SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK 3 ----- X 4 TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES 5 Of the 6 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND 7 FRANCHISES 8 ----- X 9 May 30, 2018 Start: 9:47 a.m. 10 End: 2:23 p.m. 11 250 Broadway - Committee Rm, 16th HELD AT: 12 Floor B E F O R E: COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA 13 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Francisco P. Moya 16 Costa G. Constantinides Barry S. Grodenchik 17 Rory I. Lancman Stephen T. Levin Antonio Reynoso 18 Donovan J. Richards 19 Carlina Rivera Ritchie J. Torres 20 Robert Callahan APPEARANCES: Declan Rainsford 21 Rory Dolan 22 Rory Quinn Robert Ryan 23 Judy Fomaledy Kristen Carazolas 24 Michael Mullanphy Brett Pasher David King 25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 2 APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED) Rosemary Ibonny 3 Ron Ibonny Peter Angle David Rosenstein 4 Ethan Goodman Chris Barnes 5 David Cohen 6 Melanie Myers Paul Boardman 7 Billy Richland Richard Label 8 Huntley Gill Eric Polacnic 9 Chris Karado Brandon Charnas 10 Jeremiah Cox Peter Beck Lacie Taber 11 Michael Rooney 12 Roderick Chase Bernard Penn Victor Logan 13 Sebastian Dratulian 14 Bennet Grimen David Eisenbach 15 Eva Miller Sonya Williams 16 Clyde Simper Joseph Napoli

Sandy Reburn Julie Leak

Steven Williams

Dr. Milton Haynes Margaret Barb

Naomie Dickerson

Ray Rogers

Lucy Kotene

Ester Blunt

Enid Braun

Shawn Anderson Samuel Blackwell 23 Pearlene Higgins Peter Osemabull 24 Isabella Norwood Debra Water Caroline Hubbard Comanonweary 25

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
1	
2	APPEARANCES: (CONTININUED)
3	Ernest Augustus Shelly Hagen Allen Parker
4	Jene Lasema
5	Gloria Cox Lisetta Duncan Moore Jeff Samerson
6	Louise Vas Espinal Ellen Moseley
7	John Archer
8	Evonne Ashby Lucille Alene Olive Archer
9	OTTVO THE SHOT
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Good morning and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Moya and today I'm joined by Council Member Carlina Rivera. Today, we have many hearings and we will also be voting on some applications. If you are here to testify on any item on the calendar for which the hearing was not already closed, please feel out a white speaker slip with the sergeant at arms and indicate the LU number of the item you wish to testify on, on that slip and whether you are in favor or in opposition. Today, we will start with a hearing on LU 82, the Proposed Revocable Consent for Five Miles Stone to operate an unenclosed Sidewalk café at 1640 second avenue in Manhattan, in Council Member Kallos's district and I now open the public hearing on LU 82. Now we will be calling up the first panel. It is Mr. Robert Ryan, Declan Rainsford[SP?], Rory Dolan[SP?], Robert Callahan and Rory Quinn. If you all could please come up. going to now ask the Council to swear in the applicants.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL: When you begin, please state your names and I'll swear you in now. Do you each swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in response to all Council Member questions?

PANEL: All members saying, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: You may begin.

ROBERT CALLAHAN: Thank You. My name is Robert Callahan I'm a Licensing Expeditor. I'm just going to read a brief statement before the owner's address to Council. We withdrew our Sidewalk Café last year because we were turned down by the community board and Council Member Kallos. The Sidewalk Café then was on east 85th street. We applied again this year, but we moved the Sidewalk Café onto second avenue, so it would not affect the neighbors on east 85th street. The café consists of 15 tables and 32 seats. We would be using 7 feet 1 inch of an 18-foot sidewalk which would leave 10 feet 11 inches for pedestrians. We agreed to stipulate with the community board last year to close the balcony at 9:00 p.m. on east 85th street. Close all windows and doors at 9:00 p.m. and to mark the sidewalks of the café stays where it

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

should. Although the community board turned us down last year, we still closed the balcony, windows, and doors at this time to show good faith. We agreed to all the stipulations at this year's community board hearing. We also abide by the New York City bicycle guidelines not to use electric bikes, keep the front of the establishment clean, not participate in bar crawls and to have security present from Thursday to Saturday to limit potential noise from patrons that might congregate outside and to ensure there is no smoking in front of the establishment. agreed to take all Sidewalk Café furniture in at night, so it is not blocking the sidewalk. We have also given our cellphone numbers to the community board, so we are easy to contact if a situation arises. Finally, we posted requests in our neighbors building to try to meet with them but received no response on several occasions. At this time, the owners would like to address the Council.

2021

2.2

23

24

DECLAN RAINSFORD: Good Morning Council Members.

My name is Declan Rainsford, I am one of the owners

and the license holder. You know what we want to get

across to you is that yes, a decision has been made

on your part, but this has been very negative. We

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

have been viewed on very negatively over the last few years. You know, we've corrected a few issues that neighbors have - the one or the two neighbors in the building, we think it's the building behind us they've had. We've reached out to them. We've given them our phone numbers. They don't really reach out to us and address directly. Instead, they go a different route and they you know, make our applications for licenses difficult, so we've always been very available. There has been a café on that street for many years in the past. When we took over the premises, we continued with the café, but now there has been a stop on this which we feel has been unfair to be honest at this stage. We've met with neighbors, we've done what we can and now we still haven't moved on because of the neighbors really. Okay, I will pass you on to Rory Dolan, thanks.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

18

RORY DOLAN: Hi, I'm Rory Dolan. I am one of the owners here in Five Mile Stone. We moved into this neighborhood four years ago and the neighborhood was really derelict and looked like a crime zone. We bought with it with the Sidewalk Café. We put a lot of money into the business to build it up where it is right now. We were told in the beginning we would

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

not make it [inaudible 7:13] and we've been there for the last four years. We do feel that there are certain people in the neighborhood that is going after us and we tried our best to reach out to as many as the neighborhood to try and have them come and see us, no one did come in to see us. We have been treating the good neighbors for the four years.

9

Thank you.

RORY QUINN: I I'm Rory Quinn, I live in the neighborhood. I am one of the owners of Five Mile Stone also. I have worked at Five Mile Stone for the past four years. I have worked there five to six days a week. I work normally nights. I always make sure that that windows are closed at nine on both floors. I'm always out on the sidewalk, trying to keep the noise down. I also have reached out to different neighbors, given them all my phone number and you know, we really have worked hard. done everything we can to work with them. not really torn into a brunch yet. A lot of families If you come there on a Saturday or Sunday, a lot of strollers. As a guy says, you know there was different businesses there before and nobody ever made it. I remember our first year in business, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

heard from people, oh, you guys aren't going to last Nobody has ever lasted here. You aren't going to make it. We have really worked hard with the neighbors to make Five Mile Stone a good place and I think we have been given a bit of bad deal. You know, we are making a big effort and we are trying to do the right thing and it is just very disappointing that you know, the community board has torn us down. I've been going to the community board meetings every month. I haven't heard any complaints this last year and half about us. I even went down to the local precinct to find out about complaints, no complaints there either. We even spent money to put extra covers on the doors this year in the winter and you know we're doing everything we can to help the neighbors and to do the right thing. Thank you.

ROBERT RYAN: Hello Council, my name is Robert
Ryan and I'm an owner of Five Mile Stone. I started
working at Five Mile Stone two years ago. I'm not
going to lie, yes there was problems in the past, but
we have come like the last year in a half, we've been
fixing those issues. We've been closing the balcony
at 9:00 p.m. Like unfortunately to say like those
other like businesses in the area, they are staying

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

open to like 12:00, one o'clock in the morning and I feel like we're just getting [inaudible 10:29]. When I started working there, like I've turned brunches into one of the most family-oriented places in the upper east side. If you come there Saturday and Sunday like every second table, there is like strollers. Like and we even have like people here today like their families in that area. I just think the side of three people here is being taken as opposed to like - I handed in a petition last month to the community board of like over 200 people. There is just like a lot of neighbors. different like all types of people come into the restaurant, like old, or younger, family. Like if you just give us like a chance whether we follow the rules and I just want to say, if you can give us like another chance then we can prove to you that we can follow all the rules. Like moving forward, like I just know myself like I'm there every single weekend. If you could just come yourselves, you will see that's very family oriented.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Is everyone done? We have

Council Member Carlina Rivera who has a question. 24

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, when you spoke to Council Member Kallos, you have spoken to him correct?

ROBERT RYAN: Not him directly in his office. We tried over the course of a year to — we tried over the course of a year to meet with him directly and we proved to him very hard in the end that we did sit down, we had an appointment with Paul Restrict, in his office and we you know, brought an attorney with us so that Paul and Ben Kallos offices would see that we were genuine. That we wanted to work with him, his office, and of course with the neighbors. So, yes, we have reached out and he knows we've reached out.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Right because from what I understand he is not in support of this application and I'm — so I'm wondering what are some of I guess challenges that he has identified or some of the concerns he's had?

ROBERT RYAN: I don't think he has even been direct about the specifics on that. I think he is very much — there are a couple of people who are very close to us, very close proximity and they seem to be very much been able to reach to him and feed him with

a lot of, to be honest, inaccurate information.
We're not a noisy boisterous premise. You know, when
we close our doors there, we stand out on the street
and you can see the various bars in the area that are
noisier and even a neighbor from a building across
the street south on 85^{th} street, he even said to us,
I can't believe you're getting your license pulled
from your Sidewalk Café. You're not the noisy one,
they were his words. So, you know when people tell
us these things and we hear a lot of positive things
like there are people who live in the area, Trudy who
is here who has a business on the same block.
Another gentleman here at the end has a business at
the other end of the block. You know, these are our
livelihoods. These are our businesses. We
understand that we have a license. We respect our
license whether it's a liquor license, a café license
we always do the right thing. We have a lot of
staff, it's our livelihoods, it's the staff's
livelihoods and we put that in high priority. We're
not going to risk a license. We're not going to risk
getting violations you know, because that is
detriment to the business.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, thank you.

1	

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: We've also been joined by Council Member Rory Lancman, Barry Grodenchik, and Keith Powers. Just quickly, has there been any violations issued in the last year to your establishment?

6

ROBERT RYAN: No nothing at all.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Any 311 complaints based on noise?

ROBERT RYAN: No, we inquired about that and we haven't had any, no.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, thank you very much for your time and thank you for your testimony.

ROBERT RYAN: Okay, thank you.

DAVID KING: I'm here.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, the next group is Judith Fomaledi[SP?]. Is there a Judith in the room? Kristen Carazolas, Brett Pasher[SP?], David King?

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Come on up and Michael Mullanphy. If you could just identify yourselves and

just you have two minutes each. Start with you. 21

JUDY FOMALEDY: My name is Judy Fomaledi. I live and work on 85th street. I've been there for 14 years. I have a little girl's dress up tea party business and I frequent Five Miles Stone quite often.

I also send all my customers there. They bring their
children, they bring their grandmothers, everyone is
welcome there. It's a community restaurant, bar,
more a restaurant than bar. Its welcoming to all
ages and any given Saturday or Sunday morning, if you
walk in there, there are baby strollers. I brought
my 80-year-old sister there. I brought my sons
there. I have brought my six grandchildren. There
is nothing that is more wonderful than having a
restaurant like this where everyone is welcome. When
I moved to 85 th street, I had to make a choice. Do I
move to a quieter neighborhood or do I move to one
that's alive, that's ethnically diverse and that's
the neighborhood that this is. It's a wonderful area
to live in and for 14 years I have seen restaurants
turn over on that corner. This is the only
restaurant that has made it there. I think I'm a
little prejudice, I think the ownership being Irish,
it's a hospitable environment and I think it deserves
a chance and I think by taking the seating outside
away, it probably diminishes the revenue that they
will need to bring in in order to survive. Every
restaurant around there has outdoor seating. Thank
17011

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

Carazolas[SP?] and as mentioned Five Mile is such an amazing family-oriented restaurant. Everyone is very welcome there. Its very well run and we enjoy spending time there with our family and friends and as far as outside seating, there is restaurants across the street. There are restaurants two doors down that have open seating and I think Five Mile should also have that opportunity.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

MICHAEL MULLANPHY: Hello, my name is Mike

Mullanphy and I'm a member of community board, but I

am not here representing them and I'm also the Co
Chair of the Small Business Committee and to

reiterate what has already been said. There has been

a number of businesses that has come and gone in that

place over the last 18 years. I have been on the

block for 18 years. I also own Ryan's Daughter which

is closer to 1st avenue. I've seen countless places

come and go and right I know myself that they have

done their best to allay the concerns of the

community. They have jumped through hoops to take on

board what the community boarders have to say to them
and they're shutting the windows and doors at 9:00 at
night and they do provide a wonderful place for the
community I might add. Its not like their bringing
people in from other neighborhoods or from other
states for all sorts of crazy parties that goes on in
other places. They're actually there for the
community. The vast majority of their customers
there probably come from a ten block radius and so I
agree with the statement made earlier, that I think
there is a little bit of — there is something going
on where they're being penciled out or they're being
scrutinized unfairly and they got rid of their
Sidewalk Café last year and I ask that you support in
every way any opportunity to give them a chance to
have this Sidewalk Café on second avenue. I will
also add that they also employ a ton of people, quite
a few of them also live in the community and we have
all sorts of things coming down the pipeline such as
the fifteen dollars an hour wage increase, which is
going to hurt bars and restaurants across our city
and if anybody has walked up second avenue in the
last year, you'll see that there's more and more
small businesses, especially bars and restaurants

1

5

6

7

that are shutting down and leaving, so I think it's incumbent around the community and around the City

Council to support small business in the best way

they can and this would be a good start. Thank you.

I've lived in the neighborhood just like most of the

BRETT PASHER: Hello, my name is Brett Pasher.

8 other people talking here for the last 15 to 20

9 years. I've seen in that particular space as we've

10 heard before that there has been a lot of turn over

11 and no place has been successful, so just imagine

12 | this, at some point these gentlemen made a decision

13 | that they were going to pay the key money in order to

14 acquire the space. They spent hundreds and thousands

15 of dollars that they put away in order to make this a

16 family, beautiful place for the neighborhood. They

17 | invested in it. They've been part of the community.

18 | Rory lives right across the street from me. We've

19 | become friends simply because of the hospitality they

20 offered to me and to other quests that have walked

21 | into the establishment. They've done everything in

22 | their power as people have stated here in order to

23 make sure that they've been keeping their doors

24 | closed at night, responding to whatever local guests

25 | have, whatever complaints, they've done really

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

anything that I could possibly imagine business owners doing and all their looking to do is anybody that walks down second avenue during the summer will see that there are evenings or even afternoons where the restaurant will be empty because everybody is sitting outside. That's what people look to do during this time of year and to deprive this establishment of that same opportunity that everybody else in the area within - there's probably 20 to 30 restaurants within a five-block radius that have that opportunity. Just selectively decide that this one should and this one shouldn't without having any reasonable - I get for why you would deprive them of it makes no sense to me, so I hope that everybody here is listening and understands that they have the support of the people like me in the community. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAVID KING: Now that everyone has stolen my thunder. David King, I've been in the community for 20 years specifically on 85th street and I will absolutely support what everyone has talked about up here. I have been a tax paying community member for 18 to 20 years and again, I've witness several restaurants in the same space who have not made it.

- 1	•		

Some have been I would say not as nice as Five Mile.
They have only been there for a year, a year and a
half. They haven't utilized the space correctly.
Some of those restaurants were dirty and quite
frankly not up to par with what we have at Five Mile.
Brett mentioned the area around Five Mile and I did a
little research yesterday and I do have those
numbers. There are at actually a ten-mile radius or
a ten-block radius. Five blocks north and five
blocks south and there are 24 restaurants/bars that
have outdoor space and for Five Mile not to be able
to have an outdoor space, I think is unfair to them
and I think its probably a matter of one or two
people who have a voice within whatever authority
they have a voice and they're actually detracting
from Five Mile being a part of the 24 restaurants
within a five block radius north and south and I
think that's absolutely a shame. I also think there
is some responsibility when you move into the city.
If you live above a bar or a restaurant, you probably
are going to hear you know folks that are having a
good time. Your going to come across some things
that you may not want to see, such as vagrancy and
people smoking and things of that nature but that

-	
-	
-	
_	

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

comes with the territory. I'm holding on to the

button here. I feel there are other bars that are

absolutely providing more noise versus the 9:00 p.m.

shut off that Five Mile has. They shut their windows

down at 9:00 p.m. and there are other bars directly

across the street and on the corner that keep their

bars and windows and doors open. I think its unfair.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for
your testimony. We truly appreciate it and we will

hearing to take a vote. We will now move to vote on

take it under consideration. Thank you so much for

your testimony and now we're going to pause this

describe those items. We will vote to approve LU 70

16 an application for the Revocable Consent to Operate

items we heard at prior hearings. I will now

17 an Undisclosed Sidewalk Café at Café Taboo and

18 | Council Member Rodriguez's district in Manhattan and

19 LU 84 is 45 Broad Street a special permit for a

20 subway improvement in Council Member Chin's[SP?]

21 district in Manhattan and we will be modifying the 45

22 Broad Street application for a zoning special permit

23 which would yield a 3.0 FAR of bonus floor area to be

24 used in an 80 story mixed use building on property

located in the special lower Manhattan district in

- 1	•		

Council Member Chin's district in connection with
major improvements to the subway system including the
provisions of two new elevators. A modification will
memorialize small changes in the subway improvements
proposed such as signage at the street level
indicating that the south bound elevator is for
egress only from the south bound platform and to
specify the location on the bonus floor area to
ensure that this bonus floor area is not occupied
until certain subway improvements are complete. We
will also make clear that a core and shell TCO will
not permit any type of occupancy and make technical
changes making it easier to understand which subway
improvements are required. I'm going to now read
Council Member Chin's statement. Okay, this is
Council Member Chin's testimony. Its, good morning.
My name is Council Member Chin and I represent
Council District 1 in lower Manhattan which includes
the location where this application is located. I
would like to thank Chair Moya and my Council
colleagues, the Council Land Use staff, Roger Man,
Julie Luban[SP?], and Elizabeth Lee for helping guide
this important conversation for ensuring that the
voices of residents, workers, and people with

- 1	•		

disabilities were heard as part of this process. I
am pleased and look forward to welcoming the transit
improvement which will make the Broad Street J/Z
station fully accessible. It is a sad reality that
most subway stations in our city are completely off
limits to thousands of disabled New Yorkers and
visitors. At a hearing earlier this month, we heard
the heartbreaking story of one New Yorker whose only
recourse was being trapped on a subway platform, was
to wait for hours so that emergency personal could
get her out. It is my hope that such scenes will one
day be a thing of the past because it is clear that
until we make every station fully accessible, we will
continue to fail in our moral duty as well as our
obligation under federal law. This application
clears the way for important upgrades to the Broad
Street J/Z station with the installation of two
elevators that would make it one of only six
accessible entry points along the subway lines that
stretches from my district in lower Manhattan to
Jamaica, Queens. As a result of this process we also
have a commitment for fair wages and fair benefits
for building service workers and their family. We
have also secured a promise to abide by the shortened

- 1	•		

period of after work nours a small but important
victory for residents of nearby buildings who have
endured sound of heavy construction in the early
mornings, the evenings, and on Saturdays. While I
appreciate the applicant's effort thus far to address
these important concerns, it is clear that we have a
long way to go. First and for most, I want to put on
record as I've done numerous times before that the
financial district despite its name is now a
predominately residential neighborhood. This is a
place where young couples are choosing to raise their
families and where seniors grow old in the
neighborhood surrounded by activity and vitality.
The assurances of after hour variances at such a rate
where there are at least three active AHV's in one
single block would not be allowed. I repeat my calls
for the Department of Buildings to head our call for
relief and place the bar appropriately high for the
assurance of such permits that cause so much misery
for so many people. Despite this ongoing
conversation about AHV's and because of the
applicant's commitment to good jobs with benefits and
the vital transit accessibility improvements, I ask
my colleagues to vote in favor for this application.

Thank you. And we will be voting to approve LU 85
the Hudson Boulevard and Park Text amendment which
affects property in special Hudson Yards district and
Speaker Johnson's district in Manhattan. In 2005,
one of the major goals of the special district was to
create a new 20-acre open space network. The primary
open space in this network is the Hudson Boulevard
and Park a linear north and south park running from
west $33^{\rm rd}$ to west $39^{\rm th}$ street mid-block between $10^{\rm th}$
and 11 th Avenues while phase one was acquired and
built out by the city and open to the public in 2015.
Phase two, which runs from west 36^{th} to west 39^{th}
street, blocks 4 through 6 still remain in private
ownership. This Text Amendment proposed by the
Department of City Planning would make technical
changes to the zoning techs to facilitate the private
development of phase two of the Hudson Boulevard Park
for use by the public. We will be voting to approve
LU's 86 and 88. The Willow Avenue rezoning for
property in Council Member Salamanca's district in
the Bronx. Approval of these applications for a
zoning map change to permit residential use. A
zoning text amendment to designate the area as a
mandatory and inclusionary housing area subject to

1	

- 2 MIH option one and an Article 11 tax exemption will
- 3 permit the redevelopment of the applicants site into
- 4 an eight-story mixed use building with 134
- 5 residential units 100%, which will be affordable.
- 6 And now I call for a vote. Also, we've been joined
- 7 by Council Member Reynoso, Torres, and Levin.
- 8 | Council, please call the vote.
- 9 COUNCIL: Vote to approve with the modifications
- 10 | that have been described LU 84, the 45 Broad Street
- 11 application and to approve all of the following
- 12 application LU 70, the Café Taboo Sidewalk Café, LU
- 13 83, LU 85, and Lu's 86 through 88. All of which have
- 14 | the support of the local Council Members. Moya?
- 15 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I and all.
- 16 COUNCIL: Lancman?
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I.
- 18 | COUNCIL: Levin?
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I.
- 20 COUNCIL: Reynoso?
- 21 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I.
- 22 COUNCIL: Rivera?
- 23 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I and all.
- 24 COUNCIL: Torres?
- 25 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I and all.

2.1

2.2

2 | COUNCIL: Grodenchik?

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I.

COUNCIL: The Land Use items are approved by a vote of seven in the affirmative, zero in the negative and zero abstentions and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, now we will resume the hearing on LU 82. I will be calling Peter Angle, Rosemary Ibonny[SP?] and Ron — Ron, you got to help me with this one. Well before we start we just have to — Council needs to make a correction on the vote.

COUNCIL: I just want to correct that LU 83 was not voted on. The vote was on LU 70, 85 and 86 through 88. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Okay, we're going to get started, thank you. Just state your name for the record.

ROSEMARY IBONNY: Good morning. My name is
Rosemary Ibonny. My husband and I live on 85th
Street. We sold our home in Northern Westchester and retired to enjoy the city life. Five Mile Stone was the first restaurant that we went to when we moved here, and we have continued to go there. We bring people from out of town there. It is our go to

restaurant. There are a lot of issues on the upper
east side. Five Mile Stone is not one of them. I
live close to a fire house. There are homeless
people that will come up to you at all hours. Those
are a lot of issues. There are unclean streets, if
you walk in front of Five Mile Stone no matter what
time you walk there, those streets are clean. I
bring my older relatives there. I bring my young
children there, grandchildren, and we have never had
a problem. We have always been welcome. I'm really
confused why this outdoor license would be revoked
from them. I'm really confused. I have never had ar
issue there and I have had issues at many other
restaurants in the city and as a matter of fact, as I
understand it Andrew Como rented out their top floor
for a party, so I mean, take it from there. I don't
know. It's a great place. Their friendly, their
clean and you know what, business is hard to keep in
the city, very difficult. We see them going out all
the time. The last thing that I would want is Five
Mile Stone to go out of business because they're not
allowed to have outdoor seating, and everyone knows
when your stuck in an apartment all winter, all you
want to do is go sit outside and enjoy the nice

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

weather and I just find it incomprehensible that the
Council would consider this. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

RON IBONNY: Hi, my name is Ron Ibonny. recently moved, as my wife said, to the upper east side and obviously prior to moving there, we surveyed the area, the city what it would be like and as she said, we have a fire house on one side, we're in between two subway lines which creates a lot of people, a lot of noise. The construction for the infrastructure on 86th street has been going on for several years and in addition, you move into an area knowing you have all these other situations and you should be aware of it, so when I had gone there one day for brunch and it was nice and I asked one of the staff why we don't have seats outside, they said they were not allowed to and I said why? And they said some of the neighbors complained, so I said well, you can't move on top of a bar or a restaurant and then complain about the noise. That's like moving next to an airport and saying, planes make noise. So, I don't understand that. I mean a choice few people who don't want something should not take the majority

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the neighborhood and what we like. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

PETER ANGLE: Good morning, I'm Peter Angle. live in the neighborhood as well for many years. wife and I bring our two children to Five Mile all the time. This is a great family restaurant. It is run well. The staff is like family to us. You know, I even took off today just to come down here because I feel so strongly about it. I think it's a shame that there is no outdoor seating. There used to be and there was never a problem. You know, even my older son asked, why can't we sit outside? And I'm like well you know. Its bigger than that but its such a great establishment and it's just a shame that you know that there's no outdoor seating allowed. really hope that gets reversed because its just a wonderful place and thank you for the time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you all for your testimony, thank you. Now we have David Rosenstein. Thank you. Please state your name.

DAVID ROSENSTEIN: My name is Dave Rosenstein.

I'm a member of Community Board 8. I'm a resident of
Yorkville for over 50 years. The vote that we had as

-	
-	
-	
_	

a community board on this item was so incredibly
lopsided that clearly, we were voting on a different
restaurant than the folks who like the place. It
sounds like a lovely place, but they should move this
lovely place to 85^{th} street and 2^{nd} avenue where the
Five Mile Stone that I know is because its an
incredibly lousy, I mean I'm sorry lousy, noisy bar.
They have the whole bottom opens up, all the windows
open up to the street, the balcony opens up the
French doors all the way across it, people line the
balcony drinking and down below they even have
counters about this height, so people can standup and
drink from both sides, even on the street. It sounds
like Mardi Gras. You can hear it across second
avenue. The sound level is incredible at night. I
don't know about this nine o'clock closing the doors
because they don't. Its so loud, there is nothing
like it on the east side, nothing. It maybe a lovely
place, but the impact it has on the community
requires a response from the city to make them sit up
and take notice. If they don't get the outdoor café
and they lose the revenue from the outdoor café this
year, maybe they'll take us more seriously and deal
with the noise level. Its incredible and now with

2.2

the Cabaret law out and they do have live music and it amplified music, next step, I don't know no cabaret dancing and with people drink and dancing, it gets louder and louder but what it is now is incredibly loud. I'm across six lanes of second avenue with traffic moving, it offensive on the other side, on the west side of second avenue. It's that loud, its Mardi Gras. You know, maybe a lovely place during the day. I'm sure they're nice folks but they don't get it and the people that live upstairs were there before they were. We have people residents with people in the community. Please take it seriously. Give them a year to take a deep breath and see how they can handle this better.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

DAVID ROSENSTEIN: Thank you for listening.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none I now close the public hearing on the Sidewalk Café and it will be laid over and now we're going to resume the vote.

1	
ш	L

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2 COUNCIL: Continued vote to approve LU 70, 85 and 3 86 through 88 and to approve with modifications LU

84. Constantinides?

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I vote I and all.

COUNCIL: The vote stands at eight in the affirmative, zero in the negative and zero abstentions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay our next hearing is on LU 83 to 80 Richard Street waterfront application in Council Member Menchaca's district in Brooklyn. The applicant 420 Richard street LLC seeks approval of an authorization to modify requirements for the minimum dimensions and areas of water front public access and visual corridors in connection with the development of a five-story commercial building along the Erie Basin in Red Hook Brooklyn. A public walkway along the waterfront will be provided as part of this development and I now open the public hearing on LU 83. Ethan Goodman and Chris Barnes.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Did you feel out a slip?

ETHAN GOODMAN: Good morning. My name is Ethan

Goodman, I'm a planner from a Fox Rothchild over Land

25 Use -

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: One second, one second.

3 The Council will swear in the panel.

COUNCIL: Before speaking, please state your names and do you each swear from the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Ethan Goodman, I do.

CHRIS BARNES: Chris Barnes, I do.

DAVID COHEN: David Cohen, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you, you may begin.

ETHAN GOODMAN: Thank you. Good morning, as I said my name is Ethan Goodman. I'm a planner from the Fox Rothchild over Land Use Council to authority Richard Street LLC. The applicant for the Richard Street waterfront public access area authorization before you. I'm joined her by Chris Barnes, of SCAPE Landscape Architects. He'll walk you through the details of the proposed esplanade plans for which we are seeking relief from certain zoning requirements, but before I turn in over to Chris, let me just give you a very brief bit of background on this development and what we're seeking the authorization.

The applicant is planning to construct an

	П
-	

approximately 639 square foot six story office
building with ground floor retail space along Beard
Street and an approximately 3.5 acre publicly
accessible open space on an esplanade along the
entire perimeter of the site and via central quarter
through the middle of the site. I want to stress the
buildings use, floor area, height and set back are
entirely as of right pursuant to the sites M3 Zoning
and we're not seeing any relief from the Council for
those aspects of this project. Furthermore, we're
not seeing any diminishment in the overall amount of
waterfront open space that we're required to provide
onsite. In fact, from a square footage perspective,
we'll be providing more than twice as much public
open space as the 1.6 acres required by zoning. What
we are seeking through this authorization, are
modifications to the dimensions and configurations of
the esplanade primarily to provide the public with a
more diversified experience within that esplanade and
also to allow for certain elements such as intertidal
zones and get downs to enliven in this direct
waterfront. As you'll hear more about from Chris, we
believe this esplanade will provide a superior
experience for the public and serve as an entity for

	٠		

2

3

4

the entire community for generations to come. gait in the process, in March Community Board 6 recommended approval of the authorization in April, the City Planning Commission did the same.

that, I will turn it over to Chris Barnes from SCAPE. 6 CHRIS BARNES: So, good morning everyone.

7

name is Chris Barnes, I'm a landscape architect with 8

9

the landscape architecture from SCAPE. I worked to

10

design the waterfront esplanade and today I'm just

11

design, just so everyone has a good orientation -

going to run you through relatively quickly the

13

12

lets see - bear with me. Okay, so this is a nice

14

snapshot of the project here in the Red Hook

16

15

project is here as a seven acre, roughly seven-acre

17

parcel surrounded on three sides by water with a

about 700 feet long by 400 feet. One of the

and visitors to come to the end of such a long

esplanade, so we worked with city planning to

strategically implement retail spaces, amenity

Community looking back at the Save New York.

18

central communal kind of plaza space. The project is

challenges of the project was getting the community

experience. On the right-hand side here is Ikea is

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

spaces, as well as diversify the waterfront

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

off to the frame in the right in Erie Basin park and then this is a passive basin here and then to the south we have a more of a panoramic basin looking to Staten Island and the Verrazano bridge and then to the west, these historic warehouse buildings and more active boat traffic just for orientation. The four kinds of concepts or driving principals of the project were to introduce dynamic water systems and experiences, so in this top left image you can kind of see ecstatic and level experience. We wanted to really break that down and get people closer to the water. The second is we were dealing with a design flood elevation of fourteen, which is nearly 6 feet of the sidewalk elevation at elevation eight, so the finish for the building was quite literally 6 feet above the sidewalks. That was a challenge we dealt Three, was that this is the historic Revere Sugar Refinery, so one of the things we wanted to do was celebrate site history through materiality and we were actually able or intending to salvage and reuse some historic relics from the site and also, we were very inspired by the traditional construction methods to actually build this land that was traditionally wet land which is timber cribbing construction from

the 19 th Century. This is a plan, so we've broken the
plan into five, or four zones. The eco recreation to
the top here is actually that passive basin I was
mentioning. The basin panorama to the south and the
urban maritime and then the central kind of common
core called the mineral court yard. So, each of
these — the goal was to create a distinct character
and experience in each of these edges. This diagram
really speaks to really one of the goals of the
project, which was to introduce dynamic and inner
title water experiences and to blur the line. So, we
really didn't want to have just binary wet and dry
land with a single bulk head, we wanted to blur that
line and when you do that one of the things to do
that is bringing mean high water into the site and
when you do that, the line of jurisdiction for the
sure public walkway is mean highwater. So, these
areas in blue here are actually what we would
consider part of the open space, but there not
technically counted towards the zoning with for the
sure public walkway. So, these areas in red are
slightly less than the 40-foot required because we
wanted to introduce these ecological habitat moments
of inner title action into the site. It is important

- 1	
_	

to note that within each of these red zones, the
mandatory minimum pathwidths access is maintained.
So, it really is just having a value of what land
over dry. So, we did that each edge kind of has one
of these moments of a get down and then these inner
title shelves where we introduce inner title habitat.
Quickly going through each of kind of the edges. I
will walk you around the three waterfront edges. The
Urban Maritime as I mentioned is facing that active
boat basin which has water taxi's and private
marinas. So, you'll see in the plan here that we
have these overlook moments where you can actually
sit, which inner lounges and actually view these
experiences of active boat traffic. At the street,
we have an actual get down to the water. We can
actually get to the water level. In the central area
is a kind of expanded retail plaza half way to kind
of again, break down that long trek down to the end
with a kind of halfway stopping point, and at the
very end we have a large ample theater moment, more
of a civic space partnered with a restaurant and
retail immunity component to really opening up this
into a more civic moment with a great view to the
statue of liberty and you can see on the top here

	П
-	

this elevation from drawing left, you can see where
at the street moving up nearly six feet to get to
this esplanade. This is just a view of what that
looks like, so really the esplanade floating over
this kind of degraded riprap edge and you can see
this kind of inner title shelf at the bottom here.
Moving to the south, this is that ample theater
moment, so really that civic moment at the center.
You have the mineral court yard intersecting with the
southern edge with a generous kind of seating moment
to look out towards the horizon and then on the
drawing right is one of the largest kind of inner
title zones where the tide actually comes in on this
kind of vegetative plane and the wood continues where
you float over the species and the tree species in
this corner really harken to like New York State
ecology, so it's a very immersive ecological moment
in this corner. Looking in the plan, you can see
this is just kind of envisioning like its called a
summer day activated with temporary vendors, food
trucks, also looking at the elevation, you can kind
of see again, the dynamic nature of the elevation
where were not just a static flat boardwalk but we're
actually kind of creating ups and downs to the plan,

	٠		

to the elevation. In plain you can also see the
beginning the break down the space with textures of
pavement. You can see that in this one here, the
architect worked to use softened materials to kind of
soften up the underside of the [inaudible 54:26] on
the southern edge. We really saw these as an asset
given summer refuge from rain and sun. This is south
facing, so in the winter there will be also
additional sunlight reaching under and you can see
the pavement being broken down her to really activate
the space. This is looking from that southern
boardwalk, that central seating moment that I spoke
to and also a sunning line facing south. Moving to
the Erie Basin, sorry the Erie Park Basin which is
really a passive moment. We really wanted to kind of
play with the elevation here. So, you can see that
this edge actually has a lot of moments of ups and
downs and getting people really close to the water
and having a different experience. Moments of
negotiating that edge with more seating
opportunities. From Beard Street, we have a get down
moment that goes through the water complimented with
another inner title kind of terracing moment. Again,
softening the edge and bringing water into the site.

fou can see on the top here this elevation where the
blue line actually you know, you can see its moving
up and down. This is a secondary path system where
the primary would be more level and along the
building similar to the other edges and this is a
view of what that might look like. The primary path
again, up top here the grey, negotiated by a series
of seating steps and then looking back at Beard
Street in here, so you can see down to the water
those get down moments. So, really a diversity of
experiences and different levels along this edge, and
then final the mineral court yard which is that
central area. Really, it's a communication hub
between the two buildings but it is public, and it
will be I think a communal hub in some ways with
moments like a co-working lounge, grove, elevated
seating moments, these plazas here are the ideas that
they're drop off areas that can also be an urban
plaza like experience between the two. So really
seeing this as an active area. Again, these magenta
tones and colors are a temporary program, not
fixtures in the space. So, thinking of an activated
day, this is where we would have those reclaimed
sugar hoppers is within this space. This is a view

1	
н	
н	

of what that might look like, so thinking it's a very
lush experience. This kind of central space is
something you would be able to occupy and then having
that communication between the two buildings in this
space. From Beard Street looking at the entrance to
the recreational edge and then kind of seeing that
retail along the street, the building mass set back
in respect to the neighborhood and then also the
garage entrance here. Finally, this is just looking
back from Erie Basin what the project might look
like. You can see the waterfront esplanade is
actually jogging and stepping and really creating a
dynamic experience and then really seeing these kinds
of southern ends underneath the [inaudible 57:25] as
a destination. So, that's kind of a quick review of
the design and I'll hand it over to $-$
ETHAN GOODMAN: Yeah, that ends our final
presentation, we would be happy to answer questions.
COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great, thank you. I just
have a couple of questions and then I'll turn it over

relationship with the community been with the community been like in the last decade?

to Council Member Menchaca. What has your

ETHAN GOODMAN: With respect to this applicant,
yes. So, by a way of background, for purchases of
property probably about ten years ago and has been
searching ever since for a viable use of the land.
So, there was some demolition on original structures
on there and its been essentially vacant for about
the last decade and so I know the community has
wanted to see a productive use for has proposed a
number of uses in the past and those uses did not
meet with very much support in the community be they
residential or retail uses that would require zoning
changes and other discretionary actions. So, for in
consideration of that in large part, I went back to
the drawing board and thought long and hard about how
to properly develop the site and also, how to develop
the site under existing zoning. The zoning to make
certain uses and so the concept was, lets go back and
see what we can do, that zoning says this is what you
should do with that relief. In the interim, for some
years it was used as interim parking and so the past
couple years ago in recognition in the fact that the
bulkhead around the site had been continuing to
deteriorate through the years for obtained a permit
from the state DEC, a joint permit from [inaudible

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

59:12] State EC to rebuild the shoreline. Basically, rebuild the sheet pile there which they have done and executed over the past couple years. So, there has been some activity on the site and there has been some commentary that has come from the community on you know, making sure we consider that how we treat he materials on the site and the activity and so, we've attempted to be responsive to the community's concerns over the years. I know there is only a limited amount you can do when you have a vacant site. So, we think one of the most important first steps to take is to come up with a plan that's an attractive plan that we can get at least up and actually get this thing built and start to employ people and employ local people here and make sure we have a productive use of the land.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And what type of mitigation have you done in regard to the dust and other debris that the community has complained about in the last several years?

ETHAN GOODMAN: Sure. So, in conjunction with the construction of this of the sheet pile bulkhead. The rebuilding of the bulkhead, there is a fair amount of excavation that had to be done. We had

- 1	•		

essentially not take land from inside the water but
take land from landward to pull it out to expose some
of the cribbing, so we could actually build that new
esplanade around. So, we've pulled out a lot of
soils and other materials and they are now stock
piled on the site. There were concerns that were
raised over the past number of months by the
community about how we're treating those stock piles
to make sure that there wasn't dust that's blowing
through the community. We've had some discussions
with the community, some of which were facilitated by
the council member. We believe we're very
productive. We have now implemented a basically a
cov- not a cover but a spray on treatment for all
those stock piles that will basically — it a
tackifier to hold them down to make sure there is no
danger of anything spraying around in the wind or the
you know the debris and make sure those stock piles
are solid. We have also reached an agreement that by
November of this year, those stock piles must either
be entirely removed or some of them potentially can
be reused onsite. That's the goal and the reasons
stock piles are actually there is because we wanted
to make sure we got to a level in the approval

2.1

2.2

removed onsite.

process where we had a development and we could make sure we knew what we were doing and then recharacterize and reuse some of those stock piles. So, we believe in the next six months all that will essentially be taken care of, either reused or

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And uhm, I've heard the complaints that the community has been unhappy with your relationship with them. What commitments can you give to be a better neighbor to the community?

ETHAN GOODMAN: So, I think the first — I would not want to answer as a theoretical bad neighbor. I kind of let the community speak for themselves on that but I think the first thing that's important is that we develop the site with a use that can employ people and that can provide a great public benefit like this work from esplanade. I mean I think one of the reasons we're here today for this esplanade relief is that this is yet another sort of continuing the chain of waterfront public access in Red Hook. Years ago, back when this was the Revere Sugar Factory and the Todd Shipyard was next door, there was essentially a big wall, and nobody could get to that waterfront. We think this is a great

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

continuation of the ongoing trend to bring the public out to the water and let them engage in the water, whether it's a kayak launch or just sitting out on a sunny day. So, we think the very project would go along way toward improving that relationship. want to bring tenants here, we want to bring active uses here, we want to become even more of an active participant in the community rather than just a land owner that community members may believe is just holding land. So, number one, we want to build this, and we believe also in building it we'll be able to employ a lot people. Our tenants will be able to employ a lot of people, hopefully a lot of local people and we think that the project over 600,000 square feet of office will employ thousands of people in Red Hook. The harkening back to days where was Red Hook was and employment center and employed you know there were fifty to sixty thousand people that came in waterfront shipping years and years ago. So, we think by both bringing the public in here and by employing people and hopefully local people we can continue to gradually improve our relationship with

25

24

the community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. I know Council Member Menchaca has some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you Chair Moya. I'm really thankful that you started off with those questions. I think they kind of show the interest of the Council and not just for this project, but really for all projects and the need for community engagement and connection. I will say though that as a representative for the neighborhood as a Council Member, I want to lift up the voices that are not necessarily here today. Some of the people have had to leave and are not here and I want to really make sure that they're in this hearing and are heard. think what I - you know, I saw the photos. So, Ithink some of these are new but they kind of tell the story and if you didn't know anything but what you saw on your presentation, its kind of hard not to be inspired. I think you've employed some of the best architects, landscape architects to tell a story about how to engage water and community but that has fallen on deaf ears in the community for a lot of different reasons and one of those is that community was never necessarily involved in the creation. you see here today, is something that was designed by

	П
-	

people in the room that are inspired by their own
reasons but not necessarily with the DNA of Red Hook.
The DNA of the neighborhood and I just want to call
that out. I think there was opportunity, this site
was partitioned in $2000 - I$ think it was 2005 , so
over a decade. You can correct me if I'm wrong, but
it was more than a decade and that relationship has
kind of deteriorated or has never been given the
opportunity for connection and for building trust.
To your point about one of the biggest concerns and
there are many concerns. I'm going to read them are
the stock piles. That I think just two weeks got
addressed and so, if you can imagine these mountains
of dirt and debris flowing through and dusting the
community and I'll kind of read through some of the
DEC, the state DEC observations that they made in
November of 2016 saying that they had failed to
follow best management practices for debris removal
in 2016. Again, on December 1, 2016 again, on
October 26, 2017, again on December 4, 2017 and now
we're in 2018 and finally we get a solution. This is
the track record of some developer that has all
intentions that we're hearing that wants to build a
relationship and has failed to do that. Not just in

	٠		

Red Hook but across the city in places like Coney
Island, Thor Equities has a relationship problem with
community and so we do our homework in Red Hook and
there has been a lot of - just a lot of continuation
of that, of that mistrust. Andrea Sampson talks a
lot about her issues. Theresa Greg Wakabayashi[SP?]
from Richards Street talks about issues. Melissa
Sinsetti[SP?], Mary Mikhower[SP?] from Vandyke Street
says there are manifold concerns regarding this
development site. Community engagement and local
employment Maritime Integration, disruption of a fish
habitat, lingering eye soars, a responsible
stewardship of a prominent waterfront location and
the local streets as it connects to the site. The
intersection of Beard and Richards Street is often
impassible. Ruble everywhere, destroyed street
trees. The entire community has been wanting to be a
part of this conversation, denied. Sears flooding on
Beard Street that hits the property is prolonged now
with serious flooding issues that did not exist
before Thor Equity started doing things on that site.
Eleanor Spielberg on Coffee Street. Mark Nidal[SP?],
Robert Barrios, Court Side, an organization that is
concerned about the waterfront talks about Thor being

- 1	•		

a destructive steward of that property. A cold
shouldered, all community suggestions to make it
better, they should not be rewarded by rubber
stamping of this council for their plan. They've
destroyed historic and iconic structures. They
refuse to allow port site to enter the property soon
after the purchase to document historic structures
and seek small artifacts that could be removed. This
goes on and on, and so in fortune of nature, people
of New York are that the changes that they're asking
for are reasonable and I have not much power right
now to say no to them because they're okay. They
could be better. Portside would have loved an
opportunity for a docking station for an historic
ship, not happening. So, this kind of represents the
kind of final thesis which is and one more person,
Allison Reaves whose also an architect that lives in
Red Hook. Currently the regulatory process at ULURP
and other agencies do not have any accountability
built into the approval process. Developers are
essentially given the benefit of the doubt that they
will uphold their promises and follow the rules and
regulations. Once approvals are given, then it
essentially becomes the community's responsibility to

- 1	•		

Tunicition as a watch dog to make sufe that the
developers are doing things as their approvals
demand. Community members can call and make
complaints but there is no guarantee that the
violations will be properly noted and rectified and
when multiple agencies are involved there is no
coordinated effort to address the problems that
holistically ULURP approvals especially for
developers like Joe Sitt[SP?] with a long track
record of warehousing land, getting variances and
zoning changes, then flipping the site should be made
conditional upon the infrastructure and land work
before approving any variances for the building or
project. Without accountability there's no incentive
to comply and this is the history of this developer.
These are my words. The kind of responsible and
impactful behavior exhibited by Thor Equities in Red
Hook is unfortunately not new. Countless
neighborhoods across the city have suffered at the
whim of landlords and landowners and developers who
chose to put the needs of neighborhoods last and as
Allison rightly pointed out our land Use regulations
and government processes encourage this. As a
Council, we need to address these failures Owners

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

and developers should not be able to act with impunity in our communities violating state and local law as they pursue profit. We must develop policies and regulations that create accountability in our land reuse process. Residents in my districts and across the city are demanding this of us. So, this is where we find ourselves. Thank you so much for your presentation. I think I said what I needed to say. I think we need as a Council think about how we can hold you all accountable as we move forward. proposal is fine, its benign but here's where we find ourselves and I hope you are all as frustrated as I am and my community because this is happening in your neighborhood. Let's change the system, lets do that together. Thank you Chair for giving me the space and time to talk about it and lift up the voices in my neighborhood.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you Council Member

Menchaca and thank you all for your testimony. Are

there any members of the public who wish to testify

on this particular item? Seeing none I know close

the public hearing on this application, thank you.

We will now move onto the rest of today's public

hearings. The next public hearing is on LU 112, the

1
T

2.1

2.2

1568 Broadway Palace Theater Text Amendment affecting property in Council Member Power's district in Manhattan. The applicant seeks a text amendment to modify the special Time Square district signage requirement and its street wall and setback requirement. These changes will facilitate renovation of an existing forty-two story building and in connection with this approval, the applicant will fully renovate the landmark Palace Theaters interior and exterior. I now open up the public hearing on LU 112. Marline Myers, oh Melanie Myers,

COUNCIL: I'm going to ask you to please state your names before you make the affirmation. Do you each swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully.

I'm sorry and the Council will swear you in now.

20 MELANIE MYERS: Melanie Myers, I will.

PAUL BOARDMAN: Paul Boardman, I will.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Sorry, you have to push the button.

24 PAUL BOARDMAN: Sorry, Paul Boardman, I will.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: You may begin, thank you.

MELANIE MYERS: Thank you for having us. My name
is Melanie Myers. I'm an attorney with Fried, Frank,
Harris, Shiver and Jacobson and I'm representing Time
Square hotel owners LLC. They're the owners of the
property located at 1568 Broadway. You can see on
the screen that 1568 Broadway is really located in
the heart of Time Square at the southeast corner of
$7^{\rm th}$ Avenue and $47^{\rm th}$ Street. It is presently occupied
by a 1990 building, but included in the base of that
building, is one of the gems of the city which is the
Landmark Palace Theater. The action before the
committee and the council is one that will facilitate
one aspect of the re-envisioning redevelopment of the
site related to the Time Square Signage. The action,
and we won't go through this completely, but it is
one of a series of actions and it's the last action
that required input from the community board in
public review and we've been very pleased to have
received the community boards support at every step
of the way. We have received approval with regards
to a certificate of appropriateness for the
redevelopment and restoration of the Palace Theater.
We have received the community board support for a
revocable consent which among other things will allow

for an existing marche to be used as a performance
stage and we've finally have received the community
board support for the zoning text amendment that
we'll be talking about today. There are two aspects
to the text amendment. The first relates to the Time
Square signage. This is in the theater subdistrict
of the special midtown district and there are
substantial signage requirements today and what the
proposed amendment to the signage requirements would
allow for a uniform sign that can take advantage of
21 st Century technology rather than a series of
fragmented signs, which are what zoning allows today
and the second element of the zoning text amendment
will allow for space and terraces behind the sign to
be used for entertainment related uses which are work
in concert with the goals of the special Time Square
district. The scope of the action, which you can see
in that little sort of red circle at the bottom is
limited in scope, but its one that we think will
allow the building to be even a greater contribution
to the Time Square character and we look forward to
you questions and consideration. If its okay, I'll
turn it over to Paul and then we'll be happy to
answer questions

PAUL BOARDMAN: We'll share a microphone, if
that's alright. So, for those of you who haven't
been on the corner of $47^{\rm th}$ and $7^{\rm th}$, this is what it
looks like. It is a set of very confusing
conditions. In fact, we like playing sort of where is
Waldo in terms of finding the Palace Theater and its
very dark. Its low in some cases about eight feet
over one of the most populous and pedestrian packed
sidewalks in all of Manhattan. So, we certainly
think that there's a big opportunity for improvement
and we've been working on this project for over seven
years and we understand out obligation to the
community that we're investing in, and how important
this opportunity and rare this opportunity is.
You'll see today the scope of the restoration of the
Palace Theater is second to none in the history of
Broadway. We like to think that certainly starts
with the reverence for the asset itself. It's a
supper important theater. It's the home of the live
arts legitimately in New York and we began our
process by trying to combine cultural objectives and
commercial objectives in one development which we
hope we demonstrate that for you today. Time Square
is a significant history Broadway certainly is the

- 1	

thing that comes to mind but in another most
important way, it is also commercialization as part
of the icon that is Time Square. It's the reason why
people come from the world to see the spectacle of
Time Square at the same time as enjoy the art of
Broadway and I think that whats before us today is
something that allows us to sit within the tradition
of innovation and signage that has been around for
almost as long as Broadway has been. So, when we
look at our sign, we want to make it cool. We want
to make it interesting and one of the things we find
tiresome in the Square is simply a scrolling of
images across what is in essence in LED Magazine and
we wanted to create something that could introduce
culture and the live art inside of the sign itself.
So, we envision using the Marche platform as a stage
and then we spent two years engineering a sign that
can open and close, kind of like a cuckoo clock onto
Time Square. In raising the Theater and
rationalizing the economics with our selves, Mayfield
Development and our partner L&L, we also saw an
opportunity to cleanup 47 th Street. One of the key
things that 47^{th} Street has right now as a kind of
negative legacy of the theater that was built in 1913

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are these large external fire escapes and what we've done is take in the 16,000 cubic feet of exterior obstruction that the fire escapes represent and suck them into the building and replace them with new modern code compliant egress within the site itself which allows us to give back to the community, the very sidewalk that the theater took away some hundred years ago. So, when we compare what it looks like today to what hopefully it looks like in the future with your approval, we think we have a best in class district appropriate presentation to retail entertainment. You can see on the left-hand side of the right image how significant and prominent the entrance to the Palace theater is and in combination with what we done on 47th Street. Again, the righthand image with the extraordinary presence of the palace no one will miss where the palace is now, so our game of where is Waldo gets pretty easy here, but most importantly we also take away the things that interrupt flow on 7th Avenue right now. So, a hotel drop off, a theater drop off, where 1700 people come within a 45-minute window and stop 7th Avenue traffic is now most appropriate placed on the 47th street side of the property. We're pretty proud of what

- 1	,	١		

we ve accomprished with the randmark approvars and
just the reverence we have for the site, we're very,
very excited that we've been able to create an
economic construct that allows us to rationalize
putting some hundred million dollars into the theater
between raising it up and restoring it and we are I
think faithfully doing so. I think one of the
biggest things to point out is not only the
restoration of the plaster and the new seats and the
new lighting and the new entrance and the new lobby
but more importantly the back of house spaces, so
we've worked for almost as long as we've been working
on this project certainly an excessive five years
with local one and all of the great people that are
there to ensure that the back of house facilities are
properly designed. So, we've added some sixteen
thousand square feet to this theater in gross area
from what it is today and again, that sixteen
thousand square feet that the theater doesn't earn
anymore money on but its essential to its survival.
One of the biggest and most aggressive fronts to the
success of Broadway over the next hundred years is
our ability to support complex large productions.
So, places like Vegas can say hey, we've got this

- 1	

fancy stage, we have this fancy thing where you can
blow things up in and we have these really beautiful
antique theaters in many ways that can't support
that. What we've done is completely rebuilt a back
of house as part of this project and this opening in
the sign and this text amendment allows us to connect
the activity to whats going on inside. So, to give a
glimpse of the cultural events that are going on
inside and bring them out to the square in what we
think is a pretty interesting way. Picture if
inside, this is the approved scheme although its not
purple seats, its going to be closer to blue seats in
terms of the current landmarks review but this
represents the extent of the house restoration that
we have. This is a section through the theater
itself and you can see the theater to the right, the
large kind of shapely image on the right side of
this, and then you can see that the theater has been
raised up the same height as this Marche and stage
opening in the sign and wrapping between the sign and
the theater itself, are complimentary uses,
complimentary entertainment and attraction uses. So,
what we want to try and do is offer to the public
various levels. Its pretty expensive to go to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Broadway these days, so we want to be able to create live experiences and interesting attractions for the millions of people that are there including the millions of New Yorkers that are there on a daily basis that is accessible and interesting, and we see that as the primary use of the stuff behind the sign to the west edge of the theater itself and then to just increase the scale of that section, you can see the theater on the right or the project on the right and this is a cut all the way through Duffy Square and it shows something that city planning commission was quite intriqued about was how visible this would actually be to people in the square. So, by raising the Marche up to 30 feet off of the sidewalk, we're in a place where we can avoid distraction on 7th Avenue but engage the largest and widest pedestrian space in Times Square with is Duffy Square and we'll end with the renovated image of the corner. you.

20

21

2.2

23

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Just a couple of questions and then I'll turn it over to Council Member Powers. Whats the reason that you have to raise the entire theater to a 30-foot elevation?

2.2

PAUL BOARDMAN: Its part of the business thesis to open up the ground floor for retail. The ground floor had to be reorganized, so in its existing location the theater sits down on the ground with a very narrow lobby that comes out to 7th Avenue and the only way reorganize that is to lift the theater up and give it enough space, so we can have a dramatic access to the theater. So, it serves two purposes. One is to be able to improve the access and the logic of accessing Broadways arguably most important theater asset and that it opens up the ground plane for us to be able to offer retail —

street front retail along 7th Avenue.

MELANIE MYERS: Paul, just for a second. AN

American In Paris was I think one of the and I think

is Sponge Bob Square pants there now? And Sponge Bob

is there now. So, I don't know if any of you have

gone to either of those but there is actually right

now, there is not enough of a lobby to come in, sit,

figure out where your seat is. You really are

queuing in the street. So, one of the great

advantages of increasing the height is it allows for

a real lobby for people to come into.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And will the theater be part of one building with the existing 42 story building?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yes, the right now there is actually two buildings on that were five different buildings lots, but they have been merged into one lot in the approved application and approved building permit defines both of these as a single building now.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, and will the theater have its own entrance and lobby?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yes.

MELANIE MYERS: Yes.

PAUL BOARDMAN: And all of the — as I mentioned, all of the fire safety, life safety systems are now a 2014 code compliant system and in graded into a moderate and fire alarm public assembly, egress, etc., which it is not today.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, I now will turn it over to my colleague Council Member Powers.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you, nice to see you. Thank you for coming. Just to talk about the signage for a sec which is one of the items that you're asking for relief on. What is the required

2.2

number and amount of signage like under the existing
text and your proposing to make it one sign. Am I
correct saying its five signs right now? And that's

whats allowed under the context?

MELANIE MYERS: The amount of signage is the same, the area that we're talking about is from I think 30 feet to about 120 feet. That's the area where signage is required today. So, we're not asking for — really for more or less signage from that standpoint. It is the number and you can count the signage in different ways. One way is five, but there are different sizes you can get up to a requirement of about eleven signs. So, what we're doing again, is to remove those sorts of breaking the signs down into those various pieces so that we would have the one.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And if I'm looking at that right now, you have something like eight signs up there right now. There is a format by which you under the new proposal have one. I know on one of your I think this one rendering actually is the new proposal to have, but there is one proposal where you would have one large sign sort of combined the different screens into one. Am I correct with that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MELANIE MYERS: That's correct. Do you want to talk about the technology a little bit?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Sure. The requirements for multiple signs, the underpinning of that original zoning text, I happened to have kind of been around when they were thinking about it, was to assure that it was visually interesting. So, the multitude of signs at that time given the technology of signs at the time which were really bulbs and vinyl. multitude signs would add visual interest to an area of signage that is required by the text. case, we also spent a couple years innovating sign technology and looking at delivering the highest resolution sign in the world, which this is, and that technology which we think is in excessive the visual interest that was originally contemplated when they wrote having to break this up into multiple signs was achieved by the resolution and the back end that we're proposing. So, we can run eight or eleven high definition movies at the same time if we wanted to to make it visually exciting. We though in addition to that, we could add an opening in the sign that was also LED and those two would assure that it was extraordinarily interesting and engaging visually.

2.2

So, we can present as many signs as we'd like and in this particular image showing a kind of likely scale of a multi-image presentation and that it can go into a spectacular mode where it's a single image that might be focused on the opening and closing of the stage and the technology allows us to seamlessly and instantaneously move between those in a presentation cycle.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And is there a thought today on which way you would use the signage, one spectacular large sign or something of multi-image?

PAUL BOARDMAN: There will be — the economics of the signs in general are driven really in three categories. So, one is a transactional sign, which is showing multiple advertisements. In this case, we're featuring the ads that we have currently on the property which are oriented to Broadway and Broadway shows, and those are issued on a monthly basis in an open market in the form of RFP's that our property response to. So, a sponsor says hey, I want to place an ad, give us a proposal. So, there's that side, its transactional and its very active, and its cycling on a 30-day basis. That might extend to 60 days, but typically it's a 30-day cycle. The second

category of signage economics comes from sponsorship.
So, somebody wants to launch a product and says, gee
I'd like to have a month on your sign, and that you
have to balance with the monthly raising your hand
because you cannot turn off that demand. You have to
respond to it plus trying to do it with the
sponsorship. So, that sometimes divides this sign
into things that are lower and upper using the sign
as a way of giving different real estate offered to
these two market demands and then the third
configuration would be and call it performance mode,
where you would have a single image and the stage
would be open and that would be a third category.
And then that category would be integrated to the
visual presentation, so we participate in the
midnight moment everyday for instance, that the Time
Square alliance brilliantly integrates multiple signs
into a media and art installation that occurs and so
for anybody who hasn't seen it, drop by Time Square
at midnight. It's pretty cool and in that mode, we
would be integrating whats on the stage and on the
sign itself.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: The midnight moment is not a paid, its sort of a collaborative Time Square

2.2

alliance effort around art or creative design, so you could use the one sign to participate in that, but it sounds like most likely you'd be doing some version of multiple signs based on the economics of selling.

6 PAUL BOARDMAN: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Signed real estate in Time Square.

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it.

PAUL BOARDMAN: But it is important for us to support the art-based program that the alliance has, and we have for a decade.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: But you could do that under your current — I'm sure you do that under your current signage, is that correct?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yeah, not as cool but yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And then your current signage allowance under the zoning text today, how much square footage are you allowed? If your expanding your footprint in terms of signage as I understand it.

MELANIE MYERS: As a function of math, I guess we are a little bit. I think today the footprint of the signage is about 16,000 square feet and because of

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 just the change in profile, that jumps up a little

3 bit to about 18,000 square feet. At a visual level,

4 its again, its in the same place, but again, the

profile changes so the math does change a little bit.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Does 18,195 sound right

7 to you?

MELANIE MYERS: That's where it will be, and I think again, its about 16,500.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Today?

MELANIE MYERS: Today.

[BOTH TALKING AT ONCE].

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Got it and so with the Marche opening, that's I don't know if we can see -

MELANIE MYERS: Yeah, its on that lower -

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: unique opening in the sign. So, tell us what that's going to be used for and indented use, expected time and duration of use, what interaction with the public? So, lets start there.

PAUL BOARDMAN: So, I'd break that into two modes. What I would say is the most frequent is kind of cuckoo clock mode. So, in the same way that the camel sign 50 years ago did a puff of smoke, this would open and close and that would open and close

- 1	,	١		

and allow for the people in the Square to watch it
open and close which is going to be pretty
interesting because its literally a 900 Square foot
that will part in two and open up and move back while
its maintaining its illuminated state and you would
have people that would be occupying behind the sign
that could be able to be looking out, so kind of a
fun moment of occupying the signa and looking at
people occupying the sign and that would happen on a
frequent basis. It might happen once an hour, it
might happen once every twenty minutes but something
that's frequent enough to provide normal routine of
visual interest and then the second mode would be
something that's more performance oriented, which we
would do in a manner that the sign might amplify
whats going on on the stage and that would be a
performance that's oriented out to Time Square. When
we do a performance that imposes any of the
conditions that require a permit — an event permit.
We would coordinate with Time Square alliance and the
agencies having jurisdiction to secure that permit
prior to that presentation and that would be
certainly less frequent and would be something that
we would pursue on a routine basis.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, one use here is like a tourist attraction almost type, maybe that's not the intended use, but where the sign opens up on twice an hour, I'll say, and you know, it opens up and Melanie and Paul are standing there at their moment to shine at Time Square and displayed. Is that a correct definition of —

PAUL BOARDMAN: I think that's accurate, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And then the second one would be some sort of event or concert maybe or Broadway performance looking onto going outward onto the Time Square New Years Eve, being I'm sure one of the intended uses. What is the intended frequency of doing like something that's more outward?

PAUL BOARDMAN: We haven't exactly programed but its certainly not something that's happening on a daily basis. Certainly, something that's not happening on a weekly basis, but it could certainly happen on a monthly basis. What we see is right now the condition and being a member of the community, we love the fact that Time Square is programmed. It's important because it's an important public space, but in general when something gets set up there, it's a big intrusion on the community as a whole. There is

several days of set up, then there is an event, and
then there is several days of breakdown. So, the
impact of a single event might be as much as a week,
so the NFL experience that set up four years ago for
Super Bowl actually impacted the site a full week
before and a full week after on the set up and break
down. So, what we're coordinating with the Time
Square alliance is that this kind of opportunity
represents something that's a kind of plug and play
and then you're in and out immediately there after
into a normal operating condition. So, we don't have
the big black wires, we don't have generators, we
don't have big production trucks. We don't have all
of that stuff because its inside of our property.
So, we see it as kind of serving two purposes. One
in the performance mode is one doing better, more
professional performances, and then two, in and
around those events having much less impact on the
infrastructure of the streets and the Square to set
up and break down these events.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: And when it is open, you will be losing I guess even for a moment, part of the

24 advertising space?

2.2

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yeah, you commit to — you commit to presenting the performance when you're doing that, definitely.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Yeah, okay and then who will have access to that? Like we're talking about the cuck coo clock, obviously your terminology modo, who gets access to that balcony in the Marche and not forget the events but the normal usage?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Its open to customers of the operations of the entertainment and attraction facility behind the sign. So, it's a ticket that your likely to be charged for that has a reasonable price to it or it might be something that's a sponsored event or a sponsored facility that your allowed free access to. The economics of it are not quite defined yet and will over the next year or year and a half, it takes about three years to build this project. So, we have a little bit of time to define that.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: But you don't have a vendor today for that use?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yeah, we don't have a vendor established.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: You guys put out like an 3 ORFI like a -

PAUL BOARDMAN: Not yet, not yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Okay, when do expect to do that?

PAUL BOARDMAN: When we're under construction as a former operating company executive, you really didn't commit to something unless you saw it getting built and so its very unlikely that we would have a serious conversation until somebody sees it actually under construction.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: So, it will be a paid experience or sponsored meeting, somebody is paying for it. Its not open to the public, its not a public amenity?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Yeah, its not a public space amenity, no. It's a public access, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Right and the last question in respect of time. Just in terms of the other elements of this plan obviously you've talked about the Palace theater renovation and I appreciate the difficulty or the challenge but the making sure that we are modernizing and being able to accommodate different performances. On the street side, I know

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that you had talked a bit about cleaning up the

streets gape there, could you just give us a little

more information about what the plans are to make it

for the overall public a better pedestrian and

6 vehicle experience?

PAUL BOARDMAN: Sure, so right now you can see by the middle image on the screen that's the side, the 47th Street elevation of the existing palace theater and that is not an historic box, but whats inside of it we refer to as the Faberge egg which is the historic interior, but you can see that 47th Street is not treated as a pedestrian way. Its treated as a service yard for the theater. So, the theaters loading dock is in the lower left-hand side of that center image and then you can see a part of the fire escapes, but you don't see all of them because on the other side of the box of the theater there is another set of fire escape that come down that block public light, air and footprint of the sidewalk. Some 16,000 cubic feet, so when I'm walking by there, its not very welcoming number one. Two, it gets in my way because it cuts off the sidewalk and then three, at night its not a great place to walk by because it has lots of dark and scary little crevices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MELANIE MYERS: Right and then the image to the right of that Paul, that's looking on the 7th Avenue front so -

PAUL BOARDMAN: So, then in the upper right-hand corner, you see what your currently presented with in walking down 7th Avenue, which is almost like a tunnel below the sign and so the signage right now comes down to in some cases 9 feet over the public sidewalk and we have raised that to 26 feet above the sidewalk for this very purpose to make sure that its bright and airy. So, just deposing the two kinds of existing condition to the left and what we're proposing to the right, you can see the dramatic difference. So, now we've taken a street which is dark with lots of ins and outs for people to hide in and now are presenting one of the largest Broadway Marches which will also be LED and then a large new facade that allows that show to be advertised with a vinyl illuminated sign that's on the side of the building. In addition, there is an existing loading dock to the hotel which is about 30 feet from the corner of 47^{th} and 7^{th} . So, if you can imagine a truck, a trash truck coming out of that loading dock or any kind of delivery, it blocks the intersection

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

right where that SUV is in the picture and as you move forward, that SUV would be blocked by a truck that's waiting to dispose of trash or deliver something to the hotel today and what we're able to do is move that whole loading dock to your point Chair Moya earlier, is that another advantage of raising the theater is that we can take the loading dock and everything and move it all the way to the east. Moving some 170 feet from the corner so that a car can be waiting appropriately, or a series of cars can be waiting appropriately at the light and yet a truck can still access and exit from the property. Right now, those two things are in conflict as part of a necessity that the theater is in the way of moving that loading dock. So, we're able to resolve a number of pedestrian things along 47th Street give back actual sidewalk space, give back actual light and air, and remove pedestrian and vehicular conflicts that are built in to the current configuration of the property.

2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER POWERS: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you very much for your testimony today. Thank you, you're excused.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And now, I will call the next panel. Billy Richland and Frank Lazarto[SP?]. Just please identify yourself.

Hi, good morning. My name is BILLY RICHLAND: Billy Richland. Thank you for the time today. speaking on behalf of Tim Tomkins, the president of the Time Square Alliance, so we're the business improvement district for the Time Square area. 25 years, we've worked to improve and promote Time Square with thoughtfully cultivating the creativity and energy and edge the are in need and icon for the arts, entertainment and urban life for over a century. We represent the Time Square community and its stakeholders in our daily efforts. The Alliance supports the redevelopment of the policy or double tree hotel and the proposed amendment to the zoning resolution in order to construct a new sign structure at 1568 Broadway. The Alliance has had the opportunity to watch and review the design process of the Palace Theater redevelopment over the last several years and we believe the developers commitment to make the Palace Theater a commercial enviable development that also supports culture in the live arts is unwavering. The project will create

- 1	•		

nundreds of jobs in the arts, nospitality, and retail
sectors and will help ensure the 120-year legacy of
Time Square is a global cultural hub that continues
for years to come. Signs and advertising are part of
the distinctive character that make Time Square
unique and this project will help bring yet another
innovative sign to the area that will offer new and
exciting entertainment. The developers are committed
to working with Alliance and the surrounding
community to program the sign and provide quality
offerings that will enhance the experience of being
in Time Square. This new sign structure will also
create an opportunity to host distinctive events with
minimal impact on the plazas and pedestrian space.
Throughout the project, the development team has
demonstrated that they are good civic partners.
During past projects in the area, they sought to
minimize any negative impact on the surrounding
blocks during construction and solicited our
stakeholders for community input where ever possible.
We have no reason to believe that this will change in
the future. The development teams work will support
the many constituencies that depend on long term
viability of this Broadway Theater and add critical

1	
ш	L

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

creative and design elements with the sign and
improvements to 47th Street. We respectfully urge

4 you support the plan. Thank you for your time.

FRANK LAZARTO: Good morning. My name is Frank Lazarto. I'm a trustee with Local One and I'm here on behalf of James J. Claffey, President of Local One and IATSE. It was 30 months ago that Mayfield Development began a methodical process to execute what IATSE Local One believes is the most important redevelopment in a generation for the other district and Local One. It is only through the diligent and passionate commitment to Time Square Broadway in New York City does a vision like this become reality. have stood before the landmarks commission and community board and I'm here again today to personally express Local Ones support for the Palace 1568 Broadway Project. As you know we are the oldest entertainment union in the United States and the Premier Stage Hen Union of the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, the IATSE representing nearly 150,000 members. Local Ones presence on Broadway spans over 130 years and will continue as long as Broadway and the live arts are properly supported. Our members lives and the cities

-	
-	
-	
_	

remarkable attraction to the world are sustained by
entertainment in the Time Square special district.
The Palace 1568 project and bodies like no other what
we cherish is the very purpose of the special
district. Sustaining and expanding the live arts on
Broadway is the life of time square. We have
reviewed the project designs and understand them well
and support the adoption of the proposed zoning text
change. The theater alone will be the most
comprehensive restoration ever in the theater
district. At Palace 1568 Broadway, we have a
development team in Mayfield and L&L who are willing
to direct over two billion dollars into a project
delivering significant economic benefits to the
members of IATSE and the city. This ambitious
redevelopment project deserves our community support
and you can be assured that our 150,000 members stand
behind it. With this development partnerships
ability to create projects and attract investment.
No other development team demonstrated greater belief
in Time Square and the very CPC goals outlined within
the special district. Local One wholeheartedly
supports this wonderful project. Not only for our
members but for the community, for the theater

community as a whole. Thank you very much for your
time.

there any members of the public that wish to testify on this application? Seeing none, I am now going to close this application. The hearing on the last item is now closed and we're going to be moving on to the next item here. Our next hearing is on LU 111, the 180-188 Avenue of the Americas Application for a zoning map change for property in Speaker Johnsons district in Manhattan. The establishment of a new commercial overlay will allow the operation of a gym and a dance studio and I now open the public hearing

RICHARD LOBEL: Huntley is actually in the neighboring room, he is coming in right now. Good morning Chair Moya and to the -

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Did you fill out an applica-

RICHARD LOBEL: I did yes.

on LU 111. Huntley Gill.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Oh right, I got it.

RICHARD LOBEL: I think they put my wrong number.

1 Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Did you fill -

1	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

2.2

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: She's not speaking, she is just standing here, running through the slides.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Are we waiting on -

RICHARD LOBEL: Huntley has just joined us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay. I am now going to ask the Council to swear in the panel.

COUNCIL: Prior to speaking, please state your names into the microphone. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and you will answer all questions truthfully?

RICHARD LOBEL: Richard Lobel, I do.

14 HUNTLEY GILL: Huntley Gill, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, you may begin.

16 RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair and good morning.

17 Once again, Richard Lobel from the Law Firm of

18 | Sheldon Lobel. I'm joined by Huntley Gill, the

19 project architect as well as Amanda Iandoti[SP?]who

20 | will be rolling though the presentation. The

21 | presentation today addresses 180 Avenue, the Americas

rezoning. This is rezoning for several lots located

23 in lower Manhattan on 6th Avenue north of Spring

Street. The rezoning is a relatively minor rezoning.

The reason being that the current zoning of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

properties is 72 with a C15 overlay and so the rezoning does two things. The first is it changes that overlay from a C15 overlay to a C25 overlay and you can see the area highlighted in black dotted marks on the zoning change map and the second thing it does is it actually corrects the condition whereby several of the lots fronting on Spring Street currently have commercial district zoning on their rear portion and so this cleans up the zoning map to remove those from commercial zoning. Those are existing apartment buildings. So, that was done at the request of city planning. So, the cost of the rezoning is to allow for a C25 overlay instead of a C15 and what does this accomplish? Well the existing building is a fourteen-story building. It has 25 residential condominium units and it has ground floor and cellar commercial space and so as Huntley can discuss, being the architect for the project, there have been problems renting out that commercial space and so as is the case with many store fronts in lower Manhattan, there was a problem finding tenants to occupy this space. The interest that was shown and is currently shown for those properties have been of two kinds. The first is a physical cultural

- 1	•		

establishment or a health club, or a gym and the
second is a dance studio use group nine. Both those
uses are not permitted in a C15 district but are
permitted in C25 districts. The PCE Youth, the
health club youths are permitted solely after a
special permit process at the board of standards and
appeals which will be engaged in after the proposed
rezoning is hopefully approved. So, the short of it
is that the C25 enables more uses than the C15. It's
seen as a good thing because despite the fact that
its only a small change in designation, it allows
gyms, dance studios, some additional local retail,
some home improvement type of supply establishment,
so it broadens in the uses just enough for it to
allow this building to productively rent two spaces
to these PCE and dance studio uses. I would note
that we went through the of course, the hearing
process with Manhattan Community Board too. The
Manhattan Borough President, and then the city
planning commission on the Manhattan Community Board
to land Use and full board did approve the project,
they approved it. They had no issue with the zoning
of the property as a C25, they did have issue with
extending the C25 to include the properties on Spring

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Street. They felt that C15 was sufficient and they felt that a C25 was unnecessary, but they did approve the application for the development. The Manhattan Borough President approved the application in its entirety, so the Manhattan Borough President chose to approve the C25 in the entirety of that area noting that this was an area which is challenged as far as retail is concerned. Often ends of being a little bit of a dead space and so she wanted to encourage the ability of the applicant here and other owners along that area to be able to rent to a greater number of uses and the City Planning Commission did indeed improve the application in its current form allowing the C25. So, that's really the presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions as Huntley is about with regards to the architecture of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. I have a few questions. Uhm, so how long has the physical cultural establishment been in operation at this location?

RICHARD LOBEL: So, the physical cultural establishment from my understanding has been in operation since August 2017 and so typically because

- 1	•		

we do a lot of applications at the BSA to allow these
PCEs, most of these application, probably over 50%
are brought as legalizations. Many times, these
particular owners have somewhat of a hardship in
generating operating capital in order to develop the
funds necessary to bring this application, so PC
applications, the BSA regularly does these as
legalizations. The intention here would of course be
to bring the - or for the PC operator to come into
BSA and to obtain their special permit. This was
noted by the Manhattan Borough President as well as
the Commission who noted that despite the fact they
are not happy with that condition, that they
understand that reality and they understand that in
this application, the PC applicant would be doing
that. They also noted a more general discussion
which is that the PC special permit itself if being
called into question. The need for it in the C2
districts particularly because you've got a lot of
other intensive uses, bars and restaurants for
example which can open as of right and so, there has
been discussion and indeed there has been discussion
at the council of perhaps eliminating the PCE special

1	

2.1

2.2

2 permit so in light of that broader conversation, the 3 Commission chose to approve this despite that fact.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And sticking with that does the PC have the requisite DSA approval?

RICHARD LOBEL: They do not yet. They opened as an accessary health club in the building which would've enabled them to get permits to actually physically build out the space and then they basically were able to go no further because of the underlying zoning. The C15 did not allow them to go to BSA. Should the Council approve the rezoning the PC would then be able to go to BSA and obtain the special permit. I would also add that BSA and just in recognition of the difficulties facing these PCE uses, they actually have separate requirements for a legalization, understanding that many of these do come in as such.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Is the current use anticipated to change in the near future along Spring Street?

RICHARD LOBEL: My answer is no. Huntley would you be able to give an insight?

HUNTLEY GILL: No, we don't anticipate any change.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, and are there any other uses that are currently operating in this rezoning area that is not permitted in the C15 Commercial Overlay other than the existing PC?

RICHARD LOBEL: No, that would be the only one. There is a ground floor commercial space on Spring Street on the corner of Spring and Sullivan Streets, which is currently operating and is operating as an existing legal conforming use and then adjacent to our parcel, is God's Love We Deliver, a six-story community facility which is probably well known to the Council and that use is a community facility use which would remain unchanged.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay and is there a reason to believe that the current uses will be changed to additional uses allowed in the C25 in the near future.

RICHARD LOBEL: I'd say its unlikely. I think that the existing users have existing C1 complied uses. I don't think necessarily that an existing commercial space would have much motivation. The margin between the C1 and C2 uses is very slim. The additional C2 uses is kind of just a hold over of longstanding zoning districts. You know, for example

2.2

a funeral parlor would be permitted under a C2 not in a C1. A plumber supply establishment, things that wouldn't really necessarily have much commercial liability.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, thank you very much.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair.

members of the public who wish to testify in this application? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our next hearing will be on LU 113, the 85 Mercer Special Permit Application. This application seeks to use a waver to allow retail use on portions of the ground floor and cellar of an existing five-story building at 85 Mercer in Council Member Chin's district in Manhattan and I now open up the hearing on LU 113. Eric Polacnic[SP?], Brandon Charnas, Chris Karado[SP?]. Who are we waiting on? Okay, I now ask the Council to swear in the panel.

COUNCIL: Before speaking, please hit your mic and state your name. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that your about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

2.2

ERIC POLACNIC: Eric Polacnic, I do.

3 CHRIS KARADO: Chris Karado, I do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. You may begin.

ERIC POLACNIC: Thank you and sorry, we were out in the waiting area outside, so I apologize that we held you up for a couple of minutes and I didn't have enough time to put my jacket on but I'm more comfortable if you're okay with my —

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: You're totally fine.

ERIC POLACNIC: I mean no disrespect.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: None taken.

ERIC POLACNIC: I'd say good afternoon to the committee, but I see it down to the committee chair. Hello, it's nice to see you. We're here today for a relatively straight forward application that I'm proud to say was very well supported at Community Planning Board two and we also met with the effected Council woman Margaret Chin whose indicated that she was supportive of it when we met with her and we've also spent a number of years working closely with the Borough President. Its an application for property at 85 Mercer Street which is very similar to the building from ghost, if you are a ghost fan and at the ground floor of it, your not allowed to have

commercial uses at the ground floor because we're
located within M15A zoning district and that's got a
corky SoHo special district that does not allow for
commercial uses at the ground floor without showing
an effort to rent for permitted use for a period of
one year. It's an application pursuant to 74-781 and
what we're asking permission to do is to convert the
back of the ground floor of this building to
commercial use. The front of the ground floor of the
commercial use was the subject of two previous
approvals in 2000 and the early 90's. So, retail use
has already been going on in the front two units and
the back unit was traditionally occupied by an artist
who sold the unit many years ago. So, just to go
through with you very quickly on the power point, so
you can see whats going on. The building is behind
me. The spaces that we're talking about are the two
store fronts at the left and the right and you can
see the advertising signs in the windows. Those
spaces were actually already permitted by City
Planning for Commercial use, they just happen to be
vacant right now. The portion of the space that
we're talking about is you can't see from the
photograph. I will show you in a second as I go

- 1	•		

through, this just shows you where the property is.
Of course, it's in SoHo between Spring and Broom
Street, one block west of Broadway. This just gives
you more maps, so I don't need to go through this
with you. I'll cut right to the building itself and
this is one of the spaces that we're talking about.
In the photograph here, you can see at the cellar
level is a space that was traditionally lived in and
is now being asked to be utilized as commercial
space. We have the retail space as well in the first
floor and this gives you an idea of a plan. This is
the front of the building, this is the cellar level.
The left side shows you the cellar level. The back
is the portion where she can convert to retail. The
left side shows you what it is now. The right side,
where it is shaded, shows you that's where we're
going to be asking to convert to retail. The next
slide shows you the first floor which again, the left
side shows you what it is now. The front is retail,
the back was a former [inaudible 2:08:13] artist and
as you can see from the slide on the right, the
greyish area, the shaded area, is the area that we're
seeking to convert to retail. So, when all is said
and done, that slide on the right, everything that

	П
-	

you see there will become retail and that's what
we're asking permission for. This slide shows you
the efforts, the underlying findings of the special
permit are that we have had to undertake significant
marketing efforts to show that the space has tried to
be used for permitted use. Here the permitted use
would be a manufacturing use. Of course, as I just
showed you from the plans in the photos, it's the
back of a loft building in SoHo, so its not the ideal
manufacturing location. There's no loading berth,
there is no where for a truck to offload, nothing of
that, so we think we are very well suited for
commercial considering the front is already permitted
for retail, but nonetheless, we spent five years, a
lot more than the requisite one-year requirement
because we got caught up in a whole discussion on
this issues with City Planning and with the Borough
President, a much larger issue in SoHo. So, we spent
about five years marketing the property, about four
years longer than we've had to and this slide shows
you all the different local advertisements that we've
done in the New York Times, The Village Voice,
Chelsea Now, The Downtown Express, The East Villager,
The Villager at Gay City in Manhattan Express, those

	П
-	

are the local written advertisements which when we
started in 2013, was at the end of their print life
and that was the way the city was doing it up until
that point but of course, we went to the digital age
on the next slide, shows online advertisements which
is what we've been doing in addition to the remainder
of the marketing and joining me now is Brandon
Charnas, Brandon we said his name before, he is a
representative of the owner who has been coordinating
all the marketing effort, so he is here to testify if
you'd like him to. The third slide shows you the
different brokers that have been involved for the
last five years including the Cochran group, Compass
which is a relatively new name for real estate
brokers in the city. Cushman Weightfield[SP?],
Brandon Singer worked there and now Brandon Charnas.
Calvin Dolgen[SP?] who is a very well-known
manufacturing real estate brokerage firm and Warwick
Capital. So, those five brokers have been actively
marketing it and there is another part of the
findings is we're required to reach out to local and
citywide agencies and organizations to see if they
could find people that may want to take the space and
you can see there is a list. I'm not going to read

- 1	•		

them all but there is fourteen of them there that
we've reached out to and we've been in contact with.
Every six months or so, our brokers have been
communicating with them and they have not brought us
anybody that would be interested in the space. The
final column shows you the different asking prices
that have been asked for the space. We've been
bringing down the asking price through the years. We
started in 2013, asking 150 a square foot. After
many meetings with City Planning and the Borough
President, Margaret Chin, Council Member Chin, we've
lowered the asking price at their request, because
they wanted to see that we were making a zealous
effort to try to find the permitted use and we've
lowered, and lowered. We can't find a
single buyer, a single user but having said that,
Brandon is asking me to tell you and I'm sure he will
speak to it more too is to the actual results of the
marketing efforts which was throughout the entire
five years, we never got anybody that was sincerely
interested in renting the space and sell for true
manufacturing use. The example he was giving me was
a type of person that would call the type of business
would be somebody that makes eve glasses. You're

- 1	•		

wearing eye glasses, I'm wearing eye glasses. You
know so they'd call up and say we want to manufacture
eye glasses there and they would say great, we'll
rent it to you. And then they would go, but we also
want to have a spot out front to sell the eye glasses
and it was all a roost to — they want the retail
space really and they would do the manufacturing you
know, to try to accommodate that but obviously,
that's not legal. So, that's really all we ever got
interested in the space. We never found any true
manufacturers, so we believe we meet the findings of
the special permit. We have tried as I said, for
five years. We've reached out to anybody and
everybody imaginable. We've worked very closely with
city planning. With the Borough President, with
Council woman and EDC as well as all the other
citywide agencies. In five years, we've really found
not one single bonified valid interested party in
this space which makes since because it's a small
space at the back of the ground floor behind two
retail spaces in a SoHo building that has no loading
berth and no ability to manufacture, and with people
living upstairs. So, that's our request to you and

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 Chris Karado is here the architect he can answer, and any questions and Brendan can answer any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great, does anyone want to say anything before I ask questions. I want you to identify yourself.

BRANDON CHARNAS: Brandon Charnas of Warwick Capital Management. I was just going to say it was a lot of people would call up - when we lowered the asking price to 80 from 150, we got a lot of calls and people would basically start out saying they - or described that they wanted to lease the space to manufacture eye glasses and we would go through a process with them and talk about terms, and then as we get to the - we would say oh, lets have a meeting and you know, as we were going to have the meeting. They would say oh, by the way, I'm planning on selling. One guy in particular said I'm planning on selling eye glasses out of the space and I was clear from the beginning that this is for you know, we're marketing this as manufacturing like based on the flyer that your calling on, it clearly stated that there was no retail use. That we are not marketing this as retail and it was just a lot of wasted time

2.2

2 on top of the hardship that we were already 3 experiencing.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, just a couple questions. When did the current owner purchase the building.

ERIC POLACNIC: I think it was about 2012, I'm going to look at the dates, but it was about 2012. They didn't purchase the building though, they just purchased the space within the building.

BRANDON CHARNAS: Thirteen, 2013.

ERIC POLACNIC: 2013, yeah, the building is owned by — it's a cooperative and its owned by the people that reside at the cooperatives control by the residents that own shares within the cooperative that reside within the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Got it and when was the last time the rear unit was occupied by an artist?

ERIC POLACNIC: In 2013 when the Luskars[SP?]

sell. They were the artists that controlled that last unit. They were the last artists within the building and they sold for nearly \$20 million.

BRANDON CHARNAS: Right well that was when retail was worth a lot more money. Yes, you would do the

2.2

2 art out of the back and then use the front 800 feet 3 for a studio.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And how many artists currently reside or occupy the building.

ERIC POLACNIC: There are no artists left within the building and about four years ago the entire building was converted to a coop from a jail QWA, the CFO now does not have any hindrances on its occupancy. Its not a market rate building and you or I, or any other person could by a unit within the building and reside there.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, and are the retail spaces on the ground level, there not occupied —

ERIC POLACNIC: There vacant you know, we're suffering unfortunately the client is really just as a side note, and I know you just had this exciting presentation from a colleague of ours in Time Square a few minutes ago, what he is speaking about is the truth. The space is empty, its been empty for the last two years. We cannot find a tenant and the value of the property has cut in half while we have gone through this process. So, the \$20 million purchase price that I told you for the space. If you went out there and somebody left me the space and I

2.2

went to market it right now and I had to sell it right now for some reason, I'd get \$10 million for it.

BRANDON CHARNAS: Maybe.

to be was of course incredibly valuable. Its still a very valuable place but the amazon effect that we're witnessing and what your hearing around the city is 100% true and it's a problem. So, we're not occupied right now, we would like to be occupied. We are anxious to get this approval because we think we can get an exciting user in there but its going to be the same kind of experience that you just had — the discussion you had a minute a go, we're sure its going to be an experiential retail space because that's whats happening in the market place now.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Right okay and no perspective -

ERIC POLACNIC: No perspective tenants yet because well, I'll let Brandon speak to that.

BRANDON CHARNAS: Well, call the eye glass back [inaudible 2:17:08].

ERIC POLACNIC: Yeah, the glass guy, but no we don't have anybody yet because we've been waiting to

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

go - its been a very long process, so we've been
waiting. Obviously retail needs to build a sign on
the spot, so we're waiting.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Got it. Okay, well thank you very much for your testimony.

ERIC POLACNIC: Your very welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And your time, thank you.

ERIC POLACNIC: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. Our last hearing with be on LU's 108-110 the 142, 150 South Portland Avenue rezoning for a portion of a block bounded by South Elliot Place, Hanson Place, South Portland Avenue and the Academy Park Place in Council Member Cumbo's district in Brooklyn. The property would be rezoned to facilitate the development of a thirteen-story mixed use residential and community facility building with a total of 100 apartments but would be included with within the special downtown Brooklyn district in order to establish a 95-height limit within 25 feet of an R78 district, so a portion of the building would be limited to nine stories.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Council Member Cumbo.

Pursuant to a zoning and text amendment, the MIH option one would be applied however, the 100% of the building would be affordable pursuant to HPD's M squared program and we have Council Member Cumbo on her way, she is going to make a statement before we start. So, we'll pause until the Council Member arrives, Thank you. I'm going to turn it over to

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, thank you to all that are here that have taken the time out of your day to discuss this very important project in our community and to hear from the entire community about their thoughts, concerns, as well as desires as it pertains to this project. Good morning, I am majority leader Laurie Cumbo representing for Green Clinton Hill, Prospect Heights, Crown Heights, and parts of Bedford-Stuyvesant. I want to thank Chair Moya for Chairing today's hearing. I want to thank you all for the time that you have spent. I know its been a long day. We are gathered here to discuss the proposal for 142-150 South Portland Avenue that has been put forth by a partnership of the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church and MDG Development group. You will hear from MDG shortly. I just

- 1	,	١		

wanted to give you a fittle bit of background about
Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church. It was
built in 1860, just before the civil war and is one
of the oldest and longstanding Churches in our
community and it has even designated as a New York
City national historic landmark. The first Sabbath
for Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church was
held on July 20, 1963 with an attendance of about 500
individuals. From that time, it has grown to over
2000 individuals in membership and it is considered
the largest Seventh-day Adventist Church in Brooklyn
New York. It is also considered the second largest
Seventh-day Adventist Church on the northeastern
Seventh-day Adventist Congregational group. I also
want to add that despite its growth and its
development in recent years, it has also seen a
decline in membership due to the gentrification and
displacement of our community which leads us
ultimately to this particular conversation today. As
part of the 2007 Fort Greene and Clinton Hill
neighborhood rezoning, south Portland avenue was
zoned at R7A. This proposal to rezone from R7A to
R8A would increase the allowed height of buildings
from nine stories to thirteen stories and increase

- 1	,	١		

the allowed square footage of buildings by about 33%.
The developer in the church proposed to use this
extra height and density to build 100 units of income
restricted housing including a range of units from
those affordable to low income families at 40% of
AMI. About \$800 a month for a two bedroom to units
at 130% AMI that are much closer to market rate. The
larger building size will also allow the inclusion of
significant community facility space for the church
and local communities use and you'll hear more about
that in today's testimonies. This type of
development of 100% income restricted housing and
community space is very rare in Fort Greene. In the
almost five years that I have been a City Council
Member, I have not had the pleasure of approving a
100% regulated housing development within my
district. This has given us great levels of concern
as displacement and Judaification continue to wipe
out many communities of color throughout Brooklyn New
York. Nevertheless, this proposal has attracted
legitimate concern from the local community. The
proposed rezoning includes two other potential
development sites on Hanson Place that would be a
part of increasing the density in that same area.

2.2

The proposal is also a sign of the tremendous development pressure spreading into Fort Greene from downtown Brooklyn and the community is rightly concerned that private applications could begin to undermine the protections of the 2007 Fort Greene rezoning that the community fought so hard to achieve. As we hear from the developer, the church, and members of the local community today, I am mindful of all these concerns and varying points of view as we consider potential paths forward and improvements that must be made if this project is to gain my support. I thank you all so much again for being here. I will now turn it back over to Chair Moya.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you Councilwoman for your remarks. Now we're going to swear in the panel. We have Jeremy Cox, Peter Beck, Richard Label and Lacie Taber and now the Council will swear you in.

COUNCIL: Before speaking, please state your name and I will now swear you in. Do you each swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JEREMIAH COX: Jeremiah Cox from the Hanson Place 2 3 Seventh-day Adventist Church. I do swear.

RICHARD LABEL: Richard Label of [inaudible 2:25:231 PC. I do.

T will. PETER BECK:

LACIE TABER: Lacie Taber HPD, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. You may begin.

Thank you to RICHARD LABEL: Thank you Chair. Council Member Cumbo and to the Council. Richard Label from [inaudible 2:25:43]. I am joined today by the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church as well as the development team with regards to what we view as a meritorious project. This is a church which has its origins in an historic building as Council Member Cumbo stated and has now been searching for additional space to both fulfill its programs in the community which are very valuable in small programs as well as to provide for high levels of affordability within the subject proposed development, so what I'm going to do is pursuant to the slides. I'm going to give just a very brief over view of two slides with regards to the general nature

of this application. I will then allow Jeremiah to

speak with regards to the church programs and then

- 1	•		

take several sindes and then I will discuss the
zoning in particularly the actual rezoning
application to be followed by Peter Beck, who will
discuss the affordability of which are important
aspects of this development. So, as you can see from
the slide, the project itself is for a new thirteen
story affordable building. The building would have
100 rental units, and 22,000 square feet of community
facility space. The breakdown of the AMI's for the
apartments contain therein are included. These are
10 apartments at 40% of AMI, 15 apartments at 60%
AMI, 30 apartments at 100% AMI, and 44 apartments at
130% AMI. These will be approximately 40%
permanently affordable. 25% of the mandatory
enclosure and housing units as well as a 15% HPD
requirement. So again, we're here for a rezoning.
The rezoning would rezone the property from R7A to
R8A. The resulting building would look much like the
building that is portrayed in the rendering on the
screen and so, just by way of background would a
building be built, or could a building be built on
this site absent the zoning change? And the answer
is yes. There could be a R7A building. That
building would rise to a height of the portion of the

- 1	•		

bullding that closest right now to the left-hand
portion of this screen. It is a nine-story building
rising to a height of 95 feet that is pursuant to the
R7A zoning district and so, with a full 4.6 FAR,
floriation of that building could stand at that
height. However, in order for that to happen and
this will be discussed in detail, in more detail by
the applicant and DG the design and construction
party in this project. It would not be able to be
built with regards to the nature of the programs that
the church wants to maintain as well as to the level
of affordability that has been requested of the
applicant and which the applicant is happy to
provide. So again, the project overview includes
22,000 square feet of community space as an important
part of the project, which will allow for five basic
elements. The first would be conference rooms and
office space for church and communities use. This is
an applicant which has a long-standing history in the
community, provides a food pantry, music programs to
the surrounding area, job training programs. It is
an active and vital participant in the Fort Greene
community. This rezoning will help them effectuate
their goals. The second would be that there would be

an affordable health care component. There will be services provided for community residents that would be in the cellar portion of the building. There would be space available for a medical facility which the church has already been speaking to several potential occupants and this would be able to achieve the churches goal of being able to provide affordable healthcare for surrounding community residents. The third is that Hanson Place will expand its social services and will also in according to four and five have a multipurpose space with a commercial kitchen as well as we discussed music instruction programs for the church and community. On the next few slides would be relating to the community programs and I would hand that over to Jeremiah.

2.1

2.2

JEREMIAH COX: Good afternoon. I represent the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church as stated before. The church has had a presence in the community for the last 50 or so years, all of which I have been a witness or a party to. Our church has a DNA of providing community-oriented programs to uplift the social welfare of its residents. We are part of a worldwide organization and we are mission driven in what we do. We are not seeking benefits

for ourselves, but we are working to enhance the life
of the community. Our track record in the Fort
Greene area would show that we operate a full blown
elementary school from nursery to grade 8. Many of
our students are graduated and have gone on to the
professions and made their contributions to society.
We have kept our youth, engaged in special programs
like boy scouts and girl scouts. We call them path
finders and inventors. There channeled in programs
from birth until they are able to fend for themselves
and become productive citizens in the community. We
do have fairs, we have health screenings. People can
come in and have their blood pressure taken besides
other tests that point them to doctors or hospitals
where they can get a service. They provide a food
pantry for the needy in the community. We have soup
kitchen, we distribute clothing, and all of these
programs besides social and cultural programs to
enhance the lives of individuals. We have had this
building on south Portland now for the last 40 years
and we've not been able to realize the full potential
of the building. We carry on a lot of our programs
in the building, like the food pantry etc. The youth
programs but the building is underutilized and simply

- 1	•		

because we do not have the resources to do what we
really want to do from a mission standpoint.
Formally we encountered the services of a developer
who has had a record and an interest in working with
nonprofits and with churches to help better
communities. They are not being the kind of
developers that are trying to line their pockets with
profits. We have been looking to them now for the
last two or three years trying to develop the program
and they have been able to identify with our vision
and they are providing us with valuable services that
we are very thankful for and we certainly don't want
them to be considered as a typical developer. We
operate on a certain budget. Our cliental, or
membership, are hardworking people who contribute
significantly from the resources to run the church
and to reach out to the community. Presently, as its
pointed out if a building went up to eight stories as
of light we can build, nine stories. We wouldn't be
able to provide affordable housing because the monies
that we will get to develop will come from lenders.
We will be looking for market financing which we
really don't have. This proposal to rezone and take
the building further up will provide us with enough

units, enough apartments, so that the project will be
able to finance itself over the years and not only
will it be able to finance itself over the years, but
it will be able also to provide us with extra space
where we can run programs that will be of benefit to
the community. A whole floor would be designated for
that purpose. The church members will be able to use
it and the community will also be able to use it. We
expect that we will have a place where people can
gather for social engagements. Currently provide
space for nonprofit organizations that tend to help
reengineer the lives of people who seem aimless and
when we construct this new building rezoned so that
we can actively finance ourselves over the next
several years and provide a space so that we can
launch programs that will benefit the community, then
we will be meeting our mission goals. The
individuals who suggest that the building of another
building or of that magnitude will put pressure on
the traffic and the parking in the area. I want to
point out that Fort Greene is a very fortunate
neighborhood. It sits in a zone or an area that is
serviced by subways, all the subways go though
Atlantic Avenue It's the Time Square of Brooklyn

if you please and for someone living in the building,
it is not necessary to have an additional motor car
to crowd the traffic and all of that. So, we believe
the addition of these residents will not impose that
significant difference on the traffic and the parking
in the area. So, we think that based on the benefits
that will be provided by the building to provide
affordable housing for people who would otherwise be
pushed out of the community because of the higher
rents that are being charged in Fort Greene of rents
that run from five to seven thousand dollars a month
and we are providing — we will be providing housing
as low as \$800 in this new project and its something
that I think will benefit the community and it will
give a balance to the economic and social strength of
the community. So, besides that, let me say also
that this will not be the only tall building around
the area. There is a building right on the corner,
next door to the site that probably reaches 95 feet.
Where there are two apartment buildings 15 stories
half a block away. There is the Salvation Army
building, so this building will not be out of
character and so we would like for you to consider
that The project is going to bring employment

2.2

opportunities to a number of people to better the economic situation. It's going to provide affordable housing, its going to provide space for the church to run social, educational programs and cultural programs to better the lives of people and it is going to provide training for young people. It is the kind of project that's a win-win for everybody. So, I urge us to — I urge the Council to vote in favor of the rezoning so that great things can happen in the Fort Greene community. Thank you.

RICHARD LABEL: Thank you. So, we just ran through the important social programs of the church, I think we just wanted to quickly cover the zoning background of the application and then essentially allow Peter Beck from Forsyth to discuss the affordability levels. Again, the location of the proposed rezoning is along South Portland, you can see the area highlighted in red in the center of the area map. The general area of the rezoning is bounded by Hanson Place to the north. South Portland Avenue to the east align approximately a mid-block up from the southern portion of the block as well as South Ellie Place to the west. The current zoning as you can see from diagram in front of you is R7A.

This is an IHDA including housing designated area
which would allow the FAR with appropriate affordable
housing to arise to a FAR 4.6. Okay, that's right.
Okay, good. So, the development site as you can see,
is the church property highlighted in red. It is a
120-foot-wide property with a depth of 100 feet. The
site currently houses a three plus story building.
It has 9,400 square feet of floor area. It is owned
by the church and again is used for the social and
ministry programs as were discussed. Going to Elder
Cox's statement with regards to the existing
conditions on the block, this is a very interesting
photograph which demonstrates what this block is
about. So, you have the eight story [inaudible
2:41:26] building to the east of the property as well
as the Salvation Army Building to the northeast, the
whitish building, that building rises to a level of
eleven stories. It is noncompliant in the R7A
district. To the south within the C64 district
occupying the southern portion of the block, you got
two fifteen story residential towers. Again, as far
as context is concerned, those two red buildings are
larger and taller than would be permitted under R7A.
The Macedo building itself to the east of the

- 1	•		

property is at a FAR greater than six. This property
is also noncompliant with regards to the R7A and
would be complying with regards to the R8A, so when
city planning and the applicant looked at the
purposed zoning for this property, it was not solely
a matter of what the needs of the applicant were but
it was the needs of $-$ it was as far as the context of
the area of what fits and this block, as you can see
is a block which is a block which is basically
bounded by very tall buildings and very large
buildings. So, the purposed actions again in the
rezoning are to change the R7A to and R8A district,
along with a C24 commercial overlay along Hanson
Place. The commercial overlay along Hanson Place
would essentially encourage ground floor commercial
development on Hanson Place. This is something that
the applicant included in the application after
conversations with the Department of City Planning.
This was basically an issue where City Planning with
the applicant discusses context and zoning district
boundaries and so the C24 was requested by City
Planning. The applicant was happy to include it with
the understanding Chair and Council Members that this
was not something which benefits the applicant. The

- 1	•		

applicant indeed owns no property along manson Flace.
The owners along Hanson Place are not involved with
this application. They were not responsible for any
of the studies that were produced or the application
materials. This will materially benefit those
owners, but it was not done for any benefit to the
applicant. In addition, the second action is that
this will establish the area as a mandatory enclosure
and housing area as with rezoning now that include
greater than I believe 12,500 square feet of floor
area for residential use. There is now a requirement
to carry mandatory and as we discussed the level of
mandatory enclosure area that would be included in
the rezoning will exceed what our current required
levels and Peter will discuss that. Now the zoning
change map which shows you exactly what is requested
on the zoning map. You can see on the left the
existing R7A which takes up most of the block and on
the right, the R8A district with the commercial along
Hanson Place and this is shown on the tax map as well
to more clearly delineate the project boundary.
Finally, the enclosure housing district that will be
mapped here will be appendix F with the zoning
resolution and this will require that properties

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

included within this R8A district are developed with mandatory enclosure and housing. This is something that will affect not just the applicant, but any future residential development on these properties as well. So, the last slide that is part of the first part of the presentation discusses affordability, I would defer to my colleague Peter Beck who will briefly discuss the affordability levels and the choices that were made during the evolution of the application.

PETER BECK: Sure. I'll start by just going through what the affordability levels of the project are. We have 10% of the units that will be at 40% of area median income. 15% of the project will be at 60% of area median income. 30% will be at 100% of area median income and the remainder about 45% would be at 130% of area median income. So, the project is 100% affordable. It will be financed as mentioned previously with HPD and HDC. We're looking at using the M-square program and hoping to close in December. We are currently in their financing pipeline. The project would be of MIH Option One. So, that has a 10% requirement at 40% of AMI and the plan would be to designate the 25 MIH units as the lowest income

2.1

2.2

units. Those would be the 40% and 60% of AMI units. Additionally, we would be required to do another 15% permanent affordability as a condition of our HPD and HDC financing so, overall it would 40% permanent affordability. The affordability program has evolved a little bit as we've gone through the project. We got some feedback from both Borough President Adams and Council Member Cumbo to deepen the affordability of the project and we've responded. We've changed from MIH Option Two to MIH Option One at the request of the Council Member and the Borough President and we believe we have a pretty strong program.

RICHARD LABEL: Chair, I would just defer to Ms. Taber from HPD who may have her own comments.

LACIE TABER: Yes, hi there is actually one more
Land Use action associated with this which is the
Article 11. So, my testimony is about that which is
number 110. That consists of the proposed Article 11
tax benefits from exemption area known as 142 to 150
South Portland Avenue which is privately owned land
located at Block 2003, Lot 37, in Brooklyn Council
District 35. As you heard the sponsor for the
project currently has before the zoning subcommittee
and zoning text amendment and establishment of a

mandatory inclusionary housing MIH area related to
Land Use items 108 and 109. This testimony includes
a summary of the project that you've already heard.
Basically, it's 100 residential units financed under
M-squared program with the affordability levels as
were just described and with regard to the community
facility spaces, one will be owned and operated by
the church. The second unit will be a medical
facility operated by a third-party health care
provider. Both community facility units will be
excluded from the exemption area and as mentioned HPD
is before the Council seeking approval of an Article
11 Tax Exemption for a term of 40 years that will
coincide with the regulatory agreement in order to
assist with facilitating long term affordability.
The projected cumulative tax benefit is approximately
Eight million one hundred and thirty-four thousand
four hundred and thirty-two dollars. The net present
value is Eighty on thousand three hundred and forty-
four per DU and I would also just add that internal
approximately forty units will be permanently
affordable because of the MIH combined with the HPD
subsidy. Thanks.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. So, I have just a couple of questions and then I'll turn it over to Majority Leader Cumbo. How much is this project slated to receive in city subsidies and what percent

6 of the total project cost is that?

Michael Rooney from MDG Design and Construction who is the development partner for the project. I wanted to make sure that he was part of the presentation team so that to the extend of the questions which ran beyond two minutes he would be able to answer those. Michael, would you join us?

MICHAEL ROONEY: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: We will swear you in.

COUNCIL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and you will answer all questions truthfully?

MICHAEL ROONEY: I do. Peter, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Can you just restate your name?

MICHAEL ROONEY: Michael Rooney from MDG Design and Construction.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MICHAEL ROONEY: With respect to the public subsidy question. Unfortunately, I don't have the underwriting right in front of me, but we're following the terms sheet guidelines which are tax exempt bond financing from the city and I think that's about 30% of the project cost. I wouldn't really call that a public subsidy, we'd be using what the agency is called a recycled bond volume cap. Here we go, great. So, its not taking away from bond volume cap that's used for other new construction projects. That's actually about \$26 million. Additionally, there is about \$8½ million of second mortgage funding from HDC. \$8½ million of third mortgage funding from HPD, those are both within the term sheet limits. Actually, let me also add that sorry, of the bond amount of the \$26 million about \$6 million of that would be volume cap bonds and not recycled and finally, we're seeking an allocation of city res away capital dollars of about \$2 million. COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And how much is the fee that the developers stated to be paid on this

23

24

25

project?

RICHARD LABEL: The total developer fee to be paid on the project is totals value of our developer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

fee which is paid over a fifteen-year period is Two million Eight Hundred and Seven Thousand Dollars. will receive One Hundred and Seventy Thousand Dollars at the closing and when we complete construction and convert in the building that is rented out we will receive Three Hundred and Eighty Thousand Dollars and then we'll receive about One Hundred and Fifty Thousand increasing every year, over a fifteen-year That was from our original time we were period. signed up. We were first to get the first portion of the developer fee on the project but because of the need and to get the rents down to the levels that we have in the project, we agreed to Defra a fee and allow the church to have more monies up front and keep the project affordable. So, we'll be receiving money for a long time as opposed to taking the money and walking away from the project. So, we'll be

19

20

21

2.2

23

involved for a while.

18

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, thank you. Given that this project will receive a significant amount of tax payer subsidies, is there a plan to ensure that the jobs created at the site are good jobs that are paying prevailing wage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RICHARD LABEL: The project does not require prevailing wage at this particular project but 80% of our work, we did about Five Hundred Million Dollars in affordable work in 2017, about 80% of that work was prevailing but that's provided by the - required by the project financing. So, depending upon the financing used, there is more subsidy involved generally prevailing wages can be provided on the site. So, on our project, what we do which is something we started with Council Member Kallos a couple years ago, as you remember Peter, we've agreed to a living wage on our jobs of \$15.00, so we have done that mandatory on all our projects as of about two years ago. So, we have a higher minimum wage than any of our developer friends in the business and that was something that we worked out with Council at that time. So, in this project, I would estimate because of the size of the building and the type of contractors that will be hired, probably - we're probably looking somewhere between 20% and 25% of the subcontractors used will be union. So, those would be considered prevailing as those prevailing rates as we call it in the industry, are based on union rates. Our company is based on our mission is to make

	1
	П
	П
-	_

housing affordable to people and we have a two-
pronged approach. The first approach is keeping
rents down. So, in my thirty years doing this, I've
only built affordable housing, created over \$17,500
into the cost of the One Billion Eight Hundred
Thousand. I haven't done one market rate unit ever in
my history of doing this and so one is bringing rents
down to the people that can't afford market rents and
the other thing we do is to bring up incomes of
people in the communities that we work in, so they
could afford closer to or to in some cases afford
what that market rent is. So, on this particular
project, we will be reaching out to the local
community because its an HPD funded project. New
hires will be required to be taken through NYC hire,
which we work with very closely and so, we'll have
some outreach over the next several months once the
project is approved finding the local workers that
want to get hired, make sure they have their OSHA
training, and get them into NYC hires system. Once
they do that, each of our subs whether it a union sub
or a nonunion sub will make sure that they will be in
the system and they will get first shot at hiring.
As for the number of hires on the job, we're looking

at between 80 and 100 new hires on this project and
those are construction jobs which are temporary. Our
permanent hiring is we have one full time super and
one full time porter that will be hired and then
we'll need a floating porter which is point four of
the hours per year but 800 additional hours. So,
whats in the underwriting is what we based it on is
HDC's standard underwriting on a nine-union building
which comes out to \$98,000. We bumped it up because
we felt for this area, we wanted to go a little bit
higher, so I think the term sheet has the underlying
as \$98,000, were underwriting at \$115,000. So, it
wouldn't be the full say 32BJ rent which is one of
the unions that we deal with on the buildings that we
manage but its higher than Local 670. So, we're
committed to work with 32BJ, they have expressed an
interest to meet. I think Steve has talked to them -
my partner has spoke to him at least once and we will
sit down. I'm going to give the breakdown of numbers
right now. We're at \$20.00 an hour for a super,
\$15.00 for a porter and to see if the union has
interest within our underwriting. Obviously, this is
the churches property, its not my property. So, its
not coming out of my pocket. They need to pay the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

mortgage on this building, but we'd love to work 32BJ and or our Local 670 and any of the unions if the employees decide to join a union.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And sticking within that what percentage of the units at this complex will be affordable to the building service workers at the building?

RICHARD LABEL: Well, for the superintendent will be a live in super, so the total package that we have underwritten for the super is \$70,000 so, that includes about \$30 - a little over \$30,000 a little under \$30,000 in rent. The 100% AMI unit that the super will be living in, they would live there rent free. So, the church would be forgoing \$2,288.00 a month in rent. That is basically part of the supers overall pay package and at the \$20.00 an hour for the super, that would get them to about a \$70,000 per employment package. The porter will be visiting the site, they would be at \$15.00 an hour. That is above the standard in our industry. Porters generally get paid between \$12.50 and \$13.00 so, we budgeted higher then what we would have in our normal buildings. pay rate for the service workers in the building when we did this, we've been meeting with Council Woman

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Cumbo for some months now. So, we did bump up the number because she has expressed an interest in making sure the wages were fair and I think for this neighborhood to try to get somebody, we want to get somebody local, I think the \$15.00 is more suitable for a porter than the \$12.50 rate that's in most

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, thank you. I will now turn it over to Majority Leader Cumbo.

other parts of Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you Chair Moya. want a payback on your questions in terms of the discussion around wages. So, we understand primarily whats happening across the city of New York but of course we're also working very aggressively to change the standard norms of the city of New York. want to make sure that while many developers maybe paying their workers a certain wage and that's considered the standard, we certainly want in this particular project to see that the individuals that will be working there on a full time/part time basis are not just given what we now know as minimum wage, but they are given a wage that they can actually live and work in the city of New York and support a family and maybe even potentially qualify for one of the

2.1

2.2

units in the development. So, we want to make sure that the people that we are employing are given good wages, with benefits, with job security, and we want to create that as a standard all across the board in all of the projects that we do. So, that's part of my goal as the Majority Leader and Council Member of this particular district. So, I just wanted to confirm the line of questioning that Chair Moya had put forth. I wanted to ask the church if they had considered doing an as of right project under the R7A zoning? Had you thought about just doing this project as of right and why or why not did you choose that particular pathway?

JEREMIAH COX: I think my former colleagues actually addressed that matter. As a church, we do not have the resources to put into a building that is representative. So, we expect that if we did a as of right construction, we will have to borrow money at the prevailing rate and based on that from the advisor we have from our consultants the apartments will have to be of market rate and that's not the type of business we want to engage in. Renting apartments for extravagant prices. So, it will actually contradict our mission and our purpose in

1	

2.2

this matter. So, we do know for surety that without the zoning change, if we have to build as of right, the apartments will be priced out of the range of most people who can live in the area there.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Elder Cox, can you talk

and I'm sorry to cut you off. Can you talk a little bit about what the community facility spaces would be utilized for as far as the church purposes, how will you utilize those community spaces and what is the plan to — and how will you then retransform the food pantry? How will the food pantry then operate as your transitioning from this space?

JEREMIAH COX: Well we do have plans on foot to relocate the food pantry. We're doing trailers and we have already set in motion the process to have a pantry transferred. We're not reducing services.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: How many people do you serve a week would you say through the food pantry?

JEREMIAH COX: Well, uhm if I may ask, the

Community Services Director who is in the audience, I

would prefer the answer from him.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay.

JEREMIAH COX: Its about 400.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I can take it from there.

I will take your word on that, so about 400 people a week.

JEREMIAH COX: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay, okay that's fine.

JEREMIAH COX: Besides what we do around
Thanksgiving. You know, we give a lot of food
baskets and all that type of thing. So, we don't
plan to reduce that kind of service. We are looking
for alternatives and, in the process, we are going
to transfer to trailers. The soup kitchen is going to
be transferred to the churches dining room facilities

and kitchen, so that will take care of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I see. I wanted to ask

HPD this particular question because this has come up

quite a bit in terms of a review of looking at this

project as senior housing. Can you talk a bit about

why a senior housing proposal for this particular

development was not ultimately chosen as the pathway

for the church to do a completed project?

LACIE TABER: I actually do not at this moment have the background on that conversation, but I can get back to you on that perhaps Forsyth may know more about the details of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Because I know when I was speaking with Jordan initially, that was a discussion that was on the table before you assumed his position.

LACIE TABER: Okay, I'm sorry. Again, since I'm new maybe you have some insight there?

RICHARD LABEL? Yeah, uhm so we certainly consider that amongst other options for the sight. The challenge her is that the church do have some basic programmatic requirements. They wanted to see a modest financial return from the property. They also wanted to build about a 10,000 square foot facility for church and community use and we have to generate the financing out of the project to support that. To do a senior housing project, if we didn't have those extra requirements, it would be possible but those are real requirements that the church had, and my firm is working on other senior housing projects around the city. We're currently doing one that's probably just like what your describing on Southern Boulevard in the Bronx and you can generate enough financing proceed to build the project, you can't really support building additional community

facility space and generating financial returns for private land as part of that financing package.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Who ultimately will own the property after this project is completed? Who will own the property?

RICHARD LABEL: The church.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: The church will own the property and what will be the agreement in terms of ownership of the actual buildings in terms of between the developer and the church?

RICHARD LABEL: The church will be the owner of the property.

COUNCIL MEMEBR CUMBO: And how much does the church have? What type of resources does the church have to put forward in order to do this project?

RICHARD LABEL: The church is contributing the land as their equity in the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I see so the church is contributing their land as part of their equity, but they will still be the owners of this particular project when its completed?

RICHARD LABEL: That's correct.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Now, explain that to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

RICHARD LABEL: So, the land is worth say \$11 million, so that becomes a portion of the equity in the project, so they contribute it to a new company that they set up to do the M-squared financing. There will be a syndicator coming in which we've been currently been doing at the Goldman Sachs but that could change but right now Goldman Sachs was the syndicator originally interested in the project. They would have ownership in a portion of the building in order to get the tax credit for the affordable units. At the end of fifteen years, the church would by out their interest for \$1.00. So, the church will control the building, they will be the managing number. They will control the property for fifteen years and at the end of fifteen years, they become the full owner again.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Alright. I have no further questions. I'll turn it back over to the Chair. Thank you for your transparency. Thank you for your presentation and I'm sure we'll be looking forward to hearing the testimony of many of the people that are here today. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you Council Woman and thank you for your testimony. I'm going to call the

2.2

next panel and I just want everyone to know that you're going to be held to two minutes and please try to keep it to two minutes, we have a very, very, long list of people wanting to testify, and we want to make sure that we can get to everyone. So, please if you can keep it to two minutes we would greatly appreciate it. Pastor Bernard Penn, Roderick Chase, Victor Logan, and Jermain — yes, alright thank you men. Come on up. So, we won't be swearing in the panel. So, if you could just state your name and get started, that would be great. Thank you.

RODERICK CHASE: My name is Roderick Chase, I'm a member of the Hanson Place Adventist Church and a lot was said already and instead of duplicating and in the interest of time, I'll just indicate that the mission of this project is to satisfy many needs int the Fort Greene community and all walks of life and that being said, I give full support of the project, thank you.

BERNARD PENN: My name is Bernard Penn. I'm the Senior Pastor of the Hanson Place Church. Apart from our mission, of course seeking to have people to have a closer relationship with God, our mission is to make our community better. To serve our community,

- 1	•		

to make sure that we are doing what we believe God is
asking us to do as a church and so we would like to
do - we're doing that now, we would like to do more
of that. None of us are going to be financially
benefited from the project. I'll still be driving
around in my Prius, but we are being benefited
because we'll be able to serve our community more. I
have a vision of us being able to serve, to mentor
young boys and young girls more than what we do now.
To have programs for them. Men who are in prison to
be able to serve their families and to allow young
boys and young girls to have a place to come so that
they can grow up and to have the advantages that many
other young people have. I have vision of having a
place where seniors can come to be trained in
computers and other things and to have a place to
come during the day. I have a vision of having a
place where the community can come and to exercise
and to learn how to prepare food in a better way. We
want to be able to share what we have. A lot of
communities and those who come within communities
like this, they keep what they have. We're not about
that, we want to share what we have and to give back
to our community and this will give us an opportunity

2.2

more.

to do more of that. We're doing a lot now, but we want to do more and that's basically why we are seeking to accomplish this project. We want to do

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I just want to add that Pastor Penn is the residing pastor at Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church as you have so eloquently heard. Thank you.

VICTOR LOGAN: My name is Victor Logan and I've been in the neighborhood as a member of the church over 50 years and as far as what the pastor has just mentioned, we're in fully agreement of what he says and what our plans are as long as we get the okay to proceed with the project. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

[INAUDIBLE 03:13:48] Okay, thank you so much because I never know if I come to the platform to talk. Thank God. I'm [Inaudible 3:14:01], I was a Hanson Place member since 1993 and I was there and I'm telling you God has plan for the area because the church opened for people coming to see how God is good but some of them, they don't want to go. Well, I know my God can help them to look in for one day when Jesus coming because Jesus will come in. I have

2.2

a chapter give you before I go. I'm telling you, I'm trying my best to work with them because God is so good to me. When my husband bring me into this country, I can speak in English and he sent me to school. I learned English and I said to my husband, I want to preach on the train and the bus. He said, you can do it? I said yes, I can do it. When I was

BERNARD PENN: Amen.

there, my God stand up me and I preach.

[Inaudible 3:15:26] I preach in the Bronx. I preach in [inaudible 3:15:28.] I see people that want Hudson Place on the bus, and on train. They get upset, I say why? Why you talk. I say, yes. It is cause God bring me to this country to preach his word because God drove us.

BERNARD PENN: Amen.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Hanson Place is bringing church. 250 Broadway is never going to be the same again. [LAUGHTER].

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you so much for your testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: It's the 35th Council district.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, the next panel is David Rosenstein, Sebastian Dratulian[SP?], Sara Minolta[SP?], and Bennet Grimen. Thank you. Just state your name for the record.

SEBASTIAN DRATULIAN: My name is Sebastian. here on behalf of local attorney to BJ. We are the largest property service union in the country. Many of our members work in residential buildings like the one MDG is proposing. This project will create affordable units, we acknowledge that, but my union also believes that they should also create good jobs. Without a commitment to paying the prevailing wage, a project that is intended to lift up working families could undercut the standards that building service workers have fought for and basically could leave staff members making poverty wages. We urge MBG to commit to paying the prevailing wage and benefits so building service workers and we call on the city to do the right thing and ensure that tax payer findings affordable housing does not create low load poverty Now union and I understand how important it is moderately affordable units will be however, a good job commitment is the only way to ensure that this development truly benefits the community. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

BENNET GRIMEN[SP?]: My name is Bennet Grimen and I'm a friend of people involved with the preservation of our Brooklyn neighborhood and they're very concerned about whats going on in terms of the gentrification of their neighborhood and how this might contribute to this. You know, it doesn't start immediately. Little Italy for instance, has very few Italians left in it. Very gradually, one little project after another, after another, good bye little Italy. We have just a little strip of restaurants left. They just rezoned El Barrio in east Harlem. You're not going to have much of a Spanish neighborhood left before this is over. It starts that way, a thirteen-story building in a neighborhood of four stories changes the whole character of the neighborhood. I came to New York to come to New Not to come to look at luxury high-risers. You got 40 million tourists coming from all over the world supporting the economy of New York. You keep building these high risers and not preserving little Italy and El Barrio and these places, your going to have 20 million tourists come. Who wants to come and look at high rises or empty stores all over New York

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 City, you people got to do something about this. We depend on you. We voted for you. Help us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

DAVID EISENBACH: My name is David Eisenbach. teach history at Colombia University. I ran for public advocate in the democratic primary for a lot of the reasons that Ben just mentioned here. since our city has been handed over to big real estate, that there are no checks in city government. In regards to this particular project, I certainly don't question the sincerity of the church and I was so impressed by the spirit and the wonderful acts that your doing with the food pantry, but I was sort of disturbed by hearing one name and that is Goldman Sachs. Goldman Sachs as that Council Member pointed out is there is questions about the financing here. I guarantee you they're not in it for the good of the community. They're not in it to make sure that that food pantry remains operational for decades to come and I didn't get a chance - they ran out of the packets to actually look at whats in the financing and the numbers, but I urge you and I'm sure you're on it to go through the financing. Make sure this isn't a typical Goldman Sachs deal which will not

2.1

2.2

benefit in the long run, the church. So that you're protected, so that community is protected, and that New York City is protected.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you. I have a question in regards to please tell me your name once more from 32BJ?

SEBASTIAN DRATULIAN: Sebastian.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Sebastian as to the best of your knowledge, are there 32BJ workers at other MDG sites?

SEBASTIAN DRATULIAN: I'm not in the capacity to really answer that question but I could refer you to the proper authority.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay.

SEBASTIAN DRATULIAN: On this.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I would appreciate that information that would be very valuable and very helpful on moving forward and certainly, we hear loud and clear the challenges that gentrification has upon our entire community and gentrification happens in so many different ways and in so many different elements. What we see in many neighborhoods is that and I'll give you an example. In the 1970's when my parents African American moved into the East Flatbush

	П
-	

community of Brooklyn, they were amongst the first
African Americans to move into that neighborhood and
it was a predominately white community but as more
African American families began to move into the
community, more white families began to move out and
so, part of what we see in some of the examples is
that there is something that is people being forced
out of communities and then there are also people
that chose to move to other communities because they
see that their community or their culture is not
being reflected in the same way. So, they make a
choice and say, I no longer want to live around this
community. I would rather live in another community
where I feel more culturally comfortable. So, there
are all different types of gentrification that
happens in many different ways. Part of what I
believe Hanson Place is seeing is that what many
communities particularly houses of worship and more
specifically, many of the African American and
Caribbean churches that have been the Bedrock of the
identity of Brooklyn. As gentrification comes in the
churches are no longer seeing the membership that
they used to see because their members are being
driven to other neighborhoods, other cities, other

2.2

states and so part of what they are proposing is certainly an opportunity to stabilize they're presence in the community with the goal of hoping that many of their constituents would be able to find housing in this new particular project. So, I hear you loud and clear and yes, we are certainly on the financial aspects of this and making sure that what sounds good and is being presented here and we're working with central staff is to make sure what sounds good is actually good and is actually whats on paper for the life of the project. So, I thank you

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, next panel. Eva
Miller, Sonas Williams, Clyde Simper, and Ricardo
Heinz. Eva Miller, Sonas Williams - Sonya, I'm
sorry. Sonya Williams, Clyde Simper. We're missing
Ricardo. Thank you, please state your name and you
can begin.

all for your testimony. Thank you.

EVA MILLER: My name is Eva Miller. I [inaudible 3:26:21]. I was able to come to the United States. They call it the land flowing that make [inaudible 3:26:29]. After hearing everything we tend to forget that life goes on and the world will be changing. Well, we must change along with it in good faith

2.2

reaching out to each one and the benefit. Not everyone going to be benefit but we accept and keep moving on and keep seeking and then we accomplish that which we think is best for everyone. Not individually because God said we must love our enemies, love all our friends. Do good to them, never do bad to anyone. So, I'm proof the hope that it will benefit a lot of people. Thank you for

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

having me here. God Bless.

member of the Hanson Place church for over twenty years and the services are watch word. I'm not sure about intricate parts of the finances that takes place but I'm hoping that it will be the right thing in terms of who ever is financing it but we're there for service for the community because that's our mission and we've been called to do that and I'm in total agreement with this project and I'm praying and hoping that it will be approved so that the lives of men and women or young boys and little girls will be improved. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

2.2

CLYDE SIMPER: My name is Clyde Simper. I'm the Director of Community Services. I've been there for about thirty years and I think we're doing a great job. We want to move on. We want to try something new. We found a way and a way to get it done and I'm in favor of saying, let's try it and we want to thank you for helping us in what we did prior and also thanking you for what you do. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I'm going to start my speeches that way, I'm Laurie Cumbo and I'm doing a good job. [LAUGHTER].

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I think you should.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you Mr. Simper.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Ray Rogers, Julie Leak, Joseph Napoli, and Sandy Reburn.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: And I just want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Joshua Board from Assembly Member Walter Mosley's office who is an instrumental part of this project and who has been advocating very heavily for the increased affordability in this particular project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: And a shout out to my former colleague in the Assembly Walter Mosley. You can begin sir. You can just state your name. Thank you.

JOSEPH NAPOLI: My name is Joseph Napoli and I've lived in Fort Greene on South Elliott Place near Hanson Place since 1971. I worked originally to get landmarks for Fort Greene. I was on the original committee. That's agent history so we'll fast forward to 2007 when we got contextual zoning. thought then we were protected from out of scale development like this proposal, or so I thought. Soon after 2007, the tower culture started proliferating in New York City and came spilled over into Brooklyn. I saw it, I noticed in my mind many of them were not great and many are not filled but now we have this development which wants to jump on the band wagon and chisel away at an already protected area at Fort Greene. In this case, building a thirteen-story building next to a group of four story road houses. Its out of scale people. Too tall, too big, too much and if that isn't bad enough, no thoughts have been given to how this

building will impact the quality of life in the area.

2.1

2.2

Creating shadow and adding more people to the already overcrowded subway system. It's a mess now, I can't imagine what a hundred more apartments will bring to it. These towers have gotten way out of control on Flatbush Avenue and the surrounding area of Fort Greene. The blocks in question or the block in question is part of Fort Greene and should not be chiseled away to make a special downtown Brooklyn district. This is encroachment plain and simple.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

SANDY REBURN: Hello, my name is Sandy Reburn.

Thank you for having me here and I am both a resident and a president of the preserve our Brooklyn neighborhoods. I'm going to speak fast because I want to get to all of this. This application is an egregious hijacking of one of the blocks protected by the contextual rezoning in 2007. The communities of Fort Greene and Clinton Hill fought for it along with our former Council Member Tish James. Here's what she said to this very Council. Fort Greene has been in the sites and Clinton Hill has been in the sites of developers who do not care about the character of our historic neighborhoods. Developers who are not shy about constructing towers that are out of scale

with the surrounding structures. We are not against
development in the borough of Brooklyn, we are
against out of scale buildings on historic row house
blocks. Yes, she said it and the vote for it 48 to
nothing unanimous. In 2007, this site was already
enshrined as an inclusionary housing location. Thus
100% affordable housing can now be built at 142 150
South Portland, right now. Eight stories comprising
of approximately 71 apartments. All for the
underserved and struggling can be built. To ask the
Fort Greene community to accept the dismantling of
settled boundaries, heights, and density for only 25
authentically affordable housings out of 100
apartments is counterproductive and its unjust. We
support an initiative to build more truly affordable
housing. This application project does not do that.
A thirteen-story building next to four story row
houses is unacceptable just as Tish said it was in
2007. We call on the City Council to help leverage
affordable senior housing programs such as the Sara
Initiative to build eight stories of 100% affordable
housing. Doing that everyone wins. Can you read
this.

1	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Hold on one second, one second. Are you going to do your own testimony?

JULIE LEAK: I had planned to.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, you have two minutes.

Okay, alright. I can't help but note JULIE LEAK: that it adds insult to injury that MDG, the developer for this project was sued by the federal government for wage and labor law fraud in 2013. There's another open federal law suit against Concord Management whose chief operating officer is Mr. Rooney found in principal of MDG, calling it affordable housing is a disingenuous red herring. Ιf the thirteen stories are allowed to proceed, it will be a slap in the face of the more than 1000 petition signers, 5 civic associations, and Senator Montgomery all protesting it. Yes, given this rezoning to the developer and calling it a project for the greater good flies in the face of facts and is a betrayal. urge you to vote against this application. My name is Julie Leak. I come today from the upper west side that I started my life in New York in the Bronx and in Brooklyn. I lived in Clinton Hill in the early years and one of my very first friends there whose

son is in his first year of college. I remember when

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

he was born and when they needed more space and they couldn't afford the building that they lived in in Brooklyn, so they had to move to New Jersey and now all these years later when I see what has happened since they left, it causes me to have opposition to what the rezoning of the tall buildings on South Portland and Hanson because I believe that we need to preserve the commitment that was made in 2007 for low rise protections in Fort Greene and Clinton Hill. grew up in Charlotte and when I came here I became more engaged with historic communities than I had in my own city because it had been destroyed by urban renewal. So, I have a great fondness and memory of my time in Brooklyn and I just believe that the developers along with the bankers are destroying historic communities with the developments going on.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

RAY ROGERS: My name is Ray Rogers. The only thing we know for sure is that the rezoning will create big profits for the applicant and open the door for future high rises, non-contextual development and no guarantee of increasing real affordable housing. Yeah, there is a real disconnect here. There is a real disconnect between the

- 1	•		

political leaders starting with the Mayor up there, a
lot of the City Council Members, the big disconnect
with EDC, a big disconnect with the City Department
of Planning with HPD and the disconnect is this. The
real estate board of New York is filling the
political leader's pockets with cash and there is up
zoning, rezoning policies are going on in the city
are not the community policies. There not really the
policies of political leaders think this thing out.
It's the real estate board of New York. The REBNY's
policies to enrich the rich at the expense of the 99%
and that's why I get so fed up with the disconnect
between the political leaders in this city and whats
going on, the displacement that's going on and when I
see some of the leaders here supporting this I think
from the MDG by the way, what we call the wage and
labor fraud people okay. When I see them talking
about fair wages, bringing it down. You know, not
prevailing wage for the workers and unions. We have
a living wage \$15.00 an hour. Now that's good
because most people don't even get that, bullshit.
Alright, I'd like to ask the guy that was bringing up
those figures how much an hour is he making? How
much are the people at MDG making? How much are they

2.1

2.2

making? This is a real — you know this is what so sad. So as political leaders my message is this and I'd like to stick it right to the Mayor because I voted for you the first time and didn't vote for you the second time. I'm fed up. When I see these campaign, contributions coming from the real estate interest and REBNY and then seeing this rezoning policy which have nothing to do with real community planning but have everything to do with greed and profit with these real estate interests. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

RAY ROGERS: Let me. I'm sorry, I should add one little thing I forgot to mention is that Senator Velmanette Montgomery sent a letter to Scott Stringer Controller on April 27, 2018, and she asked a couple of questions. She raised issues about tax abatements. I'd kind of like to know what kind of tax abatements are involved going to MDG and the people behind this because we have a ten-year tax abatement at 157 at one apartment \$100 million. Then we have a 28-tax abatement for a \$32 million condo for sale and they get \$198.00 monthly bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you, thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I just want to clarify

something for the record because its something that

you brought up and I just want to ask you a question

on that. When you say that REBNY has stuffed the

6 pockets of elected officials, what do you mean by

that and how does that happen?

RAY ROGERS: Well, you know we need campaign finance reform. Take the Mayor for example. Human scale which is an organization here in the city, they did an investigation of the campaign contributions for example to the Mayor and they found out I think 62% or 66% came from real estate interest, okay. Which is about \$2 million, then there was another percentage that came from billionaires I imagine it might been an overlap there. You look at City Council Members and you take a look at a lot of the campaign contributions. Look, I'm from East Harlem, my City Council Member was Margarita Viverito, I had a lot of respect for her and I had a alright. lot of respect for the Mayor. Then I looked at the kind of money she got from the real estate interest and I look at where the Mayor and she stood on top of the small business job survival app making sure that there was no level playing field to protect small

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

businesses. These are the kinds of things that I'm talking about. This cash coming from these real estate interests don't any political leader tell me like Margarita Viverito tried to tell me to my face, oh that has no impact over how I vote. That is

7

bologna.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Let me just add this just for clarity sake. Her name is Speaker Melissa Margarito and what you're referring to is called an independent expenditure. So, in 2013 when the New York City Council came in the real estate board of New York decided to spend an absorbent amount of money on City Council races across the city. Now what they do, they look at the different candidates and they determine who they believe is going to be the winner and then they spend money above and beyond the actually cap that's allowed for a City Council Member to spend, but what they cannot do, they cannot talk to the City Council Member, they cannot coordinate because that would be considered illegal. So, for example in my race REBNY spent money in my race that I did not want them to spend in my race. was already the front runner. That imposed a great challenge on me. They did it for many of the city

council members across the city. They did it in 2013
for the 2014 race. It was so unsuccessful that they
did not do it in the most recent election of city
council members coming in because it showed that
there was no sway in terms of the way members were
voting in support of REBNY. So, it was not a good
usage of their money and they decided not to do it
again. So, when we fast forward to a project like
this, this has nothing to do with REBNY or real
estate interests. It has something more to do with
looking at a project of saying, a church such as
Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church either has
the ability to build a nine-story market rate
development or a thirteen-story development that's
100% rent regulated with a portion of affordable
housing for the community. Its one or the other. We
either want a market rate housing development or we
want a 100% rent regulated development and that's
really what it comes down to. To impose all of these
other things of why other things are happening, is
not really genuine.

COUNCIL: We can give you a moment to respond to that and then we have to get to the next panel. I

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to do so.

was referring to him but if you would like to respond briefly.

JULIE LEAK: There has been close to \$250,000 paid by MDG to Bolton Saint John and to Sheldon Lobel they are registered lobbyists. They are lobbyists on behalf of this project and when you go to the lobbying website, you see targets, targets, targets, Council Member Cumbo, target City Planning Commission, targets Borough President Adams. So, to say that they are not contributing beyond what their limits are is true. Its accurate and to say that you don't want their money is true, its accurate. What we get are two paltry minutes and they get \$250,000 to spend to message the advantage by targeting those people who are the decision makers like yourself, like the City Planning Commission, like Borough President Adams and like the community board who in this case happily voted against this project. So, there's more to it than just the mere fact that they've contributed up the maximum amount REBNY that is. So, I just want to make that point and speak to the larger picture and thank you for the opportunity

1	
ш	L

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Well, just for clarity sake, those lobbyists haven't lobbied me about this project.

JULIE LEAK: And that's why we're happy to have you as our Council Member but that fact remains and that is the truth of it. The dirty little secret that for example 80 Flatbush almost a million dollars has been spent by Alloy, so we're talking about a reality.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: We don't want to apples and oranges with these projects, very different projects. We should get on to the next hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you very much for your testimony.

RAY ROGERS: If you want to talk more about REBNY I would be happy to.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I'm sure you would.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I look forward to it, thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

JULIE LEAK: Thank you for our time.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, the next group will be Steven Williams, Michael T. Rooney, Margaret Barb, and Barry Augustine. Steven Williams.

COUNCIL: He already testified.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: So, Michael? You already testified. Yeah, thank you. Dr. Milton Haynes.

Thank you, if you could just state your name and you can begin your testimony.

STEVEN WILLIAMS: Steven Williams. I'm with the MDG, I'm the project manager I wanted to read a statement just to go over some of the misstatements that were made. DOLs investigation found that DOL payments with MDG subcontractors and not with MDG. The problem with the wage problem was not with MDG, it was with the subcontractor. MDG has the highest level of labor compliance in affordable housing in America. Our labor compliance is the standard in the United States and insures 100% of the workers on the jobs are paid. The Council can confirm this with DOL, HPD, and New York State Housing Finance. We have prevailing wage jobs with them currently and we have our compliance program which we submitted previously to the Borough President and I think we submitted it to the Council Woman's office to show what we do in

the area of compliance. The average wage worker
construction site is over \$50.00 with the average
carpenter making over \$100 in most of the sites that
we have a carpenter so that goes to talk about what
we do in terms of the compliance and with the
allegation that we have a law suit against us, that
was all settled and like I said, that was found with
MDG subcontractor. One of the things I wanted to
bring up, I know my time is fleeting is that some of
the concerns that the residents had at the four story
building, we reached out to the neighbor adjacent to
the building and we put in writing some of the
concessions that we were willing to work especially
during the most difficult part of construction with
relocation during that time period providing a
beautification of the façade including the front and
back windows and because we have to do major under
pending once the construction was over, we would re-
landscape the backyard. We reached out several times
to Ms. Caroline, but she didn't want to meet with us
until after the Ulurp process had completed so we
complied with her wishes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

DR. MILION HAINES: HI I III DI. MIICOII Haynes. I III
a board-certified obstetrician gynecologist with
practice in New York for almost 50 years. Ten years
of which I had also had an office on Easton Parkway
near the Underhill. I'd like to focus on my comments
on one aspect of this project and that is the medical
building and the healthcare facility. As a church we
have a great interest in healthcare and especially
preventative healthcare, health and wellness. At one
of our hearings earlier, someone indicated well, we
don't need another medical office. There is a
hospital nearby, there is already a health clinic in
the area but the healthcare that we will be providing
would be more of a preventative nature. In addition
to obviously having a medical clinic that could
provide services to the population, I sit on a
national commission. The American Medical
Association Commission to end healthcare disparities
and I also sit on the state committee addressing
healthcare disparities here in New York. Even though
the ethnic makeup of the Fort Greene area has
changed, there is a great need for appropriate
healthcare prevent - specifically preventative
healthcare made available to the African American as

1	

2.1

2.2

well as other populations and I was delighted when I was made aware of the fact that there will be this medical facility within our building that will provide preventative health and wellness services not only to the minority population but to all people who live in the area and I think this would be a very valuable asset as future within this structure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

? Council Members have you ever had a time when

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I'm sorry could you just state your name for the record.

MARGARET BARB: I'm sorry, I am Margaret Barb.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

16 MARGARET BARB: Sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Its okay.

MARGARET BARB: Have you ever had a time when you couldn't afford something? A suit, a car, a house?

Well, I've had that experience and then it worked out for me. Ten years ago, I moved from Queens to South Portland Avenue in Brooklyn. Yes, an affordable apartment in Brooklyn in Fort Greene, ten years ago and that was all because of the Hanson Place Church. I moved from Queens to Brooklyn to be closer to my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Then, eleven months ago, my job, my employer moved from Brooklyn to another state and that left me unemployed. To this day, I have not had to move in with my friends or family or even to lose my dignity and move into a shelter. Why? Because the place I moved into, the apartment I moved into, on South Portland Avenue by the Seventh-day Adventist Church is affordable. I have not missed one months rent yet and I don't plan to, because as I said, it is affordable. As I review the affordability tear for the units presented by MDG and the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church, when I look at that, in my position unemployed, it is still within my range. So, as you consider our testimonies, as you listen to us, remember me. Look at my face. I am the face of an unemployed woman who can still afford to pay my rent and its all because of MDG and the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church proposal. Thank you very much.

20

21

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

MARGARET BARB: Can I say something? I did sign
up to represent a group that uses the church, it was
signed there. I did sign up. Can I make a

25 presentation on their behalf?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Whats the name of the -

3 MARGARET BARB: It's the Toastmasters Group.

Margaret Barb, you should see my name there twice.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Hold on one second and you are from -

MARGARET BARB: Margaret Barb.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: You can start.

MARGARET BARB: Okay, thank you very much. hope you will consider favorable the application of the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church to construct a new building. I wish I could bring the many members of our Toastmasters Community who have benefited from the generosity of this community conscious church. Toastmasters is an International organization which foster improved communication and leadership skills and is designed to be affordable and inclusive. This church has donated space to several of our churches for many years allowing the benefits of this education to reach many people in this Brooklyn area. The lack of communication skills holds back many people from achieving the jobs they seek and training in leadership ensures that they can keep those jobs and gain promotions. Without the support of this church, we could not hold meetings

2.1

2.2

and continue this mission in this neighborhood. They are seeking to expand the dimensions of the buildings beyond the recurrent zoning restrictions and I would suggest that this is the way that they can reach out in their general mission to the people of Brooklyn. They expand the dimensions of the help and support which they offer and their assistance to Toastmasters is merely an example of this. Please vote in favor of the rezoning request so that this very effective and community-based church can continue the excellent work which it is known from and this is from Penelope Boehm, the past district governor, Toastmasters District 46 New York City, Long Island, and Westchester. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you all for your testimony today. Okay, for the next panel, Lucy Kotene[SP?], Ester Blunt, Naomie Dickerson, Enid Braun. Thank you and if you could just state your name and you can start your testimony. Just push the button.

LUCY KOTENE: Hi, my name is Lucy Kotene. I'm a long time Fort Greene resident and I'm part of Preserve Our Brooklyn neighborhoods. Thank you for this opportunity and I just want to say this a story

- 1	

about trust in words and in government. I'm asking
you don't be hood winked by shiny objects and words
like affordable housing, church, and good intensions.
In 2007, our City Council Member Tish James allotted
a zoning that was established to protect the
residents of Fort Greene and Clinton Hill from the
impingement of downtown Brooklyn. The Brooklyn
Planning Commissioner celebrated the 2007 contextual
zoning and plan that was then certified by the City
Council. Our State Senator Velmanette Montgomery
said it best when she said, "if this Ulurp is
approved it will impose non-contextual height and
density and open the door to further such
applications in the area moving forward. This
approach seems to be indicative of a larger agenda
that extends past developing 142 150 South Portland
Avenue". This is a door that much remain locked. My
question to you is why should any citizen bother to
get involved with, fight for and demonstrate to
protect the communities if in a few short years all
their work will be invalidated. We all know the
skilled developers and the highly paid lobbyist are
bringing in supporters to speak for a project. This
developer has spent over \$250,000 to lobbyist and

1	

2.1

2.2

when you dig deeper you often find the supporters don't even understand what they are really supporting. We elect our representatives to have the best interest of the citizens of the district and the citizens through petitions and legers have made it very clear that an up zoning is not in their interest and I was just listening to all this and I want to point out the church owns many properties. They don't have to build anything. If they need money, they can sell a property which is what any other person would do if they needed property and they already run most of those programs that they talked about in the four-story existing building. I'm sorry for going over, but its important you understand they already run those programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

LUCY KOTENE: They're not developing new programs except for the health center which already exits two blocks away.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you for your testimony. We're trying to keep everyone to two minutes. We have a long list.

LUCY KOTENE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

NAOMIE DICKERSON: My name is Naomie Dickerson.
I'm the Chair of the Fort Greene Association and I
just want to say that the Fort Greene Association has
had quite a history working with the community and
with the elected officials in making Fort Greene
actually what it is today that so many people
appreciate. This is the same Fort Greene Association
that Spearheaded the historic district designation
from LPC in the 70's. The same organization whose
members along with the residents and Letisha James
implemented R7A which was a way to protect homes and
support appropriate commercial development and we are
the same FGA that continues to support R7A scale,
height and density limits. We do support schools, we
also support churches, parks, the arts, we want them
to be available to everybody in the community. We
don't support initiatives that are not generated from
the community [inaudible 3:59:43] by the community
understood and approved by the community from the
point at which they come into existence. We have
always supported what makes the community a wonderful
place to live. A human scale place to live and we
try to reach out more and more to everybody and
embrace everybody no matter who they are

2.1

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

3 ESTER BLUNT: Hello, my name is Ester Blunt. How 4 are you?

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Good, thank you.

neighborhood had wisdom in 2002 to start the plan for development in the future. They knew the neighborhood would change, that people would want to build and stuff and at that time since they realized that changes would happen, they set forth on how to make this happen without destroying the cavity of the neighborhood. I object to the undermining of this hard Fort gain that we won in 2007. Our neighborhood has been bombarded with zealous developers and changing the zoning will number one be a slippery slope and number two, undermine all the hard-earned gains.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

ENID BRAUN: Do I need to press this down?

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Yes anytime. If the red

22 | light is on, it's on.

ENID BRAUN: My name is Enid Braun. I really have two points. One, other people have made which is that the broader neighborhood as well as Fort

Greene do object to overturning the meager
protections to neighborhood character provided by the
contextual rezoning of 2007 and as others have said,
the church can provide affordable housing and other
services under inclusionary housing and basic, the
neighborhood would support that. The community has
actually asked the church to come talk about a plan
that might be less of an assault on the character of
our neighborhood to discuss a different developer,
different financing models and so far, these
invitations have been ignored and in fact, the
developer even said at the community board hearing
when they were sort of criticized for seeking this
larger rezoning rather than just for their project.
It was actually City Planning who pushed for this
larger rezoning because this isn't about the church
and its good intentions. This is an entire block,
and this is what people object to more than anything.
The community board rejected the rezoning on the
basis that it is far greater than just this church
project. The Borough President took a position
supporting a spot rezoning which what we understand
may not even be legal. If I could just say that once
this would be approved, this up zoning, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

developers on the next block could say, oh I'm adjacent to that. Why can't I go thirteen stories and pretty soon the neighborhoods gone.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today. Okay, for the next panel Samuel Blackwell, Peter Osemabull[SP?], Shawn Anderson, Pearlene Higgins. Thank you very much. Just state your name and you can begin your testimony.

SHAWN ANDERSON: Good afternoon everyone. name is Shawn Anderson, I am the assistant pastor at the Hanson Place Seventh-day Adventist Church. just want to say that our church throughout the years it stands for everybody, but it really and truly has bent backwards to help the poor and that's what we want to continue to do. If you go out there Wednesdays if you go out there on Thursdays, if you go out there on Sundays, you see people there working, volunteering all day. Sometimes up until seven, eight o'clock at night just so that the poor can get some food to eat. The poor can get some clothes to wear. The poor can get some shoes on their feet. So, I mean I hear all this talk about riches and money in pockets and all this stuff but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

our track record as a church is that we have never, ever, ever, done anything to benefit ourselves.

Every single thing that we have done is to benefit the community of Fort Greene. Please, I am begging give us an opportunity to continue serving this wonderful community. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

SAMUEL BLACKWELL: Thank you. My name is Samuel Blackwell and I'm a life long resident of Fort Greene and a 20-year college graduate professor of Urban Studies and Urban Planning and I had the good fortune of living across the street from this church and I'm one of the founders of the Hanson Place Black and Community Association. We see the good deeds that go on in this church and more importantly I wanted to just bring up some points that I feel that really sell you as it relates to the zoning. particular zoning does cap the zoning to thirteen stories even for other buildings. This also guarantees now that we have met inclusionary zoning for projects hence forth. So, that's a better fit. Right now, its voluntary, so there's a lot at stake from helping this project move forward. I'm also here to let you know that the deeds of this church do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

not go unfounded in our community. You only have to go down South Portland on any given day and you'll see hundreds of people lined up to eat and this is very important for more than just that church. is important for the whole community. So, this new building offers to increase capacity that allow us to service more people and it will also assure that we can create affordable housing, something that not going on. I haven't seen any proposed housing where the bottom rent was \$475.00. I don't hear anyone talking about that as much as I hear people talking about thousands and thousands of dollars. So, let's not get lost in the ruffled feathers. Let's not get lost in the shuffle and forget the good deeds that well produce well appointed, well done development does. Give this little church with a big mission a change to do what it does already. I appreciate you and I know our Council will do that right thing as it relates to allowing this project to go forward. Thank you.

21

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

23

PEARLENE HIGGINS: Good afternoon. My name is

24

Pearlene Higgins and I'm here to endorse

25

wholeheartedly the project that we are embarking on

2.2

to help to expand our service in the community. I'm so passionate about seeing, helping, and watching people from the community, neighbors coming into help because we are doing something that has meaning.

It's not just preserving an apartment building, but it is to expand our reach to help people in need in the community and all neighbors. So, please I hope that it will be favorably considered that we can have a building that can expand our service. I'm just passionate about this. I want to serve more people. I want to do more. I'm only 87 years old but I still have some drive.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: I can see that. I can see that. Thank you.

PETER OSEMABULL: Good afternoon. My name is

Peter Osemabull. I want to address some of the

misinformation that had been going on. This building

is not about a rich mate with that to the church or

to the developer. The developer explained their fee

and they have minority interest in the building and

it would take us fifteen years to pay them, that's

one. Two, the idea of brownstone houses on the block

and all of that. There are four brownstone buildings

on the whole block and the building that we are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

proposing to their side it still remains the same. If we are going to build on R7, it would be nine story and to their side it will be nine story. We are not doing higher than nine stories, okay, that's not an issue. The point about crowding and subways and all of that, I'm sure the Mayor and the city want more people in New York. The encourage the people to move from the Suburb and live in New York City. more people we can have in the city the better and we don't want people driving cars. We want them to use the subway, public transportation and this has happened to achieve that goal. In terms of financing, people talk about Goldman Sachs has been a shack and all of that. No, Goldman Sachs in this case has not been a shack. They are following rules and they will support the program if we have the approval from you which we expect to get, and I believe they will do the right thing to benefit

20

21

2.2

23

everybody. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today. Maisha Maralis[SP?], Debra Water, Caroline Hubbard Comanonweary[SP?], Isabella Norwood[SP?], Ernest Augustus, and Shelly Hagen.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you. Please state your name and you can begin your testimony.

ISABELLA NORWOOD: Hi there, my name is Isabella Norwood. My neighbors know me by my middle name Thank you so much Council Woman Monica I quess. Laurie Cumbo your reputation proceeds you and I have heard so many amazing things about you, so its great to finally meet you in person. So, I'm what you would call your typical gentrifying hipster on the surface. I accept that I come Poland, I was born there. My parents are Polish, and we bought a house all together, three generations, so that my parents can have a place where they can grow old and we can have a community. We have never had that before. traveled all our lives. We've never owned anything. So, Fort Greene is our first home and its been a great home. I'm going to get super emotional. Its been twelve years that we've been there and we're also Catholics so its really difficult hearing these incredibly and passionate eloquent speeches from people who really deserve to have a church and a building in a nine story building or a twelve story building or whatever building they want because I really think that they should have it, so I don't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

know if I'm turning my position here but my point I guess I guess is that what I'm concerned about Council Member Cumbo is that this maybe a trojan horse. My dad works in construction, he has very gradually but ultimately started potato picking and he ended up being a construction manager and also an owner's rep for developers. He understands how lucrative environments create very, very weird opportunities for developers to find ways and we were wondering how are they going to get into Fort Greene? And my concern is that they are using what is basically like you know an inevitable, I mean of course, I would never stand in front of a church and tell them they can't have it but that's my concern is that it's a trojan horse of the situation and the people that are involved behind it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

Chair Moya, Majority Leader Cumbo, and members of the Council who are in absentia. First, off the record I want to just compliment the Chair. You've been here for four and a half hours without taking a break. Its quite admirable. Thank you for your attention.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm here today representing the members of the Rockwell Place Brooklyn Bears Community Gardens.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Could you just state your name?

Oh, I'm sorry. I am Debra Water a DEBRA WATER: member of the Community Garden that sits on the triangle lot at Flatbush and Lafayette Street. you may be aware the member of the community garden has been actively opposing the proposed development at 80 Flatbush due to a number of concerns. Primarily, the proposed height and density that would permanently cast shadows on our garden and effectively kill it. We're here today to express our opposition to the rezoning proposed for 142 South Portland. We believe that proposal abrogates the commitment made in 2007 for contextual zoning for our neighborhood and that it will set a dangerous president. Let me be clear that we are not opposed to any development but the whole point of the Ulurp process is to ensure that new building comply with zoning regulations and that proposed projects that respect the character of our neighborhoods. proposal before you does not. We urge you as responsible City Council Members to look at the big

2.1

2.2

picture of what is happening in Brooklyn as high rise after high rise is being proposed and the unique character of Brooklyn neighborhoods is being chipped away at. Literally, block by block. This incrementalism is analogous to sitting in a warm bath, graduating water that is hotter and hotter and not noticing how hot it is until you become scalded. Please don't scald our neighborhoods. Send a message with your vote on South Portland that proposed development must continue to abide by thoughtful contextual zoning regulations that now exist and that serve our community as well. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

CAROLINE HUBBARD COMANONWEARY: Good Afternoon.

My name is Caroline Hubbard Comanonweary. I'm a

long-time resident of South Portland Avenue and of

Fort Greene. I'm a member of my community board,

community board two. I'm a member of the Fort Greene

Association. I'm a member of Preserve our Brooklyn

Neighborhoods. I'm very civically active in the

community and have been for many years. My family

has been on this block of South Portland Avenue since

the 1940's and so we're very familiar with the block.

We're not new comers. We are very concerned that

this is an effort that changes our zoning. We went
through all the fights with the Urban Renewal area
having housing destroyed, having other brownstones
destroyed and that's how we got those 15 story
buildings that are on the other end of our block and
we wanted low income housing or housing that was more
affordable and that's what that is. Those are coops
that were built with housing subsidies. This
proposal will now put me in particular in jeopardy
because its right next door to me. I have to deal
with all the construction problems as well, but we
need to keep in mind that the buildings that are
there currently are only four stories. They're the
same as the building I live in. The building I own,
and our foundations are connected, so they're the
same size. We don't need to go up to the limits of
what the zoning allows. I understand that the church
needs to or have their own programs space paid for
and that's what they're doing with this kind of use
of the affordable housing as they call it but its
only going to be about 35% affordable. The rest will
be market rate, the way it works out. It will not be
what we call affordable. You'll be at the top of the

2.1

2.2

AMI and over AMI, which is already more than most than most people in our neighborhood can afford.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: You can continue if you had something extra that you wanted to add.

wanted to add that you know, we expect more because a church is nonprofit, and they have the ability to make concessions that perhaps some of us as private owners can't do and that's what we're asking for in this regard and that you know, they really make truly affordable housing and the other problem is, there was a mention that the adjacent building was offered something. I was offered patio furniture. I think all of you sitting here for the church find that insult — you know its really insulting. So, that's nothing. I don't even comprehend how they could say that kind of thing.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

ERNEST AUGUSTUS: My name is Ernest Augustus and I've been a resident of Fort Greene and Clinton Hill for the past 40's. I'm here to restate my opposition to the proposed rezoning of South Portland Avenue. Without belaboring the issue, the application is requesting that the Fort Greene, Clinton Hill

- 1	,	١		

Community abrogate the Fort Greene contextual zoning
in 2007. There is no benefit to the community if it
should behave in this manner. The application and
this development representative has not demonstrated
why we should abrogate the contextual zoning. The
2007 contextual zoning is a good political compromise
that address the community character and for the
affordable housing development under the voluntary
inclusionary housing program. We are being told by
the applicant that they cannot develop affordable
housing under the voluntary inclusionary housing. I
think this is a disingenuous insertion. The
applicant has not shown any attempt to do so. The
claim that inclusionary housing does not generate
sufficient revenue does not mean that the community
harm itself for the economic benefit of a single
applicant. There are other numerous single
applicant's developers that are making the same claim
on this community. I'm on Community Board Two. I'm
on the Land Use Committee and I see this Ulurp
application back it up and making the same claim. I
just have a question about the relationship between
the developer and Brooklyn City Planning. When we
did the contextual zoning in 2007, South Hanson Place

- 1	,	١		

was carved out for protection by City Planning with
7A designation not an R7A and for the applicant and
this is where it really bothers me because I caught
up in the application and I didn't understand why it
was there and I called Brooklyn City Planning for a
clarification and I was not with city. They
initially they were going to talk to me and then I
called the back the guy verbally explained that they
would have the developer call me, but the fact is
that when the developer pointed to his visual and he
looked at the southside of Atlantic Avenue saying
well, this is the height of the neighborhood, again
that's a complete distortion. What he failed to do
was look to the north on Hanson Place and look at
that context and I had this discussion with the City
Planning Commission and I said, and I challenged one
of the Commissioners, Commissioner Otis. I said, I
grew up in Brooklyn. The character of brownstone
Brooklyn is that the blocks are eclectic. You will
have at one corner an eight story, a nine-story
building in the corner or in the middle of the block
and then the rest get built out low wise four or five
story brownstone. That's the character and to argue
that Hanson Place does not fit a brownstone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

neighborhood is highly disingenuous because you walk down that you'll see coming out are these low-rise building. The last point I just want to make is that the Hanson Place Y was built in 1915. City Planning came into being in 1916 and was largely in response to out of scale development. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you, thank you.

SHELLY HAGEN: Hello my name is Shelly Hagen. I live in Clinton Hill. Thank you for this hearing. am probably the laziest person in this room. I don't like work. I respect it and hate to see hard work undone. I refer to the work neighbors in Fort Greene Clinton Hill did twelve years ago to create zoning that preserved our low rise living from oversized buildings. The City Council passed a zoning into law in 2007. Whoville saved. Now comes stalking 142, 150 South Portland to breach the height limits of that zoning, in the name of affordable housing. An eight-story structure could go up as of right but that would not trigger Ulurp. The carriage that would bear the special Brooklyn downtown district into the heart of Fort Greene. The special district was created in 2004 to establish the tower matrix of the new Brooklyn. So, okay the towers had their

1	

2.2

place. Now no, we're threatened again. 150 South
Portland is outfitted as affordable housing to virtue
shame. Anyone who objects to another high-rise
Goldman Sachs developer incursion. If the city
really did hold the needs of low income residents
first, 15 Lafayette just four blocks from South
Portland would have been made real affordable
housing. Instead, the city sold this huge pristine
HPD property to Johnathon Rose Developers for \$1.00.
There stands today at 15 Lafayette a luxury apartment
house back to zoning. Is it pretend? Is all that
work in 2007 about to be passed by this Council?
Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today. Allan Parker, Steve Botton[SP?], Gloria Cox, Jene Lasema[SP?] Francis. Allan Parker. Steve Botton, Gloria Cox, Lisetta Duncan Moore, and we have Jene Lasema. Thank you. If you could just state your name and you can begin your testimony.

ALLEN PARKER: My name is Allan Parker. I am like many people from Brooklyn, born and raised. One of my biggest issues is that I've seen buildings shut down for 35 years. They went in warehouse storage.

Nothing coming out of them, nothing going in. All of
a sudden, we get a burst of no disrespect but people
from all over the country and they're not people of
color. They're in the neighborhood. Every crack and
crevices you look at, something is being built. This
one building is not going to make a difference,
because New York City will not stop putting high rise
buildings all over the place. This is something that
they do. They want people here. They want their
money to be spent. The rents are astronomical. Most
people can't afford them. Most people lose their
properties and have to leave the city. On the same
token if you look at Hanson Place Church, they are a
benefit to the community. They have always been,
they always will be as long as there is a church,
there is going to be something coming out of there
positive and I can't see anything wrong with them
getting something. One person said we should sell
property because we need money but on the same token,
that property would be sold. That money would be
gone. We need money on a consistency that we can
maintain what we need to do for our community and our
people. Not just people of color but anybody that
come into our community is our people and that's all

2.2

we're trying to do and that's all we ever tried to do and so we want to do. Thank you for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

JENE LASEMA: My name is Jene Lasema Francis and I've been a member of the Hanson Place Church for the last over 40 years and I know the history of Hanson Place. We have been providing service for all this time. They were all outlined, the services that we provide, and I was particularly happy to hear those that Pastor Penn spoke about regarding the young woman and the young men. The programs that will be provided for them. The elderly, right now even in our church we need more space for the younger ones who we call pathfinders. They are the ones similar to the boy scouts and girl scouts and we do need that program and I am in full support of the program that is proposed.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

GLORIA COX: Good afternoon. My name is Gloria Cox. Some of the things I wanted to say have been said already. I just want to register that I am in full support of this project.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you.

LISETTA DUNCAN MOORE: Good afternoon. Thank you
so much for having us voice our opinions. I am in
full support of the project. My name is Lisetta
Duncan Moore and I am the CEO of Brooklyn Plaza
Medical Center. Brooklyn Plaza Medical Center has
been an existence for 40 years or so and its
currently sitting on the property of 650 Fulton
Street. As many have said, this is a time of change
and I think the challenge is do we change and how do
we change. With Brooklyn Plaza just as the church,
we have been providing quality healthcare to
particularly those that are uninsured and those that
do not have insurance primarily. We do not turn
anyone away. Currently we have three sites. We have
a school base site and we have a site held in the
public housing. So, our mission is very much the
same. When the Elder and the leadership from the
church came over with the idea of partnership, I
thought that it was probably a marriage made in
heaven. Why? Because we as a people can continue to
deliver quality healthcare and quality care from
religious care, from caring from our children. I
need to also say I came to the Fort Greene community
in 1972 as a single mom, newly divorced at that time

1	

8

9

10

11

12

25

2 and moved over on South Elliot Street and of course

3 Hanson Place became a place for my child to go to

4 kindergarten at the time and met the Brooklyn Plaza

5 | Medical Center was one of the places I took my

6 children. I'm a graduate of LIU, spent about 30

7 years in the Fort Greene community. So, thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you very much for your testimony.

ALLEN PARKER: Nothing stays the same.

Everything -

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Speak into the mic.

13 ALLEN PARKER: Nothing stays the same.

14 | Everything eventually changes that's why you have

15 | zoning laws. One week I was living in [inaudible

16 4:32:56] and then I wounded up in another state. I

17 apologize, I wound up in Grown Heights. They

18 | rezoned, and I didn't even know. Nobody told me I was

19 | in Grown Heights. I was right here on Bedford Avenue

20 | in Bedford-Stuyvesant at Saint Johns Place and today

21 known Grown Heights. Nothing stays the same except

22 the promises that was made.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, thank you. Thank you

24 | all for your testimony today. Thank you. The next

panel HJ Samerson[SP?], Louise Vas Espinal, Evonne

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

testimony.

Ashby and Duane Baldwin. Oh, that's Samerson, okay.

Louise Vas Espinal. There we go, okay. Am I saying
it correctly. Okay. Evonne Ashby and Duane Baldwin.

He's gone, okay. Ellen Mosely, John Archer. Thank
you. You can state your name and you can begin your

JEFF SAMERSON: Good afternoon Council Majority Leader Cumbo, Council Person Moya and committee council and others that are sitting here today. My name is Jeff Samerson. I have served the Fort Greene community for 27 years with the fire department city of New York and I'm now retired but my history at Fort Greene didn't start there. I have attended elementary school in the Fort Greene community in the Buckley Stadium is right in the back of Hanson Place on the block by Hanson Place and it's a pretty large building. There is also a large building on the corner Mechada[SP?] but yet this building seems to be such an issue. The large apartment building - I remember when that building was being built and it's a pretty large building that's there. So far, the Hanson Place Church has provided since I've been there for 52 years. They have provided math programs. They have provided community board

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

programs. They have not community board, but they have provided community food, community services, hot food. I've seen them do a computer class next door to the church and that church has opened to the community greatly. I want to tell you that to open this building - to have this building built, I don't really see the disparity that it has. There are four houses on the block yet there are buildings going up all around. As I said, there is a building on the corner on both ends of the block. Hanson Place Church, if you come there on any day, you would see them most days providing food for the community. think that you should support this bill. Support he Hanson Place Church. They can be trusted, and they have proven their community service time and time again. Thank you.

COUNCIL: Next, you may proceed. Thank you.

Could you just state your name before you begin your testimony?

LOUISE VAS ESPINAL: Sure. I'm Louise Vas

Espinal. I've been a registered nurse for over 50

years and I spent most of my time here in Brooklyn

teaching in the Fort Greene area. Nurse technicians

and downstate and also, volunteering at [inaudible]

2.1

2.2

4:37:30] teaching math and nursing and biology, all the old sciences because I had two bachelors.

However, I've given my time to the community and I just wanted to give some love to my community — more love from the church at perspective. So, at my age I had some problem and when I went to the doctor, they couldn't help me. So, I went into the program of

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Alright now.

life style changes and I lost 94 pounds.

healthy and the doctor took me off three medications and said what am I going to do if I had all patients like you because I wouldn't have a job. I said they would all come to you and get better, I told her. However, I am just happy for my church for to go in there 49 years and I will continue and I'm sure I will be buried there also because I have already got my grave when the time comes. However, God has blessed us, and he is in control and I know whatever it is, according to Jeramiah in the Bible the Lord is in control. No one else can do anything else. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: You certainly know how to live in the now and the later. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELLEN MOSELY: My name is Ellen Mosely. I've been a member of the Hanson Place Seventh-day

Adventist Church for close to 50 years. I support the project and I would very much like to see it move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you for your testimony. Can you please state your name when you begin your testimony? Thank you.

JOHN ARCHER: My name is John Archer. I'm also a member of the Hanson Place Church for over 50 years. I have two children. They were born right here in Brooklyn. They grew up and had their schooling right there in that Fort Greene area in the school right there. So, I have been a part of the community for over 50 years with my children growing up there. One has become a professional physician. The other is a Pastor and I think that the church has provided service so that my children and the children of the community like wise have moved up. I think that the service of this church has provided is only pretext of what we are endeavoring to provide in the future and so this project is a way of helping the church to further carry out it services. Therefore, I stand to support this project very strongly.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all

3 for your testimony. Oh, we have one, I'm sorry.

EVONNE ASHBY: Good afternoon. My name is Evonne
Ashby and I'm a member of the Hanson Place Church and
I support the Hanson Place Church. It's a light
house in Fort Greene.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: We have Olive Archer,
Lucilla Alene[SP?], okay. Thank you so much if you
would just state your name and you can start your
testimony.

LUCILLA ALENE: My name is Lucilla Alene and I do believe that we are all scared of changes. When it comes to change, nobody likes changes, but I am here to support this program. I belong to my church, Hanson Place Church for now but over 30 years and I would like to see this program process because that's why I'm here is to support the program and people don't like changes and that is all. We don't like changes, but I hope this program proceeds.

OLIVE ARCHER: My name is Olive Archer and I've been a member of the Hanson Place Church since I came to this country in 1962 from my birth country

Venezuela. We're in big trouble right now, but soon
we'll get out of it and my children were born at
Hanson Place. They went to school at the Hanson
Place and now as my husband stated one lives in
Connecticut, she is a urologist and the other one
lives in Michigan, he's a pastor and you know I'm
here to support the programs of the Hanson Place
Church because we've been in that community for a
long, long time and if you look around, those who
have been there from way back and look at whats
happening now. They can see the changes that has
been brought about because of the Hanson Place Church
and I'm here to support 100% all the work that's
being done and what we intend to do to improve the
community in which our church is located with all the
services in addition to the community services that
we provide on a daily basis and also we want to do
affordable housing, so that other people, seniors
like myself can have the opportunity to live in a
quite neighborhood. Thank you very much for this
opportunity and may you continue to do your best and
support us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you both. Thank you so much for your testimony today. Are there any more

•	1	
	ı	
_	L	

members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none. I now close the public hearing on this application and it will be laid over. I would like to thank the members of the public, my colleagues, especially Council Woman Laurie Cumbo, Council on the Land Use Staff for attending today's hearing. This meeting is hereby adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 1, 2018