CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

----X

March 9, 2010 Start: 11:15 am Recess: 11:55 am

HELD AT: Hearing Room

250 Broadway, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Brad S. Lander Maria del Carmen Arroyo Daniel J. Halloran III Rosie Mendez

Annabel Palma
James Sanders, Jr.
Jumaane D. Williams
G. Oliver Koppell
Stephen Levin

APPEARANCES

Jenny Fernandez Director of Intergovernmental And Community Relations NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission

Jeff Kondrat Assistant Commissioner of Acquisitions And Construction Services DCAS

Robert Perris District Manager Brooklyn Community Board 2

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I call this

3

hearing of the Land Use Subcommittee on Landmarks,

4

Public Siting and Maritime Uses to order.

5

everyone for being here this morning. We're

6

joined by Council Member Palma and Council Member

Williams. Welcome to the committee, Council

Member Halloran.

9

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Glad to

10

be here.

11

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And we're

12

joined this morning by Council Member Koppell; one

13

of the items in his district. I'm Council Member

14

Brad Lander and the chair of the subcommittee. We

15

have two items this morning, one Landmarks and one

16

Public Siting. We don't have the LPC here with

17

the landmarks issue. Council Member Koppell is

18

here and we're hoping that Council Member Levin

19

might be able to make it in time to join us for

20

the public siting which is in his district.

21

absence of the LPC, I'll just announce and read a

22

little bit about the landmarks action and we can

23

take Council Member Koppell's advice on it.

24

We'll turn to LU 0045, the Perry

25

Avenue Historic District, 20105275, which is the

2 designation of the Perry Avenue Historic District.

3 The Perry Avenue Historic District consists of the

4 property bounded by a line beginning at the

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 intersection of the northwestern curb line of

6 Perry Avenue with a line extending southeasterly

7 | from the northeastern property line of 2987 Perry

8 Avenue northwesterly along said property line to

9 the northwestern property line of 2987 Perry

10 Avenue, southwesterly along said property line on

11 the property lines of 2985 through 2971 Perry

12 Avenue to the southwestern property line of 2971

13 Perry Avenue southeasterly along said property

14 line to the northwestern curb line of Perry

15 Avenue, northeasterly along said curb line to the

16 point of the beginning. You can see why it's

17 worthy of historic designation.

There was a hearing at the LPC on June 23rd, 2009, duly advertised in accordance with the provisions of the law. Three people spoke in favor, including representatives of Council Member Koppell, the Landmarks Conservancy on the Historic District Council. There was no opposing testimony and the commission also received a letter of support from Louisa Gonzalez,

2 owner of a home within the historic district.

of the district and a little bit on it.

Rather than give any of the summary or details of it, maybe I can just turn it over to Council

Member Koppell who can give us a quick description

very much, Mr. Chairman. I want to congratulate your being chairman of this subcommittee, which I used to be a member of and I think it's really a wonderful committee to be on because the work that you do to try and preserve the character of neighborhoods is really something very important.

One thing I'd like the committee members who are here to do is to look at this report. On the front it says Perry Avenue Historic District and it has a picture of the district. You can see some of the houses there. Then if you turn to three pages from the end, you have the best picture, which has pretty much all of the houses on it. It's Figure 23 and 24, if you're looking at it. That's what we're preserving. As you can see, that's not probably what most might think of when they think of the Bronx. If you look at the block, this is somewhat

out of character. If the rest of block were
replicated we'd just have a block of apartment

4 houses. But we're preserving these buildings.

that people know of Jerome Avenue, but some people might not know that Jerome Avenue is named after Mr. Jerome, who's first name I forget for the moment, but he was a wealthy businessman and land owner who owned the whole northwest part of the Bronx. At the end of the 19th century, there was a Jerome Park Racetrack, a horse racing track not far from here. He owned this whole tract which was then subdivided and sold off in the 1800s and early 1900s. These houses were built in the early 1900s.

By the way, just a little side
light, Jennie Jerome, who was Jerome's daughter,
is the mother of Winston Churchill. Jennie Jerome
married an English nobleman and Winston Churchill
was her son. So Winston Churchill is, to some
extent, the son, in a way, of the Bronx, which I'm
very proud of.

Obviously these houses are quite special and deserving of protection. The

community board was favorably disposed. A number of the homeowners came to a meeting in the community and were positively disposed. I think that the Landmark Commission should be encouraged to do more of this kind of preservation in the outer boroughs. I'm very happy that they're doing this in my district. They've done some other things in my district which I've strongly supported. I would urge that the subcommittee

vote in favor of the historic designation.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very much, Council Member Koppell. We've been joined by Council Member Mendez and Council Member Arroyo. Good morning. We've been joined by Jenny Fernandez from the LPC. We have done our own little rendition. I read some of the basics and then Council Member Koppell gave us a very nice summary about what the block is like and some of the history as well. So I think we can dispense with the full presentation. If any Council Members have any questions or would like additional information from the LPC, they're here, but I think Council Member Koppell did a good job of letting us know. It's easy to see from the

2 pictures, obviously, and then reading the history

and hearing a little bit about the history what's

4 meritorious about this block and we're glad to be

5 presented with it.

JENNY FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I apologize for my lateness. We're in the middle of our public hearing over at LPC, so I was running over as quickly as I could.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: You shouldn't schedule an LPC public hearing and our hearings on the same day. Are there any questions from members of the committee? We don't have anyone signed up to testify here. So I think with that we can conclude the public hearing on this matter.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you. We are going to move on to our second item, LU 0047, office space at MetroTech, a proposal by the Department of Citywide Administrative Services to lease 85,000 square feet of property to MetroTech for DoITT, the Department of Information

Technology and Telecommunications, action number 20105401. We'll start with a presentation from Jeff Kondrat from DCAS and then we have one person

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 9 signed up to testify. 2 [Pause] 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very 5 much for joining us. If you can just go ahead and б state your name for the record and then go ahead 7 and present your testimony, I would be most 8 grateful. 9 JEFF KONDRAT: Good morning, Chair Lander and the other members of the Landmarks, 10 11 Public Siting and Maritime Uses Subcommittee. I'm 12 Jeff Kondrat. I'm an Assistant Commissioner of 13 Acquisitions and Construction Services at DCAS. 14 On behalf of Commissioner Martha K. Hirst, I'd 15 like to thank you for the opportunity to discuss 16 this notice of intent to acquire submitted by DCAS 17 pursuant to Section 195 of the City Charter for 18 the use of office space at 2 MetroTech in Brooklyn 19 for the Department of Information Technology and 20 Telecommunications. 21 On behalf of DoITT, DCAS is 22 currently negotiating an 85,000 foot lease for

offices and a data center at 2 MetroTech in

Brooklyn for DoITT. This facility it to relieve

overcrowding conditions at several DoITT locations

23

24

25

and to establish a new data center which will 2 service various city agencies. Approximately 460 3 staff will be located at 2 MetroTech. 4 5 consolidation of DoITT staff at this site will streamline operations and enhance efficiency. 6 DCAS submitted the Notice of Intent 8 to acquire application to the Department of City 9 Planning on December 3rd, 2009 and the application 10 was approved by City Planning on February 24th, 11 2010. Thank you for the opportunity to testify 12 here on this Notice of Intent to acquire the 13 office space at 2 MetroTech and we urge the 14 Council to support this action. We're pleased to 15 answer any questions you may have. 16 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks, Mr. 17 Kondrat. With your permission and with the permission of other members of the committee, what 18 I'd like to do is invite Community Board 2 19 20 testimony. We'll listen to him. You can stay or 21 you can come back and then we can ask you some 22 questions after we've had the chance to hear that. 23 The next testifier is Robert Perris, the District 24 Manager of Brooklyn Community Board 2. 25 ROBERT PERRIS: Chairman Lander,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 10

1

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 11

б

members of the committee and agency personnel, my name is Robert Perris and I am the district manager for Brooklyn Community Board 2. I am here today to testify on an application by the Department of Citywide Administrative Services on behalf of the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications, DoITT, to acquire 85,000 square feet of office and data center space in the building located at 2 MetroTech Center within Community District 2.

The Security Industry Automation

Corporation, otherwise known as SIAC, a subsidiary

of the New York Stock Exchange is currently a

tenant of 2 MetroTech Center. Although no

official statement has been made, it is the

community board's understanding that SIAC intends

to relocate when its lease expires in October

2010. Furthermore, Community Board 2 believes it

is this space that DCAS intends to acquire.

Due to the sensitive nature of SIAC, security was tightened in and around this building in the aftermath of the September 11 terrorist attacks. The heightened security includes checkpoints at various locations around 2

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 12MetroTech Center that have had negative impacts. 2 Community Board 2 has hoped that the expiration of 3 4 the SIAC lease would provide an opportunity to 5 redress these impacts. The community board is requesting the City Council's assistance in 6 ensuring these issues will not be prolonged by the lease under review. 9 One impact is the rerouting of the 10 B54 bus. Prior to the development of the 11 MetroTech office and academic campus, the B54 bus 12 traveled on Myrtle Avenue with its western 13 terminus on Jay Street. Pursuant to the Uniform 14 Land Use review procedure and other review 15 associated with the MetroTech Development, the bus was relocated to a service road that passes 16 17 beneath One, Two and Three MetroTech Center. 18 When security was increased around 19 2 MetroTech Center, the B54 was prohibited from 20 using the service road. The bus route was 21 relocated to a circuitous route around MetroTech 22 and SIAC makes a sizable annual payment to the New

23

24

25

The security perimeter established

York City Transit for the additional fuel costs

resulting from the detour.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 13

б

around 2 MetroTech Center also disrupted the road network in the area. For example, residents of BellTel Lofts at 365 Bridge Street are not currently able to drive the few hundred feet from Willoughby Street to the building's garage because Bridge Street is closed to through traffic.

Residents must instead enter MetroTech at Myrtle Avenue, present identification to security personnel and then wind their way through the campus to the garage.

The security checkpoints also constrict commercial leasing and deliveries. The impact of these closures on traffic in downtown Brooklyn is compounded by other restrictions around 9 and 11 MetroTech Center and on the Fulton Mall.

The security precautions associated with SIAC have also prevented leasing of the first floor of 2 MetroTech for retail use. The designers of the campus wisely included retail space on the first floor of the new buildings facing the MetroTech commons, providing for a livelier streetscape. However, there are a small number of store fronts and the loss of even one

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 14

б

has had a deadening effect, both at that location and throughout the public open space.

As I already stated, Community
Board 2 has looked at the departure of SIAC as an opportunity to undo these negative impacts.
Returning the B54 bus to the MetroTech Service
Road would provide travelers in the northern part of the community district, which in some places is a long walk from subway service, with a more direct connection with the Jay Street/Borough Hall subway station, a destination of many riders.

New York City Transit has expressed to the community board its desire to resume B54 bus service on the MetroTech Service Road, which would reduce congestion on Fulton Street between Flatbush Avenue and Jay Street and help maintain scheduled service on other routes that use the transit way.

around 2 MetroTech Center would also permit the reopening of several streets in the area improving circulation. In addition, easing the level of security would permit Forest City Ratner

Companies, the building owner, to lease the ground

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 15

2 | floor commercial space if it wishes.

б

One question asked pursuant to the fair share analysis associated with this application is whether the facility can be located so as to support development and revitalization of the city's Regional Business Districts. Freer movement and activity in and around 2 MetroTech Center will contribute significantly to the revitalization of downtown Brooklyn, the city's third largest central district.

Community Board 2 does not oppose the proposed lease of the office and data center space of DoITT in 2 MetroTech Center. DoITT has been a gracious and helpful partner in technology improvements currently underway at the community board. The board has not received complaints related to DoITT's tenancy elsewhere in the MetroTech campus.

Since the community board submitted similar testimony to the City Planning Commission, it has read that SIAC will continue to occupy at least some space in 2 MetroTech in the future.

This may limit the opportunity that Community Board 2 has been looking forward to for years.

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 16

б

Nevertheless, Community Board 2 requests that

DoITT prepare its facilities plan so its use of 2

MetroTech Center does not prevent the reopening of nearby streets, returning the B54 bus to its prior route and enabling the commercial use of the ground floor of the building.

The application states DoITT will continue to occupy space in 11 and 15 MetroTech Center. The community board asks DoITT to formally agree to consolidate any sensitive equipment and personnel in 11 MetroTech Center which is already within another high security area. A note that neither the proposal in the citywide statement of needs for fiscal years 2009, 2010, nor the proposal description in the application state a need for high security environment. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

much, Rob. Mr. Kondrat, will you come back and join us? We've just been joined by Council Member Steve Levin. Steve, we had DCAS present their application and now we've heard Community Board 2's testimony and I was just inviting DCAS back up

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 17 to respond to it. 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 3 As I just walked in, I'll defer to the chairman. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: You've heard the testimony from Community Board 2. I'm sure 6 7 that you had gotten the letter they presented at the Planning Commission. I think the general 9 question is, are the planned DoITT uses consistent 10 with the possibility of reopening streets and the 11 bus route and ground floor retail? Can the city 12 be involved in helping restore those things to the 13 neighborhood that were lost? 14 JEFF KONDRAT: As you know, 2 15 MetroTech is part of the larger MetroTech complex. 16 As such, the existing security in the MetroTech 17 complex, or changes in those arrangements would 18 need to be reviewed comprehensively and in a broad 19 aspect by the police department, by the Transit 20 Authority and by DoITT. So it's a bigger question 21 than just 2 MetroTech and this 85,000 feet. 22 building is about 600,000 feet. We're taking part 23 of the building. 24 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So 25 understanding that, I guess part of the question

1

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 18 is, are the uses that DoITT is specifically 2 proposing to put in these 85,000 square security 3 or uses that require heightened security over 4 5 other office building space. Obviously, some of DoITT's uses do require that I assume. 6 JEFF KONDRAT: Yes, but I think that it's not DCAS or DoITT really that could 8 9 really access DoITT's occupancy in this building and how it affects the whole MetroTech campus. I 10 11 think the police department would definitely need 12 to be involved. There has to be a thorough 13 evaluation on that. So I don't know whether DCAS 14 and DoITT could really respond to that. It's a 15 bigger question basically. 16 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: The question 17 of the security needs of what DoITT specifically 18 will be doing in their 85,000 square feet? 19 JEFF KONDRAT: And how they relate 20 to the broader campus. 21 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So I 22 appreciate that the questions of the MetroTech 23 security plan are not DCAS or DoITT's but it would 24 seem to me that the security requirements 25 specifically of what DoITT is going to put in this

space are something that I would hope when you

3 come before the city asking for lease, I mean if

4 this requires high security, it would be known.

Again, as I think some of DoITT's applications would certainly generally responsibly require, that would reasonable to inquire of DCAS and DoITT. And not can you reopen the streets or can you provide plan for retail, but are the uses contemplated by DoITT in this space, do they require because they are maintaining secure data for example and servers, that DoITT would want there to be, regardless of where it was, to have a heightened level of security.

Standpoint, from designing the space and whatever security arrangements that we're putting in this space, we're still going through that right now.

I don't think it's finalized but I would have to defer to DoITT to answer any specifics they're thinking of. I'm sorry, but we're still designing the space right now and we're still negotiating their lease, so it's not finalized.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member Levin and then I may pick this back up again.

agencies?

very much. This proposal is within the 33rd

District, which I represent. I just want to

follow up. Would you be willing to say that there
is a commitment on the part of DCAS to work with
the broader agencies, work with the police
department, to see that the community board's
issues are able to be addressed? Is it your plan
to, kind of down the line, work with your sister

I mean, I know that you can't speak for them now and obviously it's a broader issue and we're dealing with sensitive information. In terms of protection, security is important. But we want to see if there are ways in which we can have a continued dialogue and a commitment to work together and work with the community board and the community at large.

JEFF KONDRAT: We have spoken to the police department about this. We've given them a copy of the community board's letter. We would continue to work with the police department if they needed anything from DCAS regarding this specific space or anything else in the MetroTech

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 21 So, I guess the answer is yes, we would 2 area. work with the police department on this. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Also, a 5 continued dialogue to work with the community б board and perhaps come from time to time, maybe 7 every couple of months, and seek their advice or 8 seek their input? 9 JEFF KONDRAT: Again, I think it's 10 a police department lead on this. I think any 11 specific DoITT issues are DoITT's to answer. But 12 we would definitely come in if asked to address 13 things, whether it's by DoITT or by the community 14 board or by the police department. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I think my 16 main concern is making sure that we have partners 17 that we can work with. 18 JEFF KONDRAT: Always would be 19 willing to answer any questions directed to us by 20 all the players here, the Council included, of 21 course. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I will defer 23 24 to Council Member Levin, whose district this is in 25 on the questions of this space, but I have to say

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22

2324

25

the broader issue it seems to me when you're presenting a lease that's going to come before us, it's a reasonable question to understand what the security requirements of the uses that are being lease are. So independent of the concerns of the community related to opening the streets, I feel like it's a reasonable question of the Council on the level of security requirement. There has got to be some way that you can answer it for us. you can't do it today, you can't do it today. But I think it's a reasonable request of the Council that we would understand is the use being contemplated in a lease here a normal office use that has no expanded security beyond what a normal city office would be or is this a sensitive use that therefore requires some amount of heightened security over an able what would normally be required in a standard city office.

JEFF KONDRAT: DoITT has said to us that they would accept space in a multi-tenanted building. That should give you an idea of the level of security. They haven't asked us to have a standalone site away from a downtown environment or something like that. They've accepted a multi-

1	subcommittee on Landmarks, public siting and maritime uses 23
2	tenanted building. So that should give us an idea
3	of what the kind of security that they've asked us
4	for. We're all going to probably have cards to
5	get it, just like a building like this.
6	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes, exactly.
7	JEFF KONDRAT: But the design of
8	the space we haven't finalized yet.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I appreciate
10	your elaborating. This is my office lease
11	hearing, so I'm new to this role as well. I think
12	if there are different levels of security in some
13	of the things we look at, perhaps we can talk in
14	the future about a way to understand what those
15	are from our point of view, without delving into
16	things that should obviously be clear.
17	JEFF KONDRAT: I think the multi-
18	tenanted building gives you a hint at the idea
19	behind what DoITT is looking for.
20	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: That's a
21	helpful elaboration. Thank you, I appreciate
22	that. Thank you, Commissioner. Do any other
23	Council Members have any questions? Yes, Council
24	Member Arroyo?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I guess my

what degree that will be the case. There was an article recently in the "New York Times" about the construction of secure data centers in New Jersey in which it was stated that the New York Stock Exchange was building a standalone security facility. It is our understanding, and none of this has been made public, that SIAC will maintain some space at 2 MetroTech but we don't know how much space and we don't know for what uses. So we don't know to what degree they're going to need to maintain the security perimeter that exists now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So DoITT coming into the facility does not increase the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 25 burden on the community? 2 ROBERT PERRIS: It doesn't increase 3 4 the burden. However, we have known for several 5 years that SIAC intended to move and we have looked forward to the expiration of their lease as б 7 an opportunity to remove the impediments to travel downtown. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So DoITT coming into the building and this other tenant 10 11 leaving opens up the opportunity for those impact 12 issues to be addressed? 13 JEFF KONDRAT: Again, I think the security arrangements at MetroTech are pretty 14 15 broad. As the community board stated, the access 16 road goes not only underneath this building but two other buildings. There are many streets that 17 are closed off, not just the ones around this 18 19 building, 2 MetroTech, but others. So it's a very 20 broad evaluation that we'd have to go through. I 21 don't think it's just specific to this building. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I didn't 23 once hear the answer to the question that DoITT's 24 presence in this facility would warrant the

existing security measures.

25

б

JEFF KONDRAT: I'm sorry, but I can't answer that. The security measures, we're not experts in that and I think it's the police department that took the lead in establishing these measures to begin with after 9/11. That's when they came about.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just a point of information, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Hang on one second.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I'm just trying to understand whether our approval locks the community into the security issues that they're confronting now, even if the tenant moves. That's my overall question. Our approval today allows DoITT to enter into this lease, move in. That other tenant moves, do the impacts the community is experiencing today change?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: A point of information, the police department would be the only agency that could answer the question because the police department is the only agency that has the ability to change the security at MetroTech because it's not just one facility. That's the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 27 point of information. 2 3 ROBERT PERRIS: Council Members, if 4 I may? 5 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Go ahead. And I think now we're starting to go in circles. 6 So go ahead and then I'll have the closing statement. ROBERT PERRIS: I think this is a 9 clarification and to a degree, new information. As Commissioner Kondrat points out, there are 10 11 additional secure areas in MetroTech, but they 12 exist largely independent of this particular 13 security perimeter which is around 2 MetroTech 14 exclusively and is manned by armed uniform 15 officers who report to the New York Stock 16 Exchange, which indicates that their presence 17 there is about SIAC's tenancy, not other tenants 18 in 2 MetroTech. 19 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I hear you 20 asking two questions, both of which I think are reasonable to ask. One of which is if DoITT is 21 22 replacing SIAC, could we have a commitment? they don't know the answer, it's hard for them to 23 24 know for sure. But if that's what's taking place, 25 would the DoITT uses require the same level of

security or if SIAC were gone, would the DoITT

uses be compatible with a reduced level of

4 security. And then number two, might you be

5 willing to help us in dialogue with the NYPD about

6 restoring some of the other possibilities.

It sounds like what I've heard to number one is we don't know, and to number two is we'll come to a meeting with the NYPD over time to talk about it. That sounds like where we have gotten on this. I think unless there are other questions from Council Members?

able to ascertain, is there some way the community board wants to prevent this vote from going forward for some reason, or is it just to bring the situation to light?

ROBERT PERRIS: As I said, the community board has a positive working relationship with DoITT. I'm not sure that the board would want to stop the lease based simply on the inability to remove those security perimeters. However, we would very much encourage DoITT and DCAS, especially since DoITT is going to maintain space in two other nearby buildings, that they

other Council Members have questions, I want to thank both of you for your time and for your testimony. Thank you very much. There is no one else signed up to testify on this matter, so with that we'll close the public hearing. We have all seven members of the subcommittee here with us, which I don't think we ever had before in my short tenure. So we'll move expeditiously to a vote on both of these matters. Thanks, Council Member Levin for joining us.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We'll be voting in a joined way on 20105275, the Perry Avenue Historic District and 20105401, the MetroTech office space and the chair recommends a vote of aye. Counsel will call the roll.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 30
2	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Christian
3	Hylton, Counsel to the committee. Chair Lander?
4	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Aye.
5	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
6	Sanders?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Aye.
8	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
9	Palma?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.
11	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
12	Arroyo?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes.
14	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
15	Mendez?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye.
17	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
18	Williams?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.
20	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member
21	Halloran?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: As the
23	ranking Republican, I vote aye.
24	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: By a vote of
25	seven in the affirmative, none in the negative and

1 SUB	BCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 31
2 n	no abstentions, LU 0045 and LU 0047 are approved
3 a:	and referred to the full Land Use Committee.
4	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: This meeting
5 i	s adjourned.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Source Sentie	
		-

Date __April 1, 2010__