CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Thursday, February 20, 2025

Start: 10:13 A.M. Recess: 12:35 P.M.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Hon. Dr. Nantasha Williams, Chair

Jumaane Williams, Public Advocate

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rita C. Joseph Christopher Marte, Rafael Salamanca, Jr. Kevin C. Riley

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Althea Stevens

# APPEARANCES

Sideya Sherman, Chief Equity Officer and Commissioner of Mayor's Office of Equity and Racial Justice (MOERJ)

Linda Tigani,
Racial Equity Chair and Executive Director of
Commission on Racial Equity (CORE)

Jimmy Pan,
Representing - Self;
Former Policy Director of the Racial Justice
Commission

Sharon Brown, Representing - Self

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS (BLANK PAGE)

SERGEANT LUGO: Good morning, this is a microphone check for the Committee on Civil and Human Rights.

Today's date is February 20, 2025, located in the Chambers, recording done by Pedro Lugo.

(PAUSE)

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, and welcome to the New York Committee on Civil and Human Rights.

Please place your phones to silent mode or vibrate.

Do not approach the dais at any time during this hearing, thank you for your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: (Gaveling in)

Good morning everybody, my name is Nantasha Williams, and I serve as chair to the Committee on Civil and Human Rights.

Thank you to everyone joining us for this morning's hearing. I'd like to start off by wishing everyone a happy Black History Month. I'm so proud to be able to hold a hearing on the State of Black New York, and I look forward to honoring Black History Month with a meaningful and productive discussion surrounding the past and present reality faced by Black New Yorkers.

Defined as institutionally created and reinforced privilege for some groups of people and a lack of privilege and access to resources by others, systematic inequity, also known as structural inequity, has been a barrier to the success and advancement of marginalized groups for a long time and continues to have a negative impact even to this day.

New Yorkers of color long experienced the negative impacts of systematic racial inequities with these disparities often affecting Black New Yorkers acutely. Studies show that Black New Yorkers are more than twice as likely to be impacted by material hardships in any given year compared to white New Yorkers, and a more than three times as likely to be impacted by persistent material hardships over the course of four or more years.

Redlining, educational disparities, housing and food insecurity, and equal access to adequate health care are just some of the issues that can still be linked back to the systemic inequity embedded into the foundation of this country, this state, and this city.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

At today's hearing, I'm hoping to really dive deep into the circumstances and systemic factors that contribute to the ongoing inequities that Black New Yorkers face while also gaining insight on any recent advancements and efforts the Administration made to rectify these injustices, including its own interagency work and its direct engagement with affected communities.

This morning's hearing will also cover current status of Racial Equity Planning. In 2022, New Yorkers overwhelmingly voted in favor to pass three ballot measures proposed by the Racial Justice Commission. As a result, the creation of a racial equity planning process and final plan is mandated.

In June of 2024, this committee held an oversight hearing on the Implementation of The Racial Justice Commission's Ballot Measures. And while I feel that we had an engaging and informative hearing, I must stress the importance of ongoing oversight and collaboration when it comes to this extremely - especially in today's climate - extremely important work.

Not only does this committee look forward to updates and discussion of this work, but its public

2.2

2.3

disclosure is also a duty we owe to New Yorkers who should always be kept informed of the decisions and plans that will affect their lives.

With the Administration's new target dates for a preliminary and final plan quickly approaching, the time is ripe to discuss progress and hurdles.

I also hope to receive updates on Local Law 91 of 2024 in relation to studying the impacts of slavery in New York City and recommending potential reparative measures for resulting harms, and Local Law 92 of 2024 in relation to the creation of a truth healing and reconciliation process. Both of these laws were passed with the intent to aid in rectifying past injustices afflicted on Black New Yorkers. The legacies of which we'll be discussing during today's hearing.

Thank you to Committee staff, Finance staff, and my office for their work on this hearing, and thank you to my colleagues who have also joined us today - I'm joined by Council Member Marte, Council Member Joseph also has joined us, and then we're also joined by our Public Advocate.

And I will now turn it to our Public Advocate for some opening remarks.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you very much,

Madam Chair. As mentioned, my name is Jumaane

Williams, and I'm Public Advocate for the City Of New

York. Thank you, Chair Williams and members of the

Committee On Civil And Human Rights for holding this

hearing and allowing me the opportunity to provide a

8 statement.
9 I also want to say, happy Black History Month,
10 irrespective of what, our mayor Donald Trump, who I'm

11 sorry, Freudian slip there, President Donald Trump

12 has to say about it.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The past few weeks have been overwhelming with the news cycle bringing constant and conflicting changes to our federal government policies, more than ever before, it is important that we listen to those who testify today and uplift Black New Yorkers.

For nearly five decades (sic), the COVID-19

pandemic exacerbated and exposed the racial

inequities that have continued to impact New York

City. While all New Yorkers have been impacted, data

shows that once again Black New Yorkers have been hit

the hardest socially, economically and when it comes

to the state of health. The systemic marginalization

because of the color of the skin cuts across all

areas of life at a time when they are feeling
particularly vulnerable. We need to hear from
Administration about plans to address racial inequity
viewed through a citywide lens.

James Baldwin, one of our greatest New Yorkers once said, "Anyone who has ever struggled with poverty knows how extremely expensive it is to be poor." It's this cost and continued lack of investment that has put our Black communities in peril. They suffer disproportionately from skyrocketing rent, predatory lending, and increasing evictions. As the cost of basic necessities and rent rapidly increase, we can expect even more New Yorkers facing eviction.

In the past few decades, the City's Black population has decreased by almost 200 people. Among Black children and teenagers living in the City, the number declined by 19% from 2010 to 2020. Black families are not leaving because of congestion pricing but rather they cannot keep up with the cost of what it takes to find decent housing in this city.

In light of what's happening in Washington D.C., with, our president and mayor I guess, executive orders, we should focus on what we can do here in New

2 York City and hold our mayor accountable to the needs

3 of our neighbors.

2.2

2.3

CUNY is an economic driver for New Yorkers and the Adams' Administration needs to push for full funding to ensure students are safe and able to learn.

I look forward to seeing the adoption of Restorative Justice Health Centers at all CUNY schools.

Another economic drama driver of our city is 3-K and access to affordable local childcare. New York City needs to see a dramatic improvement in the timeline to pay contracts, especially to small day care centers and small businesses struggling to stay open because of unreasonable wait on payments for services rendered.

In terms of housing, deed theft poses one of the most significant risks to Black homeownership in New York City. I introduced Intro 67, which would require the Department of Finance, Department of HPD, and Consumer and Worker Protection to conduct education and outreach regarding deed fraud and foreclosure prevention assistance fraud.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council Member Hudson is introducing Intro 888, which would require disclosure of market value for unsolicited offers to purchase residential properties, and Council Member Riley's legislation, Intro 901 will require the Office of Financial Empowerment to provide assistance to homeowners, both of which will prevent predatory practices.

The same way we work to keep these Black families in their homes, we must ensure access to housing for those who have overrepresented in our legal system. Studies show that Justice Involved Supported Housing or JISH gives people a better shot at not having interactions with the system.

The patterns in the city show Black youth crying out for viable support and programming. One of the most successful approaches to keep these young people from getting into trouble is giving them something to do. Fully funded year round employment opportunities will help Black families avoid the hunger games for a few selected spots in the summer.

When we talk about health care outcomes, one of the strongest examples of racial disparity is maternal health outcomes. As we've seen just this month, racial gaps widened, Black women in The U.S. 2 died at nearly 3.5 times higher than white women

3 around the time of childbirth in 2023. In 2022, I

4 was happy to pass legislation as part of a package of

5 mental maternal health bills - also, New York City it

6 is actually higher than that. With the Council, we

7 were ensuring that these rights are upheld and New

8 Yorkers are empowered to demand what they deserve,

9 making sure that public hospitals are funded with

10 contracts that ensure quality doctors serve patients

11 who are predominantly people of more color can help

12 address these continued shameful gaps that cost the

13 | lives of women.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I do want to say my office put out a report a few years ago that showed the places that had the most gun violence, also had the highest COVID deaths, had the most absence in schools, had the highest calls for mental health assistance, and also had the least amount of affordable housing. Yet, seem to try to solve all of those things by arresting— trying to arrest the children of this generation that we arrested their parents just a generation ago thinking that's going to solve the problem.

I expect the Administration to make it clear what their plan is moving forward since they are already

behind on schedule for these new NYC preliminary evaluation equity. I hope during today's hearing we can work together to create a more equitable and just city for Black New Yorkers.

I do want to just if I can mention a statement on Black leadership. All of this is happening at a time when we've had more Black leadership than we've ever had in the city, state, and federal government. That means we managed to diversify the leadership but not change the outcomes at the end and that's a problem that all of us need to hold.

I also wanna be a 100 percent clear that you can be treated differently or probably are if you are in leadership and a Black person. It doesn't change the fact that you might also be doing a lot of foolishness at the same time. Both of those things can be true at the same time. And, to be asked to come to the defense of certain Black leadership so they can be treated differently while doing the same foolishness that they should not be mimicking, but actually changing, is not a good thing for the political will, the political power that we have.

We're better off using that power to defend Black

leadership trying to do what's better for the community, not what's better for themselves.

Just want to make sure that that's on the record.

And, lastly, I do wanna say anti blackness is steeped in this country from the beginning, and we have to be honest about that, and one of the reasons they're trying to erase history is that people think somehow we woke up and everything was all about meritocracy.

Lastly, no one is responsible for the system that's born today, none of us, Black, white, brown, but I believe all of us are responsible for the system that we move on to our next generation. In order to move on a better system, we just have to be honest about the system that's here and how we got here.

Thank you so much for this hearing and look forward to hearing some more.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and yeah one of the things you said reminded me of what one of my colleagues said in my program that I was in, and she always talks about how ,you know, white supremacy is so pervasive that it doesn't need ,you know, a particular ethnicity or race to uphold it, that it's

hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the

25

whole truth, and nothing but the truth, before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: I do.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: All right, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Chair Williams, Public

Advocate Williams, Council Member Marte, members of
the Committee on Civil and Human Rights,
distinguished members of the City Council and the
public, good morning.

I'm Sideya Sherman, New York City's Chief Equity
Officer and Commissioner of the New York City Mayor's
Office of Equity and Racial Justice.

Thank you for the opportunity to join you today to discuss the state of Black New York and provide an update on the citywide racial equity planning process.

As we near the conclusion of Black History Month, it's a fitting moment to reflect on the remarkable progress Black people in our nation have made. Black history is American history. The Black community's century long struggle for freedom and opportunity is fundamental to our country's evolution, steadily guiding us towards realizing our democratic ideals.

2.2

2.3

I'm honored to serve as New York City's First
Chief Equity Officer carrying forward the legacy of
those who came before me to ensure that our city is a
place of opportunity and access for all.

Since our last council hearing, our team has been hard at work advancing the Racial Justice Charter Amendments. These historic measures, now embedded in our City Charter give us a clear mission, transform government systems and structure to foster racial equity and justice across New York City.

Since launching our office in late 2023, we have worked to stand up our operations while spearheading the Citywide racial equity planning process and other crucial work. This is of the utmost importance to us, and we have dedicated the past year to rallying city government behind it and engaging the Administration at all levels.

Our efforts are unprecedented in scale and ambition. To meet this moment, our team has worked hand in hand with over 40 city agencies and 250 staff conducting full day training sessions, providing one on one technical assistance sessions, and offering ongoing guidance to help agencies develop, refine, and complete preliminary racial equity plans.

2.2

2.3

This measurable plan, which is in its final stages, is designed to drive change across four key areas: budget, programs and policy, contracting, and personnel.

By aligning these areas with our unwavering commitment to racial equity, we are setting the stage for transformative long lasting change.

As we prepare to issue the Preliminary Citywide
Racial Equity Plan in the coming days, we look
forward to feedback from the Council, our colleagues
at the Commission on Racial Equity, and the public.

While we advance our forward looking racial equity planning efforts, we also remain focused on developing programs and initiatives that meet the needs of New Yorkers today.

Black New Yorkers have made great strides in our city, but we continue to confront health, economic, and other inequities that can limit opportunities for advancement. Through our New York City Young Men's Initiative and NYC Her Future offices, we are laser focused on addressing these issues with innovative programs for young people across our city.

YMI has long prioritized educational attainment, launching successful programs such as NYC Men Teach,

the CUNY Fatherhood Academy, and NeON Works which provide education, career training, and credentialing to young people ranging from those who are the most disconnected from school and work to those completing college and pursuing advanced degrees.

YMI has also emphasized literacy, understanding that not only do we want our students to graduate, but we want to ensure that they have the literacy skills they need to succeed.

Through initiatives like Sound Partners, Quick
Reads, and Raising Readers, we collaborate with over
42 schools to support literacy through family focused
programming, yielding positive results and enhancing
students ability to decode words and read more
accurately.

NYC Her Future, our new companion office to YMI, has made swift progress since its inception last spring engaging young people through community based events, developing new training and career exploration programs, and rolling out targeted initiatives like our doula training program, which directly contributes to our city's effort to address the crucial issue of Black maternal health.

2.2

2.3

Last year we proudly partnered with the McSilver
Institute [for Poverty Policy and Research] to cohost
a legislative breakfast on this topic, convening New
York City and state elected officials alongside
leaders in academia, health, and maternal health
advocates to identify root causes and collaboratively
pursue solutions. We thank the Council for their

partnership in addressing this vital issue.

The persistent racial wealth gap continues to hinder progress for our Black New Yorkers. Addressing this issue requires access to quality jobs, financial stability, and the asset accumulation necessary for true economic security. From day one, this Administration has been focused on affordability, prioritizing affordable housing, jobs, and putting money back in the pockets of New Yorkers.

We are particularly proud of our partnership with NYC Kids RISE in New York City Public Schools on the citywide expansion of the Save for College program. Right now, over 280,000 students have NYC scholarship accounts with 42 million invested towards their higher education and career training goals. What's most exciting about this effort is that our city now has the infrastructure to invest collectively -

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

government, private sector, and community in the future of our students.

Our office is also focused on advancing place based initiatives, recently partnering with NYCHA to invest in a series of programs that support entrepreneurship, career training, and asset building for Bronx based public housing residents.

We are committed to continuing to expand our programmatic efforts, but we acknowledge that programming alone cannot address systemic inequities. This is why our work on the Citywide Racial Equity Plan and other structural reform efforts remain central to our mission.

As we advance the racial Justice Charter Amendments, we are also collaborating with our colleagues across the government to implement new anti racism training requirements.

Additionally, we are proud to support the implementation of the recently passed Local Law 92 which calls on the city to study reparations and the legacy of slavery. I want to commend you, Chair Williams, and the Council for your leadership in advancing this groundbreaking work through last year's Juneteenth legislative package. We look

2 forward to staying engaged with the committee as this

3 work progresses.

2.2

2.3

Thank you for your commitment to creating a fair, just, and equitable city. We share your vision and dedication to building a future where all New Yorkers can thrive. We welcome any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And I just wanted to acknowledge that Council Member Riley has joined us on Zoom, and we are also joined by Council Member Stevens, who has been cochairing efforts that the Black contingency of the Council has been pushing for. So, thank you for joining us today.

The first question I have is to what extent does MOERJ engage with the public in its work? For example, do you consult with community leaders and stakeholders on a regular basis to stay up to date with current issues and concerns of the communities impacted by structural inequity and racial injustice? If so, can you give some examples of any Black community based organizations or groups that you consult or work with?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so thank you for your question Council Member. Our office is not only MOERJ, but it's home to a number of other offices

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

including the Commission on Gender Equity, the NYC Young Men's Initiative, NYC Her Future, as well as the Unity Project. So as a collaborative we engage with a number of stakeholders in a variety of ways from outreach events, engagement events, resource fairs, etcetera. We also convene advisory bodies, so you know as part of our racial equity planning process we've convened an advisory board that includes racial justice leaders as well as folks who've been leaders in government transformation Through our Commission on Gender Equity, we also have a set of commissioners that help advise our work which is at the intersection of race and gender and sexual orientation and identity. So we work in a variety of ways to engage leaders.

The other thing that I would point out is that our office, in collaboration with the Civic Engagement Commission, for the last three or four years, has funded neighborhood coalitions across our 33 TRIE (Taskforce on Racial Inclusion & Equity) equity neighborhoods. So these are community based coalitions that are really providing advancing local projects, but also providing the local infrastructure necessary to engage with government.

we've been having a lot of conversations and one of the things in a conversation that we have had

24

25

previously is, well who are these organizations doing the work? Just for our own personal information...

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Gladly...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: we'd love to know and I'm sure some of it might be duplicative, but because you're actively engaged in this work, it would be good to just know what are the organizations you're working with. Thank you.

Does the agency hold any town halls or public forums in order to engage with the every the average everyday New Yorker? If not, are there any plans to do something of this in the future?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so we haven't had town hall meetings per se, but we certainly have community workshops tied to community based planning efforts.

And as I shared also, we certainly through...

particularly through our work with the Young Men's

Initiative and NYC Her Future, host a number of

neighborhood events where we're able to engage and

connect people to resources.

Some of our recent community engagement workshops include work that we've done in The Bronx to engage

2.2

2.3

residents around addressing specific disparities across the borough. We've hosted borough wide sessions with nonprofit partners and community members. We've replicated those sessions in Spanish and hosted in language sessions to have hands on workshops, and we've also replicated that to host sessions that specifically were designed for young people that they can engage in the work in a way that made sense for them.

So that certainly ,you know, while we don't have a large community engagement apparatus, we make sure that we're strategic and deliberate in offering and developing opportunities to engage New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Do you have any mechanisms to receive direct feedback or suggestions from New Yorkers? I know CORE kind of does some of this work, but just wondering if your office also has a mechanism to receive direct feedback.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so outside of our website and when we have distinct engagement efforts, those would be the primary vehicles. As part of the racial equity planning process, as I shared we certainly have received feedback through the

2 Commission on Racial Equity and their Community
3 Equity Priorities to help inform our planning
4 process.

2.2

2.3

And as we release the preliminary plan, we'll have vehicles for the public to directly share feedback, but we also are encouraging the public to share feedback with CORE so that they can also share that with us as part of their process.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

I know a while ago CCHR had released a report on the State of Black New Yorkers; but I just ,you know, I want to know, uhm, there's not a lot of people that don't know about these reports and things that you all do. Like, you spend a lot of time doing this work, and no one knows about it, which is why I wanted to have this hearing, because I do appreciate the work that you all do, and I feel like it just kind of flies under the radar.

So are you using social media to engage with New Yorkers to share the work that you're doing? And if applicable, could you speak to any social media work directed to Black New Yorkers specifically?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So we do use social media to share our work. I will say that most of the work

2.2

2.3

that we've produced, at least in these first three years, have really been focused on ,you know, geographic communities, communities of color at large, LGBTQ+ community, a variety of intersecting identities. We also have developed programming opportunities, for instance during Black History Month, to lift up stories of Black New Yorkers in particular.

In terms of how we share our resources, we, again, leverage our website, we leverage social media, and, again, through the offices that are part of our umbrella, right, we've also issued a number of reports. Earlier this year we issued, through the Commission on Gender Equity, a guide to NYC students to understand their period, for instance.

Most recently we, through CGE, issued a number of one-pagers so that New Yorkers can understand economic mobility or health equity or a number of issues.

We are always certainly looking for new avenues to ensure that that information is reaching the public. So this is why we also partner with agencies like CCHR to make sure that we're getting the word out and joining resource fairs, and we'll continue to

2 look for more avenues to make sure that we're
3 reaching New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

I'll pause I know my colleagues are ,like, busy I don't know if you guys have any questions. Council Member Stevens, you have any questions?

Since he's the Public Advocate, I guess he'll go first.

(LAUGHTER)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Go ahead.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you, it's actually not a question but a comment. Just, thank you for all the work that you are doing. Hopefully we can find more ways, as the chair said, to get that out to the public.

I also just want to put on the record, uh, so if my kids ever listen to this, uh, they also hear the Black joy part. So, even through all of this, the community is still able to find joy. And as my kids are growing, I want to make sure that they hear that part as well. And many people are thriving, but it is, to be honest, they are thriving in spite of what was put in place.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: and not because of what was put in place. I just wanted to make sure I put that on the record, thank you.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Thank you, Chair, uh, Dr. Williams. I really appreciate you taking on this topic and bringing this to the forefront and thank you for being here with us.

Like the chair said earlier, I've been working with the BLACK, which is a subcommittee of the Black Hawk BLAC, which is the Black Delegation of City Council, to really talk about our issues and how we move forward.

And so, one, I think my first question with you is, like, how can we work together more closely around some of the work that you're doing as far as, like, some of the reports and things like that so we can be working more in tandem and supporting your work in a much different way?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure Council Member, and I know that I met with you very early in the Administration, so glad to reconnect. And would definitely love to work more closely and intentionally with you.

2.2

2.3

So as we release ,you know, our work, and some of the reports that are going forth, it would be great to brief your office to find areas of opportunity and collaboration.

I also know that you have a particular focus on young people, and we've recently rolled out a lot of initiatives that are very focused on young New Yorkers and would love to engage you in that and just work more closely.

So I would gladly reach out to your office and figure out ways -concrete ways - that we can do work together.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Absolutely, thank you so much.

And I guess the other question I had and I'm sure Dr. Williams is going to get to it, but just thinking about with the threat of a lot of the issues around the federal government, DEI being under attack, and just thinking about how that's going to impact your work, can you talk about a little bit of ,like, how you've been preparing for that and then the status of what's going on with your office currently?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so ,you know, our values in New York City remain. This is a city that

embraces diversity. We believe strongly in equity and fairness and inclusion and the work that we do particularly as outlined in the Charter continues.

And so we are steadfast in continuing to do this work. Obviously we're monitoring what's happening at the national level, and even prior, right, this work was certainly being challenged and threatened prior to even this administration.

And so we're doing what we need to do to ensure that we safeguard the work, but still pushing it forward so that we're meeting the commitment that we've made to New Yorkers and improving the lives of New Yorkers.

COUNCIL MEMBER STEVENS: Yes, thank you so much.

I know it's difficult times, and it makes work that you guys are doing harder, which means we have to give you guys some more support and more staff and more funding, because I think, more than ever, the work that you're doing is necessary and important.

So thank you, Chair, who's always championed this work and really has pushed to the forefront the importance of the work and how the things that we're doing on the Council is supported by your work, and we need to make sure we're supporting it as well.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

So thank you so much for being here, and I look forward to talking offline and meeting and working with you in the future.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thank you, Council Member. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, Council Member Chair Stevens. And I just want to echo something that she said, I know I said it to you offline, too, that , you know, I for sure want to be supportive, and a part of why, like, I really wanted to have this hearing is because I think it is so important to have these conversations, even if things are imperfect or a little messy, just so New Yorkers know from both sides of City Hall that there is a focus and an interest in ,you know, improving the lives of Black New Yorkers. And ,you know, if our colleague, Council Member Hudson, was here she would say this line that I feel like we've all adopted, that ,you know, focusing on Black New Yorkers is an inclusive plan. Because if Black New Yorkers are good, everybody is else benefits from that. So this is of course not just solely about Black New Yorkers, but if we as a city are addressing the needs that Black New Yorkers have, everyone will benefit from that. So this is an inclusive plan that really serves the most

2 marginalized in our city. So we again we want to
3 support you and the work that you're doing and
4 appreciate you being here.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So you have your hands are really full, you have tons of commissions and working groups and task forces and multi agency bodies such as the Commission on Women and Gender Equity, NYC Her Future, New York City Pay Equity Cabinet, just to name a few.

So given that you often take an intersectional approach to your work and these entities cover varying demographics, to what extent do these entities work together to advance your work overall?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Great question, thank you Council Member.

So one of the visions of bringing these offices together within this administration was to really make sure that there was an intersectional approach to equity. So over the past two plus years, as we've been leading this work and standing up our office, we also have been working to make sure that those teams have leadership in place. I'm really excited that we now have executive directors across almost all of our

2 offices and we soon will have one for the Commission

3 on Gender Equity.

2.2

2.3

And all of the executive directors of those offices report to me. We all work regularly together on a weekly basis or a bi weekly basis, everyone's meeting, we share resources across our team, folks weigh in on each other's work.

So we're ensuring that as we're serving New Yorkers and we're working on our racial equity planning efforts, we're factoring in the whole person from their sexual orientation and identity to their gender to their age to the community that they live in and really bringing in the expertise of our colleagues across those areas.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

So I wanted to talk a little bit about TRIE.

Could you speak more about the ongoing work of TRIE including any name, titles, affiliated organizations for task members and if any current plan exists to expand TRIE.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so the task force on racial inclusion and equity was previously started during the pandemic. I had the honor of leading TRIE

2.2

2.3

2 at that time, and it was really formed in response to 3 the disparities that we saw during COVID.

At that time we've developed and have continued to develop a set of priority neighborhoods, and these priority neighborhoods all have these neighborhood coalitions, which I referenced earlier and happy to share a name of those groups.

Internally; however, TRIE is very focused on city government itself. So through the task force we bring together city agency designees to really focus on more real time issues, programs, activities, initiatives.

As I shared earlier, a lot of our work within this administration has been focused on the Bronx with the recognition that of our priority neighborhoods the majority of zip codes are concentrated within the borough.

This is why we've had community engagement in the borough. We were able to invest in some new programs last year focused on Bronx residents, and we're looking to roll out more support to address some of the disparities we see in the borough.

But the work of TRIE is still citywide, right.

The neighborhood coalitions cover all 33 communities,

and as we look to move forward with our racial equity planning work, you'll see that many agencies are also prioritizing these communities within their work.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

So it is my understanding that there has been three Bronx community engagement workshops so far.

Are there any plans to hold similar workshops across other boroughs? Is there an expected timeline for the development and rollout of these workshops?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So our goal is to actually go back to The Bronx and share a readout of where we are based on their feedback and based on the feedback that we have internally. Obviously we also have been working on the city racial equity plan at the same time. There's significant overlap between the agencies that are represented in TRIE and the individuals as well as the racial equity planning process.

So we've certainly have prioritized the plan and intend to go back to those same community groups, share a report out of what we heard, share some of the early initiatives that we're able to fund and support, and then work in partnership with them to

2 try to lift up some of the other recommendations and
3 priorities.

2.2

2.3

We certainly would love to replicate that process across other boroughs as we have additional capacity, but again you know the planning work that we do also serves as a vehicle for us to concentrate efforts across neighborhoods.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, yeah, and you just said a word I was thinking about, you said overlap, and I was thinking like is there some duplicity here?

It's like your office which is like a catchment but then there's CORE and then there's TRIE. It does seem there's a level of overlap or perhaps duplicity.

So how are you ensuring either coordination or ,you know, this entity is doing this, maybe this entity can do that to maximize the limited resources you do have, versus sort of everyone on top of each other doing the same work or serving the same demographics.

So like how do you account for that? How do you address that? How are you seeking to streamline and ,you know, eliminate any overlap?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Absolutely, so one of the areas of overlap, just in terms of composition,

2 necessarily mission, is the composition of our Racial

3 Equity Planning teams, the agency partners who work

4 on the planning process and those who also may be

5 designees to the task force on Racial Inclusion

6 Equity.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

And so we want to create some efficiency there and try to ensure that as agencies move towards implementation that there's alignment with the work of TRIE, so that we're as effective as possible.

We obviously also collaborate with the Commission on Racial Equity, which ,you know, has a very external facing presence and works across a number of communities to ensure that we're not duplicating efforts and that ,you know, to the extent CORE is getting feedback that's important for us to know that we're leveraging the information that they have.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Can you please share any preliminary recommendations that MOERJ has made to relevant agencies based on information and feedback gathered from workshops. Did any directives accompany these recommendations, and/or will agencies be required to report in any way on these preliminary

25 | recommendations?

2 COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: This is with respect to the

3 Bronx engagement process?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, workshops.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Okay, sure.

So, and just to give a big picture, those workshops were really focused on specific issue areas and then potential programmatic solutions.

So some of the recommendations that resonated,

for instance included, I'm sorry, Council Member 
So some of the recommendations that resonated, for

instance, in our workshops included interest in

entrepreneurship programs, people wanting

opportunities to build assets for instance, so that's

why I shared. Some of the early programs that we were

more immediately able to invest in right after

workshop discussions were some of the investments in

NYCHA, right, so which has obviously a deep

concentration in the Bronx.

So there's new career track apprenticeship training rolling out, there is an expansion of an entrepreneurship program focused on Bronx residents in the borough, and we're also working to expand an asset building program that the agency has.

2.2

2.3

That's just one example, but where there are recommendations that align with existing agency programs or initiatives, we've worked to be able to resource those to the extent that we can. And then certainly look to go back to the community to figure out how we lift some of the bigger ideas.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, just another followup question on like capacity.

I mean obviously I'm sure if you had all the money in the world and all the staff in the world, you can do all the things, but is there some thinking or ,you know, strategic future, strategic planning around like how to scale into other areas?

Like, is there thinking around that, or is this like not really a thought because you don't have the resources to do it?

I'm just wondering internally is there some thought being put behind how you can enter into other communities that might fit the same level of priority?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Absolutely, so I mean there's... there are ways in which, again, as we continue to work with the task force and reconvene our efforts, there's ways in which we could replicate

actually doing?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yeah, So absolutely not. I would, you know, TRIE is different from the long term planning work that we're doing, right? It is bringing together city agencies to focus on the issue area, right, engaging community as we have, and then moving forward with solutions.

So the examples that I shared with the programs that we invested in in the last budget, that is a result of that work and that is typically how TRIE has worked in the past. Bringing people together around issue areas or around a specific community and bringing agencies together just to deploy solutions. And so that work is critical.

I would also add that the work on the ground through TRIE, which is happening through the community based coalitions, is also critical and we not only do we support that work, it is the infrastructure that is necessary to ensure that communities are able to really effectively engage with government. That work came out of the task force, it's a result of TRIE, and it's unique in the sense that the City is actually really funding groups to come together, which is not typical with the way in which the city funds work.

2.3

We're funding groups to come together to build their capacity to operate as coalitions and that's really, really important work in terms of ensuring that communities have the networks that they need to engage with the city effectively.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Is that information public ,like, this community coalition that you have in the Bronx?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yeah sure.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So ,like, I could go on the website and see?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Yeah, we can send you the list of coalition members, uhm, as well as... I mean we've had releases and other information about the networks as well.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, so you said the issue area was like primarily around entrepreneurship and asset?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: What I'm saying is that's just one issue area that came up in...

22 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mm-hmm?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: I'm giving an example of an issue area that came up in one of our community workshops that we, by virtue of having that, right,

45

2 we're able to more immediately respond to within the

3 budget.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So can you give me some more details on like what you actually did? So ,like, oh, we want to do entrepreneurship, so then what did you do? Did you, like...

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so in the example that I shared, our office invested a million dollars, near a million dollars, in Bronx based programs focused on public housing residents. There are three programs, one will provide career apprenticeship training with access to unions for residents in the borough. Another program will support NYCHA residents who are food based entrepreneurs in the borough to really be able to grow and scale their business, uh, and also obtain the licensing that they need to do their work. And another program will support expansion of the Family Self Sufficiency Program, uh, which allows residents to sort of use their, as they increase their assets due to participation in the program - as they increase their income due to participation in the program, the difference between their old rent and their new rent is saved in an

escrow account that they then are able to sort of cash out when they reach their goals.

2.2

2.3

And so the funding that we're providing is to provide the technical assistance to increase the number of residents who have at least \$10,000 in assets through the program.

So those are just three examples of programs that sort of came out... program expansions, right, that came out of those community sessions.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And you're gonna send me a list of them? So community coalition organizations are the organizations that receive this \$1 million or was there a specific organization? Is that money being allocated to an agency who's actually doing the work? Who's actually...

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So we allocate that, so sorry, let me just separate the two.

So there's funding that we allocate for the community coalitions that goes to the Civic Engagement Commission, which then works with the coalitions.

The funding that I referenced in respect to those programs is funding that we allocated to the Housing Authority to then subcontract out or directly manage

2 those three programs that I... those three program
3 examples.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, how much other money have you allocated? So there's one for, I guess, like, just the building of the coalition and then you gave one example of like a tangible thing that came out of a workshop ,like, okay, here's a \$1 million to talk about self sufficiency, jobs, helping you support your businesses.

Are there any other initiatives or money that has been allocated to things that have come out of the workshops?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: At this time, no. So those are some of the early examples. Our goal is to go back to the community with all the recommendations that we have and be able to move forward with bigger resource allocations.

As I mentioned, though, they're also, uhm, and as we release the plan, there are agencies that are also prioritizing certain TRIE neighborhoods, certain geographic areas that are consistent with many of the recommendations that we heard.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, so this was the duplicity you mentioned. So as you build out the

Racial Equity Plan, some stuff that you might have

3 learned might be addressed through the racial equity

4 planning process.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: And there's alignment, yes, so the goals also have alignment.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, a lot of your work is meant to target discrimination and racism at a structural and systemic level. According to the Poverty Tracker developed by the Center on Poverty and Social Policy and Robin Hood, nearly 80% of Black New Yorkers report facing at least one form of institutional discrimination in their lifetime such as when we're trying to vote, rent an apartment, or when applying for jobs and promotions.

Does your office collect or receive any data similar to this from New York City agencies across the spectrum or any other entities? Does it do any of this on its own through independent data collection on institutional discrimination? If so, could you share how such data is digested and used in your work?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So we don't collect individual data on discrimination. Most of that information to the extent that people are reporting

2.2

\_ -

it goes to CCHR or the State Commission on Human Rights depending how people report.

We certainly stay abreast of what's happening in our city - as well as we work closely with our partners to understand changes to the law with respect to human rights law. New York obviously has, know, very generous human rights law with a number of protections, but we don't collect that data directly.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, the oversight role of government agencies over the private sector can often be very limited, that being said, according to the Department of Labor there are roughly 4.2 million New York City residents employed in the private sector as of December 2024 which is an increase of about 77,000 from the previous year. Given the significant and rising number, how is MOERJ working to advance toward its goal within the private sector if at all possible?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So our work has been primarily focused on government and advancing racial equity through government reform.

Again, to the extent that people in the private sector are experiencing discrimination, the City obviously has resources, and we've also raised

2.2

2.3

awareness and partnership with private sector
partners around critical issues that cut across
government like pay equity. But we don't have a
direct role in oversight of the private sector, but
certainly as our work evolves, can ensure that we're
leveraging and engaging the sector and also sort of
sharing some of the best practices that we develop
within government.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Access to healthcare has become like one of biggest issues cited as a byproduct of systemic inequity. Could you tell us about any of the work you are doing with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene or the Department of Health? Are there any opportunities for MOERJ to provide feedback or guidance on how our hospitals and healthcare facilities could better consider low income New Yorkers of color when making reforms or improvements in their practices and policies?

I know you referenced the session you did with McSilver, but you know are there any other things that you're doing and again is there any guidance, feedback, recommendations you can share on that today?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Gladly. So we work closely with the Department of Health, they're really a great partner and also a leader in this work.

We ,you know, have been part of the interagency committees that have supported the chronic disease prevention plan as well as some of the other interagency planning work that the Department of Health has underway including their maternal health efforts. The programming that I mentioned, our doula certification programming, is also operated in partnership with the Department of Health.

There's also funding that we've allocated to

Department of Health to support some of our LGBTQ+

programming, as well as funding that we've been able

to allocate to health and hospitals to particularly

around LGBTQ+ programming and supporting the

renovation of the Judson Health Clinic and ensuring

that there's robust programming there.

So we work pretty closely with our health partners and certainly understand there's a real core intersection of health equity and racial inequity.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, now turning to racial equity planning. The timeline on your website states a preliminary citywide Racial Equity Plan is expected

to be finalized by this March with updates and a final plan expected to be released by this coming

2.2

2.3

June.

Does MOERJ feel as if it is, I guess, back on track to meet these targets, uhm, these target dates?

If not, could you speak more on the needs of your agency in order to meet these target dates?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So thank you Council

Member, and I know this is of important interest to

you, so we are on track, and we are working steadily

as we speak to release the plan based on that

timeline that is shared. So we look forward to

engaging you once we release the plan and keeping you

abreast of our progress.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

How will the Administration ensure that any delays will not impact the fiscal year 2026 six budget process?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So based on our timeline for release, there's still an opportunity for the City to factor the plan into the Preliminary Plan into Exec.

Additionally, agencies are lifting up priorities that may already be funded as well as resource

2 allocation within their agency particularly for their

3 goals that extend between '26 and '28 which are

4 usually pretty short term goals. And so there's still

an opportunity for the plan to influence the City

6 Budget.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

One thing that I would also flag is ,you know, obviously the New Needs are just really a fraction of the City Budget so we've gone through this process.

We've also worked with agencies to think about how they're allocating their existing needs, their existing resources, right, as part of an effort towards structural reform to make sure that it's in alignment with their goals.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What steps will MOERJ take to ensure that community feedback during the two-month review process will be incorporated into the final Racial Equity Plan?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So once we release the Racial Equity Plan, the public has an opportunity, there is 30-day window for feedback.

As I shared, we'll have an opportunity for the public to share that feedback directly with us, the Commission on Racial Equity, and I don't want to speak to their work, but I know that they will also

2 be sharing feedback and engaging their commissioners

3 and the public and we encourage New Yorkers to engage

4 with them as well.

2.2

2.3

And then of course we're looking for feedback from the Council and other elected officials in the city.

Once we have that feedback there is then approximately around two and a half months that the City has to incorporate it and to work on an updated final plan.

So we will, uh, as we get that information, you know, we'll stay in dialogue with our partners to make sure that we understand it and that we're incorporating it and factoring it into what goes into the final plan.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you.

Will the Council have opportunities to also engage in this process?

So I mean, obviously, it's really important for the Administration to share this information up and down throughout the Admin certainly to OMB. But, again, as council members, I know some of my colleagues, I know I'm really interested in this stuff and would love to engage in the process, so

2 just wondering if you all have factored in any ways

3 for the Council to engage in the process?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Absolutely, once we complete the Preliminary Plan, and I know this is a particular interest and you have you know significant expertise in this area, we'd love to brief you, brief the Committee, have an opportunity to talk through the plan in more detail, gain your feedback, and as we work on the final, we'll certainly keep you abreast as well.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Has TRIE had any involvement in the racial equity planning process? I mean I feel like we kind of discussed this, but it would be good to know if there has been like any official involvement, or is it just pulling from what you learned there and sort of cross referencing where you need?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, I'll say there's two vehicles. So in terms of the composition of TRIE internally, City agency staff, as I mentioned many of the designees overlap with those who are also the designees - many of the people who are designees for TRIE are also designees for their agency's racial equity planning process.

J

However, externally, the community based coalitions that are part of the TRIE Neighborhood network, as part of the Commission on Racial Equity's work, they have engaged those network members as well in developing their community priorities and in addition to many other New Yorkers. So there has been points of intersection. And ,you know, I think as we continue to move this work further, we're looking to bring even more alignment.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

What steps has the Administration taken and does it plan to take in the future to ensure that agency spending reflects racial equity priorities and that agencies continue to cooperate effectively with your office?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So we're producing what is a measurable plan. There are goals, outcomes, indicators, uh, and that it will be the way in which we ensure that the plan is implemented and there are accountability systems in place.

As I shared, many agencies are certainly identifying ,you know, programs that may be funded, but they also are focusing on how they allocate their existing resources to effectuate their goals.

And so there will be constant updates and

\_

alignment as we ,you know, the City will sort of consistently be in a planning cycle for the foreseeable future between progress reports and planning.

So there's opportunity for constant adjustment and realignment based on the way the process is structured too.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, this question right here is probably like my number one concern, because I see it with ,you know, CCHR, I see it with EEPC, ,you know, we just had a hearing yesterday where DOI was here. It's like these - maybe because you're like a mayoral kind of body, it's a little different than some of these sort of independent type of bodies, or like sister agencies that aren't as big as the NYPD in terms of the respect and deference level I feel that happens across the Administration.

So my biggest concern is that you'll do all this work, and there's no teeth behind it and like agencies that need to take action to fulfill on this measurable plan won't actually take action and there's no way to truly hold them accountable.

2.2

2.3

So ,you know, I would say that's like, my biggest concern is ensuring that ,you know, whether it's you or CORE or whomever, like you have enough, uhm, respect to be able to ,you know, hold whomever accountable - even if it's OMB - to delivering on the things that come out of these plans. Because it's kind of pointless for you to craft these plans and no other agency does anything about it, and you can't hold them accountable to it.

So that's... this is this is like my number one concern about all of this stuff, uh, are the agencies actually making change? And I've seen again, you know, with the Fire Department in particular. I mean they really have not made any substantive changes and it's why the City is like actively in a lawsuit.

So anyway, I hope that you have the authority and teeth that you need and whatever respective task forces and committees and commissions under you have the same, because I've seen countless times how agencies don't really comply, and there's nothing really you can do to get that agency to comply. And ,you know, the crux of this is talking about systems, and these systems exist with or without us, but it calls for us to force change. And I just, I'm ,like,

in creating their plans. They're serious about them.

25

2 And we've built the infrastructure now as we've been

2.2

2.3

3 building our office to ensure that we have the

4 systems that we need to ensure accountability.

We now have a fully staffed Racial Equity

Planning Team, so that includes not only our

planning... our Director of Equity Planning And

Technical Assistance, but also 10 Equity Planning

Managers that have agency portfolios that will be working hand in hand with agencies throughout implementation.

We also have been working to set up the systems that we need to track and ensure that there's progress. There's also public reporting as part of this process, so we will obviously share progress reports with the public. And then obviously there's other means for public accountability through the work of CORE, through the work of the City Council.

And so we take this work very seriously, and part of my goal is to ensure that as we build this team, as we build this inaugural plan, that the infrastructure lasts beyond me, beyond any other Chief Equity Officer, so that it remains prominent and central within city government.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes, and I do not doubt that you take it seriously. I know you take it seriously. I'm talking about ,like, the other agencies and people who have been in government for multiple decades, that have ,like, adopted bad behaviors or ,you know, essentially have become a part of the system who don't care about racial equity.

And I just want to make sure they take it seriously. Like, I'm very clear that you and your team, you all take it serious. I'm concerned about the other people who don't take it seriously that it's not a priority for them.

Can you just tell me like how many people are on the Racial Equity Plan Team? You said 10, and then a data person, so 11 people altogether?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: So we have 10 equity planning managers and a director of equity planning who all report to our deputy commissioner. We also have a senior advisor who's supporting policy, some planning work as well.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, do you think that's enough?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: I think that based on the way in which we are developing and rolling out the work, I think that that is a sound structure.

I would add that that's not the sum total of our team, right? We you know have a Communications Team, we have an Administrative Team, we have a chief of staff, we're bringing on a general counsel, right?

Everybody plays a role in this work. But for the team that are really the sort of tip of the arrow that are working with agencies day to day, This is , you know, what we believe to be a good infrastructure.

Obviously we ,you know, we'll continue to assess our needs annually and also assess them as we ,you know, start to implement the first plan.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Where are you all located? Like where is your office located?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: We are right across the street...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: at 253.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you have enough space? That's ,like, it seems like you like ramped up with a

lot people...

these agencies as to indicators they could add to

24

2 track if they were reflecting racial equity
3 priorities?

So , you know, that is like...

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Mm-hmm...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are you thinking about how to incorporate racial equity into these other structures and documents that these various agencies produce on a regular cadence?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Absolutely, so that's certainly a goal and where we want to get long term bringing more integration between those two reports.

One thing I will share is as part of this process we've also been able to engage the Mayor's Office Of Operation who manages the Mayor's Management Report. They joined all of our training with trainings with the Racial Equity Planning teams, uh, and gave a really wonderful training on developing indicators and outcomes.

And we've also worked to build some consistency between the indicators that are in the Racial Equity Plan and the indicators that are in the MMR, right, so that there's not only streamline reporting for agencies, but so that New Yorkers can sort of see the big picture.

/

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, so you talked about how you've expanded the team on the racial equity side. In general, overall are you currently engaged in any process to expand your staff? If so, could you share any information in regards to the number of openings, titles, any other hiring timelines?

COMMISSIONER SHERMAN: Sure, so we, uh, our headcount is 37 within our MOERJ Budget, that does not include the Commission on Gender Equity and the Young Men's Initiative. So just within our overarching MOERJ budget we are at 37 headcount; we have 25 positions filled. We have three candidates who are in the pipeline as we speak, who hopefully will be onboarded soon for roles, and we have 10 positions that are still in the hiring phase. So, somewhere between interviews, offers. So our goal is to complete all of our hiring by the end of this fiscal year.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. That is all questions - let me double check - I think I had for you. And I just want to reiterate my support for your work, and I look forward to working with your office to advance the Racial Equity Plan to consider it, and bring it up in upcoming hearings, budget hearings,

Equity.

Ŭ

\_

I lead CORE in partnership with 14 commissioners and 10 staff members. Today, I would like to provide an overview of the role of CORE in the racial equity planning process with a focus on our efforts in the 2024 Racial Equity Planning cycle.

I would also like to thank you for hosting this hearing during Black History Month, a month where we are celebrating the history of our ancestors while also recognizing that we have a long way to go.

The New York City Commission on Racial Equity was established in the November 2022 vote to hold New York City government accountable to advance racial equity in government operations and increase community voice in government decision making.

Three of our five charter mandates speak to our role in the racial equity planning process.

First, our commission must identify and propose Community Equity Priorities and outcome indicators relevant to the well-being of members for possible inclusion in the citywide and agency Racial Equity Plans in accordance with the Equity Planning Schedule.

Second, following the release ff Preliminary and final citywide Racial Equity Plans, the Commission

2 shall make a public statement assessing the extent to

3 which the plan is responsive to Community Equity

4 Priorities and make recommendations on strategy

5 | indicators and goals set forth in the plan.

Third, CORE will track and publicly report on the agency and citywide compliance with the racial equity planning process.

Government agencies must be held accountable to the racial equity planning process and timeline outlined in Chapter 78 of the New York City Charter.

The City's racial equity planning process set to occur biannually starting calendar year 2023 begins with CORE submission of Community Equity Priorities and indicators due October 1st.

Due to significant delay in my hiring, CORE missed the first deadline, thereby pushing back the launch of the process by eight months.

According to the Charter, the Chair and Executive Director and all commissions should have been in place no later than August 1, 2023. My first day was October 11, 2023 The Commission was not fully appointed until October 30, 2024.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Once I came on board, I hired a team of six staff members and supported elected officials to complete their appointments to the Commission.

The second core staff member did not join until March of 2024.

Simultaneously, I worked closely with the Mayor's Office ff Equity and Racial Justice, also known as MOERJ, to develop a revised timeline for the 2024 racial equity planning cycle, which was shared with the public via the MOERJ website.

Commissioner Sideya Sherman of MOERJ and I agreed to the release of a Preliminary Racial Equity Plan no later than October 31, 2024 and a final plan no later than December of 2024.

As of today, the plans are one 112 days late from the October 31st deadline and 399 days late from the original date in the Charter.

As a result of this delay, the Fiscal Year 2026 budgeting cycle has launched without the necessary quidance Racial Equity Plans should provide to understanding the changes in investment strategy the City will take to ameliorate racial and social and injustice.

1

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to now provide an overview of the work CORE has done to move forward the 2024 racial equity planning process.

The city's racial equity planning process begins with CORE submission of the community equity priorities and indicators. As defined in the Charter, community equity priorities and indicators quote "consider those needs and priorities that local community members view as most relevant to their well-being, giving particular consideration to the priorities of groups or categories of community members that have been historically underrepresented in or underserved by government and its process." We refer to these groups or categories of communities as communities harmed by racism and social injustice. In November of 2023, CORE began drafting community equity priorities and speaking with partners across government and the public to ensure that community voices informed CORE's work early and in every stage of the process.

CORE is committed to ensuring communities harmed by racism and social injustice inform and guide all of our work. On May 13, CORE released 16 draft community equity priorities to city agencies and the

2.2

2.3

Ana Almanzar.

public. Prior to public release, CORE received

feedback on the draft priorities from 21 community

based organizations participating in the Task Force

on Racial Equity and Inclusion Neighborhood Network

Initiative and the New York City Participatory

Budgeting program. Priorities were also shared with

the Mayor's Office of Equity And Racial Justice as

well as the Deputy Mayor for Strategic Initiatives,

On May 13, 2024, CORE presented the 16 draft community equity priorities at the Racial Equity Planning training. We shared draft language, examples on how agencies can operationalize these priorities in their forthcoming plan, and strongly recommended that each agency identify at least one priority in each fundamental value that they would respond to in their plan.

Community equity priorities were released in draft form so that CORE can solicit feedback from community organizations on the themes presented, add themes they felt may be missing, and provide edits to the language. Both the public and government partners were able to provide feedback through any of the following avenues: An online survey available in 12

2.3

languages; public testimony via email; host a CEP or community equity priority community conversation led by a community member; or invite CORE to lead a CEP community conversation. We collected feedback from May through August of 2024. At the close of the inaugural engagement campaign, 16 community equity priorities were finalized, and we added two new community equity priorities, all of which are reflected in the final two pages of the testimony.

Throughout our engagement, CORE worked with community to better understand and identify who are the communities harmed by racism and social injustice. The New York City Charter identifies following groups as marginalized: "Black, Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, Middle Eastern, and other people of color, women, religious minorities, immigrants, and people who are LGBTQ+ and people with disabilities."

Community members shared that they want CORE to include the following groups to receive particular consideration: youth, elders, people who are incarcerated, and people who are unhoused.

Additionally, they requested that Middle Eastern

category be extended to include North African and LGBTQ+ be extended to include intersex and asexual.

I would like to take this opportunity to highlight that the New York City Charter, our city constitution, upholds the LGBTQIA+ community as one that has experienced racism and discrimination. The Charter calls on us as government to stand firm in our support and protection for the rights of the LGBTQIA+ community, including their right to safety, prosperity, and their right to receiving gender affirming care from New York City Government and government contractors.

Our organizing and engagement practice strives to center communities harmed by racism and social injustice. Over a four-month period, CORE received feedback from 4,212 respondents. We partnered with 42 organizations across five boroughs, which yielded 220 CEP community conversations. In total, CORE spent \$410,000 on the first cycle of community organizing and engagement, \$390,000 went to community organizations, and \$20,000 in administrative costs.

Now I would like to highlight the diversity of our respondent pool which we believe is why community

experience, as well as disability and chronic conditions.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

With respect to diversity of education, 17.8% of respondents' highest level of education was twelfth

Respondents reflected a diversity of educational

diversity, equity and inclusion to hold New York City

CORE organizing and engagement practices center

were provided the option "preferred not to answer".

2.3

24

25

2 government accountable towards becoming a multiracial

3 democracy. We used a Likert scale which asked

4 respondents to identify their level of agreement or

5 disagreement with the proposed priority and open

6 ended questions.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Respondents shared additional requests including but not limited to a call for reparations, lowering the cost of living, ensuring students with individualized education plans receive their services on time, and increased childcare supports.

Over 80% of community members agreed with 13 of the draft community equity priorities and 68 to 78% agreed with three draft community equity priorities.

CORE worked with the Institute for State and Local Governance to analyze 4,212 Likert scale responses and 1,500 open end responses. To support the developing Racial Equity Plan, CORE led briefings on what we heard from community.

Two internal briefings were conducted for racial equity planners representing city agencies responsible for drafting plans. We held two public virtual share outs for interested members of the public, which was also open to government staff. For more information on our virtual share out, please see

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS 77

our recorded session available for the public via our

YouTube page at Commission on Racial Equity.

2.2

2.3

Following our briefings, CORE drafted updated CEP language for the commissioners to workshop with the public prior to finalizing.

In addition to 4,212 responses, CORE produced 16 draft community equity priority data profiles to provide a baseline understanding of each priority using publicly available data. We have begun assembling existing data and data that offers insights into the historical and current inequities. Profiles can also be found on our website at nyc.gov/core. The information provided was used by CORE's commissioners to make final edits to the language and vote to approve 18 community equity priorities on November 20, 20024.

The Commissioner's vote on final community equity priorities can also be found on our YouTube page @CommissionOnRacialEquity. Community feedback on the draft priorities is also outlined in a summary sheet which is available via our CORE website.

I would like to now provide an overview of the challenges we faced in the 2024 Racial Equity Planning Process.

2.2

2.3

Despite CORE's success, we faced significant challenges working to fulfill the New York City

Charter Mandate. We did not have sufficient staff to support robust outreach throughout New York City or conduct a more thorough analysis of existing publicly available data pertaining to the community equity priorities.

By June 2024, CORE had grown to a staff of six with each area of work managed by one staff member.

CORE's success can be attributed to the dedication of our staff who are not only committed public servants, but who continue to demonstrate an unwavering commitment to the fight for racial equity and social justice for all.

CORE was intentional in its decision to only use publicly available data to ensure transparency to all communities. Unfortunately, we experienced significant challenges due to limited data accessibility and insufficient data disaggregation within publicly available data sets. Publicly available data sets allowed us to see how limited the data was in its representation and acknowledgment of communities harmed by racism and social injustice.

Much of our publicly available data is outdated, not

disaggregated, and inadequate for a thorough analysis using a racial equity lens. Given our city's diverse population, various races, genders, gender identities, and ages, our research must reflect that diversity.

These challenges are rooted in structural racism and must be addressed to truly advance racial equity and meet the needs of voters who overwhelmingly called for these changes.

Our city pays lip service to diversity, but that diversity is not reflected in the data used to make decisions on policy laws and budgets that impact communities daily.

In closing, I would like to refocus today's conversation on our north star and the necessary steps for Fiscal Year 2026 budgeting process.

Economic stability for all New Yorkers requires that government be accountable for their actions, whether it is to explain delays in their work, changes in funding allocation to neighborhoods or communities, or transparency in day-to-day work of government staff such as child welfare, homeless services, health services, or police officers.

The north star of racial equity planning process as well as CORE's mission is to structurally shift how our city institutions operate and invest in communities harmed by racism and social injustice.

When the public voted for CORE, they envisioned structural changes that would stop the killing of Black people and communities of color by law enforcement and those acting on behalf of law enforcement.

Additionally, they were intentional about pursuing a new way of life that would allow them to thrive as opposed to just surviving. Charting a new way of life included the ability to afford food, housing, transportation, and the ability to fully participate in community without having to live [pay] check by [pay] check.

Structural change requires a sincere effort, political will, and significant investments, all imperatives that are made explicit by the Racial Justice Commission when it introduced the City's racial equity planning timeline.

Noting the importance of budgeting and resource prioritization to racial justice process, the Commission unambiguously expressed its intent for the

racial equity plan to intersect with the City's budget process and prefaced the timeline with a clear directive for the City's executive branch to develop racial equity plans simultaneously with its development of the citywide budget before the budget is submitted to city council.

In departing from this aim, the City risks undermining its capacity to ensure that all New Yorkers enjoy the opportunity to thrive.

Finally, structural change requires
accountability, and over 80% of New Yorkers engaged
with CORE agreed that holding city employees and
their agencies, including police and social service
workers, responsible for any harm and abuse of power
is a priority for advancing racial equity in our
city.

Therefore, I would like to bring to your attention the recent ruling, dated February 12, 2025, by NYPD Deputy Commissioner of Trials Rosemarie Maldonado, stating that Lieutenant Jonathan Rivera should be terminated from his position for the murder of Allan Feliz that took place on October 17, 2019.

I hope that the family of Allan Feliz can count on you, Chair, and this committee to ensure that this

recommendation is upheld without interference and that the Feliz family receives justice. Thank you.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you for your patience. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for all the things you're doing for New Yorkers and New York City. I'm actually quite amazed at all the stuff you've been able to accomplish, and I feel like you've answered so many of our questions.

I will if you can asterisk the one she didn't answer while I random questions I had while you were speaking.

So when you talked about your data, uh, the question that I had is, do you have access to agency data like from HRA or ,you know, a respective agency to cross reference, or if you're looking for something or trying to answer a particular question about some type of something, do you have access to agency data?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: At this point in time we do not have access to agency data. We would like to set up MOUs with agencies to be able to pull in their data, but we have not had the opportunity to do that as of yet.

\_

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, and do you have a data person on your team?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Our Director Of
Research And Policy serves as everything with respect
to data. So she has been creating partnerships across
city government but also with local organizations, as
well as national institutes like the Urban Institute,
to be able to identify data sets that are pertinent
to the community equity priorities. We also recently
brought on an associate within that particular team
that has a focus on quantitative data. So between the
both of them, we've been able to work with publicly
available data.

But I do want to underscore that in order to be transparent, we want to use the data that is publicly shared so that there is no questions by community on how we got to our particular finding. But in that, we want to further push the Administration and city agencies to release more publicly available— to release more data to the public, but also to make sure that that data is disaggregated.

And Chapter 78 does call for standard disaggregation guidelines to be used by all city

2 agencies so we look forward to receiving those when

3 they're shared.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I also wanted to thank you for your details. I'm like wow, you're including your method - Likert scale. I'm like, oh, I feel like I'm back in school.

Anyway, the other question I had had to do with the same thing. You just you just kind of answered it really, which is if you have any recommendations on the data that you, one, feel should be publicly available, and if any agencies are not disaggregating said data, who are those agencies and what is that data?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Sure. So, as it stands
Chapter 78 does call for data disaggregation, and our
charter specifically also defines that term to really
uplift its importance, both in the racial equity
planning process, but also in the work of good
government and in the work of multiracial democracy.

So as it stands, there's no standard guidelines across New York City government, whether it is for city agencies or independent commissions or task forces. That is something that MOERJ I know is currently working on. When that gets released, then

2.2

2.3

we'll be able to see what agency, if any, is complying or maybe not in compliance, what technical assistance they need. However, that work, to my read of the Charter, is the responsibility of MOERJ. We worked with them closely on several things, and so we're more than happy to work with them to move forward data disaggregation guidelines but that

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So wait, you're saying MOERJ is supposed to provide ,like, a template for all agencies - explain that to me. What are they supposed to do?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: So according to the Charter, my read...

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mm-hmm?

really is the first step. Uh...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: is that MOERJ is responsible for releasing standard guidelines that all of government should use. I do want to note, though, that prior to MOERJ's existence, there were also New York City laws about data disaggregation, that aligns with Local Law 174, that was really sort of like a first early version of what racial equity planning could be in the city, but that local law was specific to just a few city agencies. Right? So

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

86 Chapter 78 really expands racial equity planning to the entire - to all of city government - and with that must come guidelines. And I know that that is something that they're working on. And that's something that we will be looking to see in the racial equity plans.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I know , you know, here in the Council a lot of times we're preempted by the state; we're preempted by the Admin, but what I do feel a lot of people tend to do is ,like, reporting bills...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: and sometimes these reporting bills kind of ,like, force the agencies to disaggregate their data.

So it would be good to know what agencies either maybe are not complying, because I feel like enforcement is just a problem, like, we have these laws already written, but compelling people to actually follow the law is a different story.

So it would just be helpful to know because it seems like you have a sense, or you could point out specific agencies, the type of data that is available, not available, disaggregated, not

disaggregated. It would just be some good information

3 to have.

2.2

2.3

You mentioned this line of much of the publicly available data is outdated, not disaggregated and adequate - various races, genders, gender identities, ages, our research must reflect that diversity. Do you have a sense of ,like, what research are you talking about? Is it just ,like, general or, again, can you ,like, pinpoint and say ,like, in Department of Health ,you know, they released this report, and this report didn't have XYZ, but the NYPD, they did this, but it - like, do you have specifics?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: No, we do not have specific agencies that, uh, I would say are doing it really great or not doing it at all. I think what we have found across the board is when looking at publicly available data, that there is a, uhm, I would say mismatch on what demographic categories are collected by city agencies and what are reported, uh, what demographic characteristics requested and may be listed as voluntary or not required.

We also in our work offered respondents to write, "preferred not to answer" if they felt uncomfortable,

but even having some of that voluntary data was particularly helpful.

2.2

2.3

I will say we heard the call for disaggregated data during COVID-19, the early stages of COVID-19, when a great number of our communities harmed by racism and social injustice were dying at a higher rate, were experiencing illness and hospitalizations at a higher rate, but also impacted by all the social determinants of health. And then we started to see the Health Department shift also in how they were reporting, so we're seeing that disaggregation. But we do want to see that across the board. We want to see that consistently.

So when we're talking about what reports, there is no report. There is no data share that should come from government that is not disaggregated. All of our data must always be disaggregated for race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, zip code, chronic illness or disability.

This is essential information to being able to make informed decisions that ensure that our policies and our investments are addressing the people in the greatest need and are impacted the greatest, whether it be by natural disasters or climate change or a

2.2

some more digging into that, because that is quite

So thank you for flagging that. I will be doing

disease or potential vaccine or remedy. We need disaggregated data for every single report. Every single reporting bill that comes out of City Council should have specific instruction in the bill to disaggregate the data.

And I would also say that we have a series of preliminary hearings that are coming up for city agencies, and when they are sharing their data we should be asking them, "What is the breakdown? Where is this investment going? Which communities? What are the demographics?"

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: No, that's helpful, uh, and it's...I appreciate you for bringing that up as like a CORE issue because you know I often say ,like, elected officials, we're reactionary, know, like something happens, we want to do something about it, we never really bothered to go back and see ,you know, how the data is being collected, how various groups, entities like you, can actually use the data to effectuate change, at least the change that I know I want to see.

2.2

2.3

2 interesting, and personally fascinating for me on a
3 nerdy level.

So another question that I have, is CORE currently fully staffed, and are there any plans for further expansion hiring?

started, we were approved to have 12 lines, seven in the first year and five in the second year, the first year being 2024 and the second year being 2025. Of the 12 lines, 11 staff are in place. The twelfth staff member was actually just recently secured her start date which is March 10th. So we will have all 12 staff members by the middle of the month.

We were recently approved for five additional lines in the January plan, and we are submitting the PARs to OMB and we will be putting up the job descriptions by no later than the March.

However, we are asking for more staff. So in the Executive Plan, we will be asking for five more staff, and this is to help ensure that the work for Local Law 91 and 92, our New York City Study on Reparations and Truth Healing and Reconciliation, can in fact be done sufficiently, and that's why we need more staff.

2.2

2.3

But we also need it to build our operations and administration team, because we will be giving out more money to community organizations through both of these bills, plus we are starting the second cycle of community engagement for the next Racial Equity Plan.

So we are in an interesting time where we are still awaiting the preliminary plan. However, CORE has already started planning for the second cycle, which we hope to launch in March or early April at the latest, so that we can help the City get back on track with the original timeline in the Charter and be able to deliver to the City community equity priorities and indicators on October 1, 2025.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Cool.

Will CORE be engaging in the budget process in relations to its own budget?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: We are currently in conversation with OMB, and we're also gonna be briefing City Council Finance on what requests we're making for the Executive Budget and then what potential requests are coming down the line.

I do want to reiterate that CORE is very much still in a launch phase. We had to redo our organizational chart, build out more roles. There are

,

plenty of compliance roles in city government, for example, language access or disability access, EEO, or just being able to fulfill all of the reports that come out of City Council.

So we've had to create a chart that allows for a strong infrastructure so that our Community
Organizing And Engagement Director, who managed to
work across all five boroughs, just themselves, with
of course the support from the team, but they have a
really big job, and they're responsible for robust
engagement and they have taken on language and
disability access. And so part of the work is to take
that off of their plate so that they can solely focus
on community, uh, as we have goals to reach more New
Yorkers in the next cycle.

We've reached 4,212 with a staff of six. We are hoping to reach over 5,000 New Yorkers in the next cycle since we have some more staff.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

What about your engagement on the budget process as a whole? I know you and I have been having conversations around budget equity, so I don't know if you just want to talk a little bit more about how

3

1

4

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you envision playing a role in the budget process as a whole?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Yes, so our fifth Charter mandate, according to Chapter 78, and our section, indicates that CORE is to respond to requests from the Speaker of Council and council committees on matters related to racial equity. We're going to be sharing some feedback with City Council Finance when we meet with them about some concrete questions. We are also planning for a forthcoming event, which we're hoping to share with the public in the next week, that will bring together community and government, so both elected officials as well as city agency staff, to think through what are measures and processes that we can put in place to assess the degree to which our New York City Budget is equitable or is integrating equity into the decision making process.

We do make ourselves available for all City

Council members to talk through potential pieces of

legislation as well as any key hearings that are

coming up. And we are happy to share with them our

data and what we've learned through community equity

priority engagement process to ensure that they have

2.2

what they need to talk city agencies during their upcoming hearings.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, no, I appreciate that as a person who talks to your office pretty frequently. But ,you know, I feel like these things should be codified. It should be a requirement that certain things happen for certain bills and things to be passed, uh, whether it's ,you know, some type of racial impact statement on our bills, or even ,you know, racial impact statements or thoughts on our budget.

It is quite interesting to me how ,you know, even here at the Council we do not provide oversight on the budget with an equity lens. You know it's typically focused on these ,like, big issue areas and respective agencies, whether it's over time as an example. But we don't really go deeper into the micro of like, well, how is this agency actually spending resources across the boroughs, across different demographics? For something that's not you know I would say like a sexy topic like catch basins, ,you know, for areas, communities of color that ,you know, live in areas that typically flood. There has been some thoughts and studies around the fact that catch

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

basins in certain communities aren't cleaned as expeditiously as other communities. I mean I think that level of analysis is very important, because most of the issues that I feel people have in the city have to do with quality of life. Like, people just want to go home and ,you know, sleep well, and they want to be able to sustain themselves. And in the course of that, they're interacting and interfacing with so many components of our city, again, that aren't just ,you know, having to do with NYPD as an example -which is very important- that I think that the work that you're doing, that we're doing I guess, around budget equity is going to be important. And shoutout to Jimmy, too, who has been really helpful in that thinking as well.

Has CORE been given any opportunities to consult or give feedback on the development of a true cost of living measure?

executive director tigani: We have not had the opportunity to give feedback. We have been in conversation with MOERJ as well as some of our partners about the importance of the true cost of living. We did alert MOERJ to the fact that many, if not, I would say all, I would go so far to say all of

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our respondents, all 4,212 respondents have said New York City costs are too high. And for that reason, we added a community equity priority that specifically talked about the cost of food, transportation, and housing.

I do want to note that when we talked about the cost of living with community, we had originally talked about the cost of high quality food, and we had community members across New York City saying to us, we're not at the point where we can talk about high quality food, because we can't afford food, because our pantries are our grocery marts.

And so when we're talking about the cost of living, our community members who are living check to check, who are just surviving, it's hard for them to hear us come in and talk about, "What do you need to thrive?" Because what they say to us is, "Can you lower the cost of bread?" "Can you lower the cost of fruit?" "Can you make it so that supermarkets and the prices at supermarkets actually match what the neighborhood has with respect to their financial... access to finances?"

I also want to just uplift that people have raised the high cost of transportation and feeling

2.2

2.3

that they have not heard New York City say enough that \$2.90 is too high. In the conversation of congestion pricing, we have heard a lot about what that toll is, where that money is going, what's the impact on drivers, what have we heard about the cost of taking the train or the bus, and the cost of the ticket if you are caught by NYPD for jumping the turnstile - that there's a very serious economic cost that, as we have seen, can cost some New Yorkers their lives.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CORE allowed individuals and organizations to submit input online via CORE's website. Could you provide us with more information on the input received in this manner?

Would you say received more public engagement on these topics or do you believe this option has allowed you to reach a wider audience?

Could you share how many responses you received via the online forum option and what sort of outreach CORE has done to inform the public of its opportunity to provide input?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Sure. So with respect to our online option, community members have the

Platforms that you all are forced to use.

24

./

executive director tigani: Well, so through the online survey we received over 535 responses. We believe we did get people who were not able to come to a community conversation. We had shared the survey link across all of our social media pages. Many of our staff also added the survey link to every email that went out, whether it was to a government partner or a member of the public.

So we had a fairly robust campaign; however, we did not at the time use any paid advertisements, which is something that we are planning to do in the next round.

With respect to the feedback form, what we learned was that it was long, and where people struggled was in the way the draft community equity priorities were written. And so a lot of the requests that we received was to simplify the language which we did for the final round.

We also received a lot of both added themes, requests for changes that the public wants government to make in the open ended section. And we had a range of people that agreed and disagree with the community equity priorities.

2.2

Some feedback was so specific, for example, we had a draft community equity priority that started out with, "Reduce the number of people of all ages in jail, prison, and court monitoring, and transform jails to rehabilitation centers." We had community members say to us, if you had started the priority with transform jails into rehabilitation centers, we would have been more likely to agree.

And so we got very specific feedback that was both the arrangement of the themes that we were presenting in addition to what they would like to see and how they would like to see the priorities be operationalized by the city agencies.

We did receive, just to note back to Local Law 91 and 92, several members of the public say we need reparations now. And so people wrote in any topic that was important to them.

And we had young people also talk about the cost of food and housing on behalf of themselves and their families, and we had elders talk about what resources are provided for young people. We had single mothers talk to us about whether or not they felt the community equity priorities was gonna make their life

in New York City as a single mom more manageable and what we can do to support them as well as their kids.

And then we had again very specific requests for can we change this one specific operation in an agency? The primary example that comes to mind is families saying, "Can you make DOE get our children IEP services early in the year rather than at the end of the year?" And so again it really ranged from reparations, this long standing promise that absolutely must be met, to let's dive into one particular agency that was directly impacting that individual at the time and raised that operation.

And as we know racial equity is both a process and an outcome. So we needed to hear what are the specific things impacting people and what feedback do we need to give to MOERJ and city agencies to ensure that it's in the plan.

And this is also - I know we just talked about budgeting, but I just want to uplift that the comment, the public comment that CORE is going to make after seeing the plan is really going to help us better understand how much of the city agencies work is actually shifting structure. What are some early signs of shifting structure and investment? And we

are gonna specifically be looking for which community equity priorities are they sinking their teeth into and how our feedback can not only help move that process further along, but also provide City Council with both content, as well as some ,like, content for the hearings that are coming up, but also some thought provoking questions that we hope you will integrate into your preliminary hearings.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, that sounds very good, and I'm looking forward to it. You know, I think, again, back to talking about myself, because I guess I'm an elected official, you know, we say a lot of like macro concepts, but we don't know ,like, the how or the why, which is why I'm again just very personally passionate about the work that MOARJ is doing and that you're doing, because I think it gets us to the how and why, and I don't think we focus enough attention on that.

Again, we know these systems are inherently racist, we say it all the time, but so much of the work that you all are doing, whether it's the true cost of living, the racial equity plans, the engagement with the community provides the support and foundation for us to understand how and why and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

103 to work towards tangible solutions versus just like rhetoric on the equities. So I appreciate that.

What sort of, I mean you kind of said it, but what sort of language access or translation services if any do you provide at the community organizing events?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: So we do provide translation for anyone who requests it. I believe we ask for at least 24 hours notice so that we can ensure that those services are provided. We translate all of our materials if requested by the public. What we are starting to do is also include executive summaries that are translated for some of our longer documents, like for example our testimony today. And we translate our newsletters so that the community is able to see just sort of what our are updates for the month.

We are excited to say that OMB has approved a compliance officer line for CORE. And part of that person's role, in addition to EEO and disability access, will also be language access.

And what we want to do is also begin to shift to get language translation support also from community groups. What we learned is that there are differences

sometimes depending on the language, on the translation we have, and how community members read it. And so what we did in the first round was, one, we learned about this discrepancy through our partnership with the Civic Engagement Commission. We have started to sort of double check some of our translations with community members before making them public. And then also identified the priority languages that the City sets out for translation and ensure that the online survey was able to be responded to in the person's preferred language.

We do then, we'll translate any open ended response that's written in a different language. Sometimes we go back to the community organization and say, can you translate this for us? Or we will work with the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs to ask for their support, so that anyone who is providing free response and their own personal thoughts that that is able to be fully integrated into our analysis.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Because you had such robust testimony, most of the questions you answered, uh, and I always appreciate agencies who

just answered the question. It helps for us to understand the work that you all are doing.

2.2

2.3

I have two more questions and hopefully they don't get you in trouble.

During the last testimony, you know, to me I feel like there's a lot of duplicity in the work, and I feel like ,you know, it's bureaucracy, which I also hate, but you know it's this idea of ,like - and not to ,like, be on my Doge tip, but, like, government is big sometimes for reasons that ,like, don't make sense ,like, why are we doing these things? Like, this is not actually demonstrating impact.

So I'm just wondering if you do feel there's any duplicity in the work across the Administration given the various you know like TRIE and MOERJ and CORE.

I mean it just seems like a lot of people doing a lot of things, and I'm not sure that I actually see the impact of all of this effort and maybe it just takes time, right? That might be it. But just wondering your opinion as well on like the duplicity of the work.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Thank you for the question. I am also always looking for efficiencies wherever possible. I do think that it's important to

2.2

note that MOERJ and CORE are still very much in a launch phase. And so the efficiencies that we're hoping to see really where - I think we're not going to be able to see it until the second full planning cycle has occurred and we've had the opportunity to both see plans, respond to plans, but also track investments and measure potential change.

I think having MOERJ and referred to in Chapter 78 as the Office of Racial Equity is a very important stronghold for any administration, for any government, as well as having an Accountability Office.

Where I think that we could look to see where we can be more efficient is in how we are thinking of outcome indicators, measures, and data disaggregation. So we know that the Charter already calls for us to have standard guidelines. I think that's going to be helpful in moving towards being able to identify where we can be more efficient with investments and programming.

But I also think that it's important to note that we need more integration of racial equity across government in order to see efficiencies, not only in

the way that we work but most importantly for the public.

What we did hear from community members was does the Health Department and the Education Department talk to each other? Are they creating a plan together? Does DOB and HPD talk to each other? Are they creating a plan together?

The public wants to see us work more closely together. We do think one of those ways is by having more publicly available data shared, but it is not the only way. We have multiple reports, as you mentioned earlier, that say a lot of things. And so we need to make sure that what they're saying is a clear concise message that advances racial equity and social justice for all.

We are not there yet, but I believe that the processes that we have built into the equity infrastructure will allow us to get there. And it is absolutely my responsibility, as well as Council's responsibility, to ensure that we are holding all city agencies accountable to doing that work. And I do believe that we'll be able to see that. But this first cycle is, because of our delay, has not been the best presentation of it, and I would encourage us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: I would say for me it absolutely is an open question to assess what the impact is going to be. I think not having the Preliminary Racial Equity Plan does leave a lot unknown, which is problematic.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I do want to just uplift Commissioner Sherman did just speak to what - really New Needs is a small percentage, and we really should be looking at how agencies are shifting their internal budgets and that some of the work in the plan speaks to that.

Unfortunately, we don't know that yet. What we do know is that without significant investment in racial

equity, without a substantive conversation in the budget process by all City Council members and City Council Finance, we're not going to see the change or the impact that we are expecting to see.

I think the Preliminary Plan is supposed to be a guide and so going into a budget process without a guide is concerning both from a government's perspective but also concerning for the public who have consistently raised the same challenges.

What I do want to also acknowledge is that there are racial equity impact assessment tools that are used in different parts of government. And what we have learned seems to be the missing is that the results from the tools are not raised in the decision making conversations. And so while... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, like make... (CROSS-TALK)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: I am a big proponent of (INAUDIBLE)... (CROSS-TALK)

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: the time and effort. Again,

I said that, it was like my biggest issue is that you

do all this work, it doesn't get implemented. That's

just the worst thing ever to me, because it's just

such a waste of time for government resources to do

2.2

all this work for there to to be no change or implementation to me is just crazy.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TIGANI: Well, is again why I would say MOERJ is an important stronghold for New York City, because there is a very critical component of technical assistance that is not only looking at data from a racial equity lens, using a racial impact tool to assess a policy, a proposed policy or legislation, it is also about how we are creating a process that allows for community voice and racial equity and social justice data to inform the actual decision and what support does City Council need to be able to be courageous in those conversations and ask those questions?

Now more than ever is when we need more elected officials stepping up for equity and stepping up for using data for equity. We know that there are racial equity planners across New York City, as well as public servants across New York City, that are committed to racial equity and social justice for all and they need us to have their back.

And so we do that in preliminary hearings, but we also do that by holding agencies and the

Administration accountable by saying we will be

Okay, so, I now open this hearing for public

testimony. I remind members of the public that this

2.3

24

25

public testimony.

is a formal government proceeding and that decorum shall be observed at all times. As such, members of the public shall remain silent at all times.

The witness table is reserved for people who wish to testify. No video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony, but may submit transcripts of such recordings to the Sergeant at Arms for inclusion in the hearing record.

If you wish to speak at today's hearing, please fill out an appearance card with the Sergeant at Arms and wait to be recognized. When recognized, you will have three minutes to speak on today's hearing topic:

Oversight - The State of Black New York and the Racial Equity Planning Process.

If you have a written statement or additional testimony you wish to submit for the record, please provide a copy of that testimony to the Sergeant at Arms. You may also email written testimony to Testimony@council.nyc.gov within 72 hours after the close of this hearing. Audio and video recordings will not be accepted.

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

2 And with that, I will call up and Jimmy and 3 Sharon.

(PAUSE)

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You may begin. Sharon you want to go first?

JIMMY PAN: Dr. Chair....

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Hi.

JIMMY PAN: and committee, happy Black History
Month, I am really pleased to be before the Committee
again.

You know, I come here in my personal capacity, but I was obviously very privileged to be the Policy Director of the Racial Justice Commission that put these ballot measures into law. And I can personally attest to the passion, the dedication, and the sweat of all the public servants in this room in making sure that we have structural change and that we're abiding by the spirit of these ballot measures.

But I think we have to say what has to be said, which is these ballot measures were put on the ballot in 2021 and they were voted on in 2022. As mentioned by Chair Tigani, we are 400 days past the original deadline for the preliminary plans and well past the postponed deadlines for the plans.

And I think given the chaos we all see across our nation and in New York City, it's up in the air whether we'll have plans by the anticipated deadlines. And I'm sure everyone is offering those deadlines in good faith, but I think we also have to be realistic and say, we'll see them when we see them.

And with that in mind, I want to draw a very foundational question. I know we've talked a lot about specifics and I know, Chair, you said you're less interested in the macro, but I think at the bottom of a lot of this, and as a lawyer, as a New Yorker, someone speaking in my personal capacity, I think we have to ask is New York City going to continue to be a city of law? Is New York City going to continue to be a city of law?

What we see in D.C. now is a challenge to law, a challenge to the courts, a challenge to the constitution, and I think we're starting to see that ripple to New York City. New York City is the greatest city in the U.S., and I think it's time for us to decide as public servants, are we gonna be the city that fights to uphold law, knowing that despite

in our nation's history law has often been used to oppress and marginalize?

But we have our own laws, we have a Charter, which every public servant swears to uphold. And we have these racial justice ballot measures, which for the first time in our city's history represents the will of the people, right? Not just legislators, not just past mayors, but New Yorkers, who came out in the thousands and spoke, (TIMER CHIMES) who voted in the tens of thousands overwhelmingly to change their city's central document, their constitution that we all uphold, and say we want a different form of government. A government that works for everybody. A government that has racial justice. A government that at its bottom provides economic security for all.

I think this is a big question we all need to answer in the coming days. It's not a theoretical question. We all see what is starting to happen in D.C. And I'm saying this not to lay this at the feet of anyone in this room in particular, but I think it goes all the way up to the Mayor, to the Speaker, to all of our elected officials - On behalf of New Yorkers, are we going to be the shield against the degradation of rule of law?

I'll just be very quick here and make a point

2 | 3 | s | 4 | E | 5 | r | 6 | c | 7 | a | 8 | E | 9 | t | 10 | p | 10 | p

2.2

2.3

since we're the Human Rights Committee. You know,
Eleanor Roosevelt and her international compatriots
really put human rights on the map. It's a pretty new
concept. But I think we saw after the rise of Nazism
and fascism in Europe, after the rise of the Great
Depression, they felt that human rights was something
that we actually need to put into law, create a
preamble, set a new set of values for government. And
meanwhile, her husband, FDR, came into office to
create economic security for all. Seeing the millions
of Americans suffering of hunger, waiting in lines,
and seeing that economic deprivation led to
authoritarianism across the world and to war.

And so those two together had the foresight and vision to put these principles - human rights and economic security - into law. And that's what led to the creation of the middle class for the first time in this country. And we know that many marginalized and racialized people were excluded from that, but they knew that these three pillars had to be upheld for the first time in American history to really have a middle class.

And so we see the middle class disappearing here in New York City. We see it disappearing across the nation. And we see the American dream dying. And I know these sound like grand points, but I'm serious when I say I think we're at an inflection point in New York City. And this is not only about the ballot measures, but truly about our commitment to overcome administrative hurdles, to overcome staffing hurdles, and to put really resources behind what New Yorkers said they wanted to see. Otherwise our Carter just becomes a suggestion.

And so with that I'll keep my remarks a little bit short. I'm happy to answer any questions. We've had, many years experience now trying to implement these ballot measures, and so happy to comment on my own personal perspective.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I see the same question I asked Linda, which is, one, do you see any duplicity in the work? And, then, two, you know given that the true cost of living hasn't been released, and the racial equity plans haven't been released, both are significantly delayed.

2.2

2.3

I'll ask you a two part question on that - which is, one, same question as Linda, do you think it'll have a tangible impact on this year's budget cycle?

And the other question is, given the delays and

the things that you mentioned and the political and social climate of this country and the state, the city, do you feel the lack of said plans and said true cost of living analysis is negatively hurting the most marginalized New Yorkers because these things are not have not been released?

JIMMY PAN: I'll start backwards.

Absolutely, I think that the failure to release these plans and these measures is something that is taking away our ability to address the core issues of New Yorkers. Right? I think last time I was here with the Chair Jennifer Jones Austin, we were making that point that at the end of the day, these are ultimately about economic security.

I think everything that's happened in our nation in the past year reflects the desires of Americans to have economic security, for New Yorkers to have economic security. And I think by not having these very fundamental tools, you know, the data, the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

plans, the measures, we can't even begin to understand what it is we need to do.

2.2

2.3

Of course we can think of big policy changes and understand the structural roots of economic deprivation. But it seems extremely misguided to me to not prioritize what in some ways is low hanging fruit, which is just measuring what we're doing.

After all, aren't we paid to improve lives for people? Don't we want to be able to show that, especially with elections coming up, to prove that government actually has an impact on the lives of people? So yes, absolutely, I think it hurts our ability.

I don't want to speculate on the budgeting process, but I have to say if I were a betting man, I wouldn't put a bet that it would impact the budget process. And I think it's just hard to imagine with how tight timelines are that it would.

But to your question about duplicity, I have to say firmly, no. I don't think there's any duplicity.

First and foremost, I think CORE provides an extremely essential function of raising the public's voice as a form of accountability. I think we heard Chair Tigani's testimony today calling out the

experience with data collection and it being released

to the public is because, uh, by the time they

24

25

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

release something that, I guess the measure was in '21 or whatever it was, by the time they release that, we have new situations cropping up now.

So if they're going to release some data, they need to find a way to get people together to make sure the data is accurate. Because, like in the medical field, you have data that says high blood pressure runs in the Black community, and then five or ten years later they say that data was completely incorrect.

So in the racial equity sector, some of the things that they are listing may not be accurate at this time even though it's a short period of time. So we need to make sure that our data is accurate.

So it might be a blessing in disguise that the data and things are withheld and not released yet because they need to make sure it's accurate. If they have to go back and find a different way to do it and it took some time, we would be grateful that they have accurate data that we don't have to keep doing this over and over again. I mean, there are gonna be some issues to crop up, but if we can actually cure some of the societal ills as far as racial equity and the different bills that are, uh, there, if we can

find solutions and data that helps us out, then we want the data forthcoming.

But there might be a reason that they're delaying, especially understanding how the data comes out and then many times it's inaccurate.

So the Civil Rights and Human Rights, we need to make sure that everyone has the right to freedom of religion. That's Judeo Christianity and Judaism. We need to make sure freedom of speech abounds, and this goes through the races. All races, all ethnicities, everyone needs to be able to have the freedom of religion, freedom of speech, they need to be able to have housing, the right to self defense. The right to self defense (TIMER CHIMES) is the right to bear arms. We need to make sure that they are dealt properly according to their race, not in a racist DEI type of manner, but that they are dealt with the sensitivities of their race. People should have food and clothing and safety is a civil right.

If we are talking about civil rights, people should be safe in their homes, they should be safe in society. We need racial justice, and the bible is the basis for constitution. There must be racial equities for the Jews or no one else will have it. Yahweh

2.3

the People.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 27, 2025