continue to grow through the decade?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Yes, it should.

As we know, many buildings are union shops and many of the best contractors in New York City, of course, employ union labor and so I fully expect that there will be a large number of union jobs created by this law.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Great. In terms of, just one question around the pollution aspects, can New York City as a whole cut its climate heating pollution without buildings achieving the pollution cuts required under Local Law 97?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: I think that's similar to what Council Member Nurse argued, and, again, I'll just say that yes, you can't make the kind of changes to the city's carbon emissions that

2.2

2.3

we need to make, certainly over the longer term, without having significant changes at the building level. We fully agree with that.

mentioned that working with buildings that are trying and making like "good faith efforts" to meet and comply with Local Law. What is good faith mean to y'all? When I think about good faith, I want to make sure that it's sort of as a last resort and not a loophole that folks are able to take advantage of so what does good faith mean to y'all? What is (INAUDIBLE) compliance look like to y'all in working with these folks?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, turning to what the law actually says on this because this is a concept that is written into the law, and it'll take me a minute to find it so maybe I won't even try because I don't want to waste your time. I think it's really important for us to have a certain amount of flexibility, but I think good faith is really doing everything that one can. I don't think this is something about sitting back and saying well, it's not going to make me money and therefore I'm not going to do it. That's not a good faith effort. It

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2 can't be something that you look at a building's financial situation, you look at its management, you 3 4 look at its resources, and you say, yeah, there's nothing available there, or, even more significantly, 5 you look at the challenges of doing the work in a 6 7 marketplace that's difficult. Again, we have a certain amount of experience with this given the work 8 that Anthony leads and my own agency, DEP, has a lot of work it has to do to cut its carbon footprint. I 10 11 know how difficult it is to keep those projects 12 underway so I totally understand it, but, as you 13 point out, part of the key with the idea of alternative compliance or cure periods or whatever it 14 15 is is that it's not a long-term solution, and I think 16 that's one of the critical things, that we should not 17 be thinking about finding a way for people just to 18 decide oh, I'm just going to pay X forever and that's going to keep me from having to change anything about 19 my building. We can't let that be the way it goes. 20 21 The way it has to go is you might pay X into some 2.2 alternative mechanism as long as it takes you to get 2.3 your act together, as long as it takes the contractors to do the work, etc., but, again, I think 24 there's a really important distinction here around 25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 65
2	the intent, which is not to let people off the hook
3	but it is to accommodate the reality of how difficul
4	it is to make some of these changes in some
5	buildings.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: I probably don't
7	have time to get this answered, but I know Council
8	Member Menin was hitting this a little bit in terms
9	of the things that are available to building owners
10	in terms of support, but the other side of that coin
11	can you talk a little bit about the formula in it to
12	specify penalties on buildings if they violate those
13	pollution caps? I don't know if you hit this already
14	but if you could explain the formula itself?
15	COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Let me actually
16	defer. I think Gina or Gus would probably be best
17	suited to explain that concisely.
18	COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: In the interest of
19	time, I'll defer to Gina to go.
20	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just jump in
21	here for a second, and I know that time's about to
22	run out, but I think it's an important question and
23	I'm willing to give some latitude for the
24	administration to answer this question in full.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Thank you, Chair.

2

1

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Please, Gina, go

3

right ahead.

4

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank

5

Caban, for the question. A building's limit is 6

7

established based on the types of uses that are in

you, Chair Gennaro, and thank you, Council Member

that building, and there are 10 different 8

coefficients that are assigned to each of those uses

10

so an owner will be able to calculate their maximum

11

limit for their entire building. Once they understand

12

what that limit is, we will compare that to the

13

amount of carbon dioxide that they've generated over

14

the year and any excess carbon is measured in metric tons and for each metric ton of carbon that they are

16

15

over their limit the fine is 260 dollars per ton.

17

That number, as we understand, was generated by

18

Council as being higher than the cost of compliance

19

so it's intended to motivate owners to actually make

20

the improvements to their buildings rather than to

21

just pay the fine as the cost of doing business. Does

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Yeah, thank you.

24

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA:

25

You're welcome.

that answer your question?

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council
Member Caban. I appreciate your good questions. Now I
have to pay a big apology to Council Member Carr who
somehow I skipped over. You were actually supposed to
be after Council Member Nurse so sorry for that
mistake on my part, and I recognize Council Member
Carr with apologies.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: No problem, Chair Gennaro. I appreciate the opportunity to speak, and I think you and Chair Sanchez for convening this incredibly important hearing on this topic. Commissioners, members of the administration, thank you for testifying today. I want talk a little bit about, you talked about being flexible on the fines for those are making good faith efforts to get into compliance. Do you feel that the statutory authority under Local Law 97 allows you complete latitude in terms of penalty reduction, even all the way to zero, and do you also feel that you're going to in your rulemaking on this topic be adopting different standards based on building type? As was testified, you have a lot of different kinds of buildings

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

falling under this mandate, and I'd just like to get some clarity on that.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you, Council Member. As I said in my testimony, we are still exploring exactly what the law allows and what we think we would be a correct and workable interpretation. What I wanted to share with you was our intent, what we are working on right now, not what we have resolved. The way I understand the law and, again, I'll defer if Gus and Gina would like to jump in, is that the law countenance is a building specific, not a type specific approach to that so it really, countenance is the idea that a building's specific circumstances should be taken into account when considering the fines and not a broad classbased approach to making that distinction, but, again, we have not fully come to an administration perspective on precisely what the law allows, but I think that's what we would like to have the ability to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: I'm sorry. I didn't want to interrupt if there was further comment.

 $\label{eq:commissioner aggarwala: I think it's} % \end{substitute}% % \end{substitut$ 

2.2

2.3

question is a lot of the conversation is focused on residential commercial buildings, but you also have manufacturing buildings which have a very different kind of activity going on on their sites which are more energy consuming and, therefore, by their nature have larger carbon footprints. What's your approach to that where you have buildings that can't necessarily make improvements on the energy consumption beyond a certain point given the sort of high energy, high carbon activity that goes on there as compared to a residential building?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thanks, Council

Member. Let me actually ask my DOB Colleagues to take
that on. They've been doing a lot of work on this
remapping and, as the law requires, allowing
buildings that have specific unique profiles to apply
in advance for an adjustment so Commissioner Sirakis.

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Thank you. I think as Gina mentioned earlier in one of her answers, the law provides the energy usage limits based on the usage of the space and it's currently based on the building code classification so manufacturing is treated differently than residential which is treated

2.2

2.3

different than storage which is treated different than business occupancy, but the energy usage that is reported and is the basis of the actual energy usage consumption facts that we're dealing with for a specific site are given through Energy Star Portfolio Manager through a different categorization system and they also account for the actual usage of the space and differentiate the different spaces based on how they're used, and I'll let Gina talk through a little bit on our exercise to go through mapping the Energy Star Portfolio Manager to building code usage that specify in the law itself and the adjustments.

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank you, Commissioner, and thank you, Council Member Carr, for the question. It's very important to us that we identify those buildings that have unusual energy usage patterns, and that's been a focus of our remapping exercise that we're working on closely with MOCEJ. We're getting to a point where we're looking forward to sharing that information publicly about adjustments to different use types and assigning them to the limits that make sense based on their actual energy consumption so it brings a next level of equity to the distribution of the limits in the law,

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 71 and it will also help us address those issues that 2 are related to manufacturing and... 3 4 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. 5 CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: 6 Industry. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue, Gina. If you need time to answer the question in 8 9 full, please continue. CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank 10 11 you, Chair Gennaro. I'll just add that those are a 12 building type that we've been specifically concerned 13 with. We don't want to push jobs out of New York City so we are working on a very comprehensive list of 14 15 those types of buildings, where they are, and what happens in them so that we can find ways to help. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Chair Gennaro, if I 18 may, just one last quick question. Do you have a 19 breakdown, you mentioned the breakdown of residential 20 commercial, do you have a breakdown of what 21 percentage of those buildings under the mandate are M 2.2 sites and then what their carbon emission footprint 2.3 is collectively as a whole? CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I would ask for a 24

brief reply and the opportunity for Council Member

most consequential landmark law that has come out of

the City Council in many years, and I just want to

24

underscore that I want to do everything I can to strengthen it, to protect it, to preserve it, and to facilitate compliance. All of the efforts that I think are in the air to try and weaken it, I am in stark and staunch opposition to, and I am heartened by Commissioner Aggarwala's sentiments that this administration is strongly committed to facilitating compliance in '24, '25, and '30. I think there have been some open questions about how this administration is approaching Local Law 97, and this hearing feels like a consequential step in the right direction.

My questions though are directed toward DOB so I want to thank the Commissioner and Gina who I haven't had a chance to meet, but I just want to follow up on Council Member Caban's question first to affirm that DOB is intending to follow the formula as the previous administration was dictated by the law and assess penalties accordingly. My understanding is that DOB has the authority or the latitude to weaken those penalties, and I want to hear otherwise, that you are planning to fully impose the penalties as they have been drafted in the law.

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Thank you, Council 3 Member. I think we fully intend to... Sorry, can you

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: No, I can hear you. I just, yeah, I just wanted, sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: DOB fully intends to carry out the intent of the law I think other than working with our partners in city government as to these alternative compliance methods and to work within the allowances that are in the law that are there to allow for those unique circumstances where people have made these good faith efforts and we fully acknowledge, as Commissioner Aggarwala stated earlier that there's...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Let me, maybe,

I'm sorry, Commissioner, just because we're tight on

time, and I'm going to get cut off so just to be

clear crystal, you're intending to follow the formula

that's laid out in the law barring exceptional

circumstances?

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Yeah, and the ability that we would have to come up with reasonable alternative methods of enforcement and compliance

2.2

2.3

not hear me or...

that we've described today. I think that's our intention.

2.2

2.3

in? Sorry. My understanding is the penalty is the penalty, all right, and what the law countenance is is that on a building-specific basis there might be an adjustment. It outlines a set of criteria that should be taken into account, and those are the criteria that will guide our thinking on this.

council Member Restler: I appreciate our collective goal is to facilitate compliance and so I want to be here to offer all the (INAUDIBLE) and every building owner that's come to me and every wealthy developer that's come to me, I've said I'm not here to weaken the law. Tell me what you need to comply with the law, and let's work together, and I appreciate that that is the orientation of the administration, but I don't want that to be allowing people to go off the hook, like we need a very strong stick at the other end of this that if building owners are not doing everything they possibly can to comply that they are going to be held accountable and have to pay through the darned nose because we have no choice but to comply with Local Law 97. Thank you.

Another question for DOB. How many staff are needed to, from your perspective, additional new staff, to implement aggressive comprehensive oversight of this law, and what is the existing staffing need relative to the staff you have in place?

think, will be shared with the City Council soon is my understanding. We've been working with our partners in OMB on laying out how many staff and what other services we might need to implement this Local Law in and of itself, and I think it's something that from our standpoint that need is going to vary over time and as we get closer to 2024, 2025 our needs will be much different than what they are today.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: What do we need July 1? What do you have, what do you not have? Is there anything you can share other than you're working with OMB?

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue. I want Council Member Restler's question answered in full.

2.2

2.2

2.3

at this time there's nothing I can share other than we will have some additional needs for you for both staff and other requests for implementation, and it's definitely going to be an increase going forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: We include in our Council budget response recommendation for additional staff. We need you all to have the resources you need to facilitate compliance or this will be a toothless law and so I have to beg and plead that you be as clear with us as you can and not hide behind negotiations or ongoing with OMB. Let us help you. If we need to put resources in from our side, we will fight for them, I will fight for them, if you can communicate to us. I appreciate that you're in a funny position at a hearing, but hopefully we can have a private conversation to discuss further. Thank you, Chair Gennaro, for giving me an extra second.

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Thank you for your continued support there.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council
Member Restler. We're having a lot of discussions
with the administration like the Council has spoken
regarding our response to the budget and we certainly

do expect to see good things in the next budget that I guess will be released in like late April or early, whenever the next budget comes out, we certainly expect to see good things and, if we don't, we know we can count on you, Lincoln, to make our voices heard and make this a reality. Thank you, Council Member Restler. I'm very happy to recognize now Council Member De La Rosa for questions.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

much, Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. As has been echoed by my Colleagues, this law really has the ability to transform our city so I know there's a lot of passion in the room for upholding and strengthening the law and not weakening it so I wanted to state that clearly for the record. I am the Chair of the Civil Service and Labor Committee and so I wanted to just ask a little bit about the job creation portion of this. Can you give us a lay of the land? Do you have the information about the increase in economic activity or job creation that is currently resulting from Local Law 97 or what is projected in your opinion?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member,

I'll see if any of my Colleagues have that kind of
quantitative analysis. I do not off the top of my
head, and it is not something that the city has led.

I know actually there are some organizations that are
going to be testifying later today that may be able
to provide more of that analysis. I'll just reiterate
what I said earlier which is that the opportunity in
this law is so significant that it was highlighted as
one of a relatively few numbers of sectors that the
Mayor included in his economic development strategy
released only a couple of weeks ago so the job
creation opportunities are big, they are significant,
they are a key focus of ours, and in terms of
numbers, I think Anthony's got some numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Do you know of any plans to roll out a strategy to ensure that the jobs that are created, I heard Council Member Caban already ask about union jobs, but I wanted to ask also about these types of jobs in communities of color or environmental justice communities that are so heavily impacted by pollution and the other harms that have come from not having this law on the books.

2.2

2.3

that the New York City Accelerator has a number of programs related to that. As I mentioned, they have an internship program, a workforce development program, they are specifically targeted towards low-income neighborhoods and people who come from those neighborhoods. I know, and perhaps Vlada, you'd like to chime in about some of the work that NYCHA does which has a particular focus on this.

VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Yeah, absolutely, and we are laser-focused on Local Law 97, particularly for the workforce opportunities for our residents. We have launched the Clean Heat for All Challenge as Commissioner Aggarwala had alluded to earlier in his testimony. To us, that is a job creation opportunity. We're also working with partners to stand up what we're calling a Clean Energy Academy. We committed to doing that in our sustainability agenda that we released in 2021. We're seeing some great numbers in, as you know we're required by HUD to hire through Section 3, we are seeing some really good compliance numbers, over 90 percent, through our energy performance contracts of

Section 3 hires so these are things that are extremely important to my team and to our Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you. My final question, I just wanted to ask a clarifying question piggybacking on what Council Member Restler just asked. Can you clarify about the penalties for breaking Local Law 97? We know that the targets are high and that 27 percent of the most polluting buildings are covered, right, so what is the process if there are buildings that are breaking the law so are we suggesting that the law be relaxed for those buildings? I just need a little bit of clarity on

 that.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Happy to do that, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Also, Rit, I'll just say that this is a very important question. Time is about to run out so I would urge you to answer the question in full.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Okay, will do.

Just to be clear, Council Member, we're not talking at all about weakening the law. The law prescribes the penalty, and I now have it in front of me,

Section 320.6. It's a formula. It's basically a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

penalty of 268 dollars per ton over the cap on a per building basis. The law then establishes 5 criteria that should be taken into account when determining whether the full amount should be levied. That's good faith efforts to comply...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: The history of compliance with Local Law 97 itself, adjustments that have been made also as countenanced in the law in advance, whether there were and I quote "unexpected and unforeseeable events or conditions that were outside the control of the respondent," the respondent's access to financial resources, and, finally, I'm sorry, it's 6, whether payment and such penalty would impact the operations of facilities critical to human life or safety. The law is very clear on how and when and for what reasons penalties should be adjusted. This is a reasonable list. We don't think there's a need to go beyond it. What I was describing, though, is 2 things. One, the fact that we take both the penalty and Section 320.6.1 seriously as we think about this, again corresponding or following the intent of the law, and that we do think it would be a good thing and I don't have a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

path, we don't know exactly how we would do it that even when penalties are pending that we find ways for buildings to comply through assistance to low-income housing. We think that has real promise. I'll reiterate, I've said this several times already this morning, we do not want buildings just to pay penalties forever and not reduce their carbon emissions. That helps no one. We do not want to let buildings off the hook if they are choosing not to comply because they don't want to spend the money or they can't be bothered to do the work. We want to use what's in the law to help buildings comply as fast as they can to have a certain amount of realism so that we are not punishing buildings that are acting in the good faith and in accordance with what's laid out in the law.

COUNCIL MEMBER DE LA ROSA: Okay. Thank you so much for answering my questions.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council

Member De La Rosa. Let me just jump in here for a

second and indicate that with regard to your question

regarding jobs and green jobs and union jobs as has

been mentioned already in the hearing, Rit and I and

others have already been in contact with Climate Jobs

2.2

2.3

New York, and so in speaking with you about that, I think it's very important that you and your Committee be deeply involved in that effort, and I look forward to that. With that said, Madam Moderator, are there any other Council Members that wish to be recognized for questions?

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: I do not see any other hands up.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. I thought I was going to come back for a second round. The Members of both Committees have asked many great questions that have satisfied me. I'm certainly very eager to hear from the list of witnesses that want to weigh in and so now I defer to my co-Chair Sanchez if she has any closing questions for the administration.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Chair Gennaro, and thank you to all the Colleagues
for your great questions for the administration and
for all your very helpful answers. I think you're
hearing a theme. You're hearing that many of us are
concerned with not going light in any way to those
who are emitting carbon dioxide, greenhouse gases at
high levels. We want to make sure that, yes, let's be
reasonable, but, at the same time, we're keeping eyes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on the prize and the penalties are still there to incentivize compliance. Thank you for all of that.

I have a very quick question, and maybe this is very specific, but Section 28-320.7 Adjustment to Applicable Annual Building Emissions Limit in the legislation so in the circumstances in which the administration could adjust the expectations for particular buildings, there's a similar list similar to the one that you just laid out, Commissioner. It includes there have been good faith efforts, capital improvements that were necessary were too difficult to implement in a timely manner, the owner has availed itself of all available city, state, and federal, private and utility incentive programs related to energy reduction, and so on and so forth so the quick question here is about the order in which the administration would consider these or is that something that is still under consideration. The good faith effort should be the last thing because good faith efforts include making efforts, sorry, maybe I'm mixing up 2 things, but going toward alternative compliance mechanisms should be the last resort, and I just want to make sure that the administration in every sort of

consideration whether it's adjusting the emissions limit or it is reducing penalties or it is anything else that as we have said, and I think as the administration has said but I would love for you to echo if it's true, that we are compliance first, mobilization first and then at the very end are we considering alternative mechanisms and fine reductions and changes to limits.

said it many times this morning. I'll say it yet again. We are focused on mobilization, and you don't get mobilization by sitting back and getting an adjustment, you don't get mobilization by paying a fine. Mobilization comes when buildings make changes that reduce their carbon footprints. I think we are on the same page on that. I'll point out, and I expect you see the distinction here, what I was citing about the determination of the penalty and then there is this process countenanced in the law that you were just reading which is around how the limits can be adjusted. I know our Colleagues at the Department of Buildings put out the rules related to that and so maybe I could ask Gina or Gus to talk

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

about how we are implementing this specific section, 320.7.

commissioner sirakis: Thank you,

Commissioner. We have put out rules for adjustments, but those were to a different subsection of Article 320 that accounted for extreme conditions for one particular site where they had a very unique scenario for their energy usage that made them different from other buildings in that category and for not-forprofit hospitals. This adjustment would be part of future rulemaking, and, as you see, it does have some very specific quiderails and a pretty specific list of criteria that one building would need to demonstrate that they meet and many of these things are not an easy bar to achieve and it's not just a pay your way out of doing this, and I do think that this would be in advance of any violation being determined. This would be an adjustment to the actual limit to then determine if someone is exceeding their limits in the law and thus being in violation so expect more to come on this, but, if you look at Article 320.7, it does have some pretty specific caveats that an individual building would need to

meet. Gina, do you have more to add here?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank you, Commissioner. I would just like to point out for Council Member Sanchez that that Section also has limitations on the timing so if you look at 320.7.1 this is not a forever pass for a building owner. These adjustments have either a 3-year or a 1-year limit and then they have to come back to the Department and again demonstrate that they're doing everything that they can and that there's some kind of constraint that they cannot get past so the law also puts some really strict limitations on that adjustment.

the law writers. Thank you so much for that. Then a few questions in particular to NYCHA. Y'all have a massive capital backlog, and there are many different ways in which the administration, the state, the federal government, we all are sort of clamoring to help you meet that backlog and so my question is about NYCHA's ability to comply with Local Law 97. Do you have a cost estimate for what it would cost NYCHA to comply? Do you anticipate achieving compliance by 2030? What exactly is the roadmap for NYCHA on compliance?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Please, Vlada, go

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3

ahead.

VICE PRESIDENT KENNIFF: Thank you very much for that question, Madam Chair. There's no secret there's a 40 billion dollar backlog in physical needs assessment, capital deferred needs. We are working very hard, have been advocating on behalf of our residents to raise dollars for those needs. It's very important that that happens equitably across the portfolio, not a couple of buildings, and you know that very well. We do see Local Law 97 as an opportunity. As we all know, many of our systems are at the end of their useful lives, and, if we are replacing them, we need to be replacing them with options that are currently on the market that will decarbonize our buildings, that will remove combustion-based technologies that are polluting the neighborhoods and so we don't see it as a burden as long as we can compete the costs of decarbonization with boiler replacements because we fully recognize that the way that the funding has been coming in has been based on the physical needs assessment and at sort of the cost of a boiler replacement so that's a very important piece of how we're approaching

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS decarbonization. That is the reason we launched the 2 3 Clean Heat for All Challenge so that we can start to 4 compete the costs of decarbonization with boiler replacements. To answer your question about full 5 compliance, we do have some numbers that are for 2080 6 7 compliance. I can just make sure that I confirm it 8 with my administration and share them with you. I do not have 2030 compliance numbers, although we can figure out how to get at them, but there are 10 11 significant costs. As you know, there's a 6.6 billion 12 dollar need alone for heating systems, and these are 13 just replacements in kind. Electrification at this 14 point costs more. We also would like to address our 15 buildings comprehensively. Our drivers are quality of 16 life as much as decarbonization so in addition to 17 failing heating systems we do have gas lines that are 18 failing and plumbing systems that are failing so all 19 of those needs do need to be addressed and so in 20 certain cases we have several buildings where we are 21 trying to address all of the needs at the same time

and, for example, at 1471 Watson, we're doing a

complete electrification and that means we are

removing cooking gas. That requires extensive

2.2

2.3

electrical upgrades. We're replacing windows, etc. I hope this answers your question.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: That's very helpful.

Thank you. A final question from me. I see that

Council Member Nurse has her hand up. Commissioner

Aggarwala, if you can sort of enlighten for the

public, there are many different rulemaking processes

that are required under Local Law 97 and I know the

Advisory Board as you all have mentioned is hard at

work and a lot of this work is underway, can you just

give us an overview of what is the agenda for the

Advisory Board over the next few months or perhaps

the year?

think, first of all, we should separate out the Advisory Board is not actually driving rulemaking. The Advisory Board exists to give advice on a number of issues that DOB will have to make rules about. DOB and the administration are making the rules, which is what's established by law so that's the right way to go about it. Some of that work has already begun in many cases where we have found a lot of agreement and consistent recommendations from the Advisory Board as

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 it has done its work, but let me invite Commissioner 3 Sirakis and Gina...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Before Commissioner
Sirakis and Gina step in, the Advisory Board has
visibility into the rulemaking process? Are they sort
of involved in advising?

remember that the rulemaking process is established in the city's charter so once the rulemaking process begins the whole world will have the appropriate and equal visibility into it. The Advisory Board's role ends when it makes recommendations that the Department of Buildings and the administration can take into account as the Department of Buildings starts its rulemaking, and we do need to be reasonably clear here. The Advisory Board is not involved directly in the rulemaking itself. That would be inappropriate under law.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yeah, that is very strict. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Anyway, so we will go into CAPA with the various components that have to be done through rule, and, again, as I said, it's not all one big bang. Work has already begun.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

There are probably going to be some areas where maybe
there isn't a consensus among the Advisory Board, and
we also acknowledge the Advisory Board may or may not
be fully representative of the entire city so that's
what CAPA does, is it creates that forum where the
qeneral public in its fullness can provide input.

8 Gus, let me turn it over to you to say more on the rulemaking processes.

COMMISSIONER SIRAKIS: Gina and her team have been working quite hard for a long time on actually not just the Advisory Board process but also the internal drafts of our rulemaking, and we hope to have something to share very soon with the public at large, and, as Commissioner Aggarwala correctly described, we are getting input and feedback from the Advisory Board and the Working Groups on questions and topics of note but not specifically anything directly about writing the rules themselves. The Advisory Board will continue to meet over the coming year, and they have a pretty specific scope to answer questions that are outlined in Local Law 97 in Article 320 and making their feedback and input known at large so they will be continuing to meet and continuing to dialogue and our rules will also evolve

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

over time as Local Law 97 and the requirements evolve as they change. This is one of those laws that is quite far-seeing into the future and has requirements for both the Department from an implementation standpoint and for property owners and building owners over the course of time as well. Gina.

CHIEF SUSTAINABIILTY OFFICER BOCRA: Thank you, Commissioner. I'll just provide a couple of the details on the rulemaking that we have in process right now. Helping owners better understand the calculation methodology, very basics of complying with Local Law 97, things like how should they be calculating their gross square floor area of a building which can differ from things like zoning calculations, also looking at how they determine the occupancy of the building, which as Commissioner Sirakis suggested, is going to change from what was under the law before, looking at how they establish their total emissions for the year, what can count, what doesn't count, coefficients for types of energy that were not already included in the law so many of the normal sources of energy are already laid out but there are many other types of energy used across the city that have not been addressed. Over time, we'll

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

also look into things like how does an owner report for a campus-wide property that has multiple buildings. Those are the types of details that we have covered in rulemaking that is already with Law Department and in process. It should be made public in the coming months. Over time, we'll also address other things like how we account for RECS, the question that was raised earlier, limitations and details around greenhouse gas offsets, and other challenges with the law that in their wisdom Council did not try to pin all of those things down when the law was passed which gave us a great deal of room to align with what's happening at the state and other initiatives so we're appreciative of that and look forward to continuing that work.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. Thank you to Chair Gennaro. Turning it back to you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Chair Sanchez, for your great followup questions. I see that Council Member Nurse wishes to ask more questions. I recognize Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. I'll be short. I just have 2 final questions. The 2024 to 2029 limits seems fair, and you've already pointed

2.2

2.3

out that most of the buildings that exceed the very high 2024 caps are only just a smidge over them so it seems like any good faith effort to comply can happen. I'm just curious why there's an emphasis on potentially not assessing fines. It seems that broaching that piece (INAUDIBLE) really just help the ease the kind of collective anxiety folks are having around making sure this law has teeth. Sorry if I've missed parts of it that answered that already.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Council Member, we do believe that the majority of buildings who are covered under 2024 will have little, if any, problem meeting their targets. It is also entirely possible that some will. I think it's important for us to be comprehensive in the way we describe what we're going to do here to be transparent with you all about things that we are and are not considering and so that was the intention here. As I've pointed out, all of this is very much in progress because the rulemakings have just begun, the Advisory Board has not completed its work, the administration is in its early days, and I think the law incorporates, as I said earlier, a very clear calculation that's just a math problem to figure out what the penalties are and

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 97 2 then it has a relatively clear set of guidelines for 3 how we think about penalties or limit reductions. 4 We're going to be looking at all of those aspects to see how we can achieve mobilization, but I think 5 that's the most important point is that what we need 6 7 to achieve is mobilization. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. My last 9 question for this hearing is slightly off topic but on topic. How is the city planning on engaging 10 11

question for this hearing is slightly off topic but on topic. How is the city planning on engaging buildings that are not mandated to reduce emissions under Local Law 97 so the 35 percent or more, affordable housing, the under 25,000 square feet, what funding currently exists to assist some of these buildings to get their reductions down and just are there any conversations among the agencies around kind of those targets as well?

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Certainly.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just say before you answer, Rit, that this question is somewhat off topic, but I think it is very important. I'm happy to give Council Member Nurse latitude and to give you full time to answer this important question.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thanks. First of all, let me just make sure there's no misimpression. Buildings with 35 percent or more of rent regulated apartments still are covered if they meet the size limits under the law. Those are the buildings that have this prescriptive path where if they do a clear list of retrofits that are clearly available that they are deemed in compliance, even if they have not met the reduction targets. It's entirely possible that in many cases by doing that prescriptive path they will meet or even exceed the targets for carbon reductions that the law requires. I'd say there are also a number of programs being run right now by the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice focused on smaller buildings including something we often forget but not several of the Council Members here never forget that the vast majority of buildings in New York are in fact single or one-to-four family homes and so there is a program, and I have to confess I'm blanking on its name, maybe Gina or somebody can help me out, that MOCEJ has that is focused explicitly on lower income homeowners who have one-to-four family homes. We have a variety of programs that are available, many of them actually

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not ours but Con Ed and state programs that exist to help low-income homeowners or buildings of all size types to do those retrofits. In many cases, what I was describing earlier, that the prescriptive path retrofits that are required for the buildings with 35 percent rent regulated or more can often be done for free by taking advantage of state programs or Con Ed programs. Those state and Con Ed programs are generally open to all building sizes so there is a wide variety. I will say I think the wisdom of Local Law 97 was to focus attention on the largest buildings where we'll have the biggest impact in the shortest amount of time. Once we get through the rulemaking for Local Law 97, once this law is up and running fully and having the impact that it needs to have, I think then, of course, the city has to turn to look at the remainder of its buildings. By the way, I'll point out that's the way it's happened in the past where we started with the first laws that Chairman Gennaro helped write and sponsored addressing existing buildings and the limits were expanded over time to cover more and more buildings as buildings figured out that compliance was not as difficult as they had originally imagined, and so I

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think there are 2 approaches that could happen over time, but the first priority is to get this law functional.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Great. Thank you, Chairs.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Council Member Nurse. With that, I would like to thank the Commissioner and everyone from the administration for giving us the benefit of your comprehensive testimony, your very candid testimony about the way the administration is leading the rulemaking on this. We look forward to working closely with you as the CAPA process rolls out where you have sharp eyes on the budget that's going to be released, which is an ongoing conversation but got off to a very good start today. I thank the administration and all of my Colleagues that asked very excellent questions. With that, I will dismiss the administration, and I know that you're going to have people who will stay behind to listen to all of the good testimony that's going to be put forward today, and we thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER AGGARWALA: Thank you. Thank you all, and we appreciate your support and your interest.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Thank you. Madam Moderator, we have our witness who is a celebrity witness and so we look forward to, as you can see, he's smiling. He smiles a lot. He does things that make people smile. Madam Moderator, may I have the opportunity of introducing the next witness? Would you give me that privilege?

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Thank you, Madam Moderator. It gives me great honor and great privilege to bring on our next witness, the great former Chair of the Committee on Environmental Protection, passed scores of laws in his tenure as Chairman and shepherded hundreds through the Environmental Committee, my good friend and brother, former Council Member Costa Constantinides, the author of Local Law 97. Costa, the floor is yours. In deference to the Council Member, we're not putting him on the clock.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: I'd just like to start the public testimony with an introduction of the rules of the public testimony.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, okay, okay.

2.2

2.3

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: To begin with, I'd like to remind everybody that we'll be calling on witnesses one by one to testify. Each panelist will be given 2 minutes except Costa Constantinides and please begin when the Sergeant has started the timer and given you the cue to begin.

Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should use the raise hand function in Zoom, and I will call on you after the panelist has completed their testimony.

For panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you, and the Sergeant-at-Arms will give you the go ahead to begin upon setting the timer. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce you before you begin your testimony.

The first witness is Council Member Emeritus Costa Constantinides.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Even wore a green shirt today. Good to see you, Council Member.

COSTA CONSTANTINIDES: Good to see you,

Chair Sanchez. Great to see you all seeing that this
is on Zoom. It is a weird thing for me to be on the
other side of all this and to be in the gallery and
being someone giving testimony and not one that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hearing testimony. Being that I spent 15 years in the Council and 6 of them with Chair Gennaro as his Legislative Director and Deputy Chief of Staff, and I look to him as a mentor and a friend, and we did a lot of great work together and I hoped to continue that great work in my time as Chairperson of the Council's Environmental Protection Committee, and, Chair Sanchez, I hear only great things on your tenure thus far and excited to see all the great things you'll do and so many great new Members on the Council that are doing so many amazing things so thank you for indulging me today and allowing me to testify. Just wanted to give a little bit of legislative intent and a little bit of measure as to what we were thinking as we were passing this legislation.

I know you're going to hear a lot of testimony today, and I haven't quite been called a celebrity testifier before. I don't know if I should be wearing sunglasses while giving this testimony, but I appreciate that. I really wanted to give some legislative intent and thought to what we were thinking, why we did certain things, and sort of set the stage as you're going to hear from myriads of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 104 2 organizations that were part of this process and so 3 all the activists and everyone, all the stakeholders on all sides, I say thank you for your great efforts 4 over the years because this was not a bill we did in 5 days. This wasn't a bill we did in a rush. This was 6 7 a bill that was years in the making. I'll begin with that. This was a bill that we had an Urban Green 8 Working Group that brought together labor, environmental organizations, the co-op board, REBNY, 10 11 there are a grouping of stakeholders that came together to think about what this law could look 12 like. There were hours of meetings with individual 13 stakeholders on the Council's part from both staff 14 15 and Council Members ourselves so this was something 16 we took, it was painstakingly apparent to us that we 17 had to do our best to get this right, to make sure 18 that the law was aggressive but achievable. I 19 consider myself somewhat intelligent. I know there are lots of people here who are a lot smarter than I 20 21 am, but I don't know how to dress up a climate bill as a revenue bill. I don't know if I could that. I 2.2 2.3 was always focused on how do we get the buildings who were, as been previously testified to, the largest 24

grouping of emissions in New York City, 35 percent of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS our overall emissions come from these just 50,000 2 3 buildings out of our 1.1 million building stock. 50,000 buildings accounting for 35 percent of our 4 overall emissions. We had to go where the emissions were. How are we going to get these buildings to hit 6 7 their targets to be the largest emissions reduction 8 policy in not just New York City but any city in the world, and we laid out a framework that we feel hit the mark. Now, there can be disagreement about that, 10 11 and I've been wrong before and will be wrong again as I am a human being, but I feel that we really worked 12 13 very painstakingly to get this right. Now, when talking about what good faith means, we set up 14 15 frameworks as I was very encouraged to hear what the Commissioner was talking about today with having to 16 17 go through the steps. Good faith to me means going through the process, looking at PACE financing, 18 19 looking at the retrofit Accelerator, looking to OB to ensure that they had enough staff and that they're 20 21 helping those who need it, and then looking at every possible state and federal opportunity for funding 2.2 2.3 and, if all of those processes were exhausted, then good faith was met and then there could be a 24

reduction in fines or a reduction in a number but

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 106 2 only after an entity had gone through the full 3 process and really made a good faith effort to get there. Without that good faith, it's only a promise, 4 and, as Council Member Gennaro used to talk to me 5 about, I'd rather write a song about it if we didn't 6 7 have teeth. The issue is around fines was less about wanting someone's money but then wanting their 8 carbon. I didn't want anyone's money. Someone has heard me say this time and time again. We didn't 10 11 write a revenue bill. We don't want your money. We 12 want your carbon. The last resort was to fine someone 13 or to force them to take action, to actually do the retrofits that are going to create as the Urban Green 14 15 Council talked about 50,000 green jobs in New York 16 City. We were going to get the emissions reduction 17 that we need, that we were going to make air quality 18 improved in New York City, and that we were going to 19 create these 50,000 good jobs. That's what we wanted. We never wanted anyone's money so when I look at 2024 20 21 as the Commissioner talked about, many of the 2.2 buildings are going to hit those targets, again, 2.3 because we set them as an aggressive plan but achievable. We should have the processes in place, 24

the agencies in place to make sure that those other

2.2

2.3

buildings hit their targets. That's what we set out for. That's what we planned on the entire time. If there's any testimony today that's saying we rushed or this was a process that was hastily done or that this was somehow mean to take money out of people's pockets, none of those things are true. We did this for public health, to improve air quality. We did this to make sure we fight big picture climate change, and we did this to create jobs in a green sector that is going to continue to grow and to make New York City the leader at the time and continuously make New York City the leader on environmental legislation so that was the intent that we always had.

I apologize for not having any of this in writing. I really struggled as to whether or not I was going to come here today and testify so I kind of made the decision this morning, but I know I have 72 hours in which to hand in testimony and I will absolutely send in something on paper that sounds much more intelligent than my here today. I thank the Council for indulging me, Chair Sanchez and Chair Gennaro, for letting me speak today, and I'm looking forward to seeing Local Law 97 implemented as written

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and really getting to the heart of what we need to do as a city, which is reduce emissions from large buildings to again, as I said before, make our air quality improved, fight big picture climate change, and create good-paying jobs for the people of the city of New York in an industry that's only going to continue to grow, making New York City the leader on all 3 fronts.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Costa, for being with us today, for making the last minute call to be here and to get the perspective that only you can really offer, and, when we talk about the genesis of Local Law 97 and all the good things that it's going to do, we are here because of your good work and the people you partnered with to get this done, and I think your statement speaks for itself. I wouldn't change a word of it. I'm very grateful for what you've done because we wouldn't be here if not for your great work, and we look forward to your ongoing engagement in this process. You are a leading voice on Local Law 97, of course, you being the author of it, (INAUDIBLE) you have passed the torch to us and to the administration, and we will not fail you. That is my statement and my gratitude to you. I

2.2

2.3

would ask Chair Sanchez if she has anything to add to that.

CHAIR SANCHEZ: Just echoing your own statements, Chair Gennaro. Thank you so much, Council Member Constantinides. I was in the Office of City Legislative Affairs when this bill was aging, and it was very painful. It was one of the longest bills I've ever seen. That and the lead package. You should just be so proud. This is the most consequential bill that the Council passed in many years, and we're thankful for all of your work and advocacy on this.

I do have one question for you, which is, thank you for your statements on intent and sort of legislative intent, would you say today hearing what you heard from the administration that we are on track with the intent of the legislation 3 years into this space?

COSTA CONSTANTINIDES: I would say that

I'm cautiously optimistic. We're still very early in

the game. If this was a baseball game, we'd be maybe

in the first or second inning, but knowing that we

have to get to the end I think that, again, using the

good faith provision as a last resort, that buildings

have to go through the process of making sure that

they can do everything they possibly can and that it isn't done willy-nilly is so very important. I head the Commissioner say that over and over again. I am definitely cautiously optimistic about the possibilities of getting this law implemented on time and seeing this continue to be the largest emissions reduction policy ever written so that is where I'm thinking right now and hearing the testimony of the last 2 hours gave me hope so I'm going to continue to seek that hope and know that it's in good hands with both Chair Gennaro and yourself, Chair Sanchez.

CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. Give us your carbon. I like that.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Chair Sanchez, and thank you, Costa, for everything you've done, for being my friend, for working with me back in the day, and the relationship continues. God bless you and your family. Thank you for everything.

COSTA CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you for having me today. It's my honor and my pleasure, and it's good to be able to come back and speak to the Council so thank you very much for having me today, Jim.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Anytime, anytime.

3

With that, Madam Moderator, if you could take us to

4

the next witness I'd be grateful for that.

5

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Okay. I'm

6

going to be calling witnesses 3 at a time, and I

7

would like to welcome first Louise Yeung from the New

8

York City Office of the Comptroller to testify, and

she would be followed by Donna De Constanzo of the

10

Regional Planning Association and also followed by

11

Susan Waltman of the Greater New York Hospital

12

Association.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

14

13

LOUISE YEUNG: Hi. Good morning, and thank

15

you to Chairs Gennaro and Sanchez for the opportunity

16

to testify. My name is Louise Yeung, the first Chief

17

Climate Officer to serve at the Office of the

18 19 Comptroller. As the city's chief accountability

20

officer, Comptroller Lander takes a long-term view in

21

risks that threaten our physical, social, and

managing risks facing the city including climate

2.2

financial future. This Local Law 97 represents an

2.3

unprecedented opportunity to achieve a just

24

transition by reducing emissions and creating tens of

25

thousands of green jobs for New Yorkers. Comptroller

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Lander was proud to be an early supporter and cosponsor of the bill, and we are grateful to the strong coalition of advocates who worked tirelessly to demand climate action, to former Council Member Constantinides for his leadership, and the growing coalition of new Council Members for picking up the mantle, but this law will only be successful in achieving that bold climate action if we are successful in implementing it, and let's be clear this is a massive undertaking. Effective implementation will require clear and timely rules and guidance for building owners, sufficient staff at DOB, support for owners who need assistance, especially affordable housing and cooperatives, and an appropriate mix of fines and incentives to ensure compliance, and, lastly, strong oversight of the system as a whole. As a starting point, the city need to promulgate clear rules so that all building owners understand exactly what they need to do to achieve compliance. We look forward to seeing a remapping approach that takes a more nuanced categorization of building types so that emission limits are more thoughtfully defined. We are also eager to have more clarity on the greenhouse gas coefficients needed to

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 113 calculate building emissions and hope the rules allow 2 3 owners to consider rooftop solar installations 4 including community solar as effective strategies for compliance. We want building owners covered by this 5 law to be equipped with tools and resources to 6 7 implement retrofits and achieve compliance. The team at DOB must be resourced with additional dedicated 8 staff so that the city is never the bottleneck in quidance or enforcement. The Comptroller was 10 11 disappointed that the administration did not include 12 additional funding for Local Law 97 compliance in the 13 DOB preliminary budget, but we were glad to see the 14 Council's response and hope that those positions are 15 added in the Mayor's executive budget next week. 16 The city must also expand resources... 17

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue. Please continue.

LOUISE YEUNG: Thank you. I'll be quick. The city must also expand sufficient resources so that any building owner seeking assistance can be served by technical experts and that the city can provide owners with a full suite of financial and

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Where building owners refuse to comply, penalties will be necessary to address noncompliance. We should keep in the mind the goal is 100 percent compliance and not fines for failure, and, in those instances where fines are collected, those penalties should go towards retrofits for affordable housing. We need to ramp up the city's own building stock retrofits to meet our own requirements for public buildings. After just a few short weeks working in the municipal building and my own time in other public buildings, I know we have a far way to go, and we hope to see significant funds in the upcoming capital budget followed by efficient project delivery of those improvements across all 5 boroughs. Our bright spot here was design build authority for DCAS in the state budget so let's get busy putting that to good use.

Finally, where strong oversight is necessary to make sure implementation is taking place with fidelity, that adjustments can be made as necessary, and that we stay on track to hit this ambitious target together, the Comptroller's office

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

looks forward to taking a large part in oversight work. In addition to ensuring that the city's budget appropriately prioritizes funding commitments needed, we want to do regular auditing to make sure the process is functioning as intended and whether we are on pace to achieve our emissions reduction targets. We are committed to publicly tracking citywide progress to retrofit our public and private building stock through a new climate dashboard that we are releasing next week. We are optimistic about the leadership of Council, the leadership of DEP Commissioner and Chief Climate Officer Aggarwala, and Acting DOP Commissioner Sirakis, and the abilities of their expert teams to uphold the requirements of the Local Law 97 as written, and we know the powerful coalition of advocates who mobilized to pass it will continue to be just as resolute in demanding full throttle implementation, and we appreciate Council's leadership in holding this timely hearing to strong oversight. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much,
Louise. We were very happy to give you a little time.
Brad's a great Colleague and a great climate warrior
so I'm happy to give you some latitude to give us the

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 116 full measure of his views. Thank you very much. If 2 3 there are no questions for Louise, we can go to our next witness. Thank you again. Please give our best 4 wishes to Brad. Madam Moderator. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Okay. The next 6 7 witness is Shravanthi Kanekal of ... 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I think you mean 9 Donna, right? Donna is next I thought. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Oh, Donna De 10 11 Costanzo, yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 13 14 DONNA DE COSTANZO: Thank you so much. 15 Good afternoon, Chairs Gennaro and Sanchez and 16 Members of the Committees on Environmental Protection 17 and Housing and Buildings. My name is Donna De 18 Costanzo, and I'm Eastern Regional Director for the 19 Climate and Clean Energy Program at the Natural 20 Resources Defense Council. I'm also testifying today 21 on behalf of the Regional Plan Association. We want to thank the Council and 2.2 administration for its leadership and its 2.3 longstanding legacy of tackling climate change and 24

reducing emissions from the building sector. With

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 117 effective implementation, Local Law 97 will not only 2 3 result in significant local benefits, but it also lays the groundwork for similarly ambitious actions 4 by other cities around the country who are looking to the law as a model. We appreciate the very hard work 6 7 of the administration and Commissioner Aggarwala, DOB, and the Mayor's Office of Climate and 8 Environmental Justice to work towards implementing the law including their work on the extensive 10 11 Advisory Board process. To ensure the law's success we believe it's critical that the following 12 13 principles guide its implementation. Implementation 14 should prioritize actual investments in buildings, 15 ensuring ramped up energy efficiency, and all the 16 local jobs, cost savings, and air quality benefits 17 that come with it in addition to electrification. 18 Compliance options should prioritize investments that 19 benefit residents of affordable housing. Local Law 97 20 needs to achieve results beyond what is already 21 required by the state pursuant to its Renewables and 2.2 Clean Electricity targets. Reasonable limitations 2.3 should be placed on compliance through RECS as compliance should be focused on work happening in 24

buildings. We believe that all of these principles

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 118 2 would be achieved by the adoption of the compliance 3 mechanism mentioned earlier by Commissioner 4 Aggarwala. We are strongly supportive of such a mechanism, which while providing building owners with 5 flexibility would invest in affordable housing and 6 7 deliver critical local health, economic, and environmental benefits. 8 We would also like to highlight 2 other elements critical to Local Law 97's success. DOB must 10 11 be provided with the capacity and resources it needs 12 to effectively implement this law, and New York 13 City's Accelerator must be scaled up in both scope and depth to help guide the ... 14 15 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue and 17 conclude. (INAUDIBLE) 18 DONNA DE COSTANZO: I'm actually finished. Just to help guide building owners through the Local 19 20 Law 97 compliance process. We want to thank you for 21 the opportunity to testify today, and we look forward to working with the Council and the administration on 2.2 2.3 the law's successful implementation. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Donna. It 24

gives me a moment of personal pride to cite the good

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 119 2 work you did as Counsel to the Committee on 3 Environmental Protection all those years ago when I was Chair the last time, and we did so many good 4 things with you guiding us and the Committee along lines of excellence, and it's really great to see you 6 7 and thank you for your good testimony. Please give my 8 best to the people at NRDC and RPA. 9 DONNA DE COSTANZO: I will. Thank you, Jim. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next 12 witness is Shravanthi Kanekal of NEJA. 13 14 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 15 SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro, Chair Sanchez, and Members of the Council. 16 17 My name is Shravanthi Kanekal, and I'm the Resiliency 18 Planner for the New York City Environmental Justice 19 Alliance. NEJA is a nonprofit citywide membership 20 network linking grassroots organizations from low-21 income neighborhoods and communities of color in 2.2 their struggle for environmental justice. The passage 2.3 of Local Law 97 was a momentous step forward in aiming to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the 24

city's emitting sources, buildings. With less than 2

2.2

2.3

years left until thousands of building owners need to meet the first compliance standards, NEJA urges the city to focus its efforts on equitably and aggressively implementing the law. In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic and the following unemployment crisis and ongoing climate crisis, the successful implementation of Local Law 97 provides an incredible opportunity to create thousands of good green jobs, move us towards our climate goals, and directly invest in reducing harmful localized pollution levels in environmental justice communities. I'll only raise a couple of points in my testimony because I don't have much time but will submit a more detailed written testimony.

There's an urgent need for additional funding for increased staffing at DOB's Office of Building Energy and Emissions Performance. We remain extremely concerned that the Office's current staff positions and funding are not consistent with the upcoming responsibilities including driving outreach and education to building owners and eventually managing compliance and enforcement. OBEEP needs to expand outreach to all sectors to ensure building owners are aware of the law, their compliance

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 121 2 obligations as well as resources available to them 3 such as Accelerator and other funding opportunities. 4 Energy efficiency will play a bigger life-saving role in environmental justice communities across the city. Decarbonizing buildings will be critical in reducing 6 7 local air pollution from fossil fuel power plants and fossil gas infrastructure disproportionately located 8 in environmental justice communities. I know I'm out of time, but I just have 10 11 one more... 12 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please take a moment to conclude. 14 15 SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: Thank you. Scientists 16 have said we have just less than a decade to address 17 the climate change. We must move forward with the 18 implementation of Local Law 97 in a fair, equitable way to advance New York City's climate and economic 19 recovery goals, to curb emissions, create 20 environmental justice equity, and create good clean 21 jobs. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much, Shravanthi. We certainly appreciate having the 24

perspective of the New York City Environmental

Justice Alliance. We thank you for your testimony

3

1

today, and please say hi to Eddie for us, okay?

4

SHRAVANTHI KANEKAL: I will. Thank you,

5

Chair.

views.

6

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: For all the

7

witnesses, everyone, Samara, make sure everyone knows

8

where they can send their full testimony to. There's

9

a website or a link or whatever so we look forward to

10

getting your full testimony. We thank you for your

11

12

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next

13

witness will be Carlos Castell Croke of the New York

14

League of Conservation Voters.

15

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

16

CARLOS CASTELL CROKE: Good afternoon. My

17

name is Carlos Castell Croke, and I am the Associate

18

for New York City Programs at the New York League of

19

Conservation Voters. NYLCV represents over 30,000

20

members in New York City, and we are committed to

21

advancing a sustainability agenda that will make our

22

people, our neighborhoods, and our economy healthier and more resilient. I'd like to thank Chairs Gennaro

24

and Sanchez for the opportunity to testify today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have ambitious goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the coming years, and, if we want to truly tackle climate change in hopes of preventing further increases in disastrous superstorms, dangerous floods, and other growing climate hazards, we must be actively working towards these goals. In New York City, buildings account for 70 percent of the city's carbon emissions, and this is why we passed Local Law 97 in 2019, to directly combat the source of climate change inducing emissions. We are encouraged to hear from the Commissioner that the administration is committed to implementing Local Law 97 and to reduce emissions from buildings. With the first round of caps starting in less than 2 years, we must ensure that the city and building owners are prepared to have the resources to comply. As they said, establishing the rules for 2024 should be the top priority for the administration, and this should be completed as soon as possible. While there will be consequences for noncompliance, the primary purpose of this law is to reduce emissions, and, therefore, building owners must be given multiple tools to do so. We must ramp up programs like PACE to make sure retrofits are

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 124 2 affordable and ensure that renewable energy is 3 available by approving upstate Tier 4 projects. We 4 are also very thankful that the Council included funding for more OBEEP staffing to help ensure smooth 5 implementation of the law in their budget response. 6 7 The Department of Buildings will need increased 8 support in order to analyze and develop recommendations, finalize sentinel details of the rules, and educate building owners on the new 10 11 regulations and available resources. This law is a critical step toward reducing emissions and 12 13 combatting climate change. We cannot afford any 14 missteps or delays. With this law, we are setting an 15 example for the rest of the world. Let's exemplify 16 the strong leadership that New York City is known 17 for. Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Carlos, 19 very much. It's always great to hear from you, to 20 work with you, and we appreciate the (INAUDIBLE). 21 Regarding the last witness, you both made reference to the Office within the Buildings Department, OBEEP. 2.2 2.3 We certainly are hoping for good things in the budget, and, if we don't hear good things in the 24

budget, there will be turmoil so we appreciate you

drawing down on that, and thank you for being here today, and please give our best to Julie.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Thank you. The next witness will be Michael Yaki from Petros PACE Financing.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

MICHAEL YAKI: Thank you very much, Chair Gennaro, Chair Sanchez. My name is Michael Yaki, Senior Vice President of Petros PACE Finance, a commercial PACE provider, and there were a couple of questions about the commercial PACE program which was enabled by Local Law 96, which was a companion to Local Law 97. I just wanted to say that, one, we're very proud to have been the first PACE financing in New York City and the largest ever done, 89 million dollars from 111 Wall Street, doing exactly what it is within that Local Law 97 wanted to do which is to take larger buildings, this was the former Citibank headquarters, and retrofit and bring it into compliance with the emission limits for the betterment of the city. We are a strong supporter of Local Law 97. We look forward to continuing our work, and I'd like to tell you that right now we have another project in the pipeline that an application

4

5

1

has been submitted for a landmark building that a lot of you will be familiar with when it gets announced in the middle of city, it's a historic building, one of the grande dames of the jazz age of New York City.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

I just wanted to add one point about how C-PACE works with new construction, too, because that was alluded to, and we're very, very pleased that the Mayor's Office withdrew the guidelines that were published to date and is committed to working with the industry on this. It's something that has the ability to, C-PACE can help new buildings get to and exceed code, the codes that you're putting into effect, whether it's electrification, whether it's energy efficiency, and we're hoping that we'll be able to work with the city to get guidelines that will work to ensure that PACE can be unleashed in that area too. I want to thank Chair Gennaro for his leadership and his receptivity to working with us and the industry and the Mayor's Office as we go forward. Thank you very much.

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Michael.

It's been great to work with you. As we talked about in the past, certainly we hear about PACE from the administration. If there's anything from your

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

the direct attention to myself or my co-Chair, you

perspective going forward that you want to bring to

4 know, you know how to reach me. Happy to engage on

5 any matter regarding PACE where you think it needs

6 our attention, and we appreciate the good work that

7 you do in providing financing to get buildings Local

8 | Law 97 compliant, and we look forward to many good

9 things that you and other people in the PACE finance

10 world will bring to New York City. Thank you so much.

MICHAEL YAKI: Thank you, sir.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Thank you,
Chair Gennaro. The next witness is Brett Thomason of
the Steamfitters Union.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

BRETT THOMASON: Thank you, Chair Gennaro, for letting me speak with you today. My name is Brett Thomason. I am the Political Director of the Enterprise Association of Steamfitters, Local 638.

Our union represents over 9,300 hardworking highly skilled and trained men and women in the pipe trades working in New York City and Long Island. The work our construction and maintenance professionals do on building systems is going to be essential to ensuring that buildings maximize energy efficiency and

2.2

2.3

modernize in order to meet the pollution-cutting goals of Local Law 97. Our members have the knowledge and expertise to ensure that work is done correctly and efficiently, and the training our members have ensures that systems that will be built or placed and modernized are done to the highest possible standards, and our collectively bargained wages and benefits means that the people working those jobs will have access to family-sustaining middle-class careers.

If implemented properly, we think Local
Law 97 could be an important source of jobs for our
members for the next 3 decades. It's been encouraging
to hear the number of people testifying to the
importance, the economic development importance of
this law, and we want to acknowledge that.

However, one of the issues that's been pervasive in our industry has been the lack of oversight and enforcement from DOB and other city agencies that leads to low-road job standards for employers, cost overruns, and poor installation on construction and retrofit projects. We want to echo the number of people that have already spoken today to urge the Council to pay diligent attention to

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 129

adequately staffing DOB and the competent experts at
the Office of Building Energy and Emissions

Performance. Our industry relies on steady,

thoughtful, and measured guidance that sends a clear

thoughtful, and measured guidance that sends a clear market signal so that contractors and employers in the energy efficiency sector can plan and grow as New York builds out its clean energy economy. That's an economy that the...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue. Please continue.

BRETT THOMASON: Apologies. I'll wrap it up. Just to say that that clean energy economy is one that we plan to be at the center of so that we can provide more access and opportunities for New Yorkers to join the building trades and develop their careers.

I just want to close with saying that we are urging strict enforcement of the law, and investment is key for us because we know when public dollars are funding these projects, they're more likely to go union and create good jobs. Thank you for the time and apologies for the overrun.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Brett. Always good to see you, work with you and the good folks of Local 638. Please submit your testimony in full, and, to the extent that you want to elaborate to me directly or to Chair Sanchez from the Committee on Housing and Buildings about some issues you're having with the Buildings Department and them doing what they need to do regarding oversight so that things proceed along the lines of excellence, we're more than happy to go to bat for you. (INAUDIBLE) 638, I'm happy to go to bat for you, but, certainly, I think I speak for my co-Chair in saying that we would be happy to take any issues you have with the Buildings Department to make sure that you get what you're looking for and they're doing what they need to do. Really appreciate you being here today, and please give my best wishes to all the leadership of 638.

BRETT THOMASON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Absolutely. Just

echoing that. Thank you, Chair Gennaro.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our next 3 witness is Bob Friedrich of Glen Oaks Village.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Hello. My name is Bob Friedrich, and I am President of New York's largest garden apartment co-op, Glen Oaks Village, an affordable housing co-op made up of 3,000 working class families, city workers, and many seniors living in 134 buildings. Local Law 97 endangers that affordability. The New York City Council has imposed crippling financial costs and penalties on our families, your constituents. This is the greatest unfunded mandate and penalty ever imposed by the City Council on co-op residents. The Climate Mobilization Act requires us to undertake costly retrofitting of our heating and hot water systems regardless of our ability to pay and regardless of need. We now know what those crushing costs and penalties will be because we have spent 64,000 dollars on a Local Law 97 mandated study of our heating plan. The devastating report shows that for us to be compliant with the law, we will need to spend 17 to 20 million dollars, money we don't have, on boilers we don't need. If we do not spend this money between 2024 and

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 132

2030, we will be assessed annual fines that will rise

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to 1,096,200 dollars every single year. These fines are as unaffordable as the 20 million dollar expenditure for boilers. To make matters worse, even if we spend 20 million dollars on the most efficient boilers available today, our fines will not be fully mitigated. They will be reduced by 278,000 dollars, meaning we will still be fined 818,000 dollars annually. That is because the one-size-fits-all algorithms used to determine greenhouse emissions doesn't work for many buildings. So what are the real costs to real families? Paying for this will require each family here 7,200 dollars, money they don't have. In addition, the fines that are not abated will require an additional 5 percent monthly increase in their maintenance, and that is in addition to the rising property taxes and other costs of operation...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please conclude, Bob. Please conclude.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Okay. This is insanity, and loans only make it more costly. These are real numbers that will bankrupt our co-op and our families, again, your constituents. They keep me and

2.2

2.3

other Board Presidents up at night trying to figure out how we get you to understand the predicament. We urge you to exempt affordable co-ops from this legislation then reassess the decision in the future when more data is available and many co-ops would have begun the natural transition to newer boilers as they retire the older ones. If this is not, we will see real devastating to our affordable co-op communities. Thank you for giving me this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Bob.

People should know that Bob and I have known each other a long time. I don't have the privilege of representing Glen Oaks Village, but Linda Lee does and so just a note to my own office staff that is listening, we should, Nabi or whoever is listening, we should set up a meeting with Council Member Lee who represents Glen Oaks Village and myself and someone from the administration and let's sit down with the good folks of Glen Oaks Village and come to an understanding. That's what I'm willing to put on the table now, Bob, and we'll take it from there.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Thank you, Jim. I really appreciate it, and I know you've really been helpful

2 in this and we look forward to that. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for giving the perspective of the good people of Glen Oaks

Village and bringing that to the table today. We do appreciate that. You'v been a leader there for a long time, and you've dedicated so much of our life to

Glen Oaks Village and that is a testament. They're lucky to have you to be their advocate so thank you,

Bob, and we'll set up that meeting. Nabi, make sure that happens. Thank you, Bob.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: If I could just add a question.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Of course, of course. I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No problem, Chair.

If I could just add a question. First of all echo

Council Member Gennaro's, just thank you for sharing
this perspective. It's really critical for us to hear
and for us to debate and discuss and, of course,
assist you in any way that we can. How many units of
housing are within the co-op?

2.2

2.3

BOB FRIEDRICH: We have 3,000 families here. It's about 10,000 residents. We have 134, 2-story buildings. We have 47 boiler rooms. It's a big place, but these penalties are really crushing, and I really do look forward to speaking to you guys in the future to put real numbers on this. By the way, we all want a clean environment. If you look at the background picture behind, we have a lot of trees, a lot of open space, and we cherish the greenery and we (INAUDIBLE) the open space, but we need to be able to afford this in a timeframe that makes sense. That's the issue here, but we'll talk about that going forward.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

BOB FRIEDRICH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Bob, and thank you, Chair Sanchez, for your interest and concern.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next witness will be Lonnie Portis of WE ACT.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

LONNIE PORTIS: Hi. Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. I'm Lonnie J. Portis. I'm the Environmental Policy and Advocacy Coordinator

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

here at WE ACT for Environmental Justice. I want to first take the opportunity to thank both Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez for holding what climate advocates feel is one of the most important hearings the Council will hold this session.

WE ACT is an organization based in Harlem and it has been fighting environmental racism on the city, state, and federal levels for more than 30 years. WE ACT is testifying on the need to invest in the city's future by funding environmental and climate policies and programs. This Council has the rare opportunity to make the budget as climate forward as possible which will take bold necessary investments. This year, we're fighting for funding to ensure that we make significant progress in implementing Local Law 97 equitably as written and creating thousands of good green jobs here in New York City. Given my time, I'll get straight to the point here. I do acknowledge that the New York City Council's response to the fiscal 2023 preliminary budget and fiscal 2022 preliminary Mayor's Management Report the Council asked for 450,000 dollars for 6 additional OBEEP positions for Local Law 97 implementation, and, while this is great awareness of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a clear need, there needs to be more positions dedicated to this work given the number of buildings that will need to comply and the importance of meeting the law's mandates. In addition, the Council should fund a major public education program through the NYC Accelerator to strengthen the public knowledge and awareness of the city's climate policies and the intersection of air pollution health and infrastructure. The most effective watchdogs are often the ones who are on the ground and closest to the work. Tenants should know and understand the requirements set forth by Local Law 97 and can act as on-the-ground enforcement to ensure that building owners are meeting their requirements. This can only happen if the public is aware of the responsibilities that the landlords and methods of recourse available for them. Additionally, educating the public on the intersection of climate health and infrastructure leads to more informed and...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

LONNIE PORTIS: Who in turn can better stewards of the environment themselves. Again, thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm looking forward to working with Chair Gennaro and Chair

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Lonnie.

2 LONNIE PORTIS: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our next witness is Alex Shapanka of REBNY.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

ALEX SHAPANKA: Good afternoon, Chairs

Gennaro and Sanchez and other Members of the City

Council who are still with us. Thank you for the

opportunity to speak today. My name is Alex Shapanka.

I'm the Assistant Vice President of Policy at REBNY.

REBNY supports Local Law 97's goal of decarbonizing

the build environment and is eager to continue

serving as the city's partner in achieving our shared

climate goal, which is a carbon-free New York City on

the timetable adopted within the law.

Since New York City's buildings

collectively account for the majority of the city's

carbon emissions, the build environment needs to make

meaningful carbon reductions if we are to achieve a

more sustainable and equitable city. To effectively

do so, policymakers needs to strengthen Local Law 97

by improving certain elements of the law to account

for the unique circumstances of each property and

enact practicable solutions to ensure our carbon

reduction targets are met. Those solutions, in no

2.2

2.3

particular order, should include, 1, an appropriate metric, 2, financial support to buildings to reduce emissions, 3, support for reduction of emissions from electricity and district steam, and, 4, drive investments to improvements of buildings, not penalties.

First, we need to improve the metric that better accounts for the buildings' different realities and normalizes factors including density, hours of operations, and the specific type of use within the building. The metric should appropriately balance the need to incentivize on-site energy reduction and use lower carbon energy inputs in building electrification.

Second, the city needs to provide

financial support to buildings to secure emissions

reductions. The improvements that building owners

will need to make properties come into compliance

with Local Law 97 caps come with the significant

price tag we've heard earlier today. Unfortunately,

the costs required to decarbonize these buildings is

substantial and will cause substantial costs on

residents, whether they're renters, owners, or co-op

shareholder. A wide range of programs beyond what is

offered today are going to be needed to help these
buildings come into compliance and make those
investments.

Third, the city needs to support
emissions reduction from electricity and district
steam generation. Achieving the goals of Local Law 97
requires aggressive decarbonization of electricity
within the 5 boroughs. The city has been a strong
supporter of efforts to bring renewable power into
the city from upstate renewables, Canadian
hydropower, and offshore wind.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please summarize,

15 Alex.

2.2

2.3

ALEX SHAPANKA: Sure. The other point is until we have a decarbonized grid, we need to make sure that there are flexible options including renewable energy credits to allow building owners to invest for Local Law 97 compliance and also to make sure they can support the infrastructure and renewable energy generation.

Again, there needs to be greater attention to district steam, which we believe is a

key component to helping convert existing buildings to less carbon-intensive energy sources over time.

Finally, penalties resulting from Local

rate units.

Law 97 are currently earmarked for the city's general fund with no obligation for funds to be used to support building decarbonization. We think this is a missed opportunity, and we agree with the city and others who espoused ideas earlier that there should for owners exceeding their caps for current compliance to make sure that that money is used to improve performance of buildings with below market

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Alex. I think the Commissioner's testimony hit on some of the points that you made and happy to have an ongoing conversation with you and all stakeholders, and I want to thank REBNY for their strong support of the Tier 4 projects that are certainly critical. I think Ryan is going to be testifying later on today or is he, later on, I think he was scheduled...

2.2

ALEX SHAPANKA: He was scheduled to testify, but he got tied up.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes, okay. If he comes once all the other groups have gone because

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS that's how we're doing it so you're sort of taking 2 3 his slot, but we'll all work it out. Alex, thanks for 4 being here and bringing us the benefit of REBNY's views. It's most appreciated. ALEX SHAPANKA: Thank you. 6 7 SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our next witness is Chris Halfnight of Urban Green Council. 8 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. CHRIS HALFNIGHT: Good afternoon, Chairs 10 11 Gennaro and Sanchez and Council Members. It's nice to 12 see you all. I'm Chris Halfnight, Director of Policy at Urban Green Council. We're an environmental 13 nonprofit working to reduce carbon in New York City 14 15 buildings. Urban Green thanks the Council and staff 16 for today's hearing and for continued focus on 17 climate progress, and we support and greatly 18 appreciate the very strong commitment we heard today 19 from this administration to full and effective 20 implementation of Local Law 97. That is crucial. We 21 also appreciate the ongoing efforts of the 2.2 administration's leadership here today and staff 2.3 including the excellent teams at DOB and MOCEJ. Successful implementation means ensuring the law 24

remains ambitious, equitable, and practical, driving

2.2

2.3

down carbon emissions through investments in New York City buildings that will also create new green jobs, save energy, and reduce pollution. We offer some detailed recommendations in our written testimony, many of which support the administration's points today including 1, increased funding for implementation. DOB is doing a great job, but they need more staff and more money as we've heard today including for consultants to do modeling to inform requirements for future compliance periods, and we're grateful that the City Council included this focus in new funding in its budget response.

Second, finalize details through rules for the first compliance period as soon as it's possible. The market needs certainty to plan and execute compliance action.

Third, prioritize investments in New York City buildings. A variety of compliance options including renewable energy credits provides valuable flexibility but reasonable limitations will help ensure the law drives on-site upgrades.

Four, we strongly support adding a new compliance option with appropriate guardrails for building owners to pay into a fund for

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 145

decarbonization in affordable housing as we heard today instead of sending money to penalties.

Five, increase outreach and support significantly, particularly for smaller buildings with fewer resources.

Lastly, with just another second here, it's crucial the city continue to lead by example with work in public buildings to meet its own requirements under the law.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment, and we look forward to continuing our work with the Council and the administration on successful implementation.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Chris.

Always great to see you, and I certainly appreciate the long partnership that Urban Green has both with the Council and with the administration. The work we recently did on Gas Ban bill and certainly appreciate your valuable insights, and please give us your comments in full, and they will certainly receive due consideration. Again, great to see you.

CHRIS HALFNIGHT: Thank you, Chair.

2.2

2.3

)

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our next witness is Martha Sickles from the Association for Energy Affordability.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

MARTHA SICKLES: Good afternoon. My name is Martha Sickles. I'm speaking on behalf of the Association for Energy Affordability, a not-for-profit dedicated to achieving energy efficiency and transitioning to green energy in new and existing buildings. Thank you, Committee Chair Sanchez and Gennaro and Committee Members for holding this oversight hearing and the opportunity to testify. Thanks also to Chief Climate Officer Aggarwala and his Colleagues for comprehensive and enlightening testimony addressing some of our issues.

Successful implementation of the CMA and Local Law 97 is essential to reduce carbon emissions and pollution while providing greater comfort and health to New Yorkers. Building retrofits and electrification will generate investments in thousands of good green career track jobs. It is important that the implementation strategies ensure an equitable and just energy transformation inclusive of all neighborhoods, building types, racial and

2.2

2.3

Compliance paths should focus less on RECS, although it's an important method, and look for others. A new alternative compliance concept referenced by Commissioner Aggarwala is creation of a new equitable building fund into which building owners could pay what would be funds that could be used to fund energy efficiency and clean energy upgrades in affordable housing.

Adequate funding for all agencies contributing to Local Law 97, particularly the Office of Building Energy and Emissions that's tasked with the rulemaking and implementation of Local Law 97. It requires additional staff for successful implementation beyond the good additional funding in the City Council budget response.

We also hope for additional funding for DCAS, NYCHA, HPD, and EPA, with adequate funds for the city to lead by example in reaching emissions targets in its buildings, fleets, and operations.

Project funding and finance...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please conclude.

4

MARTHA SICKLES: Are important for

5

building owners. We hope the city will leverage

6

private capital enhanced by government supplements as

7

well as tax credits to fund the high cost of

8

retrofits.

9

Finally, outreach and education to engage

10

owners, managers, and residents in building retrofit

11

and energy use reduction should be coordinated with

12

the state and utilities to optimize resources. The

13

Accelerator program should be reviewed for impact,

14

and funding should be provided to local community-

15

based organizations, especially disadvantaged

16

communities to ensure most equitable energy  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

17

transition.

18 19

effective implementation of Local Law 97 and thank

20

you for the opportunity to come in today. I'll submit

21

written testimony. Thank you so much.

22

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Martha.

We're committed to supporting you and the

23

Please do submit your full testimony. Always good to

24

see you. Thank you for being here today and happy to

25

give you a little latitude to give us the benefit of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 149

2.2

2.3

your views, but please submit your full testimony and we will value that. Thank you so much.

MARTHA SICKLES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Samara, before you call the next witness, why don't you call the next 3 or 4 so people know that they're in the queue and they're coming up?

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Okay. The next witness is Lucia Santacruz of the Urban Homesteading Assistance Board. She will be followed by Pete Sikora of New York Communities for Change and Jeff Rios of the American Council of Engineering Companies of New York.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

LUCIA SANTACRUZ: Good afternoon,
everyone. My name is Lucia Santacruz, and I'm here on
behalf of UHAB, or the Urban Homesteading Assistance
Board. For 47 years, UHAB has been creating,
preserving, and supporting affordable residentcontrolled housing. We work with low and moderateincome residents and housing cooperatives, known as
HDFCs, as well as tenant associations to build
leadership, democratic participation, and community
through cooperation. UHAB is part of the Climate

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 150

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Works for All Campaign because HFDCs' communities are on the front lines of the climate crisis, and most HFDCs residents are disproportionately impacted by the legacies of redlining, disinvestment, and deteriorating buildings, and many HDFC residents live in the area of the city's most vulnerable which are vulnerable to rising sea levels and increasing dangers of urban heat islands.

We are counting on the city to invest more equitably and efficiently in affordable housing buildings that need retrofits in order not only to reach Local Law 97 goals but also to maintain affordability and improve health and safety for underserved residents. We are grateful for the strides of the city that has made to already fund energy efficient and retrofit programs for affordable housing, but this progress still falls short. Many of the buildings that we work with encounter roadblocks while trying to carry out large energy efficiency projects as there is a lack of funding for structural repairs, which are part of the preparation process for clean heat and solar readiness. Many HDFCs are committed to beneficial electrification and to getting solar energy, but are stalled due to high

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 151 2 upfront costs of structural repairs like roof repairs 3 which are not funded by the programs offered by the city and utilities. We, therefore, call for a 4 reevaluation of funding to include structural 5 measures that will help buildings prepare for 6 7 electrification and to install sustainable systems, bearing in mind that the structural issues in these 8 buildings come from historical disinvestment and redlining. Residents... 10 11 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please conclude. 13 LUCIA SANTACRUZ: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We welcome your 15 remarks. Please conclude. 16 LUCIA SANTACRUZ: Residents and owners of 17 affordable housing cannot be left behind in this 18 fight as they are the ones on the frontlines for 19 climate change, and they can be a guide to a more 20 just transition and a more sustainable New York City. 21 Thank you. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Lucia. 2.3 Always nice to see you. Thank you for being with us today. We would certainly appreciate getting your 24

remarks in full. We look forward to getting that, and

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 152

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thank you for being with us and give our best to the
Urban Homesteading Assistance Board. Appreciate that.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: We have the next witness. That's supposed to be Pete Sikora.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

PETE SIKORA: Thanks so much. Pete Sikora here with New York Communities for Change. I'm on the Advisory Council. Thanks for allowing us to testify. This is our written testimony, and we'll submit more. I think we just heard very, very clearly from the real estate lobby what they are trying to do. They call for investments, not penalties, and that's the key thing here to keep in mind that this law will not succeed unless the assessed penalties are actually imposed on building owners who refuse to clean up their dirty buildings. Let's be very clear here, the 2024 to 2029 limits cover the very most high polluters in the state. These are per square foot the most polluting buildings in the city, and they're asked to take a haircut to get down to a not super polluting level. If you talk to experts across the industry, you know that those limits are reasonable and fair and so this mud that the administration and this cloud of doubt that the administration is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

passing through its spokespeople, and, unfortunately, in recent testimony just now on what needs to be done on penalties and what is good faith effort is actually having a real harm because scuttlebutt in the industry is that there are building owners who believe that they are going to be able to evade the requirements of this law because penalties will not be imposed, and, therefore, they are not undertaking the work to reduce pollution and create jobs that they should be doing and that's a real harm because not only does that hurt everyone but in the long run it makes the problem so much worse. Most buildings under these requirements can save money and improve their buildings over time over and above the costs of financing so we urge the Council to continue its oversight, and you can see the administration starting to move as Council Members pressed them on the penalties. That's critical.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Pete, please continue your remarks in full.

PETE SIKORA: Okay. Thanks so much, Jim.

I'll conclude quickly. Thank you, Council Member. The

good is that the administration realizes that their

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 154

2.2

2.3

preliminary budget of flatlining staffing in the office was a mistake, and the Council, to its credit, has increased that funding by 6 staffing lines in its budget. Along with others, we call for 10 to 15.

We're glad they're ruling out carbon trading. That doesn't seem practical. Alternative compliance cannot become a loophole. That's another thing to watch and look out for. They need to do proper rulemaking and get it done in the next year, and they should tap RECS so that we get more jobs and pollution reductions locally.

Finally, the city needs to spend orders of magnitude more money on its own buildings, in particular NYCHA, which has a gigantic capital deficit that needs to be repaired in order to help residents and also comply with Local Law 97 requirements. We really thank the Chairs for doing this and would encourage you to hold another hearing to explore these issues in more depth within the year because this is just gigantic. It's the biggest thing that the Council has done. It's the most important climate and jobs law at a local level in the entire world. It has to succeed or we are cooked, and we can create tens of thousands of jobs doing it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In conclusion, don't listen to the real estate industry. The administration should be clear and move forward properly. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Pete. Always great to engage with you and your good organization. Your point well-taken regarding NYCHA. I think the Commissioner was pretty clear in indicating and driving down that this is not going to become a loophole but let's all be vigilant I think is...

PETE SIKORA: Right, no...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Takeaway from that. Sometimes there's a long way between the creed and the deed, and it's our job and it's my job and also Chair Sanchez to fully implement the law along lines of excellence and we know that we always have an ally in you to make sure that we got the pedal to the metal.

PETE SIKORA: That's really appreciated. Thank you, and I'll just say the key question here that they're not answering is what is good faith effort in the context when a good faith effort means that in fact you comply so let's not make that a loophole. Let's be clear. Let's not talk about

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 156 2 relaxing penalties. Let's talk about enforcing a 3 reasonable law. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. Thank you, 5 Pete. Appreciate you being with us today as always. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: If I may ... CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, yes, my co-8 9 Chair, of course. CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No problem. Thank 10 11 you, Council Member. Pete, I understand that you are 12 on the Advisory Board and so 2 questions for you. 13 the Advisory Board was influencing or advising into 14 15

One, when I asked the Commissioner about the way that the rulemaking processes that the agencies will be taking or are underway, he said the Advisory Board is providing recommendations and so the first question is how you feel that process is going and do you feel that the Advisory Board is able to have your voices heard in that process. Then the second question is regarding the Carbon Trading Study that is required in Local Law 97, has this work commenced to your knowledge, have you been engaged in its development, and do you have any concerns about the Carbon Trading Study?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

PETE SIKORA: I really appreciate that, Chair Sanchez. The Advisory Council is deeply, deeply involved with the Department of Buildings and the reverse. It's a very close partnership where there are working groups addressing very specific detailed complex regulatory questions. Gina Bocra and her team of people have been working really closely, and it's so impressive how professional they are and how knowledgeable and effective so that process is going forward. There's a tension, I'll just say, between the real estate industry wanting immediate answers and actually doing a thorough and competent job so let's get this right. The 2024 requirements are quick, but they're pretty clear. The 2030 requirements, let's get it right. To answer your question, the Advisory Council is given a lot of visibility and appropriately consulted, but, as the Commissioner pointed out, it's just advice in the end. It's their decisions, and that's where we're worried that there's going to be political interference to weaken the penalties and weaken rulemakings and processes so we continue to be worried about that.

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 158

2.2

2.3

To take your second question, and thank you again, on the Carbon Trading Study, the city did a Carbon Trading Study, and I think all of us walked away with the sense that that's extraordinarily complicated and in order to do something that would actually be valuable and would not hurt low-income communities of color and would not unduly reward landlords in an inappropriate way is really, really complicated. There's a long history of failure of carbon trading and cap and trade schemes so it's very encouraging to hear the administration frankly acknowledge that and effectively take it off the table, at least in the near-term for carbon trading. They're making a good decision there.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

PETE SIKORA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Pete.

Please call me anytime. No worries. Okay?

PETE SIKORA: Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, you bet, you bet. Samara.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next witness is Jeff Rios of the American Council of Engineering Companies.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF RIOS: Thank you, Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. I appreciate the opportunity to speak. My name is Jeff Rios with AKF Group and representing ACEC, American Council of Engineering Companies. I serve as Vice-Chair of the Energy Code Committee for that group. ACEC represents almost 300 firms throughout the New York City and New York State area whose members provide designs and plans for the building's mechanical, electrical, plumbing, structural infrastructure systems that help make Local Law 97 feasible. ACEC has been strongly committed to Local Law 97 and in support of it since its inception as an Intro 1253. We've provided comment and testimony throughout and are happy to provide technical comment as helpful. Members of our group serve on both the Advisory Board and working groups, and we are appreciative of and thankful for the work that's been done to date. I think I'm going to paraphrase my points quickly so I do not run over time, but we do have written testimony we will submit. Our points are largely echoing points that have been discussed a number of times today, the first of which is we want to echo the support that is

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 160 needed for dedicated resources within the DOB to make 2 3 sure that the law is successful. This is both related 4 to the rulemaking that must be done. There is a 5 report due within a year that will set forth specific requirements that will help make designers and owners 6 7 know exactly what they need to do to make Local Law 97 feasible and how to achieve it. That is something 8 that DOB does need resources to help act on as well as logistics going forward to make sure that 10 enforcement is done and successful. 11 12 The second point of which was brought up 13 a number of times earlier is around the occupancy 14 classifications or the building occupancy groups 15 within DOB which is how the limits are set currently 16 just utilizing building occupancy groupings. ACEC 17 feels that this is inappropriate and does not 18 represent or allow for nuances related to usage 19 types, occupant... 20 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. JEFF RIOS: Schedules and other factors so 21 2.2 we will... 2.3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue. Please continue. 24

25 JEFF RIOS: Thank you, Chair Gennaro.

1

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And conclude.

JEFF RIOS: Yes, and so just to conclude,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

we do recommend utilizing Energy Star classifications for the buildings as this is both a nationally recognized standard as well as what is utilized by

allows for some more nuance to those other factors as

the New York City Benchmarking Bill currently and

mentioned. That is all. That's my conclusion.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Jeff, thank you.

It's always good to engage with the American Council of Engineering Companies and all of the expertise you

bring to the table. Please submit us your testimony

in full, and it'll be most helpful. We really

appreciate you waiting all this time to testify and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

give us the benefit of your good views.

JEFF RIOS: Absolutely. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next

witness is Warren Schreiber, and he's going to be

followed by Summer Sandoval from UPROSE and Hannah  $\,$ 

Birnbaum from the Sierra Club.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, I'll just

mention that Warren doesn't have an affiliation here,

but I know Warren. He's going to be representing the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 162

2 Bay Terrace Co-op so in terms of his affiliation.

3 Warren, please continue.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

WARREN SCHREIBER: Okay, thank you very much, Chairperson Gennaro and Sanchez. Thank you for the opportunity to testify about Local Law 97. My name is Warren Schreiber, and I am the co-President of the President's Co-op and Condo Council. I am also President of Bay Terrace Gardens Cooperative Section 1, a 200-unit garden apartment property in Northeast Queens. As President of my co-op, how to pay for Local Law 97 keeps me awake at night. Bay Terrance Gardens is home to working-class middle-income residents including senior citizens and others on fixed incomes, empty nesters, young families, and single parents. Some of our shareholders struggle to meet their maintenance payments and other expenses. As an example of compliance, converting to heat pumps will cost 2.5 to 3 million dollars, which do not include finance charges. This expense will result in a 25 percent to 30 percent monthly maintenance increase of approximately 60 to 80 dollars. Other retrofits or replacements of our heating or electric plant will be as or more expensive. Shareholders who

## COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 163 have lived here for 20, 30, 40, and 50 years will 2 3 have to leave Bay Terrance Gardens to find more 4 affordable housing. I am not a climate denier. Climate change is an existential threat to our 5 planet. I want to leave my granddaughter a healthy 6 7 world, but she will also need affordable housing. The financial burdens of Local Law 97 should not fall 8 entirely on the shoulders of co-op owners. I hope you

Local Law 97 should have a carveout for garden apartment properties that sit on sizeable green space campuses and are more energy efficient than 1- and 2-family homes which are exempt.

will consider the following suggestions for Local Law

Number 2, a tax abatement similar to the J51 program but with a 7-year payout...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Warren, please continue. Warren, please continue.

WARREN SCHREIBER: Thank you, Chairman. A tax abatement similar to the J51 program but with a 7-year payout should be available to assist co-ops and condos pay for energy upgrades.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

97.

Number 3, in place of draconian

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

Paladino.

penalties, Local Law 97 should include common sense incentives to encourage properties to reduce greenhouse emissions.

Thank you very much, and thank you very much, Chairman Gennaro. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Warren.

We've known each other for many years. I don't have the privilege of representing Bay Terrace. Anything that you mentioned that would lead Local Law 97 to be re-legislated, there's no appetite on the part of the Council or on the part of the administration to relegislate Local Law 97 to open that up to give it a haircut or put it through the carwash. The law is written, it's past, it's done, it's here. What you were talking about with regard to assistance and other kinds of incentives that we can do, you and Bob are the type of co-op leader that we really should be listening to to figure out how we all get through this. What we'll do for Warren, this is a note to Nabi, who represents Bay Terrace, is it Vicky?

WARREN SCHREIBER: Yes, Council Member

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, and so, Nabi, we should get together with Warren and Vicky and the representatives of the administration and figure out how, these are good folks that want to help and doing everything they can, and we have to figure out how to get them help. Beyond that, we'll take it up at the meeting but we really appreciate you being here today, Warren, giving us the benefit of your views, and I know you speak for many co-ops that are trying to do everything they can to, as I'm sitting here, I'm getting text messages from people that I know in co-op communities so even there is no appetite to relegislate Local Law 97 we can provide the best help we can and so that's what we'll do. Thank you very much, Warren.

WARREN SCHREIBER: Okay, thank you, Council Member. I appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, call me Jim. We've known each other too long to be calling me Council Member.

2.3

WARREN SCHREIBER: Jim, it's good to see you and it's good to have you back Chairing the Committee. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: The next

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I think I feel the

witness is Summer Sandoval of UPROSE.

same. Thank you, Warren. Appreciate that.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

SHARON ZEA RINCON: Hi, everyone. Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony today. My name is Sharon Zea Rincon, and I'm the Climate Justice Organizer at UPROSE, and I'm here on behalf of Summer Sandoval. UPROSE is located in Sunset Park, and it's Brooklyn's oldest Latino community-based organization working at the intersection of racial justice and climate change. The passage of the Climate Mobilization Act in 2019 was a precedent-setting commitment to climate action and bold progress to reduce New York City's greenhouse gas emissions. This year, we're here again to call for adequate funding to ensure that LL-97 implementation is grounded in equity and climate justice to prioritize and directly support disadvantaged communities across the city and create thousands of accessible well-paying local green jobs.

Local Law 97 must be fully funded under the city's 2023 budget. We urge the city to include COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 167

adequate funding in the 2023 budget in order to ensure equitable implementation prioritizing disadvantaged communities. In order to operationalize the true just transition, LL-97 must support green reindustrialization of our significant marine industrial areas. We need to protect and support industrial and manufacturing buildings and businesses. The city must utilize a comprehensive framework that provides necessary investments, TA, and resources to help these buildings meet LL-97 emission reduction goals while developing our city's ability to produce and manufacture it locally for resilient local supply chains.

Local Law 97 must prioritize funding and technical assistance for low-income building owners. In environmental justice communities like Sunset Park there are low-income buildings that include community facilities, residential buildings who offer affordable rents for long-time residents, and industrial businesses who are all constantly fighting the pressures of gentrification and displacement. LL-97 must ensure that there are sufficient resources to ensure that low-income building owners and buildings

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

24

2.2

2.3

HANNAH BIRNBAUM: Good afternoon, Chair

Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. I'm the Northeast Deputy

Director for the Sierra Club's Energy Campaigns, and

I'm testifying today on behalf of our nearly 120,000

members and supporters in New York City. We

appreciate the City Council's strong track record of

work on decarbonizing our building stock. Passing the

Climate Mobilization Act was an essential step

towards reducing our dependence on fossil fuels,

cleaning up our air, and protecting our health. Now,

we respectfully urge New York City to deliver on

Local Law 97's promise by fulling resourcing

implementation and ensuring that environmental

justice communities benefit.

OBEEP needs an infusion of funding in the 2023 budget so that it can successfully implement Local Law 97. As others have stated, there are still many technical details and rules that need to be finalized with enough time for building owners to meet the law's first compliance deadline in 2024.

OBEEP also needs resources for widespread outreach to building owners to help them understand their new obligations and what financial assistance is available. We're grateful that the Council included

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 170

2.2

2.3

increased funding for OBEEP in its budget response, and we ask all of the stakeholders in the budget process to ensure that sufficient resources for OBEEP to staff up and meet up implementation needs are carried forward into the final budget for the coming year.

We also believe that New York City must guarantee that Local Law 97 benefits the people who are most impacted by pollution and climate change, and the city should do all it can within the structure of the law to ensure that the final implementation plan for Local Law 97 requires buildings that are a key source of pollution in environmental justice communities to meaningfully reduce their emissions.

On a related note, we are glad to hear that the administration is likely not pursuing the carbon trading scheme that law contemplates and that has raised significant concern in environmental justice communities.

We also urge the city develop a clear plan for directing financial and technical support for compliance with Local Law 97 to the communities most impacted by energy burden and most in need of

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

25

24

2.2

2.3

JUSTIN WOOD: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is Justin Wood, and I'm the Director of Policy at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest. Thank you so much, Chair Sanchez and Chair Gennaro, for the opportunity to testify on Local Law 97 implementation which we've heard so much about today is a landmark building emissions inefficiency law.

gotten too much airtime today which is also the link between building efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions reductions and the city's peaker plants.

New York Lawyers for the Public Interest is a proud member of the PEAK Coalition which also includes our allies at UPROSE, THE POINT CDC, New York City

Environmental Justice Alliance, and Clean Energy

Group, and we're a coalition of frontline community organizations and clean energy advocates seeking to end the longstanding pollution burden from the power plants on the city's most climate-vulnerable people.

As you know, our electric grid overall in New York City remains heavily dependent on fossil fuel combustion, about 70 percent of the electricity in our zone, Zone J, is produced by combustion, and on especially hot summer days and increasingly as

2.2

2.3

buildings electrify on cold winter days, this electricity production becomes even more dirtier and expensive as peaker plants fire up. These are the oldest, least efficient, and most expensive plants that can be up to 1300 percent of the price of the New York State average per kilowatt hour. They've amassed a cumulative 4.5 billion dollars in capacity payments just to keep these plants online over the past decade, and they have an outsized burden on environmental justice communities as does so much other fossil fuel combustion. I'll skip over some of the details. I'm going to submit written testimony that cites the PEAK Coalition's reports on...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please summarize. Go ahead.

JUSTIN WOOD: Sure. Let me just get to a couple of the recommendations. Thank you so much. We'd really like to see to effectuate the retirement of peaker plants and their replacement with renewable distributed energy and storage solutions as well as the Tier 4 transmission solutions we've heard about today. We'd really like to see Local Law 97 implementation also incentivize and promote the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 174

development of local, I stress local renewable energy and storage resources like rooftop solar and battery as well as bringing offshore wind into New York City.

Secondly, we'd like to see strong incentives with the implementation to help reduce peak energy demand during afternoon and evening hours when peakers are often called upon and start emitting disproportionate pollution. We've seen really promising studies that as buildings electrify, peak demand can be smoothed out and shifted, and I'll cite those in my written submission.

Finally, there's really only a small percentage of customers in the New York ISO and Con Edison who are currently participating in "demand response programs," which are really designed to incentivize customers to reduce demand during peak hours by shifting their electricity use, for example, and we'd really like to see strong incentives included in Local Law 97 implementation and cooperation with the utilities to get this accessible to far more New Yorkers with an emphasis on the communities that have disproportionate clusters of peaker plants. Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

3

4

5

8

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Justin.

scope of the hearing, but I thought it was important to get on the record. I was happy to grant you that

Your testimony went a little outside the lines of the

latitude, and we look forward to your full comments 6

7 because all your points were very important, even

though they were a little outside the lines but it's

okay, sometimes we go outside the lines for good

points which you were making. Thank you very much, 10

11 and give us the benefit of the written testimony, and

we'll do our best to fold that into how we go

13 forward.

> SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Now, I would like to Natasha Elder of NYPIRG, Georgi Page of 350Brooklyn, and Eric E. Weltman of Food and Water Watch.

> > SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

MEGAN AHEARN: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is actually Megan Ahearn. I'm filling in for Natasha who, unfortunately, could not be here today. I'm the Program Director for NYPIRG and wanted to thank Committee Chairs Gennaro and Sanchez for the opportunity to testify in support of Local Law 97.

2.2

2.3

NYPIRG works with college students at campuses across New York including 10 here in New York City, and generational climate justice is an issue that's front and center for the students we work with. Today's college students are seeing more severe storms and flash floods, they're reading dire climate reports from the U.N.'s IPCC, and grappling with what their future will look like, but there's hope too. Local Law 97 is one of the best tools New York City has on the books to combat the climate crisis and needs to remain strong and fully implemented. Along with a robust coalition of organizations and community members, we are concerned that the law not be weakened by removing or reducing penalties or otherwise delaying implementation.

We're concerned firstly that the Mayor's executive budget does not provide adequate funding for OBEEP. As the office's responsibilities grow, shortchanging the office sets the table for unnecessary hiccups and holdups and it would be a totally avoidable on-goal to not invest in OBEEP. We want to thank the City Council's response to the executive budget and encourage the Council to fight

for an adopted budget with at least the plans for 6 additional staff members that were included.

Secondly, the pollution cap set by the law for 2024 are purposefully high. Only the most polluting buildings exceed them, and many can dip below the pollution limits by making easy, low-cast improvements. Some buildings are eligible for waivers and all have access to the resources from the city on compliance. Each of these items lands on sufficient outreach...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please summarize.

Thank you.

2.2

2.3

MEGAN AHEARN: I'll wrap up. From the great staff and hopefully growing staff of OBEEP. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Megan, for pinch hitting for Natasha. Sometimes the boss has to come in and do all the work, and we really appreciate the long partnership that we have with NYPIRG and we look forward to receiving your testimony in full. Your points about OBEEP are well-taken. I am optimistic that the executive budget is going to bring us good news on that front, but please

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 178

send us your full testimony, and it's great to have

you with us today and for waiting all this time.

MEGAN AHEARN: Thanks so much. Will do.

MEGAN AREARN. IIIdirks SO MUCH. WIII GO

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Thank you. Our next witness is Georgi Page of 350Brooklyn.organization.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

GEORGI PAGE: Good afternoon. My name is Georgi Page, and I'm a Senior Organizer for 350Brooklyn City Action Committee. We are an environmental organization with thousands of members in Brooklyn that works locally to counter the global climate crisis. Thank you, Chairs Gennaro and Sanchez, for convening this very important oversight hearing today so that together we can ensure that Mayor Adams' policies and, more specifically, the adopted budget reflect the law we passed and helps us to meet our critical emissions targets. You all seem like very nice people, but I am here today in part because I once worked closely with real estate companies as an employee of a design firm. I was tasked with screening developers from all over the world who wanted to work with our firm. This role was

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS actually kind of fun until a developer wouldn't take 2 3 no for an answer and actually threatened us with the fabrication of a negative review of our firm by an 4 esteemed architecture critic unless we would take a meeting with them so let's be real. This is largely 6 7 the culture that we're talking about, a group of 8 people who are used to controlling lives, laws, and compliance to serve their bottom line but who we cannot simply trust to do the right thing. Therefore, 10 11 we must do the right thing. We cannot afford to let anyone off the hook. Building owners must meet the 12 13 critical targets that have been so thoughtfully established. We don't have the option of letting them 14 15 increase global warming and possibly ending the planet when we have provided ample resources. As a 16 17 reminder, we are working backwards from climate 18 collapse, extreme weather events, wildfires, and 19 tornadoes that are devastating entire towns and 20 communities so I am deeply concerned about the lack 21 of seriousness, yes, seriousness that the Adams' administration via its draft budget seems to be 2.2 2.3 showing about the implementation and enforcement of Local Law 97 and the concomitant lack of transparency 24

about staffing, data that we need during this budget

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS season. They seem to think that reducing staffing in the most critical offices and pandering to the real estate industry with easily circumvented penalties will help us keep our title as the City of Dreams. It will not. With rates of asthma that are twice the national average... SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. GEORGI PAGE: And what seems like a building explosion... 

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue. Please continue.

2.2

2.3

GEORGI PAGE: And what seems like a building, thank you, a building explosion or catastrophic fire every year we are becoming the city of nightmares, and these nightmares are the most real for the most vulnerable. Frontline communities and recent immigrants, our tired, our poor, our huddled masses yearning to breathe free. People are dying. They are not just numbers in a spreadsheet or data points, and, while they may not be your niece or nephew or your auntie, they're lives matter. Their ability to achieve in school and reach their goals matter, especially in a city like New York. Mayor Adams should take note, voters in the state at least

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

do seem to be taking global warming seriously. On November 2, 2021, we approved a constitutional amendment making clean air, water, and a healthful environment a human right. We are here today to protect that right, but we really shouldn't have to. Local Law 97 is law, and our right to clean air is now a part of the state's constitution so why was this hearing necessary? It seems that building owners have a hard time accepting imminent global warming and a climate collapse as motivation. It's just way too big an abstract. We as a city need to demand that developers accept the big picture as, indeed, the Empire State Building did some time years ago by implementing some simple solutions such as adding a film to its windows, this iconic building, symbol of New York City's aspiration, has reduced its energy usage by 40 percent and saves 4 million dollars per year.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please conclude. Please conclude.

GEORGI PAGE: Deferring the cost implementation and, at this point, making additional profits. While I appreciate the tolerance and patience for building owners who for their own

2.2

2.3

reasons do not meet the targets we need to focus more of our sympathy on people with no resources, not just folks with every resource. We need to build in case studies like the Empire State Building and begin to walk the talk of our landmark legislation. I do have a few questions that I will...

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We don't answer questions. We hear testimony, and we look forward to receiving your comments in full and any questions that you want to address to me and my co-Chair and to the staff of the Council. I have to be fair everyone. I can't give everybody 5 minutes.

GEORGI PAGE: Can I make one more point?
CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please.

GEORGI PAGE: Okay, I'm sorry. I just want to reiterate what everyone has said about a full accounting. We need to know how much money has been spent to date and where and we need to know what's being done in terms of active education and outreach to building owners. We need to know how to ask for what staffing we need. We're not even getting the data to ask those questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much for representing the views of 350Brooklyn, and all of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 183 your points are very poignant and well-taken, 2 3 certainly not lost on me or my co-Chair, and the 4 reason that I and my co-Chair put this hearing together is because we want to make sure that we hold the Adams' administration's feet to the fire so 6 7 that's the purpose of this hearing and to bring 8 people like you forward so that the people in the administration who are listening right now hear every word that you have to say so this is what you 10 11 accomplished today. We appreciate you being here, and 12 always great to engage with 350Brooklyn. Thank you, 13 Georgi. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Now I would 14 15 like to call Eric E. Weltman of the Food and Water Watch. 16 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 17

ERIC WELTMAN: This is Eric Weltman. I'm a Brooklyn-based Senior Organizer with Food and Water Watch. Food and Water Watch joins New York

Communities for Change, WE ACT for Environmental

Justice, and other allies in calling for Mayor Adams and the City Council to effectively and fully fund and enforce Local Law 97 and that includes leveling the penalties. Local Law 97 is bold and ambitious,

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 184 2 ground-breaking and visionary, and it is also 3 practical, realistic, and doable and, perhaps most 4 importantly, it is necessary. The report issued last week by the U.N. made it clear that it's now or never to prevent global climate catastrophe. Policies like 6 7 Local Law 97 as well as the Gas Free NYC law, which bans frack gas and other fossil fuels in new 8 buildings, must be more widely adopted and here in New York, we must reject, we must reject any and all 10 11 attempts by the real estate industry to gut the law, 12 that is to weaken and delay its implementation 13 administratively because folks, when it comes to climate change, delay equals death. Mayor Adams must 14 15 not, cannot undermine or weaken the law in any way by 16 eliminating, reducing, or delaying penalties for 17 noncompliance, and the Council must do everything 18 within its authority to ensure that the law is 19 effectively enforced including by providing the 20 funding for sufficient staff. Folks, Local Law 97 is 21 a win-win-win for New York City. It reduces deadly air pollution that kills about a thousand New Yorkers 2.2 2.3 every year, it creates thousands of good green jobs, and it strikes a blow against the fossil fuel 24

industry, reducing our reliance on frack gas and

2.2

2.3

other fossil fuels that are driving the climate crisis. For the sake of our families, our neighborhoods, our city's future, we urge you to ensure that Local Law 97 achieves what it can do, what it must do to help move New York off fossil fuels without any delay. I would like to thank the Chairs. I would also like to thank the Council staff, without which we couldn't achieve so much including Samara. There you are. It's great to see you. You've been doing so much amazing work for so many years, and we're very grateful to you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Eric. I certainly always appreciate any engagement with Food and Water Watch, particularly when you compliment the staff and you recognize the good service that they provide and so we really appreciate you waiting all this time.

ERIC WELTMAN: We wouldn't be here without them.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I know. I know.

Samara, please make a note that I should call the

Speaker and ask for a raise for you, okay? Let me

make that call. Thank you, Eric. We really appreciate

you being with us today.

2.2

2.3

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our next guest is Shiv Soin of TREEage. He's going to be followed by Karolina Gomez and Richard Lipsky.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

SHIV SOIN: Good afternoon, Council Member
Gennaro, Chairwoman Sanchez, and Members of the
Environmental Protection and Housing and Buildings
Committees. My name is Shiv Soi, and I serve as the
Executive Director of TREEage which is a youth
organization fighting for climate justice in New York
City. I speak on behalf of hundreds of our members,
all of whom are high school and college students
within the 5 boroughs of New York City to strongly
say their support for immediate implementation of
Local Law 97, the most important municipal law
combatting climate change in this country and in this
world. I want to take this opportunity to highlight 2
key components that are fundamental to this
implementation.

First, along with many Council Members throughout today's hearing, we are deeply concerned with the good faith effort standard touted by the Adams' administration. This standard is unclear on what these efforts would include, if it's relative

2.2

2.3

depending on resources, and, most importantly, based on the language that it is right now this can be a loophole that will potentially be exploited by the largest developers and builders in this country.

Quite frankly, we don't want to be looking forward a few years later and looking at the standard as what went wrong in the implementation of Local Law 97, and I strongly implore this Council to continue pushing and to create clear, equitable standards and ensure that our largest buildings will not escape this important work. We need to enforce this law using strong penalties and not allow polluters to get away with a free pass to escape pollution.

Second, we are also concerned that the DOB still does not have the necessary staff for the implementation of this law. Mayor Adams' initial budget left the Department toothless, but we would like to commend the Council for advocating for 6 additional staffers. Yet, that is not enough. Our Colleagues at New York Communities for Change, Food and Water Watch continue to estimate that we need about 10 to 15 staffers in order to actually implement this law, and I strongly encourage the Committees to advocate for this change within the

ALIGN, the Alliance for a Greater New York. ALIGN is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a longstanding alliance of labor and community organizations united for a just and sustainable New York. ALIGN also coordinates the Climate Works for All Coalition, a citywide partnership (INAUDIBLE) labor, environmental justice, faith, and environmental groups united to ensure that efforts (INAUDIBLE) climate change and also create good career track jobs and prioritize low-income and climate-vulnerable New Yorkers. Last year, our Coalition released our Green, Healthy Schools, an action plan that outlines why the city should prioritize investments for deep retrofitting schools to see immediate public health, economic, and climate crises environmental justice communities continue to face.

As a city, and as you all know as we've been talking about all day, we've already (INAUDIBLE) from New York City's biggest buildings through Local Law 97. This work needs to happen, and public buildings can set an example and why not start with public schools? Climate is a personal issue and it won't wait for us. As a Queens' native and a product of the New York City public school system, this is an incredibly important issue to me and to my family.

It's why I do this work, to build a more sustainable

New York for myself, my community, and the people

that will come after me.

Reducing the city's greenhouse gas
emissions while improving air quality for
environmental justice communities can have
consequential positive health outcomes and can save
lives. Clinical research links long-term exposure to
air pollution with high COVID mortality rates. Deep
retrofits, especially the insulation of HVAC and air
control systems are an immediate mitigation tactic
that provides long-term solutions to health and daily
life. Now is the time to invest in these long-term
strategies.

New York City's public schools are among the biggest public climate polluters and account for 1/4 of all city-owned buildings. Further, our Coalition estimates conducting deep retrofits will yield at least 50 percent in energy saving and emission reduction. Focusing on this sector of the city's public buildings portfolio will ensure the city makes significant progress towards key resiliency goals.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, because what we're doing as I mentioned earlier, we had Rit, myself, and a bunch of others met with Climate Jobs New York, and a part of that is the whole Clean Schools things, but we're trying to broaden the tent a little bit and so I would ask Nabi to reach out to Karolina because we want to make that a bigger tent and we're going to engage with the Chair of the Committee on Education for the schools' part and also with the Civil Service and Labor Committee so I'll ask Nabi to reach out to Karolina so that we can, we're just trying to grow the whole green jobs thing and part of that is the green schools thing so we want you in on that.

KAROLINA GOMEZ: That'd be wonderful.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for being with us today. Keep me honest on that, because we have to get that done.

KAROLINA GOMEZ: Don't worry.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: All right.

KAROLINA GOMEZ: Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Very good, Karolina.

Good seeing you. Bye-bye.

2.2

2.3

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Now I'm calling Richard Lipsky.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

RICHARD LIPSKY: Good afternoon. It's been somewhat of a wait, but I think hopefully well worth it. Councilman Gennaro, it's good to see you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, good to see, Richard. My god, Richard, good to see you, good to see you. Thank you for waiting. I'm sorry about the wait. We go back a long time, and it's great to see you.

2.2

2.3

RICHARD LIPSKY: Don't worry about that.

I'm here because there is a conflict between 2

admirable goals, one, of course, being climate change which is the purpose of Local Law 97 and the other one is supermarket sustainability and food insecurity. One of the problems as someone who's represented supermarkets for too long, actually, 40 years probably, what we're seeing though is that in buildings where supermarkets are tenants, co-op buildings, tenant buildings, condo buildings, that it is almost impossible for those buildings to be in compliance with Local Law 97 without sustaining large penalties because of the energy usage that the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 194 2 supermarket generates for being a supermarket, not 3 for acting in any way that would be certainly 4 creating more energy usage than would be necessary for that supermarket to provide fresh food to the community and then what we're seeing in that sense is 6 that in a neighborhood where a building, co-op 7 perhaps, is hosting a supermarket they are taking the 8 financial burden of hosting that supermarket while all the other residents in the community are 10 11 benefiting from the existence of the supermarket but 12 not paying for the cost of that. I guess what we're 13 looking for, Council Member, and we've talked to the sustainability folks at Buildings, and we're looking 14 15 for a workaround. 16 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired. 17 RICHARD LIPSKY: What we're looking for in this sense... 18 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Richard, keep going, 20 keep going. You waited 4 hours. Keep going. 21 RICHARD LIPSKY: What we're looking for is 2.2 a way to treat supermarkets while supermarkets 2.3 examine their energy usage separate from the building because it's unfair, it's inequitable, and it will 24

lead to the diminishing of supermarkets in

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 195 2 neighborhoods that need those supermarkets to provide 3 fresh food and vegetables and other healthy food for 4 the community. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Richard, thank you for your compelling testimony. You met with the 6 7 people in the administration. I'd like to hear like 8 offline how that went, and so I'm asking Nabi of my staff, I think Matt is also of my staff, to reach out to Richard and how about you and I have a meeting and 10 11 talk about this. 12 RICHARD LIPSKY: I think we will, and then 13 I would also say to Chair Sanchez that one of my clients is Morton Williams on Kingsbridge and Jerome. 14 15 As you probably know, it's in your district, and 16 they're very much concerned. They employ 1,500 people 17 from the community to go to those stores in 18 Manhattan, and we want to preserve those businesses 19 but do so in a way that is amicable to the goals of 20 Local Law 97. 21 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Also, Richard, if I 2.2 could because you represent supermarkets, I guess you 2.3 deal with local 1500 (INAUDIBLE) whatever it is and

RICHARD LIPSKY: Local 338.

24

RWDSU and all that...

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 196
2	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah.
3	RICHARD LIPSKY: All of my clients in this
4	case are either represented by one or both of those
5	unions, yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, I certainly
7	have a long history with you and with 338 and with
8	1500, and we got union jobs on the line here so the
9	bill's already law but whatever we can do to be of
10	some kind of assistance. I certainly want to help to
11	be a catalyst for that.
12	RICHARD LIPSKY: I appreciate that,
13	Council Member. We look forward to talking to you.
14	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. You bet,
15	Richard. Thanks for being here. Sorry for the wait.
16	RICHARD LIPSKY: No, that's okay. Thank
17	you.
18	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet.
19	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Nice to meet you,
20	Richard. Yes, my family has been shopping at Morton
21	Williams
22	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You have to know
23	Richard. Richard has been around forever doing this.
24	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No, I have not so
25	I'm glad to meet him now.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 197
2	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh my god. He's
3	Richard Lipsky. My god, everybody knows.
4	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I look forward to
5	talking to you more.
6	RICHARD LIPSKY: I'll reach out to your
7	staff, Council Member.
8	CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Richard.
9	RICHARD LIPSKY: Take care.
10	SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: I'd now like
11	to call Atalia Howe of the Community Preservation
12	Corporation, Sonal Jessel of WE ACT for Environmenta
13	Justice, and Jasmine Graham, also of WE ACT for
14	Environmental Justice.
15	SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.
16	ATALIA HOWE: Hi. Thank you, Chair Gennaro
17	and Chair Sanchez and other distinguished Members of
18	the New York City Council for the opportunity to
19	speak today. My name is Atalia Howe. I am the
20	Assistant Vice President of Initiatives and Impact
21	Investing at the Community Preservation Corporation.
22	Over our 48-year history, CPC has
23	deployed over 12 billion dollars in private and
24	public capital for affordable housing and community

development leading to the creation and preservation

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 198 of over 22,000 units of residential housing. CPC is a 2 3 recognized leader in promoting sustainability in the 4 industry and has a deep expertise in supporting the needs of small building owners. CPC is focused on decarbonization because we recognize the urgency and 6 7 necessity of reducing carbon emissions from 8 buildings. Building electrification is a vital step in this process, and we must commit resources to make decarbonization a top priority. CPC is supportive of 10 Local Law 97 and shares the desire to reduce the 11 city's emissions. However, the sustainability 12 13 upgrades that are required for compliance are 14 expensive, and we remain convinced that mandates 15 without adequate incentives are the wrong way to 16 encourage decarbonization and building 17 electrification. Given the high cost to retrofit 18 existing buildings to be both energy efficient and low carbon and the higher utility associated with 19 20 electricity, we have seen that in some cases it is 21 less expensive for building owners to pay the fines 2.2 than to electrify, which negates the intent of the 2.3 law. The city needs to allocate resources to pair with Local Law 97 in order to ensure compliance and

continued emissions reductions. To that end, CPC

24

2.2

2.3

recommends that several financial interventions be considered. First, we recommend that the city create a specific tax incentive for covered buildings required to comply with Local Law 97. This will help create additional cashflow that otherwise would not be available for decarbonization upgrades.

Secondly, the city should also consider supporting its own public pension funds and providing decarbonization enhancements when they buy first mortgages on buildings meeting Local Law 97 requirements such as a reduced interest rate or supplemental financing. This could be paired with a similar initiative with the state...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

ATALIA HOWE: If I may just finish up.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes, please, please.

ATALIA HOWE: Thank you. Additionally, as others have commented on today, fines collected from noncompliance should be set aside specifically to address decarbonization in disadvantaged communities and low and moderate-income neighborhoods and in smaller buildings that demonstrate financial need and are required to comply with Local Law 97. The city should also look to the state to provide additional

utility cost incentives. Electricity is significantly more expensive than gas and serves as a disincentive to building owners evaluating the operational costs associated with electrifying. The city and state should work closely with the Public Service Commission to create a separate utility rate structure for electrified buildings to reduce the costs for decarbonization.

Finally, while Local Law 97 is an important step in electrifying New York City's buildings, a large portion of the building stock, specifically affordable housing, is exempt, and will not benefit from the transition to clean energy under this law. In particular, much of CPC's portfolio of small rental housing under 50 units are not required to electrify and thinner margins and tighter financing prevent owners from making the investment themselves. The city must not leave these buildings behind. The J51 tax abatement, which is expiring in June, presents an opportunity for the city to include decarbonization as a covered cost, recognizing that creating healthy, sustainable, and resilient housing is part of improving and ensuring quality housing.

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 201 2 Thank you for your time, and I am happy to answer any 3 questions you may have. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much. Your first name is Atalia, is that right? 5 ATALIA HOWE: That's correct. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much for your very compelling testimony and for being here 8 on behalf of CPC. We look forward to getting your full testimony and some of the points you made have 10 11 been unique among everyone who's testified today so 12 your testimony is going to go, to the Committee 13 staff, just a note to the Committee staff, I would like her testimony to make its way to me directly 14 15 because I want to drill down on it. You made some 16 very important points. Thank you for being here, for 17 waiting 4 hours, and for giving us the benefit of 18 your good views. I want to thank you and all the 19 members of CPC for what you do. 20 ATALIA HOWE: Thank you. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Now we'd like 21 to call Sonal Jessel of WE ACT. 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Let me just, a little bit of housekeeping here. Now this officially 24

completes, so every organization that wanted to

2.2

2.3

testify has testified, and, as I said, organizations that have like a second or a third witness now that everyone has gone, this is the opportunity so anyone who thinks that WE ACT is jumping the line here, they've waited, so now all the organizations have gone and WE ACT has more witnesses and we're happy to hear them and we're grateful that they waited so please continue Madam Moderator.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Again, we'd like to call Sonal Jessel of WE ACT and also Jasmine Graham of WE ACT.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

SONAL JESSEL: Thank you, Samara. Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro. Nice to see you. Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Sonal Jessel. I'm the Director of Policy at WE ACT for Environmental Justice and a member of the New York State Climate Justice Working Group. Over the past 34 years, WE ACT has been combatting environmental racism in northern Manhattan, and I have a Masters of Public Health from Columbia University. WE ACT is focused on Local Law 97 because communities of color impacted first and worst by climate change and

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 203 2 induced extreme weather events. Using extreme heat as 3 an example, the New York City Department of Health found that over a 10-year period, 50 percent of the 4 deaths from extreme heat were black and African-American people even though they only make up 25 6 7 percent of the city's population. A study from Columbia found that the city will see around 3,000 8 heat deaths a year by 2080 if we do not do anything to reduce our emissions. It's not just health, but 10 11 it's jobs. The U.N. reported that by 2030 we'll see 12 80 million jobs lost due to rising temperatures. 13 That's in 8 years. That's very soon. WE ACT is here to discuss many aspects of Local Law 97 as you 14 15 already heard from Lonnie Portis, but, in my 16 testimony, I'm imploring the Council and the agencies 17 to reject false solutions as part of the 18 implementation plan. First, we reject the proposed 19 carbon trading scheme. I thank our administration for 20 not pursuing cap and trade. Carbon trading has never 21 proven to reduce pollution and emissions in communities of color. The model for carbon trading 2.2 2.3 for New York City found that EJ communities were still seeing for the first 5 years higher emissions 24

and pollution in our communities.

2.2

2.3

I also implore you in general to not forget air pollution such as PM2.5, NOx, SO2, and healthy housing issues such as lead, mold, pests, thermal discomfort in decisions about how the law will be implemented. The use of RECS and offsets allow buildings to meet emissions goals without actually reducing their emissions. RECS should only apply to...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

SONAL JESSEL: And they should not be used to offset on-site fossil fuel combustion. We would like to see them limited to 10 percent like offsets are. False solutions like RECS and offsets as well as hydrogen blending all assume that local pollution and the need to improve housing quality is not central to decarbonization efforts. All carbon and methane reductions must be done in partnership with coal pollutant reductions. That is true environmental justice. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Sonal.

It's always good to hear from you and good to work

with you, and thank WE ACT for sending not just 1

witness but 3 witnesses. I think that is indicative

of your passion and commitment and certainly like the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

points you made and reinforced some of those that have been made, but some of those points cannot be made enough and so we thank you very much. We look forward to receiving your testimony in full, and we thank you again. Just in case Lonnie forgets, you have to tell Peggy hi for me, okay?

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Jasmine.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

JASMINE GRAHAM: Hi there. Thank you so much, Chairs, for the opportunity to testify. My name is Jasmine Graham. I'm the Energy Justice Policy Manager here at WE ACT. I'm also appointed to the city's Climate Mobilization Advisory Board where I'm tasked with representing environmental justice communities and the implementation of Local Law 97. Without Local Law 97, our buildings will continue to be the leading local driver of the climate crisis while poisoning the health of our children, families, and communities at large, even more so environmental justice communities like the folks that we represent in Harlem will continue to face the brunt of this burden and will be forced to sacrifice our health to subsidize the wealth of the real estate and fossil fuel industries. Over 1,000 New York City residents

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are killed each year by pollution from fossil fuel use in our buildings, and these harms are not felt equally across the city. Communities of color are exposed to 17 percent more air pollutions, and black communities are hit the hardest, breathing in 32 percent more particulate matter than their counterparts. This is the time to ensure that Local Law 97 is implemented fully, robustly, and equitably. We strongly urge the Council to stand up against any attempts to weaken this mandate through penalty reductions or delays, meager enforcement, or the inclusion of false solutions. In addition, we must ensure that costs will not be passed to tenants and that a clean energy transition centers energy affordability and addresses the energy burden crisis in New York City.

New York City has an energy affordability crisis. We have some of the highest utility rates in the United States, and it leads to exorbitant energy cost burdens. 32 percent of black and 33 percent of Latino households in New York City have a high energy burden, meaning they spend more than 6 percent of their household income on their energy needs, and, for reference, the median energy burden in New York

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

City is 2.9 percent. Yet, the median energy burden among low-income folks is 9.3 percent. In addition, 1 in 4 low-income households has an energy burden over 17 percent, and, at the same time, there's a mounting utility debt crisis with more than 400,000 Con Edison...

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue.

JASMINE GRAHAM: With over 400,000 Con Edison customers on average with over 2,000 dollars of utility debt as of February. For these reasons, energy affordability must be central to the implementation of Local Law 97. There have been attempts to allow penalties and costs to be passed on to residential tenants. That is unacceptable. One of the most practical ways to bolster energy affordability is with energy efficiency. We need to ensure that low income and communities of color especially have energy efficient buildings that keep their costs low and their families healthy, and the Council and the administration should work together to engage affordable housing buildings that are currently exempt from Local Law 97 requirements and create a fund to help those buildings decarbonize.

There are many ways to structure such a fund, but the core pieces are as follows: The fund should generate capital from some diversion of existing penalties or through the development of an alternative compliance mechanism, leverage state and federal funding, and subsidize the costs of decarbonization, energy efficiency and beneficial electrification measures in affordable housing including NYCHA. I'll be submitting a testimony in full in writing and thank you so much for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Jasmine.

Good to see you. Thank you for your good work. I let
you go over a little bit because you waited more than
4 hours to testify and really appreciate your
patience and the value added you brought to this
proceeding. Thank you very much.

JASMINE GRAHAM: Thank you, Chair Gennaro.
CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: We now have 4 individuals who as far as we can tell don't represent groups but would like to testify anyway. Crystal Smith, Michael De Valera, Geoff Mazel, and Iram Amin.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

2.2

2.3

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CRYSTAL SMITH: Good afternoon, Chair Gennaro and Chair Sanchez. My name is Crystal Smith, and I'm the Director at Nuveen Green Capital. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Nuveen Green Capital is one of the prequalified vendors for the New York City Accelerator PACE Program. C-PACE, as you know, is a financing tool that provides commercial building owners with affordable sources of private capital to make energy efficient and renewable energy retrofits. This program was adopted in 2019 as part of the city's Climate Mobilization Act to help property owners pursue these building upgrades and comply with Local Law 97. Only 2 pilot projects have been approved and closed by the city, and the program itself has been closed for the past year. C-PACE stakeholders, including Nuveen, continue to work with the Office of Climate and Environmental Justice and NYCEEC to ensure that this program is a useful tool that will lead the city towards a decreased carbon output. For this program to successfully drive Local Law 97 compliance, guidelines must be accessible, easy to understand and interpret, and have achievable standards for developers, many of whom have already invested

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

significant sums into construction projects expected that the program would mimic NYSERDA standards. I testify today to urge the Council in coordination with the Office of Climate and Environmental Justice and NYCEEC to ensure that the program reopens as soon as possible. This requires the city to publish its revised closing documents so that any transactions may be executed and property owners may access C-PACE capital to implement retrofit projects. We also encourage that the city adjust the C-PACE guidelines for new construction, which will now go through the city's CAPA process for the second time, to align with the timing for the city's building electrification requirements that were passed last session by City Council. Once the electrification requirements go into effect in 2027, then C-PACE should reflect that requirement as well. Until that time, new buildings permitted and approved by the city prior to those deadlines should still be able to access financing for eligible costs under the NYSERDA C-PACE new construction standards.

I urge the Committee, the Council body, and the Office of Climate and Environmental Justice to work towards an immediate reopening of the C-PACE

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 211 program for retrofits under the current standards and 2 3 to take into consideration the recommendations we 4 have outlined before finalizing the regulations of 5 the program for new construction projects. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Crystal. 6 7 What is the name of your firm? 8 CRYSTAL SMITH: Nuveen Green Capital. Our 9 cofounders were some of the policy architects behind the first C-PACE program in Connecticut. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. First of all, 12 please send us your full traffic, and you're 13 presumably with folks from the administration that 14 are trying to move this forward, right? 15 CRYSTAL SMITH: Yes, we are. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. How's that 17 going? 18 CRYSTAL SMITH: Slowly. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 20 CRYSTAL SMITH: It's going. 21 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thanks for bringing this to our attention from your perspective. We heard 2.2 2.3 it from the administration's perspective. Now we have it from your perspective. If you hit any roadblocks, 24

make sure you give us a call, but, certainly, we'll

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 212 2 try to work from our end as well, and we hope to get 3 the benefit of your full testimony which was worth 4 4.5 hours to wait to deliver because it was very 5 helpful. 6 CRYSTAL SMITH: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Appreciate that. SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Michael De 8 9 Valera. SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 10 11 MICHAEL DE VALERA: Thank you, Chair Sanchez and Chair Gennaro. My name is Michael De 12 13 Valera, and I'm the Treasurer of Dorie Miller Housing Co-op in Corona Queens, New York. I'm an Executive 14 15 Board Member of the President's Council for Co-ops 16 and Condos and a member of Community Board 3. Dorie 17 Miller is the first integrated interracial co-op in 18 New York City and was created through the efforts of 19 Adam Clayton Powell, Jr. in 1953 to combat the racism 20 of the FHA guidelines for returning vets that 21 prohibited blacks from living in specific areas or 2.2 getting loans to purchase homes in other areas. 2.3 Today, this legislation before us, Local Law 97, seeks to make an impact on climate change and to lead 24

the charge for the removal of fossil fuels from our

```
COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH
1
    COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
                                                       213
2
     energy needs. To this end, I am fully supportive. The
     issue that I have is how do we pay for it. I'm
3
4
     currently in the unenviable position of refinancing
     our co-op mortgage and looking to replace our 43-
5
    year-old boilers that are using number 2 heating oil.
6
7
    My choices are stark. I can replace the outdated oil
8
    heaters with updated oil fuel boilers for 2 million
     dollars, I can update to gas for 3 million, or I can
     go all electric with heat pumps for 10 to 15 million
10
11
     dollars. We're currently paying more than a million
     dollars in taxes on 300 units of housing, which
12
13
     represents more than 20 percent of our annual budget.
     With our current carrying charges, we can't support a
14
15
     loan to go for the heat pump scenario. The other 2
     options leave us in the world of penalties and
16
17
     doesn't impact positively a contribution towards
18
    helping lower fossil fuel emissions. As Dorie Miller
19
     lays out our purchasing strategy, one question
20
     remains. How will we meet the requirements and pay
21
     for the upgrades?
2.2
                SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.
2.3
                MICHAEL DE VALERA: We need help.
                CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue.
24
```

Please continue.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL DE VALERA: Thank you. We are in essence a naturally occurring retirement community, and, contrary to many notions of who are co-op homeowners, this is not some high-priced Park Avenue co-op. This might surprise a lot of people, but co-ops like Dorie Miller are affordable housing and represent the first rung of the financial building block for many families. Co-ops want just as much to be a part of combatting climate change. We want to implement new technology to help clean the air. We just need financial assistance, and we're looking to see how your endeavors will work together with us in order to make this happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Michael.

Thank you for your very compelling testimony. Who's your local Council Member? Would it be Francisco?

MICHAEL DE VALERA: Francisco Moya. I saw him (INAUDIBLE) earlier today.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Nabi, we're meeting with Bob Friedrich, we're meeting with Warren, we want to meet with Michael, I'm just wondering, Nabi, if we just do this all together. We get the relevant Council Members so it would be Linda Lee, it'd be Vickie, it'd be Francisco, we have to figure out how

to peel the onion on this and get everything from their perspective and then we can take a run at the administration and happy to engage with Chair Sanchez as well or whatever, if you want to send a staff member or something, Chair, I don't know, because people are pretty jammed up, and I feel like we have to go offline, have a meeting, and figure out a way to help them out so that's what I want to do. We will be in touch when we put this meeting together. We'll do it with just you and Francisco or we'll do a thing with all 3 Council Members that represent the various co-ops and then we'll have a discussion like that. What you say is very compelling. We have to figure our way out of this, and so we're not going away. I'm not as expert as you are living through this so we want to get our facts straight and then we can figure out what's in the realm of the possible because this is a lot.

MICHAEL DE VALERA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet, Michael.

Thank you for what you do on behalf of your co-op and for waiting so long to give us the benefit of your

24 good testimony.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, thank you.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'll also add your

situation is not unique. I live in a co-op myself that's pretty big so one of the things we asked the administration for earlier was just a profile, just so that we can have a sense of how many co-ops we're talking about because we know that a lot of these are affordable housing units for middle-income families so I appreciate you being here today.

MICHAEL DE VALERA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Chair.

SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Now I'd like to call Geoff Mazel.

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now.

GEOFF MAZEL: Good afternoon. My name is Geoff Mazel, and I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak on this extremely important issue. Having followed Bob Friedrich and Warren Schreiber and Mike De Valera, we are all members of the President's Co-op and Condo Council. I'm the Legal Advisor. I'll try not to be too redundant, but, Chairperson Gennaro, as you said you're not that familiar with the co-op world. Well, I am, and I represent over 20,000 units of housing. I'm not that

2.2

2.3

familiar with the energy world so we would love to meet with you and see if there's a solution in here somewhere. I will tell you most of my clients are Dual Avenue, not Park Avenue, and this is the one issue that is keeping them up at night. There are so many unknowns with respect to compliance with Local Law 97 that we need guidance and we need help in order to clear the way.

As you've heard before, the President's

Co-op and Condo Council is green friendly. We were

one of the few organizations that submitted testimony
in support of the Champlain Tier 4 Hudson Power

Express Project before the Public Service Commission.

I personally have negotiated 2 of the largest solar

projects in co-ops in the city of New York, one of
them being a project very close to you, the

Georgetown Mews Solar Project.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh yeah, sure, of course.

GEOFF MAZEL: I'm told it's the equivalent of 22,000 trees being planted, and I strongly encourage all Council Members to go there and see what they accomplished, and this was accomplished with a partnership between government and the co-ops

2.2

2.3

Local Law 97...

including strong tax incentives, including grants, and some of the co-op's own money. There are solutions here. I know there's no appetite to rewrite the law, but things we're looking for, the removal, delay, or reduction of penalties for co-ops, and I know we discussed that at length, tax credits for

SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time expired.

GEOFF MAZEL: I'm almost done.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please continue, Geoff, please continue.

negotiated with Costa when he was in the City Council and we met with him many times is he was going to carve out garden apartment complexes because their campuses have vast green space. They're not even allowed to build more than they have already, and, again, if you go to a Georgetown or a Glen Oaks or a Bay Terrace, you'll see vast green spaces that don't get calculated in the carbon emission study so if they basically put all the buildings on one tax lot back to back it would be the same rating if they're spread out over acres and acres so we feel that has to be calculated and we feel that was missed in the

original statute. One other issue that I'm not clear on, solar energy is, I saw a recent Con Ed figure, it's less than 0.03 percent of renewable energy in New York City, as I said I negotiated the Georgetown Mews Project and the Celtic Park and Woodside Project. I encourage you guys to see that too. Large communities, but we don't know if the co-ops are going to get carbon reduction credits for these solar projects, and we feel that if a co-op or any building puts in a solar project that they should get credits for that because you can't spend the same dollar twice and that's an extremely efficient dollar spent for all parties because it does generate a revenue stream for either the co-op or if they lease their rooves. Anyway, the co-op community, we need a partner in government. I look forward and I loved hearing about all the meetings that we'll be setting up, Council Member Gennaro and Sanchez, and we look forward to a greener planet for everybody.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Geoff.

Being that you know everybody, you know Bob, you know
Warren, you know Michael, remember, there used to be
this fellow, Greg Carlson, who was big...

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 220 2 GEOFF MAZEL: Yeah, that was me. I was with Greg. We met for Local Law 84 right before. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, okay. 5 GEOFF MAZEL: We were young then. 6 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Is he still doing 7 that? 8 GEOFF MAZEL: This group has become 9 extremely active on many issues, and we work very hard and this is a signature issue for our 10 11 organization and our members. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The co-ops that we 13 talked about, Glen Oaks, Corona, and Bay Terrace, they're your clients? They're members of your 14 15 organization? 16 GEOFF MAZEL: Yes, we are a package, and 17 we have many, many other large co-ops, many of them 18 are garden apartment communities. We could put 19 together a very productive meeting... 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I think that you and 21 I should talk just to figure out how we go forward. 2.2 Happy to engage with Chair Sanchez on that. 2.3 GEOFF MAZEL: I know Asher Zlotnik, Linda Lee's Chief of Staff, has already contacted your 24

staff so let's set a meeting and let's see what we can do.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Chair Sanchez, I don't want to step on toes or presume to get too much into the Housing and Buildings world without your guidance and support so we'll be...

GEOFF MAZEL: We welcome everybody.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We'll be reaching.

Geoff, from my vision, we're going to make you the hub of the wheel and then we'll figure because it's just, Bob was here and Warren was here and Michael was here but there are other people too with the same issue.

GEOFF MAZEL: Yeah, we have a large following. We have over 100 members. We represent over 100,000 residents in New York City, mostly in Queens, some in Brooklyn. It's mostly working in middle-class co-ops. We feel we embody the original spirit of co-ops. It's affordable housing. It's entry-level housing. It's (INAUDIBLE) housing. It's something that, again, my clients are always complying with Local Law requirements and (INAUDIBLE) mandates. We want to comply with this, but nobody

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH 1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 222 2 knows what to do. The numbers we're seeing are 3 shocking. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It looks like it's 4 something that you tried to hash out with Costa and 5 you didn't quite get what you were... 6 7 GEOFF MAZEL: Well, we did, but he never, we can talk offline. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, Geoff, this 10 has been very productive. 11 GEOFF MAZEL: Thank you, Council Member. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Call me Jim, please, 13 and we'll get together and muscle through this. Thank you for staying on so long. 14 15 GEOFF MAZEL: Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet. 17 SAMARA SWANSON, MODERATOR: Our final 18 witness today is Iram Amin. 19 SERGEANT KOTOWSKI: Time starts now. 20 IRAM AMIN: Hello, everybody. My name is 21 Iram Amin, and I live in Bensonhurst, Brooklyn. Thank you for organizing this public hearing. I feel 2.2 2.3 empowered today to be able to voice my opinion on this very important cutting edge law. I've ben an 24

environmental enthusiast since 2013 when I first

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

heard the word sustainability. I have a Bachelor's Degree in Environmental Studies and Sociology from St. Lawrence University so my educational background and personal experiences compel me in wanting to see environmental laws being implemented and enforced, and Local Law 97 is one of them. The fact that large buildings contribute to 70 percent of the city's greenhouse gas emissions is a huge eye-opener for me. We must set this record right. There needs to be adequate funding in the 2023 New York City budget for increased staff at DOB's Office of Building Energy and Emissions Performance because a few staff members won't be enough to make the necessary energy efficiency upgrades. The first compliance deadline for building owners is coming up in 2024, which leaves the city less than 2 years to ensure that the numerous technical details required for the implementation of this law are worked out.

Lastly, this bill is even more crucial for environmental justice communities who are disproportionately impacted by climate change, whether it is the urban heat island effect or frequent and extreme natural disasters. I'm speaking on behalf of these communities as it is a matter of

ت

\_ \_

life and death for so many people in these communities, and Local Law 97 will ensure immediate health benefits for those people. Therefore, I want to see full implementation and enforcement of Local Law 97 meaning that the penalties on the developers are fair, they should not be weakened, and they must be enforced. This is better and sustainable for everyone involved. Thank you, again, for this opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you so much for your patience and waiting, oh my god, 5 hours to testify, Iram, and certainly appreciate the benefit of your views and your passion on this issue. We urge you to send your testimony to the Council and to keep in touch with the activities of both this Committee and the Committee on Housing and Buildings because you're deeply invested in the future. That's apparent by your compelling testimony, and we really appreciate your presence here today.

IRAM AMIN: Thank you, Chair Gennaro.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you so much. I want to thank all the staff that worked so hard on today's hearing, all the Members that gave such good testimony, but before I close it out I certainly want

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Chair Gennaro. It's been really a pleasure to be able
to talk about this critical topic. It's a dense one.
Local Law 97, like I said, was one of the longest
bills I worked on when I was in the administration,
but it's really helpful to hear everyone's
perspective and to have your partnership in coChairing this so thank you for inviting the Housing
and Buildings Committee. Thank you for your stellar
Chairmanship of this meeting. Look forward to working
with you and everyone who we said we'd follow up with
in the coming weeks.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you so much,
Chair Sanchez. Again, as I said before, it's been a
pleasure, and we'll partner as we go forward with the
people whom we had engagement with today, and I do
very much look forward to that. Thank you so much.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 226
2	With that said, with no one else wishing to be heard,
3	I thank Samara, our moderator, the entire teams, all
4	the central staff, Ricky, my staff, Matt, Nabi and
5	everyone. With that said, this hearing is hereby
6	adjourned. Thank you all. [GAVEL]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 17, 2022