CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

May 6, 2024

Start: 9:40 a.m. Recess: 2:48 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Justin Brannan,

Chairperson for the Committee on

Finance

Diana I. Ayala,

Chairperson for the Committee on

General Welfare

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés Chris Banks Gale A. Brewer

Selvena Brooks-Powers

Tiffany Cabàn
David Carr
Farah N. Louis
Chi A. Ossè
Keith Powers
Lincoln Restler
Kevin C. Riley

Pierina Ana Sanchez

Yusef Salaam

COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)

Althea V. Stevens Sandra Ung

Molly Wasow Park DSS Commissioner

Jill Berry
DSS First Deputy Commission

Rosine Ferdinand
Executive Deputy Commissioner of Finance

Ellen Levine
DSS Chief Program Performance and Financial
Management Officer

Scott French HRA Administrator

Joslyn Carter DHS Administrator

Patrick DiStefano Deputy Commissioner of Finance

Valentina Vidal Bilingual Vocational Case Manager at GMHC

Casey Schmoll
DSS/HRA, SNAP

Seleste Wilson Human Resources Administration's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program

Shakeema North Covenant House New York

Lisa Meehan Mobilization for Justice

Craig Hughes MFJ Union

Sean Davis Unionized Staff and Mobilization for Justice

Tara Joy Unionized Staff and Mobilization for Justice

Chris Fasano LSSA 2320

Alison Wilkey
Coalition for the Homeless

Paula Inhargue United Neighborhood Houses

Kristin Miller Homeless Services United, HSU

Chris Mann Win

Jerome Nathaniel City Harvest

Nicholas Buess Food Bank for New York City

Angie Vega Children's Health Fund

Gabriela Sandoval Requena New Destiny Housing

Reverend Terry Troia Project Hospitality

Dash Yeatts-Lanske Urban Pathways

Irene Branche
Doe Fund

Mary Fox Legal Services for Working Poor

Raun Rasmussen Legal Services NYC

Shani Adess New York Legal Assistance Group

Sophie Dalsimer New York Lawyers for the Public Interest, NYLPI

Sarita Daftary Freedom Agenda

Tierra Labrada Supportive Housing Network of New York

James Dill Housing and Services INC

Juan Diaz Citizens Committee for Children

Hannah Mercuris Center for Family Representation

Joel Berg Hungry Free America

Amy Blumsack Neighbors Together SERGEANT AT ARMS: This is a microphone check for
the Committee on Finance joint with the Committee on
General Welfare, recorded on May 6, 2024 by Nazly

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council Executive Budget

Hearing for the Committee on General Welfare, joint with the Committee on Finance. If you would like to submit testimony, you may at

testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Paytuvi located in Chambers.

2.2

At this time, please silent all electronic devices. Please silent all electronic devices. No one may approach the dais during any time at this hearing. Chairs, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: [GAVEL] Okay, thank you

Sergeant. Good morning and welcome to the first
hearing for the FY25 Executive Budget. I'm Council

Member Justin Brannan, I Chair the Committee on

Finance. I'm pleased to be joined by my Co-Chair
today Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala, Chair of the

Committee on General Welfare. We've been joined this
morning by Council Members Carr, Cabàn, Avilés,

Stevens sorry, Ung and Brewer and Louis. On Zoom,
we've got Council Members Riley and Brooks Powers.

2.2

2.3

Before we get started I want to take a quick moment to thank the entire Council finance division staff for their efforts in preparing for these hearings, especially Julia Haramis and Faria Ramone for today's hearing. Committee Counsel Mike Twoomey, My Senior Advisor John Yedin and all the Finance Analysts who work super hard behind the scenes to make all these hearings possible.

We're here today to begin examining the Mayor's \$111.6 billion Executive Budget for FY25, which would be an increase of \$2.2 billion from the Preliminary Budget released earlier this year. As a reminder, for this year's Executive Budget joint hearings, we will take public testimony on an agencies executive budget that same day. After the agencies witnesses have finished, instead of holding one day at the end for all public testimony.

If you wish to speak on the DHS or HRA Executive Budget today, please fill out a witness slip with the Sergeant at Arms. This March the Council began its role in the city's budget process with a series of hearings on the Mayor's FY25 Preliminary Budget and after a month of examination, the Council put forward a response that continued to push back on the

2.2

2.3

2 unnecessarily blunt cuts while responsibly accounting
3 for the challenges ahead.

Today, we start the next stage of the process by examining the Mayor's Executive Budget, beginning today with the Department of Social Services covering the Human Resources Administration and the Department of Homeless Services and I'd like to welcome DSS Commissioner Molly Wasow Park and her team. Thank you for joining us today to answer our questions.

HRA's projected FY25 budget of \$11.69 billion represents 10.5 percent of the Administrations proposed FY25 Budget in the Executive Plan. This represents an increase of \$872.5 million or 7.5 percent from the \$10.81 billion budgeted in the Administrations FY25 Preliminary Plan earlier this year. The increase comes from several actions. Most significantly, the \$614.9 million in additional funding for the City Family Homelessness and Eviction Prevention Supplement. As of March 2024, HRA has 1,166 vacancies relative to their budgeted headcount in FY24.

DHS projected FY25 budget of \$3.94 billion represents 3.5 percent of the Administrations

Proposed FY25 budget in the Executive Plan. The

2 represents a decrease of \$23.7 million or 0.6 percent

3 | from the \$3.96 billion budgeted in the

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 | Administrations FY24 preliminary plan. The net

5 decrease is largely made of a \$56.2 million reduction

6 in asylum response costs. Partially offset by a \$27

7 | million cost of living adjustment for Human Service

providers. As of March 2024, DHS has 163 vacancies

9 relative to their budgeted headcount in FY24.

In the Preliminary Budget Response, the Council commended the Administration for working with us and the nonprofit human service providers to implement a 9 percent COLA, Cost of Living Adjustment over the next three years. We also called on the Administration to fully fund the City FHEPS Rental Assistant Voucher Program and \$615 million was added in FY25 and \$540 million was baselined starting in FY26.

While this is a big step in the right direction, bringing the baseline budget more closely in line with historical spending in the program, the Administration is yet to provide sufficient funding to support the package of legislation that the Council passed to expand the City FHEPS program.

There are many, many topics which I, my Co-Chair and

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

our colleagues will be looking for answers on today. My questioning will run towards contracting with nonprofit providers, the asylum seeker PEGs and response funding, and shelter time limits as well as the Subway Safety Plan.

After Council finished their hearings on the preliminary budget, we released a response identifying \$6.15 billion in unaccounted for resources for FY24 and FY25. Unfortunately, the Mayor's Executive Budget recognizes barely one-third of these resources leaving out income-based tax revenues that are expected to make up the final adopted budget anyhow. The funds that the Council identified can account the expiration of federal stimulus funds allowing for responsible savings against under budgeted costs and unforeseen challenges, as well as restoration and investments into the kind of key services DHS and HRA provide among others. We have what we need to deliver a budget for every New Yorker and all of it needs to be on the table now.

Before I go any further, I want to turn to my Co-Chair for this hearing, Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala for her opening statement.

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you Chair Brannan.

2.2

2.3

Good morning. I am Deputy Speaker Diana Ayala, Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Thank you for joining us this morning for the Fiscal 2025 Executive Budget hearing for the General Welfare Committee, held jointly with the Finance Committee.

The city's Proposed Fiscal 2025 Executive Budget totals \$111.6 billion. Of which, \$15.6 billion or 14 percent funds the Department of Social Services and compacting the Human Services Administration and the Department of Homeless Services.

DSS serves the most vulnerable populations in the city, sheltering the homeless and improving the economic wellbeing of those facing poverty. These services are more vital now than ever. Given the record high shelter census and the economic challenges faced by low-income city residents. The Council's budget response made it clear that protecting housing opportunities, bolstering the social safety net and serving our most vulnerable residents are some of the Council's top priorities.

I was glad to see that the Administration added over a half a billion dollars to the City FHEPS

Rental Assistance program, as well as the citywide

funding for COLA for contracted human service

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

legal assistance.

2.3

24

25

workers. However, I am also disappointed to see that the Executive Plan did not add funding for the majority of the Council's priorities that were laid out in the budget response, including the Community Food Connection program, a restoration to last year's PEGs on shelter provider contracts, baselined funding for the legislatively required prevailing wage increase for DHS shelter security, an expansion of Fair Fairs to individuals making up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level. To increase the baseline for cash assistance and HASA Emergency Housing to align with recent actual spending. To support the Council's bill to expand the City FHEPS program. improve client services increased staffing and upgrade systemic systems for public benefit program administration. To improve the streamline and streamline the path intake process for families with children and to fully fund the Right to Counsel

I am particularly concerned about the lack of additional funding for the Community Food Connections program, which drops from \$57.1 million this fiscal

program, so that all eligible individuals can receive

## COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

demand, we cannot abandon the hundreds of community-based providers who operate the city's soup kitchens and food pantries, which feed our city's most vulnerable population.

I also remain concerned about the administrations

year to \$25 million in Fiscal Year 2025 and then \$21

million in the out years. At a time of record high

use of the shelter time limits for asylum seekers.

While it is true that the city must ensure that it is being fiscally prudent with municipal dollars and that we should continue to explore ways to decrease the overall cost of the asylum seeker response, the best way to do that is by investing in long term housing solutions such as City FHEPS, not by forcing an already vulnerable population onto the streets.

I would like to thank the General Welfare

Committee staff for their work in putting this

hearing together today, Phariha Rahman Finance

Analyst, Julia Haramis Unit Head, Penina Rosenberg

Policy Analyst, Aminta Kilawan Senior Counsel. I

would also like to thank my Deputy Chief of Staff,

well, my Chief of Staff Elsie Encarnacion and now,

Commissioner Park, our Counsel will swear you in.

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE  COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 15       |
|----|--|
| 2  | COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WEBFARE  COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning. Do you affirm |
| 3  | to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but                           |
| 4  | the truth before this Committee and to respond                               |
| 5  | honestly to Council Member questions? Commissioner                           |
| 6  | Park?  |
| 7  | MOLLY WASOW PARK: I do.  |
| 8  | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: First Deputy Commissioner                                 |
| 9  | Berry?   |
| 10 | JILL BERRY: I do.  |
| 11 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Executive Deputy Commissioner                             |
| 12 | Ferdinand?   |
| 13 | ROSINE FERDINAND: I do.  |
| 14 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ellen Levine?   |
| 15 | ELLEN LEVINE: I do.  |
| 16 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Scott French?   |
| 17 | SCOTT FRENCH: I do.  |
| 18 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Joslyn Carter?  |
| 19 | JOSLYN CARTER: I do.   |
| 20 | COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin.                                 |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Commissioner, let me just                               |
| 22 | say this testimony looks really long, so if you can                          |
| 23 | summarize as much as possible, that would be great.                          |
| 24 | MOLLY WASOW PARK: Absolutely. Good morning                                   |
| 25 | Deputy Speaker Ayala, Chair Brannan and Members of                           |

also includes a blue and white DSS, HRA, DHS logo in

25

## COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

the upper left-hand corner. The title is Fiscal Year 2025 Executive Budget testimony with my name and today's date. Most other slides in this testimony have a light blue ribbon at the bottom that includes the DSS, HRA, DHS logo in various shades of blue.

The next slide shows a Venn diagram indicating the overlap between DSS, HRA, and DHS. Under the leadership of Mayor Adams, the DSS, HRA, DHS consolidated management structure aims to provide a seamless and integrated continuum of client services to approximately three million New Yorkers. Our efforts to create a path to sustainability for low-income New Yorkers are rooted in the following three pillars: First, streamlining Access to Social Services. 2. Addressing Homelessness and Housing Instability. And 3. Creating Economic Stability. We will refer back to these three pillars throughout our presentation.

The next slide shows through an array of colorful boxes how many New Yorkers are served in each of DSS, HRA's programs. In the interest of time, I won't read all of them but I'll call out a couple, 1.8 million people receive SNAP. About 535,000 people receive cash assistance. 41,000 households are

supported by City FHEPS, 330,000 are enrolled in Fair
Fairs and I could go on. Some programs that are not

4 included in this chart, adult protective services has

5 about 6,000 people under care. DV shelters serve

6 1,200 families each day. The Community Food

7 | Connection program serves 46 million pounds of food

through 700 food providers annually. And homebased

9 serves 36,000 households annually.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Alright, our next slide displays a pie chart depicting DHS funds. Nope, it should not actually. Okay, yes it does. DHS is committed to providing safe, temporary shelter connecting New Yorkers experiencing homelessness to permanent housing and addressing unsheltered homelessness. With its forprofit partners, DHS is the largest municipal organization dedicated to addressing homelessness in the United States. The agency has an FY25 budget of \$3.9 billion, of which \$2.4 billion is city tax levy on a budgeted headcount of about 1,900 people with about 1,800 onboard.

95 percent of the DHS budget is for shelter operations, shelter intake and services for the unsheltered. DHS central functions like contracting IT and human resources are part of the DSS management

structure and the Administrative functions that you

see here are directly related to the operation of DHS

4 programs.

2.2

2.3

Alright, DHS Shelter Census. The next slide depicts a graph depicting the DHS Shelter Census. During the pandemic, there was a decline in shelter entries but at the same time we continue to make permanent housing placements, which led to a decline in the census. The non-asylum census is about 7 percent below the prepandemic level and well below the prepandemic peak of 61,400 reached in January of 2019. Nevertheless, the Census dramatically increased due to the arrival of asylum seekers. As of May 1<sup>st</sup> this year, the overall DHS Shelter Census is a little over 86,000. So, about more than 75 percent of the growth in the Shelter Census is associated with the asylum seekers.

The next slide is a pie chart depicting shelter residents by population. The DHS budget is largely a function of the Shelter Census. Currently, the overall DHS Shelter Census as I mentioned is a little over 86,000. This is an increase of more than 41,000 since the beginning of 2022 before the surge in asylum seekers to New York City. Of the 86,000

S

approximately 62,000 or over 70 percent are families made up of children and their adult parents and care givers. Children make up nearly 40 percent of all the people in shelter. Today, approximately 36 percent of the overall shelter census is made up of -DHS shelter census I should clarify is made up of asylum seekers over 30,000 people.

In January 2022, the Census was around 45,000, lower than it was in 2020 immediately pre-pandemic. As asylum seekers came to New York City and began to enter shelter in large numbers, they drove up the census which increased sharply over the past two years. Asylum seekers account for about 75 percent of the growth in the DHS Census.

Now, I'd like to turn to speaking about services for people who are unsheltered. Our engagement with individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness is another critical component of DHSs work. Since the start of this Administration, DSS, DHS, have doubled the outreach staffing to nearly 400 outreach staff on the ground as of today and aggressively expanded low barrier bed capacity bringing nearly 300 new beds online since August 2023 alone with approximately 3,900 such beds online as of today.

2.2

2.3

As a result of critical investments and 24/7 outreach and the intensification of end of line efforts as part of the Subway Safety Plan, referrals to shelters and low barrier placements increased by 70 percent in Fiscal Year 2023. Since the launch of the Subway Safety Plan in February 2022, more than 7,000 New Yorkers have been connected to shelter from the Subways. And I'm incredibly pleased to report that thanks to critical investments and a comprehensive continuum of care, DSS, DHS more than doubled the number of permanent housing placements for people experiencing unsheltered homelessness year over year.

DHS placed almost 1,000 New Yorkers residing in low barrier programs in permanent housing in Fiscal Year 2023. Our next slide indicates that we will now move on to the next segment of this testimony, streamlining social services. As I mentioned at the start of our testimony, our primary goal is to create a path to sustainability for low-income New Yorkers through three pillars of work. The first one that I will speak about is streamlining access to social services.

2.2

2.3

We can move up a couple of slides. This next slide depicts a graph displaying the reduction in backlog for cash assistance and SNAP cases. After record increases in SNAP and cash assistance applications in the past few years, double prepandemic levels, I am immensely to report that DSS has functionally eliminated the previously reported backlogs of 46,000 cash assistance and 4,000 SNAP applications. Those are the peak numbers as of July 2023 ensuring low-income New Yorkers can quickly and easily access the benefits for which they qualify.

Investments in staffing, technology and process improvements have reduced the backlog of cases by 99 and 92 percent respectively. This is even better than when I was here last month. In total, HRA processed more than 600,000 cash assistance in SNAP applications since the July 2023 backlog. I do need to pause and really thank the frontline staff that did heroic work to get that done. It was a tremendous effort.

DSS hired over 1,000 new staff people since

January of 2023 to process cash assistance and SNAP

applications doubled down on training for staff and

strengthened remote application processes to make it

)

easier to apply for benefits. The agency also work closely with Chief Efficiency Officer Denise Clay and the Mayor's Office of Efficiency to identify application process enhancements and to eliminate bottlenecks that contributed to the backlog. We also developed and implemented plans to expedite the processes going forward. As of March, nearly 1.8 million New Yorkers are receiving SNAP benefits and more than 500,000 New Yorkers are receiving cash benefits.

Alright, we've got up on the slides, that's great. So moving to the next one. Our next slide lists some accomplish—oh, okay, I am on slide 14 and where we are listing some accomplishments as it respects to streamlining and promoting the access to benefits. As previously mentioned, cash and SNAP are our highest profile benefits but there is so much more that the agency does. Here are some examples of how we've been able to connect more New Yorkers in need to services that lead to their economic stability.

We've created a new portal for landlords and providers to expedite enrollment and rental assistance and to make electronic payments to

landlords. With the expanded childcare assistance for those leaving the cash roles. With expanded Fair Fairs to 120 percent of federal poverty level and with the assistance of Speaker Adams and the Council enrolled more than 331,000 New Yorkers in Fair Fairs. And we've provided heat grants to eligible low-income New Yorkers and renters to heat and cool their homes, close to one million in Federal Fiscal Year 2022-2023.

Moving to slide 15 and looking ahead to 2025, we are excited about the investments we have made to support our not-for-profit partners and enhance services for our clients in the years ahead. We have issued new RFPs for legal services to strengthen support for clients at the risk of eviction. We have enrolled out enhancements to the Access HRA provider portal which will make easier than ever for CBO partners to help New Yorkers enroll in DSS benefits.

We are collaborating with new social care
networks under the New York State Medicaid waiver
which will provide many Medicaid members including
DSS clients with extra services, including nutrition
and housing supports to improve long term health
outcomes. Over 30 New York City benefit CBO

contracts are transferring to DSS and will provide

New Yorkers with help access HRA and other benefits

and services.

Slide 16 is a transition slide to talk about some of the ways in which we've been able to further our goals of keeping New Yorkers in their homes, moving families and individuals out of shelter and helping people remain stably housed. On Slide 17 I'm listing our affordable and supportive housing accomplishments. For those who do enter shelter, we want to connect them back to permanent housing as quickly as possible.

In FY23 DSS saw a 17 percent increase in permanent housing placements from shelter. To date in FY24 there has been an additional 16 percent increase in housing placements. More New Yorkers are now living safely and stably in supportive housing units. In fact, 46 percent more New Yorkers were connected to permanent supportive housing in 2023 than in the preceding fiscal year and 1,000 unsheltered individuals were placed in permanent housing including but not limited to supportive housing. DSS has taken steps to strengthen rental assistance programs. We've made City FHEPS easier to

\_

housing.

use by reducing work requirements, eliminating the 90-day rule, allowing for the use of City FHEPS statewide and streamlining the program with technological improvements to ensure that more New Yorkers gain access to affordable housing, DSS launched the Affordable Housing Services initiative to use social service dollars to create affordable

DSS will be facilitating the creation of stable housing opportunities for nearly 1,500 households in shelter, using AHS. Furthermore, the AHS initiative provides sustainable funding solutions to help notfor-profit providers secure long-term building wide leases or even acquire permanent housing sites while providing light touch services for tenants who were formally experiencing homelessness.

DSS has also rolled out extensive training to improve and increase housing package submissions from providers. Moving to slide 18. This slide depicts a bar chart comparing FY18 versus FY23 city spending on housing stability. What is very important to emphasize is that not only is DSS innovating and developing new housing models, the investment of city funds in prevention and housing grows year over year

while other funding is stagnant. This chart compared
FY18 spending to FY23 spending in DSS on rental
assistance including the city and state FHEPS
subsidies, HASA Housing and Rental Assistance, Legal
Services Homebased Rent Arrears and Affordable
Housing Service.

Spending grew 60 percent overall in this fiveyear period but city spending grew by 85 percent while non-city funding grew by only 15 percent. The city share of the \$1.6 billion in 2023 was \$1.2 billion, 75 percent of the total in 2023. In 2018, the city share was 65 percent.

Moving to slide 19. This slide shows a bar chart depicting the upward trend in City FHEPS household placements. The city's investment in increased subsidized permanent housing placements has yielded the following results so far: DHS is currently on pace to place over 12,000 households through City FHEPS in FY24, a 20 percent increase relative to FY23 and really record-breaking progress. We are also keeping pace with permanent housing placements using all permanent housing options in FY24. There's been a 16 percent increase in placements in FY24 through January of relative to the same period in FY23.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

As we saw in the previous slide, city funding is making up a growing share of housing related costs and city funded housing options are making up a greater and greater share of shelter moveouts. In FY24 we are on target for City FHEPS to be the majority, about 65 percent of shelter placements compared to 52 percent in FY20.

Moving to slide 20. Looking ahead on our Affordable and Supportive Housing program. mentioned earlier, we continue to focus our efforts on moving families and individuals from shelter into permanent housing as quickly as possible. leveraging social service dollars to address the housing supply shortage, we will create 1,500 new affordable units for households exiting shelter. Through our new state funded Share program, we'll be able to increase shelter move outs for some of the longest-term stairs in the DHS system who are not eligible for other subsidies and Project Home, a new pilot program between the New York City Department of Homeless Services and New Destiny Housing, a not-forprofit that provides housing and services to domestic violence survivors and their families. We'll connect 100 families with children living in DHS shelter due

2 to domestic violence to safe and affordable permanent 3 housing through a novel housing assistance team

4 model.

2.2

2.3

On slide 21, this slide depicts two bar charts showing trends in emergency rent arrears payments and evictions prevention. To further our goals of keeping New Yorkers in their homes, moving families and individuals out of shelter and helping them remain stably housed, we take advantage of a variety of tools at our disposal. Emergency rent arrears is a cash assistance benefit. This is the graph on the left with the blues bars.

In FY23, DSS issued over 300 million emergency rent payments to 43,000 households. Many of these payments go to applicants and recipients of ongoing assistance. The number of households receiving emergency payments in FY23 increased since the pandemic drop in FY21 and FY22. And I can tell you we are on track to well exceed those numbers in FY24. In FY23, DSS's Office of Civil Justice funded legal - their funded legal organizations provided legal assistance to an estimated 98,000 New Yorkers in approximately 43,700 households across New York City facing housing challenges, including eviction,

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

disrepair, landlord harassment and other threats to their tenancies. The number of households served in FY23 is the highest on record since the start of the Access to Counsel Program. These services prove to be essential as the number of eviction filings increased by more than 57,000 or approximately 83 percent in the last year. However, it should be noted that eviction filings in FY23 were still significantly lower than prepandemic levels.

Since 2014, OCJ has provided legal services ranging from brief advice to full representation in 291,000 eviction and other housing related matters, with an estimated household sizes totaling up to more 700,000 New Yorkers served. Another transition slide where we are transitioning to discussing economic stability.

We recognize that more and more New Yorkers rely on our city's resources to make ends meet. In addition to public benefits, rental assistance and other essential resources, I will provide an overview of our career services and other supports that enable New Yorkers to secure steady income and live sustainable lives.

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Slide 23, discuss our work on moving to sustainable futures. DSS offers education, training and employment services to help clients develop job skills and build a career that will lead to success and financial stability. These programs are funded at a total FY24 budget of over \$300 million. As part of our revitalization efforts, we launch the pathway to industrial and construction careers or PINC program to connect clients to jobs in these two industries. We're also restructuring our career service programs and contracts to ensure that we are focused on connecting clients to growth industries with jobs with long-term

potential.

2.2

2.3

Thanks to changes in state law, we are able to implement new income disregards to support clients economic growth. This means clients who are participating in training programs or who get a job do not immediately face a benefits cliff. As a result they are more likely to achieve economic stability and growth.

And one that I am particularly excited about, we are strengthening our pathways for our clients to access human service jobs. In FY23, human service

2.3

providers hired nearly 6,000 cash assistance clients and again we are on track to well exceed that in FY24. This is a gain for both clients and for providers who are frequently struggling in the labor market.

It's a priority to create and expand opportunities that will help low-income New Yorkers achieve sustainability and our work reflects that.

On slide 24, we are looking forward. We are issuing new RFPs for career services that will focus on building pathways to sustainable careers. That workforce development program will be released. The RFP there will be released in FY25. We will connect participants to jobs across eight industries:

Healthcare, human and social services, technology, industrial and manufacturing, construction, food service and customer service, maintenance and security, and transportation and warehousing.

Separately through our partnership with the MTA, we launched the OMNI pilot last week. The 90-day pilot includes 50 volunteer Fair Fairs enrollees who are testing the use of the Fair Fairs discount with the OMNI card. This pilot is the first step to transitioning the Fair Fairs program. So, the MTA

to navigate the city's contracting process and

25

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

payments are typically received well after they are provided services. Nonprofits are forced then to front large sums of money, which then often they need to borrow at an additional cost to provide those services to the city. This is truly an untenable dynamic that puts the viability of CBOs and the availability of these essential services at risk. Certainly something that I know as the former Chair of the Contracts Committee.

So, are there any updates that you can provide us today since the preliminary budget hearing on what your agency is doing to expedite the contracting and payment timeline for these nonprofit organizations, especially as it relates to the DHS contracted homeless service providers who are all experiencing excessive contracting delays?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Chair. We absolutely take our obligation to a not-for-profit service providers very seriously. As you note, the work that we do is entirely dependent on not-for-profits and we're very grateful for the service that they provide and paying invoices is a key piece of that.

2.2

2.3

This has been FY24 has been a particularly challenging year for two reasons. First one and this is citywide, it's not specific to DSS. The city has been migrating from accelerator to passport as both a contracting and invoice payment system. I am fully confident once that migration is complete that it will be better for all of us, both the city, the agencies and also the not-for-profits to have all of the information in one place. It has been a very challenging migration.

We're working really closely with our partners at MOCS to make sure that we are resolving issues as promptly as possible and also, MOCS has given us the authority and we are absolutely taking advantage of those to do additional advances to not-for-profits while we are working through those migration issues.

The other reason why FY24 has been particularly challenging, particularly on the DHS side is that we have about twice the number of contracts that we typically do because of all the asylum work that we have going on, so it's put added burden on our contracting staff, on the staff that review invoices. We have hired to some individuals to work specifically on the asylum related contracts but

2.2

2.3

we're really approaching this as an all-hands-on deck effort to make sure that we are getting the invoices that did get stuck in the passport process moved through as quickly as possible. That we are t-ing contracts up so that they are fully activated for FY25 and that it is standard operating procedure for us that we do a three month advance at the start of the fiscal year, so that we're able to do those promptly and then we are reviewing our processes from start to finish with respect to both contracting and invoice review to make sure that even when we aren't in this particularly unique moment, that we are looking for ways that we can improve our operations.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Walk us through the timeline. What has to happen once a contract is registered, what has to happen then for the organization to get reimbursed? Walk us through that process and that timeline.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Sure. Timelines vary but let me talk about the process. So, once a contract is registered, the provider needs to activate their budget now within the passport system right. So, going from a topline budget number to a line item that they can actually invoice in. Part of that

2 process is a review of subcontractors, so we need to 3 make sure that any provider that is using subcontractors for example, for food or security 4 within a shelter has gotten three BIDs. That those are in fact independent entities from the parent 6 7 organization, so we review those. Once the budget is 8 - the subcontractors have been approved, the budget is active in passport. The not-for-profit invoices for those services, that includes providing backup 10

documentation for the cost that they've incurred.

That goes through a multilevel review process. It is

13 standard city process, again not specific to DSS that

14 you need to have different levels of the agency staff

15 reviewing the invoice to make sure that there is

16 separation of duties.

1

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Once that has been approved, then payment happens. In accordance with the MOCS expedited invoice review procedures that were put into place a couple of years ago, with most vendors, we do a limited prepayment review. So, we're looking at some personnel records and a little bit of additional documentation. Most of the review process is happening on the back end and then I should add specifically for DHS families with children

2.2

2.3

providers, they get paid based on, partially paid
based on what we call care days, which is an
automatic payment based on the number of people that
they had in care that they can then follow up for

6 additional payment based on actual expenses.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Is there a limit? Is there a limit to how many invoices an organization can submit at one time for reimbursement?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We strongly encourage providers to invoice in real time so that the standard is invoicing within a couple of weeks of the close, of the preceding month. Uhm, one thing that we do see is that providers will batch their invoices. That does create added complication for us so that we do our best to work through that.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: We're hearing from providers that they're only allowed to submit three invoices at a time.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Not something that I'm familiar with. We certainly can look into it and follow up. Again, we strongly encourage the not-for-profits to do it in real time so that we can keep up. You know certainly when we get one invoice, we can process it more quickly than if we get ten invoices

2 MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are very focused on making 3 sure that we get our payments into the hands of our

4 not-for-profits.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, so you need more staff. Got it. Uhm, what is the typical turnaround time for reimbursement after a group has submitted their invoices?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uh, that varies a lot depending on as I say whether or not the invoices are batched. Whether or not it's a contractor that is on what we call enhanced review, which means that there's additional documentation. Whether or not, even for those who aren't in enhanced review that they submitted the documentation that was required.

So, but we do shoot to comply with the MOCS standards which is that we should be turning things around within seven days. Again, it's very contextual depending on what documentation is provided.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: If a provider heard the seven-day thing, would they die laughing?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are working very closely with providers. They call me all the time. They call Administrator Carter. They call Administrator

allocated to the contracted provider budgets? If

2 not, could you tell us the percentage and the dollar 3 amount that's outstanding?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: The majority - yes, they've all been allocated.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, uhm, still on the topic of the nonprofit providers and the payment delays. So, at the Preliminary Budget Hearing back in March, the Speaker asked for a list of all nonprofit with contracting and payment delays at the time. You said you'd get back to us with the info, so we included it in our follow up letter to you, which we didn't get a response back until Friday. Does this mean that DSS does not actually have a list of the providers who are awaiting payments?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, as I mentioned, we are at any given time, we are processing hundreds of invoices. It is something that is moving in real time. We are working very closely with our providers. Our ability to do reporting right now is a little bit stretched because we are midstream in this migration working very closely with MOCS, again, I want to reiterate that MOCS has been a really terrific partner but we are working very closely with

2.2

2.3

2 all of our not-for-profits and troubleshooting and 3 resolving technical issues as they come up.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, uhm, the Executive Plan includes PEGs for both HRA and DHS related to asylum seeker response costs. For HRA the savings are \$4 million in FY24. For DHS the savings are \$267 million in FY24 and \$56 million in FY25. Could you tell us how those PEG savings were calculated?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, I'm going to have to defer to OMB on the specific calculations but in general, we have not been changing or reducing services for our asylum seeker clients. Uhm, there's a lot of uncertainty in the volume of people that we are going to be serving. The number of people coming into the system on any given day is very much a function of federal border policy. What we have found over the last year is that some of the early projections were over estimating how many people we would be able to serve. We have been able to manage the census down and there's been some less robust intake at certain points and time. So, what you are seeing in the DHS budget is adjustment of projected spending based on census rather than changes in service delivery.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, so they've been able

3 to find savings not by decreasing services?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, I'm speaking specifically for the DHS system, right? We are sheltering about 50 percent of the asylum seekers. You know this really has been a whole government effort. We at DHS are very grateful for that. In the early months, it was all on our shoulders and it was really very challenging. So, it's important that there are — that it is a whole government effort. That does mean there are a lot of agencies represented in the conversations. Speaking specifically for DHS, I can say that our PEGs related to asylum are entirely about census re-forecast.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, uhm, we know one of the tools the Administration has been using to manage asylum costs is the term limit notices, right? Could you give us a better understanding of the cost implications of that policy? How many term limit notices has DHS issued to date?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, to clarify as I mentioned, we're serving about 50 percent of the asylum seekers.

Overwhelmingly the asylum seekers in the DHS system are families with children and families with children

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And, and so what the total estimated savings from those, the term limit notices?

The time limit notices?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I'm going to have to defer to  $$\operatorname{\textsc{OMB}}$  on that one.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: OMB, okay. Okay, uhm, I want to talk about the subways. February 18, 2022, the Mayor released the Subway Safety Plan outlining the city's strategy to address street homelessness and safety on public transit. The plan included health services and outreach as well as new drop-in centers, safe haven beds and stabilization beds. The FY23 Executive Plan included baselined city funding of \$171.3 million starting in FY23 to support the Subway Safety Plan. FY25 Preliminary Budget included an addition \$16 million in FY24. Could you tell us how much has been spent this far in this Fiscal Year on the Subway Safety Plan?

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

| 2  | MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you and let me also add      |
|----|--|
| 3  | my thanks for your diligence on focusing on          |
| 4  | conditions in the subway. We really appreciate your  |
| 5  | attention there. So, our total budget for services   |
| 6  | for people experiencing unsheltered homelessness is  |
| 7  | about \$300 million. That's inclusive of the subway  |
| 8  | safety plan. In FY24, we do expect to spend all of   |
| 9  | that. It includes outreach. It includes our low      |
| 10 | barrier beds, safe havens and stabilization beds. It |
| 11 | includes drop-in centers and ancillary services like |
| 12 | transportation. Uhm, because we have really folded   |
| 13 | the Subway Safety Plan into our overall streets and  |

subways response, it's slightly challenging to

I will say in FY23, some of the beds that we were bringing online had a slightly different later start point than originally planned, so there were, we didn't spend all of the budget in FY23 but we are moving very quickly and focusing on this and we do expect as I say to spend about the \$300 million in FY24.

2.3 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay.

disentangle those pieces.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Administrator Carter, anything you would like to add?

JOSLYN CARTER: Thank you Commissioner and thank you Council Members, as Commissioner said for really your support with the Subway Safety Plan and really the folks who are out experiencing homelessness and you know the work that we've been doing with those who are in the subway and on the streets and so, we have really been working diligently to bring those

So, it has been, it is continuous work with our outreach teams. We've doubled the numbers of staff who have been out 24/7 just doing that work that it takes. Lots of, lot of engagement to those who are on the street.

who are in on the street and continue to do so.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Could you tell us how many new safe havens and stabilization, the low barrier beds have been open during the current administration?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yup, just about 1,100.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And how many beds are in the pipeline?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: There are another approximately 1,100 in the pipeline. We expect that the majority of them will open this calendar year

2.2

2.3

2 although construction timelines sometimes vary,
3 sometimes substantially.

I do want to go back and correct one statement I made. The notices that we issued included some number of adult families but no children under 18.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, so you said there were 3,600 right time limit notifications?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, they're all adults but that's single adults and adult families.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Staying on the low barrier beds, what is the average vacancy rate for those, the safe haven and stabilization beds?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: It varies between about three and five percent.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. I got a couple more and I want to hand it over to my Co-Chair. So, talking just in my own experience in the end of line outreach in my district on 95<sup>th</sup> Street, which is the end of line station, uhm I think we can all agree that there's outreach happening but it's not really working. There's still many, many unsheltered individuals congregating on the subways and the station and I really personally have not seen a big

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 improvement since the Administration made this Subway
3 Safety Plan investment.

In addition to the subways, there's a woman in my district whose been living on the same spot for so long that she's been immortalized on Google maps and it's heartbreaking and inhumane, while it's not illegal to live on the street, uhm it's heartbreaking and inhumane that we allow human beings to reside on the streets for so long. And I wanted to talk a bit about the involuntary removals. Could you tell us — I have a larger point here but could you tell us how many involuntary removals were made in FY23 and thus far in FY24?

Molly Wasow Park: Yeah, thank you Council

Member. We did see that question when it came
through last night. As you know, involuntary
removals are an interagency function and so, we're
going to need to collaborate with our colleagues and
get back to you but we will circle back with that
data.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, my question then is how many times does an outreach worker have to engage with someone before it inherently would trigger an involuntary removal, right? If we are visiting a

client 20, 30, 40, 50 times, how long before you say, this person clearly needs help? I mean someone saying no, I'm fine. I'm living on the street here for three years.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So let me start and I will pass it to Administrator Carter. Individuals who are experiencing unsheltered homelessness, particularly in New York City where 95 percent of people experiencing homelessness are in shelter, these are individuals who have fallen through every level of society, every safety net that there is right? Whether it's family, religious institutions, government, you name it, we collectively have failed them.

There is often very significant trust issues that people are dealing with and there are instances where it takes hundreds of interactions with an outreach worker to build relationships to help people come inside. One of the things that we have found that makes the biggest difference is whether or not we have a placement option that we can offer that is in the community in which that person, that that person calls home because even though they aren't inside, even though they are experiencing unsheltered

2.2

2.3

homelessness, they are connected to a particular community, right? It's one of the reasons why we are very deliberately siting those low barrier beds near and aligned stations. We would love to collaborate with you on a potential site but you know, in answer to your direct question, there is no if this many interactions, then involuntary removal. There's a very specific legal standard around danger to self and others and if a person is not in immediate health danger, be it experiencing unsheltered homelessness, we do have a legal right to take them inside. That doesn't -

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Does it inherent mental health danger when someone's saying no, I'm fine living on the street for three, four years?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: It doesn't mean we give up on them. We are going to keep trying. We will keep engaging. We will keep looking. You know I will say I understand - while I understand the frustration, I understand the impulse to look about for ways that we can get people inside. I do want to challenge a bit the idea that what we're doing isn't working right? We placed more than 1,000 people into permanent housing last year and that doesn't account the people

52

2 that we placed in safe havens and stabilization beds.

3 There's absolutely more work to do. I will be the

4 | first to say there is 100 percent more work to do.

We have to keep at it and I'm very grateful for the

6 Adams administration for the investment that they

7 have made in this work but we are seeing signs of

8 success. One of the things that I think we need to

9 be doing collectively is looking across multiple

10 levels of government, right? Uhm, although there are

11 | instances where you see a given individual on the

12 street for a long period of time, in other instances

13 what you're seeing is people who are being discharged

14 | from psychiatric institutions. For example directly

15 the street. So, we need to think about how we as a

16 | community are doing better discharge planning so that

17 | we're not growing the number of people experiencing

18 unsheltered.

19 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Look I don't think any of

20 | my colleagues would debate or say otherwise that this

21 | is not extremely challenging work to work with

22 | chronically unsheltered individuals. I just don't

23 | know if it's an efficient use of your outreach teams

24 time to visit someone hundreds of times before saying

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 this person clearly needs help and doesn't realize
3 it.

JOSLYN CARTER: So, and I'm going to speak from the social work perspective because I'm a licensed clinician. I think one of the things that is important for clinicians and now we do have nurses with our teams that are going out to really do full assessments and we do want to build trust with those who are on the street and for particular individuals who are hard to reach or who are and this particular person who have been on the streets to build that relationship and it does take time. It might take six months for one person. It may take much more time for another person and this is the case where this is what's happening and for us, really being out every single day engaging that individual is important because relationships is what we know is going to make a difference and so, we're sending teams out.

And the other thing to know is that you know

1,000 people have moved to permanent housing but

7,000 people have come in into shelter off the

street, right? So, there's that other piece that's

happening and for the teams that are out there, we

outreach at this end of line station in my district,

it's not good for the person, it's not good for the

2.2

2.3

community but I do think that there are signs of hope
there.

Administrator Carter, what would you like to add?

JOSLYN CARTER: You know I think that any one day
that a person comes in it's a win for us, so we
believe and every person's family where a person
comes in, that's a win. So, for us, you know coming
in from the street or the subway, that's a win for
that particular family and that particular
individual. So, for nine percent, but that's nine
percent of humans who are no longer sleeping on the
street and have a bed at night, so that's a win for
all of us.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. We've also been joined by Council Members Powers and Sanchez. Uhm and Restler and Banks, sorry. We're now going to hand it over to my Co-Chair Deputy Speaker Ayala. Thank you team. Commissioner, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you Chair Brannan. I just wanted to add that you know the biggest complaint that we receive from you know unsheltered individuals is that they do not feel safe in the existing shelter settings for singles. Uhm, primarily for individuals that have some sort of

mental health diagnosis, it is a very difficult and challenging process and I wonder how much of you know the agencies attention is geared towards making the necessary changes to ensure that those same individuals feel safer in those environments. I know Wards Island for instance is huge but they have a dormitory style quarters, right, living quarters that are pretty dated and do not allow for a sense of safety and privacy that folks are looking for and that's always been a deterrent to try and to get those same people in.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, thank you Deputy

Speaker. We absolutely realize that we cannot run a one size fits all shelter system right? So, we at this point have about 3,900 what we call our low barrier beds. There's the stabilization beds and safe havens. Those facilities tend to be a bit smaller, a bit lower density than a more traditional shelter although there is a continuum and we're really investing in developing higher quality real estate closing the sites that don't meet our standards and bringing on new sites that are high quality. So, we have closed one of the more challenged sites on Wards Island, for example.

2.2

2.3

But we also are really paying attention to what is happening in the shelters. We take safety and security of all of our clients very, very seriously. You know we track the number of incidents per thousand clients. I have it in here somewhere, I can pull it up but I believe the number of violent incidents per thousand residents within the single adult system is about 3 per 1,000 residents. That's still again still room to grow but it is something that we are working on and where we are seeing progress.

Another point of progress that I would call out you know in an era where overdose deaths are unfortunately spiking across the country, we have seen a reduction in the number of overdose deaths within the DHS shelter system, which is very much a reflection of our focus on harm reduction and making sure that we have Narcan distributed across all of our shelters. So, there is no one size fits all model. For some people the dormitory setting is the right one so that we can make sure that there are eyes on an individual. In other cases, the lower density setting is the right option but we are building and investing in a diversity of settings.

2.2

2.3

I think a very important part of our work with clients experiencing behavioral health issues is our partnership work and we are really engaging in and building out what we are calling our complex case review processes where we're bringing together all of the agencies, DOHMH, H&H, State Office of Mental Health, others who might touch a client, who might be able to offer some resources. At the end of the day, DHS is not a medical agency, right? We are a sheltering agency so making sure that we are connecting people to the services to which they are entitled and helping them build connections to in community services and the resources offered by the agencies that are fundamentally medical agencies is really critical to our work.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Does that include offering those services onsite or would those be like referral based?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: In many cases, most cases we have some relatively light touch medical services onsite. I don't want to be in a situation where we are ever conditioning peoples access to healthcare based on remaining in shelter, right? The goal is permanent housing and your healthcare should go with

you. So, our emphasis is on making sure that we are

3 connecting people, whether it's to a primary care

4 physician or completing a SPOA application, so they

5 can connect to team if they have more serious needs.

So, yes, some onsite services but it's not the

7 primary tool.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I think that we need to work on that because I do believe that there has to be a level of stabilization before a person is — especially a person that has a serious mental health diagnosis is prepared and ready to accept and follow up right with the community-based program approach. And to assume that that's what you know that people are going to follow up and they're going to go to their doctors' appointments when they haven't yet been stabilized. It's unrealistic.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, no I would agree with you on that absolutely Council Member and so, the case workers on site, their job is to make sure that those bridges and those referrals are happening.

That it isn't just a you know here's the address of the doctor but that it's actually a warm handoff and supports to continue with that.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate that. Uhm, I

have a question regarding the community food connection and the Council's budget response proposal. So, HRAs Community Food Connection Program formerly known as the Emergency Food Assistance Program provides an array of essential food items to emergency food providers, including food pantries and soup kitchens across the city. This program is especially important since hunger remains much higher than it was prepandemic and undocumented individuals are not eligible for SNAP, leaving them to rely on pantries.

We were very happy when the program was expanded to offer a wide variety of food options including fresh fruit and vegetable to providers. In Fiscal Year '23, the Council called on the Administration to increase the baseline budget for CFC and it increased the budget by \$30 million for Fiscal Years 2023 and 2024. As of the Executive Plan, the CFC budget is \$57.1 million but just for Fiscal Years 2023 and 2024. No, I'm sorry, million for Fiscal Year 2024 dropping to \$25.1 million in Fiscal Year 2025 and then dropping further to the baseline budget of \$20.9 million starting in Fiscal Year 2026.

the baseline CFC at \$60 million. It was very

In its budget response for the past two years,

the Council called on the Administration to increase

disappointing that no additional funding was added to

program at the level needed to meet the demand. Can

you tell us what was the actual spending for Fiscal

the Executive plan to bolster and continue to CFC

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

Year 2023?

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

day.

budget.

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

MOLLY WASOW PARK: In FY 2023 we spent the whole

budget of about \$53 million.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: \$53 million. I mean, I just I don't understand what the rationale for making any

level of reduction to the program is, especially

considering the fact that so many people are still

relying so heavily on our food pantries, soup

kitchens. I mean, I see the lines just on my block

stretch out for two blocks, just people waiting all

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We absolutely believe that CFC

is a critical program providing critical services and

we are working very closely with OMB on the FY25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Requesting an increase?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We're working very closely with OMB to make sure that the program is funded appropriately.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay and by appropriately,

I'm assuming that keeping it at spending levels.

Uhm, how much has been spent this far for Fiscal Year

2024 and how much are you anticipating spending by
the end of the Fiscal Year?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I'm going to pass it to First Deputy Jill Berry who can speak about how the program works.

JILL BERRY: Yeah, so we ensure that we fully expend the CFC budget each year by working with the vendor, especially as we get closer to the end of Fiscal Year to make sure that they have fully spent all of the funds on foods that then are in the warehouse and we can quickly redistribute out to pantries, so we fully expect to spend the full budget again this year.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so are you part of conversations on - how did we get to the place where the reductions that were announced became a thing.

How you know because I'm assuming if we're spending more, if the need is higher, how did we get to a

2 point where we're rationalizing cutting so

3 significantly by 2025?

2.2

2.3

JILL BERRY: There are a number of places in our budget. This is one of them where there are what we refer to as a funding cliffs, where we work very closely with OMB to make sure that on a year-by-year basis, that we are aligning appropriately.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, uhm are you aware of any - are CFC providers given a set of food grant amounts per month or quarter and how is that determined?

allocation every six months. That allocation is intended to last for the six months. We also started to give them monthly reports so they can see what their spending is against their allocation. The way that the funding is allocated amongst the CFC providers is looking at a combination of factors.

The numbers of SNAP recipients in the district, where there are food deserts, where there are not very many pantries. We look at the tree neighborhoods, racial equity indicators and also the history of spending so that we are allocating through the funds that are —

fully allocating the funds available throughout the city.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are you communicating with the providers regularly to kind of I guess identify areas that have become high need?

JILL BERRY: Yeah absolutely, there's always a little bit of funding that's set aside as a reserve for as new pantries want to roll in, so they don't have to wait till the next six-month allocation and if we see pantries are under spending or over spending, we are in communication with them to understand is that because you have funding from some other funding source or are you short on in needing funds for CFC. So, it's a regular ongoing conversation with our providers.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Does the CFC offer any operational grants or assistance with help providers?

JILL BERRY: We do. We have uhm a grant program that we operate every year. We just recently sent out our awards for this year. It's about \$2 million where pantries can apply for grants for things like new refrigerators or to pay the coordinator to manage volunteers for the year of things like that.

2.2

2.3

resources to get that food to them?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And if a CFC needs more food, how long does it take before you're able to kind of help increase I guess their access to additional

JILL BERRY: So, the vendor is responsible for sourcing and making food available. Generally they are - there's a catalogue, an online catalogue of food that's available to the CFC providers and they can identify which foods they want, what's appropriate for their pantry for the clients that come to their pantries. They can balance it with food they may be getting from City Harvest. They can go to CFC and have a little bit more choice and put together a well-balanced pantry bag.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Has the quality of the food been compromised based on the number of inflated number of folks that are in need of such assistance on the same budget?

JILL BERRY: No we work with [INAUDIBLE 01:11:20] to make sure that all the food is appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Uhm, as we all know, the city shelter system didn't just become overburdened in April 2022 with the unanticipated arrival of asylum seekers. The DHS system had

already been strained for many years. One of the proven strategies the city can employ to address housing instability is the provision of rental assistance vouchers. The Council has repeatedly called on the Administration to increase baselined funding for City FHEPS vouchers to align with the actual spending more closely and to ensure that HRA has adequate staffing to support the efficient administration of the vouchers.

The Council has also called on the Administration to fund HRAs budget to meet the requirements of the package of legislation that the Council recently passed to expand voucher eligibility, which became effective January 9, 2024. We are very happy to see that funding was added for City FHEPS in the Executive Plan with \$614.9 million added in Fiscal Year 2024 and \$540.3 million baselined starting in Fiscal Year 2025. This brings the total funding for rental assistance up to \$809.9 million in Fiscal Year 2024, \$702.3 million in 2025 and approximately \$629 million baselined starting for Fiscal Year 2026. How did HRA determine how much to add to the baseline?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you. There were discussions back and forth with OMB. We can

| certainly get back to you on the nuances of the      |
|--|
| calculation but as you say, it was designed to align |
| much closer to actual spending in the past. There's  |
| always a certain level of uncertainty around rental  |
| assistance spending, right? It is a function of the  |
| number of people participating. The size of the      |
| families, right? So, whether or not you know the     |
| people who come in the door next year, it's more     |
| singles or more large families. What happens with    |
| rent guideline board increases. What happens with    |
| fair market rent increases and then the housing      |
| market as a whole? So, there is, with all of those   |
| variables that are outside our control, there is     |
| always going to be some level of uncertainty as to   |
| what spending is going to look like. So, I think,    |
| I'm extremely glad that we substantially course      |
| corrected the baseline here understanding that we're |
| going to need to adjust on an ongoing basis and that |
| would be the case no matter what.                    |

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. What was the actual spending on rental assistance in Fiscal Year 2023?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, specifically for City

FHEPS and related programs, it was \$508 million in

25 FY23. For everything that we consider rental

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2 assistance as a stand-alone rental assistance 3 program, it would be \$553 million. My old lady eyes 4 are giving me trouble. I will note that there is, we provide rental assistance through a number of our 5 cash assistance program, so for example, there are 6 7 about 33,000 households within HASA that receive enhanced rental assistance as part of their cash 8 assistance grant. So, those costs aren't reflected in the numbers that I just gave you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay and what is the actual spending thus far in Fiscal Year 2024 and how do you 12 13 anticipate spending? How much do you anticipate 14 spending by the end of the fiscal year? 15 MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, \$520 million year to date 16 for Fiscal Year 2024. Again that is specifically on 17 rental assistance programs, not including the related 18 cash assistance. The FY24 budget is \$842 million 19 again for those rental specific programs. 20 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you anticipate that you're 21 going to be spending that amount?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, in that neighborhood,

69

2.2

23

yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And realistically, how much does HRA expect to spend on rental assistance in Fiscal Year 2025?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I mean again because of the factors that I mentioned around what happens with FMR versus rent guidelines, board, sizes families, it is a moving target. The last couple of years we've seen quite large changes in Fair Market rent values set by HUD, so I think I would expect it to be in the neighborhood of what we are spending this year but there's a lot of uncertainty and we'll have to revisit that with OMB.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, can you tell us currently what funding sources are used to support City FHEPS and how much funding is city and how much comes from non-city sources?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Sure. City FHEPS is a tax
levy program. This year and last year there was a
little bit of stimulus funding that was swapped in
there but essentially it's a tax levy funded program.
Some of the other rental assistance programs, which
are substantially for the most part, substantially
smaller but they do bring in other sources of
funding, so we have a very small home which is a HUD

are all HASA clients, 27,000 of them are getting

24

25

rental assistance.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Do you anticipate spending down all federal pandemic funding allocated to rental assistance before the expiration of the end of the calendar year?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are tracking all of the expiration dates on our stimulus funding very closely and making sure that we adhere to them, yes.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I would like an update on the size of the current program, them talking about the rental assistance, enrollment and processing. Uhm and how it is serving clients. At the Preliminary Budget hearing you testified that 38,000 households were currently enrolled in City FHEPS and in your follow up letter that we received last Friday, you indicated that 41,000 households are currently enrolled in the program. How many individuals are enrolled in and are using all HRA rental assistance voucher programs?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, we provide rental assistance for about 70,000 households every year including City FHEPS, HASA and all of those other rental subsidy programs that I just rattled off.

That makes us functionally one of the biggest housing authorities in the country. So, although we are -

our identity is not as a housing agency, we are in fact a very significant player in that space.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So, if I am reading this correctly, over the past two months the enrollment increased by 3,000 households or nearly eight percent. Is that correct?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Correct.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Uhm, why was there such a large increase and how much does the enrollment typically increase from month to month?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, we have been incredibly focused on shelter exits and connecting generally low income households with affordable housing that 41,000 and the change includes both in community moves and in shelter. Traditionally, it's about two-thirds of the vouchers are going to people in shelter. We've been, it is a huge priority us as an agency. We are focused on our housing programs every day because we know it is so critical to the work that we do. We've got a four-pronged work plan that we have going on within the agency to make sure that we are really maximizing peoples access to housing. We're focused on getting as many people vouchered as possible.

That's the first step right? So, that can include

2 getting access to a City FHEPS voucher but it also

3 includes for example, the Share program that I

4 mentioned in my testimony. We're really thinking

5 about housing supply. Although DSS is not

1

19

20

25

6 fundamentally a housing development agency. We live

7 in a city with a 1.4 percent vacancy rate. It's even

8 | lower rent units. If we don't think about housing

9 | supply, we're never connecting our clients to

10 | housing. So, for example, we change the rules around

11 | City FHEPS. We made it usable statewide. That's not

12 | absolutely growing the supply of housing but it is

13 growing the supply that is available to our clients

14 | and we are actually absolutely growing the supply of

15 | housing because we're using social service contracts

16 now to support what is essentially project based

17 | rental assistance that not-for-profits can use to

18 | acquire development financing.

And then the third workstream is really thinking about how many housing packages we're getting in the

21 door. It is you know, not-for-profits, our shelter

22 providers are working with clients, making sure that

23 | they are assembling all of the required, identifying

24 | an apartment and assembling all of the required

information. It's hard work. It's detailed work.

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

We're doing a lot of work to train not-for-profit providers to set clear expectations and to make sure that we are providing sufficient support so that they can meet those expectations. And then the last workstream is making sure that we within the agency are doing everything that we can to process the housing packages as promptly as possible.

I've talked about it before but an end-to-end processing system called Current, which we are rolling out. We are intentionally doing that rollout slowly because we don't want to screw it up for anything that is important as rental assistance, we want to make sure we get it right but that system is really helping. We're been streamlining processes. We cut the number of documents from 17 to 12. We extended the timeline that documents are good for so people don't have to refresh them as often, on and on. So, by working on all four pathways simultaneously, I think what we see is the number of shelter exits is increasing quite dramatically.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's good news. Uhm, do we know how many individuals have been deemed eligible

2 and have received shopping letters but are still
3 residing in shelter?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: There are about 11,000 households with shopping letters in shelter, which I think really very much a reflection of that 1.4 percent vacancy rate that I mentioned. It's hard to find housing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, understood and does HRA currently have any backlogs at any step of the process in administering the rental assistance vouchers?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: No, I wouldn't say that we have backlogs. Uhm, we've looked at the time that it takes to process on average and this is I will say based on a sample of data until our end-to-end system is fully rolled out. You can't do it comprehensively but on average it takes uh three to four weeks from the point and time that we receive a package until checks are cut. There are absolutely exceptions around that average cases that are particularly challenging but we troubleshoot those.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I haven't been receiving as many calls, I'll acknowledge that. Uhm, how many people currently are on staff at each DHS and HRA

3 vou

tasked with Administering the rental assistance voucher programs and how many specifically work on the City FHEPS program?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: That's one of those entirely rational straight forward questions. It's actually quite complicated to answer because of the diverse nature of our rental assistance programs. So, there are about 200 people that are specifically whose jobs are specific to rental assistance processing. But it is an effort that crosses the agency right, so that doesn't include cash assistance staff that are supporting HASA clients for example. It doesn't include the fiscal team that is cutting checks. It doesn't include, so across the board there's really hundreds of people who are touching the rental assistance process.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Are any of these positions vacant?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We're a 14,000 percent agency. We always have vacancies but we've been really prioritizing hiring particularly frontline staff.

OMB has been a great partner allowing us to continue to move forward despite the hiring freeze and one of the titles that we have been really focused on all

baselining?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We talk to OMB every day about the specifics of our budget and I will certainly pass on the feedback.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Uhm, shelter, so this is on the DHS Shelter Provider Contracts. The Adopted Fiscal Year 2024 Budget included a baseline reduction of \$36.2 million for DHS shelter service providers. Which providers continue to express has been quite painful for them? At the Preliminary Budget Hearing, you testified that DHS, like all city agencies, was required to take a PEG and that given the agencies predominantly contracted services, the options possible were very limited.

Now that the Fiscal outlook has improved and some PEGs were restored in other agency budgets, do you expect that the DHS PEG would be restored at adoption?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you. So, just to clarify, this is a PEG from last year. At this time, we are working with every provider to implement it in the least painful way possible. I certainly recognize that least painful doesn't mean not painful. All of our providers are doing critical work, providing critical services and resources are

Budget Hearing testimony from HRA staff, deferred

25

2.2

2.3

from what HRA leadership testified to regarding the benefits backlog. HRA indicated that the backlog was nearly eliminated and that mandatory overtime was no longer being heavily relied upon. Employees later testified that mandatory overtime was still happening often at some sites and that HRA still had a backlog between 18,000 to 21,000 applications as of March 7<sup>th</sup>. In addition to staff, the staff claimed that the technology changes HRA has made such as those relating to the ANGIE system severely hinder their

It is prone to glitches and that the IT tickets are not quickly resolved. Staff members testified that due to the changes, they process fewer applications per day than before ANGIE was implemented. Can you clarify why there was such a notable discrepancy in your testimony and the account of HRA staff at the hearing?

ability to work efficiently because the system does

not allow workers to double check applications.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, absolutely. I'm going to start and then I'm going to pass to Administrator French and First Deputy Berry. So, let me start by saying, we have functionally eliminated the backlog. The numbers that they were cited use a different

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

definition of work in progress but they are not overdue. We take the feedback from our staff very, very seriously. We have been doing a lot to listen to them and to make sure that we are taking them into account including working on a lean six sigma exercise lead by the Chief Efficiency Officer of the city that involve frontline staff but I'm going to pass the mic.

JILL BERRY: So, the numbers that are displayed in the ANGIE system under the unfortunate heading in the agency system of backlog, we're going to change that name there that was designed before we actually had a backlog, so what those numbers in ANGIE represent the 18,000 or so that the staff saw, those are real numbers. Those are the numbers of case actions that are ready to be taken. It doesn't mean that any of them are overdue, it just means that they are ready for a worker to process and move on to the next step.

So, we're going to change the labeling in ANGIE so that it's clear those are not backlogged items but rather the numbers of actions that are ready for staff to take and move on to the next step.

2 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Why would that not be clear

to the staff?

2.2

2.3

JILL BERRY: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Why would that not be clear to staff? Why would they not understand the difference?

called it a backlog. We were - it was designed unfortunately at a time when we did not have actual backlogs and the way we're using that term has changed but it is clear that it is all actions that are ready to be taken in the system. We're going to change the labeling to be a little bit more clear about that. It's just a one number flag in the ribbon in the system.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

JILL BERRY: And in terms of glitches, absolutely system is glitchy. As we roll out new systems, they do have experienced glitched. Our IT department is going, regularly goes through all of the tickets that they receive. They try to batch them into groups.

We try to address the issues so that it is easier for staff to use the system so they don't experience the glitches. It's not a perfect system but we have

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

teams of people in our IT departments who are focused on improving those systems and making them easier for staff to use.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: When was the ANGIE launched again?

JILL BERRY: Uhm, 2022 I believe, very recently.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That's not that recent but I think it's been around long enough that at least that level of communication to the staff should have occurred and I'll just mention because I think it's my responsibility to do so in a question but there have been reports of staff at HRA who have complained of superiors being very aggressive and disrespectful because of the number of applications that are being processed and I get that. You know everything seems to be you know a numbers game in the city and it's the way we report and keep track of efficiencies but if those accusations are true. I would hope that somebody was looking into those accusations because you know I don't think that that's something that obviously that we do not support here at the Council.

SCOTT FRENCH: Absolutely Deputy Speaker, thank you and uhm, you know we want to make sure that we have constant feedback loops from our staff and we

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

I know as it relates to you

hear what's going on.

85

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

2.3

24

know leadership and management, we have been doing also refresher training on more soft skills as managers and how you you know work with teams through challenging times but we definitely want to you know stay connected to our staff and to the unions to cure the feedback and work collectively to address everything and in regards to overtime, we have seized the use of mandatory overtime to the extent that we have been doing it. Primarily it's voluntary but there are moments where we uhm, will call for mandatory overtime because we will see that there is a number of cases that have come that are due and so, we want to stay timely. We want to connect people to benefits as quickly as we can and in those instances, we will do mandatory overtime but we are trying to keep it very, very focused and minimal and utilize voluntary as much as possible.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is the staff given advanced notice?

SCOTT FRENCH: Mandatory overtime can be called on the same day.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

SCOTT FRENCH: We try to, we try to minimize that and given where we are as the Commissioner said, you know functionally clearing the backlog, we try to make sure as much as we can to give advanced notice and again, primarily focus on voluntary. It's really an exceptional circumstance right now where we would have to invoke mandatory overtime.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you have tracking mechanisms that allow you to see and predict that they're going to be - that they are going to have a backlog to prevent the spontaneous call of you know mandatory overtime?

SCOTT FRENCH: Yes and we have teams who are constantly looking at you know the number of cases that are coming up. What is the work volume? There can be instances though where something will happen. There will be an error that we have to fix that does require mandatory but again, we try to minimize that as much as possible but you know during the height of the backlog, mandatory was called quite a bit. So, uhm but we are trying to minimize that and project as much as we can.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I would hope so because you know I have my day planned and you're telling me

2.2

2.3

applied for an extension?

that I have to rearrange and to have somebody pick up my kids and have somebody you know buy pizza because now I can't cook. I'm going to be very upset the next day, so I hope that you know people are given adequate time. I'll ask one more question because I have a million of them and I could be here for days but uhm regarding the time limit notices and the required documentation. Since the legal aid settlement was reached, how many people have hit their shelter time limits and how many of those then

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Deputy Speaker. So, to reiterate, we are serving about 50 percent of the asylum seekers in the city. Currently in the city's care, the overwhelming majority of those ion the DHS system are families with children not currently receiving notices, so you know I can follow up on the larger numbers with the Mayor's Office of Asylum Seeker Operations. We have as I noted, served about 3,600 notices, because the individuals go back to the larger Asylum Reticketing Center, I can't right now give you an answer on what percentage have come back in but we will certainly follow up with OASO and get back to you on a more complete answer.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Alright and I would also like to know how many people have been required to exit shelter due to limit, uhm to the limits that have secured ultimate housing and how many exited DHS shelters and moved into a faith-based site, such as a mosque or a church? And how many people who were granted an extension were able to stay in the same shelter?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, for those who come back in, let's start with the last question first. For those who go back to the reticketing center apply for another placement. It could be anywhere throughout the asylum system, so it could be a DHS site. It could be a HERC NISUM site because DHS operates very much the minority of the single adult sites, we're a very small slice of that particular response. Just statistically speaking, I think it's unlikely that very many of them went back to the same shelter. We are not currently doing notices for families with children.

More generally about where people go across the board, this is true for asylum seekers and non-asylum seekers. With adults what we see is that there is, it's a fairly transitory population. People will

2.2

2.3

come and go. They make their own arrangements for a little while. They may come back and we don't require people to report to us where they are. They're not required to register with DHS on an ongoing basis. If we placed somebody in a permanent housing, particularly if it's a subsidized permanent housing that we're paying for, we do track that and we know where they are but for people who make their own arrangements, that's not data that we track on a regular basis.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm going to tell you that

I've been helping two migrant young men that came
into the city in February and I, with my you know
experience as with my connections have had a really
hard time getting them from one point to the next

point, in order for them to successfully be able to

not be dependent on any type of system. At this

point, they're not in shelter but because we were

able to find them alternative housing, but something
as simple as getting an identification card through

IDNYC took weeks, right? Not because they didn't

have the documentation but rather because we couldn't

have - there weren't any appointments. You know

going to see an immigration attorney, you have to

1

3

4

Э

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

wait a couple of weeks and then they have to fil out papers and then they have to send those to Federal Plaza and then you have to wait another maybe six to seven weeks in order to receive a response. Getting your working papers, if you you know, if you haven't processed that documentation, it can take up to five months before you're able to do that.

So, my problem with the current you know limits on time is that they don't adequately provide folks had we started to triage folks from day one, then you know maybe we would have been here. That should have been the way to go but to expect folks to in 30 days be able to successfully plan out what their next you know part of this journey is going to be is unrealistic and that's you know, that's why I find it a cruel policy because I think that yeah, you're going to have some folks that are going to try and figure it out because they have no other choice but for the most part, you're recycling you know families and individuals that are coming in and out and losing track of them because nobodies paying attention to where they're going, how they got there. Did they have a successful you know plan? That part of the case management component is not part of - your job

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 is to ensure that somebody has a roof over their

head. Not necessarily triage in that way and I think that that's the missing piece of this puzzle.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I would say that the city is investing very heavily in the case management and the legal services component, recognizing that this is a population with specialized needs. So, through city legal services, there have been tens of thousands and can certainly follow up with you on exact numbers, appointments for asylum, TPS, and work authorization. We're really seeing a lot of movement on that.

H&H is contracting for specialized case
management services, particularly targeted to this
population. It will be an H&H held contract but
we'll also serve DHS sites as well and I'm going to
pass it to Administrator French to speak about IDNYC.

SCOTT FRENCH: Thank you Commissioner. Deputy
Speaker, in regards to IDNYC since we were last here,
we've actually expanded even further the number of
appointments that are available every week. So,
every Friday afternoon, 7,100 appointments are now
available for the following Monday through Friday and
we continue to look at ways at which we can maximize

2.2

2.3

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 that. I believe I worked with your office
3 specifically on those two cases.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yes, really good.

SCOTT FRENCH: Uhm and you know happy that we could work it out. One case I believe was really about mailing and the cards are created in

Massachusetts for a reason and then mailed and then in the other instance it did take us a little bit to figure out what documentation the individual had but I'm glad that we were able to address it and get the application in.

And I'm willing to give you know, I'll give up my desk if I need to at my district office if that will help find a temporary home for these popups because I think that they're essential but you know the point being that you know, there has to be a trajectory right from point of entry to point of exit and there are certain things that a person needs. Just basic you know essentials. You need an identification card, so how do we get them uhm processed as quickly as possible. Like is there a different process that we should be putting in? You know it's a lot. I get it. I really want to thank you for the work that you have done because I know that it's been very

\_

Counsel program?

difficult but I think that in moving forward if you really you know are honest about the intent to successfully get folks out of shelter, especially you know asylum seekers to get them onto the next you know part of their journey, that we need to be able to follow that. And with that, I just wanted to ask on the Right to Counsel because obviously this is something that's really important to the body. Can you tell us how many eligible tenants facing eviction have had cases proceed without representation in court due to the limited availability of the Right to

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you. I'll agree it's a really important program. I want to before I answer that, take a step back a little bit and talk about where we are with the program. As you know, we are midstream on doing new contracts for FY25 because we are negotiating contracts to keep my remarks a little bit high level but I think there's some good information that I can provide. We got really terrific proposals and from a range of legal service providers, proposing quite a comprehensive breath of work that were included in those submissions, so that is terrific. We are also making some changes to our

2 operations to Right to Counsel from the DSS side, so 3 that we think that we can make it work even better 4 than it has been in the past. I think the key there is the bifurcation of the RFPs for what we call brief advise and the full representation so to make sure 6 7 that when we are paying for full representation, that's what we're getting and it's not getting 8 substituted with the brief advice. We're really thinking about performance standards and how we 10 11 implement performance standards with the providers 12 and then making some changes on our internal 13 operations so that we - making sure that we are 14 steering people to whatever service that they need to

remain stably in their homes. That includes legal services but there's other tools that we have at our disposal, so we want to make sure we're being

18 strategic there.

1

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Uhm, all of that being said, your specific question is a little bit challenging to answer. Not everybody who comes through has in court actually screens for Right to Counsel eligibility. Some people are coming in with their own attorney. Some people are just frankly distrustful of government and aren't going to interact with us. Some people come

Ŭ

to court after the point of default, so that they
miss that window unfortunately. Some people are over
income and then last year we know that there were
some people who didn't get screened because there
weren't sufficient attorney's onsite.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Did you track how many?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, because we know — because we can't distinguish between all of these different reasons why somebody might not have been screened. I can't tell you how they break out across those different categories but we do believe this is a really important program. That it is vital. That is serves clients and so, we are continuing to think about how we operate this and make sure that we are getting legal services to as many people as possible.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, that's not an answer because I think if you have 100 people that come in and we're only able to 40 to an attorney, then that leaves 60 that we're not. So — and I get it that that person may not even have needed an attorney but I'm just trying to kind of get an idea of how many people are coming in. And I was actually at a housing court a couple of months ago and I was able to see — it actually was about maybe eight months

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, that's a better

response. I mean I'm hoping obviously that we can

ago. There was a young lady out in the hallway trying to get people in to the program. Letting them know that the program existed and trying to get them connected. So, of those people that are coming in there. Is there a specific requirement that they keep track of how many? Because in my office, I know who came in and for what.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, what I can tell you is that about 50 percent of people who came through last year, about 50 percent of people who came through housing court was represented by Right to Counsel Attorney. But that other 50 percent is made up of a slew of different reasons. That is not 50 percent of people that we were unable to serve. So, I want to be very clear about that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: In Brooklyn, we have been piloting some enhanced overlay between traditional HRA services and the Right to Counsel, so I think that maybe what you're referring to and it's a program that we're excited about and looking to strengthen as well.

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.3

get to the point where we're you know getting everyone that needs an attorney because obviously with the housing scarcity and you know the limited options these days. It's imperative that we try to keep as many folks housed you know as we can, so I appreciate all efforts geared toward making that a reality. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we've also been joined by Council Members Williams and Hudson. I just have one quick follow up before I get to my colleagues. Something we had discussed earlier that we were hearing from providers at the issue on the workforce enhancement. That about it being allocated to provider contracts but that the additional funding needs to be approved in each provider contract before they can start paying the staff the increases.

So, there are delays due to all levels of review that are needed for budget modifications and all providers have not received and paid out the funding. So, do you know, can you tell me what percentage of providers have received all of their workforce enhancement funding?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We have done the vast majority of the contract amendments and I can get back to you with more specific numbers.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay. Alright, thank you.

Okay, we've got questions first from Council Members

Brewer followed by Stevens and Cabàn.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much.

First of all I do want to thank as I always do

Marshal Horn, Marica Scott Faden and certainly

Administrative Carter, you Commissioner and Mr.

French because you're always very responsive. I

don't always agree with you but I appreciate the

responsiveness. And also the consent forms, maybe

you need them but they cause delays. We always hear

about another form that I'm not going to discuss

right now but the consent forms do cause delays and I

want to know how we can address that. That's

question number one.

Number two, I think that the FHEPS vouchers we all know is really a way that people do get housing and it's very helpful. So, want to know has the time gone up or down in terms of how long it takes to process? And I know with Council Member Ayala, she asked about the vacancies but I want to know if we

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 have a specific number of vacancies in that division 3 that would or would not cause delays. I want to know what that is. Number two, you know my main question 4 is main change and you said it was to open for negotiations, people want \$7 million. It's not in 6 7 the Exec, so I really want to keep main chance. you're talking about keeping people off the street, 8 put some damn beds in there if you need to. I know it's going to take two years to become a safe haven, 10 11 that's what was told but in the interim, those folks would be on the street. So, I just want to put that 12 13 on the table. I want to keep it. I know that you'll say there's another place they can go, [INAUDIBLE 14 01:48:55], something on 9<sup>th</sup> avenue but it already 15 16 exits and the owner is happy to keep it so I want to 17 know about Main Chance.

I also want to know about on these contracts, do
we still have contracts with Dot Go or that's not you
and that's just H&H? And then on the SNAP, to your
credit, I understand there's many, many fewer
backlogs but did we — how many got denied either for
SNAP or cash assistance?

And then just finally, this whole issue of finding places, uhm when people get discharged, we've

| been talking about from upstate, meaning from prison,  |
|--|
| I've always said why can't they go to the Fortunes or  |
| similar? Are we still working to do that so that   |
| they don't end up in the DHS system? And what are we   |
| doing to make sure that happens? And then as you   |
| know, people will not go unless there's a single   |
| room. They are fussing on the street. If there's   |
| not a single room, they don't want to go to a  |
| shelter. So, my question is, what are we doing about   |
| that? Even when we're building some of these new   |
| shelters on 59 <sup>th</sup> Street, which you know I don't  |
| approve of. I want permanent housing instead. What   |
| are we doing to make sure that obviously the safe  |
| haven low barrier work? Safe Haven on 83 <sup>rd</sup> Street,   |
| fabulous, fabulous. Supported it from day one but  |
| you don't have enough so the question is, how are you  |
| making more single rooms so that people would go   |
| there? Those are my questions.   |
| MOTER TO COLUMN TO THE TAXABLE TO TH |

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Council Member.

That was a lot. I was taking good notes but you'll let me know if I missed anything.

Let me start and then I will let my colleagues chime in. With respect to City FHEPS both vacancy rates and time to process. I'm going to say right

2.2

| now, my answers are going to be unsatisfactory. We    |
|---|
| are in the process of building out our end-to-end     |
| City FHEPS processing system. Until we have that      |
| fully built and fully rolled out, which we are $-$ it |
| should be within the next year end change, I can't    |
| track with confidence the processing time. What       |
| we've been doing is pulling samples on looking at     |
| various cohorts of vouchers. What we are seeing is a  |
| fairly consistent three-to-four-week process. Again,  |
| recognizing that there are uhm, that there are        |
| variations there and exceptions and we do need to     |
| troubleshoot on those exceptions.                     |

Staffing, there are — we have about 200 people who are full time actual focused on City FHEPS and there are vacancies in addition to those 200 people but really as I mentioned to the Deputy Speaker, because this is a program that touches so many different parts of the agency, right, it includes provider staff. It includes the DHS rehousing team. It includes the people reviewing packages. It includes fiscal, the whole thing. You know I don't necessarily feel like those are my most representative numbers but we are very focused on making sure that we are moving people out of shelter

DOCs on discharge planning. On making sure that

there is collaboration. I think it is a real issue

and it is the relationship building and the retailed

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm very supportive.

25

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Great. Uhm, and then with respect to single rooms and shelters, uhm, I really do think this is not a one size fits all situation. Yes, there are some individuals for whom a single room is a really important part of a stabilization and they might have a reasonable accommodation,

and they might have a reasonable accommodation

medical needs, other reasons and that is an important

9 piece of our response.

In other cases, people who for example are actively using, we want to make sure that there's an opportunity to have eyes on people so that we can be reversing overdoses. It's something that we've been doing. Unfortunately, very successfully and unfortunate and that I'm sorry it's necessary to do it quite so much but it is a really important part of the work that we do.

The other tradeoff that we have to think about when we're siting shelters, is that when we do do single rooms, we're going to need a lot more shelters right? And you know, I talked —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But you get more people off the street Commissioner if you did it so that's the tradeoff.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: There are a lot of tradeoffs.

It's very complex but certainly single rooms, double rooms are an important part of our response particularly to street homelessness.

I'm going to start the denials conversation and pass it over to Administrator French and then we'll pass it to Administrator Carter for Main Chance. So, with respect to denials, I mean I think across the board we are generally seeing about 40 percent of applications are accepted. People clarify that number if I've got it wrong.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, 60 percent are denials?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: One of the things that we see, it's true here and it's true in many other instances that I've seen in my career when applications for benefits are put online and we really lower the barrier to application, people are more likely to start the application process earlier on in their own personal journey. They may not have assembled all of the documentation. They may not have thought through as carefully whether or not they were eligible so when we made you go into a center when it was like find childcare for your kids and get on the train and

J

do this. People waited until they were pretty far along before they did it. Now that you can do it in your couch from your living room, people are starting earlier and it's just — and so they are less likely to actually complete the process and therefore we reject but Administrator French.

SCOTT FRENCH: Thank you Commissioner. The

Commissioner is correct. A lot of the volume that

we're seeing is I think because we have been able to

institute ways in which people can more easily access

benefits and apply for benefits. That doesn't

necessarily mean that people are actually eligible

for benefits right. The federal and state, those

really requirements are very specific and so I think

some of what we're seeing is people applying and then

either through the process, realizing this might not

be something that I want to continue with or will

work out I think and for other folks that they are

just not eligible for the benefits because the

requirements themselves are so specific. You know we

will get back to you on specific denial rates.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You could get some breakdown yes. When you say 40 percent uh the 60 percent denial, that doesn't sound good and when you

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

talk about something more specific it might be better.

2.2

2.3

SCOTT FRENCH: Yeah, though I will say you know even with that take for example cash assistance, you know we have 535,000 individuals on cash assistance, which is one of the highest numbers ever. So, you know more people are getting connected to benefits but also more people are applying so the numbers I think —

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I hear about people on denial with the food stamps or SNAP more than cash assistance, just so you know.

SCOTT FRENCH: Okay, so we'll get back to you with more specifics.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I would say both cash and SNAP caseloads are up since I was here, we were here even just in for the preliminary hearing. Cash in particular is up about 25,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Not surprising given the economy and the cost of living in New York City.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Right. Administrator Carter can speak to Main Chance.

JOSLYN CARTER: Thank you and Council Member Brewer, first I want to thank you for your support

2

1

3

4

J

6

7

8

,

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

and you know really being someone we can really count on for those experiencing homelessness. What Main Chance was, so we talk a lot about what our new process has been for those experiencing homelessness and really want to make sure that there's a throughput right, so coming in drop-in center to really connecting with those who are working to have that connection to go to Safe Haven to permanency and really have found out that model does work. And so, we have been talking with our providers around doing that. So, Main Chance does not have that but our work has been to work with the provider to make sure that those clients who utilize that site know where the drop-in centers are, right? So, they will be the one as in the West 114 Street and the other one that's in Manhattan.

So, we are working with the providers so that the clients will come to them. We are going to put up the signs now, it is May. At June 30, because this is you know we are moving towards the end of the Fiscal Year. We've been talking with the provider around that. We understand their frustrations right but we want to make sure that the close down is seamless and as they move into the next fiscal year

109

3

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

and what's going to happen with them, I don't know at this point but we do want to make sure that the clients that utilize that site are aware so that we don't have you know and I can tell you that those who are over there will have an outreach staff in that area to make sure that people know where they need to So, we'll be working with the provider to make sure that the clients know where to go to.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You know I don't agree with it. You also know that the folks at the - all the businesses in the area don't agree with it. BID doesn't agree with it. You tell me another site that has a business improvement development that funds probably thousands if not millions of dollars for a site like that. They give all the extras. Nobody else has that. Who has a BID that gives that kind of backing? So, I just think you're making a huge mistake. I want to keep fighting it to the end and I want them to continue. They also send people to other overnight faith and if you're opening more faith, don't get me started about this sprinkler junk that you're talking about. Not you, the Fire Department. They do that.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

I'll stop because I know my time is up but Main Chance should not close. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Alright, we have Stevens followed by Caban and Carr.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Good morning or

afternoon. I don't even know what time it is at this point. It's still morning, I still got a couple of minutes. Just, I have a couple of questions. I'll start out with City FHEPS. I got a call from one of my former participants that I worked with a number of years ago and they got the City FHEPS They were super excited about it but there voucher. was a couple of things, by the time they got called, it was already like two weeks after the date that I guess it was issued and then they were told that they only had four months to find an apartment or they had to start the process all over again and so, I just need to get some clarity around like what this process looks like and a timeline and what supports are given to folks who do get City FHEP vouchers? Because the other thing was she said that she got a list of realtors and folks but all the numbers were disconnected or it was like some type of scam. just trying to also think about like what supports

| are they also given when they are told that they only |
|---|
| have four months to use this voucher before they      |
| would have to start the process again. And then       |
| following up on NY IDNYC, I would like to also offer  |
| up my office as a pop-up place to do an event. We've  |
| put on a number of things but this is something that  |
| I know that is needed and is important but also just  |
| had a question on how many NYCD Centers are across    |
| the city and do you plan to open new ones and what is |
| the current wait time for an appointment? And I know  |
| you have a number of staff but like do you believe    |
| that you need more staff? And then I had one more     |
| question that was given to me by my colleague Linda   |
| Lee and I'm specifying that because this is a very    |
| specific question. But her question is HRA and MOME   |
| was informed that Asian New Yorkers who qualify for   |
| food stamps disenrolled at eight times the rate of    |
| those Asians with citizenship and obviously, I'm sure |
| this is happening at other communities but she wanted |
| to highlight the Asian community. Can you discuss     |
| HRAs effort to reenroll those who disenrolled to get  |
| back into the system? Because we know like through a  |
| lot of rhetoric, people were nervous about their      |
| citizenship and they started to disenroll, so how are |

2.2

2.3

you.

we trying to engage them to reenroll in the city's programs? Because we're seeing an uptick at a lot of food pantries and some of this is because people didn't follow up because they were nervous about their benefit. So, those are my questions. Thank

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you very much. I'm going to start and my colleagues will jump in. So, City FHEP shopping letters are good for 180 days. At the 180-day mark, if you are still eligible, it will automatically renew if you are in shelter. If you are in community, you do need to go back to homebase to get the renewal there but it absolutely can renew.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: What does that process look like then? Like it just automatically you just have to go and say hey, I need to renew? What does that look like?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Right. Yeah and Scott jump in but yes, you go to homebase and if your eligibility still stands right, so you still meet the — you're still on cash assistance right? You meet that eligibility right? It is absolutely possible to renew that.

Uhm, with respect to the -

)

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I don't know if he's going to jump in with more detail because that, what does that process look like? So, just say you renew, like I didn't get an answer on what that looks like.

SCOTT FRENCH: So, when the existing shopping

letter right expires, as the days that the

Commissioner talked about, the person who is assigned
to a homebased office, you would go to the homebased
office, they would confirm that your eligibility
information remains the same and your letter then
gets extended. There was obviously mis-messaging to
the individual to say that they had to use this
within four months and that's something I'll bring
back because that's not what should be communicated
to individuals. There's not a time limit on when you
can use it. It's just periodically we do need to
confirm that you still remain eligible for the
program.

So, but I will, this is a helpful case that we bring back and speak to our providers around to make sure that they're messaging it correctly to individuals.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah, they definitely would have to start the whole entire process over, right?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I am going to correct myself. It is 120 days not 180 days, so apologies there. One of the things that we have actually recently put into place is that if you are actually midstream through the process, right your housing package is in at the 120 mark, we're not going to expire. We don't want anybody to lose the unit that they've already identified.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Well, what happens because like even one of the things she said was that by the time they outreached to her, it was already like two or three weeks in and so she had already lost time. How do you account for that?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I think we need to do again on the specific set of circumstances which we're happy to do offline. In this, what should happen in the shelter system is -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: She wasn't in the shelter. She got lost.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Okay, uhm so it is — it's certainly a little bit more complicated when you

2 do

don't have the on the ground staff of the housing specialists in the shelter. Again, as Administrator French said, it seems like this is a situation that we need to dig in and make sure that the homebased office was handling it appropriately.

Uhm, let me address the SNAP piece of it and then we'll circle back on IDNYC because there were a few more questions there. I think one of the things that I would really point to —

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: So, wait are we done with the FHEPS? Because I feel like there was not enough, I don't think it was addressed at all.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I didn't address the support piece of it. I apologize.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: And I also don't feel like you addressed the other piece because if we're trying to get people out of shelter and keep people in their homes and all these things, like I'm not really feeling like it's addressing the issue because even if at 120 days, like how do we address the fact that a lot of folks are not getting it at the start? And so, like she got called three weeks in. What does that look like? What does that process look

2 like? So, I don't fell like that question was 3 answered at all.

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, that is not standard operating procedure. So, we need to dig in on the specifics of the case.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I don't think it's just a specific case. I think this might be something we should be digging in across the board.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, one of the things that we do frequently is that when people identify situations that we, when we look at given cases that we use those to reverse engineer and identify places where we need to make changes to our process. Because I haven't dug in on the specifics here, it would be premature for me to say whether or not this is one of those cases.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: So, people are not getting the vouchers, getting responses three weeks in and having a later date, then that's not something that's happening regularly?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: It's not something that I've heard of systemically. That doesn't mean that it isn't a problem that we don't need to dig into. I just —

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I see providers out there faces looking at me like hmm, but — so we should probably look into it.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Right, happy to look into it.

With respect to the supports, again it's a slightly different answer for those in shelter versus in the community. I think in shelter, there's a housing specialist whose job it is is to work with that individual to make sure that they are finding access to housing. That they know how to apply for the HPD lottery. That they are getting access to the homeless set asides, completing all of those different pieces of the process.

Homebase, the Homebase office can provide some level of that same support but it is because somebody is not in a residential program. There is a little bit less support. From what I'm hearing you say, it sounds like, uhm you know —

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: They were literally given a list of providers and when — I mean real estate agents and things like that, either it was disconnected or it was a scam.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Okay, so that sounds like another place where we need to do some follow up and

I'd say whether it is a specific homebase office or something that we need to look at more holistically with homebase. That's certainly something that we can follow up on.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Okay.

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, with respect to SNAP enrollment, the particular you know demographic piece of it is not an element that I'm familiar with.

Although I do know that certainly during the Trump Administration in particular, that there were a number of immigrant communities that were rejecting federal benefits to which they were entitled because of a fear that would affect their long-term immigration status.

One of the initiatives that we have going right now that I'm excited about that I think will allow us to address this issue and other similar is our funding for the — what we call NYC Benefits. It's 30 plus CBO's that we are funding to a tune of about \$10 million a year to provide really situational specific outreach support. Each of those contracts is a little bit different. They are relatively small contracts that are designed to meet the needs of specific communities with the idea that local

)

community-based organizations are better equipped than we are to speak the — to address the concerns and do the specific outreach that's needed for given communities.

So, I'll need to look and can certainly follow up about whether or not there's one that is specifically related to SNAP and Asian Americans but I think in general you relying on not-for-profit partners who have that community, who have the trust of community based, of community members is something that we are trying to lean on for that kind of outreach.

Uhm, good on that one?

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Not really but I'm going to let it go. I'll let Linda follow up.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Okay. IDNYC, happy to collaborate on a pop up and you know staff is something that we are always looking at. As I say through all of our hiring, we have been really prioritizing frontline staff including but not limited to IDNYC. We, as Administrator French noted, we have added a number of appointments, so there is 7,100 appointments being released every week. What we are seeing, it varies a little bit week to week but generally there are still appointments left.

2 Monday, whether it's a couple of dozen or a couple of 3 hundred it varies but -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Well, what's the actual wait time for an appointment? Like how long people are waiting for like with their appointments?

SCOTT FRENCH: So, uhm, 7,100 appointments are released Friday for the following Monday to Friday, so for people who make an appointment they chose the time and that is the time -

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: During that week?

SCOTT FRENCH: For the following week, yeah. For the following week. So, yeah, we shifted to that when we shifted to appointment only. Uhm, you know and we always look to see how we can have more appointments. Currently there is ten IDNYC standalone sites, plus one group that is focused on the DOE. Based upon the last time we were here though as well; we are actively looking at identifying another site in the Bronx. That will be a standalone site, so that we don't have to focus you know too much on pop ups because we like to move the pop ups around where they'd like to be but we'll definitely follow up with you about the pop-up idea

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

followed by Carr and Riley.

and once I have more specific information about any additional sites, we'll let you all know.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yeah because between me and Deputy Speaker Ayala, that's already three because she has one in Manhattan and one on the Brons. I have an office in the Bronx. I can definitely reach out to Assembly Members. They will be happy to pop up because this is something that we see and a lot of people who need these appointments. And thank you for answering the questions but I have a lot of follow up with the City FHEPS, so I'll talk with you guys offline because I have a lot of concerns that this program is expanded and it doesn't seem like we are in the weeds of like keeping people either from ending up in a shelter and even saying that folks who are in shelter are kind of getting a little bit more support obviously but like, if you're not in shelter, how are you - where's their housing specialist? How are we supporting them? What does that look like and I don't feel like that was kind of answered in some of the questions but I will follow up offline. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have Cabàn

2

1

4

S

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Thank you. I just, just a really quick shout out to the movement for justice UAW members who are in Chambers solidarity with you all. And I'm going to do it Gale Brewer style and just shoot a bunch of questions at you and I want to start with the RISE program. You know, I want to know if HRA has been negotiating with OMB to restore the RISE program. If you're aware that that PEG will result in a loss of jobs at the gay men's health crisis? And one thing that I want to put in context there is that obviously the community that GMHC serves is a community that has a mistrust in government and healthcare related service delivery based on their lived experiences and so, have you identified especially in light of these PEGs you know what programs can provide the specialized services to community GMHC serves? Because I think that obviously they do that particularly well.

I also want to move into questions around the housing stability microgrants fund. I know that it's baselined at \$1.2 million. We said last budget cycle that that was woefully underfunded. The folks that are delivering those services in the domestic violence base have also said that it is woefully

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

underfunded and so, if you can confirm that that baseline for the program remains at \$1.2. I know that the Council wants \$6 million, so do advocates. Do you anticipate making increase to that budget? How many microgrants were awarded in Fiscal Year 2023? How many were awarded so far in Fiscal 2024? How many do they anticipate rewarding? How much has been spent so far in Fiscal Year 2024 for the program and then just given that NGBV pilot demonstrated the need far exceeds this level of funding. You know do you feel that that's sufficient to meet the demand? I mean you've talked a lot about prioritizing, getting survivors out of the shelter system. We know that the vast majority of folks in family shelters are survivors. These microgrant funds could keep people from entering that shelter system in the first

So, those are the questions I have on that and then I wanted to follow up on a question from Chair Brannan from earlier. He asked some questions about invoicing and Commissioner; you recommended sending those invoices in real time but what I pose is that you can't do that if your contract isn't registered on time. And so, when you all register late, which

place, diverting them before they get there.

2.2

2.3

So, I'll stop there.

happens quite often in fact, these organizations that are stuck with a backlog of invoices that they are waiting to submit upon registration and so, the agency does in fact then at that point, the agency does in fact limit the number of invoices you can submit at once for delaying that payment and so, you know if — how are these organizations supposed to invoice in real time when the registration is being delayed? Like, you know what's the answer there?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Council Member. I will go in reverse order. Contract registration absolutely, it's a critical piece of the puzzle. You know one of the things that we see is you know payment issues. Sometimes in registration, sometimes it's about the review of subcontractors. Sometimes it's about invoice review. There's a variety of things — issues and challenges that can translate out into providers not getting paid. Every single one of those is a problem. We are really focused on our contract registration. I think we are although there's some amendments for FY24 that are still outstanding, we're essentially done with the contract regis— base contract registration for FY24.

2.3

Obviously we're close to the end of the year and we're really pushing forward for FY25. The way the system works, we actually cannot submit FY25 contracts for registration until mid-May, so we're trying to stack them up so that we can then submit to the Comptroller as much as possible.

So, you know again, it's something that we're really focused on. Agree that it is critical that we are -

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Do you have a percentage?

Like what percentage of them are being registered

late?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, I think that we — I don't have a specific percentage. We're in the you know 20 or 30 percent potentially but you know late can mean a couple of weeks. Late doesn't necessarily mean months but it is certainly something that we are very focused on.

With respect to the microgrants, that is an NGBV program. We are their financial conduit but I'm really going to have to defer to them on the specifics of the program because we don't administer any of that. And Administrative French, can you speak to —

2 SCOTT FRENCH: Sure, thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: If I could just interject very, very quickly I mean the reason why we pose it to you all is because NGBV isn't an agency and so, we don't have an opportunity to question them and so at last years Executive Budget hearings and Preliminary Budget hearings, we got the information about the program from you all.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I am absolutely going to have to follow up with my colleagues with NGBV on that. I simply can't speak and would be misrepresenting if I did try and speak to the details of the program because they administer it. We are happy to play a role in coordinating the sending over that information to your office.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Thank you.

SCOTT FRENCH: Thank you Commissioner. Thank you Council Member. So, the RISE program at GMHC, I think when we were here at the Prelim as well and still hands true, you know based upon the budget of HRA, much of it is very fixed. So, in meeting the targets we needed, we had some very difficult decisions and we had to make those decisions. The RISE program was one of them and you know at this

J

point you know one of the reasons behind that was is that you know participation in employment services for our HASA clients is voluntary. There's a commentary on the benefits of the program but looking at the spaces in which we had some choices to make, that led us to unfortunately have to you know PEG that program. And at the moment, you know we are not reinstituting it but —

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: I mean I'll just say in terms of priorities, just saying that the majority Black and Brown, low-income New Yorkers living with HIV who need these services should absolutely be a priority especially when the money is there when you look at again, a bloated police budget, the overtime. All of these different things. I mean it's hard to send a message to New Yorkers that these folks don't deserve the funding that they've been getting year over year.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Just building off of what

Administrator French is saying, more than 80 percent

of DSS's tax levy budget is passthroughs of

entitlements and if you include City FHEPS in that,

which is not actually legally required but I think we

all agree is really critically important. We're

2.2

2.3

talking I think above 90 percent of our budget is pass throughs of direct cash assistance in various forms to clients.

So, our ability to take PEGs leaves us with no easy choices. I absolutely hear you on the value of those particular services but in a situation where we as a city had to make really tough budget decisions, DSS's options —

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: So, I'm overtime so I just want to end with this last question of, so you agree then that HRA needs more staff and more money to deliver the services that New Yorkers deserve?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I think we recognize that the services that we provide across the board are serving low-income New Yorkers but in a time of very challenging budget decisions, DSS, like every other agency, has to make tough decisions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABÀN: Alright, I know that you can't like you know say something that is contrary to what the Mayor has done in terms of his PEGs and cuts and cuts and cuts but like, I don't know maybe if you think that you need more funding blink twice or something. Like this is just, none of these answers make sense.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: I feel blank.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, we have Council

Member Carr followed by Riley and Louis.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you Chair.

Commissioner, always good to see you. I want to associate myself with the comments of my colleague in support of the RISE program and the work it does with GMHC. Although I might suggest alternative agencies for efficiencies than the NYPD but perhaps we'll focus on one of them right now, which is the asylum seeker migrant crisis as a piece of your budget.

It's increasing since January, I think about \$18.9 million on your end and that's in spite of attempts by the Administration to drive down cost through re-estimating expenses, as well as the renegotiation of the Callihan Consent Decree as it pertains to this population. So, I'm just wondering if you can explain to me why we're talking about more monies for this and in particular, I think \$4 billion and \$3 billion a piece for fiscal years and the outyears. If you can explain to me why we're seeing increases rather than decreases?

2.2

2.3

\_

\_

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Council Member. I believe and we'll verify the numbers but for the current Fiscal Year what we've seen is reductions in projected spending for asylum seekers. Funding has been added to the outyears. I think this is a recognition of the fact that you know we are the mercy of federal border policy and that we can expect this to be a challenge that we are dealing with in one form or another for unfortunately for the relatively long term.

Uhm, it has been really challenging and unprecedented couple of years managing through the asylum seeker crisis. Our budget has gone up, down and sideways with respect to how much is in the budget for asylum seekers. That is a reflection of changing projections on the census, reallocation of responsibilities between different agencies. So, for example, we took over a couple of sites from H&H.

Funds were shifted out of their budget into our budget. That's not a new census, it's a whose operating? There's been changes in state funds that are allocated for asylum operation and where those have been parked, so originally that was all parked in our budget even though we are obviously not the

entirety of the response. So, I think the one thing that is very safe to say is that there will be more reprojections and it's probably too early to make too much out of the outyear numbers.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: So, what I'd say is that you know you have I think four excuse me, five shelters operating on an emergency contract basis in my district at this point and three of them right, located in one site are basically 25 percent of the population of the census tracked before they were there. So, you've exponentially increased the population of the area and there's very little to serve. The community that was already there let alone the addition, and so, folks in my district are wondering when this is going to end. You talk about the changes for the consent decree, 30 days for folks to stay. You have the ability now I think to turn away folks who are coming back to the shelter.

So, when are we going to see some light at the end of the tunnel where you're going to wind down some of these operations because I understand you don't control the border but your policy about how attractive New York is visa ve other cities, once

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 folks do cross that border, it's very much in your 3 control.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: And yeah, thank you Council Member. So, we are working to make sure that we are meeting legal and moral mandates that we are not putting families with children in particular out on the street, so the consent decree changes, which were some very targeted exceptions to policy pertained particularly to adults, single adults and adult families. Families with children are not affected by that particular legal agreement. I think it is in all of our interests to think about how we can integrate the new New Yorkers more fully into the fabric of the city and into the state and country as a hole. I mentioned earlier that we were working with H&H to role out new case management services to make sure that we are connecting people with the services that they need so that they can move on. The goal is not shelter for the long term. So, whether it's legal services, case management services, we're really trying to focus on making sure that people have access to that and certainly if there's you know as we have in the past but we're happy to continue to do so if there's particular

2.2

2.3

2 issues around the sites in your district, we're happy
3 to follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: And just in closing, if you can get to me the contracts for those five shelters in terms of the costs, when they're set to expire or be potentially renewed and other details relating to that and the current populations in the shelter, I'd love to get that information.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We'll follow up.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Council Member Riley on Zoom.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Thank you Chair Brannan. Thank you Chair Ayala. Can you guys hear me?

16 CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you so much. I just want to thank the Administration for your testimony. I just have a few questions here. So, my first question is ACS partners with community-based organizations in three neighborhoods to provide the FEC, which is the Family Enrichment Centers, which are more homelike spaces that provide a range of offerings for and with the community, understanding family enrichment centers had the capacity to help

2.2

Being unhoused should not be a death sentence. What changes have been made to bolster HRAs subway

families and young people in and outside of ACS to connect them to resources and their community. And so my question is, how were these three locations chosen and what research and funding have been allocated to expanding these centers throughout New York City.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Council Member, I believe these are ACS programs. So, I'm going to have to defer to my colleagues there.

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Thank you. Subway safety, I'm just going to go to subway safety. Subway safety is a critical issue and our current safety plan includes involuntary transfer for people in the subway system. Tools like the HRA's top 50 is a metric used to coordinate case management, help give partners, outreach programs and other tools for people to revive care. So what improvements have been made to model based on the fact that Jordan Nealy was on this list. Jordan was a young Black man killed last year on the subway asking for food and resources.

resources to ensure crisis management systems are

3 connected coherently?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Thank you Council Member. So, we absolutely agree that it is critical that we are meeting the needs of very vulnerable individuals. We are working very closely with our healthcare agency partners to make sure that we are assessing the needs of individuals on really a case-by-case basis. I think as you mentioned, the top 50 list, this brings together the tools of not only DHS but DOHMH, H&H, very often the State Office of Mental Health.

We do provide wrap around services in every single shelter that we operate. We have mental health shelters that are designed to serve some of the needs of individuals experiencing behavioral health but at the end of the day, because we are not a healthcare agency, these partnerships are really critical. So, we are focused on making sure that we are connecting people to ACT and IMT teams, other mobile health treatment. That we are filling out the applications for supportive housing so that we can connect people to permanent housing. And generally meeting people where they are. It is never a requirement to participate in healthcare, to take

2.2

time, I just want to touch base offline to see how

COUNCIL MEMBER RILEY: Well, for the sake of

medication, to be in a DHS shelter, because we want to make sure that we are encouraging as many people as possible to come indoors.

And you know this work that we are doing specifically focused on those with significant behavioral health needs is really a component of our overall outreach on those who are unsheltered, including significant increases in outreach workers, investment in stabilization and safe haven beds. And really again, I'm going to just emphasize the partnership with sister agencies. Administrative Carter, anything you want to add.

JOSLYN CARTER: Thank you Commissioner and thank you Council Member. I think the collaborative effort that we're making with our partnership is really important. And so, and we do understand that those who are experiencing homelessness and certainly those who have fallen through the cracks of society and so, collaborating with healthcare teams and mental health teams and putting support around those individuals is important and crucial and that's the work we've been doing and continue to do with al of our partners.

We currently have ten standalone offices. We also

25

have one team that focuses on the DOE and then do popups with elected officials and others through other means. Uhm, and then we are actively looking to open one additional site in the Bronx and when we have that information, we will let you all know but that's currently it's 10 and we're looking to expand to 11 while still using our teams in the Mobile Command Center to do pop ups in specific communities as well as communities that maybe are a little more out in the boroughs that could use that focus.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you and just two more questions. The website for IDNYC often times out or will not populate appointments. Is this an issue where the Administration needs to further invest in technology? And is there anything fiscally prohibiting the Administration for creating a direct NDNYC hotline in addition to the current 311 question redirect and what does the staffing for this look like?

SCOTT FRENCH: As it relates to the IDNYC website, I have not heard that issue with the website but I will go back to our IT teams and ask them to do some looking and testing of it to determine if there's anything specific that's going on that's

Initiative. I'll start with the Haitian Relief

25

J

Initiative. The Executive plan includes an additional \$1.7 million in Fiscal 2024 for the Haitian Relief Initiative and I just wanted to know if you all could just quickly share, is the funding for a particular provider or are the direct services being provided by the agency? What services are being provided and how are you all tracking the success of the program? I know this was all started under the de Blasio Administration and the Adams Administration then adopted the program, which we truly appreciate. We would love some updates on that and the second question is regarding to OCVS and its transition.

So, \$17.7 million is being provided in the Executive Budget under FY25, \$23.6 in FY26, to move OCVS to end GBV. So, I wanted to know if you could provide some more information about the transition of the office being sent over? We just wanted to know if more information could be provided about the funding? Will additional funding still remain in MOCJ? Is everything being sent over at one time?

JILL BERRY: Yeah, so for both of these initiatives, DSS is primarily the fiscal conduit and we would have to get back to you with responses from

on the Haitian American Initiative as part of Action NYC, which is managed by MOIA. We'll have to get back to you after we touch base with our colleagues at MOIA for more specifics on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Okay.

JILL BERRY: On the transition from to NGBV of the  $-\$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: OCVS.

JILL BERRY: OCVA yeah. I'm a little bit more familiar with that. Not everything is being transferred over. Many of the MOCJ initiatives were being distributed out to the appropriate agencies to run those programs. Point and number of those contracts fit more squarely with the work that NGBV was doing with victims and so, that transition has been happening over a couple of months to make sure that it goes as smoothly as possible. Transferring the contracts and finances to the DSS portfolio for NGBV to manage.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: How much is remaining at MOCJ?

JILL BERRY: We'd have to get back to you on that.

2.2

2.3

in process and I think at that point they will be

25

effective but I'm going to have to defer to my

2.2

2.3

3 colleagues at NGBV on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: And how soon would you be able to provide the information to us before we try to pass a budget?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We're going to need to coordinate with our colleagues at the other agency since we don't directly administer the funds.

COUNCIL MEMBER LOUIS: Thank you. Thank you Chairs.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much Chairs Brannan and Ayala, Commissioner Park, Administrators French and Carter, Deputy Commissioners Berry and Levine, Ferdinand and DeStefano, good to see you all.

I just want to firstly before I ask my questions want to associate with myself especially with the comments of Council Member Stevens, Riley and Louis all relating to IDNY and the importance of expanding capacity as Scott remembers well, this is something that I worked on for years and helped quadrupled the program in a matter of months after we launched it. There is definitely capacity. We could expand

| 2 | capacity | if | there | was | а | political | will | to | do | so | and |
|---|----------|----|-------|-----|---|-----------|------|----|----|----|-----|
|   |          |    |       |     |   |           |      |    |    |    |     |

meet the demand that exists. Commissioner Park, I'm 3

4 going to ask you about a topic that I annoy you

about, I think at every one of these hearings. 5

hope you're ready and excited to discuss DHS shelter 6

7 moveouts into NYCHA, which have unfortunately come to

a halt. Do you know how many people have moved from 8

DHS into NYCHA last month or Fiscal Year to date?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: I'm very surprised that this 10

11 is your question. I'm happy to talk about it. Uhm,

12 we -

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That means that you were

prepared and ready to go. MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are doing you know a couple

of dozen moves into public housing every month. really want to emphasize that the NYCHA of today is in a different place than the NYCHA of the previous Administration and what we are seeing is a lot of progress on behalf of NYCHA on dealing with their own very long-term physical issues, which is something that should, that I think matters to all of us who care about the state of affordable housing, right? If were to lose NYCHA public housing, it would be devastating for the city. It would be devastating

| COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 145                      |
|---|
| for the shelter system. That would be I think the     |
| worst of all possible outcomes. It is — in order for  |
| them to preserve that incredibly valuable stock of    |
| housing, they need to invest in their own physical    |
| stock and I think there's a lot of really creative    |
| work that is going on right now. What that            |
| translates though means into right now there are      |
| fewer move out opportunities into public housing for  |
| DHS shelter, right? Because they are doing the        |
| repair work that they need both to get individual     |
| units up to quality and then also as they are doing   |
| larger scale rehab, they are doing checkerboarding.   |
| Uhm, as much as I am looking for move out             |
| opportunities for my clients, I am deeply grateful    |
| that NYCHA is investing in their long-term success    |
| because if they didn't do that, it would be worse for |
| all of us in the long run.                            |

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Yeah, no look I got to jump in because I'm short on time and you know we've seen a tenfold increase in the number of vacant units under Mayor Adams. It hasn't gone down. It's still it started at about 500. It's been approximately 5,000 vacant units for two years and so I know that there's a need to do more thorough repairs and fix up 2 units. The question is why are we not dedicating 3 more resources to do those proper repairs to move

4 NYCHA families out. We used to be at about 6,000

moveouts out of the DHS system into NYCHA each year.

6 This year, we're on pace for maybe 1,500 maybe.

7 That's a 25 percent - just 25 percent of people

8 moving out of shelter into NYCHA. You're making a

9 lot of progress on a lot of other fronts on moveouts

10 that you and your team deserve credit for but this is

11 | simply a question of management, political will, and

12 resources where we could be moving thousands of

13 families. We used to be moving thousands of families

14 each year into permanent housing. We're no longer

15 doing it. So, do you think there is a timeline by

16 which things will actually start to improve? Because

17 | it has been bad. Really, really disastrously bad for

18 two years.

1

19 MOLLY WASOW PARK: So, with all due respect, I

20 don't ever actually remember the time when it was

21 6,000 moves a year. It certainly was higher than it

22 has been.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I think that was the

24 average from FY15 to FY21 between 5,000 and 6,000 in

25 that range.

2 MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, maybe people.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: People, people, yes right.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Oh, so the numbers that I gave you were families.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I understood. I was speaking in people just to be clear.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, you know with respect to investments of resources and specific timing questions around NYCHA repairs, I will - we'll certainly need to defer to NYCHA. We aren't directly controlling those. I would say you know NYCHA has been a strong partner. They continue despite all of the work that they have going on and the efforts that they have to do repairs in their own units. They do continue to allocate units to us. We are working with them. I think this was mentioned in the Mayor's State of the City. They are allocating Section 8 vouchers, which has not been the case for a very long So, public housing is a really critical resource. Over the long term, my goal is that it remains a solid resource in good standing and I think that is what NYCHA is doing now and we are working with all of our housing partners, HPD, HDC, NYCHA,

1

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

\_ 0

2122

2.3

24

25

HCR to make sure that we are continuing to expand move out opportunities and you know despite the temporary drop off in the number of NYCHA moveouts, what we are seeing is an overall increase in subsidized exits at our shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: And you deserve credit for some of those areas for improvement. taking that away from you. This is one area that is fully within the control of the city and we're not doing the work that's necessary to connect homeless families to permanent housing. Just if I can, two other topics I'd like to ask about briefly. I'm very concerned, again in areas of credit, uhm, you guys have done a ton of work to address the backlog in public assistance applications, which I know was not easy. But my concern is that we're seeing a growing rate of rejections among applications, especially for cash assistance. Where I believe back in 2020, a majority of people who were applying for cash assistance were accepted at the beginning of the pandemic, that era. Now, we're at a portion, we're just about one-third of applications for cash assistance are accepted, 37 percent I believe as of January. I'm very concerned. Can you explain why

we've seen this dramatic increase as applications

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2.2

2.3

24

25

who are being rejected for those applications.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yeah, absolutely I'm happy to start and Administrative French will chime in. I think largely what we are seeing is that we have

have gone up a huge increase in the number of people

So, before the pandemic, in order to apply for cash

significantly lowered the bar to application, right?

assistance, you had to you know either bring your

kids with you or find somebody to take care of your

kids, get on the train and go sit in an office for

several hours, right? You — before you did that, you

really made sure that you had all your ducks in a

row, right? You were collecting documentation. You

really thought through the eligibility process. What

we are finding now is that many people start the

application process and don't complete it. And I

think that's consistent with patterns that I have

seen in a lot of different context when the barrier  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

to application is lower.

So, you know for example, Housing Connect, the HPD's Lottery System, right? A lot of people apply for housing and then don't you know get called to

start the application process. Don't complete it

## COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

150

I think

3 the rejection rates and Administrator French will

because it's easy to apply for everything.

4 correct me if I'm wrong, are comparable to SNAP where

5 | they were prepandemic, which at that point was

6 already a largely online process. So, it is the

7 | right thing to do to lower the barriers to access for

8 public services but what it means is that you get

9 many more applications when people are earlier in

10 their journeys, they haven't necessarily thought it

11 | through. We are monitoring and doing samples of

12 | rejections to see if there is any consistent pattern,

13 | anything that we need to be aware of for staff

14 | training. At this point, we have not seen anything

15 | but I will allow Administrator French -

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I'm going to get in trouble with Chair Brannan, so I'm just going to jump in to say, I'm really concerned that with the online only process, there's no opportunity for people to get the help they need. That's certainly what we hear from our constituents is that they get stuck. They have a problem and they're not getting the assistance. When they call, the average wait time is

80 minutes so far in FY24 down to 65 minutes in

January peaked at 101 minutes in September.

25 in New York City?

So, that's a long time to call and wait to get help, an hour and 40 minutes, an hour and 20 minutes on the phone. Working people can't afford that. The last thing I just wanted to ask about and then I promise to shut up is uhm, I've been deeply concerned about the decline in tenants who are in of Council accessing attorney's in housing court. My understanding from the reports I have read or that we've seen a steady decline since the eviction moratorium was lifted, uhm, and as of the end of last year, we were at approximately just about 35 percent of tenants who were eligible actually accessing attorneys in housing court.

We all know the impact that that has on evictions. I know you all want to stop evictions. I express my solidarity to the MFJ folks who were here fighting for decent conditions and compensation but just on this question, I saw that you got a new RFP that's coming out. In that RFP do you have a goal for the percent of tenants, eligible tenants that will be represented in Housing Court and is there anything else that's being done to help reverse this really troubling trend that leads to more evictions

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Administrative French, do you want to start with the questions around eligibility?

SCOTT FRENCH: I would just say as it relates to applying for benefits. Individuals can still come into any one of our centers and apply, so if there are individuals who are having online issues, right they can come to any HRA location and can be assisted with benefits. So, I'm happy to talk more about if there's any specifics that are going on but while we encourage people to do it online because they can do it from their home. They can do it on a weekend. They can do it whenever they would like to, that is not solely how individuals need to do it, so we encourage people to come into the locations if needed.

MOLLY WASOW PARK: And our NYC benefits contractors are also available to provide assistance. Uhm, really pleased that we are able to continue those contracts as I mentioned to the tune of about \$10 million.

With respect to our Right to Counsel and legal representation, agree that this is a very critical program. I'm excited by the new RFP. I think we've gotten terrific response and I can't get too deep in

| Ζ |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

4

1

negotiating those contracts but I think some things that we are doing uhm to really strengthen the

program and to make sure that we are getting

the weeds on specifics because we are still

5

everybody the access to the services that they need

in order to remain housed, that includes Legal

7

8

Services but isn't limited to Legal Services right?

9

There are absolutely people for whom emergency rent

10

arrears is sufficient. Uhm, I think we have

11

bifurcated the RFPs for full representation and brief legal advise to make sure that we are really putting

12 13

out very specific targets around full legal

14

representation. That it's not getting made up for

15

with brief legal. We are being more direct about

performance standards that are in the new contracts

1617

and we're working with our providers on

18

implementation there. And then we're doing some

19

realignment of our own operations to make sure that

20

we are being strategic about how we are connecting people to services, again making sure that if what

21

somebody needs is a one shot or a landlords needs to

2.3

work through an issue in order to avoid going to

24

housing court that we are positions to do that.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Look and I really

appreciate all that and there are a bunch of tools in your toolbox. That's why I support the agencies being combined together so that we can do everything we can to keep people out of shelter but just on now a question. Are you anticipating having a goal in these contracts for the percentage of people who actually have eviction proceedings against them that are in housing court that will be represented by lawyers?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are focused on complying with the law right which says that anybody at the given income level is entitled to legal representation. So, we are looking to allocate our services so that we get to that standard, recognizing that there are going to be people who you know as I mentioned earlier, maybe come in with their own attorney because of a variety of distrust of government issues, opt not to work with us. People may come in later in the process at the point at which that screening window has already passed but we want to the extent possible, absolutely to minimize the number of people who don't get access to an attorney because of resource issues at our agency.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I could ask a thousand more questions but I really appreciate the latitude Chairs Brannan and Ayala. thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, Commissioner I wanted to ask you about a HERC that's actually in the Speakers District, the JFK Crown Plaza. Uhm, it was recently reclassified as a DHS run shelter. Could you tell us what is the current population at that shelter?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: It's a families with children site. I will need to get back to you on the specific number of people but yes, it's families with children.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay and what are the implications of that, the reclassification to being a DHS run HERC?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, this was a citywide decision to bring it under the DHS umbrella so that we can ultimately leverage the DHS contracting structure where we have not-for-profits that are providing services. The feeling was that that would be a more effective way to deliver services than the H&H model.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And will that — will he HERC then continue serving the migrant population?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Yes, it is an asylum site and we are continuing to maintain it as an asylum site.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, are there differences in services provided at that location compared to an H&H run site?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We are collaborating with H&H on case management services. As I say they are the ones that are going to be holding the case management contract but it will serve DHS sites. I think what is different is in the operation, day-to-day engagement with clients where DHS has a long history of using not-for-profits who then you know hold subcontracts. H&H has been building the plane and flying it a little bit at the same time. We are very, very grateful for their involvement that challenges were too big for one agency to handle alone but we think the not-for-profit shelter operator model, the DHS has historically used will be effective in this instance.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: So, if there's a positive financial impact in that reclassification, would you be looking to do that elsewhere?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Uhm, we - there is one

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

will look at it.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

understand if we found a way to do it more

additional site that we have done already, a families with children site. It really is site specific. There are certain you know physical layouts and site models that aren't going to be appropriate for DHS or appropriate for a not-for-profit to take on but we, I talk to my colleagues at H&H every single day as well

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: What's the main, I mean what's the main reason for doing that? Is it oversight or?

as the OASO team, so to the extent that it makes

sense to move a site between agencies, we absolutely

MOLLY WASOW PARK: It was largely a budget management issue that we were able to do, operate those sites. The two sites that I mentioned in a way that was a little bit more efficient than H&H was able to. The census at Crown Plaza is 335. other site where we did it is the Wallcott Hotel which has a census of 176, both of them are families with children.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: I guess I'm just trying to

efficiently through DHS, why wouldn't we do that across the board?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Two reasons. One, there are physical layouts that are just not appropriate for DHS not-for-profit contractor to take on right. Some of the large tech facilities, for example not appropriate for DHS.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Why?

2.2

2.3

MOLLY WASOW PARK: Not-for-profit capacity tends, they — given a not-for-profit organization can handle sites of a certain size. Once you start to get bigger it becomes a little bit more challenging for a not-for-profit organization to operate well.

So, I think that is a particular challenge. We also have a different legal and regulatory environment than the HERCs do, so we need to take that into account but we are looking and then the last thing that I would say and this has been very true is given this growth in the system and the pace at which asylum seekers have come to this city, we have really strained our traditional provider capacity. We're working with a number of new providers. I think that has been an upside of this particular crisis and that we have brought new

so, we have robust conversations about those PEGs,

25

themselves of employment services. Even though it is

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, I just want to

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

voluntary for them, we will connect them with other existing HRA employment services providers to connect them to training, education or whatever, whatever the individuals may be looking to participate in.

highlight that you know when we're talking about poverty, the last thing you want to cut is food and workforce development at any point and I'm like really disappointed at this years budget and the idea that we're cutting you know both programs, so both have them put on the chopping block. You know I've had conversations with the Commissioner about this. Even in my own district I'm seeing two jobs plus programs cut as well and these are pipelines to employment and specifically because we're talking about communities where there's a higher density of poverty, it's really disturbing. It's like there's no real justification for that. Uhm, we should be working with these providers to enhance their services, not you know in any way shape or form reduce them and to say that people have a right to you know uhm visit a site someplace else and have to leave their own community is really disheartening and I hope that we do better.

2 Regarding the human services COLA, does that
3 include HRA civil legal service providers?

JILL BERRY: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: It does, okay perfect, thank you and regarding Angie, is the system developed by HRA staff or a contracted vendor?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: This was developed by HRA staff.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: HRA staff, okay. Uhm, and what is the expense in capital budget for Angie?

MOLLY WASOW PARK: We'll have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, do you know how the system was developed?

JILL BERRY: Yeah, it was developed uhm, by or agency in conjunction with a lot of meetings with staff, with procedures, with the IT teams, we brought in some outside consultants to try to design it in a way that would encourage and incentivize staff to follow through on the work to try to make it so that it brings the person and the family — a lot of thought went into ANGIE in terms of previously a lot of the work was in person right, so you're an eligibility worker, your in a center and the

| families, the households, the individuals are sitting |
|---|
| in front of you. So, we tried to and maybe we did a   |
| good job, maybe there's more work to be done but we   |
| tried to design it in a way that would bring the      |
| person to the worker so that it didn't feel like they |
| were just doing nameless work. That's why trying to   |
| give them, the staff, some sense of how much work is  |
| still waiting to be done. We can't see it in the      |
| waiting area but that 18,000 number was just meant to |
| give people an idea that there people waiting on you. |
| Your work is important and it is impacting tens of    |
| thousands of New Yorkers every day. So, that's some   |
| of the design that went behind it. A lot of the rest  |
| of the design was to try to encourage workers to slow |
| down, not quickly process the case, but slow down,    |
| think through each question uhm to make sure that     |
| you're capturing all the information correctly, so    |
| the family gets the right level of benefits so that   |
| something doesn't get accidently overlooked or        |
| missed.   |

And I know that that can be frustrating to the staff who see that 18,000 number. They want to hurry up and get the work done and in past versions, systems they were able to click through the screens

| COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 105                      |
|---|
| we say and get it done faster but that doesn't always |
| mean that it resulted in the right benefit level for  |
| our clients that depend on us for our benefits. And   |
| ANGIE was really designed to try to slow the worker   |
| down, present all of the information to the worker.   |
| It was designed also in ways that you don't have to   |
| leave ANGIE to go somewhere else to get data. It's    |
| pulling that information from other systems. That     |
| does slow it down a little bit while it's going out   |
| and doing those data matches but it is making sure    |
| it's presenting all of that information. The whole    |
| comprehensive picture, using all of the data systems  |
| and data that we have available to us as an agency    |
| without the worker having to go hunt and find that    |
| information to make the right decision and to make a  |
| holistic decision that results in the right benefit   |
| levels for families.                                  |

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I mean I get it you want to be most efficient. I just wonder where in your tool, what level of troubleshooting is happening with the actual staff and not the supervisors, the people that are using the system right to get feedback from them directly on what's working and you know what's not working.

| JILL BERRY: Yeah and if I could just add one          |
|---|
| more thing to that point with our backlogs, our cash  |
| assistance backlog was much larger than our SNAP      |
| backlog. And so, we really spent a lot of time over   |
| the last year focused on fine tuning the systems that |
| support the cash assistance program, which means that |
| yes, as passing ANGIE that support the SNAP only      |
| population, those systems have not gotten the same    |
| level of attention over the last year but that is     |
| something we are shifting towards now. We have a lot  |
| of feedback from the staff from the work that we did  |
| with the Mayor's Office of Efficiency. We have a lot  |
| of great ideas and initiatives that came up through   |
| that work and we are pivoting only now to start to    |
| implement some of those changes.                      |

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And just finally, is the ANGIE program an HRA capital project?

JILL BERRY: Yes, it was.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, alright, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, seeing no further questions, we are going to move on to public testimony. Commissioner and your team, thank you so much and we will follow up with whatever we were unable to get answered today.

2 PANEL: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Alright, we're going to take a ten-minute break and then we'll have, we'll start public testimony. [03:03:20] - [03:22:43]

Serg, we're rolling? Okay. [GAVEL] Okay, I'm now going to open the hearing for public testimony. I remind members of the public that this is a government proceeding and the decorum shall be observed at all times. As such members of the public shall remain silent at all times say for whoever is testifying. The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photograph is allowed from the witness table. Furthermore, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but they may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the official hearing record. If you wish to speak at today's hearing, make sure you filled out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you'll then have two minutes to speak on today's hearing topic. The DHS and HRA FY25 Executive Budget hearings. If you have a written statement or

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

167

2 additional written testimony you wish to submit to

3 | the official record, please provide a copy of that

4 testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also

5 email written testimony to testimony@council.nyc.gov

6 within 72 hours of this hearing to be included in the

7 official record. We will now call the first panel.

8 I apologize if I can't read your hand writing or I

9 pronounce your name wrong Valentina Vidal, Casey

Schmoll, uhm Seleste Wilson. Casey Schmoll, Seleste

11 Wilson and Valentina Vidal.

[03:24:25] - [03:24:46] Okay, so we can go left to right, just say your name before you begin and we'll put two minutes on the clock.

VALENTINA VIDAL: Good afternoon. My name is

Valentina Vidal. Thank you, Chair Ayala, members of
the General Welfare Committee, and other esteemed

Council Members for the opportunity to testify today.

My name is Valentina Vidal, and I am the Bilingual

Vocational Case Manager at GMHC. I am here today to
ask for you to restore the funding in the final

Fiscal Year 2025 NYC budget for our Realizing

Independence through Support and Employment, or RISE,
workforce development program. I am a case manager

1

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

2 at GMHC because of the RISE program, and I am also a HASA client.

If the funding is not restored, GMHC staff will lose their jobs and clients will lose out on the specialized services only GMHC can provide. In fact, I testified before you on March 11, GMHC has been notified that two additional HIV prevention contracts with DOHMH will be terminated. This brings the total to nearly \$1.1 million in cuts to GMHC's funding and nearly 13 jobs lost.

Founded in 1982 as Gay Men's Health Crisis, the world's first HIV and AIDS services organization,

GMHC has provided comprehensive services to over

5,500 New Yorkers living and affected by HIV and AIDS every year. This is among the reasons why our workforce development program, which supports clients living with HIV and AIDS who are ready, willing, and able to return to work, is so important.

Training and linking clients to safe and stable jobs puts them on the path to financial independence and leads to better health outcomes like medication adherence and improved mental health. Since 2014, GMHC's RISE program has helped 674 clients find employment by providing culturally competent and

#### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

169

2 individualized assistance, including resume building,

3 vocational training, internships, employment and

4 benefits counseling, job placement and retention

5 assistance for unemployed and underemployed workers

6 at all stages of career. Yet, due to the

7 Administration's January plan, HRA will eliminate

8 RISE to satisfy the \$283,000 PEG. And one last

9  $\parallel$  thing, GMHC understands that HASA intends to provide

10 | these workforce development services when our

11 | contract ends. And please consider the following

12 when assessing whether that is possible: GMHC has a

13 | SUNY Advanced Technology Training and Information

14 Networking, computer lab at our facility.

In 2023, our lab was completely refurbished with

16 | new computers. Please stand with GMHC and the HASA

17 | clients we serve by advocating for the full

18 restoration of the RISE program at its \$401,725

19 | annual contract. Restoring RISE will mean over five

20 | GMHC will not lose their jobs, and vulnerable New

21 | Yorkers living with HIV and AIDS will be provided

22 with the training and tools they need to find

23 | employment and reach economic independence. Thank

24 | you again for the opportunity to testify today.

1

2.2

| SELESTE WILSON: Good afternoon. Good afternoon        |
|---|
| Chair Ayala and members, public officials and members |
| of the Committee. I am grateful for the opportunity   |
| to testify today. I am Seleste Wilson, an             |
| Eligibility Specialist II and a member of AFSCME      |
| Local 1549, District Council 37 in New York. I have   |
| worked for SNAP for just one year but I love my job   |
| and it's been an amazing. I am a Deacon, an outreach  |
| Deacon in the community, so it is exciting for me to  |
| see the other side. This is my first time before      |
| this Committee, and I can confirm the ANGIE System    |
| continues to be a barrier to timely benefit delivery. |
| We also still suffer from a lack of the support in    |
| additional staff and training, which they are great   |
| trainers, to necessary to get the right benefit to    |
| the right people on time.                             |

I would also like to confirm we have a significant backlog that we have seen and we acknowledge of being right now 30,000. The problem with ANGIE, if the system flags an error while I'm entering a client's information or processing eligibility in the system, the case has to be suspended until the IT can fix the problem. This could take anywhere from three weeks to two months.

•

-

In the meantime, I have to move on to the next case and everything with the original case falls through the cracks.

It has previously been reported by my colleagues that the prior POS System allowed us to complete tasks more easily with fewer fatal errors and fewer challenges than in ANGIE. This is still true. The current System creates a huge backlog of cases. The stress of reducing this backlog contributes to employee retention problems. As a result, we need many more Eligibility Specialists, but the pay is not high enough, given the cost of living here. In the end, the ANGIE System hurts the clients and stops me from being able to do the work in a timely manner.

Our managers originally said that the ANGIE

System could help frontline workers and could benefit
the client but for frontline staff, it has done the
opposite. Understaffing and a lack of training also
continue to be challenges in SNAP. At my location,
which is 2500 Halsey, right in the Bronx, for the
four past months, we haven't had mandatory overtime.
However, people are still working overtime from 8:00
a.m. to 9:00 p.m., sometimes until 10:00 p.m. on

2.2

2.3

2 Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays but we haven't had 3 mandatory overtime at my location.

Now, HRA says that they've hired 700 employees.

We hold 350, so we haven't seen that. We believe it would help us to allow to continue to take calls. We would love to request if we can take calls at opposed to the 9-5 being that we are working overtime, we can expend it to actually do the interviews with the client. This is a supplemental program, so we should work with the working people where their after-work hours starts at 5 p.m.. If and when we are working overtime at least Saturday but in total, we should be able to extend the hours of interviews by communicating with our clients via phone.

Since we have merged a lot of SNAP offices at call centers to allow the process to be a little more quicker, but because of the ANGIE is so outdated, it doesn't help us much to be ahead of processing the cases. Thank you.

CASEY SCHMOLL: Hi guys. My name is Casey
Schmoll, I'm an Eligibility Specialist also at Halsy
with Seleste. For the most part just going to go
into a couple details about ANGIE and then I will
send an email to testimony@council.nyc.gov. Alright,

right?

2.2

sweet. So, it's a great system and what Deputy
Director Berry was saying but the way she said it, I
don't know if maybe there's a disconnect between the
people that were sitting here to actually the people
that are out there processing. So, from the outside
view, it looks good right. If there's one person on
the case and it's pretty simple. It would be the
same as if you're filling out an application and it's

a one page. That goes through great but what we're

supposed to be doing really is focusing on families

So, now you've got someone whose got three pages on an application. It's I don't know, it's uhm, it falls short. I think the idea is great that it is what she was saying, is that it does allow us to go through slower and actually take our time but it really isn't anything that an employee is doing right? So, we will go through all the way line by line very carefully and then the part of the system, it's hard to explain I guess unless you know you're doing it. Basically all this information you're putting into a bucket that then you press start and calculate right? When you get to the end, sometimes it will say now that information is there. So, you

# COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE literally went half an hour with a client.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

connected.

174

literally went half an hour with a client. You went

slow. You did your time. You put in all this

information in the bucket and then you literally

press start and it says there's nothing there or

6 there's an error. And there's not really -

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: How often does that happen?

CASEY SCHMOLL: More often than not. For me myself, uhm it doesn't happen as often but that's because I know how to do the work arounds but that's not fair. You know what I mean? Like, I shouldn't have to be click, knowing that if I click here three times and then go back here and then press space, that's really what it is and that's - and then my row knows it and my supervisor knows it but maybe the row next, you know what I mean? So, it isn't - and that's not something that should actually - that's not something that should be you know, that should be standard practice. It should be if I'm going through very carefully and I am checking these, all these different items that are provided to us like she was saying, they're all there it's just, it's not

So, I can pull up the wage verification reporting right. Oh, that's so convenient, it's right there.

1 175 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2 The client doesn't have to send me the pay stubs. It's there in the income screen so you say yes, add, 3 right? When you get there, it's not even there. So, 4 you have to still go back in and manually and then if you manually put it in when you get to the end, it 6 7 comes up double. So, it really is a computer thing 8 that really has to be - there's too many glitches and then the answer even from the Director, you know you go up to your supervisor there, the answer is IT 10 11 ticket because nobody knows what to do and you either fill in the IT ticket and they respond in five 12 13 minutes then go, oh yeah just refresh. It still 14 didn't work or it literally doesn't get answered 15 until three months later. Did you try now? And by then, it kind of is like a gamble. By then someone 16 17 has clicked it and just by the odds of the system 18 because they hit at 401 instead of 402, it works this 19 You know but it's not, that's not consistent. 20 A client is doing what they're doing. All the case 21 come and call, it pulls up it says, no transaction 2.2 history. You literally can't open the case. 2.3 information it's there and well for management

system, the main motherboard but at our processing

system to transmit that information, it's just uhm,

24

25

2 it kind of feels like it's still in like beta
3 testing.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: And what do they tell you when you raise these concerns?

CASEY SCHMOLL: Uhm, it's either - and that's why I wish that there was - I wish that they had stayed here just because we also were, we've met with them on different things, on Diversity Council so we know those people but I wish that there was a connect versus just I'm a frontline worker and we're complaining and then it kind of gets brought up to management then I don't know if maybe we don't know after that. It would be cool if the people who are developing the system, if it is happening I don't know about it. It would be cool if every first and third Thursday of the month, frontline workers are going to meet with IT people and we're going to go over a case together and you know because we send them screen shots and this and that but they say that the IT will come back and say it's fixed. It's not you know and it's the worst thing to talk to a client and they have a kid and they just lost their job and you want to help them and it's frustrating you know? Because it's like that's time where I'm like if I

2 could do it on paper, I would do it on paper you

3 know? Because all we're doing is being limited by a

4 | computer system that's not - like I said, it is nice.

5 It's fast but it's a system that there are so many

6 regulations and guidelines that need to be put in to

7 | fill out an application properly but that system

isn't - it's not strong enough to be handling the

9 workload that's there.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Do you ever have an opportunity to speak to the folks that were creating the system? Do they come back?

CASEY SCHMOLL: I didn't only because we've only been there a year, so but if there was an opportunity to bring the frontline people with the people that are actually you know doing the da— the IT people that are creating the system, I think it would help. I really do.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

CASEY SCHMOLL: Because I think that they just —
I think too IT gets overwhelmed right, so they just
see all these tickets and then some of the tickets do
come from people not being trained enough. So, there
are times when someone will be like well, this is why
I'm doing that. I'm like, no, no, that's not

2.3

right and that's not why you need an IT ticket. So, then IT might be saying, thinking on there and well, they don't know what they're doing anyway. You know, so I think if there was a connect to bring the people who are using the system with the people who created the system, I think it would help.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I really appreciate you guys in bringing this to our attention because we hadn't heard until you know the employees brought it up at a hearing a few, I think it was last year and since then, we've been having conversations but obviously, you know we need to be a little bit more voice torus because it doesn't seem like they're taking those suggestions to heart.

SELESTE WILSON: And I just wanted to say that a lot of times when IT may call us on the phone that we really don't use at our desk, we'll say things that's wrong with the system and they'll be like, we don't know what you're talking about because we're thinking that they understand what we're saying, what's going on with let's say a specific case, uhm the system is not working. They'll be like, we don't even know what you're talking about. So, we get a lot of that, so we're not even sure what their fixing and then we

### COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

179

2 will go back to the case. Sometimes we hold on to

3 cases because it feels like you know I'm going to

4 | speak for myself and I'm sure that Casey feels the

5 same way. We want to help everyone and so, it's kind

6 of hard when you have people that's crying on the

7 | phone and they're saying certain things and then

8 | we'll go back into the case, which we're really

9 supposed to continue to go to the next cases and it's

10  $\parallel$  like a month later and the case is still not done.

And then there's things like we would request from them. So, let's say whether it is SNAP or even cash assistance, if you're requesting for an emergency that you need a lease, you need a composition letter, and you need a breakdown. Well, those three things are the same, so the person gets rejected because one of them are not sent when the information is there but then it's not mandatory to show where you live. Well, then why are you being rejected?

So, those are two major things tht are like so in-depth of can we move on so these people can get some food?

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Councilwoman Avilés.

24

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so much for your testimony and the work that you do. You mentioned training. It sounds like it's not very good or not. Can you tell a little bit more about what training and support looks like?

CASEY SCHMOLL: Yeah, so as someone who actually that's kind of where I'm looking at with my trajectory like when we did training. Our trainers were great. The people were great. It's the system that's got — that's the issue is that even in training, the trainers would be like, well we can't do that in training. Like the module that was set is you know it's still ANGIE but it's only the trained ANGIE so we couldn't even do everything that we're supposed to be ready to do on the floor and the trainers even said that and you could tell their frustration.

So, they're like yeah, we actually don't have access to that in the training. We're doing things like we're going to do in training but out there that's not how that's going to be and it's like, for someone like me, I got it, right? I got it. I understood. Someone like her, she was in my same class. She was like, I have no idea and so, I

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

understand that for me, example, my brain is like okay I can figure it out. I put it together but for someone else, that's not what should be done. it should really, it's called streamline paperless off the system. Everything should be paperless. Everything should be streamlined. The streams not there. It's kind of an you know uhm so the trainings great but I think that there needs to be offered retraining for some people who want it. So, some people get on the floor and then once they're there, they're like oh, I need this and this but that's not offered because it's a lot of - I think the management is still focused on taking the calls, getting the production but it's like right but if somebody's processing this case and then there's two errors, they can still submit it and then that case will be an error and then someone has to come back and fix it, which is fine. It's a team effort but that's all of this is just kind of, it's kind of like if your taking these cases and just kind of throwing them everywhere. You know, the idea of it is there of getting those cases done as quickly as possible but then the execution kind of - it's kind of a free for all.

And then what we also notice

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

because we try to greet people you know the new ones that are coming in. They're just sitting there because they have to I mean literally just sitting there and watching and so, when you're training, you cannot train just by watching. But the problem is there's a lack of trainers and so you have maybe five people that are training all of these people that are coming in. They only take about maybe 20 people at a time, so all of these people are just waiting and these five great trainers are training but they have to wait. And so, it takes about six weeks. tried to make it like really expeditiously like right after we came in, they reduced it to like three weeks for the one so that was like a whole bunch of work being put on them and it's kind of hard to receive it and then you're right on the floor with the clients. So, we really need more trainers to be able to train for this.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony.

SELESTE WILSON: You're welcome. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, now we have the next panel Lisa Meehan, Craig Hughes, Sean Davis, Tara

Joy, and Chris Fasano. Chris Fasano, Tara Joy, Sean

Davis, Craig Hughes and Lisa Meehan. [03:42:53] -

Davis, Craig Hughes and Lisa Meehan. [03:42:53] -

4 [03:42:58]

2.2

2.3

CRAIG HUGHES: Hello, my name is Craig Hughes. I am a Social Worker normally in the Bronx. I've been in front of these hearings many times. This is my first time as a worker who has been on strike for two and a half months. I don't wish that on anyone. It is very hard.

I will be very brief with this. I would much rather be responding to the line that HRA just fed you about why people are being denied basic survival benefits. It has nothing to do with them sitting on couches. It has everything to do with the bureaucracy that's a nightmare but that's not why I'm here today. I'm here today because me and 106 other working-class people in New York City have been on strike for two and a half months. We are legal service workers and Mobilization for Justice.

We represent poor and working-class people in anti-eviction cases. In cases in front of Department of Education and Disability claims and public benefits and so on. I am going to go probably five seconds over but I'll be as quick as possible.

2.2

2.3

So, I'm the only Social Worker in the Bronx at Mobilization for Justice. Over the last two and a half months, there's been no social worker in the Bronx. There's also one in Manhattan, no social worker in Manhattan. They have hired scabs, our executive leadership, meaning they hired temp replacement workers to take positions while we are out on strike but there are no social workers. There are no serious attorneys. There are a massive crash of housing court cases that they adjourned months ago that are coming up. Something like 30 in Bronx Housing Court this week alone, which will not get adequate representation.

So, just a couple of quick things. One, Deputy
Speaker Ayala, I can't thank you enough for asking
Commissioner Park under oath about the three percent
COLA. To give you an idea of Mobilization for
Justice Executive Leaderships approach to bargaining,
they have said, they do not get that COLA. The COLA
that Molly Park said, yes we absolutely get it, they
up until last week have said, we don't get that.
They are offering us raises that are nowhere near the
level of inflation.

2.2

2.3

So, there are two things uhm and I really will be quick, I'm sorry to take your time.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Go ahead, go ahead.

CRAIG HUGHES: Thank you so much. That I really want to highlight to give you an idea of the demands. Our management has claimed that we are trying to bankrupt the organization. We are anti-poverty workers. That's the last thing we want to do. What we do want is wages that are sustainable for our families and wages that allow our clients to have sustainable staffing at this agency.

There are two key demands, for example, that I want to bring up that management is absolutely refusing to touch in a two-and-a-half-month strike.

One is a \$60,000 salary floor. \$60,000 in New York

City for anyone is hard to live on. That is a demand that management absolutely refuses to budge on. They will not offer our lowest paid workers who are mostly people of color \$60,000 a year. That is egregious but that is their position.

The other thing is that they before we went on strike, they had a temp worker who was uhm, against the rules of collective bargaining agreement on staff as a temp worker for months beyond what they were

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

supposed to. They were supposed to have hired her. The union filed a grievance on her behalf and they explicitly fired her in retaliation for that grievance. One of our key demands is that she get rehired and management will not budge on it. So, they wrongfully terminated a temp employee that they exploited that they would not give union rights to and then when the union raised a grievance, they retaliated against her and then they will not hire her. And those are two key reasons we have been on strike for two and a half months. \$60,000 for mostly people of color who are working with folks who are struggling the most in this city and to help make sure that someone who was wrongfully terminated gets their job back and that our union is in weaken because management doesn't like to play by its own rules.

And so, there's other folks here and I'm going to zip it but I would much rather and I think we all would much rather be here talking about what HRA just said and not fighting for the basic things that we need to be able live in this city. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: How many workers are on strike?

2 CRAIG HUGHES: 106. We started with 109, uh we

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Go ahead.

started with 110, we lost 4 I think.

LISA MEEHAN: Hi, good afternoon. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak. I'm just pulling up my testimony. So my name is Lisa Meehan, I'm a Staff Attorney at Mobilization for Justice and along with my colleagues here, I've been on strike with my union for over 10 weeks. We're striking for many demands which center around making our work sustainable. I don't have to tell you all that non-profit workers are often overworked and under paid. We want better working conditions and better pay to combat burnout and stop attrition, which will help us provide better services for our clients, which is all of our main priority and why we all do this work.

In particular, like Craig mentioned, we're asking MFJs management to raise starting salaries up to \$60,000 a year for our support staff and our paralegals. This is a priority for me personally because I started my career as a paralegal at a similar legal services organization.

When I was a paralegal I did not make enough money to live comfortably and my income was low

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

organization services. I know from my experience and not position that support staff and paralegals make organizations like MFJ run. Their work is as

enough so that I nearly qualified for our

important as the work that attorney's do and they

deserve to be compensated as such. It's also

hypocritical for an organization that proports to

fight for economic justice to underpay its employees.

The union knows that MFJ can afford to raise these

salaries but they still refuse to do it. We're here

before you today because HRA has helped MFJs

management prolong this strike.

MFJ has not been providing contractually obligated services during the strike and in fact, they've closed the intake lines and are not taking any new clients or any new cases. So, we have two asks of the Council today echoed by my colleagues who are here at the table with me. The first is to please inform MFJ that the Council will not fund the organization while this strike continues and specifically MFJs management needlessly prolongs it. We're concerned that continuing to fund MFJ while the strike goes on may lead to many people continuing to

2.2

2.3

Uhm, thank you. Second, we need the Council to

receive inadequate representation. I'm sorry, I'm

help ensure HRA does not contribute to strike breaking by giving MFJ a break on its contractual obligations and by offloading work from the agency and pressing other providers to take strike work.

So, thank you so much for your time.

just going to finish my second point.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

Davis and I am a member of the Unionized Staff and Mobilization for Justice. As I speak to you today, I am tired, I am frustrated but by no means ready to give up. We have been on strike for 10 weeks. This is week 11. When we are not on strike I am a Staff Attorney with the Mental Health Law Project. That's a general practice project meaning that we do a lot. We do everything from Social Security Administration hearings to protecting tenants in Housing Court that are facing eviction.

That's all work that unfortunately we cannot do right now. We are unable to do that right now because we are on strike. As some of my colleagues mentioned we are strike because you know, among other

2.2

2.3

reasons management is refusing to pay our lowest paid workers a living wage that would allow them to live in the city and the communities that they serve. MFJ talks about vulnerable and low-income New Yorkers and helping to improve their conditions and lives but management does not demonstrate that mentality with its own employees and by extension our clients.

Those same low income and vulnerable New Yorkers that they claim to care about. Simply put, MFJs management does not practice what it preaches. Our biggest project is our housing project. I want to talk about you know the clients that are in the housing court system right now. They are not getting the same level of service that they would if the unionized staff were still in our office and that is clear from the court watching that I have done. That is a disservice to our clients and there is no other way to put it.

On the note of disservices to our clients, we cannot continue to function as an organization with our current attrition rate. A fair contract will make it so that we retain skilled and passionate employees. Everybody, we are a wall-to-wall union support staff, paralegals and attorney's by

incentivizing them to join and to stay at this organization. It's time to put the people first. Everybody in this room knows that this is a labor town so we intend and expect to win a fair contract, so that we can get back to helping our clients in every way that we can. Thank you for the time and the opportunity to speak. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

TARA JOY: Good afternoon. My name is Tara Joy,
I am a member of the Unionized Staff of MFJ and I am
currently employed in the housing project there,
which provides eviction defense to tenants in
Brooklyn, Manhattan and the Bronx. In my role as
Housing Intake Specialist, I am responsible for
tracking and coordinating the intake of new cases,
which means that I can see first-hand how
overwhelming the volume of eviction cases has been in
comparison to our staff capacity and can also see
first hand how that capacity is being effected by
staff turnover.

My colleagues have talked about some of our specific core demands and I really want to focus on the reason we're asking for those demands and the impact of staff attrition on an organization like

J

2.2

ours. I have been in my current role for a little over two years and unfortunately have already outlasted several of my colleagues. So, I just want to talk about like what happens when people quit in the short, medium and long term.

In the short term, when an attorney leaves MFJ, their colleagues have to spread themselves thinner by absorbing all of their existing caseload. In the medium term, for however long that position goes unfilled, our ability to take on new clients is reduced by that much more. And for reference MFJ currently has over a dozen vacant staff positions and in the long term, people who burn out and leave the housing practice early, the more people who do that the less people there are to develop the kinds of advocacy skills that come with years of experience and can make a truly life changing difference to clients outcomes.

These compounding affects are harmful to legal workers, harmful to clients, harmful to the state admission of MFJ. That is why our union voted overwhelmingly to strike and why we've been out for ten weeks. We're still here today because we're intending to build a workplace for staff. We're

willing to stay and able to effectively do the work
we all care about.

I know for a fact that in the ten weeks we've been out, there's no one filing my role at MFJ. No one is also covering the dozens of intakes with potential new housing clients, that my colleagues would normally be conducting every single week. That means MFJ is violating the terms of their contract with HRA and not only has HRA failed to demand that they uphold these terms, they have assisted MFJ in offloading labor to other providers.

HRA is enabling our management and prolonging this strike and preventing us from getting back to serving our clients as fast as possible and so, I am joining my colleagues in asking Council Members to hold both HRA and MFJ for the role in this strike. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

CHRIS FASANO: Good afternoon. My name is

Christopher Fasano. I am a Staff Attorney at

Mobilization for Justice. I've been at Mobilization

for Justice for the past ten years. I want to speak

a little bit to what brought us to a strike.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

We're now down to 106.

The attrition that we've seen over the past year is easily the worst I've seen in my tenure at Mobilization for Justice. We have lost 23 case handlers this past year alone and as my colleague said, that has brought us down to 110 case handlers at the start of the strike. We've lost a few more.

Over a dozen vacancies that we often have at any given time remain chronically unfilled. And this is something that management is well aware of. Before we started negotiating this past fall, our Deputy Director addressed our Board of Directors and described the crisis of attrition and these chronically unfilled lines. And when the board asked our Deputy Director how the organization could solve this attrition crisis, she said that the organization would have to pay people more and give them more flexibility. But once negotiations began, management did no such thing.

When we went on strike in February 23<sup>rd</sup>, managements offered us a three-year contract with a two percent raise in each year. Uhm, that is the same offer that we received three years previously in 2018, 2021 and 2018 as well. That offer just doesn't

2.2

keep up with the current cost of living much less doesn't make up for the erosion of income these past few years we've all experienced due to inflation.

And management still has not budged much from that position. Just last week, they went up to three percent the first year, two percent the second year, three percent in the third year and as the agency earlier testified, that three percent COLA applies to legal services.

So, the offer that we're getting from management falls below what they are required to provide. And worse yet, just last week, after ten weeks of strike, we heard that management would come to us with its bottom line. Which means that for the first ten weeks of the strike, management has felt no urgency to make its best offer, give us a fair contract, end the strike, and provide the vitals as we go back to providing the vital services that our clients need.

The ten-week strike represents one-fifth of our fiscal year in which the organization has not been providing the services its contracture required to provide. Management has only been able to continue this strike by ignoring our clients needs and in the needs of its staff. We do not believe though that

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Mobilization for Justice can ignore its funders. So,

3 | that is why that we're asking the agencies and the

4 Council to make clear to Mobilization for Justice

5 | that they will not continue to fund an organization

6 that puts its workers on strike so that organization

7 can't provide services. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Okay, thank you all very much. [03:57:25] - [03:57:30] Okay, our next panel Alison Wilkey, Paula Inhargue, Kristin Miller and Chris Mann. Alison Wiley, Paula Inhargue, Kristin Miller, Chris Mann. [03:57:49] - [03:58:22].

You can begin when you are ready.

ALISON WILKEY: Great, my name is Alison Wilkey and I am the Director of Government Affairs and Strategic Campaigns at the Coalition for the Homeless and I'm also here speaking on behalf of the Legal Aid Society. So, the biggest takeaway from the Mayor's Executive Budget is that there is no plan to address the unprecedented housing affordability and homelessness crisis. We have over 140,000 people living in shelter plus countless more living on the streets or doubled up in homes and we have a net zero, effectively a net zero vacancy rate for apartments that rent at the lowest rates, which is

1

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

24

25

like under \$1,100 a month. Yet the Mayor and the Governor are treating this just as a supply issue. You know they're pushing the position that if we build more luxury in market rate housing that somehow and in some future there will be housing for families at the lowest income levels or for people who are living in shelter.

That is a fantasy and there is no plan. was nothing in the state budget to address housing for the lowest income families or for people who were currently homeless and there's nothing in the Mayor's City of Yes plan that does anything for extremely low-income families. Those who are making 30 percent AMI and meanwhile the Mayor's Executive Budget doesn't sufficiently fund existing programs that help people find permanent housing. So, there are three things that really need to be in this budget. First, we know and we heard today that City FHEPS accounts for the largest percentage of shelter exits but there are delays in backlogs and processing and processes that don't make sense in City FHEPS. We keep hearing the Administration talk about their success in reducing the backlogs in cash assistance and SNAP by hiring almost 1,000 people and looking at those

2.2

processes and trying to make them more efficient. We need that same attention and those same resources to be applied to City FHEPS to make it work and while we're at it, we need that same attention to be applied to the 5,000 vacant units in NYCHA.

Second, we need more funding for the City

Commission on Human Rights. It's the only agency
that enforces a source of income discrimination.

Starting in January, they will enforcing the Fair

Chance for Housing Act. They need at least \$18

million in baselined funding and then third, we do

still need more safe haven and stabilization beds and
as was pointed out earlier, single occupancy beds.

Those low barrier beds really are the most effective
way in getting unsheltered people to services and
ultimately to permanent housing and yes, the city has
brought online, this Administration 1,100 new beds
and they said today that there are 1,100 in the
pipeline.

The Coalition for the Homeless has been calling for 3,000 new beds for years and that need is likely higher at this point. Even if we had these three things, we still need to invest in affordable housing. We do not have enough affordable housing

J

for extremely low-income families and for people who are currently homeless. And so, we are calling on the city to invest at least \$2.5 billion in affordable housing. Thank you for the opportunity to testify Chairs and look forward to working with you more on this.

CHRIS MANN: Good afternoon. Thank you Chairs
Brannan and Ayala for the opportunity to testify
today. My name is Chris Mann, I'm the Assistant Vice
President of Policy and Advocacy at Win, which is the
largest provider of shelter and supportive housing
for families in New York City. We operate 16
shelters and nearly 500 units of supportive housing
throughout the five boroughs. Sadly last night, just
under 7,000 people called Win home, including over
3,600 children. New York is facing the worst
homelessness crisis in its history and the situation
demands a city budget that will move people out of
shelter and prevent homelessness in the first place.

Win's policy priorities for FY25 promote common sense and cost saving policies that we estimate can save the city more than \$3.6 billion annually.

First, we need to end the cruel 30- and 60-day rules that needlessly evict individuals and families from

2.2

shelter. Instead, we should pursue commonsense solutions such as utilizing faith-based shelters that are far less expensive than emergency hotels, which we're relying on now and we should go further by expanding rental assistance to undocumented people.

A move that Win found could save the city almost \$3 billion annually.

We also need to improve services for families that are in the shelter system to help them exit more quickly. First, we should fully fund and implement Local Law 35, which we estimate would cost \$13 million so families in shelter have the mental health services that they need. Additionally, the city should expand Win SBS pilot to bring existing workforce services to more families in shelter.

Further, the city must improve and expand City

FHEPS, as you know which lies the city must implement

and fully fund Local Laws 99 through 102. Policies

Win found could save the city \$730 million annually.

The city should also improve City FHEPS

Administration. In the packet that we just

submitted, there's the new report from Win and REBNY

that lays out a number of reforms that we think would

help improve the Administration of that program
significantly.

2.2

2.3

Finally, the city must fully restore the 2.5 percent cuts, which are really hampering out ability to provide these essential services. Fully fund Right to Counsel, streamline and improve supportive housing so vacant units are filled quickly and finally, restore funding to MOCS to ensure nonprofits get paid on time and I'm sure Kristin's going to talk quite a bit more about that. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

KRISTIN MILLER: Good afternoon. I'm Kristin
Miller, I'm the Executive Director of Homeless
Services United, which is a member organization of
nonprofits across New York City who provide shelter
and homeless services.

Thank you for letting me testify Chairs Brannan and Deputy Commissioner Ayala. We want to thank first the Speaker Adams and the entire City Council for your leadership and commitment to secure the Just Campaign COLA. This money is essential to the workers who deliver crucial work to all homeless and people in need across the city. What we need now for

you is to help assure that that money gets into peoples paychecks. We touched on some of this earlier. Our coalition of nonprofits provides the majority of nonprofit shelter capacity for the city and continues to encounter great difficult receiving timely payments from the New York City Department of Social Services.

Dozens of contracted providers are owed anywhere from \$700,000 to \$31 million from the City of New York for services already rendered. Some providers have been forced to take out lines of credit just to meet cash flow needs and are paying very high interest on this lines of credit for which there is no pay back.

We urge the Council to ensure that the Fiscal Year 2025 budget includes sufficient funding to restore and expand headcount at DHS, DSS and MOCS to eliminate pervasive contract and reimbursement delays for our nonprofit providers. While the shelter system has doubled over the last two years, the infrastructure at the agencies has been kept up. And so, Commissioner Parks was talking earlier about the multilevel approval process, right? So, kudos at the contracting process has improved. The Commissioner

|    | COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 203                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | rightly said earlier for example, that the WEI monies |
| 3  | have been allocated to agencies but there's many      |
| 4  | steps from allocation to actually being approved in a |
| 5  | contracted providers line-item budget and this is     |
| 6  | where we are experiencing delay after delay for the   |
| 7  | reasons that we've been talking about. Part of it to  |
| 8  | that Chris mentioned is uhm we're really surprised a  |
| 9  | decrease in the MOCS budget for this upcoming fiscal  |
| 10 | year. The amount of contracts in our sector has been  |
| 11 | growing exponentially but the process, the people     |
| 12 | that we need to process this stuff is not increasing. |
| 13 | In addition, the passport migration has been          |
| 14 | challenging as the Commissioner has said. It's been   |
| 15 | extremely challenging and we are surprised to see a   |
| 16 | huge cut in MOCS OTPS budget in IT. So, we feel that  |
| 17 | that should be expanded and not decreased             |

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have very specific budget asks that have been mentioned by my colleagues that we'll be submitting to you in writing including the City FHEPS, the headcount, the restoring of the \$2.5 PEG. We can't decrease the baseline of these contracts when demand continues to grow and grow.

So, with that, I thank you very much and I turn it to my colleague.

reach 770,000 New Yorkers from all walks of life and

25

our members provide a wide variety of services to
their community such as providing support to access
benefits and case management for HRA programs. My
testimony today will focus on the NYC Benefits
Program and food insecurity issues and my written
testimony goes into more detail and includes
additional recommendations.

As you may know through the NYC Benefits program, community-based organizations have dedicated staff members to help their community access benefits such as SNAP, cash assistance, among others and providers have cited the promise and early results of the program.

Uhm on April 17<sup>th</sup>, HRA announced to providers that their contracts will continue for an additional three years, which was very good news for the future of the program. However, the Executive Budget included a one-time \$4.6 million investment in new needs funding for this program in FY25, which does not appear to be baselined. It also remains unclear from reading the budget documents how much funding this program received in total and whether it is sufficient to support the 36 providers and free technical assistance providers. We believe the program total

2.2

the funding for the New York City benefits program is baselined in FY25 and outyears so all providers are able to continue their essential work.

It is also crucial to address issues of hunger,

is currently closer to \$10 million given the size of

individual contracts but the city must ensure that

food insecurity, and poverty in New York City. That is why the city must restore the previous funding to the Community Food Connection Program, previously known as the Emergency Food Assistance Program and expand it to \$60 million to sustain and grow the program. Therefore allowing providers to effectively allocate resources and keep supporting the growing needs of asylum seekers.

The city should also restore the Council's Food

Pantry Initiative to FY23 levels of \$7.63 million

recognizing its important role in addressing food

insecurity and supporting our communities in need.

Pantries are an essential part of the city's effort

to address food insecurity and we were disappointed

to see this funding cut by over \$300,000 in FY24. As

I said, you can find more detail in my written

testimony and thank you again for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Okay, next panel Jerome Nathaniel, Nicholas
Buess, and Chanya Holness. Jerome Nathaniel,
Nicholas Buess, and Chanya Holness. [04:11:33] [04:11:49]. Yeah you could start.

JEROME NATHANIEL: Great, thank you so much for holding today's hearing on the Executive Budget. My name is Jerome Nathaniel, I'm the Director of Policy and Government Relations at City Harvest.

This year City Harvest is on pace to deliver 79 million pounds of food to a network of 400 emergency food providers across the five boroughs. those programs rely on the city's Community Food Connection Program, making it a critical lifeline for many of our leaders in the food system that are responding to an increased demand in emergency food. It's critically important that the city increases funding to \$60 million and we thank the City Council for aligning with many advocates for the Mayor to protect the Community Food Connection program. There's over one million reasons why we need that increase but the one million reason that I really want to uplift is that City Harvest recently shared a report which shows that there are one million more New Yorkers each month that are attending our panty

/

)

2.2

services across the five boroughs when compared to before COVID. Furthermore, the number of children within that one million have more than doubled, making this something that is really important to the most vulnerable New Yorkers.

In addition to that, you'll see in our written testimony we thank the City Council for advocating for the restoration of many PEGs that were introduced back in November but we're asking us to go further to make sure that the Department of Educations Office of Food and Nutritious Services has the funding they need to continue to provide quality meals to all children and also, that HRA has the support that they need to not only enroll people into SNAP but also to retain quality staff and train quality staff so that we don't face a situation where we have a backlog in SNAP applications like we did many months ago.

We know as an emergency food provider that for every meal that we provide, SNAP provides access to nine meals, so it's critically important that our city is doing what we can to make sure that we're able to enroll people into the SNAP program fairly and expeditiously. And then finally in our testimony, we ask for the creation of flexible

2 funding to support community solutions to hunger

3 through what we're calling a food justice grant.

4 This is something that was included in the Mayor's

5 Office of Food Policies ten-year food policy plan and

6 | we're asking the City Council either through

7 initiative or discretionary funding to invest in that

8 effort. Thank you for this time.

1

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

10 NICHOLAS BUESS: Hi, good afternoon Chairs. I'm

11 Nick Buess from the Food Bank for New York City.

12 | Thank you so much for giving us all time today and

13 | for your ongoing advocacy. I want to focus a little

14 | bit on food security. Jerome spoke about the

15 | Community Food Connection. It's deeply concerning

16 | that the Executive Budget continues to propose a 50

17 percent reduction. And I want to put some of the

18  $\parallel$  testimony in context that we heard today from HRA,

19  $\parallel$  which is that in FY23, all of the funds which are

20 | increased, thanks to the Council advocacy were

21 completely exhausted.

25

22 In that same year, there was a dramatic increase

23 | in the supply of food across New York City from

24  $\parallel$  organizations like Food Bank and City Harvest. Those

resources have declined dramatically, federal

| COLLITITION ON CONDITION WILLIAMS                     |
|---|
| resources in particular. Secondarily SNAP benefits    |
| were at an increased high during FY23 as well. In     |
| New York City though, one year ago, households lost   |
| an average of \$151 per month in grocery benefits.    |
| Some families who are some in the highest need lost   |
| an average of \$440 per month. So, in that same       |
| timeframe, when you have emergency food providers     |
| serving more people when there are more resources     |
| available, its unconscionable that we would be        |
| cutting that food program this year. So, thank you    |
| for your continued advocacy. We join City Harvest     |
| and advocates from across the city calling for \$60   |
| million for that program. We applaud HRA in their     |
| work to continue to advance NYC benefits. We believe  |
| that model of working with community-based            |
| organizations is the way to ensure more New Yorkers   |
| continue to access SNAP. We'll continue to work with  |
| those providers on our SNAP taskforce and training    |
| them on our mediation model. So, you know HRA is      |
| doing to great job in that respect and like Jerome    |
| mentioned, clearing the backlog. So, but when it      |
| comes to Community Food Connection, New York City can |
| and should do better. Thank you.                      |

4

1

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANGIE VEGA: Hello, good afternoon. Thank you members of the Committee for allowing me to testify today. My name is Angie Vega and I am the Assistant Director of the Healthy and Ready to Learn Program for the Children's Health Fund.

So, today I am going to be talking about the importance of the continued and increased funding for programming that critically supports school and parents in ensuring that students are well positioned to thrive in school like our New York City Council funded program Health and Ready to Learn. Healthy and Ready to Learn was developed by the Children Health Fund in 2014 as part of our mission of supporting kids so that they can thrive. It was developed recognizing the importance of education in helping kids to reach their potential and that they need to be their healthiest selves to take advantage of educational opportunities.

The program is designed to help students identify and address health issues, many rooted in social, racial and economical inequities that impact student learning. When students have their health need meet, for example such as they can see the board, hear their teacher or focus on school work and so on, they are more likely to learn and succeed in school.

2.2

Ultimately translating into a greater likelihood of a productive and healthy life.

The Healthy and Ready to Learns started with a

strong focus on screening and responding to what we call health barriers to learning, such as addressing medical issues like asthma, dental concern, vision problems and mental wellbeing. While we continue to address these health barriers to learning, we are focused more and more on growing and worrisome needs, childhood trauma. HRL therefore has evolved to including supporting trauma sensitivity schools and home environments to better address the fallout from COVID-19 pandemic and surrounding social issues like increases in over racism and violence.

Through our current model, we leverage finding from our Flagship School PS49 in the Bronx and in Council woman Diana Ayala's District office, District 8 to inform materials and training that we conduct with educators, parents citywide throughout our resource and training center.

So, we launched this resource and training center in 2017 which became an online platform for the Children's Health Fund in order to scale our impact

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to reach students throughout New York City. Our in

3 line [INAUDIBLE 04:18:41] is equity and diversity

focused and houses a broad library that includes info

5 graphs and our active learning images, external

6 resources link. Here is a snapshot of what the

7 Research and Learning training and Research and

Training Center Healthy and Ready to Learns impact.

So, since 2017, nearly 40,000 users have access to website to request training curriculum, view recorded workshops and download free materials to support their health and education work. In the year 2023, our most recent complete, our New York City grant, the resource and training center has reached 5,893 users. From January 2023 to present, we have

development workshops reaching 2,276 individuals.

Our impact is clear and the demand for our

trained in 27 schools, in 18 districts to 69 parent

workshops, 10 students workshops and 4 professional

program continues to increase to meet the growing needs in the most vulnerable communities. Our goal for the 2025 is the following: To expand in additional New York City school districts where teachers and parents need us most. Build more partnership with nonprofits organizations focused on

214

2 early childhood care and education. Respond to the

3 needs of community most impacted by the ongoing

4 migrant crisis. Increase in tailored out trainings

5 | to continue to meet specific needs of teachers and

6 school and communicate our efforts through social

7 | media, traditional media, community outreach and

translating educational material into multiple

9 languages.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

For this reason, the Children Health Fund urges the New York City Council and the Mayor to include funding to ensure critical investments for early childhood learning, mental health programming and our Healthy and Ready to Learn Initiative. These actions will expand access to thousands or more students throughout the city, giving them the best chance of succeeding in school and in life.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

ANGIE VEGA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much.

21 | Okay, next panel we have Gabriela Sandoval Requena,

22 | Shakeema North, Reverend Terry Troia, Dash Yeatts-

23  $\parallel$  Lanske and Irene Branche. [04:21:15] - [04:21:41].

We can start from the left, go ahead.

24

2.2

2.3

DASH YEATTS-LANSKE: Good afternoon Chair

Brannan, Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the

Committees. My name is Dash Yeatts-Lanske and I'm a

Policy Analyst at Urban Pathways. Thank you for the

opportunity to testify today.

Urban Pathways is a nonprofit homeless services and supportive housing provider serving over 2,000 single adults annually. I would like to begin by thanking the City Council and the Administration for the three percent cost of living adjustment in the next three years for the human services city contracted workforce. We look forward to working with the city for a seamless COLA implementation.

With that said, there are few things that are alarming about the Fiscal Year 2025 Executive Budget starting with the steep cut to MOCS that was mentioned on a previous panel. The budget for MOCS cuts headcount by nine people and cuts to the OTPS budget by over \$12 million, with the largest cut happening in the technological strategy contractual services budget.

This is deeply alarming because it is challenging getting nonprofits paid on time already, even without this cut. As of the end of February, we were owed

216

2 | almost \$2 million by DHS. Additionally, in last

3 | years budget while many other PEGs were restored, all

4 DHS contracts received a 2.5 percent cut. We

5 appreciate the City Council's call to restore the 2.5

6 percent PEG and urge you to continue this advocacy as

7 | the restoration was left out of the Executive Budget.

We thank the City Council for your support of

9 | increasing NYC 1515 scattered site rates and

10 | increasing the rental subsidy to 110 percent FMR. We

11 | hope the Council can work with the Administration to

12 | include this in the final budget.

Additionally, last year, a historic bill package
was passed by City Council to expand City FHEPS
vouchers. It will be necessary to baseline City
FHEPS to cover existing voucher holders and new
voucher holders to increase access to housing through

19 proposed \$4.4 million increase to the City Commission

this and finally, we appreciate the City Council's

20 on Human Rights Source of Income unit. Source of

21 Income discrimination is the biggest challenge the

22 people we serve face when searching for an apartment.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

2.3

24

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

2.3

GABRIELA SANDOVAL REQUENA: Good afternoon Chairs Ayala, Brannan and Council Staff. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of New Destiny Housing. My name is Gabriela Sandoval Requena, I am the New Destiny's Director of Policy and Communications. Our mission is to end the double trauma of abuse and homelessness among domestic violent survivors. We do this by developing supportive housing for survivors like Raven Hall in Chair Brannan's District, assisting those who are fleeing abuse, obtain subsidies and find safe new homes and advocating for additional housing resources. New Destiny is also a co-convener of the Family Homelessness Coalition and a member of the

So, why do we do this work? Because even the only 50 percent of domestic violence incidents are reported to the police, NYPD files one incident report related to domestic violence every two minutes. So, since this hearing started, more than 130 survivors have called the police and countless others have suffered in silence.

Supportive Housing Network of New York.

Domestic violence is the main cause of homelessness in New York City for families with

2 children. Access to safe, affordable permanent

3 housing determines whether survivors leave their

4 abuser and survive. We are submitting written

5 testimony but I want to use this time to focus two

6 priorities for Fiscal Year of 2025, the Budget excuse

me.

2.2

2.3

We are deeply concerned with HRA's limited capacity, which continues to delay check processing times, slow moves from shelter to permanent housing and impact the success of rental assistance programs. The Administration must address staffing shortages which hinder survivors ability to secure safe housing putting their lives and the lives of their children in jeopardy.

Second, we call on the Administration to fund NGBV's microgram program for domestic violence survivors at \$6 million. Flexible funding is a cost savings resources that can help survivors remain safe and stably housed and out of the shelter system. We also support the networks recommendation to improve NYC 1515 with a housing vacancy rate at 1.4 - if I may continue, I just have one more? Thank you. With a vacancy rate at 1.4 percent, the city must develop

additional congregate units above the original commitment of 7,500.

New Destiny thanks the Council for the leadership in calling for the \$6 million to better meet the needs of survivors through the microgram program and the \$19.6 million for NYC 1515 in their 2025

Preliminary Budget Response. Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify.

IRENE BRANCHE: Good afternoon Chairs and Council staff. My name is Irene Branche, I am the Senior Vice President of External Affairs at the Doe Fund. On behalf of everybody at the Doe Fund, I want to thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today. We're so proud to be a resource and a partner to New York City in addressing this historic homelessness crisis. We reiterate our colleagues support across the city and are really grateful for your work in scaling back PEGs targeted to housing and human services providers, investing in our workforce with a critical COLA increase and recognizing the need for NYC 1515 reallocation. This support helps us do what the DOE Fund does best.

Since 1985, we've connected nearly 14,000 New Yorkers

2.2

2.3

J

Our men in blue, which many of you recognize for their presence in your districts keep 115 miles of

experiencing homelessness with full time employment

New York City streets clean as part of their

transitional work experience. This program doubles

the chance of trainees in obtaining employment with

an average starting wage 24 percent higher than the

minimum and our graduates are 52 percent less likely

to recidivate.

and/or housing.

Beyond, ready, willing and able, the Doe Fund is one of the largest non-profit developers of permanent and affordable and supporting housing that get alone with our peers here with nearly 2,000 units in operation or development. With homelessness reaching an all-time high, this work is more important now than ever before and that's why we're here today to call on the City Council to increase funding for homeless services organizations like the Doe Fund.

Chair Brannan, my colleagues at HSU and Urban

Pathways, I also want to thank you and reiterate your

close attention to the issue of nonprofit providers

being paid in a timely way for the services we

provide to our unhoused neighbors. These payment

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

delays force nonprofits like the Doe Fund to take out lines of credit, as of you've heard and accrue costly interest.

I wear a couple of hats at the Doe Fund. addition to this, I am also our Lead Fund Raiser and so I think often about how I am fund raising from private New Yorkers to offset the cost of debt services to New York City. It's not fair to the Doe Fund or nor our broader community. So, we look forward to the improvements at Passport as described by Commissioner Park. We join our colleagues in encouraging full funding of MOCS and other critical steps to clear the backlog and ensure our ability to deliver services to New Yorkers. New Yorkers like Robert Pullem. I'll be just a minute. Robert faced significant challenges, homelessness, abuse as a child, addiction and severe mental health struggles including PTSD as a result of his military service. He now lives with us in supportive housing where he has stabilized his mental health and is actually becoming an advocate on behalf of the supportive housing community broadly. He's just one of thousands of New Yorkers for whom we provide stable, dignified housing and we look forward to the reforms

we've discussed today and to continue partnership

with the City Council to continue to transform the

2.2

lives of our neighbors. Thank you.

REVEREND TERRY TROIA: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Make sure your mic's on.

REVEREND TERRY TROIA: Thanks we don't have this technology on Staten Island. Good afternoon Chair Brannan and Deputy Speaker Ayala. My name is Terry Troia. I work for Project Hospitality. We're an interfaith effort. We serve homeless and hungry people in the Borough of Staten Island.

We thank the Council first for its great contribution to the Just Pay Campaign and the nine percent over the next three years that we hope our workers will be able to see and we're grateful to the efforts of DHS to really put all hands-on deck to try to get funds owed to nonprofits like ourselves to make up for what we have been laying out now for years. I want to first begin as an interfaith effort serving hungry people that we are absolutely opposed to any cuts to the community food connection program because we have lost so much money in state funding on food and we have so many more people in our pantries across our borough and I am sure across the

1

3

4

J

6

7

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

pantries in the City of New York and CFC is a very important piece of being able to put food in peoples bags to take home for their families.

I wish to share with you that we really need DHS to get money to us on time in some timely basis and I think the Doe Fund just said it probably more clearly than I can. We need to streamline contract registration, budget amendments. We need timely reimbursement of invoices. We did not see the workforce enhancement initiative funds. We didn't get any of that from the past years and I'm not sure what we're really actually going to ever see going forward but we've taken out, the last time I was here, we had taken out \$2 million in loans. We're now at over \$3 million in loans for which we are paying the interest monthly and we've got the asylum seeker shelters on Staten Island open but we haven't seen any money since we've opened them in 2022 except for the first two months advances.

We really need DHS, DSS and MOCS to be adequately staffed and resourced in the 2025 budget to be able to respond to the backlog of needs and to the backend of needs that we as nonprofit community partners experience while we're out on the streets doing the

getting people into a safe space.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, we just ask, we just say it's not happening and we thank them for every effort that's being made but we're dying out here and we're small potatoes

boots on the ground work of finding safe shelter and

compared to many other providers. Thank you for your time and for your attention to our needs as we serve

the people that you ask us to serve. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much.

Okay, our next panel, Mary Fox, Raun Rasmussen,

sorry, I can't read it. Shani Adess,. Sophie

Dalsimer, and Sarita Daftary. Sarita Daftary, Mary

Fox, Raun Rasmussen, Shani Adess and Sophie Dalsimer.

[04:33:15] - [04:33:23] You could start.

MARY FOX: Thank you Chair Ayala and the

Committee on General Welfare for the long-standing

support for the legal services for the Working Poor

Coalition. My name is Mary Fox and I am the

Associate Director at Housing Conservation

Coordinators. One of the five members of the legal

services for the Working Poor Coalition that also

includes Camba Legal Services, MFJ, Northern

Manhattan Improvement and Take Root Justice. The

Coalition was created with support from City Council

J

over a decade ago to address the civil legal needs of working poor and other low-income New Yorkers whose income is slightly higher than the poorest New Yorkers thus rendering them ineligible for free legal services.

Legal services and working poor services are critical, allowing working New Yorkers to maintain financial independence and preserve economic stability in communities across New York City. In Fiscal Year 2024, this initiative was funded at \$3.455 million from the City Council with each of the coalition members receiving \$455,000. In Fiscal Year 2025, we are seeking a \$600,000 allocation from City Council, which includes a full restoration of the \$455,000 allocated in FY24, a \$600,000 allocation to each of the five coalition partners would support critical legal services in the areas of employment, immigration, consumer benefits, housing and other civil practice.

We continue to see that working poor New Yorkers who can barely make ends meet face catastrophic consequences as a result of their civil legal problem. Not being paid for overtime, not being paid for work they did at all, identity theft, frozen bank

226

accounts, the consequences of these problems lead to

3 other problems including increased risk of eviction

4 and foreclosure. Our legal service organizations

5 represent New Yorkers in all five boroughs on

6 consumer, foreclosure, immigration, benefits,

7 | employment and housing matters. This Council's

8 funding for legal service of the working poor is the

9 only funding that specifically targets the civil

10 | legal needs for working people to ensure continued

11 self-sufficiency.

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I'm just trying to keep it under two. I'll be really quick. Uhm, sorry, if we are not able to meet the legal needs of many people who are seeking our help, particularly those whose lives continue to be upended by the housing crisis, inflation, the human consequences will be dire. Immigrant families will continually live in uncertainty and fear. Children whose families have been wrongly denied unemployment benefits, public assistance or denied SNAP benefits will go hungry. We urge City Council to fully invest in civil legal services initiatives overall and for the legal services of the working poor allocation in particular.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

This year in FY25, our coalition is just sped fully asking City Council to enhance the allocation of each coalition partner from \$455,000 to \$600,000. Thank you Council.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

SHANI ADESS: Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I'm Shani Adess, the Vice President with New York Legal Assistance Group. I'm going to focus my testimony today on our ask that the city increase its investment to critical, legal and social services as well as address policies that were designed to help our communities but are increasingly becoming difficult to access. As to the later for example, HRA delays in processing recertifications and applications for SNAP and cash assistance continue to leave our clients without benefits to feed and care for their families. While there is a reduced backlog as they testified today, our clients continue to face barriers, including an inability to get through for phone interviews or failing to get documents properly indexed, leading to improper denials and inadequate benefit levels. Additionally, we agree with the prior testimony calling for expanding eligibility for programs like City FHEPS. This is critical as the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city grapples with increasing homelessness and lack
shelter capacity.

In addition, we're calling for continued and increased investment in funding legal services. urge continued and increased funding for immigration legal services that addresses both the short term and long-term needs of our newly arrived neighbors as well as long standing immigrant communities in New York. Over the last two years, innovative programming has developed to expand access to services for our newly arrived New Yorkers. Maintaining and expanding programs that center community education, screening and prose applications are critical. This though is just a first step towards stability. The city must also heavily invest in programming that provides full representation to individuals with complex cases or folks whose applications were filed but now face the next stage in the process and are navigating complex systems. We ask for funding through the variety of existing city programming through PSVP, IOI, Action NYC, and Rapid Response Legal Collaborative, something that was cut last year despite increasing referrals over the past year after the funding was cut.

2.2

2.3

Finally, we applaud the city for recent changes including the human services COLA and allowance clause amendments. However, providers like NYLAG continue to face delays in contract registration and payments which undermine our ability to provide critical services.

Uhm, I will end here and say that we thank you so much for the city for partnering with us to ensure that our communities thrive and thank you for the opportunity to testify.

SOPHIE DALSIMER: Good afternoon Council Chairs and Staff, my name is Sophie Dalsimer and I am a Co-Director of the Health Justice Program at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest or NYLPI. NYLPI is privileged to be a part of the City Council's Immigrant Health Initiative, and we thank you for that support. We are also proud to serve on Speaker Adams' New Arrivals Strategy Team as part of the Health Cohort.

Today, I am here to ask the Council to continue your support by restoring and enhancing funding for the Immigrant Health Initiative, which has saved lives and improved health outcomes across the city. Through direct immigration representation,

\_

2.3

litigation, community education, non-legal advocacy and strategic partnership with public hospitals and volunteer doctors, NYLPI improves health outcomes, increases access to healthcare and educate the community healthcare providers and legal service advocates.

We provide advocacy and representation to everyone from long term and newly arrived immigrant New Yorkers with serious medical conditions or disabilities to asylum seekers who identify as transgender, gender expansive or those living with HIV and non-citizen New Yorkers who are detained in immigration custody without adequate access to healthcare. The improved access to health insurance and healthcare has had life changing and often life saving effects on the lives of our clients and often result in cost savings for the city, the state, and our safety net healthcare system when people are able to resume work and productive lives.

In the last year, we conducted nearly 150 comprehensive health and immigration assessments, provided direct legal representation for over 60 people, helped over 50 previously uninsured or underinsured individuals obtain or maintain health

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

insurance and successfully advocated on behalf of seven undocumented immigrants who have received life saving kidney transplants.

We also, the volume- excuse me. We also connected more than 50 detained individuals with volunteer doctors to provide medical advocacy in hopes of securing their release from custody. volume of recently arrived immigrants has only increased the need for initiatives that champion immigrant rights and facilitate access to services including healthcare and legal assistance to meet this critical need, NYLPI is seeking a \$550,000 allocation in Fiscal Year 25 from the Council's Immigrant Health Initiative. This request includes a restoration of the prior \$435,000 plus an enhancement to support the increasing need. The city must continue to uphold access to healthcare for all New Yorkers and we thank you again so much for your support.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you.

SARITA DAFTARY: Good afternoon Chair Brannan and Deputy Speaker Ayala. My name is Sarita Daftary, I am Co-Director of Freedom Agenda. We are led by our members who are survivors of Rikers and their family

| 2  | members. We're one of the organizations leading the    |
|----|--|
| 3  | campaign to Close Rikers and thank you for the         |
| 4  | opportunity to testify today. I want to thank the      |
| 5  | Council for including in your preliminary budget       |
| 6  | response, a call for the Administration to allocate    |
| 7  | \$19.6 million to progress the 1515 supportive housing |
| 8  | program toward its target of 15,000 units and a call   |
| 9  | for the Administration to allocate an additional \$6.4 |
| 10 | million for justice involved supportive housing to     |
| 11 | fulfill the city's commitment to establish 500 JESH    |

units JISH units. Just one month of DOC overtime

would fully fund these investments.

When New York City adopted a plan to Close Rikers in 2019, this included a commitment to reallocating resources that have for decades been disproportionately directed to policing and incarcerating Black, brown, and poor people, at the expense of funding crucial services like housing, healthcare, employment opportunities and more. Mayor Adams has instead pursued budget policies that have increased the jail population, and then acts as if an inflated jail population is natural and inevitable, instead of a result of his refusal to scale up the

2.2

2.3

solutions we need to close the pipelines that are

3 feeding Rikers.

2.2

2.3

Supportive housing has the potential to address two things that are funneling so many of our community members into Rikers, unstable housing, and mental health needs. The Independent Budget Office reports that 33 percent of people admitted to Rikers in 2023 were unstably housed, and the Comptroller's Office reports that 20 percent of people currently held at Rikers are diagnosed with a serious mental illness. That portion of the jail population has grown by 50 percent since January 2022.

The Corporation for Supportive Housing found that approximately 2,589 people held at Rikers over a one-year period need supportive housing but have not been able to access it. Filling this dire need for supportive housing would do much more to build strong and safe communities than Rikers ever could, and it would also save an estimated \$1.3B each year.

The City's legal and moral obligation to Close
Rikers is also an obligation to fully fund supportive
housing. In the written testimony that we submit,
you'll see a budget analysis from the Campaign to
Close Rikers with additional budget priorities. If

the Council cannot achieve these restorations and investments through negotiations with the Mayor, we urge you to use every power you have, including passing a budget amendment, to secure the resources our communities deserve. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you all very much. Okay, now we have on Zoom, Tiera Labrada.

TIERRA LABRADA: Sorry about that. Hello Chair Brannan and Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the Council. My name is Tierra Labrada and I am the Associate Director of Advocacy at the Supportive Housing Network of New York. Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify here today.

First, I'd like to thank the Council for supporting the networks in my NYC 1515 Reallocation Proposal by signing on to the Dear Colleague letter circulated by Mental Health Chair, Council Member Linda Lee. 1515 is the primary mechanism for supportive housing development in the city and it's failing to meet its target. This means that critical units are not being brought on line that could house New Yorkers as you just heard a lot of my colleagues mention.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As part of our reallocation proposal, we're also seeking to expand NYC 1515 eligibility to include individuals exiting institutional settings and survivors of domestic violence. Again, an immense thank you to those of you who signed on and for

7 Council Member Linda Lee and her team for their

collaboration and support on this initiative.

Next, we also want to thank the Council today. The Council and the Administration for heating the calls of the Human Services sector by agreeing to a cost-of-living adjustment. The proposed three-year, three percent wage increase will help these essential workers support themselves and their families that they continue to provide critical services to some of New Yorks most vulnerable residents. However, there's a caveat. The must ensure that the nonprofits that employ these workers are paid on time for the services they provide. You just heard a lot of my colleagues here talk about MOCS under funding and the time that it takes for contracts to be - uh, for providers to be reimbursed. They are significantly under financial strain due to the city's affiliate to reimburse them for contractually mandated services. Some providers are still awaiting

2.2

2.3

payment for these services provided in 2018 with
millions of dollars in arrears.

As proposed, the Executive Budgets significant cuts to MOCS would exacerbate this already untenable situation. We urge the Council and Administration to restore funds to personnel and non-personnel services to overt further harm.

The network is also a steering committee member of the correct crisis intervention today.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

TIERRA LABRADA: One more second. Advocating for a pure led nonpolice mental health crisis response system, the current city pilot program, be heard omits peers from response teams. We ask that the Council adopt the best practices in futures of the CCIT NYC model by focusing on placing trained peers on the Be Heard's Response team as well as fully restore Be Heard's PEGs, cuts. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have James Dill.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JAMES DILL: I'm Jim Dill, Executive Director of Housing and Services INC. We are a permanent supportive housing provider serving 715 households to

\_

build congregate and scattered site settings in
Manhattan, and the Bronx. We are members of the
Supportive Housing Network in New York and support
all of the networks advocacy points for this hearing.
First and foremost, we would like to thank the
Council for both including provisions for a
reallocation of the NYC 1515 resources in the
Preliminary Budget Response and for instituting the
COLA over the three years for the human services
sector. We are so grateful for Council Member Linda
Lee's Dear Colleague letter calling for the
reallocation and for all who signed on.

The reallocation will address crucial trends in the provision of program supportive housing and vastly help this bold and absolutely necessary initiative to meet its ambitious objectives. We are also so very grateful for the COLA that will provide encouragement to our dedicated but chronically underpaid essential workers.

We do echo concerned about the proposed cuts to MOCS. The FY24 migration of contractor links to Passport has created a backlog in contract payment processing and acute cash flow problems for all struggling providers. It is imperative that MOCS,

DHS, DOHMH and HASA receive sufficient funding to resolve Passport migration issues, have the resources become proficient in the new system and get contract payments flowing to cash strapped providers.

We will submit written testimony. We thank you for your time today and once again, we thank you so much for the reallocation and so much for the COLA.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have Juan Diaz.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JUAN DIAZ: Thank you Chair Ayala and Chair
Brannan and all the members of the Finance and
General Welfare Committees for holding today's
Executive Hearing. My name is Juan Diaz and I'm a
Policy and Advocate Associate at Citizens Committee
for Children. A multi-age of children advocacy
organization. CCC is a co-convener of the Family
Homeless Organization as well.

The City Marshal has conducted over 13,000 evictions in 2023 and as of stay in shelter continue to be unacceptably long. We know as well that preventive service and shelter providers are struggling with previously instituted contract reductions and delayed payments from the city. We

239

enhancement of COLA for the human services workforce

4 and funding restoration to essential programs such as

applaud the City Council's work and support of the

5 the shelter community school coordinators. However,

6 the City Administration should do much more. We

7 therefore urge the City Administration to support

8 critical investments such as: Restoring the \$2.5

9 reduction to DHS, HRA, and nonprofit contracted

10 agencies that were implemented in the November 2024

11 | budget modification. Investing an additional \$37.9

12 | million annually to enhance home base homeless

13 prevention programs to reduce caseloads, improve

14 | services and staff retention. We also ask for

15 | funding in implemented City FHEPS eligibility

16 expansion which would significantly prevent entrance

17 | into and expediting exits from shelter. Also,

19 program, to make over the 5,040 vacant NYCHA

20 | apartments for low-income families with children.

21 Lastly, we urge the City Administration to fund

22 previously adopted initiatives included but not

23  $\parallel$  limited to the prevailing wages for security guards,

24 behavioral health services, and [INAUDIBLE 04:50:36].

1

2

3

2 Thank you and thank you for your time and consideration.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you. Now we have Hannah Mercuris.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

HANNAH MERCURIS: Hello, my name is Hannah Mercuris and I am a Senior Policy Council at the Center for Family Representation. Thank you to the Council and to the Community Members for hearing our testimony today. CFR is grateful for the opportunity to submit testimony to the New York City Council on the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget and for your support of CFR. We urge you to ensure that this year's city budget adequately funds critical legally mandated representation to parents who were prosecuted by the Administration for Children Services and maintains or increases Council discretionary funding for those initiatives that support legal services for indigent New Yorkers. As you've heard many times today, those legal services are incredibly critical.

CFR is the countywide assigned indigent defender provider offering interdisciplinary legal representation to parents being investigated or prosecuted by ACS in Manhattan, Queens or the Bronx.

We have represented more than 2,000 clients in the last Fiscal Year.

Over 90 percent of our clients are Black, brown or people of color. CFR employees an interdisciplinary model of parent defense assigning each client an attorney and a social worker. Our teams are also supported by parent advocates. Parents who have themselves been investigated and prosecuted by ACS and who can support clients from a place of empathy and understanding. Our interdisciplinary teams are able to address the underlying issues that lead families into this system, connecting families to quality mental health treatment, substance use disorder programs, basic necessities and educational services for their children to ensure that families remain together or are reunited as quickly as possible.

We are requesting your support and urging the city to provide \$425,000 for our Home for Good program, which offers holistic support for parents and youth, \$155,000 in Dove funding to provide enhanced social work services for clients who are survivors of domestic violence, and \$825,000 for the

2.2

2.3

2 Right to Family Advocacy Program, which offers
3 representation —

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

HANNAH MERCURIS: ACS investigations and at administrative hearings to amend and seal reports with the State Central Register. I know that many other people are prepared to testify today and we will provide more information about CFR in our written testimony. Thank you so much for your support and for continuing to serve indigent New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Hannah. Now we have Joel Berg.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

JOEL BERG: Hi, I'm Joel Berg, CEO of Hungry Free America. We're headquartered here in New York State. Thank you so much Chair Brannan and Deputy Speaker Ayala for having this vital hearing and I also want to thank the civil servants who testified today. We don't always agree with them. I certainly don't always agree with their bosses but they're extraordinarily responsive to advocates and services providers most of them, so I appreciate that.

2.2

2.3

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

A key point today is that we really got to take this as a crisis it is. When we define something as a crisis, we address it. When we don't, we don't and 1.3 million New Yorkers including one out of every five children live in homes that can't afford enough food. I too oppose any cuts in the Community Food Connections program and I point out how minimal that program is, even if the Council would provide the \$60 million proposed by advocates, that equals only \$46.00 worth of food per year for every food insecure New Yorker. That's why we simply must got to get on the stick to do more to help people access federally funded benefits. We have a very rich Uncle named Sam and if we're begging the federal government, the president and congress to do more to fund New York City, why aren't we doing better to accessing federal benefits. We need to fully fund the New York City Benefits Initiative, fully fund organizations like Hunger Free New York City that are doing this vital work of helping people access benefits. We need to get the Mayor's Office to finally implement the My City Portal to allow multiple applications for multiple benefits, which the Mayor has been promising for years. We need to not just occasionally meet the

but do that each and every day. That 30-day

30-day requirement of federal law for applications

requirement goes back to 1977 before email, before

2

3

1

4

\_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

\_ \_

23

24

25

internet. It is insane that we're not getting people
benefits in day one.

I know DOE isn't under this hearing but I just
point out New York City still has the lowest school
breakfast participation rate out of any big city in

every year to hungry families. And lastly, there's a lot of focus on -

the United States. Half the kids who get school

lunch do not get school breakfast. That needs to be

That would bring in millions of food dollars

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

JOEL BERG: Contracts being paid after being registered and I just point the biggest delay that some people has pointed out is the more than a year it takes to get registered to begin with. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Joel. Now we have Amy Blumsack.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

AMY BLUMSACK: Hi, thank you. Hi, good afternoon Chair Brannan and Chair Ayala. Thank you so much for the opportunity to testify.

I represent Neighbors Together. My name is Amy Blumsack. Neighbors Together is a community-based organization located in central Brooklyn. We serve hot meals. We provide stabilizing services and we also do community organizing and policy advocacy with our members. We serve over 100,000 meals per year to approximately 12,000 plus individuals a year. They come from across the five boroughs and over 60 percent of them are homeless or unstably housed. We also have been doing robust work with voucher holders for many years now. We're direct services and organizing in policy work.

So, it is with that expertise that we come here to say that we really are thankful to the Council for recognizing the need and importance of City FHEPS vouchers that function properly and that it is our recommendation that City FHEPS needs to be funded at a level that meets the need every year, and that you know we continue to push for the Administration to create a better functioning City FHEPS system. That means more staff at DHS and HRA, more staff at Home Base so that there will shortened wait times, faster application processing. We need to really fix the many administrative barriers that slow down accessing

246

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

City FHEPS and using City FHEPS and we really need to reverse the PEGs to DHS and HRA. Uhm, additionally, we need to fund CCHR at \$18 million total and this means an additional \$4.4 million dollars specifically for the Law Enforcement Bureau. They do critical work to help voucher holders get housed. They have helped house so many of our members because source of income discrimination is rampant. We really rely on them when we need them to have the funding they need.

Also, I think it's critically important -SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

AMY BLUMSACK: That staff attorney's get paid more. The pay for staff attorneys at CCHR can't compete with the market and so we're not able to hire people as we need. The very last thing I'll say is we also support \$60 million for CFC. We've seen a 63 percent increase in service utilization of our community café in the past year alone and we're just one of hundreds of emergency food programs. thank you for your support and thank you for the time to testify.

CHAIRPERSON BRANNAN: Thank you Amy. Okay, with that this day one hearing of the FY25 Executive

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON FINANCE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 247 |
|----|--|
| 2  | Budget Oversight Hearings has concluded. Thank you                     |
| 3  | everybody for testifying. [GAVEL]                                      |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_May 31, 2024