CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----X

September 23, 2009

Start: 10:00am Recess: 11:52am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

BILL DE BLASIO Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gale A. Brewer Julissa Ferreras Helen Diane Foster

Letitia James Jessica Lappin Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Wanda Hernandez Citizen of The Bronx Member of New York City AIDS Housing

Robert Tolbert Member Citywide Harm Reduction

Annie Soriano Executive Director Friends House

Kristin Goodwin Director for New York Policy and Organizing Housing Works

Sean Barry Director New York City AIDS Housing Network

Dr. Angela Aidala Associate Research Scientist Columbia University Mailman's School of Public Health

Virginia Schubert Principal Schubert Botein Policy Associates

Gina Quattrochi Executive Director Bailey House

Deborah Welch Assistant Director Gay Men's Health Crisis

Soraya Elcock Vice President for Policy and Government Affairs Harlem United Community AIDS Center

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ed Viera Person with AIDS Client with HASA

Evelyn Lopez Person with AIDS Client with HASA

Yves Gebhardt Person with AIDS Client with HASA

2.0

2.3

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you
3	for responding, that was nice, let's try again.
4	Good morning.

AUDIENCE: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Oh, thank
you. I'm Council Member Helen Diane Foster, a
member of General Welfare. I'm starting the
meeting in Bill's absence, he is on his way,
probably caught in the U.N. traffic. I left early
enough as to miss it. We've been joined by Migna,
analyst to the Committee, I keep wanting to make
her counsel, along with Molly and Council Member
Rosie Mendez. We are having a hearing on
Resolution 2145, which is supporting state
legislation to cap rent contribution of HASA
clients as 30 percent. Before we get into the
testimony, I believe we have a brief statement by
Council Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,

Madam Chair. And I want to thank everyone for

being here today. I am looking forward to hearing

the testimony regarding resolution 2145, which is

in support of Assembly Bill 2565, Deborah Glick's

bill; and Senate Bill 2674, Tom Duane's bill,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which would cap rent at 30 percent. And I see someone from Tom Duane's office is here. you, Romeo, for showing up. As, as we know, in this country, back in the 1930s, this government created public housing, and public housing caps rents for its residents at 30 percent. And here we are all these years later, and a new disease since public housing was created, has been in our midst. And what we found is that individuals who have AIDS or HIV are more at risk of becoming - when they don't have a nice, affordable home that--health and home are synonymous. But if their home is not affordable, and we know that individuals have more expenses with this disease, then we are not helping them in the long run. This legislation will go a long way at capping the rents at 30 percent, and what we also need to look at is, how this City and government agencies are defining rent, 'cause we know many individuals are paying a lot of their income, of their social security, or their disability or public assistance checks, more in the range of 50 to 70 percent is being paid because of the way rent is being defined. So, thank you all for being here, and I

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will turn it back to you Madam Chair.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.

4 We are going to get right into the testimony. We

5 have our first panel, which is Wanda Hernandez, a

6 HASA client; we have Frank Tolbert; Christine

7 Goodwin of Housing Works; Annie Serrano--did I say

8 | that right? Soriano, I should've known that, I'm

9 sorry--Executive Director of Friends House; and

10 | Sean Barry. And we've just been joined by Council

11 | Member Lappin. [pause]

WANDA HERNANDEZ: Good morning, everyone. My name is Wanda Hernandez and I'm from The Bronx. I'm a member of New York City AIDS Housing, a membership organization led by low, low income people living with HIV and AIDS, a housing which provides dedicated to addressing the root of causes of the epidemic. Thank you to the Council Member Mendez and Speaker Quinn for including this vitally needed legislation, and to the Committee for holding today's hearing. I am a single minority educated woman who worked for 30 years. I've held a variety of jobs, including

administrative assistant earning \$32,000 a year,

before becoming disabled. I was diagnosed in 1995

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and got on HASA in late 2001, when I could no longer work and didn't know how to support myself. As a HASA client, I pay 71 percent of my SSD check each month towards rent. Most clients in my situation are left with \$344 per month, or \$11 per day. But I have to get by on even less because HASA is recouping me for ConEd bills that I couldn't afford to pay. I don't even see my yearly increase in SSD because HASA just applies that to my rent. Unfortunately, HIV is not my only health condition. I also suffer from chronic pain, asthma, arthritis and work related carpal tunnel. I have no family support. But the amount of money that HASA leaves me with after I pay my rent is not enough to cover all my expenses. very difficult to make ends meet. Once I pay one bill, I don't know how I'm going to pay the next. There's not enough money left over to clothes myself, get toiletries, or make copayments when I have medical appointments. Sometimes I even have to cancel primary care appointments because I can't afford to get there. Even simple things I can't afford, I still owe my eight year grandson a gift from last year. All of this has a negative

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impact on my health. Not knowing where the money is going to come for the next bill or medication or how to replace something that's broken, is very stressful, and that makes survival more difficult. If this bill became law, it would mean I could go to the doctor when I'm needed, not just when I could afford to. It would mean I wouldn't have to choose between the electricity bill or the phone bill. It would mean I could afford to buy toiletries or clothes I need for decent life. could focus on staying healthy instead of feeling the stress and anxiety that my current living situation creates. It's not my health conditions that make me wonder how I can survive each morning when I wake up, it's the money HASA leaves me with that makes it so difficult to live. Thank you. [applause] Good morning,

ROBERT TOLBERT: Good morning,
everyone. My name is Robert Tolbert, I am a
member of Citiwide Harm Reduction and also Vocal,
NYCAN, in Brooklyn. I was diagnosed HIV positive
in 1995. I have been through the shelter system
for New York City Housing. And at the current
time I'm collecting SSD payments for my condition.

Throughout my life I've always worked, most of my 2 3 adult life I've always worked, until I was 4 incapacitated and had to go on SSD to survive. During my, during my life, I've always been an 5 advocate of clean and affordable housing, I've 6 7 always said that it's therapeutic for people in 8 our demograph. However, I, I got through some difficulties in, in my life, at a time when I 9 10 acquired an apartment the South Bronx, in Hunts 11 Point. HASA was making a contribution toward rental assistance; however, it was not adequate. 12 13 Being that I was collecting SSD, I still had to pay like 70 percent of my check. And this did not 14 15 allow me to, for my personal needs, to have money 16 to adequate to take care of my personal needs. 17 Therefore, I lag behind in my rent, and eventually was evicted. Now, presently, I'm living in a 18 19 congregate building, in Congress--in Councilwoman's Foster's district. And I'm still 20 trying to achieve independent status. With the 21 22 passage of this resolution, it would help me be 23 able to budget myself better, and live a 24 substantial life. And I thank you for your time. 25 [applause]

2 ANNIE SORIANO: Good morning. Μy 3 name is Annie Soriano, and I'm the Executive 4 Director of Friends House. We are a congregate, permanent, supportive housing program. 5 would like the Council to know it is very 6 important that this is codified for everyone. 7 8 Because you're going to hear the stories that my colleagues said today that represent many, many 9 10 more, of being left with \$11 a day, and you know, 11 just the real nightmare of that. No one, I think 12 here, would dispute the importance of permanent 13 housing. And I think there's a myth that once you get to permanent supportive housing, it's fine. 14 15 And I'm here to tell you it's not. This 30 16 percent issue has greatly affected us and many 17 other programs that are permanent supportive 18 congregate facilities. We are funded half by HASA 19 for our programs, the other half comes from HPD, 20 in the form of Shelter Plus Care, which is a rent 21 subsidy. Until very recently, for many years, 22 that rent formula that came from HPD, which is how 23 we calculated the leases for our residents, was 24 set around the 30 percent mark, and it included a 25 calculation for Medicaid or veterans benefits, or,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, etc., whatever the person's situation was. Within the last eighteen months, they have begun to calculate increases in the 60 to 70 percent range, hundreds of dollars of increase. We attempted to advocate with HASA and HPD, and with my colleagues at NYCON to get this situation solved, and to advocate for the 30 percent. involved State Senator Liz Krueger and her staff in this. And we kind of got mired down in a lot of bureaucracy and frankly a lot of finger pointing. And to date, they have never given what the "new formula" that they have randomly given certain residents. I do not know where they're coming up with these numbers and how they're calculating them, but I can tell you, as the Executive Director, I absolutely will not sign a lease that is above 30 percent. And that's it. So, I am handling that in my particular program; that may or may not be the case in other programs, but I am taking the hit in other ways in terms of program funding. So, I think it's really important that people realize that this is affecting this population as well, although you will hear, you know, many stories of people

independently living suffering. So it's important that this is codified for everyone. Thank you.

4 [applause]

KRISTIN GOODWIN: Good morning. 5 Oh, I see, the other one was one, so--Okay. Good 6 7 morning, my name is Kristin Goodwin and I am 8 testifying today on behalf of Housing Works. I'm not going to read my testimony because a lot of 9 10 the information in it, it is going to be told to you by people who are actually experiencing the 11 12 discrimination that's happening with this 30 13 percent rent cap. So, I did want to read, 14 however, a statement from Armen Merjian who is 15 Housing Works' Senior Staff Attorney, who was not 16 able to be here today. [pause] "As this 17 Committee knows, the 30 percent rent cap is critically important to indigent New Yorkers 18 19 living with AIDS. When HRA and OTDA announced in 20 October 2006 that they would no longer honor the 21 cap, thousands of New Yorkers wondered how they 22 would able to live, forced to choose between 23 paying rent or paying for the food, clothing and other, life's other necessities. Housing Works 24 25 and attorney Matt, Matthew Brinkerhoff, worked at

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

breakneck speed to file a class action federal lawsuit that very month, securing an injunction against the new policy. Months later, with that injunction still in place, new OTDA Commissioner David Hansel, announced that the City and State would honor the 30 percent cap. Still, OTDA's lawyers went to court and insisted that poor people living with AIDS have no right to sue to enforce the 30 percent rent cap under federal law. The only protection that they now have is the State piece of legislation." On behalf of Housing Works, I think it's interesting that every time I'm in this room giving testimony it's about AIDS And whenever we're talking about housing. services in the City, we're talking about housing, and that's not a coincidence. Because housing is the single most important thing that we can do for someone that's living with AIDS or HIV, and I think that it's time for the City and State to put that in a place of priority. And even this Committee has held a piece of City legislation for two year, called HASA for All that would again provide protection. So I would ask that, as we move forward, looking at this resolution in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular, that it is unbelievably important for us to support capping rents at 30 percent of income. Thank you. [applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Can we just let Sean fit in somewhere to testify? But don't go anywhere. Thank you. Everybody didn't have to move. [laughs] Sure, if the light is on, the mic is on.

SEAN BARRY: Oh, good morning. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak this morning. My name's Sean Barry, I'm the Director of the New York City AIDS Housing Network, NYCAHN. We enthusiastically support Assembly Bill 2565, and applaud Council Member Mendez and Speaker Quinn for introducing this resolution. Also want to thank the General Welfare Committee, of course, for holding this hearing today, but also for your successful efforts to defeat Mayor Bloomberg's proposal to reduce funding for supportive housing case management this past spring. [applause] have so many wonderful anecdotes of being in this room, and seeing the Council Members on this Committee challenge Commissioner Doar, not just on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HASA supportive housing, but on a range of programs for low income and working class New Yorkers. We need City Council's leadership again, to send a message to Albany that we're no longer going to allow some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers to lose their homes. This legislation introduced by Senator Duane and Assembly Member Glick is the single most important step we can take at this time, to reduce homelessness and promote longer term housing stability among low income New Yorkers living with HIV and AIDS. passed the Senate by a near unanimous vote, but we're afraid it's going to die again in the Assembly Ways and Means Committee this year. So, unless Assembly Speaker Silver and Governor Patterson will come out and publicly support this bill, nearly 11,000 low income New Yorkers living with AIDS are going to continue to face the threat of eviction because they're paying 60 percent or more of their income towards rent each month. And put simply, this legislation would create an affordable housing protection that would, for clients of the HIV/AIDS Services Administration, HASA, would ensure they pay no more than 30

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent of their income towards their rent. supposed to be the standard in place for HASA supportive housing, although it's not always enforced or followed by HASA. And it's also the standard in every other similar program in the City, Section VIII public housing, we talked about that. But here's how it works in HASA's rental assistance program. Clients are required to spend down all of their income towards their rent, down to \$344 a month, that just changed recently, no matter how much disability income they receive. There's not cap on their, on the percentage of income they pay towards rent. So that leaves them with about \$11 a day to survive on for all other Some of the key points you're going to expenses. hear hopefully echo throughout testimony today. One is the good news is this is actually going to save New York City and New York State money. status quo is what is now unaffordable. doesn't make sense to spend more than twice as much on commercial SROs, which is HASA's emergency housing program, it costs more than twice as much as it would cost to adopt this policy and keep people in their own homes, and enhance the rental

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assistance program. We also need this to reduce rising homelessness among HASA clients. We've seen this disturbing trend of rising homelessness among HASA clients for the past several years, which negates the success we had prior to that in reducing the overall SRO occupancy rate. we're seeing is this sort of revolving door, in and out of the SROs. People move into the apartment, but they can't afford to pay 60-70 percent of their check each month towards rent, so they end up back in the shelter system. You'll hear that housing is critical, fundamental, to fighting HIV and AIDS. It's difficult to think about visiting the doctor, taking your medication, having health relationships, using condoms, using sterile syringes, when you don't have a roof over your head. It's, we need to fix the double standard in New York's housing assistance programs, give HASA clients the same affordable housing protection that tenants in Section VIII, or in public housing, or other programs have. And lastly, it would stop forcing HASA clients into these impossible tradeoffs of, "Can I afford to keep the light turned on or the phone turned on?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Or can I afford to visit the doctor this month? 2 Or would that mean I don't have enough to save to save the rent?" Thank you again. [applause] 4

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you very much. We've been joined by Council Member Julissa--Ferreras, I'm sorry, I wasn't going to call you that -- Julissa, Council Member Julissa from Queens, and Council Member Gale Brewer from Manhattan. Before you go, we have a few questions. Sorry. First let me thank, Ms. Hernandez and Mr. Tolbert, for coming forth and talking so honestly about your income. I'm sorry that you have to do that, I know it's something that most of us don't want to share in terms of our necessity to rob Peter to pay Paul, and the decisions you have to make in terms of what you are going to allocate the rest of your money on, for the rest of the month. Where in The Bronx do you live, Ms. Hernandez?

WANDA HERNANDEZ: I was from Ms. I just, I actually live somewhere Palma's area. in White Plains around Westchester for about 15 years. And I had a lot of trouble trying to relocate, which took me about a whole year to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relocate, thanks to HASA's reputation.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Okay.

What, what I'm going to do, I know Council Member Mendez has, has some questions, but I want to make sure to give both of you cards to my office so if in any way we can assist, or just help you navigate, and then put you in contact. I know Mr. Tolbert, you are in fact in the district, but we can also work with the Council Member, Ms. Hernandez, whose district you currently reside in, to see whatever we can do to assist you until we work in getting this passed at the State level, so that in fact you are not just trying to figure out what appointments you need to skip or what medicine you're not going to co-pay for. really is unfortunate. But thank you for having the courage to share that with us. I know it take a lot. Council Member Mendez. COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,

Madam Chair. Ms. Hernandez, I had a couple of questions for you. You say you, you now live in congregate housing.

WANDA HERNANDEZ: No, actually, I just moved from there, about three years ago from

25 COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: What is

2.0

2.3

being	calcı	ılated	differ	ently	that	is	now
increa	asina	what	thev're	calli	ina re	-nt′	?

ANNIE SORIANO: We have asked that questions, Councilwoman, many times. I do not have the answer. They have refused to give us and State Senator Krueger's staff what is the formula. It has obviously changed. I don't know what in that formula has changed. Lower level employees at HPD have told some of my staff that because of rent--I mean, I'm sorry, because of budget cuts at HPD, that they're recouping it from the rent, because they need more money. And that that's how they're doing it. But I do not to this day have the formula that they are now using.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And, and nothing else has changed in term of what HASA and HPD is providing, and nothing in terms have changed in terms of what Friends House is providing to its residents, is that correct?

ANNIE SORIANO: That's correct.

also said that this is not for every one of your residents, that there are similarly situated residents and some, this formula is being

2.0

calculated, but they're paying more?

ANNIE SORIANO: Yes. It appears to be fairly random as to which residents have applied this new mystery formula, if you will, and which ones do not have it applied to them. There seems to be no running theme that I or any staff member can find. Their incomes are SSI or SSD; there's nothing that would keep them together as a group, and link them in any way that we can say, "Oh, this is what it is." It appears to be random.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And, and so you, during the past year or so, have seen maybe two residents where everything is the same and both their leases have expired, but it's applied to one, this new formula has been applied to one, but not the other.

ANNIE SORIANO: Absolutely. And I have also had conversations with my colleagues in other programs that are supportive housing, similar to Friends House, that this is also the case. There seems to be, you know, just a randomness about these increases that they're recouping money.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Now, you

also mention in your testimony that you are, as an executive director, have made a decision to cap the rents at, at 30 percent of the resident's income; but that that has other consequences.

What are the financial consequences to Friends

House?

ANNIE SORIANO: We are still in negotiations about that, because when we attempt to do an annual lease for a resident, where this, you know, new formula is applied, and I just say now, we're not going to do that, and we charge the resident 30 percent, those leases from HPD still have that higher number on them. So they're still officially being charged. Now, I'm not going to say they're in arrears, I'm not going to take them into court. I'm not going to evict them, I'm going to protect them. HPD has yet to make a decision. They know that I'm doing that, they don't like that, they're, you know, saying "You can't do that." So, we're, we're kind of in the midst of what is going to happen there. I think politically I'm probably running the risk of having Shelter Plus Care available for my program.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	And I'm, I'm well aware of that, as is, you know,					
3	some of the advocates that are with me, like our					
4	State Senator and, and our NYCAHN friends. So, I					
5	think there's a certain amount of risk that has					
6	not played out yet. But I expect it to play out.					
7	That will not go on forever, clearly.					
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Well, thank					
9	you, and please, of course, keep me up to date					
10	with anything that happens regarding the Shelter					
11	Plus Care. Okay? Thank you very much.					
12	ANNIE SORIANO: Absolutely. Thank					
13	you.					
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Thank you.					
15	Any other questions? Yeah? Looking good. Thank					
16	you very much. Our next panelthe next panelist					
17	or speaker will be Dr. Angela Aidala, Columbia					

University Mailman's School of Public Health. Thank you. [pause]

ANGELA AIDALA: Alright. Thank you very much for inviting me. And I, in the Resolution itself, it refers to research that has shown the relationship not only with, between housing assistance and stability in housing, maintaining housing, but other consequences in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terms of reducing risk behavior and promoting healthy living. And so, I'm going to do a, my presentation is really about data information from a number of studies that we have conducted here in New York City, as well as nationally, that address these issues. So, we're going to look at some research findings from New York City and national studies that look at that relationship between housing status, risk behaviors, health care, health outcomes, among persons with HIV and AIDS. We'll look at some of the implications of this for the proposed policy change. In other words, if you're, particularly if you're thinking about cost implications, one needs to look beyond what it would cost for the rent, to what other kinds of savings are there in human terms, in health terms, in quality of life terms, but also in terms of public expenditures. The data is two major sources that I'll be talking about, and linking it to other national studies, as well, too. Some of you know about the Community Health Advisory Information Network project, the CHAIN project, which is really an effort by the Planning Council, which we do at Columbia Public Health, and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collaboration with, and under the auspices of, the Planning Council and the Division of AIDS Policy Services. We've been doing this work since 1994, actually, and the research details I can tell anybody that's interested, but it's designed to be representative, enroll a representative crosssection of people living with HIV in the City, and follow them over time, so we can look at housing, different service needs, use of service, the response that people have to those services that are available or not available to them, and the impacts or consequences for their health, mental health and quality of life. I'll also be presenting some findings on a large, multi--multisite study, that addresses New York--New York City providers in New York City, as well as nationally, addressing the same issues. We're going to look at homelessness again. Our provider and consumer advisory group, we will be talking about homeless, homelessness, persons who describe their situation, living situation, as living on the street, in a park, abandoned building, in a public place, in a shelter, in a commercial SRO, with no services, a limited stay of voucher SRO, or in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jail with no other address. And I want to point out that it's important to look at not just literal homelessness, but also persons who are unstably housed. Okay. There is increasing attention in the public health and health field, to have us understand that, for example, we need to understand food insecurity. It's not just the smaller, subset of people who don't have enough to eat, who don't make basic nutritional needs; but the largest set of people who can't be confident and comfortable in their food being adequate and appropriate, to keep them and their family healthy. It's the same way with housing, we need to look at not just literal homelessness, street homelessness, people in homeless shelters, their needs are very important; but we also need to look at persons who are unstably housed, and who are in transitional housing, in residential treatment, in halfway house, doubled up with other persons. And then we're also going to look at people who may be in their own place, and receiving rental assistance, but can't pay rent, are facing eviction for any reason, are in unsafe situations, that they feel constrained for leaving, for a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

variety of reasons. Again, this broader category of persons who are unstable and housing insecure as well. In New York City, housing needs are widespread, this is not news. Our research has found that approximately these days the most recent cohort enrollment, that would've been in 2002, we enrolled 700 new persons into this study, a cross-section, all five boroughs. About half were homeless or unstably housed during the year they were diagnosed with HIV. Over 60 percent of all persons in the five boroughs of New York City will experience at least one episode and usually extended episode of homeless or unstable housing during the course of their illness, usually within a five year period of time. At New York City at any point in time, 25 to 35 percent of all persons living with HIV or AIDS are homeless or unstably housed, or at risk of housing loss. Again its coming back to the housing insecure, housing unstable. So from a system perspective, as rates of housing needs are met, other develop housing problems. And again, I know I'm limited with time, but we can think about why that might be. As people's illness progresses, they're less able

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to maintain work. The rents increase higher than the fixed income that supports 60 percent of folks. So just doing, you know, just the rental increases will continue to make more people into that situation of unstable or literally homeless. Over time, persons are living in, their partner or their housemates themselves become ill and unable to maintain their housing. The other thing that our research has shown consistently, and this is from 1994 to the present time, is that housing assistance makes a difference. Accessing agency based housing services, that is going to an agency that provides housing services, housing assistance, improves, significantly improves ones chance of securing stable and adequate housing, and for substantial numbers of persons living with HIV and AIDS, that's the only way, really, that they would be likely, because they're not competitive in the commercial housing market. So, the role of housing agencies to facilitate that is, is crucial. The strongest predictor of obtaining housing and staying in housing, over the course of your illness, living with HIV and AIDS, is receiving rental assistance. Supportive

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services, however, are also as important as rental assistance in maintaining people in housing. an important point, I think, for us to consider is that housing assistance, rental assistance, and supportive services helps not only keep people in housing, but has positive impacts in terms of reducing risk, which has, as a public health professional, impact for the epidemiology and for the, for the epidemic in, in our area. And also improves medical care and health outcomes. that's what I'm going to take time to look at, because I think it's not hard to understand how rental assistance can help people stay housed. But let's look at how rental assistance helps people, is associated with reductions in risky behavior, and improvements in engagement with mental health, benefit from treatments and health Okay. So, what are some of these outcomes. findings? In both the New York City and the national studies, there's other studies as well, too, there's a direct relationship between housing status and risk behavior. Persons who are homeless or unstably housed, are two to six times more likely--this is HIV positive persons, now--

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two to six times more likely to use hard drugs-heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine -- to use needles, to share needles, to have unprotected sex, to exchange sex. And again, the detail's in some of the publications that I have here, but you get the picture. Persons who are homeless or unstably housed, are more like, who are HIV positive, are more likely to engage in these risk behaviors. This association remains controlling for a wide range of client demographic health and service use variable. That's what we do, we statistician types, we try to model everything else. Okay, history of drug use, yes, that's important, but even controlling for a history of drug use, controlling whether or not you're in drug treatment, controlling your income, controlling whether you live in a poverty neighborhood, controlling whether or not you're getting, you see your case manager every--anything you can think of that we know does, is associated with risk and risk reduction. Persons who have been part of prevention for positive programs, that have shown to be effective: needle exchange, harm reduction, counseling, persons with unstable housing, will

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have less of a positive impact. So, the other thing that I want to, which I think is relevant for this hearing, is that there's an apparent dose relationship. Again, that those who are literally homeless are the greater risk, but those who are unstably housed are at greater risk than those with stable housing. So it's like a, those who are literally homeless are the most risky, but those who are unstable housed, unstably housed themselves are more risky than that have stable, adequate, permanent housing. And again, there's some work on why that might be, why those relationships are there, but, but empirically speaking they're there, and they're, and they're very, very solid, in different areas over time, different jurisdictions and certainly in the five boroughs of New York City. Housing is prevention, housing assistance is prevention. Over time, studies show strong association between change in housing status and risk behavior change. For most indicators, persons who, who's housing status improves, who go from literally homeless to unstable, or unstable to stable and adequate housing, we see a reduction in risk by two times.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the same time, persons whose housing status worsens, who lose housing, or who are in housing but can't pay the rent, and so now they're in that situation of being housing insecure, or housing vulnerable, right, at risk of housing loss, alright, we see risk behaviors increase. By about one-and-a-half for drug related behaviors, about four times for sex exchange. And again, we--and this is, the studies in CHAIN over time in New York City and other areas as well, too. We also want to remind us that access to housing increases access to antiretroviral treatments and adherence, which lowers viral loads. So even if people are engaging in, relapse or engage in other kind of unprotected sex, if they're in care, in good care, from an epidemiological point of view, from a prevention point of view, that's a positive impact as well, too. Okay, so let's look at housing and medical care. In both the CHAIN sample, the New York City sample, and an actual sample, unstable housing is associated with delayed entry into care, discontinuous care, dropping in and out of care, and changing providers often. Again, I'm not telling anybody anything that they don't know.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I want to point is that we're talking about close to 2,000 people followed over at least a five year time in New York City. We're talking about 3,000 people across the nation in 35 different venues, and we see the same pattern, as we hear from people telling about their own personal stories. So, homeless or unstably housed persons are less likely than others to be receiving medical care that meets minimal clinical practice guidelines, even in New York where care is available. Right? And there's, there are structure providers in all boroughs. They're still less likely to be receiving appointments, the number of appointments, to be getting their viral load checked, to be on medications as clinically indicated. Homelessness or unstable housing is one of the most important barriers limiting the use of antiretroviral therapy, and being adherent to therapy. Health outcomes, not surprising, if people are not in good care, consistently in care, adherent to their meds, right, what do we see? We see high viral load, we see recent opportunistic infections, we see hospitalization for HIV related conditions that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are associated, again, with homelessness and unstable housing. Even controlling for the starting point where they were, their CD4 six months ago, a year ago; if we look over time, the housing situation is an independent contributor to these kinds of health outcomes. Unstably housed or homeless persons living with HIV and AIDS have higher rates of HCV and I just saw a different study also of TB. Not just homeless, but he unstably housed, housing insecure, as well. Mortality studies, all cause death rate among homeless persons with HIV is five times. Unfortunately, people are still dying from HIV related illnesses, and housing status is one of the predictors of that. I didn't put a lot of numbers here, but this is just an example, of the extent to which housing then improves access to maintenance, access to medical care. This is the kind of model that we do. We look at a range of things, demographics, health status, insurance status, whether or not people, the year, how long you've been HIV positive, the, your source of income, anything that we can think of that we know; your mental health status, your substance

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abuse history, as well as whether or not you're currently using. All these things in a model, statistical model, and the thing that pops up being most significant to predict, whether you are receiving medical care, whether you're receiving care that meets basic, minimum, clinical practice standard, is your housing assistance. So, if you are unstably housed, or have housing problems, or housing insecure, the odds of your being in adequate medical care are about .70, meaning that it's about 30 percent more likely, 30 percent less likely. One means 50/50, right, the odds are the same. When you see a number that's above one, that means it's increased the odds, right? odds are increased. So, what, what increases the odds, controlling for all those things? Controlling for substance and other health problems, and insurance and money and everything else? If you have received housing assistance, okay, you are about two-and-a-half times more likely to be in care; one-and-a-half times being care that meets good clinical practice standards. And then, mental health services, this is another portrayal of that, this big red one here, this

little one at the first one is housing need. 2 3 Anything under one mean that diminishes your chances. It's less likely. The odds that you're going to be in care are, you know, barely above 5 half as likely, if you don't have good and stable 6 7 housing, as if you do. In care, meaning having a 8 regular source of care and having regular, regular visits, and monitoring CD4 and viral load. Okay? 9 10 What predicts your having care, good care, this 11 big red one here, having contact with the housing 12 provider, or receiving rental assistance. Other 13 factors as well are case--other big one, is case management associated toward addressing social 14 15 service needs, guess what the biggest one is? 16 Having people with housing and their financial 17 issues, right? We looked at entry into care, we 18 looked at care, continuity of care over time, it's 19 the same pattern. Not that these other services 20 aren't important, I'm not saying that, they are. 21 But all things considered, housing, assistance, 22 rental assistance and supportive services, are 23 significant. I have one last slide here of data 24 because I think again this contributes to our 25 conversation that people are having. I just

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looked at the persons who have received HASA rental assistance, and this is in, from 2002 to the present time. Basically 40--of those persons living with HIV and AIDS, and again this is a probability sample or representative sample, 43 percent of those households are below the federal poverty line. 43 percent, not surprising the same percentage, report that they have had not enough money for food, utilities or unreimbursed medical care needs, at least one time in the six months prior to the interview. Unreimbursed copayments, nutritional supplements, things that people need. And again food, people don't go completely hungry, but they do, we ask questions about whether they have gone a whole day without getting--whether they get the kind of food that they think they need, to keep themselves healthy. And substantial numbers, we're getting close to half here, of people, now these are people receiving rental assistance, but it's exactly what we see reflected in the personal testimonials, that people are obviously making decisions about paying rent or paying for other basic survival resources. 36 percent, over a third report housing problems,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meaning that even though they're receiving rental assistance, they're having difficulty in maintaining their housing. I also want to point out that close to over half, 57 percent, score on health functioning measures that would indicate, you know, at a level which is typically seen as disabled enough to limit regular employment. So, the ability to earn more money, to somehow make up the difference, I will first of all, since the income goes to support the rent, anyway. likelihood, also, that whether that physical health impairment, the extent to which that is itself interactive with the housing situation, because we just, we just saw, if you're not in stable adequate housing and maintain it, you don't go to your appointments. Right? So, it, it interacts back and forth on itself. And 48 almost percent, almost half, indicate clinically significant mental health needs, who are experiencing symptoms of anxiety, stress, anxiety and depression. And again, some mental health needs are prior to, but certainly exacerbated by the situations that persons are in. So, in summary, HIV positive persons with housing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems are more likely to engage in high risk behaviors that risk transmission, they compromise their own health and risk continued transmission. Over time, analyses so that those who get housing assistance, who improve their housing assistance, reduce their risk behaviors. We also see positive change in medical outcomes and health outcomes, as well, too. Loss of housing or lack of change is associated with less appropriate use of medical care services. And again, let's come back to the cost considerations, 'cause I know policies need to consider costs, but when you're talking about people being hospitalized for OIs, and you're talking about persons, new cases of HIV as the result of risky behaviors, there's costs that need to be considered for that as well, too. findings over time, and the national findings as well, too, you know, are consistent with the argument that it's the condition of homelessness and unstable housing, and not simply the characteristics of people who find themselves in these situations, that are associated with changes in risk and changes in service utilization. So, you know, it would, it would certainly recommend

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that provision of housing is a promising structural intervention. We who look at intervention research, having a reduction in these high risk behaviors and having that maintained over time, is stronger finding than a lot of other risk reduction interventions. And it contributes to the health and wellbeing and longevity of people living with HIV and AIDS. And the cost of rental assistance and supportive services is offset by the social and economic costs of the ongoing transmission, inappropriate medical care, HIV treatment failure. And again, early excess mortality among a significant proportion of people living with HIV. And again our argument housing is prevention, and medical care. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DE BLASIO: Thank you. Thank you very much, Dr. Aidala, this [applause] is extremely helpful information. And I know my colleagues have some questions, and I'm going to

[applause] Thank you very much, Dr. Aidala, this is extremely helpful information. And I know my colleagues have some questions, and I'm going to turn to them in a moment, but I just want to do a few things here. First of all, I'm sorry, as the Chair of this Committee, that I've had to be late today, and I'm sorry that I'm going to have to leave in a few minutes because of some other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pressing matters in civic life. But I think it's very important that we're having this hearing, and I want to thank my colleagues who are here, and I want to thank, I know one of my colleagues will continue the hearing throughout, and I want to thank them for that. Special thanks to Council Member Rosie Mendez for sponsoring this Resolution. [applause] And I thank the Speaker as well for joining in leading in this. Rosie, I think what you're doing here with this Resolution is helping to force public attention on something that's been wrong for a very long time, and needs to be fixed. And I'm thrilled that the, in a rare act, the State Senate has done the right thing already. [laughter] And we need to get the State Assembly to come along here, and that's our purpose in holding this hearing, and then moving this to the floor, is to draw attention and create momentum to move this Resolution forward, and ultimately the legislation in Albany. Resolution 2145 I think is crucial. Now this Committee, General Welfare Committee, has focused on HASA many times. We focused in the budget, and everyone here will remember, there were severe

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cuts that were going to be made in case management and in nutrition. I am very proud to say, working with my colleagues we were able to get that money back in the budget, and I think those were cuts that were very ill considered. [applause] focused a lot on trying to make sure HASA provides its services in a reasonable and quick time frame for people in need. What I found from many, many folks who came before this Committee was that the services might be theoretically available, and helpful, but that the process, you know, the applications was taking a really long amount of time. And so we passed legislation to require very tight timeframes for getting applications processed, to make sure people got services quickly. So there's a lot of work to be done, to protect HASA and what it's doing right, to continue to improve and intensify its efforts to help people. But we've been, all of us, and though I think all the advocates in this room for the work you've been doing, we've been swimming upstream because we've been burdened by the unfair amount of income that people have had to dispense with to pay for housing that didn't fit what was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

true of other types of subsidy. And I think it's been a long time injustice, and we hope we are close to now resolving it by moving this Resolution and ultimately the legislation in Albany. Now, very, just some quick points, I would've made in the beginning, I just want to make a very few points. You know, just to make sure that we are all remembering our City, and I hate to say it, has the highest AIDS case rate in the country. And it is a tragedy that is also a tremendous disparity in what we see with this challenge, because 80 percent of new AIDS diagnoses are among people of African descent and among Latinos. So, New York City, even though we have a history of providing a lot of service to people in need, we certainly cannot be complacent about HIV and AIDS. And this legislation would substantially help thousands of New Yorkers, if we can get it passed quickly in Albany. I want to thank Senator Duane and Assembly Member Glick who have led the charge in Albany. And we have to be there with them, because we simply need this 30 percent cap. It would have a profound impact on so many people. And the notion that right now

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under the current rules, that some HASA clients, who have other types of government support, are paying in some cases well over half their income for rent, which is a horrible case of double jeopardy. Where we're theoretically helping, and then turning around and forcing folks to have almost no resources left for their other, their many, many other needs, it's absolutely ridiculous and it's time to change it. And that's what we're trying to do today. You know, you'll hear some folks in the administration claim that this is going to be costly to make this change. Well, I think Dr. Aidala's research points out it would be even more costly to not make the change. It also would be less humane [applause] to not make the change. And the idea that we could pass this legislation and provide financial relief to over 10,000 HASA clients who are currently quite burdened, it's the right thing to do and we have to get this done here, and then in Albany as quickly as possible. And just finally as I mentioned earlier, you know, in the rare moments where the New York State Senate gets something done that helps people, we really need to hold

that up and move that forward, just to thank them
for getting their act together and helping people
in need. So, we have important work to do. Again
I say thank you to my colleagues, and thank you to
everyone here. Forgive me, it's a very particular
thing I'm involved in the next few days, leading
up to Tuesday, so forgive me that I'm only able to
make a brief appearance here today. But I really
appreciate the work everyone's doing, and I think
we're on the verge of victory if we keep pressing,
and we're going to make a profound change here.
And now I'd like to turn to my colleagues who have
questions, and welcome Council Member Annabel
Palma. Turn to my colleagues who have questions,
and then if Council Member Brewer, Council Member
Foster, whoever wantswell, no. No, her bag is
still there. Okay. [laughs] Whichever Council
Member wants to take over the chair, I would be
thrilled. But let's now turn to questions. Who
has a question here? Do we have a questions here.
A question from Gale Brewer, thank you very much.
COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The
question I have is other cities. Do you have any
research as to other cities, whether they are

2.0

providing	а	30	percent	cap?	Or	other	localities.

ANGELA AIDALA: There's no other locality that, in the cities that I've worked with, that have, that don't follow the 30 percent cap. Also, just to comment, I was reminded that even within, within New York City, persons with HIV and AIDS who are receiving other kinds of rental assistance, like regular Section VIII, persons on HASA assistance are more likely to change addresses more often. Again, consistent with the fact that they often change and have to, you know, they're unstable in their situation, which has costs as well, too. But, no, no other jurisdiction that I know, don't follow the standard cap.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

ANGELA AIDALA: There are, there are jurisdictions that have shallow rent assistance types of programs, but that's different than permanent rental assistance.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

[pause]

24 ANGELA AIDALA: Any other

questions? [pause]

Schubert Botein Policy Associates, a public policy

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consulting group. As I mentioned, since 2008, I've been examining the potential cost impact of the proposal to cap rent contributions by HASA clients at 30 percent of household income. two analyses that I've provided to you, one attached to my testimony, and the other a separate document, are based on data maintained by HASA during the period March 2007 through February 2008, which we received, or I received, in response to a Freedom of Information Law request. Other facts were gathered from the HASA fact sheet for the month of March 2008. And I would just note that even though the current number of HASA clients with an uncapped rent obligation may have risen slightly in the meantime, that change does not in any way impact the cost analysis. And let me just say briefly that obviously from the testimony that we've heard before, saving money is not the reason to enact this legislation. everyone is keenly aware of the fiscal situation at the City and the State level. And so, it is important and appropriate that cost considerations be taken into account. And that's why we did the analyses. Just taking a step back, I want to say

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that overall, if you study the PowerPoint cost analysis that I've provided, what we concluded is that it is clear that the, the overall impact of the legislation would be cost neutral at worst, and we think we'd generate actual cost savings for the City and State by shifting moneys that are currently being used for inappropriate emergency housing and emergency interventions, over to more appropriate long term housing assistance. Just quickly, from the data that we were able to collect from HASA, we know that at least 11,000 of the people living with HIV/AIDS who receive a shelter allowance through HASA, have disability income, SSI, SSDI or veterans benefits, and therefore contribute a portion of their income towards rent. So these are the 11,000 people who have disability income and receive a shelter allowance through HASA. We were able, from the data, to calculate the percentage of clients that receive the various levels of rental assistance, and the percentage of clients who have different, have various types of income, and I won't bore you with my spreadsheets unless you really want to see them, as I think staff have. But what this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enabled us to do was to calculate the current costs for rental assistance and the incremental costs that would be associated with the, with the cap. As others have explained, currently people living with HIV/AIDS with income are allowed to keep only \$344 of their disability income, approximately \$11 per day, to meet all of their non-writ needs, and they must pay the balance of their monthly benefit towards rent. I would just note that that figure was \$330 for many years, it recently went up \$344, which means that people have 47 additional per day, to live on. being a little ironic. So, what this means is that a person living with HIV/AIDS on SSI, pays at least 55 percent of his or her income towards rent, and that a person living with AIDS receiving a one, receiving \$1,000 in monthly SSDI or veterans benefits must pay at least 66 percent of their monthly income towards rent. And the ironic thing, I'll just note that since I did the baseline analysis, the SSI benefit has gone up slightly, cost of living increase, and as Wanda mentioned earlier, the irony of these cost of living increases is that they flow directly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through to HASA and the client is not allowed to keep any of this increase to meet increase cost of living needs. Though as their benefits go up, their, their rent burden simply goes up. the clients testified, or the people living with HIV/AIDS who testified point out, many people who receive social security disability income because they have a work history, pay 70 percent or more of their income to rent. We were also able to figure out, based on the FOIL information, that during the period that we looked at, March 2007 to 2008, HASA approved over 2,500 rent arrears requests at a total cost of almost \$5 million, or about \$18-1,900 per rent arrears request. And looking at these figures indicates that about a quarter, 23 percent, of all HASA clients who have a rent obligation, fall seriously into arrears each year, and require emergency assistance to prevent a housing loss. We have not been able to get any data from HASA on the number, the additional percentage or number of clients who actually aren't able to save the apartment through a rent arrears payment, and so lose their housing and become, and fall back into the homeless

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

emergency system. Nor have we been able to find, or been able to get any length of stay data from HASA, on clients who have this extremely high rent burden. But we do know from looking at the FOIL data that we received, that clients who are in NYCHA housing or Scatter Site I supportive housing programs, who have a 30 percent rent cap, are able to have a length of stay that's about one-and-ahalf times as long as clients in the Scatter Site II program, which did not have a similar rent cap. And I think Holly - - is going to present on some research that they did that showed that people with their rent capped at 30 percent are about twice as likely to be able to make timely rent payments. So given all these facts, we have, we make a couple of assumptions here. One is that the lower rent burden would reduce housing loss, as a result of rent, inability to pay rent or other needs, or meet other needs. And for the little analysis that I've attached to my testimony, for purposes of analysis we assume conservatively that the lower rent cap would prevent housing loss among at least ten percent of the 11,000 clients who are currently rent

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

burdened, or about 1,000 clients each, each year. They did another way, it assumes that at least ten percent of rent burdened clients suffer a housing loss during the course of one year, and as a result fall into the emergency housing system. According to HASA, the average length of stay in the emergency SRO system is 159 days. And so what this simple analysis does is estimate the cost to the City and the State, comparing 159 day stay in an emergency SRO with ongoing rental assistance at the higher City/State contribution if the 30 percent rent cap went into place. We use HASA's estimate of the cost of a, daily cost of a, an SRO housing, that's \$55 a day. And if you compare that to the average cost to the City and State in rental assistance under the proposed legislation, you can see that there's a stark difference. SRO costs about \$55 a day; the average cost of the rental assistance would be about \$24 a day. as you can see from the, the chart, this translates into about a \$4 million saving over just that 159 period for those 1,000 clients, or annualized it would be a savings of about \$12 million in City and State money. The reason we

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

did this shorter analysis was because when we presented the longer cost analysis, there was a concern by some that we were talking about immediate investment, or immediate increased expenses, and long term savings that would be realized in the future. This analysis shows that the, the savings and the additional costs would occur simultaneously, so we're talking about an immediate savings to offset the additional housing Just two other things, Sean Barry had mentioned the increase in the use of the SROs over recent years, and so the chart that I've attached shows that in fact there was a 27 percent increase in the number of clients in the emergency SRO system between April of '07 and March of '09. While we know that the number of clients in the SRO system varies considerably from month to month, it's disheartening to note the continued substantial reliance on this costly and inappropriate system. Secondly, as Dr. Aidala pointed out, there are many costs associated with this inefficiency in, I would have to say, the otherwise excellent housing programs offered by the New York City and State for people with

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIV/AIDS. As Angela testified, there's a substantial body of evidence that shows a strong association between housing instability, health outcomes and HIV risk behavior. So, on this chart, we looked at, I wanted to quantify you, quantify for you just one type of savings, which would be in averted HIV infections. literature is, shows that the rate of new infections among people living with HIV/AIDS varies from about two percent to ten percent annually. We would have to assume that in a group of unstably housed persons, that this, they would be towards the higher end of this rate of new infections. But for my little analysis, I assumed just a five percent transmission rate among these 1,000 clients who would be falling into the emergency system. Each new, and again the literature shows that each new infection costs at least \$300,000 in lifetime medical costs. That's in addition to the enormous human cost associated with each new infection. So, what my little analysis shows here is that among these 1,000 unstably housed persons, you could expect 54 new transmissions over the course of a year, at this

five percent annual transmission rate, and the costs associated with those new transmissions in healthcare costs alone would be approximately \$16 million. So, this is just a simple attempt to quantify this savings to help you understand that if we look systemically at the costs and the savings associated with lowering rent burden, and therefore increasing stability amongst very vulnerable group, that this is not only the right thing to do from a human point of view, but it's a good deal for government. [applause]

GINA QUATTROCHI: Okay. I have a little sort of show and tell, so I'm going to move over here, is that okay? Since you were going to have so much testimony about [pause] Is that it? Okay. So, since you were going to have so much testimony about the facts and figures of the 30 percent rent cap, I decided, thanks, that--'cause I was always very, really curious about what does \$11 a day look like? I mean, I know last night I met a friend and, you know, we had one drink and dinner, and I exceeded the \$11 a day by about twice. This morning on the way to work I had two eggs, scrambled eggs on wheat bread, and I grabbed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a car service 'cause I was late for this, and I, you know, exceeded the daily allotment. And so on my way, when I got to the office, I thought, "Well, you know, what do the people at, our Bailey House clients, you know, how do they really do this?" You know, how do they do this? What does that look like? Because I have a 15 year old son, and I live in Washington Heights, and I got to Associated, and last week I went, and I picked up a couple of things, and it was about \$98. And so if I got \$11 a day, that's \$77 a week, that would've been, you know, \$20 something more than I would've had. And if I'm spending the week by myself, when my son is with his other parent, and I pick up a couple of things for myself, even if I don't do that trip to Duane Reade, I'm still spending about \$58. So, we went to the Pathmark on 125th Street and I have the register receipt if you want to see it. So, and she was the best shopper I've ever seen, 'cause in about ten minutes she came up with, so this, a dozen eggs, and a package of beef link sausages. Actually, there were two packages. And with the swipe of a Pathmark card, and actually there's some cheese,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's some cheese doodles, too, it came to ten--And I said, "Oh, no, no, that's too much," and she said, "No, it's, it's about \$10." And it was actually \$10.35. And I said, "I'm going to put this woman on 'Price is Right.'" This is pretty amazing. And we thought, I thought that, "Wow, that's really good." Except that when you look at this, this is what she has for the whole day. And actually, this is probably what she has for a couple of days. And I thought, "Well, this is, you know, that's okay." And then we got screwed though, because we forgot about Depends, because she wears Depends. Well, Depends is \$13.99. also uses this when she gets her period, 'cause I said to her, "Well, do we need to get menstrual pads," and she said, "Well, no, I don't, can't afford those. So when, when I have my period, I just wear the Depends." So these are \$13.99. So now we're talking about, this is \$10, and now we add another \$13.99, so now we're up to \$23 something. So she doesn't have that. That's almost, you know, now we're getting into her week's allotment, if she even has that. Because when we talk about \$11 a day, we talk about it as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if every day someone gets an envelope with \$11 in it. And that's not how it works. The way it works [applause] -- Oh, and the other way, the other thing we forgot, 'cause I don't have to deal with this, and neither do any of you, and I don't mean to be disrespectful, I just think that sometimes we really do forget what people go through. forgot the metro card, because our clients don't get half fare metro cards. Now, I was shocked. I've been the head of Bailey House for 20 years, almost. And I assumed that all of our clients got half fare metro cards 'cause they're disabled. Well, you know what? They don't. Because when people apply, they don't always get them. Because a lot of people with AIDS these days don't even get SSI anymore, or SSD. So our clients are paying full fare for metro cards. And agencies like Bailey House, when they buy metro cards for clients or program participants, are also paying full fare. And that's something the City Council should really take up with the MTA. Huh? State. Yeah, no, I know it's the State, but something that we may want to look in. So, actually, we had to deduct the cost of the metro

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that even took down the \$11 a day to \$6.50. So, when we think about the \$11 a day, we think about the \$330 a month, it's not really \$11 a day for food, it's \$11 a day, minus the cost of transportation. So if you have to go to the doctor during the day, that's \$4.50 round trip. Then if you have to go, if you're going to GMHC, or Housing Works, or Bailey House for a day treatment program, or for a group, you deduct that round trip. If you have to buy Depends, or you need stuff for your hair, or you need to get a toothbrush or toothpaste or something like that, or you know, some kind of supply, you know, medical supplies, Tide or something for your laundry, you deduct that. So really, at the end of the day, you don't have \$11 a day for food, you might have \$6. And we talk about health outcomes, both here in the City, you know, all of us are required now to measure the health outcomes of our clients, whenever we get a new grant or a new contract from the City or the State or the federal government. We've had a vigorous, vigorous debate on the national level as we all know, about health outcomes. And yet here we have a public policy

25

2	which dooms people to poor health outcomes. In a
3	very, you know, in a City where we're going green,
4	where we encourage people to eat healthy, there's
5	no way people can eat healthy on \$11 a day, and
6	certainly not on \$6, no matter how good they are
7	at shopping. So, I think this is something that,
8	you know, is just, it's something that we have to
9	do. You know, if we say, if we really are
10	committed to health outcomes, for any of us, for
11	any of our, you know, neighbors, for anybody in
12	this City, we have to urge, we have to work as
13	hard as we can to get this cap put back, and to
14	make sure people living with HIV and AIDS, 'cause
15	this is about poverty, this is no longer just
16	about people living with AIDS, this is about
17	poverty, and how we respect or don't respect poor
18	people in this City. Thank you. [applause]
19	[pause]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Are there
21	any questions for one of the panelists? I have a
22	question, then.

22 question, then.
23 COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Actually--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Two issues, one is, Gina, I know that some of their colleagues

it's 'cause they do not include the tremendous

cost of eviction, and so on. So I'm just

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wondering, have you looked at their figures to compare them with yours? Or are yours perhaps more realistic?

VIRGINIA SCHUBERT: I have looked at their figures, and I would also say that the, these figures are based on actual data that they provided in response to a Freedom of Information Law request. One of the problems with their data is there are no assumptions stated, and no background information provided, to help all of us understand them better. There also are a couple of sort of glaring problems, and I think the most important is that their numbers don't take into account any savings associated with prevented evictions, keeping people out of the emergency housing system. So they don't take into account any of the offsetting savings that would result from the, the change in policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

Thank you very much. Alright, thank you both very much. Thank you, Gina, for all your work in preparing a demonstrations that's visual.

[applause]

GINA QUATTROCHI: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

Our next panel is Deborah Welch, Assistant

Director of GMHC, and Soraya Elcock, Harlem

United. I also want to mention that Federation of

Protestant Welfare Agencies submitted testimony

for the record. Whomever would like to go ahead,

go ahead.

DEBORAH WELCH: I'll start. Yeah. Good morning, and thank you for this opportunity to testify before your committee today. Gay Men's Health Crisis, GMHC, the nation's oldest provider of services for people with HIV/AIDS, strongly urges the New York City Council to pass this important resolution. Stable housing plays a crucial role in the on--in the ongoing health and wellbeing of people living with HIV/AIDS. Housing benefits such as those provided by New York City HIV/AIDS Services Administration, HASA, helps clients reduce high risk behavior and adhere to HIV treatment. While we recognize the important role that HASA plays in maintaining the health and wellbeings of people living with HIV/AIDS, it remains the only rental assistance program of its kind in New York State that does not have an

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affordable housing protection cap in tenant rent share at 30 percent of income. This means that clients receiving benefits from HASA, who also have other forms of income, including SSI, SSDI and veterans benefits or work, are forced to pay all but \$334 of their monthly incomes toward their This leaves these clients with an unlivable budget of \$11 a day. As you can imagine in New York City, \$11 a day does not go very far. As James Listener [phonetic], a GMHC client advocate who received HASA benefits puts it, "To say I have difficulty making ends meet would be an understatement. Even when my SSD increases for inflation, HASA just takes more for rent so that my monthly income remains the same. After rent each month, I worry about how to pay for bare necessity. It used to be that an extravagancy I save up for was vacation; now it's things like dishwashing sponges, light bulbs, deodorant, pens, house cleaning supplies, underwear, socks, winter shoes or the ultimate luxury, a cup of coffee with a friend. About four years ago, I started wearing clothes from friends who have died. And two years ago, I was forced to start collecting bottles and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cans to save money. I live in the constant fear that I would, could fall behind in the rent and lose the apartment that has been my home for more than 30 years." Jim's case is not an isolated About 11,000 low income New Yorkers living with HIV/AIDS, receiving benefits from HASA, who also have other forms of income, must currently pay in excess of 30 percent of their income towards rent. Current allocation of benefit, benefits make it difficult for them to remain stable house. In fact, HASA records shows that while the total number of clients remain constant from April 2007 through March 2009, there was a 27 percent increase in the number of clients who were unstably housed. A recent study by Schubert Botein Policy Associates include -- indicates that the legislation supported by this Resolution can save New York \$12 million annually by reducing costly arrears and eviction that drives up the amount of HASA clients who are unstably housed in commercial single resident occupancy units. it is time to act. GMHC calls on the State to standardize HASA benefit calculation to be consistent with Federal Department of Housing and

2.0

2.3

Urban Development, HUD, policy, specifying that
clients paying 30 percent of their income towards
rent. The legislation supported by this
Resolution would accomplish this goal, save money
and allow people living with HIV/AIDS to stay in
their home and better afford to live in our
expensive city. More stable housing will make it
easy to, for people maintain HIV treatment
adherence and stay healthy. Thank you again for
this opportunity to testify.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. [applause]

SORAYA ELCOCK: It's on. Good morning. [laughs] My name is Soraya Elcock and I am the Vice President for Policy and Government Affairs at Harlem United Community AIDS Center. Like many speakers before me and my colleagues, I would like to thank Council Member Mendez for sponsoring this important Resolution, and for this Committee in putting forth this hearing on the Resolution that would indeed have the Assembly pass the 30 percent rent cap bill. Harlem United provides a full range of medical, housing, prevention and supportive services, predominately

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to African American and Latinos living with HIV/AIDS, whose diagnoses are often complicated by addiction, mental illness and homelessness. year, we touch the lives of more than 6,000 people through an array of services and locations, including two adult day healthcare centers, and a full continuum of housing options from emergency transitional to permanent housing, utilizing both congregate and scatter site models. Housing is healthcare. Housing is prevention. Housing saves lives. These statements are not rhetorical, we all know they are factual. The research and the data demonstrated so wonderfully by Dr. Aidala shares this truth. And if we are serious about improving the lives of POWAs in our state, then we must adopt policies and laws that support fair and affordable supportive housing to some of our most vulnerable New Yorkers living with HIV/AIDS. 30 percent cap bill that is pending in the State Assembly is a critical piece of legislation that needs to be passed now. I applaud and thank again the General Welfare Committee for introducing the Resolution that I'm hoping sends a strong wakeup call to your colleagues in Albany, to pass this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bill, that will indeed cap the rent of people in supportive housing at 30 percent of their income. While a lot of speakers have discussed these issues, I really want to focus on three key reasons why this is so important to Harlem United, and over 600 individuals that we house daily. First, it is simply the right thing to do. bills introduced by Senator Tom Duane and Assembly Woman Glick, would establish the same affordable housing protections for low income POWAs that individuals in other rental assistant programs benefit from. Currently, the over 11,000 HASA clients who receive supplemental income do not have a cap on the percentage they pay towards their rent. As you have heard, for some this amounts to upwards of 70 percent of their income going towards their rent, leaving as little as \$11 a day, Gina demonstrated what you can or cannot buy with that, for individuals to live on. let me be clear. This is not an issue of people with AIDS not wanting to contribute towards their rent. A study of rent collections that we perform at Harlem United showed that only 40 percent of HASA clients whose rent was not capped were able

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to contribute to their rent, versus 85 percent of clients whose rents are capped at 30 percent of their income. This is a matter of making critical choices and giving people the ability to do what they need and want to do. This is really an issue of different and clearly unfair standards for poor New Yorkers living with HIV/AIDS. This policy makes it impossible for individuals in supportive housing to have resources for basic living expenses. When individuals have to make a choice between paying the phone bill, doing the laundry, god forbid going to a movie, or buying food, the system has failed them. Second, not signing this bill into law serves as a barrier to maintain people with AIDS in supportive housing. course, everyone needs a roof over his or her head in order to survive. But the value of permanent housing for people with AIDS is vitally important. Today, with the advancement of HIV/AIDS treatments, supportive housing is the foundation for living well and long. When most community based organizations first became housing providers, our challenge was to provide a safe and secure place to fend off death. While we are now

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to prolong the lives of our residents due to better and effectives treatments, the principal still remains the same: everyone deserves a roof over his or her head. Forcing people with AIDS to spend between 50 and 70 percent of their income on rent serves as a barrier to keeping them in their homes. Without a reasonable rent share policy, clients risk falling behind on rent and becoming homeless, returning to expensive, unsafe and desperate SROs. This has a direct impact on their lives, health and management of disease. Third, and finally, stable housing is crucial to supporting the success of HIV/AIDS treatment and prevention. The Duane/Glick bills are directly linked to the ability to provide optimal health outcomes for people with AIDS and reduce HIV incidence and prevalence. A growing body of research, as both Ginny and Dr. Aidala spoke about, really shows that persons who have stable and affordable supportive housing reduce their risk of drug use, unprotected sexual behaviors, and are more likely to adhere to treatments and care. Our experience, providing over 540 units of housing at Harlem United, clearly demonstrates and

both of you. Thank you very much.

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 SORAYA ELCOCK: Thank you. Thank

you so much. [applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Our final panel is Ed Viera, Jr., Evelyn Lopez, and Yves Gebhardt. Will the--yeah. All three individuals, any, are the other two people here? Or maybe they had to leave. Okay, here you are, great. [pause] Yeah, you can start whenever you want, sir, thank you very much.

ED VIERA: Okay. My name is Ed Viera. I don't want to bore you with my credentials so I'm going to go straight to the point. I've been positive since 1983, living with AIDS since 2000. And since 2000, I've been a recipient of HASA. Now, the -- when I was approved for HASA, I began receiving the \$330, split \$165 every two weeks. So, shortly thereafter, HASA is insisting that I apply for SSI, and even though I could work somewhat, I just didn't think I had to. However, they misinformed me and made it seem like I had to get SSI in order to, I had to apply for SSI in order to continue receiving their services. But this is what they do. You apply for HASA; let's say three years later you get that check,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're supposed to get a check. In my case I thought I was going to get \$19,000. So, stupid me, I was counting my chickens before they hatched, and I was planning on buying clothes that weren't so threadbare with some of that money. What HASA did was take the whole award, the \$19,000, and they had the nerve of sending me a letter telling me that I still owed them money. To add insult to injury, they, they never closed their case, they just never do, even, you know, should you happen to win the lottery, if you're that lucky, your case is still going to be open. So, anyway, the way that I saw it is they were playing this twisted little game to keep me at \$330 whether I got SSI or SSI, SSD, it just didn't matter how much I was getting. Let me be, let me be clear. When the SSI check that I was getting was \$724, my share of the rent was \$394, leaving me with \$330. When the check, the SSI check, went up to \$761, my share of the rent was \$431, leaving me with \$330. So, it doesn't matter how high it goes, you're still going to stay where you're at. So, now, the metro cards went up. Okay, so the, the cash award now from HASA is \$172, times two,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's \$344. So it's just an extra \$7 every two Like that means a lot to people like me. So, make a long story short, I, I continue to hear the buzzwords "affordable housing, affordable housing, affordable housing." Not for me. for me, that's why it's extremely important that the rent is capped. At least people like me, living with AIDS, I just accepted a job yesterday. The average I spend in a job is about a year, 14 months, before I start getting sick, PCP and all the opportunistic infections that come in. anyway, I'm still taking that chance, but just to cling onto hope and let's say I know that 30 perc--the rent has been capped at 30 percent, at least that gives me an incentive, you know, to keep on trying a little harder, to regain some of my self esteem and self respect. And to really, really entertain the notion that there are other nice, clean, safe places that I can live in, other than supportive housing in the form of crack houses, one of which his Davidson Avenue Hotel in The Bronx. By the way, that's my personal experience, I'm sorry for being gritty, but I just had to share that with you. Thanks. [applause]

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you

3 very much.

4 EVELYN LOPEZ: Good morning, my 5 name is Evelyn Lopez. Me no English. [Spanish] [applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: I'm, I'm just going to quickly translate what she said. She, her name is Evelyn Lopez, she's been here, she came from Puerto Rico looking for a better life. She was diagnosed in [Spanish] 2000-2001 with HIV, and upon arriving here she tried to get HASA benefits. She's now living in an apartment which many of you know the conditions are not adequate for anyone to be living in. She doesn't have heat or hot water. Got letters from her doctor stating that she should be transferred out of this apartment because of her health. claims that her state is not priority because she's not in a shelter or in a, in a hotel, and so she should remain, remain living in an apartment that doesn't have heat or hot water, or are an inadequate, inadequate conditions. She says it has affected her health. She, instead of getting better, she gets worse. She's very depressed and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

life.

2	it affects her mental and psychological wellbeing,
3	and she cannot believe that a program that was
4	designed to help people is actually preventing
5	people from getting healthy and living a longer

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you,

Council Member Palma, thank you Ms. Lopez. Go

ahead, sir.

YVES GEBHARDT: Yves. [pause] Yes, hello, good morning. I move slowly. Age and neuropathy. My name is Yves Gebhardt. I am 57 years old, I was diagnosed with HIV and AIDS in 2002. We do live with medical conditions. Mine are, just to give you an idea of what we go through: EVT, blood clots, neuropathy, high blood--high cholesterol, - - , which is partial blindness of the right eye, vertigo, heart condition. I survived cancer, stage four. survived heart surgery, open lung surgery. This is what many of us go through, the tribulations of living with HIV. On a daily basis, I take medications, like everybody else. I added up the other day, I took a piece of paper and I took notes of how many milligrams I'm taking.

milligrams of this, I can give you the figures, I 2 3 happen to remember them. 300 milligrams of AZT 4 twice a day, 150 milligrams of Epivir twice a day, 300 milligrams of Reyataz--and I'm not, this is 5 not a commercial -- and 100 milligrams of Norvir. 6 7 So you add this whole thing, and this is only HIV 8 medication. I figured out what adding all those milligrams up in 365 days. Ladies and gentlemen, 9 10 do you, do you know what a pound of sugar looks like? And I am talking about highly active 11 12 antiretrovirals. I'm not talking about aspirin or 13 acetaminophen. Those things require proper 14 nutrition. I know this is not about nutrition, 15 but I get there. Stuff that, we on a budget, I'm 16 looking, I live in East Harlem. I'm looking for 17 the lowest price, for ten cents I walk five blocks, if an item is ten cents less. I make sure 18 19 that I get the best price for the, for the best 20 value. Unfortunately, the, the foods recommended 21 by everybody is, the best prices are on the 22 street. I get food stamps, and incidentally I am 23 very grateful for the entitlements and the benefits I receive. And I'm sure all of us in New 24 25 York City, PWAs all feel the same. But you cannot

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pay with food stamps, with EBT card on a vending card, because the grapes cost 50 cents less than in the supermarket. Every penny counts in my I'll be happy to disclose to any of you book. ladies my budget. AIDS is an acronym. interpretation is "As I Die Slowly." But the thing is, I'm not dead yet. I am trying to better my life, to supplement my income, thanks to return to work programs offered by Social Security and the federal government. But why would I even consider going back to work, if I am forced to give up everything of my earned income, plus my benefits, remaining from Social Security. This is an interference with federal programs. And why would I be, we, why would we be the only segment of the population in the United States required to allocate more than 30 percent of our total income towards rent? Why, in this great City of ours, how could this be possible? We are stigmatized enough. We are dealing with medical issues, we're dealing with a whole bunch of things. And do we need additional stress? Ladies and gentlemen, you all know stress does to everybody, to us PWA stress has an irresponsible way of doing things.

It increases our load of, of -- it basically allows-2 3 -I'm having a Sustiva moment, I'm sorry. 4 [laughter] It basically allows the replication, the fast replication of the virus in the blood, 5 and this is exactly what we don't need and what we 6 7 don't want, because it's counterproductive. And I 8 am not stressed easily, but sometimes when it comes to finances, I am, and that's not a good 9 10 thing. One more thing I would like to say, is--I took some notes, before while I was sitting in the 11 12 back there. I would like to be able to afford life insurance. I mean, my family lives 3,000 13 miles away--it's a good thing. But when I die, I 14 15 need to be buried. And you might not know, 16 ladies, but life insurance premiums for us PWAs 17 are extremely high. A unit of, of insurance is about \$1,100 and costs about \$8 or \$9. So, if you 18 19 want to be insured for \$15,000, ladies, I'll let 20 you do the math, because I'm not too good at it. 21 So life insurance is also something that we might 22 want to consider, into our daily expenses, and 23 that's \$60-\$70-\$80 a month just for life insurance. That's a whole week of \$11 left over, 24 25 right? All the things that we need like everybody

2	else. I mean, aren't we allowed to see family,
3	friends? Aren't we allowed to buy a gift to a
4	granddaughter, a sister? Because we are HIV
5	positive, aren't we allowed to do those things?
6	Is everything being taken away from us, because we
7	are HIV positive, or because we live with AIDS?
8	Aren't we granted the right to live with a little
9	dignity, whatever life we have left over. Why
10	not? I would love to be able to become a
11	productive member of society again, and pay taxes,
12	yes, I would love to pay taxes. I must be crazy
13	but why would Iwhy would I return to work if I'm
14	only allowed to keep \$344 out of my income?
15	Including what at the remaining benefits from
16	Social Security, and the earned income. Let's say
17	I'll be able, becauselet's say I'll be able to,
18	I'll never be able to do full time, I'll be able
19	to work two days a week, three days a week, and
20	earn, let's say, \$100 a week, which is \$400 a
21	month. Social Security benefits will be
22	readjusted. QMB, which pays for the, QMB is a
23	qualified Medicaid beneficiary, which pays for the
24	Medicare, \$70, \$99 I think, or \$79 monthly
25	premium. That will be changed. There will, there

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are so many things changing because of the, the \$400 I'll be able to earn. And losing all those, all those entitlements on top of it, I'm only entitled to keep \$344. What do I do with \$344? need a metro, I need transportation. communication, and I do not believe that a cell phone is a luxury anymore because the federal government has been good enough to give them out to people in need, who do qualify for Medicaid and food stamps. A cell phone is not a luxury. case, I have vertigo. If I collapse, the only way is a cell phone. Ladies and gentlemen, I thank you for the time and we really need your help. There's 100,000 plus of us, and all of us have housing situations, but this is totally unacceptable that we have to deal with this situation in this great country of ours.

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. I think the three of you, I know the three of you have made the case very eloquently, and I know you have, you should know you have complete support on the City Council. I'm sure this Resolution will pass, and then our efforts

0	-
Ö.	О

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 86
2	will be directed towards the State Assembly.
3	Thank you very much for your very personal
4	stories, and if you have specific situations where
5	we can be helpful for individual districts, we
6	will be glad to, like with Ms. Lopez's apartment
7	situation. Thank you so much. [applause]
8	YVES GEBHARDT: Thanks.
9	[pause, background noise]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: This
11	hearing is now concluded. Thank you very much.
12	[gavel, background noise]
13	

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

John David Voz

Signature_____

Date October 1, 2009