CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

February 14, 2019
Start: 10:27 a.m.
Recess: 11:58 a.m.

HELD AT:

250 Broadway - Committee Rm.
14th Fl.

B E F O R E: Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.
Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Margaret S. Chin Peter A. Koo

Karen Koslowitz
Bard S. Lander

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Casey Adams, Director of City Legislative Affairs New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Kenny Maniha, Chief of Staff New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Edgard Laborde, Retail Wholesale and Department Store Union

Juleon Robinson, New Economy Project

Andy Collado, Assistant Director of Services, Financial Clinic

Leo Kremer, Dos Soros Taqueria

Micehlle Gauthier, Mulberry Vine

Jillian Grossberg, By Chole Restaurant Worker

2 [sound check] [pause] [gavel]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning. Μy name is Rafael Espinal. I am the Chair of the Committee on Consumers and Business Licensing. joined today by my colleagues on the Committee. We have Peter Koo from Queens, the sponsor of this we have Ritchie Torres from the Bronx who has joined us as well. Today, the committee will be hearing testimony on two pieces of legislation: Intro Bill No. 1023 Sponsored by Council Member Cabrera in relation to requiring signage at cashless retail establishments, an introduction -and Intro Bill No. 1281 from Council Member Torres, which would prohibit retail establishments from refusing to accept payment in cash. Both of these bills draw attention to some of the unintended consequences of new cashless technologies and highlight that we need thoughtful solution to alleviate any negative effects. Cashless transactions may be beneficial for businesses. Eliminating cash from the premises removes the incentive for robbery and store owners don't have to worry about having change for customers' transactions or making deposits at day's end. Businesses also report that car transactions happen faster.

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 5 means less wait time for customers who appreciate speed and efficiency. Both the bill we are hearing today will still permit this technology-technology to be utilized. However, they have been introduced in order to mitigate some of the unintended negative consequences that flow on from a policy that relies exclusively on cashless payments. In a modern financial hub like New York City it might be easy to assume that everyone has access to the banking facilities and technology that allow cashless transactions. Unfortunately, however, this is not the case. Across the city there are large populations who are disconnected from the formal banking institutions. In 2013, close to 12% of the city's population were completely unbanked. Additionally, more that 25% of the population were underbanked meaning that-meaning that they relied on services such as payday loans or check cashing facilities rather than banks. These households may have a savings or checking account, but in most cases the fees or overdraft fines make they too cost prohibitive to use regularly. The share of unbanked and underbanked households is also closely linked to poverty rates. According to a 2015 report the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

haven't memorized this. I made a lot of sorries.

1 CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 7 2 It's easy to get swept up in the promise of technological advancements especially when they move 3 4 at such breakneck speed. However, digital transactions are vulnerable to the tracking, identification theft and hacking, and they raise 6 7 issues about customer privacy. Cashless technology clearly brings important benefits to businesses and 8 to the customers who are able to make use of it. 9 While it can seem-while it can seem like 10 counterintuitive to challenge innovations and 11 12 streamline process and make businesses more 13 efficient, it is important to ensure that these 14 changes do not cause an unintended harm. 15 attitudes towards cash money equated with being 16 dirty, antiquated of unsophisticated, we risk stigmatizing the communities who rely on it. 17 18 According to one author, cash is still the most egalitarian system of payment in the U.S. and we want 19 20 to make sure that people who have no other form of legal tender can fully make use of it. If not, we 21 2.2 risk segregating customers and perpetuating the 23 divide between the haves and have nots. therefore, look forward to feedback from a range of 24

witnesses today, and hearing about how we can balance

1 CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 2 the needs of business with that of all their customers. Before we begin, I would like to invite 3 4 the bill's sponsor to make a statement. Ritchie. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's an honor to be here with you, and my 6 7 colleagues. Intro 1281 forces us to grapple with a simple question: What is a cashless economy mean for 8 New Yorkers who have faced historically barriers to 9 accessing credit and debit, right. The history of 10 redlining, the history of financial racism in America 11 12 is well documented, but imagine if you're a New Yorker who has no documentation, or no permanent 13 address or no credit history or an abysmal credit 14 15 history or you live in a neighborhood where there are 16 no traditional banking options only predatory finance or you fall victim to fees when attempting to 17 18 purchase a pre-paid card. Right, given the sheer prevalence of underbanking and poverty in New York 19 20 City, I worry deeply about the cashless economy and the really world exclusionary effect it will likely 21

tender for all debts public and private. Those words remind us that cash is the universal currency. It's

open a dollar bill, it reads this note is legal

have on the most vulnerable New Yorkers.

2.2

1 CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 9 2 the great equalizer. Not everyone has access to debit or credit, but everyone of us has access to 3 4 cash. Now, there are a whole list of reasons you 5 might prefer cash. Some people especially senior citizens prefer cash because it's their most familiar 6 7 and habitual mode of payment. Some prefer cash because it protects their privacy. It does not 8 involve the dissemination of private data that then 9 can be sold to a third party for the purpose of 10 profit, and as all of you know, there's been a 11 12 growing backlash against the commercialization of 13 personal data. Some prefer cash because it 14 facilities fiscal restraint. You know, research has 15 shown that we exercise far less spending restraint 16 when using credit and debit than when using cash as weight we can feel in our pockets. So, if you are a 17 18 New Yorker who lives paycheck to paycheck for whom every dollar counts, then the stakes of spending 19 20 restraint are far higher for you and the benefits of cash are far clearer. Now I for one rely on 2.1 2.2 electronic payments. I use debits for almost all of 23 my transactions, but that should be a choice that I 24 make freely as a consumer not a requirement imposed

on me by a business, and I want to be clear about

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

what my position is. I am not proposing that we

3 stifle technological innovation or resist the

4 digitization of the economy. I recognize the economy

10

5 | will become more digitized, not less. All I'm

6 claiming, all I'm asserting is that cashless payment

7 should be one option among many. It should never be

8 | the sole option. Consumers should have choice,

9 | should have the power to choose cash as their

10 preferred method of payment. So, as far as I'm

11 | concerned, the purpose of the bill is not to inhibit

12 | technological progress. It is to balance

13 | technological progress with equity, privacy and

14 consumer choice, and with that said, thank you Mr.

15 Chairman.

16 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Ritchie.

17 | I just want to acknowledge that we've been joined by

18 | Karen Koslowitz from Queens and Brad Lander from

19 | Brooklyn. With that said, I want to call up the

first panel. We have Casey Adams, the Director of

21 | City Legislative Affairs for the New York City

22 | Department of Consumer Affairs, and we have Kelly

Mahia from the Consumer Affairs as well. Can you

24 | please raise your right hand? Do you promise to be

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. You may begin.

CASEY ADAMS: Good morning Chairman Espinal and members of the committee. My name is Casey Adams, and I'm the Director of City Legislative Affairs for the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, and I'm joined by our Chief of Staff, Kenny Maniha. I would like to thank the committee for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of DCA Commissioner Lorelei Salas regarding Introductions 1023-2018 and 1281-2018 related to cashless payment policies at retail and food service establishments. DCA's mission is to protect and enhance the daily economic lives of New Yorkers to create thriving communities. As part of this mission DCA houses the Office of Financial Empowerment, which assists New Yorkers with low and moderate incomes by developing and offering innovative programs and services to increase access to high quality, low-cost financial education and counseling, safe and affordable mainstream banking and access to income boosting tax

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

and food services businesses are a relatively new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

However, cash continue to account for nearly half of

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Critics have also noted that households of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

retail establishments that do not accept payment in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

cash from consumer to clearly post signage informing consumers of their cashless payment policy at all customer-consumer entrances or adjacent to cashiers or payment kiosks. Violations will be punishable by civil penalties of \$25 to \$250. Intro 1281 would prohibit retail and food service establishments from adopting policies in which they refuse to accept in cash from consumers, and violations for that law would be punishable by civil penalties of \$250 to \$500. DCA believes that the city should focus its efforts on promoting financial inclusion by connecting unbanked and underbanked New Yorkers to safe and affordable financial products. For these New Yorkers, the financial challenges go further and deeper than an inability to use cash to purchase goods and services at retail. Prohibiting these businesses from transitioning to cashless payments might treat one symptom of financial inclusion, but it would not remedy the cause, lack of access to payment options other than cash. DCA believes that in an increasing cashless world, helping New Yorkers to get access to a bank account and other financial tools to help them build a secure financial future must be the most important priority. That is why we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are so proud of the exemplary work done by OFE and the concrete results that those initiatives have achieved for New Yorkers. We hope the Council will remain a strong partner as we continue to pursue those goals. At the same time, OFE's research and experience suggests that more payment options are generally better for low and moderate income New Yorkers. For that reason, DCA supports a policy that promotes financial inclusion and access by requiring businesses to accept cash. While we share the inclusionary goals embodied in Intro 1281, we also believe that any such policy should be responsive to the real world concerns and experiences of consumers, workers and businesses affected by evolving payment options and business practices. We look forward to working with the Council to address these and other issues through the legislative process. DCA commends the Council for holding this hearing, which we think is part of an important and ongoing dialogue about cashless payment policies, and the evolution of other payment options in New York City. The de Blasio Administration is firmly committed to pursuing policies that protect and promote the financial health of low and moderate income New Yorkers,

particularly those New Yorkers who are part of vulnerable communities. We are always eager to work with the Council to encourage businesses to help make our economy fully accessible and inclusive of all New Yorkers. DCA looks forward to hearing New Yorkers who have interacted with cashless establishments, businesses who have adopted or are considering adopting cashless payment policies and advocates, experts, and organizations that focus on promoting financial inclusion. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I'm now happy to answer the committee's questions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I also want to acknowledge we've been joined by Margaret Chin from Manhattan. I would like to defer to Ritchie to ask a few questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. It seems to me that we're on the same page.

We agree on the diagnosis that underbanking is a

widespread reality in New York City. There is real

financial exclusion. The financial exclusion has

historical roots, but it's—I'm—I'm reading your

testimony to suggest that you're supportive of the

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing]

24

25

Right.

2.2

CASEY ADAMS: -- the type of that is

accepted. So, a great example of this is a business

that is concerned about accepting high denomination

bills like \$100 bills because they believe that that

puts their business and their workers at greater

risk--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] But we're not mandating the acceptance of high denomination bills. We're mandating the acceptance of cash in general, right?

CASEY ADAMS: Well, the bill as it's written now is quite broad, and I think it sounds like we share the goal to make sure that those types of situations don't present a challenge here. So, we look forward to making sure that that's reflected in the text of the bill that ends up being adopted.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Any other concerns? Is that the only concern you have?

CASEY ADAMS: I think we—we look forward to hearing more form the public about concerns that they have, and from the businesses because we recognize that this is a situation where businesses right now get to shape the policies in terms of how they accept cash or don't accept cash. So, we are

Uh-hm.

CASEY ADAMS:

Is that--?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

amount.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But at the moment

3 it seems like your only concern is mandating the

4 acceptance of—of all bills no matter the dollar

CASEY ADAMS: Yeah, I wouldn't say that's no accurate because I think again we're leaving the door open to hearing more from people who have more direct experience here. The nomination bills are just one example that's very common for these types of businesses. The other concern, of course, would be someone who wants to come in and pay with all pennies for example, and this is. So, the-the-the point that we are making is that we share your policy goal. We believe that businesses should accept cash. They shouldn't send the message to underbanked and unbanked New Yorkers that they can't access goods or services at those businesses. We're also saying that the businesses who actually accept cash or don't accept cash and work with consumers everyday, and the workers who are asked to handle that cash in those businesses may have concerns of which we're not yet aware. And we'd like to make sure that those are heard, which I'm sure they will be today, and to work with you to make sure that this bill achieves your

I think that's reflected in our testimony.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So, I think it seems like we're largely on the same page. I look forward to hearing, but and I recognize that there are efficiency gains that come with a cashless business model, right.

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

contention is that whatever efficiency gains stem

from a cashless business model is outweighed by the

effect of financial exclusion, that in life we have

to weigh cost and benefit, and I would argue that the

cost of cashless economy, an exclusively cashless

economy far outweighs the benefits. So, that's the

extent of my questions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Peter.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [coughs] Thank you,

Mr. Casey, yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, you

know, the old saying is that cash is king, you know.

What happened to it? So, there—there are a lot of

restaurants that only accept cash, too. They say no

credit cards. So, does—does DCA have position on

that?

CASEY ADAMS: We have not confronted that as a widespread issue. We're happy to talk to you

about that as is reflected in our testimony. We think

3 | that the acceptance of more payment options is good

4 for low and moderate income New Yorkers because there

5 may be people who prefer credit or debit cards over

6 cash for different reasons, and someone might prefer

7 | cash, and so our general position is that we value

consumer choice, and we think that more payment

9 options are always better.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: You know, I—I think there's a need for cash in our society especially like Ritchie just said in some areas were high concentration of new immigrants, they're—they—they—they're used to using cash, and because of their recent arrival they don't have a credit history to apply for credit cards, you know, and they don't have bank accounts. Having a bank account is expensive, too. Some banks they require a \$3,000 balance and otherwise they will access you a fee of \$30 or more, and things like that. So, to this—that's why a lot of people don't have bank accounts—

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: --or-or credit cards. Credit cards charge a high fee. Like if you forgot to pay it's like 28% interest. It's a lot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

interest using credit cards. So, that's why there's still a lot of people who prefer to use cash for the convenience. Sone you use it, you don't want to forget-remember that you have to pay bills, or the bill is due and—and even myself when I pay credit cards either I don't receive the bill or the postman deliver to the wrong apartments, I get-they charge a fee many times. However, I think we are moving to a cashless society in the future. I-I want to challenge everyone of you. How many of you have over \$100 in you wallet? Not too many. Are you? Uh-hm. [laughs] Right? Only one person. So-so the people at a fancy restaurant they eat, right? A \$100 isn't at a friendly restaurant. Right? It's not enough. Right. So, most people they use their credit cards because of the purpose, but you don't know how much money you will spend, on the day and also of safety purpose. Also, I think the-the balance is, you know, information informing the customers. Well, you know, they have a good sign outside. This pays only to cash or this pays only to both or only with credit cards, you know. I understand it from a business point of view, well having cash. But having cash is a problem like you said for robbery and internal

theft is a big problem, too. Internal theft because, you know, when you have a credit card, the employees are looking at this, you know, and keeping the credit card unless they want the information and then a lot of banks they charge a-charge fees. We can deposit cash now. If you deposit a few thousand dollars cash, everyday they charge you a fee percent. So, it's not worth it to accept cash, too. So, I'm talking about both points now from the personal point of view and from a business point of view. So, I understand why they say oh, we do not accept credit cards because to accept credit cards is to the disadvantage. They have to pay a fee for it, and 2 and 3% and American Express is like 5% of their fees. Their money go to the fees. So, this --- so this is a dilemma for us. We have to pay-I think we have to use a combination to address this problem. For those who want to use credit cards, let them use credit cards. For those who don't want to, let them use So-so I prefer that we-we-we-I go to the first bill that you post a sign saying this establishmentestablishment only accept cash or this establishmentestablishment only accept credit card. So, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

consumers will know right away, right away what credit they want to go into the store.

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: But I think we should mandate also with customers (sic) deliver food, they have to accept cash as an option because need to buy food to eat right?

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Milk and convenience stores mandate—we should mandate them to accept cash.

CASEY ADAMS: [interposing] Okay Council

Member--

2.2

Member you're-you're highlighting really two things that—that both of which we agree with. The first is that the array of financial products our there can be confusing, and they are—it can be hard to understand especially for someone who hasn't had a relationship with a financial institution before, and they may end up in a situation where they're paying more in fees than they should where they're not getting a product or service that they need and deserve, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that's why we have Financial Empowerment Centers because our counselors can guide people toward the low fee products that have the features that they need and want, and that will allow them to plan their financial future going forward. So, that's-that's one piece, and then the second piece is as you said, the-this needs to be an all of the above approach we think because setting aside the issue of cashcashless or cash only policies, the reality is as Council Member Torres said technology is going to continue to evolve, and the economy is going to become more digitized. And so we think the most powerful thing that we can do for an unbanked or underbanked New Yorker is to connect them to those financial services because today we're here talking primarily about retail and food service establishments, but we don't what will-what will be going cashless next. And by helping people to get those accounts, we're equipping them for a future that we may not even be able to anticipate, new businesses that are becoming cashless. New payment options that may be better for them than cash. that's certainly something that we-that we agree on, that there needs to be a combination of increasing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

access today, but also connecting people with financial accounts to equip them for what may be an increasingly cashless future. So, we, you know, asas I said in my testimony, we support a policy that requires retail and food service establishments to accept cash, but we are also very focused on making sure that people who are now unbanked or underbanked get connected to those services so that they can make the choice for themselves, and I think that was mentioned a few times by different members of this committee. We think consumers should be able to make a choice, and in order for them to be able to make an informed choice, they have to have both options open to them, and if you are only-if you only have access to cash, there's only one option, and there's no real choice there.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Brad.

much, Mr. Chair. Council Member Torres, I'm proud to be a co-sponsor of this bill, and I appreciate your introduction of it, and I appreciate the testimony.

And I guess I just want to ask a little more. You know, I think you're right. I mean I-I support this bill. We want to do everything we can to make sure

unbanked New Yorkers are connected to financial services, but for those who still are whether because they're locked up for the ranges of the reasons—the range of reasons that Council Member Torres spoke of or who choose to be for other reasons we should not lock them out of the economy. I appreciate all the work that Department of Consumer Affairs is doing to provide people with access to banking services. just wonder, there are some things folks are talking about as sort of a bigger picture level. You know, various folks of public banking, postal banking, library banking, you know, various steps we could take to go further in our mandates to the financial services industry to push for even better versions of low cost accounts to get to some of the things that Council Member Koo talked about. So, you guys are doing a lot of things to try to connect people to financial services under the sort of current constraints of the marketplace--

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --and I just wonder if you guys have given thought to taking a look at some of these bigger picture, bigger push

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 next steps toward really substantially opening up
3 affordable retail financial services--

CASEY ADAMS: Sure

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: --to New Yorkers in some-in some bigger way?

CASEY ADAMS: So, you're absolutely correct that we are-we're focused on-on connecting people with services today. So, the services that are available to them, and it will be helpful to them in the short term and in long term. At the same time we are-we're certainly open to participating in conversations about what the next step is, what the new, you know, what products would be most responsive to the needs of unbanked or underbanked New Yorkers, what, you know, what is not on the market now, or not offered otherwise that could be offered to them. We're certainly-we certainly want to be part of that conversation, and I think that we have, you know, we've done original research, and we've worked with different organizations to try and design new products, not-not library and postal banking yet, but the-but we have worked on helping to align products with what we see both on the ground and from our financial partners as the most necessary features for

2.2

unbanked or underbanked New Yorkers. And I think we've had a lot of success with that particularly working with credit unions and, you know, as you are all aware, the IDNYC has been a big door opener for people who want to open accounts with—with certain banking and credit unions, and I think that we certainly want to be part of that conversation with you about what products are most responsive, what services are most responsive, and what the next steps for financial access are.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Great. Thank you. I mean I think your testimony speaks both to the, you know, the value of this bill, the sincere and good work that DCA is doing and the gap we still face.

CASEY ADAMS:

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know, I think it's just worth pushing ourselves on, you know, what are the things that people are doing. I, you know, I—I feel like at the beginning as the 2020 presidential race was setting up, you know, there were some folks starting to talk about these much more ambitious ideas like, you know, postal banking, which I confess sounds kind of crazy to me because

to integrate underbanked New Yorkers overnight--

2 CASEY ADAMS: Sure.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: --but-but I think there an even further nuance. It's true that we're living in an economy that's increasingly digitized. It's also true that we're living in a time where there's a greater backlash against corporate surveillance. When you think of social media platforms and their mishandling of our private data, there's never been a greater suspicion of corporate power. And so there's some people who will never want to use credit cards or debit cards who will only want to use cash because it is protective of their privacy, because it insulates them from what they take to be corporate surveillance. Like thatthose two trends are—it is true that we're becoming more cashless, but it's also true that there is a greater backlash against the sale of our personal data and corporate surveillance over our personal lives, and just an ever deepening suspicion of corporate power over our lives.

CASEY ADAMS: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So, that—that's why I think cash should always remain an option even

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 as we integrate more people into an increasing
3 cashless economy.

4 CA

2.2

CASEY ADAMS: We—we agree with you

Council Member and I think I would actually add there
are other—there are plenty of other reasons why

someone would continue to prefer to use cash even if
they access to a credit or debit card. So, a big

population we see this with are seniors who are
accustomed to using cash. They have a credit card or
debit card for emergencies, but that's not what they
want to use on—on a day—to day—basis. So, there's
plenty of reason that someone would want to make sure
that cash is available and we—we agree with you that
it should be an option.

worth noting that, you know, everyone knows there's been a—a public backlash against the siting of Amazon in Long Island City, and it's been revealed to me that, you know, Amazon—there's a real concern that Amazon is displacing small businesses, the brick and mortar businesses and has plans to open its own cashless businesses. So, a trend that's beginning at the margins can spread like wildfire throughout the city when you have a trillion dollar company like

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Peter.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: So, [coughs] I have an idea to help-to help the [coughs] people doesn't bank accounts if they want to use a-a credit card. So, in Hong Kong every Metro Card cardholder and they could add money to their Metro Card like \$500 or a \$1,000. Then you can use that Metro Card to buy stuff. You this assures you of cash in any stores or and convenience supermarkets they will take the Metro Card and just swipe it, but they know your identity because you are a Metro Cardholder so the money is in there. Whatever money is in there, you get access. Of course, you can use it for access to the train, too. Right, you could go to the train station for \$2.75 or \$3.00. So the money in there you got to use

if for-to do a lot of things, concessions, other thank-you know, you just send them the cash. So that would help the-the people without credit references even the identity. There's no identity theft with using a Metro Card, right. I mean anyone can buy a Metro Card, but they will ask for your ID, but you can use ID, but-but when technology in here it's not ready yet. So, you have to have a credit card like chip touch technology to go to the station-to go in the stations. I mean it's very popular in Hong Kong, in Asia that you can add money to you Metro Card foranyone can-can do that. You know, it's very convenient. You don't have to carry change to buy a newspaper, a coffee. Just touch it and then you go. So, maybe city should encourage. We have the NYC Identity card, right? So we could add a feature in there. You can put money in there, and identity-you don't mind if I'm there, right?

CASEY ADAMS: Yeah, Council Member I think we're always open to innovative new approaches to expand financial access, and I think the Administration is exploring some things similar to what you just suggested, and we're happy to, you

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

the city and are employed by hundreds of food and

from bookstores to coffee shops and restaurants and

it's only a matter of time before this discrim-

discriminatory practice expands to other business

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Chicago has also taken up this conversation.

1	CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 45
2	Washington, D.C. Council and Philadelphia. Banning
3	cashless businesses will not address the underlying
4	issue that low-income New Yorkers lack adequate
5	access to banking services. However, these issues
6	are not mutually exclusive, and both should be
7	addressed immediately. We hope that the City Counci
8	will move to pass this bill that will ban cashless
9	business model that disadvantages low-income people
10	and people of color. Thank you. [pause]
11	JULEON ROBINSON: Thank you Committee
12	Chair Espinal and the other members of the committee
13	for the opportunity to testify today. I'm Juleon
14	Robinson, and I represent New Economy Project, and
15	economic justice organization that serves low-income
16	New Yorkers throughout New York City. New Economy
17	Project has for 24 years worked with grassroots
18	groups to challenge Wall Street and other
19	corporations that perpetuate inequality, poverty and
20	segregation, and to work with community groups to
21	build new institutions that are grounded in
22	cooperation, democracy, economic, racial and gender
23	just-justice. Given the points I'm going to discuss
24	in my testimony today, mere notice is not sufficient

to address the economic and racial justice issues

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 48
2	companies in the emerging cashless economy. Cashless
3	businesses by their very nature an exclusive
4	marketplace in which New Yorkers must accept the
5	terms and conditions of private entities in order to
6	participate. Requiring people to rely on this
7	private infrastructure simply to buy school supplies,
8	food or medicine for example grants an inordinate
9	amount of power to corporations that are more
10	accountable to their investment-investors than to New
11	York City neighborhoods and New Yorkers especially
12	now as Amazon threatens to bring a wave of
13	gentrification in Western Queens with their HQ2
14	development, and as they consider a plan to open
15	numerous cash lists and cashierless businesses all
16	over New Yorker. It is imperative that the Council
17	look for ways to eliminate, not enhance the serious
18	financial burden on low-income New Yorkers and on New
19	Yorkers of color. (3) There's a need for bold
20	solutions, and Council Member Lander suggested to
21	address our 2-tiered financial system, which
22	perpetuates poverty, inequality and segregation
23	throughout the city. As I've outlined throughout the
24	testimony, there are deep structural inequalities in
25	our current financial system. Intro 1281 is an

important step in the right direction ensuring no New Yorker will be excluded from the economy solely because they lack a credit card, a bank account or requiring them to purchase a high cost and underregulated financial product like a pre-paid card. The Council should support this bill as part of a broad and bold platform to address inequality and segregation in our 2-tiered financial system. Council should support efforts to democratize our economy with and for communities that have been exploited and excluded from the mainstream financial system for decades. For example, groups around the city are actively organizing for increased funding from mission driven community development financial institutions, for community land trusts and other non-speculative housing models to strengthen-and to strengthen, expand-to strengthen and expand the worker, food, and financial and other cooperatives, and to establish the first municipal public bank in the nation, and much more. There are many meaningful ways in which the Council can support these efforts, and hope you'll consider them going forward. you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

4

2 ANDY COLLADO: Good morning. My name is 3 Andy Collado. I'm the Assistant Director of services at the Financial Clinic. Founded in 2005, the Financial Clinic builds the financial security of poor New Yorkers by providing free one-on-one coach 6 7 in collaboration with non-profits and social services organizations and agencies across the city. Our job 8 is to meet financially insecure people where they are 9 to support and empower them to achieve their 10 financial goals that matter the most to them, and to 11 12 help them navigate the many systemic economic 13 barriers that keep them from living secure and 14 prosperous lives. I'd like to start by thanking 15 Committee Chair Espinal and the other member of the 16 Consumer Affairs Committee for holding today's hearing, and for the opportunity to give testimony in 17 18 support of regulating the ability of retail establishments to refuse cash payment. I'd also like 19 20 to thank Council Member Torres for taking seriously the unequal impact that cashless businesses have on 21 2.2 many New Yorkers for introducing this bill. I feel 23 very lucky that I get to work every day as a financial coach. I have the opportunity to see the 24 challenges and barriers affecting financially 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

insecure New Yorkers, challenges and barriers that others might miss. I'm especially attuned to these issues being a first generation American myself and a proud son of immigrants. At first glance an issues like shops and restaurants going cashless might not seem like a big deal to most people, but for a significant number of New Yorkers, new immigrants or undocumented, the low and mid-income many it means that they are unable to shop at the same restaurants as everyone else or stores. That this may start with a restaurant, but trickles very quickly become floods. It means that they're effectively cut off from participating in the economic systems that can mean the difference between food and water at dinner, clothes on their backs or roofs over their heads. It's worth pointing out that reports of death of cash notwithstanding, recent data from the Federal Reserve shows that customers still use cash more frequently than any other form of payment. Cash is overwhelmingly preferred way to pay for purchases under \$25. It's the method used most often in general by low-income folks and people over 65, something that's already been mentioned today often, and it's something that I confirm every day in their

2 daily work. The poor, the undocumented, the people

3 of color are disportionary--disproportionately more

4 likely to be unbanked, face greater barriers to

1

24

25

5 opening up credit cards and debit cards because they

6 lack a Social Security Number, they could have poor

7 credit, or they could even be on check systems

8 preventing them from opening an account in the first

9 place. These groups are effectively locked out of

10 | patronizing a shop or restaurant that chooses to go

11 cashless. The impact on these communities alone

12 should give us pause. The gains in time efficiency

13 or customer experience that opponents to these

14 regulations purport surely are not worth the

15 exclusion imposed on our friends and our neighbors.

16 I want to take a moment to highlight the unique

17 | impact of the cashless trend on the undocumented.

18 | According to Fiscal Policy Institute, undocumented

20 dollars every year in state and local taxes. Thanks

21 | to Mayor de Blasio and the members of the City

22 | Council, the IDNYC allows many undocumented New

23 Yorkers to get a bank account. Their options,

though, are not unlimited. Less than 30% of banks

right now accept IDNYC for the purpose of opening a

branch to serve 8,500 residents. When payday comes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 54 2 or maybe the tax refund check arrives, many underbanked customers in these neighborhoods will go 3 to one of the city's many check cashers because 4 paying the fee is easier for them to get to a bank. Forty-three percent of the customers the Financial 6 7 Clinic assists with filing their taxes do not use direct deposit for their tax refund, and when they 8 have cashed that check they turn around and buy food. 9 Can we agree that access to that food should be a 10 human right, and that they should be turned away 11 12 because of a piece of plastic? I strongly urge this committee to end this discriminatory practice, 13 protect all New Yorkers' rights to pay for food or 14 15 services in the way that right for them and pass 16 Intro 1281. Thank you for your time today and your 17 attention to this matter.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Ritchie.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I have a few questions. Can you and the question could be directed toward every issue. Anyone can explain—just explain in greater detail the Amazon effect on the cashless economy.

ANDY COLLADO: Well, there—there are several Amazon ghost stores or Amazon stores that—

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yes.

2.2

ANDY COLLADO: --that only allow for payment through a credit card, an Amazon Prime account or if they were to purchase an Amazon card at another location, and bringing that card and purchasing the item at—at that store.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And my understanding is Amazon takes—the situation to the extremes. So, not only is there no cash here, no cashiers, right.

JULEON ROBINSON: There—there are people that accept—that accept the—the credit card, and—and—and they also accept the payment by having or helping the customer sign into their Amazon Prime Account so that they purchase it, and it affects—it affects the number of cashiers. It could affect the number of cashiers in the store.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Now some have said that—you know, saw my argument at 2:00 (sic) and left me because the trend of cashlessness is at the margins in New York City, but a company the size or Amazon has the ability to spread the cashless the cashless business model much more widely. You—You

JULEON ROBINSON: Well, I think what you're referring to either, of course, that Amazon itself has put out--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yes.

JULEON ROBINSON: --in terms of their goals, in terms of opening up additional thousands of Amazon stores across the country. So, according to Amazon they have—they have communicated that this is a direction that they are looking to—to go in terms of more brick and mortar stores.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Now, and like I said, it was question for any of you. You know, I—I think we often think of a cashless business model as a convenience, but what you're essentially telling us is that we have the situated in the historical context of redlining. Right. So, do you want to explain how what might seem like a benign neutral business model actually fits into our country's dark history of—one term was FEN TECH Crow, financial

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

57

2 racism? There's a whole host of words you could use 3 to describe it.

EDGAR LABORDE: Uh-hm. So since the 1930s when redlining maps started to be produced actually by the federal government, there has been a deep structural and racial inequality in provision of financial services in particular in communities of color, in particular in immigrant communities, in particular in low-income communities. That has persisted since the '30s and you can see it in the maps I provided today, and there are still large sections of the city and still inhabited by people of color that have no access to fair and affordable and accessible financial services, and what that does is in that space come high costs and predatory financial services like check cashers and pawn shops. And we see prepaid cards as a similar high cost, and predatory product as it costs people money to access their own income. I believe that as my colleagues from the financial college-or clinic mentioned, people are paying money at these check cashers because they need cash in order to buy food.

23

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Right. So, when you're—when you're adopting the business model you're not doing so in a vacuum.

EDGAR LABORDE: Right.

council Member Torres: You're doing so in an historical contest. So, if we were to move it toward a cashless economy we're raising the risk of entangling low-income New Yorkers with predatory financial products. Can you explain more the products that are out there?

because of strong New York State laws there is no—
there are no payday loans in New York but we do have
check cashers. We do have pawn shops. We do have
places where because there is no bank branch where
people can safely deposit their money, there is no
credit union that offers people accounts that meet
their needs, people must—it's—it's expensive to be
poor is sort of the old adoption. People are paying
money to these cost and precatory services because of
the structural inequity in our financial system, in
our economy at large.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Now I'm going to play Devil's Advocate, right. Suppose from what I

2.2

can see they submitted access to credit right. Some
people have no credit report. Some people have an
abysmal credit rating, and suppose I can see because
it's factually the case that there are communities
that have no traditional banking options, right. One
argument I've heard is that everyone can get a free
paid debit card. I go to a convenience store, you

9 could easily buy a pre-paid debit card, and voila,

10 you're integrated into the cashless economy. Why

11 does that argument underestimate the barriers that

12 low-income New Yorkers face?

EDGAR LABORDE: Those pre-paid cards cost money. By requiring low-income New Yorkers to pay more than New Yorkers that have means to open a bank account that have, you know, that live in a neighborhood where bank branches are present, you are burdening the already burdened. You are burdening low-income New Yorkers. You are burdening immigrant New Yorkers, undocumented New Yorkers and people of color in this city further by—while they exist in a financial system that already burdens them so much. So, a prepaid card is a way to enter into the cashless economy, but it comes at serious cost for people who don't have the means to pay that cost.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Right, so if

you're steering of going towards a debit card, and

you have to pay a fee, you're effectively imposing a

tax on poverty.

EDGAR LABORDE: And you're also increasing insecurity because if they lose that prepaid debit card--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing]
Right.

EDGAR LABORDE: --that's it. They are cut off from that money until they send them a new pre-paid debit card in the mail. Right. This is why having cash on hand is important.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And-and I will confess I-I occasionally use my credit-lose my credit cards and my debit cards, but I can afford to buy a new one. If you're a low-income New Yorker who is living paycheck to paycheck and every dollar counts, you can only afford to lose so many cards. You spoke about—we know that traditional banking is heavily like regulated, and you mentioned that the financial—alternative financial services tend to be poorly regulated. Can you provide more detail on that?

2.2

2.2

JULEON ROBINSON: I can get back to with
more—

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah,

JULEON ROBINSON: --details and I did
more information and research on that, but what we
have seen is that the check cashers and the pawn
shops have—the offer predatory services. They offer
high cost services, and they don't have the stringent
regulations that the traditional banking services in
the mainstream financial system, including credit
unions have in place.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I—I appreciate your testimony and thank you for just providing historical context for the cashless business models. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Margaret.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yes. Thank you

Chair. Thank you for your testimony. I think that is

still an issue that we have not taken care of in

terms of helping people who don't have the

opportunity to open bank accounts and—and being taken

advantage of by all these, you know, the—the cash

place where they, you know, charge a fee to cash

their check, and it's still all over a different low—

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

income neighborhood. In one part of my district in Chinatown, we got a bank on every corner, but a lot of people still don't use credit cards. Hey still use cash. Now, have you done any kind of like research or even with the Department of Consumer Affairs like which are they businesses that are starting this? From my own experience, I mean usually when I go for lunch I try to pay by cash. It's just so much easier. I don't have to worry about my card bill. All of a sudden one day I went into one of the places that I patronize once in a while, and they didn't take credit-I mean they didn't take cash. I was surprised, and there was no sign, of course, until I got to the register, but it's not a cheap place. So, one of the things that I've been seeing around is that a lot of the stores that are starting this cashless thing, are the more expensive stores, or stores that in some way is sending a message that we only want certain type of customers. People who can pay for this product, you know, \$12, \$13 for this salad or whatever, and I think that is like something that is really if that expands, it's really going to create that discrimination and that exclusion that if you don't have a credit card then

2.2

you noticed that?

we don't want you. You don't have the opportunity to try to out this product or to purchase this product because you don't have a credit card. So, I think that really is to me is like it's really sending a really bad message going down the road because this is just a recent phenomena. So, I think that it would be good to really try to track like what are the businesses that are doing that, and it sees like a lot of them are these franchise that are opening up that are more high end that are starting this. Have

JULEON ROBINSON: Well, I actually want to step back a point where you mentioned earlier about the price being high at some of these places. Honestly, I think that's completely irrelevant because I deal with financial goals every day. You know, there are many times that I have a client standing in front of me and that goal for them it be able to take—to be able to, you know, take their family out for a nice dinner at a nice restaurant that maybe they don't get to go to every time, but they save up for it every month to get to do that, right. And so, you're cutting off this—these—these areas of fulfillment and joy that can—that can be the

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you.

by cash any more. Right. What are-what are we-what

are we showing to the future, you know, citizens of

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the-of this city.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you guys.

Appreciate it. Leo Kremer, Michelle from Dos Soros

Taqueria; Michelle Gauthier from Mulberry and Vine.

Anna Maria. I'm sorry. I can't read your last name—

By Chloe, and Julian Grossberg. [background

comments/pause] You may begin.

2 ANNA MARIA FRIENDS: Hello everyone. 3 name is Anna Maria Friends (sic). I'm one of the 4 Regional Director for By Chloe. We are a fast casual 5 plant based vegan restaurant chain. Now we serve in locations including six of them in the New York-in 6 7 New York City. We are a female founded company that first opened in July of 2015 and we currently employ 8 over 240 employees in the New York City Metro area. 9 10 Caring for our employees has always been a priority to us. We have a track record of highly promoting 11 12 from within, and we have always offered health insurance benefits and benefits that exceeded the 13 14 minimum requirements since our inception. 15 currently do operate as a cashless restaurant—as a 16 cashless restaurant in the New York City. When we 17 first opened in 2015 with our first location, we did 18 accept cash. We transitioned into a cashless chain the fall of 2017, and completely went cashless in New 19 20 York in January 2019. Just before the transition into a cashless establishment, cash represented less 2.1 2.2 than 10% of our total transactions predominantly from 23 tourists. We closely monitored for all customer feedback regarding the new policy. The transition 24 25 proved to be very smooth with minimal customer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

complaints. The primary reason why we decided to go to a cashless format and continues to stay cashless is for the safety of our employees. By not keeping any cash in our stores our employees feel safer especially in our preopening and closing hours and our stores are relatively empty. We do have lower volume stores where at the beginning of the day for a number of hours at the end of the day for a number of hours there would be only one employee in the front of the house. Although that, as we know, that lately it's unlikely, news reports of local restaurants being robbed at gunpoint create a cause of concern. There have been a series of robbery within blocks of several of our locations, including the Fall 2016 robbery of Sweet Things (sic) in Union Square and the summer of 2018 robbery of Adelei (sp?) on Urban Place. Not keeping cash in our stores is an easy way for us to help our employees feel more comfortable at work and feel safer including out manages who do not have to carry large amounts of cash in a bag, in a hand bag in a paper back and forth from the bank everyday. Importantly, going cashless is also aligned with our mission of used plant based food as a platform for sustainability, and the environment-

2.2

and the environmental benefits may seem small, but going cashless has helped us to further reduce our carbon footprint. The increasing availability of pre-paid cards and more volume in the creation increases the accessibility to digital payments for everyone. As digital payments become increasingly prevalent, we believe that our choice to be cashless is the best of our customers, for our employees and our business as well. We aspire to be inclusive, and welcome everyone to eat our restaurant, and we do empower our managers to make reasonable accommodations whenever possible for customers with only cash. Thank you. [pause]

me here. So, we—we went into this business opening

Dos Toros Taqueria because we wanted to share with

our guests the California style Mexican cuisine that

we grew up loving not because we wanted to be

cashless. We are only interested in being cashless

because it allows us to serve our guests more

smoothly, operate more efficiently and significantly

enhances our ability to improve our team training and

morale and by extension to create a more compelling

guest experience, which is the entire goal of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hospitality. Going cashless has been enormously helpful in these respects. We think of ourselves as ethical corporate members of our community and take pride in doing the right thing. We partner closely with South Bronx United to help kids go to college. We've donated over 100,000 meals over the years to the New York Food Bank in addition to volunteering out time, and we've not-not just made financial contribution, but have directly worked with getting out and staying to employ and offer career opportunities to formerly incarcerated individuals. We try to do right by the environment by sourcing only naturally raised proteins and composting all kitchen food waste. Most significantly, we've employ over 500 amazing team members, and are proud to pay them \$15 an hour and in most cases more than that along with other benefits and real opportunities for growth and career advancement. I should add that we strongly encourage our new team members to start a bank account if they don't already have one, and set up direct deposit for their paycheck. We think people ought to be encouraged to join the banking system without being taken advantage of by check cashing services. We are only able to do all these

change, spend hours of our restaurant leaders' time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that goal. Let's pull those members of our community

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 71

forward and into the modern financial system that has been pulling the business community backward. They

are well intentioned but ill advised on burdensome

regulation. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MICHELLE GAUTHIER: Good morning or afternoon. Close to it. My name is Michelle Gauthier. I've live in New York 20 years and I own five fast casual restaurants called Mulberry Vine. I'm opposed to Bill No. 1281-2018 entitled Preventing Retail Establishments from refusing said payment in I went cashless—cashless in April of 2016 solely for the benefit of my employees. management of cash can be an incredible burden. close at 9:00 p.m. and employees were consistently getting out around 11:00 dealing with the cash drawer or reconciliation. Once I went cashless, my employees were out by 9:30. Another factor in my decision to go cashless was the multiple bank runs a week to get the correct change. Tellers have taken over by kiosks. So the wait for a teller can be anywhere from 5 to 20 minutes. We're a busy lunch driven restaurant. was very stressful for my employees not know how long the bank run would take. Going cashless has certainly produced other unintended benefits: Fast

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

moving line, simplified bookkeeping, but my original intention to take away unnecessary stress from and incredibly job has been a huge win for my employees. It saddens me that a decision that was made for the best interest of my employees could be misconstrued as classis or discriminatory. Many of my employees are the same people I'm supposedly discriminating against yet they wholeheartedly agree with my decision to go cashless. Our cashless policy was never intended to upset, embarrass, or lose a customer nor to be political. It just simply works a whole lot better for us. While we do not accept cash as a form of payment, we have never turned away a customer. We make accommodations for any one who wants our food, and we do it with a smile on our face. I'm a full-time single parent of two and small business owner in New York City. I'm in a very tough industry, and removing the management and burden of cash was one of the best decisions I've made. I urge you to oppose this bill. Thank you.

JILLIAN GROSSBERG: Hi. My name is

Jillian Grossberg. I am sorry if I sound nervous.

It's because I am. I have worked with Anna Maria

Albicolise (sic) since November 2016. I have worked

and out at night. It is dangerous for them even if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

questions?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I thank you for 3 Your testimony. Obviously you know more about how to run a business than I do. So, I will take for 4 granted the benefits of the Cashless business model. 5 6 I do want to make a few points. I have the honor of 7 representing Arthur Avenue, which has some of the most iconic businesses in the country. Some of these 8 businesses have endured for more than 100 years, 9 10 accept cash and are able to operate smoothly and efficiently and safely in the Bronx, which is 11 12 probably much tougher than some of the neighborhoods in which you operate, but I-I take your points. 13 14 want to respond to I guess the notion that-because I 15 feel like there's an attempt to portray the use of 16 cash as dangerous, and as a prohibitive burden but if 17 cash were so dangerous or a prohibitive burden, how 18 do we explain the fact that the vast majority of businesses continue to use cash? Right, that's-19 20 that's one point I would make, and I do want to respond to a comment that Michelle made. You said: 21 2.2 It saddens me that a decision that was made for the 23 best interest of my employees could be misconstrued as classless or discriminatory. Many of my employees 24 25 are the same people I am supposedly discriminating

questions to ask.

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I guess I just have one question. When—when a consumer walks into your restaurant, and site down are they alerted or informed that this is a cashless restaurant?

MICHELLE GAUTHIER: It's posted on our front window and it's at the registers.

ANNA MARIA FRIENDS: In our restaurants e have signage as well. There is signage by the front door and by the register.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, and what happens if someone sits down and doesn't-isn't aware but at the end of the day receives their meal and the bill comes. What happens?

ANNA MARIA FRIENDS: Well, we are fast cultural restaurants and we don't do table service although services is counter service. However, if anybody, you know, waits in line and gets up to the register, orders and they—they by any chance they didn't see this actual signage, they do not have cash on them, then we borrow our managers to make the appropriate decisions and comp those meals, and not turn anybody away that hasn't and was not aware of the policy and would like to dine with us.

2.2

business. I'd just like to give a real life

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING 79 situation. It's happened to me several times. of all, my-my credit-I'm on a tight budget. So, I generally try to stay away from, you know, cards and stuff like that and I carry cash with me, and I walked into-I'll just give you a situation-a couple of situations. I walked into a salad store where they make salads. It was on Court Street in Brooklyn, and I saw a nice—the guy who was making my salad must have spent 15 minutes on it, and I walk up to the, you know, I guess you call it a cashier or whatever, and she said give me your \$15. I take our \$15. She said no, no, you have to-you have to-you have to pay it in credit card. I said well I don't have a credit card. I have cash here. She said, well, I can't give it to you. So this lady was saying she makes amends if someone has cash. For me, they didn't do that. But I'll tell you another situation that happened to me. I walked into Grand Central the other day through the main entrance, and I was hungry and I was thirsty. I had a hard day, and I see a big enormous food court. It's called the Great Northern food court, and there's lots of little stands there where you can get food. You think, wow, all these different business people got together and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 they put up different stands and every single one of them is cashless. Finally, I get to the last one in 3 4 line and they-all the way at the end. I want to get 5 some potato chips and the chef they gave me we get in 6 a big argument over the whole thing and we went back 7 and forth. Anyway, but then I wanted—I then walked away from the place. I walked all the way to the 8 bookstore and the entire crew there followed me and 9 they started harassing me, but that's a different 10 story. It's a police matter, but I started 11 12 investigating there and I found out that every single one of those individual stands, their food stands is 13 14 owned by the same person, and his name Claus Mayor. 15 He's from Denmark, and he has all these restaurants 16 and food stands all over the city, which are—which are cashless. So, that's a real-real situation 17 18 there. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Well, thank you for 19 20 sharing that.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: With-with that

ZEB YERMAN:

said, this hearing is adjourned. [gavel]

CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS LICENSING

80

24

23

21

2.2

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 20, 2019