CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

----X

February 24, 2010 Start: 11:00am

Recess: XX:XXam/pm

HELD AT: 250 Broadway

Hearing Room, 16th Floor

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Peter A. Koo Rosie Mendez

Jumaane D. Williams

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

James S. Oddo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gregory Shaw Attorney for Real Estate School Construction Authority

Jeff Sherer Chief of Staff Department of Education Deputy Chancellor

Kenrick Oh
Director of Real Estate
School Construction Authority

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 4
	MARITIME USES
2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I see. All
3	right, great. All right. Good morning. I'd like
4	to call this hearing of the Subcommittee on
5	Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses to
6	order. Thanks to everyone for being here. I'm
7	Brad Lander. Let me first introduce the members
8	of the Committee and then, we'll move to our
9	agenda. We have with us this morning Council
10	Member Palma, Council Member Arroyo, Council
11	Member Koo on the Committee. And, we're joined by
12	Council Member Oddo. Nice to have you here. And,
13	we've just been joined by Council Member Mendez,
14	as well. Great.
15	There are two items on the agenda

There are two items on the agenda this morning. But, the second one, the PS 51 replacement, the application's been withdrawn.

So, when we get there, we'll do a motion to file pursuant to that withdrawal, leaving us one substantive item on the agenda, which is the LU Number 35 the Targee Street Primary School.

Targee, am I saying that right, Jimmy, as opposed to 'Targui'.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Targee.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 5
	MARITIME USES
2	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Targee, thank
3	you, 20105061. I'd like to invite the School
4	Construction Authority to come present it to us.
5	Thank you. [Pause]
6	GREGORY SHAW: Good morning,
7	Council Member and Chairperson Lander and Council
8	Members and, of course, and especially Council
9	Member Oddo. Thanks for attending.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Why
11	especially Oddo?
12	GREGORY SHAW: Only because it's
13	the particular school is in his site and, of
14	course, when we bring High Bridge, we'll be happy
15	to make special reference to you Council Member
16	Arroyo.
17	Anyway, my name is Gregory Shaw.
18	I'm principal attorney for Real Estate for the
19	School Construction Authority. To my immediate
20	right is Jeff Sherer [phonetic], Chief of Staff
21	with the Department of Education and Chief of
22	Staff for the Deputy, yeah, I'm getting brain lock
23	here, Deputy Chancellor, I'm sorry, for Kathleen
24	Grimm. And, also, to his right is Director

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 6
	MARITIME USES
2	Kenrick Oh [phonetic], Director of Real Estate for

the School Construction Authority.

2.0

The New York City School

Construction Authority has undertaken its site
selection process for the proposed 844-seat
primary school facility that will be located on

Tax Block 3168, Lots 4, 20 and 195, located at

1034-1050 Targee Street, between Venice Avenue and
Ralph Place in the Emerson Hill Grasmere section
of the Borough of Staten Island. The proposed
school site is also located in Community School
District Number 31 and Staten Island Board Number
2.

The project site contains a total of approximately 96,720 square feet of lot area, approximately 2.2 acres. The site consist of two vacant buildings; one the former Doctor's Hospital and the other a former medical office building.

Under the proposed plan, the SCA would acquire the property currently owned by Staten Island

University Hospital. The existing structures would be demolished and the SCA would construct the new public school in Community School District

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND /
	MARITIME USES
2	Number 31.
3	The Notice of Filing for the site
4	plan was published in the Staten Island Advance
5	and the City Record on August 28 th , 2009. At that
6	time, the SCA proposed to acquire the site for a
7	416-seat primary school.
8	The Staten Island Community Board
9	Number 2 was also notified of the site plan and
10	was asked to hold a public hearing on the proposed
11	site plan. Staten Island Community Board Number 2
12	held its public hearing on September 22 nd , 2009 and
13	submitted written comments that recommended in
14	favor of the plan.
15	The City Planning Commission was
16	also notified of the site plan on August 28 th , 2009
17	and also recommended in favor of the site plan.
18	The SCA has considered all comments
19	received on the proposed plan and affirms the site
20	pursuant to Section 1731 of the Public Authorities
21	Law. In accordance with Section 1732 of the
22	Public Authorities Law, the SCA submitted the
23	proposed site plan to the Mayor and Council on

February 18th, 2010. We look forward to your

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 8
	MARITIME USES
2	Subcommittee's favorable consideration of the
3	proposed site plan. And, we are prepared to
4	answer any questions that you might have. Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Thank you very
7	much for coming before us. With the permission of
8	the members, I'd like to defer to Council Member
9	Oddo to ask the first question. So, please take
10	it away.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Thank you.
12	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, I appreciate your
13	outreach to me a couple weeks ago and being so
14	proactive on this site.
15	I want to start with a thank you
16	because sometimes that gets lost. The fact that
17	the Administration acquired the old Doctor's
18	Hospital site is an absolutely huge victory for my
19	district, this community and Staten Island, at
20	large. When the news broke, I called it a win,
21	win, win in that Staten Island University Hospital
22	got a much-needed infusion of cash that they could
23	put towards completing the emergency room and

other facilities on their campus. Obviously, the

24

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	LANDMARKS,	PUBLIC	SITING	AND	9

school kids in my district are going to get a beautiful new PS 48. And, the community, surrounding community, was concerned about what might go at that old site and there were some rumors over the years on some facilities that, frankly, weren't wanted by the community.

MARITIME USES

So, this is something we brought to the Administration's attention a few years ago.

The decision, at that time, was no, we were not going to acquire the site. Thanks, really, to the hard work and the commitment of the Public School 48 family, led by the PTA, we revisited and the Administration, the Bloomberg Administration said yeah, let's do it. And, we are absolutely grateful for that.

The one issue is I think that there's been a difference of opinion between the PS 48 family and my office, Senator Lanza, the Borough President Molinaro, what we want the school site to be used for, what we want to see housed there and, frankly, I guess what DOE sees. And, I say it respectfully, I think DOE sees an elementary school over capacity issue in the

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	LANDMARKS,	PUBLIC	SITING	AND 10

surrounding areas. And, they see this site as a
way of solving several problems at once. And, I
respect that. What I see is a community that, for
30 years, has not had a viable public, local
public school option for their middle school.
And, I see a staff and a principal and a school
that is really should be held up as the model for
schools Citywide. They are doing great work.
And, it just seems to me to make a lot of sense to
allow that staff and those good things to continue
beyond grades five. So, we've asked the school to
be K to 8. I think DOE thinks it's better that
it's K to 5.

Thanks to lots of cooperation from the folks at DOE and, particularly, Deputy Mayor Walcott and his staff, who has visited the school on two occasions. What we've agreed to do is let's build the school. Let's not stop the process. I did not want to be the second Council Member in recent history to vote against a school in his district. Former Council Member, now our new colleague again, Council Member Kozol, which was put in that position. That was sort of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 11 MARITIME USES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

nuclear option. I did not want to do that despite how strongly I feel that this should be K to 8.

So, thanks to a lot of work by Dennis Walcott and his staff. We've agreed to let's get this process started. Let's build the school. The school will be built regardless of what I feel and what DOE feels as capable of being a K to 8 school. And, at a subsequent time, when it's closer to opening up, we will look at the numbers yet again and have this discussion. And, I appreciate the fact that, as best as I could tell, nowhere in the documents are we designating the school as anything. It's clear what DOE feels. It's clear what the community feels. Let's get this school built and subsequently we will make the decision as to exactly what it will be.

So, I just want to make sure that my little rant here is factually correct. So, I ask you folks at the table, if you want to sort of reiterate some of the discussions that we've had, some of the presentations made in Deputy Mayor Walcott's letter to me on February 11th and my

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 12 MARITIME USES

letter to him on February 16th, about what it is that we've agreed to.

JEFF SHERER: Yes. Well, first, I want to similarly extend the Department of Education and the School Construction Authority's thanks to you, Council Member, for working constructively with us on this acquisition. And, secondly, to affirm everything you have just said about the great span of the building.

We are going to construct the new building so that it could serve either expanded grades K to 8 for PS 48 or it could also serve the school if it remains as K to 5. And, there is flexibility in the capital plan for the new capacity seats covered and funded by the plan so that the building could serve either K to 5 or K to 8. We are going to look at the needs of not just the immediate school zone, but also the surrounding schools, both elementary and middle schools and the population of children in those areas over the next few years between now and the time that the new school building opens, which as of now, is slated for September 2013. And, as we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING A	ND 13
--	-------

2.

get closer to that date and in frequent communication with you and other elected officials on Staten Island, we will make a determination, at that time, when the needs of the community are better known. And, as of now, we are simply proceeding with wanting to construct this building.

I just want to make one comment for the record.

I've been doing this now in the staff capacity and as elected for, Lord help me, close to 20 years or little more than 20 years. And, I've been in and out of schools in my district and across Staten Island, Brooklyn as a staffer. I've never come upon a principal and a staff and a PTA who, not only were as committed, 'cause I met a lot of people who committed to this school, but who were well informed; who sat down with the Deputy Mayor and I think impressed him about how much research they've done and how well versed they are with the numbers.

And, we just believe that this is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to give an area

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	LANDMARKS,	PUBLIC	SITING	AND 14
--------------	----	------------	--------	--------	--------

2.

of Staten Island that has not had its own middle
school a chance to do that. And, to continue the
great work of Mrs. Mammolito and the entire staff.
So, that's why we've been so passionate about
this. And, we appreciate the cooperation to go
the nuclear option, you know, as it would have
been ugly. And, it's a leap of faith to a certain
degree. But, I think on Dennis Walcott's
credibility, alone, it's a leap of faith I have to
take and I appreciate everyone at the SCA and DOE
for their help. Thank you.

want to thank Council Member Oddo [off mic] oh,
thank you - - and Christian for turning my mic on.
You know, it's nice to have somebody that comes
before us, having been where there was dialogue,
where there was flexibility and a way was found to
move forward. You know, I start this process.
This is my first school siting hearing, so I
appreciate you taking the time to bring me up to
speed a little. Though it's not in my district, I
was a little bit involved in the siting and
planning and construction of the new Sunset Park

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 15

MARITIME USES

High School, which is I think another case where there was a very active community, a lot of dialogue with the SCA and now, something that I think everyone is proud of. So, I think that's great.

question relating to Council Member Oddo's specific issue here. So, I understand and my colleagues understand it for the future and then, we may have a couple of questions from... So, you are, I know, have a way of looking at where there's seat need as part of the capital plan.

And, I know that focuses a lot, as makes sense, on primary schools, since those are, for the most part, zoned schools. And, it's easy to figure out, you know, for the zones we have and the schools we have, where is there overcrowding and where is there capacity need.

Communities are often interested in having middle schools and high schools that serve their communities. And, I guess, I'd love, and I know this is a much more complicated thing, and I'll come to the capital plan hearing next time it

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	LANDMARKS,	PUBLIC	SITING	AND 16

is. But, if you could give a short answer that helps us understand to what extent a community's perceived need for a middle school and a high school that provides a local option fits into your planning relative to where is there seat need at the primary school level. I think that'd be helpful to us so we can address this in the future. I appreciate how you've dealt with it here. I think it's great. But, I would just love to have a little understanding of that.

Start, speaking for the Department of Education.

We first do a demographic study of the district.

And now, we break districts down into subareas.

So, for example, today's school in question is for what we call the New Dorp [phonetic] area of

Staten Island. And, we look at first the current capacity of schools that exist and whether there's excess capacity or whether they're overcrowded.

We use census data to project population growth at all grade levels. And, we also take into account migration into the district, migration out of the district. And, importantly, we also look at new

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 17
	MARITIME USES
2	housing construction and rezoning that will lead
3	to new construction.
4	And, based upon work that we do
5	with the City's Departments of Buildings,
6	Planning, Housing Preservation and Development,
7	project not just the number of units over time,
8	but also how many children of what ages we expect
9	to be moving into those units. And, based upon
10	that, we come up with what we see are the seat
11	needs based upon the age of the children that we
12	expect to see there. And, we compare that against
13	where there's currently capacity and not capacity.
14	CHAIRMAN LANDER: I guess, my
15	question was about sort of differentiating at
16	different grade levels, or, maybe I have a
17	misunderstanding. But, for those subdistricts
18	JEFF SHERER: Um, hm.
19	CHAIRMAN LANDER:I mean it's my
20	assumption that for the primary schools, you're
21	assuming that you want to achieve enough school
22	seats in the subdistrict for the children at those
23	grade levels that are going to be in primary
24	school in the subdistrict essentially in its own

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 18
MARITIME USES
way.
JEFF SHERER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LANDER: But, that for
high schools, I'm guessing that you don't assume
that all of those kids are going to go to high
school in the subdistrict. So, you don't need an
adequate number of high school seats for the high
school-aged kids in the subdistrict. And, middle
school, I don't have any I'm curious which of
the two, you know, how you think about
JEFF SHERER: Right. We group the
primary school and the middle school need
together. And, we categorize it as a K to 8 need,
in general, when we are coming up with seat needs,
which is what we've done here. And then, often
there's a more detailed conversation that has to
occur with the community in terms of at a finer
level. With regard to high school, we do that
analysis at the borough level because high school
students are more mobile.
CHAIRMAN LANDER: But, for the
middle school as well, it is by the subdistrict,

subdistrict levels.

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 19
	MARITIME USES
2	JEFF SHERER: It's by the
3	subdistrict. It's a finer analysis because the
4	way students attend middle schools varies
5	tremendously district by subdistrict.
6	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Yes.
7	JEFF SHERER: So, District 15 is a
8	middle school choice district. And so, the
9	students are more mobile. Other districts in the
10	City have a more traditional middle school
11	enrollment pattern, where several primary schools
12	go into the middle school. So, we really have to
13	customize it, situation by situation.
14	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Great. Thank
15	you. I appreciate your indulging me a more
16	general question. I'm glad that you worked it out
17	in this case, in a way that at least, for now,
18	we're happy about and that we hope will move
19	forward in the direction that the Council Member
20	outlines.
21	I saw Council Member Arroyo has a
22	question.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes. Thank
24	you, Mr. Chair. And, I'm just looking for Jimmy's

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	LANDMARKS,	PUBLIC	SITING	AND 20
	MAR	RITIME USES			

thump up or down. This way?

2.

2.0

And, I appreciate the conversation because I know that this Committee's going to have to deal with an application for siting in my district. There is no schematic for the project and interested in knowing what is the plan for that LEED certification issue. Certainly, my district is going to become a significant part of the conversation. We have an example of a school in Battery Park City that is probably being used as the model for how schools should get developed. Is that same design going into this project?

KENRICK OH: With respect to this project and I would say all of the school sites that we have brought forward in the past year and will be moving forward with, all of those school sites are subject to Local Law 86, which was adopted and requires all capital projects across the City to comply with certain green requirements. In the case of New York City schools, the Local Law 86 has been embodied in what are called the green school—in a Green School's Guide, which specifically develops our

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 21

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

practices and design standards that we implement in design in order to achieve the environmental goals of Local Law 86.

MARITIME USES

And, in terms of the LEED certification, the City and the SCA does not typically submit schools to the LEED process for certification for a number of reasons, not the least of which I believe there are application fees and review fees that attach. But, the objective of the Green School's Guide is to achieve what effectively is a consistency with what would qualify as LEED certified. And, that, because of the adoption of the Local Law, that is a requirement that we apply in terms of this design of all of our new school buildings. And, there actually is a unit within the SCA that is responsible for reviewing the designs for compliance with the Green School's requirements.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: You

typically don't, but you have. And, how do you

decide which project does and it doesn't? And,

maybe this is part of a larger conversation and

not necessarily this specific application, 'cause

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 2
	MARITIME USES
2	I understand my colleague is in support and I
3	would just, you know, to be supportive of his
4	position, support the project. But, it's, you
5	know, we can't pick and choose the projects that
6	you choose to do that with.
7	KENRICK OH: Well, itI'm sorry.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And, don't
9	make it about community. Battery Park City gets
10	one, High Bridge in the Bronx doesn't, because
11	that's inevitably what the conversation becomes.
12	And, it's unfortunate. So, you know, be mindful
13	of that and know that we're going to have that
14	conversation when we deal with the application
15	that's coming to us within the next month or so,
16	for my district. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Is the Green
18	School's Guide on your website, a matter of
19	public
20	KENRICK OH: [Interposing] It is.
21	CHAIRMAN LANDER:record or
22	KENRICK OH: Yes, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN LANDER: So, you don't
24	need to provide it to us. We can find it on the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 23
	MARITIME USES
2	KENRICK OH: [Interposing] We can
3	send you the link, but it is publicly accessible
4	on the SCA's website.
5	GREGORY SHAW: And, in fact, we
6	have received an award for our green school
7	efforts. So, it is something that we take very,
8	very seriously and all of our schools, after a
9	certain point, are under those guidelines, all the
10	new schools.
11	CHAIRMAN LANDER: All right.
12	Sounds like we'll be having this conversation in
13	more detail at a later date. I will flag that a
14	couple of schools, this is not really the School
15	Construction, but a couple of schools in my
16	district I know are using the new system DOE has
17	provided, where they can track and monitor energy
18	usage. So, I appreciate that there are certainly
19	some steps underway to try to address this. But,
20	I look forward to the conversation we'll have as
21	the High Bridge school comes down the line.
22	Any other members have questions?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mr. Chairman-

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 24
	MARITIME USES
2	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Certainly.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: may I just
4	ask one question? Just to get on the record what
5	your plans are as we sit here right now for the
6	existing building, for the existing PS 48, if we
7	can just get that on the record?
8	JEFF SHERER: Sure. Well, I think
9	the first thing that I would emphasize is, again,
10	since you and Deputy Mayor Walcott toured PS 48
11	last February and both saw firsthand the
12	overcrowding conditions, is that PS 48 will be
13	moving into the new construction period.
14	What is not yet determined, and
15	what we will be working with you on as the new
16	building nears construction, is how we will
17	backfill the space in the existing building. If
18	we determine that PS 48 should remain a K through
19	5 school, then we would be seeking to put another
20	elementary school in that building. If we
21	determine that PS 48 should be expanded to a K
22	through 8 school, then PS 48 would be occupying
23	both buildings.
24	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Do any of the

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 2
	MARITIME USES
2	other members have any questions for the DOE or
3	the SCA? Thank you very much for the presentation
4	and for your time.
5	Did anyone sign up to There was
6	anyone here that was intending to testify on this
7	project who didn't submit a sign-up card? No?
8	All right. Seeing no one is testifying, we'll
9	close the public hearing on this project. I don't
10	have to do that. Great.
11	As I mentioned earlier, our second
12	agenda item, the PS 51 replacement, 20105081, the
13	application has been withdrawn by the Mayor or by
14	the School Construction Authority. So, well, the
15	Chair will entertain a motion to file to pursuant
16	to withdrawal? Or, can I make that motion? Or do
17	I entertain it?
18	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN LANDER: I make a motion
20	that we file the application pursuant to
21	withdrawal
22	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: As per letter.
23	CHAIRMAN LANDER: as per, oh,
24	yes, if you'd like to see the letter, you don't

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 26 1 MARITIME USES 2 believe me that they withdrew it, I'll pass around the letter. That letter's dated February 23rd, 3 2010 to Speaker Quinn in whose district this site 4 also happens to be. So, I guess that's a motion. 5 We got to call the roll. Need a second? 6 7 CHRISTIAN HYLTON: No. CHAIRMAN LANDER: No. All right, 8 great. All right. So, the counsel will call the 9 10 role on the motion to file. Oh, we're going to do it all at once. All right, great. So then, that 11 12 will be coupled together with the motion to 13 approve the action we just discussed, 20105061, 14 the Targee Street primary school. Any discussion 15 amongst the Committee members on the motion? 16 recommend a yes vote. But, I was going to wait to see if there's any discussion. Doesn't seem like 17 there's discussion. Great. So, all right. Then, 18 counsel will call the roll. 19 20 CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Christian 21 Hylton, counsel to the Committee. Chair Lander. 22 CHAIRMAN LANDER: Aye. 23 CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member 24 Palma.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND 27		
	MARITIME USES		
2	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.		
3	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
4	Arroyo.		
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Aye.		
6	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
7	Mendez.		
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye.		
9	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
10	Williams.		
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.		
12	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: Council Member		
13	Koo.		
14	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye.		
15	CHRISTIAN HYLTON: By a vote of six		
16	in the affirmative, none in the negative and no		
17	abstentions, LU 35 is approved and referred to the		
18	full Land Use Committee and LU 36 Motion to File		
19	Pursuant to Withdrawal is approved.		
20	CHAIRMAN LANDER: Great. With no		
21	other business, we'll adjourn the hearing. Thanks		
22	to everyone.		
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Good job,		
24	again.		

I, DeeDee E. Tataseo certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Deeder E. Tatavio			
Date	March 4, 2010			