CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----X

March 3, 2010 Start: 10:15 am Recess: 10:40 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

BEFORE:

DOMENIC M. RECCHIA, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Gale A. Brewer

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

Lewis A. Fidler Robert Jackson Darlene Mealy Diana Reyna Albert Vann

Fernando Cabrera Julissa Ferreras Karen Koslowitz Jimmy Van Bramer Vincent Ignizio James S. Oddo

APPEARANCES

Preston Niblack Director of Finance Division New York City Council

Stuart Klein
Deputy Director
NYC Office of Management and Budget

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Good morning
and welcome to today's Finance Committee hearing,
the first Finance Committee hearing of 2010. My
name is Domenic M. Recchia, Jr., and I am the new
Finance Chair for this wonderful committee. I
welcome everyone here today.

Before we get down to business, first I want to express my excitement about chairing this wonderful committee. Those who know me from my chairmanship of the Cultural Affairs Committee know that I'm very passionate about this wonderful city and that I put my heart into everything I do.

Rest assured that my chairmanship of this committee will not be any different. I plan to be an inclusive chair. I will encourage feedback and discourse between my committee members and would like to hear from anyone with ideas for this committee about what they think we should be doing or what they would like to see done.

Before I move forward, I would like to introduce all of the committee members that are here today. We have Mr. Cabrera from the Bronx.

We have Mr. Van Bramer from Queens. We have
Julissa Ferreras from Queens. We have Koslowitz
from Queens. We have Diana Reyna from Brooklyn.
We have Darlene Mealy from Brooklyn. We have
Jimmy Oddo, the Minority Leader, from Staten
Island. We have Vincent Ignizio from Staten
Island.

Now let's get down to today's business. On our agenda today we have an expense budget modification, MN 2; a transparency resolution and three land use items. The expense budget modification reflects a number of Council initiatives that require reallocations or transfers that can only be completed upon the passage of a budget modification. MN 2 also funds several new needs outlined in the November plan released on November 16th, 2009.

For the benefit of the public and the new Finance members, I will briefly describe an expense budget modification and when and why we use it. The Council annually adopts the city's budget covering expenditures. A budget modification is necessary when the Mayor wishes to transfer an amount of one unit of appropriation to

б

another. Ar	d such transfer is from an agency to
another or r	esults in any unit of appropriation
being increa	sed or decreased by the greater of 5%
or \$50,000 c	f the amount appropriated at budget
adoption.	

The Council cannot initiate or amend an expense budget modification. This power lies solely with the Mayor. The Council, however, has approval power over all budget modifications initiated by the Mayor. The Council may disapprove such proposed action within 30 days after the first Stated Meeting of the Council following receipt of such a notice.

If the Council fails to approve or disapprove such proposed action within 30 days, the proposed action becomes effective and the Mayor has the authority to make such a transfer.

Now we will discuss the

transparency resolution. We have a transparency resolution relating to the fiscal year 2007, 2008, 2008 and 2010, adopted expense budgets.

Specifically, the resolution before us today sets forth new designations and/or changes in the designation of certain organizations receiving

local, aging and youth discretionary funding as
well as designations and/or changes in the
designation of certain organizations to receive
funding pursuant to certain initiatives in the
expense budgets of the last four fiscal years.
This Reso reflects mostly technical corrections
and cleanups, such as name corrections and
employee identification number corrections.

Council Members should have the list of these new designations and changes which is attached to the resolution. Everyone can see exactly, it's all laid out for you. For clarification purposes, it is to be noted that organizations appearing in the resolutions that have not yet completed the prequalification process conducted by the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, the Council or another entity are identified in the attached charts with an asterisk.

Additionally, as with all transparency resolutions, Council Members will have to sign a disclosure form indicating whether or not a conflict exists with any of the groups on the attached list. If any Council Member has a

vote.

potential conflict of interest with any of the

organizations listed, he or she might want to

disclose the conflict at the time or before their

Now we will move on to the land use items. We have three properties that seek a property tax exemption through HPD. The first property is Myrtle News in Council Member Rose's district in Staten Island. This property which provides 34 units of rental housing for the elderly has recently been refinanced for the rehabilitation of the property. The property exemption has been applied to this property that most mirror the terms in the refinance agreement. Therefore, this property is seeking a new property tax exemption with HPD so that the refinance agreement and exemptions go together and Council Member Rose supports this project.

The next is Medgar Evers Houses in Council Member Vann's district and speaking of Council Member Vann, just as he walks into the room. This property, the Housing Development Fund Company seeks a 30-year property tax exemption that will allow for the rehabilitation, masonry

2	work,	new hea	ating	and	electr	rical	work	for	307
3	rental	units	for I	low	income	famil	lies.		

The last land use item is the Betty Shabazz Houses in Council Member Vann's district. This property, Housing Development Fund, seeks a 30-year property tax exemption for the rehabilitation, masonry work, new heating and electrical work of 157 rental units for low income families. Council Member Vann supports both projects.

Those are all the items on today's agenda. We will now hear from Preston Niblack, Director of the Finance Division, who will explain the budget modification in greater detail, and Stuart Klein from OMB. But before we have them speak, I'd like to recognize we've been joined by Al Vann from Brooklyn, Mr. Rivera from the Bronx and Gale Brewer from Manhattan.

PRESTON NIBLACK: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I have the honor of being joined today

by both the Majority Leader and the Monitory

Leader. As you noted, this is actually the second

budget modification of fiscal year 2010, but the

first budget modification for this new committee.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 I will just run through some of the major items 3 with you briefly.

This is an expense modification which means that it is a net zero, just a transfer of funds between agencies to recognize new needs. The major new needs that are funded here are \$120 million for the NYPD which was needed because actual attrition of police officers was less than was projected at the time of adoption. There are \$15 million in the Fire Department for overtime needs; \$10 million in the Department of Correction which funds both overtime and a couple of savings initiatives which have not yielded the expected savings. There is also about \$64 million that is being appropriated for CUNY to recognize new tuition revenues that have arisen mostly from higher enrollment. There are also \$13.5 million to the Board of Elections to fund special elections and other needs this year. There is also \$850,000 for the Office of the Public Advocate.

These new needs are funded by a reduction to the general reserve, the City's general reserve of \$183 million and by about \$40

2	million in debt service savings that have been
3	achieved through refinancings this year. The
4	general reserve is appropriated at \$300 million at
5	the beginning of each year and then is drawn down
6	as conditions allow. Since we have seen revenues
7	coming in at higher than projected at adoption, we
8	are able to draw down the reserve at this point.
9	There will be a revenue
10	modification at a later time, but this does not
11	reflect any revenues. This is strictly actions
12	for the most part that were in the November
13	financial plan. There is nothing in this
14	modification that reflects anything in the January
15	financial plan, including any of the PEGs that the
16	Mayor proposed as part of the 2011 Closing the Gap
17	initiative.
18	Stuart Klein, the First Deputy
19	Director from OMB is here if you have questions
20	for him, or I can answer any questions you have as

well.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you,

Mr. Niblack. Do any Council Members have any

questions? Mr. Vann?

COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: This is

achieve some greater clarity, Preston on what that

25

2	means. If you can give me the Reader's Digest
3	version of we are revenue neutral but we tapped
4	into the reserve. I would request greater clarity
5	on that point.
6	PRESTON NIBLACK: The general
7	reserve is a fund of \$300 million that is
8	appropriated at the beginning of each fiscal year.
9	That amount is essentially set aside. It's a
10	legal requirement. It's set aside to provide for
11	any contingencies should revenues come in at lower
12	than expected amounts, for example.
13	You may recall that in the November
14	plan there was about \$700 million in new tax and
15	other revenues that showed and then another
16	additional amount that showed up, about a billion
17	dollars, in the January plan.
18	In order to recognize and
19	appropriate those revenues, OMB would have to

In order to recognize and appropriate those revenues, OMB would have to submit a revenue budget modification to us, which they have not yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I understand. Thank you very much. As a follow-up question, I recognize we're not doing a revenue one yet, but have you seen in your walk of life,

2	additional	revenues	that	came	into	the	budget
3	since Janua	ary?					

PRESTON NIBLACK: I'm not sure exactly what you mean by my walk of life, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: In your role as our director. Feel free to elaborate.

PRESTON NIBLACK: Actually, last night we got some information regarding February personal income tax collections. We were particularly anxious to see these because we had less than expected reporting of bank bonus payouts in January. So we have been waiting to see what happened in February and March. I think that February was actually a bit disappointing from that regard. Total PIT collections were only about 2% above what they were last year, when last year was perhaps the lowest point in decades. So I think that at this point we were disappointed about the personal income tax receipts that we saw in February.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That is very disconcerting to those of us who will be working

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	on a budget in the next coming months to hear
3	that. I don't think we've seen the rest of the
4	pain yet, so that's coming our way. Thank you
5	very much.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you. Before we move on to questions, I'd like to recognize Mr. Leroy Comrie from Queens who has joined us and Lou Fidler from Brooklyn and Robert Jackson from Manhattan. I recognize Lou Fidler from Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I apologize for coming in late. If you've covered this, Mr. Niblack, I apologize for asking it again. I know the answer to the question but I think it's extraordinarily important that it be on the record as to why it is necessary when we are scraping dimes and nickels together to be advancing \$850,000 to the Office of the Public Advocate. Money that I am sure would be much better spent on after school programs that are being closed and all that other stuff. I know there is a reason for it. I would like to hear on the record and I think it should be part of the record why that's necessary.

want to answer it.

25

Approximately?

23

24

25

STUART KLEIN: I'm assuming that \$850,000 was to bring it up to the half year spending level that was previously there.

that's necessary.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: So let's
3	just be clear. We're saying that the amount that
4	was in the budget of the Public Advocate last year
5	that was intended to provide for the needs of the
6	office for an entire fiscal year was spent by the
7	prior Public Advocate in half a year?
8	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: More or less,
9	if you know the answer. Is there anyone here from
10	the Public Advocate's Office? No one is here from
11	the Public Advocate's Office.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: We're doing
13	a budget mod here. I mean, frankly, I'm not
14	looking to gift Public Advocate De Blasio
15	\$850,000. I have been told that it was because he
16	was left with something like \$12,000 by the prior
17	Public Advocate, which would be a pretty
18	incredible level of spending. I think the public
19	has a right to know.
20	I'm sure that at a time when people
21	question whether or not the Public Advocate's
22	Office is worth having, that when we're about to
23	mod almost a million dollars into that office for

a half a year, I want the public to know why

2	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Could you
3	answer that Mr. Klein?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I thought
5	this was a softball, Stu.
6	STUART KLEIN: I think your
7	interpretation is correct.
8	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: In addition
9	to Mr. Fidler's yes, I also heard that when he
LO	came into office he did not have adequate funding
11	for his office to operate and he came to the
L2	Mayor. If the Public Advocate was here today, if
13	someone from his office was here, I would have
L4	given them the opportunity to say a few words, but
15	there is no representative from Mr. De Blasio's
16	office here. We have other questions from other
L7	members.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I just want
19	to finish by saying that adequate funding is a
20	subjective term. I know that all of the people
21	who were running for Public Advocate last year at
22	the time that we budgeted the money for the full
23	fiscal year didn't think it was adequate funding.
24	So I want to be clear that we're
25	not responding to that argument, that we're

responding to the issue that the prior Public
Advocate spent an entire year's worth of money in
half a year, necessitating this \$850,000
allocation, which I would have hoped could have
gone to better purposes at a time when the city is
absolutely strapped for money.

I'm not saying that Mr. De Blasio doesn't need this money now. I just want it to be clear to the public and to the editorial boards, who I'm sure will criticize every penny that we spend on borough presidents and Public Advocates that the reason that this mod which stands out like a sore thumb to me is being made today is because of the irresponsible spending that took place by the prior Public Advocate.

I think it's an outrage. I think it's shameful. I think that it was beyond her right to decide that because she didn't like the budget decisions that were made in a tough time last year in a tough budget that she would take the law into her own hands and spend what she deemed fit and left us with no choice but to mod \$850,000 to keep the Public Advocate's Office open. I think it's outrageous and I think the

2 people should know it.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: And Mr.

Fidler I think we should meet and see what we could do that puts something in place so that never happens again for future Public Advocates. So when they're leaving office that they cannot just deplete the budget. I think it's up to the City Council to look into this and to see what we can do as a City Council, a governing body of this wonderful city to prevent this from happening in the future. Mr. Leroy Comrie, I recognize the gentleman from Queens.

Mr. Chair and gentleman from Brooklyn. Since you made that qualifying statement about the issue, I won't go into my full context. I think this is something we should look at in a charter revision and I hope that the City Council under your leadership, Mr. Chair, will work on this issue, because technically the Public Advocate's Office and the Controller's Office should be exempt from cuts. We should not even have to have this discussion at this point today. It's unfair that they had to deal with this issue and that we're

having to deal with this issue in this context.

I fully agree with the statements of Council Member Fidler that one person's desire to abuse a privilege has gotten us to this point today. But the bigger issue is that we shouldn't even have to be worried about another elected official's budget at all.

I hope that we deal with that in a full context in a serious manner so that we don't have to deal with their budgets again. The District Attorney's Office, the Public Advocate's Office should not have to be at the whim of any type of budget cuts at all. I hope that we can deal with that this legislative year, but definitely also in the context if there is going to be a charter commission that that is major topic brought up in the charter commission and it's not limited to just one or two emotional topics. I hope that all of my colleagues will be working towards that end. Thank you. I just want to remark that your hair looks spectacular today.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Thank you. I just want to say that we will be introducing legislation today to address this to start the

2	process. That's number one. Number two, I just
3	want to say that the City Council has in place a
4	mechanism to prevent any outgoing Council Member
5	from depleting his or her monies that were
6	assigned to them and there is a process in place
7	and that's why it cannot happen in the City
8	Council. Does anyone else have any questions or
9	comments? If not, I'd like to couple all items,
10	three land use items, one Preconsidered
11	transparency resolution and one expense budget
12	modification. Call the roll please.
13	WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martin,
14	Committee Clerk, roll calling the Committee on
15	Finance. Council Member Recchia?
16	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Aye.
17	WILLIAM MARTIN: Rivera?
18	
	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye. WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna?
19 20	-
	WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna?
20	WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna? COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye.
20 21	WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna? COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye. WILLIAM MARTIN: Brewer?
20 21 22	WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna? COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye. WILLIAM MARTIN: Brewer? COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Aye.

20

minutes.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 2
2	guests from the Manhattan Institute who will be
3	discussing tax policy. It's open to everyone.
4	Please join us. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Is it big
6	enough?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: We're kicking
8	the art commission out at some point later today.
9	We enlist support for that too.
10	WILLIAM MARTIN: By a vote of 15 in
11	the affirmative, zero in the negative and no
12	abstentions, all items have been adopted. Council
13	Members, please sign the committee reports. Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I'd like to
16	remind everyone, we're going to get started
17	tomorrow morning for the budget hearing at 10:00
18	sharp. Thank you very much. That ends the

hearing. We'll leave the vote open for 30

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	ma dente
DateApril 2, 2	