CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES

----- X

September 28, 2017 Start: 10:36 a.m. Recess: 3:00 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

Mathieu Eugene Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma
Fernando Cabrera
Vanessa L. Gibson
Corey D. Johnson
Ritchie J. Torres
Barry S. Garodnick
Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Darlene Mealy Margaret S. Chin David G. Greenfield

Andy L. King Laurie A. Cumbo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bill Chong Commissioner of Department of Youth and Community Development

Susan Haskell Deputy Commissioner of Youth Services at DYCD

Randy Scott
Unit Head of Vulnerable and Special Needs Youth
at DYCD

Aaron Goodman Senior Deputy Counsel for Homeless Litigation at DYCD

Lewis Fidler
Former Chair of Youth Services Committee of New
York City Council

Alexander Perez

Charles Whitewolf

Nadia Swanson Ali Forney Center

Craig Hughes
Urban Justice Center

Jeremy Kohomban Children's Village

Urraine Williams [sp?] Bronx Defenders

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Giselle Routhier Legal Aid Society

Kate de Zengotita Legal Aid Society

Jamie Powlovich Coalition for the Homeless

Jenn Strashnick Covenant House New York

Jeff Foreman
Care for the Homeless

Elia Johnson Brooklyn Defenders

Catherine Trapani Homeless Services United

Carolyn Strudwick Safe Horizon

Towak Komatsu [sp?]

Reed Vreeland Housing Works

Jawanza James Williams Vocal New York

Nicole Giannone Ali Forney

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ramone LaClerk [sp?]
Vocal New York

2 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Good morning. I'm 3 Council Member Mathieu Eugene, Chair of the Youth Services Committee, and I'm pleased to be holding 4 5 this joint hearing with my colleague, Council Member 6 Stephen Levin, Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Before we start the hearing, I would like 8 to ask you to take a moment to think about the victim 9 of the natural disasters in Puerto Rico and the 10 Caribbean and Mexico and Texas. And as you know, as 11 we're gathering today that many people don't have 12 shelter, and we're going to talk about shelters for 13 young people, and that many young people also don't 14 have shelters or are facing difficulties to get 15 access to the basic necessities, and I want to ask you to remember please to do-- to send relief and see 16 17 if we can alleviate the burden that they're facing 18 and also help them in their life. And unfortunately, 19 there's another tragedy in the Bronx, also. 20 know, young people have been the victim due to 21 bullying and violence. This is another way to say 2.2 that we as a city, as a society, we got so much to 23 Make sure that all young people, they are 24 protected, all young people they have a better life and they are safe. Please remember to pray for the 25

many runaway and homeless youth will sleep on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES friend's or stranger's couch, on train or bus terminal, or engage survival sex. To make matters worse, homeless youth are so easy prey to people in the human trafficking industry who exploit the lack of enough shelter bed to entice youth to follow them. I'm also worried about the youth who identify themselves as LGBTO, because they are more vulnerable to violence and exploitation when they are forced out of their home because of rejection, abandonment and other reasons. Homeless LGBTQ youth find it extremely difficult to navigate the street because they're often encounter a society that blindly discriminates and stigmatize them because of their sexual orientation. These [inaudible] make LGBTQ youth increasingly vulnerable to mental health and substance abuse issue. These factors underscore the importance of inadequate shelter system that provides the necessary service to provide runaway and homeless youth with services they need to live healthy and productive lives. The experiences of runaway and homeless youth emphasize the importance of having shelters that guarantee their safety as well as

provide the necessary support services that will

allow them to get back on their feet. However, many

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES homeless youth have gone to shelters only to find them full with long waiting lists, while some of those homeless youth, including LGBTQ-identifying youth, may be referred to an adult Department of Homeless Services shelter, many complain that I've been bullied and harassed by older adult resident. This force many youth to leave the shelter and go back to the street in an attempt to make it on their This, of course, make it difficult for homeless youth to access important services that are necessary for them to rebuild their life. While the Administration has continued to increase the number of shelter beds available and put in place additional drop-in center, there are serious concerns about whether this will be enough to meet the need of homeless youth. Last year's youth count [sic] reveal there were more homeless youth than previously reported. Additionally, with the City [sic] regulation [sic] now are low in shelters to serve youth up to the age of 24 as well as increasing the number of days youth would stay in shelters, that

means more youth will be eligible for shelter

services. However, if the projected increase of

shelter beds is not enough to meet their demand, then

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we will continue to see homeless youth across New York City. This is not acceptable, and we must as a city do better. Today's hearing will allow us to learn more about what DYCD and DHS are doing to ensure that runaway and homeless youth are receiving the services that they need to succeed in the City. I'm especially interested in what are DYCD plans to modify its shelter program in response to the recent change in state regulations which will allow youth up to the age of 24 to stay in the transitional and definitely [sic] facilities in crisis. These factors underscore the importance of an adequate shelter system that provide the necessary service to provide runaway homeless youth with services they need to live healthy and productive life. Those regulations also allows crisis shelter to permit youth to remain there for 120 days while teen facilities can permit youth to stay for 24 months. As I mentioned earlier, this remain [sic] more youth will be eligible to stay in DYCD shelters and in some instances for longer period of time. The bills we are having the hearing on today, for [inaudible] to improve city services at runaway and homeless youth. Council Member Corey Johnson, who is the sponsor of two of the bills,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Introduction 1619 and Introduction 1700, has joined us, and we'll let deliver his opening statement very soon. Introduction 1619 would require DYCD to provide a report on youth who have been turned away from any of the shelters and provide a reason why they were turned away. Introduction 1699 would require DYCD to provide runaway and homeless youth age 14 and older residing in youth crisis service program to be allowed to stay in the program on a voluntary basis for up to 60 days or 120 days if the youth and parents, guardian, and custodian agree in writing that the youth could stay in the program. Introduction 1700 would require DYCD to submit an annual report on runaway and homeless youth. report would include information such as description of the type of service runaway and homeless youth This bill would also require DYCD to provide shelter services to all runaway and homeless youth who request shelter. Introduction 1705 would require DHS and DYCD to implement an intake and assessment process for any runaway and homeless youth who want to enter a DHS shelter. Introduction 1706 would require DYCD to provide runaway and homeless youth services, including shelter services to homeless

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

youth. Although, in the same manner, those services are provided to runaway youth and homeless youth. want to thank the Youth Services Committee staff Keywu Gishu [sp?], Michael Benjamin and Jessica Ankerman [sp?], as well as the General Welfare Committee staff for preparing this important hearing. I would also like to thank Ethan Tucker [sp?], my Legislative and Budget Director, for his ongoing commitment to youth in New York City on a daily basis. And also to all of you here, I want to thank you for what you have been doing for the young people in New York City, and I think that as a team, as a society, we are all part of the same team, we will continue to work for the young people and by working together we'll improve the life of those young And to DYCD, Commissioner, thank you very people. much for what you have been doing for the young people, and thank you to all your staff. But again, we have to do more, and as a team, I'm convinced that we'll continue to do better. Thank you very much. Now, let me turn it over to my Co-Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chair

Eugene. Good morning, everybody. I'm Council Member

Steve Levin, Chair of the Council's Committee on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to thank everybody for General Welfare. coming out today for today's hearing on safe and accessible shelters for homeless youth. especially like to thank Council Member Mathieu Eugene, Chair of the Youth Services Committee, for agreeing to join with the Committee on General Welfare to conduct this hearing. As we are all aware, homeless youth have a unique set of vulnerabilities that make the path to permanency even more difficult than their older adult counterparts. Young people age 18 and older may enter the Department of Homeless Services' shelter system when they age out or time out of DYCD or HY crisis shelter or TIL [sic] facilities, or when they are unable to access these facilities because they are at capacity. Although homeless youth have access to the DHS shelter system, the fact of the matter is that young people may feel unsafe going into the DHS single adult system, and that's totally understandable, and really, under no circumstances should we be in the situation of transitioning young and vulnerable 18, 19, 20-year-olds into the DHS single adult system. That's not acceptable to me and to this committee. DHS currently has three shelters. That's outside of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the three shelters that they've-- that have come online in recent years that solely house young adults, including the new shelter that serves LGBTQ youth ages 21 to 30. However, these DHS youthspecific shelters only have a capacity of 167 beds in total. Although it is difficult to gauge the actual total number of homeless youth in the City, clearly there are not enough beds for this population between the DYCD and DHS systems, and so we must do more. Ιn April of this year, the General Welfare Committee held a hearing titled, "Reforms to the Homeless Services One Year Later," to discuss and evaluate the 46 reforms that tackle the homelessness crisis over the course of the past year based on DHS' 90-day review which was conducted in 2016. With regard to homeless youth in the DYCD system, the 90-day review included four recommendations. One, target services and rental assistance for youth in DYCD shelters at risk of entering the DHS system. Two, triple the number of DYCD or HY shelter capacity to 5,073 by FY 19, which is for those of you that don't speak budget language, FY 19 starts on July 1st of next year, nine months from now. Number three, streamline access to DYCD shelter for homeless youth. Number four,

Staff Johnathan Bouche [sp?] and Budget Director

Edward Paulino. And now, I'd like to turn it over

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to-- back over to Chair Eugene and Council Member
3 Corey Johnson for remarks.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. Before I call Council Member Corey Johnson, let me take the opportunity also to welcome back, you know, former Council Member Lew Fidler. He's here with us. It is a pleasure to see you, Lew. Thank you. And I want to say also, Lew Fidler was my predecessor. That mean he was the Chairman of the Youth Services Committee. He has done a tremendous, remarkable job, and I would like to do as much as he has done, you know. And I want to say that Lew Fidler have been advocating for youth many years before. When I had my youth organization he was one of my first, one of the first supporter of my youth organization, giving discretionary funding to my organization and other organization to provide services to the young people. Thank you very much, Lew, for everything you have done for the young people in New York City. you so much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Chair Eugene, I'd also like to add that I'll be channeling my internal Lew Fidler for the remainder of the hearing. Watch out.

2 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, now let me call

3 Council Member Corey Johnson for his remarks.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I thank you, Chairs Levin and Eugene, for holding this hearing today and hearing this package of legislation. Runaway, homeless youth are commonly referred to as one of the most vulnerable populations in New York, and the word "vulnerable" gets used a lot. palatable in polite conversations and news stories, but it doesn't fully describe the gruesome reality of physical, mental, emotional, and sexual abuse and exploitation that young people endure when they're forced to live on the street in New York City. word "vulnerable" is not the urgent call to action that this situation requires. There is no disputing the great progress that our city has made with respect to housing and serving these young people. Commissioner Chong and I were talking about that before the hearing started. The additional beds and programs the Administration has provided has certainly made a difference, but there is still more work to be done. To fully address the needs of this population, we must come to terms with the size and scope of how many young people need our help.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have to understand this problem fully to know the resources that are needed to solve it. Also, our current policies don't match the reality on the ground that the service providers that are here today grapple with every single day. Antiquated age restrictions and stay limits in youth shelters are a disservice to young people who often have spent their childhood undergoing a variety of abuses, traumas and stress. An old-fashioned check-the-boxes approach to housing, protecting and serving these young people allows too many to fall through the cracks. We have to view each case individually and holistically so we can give these young people a chance at a happy, healthy and successful life. There are a few issues facing our city more serious than this one, and we must do everything in our power to take care of our It's been a long road that has taken us here, and we have come a long way thanks to folks like former Council Member Lew Fidler. That is in large part also because of the incredible advocates who are working on this issue here today. I want to thank each one of them, and I want to acknowledge the young people, the folks that some of whom have experienced this and they're here to talk about their

2 experiences. So, it's not speaking on their behalf,

3 | but it's them speaking for themselves and about their

4 | own experiences. I'd like to thank General Welfare

5 Chair Steve Levin and Youth Services Chair Mathieu

6 Eugene again for holding this hearing today, my

7 | colleagues for their contribution and support,

8 especially Council Members Gibson, Salamanca and

9 Torres. Together, I really hope that we will ensure

10 that no child is forced to ever, ever have to sleep

11 on the streets of New York City. Thank you, Chairs.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much

Council Member Johnson.

right hands so I can swear you in, everyone at the table. Thank you. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to the Council Members' questions? All say "I do." Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: And again, thank you very much, Commissioner, and thank you all of you from DYCD. Thank you very much. Commissioner, you may start at any time.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Okay, good morning, 3 Chair Levin, Chair Eugene, Chair Johnson, and members 4 of the Committees on General Welfare and Youth Services. I'm Bill Chong, Commissioner of the Department of Youth and Community Development. 6 joined by Susan Haskell, Deputy Commissioner of Youth 7 Services, Randy Scott, Unit Head of Vulnerable and 8 Special Needs Youth, and Aaron Goodman, Senior Deputy Counsel for Homeless Litigation and Program Counsel 10 11 of the Department of the Social Services. Thank you 12 for the chance to testify before-- to testify today 13 on this important topic. We appreciate the City Council's longstanding interest in and support of 14 15 DYCD's runaway and homeless youth programs. 16 very proud of the significant progress we have made 17 in serving runaway and homeless youth. Under Mayor 18 de Blasio, New York City has made an unprecedented 19 investment to expand the number of beds and enhanced 20 services for this population by increasing baseline 21 funding for RHY programs by 20 million or 250 2.2 percent. DYCD's RHY programs are designed to serve 2.3 youth holistically, enabling them to obtain the services needed to place them on a path of safe 24 25 shelter and stability. We are committed to helping

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

these young people, young New Yorkers, rebuild their DYCD funds an integrated portfolio of runaway and homeless youth services that are delivered by community-based providers through contracts. three types of services include residential services, drop-in services, and street outreach. Residential services are comprised of crisis shelter beds and transitional, independent living beds serving youth ages 16 to 20. The New York State Office of Children and Family Services, OCFS, regulates all residential services provided by youth bureaus across New York State. DYCD is the designated youth bureau for New York City. Crisis shelters provide emergency shelter and crisis intervention services. Under current state regulations, youth are housed on a short-term basis for up to 30 days with a chance to extend their stay for additional 30 days. Youth stay voluntarily while staff take efforts to unite them with family. In cases where family reunification is not possible, provider staff work with youth to identify appropriate transitional and long-term placements. Transitional independent living residents represent a longer term option that provide support and shelter as well as youth establish independent life through

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

educational programs and vocational courses, job placement and assistance, counseling and training, and basic life skills. Youth may stay at a TIL shelter for up to 18 months are typically referred from crisis shelters. Drop-in centers serve young people up to age 24 and are located in each borough. Youth provided with basic needs such as food and clothing and supportive services such as recreational activities, health and educational workshops, counseling and referrals to additional services, including shelter. Street outreach focuses on locations in the City where runaway and homeless youth tend to congregate, offering on-the-spot information and counseling. The goal is develop a report with young people and connect them to services, eventually shelter. Under Mayor de Blasio's leadership, this Administration has taken very specific actions to improve the lives of runaway and homeless youth. Beginning with his first budget in Fiscal 2015, the Mayo initiated an expansion of DYCD shelter beds, adding funding for 100 beds, followed by another 100 beds in Fiscal 2016, bringing the total of funded beds to 453 at that point. January 2016, Mayor de Blasio announced investments

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to fund another 300 shelter beds by Fiscal Year 2019. This Administration will have tripled the funding for RHY programs. Currently, 525 RHY shelter beds are open and available to young people, double what was available under the prior administration. current fiscal year, 120 beds are in progress, 52 in contract, 38 are proposed and being evaluated through an open-ended RFP, and funding is available for an additional 38. Having served under the prior Administration, I remember the annual instability we faced regarding the City's funding of runaway homeless youth programs and the budget negotiations would take place every year between the Administration and the Council. For several years, much of the funding was not agreed upon until the adopted City budget, leaving shelter bed providers and the youth they served in a state of uncertainty. In contrast, this Administration has committed every year to make additional investments and has brought stability and growth through the services that runaway and homeless youth need and deserve. youth can access shelter beds than ever before, and on average night there are dozens of beds available to help a young person in need. The average

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residential program utilization rate has been about 90 percent. We're always planning for the future delivery of RHY programs and services. On September 18th, DYCD released a concept paper for its RHY services. Highlights of the concept paper include funding for a planned shelter bed expansion and two 24 drop-in centers as well as an increase in the price per bed to 47,000 dollars. Comments are due by October 24th, 2017. An RFP will be issued later this year for contracts and is scheduled to begin July 1st of 2018. We've also made investments to ensure that runaway and homeless youth have access to highquality mental health services. All DYCD RHY programs receive ThriveNYC funding to support youth mental health needs through direct services, including mental health assessments and wellness activities. Each month, providers share success stories with DYCD to highlight the impact of these resources on young people. We are pleased to hear that young people are seeking help more than ever before. In a recent West Stat [sic] survey of young people in TIL programs, 72 percent of young people reported accessing mental health services. Before turning to the legislation on today's hearing agenda,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to share exciting news. Last week, first lady Chirlane McCray announced the New York City Unity Project, the City's first ever multiagency strategy to deliver services to address the unique challenges and unmet needs of LGBTQ youth. A high proportion of the overall runaway homeless youth population identifies as LGBTO. We are thrilled that DYCD is one of 16 agencies involved Unity Project. As part of the announcement, the City invested in new funding to enhance services at all seven DYCD drop-in centers to serve an additional 2,400 young people annually. second drop-in center will open 24/7 in Queens modeled after the successful 24/7 drop-in center provided by the Ali Forney Center in Harlem. now offer comments about the proposed legislation on today's agenda. We welcome the opportunity to meet with Council sponsors after today's meeting and to discuss the bills in greater detail. Intro. 1619 would require an annual report on the number of youth who are turned away from DYCD shelter beds. As I've mentioned earlier in my testimony, we are fortunate to have available beds on any given night for young people who need them. If one site does not have the availability, providers refer young people to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

programs that do have availability. No one is turned away from shelter. Regarding the next four bills, I want to first recommend -- comment on the new on the new State Law that was enacted in June and will take effect January 1st, 2018. These State Law Amendments to the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act authorize municipalities of youth bureaus to provide expanded services to the 21 to 24-year-old population. Unfortunately, the State did not provide any funding for program expansion and so we have significant concerns about the feasibility of implementing aspects of these revised laws, as they would be extremely financially onerous. Department of Homeless Services recently estimated that there were 19,000 21 to 24-year-olds in adult shelters, including 700 single adults and 1,200 single females with children. DYCD collaborates closely with HRA and the Department of Homeless Services to support youth ages 21 to 24 in several areas. Examples include: DYCD and DHS are piloting a new process to streamline shelter access for young people who are aging out or timing out of a DYCD-funded shelter. When a young person nears the point of aging out or timing out, a DYCD shelter bed provider will obtain the youth's consent to provide

1 certain information to DHS in advance to exiting. 2 3 This will allow DHS to obtain certain need intake and assessment-related information in order to identify a 4 program shelter bed. Young adults will be able to bypass intake and assessment in the single adult 6 7 system and in the families with children and adult 8 family system so they can be placed directly in shelter with presumptive shelter eligibility. DYCD is training intake staff at all sites on expanded RHY 10 11 resources to inform young people ages 18 to 20 who are seeking shelter about DYCD's funded RHY services. 12 13 As part of the City's 15/15 Supportive Housing 14 Initiative, the City has designated approximately 15 1,500 units as youth-specific supportive housing for ages 18 to 25. The City released an RFP on February 16 17 24th to concrete [sic] supportive housing units. 18 Runaway and homeless youth will be eligible for these 19 units. We're working with HRA to help eligible youth 20 apply for and access LINC housing subsidies. This is part of the broader effort to streamline the City's 21 2.2 various rental assistance programs and we will 2.3 continue to update the committee as details are finalized. DHS is also improving services for young 24

adults, including opening Marsha's House in Council

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Member Ritchie Torres' district in the Bronx, the first-ever shelter for LGBTQ young adults in the adult system. DYCD has been able to facilitate successful referrals for youth coming from the RHY programs. I want to emphasize that we support the intent of the following bills. It would be extremely challenging -- while we support -- I want to emphasize. While we support the intent of the following bills, it would be extremely challenging for the Administration to implement these measures without adequate funding. I encourage legislators and advocates in this room to use their voices to advocate to the state to take the steps necessary to sufficiently fund such an expansion. We have another fundamental concern about the Council's proposals. Under State Law, DYCD and other youth bureaus throughout the state have been designated the authority to create a comprehensive plan for providing services including residential services for runaway and homeless youth. This discretion from the state is limited, in that we must attain state approval for our plan and shelter providers must comply with OCFS regulations. The state law gives DYCD more flexibility than those bills would allow.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

These four bills are inconsistent with the state legislative and regulatory framework than entrust responsibility for these programs with localities' youth bureaus. Intro 1699 would increase the maximum length of stay to 120 days in crisis shelters and 24 months in TILs. DYCD supports the increase and length of stay. In fact, I've advocated with this change to the OCFS Commission since 2014. increase in length of stay was included in the new state law. While we agree with the substance, we have concerns that the bill would inhibit DYCD's administrative authority as the youth bureau for New York City and our discretion to determine what to include in the City's annual plan submitted to OCFS. It is essential that we retain flexibility to adjust program design to be responsive to changing and evolving needs. Intro. 1700 would require a new annual report on runaway and homeless youth and would mandate DYCD's providers to offer shelter services to all runaway and homeless youth who request it using the RHY definition of up to the age 24 as authorized in the new state law. There is significant more young adults age 21 to 24 than there are youth under age 21 who are homeless in New York City.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unintended consequence of this bill could be to potentially displace younger, more vulnerable youth and slow down the progress we've made in meeting their needs. While we are also very concerned about the information that Intro. 1700 seeks to include into annual runaway and homeless youth report, as it would be very difficult and in some instance inappropriate to collect some of this information. For example, some young people may not wish to discuss sensitive personal matters such as physical disability or religion. In other instances it is duplicate of the current laws and such efforts such as the demographics data required by Local Laws 126, 127 and 128, or information about sexual exploitation required in the Safe Harbor Report. Finally, DYCD would not be able to report nonprofit resources available to serve runaway and homeless youth. Intro. 1705 proposes a significant policy and service change with DHS and DYCD. It would require DYCD to conduct intakes and assessment process for all young adults seeking access to DHS shelters, thereby transferring the responsibility from DHS to DYCD. Reflected of the state law, the changes would include young adults ages 18 to 21-- ages 21 to 23 who are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

not in DYCD services. DYCD is currently working with DHS to create and test a referral process for youth ages 18 to 20 in DYCD-funded programs, and we believe that legislating this procedure is premature. Further, we are concerned that the Council legislation would mandate approaches beyond DYCD's current capacity. Intro. 1706 would require all RHY services to be provided to youth ages 21 to 24 in the same manner as services from ages up to 21. Young adults have different needs than youth ages 16 to 20. This bill would not allow for different program models for young adults and may be more appropriate than what's been developed for the 16 to 20 year olds. Discussions are ongoing within the Administration as to the best approaches and program models for shelter beds and services for young adults ages 20 to 24 in the roles of DYCD and DHS. 1706 would negate these discussions and mandate an approach before the Administration has fully evaluated and decided on the right strategy for serving young adults. Moreover, this bill is reflective of the new state law which although substantially praiseworthy remains unfunded mandate.

Moving forward, Mayor de Blasio and DYCD remain

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 32
2	committed to meeting the needs of runaway and
3	homeless youth. We've made incredible progress and
4	we're focused on continuing the expansion of
5	residential programs that triple the number of
6	available beds for youth ages 16 to 20 by 2019. We
7	greatly appreciate the City Council's support and
8	interest in ensuring that runaway and homeless youth
9	have quality services that meet their needs. We look
10	forward to continuing to work together to improve the
11	lives of these young people. Thank you again for the
12	chance to testify today, and we welcome your
13	questions.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much, Commissioner Chong. How many young people, youth, homeless youth that you are serving currently?

17 COMMISSIONER CHONG: How many young

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] I mean, homeless youth you are serving currently.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Oh. You want to--DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We have 525 beds open. Some--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] Can you state your name for the record, please?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 33 1 2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: 3 Haskell, Deputy Commissioner of Youth Services. CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: How many you said? 4 5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We have 525 open beds. So, at any given time we have up to 525 6 young people in those beds. Our utilization tends to 7 8 hover around 90 percent. So, we typically have about 50 beds available. So, something, you know, approximately 475 young people each night in our 10 crisis in total beds. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, you have serving 13 approximately 400-- 469? 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Seventy-15 five, approximately, roughly. 16 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Every night? 17 COMMISSIONER CHONG: It's an average of--18 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] Yeah. 19 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Because we have 525 20 beds available, and so not all programs are fully 21 occupied. So, the average system wide is 90 percent 2.2 utilization rate. So, 90 percent of 525 is 475, or--2.3 yeah, 475.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, we can say approximately 475 youth.

24

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE:

Yes.

3.5 1 RANDY SCOTT: Alright, great. Again, my 2 3 name is Randy Scott. I'm the Unit Head of the 4 Vulnerable and Special Needs Youth. And in Fiscal Year 17 we served about 2,340 youth in our crisis 5 shelters and 659 in our Transitional Independent 6 7 Living facilities. CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, altogether? 8 9 RANDY SCOTT: Altogether that was 2,999. CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Two thousand nine 10 hundred? 11 12 RANDY SCOTT: Ninety-nine. 13 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Ninety-nine. So 14 what was the number for last year, 16? 15 RANDY SCOTT: For Fiscal Year 16 we served 2,539 in our crisis, 519 in our TILs for a 16 17 total of 3,058. 18 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: If we want to go 19 back one more year, 15, what was the number, 15? 20 RANDY SCOTT: In Fiscal Year 15 we served 21 2,193 in our crisis, 361 in our TILs for a total of 2,554. 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: That mean the number

keep increasing, right? You have more homeless youth 24 25 than before.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, no, I think it reflects the growth of the system, because you have to understand in 2015 is when we released funding to add 100 beds. So, those beds didn't come on line until 2016, and so since the last five years since 2016, 100 beds have been added. The money was available in FY 15. So, what this says I think is that as we make services more available, the community groups do more outreach and are able to fill the beds. So, it's growing capacity. I think the need-- the number is the number that I'm getting-- everyone agrees, I think, the Chairman mentioned that it's a difficult number to actually come to a conclusion of what is the number of homeless youth, because many of the young people couch surf, meaning that they stay with friends, non-relatives. So, I think by making these services more available and then growing them, we're able to get young people from staying with their friends to staying a shelter.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, what is your estimation? Do you believe that there are more young people, homeless youth, that have been served today than one or two years before?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Without a doubt, 3 because I think we're doing better outreach. We have 4 more beds available. I referenced earlier in my 5 testimony I spoke to Lew Fidler that one of the things that happened routinely when I was Deputy 6 7 Commissioner of Youth Services under the previous 8 Administration is that half the residential programs that DYCD had relied on one-year funding by the So, every June, and this is one of the 10 Council. 11 things that made no sense, every June many of these 12 programs that had one-year funding had to empty the 13 beds and refer these young people to the homeless service -- Department of Homeless Services or other 14 15 places because they weren't sure they would have 16 funding continued past July 1st. So, we've gone 17 beyond that situation. Young people are staying 18 longer. We have more beds, and you know, we 19 recognize that, you know, we need to have more beds 20 even further, because as we grow the utilization rate 21 has stayed about 90 percent. It's been pretty 2.2 consistent. As opposed to, let's say in the 2.3 Bloomberg Administration, many beds were at 100 percent. I mean, that was -- that was challenging, 24

because when you're at 100 percent you have nowhere

to go. So, we had growth now. We have capacity. We feel very confident that any young person shows up at any given night about 10 percent of the beds are open, and we can find a bed for them.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Before I ask you my next question, I just want to mention that we have been joined before by Council Member Greenfield. I think he had to leave, and Council Member Margaret Chin is with us also. Could you give us the percentage of homeless youth who didn't have the opportunity to have a bed or to receive service from the shelters?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: I'm sorry--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] Based on the number, the general number, of young, of youth, of homeless youth you believe that exist in New York City.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, let me--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] So,

what is the percentage--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] start

23 and then--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: that don't have the opportunity to receive services?

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Let me start, and 3 then--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] Or do you believe that we serve 100 percent of the homeless?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: There is no universally agreed to number. I think everyone kind of agrees that there is no universal number. can tell you what we have been doing over the last few years, and then Susan and Randy can talk about it. So, we do an annual youth count, which is a fourday youth count for the last four years, and we work with our network of providers, and it's over four nights tied to the Department of Homeless Services Hope Count, and we reach out to young people at places not only where we have services, but at libraries, wherever we think young people who may be homeless are congregating. And so Susan and Randy can talk about what that analysis has shown. other bit of information we have, that again this is on the ground real numbers, is that usually each year our street outreach programs which are designed specifically to reach out to young people who might be homeless on the street, and they operate late at

night from 10 to four in the morning to speak to
young people who are just hanging out to make sure
that they have a place to stay. On average, the-- is
it three programs? Yeah, three programs average
about 100 transports, meaning young people who are on

7 the street at night and to a shelter for services.

8 But you want to talk a little bit--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL:

[interposing] Per year.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Per year. Per year, okay. So you want to talk about the youth count?

think we're approaching the answer to your question in terms of how many young people, percentage of young people get turned away from a few different angles. We do ask our providers, and we work with some other community centers and libraries, for example. On the night of the HUD Hope Count where you know the City goes around streets in the middle of the night on one day to count the number of street homeless people, DYCD initiates youth count which doesn't look for young people on the streets at night in that way because we've learned from our providers and through our experience that you really don't tend

5

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to see a lot of young people just sleeping on the street. In that way, they're very resilient.

They're resourceful. They'll find places to go.

we ask our providers to use the following four days,

6 Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday to find

7 | young people who were homeless on that Monday night

8 through surveys, through phone calls, through

9 incentives to come to the drop-in centers like metro

10 cards, etcetera, and we use that four days to try to

11 assess how many young people were in need of shelter.

12 And the number of unsheltered youth, like truly

13 unsheltered youth has been very small for the past

14 couple years, around 44 unsheltered youth age 21 or

15 under as part of the youth count. Many more are

16 unstably housed. We recognize that. We work with

17 | those young people throughout drop-in centers.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, that means you don't have a clear idea of the number of the percentage of young people who have been served or those who have not been served. There's no clear count, you know. There's no exactly number.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: If I could add one more thing to that. We-- DYCD has never asked our provider to report to us young people that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they're not serving. They report to us young people that they have served. But we have -- we do work closely with our providers, and at times they have told us the number of people that they haven't been able to serve, that what their -- the number of people that they've turned away or that they have, you know, on their own waiting list, whether or not a bed was available at another provider. We have seen some of the providers that has the longest, the biggest numbers of young people who couldn't be served for say we really don't have to turn a young person away anymore. Providers like Covenant House, one of our largest providers, or Ali Forney are telling us we really don't have to turn-- we can find a bed for any young person right now. And that is also proven in our vacancy rates. We don't only have approximately 50 roughly speaking beds available each night, but we look at those beds, does that include beds for males, beds for females, beds for socialized in LGBT, too. We kind of look to make sure we would have everything covered. And it's too early to say we're done. way too early. We have more expansion to do, but evidence right now shows that, you know, if you're telling me you have a young person who can't get a

2.2

bed, you need to call us and let us help you access
available resources.

I do appreciate, you know, your effort and your services that you provide to the young people, but I think that we have to know how many young people that we serve and how many also that are seen out there and who don't receive services. You have to be able to qualify or evaluate that. How many young people we have on the street and they don't get access to a shelter, that don't get access to those beds, how many are they? We have to know that in order for us to improve the services—

think the youth count is a good example. I mean, we're working with the people who are serving young people ho ae homeless, and based on their efforts the last two years it's been 43 young people who are truly unsheltered. That doesn't mean—that doesn't necessarily count the people how might be staying with friends, and that's the hard number to try to get to. That's a universe of people that's probably bigger than we really know, but truly unsheltered, meaning they really had nowhere to stay on that

2.2

2.3

Monday night of the count was 43. So, if that was—and that's a pretty accurate number, because it's the number for the last two years. So, the larger universe, which is the one that's the unknown is those that are couch surfing, and that's something that, you know, we continue to work to get word out about our services. That's part of the reason why we advocated for additional funding to have a 24-hour drop—in center added to Queens because we know that the lives of young people are not nine to five, that they may be working, that—— we want to make it easier for them to access services. Because those that are couch surfing are the ones that probably the hardest to find.

RANDY SCOTT: Thank you. I just want to add from the Department of Social Services HOME-STAT program, the investment that we've made in HOME-STAT has allowed us to do so much more outreach on the streets, and what we're seeing on the street from our HOME-STAT teams is very similar to what my colleagues have been talking about with the point-in-time counts. We are not-- we are seeing a very small number of youth under the age of 21 on the streets, and 21 to-- ages 21 to 30 in the last HOME-STAT

2 count, about 3,500 individual -- unsheltered

3 individuals on the street, for the ages of 21 to 30

4 | there were about 250 of that 3,500 counted in that

5 age cohort and a much smaller percentage, around 40,

6 | that were under 21.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: So, what happen to the young people— Let's say for example, the young people who come to DYCD shelter and they're turned away, what happens to them? Are they referred to other services, and do you have any follow-up to find out what happened to them?

commissioner chong: No one is turned away now, because we've grown the system so dramatically. That was the case three years ago where I would say that because there weren't enough beds we had 100 percent utilization that if a young person showed up and you had 100 percent utilization, they would be refer-- and if they were 18 and older, they would be referred to the Department of Homeless Services. The numbers are probably non-existent referral to DHS shelters now, because we're growing the system to the point where those who present themselves for services, we can find a bed for them. It may not be the program they want, but there's a bed for them.

But we continue to expand the system because we have recognized that the needs of young people, whether they're homeless or not, are diverse. So we want to have specialization. That's why I'm proud of the fact -- you know, I was discussing with Lew Fidler how the whole conversation about expanding services for homeless gay youth really started when he and former Speaker Quin allocated 1.2 million discretionary money for Ali Forney, Green Chimneys and Celia's Place [sic], and that was the first-- and that was 12 years ago. Well, today we have such specialized services for gay youth. We've opened our third resident for transgender youth. So, I think we're trying to grow the system, but also continue the specialization because we know the needs of young people are very diverse.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: It seemed that the new rules from the State, the new state regulation creates some challenges [inaudible] shared our resources, strategies. What is your plan to address this situation? What are you going to do work together with the other provider, DHS, to address this issue? It seemed that, you know, there are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

the state, you know, requirements.

my testimony, and I'll repeat it, is that we think that— we encourage those who want to expand services for older youth to ask the state to invest in this.

I asked my staff to do a quick analysis of what percentage the state funding is in our programs, and it's an extraordinary. In Fiscal 2013, which is the last full year of the Bloomberg Administration, a full calendar year, the state investment in our runaway homeless— the baseline programs, you know, baseline funding, was 17 percent. Today, it's six percent. That's very unfortunate. I mean, I think the state which regulates these services has, I think, an obligation to support them.

appreciate that, that you ask the other providers to ask the state to invest more funding. This is an "ask" you are going to ask. You don't know what the result is going to be, but do you have another plan, another alternative in case it doesn't work? What was--

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Well, 2 you know, as I mentioned in the testimony, that one 3 4 of the things, and I guess this speaks to being around as long as I've been around, and I was 5 speaking to the Council Member that I've served four 6 7 mayors, and I have to say that this Administration is 8 probably the most collaborative any Administration I've worked for, and Project Unity was a great example of it where 16 different agencies, including 10 11 DYCD, were working together to figure out how to pull 12 together all the different resources in different 13 agencies. So, the fact that for the first time that 14 there is money for supportive housing for young 15 people between the ages of 18 and 25 is a big deal. It is historic, and I don't use that word lightly, 16 17 because for young people who have aged out of runaway 18 homeless youth program at 21, they can now access 19 supportive housing. The first of the 1,500 units is 20 coming online in February with Project Jericho. 21 I think our response is let's work together, the City 2.2 as a team, to look at the different resources, 2.3 because every young person who ages out at 21 is at a different place. Some might need supportive housing. 24 Some might need to continue services through 25

2.2

2.3

waiting for them.

something like Marsha's Place which is a great
facility which we refer young people to. Some young
people might be able to live on their own if they can
get assistance, a rental assistance voucher. So, I
think we have a multipronged strategy. We're not—
we're hoping the state comes through, but we're not

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you. We have been joined by Council Member Darlene Mealy. How much money you estimate that is necessary to address his financial burden created by the new requirement from the state?

number because the state hasn't given all the information out. We're waiting. They keep on saying they're going to be issuing regulations, because the regulations will tell us how much square footage a young person is entitled to. Under the current regulations, anyone under 21, they have to have 30 square feet. What the staff qualifications are, whether there will be a cap on the number of people served at a particular facility. Right now, only 20 beds can be at any given facility. All that will drive the cost because it'll determine how big of

2.2

2.3

space you need to get. How big of space you need to get will determine the cost because the two big drivers of costs in residential programs are space costs and staff costs. So, as soon as we have more information from the state as to what the regulations guiding older youth between 21-- we can make a more informed decision about the cost. The cost currently, residential services for young people under 21 is 47,000 dollars per bed.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: When after receiving all the detail or information that you need from the state and you figure out what the cost will be, let's assume that the state decided to give you a partial contribution, not the full amount, something, and then what is your plan? What will be the next step to make sure you got enough funding, enough resources to address the crisis?

make those decisions because one, we need more information to stay, and two, as you all know, and this has come up in the budget hearings earlier this year, that there's still great deal of uncertainty about the federal budget, even though the federal budget starts this Sunday they postpone decisions

about the recommended cuts to federal funding. So, the budget cycle, as you know, starts in the early part of next year. So, I think it makes sense to make informed decision when we have information, whether it's what the state regs are, whether the state will submit additional money, what impact the federal budget will have. So, I can say at this point what our plan is because there are so many

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much,

things we don't know at this point.

Chair, Council Member Levin, please.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Chair Eugene. Commissioner, I want to ask, so the
Administration announced on January 8th, 2016 the
expansion to 300, you know,-- there are 300 new beds,
up to 753. You laid out how that's progressing in
your testimony, and we have some information from
Coalition of Runaway Homeless Youth that is a little
bit different but somewhat in line. That commitment,
753, is that 753 beds on line at the beginning of
FY19 or at the end of FY19?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: It's the funding--

2.2

2.3

2 that's something we borrowed from our partners at 3 DHS.

4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Because-- and so, we're on pace. In fact, the 525th bed came on line last week.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Another 132 in the pipeline. So, I think we're making good progress.

The challenge we face because we're governed by the State Office of Children and Family Service regulations, there is a certain protocol we have to follow which requires multiple site visits by the Buildings Department, the Fire Department, the state, and you want to talk a little bit about sort of what typically— how long it takes?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think you're-sorry. I think you're explaining your answer before
giving your answer. So, there will-- I want to ask
this. Will there be 753 beds on line in FY 19?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: At some point.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In FY 19 by June 30^{th} of 2019 there will be 752 beds on line?

2.2

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: We're on pace to do 3 that, because--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] You're on pace to do that?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because you've added in a year and a half, you have 100 and— how many new beds do you have on line? You have 70. You have 72 new beds on line from the date of January 8th. Okay, this is the question here, how about this? January 8th, 2016, point in time, how many beds did you have on line?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I'm going to ask Randy to look at that. I don't know that specific date, but I want to tell you why we're optimistic about FY 19. In each of the other years where 100 beds came on line, the funding came in place very close to the year it was to put in. We needed this time, the lag time that Bill was talking about, to get them up. Now, we have the funding. We know about funding for FY 19. We have a mechanism out right now for providers to apply for all of those and start that six to eight month process. So we're

2.2

RANDY SCOTT: Right.

24

it.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: when you-- it's hard to go from zero to 60 when you have two months' notice, but it's easier once you get the momentum going to build out over time, which is why I think when we designed the expansion we knew that it's better to do it over a multi-year process because it does-- it is a challenge to bring on beds, and--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Okay, but that wasn't quite clear in the way that it was rolled out, because the way it was rolled out was 300 beds over three years, 100 new beds each year, and here we are 18 months later— whatever, what is this, a year— it's almost 20 months later and there are 70 or so new beds on line.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: If I could interject? That number is changing weekly, monthly, really quick. We--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Sure.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: also noted we have 52 beds contracted in the process of OCFS certification like pending opening. So, if you talk to us next month, some of those 52--

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] If you could help us expedite things with the state, we'd appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'll get to the state in a second.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Because usually the big bottleneck is the state certification, and so often times what happens is the programs have to hire staff, they have to pre-clear, and they're waiting for a final sign-off by the state. So, the program, for all intents and purposes, is open, but they can't serve any young people.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But there's also, you mentioned, beds that are funded, but not contracted.

That shouldn't be-- there shouldn't be any reason for state lag between funded and contracted, right?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: It's--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] You have the discretion to contract with whoever--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] That's why it's open-ended RFP because the biggest challenge, and I'm sure providers will tell you this, is finding a location, because there are very onerous state regulations about how much square footage every

2

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

young person is entitled to. These facilities with the exception of Covenant House, which is more the 3 4 exception than the rule, many of these programs have 20 or less beds, so they're conversions of two and three-family houses. Not the easiest thing to find. 6 Then they have to make sure the square footage is 7 8 there. They have to make sure the egress issues are addressed. So, part of it is driven by the safety regulations that the state has imposed to make sure 10 11 that they're done in the right way. So, I think the 12 biggest challenge is not money. It's finding 13 locations that meet those regulations. So, someone 14 doesn't propose until they know they have a space. 15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. You are con--I mean, this is -- the issue that I have --16 17 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I just want to make sure that we are meeting the commitments that we made.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I didn't-- nobody forced the Administration to commit to 300 beds in three years.

> COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I just want to make sure that we are actually—it doesn't, because you know, to my eyes it doesn't quite seem like we're on track because as I explained, you know, we're at 60 or 70 at 20 months. So you're saying that there's lead-out [sic] time, but what you've just pointed to is a problem that has to do with real estate and regulations and so on and so forth. Are we—do you commit that we're still on track?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, I'll hopefully still be around at the end of-- on June $30^{\rm th}$, 2019. So, I should expect on that day when I call you up and say are we at 753, you'll say yes, there's 753 funded and on line, contract.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. The concept paper that just came out a couple of weeks ago, 10 days ago, mentions that it's funding 487 crisis and TIL beds, right? So, obviously that doesn't match up. Why? Is it this is for a different contract? This is leading out some contract, so that was right?

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Yeah, the most-- the contracts that were in place before this Administration were mostly based on an RFP from 2008.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: And when we started expanding, very often if we don't have time to get an RFP out, because we're trying to bring the beds on line as quickly as possible, if we have providers who have the ability to get them up, we'll amend one of those contracts from 2008. So, this concept paper is just meant to refresh RHY contracted services from that old RFP of 2008 which is most of our residential programs, all of the drop-in centers, and the street outreach providers. So, the newer contracts that came off of recent expansion RFPs, they don't have to reapply in this concept and in this RFP.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Got it. Got it.

What I've heard from advocacy organizations is that
the new beds that have come on line have been skewing
towards TIL and not towards crisis, and that there's
a great need for crisis beds. You know, if anything,
if it's a little bit more than 50/50 should be
towards crisis, do you have a framework for how many

2 of the 300 beds will be TIL and how many will be

3 crisis, and what's your methodology for deciding how

4 to allocate those resources?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Yeah.

6 That's a good question. We-- I think there is a

7 perception that we've been skewing high. We have

8 been recently, but initially the first 100 beds were

9 all crisis that the Mayor-- so that was all crisis,

10 the--

18

19

24

1

11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But that
12 was as a result of a-- that was in response to

13 | litigation, right?

14 COMMISSIONER CHONG: No.

15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: This is in law-- this

16 | wasn't-- the first-- which hundred beds--

17 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] This

was, I think, in Fiscal 15, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Yeah.

20 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah. There was 100

21 \parallel beds. We brought them up pretty quickly. In fact, I

22 | think, you know, the decision was made I'm going to

23 \parallel say May of 2014, and so that money was available

immediately. We did an RFP, and most of those were

25 crisis shelter beds.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And those were not in 3 response to litigation.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: No, there was a clear need. I think the Administration was commitment from the very beginning to expand services in this area. It'd been neglected for many years in the previous Administration, and so I think there was an attempt to try to begin to expand services her. So, the first hundred beds was really-- there was no running start. I mean, literally I remember the conversation. It was in May of 2014 with Deputy Mayor Lilliam Barrios-Paoli at the time. And so we ramped up very quickly. Since then, the new commitment of 300 beds in January of last year allows us give more runway to do more diverse programming. And there have been more TILs, because I think-- and part of it, I think the challenge is that, you know, the length of stay issue has, you know, impacted how long people can stay, so we're still waiting to see what impact that will have going forward when a young person can stay 120 days in two years. We may have to, you know, re-think utilization where that is, because if a young person stays longer, that means that bed is occupied longer. So, all those things

2 are part of the, I think, the ongoing analysis we 3 have to do. We obviously want a balanced approach, but then ultimately the providers will tell us what 4 5 their needs are, and we do see some needs in crisis shelter.

RANDY SCOTT: And I just wanted to state that we do have crisis beds in the pipeline ready to come on line. So, about 58.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Fifty-eight. okay, I'm going to ask a kind of straightforward question here. How many young people on a day like today where it's kind of warm out-- right, we're in late September. How many young people are homeless, including those that are unstably housed? So, that would be people that would qualify if they were to present themselves for a crisis shelter, a DYCD crisis shelter?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: There's no concrete answer to that, but we do have some data.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What do we think? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We, I mean, we have the youth count, which is in the 40's. have the HOME-STAT--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

25

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: which is the 40s.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I'm going to just speak-- okay. So, I'll just interrupt you there.

Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Let me say a few more data points. Commissioner mentioned street outreach transporting just over 100 young people over the course of the entire year. This is an experienced provider that knows where young people are who need help. Drop-in centers, about 150 referrals over the course of the year, and I think that our progress has exceeded communication so we continue to tell anyone who will listen, including Council and all the advocates here, that there are beds available. There is no reason for a young person not to seek help in an RHY shelter bed. So we want-- we want that word to get out there. more people to-- we don't want empty beds. We want young people to come access service.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and that would include youth that are in shelter, drop-in center, transitional living, in churches, couch surfing due to a lack of stable housing, boyfriend or

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 67 1 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] And what-- right. So, what's-- so you think that number 3 4 is? 5 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Based on the actual outreach. This is the on-the-ground outreach done by 6 7 providers. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You think the number 9 is? COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, based-- for 10 11 the unsheltered, truly unsheltered meaning those who had nowhere to sleep that evening, couch-surfing, it 12 13 was 44 in the last youth counts. 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right, okay. 15 So, just the youth counts. So, I want everybody to 16 know this, right? So, youth count happens on Feb--17 it's a winter day. This is based on-- this is based 18 on the Hope Count. 19 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right. 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: This is a winter day. 21 So you're saying--COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Can I 2.2 2.3 finish? CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [cross-talk] There's a 24

25 youth count--

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 68
2	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Okay,
3	but can I finish?
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: for the unsheltered.
5	Sorry, does truly unsheltered youth count include
6	people sleeping on subways, because the Hope Count
7	doesn't?
8	COMMISSIONER CHONG: No, I think I
9	can't speak to the Hope count, but the other data
LO	point, which again, this comes from the people who
11	actually do the work.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I understand
L3	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] The
L 4	street outreach, which is year-round, and it's cold
15	weather
L 6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I just
L7	I'm looking out there. I'm seeing a lot of shaking
L8	heads.
L 9	COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, but the number
20	is
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] And you
22	guys say 44, so.
23	COMMISSIONER CHONG: one hundred. So, if
2.4	vou ask Safe Horizon, if vou ask anv of the people

who run the street outreach programs, that is 24--

2.2

that is 365 days a year during cold weather and hot
weather, the total number of transports is about 100.

So, again, the un-- the big unknown number, and I
said that earlier, is those that are couch surfing.

Those are the ones we're trying to reach, those who
might be in, you know, what we call unstable living
situations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Unstable, because that's--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Those are the ones I think they're the hardest to reach, but the most--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Sex for shelter.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: The most that are-CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Staying
overnight in a 24-hour McDonalds.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right. But as far as actually young people sleeping on the street--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] That's not what I asked.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: I know, but I'm just telling you, that's the number that we have a pretty good-

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 70
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right,
3	yes. So, I would say yes.
4	COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, can I say
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] There
6	might be 44 there might be 40. I just want
7	everybody to know. There might be 44 young people
8	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Right.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: sleeping on the street
LO	outside in the middle of February. Yes, maybe, okay
L1	That might make sense.
L2	COMMISSIONER CHONG: So
L3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] You'd
L4	have to be pretty, pretty serious dire straits
L5	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Council
L6	Member, can I finish my point?
L7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: sleeping outdoors
L8	when it's 20 degrees outside in the middle of
L9	February.
20	[applause]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, yeah, okay,
22	that's 44 people.
23	COMMISSIONER CHONG: So

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, makes sense.

1

3

4

J

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we have--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, the number we don't know, and I challenge anyone who can come up with that number is the number of young people who are couch surfing. That is a difficult number. What we try to do is more outreach. You know, we--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But there's other -- there's more than just couch surfing. Couch surfing is one criteria in the youth report of unstably housed. I just listed the other seven. there are people staying in a hotel/motel, sex for shelter, boyfriend and girlfriend's place due to lack of stable housing, transitional living, church, any of that stuff. So, that's-- so when I ask the number, that's the number that we're-- because that's-- I think that Council Member Eugene said, look, we have to understand what our universe is. Ιf we have one report that says 3,800 that was commissioned by the Council, 3,800, and then in this testimony we're kind of saying, oh, 44. Obviously 44, the difference between 44 and 3,800 is vast.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean, it's just--

_

O 1

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] I think we're going to have to--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] How do we plan to move forward with such wide disparity?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, I-- I think
the 3,800 was a report from 12 years ago, and I'm not
quite sure of its accuracy. So, I can only go based
on the people who delivered the service and what
they're telling us. There's more we can do, I agree.
There's a unit [sic] set [sic] people we need to
reach. We have to-- that's part of the rationale of
opening up a second drop-in center, because that's
how people access services. They can go there and
find these services. So, we're prepared to grow the
system. We're moving as quickly as we can, and I
think, you know, we're committed to expanding the
programs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] If you ask me what the number is, I cannot give you a number, because--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's important to know. I mean, but to say that there are 44, you know, going by this-- look, anyone that works

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that--

in the-- in homeless, you know, services knows that,
you know, when that Hope Count methodology of going
out on the coldest night of the year, that's-- we
passed a bill that requires that they do quarterly,
you know, count, because we need DHS, because we know

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: yes, that is supposed to track those, you know, the absolute bottom line most chronic homeless.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right? So, that's fine, but I don't think that that gives us an accurate picture, and so anytime you recite that number, I suggest that you use the caveat that that is people— I mean, you— I'm the one that mentioned that it was in the middle of February, not you guys. You guys should mention it in your public testimony that this is in the middle of February. This is—these are the hardcore people that really have nowhere else to go, not the—

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL:

[interposing] If I could just--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: unstably housed.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES /4
2	COMMISSIONER CHONG: Could I say?
3	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER CHONG: I'll defer to
5	Homeless Services, but I believe he just said that
6	their quarterly counts show about 40 some-odd young
7	people.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In the middle of
9	you say
10	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] [cross-
11	talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In the middle of the
13	summer there are only 40 there are the same number
14	of people that are in the middle of February in the
15	middle of summer sleeping on the street?
16	AARON GOODMAN: We're not seeing any
17	greater significant number than that.
18	CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: I'm sorry, can you
19	state your name for the recording, please?
20	AARON GOODMAN: Aaron Goodman. We're not
21	seeing any greater numbers, percentage of numbers in
22	either quarterly counts that we're doing through
23	HOME-STAT for under for 21 and under, and as I

said, from 21 to 30, which is the next age bracket of

2.2

2.3

2 how we've broken down that population, our last HOME-3 STAT count came across 250 in that age bracket.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but don't you think that--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] And this is--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: defies logic that there are the same number of people sleeping outside in the middle of February as in the middle of July?

really fully speak to the reasons why that it's happening, but we can suggest that these are individuals who have chosen to engage with our street outreach workers, and our outreach workers through HOME-STAT and throughout the year do Herculean efforts on the streets to try to engage everyone out there. To the extent that there are still the unstably housed population we are not encountering on the streets, which is quite possible. That is why we continue to encourage our advocate communities and encourage young people across the City is to access shelter programs, to access drop-in centers, and to interact with our street outreach programs so that we can get a better count of that number.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, okay. Does DYCD
3 track turn-aways to the HRY-- to crisis beds?
4 Because you said nobody gets turned away now. Do you

5 | track that?

2.2

2.3

begun working with our providers to ask them to send us documentation or just a referral note if they have had to turn a young person away due to a lack of capacity, and we haven't seen a night where there haven't been multiple available beds in many, many, many months, I would say possibly over a year without looking at it more closely. And so-- and those referral forms that we used to get that were, if I can remember, like maybe approaching 200 a couple of years ago is down to zero when you look at the eligible, current eligible RHY population.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, do you anticipate that when you bring the next up to 753 beds on line that you'll have a vacancy rate of 30 percent?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: No, because I think the big unknown here is what impact the length of stay will have, because if a young person is occupying a bed longer instead of 60 days in crisis shelter, 120 days, that bed is no longer available.

2.2

2.3

The same is also true for the TIL. If a young person is occupying a bed instead of 18 months, 24 months, that bed is no longer. So, it's too soon, as I said, I think to declare victory on anything here. I think we're committed to focusing our resources on the most vulnerable young people to those under 21.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, I will ask then about the expansion. So, DYCD's position is you support the expansion to 24 so long as there's funding for it.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We haven't made a decision on that. I think we agree on the need to provide services for 21 to 24. I think our strategy is rather than put all your eggs in one basket is to really mobilize all the resources of the City of New York, whether it's supportive housing, whether it's rental assistance vouchers, whether it's any number of arrays, whether it's Marsha's Place expanding, Marsha's Place. So our goal is to expand services for 21 and 24-year-olds. That does not mean we made a decision yet to--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] What percentage of people go out of youth-- or go out of DYCD shelter into permanent housing?

2.2

2.3

mean by permanent housing? It's-- I mean, it's hard for a 21-year-old to be ready for independent living if that's what you mean. That's the importance of having the connection with our partners on housing subsidies, on supportive housing, on other housing options.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, okay. So, in terms of subsidies, we can talk about this for a second, Commissioner Tietz testified at our supportive housing hearing in the spring that by December youth coming out of DYCD system will have vouchers in-hand, by December of this year. Is that on track?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We still are working toward that target. We're finalizing--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Ticktock, that's--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] We certainly understand. The initial LINC programs when they were rolled out in September, in the fall of 2015 to the spring of 2016 were done as emergency rules in order to fill an important needed vacuum that was left from the prior Administration's

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

termination of Advantage. We are still learning 2 3 where the gaps were in that rule-making process and 4 how we can improve rental assistance vouchers across the system, not only for runaway and homeless youth 5 in DYCD programs, but also for the rest of the 6 7 populations in DHS shelter and those who may be in need of shelter, those at risk of homelessness and 8 those programs are being reviewed. We are working on those rules and are hoping to roll them out as soon 10 11 as possible. Our goal is, of course, by the end of the year as stated by Deputy Commissioner Tietz. 12

Step back about the turn-aways. So, The Door-- are you familiar with this? [inaudible] That they tracked their turn-aways from June to August of 2017. So, that's this summer, 45 percent of RHY coming to the door ages 18 to 21 were unable to get emergency shelter on the night that they requested it. That's based on an average of 20 to 30 RHY a month.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I know.

We've been in conversation with Sarah at The Door,

and I know she met with Randy and Tim. I don't know

if I have the details on how that worked out, but

we've done an analysis of the dates when she's

2.2

2.3

reporting that young people needed to be turned away, and we had empty beds. So, we've got to dig into that further and say, "Why aren't these young people getting into available beds?" One thing Sarah has noted is that sometimes it's not the appropriate crisis bed, but a TIL bed is available, and can we make that referral directly to TIL, bypassing crisis more quickly, because sometimes it takes a couple of days instead of immediate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because there's different threat-- there's different qualifications, right? There's different-- can you get it? You can get a TIL bed like walking in? That night you can get a TIL bed?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We're working on the process with more limited resource.

It was always like go to crisis and then go to TIL.

We're saying, "Hey, wherever there's available bed, we want to get you in." So, we got to-- this is the-

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Okay, so then before when I asked if anyone was turned away, you said, "Well nobody's turned away because every night there's capacity." Right? But here's a

2.2

2.3

just one provider.

provider, a well-regarded provider that was good enough to share their information, you know, even if it's not really to their benefit to do so, and they said that 45 percent were actually turned away. So, even if there is capacity in the system, it might not fit. There were still people turned away. That's

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: That is the only one provider that I've heard this from, and we're trying to figure out why that's not--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Well, have we asked all the other providers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We asked every--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] And no other provider turned anybody else away? They turned 45 percent of their-- the youth that walked in their door--

RANDY SCOTT: [interposing] Well, I have-CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: away?

RANDY SCOTT: I have statistics here, and you spoke about The Door, and in Fiscal Year 17 they submitted 58 referral forms of where they referred people and based on that information when my staff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

checked into why these youth were not referred to a particular program, the staff just didn't take the steps to so. We've put in place so many different steps for youth to get beds. One, we've allowed for our drop-in centers to now refer directly to our TIL facilities. Two, we put in a policy for youth who are 16 and 17 to be directly placed in a facility. Three, if they are need of a bed and they're having difficulty, they can call me, and I make sure that that youth is placed in a bed. So, these are steps that we've put in place for all of our programs in terms of making sure that no youth is without a bed on any given night. Now, whether the programs take advantage of these particular steps that we've put in place, that's something that we have to work with them on to make sure that they do it better, but the thing is that we put in place systems so that any youth at any given time can be placed in a bed, and that's giving my number out, which they can call me, and some providers have called me.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm sorry, what's the number?

RANDY SCOTT: I'll give it to you. I've not a problem.

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: She got it, see.

UNIDENTIFIED: Oh, she's going to write it down, even better.

RANDY SCOTT: The number is—everybody's ready? 1-646-457-2705, and this phone works even when I'm on vacation where it can be accessible so that I can communicate with my staff to make sure that they are doing their jobs and assisting all of our providers get beds for any youth.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I-- so, going back to the issue of expanding the 18 to 24 here. The policy issue of expanding 18 to 24. So,--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing]
Twenty-one to 24.

Sorry. If right now if the state share is six percent, right, isn't it a little unrealistic to say—and maybe this isn't what you're saying, but that if the state were to pay for it, then we would do the expansion? Because currently we're operating and we're expanding to 753 beds based on a model where we pay for here in the city 94 percent, we took that on. So, even though their funding has decreased over the years from 17 to six percent, we're still willing to

2.2

expand the system as a whole, triple the size of the system, paying for 94 percent of it. So, when we're looking at expanding to 24 years old, you know, realistically we have to— if we're going to do it, we have to be prepared to pay 94 percent, because we were prepared to pay 94 percent to expand the system as a whole. So, in other words, it's un— it's kind of a little bit of a red herring, I think, to say we'll do it if the state pays for it. We know the state's not going to pay for it. We're pretty clear the state ain't paying for it. They pay six percent. So, it's on us, but if we want to do it, we can do it.

missed the point that I've said before. The City's already expanding services for young people between the ages of 21 to 24. The supportive housing request for proposal, 1,500 beds. That is twice the capacity we have at our growth. No, I'm just saying.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I don't want to get into it. There was an article today in the New York Post, and I'm not like loving the New York Post, but they're behind schedule, at least that's what that article.

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, I can tell you is that the first supportive housing program for 3 4 young people who have aged out of homeless services is coming out in February, and so you know, the rental vouchers, that's part of it. Marsha's House, 6 7 they were able to put up 81 beds, 81 beds in one 8 program when it takes us a year or more as you've said to get to 100 beds. So, I don't think the City is saying we're not providing services for 21 to 24. 10 11 The issue is do we exercise the flexibility that the 12 state law grants. We haven't made that decision yet. 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Outside of those three programs, how many young people annually are 14 15 discharged to a DHS facility, excluding the three 16 programs that we've talked about because they're 17 specifically for young people, but into the general 18 single adult DHS population, how many young people 19 are discharged from DYCD into general population DHS 20 single adult facilities? 21 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah, we have to get 2.2 back to you. I mean, 21, those who are-- turn 21. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yep.

have to look into that and get back to you.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Alright, okay. We'd

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 86 1 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How many young people 3 over the -- younger than 21 or 21 to 20-- sorry, 18 to 24, how many young people are in the DHS general 4 population system? 5 AARON GOODMAN: Sure, we-- I can give you 6 7 a snapshot of--8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Sure, I'll take it. 9 AARON GOODMAN: the recent snapshot that 10 11 in the single adult system there are approximately 70 individuals aged 18 to 20 and 700 individuals aged 21 12 to 24. We also have in our families with children 13 system approximately 1,250 single adult-- oh, I'm 14 sorry. Head-- women, female heads of household with 15 16 probably young child in the families with children 17 system. 18 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Twenty-one and 19 older, right? 20 AARON GOODMAN: I'm sorry, yes, from 21 to 24. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because DYCD has 23 programs for younger than age 21.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right. And, you know, the number --

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] For single-- for single head of household with child.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yes. And just to give you again some perspective, the 70 that are in the DHS system that are under 21 was much larger a few years ago. It was in the several hundreds when-before we started the expansion. So we've been working diligently to make sure every young person who is 18 to 20 who shows up at DHS immediately gets sent to us, because we want to make sure they get the specialized services for those under 21.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because I will say this,--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: nobody under the age of 24 should have to go through 30th Street and go to Ward's Island and go through the single adult system, because that, it is— it can be soul crushing for a young person to have to go through that experience.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We've-- thank you, and we recognize that, and as you mentioned earlier today and has been brought to this Council's attention through the 90-day reforms and through updates provided by Commissioner Banks, Deputy

2.2

2.3

are--

Commissioner Tietz in prior testimonies before the Council, DHS and DYCD are partnering to release a pilot to streamline access from DYCD shelter to DHS shelter for youth who are aging out or timing out of that system. It will bypass and take an assessment for single adults and grant presumptive eligibility for families with children and adult families. We

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But nobody should be going into a single-- a general population, single adult DHS program out of a DYCD shelter. The other three programs, great, right? But nobody should be going into that general population out of a DYCD system. Shouldn't happen.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'll turn it back over to my co-chair. Thank you very much for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much,
Levin. Now we're going to call Council Member
Johnson, some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. I want to not be repetitive, but I do want to follow up on some of the questions that Chair Levin

had. So, when it comes to funding, the formula is correct that the Chair mentioned which is the City is covering 94 percent and the state's covering six

6 COMMISSIONER CHONG: In the current

percent, is that accurate?

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MMISSIONER CHONG: In the current

budget, six percent of the funding is state.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And so to expand from 21 to 24, how much do we think-- not the percentage, not the breakdown hard dollars-wise between the city and state, but what would that total cost be to expand?

that, and the question is we don't know because the driver costs, the drivers of the cost is the state regulations which determine a host of things that the program has to fulfil, like square footage, like staff qualifications, like how big the program is.

All those will determine what a potential model for 21 to 24 years would look like. Will it be more than the 47,000 we pay per person under 21? Will it be less? We don't know. So, I don't want to guess that number because the state, despite repeated requests from youth bureaus around the state, has yet to issue those regulations even though the clock is ticking.

2.2

2.3

The law technically takes effect January 1st, and as soon as they issue those regulations, we can make an informed decision about what the true cost of it is.

And then, you know, we'll be glad to share that, and then hopefully we can make an informed decision about whether to exercise that flexibility.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And again, the size of the population that we believe is need from 21 to 24 is how many?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, based on the DHS numbers-- you want to talk about that, because I don't want to [inaudible] them.

AARON GOODMAN: Well, I could just check in [sic]. The number that we have of single adults ages 21 to 24 in our system is approximately 710.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Seven hundred and 10, and right now the cost is approximately, Commissioner Chong, 47,000 for under 21?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: For under 21, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, we don't think that it would-- I mean, again, I know you don't want to guess and you want to understand what the regulations are that the state promulgates and puts into effect, but-- I'll take my calculator out. I

2.2

2.3

mean, if we're going to say that the-- let's say it's

700 young people times 50,000, though it could cost

more than that, that's 35 million.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: That's doubled our projected budget, I think, right? Eventually, we're going to get to 35 million by FY 19. So, that would mean doubling our budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Doubling your budget of what currently is allocated?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, the path after the next round of expansion in Fiscal 19, I think our budget is going to be about 33 million. Well, we're at 33 this year, and we're going to be at 37 next year—39 next year. So that'll be almost double our budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thirty-three million--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Now.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Now to do what exactly? What's the 33 million for?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: It's basically to fund the residential services and the other related services, the drop-in centers and the street outreach. So, but the growth really has been mostly

2.2

2.3

in the beds, the 100 beds for the last three years and the 100 beds this year and the hundred beds last year will get us to 38 million, 39 million. Yeah, and that will be the peak. And just to give you some idea— it just blew me away when I looked at these numbers. In the last fiscal year of Bloomberg, full year, Fiscal 13, our baseline budget was 4.7 million, 4.7 million. We'll be at 39. And you know, we want to make sure that—

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing]
What's the total budget in DYCD for all RHY-related
work?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: That's 39 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: That's 39

million, right, currently?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Eventually.

Thirty-four million right now. Going to go up to-
COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Thirtynine.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thirty-nine,
okay. DHS, how much money has the City spent in the
last three years on expanding homeless services? How
much money has been put in?

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: I can get that back 3 to you--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] I think the number is almost 1.8 billion dollars.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: That, I believe, is what our current budget is, and if I remember correctly, toward the end of the last Administration our budget was about 900 million.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, almost double.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: I think that's right.

council Member Johnson: Almost double, okay. So, the point is is that we put hundreds of millions of dollars, rightfully— I mean, I support this— hundreds of millions of dollars into new funding for DHS to do all sorts of programs that the City has rolled out, whether it be HOME—STAT, a supportive housing plan related to working with the state and getting the money released to do things. I mean, there's a whole host of things that DHS does, increase street outreach, the list goes on. Hundreds of millions, and we're talking about— again, I don't want to say this in a trite or banal way. The most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vulnerable population of the homeless population, which are primarily young people who we think it's appropriate to define up to the age of 24 years old, not stopping at the age of 21 years old, and doing some rough math-- I know we're quessing, as Commissioner Chong said -- to expand it further based on what the current population is of that 700 and the cost is around what it is now, 50,000. It could be more. That's 35 million. That's not a lot of money when it comes to the City budget. Now, I do think it's good to have perspective that the budget was four million dollars in the last year of the previous Administration, and now we're up to 34 million. That's almost eight-fold increase, and that's huge, and the de Blasio Administration deserves credit for that, but the disconnect that I have in hearing the line of questioning from Chair Levin and then hearing the testimony here today is there seems to be some disclarity [sic] around what the actual needs are as it relates to the number of beds that we need, because what I'm not understanding is we're saying, okay, we're going up to, you know, 753 beds by Fiscal Year 2019, and you talked about how you're going to speed that up and get that done, great. Right now

we're hearing people are being turned away. I mean,
I heard we-- people shouldn't be turned away. We're
hearing people are being turned away. That's not

happening, Susan? No one's being turned away?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: It might be, but it shouldn't be.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We don't want that to happen. We have beds available. We want young people in beds. If they need a place to stay, we want them to come into our program.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, then why-so then why are we expanding the beds? Why are we
even putting money towards expansion of beds if the
need isn't really there? Is the need there?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, see, that's the questioning.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: This is the disconnect that I'm not understanding. We're not turning anyone away or we are. We have enough beds or we don't have. Like, what do we need?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, so let me explain it this way. I think the need is difficult

2.2

2.3

to get a handle on because of the unknowns like the young people who couch surf. So, the very fact that we continue to add beds and our utilization rate is still 90 percent means there's clearly a need there. The fact that when we put an open-ended request for funding, people continue to apply means that there's still a need there. I don't think we should say we've declared victory. I don't certainly feel that way. That's why when we implement the new length of stays, the utilization rate may actually go up because young people are staying longer because they can stay longer. So, that's why we're fully committed to serving the most vulnerable youth, those under 21, and getting the full 753 beds. I think in the case of The Door, clearly there was a communication breakdown. We're trying to figure out how to make it easier for them because they seem to be the only one who has expressed problems with accessing a bed. To make it easier to get access to a bed on any given night, and so to the point where I think Randy has made his phone number available to any young person who runs into any issues. think--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] But 2 3 are people under 21 more vulnerable than people over 21? 4 COMMISSIONER CHONG: I think so. I think if you--6

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] Why?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Well, because COMMISSIONER CHONG: they're still young people. They're still not adults.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: But if you were someone that has experienced parental rejection, family loss, physical trauma, sexual trauma, selling yourself for sex to survival sex, alcohol, drug, mental health-related issues, you're in an unstable living situation, you're staying at a DYCD shelter, and you've had all of this family trauma and life trauma that's gone on in our life, all the sudden when you're 21 and you turn 22 it hasn't gone away.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, that's why I think Marsha's Place has been a huge asset. I know when it first was announced that the program was opening I made an effort to meet immediately with Janette Burn [sp?], the Executive Director who I had worked with many, many years ago to make sure that we had a referral system in place for young people who timed out at 21, and we've done a lot of referrals,

6 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSO

and maybe Randy can talk about--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] No, but the point I'm trying to make-- that's great, and I'm so glad that the City has been supportive of Marsha's Place. It's amazing. It's great. We all should be happy--

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] I hope} \\ \mbox{we replicate it.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: But all I'm saying is we can't draw this bright line that, you know, all the sudden you are more vulnerable when you were 21 than you were when you turned 22.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: But that's-COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing]
That doesn't really make any sense.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, that's why strategy of the City is to have continuity of services using all the different resources available to the City. Marsha's Place is one example, the supportive housing that we're pushing to get on line, the rental assistance, because a young person who

leaves at 21 may be at different places. They may be extremely vulnerable, as you said, and Marsha's Place might be a good place. They might be able to live independently, and they— then a rental assistance voucher makes sense. They may need continuous supportive housing. That's why the Jericho Project might make sense. So, to try to continue services beyond 21 is certainly a commitment of this Administration. It's being done in different places.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Again, I know this was talked about earlier. Remind me, the total number of DYCD beds that currently are on line is what number?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CHONG: Five hundred and} \\ \mbox{twenty-five.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Five hundred and twenty-five. Right now, we could go out, 525 beds that are open and operating.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right, and 132 in the pipeline that are at various stages. Either there was, what, 50? How many were waiting for certification? Fifty-two are waiting for the state to say yes.

2.2

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CHONG:} \quad \mbox{By sometime during}$ the next fiscal year.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And then after the next fiscal year?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: No decision has been made. We'll see-- I think the City wants to see where we're at as far as utilization rates.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, 753 is where we're going--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Headed.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: to go. We'll make further decisions as we see what the needs are. What ultimately do we think that number needs to be?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: It's hard to say at this point because I think a lot will be driven by what the utilization rate is. So, for example, if the utilization rate drops dramatically from 90 percent, that's something to look at. If the utilization rate goes up significantly beyond 90 percent, because of the length of stay is extended. So young people are occupying a bit longer. So, it's

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: who have family.

There's family homelessness where they've lost their

2.3

24

25

children within families.

2.2

2.3

AARON GOODMAN: [interposing] Well, all of them, Councilman. In that number we're counting under 18, and we don't have anyone under 18 in our single adult system. So those are all within family.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay, so it's all family, okay. So, the reason why I bring that up is there is— the DHS system right now is housing young people. Do you have the number?

AARON GOODMAN: Yeah, our last daily report, there were 22,971 children in shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I mean, that's heartbreaking, of course.

AARON GOODMAN: We don't disagree.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah, I know.

And it's hard-- the reason why I bring that number up, if it's just under 23,000, it's hard for me to believe that the-- to go back to Chair Levin's questions to Commissioner Chong, that the existing need right now for this distinct population we're talking about is under 1,000. It--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Well, I'll point out, in that--

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] I'm
3 not talking-- no, I'm talking about--

AARON GOODMAN: [interposing] They don't necessarily enter the DYCD shelter system by the time they're turning-- you know, that-- those are separate.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I can't hear you. Is your mic on?

AARON GOODMAN: I'm sorry. That's not-that cohort doesn't involve necessarily individuals
who then would be entering the DYCD shelter system.
Those--

Sorry, that's not what I was trying to say. What I was trying to say is when we're talking about the DYCD need, when we're having that conversation here today, and I know you can't give us an exact number, but we're—the goal is to get up to 753 beds. When you look at the other populations that exist that are currently in DHS, it's hard for me to think that the need isn't significantly higher than what we're talking about here today.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I don't know if you were here when we talked about the number

of 18 to 20 year olds in the DHS system who are head
of household is really small. It's been under 100
any time that we've looked at it. There aren't a
lot of head of household young adults in the DHS

6 system.

2.2

2.3

AARON GOODMAN: Right. Like we said, do we-- our last snapshot was about 1,200 21 to 24 single adult-- young parents in the shelter, family shelter system.

under 20 was 70, and again, I remember from the Bloomberg years that was in the several hundreds of 18 to 20 year olds who were in DHS, and part of the problem was we were at 100 percent utilization rate. We didn't have as many beds, and when a young person who is 18, 19 or 20 shows up, we had to refer them to DHS. That trend is reversing. In fact, we want to get the remaining 70 into our system so that they don't have to use a DHS shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Commissioner,
you said in your testimony we have another
fundamental concern with the Council's proposals.
Under state law, DYCD and other youth bureaus
throughout the state have been delegated the

2.2

2.3

authority to create a comprehensive plan for providing services including residential services to run away and homeless youth. This discretion from the state is limited and that we must obtain state approval for our plan and shelter providers much comply with OCFS regulations. The state law gives DYCD more flexibility than these bills would allow. These four bills are inconsistent with the state legislative and regulatory framework than entrust responsibility for these programs with localities' youth bureaus. State law requires that municipalities to submit the plan in consultation with the youth bureau, correct?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: right.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Doesn't that indicate that other entities like a local legislature, like a local city council play a role in the development of the plan?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: I'm not a lawyer so

I'm not going to be able to say yes or no to that

question. We can certainly ask the Law Department to

research that, but in the years I've been at DYCD,

DYCD is a recognized youth bureau for the City of New

York, just as other cities have similar designated

2 youth bureaus. So, it's our responsibility to submit

3 this plan every April, and then the state has to sign

4 off on it.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Well, the point that I'm making is that this council has, I think, -we have a good City Charter, and I think this council has charter-mandated responsibilities when it comes to legislative action, when it comes to oversight, and when it comes to budget, all things that are related to the issues that we're talking about today. And the development of this plan is something that this council is, of course, very, very interested in which is why this legislation was introduced and it's why we're having this hearing today. So, I think to say that these bills are inconsistent with state legislative and regulatory framework than entrust the responsibilities with locality youth bureaus is a We are connected to what you all do. narrow reading. We oversee the budget. We have oversight over you. We have legislative authority. So, I wouldn't say we just are going to have consultation with the youth bureaus. The City Council is a separate branch of government that of course has some interest in this and has some charter-mandated responsibility.

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

just think it's important that it not just be just 3 about the consultation with the youth bureaus, it's 4 consultation with the City Council.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We'll be happy to sit down with you and your staff to continue this conversation because, again, I'm not a lawyer. I'm not going to be able to comment on the jurisdiction of the state law and how it interfaces with the City Council's responsibility, but certainly we've been important partners in the expansion of services for homeless youth, and so I think how that is codified is something that certainly requires more discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Who-- I'm not saying this in an antagonistic way. Who wrote your testimony?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: No, it was a collaborative effort of--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] Was there a lawyer involved in writing your testimony? COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah, there are

2.2 always lawyers involved.

> COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Okay, well--COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Not to defame lawyers.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I mean, I just--3 I think it's important that, you know, this council 4 as a whole our values are very much in line with the Administration, with the Mayor and with a huge amount of work that city agencies do, and the reason why I 6 7 raise this point is, you know, this is not 8 Washington, D.C., or it's not even Albany where there is a huge ideological divide between the Executive Branch and the Legislative Branch when it comes to 10 11 issues that are of importance to the City. there's, 12 of course, healthy, normal tension and give and take 13 that exists, and that's probably not a bad thing, but I would just say that this paragraph really sticks 14 15 out to me in your testimony, because if you're not sure by saying, "You're not a lawyer, I'm not a 16 17 lawyer either," on if, you know, this is fully 18 accurate or if it's the best way to put it, I would just say I wouldn't try to pre-empt you talking about 19 these different pieces of legislation in your 20 testimony by saying, you know, it's really the youth 21 2.2 bureaus, localities' youth bureaus. No, the City 2.3 Council has a role here, and we're going to exert that role, and we're doing it in a way where we work 24 with advocates and we've heard from young people and 25

2.2

2.3

we see some of the deficiencies involved, and that's why we're pushing this forward.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We're looking forward to continuing the conversation. This is, I think, a start of that conversation, and maybe we'll bring the lawyers together to talk more about that specific issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: And I would add that when I talked before about the potential cost related if it was at 50,000 dollars per young person and the number 700, we said 35 million, something around that. I mean, I actually think there would probably be cost savings from not sheltering those 700 people in DHS potentially. So, it's not a huge new expense. There would be some new expense, but there would be some cost savings, I would imagine, but that's something we have to analyze.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, as we have more information we can make a more informed decision about whether to exercise the flexibility that the state law will grant.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So, I want to turn it back over to the Chair. I want to say,

Commissioner Chong, thank you for the work you've

done in increasing capacity to where we are now, and

3 | for really expanding the RHY program in your

4 department. you've been a good partner to the

5 Council, not just on RHY but SYEP and other important

6 programs that have been important to this Council, so

7 I want to thank you for that, and I want to rally put

8 | in a good word for Susan Haskell, because--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Thank

10 you.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: when I have had- you know, I have Covenant House--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: located in my district, which is one of the largest, it might be the largest, DYCD designated facility when it comes to dealing with young people. Is Covenant House perfect? No. Any facility of that size is going to have issues. They do very, very important work, and where there have been issues, when there have been problems, whether it be at Covenant House or the Ali Forney Center or any other provider that has come to my attention. Susan has been unbelievably responsive, thoughtful and taken charge that relates to individuals young people that are bringing brought

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to her attention in following up and getting information and really getting the important questions answered for each young person that we bring to her attention. So, you know, her advocacy and having her in that position, my experience with her on a one-on-one basis and seeing her commitment to young people is really, really important to me, and too often it's easy for us to sit up here and yell at you all over some of the important policy disagreements we may have, but I think it's also important to recognize the good work that's done on a daily basis. No one is doing this work because they're looking to get rich. People are doing this work because they're looking to feel enriched by the work that they do, and that's what I think Susan does on a daily basis. So, I wnted to put in a good word for her and turn it back over to the Chair.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah, she already told me she's coming for a raise later. But--

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [interposing] She deserves it.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Let me just say that, you know, I know from the first year of the Administration you've been a great partner on these

issues, and I know with Covenant House being such a high profile residential program in the City that you've been very hands-on on making sure that young people were safe. I mean, that's, you know, one of the reasons why we don't try to publicize these locations because we know when they're publicized it becomes magnets for predators, and so I know you were very hands-on in all the different issues that have come up over the course of the last three years, and we look forward to working with you as we grow this program.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Johnson. Council Member Darlene Mealy for questions.

another hearing. So I just want to thank the both Chairs, and you, Commissioner, and I definitely want to thank Lew Fidler, the Youth Chair, who this was his mantra years ago, always wanted youth to be safe and more beds for homeless youth. I just had like two or three questions. In regards to-- between the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

crisis shelter beds and the TIL beds, which would

DYCD say that the City needs the highest?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [off mic] Can answer that.

that— we take that one proposal at a time and we look at utilization rates where, you know, do we look like we have balance. The Commissioner talked about a balance. We strive to create a balance. We charted the growth of TIL and crisis beds since like 2005, and it's been very consistent. So, although we've had some years where one bumps up high. The next year it's balanced by the other. It's remained consistent form like 2005 to 2017. We watch it. Every time we grow the system we watch to see we're balanced.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: It keeps trending.

That was my next question. What have been trend over the years? Has it just been a lot, a little?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: When I started in 2005 we have 60 crisis shelter beds and 88 TIL beds. We remain kind of proportional to that.

Again, it goes up and down as one big crisis shelter comes on line or one big TIL comes on line. We've

back to you in a second? We're--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] We're 2 3 adding up the numbers. I mean, we could always send 4 that information to you, to the Committee. But, year one of the things that when we-- you know, both Susan and I worked at DYCD in the Bloomberg Administration. 6 7 So, one-- the first major re-design of this initiative was in 2005, and one of the things we 8 recognize is that the needs of young people are diverse. So, just as we created residential programs 10 11 for gay youth, we created residential programs for 12 young women who were in sex trade, gems [sic]. 13 created programs that served, you know, parenting youth. We have many [sic] programs--14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] So, 16 what percentage are you really putting towards 17 parenting? 18 COMMISSIONER CHONG: I think it's-- well, 19 giving you-- he's [inaudible] 20 COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Because it's almost 21 like we can't discriminate against our parents--2.2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] No, no, 2.3 but it's--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: and youth.

24

2	COMMISSIONER CHONG: a market-driven
3	process. So, it's when we put out money we let
4	people tell us what they need to do. We don't say
5	you have to do this, you have to do that. It's
6	driven by the needs of young people and by the
7	nonprofits that run these programs. So, as Susan was
8	saying that each year the number of crisis shelters
9	and TILs will vary, but the equilibrium, the balance,
10	is about the same. So,
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Can I
12	ask you a question, Commissioner
13	COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] He's
14	going to give you the number. You want the number?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes, but
16	RANDY SCOTT: It's about 20 percent.
17	Currently we have about 110 beds that could be for
18	pregnant mothers or mothers with children.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Twenty percent of
20	that budget.
21	RANDY SCOTT: Right.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: That's
23	Commissioner, how much permanent housing do you put
24	aging out youth into every year?

1

3

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We will have to get back to you. I don't think we track that information, per say. How many--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] And see, this is the problem that I'm having. It's like we keep putting a Band-Aid on an open sore. Here it is we have some multi-dwelling units in this city that some is being foreclosed on, and we have a lot of youth that are aging out of foster care and shelters that could go into permanent housing that we could build just as we build affordable housing for everything else, and we going to have an even higher rate of homeless children, not just LBGQ. going to have everyone, because people are being priced out of their homes, and here it is we're not building really affordable housing. The City is building condominiums, high-rises. So, I'm asking, when are we going to get to a point where we are building housing just for youth. If we could build housing just for one nationality or just affordable housing, something for all [sic] shelters, or-- right now is the trend that I thank God that we put a moratorium on just studio apartments. We can't just do just all buildings with studio apartments.

units of supportive housing for young people--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] What you call supportive housing? I'm asking you, do we have any youth that transition out of a shelter--

5 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] And the 6 answer is yes, but we--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] that they don't need supportive housing. They have a job now and they can live independently on their own--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] The answer is yes, we can get you more data--

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: [interposing] Can you give me--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: How many?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: But as I said in my testimony that one of the key ways of making sure people transition to stable, permanent housing is making the rental assistance vouchers more accessible to young people, because you're absolutely right, some young people are ready to live on their own, but they need some help. And so we've been working closely with our partners at the Department of Social Services to streamline the process to access a rental

2.2

2.3

Commissioner of our youth.

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, we are working with HPD.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We're all one city, remember?

think this HPD works closely with all the city agencies and look at the needs across the City. And so, certainly just as we've been working with the Department of Homeless Services on young people who age out at 21, you know, HPD has been very helpful. But you know, they have a big taste before them. So, I will pass on your concern about the lack of affordable housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you Chairs. He still didn't answer.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much.

Commissioner, could you tell me what type of programs or activities that our provided to the runaway and homeless youth in the different shelter services.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah. Randy will answer that.

RANDY SCOTT: Okay. So, at each of the programs we don't, DYCD doesn't provide the direct services. However, we work with provider agencies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Some of them big scale who may have services on site such as medical, such as our mental health services that they are able to do through ThriveNYC. Some of them have educational programs as well as employment, and if they do not, then they are encouraged to create linkages within their communities with these programs so that they can provide these services to their particular youth in those programs. We also at DYCD join monthly provider meetings. We bring in folks who work within three various areas of need for youth to be able to talk about programs and services that they provide, and then to create linkages so that they can continue to sustain the needs of the youth whether it's around housing, whether it's around employment, whether it's around education, whether it's around mental health, medical needs, or just someone who is available to provide them with some type of communication. So, those are some of the services that are provided, but most are directly around those key areas that you've identified when they meet with their case manager for counsel consultation.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Is it mandatory for those youth to participate, you know, in the

2.2

2.3

programs? Is it mandatory they have to participate
through the programs?

RANDY SCOTT: Nothing is mandatory. The services are voluntary. So, youth have the opportunity to come in and out as they please. It is encouraged that if they are in need of assistance and want to work on a specific area that they meet with their case manager t their respective sites to talk about those things and create an individualized service plan around that so that they can gain that assistance, that help and independence.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Yeah, you say that it is not— that, you know, nothing is mandatory, but since those young people there, young people with special needs who always they have, you know, some type of challenges and mental issues and they have been traumatized, you know, mentally and physically. Don't you believe that they are certain services they are man— you know, that should be mandatory for them to receive?

RANDY SCOTT: We definitely agree, you know. And through ThriveNYC we were able to provide financial support to our different contractor sites so that they could bring on professionals, they could

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

bring on services, they can provide additional assessments or consultation. So everything is driven by the youth. You know, we can't force a youth to do a specific thing, but we can definitely talk to them or the providers can talk to them, and hopefully they will be encouraged to accept the services, the feedback they are receiving so that they can receive the help with respect to mental health So far we've serviced a lot of youth within programming around mental health. You know I think last year was around in the 3,000's individualized youth who received mental health services. So, and it's growing each year as we bring on new programs and as, you know, the trust is built at the different sites. able to accept that particular help. And I think in the Commissioner's testimony he spoke to about 72 percent who have received mental health services.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: I understand you say that you cannot force them to be part of the program, but when we consider some of those young people that may have mental condition and they may be in need of mental, you know, services, and don't you think that that should be part of the requirement and you should have a way for them to understand the urgency and the

2.2

2.3

need to be part of the program. Because if you just advise them, I don't think this is enough, because when you deal with young people, a human being with special needs, this is something very, very important to make sure that they follow or receive the proper assistance that they need to get back on feet and also to improve their life.

made a huge investment under the ThriveNYC and, you know, I think the fact that 72 percent of the young people said last year they've gotten services means that we're heading in the right direction. We should be at a 100 percent, and I agree with you, but you know, it's hard for someone to get help. They have to be-- that have to kind of meet you half way on this, and so we continue to get to the other 20 percent.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Do you have a meter or a way to quantify, to evaluate how many young people, homeless youth, who have been through sexual abuse, who have been through other type of challenges and also who are suffering from mental illness or disease or disturbance? Do you have, you know, a way to evaluate that and to track that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

available to you.

1

that information is really dealt at the individual level with our providers working one-on-one with a That information would be in a young young person. person's case file. DYCD doesn't collect that information centrally in terms of city government. We assume that the young people who come to us have been significantly traumatized. We know just from general data that there's a tremendous amount of sexual abuse and physical abuse, domestic violence, mental health issues. So, we take that approach. We encourage our providers to make those connections, assuming that, you know, doing trauma-informed care, which is that we know that you've been trauma, so we're going to approach you with that framework and make sure that when you need services they're

COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, we don't collect that information because it's confidential. Part of this is that, you know, this kind of sensitive information many of the young people don't want to share outside the case manager, but we-- our commitment is to provide the resources to make sure they have the services, and the fact that 72 percent

2.2

2.3

last year said that they had accessed mental health services means there's a big need there, and so we're not going to pry into people's private lives. We won't-- government doesn't want to do that. The nonprofit and the case manager has the relationship with any given young person and we want to make sure that they have the resources to help that young person, and that's the commitment of this Administration.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: We do know that in term of medical information we cannot invade, you know, the personal information, and you know, private information of the client or patients. What I think as the leading organization providing the resources, you should know exactly where the resources should go, what type of resources that organization needs, because of the constituency that they're serving. Let's say, for example, I think that it is— it makes sense for DYCD to know how many young people need mental services and medical services.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Well, that's--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: How many young people are traumatized because they went through

There's a need over here. This is something, you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 know, very vital important information that DYCD should have.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, I can say to you--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] In order to a better, you know--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] we have regular meetings with the network of providers. certainly we can ask them to provide us information, and then once we have it, we'll share it with you. If it's general information that doesn't disclose individual histories and names, I think the programs would be more willing to share this if it's just an aggregate number. Like, of the 50 young people, x number -- I mean, so we can design a survey and with their help gather that information and we'll be glad to pass it on to you. I'm just mindful of the confidentiality of young people, and what we collect in our data system, you know, we've seen data systems can be hacked left and right. So, a lot of the case managers in the nonprofits that we fund are reluctant to put too much information in an electronic database because once it's in the data base it can be accessed. So, it's in the case files, the paper

2.2

2.3

cases files in the programs themselves. But we can survey providers and we'll certainly get back to you.

about services, I know that it's not services for homeless, runaway homeless youth. It's not about providing their money [sic] with bad [sic] with the shelter, but remember there are people with special needs. We just mentioned those needs. So when you are taking the decision to allocate the funding or the resources, you should know exactly—— I repeat myself—— you know, where the funding should go based on the facts that you have. For example, if we have a large concentration or population of young people suffering from, you know, certain, you know, pathology [sic] or issues, you should know that this is important to increase the funding over there because this issue.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well, I agree with you, and that's why we give the maximum flexibility to each program to meet the young person where they're at, because you know, because young people come in and out of the program. The person who is in program in January might be a different program than the person in December. So we want to give them a

2.2

2.3

broad array of services and then allow the nonprofit agencies to adapt those services to meet the young people where they're at. So, I think we definitely believe in customized services, but we also want to give the greatest flexibility so that the needs of young people are met on any given day.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: The staff members who are serving the young people, who are providing the services, what type of training that they receive?

RANDY SCOTT: Well, one of the requirements of OCFS is that each of the staff who work with youth receive 40 hours of training on a given year, and that training can, you know, be about mental health services, child abuse, HIV/AIDS and an assortment of others. So, each of the staff, and the requirement when we do our site visits is to look at training and making sure that they're in compliance with the state in making that 40. Plus in addition to that, DYCD has a Capacity Building Unit where we work within getting technical assistance on different things such as case management, crisis management, mental health first aid, and others so that they have those at their disposal for staff, and one of the big

2.2

2.3

requirements.

things that we're doing right now is around mental health first aid, and we just provided a training for all of our provider agencies on mental health first aid, youth mental health first aid, and one of the great things is that with ThriveNYC they have the ability to go out to our particular provider agencies and provide their staff onsite with mental health first aid training. So we look at training as an essential within programming and making sure that they're in compliance with both state and city

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: We all know, and I, you know, mentioned that several times, that New York City is home to so many people coming from all over the world, a lot of immigrant people, young people who speak other language other than English. What do you have in, you know, in your system to ensure that all the young people regardless of the language they speak that can benefit from the resources that are available for them?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: So, in all our requests for proposals we ask that the people who ask for funding demonstrate their cultural competency, meaning they are able to work with a diverse set of

people, and so we would expect that a program deals
with large population that speaks a specific
language, that they have those language capacities.
So, it's required in-- when we ask-- when we give out
money we say you have to show how you're going to

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Okay, but can you mention for us some of the languages? We know that in New York City there are seven languages, you know, that people should use to provide services in New York City.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We can do a survey of the providers to see what their language capacity is. I don't think we have that number right here.

Right. Okay? So we'll get back to you with that.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Can you send these--

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: send the information

to our office?

meet this need.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: My Co-Chair and myself. Thank you very much. You know, everything in life, there's no perfect system. We know that.

No perfect system. You probably do everything that

2 you can do to address issues and to do the best that

3 | you can do, but there are challenges, always

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 challenges. What are the most important challenges

5 at your office in DYCD in your effort to serve the

6 homeless, runaway homeless youth? What are the most

important challenges that you encounter?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: I think it's to grow the capacity of the system, because--

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: [interposing] Say it again.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Grow the capacity of the system, because we know that the needs of young people are very different. So, that's why we don't have one-size-fits-all. That's why we need facilities that serve parenting youth. We need facilities -- there's a program, Rachel's Place. Ιt serves young women who have been made homeless because they're from the Orthodox community and they pushed out by their homes. So, we know that the more specialized services we provide the better, and so we want to build the capacity. That's why I'm so proud of the fact that, you know, we've opened up third residential facility for transgender youth. That wasn't even on the radar a decade ago. So, I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

same.

challenges, making sure we have enough providers who can meet different needs of young people because we know that we just can't treat every young person the

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: And for, you know, -based on your experience and your tradition and, you
know, experience working with the providers, what do
you believe the most important challenges is for the
providers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I mean, I'll say one thing, is that they need to take care of themselves as they take care of young people, because as we've discussed, like the trauma that they are seeing on a regular basis working with young people can't help but, you know, impact their own wellbeing. So, we do try to ensure that we have supports we can offer to them or through training for the professional development and conferences that Randy has put together. I think it has -- the work that is being done by our providers is obviously significantly harder than the work we do here as like city bureaucrats, and they really need to take care of themselves. I think the biggest challenge is the work that they do every day. That's beautiful when

providing services for young people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you say that they have to take care of themselves, but can you give us more detail? What do you mean by they have to take care of themselves? What I'm talking about challenges that they encounter while they're providing the services to the youth, not only personal or physical or, you know, challenges, but the challenges that they encounter in terms of

RANDY SCOTT: When folks ask me about challenges, I like to say that we don't want to look at them as challenges. We want to look at them as talking points of need. And one of the things that we've done over the course of a year, especially with this administration, and this administration, is we've looked at things. One of the challenges that was on the plate was the fact that youth were not eligible for supportive housing, because they didn't have a serious mental illness right? So, we looked at how that was being looked at by other systems. One, HUD didn't identify DYCD's TILs and crisis shelters as being homeless. So we took that information, sat down with HUD, and made sure that they understood that these places, these residents who should be eligible. So, we took care of that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

challenge. Folks we're talking about that -- the providers we're talking about youth needed, bio [sic] psycho socials. ThriveNYC came. That allowed for them to be bio psycho socials more at their facilities. So, these are some of the things that we I definitely don't like to say challenges. look at. I like to say we have needs that we have to see how we can get them met, because if you haven't attempted to try to work on them, then you can't consider it a challenge, right? So we said, what are the things that we talk about in our monthly meetings? come to us, and we bring it to the table. Another thing was concerns that they may have had at DHS. bring DHS officials to our meeting to talk to them to see how they can better communicate. So, we like to work with our sister agencies, with folks in the community to identify what the trends are talking about so that they can be addressed so that youth can access those things. We also have when Susan was talking about self-care, we have our Healing the Hurt Conference that we do on an annual basis where we bring folks in to one, learn about various trauma and how they can manage trauma. And one of the great

sells of our conference is being able to participate

2 in a self-care workshop where you can do yoga, learn

138

3 how to do these things at your desk where it helps

4 you in terms of managing yourself, managing your

5 work, and then taking on the task at-hand and working

6 with the particular youth or internal structures our

7 external structures.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: You know, when we are trying to provide services to the young people, I think it is very important to include them among the staff when we're making decision about them. makes sense to have them among the staff form DYCD or the service providers, because there's a culture that belong to them. See what I mean? There's something that we can learn from them. There's selling [sic] contribution that they can provide. They can, you know, bring a good addition to your staff. have young people working among the staff of DYCD or the service providers, part of your staff? Or when you have to take decision, do you, you know, make-you know, you call, did you call these young people to be part of the decision, you know, to advise you and to get their thought and try to find out exactly. Because when you have a young person living

issues, what bothers them, what are the rules, how

are things? So, I think from a government

24

perspective when we design program that youth voices are very important at the grassroots level. On the day-to-day, the nonprofit service providers recognize it's important to have ongoing communication with young people because it's a voluntary program. So, that's important that there is buy-in by young people. They're not there because they are told to be there. It's not like a secured detention program. They're there because they wanted to be there, because they need the services. So, the constant communication back and forth is essential to making that program successful. Do you want to add?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES

RANDY SCOTT: I do want to add that DYCD does have a youth advisory committee where very second Tuesday of every month from 5:30 to 7:00, we convent with youth from various of the contracted sites to talk about their issues, and you know, it's the first where we're able to bring youth to talk to government and tell government what their issues are and us putting together a plan of how we can address those issues. So, we do have a youth advisory committee that meets regularly at our location every Tuesday from 5:30 to 7:00.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON EUGENE: Thank you very much. I have another public hearing. I'm going to step 3 out, and want to pass it over to my Co-Chair. 4

6 7

you very much.

1

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Chair Eugene. So, I just have a couple of more questions here. So, during this hearing we did receive some additional information about turn-aways, and so one thing that we got was that last night Ali Forney reported that they had 12 youth in their dropin center overnight because of a lack of crisis beds to send them to.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Were they 21 and under? Because I mean, that's the key question.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Say again, I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Were they 21 and under, because I have spoken to Carl repeatedly, and he has made the case passionately that we need to add services for those 21 and older, and I know that he has young people who are over the age that we're currently allowed to serve.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] We were-- [off mic] Excuse me. We were told that it was for lack of crisis beds. So, we were not-- we weren't

2 told that it ws because they were over the age of 21.

3 So I'm assuming then that they're under the age of

4 21. I can get back to you on that.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

5 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] We can 6 look into that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But they said it was lack of crisis beds.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: This has been an ongoing conversation I've had with Ali Forney that they don't have crisis shelter beds for 21 and older.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, I know.

commissioner chong: So, we'll certainly investigate this and get back to you, but you know, my understanding is that Carl has said to us in the past to our staff that he has a place for anyone who shows up, and that's in the context of 21 and under. So, we will look into this and get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: If it is under 21, I'm not sure, but if it is, Carl has just submitted he's got two proposals pending as part of that group coming online for space that that you've identified, so we're-- our expansion would help address that.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Just to get 3 back to the question of whether or not anyone is 4 turned away on any given night, whether -- and whether -- I think that it just kind of speaks to if we're hearing from providers that they are turning 6 7 people away because they don't have access to the 8 crisis beds, and you're telling us that there's always access to a crisis bed or an acceptable and accessible TIL bed, obviously we here, we don't -- how 10 11 are we-- we're hearing two different things that 12 don't jive. So, it's up-- honestly, it's up to you 13 guys and the providers to explain either why you're not on the same page or, you know, or somehow come to 14 15 the conclusion that you happen-- that you are on the 16 same page, and there's just different perspectives on 17 that. Or but if you're not on the same page, why? 18 And why they may be turning people away, but according to you guys nobody's turned away. 19 20

COMMISSIONER CHONG: We'll, certainly, you know, continue to have conversations with the services providers. We know that the beds are there. It might be a question of how to access them and make it easier, and that some of the things that Randy has talked about are things we'll continue to work on.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We definitely know for 21 to 24, if a young person shows up who's over 21 it's more challenging, and that's why we're trying to expand the services, not just only through what we do, but through what other

city agencies are doing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So we've just been told it's 12 under the age of 21, seven over the age of 21.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Okay, we'll look into that then.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, that shouldn't happen, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: You're right. We have a responsibility. As we expand to communicate, to coordinate to talk to providers who are saying they're having challenges getting in the beds and understand that, that's our challenge.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. So, I hope we don't have to do a bill, or maybe we do have to do a bill that requires you guys to track nightly the turn-aways.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right. I think I might be-- and this is more nuanced thing, and we'll look into it, is that there's a preference for

1 2 COMMISSIONER CHONG: It might very well 3 been that Carl doesn't have a bed in the programs he 4 runs, and he didn't want to refer them to another 5 program that he doesn't run. So, --CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Why not? 6 7 COMMISSIONER CHONG: I know system wide 8 there is under-utilization. That is undisputed fact. So, we--CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You're saying that--10 11 see, in that instance that's pretty serious thing to 12 say that programs aren't referring youth outside of their program if they don't have the capacity. 13 COMMISSIONER CHONG: Well--14 15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] That 16 would be problematic, obviously. Again, that would 17 be then--COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] No, I--18 19 [interposing] the CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 20 role of DYCD to bring everybody together and say, hey--21 COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Well, I 2.2 2.3 think that's been communicated consistently--CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] there 24

are beds confirmed.

25

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

25

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Please ask that personnel to look into the system and see how many available beds there were.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, sure.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Because they have access to that information.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Take a little snapshot.}$

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: Even where those beds are available.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. And then the last question is about benefits and whether or not staff is able to end DYCD shelters, enroll youth into public benefits, SNAP, cash assistance, anything HRA benefit-wise, because what we've heard is that programs are being told, and in fact we have access to an email from OCFS saying that they consider that "double-dipping," direct quote, "double-dipping." But you know, if you were to ask me as the Chair of the General Welfare Committee, whether youth that qualify for public benefits are somehow not being signed up for public benefits by their service provider, I mean, this is the—these are the people.

If you you know, they shouldn't have to go to an
HRA intake center to be able to sign up for food
stamps, period. And we have here I have the regs
that I could read you, Crisis Reg, Section 182-1.5
general requirements for approved programs 9NYADC182-
1.5, services: One, a current list of community
providers for youth services shall be maintained at
each program site. Two, program staff shall assist
youth in accessing relevant community resources in
order to ensure comprehensive services are provided
to youth in accordance with individual cases. Three,
program staff shall assist eligible youth in
obtaining care services from the local services,
social services district. And the TIL regs, Section
182-2.5, general requirements for approved programs
9NYADC182-2.5 services, current list it's the same
text for TILs and crisis. What is DYCD's
interpretation of the regs on whether or not they're
allowed to provide enrollment in social services
programs, and if not, what then do we do about this?
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I'm happy to
have the opportunity to clarify this publicly for our
providers. There has been some miscommunication on

2.2

2.3

that. We 100 percent support our providers to support young people to access all eligible benefits.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Okay, now are they equipped with the resources to be able to do that, because if somebody walks up to me on the street and says, "Hey, can you sign me up for food stamps?" I'll say, "No, I can't." Right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: We've done—
we've had HRA do presentations at RHY provider
meetings. We're going to take this opportunity. This
communication was brought to our attention through a
request. We're familiar with that email. We're going
to take this as an opportunity to further clarify for
our providers. I think the qualification to that is
it should be in the best interest of the young
person. So, while you're in a long-term housing and
your basic needs are being met, we want you to just
be wise about the way you access benefits that you're
using in terms of timing of access, but we in no way
want to communicate a barrier to access to all
eligible public benefits, and we will clarify that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And it's not double-dipping for a youth in DYCD to have food stamps?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: No.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 1 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 3 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: I mean, you are having your food. You are getting three meals a 4 5 day in a shelter, but if you have -- we want young people to access all eligible benefits in their best 6 7 interest. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HASKELL: In their best interest. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: By the way, if 12 somebody comes up to me and says, "Can you help me 13 14 15

with access to food stamps?" yes, of course, I can help. I can refer, but I don't have the wherewithal to do it myself. Okay, that's important to clarify. Thank you for doing that. So, sorry. One other question. Just -- so I'm looking at the youth count from 2016. You guys might not be able to answer this here, but you mentioned that in sheltered youth that there's the 700-- this is that are in DHS singleadult. There are 70 that were--

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Eighteen to 20.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Eighteen to 20, 700 that are to 24. Right, and so you-- so, I'm sorry.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, here we go on page eight of that 2016 report. 2 Ιt 3 says overall comparison of youth 24 and under 4 sheltered, the number was 1,653 on this report here, 1,653 for 2016. If we were to-- so, between the 700 that are between 21 and 24 in single-adult, 70 that 6 7 are 18 to 21, that's 770, 500 then within the DYCD system. That gets you to 1,270, and the number 8 reported here is 1,653, roughly a 400 headcount gap Is that something that you'd be able to--10

COMMISSIONER CHONG: [interposing] Yeah, I don't know that I can fully respond--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] explain right now?

COMMISSIONER CHONG: to that right now, but I can suggest that that number may also include single mothers who fall within that age group who are in family with children shelter.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No, yeah, I know, because that's mentioned as parenting youth then on the next-- that's unaccompanied youth, and parenting youth has been listed as 2,261 for 2016.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Also, you're saying 2016. I can also say that, you know, these numbers obviously do change. There were more youth in our

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 system in 2016 than there are now within that age

3 group as the Commissioner has stated, because they're

4 expanding their system. Some of the youth has gone

5 over there, but we can certainly take that back and

6 give you a better breakdown so we understand--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah, it might be a point, a different point in time.

COMMISSIONER CHONG: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But that's a pretty significant gap of, you know. What is that like about 25, 30 percent difference?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{Commissioner Chong: We will absolutely} \\ \mbox{take that back and look.}$

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Okay. Thank you all very much. I appreciate you taking the time. You've been here for, you know, almost three hours, so I really appreciate you taking the time to do this. We're going to have public testimony now. We're just going to take a five-minute break and then we'll reconvene, and the first panel will be former Chair of the Youth Services Committee on the City Council Lew Fidler, Charles Whitewolf [sp?], and Alexander Perez [sp?]. We can call up Charles Whitewolf and Alexander Perez. Whoever wants to

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

begin? If you have to turn on the mic, the red light-3 - there you go.

It's on. Well, I quess ALEXANDER PEREZ: I'd like to say good afternoon, because now it is the afternoon, and thank you for letting me speak today. I am Alexander Ray Perez, and I am here to testify because I know what it is like to be out here on the streets not knowing where to go, to have days that felt like years and nights feel even longer. travel from Florida back to this great big city only to find that it has failed people like me, young people who faced the cold hard truth of aging out. speak to you today as a concerned, terrified and appalled 24-year-old young person, a person who now has to understand why things like funding come in between the City's youth having a semblance of what home is. Not only has the internal struggle of knowing that I am homeless consume my thoughts and riddled my days with worry, but I feel like NYC, the place I'd like to call home has made me feel put out and stranded. At this point, it's too late for me because I age out May 8th this following year. not only am I testifying because it's the right thing to do, I'm testifying because the youth after me was

2.2

2.3

me and are many of my friends, and some of which I consider family. And as I present I realize I'm under a great quote by Lincoln, "A government of the people, by the people and for the people." So, let's think about that. And so I ask humbly, please pass Intro 1706, 207 because like all of you I am a person, a person who just needs to know what home feels like.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. If you look up right there, this is what governs us. We greatly appreciate your testimony. Thank you.

ALEXANDER PEREZ: Thank you.

CHARLES WHITEWOLF: Think that's the button or this button. Hi, my name is Charles
Whitewolf. I go by they and them [sic], and I did-oh, sorry. Is that better? Okay, cool. I did have
one, I made copies, but after hearing what happened
today it just infuriates me even more. I am now
housed, but I am a former homeless person. I've been
homeless since the age of 16, and I have been through
abuse, and I have been through the DA-- excuse me-the DHS system all along with Covenant House. DHS
was not a form fit for me along with my biological
father. It was a moment in time where I was abused.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I have scars to prove it. I have gun marks in my legs from Covenant. I've been bullied. threatened, and Covenant just wasn't the place for What I've learned over the past few years that I me. have been homeless is I have not seen these beds that have promised or the beds have gone to just strictly sis-gendered people. There are none provided to those who are LGBTQ. There have none been provided for those who are-- who have children. I don't have kids, just saying that, but I have not seen any of these beds. And each time I'm wondering where these beds are at, they're going to Covenant. Covenant is not safe, and it never will be safe. They're too conservative. I've been used in a broadcast saying that Covenant was awesome and this, that and the third. It's really not. It's actually a fight for your life. I fought every day not to get in trouble, not to get shot, not to even breathe. At a moment in time when I actually was coming out as a non-binary person, I was told to go to the female floor. Even there it's uncomfortable. I had to choose either to be raped or to be beaten because of my gender or how I identify. So, what I'm saying today is can we please pass, because those beds are important to LG--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LGBTQIA+, because we-- I'm not going to say that we are the -- what is that, is the word, the word I'm missing? But-- we are the marginalized people. I'm sorry to say it, because there's other people who are marginalized, because we have been more-- we are the ones who keep getting everything, who keep getting abused, who keep doing, because we identify differently. And the fact that Ali Forney does not have any more beds, I watched somebody die in the street six months ago, my best friend, 23 years of age, who could not get a bed just because Ali Forney did not have a bed. I've watched people die just because they didn't have a bed. People who go into prostitution, I've watched it. I'm just wondering where all these beds are at. After, -- like I said, I did have one, but it's just the fact of like where are these beds? I don't see them. I want to see I may not be homeless, but my friends are. That's what matters to me. My safety is ensured. Ιf I happen to go homeless the next day, I know what to do. I know how to play the system, but the rest of these guys, they probably don't, and I have to teach them, but where are these beds? How can I help them get new resources? How can I help them get resources

2.2

2.3

that I already have and may lose in the future because of how I identify? So, I'm wondering what is going to happen next? What's going to happen to the youth? Are we just going to die out by being on the streets, or are we actually going to get housed? So, I ask one more time, can you please pass this law so we can be safe? We-- we're still children until the age of 25. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:} \quad \mbox{Thank you so much for } \\ \mbox{that testimony.}$

going to read what I think is brief testimony on behalf of Borough President Eric Adams of Brooklyn, and then take a moment to channel my inner Steve Levin about what I heard here this morning as for myself as a citizen. I'll skip the formalities, you know, the good morning because it's good morning and all that stuff. Get right to the substance. While this Administration has made important efforts to address homelessness in New York City, there are still far too many young people without a place to call home due to abuse, neglect and violence, and that is an unacceptable situation. One area where the City can make significant impact is by fulfilling

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

its requirement to raise the age of who qualifies as homeless youth. Intro 1706 raises the age to 24--25, actually. Earlier this year the State Legislature passed the Raise the Age provision which is the subject of legislation that was introduced at my urging by Assembly Member Helene Weinstein and State Senator Diane Savino, raising the age for youth shelter to 25. I am pleased to see that last week New York City Department of Youth and Community Development issued a concept paper anticipating a new RFP for youth shelter that contemplates permitting access to youth up to age 25. However, I remain concerned by the caveats and conditions that are noted in the concept paper. Specifically, and concern that the bureaucracy might find an excuse in a soon-to-be promulgated state regulations rather than a way to get this done. RHY are often homeless due to abuse, sexual assault, disproportionately affect LGBTQ+ youth. How much longer should these young people have to wait while the City wraps itself in red tape? The state has met this challenge by raising the age so that RHY can get assistance in a safe, age-appropriate facility. Intro 1706 will take away any question of where New York City stands and

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

require DYCD to make the change. There are vulnerable young -- these are vulnerable young people in need of refuge. We cannot with good conscience continue to use technicalities to deny them the resources and services they need. The concept paper also indicates that Raise the Age is contingent upon the availability of additional resources. Additional resources that target youth homelessness, directing young people to age in resource-appropriate facilities are needed even without raising the age. This should not be permitted to stand in the way of implementing Raise the Age. We must find both the will and the way. I fully support Intro 1699, RHYR by definition in crisis when the City has an opportunity to positively interact with young people in crisis, we should not be limited in our response by time. Many RHY do not seek services. The ones who do should be given the full slate of resources the City has available for as long as those services are needed. To expect that RHY can fully address the very causes of their personal crises within a prescribed timeframe is short-sided. Our response to RHY who do manage to connect with our services should be one of compassion. Finally, tracking data must be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

important, an important part of the City's approach to addressing the RHY crisis. Intro 1700 will require the tracking of RHY as the interact of city services. This data can help us increase sufficiencies and serve more youth in need. understand the outcomes of the services provided if we have to thoroughly address the causes of homelessness and the effectiveness of our assistance. Specifically, this bill also creates a right to shelter for all who need it. Frankly, this is a debate we should be passed, but let us remove any ambiguity regarding the City's obligation to provide shelter to all. In the city with the most billionaires in the world, certainly we can ensure that no young person is relegated to live on the street, sleep on a subway grating, couch surf, or compromise their bodies, health or self-respect in exchange for a place to sleep safely at night. - those are the word of Borough President Adams. I do hope you do enact these bills. No, on behalf of me, myself and I as a citizen, I have to tell you, I-- there were points this morning where I wanted-- my head was going to explode. I have the highest respect for Commissioner Chong. I know him for 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

He was Deputy Commissioner at DYCD when I years now. first became Chair of Youth Services, and I know beyond a shadow of a doubt, and I hope no one out there has any doubt about this, his heart is 100 percent in the right place, no question. But when I hear the numbers, you know, 43, I have to tell you, you know, we should all go home, because problem's I mean, you know, I think we should be been solved. really proud of everything we've done in the last 15 years. I don't know why we're here, but I don't see anyone leaving, alright? Because it's just no way, I'm sure you're going to hear a lot of right? testimony that there's just no way. In 2005 or 06 with Council funding, a study was done of the numbers and characteristics of homeless youth in the City of New York. It was run with the coalition under the supervision and direction of a professor at Columbia They found 38,000 runaway and homeless University. youth in one of the categories that you, Mr. Chairman, mentioned that apparently are not included in the number 43. At a time when homelessness has expanded unfortunately in our city to believe that the number 38,000 has reduced itself by even half, which would be 19,000 amongst runaway and homeless

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

youth, that's mind boggling to me. I'd like to think we made progress. I don't think we've solved the problem, and I could hear the words, you know, there's more to do. I just think that the question is that there's a lot more to do, not you know-there are 43 beds and we're putting 300 on line, I mean, Councilman Johnson asked, you know, very pertinent, like, "Why are we doing that?" Alright? Why are we doing that? And you know, to cite the number of transports from street outreach is also a little simplistic, okay? We had hearings, and I'm really glad that you're having another one. It's been a while. They talked about disconnected youth. I know the jargon changes every couple of years. may be old-school here, you know? Youth who are just not connected to society in any way, they're disconnected youth, and my response during those hearings was that they're a militantly disconnected youth, people who aren't connected to society and damn well don't want to be. Well, they're not getting counted, okay? If you sleep in, you know, in an all-night McDonald's, you're not being counted, right? If you're riding the subway all night you're not being counted, okay? And as much as it's great

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we have groups like Safe Horizons doing street outreach, they're clearly not able to reach those people. Maybe the question should be how do we reach those people. Let them know that there are services, there are ways to break the cycle, get out of homelessness. That's, you know, that's the first thing that makes me nuts. The second is a lot of the conversation here about cost, which you know, I've said this so many times. I said it outside on the steps this morning. I must mutter it in my sleep. Every one of these young people who's out on the street at night is more likely to get physically-develop physical or mental health issues, have a brush with the law, become HIV positive. The cost of dealing with any one of those things is more than shelter bed program. So you can be the most fiscally conservative person in the world, the budget is a zero-sum game. I'd rather it come out of prevention than have to come out of cure. To me, a shelter bed program is that. And then when you talk about 700 some odd, you know, young people in the DHS system, and I love to talk to those, you know, people, find out why they're in the DHS system as opposed to DYCD and whether they'd be more comfortable in a DYCD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

facility. I'm not advocating for robbing Peter to pay Paul, but if they're not going to be in the DHS system, they're going to be in the DYCD system, that's kind of cost-neutral, right? So, there is no cost to that. So, you know, all of this stuff makes me a little nuts, and just the final overriding thought is again with all respect to DYCD, to the Commissioner, to Deputy Commissioner, I know their heart's in the right place, but what I listened to this morning was too many reasons why not, not enough reasons why. It's obvious why. You need to find a way to make it work as opposed to talking about agency prerogative, discretion that, you know, may or may not belong to them, and inadequate funding. Administration's done a great job, alright. You know, I think my last hearing on this subject I promised all of you that I'd come back and haunt you if it was necessary, alright? Hasn't really been necessary until this morning apparently. So, I'm really glad. Whatever quivels [sic] I might have with the Administration, this isn't one of them. They're doing great. We're not fighting for the same turf, shedding blood for the same turf, you know, every year at budget time. You know, I commend them for

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

taking shelter beds out of the budget dance. I think

3 that's magnificently compassionate. Now, we need to

4 move on to address the issues. I mean, even the

5 Federal Government has raised the age. The State of

6 New York has raised the age. How could the most

7 progressive city in this country not raise the age?

8 I don't get it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, former Chairman, Lew Fidler. I want to thank this entire panel. You know, I think that there needs to continue to be advocacy. It's one of the challenges over the last couple of years is how do you-- you know, when you're working either in the advocacy world or here at the Council with an Administration that you generally like and generally agree with and appreciate the things that they're doing, how do you light a fire under them when it needs to happen? So, I think continuing to advocate for raising the age here, for bringing these beds on line more quickly, for enhancing the level of services and the scope of accommodation is incredibly important. And as you said, Lew, confronting the reality and not hiding behind, you know, convenient methodologies, you know, counting the 43 people on the coldest night of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

year that you find, right? Let's be real here, and not delude ourselves, and so I think that that's going to continue to take advocacy. And so, you know, Lew's been an advocate for a long time, but the other two panelists, you are, you know, you're young people and we need advocates. We need you to be out there continuing to make this case and make sure that even when you, you know, agree with the Administration, you like the Mayor, you also got to push him as well. It's really important. So, I'll ask you to keep up with it, and this isn't going to be the last time we're going to need to have a hearing on this issue. So, we'll ask you to, you know, come back and keep beating the drum. you.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, may I say something?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes, Council Member Johnson.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you. I was—sorry, I was watching the testimony on the television downstairs while I had to take care of something, so I did hear the great testimony of this panel, and I wanted to run up before you guys left

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the table to just thank you, to thank you all for being here today to advocate on behalf of the folks that can't be here, you know, for really being incredible advocates for folks that have been left behind historically and oppressed in a big way. I just wanted to thank the young folks here and the other folks that are here as well. And I want to say about Lew Fidler, you know, not -- I don't want to sound like a broken record, but he really deserves it. He started championing this issue long before anyone else. He was further out there. He was on top of it. He was screaming at hearings in an effective way. He was going to the mat every year standing on the steps of City Hall. When I first came to the Council and when I was elected in 2013, in the first, I believe, six months of my being in office and Lew was out of office, he knew that I had a relationship with the Ali Forney Center. I used to be on the board of the Ali Forney Center before I was elected to the Council. He knew that I had Covenant House in my district, and he asked me to come out to Brooklyn to meet with him to talk about these issues even after he had left office. And he said, "Here are the things that we need to do. Here are the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

things you need to work on. Here are the tricks that are played. Here are the things that people aren't going to tell you about this issue and the way the bureaucracy kind of slows the wheels in city government on this." So, he did that. He's here I was just looking at a text message that I today. got from him in I believe it was April or May about the state regulations, him texting me saying, "Have you reached out to the Governor's Office to make sure they're handling these regulations properly?" out of nowhere. That talks to his commitment, not just through his 12 years in the Council, but him being here today, him being recognized by the Ali Forney Center and by other organizations for his fierce tenacity and advocacy. I'm sure there are many things that he's proud of throughout his time and career in public service, not just the 12 years in the Council, but the service to his neighborhoods and communities in South Brooklyn before he was elected. I really believe -- I don't want his obituary written, but the day that it's written, but the day that it's written I think one of the top things that will be in there is that Lew Fidler, a straight guy from South Brooklyn, became one of the fiercest, loudest, most-

2.2

2.3

dedicated, committed advocates and champions for runaway homeless youth. That is a big deal. He's made a tremendous impact in young people's lives across the City, and I think that it really tells us a story of just because you may look a certain way or come from a certain area or are categorized as a certain person, that doesn't mean that you can't be an advocate for all people. And that's the story of Lew Fidler, and I wanted to come back up here today to thank him for the work that he's done. He has saved countless lives through his years of advocacy, and I want to recognize him for that. So, thank you, Lew, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Council Member Johnson. So, next up at the Lew

Fidler fan club hearing 2017 we're going to have-- we

want to thank this panel. We do have a lot of

panelists, so we'll call them up, and I think from

this point forward we'll have to keep folks on the

clock if that's alright. We'll still have three

minutes for testimony. Next panel, Craig Hughes, we

have Nadia Swanson from Ali Forney, Loraine Williams

[sp?] from Bronx Defenders, and Jeremy Kohomban from

2 Children's Village. Jeremy, sorry for mangling your

3 | last name.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CRAIG HUGHES: Hello, thanks for this long-awaited hearing, desperately needed. I will try to be brief. I handed a little bit of a history dissertation in for testimony, so I won't go through all details. So, thank you again for having the hearing. My name is Craig Hughes. I'm with the Urban Justice Center's Mental Health Project, and you know, we go through some of the history of UJC. I think you guys know. I'm here presenting on behalf of the Mental Health Project, the Peter Cicchino Youth Project and the Safety Net Projects for Urban Justice Center. Just to give a little context of the historic magnitude of these bills, and I make no exaggeration in this. I'll just put forward that runaway and homeless youth have never been given sufficient resources in New York City, and since the current homeless crisis began in the late 1970s, they've been the last attended to typically. Their marginalization within the Safety Net can be seen in the City's doubt of their very existence, some of which we saw today. No one in their right minds believes there's 44 street homeless young people in

They help

1

25

this city. Going back to the early 80s, city 2 3 officials butted heads with advocates on how many 4 homeless young people walked are streets, and officials tended to say that the numbers were unknown or small. Advocates tended to say they were much 6 7 higher. I would lean to the fact that there's much higher; 3,800 is a reasonable estimate. That's 8 before the current homeless crisis. That was 2008. During the 90s the Giuliani Administration 10 commissioned an estimate of the size of the RHY 11 12 population, then suppressed a study that said there 13 were 20,000 RHY. Of note, that study found that, and I quote, "In 1990's system, the system of 191 beds in 14 15 emergency settings and 317 in transitional settings 16 provided only a fraction of the number." That was 17 under the Giuliani Administration. We saw less in 18 the Bloomberg Administration, but going back from 1990s, we're talking 500+ beds. Just to go into some 19 20 of the current gaps, while we're appreciative that 21 the current mayor has put some resources to this 2.2 population, the tendency to pat ourselves on the back 2.3 is a little too much, and we have some tempering for that. Just for clarity, for homeless youth under 21 24

youth-specific crisis beds are a lifeline.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our clients get off the streets. However, we saw [inaudible] that a 30-day stay is not only anxietyprovoking, it's also far too short. Four week stents in homeless shelters are not going to help anyone get off the streets. It's just reality. At four weeks in one of the most gentrifying cities is not going to happen. We need to raise the length of time. Just in terms of the discharge data, and this will be my last point, while the crisis beds tends to be a revolving door, some young people do go from crisis beds into the City's long-term TIL beds. just in terms of discharge data, approximately in three-quarters through FY 17, approximately 50 percent of the nearly 3,000 discharges from crisis beds resulted in youth going straight back into a crisis bed. Approximately, 50 percent were consisted of youth disappearing from service provision entirely. Many others went into really precarious living situations like living with an unrelated adult, incarceration, hospitalization -- I'll be very brief. Approximately 12 percent of discharges went into very residential living or supportive housing. And to get to your question about permanent housing, I appreciate the bureaucrat tendency to want to have

the answer of what is permanent housing -- I don't 2 3 know if you would ask that of ourselves, but okay. 4 Only 0.06 percent, so less one percent of discharges moved into their own apartment, 0.06 percent. 5 do track that data, in fact. What DYCD puts out 6 7 publicly is a different question, but they do track 8 the data. Unfortunately, DYCD doesn't always make that data very easily available. You can FOIA and get some of it through an arduous process, but some 10 11 of these bills do address the fact that there is 12 almost no data on youth using the DYCD system and 13 less data on the larger homeless youth population,

testimony goes through the details of that, and you may find some interesting figures. I did include discharge data from three-quarters through FY 17 in

speaking to the desperate need of it being passed.

My testimony-- or the bills being passed.

the last page of it, and happy to follow up with more data, but they do track more than they were putting

21 forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thanks. So, just to reiterate, 0.06 percent of youth discharged from the DYCD--

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

2.3

24

1

2.2

2.3

2 CRAIG HUGHES: [interposing] From crisis
3 shelters, it's a little bit higher in TIL beds.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

CRAIG HUGHES: But from crisis shelters,
0.06 percent that is duplicated discharges. So, when
they provide the data to us they give large aggregate
numbers. So that could be some of the same youth.
It is some of the same youth.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

CRAIG HUGHES: But 0.06 percent is what is a duplicated number.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: From crisis. What about TIL, do you know?

CRAIG HUGHES: Yeah, I do. The number of TIL going into their own housing, let's see. Okay, 18 percent of crisis discharges consisted of youth going to TIL beds. Of the 377 TIL discharges that we have three-quarters through FY 17, approximately 17 percent were discharged back into DYCD crisis shelters. So just for clarity, what-- to a transitional bed and then went right into a crisis bed.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

CRAIG HUGHES: Right? One step back.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CRAIG HUGHES: Another nine percent went into TIL shelters.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A big step back.

CRAIG HUGHES: Right into that four-week stent in a shelter. Another nine percent went into the adult shelters. More than 20 percent of discharges from TILs were moved into housing with friends or relatives, which if you've worked with young people is very precarious. Approximately 11 percent were discharges were youth accessing their own apartment, which is about the same percentage that simply disappeared from services. If we add all discharges -- if we add discharges of all made into a crisis or transitional shelter into-- discharges from a crisis or transitional shelter into incarceration or hospitalization into another type of shelter, we find that approximately 37 percent of TIL discharges are into hyper-precarious situations. Those numbers show a few things. First, they show the TIL system has better outcomes than the crisis system. less likely to discharge youth into more precarious situations. Secondly, youth tend not to access permanent housing through the TIL system, where two

years, plus years, into housing subsidies in this

city-- I appreciate that every time that DYCD comes

up in front of the City Council they say we'll get to

it. They still haven't gotten to it. We're almost

6 through a full Mayoral Administration with no access

7 | out of youth shelters.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can I ask is it-would you-- is it accurate to say that you're much
more likely to go into incarceration or
hospitalization than into permanent housing out of
the DYCD system?

CRAIG HUGHES: I can tell you that pretty quickly. So, just by the numbers, for young people who went into-- I want to give you a yes, but I don't know if that's quickly, that's easy. So, it looks like there was about four that went into correctional from a TIL bed and that went into their own apartment, it was-- sorry. Own apartment was looks like if I'm reading this correctly, 22.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

CRAIG HUGHES: So, it's significantly higher, but again, we're talking 22. Those you can guess are unduplicated individuals. Those are probably, you know, individual youth, and to 22 to go

2.2

2.3

into their own apartment from New York City, as

someone mentioned the most progressive city in the

country, to go into their own apartment, the number

being 22 is ridiculous.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In a year.

CRAIG HUGHES: That's three-quarters through FY 17. We didn't get the full FY 17 data yet.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

CRAIG HUGHES: But yeah, you're more likely to end up homeless than housed. That's true.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, you're more likely to be homeless than housed. Okay. Thank you. Jeremy?

JEREMY KOHOMBAN: Thank you, Chair Levin, members. I'll go through this very quickly. We are a DYCD provider. We provide shelter here in New York City and other jurisdictions. Let me start by stating the obvious. Disproportionality by place and race is the big problem. Most of our kids are of color. They come from poor segregated neighborhoods, and that's a crisis in New York City, and we see pockets of this, and most of our kids tend to graduate. While you can't attribute causation by

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what we see, but we see many of our kids in deed going into prison and going into the adult homeless shelters, and that's been a real problem for many years. We support Intro. 1699. We think children should be permitted to remain in shelters for longer periods of time. They need the treatment. They need the help. The key here would be to make sure that we have the services to give them the help so that it's not just another extended period of time with no outcomes than can be measured. With Intro. 1705, we We do this in our Westchester shelters. works, and even in a situation where the child is actually a DSS child and comes to us, we still do the paperwork. We process the child, sometimes hold a child overnight, and then hand over to DSS. prevents that child from walking the streets while we try to figure out what to do. With Intro. 1706, we agree, but with two cautions. And so ACS predicts that about 750 children will age out this year in New York City. Nationally that number is about 2,500 that will age out. We know from experience that many of them will find their ways into our shelters, as the young person from Florida mentioned earlier. So, two cautions: First, again, we need services so that

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they don't languish in there and then simply graduate into the only two other options that are available which are government-funded, which is prison and an adult homeless shelter. We don't want that. two, we need to make sure that we connect these children to someone, because the only solution that we find is one adult relationship that gives them permanent and unconditional belonging. Governments and charities do a poor job of being there for children as they turn into adulthood, and it would be a lie to claim that we do. Second, we should not be mixing children with 21 and 24-year-olds. There is a big difference there, not siblings. There's a big difference between a 16-year-old and a 23-year-old. So, as we would do with our own children, let's create two systems and serve them well.

URRAINE WILLIAMS: Good afternoon,

Chairperson Levin and members of the Committee on

Youth Services and the Committee on General Welfare.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you

today. My name is Urraine Williams and I'm a social

work intern at the Bronx Defenders. The Bronx

Defenders represent 10's of thousands of clients each

year, including youth and young adult, many of who

PATH at 2:00 a.m. in the morning. I sat across from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON YOUTH SERVICES 182 my mother who was holding my sister in her hand. Ι watched as they both slept, my tears carved my face, because I too wanted to sleep, but then I thought who would protect us if I did. After living in the family shelter for eight years with my mother she asked me to leave. I was now homeless and without family support. It was two weeks before my 18th birthday and it was cold and snowing outside. walked through the shelter door and down the slushy street trying not to drag my bag on the ground. I did not once look back. I only had one hour to get to Covenant House. I began to run, not stopping to pick up my clothes that fell out of the bag. I made it in time and I was able to stay at a Covenant House for Though it was not family and though the two months. food was not the best, and my things were not safe from being stolen, I felt safe and I had a bed to sleep on. I remember struggling to finish my college application, because having to sign in before curfew and not having complete access at the "Cov," but I also remember the staff accommodating my needs, and I felt supported and believed in. After the "Cov" I was placed at SCO Independence N1 [sic] a

transitional living program where I lived for eight

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2.2

2.3

months before moving into college. The staff there was supportive and they supported me even two years after leaving the program. I mentioned earlier that homelessness has the ability to erode hope and to dehumanize a person's psyche. Therefore, I strongly believe that providing shelter with empathetic staff to New York City homeless youth is giving them another chance to feel loved and wanted. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much for that testimony. Thank you for telling your story.

Thank you.

NADIA SWANSON: Hi. Thank you, Council Members, for letting me speak today. My name is Nadia Swanson. I'm a social worker and advocate at the Ali Forney Center. I was prepared just to read a testimony from one of the young people who was prepared today but couldn't make it, and I will do that. But I just wanted to say that part of my job at Ali Forney Center is I'm a case manager at our over 21-year-old's emergency housing site that we fight to keep open, this 20-bed shelter, and I sit with them every day, and I'm honored to sit with these like creative and amazing young people while they struggle to reach their goals, and they just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want to be working and in school, and they're struggling to keep their mental health straight and to keep their physical health and just strive in this awful like system that's just not helping them, and it breaks my heart, and it's awful, and we need to be doing everything that we can do to help them because the options are so limited for them. And I sit there and it's hard, and we need to make sure that we're helping them because they sit there for months and months waiting for these beds, especially waiting if they are approved for supportive housing. take months if they are approved for supportive housing. It can take months to even get that placement, and the amount of trauma that can happen during that time period is huge and is not okay. I say all that to say that I'm going to read a testimony by one of our clients, Joe Hayne [sp?], who couldn't make it here today. He wrote: "Thank you, Council Members and New York City Council for allowing not only myself but all of us to testify in support of these four bills today. I am Joe Hayne, 18 years old, gender-fluid, and my personal gender pronouns are they, them, theirs. I'm here today homeless since May testifying to all of you because I

have gone halfway across the country despite being from Ohio only to come here to now see every birthday with dread, knowing that my housing chances will dry up once I turn 21. It may seem silly. I have three years ahead of myself, and I've stayed in New York City for almost three months, yet, I cannot predict the future, including how many of you will vote on this matter. What I can say is that every single bed, 1700, 1699, 1705, and 1706 must pass, especially 1706, and if these pass you're giving so many people the one thing we never have enough of while homeless, time. You're giving so many youth I know within the age range more funding, more housing, more living, quite literally. None of us can control the passage of time regarding our age, but by increasing the age you're not increasing solely our vitality, you're increasing our hope, our chances of finding our own houses, apartments, condos, all places that we may one day call our home." Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much for the testimony. I greatly appreciate the young person that you're testifying on behalf of targeted advocacy, making sure that we, you know, we're

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 looking at all the bills but specifically the most
3 important ones. Please pass along our appreciation.

NADIA SWANSON: I will. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much to this panel. As I said to the last panel, it's, you know, it's incredibly important even when we, you know, are largely in agreement with this Administration that we continue to advocate and continue to hold their feet to the fire, because that's what needs to happen, and also, you know, it's good to see Jeremy, the providers out there as well advocating, knowing that, you know, they're also having to apply for, you know, programs and contracts, but it's important that they advocate as well, and make sure that -- it's very helpful for us to hear from all of you as we're looking at doing our oversight that we need to do. So, thank you. Okay, Jenn Strashnick from Covenant House, Jamie Powlovich from Coalition for Homeless Youth, Kate Des-- sorry? And Giselle Routhier of Coalition for the Homeless. Sorry, Kate, Legal Aid Society. Hi, okay. wants to begin, thanks.

JENN STRASHNICK: Good afternoon. My name is Jenn Strashnick and I'm a Senior Staff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Attorney at Covenant House New York. I would like to thank the Committees on General Welfare and Youth Services for the opportunity to testify today. Covenant House New York is the nation's largest nonprofit agency serving runaway homeless and trafficked youth, and we strongly support these important bills that are being discussed today that address long-standing barriers that have prevented youth from fully accessing the help that they desperately need. First, we support extending the length of stay for runaway and homeless youth. current time limit of 30 days with a possible 30-day extension is simply not enough time to work with a young person and address the needs that they have when they're in crisis. It's difficult to focus on healing from trauma, finding a job or addressing mental health issues when a young person's primary focus becomes where is he or she going to live after just 30 days. The result of the RHY shelters are forced to discharge youth before they are ready to leave. When no other youth shelter beds are available, youth are plunged back into homelessness. They couch surf, live on the streets, engage in survival sex, and some become victims of human

out of time at an RHY shelter or turns 21, they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sure that there is sufficient funding in order to be

able to help all of the young people in need.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finally, I would like to thank Council Member Eugene and Council Member Levin for holding today's hearing, and a special thank you to Council Member Johnson for continuing to be a champion for our youth. We thank the entire New York City Council for their support in

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much. Thank you.

the fight against youth homelessness. Thank you.

JAMIE POWLOVICH: Good afternoon. name is Jamie Powlovich, and I am the Executive Director of the Coalition for Homeless Youth. Coalition is comprised of 67 providers of services to homeless youth across New York State; 29 of our members are here in New York City. I'd like to start off by thanking Chair Levin and Eugene and the members of the General Welfare and Youth Services Committees for holding today's hearing regarding safe and accessible shelter for homeless youth. I would also like to thank specifically the General Welfare Committee for their diligent efforts to support the needs of homeless youth and for introducing the five pieces of legislation being discussed today. five bills are the most comprehensive set of reforms to services for homeless youth that we have seen in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Council is truly showing what a city decades. that cares for its most vulnerable youth can look like. CHY is in full support of all five of these bills, and we are eager to see the life-changing impact that they will have on many young people once they are passed. Before I start my testimony, I would like to clarify two things that DYCD testified The first is they mentioned that they don't track data around young people's mental health needs as well as whether or not they've been victims of sexual abuse or commercial sexual exploitation. is not true. New York City contracted providers have to fill out monthly reports to DYCD with no identifying data that -- and the reports asks all of those questions. And so they do have unduplicated numbers that are reported to them directly by providers every single month. And the second thing is the Commissioner referenced the OCFS regulations as a barrier to establishing how much additional funding they would need to bring bed on line. Although the OCFS regulations are being revised to reflect the law changes so that the law can go into effect January 1st, the first set of revisions to the regulations will only include the changes as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reflected in the law. There will then be a much more extensive revision of the regulations that will include a lot of the things that the Commissioner spoke of regarding the square footage requirements and the other physical structure, requirements that programs need to meet. However, that is anticipated to not even be available for public comment until the end of 2018, and so DYCD will need to move forward under the guidelines in the current regulations regarding the things that they mentioned. In regards to the five bills that are up for discussion, as I said, we support them wholeheartedly, and I definitely echo everything that the providers have said in support of them and will say in support of I would like to use my remaining 18 seconds to just give a few other recommendations for the Council's consideration. One, funding for older It has been mentioned that DYCD is in support of extending the age and length of stay as long as there's additional and separate resources. However, we ask that DYCD allow providers to access the current RFP to serve older youth. And then just really quickly, also it was mentioned we also suggest that DYCD explore funding for capital costs. Our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

providers report that it's the number one barrier for them being able to bring new beds on line is having the start-up money to do so. Also, as already mentioned, balancing the system, that DYCD needs to assess how many crisis and TIL beds they are bringing on line and make sure that they are not tipping to one side or the other. Also, regarding housing resources, as you mentioned, the City made a commitment to give DYCD residents access to housing subsidies. However, that still has not happened. needs to. And number five, that DYCD also needs to fund specialized housing specialists in the runaway homeless youth programs. DHS shelters as well as the foster care system have specialized staff to assist their residents with transition into permanency. DYCD does not. So, in conclusion, I just want to thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. They don't always work out all that well, but they need to be in every shelter, absolutely.

JAMIE POWLOVICH: Right. I'm not speaking, yeah, to the quality. That isn't ACS and DHS, but the funding is there--

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] They
3 need to, and many of them are good, but abs-- point
4 well taken. They need to be funded throughout the

system.

2.2

2.3

JAMIE POWLOVICH: Right, and it's just-it's a different skillset than case management, and-CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Oh yeah,
I think that they should recruit from real estate
offices. Because you need people to be able to find
apartments, not case managers. So, I agree.

KATE DE ZENGOTITA: Hello, my name is

Kate de Zengotita, and this is Theresa Moser, and

we're staff attorneys at the Juvenile Rights Practice

of the Legal Aid Society's Special Litigation and Law

Reform Unit. We'd like to thank the Committees on

General Welfare and Youth Services and Committee

Chairs Steve Levin and Mathieu Eugene for providing

us with the opportunity to be here and applaud the

Committee's dedication to this important issue. In

particular, we would like to thank Council Member

Corey Johnson and his staff whose hard work and

commitment to this population are steadfast. Each

and every one of the bills at issue today would, if

passed, have a meaningful and positive impact on the

25

lives of New York City's runaway and homeless youth. 2 3 As the Committees are aware, the Legal Aid Society is 4 class counsel for New York City's runaway and homeless youth in the aforementioned litigation that 2013 lawsuit in collaboration with Patterson, 6 Belknap, Webb, and Tyler, C.W. Versus the City of New 8 York, and through the course of this now almost fouryear representation, we've seen how urgently this system needs precisely the kind of reform that these 10 11 bills would legislate. We strongly urge the Council 12 to pass them all in order to ensure that RHY will 13 truly have access to the life-saving services available to those lucky enough to enter the youth 14 15 shelter system. Coalition for the Homeless will 16 speak on Intros number 1700 and 1705, and we join in 17 their testimony on those bills, and I will quickly 18 address the others. Under Intro Number 1619 it would finally be possible to assess how many youth are 19 20 being turned away from DYCD-funded shelter, clearly a 21 controversial issue in question. The current system 2.2 for assessing these numbers is rarely if ever used, 2.3 because it is impractical, and we believe that Intro Number 1619 will allow for meaningful data collection 24 and allow the City to have a better grasp on whom it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is unable to currently serve. Intro. Number 1699 with respect to the time limits: The current shelter time limits create an untenable cycle in which runaway and homeless youth are often unable to work quickly enough to make suitable living arrangements and are regularly discharged from shelters to the The proposed extended time limits in Intro 1699 are an important step toward allowing the shelter system to genuinely support our young people as they work to emerge from the system no longer homeless rather than to perpetuate that cycle. And finally, the Raise the Age bill. New York City should opt in to serve 21 to 24-year-olds as homeless young adults separate and apart from the DHS system. it is at this point well established by scientific research that while 21 to 24-year-olds are not children or even teens, in many important respects they are not yet adults, and the system should treat them accordingly. These young people, much like their younger homeless counterparts are not like older homeless people. They're homeless for different They cope with and experience homelessness differently, and they need different services and supports in order to emerge from homelessness as

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

healthy, self-sufficient people. Thank you again to the Committee for looking so closely at this, and we encourage you to pass all the bills before you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much.

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Thank you. My name is Giselle Routhier with the Coalition for the Homeless. We've submitted joint testimony with Legal Aid, so I'll echo everything that was just said, but also add a few points on Bill 1700 and 1705. So, 1700 would propose a simple, yet critical change. That's been the subject of much discussion today. The bill requires DYCD to report demographic information as well as service needs of the RHY population. In addition, the bill calls for data about where RHY go when they leave the youth shelter system, which is very critical as we've heard. These requirements not only foster transparency and accountability, but they are the means by which New York City will understand who its runaway and homeless young people are and what they need. The knowledge will enable our city to continuously improve services for RHY which will ensure that more of our young people will be able to achieve self-sufficiently. And crucially, the bill will also ensure that youth who are trying to access

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

youth-specific shelter will be able to do so. For 1705, the intake and assessment bill, even with the substantial improvements that these other bills would yield, some RHY will inevitably need to transition from the DYCD system to DHS. So, as it stands, there's a relatively complex and cumbersome process for young people to transition from DYCD to DHS intake to an assessment shelter to a long-term program shelter. Intro. Number 1705, if passed, would streamline that transition while youth are still in the DYCD system, allowing them to bypass standard DHS intake and assessment which is often very onerous and traumatic and a major deterrent, in fact, for young people. Although we know that DYCD and DHS have already been working towards these goals, it's important to ensure that these agencies continue to work together to support young people and a long-term particularly on this coordination. you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to thank you very much to this entire panel. I know that there's a lot more in your testimony, your written testimony, than you're able to get on the record here. So, all of that will be going into the record and we'll be

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

compiling that. You know, moving forward, I think we need to continue to keep in very close contact in monitoring all this, you know, very strenuously, and so I think maybe it's the right thing to do that within a year's time or so we should have a follow-up hearing to track all the things that came out of today's testimony from DYCD, but then also the recommendations that you are all making. Thank you so much. Next panel, Carolyn Strudwick-- I should preface all this by saying I really apologize beforehand for messing up anybody's last name. Catherine Trapani -- or first name. Elia Johnson? Sorry, Catherine Trapani, Homeless Services United, and Carolyn Strudwick from Safe Horizon, Elia Johnson, Brooklyn Defenders, and Jeff Foreman, Care for the Homeless.

CAROLYN STRUDWICK: Good afternoon. My name is Carolyn Strudwick. I want to thank you for allowing me to testify. I'm the Associate Vice President for Streetwork Project of Safe Horizon. Since 1984 Safe Horizon has operated Streetwork Project that serves homeless youth until age 24, and I just—since we are the holder of the City's outreach, I just want to clarify the number that the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Commissioner gave. He said 100, but yes, we do see 100 from November to February, the coldest months. That's not counting the rest of the transports that So I just want to clarify, that's only into our frigid winter months since we were put on line. I'm here to be in support of Intro. 1700 and 1705, and of course, this is what happens when most of my colleagues come ahead and really give all the hard So, I just want to point out the federal data which includes street level counts and shelter head counts estimate that on a single night in January 2016 there were 35,686 [sic] unaccompanied homeless The vast majority of those youths are between youth. the ages of 18 to 24 years old. Individuals in New York City which host the largest shelter of the homeless population made up nearly 5.6 of the national total unaccompanied youth 18 to 24. Within our own Streetwork Project, our data shows that the majority of the young people we see are between the ages of 18 to 24, which means that there's critical need to address these young people. And so one of the things that we want to reiterate and emphasize is the cut-off age at 21 in our crisis shelter is not really helpful for young people. Streetwork actually

has a funding to do benefits and housing, and while we're very successful at that, we do find that the complexities in navigating the system, both for the young person and the adult, which is a comp-- and advocate which is an combination of lack of supporting housing and subsidies for young people, and also them dealing with their mental health issues create barriers. So, young people do need extra time beyond 30 days in a shelter and over 21. Housing can take up to over a year at times just given the system barriers. The second piece that I wanted to address as well is the right to shelter. While the Mayor has -- I commend the Mayor for putting out the beds, however, in the last 300 beds that were put out, I think only 52 were filled, and it was mostly TILs. There are crisis beds that are still not out, and while we recognize there are variables attributed to that, I also want to reiterate that the cost factor should not be something that prevents young people the right to shelter, and I want to reiterate what Jamie said, that I hope that they look into capital cost in helping us to be able to put more of those beds on line. Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

ELIA JOHNSON: Good afternoon. 2 My name 3 is Elia Johnson, and I'm a Criminal Defense Social 4 Worker at Brooklyn Defender Services in our Specialized Adolescent Unit. Our team represents over 2,000 youth ages 13 to 21 annually. We are grateful 6 7 for the opportunity to speak today about the ways in 8 which the Department of Youth and Community Development can better serve runaway and homeless youth. Public Defenders in Brooklyn serve around 500 10 11 homeless 16 and 17 year olds every year. The numbers 12 are higher for older teens. In my experience, the 13 vast majority of these teenagers are not currently 14 served by RHY providers because there are no RHY 15 shelter beds in Brooklyn for young men and women who 16 do not identify as LGBTQ. About half of these 500 17 teenagers are made homeless by the criminal legal 18 system when the court issues an order of protection 19 after the young person has a fight or dispute with a 20 family member. The court's order of protection makes 21 it illegal for the young person to return home. In 2.2 contrast, in New Jersey when the police respond to a 2.3 domestic disturbance involving a young person in their family, the police take the young person to a 24 hospital and not to jail. Eric's story is typical of 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the youth who are made homeless by the criminal legal system. So, Eric was arrested after an incident in his home where he kicked his mother's door frame. Eric was arrested and arraigned in King's County Criminal Court at night. The judge issued a full Order of Protection. He was released from arraignment at midnight with a metro card and nowhere to go. Because of the Order of Protection Eric cannot return home. We need better options for young people who are made homeless because of an arrest. We already have a functioning RHY shelter system, but the system is underfunded and does not have enough beds, despite what DYCD's testimony to the contrary. Every time I go to arraignments for a homeless young person I call Covenant House, and they tell me they have no beds. Eric's story highlights the need for RHY crisis shelters in all five boroughs. written more about the logistical barriers to RHY shelters in all five boroughs in my written testimony. But in short, we believe that we need 300 beds at least in Brooklyn alone just to meet the needs of young people who go through Brooklyn Criminal Court every year. We applaud the City Council for taking these important steps by passing

the legislation before today's committee. Yet, we
encourage the City Council to go further to ensure
that the youth in all boroughs have access to a safe

5 place to sleep every night. Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Good afternoon. name is Catherine Trapani, and I'm the Executive Director of Homeless Services United. HSU is a coalition of approximately 50 non-profit agencies serving homeless and at-risk adults and families in New York City. HSU advocates for expansion of affordable housing and prevention services and for immediate access to safe, decent, emergency and transitional housing, outreach and drop-in services for homeless New Yorkers. As the organization that represents the non-profit DHS homeless shelter organizations, HSU has an interest in policy changes that impact homeless services delivery to our clients and to ensuring that our missions, staff, and programs are providing the most compassionate, effective, and efficient services to transform lives from homelessness to being stably housed. It is our belief that every person experiencing a housing crisis deserves access to high quality care and receives the support they need to overcome

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Homeless young people are no homelessness. exception. In fact, it is imperative that programs with specialized services to meet the unique needs of our youth such as DYCD supported drop-ins, outreach and shelter programs are appropriately resourced so that the young people they serve can achieve stability and not simply "graduate" to an adult homeless system not explicitly designed to meet their needs. And I do want to point out that there was a lot of talk today about Marsha's Place which we're really, really proud of as well as the two other programs, one run by Create and by Turning Point, and they are phenomenal adult programs to bridge the gap between DYCD and DHS, but just their-- they simply can't meet the need, and so I want to take the win and say like really good work on my members who I'm proud of, but acknowledge that we have a ton of work to do which is why we fully support the package of bills before the committee today. In order for them to have their intended impact, as has been stated over and over, we certainly need the funding to make sure that we can increase the length of stay for young people as well as raise the age, because it's been pointed out that as you do that, certainly the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vacancy rate will decrease if people are staying longer, and so you need even more beds to come online. So, I really want to applaud the committee for doing the hard work for getting this hearing together, and for holding DYCD's feet to the fire to really step up to the plate and do their part, because specialized shelter services that are offered by their shelters are really unique and important to prevent adult homelessness, and while we stand ready to serve those folks in the adult shelter system, we really believe in the specialized services that can really prevent that being necessary. However, when it does become necessary, we absolutely support the idea of a transition from DYCD directly into DHS to avoid the trauma and dislocation of having to go through those assessment sites simply to qualify for a service that we know that these young people are eligible for. So, it doesn't make any sense to us to not support that bill. So, while we support the whole package, that one in particular is of interest to us. and then lastly, I just want to say that while it's true that this Administration, the de Blasio Administration, has been really pro-active and good on homelessness, we still believe passage of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

future.

Thank you.

legislation is necessary because no matter which way
the political winds blow in the future, we want to
make sure that this is ensconced in law so that we
don't lose the progress that we've made in the

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes, thank you very much, and I appreciate that point which hasn't been raised yet today. And to the prior point about assessment centers is I have one down the street from my house. You know, it's a big-- you know, it's wellrun, but it's kind of big imposing place that I would think would be quite traumatic to go through. you very much to this entire panel. You raised some excellent points, issues that I think we really need to delve into and follow-up on. So, and for providing these different perspectives is extremely important. And you know, just for the first two panelists, you know, it's really important the work that both of your organizations do within the greater picture of runaway homeless youth services is, you know, -- everybody does their important part in this system, and so the system does not work without the work that your organizations do. So, I want you to know that we appreciate that. Thank you very much.

Okay, final panel: Cole Giannone, Rahmen LeClerk

[sp?], Jawanza James Williams, Towak Komatsu [sp?],

and Reed Vreeland. Okay, and whoever wants to

5 commence.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOWAK KOMATSU: Hi. I'm Towak Komatsu. I've testified previously at City Council meetings before Mr. Levin and others. One of the reasons why I'm here today is really my conscience more than anything else. I live in supportive housing and that's subsidized by HRA. I was assaulted in my own apartment on July 2nd of last year. As a result of that assault I sustained a concussion. It was internally foreseeable. I had a mentally unstable roommate that tried assaulting me on May 12th. I put HRA partners on notice of that fact; however, they refused to do anything. So, because of that I took 15 punches to my left temple on July 2^{nd} , and then I preformed extraordinarily badly during a job interview on August 18th, about three weeks after I was diagnosed with a concussion. So, if the roles were reversed, if you took 15 punches to your head, how well do you think you would perform when you're being considered for a seat on the City Council? And if the legislation that is being discussed today is

2.2

2.3

essentially to put teenager in the hands of HRA at the same time that they have all these systemic problems with existing shelters with their existing partners that are committing "bait and fraud"--sorry, "bait and switch frauds" with tenants in their supportive housing, then shouldn't those existing problems be addressed before a new group of people are thrusted into the hands of HRA that is already negligent and whose commissioner repeatedly makes fraudulent statements while testifying under oath?

That's pretty much all I have to say.

REED VREELAND: Thank you, Chairman Levin and members of the Committees on Youth Services and General Welfare for hearing my testimony today. My name is Reed Vreeland. I'm here representing Housing Works, a healing community of people living with and affected by HIV/AIDS. Founded in 1990 we are the largest community-based HIV service organization in the United States and provide a range of integrated services for low-income New Yorkers living with and at-risk for HIV. From housing to medical and behavioral healthcare to job training, our mission is to end the dual crisis of AIDS and homelessness. On behalf of Housing Works and the young people we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

serve, I thank Mayor de Blasio and DYCD for taking significant initial steps to expand housing and services for homeless youth in New York City. Yet, I urge the Council to enact legislation that would more aggressively and systematically combat youth homelessness. The Council must help to close the large gap between the youth housing and services that NYC provides and what is needed. The most recent New York City homeless youth count, a point-in-time count of sheltered and unsheltered homelessness youth identified 1,805 unaccompanied homeless youth age 24 and younger in New York City, and found that around 1,600 were sheltered and 152 were unsheltered on the night of February 8th. So, that's just for one single night. When responding to the youth count survey, 20.5 percent, so a fifth of the unsheltered youth, indicated that they had stayed in 10 or more places over the past month. Yet, according to DYCD's annual report, 753 runaway and homeless youth beds will be in place by 2019, and we know that those are not in place yet. At Housing Works we have long demonstrated that housing is healthcare. especially true for young people. homeless youth often face multiple risk factors for HIV and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

infection. Research studies show that the longer duration of time spent homeless and unsheltered is associated with more frequent engagement in HIV atrisk behaviors. The CDC reports that young people age 13 to 24 counted for 22 percent of all new HIV diagnoses nationally, and youth with HIV are the least likely out of any group to be linked to care and have suppressed viral load which helps the person stay healthy and makes them unable to transmit HIV sexually. In New York State, HIV-positive young people ages 19 to 24 have significantly lower rates of viral load suppression when compared with older HIV-positive persons and part of that is lack of support. Providing stable housing and wrap-around services for homeless youth does more than combat the homelessness crisis. It can also greatly improve public health and even help end New York's HIV/AIDS In fact, the New York City and State epidemic. blueprint for ending the epidemic specifically recommends reducing new HIV incidents among homeless youth through stable housing and supportive services, and Housing Works supports the full implementation of this recommendation. I'm going to keep it brief because I know I'm already over time, so I'm just

2 going to go say that Housing Works supports Intro.

1706, Intro. 1799, Intro. 1700, Intro. 1619, and

4 Intro. 1705, and much of the testimony from Coalition

5 for Homeless Youth, Ali Forney, and others that I

6 heard today. Time and time again the City Council

7 has demonstrated leadership by passing legislation

8 that will help vulnerable New Yorkers. The City

9 Council now has an opportunity to improve and expand

10 runaway homeless youth services and put New York City

11 on a pathway to end youth homelessness. Your

12 | leadership is needed. Thank you.

1

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JAWANZA WILLIAMS: Yeah, my name is

Jawanza, and I'm an organizer with Vocal New York. I

organize Queerocracy and LGBTQ Youth Organizing

Project where we're trying to build leadership

advocacy skills of young people that are experiencing

homelessness, just because we're organizing LGBTQ

people and we all know that 40 percent of all runaway

and homeless youth in the U.S. identify as LGBTQ.

So, that just there demonstrates, you know, a

disproportionate impact of homelessness among queer

folks and gender non-conforming folks, and non-binary

folks. And I think also, because I'm a lot more

political than a lot of the folks that have been on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the, you know, panels here, and I'd like to think that-- or I don't like to think, but I like to acknowledge that we have to remember that who's homeless, and we're saying things -- whenever DYCD is taking positions and using like statistics or methodologies to demonstrate why they're not doing something, we have to call them out on that because that is not okay, because the people that I see when I go and do Social Justice Power Hour at the Ali Forney Center, they're black and brown, they're lowincome, they're gender non-conforming, they're nonbinary, and they're trans people. So, like, these are the people-- and I think that folks talked about this when they were on the panel, that we have to remember to uplift those folks. With that said, I also wanted to just thank Council Member Levin and Eugene for this hearing which was really intense, and I really appreciated it, and Council Member Johnson for the legislation. Ali Forney Center, the Campaign for Youth Shelter Safety and Coalition for Runaway and Homeless Youth with Jamie really did a lot of work to make sure that we could have this. I want to take us back a couple years ago. Ali Forney Center, Vocal New York, and Act Up New York, [inaudible]

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Coalition [inaudible], we organized a town hall about HIV impacts for -- and among LGBTQ youth, and this issue about DYCD and extending the age came up which is what I really want to talk about, why we should raise the age from just 16 to 21 to 21 and 24 is because DYCD actually was in the space. Staff that was here today were there, uh-huh, and overwhelmingly we were like, "Well, we need this -- we need 21 to 24 to be able to access, you know, safe and affirming shelter that we find with DYCD shelters like Ali Forney Center." And they basically argued that well, "We can't do anything because the state legislation won't allow us. You have to change a state law," and they just wanted to move on. organized for the next two years and we got that state law changed, and now there's more excuses. the last thing I'll say really is-- or the last two things I'll say. They kept saying that there was only, you know, very minimal amount of folks that are experiencing homelessness right now who are young, and I'm thinking but at the same time they're arguing that they're concerned about the exponential cost that it's going to house 21 and 24-year-olds. there's so few people and your beds are not at

1

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

maximum capacity, then why are you so concerned? And
I think Jamie mentioned that where we could use
existing RFPs to house 21 to 24-year-olds, and the
last thing I'll say, and I'll echo Reed here, is that
these are the folks that are at risk of HIV
infection, and if you're concerned about cost, you
want to reduce cost, then you need to reduce folks

contracting HIV, because those are the same folks that are going to be in our state Medicaid, and yeah,

11 we need to save money that way. So, thanks.

NICOLE GIANNONE: Alright, Jawanza, Thank you Council Member Levin and Eugene thanks. for hosting this hearing today. My name is Cole Giannone. I'm from the Ali Forney Center. I've been there like almost seven years, but I've been working on this issue with many people in this room and outside this room for the past three years who are really excited to see that there's a bill on the table that will help 21 to 24 year olds. I want to respond to the numbers that were given to you to say to the DYCD, that last night the seven young people over 21 and 12 people under 21 that stayed at the drop-in may have self-selected to stay at the drop-in over other open-bed facilities. I think when we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

frame the issue of young people not really-- they don't deserve to choose where they go because they should be happy to have an open bed where they go, that's the underlying message that I receive when people say, "Well, there were open beds and they didn't go," and I just wanted to vocalize that on record. Okay, so at AFC for the past years that I've been there, we continue to see our numbers grow. of the clients that come to us identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, and trans, and every year also we see more folks over the age of 21 come in through our I want to echo what Jawanza said, 20 percent of our clients identify as transgender or gender nonbinary, which is far higher than the general population as far as we know, and 90 percent of them are youth of color. So, I really do think it's important to say that when we talk about the bills that are possibly going to be passed, that we are talking about the protection of black and brown queer youth of color. That's implied. So, I also like to talk about the human element of this, and I said this earlier outside, but we like DYCD housing. It provides and opportunity in small home-like environments for young people to rebuild the lives

2.2

2.3

youth.

Thanks.

that I think they're destined for, and to be able to do that for 21 to 24-year-olds in the same way we have for 16 and 20-year-olds would be really remarkable. We would not move folks from youth shelter to adult shelter, kind of furthering or increasing the numbers of adults becoming chronically homeless. I think it makes financial sense to serve them in these smaller home-like environments so that we can get them out of the system at a younger age. So, I ask that City Council does pass Intro. 1699 and Intro. 1706 to give young people more time in our programs and to be able to provide services to older

RAMONE LACLERK: Good afternoon. My name is Ramone LaClerk [sp?]. I represent Queerocracy, New Alternatives, and Act Up Youth Council-- Youth Caucus, excuse me. I'm sorry. This is-- I'm 30 years old. I've been homeless since I aged out of foster care at 21. I went into the Streetworks Project after staying a little while with my mother, and they provided me, you know, a place to stay for three months. They-- it was a 90-day stay at that time on my first day in a drop-in. One of our other committee members, Craig, was a staff member while I

2

was there, and that experience helped me exponentially because I was petrified of going to a 3 4 DHS shelter. I am a sis-gender, heterosexual male, but I have very mild cerebral palsy, and the horror stories I heard about DHS had me fearing for my life. 6 7 I was so afraid, and I expressed these fears at 8 Streetworks, and when my 90 days was up my case worker made a suggestion to me to do something that you could say was impractical, but he knew Kate 10 11 Barnheart [sp?] who is the director at New 12 Alternatives, but she was working at Sylvia's Place 13 at the time, and he made the suggestion that I go there, because I was so petrified. Even though I do 14 15 not identify -- I identify as LGBT, he wrote a letter 16 explaining my situation, and Kate willingly accepted 17 me saying that she got to put that I'm bisexual on 18 the paperwork, which I had no problem with. But you 19 know, I-- in those stays really helped deflate the 20 fears a little bit and made me also grow for when 21 time came to go to DHS, but it's hard. It's really 2.2 I'm still in DHS, but you know, our young 2.3 people deserve the opportunities that I had, because I turned 21 in 2008. So, those young people today 24 25 deserve the opportunities between 21 and 24 to have a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

safe shelter because honestly DHS isn't right for anybody no matter your age, because they are so unsympathetical [sic], unempathetic [sic] to clients' needs. It's all profit. Get out. Get out. Go do this. Not recognizing that there are limits and our economy is so bad that it's hard to find a job and be able to save money to move into your own, you know. It's just really difficult, and I hope that we pass all proposed bills.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you everyone for telling your story, and I think that's an important -- it's important to hear the impact of these programs on people's lives, that not, you know, not everybody fits into easily categorized or, you know, but that throughout the system there are people in the programs that -- and working, it's great that you also mentioned Craig as, you know, his partnership. It's a partnership in moving forward and establishing, you know, the road to permanency. And so I think it's a good testimony to end on for the day, but I want to thank this entire panel for your testimony, for your patience for being here all afternoon, all morning, actually, and you know, again, it's so important that we keep advocating,

calling out where it's needed, not being satisfied with the status quo and making sure that we're held accountable here in doing our job and making sure that the Administration is being held accountable as well. And so I want to thank you all very much for your time and your testimony and your insight. Thank you. Are there any other people that wish to testify this afternoon? Okay, seeing none at 2:53 p.m., this hearing—oh, that's wrong, excuse me, 2:59 p.m.—oh, sorry, 3:00 p.m., let's round it off, 3:00 p.m. this hearing is adjourned. Thank you.

_ -

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 17, 2017