CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

September 5, 2018 Start: 9:56 a.m. Recess: 11:38 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: FRANCISCO P. MOYA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Costa G. Constantinides

Barry S. Grodenchik Rory I. Lancman Stephen T. Levin Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards

Carlina Rivera Ritchie J. Torres.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nora Martins, Land Use Associate, Ackerman LLP

Danny Pyle, Proprietor, O'Neill's Restaurant

Allison Edda, Lifelong Neighbor of O'Neill's Restaurant and Patron

Tom Brady, Lifelong Neighbor of O'Neill's Restaurant and patron.

Tom McBride, Neighbor and Patron of O'Neill's Restaurant

Jim McNamara, Neighbor and Patron of O'Neill's Restaurant

Adam Rothkrug, Attorney, Rothrug & Spector, LLC

Eric Palatnik, Attorney, Eric Palatnik, PC

Jeremiah Candreva, Troutman Sanders LLC

Gary Spindler, LSC Development

Karl Rubenacker, Gilsanz Murray Steficek

Michael Kramer

[sound check] [pause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning. Today, we are going to be holding public hearings on a number of items. If you're here to testify on any of the items on the calendar, please fill out a white slip with the sergeant-at-arms, and indicate the name of the application you wish to testify on, on that slip. Our first hearing will be on the Preconsidered LUs, O'Neill's Rezoning for property in Council Member Holden's district in Queens. All of the property in the rezoning area is currently zoned R4. The zoning is to R5D-R5D and C2, and R4 & C2-2 would bring existing buildings into zoning compliance, and as to the project site located on the corner of 53rd Drive and 65th Place would allow the enlargement of O'Neill's restaurant in addition to a partial second floor to be used for catering is proposed. open the public hearing on this application, and I would like to call up Nora Martins to testify. [background comments, pause] Yeah. Counsel, will you swear the applicant in? [pause]

LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your name and then make the affirmation. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you're about to give

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 the truth and to answer all questions truthfully?

NORA MARTINS: Nora Martins. I do. Good morning, Chair Moya. Good morning everybody. Again, my name is Nora Martins. I'm from Ackerman LLP representing the applicant O'Neill's restaurant in the proposed rezoning. You'll hear a little bit from the owner shortly, but O'Neill's is a family-owned and operated eating and drinking establishment in Maspeth, Queens that's been operating at this location for over 80 years. They have been a neighborhood fixture holding functions like bridal showers, baby showers in addition to normal restaurant and bar capacity, and also hosting-hosting many events for charities including NYPD and—and service members, and they employ over 70 people mostly from the local community. The proposed zoning map amendment that's the subject of this application would legalize any efforts (sic) to non-conforming commercial establishments located in an R4 zoning district that's not permitted as-of-right, and would also permit a modest enlargement to the existing 1story restaurant. In addition to O'Neill's

Restaurant, the rezoning area includes seven other

to the existing restaurant. The O'Neill site would

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 7 2 be rezoned to an R5D Zoning District with a C2-2 commercial overlay. The adjacent residential 3 4 property would be rezoned to an R5D without a 5 commercial overlay and then the other six properties would be-would remain R4 but a C2-2 Commercial 6 7 Overlay would be mapped over those properties. Proposed Zoning Change Map illustrates these-these 8 zoning changes. The proposed development that would 9 be, I'll say that would be facilitated by the 10 rezoning, would be a partial second floor addition to 11 12 the restaurant approximately 4,335 square feet, and will be used as an accessory banquet hall with a 13 maximum of 140 seats, and then enlargement would 14 15 increase the size of the existing restaurant to 16 12,530 square feet just under 1.5 FAR and the 17 proposed building height would be 25 feet 1 inch. The 18 site plan illustrates the proposed enlargement, which would be about half of the existing footprint of the 19 20 building. It does not maximize the 2 FAR that we've permitted under the proposed rezoning given the 21 2.2 constraints of complying with parking requirements. 23 No parking can be provided on site given the existing buildings full footprint buildout. My last slide just 24

shows a proposed elevation of the proposed

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 8
2	enlargement of the restaurant. The Rezoning
3	Application was approved by Community Board 5 and by
4	the Queens Borough President with some conditions.
5	It's also approved by the City Planning Commission.
6	We've received letters in support from nine neighbors
7	that are immediately across the street, or adjacent
8	to the property. A petition with more than 200
9	signatures in support as well as about 70-over 70
10	comments in support that were submitted to City
11	Planning, and that's in addition to public testimony,
12	and support that was given throughout the public
13	hearing process. That concludes my presentation, and
14	I'm happy to answer any questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I just
16	have two quick questions. Just can you go back and-
17	and—and talk a little bit about what's being done to
18	alleviate the parking concerns in the neighborhood?
19	NORA MARTINS: Yes. So, throughout the
20	process parking has been a concern. I think it's
21	sort of an existing condition concern in the
22	neighborhood, and so O'Neill has been striving to be

a good neighbor has committed to-they-they use valet

parking. That's how they accommodate their parking

needs, and they've committed to identifying several

23

24

(sp?) Jamie McNamara and Tom McBride. [background

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

comments, pause] Thank you. You can just state your
name and you can begin.

DANNY PYLE: [off mic] Okay, just press the button?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You have to press the button.

DANNY PYLE: Okay, okay. Hello. How are you doing? I'm Danny Pyle. I'm the proprietor at O'Neill's. My family has run this place for over 70 years. I've been running it myself for about 25. We're a, you know, a staple in the neighborhood. We're a neighborhood place that, you know, goes hand in hand with Maspeth. If you've heard of Maspeth, you've heard of O'Neill's. We're-we're involved in the neighborhood from the Lion's Club, Chamber of Commerce, Kiwanis Club. Do all their functions. We're members. We get involved with, you know, whatever is best for the neighborhood. Involved with the schools, sponsoring. You know we just do a lot of stuff like that. We also get involved with the NYPD. We have their Widows and Orphans Christmas part there every year. We also do functions with Saint Jude. Every year we do a big Saint Jude event. So, you know, we give a lot. We try to do the, you

- 2 know, how best to help people in the neighborhood.
- 3 As it's a good strong family orientated neighborhood
- 4 and place. So, there's a big demand for catering,
- 5 and with this second floor it's going to help us and
- 6 the neighborhood, you know, with that, and it's going
- 7 | to create more jobs and, you know, we're just, you
- 8 know, looking forward to possibly, you know moving
- 9 forward with this project.

- CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.
- 11 DANNY PYLE: Thank you.
- 12 ALLISON EDDA: Good morning. My name is
- 13 | Allison Edda. I live across the street from
- 14 O'Neill's. My family has owned the house for several
- 15 generations. I myself have lived there since I was a
- 16 | kid. I've been going to O'Neill's since I was a kid
- 17 | with my father when it was smaller. It's been a
- 18 | fixture in the neighborhood as long as I've been
- 19 | there. I've used their services for my daughter's
- 20 showers, communions, all different plays throughout
- 21 | the years. So, has most of my family members. As
- 22 someone who lives directly across the street in one
- 23 of the only private houses literally across the
- 24 street, I have never experienced any issues with
- 25 parking or anything outside of the norm. We've had

2.2

idea.

issues when they were closing (sic) this parking, but nothing has gotten any worse. I would say that it stayed pretty much the same. They do a lot of charity events through the community. I've seen those first. It's—it's a great place. It's fairly quiet. I mean I eat there all the time. I go there because it's a nice quiet place to be. It's a lot of neighborhood people, and we don't really have any trouble out there, not that I've ever seen. That's it. I think a second floor would be great. I think it will bring—bring more business to the neighborhood, and I think it would be an awesome

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

name is Tom Brady. I also live across the street from O'Neill's at 5417 right on 65th Place. I'm a lifelong resident of Maspeth and have lived there for 61 years. This morning I not only speak for myself, but I speak for my mother Florence Brady who's 90 years old and owned that home since 1940. [coughs] We live directly across the street from O'Neill's, like I say. I come here before you this morning to express our approved—approval of the site addition

corner on 9/11, this man has been helping everybody

survive through that. He had functions, you have

benefits, you have things that go on without the

23

24

with the jobs he's going to create, the taxes you're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

of the public who wish to testify on this item?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 17
2	approve 5 Bement Avenue Rezoning, LUs 195 for
3	property in Council Member Rose's district in Staten
4	Island. Applicant Pelton Place LLC seeks—seeks an
5	extension of an existing C2-2 Commercial Overlay to
6	the project site to facilitate the development of a
7	one-story commercial retail building with accessary
8	parking. I will now call for a vote in accordance
9	with the recommendations of the local Council Members
10	to approve LUs 188, 189, 190 and 195, and to approve
11	with the modifications I have described for LU 191.
12	Counsel, please call the roll.
13	LEGAL COUNSEL: Moya.
14	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye on all.
15	LEGAL COUNSEL: Constantinides.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Aye on
17	all.
18	LEGAL COUNSEL: Lancman.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Aye
20	LEGAL COUNSEL: Rivera.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Aye.
22	LEGAL COUNSEL: Grodenchik.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Aye.
24	LEGAL COUNSEL: The Land Use items are
25	approved by a vote of 5 in the affirmative, no

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 ROBERT SCHUSTER: Robert Schuster,

3 Project Architect.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Say I do.

ROBERT SCHUSTER: I do.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may-you may begin.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Thank you Chair Moya and members of the Council. This application is made on behalf of C&A Realty Holdings, the owner of the development site for a zoning map amendment form R3X, C2-2 to C8-1. The proposed project area is located in the Bulls Head section of Staten Island, Community District-Community District No. 2 and includes one development site at 3122 to 30 Victory Boulevard between Richmond Avenue and Jones Street, and it includes two additional sites not owned by the owner also proposed to be included in the rezoning. owner's site consists of three sites. Non-conforming automobile uses were established on the main site prior to 1961, and it's a legally non-conforming use at the present time. It has a C of O dating back to 1948 for a garage and five-for five commercial vehicles, and in 1987 started use as a repair shop. The Victory Auto Center has been operating at this location for 30 years serving the local community,

and the rezoning is sought to permit the expansion of their existing repair shop. They do Geico work on Staten Island and badly need the proposed addition. They have two other sites adjacent. One was previously used as a car wash, which was approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals. That approval expired and they're using it for accessory parking now, and they have another parking lot that they have been using to store vehicles seeking repair. This area was rezoned in 2011 when the city added a commercial—a C2-2 Commercial Overlay as part of the commercial corridor rezoning on Staten Island. C2-2 while permitting commercial use didn't reflect the auto uses that are predominant on this side of Victory Boulevard. So, it didn't leave CNA or the Victory Auto Center with the ability to enlarge their existing facility. The existing-their existing building is about 5,000 square feet in area, and the proposed rezoning would permit them to approximately double the size. We're not proposing any new spray It will be used purely for predominantly insurance company auto repairs of the vehicles. owners Cesar Arlear (sic) and Anthony Lacava are here

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 as well as the Architect Robert Schuster to answer
3 any questions that the Council may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Council Member Matteo.

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Thank you both for coming. I—I—I have some concerns and some questions I just want to go over.

As you know, the—one of the first issues that we talked about in the past were the issue of billboards and deed restrictions. The borough president and I have—we're on the same page about filing deed restrictions so billboards aren't placed like they are in Route 9, Jersey or even Highland Boulevard in my district. So, I just want to know where we are in that process.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Sure. So, um, this issue came to light at—when we met with the Borough President's Office we hadn't even considered it. We obviously have no desire and intent to erect any billboards, but obviously the Council is concerned and everyone is concerned with the other owners. So, we've been working with the two other affected property owners, and with Borough President's Office to—come up with a restricted declaration that would be recorded against the properties that would limit

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 the placement of any signage to the current C2-2

3 regulation so that no billboards would be permitted.

4 We don't have a signed agreement yet, but we're

5 | working very hard and we know that that's important

6 to the Council that we have that in place before a

7 | vote on this matter.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Are the other two owners a part of the application, or are you're dealing with them as--

ADAM ROTHKRUG: [interposing] These--

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: --sort of like-

like a subcontractor or --?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Yes. So, the other two owners' property was included at the suggestion in determining where to draw the zoning lines from City Planning. They are not actively involved as part of the application. It's a small island and a small block. So, we know the other owners. We've discussed the situation with them. With regard to the owner next to us, he has a non-conforming car wash. So, he is stuck with us under the current zoning not being able to enlarge it or make any improvements to this property. So the C8 District helps him a lot as well as us by allowing him to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Let's keep in communication about that. I don't know if you said it before I got here. I was in a meeting downstairs, but do you have any—I mean anything with the rezoning? Do you have a project that you're considering right now, or is this just for the future? Is this expansion? Have you filed anything?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: No, we have an actual project. It involves about a 5,000 square foot enlargement to the existing facility. So, yeah, we have plans that we've shared with the Community Board and Borough President.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: I just wanted to 3 get it on the record so that we have here.

ADAM ROTHKRUG: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: So, a big issues for me and I think you-everyone has an understanding of where I am on-on a widening here. It's-it's a very, very big issue from here. The Tim Hortons on the corner has a widening at the intersection that has been extremely beneficial to traffic congestion. This intersection and—and the thoroughfare Victory Boulevard and Arlene's is very congested. We have parking lots coming out from the-the McDonald's right across the street that people make illegal lefts andand right. So, um, so much so that DOT at one point wanted to ban lefts, which we fought against to turn on Richmond Avenue. With that said, I think there is certainly a need, an opportunity here to widen Victory Boulevard as part of this project. something that I am 110% in favor of. So, I want to hear from you where you are on that, and have you spoken with DOT? Have you provided the BPP an application? Where are we on that?

ADAM ROTHKRUG: So, in connection with

filing for a sewer permit, we had previously filed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the BPP and the DOT had not required a widening of our property, but we went through the hearings, and meetings, and obviously we're all familiar with this intersection. So, that we understand your desire to have the-to have the widening done at this point. So, we are-with regard to our property, we're amenable to doing whatever DOT says you have to-we have to do, and would have to be done as part of ourand proposed enlargement. We have not been able to meet with DOT yet to discuss the amended BPP. We are hoping we will have a meeting with Mr. Caccolla this week, and our-and our engineer. Once we know whatwhat DOT envisions as far as the widening and how it's going taper into the existing widening, then we can also go to our neighbor, the car wash again, and discuss with him what it—what the impact would be on is property. Obviously, if he wants to improve his property in the near future, he would have to do the widening also. If we can do the whole thing at once now, that would be-obviously be our desire but, you know, our position has always been we will do whatever DOT requests, and hopefully, as I said, we'll have that meeting this week. I know that Commissioner Caccolla is aware that this project is

today.

vote. Counsel, please call the roll.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Vote to approve Land Use

Items 188, 189, 190, 195 and to approve 191 with

modifications. Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye on all.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Aye on all.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Torres.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye on

all.

2.2

LEGAL COUNSEL: The vote stands at 8 in the affirmative, no negative no abstentions [pause] and we'll leave the vote open.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Now, we are going to--[background comments, pause] Our next hearing will be on the Preconsidered LUs 1881 and 1883, McDonald Avenue Rezoning for a property in Council Member Yeger's district in Brooklyn.

Applicant Quentin Plaza, LLC seeks to rezone property from R5 to R7A, C2-4 and apply MIH Options 1 and 2 to the rezonings, are to facilitate a new 8-story building with approximately 35 apartments and ground floor commercial space with approximately 11 affordable units under MIH Options 2. I now open the public hearing on this application, and I will call

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LEGAL COUNSEL: Yes, it's okay. Thank your. [background comments, pause] I just went on vacation with my kids. I spent everything

like me to hand this to you? Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 technological they did for me. So, not even have to

3 touch anything like this. It is great. [pause]

4 They proceeded to tell me my iPhone 6 is outdated,

5 and I was happy to have an iPhone. There we go.

FEMALE SPEAKER: This is a hefty.

ERIC PALATNIK: Great. Alright, great.

Alright, so now a picture is worth a thousand words right. So good morning again. We'll start over. My name is Eric Palatnik. I'm an attorney representing the owner of the property, and we hope everybody had a great summer vacation. It's a rezoning as you can tell from R5 to R7A with a C2-4 overlay, which we believe is really appropriate here at this location on McDonald Avenue, which is across from a C8 district. It's-this stretch of McDonald has long been under-developed. It's really had more of a haphazard manufacturing automotive heritage and has resulted on this development site as well as the one across the street that's within the rezoning area of 1 and 2-story mid-Century buildings that are rather under-utilized. So, we're up against, as you could tell, of course, they elevated well with the F Train.

We're a block away from the train station at Avenue

P, and we presented this application for a rezoning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It will, if approved will allow for a 48,000 square foot building that will-a residential building and a 42,000 square feet of residential floor area, about 5,000 square feet of commercial floor area, the ground floor, 15 parking spaces at the cellar. It will be a partially affordable building. It will have Option 2 as we're proposing at 80% AMI, and Tim Hensley who has prepared the-the affordability matrix is here to speak to you about that more if you have any questions. Going through just the presentation, we could see here we have the area highlighted on the left is the existing zoning at R5 in the OP subdistrict and ours is on the right is the proposed showing you the two corners to be R7A. You'll note across the street it's CA2, which is what I was speaking to before about McDonald Avenue. really a mix. You've got R5 on one side of the Street and CA2 on the other, and it's really a leftover from 1961. There again you could just see the area in question on it-the rezoning area on the tax map, and nothing new for you to see here and the area map, and I'll just bring you in a little bit onto the property. This shows you the rezoning sites that are in question. On the top right you have the

2 lower site which is an Anderson Windows building. It's called Brook-Brooklyn Windows and Doors, and 3 4 then clicking through if this can catch up. These 5 are some of the development sites around us, and the 6 taller buildings in the area and this is the 7 development on the top. Well, excuse me. There's-I'll get to the developments. Right, here you go. 8 This is a view of the development site from McDonald 9 10 Avenue. You can see what I was speaking to before, sort of a mix and match of buildings that have been 11 12 built over the last-the early part of the last century. You have a guy that makes hats on the top 13 14 floor, a rather older gentleman who's ready to 15 retire, and that's his shop down in the lower left 16 corner as well where he's got shoes. This the site 17 next door to us. It's a four-story building. As you 18 could tell, it's just more shots of-of the elevated This is the development site itself. 19 20 gives you the generic information about the development. It's an 8-story building. As I said 21 2.2 before, it 48,000 square feet of floor area. 23 to the afford-the affordable units as you can see down in the lower left corner, there will be a total 24 of 35 dwelling units, 11 of which will be 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

affordable.. This sheet I will allow you to look through on your own. It gives you the matrix to the affordability. The same here and this just starts to walk you through the floor plan, which I'll be happy to go into and the architect is here as well to go through it. I'll bring you to the end where you could start to get some imagery to build and get an idea for what it will look like. This gives you a view from Quentin. It shows you on the right side. We have a generous setback. That's up against the R5 district. So it's a 50...there's actually a-a 36-foot separation at that point, but you could also see there the garage door that will be to the parking area, and you can also see some of the-the residential entrance right there as well. This gives you another view of it from McDonald looking at the corner of Quentin, and this is a shot looking at the streetscape from McDonald. That's a view from above a bird's eye view. I'd be happy to answer any questions anybody may have. Our Development Team is here, and thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just ajust a few questions. How many tenants would be
displaced as a result of this development project?

2	ERIC PALATNIK: There is one house that
3	has a large family. It has—it appears multiple
4	people-multiple people living within the building.
5	We don't know if they're all related or not. There's
6	about 10 people within that—within that space.
7	That's the building that will be the home that I
8	showed you before.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, they're selling the home or-?

ERIC PALATNIK: No, the home is a rental. They—they're on a month-to-month tenancy right now with the owner.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it. Are there any relocation plans for those tenants?

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes. The owner of this building also owns other properties and he's making available to them other units within the area. So, he'll be attempting to relocate them if they're happy with it, and I'm sure—I'm sure it will actually much nicer than what they are in right now.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And can you just go over the AMI ranges for the development again for me?

2.2

ERIC PALATNIK: Sure and Tim Henzie is her if I can invite him to come up as well, if you'd like.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Sure.

them. Tim, if you—actually, it would be better if you speak to this issue since you're here.

TIM HENZIE: Is this working now? Is this working? Good morning. My name is Henzie.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: One—one second. Just—the Counsel will swear you in.

ERIC PALATNIK: Have to be sworn in.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully?

TIM HENZIE: I do. Thank you. So, I did the—I worked with Eric to work—do the distribution under Option 2 of the MIH Program. So, we have identified 11 of the 35 units as affordable, and with an average AMI range of 80% AMI. Right now we are showing units at 60% AMI and those include two—two—two 2-bedrooms and one 3-bedroom. We have four units at 80% AMI and that's three 2-bedroom units, and one

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 36
2	4-bedroom unit, and we have four units at 100% AMI
3	and that is one 1-bedroom, and three 2-bedrooms.
4	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.
5	ERIC PALATNIK: And—and I also, Chairman,
6	that you're taking notes. The handouts that came to
7	you have a-what I call a cheat sheet, an old school
8	cheat sheet on top of it, and that's got at the
9	bottom of it all the pertinent information that Mr.
10	Henzie just spoke to. So, he-for ease of your note
11	taking.
12	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.
13	TIM HENZIE: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you both.
15	ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I'd like
17	to call up the next panel. Roslyn Gal
18	ERIC PALATNIK: [interposing] If I may,
19	these—this is the architect and the Environmental
20	consultant. So, if you don't have any further
21	questions for them, they—they don't—
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Hi-Hiram Roth
23	ERIC PALATNIK: [interposing] Rothkirk.
24	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah. No, that's it.

2 ERIC PALATNIK: Okay, great. Thank you 3 very much. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. [background comments, pause] Alright, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this item or the previous item on Victory Boulevard? Seeing none, I now close the public hearings on both applications, and they will be laid over. [pause] Our next hearing will be on Preconsidered LU 27 East Fourth Street, the property in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan. Applicant [background comments] Kalodop Park Corporation seeks a zoning text amendment to Special Permit 74-712 and seeks two special permits under that section to allow a transient hotel and retail use at the project site and to modify bulk regulations to allow the proposed building to reach a height of 90 feet without setback. [background comments] and now I'm going to call up the-the panel. Jeremiah Can-Candrum-JEREMIAH: [interposing] Candrevea.

23 Michael Kramer.

MICHAEL KRAMER: Here.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Candreva, Sorry.

24

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 38
2	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gary Spindler and Karl
3	Rod-Rubenacker.
4	MALE SPEAKER: [off mic]
5	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank
6	you. Alright, Counsel will you please swear in the
7	panel.
8	LEGAL COUNSEL: Before responding, please
9	each state your name. Do you swear or affirm that the
10	testimony that you're about to give will be the
11	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and
12	that you will answer all questions truthfully?
13	JEREMIAH CANDREVA: I do.
14	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please state your name
15	into the mic and say you do?
16	JEREMIAH CANDREVEA: Jeremiah Candreva. I
17	do.
18	LEGAL COUNSEL: Please hit the red
19	button. Thank you.
20	JEREMIAH CANDREVA: Jer-Jeremiah
21	Candreva. I do.
22	GARY SPINDLER: Gary Spindler. I do.
23	KARL RUBENACKER: Karl Rubenacker. I do.
24	MICHAEL KRAMER: Michael Kramer. I do.
25	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin.

2.2

2 MICHAEL KRAMER: Okay, thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin.

MICHAEL KRAMER: Okay, thank you. Just trying to see when it's a full screen. Okay. Thank you. 27 East Fourth Street is a one-story existing building. It's in the—it's in the Noho Historic District Extension. We have been going through the process of approval since 2011 with Landmarks and City Planning, and with the oversight from Buildings and the Parks Department, and I'm going to ask Jer to describe the—the Land Use matter that's before you today.

Mr. Chairperson and members of the committee thank you for providing us with the opportunity to testify to day on this proposal. The proposal before you is to construct an 8-story contextual street wall building at 27 East Fourth Street. The development would be utilized for either Use Group 5 transient hotel with approximately 28 units or Use Group 6 office building above the level of the second story both of which uses are as-of-right. Uses located or to be located below the level of the second story include ground floor—on the ground floor and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

including an accessory lobby for the hotel or office use as wall as a small neighborhood restaurant with approximately 25 tables plus or minus an occupancy of 100 persons. A cellar level is to be utilized for either Use Group 5 or Use Group 6 accessory uses the back of the house hotel office space and storage. We are adjacent to the Merchants House Museum, which is an individual landmark structure both exterior and interior. The cost of the site is located within the NoHo Historic District Extension. The demolition of the existing one-story building and the design and the construction of the proposed building required Landmarks Preservation Commission approval, which was received on April 8, 2014 when Landmarks voted to approve the demolition of the existing building and the construction of the proposed building. Further on April 6, 2018, the Landmarks Preservation Commission issued a design only certificate of appropriateness for the building. The zoning approvals that are before you today consist of three actions: A text amendment to the provisions of Zoning Resolution Section 74-712 as well as the Special Permit under 74-712-A for uses to be located below the level of the second story as well as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

special permit pursuant to 74-712-B for height and setback waivers. If you observe the—the graphic on your screen or on page 6 of the handout, you will see that the setback that we were required to produce a contextual street or a building starts at the sixth stories. That resolution requires us to set back at 85-the lesser of 85 feet or six stories, and so we are requesting a waiver on the 7th ad 8th floor. you see the hatch portion it's in the initial setback distance, which is to a depth of 20 feet. That's the extent of the waiver that we're seeking of the 74-712-B. I would like to speak to you momentarily about the history of 74-712 because I do think it's extraordinarily relevant to the actions that are before you. 74-712 in 1997 was modified by the City Planning Commission to apply for bulk regulations only on vacant lots within historic districts and at that time, the Compendium Report the Commission adopted with respect to 970-6540Y, the Commission stated, "Believes that the new tool may help promote development of buildings that are more contextual to historic districts than buildings that might be developed as-of-right pursuant to existing zoning. note that exactly what the applicant is doing in this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

proposal. We are developing an LPC approved contextual street wall building with massing that is more consistent with the Historic District than an as-of-right height and setback building. I also note that the provisions of 74-7012 have been amended over the last 20 years to include use waivers, as well as bulk waivers, applying in historic districts in both the M15-A and the M15-B zones. An example of this-of zoning amendments that occurred in 2003 and 2006 that are you-that-that permitted use in bulk regulations on land with minor improvements or sites where not more than 20% was occupied by an existing building. In the Commission's Report of 2003 with respect to the property located a 465 Broadway, the Commission noted that "It does not believe that the replacement of any of these buildings with new structures approved by Landmarks would be adverse to the Historic District, and contrary to public policy. Recent approvals of new structures in historic districts by the Landmarks Commission demonstrate how these can be compatible with the historic character of the district. The Commission stated further in it's 2006 report with respect to the Special Permit Application for 311 West Broadway that it believes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

leave the vacant lots and the underutilized-and undeveloped sites-excuse me-and these areas detect from the fabric of the SoHo cast iron and the NoHo Historic Districts, and that allowing modifications of the use and bulk regulations by special permit would facilitate development of the vacant underutilized sites and help strengthen the Historic District's built character, and in the last and most recent Amendment 74-712 at 150 Wooster Street, the Commission noted that the expanded applicability of the zoning text would provide enhanced opportunities to fill in gaps along SoHo's mid-blocks and avenues to reinforce its scale, street wall continuity and predominant built-out character. Again, this is exactly what the applicant is proposing to do in filling the gap that exists with the existing onestory mid-block building and replace it with a new Landmarks approved contextual street wall building. I would like to note for the record that the City Planning Commission unanimously approved this application and that the applicant meets each and every findings with respect to 74-A-74-712-A and 74-712-B respectively. I'd like to turn it back to Michael if there are no questions of me at this time.

25

2 MICHAEL KRAMER: So, our one-story 3 building, which currently houses hot dog vendors who are on month-to-month leases, was build back in 1931. 4 So, you can see it's an old building that we consider 5 not-not to be contributing to the historic extension. 6 7 Originally—this is a rendering of what our building will look like between the Merchant's House, which is 8 on the right hand side of your screen and 29 East 9 Fourth Street-25-excuse me-East Fourth Street, which 10 is a residential 9-story building, which is to the 11 12 left. We've been at this for a while and, you know, the building has evolved from 11 to 10 to 9 and now 13 to 8 stories a Jer just described and Jer just 14 15 described the zoning actions that we needed and the 16 setback waiver that's needed, and we'd like to talk about firstly, the building to our west, which is 20-17 18 25 East Fourth Street. I'm sorry that's—that's It should be 25. That building is 19 wrong. 20 residential. It has lot line windows. It was originally a joint living/working building. There is 21 a restrictive declaration on those lot line windows. 2.2 23 So, some members of that building may lose some light and air. Perhaps that light and air was used for 24

rooms that were-that were otherwise inhabited, and so

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there's been some concern from that building about We work with them as closely as we can to limit the number of lot line windows that would be blocked. Merchant House itself, of course, is-date's back to the 1830s, and 1832 and, of course, it's a New York City landmark, and one of the first New York City landmarks, and we've been a very good neighbor to Merchant's House during the period of time that we've owned this building, which is almost 20 years. Back as-back 2010 the Parks Department found \$598,000 to do some restoration work in the Merchant's House. There has been emergency maintenance, there has been emergency maintenance, there's been emergency repairs. Clearly, over the years there have been repairs to keep Merchant's House going, and as much as we respect the original fabric and-and-and-and interiors of Merchant's House, we would like to point out that they have been repaired over the years as well. Our predevelopment plans anticipate extraordinary efforts. We've had our site safety plan vetted by three different firms. We have GMS Structural Engineering with Karl here on our staff since 2013 working with his counterparts at New York City Parks and New York City Department of Buildings,

possible, and that by keeping the rooftop there, we-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we will be able to keep the rain and the water out of a construction—out of a typical construction site just again so there-there would be very little shift in the sediment as we do our work. We're going to use hand tools wherever possible in conformance with the building code, and we'd like to point out that there was a study done by a geotechnical engineer back in 2012, which was based upon an old design of our building. That building has been updated with all of the-the different suggestions that have been made in the past couple of years so that I think everybody agrees that that building-that study is out of date, and we understand that Merchant's House would like to create a new study, and we have offered to pay for that study and engage the-the geotechnical engineers, if that would be helpful as well. Our support of the excavation and construction sequence will be as we-as we begin to demolish our building, of course, we'll put in supporting structures, and again, we can talk about that from an engineering point of view. We're going to cantilever protection over the roof to catch any falling objects, minimize vibrations. We're going to incorporate the external chimney of Merchant's House into our internal

design engineers be acceptable to Parks and DOB in

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

literally shovel ready, and what's important here is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

along the perimeter. So that when the when the

2.2

2 KARL RUBENACKER: Go ahead. Do you want 3 to take it?

MALE SPEAKER: Do you want to just call up the—and he can walk us through it. It's too broad to—

section up in the beginning of the presentation is—is helpful. That one. So this shows the cross-section. On the right of the screen you'll see the Merchant's House in—in pink. There's the green wall in the middle that is the shared wall between our properties.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Uh-hm.

is 25 East Fourth also in pink, and then the construction site is in the middle. So, basically what's going to happen is that—that right now it's—the site is filled in. There's—there's a slab on grade, and that's going to be excavated. It's going to be excavated in—in segments. As this excavation gets deep enough, there's a red brace that—that is going across the site that you see. That's going to make sure that the walls can't move, and then not seen in this picture is that there's—there is soldier

2 piles and legging around the front and the sides

3 where you see that blue step. That's going to be

4 where the foundation is—is going to be constructed.

wall until we step back multiple feet, over five

You can see that blue is level with the bottom of the green wall. So, we're-we're not excavating below the

8 feet.

2.1

2.2

MICHAEL KRAMER: I would also like to add that all during the excavation we have a 1-story building.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [interposing] Speak in to the microphone.

MICHAEL KRAMER: I'd like to just add
that all during the excavation and foundation we have
a 1-story building with the roof structure—with the
roof structure that will remain in place adding
stability to the Merchant's House Museum. Also, as
you pass most construction sites when you see a big
pit, when it rains it fills with water at this site
because we'll have the roof on during the whole
excavation and foundation. That will keep the water
away and will decrease the amount of effects on the
neighboring buildings. Until we're ready to come
through with the steel, and even when we start to

community, but to the city, and I just thank

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

55

1

2 | nationally preservation wise this building is really,

3 really important considering the exterior and

4 interior landmarks. I think you went over that in

5 | the presentation on how much you respect what this

6 building means not just physically, but just

7 generally, and I-I see you do have a number of

8 preparations. I do just want to ask on the record

9 because this has come up, that you do own another

10 property at 403 Lafayette Street?

MICHAEL KRAMER: That's correct.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And why have you

13 decided to build this hotel on Fourth Street and not

14 | Lafayette considering how fragile and-and the

15 | integrity of the building?

16 MICHAEL KRAMER: Well, there are several

17 | factors. First, in discussions with-with LPC, they

18 recommended that a transfer of air rights to 403

19 | Lafayette would result in a non-contextual building

20 | in the area, and they urged us just to make

21 | application for a stand-alone at 27 East Fourth

22 | Street. There's also a question about continuity of

23 \parallel the two properties, which makes the transfer not

24 possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, you are

saying in addition to the air rights issue that LPC

4 recommended that you build something on this street

5 | rather than on the adjacent property?

6 MICHAEL KRAMER: Oh, it's-it's our

7 property. So, and just also, you know, we've been

8 working since 2011 for 27 East Fourth Street. We

9 have-it's a 1-story building where 403 Lafayette is a

10 | 3-story with a parking garage that services the

11 | community that's, you know, it's maybe not to its

12 | full potential, but it's-it's used on a normal basis

13 | where 27 East Fourth Street is a relatively dormant

14 building.

24

25

1

2

3

15 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, I just want

16 to say there are a number of people who have been

17 | involved in this conversation whether it's Senator

18 | Brad Hoylman or Assemblywoman Deborah Glick,

19 | Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer. So, I'm

20 going to ask you a few questions about the

21 \parallel presentation, but again I just want to go on record

22 as saying you know we would really prefer that you

23 | built this on Lafayette because of the Merchant's

House and the integrity, and how important it is to

 \parallel this community, but I'm going to go ahead and ask you

2 questions on the presentation that you gave to be

3 fair. So, in numerous letters and again there are so

4 many agencies involved including Parks the Department

5 of Buildings, Landmarks Preservation Commission, of

6 course and CPC, and so there's been a couple of

7 things. One is submitting final DOB construction

8 plans. One is filing drawings to the Commission and

9 I just-you have a very detailed presentation, which I

10 | appreciate. Thank you very much. So, I just want to

11 | make sure that you're prepared to have all of these

12 | materials as well as clearly a robust protection plan

13 | in place should you decide to break ground on this

14 lot?

25

1

MICHAEL KRAMER: Yes, and it will all be approved by DOB, and shared with—with the public,

17 | shared with Merchant's House Museum and their

18 engineers. [background comment] And Landmarks and

19 | Parks. Everybody will weigh in on the plan.

20 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: What has your

21 | inter-your agency communication been like? Because

22 | the last time that we met, we did have

23 | representatives from the Mayor's Office in the room,

24 and they mentioned that preservation engineers were

going to be critical to making sure this was done

well. Have you been in touch with these agencies to make sure that all of these plans are currently in

4 place?

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL KRAMER: Well, we had a discussion with Parks about a week and a half ago to discuss the-the Federal Pre-Construction Survey that they want to start the process, and so we will now work with Parks and Merchant's House to first get inside the Merchant's House. Karl and his team will go inside, and develop a scope of work that Parks Department and the Historic House Trust wants to review and be part of, and then once we develop the scope of work, we will then go in and do the thorough pre-con survey to, you know, again-to answer the scope of work issues that everybody is comfortable with and then-then we'll decide how to move forward from there, what needs to be done to the house or what doesn't have to be done to the house to keep it safe during our construction model-construction project.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, without the special permits that you're seeking, from us today and from the Council, you are able to build a 6-story as-of-right hotel on the lot? Is that correct?

2.2

MICHAEL KRAMER: We're able to build a 6-story building up to 85 feet, and Landmarks—we went back to Landmarks and they approved that structure. We can build that as-of-right without seeking any approvals.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And if you were to not receive the permit, and you would build the 85-foot 6-story structure, would you—are you still committed to making sure all of these protection measures are in place?

MICHAEL KRAMER: Yes. I mean at the end of the day, we have to keep our neighboring—neighboring buildings safe because if anything happens to them, it triggers a call, it triggers stop work orders. We don't know how long that lasts. So, if we do all our homework up front and work with our neighbors, it will keep our construction project clear and moving along. The last thing you want to do in the middle of construction is to stop whether it's for a day, a month, six months, it results in a—in a big cost to us and a detriment to the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, if you went with the 6-story structure, you'd still use the hand tools? You would still work with all of the-the

2 special preservation engineers. I just want to
3 ensure that you're going to use the same measures

4 regardless.

2.2

MICHAEL KRAMER: I believe we would use the same measures. Again, because we ant to keep the Merchant's House safe.

I'll probably ask the next panel. I imagine there's people here from the Merchant's House who are going to testify? No. not today? Okay. So you said you tried your best to be a good neighbor, and so I'd like to know a little bit about how your conversations have been with the Merchant's House and whether like how receptive I guess they all have been to what you want to do with the lot, putting aside that we'd like you to put it on Lafayette Street. How have those conversations been going?

GARY SPINDLER: Mostly I would characterize them as being difficult. The reason being that we have tried our best to be transparent, and to communicate and to make our professionals available to Merchant's House and we have had great difficulty recently in terms of scheduling meetings amongst our professionals, and prior to that and 2 or

really have any more questions, but I'm-I'm sure that

we will be in touch, and I know that we're going to

be all here again on the 17th.

23

24

GARY SPINDLER:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 GARY SPINDLER: We're available whenever 3 you need us.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, thank you.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much for coming to testify. The panel is dismissed.

GARY SPINDLER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, we will leave--[background comments] we'll lay it aside and-[background comments] We will lay it over until the 17th. [pause] Our next hearing will be on Preconsidered LUs 57 Canton Place Rezoning—Caton Place Rezoning for property in Council Member Lander's district in Brooklyn, applicant 57 Caton Partners LLC seeks a rezoning from a C8-2 to an R7-A, C2-4 to facilitate the development of a 9-story mixed-use building with approximately 107 apartments, and ground floor retail. MIH Option 1 is also proposed with an amendment to the Special Ocean Parkway District Text, which would result in approximately 27 affordable units. I now open the public hearing on this application, and I will call up the first panel. Marcie Kessner, Jason Little and

planning overview, this is—this is an area that is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

being proposed for rezoning that was mapped in 1961 to reflect then current uses. It was basically ignored. Nothing happened for over 50 years where all the surrounding residential area has grown and thrived. It's an important link between the thriving residential neighborhoods to the west and south and Prospect Park to the north. The-it's our belief and a feeling and intent of the zoning of this site needs to be updated and brought into the 21st Century to encourage housing including affordable housing, and to avoid the introduction of new inappropriate uses such as mini storage facilities and other uses, which are permitted as-of-right in the C8-2 District. dear. [background comments] Sorry. The site location, the development site is approximately 23,000 square feet. It's located on Caton Place and Ocean Parkway between East 8th Street and Coney Island Avenue in Community District 7, and it's located one block southwest of Prospect Park in the predominately residential Windsor Terrace Neighborhood. It's well served by mass transit at Fort Hamilton Parkway and the Church Avenue Stations. The existing conditions of the site are shown on this The site as indicated it was—the development slide.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

site is improved with a 35-foot tall warehouse built to approximate 1 FAR. It was originally a roller skating rink and has been warehoused for many years, an overflow storage facility for a local business. To its northwest is a corner lot with approximately 100 feet of frontage on 8th Street and 50 feet of frontage on Ocean Parkway, which is city-owned and mapped as parkland, and this lot contains the ramp leading to the East 8th Street Sherman Street pedestrian overpass, which you can see in the lower left hand corner-lower, both lower images. west of the development site is the Kensington Stable and to the east is a church complex. The proposed rezoning area is comprised as Lots 1 and Lots 4. the 57 Caton site plus the site, which is mapped park land. The rezone—the rezoning area is currently mapped in the two-block CA- zoning district within the Special Ocean Parkway District. The CA2 districts permit uses such as offices, hotels, most retail uses, gas stations, and other automotive uses, medical facilities, warehouses and mini storage. residential uses are permitted and there are no height limits in a CA2 district. CA2 districts are meant to bridge manufacturing and heavy commercial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

districts and this zoning we believe no longer reflects the current surrounding uses and trends in the Caton Place area. In 2006, the Commission approved an easterly extension of the Ocean Parkway R7A district between Ocean Parkway and Caton place just west of East 8th Street. The action before you today is the extension of this existing R7A district to the east of East 8^{th} Street to allow a mixed-use development containing residences with ground floor retail, local retail use, at 57 Caton Place including approximately 27 affordable apartments. This will be permitted within a contextual book-envelope that is more in keeping with the surrounding Windsor Terrace neighborhood context. The park lot will be maintained as park lot-park land and the rezoning will have no impact on the park area. This shows the development site, which is outlined in red and it also shows the park site to the north and east-and west of the site. The two [door bangs] actions that are before the Commission today include the Zoning Map Amendment to map and R7A, C2-4 Overlay district within the Ocean Parkway Special District. This will allow the development of a 9-story contextual residential building with ground floor local retail

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Commercial Overlay will only be mapped over the development site and not on the park site. second action consists of two zoning text amendments. One will map the 57 Caton site only within a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area, and the second cross-references the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area within the text of the Special Ocean Parkway District so that it's all clean. The applicant proposes that in compliance with MIH Option 1, the building would provide 25% of the residential floor area approximately 27-excuse me-apartments on site as housing affordable to households earning an average of 60% of AMI. Though zoning was approved by the Community Board with conditions and by the Borough President with conditions, the applicant has made a series of commitments to the president in writing and to the-and to-and want to summarize some of those. To provide 10% of residential floor area to families earning 40% of AMI, 10% to households earning 50% of AMI and 5% to households earning 120% of AMI. skewing fewer of the higher income units and lowering the-the permitted amount. To seek a locally based non-profit housing organization to help choose the administering agent for the lease-up of the

required front yard on Ocean Parkway as per the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Special District Regulations. Wit the C2-4 Overlay we would have the commercial could occupy a portion of the rear yard, but otherwise for the residential uses, we required a minimum 60-feet rear yard equivalent. So, we're showing two towers or rather two buildings segments rising over a 7-story base and a 9-story total building height. This is what the-we envision for the ground floor. Basically, there's two residential lobbies. They function independently, but they would share a common outdoor space in the-in a portion of the rear area at grade. We've shown the parking ramp as separated from the stables as possible to maintain a buffer between the horses. We've also placed a couple residential units on that landscaped rear yard facing Ocean Parkway or excuse me, the landscaped front yard.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [interposing] I'm sorry, can you just speak a little closer to the microphone.

JASON LITTLE: Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

JASON LITTLE: Got it. So, on the Ocean Parkway side, we've added two residential units to take advantage of the landscaped front yard in that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

location. This is a illustrate—illustrative floor plan where we're showing it's a little over 107 units, 47% of which are 2-bedrooms or larger and these are, you know, somewhat large-larger than normal for a development but we think appropriate for the neighborhood, and we tried to make use of or to optimize the units with outdoor space as much as possible. Next. The-the neighborhood context includes many pre-war multi-family buildings along Ocean Parkway. These-these buildings often featured facades articulated with multiple volumes separated by recessed courts. The facades consist of brick with decorative patterning along the punched window openings, and these features really inspired our design proposal. Next. This view from the east side of the zoning lot along-on Caton Place illustrates the possible building massing where you see the 7-story base articulated to 3 base. inset-we have inset balconies and oversized windows to bring life to the façade and then the retail frontage on the Caton Place is activating the street. This view on Ocean Parkway illustrates the motor design principles as the Caton Place frontage with the exception that we've included a dormer on the

2.2

Central Bay, which we feel is appropriate on the wide	
street, and also is in keeping with the character of	
the neighborhood of the context of the pre-war	
buildings. And the final two slides this view is the	
proposed buildings in a Google Earth model to	
illustrate the neighborhood context from a bird's eye	
view and you can see that several adjacent buildings	
have similar heights in bulk and on the following	
slide we've actually—it looks like it characterized	
that neighborhood where you see many of the zoning	
lots are quite large, contain rather large buildings	
with building heights that are similar in scale.	

MARCIE KESSNER: [off mic] Allison—[on mic] I'm sorry, Allison Reddick is here if there are any questions about the EAS or any of the findings in the Environmental Analysis.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you.

Just a couple of questions before I turn it over to

my colleague Council Member Lander he wanted to

remind me of this. What type of retail do you

proposed for the commercial space?

MARCIE KESSNER: The intent is for it to be local retail service uses serving the community.

This is a neighborhood that has a dearth of—of local

Jason answer that.

2.2

JASON LITTLE: Ensured the—the protection of the neighboring properties including—you know, man dated by building code and administrative practices.

At this time, I don't think that we know what the foundation systems are, the depth of the foundations and all that, but that is investigations that we're going to, you know, going to as—as the process moves forward, but, you know, rest assured that that building will be maintained in a safe condition and—and no adverse effects should—should be caused by our development.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you and now I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Mr.

Chair and to my colleagues. Thank you guys for being here. This site though so sort of modest site in the middle of Windsor Terrace presents a series of pretty interesting zoning challenges for us to face. Some of them, the typical ones this, though, you know, the developers have done a fine job of presenting where the buildings are that are not much smaller than the one they're proposing to build, the entire interior of the neighborhood is 1 and 2-story homes in an R5B

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

neighborhood and those neighbors are not excited about a new building of this height right cattycorner from their development, and that just presents the normal challenges that we see here of a city with a growing need for affordable housing, for housing in general and people who like their neighborhood staying the way that it was when they moved there at some point in the past, and it's sweet, love, veryone of those kind of -- It's cornered on all sides in a way that makes it a lovely little area. So, that's just typical challenge 1, and even on these issues of what the retail will be, some people are excited about the possibility of a little neighborhood retail and some people fear it. We've got such a nice quiet, you know, residential area. We're not that excited about some mid-block retail creeping into our area. So, that's set of challenges 1. Now, those same neighbors are getting a new self-storage facility being built-as-of-right in the same CA2 zone just catty-corner to this site, and that made them all of a sudden say oh maybe we should-residential would be better on that other site, and they found themselves in a situation where the developer building under the as-of-right zoning something with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

barely any space between an existing residential building. So, now they're facing the challenge of that, and we've actually gone to those developers to say would you think about building residential even at heights you might have though the neighbors wouldn't have been excite about in order to come up with a better urban design approach, and I think that has helped neighbors feel like alright, well, at least hear we have some folks who are thinking with us about urban design, trying to do this in the right way And I will say in this context that for this site in particular I feel very grateful that MIH exists at all. This is not an area that has any existing affordable housing that was ever built in the neighborhood. It didn't have a lot of abandonment. So, there isn't housing that was developed here under HPD's programs, and this is not, you know, Chelsea or Midtown. It's not a place where someone would have done an 80/20 at any point in the past. So, the fact that we're looking at getting 25% of affordable units, and thanks to the developer's agreements skewing to the 40 and 50% AMIs, is significant and meaningful, and I'm appreciative. The remaining challenge is the stable site, which the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Chair mentioned, which is just adjacent to the site to its-to its west. There's been horse riding in Prospect Park for 100 and-as long as there's been the park, the 150 years, and we want to work hard to make sure it stays there for a long time to come, and I've been straight with the-with development team from the beginning that beyond the anxiety about what the construction risk will be that the risk that we are putting the stables at risk through this rezoning is very present and real to me. The idea that a future developer who today could knock down the stables and build a self-storage facility as-of-right, that someone in the future would come along and say well obviously we could rezone this for residential. Let's just buy the site at some price that was worth selling the horses, and redevelop it as a residential property is a very real concern that I have, and I made that clear from the beginning. So, I just want to ask, you know, for my starting question: know, in your pictures you show some horses. Council Member Rivera leaned over, and was like "Are those horse?" [laughter] So, at one level I think you appreciate and understand why the stables are an important part of this community and this

2 neighborhood and give it the character that it has,

3 but I'd like to know how you have factored that into

4 your thinking about the site, into its design and

5 into your land use approach in order to contribute to

6 this area with your building in a way that

7 strengthens and supports the context of having the

8 stables in the neighborhood and doesn't do more to

9 put it at risk and—and potentially eliminate it from

10 the neighborhood.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

one thing in terms of the design, and maybe I can go back—go back and do that. I think this helps. When you look at this—this image, the low red building at the left hand side of the—the elevation is the—is the stable building. The—the design of the building was done—first of all, we're—we're rezoning just the site because we did not want to touch the stable. We did not want to put any development pressure on the stable and through a rezoning. The building is designed to have retail commercial uses on the ground floor that would help buffer the stable from the residents, and the residents from the stable because, as you know, it conflicts that—that between those uses that can—that can be issues. We've also moved

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 the parking garage entrance as far from the stable as

3 possible to try to limit any sort of—any sort of

4 conflicts.

2.2

5 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing]
6 Horse cart conflicts.

MARCIE KESSNER: Horse cart conflicts and also pedestrian conflicts. The—the stable I understand has pony rides out on the street in front of the stable. So, that would keep the cars—cars from the garage entering and exiting. Keep that as far away as possible, and the stable—and the—the riders generally go up East—up East 8th Street, and then go onto the bridle path where we do have an image of somebody riding a horse on Ocean Parkway. So, I've tried to keep the activity from—away from Ocean Parkway, away from the bridle path and away—as far from the stable as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I appreciate all of that, but I guess what you said at the beginning, yes, of course, if you were proposing a rezoning that rezoned the stables--

MARCIE KESSNER: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --to a

residential rezoning, that would even further $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

2.2

MARCIE KESSNER: [interposing] Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --as far from the stables as you could, I guess I'm not really satisfied that that is a development approach that is invested in helping preserve the stables and keep them as part of his neighborhood as they've been.

MARCIE KESSNER: Well, as you—as you know, Council Member and we understand—and we understand the importance of the stables to the community and—and to us as well. I mean to the developers as well, we have tried to work with the prior ownership of the stable and the current ownership of the stable to try to assist them and we have worked with—we have tried to work with you over the past year. Also to—to provide assistance in some

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 way to ensure that the stable's long-longstanding

3 history continues. It's something that we will hope

4 to continue to work with you on to try to develop

5 some sort of a framework, which would help to ensure

6 | the stables' long-term success, and long-term

7 existence next door to—to the proposed building.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I appreciate that you have-that-that the development team has worked in good faith with my office. I guess I want to make clear to my colleagues we're not yet to a place where we have a satisfactory result for that. We have hoped that the city would actually be able to acquire the stables. They were in bankruptcy a year ago. We had arranged the city financing. The city put in an offer but someone else came in and bought the building essentially out of bankruptcy, and that's not you guys. You don't own the site. can't force that owner to-to-do things that in the short and the long-term would provide more stability and security for the stables, but at this point, despite those best efforts on your part, you know, we're not there yet to my satisfaction. We don't have much in place other than keeping the parking garage far from the stable site that's going to help

2 us have confidence that we're preserving the stable

3 here, and again, partly it's about the stables being

4 right there. It's as much or more to me about

5 preserving riding in the park, which again, we've had

6 for more than a century--

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARCIE KESSNER: [interposing] Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: -- and I'm not going to feel good about my tenure in office or this action in particular if we allow, you know, the kind of general course of real estate development to eliminate riding that's been in the park for more than a hundred years or contribute to its elimination. So, I appreciate that you'd like to get there. I appreciate that you have worked with my office to do it, but we got—we still have some ways to go. So, before I can give a recommendation to my colleagues on how to vote for this property, we have some work to do to figure out if there isn't something we can do, and obviously we're constrained by legal and financial and equine forces some of which are not within the neat bounds of or powers. But on the other hand, this is something unique and wonderful for the last remaining stables in Brooklyn, seeing those horses in the park, knowing that young

people including kids with disabilities have their first opportunity to get out in the park on those horses. It's not-of course, it's something that gives the neighborhood its character, and it's something that just elevates the human spirit in our city, and it is our responsibility to do all we can to make sure that continues. So, I'm going to ask you guys to work harder over the next few days and weeks to get to a place where we can feel confident that in addition to the affordable housing, in addition to meeting some of the Community Board and Borough President's goals, and I appreciate your work with them to get to a place where they voted to approve the project with modifications. We can also have more confidence than I have today that we will be preserving Kensington Stables and riding in the park for generations to come. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council

Member Lander. Thank you very much for your

testimony toady. I will now call up the next

panelist, Sebastian Trilliant. I'm going to have the

Counsel—oh, okay, okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry. You—

you may begin. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 SEBASTIAN TRATILLIAN: Good morning, 3 Chair Moya, Council Member Lander and members of the 4 subcommittee. My name is Sebastian Tratillian and I'm a staff member at 32BJ. I am here to testify on 5 behalf of the 80,000 32BJ members who clean and 6 7 maintain buildings throughout New York City. As you know, we are the largest property service workers 8 union in the country with over 35,000 members working 9 at residential buildings (coughs) like the one being 10 proposed for 57 Caton Place. We are happy to report 11 12 that 57 Caton Partners LLC, an affiliate of Ace (sic) Equities has committed to creating high quality 13 14 building service jobs and we want to see this project 15 move forward. It is our estimation that when the 16 building opens it will be staffed with approximately 17 five building service workers, and these jobs will be 18 good jobs with family sustaining wages that will allow workers to live and work in New York City with 19 20 dignity and security. So, by making a commitment to good jobs, we believe that Ace Equities has done the 21 2.2 economically responsible thing, and these jobs will 23 positively affect the wellbeing of the community for years to come. This—this is why we hope that you 24 25 will support this project. Thank you.

any members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Seeing none [background comment) I now close the hearing on this application. (pause) Okay, that concludes today's hearing. I would like to thank the members of the public and my colleagues, Counsel and Land Use staff for attending. The Land Use items that were voted are referred to the full committee, and this meeting is hereby adjourned. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 11, 2018