CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

January 31, 2019 Start: 09:53 a.m. Recess: 12:00 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway- Committee Rm, 16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

FRANCISCO MOYA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

CARLINA RIVERA
RITCHIE J. TORRES
COSTA CONSTANTINIDES
BARRY GRODENCHIK
RORY I. LANCMAN
DONOVAN J. RICHARDS
STEPHEN T. LEVIN
ANTONIO REYNOSO

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Richard Lobel Attorney Sheldon Lobel, P.C.

Andrew Till C.O.O. Simon Baron Development

Melanie Meyers Member/Partner Fried Frank Harris Shriver and Jacobson

Toby Snyder Senior Associate FXCollaborative Architects LLP

Panos Cutriez Member 32BJ

Mitch Gibson Senior Vice President Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

Iziah Thompson Research and Urban Planning Fellow New York Building Congress

Jack Davies
Policy and Campaigns Manager
Transportation Alternatives

Jaclyn Scarinci Associate Akerman LLP

Paola Duran Director Housing Development

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Edward La Grassa Member Community Board II Landmark Committee

Brendan Levy Queens Chamber of Commerce

John Kurtz Resident

Amire Con Resident

Kelly Fernandez Spokesperson Economic Development Corporation

Sophia Yurudia Resident

Allison Curreri Associate Greenberg Traurig LLP

David Schwartzbaum Associate Greenberg Traurig LLP

May Yu Vice President of Real Estate Downtown Brooklyn Partnership

Cassie Carrio (sp?) Member 32BJ

Collay Okar (sp?) Resident

Carrington Resident

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dia Plunkett (sp?) Resident

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I'm Council Member Francisco Moya, the chairperson of this subcommittee. And today we are joined by Council Members Grodenchik, Richards, and Reynoso. Today we will be holding hearings on a number of applications and we will also be conducing a vote on five previously heard applications. If you are here to testify on an application for which the record is not already closed, please fill out a white slip with the Sergeant at Arms and indicate the name and/or the LU number of the application you wish to testify on on that slip. Our first hearing is on LU's 333 and 334, the Caton Park Nursing Home rezoning for property in Council Member Eugene's district in Brooklyn. The applicant seeks approval for a zoning map amendment to rezone the southwest corner of Caton Avenue and Rugby Road from an RX... from an R3X district to an R6A district and a related zoning text amendment on the map of to, a map of a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing utilizing option one and option two. These actions would permit the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 6

expansion of the existing building located on 1312

Caton Avenue and allow the existing roof deck to be

enclosed to provide new space for programmatic use

for nursing home residents. I now open the public

6 hearing on this application. And I'd like to call

7 up Richard Lobel and Rick Trent, is it? Where's the

8 microphone? Yeah, thank you. Counsel will you

9 please swear in the panel?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and you will answer all questions truthfully? As part of your answer please state your full name for the record.

RICHARD LOBEL: I do. Richard Lobel.

RICK TRENT: Rick Trent.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Moya and Council Members. Richard Lobel from Shelden Lobel, P.C. We're here today to discuss the Caton Park Nursing Home rezoning which has received much support in the local community in the form of a nearly unanimous community board resolution in favor as well as from the Brooklyn Borough President's Office and the City Planning

district to the R3X district. So, if you take a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

look at the tax map that's in front of you now the rezoning would affect two lots; the subject lot, where the nursing home is located, as well as a 5,000 square foot lot to the south of that lot currently occupied by a Buddhist Temple. The zoning change map is very straightforward. You can see the R6A district equidistant between Argyle road and Rugby Road on the portion on the left whereas after the change it would result in a R6A portion on the right. The R6A district would extend it through to Rugby Road and would be located at a distance of approximately 170 feet from Caton Avenue. So just merely to show you the end result of the plans, on the third page of the plans, you have the shaded area which would now be the enclosed area. I would say that prior to requesting any questions from the committee that this is a valued facility in this area. The, there is a shortage right now within this facility of both central dining area as well as rehabilitative space. So, the central dining area and rehabilitative space operate in a way which does not currently meet the standards that are set forth by both the Medicare and Medicaid organizations as well as the Department of Health.

2.2

And so, allowing for this rezoning would allow us to provide more rehabilitative space for patients, for a larger central dining area. It would really allow for more rehabilitation to take place in a centralized location which would prevent rehabilitation from being accomplished within patients' bedrooms. This is often isolating and we prefer to have them in a, you know normal healthy circulating environment. We've, again, received phenomenal support from the surrounding community and we would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you. Just a quick question. So, what are the MIH in conveyance for this project if you can just go through that?

really going to be, or intended to be, options one and two. The reason for that is that there is no intended redevelopment at either the facility, which is an existing overbuilt facility, as well as the adjacent religious house of worship. But one in two to the extent that in the future there was any contemplated redevelopment those would be the options in, and redevelopment could take place with

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

10
greater optionality for, for which level of
affordability was desired.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great, thank you.

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your testimony today. Thank you. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify on this item? Okay, seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application, and it will be laid over.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair, Council Members.

testimony. Okay. We're just going to pause for one second. We're waiting for one of our other members to join us. Okay. Okay, we've been joined by Council Member Levin. And today we are going to be voting to approve LUs 317 and 318, the east 241st street rezoning in the Bronx. The applicant seeks approval for a zoning map amendment to rezone a number of lots on a single block from an M11 to an R7D C24 district, and a zoning text amendment to modify appendix F on the map of a mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing options one and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES option two. The text would also add the rezoning area for the transit zone to reduce parking requirements. These actions will facilitate the development of a new mixed use commercial and residential building. Council Member Cohen is in support of this application. Okay. We will also be voting to approve LUs 319, 320, and 895 Bedford Avenue rezoning in Brooklyn. The zoning map change under LU 319 would map an R7A C24 district in place of an existing M12 district. The zoning text change, LU 320 would establish a mandatory inclusionary housing utilizing MIH Option 1 or MIH Option 2. Together, the applications would facilitate the development of a seven-story mixed use building with ground-floor commercial space and approximately 36 housing units. Council Member Levin is in support of this application.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just want to thank Mr. Chair, the, the applicant for working with us and with this committee on modest changes to this proposal to ensure that there's more family sized units and that would be reflected within the market rate and the affordable units. Greatly

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
19
appreciate their willingness to work with us. Thank
you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you. Thank you Council Member. We will also be voting to approve on LU 321. The 100, 103 North Conduit Avenue, Avenue rezoning in Queens. The proposed zoning map change would establish a C22 district within an existing R3X district in order to facilitate the development of a new used group sixteen automotive service station subject to future BSA approval. The site would also include a one-story 3,990 square foot store as well as 13 accessory parking spaces and room for at least five reserved spaces. Council Member Ulrich is in support of this application. We will also be voting to approve LU 322. They, 5153 White Street zoning special permit in Manhattan. The property consists of an existing building in the Tribeca East historic district and the special permit would modify certain regulations related to height and setbacks, courts, and minimum distance between windows and lot lines. It would facilitate the enlargement of an existing building while also providing for this continued restoration and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES maintenance. Council Member Chin is in support of this application. And lastly, we'll be voting today to approve LUs 323, 59 Greenwich Avenue zoning special permit, also in Manhattan. The property consists of an existing building in the Greenwich Village historic district. And the special permit would permit the modification of the existing use regulations to allow the provision of group six uses on the existing building second floor as well as the modification of certain bulk regulations related to minimum distance between windows and lot lines. The application would facilitate the reconstruction and enlargement of the existing historic building while also providing for its continued restoration and maintenance. The speaker is in support of this application and has asked me to note that the applicant has agreed to a prohibition on eating and drinking establishments for the second floor of the building in response to concerns raised by the community board and the borough president. Were there any questions from the subcommittee members on any of these items? Seeing none I now call for a vote to approve the

following applications which have the support of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	local council members, LUs 317 and 318, the east
3	241 st Street rezoning, LUs 319 and 320 the 80, the
4	895 Bedford Avenue rezoning, LUs 321, the 100-03
5	North Conduit Avenue rezoning, LUs 322 and the 5153
6	White Street 74-711 special permit application, and
7	LU 323, the 59 Greenwich Street Avenue 74-711
8	special permit application. Counsel please call the
9	roll.
10	COUNSEL: Chair Moya.
11	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Aye.
12	COUNSEL: Council Member Levin.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye on all.
14	COUNSEL: Council Member Reynoso.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I vote aye on
16	all.
17	COUNSEL: Council Member Richards.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Aye on all.
19	COUNSEL: Council Member Grodenchik.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Aye.
21	COUNSEL: By a vote of five in the
22	affirmative, zero in the negative, and zero
23	abstentions, the land use items are approved and
24	referred to the full land use committee.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, we're going to the leave the vote open for a few more minutes. So, we will leave the vote open until the, the end of the hearing and we will now be moving to... Okay. So, our next hearing is on LUs 338, 339, 340, the 12 Franklin Street text amendment and related special permit application for property in Council Member Levin's district in Brooklyn. The applicant is seeking a zoning text amendment to include the subject block which is bounded by Franklin Street, Meserole Avenue, Gem street, and North 15 Street within an industrial business incentive area and a related special permit that if approved would allow an increase in the maximum permitted floor area for specific industrial and commercial uses modifying height and set-back regulations and reduce the applicable off-street parking and loading requirements. Approval of the special permit would facilitate the development of a seven-story building with approximately 16,000 square feet of retail space, 96,000 square feet of office space and 22,000 square feet of light industrial space. I

now open the public hearing on this application,

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 and I would turn it over to Council Member Levin 3 for some remarks. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Chair I'll waive 4 my remarks and ask them a couple questions after 5 6 the presentation. 7 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you Council Member. Melanie Meyers. Last name 8 Schneider, I'm sorry I can't make out the first 9 10 name. 11 TOBY SNYDER: Toby Schneider. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it. Andrew Till. 12 13 Great. Counsel please swear in the panel. 14 COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that 15 the testimony you're about to give will be the 16 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, 17 and you will answer all questions truthfully? As 18 part of your answer please state your full name. ANDREW TILL: Yes, Andrew Till. 19 20 TOBY SNYDER: Yes, Toby Schneider. 21 MELANIE MEYERS: Yes, Melanie Meyers. 2.2 ANDREW TILL: Are we okay to just start. 23 Oh, okay, sorry. Thank you for having us present today. My name is Andrew Till. I'm the COO of Simon 24

Baron Development. Simon Baron is a New York City

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES based Real Estate Development Firm founded in 1990. Since founding, Simon Baron, has constructed and owned numerous buildings in Brooklyn, Queens, and Manhattan. We have focus and expertise in all facets of development and invest in project as long-term owners. We're extremely excited to add 12 Franklin Street to our portfolio. It's a unique site on the boarder of Greenpoint and Williamsburg in the heart of an industrial business zone. The mixed use, the mixed commercial and industrial building will build, with the approvals before you, will cater to and service local businesses of the neighborhood with a space designated to meet the needs of modern light industrial firms and modern office space of a size and scale that works for businesses and entrepreneurs in the area. We believe that this will be an important building for the area adding jobs and strength and employment opportunities in North Brooklyn. I'm now going to turn over, turn it over to Melanie Meyers and Toby Schneider to describe the project and actions before you. We thank you for your consideration.

MELANIE MEYERS: Thanks. I do have it on

right? Thanks. So it's Melanie Meyers with Fried

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Frank, Harris Shriver and Jacobson. I'm going to go a little bit through the actions before you and then Toby will talk about the building itself. From a location standpoint the, the site that we're talking about is on the Greenpoint Williamsburg waterfront at southern end of Greenpoint. It's has an interesting site. It's across from what will be the future Bushwick Inlet Park. And it's bounded by a series of streets that kind of give it a, an interesting pentagonal shape. Looking at the site itself, you can see it's a series right now of onestory buildings. It's underutilized and it's a site that we think is ripe for producing a more of a economic development than exists today. From an area map standpoint, you can see that the site is the midst of a area of, of industrial and commercial uses, most of which are one and two story buildings. But what you can also see from the area map is that there is a lot of the yellow which is residential. So, it's one of the areas which it is an industrial zone and it's one that we think would really benefit from a proposal that would allow for a project that creates jobs to help sort

of solidify the, an industrial and a commercial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES presence in the area. From a zoning standpoint the site is in an M12 zone and it is close to a project known as 25 Kent which is the first project that used this particular special permit. So, what we think that we're doing with that project, with this project, and what I expect will be future projects before you is really approaching and thinking about this area as a place to draw businesses and to allow for the Brooklyn waterfront to have a strong presence... a commercial and industrial presence. From a zoning standpoint there's three actions. The first is the zoning text amendment to simply make this block, an industrial business incentive area that will unlock two special permits, one of which will allow for the building to have a floor area ratio of 4.8 which is the floor area that's available for community facility today. And the third is to address the very high parking, and we believe unnecessary parking requirement to reduce the parking requirements and to adjust the loading requirements to sort of more modern light industrial uses. Zoning map, the zoning text will simply be adding the block to an existing map that

is in the district today. The special permit will

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES allow for a 134,000 square-foot development, most of which will be commercial. It will include 22,370 square feet of light industrial space. The parking that we are proposing would be 36 self-park spaces. Zoning would require for 135-ish thousand square foot building, 396 spaces. This is not an area that needs parking. It's, it's not an area... We, we do not want to encourage people to drive. So, we're asking for 36 spaces and we are increasing the bicycle parking that's required under zoning by five. So, we'll be having 85, five times. So, there'll be 85 park, bicycle parking spaces instead of roughly 17 that are required. This is just simply sort of showing the building and sort of, in section. And I'll turn it over to Toby to start talking about the project in a minute. But the required the light industrial use will be on the second floor. It will have a strong presence both on the, you know on the outside of the building and it will have flexible floor plates to allow itself to, you know to, to accommodate a variety of different users. And we're really excited about it as a project. From a process standpoint, we've,

community board issued recommendations in support

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

of the project. They had some suggestions about the parking restriction. We also received recommendations of approval from the Borough President and the City Planning Commission. And so, we're very pleased about the support and the interest that the projects had this far, and we look forward to your consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOBY SNYDER: Thank you Melanie. I'm Toby Snyder, Senior Associate at FXCollaborative Architects. As Melanie mentioned I'll show you the site here in relationship to the building. We are across the street from the future park. We're, we're having four sides, four street frontages, 15th Street, Franklin Street, Meserole and Gem Street. The main lobby would be coming off of 15th Street. There would be retail on all four sides. And then on the southern portion along Gym Street is where there's parking and loading and exits. Just to zoom in here right on the ground floor. Again, the main lobby access is here on the, on the lower left-hand portion of the building. It also connects through to Gem Street. So, there's both pedestrian and bicycle entrance from both of those sides. The retail wrapping around on all sides, it

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES was important for us to have this project to an active retail presence on all of the streets. On the second floor what Melanie indicated as the dedicated industrial floor. This is, this is similar to the office floors and being 15-foot floor to floor heights. It's got its own outdoor space. It has direct access to the freight elevator and oversized corridors if there were multiple tenants in it. There's a potential possibility that there's some commercial space on the floor as well. The remaining floor plates as we go up the building are dedicated to typical office uses and the very top two floors could be for a eating or drinking establishment as the building sets back from its main height to these terrace floors. Some threedimensional views of the project. You can see that it has a larger core on the south side that is popping out along our lot line condition, but the rest of the building is predominantly, this gridded window system with these large two story Loja space, outdoor spaces that have been sort of cut out of the frame of the building on... to give... each floor its own outdoor space. Here's a, a view from Franklin Street looking at the main office lobby

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES and then some of these larger two-story spaces. So, the, the architectural intent here is to create a building that reinforces the industrial character of the neighborhood. It has a strong reading of its structural grid which is typical of the larger warehouse in manufacturing buildings of Brooklyn. There's a fairly low window wall ratio which is not typical of an office building. So, we're using the notion of these larger sort of factory size windows broken into smaller pieces. And then of course the two-story openings. This lower window wall ratio is not only sort of part of its architectural character that is one of the most important things you can do for the energy consumption of the building. It really reduces that, it's about half as what... half as much as what would be expected of a, a typical office building. Here's a cross section through the building right at the ground floor. It is in the flood zone and so we've worked very closely with the Department of Buildings to come up with a solution that both enables the, the majority of the space on the first floor and cellar to be out of the flood zone. But right at the

perimeter still brings life access and retail

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

presence down. So, there's this unique condition of having a wet flood proof perimeter band that wraps around the building and enables that certain portions of the building to, to withstand floods whereas other portions are raised out of it. So, one, one final view from the future park, we don't know the timing of the future park, but this building is very much in attempting to bring important vital retail presence and activity and life to that. So, in many ways we're trying to address that the future, both the past and the future of the neighborhood. I think... Yeah, thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just two quick questions before I turn it over to Council Member Levin. So, can you just go into again what type of tenants previously occupied the space?

MELANIE MEYERS: Sure. There were five tenants on the site. There were two bars within that, one of which was a brewery, but there were two bars. There was a warehouse for Polish canned goods. There was a plumbing supply store and then there was a sound studio. So, of those five tenants four of them have space. Some of them have

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES relocated. One of... some of them are in the process of relocating. And the fifth one is looking for space at this point. And, and we believe one of the bars is, is looking for a space in the area.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And sort of can you go into kind of the commitment to good jobs?

MELANIE MEYERS: I think there's a, a couple of things. One of the, one of the... you know the goal of the, the goal of the special permit was to make sure that there was a variety of jobs. So... variety of jobs including the light industrial space. One of the things that they think is really great about this particular building is that the floor plate sizes and the size of it, it's not an enormous building. It's an opportunity for businesses that are in the range of 2,000, 5,000, 10,000, 20,000... [cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:chairperson MOYA: I'm talking about} % \end{substitute} %$

MELANIE MEYERS: Yes. And I think some are 32 BJ standpoint if that's what you're talking about. There is an agreement... I believe that there will be people from 32 BJ speaking as well.

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you. I now want to turn it over to Council Member Levin for questions.

much Chair. So, let's see. Thank you at the outset for you know working with, with the council and my office and the local industrial community on, on this proposal. Why did you pursue the special permit versus an as of right development?

MELANIE MEYERS: At a technical level, at a technical level, one of the things that's strange about this zoning. So, we're in an M12 zone. Again, community facilities can be built to 4.8 FAR. And so, the building that you see is that size. Commercial zoning is limited to a 2 FAR. So, you really would be talking a building that is less than half the size. So, the, there's a challenge in, you know in, when you're thinking about a site, like when you're thinking about a site, you know is it feasible, viable, to build a commercial space, you know, new eight, new industrial space at an FAR of two. So, I think that might be part of the reason why there's been limited development in this area. So, the reason for the special permit is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

to allow for a 2 FAR project which probably would

not have been built to be at a scale that makes it

a viable project and has it generate office uses in

light industrial uses as opposed to just a, a

community facility.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Community facility being limited to a very... you know select number of... [cross-talk].

MELANIE MEYERS: Actually yes, within this district the community facilities that are allowed on an as of right basis are doctors' offices and houses of worship otherwise you need a special permit for, that would allow some others.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Now what kind of tenants do you envision for the light manufacture, light, light industrial space and have you had discussions with local industrial services providers on helping to identify or curate the space, or identify potential tenants or, and what do you envision there? And then I have a follow up question on that.

ANDREW TILL: Sure. So, we've worked very closely with Evergreen. I believe they submitted testimony to your office. They were going

to come today and they were unable. But they've worked very closely with us to help us identify. They believe that there is demand for the space. They believe that it could come from the prop movie... movie part of the... movie bit, film industry business that supports Steiner Studios in Astoria. They also believe there is demand for possible food manufacturing uses. They think there's some other, you know other various uses. I don't think they believe anything like very heavy... truly light industrial.

2.1

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hmm.

TOBY SNYDER: But they think there's a real opportunity and their sense on occupancy or interest is going to be closer to when we're ready to deliver because most of the tenants that they believe will, will want to take this space are you know out, are looking in the market, 120 to 100 and you know, between 120 and 180 days out from their current expirations.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. The Borough President raised the issues of accessory retail potentially taking up too much space intended for industrial use and that quote unquote digital

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

nanufacturing might not accomplish the intent of

the special permits. I wanted to know if you had a

response to those concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MELANIE MEYERS: You know... And it's, that's been part of the conversation. So, this is looking at the light industrial space, floor. And again about 22,000 and change would be for the light industrial uses. And then there's an opportunity for a little bit of commercial. You can see it in the purple. You know that could theoretically be like a little retail outlet or something like that. It could be a conference room that the entire building could share. You know, so there had been a discussion about whether there could be a limitation, should be a limitation. It was discussed a bit at the borough president, also discussed at the city planning commission. And I think there was a concern, at least with some of the people who were thinking about it about how some of these, you know these entrepreneurs, these light industrial users use their space. They were thinking about if you had somebody who had a, that had a production bakery for example. We're, I think we're worried that if, that if that meant people

were coming in and being able to you know have a birthday party where they were making their own cupcakes or something like that, whether that, having that kind of flexibility would be compromised by sort of establishing a restriction. So, we think that the way the text reads is that the space needs to be for the industrial use. And I think the concern when people had concerns was that it didn't want to compromise the ability of an industrial user to be successful.

types of rents that in, like manufacturing or industrial space could get. The typical range right now in the areas between 15 and 25 dollars a square foot which is considerably lower than the officer retail market. Do you have a sense of what the price range is on the light manufacturing space?

And how do you suppose that will work in terms of if it's outside the range of what, of what typical light manufacturers are able to pay?

MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah, so I think Andrew can speak to the, sort of, his sort of, their assumptions about it because that certainly is the

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 21 expectation, is that it's going to be a well below market rent.

2.2

ANDREW TILL: Yes, it's definitely a well below market rent. We've also spoken to Evergreen about the rents for the users they believe would take the space. Their indications were a bit higher than what you're noting. But we have, the way we have run this economically is the... our rents are obviously nowhere near the range of the office, office... and we don't have an expectation, we have an expectation to fill that space. We don't have an expectation to leave it vacant.

MELANIE MEYERS: And one of the things...

And it was, I think, part of the discussion at the time of the 25 Kent, is that within the zoning text itself there's a number of protections that ensure that this space is being made available for the, you know light industrial users and light industrial users only. It includes a restrictive declaration, but it also includes a requirement that the restriction be on the certificate of occupancy. There are posting requirements at the building. There are third party reporting

requirements on an annual basis. There is also a requirement to maintain records online throughout the operation of the building to identify who the users of, are of the second floor. So, there's a number of protections that we believe that are in the text and a violation, for what it's worth, you know it's clear that the violation would allow for a, a removal of, or a rescission of the certificate of occupancy.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: As proposed there is, is there a eating and drinking establishment proposed on the top floor? Is that right? On the roof... [cross-talk]

MELANIE MEYERS: There's the opportunity or the possibility... So, it's possible that what you see is kind of the uppermost floor could be an eating and drinking establishment. The way that the text works is that there are things that are called permitted uses which can occupy up to 2 FAR of the building. And that would include retail uses... include office as well. Then the incentive uses, which you see in the yellow, are more limited. So, it's office space and things like that. So, we do want the flexibility of including rooftop eating

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES and drinking establishment. But, it's possible that that would be office as well, and it would say that one, one of the bars, both of the bars, one of the bars that was there had a rooftop space on the site. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, okay. TOBY SNYDER: Northern territory most of

TOBY SNYDER: Northern territory most of their business was rooftop.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Give sense of what type of commercial tenants you're seeking.

TOBY SNYDER: We think, and from the feedback that we're getting from activity at 25

Kent, as well as users that are potentially residents of the area, Greenpoint, North

Williamsburg/Williamsburg and other parts of Brooklyn, are we think it's going to be a big draw for the TAMI Tenants that are currently in the Flatiron district that are coming in and out, from the L Train, the Union Square, Flat Iron district. We think that will revolve around advertising firms, architecture firms, different maybe different types of, of technology, companies. We think it's a very similar, we believe it's a very similar tendency, the thing that we're very pleased

with, with the design as well as the, just the you know layout of the footprint is it really allows for a smaller sized tenant who needs 7,000 square foot requirement, or a 10,000 square foot requirement because we're, we're able to easily break up the, break up the floors. So I think we're really going to appeal to groups that maybe will be just coming out of startup type phase, and are able to, want to expand and have their own office space coming out of a maybe a work-sharing space.

2.2

my last two questions. How do you see the building interacting with the park across the street? Is there a, how do you see that... kind of taking place... it's the side of Bushwick Inlet Park which has, hasn't been built yet but is a... will be built one day.

MELANIE MEYERS: So, I can talk a little bit about it but you know certainly the way that...

The site has this really unique geometry. So it, we did think about it, and Toby mentioned a little bit, is that we wanted it to be something that you know was welcoming, inviting, and sort of visually attractive from the park. But also, to kind of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
lighten up the ground floor so that there could be...
you know it's pedestrians are what... walking back
and forth that there was visually interesting to
them. There might be a coffee shop, there might be
something like that. So, we went into a little bit
of depth about trying to figure out how to make the
retail frontage actually have a street presence to
try to make that interaction between the building
and the street and the people that'll be on the
streets as lively as possible.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And then my last question has to do with parking. So, I support reducing parking requirements where, where possible. And you have put that into your application. The community board sees it a different way and wanted more on-site parking. So how do you, how did you arrive at the number of parking spots and why do you think it's enough?

MELANIE MEYERS: We'll talk a... So... There was, it was interesting. There were three votes at the community board on the parking because I think there was a bit of a split of decisions or thoughts about it there. So, the community board recommended about 60 spaces, 62 spaces, something like that.

	30BCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	The reason why we chose the number is that the site
3	is like located next, there's good public
4	transportation. There is a bike lane. We would
5	prefer to have no parking in the, the garage at
6	all. We looked at it from an environmental
7	standpoint and, and thought that there was enough
8	parking in the area that we didn't need to have
9	more spaces and we didn't want people who might be
10	inclined to drive if they had a spot to drive. So,
11	we looked at it from that standpoint. We looked at
12	it from the, from the standpoint of what we thought
13	was a reasonable amount that wasn't going to act as
14	a, an incentive. And we also wanted it to all be
15	below grade. And so, you know we have a garage that
16	allows for self-parking below grade.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, that's all
18	my questions. Thanks.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council
20	Member Levin. Council Member Reynoso.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you
22	Chair. I want to thank Council Member Levin for
23	always being at the forefront of these creative
24	like manufacturing happening in the district and

just being very attentive to exactly what we're

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES looking for. We're trying to do something like this, I want to say district-wide, community board 1. We think that it's possible to have mixed use manufacturing with commercial, with retail, in the district in some portions of the IBZ or in, or I mean outside of the IBZ. We're having a little bit of a hard time with DCP, getting on the same page but you being here kind of proves that it can be done and that 25 Kent is not a one-off. I want to ask a couple of questions though. I see that it's a 15 feet height on all floors except I think the first on the retail space is 14 feet. Traditionally, higher ceiling heights for the manufacturing sections are attractive. Wanted to know if Evergreen had any discussion with you on whether they thought 15 feet was an appropriate amount of height for the industrial uses? TOBY SNYDER: Well in this district

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOBY SNYDER: Well in this district we're actually looking at, light manufacturing are the required uses that would go in here. And, and perhaps higher floor to floor heights are, are more expected in heavy manufacturing which is not permitted use here. We're also trying to get all of this area within the height limitation of the, of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
the zoning regulation as it is. But we have shown
this to Evergreen at a number of points throughout
the last few years. And it seems like there's a
number of tenants where these kind of floor-tofloor heights are very appropriate for their uses.

2.2

ANDREW TILL: I also want to say just for Evergreen. They also gave us a lot of feedback not only on the ceiling heights but also on the freight elevator sizing, the depth of the loading docks. So they have, they gave us a lot of very good input on that design.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: ...I just want to make sure that if the use, if it's being built it's going to be used. We have other buildings that have significant amount of manufacturing space that are being used more as a empty visual, visually appealing site and not actually being used, leased out, so they're taking the hit almost as the cost of doing business to have to build, to have to find the tenant in the manufacturing side or in the industrial side. So, I don't want that to be the case here. The other thing is the price per square footage that you're going to rent it. I know you, you mentioned that it would be low commercial and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
office... of course it is because it's manufacturing
space. But how low? So what's the price per square
footage that you're thinking about?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ANDREW TILL: We, the way that we've gauged it is we, in terms of on a percentage basis, the way we're looking at the office comps, we're going to be in the neighborhood of between 30 and 50 percent lower than where the office will be. So, we'll be in like the, you know in the 30s, is where we've underwritten it. Again, we are, we are long term owners of our property. We are not in the business of keeping space vacant. We've been given indications that those rents are achievable for the types of users. But we will see where the market, you know once we're ready to deliver, in terms of what Evergreen's given us in indications of when people actually look to occupy we'll be, you know, tracking the market. But we're not, you know anywhere in the neighborhood of where the office, the class A office market is.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: No, and I know, and just, with all due respect, you keep saying that but there's no, no one in their right mind that thinks that it would be close to the office

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

space. Right now when we're looking at high end

manufacturing we're looking at 24 to 26 dollars a

square foot in parts of, of North Brooklyn. So to

go that 30, It's still going to be...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MELANIE MEYERS: It's close.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: ...very high. You're going to be at the higher end. When I hear that, even though there's some, some conversations that I saw here that you're going to be doing it at affordable rates at 20 percent below market. Right now, I see that, I don't see that. So, I'm just concerned that if you're if you're underwriting that way you won't be able to make... you know pay mortgage assuming that you're going to get 30 dollars a square foot unless you're getting some really high-end manufacturer which could be what you're trying to go for. But that's not... I don't think whatever Green's goal is when he's talking about finding tenants for you, or assisting you in finding tenants.

ANDREW TILL: Again we just, we have discussed it with them. And I think the, also I think the, also the fact we can divide the floor into smaller spaces can... it will relate into more

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

efficient space and will relate into a higher per

square foot maybe on those smaller spaces, on the

grow spaces for industrial, you know a light

industrial user. That chunk rent would be I think

very achievable. I think it would align closely

with, with our, the indications and our, you know,

ability to achieve those prices per square foot.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Is it, is there an incentive here to ensure that you will not keep that space vacant outside of your personal commitment?

MELANIE MEYERS: There's a number of, again, there's a number of restrictions in the zoning resolution that are both, which are, which are penalties on the site. So, again, there's a restrictive declaration. There's, you know something that needs to be recorded in... against the property. There's a requirement that the restriction be on the certificate of occupancy. There's a requirement that there be a public, that a website be maintained to identify the users of that space. And there's a requirement of an annual reporting to a third-party entity that's been approved by The Department of Small Business

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 Services. So, there are a lot of protections. It's not just Andrew Till saying we're going to rent it 3 to the right people. We really can't rent it to 4 anybody but a user. And if you know the, the notion that a 30-dollar rent is, is too high and there 6 7 isn't a market for that. Then the choice is that you don't rent the space or you rent it at 26 8 dollars. And you know 26 dollars sounds better than 9 10 zero. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay and then 12 just two more questions. How many parking spaces are there again? How many parking spaces? 13 14 MELANIE MEYERS: 36. 15 TOBY SNYDER: 36. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: 36. And that's 17 the potential given a possible waiver approved by Council Member Levin? 18 MELANIE MEYERS: That, that requires, 19 that requires a waiver, it requires a special 20 permit... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Special permit, 23 oh okay. And I just want to let Council Member Levin know that I'll be very supportive in giving 24

you that waiver. It's, it's remarkable that not

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	only is it environmentally the right thing to do,
3	but financially it makes sense for you as well. And
4	if we're limiting the burden that we have on your
5	economic model by removing parking and allowing for
6	maybe to have more affordable rent everywhere else
7	because you don't need to build it, I think is
8	extremely appropriate. And we have to move away
9	from, again, incentivizing vehicles in a place
10	where, where it, it doesn't make any sense
11	considering its mass transit options and its, and
12	its accessibility to bikes and ferries. So, thank
13	you for, for this. I'm looking forward to this
14	project. I'm really excited about it. Thank you.
15	MELANIE MEYERS: Thank you.
16	TOBY SNYDER: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: One thing to add to
18	that is that it also reduces traffic too which is
19	everybody's goal as well.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: It's [cross-
21	talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank, thank you
23	[cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:always the

car's fault.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council
3	Member. Thank you very much for your testimony
4	today. Thank you. Are there any other members of
5	the public Sorry, correction. We're going to go
6	back to take a vote. Council Member Torres [cros.
7	talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye Mr.
9	Chair.
10	CHAIRPERSON MOYA:continuing, continu
11	the vote to approve the previously named LU items
12	Council Member Torres.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye.
14	COUNSEL: Thank you Council Member
15	Torres. By a vote of six in the affirmative, zero
16	in the negative, and zero abstentions the land use
17	items are approved and referred to the full land
18	use committee.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I'd like
20	to call up the next panel. Panos Cutriez, Mitch
21	Gibson, and Iziah Thompson. And you have two
22	minutes to read your testimony. If you just can
23	state your name and then you, then you can begin.
24	PANOS CUTRIEZ: Good morning Chair Moya

and members of the Subcommittee. My name is Panos

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Cutriez. I work as a doorman at 995 5th Avenue. I have been a member of SCIU 32 BJ for two years and I'm speaking on behalf of my union local 32 BJ. 32 BJ is here to support the 12 Franklin rezoning. SDJ Property Management LLC, an affiliate of Simon Baron has committed to provide good building service jobs when there are, when their building opens. These are the kind of jobs that will allow working families in Brooklyn to live with dignity, mobility, and security. The developer's commitment to good property service jobs illustrates their commitment to the larger Brooklyn community and we are pleased to be supporting them throughout this process. For these reasons we urge you to support this project. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. If you can just state your name and then you can begin.

MITCH GIBSON: Good morning. My name is Mitch Gibson. I am Senior Vice President and Chief Program and Economic Development officer at the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. I'm presenting this testimony in support of the project proposed by Simon Baron at 12 Franklin Street. The Brooklyn Chamber promotes economic development throughout

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES the borough and advocates on behalf of our member businesses. The Brooklyn Alliance is a not-forprofit economic development affiliate of the Brooklyn Chamber which includes the New York City Business Solution Center in Brooklyn and we manage that as well. I would like to express our support for 12 Franklin Street Project. This seven-story boutique office building on the Greenport, Greenpoint North Williamsburg Boarder has been designed to help alleviate the lack of class A office space in the area which is booming because, with, with residential development, dining, and nightlife options. North Brooklyn residents increasingly would like to work closer to home and 12 Franklin will meet that need by regarding modern amenity-rich office space that Brooklyn small businesses have come to expect. The 134,000 square foot building which 24, which includes 23,000 square feet dedicated to manufacturing space will reinforce the industrial character of the neighborhood while offering wide open light filled loft spaces, access to outdoor gardens on every floor and an in-building mix of workplace manufacturing, and retail uses. This project is

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES also expected to help create an active pedestrian and retail corridor across from the new Inlet park reinforcing the live/work/play environment that Brooklynites are looking to maintain and grow. The building is just a five-minute walk from the Nassau Avenue G train station along with numerous city bike stations, mopeds, and a 10 minute walk from the North bay, North Williamsburg and Greenpoint Ferry Terminal. Because 12 Franklin Street is designed to encourage public transportation used and help ease traffic congestion. The developer have requested a waiver from zoning requirements to reduce the number of parking spaces and we also support that. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

IZIAH THOMPSON: Good morning. My name is Iziah Thompson. I'm the Policy Research and Urban Planning Fellow at the New York Building Congress. On behalf of the Building Congress, I urge you to support the thoughtful application brought before you by Simon Baron Development for the building at 12 Franklin Street. The New York Building Congress is a nearly 100-year-old

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES organization working to encourage the growth and success of the New York city building industry and the vibrancy of the city at large represent over 5,000, 500 constituent organizations and employ over a quarter million professionals and tradespeople. The commercial office environment evolves in New York City. We need more space and this project represents a well-grounded approach to alleviate that lack of office space in Greenpoint and north Williamsburg. And New York and across the city workers want to work close to home. And this office space really meets that need to provide a modern amenity-rich space that Brooklyn's small businesses really want. And our members support that and support really as stewards of the Urban Environment it's important that we support projects which possess practicality, sustainability, and meld into the infrastructure and character of the neighborhood in which they are built. So, we ask that Simon Baron should be granted a waiver from the zoning requirement to reduce the number of parking spaces in the project. And thank you for your time to be heard on this matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. Thank you. I'm going to call up the next panel. Jack Davies and John Nipsa (sp?). Did I say that right? Jack Davies. John... how do you say that? Napiza? Napiza? John Napiza? Going once, going twice... okay. Jack.

JACK DAVIES: Good morning. Thank you for convening the hearing, for the chance to testify. My name is Jack Davies. I'm the Policy and Campaigns Manager for Transportation Alternatives. I'm here today to voice TA's strong support for the waiver from the zoning requirement to reduce the number of parking spaces requested at 12 Franklin. New York needs growth that encourages public transit use, walking and bicycling instead of driving. And we feel that the requested permit in this case is the responsible approach to development in New York. Research has shown that when the supply of parking is high the demand to drive also increases even when that driving isn't critical. This in turn leads to increased traffic congestion, slower bus speeds, increased air pollution, and compromised pedestrian and bicycle safety all across the city. 12 Franklin is designed SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
to encourage public transportation use and help
ease traffic congestion. The building is just a
five-minute walk from the Nassau Av G Train station
along with numerous Citi Bike stations, revel
electric mopeds, and a 10 minute walk from the
North Williamsburg and Greenpoint New York City
Ferry stations. There's traffic congestion, safety,
and pollution reach crisis levels in New York. We
should not be encouraging development that
needlessly keeps more cars on the road. Granting
the requested permit would set an important
precedent, not only in development best practices,
but in prioritizing people over motor vehicles.
Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application. And it will be laid over. Our next hearing is on LUs 331 and 332 the Douglaston Parkway rezoning for property in Council Member Vallone's district in Queens. The applicant seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone the west side of Douglaston Parkway from Northern Boulevard and extending to North,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES approximately 700 feet to a bearing depth of approximately 170 feet. The map amendment would rezone an existing R12 district and an R6A C12 district. There is a related zoning text amendment to establish a mandatory inclusionary housing area utilizing MIH option 1 or option 2. These actions would facilitate the development of an eight-story building and a five-story building resulting in a total of 83 dwelling units of which 34 would be affordable independent residents for seniors, or as we call them AIRs, in accordance with the MIH program as well as 12,678 square feet of commercial floor area. I now want to open the public hearing on this application but I'm going to read Council Member Vallone's remarks before we, we get to the panel. The, the proposed action by the applicant would construct an eight-story residential building containing 24 residential units and 17 accessory parking spaces at 4380 Douglaston Parkway and construct a five-story mixed use building containing a 12,678 square foot ground floor restaurant and 59 residential units with 89 accessory parking spaces at 241-15 Northern Boulevard. It is important to note this property at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 241-15 Northern Boulevard has a variance that would allow construction of a three-story building. But community board members at their public hearing overwhelmingly oppose this application due to concerns about lack of parking, increased traffic, fears of northern boulevard being rezoned by the de Blasio administration and reduced quality of life. However, concerns remain from our office and the Borough President's Office as to the unfortunate procedures and inflammatory language that was permitted at the said meeting. The applicant was not allowed to present prior to the discussion, comments and voting on this matter. Any project must be given a fair opportunity to be heard. This unfortunately was not followed. In fact, unfounded comments about homeless shelters as well as other disturbing statements were made and written by members of the board and the community which must be taken in totality with this application. It is important to note that there are already existing traffic issues at this area and that have been exacerbated by the recent installation of a contentious bike lane on Northern Boulevard. The

applicant's builder's pavement plan must be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES reviewed by DOT and DOB to mitigate traffic concerns on Northern Boulevard and Douglaston Parkway. In November 2018, Queens Borough President Melinda Katz reviewed the rezoning and recommended that the eight story building be lowered to seven stories to match the adjacent buildings and that the applicant coordinates with the Department of Transportation to mitigate any potential traffic congestion during construction and after completion. Furthermore, she indicated that there is an acute need in Queens for affordable housing particularly for seniors. In light of these concerns, the borough president disapproved of the zoning map amendment district application and approved the zoning resolution text amendment to designate the project a mandatory inclusionary housing designated area. It is my understanding that the applicant is willing to make a number of concessions since Borough President Katz' public hearing. Conversations with the Council's Land Use Division, Council Member Paul Vallone's Office, and the applicant have discussed the following that should commit to. Committing to work with stakeholders with binding restrictive deed language

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES to both parcels of land including minimum, including, to minimize the proposed number of parking spaces, reducing the building height on the development site one which limits and limits on its use. In addition to restrictive deed language the housing must be marketed to all seniors with a local preference for Community Board 11. The proposal only requires 30 percent of affordable senior housing and we must receive assurances that only seniors will occupy all residential units. The applicant has agreed to have HANAC serve as the administrative agent for both buildings, an essential part of this potential project. Affordable senior housing is undeniably one of the greatest needs and concerns in Queens and throughout the city of New York. Projections by the city planning see the number of Queens residents 65 and older are jumping to 3,777 thousand by 2040, a 31 percent change from the 2010 figure of 288,000. As we all know eastern Queens has the largest population of seniors in the city of New York. Based on the ongoing concerns about the history of this site we still have reservations regarding this application and thank Chair Moya and members of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 this committee for hearing this matter today. We are joined by Council Member Rivera. And now I call 3 up Paola Duran and Joselyn Sacarini? Did I say it 4 right? 5 JACLYN SCARINCI: Jaclyn. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Jaclyn, I'm sorry. COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that 8 the testimony you are about to give will be truth, 9 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and you 10 will answer all questions truthfully? In part of 11 12 your response please state your full name. 13 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You got to press the 14 button. 15 JACLYN SCARINCI: I will. Jaclyn 16 Scarinci. 17 PAOLA DURAN: I will. Paola Duran. 18 JACLYN SCARINCI: Good morning Chair Moya and members of the Zoning and Franchises 19 20 Committee. My name is Jaclyn Scarinci of Akerman 21 LLP representing the applicants 241-15 Northern LLC 2.2 and North Shore Realty Corp in connection with the 23 proposed rezoning action. I'm joined here today by Paola Duran of HANAC who is the non-profit 24

organization that will be partnering with the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES developers of this project to ensure that quality senior housing and affordable housing is maintained at the project. The proposed zoning map amendment will facilitate the development of two underutilized lots with too much needed senior housing buildings which will include a five-story residential buildings with a ground floor eating and drinking establishment and an eight, an eight story proposed residential building. In addition, the proposed zoning changes will better reflect the existing non-complying residential developments that exist today. The project site location is located in Queens community district. The Leban (sp?) and Douglaston Queens. And it's located at the northwest corner of Northern Boulevard and Douglaston Parkway. Northern Boulevard is a major East/West route to the North Shore Long Island in this, in this section of Queens and also eighty, Douglaston Parkway is an eighty-foot wide street. The project area adjoins the 657-acre Alley Pond Park and is located very, very close to the Douglaston Long Island Railroad stop which is located just to the northwest of the site.

Development site 14380 Douglaston Parkway is

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES currently vacant and development site two, 241-15 Northern Boulevard which is located at the corner is a one story, it used to be a one-story automotive service station but it's currently used as parking for the Giardino's restaurant which is located across the street. The current zoning for this site is in R12. However, as you can see with, within the proposed rezoning area for, for the R6A there are three existing non-complying and nonconforming buildings since the R12 would only permit single family residential homes at a .5 FAR these existing buildings are overbuilt and also would be brought into compliance with the proposed, not into compliance, would substantially comply with the proposed rezoning which would permit a 3.9 FAR for developments that would provide affordable independent residences for seniors. Just to show you the existing site conditions. This is a view of the corner lot which is referred to as development site two. There are, these existing, were buildings, that are six stories in height already even though this is an R12 zoning. And then this is the site of development site one which is just currently a vacant lot. Our, our rezoning proposal

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES to an R6A would facilitate a, our two zoning actions, our rezoning map amendment to rezone from an R12 to an R6A and R6AC12 and also a zoning text amendment to designate the project area a mandatory inclusionary housing area. It's just a zoning change map, project area. And the applicant mapped both option one and option two but based on the AMIs within the area has proposed option two for this site. Also, because we're proposing the MIH component to be affordable independent residences for seniors it works well to have the units that are restricted at 80 percent AMI and below. And it's a little bit about HANAC... I'm going to allow Paola to speak about the, the work that HANAC does throughout New York City with senior housing and particularly affordable senior housing in a little bit, as soon as I finish. Just quickly an overview on, on the two developments. Development, this, 241-15 will have approximately 59 dwelling units of which 20 would be the affordable independent residences for seniors. The entire building is intended to be marketed to Seniors 55 and over. And the ground floor will be a, an eating and drinking

establishment. We'll, we will also have 89

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES accessory parking spaces. Only 64 of those spaces will be required and the additional 25 would be permitted parking. Which as you stated in Council Member Vallone's statement, the applicant has committed as part of the public review process to make these additional parking spaces available to the community. And we even believe that some of the parking spaces that are required here may not be used by the tenants since many seniors wouldn't, may not have cars. And then the other proposed development is, will, is proposed that it's an eight story 34,000 square foot multiple dwelling, 24 dwelling units of which 14 would be affordable independent residences for seniors, and 19 accessory attended parking spaces. Okay. I'll just turn it over to Paola to give a brief statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PAOLA DURAN: Hello, Good morning. My name is Paola Duran. I'm the Director of Housing Development for HANAC. And as Jaclyn was stating we will be working with the team to make sure that the MIH units are marketing and managed correctly. So HANAC is a non-profit organization founded in 1972 in Astoria. And we are city based social services and affordable housing developer organization that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
provides a wide of programs and services, most of
them in Queens. HANAC owns and operates for full
service senior residents that consist of more of
400 units in Queens. And currently we have under
construction 232 units in Flushing for low income
seniors and families. HANAC is fully committed with
the development of affordable housing and we
support any effort that go, that is the main
reason why HANAC will be working with the honor for
these Douglaston projects in order to act as the
managing agent for the affordable housing units for
the seniors as presented on the proposal. HANAC's
role will be to do the marketing of the units and
be the management agent of these MIH units. And in
addition, HANAC will be providing the social
services for the tenants and community members. So
HANAC is planning to extend its portfolio of social
services to this community board for tenants and
community members. Thank you for the opportunity
and for your consideration in this matter.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. We're just

going to pause for one moment to take a vote.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	COUNSEL: Continuing the vote on
3	previously called, approval of previously called LU
4	items. Council Member Rivera.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Aye.
6	COUNSEL: By a vote of seven in the
7	affirmative, zero in the negative, and zero
8	abstentions the LU items are approved and referred
9	to the full Land Use Committee.
LO	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And we will now be
L1	closing the vote on today's items. Thank you. So
L2	just a couple of questions. Can, can you walk us
L3	through, again, the AIRS component of your project.
L 4	JACLYN SCARINCI: Sure. So, the develop,
L5	the proposed development at 241-15 Northern
L 6	Boulevard will have approximately 20 affordable
L7	independent residences for seniors. And the
L8	proposed development at 4380 will have 14
L 9	affordable residences for seniors. And all of these
20	units will be restricted at or below 80 percent AMI
21	in compliance with the zoning resolution.
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Can you say that
23	again? The, what was the AMI?
24	JACLYN SCARINCI: 80 percent and below.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 62 2 JACLYN SCARINCI: So, it'll, it will 3 also comply with MIH option two which requires an average. But the AIRS are more restrictive here so 4 we, we won't have units above 80 percent AMI. 5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And how much of the 6 7 FAR do you plan to utilize at each of the development sites? 8 JACLYN SCARINCI: So, for the AIRS FAR? 9 10 Actually, 4380 Douglaston Parkway is not utilizing 11 the airs bonus. 241-15 we are, we are taking 12 advantage of the FAR, that would be 55,000, so I 13 can get back to you on the exact square footage but 14 we, you're eligible up to a 3.9 FAR for the, 15 through the proposed rezoning for the R6A. 16 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: If you could get that 17 to us that'd be great. 18 JACLYN SCARINCI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Are the 19 20 proposed developments that have been proposed here 21 to use the preferential FAR for airs? 2.2 JACLYN SCARINCI: I'm, I'm sorry, can 23 you repeat the question?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm sorry, sorry, sorry, sorry. What is the percentage of units that are expected to be airs in each building?

JACLYN SCARINCI: The percentage in... 30 percent in both.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: 30? 30 percent, okay.

JACLYN SCARINCI: It's actually more in, in the Douglaston Parkway because the way that the building units were laid out... we had larger units originally but because through the ULUR Process we worked with the, the Borough President's Office had to ask us to reduce the building one story. So we are working on, we will have to, we, we're trying to maintain the 24 total dwelling units, but now we're going to have one less story so the, that was why the, there ended up being, there was more affordable units in terms of percentage wise than 30 percent just because originally it had larger units. But now with the redesign we will still maintain the 14 airs units. However, that percentage will maintain 30 percent units because the, both projects while also they're not seeking any public financing, but they will be looking for the affordable New York tax exemption which

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	requires that 30 percent of the units be
3	affordable.
4	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. So total of 30.
5	JACLYN SCARINCI: Correct.
6	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it. What is the
7	total square footage expected for the airs in each
8	building?
9	JACLYN SCARINCI: It's 30 percent of the
10	residential floor area. Because we're, we're using
11	the image, option two here so we would, we're, we
12	are complying under both programs AIRS and MIH
13	option two. So, it's 30 percent of the residential
14	floor area and at least 30 percent of the
15	residential units.
16	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: How will the, these
17	age restrictions be enforced?
18	JACLYN SCARINCI: Through a regulatory
19	agreement with the HPD.
20	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. Are there other
21	additional approvals needed to restrict the age of
22	residents within the portion of the building?
23	JACLYN SCARINCI: Not that we're aware
24	of. Those, in, in our conversations with HPD there

will be an, a regulatory agreement that would have

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

the age restriction in it. And based on a number of
conversations we've had they also have spoken with
the state human rights because we would need to
have a waiver for a partial AIRS building. And
they've also indicated that they're, that they
would be supported of such a waiver for a project,
a senior project like this.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any state

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any state waivers required for the partial AIRS buildings?

JACLYN SCARINCI: Yes, from the state human rights. Really, it, the age restriction.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Have you met with DOT to review any of the proposed changes to intersection on Douglaston Parkway and Northern Boulevard?

JACLYN SCARINCI: We have not yet met with DOT. But the, the developers committed to meet with DOT as we go for our builder's pavement plan for this site and any suggested mitigation measures, we will incorporate into the proposed development.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it. So maybe I, you're not going to have the answer to this one but any preliminary discussions on how to address the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	concerns about the traffic congestion at that
3	intersection?
4	JACLYN SCARINCI: I'm sorry, any
5	preliminary discussions… [cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Preliminary
7	discussions that deal with addressing the concerns
8	about the traffic congestion at that intersection?
9	It was brought up in the community board meeting.
10	The council member brought this up as well. So, I'm
11	just wanting to know if you had any discussions
12	with anyone regarding that?
13	JACQULIN: We, we will work with the
14	council member on Okay, so we actually have spoken
15	with some members of DOT and, and they have agreed
16	to come out after the, the, if the rezoning is
17	approved to do a site visit and to recommend some
18	mitigation measures for the traffic in this area.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, so there has
20	been some conversation with DOT?
21	JACLYN SCARINCI: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yes? Okay. What are
23	the expected commercial uses at the development

site number two?

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 67 2 JACLYN SCARINCI: It is proposed to, 3 actually the restaurant that's located across the 4 street is proposed to be, relocate, located within the, within our development site two. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. 7 JACLYN SCARINCI: Yes. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So, it's going to be 8 9 a restaurant. 10 JACLYN SCARINCI: A restaurant, yes. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And do you, can 11 12 you provide a unit mix and the affordability you expect to be included in the building? 13 14 JACLYN SCARINCI: Currently we are 15 working on a unit distribution for both buildings, 16 but we will have a mix of studios, one bedrooms, 17 and two bedrooms. 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. And last question, for development site number one, how do 19 20 you plan to ensure that the windows of the building 21 on the south side of the project site will have 2.2 access to light and air? 23 JACLYN SCARINCI: Okay. Even within the

seven stories of the building we're not changing

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 the footprint of the building as it is proposed which does provide the 30-foot rear yard. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you for your testimony. Thank, thank you. Calling up the 5 next panel. Edward Laganna? Did I say it right? 6 EDWARD LA GRASSA: La Grassa. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: La Grassa, I'm sorry. 8 Brendon Levy, and John Kurtz. If you can please 9 state your name. We have two minutes and you can 10 begin. If you can push the button. 11 12 EDWARD LA GRASSA: Ah. 13 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: There you go. 14 EDWARD LA GRASSA: Is it on? Okay. I'm a 15 member of Community Board 11's Landmark Committee. I'm a trustee of the Douglaston Little Neck 16 Historical Society and I have been so for about 20 17 18 years. I am not representing them, I am representing the community. I'm an active member in 19 20 Douglaston. I'm an also, an adjunct professor at NYU's Graduate School of Real Estate. I'm active in 2.1 2.2 the ULI both nationally and locally. The proposed 23 building that is being planned at the intersection

of Douglaston Parkway and Northern Boulevard

incorporates sound planning principles. It is

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES focused on senior citizens. It is near public transportation. It is midrise. And it is contextual in keeping with the scale of the adjacent apartment buildings. It provides parking for local residents and small retailers. And I feel that it is aesthetically pleasing. I've seen the drawings for the larger project and is the kind of development that will be good for the community both on a need and an aesthetic basis. This is important to me as an active member of the community, an architect, and one interested in good design and preservation. At the meeting on October 22nd at the community board the developer discussed their two projects. However most of the people in the room came to the meeting with a predetermined negative attitude. Providing correct information did not alter this attitude since many attendants, attendees were there to stop any development. There are several people in the community who are against all development and they are leading an opposition effort. They do not represent all the community. Prior to the October 22nd meeting I received three phone calls from people asking me to protest a project they had never seen and all of them were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

misinformed. They did not want to be confused by

facts and they were all nimbiam (sp?) protests.

Since October 22nd meeting several people have

quietly expressed support for the rezoning but they

were afraid to, afraid to talk. I'm not afraid to

support sound land use and development. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BRENDAN LEVY: [off mic] Good morning, Brendan Levy with the Queens Chamber. Is this on? [on mic] Can you hear me now? Brendan Levy, Queens Chamber of Commerce on behalf of the President, CEO Tom Grech. I'm going to read a letter that Tom Grech signed. We are in support of the project for the Douglaston Parkway, Northern Boulevard Rezoning, block 80-92, tax lots 5 and 39, portions of lots 20525, 28 and 33 known as the rezoning area. Letter's addressed to Council Member Vallone. I write in support of the proposal to change the rezoning area from R12 to an R6A zoning district. The rezoning proposal includes a commercial overlay at the intersection of Douglaston Parkway in Northern Boulevard and designation of a mandatory inclusionary housing or MIH area. The proposed rezoning will allow the development of two buildings, the building at 24115 Northern Boulevard

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES will be a five-story building with 59 senior housing units including about 20 units of permanently affordable senior housing since 692 square feet of recreation space for seniors. There would also be a recreation space for ancillary services. There will be, as noted earlier, 89 attended parking spaces. And the owner is committed that access spaces that are underutilized will be available to local community when shopping in the local commercial district. The second building at 4380 Douglaston Parkway will be an eight-story apartment building with 24 dwelling units, about 14 of which will be permanently affordable for seniors through the MIH program. The second building will also contain about 1400 square feet of community room space for seniors. All of the residential units within the two buildings will be marketed to seniors aged 55 and older, about 34 units who are 30 percent of the residential floor area approximately, will be a permanently affordable for low income seniors. In contrast to the citywide senior population which is only 13 percent seniors comprise 20 percent of the Douglaston population in the blocks surrounding the rezoning area, the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 senior population increases to 22 percent. Queens 3 Community Board 11 residents who are in need of affordable housing will be given preference to half 4 of the permanently affordable units. The applicant has also committed to working with local non-profit 6 7 organization, HANAC who spoke earlier to provide... [cross-talk] 8 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you 9 for your testimony. Thank you. We have two minutes. 10 11 Thank you. 12 BRENDAN LEVY: Anyway the, the chamber's 13 in support. 14 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. 15 BRENDAN LEVY: And... 16 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. 17 BRENDEN: ...there's a great need for 18 senior housing. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much. 19 20 JOHN KURTZ: Thank you. Good morning. My name is John Kurtz. I will be reading two letters 21 of whom are from the Community Board 11 and local 2.2 23 residents of Douglaston Queens. First will be from George Mahaltas (sp?). Dear New York City City 24

Council, I'm a local resident and I support the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES proposed UL, URP and redevelopment of 241-15 Northern Boulevard and 43-80 Douglaston Parkway. The proposed development will improve the appearance of two unused sites that are currently an eye sore and have been for years. Additionally, the proposed buildings will fit in well contextually with adjacent buildings. Most importantly the Northeast Queens community especially in Douglaston, Littleneck and the base side area, area have an acute lack of affordable senior housing and services. I'm glad to hear that all the residential units will be marketed to seniors age 55 and older including a significant number of affordable units. It is for these reasons that I support this proposal. Sincerely, George Mahaltas. The next letter will be from Alias Feelhas (sp?). Good morning, my name is Alias Feelhas. I live in Douglaston Queens and I am currently a member of Community Board 11. I support this rezoning application because I would like the two lots on Douglaston Parkway puts a productive use. There are many older residents in the Douglaston area looking to relocate to smaller and more efficient and modern looking apartments like

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES the ones being proposed. I think the design of the new, the new buildings fit in well with the neighborhood and would benefit the community by adding the much needed senior housing. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I'm now going to call up the next panel as Amire Con. Kelly Fernandez. Excuse me if I mispronounce it. Is it

[off mic comments]

2.2

Sophia? You, how do you say your last name?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. I just didn't want to butcher that one. I'm so sorry. We may begin. Just please state your name and, and you can start.

AMIRE CON: I guess...

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just push the button.

AMIRE CON: I'll begin. I wasn't... I was going to do the ladies first but it's okay. Good morning Chair Moya and members of the subcommittee.

My name is Amire Con. I work as a doorman in the upper east side of Manhattan. I've been a member of 32 BJ for the past nine years. I'm here today speaking on behalf of my union not only as a member but also as a lifelong resident of Queens to express our support for the Douglaston Parkway

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES rezoning. As you know 32 BJ is the largest property service union in the country. We represent 80,000 building service workers here in New York City who clean and maintain buildings like the two being proposed today. 241-15 Northern LLC and Northshore Realty Group have committed to provide prevailing wages ops for building service workers when their buildings open. These are family sustaining jobs that would allow workers to support their families and continue to live in Queens. 32 BJ SCIU also fully supports affordable housing for seniors. We see this project as an important investment in creating equitable and inclusive community in Littleneck and we urge you to approve it. Thank you for your time and thank you for having us this morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KELLY FERNANDEZ: Good morning. My name is Kelly Fernandez. I am here on behalf of the Queens Economic Development Corporation. Reading a letter. I am writing in support of the proposal to change the zoning on the above referenced site to allow for new development. The Queens Economic Development Corporation supports projects that it believes will benefit the community. In this case

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

the project calls for 59 units of much needed

senior housing, recreation, and ancillary space. 20

of the units will be affordable. This is important

as there are many deserving seniors in the

community. Additionally, the local nonprofit,

nonprofit designated to administer the senior

housing and programming is well respected and has a

great deal of experience in the borough.

2.1

2.2

SOPHIA YURUDIA: Good morning. I'm Sophia Yurudia (sp?).

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

me. I'm here to support the rezoning for the two buildings. I have lived and worked in the area most of my adult life. I'm happy to hear that there is going to be senior affordable housing, myself included. We don't want to leave the neighborhood. And to know that that is going to be available is comforting to me. I'd like to stay there. I don't think that the buildings are out of character if you take a walk in the neighborhood. They're all about that same level that we're speaking of. And finally, I think that the additional foot traffic would be huge for the local mom and pop stores that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
177
lose a lot of business to the mall down the road.
So just to close I, I do support it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you very much. Thank you all for coming today and for your testimony as well.

SOPHIA YURUDIA PLUNKETT: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application. And it will be laid over. We are now going to our last public hearing for today and it is LUs 335, 336, and 337, the 570 Fulton Street rezoning for property in Majority Leader Cumbo's district in Brooklyn. The applicant seeks approval for a zoning map amendment to rezone property located at the intersection of Fulton Street and Flatbush Avenue from a C64 district to a C69 district. A series of related zoning text amendments to the special downtown Brooklyn district regulations these amendments would establish a maximum permitted FAR of 18 for commercial or community facility uses. They'd apply to the special district height set back and tower regulations to C69 districts and create a new

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
2	special permit to allow modifications to bulk
3	requirements other than FAR. There is also a
4	special permit application pursuant to the proposed
5	zoning text to modify certain bulk yard and lot
6	coverage requirements. These actions would
7	facilitate the development of a 40-story mixed use
8	tower with retail use on the ground and second
9	floors, office use on floors three through 16 and
10	residential use on floors 17 through 40. I now open
11	the public hearing on this application. We are
12	calling David Schwartz and Allison
13	ALLISON CURRERI: Curreri.
14	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Curreri.
15	COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that
16	the testimony you are about to give will be the
17	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
18	and that you will answer all questions truthfully?
19	As part of your response please state your full
20	name.
21	ALLISON CURRERI: Allison Curreri, yes.
22	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: David Schwartz,
23	yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Before we begin, I

just want to read the opening statement from

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Majority Leader Cumbo. Good morning, and thank you all for attending this hearing. Downtown Brooklyn is one of the most rapidly changing parts of New York City. Development pressure seems to increase by the day as we constantly learn of new plans for ever larger towers. And these towers are far different than what was originally projected by the 2004 rezoning. They contain prominently, predominantly residential space instead of office space and often with little real affordable housing or provisions for local businesses, non-for-profit organizations or cultural arts groups to share in the growth of our borough. The tremendous boom in downtown Brooklyn development has also placed increased stress on our infrastructure and neighborhood services. I constantly hear concerns from my constituents about the consequences of over development, subways busting at the seams, overenrolled schools, long-time businesses shuttering to be replaced by vacant storefronts or chain stores, and housing costs increased far above what they can afford. If we are to consider allowing significant new density on top of the

tremendous development already occurring, we must

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

be sure that significant public benefits are delivered to address these key challenges of affordability and infrastructure capacity. This project would be the first 18 FAR zoning district approved in downtown Brooklyn and would set a precedent for others to come. If we are going to consider setting this precedent for density, I believe we must also set a precedent for significant public benefits, affordability, and inclusive development. I look forward to hearing from the developers on how they will address these issues, and from my constituents and the public on this important project for the future of downtown Brooklyn. Thank you. And you may begin.

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thank you Councilman, Chairman.

ALLISON CURRERI: Good morning. Allison
Curreri Greenberg Traurig, LLP. We're discussing a
proposal for a rezoning and related actions to
increase the maximum community facility and
commercial FAR at the project site from 10 to 18
with no change in the maximum residential density
in order to facilitate the development of 87,000
square feet of much needed office space in downtown

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Brooklyn for local and growing companies. The project site is in the special downtown Brooklyn district at the intersection of Fulton Street and Flatbush Avenue in an existing C64 zoning district and fully surrounded by the C64 and a C64.5 zoning district which are high density mixed use districts. 570 Fulton Street, the development site labeled DS on the screen is located along Fulton Street. The proposed rezoning and related applications are made with the respect to its redevelopment utilizing air rights from the adjacent parcel at one Flatbush Avenue which is controlled by an affiliate of developer. The area to rezone, be rezoned consists of lot 35 which is the development site 570 Fulton, lot 26 which is one Flatbush and will be merged as a single zoning lot with the development site as well as a portion of lot 24, 25 Flatbush Avenue which would not be part of the development site. Our ULERP application consists of the following actions. A rezoning of the affected area from C64 to C69 which under a text amendment would increase the maximum permitted commercial and, or community facility FAR in the C69 district from 10 to 18 and maintain the maximum

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES residential FAR at 12. It would also include text amendments to establish the maximum of 18 FAR and to create a new special permit that would permit bulk waivers other than FAR waivers on a regular sites in C69 districts within the special district. And we would seek a special permit under that new special permit application, four modifications of setback, rear yard, port recess, and tower lot coverage. And those special permit waivers are in order to allow better floor plates and a shorter building overall. And as I mentioned the primary purpose of the application is to allow for a, approximately 87,000 square feet of office space which otherwise would not be developed to be provided in the new building. If the actions are approved 570 Fulton would be redeveloped with an approximately 202 square, thousand square foot 40 story building, about 45 percent of which would be commercial on 17 commercial floors including 87,000 square feet of office area. The office floor plates would range from about 4,800 to 5,700, sorry to 7,500 square feet... that is a small typo there. And these relatively small floor plates would be best suited for small local companies and growing

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES companies in the area. Above the commercial floors we would have 23 stories of residential rental units, approximately 139 units total. Because no residential density increases associated with these actions the project isn't subject to MIH but the developers providing 25 to 30 percent of the units as affordable units under the affordable New York program and a portion of those units would also be permanently affordable in order to increase the residential FAR from 10 to 12 under the voluntary, voluntary inclusionary program in the R10 districts. This shows a section and rendering of the building. You can see the proportion of office to residential space. The base of the building which all would be commercial would rise ten stories before our ten-foot setback from Fulton Street above which seven more stories of office use would be provided, and above that 23 stories of residential. Above the base we would also setback from the side lot line with the adjacent tower, successive ten feet segments. Within the commercial base we've also discussed with Council Member Cumbo incorporating a cultural use or nonprofit component in a manner that we could tie into the, the, these

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES applications either through a change to the approved drawings or a text change and David can talk about that a bit more. The building would rise to a maximum height of 518 feet and 40 stories. And here you can see how that looks in the context of adjacent surrounding towers. The build... although we're seeking an 18 FAR rezoning because of the existing 12 FAR building on one Flatbush which would be part of the zoning lot the building would ultimately build, be built to 11.5, the new building would be built to 11.5 FAR, the remaining 7.5 FAR would be within the one Flatbush building on the zoning lot. And that together with the special permit waivers we're seeking results in a 40-story building that we feel is in line with the surrounding development. The special permit waivers we seek are all intended to improve the floor plates to make them a little bit larger and overall decrease the total height of the building. The first one is for a setback waiver in order to setback 10 feet at 150 feet rather than what would be required under the current tower regs which would be 20 feet at 85, at a height of 85 feet, waiver for the 20 foot required commercial rear

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES yard, a waiver for the residential rear yard that would be required as well as an inter-court recess waiver which is only required due to the merger of the two parcels as a single zoning lot and wouldn't affect the light and the air of the one Flatbush units. The final waiver we're seeking is a tower lot coverage waiver that again results just from the merger of the two parcels as a single zoning lot. It results in a lot coverage above a height of 150 feet that is in excess of the tower requirements. Something that we've discussed and heard a lot about in the public review process is the impact of the project on the subway station at Nevins Street which is directly adjacent to 1 Flatbush on Flatbush Avenue. There isn't an entrance adjacent to the 570 building. We you know seek to help that subway entrance get considerable improvements. The problem is that the MTA hadn't previously identified specific improvements that they would like to have been made at that subway entrance other than certain improvements that developers affiliate already undertook in connection with the development of the 1 Flatbush building. So, in furtherance of, of making further

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES as improvements to that station including potential, much better access for ADA. The developer has agreed to make a 550,000-dollar contribution to fund a study that the MTA would be able to put towards identifying improvements that are, that need to be made and can feasibly be engineered for that subway station. And I'll turn it over to David to talk more about that. And add anything else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: So, regarding the subway station, that was a, something that came up from community board, and that was a recommendation from the borough president's office as well as from city planning. So, it's something that we very much agree with. I think the challenge there is that the Nevin Street subway station, it's pressed right up against Flatbush Avenue. It's very high up. So, it's, there's no room really to get across with an ADA access. So, it's a, it's a really tough station and the MTA realizes that. And they, they need to figure out, they, they really need to commission a study in order to figure out what needs to be done because it's not an easy solution. So, it's something that we're happy as landlords there. We think, we agree that the Nevin Street Station as

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES well as unfortunately many stations need you know significant improvements.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: That, that's a... okay great. So, just a couple of questions. The zoning that we're voting to allow, to give here, and it gives you the ability to build a hotel. Are you going to build a hotel?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: We are not going to build a hotel. And I think, you know one of the things that's important to note here is this lot is a very irregular lot. So, all of the waivers that we're seeking are to make the floorplate big. It's not a floorplate that would work as a hotel. And we are committed to put in writing that we're not going to build a hotel.

ALLISON CURRERI: I'll also, I'll also note as a process matter you know city planning approved this project because they wanted offices here. The special permit plans that have been approved in connection with the application by city planning state that the commercial uses will be use group 6A and 6B retail and office use, yeah retail and office use, do, a change to use group 5 if we are building pursuant to the special permit would

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 require going back and getting the modification which we don't think city planning is interested in 3 4 approving. CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great and in writing 5 6 would be... 7 DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: You're, we're happy to work with, with, with council, with, with the 8 city, with council, for the city council to put 9 that into writing. 10 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you. So 11 12 there's no MIH in this project, correct? ALLISON CURRERI: Correct. 13 14 DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: There's no increase 15 to residential FAR I think is an important point so that's why there's no MIH. But we are agreeing to 16 17 do the affordable New York program. 18 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Right. So, going to the 421A, affordable New York program what are the 19 20 options there? 21 DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: So, there are three options. We've discussed with the Council Member of 2.2 23 I think it's option A or option 1. I, they keep changing whenever we, they change the, the names 24

once we figure it out. And that is an option of 10

percent of the units at 40 percent AMI, 10 percent at 60 percent AMI, five percent up to 130. Although in our conversations with the Council Member this week we talked about the, five percent at 130 lowering at five percent of 130 down to a, five percent of a hundred. We have not finalized that but that's the current discussion.

2.2

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Because we know that affordable housing at 130 AMI is hardly affordable. You know those rents are going to be over 2,000 per month. I'm glad to hear that you're committing to lowering the AMIs on those units. I know that that's very important to the majority leader. How are you looking to memorialize that commitment?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Again, we're open to put that as well, as well... into writing. And we are, we're committed to work with the city council and with Council Member Cumbo and her office to memorialize all of those items.

ALLISON CURRERI: We'd also be happy to notify HPD of the same intention to...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

OAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: And, and...

2.2

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: And, and any other recommendations that there are we are happy to comply.

ALLISON CURRERI: ...pursue that option.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. And will you partner with a local non-for-profit to, to be the administering agent for the affordable housing?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yeah, we will partner if we have not already with impact that this would be, this will be our third project with impact that was formally pack, private area community council. This will be our third project with them. And if we have not already signed an agreement with them we will sign an agreement with them, we will sign an agreement with them and they have done, they've done other lease ups for us in the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Right. So, you know that one of the very important issues for the majority leader has always been the space for cultural and non-for-profits, especially for projects that involve adding significant commercial density. I know she believes that there should be

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
on
some stronger tools for reserving the space for
arts and cultural organizations in the new
development. Will you reserve a portion of the
commercial space in the building for an arts or
cultural use or for a non-for-profit office space?

prepared to do that. We agree with the majority leader that it is important to keep the, the cultural district which is what we, one of the things that we love so much about this area is that cultural district. So we are in discussions with, with Council Member Cumbo and her office is to how, exactly what that means. But we are committed to having space for cultural and or non-profits. And lastly will you consider a preference for independent local retail in the retail space for this project?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: So that's something that we'll have to take under consideration and discuss with the team.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay. One second.

Thank you. I'm going to turn it over now to Council

Member Levin.

2.1

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Mr. Chair. So, I want to also ask you a few question

Chair. So, I want to also ask you a few questions on behalf of Council Member, or Majority Leader Cumbo. Just following up on the, on the commercial affordability or not, not for profit cultural space. Would you work with us to pursue potential options to require that that happened in the zoning?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Sure. We're totally open to any mechanisms to, you know to require whatever we agree to.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: So, any mechanisms that you guys can think of, you know we're... [crosstalk]

ALLISON CURRERI: Yeah, we've, we've had some initial discussions with the staff in terms of changes that we could make to either the approved drawings or the zoning text in a way that would be in scope that would, that would tie us into that commitment.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. The... why did... do you know why the 1 Flatbush building

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES as received a waiver for the requirement to build new subway stairs. 1 Flatbush was your development.

2.2

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yeah so... the MTA didn't want to put the subway stairs into the building. They, they actually wanted to keep the subway entrance adjacent, you know where it is right now right at Flatbush Avenue. So that was sort of an older view where they, you know at one point in time they were, I think in like the early, the 90s I think they were moving a lot of Subway stations inside buildings. They decided they didn't want to do that. So that was at the MTA's discretion.

related to the engineering challenges that David described earlier which relate to, instead of being able to go down to one set of stairs to the subway platform, the only way to keep the platform in its current location would be to tunnel underneath the stairs and build stairways back up... [cross-talk]

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: There, there are two subway tunnels... [cross-talk]

ALLISON CURRERI: ...which alone wouldn't really improve... [crosswalk]

QΛ

2.2

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: ...on Fulton Street and Flatbush Avenue so they both come like this and leave the, the 45 on Flatbush Avenue is actually like touching our building. So, when we excavate it at 1 Flatbush it's actually touching the building. So, it's so close. So, it's a very challenge... it's a... and it's also a... the train kind of turns when it comes in there. It's a challenging station for them.

mean in light of this tragedy that happened this week of the young mother who, who died falling down the, the stairs at a subway station in Manhattan. You know I think that there's a, an increased focus on ADA or accessibility at a subway station. Can you describe the agreement with city planning and the MTA to advance ADA access to the Nevin Station?

ALLISON CURRERI: Sure. The agreement that has been put in writing to the chair of the city planning commission is that the developer will make a 550,000-dollar contribution to the MTA to fund the MTA's study of improvements that can be made to the Nevin Street station particularly with respect to ADA access. We, there's no kind of

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES					
2	current scope of what that will entail other than					
3	you know that they're going to look at					
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's a					
5	commitment made by, by your team.					
6	ALLISON CURRERI: Yes.					
7	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yeah. And that was					
8	something you know that kind of came out of					
9	conversations with the borough president where you					
10	know the conversations that he and his staff was					
11	that they're, they're going to be a lot, there's					
12	going to still be a lot of development,					
13	specifically at this intersection if you look					
14	around. And the problem is that if you ask the MTA					
15	today what they need they don't know yet because					
16	they need to do that study. So really the idea was					
17	that					
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I mean they					
19	should. That's, you know at this point					
20	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: That's					
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.					
22	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: I won't, I won't					
23	get into that. I think… I don't disagree with you.					

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.

2.2

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: But you know I think that we want to have some definitive, so this way that they can have some definitive needs as downtown Brooklyn continues to develop. And for us we totally agree. We agree with, with you and it is a tragedy and we agree with everything that you and your colleagues have said that there needs to be you know quite a few fixes to the MTA and... [crosstalk] you know this station is certainly one of them that we want to see you know continue to be fixed.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah, yeah.

ALLISON CURRERI: So, the, and the hope being that the improvements identified in connection with that study could ultimately be tied in with future applications.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah. This statement, this station is a, a dismal station. I, I, there's a... there's a staff member here at the council that reminds me every, every couple of weeks that there's a...

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: I take the train from there. I mean it's, it's a tough station, it's a bad station.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah. Falling,

3 chipping, peeling paint and you know... [cross-talk]

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: And there are just a lot of challenges, a lot of infrastructure

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It's very neglected by the MTA, very neglected.

underneath that station also. So, it's a...

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Agreed.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Public art at Fox Square. Can you... because it's an important location in terms of Gateway to downtown Brooklyn. Will you commit to working with local arts organizations on public art at Fox Square?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Absolutely. I mean we would love to do that. And actually, we've had some conversations downtown, Brooklyn partnership. They have some really amazing ideas so we would love to work with them, other groups, local artists. It's something that's near and dear to our heart. We love it. Something that they... the council member has been mentioning to us for years as this project's been going on. So, we'd be committed to do that.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sustainability and resiliency. What are those measures proposed or contemplated for this project?

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: So, we did submit that to the borough president. We could submit that... I don't know off hand but we're happy to submit. We had, we had done a write up on all the sustainability features from the architect. So, we're happy to submit that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Certainly. We encourage green roofs, solar roofs, green solar, you know the combinations are, you know... [crosstalk]

DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yeah, we're, we, we agree on sustainability and we, well we can submit to, to whoever at the council what we plan to do there.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, Passive

House or Net Zero, anything like that would be,

would be well received here. And lastly regard to

building service workers is there a commitment to

good jobs since there's going to be a, commercial

component is here as well.

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES					
2	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yes, we've, we have					
3	an agreement with 32BJ.					
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.					
5	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: And they I believe					
6	are here to testify but we've, we already have an					
7	agreement with them for 570 Fulton as well as 1					
8	Flatbush.					
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And then do you					
10	have a plan in place for local hiring and					
11	participation?					
12	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Yes, we will. We've					
13	already, we have, we have an agreement with Team					
14	Brown consulting, and we will continue to do local					
15	hiring.					
16	[off mic talking]					
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Good, how are					
18	you?					
19	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: And we have, we					
20	have a, a commitment to continue to do that. And we					
21	do that on all of our projects.					
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Thank you.					
23	That's all for me. Thank you, Mr. Chair.					
24	DAVID SCHWARTZBAUM: Thank you.					

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much

3 for your testimony today. I'm going to now call the

4 next panel. Cassandra Carrillo (sp?), May Yu.

5 [cross-talk]

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MAY YU: Good morning Chair Moya and Council Members. My name May Yu. I'm the Vice President of Real Estate at the downtown Brooklyn Partnership where my role is leading our research on job and firm growth as well as speaking with potential tenants about opportunities to locate their offices in downtown Brooklyn. And on behalf of DBP I'd like to express our strong support for the proposed project at 570 Fulton Street. As the applicant mentioned the proposal as we understand it only asks for a up zoning for commercial only while limiting the residential FAR to their as-ofright, as allowed per current zoning. And in doing so, they would really be able to provide the muchneeded office space. In fact, about 87,000 square feet of commercial office which if fully tenanted could yield up to 400 plus office jobs near the intersection of Fulton Street and Flatbush Avenue. As many of you know downtown Brooklyn is the city's third largest central business district and it has

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES seen record job and business grown over the past several years. In fact, out pacing the growth of Brooklyn as a borough as well as New York City as a borough. And we've seen particularly strong growth and the tech information and arts and entertainment sectors. Despite a very constrained office market downtown Brooklyn has had about a two to seven percent office vacancy in the past several years and that's, indicates a really high demand for office space compared to about 10 to 12 percent in the financial district in midtown Manhattan. The project would meet additional demand and would support continued job growth in the cultural district just two blocks away from two subway stations and Flatbush Avenue. We've seen and spoken to a number of creative companies such as Blue State Digital which is known for their digital campaigns and launch of Obama for America as well as Gimlet Media, the Brooklyn's favorite podcasting company, take space at small floorplate offices along Flatbush Avenue as well as FXCollaborative, the architecture company who is headquartered, will now be headquartered a few blocks away. Again, 570

Fulton's small floorplate offices catering

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
specifically to small businesses and entrepreneurs
would help to spur job growth in the area. And it's
something we extremely supports. Just additionally
downtown Brooklyn Partnership helps to maintain Fox
Square as part of our bid services and plaza
program with DOT. And we have been working with
local arts organizations such as Brick, Bam, and is
part of Department of Cultural Affairs city Canis
(sp?) program to look at additional arts
installations in the area. And we're happy to work
with Slate and with landlords of 570 Fulton to make
sure that that's incorporated there. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

CASSIE CARRIO: Good morning Chair Moya. My name is Cassie Carrio (sp?) and I work for 32BJ. I'm speaking today on behalf of my union to express our support for the pros, proposed project at 570 Fulton. 32BJ also represents over 700 workers who live in District 35. It's our estimation that when open this building will be staffed by about six building service workers. 570 Fulton LLC and affiliate... has committed that these jobs will be good jobs with family sustaining wages. These are the types of jobs that give New Yorkers dignity and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

103
access to mobility. 32BJ and Slate have been
building a strong relationship throughout their
portfolio. And we are confident that they will be a
responsible employer at this site. We urge you to
approve this project. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony today. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Did you fill out a slip? With the Sergeant at Arms? You have to fill out a speaker slip with the Sergeant at Arms.

Members. My name Collay OKar. I'm from the surrounding area over there by 55, 570 Fulton Street at Ingersoll Houses. And over the past year the Slate group has demonstrated great commitment to our community. They have been undergoing a lot of changes in our community. And they have been front and forward with it. I'm giving out book from book back drives to organizing things. And Brooklyn right now is very heavily populated. And they had a lot of creative minds. And this office space is very needed, that the Slate great, the Slate group is talking about the affordable office space is

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES					
2	very needed because you know the traveling, you					
3	don't have to travel and you know guide people in					
4	the neighborhood to them small businesses, guide					
5	people in the neighborhood. So, I think that that's					
6	a great, great idea, especially for a small					
7	business owner like me. That's looking forward to					
8	it.					
9	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What kind of business					
10	do you have?					
11	COLLAY OKAR: Oh, I make tee shirts.					
12	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Very nice.					
13	COLLAY OKAR: Thank you. I make tee					
14	shirts, anything you want.					
15	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: I'm going to have					
16	come visit you then.					
17	COLLAY OKAR: Alright, thanks.					
18	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your					
19	testimony. It's okay.					
20	I will fill this out when I'm finished					
21	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You could just state					
22	your name and then you can [cross-talk]					
23	CARRINGTON: Yes. [cross-talk]					
24	CARRINGTON:Carrington. Okay. I live					
25	in the district. And I'm just really here to, to					

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES				
2	hopefully you guys can pass the project so that we				
3	can get jobs and housing for the area, much needed.				
4	You know? And we can continue to be a part of the				
5	change in downtown Brooklyn.				
6	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great. Thank you.				
7	CARRINGTON: Want to ask me anything?				
8	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: No, I think we're				
9	good				
10	CARRINGTON: Thank you very much.				
11	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Do they,				
12	do they have anybody else? Anyone else from How				
13	you doing? Just if [cross-talk]				
14	CARRINGTON: 570 Fulton Project.				
15	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just state your name				
16	and then you can begin.				
17	DIA PLUNKETT: My name is Dia Plunkett.				
18	And this project is much much needed in the				
19	neighborhood for it's much, a good suggestion for				
20	our neighborhood, for, just for everything to work				
21	better, optimistic for the future for everybody.				
22	But this a very much needed program for me, a lot				
23	of people. I'm kind of shaky but I'm just [cross-				
24	talk] …rolling with the program Sir.				

5 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: No, it's okay.

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 106 2 DIA PLUNKETT: But I'm, I'm very much 3 optimistic about this program. And I think that it'll do a lot for everyone in the community. And 4 I'm here to represent a family, everyone before me. And this is a much-needed program. That's all I can 6 say for the moment. 8 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Sorry. Thank you. I 9 appreciate you coming down here and testifying. 10 DIA PLUNKETT: Thank you sir. 11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Have a 12 great day. Okay are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Okay seeing none I now 13 14 close the public hearing on this application, and 15 it will be laid over. This concludes today's meeting and I would like to thank the members of 16 17 the public, my colleagues, the council, and Land 18 Use staff for all their great work to get this done. This meeting is hereby adjourned. 19 20 [gavel] 21 22

2425

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date	March	02.	2019