CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON LOWER MANHATTAN REDEVELOPMENT

and

COMMITTEE ON MENTAL HEALTH

and

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

----X

December 7, 2009 Start: 1:18 pm Recess: 4:54 pm

HELD AT: Co

Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ALAN J. GERSON Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

G. Oliver Koppell, Chair Mental

Health

Michael C. Nelson, Chair Civil

Service and Labor Gale A. Brewer Simcha Felder James F. Gennaro

John C. Liu

Melissa Mark-Viverito

Annabel Palma

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tamaroo Mamo Senior Advisor for Health Policy New York City's Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services, Linda Gibbs

Jeffrey Haan World Trade Center Health Coordinator, Liaison World Trade Center Health Registry

Brian Geller Lawyer Deputy Mayor Skylar's Office

John Gallagher Retired Captain New York City Fire Department

John Feel 9-11 Responder

Frank Tramitano Research Director Patrolman's Benevolent Association

Chris McGrath Associate General Counsel Patrolman's Benevolent Association

Sally Reganhart Chairperson, Representative Skyscraper Safety Campaign, 9-11 Parents and Families of Firefighters and World Trade Center Victims

Katherine McVey Hughes Vice Chairperson Community Board 1

Kimberly Flynn
Co-Coordinator
9-11 Environmental Action

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Maria Penefil Representative, 9-11 Clean Up Worker Beyond Ground Zero

Marina Zunega Representative, 9-11 Clean Up Worker Beyond Ground Zero

Esther Regalson Representative, 9-11 Resident 9-11 Environmental Action Committee

Doctor James Mileus Occupational Health physician and Epidemiologist Laborer's Union of Health and Safety Trust Fund

Laurie Pandolpho Representative Concerned Stuyvesant Community

Bayrohn Chin 9-11 Resident

Miga Nagasaki Representative Chinese Staff and Workers Association

Ann Arlen Representative Community Board 2

Arik Tomachevski 9-11 Exposed

Joe Paulett 9-11 Resident

Victor Nino 9-11 Worker

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Council Member Alan Gerson, Chair of the City Council's Committee on Lower Manhattan Redevelopment. Thank you all for being here. This hearing is a joint hearing among the Committee or a multiple committee hearing, I should say, among the committee I chair and the City Council's Committee on Mental Health, chaired by my most distinguished colleague, Council Member Oliver Koppell seated to my right from whom we'll hear shortly. And the City Council's Health Committee, chaired by Council Member Mike Nelson who will be joining us eminently.

Council Member Koppell will introduce members of his committee present as part of his remarks. As typical, there are multiple Council events scheduled at the same time so members of the three committees will be coming and going during the course of the hearing. But of course, we make a full transcript of the hearing, which will be studied by the memberships of all three committees and the Council at large. We are now though, joined by Dr. Mathieu Eugene, a member of the Committee on Lower Manhattan Redevelopment.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As we begin, let me just first take a moment to thank all of you for joining us and 3 4 participating in the hearing. Let me thank the 5 members of the Lower Manhattan Redevelopment Committee staff who helped organize or arrange for 6 7 this hearing; our attorney, Mr. Lyle Frank, our policy analyst Patrick Mulvahill and Council 9 Members Koppell and Nelson, I'm confident will 10 acknowledge their committeetees [phonetic].

let me also acknowledge the Sergeant at Arms of

the City Council who always does such a good job

in arranging all events.

Look, I'm going to keep my opening remarks relatively brief because this, of course, is an important topic and we have a lot of witnesses with a lot of important information from whom we want to hear. Then, of course, there will be time after the hearing for myself and my colleagues to comment as we pursue this matter further. But a couple of points do need to be made at the outset.

First and foremost, the issue of the health impact and all of its ramifications, pulmonary health, other issues of physical health,

mental health, the whole gamut, the issues of the health impact of 9-11 are issues which will remain with us, with our city and beyond for indeed a generation to come. We know that some of the substances asbestos in particular but other toxins to which people were without question exposed in potentially dangerous quantities, are such that their impacts are often on the body, are often delayed. Their horrendous impacts are often delayed for years or decades after exposure.

We know at the same time that there have been negative health impacts, pulmonalogical [phonetic] and as I said with respect to other aspects of physiology that have already manifested themselves. We also know in the mental health department that impacts on mental health of the exposure of 9-11 are such that they both have manifested themselves in the moments and days immediately following and that they could continue to manifest themselves also for years and decades to come.

As many of you know, I was present, literally four blocks due north on that fateful moment on 9-11. Like so many in the community I

3

4

5

6

/

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

represent and so many heroes and first responders,

I saw the bodies fall down and the towers come

down. I don't think a day goes by that that

vision does not surface in me without fail. And

this is true for so many others with a range of

impacts.

God willing, we will see no negative health outcomes of the sort that could be possible beyond what we have already seen. God forbid, and of course that is what we all hope and pray will be the case. But God forbid we don't act prudently on the basis of the best scientific information to plan and put in place the plans, the protocols and the procedures to treat any one and every one, first responders, residents, anyone in the immediate area and beyond who may have a 9-11 related ailment. We must put in place the plans, the protocols and the procedures to make sure that we as a city capture any one and every one who may have such an ailment. Capture in the sense that we are aware and we provide every opportunity to any such person, every opportunity to any such person to receive all of the best state of the art treatment

2 and care and support possible.

And also, and I've repeated this from day in and day out, we must also make sure we are undertaking all of the possible research, both epidemiological research and other scientific research. To not only keep track of the situation as it develops but to give ourselves the best opportunities possible to discover and put in place therapies and possible cures to treat anyone who may have a 9-11 related ailment. We know as we research that we will inevitably find other medical benefits. So that is the challenge.

The purpose of this hearing is to make sure that this city, this city government in what we do and in what we demand of the state and the federal government in particular, is doing everything that we must do to fulfill that charge to put in place the plans, the protocols and the procedures of which I spoke. We owe ourselves, we owe the brave first responders and their families, we owe the residents who remain to rebuild their neighborhoods no less. That is why we are here.

In this hearing, we will hear from city officials and from experts and from people

2	who have experiences directly related to 9-11
3	health. What is being done and what should be
4	done. I could assure you going forward, I know in
5	the capable hands of Council Member Koppell and
6	Council Member Nelson and the members of this
7	Council, this Council will do everything possible
8	with the administration to make sure this city
9	does everything that it must. In whatever
10	capacity I am in, I will continue to do my best to
11	see to it that we fulfill those obligations. With
12	that, let me recognize one of the co-chairs of

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Thank you.

Thank you very much Council Member Gerson. And thank you for all the work that you've done, especially with respect to the aftermath of 9-11, which I know has been a central focus for you.

Let me welcome other members of the Mental Health Committee who are here. We have a good representation, I'm pleased to say and want to thank the members for being here, Simcha Felder all the way to my left Annabel Palma, who is also to my left and Gale Brewer, who is to my left.

this hearing, Council Member Oliver Koppell, who I

acknowledged earlier.

2 All three of them are members of our committee.

3 Annabel Palma is the chair of the Sub-committee on

4 Drug Abuse and Substance Abuse and that is one of

5 the factors that is being looked at.

Let me just add briefly to what-let me also introduce Matthew Collin who is the
counsel to the committee and also Michael
Benjamin. Where is Michael? He's over there.
And he's prepared much of the material for us and
he's the program associate for the Mental Health
Committee.

I am pleased to ay that in this most recent report, the 2009 Annual Report form the World Trade Center Medical Working Group, mental health concerns, concerns about the mental health effects on those who were affected by 9-11, which is a broad range of people. I know everybody recognizes that; first responders, residents, people in the area, people who had contact later on, all have been affected. This report does recognize specifically that the mental health effects are severe and continuing, and not only on adult populations but also on children. We want to be sure that those conditions will be

2 followed up on and will continue to receive 3 attention.

We're certainly interested in knowing what is currently being done. I'm personally familiar with several people whose careers ended not because they were physically injured on 9-11 but because they were at the Trade Center on 9-11. Frankly, was so affected in terms of their mental health that they're no longer working and they couldn't continue to work. So I know specific examples of that and I'm sure there are many, many more that I don't know.

If you look at this report you'll see that in fact one of the things mentioned in the report is that just as an example, it says in 19% of adults enrolled in the World Trade Center health Registry showed symptoms of probable post traumatic stress disorder. So we're talking about a substantial number of people because we have thousands of people on the registry.

This is something that's an ongoing problem. I'm delighted, pleased to say that it's receiving some attention now, probably a little bit belatedly but nonetheless it's welcome. So

I'm looking forward to hearing the testimony and certainly want to follow up as a member of the Council and chairman of the committee in what we can do to support these efforts.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you

Council Member Koppell. Just before I turn to our

first panel, let me acknowledge the presence in

Mike Nelson's momentary absence, let me

acknowledge the presence of Council Member Domenic

Recchia, a member of the Council's Health

Committee.

Of course, the report to which

Council Member Koppell referred is the '09 annual report of 9-11 health put together by the World

Trade Center Medical Working Group and we look forward to hearing testimony from the administration that summarizes the report as well as presents any additional information.

I just want to say that we had requested that at least one of the physicians involved in direct treatment in one of the city run centers, 9-11 health centers, be present to testify or to answer questions. I'm sorry that I learned that that is not the case. So I'll say in

have any written testimony other than the report?

25

2.

report with recommendations supported in full by
the Mayor. One of those recommendations was that
the Mayor should establish a World Trade Center
Working Group made up of a diverse membership of
up to 15 public health, mental health,
environmental health and medical professionals and
researchers from within and outside city
government. To advise the Mayor and others about
health issues that are related or potentially
related to the September 11, 2001 terrorist
attacks on the World Trade Center.

The group is co-chaired by the

Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services and the

Commissioner for the Department of Health and

Mental Hygiene. And includes 13 experts,

including a representative from each of New York

City's three World Trade Center's Centers of

Excellence, the FDNY and Mt. Sinai programs and

the WTC Environmental Health Center.

The Medical Working Group reviews existing and emerging scientific data on the potential health effects of World Trade Center exposure to identify evidence of clinical risks and potential gaps in information. It makes

recommendations about research and health and mental health service needs and advises city government on approaches to communicating health risk information. The first Medical Working Group was established by Mayor Bloomberg in 2007 and reports have been issued for 2008 and 2009.

Today, I will review recent findings from the Medical Working Group's 2009 report on 9-11 health and also discuss its key policy recommendations. Before I detail the findings of the 2009 report, I'd like to summarize the 2008 Medical Working Group report. For this first report nearly 100 studies published from 2001 to 2007 were reviewed. And most of these studies examined the health of the rescue and recovery workers one to three years after their exposure to the WTC disaster although some studies also included effects on residents and building evacuees.

The key physical and mental health findings were remarkably consistent across studies. Both the clinical studies and population based surveillance indicated that symptoms of post traumatic stress disorder were highly prevalent

2.

among rescue and recovery workers and lower
Manhattan residents to directly effected
populations. Studies of rescue and recovery
workers also indicated that respiratory problems,
asthma and gastro esophageal reflux disease, or
GERD, are common among this group, particularly

those who arrived early at the WTC site.

residents and area workers, including those workers who may live elsewhere reported elevated level of respiratory problems and new onset asthma. At the time of the report's publication last year, treatment for WTC related conditions was available for exposed group including children and adolescents in the New York City area.

After the release of its first annual report, the 2009 Medical Working Group identified 48 published peer reviewed studies examining WTC health impacts or exposures that were relevant to the purpose of its report. Among these studies, the majority, 36 examined mental health and 13 addressed physical health.

Some new findings from this research addresses mid term health effects among

adults five to seven years after 9-11. It
suggests that while the vast majority of people
exposed to the attacks of 9-11 and its immediate
aftermath are healthy and symptom free, thousands
of exposed individuals continue to suffer from WTC
related mental or physical health conditions and
some of these conditions are likely to be chronic.
Of particular note are new epidemiological
findings by the World Trade Center Health
Registry, which has been tracking the health of
the large voluntary sample of 71,000 individuals
since 2003 through periodic surveys.

In August, the Journal of American Medical Association published registry findings which indicate high levels of new asthma diagnoses since the attacks, especially during the first 16 months after 9-11. And sustained in late emerging post traumatic stress symptoms. At the time of the second survey, five to six years after 9-11, one in ten adult enrollees reported having been diagnosed with new asthma, new onset symptoms of asthma five to six years after 9-11. However were comparable to expected levels and were not linked to the degree or intensity of WTC exposure.

In the sa	me survey, nearly one in
five enrollees reported	experiencing symptoms of
post traumatic stress.	In many of those
developing symptoms year	s after 9-11 also had
experienced other life s	tresses subsequent to the
attack such as losing a	job or having inadequate
social support. Several	newly published studies
suggests that WTC relate	d mental and physical
health conditions often	can occur together. And
in fact, 10% to 25% of t	he more than 14,000 people
who sought care at the W	TC's Centers of Excellence
during fiscal year 2009	received treatment for
both mental and physical	health conditions.
Fortunately, monitoring	and treatment continues to
be available in the New	York City area for those
whose health had been af	fected by 9-11 thanks to a
combination of federal a	nd city funding.

As in 2008, the 2009 report notes that whether there is a relationship between WTC exposure and other longer term illnesses including cancer is unknown. But clinicians, epidemiologists and other researchers are actively studying this. Ongoing studies conducted by the WTC Centers of Excellence and the WTC Health

Registry are looking at cancer rates in the WTC

exposed population against a background or

expected rate among people of the same gender and

age group. The Medical Working Group will discuss

this research in its next annual report.

In its 2008 annual report eh

Medical Working Group recommended that more
research be conducted on the physical and mental
health of children who lived or went to school in
lower Manhattan. The WTC's Health Registry cohort
of 3,000 children and adolescents who were
enrolled by their parents offers an excellent data
source to follow the health effects of this
population over time.

In 2007 the Registry released findings suggesting that two to three years after 9-11 children under the age of five caught in the dust cloud were twice as likely to have newly diagnosed asthma as children not caught in the dust cloud.

Late last year, the registry concluded its second survey of child and adolescent health which examined the health effects that occurred six to seven years after 9-

11 and assembled a team of researchers who will release their findings next year. Analysis of these findings is more complicated because three different versions of the survey were used so that parents could respond on behalf of young children or adolescents or older adolescents could respond on their own.

The Registry also encourages external researchers to use this valuable data source. Currently Columbia University is studying the children of first responders to learn how second hand exposure to terrorism any effect their mental health. Several studies cited in the 2009 Medical Working Group report examined the impact of the terrorist attacks on the mental health of school children two to three years after the attacks.

While small, two of these studies suggest that children who were exposed to additional trauma either before or after 9-11 were more vulnerable to PTSD. A large Mt. Sinai study of adolescents who attended the schools closest to the World Trade Center and who had high levels of exposure to the disaster, including those who

personally knew anyone killed in the attacks, suggested that their use of alcohol and other substances had increased 18 months after the attacks. Continued research is needed, particularly in the areas of cancer, treatment efficacy and the impact of 9-11 on child and adolescent health.

Our state of knowledge about the short term health effects of 9-11 has come into sharper focus since the formation of the WTC Medical Working Group. We now better understand the longer term health needs of exposed individuals, in particular those who may have developed chronic conditions that can seriously affect quality of life.

Research indicates that many people with 9-11 related PTSD symptoms are not receiving treatment, despite the availability of publicly funded services. Nearly 5,000 WTC Health Registry enrollees who report PTSD symptoms in 2006 to 2007 also reported that they hadn't seen a mental health provider in the previous year.

Approximately half of these persons were residents, office workers or individuals other

than rescue and recovery workers who were in the

In response to the apparent gap
between the PTSD burden in New York City and the
mental health service utilization, DOHMH and the
New York City Health and Hospital Corporation
partnered to do a targeted outreach to people in
the New York City area. And actively refer them
to 9-11 health related services at the WTC
Environmental Health Center at Bellevue Hospital
Center, Elmhurst Hospital Center and Gugeneer
Health Care Services.

vicinity of the WTC site on the morning of 9-11.

Enrollees in the WTC Health
Registry are receiving personalized mailings and
phone calls informing them that they're eligible
for both physical and mental health services with
no out of pocket costs at the WTC Environmental
Health Center. The Registry staff then offers
enrollees assistance in scheduling appointments.

In our outreach efforts, as a first step we have prioritized enrollees with probable PTSD and co-morbid physical symptoms who indicated that they had not seen a mental health provider in the year before they were surveyed. In addition

to various broadcast media approaches such as

television and radio, the WTC EHC also concluded

it's third wave of subway advertising to promote

its services as widely as possible.

The multi lingual campaign, which uses the tag line, Lived There, Worked There. You Deserve Care, has been particularly effective in attracting lower Manhattan residents, area workers and students who were eligible for services.

Recognizing that a subway advertising campaign was likely to reach rescue and recovery workers who were eligible for services at Mt. Sinai, information about this program was incorporated into the city's 311 referral system. This has made it possible for hundreds of callers to be directly transferred to appropriate service providers for the first time.

Additionally, last summer DOHMH published clinical guidelines for children and adolescents exposed to the World Trade Center disaster for distribution to pediatricians in the New York City area. The guidelines were developed to raise awareness among pediatricians about potential health effects of WTC exposure among

children to provide evidence based recommendations about the treatment of those exposed children and to encourage referral to the specialized services available through a pediatric program at the WTC Environmental Health Center. DOHMH mailed the guidelines to 33,000 doctors, nurses, psychologists and other providers citywide.

As part of its continuing effort to increase awareness of WTC related problems and services, DOHMH used its flagship publication, Health Bulletin, to educate the general public about WTC related health issues and urge individuals who may have been affected to seek specialized treatment for post traumatic stress disorder and asthma. More than 65,000 subscribers received the WTC Health Bulletin electronically and copies are available in English, Spanish or Chinese at all public hospitals and could be ordered by calling 311.

City advocacy efforts, most 9-11 health programs are federally funded through annual appropriations adopted by Congress and approved by the President. This means that the future of these programs is uncertain from one

2.

year to the next. The 9-11 Health and
Compensation Act introduced in the House and
Senate provides long term federal funding for
medical monitoring and treatment for those
affected by 9-11, scientific research about 9-11
related health conditions and reopening the
September 11 Victim Compensation Fund for people
who may have been injured or become sick as a

result of the WTC disaster.

The 9-11 Health and Compensation

Act is an important step forward and New York City
has stepped up its outreach efforts to encourage

Congressional support of federal 9-11 health
legislation. Although the 9-11 Health and

Compensation Act has the support of the entire New

York Congressional delegation, it must be approved
by a House committee, with members from 31 states
before the full House can vote on it. The Senate

version has been referred to the Health,

Education, Labor and Pensions Committee.

With legislative action likely
after Congress finishes work on health care
reform, the city with cooperation from the FDNY is
urging mayors from key cities and fire departments

,

across the nation that participated in the WTC rescue and recovery effort to ask their local representatives to support the bill. Almost every member of Congress represents a district that is home to first responders and volunteers who served after 9-11 or residents who have since relocated outside of New York City.

We are providing a breakdown of registry enrollees by geographic area to show members of Congress how passing the 9-11 Health and Compensation Act can benefit their constituents directly. In addition to its partnerships with labor and community leaders to support permanent funding for 9-11 medical monitoring and treatment. The city's efforts include a meeting between the WTC Environmental Health Centers' staff and patients, to the Health, Energy and Commerce committee to stress the services for people who lived, worked or went to school in lower Manhattan and are now sick.

Standing with the responders in the community of all events in New York City and Washington, DC and making information about federal 9-11 health legislation easily accessible

2.

through the city's 9-11 health web site at
www.911health.org. The 9-11 Health and
Compensation Act directly addresses the current
and future health problems created by 9-11 and
also provides important relief for past injuries
and illnesses.

The city looks forward to working with the Council to advocate for all New Yorkers who were affected or who may be affected by the 9-11 attacks.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: We'll continue with questions in just a moment. But first we've been joined by the Chair of the Health Committee we introduced to you in advance, Council Member Mike Nelson. And also member of the Lower Manhattan Redevelopment Committee, Council Member and Controller Elect John Liu. Chair Nelson.

CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Excuse me, I misspoke, Council Member Nelson. So it's good to have an attorney present to keep us accurate is the Chair of the Civil Service and Labor Committee, which is one of the three committee organizing conducting this hearing, Chair Nelson.

2 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you

Chair Gerson. I want to thank everybody for attending today's hearing on the health of those who were exposed to the World Trade Center disaster. It's been estimated that almost 100,000 workers or volunteers participated in that rescue, recovery and clean up on or after, of course 9-11. Many of these workers were New York City uniformed personnel, including members of the Fire Department and including emergency services at the NYPD, of course, Port Authority of New York and New Jersey. We know the Department of Sanitation and Corrections as well.

In addition, area workers, residents and students were also directly exposed to the attack. In June of 2007 Mayor Bloomberg appointed the World Trade Center Medical Working Group to review available research on WTC exposure and to publish an annual report condensing this research. In September of 2009 the World Trade Center Medical Working Group published its second annual report on 9-11 health. This research summarized 48 new studies about WTC related health issues, with 36 of the studies focusing on mental

health and 13 focusing on physical health. This research reviewed and addressed both the short term health of adults one to three years after the attack and the mid term health of adults five to seven years after the attack.

We're here today—well, we know why we're here today but it is essential that we learn more about the after effects of 9-11 so that we may be prepared to provide services, plan new treatments and support the long term health of these individuals. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you,

Chair Nelson. Pursuant to the procedure we follow
that the Lower Manhattan Committee, I'm going to

ask the Sergeant at Arms to clock me at 10 and
then we will rotate through my colleagues. Oh,
it's right here. That's right. I forgot, okay.

You set it up, okay.

All right. Let me just start with some basic government operations. We have this World Trade Center Medical Working Group and I applaud the administration for convening this group. You have a very distinguished membership and according to your report, the members of the

2.

Medical Working Group meet quarterly. So could
you just elaborate on what those meetings consist
of, what are the agendas at those meetings? And
in between meetings what type of staffing does the
working group have to conduct the ongoing
investigations of this group? Do you have your
own defined staff? If so, can you describe it?
Or do you borrow staff from the different
departments represented? Are they assigned full
time?

Just how does the working group function? What do you do in your meetings? And what type of staff do you have in between?

MR. MAMO: Sure. So as you mentioned the Medical Working Group meets quarterly and just--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]

Just pull the mic a little closer.

MR. MAMO: Sure. The agenda of the meetings, frankly it differs by year and the agenda depends on what the members agree to put in the report that year. So the first report was mainly review of the medical mental health literature so that was the main goal. And the

staffing is done by both staff of the Mayor's

Office since the co-chair is the Deputy Mayor for

Health and Human Services as well as the

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

But when we meet we discuss various health and mental health topics. Members alert us to any new studies that have not been published and that may be released in the future. And usually topically we discuss the different health and mental health, we have concerns also.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: So is the purpose of the working group essentially to publish this annual report or do you have other missions as well? Would it be within the purview of the working group during the course of the year to recommend and use strategies in terms of outreach or proposed new areas of research? How would you define the mission of the working group beyond publishing this annual report, if anything?

MR. MAMO: Obviously, beyond the-we look at the literature and review any new
research. Obviously, the first year we had a much
more extensive review of the literature. So in
ongoing groups we would look at new findings and

23

24

25

Τ	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 3
2	we could look at various topics such as gaps in
3	services also. Yeah, that's
4	[pause]
5	MR. MAMO: And the report
6	consolidates recommendations made during the year.
7	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And the other
8	part of my question, the staffing. Is there
9	permanent staff assigned to the working group or
10	do you draw up on the Mayor's Office and the
11	departments as needed. Who actually prepares the
12	drafts of the report?
13	MR. MAMO: I couldn't tell you all
14	the staff involved. I know the Department of
15	Health and Mental Hygiene has several staff that
16	assists with the literature and drafting of the
17	report, the World Trade Center Health Report
18	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
19	But is there a permanent staff assigned to the
20	working group or just that each of the working
21	group members brings his or her own staffshould

MR. MAMO: Certainly the members would contribute through participation and follow

to the working group to follow up as needed.

have been turned off. Brings his or her own staff

up emails and review and editing of the draft and are very much working members in assembling the report. But there are no staff solely designated to working on the Medical Working Group but we feel the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene has done a very good job in staffing the report. Obviously, the Mayor's Office, as one of the cochairs is Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services is involved too in both drafting and editing the report and incorporating comments from the Medical Working Group members.

may return to this issue of the functioning and the staffing of the working group but after we review some other substantive questions. Let me start specifically by asking you about studies involving children and adolescents. I believe you testified and the report indicated that there is a need for expansive studies among the younger population group. And you indicated that we have 3,000 registrants who provide a good foundation for such studies. So could you tell us what plans are underway to expand the research specifically directed at impact on children and on adolescents?

Haan, the World Trade Center Health Coordinator, I work as liaison also with the World Trade Center Health Registry. In 2008 the World Trade Center Health Registry concluded its second survey of children and adolescents who were exposed to the World Trade Center disaster. They're in the process of analyzing questions about the health of those individuals.

It's important to note that of the 3,000 children who were originally enrolled in the Registry, 1,000 of those have aged into adulthood so we now have approximately 2,000 children under the age of 18 that we're following.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: If a child was not, as of this point has not enrolled in the Registry but does otherwise qualify, can they still enroll? Can they still be added to the Registry?

MR. HAAN: No, the Registry ended its enrollment in 2003. However, they enrolled a representative sample of children and adolescents so the findings fro this particular group that did enroll would be applicable across other children

1	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 36
2	as well.
3	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And these
4	2,000 children remaining in the registry, can you
5	give us an overview of the composition of that
6	group? Are they children from the neighborhood?
7	Are they children who experienced second hand
8	effects because they had a family member who was a
9	first responder? What is the make up of this
10	population?
11	MR. HAAN: They're primarily
12	children who went to school or lived below Canal
13	Street.
14	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Excuse me.
15	I'm going to just recognize Chair Nelson for an
16	announcement and then I'll return.
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: We'd just like
18	to welcome to City Hall, and to the chambers
19	specifically, Tumair Vorra, a girls school,
20	elementary school with Rabbi Alacatorski. I want
21	to thank you for your interest and for coming.
22	Thank you.
23	[Applause]
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Early interest
25	is extremely important, wish I had that. Okay.

2.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And welcome to
City Hall. So just to pick up, the only study
involving children of which you're aware now
ongoing is this latest review of the enrollees of
the Registry?

MR. HAAN: I should clarify, no that's not the case. It's--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]

Could you review what is happening? What studies

that you know of are taking place with respect to

children and adolescents, particularly?

MR. HAAN: I do know for example that Columbia University is now conducting a study of second hand effects of the physiological impacts of 9-11. Some of the children that they reached out to are in the Registry but they're also reaching out to children of first responders who are not necessarily in the Registry. What's important to note about the World Trade Center Health Registry cohort of children is that this is a longitudinal survey which means that we can track the health effects over time. That's not something that you can do with some of the other studies that are basically taking surveys of

2	children who happened to be in school, which you
3	don't have the wealth of information that the
4	Registry can provide on their exposures.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: So we have the Registry review, we have the Columbia University study on second hand effects. Any other studies that you know about?

MR. HAAN: I do know that there was recently findings published from a gentleman who I believe is associated with the Charles Wang Center in lower Manhattan--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]

Just a few blocks from where we are.

MR. HAAN: Correct, but at this point I am not aware of any other specific research on children.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Do you feel, and when I say you I mean the working group, do you feel that what you described is sufficient for understanding and capturing the impacts of 9-11, physical and mental, or children or is there a need? Or do you feel that we need to do additional research beyond the three that you identified, the three studies?

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: In the next stand you will report?

23

24

25

MR. HAAN: I need to make a

2	clarification. In order, really, to be published
3	in the annual report it has to be published first
4	in peer review literature. So the hope is that
5	the Registry findings will be published by a peer
6	review scientific journal, in which case they
7	would then be included by the mwc.
8	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And the

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And the researchers are the researchers of the Registry itself who are doing this?

MR. HAAN: Registry staff are assisting in the research but a pediatrician at the University of Medicine and Dentistry in New Jersey is actually leading this particular effort.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: How are they selected? How did they get involved?

MR. HAAN: All I can say is that the Registry recruited, I think it's a pool of about six to eight researchers to work. I'm not aware of the specifics of how they were recruited. I can get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: All right.

And then just finally for this round, so we have that. We have the Columbia Center, you say that you promote use of the Registry by other

2	researchers. Does that imply that you feel that
3	there is definitely a need and a place for
4	research beyond what is currently taking place?
5	MR. HAAN: We believe that the
6	Registry cohort is the best subject for research
7	and we actively encourage people to use that data
8	source
9	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
10	And you actively encourage because you feel there
11	is a need for additional research?
12	MR. HAAN: The Medical Working
13	Group recommended that there be more research
14	conducted on child and adolescent health.
15	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Okay. We'll
16	return to that but at this point I want to turn to
17	my colleague, Council Member Chair Koppell.
18	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Thank you.
19	I'll ask a couple of questions and then we'll turn
20	it over to other members. We've been joined by
21	Council Member Gennaro from Queens, also a member
22	of the Mental Health Committee. I think we have
23	all our members here now. Do you want to
24	recognize Melissa Mark-Viverito?
25	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: We were joined

also by Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito.

3 Thank you Council Member.

it states that between 43,000 and 88,000 adults may have experienced symptoms indicative of post traumatic stress disorder five to six years later. Is it your sense that those persons are quite a large number are being adequately treated or aware of the availability of treatment at your centers or elsewhere?

MR. MAMO: Well, we feel that there is a gap in those who are probably PTSD and those who are actually utilizing the services. So we feel that the capacity is there right now but the services are not necessarily being utilized by all the people affected.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: I know you have this subway advertisements and other outreach efforts you testified to, but to the extent that people are treated for physical symptoms, are they all informed that they also have availability of counseling or other psychological assistance with respect to mental health problems?

MR. MAMO: I know the--

2				CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL:					[interposing]		
3	For	the	people	who	are	in	touch	with	the	treatmen	
4	faci	liti	ies.								

MR. MAMO: For those being treated for physical health conditions at the World Trade Center Environmental Health Center, they are eligible to receive mental health services for those receiving physical health services at the Fire Department. They are eligible also to receive mental health services and also at the Sinai program, people are eligible to receive services there. And whether people decide to seek services, mental health services in addition to their physical health services at the same location is, of course, up to them.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: I hope the answer to this was yes. I hope it's kind of a rhetorical question. But has it been outreached to the schools in the general area to see whether children in those schools are being appropriately responded to?

MR. HAAN: There was a great deal of outreach shortly after 9-11 with Project Liberty and that outreach does continue with

1	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 44
2	children.
3	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: It does
4	continue.
5	MR. HAAN: It does. We have
6	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: [interposing]
7	Because sometimes the symptoms can come up quite a
8	bit later.
9	MR. HAAN: One of the things I
10	would like to clarify about the statement that you
11	read from the Medical Working Group report. Those
12	were estimates about the total number of people
13	who may actually have PTSD. What the Medical
14	Working Group annual report can not do is
15	determine how many of those individuals are in
16	private care. We can only determine how many
17	individuals have sought publicly funded care.
18	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Right. To
19	change the subject to two other subjects that I
20	just think you should comment on. First of all,
21	there's still an issue, I take it from the report,
22	about permanent federal funding. It's not been
23	assured. Is that correct?
24	MR. MAMO: Yes, the Centers for
25	Excellence programs as well as the Registry rely

2	on annual appropriations from Congress. While we
3	appreciate that federal funding, the ideal
4	solution would be to get long term federal
5	funding.
6	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Is that
7	feasible legally? I know you have a counsel here.
8	Maybe she would comment on maybe can the federal
9	government commit to a long term funding program?
10	MR. MAMO: Through the 9-11 Health
11	and Compensation Act there is long term funding
12	available for these programs.
13	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: But it's not
14	been legislated, is that what you're saying?
15	MR. MAMO: The status is that the
16	9-11 Health and Compensation Act has been referred
17	to the Senate HELP Committee. In terms of the
18	House committee, we continue to advocate that
19	excuse me.
20	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: I take it,
21	it's pending.
22	MR. MAMO: It's pending. It's in
23	the House Energy and Commerce Committee.
2.4	CUNIDDEDSON KODDEII: The reason I

ask is that the very least, if we haven't done it,

is addressed in your report and that is that

25

2	cancer, although those who retire on a disability
3	pension, for instance police or fire. They can
4	get a disability pension if they develop cancer
5	and they were first responders. But there are
6	certain benefits that they are not eligible for
7	under the federal program. Am I stating it
8	correctly?
9	MR. MAMO: There is a difference,
10	yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: So again,
12	that probably is something we could address with a
13	resolution to the Congress and deal with our
14	Congress members on that, right?
15	MR. MAMO: Yes and there is a
16	mechanism that exists in the federal legislation
17	to allow for new conditions to be included.
18	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Who would
19	make that decision to include it?
20	MR. MAMO: The NIOSH administrator
21	of the WTC program under the federal legislation.
22	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Which
23	administrator?
24	MR. MAMO: The NIOSH, the National
25	Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Administration administrator.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: So that person can make that determination?

MR. MAMO: Recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: And if he or she makes that recommendation, what happens then?

MR. MAMO: Correction, the administrator can add it not just recommend it.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Yeah. So now this report, if I may read it on that subject it says here that the Medical Working Group concluded. "In 2008 the Medical Working Group concluded that to date there is no evidence for or against the causal connection between World Trade Center exposure and any form of cancer." It says here "a year later this is still the case. There is no evidence for or against a causal connection." But I have been told by those interested and we may hear testimony on this that there is evidence of an increased incidence of certain cancers in World Trade Center workers.

MR. MAMO: I know that the different Centers of Excellence are looking at this question. There are several ongoing studies

2	but	righ	t nov	v, they	evid	lence	does	not	show	а
3	cau	sal l	ink k	oetween	WTC	expos	sure a	and	cancer	· •

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: But I personally would ask and I think all of us would ask that that be looked at again before the 2010 report comes out.

MR. MAMO: It will be looked at in this current report.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Well, that's a good thing. Co-chairman, I'm finished with my questions. You can call on others now if you wish.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you.

Thank you very much. Just to clarify the status of Council resolutions referring to the 9-11

Health and Compensation Bill otherwise known as the Sodrega Bill. This Council had passed a resolution in support of the bill that was pending at the previous Congress. Unfortunately that bill was not adopted by the Congress.

A new and revised bill with some changes is now, as you correctly testified, pending before the current Congress. We held a hearing on that bill and there is a resolution of

б

support with certain recommended changes that is now pending before the Council. We are in constant touch with Congress members Maloney and Adler who are the lead proponents of the bill in the Congress as I know as the administration.

7 Chair Nelson.

CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. In relation to the Been There, Worked There, Deserve Care campaign, we know it's in the subways. Are there any plans to put a forward to get the outreach about this campaign into other sources? People may not be aware of it; they may not ride the subways.

MR. MAMO: In fact when the campaign was first launched, a large part of the budget was for radio and television ads. But the World Trade Center Environmental Health Center did an analysis and they discovered that the subway ads were far more effective than radio or television or print ads in bringing new clients to the center.

CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I wonder if any of the other media would do it as a public service, once again, that there's probably. Pick

a percentage. I don't know, 10%, 15%, the people who may not really see it in the subways. They may not even ride the subways so I appreciate the administration will look into that. I'm sure there are people out who have no idea. Thank you for that.

Before I ask any other questions I wanted to pass the baton on to Council Member Gale Brewer and then to Council Member Domenic Recchia after Gale.

very much. I'm not as versed in this as some of my colleagues. What is the difference between the wonderful Health and HHC facilities and Mt. Sinai. Because everybody talks about Mt. Sinai, Mt. Sinai; it's great but so is HHC so what's the difference? Explain to a neophyte like me.

MR. MAMO: Sure. The Mt. Sinai program of the WTC medical monitoring program of New York/New Jersey Consortium is a program for rescue and recovery workers. There is also program for the Fire Department of New York for firefighters, FDNY employees exposed to WTC also. And the WTC Environmental Health Center at HHC is

2	York/New Jersey WTC Clinical Consortium, led by
3	the Mt. Sinai Clinical Consortium documented eight
4	confirmed cases of multiple myeloma, the second
5	most commonly diagnosed blood cancer in the United
6	States among 28,252 responders who sought
7	treatment in the WTC medical monitoring treatment

8 program in the first years after 9-11. We've

9 detailed that in our Medical Working Group report.

then my question is, because I'm one of these people, I don't lock my door, everybody's welcome, I don't believe in security. Why can't we just let everybody who needs to have services get them and not put the Police Department or Fire Department, all of whom are calling us constantly, why can't they just get treatment as long and as much as they need it? I don't understand what the problem is. I'm missing something here. Is it a funding issue? Is it that the peer review isn't sufficient enough even though Mt. Sinai says it is? Help. I'm more blunt than my polite colleagues. I don't get it.

MR. MAMO: I'm not sure if you're referring to who's eligible--

б

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

[interposing] I'm saying that the Police

Department and the Fire Department and they're all

upset because they can't get the kind of treatment

they need for the cancer they have.

MR. MAMO: Got it.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You've got

it. You've heard it. Porque?

MR. MAMO: So currently, yes, the World Trade Center Centers of Excellence do not provide cancer treatment. Those programs are federally funded and currently they just, they do not pay for those expenses. And the 9-11 Health and Compensation Act also does not provide for cancer treatment. But as I mentioned earlier, there is a mechanism to allow new conditions to be funded.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yeah but the problem is it hasn't happened yet. I don't want to belabor the point. But it sounds like somebody in Washington or somewhere needs a kick in the you know what to get them to do it. I don't know what the problem is but I just--

[Applause]

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I really
3	don't know what I'm talking about except I don't
4	like bureaucratic challenges when health care is
5	concerned. That's all I'm saying. So if we get
6	the federal money and if somebody in Washington
7	change their mind, then could these Centers of
8	Excellence treat the individuals who need
9	treatment for cancer. Is thatI'm trying to
10	understand. Is that what the barrier is?
11	MR. MAMO: As I said, through the
12	9-11 Health and Compensation Act, there is a
13	mechanism to add that condition in it
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
15	[interposing] But it hasn't been added yet. It
16	hasn't been added yet.
17	MR. MAMO: It hasn't been added.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And why
19	not, because it's a funding issue maybe or?
20	MR. MAMO: I can't say exactly why.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I
22	won't belabor the point. I'm saying after this
23	hearing, Alan knows a lot more about this than I
24	do. After this hearing figure out how these
25	officers, we don't need to talk about their

bravery; we all know it. How the officers can get treatment at these Centers of Excellence. You got Mt. Sinai behind you. You got lots of other efforts in. To hell with the peer reviews; just do it. That's what I would say. Just do it because it's really making everybody upset and you don't need that. Okay.

Number two, the issue of the federal money, I know that it was asked before but what's the timing? I know that Maloney and Adler have been working really hard as others have.

What's the timing, if at all, to get that funding?

Or does it look like it's never going to happen?

Because they really have been working on it for a long time.

MR. MAMO: We continue to advocate for federal health legislation and we feel after health care reform that there is an opportunity to raise the issue of the legislation again. So we feel there is a chance and we'll continue to advocate for that very strongly that the Mayor has testified several times as well as his other staff. As I said, we set up a visit to inform Congressional members about the community program.

2	And	we	are	going	to	conti	inue	fight	in	g for	this
3	legi	isla	atio	n. We	be]	lieve	ther	e is	a	chance	⊇.

will help. My final question is, and this is not from me but there are individuals who are coming from Guantanamo and they're going to be tried here, pro and con. There are people, apparently, on a mental health issue who feel very strongly on a mental health issue this is going to be a challenge for them. Have you heard that? Is that something that mental health wise you will be prepared to deal with?

I look at it as a security issue; it's a court system, etc. But people are beginning to my attention on the street, at Fairway, that this could be a mental health issue for them. Because they experienced what I did not, Alan did. And there are concerns. Are you looking at this as a mental health issue? And will you provide services and how will you do outreach?

MR. MAMO: We continue to provide mental health services through the 9-11 Mental Health Benefit program as well as there are

it also.

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: You read the 2009 report, correct?

2 MR. MAMO: Yes. Sorry.

report you talk about cancer and basically what it says, no studies have been published with information on whether rates of cancer are elevated and so forth. To date, there is no evidence for or against a causal connection between the WTC exposure and cancer. Correct, you put that in 2008?

MR. MAMO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Okay. In 2009 as I sit here and I read it, the same exact language is used. Okay? Cancer is among the diseases covered by the disability law however the federally funded WTC Centers for Excellence, which provide treatment for most of the conditions. Do not provide free care of any kind of cancer. And this came out in September of 2009. Now before you published this, did you do research to make sure that there were no other reports that said anything else against this?

MR. MAMO: We do literature reviews of peer reviewed publications. And the Mt. Sinai case series that I mentioned earlier was published

25

here?

Τ	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 6
2	in the report. Otherwise it was a consensus of
3	the group that there is no causal link from WTC
4	exposure to cancer at this time.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Was there
6	any discussion that there was no studies that
7	connect cancer to World Trade Center prior to
8	publishing this?
9	MR. MAMO: We continue to monitor
10	all the evidence, especially that in regards to
11	cancer. We know that there are ongoing studies
12	and that will be a topic of our Medical Working
13	Group again.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: How about
15	studies that came out?
16	MR. MAMO: As I said, we included
17	the Mt. Sinai case series and otherwise we make
18	the statement that there is known causal link
19	between WTC exposure and cancer.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Did you
21	consult with the medical team to see if there were
22	any studies or any medical tests that were done to
23	prove differently before making this statement in

MR. MAMO: The WTC Centers of

responders who sought treatment in the WTC medical monitoring treatment program in the first six years after 9-11.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:

And when

23

24

25

2 was that report put ou	2	:ŀ	: Ł	าล	at	t		r	е.	p	21	ſt		рι	аt	_	O1	ut	٥
--------------------------	---	----	-----	----	----	---	--	---	----	---	----	----	--	----	----	---	----	----	---

MR. MAMO: August of 2009.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Okay.

MR. MAMO: And it's included in the Medical Working Group report.

another report published in the Journal of
Occupational and Environmental Medicine in August
of 2009 that disagrees with you. That says that
the report has identified 16 cases from responder
and understand that multiple myeloma is a cancer
that usually effects people. And that there are a
number of cases in first responders under the age
of 45. Is this data sufficient to conclude that
multiple myeloma as a result of exposure to the
World Trade Center sites. And I have the report
right here.

Nowhere in your report of 2009 do
you make mention of this. Nowhere do you refer to
it. Nowhere do you speak about this. Here you
mislead the public, mislead many elected
officials, mislead the Congress that it's not
related. I disagree with you. I have the report
right here. Why did you leave this out?

25

MR. MAMO: I'm not sure to what published article you're referring but we did include the Mt. Sinai article in the Medical Working Group. I'm not sure if that's the same COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: No, it's MR. MAMO: I'm not aware of any COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: It's in the Journal of Occupational Environmental Medicine MR. MAMO: It sounds like the same COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: This is where I got it from. The issue is very disturbing why cancer isn't covered. What I feel is that what this working group has not done, has not been sufficient, have the forefront to see that cancer is covered under the bill that is pending in Congress. What good is passing the bill in Congress if it doesn't cover cancer? When are these people who are coming down with cancer,

how are they supposed to survive? So you pass

2.

this bill but if you still don't cover cancer,
we're back here. And New York City is going to
have to pay the tab for these men and women who
come down with cancer. So what are you going to
do about Sodrega covering cancer? This has to
stop. We have to cover it now and it has to be
covered. What are you going to do?

MR. MAMO: Well we are very concerned about anyone who may come down with cancer. If a person contracts cancer and they are insured, they can hopefully their insurance will pay for those services. I can't speculate as to who may or may not be insured but certainly we're concerned. And as I said, there is a mechanism in the bill that will allow for cancer to be added as a condition.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: But that's left up to an administrator. It's only a recommendation.

MR. MAMO: I'm not defending that I'm just saying that's what's in the bill right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yeah but what I'm saying is what are you doing about it?

2	Because I don't feel you're doing enough about it.
3	And for no doctor to come down here today to
4	inform us about it. I came down here with a lot
5	of medical reports that disagree with it and say
6	why it should be covered and there is no doctor in
7	here to answer my questions I think it's an
8	insult. Mr. Gerson, Council Member Gerson started
9	off by saying we thought that there would be a
10	doctor here to answer our questions. Are you a
11	doctor?
12	MR. MAMO: I'm not a doctor.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: So my
14	question, to move on, maybe you could answer this.
15	On page nine in your report in 2009 it states
16	"It's difficult to make the case for GERD and
17	asthma because they exist with high frequency in
18	the general population." Yet these illnesses are
19	covered but cancer is not. Why?
20	MR. MAMO: And
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
22	[interposing] Oh, you're not a doctor you can't
23	answer that. I forgot. Go ahead.
24	[pause]
25	MR. MAMO: Well, it's a federal

Τ	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 66
2	bill. You're certainly entitled to lobby your
3	Congressman.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: If you're
5	telling me that you're not capable of helping us
6	out and working with us and lobbyingis that what
7	you're telling us? That you're not capable of
8	lobbying, helping us get this passed in Congress?
9	MR. MAMO: We lobby
10	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
11	[interposing] Answer my question! Are you not
12	capable of doing this? Answer my question! Is
13	that what you're telling us today, that you're not
14	capable of going to Congress and getting this
15	changed? Is that what you're telling the people
16	of the City of New York?
17	[pause]
18	BRIAN GELLER: Hi, I'm Brian
19	Geller. I'm a lawyer here
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
21	[interposing] Hold on, hold on. I want the
22	question answered first before you can speak.
23	MR. GELLER: Sure.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Are you
25	capable of handling this and getting this done?

1	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 67
2	MR. MAMO: We have
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
4	[interposing] Obviously you're not because you
5	just would never made that comment.
6	MR. MAMO: We
7	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
8	[interposing] I care about the people who has
9	cancer. I care about the first responders and all
10	the people involved. So you're telling me that
11	you are not capable of doing this? Is this what
12	you're telling me?
13	MR. MAMO: We have a DC office. We
14	continue to advocate for this legislation
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:
16	[interposing] Answer my question. Are you capable
17	of doing this? Because you made a comment that we
18	should lobby this. Okay? And we do. And
19	obviously you're telling us because you're telling
20	me that you're not capable of doing this.
21	MR. MAMO: I hope we could do it
22	together.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Oh, so
24	you're not capable of doing this. Fine, we'll
25	help you. That's what we're here for. But to

2	come here today and not have medical doctors here
3	is something that's disrespectful. All the people
4	came here because we want to hear what the doctors
5	have to say. I have no further questions.
6	MR. GELLER: Oh, hi. My name is
7	Brian Geller. I'm the
8	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
9	You got to pull the microphone a little closer.
10	MR. GELLER: My name is Brian
11	Geller. I'm a lawyer in Deputy Mayor Skylar's
12	Office and just to clarify
13	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
14	I have a feeling that Council Member Recchia was
15	not referring to a doctor of jurisprudence. I'm
16	sorry, please continue.
17	MR. GELLER: The one thing I did
18	want to clarify about the mechanism in the bill
19	for additional conditions is it is not former
20	versions of the bill. Like the one that was
21	pending in the past Congress did have it that the
22	administrator would make a recommendation to
23	Congress and then Congress would add a new

condition, whether it was cancer or whatever.

Under the current HR847 that is

changed so that the administrator based on a
review of the relevant research and his own
determinations can add a disease. We only get
that mechanism if we get the bill and that's why
in the city has been so vocal in supporting HR847.

7 That's why the Mayor has gone down to DC, my boss

8 has gone to DC, Kent Holloway to testify for this.

Another aspect of the bill that I think should give comfort in that respect is that the bill provides for long term funding of the Registry, of general research in 9-11 health. So that over the long term if it does come out that some of these things that sort of in the Medical Working Group aren't identified as linkages now. If in five or ten years the science is there to have them be linkages, to have the list of covered conditions in the bill to be amended. That research that could form the basis for that is supported.

So that's why I think the bill,
more or less as it's drafted now and in the past
we've had some concerns about the, we've
articulated some concerns about the cost sharing,
our level of oversight over funds spent. But we

2 think those concerns we can work through them.

3 But that's why we think the bill, more or less

4 | current shape as it is in the House and as it is

5 in the Senate, it's a bill that we support and we

6 want to see passed.

I can certainly acknowledge the concern of it not covering--

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA:

[interposing] I hear what you're saying but it might be too late. And can add a disease, the key word is can. Too many people are waiting. If you keep on putting, if this administration keeps putting out reports that say we're still studying cancer when there are reports out there that say there is a link then we're never going to help the people who are coming down with cancer.

These reports that say there is no link but we're still studying it has to stop. You have to do more research and that's why I wanted some medical doctors here, to ask them about it.

And that's a concern. I hope your next report says there is a link because that's the only way we're going to move forward to help the people coming down with cancer. Let me tell you, I hope

2	not,	but	I th	nink y	you're	e going	to	see	many	more
3	cases	s in	the	years	s to c	ome.	Thar	nk yo	ou.	

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you

Council Member. Mr. Geller, while you're up there

I understand your point about the bill and the

mechanism for adding diseases which would be

covered but in its current form, the bill does

have a list of, if you will, pre-certified

diseases which are already by definition in the

bill linked to 9-11 exposure. Has the

administration taken a position in your lobbying

of Congress as to whether or not cancer or

specific cancers should be added to that list?

MR. GELLER: I'm not sure of on the

MR. GELLER: I'm not sure of on the addition or subtraction of any particular conditions. Our bottom line is we support the bill.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I understand that but as you pointed out, the bill is being negotiated and subject to input. So my question is do you have a position? Does the administration have a position as to whether or not there should be any additions to the list of diseases currently enumerated in the draft of the

б

2 | bill?

3 MR. GELLER: Basically we support 4 the bill as is.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: The answer then is no, you have not lobbied for the addition of cancer or any other diseases to the enumerated list.

MR. GELLER: Yeah. I think it's fair to say that we're not actively trying to change the bill other than some of the concerns that we articulated before.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I think the frustration that you're hearing boils down to two factors. I think it's important that we put them out on the table squarely. First, the Mt. Sinai study and other reports do indicate—well, let me ask you. Does that report indicate a greater number of incidence of cancer than would be expected, anticipated for that age group, that demographic from the general population? This could be a yes or no. Medically the reports of cancer in the Mt. Sinai report, is that a greater number than would epidemiologically be anticipated from that age group from the general population?

3.50	3.53350 -	-			
MR	MAMO:	It's	а	case	series.
1 11	1 11 11 10	+ C D	o.		

It's not a large scale epidemiological population based but research study. It's notable. We noted it--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
What do you mean when you say it's notable? Does
that mean it's more than you would expect from the
general population?

MR. MAMO: It's not a large enough number of cases to compare to the rate expected in the general population.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: The number of incidents reported is not large enough or the cohort study by this Mt. Sinai study is not large enough, which is it? What is not large enough?

MR. MAMO: Yeah, I don't want to get into a discussion of how meaningful the report is. The report—

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
But I do want to get into that discussion. That's exactly what I want to get in to. It's important for us to understand what your view is of how meaningful that study is and why--

MR. MAMO: [interposing] I just

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	want to make it very clear that we feel that the
3	study is notable enough to include in the Medical
4	 Working Group report but it does not show a causal

5 link between WTC exposure and cancer--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing] What is missing? What is missing? If it's notable enough to be included, why does it not establish at least--see, let me pause there for a moment because when we say this phrase causal link. And this is exactly what the concern is. Causal link could cover a broad spectrum of thresholds, right? We don't, in science, in medicine, wait until we get 100%; you seldom have that. The problem we're concerned with is the question is, is there sufficient evidence so that taking it as reasonable for planning and treatment and protocols to include this in what should be treated.

So my question is what would it take beyond what is notable to rise to the level of a causal link?

MR. MAMO: I can't answer that question directly but what I can tell you is that I'm very familiar with the article that was

2	referenced by Council Member Recchia. Authors of
3	that article itself conclude that this is not
4	evidence of a causal link, but it is important
5	that the WTC Centers of Excellence and the WTC
6	Health Registry contribute to study this. These
7	studies are ongoing and in the Registry in
8	particular, we have a population of exposed
9	individuals, not just sick individuals but exposed
10	individuals so we can better determine if the
11	background rate of cancer among the exposed
12	populationI'm sorry.
13	If the rate of cancer among the
14	exposed population is higher than the background
15	rate, that has not yet been determined by either
16	Mr. Sinai or by the Health Department.
17	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: So this is
18	notable then in what sense? Is it notable in the
19	sense that it raises a legitimate question that
20	should be pursued?
21	MR. MAMO: Absolutely.
22	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Okay.
23	MR. MAMO: And the Medical Working
24	Group is definitely going to pursue that.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Okay. Let's

even pause there. There's some indication so that

at the very least we all agree there's a

reasonable question that has to be pursued. Some

people will say there is a causal connection but

at the very least, there is this notableness, as

you put it. There is indications such that

something, more research needs to be pursued.

In the meantime, individuals of the exposed population have reported cancer. These individuals, these are the first responders, these are the heroes, these are the people who were caught up, these are the people who live in the neighborhood. Don't they, until we resolve this question. If it is notable enough so that it has to be pursued, should we not for the purposes of treatment and care and support? Give those people not really the benefit of the doubt but the benefit of the unknown and give them the full care and treatment instead of waiting it may be too late for some of these individuals; that is the frustration you're hearing.

We understand how--it's like history repeating itself. There were reports of asbestos, there were reports of cigarette

toxicity. People demanded more and more studies, as they should have. But in the meantime we didn't err on the side of caution and as a result lives are lost. If there is a serious question out there, and we're talking about victims of an attack, shouldn't we err on the side of caution and make the treatment available. Expand the purview of these Centers for Excellence as this research is ongoing. Would that not be better public policy?

MR. MAMO: The World Trade Center
Centers of Excellence were established to monitor
and treat World Trade Center related conditions.
In the interim, people with insurance are able to
seek health care for cancer.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: People with insurance are able to seek health care for these other diseases that the Centers for Excellence cover. But the reason for a Center of Excellence is to combine the excellence in a center and also to cover people who don't have insurance. So I'm saying, while we are studying, should we not err on the side of caution and put all the resources, provide all the resources to persons who contract

cancer who were exposed. Wouldn't that in fact
actually abet the research.

I don't know if you're in a position to answer that policy question but I think that's the question that we've been avoiding. That's the question which going forward needs to be established because we know that asbestos related cancer and other cancers take sometimes decades to get the size of the population that some people will demand in order for it to be causally linked. But in some people the onset is sooner. Those people, if they were there, they deserve the benefit of a Center for Excellence. I think that is what you're hearing from the frustration expressed. Any comments?

MR. MAMO: Just that we are also concerned about that issue.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Council Member Recchia.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: In the report, you refer to the report and the report makes it very clear that we're in the process of verifying an additional eight cases of myeloma that have been reported to us since September

2007. Then between 2007 and 2009, there were eight more reported so that brings it up to 16. There are at least 16 cases of multiple myeloma confirmed in that report of 2008. Meanwhile, you're annual report say we're still studying it. Every year that goes by more cases are coming forward. When is it going to be that we have to cover this cancer? That's what the report says. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: We do want to get to our other witnesses. We will certainly continue this point. Just where I left off on my previous revelry, I left off on the question of studying the younger population. You testified that you agreed that there is a need for greater scientific research than what is ongoing.

Proactively, will the World Trade

Center health group or any other agency of the

city, aside from making the Registry generally

available promote and try and initiate or find

other groups that will initiate these needed

studies? Is that something we can do in the weeks

and months ahead?

MR. MAMO: We could certainly talk

2 to them about that.

that you do. We have great resources in this city in the Health and Hospitals Corporation, our CUNY medical schools in addition to all the private medical facilities. I think as these children age, their needs to be some initiative taken from the city to actively get the type of research that you agree and I agree with you is needed. So I would ask you, that you bring that back to the agenda.

The other question on the Registry, you testified as I understood that the Registry is closed. But my office and I believe other offices do, on a regular basis, get calls from people who for whatever reason did not sign up before the deadline and they would like to be part of the Registry. If they otherwise qualify, if they can document their presence and their exposure, they live within the area.

If they meet the threshold, why should we not take them into the Registry, even at this point, given all the testimony we heard that some of the effects are delayed and postponed? Or

if you group them separately, joined later. But shouldn't, if people want--wouldn't it help the purposes of the Registry as well as provide

greater assurance to these individuals?

MR. MAMO: We certainly recognize that the World Trade Center Registry, the desire of some people to enroll even though enrollment is closed. Generally, what we tell those individuals is that if they have symptoms that it's very important for them to enroll in either the responder program at Mt. Sinai or FDNY or to enroll in the community program at the WTC Environmental Health Center. At all of those places, their symptoms will be monitored and clinicians are following what these symptoms and new conditions may be.

But in terms of opening the

Registry, that would essentially spoil the

methodology behind the Registry. To date,

enrollees have answered two comprehensive surveys

about their health. In order for the registry to

be scientifically legitimate, they need to follow

based on what those original survey responses were

over time.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: You're saying
that the local centers treating residents, for
example the one at Gouverneur Hospital and the one
at Bellevue will maintain their own registries of
symptoms for anyone?

MR. MAMO: It's not a registry but it's a monitoring program where they do follow up with them--

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]

Not sure of the difference but.

MR. MAMO: It's a complicated-perhaps it's a difference without a distinction
and it's not easy for most people to understand.
But it's a clinical monitoring program where they
have medical records and they follow people over
time, their symptoms over time.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: All right.

I'll just leave you with the request. I think

there's a way to avoid undermining the science if

you keep the cohort registered separately kind of

a sub-class of people who registered after the

fact. But the follow up, which the Registry does,

which is going to continue for a decade or more

could still be useful. It seems to me, both

б

scientifically and for the individuals, even ifthey registered late.

You wouldn't necessary screw up the original cohort if you have kind of a separate cohort. But you have the mechanism up and running. I don't see it as a significant extra expense. I think it only could provide benefits if to facilitate these "late registrants". So I would just ask you to consider that further.

MR. MAMO: And one last thought on that, one of the remarkable findings of the 2008 Medical Working Group Annual Report was the fact that clinical findings and epidemiological findings are very, very similar. So in fact, the Registry along with the clinical monitoring programs offer a broader picture of the health symptoms. So it's a good thing to have both the registry and the WTC Centers of Excellence monitoring the health of these individuals.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And I agree with that but you could still do it both ways.

But at this point, we're going to go to our next panel of witnesses. I'm going to recognize Chair Nelson.

2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I just have
3	to, for the record I want to announce that Council
4	Member Rodriguez had a death in the family, his
-	father passed away thus he's absent and he has the

6 Chair's sincere condolences and I'm sure everybody

7 else that's here with us today.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Well, thank you gentlemen. We will certainly follow up with this discussion. I would urge that some members of the administration and the World Trade Center health group remain to hear the ensuing testimony but thank you very much. At this point I'd like to call Frank Tramitaro and Chris McGrath. You both here? Please come forward, from the Patrolman's Benevolent Association. John Feel, the 9-11 Responders Feel Good Foundation, Captain John Gallagher of the FDNY. And is Sally Reganhart still here? I saw Sally a few--she may have stepped out. I see Sally in the back. Okay, well we will be joined by Sally Reganhart momentarily.

[pause]

Why don't we begin with Captain

Gallagher because I was just handed your written

б

2.	testimony.	Captain?
_		Cap carri

CAPTAIN JOHN GALLAGHER: Thank you.

My name is John Gallagher. I'm a retired captain.

I spent 34 years at the New York City Fire

Department.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Excuse me

Captain, I was just remiss in not announcing, so

we can hear from all witnesses, we're going to as

a target goal ask each of the witnesses to confine

the testimony to within five minutes. We'll be

noting the time on the clock. Captain, please

proceed.

11, 2001 I arrived at the World Trade Center at approximately 4:00 pm and spent the next 30 hours digging in the pile before I was relieved.

Between September 11th and December 4th of 2001, I worked pretty much full time at what became known as Ground Zero. At December 4th I was diagnosed with a heart ailment and was forced to retire.

Five years later, I was diagnosed with an untreatable, incurable lung disease, pulmonary fibrosis. My only chance for survival is a lung transplant.

I consider myself one of the lucky ones because the government acknowledges that my illnesses are World Trade Center all of my medical expenses are covered. There are a large number of people suffering illnesses that are not acknowledged to be WTC related, cancers and auto immune diseases are two areas we need to get coverage for. And anybody look at the list of toxins that were released during the collapse and honestly say there's no link to the cancers and other diseases that we see today.

The World Trade Center Medical
Working Group's 2008 report recommendations called
for advocacy of long term 9-11 health programs and
the 2009 report echoes that call. As I speak here
today, there is a bill stalled in the Congress,
HR847. This bill will provide medical expenses
for people who become ill from World Trade Center
related diseases and would reopen the Victims
Compensation Fund to those who didn't file with
the first Fund because they weren't sick at that
time.

We have the votes to pass that bill unfortunately, Speaker Pelosi will not allow a

vote at this time. I'm asking the Council Members to become our advocates and reach out to the Mayor to urge him to arrange a face to face meeting with Speaker on this issue so that HR847 could be voted on and sent to the Senate. Further, I would ask the Council to reach out to the members of Congress and urge them to intercede with the Speaker on our behalf. HR847 would provide proper health care options for the 9-11 sick.

In closing, I would ask the Council to inquire of the Fire Commissioner of the City of New York if the 7.5% medical leave numbers he is using to reduce manning including any members suffering chronic World Trade Center illnesses and if so, why these members are being included. Due to the events of September 11th, the Fire Department now has a permanent percentage of members who suffer chronic illnesses. Their illnesses should not be used as a weapon against them. I thank you for allowing me to speak here today.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Captain, if you would remain for follow up questions after we hear from the other witnesses. But I would be

2	remiss if I did not take a moment to thank you,
3	sir, for your service, for your bravery and wish
4	you the best of luck.

CAPTAIN GALLAGHER: I think it's important for the committee to understand that, again, I'm being taken care of. These people out here aren't. Someone has to step up. Somebody has to get to the Congress people, make them vote this bill out. It's imperative that these people get help.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you,

Captain. Next witness please state your name for
the record.

JOHN FEEL: Sir, I want to thank
you for having me here today. For those that
don't know me, my name is John Feel. I'm a 9-11
responder who lost have of his left foot. I spent
11 weeks in the hospital with gangrene, 8,000
pounds of steel crushed it. I've had 32
surgeries, multiple surgeries all the way up until
2006.

But don't feel sorry for me because I even donated a kidney. My board member's wife donated her kidney. Since I've donated a kidney,

б

2 I've gotten nine people to donate their kidneys.

3 Two and a half weeks ago I did a rally on the

4 Capitol in D.C., 4 senators, 12 Congressmen came

5 out and joined us.

To the Council, you compassion and your zest is unmatched but you need to take HR847 and read it through. The questions that you asked today were great but really directed at the wrong people. The New York delegation, while they support us and has been our champions, they've done their job. But the rest of America is not educated on Hr847.

Last year, I hand delivered H47174 to the Speaker's Gallery. I waited in my hotel room but it was something I could tell my grandchildren if I ever have them. That bill was shot down by the Mayor's office but the Mayor's done a 180. The Mayor's on board because the financial burden has been lifted. I dig that. I understand that.

This bill now has enough votes, like the Captain said. We have walked the halls of Congress over 12 times this year. We have done our job. We have educated, not lobbied. Lobby is

2	a cheap word. We educated our elected officials
3	across the country. We now have 98 co-sponsors.
4	This bill will provide medical and compensation

over a 30 year period. It's a two part bill,

6 compensation and health care.

While this bill is not perfect,

I'll give it a 7 on a 1 to 10 scale. What will

make it perfect? If we add cancer and if we

expand the area to the residents of Manhattan;

these two essential things are vital for this bill

to be perfect. We will continue to advocate. We

will continue to donate money. I will continue to

be a pain in the ass to everybody who gets in our

way. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And ditto of my remarks earlier for you. John, you and I were at many of those press conferences together. I won't refer to you as you refer to yourself at the end; you're a very good advocate and we're very fortunate to benefit from your advocacy. We wish you nothing but the best as well. Frank.

FRANK TRAMITANO: Yes, thank you.

Good afternoon. My name is Frank Tramitano. I'm

the Research Director for the Patrolman's

2	Benevolent Association.	I'm here with Chris				
3	McGrath, an attorney for	the Patrolman's				
4	Benevolent Association.	We've been working on 9-				

5 | 11 health issues for over three years.

I would like to thank the Speaker and her staff for having this important oversight hearing. I'd also like to thank the Chairpersons of the respective committees conducting the hearing, Council Member Alan Gerson, Council Member Mike Nelson and Council Member Oliver Koppell and of course, our most appreciate thank you to this committee's staff whose hard work contributes to this hearing being both informative and comprehensive.

As the Committee may know, the PBA has been in the forefront of efforts to identify illnesses resulting from exposure at the World Trade Center. To report and raise the public's awareness of those illnesses and in seeking to hold government to its obligation to treat and care for responders suffering from WTC related illnesses.

Since September 11th, the PBA's efforts have included pressing the city Health

Department to release protocols for treatment of

WTC illnesses that had been delayed in the city

bureaucracy. When programs for the monitoring of

illnesses were slow to publish data, the PBA

established its own public WTC Illness Registry at

the PBA web site.

In each of the PBA's quarterly magazines, the PBA has highlighted the stories of New York City's police officers who the PBA believes were made ill and some of whom have lost their lives as a result of WTC exposures. I have with me copies of those stories; I'm going to leave them with you all. I'd like to also ask that those stories be made as part of the record.

Most recently, the PBA has taken the public position that the Segroga bill, which I will discuss more in a moment and which is now pending in Washington should provide funding to cover cancers and other serious ailments not presently provided in the pending bill. I'm here to share with you the PBA's views about this issue and other issues related to exposure at the WTC.

We believe this testimony is important because cancer and cancer related issues

are largely unaddressed in the 2009 Annual Report on 9-11 health. In the August 2009 issue of Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, a report titled Multiple Myeloma in World Trade Center Responders, a case study was published. Multiple myeloma is a cancer of the white blood cells that usually effects people aged 60 and above and with an average world wide rate of 4 cases per 100,000, according to Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine.

This study, which eventually confirmed 16 cases of multiple myeloma from a base of 28,000, we maintain a significant and sufficient to establish a link. Being a blood cancer, multiple myeloma would be expected to be one of the firs cancers to manifest itself to those that were exposed at the WTC sites. Any results in this area may foreshadow what is coming with respect to other cancers.

We understand that the finding in this study will result in a recommendation by the World Trade Center Steering Committee that multiply myeloma be added as a medical condition eligible for treatment under the current WTC

program at Mt. Sinai and other locations. Links
to cancer from exposure at the WTC sites can be
found elsewhere. The number of cancer cases
approved for disability by the Police Pension
Board has almost tripled since2005. In years
prior to 2006, the number of cases average around
six per year, while in the years starting in 2006,
the number of cases grew to an average of 17 a
year.

Does this increase in police members who can no longer work because they have become ill with cancer suggest a link? In many of these cases, doctors have stipulated that exposure at the World Trade Center sites was a significant and contributing factor that more reasonably than not resulted in causing cancer.

We have seen further evidence. In early October there were four 9-11 responders, two New York City Police Officers and two New York City Firefighters who died from cancer within a week of each other. Three of them were 44 years of age and the fourth was only 37 years old. In fact the story as reported in the Daily News, quoted Mayor Bloomberg as saying, "probably,

nobody's sure but probably contracted during

2

3

4

5 6

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

The issue of cancer as a 9-11 related illness is important because the current

program at Mt. Sinai does not provide treatment of

breathing the air down at the World Trade Center".

Additionally, the NYPD recently

added the names of ten uniformed members of the NYPD, who died of illnesses related to their rescue, recovery and clean up work at Ground Zero and the Fresh Kills Landfill to the Police Wall of Remembrance. Every one of these ten uniformed officers died of cancer. The Police Wall of Remembrance bears the name of all NYPD police officers who have died in the line of duty since 1849. Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly stated the following at that October 13, 2009 ceremony "The addition today of these officers underscores the reality that this tragedy is something the Department and the City will endure for a long time to come. Men and women of different ranks and commands united in a common mission to aid in the recover effort. They brought closure to the loved ones of those who were killed and restored order but they, too, became casualties."

cancer and the federal legislation in Washington,
HR847 and Senate 1334 in the Senate does not list
cancer as a qualifying medical condition eligible
for treatment. That legislation also known as the
Zadroga Act named after James Zadroga, a New York
City detective, will provide permanent funding for
monitoring, evaluating and treating victims of the
terrorist attacks in New York City.

If that bill, which is passed as currently drafted the procedures for adding any new medical condition eligible treatment would take at least eight months to more than a year. The proponents of the bill have stated they need indisputable scientific studies linking cancer before they can include it as a medical condition eligible for treatment. Yet the fact that carpal tunnel syndrome is a listed medial condition covered under the Zadroga Act without any similar indisputable scientific studies being required, leads us to conclude that cancer is being held to a much higher standard.

In the PBA's view, this may have more to do with the cost of treating cancer than meeting a required standard for approval. To take

the position that we must wait for indisputable

scientific proof before we can offer treatment to

those responders with cancer would have the effect

of denying that quality medical treatment to WTC

victims at a time when they are diagnosed and when

7 a time when it can be most effective.

Epidemiological studies of which
the proponents of the Zadroga bill insist upon
will take 10 to 20 years to complete, during which
an ailing responder would not qualify for aid. As
an example, several of the responders in the
multiple myeloma study were diagnosed in 2004. We
are now approaching 2010 and these victims are
still not being provided cost free, quality
medical care despite the scientific proof.

The policy also raises other interesting questions. Does each type of cancer need an exhaustive study comparing its prevalence to that of the general population before it can be treated under the federal program? There are at least 18 different cancers that have been approved at the Police Pension Board as WTC related. Are 18 separate studies needed before these sick former members of the NYPD can be provided cost

2 free quality treatment?

Carcinogens cause cancer are some of the highest levels of carcinogens every reported for one of the longest periods of times ever recorded were at the World Trade Center sites. Responders, especially police officers, were at those sites without any protective gear. Cancers have and will continue to be a result of exposures in that environment. The proof of multiple myeloma, a blood cancer with a relatively short latency period, and the increase in other cancers being diagnosed every day in a relatively young worker population is indicative of a linkage to WTC exposures.

It is our request of the City

Council call upon Congress to amend the Zadroga

bill to have cancer included as a qualifying

medical condition eligible for treatment. As

stated earlier, that bill as currently drafted it

does not list cancer as a qualifying medical

condition eligible for treatment. However, the

bill does permit the payment of compensation for

cancer victims under the Victims Compensation Act

of the bill. This anomaly is disturbing.

A bill that permits the federal government to compensate families for cancer deaths related to 9-11 but not for treatment for those same victims while they are alive does not make sense. We believe there was sufficient evidence to advocate for the addition of cancer under the Zadroga bill.

The state legislation and then

Governor Pataki recognized the cancer link back in

2005 and approved the Presumptive Accident

Disability Bill for city and state employees who

contracted cancer and were at the WTC sites. The

evidence is greater now and while it may not be

easy to convince Washington to include cancer, we

think having the City Council stand up on the

right side of this issue will help us in the

process.

Thank you for your time and attention today and Chris McGrath are here to gladly answer any questions you may have.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Sally, we called you when you had stepped out so if you want

2	to take. You want to testify? We would like to	C
3	hear you. And thank you again Sally for your	
1	tireless advocacy.	

SALLY REGANHART: I'd like to thank the joint committee, the members and the chairs. I'd also like to thank these distinguished gentlemen that preceded me in testimony, especially the expert and dedicated testimony of both of Captain John Gallagher as well as the representative of the PBA. I think the PBA has done a fantastic, outstanding leadership role in bringing these cases of cancers and other illnesses to light. And certainly, if we have this amount of illness and— And I must also commend John Feel for his tireless advocacy on the part of the first responders that he has worked with and he represents many, many other related people.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Excuse me, I just need to ask you to identify yourself for the record. We certainly know who you are.

MS. REGANHART: Yes. Sally
Reganhart, Chairperson of the Skyscraper Safety
Campaign. I'm also representing 9-11 Parents and

Families of Firefighters and World Trade Center

Victims. I really just want to echo this expert

testimony and say it's very, very disconcerting

for the families of the victims, myself the family

of a firefighter lost on 9-11, that these are the

people who worked so tirelessly to search for my

son and for the other innocent victims, both

uniformed and civilians. And because of this work

and this dedication, today they are paying the

price in cancers and so many other illnesses.

against these people. The system has to stop fighting and they need to support the efforts to fully recognize that these illnesses are directly a result of 9-11. In addition, I want to thank the community groups, Community Board 1, 9-11 Environmental Action, all of the other community groups that have fought so hard to advocate for the residents of this downtown area. Certainly, it's a much larger issue but if we can highlight what's happening in the uniformed services and in the first responder sector, we can see that the downtown residents are equally at risk. And even some members of this City Council, if not several

2 members have all been exposed to many, many of 3 these situations.

Finally, we have something called the Captive Insurance Fund in this city, \$1 billion. Unfortunately, this fund instead of being used to help people that want to ascertain that they are sick and affected, this fund has been used to fight against people who want to advocate for really what's going on. That's really a disgrace and I would ask you also to please help to pass these various bills that will help people. They deserve it.

We must, must treat people in a way that's going to put respect for human life and human health over economic considerations that this city and even this state and even the federal government may have. That's the least we can do. Thank you.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I just want to add, we have two stated Council meetings before the end of the calendar year. As we did have a hearing on the Zadroga bill several weeks ago, more, I would like to urge my colleagues that we

2	should	work	together	before	the	end	of	the	year.
---	--------	------	----------	--------	-----	-----	----	-----	-------

3 Especially given the fact that this bill may be

4 considered in conjunction or immediately after the

5 health insurance issues are being dealt with.

6 That before the end of the calendar year, that

7 this Council pass a resolution. I think we can do

it. I think for all the reasons we heard

9 testified, we must do it.

I just want to ask Mr. Tramitano, when did the Pension Board formally vote to recognize cancer as a WTC related disease?

CHRIS MCGRATH: Council Member,
Chris McGrath from the PBA, Associate General
Counsel. The World Trade Center Presumption Bill,
as it's known was passed back in 2005 and it
provided that cancer is one of the qualifying
conditions. It's a presumptive bill that if it is
established that you are disabled from one of the
qualifying conditions, there is a presumption that
it was caused in the line of duty if you fill the
requirements of being down in the specific
locations designated in the statute and did the
proper time down at those areas. Presumption that
it was caused by World Trade Center exposure.

It's reputable presumption that can be rebutted by competent medial evidence but it does cover cancer, clearly.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: And that's the point we discussed earlier about erring on the side of caution, not putting the own ness on the responders who put themselves at risk and on the members of the community who were at risk. Yes, please then Council Member Recchia.

MR. FEEL: In the last two and a half years I've been to 37 funerals, 34 of them died from cancer, two heart attacks one died in his sleep and the other one was a suicide. I'm not a doctor, I don't have a PhD, I don't claim to be smarter than anybody in this room. But if it smacks you in the face and it smells like cancer, it's cancer and it's killing us. That's the bottom line.

It needs to be added to the bill and we'll keep advocating for it to be added to the bill.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I think those numbers speak for themselves. Council Member Recchia.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Yeah, I

just want to thank you all for coming and testifying here today. Your testimony is very, very important. Were you here earlier when I was trying to explain that there are studies out there and that in their reports in 2008 and 2009, they just say they're still studying cancer. Would you agree with me that it's time that they start putting in there and linking cancer to the World Trade Center, what happened down there and the recovery so the first responders could be covered?

MR. TRAMITANO: It seems to me that what they did is took the report and not do any follow up. As you mentioned, at the end of that report there were eight additional cases that they were looking into. I, at the time the report was issued, picked up the phone and asked questions. They told me those eight additional cases were confirmed. That's make a total 16 when the population should be 4 out of 100,000 that made it 16 out of 28,000. That sounds like enough of an incidence for me to make the link. Why they haven't, why the city didn't do that kind of follow up work and make that conclusion, I can't

б

2 answer.

CAPTAIN GALLAGHER: I'd just like
to make a Fire Department analogy. The 34 years I
spent going to fires, we never started looking for
cause until we put out the fire. These people are
sick. They're sick now. They can't wait 5, 10,
15 years for people to research. There should be
a presumption of cancer; it's a presumptive WTC
related. There's no doubt about it. We all know
it. We want doctors to say it. They don't have
to say it; we know it.

CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you very much. And I just want to make one more comment.

I did put an Ellis request in November for a resolution requesting Congress to amend HR847, the Zadroga Bill to add cancer as a qualifying medical condition eligible for treatment. I put that in.

[Applause]

So we should move on that resolution immediately.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I agree Council Member.

24 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Council Member

2 Brewer.

also for your testimony. My question is when the city was testifying they kept talking about the individual who could change, I guess by regulation, etc, the issue of whether cancer is included or not. I was just wondering if you could comment on that.

MR. TRAMITANO: I think they clarified that. They said that the individual, the administrator had the ability in the old bill. The new bill does something different. The new bill says rules have to be promulgated on how that is done. That has to stay in the public for six months. Then a scientific community must be gathered, formed to review anybody's request to change or to add a new and they have to look at the scientific data and then make a comment on that, which then has to be reviewed. That has to be a recommendation made to the NIOSH administrator. That whole process, we see at least eight months, a year or more.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you because it's helpful to have it clarified the time

2.

frame that is involved. What you're saying is we
need to have cancer in the new bill pass it and
that would take car of this amazingly challenging
situation?

MR. TRAMITANO: Yes and we believe that there are people down in the NIOSH community, in the organization that are supportive of Alan's notion to go ahead and treat them first and then worry about later where the causes are and if the connections are there.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you all very much. Ms. Reganhart we're going to move forward because of the witnesses. I'll just add that you and I worked together on these issues literally since the very, very beginning. And I want to thank you for your courage and your advocacy. I'll continue to work with you in other capacities.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Just add my voice to thank those who testified, especially Captain Gallagher and John for their tremendous work, which we appreciate very much.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Katherine

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	McVey	Hughes,	Vice	Chair	Community	Board	1,
---	-------	---------	------	-------	-----------	-------	----

3 | Kimberly Flynn of the 9-11 Environmental Action

4 Committee, Esther Regalson, also the 9-11

5 Environmental Action Committee, Marie Penefil, if

6 I'm pronouncing that correctly, Beyond Ground Zero

and Marina Zunega, also Beyond Ground Zero.

8 Please come forward and if the Sergeant would

9 accept testimony. Thank you. And Ms. McVey

10 Hughes--you can all take seats at the desk please.

11 And Ms. McVey Hughes please begin.

KATHERINE MCVEY HUGHES: Hello, good afternoon. I am Katherine McVey Hughes, the Vice Chairperson of Community Board 1. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about the city's World Trade Center Medical Working Group 2009 Annual Report on 9-11 health.

I just submitted for the record, to Council Member Gerson, a pile of resolutions that Community Board 1 has done over the years. I just want your committee and everyone else here to recognize that this has, since the beginning, been a very important issue to us.

As more and more studies document serious 9-11 related health impacts to the

2 community, some of which have become chronic	nic.
--	------

continues to linger.

Lower Manhattan residents remain concern about negative health impacts due to the World Trade

Center attacks on September 11, 2001. Uncertainty about what the future holds for residents and workers of our community, especially those exposed to World Trade Center pollution as children

numerous resolutions in the years since 9-11 to advocate for medical programs and resources needed by our community. The September 2009 report carries great importance in light of impending budget cuts at the city, state and federal level, documents World Trade Center related physical and mental health conditions. These data reinforce the need for passage of the 9-11 Health Act, which would address health care for both first responders and the community. But also raises

Community Board 1 has passed

The 9-11 Health Act is currently stalled in the House behind health care reform.

CB1 agrees with the report that "many studies rely

questions about whether the bill will adequately

meet the health needs of the community.

on self reports of symptoms and conditions to

measure the burden of these conditions in exposed

populations without verification of diagnosis."

That was on page nine. This is especially true

for the community population since there has never

been a monitoring program for non-responders

comparable to the Mt. Sinai World Trade Center

medical monitoring program.

As a result, there is no comprehensive program to screen, monitor and where warranted, treat, residents, students and workers. Who remained in the area and rebuilt our community in the aftermath of 9-11 and subsequent years. Instead, epidemiology on health impacts to the community has relied on limited community involvement.

The Registry's first survey was not sent out until late 2003 and early 2004 instead of closer to 9-11, when exposure assessment would have been even more accurate, much more accurate. In addition, the first survey did not include any questions that would elicit information about exposures to World Trade Center dust in homes, schools and offices, exposure that we now know may

2 have resulted in illnesses.

Finally, as November 23, 2004 New
York Times article that even though this survey
was issued years after the World Trade Center
attacks, it failed to ask people about whether
they were still suffering from respiratory
symptoms. As a result, the city lost the
opportunity to capture 9-11 related unmet health
needs. As a result, we remain concerned about the
likelihood of undiagnosed illnesses and the missed
opportunity for early intervention and needed
treatment.

We have long held that getting timely and thorough information on health effects from exposure from environmental disasters is one of the critical lessons learned from 9-11. As the evidence has continued to mount that the effects of exposure has been significant for those who weathered the days, months and years following the attacks. The local community boards put the small numbers of patients receiving treatment at Bellevue World Trade Center program into perspective.

In the September 4, 2008 letter to

the Mayor's office CBs 1, 2 and 3 stated "nearly 3,000 of our neighbors are receiving care through the community program at Bellevue and many more have chosen to receive care elsewhere. While we can not truly know the number of people who were affected, a 2007 report by the City of New York estimates that as many as 3,118 community members were most heavily exposed to toxins from the World Trade Center."

Over 4,000 patients have visited the World Trade Center Environmental Health Centers, which have been expanded from Bellevue to two added sites at Elmhurst and Gouverneur Hospitals. An advertising campaign with extensive community input was launched to reach out to residents and workers whose health was impacted by 9-11 and make them aware that the World Trade Center EHC was providing expert medical treatment at no out of pocket cost.

The Health and Hospitals

Corporation funded outreach projects by trusted community and labor organizations have been especially critical. Since, after the years of government denials of World Trade Center

environmental health risks, many people were skeptical about the government response to World Trade Center environmental health issues.

These efforts, begun recently, have only just started to show results. However, we are now faced with the fact that currently the 9-11 Health and Compensation Act only covers treatment to an additional 15,000 community members and we do not know whether this will be adequate, especially given the potential for emergent diseases. We heard a lot about that earlier this afternoon.

In fact, the city's own report estimates "Based on 2006/2007 survey findings of physical and mental health impacts, the World Trade Center Health Registry has estimated that among the 4,009 people who were most heavily exposed to the disaster in 9-11 between 17,400 and 40,000 adults may have been nearly diagnosed with a asthma five to six years later." So then where are these people going for medical treatment?

I will give an abbreviated version of the rest of my testimony. In particular,

Community Board 1 has supported the Centers of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Excellence approach where care is provided by

World Trade Center specialists. And we find the

Environmental Health Center, the community program

has been a tremendous resource. Furthermore,

Community Board 1 has opposed any cuts to this

resource.

Community Board 1 has also been concerned that the needed programs for youth affected by 9-11 have not been in place. When pediatric guidelines were eventually released in July 2009, CB1 encouraged the Department of Education to coordinate outreach with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene in the World Trade Center Environmental Health Centers. To ensure that the guidelines and information about the availability of treatment at the World Trade Center EHC Pediatric Clinic would be provided to parents of all potentially affected children and adolescents. That resolution, as all our World Trade Center Environmental Health Resolutions were unanimously signed off by the Community Board on July 28, 2009.

The city stated as much in the key recommendations in its 2008 Annual Report on 9-11

Health, "develop and disseminate clinical guidelines for children exposed to the World Trade Center disaster" as part of its efforts to increase the awareness of World Trade Center related symptoms and the availability of clinical resources among health care professionals and people exposed to the World Trade Center disaster. We believe that it is imperative that the Department of Education conduct this long overdue outreach to households with children who were attending lower Manhattan public schools and living downtown in 9-11 in the very near future.

Finally, CB1 is concerned about the adequate sea of government response to possible latent or late emerging 9-11 related illnesses that could afflict responders and non-responders. Earlier, people were speaking about the Mt. Sinai report. It seems clear that without the active surveillance of a screening and monitoring program, this unexpected disease of the multiple myeloma would never have come to light. This highlights our concern that the community never had a monitoring program. We ask that every time an illness is covered for the responder program,

2 it is also comparably covered for the community
3 program.

Member Alan Gerson for everything that you've done for Community Board 1 and all of our advocates and allies, many of which are at this table, who worked tirelessly to address the physical and mental health needs of all those who were affected by the 9-11 attacks. We hope today's hearing will encourage support and expansion of the World Trade Center Environmental Health Centers and the other centers so that they can keep pace with the needs of those who are sick now and in the future. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you and we are, as always, grateful to you for your advocacy and service. Whoever wants to go next.

Ms. Flynn.

KIMBERLY FLYNN: Thank you,

Chairman Gerson. And thank you very much for the opportunity to testify. I'm Kimberly Flynn, I'm

Co-Coordinator of 9-11 Environmental Action. I want to direct the Council Members to a letter to Senators and Representatives from six community

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

based organizations. Including Beyond Ground Zero Network, represented to my left and my organization and a number of new signers who were 4 community groups, very intensively involved in the struggle to get EPA to do a proper clean up and now very involved in the struggle to make sure that all the unmet health needs of the community are covered.

This letter asks for the Zadroga Bill to be amended because there are key improvements that are needed in order for the bill to even begin to meet the true scope of the community health impact and also the real range of conditions being suffered in the community. So I will move to written comments. I try to edit as I go.

As we understand it, the City of New York as part of its advocacy efforts on behalf of the WTC treatment programs and the WTC Health Registry presents its Annual Reports to Congress as reviews of the state of 9-11 unmet health needs. Unfortunately, we find that the city's 2009 Annual Report, like those that preceded it, leaves many important questions about the true

2 scope and real range of 9-11 health impacts not 3 only unanswered but unasked.

When the city does report, for instance, on the scope of new asthma diagnoses likely linked to 9-11 it provides estimates of affected adults that beg a few questions.

Notably, where are these thousands and thousands of people receiving treatment? Why isn't the city doing its utmost to ensure that they're being seen at the WTC Environmental Health Center?

The city's inadequate effort to promote treatment at WTC EHC is a running theme but I will focus my comments, for the most part, on the population of people exposed as children and begin at the beginning. On 9-11 when the World Trade Center was destroyed, more than 1.2 million tons of toxic dust contaminated with asbestos, lead, PAH, PCBs, mercury, fiberglass, silica and more were released into the air. A mass of collapsed cloud engulfed lower Manhattan and penetrated into buildings of all sorts.

The cloud was carried over by the wind over western Brooklyn. Over this seceding weeks and months an acrid plume of smoke rose from

the fires that continued to burn at the site,
hanging over neighborhoods near Ground Zero and
well beyond. The Environmental Protection Agency,
which had the responsibility for protecting the
public from the toxic air and for conducting
indoor clean up of buildings contaminated in the
collapse chose to lie instead about the dangers in
the dust and smoke, declaring within days of 9-11
that the air was safe to breath.

The City of New York quickly

followed suit as Mayor Giuliani reiterated,

Christine Todd Whitman's now infamous declaration.

In the seceding weeks and months, downtown

residents were given wrong, dangerous and illegal

advice to clean up the toxic WTC dust themselves

"with a wet rag or mop" by the New York City

Health Department.

The WTC disaster exposed as many as 46,000 children who lived or attended schools downtown to toxic smoke and dust. From the beginning the environmental health risks to children were either ignored or denied outright in public statements by government at every level. It has been understood for decades that because of

б

2 their developing lungs, brains and other body

3 systems, children are especially susceptible to

4 harm from exposures to environmental toxins, even

from low level or short term exposures.

But in a frequently asked questions advisory issued shortly after the WTC disaster, the city asked a question on the minds of many.

Do pregnant women and young children need to take additional precautions? Then, sweeping aside everything that was known about children's vulnerability, the city made the following we would say conscious shocking answer, no, pregnant women and young children do not need to take additional precautions.

I recount this history because it is still very much with us. The city's denials of health risks of breathing WTC smoke an dust, it's unsafe recommendations and its refusal to make a mid course correction after the EPA Inspector General's 2003 Report denouncing the EPA and the city for putting New Yorkers at risk. Had established that the first EPA clean up, whoops. Had established the first EPA clean up was flawed and inadequate are all reflected in the city's

report, the city's WTC guidelines for physicians,
the city's insufficient efforts to inform sick New
Yorkers of the availability of treatment at the
WTC Environmental Health Center, a resource the

city hopes the federal government will fund.

We have found as we pressed the city to honestly address the environmental health consequences of 9-11 that the city is operating with two conflicting sets of priorities. One reflects the city's understanding that we are in the midst of a mounting 9-11 health crisis and that it is in the best interest of the city to gather information about any health problems that may have resulted. And to ensure that federal funding will be adequate to address the need for care now and in the future.

These are the right priorities but there is another set of wrong priorities that have to do with the city's desire to minimize its own liability for illnesses that it might have prevented. Had it mandated the use of respirators on the pile, had it told the truth about risks of exposure, had it called on EPA to conduct a thorough effective clean up of all contaminated

б

indoor spaces, etc. These priorities continue to be reflected in policy and guidance and in the report that city considers to be its advocacy primer for Congress.

And I'm going to skip ahead. I can provide examples in the Q & A but one that I would certainly reiterate is the one that Katherine McVey Hughes raised. The request was made of the Department of Education a very, very long time ago, more than a year ago. That it needed to send information about the free expert medical care available to children who have symptoms that might be related to 9-11 out to the population of heavily exposed school children. So those are the kids who were in school in the first two years and on 9-11 the first two years afterwards.

DOE is the only agency that has that database. It is still not moving forward and we will soon have Community Board 2 weighing in on this as well. It defies logic and it actually defies conscious. So I'm going to close.

The city has two sets of priorities, one of which is to protect the health of residents, workers and students and anyone

fully effective.

exposed as a child by making sure that anyone sick from 9-11 gets tracked, treated and covered.

Unfortunately, the wrong set of priorities continue to intrude, resulting in dramatic under counts of the sick, a failure to monitor people who were exposed, guaranteeing that unexpected disease patterns resulted from these unprecedented toxic exposures will go undetected. That runs the risk that any intervention will be too late to be

At this critical moment, before the James Zadroga Bill is marked up by the House Energy and Commerce Committee, we are calling on you, our elected representatives on the City Council to protect the health of all affected New Yorkers by rescuing the first set of the city's priorities from the second.

Right now, since the community has no screening or monitoring program comparable to Mt. Sinai WTC Work and Volunteer Medical Monitoring program. Residents and others are at the mercy of the WTC Health Registry's epidemiology. Detecting new diseases with links to WTC have not been a focus. We can not put off

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
3	Could you pull the microphone a little closer?
4	MS. PENEFIL: Thank you for
5	opportunity for testify. My name is Maria Penefil
6	I am here today to testify about my health problem
7	related to 9-1-1. I suffer from asthma, sinuses
8	problem, renatis [phonetic], flues, bronchitis,
9	depression and more. I was a clean up worker in
10	lower Manhattan for four months after 9-1-1. I
11	clean up building on Chamber, Liberty and Rotary
12	Street. I work 16 hours a day. After one eight
13	hour shift, I work for another eight hours.
14	They only gave us paper masks some
15	of the time. For one year we worked side by side
16	with asbestos workers wearing suits but our boss
17	gave us no masks. In 2008, I began to have
18	intense pain in my lower abdomen and legs and
19	bleeding and hemorrhaging with anemia. I
20	called no work. It was difficult to get an
21	appointment for this problem.
22	Finally after going to the

emergency room three times, they say I need

emergency surgery. They say I had cancer in the

uterus. I had a hysterectomy in January of this

year it was not recognized at 9-1-1 related. I

had continued to problem after this surgery. Last

week the doctor told me they did not clean

5 everything inside and so they did another surgery.

I am now the only woman in the 9-1
1 cleaning who had gynecology scar, probably.

There are more women who had this problem and we
want this problem fully investigated and treated.

There is now no study of the health problems that
many of us now have. There is no report that does
address all our 9-1-1 health problems. We called
of the - - City Council to Congress to recognize
all our 9-1-1 related problem, not just pulmonary,
mental and gastro intestinal problems.

We know that many more workers suffer from headaches, neurological kind and memory problems and cancers. With all my health problem, I still must care for my five year old daughter. She cries some time. My daughter suffers from depression too because she is worried about me. - - about my health but we workers call on the City Council and Congress to care for us, us now suffering from 9-1-1.

[Applause]

2	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you very
3	much. Marina Zunega. Please, welcome.
4	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Perhaps we
5	could have a copy of your testimony so we can have
6	it so we can follow.
7	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: The testimony-
8	_
9	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: [interposing]
10	What you spoke, what you just read, the statement
11	you read.
12	MARINA ZUNEGA: Good afternoon.
13	Thank you for your time and thank you for this
14	opportunity that you gave me. I was working for
15	World Trade Center for five months. In 2005 I
16	start to feel sick and sick and sick and sick, day
17	by day. I start to get my treatment in Bellevue.
18	A few months after I went there they told me I
19	have cancer. I have surgery cancer in 2008. I
20	going every three months to do my treatment, to
21	check me. I have stomach problems. I have
22	depression. I have pain in my bones. They said
23	that is from the radiation they gave me.
24	Day by day I'm very sick I see

all my friends die, pass away from cancer. The

2.

other ones, they have cancer and they are sick,
all the people that's over here. A lot of people
are sick. And I feel very, very terrible nervous
for my future because I think I don't have a
future. I have cancer and I don't know how long I
have to die. I have my family and I want to see
my family grow up.

here they don't have jobs? We don't have jobs.

We don't have money for food. We don't have money for rent. It's a lot of problems we have, just not health problems. It's a lot of problems.

After 9-11 we can't work. All the people over there, you see they are not working right now.

They are sick and we are coming to tell you, you have to do something for all the people because we work 16 hours every day for five months. We clean all around all that World Trade Center and around. That is the problem we have now. Thank you for your time. Pardon me, my English.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: No, no, we hear you loud and clear. Thank you.

MS. ZUNEGA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Ms. Regalson.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Push the button.

ESTHER REGALSON: Hello, okay. My name is Esther Regalson and I live three blocks south of the World Trade Center site. I'm also a patient at the Bellevue Clinic. I first want to say that in regards to the first panel. With all due respect, I think we're relying quite a bit on the Registry findings but we have to be mindful that the Registry is flawed. It overlooked a huge population that was affected and exposed, primarily residents of the Lower East Side, China Town and Brooklyn and clean up workers who are often undocumented and uncounted. These findings are not accurate. It's good as a reference. It's good for use in data but we have to respect that people are not counted and looking to that as well and keep people counted.

Secondly, we are denying science.

We know what science has already told us. We know that—I'm going to refer to my script now. We know that on and after 9-11 thousands of New Yorkers were exposed to unprecedented amount of toxic materials including benzene, asbestos, dioxins and PAHs, among others. We know that on

2 and after 9-11 we inhaled. That.

We know, as many of us cleaned and swept our apartments and our workplaces, that we inhaled poison from the re-suspended particles.

We know now that suspicious cancers like multiply myeloma, leukemia and thyroid cancer have already taken the lives of a conspicuous number of first responders and I might add, clean up workers as well. We are concerned that these cancers and other emerging diseases are a direct consequence of 9-11 related exposures.

Excellence that treat 9-11 related illness are under funded and unprepared to follow and treat these illnesses now and in the long term. The Zadroga Bill legislation which directs federal funding to these centers falls short of this mandate to monitor our health in the years to come. To employ studies that address the scope of emerging illnesses. And to adequately fund the treatment of any and all illnesses that residents, workers and students, as well as first responders, have suffered and will suffer as a result of 9-11.

We demand that Congress pass this

_	
2	bill without the arbitrary limits now imposed
3	based on caps and geographic limitations. We
4	demand that Congress pass this bill soon, before
5	any more of us are added to the every increasing
6	list of the diseased. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you.
8	Thank you all. I just want to ask, Ms. Penefil
9	and Ms. Zunega, have either of you sought
10	treatment or any form of medical care from either
11	Bellevue, Gouverneur or Elmhurst Centers? Could
12	you?
13	MS. ZUNEGA: Yes. We went to the
14	Bellevue. When I have my cancer they told me they
15	can not cover my surgery because that is not for
16	9-11. I have to go in to check my Medicaid, they
17	have to pay my surgery. I know that a lot of
18	people they have cancer and they went for two
19	years and after two years they say, oh, do you
20	have cancer now? And they are going over there
21	day by day, every week, and they don't say
22	nothing. I think they don't
23	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]

I'm sorry. You go every week to where?

MS. ZUNEGA: To Bellevue.

1	REDEVELOPMENT LOWER MANHATTAN, ET AL 134
2	Elmhurst or Gouverneur Hospital Center and what
3	did they tell you?
4	pen: In the Bellevue Hospital I
5	havesorry.
6	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Take your
7	time.
8	MS. PENEFIL: The Bellevue Hospital
9	I had treatment. But tomorrow I have the other
10	appointment for my problem they find last week.
11	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: At the
12	Bellevue 9-11 Treatment Center?
13	MS. PENEFIL: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Okay.
15	MS. PENEFIL: I go every week to
16	the Bellevue.
17	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: I guess the
18	question, I'm still not clear. Are you being
19	treated as a victim of 9-11.
20	MS. PENEFIL: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: You are?
22	MS. PENEFIL: I am.
23	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Okay.
24	MS. PENEFIL: I go every week to
25	the Bellevue Hospital, that's right.

2	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: And they are
3	treating you as a 9-11 victim not just as a
4	Medicaid recipient?
5	MS. PENEFIL: Yes, I receive for 9-
6	11.
7	CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Yes, okay.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: And this
9	is for which ailments? Well, we will follow up.
LO	Ms. Flynn.
11	MS. FLYNN: I just really want to
12	try to bring a little bit of clarity, if we can.
13	That's why we're talking about this list of
L4	covered conditions because the conditions that
15	these ladies have are not covered. And all of us,
L6	I think that we heard from the PBA and other
L7	responders. I think you will continue to hear
18	that really the standards of evidence that we're
L9	operating it, that sort of beyond a shadow of a
20	doubt. It's going to result in an enormous body
21	count. It actually, it already has resulted in a
22	body count with responders.
23	And I think that really, with the
24	Mt. Sinai multiple myeloma study, Mt. Sinai in the

spirit of recognizing that these were

unprecedented exposures to combinations and concentrations of poisons that we hadn't seen before. They are looking for unexpected disease patterns and they very much affirm that in the multiple myeloma article. If we have to wait for the Health Registry to pick up on any of these illnesses, we will be waiting a very, very long

time. It's just completely unacceptable.

The Health Registry is not an active surveillance system. It is a paper and pencil. It's a questionnaire. You're self reporting your medical symptoms. That's very different from Mt. Sinai is doing for the responder population where yes, there is a long exposure assessment questionnaire but there are medical exams and people are followed over time.

That's what we're calling for at the very least, for the 40,000 or so people who are now, some of them youth and young adults who were exposed as children. We're saying let's dispense with--there's only 2,500 or so of these kids left in the Health Registry. The Health Registry, the two surveys have really asked exclusively about respiratory problems and about

that is critical.

2	mental health problems and left out this entire
3	range of issues that we're already seeing,
4	obviously, in the Bellevue population and we're
5	seeing in the responder population.
6	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Would you
7	reopen the Registry, create a new registry
8	equivalent or
9	MS. FLYNN: [interposing] I don't
10	think I would reopen the registry.
11	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: What is your
12	remedy.
13	MS. FLYNN: I think what we need
14	here is a monitoring program where exposure
15	assessment and informationI'm not saying to get
16	rid of the Registry. The Registry has its uses
17	but it is not adequate to this situation where
18	these unprecedented exposures are driving new
19	illnesses. And so what we need is we need

You can only come to Bellevue,
Gouverneur and Elmhurst if you have symptoms. If
you have symptoms that you recognize it may

followed, whether they are symptomatic or not and

exposure assessment and we need people to be

somehow be linked to 9-11. Nine years after the city so actively disconnected all the dots between what people were experiencing and what they were breathing. What we need to do is we need to just open up a screening program, at least for kids, people exposed as kids, people who had heavy exposures. Clean up workers had exposures comparable to responders. There are residents who have had exposures comparable to responders, 125 Cedar Street is an address that comes to mind.

We need those people to be screened now. We need a database now. We need an active surveillance program now like the Mt. Sinai program for people in the community. Otherwise, these illnesses, people are just at large getting treatment anywhere and everywhere and who knows what quality treatment. There will be no possibility of detecting the emergence of these new disease patterns that were documented in the Mt. Sinai multiple myeloma article.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Well, we still and to their credit. And I will have the representatives of the World Trade Center Health Group present so I'm going to act as we conclude

this panel. I'm going to ask you to take this

point under consideration to go back to the group

and see if there's a way to create the type of

monitoring and screening you've heard equivalent

to what's done in Mt. Sinai for responders for the

community that you've heard requested by multiple

representatives of the community. Thank you all

very, very much.

Our next panel--actually I'll direct counsel to follow up with a letter. Our next panel Bayrohn Chin, Miga Nagasaki, Laurie Pandolpho. We have Laurie Pandolpho from two different addresses. I don't know if there are two individuals by the same name? Okay. So we have one. James Mileus. Then following this we will have our concluding panel.

[pause]

Just from my left to right, Dr. Mileus, please just.

DR. JAMES MILEUS: My name is Jim Mileus. I'm an occupational health physician and epidemiologist. I work for the Laborer's Union of Health and Safety Trust Fund. And been involved with World Trade Center since the time of the

event. We represent many workers who work there
as well as people who live in the communities that
were affected. I also, for the last several
years, have chaired the Steering Committee for the
World Trade Center responder program, the medical
monitoring and treatment program. I've also
worked with the Registry and with the community
program, the Bellevue program.

So I'm very familiar with some of the issues, at least many of the issues that you've been discussing here this afternoon. I just would sort of preface my remarks by pointing out that in some ways I'm very glad that you're having this hearing and paying attention to this area. There's a tendency to become complacent about this issue, as we have federal funding, other funding. To think that all the issues are being addressed. They certainly aren't as you've heard today and will here. It's very important to have some oversight and people advocating for better programs and more coverage and so forth.

You've already criticized the 2009

Report from the Medical Working Group for New York

City. And I think much of your criticism has been

on point. I think it really was for the most part, simply a compilation of the reports in the medical literature. But it's been published, medical journal reports, peer reviewed and so forth. Therefore I think it's limited in the information that's contained. I think it's also information in its ability to reach conclusions relative to the particular programs that we're talking about.

I think there are two major areas and I outlined them in my testimony where it falls short relative, some of the issues we're discussing today. First of all, it really doesn't recognize the fact of what a toll these illnesses and conditions that are being treated and not been recognized are taking on the people that were exposed. We have literally thousands of people become disabled, no longer able to work. That's both people in the community as well as people that worked at the World Trade Center.

Their needs in terms of medical care are not always being met. Their needs in terms of disability worker's compensation so far they're also not being met. So they're really

struggling. Often it takes for compensation claims or for their line of duty disability pension claims years for those to be recognized.

In the federal hearings and from talking to these people, realizing people losing homes, also to financial and other struggles because of what happens to them. Those issues are not at rest are really not picked up by the report that the city's Medical Working Group produces each year. And therefore, it provides an incomplete picture of what's going on.

and that you've talked about earlier is the issue of the limited number of health conditions that are covered by the current programs. And I think it's important, at least helpful to separate out the different situations. Up until really early 2007, any medical care that was provided for in terms of treatment, it was being provided for people or for the community working at the World Trade Center or community residents. Was provided either through the city, very small program than was in place at Bellevue. And the programs at Mt. Sinai and some of the other medical centers, most

of which were getting any money for treatment from charitable, philanthropic organizations.

government started to provide treatment. And at that time, they provided treatment for what at that point in time was recognized at World Trade Center related conditions. Working on the medical Steering Committee, others put together a list of conditions that reflected not only what was in the scientific literature at the time but also what people's clinical experience was in terms of providing and monitoring treatment.

As it turns out, I think a well grounded, well scientifically sound list. But it was at that single point in time and it was limited. I think it's also important to recognize that at that time funding for these programs have also always been limited. So for our federal level we were working with an administration that was not, best to say they were not favorable to this program, worst to say they were outright hostile and did not want to provide additional funding. Has always provided pretty significant restraints on the use of that funding for

treatment purposes as well as for other purposes.

administration, we now have the opportunity to be able to expand that list of conditions relative to the responder program. And we are in the process of doing that, the multiple myeloma which you've talked about at length here. We currently have a group that I'm chairing that's meeting relatively to the Steering Committee to go over multiple myeloma, other cancers, review the available information and make recommendations to NIOSH to provide funding for the treatment of those conditions in the responder program.

Now secondly, there's a list.

Those list of covered conditions also made its way into the legislation that's currently before

Congress, HR847. And they did that because with sum basis. We also may be able to convince

Congress and those in Congress that were skeptical about funding and additional funding for New York for this type of program, that there was a good medical basis for the conditions that were being treated. There wasn't just any condition there was some ability to choose that.

taken.

2	So we built into the legislation,			
3	also, mechanisms not only for individuals to be			
4	able to essentially petition for their condition			
5	to be treated as well as groups as additional			
6	medical conditions to be added to the program.			
7	Then thirdly, I'll be brief now. I			
8	know my time is up			
9	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]			
10	We really got to hold people to the five minutes.			
11	We've been very liberal but the time is really			
12	passing by and we still have quite a few people so			
13	please summarize.			
14	DR. MILEUS: Okay. So I think			
15	there's more of the work that needs to be done in			
16	this area. I think many of the issues that were			
17	raised here are in the process of being addressed.			
18	There are resource problems, there are going to be			
19	issues at the federal level as to how much funding			
20	we can expect to keep this program going. I think			
21	it's very good that these issues get raised and			
22	that we become aware of them and that steps be			

Finally, I think it's important that we recognize that it's not going to be

School?

2	perfect science or causal relationships. You said
3	it very well earlier, Mr. Gerson, that this is
4	information that we have to be able to make
5	decisions as we're going along, based on what
6	information we have that we're not denying people
7	coverage for a condition, medical care for a
8	condition until we've had the final scientific
9	proof, that may be many years in the future.
10	That's not fair to anybody and we need to set up a
11	mechanism to make sure that that can happen.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you.
14	Thank you very much. Ms. Pandolpho. I'm just
15	going to move on as noted because of the time
16	element but we want to hear all of your testimony.
17	LAURIE PANDOLPHO: I'm Laurie
18	Pandolpho. I'm here as a representative of the
19	Concerned Stuyvesant Community, a group that was
20	formed around these issues and having to do with
21	representing the students at that time. Now
22	keeping in mind that
23	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: [interposing]
24	T take it we're referring to Stuyvesant High

MS.

MS. PANDOLPHO: Well, Stuyvesant

High School but I feel that I can speak on behalf of most parents of students of all the schools. I think I can presume to speak on their behalf because I believe they'll have similar concerns.

And because also I've been attending and remained active by working on the advisories for some of the scientific studies that are being done by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene through the Health Registry so I'm involved in that. I've been on top of the issues.

I just want to remind everyone that the students had really no option to return to the school after the area was contaminated. Of course, as parents we had false reassurances of safety and inaccurate reports about the clean up. So actually as you know and as we've testified many times, the toxic brew of materials both in the school that ran through their ventilation system and outside. With the hazardous debris barge dumping operation continued this exposure over many months between the time they returned in November through the end of the school year and beyond.

And I reiterate a lot of the points that people have made before that the Zadroga Bill is deficient in some ways in that their surveillance needs to be expanded because in the current version it's lacking a monitoring program for the residents, students and area workers who are not included in the responder population.

It's only looking at illnesses that come out of the Registry, again that point was made earlier, which is a very limited size. And only through people that have opted in to that are we really getting any information.

The monitoring needs to be expanded and I appreciate what Dr. Mileus said about including other diseases because as a parent of a former cancer patient, I'm very concerned about that. She went through this exposure as well and who knows what that predisposition would open her up to and many other students who might have that same situation. So far we know only anecdotally of cases that mimic the things that they're finding such the multiple myeloma study and other strange cancers that may or may not—who knows if they're supposed to show up in this population.

But we can only hear about it anecdotally now because it's not being--

gathered in any systematic way for this group. So yes, the list of the disorders beyond aero, digestive and mental needs to be seriously considered. There should be some way of predicting probable expected diseases based upon the mix of those chemicals that were found there. They know that asbestos was found and that's illegal in the school. They had the dumping operation right next to the school. Asbestos and lead were found so scientists can extrapolate the consequences of that. That's another reason why I think that other diseases need to be included.

Also the capacity is being expanded but to a very small degree from what I can tell.

15,000 slots seems extremely minted based upon

40,000 or so is the number I heard of that students might have attended.

Lastly, the most important issue that I don't think was brought up here which I think I came here to be sure is said, is that attention has to be given to the fact that this

2	group in particular are transitioning between
3	being children to adults, adolescent to adult
4	transition. I think that this opens them up to
5	being overlooked during their health care because
6	first of all most of them are probably in denial
7	and not going to be paying attention to this much.
8	It's kind of like what I always say, we don't know
9	what we don't know. People won't be thinking
10	about that, it won't be on their mind unless
11	there's some kind of systematic study of this.
12	This transition between pediatric
13	and adult, I keep bringing that up on the
14	advisories as well. Eight years has passed so
15	students went from children to adult and this has
16	to be has to be addressed in some way for the
17	planning for the future health care and monitoring
18	of these groups.
19	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you.
20	MS. PANDOLPHO: Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Is that it?
22	Thank you very much. Ms. Chin.
23	BAROHN CHIN: [Providing testimony
24	in foreign language]

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Do you want to

\sim	L 7 - + - 0
۷ ا	translate?

MS. CHIN: [Via translator] My name is Bayrohn. Immediately after 9-11 I was working in China Town--

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: [interposing]

Put the microphone right in front of you.

MS. CHIN: Okay. My name is

Bayrohn. After 9-11 I was working in China Town

and I also went to the Ground Zero area many

times. However, the air was very toxic. There

was no way to avoid breathing it in. At the time

the government said the air was safe but it wasn't

true.

In the beginning of 2006, I suffered from a chronic cough and sore throat. When I saw my family doctor, they found a problem with my lungs. I continued to work and also get treatment but my health didn't improve. So later I joined the Bellevue 9-11 program. Bellevue gave me a specialized lung check up but they also couldn't find what was wrong. They referred me to an outside hospital but they told me they can't be responsible for these health problems and I would have to pay on my own. What could I do? Who is

wouldn't cover it.

25

2 after 9-11 we were door knocking and providing 3 relief assistance for residents inside and outside

4 boundaries of federal relief assistance programs.

5 For the past eight years we have seen how the

6 government arbitrarily excluded thousands of

7 affected workers and residents from the Health

Registry by establishing eligibility cut offs at

9 Canal and Chambers Street.

The city has consistently ignored the most vulnerable, the low income communities of color through constant exclusion from government relief and even from the protection of the rezoning most recently under the Bloomberg administration. In the past eight years we have seen how the government has displaced 9-11 affected workers and residents by prioritizing luxury development in the aftermath of 9-11. And most recently by passing a racist rezoning plan to displace low income residents of color.

The 2008 East Village Rezoning Plan is already displacing countless residents and businesses from China Town. Many sick workers and former residents affected by 9-11 now live in outer boroughs like Brooklyn, far from the

Environmental Health Centers and must decide

whether to pay for private medical attention or to

let their ailments go untreated.

To address the lack of adequate studies in our communities, we recently released the We Count survey as part of the beyond ground Zero Network. The survey findings show that a significant number of workers and residents experience persisting illnesses that are undermining their ability to work and thus affecting their income.

On the other hand, those who are seeking private medical attention are not being counted as affected by 9-11. I urge you to look over the We Count survey that I just submitted to you today along with this testimony. In conclusion, everyone affected, including patients who seek private medical attention must be included in studies, treatment and compensation and relief efforts. Furthermore the city must stop rezoning plans that displace working people from their communities.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you. I am also going to ask again that we appreciate that

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're remaining. But there's a reason I would ask that the representatives of the World Trade Center group follow up on the point last made with respect to the We Count report and with respect to this issue of their access to care and evaluation of incidents among population who do not reside in the south of Canal Street area. That was something which we had fought years ago and remains, as you've heard, a long going issue. like to respond to the Council how the World Trade Center group would propose to take into account those workers either who never lived south of Canal Street, those individuals, whatever their status who never lived south of Canal Street or those who did but no longer, as you just heard testified.

I thank you all very, very, very
much. Ms. Chin will you follow up with counsel on
your and Ms. Nagasaki we will follow up with any
response we receive. We will continue to demand a
response from the World Trade Center group to
those points that you raised. We have our final
panel, Ann Arlen, our friend from Community Board
2. Please forgive me if I mispronounce this

very much.

2	Arklinsa Thomasaraska. Did I come close at least?
3	Not even, okay. Well, I recognize you so it's
4	good to see you again. Victor Nino. Mr. Nino we
5	are expecting a translator eminently so come
6	forward. No, no, please take your seat but we
7	will call you last to give the translator tie to
8	arrive. And Joe Paulette. There you are. You
9	all get the patience prize and we appreciate
10	you're waiting. We're certainly very eager to
11	hear your testimony in the order in which I called
12	everyone. Ms. Arlen.
13	ANN ARLEN: Thank you Council
14	Members. Thank you Chairs Koppell and Gerson and
15	Alan, before I go any further, thank you for your
16	service. During your tenure as a Council Member,
17	you've done a lot in areas that
18	[Applause]
19	we both hold of high value. And
20	you've done a lot and thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you all

MS. ARLEN: I want to say first that the Zadroga Bill, it's like this very mixed blessing. It has the potential for us in Board 2

to be really quite dangerous because there's a cut off at Houston Street. It's one of the those boundaries. It appears to be very arbitrary. As you know, the original boundary was at Canal. Now that was a satellite generated boundary. They looked at the plume from a satellite photograph and decided that that was where the cut off ought to be but we also know what their motivations were and how little they paid attention to what the hazards were.

At the time of the 9-11 attack on September 11 I was chair of the Environment Committee of Community Board 2. Our committee had worked a lot with asbestos. So an email, our phones were out, I got an email from a filmmaker on September 11th who had filmed the construction of the World Trade Center for the Port Authority. He said that they new for sure there was 40% asbestos in that building and that people should be, any workers down there should be protected.

On 9-11 I wrote a very short resolution, short Alan, the resolves are that every effort should be made to have rescue workers wear high quality respirators. And to see to it

that they are provided with showers and other proper means of decontamination when they leave the site so that the health of their families is protected from the contaminants at the World Trade Center. And be it finally resolved that the required covering and wetting down of debris being trucked from the site must be followed in order to protect the health of the people along the truck route, Stuyvesant including residents of Staten Island who live near Fresh Kills where the debris is deposited and FBI agents and others who were searching the debris at Fresh Kills who should also be provided with high quality respirators.

This was common knowledge. And there were a lot of other things like this that were common knowledge. One of the reasons they weren't followed, in my view, was that there was so much spin put on. Don't worry, the air is safe to breath and so forth. On the 12th, this was passed by the Executive Committee of Community Board 2 and passed by the whole board on the 20th.

Walking over to our community board on the 12th so that was just a little bit above Houston Street, wearing a bandana like everybody

else. And like everybody else, grinding the grit
that was in the air between my teeth in case
anybody thought, this area wasn't affected.

We really can not support a bill that divides our community board in the middle and says people above Houston Street are not going to receive care, people below Houston Street are.

That's the same thing as the Canal Street boundary. We were involved with the Bellevue CSC. We're actually founding members of 9-11

Environmental Action. One of our jobs was to get the boundary extended above Canal Street. It went finally to 14th Street. It looks like that's been abandoned in the Zadroga Bill and that's just not okay.

The 15,000 cap is--there's an awful lot of damage limitation going on here. And it would be at the expense of the people who need care. 15,000 cap is one of them. I'm going to read you our letter very short also.

I'm writing to ask your committee-this is from Jason Mansfield who is now Chair of
the Environment Committee of Board 2. I'm writing
to ask your committee to support the residents of

2 Manhattan Community Board 2. It is very important 3 that Council request the language in the Zadroga

4 Bill placing the boundary for 9-11 health care not

5 be drawn across Houston Street, which would divide

our district between 9-11 haves and 9-11 have

7 nots.

There is extensive empirical evidence that those who lived and worked up to and beyond 14th Street need treatment for the health effects of 9-11. Furthermore it is imperative that there be a uniform standard of care for all those who were impacted by the WTC attack. We ask that you ensure the firefighters, school teachers, student, police, clean up workers, residents, first responders, etc, all be given the same access to medical and mental care regardless of what role they played. I won't finish because I heard the bell go off.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you.

MS. ARDEN: But we need you to get our voices into the Zadroga Bill because it could hurt a lot of people otherwise. Thank you.

*L Thank you, thank you.

ARIK TOMACHEVSKI: Thank you for

2	having	the	hearing	about	the	9-11	health	issue.
---	--------	-----	---------	-------	-----	------	--------	--------

3 My name is Arik Tomachevski. Soon after 9-11

4 | happened many people from National Mobilization

5 Against Sweatshops, especially those people who

6 got injured on the job came to lower Manhattan to

7 do the door knocking, to meet with people to ask

8 people what their problem is. We breathed this

9 air together with the residents and like many

10 residents, we won't have treatment.

What we see right now and what we did survive, we can't. 72% of people, unfortunately going to the private doctors. How it happened that 72% of people going to the private doctors? That's a question and I did a lot of outage. 72% of people are unsatisfied with the treatment. The treatment is not full treatment; it's just partial treatment. How can you divide the problems that people have? Only you have a lung problem, a throat problem, a sinus problem, in the meantime don't see that people have the cancer and don't treat them. Or don't see that people have a headache or rashes or gynecological problems or neurology problems.

We all live in 21st century in a

civilized world. We should be ashamed. Don't spend the money for basic treatment, for full treatment. We should be ashamed. We have to make our pressure on Congress as much as possible to do it, to make pressure to give them money for the treatment. People need it.

72% of people, I am sure that at the least, 40% of these people just left this country. AT least of 40% of these people left this country and never going to come back over here. I know a lot of people who died from cancer, from many different problems, stroke, skin cells. Just two months ago a woman she died and she was not counted at a 9-11 health issue problem.

She went to the private doctors to get the treatment because the treatment at the 9-11 Health Clinic was not enough for her, was not full treatment. So that what kind of problem we have to investigate. Besides, we need the scientists to see and to tell us what kind of problems medically from the lead, asbestos. We can do the research, just do the research what the lead is doing to the human body, what mercury is

2.

doing to the human body. Just do the research and
all this information about health problem, what
kind of health problem people are going to have by
breathing all these chemicals, we have right now.
Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you very much. Ms. Paulette.

JOE PAULETTE: I'm Joe Paulette I live at 105 Twain Street. It's a 52 story building. On 9-11 there were many, many children living in that building who continued to live in the building. Just quickly, in addition to the respiratory effects which have been acknowledged, major concerns of pediatric environmental health experts who do not have a WTC related COI are the potential for neural, developmental and endocrine effects and cancers in exposed children. That is because fetuses, infants and children are many times more susceptible to harm from the toxic substances that were released on and in the months after 9-11 than are adults.

What you won't know from reading the 2009 Medical Working Group report is anything about the findings of the WTC pregnancy study.

Now that's a five year longitudinal study out of Columbia University led by the noted molecular biologist, Frederica Perera. Findings of that study are that the children born to women who were pregnant on 9-11 and were exposed on 9-11 or in the six week period after, were an increased likelihood of being born small for gestational age. Their risk for cancer later in life was increased ten fold and that there were mild cognitive, neuro cognitive defects found in the three year olds who were also exposed to environmental tobacco smoke.

That finding is of great concern because those defects don't show up as much as three as they do later. So we're awaiting the study on the five year olds. Any pediatric environmental health expert could have told you and should have told you that children should not have been exposed to those substances and they should not went back.

So I guess as far as monitoring for the community and particularly for children because if children were monitored, if there was enough of a cohort to find what might happen then

2.

protective measures can be taken. We would ask
please do not refer our request for monitoring to
the city. The city's relationship to these issues
is far too complex for a useful response. Instead
please write it into the resolution that will be
coming out of this hearing as a necessary
amendment to the 9-11 health bill. We need a
monitoring program for children. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you very much and I'll have a final comment. But first our final witness for this hearing, Mr. Victor Nino.

Having been informed that Mr. Nino will testify in Spanish I've asked Patricia Owen of our office to provide translation. Mr. Owen will you first ask on the record, Mr. Nino, if he will accept you as translator.

[Agreed to in Spanish]

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Okay, Mr. Nino if you please testify with the microphone, thank you.

VICTOR NINO: [Providing testimony in foreign language. Testimony via translator]

Thank you for listening today. My voice has suffered greatly since 9-11. I have come here as

months.

an immigrant with my family hoping to better my life. And I have worked at World Center six

On a scale of one to ten, I

performed my job very well, on a scale of ten.

However, today I can only work at a 4.5% capacity.

I and many other workers have suffered from sinus attacks, gastroenteritis among other illnesses and other afflictions. And there are many undocumented workers who have come to work in New York and have suffered from this as a result. Not only that but they have no insurance; they can't go to a doctor.

According to many doctors who I have visited, I and many other who suffer like me must use an inhaler for the rest of my life because I we suffer from sinus conditions and gastro intestinal condition. I conducted a study between Bellevue and Mt. Sinai in regard to the medications that were prescribed and they are more or less the same.

I'm thankful for the program that affords me this inhaler but there are also side effects. There's a lot of acid reflux with the

gastro intestinal side effects. I feel that this is highly experimental and I feel like I and my other co-workers feel like lab rats because of this medication. I feel this way because this medication contains cortisone. If it contains cortisone and combined with the acid reflux, what's happening is that we're getting bone cancer.

The same thing happens with the inhaler, due to constant use instead of getting better we're getting worse. I'm only able to perform maybe work at a 25% capacity and due to my condition I have to wear this mask because I replace floors, I replace flooring, I do painting; I do a little bit of everything. Before I would wear a mask for dust and whatever else but not this type of mask, which refines the air that I breath.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I ask that since the time has expired. I know he's been translated but can we ask that he just summarize what he recommends to us today.

MR. NINO: I would like to ask if there's a way for us to obtain Medicaid for life.

2	I would like to know if it's possible to have
3	Medicaid for life just so they can continue living
4	and to guarantee that when we do get older the
5	Medicaid will not run out and we will still have
6	access to funding for programs for their
7	conditions.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: I'm sure that if Council Member Gerson and I are around we want to guarantee that. In fact, I think both of us, I speak for myself, I support a national health care system that would guarantee such care for everyone. For the moment we're pleased that the city provides such care. There's no citizenship qualification on such care. Certainly it would be our intention to continue that.

MR. NINO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: Thank you all very much. Just in 30 seconds to note first one of the many travesties of 9-11 were the fact that laborers, documented and undocumented were not only allowed but encouraged to go back on to the site to assist without being adequately equipped

2.

with basic face masks and respiratory protection.
We must make sure that those workers are included
along with anyone else in the care, expanded care,
that must be provided by the Centers for
Excellence.

will follow up with a resolution, with modifications including many suggested. To me, we know asbestos is a carcinogen. We know people were exposed. There should be no question but that if they were there at the site and they have this presumption, it should be that they should receive treatment. I will continue to press for that. I want to thank Council Member Koppell not only for being here throughout the whole hearing and co-sponsoring this hearing but for his great leadership, which I know I trust will continue.

Do you want to say anything?

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON KOPPELL:} \quad \mbox{Only Alan,} \\ \mbox{we'll miss you.}$

CHAIRPERSON GERSON: With that, the fight will continue, the cause will continue and this hearing is adjourned. Thank you.

[Applause]

I, Amber Gibson, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	An X	<u>i</u>
Dato	Dogombor 20	2000